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## PREFACE

The Bureau of Labor Statistics has been making studies of the money receipts and disbursements of wage earners and salaried workers since 1888. The first survey was initiated to provide information on wages and levels of living among American and European workers. Later studies, made in 1903 and 1918, were undertaken to secure weights for indexes of the cost of food and other goods purchased by families of wage earners and salaried workers.

The 1918 survey in which data were obtained from 12,096 families at 7 different income levels has supplied the weights for the cost of living indexes published currently by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Since 1918, however, consumer purchasing habits and the articles available in the retail market have greatily changed. Some goods which were not widely available in 1917-18 are now purchased regularly by families of low and moderate income. Some have decreased in relative importance, and some have disappeared from the market. Studies made by various agencies since 1918 have indicated the importance of these shifts but have been neither sufficiently extensive nor coordinated to provide the material needed either for revising the Bureau's cost of living indexes or for giving a comprehensive picture of the living of the wage-earner and clerical groups.

This study is part of an investigation of the money disbursements of wage earners and clerical workers in the larger cities of the United States which was undertaken by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the fall of 1934, primarily for the purpose of bringing up to date the items to be priced and the quantity weights to be used in computing its indexes of changes in living costs.

The data in this bulletin are based on the actual living of 997 families which cooperated in the study in New York City. In addition to throwing light upon the incomes of the families covered, the data portray their expenditures for food, clothing, house furnishings, medical care, and the other items that enter into family living. They also throw light on the amount and kind of goods and services that are purchased by the wage-earner and clerical groups in New York City.

This survey was made in cooperation with the Russell Sage Foundation, the New York State Temporary Relief Administration, and the Works Progress Administration. Mr. Ralph Hurlin and Miss Margaret Hogg, of the Russell Sage Foundation, assisted in choosing the sample.

Isador Lubin, Commissioner of Labor Statistics.

[^0]
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## United States Bureau of Labor Statistics

## Money Disbursements of Wage Earners and Clerical Workers in New York City, 1934-36

## Introduction

The data collected in the present investigation portray the levels at which employed wage earners and clerical workers are living at the present time in terms of their annual purchases of goods and services. The differences shown between the figures on average family expenditures in New York City and in the other cities reflect differences in the money incomes of the wage earner and clerical groups in those communities, in consumption habits, and in family size and composition, as well as differences in price levels. They do not measure differances in living costs as between communities.

The results of this investigation must be distinguished from those obtained by pricing a hypothetical budget to secure the cost of a previously defined standard of living. ${ }^{1}$ The investigators who participated in the present study were sent not to stores to price a predetermined list of goods and services, but to families who were willing to give the detailed facts concerning their incomes and expenditures.

Although the primary purpose of the present investigation was to ascertain the actual level of living of families in Now York City, some of the data obtained afford a basis for evaluating the adequacy of the living of the workers who cooperated in furnishing information for the investigation. A detailed comparison has not been made, however, between the goods currently purchased by the families studied and the goodsincluded in budgets estimating the amounts needed for maintaining healthy family life.

The terms "level of living" and "plane of living" have been used to describe the actual economic status of the families studied. This actual way of living is distinguished from their "standard of living," the type of living which they regard as normal and proper, or from a "norm of living" established by a group or an agency as adequate or suitable for certain purposes. The term "standard of living" is

[^1]sometimes used to mean not only the manner of living regarded as proper and suitable by the families themselves, but that recommended by a group or an agency; it is further used to mean the way the families actually are living. This triple usage has been found to be confusing, and on that account the use of the term "standard of living" in this publication is restricted to its primary meaning as a standard. "Planes of living," the subject of this report, have been distinguished both from standards of the families themselves and from norms or budgets set by agencies or groups.

The area covered was limited to the five boroughs of New York City. The data obtained from each family apply to 12 continuous months within the period 1934-36. ${ }^{2}$

The families studied in this investigation were chosen to represent in cross section the expenditures of families of employed wage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers in New York City. The families to be interviewed in the investigation were chosen by a random sampling method from the lists of employees on current pay rolls of employers also chosen at random. (See appendix D, p. 199.) The limited funds available made it necessary to confine this investigation to the income levels most representative of the groups for which the Bureau's cost of living index is computed. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The following criteria were used in the selection of families.

Each family had-

1. At least one wage earner or lower-salaried clerical worker who worked a minimum of 1,008 hours in 36 weeks (or 28 houra in each of 30 weeks if employed in a distinctly seasonal industry, such as the clothing and construction industries).
2. A minimum annual income during the schedule year of $\$ 500$, of which at least $\$ 300$ was earned by one person.
3. No clerical worker in the family who earned over $\$ 2,000$ in the year covered by the schedule or $\$ 200$ in any one month of that year.
4. Not over 25 percent of total income from sources other than earnings (auch as rents, interest, or dividends). Receipts from boarders and lodgers were treated as earnings.
5. No income from direct relief or work relief at any time in the year covered by the schedule.

The group supplying the material on which this report is based includes families of all types except single-person families. ${ }^{*}$ Because

[^2]of limitation of funds, the Nation-wide survey of wage earners and clerical workers was not enlarged to include a study of the money disbursements of persons living alone, either as lodgers or as householders. But the present study in addition to covering families containing a husband and wife, also covers incomplete families of various types, such as two sisters or a widow and her children.

In this respect it differs from the prior investigation of family expenditures conducted by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in New York City for the year ending August 31, 1918, which was restricted to families having as a minimum "a husband and wife and at least one child, who is not a boarder or lodger." ${ }^{6}$ Since families of two persons constituted 25 percent of all the families of two or more persons in New York City according to the census of 1930 , it was decided not to restrict the present study in this way. Approximately half of the families from whom were obtained data in the present study in New York City were of the types studied in the 1917-18 investigation. The types of families contributing to the present report and not included in the 1918 study are as follows: Man and wife only, man and wife and other persons over 16 years of age, and families of adults not including a married couple. In order to facilitate comparison with the earlier study, a special summary of the income and expenditure data from families of the type studied for $1917-18$ is presented in this bulletin. The fundamental tabulations have been made in such a way that it will be possible to study separately the details of the expenditures of families of given types, should occasion arise.

It should be noted that the plan for the investigation did not provide . for holding constant the size of family at each income level. In any random sample of the population, or of any occupational group, size of family varies from income level to income level. Since the averages presented in this report are based upon the actual expenditures of a random sample of families of the wage-earner and clerical groups, wherever comparisons are made between the spending of families at different income levels, these differences in size of family must be taken into account.

For New York City a special analysis was made of the expenditures of three family types at different income levels. (See text table 2, p. 18 and Tabular Summary, table 24, p. 171.)

[^3]Part I.-White Families

## Chapter 1

# Income Level and Money Disbursements 

Family Income

The occupations of the chief earners in the 897 white families cooperating in the investigation in New York City varied as widely as the types of industry and business which make up the working day about New York harbor. They included unskilled workers in a paper manufacturing company, a coal yard, a chemical plant, in warehouses and docks, and on the lighters which carry freight across the Hudson and East Rivers. Others of the chief earners were semiskilled workers, machine tenders in manufacturing industries, operatives in men's clothing factories and in a large number of industries which carry on the services which keep the metropolis in order, bus drivers, deliverymen, telephone linemen, and cable splicers. The work of the skilled was even more varied. There were carpenters, masons, painters, electricians, lithographers, typesetters, a die maker in an aeroplane parts manufacturing company, sand blasters, and stationary engineers. There were clerical workers from offices, from telephone owitchboards, and from retail and wholesale stores. ${ }^{1}$

The type of work secured by the family's chief earner was not by any means the final factor in determining its income. The number of earners in the family, their ability and skill, and the regularity with which they found employment were almost equally important in determining the total family income. One-third of the families of skilled workers reported incomes over $\$ 2,100$, while one-quarter of the families of clerical workers and one-fifth of the families of both semiskilled and unskilled workers reported incomes above this level.

[^4]The money incomes of all the families studied ranged from $\$ 510$ (close to the lower limit set by the plan of the investigation) to $\$ 4,524$, the income received by the family of a widow with five gainfully employed children who pooled their earnings. In this family, one son was a clerk in a wholesale jobbing house, another a runner in a stock brokerage house, a third was a clerk in the office of an insurance company, and the fourth an elevator boy in a hotel. The daughter was a stenographer. Half of the white families atudied had incomes less than $\$ 1,625$, and three-quarters less than $\$ 2,073$.

Family incomes averaged $\$ 1,745 .^{3}$ Of this amount the earnings of individuals accounted for $\$ 1,681$ per family, net earnings from keeping boarders and lodgers $\$ 28$, and income from all other sources $\$ 39$. Rent, interest, and dividends accounted for $\$ 11$ out of the $\$ 39$; pensions and insurance annuities, $\$ 10$; gifts from persons outside the family (chiefly relatives), $\$ 11$; and other miscellaneous sources, \$7. Business losses and expenses not deductible from earnings specified for the year covered by the schedule, but deducted from the total family income, averaged $\$ 3$. (See Tabular Summary, tables 2, 5, and 19.)


Throughout the entire income range there was a consistent increase in the number of gainful workers per family with increases in family income, and a consistent decrease in the percentage of total income contributed by the chief earner. At the lowest income level 96 percent

[^5]of the average family income was contributed by the chief earner; at the highest level, only 45 percent of total income came from the earnings of the chief earner and 52 percent from the earnings of supplementary earners. In each income group above $\$ 2,400$ there were on the average more than two earners per family. (See fig. 1 and table 1.)

Expressed somewhat differently, the 50 families with incomes of less than $\$ 900$ were in general those in which the family was almost totally dependent on a single worker with a relatively low annual income. There were only 12 of these families with supplementary earners and their earnings averaged $\$ 125$ for the year. On the other hand there were 131 families with incomes of more than $\$ 2,400$ a year. These comprised all families in which the chief earner made more than this amount, 24 in number, together with those in which there were more than 2 earners to bring up the family total. The annual earnings of the chief earner in these families averaged $\$ 741$ in the lowest income group and increased with each increase in family income level until they reached $\$ 1,749$ in the group with family incomes ranging from $\$ 2,400$ to $\$ 2,700$, and $\$ 1,989$ in the group with family incomes from $\$ 3,600$ to $\$ 3,900$. When the chief earners in the 897 families are ranked according to the amount of their annual earnings, half were found to earn more than $\$ 1,300$, half less. The highest annual family income reported was almost three times the middle family income, and the highest amount of annual earnings reported for any individual, the $\$ 3,380$ reported by an examiner in a sponge works, was slightly more than $2 \%$ times the median earnings of the chief earner.
Table 1.-Sources of family income among wage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers at successive income levels in 1 year during the period 193/-36

WHITE FAMILIES-NEW YORE CITY

| Income class | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { families } \end{aligned}$ | A verage net money incame ${ }^{1}$ | Average nituber of gainfut workers per family ${ }^{2}$ | Preentage af income from- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Earnings of chitet eaznes | Earnings of supple. mevtary earners ${ }^{2}$ | Other sources: |
| Families in survey. | 897 | 錝, 745 | 1.62 | 77.8 | 20.1 | 2.1 |
| Familiss with annual incomes of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500 to \$000 - . . . .-. -- -- -- | 50 | 773 | 1. 24 | 95.9 | 4.1 | 0 |
| \$9000 to \$1,200]. | 127 | 1,070 | 1. 33 | 80.0 | 9.3 | 1.7 |
| \$1,200 to \$1,500............ | 184 | 1,355 | 1. 43 | $8{ }^{8} .2$ | 10.7 | 2.1 |
| \$1,500 to \$1,800 ............-- | 199 | 1,644 | 1. 5 5 | 88.8 | 14. 1 | 3. 1 |
| \$1,800 to $\$ 2,100$ | 139 | 1,942 | 1. 60 | 81.3 | 17. 2 | 1. 3 |
| \$2,100 to \$2,400 | 78 | 2,228 | 1.67 | 72 | 20. 1 | 3.7 |
| \$2,400 to \$2,700.........--- | 52 | 2,535 | 2.06 | 69.0 | 29.3 | 1.7 |
| \$2,700 to \$3,060- | 35 | , ${ }_{3}, 846$ | 2.34 | ${ }^{60.6}$ | 38.3 | 1. 1 |
| \$3,000 to \$3,300. | 12 | 3,185 | 2888 | 52.6 | 43.7 | 3.5 |
| \$3,300 to 33,600....-------- | 13 | 3,438 | 2. 85 | 53.5 | 44.5 | 2.0 |
| \$3,600 to \$3,910...-........ |  | 3,719 | 3. 10 | 23. 5 | 44.8 | 1.7 |
| \$3,900 and over .-.-.-....... | 9 | 4, 184 | 3. 33 | 4E. 4 | 51.8 | 2.8 |

[^6]
## Current Expenditures of the Group as a Whole *

Food.
The data secured in all the cities covered by this investigation show that in spite of the decline in food prices which occurred between 1925 and 1934, expenditures for food continue to hold the central place in the spending pattern of moderate-income families. In all the groups studied, it has been found that the money spent for food accounts for a larger proportion of total current expenditures than any other item.

Among the white families from whom figures were obtained in New York City, the proportion spent for food was, on the average, larger than that found among families with comparable incomes in any of the other cities included in the investigation. In New York the proportion spent was 36.4 percent. Philadelphia ranked second with a percentage expenditure of 36.3 . These relatively high figures are due in part to the high cost of marketing food in these extensive and densely settled metropolitan areas, and in part to the expense involved in eating meals away from home. Most workers in very large urban centers necessarily travel long distances from home to job and are unable to return at the lunch hour. Some of them carry lunches prepared in the family kitchen, but many find the tin dinner pail, the paper bag, or even the more dignified lunch box and thermos bottle inconvenient. Out of 897 white families studied in New York, 629 reported expense for meals at work, and on the average such expenditures represented 10.8 percent of their total food expense.

## Housing.

Expenditures for housing come next in importance to food. The concentration of shipping, manufacturing, and trade around the harbor on which New York City is situated, combined with the limitation of usable land by the rivers and marshes, have brought about a competition for living space in this area not duplicated in any other part of the United States. The result is a level of rents

[^7]which taxes the expenditures, of families of all types, for relatively small dwellings. The fact that most New York City families live in apartments in buildings provided with central heating equipment, and that payments for heat are not segregated from payments for rent as such, makes it impossible to state exactly what percentage of current expenditures is accounted for by housing alone. Expenditures for housing, fuel, light, and refrigeration averaged 25.8 percent of total expenditures.

Nearly three-quarters of the white group studied lived in apartment buildings housing three or more families. More than one-half lived in apartments with central heat, where rental payments included the cost of heat. Approximately one-eighth of the group lived in houses which they owned, only 19 rented houses, and the rest ( 20 families) changed type of tenure or type of dwelling or both during the year. The 545 families renting apartments with heat covered by rental payments paid an average annual rent of $\$ 428$, and spent in addition $\$ 67$ for fuel, light, and refrigeration-an average of $\$ 495$ for an apartment with an average of four rooms. The families living in apartments where heat was not furnished by the landlord averaged $\$ 266$ for rent and $\$ 107$ for fuel, light, and refrigeration, an average of $\$ 373$ for apartments averaging $41 / 8$ rooms. Most of these apartments were in "Old Law Tenements" on Manhattan and in lower Brooklyn, and were heated by stoves. A few of the apartments for which the tenant provided the heat were in two-family flats in Queens and Brooklyn, frame buildings with separate furnaces for each family.

Families owning their homes averaged $\$ 348$ for current housing expenditures (taxes, repairs, fire insurance, interest on mortgages, and refinancing charges) and $\$ 167$ for fuel, light, and refrigeration, a total of $\$ 515$ for houses averaging six rooms. In addition, the homeowning families invested, on the average, $\$ 53$ in their homes, $\$ 34$ in reducing the principal of mortgages, and $\$ 19$ in permanent improvements. The imputed income from the equity of the 109 homeowning families in the houses they occupied may be computed by combining the data secured on rental value of the owned homes and on the current expenditures for housing of the home owners. Their estimates of the rental value of their dwellings averaged \$493. Subtracting average current expenditures (\$348) from the average rental value gives $\$ 145$ as the annual net income "in kind" from the money invested by the family in its home.

## Clothing.

Clothing expenditures came third in importance in the total expenditures of all the groups studied. The percentage of total current expenditures allotted to clothing did not vary as much from city to
city as the percentage spent for food, and the 11-percent average for the New York City families approximated the median for all cities.
Recreation.
The various items which have been grouped under the heading "Recreation" come next in the average expenditures of all the white families studied in New York City. In this group there have been included the recreational expenditures of families of all tastes. There are expenditures for movies, plays, concerts, lectures, baseball games, and other spectator sports, averaging $\$ 33$; equipment for sports, games, and pets averaging 110 ; for newspapers, magazines, and books averaging $\$ 21$; for tobacco $\$ 39$; and minor expenditures for clubs and other recreational associations, entertaining, and other items.

## Automobile transportation.

Expenditures for the purchase, operation, and maintenance of automobiles by wage earners and clerical workers in New York City are probably in large part for recreational purposes. The subway, trolley, and bus fares in the city are low, and the long distances and the traffic situation make driving to work impractical. Most families, however, keep no record of the amount of expense which should properly be assigned to the different purposes which the car serves, and no attempt was made to prorate total automobile expenditures among the various uses they served.
The proportion of total current expenditures devoted to automobiles was considerably smaller among these New York families than among those of employed wage earners and clerical workers in most of the other cities studied. In New York the percentage was 1.8 percent, as compared with a median of 6.4 percent for the groups studied in $\mathbf{4 2}$ cities and 11.2 for the group studied in Los Angeles, the city where the percentage for automobile expense was highest. Overnight parking in the streets is against municipal regulations in New York City and garage space is expensive.
Other items.
Expenditures for transportation of other types, for furnishings and equipment, household operation other than fuel, light, and refrigeration, for medical care, personal care, education, vocation, community welfare, and gifts and contributions to persons outside the families studied make up the balance of an average annual expenditure totaling \$1,839.

## Distribution of Expenditures at Successive Income Levels

 All family types combined (see Tabular Summary, table 6).For all items of expenditure, family outlays increase as incomes increase. Although the amounts spent for food, housing, and fuel, light, and refrigeration, and household operation were considerably
larger at the higher income levels than at the lower, in general the proportion spent for these items declines with increases in the family income, while that for personal care remains practically stationary. This decline in the proportion of total expenditures going to these essential items occurs in spite of the fact that families are larger at the higher income levels. It may be taken as a measure of the urgency of the wants which are not satisfied at all at the lower levels. The other groups of items covered by current family expenditures, excepting those for formal education, receive a correspondingly larger proportion of the total at the higher than at the lower income levels. The percentege spent for education fluctuates considerably with the number of persons of school age in the group.
The most striking increases in the proportion of expenditure at different income levels occur in the case of expenditures for furnishings and equipment, and for gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family, which increase from a fraction of 1 percent at the lowest-income level to 2.7 and 2.6 percent, respectively, at the highestincome level shown. Expenditures for transportation also increase markedly, from 3.3 to 7.0 percent.
Figure 2 shows the amount of total expenditures allocated to expenditures for food, housing plus fuel, light, and refrigeration, clothing, recreation, transportation, medical care, personal care, and gifts, by families in each income class. In preparing these figures accidental variations in average expenditures, due to the fact that it is impossible to survey all the families in each income class, have been eliminated. ${ }^{6}$

The prime importance of food and housing, followed closely by clothing, in family spending is clearly shown by this diagram. The bunching of the remaining items at the bottom of the chart brings into relief the inability of families at thess income levels to allot any considerable sums to items other than food, shelter, and clothing.

One of the most important aspects of the data on expenditures at different income levels is the variation in the relative change of expenditures for commodities and services of different types. This cannot be shown on the type of scale used in figure 2, which was designed to emphasize the absolute importance of the various items. In figure 3 the same lines are plotted on a logarithmic scale in order to show the relative increase in expenditures for each group of items from income level to income level. The relative change for food and for housing is small compared with that for clothing and for some of the other less important items of family expenditure. In general, expenditure for each group of items is greater at bigher income levels, although as shown in figure 3 the relative increase
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from one income group to another varies not only with item but with income level. The relative increase from lowest to intermediate income levels is greatest for personal care and for gifts and contributions, and least for clothing and miscellaneous items; for the latter category, expenditures were the same for the $\$ 600$ to $\$ 900$ as for the $\$ 900$ to $\$ 1,200$ group, but increased rapidly thereafter.

The difference between the shapes of the curve for clothing on one hand, and those for food and housing on the other, indicates that expenditures for clothing expand rapidly through all the income levels represented by this group. The relative increase is most pronounced between the $\$ 1,200$ income level and the $\$ 2,100$ level. This trend is due in part to the fact that there are more persons to clothe at the higher income levels, but it also appears, although to a lesser degree, when figures from families of one type are studied at different income levels.

The rapid increases in the proportions spent for furnishings and for gifts to persons outside the economic family in the income levels up to $\$ 1,800$ indicate that it is on these items that the families at the very low income levels economize the most.

## Separate family types.

Data on the expenditures of families of three different types shown in table 2 indicate that trends in expenditures from one income level to another are very similar no matter what the family composition. Differences in the distribution of expenditures by families of husband and wife only, husband and wife and one child, and husband and wife and two to four children, at successive income levels emphasize, however, the relationship between the number of persons in the family and its economic well-being. The changing pattern of expenditures turns on the increased expenditure for food. Thus, the average family of man and wife with an income of $\$ 600$ to $\$ 900$ allots 38 percent of its total expenditure to food; the average family of five, 48 percent. The amount of this increase indicates the small margin between the food expenditures necessary to meet the food standard of each group and the actual amount of money spent for food. Despite the fact that the food expenditures of families of five are $\$ 100$ to $\$ 225$ higher than those of families of two at the various income levels (a greater difference than appears for any other item or group of items), the food expenditures of the larger families are not as high relative to their food requirements as the expenditures of the families of two.

The imperative increase in food expenditures is so great that, at most income levels, adjustment is made in almost all other items of the family budget. The character of the adjustment varies from one income level to another. There seems to be a tendency for medical expenditures to take a greater proportion of the total spent by the
larger families at the lowest income levels shown, and about the same proportion in larger and smaller families at higher income levels. The percentage of total expenditures going to personal care at given income levels remains almost unchanged with increasing size of the family, seemingly indicating that one or another member, in addition to the father, can afford a visit to the beauty parlor or the barbershop.
At the lowest income level virtually all of the economy needed to meet the food bill is effected in the only other large item of expenditure, housing. The family of two with an income of $\$ 600$ to $\$ 900$ spends 36 percent of its total outlay for rent, fuel, light, and refrigeration, but the family of five spends only 28 percent-obviously securing poorer and more cramped quarters than are paid for by the man and wife with no children. Recreation is the only other item on which the larger family at this low-income level saves as much as $\$ 10$ over the family of man and wife. The larger families allotted only 4 percent of their total outlay to recreation expenditures; the smaller ones averaged almost 6 percent.
In all the income groups above $\$ 900$, the family of five tends to spend about the same amount for rent, fuel, light, and refrigeration, and also for household operation, as the man and wife of similar income. In other words, food is not acquired at the expense of shelter, but there is no margin until the highest income levels are reached to provide the increased facilities needed if the larger family is to be as well-housed as the smaller one.
Economies above the $\$ 900$ level in the larger family are effected primarily in clothing, furnishings and equipment, recreation, and transportation. It is only above $\$ 2,100$ that the family of five spent more for clothing than the man and wife of similar income.
The difficulty which the large family finds in making the dollars stretch to cover all requirements is reflected in the figures on surpluses and deficits for these groups. Whereas among the 2 -person families almost as many families (63) had surpluses for the year as had deficits (66), among the 5 -person families 84 ended the year in the red, as compared with only 57 which managed to have surpluses.

The average surpluses for families making net savings at the lower income levels were small, less than $\$ 100$ for the two-person and threeperson families up to the $\$ 1,500$ income level, and for the five-person families up to the $\$ 1,800$ income level. At higher income levels they showed a tendency to increase. There was a general though irregular tendency for the size of the surplus at a given income level to be largest for the husband-and-wife-only families and smallest for the five-person families. There was not such a clear tendency either for the average deficits among families having a net deficit to decrease in size at higher income levels or to be smaller among the smaller families.

Table 2.-Distribution of current expenditures by famities of selected types anong wage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers at successive income levels in 1 year during the period 1984-88

WHITE FAMILIES-NEW YORX CITY
[Renting families only]

| Item | $\underset{\text { familles }}{\text { All }}$ | Families with annual net fneome of- |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 900 \text { to } \\ \$ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 900 \text { to } \\ & \$ 1,200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,20010 \\ & \$ 1,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \$ 1,500 \\ \$ 1,800 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\$ 1.801 \text { ta }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,100 \mathrm{to} \\ & 82,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| Famify of man and stife <br> Number of fandilies $\qquad$ <br> Towal current expenditures. $\qquad$ <br> Fercentage of erpenditure forAll thems $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 184 \\ \$ 1.496 \end{gathered}$ | $18$ | $\mathbf{s f}^{\mathbf{s i}} \mathbf{2 1 2}$ |  | $\$ 4,06$ | $\$ 18.082$ | $10.125$ |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 160.0 | 100.0 |
| Food | 33.3 | 37.6 | 34.1 | 34.2 | 82.9 | 38.2 | \% 4 |
| Housing inchuding fuel Heht, and reiriceration | 28.6 | 36.4 | 31.8 | 29,0 | 28. | 24.9 | 24 |
| Other househola operation...-.-.-..- | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3. 8 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| Furnishings and equipmant | 3.7 | . 7 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 7.0 |
| Clothing. | 9.7 | 6.8 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 11.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Tecreation. | 6.4 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 6. 1 | \%. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 6.2 |
| Transpartation | 5.4 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 8.0 | 6.2 |
| Persomal care | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| Medical care | 3.7 | 24 | 3.1 | 3. | 3.4 | 1.0 | 8. 5 |
| Other t tems. | 3. 6 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 6.8 |
| Famity of man, wife, and i chtd <br> Number of families. <br> Total carrent expenditures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{s i .642}^{j 2!}$ | $\delta$ 583 | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \$ 1,223 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{s t}{\$ 1,4 \times 0}$ | $31$ | $\$ 2!$ | \$2, 17.5 |
| Percentage of expenditure forAll items. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 160.0 | 100.0 | 1660 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Food. | 36.1 | 41.0 | 37.2 | 37.9 | 34.0 | 38.5 | 34.6 |
| Housing, incluiting fuel, ight, and refrigeration | 27.3 | 32.3 | 31.0 | 28.7 | 2\%.3 | 34.9 | 2. |
| Other household operation......... | 3.3 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.8 | 3.8 |
| Furnishings and equipment. | 3.3 | . 5 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 2. |
| Clothing-. | 10.4 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 10.9 | 11.4 | 18.1 |
| Recreation | 5.8 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 6.8 | 7.2 |
| Transportation | 4.6 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4. 1 |
| Personal care. | 1. $\frac{1}{}$ | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 2.4 |
| Mediesl care | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.0 | \$. 6 | 3.4 |
| Other itemo | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 3.3 |
| Fomity of man, mffe, end 2 to 4 ehildren |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of tsmilies. $\qquad$ Toial current expandthres. | $\begin{aligned} & 148 \\ & 81,623 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 393 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ \$ 1,342 \end{gathered}$ | \$1,468 | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ \$ 1,711 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ \$ 1,800 \end{gathered}$ | $10$ |
| Percentage of expenditare fur- <br> All items. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 39.7 | 48.3 | 41.4 | 41.0 | 39.1 | 36.7 | 35.2 |
| Housing, Inclading fuel, Hght, and refriperation | 27.5 | 桝 | 31.4 | 29.2 | 23.8 | 2 c .4 |  |
| Other household operation-........ | 8.5 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 4.8 |
| Furnishings and equipment | 3.0 | . 1 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 3.0 |  |
| Clothing | 9.0 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 0.2 | 11.2 |
| Recrestlon. | 3.13.7 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 4.7 | \% 2 | \% 0 |
| Transpartation. |  |  | 3.1 | 29 | 1.8 | 2.81.8 |  |
| Personal care. | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 |  |  | 8. 2 |
| Medieal care. | 3.5 | 4.8 | 8.7 | 3.02.4 | 3.15 | 4.6 | 3.8 |
| Otbertioms | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Variation in money disbursements-all family types

Data presented in the Tabular Summary (table 24) show the extent to which, at each income level, the disbursements of individual families vary from average disbursements of all families at that level.

For each income group, the degree of rariation ${ }^{\text {a }}$ from family to family in the total expenditure for food and honsing (inchuding fuel, light, and refrigeration) is less than that in the amount spent for any other major group of items. The variability of expenditures for clothing, personal care, and recreation is about twice as high as that for food and housing, with that for household operation in the same vicinity. In most income groups the variations in expenditures for medical care, transportation, and furnishings and equipment are more than four times that of expenditures for food.

It is noteworthy that there is generally less variation as between the expenditures of families at higher-income levels than at lower. ${ }^{7}$ That is, while higher incomes open a wider range of choice to families, the families at the higher levels studied actually conform more nearly to type than those at the lower levels, at least as regards the major distribution of expenditures. This is especially true of expenditures for furnishings and equipment, for clothing, and for medical care. The very listing of items suggests the probable explanation: at the higher income levels studied, reasonably well-balanced living is possible. $\mathbf{A}$ family may, indeed, have a "pattern" of expenditure from year to year. At the lower level any substantial expenditure for one item in a given year must be accompanied by counter-balancing contraction elsewhere.

The one exception to the statement that as incomes rise there is increasing conformity to average expenditure occurs in the item of transportation. Here the explanation is clear. At low incomes very few families have automobiles. Variation is, therefore, figured for these few families from the norm for the group, together with variations in expenditures for other types of transportation. In the income groups from $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 2,400$ car ownership is still exceptional, but there are more cases that do not conform to the expenditure pattern of the income group. In other words, varisbility in expenditure pattern is greater. But above $\$ 2,400$, while great differences persist, there is somewhat less variance than at lower levels. In other words, in connection with the item of transportation, one traces part of the cycle of consumption of nonessentials and income in New Yort City: at the lowest levels enforced conformity to the minimum of expenditures for subway and bus fares; at slightly higher levels great variations from an average that may have little significance, representing

[^9]many cases of very small expenditures and a few cases of substantial expenditure. Increasingly, as income rises, the expenditures of individual families group themselves about the average.

The measures of variation for surplus and for deficit for those families having surpluses and those families having deficits, respectively, show the irregularity of the savings and deficits. From the sample of white families studied in New York City it becomes apparent that the amount of savings made or deficit incurred is dependent less upon family income or family size or both than upon the circumstances which the family experienced during the schedule year. It is possible that for a larger sample of families over a wider income range, more clear-cut relationships would appear and that the smallness of the sample may be more responsible for these findings than the absence of underlying tendencies.
Variation in money disbursements-families of selected types.
In general the expenditures by families of given types at each income level are more uniform than are expenditures for all families at that level. The greater homogeneity in needs of families of the same composition and same income readily explains this tendency. The trend in variability of expenditures at various income levels by families of a given type does not, in all cases, follow the pattern for all family types combined. Thus, whereas variability in transportation expenditures for families of husband and wife only increase markedly at higher income levels, probably showing the incidence of automobile purchases and pleasure trips, the variability in expenditures for transportation by families of husband, wife, and two to four children tends to decrease at higher income levels. Expenditures for food, housing (including fuel, light, and refrigeration) and other household operation by husband and wife only families tend to remain about the same or to increase slightly, as upper income levels are reached. The same is true, in general, of families of man, wife, and one child. The families with two to four children, however, follow the pattern for all families in decreased variability in expenditures for food and housing at higher income levels, but show a reverse trend in increased variability in expenditures for household operation other than fuel, light, and refrigeration.

The relationships in degree of variability found in expenditures for the principal commodity groups by families of all types combined were found to be generally the same in the expenditures by families of given types. For example, the variability of expenditures for clothing, personal care, and recreation were about twice as great as those for food and housing which showed the least variation, and half as great as those for medical care, transportation, and furnishings and equipment.

## Income Levels and Planes of Living

## Size and composition of family.

The amount of the family income and the number of persons in the family are almost equally important in determining the way the income is spent, and therefore it becomes of crucial importance to understand the family types which predominate at each income level among the families studied. ${ }^{8}$ At the lower end of the income scale both the relatively small number of families and the relatively small number of children in such independent families as were covered in this study is probably due to the selective effect of relief policy. ${ }^{\circ}$ As the lowest income level, these independent families averaged only 2.9 persons, while families on relief averaged 4.5 persons. This emphasizes the difficulty of supporting a family with several children in a period when opportunities for employment are limited, and accounts in part for the relatively small number of families in the sample with incomes of less than $\$ 900$.

In the higher-income groups the families studied were in general maturing families in which there were few young children and an increasing number of sons, daughters, or wives who were able to enter the labor market. When the families studied were sorted by family income, the number of persons over 16 years of age increases consistently with increases in the total income. Figure 4 shows size of family at different income levels and the consistent increase in the number of persons 16 years of age and over with increases in income. The change in the number of children under 16 years of age per family is less regular. The families with incomes from $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 2,400$ included on the average one child less than 16 years old. At the lower

[^10]and the higher income levels, the number is smaller. (See Tabular Summary, table 5, for data on which figure 4 is based.)

Planes of living determined by family size as well as income.
It is obvious that the plane at which a family lives is determined quite as much by the number, age, sex, and occupation of the persons. dependent on family income as by the size of the income. Since average size of family increases with increases in income in these two occupational groups, it is impossible to assume that the plane of living of the families is proportional to their incomes. An examination of the types of families represented at aach income level makes it evident that in any one income class there were included families with very different planes of living.


For example, families with incomes ranging from $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 1,800$ numbered 190, with an average income of $\$ 1,644$. Among them were 26 families of husband and wife only; 34 of husband, wife, and 1 child under 16 years of age; 17 including husband, wife, and 1 person 16 years of age or over; and 15 families of other types including 2 or 3 persons. This is a total of 92 families with not more than 3 persons living in relative comfort at this income level. In the same income class there were 98 families of 4 or more persons whose expenditures were much more restricted and whose plane of living was necessarily much lower than that of the families with only 3 members.

Since one of the primary purposes of the investigation was to determine the kind of living available to families at different economic levels, the detailed analysis of expenditures has been based upon a classification which takes into account not only the total amount of money available for family living, but also the composition of the family for which it was spent. The process of classifying families according to their economic level may be indicated from the case of two families, each spending $\$ 1,450$ during the schedule year. The first family consisted of a man of 40 working as a machine operator; his wife, 38 ; two sons, aged 15 and 6 ; and two daughters, aged 12 and 8 . In addition, the family was responsible during 6 months of the year for the total support of the wife's mother, who lived with the family during half the year. This family is regarded as consisting of $61 / 2$ equivalent full-time persons in the family. The second family consists of a man 27 , also a machine operator; his wife, 26; a daughter of 4 years; and an infant son 1 year old. This is a four-person family. The first family spent $\$ 725$ and the smaller family $\$ 780$ for all items other than food and clothing. There is not enough information available on the influence of age or sex on these general types of expenditure to improve upon the assumption that equal expenditures are incurred for each member of the family. The per capita expenditure per full-time equivalent person in the first family was $\$ 112$ as against $\$ 195$ in the second family for all items other than food and clothing. While the larger family spent 7 percent less on these items than the smaller, on a per capita basis its level was 43 percent below that of the smaller. In the case of food, studies of customary expenditures and of dietary needs have been made in sufficient detail to allow for the creation of scales of expenditure for each age, sex, and activity group in terms of the customary expenditures of an adult male employed full time. The scales adopted in this study indicate that the first family consisted of the equivalent of 5.5 adult males in the family for the full year. This unit will be subsequently referred to in the present study as "food-expenditure unit." The second family consisted of 2.9 foodexpenditure units. The first family spent $\$ 580$ per year for food, or the equivalent of $\$ 105$ per expenditure unit. The second family spent $\$ 500$, a substantially smaller proportion of its total income, but which amounted to $\$ 172$ per food-expenditure unit.

Finally, for clothing, it has been possible as a result of this study to derive a acale of customary expenditures as related to sex, age, and occupation. If the average expenditures of adult male wage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers between the ages of 21 and 35, inclusive, are regarded as equivalent to one clothing-expenditure unit, it has been found that the larger of the two families contains 4.0 clothing-expenditure units while the smaller family contains 2.6. The first family spent $\$ 145$ for clothing and the amaller family, $\$ 170$.

This was an average per clothing-expenditure unit of $\$ 36$ for the first family and $\$ 65$ for the second. The economic level of the first family has been measured by the sum of these three types of unit expenditure: $\$ 105$ for food, $\$ 36$ for clothing, and $\$ 112$ for all other items; total for the family, $\$ 253$ per expenditure unit. The smaller family, which it will be recalled had an identical income to spend, has not been classified with the larger family but rather with other families that had expenditure per unit of more than $\$ 400$ but less than $\$ 500$. In both cases, this means that these particular families are grouped as regards economic level with families whose incomes may be quite different. For example, a widow with one young child, earning $\$ 100$ a month, would be grouped with the smaller of the two families, as would also a very large family with an income of more than $\$ 3,000$.

In subsequent discussions in this bulletin, expenditures will be analyzed by economic level. Since most discussions of expenditures have been based upon differences in expenditure at various income levels, it may be convenient to translate the economic levels under discussion into equivalent total annual expenditures for the two families described above. On the basis of the scales used in this study, the first family is regarded as consisting of 5.7 expenditure units, while the second family consists of 3.36 or approximately 3.4 expenditure units. The $\$ 250$ economic level for the first family implies total annual expenditures, then, of $\$ 1,425$ and the $\$ 650$ level for the same family, total expenditures of $\$ 3,705$. The equivalence is shown in table 3.

Table 3.-Total expenditures of families of differeni size at given economic levels

| Economic level | Family of 5.7 expenditure units ${ }^{1}$ | Family of 3.4 expenditure units: | Family of 1.9 expenditure units ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ampunt spent per axpenditare unit: | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 853 \\ & 1,425 \\ & 1,005 \\ & 2655 \\ & 2,135 \\ & 3,708 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 810 \\ 850 \\ 1,100 \\ 1,850 \\ 1,870 \\ 3,210 \end{array}$ | 585 |
| \$150 ............... |  |  |  |
| \$250. |  |  | 476 |
| 350 |  |  | 805 |
| \$450. |  |  | 85 |
| *550. |  |  | 1,045 |
| \$850. |  |  | 1,285 |

FFamily consisted of a man, 40, worling bs a mschine oparator; his wife, 3s; two sons, aged 16 and e; and two daughters, aged 12 and 8 .
ifamily consisted of a man, 27 , working as a machlne oparator; his wife, 2f; a daughter, 4 years atd; and an inflant son, 118 years.
${ }^{2}$ Family consisted of man, 85 , working as a machine operntor; his wifo, 3 F .
The relationship between expenditures and the size and composition of families at successive income levels will be seen more clearly from table 4, which presents data at each income level for the 897 white families covered in the investigation. The average family expenditure at the highest income level shown is more than three times the average family expenditure at the lowest income level, but
the amount spent per expenditure unit at the highest income level is not quite twice the amount at the lowest income level. (See appendix G.)

Table 4.-Average amount spent per expenditure unit per year among wage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers at successive income lesels in 1 year during the period 1854-80

WHITE FAMILIES-NEW YORE CITY

| Ineome clasa | Average sleb of family in expenditure units | Averase sotal expenditure per tandily | Averge amount spent for food per foodexpenditure unit | Average smount spent for elathing per cothingexpenditure unit | Average amount spent for other items per parson | A. 7 erage amount spent for all items per expenditure unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All famllas.-- | 3.38 | \$1,839 | 3211 | 368 | \$285 | \$344 |
| Families Fith annual Incomen of - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500 to \$900.......... | 2.87 | 931 | 158 | 3 | 171 | 884 |
| \$900 to 31,200........ | 8.04 | 1,962 | 188 | 45 | 204 | 417 |
| \$1,200 to \$1,500...... | 3.08 | 1,463 | 194 | 50 | 229 | 475 |
| \$1,500 to \$1,800..... | 3.41 | 1,786 | 208 | 61 | 253 | 828 |
| 1, 1,800 to \$2,100..... | 249 | 2.013 | 223 | 71 | 281 | 576 |
| \$2,100 20 \$ $82.400 \ldots$ | 3.48 | 2230 | 241 | 8 | 816 | 34 |
| \$ 4000 to 72,700 | 4.06 | 2, 542 | 220 | \% | 311 | 688 |
| \$2,700 to 39,000 | 4. 18 | 2854 | 243 | 103 | 340 | 68 |
| \$3,000 and over....- | 450 | 8,438 | 274 | 105 | 877 | 76 |

## Order of Expenditures at Different Economic Levels ${ }^{10}$

At the lower spending levels there are a certain number of small families with very low inoomes, but more large families with incomes approaching the median. Families of these two different types are much nearer together as far as spending patterns are concerned than two families with the same money income, one of husband and wife only, the other including several children.

The 59 families at the lowest expenditure level shown in table 2 of the Tabular Summary averaged almost 6 persons. Their incomes everaged $\$ 1,206$, of which $\$ 993$ came from the earnings of the chief earner in the family. They devoted 45.6 percent of their total outlay to food and more than one-quarter ( 28.7 percent) to housing, fuel, light, and refrigeration, having thus only 26 percent left for clothing, other items of household operation, transportation, recreation, medical and personal care, and the other items which must be purchased in an urban community. The families with unit expenditure of. $\$ 900$ to $\$ 1,000$ included on the average not quite $2 \%$ persons per family. Their incomes averaged $\$ 2,165$ of which $\$ 1,675$ was contributed by the chief earner. They spent more than $2 \%$ times as much for food per food expenditure unit as the larger families at the lowest level, but the outlay represented only 31.9 percent of their total expenditure. Their expenditures for housing, fuel, light, and refrigeration amounted to $\$ 210$ per person, as compared with $\$ 62$ per person at the lowest level, but the percentage of the total devoted to housing at the highest

[^11]level was only 23.2 percent. The families at the highest level thus had 45 percent of their total expenditures yet to look forward to after food, housing, heat, light, and refrigeration bad been cared for.

If the families at the lower level were to spend at the rate of those at the high level of $\$ 944$ per unit, they would have spent on the average $\$ 4,833$ per family. From table 5 it will be seen that although the amount spent per family slightly more than doubles from the lowest to the highest economic level, the amounts spent per expenditure unit tripled for food, increased six-fold for clothing and seven-fold for "other items."

Tablin 5.-Average anount spent per expenditure unit per year among vage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers at successive economic levels

WHITE FAMILIES-NEW YORK CITY, 1034-30

| Economic leve! | Average size of family in expenditure ninits | Average total expenditure per family | Average amozint spant for food per food-expenditurs andt | Avergge amount spent for clothing per clothingexpenditure unit | Average amanat spent for otheritems par parson | Average amount epent for all items per expenditure wolt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All familles. . | 3.38 | \$1.839 | 3211 | 88 | 5285 | 384 |
| Families spending per expenditars unit per year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$300.........-- | 5. 16 | 1,288 | 118 | 24 | 101 | 248 |
| \$300 to \$400.......... | 420 | 1,471 | 163 | 39 | 159 | 348 |
| 3400 to $\$ 100 . . . . . . .$. | 3.75 | 1,684 | 188 | 51 | 210 | 461 |
| * 5000 to set00........... | 8.38 | 1,850 | 217 | 68 | 281 | 86 |
| \$800 to \$700........... | 2. 86 | 1,852 | 249 | 77 | 319 | 648 |
| 3700 to 3800 | 2.76 | 2,050 | 278 | 98 | 370 | 745 |
| \$800 to \$800.........-- | 2.61 | 2,209 | 294 | 111 | 436 | 848 |
| \$ $\$ 100$ to \$1,000........- | 2.50 | 2,360 | 318 | 114 | 811 | 04 |
| \$1,000 and over..... | 2. 16 | 2,641 | 350 | 147 | 720 | 1,223 |

Expenditures at two economic levels.
The distribution of total family expenditures by white families spending $\$ 200$ to $\$ 300$ per expenditure unit and by families spending $\$ 900$ to $\$ 1,000$ per expenditure unit given below (table 6 and fig. 5) shows the shift in consumer demand from one of the lowest planes at which independent families were found in New York City to the highest plane at which any considerable number of the families of the wageearner and clerical groups, as defined for this investigation, occurred. The greatest shift in the purchases of the white families occurred in the proportion of total funds spent for the purchase, operation, and upkeep of automobiles and motorcycles, which rose from fourteenth place at the lower expenditure level to fifth place at the higher level. This shift was accompanied by a change in the relative importance of expenditures for other means of transportation which dropped from fifth place at the lower level to ninth place at the higher level. Expenditures for personal care (barber, hairdresser, manicure, and toilet articles and preparations) which bear a very constant relation-

ship to total expenditures were found to take eighth place in relative importance among the expenditures of the white families on the lower plane, but eleventh place on the higher.

The relatively small expenditures for formal education at the higher expenditure level is explained by the type of families found at this level. The families in this group averaged 2.62 persons. The number of persons under 16 years old averaged only 0.35 per family and the number of persons gainfully employed at some time during the year averaged 1.51 per family.

Table 6.-Expenditures of wage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers in rank order at 2 different economic levels in 1 year durinp the period 1984-s6

WHITE FAMILIES-NEW YORE CITY

| Groaps of items | Rank onder of group expenditures |  | Percentsga of total axpenditures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Familles spanding per expenditure untt per yeer- |  |  |  |
|  | \$200-5300 | \$000-\$1,000 | 5700-5300 | 5000-81,000 |
| Food. | 1 | 1 | 45.8 | 31.9 |
| Housting ${ }^{1}$ | 2 |  | 28.7 | 23.2 |
| Clothing-..-- | 3 | 3 | 8.2 4.8 | 11.4 7.0 |
| Transportation other than antomobileand motorcycie.. | 8 | 8.5 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Household aparatian other than fuel, light, and refrls- |  |  |  |  |
| Medical carr. | 7 | 8.5 | 3.2 | 3.8 |
|  | 8 | $11^{3 .}$ | 2.2 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Fornishings and equipment. | 0 | 6 | 1.2 | 4.5 |
|  | 10 | 14 | .7 | . 8 |
| -utamobio and motoroycio purchase, oparation, and | 11 | 12 | .3 | 1.8 |
| malntenance. ......................................... | 13.5 |  | 1 |  |
| Offts and contributions. | 12 | 10 | .2 | 2.8 |
| Misceerlineous... | 18.5 | 13 | . ${ }^{2}$ | . 8 |

${ }^{1}$ Ineluding expenditures far fasl, ught, and refrigeration.

## Changes in Assets and Liabilities ${ }^{11}$

Changes in the assets and liabilities in the year covered in the present investigation were due in part to the general economic situation prevailing at the time. The period just prior to the survey had been one of intense anxiety during which there was no certainty as to what the future held in store. Most low and moderate income families had postponed, insofar as possible, all expenditures for furniture and durable equipment. It would appear that a number of them had managed even during the worst days of the depression to conserve small amounts of their past savings or their current income. By 1934, and more particularly by 1935 , anxiety with reference to the future was somewhat relieved, especially in the case of the group of families

[^12]covered by this investigation. Families without relatively steady employment and families having been on relief at any time during the year prior to the interview by the field agent were excluded from the survey. At least one earner in every family included in this study had had employment for the greater part of the year and it is natural to find them buying with a certain amount of optimism to make up for the enforced economies of the immediate past.

In the aggregate the current expenditures of the white families studied in New York City exceeded their current incomes by \$62,790, or an average of $\$ 70$ per family. (See Tabular Summary, table 4.)

The entire group reported increases in assets averaging \$124 per family, decreases in liabilities incurred before the beginning of the schedule year averaging \$17, decreases in assets averaging \$131, and increases in liabilities averaging $\$ 80 .^{12}$

In considering these figures, it is important to keep in mind the financing of the occasional large expenditure which must be made by every family, and the general level of income among families of wage eamers and clerical workers. Half the white families studied in New York had incomes less than $\$ 1,625$, one-fourth less than $\$ 1,295$. The purchase by a family at these income levels of a new living-room suite or an electric refrigerator for $\$ 150$, for example, must inevitably be financed in part by some means outside current income. It may be from past savings which have been set aside for this purpose, or from current borrowing. Using either method, the family will show an excess of current expenditures over current income in the particular year in which the extraordinary occasional purchase is made. There are in addition the extraordinary and emergency expenditures which must be financed outside current income; weddings, accidents, other illnees, funerals put a strain on the family purse, which must, in a large majority of cases, be met by deficit financing.

Undar normal circumstances it might be expected that exceptional outlays made in any one year by some families would balance accumulation made by other families, either in reducing the liabilities incurred for the expenditures of previous years, or in anticipetion of later withdrawals from savings.

Fifty percent of the white families covered in the present survey in New York City either just met their current expenses from income or accumulated a surplus. Forty-six percent reported savings, and 49.9 percent a deficit. The savings reported by families having a surplus

[^13]averaged $\$ 136$, the average deficit reported by families "going into the red" was almost twice as much, $\$ 265$.

Almost two-thirds of the deficits accumulated were met by withdrawals from previously accumulated assets. When the families are classified by income, it appears that aggregate savings exceeded aggregate deficits only after family income passed $\$ 2,100$. Below that level, although some families were able to make savings, they were more than outweighed at every income level by the larger number having deficits at the end of the year. The average net change in assets and liabilities for all families at the lowest income level, $\$ 500$ to $\$ 900$, was a deficit of $\$ 164$. This figure declined to an average deficit of $\$ 41$ in the $\$ 1,800$ to $\$ 2,100$ income group, changed to a surplus of $\$ 18$ in the $\$ 2,100$ to $\$ 2,400$ group and rose to a surplus of $\$ 264$ in the group of families with incomes of $\$ 3,600$ to $\$ 3,000$. The average deficit at the lowest income level was 21 percent of family income, whereas the average surplus at the highest income level was 6 percent.

When families are classified by the total amount spent per expenditure unit, ${ }^{13}$ net deficits are found at all economic levels. The data emphasize the fact that the economic level of a particular family in a given year is determined not only by its current income, but also by past savings, and ability to borrow. Families at the higher economic levels not only have larger incomes, but tend to have greater accumulations of past savings and correspondingly greater ability to borrow. (See table 7.) Consequently it is not surprising to find in a period when business recovery was still getting under way that families at the highest economic levels had not only the highest average incomes but the highest average net deficits, that is, decreases in assets and increases in liabilities.

The average deficit reported by families spending $\$ 600$ or more per expenditure unit is more than twice as large as that reported by families spending $\$ 400$ or less. This is due in part to the very nature of a classification of families by expenditures for goods and services. Of two families of exactly the same composition and the same income, the one making the larger savings will have the lower current expenditures. The higher average deficit of the two groups at the higher spending level was made possible by the greater average reserve among families in this group. Data given in table 8 show that families spending less than $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit drew almosi equally on previous savings and on credit to meet their deficits, Among families spending $\$ 600$ or more, withdrawals from savings were 89 percent larger than increases in debts.

[^14]Table $\%$-Percentage of families of wage carners and Lōper-salaried clerical toorkers having surplus and deficit and net change in assets and liabilities during the schedule year at auccessive economic levels

WEITE FAMILIES-NEW YOEK CITY, 1834-38


Installment purchases were responsible for a relatively small proportion of the increases in liabilities of the families having deficits. (See table 8.) On the average, for all families, liabilities for the year's period were increased $\$ 3$ by purchases of automobiles on the installment plan, and $\$ 11$ by purchases of other goods by this method. It is of considerable interest, however, to observe that average increases in liabilities due to installment purchases were twice as great as decreases in liabilities of previous years due to the repayment of sums due on goods purchased by installments.

Tably 8.-Changes in assets and liabilities of families of wage earners and lowersalaried clerical workers during the sehedule year at successive sconomic levels

WHITE FAMILIER-NEW YORK CITY, 193438


I Avereges computed by dividing the total number of tomilies at ach erpendture laval into the ggeregate increases or decreases of the tamilies reporting subh increases or decreasee.

At the lowest economic level, the most frequently specified source of nonincome funds was money borrowed from individuals, while surrender of insurance policies and withdrawals from savings accounts
came next. At the highest economic level, the nonincome funds reported by the largest number of families were withdrawals from savings accounts, with borrowing from individuals coming second, net increase in installment-account obligations third, surrender of insurance policies fourth, and increase in debts to small-loan companies fifth. Money owed for "other debts," which consist of several components such as charge accounts, grocery bills, doctor's bills, etc., was excluded in making this comparison. (See Tabular Summary, table 4, the group spending less than $\$ 300$ and that spending $\$ 800$ or over per expenditure unit.)

On the other side of the balance sheet, families at the lowest economic level who were able to make savings reported payment of premiums on life-insurance policies far more frequently than any other item. ${ }^{14}$ Indeed, at every economic level studied, payment of lifeinsurance premiums constituted the outstanding form of saving. It accounted for 87 percent of total savings at the lowest economic level, and 61 percent at the highest level. The second most frequently reported type of savings by families at the lowest level was payment of installment accounts established prior to the schedule year, for purchases of furniture and all other goods except automobiles. Only three families (constituting 5 percent of all the families in the group) reported this last item, and other savings were small in amount for a wide variety of purposes.

At the highest economic level, the savings item reported most frequently next to life insurance was an increase in savings-bank accounts, reported by 20 percent of the families at this level, while the third item, payment on installment accounts for goods purchased prior to the schedule year, was reported by only 8 percent; 2 percent were paying installments due on automobiles, and 6 percent on furniture and other goods.

[^15]
## Chapter 2

## Expenditures for Specified Goods


#### Abstract

Food Annual food expenditures. The total amount spent for food per food-expenditure unit increased markedly with rise in economic level. As shown in table 5, families spending $\$ 1,000$ and over per expenditure unit for all kinds of consumers' goods spend almost three times as much for food per foodexpenditure unit as families spending less than $\$ 300$ per expenditure unit for all items.

In contrast to the increase in food expenditure per food-expenditure unit, the percentage of total expenditure allotted to food by families studied in 1934-36 declined rapidly with increase in economic level. The proportion of total expenditures devoted to purchases of food at the lowest economic level was 45.6 percent and at the highest was 27.1 percent. In terms of actual dollars spent, however, the average food expenditure per family was 25.1 percent higher at the upper level than at the lower level, where the average annual expenditure per family was $\$ 573$. The larger expenditure per family at the higher economic levels combined with the smaller average size of family results in diets considerably more varied and higher in nutritive content as the economic level of the families rises.

The increasing tendency among American families to eat away from home was found to be characteristic of these New York City families, particularly at the higher expenditure levels. Of each dollar spent for food only 78 cents at the highest economic level was used to purchase food to be prepared at home, while 91 cents at the lowest economic level was so spent. At each level, meals bought and eaten at work constituted the largest expenditure for food bought and eaten away from home. The average expenditure per family for this purpose was approximately three times as great at the highest economic level as at the lowest, where lunches consumed at work were frequently put up at home and carried to shop or factory. (See Tabular Summary, table 8.)


## Food expenditures in 1 week of the winter quarter.

Data on 194 separate foods purchased during 1 week in the winter quarter ${ }^{1}$ confirm the customary belief that not only is there a marked increase in average food expenditure with rise in economic level, but that the types and quantities of foods purchased are distinctly different at the various levels. The figures on the details of food purchases have been summarized to show average purchases by families at three different economic levels, those spending for all goods and services less than $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit per year, those spending $\$ 400$ to $\$ 600$, and those spending $\$ 600$ or more.

A comparison of the amounts apent for food per capita ${ }^{2}$ per week by families at these three expenditure levels shows the total expenditure at the highest level to be more than twice that at the lowest level. Families at the lowest level devoted a larger proportion of their total food expenditures to grain and milk products, and a lower proportion to meat and to miscellaneous foods. The differences in relative expenditures for milk, meat, and miscellaneous items are in part accounted for by the much larger proportion of children under 16 years of age at the lowest economic level than at the highest. (See Tabular Summary, table 2; and text table 9.)

The largest average expenditures per person for any individual item of food was for milk. Milk was also the item reported purchased by the largest number of families. In the upper and middle expenditure groups milk purchases were from 20 to 24 percent greater than in the lowest group. White bread and potatoes come next in order of frequency of purchase. However, the average quantity purchased per person of both these items declined with rise in economic level. On the other hand the quantity of butter, of lean meats, poultry, and seafood, of green and leafy vegetables, and of citrus fruits purchased per capita increased markedly with increases in the total amount spent per expenditure unit. The quantity of meat purchased per capita at the

[^16]
highest economic level was more than double that at the lowest Ievel shown; the quantity of green and leafy vegetables, and grapefruit, oranges, and lemons, more than $21 / 2$ times greater.

Even a preliminary review of the figures shows that the diets of the families at the highest economic level have on the average a considerably higher nutritive content than those at the lowest economic level shown. The quentities purchased per capita of foods rich in minerals and vitamins essential to growth and the maintenence of health are appreciably greater among the families at the higher levels of spending and show that as family resources increased, these New York workers were buying diets more nearly meeting nutritional needs of their families.

An estimate of the proportion of families spending enough to buy an adequate diet at each of these three economic levels shows a striking progression from the families spending less than $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit to those spending $\$ 600$ or more. The proportion rises from 50 percent at the lowest level to 94.7 percent at the intermediate level, and 99.7 percent at the highest level. ${ }^{8}$


[^17]In making these estimates, the cost of an adequate diet was calculated on the basis of average prices. It is, of course, possible to shop with care and buy at lower prices than these. A judicious selection of in-season fruits and vegetables and fish will lower the cost, but, on the other hand, to secure an adequate diet at the calculated cost requires extremely careful planning and food-consumption habits which follow nutritional needs very closely. The figures furnish, therefore, the basis for an estimate of the proportion of families spending enough to secure nutritionally adequate food (fig. 7); they do not, however, furnish information as to the proportion of families actually attaining adequate diets.

Pable 9.-Per capita expenditures for food among wage eamers and lower-salaried clerical eporkers at successive economic levels
WHITE FAMILIES-NEW YORE CITY, 1054-36

| Item | Avarage per capita expenditure in 1 weai in the minter quartar |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Allamilles | Families spending per expenditare unit por year for all goods and borvices |  |  |
|  |  | Undar \$400 | \$400 to \$600 | $\$ 600$ and over |
| Number of tamilles furnishiag data on food purchamed in winter guarter. | 356 | 75 | 148 | 133 |
| Total expenditure for- <br> All loodi. | \$9.3 | \$2.13 | 53.10 | 34 |
| Grain producta. | . 3 | . 37 | . 40 | . 61 |
| Ergs............. | .18 | .18 | . 17 | . 26 |
| Milk, cherse, tre oream. | . 48 | . 88 | . 47 | , 62 |
| Butter and cream.. | .19 | - 12 | , 18 | . 26 |
|  | . 09 | . 06 | . 09 | . 13 |
| Mest, poultry, ish, and other saatood............ | . 82 | .49 | . 88 | 1.35 |
| Vegtables end fruits.............-.................- | . 0 | . 42 | . 68 | . 3 |
|  | . 818 | . 12 | +.08 | . 12 |
|  | Percentage |  |  |  |
| Total axpenditure forAllfoods. | 100.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Craja prodicts. | 14.9 | 17.4 | 15.4 | 13.2 |
|  | 8.8 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 3.8 |
| MII, ohnese, 108 craam. | 14.0 | 17.8 | 14.7 | 11.2 |
| Buther mad oream... | 8.8 | 3.0 | 6.6 | 6.8 |
| Other fats....- | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Meat, poultry gish, and other seatood.........-- | 27.0 | 23.0 | 20.7 | 29.9 |
| Vergtables and truita. | 20. 1 | 13.8 | 20.7 | 20.1 |
| Susars and sweets. <br> Miscollaneous foods. | 2.4 7.8 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 88 |

## Housing facilities.

## Housing

Of the 897 white families cooperating in the investigation, 787 lived in rented dwellings at the end of the schedule year, and 635 lived in buildings housing three or more families.

Figures on the number of rooms in the dwelling unit and the number of persons in the household sharing such rooms (table 10) show that on the average it was not until families spent $\$ 400$ to $\$ 500$ per expenditure unit ${ }^{4}$ that they had one room or more per person, the rough standard usually accepted in the United States as indicating the minimum of necessary space. ${ }^{5}$ It is significant that among the home owners the average of one room or more per person was achieved at the $\$ 300$ to $\$ 400$ level. Even though the home owners had larger families than the renters, their houses were enough larger to more than make up for their large numbers of children. Among renters of unheated apartments this standard of one room or more per person was reached at the $\$ 400$ to $\$ 500$ level, and among renters of heated apartments not until the $\$ 500$ to $\$ 600$ level.

[^18]WHITE FAMILIES-NEW YORK CITY, 1034-86

| Sconomit level | Fome owners |  |  | Renters of heated apartments |  |  | Rentars of unhated apart-ments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average number of rooms in dwell ing unit | Average number of persons in housghold | Aversge number of per sens per room | Average number of rocms indwell ligg unit | Average number of pers sons in household | Average number of persons per 500m | Average number of roams indreiling nait | A verage number of per sons in house hold | Average number of persons per reom |
| Fgmilies spending per expenditure unit per year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\$ 3000$. | 6.25 | 7.28 | 1.18 | 4.29 | 8.17 | 1.21 | 4. 10 | 8.72 | 1. 40 |
| \$300 to \$400...- | 5.83 | 6. 11 | . 88 | 4.27 | 4.72 | 1.11 | 1.37 | 4.61 | 1.05 |
| \$400 to 3500. | 6.65 | 4.73 | . 78 | 4.21 | 4.23 | 1. 00 | 4.8 | 8.74 | . 88 |
| \$500 to $\$ 600$. | 6. 01 | 4.73 | . 80 | 3. 96 | 8.74 | . 94 | 436 | 3.29 | . 76 |
| \$000 to 51700. | 5.94 | 3.79 | . 64 | 8.90 | 3.11 | . 80 | 4.58 | 8.20 | . 70 |
| \$700 to \$800... | 6. 4 | 2.89 | . 8 | 4.02 | 3.21 | . 81 | 48 | 2.7 | . 5 |
| \$800 and over. | 6. 14 | 3.03 | . 50 | 3.60 | 2. 58 | . 71 | 40 | 2.36 | . 8 |

[^19] household for a year, and cropding mey have been greatar or less than the igures indicate at a specifc time.

There were a few families with as many as 2 persons to each room, but the highest average for any group was the average of 1.4 persong per room among renters of unheated apartments at the lowest economic level. The loweat average was 0.5 persons per room among home owners at the highest economic level." The premium on space in New York with the resultant curtailment of children's play and adults' hobbies is shown by the fact that only 10 percent of the renting group reported that their housing arrangements included any play space and that less than 8 percent reported garden space.

The proportion of very old buildings among those rented by the families studied may be judged by the fact that 24 percent (190) were without hot air, hot water, or steam heat, and had to depend on stoves for warmth in winter. Seven families had no toilet within the dwelling, and 78 shared the use of a toilet with another family. All these families had running water in their dwellings, but 45 lacked the facilities needed to give them hot water from kitchen or bathroom taps. Approximately nine-tenths of the renters had bathrooms within the dwelling unit All but two families had electricity for lighting but 16 had neither gas nor electricity for cooking and had to depend on coal or kerosene.

The dwellings occupied by the home-owning families were on the average larger and better equipped than those occupied by the renters. When the average expenditures of home-owning families for fuel, light, and refrigeration are added to the average estimated rental value of the owned homes, the total amounts to $\$ 660$, a substantially larger sum than the equivalent figure for renting families. Only 11 percent of the owned homes were without central heat, less than 4 percent without hot running water; all had flush toilets within the dwelling, and all but one family had bathrooms and sole use of the toilet. Four percent were without gas or electricity for cooking. About one-third of them hed telephones and one-half had a garage. Two-thirds reported having garden space, and two-fifths reported play space. The effectiveness of New York City tenement house legislation is attested by the fact that almost 100 percent of the families had inside flush toilets (seven renters had only outside flush toilets), the presence of inside running water in all dwellings, and the use of electric lights by all but two white families surveyed. Differences in adequacy of housing facilities of families at lower as compared with those at higher economio levels is, however, marked with respect to such items as electric or other automatic refrigerators. Only one-eighth of the renters and the home owners at the lowest economic level had mechanical refrigeration as compared with two-thirds of the renters and over half the home owners at the highest economic level. None of the families at

[^20]the lowest economic level had telephones, but one-fourth of the renters and one-third of the home owners at the highest economic level had telephone service in their homes. The proportion of families having central heating among renters was smallest at the lowest economic level and increased regularly, with one exception at the $\$ 700$ to $\$ 800$ level, up to the group spending at the highest level, namely $\$ 800$ and over per expenditure unit. Almost all of the home owners at the lower levels, and all but one of those at the highest levels, had central heating. The combination of inside fush toilet, running hot water, electric lights, and gas or electricity for cooking was reported by a steadily increasing proportion of renters from the lowest through the highest economic level distinguished and by all but seven of the home owners, these seven cases being scattered among families classified at four differeńt economic levels. Further description of the housing facilities enjoyed by New York white families studied are found in table 9 of the Tabular Summary.

## Housing expenditures."

Percentage of total expenditures.-As already mentioned when families are classified according to economic level, the proportion of total expenditures devoted to housing and fuel, light, and refrigeration combined shows a tendency to decline slightly with increasing economic level. Thus white families spending less than $\$ 300$ per expenditure unit per year in New York devoted 28.7 percent of total expenditure to these two items; in the group spending $\$ 500$ to $\$ 600$ per expenditure unit the percentage had declined to 25.6 percent; and among those families spending $\$ 1,000$ or over per expenditure unit the percentage had dropped to 22.5 percent of the total. (See Tabular Summary, table 3.)

Home owners.-Among the home owners, the estimated equity in owned homes ranged from $\$ 113$ in the group spending $\$ 800$ and over per expenditure unit per year to $\$ 203$ in the group spending from $\$ 500$ to $\$ 600$ per expenditure unit. This income "in kind" received from the use of the house for the year is the difference between the average estimated annual rental value of the house and the average amount of current expenditures actually paid out during the year for the family dwelling. Payment on principal of mortgage or a down payment on purchase of a house are not included as current expenditu re for housing but as investment, an addition to assets. Similarly expense for permanent improvements to a home, such as construction of an enclosed porch, on a house which had not had an enclosed porch before, has been treated as investment. The average amount paid by homeowning families during the year for investment in owned principal home showed no regular relationship to the level of current expenditures. This is partly explained by the small number of home owners,

[^21]only 39 out of the total of 109 , who made any improvements in their homes or reduced the principal during the course of the year.
The two families investing the largest and the smallest amounts in their homes occur at the lowest level of current expenditures. One family in this group invested $\$ 15$ in permanent improvements on owned home; another, a family of father and mother and eight children with a total income of $\$ 1,948$, spent $\$ 459$ for improvements on their home. This enterprising family estimated the annual rental value of its house at $\$ 360$. Its expenditures for upkeep of the dwelling ( $\$ 120$ for taxes and $\$ 135$ for interest on the mortgage) would indicate that the income "in kind" which it received from the use of the house for the year must have totaled only about $\$ 105$.
On the other hand, current expenditures for housing by home owners increased notably from lower to higher economic levels. Of current housing expenditures by home owners the greatest item was interest on mortgage; the second, taxes; and the third, repairs and replacements. Interest on mortgage took an average of $\$ 77$ for families at the lowest level and almost twice that amount at the highest level. Taxes took an average of $\$ 93$ for home owners spending under $\$ 300$ per expenditure unit per year, increasing irregularly to $\$ 140$ for the group spending $\$ 800$ and over per year. Repairs and replacements increased from $\$ 25$ for the group at the lowest level to $\$ 128$ for the group at the highest level. Fire insurance premium payments increased irregularly from an average of $\$ 5$ to $\$ 13$ among the group spending from $\$ 600$ to $\$ 700$ per expenditure unit par year. Liability insurance premiums were paid by a few families owning two-family or multiple-family dwellings and renting portions thereof to tenants." The average number of rooms per dwelling averaged approximatey six for home owners at all economic levels.

Renters.-Rents paid by white families who rented their hames for 12 months ahowed a fairly wide range. Among 749 renters of apartments in two-family houses or multiple dwellings, the concentration was in the $\$ 20$ to $\$ 40$ monthly-rent range, with somewhat more of them paying $\$ 30$ to $\$ 40$ than $\$ 20$ to $\$ 30$. These two rental groups accounted for over two-thirds of all apartment renters studied in Now York City. As many as 8 percent, however, were paying from $\$ 15$ to $\$ 20$ and 2 percent paid rents of less than $\$ 15$. At the higher rental ranges, there were 16 percent of the families paying between $\$ 40$ and $\$ 50$ and 5 percent paid more than $\$ 50$ per month. Renters of apartments with heat included in rent paid an average rental rate of $\$ 31$ par month at the leval spending under $\$ 300$ per expenditure

[^22]unit per year, the rate increasing to $\$ 40$ in the group spending $\$ 800$ and over per expenditure unit. For this expenditure they occupied apartments averaging slightly over four rooms at the three lowest economic levels and four rooms or slightly less at the four highest economic levels distinguished. As would be expected, renters of apartments with heat not included in rent averaged lower rentals at each economic level than was the case with renters of heated apartments. The families spending under $\$ 300$ per expenditure unit per year for all items paid on the average $\$ 19$ per month for unheated flats. The average rental figure increased slightly at each successive level to a high of $\$ 25$ in the group spending $\$ 700$ to $\$ 800$ per expenditure unit. Renters of such flats paid for repairs more frequently than did renters of heated apartments. Such expenses were incurred at every economic level.

Secondary housing.-None of the New York City white families studied paid room rent for children away at school, but 125 incurred expense for rent on vacation or trips, with a very marked increase in the proportion of such families spending for travel with increases in economic level. The average amounts spent for rent on vacation or trips also increased, with one exception, from lower to higher economic levels with an average expenditure of $\$ 34$ being reached for the families at the highest level taking such trips. Five families scattered from the lowest to the highest economic levels owned vacation homes and spent money for them during the year.

Expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration.-Since so many New York families live in heated apartments the item of fuel, light, and refrigeration when presented as an average for all families is somewhat lower than that found in many other cities, varying from $\$ 85$ for families spending from $\$ 500$ to $\$ 600$ per expenditure unit to $\$ 94$ for families spending from $\$ 700$ to $\$ 800$. The irregularity of the expenditure at different economic levels is a reflection not so much of differences in amount of fuel, light, and power consumed as of differences in arrangement for paying for heat, whether with rent or separately from rent. The item which shows the most marked increase from low to high economic levels is electricity, for which families spending under $\$ 300$ per expenditure unit per year paid on the average $\$ 25$, while those spending $\$ 800$ and over per expenditure unit paid $\$ 35$. For some of the families having electric refrigerators, expenditures for electricity covered current for refrigeration. For a small group refrigeration was included in rent. (See Tabular Summary, table 11.)

A more realistic picture of expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration is secured when the families studied are grouped according to whether they lived in houses (either owned or rented) and made payments for heat separately from rent, or in apartments with heat
included in rent, or in apartments with heat not included in rent. The level of expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration decreased for each of the three groups just distinguished, in the order named, with families living in houses spending from 47 to 58 percent more than families living in apartments with heat not included in rent and more than twice as much as families living in apartments not making payments for heat separately from rent. The larger expenditures by the families living in houses are in part accounted for by the fact that the houses were on the average one-third larger than the apartments.

When families in each of the three groups distinguished above are further subdivided according to economic level, expenditures for electricity are shown to be higher at the higher economic levels strikingly in the case of house dwellers, less strikingly in the case of families in apartments with heat not furnished with rent, and only irregularly in the case of families living in apartments paying for heat with rent. For those living in apartments where heat was furnished by the landlord, expenditures for gas remained relatively stable in the neighborhood of $\$ 23$ per family per year. Families in apartments where heat was not furnished with rent, when classified by economic level, reported annual expenditures for gas of $\$ 22$ at the lowest economic level, while at the highest levels distinguished, annual expenditures for gas averaged $\$ 28$. Gas expenditures by families living in houses likewise showed an irregular tendency to increase with increasing economic level, the high point being reached with average expenditures of $\$ 40$ per year for this item by families in the group spending $\$ 500$ to $\$ 600$ per expenditure unit per year for all items.

Expenditures for anthracite, the principal heating fuel purchased by families in New York, showed an irregular tendency to increase with economic level; house dwellers spent from $\$ 58$ to $\$ 76$ for this item, and renters of unheated apartments from $\$ 25$ to $\$ 39$. Expenditures for ice in all three of the housing groups distinguished showed no clear tendency to vary with economic level, and averaged from $\$ 10$ to $\$ 20$ per year.

Other items of household operation.-Expenses for items of household operation other than fuel, light, and refrigeration include water rent, telephone, domestic service, laundry sent out, and similar items. Expenditures for these things were definitely larger at the higher ecbnomic levels, representing an annual expenditure of $\$ 38$ among families spending under $\$ 300$ per expenditure unit per year for all items and $\$ 106$ at the level spending $\$ 800$ and over. The chief items in this increasing expense were those for laundry sent out which increased from $\$ 12$ at the lowest economic level distinguished to $\$ 42$ at the highest level; telephone, which increased markedly from lower
to higher economic levels; and part-time domestic service. For the latter item no expenditure was made at the lowest economic level but the families at the highest economic level spent, on the average, $\$ 12$ per year. (See Tabular Summary, table 12.)

## Furnishings and Equipment

Expenditures for furnishings and equipment showed a marked variation with economic level. ${ }^{8}$ This type of expenditures except for the few families just setting up housekeeping, represented additions to or replacement of rugs, curtains, dishes, silverware, furniture, and miscellaneous equipment. At the lowest economic level distinguished in the detailed tabulation for furnishings and equipment, only $\$ 17$ per year was spent for all such items, whereas families spending $\$ 600$ and over per expenditure unit allocated $\$ 69$ per year to purchases of furnishings and equipment. At the lower economic levels, the relatively greater urgency of the family demand for other items in the budget made it necessary to postpone replacements for a longer period of time and to make fewer and less expensive additions to household equipment. The items purchased by the largest portion of families at the lowest economic level were fundamentals of household equipment, electric light bulbs, brooms, brushes and mops, cotton towels, glassware, curtains and draperies, pots, pans and cutlery, and felt base floor coverings. At the highest economic level, the items purchased by the largest proportion of families included these same items, bulbs, brooms, brushes, and mops, but also included curtains, draperies, and sheets. Families at the highest level bought carpets or rugs relatively much more frequently than did families at the lowest level, and the same was true for living-room furniture suites, davenports, dressers, tables, and other pieces of furniture.

Of the various groups of expenditures coming under the general heading of expense for furnishings and equipment, expenditures for furniture increased most markedly from low to high economic levels, as indicated in table 11. When the average expenditure per family for living room suites is computed for those families buying living room suites, it appears that one family among the 194 families spending less than $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit for all items spent $\$ 136$ for furniture of this sort, 11 families out of the 331 spending $\$ 400$ to $\$ 600$ averaged $\$ 118$, and 16 families out of the 372 spending more than $\$ 600$ per expenditure unit spent an average of $\$ 165$.

[^23]| Table 11.-Average expenditures for furnishings earners and lower-salaried clerical workers at succes during the period 1934-s6 <br> white familiss-new yore | nd equip sive econo ITX | nent am ic levels | ng wage n 1 year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Familes spending per expenditure unit- |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \hline 1000 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\substack{1000 \\ 5600}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { skoo and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of famulies in survey ....-.............. | 194 | 331 | 7 |
| Total axpenditure for furnstings and equipment. | $\xrightarrow{\text { Doliaft }} 17.00$ | ${ }^{\text {Doturer }}$ |  |
| Turniturs. <br> Textlle furnishing <br> biver, ching, and glasswars. <br> Misbellazeous equipmere |  | $\begin{gathered} 13.08 \\ \hline 12.85 \\ 777 \\ 7.78 \\ 68 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure for furmishitgs and equipment. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pereent } \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\text {Percent }} \mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Furaltare | 2.8 | 32.9 | 37.8 |
|  | 2.8 | 1.88 | 1.6 |
|  | 17.4 | ${ }_{13.3}^{19.6}$ | ${ }_{14}^{18.5}$ |

Expenditures for textile furnishings were three times as high among families at the highest economic level as among those at the lowest. They did not, however, increase as rapidly as total expenditures for all furniture and equipment and assumed a relatively smaller place in the expenditure pattern at the highest than at the lowest level.

Expenditures for carpets and rugs increased sharply from the low to the higher economic levels, averaging only 30 cents for all families spending under $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit as against over $\$ 4$ for all families spending over $\$ 600$ per expenditure unit. When these averages are converted to averages per family buying carpets or rugs, wo find that 7 families at the lowest level averaged $\$ 9$ for rugs and carpets whereas 63 families at the highest level averaged $\$ 26$. On the other hand, for felt base floor coverings the average expenditure for families at the lowest economic level was a little over $\$ 1$, whereas that for families at the highest economic level was almost $\$ 2$. When these averages are converted to averages per family purchssing felt base floor covering, it appears that 32 families spending under $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit spent an average of $\$ 7$ for felt base coverings, while 73 families spending $\$ 600$ and over per expenditure unit averaged $\$ 0$ for this item. Thus for the more strictly utilitarian type of floor covering there appears much less difference in expenditure at various economic levels than was the case with rugs and carpets of other types.

After electric light bulbs, the most frequently purchased items of electrical equipment at the lowest economic level were electric irons, purchased by 10 families, and lamps purchased by 4. Of the families at the highest economic level, 46 families purchased lamps, 32 pur-
chased irons, 18 bought electric refrigerators, and 16 purchased toasters.

## Clothing

Total expenditure per family for clothing.
Expenditures for clothing are among the most elastic in the family budget. With increase in economic resources among the families of these two occupation groups, more and more of the family funds go to solve the problem of what to wear. In spite of the fact that the average number of persons per family is 43.3 percent smaller at the highest than at the lowest expenditure level for which detailed clothing expenditures have been computed, average clothing expenditures per family at the highest level are double those at the lowest." Total expenditures for clothing by families spending under $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit per year for all items averaged $\$ 128$, rose to $\$ 191$ for the group spending $\$ 400$ to $\$ 600$, and reached a high of $\$ 251$ for families spending $\$ 600$ and over per expenditure unit per year.

The universality of the custom of buying clothes ready to wear is indicated by the overwhelming proportion of total clothing expenditures going to purchase of ready-made clothing, dry cleaning, and accessories. Expenditures for yard goods and findings used for sewing garments at home averaged $\$ 2$ per family at the lowest economic level, $\$ 5$ at the next level, and $\$ 4$ at the highest economic level. Expenditures for paid help for sewing were reported by only 21 families.

Among families of wage earners and clerical workers the custom of exchanging gifts of clothing at Christmas or birthdays or other special occasions is quite extensive. Such gifts paid for from family funds and exchanged within the economic family were not recorded as gifts, but simply as clothing expenses of the family. If, however, gifts were received from persons outside the family circle, an attempt was made to ascertain their value. Sixty percent of the families at the lowest economic level, 56 percent of the families spending $\$ 400$ to $\$ 600$ per expenditure unit received clothing gifts, and 51 percent of the families at the highest economic level reported receiving such gifts. The values as reported averaged from $\$ 4$ to $\$ 6$ for all families at different economic levels, but es a large proportion of the families receiving gifts could not estimate the value of the items received, these figures do not give a complete account of the value of gifts of clothing.

## Clothing expenditures for men and boys.

The men and boys aged 18 years and over in the white families in New York purchased, or their wives purchased for them, clothing of

[^24]an average annual value of $\$ 27$ per person at the lowest economic level shown for the detailed clothing tabulation, $\$ 46$ per person at the next level, and $\$ 82$ st the highest level shown. At the lowest economic level, annual clothing expenditures for boys were not very much smaller than for men. Indeed, the average for boys 12 through 17 years old was $\$ 2$ more, $\$ 29$, and that for boys aged 6 through 11, only $\$ 4$ less, $\$ 23$. Apparently at these age groups, the hazards to which the boys' clothes are subjected make up for the slightly lower cost per article. For little boys aged 2 through 5 , clothes at the lowest economic level averaged somewhat less, only $\$ 15$ per year. At the intermediate level, clothing expenditures for boys aged 12 through 17 were again approximately the same as those for their elders, \$47, but those for the two groups younger were distinctly less, \$35 and $\$ 22$. The pattern at the highest economic level for which separate figures are available is similar to that at the intermediste level: the average expenditure for boys 12 through 17 years old was $\$ 81$; for those 6 through 11 years old, $\$ 51$; and for those 2 through 5 years old, $\$ 40$.

Table 12.-Distribution of annual clothing expenditures for individuals in families at successive economic levels

MRN AND ROTS
WHITE PAMILIES-NEW YORK CITY, 1934-36

| Sex, age croup, and type of clothing | Clothing axpenditures par person in- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [ Antint | Familias spending per expenditure unt per year- |  |  | An | Fanilles spanding per expenditure unit pex year- |  |  |
|  |  | Under $\$ 100$ | ${ }_{\$ 600}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5000 \\ & \operatorname{sad} \\ & \text { aver } \end{aligned}$ |  | Uader 3400 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \text { to } \\ & \$ 600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 800 \\ & \text { and } \end{aligned}$ Over |
| Men and hoys 18 and over: Hesdwar. | 0206 | \$1.28 | \$2.31 | *3.88 | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Pereent } \\ 4.8 \end{array}\right]$ | Percent 4.8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Porcent } \\ \text { 5. } 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ 4.0 \end{array}$ |
| Outerwear. | 27.40 | 11.86 | 22.18 | 4208 | 49.4 | 41.5 | 480 | \%1. 2 |
| Underwear | 8.98 | 2.13 | 8.43 | 8. 6 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 6.9 |
| Footwear. | 18.67 | 8.44 | 11.81 | 18.76 | 2. 6 | 31.6 | 25.6 | 82.8 |
| Miscellareous ftems | 7.74 | 2.95 | 6.47 | 11.89 | 14.0 | 11.1 | 14.0 | 14.5 |
| Total. | 86.48 | 26.46 | 42.20 | 82.20 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Boya 12 through 17: Headretar | . 89 | 40 | . 85 | 1. 50 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 20 | 1.9 |
| Outerwar | 28.67 | 18.80 | 23. 90 | 43.24 | 80.7 | 47.9 | 50.0 | 53.8 |
| Tndermeat | 295 | 1.91 | 3. 28 | 5. 07 | 6.6 | 6. 6 | 6.9 | 8.3 |
| Footwear. | 18. 14 | 11.19 | 15.84 | 28.84 | 33.8 | 58.6 | 33.5 | 28.6 |
| Miscellaneous foms. | 3.12 | 1.88 | 8.32 | 6. $0^{2}$ | 7.0 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 8.8 |
| Total | 44.71 | \%s. 88 | 43.24 | 80.57 | 160.0 | 120.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Boys 6 through 11: Head wrear | . 81 | . 84 | . 78 | 1.00 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 20 |
| Outerwear. | 12.81 | 8.16 | 14\% | 22. 25 | 40.0 | 38.8 | 40.8 | 44.0 |
| Underwest | 2.65 | 2. 22 | 20 | 4.48 | 88 | 7.5 | Q 4 | 8. |
| - Footwear. | 14. 50 | 11.87 | 15. 65 | ${ }_{3} 3.14$ | 43.2 | 51.4 | 4.9 | 29.8 |
| Miscelitneous items | 1.47 | . 72 | 1.87 | 260 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 6.3 |
| Tota | 3204 | 22.81 | 35.49 | 30.58 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Bogs 2 through 8: Headwber. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Outerwear. | $\mathrm{c}_{2} 15$ | 4 | 8.20 | 18.06 | 3. 8.5 | 82.0 | 870 | 1.1 |
| Tinderwear | 236 | 1.56 | 231 | 4. 55 | 10.7 | Lit | 10.5 | 11.8 |
| Footrear. | 10.40 | 8. 07 | 12.41 | 1. 14 | 4.0 | 53.0 | \% 7.0 | 40.8 |
| Misceliapous itoms. | 1.04 | . 11 | . 71 | 3.02 | 47 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 7.8 |
| Total. | 228 | 14.96 | 21.64 | 60. 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

When the clothing expenditures of the men and boys are summarized according to expenditures for headwear, outerwear and underwear, footwear, and miscellaneous items, it appears that for the group as a whole outerwear (coats, suits, and shirts) and footwear absorbed from 74 to 85 percent of the total clothing expenditure at different age groups. (See table 12.) Among the men and boys 18 years of age and older, on the average 49 percent of the total outlay went to purchase outerwear and 25 percent, footwear. The proportions changed in the younger age groups until among litile boys 2 to 5 years old 47 percent of the total went to footwear, and 37 percent to outerwear.

The relative importance of these two groups of items changed from one economic level to another for a given age group. As the family resources increased, the proportion of the total clothing expenditures for men and boys going to shoes declined, although the average dollar expenditures for shoes of different types increased. With the decline in the relative amount spent for footwear, there were corresponding increases in relative expenditure for onterwear and for miscellaneous items. The most important of the expenditures included in the miscellaneous group were those for ties which averaged $\$ 1.87$ per year for all men and boys 18 years and over, and expenses for cleaning and repairing which averaged $\$ 3.18$.

Shoes were purchased by a larger proportion of men and boys in all circumstances and in all age groups, than any other single article of clothing. Seventy-six percent of the men at the lowest economic level bought street shoes, averaging 1.4 pairs per man purchasing, at an average price of approximately $\$ 3.50$ per pair; whereas at the highest economic level, 92 percent of the men bought street shoes, averaging 2.0 pairs per man purchasing, at an average price of slightly over $\$ 4.50$ per pair. Work shoes were purchased by 12 percent of the men at the lowest level and by 20 percent at the highest.

Nearly half of the men at the lowest level bought an average of 3.9 ties at an average price of 38 cents, while at the highest level 76 percent of the men bought an average of 6.5 ties at an average price of 59 cents.

Purchases of overcoats during the year were made by only one out of eight men at the lowest economic level, by one out of six men at the intermediate level, and by two out of seven men at the highest level. That is, the average man in families at the highest economic level purchased a new overcoat once in $31 /$ years; at the lowest economic level shown he waited 8 years for a new coat. The average price paid for these coats was $\$ 26$ at the highest level and $\$ 19$ at the lowest level. The man at the lowest economic level got a new heavy wool suit once in 7 years, at the intermediate level almost once in 3 years, and at the highest level once in 2 years. The average price

paid for these suits did not vary greatly. It was $\$ 21$ at the lowest level and $\$ 26$ at the highest. A new lightweight wool suit was purchased, on the average, once in 12 years at an average price of $\$ 17$ by the average man in families at the lowest economic level, whereas such suits were purchased on the average once in 3 years at an average price of $\$ 23$ by men in families at the highest economic level.
Clothing expenditures for women and girls.
Women and girls 12 years of age and older spent more for clothing than men and boys in the same age groups at each of the three economic levels for which separate figures have been computed. At the three economic levels shown, the annual expenditures of the women and girls 18 years of age and over avaraged $\$ 31, \$ 59$, and $\$ 104$ respectively. Those for girls 12 through 17 at each economic level averaged approximately the same as those for their mothers and sisters, $\$ 30$, \$52, and \$107. For the two age groups next younger, average expenditures at each level were distinctly less, and somewhat lower than those for boys in the same age group and in comparable circumstances. For girls 6 through 11 years old the average expenditures were $\$ 15, \$ 32$, and $\$ 43$, and for little girls 2 through 5 years old, $\$ 10$, $\$ 21$, and $\$ 43$.

The summary of the clothing expenditures of women and girls by type of clothing purchased, shows a distribution similar to that for the clothing expenditures of men and boys. When clothing expenditures for women and girls are classified within age groups by type of item, it is seen that outerwear and footwear together accounted for over 72 percent of total elothing expenditures in each of the age groups (table 13). The proportion was highest among girls aged 6 through 11 years, whose expenditures for footwear rose to 46 percent of their total clothing expenditures. When the total for headwear is added, the proportion spent for headwear, outerwear, and footwear combined varied from 78 percent for women 18 and over to 84 percent for girls aged 11 through 16. Underwear accounted for 16 percent of total expenditure in the age group of girls 2 through 5 , and 12 in the group of women aged 18 and over. Miscellaneous expenditures including accessories represented less than 10 percent of the total in each age group.

Table 13．－Distribution of annual clothing expenditures for individuals in families at successive economic levels
WOMEN，GIRLS，AND INFANTS
WHITE FAMILIES－NEW YORK CITY，IRSH－36

| Sex，age group，and type of clothing | Clothing expendture per person in－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | An | Families spending per expenditure unit par year－ |  |  | An | Fanilites spending per expenditurs unit par： year－ |  |  |
|  |  | Upder $\$ 400$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 8000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { and } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { overer } \end{aligned}$ |  | Under 8100 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ 5800 \end{gathered}$ | 5000 and over |
| Womon 18 years and over： <br> Headwear | ＊． 11 | \＄1． 76 | 59．60 | 58． 22 | $\left\|\begin{array}{rrr\|} \hline \end{array}\right\|$ | Percent 6.6 | Perent 6.1 | Percont <br> 6.0 |
| Outerwar．－ | 28.94 | 9． 72 | 22.44 | 42.85 | 88.0 | 31.4 | 37.7 | 41.2 |
| Underwear | 8.50 | 2． 67 | 3.21 | 12.91 | 12．3 | 11.8 | 12， 1 | 12. |
| Footwear． | 22.88 | 13．68 | 22． 10 | 81.01 | 33.2 | 44.0 | 35.8 | 29.8 |
| Miscellansous itemb | 0.62 | 2.24 | 8.12 | 11.00 | 9.6 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 10． |
| Total． | 8刀． 15 | 81.01 | 38.47 | 103.99 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Qiris 12 through 17： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outerwear．．．． | 18．73 | 9．124 | 2． 31 | 54．68 | 1．68 | 8.8 | 4.4 | 8.3 |
| Onderwear | 6． 12 | 2.72 | 5． 01 | 10．80 | 10.3 | 0.1 | 11.8 | 10.0 |
| Footwrar． | 16.80 | 14． 33 | 20.38 | 31.00 | 39.6 | 48.7 | 38.0 | 31.8 |
| Miscellanmous jtama | 8.98 | 1． 60 | \％． 83 | 11.69 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 7.5 | 11.1 |
| Total | 49．88 | 29.81 | 32． 27 | 100． 73 | 1000 | 100.0 | 100．0 | 100.0 |
| Girle 6 through 11： Headmear | 62 | ． 27 | ． 70 | 1.60 |  |  |  |  |
| Outarpear． | ${ }^{2} 09$ | 8.29 | 11.60 | 1．010 | 35.2 | 34.8 | 38.8 | 85.0 |
| Vnderwax | 2.75 | 1.71 | 8.18 | 4．1980 | 10.7 | 11.3 | 9.7 | 11.7 |
| Footwear－．．．．．．．． | 11． 96 | 7.41 | 13． 02 | 18． 14 | 4.4 | 48．8 | 46.8 | 12.8 |
| Miscollaneous itam | 1.37 | ． 49 | 1.76 | 8.00 | 5． 3 | 3.2 | 8.8 | 7.8 |
| Total． | 25．70 | 13． 17 | 32.11 | 42.62 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Qurls 2 through 8： Headwear． | 44 |  |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |
| Outarwear．－ | 8.88 | 3． 21 | \％ 8.48 | 19． 69 | 38.0 | 30.5 | 37．8 | 4． |
| Underwear | 3.47 | 1． 14 | 3.8 | 6． 66 | 18． 0 | 18.7 | 17.1 | 18.8 |
| Footwear．．． | 8.80 | 8.4 | 8.32 |  | 58． 2 | 51.9 | 00.4 | 81.6 |
| Miscellancous itams． | ． 08 | ． 28 | ． 70 | 2． 43 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
| Total | 22.25 | 10.49 | 20.62 | 走，时 | 100.0 | 1020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Intanta： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| gesdwrear． | ． 38 | ． 88 | ． 35 | ． 63 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 9.4 | 3.8 |
| Outarment－ | 9．42 | 1.81 | 3． 26 | 8． 72 | 31.4 | 38.1 | 33.8 | 32． 2 |
| Underwear | 2.81 | 1． 54 | 2.18 | \％ 22 | 25.8 | 24.8 | 21.2 | 20.8 |
| Footwear ．－．． | 2． <br> 1.65 <br> 108 | 222 .56 | 2.88 1.61 | 8． 817 | 25．7 14.1 | 38.8 0.0 | 27．78 | 10．7 |
| Total． | 10.88 | 6． 21 | 10.21 | 17.80 | 100.0 | 1000 | 100.0 | 1000 |

When the data are classified by the economic level of the families of which these women and girls were a part，the relative importance of some of the clothing groups is found to be different at the suc－ cessive levels．Thus among women and girls aged 18 and over，the actual amounts and the proportion of total expenditures going to outerwear（including coats，suits，dresses，sweaters，and blouses）is higher at the higher economic levels．Expenditures for footwear （including shoes，hosiery，and rubbers）are higher in actual dollars
spent, but lower as percentages of total expenditure. Expenditures for headwear, underwear, and miscellaneous items are substantially higher in absolute amounts spent, and moderately larger in terms of proportion of total expenditure. Approximately the same trends were found among expenditures for girls in the younger age groups with the exception that dollar expenditures for outerwear for girls aged 6 through 11 were absolutely higher but about the same as a percentage of total expenditures for clothing. By virtue of the lower proportions spent for footwear and the higher proportions for outerwear, the relative positions of these two groups of items sometimes changed at the highest economic level as compared with the lowest. For example, footwear took the largest proportion and outerwear the second largest for women and girls aged 18 years and over at the lowest economic level, while for this same age group at the highest economic level outerwear was first and footwear second.

With women and girls as with men and boys, the item purchased most frequently by the largest proportion of persons was street shoes. However, among those of 18 years or more, at the intermediate and the highest economic levels, silk hose were purchased by a larger proportion than the proportion buying street shoes, and among girls 12 to 17 years old at the highest level of spending felt hats were purchased more frequently.

A new pair of street shoes was bought by the woman at the lowest level once every 8 months at an average price of $\$ 3.20$. At the intermediate level, the average price paid for street shoes was $\$ 3.95$, and at the highest economic level, $\$ 4.90$. Approximately 80 percent or more of the women at each economic level purchased street shoes during the schedule year.

Among little girls aged 2 to 5 at the highest level, new shoes were purchased for every child, while at the two lower levels only seveneighths of these children received new shoes.

The important part played by silk hose in the clothing of women 18 years of age and over is confirmed by the fact that at the lowest economic level 73 percent of the women purchased them while at the highest level 92 percent did so. Of the women purchasing silk hose at the lowest level each bought about 16 pairs at an average price of approximately 55 cents a pair during the schedule year, and at the highest level about 24 pairs at a price of 65 cents a pair.

Among families spending under $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit per year wamen purchased heavy winter coats at the rate of only one every 9 years, at the middle economic level one every 4 years, and at the highest level, i. e., among families spending $\$ 600$ and over per expenditure unit, about one every 3 years. Not only do the women at the lowest level purchase winter coats infrequently but the average price
paid per coat was approximately $\$ 20$. At the highest economic level the average price paid per winter coat was $\$ 36$.

Purchase of silk and rayon dresses was reported by 44 percent of the women at the lowest level, by 59 percent at the next economic level, and by over 77 percent at the highest. The women at the lowest level who actually purchased during the schedule year bought, on the average, 1.7 dresses at an average price of $\$ 5$. About 2.5 dresses at an average price of $\$ 5.40$ were bought by each of the women purchasing this item in the next economic group. But at the highest economic level the women purchasing bought an average of three silk and rayon dresses each. A greater proportion of the women were able to have silk and rayon dresses at this level and the average price paid per dress was $\$ 6.85$.

At none of the three levels did all women purchase a felt hat as often as once a year. At the lowest level a little more than 50 percent of the women bought a new felt hat, which means, on the average, a new felt hat every 2 years.

At each of the three levels the average expenditure per person for shoe repairs remained relatively constant. But expenditures for cleaning and repairing increased from the lowest to the highest economic level from 62 cents spent per person to $\$ 3.27$.

## Clothing expenditures for infants.

Average clothing expenditure per infant increased markedly with rise in economic level ranging from $\$ 6$ at the lowest to $\$ 18$ at the highest. When the expenditures are grouped according to type of item, outerwear, underwear, and footwear account for 89 percent of total expenditures at the lowest level and 81 percent at the highest. In general while the percentage spent for underwear and for outerwear rises with economic level, that for footwear decreases. Out of each dollar spent for clothing at the lowest level 25 cents was spent for shoes and 9 cents for dresses while at the highest level 14 cents and 12 cents respectively were spent. (See table 13.)
Occupational differences in the clothing expenditures of adults. "-
Expenditures for clothing by the adults included in the study were found to have been affected, to an important degree, by the occupation of the persons included in the study, as well as by the economic level of the family. Figures 3 and 4 have emphasized the fact that expenditure for clothing is one of the most elastic items in the family budget. The figures just presented show the striking increase in the average clothing expenditures of both men and women with improvement in the economic level of the family.

An analysis of the relative effect of occupation and social environment on clothing expenditures has been made for all white persons
studied in New York and 41 other cities combined. The total clothing expenditures of men and women engaged in clerical work were contrasted with the expenditures of those in manual work and those at home without gainful employment, and of boys and girls at school, after elimination of any effect upon those differences which might be due to differing incomes or family composition. (See appendix G, pp. 231 to 234.)

Among the employed workers, under 21, for both men and women, differences between average expenditures for clothing by wage earners and by clerical workers were negligible. At older ages, however, for both men and women, the expenditures of clerical workers were consistently greater than those of wage earners. The differences varied for men from 7 percent in the group aged 21 to 24 years to 15 percent in the group aged 27 to 30 . The greater expenditure by clerical workers than wage earners for clothing was less pronounced for women than for men up to the twenty-seventh year, but thereafter was much more pronounced for women, reaching a maximum difference of 44 percent in the age group 42 to 48 .

Average clothing expenditures of men and women at home without gainful employment were substantially lower thin those of employed individuals. Among the men, expenditures of clerical workers exceeded those of men at home by 38 percent or more for the groups aged 15 to 21, and by 100 percent or more for the groups aged 21 to 60. For women the comparable figures are somewhat less striking, 15 percent for the group aged 15 to 18 and from 52 to 78 percent for the groups from 18 to 60 years of age. The fact that it is more customary for adult women to be at home without gainful employment than for adult men, whereas most of the men found in this situation were involuntarily at home because of unemployment, probably explains this very low level of clothing expenditure for unemployed men at home.

Clothing expenditures for boys aged 15 to 21 at school were onefifth to one-fourth greater than those of unemployed boys at home of the same age, but were in turn exceeded by 13 percent or more by clothing expenditures of clerical workers in the same sex-age group. Girls at school aged 15 to 18 spent 7 percent more than girls at home, but girl clerical workers of that age spent 7 percent more than the school girls. At the next age level, 18 to 21 , girl clerical workers spent 25 percent more than school girls, who in turn spent 22 percent more than girls at home.

At every age level, in comparable occupational categories, the women spent more for clothing than did men, the average expenditure for women clerical workers aged 24 to 27 being 45 percent greater than that for men clerical workers of the same age, and the difference in the age group 36 to 42 for the same occupations being 57 percent.
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Women wage earners aged 24 to 27 spent 46 percent more for clothing than men wage earners of the same age and in the age group 36 to 42 the difference was 23 percent.

The relationships discussed in the foregoing paragraphs may be seen grapbically in figure A. ${ }^{10}$

## Recreation

As economic pressure for necessities is relieved not only do familics spend a larger number of dollars for recreation, but also a larger percentage of their total expenditures. Of the items included in this report under the heading "Recreation," the largest average " dollar expenditure went for tobacco, at every economic level except the highest, where expenditures for commercial entertainment (i. e., for plays, concerts, spectator sports, and movies) is slightly larger. However, the expenditures for tobacco represent a decreasing percentage of the total amount spent for recreation at the higher economic levels. Admission to moving-picture theaters constituted the second largest item, accounting for between 25 and 30 cents of each dollar spent for recreation at every economic level. The total amount spent for admission for adults increased threefold from the lowest economic level to the highest.

Newspapers purchased on the street constituted the bulk of the expenditures for reading, the third largest item of recreation. The average expenditure for reading successively increased at each economic level from $\$ 12.78$ to $\$ 26.37$. At the higher spending levels, however, expenditures for reading received a decreasing proportion of each dollar spent for recreation. The percentage declined from 22 at the lowest level, to 16. Even at the highest level of expenditures the amount spent for books (other than school texts) was insignificant.

Expenditures for recreational equipment, as musical instruments, radios, athletic supplies, children's play equipment, etc., increased with rise in economic level both in dollar value and in percentage of total recreation expenditures. At the lowest level about 3 out of 5 families owned radios while about 4 out of 5 families at upper levels enjoyed this form of entertainment. (See table 14.) That a relatively large percentage of families at lower levels purchased radios during the schedule year is probably accounted for by the fact that the prices of radios had not previously been within the buying range of these families.

[^25]TABLE 14:-Families of wage earners and lower-aalaried clerical workers purchasing radios and amounts paid for radios at successive economic levels in 1 year during the period 1934-s6

WHITE FAMILIES-NEW YORE CITY


## Transportation

Money for carfare is a necessary item in the family budget in New York City. At the lowest economic levels studied, ${ }^{12}$ expenditures for transportation averaged $\$ 45$ and represented 3.5 percent of total expenditures. At the higher economic levels, the average expenditure per family for all transporiation quadrupled, the mode of transportation changed, and travel emerged from a purely utilitarian service to a form of pleasure and recreation. The amount spent for trolley, bus, and subway fares, along with expenditures for automobiles made up the bulk of transportation expenditures. Average expenditures for trolley, bus, and subway fares increased from low to high economic levels, but at a rate far lower than the increase in automobile expenditures. As the economic level of the families studied rose, the proportion of total transportation expenditures spent for the purchase, operation, and maintenance of automobiles increased from 7 percent at the lowest level to 57 percent at the highest.

The increase in average amount spent for automobiles by all families at the poorest level of living to that spent by families at the highest (i. e., from $\$ 3$ to $\$ 99$ ) is a function not only of a larger number of families owning cars, but also to a greater use of those cars. In table 15 the percentage of families owning automobiles and the amount spent per family owning automobiles for maintenance and operation both show an increasing trend with rise in economic level.

[^26]Table 15.-Proportion of families owning automobiles and expenditures for automobile maintenance among wage earners and lower-salaried clerical teorkers af successive economic levels in 1 year during the period 1984-96

WHITE FAMILES-NEW YORK CTTY

| Eeonomie leval |  | Families owning atomobiles |  | A verage erpenditare for a ctomobile maintenance by families owning cars |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { Ner }}{\substack{\text { Mum- }}}$ | Percent | Totas |  | Gas | Garsige | Other |
| An families | 897 | 136 | 15. 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Douligy } \\ & 140.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Perevent } \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}\right.$ | Perent 421 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Perent } \\ 21.6 \end{gathered}$ | Percent 30.3 |
| Familles spending per expendtars noit per year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 59 | 2 | 3.1 | 89.38 | 100.0 | 20.4 | \% ${ }^{2} .7$ | 45.9 |
| \$360 to \$100......-................-- | 135 | 9 | 6.7 | 178.30 | 100.0 | 81.9 | 7.7 | 40.4 |
| \$400 ta \$500. | 103 | 17 | 10.4 | 104.99 | 100.0 | 50.1 | 22.9 | 27.0 |
| \$560 to $\$ 000$ | 168 | 18 | 10.7 | 142.61 | 100.0 | 35.0 | 23 | 427 |
| \$600 to \$700 | 124 | 28 | 17.7 | 148.15 | 100.0 | 37.5 | 28 | 83.9 |
| 3700 to \$800. | 94 | 24 | 3 5 | 130. 58 | 100.0 | 41.3 | 2 | 35.2 |
| \$800 and over | 154 | 44 | 28.6 | 169.9 | 100.0 | 44.4 | 20. | 34.7 |

In general the newer cars were owned by families at the upper economic levels. Twenty of the 136 cars owned by all the families studied were made between 1933 and 1935, and of these, 14 belonged to families spending $\$ 800$ and over per expenditure unit. Almost half of the cars originally purchased new were owned by families in the highest economic group, whereas less than one-third of the cars purchased second-hand belonged to families in that group.

From the reports of families of their quarterly expenditures for gasoline it appears that families at the lower economic levels tended to use their cars primarily in summer, whereas those at the highest economic level used their cars about equally in each of the four seasons.

All of the five new cars purchased during the schedule year were bought by families in the highest economic level. Of the 36 secondhand cars acquired during the 12 -month period none were purchased by families at the lowest economic level and slightly more than onethird by families at the highest level. The average expenditure for automobiles purchased per family purchasing cars, both new and second-hand, was $\$ 410$ at the highest economic level. Of the 154 families at this level, 19 reported purchasing automobiles during the year. Of these, eight reported an increase in outstanding liabilities for cars purchased on the installment plan, an average increase amounting to $\$ 306$ for each family incurring such a lisbility.

## Medical Care

At only the highest economic level ${ }^{13}$ studied, i. e., among families spending for all items $\$ 800$ and over per expenditure unit per year, were the average expenditures for medical care per person adequate

[^27]when judged by the standards set by the Committee on Costs of Medical Care. ${ }^{14}$ At the lowest level an average per person of only $\$ 4.70$ was spent for medicines, medical appliances, and the services of doctors and nurses, as contrasted with the averages of $\$ 25.04$ and $\$ 40.87$ at the two highest levels and the $\$ 36$ per person standard set by the committee.

The average expenditure per family for all types of medical purposes rose from $\$ 27.17$ for the lowest economic group found among the families cooperating in the investigation to $\$ 103.39$ for the highest economic group. This increment in actual dollar expenditures was sufficient to result in an increase in the percentage of all expenditures allotted to medical care with rise in economic level, at all but one of the expenditure levels shown. At the two lowest levels the greater part of the total expenditure for medical care was made for medicine and drugs, whereas at all other levels expenditures for the services of dentists were greater than for any other item. Except for families spending $\$ 800$ and over per expenditure unit, the services of general practitioner for home and office visits claim the second largest proportion of the total amount allotted to medical care. At the lowest level such services accounted for 25 cents out of every dollar spent for medical care and those of specialists for about 7 cents. At the highest level, 17 cents was paid to general practitioners and 21 was used for the employment of specialists.

The percentage of families availing themselves of clinic services at which they paid for medical assistance rose from 14 percent in the lowest group to 22 percent in the next higher economic level, then declined steadily until only 5 percent of the families at the highest economic level had this type of expenditure. The average amount paid for such services for all families in the sample and also per family having such expenditures was extremely irregular from one economic level to another, and does not appear to be a function of economic level.

The number of families having received medical care without any money expense was much smaller than might have been expected in a city where medical services for families of small means have been so extensively developed. Six families reported the use of free room in a hospital ward and four families, a free clinic visit. Two families received the free services of a general practitioner and one family the service of a pediatrician. One family reported a tonsilectomy performed by a speciulist without charge.

## Personal Care

Average dollar expenditures per family for personal care were twice as high among families spending $\$ 800$ or more per expenditure unit

[^28]for all items as among families spending less than $\$ 300$ per expenditure unit. ${ }^{15}$ The percentage of total expenditures represented by personal care expense remained relatively constant however, at slightly less than 2 percent. In terms of average expenditure per person, personal care accounted for about $\$ 4$ among the lowest economic group of the families studied and about $\$ 19$ among the highest economic group. These expenditures per person closely approximated the average expenditure per person (about 85 ) for medical care at the lowest economic level but contrasted sharply with the average expenditure per person for medical care (about \$41) at the highest economic level. (See Tabular Summary, table 14.)

Among families having the lowest economic status the expenditures for personal care were about equally divided between personal services and toilet articles and preparations, but among families of the highest economic status the average expenditure per family for toilet articles and preparations was one-third less than that for personal services. Haircuts were the most frequently used services, accounting for 44 percent of total personal care expenditures at the lowiest level and 28 percent at the highest level. Although at the lowest level there were no expenditures for shampoos, manicures, and other personal care services, the popularity of permanent waves is attested by the marked increase in the proportion of families having expenditures for permanent waves for one or more of their members, with increases in economic resources. The proportion rises from 3 percent for families spending less than $\$ 300$ per expenditure unit to 38 percent for families at the highest economic level.

## Formal Education

If the investigation had been extended to cover all the goods and services received without direct expense, a large section of the schedule would have been devoted to the amount and kinds of education provided by the city and by other agencies. Elementary school, high school, trade school, classes in museums and libraries and in parks, free of immediate cost to those who take advantage of them, are a regular part of the life of New York City. They are, of course, paid for by the citizens of the metropolis, but no figures have been secured in the present study on the use of these educational facilities by the group covered, or the indirect cost to this group of the city's educational system.

Direct expenditures for formal education, for textbooks, school supplies, and tuition occupied but an insignificant place in the expenditure pattern of the families studied. They accounted for only twotenths of 1 percent of total expenditures among families at the lowest economic level, four-tenths of 1 percent among families at the inter-

[^29]mediate levels and three-tenths of 1 percent among families at the highest economic level. ${ }^{16}$ Only two families of the total of 897 reported any expenditures for members of the economic family away at school. Both of these families belonged to the middle groups when classified according to economic level. Average expenditures for members at home increased from $\$ 2.41$ at the lowest level to $\$ 8.14$ for families spending $\$ 600$ to $\$ 700$ per expenditure unit and then decreased slightly at the highest economic level. The decreases at the highest levels may be explained by the fact that families in these groups were composed chiefly of adults, and in part by the amount of educational service available to adults in New York City free of charge.
No figures were secured on the education of the sons and daughters of the families surveyed who were no longer an integral part of the economic families covered.
Of the 304 families with sons or daughters aged 18 years or over still members of the economic family, 49 percent had children who had completed high school and 15 percent had children who had continued their education beyond high school. The percentage of families whose children had completed high school showed a general tendency to increase with economic level, a tendency which is rendered the more striking by the fact that a child's leaving school to start earning raises the income and expenditure level of his family. On the contrary the percentage of families whose children had continued beyond high school showed a general, though somewhat irregular, tendency to decrease with economic level. The fact that many children attaining such a degree of education had, by the time of the investigation, left the economic family to live as boarders and lodgers or independently, or to set up their own families, tends to obscure such underlying trends as there may be. Further, the tendency for the families at the higher economic levels to be smaller and to be composed of a larger proportion of adults must be taken into consideration in connection with both sets of school attainment figures. (See table 16.)

## Vocation

Union dues or fees constituted the largest item of vocational expense, accounting for 96 cants out of every dollar spent for vocation at the lowest economic level and 92 cents at the highest economic level. ${ }^{18}$ The average expenditures for union dues or fees ranged from $\$ 3.13$ to $\$ 20.13$. Three families reported expenditures for professional association dues or fees and seven families purchased technical literature.

[^30]Table 16.-Families of wage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers having cbildren 18 years of age and over with education through high school or beyond, af successive economic levels

WHPTE FAMILIES-NEW YORK CTTY, 193438

| Economie level | Number of families studied | Naznbar of families facluding children 18 years ofagband over | Familles includigg children 18 years of age and over who have cospleted bigh school |  | Number of familles includlng children 18 years of age and over who have continued their educstion boyond bigh - school |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percant |
| All tamilies. | 897 | 304 | 148 | 48.7 | 45 | 18.1 |
| Families spending per expenditare mit per year: <br> Undor 20 | 59 |  |  | 88 |  |  |
|  | -135 | 53 | 22 | 41.5 | 6 | 12.0 |
| \$400 to \$500. | 163 | 56 | 29 | 51.8 | 14 | 23.0 |
| \$500 to $\$ 800$ | 168 | 62 | 32 | 51.6 | 11 | 17.7 |
| \$600 to \$700. | 124 | 41 | 20 | 48.8 | 8 | 14.6 |
| \$700 to \$500. | 94 | 32 | 18 | 56.2 | 3 | g. 4 |
| \$ $\$ 00$ and over . . . . . . . . | 154 | 39 | 21 | 53.8 | 3 | 7.7 |

Gifte and Contributions to Individuals and to Community Welfare
A comparison of the average amounts given directly by these families to individuals with their contributions to community chests and other welfare agencies emphasizes their close contact with individuals in need, and the generosity of their response. The amount spent per family in gifts and contributions averaged $\$ 29.86$ for the entire group of which $\$ 15.19$ went to the support of relatives as compared with an average of $\$ 1.34$ given to the community agencies for relief purposes.

Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family increase strikingly with a rise in economic level of the families. Taken as a percentage of total expenditures the amount spent for gifts is but two-tenths of 1 percent at the lowest economic level and 3.7 percent at the highest economic level. ${ }^{17}$ The amount spent in the purchase of Christmas and birthday gifts is about the same as that contributed to the support of relatives at the lowest level. However, among families enjoying the highest eçonomic status an average of $\$ 40.07$ went for the support of relatives and $\$ 32.18$ for Christmas and birthday gifts to persons outside the economic family.

Community chest and other community organizations recaived on the average about 22 cents per family from families at the lowest economic level, but increasing amounts with rise in economic level, attaining an average of $\$ 2.78$ at the highest level. At the three lowest levels studied no family paid any income or personal property tax.

[^31]At the middle level one family reported payment of such taxes and a total of eleven families did so at the two highest levels. The eight families at the highest level averaged $\$ 20.60$ per family for these taxes.

At each economic level contributions to religious organizations constituted over 83 percent of the total expenditures included under the heading "community welfare." The average expenditure per family for this purpose was $\$ 8.33$ at the lowest level, and increased irregularly with economic level to the highest where an average of $\$ 18.23$ was contributed to religious groups.

## Miscellaneous Items

For miscellaneous expenses as for funerals, gardens, legal costs, etc., no definite trends with increase in economic levels are ascertainable, except that at the highest level the average expenditure per family for funerals was $\$ 10.64$, as compared with $\$ 1.25$ at the lowest level and $\$ 5.56$ at the next to the lowest level. ${ }^{18}$ Expenditures for funerals averaged $\$ 103$ for the nine families incurring such expense, $\$ 74$ for the one family at the lowest level and $\$ 410$ for the families at the highest level.

[^32]
## Chapter 3

## A Generation of Changing Living Standards

## Changes in Content of Living Since 1907 Among Families of Selected Types

A marked rise in living conditions of employed workers in New York City since 1907 is shown in a comparison of figutes from this recent study of the living of wage earners and clerical workers with data from a survey conducted by the Committee of the New York State Conference of Charities and Correction and the Russell Sage Foundation in 1907. ${ }^{1}$

The earlier study was undertaken to determine the type of living available to independent families of father and mother and two to four children at given income levels. Of the 897 white families included in the 1934-36 investigation, 161 consisted of families of man, wife, and two to four children. ${ }^{2}$

An important part of the change over the 27 years can be attributed to the rise in community standards. The New York City housing law of 1901 made mandatory sanitary provisions in new buildings far above those available to most wage-earning families at that date, and also required improvements in facilities in existing buildings. When the sample studied in 1907 is compared with the 161 families studied in 1934-36, the long-time effect of the new legislation is seen; only every third family of the 1907 group was provided with a bathroom or a private toilet, while by 1934-36 over nine-tenths of the families of the same type at comparable income levels had bathrooms and the sole use of a toilet.

Technological improvements in production as well as the dictates of the public conscience have been responsible for changes in the mode of living. None of the families studied in 1907 had electric lights, 87 percent of them used gas and the rest presumably kerosene or candles; in 1934-36 all of the families of this type had electric lights. In 1907, 86 percent of the families had ice refrigerators, the others no refrigerators; by 1934 the percentage owning ice boxes had decreased to 62 percent, but another 37 percent had mechanical refrigerators. Telephones were not mentioned on the schedule in

[^33]1907, but among the comparable families studied in 1934-36, 6 percent had this convenient means of communication. There was also an increase from 80 percent to 97 percent in the families using gas for cooking.

The test of "overcrowding" used in the 1907 study was whether or not there were more than one and a half persons to a room. More than one-third of the 1907 families were underhoused according to this criterion, as contrasted with only 7 percent of the comparable 1934-36 families.

## Distribution of Current Expenditures in 1934-36 Compared With Those in 1917-18

A comparison of the expenditures of a group of families studied in 1917-18 with comparable families among those covered in 1934-36 sheds much light on the changes which took place in New York City between these two periods. However, it is first necessary to convert the dollar figures of 1917-18 to values which are comparable to the price levels which prevailed in 1934-36. For example, food costs at the period of the new investigation were 19.7 percent lower than in the year ending August 31, 1918. It follows from this that families were able in 1934-36 to secure for $\$ 80$ approximately the same food values for which they paid $\$ 100$ in the earlier period. Each of the types of expenditure has been converted to 1934-36 values with the appropriate group index number because price changes in the interval bave differed greatly among the several groups. (See table 17.) Clothing costs were 9.6 percent lower in 1934-36 than in 1917-18 and the cost of housefurnishing goods was 7.3 percent lower. On the other hand, rents were 20.9 percent higher, and fuel and light costs 39.4 percent higher, making the two items combined 25.4 percent higher. Miscellaneous items were 39.4 percent higher. In general, the cost of living in New York City was 2.1 percent higher in 1934-36 than it had been in 1917-18.

Comparing the 518 families in the 1917-18 study with the 451 comparable families studied in 1934-36, one of the most striking facts is the generally higher level of expenditure in the latter period. In 1917-18 the expenditures of the families studied averaged $\$ 1,526$. At the general level of 1934-36 prices, this was equivalent to an expenditure of $\$ 1,558$ in the latter period. The actual expenditure in 1934-36 of families comparable to those included in the earlier study was $\$ 1,806$. In other words, the real level of expenditure was 16 percent higher in the latter period.

This increase was only in part a reflection of higher real incomes. The average incomes of the group recently studied averaged only 6 percent higher than the average income of the group studied in 191718, expressed in terms of 1934-36 dollars. The balance of the additional current expenditure was financed by drawing upon funds other
than current income. Part of these funds were withdrawn from savings accounts, part borrowed on installment credit, part represented a surrender of insurance policies, while the balance came from miscellaneous sources. In contrast with the situation found in 1934-36, when about $\$ 115$ of the average family expenditure came from sources other than current income, the group of families studied in 1917-18 had current expenditures that practically balanced with current income.

The changes in the character of family living between 1917-18 and 1934-36 reflect among other things the violent changes in price relationships which have taken place. Families with incomes of $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 1,800$ in $1917-18$ spent an average of $\$ 646$ for food. It will be remembered that food prices had advanced more rapidly than any other retail prices in the period from 1913 to the date of the war-time study. The food purchased for $\$ 646$ in $1917-18$ was worth about $\mathbf{\$ 5 2 0}$ at 1934-36 prices. In 1934-36 food costs were relatively low in comparison with those of other items in the family budget. Out of a total expenditure of $\$ 1,784$, an average of $\$ 691$ was spent for food by the families studied at the later period or $\$ 45$ more than had actually been spent in 1917-18. But with this amount families were able to buy $\$ 171$ worth more of food.

The miscellaneous items in the family budget are composed of expenditures for commodities and services which change relatively slowly in price and include cash contributions and gifts. The prices of these miscellaneous items rose relatively less in New York City from 1914 to 1917-18 than any other major series except rent, continued to rise until the last quarter of 1921, and have declined very little since. A family having an income of $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 1,800$ in 1917-18 spent, on the average, $\$ 302$ for miscellaneous goods. These goods had a calculated value at 1934-36 prices of \$421. This calculated price advance of 39.4 percent between 1917-18 and 1934-36 is reflected in the behavior of families with incomes of $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 1,800$ in 1934-36. They spent about $\$ 399$ for these miscellaneous items. That is, they were spending $\$ 97$ more than had been spent in 1917-18 but were securing about $\$ 22$ worth less for their money.

Housing (imcluding fuel and light) shows a slightly different type of movement. Rents had also lagged from 1914 to 1917-18. In 1917-18 a family with an income of $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 1,800$ might have been expected to spend about $\$ 307$ for housing. Allowing for the changes in the level of rents and in fuel and light costs, this outlay would have had a current value at 1934-36 prices of \$384. Actually, families were spending about \$471 in 1934-36, in other words, a substantially larger proportion of their income. Part of this change was due to a change in housing costs, but part of it represented, presumably, an improved quality of housing.

Finslly，in connection with the shift shown in table 17，the item of clothing costs and clothing expenditures should be noted．A family with income of $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 1,800$ in 1917－18 was spending at the rate of about $\mathbf{\$ 2 7 7}$ ．Clothing costs，like food costs，had advanced rapidly during the war and in 1934－36 were lower than in the earlier period．This outlay at 1934－36 prices would have had a value of about $\$ 250$ ．But in 1934－36 such a family was spending considerably less，only about $\$ 174$ ．In other words，in the interval between the two studies in New York City，there was a marked shift of expenditures from clothing to food and housing．

Table 17．－Diatribution of family expendiures for 1 year at successive income levels：Families studied in 1917－18 and in 1984－96，New York City

|  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Total cur- } \\ \text { rent expend- } \\ \text { itures } \end{array}\right\|$ |  | Food |  | Closhing |  | Housing， fuel，snd IIght |  | Furni－ ture and furnish－ Hgs |  | Miscel－ Ianeous |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 免 |  | 言 |  | 蓉 |  | 窝 |  | 䒼 |  | 㤟 |
|  | In terms of 1917－18 dollars ： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Familles ztudled In 1917－18 with ennual freomes of $\$ 100$ to $\$ 800$. <br> $\$ 900$ ts $\$ 1,200$ | 16 | \＄88 | 100.0 | \＄397 | 48.7 | \＄38 | 10.2 | 227］ | 20.2 | \＄10 | 2.2 | \＄137 | 18.7 |
|  | 107 | 1，110 | 100.0 | 611 | 48.0 | 150 | 13.8 | 240 | 21.7 | 30 | 2.7 | 176 | 18.8 |
| \＄1，200 to \＄1，500 | 151 | 1，344 | 100.0 | 885 | 43.3 | 200 | 14.8 | 281 | 20.0 | 50 | 8.7 | 228 | 17.0 |
| \＄1，500 to \＄1，200 | 118 | 1． $\mathrm{SS}_{2}$ | 100．0． | 646 | 40.9 | 277 | 17．5 | 307 | 19．4 | 6 | 8.1 | 302 | 10.1 |
| \＄1，800 to \＄2，100． | 68 | 1，701 | 100.0 | 737 | 41.1 | 310 | 17.3 | 328 | 18.1 | 63 | 3.8 | 353 | 10.7 |
| \＄2．100 to \＄2，500 | 88 | 2，193 | 100.0 | 845 | 38．8 | 424 | 10.3 | 849 | 15．0 | － 88 | 4.0 | 487 | 22.2 |
| \＄2，00 and Ovar． | 25 | 2，853 | 100.01 | 1， 107 | 38.8 | 500 | 21.0 | 440 | 15．4 | 0 | 3.2 | 617 | 21.6 |
|  | 518 | 1，520 | 100.0 | 641 | 420． | 2 A | 18.6 | 205 | 19.4 | 51 | 8.3 | 285 | 18.7 |
|  | In terms of 1884－86 dollars ： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Familles shadied in 1017－18 Fith enmul licomes of－ $\$ 800$ to $\$ 100$. <br> 5900 to 51,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16 | \＄91 | 100.0 | \＄120 | 35.8 | 880 | 9． 0 | \％ 3 | 31．9 | \＄17 | 1.6 | $\$ 191$ | 21． 1 |
|  | 107 | 1，128 | 100.0 | 410 | 38.5 | 139 | 12， 4 | \＄02） | 28.01 | 23 | 2.4 | 245 | 21.8 |
| \＄3，200 to $\$ 1.500$ | 151 | 1，369 | 100.6 | 470 | 34.1 | 181 | 13.2 | 331］ | 25.7 | 48 | 8.4 | 318 | 23．3 |
| \＄1，500 \％$\$ 1,800$ | 115 | 1， 621 | 100． 0 | 520 | 32.1 | 250 | 18．4 | 384 | 23.7 | 46 | 2.8 | 421 | 26.0 |
| \＄1，800 to $\$ 2,100$ | 66 | 1，894 | 100． 3 | 592 | 32.3 | 280 | 18.3 | 412 | $2 \mathrm{E}, 1$ | 38 | 3.2 | 492 | \％6．8 |
| \＄2．100 to \＄2， 000 | 88 | 2． 2501 | 100． 2 | 679 | 30.0 | ${ }^{315}$ | 17.0 | 437 | 19.3 | 82 | 3.0 | 070 | 30.1 |
| \＄2，500 and over | 25 | 2，923 | 100． 0 | 889 | 30.4 | 542 | 18．6 | 649 | 18.8 | 88 | 28 | 860 | 29.4 |
| All tamilles， | 818 | 1，868 | 100.0 | 315 | 33.1 | 229 | 14.7 | 870 | 29.7 | 47 | 3.0 | 397 | 23.5 |
| Families studied in 1084－36， typas comparable with those studled in 1017－18 with annual incomes of－ 1 5000 to 5100 | 20 | 1，056 | 100 | 482 | 4 | c） | 24 | 1511 | 129.5 | 7 | 0.7 | 187 | 7 |
| －\＄000 to $\$ 1.1200$ | 02 | 1，202 | 100.0 | 811 | 59．6 | 111 | 8.6 | － 888 | 130.0 | 34 | 2.6 | 248 | 10.8 |
| \＄1，200 to \＄1，500 ．．．．．．．．．．． | 100 | 1，476 | 100.0 | 694 | 40.3 | 139 | 0.1 | 441 | 429.2 | 35 | 24 | 283 | 10.0 |
| －\＄1，500 to \＄1，800－．．．．．．．．．． | 102 | 1，784 | 100.0 | 691 | 38． | 174 | 9.8 | $4{ }^{4} 1$ | $\mathrm{t}^{28} 4$ | 40 | 8 | 399 | 223 |
| － 31,800 to 22.100. | 79 | 2.017 | 100.0 | 788 | 380 | 219 | 10.9 | ${ }^{4} 505$ | 425.1 | 52 | 2.6 | 475 | 23， 4 |
| \＄2， 100 to $\$ 2,500$ | 52 | 2，313 | 1000 | 853 | 37.0 | 271 | 12.0 | 4510 | 423.3 | 67 | 29 | 578 | 24.8 |
| \％2，800 and over | 35 | 2，858 | 100.0 | 1，047 | 58. | 401 | 13.0 | 4576 | 420.0 | 100 | 8.5 | 784 | 24 |
| All familien | 451 | 1，806 | 100.0 | 095 | 38.5 | 190 | 10.5 | 1480 | ＋ 25.8 | 40 | 2.7 | 406 | 22.5 |

[^34]Part II.-Negro Families

# Chapter 1 <br> Income Level and Money Disbursements 

## Family Income

At one time or another in the months covered by the investigation, a very large proportion of the Negro families in New York City were dependent on the relief rolls for their support. The number of Negro families on relief in the entire city reached the peak for the period of the study in February 1935, when the number was 40,400 , or 52 percent of the number of Negro families in the city in 1930. It is seen clearly from these figures, that since the present survey did not extend to families on relief, the Negro families from whom data were secured cannot be regarded as representative of the total Negro population of the city. The families of Negro workers who had been able to continue to be self-supporting for the entire year in this period were in a minority in the city's colored population.

The sample of Negro families studied was chosen in the same way as the sample of white families, and represents a cross section of families of employed Negro workers in 1934-36.

It will be remembered that the plan of the study provided that no family with an income of less than $\$ 500$ be included. Family incomes among the Negro group covered ranged from $\$ 646$ to $\$ 4,077$, the income received by a family of five adults, in which the husband, his father, his brother, and his nephew pooled their earnings. The husband was a receptionist in a social agency, the father a messenger, the brother an office boy, and the nephew a clerk in a retail store. Half the Negro families received incomes less than $\$ 1,338$, approximately $\$ 300$ below the point at which the middle income of the white families was reached.

In more than half of the 100 Negro families included in the investigation the chief earner was an unskilled worker, in 27 families a semiskilled worker, in 2 families a skilled worker, and in 16 families a clerical worker. The clerical workers included a stenographer, several clerks in retail stores, and an accountant in an insurance agency. The highest earnings of one individual were reported by a Negro postman, who received $\$ 1,886$ during the year.

The percentage of income supplied by supplementary earners was even more important in the Negro group than in the case of the white families. (See fig. 9.) Among the Negro families, only 70 percent

of the average income came from the earnings of the chief earner, as compared with 78 percent in the white group. In the Negro as in the white group the number of supplementary earners per family increased with increases in income, as did the percentage of total income supplied by their earnings.

TABLy 18.-Sources of family income among wage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers at successive income levels in 1 year during the period 1934-96

NEGRO FAMILIES-NEW YORE CITY

| zacome class | Number of familles | Average net money sucame | Average number of gannal workers par tamily | Percentage of lucome from- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Eernings of chiat carner | Darnings of supplementary carners: | Other scurces: |
| All familles. | 100 |  | 1.22 | 70.2 | 28.0 | 1.8 |
| \$600 to \$003. | 11 | 794 | 1.54 | 82.7 | 140 | 3.8 |
| \$9000 to \$1,200. | 26 | 1,067 | 1. 73 | 79.1 | 18.5 | 24 |
| \$1,200 to \$1,500. | 81 | 1,352 | 1. 74 | 78.1 | 34.0 | + ${ }^{6}$ |
| 81,500 to $81,800$. | 13 | 1,651 | 200 | 322 | 28.3 | 1.8 |
| \$1, 800 to 约, 100. | 8 | 1,923 | 225 | 67.0 | 31.3 | 1.7 |
| \$2,100 and over | 9 | 28878 | \%. 11 | 486 | 42.5 | 1.9 |

[^35]
## Size and composition of family.

The average number of persons per family was considerably smaller among the Negro than among the white group, 3.13 as compared with 3.65. It was, however, slightly larger than the median size of the family shown for New York City for all Negro families of two persons
or more in the census of 1930, 3.03 persons. As among the white families, a comparison with the average size of families on relief in this period ( 3.9 persons) shows that in general the number of persons in the families which had an independent status' was smaller than in the families on the relief rolls.

The average number of children under 16 years old in the Negro families, as well as the average number of adults, is smaller than in the white families. There were 36 families including husband and wife only and 34 more including adults only. From the group with income over $\$ 1,200$ the average number of adults per family increased regularly with increases in income, but the families with children were

distributed irregularly in the income range (fig. 10). There are more children at the lower income levels that at the upper. The total number of persons per family averaged 2.83 in the income groups under $\$ 1,500$, and 3.79 in the income groups above this level. (See Tabular Summary, table 5.)

## Current Expenditures of the Group as a Whole

The percentage of total current expenditures used for food by the Negro families was somewhat smaller than that used for food by the white group, partly because of the smaller size of the Negro families, and partly because of the fact that expenditures for housing required so large a proportion of the funds of the Negro group. Expenditures for food by these 100 families averaged $\$ 451$ per family, 31.0 percent of total current expenditures, as compared with $\$ 670$ or 36.4 percent spent by white families.

Negroes in New York City have been limited by custom to dwellings in certain relatively restricted sections of the five boroughs. The size of dwellings is very small and the rents are very high in comparison with the facilities furnished. Although the average income of the Negro families studied was 17 percent lower than that of the white group, they spent 3.4 percent more for housing, fuel, light, and refrigeration than the average for the white group- $\$ 490$ as compared with \$474. Expenditures for these items combined took 33.6 percent of total current expenditures, as compared with 25.8 percent among the white groups. Expenditures for clothing came next in importance to housing and food in the disbursements of the group, taking 10.3 percent of the total; those for recreation and transportation were next, taking 5.6 and 4.1 percent respectively. Only 2 out of the 100 Negro families covered owned automobiles. Expense for personal care was responsible for 2.3 percent of total expenditures, a higher proportion than medical care which received only 2.1 percent. (See Tabular Summary, tables 3 or 6.)

## Distribution of Expenditures at Successive Income Levels

In general the percentages spent for food, housing, and fuel, light, and refrigeration declined with increase in the family income. All other groups of items covered by current family expenditures, except education, vocation, and community welfare, received a larger proportion of the total at the higher than at the lower income levels.

The percentages allotted to education and vocation showed no consistent trend with changes in income but fluctasted in accordance with the composition of the families included.

The most striking increases in the proportion of expenditures at different income levels cccurred in the case of expenditures for clothing, gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family, recreation, and medical care. Transportation, and furnishings and equipment accounted for a slightly larger percentage of each dollar spent at higher income levels than at lower levels. The percentage spent for personal care rose slightly from 1.9 cents of each dollar spent by the Negro families at the lowest income level to 2.7 cents among families with net annual income of $\$ 1,800$ and over. (See Tabular Summary, table 6.)

An analysis of average amounts spent per expenditure unit by Negro families at each income level shows that changes in economic level as determined by income, and size and composition of family combined are very similar in this group to those discovered in the white group (see p. 25). The increases in the amounts spent per expenditure unit by the Negro group are less regular due to irregularities in the number of persons per family at the different income levels. However, a
comparison of incomes and amounts spent per expenditure unit at the lowest and highest income levels shows that with an average income more than three times as large, the average amount spent for food per expenditure unit was only twice as large; for clothing three times as large; and for all items slightly less than two and a half times as large. (See table 19.)

Table 18.-Average amount spent per expenditure unit per year among wage earrers and lower-salaried cherical workers at successive income levela

NEGRO FAMILIES-NEW YORK OITY, 103A-36

| Income cless | Average alxe of family in expenditare anits | Aversge total expenditura per tamily | Average amotint spent for rood per foodexpenditure unit | Average amount spent for dothing per alothingexpenditure unit | Avarage Amount spent for otheritems per person | Average smannt spent for all items per expenditure unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All famillea... | 2.87 | \$1,400 | $\$ 164$ | 55 | 5274 | \$491 |
| Familles with annual incomes of- <br> $\$ 600$ to 5000 . $\$ 000$ to $\$ 1,200$ <br> $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 1,000$ <br> \$1,500 is $\$ 1,800$ <br> $\$ 1,800$ to $\$ 2,100$. <br> 2,100 and over. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 299 | 833 | 102 | 28 | 153 | 279 |
|  | 2.61 | 1,108 | 153 | 42 | 226 | 423 |
|  | 257 | 1,391 | 180 | 46 | 317 | 61 |
|  | 3. 19 | 1,500 | 187 | 81 | 278 | 485 |
|  | 8. 62 | I, 049 | 182 | \% | 298 | 554 |
|  | 448 | 2,845 | 200 | 81 | 354 | 636 |

## Order of Expenditures at Different Economic Levels

When the data from all the Negro families studied are sorted by oconomic level ${ }^{1}$ it is found that average family income increases with economic level and that average family size decreases. (See Tabular Summary, tables 2, 3, 19, and 20.)

Negro families spending less than $\$ 300$ per expenditure unit had average family incomes of $\$ 1,127$; they spent on the average for food $\$ 87$ per food-expenditure unit, for clothing $\$ 29$ per clothing-expenditure unit, for all other items $\$ 107$ per person, and for all items combined $\$ 221$. At the highest level, among families spending $\$ 800$ and over per expenditure unit, average family income was only 77 percent higher than at the lowest level but due to the small size of the families for which it was spent, the amount spent for food per food-expenditure unit was almost three times that at the lowest level, the amount spent for clothing per clothing-expenditure unit was about four times larger, the amount spent per person for other items was more than five times larger, and the amount spent for all items combined was more than four times larger. (See table 20.)

The distribution of total family expenditures by Negro families spending under $\$ 300$ and by those spending over $\$ 800$ per expenditure unit shows the shifts in consumer demand among the Negro families

[^36]Table 20.-Average amount spent per expenditure unit among wape earners and lover-salaried clerical workers ai successive economic levels in 1 year during the period 1984-86

NEGRO FAMILEE-NEW YORE CITY

| Economie leval | Averape size of family in expenditure units | A verage total expend: ture per family | A verage bmonnt spent for food per food- expenditure natit | A perage amount spent for clothing per slothingexpenditure unit | Average smonnt spent for other iterns per person | Avertrge amount spent for all items per expenditurs unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All famblies. | 2.97 | \$1,450 | \$184 | 38 | \$274 | \%4t1 |
| Familles spending par expenditure unft par year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \% ${ }^{\text {chen }}$.-..... | 5.12 | 1, 131 | 87 | 29 | 107 | 221 |
| \$300 to \$400........ | 8.45 | 1,265 | 142 | 4 | 174 | 364 |
| \$400 to \$ $\$ 00 . . . .$. | 2.77 | 1,228 | 160 | 45 | 21 | 442 |
| \$500 to \$600....... | 272 | 1, 474 | 188 | 81 | 322 | 56 |
| \$800 to $\$ 700$ | 2.29 | 1,474 | 201 | 00 | 383 | 64 |
| \$700 ta $3800 . .$. | 2.70 | 1,988 | 231 | 7 | 438 | 738 |
| \$ 800 and over..... | 2.13 | 1,888 | 252 | 113 | 572 | 962 |

studied with changes in economic resources. (See table 21.) Expenditures for housing, including fuel, light, and refrigeration, ranked first, and food second at both levels, in contrast to the expenditures of white families, where food ranked first and housing second.

Tably 21.-Expenditures of soage earners and louner-salaried clerical workers in rank order at 2 different economic levels
NEGRO FAMILIES-NEW YORE CITY, 1934-36

| Group ofitems | Rank order of group expenditure |  | Percentage of total expenditures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Familles spanding por expenditure anlt per year- |  |  |  |
|  | Under $\$ 300$ | 8800 and over | Under 5300 | *800 and over |
| Food. | 7 | 2 | 36.3 | 24.5 |
| Housing, Inchading fuel, light, and refrigeration | 1 | 1 | 40.4 | 30.8 |
|  | 8 | 8 | 10.7 | 13.3 |
| Transportation other than by sutomabile or motoruycle. | 4 | 7 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Feerratilat..- | B. 8 | 5 | 2.6 | 8.8 |
|  | 8.6 | 8 | 1.8 | 27 |
| Housebold operationother thanfuel, Light, and ratragersthon. | 7 | 6 | 1.8 | 3.1 |
|  | 8 | 10 | . 9 | 24 |
|  | 9 | 14 | . 6 | . 8 |
|  | 10 | 4 | .5 | 7.3 |
| Gifte and contrifutions to persons ontaide economic family | 11 | 9 | . 4 | 26 |
| Formal education......- | 12 | 13 | .8 | . 8 |
|  | 18 | 15 | .2 | 2 |
| Automobile and motorcycie purchase, operstion, end malntenance. | - | 12 | 0 | 18 |
| Miseellansous. | - | 11 | 0 | 20 |



The greatest shift occurred in furnishings and equipment which moved from tenth place at the low level to fourth place at the high. There were no expenditures for automobile transportation among families spending under $\$ 300$ per expenditure unit. This item, however, accounted for 1.3 percent of all expenditures at the high level and ranked twelfth among other expenditures. Expenditures for personal care (for barber, hairdresser, manicure, and for toilet articles and preparations) ranked sixth at the low level and eighth at the high level.

$$
\text { Changes in Assets and Liabilities }{ }^{2}
$$

As contrasted with the excess of current expenditures over income among the white families, the current incomes of the Negro families studied exceeded their current expenditures by an aggregate of $\$ 1,000$ or an average of $\$ 10$ per family. The entire group of Negro families reported increases in assets averaging $\$ 76$, decreases in liabilities incurred before the beginning of the schedule year averaging $\$ 20$, decreases in assets averaging $\$ 38$ and increases in Liabilities averaging $\$ 48$.

The same considerations outlined in connection with the discussion of the assets and liabilities of white families hold true for the Negro families. In comparing the changes in economic status over the year covered by the schedule of the white and Negro families, it should also be kept in mind that the average size of the Negro families studied was sufficiently smaller than that of the white families to compensate in large part for the smaller size of their incomes, and that it may be somewhat more difficult for Negro families of given composition and income to obtain credit than for white families of the same status. However, a detailed examination of changes in assets and liabilities of Negro families shows that the general pattern of savings and deficits was quite similar to that of the white families.

Three percent of the Negro families covered in the present survey in New York just met their expenses from income, 56 percent reported net savings and 41 percent a net deficit. The savings reported by families having a surplus averaged $\$ 102$ and the average deficit of families "going into the red" was $\$ 115$.

When the data are analyzed by amount of family income, it is shown that the average net change in assets and liabilities for all Negro

[^37]families at the lowest income level, $\$ 600$ to $\$ 900$, resulted in a deficit of $\$ 15$. This figure declined to $\$ 14$ at the $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 1,500$ income level, changed to a surplus of $\$ 72$ in the $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 1,800$ group, and rose to a surplus of $\$ 79$ in the group of families with incomes of $\$ 2,100$ and over. The average deficit at the lowest income level was 2 percent of family income, whereas the average surplus at the highest income level was 3 percent of family income.

When the deta secured from these 100 families ${ }^{3}$ are sorted into three groups according to economic level (table 22) it appears that the 25 families spending less than $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit, and the 37 families spending from $\$ 400$ to $\$ 600 \mathrm{had}$ an average surplus of $\$ 13$ per family and $\$ 23$ per family, respectively; while the 38 families spending $\$ 600$ and over per expenditure unit reported a net deficit averaging more than $\$ 5$ per family. At the lowest of the three economic levels, the average amount withdrawn from past savings (including the settlement of insurance policies) was $\$ 25$ and the average amount borrowed (either directly or in the form of obligations on goods purchased on the installment plan) was $\$ 23$ (table 23). Savings at this level included $\$ 7$ paid out in installments on goods purchased before the schedule year, $\$ 47$ paid in insurance premiums and annuities, and a $\$ 7$ increase of funds in savings accounts. (See Tabular Summary, table 4.)

Table 22.-Percentage of families of wage earners and lower-salaried clerica workers having surplus and deficit and net changs in assets and liabilities during the schedule year at successive economic levels

ŃaRO FAMILIES-NEW YORK CITY, 1934-36

| Ecanomic level | Number of famb lios | Percentage of families hav-ing- |  | A varage amount of- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Net surplus | Net defclt | Net change in asseta and Habiltities for all famillies |  |  | Surplas per laraliy haying surplus | Deficit Der iamlly haying defict |
|  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Per } \\ \text { family }}}{ }$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Per ex- } \\ \text { penditurat } \\ \text { unit } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pergain- } \\ & \text { frul } \\ & \text { Frortiter } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| All families <br> Pamiliss spending per oxpeaditure unit per year: <br> Inder $\$ 400$. <br> $\$ 400$ to 8500. <br> $\$ 600$ and over | 100 | 50.0 | 41.0 | + +10 | +83 | +5 | \$102 | \$115 |
|  | 283738 | $\begin{aligned} & 52.0 \\ & 62.1 \\ & 52.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.0 \\ & 35.1 \\ & \mathbf{4 7 . 4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} +13 \\ +23 \\ +5 \end{gathered}$ | +8+8-2 | +8+13-3 | 6989130 | 5760160 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{2}$ For ech of the tablec showing detsils of expenditares es mang economio levels have been shown as the number of casos and the type of data for parh particular table would allow. For the parpose of the discuasion of changes in assets and habilites, the Negro familles have been claskifed as threo levels according to the emount apent per expenditure unit, as follows: Low, under $\$ 100 ;$ intermedinte, $\$ 400$ to $\$ 000$, high, $\$ 000$ and over.

Table 23.-Changes in assets and liabilities among wage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers during the schedule year, at successive economic levels

NECRO FAMILIES-NEW YORK CITY, 1934-38

| Economie leytil | Numfambl lies | Aver-日ge increases in asBets 1 | Average de creases in Habilties | A perage decreases in amounts due on goods purchased on fnstallment pian 1 |  | Average decreases in ms sets ${ }^{1}$ | Aver: age incrisases In liabillties 1 | Average incresses in amounts due on goods purchased on installment plan! |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Autamo } \\ \text { biles } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | Other goods |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Automo- } \\ \text { biles } \end{gathered}$ | Other goode |
| All familles. | 100 | 578 | 320 | 0 | 18 | 538 | . $\$ 8$ | 0 | 319 |
| Familics apending per expenditure unt per year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \$ 400 \text {. } \\ & \$ 400 \text { to } 8600 \text {. } \\ & \$ 600 \text { bnd over. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 37 \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | 84 67 108 | 7 19 29 | 0 0 0 | 7 18 24 | 25 | 23 86 77 | 0 0 0 | 10 17 28 |

1 A verages computed by driding the total number of tamilies at each expenditure level into the aggregste inereases or decruases of the families reparting such incresses or depreases.

At the highest of these three levels withdrawals from savings accounts equaled increases in liabilities for goods purchased on the installment plan (\$26 in each case). Funds used from the settlement of insurance policies accounted for $\$ 20$ of the decrease in assets; funds borrowed on insurance policies accounted for $\$ 18$ more. For the group, an average decrease in assets accounted for almost $\$ 58$, and an average increase in liabilities for $\$ 77$ out of a total on the debit side of the balance sheet of $\$ 134$ as against $\$ 129$ on the credit side. The most important of the credit items is again payments of life insurance premiums which amounted to $\$ 74$. The only other items averaging more than $\$ 10$ were decreases in amounts due on installment purchases which averaged $\$ 24$, and increases in funds in savings banks which averaged \$11. (See Tabular Summary, table 4.)

The Negro families reported no debts contracted for the purchase of automobiles, and no payments on debts for automobiles purchased prior to the beginning of the year covered by the schedule.

## Chapter 2

## Expenditures for Specified Goods

Housing

## Housing facilities.

The limited space and the congested living conditions resulting therefrom in New York City are particularly evident when housing of Negro families is studied. Of the 99 home-renting Negro families covered in the present investigation, not one lived in a one-family house and only 4 lived in two-family houses accommodating one family above the other. These four families were found in the two higher economic levels distinguished for the Negro families, those spending from $\$ 400$ to $\$ 600$ per expenditure unit per year and those spending $\$ 600$ and over per expenditure unit per year.4 Most of the Negro families cooperating in the investigation lived in Manhattan, in Harlem, or in San Juan Hill, but a few lived in the othar four boroughs.

Figares on the number of rooms in the dwelling unit and the number of persons in the household sharing such rooms, show that on the average it was not until Negro families spent $\$ 400$ to $\$ 600$ per expenditure unit for all items that they had one room or more per person, the standard usually accepted in the United States as indicating the minimum of necessary space. Among renters of heated apartments, the type of housing arrangement found most frequently among these Negro families, there was a marked tendency to less crowding at higher economic levels since the number of rooms increased while size of family decreased as the level of expenditure rose. (See Tabular Summary, table 10.)

The premium upon space is further reflected in the report that only one Negro family at the highest economic level had garden space, and only three families at the two highest economic levels had access to any play space as a part of their dwelling arrangement. In most families the children must play in the streets if they are to play outdoors at all as the result of these housing limitations, as there are few parks in the areas where Negroes live in New York City.

[^38]The effectiveness of New York City tenement house legislation is again attested by the fact that all the Negro families renting their dwelling quarters had inside flush toilets and inside running water. All but one renting family had a bathroom in the dwelling unit. All but two of these families had electricity for lighting. Difference in the housing facilities of families at lower as compared with those at higher economic levels is marked with respect to such items as the telephone and automatic refrigerators. Only one family at the lowest level had a telephone, while there were two suoh families in the intermediate group and nine in the group at the highest economic level. Electric refrigerators were reported by 10 families out of the group of 100,1 at the lowest level, 2 at the intermediate level, and 7 families at the highest level. The great majority of the Negro families at every economic level used ice as a means of refrigeration. No Negro family included in the survey had a garage. The combination of inside flush toilet, running hot water, electric lights, and gas or electricity for cooking was reported by an increasing percentage of families from the lowest to the bighest economic level, reaching 100 percent among the group at the highest level.

Tably 24-Number of persons per room among wage earners and lover-salaried clerical woorkers at successive economic levels
NEGRO FAMILIES-NEW YORE CITY, 1034-36


## Housing expenditures.

Percentage of total expenditures. When families are classified according to economic level, the proportion of total expenditures devoted to housing and fuel, light, and refrigeration combined is found to decline irregularly with increase in economic level. Thus Negro families spending under $\$ 300$ per expenditure unit per year in New York devoted 40.4 percent to these two items, at the $\$ 500$ to $\$ 600$ level the percentage declined to 35.3 percent, and among those families spending $\$ 800$ or over per expenditure unit the percentage had dropped to 30.3 percent of the total. At all but two of the seven economic levels shown, the Negro families were found to be spending more for
rent, fuel, light, and refrigeration on the average than the white families at that level. (See Tabular Summary, table 3.)

Renters.-Since only one of the Negro families studied owned its own home and only six rented apartments with heat not furnished by landlord, detailed housing expenditures for these groups were not computed. Of the 93 Negro families living in apartments with heat included in rent, 21 at the level spending under $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit paid an average rental rate of $\$ 31$ per month, 34 families in the $\$ 400$ to $\$ 600$ expenditure group paid at the rate of $\$ 34$ per month while the 38 families spending $\$ 600$ or over per expenditure unit per year paid an average rental rate of $\$ 39$. (See Tabular Summary, table 10.)

Secondary housing.-None of the Negro families made any expenditures for room rent for children away at school or for owned vacation homes. Seven families, of which four were in the group of families spending $\$ 600$ or over per expenditure unit, incurred minor expenses for rent on vacation or trips,

Expenditures.for fuel, light, and refrigeration,-Among the Negro families studied in New York, expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration by families at different economic levels are significant chiefly only with respect to the two latter items, since 93 of the 100 families studied lived in apartments with heat furnished by the landlord. Expenditures for electricity by these 93 families increased slightly at the higher economic levels; families spending $\$ 600$ and over per expenditure unit paid $\$ 27$ per year for electricity as compared with an average of $\$ 23$ for the families spending under $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit per year. Expenditures for gas, on the other hand, were about $\$ 23$ at the two lower economic levels, but declined to $\$ 20$ for the group spending $\$ 600$ and over per expenditure unit. Ice, the chief refrigerant used, claimed $\$ 20$ at the lower economic level, increasing to $\$ 24$ for families spending $\$ 600$ and over per expenditure unit. (See Tabular Summary, table 11.)

Other items of household operation.-Expenses for items of household operation other than fuel, light, and refrigeration include water rent, telephone, domestic service, laundry sent out, and similar items. Expenditure for these things is definitely larger at the higher economic levels, representing an average annual expenditure of $\$ 30$ among the group of families spending under $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit per year and $\$ 73$ among the group spending $\$ 600$ and over per expenditure unit per year. The chief items in this increasing expense were those for laundry sent out, which increased from $\$ 7$ at the lowest economic leval distinguished to $\$ 31$ at the highest level, and telephone which
increased from $\$ 2$ at the lowest level to $\$ 16$ at the highest level. The third largest item at the highest level was soap flakes and cleaning powder which accounted for over \$4, but which claimed as high or slightly higher expenditure at the lower economic levels.

## Furnishings and Equipment

Expenditures for furnishings and equipment, which except for the few families just setting up housekeeping represented additions to or replacement of furniture, rugs, curtains, dishes, silverwafe, electrical and miscellaneous equipment, showed a marked variation with economic level. ${ }^{5}$ At the lowest level distinguished in the tabulation of the data in this group of items, namely that of families spending under $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit per year, only $\$ 20$ was spent per family for all such items, whereas families spending $\$ 600$ and over per expenditure unit allocated $\$ 79$ per year for these items. At the lower economic levels, the replacements were postponed for a longer period of time and fewer and less expensive additions to household equipment were made, reflecting the relatively greater urgency of the family demand for other items in the budget.

The items purchased by the largest proportion of families at the lowest economic level were fundamentals of household equipment, electric light bulbe, brooms, brushes, and mops. At the highest economic level, the items purchased by the largest proportion of families were likewise electric light bulbs, brooms, brushes and mops, dishcloths and cleaning eloths, curtains and draperies, and sheets. Families at the highest level bought rugs and carpets relatively much more frequently than did families at the lowest level, and the same was true of living room suites, chairs, dressers, and other pieces of furniture as well as for silver tableware and chins or porcelain tableware.

Of the various groups of items coming under the general head of furnishings and equipment, those for electrical equipment increased most markedly from low to high economic levels, as indicated in table 25.

[^39]Table 25.-Average expenditures for furnishings and equipment among wage earners and lower-salaried clerical worlers at auccessive economic levels in 1 year during the period 1984-86

NEGRO FAMILIES-NEW YORE CITY


At the lowest economic level tables are found to be the only item of furniture purchased by more than 1 family, whereas at the highest economic level as many as 4 families out of the 38 families purchased living-room suites, tables, and wood and upholstered chairs.

After light bulbs, the most frequently purchased items of electrical equipment at the lowest economic level were lamps, purchased by three families. At the highest economic level, five irons were purchesed, four lamps, three electric sewing machines, and two electric refrigerators.

## Food

## Annual food expenditures.

The percentage of total expenditures spent for food declined rapidly with increase in economic level although actual dollar expenditures increased from the lowest economic level (under $\$ 300$ ) to the highest ( $\$ 800$ and over). Thirty-six percent of total expenditures was devoted to the purchase of food at the lowest economic level and 24 percent at the highest. In terms of actual dollars spent, however, the average food expenditure per family was 18 percent higher at the upper level then at the lower level, where the average annual expenditure per family was $\$ 411$. The larger expenditure per family combined with the fact that the average size of family was smaller at the higher economic levels resulted in diets considerably more varied, and higher in nutritive content as the economic level of the family rose. It has already been noted that the average amount spent for food per foodexpenditure unit increased from an average of $\$ 87$ among families
spending less than $\$ 300$ per expenditure unit to $\$ 252$ among those spending $\$ 800$ or more per expenditure unit. (See Tabular Summary, table 3; and text table 20.)
The tendency noted among white families toward increasing expenditures for meals away from home with increase in economic level was characteristic of the Negro families also. When families are classified by economic level into three groups, ${ }^{5}$ it appears that of each dollar spent for food 90 cents at the lowest economic level was used to purchase food to be prepared at home while 81 cents atothe highest level was so spent. At each level, meals bought and eaten at work constituted the largest expenditure for food bought and eaten away from home. The average expenditure per family for this purpose was $\$ 31$ at the lowest level and $\$ 51$ at the highest level.

Table 26.-Per capits expexditures for food among wage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers at successive economic levels
NEGRO FAMILIES-NEW YORK CITY, 1984-38

| Item | Average per coyita expenditura in 1 week: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All famlHes | - Famllies spending per exyenditure nilt par year- |  |  |
|  |  | Under \$400 | \$100 to \$800 | 5600 and over |
|  | 100 | 28 | 37 | 38 |
|  | Dollar: 2.82 | Dollezs $1.87$ | Doltars 3.78 | Dollart $4.00$ |
| Grain products. | . 38 | . 30 | . 39 | . 47 |
| Exps-.....--...- | . 16 | . 11 | 17 | . 22 |
| Milk, cheose, ice ers | . 32 | . 28 | +28 | . 4 |
| Butter and cream. | . 16 | 10 | +18 | 14 |
|  | . 15 | . 68 | .14 | .24 1.16 |
| Vegetables and frults..................... | .65 | . 41 | . 80 | . 88 |
| Sugar and sweets.... | .10 | . 08 | . 09 | :18 |
| Miscellaneous foods. | . 22 | .18 | . 20 | . 88 |
| Total expenditure for all lood | Percent 100.0 | Percent: 100.0 | Pereta! | Percent: 100.0 |
| Orain products. | 13. 5 | 18.2 | 140 | 11.8 |
| Egrs.-.-.---....... | 5. 7 | 6.6 | 6. 1 | 5. 5 |
| MUK, cheese, ice cream. | 11. 4 | 14. 2 | 10.1 | 10.5 |
| Butter and cream... | 5.8 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5. 2 |
| Other fats.. | 8.3 | 4.1 | 6.0 | 6 |
| Meat, poultry, fish, and cther sea food................ | 28.0 | 23.8 | 31.0 | 20.1 |
| Vegetables and truits. | 19.5 | 20.8 | 18.0 | 20.8 |
| Bugar and sweets... | 3.8 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 8.8 |
| Miscollaneous fonds .-...............................-....-- | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 8.3 |

i The averages for per capita expenditures in i weak ware based on data collected in all quarters of the year.
Food expenditures in 1 week.
Data on 194 separate foods purchased and consumed at home during one typical week show the change in the types of foods purchased

[^40]with a marked increase in the average food expenditure. The percentage distribution of per capita expenditures for food purchased for home consumption by the Negro families spending under $\$ 400, \$ 400$ to $\$ 600$, and $\$ 600$ and over per expenditure unit shows the same decline in the percentage spent for grain products as was found among the white families, and the same increase in the percentage spent for miscellaneous foods. The proportion spent for meat, poultry, fish, and other sea food rose from 23 percent in the lowest group to the very high level of 31 percent in the middle group to drop slightly (to 29 percent) in the highest group. (See Tabular Summary, table 7; and text table 26.)

The item most frequently purchased and which required the largest per capita expenditure of any individual item of food at each level was whole bottled milk. However, the per capita expenditure for milk is almost the same at the lowest economic level as it is at the highest. Account must be taken of the high milk content of the evaporated and condensed milk consumed in relatively large quantity at the middle and highest econtomic level shown. When this is done, it is found that among the families at the highest level the average quantities of milk purchased per capita are approximately 10 percent higher than among the families at the lowest level, but that the quantity of milk purchased per capita in the middle group averages slightly below that at the lowest economic levels. This situation may in part be accounted for by the fact that milk is a more essential need for children than for adults and that the age distribution of the group studied differs considerably from one economic level to another. Reference to table 2 of the Tabular Summary shows that there was a much larger proportion of children under 16 years of age in the groups spending less than $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit than in the groups spending $\$ 600$ and over, and that the proportion of children in the group spending $\$ 400$ to $\$ 600$ per expenditure unit lies in between in this respect.
Average expenditures for milk, cheese, and ice cream increased about 50 percent from the low and middle groups to the highest expenditure group, due largely to the increase in expenditure for ice cream. Expenditures for butter and cream increased 50 percent from the low to the middle level and another 35 percent from the middle to the high level.

As with the white families milk is followed closely by white potatoes and white bread as the food items purchased in greatest quantity. However, the average quantity purchased per person of these two items declined from the lowest to the highest economic level.

The same trend noted for white families, $i$. e., the great increase in the quantities of fruits and vegetables purchased, especially the

green and leafy ones, with rise in economic level, was also true of Negro families.

An estimate of the adequacy of the food expenditures of the Negro families to provide the foods needed for growth and health in children, and health in adults, has been made by calculating for each family, family size in adequate-food-cost units based on the Bureau of Home Economics adequate diet at minimum cost (see p. 36). The food expenditure of each family was divided by this measure of family size and the resulting figure compared with the calculated cost of this same diet for a man at moderate work, which was taken as unity in the adequate-food-cost scale. The figures furnish the basis for an estimate of the proportion of families spending enough for nutritionally adequate food. The proportion proved to be 64 percent for all the Negro families studied. The percentage spending enough to purchase an adequate diet rose very rapidly with the economic level of the group as shown in figure 13. (For limitations of this method of calculation, see p. 36.)


## Clothing

## Total expenditures per family for clothing.

Total expenditures for clothing by Negro families at the lowest economic level averaged $\$ 140$, declined slightly to $\$ 122$ for the intermediate group, and reached a bigh of $\$ 180$ for the highest economic level. ${ }^{7}$ Differences in size of family at these three different levels resulted in average clothing expenditures per clothing expenditure unit at three levels of $\$ 39$, $\$ 48$, and $\$ 72$, respectively.

That the custom of buying clothes ready to wear extends to the Negro families cooperating in the investigation is indicated by the overwhelming proportion of total clothing expenditures going to the purchase of ready-made clothing. Expenditures for yard goods and findings used for sewing garments at home averaged only slightly over $\$ 3$ per family at the lowest economic level, dropped to $\$ 2$ at the next level, and rose to almost $\$ 4$ at the highest level. Paid help for sewing claimed a very small proportion of the expenditures for Negro families. Only six families reported expenditures for this service.

Gifts of clothing, if paid for from family funds and exchanged within the economic family, were not recorded as gifts, but simply as clothing expenses of the family. When, however, gifts were received from persons outside the family circle, an attempt was made to ascertain their value. Fifty-six percent of the families at the lowest economic level, 43 percent of the families spending $\$ 400$ to $\$ 600$, and 68 percent of the families at the highest economic level reported receiving such gifts. The value of such gifts, as estimated by the families, averaged $\$ 8$ for all families at the lowest economic level and $\$ 12$ at the highest economic level, but as a large proportion of the families could not estimate the value of the items received, the above figures do not give a complete account of this item.

## Clothing expenditures for men and boys.

The men and boys aged 18 years and over in the Negro families studied in New York purchased clothing of an average value of $\$ 37$ per person at the lowest economic level, $\$ 43$ per person at the next level, and $\$ 68$ at the highest level. The limited numbers of cases of boys less than 18 years old in these 100 families bars comparison of average clothing expenditures in lower age groups with those of older men.

When the clothing expenditures of Negro men 18 years of age and over are grouped according to types of garments (sea table 27) expenditures for outerwear and footwear are found to constitute 73 percent of the total. However, both of these groups required a decreasing proportion of total expenditures with rise in economic level. On the contrary the percentage allotted to miscellaneous items, which includes ties, gloves, etc., almost doubled from the lowest to the highest economic level. One of the largest expenses in this group was for

[^41]cleaning and repairing, for which the average expenditure per man using such services was $\$ 1.77$ at the lowest economic level, and $\$ 4.77$ at the highest.

Seventy-one percent of the men at the lowest economic level bought street shoes, averaging 1.6 pairs per man purchasing, at an average price of $\$ 3.75$ per pair; at the highest economic level, 73 percent of the men bought street shoes, averaging 1.5 per man purchasing, at an average price of $\$ 5.30$ per pair. Work shoes were purchased by 14 percent at the lowest level and 17 percent at the highest. Half of the men at the lowest level bought an average of 3.3 ties at an average price of 65 cents, while at the highest economic level 68 percent of the men bought 4.1 ties at an average price of 73 cents.

Purchases of overcoats were made by one man out of four at the lowest economic level, by one out of eight at the intermediate level, and by one out of five at the highest economic level. - Topcoats on the other hand were purchased by 1 out of 17 men at the lowest economic level, 1 out of 50 at the intermediate economic level, and by 1 out of 14 at the highest economic level distinguished. At the lowest economic level the average price for the overcoats was $\$ 20$, and for the topcoats $\$ 16$, while among families spending $\$ 600$ and over per expenditure unit per year, the average price paid for men's overcoats was $\$ 26$ and for topcoats $\$ 21$. Men at the lowest economic level purchased a new heavy wool suit on the average of once in 4 years, those at the next higher level once in 5 years, and those at the highest economic level once in a little under 3 years. The average price paid for these suits by men in families at the lowest economic level was $\$ 25$ and at the highest economic level was $\$ 31$. A new lightweight wool suit was purchased on the average once in 9 years at an average price of $\$ 21$ by men in families at the lowest economic level, whereas by men at the highest economic level such suits were purchased on the average once in 4 years at an average price of $\$ 23$.

Tasme 27,-Distribution of annual clothing expenditures for individuals in familiet at successive economic levels
MEN AND BOYS 18 YEARS OF AGE AND OVER
NEGRO FAMILIES-NEW YORE CITY, IRS-36

| Sex, are group, and type of clothing | Olothing expendicures per person in- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { tamilles }}{ }$ | Fomilles spending per expenditure unit por year- |  |  | An Anlies | Families apending per axpenditure unit per yex |  |  |
|  |  | Under | $\$ 100 \text { to }$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { seen and } \\ \text { ovar } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | Under $\$ 150$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \text { to } \\ & \$ 800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5600 \text { and } \\ & \text { ovar } \end{aligned}$ |
| Males is ytars of age and ovar: Hendwear |  | 528 | \$1. 89 | **) 05 | Percent $5.6$ | Percent | Present | Peroent s. |
| Outarwear... | 28.39 | 20.05 | 20.28 | 3. 78 | 81.2 | E80 | 48.8 | 81.1 |
| Underveer. | 3.34 | 3.00 | 4.75 | 5.69 | 7.8 | 20 | 23 | 8.1 |
| Footwear. | 10.98 | 塞96 | 1077 | 12.8 | 281 | 2.0 | 24.8 | 18.8 |
| Misceilaneous ltams. | 6.63 | 8.14 | 7. 81 | 10.78 | 12.1 | 8.4 | 17.6 | 18. |
| Total. | 19.60 | \$7. 8 |  | 67.90 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |



Clothing expenditures for women and girls.
Women and girls 18 years of age and over spent practically the same amounts for clothing at each economic level as did men and boys in the same age group as contrasted with the white lgroup, in which the women spent considerably more than the men. The annual expenditures of the women and girls 18 years of age and over averaged $\$ 34$ at the lowest level, $\$ 45$ at the next level, and $\$ 74$ at the highest level. The limited numbers of cases of girls aged less than 18 among the Negro families studied in New York City precludes a comparison of expenditures among women and girls of different age groups.

Classification of clothing expenditures by type of article indicates that among Negro women and girls aged 18 and over in New York, outerwear, headwear, and footwear together accounted for 78 percent of their total clothing expenditures (table 28). Footwear (including shoes, rubbers, and hosiery) was the largest item of expense, with an average of $\$ 20$ for all Negro women; outerwear (coats, suits, dresses, blouses, and sweaters), representing an average expenditure of $\$ 18$, was second; underwear with $\$ 6$ came third; miscellaneous items (including accessories) were fourth with an average of $\$ 5.50$, and headwear, averaging $\$ 3$, represented the smallest expenditure. When these items are further classified by economic level, the respective ranks of these groups of items show some shift. Thus outerwear claimed a larger proportion of total expenditures at the higher levels, while footwear expenditures, though they were larger in dollars, represented a lower percentage of the total. Miscellaneous items, which took the fourth largest proportion of the total at the lowest economic level, had moved up to third place at the highest economic level.

Silk hose were purchased by a larger proportion of women at every economic level than any other one article. Eighty-eight percent of women at the lowest level purchased silk hose, each buying about 18 pairs per year at an average price of 54 cents. At the highest cconomic leval 90 percent of the women averaged 23 pairs per woman purchasing silk hose and paid an average price of 66 cents.

The items second and third in importance in terms of proportion of women purchasing were street shoes and felt hats.

Table 28.-Distribution of annual clothing expenditures for individuals in families at successive economic levels
FOMEN AND GIRLS IS YEARS OF AGE AND OVER
NEGRO FAMILIES-NEW YORK CITY, 1834-36

| Sex, age groap, and type of | Clothiog expenditures par person in- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\substack{\text { fami- } \\ \text { Hase }}}{\text { All }}$ | Frmitles spending per expenditure unit per year- |  |  |  | Familles spending per expenditure unit por year- |  |  |
|  |  | Under $\$ 400$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \text { to } 0 \\ & 5000 \end{aligned}$ | $5600 \text { and }$ ovar |  |  | ${ }^{*}+100 \mathrm{ta}_{0}$ | ${ }_{\substack{8600 \mathrm{and} \\ \text { oper }}}$ |
| Women 18 years of age and ower: Headwser | 33.03 |  |  | 4.32 | Percent | Pereent | Percent | Percent |
| Outarmear.- | 18.08 | 8.83 | 17.78 | \%. 77 | 34.0 | $2{ }^{2} 2$ | 39.1 | 32.3 |
| Undorwear- | 8. 25 | ${ }^{3} \mathbf{3} 95$ | ${ }^{6} .22$ | ${ }^{8.75}$ | 11.7 | 11.8 | 11.5 | 11.9 |
| Miscotluniocus itams. | ${ }_{6}^{20.37}$ | 15.75 2.24 | ${ }_{\text {16, }}^{16.31} 8$ | 27.36 0.45 | 38.3 10.3 | -9.9 | $\begin{array}{r}35.9 \\ 8.0 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{12.8}^{37.1}$ |
| Total | 58.24 | 33.57 | 45.4 | 73.85 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

At the lowest economic level women purchased heavy wool costs on the average once every 7 years, and at the intermediate level about once every 4 years. The figures as reported by families at the highest economic level for heavy wool coats indicate that they were purchased about once in every 6 years. Hawevar, at this level fur costs were purchased, and a larger proportion of sweaters and light coats than at the lowest leval. The sverage prices paid at each of the three levels for heavy wool coats were $\$ 19$, $\$ 31$, and $\$ 19$, respectively.

A new pair of street shoes was bought about once every 10 months by women at the two lower economic levels studied, and once every 8 months at the highest level. The average price per pair of shoes bought was $\$ 3.20$ at the lowest level, and $\$ 4.80$ at the highest.

Only 41 percent of the women in the families at the lowest expenditure level reported the purchase of silk and rayon dresses. Of those women actually purchasing during the schedule year, each bought an average of 1.6 dresses at an average price of $\$ 4,83$. Sixty-three percent of the women in the group of families at the highest level purchased silk or rayon dresses during the year. These women bought on the average 2.5 dresses each, at an everage price of $\$ 5.42$.

## Recreation

At the highest economic level among the families studied in the Negro group, where economic pressure was less severe, a larger number of dollars was spent, on the average, for recreation, and also a larger percentage of their total expenditures. The proportion allotted to recreation was 4.6 percent at the economic level spending under $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit per year and 6.0 at the economic level
spending $\$ 600$ and over. ${ }^{*}$ Of the items included in this report under the heading recreation, the largest average dollar expenditure went for tobecco at every economic level. Average expenditures for tobacco veried from $\$ 22$ at the lowest level to $\$ 29$ at the highest. Admissions to moving-picture theaters constituted the second largest item of recreation as they did for white families. However, average actual expenditures by the Negro families for this item were about three-fourths the amount of those for white families at the comparable low economic levels and less than half at comparsble higher levels.

Newspapers purchased on the street constituted the bulk of the expenditures for reading, the third largest item of recreation, st the lowest level and the fourth largest at the two upper levels. About $\$ 11$ per family per year was spent for newspapers among the families in the lowest group, about $\$ 16$ at the highest. Only 5 out of the 100 families reported purchases of books other than school texts, and no family reported payments for books borrowed from loan libraries.

Expenditures for recreational equipment, as musical instruments, radios, athletic supplies, children's play equipment, etc., increased markedly with rise in economic level both in dollar value and in percentage of total recreation expenditures. The average dollar value at the lowest level was $\$ 6$ and the highest, $\$ 26$. This increase is accounted for primarily by the purchase of radios. The percentage of families owning radios at each of the three economic levels is 80,86 , and 92, respectively. These proportions are somewhat higher than those found for white families. Almost a thind of the families at the highest level bought a radio during the schedule year, paying on an average of $\$ 62$ per radio.

Average expenditures for the purchase and care of pets by Negro families exceeded those by white families at comparable levels.

## Transportation

The average expenditure for transportation per family was twice as large at the highest as at the lowest economic level, but in contrast to the trends noted for white families, the mode of transportation remained the same for all levels. ${ }^{2}$ Practically all of the larger sum spent was due to a rise in the amount spent for trolley, bus, and subway fares, which constituted the bulk of transportation expenditures other than that for automobiles. These fares averaged $\$ 34$ at the lowest level and represented 91 percent of total expenditures for transportation, and $\$ 58$ at the highest, representing 74 percent of the total. There were no expenditures for automobile purchase, operation, and maintenance at the lowest level and an average expenditure per family of $\$ 9$ at the highest. But at the lowest level Negro families spent considerably more for taxis and for boat rides than did comparable white families.

[^42]Only two of the 100 Negro families cooperating in the investigation owned cars; one of the families occurred in the middle economic group and the other in the highest. The latter family purchased a car during the schedule year. Both cars were second-hand when purchased.

## Medical Care

The average expenditure per person for medical care ranged from $\$ 3.62$ at the lowest economic level to $\$ 16.72$ at the highest. Even the latter figure is less than half the expenditure estimated as necessary for health by the Committee on the Costs of Medical Care. ${ }^{10}$ The largest expenditures for all families were for medicine and drugs and for the services of general practitioners. The average expenditure for medicine and drugs was $\$ 5.76$ at the lowest level and $\$ 10.72$ at the highest, and for the services of general practitioners $\$ 3.44$ and $\$ 9.25$, respectively. At the highest level the largest average expenditure reported was $\$ 11.58$ for dentist. However, no family reported any expenditures for nursing services of any type nor for a bed in a ward in a hospital. An interesting comparison is afforded between white and Negro families in the matter of accident and health insurance. For white families the highest average expenditure was $\$ 1.82$ reported by families spending $\$ 800$ and over per expenditure unit. Expenditures for this item by Negro families, on the other hand, averaged 59 cents at the lowest economic level, $\$ 3.58$ at the middle level, and $\$ 2.62$ at the highest level. ${ }^{11}$

With rise in economic level a greater proportion of the total amounts spent for general practitioners went for home as opposed to office visits. The average expenditure for home visits rose with economic level from $\$ 0.72$ to $\$ 5.13$, and for office visits from $\$ 2.72$ to $\$ 4.12$.

## Personal Care

Average actual dollar expenditures per family for personal care increased from the lowest to the highest economic level as did the percentage of total expenditures represented by these dollar figures. ${ }^{12}$ Both in terms of actual dollars and of proportion of total expenditure the Negro families at each level spent slightly more for personal care items than did white families. At each of the three economic levels a larger percentage of the total expenditures for personal care was allotted to services than to toilet articles and preparations. Haircuts are the most frequently used services, accounting for 34 percent of total personal care expenditures at the lowest level and 20 percent at the highest level. Shampoos accounted for the next largest average expenditures for personal care services. This is in direct contrast

[^43]with the spending of white families, which averaged less than $\$ 3$ per family per year in the economic levels represented by expenditures of $\$ 600$ and over per expenditure unit as against about $\$ 8$ per Negro family per year at the same level.

## Formal Education

No expenditures for members of the economic family away at school were reported by Negro families. Over half of the families at the lowest economic level reported expenditures for school books, supplies, etc., but less than a fifth of the families at the highest level made such purchases. ${ }^{18}$ The average amount spent varied from 43 cents for families in the middle economic group to $\$ 1.65$ for the lowest economic group, and $\$ 6.66$ for the highest group.

## Vocation

Union dues or fees constitute practically the entire amount of the expenditures reported for vocational purposes. Twenty percent of the families at the lowest level and about 30 percent of the families at the highest level paid dues or fees to union organizations, averaging $\$ 3.22$ and $\$ 4.06$, respectively. ${ }^{13}$

## Gifts and Contributions to Individuals and to the Community Welfare

A very striking increase in the average expenditure for gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family takes place with rise in economic level among Negro families. The average expenditure at the lowest level was $\$ 4.94$ and at the highest $\$ 53.19 .^{13}$ Of the $\$ 4.94$ about half went for Christmas and birthday gifts and about half for the support of relatives. At the highest level $\$ 15.38$ was spent for gifts and $\$ 35.80$ for the support of relatives.

No poll, income, or personal property taxes were paid by Negro families at any of the three economic levels. ${ }^{18}$ The average expenditures per family for community welfare were $\$ 7.05, \$ 6.60$, and $\$ 11.04$ for the three levels, respectively, and went almost entirely to religious organizations. Eleven families in the two upper groups reported gifts to community chest and other organizations.

## Miscellaneous

No expenditures for funerals, gardens, legal costs, etc., were made by Negro families at the lowest economic level. ${ }^{18}$ At the two highest levels the only expenditures of significance were for funerals, averaging $\$ 7.30$ and $\$ 20.95$, respectively, per family for all families. The cost per funeral averaged approximately $\$ 270$ and $\$ 398$, respectively, for families incurring such expense.

[^44]
# Part III.-Tabular Summary 

Table 1.-Distribution of families, by economic level and income level

| Itam | $\frac{\text { 券 }}{\frac{3}{3}}$ | Economie lepel-Familles spending per exponditure untt per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \frac{8}{4} \\ & \frac{8}{8} \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \frac{8}{8} \\ & 5 \\ & \frac{8}{8} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 要 } \\ & \stackrel{2}{4} \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \frac{8}{5} \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & \mathbf{8} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & \frac{9}{2} \\ & \frac{8}{2} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Distribulion by fomity income letal <br> White familiee in survey $\qquad$ | 887 | 7 | 52 | 135 | 163 | 138 | 124 | 94 | 60 | 4 | 17 | 17 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 4 46 127 184 180 139 76 62 35 12 12 13 10 6 8 1 | 0 2 2 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 10 20 11 11 3 3 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 2 12 32 37 31 31 13 4 1 1 8 0 0 0 0 | 1 11 24 38 38 39 27 9 9 8 8 1 1 1 1 0 0 | 0 8 38 36 37 21 18 18 15 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ 2 2 2 2 0 0 | 0 8 12 28 30 24 9 7 7 5 2 2 0 0 1 0 1 | $\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 22 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$ | 0 0 2 5 17 11 8 8 8 4 3 4 1 1 0 1 0 | 0 2 3 8 12 12 8 8 8 8 2 0 0 1 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 6 \\ & 4 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 |
| N Bgro familles in iuryay............. | 100 | ${ }^{3}$ | 7 | 15 | 21 | 16 | 18 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11 11 26 31 15 8 2 4 1 0 0 2 0 1 | 0 8 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 1 2 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 2 8 8 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 5 5 0 8 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 10 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 1 1 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |

Notes on this table ure in appendix A, p. 178.

Table 2.--Description of families siudied, by economic level WHITE FAMILIES


[^45]Table 2.-Description of familiee studied, by cconomic level-Continued
WHITE FAMTLIES-Continued


[^46]Table 2.-Description of families studied, by conomic level-Continued NRGRO FAMHIES

" "Children"s arb defined as persons under 10 years of age. "Adulis" art persons lo years of age and aver.
Notes on this table are in appandit A, P. 179

Tablu 2.-Description of families studied, by economic level-Continued NEGRO FAMILIEG-Continued

| Item | $\frac{\text { All }}{\substack{\text { fami }}} \mathrm{H}$ | Neonomie level-Fimilles spending per expenditure unit per yerr |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Un- der \$300 | $\$ 300$ to $\$ 400$ | $\$ 400$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 500$ | \$500 $\mathbf{t 0}$ $\$ 600$ | $\$ 600$ $\$ 700$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 700 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \mathbf{5 8 0 9} \end{aligned}$ | \%sen |
| Earning and Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other net rents. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deductions from incoms onusingss losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Surplut (net focrevse in assets andor de- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. 67 |
| A werape amount of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earninge of individuals................. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 16 years. |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 16 years | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensions and insurance annuities.........Uifts from persons outside economic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other sources of income .-...-...........-- | 4 | 0 | 10 |  | 7 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| Deductions from income (business losses |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Burplus por tamliy baving surplus (net jncreate in assete andjor decrase ha Lisbili- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Defiet par famify having defilt (not docrense in assets and/or tnerease in linbili- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 115 | 31 | 22 | 88 | 87 | 191 | 154 | 123 |
| Not chaoges in arsets and liabllitise for all familter in aurvey. | $+10$ | $+6$ | $+18$ | +41 | -4 | $-17$ | -15 | +酸 |
| Inheritance |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |

* Lese than 90.80

Notes an this table are in appendix A, p. 17 .
ally making the expenditure for or having the indicated item. The average expenditure per family purchasing a given item may be readily computed by multiplying the average for all families in the group by the number of families in the group to obtain the aggregate expenditure and dividing this figure by the number of families purchasing.

Sales tax.-See appendix A, page 193, for method of handling sales tax.

## Notes on individual tables.

$i$
Table 1.-Distribution of families, by economic level and income level
Families have been classified by economic level according to the amount epent per expenditure unit, a measure which takes into account total family expenditure and family size and composition. The explanation of this measure and its use will be found on pages 23 and 229 ff.
Families have been classified by income level according to the net family income, i. e., total family income minus nonfamily losses and business expense.

## Table 2.-Description of families atudied, by economic level

(See also tables 5, 10, and 22 for the same data by other clasalfications)
For tabulation the classification of occupations was made on the basis of the Works Progress Administration occupational classification. See appendix D, page 202.

The homemaker is the person reporting herself responsible for the management of the household, or in the case of two-generation families, the wife of the "head of the family."

Household and economic family are defined on page 175. In this table, a person in the household or economic family for less than a year is treated as the appropriste decimal equivalent of a person.

The equivalent number of persons in the household is computed by adding the total number of weeks spent in the household by each member of the household, and dividing the resulting aggregate by 52 (the number of weeks in a year). Number of equivalent persons in other categories listed in the table are computed in the same manner.

Earnings.-Earnings include wages, salaries, tips, industrial bonuses, and commissions. Amounts deducted by employers for pensions, insurance, annuities, etc, are included in earnings, and also entered in table 14 as payment of premiums for insurance policies. If a worker, as for example, in the building trades, pays a helper, the workers' earnings are entered as net, i. e., the pay to the helper is deducted.

Net earnings from boarders and lodgers are gross payments for board and lodging minus the calculated cost of food for boarders. The cost of boarders' food is computed by dividing each family's annual food expenditure by the number of food-expenditure units for all the persons eating in the household; the resulting food expenditure per-food-expenditure unit is then multiplied by the number of food-expenditure units for boarders.

Other net rents are gross rents received from tenanta less current expenditures paid out by the scheduled family in connection with rented property.

Pensions and insurance annuities include veterans' pensions, pensions from employers, compensation received under workmen's compensation laws, unemploy-

Table 3.-Expenditures for groups of items, by economic level
WHUTE FAMILIES

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { famf } \\ \text { Lites } \end{gathered}$ | Economic level-Families spending par expenditure undt per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 00 \\ & \$ 00 \\ & \$ 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100 \\ & t 0 \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \$ 00 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 700 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 800 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { \$000 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 900 \\ t=000 \\ \$ 1,600 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { si,000 } \\ & \text { 0nd } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| Expenditures for grospe of ttems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families fn survey | 897 | 59 | 135 | 163 | 168 | 12 | 94 | 60 | 41 | 83 |
| A yerage family stze: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persons ........... | 3.65 | 5. 78 | 461 | 4.08 | 3.63 | 3.08 | 294 | 277 | 2.62 | 220 |
| Expenditure units | 3.39 | 5.16 | 4. 20 | 3.76 | 3.38 | 2.80 | 2.76 | 2.61 | 250 | 2.16 |
| Food expenditure units...-- | 3.18 | 4.85 | 2.82 | 3. 52 | 3.10 | 2.67 | 2.60 | 2.45 | 2.37 | 2. 65 |
| Clothing expenditars units- | 3.05 | 42 | 3.84 | 3.31 | 3.09 | 23 | 2.58 | 2.5\% | 2.35 | 230 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Food. | 670 | ${ }^{573}$ | ${ }^{1} 899$ | 062 | -691 | 1, 605 | 724 | T2, 21 | 75 | +2, 041 |
| Clothing | 202 | 100 | 141 | 170 | 211 | 202 | 239 | 280 | 268 | ${ }_{388}$ |
| Housing --.--- | 384 | 272 | 339 | 368 | 389 | 386 | 407 | 428 | 52 | 504 |
| Fubl, ingt, and rairlgerstion. | 90 | 88 | 90 | 82 | 85 | 02 | 94 | 01 | 97 | 90 |
| Other mousehold operation. | 68 | 38 | 45 | 37 | 65 | 71 | 76 | 59 | 90 | 138 |
| Furnishings and equipment $\qquad$ | 47 | 13 | 10 | 37 | 42 | 50 | 84 | 71 | 108 | 84 |
| Automobile and motor cycle-purchase, opers- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tiom, and mbintanance- | 33 | 2 | ${ }^{6}$ | 13 | 17 | 31 | 44 | 53 | 111 | 143 |
| Other transportation....- | 60 | 42 | 50 | 83 | 8 | 5 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 87 |
| Personni care. | 35 | 23 | 27 | 34 | 36 | 36 | 37 | 48 | 44 | 53 |
| Medical care. | 94 | 27 | 38 | 53 | 86 | -66 | 74 | 108 | 71 | 126 |
| Recreation. | 114 | 50 | 80 | 80 | 118 | 124 | 137 | 144 | 185 | 172 |
| Education | ${ }^{6}$ | 2 | 3 | ${ }^{6}$ | 8 | 8 | 5 | ${ }^{6}$ | 7 | ${ }^{8}$ |
| Vocation-....-..... | 15 | 3 | 9 | 14 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 33 | 18 |
| Community welfara.-..-- | 16 | 0 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 11 | 18 | 24 | 20 | 22 |
| Girts and contributions to persong outside the ectnomic family. <br> Other items | $\begin{array}{r}30 \\ \hline 6\end{array}$ | 3 | 6 | 168 | $\frac{24}{8}$ | 33 | 40 | 64 3 | 64 22 | \% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frod | 100.0 | 45.8 | 100.7 | 100.9 38.3 | ${ }^{100.0}$ | 100.0 | 35.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | ${ }_{26.1}$ |
| Clothing | 11.0 | 8.0 | 0.6 | 10.1 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 11.7 | 127 | 11.4 | 12.8 |
| Housing. | 20.0 | 21.7 | 53.1 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 20.8 | 19.9 | 19.3 | 10.1 | 10. 1 |
| Fuel, lifit, and refrigerstion. | 4.0 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 8.0 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.4 |
| Other bousehoid opers- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tion--......-............ | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 5.2 |
| Furnishings and equipment | 2.6 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 3.5 |
| Automobite and motor- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cycie-purchase, opera- <br> tion, and maintenance- | 1.3 | 3. | 4 | 8 | 5 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 24 | 4.7 | 5.4 |
| Other transportation.-.-- | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 3.3 |
| Personal care. | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 20 |
| Medteal care | 3.5 | 2.2 | 26 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 4.8 |
| Recrestion. | 6.2 | 4.7 | 5.5 | \%. 3 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 4.8 |
| Education- | . 3 | . 2 | . 2 | 4 | . 4 | . ${ }^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | .2 | . 3 | . 3 | . 2 |
| Vocation. | . 8 | . 2 | . 6 | . 8 | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 8 | 1.3 | . 6 |
| Communty welfare---- | . 8 | . 7 | .8 | . 8 | . 8 | . 0 | . 9 | 1.1 | . 8 | . 8 |
| Glites and contrihutions ta persons outside the economie family. | 1.6 | . 2 | . 8 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 29 | 23 | 3.7 |
|  | . 3 | .2 | .4 | . 3 | . 2 | . 2 | (1) | . 1 | .9 | 1.2 |

1 Less then 0.05 percent.
Notes on this table are in rppendix A, p. 180.

TABLE 8.-Expenditures for groupe of items, by economic level-Continued
NEGRO FAMILIEs

| Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { An } \\ & \text { famb- } \\ & \text { his } \end{aligned}$ | coonomio level-Famillen spending per expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 200 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300 \\ & t 0 \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{7 0 0} \\ & \text { to } \\ & \mathbf{t y o n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sond } \\ & \text { gind } \\ & \text { ovar } \end{aligned}$ |
| Erperadiures for groupy of temt |  | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 6.62 \\ 6.12 \\ 6.74 \\ 4.14 \end{array}$ | 15 | 21 | 18 | 18 | 14 |  |
| Families in survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persons -........ |  |  | 3.73 | 2.02 | 283 | 2.38 | 2.79 |  |
| Expanditure units |  |  | 3.45 | 2.77 | 272 | 2.29 | 2.70 | 213 |
| Food expenditure units |  |  | 8. 31 | 2.55 | ${ }^{2} 86$ | 2.12 | 2.51 | 1. 38 |
| Clothing axpenditure un |  |  |  | 2.54 | 260 | 2.40 | 268 | 234 |
| A varage annusl current expanditure for- |  |  | \$1, 255 | \$1,223 | \$1,474 | 31,474 | \$1, 088 | \$1, 885 |
| Food. |  | \$1, 181 |  | 108 |  |  | 51, 581 |  |
| Clothing |  | 121382 | [152 | 114 | 13242 | 144446 | 181 | ${ }_{535}^{204}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fual, $11 \mathrm{ght}$, and retrigeration |  | 757020 | 788888 | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ \hline 88 \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r}80 \\ 48 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 18 <br> 81 <br> 71 | $\begin{array}{r}182 \\ 82 \\ \hline 7\end{array}$ | 6886788 |
| Purnishings and equipment. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 214 |  |
| Automobile and motorcyclo-purctase, |  |  |  |  |  | 298981 |  |  |
| Opherstion, and manntensioe |  | 0 40 |  |  | ${ }_{32}^{54}$ |  | ${ }_{88}^{78}$ | ${ }_{8}^{25}$ |
| Personal care--...-.-.-..................... |  | 20 | ${ }_{20}^{24}$ | ${ }_{29} 8$ |  | 32 37 87 |  |  |
| Medical care. |  | 10 | 72 | cs 5 | 106 | 9 | 122 | 106 |
| Education. |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vocation. |  | 2 | $\frac{4}{7}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{10}^{10}$ | ${ }_{9}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 12 |
| Community meliare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gide tre economid family |  | 4 | 6 | 11 | 37 | 44 | 05 | 62 |
| Otider items. |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 5 | 59 | 89 |
| Percentage of total annual current expenditurs lor- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {dil }}$ trams? | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & { }_{29.0} \end{aligned}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Food. | 81.0 | ${ }^{30.3} 3$ | 121 | 93.3 | ${ }^{29.2}$ |  |  |  |
| Clotbing | 10.3 | 10.7 |  |  |  | 20.8 | 9.6 | ${ }_{3}^{13.3}$ |
| Housing. | 28.0 | 6.6 | 6.28.92.8 |  | 29.9 <br> 5.4 | 30.3 4.3 | 24.3 |  |
| Other household operation. | 8. |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}+4.8 \\ +4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3. 8 | ${ }^{20.8}$ |
| Furnishings and equipment | 3.4 | 1.8 .6 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 2.6 |  |  | 3.4 7.3 |
| Automobile and motorcyole-purchase, operation, and maintensice. |  |  | ${ }^{2} 8$ |  | 3.7 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 1.3 |
| Other transportation....................... | 3.8 | ${ }^{0.6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Porssonsi care. | 2.3 | 1.8.9 | 2.23.75. | - 248 | 2.2 | 2.21.8 | 28 | $\frac{3}{2} 4$ |
| Medical car | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recrsation. | 6.6 | 28 <br> 3 <br> .3 <br> .8 | 5.7 | (1.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ( 7.1 | $\begin{array}{r}8.3 \\ .8 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | ${ }^{8.1}$ | 5.8.8.8.6 |
| Vocation. | . 3 |  | . 3 | ${ }^{.} 1$ | ${ }^{.} 7$ | 3 | . 2 |  |
| Community welara. | . 8 | . | . 5 | 4 | . 6 | . 6 | . 6 |  |
| Olits and oontributions to persons outsidat the coonomide family Othef itams. | 2.1 1.1 | $0^{.4}$ | .8 | 4.0 .1 | 2.8 1.6 | 3.0 .3 | 3.8 3.0 | 2.8 2.0 |

${ }^{3}$ Loss thra 50.60.
S Less than 0.05 percent.
Notes on this table are in appatidix A, $p .180$.

Table 4.-Disposition of money received during schedule year not used for current expenditure and funds made available for family use from sources other than family income in schedule year, by economic level

WEITE FAMILIES

| Item | fandlles | Economie level-Families spanding par axpanditure unit per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under | $\begin{gathered} 3000 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 4500 \text { to }$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \text { to } \\ \$ 600 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{5000} \text { to }$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 7000 \\ \$ 8000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8000 \\ & \text { sod } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Disportition of Money Recetived During the Schedule Year not Used for Current Family Expenditure Families in survey | 897 | 60 | 135 | 183 | 168 | 124 | 06 | 186 |
| Number of lamilies disposing offunds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increase in assels: | 108888 | 002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increase in cashOn hand |  |  |  | 0010 | 3014 | 221212 | 1 <br> 2 <br> 13 | 30 |
| In chacking socount.............- |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment in- |  |  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 2 |  |
| Improvements In own home.-- | 17 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other real astate (including |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 008 |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building and loan shares........ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Other property....- | 19 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 4 |  |
| Paymant of premiums far insur- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life insurance. | 778 | 48 | 109 | 142 | 151 | 113 | 4 | 131 |
| Annuities.--- | 2 L | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Increase in outstanding loans to others. |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| Decrease in liabilifies | 26 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Payment on princlpat of mort- |  | 0 | 3 | 8 |  | 3 | 2 | 7 |
| own home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payment on principal of other | 11 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Payment of dobits | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8} \\ & \mathbf{8} \end{aligned}$ | 010 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banks..... |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 0000 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Insurance companies.-. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Firms selling on instaliment plan- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automoblles...-..........---- | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 55 | 3 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 7 | , | 8 |
| Individals. | $\frac{17}{81}$ |  | 2 |  | ${ }_{6}^{2}$ | 3 |  |  |
| A vernge amount oftunds dispoeed inIncrease in assets and/or derrease in lisbilites. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 5141.67 \\ 122.17 \end{array}$ | $\mid \text { sios. } 12$ | $\operatorname{HiO}_{010} 41$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 513 . \\ & 11.54 \\ & \hline 10 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3} 14.59 \\ & 131.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 149.78 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 187.01 \\ & 148880 \end{aligned}$ | 1168.35 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increase in cash- | $\begin{array}{r} 1.18 \\ -39 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{1.86}$ | 1.52.81 | 1.64 |  |
| On hand ㄱ.....-. |  |  | $0{ }^{.4}$ |  |  |  |  | 2.48 |
| In sayings account. | 15.09 | . 88 | 203 | 448 | 12. 38 | 283 | 30.49 | 2. 68 |
| Investment in- | 2.35 | 8.03 | . 00 | 0 | 1.18 | 7.37 | 4.41 | . 16 |
| Improvements in own hame. Other real estate (including |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| real estate mortgages)...-...- | . 80 | 0 | 204 | .$^{07}$ | ${ }^{0} .30$ | 0 |  | . 62 |
| Building and login shares...---- | . 08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stocks and bonds Other property | 2.50 | . 28 | 1.08 | 1.80 | 1.61 | 4.44 | 7.18 | 23 |
| Payment of premiums for insur- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ance policies: |  | ${ }_{0}^{89.60}$ | $840$ | $104.50$ | $10234$ | $100.21$ |  | $100.11$ |
| Life fnsurance................... | $\begin{array}{r} 90.92 \\ .35 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.91 \\ & 1.40 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Incresse in outstanding loans to others. | $\begin{aligned} & 2.13 \\ & 17.40 \end{aligned}$ | $8.85$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 1. } 89 \\ 13.48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .37 \\ 22_{9}^{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.79 \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8. } 81 \\ & \text { is } 77 \end{aligned}$ | $12.70$ | ${ }^{3.57}$ |
| Decrearnin llabilities.................. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payment on principal of mortgages snd down payment on ofo home | 4.36 | 0 | 1. 30 | 8.30 | 2.47 | 5.2 | 2.83 | 6.77 |
| Payment on principal of other | 1.34 |  | . 50 | 3.68 | 1.46 | 1.61 |  | \% |
| mortgages- |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | . 53 |  |
| Payment of debis to- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance companies | $\begin{aligned} & .88 \\ & .37 \\ & .37 \end{aligned}$ | $0^{24}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 1.33 | 0 | $0$ | 1. 28 | $0^{.08}$ |
| Small-loan companies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Firms solling on instaiment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antomoblles |  | 1.08 | 8.85 | ${ }_{5} 800$ | 8.85 | 206 | 10.02 | a. 88 |
| Indiylduals | - $\begin{array}{r}1.80 \\ 2 \\ 2\end{array}$ | 1. 69 | 1.35 | 2.15 2.03 | - 3.05 |  | 3. ${ }^{3.83}$ | ${ }_{4} 88$ |

Notes on thls table are in appendix A, pp. 181-182.

Tabli 4.-Disposition of money received during schedule year not used for current expenditure and fuñds made available for family use from sources other than family income in schedule year, by economic level-Continued

WHITE FAMILIEs-Continued


Notes on this table are th appondix A, pp. 181-189.

Table 4.-Disposition of money received during schedule year not used for current expenditure and funds made available for family use from sources other than family income in schedule year, by economic level-Continued

## NEGRO FAMIEIES



Noter on this table are in appendix A, pp. 181-189.

Tably 4.-Dispostion of money received during schedule year not used for current expenditure and funds made available for family use from sources other than family income in schedule year, by economic level-Continued

NEORO FAMILEE-Continusd

| Tem | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All fam: } \\ & \text { lies } \end{aligned}$ | Ecomomle level-Familles spending per expenditur nailt per year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \text { samit } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 400 \text { to }$ | $\$ 800 \text { and }$ |
| Fundo Made Avolloble for Fandy Ube Jrom Sourcen Oiter chan Fomily Income in Schadule Year |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On mand......ennt | 14 | 8 | 8 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other property. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Insursnce polictens |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ineretss in Habilities |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Increse in other mortgaral | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| incresse in debts- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inheritanio. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A yerake amount of tunds recelved from- |  |  |  |  |
| Decrease in asseis and/or morease in linobilitios. <br> Decracse in assets. | \$38.38 | \$48.02 | \$68.08 | \$134.28 |
|  | 37. 92 | 24.68 | 26. 58 | 57.68 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.55 | 2.80 | 9.78 | 8.8 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | 11.88 | 3.86 | 2.70 | 2.18 |
| Sale of property: |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate (inoluding mal estate mortgages) |  |  |  |  |
| Buildigg and loen shares-.............................................................. 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 18.68 | 4.35 | 8. 24 |
|  | 11. 13 | 0 | 9.85 | 10.74 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inarease in debts- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Payable to insurance companies. <br> Paysble to small-loan companies | 6.80 3.60 | ${ }^{0} 1.8$ | 0.08 | 17.88 |
| Payable to orms selling on installment plam: <br> Atatomobilsu. <br> Other roods. <br> Paysble to indivaunts <br> Other debts <br> Inheritance | 3.60 | 1.68 | . 08 | 8.28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18. 57 | 0.68 | 16. 98 | 20.01 |
|  | 7.68 | 8.16 | 8. 11 | 8.92 |
|  | ${ }_{0}^{9.60}$ | 6.92 | 11. 20 | 9.88 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 |

Notes on thit table are in appendin i, pp. 182-182.

Table 5.-Description of families atudied, by income level
WHITE FAMILIES


Table 5.-Deseription of familiea siudied, by income level-Continued WEITE FAMILIES-Continaed


Noten of thit table ere in sppendiz A, p. 189.

Table 5.-Description of families studied, by income Level-Continued NEORO FAMILIES

 Notes on this tsble are in appendir, A, D. 182.

Table 6.-Description of families studied, by income level-Continued NEGRC FAMILIES-Continued


1 Lass tban \$0.50.
Notas on thits table are in appendic A, p. 182.

Table 6.-Expenditures for groups of items, by income level
WHITE PAMILIES

| Itam | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt } \\ & \text { famj- } \\ & \text { lless } \end{aligned}$ | Income level-Families with annual net income of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & t 0 \\ & \$ 900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 900 \\ 160 \\ \$ 1,200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,200 \\ & t 0 \\ & \$ 1,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500 \\ & t \infty \\ & \$ 1,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,800 \\ & t 0 \\ & \mathbf{t 2}, 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,100 \\ & 10 \\ & 5,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,400 \\ & 60 \\ & 52,700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$, 700 \\ & *, 600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,000 \\ & \text { sud } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Expendifures for groupz of Hems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families fu survey. A verage family slze: | 897 | 50 | 127 | 184 | 190 | 138 | 76 | 32 | 35 | 4 |
| Persons .-...-.......------ | 3.65 | 2.93 | 3.32 | 3.37 | 3. 70 | 3. 78 | 8.75 | 4. 30 | 432 | 4.68 |
| Expenditure units | 3.39 | 2.87 | 3.04 | 3.08 | 3.4 | 3. 69 | 3.48 | 406 | 4.16 | 4. 30 |
| Food expenditure units. | 3. 18 | 2.48 | 2.82 | 2.87 | 3.20 | 3. 28 | 8.28 | 3.88 | 3. 86 | 4.8 |
| Clothingerpenditureanits. | 3.05 | 2.25 | 2. 83 | 2.72 | 8.00 | 3.12 | 3.11 | 3.82 | 4. 13 | 478 |
| Average annual cerrent expenditare for- <br> All itams |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | [870 | 379 | -1, 473 | \$1,463 | \$1, 818 | -7210 | 2, 792 | 20,042 | \$2, 86 | \$3, 1.188 |
| Clething | 202 | 81 | 112 | 135 | 183 | 220 | 249 | 320 | 42 | ${ }^{1}$ |
| Housing- --...--........ | 384 | 246 | 327 | 348 | 388 | 416 | 433 | 434 | 45 | 530 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeratlon. | 00 | 75 | 69 | 53 | 5 | 03 | 112 | 106 | 124 | 118 |
| Other housebold operston. | 68 | 32 | 42 | 46 | 6 | 7 | 87 | 88 | 188 | 149 |
| Purnishlngs and equip- meat |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation | 97 | 32 | 48 | 6 | 88 | ${ }_{107}^{108}$ | 110 | 159 | 174 | 24 |
| Personal care | 35 | 16 | 23 | 3 | 34 | 38 | 4 | 51 | 85 | 30 |
| Medical care | 64 | 27 | 47 |  | 88 | 73 | 98 | 99 | 117 | 02 |
| Recreation. | 114 | 46 | 59 | 87 | 102 | 124 | 133 | 190 | 202 | 285 |
| Education. | 6 | (1) | 4 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 12 | 20 | 2 |
| Vocation. | 15 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 17 | 21 | 59 | 23 | \% |
| Community weltare | 15 | 6 | $\theta$ | 0 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 3 | 30 |
| Qifts and contributions to persons ontaide the econnmic family. Other itams | ${ }_{8}^{30}$ | 1 | 8 | 17 | 88 | 12 | ${ }_{36}^{36}$ | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | 90 |
| Fercentage of total annual current expenditure for- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Food | 36.4 | 39.6 | 37.5 | 880 | 37.3 | 36.4 | 35.6 | 3.4 | 33.7 | 34.5 |
| Clothing | 11.0 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 0.2 | 11.2 | 10.9 | 11.2 | 12.9 | 14.0 | 14.3 |
| Housing. | 20.0 | 3.6 | 23.0 | $\underline{23} 8$ | 21.8 | 20.7 | 19.4 | 17.1 | 18.9 | 15.5 |
| Fuel, light, and reftigeration. | 4.0 | 7.9 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 47 | 5.0 | 41 | 4.3 | 3.8 |
| then honsenold opers- | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 46 | 4.8 |
| Furnishings and equip- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ment..--.- | 2.6 | . 6 | 2.2 | 27 | 28 | 25 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 27 |
| Tramsportation | 5.1 | 8.3 | 3.6 | 43 | 48 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 7.0 |
| Personsl care | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 20 | 20 |
| Medical care | 3. 5 | 28 | 3.7 | 29 | 3. 8 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 27 |
| Recreation. | 6.2 | 4.8 | 47 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 7.8 | 7.1 | 6. 3 |
| Education. | .3 | (1) | . 3 | .1 | . 8 | . 3 | . 1 | . 5 | .7 | . 7 |
| Voestion.-. | . 8 | 1.0 | $\cdot 4$ | . 8 | . 7 | . 8 | . 9 | 2.3 | .8 | 18 |
| Commanity wralfare.....- | . 8 | . 6 | . 7 | , 6 | .7 | . 8 | . 7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family | 1.6 | -4 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 46 |
| Othar ltems. | . 3 | . 1 | . 6 | .1 | 4 | . 6 | . 1 | 0 | 7 | (a) |

[^47]Table 6.-Expenditures for groups of ilems, by income level-Continued negro families


Notes on this table are in appendix A, p. 182.

## Table 6．－Expenditures for groups of items，by income level <br> WHITS FAMILIEA

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { famp } \\ \text { lios } \end{gathered}$ | Income level－Fsmilies with annual net lncame of－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & 10 \\ & \$ 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{9 0 0} \\ \text { to } \\ 1,200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,200 \\ & t o \\ & \$ 1,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,600 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 1,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500 \\ & \mathbf{t o n} \\ & \$ 2,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,100 \\ & t 0 \\ & \$ 2,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52,400 \\ & 100 \\ & 52,700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{N}_{2} 700 \\ & 100 \\ & 0,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W.000 } \\ & \text { End } \\ & \text { ovaz } \end{aligned}$ |
| Enpenditures for groups of Hems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Familes in surve | 897 | 60 | 127 | 184 | 150 | 130 | 76 | 82 | 38 | 4 |
| Average family gizes | 3． 65 | 2.83 | 2． 32 | 3.37 | 8． 70 | 3.78 | 8.76 | 4.30 | 4.38 | 68 |
| Expenditure units． | 3.39 | 2.67 | 3.04 | 3.05 | 8.41 | 3.48 | 3.48 | － 4.06 | 4.16 | 4.0 |
| Food expenditure units | 3． 18 | 2.48 | 2.82 | 2.87 | 3．20 | 3.88 | 3． 88 | 3．88 | 8 | 481 |
| Clothlagexpenditureunits． | 3.05 | 2.25 | 2，68 | 2.72 | 3.00 | 3.12 | 3.11 | 3.82 | 4.13 | 4.73 |
| Average annual carrent ex－ penditars for－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \＄1，839 | 501 | \＄1， 282 | 11，463 | \＄1，728 | 20，018 | 28， 230 | 22， 542 | \％ 88 | 38，438 |
| Food | 870 | 379 | － 473 | 887 | 時 | 731 | 782 | 878 | 901 | 1，184 |
| Clothing | 202 | 81 | 112 | 135 | 183 | 200 | 249 | 329 | 49 | 409 |
| Housing．－－－－ | 384 | 248 | 227 | 358 | 388 | 416 | 433 | 434 | 㮃 | 800 |
| Fuel， 1 ght ，and refriger－ ation． $\qquad$ | 90 | 78 | 69 | 83 | 89 | 88 | 112 | 108 | 124 | 113 |
| Other household opers－ tion． | 68 | 32 | 42 | 绪 | 66 | 7 | 87 | 88 | 128 | 149 |
| Furnishings and equip－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transportetion．．－－－－－－－－－－－ | 83 | 32 | 46 | 38 | 88 | 107 | 110 | 159 | 174 | 208 |
| Persinal care． | 38 | 16 | 2 | 27 | 3 | 38 | 4 | 51 | 68 | 70 |
| Medical care． | 64 | 27 | 47 | 42 | 03 | 73 | 98 | 89 | 117 | 02 |
| Recreation． | 114 | 40 | 59 | 87 | 102 | 12 | 133 | 150 | 207 | 285 |
| Eduration． | 6 | （1） | 4 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 12 | 20 | 24 |
| Vocation． | 15 | 10 |  | 7 | 12 | 17 | 21 | 80 | 22 | 29 |
| Community weifare．．．－－ | 15 |  | 9 | ， | 18 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 82 | 39 |
| Olfts and contributions to persons outside the economic family $\qquad$ | 30 | 4 | 12 | 17 | 88 | 32 | 85 | 45 | 56 | $\omega$ |
| Other fiems． | 6 | 1 |  | ． | 0 | 11 | ， | 15 | 21 | 1 |
| Percentage of total annual correnterpenditure for－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All items．．．－－－－－．．．．．．．．．－－ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100．0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Foad． | 36.4 | 39.6 | 37.5 | 880 | 37.3 | 38.4 | 36.8 | 34. | 33.7 | 34.8 |
| Clothing | 11.0 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 8.2 | 10.2 | 10.9 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 14.0 | 14.3 |
| Housing． | 20.9 | 38.6 | 28.9 | 5.8 | 21.8 | 20.7 | 10.4 | 17.1 | 15.0 | 15.3 |
| Fuel，Hght，and refriger－ ation | 4.9 | 7.9 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 8.0 | 47 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 3.3 |
| Other household opera－ tion． | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 45 | 4.3 |
| Furnithings and equip－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ment．．．－－．－．．．．．．－－ | 2.6 | ． 6 | 2.2 | 27 | 28 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 27 |
| Transportation－．．．－－ | 5.1 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 8.3 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 8.1 | 1.0 |
| Personal care． | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1．8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 20 |
| Medical care． | 3.5 | 28 | 2.7 | 28 | 3．${ }^{5}$ | 8.6 | 4.4 | 8.5 | 4.1 | 2.7 |
| Recreation． | 0.2 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 8.5 |
| Edueation．－．．．．．．．．．．．．．．－ | ． 3 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | ． 3 | .1 | ． 5 | ． 3 | ． 1 | ． 5 | ． 7 | ． 7 |
| Vocution．－．－．－．．．．．．．．．－ | ． 8 | 1.0 | ． 4 | ． 5 | .7 | ． 8 | ． 2 | 2.3 | ．88 | 8 |
| Community woltare Qitts and contributions | ． 8 | ． 6 | ． 7 | ． 6 | .7 | ． 8 | ． 7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Gifts and contribations to persens outside the economic family． | 1.6 | .4 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1． 6 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 20 |
| Other fiems． | ． 3 | ， 1 | ． 8 | .1 | ． 4 | ． 5 | ． 1 | .0 | ． 7 | （7） |

[^48]Table 6.-Expenditures for groups of items, by income level-Continued NEARO FAMILIES

| $\underset{\substack{\text { Andi- } \\ \text { Ines }}}{ }$ | Income loyel-families with annal not Incoma of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 000$ 00 000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 900 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,200 \\ & \$ \$ 0 \\ & \$ 1,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,500 \\ t+0 \\ \$ 1,800 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,800 \\ & \$ \mathbf{t o} \\ & \$, 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,1 f 0 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| 100 | 11 | 25 | 31 | 15 | 8 | 9 |
| 3.13 | 3. 18 | 2.83 | 2.70 | 8. 33 | 3.74 | 4. 59 |
| 2.87 | 2.98 | 261 | 2.57 | 3.19 | 8.58 | 4.48 |
| 2.76 | 2.75 | 2.88 | 2.35 | 3.00 | 3.25 | 4.32 |
| 2.78 | 2.55 | 2.42 | 2.48 | 3.01 | a. 34 | 4.38 |
| 38. 469 | \$33 | 11, 108 | \$1.381 | \$1.880 | \$1,940 | \$2,883 |
| ${ }^{1}$ | 280 | 364 | 422 | ${ }^{470}$ | 501 | - 883 |
| 149 | 68 | 102 | 114 | 185 | 250 | 354 |
| 417 | 309 | 348 | 441 | 445 | 407 | 629 |
| 78 | 46 | 68 | 66 | 88 | 85 | 122 |
| 50 | 18 | 32 | 57 | 4 | 67 | 108 |
| 49 | 28 | 部 | 39 | $\cdots$ | 120 | 80 |
| 61 | 28 | 38 | 00 | 76 | 100 | 107 |
| 34 | 16 | 25 | 84 | 28 | 69 | 64 |
| 31 | 11 | 20 | 82 | 41 | 83 | 8 |
| 82 | 24 | 3 | 71 | 81 | 171 | 200 |
| 8 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 7 |
| 5 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| 8 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 7 |
| 30 | 8 | 13 | 85 | 28 | 21 | 107 |
| 18 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 22 | 8 | 117 |
| 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 81.0 | 33.6 | 33.0 | 30.4 | 29.8 | 30.3 | 30.4 |
| 10.3 | 7.9 | 0.2 | 8.2 | 11.8 | 128 | 12.5 |
| 28.6 | 87. 1 | 31.5 | 31.7 | 28.2 | 20.0 | 22.2 |
| 6.0 | \% 5 | 6.0 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.4 | 4.3 |
| 8.4 | 2.8 | 29 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 8.6 |
| 8.4 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2 E | 8.8 | 6.2 | 3.4 |
| 4.1 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 8.8 |
| 2.8 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 2.2 |
| 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2. | 1.7 | 2.5 |
| 3.6 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 8.1 | 6.1 | 8.8 | 7.0 |
| .2 | .2 | . 1 | -2 | . 1 | . 6 | . 2 |
| . 8 | . 1 | - 4 | .4 | . 8 | . 8 | 2 |
| . 8 | . 6 | . 6 | . 8 | . 6 | . 6 | . 2 |
| 2.1 | . 4 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 3.1 |
| 1.1 | .1 | .3 | . 1 | 1.4 | .3 | 4.1 |

Netos on this table are in appendix A, p. 182.

Table 7-Food used at home and purchased for consumption at home during 1 week, in winter quarter, by economic level

WHITE FAMILIES

: The number of equivalent full-time persons per family in 1 weol is computed on the batis of number of persons eating mesis at home during the weok to which the date apply. If a person ate 21 meais diring the week, he was counted sse a full-time person tor that week. It be ate less than 21 mealt, be was coanted管 the approptiate declmal equifalent full-time person.

reprsented by the equivalent full-time persons esting mals at home during the week to which the data spply.
i Less than 0.05 cant.
Notes on this table are in appondir As pp.182-189.

Table 7．－Food used at home and purchased for consumption at home during 1 woek，in winter quarler，by economic level－Continued

White Families－Continned

| Itam | Number of finmilites using in 1 week |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avarega quantify pur- } \\ & \text { chased par parson }{ }^{\text {in }} \\ & \text { I weak } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Avaraga expeñctiturs $\underset{\text { weor }}{\text { person }}{ }^{1}$ in |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Economie lovel－ families spending Der expenditurs nuit per year |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 卷 } \\ & \text { 貝 } \\ & \frac{:}{3} \end{aligned}$ | Eennomto level－families sponding pas expenditure unlt per year |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 䂞 |  |
| Food uekt af home and purchased for conrumption ot homs in 1 tenek－ Continued | No． | No． | No． | No． | 2t． | Lt． | Lt． | Lb． |  | C | C4． |  |
| Fsis，total．．． |  |  |  |  | 0.870 | 0．849 |  | 1． 283 |  | 17. |  | 40.9 |
| Brateri－ | 08 |  | ${ }^{14}$ |  | ． 113 | － 2018 | ${ }^{.340}$ | ． 5132 | 16．8 |  |  | 22.8 |
| Orther tatio | 8 |  |  |  | ． 018 | ．018 | ． 033 | ． 138 |  |  |  | 4.8 |
| Lard． | 65 | 14 | 82 | 10 | ：053 | ． 037 | ． 088 | ．046 | \％ |  |  | d |
| Vegetable | 61 |  |  |  | ． 043 | －m | ． 040 | ． 064 |  |  |  | 1.3 |
| Teble or cooking alla－－7ia－ | 187 | 36 |  |  | ． 182 | ． 140 | ． 200 | ． 223 | 4 | 20 | \％． 0 | 40 |
| ing．－．．．． | 70 |  |  |  | ． 020 | ． $\mathrm{Cl} 0^{-}$ | ． 022 | ． 048 |  |  |  | 1.2 |
| Broou，amoked．－－．－．－．．．．．－－－－－－ | ${ }_{7}^{88}$ | 18 | 8 |  |  | 02 |  | ．097 | 10 |  | 1.8 | 3.7 |
| Mat，pouttry，fluh，and other asa food，total． |  |  |  |  | 068 | ${ }^{.005}$ | 2.87 | 4.018 | 88.2 |  | （2） | \％ |
| Bee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| toprou |  |  |  |  | ． 083 | ， 01 | ：1788 | ． 15 | 6． 3 |  |  | 8． 1 |
| －other－ | 57 | 18 | 2 |  | ． 080 | ． 089 | ． 074 | ． | 2.3 | 23 | 2 | 2.6 |
| Roset，tib | 34 |  |  |  | ． 096 | －088 | ． 085 | ． 181 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 2 | \％． 6 |
| otriok <br> other． | 34 |  | ${ }_{13}^{18}$ | 88 <br> 8 <br> 88 <br> 8 | ． 060 | ． 017 | ． 80 | ． 036 | 1.7 | 1.4 |  | 1.1 2.0 |
| Bolling，chual | 2 | 14 | 37 |  | ． 10 | ． 083 | ．138 | ． 690 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 2.6 |
| －plate． | 28 |  |  |  | ． 018 | ． 020 | ． 016 | ． 104 | 1. |  | 1.4 | 2．50 |
| Canned－－．．．．．．．．．．． |  | 0 | 0 | － 1 | （4） |  |  | ． 002 |  |  |  |  |
| Corned． |  |  |  |  | ． 020 | ． 021 | ． 085 | ． 014 | 2.7 |  |  |  |
| Dried．．．． |  |  |  |  | $0^{-005}$ | 0.004 |  | ． 011 | ${ }^{+1}$ |  |  | ． 2 |
| Veal：Fresp，atear， | 78 | 13 | 39 |  | ． 110 | ． 088 | ． 128 | ． 16 | 3.8 |  |  | 8.4 |
|  | ${ }^{18}$ |  |  |  |  | ． 026 |  | 04 | 1.4 |  |  | 1.4 |
| Inmb；Preeh，chops | ${ }_{110}^{37}$ | 4 | 12 | $4{ }^{10}$ | ． 0414 | ． 070 | ． 131 | ． 2082 | 1．0 | 2.2 | 2 | 1． 7 |
| 8，Pouh，moust． | 1 |  |  |  | － 144 | ． 082 | ． 109 | ． 274 | 2.6 | 1.2 |  | 7.1 |
|  | 0 | 5 | 21 |  | ． 088 | ． 061 | ． 077 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ． 298 | 1.6 | ， |  | 1.4 |
|  | ${ }^{20}$ | 6 |  |  | ． 128 | ． 070 | ． 081 | ． 231 | 8.5 1.8 | 2.1 | 2． 5 | 2.8 2.7 |
| otber． | 21 | 8 | 11 |  | ． 042 | ． 043 | ． 051 | ． 027 |  |  | 1.1 | ${ }^{2} .8$ |
| Gmoked ham，alices． balf | 17 | ， |  |  | ． 028 | ， 088 | .$_{0} 0$ | ． 019 | 7 |  | 1.2 | \％ |
| bairof plante． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2. |
| Port seusags． | 4 |  |  | 18 | O23 | ． 088 | ． 048 | ． 050 | 1.4 |  | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Miscollineous mether， |  |  |  | 1. | ． 108 |  | ． 169 | ． 312 | 7.7 | 4. |  |  |
| Other fresh meat．．．．．． |  |  |  |  | ．002 | $0^{-1}$ |  | －013 | 7. | 4. | ${ }^{6}$ |  |
| Bologna，frantiurters | 108 |  |  |  | ． 096 |  | ． 000 | ， | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2 | ． |
| Cookedi Hem． | 40 | 13 | 16 | 620 | ．025 | ． 017 | ． 013 | ． 053 | 1.6 | 1.0 |  | ． 3 |
| Liver．Tongue |  |  |  | ${ }^{2} 8$ | －005 |  |  | ． 015 | 2 |  |  | 3 |
| Other meat produots | 8 | － |  | 5. | ． 011 |  | ． 016 | 015 | 22 |  |  | 3.5 |
| Poultry：Chloran，broilin |  | 12 | 42 | $2{ }^{3}$ | ． 33 | ． 151 | ． 364 | ． 485 | 10.3 |  |  |  |
| ciont |  | $\frac{8}{7}$ | ${ }_{2}^{16}$ | （1）${ }^{14}$ |  | ． 02 | ． 089 | ． 172 | 3.0 |  | 2. | 5.5 |
| Turkey | 11 |  |  | $3{ }^{3}$ | ． 088 | ． 022 | ． 057 | ． 187 | 28 |  |  | 8.8 |
| Fish and other－－ |  |  |  | 31 | ． 020 | ． 021 | ． 03 | ． 017 |  |  | 1.0 | ${ }_{5}$ |
| ish and other abs Fish：Fises |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． 504 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 8.1 | 13.9 |
| anno | 48 |  |  |  | ． 04 | ． 015 | ． 05 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 10.6 |
| tears | 25 |  |  |  | ． 019 | ． 004 | ． 026 | ． 02 |  |  |  | 1.1 |
| $\text { Other tee } 100$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ．013 |  | 4 |  |  | ． 4 |

；The number of equivalond full－time persons par family in 1 weet is computed on the basis of the number of persons asting moals at home during the weak to which the dats apply．If a person ate 21 monals during ine wook be was counted st ef full－that person for that week．If bs ate leas than 21 manis he was counted sthe appropriate deolmal enuivalent full－time merton．

Notes on this teblo ars in eppendF A ，pp．18\％－102．
$59068^{\circ}-30-\infty$

Table 7.-Food used at home and purchased for consumption at home during 1 week, in winter quarter, by economic level-Continued

WHITE FAMILIES-Contioued


Table \%.-Food used at home and purchased for consumption at home during 1 week, in winter quarter, by economic level-Continued

WHITE FAMILIES-Continued


- Lese than 0.08 certo.

Notes on thit table aro in appendic A. pp. 182-163.

[^49]Tabla 7.-Food used at home and purchased for consumption af home during 1 week, in all quarters, by economic level

NEGRO FAMLLIES


The number of equiralent full-time persons per family in 1 week is compated on the banis of number of persans eating meals at home dmring the weel to whiak the dats appiy. If parson ate al meals during the week, he was coanted as a ful-time person tor that weok. If le ate less than 21 meals, he was cotnited
as the appropriate decimal equivalent full-time person.
${ }^{3}$ The number of expenditure units per family fin 1 weot is oompnted on the basis of the erpenditure anits represented by the equivalent fullotime parsons oating mank at home during the weok to which the date spply.

ILass than 0.05 cont.
Notes on chin table are in eppendix A, pp. 189-189.

Tablx $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}}$-Food used at home and purchased for consumption at home during 1 week, in all quarters, by economic level-Continued

NEGRO FAMILIES-Continued


1 The number of equiraiont full-time persons per tamily in I wook fanguted on the basis of the number of persons esting mests at home durlag the week to which the dite apply. If e person ate in meals during tios woek he was counted es s full-time person for that week, If he ite loss then 21 wasals he wes counted Sthe appropriate dedmal equipalant full-dme person.

Notes 0 this tade ere in appendir a, pp. 183-182.

Table \%.-Food used at home and purchased for consumption at home during 1 week, in all quarters, by economic level-Continued

NEGRO FAMILIEQ-Continued


Notes on this table are in eppendir $A, p p .182-188$.

Table.7.-Food used at home or purchased for conaumption at home during 1 week, in all quarters, by economic level-Continued NEGRO FAMILIES-Contleued


Keess thenn 0.05 cent.
Notes on this table are in appendily $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{z}}$ pp. 188-188.

Table 7A．－Vegetables and fruits purchased for consumption at home in 1 week，in spring，summer，and jall quarters，by economic level

WHITE FAMILIES

| 18 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { faml- } \\ \text { Hes } \end{gathered}$ | Eanomic level－Fams－ Iles spanding por er－ penditure unft per year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10060 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5000 \\ & \text { sad } \\ & \text { ovetr } \end{aligned}$ |
| Namber of families surveyed in sping quarter <br> A versge number of equivalent full－time persons per family in week covered in spring quarter 1 <br> A Farage namber of food arpenditure wnits per family in weai covered In spring quarter <br> Number of familles surveyed in summer puartar <br> Average number of equivalent foll－time parsons por fimily fin week covered in summer quarter i． <br> A farage number of food axpanditure inits par faminy tin weer covered in summer quarter ${ }^{2}$ ． <br> Number of famíles surveyed in fall quarter． <br> A verage number of equivalemt fult－time persons per fanily in weak covered in fall quartar＇．．．． <br> A verage number of food expandture ants per fanily in weor covered In fall guartar ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 908 \\ 2.80 \\ 2.70 \\ 104 \\ 3.37 \\ 2.84 \\ 2011 \\ 3.42 \\ 2.58 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \\ 2.06 \\ 2.18 \\ 41 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2.76 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 101 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 268 |  |  |  |  |
| Itam | Average quantity purchased per person ${ }^{1}$ in 1 Hreek |  |  |  | Average expenditure per person： in 1 week |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { famal- } \\ & \text { les } \end{aligned}$ | Economiclevol－Fant－ lliss sponding per ex－ pendfure unit per year |  |  | AI <br> fami－ <br> Hes | Economite leqel－Fami－ liee spending per ex－ panditars unlt por Fan |  |  |  |
|  |  | Under $\$ 400$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100 \\ & 100 \\ & +600 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 800$ and over |  | Under 380 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 00 \\ & +10 \\ & +000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 000 \\ & \text { sind } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Fepelablet and Fruise Purekased for Cortumptionatat fionte Dusting 1 Week <br> Spring quarter： <br> Vegetables and fruits，total： $\qquad$ <br> Potatoes． <br> Sweetpotatoes，Fams $\qquad$ <br> Dried legumes and nuts． $\qquad$ <br> Tomatoes． <br> Green and leafy vegetabies． <br> Yellow pegatables $\qquad$ <br> Other vegtabies： <br> Citzus fruits $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | Petand20．6242.848.053.1331.5041.858.3521.0471.9141.910 | Pounds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pounds } \\ & 10.413 \end{aligned}$ | Poundts <br> 13． 889 | Centr 55.4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cents } \\ 40.0 \end{gathered}$ | Centr | $$ |  |
|  |  | 7.7732802 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2327 | 3． 302 |  |  | 4. | 1.8 7.8 |  |
|  |  | ． 050 | ． 049 | ． 058 | 5.6 | 4.9 |  | \％ 2 |  |
|  |  | ． 081 | ． 189 | －674 | 1.86 | －78 | $2{ }^{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  | ． 393 | ＋437 |  |  |  | 24 | 8.2 |  |
|  |  | 1．268 | 1． 769 | 2.591.517 | 18.6 | 10.5 | 16.7 | \％38 |  |
|  |  | ． 238 | ． 297 |  |  |  | 1．08 |  |  |
|  |  | ＋648 | 1． 049 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.48 \\ & 2.716 \\ & 2.387 \end{aligned}$ | 238.310.4 |  |  | 3.8 |  |
|  |  | 1． 152 | 1． 051 |  |  |  | 14．888 | 15.520.7 |  |
|  |  | 1． 141 | 2335 |  | 13.8 | 3.6 |  |  |  |
| Summer quarter： <br> Veratables and frats，total： | 18.570 | 8.972 | 13.860 | 18． 186 | 74.7 | 40.6 | 75．4 | 08. |  |
| Fotatoes．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 8.062 | 2278 | 8.150 | 3.418.018 |  | 38 |  |  |  |
| Eweatpotatoes，Fams． | ． 026 |  | ． 041 |  | 8.0 |  | 0.1 | 7.3 .8 |  |
| Dried legumes and nuts．．．．．．．．． | ． 079 | ． 073 | －0083 | ． 058 | 8.8 | ． 6 | 1． 1. | i．${ }^{\text {B }}$ |  |
| Tomatoes ．．．．．．．．．．． | ． 841 | ． 303 | ． 603 | .828$\times 804$ |  | 7．0 |  |  |  |
| Green and leafy vegrtables． | 2108 | 1.381 | 2.078 |  | 13．8 |  | 18．3 | 7．${ }^{\text {7．}}$ |  |
|  | ． 323 | ． 146 | ． 355 | ＋ 415 | 10.1 | 4.8 | \％ | 288 |  |
| Other regetables ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.617 | ． 850 | 1.816 | 1． 2.047 |  |  |  | 12.5 |  |
| Cltrus Iruits．．．．． | 1． 338 | ． 735 | 1． 632 |  |  | 48．9 | 显 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 13.1 |  |
| Other fruits． | 3.85 | 2． 907 | 8.682 | 6． 038 |  |  | 18.8 |  |  |
| Fell quarter： Veretables and fruits， | 11． 604 | 7.789 |  | 14．450 |  | 38.8 | 87.8 | 82 |  |
| Potatoes | 2． 2.50 | 2.448 | 2736 | 2748 | 48 | 4.1 | 47 | 8.9 |  |
| Gweat potatoes，5ams． | ． 138 | ． 105 | ． 171 | ． 130 | 1．85 |  |  | ＋ |  |
| Dried legumes and nuts．．．．．．．－－ | ． 129 | ． 142 | ． 134 | －002 |  | 1．29 | 1．76 |  |  |
|  | A4 | 110 | 63 | $\begin{array}{r}.895 \\ +359 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6.1 | 8.0 | 6． 8 | 8.8 |  |
| Green and leafy Fagotabler．．．．．．． | 1.761 | 1． 005 | 1．743 |  | 18.0 |  | 12．818 | 1227 |  |
| Yellow vegetables | ＋870 | ． 245 | ． 373 | .4781.892 | 21 | 1.4 |  |  |  |
| Other veretables | 1.201 | ． 820 | 1． 327 |  | 88 | 47 | 8.88.8120.7 | 11.84.4 |  |
| Citrus fruits．．．．． | 1． 687 | ． 882 | 1． 633 | 2.4628.083 | 17．4 | 8.7 |  |  |  |
| Other imits．． | 㐌．008 | 1． 81 | 3.463 |  |  |  |  | 级： |  |

IThe number of equifalent full－time parsons per famity in 1 weez is compated on the basis of number of persons eating meals at home during the wreek to which the data spply．If s person ato 21 monis during the

sphropriste decimai equivalent ind－tume person．
IThe aumber of expenditure pnits per famlly in 1 week is computed on the hasis of the expenditure naits represented by the equivalent full－time persons eating meals at home during the weok to which datimeply． I Does notinofade pickien and ofives．
Notee on this tabie are in appandix A，p．184．

Tablm 8．－Annual food expenditures，by economic level
WHITR FAMLIES


NEGRO FAMILIES

| Itanim | $\xrightarrow[\text { All }]{\text { familles }}$ | Roonomic level－Families spenctiae per expenditure unit per year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & +400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 800 \text { to } \\ \$ 600 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 600 \mathrm{and}$ over |
| Annual food Erpendituret |  |  |  |  |
| Families in earvey． | 100 | 25 | 37 | 8 |
| Average number faod expenditure units in 1 yeaz ．．．．－－ | 276 | 288 | 2.56 | 23 |
| Number of families spending for meals amay from home： | 8 | 13 | 98 | 考 |
| At school．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 9 | 3 | 8 | 1 |
| On vatation． | 10 | 1 | 4 |  |
| Boand at school． | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Candy．los cream，drinks，ete．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 58 | 18 | 18 | 8 |
| Number of families reporting food reseived as gitta，or produced at home or mpala recoived as pay． | 35 | 8 | 0 | 18 |
| A verage annual expenditure par family for all food．．．．．－ | \＄451． 40 | ＊＊37． 68 | \＄17． 73 | 403.56 |
| Food prepared al home．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 383.65 | 382 的 | 350． 70 | 401.04 |
| Food bought and eaten away from homo． | 67.84 | 4． 89 | 58.03 | 924 |
| Meals at mork． | 41． 20 | 11． 08 | 殁 85 | 50.6 |
| Meals at sohcol． | 273 | 213 | 505 | ． 2 |
| Other meals，not Facation | 13.01 | 5.52 | 380 | 28 |
| Meals on vtcation－．－． | 2． 5 | ． 21 | 1.6 | 24 |
| Board at sehool． $\qquad$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0} 0$ |
| Candy，lee oream，drinks，eto． <br> 4 Farage estimated value per family of pitt of for and | 38 | 8.11 | 2.17 | 10.3 |
| 4 Ferage estlmated value per tamily of gits of food and home－produced food and meals reoeivod as pay（in－ samplate）： | 5.0 | 28 | 8.91 | 3.85 |

[^50] home and mods recoived as pey，reported by 27 tarnilites but for which they could not estinete the valus． ithe akgrefrates on which these averspes are based do pot fuciude gifs of food received，food peoduced at nome，and meals reosived as pay，reported by is families，but for whigh they condd not estimate the valug，
Noter on thin tatio ere in appondir A；D．184．

Tabie 9.-Housing facilities, by economic level
WHITE FAMILIES

| Itom | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { AII } \\ \text { tami } \\ \text { Has } \end{array}\right\|$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Un- der \$200 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 00 \\ & +00 \\ & \$ \infty 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5500 \\ & 500 \\ & 5000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 700 \\ & 10 \\ & \$ 800 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 800$ and Orar |
| Howsing Factities in Dowing Oceupied at End of Schedule Year <br> 1. Familles in suryey, who rented pincipal home at end of schedule year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 787 | 5t | 117 | 141 | $1{ }^{2}$ | 100 | 88 | 189 |
| Average monthly rental rate at ond of schodule year. | \$3208 | 523. 21 | 528, 46 | \$31,07 | 332 | \$32.82 | \$33.88 | 837. 4 |
| Number of families llving in-I-family detsched house. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 1-family semidetached or row houss <br> 2-fomily house | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 138 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | O | ${ }^{8}$ | 21 | 32 | - 23 | 15 | 13 |
| Multiple fwoling (3-7amy or more) | 635 | 41 | 95 | 112 | 121 | 82 | 87 | 116 |
| Dwelling with elevator .-............ | 63 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 6 | 21 |
| Dwelling with fanitor seryice | 687 | 41 | 99 | 128 | 134 | 87 | 73 | 128 |
| Number of families having- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bathroom in dwelling unit. Toilet: Inside fush. | 713 | ${ }_{6}^{88}$ | 103 116 | 128 | 146 150 | 101 | 88 | 120 |
| Oilet Oatside fush | 7 | 1 | 1 | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| other type. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sale use of toliet by horet | 700 | 39 | 99 | 124 | 143 | 101 | 76 | 187 |
| Weter: Inside dwelling- | 787 | 51 | 117 | 141 | 157 | 108 | 88 | 132 |
| Running--- | 787 | 51 | 117 | 141 | 157 | 106 | 83 | 132 |
| Hot running | 742 | 45 | 107 | 128 | 157 | 108 | 81 | 132 |
| Not rumning.-. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sinit Outside cweling on | 787 | ${ }_{51}^{0}$ | 117 | 141 | 15 | 100 | 8 | 13 |
| Electric lights | 785 | 61 | 115 | 141 | 157 | 108 | 83 | 132 |
| Gas or electricity for cooking | 771 | 48 | 113 | 135 | 156 | 104 | 83 | 132 |
| Refrigerstor: Electric...--- | 216 | 4 | . 28 | 33 | 告 | 31 | 27 | 55 |
| Other mechanie | 9 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 31 |
| Ite only-- | 488 | 42 | 85 | 00 | 48 | 61 | 49 | 4 |
| None. | 12 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hot arr, hot water, or staen |  | 20 | 78 | 102 | 128 | 89 | 62 | 118 |
| Telephone............. | 08 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 13 | 12 | 3 |
| Garage | 34 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 10 |
| Gardon space | 61 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 11 | 12 | 4 | 18 |
| Play spabe - | 78 | 0 | 12 | 8 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 18 |
| Each of the following items: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inglde flush toilet, runniag hot Fater, electric Lights, and ges or electrieity for cooking. | 727 | 4 | 103 | 122 | 146 | 100 | 81 | 131 |
| II. Families in suryey who owned principal hame at end of schedule year. | 110 | 8 | 18 | 2 | 11 | 18 | 11 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 -family detached house....-.-.... | ${ }_{3}$ | 1 |  | 10 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{7}{2}$ | 0 |  |
| 1-tamily semidetached or row house. | 48 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 4 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 0 |
| Multiple dwelling (3-tamily or mare) | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 0 |
| Dwalling with clevator. <br> Dwelling with janitor service. | 8 | 0 | 2 2 | 1 | $\stackrel{9}{2}$ | 0 | $\stackrel{6}{1}$ | 0 |
| Number of familes having - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bathromm in dwelling unit. | 109 | 8 | 18 | 22 | 11 | 17 | 11 | 22 |
| Toilet: Inside fius. | 110 | 8 | 18 | 22 | 11 | 18 | 11 | 22 |
| Outside fush | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other type.......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sole use of tollet by housebold | 108 | 8 | 18 | 22 | 11 | 18 | 11 | 21 |
| Water: Inside dwelling... | 110 | 8 | 18 | 23 | 11 | 18 | 11 | 2 |
| Running-.... | 110 | 8 | 18 | 2 | 11 | 18 | 11 | 27 |
| Hot ranning | 106 | 8 | 18 | 22 | 11 | 16 | 11 | 22 |
| Not runving....-... | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Outside dwelling ony | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stuk --------....... | 110 | 8 | 18 | $\frac{22}{22}$ | 11 | 18 | 11 | 22 |
| Electriclights | 110 | 8 | 18 | 22 | 11 | 18 | 11 | 23 |
| Qas or eleetricity for cooking | 106 | 1 | 18 | 22 | 11 | 18 | 10 | 2 |
| Refrigantor: Electrlc. | 30 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 10 |
| Othar mectanical | 7 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 2 | $\frac{1}{0}$ | $\frac{1}{7}$ | ${ }_{10}$ |
| Ice only. | 73 | 7 | 16 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 10 |
| Nons. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 16 | 11 | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |
| Hot fitr, hot water, or steam heat | 88 | 0 | 15 | 19 | 10 | 16 | 11 | 2 |
| Telephone. | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{6}$ | 8 | 8 | 8 | ${ }^{8}$ |
| Garage | 5 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 14 |
| Garden space. | 48 | 5 | 14 | 13 | 8 | 12 | 6 2 | $\stackrel{10}{6}$ |
| Each of the following items: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instde flush toflet, runaing hot water, eleotric lights, and gas or olectricity tor cooking. | 103 | 7 | 16 | 22 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 28 |

Table 9.-Housing facilities, by economic level-Continued NEORO FAMILIES

| Itam | Allamilles | Economic leval-Familtes spending par supenditurs unlt per year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ondar 100 | \$100 to \$600 | $\$ 000$ and over |
| Housing Fracthties in Doneting Oceupiod af End of Schodute Year <br> 1. Familiss in survey, who rented prindpal home at and of soiredule year. | 60 | 24 | 37 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Average monthly rental rate at end of schedule year- | \$33. 15 | \$29.78 | \$83. 80 | \$20.76 |
| Number of famllies Ilving fin- | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 08 <br> 14 <br> 94 <br> 8 |  |  |  |
| 1-family detechex house.... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1-family semidetached or fow heuse. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2-family bouse........................................ |  | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Multiple dweling (3-mmily or morb) .................. |  | 24 | 35 | 35 |
|  |  | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| Dweling with fantor servion |  | 24 | 34 | 36 |
| Number of familles having- | \%8809 | 23 | 87 | 38 |
| Bathroom in dwolling unit |  |  |  |  |
| Tolfet: Inside flush.. |  | 24 | 37 |  |
| Outside fursh. | 0 | 00 | 0 | 3800 |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Sole use of tollet by housahold.....................-........ | 95 | 23 | 35 | 87 |
|  | 98 |  |  | 8 |
| Runaing.-- | 96 | 24 | 37 | 38 |
|  | 88 | 24 | 88 | 边 |
| Not running | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gink Outside dwalling only........................- | 0 | $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 24 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{08}$ |  | ${ }_{8}^{0}$ | \% |
|  | 97 | 23 | 86 | 35 |
| Gas or alootricity for cooking---- | 10 | 22 | 36 | ${ }^{38}$ |
| comickator Othar mechanical. | 2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 0 |
| Iot only........... | 87 | 21 | 350 | 31 |
|  | 0 | 0 |  | 3 |
| Hot alr, hot watar, or steam heat. ...................... | 93 | 21 | 34 | 8 |
| Telephone...................................................... | 12 |  | 0 |  |
| Garage. | 0 | 0 |  | 9 |
| Garden spaoe | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 3 |  |  | $\pm$ |
| Eean of the following items: <br> Inalds fush tollot, moving hat meter, olectrio |  | 21 | 38 |  |
| Hifhts, and gas or clectricity for cooking........ | 04 |  |  | 38 |
| II. Fernllies in survey, who owned principal home at and of sobsedute year 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |

[^51]Tabli 10.-Housing expenditures, by economic level
WHIFE FAMIEIES


[^52]Tably 10.-Housing expenditures, by economic Level-Continued
WHITE FAMILIE\&-Continued


- Detalled information not presented beouse of small number of thmilles in this alsselficution.

Noter on this tation we found in eppendix $A$, 3p. 184-18S.

Table 10.-Housing expenditures, by economic level-Continued NEGRO FAMILIES

| Item | All fami- | Economic level-Familles spenning per expenditure unit per year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 400$ | $\begin{aligned} & 380 \text { to } \\ & 8000 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 800 \mathrm{end}$ over |
| 1. All familles in survey. .-.............. <br> A verare number of persons in economictamy <br> 天 verafe vumber ot persons in housetold. <br> Numbor families Inveating in: <br> Principal home <br> Vacation homb |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 109 \\ & 3.13 \\ & 3.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 2.88 \\ & 8.28 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2. 3.02 |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |
|  | - 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Nomber familles having current expanditure for: Owned principal tome: Taras |  |  |  |  |
| Assessments | 1$-\quad 0$$-\quad 1$10010 |  | 0 |  |
| Repairs and replacemonts. |  |  |  |  |
| Firs incurance on home. |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 0 |  |
| Liability insursnce on home |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Ground rent-... |  |  | 0 |  |
| Interest on mortgages |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Rented princtpal home |  | $\frac{4}{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Rent (grose rent less conco |  |  |  | ${ }_{8}^{88}$ |
| Repars by tenant |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary housiog: |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \frac{1}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Owned vacation bome. | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Rent on vacation or trips. <br> Rent at school. |  |  |  |  |
| Avarage amonit inveated during schedule year in owned: <br> Principal home, total |  |  | 0 |  |
|  | 90.03 | 80.10 |  | 0 |
| Payment on princlpal of mortgage and down payment | $0_{m}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Improvement on home. |  |  |  | 000 |
| Vacation home... |  | 0 |  |  |
| Veraze current expenditure for: |  |  |  | $0$ |
| Owned principal homes, total. | $\begin{array}{r} 2.05 \\ .77 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 0 |  |
| Assossments |  | $\frac{3.09}{0}$ | 0 |  |
| Repairs and ropleaments. | . 808 | 1. 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 |
| Fire insurance on home. |  |  |  | 0 |
| Lithility insurance on ho | 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Ground rent.......-.- |  | ${ }^{0} .00$ | 0 | 8 |
| Interest on mortrages | $0^{.00}$ |  |  | 0 |
| Rented prinolpal home, totai. | $\begin{aligned} & 414.16 \\ & 414.04 \end{aligned}$ | 343. 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 103.093 \\ & 020.03 \end{aligned}$ | $471 . \mathrm{ks}$ |
| Rent (gross rent lass concessions |  | 343.35 |  | 471. ${ }^{28}$ |
| Repasirs by tenant. | $\stackrel{.12}{73}$ |  | $4028$ | 2 |
| Secondary housing tota | $0^{0} 75$ | $0^{-11}$ | $0^{40}$ |  |
| Owned vaoation home. |  |  | $0^{.49}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1.88}$ |
| Rent at school. |  | $0^{11}$ |  |  |
| Average number of rooms in dwelling unit. Number famillea living in dwallings with- | 4.57 | 4.32 | 4.73 | 48 |
|  |  |  | 13 |  |
| 4 rams |  | 12 |  | 71310 |
| 8 rooms.-.-........... | ${ }_{11}^{82}$ |  | 11 |  |
| 6 rooms. | 11 | 1 |  |  |
| IT. 7 Fromstiles mbo mwned their pribipat home for 12 | 7 |  | 2 |  |
| montbs 1 | 1 | 0 | - 0 | 0 |
| III. Famdlies who rented house for 12 months - |  |  |  |  |
| IV. Famllies who ronted apartment for 12 months with beat included in rent | $\begin{aligned} & 8.93 \\ & 8.89 \\ & 3.48 \end{aligned}$ | $421$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ 29 \end{array}$ | 2. ${ }_{\text {3 }}^{38}$ |
| Average number of persons in economio family....... |  |  |  |  |
| A verage numbar of persons in household.-.......... |  |  |  |  |
| A perage expenditure for reated prinotpsi home, total. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 877.32 \\ 377.32 \\ 31.44 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 511200 \\ \begin{array}{c} 13.06 \\ 0 \\ 0.42 \end{array} \\ \hline 84.42 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Rent (fross rent less concessions) .................... |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| A verake namber of rooms in dwelling unit | 4.5718313811 |  | 4. 91 | 438 |
| Number of familles lifing in dwollings with- |  | 881 | $\begin{array}{r}11 \\ 11 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 710440 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 rooms. |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{6} \mathbf{0}$ rocms. |  |  |  |  |
| 7 rooms or more. |  |  |  |  |
| V. Familles who rented apartment for 12 months with hoot not included in rent ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |

Detailad information not presented because of small number of families in this classincation.
Noten on this table are in appendix A, p. 184-183.

Table 11.-Fuel, light, and refrigeration expenditures, by economic level WHITE FAMILIES

| Itam | $\underset{\text { families }}{\text { All }}$ | Economil level-Femilies spending per axpenditure unit per yesr |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 5300 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 00 \text { to } \\ \$ 100 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 00 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \text { to } \\ \$ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 000 \text { to } \\ \$ 700 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 700 \text { to } \\ \$ 800 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 900 \\ & \text { snd } \end{aligned}$ over |
| Fuel, Liatit, and Refrigeration Erfpenditura <br> 1. An families In aurvey ................ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 897 | 50 | 135 | 18 | 188 | 124 | 94 | 13 |
| Nutnber of familles spanding forElectricity | 880 | 68 | 131 | 180 | 186 | 122 | 1 | 59 |
| Anthrauto........... | 237 | 34 | 83 | 56 | 44 | 35 | 82 | 31 |
| Bituminous cos | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Ooke. | 17 | 2 | 1 | 6 | , | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Charcosl | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Wood. | 68 | 4 | 17 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 8 |
| Fuel oll | 40 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| Gas. | 865 | 85 | 120 | 159 | 108 | 120 | 01 | 148 |
|  | 44 | 8 |  | 8 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Ios anoline (not for muto) | 591 | 81 | ${ }_{108}^{1}$ | 113 | 107 | ${ }^{2}$ | 3 | 2 |
| A rerage expenditure for fuel, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| light, and reirigeration, total $3 .$. | \$90. 36 | *8. 12 | \$90. 45 | 201. 78 | \$85. 10 | \$91.67 | \$03. 58 | \$82. 48 |
|  | 25. 60 | 28.11 | 27.17 | \% 3.53 | 22. 62 | 24.62 | 28.32 | 28.75 |
| 8pring ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 20.37 | 18.63 | 10.67 | 19. 16 | 29.20 | 21. 58 | 30.30 | 31.60 |
| Summer: | 20.08 | 10. 22 | 20.88 | 22.37 | 29.18 | 21.13 | 21. 61 | 20.80 |
| Fall | 23.43 | 24. 18 | 22.45 | 23. 42 | 28.01 | 24.34 | 2\% 20 | 23. 69 |
| Electrisity | 24. 91 | 3. 76 | 20.76 | 28.68 | 30.34 | 80.08 | 80.36 | \$5. 14 |
| Winter | 7.83 | 6.80 | 7.03 | 7.66 | 7.92 | 7.98 | 7.97 | 8.9 |
| Spring | 7.35 | 0.01 | 6.55 | 7.03 | 7.48 | \%.88 |  | 8. 69 |
| Summar | 7.14 | 8.82 | 6. 38 | 6. 85 | 7.23 | 7.08 | 7.18 | 8.8 |
| Fall. | 7. 59 | 6.13 | 6. 79 | 3.24 | 3.71 | 7.87 | 7.70 | \%. 5 |
| Anthradie | 16.77 | 22.71 | 18. 87 | 16.78 | 13. 81 | 15.88 | 18. 30 | 18.88 |
| Wintar | 7.60 | 10.01 | 10.36 | 7.82 | 3. 31 | 6.20 | 7.73 | 7.10 |
| Epring | 2.48 | \$8. 36 | 2. 50 | 1.50 | 4.86 | 方38 | 8.00 | 2. 98 |
| Bumme | 1. 27 | 1.08 | +89 | 1.78 | . 07 | 1.37 | 2.09 | . 76 |
| Fall | 8. 42 | 8.38 | 8. 32 | \%. 49 | 4.34 | 感 16 | 6.48 | 6.02 |
| Bituminous | . 30 | 0 | $0^{28}$ | . 08 | 0 | $0^{.88}$ | 0.64 | 1. 16 |
| Winter. | . 11 | 0 | 0 | $0^{.08}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | +88 |
| Spring. | . 11 | 0 | ${ }^{0} .8$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | . 61 |
| Fall. | .16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 38 | , 4 | , 6 |
| Coke. | 1.37 | . 78 | . 48 | 276 | . 44 | 2. 68 | . 62 | 1. 27 |
| Chareoal | . 02 | . 02 | .09 | 0 | 0 |  |  | . 05 |
| Wood: | . 56 | . 20 | . 61 | +88 | . 37 | . 8 | . 77 | . 21 |
| Fuel oni | 1.46 | . 88 | 2.88 | 1.15 | - 49 | 1. 68 | 203 | 1.87 |
| Wintar | . 68 | . 48 | 1.15 | . 40 | . 13 | . 37 | 1.17 | .91 |
| Spring | . 38 | $0^{24}$ | . 75 | - 47 | . 08 | \% 48 | . 56 | . 10 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{BI}}$ | . 08 | 0 | - 42 | . 02 | 0 |  | , 05 | . 04 |
| Ges | 58.38 | 23. 50 | 24.04 | 28. ${ }^{26}$ | 2x.30 | 24. 110 | 3x. 71 | 24.26 |
| Winter | 0.44 | 8. 05 | 6.07 | 6.78 | 6.70 | 8. 30 | 6.84 | 6. 20 |
| Bpriog | 6. 26 | E. 69 | 6.11 | 6. 70 | 6. 30 | 8.05 | 6. 4 | 6. 20 |
| Sammat | 6. 13 | B. 86 | 6. 84 | 6.68 | \%. 14 | 5.88 | 8. 14 | 9.05 |
| Fall | 6.20 | 8.00 | 8.92 | 6. 62 | 6.24 | 5.89 | 6.29 | 6.21 |
| Kerosene ......................... | . 48 | 1.32 | 70 | ${ }^{6} 62$ | . 88 | . 49 | . 85 | . 18 |
| Gasoline (not fornuto)........... | . 04 | 9 | (1) | (\%) | ${ }^{0}$ | . 01 | 18. 24 | 108 |
| Ioferine. | 14.44 | 18.00 | 16. 77 | 14.28 | 14.44 | 18. 78 | 18. 16 | 12. 86 |
| Winter | 1. 77 | . 80 | 1.71 | 1.29 | 1.82 | 2.18 | 209 | 4.15 |
| Epring. | 8. 61 | 8.04 | 8. 68 | 8.33 | 8.68 | 3.76 | 3.77 | 3.28 |
| Gummer | 8.18 | 6.38 8.78 | \%.01 | 5. 68 | 8.73 | 6. 18 | 6. ${ }^{2}$ | 4. 40 |
| 11. Number of familites in housea making payments for hesi soparately from reat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{ }$ |
|  | 121 | 10 | 23 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 12 | 23 |
| Electridity | 121 | 10 | 23 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 2 |
|  | 105 | 8 | 21 | 18 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 31 |
| Bltuminous coal.................. | ${ }_{12}^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 0 | 1 |
| Coke. | 12 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 |
| Wood. | 14 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| Fual oil. | 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 9 | $\frac{1}{8}$ | 3 |
| Gas.... | 117 | 0 | 22 | \% | 11 | 30 | 11 | 24 |
| Kerosene | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Orsolins (not for auto) | ${ }^{8}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 88 | 9 | 10 | 50 |  | 11 | 7 | 14 |

 tmoquenty purchased by families scheciuled in other eltios. In Now Yerk no briguetw wert reperted an purghased by the familites latuded in this survery.

$t$ Eese than 0.5 cont.
Noten on this table are in appondix A, pp. 18s-188.

Tabla 11.-Fuel, light, and refrigeration expenditures, by economic level-Continued
WHITE FAMTLIES-Continusd

| Item | $\underset{\text { familles }}{\text { Al }}$ | Eecnomic level-Familes spending per expendture unit per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Vnder $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 460 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 00 \text { to } \\ \$ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \text { to } \\ \$ 0000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 600 \text { to } \\ & \$ 700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 700 \text { to } \\ & \$ 800 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 800$ and over |
| Fuel, Etpht, and Refrigaration Fropendilures-Contmaed |  |  |  |  |  | $=$ |  |  |
| II. Number of ianillies in houses maining payments for hest sepsrately from rent-Continced. A verage axpenditure for fuel, light, and reffigeration, total. | \$163.80 | \$138. 14 | \$152.96 | \$184.84 | \$172.94 | \$178.30 | 178, 41 | \$180.04 |
|  | 37.07 | 86.82 | 35. 42 | 34.91 | 37.64 | 40.08 | 37.36 | 62.35 |
| Anthracite. | 65. 54 | 63.40 | 59.30 | 87. 86 | 72.55 | 60.68 | 75.10 | 76. 21 |
| Bltuminous coal | 1.65 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.82 |  | E. 54 |
| Coke. | 7.84 | . 41 | 264 | 18.74 | 0 | 16.96 | 0 | 8.88 |
| Chatessi ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Wood | . 71 | . 25 | 1.06 | . 21 | 0 | 210 | . 60 | . 35 |
| Fuel ofl | 3.24 | 0 | 4.79 | 0 | 2.41 | 6.98 | 10.17 | 0 |
| Ge8. | 32.51 | 288 | 31.18 | 34.89 | 40.31 | 33.21 | 30.35 | 29.81 |
| Kerosens. | . 53 | . 60 | 1. 13 | .$^{78}$ | 0 | 0 |  | . 6 |
| Gasoline (not for anto) | $\begin{array}{r}17 \\ \hline 18 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{15} 80$ | ${ }_{17}^{1788}$ | ${ }^{0} 18.6$ | ${ }^{0} 0$ | ${ }^{0} 860$ | 2. 17.00 | 18.11 |
| III. Number of tamilies in houses | 17.40 |  | 17.38 | 18.68 | 20.08 | 15. 50 | 17, 6.5 | 18. 32 |
| not making payments for beat soparately from rent : | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Y. Number of familles in apartmants making payments for hat separatoly from reat | 204 | 29 | 38 | 41 | 37 | 22 | 22 | 15 |
| Number of familless pending for- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electrialty.- | 208 | 29 | 37 | 41 | 37 | 38 | 22 | 15 |
| Anthrsette. | 163 | 25 | 22 | 35 | 34 | 18 | 18 | 11 |
| Coke: | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Charcoal | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Wood. | 52 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 8 | , | 8 | 3 |
| Fual ofl | 26 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| Qas | 196 | 27 | 85 | 39 | 88 | 22 | 22 | 16 |
| Kerosene | 32 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| Casollne (not forauto) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 168...... | 175 | 20 | 35 | 34 | 33 | 17 | 10 | 11 |
| Average expenditure for fuel, ${ }^{1}$ light, and retrigeration, total. | \$100.84 | \$88. 37 | \$102. 18 | \$104. 70 | 8113.62 | \$117. 88 | \$118.30 | \$114.88 |
| Electricity ......................-- | 24.93 | 21.97 | 23.86 | 23. 03 | 28.88 | 23.05 | 25.94 | 28.00 |
| Anthractie. | 32.48 | 24.03 | 29.37 | 30.38 | 38.88 | 38.71 | 35, 55 | 34.36 |
| Bituminous coal | . 80 |  | 1.01 | . 30 | 0 |  | 27 | 8.48 |
| Coke. | . 57 | 1,45 | 0 | 1.54 | 0 | . 50 | 0 |  |
| Chareas | . 10 | . 04 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 81 |
| Wood. | 1.91 | , 33 | 1. 82 | 8.37 | 1.74 | 1.85 | 2.79 | 1.12 |
| Fuel oll | 3.48 | 1. 69 | 8. 43 | 3.76 | 1. 54 | 8.38 | 2. 88 | 4. 47 |
| Qas.... | 24.76 | 21.72 | 21.47 | 24.30 | 2\%. 61 | 28.34 | 28.77 | 24.76 |
| Kerasene. |  | 2.38 | 1.79 | 1.42 | 1. 61 | 1.98 | 1.17 | . 77 |
| Gasoline (not far auto) | . 01 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 08 | 0 | 0 |
| I60.-. | 16.16 | 11.86 | 16. 42 | 14.62 | 18. 88 | 18.67 | 18.27 | 20.15 |
| V. Number offamilles in apartments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| not masing payments for heat separately from rent. | 845 | 17 | 67 | 94 | 113 | 58 | 58 | 111 |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{629}$ | 16 | 63 | 0 | 114 | 80 | 5 | 100 |
|  | 525 | 16 | 65 | 02 | 112 | 78 | 38 | 100 |
| Ice. | 297 | 18 | 45 | 87 | 64 | 48 | 32 | 8 |
| Average expenditure for ind, ligat, and ratrigeration, total. | * 61 | 58, 09 | \$83, 43 | \$77. 68 | 50688 | 20.3. 74 | \$88. 23 | 967. 0 |
| Electridty.......................- | 30.22 | 28.37 | 2806 | 28.68 | 30.70 | 2870 | 31.00 | 34.70 |
| Oas. | 23.46 | 228. 69 | 23. 00 | 28.86 | 绿等 | 30.83 | ${ }^{23.80}$ | 28. ${ }^{\text {ch }}$ |
| Ico. | 12.84 | 14.13 | 14.80 | 12.980 | 12.71 | 15.00 | 13. 39 | Q. 7 |
| All other fual. | . 09 | 0 | . 27 | . 10 | 0 | . 21 | . 01 | . 01 |

[^53]Tablie 11．－Fuel，light，and rafrigeration expenditures，by economic level－Continued NEGRO FAMILIES

| Itam | All familles | Economic lepel－Families spending per arpenditure anit per year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 1400 |  | 5000 and ovest |
| Fuel，Light，and Reffigeration 在rpenditures <br> 2．All familtes in survey－．．．．．．．．．．．．．． <br> Electridty <br> Anthracte． $\qquad$ <br> Bltum thous coal． $\qquad$ <br> Core <br> Charcoal： $\qquad$ <br> Wood $\qquad$ <br> Fual oll $\qquad$ <br> Kerosene $\qquad$ <br> Oasolins（not for auto） $\qquad$ | 160 | 23 | 37 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 05 | 23 | 83 | 37 |
|  | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 62 | 22 | 35 | 38 |
|  | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 91 | 23 | 36 | 32 |
| A F grage expenditares for fuel，light，and retrigara－ <br> ton total． <br> Winter ${ }^{3}$ $\qquad$ <br> Spring ${ }^{2}$ $\qquad$ <br> Fall $\qquad$ | \＄72．80 | 578.45 | \＄71．74 | \％71． 46 |
|  | 17． 79 | 19．68 | 17.83 | 16.71 |
|  | 17.80 | 18.35 | 17． 56 | 17.69 |
|  | 10.49 | 10． 42 | 19.38 | 12.80 |
|  | 17.72 | 10．00 | 17.31 | 17.26 |
| Fall | 24.82 | 23．90 | 23.11 | 27．0． |
|  | 0.8 | 8．72 | 6.21 | 7.0 |
|  | 6.94 | \％．78 | 8.87 | 8.87 |
| Bummer <br> Falt． | 8.80 | 5． 23 | 5.43 | 6． 59 |
|  | 6.32 1.81 | 8.28 | 8.90 | 6． 78 |
| Anthracite | ． 08 | 1.83 | 1.25 | 0 |
| Wintor． Epring． | ， 41 | ． 67 | ． 65 | 0 |
| Spring | ． 03 | ． 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Fall． | ＋ 62 | \％ 88 | ． 74 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Citumincus conl． | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 |
| Charcoal： | － 20 | 8 | $0^{.57}$ | 0 |
| Wood．．．．Fuel ofl．Wintar． | ． 70 | 3.18 |  | 0 |
|  | .34 | 1.37 | 0 | 0 |
| Spring | （4） 21 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | （4） | （4） 05 | 0 | 0 |
| Cas． | 23.30 | 28.26 | 23.32 | 20.0 |
| Whinter | 6.93 | 6． 6 | 6.15 | 5.27 |
|  | 亘教 | 8． 8 | 5.69 | 4 吅 |
| Sumpat | 8.31 | 8.81 | 6． 58 | 4.84 |
| Perosena | 8.88 | 8． 10 | 6.88 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.0 | 212 | .$^{.37}$ |  |
| Grsoline（not for aut | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 22． 21 | 10.64 | 21.73 | 24.38 |
|  | 8． | 213 | 3． 61 | 4.35 |
| Wprinar． | 8.40 | 4． 65 |  | 莫．07 |
| Summer | 8． 24 | 8． 22 | 8.00 4.60 | 8． 44 |
| II．Namber of familles in houses meking paymonts |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| III．Number of families in hauses notmating payments tor heat separately from remt | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| IV．Numbar of farallies in apsrtments maling pay－ monts for heat separately from rent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| V．Number of tamiltes in apartments not marine pey－ mente for heat separately from rent． | 9 | 21 |  |  |
|  |  | 21 | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | 8 |
|  | 8 | 19 | 39 | 37 |
|  | 87 | 19 | 38 | 35 |
| ICO． | 8 | 19 | 88 | 32 |
|  | 209． 87 | \％ 6.89 | \＄09．48 |  |
| toon total | 28． 14 | 23．22 | 2.22 | \％7．00 |
|  | 220 | 28.45 | 23.50 | 20.08 |
| G83． | 22.38 | 19．85 | 21.70 | 21.38 |
| Alloth | ． 28 | 1.07 | 0 | ， 01 |

In other bulletios this item is replaned by＂briquets＂since the latter ware found to be more frequentiy purchased by familiess scheduted th other ctties．In Now Yort City ao brtouets were reparted as purehasod by the families inclinded in this surver．
F Expenditure for coke，chascoal，Foid，kercosene，and gasoline（not tor auto）tnoluded in thts cotal．
－No bituminous coal was reportiod in any quartor．
－Lass than 0.5 cent．
－Detailed information not presented because of smoll namber of families in thit ciacsification．
Notes on this table are in appendix A，pp．185－186．
$58988^{\circ}-39-10$

Table 12.-Household operation expenditures other than for fuel, light, and refrigeration, by economic level

WHITE FAMILIES

| Itam | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fomi- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Eocnomio level-Tamilies spending per expenditure unit par year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 3800 | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & 10 \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { to } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 900 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 700 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { seno } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { avar } \end{aligned}$ |
| Howsehotd Operaiton Expenditures Other Thenfor Futh Light, and Refingeration | 897 | 69 | 135 | 163 | 168 | $\sim$ |  | 18. |
| Familles in zurvey. |  |  |  |  |  | 124 |  |  |
| Number of families spending for- |  | 10 | 18 | 28 |  |  | 9 |  |
| Water rent. | 118 |  |  |  | 11 | 21 | 38 |  |
| Telephone | 333 |  | 81 |  | 80 | 8 |  |  |
| Domestic service: Foll-tme....... | 77 | 0 |  | ${ }^{58} 1$ | 1 | 0 6 | 38 | 80 |
| Esundry ont.-.......... | 625 | 3232 | 73 | 110 | 111 | 91 | ${ }_{8}$ | 130 |
| Tostage, telegrams....-.-............. | 750 |  | 99 | 142 | 145 | 110 | 86 | 127 |
| Moving, express, freight, drayage. | 169 | 7 | 280 | 21 | 306 | 28 | 19 |  |
| Sale-doposit box.-.-.-.-.........-- | 25 | 0 |  |  |  | $\stackrel{5}{27}$ |  | 10 |
| Insurance on furniture | 167 | 3 | 17. | 31 | 33 |  | 17 | 30 |
| Interest on debts. | 74 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 5 |  |
| Average expenditurs par family for household operation other than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| fool, light, and refrigeration, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 7} 7.88 \\ & 1.68 \end{aligned}$ | \$45. 25 | \$58. 58 |  | \$70.65 | 575.84 |  |
| Water rent- | 1.58 |  | 1.60 | 1.40 | . 80 | 2.00 | 1.36 | 3106. 57 |
| Telephone. | 7.37 | . 38 | 250 | 4.78 | 7.14 | 8.35 | 8.00 | ${ }_{1}^{285}$ |
| Domestic service: Ful-time | . 58 | 0 | 1. 44 | 1.011.05 | $\begin{array}{r} .27 \\ 2.82 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0} 10$ | 1.05 | 18. 51 |
| Dow Part-time........ | 3.34 | 0 | 1.44 .10 |  |  |  |  | 11. 23 |
| Household papar | 3.47 | 3.02500 | 8.49 | 13.84 | 3.45 | 3.48 | 1.03 2.99 | 3.37 |
| Bar sosp....... | 3.80 |  | 4.37 | 410 | 3.88 | 3.67 | 8.77 | 287 |
| Starch, bluiag. | 1.39 | 1.465.82 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.46 \\ & 5.80 \end{aligned}$ | 1.64 | 1.28 | 1.31 | 1.41 | 1.20 |
|  | 6.76 |  |  | 6.44 | 8.84 | E. 88 | 6. 63 | 5.90 |
| Cleaning powder, polish, steel wool, et | 3.92 | 2.911.10 |  |  |  |  | 4.14 |  |
| Matches. | 1.02 |  | 3.33 1.20 | $\begin{aligned} & 411 \\ & 1.13 \end{aligned}$ | 4.06 1.09 | 2.00 .98 | 4.85 | 4\% |
| Laundry out | 25.88 | 11.84 | 13.05 | 20.57 |  | 28.68 | 34.18 | 41. ${ }_{6}$ |
| Stationery, pens, penclls, int .-.... | 1.18 | . 68 |  | 1.01 | 1.20 | 1. 29 | 1. 52 | 1.64 |
| Postage, telegrams.................. | 1.79 | . 56 | 1.17 | 1.471.61 | 1.78 | 1.76 | 232 | 286 |
| Moving, express, freight, drayage. | 2. 22 | 1.12 | 1.97 |  | 224 | 2.17 | 8.21 | 294 |
| 8afe-deposit box - .-.-.-.-....---- | . 15 | ${ }^{0} .87$ | .80 | $\begin{array}{r} +08 \\ -1.38 \end{array}$ | . 23 | . 17 | . 28 | \% ${ }^{28}$ |
| insurance on furnitur | 1.85 |  |  |  | 1.58 | 1.81 | 1. 36 |  |
| Interest on debte. | 1.60 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.18 \\ & .81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .90 \\ 1.15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.62 \\ .79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.11 \\ & 1.07 \end{aligned}$ | 1.14.88 | 1.38.68 | 3.87 |
| Other items.-... | . 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notas on this table are in eppendix A, p. 186.

Tabum 12.-Household operation expenditures other than for fuel, light, and refrigeration, by economic level-Continued

NEGRO FAMILIES

| Itard | All fami- | Ecenomic level-Familles spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Onder * 100 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 800 \end{gathered}$ | 5000 and 074 F |
| Howsehoud Operation Erpendtives Other Than for Preet, Light, and Refrigeration |  |  |  |  |
| Families in gurvey | 100 | \% | 37 | 88 |
| Number of families apending for:- |  |  |  |  |
| Telephone.. | 30 | 2 | 8 | 20 |
| Domestle service: Full-tme. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Landry oft.......-. |  | 9 | 2 | 3 |
| Postage, tellegrami. |  | 20 | 82 | 38 |
| Moving, express, ireight, drayage | 18 | 2 | 6 | 10 |
| Safo-depaxit box .-...... | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Insuranes on furniture | 12 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage expenditurs per family for household operstion other than fuel, light, and refrigeration, total. |  |  |  |  |
|  | , 08 | +28.74 | \$38. | $0$ |
| Tolephone.----.--- | 7.84 | 211 | 3.28 | 1404 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Part-timen, | 01 |  | . 43 | 1. 20 |
|  | 220 | 2.15 | 207 | 2.35 |
| Ber soag - | 8. 80 | 5. 14 | 8.47 | 8.25 |
|  | 1.33 | 1.81 | 1.47 | . 67 |
|  | 4. 5 | 8.08 | 4.31 | 4.4 |
| Cleaning powder, polish, thell wool, ett. | 2.82 | 1. 76 | 1.89 | 8.10 |
| Matches_....-...-...........-......... | 1.01 | 1.13 | 1.08 | . 81 |
|  | 10.24 | 8.57 | 15.08 | 30.77 |
|  | 1.31 | . 84 | 1.36 | 1.67 |
| Postage, megramb......-. | 204 | 1.09 | 1.68 | 8.02 |
| Moving, express, rraght, drayage | 1.88 | . 68 | 1.20 | 2.78 |
|  | . 10 | 0 | 0 | . 38 |
|  | 1.03 | . 88 | . 81 | 1.8 |
| intersst on debts. <br> Other Itema...... | . 5 | . 618 | . 81 | . 48 |

Notes on thls rable are in appemidix A, p. 188.

Table 13.-Transportation expenditures, by economic level WHiTE Familieg


Notes on fints tabie ars in mppandin A, p, 188.

TasLi 18.-TYanaportation expenditures, by economic leoel-Continued NEGRO FAMILIES



Tably 14.-Personal carc expenditures and medical care expenditures, by economic level WHITE FAMILEE

| Item | $\underset{\substack{\text { tam! } \\ \text { Lill }}}{ }$ | Roonomie level-Tamilles spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 a 00 \\ & +10 \\ & +400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \$ 500 \\ & \mathbf{\$ 5 0 0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 800 \\ \$+0 \\ \substack{10 \\ \hline 100} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{7} 700 \\ 100 \\ \mathbf{6 0 0 0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8800 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Personal Care Expenditures | 897 | 69 | 135 | 163 | 188 | 124 | on | 154 |
| atlies in sur |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of famities spending for personal care: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal care servicas: | $\begin{array}{r} 872 \\ 381 \\ 320 \\ 151 \\ 231 \\ 223 \\ 37 \end{array}$ | 20 |  | $186$ | 64 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \\ & 60 \\ & 18 \\ & 18 \\ & 18 \\ & 34 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Shaves by |  |  | 130 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{138}$ |
| Shampoos- |  | 0 | 3 | 11 | 21 |  |  | 46 |
| Mantcares |  | 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{28}{48}$ |  |  | 85 |
| Other waves.. |  | 2 | 10 | 27 | 48 |  |  | ${ }_{78}$ |
| Other personal care |  | 0 | 138 | 6 | $\delta$ | 8 |  |  |
| Totlet articles and preparstions | 870 | 57 |  | 150 | 164 | 122 | 08 | 151 |
| Tooth powder, tooth paste, | 856807 |  | 118 |  |  |  |  |  |
| mouth washes |  | 514 |  | 140 | 157157 | 128118 | ${ }_{88}^{80}$ | 149148 |
| Cosmetic and toilit preparations. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brusbes, razor ${ }_{\text {colet }}$ | 828 | 48 | 122 | 151 | 150 | 121 | 80 |  |
| Average expenditure personal care, tota | 53.14 | 522.57 | 528. 52 | \$33. | \$36.00 | 53. | 530.00 | 46.7 |
| Personal care services. | 10.25 | 11.63 | 12.75 | 18.36 | 18.88 | 19.14 | 20.82 | 28.89 |
| Haircuts. | $\begin{array}{r}11.71 \\ 2.21 \\ 8 . \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 9.861.87 | ${ }^{2} .08$ | 3.04 | 2.23 |  | 1246 | $\frac{12}{2}$ |
| Sbaves by |  |  |  |  |  | 1. 18 |  |  |
| Shampoos. |  |  | ${ }^{2} .07$ | . 20 | . 76 | -64 | 1. 10 | 3. 82 |
| Permanent wa |  | . 12 | . 268 | i.95 | +i.88 | 1.95 | 2.01 |  |
| Other waves. | 1.46 | 0 | . 11 |  | 1. 83 | 1. 30 | 1. ${ }^{23}$ |  |
| Other personal care se |  |  |  | . 87 |  |  |  | 8. 80 |
| Tollet arteles and preparations | $\begin{array}{r}15.89 \\ 4.30 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{11.04}$ | 13.77 | 18.6744 | $\begin{array}{r} 17.02 \\ 6.02 \\ 68 \end{array}$ | 18. 88 | $\begin{gathered} 10.08 \\ 4.08 \end{gathered}$ | 18.854.11 |
| Tooth powder, tooth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mouth washes. | 4.728.97 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 . 9 8} \\ & 2.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 408 \\ & 2.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.88 \\ & 3.61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.31 \\ & 4.49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.82 \\ & 3.80 \end{aligned}$ | 4.81 | 8. ${ }_{\text {8. }} \mathbf{2 1}$ |
| Cospetic and tollat preparations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| toliet articles. | $\begin{aligned} & 2.00 \\ & 0.01 \end{aligned}$ | $2.02$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.46 \\ 6.76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 267 \\ & 8.88 \end{aligned}$ | 278 | 3.15 | 3.24 | 3. 67 |
| iverage expenditurs per person for personal cafs, total. |  |  |  |  | 8.82 | 11. 30 | 12.57 | 18. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Medical Care Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of tamilises apanding tor medteal care: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General practitionar: |  | 24313198000012585742 |  | 646423787818100877100341218 | $\begin{array}{r} 64 \\ 70 \\ 28 \\ 74 \\ 74 \\ 21 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 18 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}80 \\ 63 \\ 23 \\ 84 \\ 14 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 118 \\ 21 \\ 17 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 20611462611061712886 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist and other pract <br> Dentist |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claic- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nursa: In home: Private-........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospital: Privite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medicine and drugs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eyeglassea. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical spp Hances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aceldent and health jnsuranoe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| crage experaditurt | * 38.105 | 227. 17 | 288. 19 | 33.12 | 508. 50 | 63 35 | 772. 61 | 8108 |
| Servicas of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Genaral practitioner: Howe | $\begin{aligned} & 8.58 \\ & 6.92 \\ & \text { 6. } 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.19 \\ & 8.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 441 \\ 2.46 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8.61} .$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.68 \\ & 7.18 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8.78}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.73 \\ & 10.20 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{70.57}$ |
| Specialist and other practition |  |  | 6.54 |  | 888 | 121813.41 | 6. 96 | ${ }_{2 i} 36$ |
| Dentist. | 15.30.61 | 8.61.30 |  | 15.39 | 14.12 |  | 28.80 |  |
| cilnic. |  |  | . 75 |  | $\begin{array}{r} .60 \\ .62 \end{array}$ | $0^{20}$ | . 10 | . 51 |
| Nurse: In bome: Frivate. | . 61 | 0 |  | ${ }^{1.17}$ |  |  |  |  |
| In haspltat Visiting | .08 |  | 0 |  |  |  | . 21 | . 01 |
| Hosplanl: Pripate room | ${ }^{3} 64$ | 1. 1.4 | 1. 61 | 2.81 | 147 <br> 278 |  | 3.34 | 10.32 |
| Bed in wrid | $\begin{array}{r}11.18 \\ 282 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{1.23}$ |  |  |
| Medicine and druga |  | $\begin{array}{r}7.69 \\ .97 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.12208208 | 10.612.44 | 11.38 | 11.48 | 13.07 | 13. 30 |
| Eyedlasses- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Medical appliatices. --.-.--- |  | . 13 | $\begin{array}{r}.14 \\ .82 \\ .88 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | . 48.85.85 | +.07 | . 72 | . 58 | 1.82 |
| Accldent and health insurance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage axpanditure per person for modical care, total | 17.58 | 4.70 | 8.28 | 13.12 | 18.04 | 21.32 | 24.04 | 60.87 |

Notas on this table are in appendix A, pp. 186-187.

Tablim 14.-Personal care' expenditures and medical care expenditures, by economic level-Continued

NEORO FAMILIES

| Item | All | Economic level-Familites speading per erpenditure unit per year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 4000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \text { to } \\ & \$ 8000 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 800$ and |
| Pertonal Care Expendilures | 16 | 26 | 87 | 88 |
| Familles in survey. |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for personal care: Personal care seryices: |  | 25 | 85 |  |
| Haircuts.............................. |  |  |  |  |
| Shaves by barber. |  | 25 | $\begin{gathered} 86 \\ 1 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Shampoos |  |  |  |  |
| Manicuras--.-. |  | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Other waves. |  | 8 | 107 |  |
| Other personal eare services.. |  |  |  |  |
| Tolitet articlos and preparations: | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \\ & 98 \\ & 88 \\ & 89 \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 28 \\ & 23 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 377 \\ & 88 \\ & 38 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | 8738383838 |
| Tollot |  |  |  |  |
| Tooth powder tooth paite , ,iouth washes...........- |  |  |  |  |
| Cosmetia end tollet preparations - |  |  |  |  |
| A verage expenditure per family for personal care, total |  | 52.07 | 53028 | \$4.18 |
|  | 19.28 8.28 | 12.54 | 16.76 7.58 | 23.08 |
| Bhavess by batior | 8.818 | 8.28 .38 | .$^{02}$ | 218 |
| Sbaves by barber |  | 1.50 |  |  |
| Manieares. | -6.38 | 0 | $0^{.32}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{3} 0.08$ |  |  | $0^{68}$ |
| Other wavas. |  | 1.36 | 2.45 | 4.77 |
| Tolliet articles and preparations. |  | 11.44 | 13.07 | 16.88 |
| Toilet soap................. | 8. 68 | 3.7688888 | 3.80 <br> 3.88 |  |
| Tooth powder, tooth paste, mouth washod | 4.84 |  |  | 8.84 |
|  | 3 <br> 2 <br> 245 <br> 0 |  | 3. 18 | 4.80 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.80 \\ & 6.34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.56 \\ 10.42 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Medical Cars Empenditures <br> Nunber of familles spending far medical care: Services of- <br> Goneral practilioner: Home $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1013 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Epeonitst and other practitonor <br> Dentist | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \frac{8}{8} \\ & \frac{28}{n} \end{aligned}$ | 8 | $\frac{1}{8}$ | 18 |
| Clinio- - | $\frac{25}{25}$ |  | $0$ |  |
| Nurse: in home: Private. | 0 | 6 0 0 |  |  |
| In hospltal........................................... | 8 | ${ }^{6}$ | 0 |  |
| Hospital: Private rom | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ |
| Medictre and druse.... |  | 24 | 37 |  |
| Eyerlasses............. | ${ }^{23}$ |  |  | 31 |
| Medien applanese. Accident and hoalth insurance. |  |  | 12 |  |
| A verare expenditure per family for medical care, total.. |  | \$16.25 | 529.88 | \$41.82 |
| Servtex of: |  |  |  |  |
| Genoral praotitloner: Home |  |  | ${ }^{2} 888$ |  |
| Specfulitat and other practitioner. |  |  |  | 4.12 |
| Depectist....................... |  |  | 5 |  |
| Clinic..................... |  |  | ? | 1.58 |
| Nurse: In liome: Privato. |  |  |  | 0 |
| - V Visting |  |  | 0 |  |
| In hapital. - ... |  |  |  | 0 |
| Hospltal: Private room.-..........---.............. |  |  | 2.16 |  |
| Medidina Bed in ward.-.............................. |  |  |  |  |
| Medicine and drups. |  |  | 7.77 | 10.72 |
|  |  |  | 1.8 | 3 |
| Acoident and hatita ins |  |  |  |  |
| Other medical care - - . . |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 8.58 \\ 10.28 \\ 19.28 \end{array}$ | $18_{82}^{820}$ |
| © veragu axponditure per person for medical cars, total.- |  |  |  |  |

Notos on this tobleare in appondix A, pp. 186-187.

Tabla 15.-Recreation expenditures, by economic leod WHITE FAMILIES


1 Less than 0.8 cent.
Notee on this table are 仅 appendix 4, p. 187.

Tably 16.-Recreation expenditures, by conomic level-Continued
NEGRO FAMILIES


Notee on this table ars ti appendis A, p. 187.

Table 16.-Formal education, vocadion, community welfare, gifte and contributions, and miscellaneous expenditures, by economic level

WHITE FAMILIES


Notas on thls table are in appendix A, pp. 187-188.

Table 16.-Formal education, vacation, community welfare, gifte and contributions, and miscellaneous expenditures, by economic level-Continued

## NEGRO FAMILEE

| Item | Alltamilles | Economic level-Familles spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under \$iso | \$400 to \$600 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 600 \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Formal Rducation Enpenditurt <br> Families in anvey <br> Number of familes sponding for- <br> Members eway from home. <br> Members at home. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 25 | 97 | 38 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 28 | 16 | , | 7 |
| A verage expenditure per femily for formal education, total. <br> For monbers away from home <br> For members at hame. | * 3.10 | \$3. 65 |  | 88.66 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8.10 | 1.68 | . 33 | 6.68 |
| Vocation Erpenditurte |  |  |  |  |
| Number of femillies apending forUnion dues or fees. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28 | 5 | 10 | 11 |
| Profosalanal assootation dues or fees. <br> Teohnicallitorature. | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A verbge expenditure per family for vecational items, total. | \$4.64 | \$3.63 | *33 | \$4.02 |
| Union dues or fees. | 4.18 | 3.22 | 4.86 | 4.00 |
| Professionel association durs or fees <br> Techafeal Ittaraturs. <br> Other tems of vocational axpense. | $0^{.08}$ | 0 | $0^{.10}$ | $0^{08}$ |
|  | . 41 | . 41 | . 28 | , 5 |
| Community WVatjare Expenditures |  |  |  |  |
| Numbar of tumilles speading for-Peliglous organizstions.......- |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% | 30 | 24 | 34 |
| Community chest and other orkanieatons <br> Tazes: Pall, income, and parsonal peoperty | 118 | 0 0 | 7 | 0 |
| A verage expsuditure per family for commupity welfare, total | \$8.41 | 教铬 | \$0.60 |  |
| Beligious organizations <br> Cornmunity chest and other orkanizations. <br> Taxes: Pall, inoume, and morsonal property | 8.18 | 7.05 | 6.04 | 10.60 |
|  | $0^{.88}$ | 0 | $0^{.56}$ | $0^{18}$ |
| colte and Contributiont |  |  |  |  |
| Number of familles spending for- |  |  |  |  |
|  | 65 | 8 | 30 | 87 |
| Christmas, birthday, Bte, gitts Support of relatlyes. | 40 | 2 | 16 | 27 |
| Support of rolatyes. <br> Support of other peraons. | 18 | 0 | \% | 0 |
| A verape expenditure ger family for contributiens and girts to porsons outside economic iamily, total $\qquad$ Christmes, birthday, etc, gils. <br> Suppori of matives. $\qquad$ <br> Support of ouhor persens. $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.78 \\ 7.91 \\ 20.98 \\ .84 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.94 \\ & 270 \\ & 2.44 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 580 | \$58. 19 |
|  |  |  | 2. 74 | 15.88 |
|  |  |  | 18.28 | 33.80 |
|  |  |  | . 48 | 2.01 |
| Miseetaneots Etpendiures |  |  |  |  |
| Number of femilies spending for- | 83228 | 0 | 0 | 72288 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Legai costi. |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ardons. |  |  |  |  |
| Family losses. |  |  |  |  |
| Average expenditurs per family for misoellaneous items total |  | \$0.88 | \$10. 56 |  |
| Funerak | $\begin{array}{r} 18.80 \\ 10.8 \\ 2.8 \end{array}$ | 0 | 7.30 | 20.5 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 7. 18 |
|  |  | 0 |  | . 01 |
|  |  | 0 | 2.34 | . 78 |

## 1 Less thann 0.5 pent.

Notos os this tible are to ippondtx t, pp. 187-188.

Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level White familes

| Item | All tamalies | Economic leval-Familtes spendiag per expenditare unit jer year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$+100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =100 \text { to } \\ & \\ & \$ 0000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5000 and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Clathing Bapenituree <br> 1. Number of famblies in survey. <br> Averge nomber of clothing expenditure units per family. <br> Number of families spending for: <br> Zeady-made clothing, dry elesning, and accessaries. <br> Yard goods and findingo. <br> Pald holp for sewing. <br> Number of familles reportiog ciothig recolved engifin |  | $\begin{gathered} 194 \\ 282 \\ 294 \\ 129 \\ 129 \\ 129 \end{gathered}$ | 281208180187 | 372 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 258 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 378 278 |
|  |  |  |  | 9 |
|  |  |  |  | 10 |
| A verame axpenditare per family for clothing |  | $\begin{gathered} 128.11 \\ 12.83 \\ 2.41 \\ .07 \\ 4.01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3100.88 \\ 18.88 \\ 4.88 \\ .18 \\ 6.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 260.71 \\ & 26.78 \\ & 8.00 \\ & .14 \\ & .1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Ready-made clothing dry cleaning, and Becessorics_ <br> Yard roods and findings. |  |  |  |  |
| Paid halp for sewing A verage value par fandyy of ciothing reanved as gitcs (incomplete) |  |  |  |  |
| II. Number of familles hsving mon and boys 18 years of age and over : | $\begin{array}{r} 882 \\ 1,116 \end{array}$ |  | 813 | 350430 |
| Number of men and boys is years of age end overs ${ }^{\text {a }}$--- |  |  |  |  |
| A yerage number of men and boys 18 years of ase and over per family having such mon and boys . |  | 1.40 | 35 | 1.23 |
| Number of famitea having boys 12 tbrough it years | ${ }_{168}^{181}$ |  | 777 |  |
| Number of boys 12 through i7 years of age ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }_{3}^{38}$ |
| A yarge number of boys 12 through 17 years of age per tamily having such boys: | 1. 11 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.04 |
| Number of familles having boys 6 through 11 ysars of |  | 5770 | 68 |  |
| Number of boys 6 throughii years of age 3 | 161 |  |  | 24 |
| A yerage pumber of boys 6 through 11 years of age per family baving such boys; $\qquad$ | 1.14 | 1.23 | 1.12 | 1.00 |
| Numbar of families having boya 2 through 8 years of |  |  | 801 |  |
| Number of boys 2 tibrough 6 years oi age |  | 49 |  | 21 |
| Average number of boys 2 through $\delta$ years of age per family having such boys | 1.08 | 109 | 1.11 | 1.00 |
| Number of famullea having women and girle is years |  |  | 330482 |  |
| Number of women end eirlo is years of age and overi- | 1,217 | 197 |  | 3688 |
| Average number of women and ghris 18 years of age snd over per family having such women and giris? | 1.30 | 1.48 | 1.46 | 1.28 |
| Number of families having giris 12 through 17 years |  |  | ${ }_{60}^{60}$ |  |
| Number of giris i2 tarough it years of age - | 153 | 87 71 |  | 22 |
| Avarage number of girls 12 through 17 years of ege per family having sueh giris? | 1.13 | 124 | 1.07 | 1.00 |
| Number of tamilles having giris of through in years of |  | ${ }_{78}^{57}$ | ${ }_{62} 8$ | $\boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Number of giris 6 trinugh ii years of age ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 181 |  |  |  |
| A parage zumber of gitis 6 through 11 years of ase per family having such girls: |  | 1.32 | a | 1.04 |
| Number of famillea having giris 2 through in yeare of |  |  | 33 | 24 |
|  | 粦 |  | 8 |  |
| A varase number of gitio 2 through of years of ase per family having suct gitls | 1.05 | 1. 12 | 1.08 | 1.00 |
| Number of families hisping infants under 2 ygers of |  | 48 | 88 |  |
|  | 124 |  |  | 38 |
| A verage pumber of manta bider ? yairs of age per family having intants : |  | 1.12 | 1.08 | 1.08 |

1 The aggregatea on which these averagea are based do not include cifts of clothing reported received by 801 femilles, but for which they could not estimate the walue.
1 Includes only parsons dependent on tamily tund for 52 weots.
 yesr of age are incuided regardless of the number of weeks dependent on tamily funds.
Notes on this table are in appendix A, pp. 182-190.

Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level-Continued WHITE FAMILIES-Continued

| Itam | Persons purchasing |  |  |  | A yerage number of articles purchased par parson |  |  |  | Avarage expenditure per person |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Economitelevel-Framilesspandingper expend-turenattper year |  |  |  | Economicleval-tFamileaspendingper expend:-ture unitper year |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eponomio } \\ & \text { level- } \\ & \text { Families } \\ & \text { spending } \\ & \text { per expendi- } \\ & \text { ture unit } \\ & \text { per year } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mid$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| III. Clothing, man and boys, is years of age and over;' <br> Total | No. | No. | Na, | NYo. | No. | No. |  | No. | Dol. 53. 45 | Dol. 24. 88 | Dol. 48. 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DoL } \\ & 82.20 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 672 | 107 | 24 | 321 | -7 | 0.22 |  | ar 09 | 2.25 | 1.11 | 1.95 | 8.34 |
| Straw | 131 | 8 | 46 | 76 | . 12 | . 04 | . 11 |  | . 21 | . 6 | . 20 |  |
| Oaps: Wrol | 106 | 28 | 88 | 45 | +14 | . 12 | . 13 | . 17 | . 11 | . 10 | . 09 |  |
| Other |  | 11 | 27 |  | - 12 | . 06 |  |  | . 06 | . 6 | . 07 | 6 |
| Overesats. | 223 | 88 | 69 | 122 | . 20 | . 12 | . 18 |  | 4.58 | 2.23 | 3.30 | 7.20 |
| Topcoats. | 81 |  | 2 | 50 | . 07 | . 02 | . 08 | . 12 | 1.45 | . 21 | 1.24 | 2.4 |
| Ralneorts. | 82 | ) | 8 | 21 | -03 | . 02 | . 01 | . 05 | . 15 | . 11 | . 05 | . 28 |
| Jackets: Eeavy fab | 68 | 14 | 22 | 20 | . 08 | . 05 | . 00 | . 07 | . 24 | . 10 | . 18 | . 32 |
| Leather... | 38 |  | 14 | 20 | . 08 | , 03 |  |  | .20 | . 05 | . 28 | . 37 |
| Other | 11 |  | 4 | 9 | . 02 | (4) | . 02 |  | . 06 | . 01 | . 04 | .12 |
| Swraters; Heavy | 181 | 28 | 87 | 69 | . 11 | . 11 | . 14 |  | . 3 | + 28 | . 40 | - 56 |
| Light | 82 | 12 | 25 | 45 | . 00 | . 05 | . 09 | . 12 | . 17 | . 18 | . 18 | 25 |
| Suits: Fieavy Fool | 343 |  | 110 | 188 | . 34 | - 18 | . 31 |  | 8.19 | 3. 20 | 7. 18 | 12.2 |
| Lisht weight | 201 | 21 | 5 | 121 | . 20 | . 08 | , 15 |  | 4.34 | 1.83 | 3.00 | 7. 48 |
| Cotton, linen. | 8 | 0 | 2 |  | . 01 |  | 6) | . 021 | . 11 | 0 | . 04 |  |
| Palm Beach Othar | 38 |  | 10 | 17 | ${ }^{6}$ | . 02 |  | . 01 | . 88 |  | . 08 | +16 |
|  | 314 |  | 8 | 17 | . 10 |  |  |  | . 82 | . 41 | . 88 | 1.09 |
| Trousers: Wool. | 111 | 24 | 82 | 85 | .10 | , 11 |  |  | . 63 | . 34 | . 61 | . 7 |
| Catton | 115 | 23 | 31 |  | - 20 |  |  |  | . 33 | . 28 | . 21 | . 40 |
| Orarat Other | ${ }^{63}$ | 8 | 16 | 28 | . 07 | . 08 | - 04 |  | + 10 | . 13 | . 11 | . 30 |
| Overalle coversils | 178 | 88 | \% | 73 | . 33 | . 23 |  |  | . 88 | . 36 | . 41 | . 68 |
| Bhirte Cotton, Forl | 385 | 88 | 130 | 147 | 1.37 | . 84 |  |  | 1.36 | . 85 | 1. 11 | 1.90 |
| Ootton and other | 701 | 138 | 261 | 307 | 12.72 | 1.61 |  |  | 8.66 | 1.79 | 2.03 | E. 3 |
| Wool | 14 | 3 | 5 | 7 | . 02 | . 01 | , ${ }^{1}$ |  | . 04 | . 01 | . 04 | . 85 |
| Underrees: Sults, ootton, znit | 143 | 21 | 85 | 67 | - 44 | . 25 |  | . 50 | . 43 | . 2 | . 41 | . 62 |
|  | 82 | 20 | 30 | 32 | . 23 | . 17 |  | . 24 | .30 | . 22 | . 28 | . 87 |
| cotton and wool | 148 |  | 87 | 63 | - 41 | . 80 |  |  | . 47 | . 23 | . 82 | - 57 |
| Trayon and silk. | 15 | 71 | 14 | 8 | . 06 | . 02 |  |  | . 04 | .01 | . 04 | . 05 |
| Undersilits, cotton, | 883 | 71 | 140 | 182 | I. 67 | 1.00 | 1. 51 |  | . 60 | . 36 | . 47 | . 89 |
| cotton and prool | 185 | 40 | 6 | 92 | . 86 | . 52 |  |  | . 36 | . 26 | . 30 | - 50 |
| chorts, mottonyon and sill | 15 | 7 | 5 | ${ }^{8}$ | . 05 | - 04 |  |  | . 03 | . 02 | . 02 | . 08 |
| Shorts, cotton |  |  | 162 | 203 | 1.87 |  |  |  | . 7 |  | . 67 | 1.01 |
| rayon and silt. <br> Drumers cotton and …… | 181 | 4 | 6 | 8 | +08 | . 05 |  | . 09 | . 08 | . 08 | . 02 | . 4 |
| Drawers, cotton and wool... Psfamas and nightshirts | 181 | 41 | ${ }_{0}^{60}$ | 80 164 | . 67 | . 60 | - 60 |  | +88 | - 2 | . 27 | +18 |
| Pajamesand nightshirts.... | ¢ | 201 | ${ }^{3} 87$ | ${ }^{164} 300$ | S. 47 | .$_{1.09}^{13}$ | . 4.8 |  | +.88 | . 17 | 8. 85 | 3.18 |
| ches. Work | 169 | $3{ }^{2}$ | 50 | 88 | 2. 24 | 1.15 |  |  | 5. .37 .36 | - 3.81 | 8.65 .60 | 8.84 1.08 |
| Canvas | 20 |  | 7 | 20 | , 0 | . 01 | . 02 | . 05 | . 04 | . 01 | . 02 | . 0.0 |
| Other. | 51 | 8 | 14 | 34 | . 05 |  |  |  | . 18 | . 02 | .10 | . 24 |
| Bootas Rubbez- | 35 | 2 | 4 |  |  | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 | . 08 |  | . 04 | - 08 |
| Leather. | 8 7 | - | 2 | 13 | . 01 | ${ }^{0}$ | - 01 | . 01 | .08 |  | . 02 | . 6 |
| Arotios... <br> Rubberz | 814 | 37 | $11{ }^{2}$ | 162 | . 02 | (2) | (t) |  |  | (a) | - 01 | . 0 |
|  | 885 | 197 | 1124 | 162 | . 32 | . 22 |  |  |  | - 24 | . 32 | . 48 |
| 8hlnes. | 212 | 16 | 73 | 154 |  |  |  |  | 1.85 | $\underline{ }$ | 1.58 | 2. |
| Fose: Cotton, heavy | 432 | 113 | 157 | 162 | 209 | 5.97 | 6. 31 | 7. 24 | ${ }^{+}$ | . 89 | 1. 10 | 1. 8. |
| drass. | 88\% | 94 | 144 | 150 | 4.88 | 4. 51 |  | 5. 11 | . 87 | -68 | . 02 | 1. 10 |
| Tisyon | 298 | 41 | 01 | 97 | 2 z | 28 |  |  | . 61 | . 4 | . 57 | , 78 |
|  | 93 | 10 | 24 | ${ }^{60}$ | . 87 | . 27 |  | 1. 07 | . 26 | . 07 | . 12 | . 58 |
| Oloves: Work cotion | 188 | 58 | ${ }_{28}^{28}$ | 40 | . 32 | . 12 |  | . 584 | +14 | . 18 | - 18 | $\cdots$ |
| Qloves: Worz, cothon other. | 158 | ${ }^{5}$ | 65 11 | ${ }_{13}^{68}$ | 1. 18 | . 98 |  | 1.34 <br> .10 <br> 1 | . 20 | - 18 | . 228 | - 0 |
| Street, leather | 28 | 15 | 11 | 123 | . 122 | . 23 | . 231 | . 10 | . 06 | - 06 | . 08 | . 08 |
| other. | 83 | 0 | 11 | 10 | . 28 | . 0 |  |  | . 06 | . 08 | . 04 | . 70 |
| TYes. | 717 | 129 | 200 | 328 | 3. 58 | t. 82 | 3. 29 | 4.94 | 1.87 | . 6 | 1.52 | 2.83 |
| Collars | 4 | 2 | 16 | 20 | . 36 | . 05 |  | . 6 | . 09 | (i) | 1.08 | - 16 |
| Bathing suts, was arime | 148 | 12 | 4 | 92 | . 14 | . 04 |  | . 27 | . 36 | .10 | . 29 | . 6 |
| Handzarehlefs | 556 | ${ }^{88}$ | 189 | 240 | \% | 2.44 | a.00 | 8.73 | - 68 | . 28 | . 47 | 88 |
| Aonessorige... |  | 17 | 摇 | 62 |  |  |  |  | 18 | . 03 | . 17 | . 16 |
| Bathrobes. $\qquad$ Cleaning ropairing |  | ${ }^{1} 1$ | 201 | 3 37 | .as | (4) |  | . 00 | 8. 11 | . 01 | . 16 | .37 |
| Other- |  | 161 | 21 | sed |  |  |  |  | 8.18 | 1. 32 | 2.60 .47 | 4.3888 |
| Inolvdee only persons dependent on femlly fands for sa weaks, <br> L Lass thasin 0.00 article. <br> Netet on this trible are in appendix A, pp. 18\%-100. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 17．－Clothing expenditures，by economic level－Continued WHITE FAMILTES－Continued

| Itam | Persons pur－ chasing |  |  |  | 4 verage number of articies pur－ ciassed per parson |  |  |  | Averese erpenditure par parson |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 震 | Econcmiclevel－Familinaspendingper erpendi－tare unitpar yar |  |  | $\frac{\text { 票 }}{\text { 至 }}$ | Reonomic leyel Families spending per expendi－ ture natt per year |  |  | 要 | Enonomio level－ Tamilies apanding per expendi－ ture unft par year |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 융 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IV．Clothing boys 12 through 17 yearsofage： Total | No． | No． | No． | No． | No． | No． | No． | No． | $\overline{\mathrm{DOL}}$ $4.71$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline D 00 \\ 28.98 \\ \hline 8 \end{array}$ | $501$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dot. } \\ & 80.37 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ， |  |  | 12 | a． 34 | 0． 10 | 0． 28 | 0． 50 |  | ． 27 | ． 64 | 1． 30 |
| Straw | ， | 1 | 3 | 0 |  |  | ． 04 |  | .02 | ． 6 | ． 02 |  |
| Caps：Wool | 32 | 11 | 12 | 3 | ． 23 | ． 19 | ． 28 | ． 12 | $\stackrel{17}{ }$ | ． 12 | 2 | ． 16 |
| Other | 14 | 5 | 7 | 2 | ． 10 | ． 08 | － 12 | ． 08 | ． 05 | ． 04 | ． 05 | ${ }^{2} 04$ |
| Overceats． | 40 | 8 | 20 | 12 | ． 24 | ． 12 | ． 20. | ． 50 | 3.70 | I． 40 | 411 | 8.88 |
| Topesats． | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | ． 02 | ． 01 | ， 0 |  | ． 17 | ． 07 | ． 31 |  |
| Raincosts | 11 | 3 | 2 |  | ． 08 | ． 04 | ．${ }^{2}$ | ． 25 | ． 30 | ． 12 | ． 12 | 1.34 |
| Jsckets：Eeavy inb | 30 | 14 | 13 | 3 | ． 20 | ． 21 | ． 21 | ． 17 | ． 88 | ． 68 | ． 70 | ${ }^{-77}$ |
| Leather | 25 | 5 | 11 | 0 | ． 14 | ． 07 | ． 16 | ． 33 | ， 8 |  | ． 80 | 2.75 |
| Other | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | ． 01 |  | ． 02 |  | ． 02 |  | ． 05 |  |
| Swortars Heavy | 87 | 17 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 15 | ． 48 | ． 28 | ． 57 | ． 79 | 1．05 | － 6 | 1.08 | 2． 19 |
| Suits Yeavy | 77 | 18 | 10 | 13 | ． 20 | ． 21 | － 16 | ． 32 | ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{27}$ | 8．291 | 5．${ }^{19}$ | ${ }^{+48}$ |
| Sults：Yeavy prowl．．．．． | 62 | 12 | 38 | 18 | － 31 | ． 18 | ． 32 | ． 62 | 6． 44 | 3.31 | 5． 28 | 11．${ }_{\text {5，}}$ |
|  | 31 | 8 | 17 | $\theta$ | － 30 | ． 12 | $0^{-23}$ | ． 280 | 2．98 | 1.70 | 3． 40 | 5．23 |
| Cotton inen． Palm Beach． | 2 | 1 | 9 | 1 |  | $10^{-11}$ | 0 | ． 04 | $0^{\text {c }} 0$ | $0_{0}^{. \infty}$ | $0$ | $0^{.20}$ |
| Palm Beach Other | 8 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trousers：Wobl | 81 | 23 | 27 | 11 | ． 68 | ． 6. | ． 88 | 79 | 1． 73 | 1． 48 | 1． 62 | 287 |
| Cotton | 35 | 5 | 21 | 6 | ． 38 | ． 16 | ． 58 | ． 33 | ． 60 | ． 22 | ． 92 | ． 66 |
| Other | 21 | 9 | 8 |  | ． 22 | ． 21 | ， 20 | ． 21 | ． 44 | ． 44 | ． 38 | ． 88 |
| Overalls，eoveralls | 20 | 13 |  |  | ． 19 | ． 34 | ． 09 | ． 08 | .17 | ． 30 | ． 08 | ． 7 |
| Shirts and blousbs：Cotton，work | 23 | 9 | 8 | 6 | ． 88 | ． 80 | ． 73 | ． 92 | ． 61 | ． 22 | ． 67 | － 7 |
| dress．－ | 118 | 40. | 0 | 18 |  |  |  | 4.62 | 2.82 | 1．78 | 3． 18 | 4． |
| Wpol．．．． | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | ． 0 |  | ． 08 |  | ． 03 |  | ． 06 |  |
| Underwear：Sulte，cotton，znit | 23 | 7 | 12 | 3 | ． 49 | ． 28 | ． 04 | ． 54 | ． 38 | ． 18 | ． 65 | ， 88 |
|  | 7 | 1 | 5 | 1 | ． 12 | ． 01 | ． 20 | ． 04 | .10 | ． 02 | ． 18 | ， 0 |
| cotton and wool | 31 | 14 | 10 |  | ． 52 | ． 40 | ． 30 | 1，08 | .30 | 33 | －39 | ． 82 |
| rayori and slix．－．．．．．． | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | ． 0 |  | ．083 |  | ． 05 |  | ＋ 12 |  |
| Undershirts，setton－．．．－－－－ | 71 | 27 |  | 8 | 1． 72 |  | 1.82 |  |  |  |  | ． 74 |
| cotton and Fool． | 28 | 7 | 10 | 9 | ． 68 | ． 43 | . | 1.58 | － 23 | ．13 | ． 18 | $0^{.63}$ |
| rayon and sllk．－ | 3 | 1 | 3 | ${ }^{0}$ | ． 06 | ． 08 | ． 10 |  | ． 02 | ． 01 | ． 05 | 0 |
| Shorts，cotion．．． <br> rByon and silt | 75 2 | 2 | 37 | 11 | 1．83 | 1． 5 | 1．901 | 2.12 | ． 58 | $0^{\text {－}}$ | ． 05 | $0^{-81}$ |
| rayon and sil <br> Dramers，cotton and woal． | 22 | 7 | $\frac{2}{7}$ | 8 | ． 58 | ． 43 | － 10 |  | －12 |  | ． 05 |  |
| Pajames and nightshirta．．．－ | 3 | 10 | \％ 1 | 12 | ． 41 | ． 18 | 47 | 1．82 | ＋ 48 | ${ }_{3}$ | ． 52 | 1．08 |
| Bhoes：Street－．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．－－－－ | 150 | 61 | 74 | 24 | 2.31 | 2.12 | $2{ }^{57}$ | 342 | 8.35 | 6.35 | 8.44 | 13．${ }^{6}$ |
| Work | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | － 04 |  | ． 02 |  | ． 14 | ． 13 | － 108 | ． 34 |
| Canvas | ${ }^{6}$ | 17 | 28 | 8 | ． 62 | ． 67 | ，数 | ． 54 | ． 57 | － 40 | ． 68 | － 62 |
| Other－ | 12 | 2 | d | 1 | －8 | ． 04 | ． 13 | ． 04 | ． 12 | ． 05 | ． 20 | ． 01 |
| Boots：Rubber | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | ． 01 | ． 01 | ． 0 |  | ． 01 | ． 04 | ． 01 |  |
| Leather | 3 | 0 |  | 1 | ． 01 |  |  | ． | 04 |  | ． 18 | $0^{14}$ |
| Aretics | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | ． 02 | ． 04 |  |  | ． 06 | 14 |  | 0 |
| Rubbers． | 68 | 19 | 29 | 11 | ． 39 | ． 30 | ． 38 | ， 40 | ． 38 | ． 28 | 8 |  |
| Shoe：Repairs | 148 | 54 | 7 | 22 |  |  |  |  | 3.00 | 1，83 | 8.37 | 4．77 |
| Shines |  | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． 27 |
| Hose：Cotion，besvy | 7 | 28 | 35 | 13 | 3． 20 | 331 | 0． 16 | 7.42 | 1． 13 | ． 62 | 1． 37 | 1．78 |
| dress | \％ | 20 | 28 | 8 | 5． 49 | 5． 10 | 3， 81 | 4． 62 | ． 90 | ． 88 | ． 80 | 1． 77 |
| R8yon | 18 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1． 35 | ． 88 | 1.30 | 3.92 | ． 20 | 20 | ． 25 | ， 6 |
| 8ille． | 8 | 1 | 3 | 1 | ． 82 | ． 15 | ． 84 | ． 75 | ． 8 | ． 02 | ． 10 | ． 19 |
| Wool | 7 | a | 2 | 2 | ． 33 | ． 43 | ． 09 | 1.21 | ． 13 | ． 12 | ． 07 | $0^{.35}$ |
| Gloves；Woris，cotton | 8 | 8 | 5 | 0 | ． 10 | ． 01 | ． 17 |  | （1） | 01 | ． 08 |  |
| Other． | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | ． 01 |  | ． 02 |  | （1） |  | ． 01 |  |
| Street，leather | 33 | 8 | 20 | 8 | ． 20 | ． 08 | ． 26 | ． 87 | － 74 | ． 00 | ． 20 | ． 89 |
| other | 空 | 6 | 12 | 4 | ． 16 | ． 10 | ． 10 | ${ }_{2} 21$ | － 11 | ． 01 | 14 | ． 19 |
| Tees． | 86 | 80 | 41 | 18 | 246 | 1．09 | 281 | 3． 82 | $7{ }^{72}$ | ． 45 | .$^{.75}$ | 1． 88 |
| Collars．．．．－．．．．．．．．． | 1 | ， | 0 | 12 | （4） 34 | ． 01 | 0 | 0 | （3） 72 | ． 01 | ${ }^{0} 1.08$ | 1． |
| Bathing sults，sun | 48 | 4 | 30 | 12 | － 34 | ． 08 | － 89 | 1.00 | ． 72 | － 10 | 1． 06 | 1． 8 |
| Handzenchiofs． | 55 | 16 | 27 | 12 | 4.27 | 3． 18 | 4.4 | 6.78 | ． 27 |  | ． 30 | ＋17 |
| Accesserias． | 15. | 8 | 8 | ， |  |  |  |  | ． 06 |  | $0^{*} 08$ | － 17 |
| Clathrobas． | 8 | 80 | 80 | 16 | ． 01 | 0 | 0 | ． 08 | 1． 07 |  | 1．00 | $2 \cdot 8$ |
| Other．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ．08 |

－Includes only persons dependent on family tunds for 62 weeks．
4 Loss than 0.005 article．thest than 0.5 cent．
Notes on this table are in eppendix A；pp．188－100．

Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level-Continued
WHITE FAMILIES-Donthued


Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic Levi-Continued WHITE FAMILIES-Continued

| Ltam | Persons yurchasing |  |  |  | Avarsge nimber of articles por chased per parson |  |  |  | Avarage expenditury par person |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Eeanomiolevel-Fanitiesspendingpar expendi-tare unitper year |  |  |  | Economic levelFamilies spending per expenditure built per year |  |  |  | Economilo LevelPamilien spendiny par axpenditure unit per year |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\,\right.$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ? } \\ & 88 \\ & 8 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
| VI, Clothing, boys 2 througirs years of age: | No. | No. | No | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | Dod | Dat. | Dow. | Dos. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.27 | 14.09 | 21.84 | 40.11 |
| Hats: Felt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 05 |  |  |  | . 04 |
| E6ma | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | . 05 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
| Cape: Wool | 11 | 5 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  | . 10 | . 10 | 00 | . 18 | . 0 |
| Other | 16 | 8 | 3 | 8 | - 10 |  | . 07 | . 48 | .11 | . 8 | . 133 | . 20 |
| Overcosts. | 21 | 7 | 8 | 0 |  |  | . 27 |  | 1. 54 | 0 | 1. 68 | ${ }^{3} 8$ |
| Topcosts. | 8 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  | . 24 |  | . 81 | , |
| Ratnoosts | 2 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 08 |  | . 04 | 0 | . 07 |  |
| Jackets: Eleavy | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | . 05 | . 12 | .03 |  | . 48 |
| Bweaters: Heav | 24 | , | 7 | 8 | . 37 | . 24 | -43 |  | . 64 | \% | . 50 | 1.73 |
| Light | 20 | 10. | 7 | 3 | . 24 | . 28 |  | . 19 | + 30 | . 20 | . 47 | . 38 |
| Play suits: Wool m | 15. | 7 | 3 | 5 | . 31 | . 23 | . 20 | . 82 | . 67 | 44 | . 45 | 1.32 |
| Cotion sued | $1{ }^{4}$ | 4 | 7 | 8 | - 46 | . 38 | : 47 | 76 | . 23 | 16 | . 32 | . 7 |
| Other | $1{ }^{1}$ | 4 |  |  | , 14 | . 14 |  |  | . 70 | . 30 | . 50 | 1. $0^{2}$ |
| Euits: 耳Penvy weol | 19 | 6 | 8 | 2 | - 90 |  |  | . 10 | . 98 | ${ }^{-60}$ | 1.75 | 1.01 |
| Lightweight wood | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | . 06 |  |  | . 28 | + 14 | 0 |  | ${ }^{+60}$ |
| Cotton, lnen | 20 | 16 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots 78$ |  |  | 1. 48 |
|  | 6 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 |
| Other-. | 10 | 8 | 3 | 2 | . 28 |  |  |  | +4818 | . 30 | . 34 | . |
| - Cotion | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | . 03 | . 04 |  |  | . 03 | . 03 | . 05 |  |
| Other |  | 3 |  | 2 | , 15 | . 10 | . 33 | . 14 | . 11 | 11 | + 13 |  |
| overths, coveralls. | 19 | 9 | 6 | 4 | . 28 | . 45 | . 27 |  | . 19 | - 22 | . 12 |  |
| Shirts and blousse: Cotton and other | 33 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 1.20 |  | 1. 17 |  | . 83 | 48 | . 54 | 1.14 |
| Wrow | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | - 0 |  |  |  | . 03 |  | . 10 |  |
| Underwear: Sults, eotton, Enft... | 87 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 1. 35 |  | 1.73 | 3. 10 | . $7_{3}$ | . 28 | . 62 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  | - 48 |  |  |  | . 32 |  |  |  |
| cotton and wool....- | 20 | 6 | 10 | 4 | + 68 |  |  |  | - 30 |  | 7 |  |
| Undershirts, contton......---- | 1 |  | 9 | 0 | - 06 |  |  |  | +11 | . 20 |  |  |
| cottonand wrool. |  | \% |  | 8 | . 31 |  |  |  | - 11 | 67 | . 0. |  |
| mayonandsilk. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shorts, cotton.-.j-7.--..- | 8 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | , 0 |  | 0.03 | 0 |
| mayonand sill | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drawers, cotion and mool. | 8 | 8 | 2 | 3 |  |  | . 231 | . 57 | . 07 |  |  | . 15 |
| Phoen Pramen and nightshirts | 38 | 12 | 13 | 11 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 5 34 |  | 1.24 |
| ghoes; Street....-.......--t.- | 0 | 47 | 26 | 21 | 3.63 | 3. 49 | 3.37 | 4.33 | 7.48 | 5.90 | 6. 87 | 11.98 |
| Canvas | 11 | 6 | 1 |  | . 13 | -14 |  | . 14 | . 11 | . 0 | - 18 | . 11 |
| Other.- | 8 | 8 | 5 | 8 | . 12 | . 10 | . 10 | . 19 | . 1 | .008 |  |  |
| Boots: Rubber |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0^{-10}$ |  |
| - Leather | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Aretios. | 12 | 4 | 8 | 5 | . 12 | . 08 | . 10 | . 24 | . 14 |  | $\cdot 12$ | , 2 |
| Rubbers | 19 | 9. | 8 | 4 | - 10 | . 18 | 20 | . 19 | . 17 | . 16 | 17 | -20 |
| Shce: Repairs | 40 | 18 | 18 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 78 | $0^{.52}$ | 1.07 |  |
| - Bhines. | 1 | 0 | 1. | 0 |  |  |  |  | ( 7 |  | . 01 |  |
| Eose: Cotton, heary | 57 | 20 | 28 | 9 | 3.7 | 3.31 | 5.08 | 80 | . 7 | . 5 | 1. 00 | -70 |
| dr | 87 | 16. | 8 | 13 | 2, 7 | 2.88 | 2.23 | 6.28 | - 0 |  | . 37 | 1.33 |
| Rayon | , | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0 | . 06 |  | $0^{08}$ |  |
| Ellt. | - 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | , 0 | . 06 | $0{ }^{0}$ | 0 \% | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |
| Gloves Coton |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | . 6 |  |  |  | (1) 18 |  |  |  |
| Gloves: Ootton. | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | . 01 |  |  | 0 | ( 0 | 0 | ${ }^{(1)} 10$ | 0 |
| lether. | * ${ }^{3}$ | 14 | 10 | 1 | . 14 |  |  |  | . 17 | ${ }^{0} 12$ |  | . 80 |
| THes ... | $\stackrel{3}{7}$ | 4 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | .03 |  |
| Collars. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bathing suits, sum | 24 | 8 | . | 11 |  | . 22 | 301 | 1.05 | 23 | . 12 | . 08 | . 87 |
| Handrarchiefo | 12 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2.31 | 1.61 | , $0^{2}$ | 1.86 | . 07 | 05 | . 09 | 10 |
| Accessories. | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 03 |  | 12 |  |
| Bathrobee. | 4 | 0 | 8 | 1 | . 04 | 0 | - 101 | . 00 | 07 |  | - 12 | -18 |
| Cleaning, rep | 18 | B |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |  | . 13 | 1. 2 |

[^54]3 Less than 0.5 cent.
Notes on thls table are in appends $\mathbf{4}$, pp. 180-190.

Tasin 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic leveb-Continued WBITE FAMILIES-Contimued


Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level-Continued
WHITE FAMILIES-Continuad


I Inciudes onily persons dependent on farmily funds for at wieeks.

- Lase than 0.005 articlo.

Notem on thls table are in appandir A, pp. 188-100.

Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level-Continued
WHITE FAMILIES-Continned


3 Incindes only persons dependent on mmlly fands for 58 weeks.
Notes on thet table aro in appendir A. pp. 18s-100.
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Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level-Continued
WHITE FAMILIES-Continued


[^55]Tabls 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level-Continued NEGRO FAMILIES

| Itam | Al famlies | Economic level-Families spendiog per expenditare unit per year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under \$400 | \$400 to \$800 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { speo and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Clathing expenditurea |  |  |  |  |
| Number ot tamilies in survery.---.............. | 100 | 25 | ${ }^{37}$ | 奖 |
| Average zumber of clothing expenditure units per |  | 3.57 | 256 | 250 |
| 1. Number of fammies spending for- | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 88 \\ 8 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  |
| Ready-made clothing, dry eisaning and accossorics.-- |  | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \\ & 21 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 883488 |
| Yard goods and findlogs-.....--...-- |  |  |  |  |
| pramber of familiea reporing olotinin recelved as |  |  |  |  |
| gíts. |  | 14 | 16 |  |
| Average expenditare per family for cloth | $\begin{gathered} 9148 . \overline{68} \\ 148.99 \\ 2.88 \\ .61 \\ 7.20 \\ .8 . \end{gathered}$ | \$130.86 | \$122.22 | \$180.24 |
| Ready-made clothing, dry cleaning and accessories. |  | 13825 | 120.30 1.92 | $\begin{aligned} & 175.94 \\ & 3.704 \\ & . \end{aligned}$ |
| Pam poods and findin |  | . 16 | ${ }_{0}^{1.42}$ |  |
| A verage yelue per smilly of olothing revived as gitts (nncompleto) |  | 7.58 | 2.13 |  |
| II. Numer of mamilies having men sidd boys 18 years of | 92116 | ${ }_{3}^{4}$ | 3340 | ${ }_{41} 3$ |
| aga and orer ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Number of men and |  |  |  |  |
| A Ferafe number of men and boys is years of sge and over per faroily having such mon and boys 1 | 120 | 1.45 | 1. 21 | 1.17 |
| Number of families baving boys 12 through 17 years of |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{6}^{5}$ | 2 | 0 |
| Number of boys 18 triough il years oisge |  |  |  |  |
| A verage number or boys 12 throagh 17 years of age per family having guch boys I. | 1.14 | 1.20 | 1.00 | 0 |
| Number of families baving boys otthrough 12 years of |  |  |  |  |
| Numberof boya 6 troughti years ofage 1 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 1 |
| Averege prumber of boys 6 through 11 years of tytat per family bariog suath boys: | 1.15 | 1.20 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Number of landisa bsving boys 2 through 6 yests of |  | 22 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0 |
| Number of bays 2 trrough o years of aga :-........ |  |  |  |  |
| A parage zumber of boys 2 trough o years of ase por family having suct boys: | 1.20 | 1.06 | 2.38 | 0 |
| Number of tamiliea kaving women and giris 18 years of | $\cos _{100}^{100}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | 8748 |  |
| Number of momen and giris 18 ysars of agendo |  |  |  | \% |
| Average number of women gad girla 18 fearr of feend over per fomily haying such wometh and giris is |  | 1.28 | 1.20 | 1.29 |
| Number of families having elris 12 through 17 years of |  |  |  |  |
| Numbere of gite inturoughio years of age i | 11 |  | 3 | 1 |
| A yersge number of girlis 12 trrough 17 yeers of ege per family having stac firle | 1.22 | 1.20 | 1.88 | 1.00 |
| Numbse of tarnilies having girs 6 threugh 12 years of |  |  |  |  |
| Number ofgiris 6 inough ii years of age | 14 | 110 | 2 | 1 |
| A verage number of girls 8 through 11 years of age per | 107 | 1.10 | 1.00 |  |
| fatuly having guch girls ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| Number of tamilles baving girla 2 through 5 years of |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | $0$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| iverage nimber of grris 8 through 5 years of ate par Emily harlog surct ofrig? | $1.20$ | 1.20 | 0000 | $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1,00 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Number oftamiltes beytagisfants under 2 yeare otage |  |  |  |  |
| Namber of intants onder 2 years of ege 3 . |  | 2 |  |  |
| syerage namber of infants under 2 years of asp per farnily baytug infants ${ }^{2}$. | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| III. Total expenditure for ajothin | $\begin{gathered} * 30.828 \\ 23.88 \\ 14.91 \\ 61.10 \\ 23.7 \\ 15.19 \\ 16.19 \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 468.85 \\ 89.10 \\ 18.09 \\ 60.70 \\ 60.76 \\ 088 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Fer boy 12 through 17 yeurs of age |  |  |  |  |
| Par boy ithrough 11 years of age ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Par boy 2 through 6 years of age ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Per girl 12 throuxh 17 years of agy |  |  |  |  |
| Per girio through 11 years of |  |  |  |  |
| Per giri 2 through 6 years ot a |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{2}$ The aggregates on which these ayerages are based do not incinde gitts of clothing reperted recoived by if tomiliss but for which they could not estimate the yilue.
${ }^{2}$ Ineludes onty persons dependent on tamily tateds for 52 weoks.
Inlanks sged 1 to 2 years are includad only if dependent on family funds for 52 weeks; those under 1 year af sage are included rexardleas of the namber of weoks dependent on family funds.
Botaifed information not presented for the followint age groupe because of small number of famition in rach.

Notes on this table are in appendix A, pp. 150-190.

Tabli 17,-Clothing expenditures, by economic leveh-Continued NEGRO FAMILIES-Continued


Notes on this tabie ers in eppendix $A_{\text {s }}$ pp. 189-100.

Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level-Continued
NEGRO FAMILIES-Continwod


2 Inoludes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 meeks.
Notes on this table are in eppendix A, pp. 188-100.

Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level-Continued NEGRO FAMILIEG-Contiowed

| Itom | Persons purchailing |  |  |  | A veragenumber of articles purchased per person |  |  |  | Average expenditureper persen |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { flime } \\ \text { lize } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Econnmio loval-Famile spanding per unlt paz year |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { finiol } \\ \text { Liss } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { An } \\ \operatorname{taniz} \\ \operatorname{lin} \end{array}\right\|$ | Eoonomic level-Familias spanding per unit per year |  |  |
|  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \mathrm{Dr} \\ \mathrm{der} \\ \mathrm{x} 00 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} 400 \\ 5 \\ \hline \\ \$ 000 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5000 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { ovar } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5400 \\ & 5 \\ & 5000 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cr} \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5400 \\ & 50 \\ & 5600 \end{aligned}$ | (encon |
| V. Clothiag, woman and girls 18 years of age sud over lContinued. |  |  |  | No. 37141110851212102220821022252571485 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ 1.48 \\ .61 \\ .20 \\ .59 \end{gathered}$ | Dol.8.41.40.41.461.1511.6 | Do. | Dol. | ${ }^{\text {Dol }} 14$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dress..- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sport.... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . ${ }^{0}$ |  | . 78 | . 81 |  |
| Housea Blippars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : 71 |  | : 49 | 1. ${ }^{61}$ |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 0 |  | : 02 | . 3 |  |
| Rubbers - --..- |  |  |  |  |  | - 16 | . 12 |  | . 18 | . 26 | . 15 | 12 | . 18 |
| Anotics, gatars....... |  |  |  |  |  | . 68 | . 17 |  | . 24 | . 21 | . 13 | . 24 | . 83 |
| Olovis: Cotion.-....... |  |  |  |  |  | . 38 | . 10 |  | . 85 | . 88 |  | . 27 | . 61 |
| Other........ |  |  |  |  |  | .09 | .12 |  | 18 | - 10 | :08 | . 09 | . 13 |
| Bathing suts, sua suits.....- |  |  |  |  |  | - | 20 | , 04 | . 05 | . 09 | 03 | - 6 |
| Pans |  |  |  |  |  |  | 201 | ${ }^{4.38}$ | 20 |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |
| Muftors, scarts... |  |  |  |  |  | . 88 | , 08 | . 02 | . 03 | . O |  | O1 |
| Mnndonss, pursea. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 76 | 2 | \% | . 82 | 12 |
| Garters, beles, buirpins, elc.- |  |  |  |  |  | . 1. | \% | . 14 | . 10 | 05 | 10 | ${ }^{12}$ |
| Clasalug, repaiting.......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.50 | . 90 | 1. 03 | 2338 |
| ther. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. 6 t | . 08 | . 57 | 3.47 |

Inoludes only persons dependent on family fands for 教 weoks.
Notes on this table are in appondix A, pp. 188-190.

Table 18.-Furnishings and equipment expenditures, by economic level WHITE FAMILIES

${ }^{1}$ The aggregates on which these aversges are based do not include the gits of furnishings and equipment reported recoived by 105 familios but for which they could not estimate the value.

Tably 18.-Furnishings and squipment expenditures, by economic level-Continues
WHITE FAMILIES-Continued

| ILom | Number of families spending |  |  |  | A perage number of articles purohased per familly |  |  |  | A perage expenditury per family |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { All } \\ \text { ham- } \\ \text { hiles } \end{array}\right\|$ | Ecomomio ieval-Families spending per expenditure zinit per |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { An } \\ \substack{\text { fami- } \\ \text { Hes }} \end{gathered}$ | Ewnomic levalFamilies spanding per expenditure unt Der Fbar |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { An } \\ \text { fann- } \\ \text { ille } \end{array}\right\|$ | Exonomilo leyel-Familis spending per expenditurt unit per year |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Un}-1 \\ & \text { der } \\ & \mathbf{H e n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 840 \\ & t 0 \\ & 5 \times 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \mathbf{8 g 0 0} \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Un}- \\ & \mathrm{der} \\ & \mathbf{8 1 0 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fond } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |  | On der $\mathbf{S H 0 0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 540 \\ & t \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | as |
|  penditara-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eioctriesl eguipmant, total | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No, | No. | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dal. } \\ & 8.84 \end{aligned}$ | Dot. $200$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dot. } \\ & 7.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & D 6 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| Vecuum cleaners.--- | 17 |  |  |  | 0.019 | 0.005 | 0,018 | 0.030 | . 87 | . 40 | . 76 | 1. |
| Refrigerstors (eloctsic) | 28 | 1 | 0 | 18 | . 030 | . 005 | . 027 | . 046 | 4.37 | . 59 | 440 | 6. |
| Elictrle stoves, hotplates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wenhing machines.............-- | 8 | 0 | 3 | 82 | . 008 |  | . 012 | . 008 |  |  | - 97 | . |
| Irons | 8 | 10 |  |  | . 072 | $0^{.0 .05}$ | $0^{.068}$ | . 0805 | -200 | $0^{+18}$ | $0^{20}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 0 | 0 | 6 | -007 |  | 0 | . 016 | . 01 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Light buibs.n. | 820 | 123 | 316 | 251 | 4.948 | 2.870 | 8. 184 | 5. 401 | . 91 | , 61 | . 96 | 1. |
| Lamps....... | ${ }_{6}^{88}$ | , | 18 | 48 | . 111 | . 018 | . 002 | -199 | . 66 | . 11 | . 23 | 1. |
| Toastors --- | 2 | 2 | 8 | 10 | . 029 | . 010 | . 021 | . 043 | . 00 | . 02 | + 04 |  |
| 80wlng machings (olectrio)....-- | 10 | 2 | 2 |  | . 011 | . 010 | . 006 | . 016 | -88 | 1.05 | . 11 | 1. |
| Other | 21 | 1 | 8 | 12 |  |  |  |  | - 08 | (1) | -. 03 | 0, |
| Mirtors, piotures, alocks, 0528 - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2. |  |  |
|  | 7 | 10 | 23 | 44 |  |  |  |  | . 31 | . 07 | . 22 |  |
| Carpet axeepers... | \% 8 | 1 | 7 | 18 |  |  |  |  | , 08 | . 01 | . 06 |  |
| Brooms, brushou, mop | 440 | 100 | 158 | 182 |  |  |  |  | . 54 | . 61 | . 49 |  |
| Dustpans, palls, eto | 101 | 18 |  | 45 |  |  |  |  | . 07 | . 64 | . 06 |  |
| Gas refrigeratori Ios boras | 888888 | 4 | 1 | $\frac{2}{8}$ |  |  |  |  | . 74 |  | . 95 | 1. |
| Stoves and ranges not electrio). | 㹼 |  |  | - 21 |  |  |  |  | 1.20 | . 31 | .76 | 2 |
| Canniog equipment, cookers.... | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  | (3) |  | 0 |  |
| Pots, pans, cuthery.......... | 231 | 88 | 7 | 114 |  |  |  |  | . 52 | . 24 | . 38 |  |
| Tube, Doards, wringers-- | 20 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | . 04 | . 01 | + |
| Ironing boards, racks, baskets. - | 2 | 8 | 12 | 8 |  |  |  |  | . 03 | . 0 | . 04 | - 1 |
| Sewing machines (not electrio).- | 8 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | -04 | , 0 | . 03 | + |
| Baby carriages, gosarts - $\quad$.... | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 98 | . 70 | . 82 | 1 |
| Trunks, hand baggage. | 28 | 8 | 8 | 18 |  |  |  |  | . 11 | 01 | . 04 |  |
| Housohold tools, ladders, caas. . Window shadec, wire sereens, | 28 | 2 | , | 18 |  |  |  |  | . 68 | . 01 | . 04 |  |
|  | 146 | 31 |  | 00 |  |  |  |  | . 46 | . 24 | . 88 |  |
| Lawn mowars gardon equipmen | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - $0_{0}$ | . 05 | . 05 |  |
| Repairs, oleaning | 1 | 9 | 14 | 35 |  |  |  |  | 78 | 0 | 54 | 1. |

I Lees than 0.6 cents.
Noter on this table are in appendly A, pp. 190-101.

Table 18.-Furnibhings and equipment expenditureb, by economic level-Continued negro familieg


The acgregates on which these averages are based do not Incitrde the gifte of furnishings and oquipment reported recenyed by 2 families but for which thoy could not estimate the value.

Expressed in square yards.
Notes on this table are in appendix A, pp. 100-101.

Tablix 18.-Furnishings and equipment expenditures, by economic level-Continued NEGRO FAMILIES-Continged

. Laes than 0.8 cant.
Notes on this table ars In appendix A: pp. 190-101.

Table 19．－Description of familiea atudied at seconomic levels

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Item} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{White familiea} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Negro tamilies} \\
\hline \& \multirow{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { AII } \\
\& \text { famp } \\
\& \text { Lees }
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Economia level－ Families spending per expendltare unit per year} \& \multirow{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { All } \\
\& \text { faml } \\
\& \text { lies }
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Economic level－ Familles spending per expenditure unit par rear} \\
\hline \& \& Un－
det
\＄00 \& \(\$ 00\)
\(\$ 00\) \& \＄000
日nd
over \& \& Un－
der
\％ 00 \& \(\$ 00\)
\(\$ 000\) \& 年00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Campoution of Hestehold} \\
\hline Familes in \& 897 \& 194 \& 331 \& 372 \& 100 \& 25 \& 37 \& \\
\hline Number of households \& 697 \& 194 \& 331 \& 372 \& 100 \& 26 \& 97 \& 88 \\
\hline A varge number of yersons in household \& 3.78 \& 8． 07 \& 3.85 \& 2.94 \& 3.58 \& 4． 68 \& 2.26 \& 3.08 \\
\hline Namber of households with－ \& 87 \& 18 \& 38 \& 88 \& 9 \& 1 \& 4 \& \\
\hline Boarders only． \& 3 \& 0 \& 3 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \\
\hline Lodgers only． \& 47 \& 13 \& 13 \& 21 \& 81 \& 5 \& 12 \& 14 \\
\hline Other persans \& 40 \& 4 \& 13 \& 28 \& 7 \& 0 \& \& 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Avarsge sive of econemic family in－ \\
Persons，total． \\
Under 10 years of age． \\
18 years of age and over． \\
Expenditure unita． \\
A versge bumbar of persons in housohold not mambers of economio farnify
\end{tabular}} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& 3.65 \& 4． 67 \& 8.88 \& 282 \& 8． 13 \& 4.48 \& 2． 88 \& 2.49 \\
\hline \& ． 01 \& 1.88 \& ． 81 \& ． 39 \& ． 88 \& 1.68 \& ＋ 27 \& ． 98 \\
\hline \& 2.74 \& 8.00 \& 2.89 \& 2.4 \& 2.5 \& 280 \& 2.61 \& 2.11 \\
\hline \& 2.39 \& 4． 48 \& 3.85 \& 2.80 \& 2.77 \& 4.12 \& 2.75 \& 24 \\
\hline \& ． 15 \& ， 12 \& ． 18 \& ． 17 \& ． 48 \& ． 20 \& ． 44 \& ． 57 \\
\hline Barnines and Income \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Number of familfes having－ \\
Earntigs of subsidiary earners． \\
Net earnlige from boarders and lodygers．．． \\
Other net rents． \\
Interest and dividends
\end{tabular}} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& 400 \& 81 \& 13 \& 168 \& 70 \& 16 \& 23 \& 11 \\
\hline \& 130 \& 25 \& 80 \& 55
16 \& 38 \& \& 14 \& 18 \\
\hline \& 48 \& 13 \& 18 \& 16 \& \& \& 0 \& 1 \\
\hline \& 1194 \& \％ \& 78 \& 89 \& 0 \& 1 \& 8 \& 6 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Interest end dividends \(\qquad\) \\
Pambions and incurance apmilties
\end{tabular} \& \(\begin{array}{r}37 \\ 128 \\ \hline 8\end{array}\) \& 8
8
27 \& 13

3

2 \& 16 \& 8 \& 0 \& 2 \& 8 <br>

\hline | Pansions and incurance annalties Giftstrom persons outsideseonomiosamily－ |
| :--- |
| Other souries of income． | \& 128

39 \& 27
8 \& 10
10 \& 48 \& 18878 \& 6
1 \& $\frac{1}{2}$ \& 8 <br>
\hline Deduction from facome thasiness losses and expenses）， \& 27 \& 4 \& 6 \& 17 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>

\hline | and expenses） |
| :--- |
| surplus（net increase in essets andfar de－ crease in llabtities） | \& 43 \& 0 \& 151 \& 172 \& 68 \& 18 \& 28 \& 20 <br>

\hline Deficit（net decrags in mesets andfor in－ crease in liabilitiss） \& 448 \& 89 \& 107 \& 192 \& 41 \& 10 \& 18 \& 18 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Inheritance． vergge number of ganfulworkers per anily} \& \& \& $$
\text { 1. } 0
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\frac{3}{8} \\
1.8
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& 1．08 \& 0

204 \& 18
1.78 \& 1．98 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 1.62 \& \& 1.78 \& 1.97 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| verage amonnt of－ |
| :--- |
| Not family income． |
| Earninge of individuals |} \& 31，745 \& \＄1，345 \& \＄1，678 \& 5，010 \& \＄1，446 \& 31，209 \& 11，338 \& 11．706 <br>

\hline \& 1， 681 \& 1，280 \& 1，017 \& 1，938 \& 1，349 \& 1， 116 \& 1，258 \& 1， 1 E8 <br>
\hline Chtefearner．－．． \& 1，358 \& 1，079 \& 1.302 \& 1，538 \& 1，015 \& ${ }^{1} 921$ \& 1，013 \& 1，070 <br>
\hline  \& ． 323 \& 1210 \& ． 810 \& ， 885 \& 1，334 \& 232 \& 345 \& ， 487 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Males： 16 ybars and over－．．．．．．．．．．．．．－－} \& 1，348 \& 1．056 \& 1，260 \& 1，570 \& 1，021 \& 950 \& \＄85 \& 1，101 <br>
\hline \& （1） \& （1） \& （1） \& （1） \& 2， 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1， 0 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Females： 16 yesrs and over－．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．} \& 333 \& 233 \& S 6 \& 868 \& 328 \& 203 \& 273 \& 408 <br>
\hline \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& 28 \& 21 \& 空 \& 38 \& 71 \& 29 \& 66 \& 108 <br>
\hline \& 5 \& 8 \& 8 \& 4 \& 4 \& ${ }^{5}$ \& 0 \& 8 <br>

\hline  \& | 6 |
| :---: |
| 10 | \& 8 \& 8 \& 8 \& 1 \& ${ }^{(1)} 0$ \& ${ }^{\text {（3）}} 1$ \& （i）${ }^{1}$ <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Pensions and lusurnmeatanuities－．．．－－} \& 10 \& \& 8 \& 18 \& 1 \& \& 1 \& （a） <br>
\hline \& 11 \& 12 \& 11 \& 10 \& 16 \& 18 \& 8 \& 7 <br>
\hline Othar sources af income． \& 7 \& － \& 4 \& 10 \& 4 \& 8 \& 8 \& － <br>
\hline Deductionstromincome（business losest and axpenses） $\qquad$ \& －8 \& －2 \& －4 \& －8 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Surplus per famif having aurplus（not increase in sassta and for decrease in liabliltias）} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& 136 \& m \& 1\％ \& 171 \& 102 \& 60 \& 89 \& 180 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Dafict par family having defoft（net decranse in asseta andior increase in liabtittiss）．} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& 285 \& 188 \& 280 \& 885 \& 118 \& 67 \& 90 \& $10 \%$ <br>
\hline Net change in assats and labilite for sll familles in aryey \& \& －年 \& \& \& ＋10 \& ＋12 \& ＋28 \& 5 <br>
\hline Inheritance． \& （6） \& 0 \& \& \& \& \& \& 0 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

${ }^{3}$ Less than 0.60 ．
Notes on this table are in appendix A，P．101．

Table 20．－Expenditures for groups of items，at sconomic levele

| Itern | Whits familles |  |  |  | Negro familles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { An } \\ & \text { 興 } \\ & \text { lis } \end{aligned}$ | Economic level－ Families spendiag per expenditure nint per year |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \frac{\text { tami- }}{\text { lies }} \end{aligned}$ | Enonomio level－ Familles spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8100 \\ & t 00 \\ & 8000 \end{aligned}$ | se00 and oves |  | Un－ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8000 \\ & \text { sind } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Enpandtures for Grotipl of Tlem： <br> Familes lis survey． <br> Average lamily sles： <br> Persons． <br> Expenditure inits． <br> Food expenditure unita <br> Clothing axpanditurs units． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 897 | 104 | 381 | 872 | 100 | 25 | 87 | 38 |
|  | \％． 85 | 497 | 8.85 | 288 | 8． 13 | 488 | 2.88 | 2.38 |
|  | 8.89 | 4.40 | 8.60 | 265 | 29 | 4.12 | 2.75 | 23 |
|  | 5.18 | 4.20 | 8.35 | 250 | 275 | 8.82 | 2.56 | 2.20 |
|  | 8.05 | 8． 82 | 显 20 | 2.55 | 2.79 | S． 57 | 288 | 230 |
| A versge anmual ourcent expenditure for； <br> All tems． <br> Food． <br> Clothing <br> Houaing <br> Fuel，Hght，and retrigeration <br> Other bousehoid operation． <br> Furaishings and equipment． <br> Automoble and motoreyclo－purehase， operstion，and maintanance． <br> Othar transportation． <br> Personal care． <br> Modical eare． $\qquad$ <br> Recreation． <br> Education． $\qquad$ <br> Vocation <br> Community pelfare． <br> Gifts and contributions to persans out－ <br> side the econamio family． <br> Other Items． | \＄1，559 | \＄1，407 | \＄1，788 | 50，129 | \＄1，460 | 51， 207 | \＄1， 385 | \＄1．743 |
|  | －670 | 591 | ${ }^{6} 17$ | － 706 | －1， 45 | － 438 | ${ }^{1} 18$ | ＋409 |
|  | 202 | 128 | 101 | 251 | 149 | 140 | 122 | 180 |
|  | 384 | 819 | 878 | 422 | 417 | 352 | 508 | 478 |
|  | 90 | $\mathfrak{m}$ | 88 | 08 | 73 | 78 | 12 | 11 |
|  | 88 | 48 | \％ | －80 | 80 | 30 | 40 | 73 |
|  | 47 | 17 | 40 | 6\％ | 40 | 20 | 80 | \％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 83 | 48 | 5 | ${ }_{68} 8$ | 60 | 87 | 8 | 蕆 |
|  | 85 | 25 | 8 | 40 | 81 | 24 | 80 | 4 |
|  | 64 | 85 | 50 | 88 | 31 | 16 | 30 | 42 |
|  | 114 | 7 | 104 | 148 | 82 | S5 | 77 | 103 |
|  | \％ | 8 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 2 | （1） | 7 |
|  | 15 | 7 | 18 | 10 | 8 | 4 |  | ${ }_{8}$ |
|  | 16 | 11 | 14 | 18 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 11 |
|  | 80 | 8 | 40 | $\stackrel{81}{8}$ | 10 | 8 | $2{ }^{2}$ | 83 80 |
| Porcentage of total armual ourrant expendi－ ture lor： <br> All Items． |  | 190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\operatorname{lom}_{3} .0$ | 10.2 | 188.8 | 18.2 | 31.0 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 110.0 |
| Clothing | 11.0 | 0.1 | 10.8 | 11． 8 | 10.3 | 11.6 | 8.2 | 10. |
| Bousing． | 20.0 | 220 | 21.8 | 19.0 | 28.8 | 29.1 | 50.2 | 27.8 |
| Fuel，ingt，and refrigeration | 4.9 | 6.4 | 5． 0 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 5． 4 | 4.1 |
| Other househoid operstion． | 8.7 | 8.1 | 8.8 | 4.0 | 8.4 | 2.5 | 8.0 | 4.2 |
| Furnishings and equipmant． | 2.6 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 4.6 |
| Automoble nnd motorcyade－purahse， |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
|  | 1.8 3.8 | 3.4 | 3．${ }^{3}$ | 3.0 8.8 | 8.8 | $\stackrel{0}{8.1}$ | 4.2 | 5．88 |
| Personal care．．． | 1.8 | 1.8 | 20 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 20 | 22 | 2 |
| Medical care | \％． 6 | 28 | \％． 3 | 3.9 | 21 | 1.8 | 22 | 2.4 |
| Recrantion． | 6.2 | E． 2 | 5.0 | 6.7 | 8.6 | 48 | 6.8 | 6.0 |
| Education． | ． 8 | ． 2 | 4 | ． 8 | 2 | 2 | $(9)$ | 4 |
| Vocation．－．．．．．．．．． | 8 | ． 8 | ． 8 | ． 9 | ． | 8 | ＋ 4 | 5 |
| Community Felma． | 8 | ． 8 | 8 | 8 | .6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Gifis and contributions to persons ont－ side the eoparmio family． | 1.6 | $\checkmark 4$ | 1.1 | 2.4 | 21 | 4 | 1.6 | 80 |
| Other itams． | ． 3 | － 1 | ． 2 |  | 2.1 | 1 | ． 8 | 1.7 |

1 Lass than 0.00
1 Less than 0.08 peroent．
Notes on this table art in appendir A，p． 101.

Table 21.—Disiribution of families of types comparable with those atudied in 1917-18, by economic level and income level

WHite Familieg


Notes on thls table are in appendix A. p. 101.

Table 22．－Description of families of types comparable with those atudied in 1917－18， by income level
WHITE FAMILIES

| Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fomin } \\ & \text { Hes } \end{aligned}$ | Income level－Families with annugl net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \mathbf{t o n} \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 900 \\ 10 \\ \$ 1,200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,200 \\ & t 00 \\ & \$ 1,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500 \\ & t o \\ & \$ 1,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81,800 \\ & t 0 \\ & t 2,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,100 \\ & t 0 \\ & 8,500 \\ & 8,50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52,500 \\ & \text { Bid } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Composition of Housthold <br> Familles of types comparable Fith those studied in 1917－18． <br> Number of households． $\qquad$ <br> A verage number of persons in housahold．－． <br> Number of housoholds with－ <br> Boardars and lodgers． <br> Boarders only $\qquad$ <br> Lodgers only $\qquad$ <br> Other personit | 4514514.61 | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.08 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ 62 \\ 4.88 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 100 \\ 4.17 \end{array}$ | 1021024.38 | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \\ 79 \\ \mathbf{4 . 5 0} \end{array}$ | 52564.46 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8732829 | 0000 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 80107 | 10246 | 10008 | 1127 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other persons <br> average stze of econemic family in－ Persons，total． | 4.29 | 4.88 | 4.20 | 4.01 | 4.18 | 4.33 | 4． 81 | 5．40 |
| Under 16 years of | 1． 74 | 1.71 | 1． 88 | 1.73 | 1．78 | 1.76 | 1． 77 | 1． 80 |
| I6 years of age and | 2.35 | 2.37 | 242 | 2.31 | 2.40 | 2.57 | 2.64 | 3.80 |
| Expenditurs units．．．－ | 8.87.14 | 3． 60 | 8.76 | 8.84 | 8.75 | 8.80 | 3.92 | ${ }_{5}^{5} .88$ |
| Average number of persons in housebold not mambers of coonomic family |  |  | ． 6 | ． 14 | ． 18 | ． 18 | ． 23 | ． 11 |
| Eorninge and Facome |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of familtes having－ |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| Earnings of subsidiary earnors． | 120 | 501 |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| Not earnings from boarders and | 67 |  | 13 |  | 17 | 15 | 218 | 21 |
| Other net rents． | 18 |  | 11 | 80 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Interest and dividends | 102 | 4 |  |  | 22 | 20 | 16 | \％ |
| Pensions and insuranoe annuitios． | 16 |  |  | 2 | 5 | 282 |  | 8 |
| Gifts from persom outside economic family | 0 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 15 |  | 8 |  |
| Other sources of lnoome | 23 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deductions trom inoome（business lases and axpenses）． | 10 | ， | 4 |  | 1 | 0 | 8 |  |
| Surplua（bet increase in asseta andfor do－ oreaso in lisbilitios） | 104 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 48 | 42 | 28 | 3 |
| Deffelt tnet decreasa in exsets and／ar in－ crrase in liabilitios）． | 289 | 17 | 11 | ${ }^{5} 5$ | 50 | 88 | －8 | 11 |
| Inheritanoe．．．．．－． | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aperage number of tainflil workers per fandy． | 1.88 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.30 | 1.27 | 1.32 | 1.41 | 2.06 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings of indivicuals | 整， 891 <br> 1， 69 <br> 1， 179 <br> 1，520 <br> （1） <br> 116 0 <br> 94 8 8 | 788 | 1，020 | 1，315 | 1，585 | 1，888 | 2163 | 2，868 |
| Chlef earner．．．．．－． |  |  |  |  | 1,5041,81 | 1， 748137 | 1， 851 |  |
| Subsidlary earners |  | 768 30 | 900 | 1，234 |  |  |  | ${ }^{2} 888$ |
| Malas： 10 ysars and ove |  | 321 | ${ }^{08}$ | 1，204 | 1，538 | 1,7830 | 1，976 | 2．484 |
| Under 16 gears． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Famales： 10 yests and sper．．．．．．．．．．．． |  | 6700 | 68 | 111 | 460 | 02 | 185 | 408 |
| Undes 16 years．．．．－－．－．．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sarrings from boardars and lodgers． |  | 034 | 16 | 21 | 30 | 25 | 89 | 20 |
| Other net renta．．．．－．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． |  |  | 4 | 8 | 8 | 4 |  | 3 |
| Interest and dividends．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 18 | 21 |
| Penstons and insurance anpuitios．．．．．．－－ |  | 0 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 4 |  |
| Oifts from persons outside ecobomic famtly． |  | 1 | $0^{8} 8$ |  | $\frac{12}{2}$ | $8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
| Other scarsee of finome．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deductions from income furiness loses and exparses） | －2 | －4 | －7 | －8 | （1） | 0 | （） | （）） |
| Eurplus per family having nurplos（net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| incrassef in asseta andicic decrease in | 128 | 81 | 䰻 | 75 | 81 | 150 | 156 | 新 |
| Deficit per family having defelt fnot decreast in soeta andiar ingrease in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ilabiltites）．．．．－－－－－－－－1．－． | 207 | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \$ 0 \\ -205 \\ -20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 818 \\ -200 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 260-101 | 230 | 258 | 778 | 281 |
| Net changs in essets and If bilitiea for all <br> familes in survay． <br> Inherftance | $-87$ |  |  |  | -107 0 | （i）${ }^{36}$ | －34 | ＋08 |

1 Lass than 9.50 ．
Notes an this table are in eppandix A，p．101．

Table 23.-Expenditures of families of types comparable with those studied in 1917-18 for groups of items, by income level

WHITE FAMILIES

| Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \operatorname{tgmi} \\ & \text { lies } \end{aligned}$ | Income level-Families with annual net income of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\$ 500$ 10 $\$ 000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,200 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500 \\ & t o \\ & 11,809 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,800 \\ t 0 \\ 62,100 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,100 \\ t=0 \\ 32,600 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,500 \\ & \text { zad } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Experditures for Groups of Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families of types comparable with these studied in 1917-18. | 451 | 29 | 62 | 100 | 102 | 79 | 52 | 36 |
| A perage family size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persons | 4. 29 | 4.08 | 4.20 | 4. 04 | 4. 18 | 4. 33 | 4.31 | 5. 60 |
| Expenditure units | 3.87 | 3.60 | 3.76 | 3. 64 | 3.75 | 3.89 | 3. 92 | 3.08 |
| A verage annual current expenditure forAllitems. | \$1,806 | \$1,058 | \$1,282 | \$1,476 | \$1,784 | \$2,017 | \$2,315 | \$2,888 |
| Food. | 895 | 462 | 511 | 594 | 691 | 726 | - 855 | 1,047 |
| Clothing. | 190 | 89 | 111 | 133 | 174 | 219 | 277 | 401 |
| Housing | 375 | 232 | 314 | 345 | 381 | 416 | 426 | 458 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration-...--...- | 91 | 79 | 74 | 88 | 90 | 89 | 114 | 118 |
| Other household operation | 63 | 32 | 49 | 45 | 68 | 75 | 85 | 116 |
| Furnishings and equipment | 49 | 7 | 34 | 35 | 49 | 52 | 67 | 10 K |
| Transportation | 79 | 30 | 44 | 58 | 80 | 90 | 102 | 163 |
| Personal care | 34 | 17 | 23 | 26 | 32 | 39 | 45 | 动 |
| Merlical care | 65 | 35 | 55 | 45 | 65 | $B 7$ | 105 | 92 |
| Recreation. | 102 | 44 | 56 | 78 | 96 | 126 | 141 | 186 |
| Education | 7 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 23 |
| Veration | 18 | 18 | , | 8 | 15 | 22 | 31 | 54 |
| Community welfare | 13 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 16 | 18 | 30 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the sconomie family Other items | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 1 | 2 | 11 | $\underset{7}{21}$ | 31 $\times$ | 41 | 44 |
| Percentage of total annual currentexpenditure for- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allitems. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Food. | 38.5 | 43.7 | 30.6 | 40.3 | 38.8 | 38.0 | 37.0 | 36. 2 |
| Olothing | 10.5 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 9.8 | 10.9 | 12.0 | 13.9 |
| Housing | 20.8 | 22.0 | 24.3 | 23.4 | 21.4 | 20.7 | 18.4 | 1边 9 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration. | 5.0 | 7.5 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.1 |
| Other housebold operation | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 |
| Furnishings and equipment | 2. 7 | . 7 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.5 |
| Trensportation | 4.4 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 5.5 |
| Personal care | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Medical care. | 3.6 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 3. 2 |
| Recreation. | 5.6 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.4 |
| Education. | . 4 | .1 | . 5 | . 1 | 1 | . 4 | . 3 | . 8 |
| Vocstion | 1.0 | 1.7 | . 3 | . 5 | . 8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| Community welfare. | . 7 | 4 | . 7 | . 6 | .7 | . 8 | . 7 | 1.0 |
| Gifts and contribations to persons ontside the economic family $\qquad$ | 1.2 | . 5 | . 6 | - 3 | 1.2 | -1. 5 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
|  | 2 | . 1 | . 2 | . 1 | - 4 | (1) | .1 | . 1 |

2 Less than 0.05 percent.
Notes on this table are in appendix A, p. 191.

Table 24.-Coefficients of voriation of money disbursements, by income level Weite families


TABLI 24.-Coefficients of variation of money disbursements, by income level-Con. white families

| Item | $\underset{\substack{\text { All } \\ \text { liest }}}{ }$ | Families with annual not income of- |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 900 \\ \$ 0 \\ \$ 1,200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,200 \\ & 10 \\ & \$ 1,600 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500 \\ & \$ 2,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,100 \\ & 10 \\ & \$ 2,600 \end{aligned}$ |
| FAMIIES OP MAN, MIEE, AND TWO TO FOUR CAIDREA: | 145 | 7 | 24 | 41 | 38 | 25 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coefindients of Farlation in expenditures for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28 | 27 | 22 | 20 | 24 | 17 | 17 |
| Hourdmg, ineluding fuel, light, and refrizerstion | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \sigma \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{28}{45}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 38 |  | 71 63 | 18 65 68 | 20 |
| Furnishings and equipment | 18457 | 132 | 169 | 154 | 2 t | 177 | 79 |
| Clothing-. |  | 94 | 89 | 53 | 48 | 37 | 34 |
| Recreation | 58 |  | 52 | 40 | 61 | 49 |  |
| Transportation | 1048296 | 95 | 68 | 63 | 108 | 66 | 78 |
| Parsonal care. |  | 44 | 8181 | 137 | 4380 | 81 | ${ }_{80}^{80}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coefficients of partation in net change In assets and lisbilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For familios having surplas .-.......... | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ 113 \end{gathered}$ |  | 87 | 48 | 75 | 30 | 103 |
| For familfes heving deflit |  | 78 | 75 | 116 | 140 | 57 | 36 |

Notes on this table arc in appendir $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}$ pp. 101-182.
Table 25.-Expendilures for groups of items estimated from regression equation 1 WEITE FAMILIES

| Item | Familles with annual net income of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 800 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 000 \\ \mathbf{t o} \\ \$ 1,200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,200 \\ & \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ & \boldsymbol{\xi}, 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,800 \\ & t 0 \\ & \$ 1,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 1}, 800 \\ & 10 \\ & \% 2,160 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,100 \\ & t 2 \\ & +2,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,400 \\ & 10 \\ & \text { tive } 700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2}, 700 \\ & 10 \\ & \mathbf{3}, 00 \end{aligned}$ |
| All itoms. | $\$ 976$ | \$1, 232 | \$1,487 | \$1,739 | \$2,006 | \$2,209 | 5\% 55 | \$2.842 |
| Food | 377 | 478 | 566 | 647 | 728 | 503 | 881 | 055 |
| Clothing - | 87 | 108 | 132 | 171 | 220 | 84 | 338 | 400 |
| Eousing, inchadigg hal, Light, and refrigeration | 323 | 899 | 428 | 476 | 508 | 533 | 556 | 574 |
| Other household operation.............. | 33 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 73 | 87 | 103 | 14 |
| Furnishings and equipment......-... | 6 | 20 | 40 | 50 | 57 | 62 | 65 | 68 |
| Transportation, automoblleand other. | 32 | 46 | 68 | 82 | 103 | 125 | 150 | 175 |
| Personal care | 16 | 22 | 28 | 33 | 38 | 45 | 50 | 5 |
| Medical cars. | 31 | 37 | 46 | 57 | 70 | 83 | 98 | 116 |
| Recreation. | 48 | 81 | 79 | 100 | 124 | 150 | 189 | 211 |
| Gifts and contributions to parsons outside the economic family | 3 | 12 | 20 | 28 | 35 | 42 | 50 | 57 |
| Other items, i. e., education, vocetion, community zelfare, and miscallaneotas. | 18 | 18 | 25 | 33 | 49 | 65 | 81 | 100 |


Notes on this table are in appendix A. p. 197.

## Part IV.-Appendixes

## Appendix A

## Notes on Tabular Summary

## General.

Economic family.-An "economic family" as defined for this study consists of two or more persons living together and sharing their economic resources. In most cases, the members of an economic family are related by ties of blood, marriage, or adoption, but in some cases, an unrelated member was found to share income and family living. Persons related by blood, marriage, or adoption were not treated as members of the economic family if they lived as boarders and kept their funds separate from family funds, unless they gave a complete record of their incomes and expenditures. Persons who were members of the economic family for an entire year were not necessarily members of the household for the year. A member supported by the family in school, college, or hospital for all or part of the year, or a member working away from home for part of the year, sharing his income with the family for that period and able to report all his expenses for that period would be treated as a member of the economic family but not of the household for the entire year. In computing the number of persons who were part of the economic family for a year, the number of weeks each member had shared his income with the family was listed, the numbers summed, and the total divided by 52 . This procedure yielded the number of equivalent persons who had made up the family for 1 year.

Household.-The household has been defined to include the members of the economic family living together in one dwelling, plus roomers and guests sleeping in the family dwelling, plus boarders and guests eating with the family. The number of persons in the household was computed by adding the number of weeks each member of the family had been part of the family's housekeeping establishment, the number of weeks each boarder, lodger, and guest had been with them, and dividing the total by 52 in order to secure the number of equivalent persons making up the household for 1 year.

Net family income or net money income.-Net family income has been defined to mean the sum of earnings (including net receipts from boarders and lodgers), net rent, interest, dividends, gifts, pensions, insurance annuities received during the schedule year, minus business losses and expenses. The money value of income received in kind, whether from use of owned home, or from other sources, has not been included in computing income in this investigation. No funds received as a result of the depletion of assets have been treated as income. Similarly, inheritances have not been regarded as income.

Current expenditures.-Throughout the report the term "current expenditures" is used to mean expenditures for ultimate consumer goods, including relatively durable consumption goods. Interest on money borrowed for family use has been included in such expenditures, but savings and investments have not. The time and funds available for the investigation have not made possible the presentation of separate totals distinguishing expenditures for the more slowly consumed, as distinguished from quickly consumed goods. Indeed, the data on depreciation rates for reletively durable consumer goods are so fragmentary that it would be extremely difficult to do so: Expenditures for such durable goods as automobiles, mechanical refrigerators, and other furnishings and equipment have been classified with expenditures for food and carfare and other quickly consumed goods as "current expenditures," while money spent for permanent improvements on owned homes and other real estate or as payment on the principal of mortgages has been classified as savings. The total cost of consumer goods purchased on credit was included in current expenditures and the amount of the obligations outstanding at the end of the year was taken into account when computing changes in liabilities over the 12 -month period. Money paid out for life-insurance premiums has been classified as savings.

The total obligations incurred in the year covered by the schedule for consumers' goods purchased on credit was included in current expenditures and the amount of the obligation outstanding at the end of the year was taken into account when computing changes in liabilities over the 12 -month period. For example, the total amount incurred for an item purchased on the installment plan (original price plus carrying charges) is entered as the amount of the expenditure, though the amount paid in the schedule year may be considerably less than the total contracted for; and the total amount of rent, taxes, etc., falling due in a year are entered as though paid, though they have been paid in part or not at all. The unpaid balances owing at the end of the schedule year on debts contracted during the schedule year are entered as increases in debts on table 4. Amounts paid during the schedule year on goods purchased on the installment plan before the beginning of that year are treated as savings. (See "Surplus," p. 177, "Payment of debts to: Firms selling on installment plan," p. 181, and "Increase in debts: Payable to firms selling on installment plan," p. 182.)

Expenditures as entered on tables are purchase price less trade-in allowance, in cases where such trade-in allowances were made, for example, automobile and furniture purchases.

Surplus or deficit.-Surplus or deficit represents the difference between current income and current expenditures. Since the figures on both these amounts as secured by the schedule method are subject to
an undetermined error of estimate (see "Balancing difference," p. 178), the surplus and deficit data were obtained by asking in detail changes in individual asset and liability items over the schedule year. Net surplus or net deficit was computed as the net difference between the funds received during the schedule year not used for current expenditures and the funds made available for family use from sources other than money income (table 4 ; see also p. 18 of schedule facsimile, p. 225). It should be noticed that the emphasis is placed on net rather than gross figures for changes in assots and liabilities. That is, for an individual family, only net entries were made for an individual transaction such as a trade of property involving a net payment of $\$ 500$. Thus, the net change item is more significant than the absolute amount either of increase or decrease in assets or increase or decrease in liabilities.

Surplus.-Surplus, savings, and investment are terms used to mean net decrease in liabilities and/or increase in assets. This figure appears as the net change in assets and liabilities (when the total increase in assets and/or decrease in liabilities is greater than the total decreass in assets and/or increase in liabilities.) Money paid on the principal of real estate mortgages or spent for permanent improvements to real estate (such as the addition of a room to a houss) has been treated as an increase in assets and hence as investment, as has also money spent for the purchase of stocks and bonds, building and loan shares, and other forms of permanent assets. Money used, for example, for the payment of installment obligations for an automobile purchased prior to the schedule year, is treated as decrease in liabilities and hence as a part of savings. Thus, money used for decreasing the liabilities of a preceding year, as well as that used to increase assets, has been treated as savings.

Deficit.-Deficit or negative savings is the term used to mean net increase in liabilities and/or net decrease in assets. This figure appears as the net change in assets and liabilities (when the total decrease in assets and/or increase in liabilities is greater than total increase in assets and/or decrease in liabilities) and is shown in tables 2,5,19, and 22 of the Tabular Summary. Money received through the sale of property, from the settlement or surrender of life insurance or endowment policies, and repayments by others of the loans made to them have been treated as money resulting from decreases in assets or changes in their form and thus may form part of deficits or negative savings, but not of money income. Money borrowed either directly or as book credit is a form of increase in liabilities and hence may comprise a part of deficits or negative saving but is definitely not a part of money income.

Inheritance.-Money recaived by inheritance, essentially a windfall, cannot be treated as income because it is nonrecurring, nor as investment, because it may be spent during the year, nor can it be regarded as a decrease in assets in the same sense as withdrawal of asvings or sale of property. Inheritance has therefore been treated as an independent item, forming a part of total receipts and coordinate with but not a part of current income and net change in assets and liabilities. It is shown in tables 2, 4, 5, 19, and 22. It includes enly cash inheritances received during the schedule year. Inheritances of property not sold during the schedule year are not recorded.

Total money receipts.-The term "total money receipts" has been used to describe total money income plus deficits or net negative savings wherever they occur, plus money received through inheritance. Thisritem is not shown as such in the Tabular Summary but it may be computed by adding for any desired group of families the following items: annual money income, net changes in assets and liabilities where the sign of the net change is minus ( - ), and inheritance.

Total money disbursements.-The term "totsl money disbursements" has been used to describe total current expenditures plus surpluses or net savings or investment wherever they occur. This item is not shown as such in the Tabular Summary but it may be computed by adding for any desired group of families the following items: annual current expenditure and net change in assets and liabilities where the sign of the net change is plus ( + ).

Balancing difference.-The difference between total disbursements and total receipts on any schedule was the balancing difference, and represented discrepancies due to inability of families to recall to the last penny every financial transaction of the year. No schedule was accepted in which this difference was greater than 5 percent of the larger figure.

Schedule year.-All data shown in the tables are for a 12 -month period unless otherwise specified. The data secured from each family apply to the schedule year prior to the visit of the field investigator, ending with any one of four specified quarters: the winter quarter ending February 28, the spring quarter ending May 31, the summer quarter ending August 31, and the fall quarter ending November 30. For the purposes of the revision of the cost of living index, it was desired to secure material on seasonal variations in expenditures, and on this account data on purchases in different quarters were obtained for food, clothing, fuel and light, furniture and furnishings, automobiles and motorcycles, movies and certain other items.

Averages based on all families.-All averages unless otherwise specified in the table are computed by dividing the appropriate aggregate by the total number of families in the group to which the aggregate applies and not by the smaller number of families in that group actu-

Whly making the expenditure for or having the indicated item. The average expenditure per family purchasing a given item may be readily computed by multiplying the average for all families in the group by the number of families in the group to obtain the aggregate foxpenditure and dividing this figure by the number of families purchasing.

Sales tax.-See appendix A, page 193, for method of handling sales tax.

## Notes on individual tables.

Table 1.-Distribution of jamilies, by economic level and income level
Frmilies have been classified by economic level according to the amount apent per expenditure unit, a messure which takes into account total family expenditure and family size and composition. The explanstion of this measure and its use trill be found on pages 23 and 229 ff .

Families have been classified by income level according to the net family income, . e., total family income minus nonfamily losses and business expense.

Tabls 2.-Deacription of fomilies atudied, by economic level
(See also tablea 5,19 , and 22 for the same data by other classifications)
For tabulation the classification of occupations was made on the basis of the Works Progresa Administration occupational classification. See appendix $D_{2}$ page 202.

The homemaker is the person reporting herself responsible for the management of the household, or in the case of two-generation families, the wife of the "head fof the family."

Household and aconomic family are defined on page 175. In this table, a person in the household or economic family for less than a year is breated as the appropriate decimal equivalent of a person.

The equivalent number of persons in the household is computed by adding the total number of weeks spent in the household by each member of the household, and dividing the reaulting aggregate by 52 (the number of weeks in a year). Number of equivalent persons in otber categories listed in the table are computed in the same menner.

Earnings.-Earninge include wagea, salaries, tips, industrial bonuses, and comfnissions. Amounts deducted by employers for pensions, insurance, annuities, fetc., are included in earnings, and also entered in table 14 as payment of premiums for insurance policies. If a worker, as for example, in the building trades, pays Ha helper, the workers ${ }^{*}$ earninge are entered as net, $i$. $e$., the pay to the helper is deduoted.

Not earningy from boarders and lodgers are grose payments for board and lodging minus the calculated cost of food for boarders. The cost of boarders' food is foomputed by dividing each family's annual food expenditure by the number of pood-expenditure units for all the persons eating in the household; the resulting food expenditure per-food-expenditure unit is then multiplied by the number of food-expenditure units for boarders.

Othor sed ronts are gross rents received from tenants less current expenditures paid out by the scheduled family in connection with rented property.

Pensions and insuranes annuities inolude veterans' pensions, pensions from employers, compensation reeeived under workmen's compenastion laws, unemploy-
ment benefits from trade-unions, etc., income from annuities purchased, and benefits from sickness, accident, theft, and fire insurance.

Gifts include money received from persons not members of the economic family. Such persons include persons members of the natural but not the economic family.

Other sources of income include net receipt from sale of home produce, winnings from gambling, court settlements, payment for child support, rebates, and dividend checks received on purchases bought through cooperative organizations, etc. In those ceses in which a worker away from home received a cash subsistence allowance, the allowance was entered as other money income and the expenditures for meals, lodging, etc., were included in the proper expenditure taßles. This case would be distinguished from that in which a saleaman, for example, received an allowance for mileage; in such a case the travel expenditure was considered business expense and no entry was made either for expenditure or corresponding income with the exception that net gains on such an account are entered as other money income.

Deductions from income (business losses and expenses) includes nonfamily lossesi. e., net losses on rented property (current expenditures for property minus gross rents received) and current expenditures on any unrented real estate other than own home. etc.. and net losses on traveling or subsistence allowances, and any other business expenses.

Nonbusiness losses, i. e., family losses, are not deducted from income but are treated as other family expenditures in table 16. For example, contents of a purse lost, or bail forfeited which had been posted for a friend, are treated as miscellaneous family expenditure.

Surplus or deficit.-See pages 176 and 177 .
Inheritance.-See page 178.
The number of gainful workers per family is the total number of persons gainfully employed at any time during the year. Each person is counted as one regardless of the length of his employment. The definition differs from that of the "gainfully occupied" as used by the census in that a person continuously unemployed through, out the year is not counted as a gainful worker. On the other hand a person is so counted even if his earninga were occasional and he does not necessarily regard himself as regularly in the labor market.

The chief earner is the member with the largest money earninga.
Table 3.-Expenditures for groups of items, by economic level
(See tables 6, 20 , and 23 for aame data by other classifications)
For the items included in each group of expenditures see table 8, Food; table 17. Clothing; table 10, Housing; table 11, Fuel, light, and refrigeration; table 12, Other household operation; table 18, Furnishings and equipment; table 13, Automobile and motorcycle purchase, operation, and maintenance, and other transportation; table 14, Personal care, medical care; table 15, Recreation; table 16, Education, vocation, community welfare, gifts, and contributions to persons outside economic family and other items.

Housing includes water, fuel, light, and refrigeration for families not making payment for these items separately from rent. (See tables 11 and 12.)

Percentagea are based on rounded dollar averages.

Table 4.-Disposition of money received during the schedule year nol used for current family expenditure and funds made available for family whe from sources other than fanily income, by economic level

In these tables only the cash or credit changes in assets or liabilities as shown in transactions during the schedule year are entered. That is, purchase of a share of stock is entered as "Increase in assets: Investment in: Stocks and bonds," but increase in the value of a share owned but not sold during the year is not recorded.

For each individual family only the net amount of the change in any individual item, where the items correspond in the two tables, is entered on the schedule. For instance, if a family deposits $\$ 200$ in a asvings account during the year and withdraws $\$ 250$, an entry of $\$ 50$ is made under "Decrease in assets: Reduction in cash: In savings account," and no entry is made under "Increase in assets: Increase in cash: In asvings account." The aggregate upon which the average for the item "Decresse in assets: Reduction in cash: In savings account," in table 4 is based is then the sum of the net reductions of savings account balances of all families having net reductions in their savings account balances. Similarly, the aggregate upon which the average for the item "Increase in asseta: Increase in cash: In savings account" is based is the sum of the net increase in savings account balances of all families having net incresses in their aspings account balances.

A transaction affecting two different items, such as the payment of a mortgage and the receipt of a loan with which to make the payment, is generally not entered as a single net amount, but the total amounts of both the payment and the loan are entered separately.

It should be noted that not all items in the two sections of the table correspond. Payments of premiums of inmurance policies are listed under "Average amount of funds disposed in: Increase in sasets." The corresponding item, receipta in the form of sinnuities, is not listed under "Average amount of funds received from: Decrease in assets," but is entered in tables 2,5, and 22 under "Receipts." Money from the sale of goods and chsttela is entered in table 4, but purchases of goods and chattels are entered in the appropriate expenditure tables. Money from inheritances is entered in table 4, but contrasting payments by the family scheduled (bequests to nonmembers) are listed under current expenditures as Gifts and contributions (table 16).

Investment in: Improvement in own home includes permaneat improvements such as the addition of a poroh or room, or installation of wiring for electricity. Repairs and replacements are not considered improvements, and are entered in table 10 as current housing expenditures.

Insestment in: Other real extats inchuding real setate mortgagea includes both improvements made on and purobase of real estate other than owned home. This item also includes purchase by the scheduled family of real estate mortgages.

Payment of premitum for insurance policies indudes amounts deducted from Wages for company insurance; trade-union dues when these entitled the payer to insurance benefita; paymente to other organisstions when they entitled the payer to insurance benefita; payments for combined life and aickness and disability ingurance when the amounts assignable to sickness and disability insurance are considered the smaller part of the total; "burial insurance" and payments to burial cocieties; and payments for life-inourance premiums for persons not members of the economic family.

Increase in outstanding loans to others includes increase in loans to any persons not members of the economic family.

Paymant of debts to: Firms selling on installment plan includes only payments for purchases made prior to the beginning of the schedule year. The entire
amounts due for goods purchased on installment during the sehedule year are entered in the appropriate expenditure tables.

Payment of debts to: Indioiduals inoludes payment of debts incurred prior to the schedule year to tradesmen, physicians, landlords, and other persons.

Payment of debts to: Other includes payment of debts incurred prior to the schedule year on charge accounts, taxes, assessments, etc.

Sale of property: Goads and chattels does not include articles "traded in" on the purchase. When, for instance, an allowance was made for the trade-in of an old ice-box in the purchase of a refrigerator, the amount of the allowance is not entered in any of the tables and the expenditure shown for the refrigerator (in table 18) is the price less the allowance. The same procedure was followed for automobiles, radios, and other items for which articles were "traded in" for credit.

Increase in liabilities includes debts incurred during the schedule year event though these were later wiped out by bankruptcy proceedings.
Increase in debts: Payable to firms selling on intallment plan includes balances due at the end of the schedule year on goods purchased on installment during the schedule year. For example, if a family incurred a bill of $\$ 250$ during the sehedule year for a suite of furniture purchased on the installment plan and paid installments totaling $\$ 150$ during the year, having a balance still owing of $\$ 100$ at the end of the schedule year, the following entries would appear: In table 18, current expenditure for furniture suite, $\$ 250$; in table 4, increase in debts payable to firms selling on installment plan, $\$ 100$.

Increase in debts: Payable to individuals includes unpaid debts incurred during the schedule year to tradesmen, physicians, landlords, and other persons.

Increase in debts: Other debts includes unpaid debte incurred during the schedule year on charge accounts, taxes, assessments, etc.

Inheritance.-See page 178.

## Table 5.-Description of families studied, by income level

(See tables 2, 19, and 22 for same dista by other classifications)
Data presented in this table are the same as those in table 2, except that the families have been classified by net family income level rather than by economi level. (See notes on table 2.)

Table 6.-Expenditure for groups of items, by income level
(See tables 3, 20, and 23 for same dats by other classifications)
Data presented in this table are the same as those in table 3, except that the families have been classified by net family income level rather than by economic leval. (See notes on table 3.)

Table 7.-Food used at home and purchased for consumption at home during 1 speek, by economic level

In order to avoid over-burdening the housemives who cooperated in the study the details of food purchases were requested only for the week preceding the agent's visit. In most cities the field work extended over more than one season. The: differences between the averages eeoured in the several quarters in such instances refleet not only geasonal differences in food purchases, but also accidental differences in the economic level and the national backgrounds of the families in the subsamples interviewed in the different quarters. It was, therefore, decided to publish in full for each city only the estimates for that season in which data were secured from the largest number of families.

For the Negro families in New York City, the number of families scheduled were distributed fairly evenly smong the four seasons, and the number giving information for any orre season was amall. The detsiled data on food for Negro families in New York were therefore summarized without regard to the season in which they were secured.

The number of equivalent full-time persons shown in table 7 is obtained by counting the total number of persons eating 21 meals at home during the week as the appropriate decimal equivalent of a person. A lunch prepared at home but carried and eaten away from home is included as a meal eaten at home.

For the method of obtaining the number of food-expenditure units, see appendix G, pages 229 and 230.
The quantities and expenditures entered in table 7 are for all food purchased for use by the household at home or prepared at home to be taken out during the week, including that for boarders, guests, and servants.

Dats were obtained for each of the following items-" number of families purchasing," "number of families using," "average quantity purchased," "average quantity used"-as well as "expenditure." Since the ouantities purchased and quantities used in 1 week were in the great majority of cases identical, only data on quantities purchased are presented in table 7, these figures may be compared with the figures on expenditures. Likewise, for most, although not all of the food items, the number of families purchasing and the number using was the same. Because of limitation of printing apace, only the figurea for families using are presented, they being needed to obtsin a complete picture of food consumption habits of the families atudied.

If comparisons are made between expenditures for quantities of foods at different economio levels, it should be noted that the composition of the families changes from one level to another. There are in general a larger proportion of adults at the higher economic levels. Since human needs for and customary consumption of various specific foods vary considerably for persons of different sge and sex and occupation, it becomes impossible to make a strict comparison of food consumption with needs at the different economic levels. Thus the needs for carbohydrates, protein, vitamins, etc., increase in differing ratios for different sex-age groups; children's need for milk is approximately twice as great as that for adults, while the need of heat-producing foods (starches and sugars) of adults is sbout twice as great as that of children. Children's meat consumption varies from that of adults at a atill different rate. In order to secure figures on quantities of and expenditures for individual foods purchased which would provide a reasonably satisfactory basis for comparison and yet not present a misleading appearsnce of refinement, data on family purchases of individual foods have been converted to a per capita basis.
Expenditures or quantity per food expenditure unit may be computed by multiplying the average expenditure or quantity per person shown by the average number of persons per family and dividing the product by the average number of food expenditure units per family. However, in interpreting such results, the faotor pointed out in the preceding paragraph must be considered, namely that a single food relative soale cannot be correatly descriptive of needs of persons of different sex and age for varying types of specific foods.

In considering quantities purchased it must be remembered that "pounds" are a measure of weight only, not of nutritional equivalence.

Table 7A.-Vegetablea and fruits purchased for consumption at home in 1 week in spring, summer, and fall quarters, by economic level

See notes on table 7, first paragraph, page 182. As regards purchases of fruits and vegetables, seasonal differences are so important that they probably outweigh any differences in the character of the subsamples in the different quarters. The materials obtained for New York City white familiea in three sessons are therefore published for this group of foods.

## Table 8.-Annual food expenditurea, by economic level

The figures for average annual expenditures for food exclude the computed cost of food consumed by boarders.

For the method of obtaining the number of food-expenditure units see appendix G, pages 229 and 230.

Meals bought and eaten away from home include tips.
Candy, ice cream, drinks, etc., bought and caten away from home includes expenditure for all between-meal food and drink consumed away from home.

## Table 9.-Housing facilitien, by economic level

The facilities described in this table apply to the dwelling occupied by the family at the end of the schedule year, and which may or may not have been the family's dwelling unit during the entire schedule year. No attempt was made by the field agents to determine whether or not a facility met any defined standard either as to quality or as to state of repair.

Average monihly rental rate is the rental rate for the house inhabited by the family at the end of the schedule year. (Note that this rate is not necessarily the same as that shown in table 10, Housing Expenditures, which is the average rate paid throughout the year.)

A detached house is free, standing with open space on all sides; a semidetached house has open space on three sides; a rove house has open space on two sides; a two-family house is one designed for occupancy by two families, one above the other.

Multiple dwelling.-A building designed for occupancy by three or more famllies has been classed as a multiple dwelling.

Garden space is defined as apace actually devoted to a garden or to a lawn on the premises or belonging to the dwelling.

Play space.-See page 193.

## Table 10.-Housing expenditures, by economic level

The type and amount of expenditure by a family for housing necessarily vary with type of tenure, dwelling, and manner of payment for hesting. Separate sections of the table are therefore presented for families who can be classified into homogeneous groups with respect to housing expenditures. These are: II, "Home owners"; III, "House renters"; IV, "Apartment renters with heat included in rent"; V, "Apartment renters with heat not included in rent." Families whose housing arrangemente changed during the year are not shown separately, but are inciuded in section I, "All families in survey." Section I therefore includes families shown in sections II, III, IV, and V, and families with the following housing arrangements: Owning a principal home during part of the year and renting a principal home during part of the year; having as a principal home a house for a part of the year and an apartment for a part of the year; renting as principal home an apartment with heat included in rent for a part of the year and an apartment with heat not included in rent fona part of the year.

A vacalion home is one which the family has for vacation purposes, and rents or owns simultaneously with its principal home. Simultaneous rental or ownership of a vacation home does not exclude families in sections II, III, IV, and V.

Investment in home includes down payments, payment of principal on mortgages, and permanent improvements (not repairs) on home.

In cases where the home owned and occupied by the family was a two-family house, only that portion of the investment or expenditure which applied to the owner's own living quarters has been included under "Average amount invested" or "Average current housing expenditure." The proportions used in making this allocation were based on the comparative monthly rental values of the two dwellings under consideration. The smount of housing expenditure allocated to the rented portion of the house was deducted from the gross rent received from the tenant to arrive at "Other net rents" (tables 2, 5, 19, and 22). The amount of the investment allocsted to the rented portion of the house was entered as "Investment in other real estate" (table 4).

Taxes.-Amounts due on "taxes" or "rent" are entered under these respective headings even if unpaid at the and of the schedule year. The amounts unpaid at the end of the schedule year are also entered in table 4 as "Increase in debts: Other debts."

Fire insurence and liability insurance.-No attempt was made to pro rate payments for previous or coming years. The total amount paid during the year was considered current expenditure.

Rent.-The rent reported covered the use of such facilities as heat, light, furniture, and water when these were provided by the landlord.

Families living in rented homes and aubrenting portions as apartments were scheduled provided there were separate meters for gas and electricity, that heat costs could be estimated, and that not more than two families (including the scheduled family) had dwellings there. In such cases only that amount of the rent, operating expenditures, and expenditure for repairs which applied to the portion of the house occupied by the scheduled family was entered. The remaining expenditures for rent, operation, and repairs were deducted from the gross rent received from the tenant to arrive at "Other net rente" (tables 2, 5, 19, and 22). The proportions used in allocating housing expenditure between the two families were based on the comparative rental rates of the two dwellings.

No attempt was made to allocate the cost of space rented to roomers.
Concessions are defined as the money value of rent given free by the landiord for a short time as an inducement to the family to rent the living quarters or to offset the expense of moving.

Apartments are defined as dwellings in multiple dwellings or in two-family houses designed for occupancy by two families, one above the other. (See notes on table 9, p. 184.) A dwelling consisting of rooms set apart for the use of the scheduled family within a house originally designed for oceupancy by one family was also classed as an apartment.

Rooms. - In the count of the number of rooms, dining rooms, living rooms, bedrooms, libraries, sewing rooms, kitchens, kitchenettes, and enclosed porches are included. Halls, closets, storerooms, pantries, open porches, laundries, slcoves, rooms used for business purposes, dinettes, and bathrooms are not included.

## Table 11.-Fuel, ligh, and refrigeration expendituret, by economic level

The type and amount of expenditure by a family for fuel, light, and refrigeration necessarily vary with type of dwelling and manner of payment for heating. Separate aections of the table are therefore presented for families whose axpenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration may be considered homogeneous. Families whose type of dwelling or arrangement for payment for heating changed during
the year are not shown separately but are in section. I, "All families in survey." Section I therefore includes families shown in sections II, III, IV, and V and families with the following arrangements for type of dwelling or payment for heating: having a house part of the year and an apartment for part of the year; having heat included in rent or ownership payments during part of the year and not so included during part of the year; renting a home for part of the year and owning a home for part of the year.

It should be noted that several items listed in this table might have been used for purposes other than heating, cooking, lighting, and refrigeration. For instance, electricity is used for radios, curling irons, ete.; gasoline isused for cleaning.
Table 12 -Household operation expenditures other than for fuel, light, and refrigeration, by economic level

Water rent inciudes only water rent paid separately from rent for dwelling.
Telephone includes both subseription and pay-station costs.
Domestic service includes maid service, laundress, furnace man, etc.
Household paper includes toilet paper, wax paper, shelf paper, etc.
Stationery, pens, pencils, ink.-Expenditures for achool supplies are entered in table 16, under "Formal education."

Insurance on furniture is generally paid for a 3-year period. The entire expenditure was entered without regard to the period covered.

Interesi on debis does not include interest paid on mortgages on home (table 10).
Other items includes shoe polish, candles, clothes pins, clothes lines, grass cutting, snow shoveling, and tips to maids, janitors, elevator boys, and garbage collectors, etc.

Table 13.-Transportation expenditures, by economic level
The expenditure ahown for purchase of automobiles and motorcycles is the purchase price less allowances for the trade-in of old cars and includes carrying charges for installment purchases.

Garage rent and parking includes in some cities a special tax levied on private garages for purposes of fire inspection. See page 193.

Rent of automobile and/or motorcycle includes expenditures for gasoline, oil, etc. for a vehicle not owned by the family. It usually covered payment to a neighbor for rides to work in his car, or payment for gasoline and oil for operation of a borrowed car.

Other transportation expense includes dues for membership in automobile clubs.
Table 14.-Personal care expenditures, and medical care expenditures, by economic level
Personal care services.-A combination beauty or barber service charge was prorated among the aervices supplied.

Other waves includes marcel, water, or finger wave.
Other personal care services includes facial treatments, massage, etc.
Toilet soap doea not include laundry soap used for personal care.
Tooth powder, tooth paste, mouth washes does not include soda and salt used for the cleaning of teeth.

Cosmetics and toilet preparations includes paper handkerchiefs and sanitary napkins $8 s$ well ss face powder, rouge, perfume, etc.

Under Brushes, razor blades, and ather toilet articles are included compacts and mirrors.

Medical care-Frequently families know definitely the total expenditure inourred for an illness but were not sure of the correct allocstion among tuch items as physicians' services, hospital room, operating room charges, and medi-
cines. Whenever possible flat rate chargea for such services as obstetrical care or tonsillectomy were prorated among the services received. Where information for such prorsting could not be furnished, the entire charge was entered as expenditure for general practitioner, specialist, or clinic as the case might be. Therefore expenditures for total medical care are probably more accurate than expenditurea for individual items of medical service.

Specialist and other practitioner includes osteopaths, ehiropractors, midivives, Christian Science practitioners, etc., as well as heart specialists, pediatricians, eye, ear, nose, and throat specialists, etc.

Medicine and drugs includes the cost of filling prescriptions, home remedies patent medicines, adhesive tape, bandage, etc. Cod-liver oil was classified as a food. (See table 7.)

Eyeglasses includes the cost of examination when the examination was given by the same person or firm from whom glasses were purchased.

Medical appliances ineludes hot water bottles, crutches, etc.
Accident and heallh insurance.-When accident and health insurance premium payments are included with payments for life insurance and the life insurance portion is considered the greater, the expenditures are not entered in table 14 but in table 4 under "Payment of premiums for insurance policies: Life insurance."

Other medical care includes expenditures for X-ray, operating room, blood transfusions, circumcision, etc.

Table 15.-Recreation expenditures, by economic level
Cameras, films, and photographic equipment includes cost of films and developing. Alhletic equipment and supplies does not include clothes for sports. Expenditures for sport clothes are entered in table 17.

Peta (purchase and care) includes dog licenses and food purchased especially for pets.

Entertaining: In home, except food and drinks includes bridge prizes, etc.
Other recreation includes amusement park and dance hall admissions, 1-day boat excursions, losses at cards, gambling and betting, cost of lottery tickets. Christmas-tree decorations, expenditures for hobbies not elsewhere classified, admission to fairs, spending money assigned to individual family members of which no account could be given.

Tably 16.-Formal education, nocalion, community welfare, aifts and contributions, and miscellaneous expenditures, by economic level

## Formal education

Expenditures for members away from home include tuition and school supplies but not the cost of board and lodging or school uniforms for membert attending sehool away from home.

Expenditures for members at home include tuition, books, atationery and supplies, fees for music and dancing lessons, etc., paid for by the economic family,

Tuition in most public elementary and secondary schools and in some colleges is tree.

## Vocalion

Union dues or fees.-Payments to trade-unions with life insurance plans, where dues and life insurance premium payments cannot be separated, are entered on table 4 as "Payments of premium for insurance policies: Life insurance."

Other liems of vocotional sipense include chauffeurs' licenses, tools, fees to employment offices, tots.

Community welfare
Taxes.-Poll, income, and personal properly. See page 193.
Gifts and contributions
This section includes only gifts to persons not members of the economic family. Gifts to organizations or institutions are not included, but are listed under "Community welfare."

Chrishmas, birlhday, etc., gifts include money, gifte, and purchase price of items given to nonmembers of the economic family.

Support of relatives includes money sent to relatives whether living in the United States or in foreign countries. It also includes contributions to the funeral expense of a relative not a member of the economic family.

## Miscellaneous Eapenditures

Losses includes only family or nonbusiness losses. Examples of such losses are payment of notes of personal friends endorsed by members of the economic family; bail paid for a friend who jumped bail; premiums paid during the schedule year on insurance or annuities forfeited through failure to keep policies up to date; sceidentsl loss of cash; payments on items bought on the installment plan and lost for nonpayment on installments, without recompense for amounts paid. Business losses are deducted from family income, see page 180.

Other includes dues and contributions to political organizations, expenses for mariage licenses, christenings, bail, fines not connected with traffic violations., etc.

## Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level

All figures in table 17 with the exception of those in section I are based upon data for persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks. Expenditures for persons dependent on family funds for less than 52 weeks are not shown in the sections for different sex and age groups but are included in the total shown in section I. These expenditures have been excluded from the detailed sections for the reason that clothing expenditures for a person dependent on family funds for only part of the year would be unrepresentative, aince the family might buy all or none of the year's clothing supply for that person during the period he was dependent on family funds.

In addition to the data shown in the clothing table, the following totals and averages, if desired, may readily be computed from the data in the table:

1. Average number of articles purchased per person purchasing. ${ }^{1}$
2. Average expenditure per person purchasing. ${ }^{1}$
3. Average number of articles purchased per family having members in the designated group.
4. Average expenditure per article per family having members in the designated group.
5. Average price paid per article purchased.
6. Average number of articles purchased per family (all families).
7. Average expenditure per family (all families).
(1) To secure the average number of artiches purchssed per person purchasing ${ }^{2}$ in a given sex and age group at a given economic level, multiply the average number of articles purchased per person (sll persons) by the total number of persons in the specified group. This result is the total number of articles purchased. This figure divided by the number of persons purchasing ${ }^{1}$ yields the average number of articles purchased per person purchasing. ${ }^{1}$ For example,

[^56]using figures on number of felt hats purchased for white men 18 years of age and over in families with expenditure per expenditure unit under $\$ 400$ :
a. Total number of families.......................................... 194
b. Number of families having men 18 years of age and over... 189
c. Number of men 18 years of age and over..................... 264
d. Average number of men per family having men.-....-.- 1.40

Hats (felt):

f. Average number of articles per person (all persons) ....... . 42
g. Average expenditure per person (all persons) ............... \$1. 11
h. Total number of hats purchased ( $f \times c$ ) ....--------------- 111

| i. Average number of hats purchased per person purchas- |
| :--- |
| ing ${ }^{1}(h+e)$ |

(2) Similarly to obtain the average expenditure per person purchasing ${ }^{1}$ in a apecified sex and age group at a given economic level multiply the average expenditure per person (all persons) by the total number of persons in the specified group. This result is the total expenditure for hats for thig group, which when divided by the number of persons purchasing ${ }^{2}$ yields the average expenditure per person purchasing. ${ }^{1}$ For example, using the figures given above:
j. Total expenditure for hats by the group $(g \times c) \ldots \ldots . \ldots \$ 293.04$
k. Average expenditure for hats per persons purchasing ${ }^{1}$
$(j+e)$
(3) To obtain the average number of articles purchased per family having members in a designated sex and age group at a given economic level, divide the total number of articles purchased in that group (computed in accordance with the instructions in paragraph (1) above) by the number of families in the group. For example, again using the figures given above:
h. Total number of hats purchased $(f \times c$ ) $\ldots$.-.-.................. 111
(Note this total has already been computed above.)
2. Average number of hats per family in the group $(h+b) \ldots . .59$
(4) To obtain the average expenditure per article per family having members in a designated sex and age group at a given economic level, divide the total expenditure per article (computed in accordance with the instructions in paragraph (2) above) by the number of families in the group. For example, using the same figures given above:

> j. Total expenditure for hate by the group $(g \times c)$
> (Note this total has already been computed above.)
> m. Average expenditure for hats, per family in the group $(j+b)$
(5) To obtain the average price paid per article purchased divide the total expenditure for a given article for a given sex and age group at a given economic level (as computed in accordance with the instructions in paragraph (2) above) by the total number of articles purchased (as computed in accordance with the instructions in paragraph (1) above). For example, using figures shown above:
j. Total expenditure for hats by the group ( $g \times c$ ) $\ldots$......... $\$ 293.04$
(Note this total has already been computed.)

(Note this total has already been computed.)


[^57](6) To obtain average number of articles purchased per family (all families) at a given economic level, divide the total number of articles purchased by all families at that economic level. For example, using the same figures:

(7) To obtain average expenditure per family (all families) at a given economic level, divide the total expenditure for the given article by all families at that economic level. For example, using the same figures:

> j. Total expenditure for hats by the group $(0 \times c) \ldots \ldots$ p. Average expenditure for hats per family $(j \div a)$

Section I.-For method of computation of number of clothing expenditure units per family see appendix $G$, page -. This computation is based on all members of the economic family, whether or not they were dependent on the family fund for 52 weeks.

Ready-made clothing, dry aleanind and accessories includes all items listed in sections III through XI for persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks plus such expenditures for persons dependent on family funds for less than 52 weeks.

Yard goods and findings. - Findings include thread, needles, scissors, buttons, etc.
Clothing received as gifts includes neckties, stockings, etc., received as Christmas and birthday gifts from persons outside the economic family. It also includes second-hand clothes given to the family.

Expense for uniforms, etc., for work are included in clothing expenditures (not as vocational expense in table 16 nor as losses or business expense in tables 2,5 , 19 , and 22).

Section II.-The figures in section II are based on data only for persons dependent on the family funds for 52 weeks.

Sections III through XI.-In these sections the figures are based on data only for persons dependent on the family funds for 52 weeks. Total for each sex and age group does not include expenditures for yard goods and findings and paid help for sewing. These are presented only in section I for all sex-age groups combined.

Boys: Play suits are heavy suits for children's outdoor wear.
Men and Boys: Shoes, work.-Are shoes for heavy work, not, for example, shoes worn to work by a white-collar employee.

Men and Boys: Shoes, other.-Includes bedroom slippers.
Men and Boys: Accessories.-Includes belts, suspenders, collar buttons, shoestrings, etc.

Men and Boys: Other.-Includes jewelry and watches, and rental charges for uniforms and aprons. In cases where rental and laundering charges for uniforms and aprons could not be separated, the entire amount is entered here.

Women and Girls: Dresses, cotton, house,-Are defined as cotton dresses bought for housework.

Women and Girls: Accessories.-Includes hsirpins, hairnets, belts, sanitary belts, dress ornaments, etc.

Women and Girls: Other.-Includes jewelry and watches, and rental charges for uniforms and aprons. In cases where rental and laundering charges for uniforms and aprons could not be separated the entire amount is entered here.

## Table 18.-Furnishings and equipment expenditures, by economic level

For the method of entry of expenditures for items bought on the installment plan and with trade-in allowances see page 182.

For computation of averages other than those presented in this table, for example, "average number of articles purchased per family purchasing" or "aver"
age expenditure per family purchasing," calculations similar to those outlined for table 17 can be made (see pp. 188 and 189).

Textile furnishings: Other includes paid help for making curtains, furniture covers, etc., yard goods used in making home furnishings.

Miscellaneous equipment: Other includes paint and lumber for repair of household equipment, play pens for children, and typewriters.

Note that radios are not classed as "Furnishinga and Equipment" but as "Recreation," table 15.

Tables 19 and 20.-Description of families studied at three economic levels and expenditures for groups of items at three economic levels
(See tables 2, 3, 5, 6, 22, and 23 for same dats by other classifications.)
These tables are presented with families classified into three economic levels in order to facilitate comparison with the data from other cities which will be classified for these three levels and published in other bulletins. The greater size of the sample in larger cities permitted a finer break-down in tables 2 and 3 than was justified by the data for the smaller aamples. In tables 19 and 20 the same data as in tables 2 and 3 are grouped into identical classifications regardless of size of sample, as follows: Families spending under $\$ 400$ per expenditure unit per year, those spending $\$ 400$ to $\$ 600$, and those spending $\$ 600$ and over.

Table 21.-Distribution of families of types comparable with those studied in 1917-18, by economic level and income level

Tably 22.-Description of families of types comparable with those studied in 1917-18, by income level

Tably 23.-Expenditures of families of types comparable with those studied in 1917-18 for groups of iteme, by economic level
(See tables 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 19, and 20 for same data for all families in present study.)
Since the rules for inclusion of families in the 1917-18 study differed from those in the 1934-36 study, data for the family types in the latter study most nearly meeting the eligibility requirements of the former study are presented in these tables to facilitate comparison. Data for families of the following types are included: Man, wife, and one child; man, wife, and two to four children; man, wife, and five or more children; man, wife, and children and adults (four to six persons) ; and man, wife, children, and adulta (seven or more persons).

Tabla 24.-Coeffcients of variation of money disbursements at successive income levels

The coefficient of variation is computed by dividing the standard devistion by the average expenditure and multiplying by 100 . The standard deviation represents the square root of the mean of the squares of the differences between each item and the arithmetio average of the items.

The purpose of the coefficient of variation is to express as a percentage the dispersion about the average, independently of the size of that average. . The variation of expenditures for items of different magnitude can thus be compared direotly. For example, in dollars, expenditures for food are typically much greater than those for personal care. Nevertheless their variation may be compared through a figure which expresses dispersion about the mean in relative terms, the coefficient of variation.

When families are distributed by the amount of their expenditures for various groups of items, it is found that the curves for food and housing most nearly re-
semble the normal curve, although more families are to be found above the mode than below it. Among the other major categories of expenditure, those for furnishings and equipment, transportation, and medical care have a distribution far from that of the normal curve. Many families made no expenditures or spent very small sums for these items; a few families apent relatively large aums. Only a amall number of the families spent suma near the average expenditure; in other words such distributions are very much skewed. Therefore, the coefficients of variation are of value in showing the extent of the dispersion but they should not be used to estimate the range within which a given proportion of the values from a new sample would fall.

Coefficients of variation were not computed for gifts, contributions to community welfare, educstion, vocation, and miscellaneous items due to the number of families making no expenditures for these items.

Using the "method of rankg" the difference between the variations for the items shown at successive income levels was found to be not statistically aignificant when family types were considered separately. With family types combined, a negative correlation of border line significance was found between incoms level and size of the coefficient. For method, see "The use of rank to svoid the assumption of normality implicit in the analysis of variance," by Milton Frledman, in Journal of the American Statistical Association, vol. 32, No. 200, pages 675-701.

## Table 25.-Empenditures for groupe of items estimated from regression equation

The figures in this table were computed by fitting a regression line to the actual expenditure data, using the equation $Y=a+b X^{3}+c X$, where $X$ is annual net income. The average actual expenditure of each three hundred dollar income group was given equal weight in fitting the ourve.

Experiments were carried out with straight lines and other forms of curves and with various systems of weighting. For personal care, medical care, household operation other than fuel, light, and refrigeration, gifts, and miscellaneous items there appeared to be a true linear relationship. For food, clothing, housing including fuel, light, and refrigeration, transportation, recreation, and furnishings snd equipment, the equation $Y=a+b \bar{X}+c X^{2}$ gave a better fit than did the equation $Y=a+b X$. However, for several casea, particularly that of housing, the curve yielded by the equation $Y=a+b X+c X^{3}$ turned downward at the higher income levels, so that at very high income levels there appeared to be actually a. negative expenditure for housing. The equation $Y=a+b X^{\frac{1}{2}}+c \bar{X}$ gave an equally good fit for those expenditure items which appeared to have a definitely linear relationship to income and also had the added merit that it did not turn downward at higher income levels for those items for which a curvilinear relationship was indicsted.

Use of the average actual expenditure for each income group yielded practically the same results as would have been obtained by using each individual observation, and resulted in a material economy of time. Weighting by number of cases; total expenditure, or the ratio of total expenditure to the number of cases, etc., msterially increased the amount of work and time required for fitting, but did not yield significently better results.

## Local Conditions Affecting the Data.

Employment and cost of living.-In November 1934 the New York State Labor Department index of monthly employment in New York City manufacturing industries was 77.3 percent of the average employment in 1923-25, and monthly pay rolls 65.9 percent of average
monthly pay rolls in 1923-25. In the same month the Bureau of Labor Statistics cost of living index stood at 82.1 as compared with 100 for 1923-25 for New York City. The materials on family income and expenditures in the earlier schedules obtained apply to the year ending August 31, 1934, but the greater part of the data ( 65 percent) apply to the year ending August 31, 1935. Living costs during the entire period represented by the material collected average 82.7 as compared with 100 in 1923-25. The New York employment index, on the same base, averaged 76.0 and the pay rolls index 66.7 for the entire period represented by the schedule data. The proportion of the data applying to different months was taken account of in computing these averages.

Taxes, special assessments, fees, etc.
Sales tax.-The New York City sales tax went into effect December 10, 1934, and remained in effect throughout the remainder of the period covered by the schedules. A tax of 2 percent was paid on the sale price of all tangible personal property sold at retail (with the general exception of food, water, medicines, newspapers, and periodicals), gas, electricity, steam heat, refrigeration, telephone and telegraph eervice, food and entertainment in restaurants when the charge is $\$ 1$ or more, wines, liquors, and other alcoholic beverages (except beer).

The tax is applied to purchases as follows:

| 1 to 12 cents. | No tax |
| :---: | :---: |
| 13 to 62 cents | 1 cent tax |
| 63 to 99 centa | 2 cents tax |

On every dollar purchase, 2 cents plus the above rate on the amount in excess of even dollars is charged. In the expenditure data presented in this report, the sales tax is included as part of the expenditure item on which it is paid.

Poll and personal property tax.-There is no poll or personal property tex on citizens of New York City.

Income taxes.-Residents of New York City are subject to New York State and Federal income taxes. Families with incomes under $\$ 2,500$ are exempt from the State tax, and also from the Federal tax.

Special fees.-There is in effect in New York City a tax on garages for purposes of financing fire inspection.

## Other special factors affecting data on:

Housing facilities.-Because of the crowded living conditions in New York City it was deemed advisable to obtain information on whether or not play space was available to these New York families in connection with their dwellings. Play space was defined as space to which the family has a right because of living in the dwelling but which is not designed primarily for other purposes, as for example the yard of a private dwelling or a play room in an apartment house.

Expenditures for food.-The diets of various nationality and race groups presumably have a marked effect on their food expenditures but funds were not available for the analysis of food expenditures by the country of origin of the homemaker.

Home-delivered milk in New York at the time field work was in process cost from 1 cent to 2 cents more than milk purchased in a store. Milk was distributed from city milk stations in the poorer sections of the city at 8 cents per quart.

## Appendix B

## The Scope of the Investigation

## Geographic area covered in New Tork City.

The families studied were confined to New York City limits, i. e., the boroughs of Brooklyn, Bronx, Manhattan, Queens, and Richmond.

## Scope of the Nationwide study.

In addition to New York City, 41 other cities with population over 50,000 were covered in the investigation of the money disbursements of wage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers. Data from both native and foreign-born white families were secured in all cities and from Negro families in the cities indicated in the following list. The results of investigations in the other large cities will be summarized in five bulletins, as follows:

North Allantic Region (B. L. S. Bull. 637, vol. II):

Boston, Mass.
Buffalo, N, Y.
Johnstown, Pa.
Lancaster, Pa .
Manchester, $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{H}$
Pbiladelphia, Pa. (White and Negro families.)

Pittsburgh, Pa.
(White and Negro families.)
Portiand, Maine.
Rochester, N. Y.
Scranton, Pa .
Springfield, Mass.

East North Central Region (B. L. S. Bull. 636):

Cineinnati, Ohio
(White and Negro families.)
Cleveland, Ohio.
Columbus, Ohio.
Detroit, Mich.

Grand Rapids, Mich
Indianapolis, Ind. (White and Negro families.)
Lansing, Mich.
Milwaukee, Wis.
West North Central and Mountain Region (B. L. S. Bull. 641):

Denver, Colo.
Kansas City, $\mathrm{Mo}_{\boldsymbol{3}}$ and Kansas City, Kans.
(White and Negro families.)
Southern Region (B. L, S. Bull. 640):
Baltimore, Md.
(White and Negro families.)
Birmingham, Ala.
(White and Negro families.)
Dalles, Texas.
Houston, Texas.
(White other than Mexican and Mexican families.)
Jackson, Mibs.
(White and Negro families.)
Jackaonville, Fla.
Louisville, Ky.
(Whits and Negro families.)

Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn. St. Louis, Mo.
(White and Negro families.)
Salt Lake City, Utah.

Memphis, Tenn.
(White and Negro families.)
Mobile, Als.
(White and Negro families.)
New Orleans, La.
(White and Negro families.)
Norfolk-Portsmouth, Va.
(White and Negro families.)
Richmond, Va.
(White and Negro families.)

Pacific Region (B. L. S. Bull. 639):

Los Angeles, Calif.
(White other than Mexican and Mexican families.)
Sacramento, Calif.

San Diego, Celif.
San Francisco, Calif. Seattle, Wash.

42 Cities in the United States (B. L. S. Bull 638):
With the cooperation of various State authorities correlated studies of the money disbursements of wage earners and clerical workers have been made in the following cities under 50,000 population: (1) In New Hampshire: Berlin, Claremont, Concord, Conway, Dover, Keene, Laconia, Littleton, Nashua, Portsmouth; (2) in Michigan: Marquette; (3) in California: Modesto; (4) in Nevada: Reno:

Summaries of the data secured in these smaller cities have been published in the Monthly Labor Review for March 1936; June 1936; and September 1937.

Early in 1936 the Bureau of Labor Statistics undertook a Study of Consumer Purchases which covers all income groups in 32 different cities. Funds were allotted to the project by the Works Progress Administration. At the same time a coordinated study was undertaken by the Bureau of Home Economics in 66 farm counties, and in 140 villages, and in 19 small cities. Both of these investigations were made in cooperation with the National Resources Committee and the Central Statistical Board.

The cities covered in the coordinated Studies of Consumer Purchases are as follows:

Bureau of Labor Statistics

| Aberdeen-Hoquiam, Wash. | Denver, Colo. Dubuque, Iowa. | Omaha, Nebr.-Council Bluffs, Iowa. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albany, Ga. | Everett, Wash. | Peru, Ind. |
| Atlanta, Ga. | Gastonis, N. C. | Portland, Oreg. |
| Beaver Falls, Pr. | Haverhill, Mass. | Providence, R. I. |
| Bellingham, Wash. | Logansport, Ind. | Pueblo, Colo. |
| Billings, Mont. | Mattoon, 11. | Springfield, Ill. |
| Butte, Mont. | Mobile, Als. | Springfield, Mo. |
| Chicago, Ill. | Muncie, Ind. | Wallingford, Conn. |
| Columbia, S. C. | New Britain, Cona. | Willimantic, Conn. |
| Columbus, Ohio | New Castle, Pa . |  |
| Comnellsville, Pa . | New Yorl, N. Y. |  |
| Bureau of Home Economics |  |  |
| Astoria, Ore | Greenfield, Mass. | New Philadelphia, Ohio. |
| Beaver Dam, Wis. | Griffin, Gs. | Olympia, Wash. |
| Boone, Iowa. | Klamath Falls, Oreg. | Provo, Utah. |
| Columbia, Mo. | Lincoln Ill. | Sumter, S. $\mathbf{C}$. |
| Dodge City, Kans. | Logan, Utah. | Westbrook, Maine. |
| Eugene, Oreg. | Mt. Vernon, Ohio. |  |
| Greeley, Colo. | Moberly, Mo. |  |

## Appendix C

## Period Covered by Study

Table A shows the time period to which the data in the New York schedules apply.

Thus 65.2 percent of the schedule data for white families apply to the quarters falling within the year June 1, 1934, to May 31, 1935; 61.0 percent of the Negro data to the schedule year September 1, 1934, to August 31,1935 ; and 64.7 percent of the combined white and Negro data apply to the schedule year September 1, 1934, to August 31, 1935.

Table A.-Period covered by scheduled data, New York City


## Appendix D

## Selection of Families to be Interviewued

## Method of Choosing the Sample

The method to be used in choosing the sample to be included in a study of employed wage earners and clerical workers presents a serious problem in the absence of a recent census giving for every family, at each address, the occupation of all the earners in the family, and the relief status of the family in the last year. Since no census data were available for use in this investigation, it was decided to use employers' current lists of employees as the basis for sampling in all the cities where the study was carried on. The methods used differed from city to city only insofar as the available data on the names and addresses of employers and the number of their employees differed from city to city.

In New York City the most complete and up-to-date list of employers was located through the New York State Department of Labor. The file covers all employers of 10 or more persons and includes industrial establishments, banks, insurance companies, wholesale and retail distributors, hotels and restaurants, transportation companies, public utilities, Federal, State, county, and city governments. It is maintained for the purpose of administering the State's factory inspection and workmen's compensation insurance acts and serves as a basis for the State's industrial directory. At the time the sample was chosen the file included the names of approximately 30,000 employers in New York City. The file did not contain information on the number of employees in each establishment at the time the sample was chosen. Because of the unusually great number of employers and absence of information regarding the number of their employees, a particular procedure was followed in New York City. The name of every thirtieth employer was chosen to use as the first basic list for the sample. The first, visits were made to every fourth employer in the basic list of 1,000 employers. When these names were exheusted a second list was drawn, and so on until between 300 and 400 employers had been visited and the names of 1,000 sample employees had been secured.

The original file in the New York State Department of Labor did not contain the number of employees in each establishment at the time the sample was drawn. To remedy this the number of employees
on the pay roll ${ }^{1}$ at the time of the field investigator's visit was ascertained and the employee names chosen in the following ratios: ${ }^{2}$

In plants with 1 to 19 employees, 1 sample employee chosen.
In plants with 20 to 99 employees, 3 sample employees chosen.
In plants with 100 to 299 employees, 5 sample employees chosen.
In plants with 300 to 499 employees, 7 sample employees chosen.
In plants with 500 employees and over, 9 sample employees chosen.
The position of the first name to be drawn from each emloyee listp was determined by a number chosen by lot; and if more than one name was to be drawn, the others were taken at equally distant spaces down the list. For example, at an establishment with 79 employees where 3 names were desired, any number between 1 and 26 was drawn by lot. If this number chanced to be 12, the employee names drawn from that establishment were the 12 th and the 2 names equidistant from that by 26 spaces, or the 12 th , 38 th, and 64 th names, respectively.

Since the investigation was limited to the families of employed workers, all persons on employer lists were not eligible for scheduling (see pp. 201-204, for rules for determining eligibility). Employer lists did not give the information necessary to determine eligibility, such as whether or not the employees listed were members of families, whether or not their families had been on relief during the past year, etc. Therefore, the five names listed directly after the name of the "sample employee" were chosen as possible substitutes for the name of each sample employee drawn. If the name of the last sample employee to be chosen was drawn too near to the end of the list to secure the five substitute names in the usual way, the list of substitutes was completed by taking the names at the beginning of the list of employees in the same establishment.

If it was found that the family of the first worker whose name was drawn was not eligible ${ }^{8}$ for the study, a field worker was sent to the

[^58]substitute drawn for his name. If these five substitutes were exhausted without securing an eligible family, a new series of names from another employer was substituted. In the case of Negro employees, a different method was used, since it proved to be very difficult to secure the sample of employed Negro workers. The wage level of the Negro group was below that of the white group and because the proportion of the unemployed among Negro families was larger than among white families, when a series of names of Negro employees in the New York City study was exhausted, a schedule was secured from the first eligible Negro family residing to the right of the last ineligible Negro employee in the exhausted series of employee names.

A separate card was made out for each name drawn from the employee lists and the substitute files were kept separate from the original file of sample employees. The original cards and the substitute cards were filed separately for the white and Negro samples.

## Rules for. Determining Eligibility of Families

A schedule was secured from the family of each sample employee if he was eligible according to the following criteria, unless for some reason the family was unwilling to give the information.

1. Contact through the chief earner.-It is clear that families with more than one earner are over-represented in the employers lists as compared with one-earner families. By adopting the rule that families would be scheduled only if the name drawn in the sampling process turned out to be that of the chief earner-i. e., the member earning the largest amount of money during the year-the danger of a biased sample was avoided. Each family, regardless of the number of earners, was represented by one and only one name that counted.
2. Occupation of chief earner. - In each family included in the sample, the chief earner is either a wage earner or lower-salaried clerical worker. The classification of occupations according to economic groups presented by A. M. Edwards ${ }^{4}$ in an article based on census data was used in determining whether a person of given occupation should be considered a wage earner or a clerical worker. An exception was made in that families in which the chief earner was a foremanoverseer, or domestic servant in a private family, were not included although these occupations are treated in the Edwards' classification as wage earners. As the study progressed the need for a more detailed classification of jobs became evident. When the Federal Emergency Relief Administration and the Works Progress Administration issued a manual, giving more detailed instructions for classification adapted from the classification of the census of 1930, the new instructions were employed to assist in the problem of determining

[^59]whether or not a specific type of work should properly be treated as part of the occupational group being covered by this investigation. ${ }^{5}$ The investigation covered families of chief earners in the groups classified as office workers, salesmen, and kindred workers; skilled and semiskilled workers in building and construction; skilled and semiskilled workers in manufacturing and other industries; unskilled laborers and domestic and personal service workers (except in private families). In a few instances where the chief earner was found to bave pursued two occupations during the year prior to the visit of the field investigator, one an occupation classified as wage earner or clerical, and the other as a profession or as the proprietor of an owned business, it was ruled that the family was to be included in the study unless the earnings from the profession exceeded the earnings from the wage earning or clerical occupation, or unless the income from the owned business was more than one-half the earnings from the wage earning or clerical occupation, or the expenses from the business could not be separated from the family expenses.
3. Earnings of chief earner.-No family in which the chief earner had earned less than $\$ 300$ during the schedule year was included. No family in which the chief earner was a clerical worker, earning $\$ 2,000$ and over during the schedule year, or $\$ 200$ or over during any one month was included, but no upper limit was placed on the earnings of wage earners nor on total earnings of all members of the family combined.
4. Occupation and income of subsidiary earners.-No restriction was placed upon the occupation of supplementary earners with the one exception noted below. A family in which there was a subsidiary earner who was, for example, a professional worker or a domestic servant in a private home, was included provided the annual earnings of this worker were less than those of the chief earner. Families were excluded if a supplementary earner was in business for himself and his business income and expenditure were so intermingled with the family's that it was impossible to separate them (as for example, a family where the wife carried on a small hair-dressing business in, or adjacent to, the family's living quarters and used for the business, gas and electricity recorded on the same meters as those recording the gas and electricity used for the operation of the household.) Families in which a supplementary earner was in business for himself were included if the business income and expenditure could be separated from that of the family and the net income from the owned business was less than half of the chief earner's earnings.

[^60]If a supplementary earner was a clerical worker and earned $\$ 2,000$ or more during the schedule year or $\$ 200$ or over during any one month; the family was ineligible for inclusion in the sample.
5. Employment minimum. - In order to avoid the distortion of spending which results from prolonged unemployment and unanticipated curtailment of employment the study was limited to the families of employed workers. It was impossible to locate any figures as to the number of days of employment characteristic of wage earners and clerical workers. It was, therefore, necessary to set an arbitrary limit below which a worker would not be regarded as having been employed for the schedule year. It was decided to include only families in which one wage earner or clerical worker within the economic family had worked a minimum of 1,008 hours spread over a minimum of 36 weeks during the year. This minimum was chosen to represent an average employment of $3 \%$ days of 8 hours in each of 36 weeks. Families in which the chief earner was employed in a distinctly seasonal industry such as clothing manufacturing and building were included, if the chief earner had had employment for 28 hours in each of 30 weeks. These minima, however, are not to be regarded as criteria representing adequate employment.
6. Definition of family.-The study was limited to private economic families of two or more persons sharing their incomes, living together, and sharing the same table, eating not less than 2 meals a day prepared at home for at least 11 months. In addition, families whose situation during the year just previous to the visit of the Bureau's investigator was difficult or impossible to analyze on a statistical basis were excluded from the survey. Specific reasons for such exclusion were as follows:
a. If the homemaker worked away from home both day and night for more than 78 days in the year.
b. Families boarding for more than 1 month.
c. Presence of more than the equivalent of two boarders and/or lodgers, i. e., more than 104 boarder and/or lodger weeks (not including related persons giving complete records of their incomes and expenditures).
d. Families having guests for more than the equivalent of 26 guest weeks. ${ }^{*}$
$\therefore$ e. Families having another family or two unrelated dependent persons over 21 years of age living with it and completely dependent on it (unless the dependents were parents of the homemaker, husband, or chief earner).

[^61]7. Families not on relief.-No families who received direct relief or work relief during the schedule year were included.
8. Family income-a. No family was included which had an annual family income less than $\$ 500$ during the schedule year.
b. No upper limit was placed upon family income as such, but no family was included which had received more than one-fourth of its income from interest, dividends, royalties, speculative gains, or rents (not including receipts from boarders and lodgers).
c. No family which had received income from an owned business equal to more than half of the chief earner's income from wages or salary was included.
d. No family having received gifts or income in kind of a value equal to a fourth of its total money income was included.
$e$. If a family had received rent in payment for services, it was ineligible for inclusion in the study.
$f$. If a family received 3 months or more free rent it was not included.
9. Residence.-Families must have resided in area of investigation for 9 months or more.

## Appendix E

## Nativity of the Homemakers in the Families Studied

There is a striking similarity between the national backgrounds of the white homemakers who supplied the data for the present report and those of the married white women of the entire city. Not quite half of them were born in the United States; Italy, Russia, Poland, and Germany rank next, each furnishing from 6 to 10 percent of the homemakers who cooperated in the investigation; Austria and Ireland follow, each contributing about 4 percent. The remaining homemakers came from scattered countries. ${ }^{1}$

Two-thirds of the homemakers in the Negro families studied were born in the United States; almost one-quarter (24) were borm in the British West Indies, while 5 came from the Virgin Islands and 3 from Puerto Rico. No figures are available to show the distribution by country of birth of all married Negro women in New York City, although census data for 1930 show 16.7 percent of the total Negro population of New York City as foreign born." Figures on the country of birth of all the Negroes in the United States show that 75.5 percent of the total foreign-born Negro population of the country was born in the West Indies.

Tably B.-Country of birth of married white women in New York City

| Country | Homemak- ers conper- ating in Buranu ot Labor Sta- tistiessindy $1094-35$ | Mar- ried woman 15 gears of age and over | - Comatry | Fomemakers cooparating in Burear of Labor Statisticsstudy 1934-38 | Mar rifad whemen 15 yests of age and OVE 1950 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Percent 100.0 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | Funkry | Percens 2.1 | Preent 1.8 |
| Onited Etstan. | 68.2 | t7. 4 | England, Cootland, and | 1.8 | 28 |
| Italy. | 10.1 | 10.8 | Crechosloparia | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| Russia. | 9.4 | 11.3 |  | 1.2 | . 7 |
| Poland. | 6.1 | 6.1 | Sweden . .-................... | 1.1 | . 7 |
| Orimany | 8.0 | 4.8 | Cansda (not French).........- | . 8 | . 6 |
| Austria. | 4. 8 | 4.8 | Rumatia....... | .888 | 12 |

; U. 8. Burwau of the Census, Consus for 1080, vol, II, pp. 059, 1084.

[^62]
## Appendix $F$

Field Procedure

## Interview method of securing data.

The original data for this report came from families who estimated and calculated their various expenditures, with the help of special forms interpreted by trained field workers. The investigators were provided with a schedule which called for the entry of the data on income and outgo in considerable detail, partly because the details themselves are of value, and partly because it is easier for informants to remember the individual items of their family transactions than it is to provide the investigator with summary figures. This method was used rather than asking the families to keep records of disbursements at the time disbursements were made, because the procedure followed makes possible the inclusion of many families who would have been unwilling or unable to keep regular household accounts. For facsimile of schedule used, see figure B.

No schedule was accepted for summarization in the present study unless the figures on total money receipts and total money disbursements agreed at least within 5 percent of the larger figure. It is not to be hoped that all the figures in each schedule were accurate to that extent, but no reason to suppose any persistent bias inheres in the estimates has appeared in the course of the survey.

Figure B. Schedule Facsimile
. ェ. U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LAEOR, EUREAU' OF LAEOR STATISTICS, WASHINGTON
bate ................................................

FAMILY DISBURSEMENTS OF WAGE EARNERS AND SALARIED WORKERS




| ттеми | 자승 | Yomixy | Premer |  |  | Tr*4 |  | vme |  | Prixid |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\cdots$ | Vommm | Romom |  |  | ${ }^{\text {97x }}$ |  | U4F301 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 11. POULTEY (eonto ) Chatem, imm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 . \quad$ tap round. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. ensan... |  |  |  |  |  |  | Orumemumumumumm |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 S Jowne them |  |  |  |  |  | MIBCELLAHEOTS MEAT PRODECTE <br> 34. Belogna, Irank(urters, ste. $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. ctucik. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tosgree |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.8 Plater. |  |  |  |  |  |  | s7. $1 / 0 \mathrm{we}$na. orber |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. dibut. |  |  |  |  |  | 87. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Comend |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. |  |  |  |  |  | 4. | Conved. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 41. | Onowi. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Vralk Mruth, menk, atmoph |  |  |  |  |  | 43. Oyyurlumunu |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| As minm |  |  |  |  |  | 4.3. | 44. EGOS. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | S. MIEX: Pruh whole-buthed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Loome |  |  |  |  |  |
| L. minm |  |  |  |  |  |  | alimmed. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19. POAX: Whin, abopm |  |  |  |  |  | 48. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.1 kha rowa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21. Cthern |  |  |  |  |  | 50. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2\% man, dillem |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83. Ootragi...................... |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24.1 hulf or whalemem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32.5 plealem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Pork muxapl......... |  |  |  |  |  | S6. Cramm_ - .-........... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%8. Olher park. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29. POULTEY: Cuekte, troullig. |  |  |  |  |  | 65. Table or cooling olthemmmen........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%o. romer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (b) |  |  |  |  |  | x,mmax |





mil (in). FOOD-ANWUAK, mxpamprivis









| H7M | $x=$ | mmen |  | Ormam | Trim | Nanm | mm | Lemb |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TORNITORE |  |  |  |  | 2t. Blexkets. |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Eutien: Livisf roomen |  |  |  |  | 29. Cosetorta, qulle_ |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Budreser. |  |  |  |  | 30. 日lumen |  |  |  |  |
| a Dialan toum. |  |  |  |  | 11. Pillarame |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Buate Tred. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 M Menl. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Coten embme Wrod. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Motel. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Beximpminam |  |  |  |  | 80. Collew, Turkina |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Davminparim |  |  |  |  | 37. Othe, oothou... |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Coxeben, daybeta |  |  |  |  | 38. Table rumern, druser scarla. |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Dremero. |  |  |  |  | 30. Ourtaiza, striperim. |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Calforions, bemem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Elideboarde, butiela. |  |  |  |  | 41. OTher (apaelij) |  |  |  |  |
| 14. Demer |  |  |  |  | OLLVERWARE, CEINA, AND CLAMGWARE |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Tablan, exoept kitcheo. |  |  |  |  | 48. Glammar |  |  |  |  |
| 17. Chalru: Wooma |  |  |  |  | 4. Talinwart: 8ilver, |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Uphotetered |  |  |  |  | 45. Obore (0peelty). |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Danches, moole, footuocia. |  |  |  |  | 46. Othme |  |  |  |  |
| 20. Tencerm, wheol tray. |  |  |  |  | ELECTRICAL RQUIPMENT |  |  |  |  |
| 21. Stuade, mela, corturumb. |  |  |  |  | 47. Vuruttu elcmsarn. |  |  |  |  |
| 29.0thm. |  |  |  |  | 48. Blefrimeraters (clcotrio). |  |  |  |  |
| TEXTILS PURNIGHINOB |  |  |  |  | 42. Eluelith miovm, hot platum |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 50. Whatisy mmedom |  |  |  |  |
| 22. Linoloum, tilald ............. (\%s. gda.) ... |  |  |  |  | 31. Ispan |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 82. Irasux, manalman |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Maturwemm. |  |  |  |  | Ss. Eeverin, fana |  |  |  |  |
| 27. Prlomaner |  |  |  |  | S4. Webt bulb |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mm |





| MTR ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Qmaxury | Valow |  | ктEM | Qamoluy | Valum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. |  |  | 12. |  |  |  |
| *. |  |  | 13. |  |  |  |
| 4. ..-...- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% | - |  | - |  |  |
| (15) ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |






Cry.

State .................................................................



Family Compoutition

Check interviewing.-At the beginning of the field work one out of every five schedules submitted by each agent was checked by one of the supervisors in an interview with the family having originally provided the schedule data. The Washington office provided the field office with specific instructions concerning the methods of handling the check-interviews. The schedules to bs checked were chosen at random. The name of the agent making the first call was not known to the check-interviewer, thus eliminating any possible personal bias. In returning to the family for a check-interview the investigator provided the informant, usually the homemaker, with a brief summary of family expenditures and the balance of receipts and disbursements, checked it with her, and checked also the details of some section of the schedule, selected at random.

Later in the course of the field work when the check interviews disclosed that certain agents were having difficulty in obtaining accurate information, a larger proportion of their schedules were checked. When the check showed that the work of certain agents was very accurate, only 1 out of 10 schedules was verified by a return interview. As the work progressed, relief workers whose schedules had proven wholly reliable were advanced to the position of check-interviewers.
Food check lists for 1 week.
Previous experience in collecting statistics on the details of annual food expenditures has shown the difficulty of securing reliable estimates of the year's purcheses. The schedule for this investigation was, therefore, prepared with two sections dealing with food expenditures. The first was in effect a check list providing in detail for the entry of the quantities of foods purchased and used, and expenditures for foods in the week immediately preceding the interview. The second section was prepared to contain a summary of food expenditures during the year. The section for entry of foods during 1 week (which listed over 194 foods) served two purposes. It secured from each family detailed estimates of food purchases with $8 s$ high a degree of accuracy as is possible unless supervised records of purchases are obtained, and it served to remind the homemaker of her customary expenditures, thus making it easier to estimate the family's total outlay for food in the four quarters of the year covered by the schedule.

Weekly records of food consumption.
In order to obtain as much information as possible on seasonal differences in food purchases and food consumption, each family interviewed was asked in addition to keep a record of food purchases and food consumption for 1 week in at least two, and preferably four, different quarters. Nine hundred and twenty-five such weekly records were obtained. They are being used by this Bureau for a report on seasonal variations in food expenditures which will appear at a later date. After the taking-off of the necessary data they were turned over to the Bureau of Home Economics, United States Department of Agriculture, where they are being analyzed for dietary adequacy. Preliminary results of that analysis are included in "Nutritive Value of Diets of Families of Wage Earners and Clerical Workers in North Atlantic Cities, 1934-35" which appear in the Monthly Labor Review for July 1936. A more completé report appears as United States Department of Agriculture Circular 507, 1939, entitled "Diets of Families of Employed Wage Earners and Clerical Workers in Cities," by by Hazel K. Stiebeling and Esther F. Phipard.

## Appendix G

## Analytical Procedure

## Income classification.

Data on family composition, earnings and income, and group expenditures are summarized by income levels at $\$ 300$ intervels to provide a basis for comparison of the results from this investigation with earlier studies. The same data are also presented by income level for families of types comparable with those studied in the 1917-18 investigation.

## Classification by economic level.

The analysis of data on family expenditure is complicated by the fact that, although in general the family spends as a unit, the family as a unit is a thoroughly unsatisfactory statistical measure, because of its variation in size and age composition. A family of two adults with an income of $\$ 1,200$ is obviously on an economic plane considerable higher than a family of six adults with the same income. Statistical analyses of the expenditures of a sample of families chosen at random is further complicated by the fact that in most cities the size of the family among wage earners and clerical workers increases as the income increases, as larger family incomes are more apt to come from increases in the number of earners then from the higher wages of the husband of the family. The chart on page 22 shows the larger families at the higher income levels in the groups studied in New York City.

To meet these difficulties as well as to take into account the fact that consumer demand varies with age, sex, and occupation, the families were sorted according to economic level as measured by amount spent per total-expenditure unit. To ascertain the economic level of each family the first difficulty arose in the necessity of taking into account the variations in consumer demand within each family due to differences in family composition.

## Expenditure unit-food relatives.

The problem was met in the present investigation by computing separately for food, clothing, and for other items the customary expenditures of persons of different age, sex, and occupation. The scale used in computing the relative expenditure for individuals for food was based on data secured from the Bureau of Home Economics, showing quantities of food estimated as actually consumed by persons of different age, sex, and physical activity. These estimates were based partly on the energy requirements of persons of different age, sex, and occupation, and partly on data on the actual food consumption of
families of urban wage earners and clerical workers. (To have secured an adequate number of records of the actual food consumption of individuals for this purpose would have required an expenditure far beyond the resources of the bureaus concerned.) These quantities of food were multiplied by average retail food prices to find the ratio which expenditures for women and boys of various age groups bore to expenditures for full time employed men aged 20 and over. In deciding which retail food prices should be used for this purpose, a test was conducted for 12 cities where the study was in process in the winter of 1935. For each of these cities, the same quantitiss of food were multiplied by the respective retail food prices for the given city. It was found that, despite the use of varying prices from city to city, the relative expenditures for women and children bore approximately the same ratio to those for employed men in each city. It was therefore decided to use, for all 12 of these cities, a scale of consumer expenditure for food based on United States average prices in 1934. The same procedure was followed for all other cties with adjustment for changes in food prices as the investigation advanced. The food expenditure scales were recalculated on the basis of United States average prices in the year ending May 31, 1935, and on United States average prices in the calendar year 1935 and these food relatives were used for schedules in cities where the field work was completed later. Within a given city, where field work extended over a considerable period, each schedule was coded with the food relatives for the period most nearly corresponding to the schedule year.

> Table C.-Relative jood expenditures for persons of different age, ses, and occupation 1

| Age-sex-cocupation group | Calculated on the basls of - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Averase pricas, catender year 1834 | Aversge pricas, Fegr ending May 31. 1836 |  prices, calendar Fear 1085 |
| Male: |  |  |  |
| Boys under 2...... | 0.51 | 0. 48 | 0.48 |
| Boys 2 and under 4 | . 81 | . 59 | . 51 |
| Boys 4 and under 7 .---- | . 61 | . 68 | . 6 |
| Boys 7 and under $9 . .$. | . 78 | .77 | . 78 |
| Boys 9 and under 11-.... | .80 | .84 | -80 |
| Boys 11 and under 13.... | . 02 | . 91 | 100 |
|  | 1.01 | 1.00 <br> 1. 08 <br> 1 | 1.00 |
|  | 1.02 | 2.02 | 1. 08 |
| Men, 20 and over, unemployed and part-tim | 1.00 | - ${ }^{\mathbf{2} .00}$ | +80 |
| Fenale: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Girls 3 and under 4 | . 51 | - 58 | . 6 |
| Gris 4 and under8 | . 81 | . 59 | . 68 |
| Giris 8 and nuder 11 . | . 78 | . 77 | . 76 |
| Girls 11 and undar 14. | . 80 | . 84 | . 84 |
| Girls 14 and mater 20. | . 0 | . 91 | - 0 |
| Women, 20 and ovar, modkrataly cetive. | . 82 | . 88 | -8) |
| Women, 20 and over; active....... | . 92 | . 98 | - ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |

[^63]
## Expenditure unit-clothing relatives.

The data secured in the present investigation were used as the basis for computing the scales of expenditure for clothing of persons of different age, sex, and occupation. The average annual clothing expenditures of white men wage earners and clerical workers, 21 through 35 years old, in all the cities covered in the present study were $\$ 56.68$. This amount is regarded as unity (1.00) in arriving at standard scales of expenditure for persons of other ages, sex, or occupation. In the following table average clothing expenditures of each class of persons are expressed as percentages of the average clothing expenditures of this group of employed men. The same

Table D.-Relative dothing expenditures for persons of different sex, age, and oceupalion
[1.00=(\$56.68), expenditure of male wage earners and clerical worters aged 21 and under 38 ]

| Age | Mals |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 5 and at schoot | At home | Clerical | Wrge barnes | Under 5 , and at school | At hame | Clericel | Wage cernas |
| Duder 9. | 0.19 |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |
| 2 and nader 6 | . 34 |  |  |  | . 38 |  |  |  |
| 8 and under 9. | . 4 |  |  |  | - 4 |  |  |  |
| $t$ and under 12 | -33 |  |  |  | . 56 |  |  |  |
| 12 and under 15. | - 68 |  |  |  | . 7 |  |  |  |
| 16 and under 18. | . 88 |  |  |  | 1.01 |  | 1.08 | 1.08 |
| 11 and under 21. | 1.01 | . 89 | 1. 14 | 1. 13 | 1. 28 | 1.05 | 1.60 | 1. 6 |
| 21 snd under 24 |  | .57 | 1. 14 | 1. 07 |  | 1.04 | 1.80 | 1. 60 |
| 21 and under 27. |  | . 48 | 1. 13 | 1.00 |  | 1.02 | 1.64 |  |
| $2{ }^{2}$ and under 30. |  | . 6 | 1. 10 |  | .-....... | 1.00 | 1. 82 | 1.36 |
| 30 and under 37. |  | . 44 | 1.04 | . 82 |  | , 88 | 1. 88 | 1. 28 |
| 8tend under 42 |  | . 48 | , 84 | + 8 |  | - 88 | 1. ${ }^{3}$ | 1.07 |
| 42 and under 48. |  | . 41 | . 87 | . 81 |  | . 78 | 1.35 | . 84 |
| 48 and under 54. |  | .39 .37 |  | . 78 |  | .888 |  | . 84 |
| 64 and under 60. |  | . 37 | + 76 | +60 |  | . 510 | 1.03 .78 | -76 |

Deta based on white families 组 42 olties combtand.
scale was used for both white and Negro schedules. As there were not a sufficient number of cases of Negroes, it was not possible to develop a separate Negro clothing relative scale.

Since it was necessary to begin the coding and the tabulation of the schedules before the field work was completed, the computations of unit clothing expenditure ${ }^{2}$ were at first made on the basis of preliminary scales computed from the clothing expenditure data in the schedules available at the time for the given city or group of cities within a region. After the field work had been terminated and the tabalation completed for all 42 cities, the scales given in the foregoing table were constructed on the basis of data for all these cities, all of which had populations over 50,000 .

It was desired that the scales should represent only those differences in clothing expenditure which are due to the three factors (age, sex,

[^64]and occupation) which were being measured in the computation of the scales. To compute the scales, after elimination of the influence of the other variables of family income and family size in the computations, the following steps were taken.
(1) Cards representing each person in all the families studied in cities with populations over 50,000 were sorted into cells such that all persons classified in a given cell were from families with the same income and of the same size, and the persons were of the same age, sex, and occupation.
(2) The average clothing expenditure for all the persons in each cell were then computed.
(3) These averages were then combined for each age-sex-occupation group. In making this combination, each age-sex-occupation group within each family-income family-size class was given the same weight. These weights were determined by the number of persons in each family-income family-size cell for all age-sex-occupation groups combined. The resulting averages for each age-sex-occupation group are the averages that would have been obtained if the distribution of persons by family income and family size had been the same in each age-sex-occupation group. The procedure followed in combining averages by the use of constant weights is similar to the procedure of a standard population frequently used by biologists in attempting to compare rates while eliminating differences caused by differing distributions by sex, age, marital status, etc. (cf. Raymond Pearl, Medical Biometry and Statistics, Philadelphia and London, 1930, ch. IX, "Standardized and corrected death rates," pp. 265-277).
(4) For each sex-occupation group there were now a series of average clothing expenditures for each age. These results were smoothed to eliminate minor irregularities.
(5) Absolute clothing expenditures at each age were converted to relatives by dividing the smoothed averages for each sex-occupation group for each age by the smoothed average expenditure for employed men aged 21 through 35.

The revised scales developed on this basis are still subject to the limitations of the nature of the population sampled, but they represent what seem to be the most definitive scales yet available showing effective demand for clothing among families of wage earners and clerical workers.

The revised scales were used to recode and reclassify the schedules for unit expenditure ${ }^{2}$ in order to test the magnitude of the differences

[^65]in final results which would have been obtained had the revised scales been used at the outset. The new distribution of families was not substantially different from the distribution for which the prelininary. clothing scales were used. Since clothing expenditures represent a fairly small proportion, ranging from one-ighth to one-tenth of family expenditures, it will be seen that even a fairly large revision in the clothing expenditure scales would yield only a slight change in total unit expenditure. The nature of the process will be clear from the sample code sheet, p. 235. Consequently, the distribution of families by economic level did not shift much with the introduction of revised relative clothing expenditure scales. Since, upon analysis, the changes were not found to be important, in all tables in the Tabular Summary and in the text, the distribution of families by economic level remains as it was established by the coding based, among other elements (see sample code sheet, p. 235) on the preliminary relative clothing expenditure scales.

The revised clothing scales did, however, produce a more pronounced change in the item "average number of clothing expenditure units per family," and correspondingly in the figure "unit clothing expenditure." ${ }^{8}$ The figure for "average number of clothing expenditure units per family," based on the revised scales is therefore used throughout in the Tabular Summary and in text tables 4, 5, 19, and 20. Correspondingly the figure "average number of expenditure units" " per family, whenever it appears in the Tabular Summary or in a text table, is based (among other elements including food and "other" expenditure) upon unit clothing expenditure computed from the revised relative clothing expenditure scales.

The item "average number of clothing expenditure units" or "average number of expenditure units" or both, occurs in tables 2,3, $5,6,19,20,22$, and 23 of the Tabular Summary.

The change in "average number of expenditure units" resulting from use of the revised scales was much smaller than the change in "everage number of clothing expenditure units" for the reason discussed in a preceding paragraph on the small change in the distribution of cases according to unit expenditure. Nevertheless the figure on the revised basis appears in the text and the Tabular Summary since it is consistent with the revised figure for clothing expendiutre units. The latter figure is published rather than the preliminary figure since it is believed, after extensive analysis and experimentation, that it represents a better approximation, based on more complete data and a more satisfactory summary technique, to a definitive answer to the

[^66]question: How does the clothing demand or customary clothing need of one family of given composition compare with that of another family of different composition? Lacking any absolute scale of clothing need, any such scale of clothing expenditure can, at best, only be an approximation. Nevertheless, the figures set forth on the basis of the revised scales are presented as the result of considerable study and experimentation as the most definitive yet available (granted the assumptions that age, sex, and occupetion do create real differences in the social situation of individuals, which in turn affect their need to make clothing expenditures as much or more to meet'social custom as for reasons of health). A further reason for presenting the revised clothing expenditure unit figures is that the figures stand as such independently of any other figures in the tables. That is, since the preliminary figures were used simply as a means to classification of cases and nowhere in the tabulations as an end product, the presentation of revised figures for this one item in no way disturbs the usefulness of the other items not affected by the revision.

## Expenditure unit-other items

Any attempt to compute customary expenditures on items other than food and clothing for persons of different age, sex, and occupation in the wage-earner and clerical groups would have required securing data on the expenditures for individuals in greater detail than seemed practical. It was, therefore, decided to compute expenditure per adult male equivalent for "other items" on the assumption that in actual practice with a given income a family divides the use of its goods, and its expenditures for all items other than food and clothing about equally among the members of the family.

## Total expenditure unit.

In finally computing the amount spent per total-expenditure unit for a given family, three measures of family size were calculated, one in terms of food-expenditure units (i. e., food relatives), another in terms of clothing-expenditure units (i. e., clothing relatives), and a third giving equivalent full-time members of the economic family (i. e., a relative of 1.00 for each member in the economic family for 52 weeks). In computing each of these measures for an individual the length of time the individual was dependent on the family funds was taken into account. This was done by multiplying the appropriate relative by the decimal equivalent of a year during which the person was dependent on family funds.

Expenditures by the given family for food were then divided by the number of food-expenditure units in the economic family, expenditures for clothing by the number of clothing-expenditure units in the economic family, and expenditures for other items, by the number of equivalent full-time persons in the economic family. The number
of dollars spent per total-expenditure unit was secured by adding together the three quotients, and this sum was used as a measure of the economic level of the family. The following figure $C$ shows the method of coding for a specific family:

Figure C.
Sample code theet


It should be noted that the computation of family size in terms of expenditure units is based on estimates of customary relative expenditures without regard to the adequacy of the goods consumed to meet physical or psychological needs. These units are not adapted for use in setting up or in revising ideal or normal budgets. That is, it is impossible to use these units in calculating the family budget needed if a child is to be added to the family without disturbing the level which the family budget was originally prepared to meet, nor may they be used to determine the subtraction possible if a budget computed for a family of given size is to be applied to a family with one less child, but without disturbing the standard which the budget was calculated to purchase. Such additions or subtractions can properly be made for the purpose indicated with these expenditure units only if the standard by which the budget was originally computed was in terms of these same units. Thus, if a budget for a family of given size has been calculated on the basis of a given number of expenditure units, using the relatives described in this study, along with some predetermined sum taken as meeting the needs of an adult man, the budget may be increased to care for the addition of a person to the family by use of this series of unite. But if the original budget for, let us say, a family
of two adults and two children was calculated on some other basis, the increase of the total budget by the decimal equivalent represented by an expenditure unit from this study corresponding to a child of specified age will not assure the continuance at the same standard of living of the family when the third child is added.

The measures used in calculating size of family in expenditure units in the present study are intended to represent customary behavior in families where the addition of a child is not as a rule accompanied by any addition to income, and the family must adapt its. expenditures to meet the additional burden. In arriving at the scales used to measure family size, no adjustments were included for possible differences in the economy of purchasing for larger as compared with smaller families.


[^0]:    Marca 1939.

[^1]:    1 Buoh a ftudy has reotariy bean oompleted by the Worims Progreas Administration, Division of Bocitl Esearah, in cooperation with the Retell Price Division of the Braten of Labor Statiotics. In this surpey prices vere obtained in 59 oftite covering the cost of trame in budgete at two leveis. These budzetacomprised
     for a fourpormon famity of a manual morker. Resule of this study art published in s report of the Work
    
    

[^2]:    \% See appendix C, p. 107. The bulk of the data for New York City cover a period more than 2 years after the low point in the New York City indares of omployment and payrolls, which wes reached in July 1032.
    The importance of obtaining deta on the consumar purchases of higher salaried clerical workers, profassional workers, managers, and ofledals, and thase in business for theraselvas was generally recognized at the time when the study was begun. Early in 1936 the Burean of Labor Statisiles undertook a Atudy of Consumer Purohases which covers all income groups in 82 different cltiss. Fuuds were allotted to tho project by the Worin Progress Administration. At the same time a coordinated atudy was undertaken by the Buresu of Eome Economiles in 66 farm oounties, 160 Fillages, and fin 19 small aities. Hoth of thate investigations were mede in cooperation with the National Resources Committee and the Central Btatiotinal Board. (For oities covared in both investigations, soe appendix B, Dp. 106, 19a.)

    At the requast of the Emengenoy Fellef Bosrdin Philsdolphis, the Bureat of Laber Statistice uridertook a $\begin{aligned} & \text { atudy of the incomes and money disbursaments of amploged wage earnars and clerical workara living as }\end{aligned}$
     subsequent bulietin.

[^3]:     Etates. Wasbingion, 199, p. 2

[^4]:    I Familasin which the chtef earner was a somiskilled ladorer prodominate in the sample, furnishing ebout 40 peroent of the number studied (388); clerical proritas coms next with 931 families; atilled wortars nagt with 184; and unskilled werters last with 184 tamilles.
    In "economiotemily" as defined for this study sonsists al two or mora persons living together and sharing
     marriage, ar sdoption, but in some assas an unrolated metnbar was found to share income and tamily living. inermons related by blood, marrisge, or adoption swere not treated as membars of the aconomic family if they Ifred in the household as boerders and kept thef tunds separate from finily funds, unleas they geve a complete recard of their incomes and expenditurte Fersons whe wrin members of the ecanomio tamily for an antin year ware not nececsarily members of the bonsehold for the year. A mamber supported by the family in school, college, or hoepital for all or part of the sear, or a member working away trom home far part of the Fear, shyring his income with the family for that perlod, would be treated as a mamber of the ecomotale traily but not of the housobold for the Bntire year. In compution the number of persons who ware part of the foonomic family for a year, the utmber of weera each member had shared his entive income and arpenses with the thenly was hiated, toe numbers remmed and the total divided by ty. This procedure yiolded the number of ecquivilent persons who had made up the fanily for the 1 yoar.

[^5]:    F The concentration of the incomes of all the fanilles finciuded in the surveg near the anerage is shoman by the fact that the stendard deviation of the avernge fncome is \$863.

[^6]:    i Net invome is defined in sppendix A, p. 175.
    ${ }^{2}$ I. e., the number having bsd some gainful employment in business, industry, or comestic serviee at any time during the zear. (Some families included persons in domestic service as supplementary earners.;
    Including nit earoings from boarders and lodgers.
    4 Less business losses met in the year covered by the sohedule but not deductible from the earnings of that year.

[^7]:    6Throughout the report the term "current expenditures" is used to mean expandtures for altimate consumers ${ }^{7}$ goods, including relativaly durable constumption geods. Internst on money barrowed for family use has been included in such expenditures, buts savings and invastments have not. The time and funds avalisble for the investigation have not made possible the presantation of saparate totais distinguishing expenditures for the more slowly consumed as distinguished from quicily consumed goods. Indeed, the dats on deprecintion rates for relatively darable consumers' goods are so fragmantary that it Frould be artremely dificult to do so. Expenditures for such durable goods as autoroblles, mechanical retrigerators, and other turnishings nad equipmant have been classified with expenditures for food and carfare and other quickly consumed goods as "current expenditures" while money spent for permanent improvements on owned homes and other real eatate or sy paymeat on the principal of mortgages has been classified as savings. The total cost of consumars' goods purchased on credit was ivoluded in current expenditures and the amount of the oblfzations outstanding at the end of the year Fis taken into account when computing changes in lisblities over the 12 -month perlod. Monoy pald out for life-inswanee gromiums has beon olasslfed as savings. (See Tobular Summary, tables 3, 4, 6 , and 20 )

[^8]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Twbuiar Summary, table $25, \mathrm{p}, 172$.

[^9]:    
    
    
     Semanars.
    
    
    
    
    

[^10]:    - Conditions of living in the metropolitan aree do not encourage large facoilies with numbers of young ohildren. The number of persons per femily smong the white group cooperating in the investigation avaraged silghty more than three and a half (3.85). Of the 3,23 indiflunis fncluded in the 897 familien for the entirs year covered by the schedules, 17.9 percent were under 12 years old, and 27.7 percent were under 18 years old. Bixty-two infants were born to the tamiliee during the year.
    The 1950 oensus showed the median sire of whtt familles of two persons or more in New Yort to be 3.88 persons as compared with 4.0 parsons for alties in the entire country. The proportion of the total white population in New Yort Ctty under 12 years of age in 1830 was 18.3 parcent, and that under 18 years of ago, 28.1 perceant. For all urban arees in the United Statee the proportions were 19.2 percent and 20.7 percont. respectively.

    In 423 famelies covered by thestudy there were no children under 16 years oid; 188 of these fenilies consisted of busband and wife any; 150 of husband, wifo and sons and daughters or ather family members over 10 ysars old; and 106 were familles net incinding both busband and Fifo. This last group is made up of a
     uniting their economic resourcta, are the mont trequant.
    If the invertigation had been extended to tamilies on rollef, the sverage sixs of thmily would have bean parnowhat larger. In the period e0vered by the sobeduter secured in New Yorik City, the number of hamilite on the rellet rolls was at tits heloht in Fobruary 10S6. Figures from the recoecis of the Diviston of Sochal Research of the Works Propase Administration show the number of white families including two or mars parsons on rellef in che dity of that time to have been sig, 00 or 16 percent of the mamber of suah tamilici in Nev Yark in 19se. The evarage sime of the fimilles on the relici rolls was in persons.

[^11]:    12 See Trabuiar Bummary, tubles 3 and 20.

[^12]:    if For purposes of this study changes in assots and lisbilities are computed on the besk of changes which occurred as the resuit of the transfer of property or funds. Changes in the market yalne of real eatate or parsonal property remolning in the hands of the 集millee stadied are not fociuded in theer agures. For more detailed explanstion, see appendix A, notes on tablee 2, 4, and s.

[^13]:    ${ }^{11}$ The figures just eited bave been tomputed from the familles; own statoments aboat change in thetr sasets and Hablities and do not ropresent a balandig diftarence between reperted incomes and reported current erpenditurts. (See appendix A, pp. 17e-178.) Moet families were net able to present a statement of
     femily which could not supply a statament of total recolpta and total digbursocnenta whioh balanoed withtis
    

[^14]:    it For each of the tables showing detalls of expenditures as many seonomio levels hape been shown en thit mumber of eases and the type of dats for each particniar fable prould allow. For the purpose of the dis cusalon of ohanges in assets and liabilities, familias have bean clasgited at three levels acearding to the amonai
     Tabies 2 and 4 of the Tabular Bummary present the data in moze dstailed form.

[^15]:    If In a study carriad on by the Burean of Labor Statisties just prior to the initiation of this investigation the schedule provided for securigg informstion on the type of insuranse covered by the preminms reportad, It wes found that very frequently informants were wable to provide the information and the question was not included in the present schedule. It is, therofore, impessible to estimate how much of the amonnt psid in iffeinsurance promitums repressants savings and how much was paid for ite insurance during the schedule 포오.

[^16]:    In arder to avoid overburdening the housemives cooperating th the inveatigation, the schedale whe not planned with a view to obtaining estimates of the annual congumption of individual toodis. The seotion on the detalle of tood purchases provided for a summery of apoual food trpenditares and for the detatis of food purchases and consumption only during the welk prior to the visit of the field agent. Since the fgurge on ayerage amounts purchased and consumed wert in the great majority of ceses identical, dats an quantitias of foods purchased only are presented hare. Data on number of tamilies uaing are presented hare to give a more complete pioture. (See Tabular Summary, table 7.)

    E Eince human needs for and customsry consumption of foode of diferent types vary considerably for percons of difterant age and sex, it is imposible to compute any single measure of femily alee which will be sppropriate for comparing the consumption of specifo foods from one family to another. Childran's need for milk is approximately twitce as grest ss that of adults, while the need of hast-producing foods (starches and sugars) for adulte is abont twot st great as that of childrea. Ohildron's comstmption of meat varies from that of adults at a still cifferent rate. In ordor to secure faras on quantikies of individual fooda purchased and on expenilitures for individnal foode which would provide a reasonably satisfactory basis for comparison aud yet not present a mislesding sppearance of refnament dsta on tamily parchases of indiचidual foods have beon converted to a par caulta basla.

[^17]:    3 For the parpases of this estimate the sire of each family was messured in adequatefood-cost units besed on the United Btates Bureau of Home Economics' adoquate diet at minitmumen exet. (Ses Stiebeling, H. K., and Ward, M. M.: Diets at four levele of nutritive contant and cont. U. B. Departinant of Agricultare Ofrcular No, 200. Weshington, 1933.) Average food expenditures per adequate-ood-ast unt were also calculated for each family. These expenditures ware compared with the calculated coast of this same diet for a man at moderste wort, which was taken es a untt in the adequato-food-coet ecalo. The pricoe reod in this calculation were the aversge prisee collected by the Burenu of Labor Statisting for itg food-ocot indaxes.

[^18]:    4 For each of the tables showing detalls of expenditures os many seonomic levels hase been shown as the number of cases and the type of data for each particular table would allow. In the case of housing data, the lowest level distinguighed incirdes families spendiag under $\$ 300$ per expenditure unit; the highest includes families spending $\$ 800$ and over.
    ${ }^{\boldsymbol{4}}$ See appendix A, $\mathbf{p}$. 185 , for definition of a room as used in this Investigation.
    Table 10.-Number of persons ${ }^{1}$ per room among toape earners and lotper-salaried clerical workers at successive conomic levels

[^19]:    The number of persons on which figures in this table are based is the averape number of members of the

[^20]:    *The dimoullies involved in securing dats on site of rooms made it seem inadrisable to inchude instrustions for obtaining such measerements on the sobedule ased in the prosent invertigntions. Questions sbout Findow apace and wanlight Fire omitied tor the seme rescon.

[^21]:    - See Tabular Summary, p. 10.

[^22]:    3 Figuras from such tamilion wars inoluded in the Inveetigation only when all the expendtures for the building could be sllocated to seoh dwalling unit. Only that portion of the expenditure chargeable to the wait cocupled by the sobedoled family was treated as housing expenditure. Expenses for vaits rented to
     tereme.

[^23]:    * For each of the tables showing detalls of expenditurts as many economic levels hava bean shown as the number of cases and the type of data for each particular table would allow. In the analysls of expenditarss for furnishings and equlpment families have been classlifed at three fevals eccording to the amount spent
     Tabular gummary, table 18.)

[^24]:    F For each of the tables showing detalls of expenditures as many economit levels have been shown as the pumber of cases and the type of date for each particular sable would allow. In the anslysla of ciothing expenditurae femblites have been clastifed at three lovels secording to the amonnt spent per expenditart
     table 17.)

[^25]:    15 The dats on whleh these charts are based are shown in appendix G, taDie D, p. 221.
    if For each of the tablee showing detaits of expenditures as many economie levels have been shown as the mumber of cases and the type of data for each particular table would allow. In the cass of expenditurea for recreation, transporfation, madical care, parsanal carg, formal education, gifts and contributions to indiFiduals and to commonity weifare, and miscellaneous items, by white tamilies, the lowest hevel distinguished
     \$800 and ovar. (Bee Tabuler Bummary, kble 10.)

[^26]:    ti See footnote 11. p. 80. (See Tabular Summary, taile 13.)

[^27]:    si Set footnote 11 .9. 58. (See Tabalar Eummery, table 14.)

[^28]:    u Publications of the Commitiee on the Coast of Molioal Care: No. \%s, p. 31. University of Chicago Prass, Chiceqo, 1030.

[^29]:    a see tootnote 11, $\mathbf{p}, 56$.

[^30]:    (Heo footbote 11, p. 86. (See Tabalar Enmmary; tabla 10)

[^31]:    :3 Seo fontate 11, p. 56 . (See Tabular Summary, table 16.)

[^32]:    E Bee footnote 11, 5, 50 . (Bee Tabular Summary, table 18.)

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ Chapin, R. C.: The Standard of Living Amorg Wartingants Famlien in New Yat Cty. Now Yerk, 15en,
    In onder to make the information from the two stadies roughy comperibing the zon troomes wrete adfosted for charges in the cost ollifing between 1907 and 1set-st, and deta for the cases in the resalting fincere
     and two to four childrea in ibe IGO4-3t anoplo. In adjusting for changes in Iiving costa, the estimatet of Fani Doagias were used for the period 1507 throagh 1913, and the inder mmabers of tha Barean of Leber Etatistics for New York City for the period 1014 to 1088.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Datin for 1017－18 figures based on those publlished in Bureau of Labor Statistios＇Bulletin No．357，p．47． Dats in terms of $1834-36$ dollars ware computed from the argioal expenditure figures by means of percant－ gat changes in the cost of tomd，clothing，rent，fuel and light，furniture and furaishiagt，and misceilaneous iterns from the year of the carlier New York study．
    i For detailed dktribution of oxpenditureo，see Thabular Summary，table 2s．
    －Induder refrigeration．

[^35]:    1, e., the number of persons having had some galofal amployment in business, indastry, or domestie servise at any time during the year. (Some families included persons in domestic seryice as supplementary sarners.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Including net earnings from boarders and lodgers.
    Less business lossen not deductible from the earnings of the year covered by the schedule.

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bee pp. 58 and 200.

[^37]:    2 For purposes of this study changes in assats and liablities are computed on the bask of changre which occur as the result of the transfar of property or funds. Changes in the market value of real estate or personal property remaining in the hands of the families studied are not Included in these figures. For more detalled explanation, see appendix A, notes on tables 2, 4; and 5, pp. 179, 181, and 182.

[^38]:    - For the tablea showing detalls of housing facilites as many economie levels have been shown as the number of cases and the type of dats tor each particular table wonld ellow. In the anslysis of housing data, the Negre familise have been disstifed at three levels weording to the amount spent per expenditure unit, Es follows: Low, under $\$ 100$ intarmedinte, 100 to $\$ 600$, bigh, \$000 and over. (Sea Tabular Summary, sable 9,

[^39]:    For each of the babies showing detents of expeoditures as many economic levels bave been ainown as the namber of cases and the trype of data for eech partionilr fabte woald allow. In the manysis of expenditures
     edraction, vocation, gitts and contribations to imdividatis and to community weltare, and mizealianeors
    
     the 18)

[^40]:    

[^41]:    

[^42]:    48ee footnote 8, p. 8t. Also nes Thbilar Summary, table 15.
    See footnote S, p. 8t. Also ste Tabular Summary, table 13.

[^43]:    is Publications of the Committee on the Coste of Medical Cares No. 28, p. 21. Tniversity of Chicago Press. Chicago, 1032.
    It Soc foetnote 5, p. 84. Aisa zee Tabular Sammary, tsble 14.
    ${ }^{1}$ Bee tootnote B.p. 84. Aloo see Tabular Bummary, table 14.

[^44]:    H Gee tootnoto E, p. 84. Also see Trabalar Aummary, table 16.

[^45]:    
     2nil. No.287,1924.
    Notell entinis table are in spyandix A, D. 170.

[^46]:    L Leve than \$0.50.
    Noten an this table art in oppendix A, p. 178.

[^47]:    1 Less than $\$ 0.50$.

    - Less than 0.0 p percant.

    Notes on thle table are in appendix A, D. 182.

[^48]:    1 Less than \＄0．50．
    1 Less than 0.05 percant．
    Notes on this table aro for appondix A．p．18e，

[^49]:    6 Lees than 0.0005 pound.

[^50]:    1 The agcrepatep on which these averapes are based do not include gifts of food recelved，food produced at

[^51]:    Detalled information not presented because af amall number of camilies in this classitication.
    Notes on this table are in appendix i, $p .184$.

[^52]:    1 Leen than 0.6 cent.

[^53]:    I In other bulletins this item is repliced by "briquess" since the latter were found to be more frequentiy purchased by tamilies soheduled in other cities. In New Yort City ao briquets wert reparted sis purchased byrchased by tamilies soheduled in othe
    y the families induded in this survey, because of small nomber of fomilles in this clandication.
    Notes on this table gre in sppendir A. pp. I85-180.

[^54]:    

[^55]:    Includes only persons dependent on tomily tuads for E2 weeks.
    Infants 1 to 2 years of age sre included only It dependent on farally funds for to weeks; those under 1 yenr of age are included regardless of the number of weeks dependent on family funds.
    -Less than 0.5 cont.
    Notes on thls tabie are in appendix A, D. 188-100.

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ Or parson for whon purchased.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ Or person for whom purchased.
    T The evarage price paid for an article purchased should not be compated by dividing the "eaverage expenditure par person" by the "everage number of articles per person." Such a computation would leed to elight inecouracies in the second deolmal place, because of rounding both the dividend and diviser batore divislon.

[^58]:    In some establishments only those to be paid in the pay-roll period are listed to the administrative ofice or in the currant flas; in other establishments the sheate or the fles carry the aames of those employed at any time over a long period. The resulting arrars in sampling are not sarions, unless they are assoctated with level of wages or regulaity of employment and there seems to be no reason to assume that they are.
    ${ }^{2}$ This method of sampling has its obyious disadrantages: (I) It does not represent establahments with Iess than 10 amployees, but no list was avaliable for such establishments; (2) employees of amall establishments (but with more than 10 emplayees) overweighted in the sample in relation to these of large establishmonts. Such an overweighting seamed bettar than a method which would have reanlied in a large part of the sample of workers beling frawn from a few eatablishments having thousands of employeas. The time and fands ayailable for drawing employee names made it impessible to visit more than see employeas for this purpose. Under the circumstasces, if the method of propartional representation had been followad to Its logleal conclusion, in s city of 30,000 employars ( B number of thenn with over 2,500 employees), it wauld not have been unidely that one-quartor of the 1,00 sample amplogees would have bean drawa from one astablishment. The employess of a few large establiohments cannot be assumed to be representative of farge establishments not drawn in the employer's sample, because large establishments are far from being homogeneous in anything but size. They vary from large manulsciuring plants in the beavy industries to a factory bakery amploying a large proportion of womsn wage earners to ewtablishments with a majortiy of clerical workers.
    Ses pp. 201-294 for eliglbility requirements.

[^59]:    "A Seclo-Fconomic Grouping of the Gainfal Workers in the U. 日." Journal at Fmardean Statistionil Aseciation, yol, 28, pp. 377-357, Decembar 10 ss.

[^60]:    ${ }^{3}$ Works Progress Administration Oircular No. 2, "Oceapational Clasitiention and Code, July 1038'; Circular No, 2A, "Index of Oecnpations-Oceupational Olastification and Cods." September 1035.

[^61]:    Guests whre defned as parsons not antiroly dependent on the fanity funds who recelved boand and lodging trom the funily without money payment, but from whom it was impossibie to seoree date on fincoms and sxpenditurve.

[^62]:    iNo dita were obtained on the langth of time the forelgn-born among them had llved in the Unifed Btatss, but the tact that Immigration has been restrioted in ons way or another since 2914 makee it anilkely that many of them ware recent amivals from foroign countries.
    

[^63]:    i Besed on estmated actual diets of arban mage earnars and lowrersalaried clerical warizers prepared by the Bureau of Home Economics, U. S, Departmant of Agrictiture.

[^64]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ By unit clothlag exponditure is meant the annual amount spent for clotbing per elothint crpenditurs vilt.

[^65]:    EBy unit expenditure is mesit total amount of tamlly expenditaze per expanditure anit. The unit expendicura, which is used bs synonymous with "economio level" and with "famount spent per expanditure unit," is the sum of the following three Items: (1) Unit food expenditure, ar total family food axpenditure per food expenditure anit; (2) anit clothing expendlture, or total family chothing expondture per chething expenditure milt; and (3) per capita "othar" supenditure, or lotal family expenditure for ali items save food and elotbing: per parson in the exomomic famity for 52 rreeks.

[^66]:    ${ }^{3}$ This frure is not pabilished tn the Tebular Summary but the reader may compute it by dividiag the avarage total paroily clothing appanditure for any group by the arerage nomber of clothing expenditare units per family in that group. It is shown in taxt tabled 4, 5, 19, and 90; $\mathrm{pp} .25,28,75$, and 78 .
    The number of expenditure unite is derlved by dividiag totel thmily expenditure by total unit expendture. The computation of thistattor figury was explatiod in footwote 2, p. 283; alvosee serpple code sheet, p. 235 .

