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## ESSAY

ON

## THE RATE OF WAGES.

In the discussion now and for a long time past carried on between the political economists and the practical men, or advocates of the merciantile theory, the former have generally confined themselves to indicating what would be the result of the adoption of their views, while the latter have pointed triumphantly to experience, calling upon us to admire the prosperity that has been produced by their system, and to hesitate before abandoning one that has been the cause of such admirable results, and which has the additional recommendation of having been sanctioned by our forefathers. They call for facts, regarding them as universally confirmatory of the truth of their doctrines, and look upon their opponents as theorists, reasoning in opposition to all experience, and willing to hazard the happiness and prosperity. of nations in the endeavour to prove the correctness of visionary notions, that will not bear examination: Thus, in the inquiry now prosecuting by the French Minister of the Interior, M. Barbet, one of the wit-
nesses summoned, says, " We are exceedingly sorry that the persons who; in their writings, have attacked the existing system, have not come before the council to defend their opinions here. If instead of a system founded on probabilities and surmises, they had opposed to us facts, we could have answered them."

The object of the following essay is to furnish the faots, as called for by M. Barbet: not a few isolated facts, as has generally been done by the advocates of "things as they are," but " the truth and the whole truth," as far as it can be ascertained, in regard to the policy of some of the principal nations of the earth, and to its results, as seen in the rate of wagan, or reward of labour. As introductory thereto, it in proposed to examine what are the circumstancer which tend to determine the rate of wages. Both of these subjects have been treated by Professor Senior in his lectures delivered before the University of Oxford,* and as he is among the latest and highest authorities, I propose, in order that the reader may compare our views, and_judge between us, to give mine in the form of a review of the doctrines enurciated in those lectures.

[^0]
## CHAPTER I.

" Most men, in all ages, have sat down to the Gospel with a set of prejudices, which, like so many inquisitors, have laid the Christian religion on a bed . like that of Procrustes: and as it suited them, either mutilated it by violence, or extended it by force.". So said the learned and excellent Nlexander Knox, in a letter to Bishop Jebb, and so may be said of most writers on Political Economy. Almost all approach the subject with "a set of prejudices," and instead of patiently collecting facts, and constructing theories therefrom, the theory is first constructedthe bed of Procrustes is made-and then as many facts are taken as tend to support it, omitting all notice of those which have a contrary tendency. Had this not been the case, it would not, in our day, be in the power of a distinguished professor to characterize the doctrine of wages as "the most difficult, as well as the most important branch of political economy."*

Adam Smith asserted that the rate of wages was regulated by the proportion which the supply of labour bore to the demand; a theory which has been controverted by writers of our time, on the ground that in no case where an article can be freely produced can any permanent influence upon price be

- Lectures an Wagen p. \&
produced by excess of demand, and that any rise must cause increased production that will sink the price again to the cost. That this argument is generally correct, there can be no doubt, but in order to make it fit man, it has been necessary to distort some facts, and overlook others, which are in direct opposition to it. Had subsequent writers followed the author of the Wealth of Nations, confining themselves to an examination of the various disturbing causes, the work of man, that operate among the several nations of the earth to produce the inequalities that exist in the proportion between the supply and demand, the "difficulty" would have been obviated.

There can be no difference of opinion as to the "importance" of this subject, and its peculiar importance at this time, when there is so strong a tendency to the transfer of the reins of government from the hands of the few to those of the many. With the single exception of the United States, the privilege of making laws has heretofore been confined to certain classes, who, blinded by false views of their own interest, have generally acted as if government had been established for their peculiar benefit, and hence have arisen corn laws and monopolies of all kinds; restrictions on importations and exportations; wars, and their attendant, heavy taxation. It is not to be doubted, that many of those who promoted this system, have honestly believed that-it was for the benefit of the nation over which they' were placed; and that, with better information, they would have adopted a widely different course. Thoy might, and probably would, have discovered,
that "laissez nous faire," the reply of the French merchants to Colbert, was sound and judicious; and that all that could be desired by any people of their government, was to let them alone, and confine its attention to the security of person and property; not allowing any man to "kick the shing or pick the pocket" of his neighbour with imponity. Had they done so, the governments of Europe would be deemed blessings, instead of curses, as is now too frequently the case. It remains to be seen, whether in those in which the people have attained a higher degree of influence than they have heretofore possessed, they will do better than has been done for them in times past by their hereditary lawgivers; and whether or not it will be so, depends upon a correct understanding of their own interest:. If they can be made to see, that the course heretefre pursued has had a tendency to depress the rate of wages, and to keep the; mass of the people in a sta+n of poverty, it may be hoped that there will be a disposition to make trial of a different one, and ascertain its effects. If it can be shown that restrictions and monopolies-wars, and heary taxation-low wages, poverty, and wretched-ness-go hand in hand;-while free trade-freedom of action-peace-moderate taxation-high wages, and abundance, are all associated, there can be little doubt which will be their choice.

Heretofore, a large portion of the people of Great Britain have believed that a state of war was that in which the nation was most prosperous; and they have been content to barter the advantages of peace for the glories of Blenheim or Ramilies, Vittoria or

Waterloo: Intoxicated with glory, and deafened by shouts of victory, and the roar of cannon celebrating their triumphs, they have squandered hundreds of millions seeking that prosperity which stood at their doors waiting the return of reason. Like the drunkard, feeling after every such debauch the injurious effects of excitement, they have been disposed to attribute those effects to the absence of stimulus, and not to the stimulus itself. Thus at each return of. peace, the nation has found itself burthened with increased debt, requiring increased taxes, tending to lessen the enjoyments of the people; but those inconveniences have been attributed not to the war, but to the peace. The necessary consequence of this has been a proneness to embrace the first opportunity of recommencing hostilities, and causes the most insig. nificant-the taking of Oczakow-the scizure of the Falkland Islands-or the denial of the right to cur logwood in the Bay of Honduras-have been sufficient to set the nation in a flame. When, at length, the French Revolution occurred, it was gladly seized upon as affording an opportunity to interfere in the afficrs of the continent, in accordance with the system that has prevailed since the accession of the House of Orange, and the war then commenced was persevered in, until its close found the nation in a state of prostration, and the people by whom it was most desired, reduced to the alms-house.
"Ships, colonies, and commerce," was the cry of Napoleon, echoed by the British ministry, and gladly re-choed by the people, always accustomed to associnte the idea of prosperity with that of extended do-
minion. During the whole of the last century, this erroneous association led the nation to do that which each member of it would have deemed madness in an individual, becaupe it was supposed that the rules: which should govern the actions of individuals, could not be applied to those of nations. Had they seen a man wasting his means and incurring heavy debts in the prosecution of hazardous enterprises, the benefit of which was doubtful, even should they succeed to the full extent of his anticipations, while his farm was untilled, or his business neglected; they would have said that he must become bankrupt, and his credit would have been destroyed. Yet the people whowould argue thus in regard to an individual, neglected the means of prosperity within their grasp, seeking to increase their store at the expense of their neighbours; and the addition of a new colony, although, like Gibraltar, Malta, or St. Helena, productive only of cost, was deemed sufficient to entitle the minister to the gratitude of the nation. Every acquisition was accompanied by an increase of debt and consequent increase of taxation, tending to prevent the proper cultivation of the farm at home, until at length it was found necessary to apply the same system to this country, the attempt at which lost her these immense possessions, and added greatly to her embarrassments. Had she been content prior to the war of 1756 to cultivate her own resources, she would never have experienced the want which led to that attempt. It is true she might not have added Canada to her already extended dominion, but she might have retained these provinces, perhaps even
to the present time; or, when they had become too strong to be longer held as colonies, the separation might, and probably would, have been a peaceable one, each party governing itself, but remaining oné for all purposes of commerce. The battle of Plassy substituted dominion in the east for that which she lost in the west, but what has it added to her prosperity? Nothing! It bas enabled many men to bring home large fortunes, acquired at the cost of the cries, and groans, and ceurses, of the unfortunate Hindoos, plundered by order of a Clive or a Hastings; but to the substantial comforts of the mass of the people, it has added nothing in any shape, while it has withdrawn from them immense sums for the support of an odious and oppressive monopoly. Subsequently, the wars of the French revolution made large additions to the possessions of the nation, on the one hand, and corresponding additions to its embarrassments, on the other: increasing the care and anxiety of the governors, and preventing that improvement in the condition of the governed that would otherwise have taken place.

Had the laws which govern the rate of wages, and the effect of the various disturbing causes which prevent the action of those laws, been properly understood, wars could never have been popular. Mr. $M^{\prime}$ Culloch says, with great truth, that "the labourers are masters of their own fortunes, and that there is little reason to hope for any great improvement until they shall be made to understand correctly the laws which govern the rate of wages, and the fact that it rests with them to determine what that rate
hall be." Had they understood them, they would have seen that a state of peace was that in which they must prosper most, and would have been indisposed to join in a pursuit that might bring them "glory," but that would inevitably deprive them of a part of their bread and meat. Had they been untderstood by those who are in "high places," and upon whom rested the cares of government, they would have seen that the prosperity and happiness of the people, in which would consist their own true glory, were not to be promoted by empty triumphs, nor by the addition of barren islands to their already extensive possessions. They would have seen that peace alqne could do it, and had they done so, they might perhaps have retained the same intimate connexion with this country that once existed, with an intercourse unfettered by corn laws on the one side, or the system of minimums on the other; and the people of Great Britain, instead of groaning under the pressure of taxation, for the support of the government, the land owners, paupers, and monopolists, might now be the happiest and freest from taxation of any nation in the world. It is, indeed, impossible to imagine the height of prosperity which she might have attained, had she kept aloof from the intrigues and contentions of the Continent during the last century; as she might well have done; and had the thousands of millions expended in paying men for carrying muskets, been left in the hands of their owners to be applied as they might judge most likely to conduce to their comfort and advantage. Unfortunately, however, the triumphs of peace are little
valued, and Sir Robert Walpole, who could mantrin a peace for twenty years, is fittle thought of when compared with the elder Pitt, whose first wish was for extended territory, and who is best known for carrying the nation triumphantly through a war; and the names of Alexander and of Cæsar are familiar to thousands who never heard of Antoninus, or of Marcus Aurelius.*

Had this súbject been properly understood, we should long since have seen the end of protective tariffs; but as nothing can be more evident to the unenlightened than the advantage to be derived from making their neighbours pay them high prices, so nothing is more easy than to excite popular feeling in favour of a system of protection; and the same man who would deem absurd such a system in his own family, would advocate its adoption by the large family, termed a nation; as if those principles of trade which were true with regard to ten or twenty persons, could be untrue when applied to twenty thousand or two hundred thousand. It is a disgrace to our age to see two such nations as those of Great Britain and France each hedging round its commerce by restrictions that limit their exchanges to a million or two of pounds per annum; thus doing all in their power to frustrate the beneficent designs of the Deity, who, in giving to different parts of the earth different powers of production, paved the way for that inter-

[^1]course which is most beneficial to mankind. "Commerce," says Mr. MCulloch, "is the grand engine by which the blessings of civilization are diffused, and the treasures of knowledge and of science corveyed to the remotest corner of the habitable globe; while by making the inhabitants of each country dopendent on the assistance of those of others, for a large share of their comforts and enjoyments, it forms a principle of union, and binds together the universal society of nations by the common and peaceful ties of mutual interest and reciprocal obligation."

In another point of view, it is highly desirable that it should be understood. Wages and profits have been represented by many political economists as natural antagonists, the Ormuzd and Ahriman of political economy, one of which could rise only at the expense of the other. Such has been the belief of the great mass of the people who receive wages, which belief has given rise to trades' unions, vo numerous in England, and obtaining in the United States; as well as to the cry of the poor against the rich. A large portion of those who pay, as well as those who receive wages, believe that the rate is altogether arbitrary, and that changes may be made at will. To this belief we are indebted for the numerous "strikes," or "turns out" we have seen, the only effect of which has been loss to both employers and workmen.* Had the journeymen tailors of Lon-

[^2]don understood the laws by which the distribution of the proceeds between the workman and the capitalist is regulated, they would have saved themselves and their employers the enormous loss that has arisen out of their recent combination, and would have retained their situations instead of seeing themselves pushed from their stools by the influx of Germans, who seized gladly upon the places vacated by their English fellow workmen. Believing, as they do, that their wages are depressed for the benefit of their employers, they believe also that those employers are bound to give them a portion of their profits in the advance of wages, when, in fact, the employers are also sufferers by the same causes which produce the depression, and are unable to advance them, however willing they may be. If the real causes of the depression were understood, instead of combining against their employers, they would unite with them to free their country from those restrictions and interferences which produce the effect of which they complain, and would thus secure permanent advantage, instead of a temporary advance of wages, which is all that can be hoped for from combination, even if successfuh, which is rarely the case. Fortunately, in the United States there have been fewer interferences, and there

[^3]is therefore less to alter, than in any other country; and if the workmen and labourers could be made to understand the subject, they would see that the division between themselves and the capitalist, or the rate of wages, is regulated by a law immutable as are those which govern the motion of the Heavenly bodies; that attempts at legislative interference can produce only disadvantageous effects; and, that the only mode of increasing wages is by rendering labour more productive, which can only be accomplished byallowing every man to employ his capital and talent in the way which he deems most advantageous to himself. They would see that all attempts on the part of the capitalist, to reduce wages below the natural rate, as well as all on their part to raise it above that rate, must fail, as any such reduction must be attended with an umusual rate of profit to the employer, which must, in its turn, beget competition among the possessors of capital, and raise the rate of wages; while such elevation in any employment must reduce the rate of profit so far as to drive capital therefrom, and reduce wages again to the proper standard.

They should see in the fact that the great majority of the master workmen have risen by their own exertions to the situations they at present occupy, abundant evidence that nothing is wanting to them but industry and economy. They should desire nothing but freedom of action for themselves, and that security both of person and property which prompts the capitalist to investment; and so far should they be from entertaining feelings of jealousy towards those who, by industry and economy, succeed in making
themselves independent, that they should see with pleasure the increase of capital, certain that such increase must produce new demands for their labour, accompanied by increased comfort and enjoyment for them. With such a system the population of this country might increase still more rapidly than it has done; the influx of people from abroad might be triple or quadruple what it has been, and each successive year find the comforts of the labouring population in a regular course of increase, as the same causes which drive the labourers of Europe here, to seek that employment and support denied them at home, impel the capitalist to seek here a market for his capital, at the higher rate of interest which our system enables us to pay him with profit to ourselves. The great influx of foreign labour at the present time has caused some uneasiness, but without good reason. The capitalist should bear in mind that if the stupply of labour did not keep pace with the growth of capital, the profits of the latter would be diminished; and the labouring classes should recollect that if the labourers remained at home the capitil would probably remain with them, and that, at all events, every man who, by his arrival in this country, increases the number of producers, and of competitors for employment, also increases the number of consumers or employers. Such people consume nearly, if not quite the whole amount of their wages, and are therefore employers to nearly the same extent that they are competitors. This remark applies with equal force to the opposite side of the Atlantic, from which vast
numbers of consumers are sent off in the hope of lessening the number of producers.

Had these laws been understood by the opposers of the tariff at the south, Mr. M'Duffie and his friends would not have asserted that "the tariff system had raised the price of labour, in the free states, to fifty cents per day, while it had forced it down in the planting states to twelve and a half cents per day." Had they endeavoured to prove to the people of the north, that all were equal sufferers by the system, they might have been listened to much more readily, although, by such an argument, they would not have produced so much effect upon the people of the southern states. It was, probably, this erroneous idea that produced the lamentably inconsistent course of the most active friends of free trade in promoting the passage of the gold bill, for the purpose of granting a bounty upon a southern product. It may be hoped that the result of this departure from principle will prevent any such conduct in future. The friends of free trade should never forget the admirable advice of Burke: "We ought to be bottomed enough in prinple, not to be carried away upon the first prospect of any sinister advantage. For depend upon it, that if we once give way to a sinister dealing, we shall teach others the same, and we shall be overcome and overborne."

In opposition to the doctrine of Adam Smith, that "domand and supply govern the rate of labour, and that where capital increased most rapidly the increased demand for labour would lower the rate of profits, by which the labourer would be benefited,"

Mr. M'Culloch says, that no competition among capitalists can lessen the rate of profit, except temporarily, because, the rate of wages can never exceed the necessary rate, [or, according to M. Say, "the limit of strict necessity"] which is that which will enable the labourer to purchase food and clothing; and that if they should chance at any time to exceed it, there would be an increase of population sufficient to reduce them again. He says," the cost of production will always regulate price, and if price go beyond cost, the production will be increased.". At the same time he tells us that the food and clothing of a labourer are now vastly greater than they were three centuries since; that is, that the cost of a labourer is now greater than it was. We know that there has been a steady increase in the comforts of the labouring classes for centuries; that it is still going on, and that their increased price has not increased production sufficiently to check the advance, nor does it appear likely so to do. In every tolerably well governed state there has been a steady increase of price and augmentation of comfort, causing increased production, and yet that increase"of production is attended with an equally steady improvement of condition.

Following out the doctrine that the labourer receives only necessary wages, and that, in the event of any circumstance causing a fall below that rate, there will be a reduction in the supply of labour, which will cause wages to advance, Mr. M'Culloch asserts, that all taxes on wages, or upon articles used by the labourer, must be paid out of profits, and that as wages must rise with any increase of taxation,
profits must fall. If this be correct, it is of little importance to the labourer what is the extent of taxation. Receiving only necessary wages, i. e. sufficient to support life, they cannot be reduced; and all taxes being paid by his employer, what interest can he have in the good government of the nation? The experience of England has shown, however, that such taxation is not accompanied by a fall of profits, as within the last century, in which taxation has been so much increased, the rate of interest has advanced, and is now considerably higher than it was in the early part of the 18 th century. During the reign of George I., interest frequently did not exceed 3 per cent. In 1731-2, the bank furnished money to the government at 3 per cent. In June 1739, the 3 per cents. were as high as 107. In 1743, a period of war, they were at 97. In 1744, a loan was contracted at 4 t. After the close of the war in 1749, the interest on the. public debt was reduced to 3 per cent, after 1757, with a condition, that the creditors should receive 4 per cent. for cne year, and 31 per cent. thereafter until 1757. In 1757, after the nation had been two years engaged in an expensive war, the rate was very little more than 3 per cent. Since 1815, the 3 per cents. have fluctuated from $56 \frac{1}{8}$ to $93 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent. From a statement furnished to Parliament by the Bank of England, of the half yearly prices of stocks, it appears that from August 1815 to February 1832, a period of 17 years, the prices were-

Once between 50 and 60 per cent. 5 times ". 60 and 70 per cent. 12 times * 70 and 80 per cent,

> 11 times between 80 and 90 per cent. 5 times " 90 and 93 per cent.

From August 1825 to February 1832, the higheast price was $91 k_{\text {, }}$ and the lowest 767, and the average of the fourteen half yearly returns 86. In France, prior to the war of 1756, East India stock commanded so high a price as to yield little over 4 per cent interest In Hindostan, where taxation is high, it produces no increase of wages, while the moderation of it, in the United States, does not depress them.

Mr. MCulloch says, that the great object of goverament should be to secure to its people high profits, which would enable them to increase their capital most rapidly; but according to his doctrine, and that of M. Say, who styles them "conflicting interests," high profits must be accompanied by low wages. It is difficult to determine precisely what is meant, when he speaks of wages, and what by profits, and, after a careful perusal of what he has written upon this subject, I am uncertain whether he considers the man who cultivates a dozen acres of flax as receiving profits, or if he would confine that term to the capitalist who makes it into linen.

The great mass of the agriculturists of this country are small capitalists, as are those of France, Italy, and Germany, paying rent, and finding their own implements. The shoemaker, the tailor, the engraver, and the engineer, have a capital in that quantity of previous labour, which enables them to obtain higher wages than a common labourer. There are daily examples of the fact, that skill in any department of buriness is deemed equivalent to capital, in the part-
nerships that are formed, where one party furnishes the moneyed capital, and the other the skill to manage. it. A large portion of the classes mentioned obtain, in their double capacity of labourer and capitalist, moderate wages, as I would term their compensation; but I do not know whether Mr. M'Culloch would consider them as living on wages or profits.

The moneyed capitalist of India receives enormous profits, while the smaller capitalist, who cultivates a few acres, has barely sufficient to support a miserable existence. There, capital does not increase. In England, Holland, and the United States, capital is furnished at a much lower rate of interest, by the large capitalists, but the smaller one, such as we have mentioned above, who, perhaps earns only tolerable wages, is much better paid, and there capital does increase, as is evidenced by a rapid increase of population, accompanied by improved means of living. It would be necessary, in order to make the theory of Mr. M'Culloch correct, to consider all those great classes of which we have spoken, capitalists, living by profits; but, if so, the class living by wages is a comparatively small one. I should be disposed to consider them as living by wages, and to say that, where wages are highest, there capital increases most rapidly. The most rapid increase of capital is in the United States and Great Britain, where wages are highest. This is entirely in opposition to the doctrines of Messrs. Say, Malthus, Ricardo, and M'Culloch, as, if wages did not exceed "the limit of strict necessity," there could be no accumulation from that source, and as, according to them, where wages are
high profits must be low, there could be little expectation from them.

Mr. M'Culloch asserts, that real wages, (by which he means proportional wages,) have fallen in Great Britain within the last fifty years, while the tables in his own Commercial Dictionary show that real wages, or the quantity and quality of commodities attainable by the labourer, have steadily increased. That increase has been small in food, because of the corn laws, but it has been very great in almost all other articles of consumption.* No better evidence need be desired of the improvement that has taken place in the situation of the labouring classes generally, than the fact that the Savings Banks of England have a capital exceeding fifteen millions, $\dagger$ and those of France, $\ddagger$ instituted more recently, a capital

[^4]of four millions, of pounds sterling, the accumulation of those classes. It is a very general impression, that the condition of the labouring classes of England had deteriorated since the close of the war, but the Agricultural Committee of the House of Commons, of which Mr. Jacob was chairman, state in their report of August 2d, 1833, that, "It appears, that in all parts of the country-in the most distressed as well as the most prosperous-the condition of the labourer is in no instance worse than it was five or ten years ago, and that in most cases their condition is greatly improved. The wages of labourers, the witnesses state, have not been reduced in proportion to the reduction in the price of the necessaries of life, and in many parts of the country no reduction whatever has taken place in their money wages since the war. This state of things is the more extraordinary, as the superabundance of labour is represented to be greater than ever, and the number who are out of employ, and who are provided for by the poor's rate, is very considerably increased." The improvement in the situation of the people of England would be immense, had she not wasted her energies in the prosecution of wars of the most expensive and ruinous character, the natural consequence of which has been, ezormous taxation, which absorbs a large portion of her increased production. Her corn laws,

Romin, Procis, and Germany, the change for the better in enea more atriking than in France; and while the numbers of the people are increusing, their comforta and enjoyments are increasing atill more rupidly,"-EA. Reo, Vol. 56, p. 65,
too, the object of which is to raise the price of that most important article of consumption, prevent the improvement that might, even under the pressure of her great taxation, take place. In the Unfted Strites, where taxation is small, and trade comparatively free, a much more fair comparison may be nada, and it is the only country in which it. can to dome with any thing like an approach to correctness. The reward of the labourer is vastly greater at this time. when there are fifteen millions of peopla; than $\mathbb{R}$ was forty years since, when there were only four millions; and although the increased priee has. produced in creased production, as well as large inaportations of labour, there has been a constant augmentation of the means of living. Agricultural labour has not varied materially in these forty years in its money price, but the variation that has taken place has been in its favour, the wages of men having been very ateadily about nine dollars per month and their board, but higher wages are now not very unusual The wages of house servants and of females have greatly advanced, being nearly double vihat thiay were forty years since. The expenditure of all theser clasees being confined almost altogether to the purchase of clothing. in which there has been a prodigious redustion of price, it follows that the incruase of comforts within their reach must have been very great. From the year 1783 to 1790, the wages of carpenters and bricklayers were from 621 to 75 Cents per day: at present the wages of carpenters are from $\$ 1.121$ to $\$ 125$ and of bricklayers from $\$ 1$ iv to $\$ 150$ per day. During that time the price of wheat has experienced
great variations, but the average of ten years from 1784 to 1793 inclusive, and 1824 to 1833 also inclusive, both periods of peace, is rather lower in the latter period than in the former. The following list of prices is made on an average of January, July, and December of each year.

| 1784, | $8626)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1785, | 582 |  |
| 1786, | 556 |  |
| 1787, | 556 | general |
| 1788, | 489 | general |
| 1789, | 507 | \$557 |
| 1790, | 591 |  |
| 1791, | 542 |  |
| 1792, | 497 |  |
| 1793, | 626 |  |
| 1824, | 500 |  |
| 1825, | 484 |  |
| 1828, | 492 |  |
| 1827, | 517 | general |
| 1828, | 567 | general |
| 1820, | $-625$ | \$5 88 |
| 1830, | 491 |  |
| 1831, | 541 |  |
| 1832, | 567 |  |
| 1833. | 534 |  |

From this it will be seen that the quantity of wheat that can be obtained by the mason and carpenter is now double what could be obtained fifty years since. In manufactured articles, the increase is vastly greater. A change to the same extent would have taken place in England, but for restrictions and heary taxation; yet Mr. MCulloch insists, not only that wages have fallen, but that they must fall. Mr. Senior
says, very justly, in regard to this theory, "Since the publication of Mr. Ricardo's work, it has been received as an axiom among the dabblers in Political Economy, that according to the established doctrines of the science, high wages and high profits are incompatible, and therefore that either the leading doctrines of political economy are false, or the interest of the labourer and capitalist are directly opposed to each other. The former opinion has been embraced by the large class, who do not attend to what they read, and the latter by the still larger class, who do not attend to what they see."

- Lectures on Wagea, p. 1


## CHAPTER II.

The proposition with which Mr. Senior commences his Lectures on Wages, and which it is the object of them to establish, is one that is entitled to unqualified assent. It is that " the rate of wages (i. e. the quantity and quality of commodities obtainable by the labouer and his family) depends on the extent of the fund for the maintenance of labourers, compared with the number of labourers to be maintained." Of this proposition, he says, "it is so nearly selfevident, that it may appear scarcely to deserve a formal statement, still less to be dwelt on as if it were a discovery. It is true that it is obvious and trite, but perhaps on that very account its obvious consequences have been neglected."

Selfevident as it appoars, it may not be amiss to pause for a moment to illustrate it. It will be evident, that if any given community now producing $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ bushels of wheat, shall increase the quantity to 120,000, without an increase of number, the quantity for each, an equal division being made, will be increased one-fifth. It will be equally evident, that if at the end of a certain period, they shall, by any improvement in cultivation, have doubled their product, while their numbers have only increased fifty per cent, the share of each will be increased one-third.

As, however, the arrangements which take place
in society are often of a much more complicated character, and render it necessary to make a division between the farmer who owns, and the labourer who tills the soil, it will be proper to examine how that is regulated, and what determines the extent of the fund for the support of the labourer. In a prosperous community like that above mentioned, there is a constant increase of capital, and as every owner desires to receive compensation for the use of his portion, each will seek some mode of employing it. One will purchase new lands, while another will turn his attention to the further improvement of those he already possesses, and both will require additional labour, the former desiring to rent his lands to some one who will pay him rent, and the latter wishing to pay wages to some one to work for him. Both parties will be in the labour market as competitors for the new population, who will have thus the opportunity of becoming either farmers or labourers, and unless thase who want them in the latter capacily will give a fair share of the proceeds of their labour, they will prefer the former. Such is exactly the case in the United States, and it is as much so in the mechanic arts as in agriculture.

If capital increased much more rapidly than population, labour would rise, and the share of the capitalist would fall. If it fell below the rate obtainable elsewhere, so much would be sent abroad as would bring it again to a level. If no such means could be found of investing it, the share of the capitalist would continue to fall until some means should be found to supply the place of the latourer, or of rendering his
labour more productive. Such we have seen to be the case in England, where interest had fallen in the early part of the last century, considerably below its present rate, and might still have remained so, had not the spinning-jenny and the steam engine unbstituted capital for labour, and by increasing the produce of labour, had the same effect as multiplying the labourers. Since those changes capital has increased most rapidly, but with it there have been new improvements of a similar kind, as the power loom, the steam-vessel, the rail-road, and the locomotive engine, by which it has been made productive, increasing the demand for, and consequent price of, capital, and at the same time reducing the cost of all articles of consumption to the labourer, by which the zame effect has been produced as if his money wages had been increased, and the prices of commodities had remained stationary. Had these improvements not taken place, the rate of interest would now be low, but although the proportion of product assigned to the labourer would be greater, the amount would be by no means so great, on account of the unproductiveness of capital. It has been already shown that although the money price of agricultural labour has not changed materially in this country within forty years, the share of the labourer of all the articles of consumption has greatly increased, and the general fund of commodities assigned for the support of the whole body of labourers must have increased in the same ratio, all of which has arisen out of the fact that with the aid of capital buman labour has been rendered so very productive.

The more advantageously the capital and labour of a country are applied, the greater must be the amount of production, and the more rapid must be the increase of capital. If it advance more rapidly than population, the demand for labour will always be such as to secure to the labourer nearly as large a share of the proceeds of it as if he worked on his own account; because, if he could obtain more by doing so, he would not fail to embrace the first opportunity. The division of produce is therefore regulated by the supply of labour in the market; and the quantity and quality of commodities assigned to the use of the whole body of labourers, will depend upon the relation which exists between the demand and the supply.

Mr. Senior cautions his readers that there are various "popular errors" with which he deems this dootrine to be inconsistent, and which are-

* Firch Itis inconsistent with the doctrine, that the rete of wese depende an-the preportion which the number of labourers bearn to the amount of capital in a country. The word capital has been ued in to many senses, that it is difficult to witate this doctrine precisely; but I know of no definition of that term which will not inelode many things that are not used by the labouring elanees; and if my proponition be correct, no increase or diminution of chese thinge ean directly affeot wagen. If a foreign merchant were to como to witile in thin country, and bring with him a cargo of raw and manufrotured ailt, hace, and diamonds, that cargo would increase the cupital of the country; aills, fice, and diamonde, would become more ebondent, and the anjoymente of thoee who nae them would be inareased; but the anjoymente, of the labourere would not be dinectly increened: indirectly, and canvequentially, they might be increased, Tho ailk might bo reapported in a manufectured ctaten and commos
ditien for the use of labonrars imported in return; and then, and not till then, wages would rise; but that rise would be occasioned, not by the first addition to the capital of the country, which was made in the form of ailk, but by the substituted addition made in the form of commodities used by the labouner."

In another place the investment in diamonds is given as a reason why wages are not in the proportion of revenue to population. Such doctrines, as well as that advanced in regard to absenteeism, which will be considered hereafter, and that of M. Say in regard to capital employed in foreign trade, have a tendency to give a character of empiricism to the science, and it is to be regretted that teachers whose doctrines are so generally correct, should sanction with their names others so erroneous.

If a land-holder employ a hundred labourers in producing corn, and ten in working a diamond mine, of what importance is it to the labourer whether his employer retain his profit in diamonds or corn? Or if the whole be employed in producing corn, and he export part of the produce, and receive diamonds in exchange, how can it affect the wages of the labourer! Suppose, instead of giving his corn for diamonds, he were to give it in exchange for a library, would the situation of the labourer be benefited? Or, suppose he employed only one hundred of them in agriculture, and ten in building himself a new house, would they benefit by this mode of investment? The extent of the fund assigned to the whole body of labourers, must depend upon the amount of production, and the proportion which exists between the supply of labour, and the demand for it, and it is totally unimportant to them whether that production be in the
form of corn and potatoes, or diamonds and gold, and whether the landlord retain his share in the first or the last. It is entirely unimportant whether he retain his diamonds, or invest the proceeds of them in renlarging his house. In the former case he would gratify his love of display, while in the latter his sole object might be to increase the comfort of his family. In all nations, and in all stages of society, a portion of revenue will be applied to the increase of convenience or ornament. The Indian and the slave have as much love of display as is found in Grosvenor Square, or the Chaussée d'Antin. The cottager will give a portion of his time or his money towards ornamenting or extending his cottage, or, grown richer, will replace it by a new house, of brick or stone; the mechanic will purchase carpets and mirrors; the merchant his carriage, and his tady her diamonds; every man will have his watch, and those who have been accustomed to have them of silver, will replace them by others of gold, and in all these cases the object may be the same; yet Mr. Senior would hardly be disposed to gay that ithe price of labour was not in proportion to capital, because a part of the increase was appropriated to replacing wooden houses by others of brick or stonal

There is no objection arising out of the investment of a portion of the capital or revenue of a nation in diamonds, that does not lie equally against its employment in the construction of houses of four stories, where those of two would as well answer the purpose of their occupants, or against the formation of libraries or museums, or the use of mahogany for furniture
in place of cedar or pine; or, in fact, against every species of expenditure above that of the log-house, and the blanket necessary for preservation against the inclemency of winter.

The chief, if not the only, cause of error that would exist in estmating wages to be in therratio of capital to population, is that which arises out of governmental interferences; and if two countries equally free from them, but differing in capital, could be found, wages would be found to differ in the same ratio. In England, the nominal rate of wages, when the labourer subsists himself, does not differ very materially from that of the United States; but after the division between him and the capitalist has taken place,a large amount is withdrawn for the, service of the state, another for the support of the land owner, a third for that of the East India Company, and a fourth for that of the West India planters in their competition with the growers of sugar in the East Indies, all of which tens greatly to reduce the quantity of commodities that falls to his share, and it follows that the general fund for the support of the labourers is very much reduced. In the United States, where the ratio of capital, land incloded, to population, is, perhaps, smaller than in England, the "fund" is much larger; because, after the division has taken place, a comparatively small portion is claimed for state and other purposes, and the labouren has of course, a larger sum to invest in the purchase of commodities.

## CHAPTER III.

Tere second error to which Mr. Senior deems his doctrine to be opposed, is, "that wages depend on the proportion borne by the number of labourers to the revenue of the society of which they are memberk:" his reasoning in regard to which is as follows:-
usecondly. It is inconsistent with the doctrine, that wages dopend on the proportion borne by the number of laboarers to the reeenue of the society of which they are membern. In the examplo lant suggtested of the introduction of a now aupply of lacea or dismondes, the recenves of thowe who use lece or dismonds would beimcreased; but as wages are not apent on thove articlea, akey would ramain unaltered. It is possible, indeed, to state cases in which the mavenue of a large portion of a community might be increased, and yet the wages of the labourers might fall, without an increase of thair numbers. I will ruppose the principal trade of Ireland to bo the raining produce for the Einglish market; and that for every two husdred acrest ten families were employed in raining, oo half the hand, thair own cubaistence, and on the remainder corn and other expart shle sopa requiring equal labour. Under such circomstanctes, if e demand ahould arise in the English market for , ©tetio, butchers'moat, and wool, instead of corn, it would be tho iverenert of the Iriak inndlorde and farmers to convert their eatatee from arable into pasture. Inatead of ten familien for every two hundred ncres, two might be rafficient: ane to raive the subsistence of the two, and the other to tend the caltle and aheep. The revenue of the landlorde and tha furmarn would be increasod, but a large portion of the labourers would be thrown out of employment; a large portion of the lend furmorly employed in producing commoditien for their uso woold be dovcted to the production of commodities for the use of England; and the fund for the maintenance of Irish lebour would fill, nowith


Having already, ( $p$, 33,) considered the objection arising out of the investment of a portion of the revenue of a nation in diamonds, I shall here notice only the case of the Irish labourers, which is entirely in oppesition to his own views, expressed in relation to machinery. He says no improvement in that can depress the general rate of wages, and as the land is only a machine, it follows, that if the owher can find a mode of using it, that will render it doubly productive, he is in the same situation with a man who doubles or trebles the product of labour by an ithprovement in the power-loom, or any other machine. By the saving which he makes in this case, he is enabled to increase his capital, and afford an equal, if not an increased, amount of employment, although not perhaps to the very same persons whom this improvement deprives of wages. What the labourer has to complain of, is the want of capital. If capital in land were abundant, he would transfer his labour to some other part of Ireland, or if he had a little moneyed capital, the result of his savings, he might transfer himself to Canada; but as land cannot be had in one place, and he has not what would enable him to seek it in another, he is deprived of employment. In the United States no injurious effect would be produced by a determination of the whole people of Pennsylvania to abstain from tillage, and devote themselves to grazing, as the labourer would speedily remove to Ohio, Indiana, or Missouri.

Great Britain possesses abundant capital in land, but her people are too much impoverished by taxation to be abla to seek it, and when they are not 0 ,
they know that they cannot be permitted to exchange their corn for hardware or cotton goods, except on payment of a heavy duty in addition to freight and other expenses, because the land owners do not deem it for their interest to permit such exchange. The following remarks by Mr. Senior, in relation to machinery, will be found to apply with equal force to the case he has above supposed:-
"Natare has decreed that the road to good ahall be through evil --that no improvement dhall tako place in which the general advantage mhall not be accompanied by partial auffering. The obvions remedy is to rernove those whowe labour has ceased to be profitable, to a country that will afford room for their exertions. Few inventions, during the present centory, have conferred greater benefits on the labouring classes than that of the power-loom. By diminishing the expense of clothing, it has boan a cource, not merely of comfort, but of health and longevity. But its proximate effect whes to apread ruin among the hand-weavera; to reduce almoat all of them to a mare subaistence, and many to the most abject want. Ever since Ita introduction, thousanain have been pining away under misary, not alloviated even by hope; 'with no rational expectation, but that the ensuing year would be mare oalamitous than the paseing one: and this without fault, and even without improvidence."

The true causes why wages are not in the proportion of revenue to population, are, first, diferences in the ratio of capital to population; second, in the extent of taxation for the support of government and for other purposes; and, third, in the mode of assessing the contributions for those purposes.

In a country in which the ratio of capital to population is large, the demand for labour ensures to the workmen a full share of the produce of his labour, as is the case in the United States, but in one in which it is small, there is little damand for labour, and
the competition for the use of landed or other capital being great, its price is enhanced, and the capitalist is enabled to obvin an undue proportion of the produce, as in Ireland.

In two countries alike in the proportions which capital and revenue bore to population, which could not be the case, unless there was adso equalityrin the security of person and property, as well as of freedom of trade and of action, there would be an equality of wages; unless prevented by difference jn the government expenditure. The revenue at any given time being equal, if one should from that time forward expend ten millions, and the other one hundred millions, the portion which the labourers would be obliged to contribute would form a deduction from the quantity of commodities obtainable by them, and render wages unequal. If one nation remained at peace with an army of six thousand men, and the other went to war, and employed half a million of men in carrying muskets, the production or revenue would be lessened, and the share of each than reduced.
During the whole time that this sate of things continued, there would be a constantly increasing difference in the ratio of capital to population in the two nations, with a constantly increasing difference of wages, and at the expiration of half a century it would be difficult to imagine that there had been at any time an equality of condition between them. -

Unproductive expenditure of any kina, has the same effect; but that of government usually so far exceeds that of all others as to attract exclusive con-
sideration. If economy in government be advantageous, that of individuals is also in a very high degree promotive of the increase of capital, and of the improvement of the condition of the labouring classes. If in one country the labourers expend their surplus in gin, and the land-holders in the support of a numerous train of servants, while in another, the one class is prudent and sober, and the other moderate in expenditure, the difference will soon be perceived in the greater rapidity with which capital will grow, and with it the competition in the market of labour.

The third cause of difference mentioned above, is the mode of assessing contributions for the support of government and for other purposes. While taxes are chiefly on consumption, governments will select such objects as are extensively consumed, and will afford large revenue. An examination of the revenue systems of the different countries of Europe and America, will show that the chief part of the revenue is collected upon articles chiefly used by the labouring classes, while those which are used exclusively by the wealthy are almost untaxed, on account of the small amount they would yield, and the greater liability to fraid in the importation of the finer articles. All taxes and impositions of whatsoever kind being paid by the consumer, it follows that the labouring classes bear an undue proportion of the public burthen. Such is the case under all governments, but less so in the United States than in England, and less in the Netherlands than in France.

## CHAPTER IV.

Text third error to which Mr. S. adverts, is, "that the non-residence of landlords, fizaded proprietors, mortgagees, and other unproductive consumers, can be detrimental to a country that does not export raw produce." He admits its disadvantage in relation to a country like Ireland, which exports corn, but denies the injurious effect upon England, because she exports only manufactures. This distinction being original, his views are given in his own words:-
" Thirdly. It is inconsistent with the prevalent opinion, that the non-residence of landlords, fanded proprietors, mortgageem and other anproductive contumera, can be detrimental to the labouring inhabitants of a country that does not export raso producs.

4 In a country which exports rew produce, wages may be lowered by tuch non-residence. If an Irish landlord residea on hit entate, he requires the eervices of certain persons, who must aleo be resident thare, to minister to his daily wants. He muat have marvanta, gardeners, and perhape gamekeepers. If he build a honea, he muat employ reaident masons and carpenters; part of his furniture he may import, but the greater part of it mast be made in his neighbourhood; a portion of his land, or what comee to the aame thing, a portion of tio rent, must be employed in prodincing food, elothing, and sheltor for all these persons, and for thome who prodace that food, clothing, and shelter. If he were to ramove to England, all these wante would be aupplied by Engliahmon. Tis land and capital which was farmerly employed in providing the maintenance of Irish labourers, would be employed in producing corn and cattle to be exported to Fingland to provide the anbaistence of Finglich labourern. The whola quantity of commoditien approgriated to the weo of Irich labourern would be diminiahed, and thet
appropriated to the ree of Englinh labourers increased, and wagew would, consequently, rise in England, and fall in Ireland.
"It in true that these effects would not be co-extensive with the lendlord's income. While, in Ireland, he must have consumed many fareign commoditien. He munt have purchased tea, wine, and augar, and other thinga which the climate and the manafacturers of Ireland do not afford, and he muat have paid for them by sending corn and cattle to England. It is true, also, that while in lreland he probably employed a portion of him land and of his rente for other purpomes, from which the labouring population received no benefit, wa is dear park, or a pleasure garden, or in the maintenance of horves or hounds. On his removal, that portion of his land which wain a park would be employed partly in producing exportable commodities, and partly in producing subsistence for its cultivetons; and that portion which fed horses for his use might be employed in feeding horizes for exportation. The first of these alternatives would do good; the second could do no harm. Nor must we forget that, through the cheapness of conveyance between England and Ireland, a portion, or perhape all, of those whom he employed in Ireland, might fallow him to England, and, in that case, wages in neither country would be affected. The fand for the maintenance of ler bourers in Ireland, and the number of labourere to be maintained, would both be equally diminished, and the fund for the maintenance of labourers in England, and the nomber of labonrert to be maintrined, would both be equally increased.
"But after making all these deductions, and they are very great, from the supposed effect of the absenteeism of the Iriah proprietors an the labouring classoa in Ireland, I cannot agree with Mr. M'Calb loch that it in immaterial. I cannoţ but join in the general opinion that their return, though it would not affect the prosperity of the Britinh empire, considered as a wholc, would be immediately beneficial to Irolnnd, though perhape toomuch importance is attached to it.
"In Mr. M'Culloch's celebratod examination before the committes on the State of Ireland, (Fourth Report, 814, Sem, 1825) ho was asked, 'Supposing the largent export of Ireland were in live cattla, and that a considerable portior of rent had boen remitted in that manner, does not auch a mode of producing the meens of paying rent contribute less to the improvement of the poor than any extan-
wive employment of them in labour would produce? He replien-m -Unlesw the means of paying rent are changed when the landlord goea home, his reaidence can bave no effect whatever.'
" 'Would not,' he is aaked, 'the population of the country be benefited by the expenditure among them of a certain portion of the rint which (if he had been abeent) has (would have) been remitted (to England)' 'No,' ho replies, 'I do not nee how it could be betefited in the lesst. If you have a certain value laid out against Irish ocmmodities in the one case, you will have a certain value laid out against them in the other. The cattle are either as ported to England, or they atay al home. If they are exported, the landlord will obtain an equivalent for them in Finglish commoditiea; if they are not, he will obtain an equivalent for them in Irinh comb modities; so that in both cases the landiord lives an the cattle, or on the value of the catle: and whether he lives in Ireland or in England, there is obviously just the very anme amount of commoditien for the people of Ireland to sabsist upon.'
"This reasoning assumes that the landlord, while resident in Ireland, himself personally devours all the cattle produced on his eatates; for on no other mupposition can there be the very wame amount of commodities for the people of Ireland to subaist upon, whether their cattle are retained in Ireland or exported.
u But when a country does not export raw prodice, the consequences of absenteeirm are very different. Those who derive their incomes from such a country cannot possibly apend tham abroad until they have previously spent them at home.
"When a Leicesterahire landlord is resident on his eatate, be employs a certain portion of his land, or, what $\hat{m}$ the same, of his sent, in maintaining the persons who provide for him thoee commodities and services, which mast be produced on the apot where they are consumed. If he mhould remove to Londan, he would want the mervices of Londoners, and the produce of land and capital which previously maintained labourern reaident in Leicester, would be mant awny to maintain labourera resident in London. The labourern would probably follow, and wagee in Leiceaterahire and Londar would then be unaltored; but until they did so, wages would rise in the one district, and fall in the othar. At the same time, at the rise and fall would compensate one another, as the fund for the mintenance of labour, and the number of labourara to be main.
minod, would opect remin the mome, the aumo amount of wago woold be distribated among the sume number of perparis, though not precisely in the zame proportion ys before.
"If he were now to redpove to Parin, a new dirtribution muat take place. As the price of saw produce in lower in France than in England, and the differenco in habite and langrage between the two countries preventa the trensfer of labourers from the one to the other, neither the labourers nor the produce of his estates could follow him. He mast employ French labourers, and he muat convert his share of the produce of his estates, or, what is the eame thing, his rent, into some exportable form in order to receive it abroed. It may be mappoood that he would receive his rent in maney. Even if he were to do mo, the English labourers would not be injured, for as they do not eat or drink money, provided the wame amount of commoditien remained for their use, they would bo anaffected by the export of money. But it is impoasible that he could receive his rent in money, unloen he choose to suffer a gratuitous lowe. The rato of archange between London and Paris is generally rather in favour of Iondon, and scarcely ever no deviates from par between any two countries as to cover the expense of transferring the precious metale from the une to the other, excepting between the countries which do, and thoee which do not possess mines. The remittances from Finglend to France most be sent, therefore, in the form of manufic. tures, either directly to France, or to some country with which France has commercial relations. And how would theme manufic. tures be obtainod? Of coorm in exchange for the landlord's rent. His chare of the produce of his entates woakd now go to Birmingham or Sheffield, or Manchester, or Landon, to maintrin the lebourers employed in producing manufictures, to be ment and sold abroad for hin profit. An English absentee employs his income precinely as if ho were to remain at home and conseme nothing bat hardware and cottons. Inntead of the services of gardeners and cervanta, upholeterars and trilore, he precheses thowe of spinvers, weavers, and cartlern. In either cuse his ipcome is employed in maintaining hbourorn, thongt the clame of labourera is different; and in either casa, the whole find for the maintenance of labouress, and the number of laboursers to bo maintained, remaining anakered, the wagee of habour would not be affected.
" Bath in fict, that fund would be rather ingroned in goantity.
and rether improved in quality: It would be trenosmed, becaus lund provopaly employed as a park, of in feeding doga and horvens we hares end pheasants, would now be employed in producing food or clothing for men. It woold be improved, fecause the increased production of manufactured commodities would orsanion an incressod divisian of labour, the use of more and better machinery, and the other improvements, which we long ago ascertained to be its necersary acoompeniments"

This distinction will not hold good. The laws of political economy are of universal application, and cannot be changed to suit the particular circtamstances of a state. Whatever has a tendency to prevent the grooth of capital, is injurious, while every thing that promotes its grouth is advantageous. This is the test; and if it can be shown that absenteeism has a tendency to prevent its growth, in however mall a degree, there will be no difficulty in stating what is the law which governs in this case, which has been styled "the opprobrium of political economy," If the landlord receive 1000 quarters of corn, and; as a resident, consume the whole, while, as an absentee, he lives upon 500 , applying the remainder to the improvement of his land, there can be no doubt his absenteeism is advantageous. The question is not, however, between a wasteful resident and an economical absentee, but between two men of the same habits of expenditure; one living abroad, and the other at home. In the one case the $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ quarters of corm, or its equivalent, are sent to Paris, and the amount is invested in the purchase of commodities. The corn having been sold at its wholesale, and its proceeds applied to the purchase of other articles at retail, prices, it in probable that he receives a
wholesale value, equivalent to 800 quarters of com, while his tradesmen of Rue Vivienne, or Rue Richelieu, add to their capital the remaining 200 quarters. The resident landlord does the same thing at Cork, Dublin, or Limerick, dividing his 200 quarters among his countrymen, by which their capital is increased. It might be fairly estimated, that one-fifth of the amount transmitted to absentee landlords, is thus distributed, in the form of profits, among foreign tradesmen. The abstraction, in this manner, of a fifth of the whole rents of absentee proprietors, which would undoubtedly be left with the tradesmen, is felt severely in Ireland, where the growth of popurlation is rapid, and of capital small; but in Great Britain and the United States, the growth of capital and increase of demand for labour are so great, that although the same result is produced, the effect is too insignificant to be remarked. It can hardly be doubted for a moment, that if all the landlords of Ireland were to conclude to live at home for one year, and expend the same amount among their tenants and tradesmen, that they had been accustomed to expend among the people of London, Paris, or Rome, there would be a greater increase of capital among the mechanics and tradesmen of Ireland, than if they had remained abroad. Less money would be spent in those cities, and there would be a smaller increase of capital than usual., In like manner the absentees of New York and Philadelphia contribute to the support of the hotel keepers and tradesmen of Quebec and Montreal. If they remained at home, and spent the same sum, the
profits would remain with the tradesmen of their own cities.

Absenteeism is thus injurious wherever it occurs, tending to impede the growth of capital, but $i t s$ effect is felt in the ratio of population to capital, and is almost unfelt when that ratio is small, as in England and the United States.

A very material deduction is also made by absenteeism from the means of obtaining employment and consequent reward. To illustrate this, the following case may be stated:-An island containing ten millions of acres is the property of one thousand landholders, and has a labouring population of one million of persons. The average share of each would be ten acres, producing 300 bushels of grain, of which fifty go to the landlord. The fund for the support of the labouring population would be 250 millions, leaving fifty millions for the capitalists or land-holders. These persons, however, being resident, have occasion for gardeners, coachmen, footmen, \&c., and employ each fifty in various capacities, leaving only $\mathbf{9 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ persons for cultivation, who divide among themselves the whole of the land and its product, after paying rent, giving 263 bushels to each. As the wages of the cultivator amount to 263 bushels, it is probable that the persons employed by the landlord, would have as much; and as their number would be 50,000 , they would receive among them 13 mil tions of bushels out of the fund originally assigned to the landlord, increasing that for the support of the labouring population to 263 millions, leaving only 37 millions to the landiords for their support, and for the increase of their capital.

If their savings, and those of their tenants, should enable capital to keep pace with population, the lands would be improved, roads and canals would be constructed, manufactories would be built, the amount of production would be increased, and with it the fund for the labourer, and wages would continue unaltered; if they went beyond it, they would rise, but if they fell short of it, they would fall.

If, however, these one thousand persons should go abroad, leaving their servants, \&ce., behind them, the thirteen millions of bushels which they had been accustomed to pay for services, would be paid to foreigners, and there would remain only 250 millions to be divided among a million of labourers. The capital in land would remain the same, while the competition for it would be increased; the labourer would be willing to pay a higher price for its use, perhaps 60 bushels, instead of 50 , thus reducing his share from 263 to 240. A further increase of population would increase the competition, and as there would be a steady excess of demand over supply, the landlord's share would probably rise to $\mathbf{8 0}, 100$, or 120 bushels, reducing the fund out of which the labourers were supported, from 263 millions, to 180 or 200 millions.

All accumulation on the part of the labourer would be thereby effectually prevented, and if the landlord should expend or invest abroad, the whole of his revenue, there could be no increase of capital from that source. If, under such circumstances, population continued to advance, they might be reduced to patches of an acre or two, the gross produce of
which would do no more than afford subsistence, although the unfortunate cultivator would be willing to give one half of it, in preference to being ejected from the land. Such is precisely the condition to which Ireland has been reduced by the extravagance and absenteeism of its landed proprietors.
If the absenteeism existed without the extravagance; if the profligate and wasteful landlord were replaced by the honest, active, and intelligent agent; if the residence abroad produced habits of economy that would admit of the investment in improvements of various kinds, by the agent, of a part of the revenue, absenteeism would be a blessing instead of a curse. The following passage from Mr. Inglis's new work, "Jreland in 1834," confirms these views. Mr. I. visited Ireland strongly impressed with the necessity of poor-laws to counteract the ill effects of absenteeism, and is in this case a most unexceptionable witness.
> u It most not be imagined that the people on all abmenteo eatates are in a worse condition than they are apon those eatates where there in a resident landiord. The condition of the peasantry depends on the circumetances under which the lands are occtpied, much more than upon the revidence of proprietorn, and I cannot say that it is genorally an easy matter to guess whether the landlord be abmentee or rexident. Some of the most comfortable tenantry in Iraland are foumd on absentee properties, and some of the most miserable on es. tatea apon which the proprietor renides; there is no doubt, however, that where a well-disposed and wnembarrassed landlord renidea, fawer unemployed labourent are found, the condition of the labourer is better, and the retail trade of the mont adjacent towns in material. hy benefited_-Vol. 11. p. 256.

In the United States capital in land is abundant,
circulating capital increases, with great rapidity, and * the demand for labour is consequently great. Should the capitalist conclude to live abroad, his coachman, footman, gardener, and all others who have been accustomed to live out of his income, can readily find employment, and notwithstanding the fact that nearly the whole of the exports consist of raw produce, it is scarcely of the slightest importance whether he lives abroad or at home. The foreign capitalist who places his funds in the United States is an absentee, but if he were to reinvest the proceeds here, so far as not required for his aubsistence, he would be nearly as useful as if he were to remove here with his capital; notwithstanding which, there is a constant jealousy of the investment, by foreigners, of their capital in either bank stocks or real estate. In some of the atates there is an absolute prohibition to hold them It is difficult to conceive of a greater absurdity. The men who oppose a tariff upon cotton goods, would prohibit the importation of capitall The British government has wisely offered inducements to foreigners to invest their surplus. funds in its stocks, and large amounts are so invested, yet it would be difficult to point out any difference between the absentee landlord, who left his estate in Surrey or Kent, and the absentee fund-holder who left his money in London. Yet the same persons who inveigh against the former, would deem it injudicious to prevent investnents of the latter description

Having shown, by the case of this country, that the position of Mr. S. in regard to countries exporting raw produce, is not correct, the question arises, would
it be more so, if the ropulation above supposed to exist were exclusively engaged in manufactures, and exported nothing else. If the one thousand landed proprietors were converted into an equal number of capitalists, owning extensive manufacturing establishments, and their portion of the profits were remitted to them in cottons, instead of, as in the other case, grain, what would be the difference? It is obvious that their interest, or rent, or profits, could perform but one operation instead of two, as suggested by Mr. Senior. It would be difficult to imagine any disadvantage arising out of the transmission of rent from Ireland, that would not arise in such a case as the one now stated. No law of political economy can be correct unless universally so, and that propounded by Mr. S. is certainly not so as regards the United States, which exports only raw produce; nor, even according to his own theory, would it be so in relation to one which was exclusively engaged in manufactures, and exported nothing else; nor as regards the capitalist of England, who lives abroad, having lent his capital to an English manufacturer, who exports the interest in the produce of his manufacture; nor to the agriculturist of Ireland, who exported the interest in corn.

The people of Ireland suffer under the absenteeism of the landlord, who leaves his capital behind him, and those of Great Britain under the forced absenteeism of capital, the owner of which stays at home. The absurd system of corn laws, by preventing exchanges, prevents the employment of capital in manufactures, and it is therefore sent abroad to seek that
reward which is denied to i" at home. It is sent to the United States to aid in the sonstruction of canals and rail roads, and the erection of manufactories, and the labour that might be employed at home, is sent to assist in using that capital, and in consuming that corn, a market for which is refused in Great Britain.*


#### Abstract

- Since writing the above, I have loaked into Pebrer'e work an the British Empire, atd find the following remark in corroborentiom of the viewn above givern. "There is fround an immense excems of capital, the very mource of production, cansing distress inctead of proaperity among ite owners. An extraondinary excees of labour, the very cauce of wealth, producing poverty, ruin, and misery among the laboarere, themselves a great and powerful empire, when know. ledge, invention, and art, have multiplied in a boundiem manner the means for the enjoyment of life, and for the eatisfaction of all itm wenta, comforth, and lururies; but when the very parfections of these apringe of human and rocial happinese occasion miafortpno dirtrem, end perpetual agitation, among the mambert of that greet empire iteelf" page $\nabla$. The object of Mr. Pebrer's work is to ascor tain the ceame of the difficultiea undor which Great Brituin labours, and to point cot a remedy. Laying anide all consideration of the impedimenta in the way of freedom of action and of exchange, he attributes the whole difficuity to excess of taration, which he supposen to have the effect of raieing ilit price of labour, and preventing the whe of their manufictares. He holds up the case of SPpain as e warning, and maya: " It is to her bad fiscal lawe, to hor bad aystem of im poste, to the taxes an consumption, which enhanced wages, and provented the sale of her manufictarea, that the misfortunes of Spain mont be attributed; these, and no other, were the true canses of that wide apread devastating laxiness, which atill deeolatee and impoverishee a land worthy of a better fita," p. 549. Considoring wagee as always at a minimum, and the heary taxes on consumption at the oanse of their high rato in England, the remedy is to bo found in the abolition of thome taxee, which can only take phee when the debt ehnll have been paid off. To sccomplish this object in pert, it in proposed to raise 500 milliona of pornde by atex an all property in Great Britain and the Colomice, which latter are to be induced to


It is this abstraction of capital which causes the redundance of labour, and yet it has been seriously prom posed in the Quarterly Review to tax all capital invested in machinery, as a means of raising the price of labour! This would drive it abroad still more rapidly, and wages would rise here while those of Great Britain would fall below their present standard. No great improvement is likely to take place until the corn laws are repealed and the workman is allowed to procure food from those who will give it him at the lowest price. Mr. Senior advocates this and the repeal of the poor laws, but deems it necessary to prepare therefor by a free exportation of the marplus population. He says, "The only immediato remedy for an actual excess of population is an ancient and approved one; coloniam deducere." In the existing state of things, colonization may be beneficial

[^5]to both the mother country and the colonies, and as the people have been deprived of the means of transferring themselves, it may perhaps be well to let it be done at public expense, but it is highly improbable that the nation will be more prepared for the abolition of the corn laws after sending away half a million of people, than at the present time. Unless the removal of restrictions proceed pari passu with the removal of the population which is rendered surplus by restriction, it certainly will not.

The capital which is thus driven abroad by the corn laws of England, is employed in the United States to remove restraints imposed by nature upon the trade in corn and other articles of produce. They laboured under a deficiency of circulating capital, ahd the consequence was that communications in many parts of the country were very bad, and the difference in the prices of corn very considerable. When it was proposed to make some of the great public improvements that have since been completed, it was supposed that the effect would be to raise the price of lands in the west, and in a corresponding degree reduce those nearer the cities which had before been very valuable, or precisely the same effect that is now anticipated in England from a repeal of the cornlaws. New York and Pennsylvania have completed their great works, bywhich they have repealed their corn laves, and so far from the repeal having had the effect of reducing the price oflands within forty, fifty or one hundred miles of their capitals, those lands have materially advanced in price in consequence of the general prosperity, to which those improvements have largely contributed
by increasing the facilities of communication and interchange. A similar repeal of the English corn laws would, without doubt, be attended with similar effects, and land owners would find that the prosperity which would be the result of such a change of system, would cause their lands to be more valuable than they had beath under the restrictive system, and in addition, they would have the satisfaction of knowing that their rents were not forced contributions from the unfortunate manufacturers for their bepefit. The experience of the United States should satisfy the people of England, first, that no loss is likely to arise to the land owners from the freedom of trade in corn, and socond, that absenteeism is not necessarily. productive of evil, as nearly all the great public works of this country have been made with the capital of absentees.

It is singular that English writers who are so ner. vous in regard to English and Irish absenteeism, should have so little thought of the effect of it in India. The landlord of all India is an absentee, and his agents are little better; as all their surpius profits are transferred to England $\mu$ with a view of returning home as soon as their capital shall be sufficient to make way for a new swarm who will do the same $1 f$ so much effect be produced in Ireland, what must be the consequence in India?

## CHAPTER V.

Tere fourth error adverted to by Mr. S. is, "That the general rate of wages can be reduced by machinery." Few persons now doubt the advantages of machinery to the labourer, and if those who still continue to do so, would reflect that the greater the amount of production, the greater must be the fund of commodities to be divided between the capitalist and the labourer, and, that the rate of interest, which indicates the usual rate of profit, has not varied materially for many years, showing that the capitalist cannot take an extraordinary share of the increased product, they would see that the fund for the support of the labourers must be increased in the full proportion of the increased production, and consequently, that the share of each must be larger. The greatly increased consumption of all articles used by that class ought to be sufficient evidence on this head The average consumption of cotton goods in Great Britain. from 1816 to 1820, was 227 millions of yards, and that of 1824 to 1828, four hundred millions! It is not improbable that it is now 500 millions, or about twenty yards per annum to each individual. The value of this immense quantity, at five pence a yard, would little exceed ten millions of pounds sterling, while at 2s. $6 d$., the price about thirty years since, it would be about sixty millions; or at 6 c the yand
the price about forty-five years since, it would amount to one hundred and fifty millions of pounds. To the improvements in machinery of the last half century alone it is owing that the people of Great Britain have been able to bear such an excessive amount of taxation, under which any other nation must have sunk. "Every new invention and discovery, by which the production of commodities can be facilitated, and their value reduced, enables individuals to spare a larger quantity of them for the use of the state."-Parnell, p. 11.

It is but a short time since that one of the most respectable and intelligent editors in the Union, in noticing some improvement in machinery, expressed his regret that human labour should in this manner be superseded; that locomotive engines should take the place of thousands of men and of horses who had been accustomed to be employed in the transportation of merchandise. Yet this same editor is among the ablest advocates of one of the principal canals now in the course of construction. A little reflection would satisfy him and all other opponents of machinery that the same objection which lies against the use of locomotive power, would lie against the rail road itself,and cqually against the canal-the turnpike-the common road, and even the horse path. If the object be to employ the greatest number of persons in doing a given amount of transportation, dispense entirely with carriages and roads for them, and let the whole be done on the backs of mules, as is in a great measure the case in Spain; or still further, dispense
with horses and mules, and employ manual labour exclusively as in China.

## The fifth error is, "That it can be reduced by the import of foreign commodities."

"Fifhly." Closely oonnected with thin mistake, and occasioned by the same habit of attending only to what is temporary and partich, and neglecting what is permanent and general; of dwelling an the ovil that is concontrated, and being insansible of the benefit that is diffured, is the common error of supposing that the general rate of wagen can be reduced by the importation of foreign commoditien. In fret the opening of a now market is precisely anniogous to the introduction of a new machine, except that it in a macline which it contu nothing to conatruct or to keep up. If the foreign commodity be not consomed by the lebouring population, ita introduction loaven the general rate of wagen unaffected; if it be used by them, their wagea aro raised ase entimated in that commodity. If the abcurd lewe which favour the wines of Portugal to the excluzion of thome of France wero repealed, more labourery would be employed in prodacing commoditien for tho French market, and fower for the Portaguese. Wages would temporarily fall in the one trade, and rise in the other. The clear benefit would be derived by the drinkest of wine, wha, at the aame expenso, would obtain more and better wina. Bo if what are called the protecting duties on French silks were removed, fewer labourers would be employed in the direct production of silk, and more in ite indirect production, by the production of the cottonk, or hardware, with which it would be purchased. The wearers of silk would be the only class ultimately benefited; and an tho labouring population neither wear silk nor drink wine, the genoral rate of wages would, in both casea, remain unalterod. But if tho lawe which prohibit our obtaining on the mont advantageoes terms tea and sugar, and corn, were altered, that portion of the fand for the maintenance of labour, which consista of corn, at. gar, and toa, mopld be inareased. And the goneral rate of wagem, as entimated in the three mont important artioles of food, would be rainod."

It is an error to suppose that the wearers of siff
would be the only persons ultimately benefited in this case. If the labour of twenty thousand persons be now required to produce silks, which, under a different system, could be obtained in exchange for the labour of ten thousand employed in the production of cottons, the whole amount of production of the remaining ten thousand would be added to the fund of commodities. If the importation of silks were doubled in consequence thereof, it would be equivalent to a large increase in the amount of production, and although the labourer might not obtain a silk gown for his wife, there would be a larger portion of cotton goods for his share; but the experience of this country proves that even the wife of the labourer may, with a system of free trade, obtajo one of silk. If it remained the same, the wearers of silks would add to their capital one half of the sum they had been accustomed to expend for them, and this increase of capital, by increasing the demand for labour, would tend to produce an augmentation of wages. The laws of political economy are universal in their application, and none more so, than that restraints upon the freedom of trade are injurious to all classes of society, and all measures tending to the removal of those restraints, advartageous, even to those who consume none of the particular articles the importation of which is thereby promoted.

The sixth error indicated by Mr. Senior is, "That the unproductive consumption of landlords and capitalists is beneficial to the labouring classes, because it finds them employment." No benefit can arise from
employment, unless it tend to incryase the amount of production. Where it does this, it has a tendency to raise wages, while any species of employment that tends to ©decrease production, must reduce them. Many persons are honestly opposed to machinery, but if they would reflect, that the quantity produced is greatly increased by the use of it, while it does not increase the number of consumers, they would see that the effect must be to increase the quantity of commodities that falls to the share of each labourer. If those persons who are opposed to machinery would take the trouble to examine what would be the effect upon the growers of cotton and consumers of cotton goods, (among whom are, of course, the cotion manufacturers,) the owners of ships, and proprietors of canal and rail road stocks, and the immense number of persons that are employed in navigating those ships, and directing the canal boats, rairroad cars and engines, of abolishing the spinning jenny and power loom, under the mistaken notion of increasing the demand for manual labour, they would never again say a word on the subject.

The seventh and last error is that of Mr. Ricardo, that it is better to be employed in the production of services, than in that of commodities, or, as Mr. Senior says, " better to be employed in standing behind chairs, than in making them; or as soldiers and sailors, than as manufacturers." Mr. M'Culloch, allowing his views of the conflicting interests of wages and profits to mislead him also, says, that "the demand for a large number of men, for the
supply of armies and fleets, must raise wages, in consequence of the increased demand which it produces, and that the increase of wages must come from profits." As the amount of real wages depends upon the quantity and quality of the commodities obtainable by the labourer, and as that depends upon the total amount of production, this theory must remain unsusceptible of proof, until it can be shown that in any given community a greater amount of commodities will be produced where one-half of the population is employed in standing behind chairs, or shouldering muskets, than when the whole are employed in the business of production; or when the half shall be shown to be greater than the whole. If Mr. M'Culloch's views on this subject were generally received, it would not be extraordinary that wars should be popular among the labouring classes, but if they could be made to understand their own interests, they would be sensible that "War is mischievous to every class in the community; but to none is it such a curse as to the labourers."-Senior.

[^6]
## CHAPTER VI.

Ther reader has seen, that in the Lectures on Wages which have been considered, Mr. Senior has taken the quantity and quality of commodities as the measure of wages, but in that " On the Cost of Ob taining Money," which it is proposed now to consider, he assumes the money price of labour as the standard of comparison. It must be obvious, however, that the cost of obtaining silver is only the cost of obtaining commodities that will exchange for it, and that whether a day's labour be estimated as equal to a bushel of wheat, or to so much silver as can be obtained for it at the nearest store, the result is the same. Where they have reference to the same places, and at the same times, Mr. S. considers them as convertible terms, and his object in using the money price in the present instance, is to assume that as a measure of the powers of production of the several countries. There is, however, a very serious objection to its use for that purpose, arising out of the different modes of tazation, in different countries, even when the amount is the same.

In India almost the whole taration is direct, and is taken in the form of rent, before the labourer receives his share. In England, on the contrary, direct taxation is small, while that upon consumption is large, and is taken from the labourer after he has
received his share. To show the effect of this, I will suppose the following case. A man in England raises three hundred bushels of wheat, fifty of which go to his landlord as rent, and twenty to the state as land tax, leaving him two hundred and thirty bushels as the rewards of his labour, or wages and interest of capital. In exchanging this for tea, sugar, coffee, \&c. he pays, I will suppose, as a tax on consumption, eighty bushels, leaving him one hundred and fifty bushels. In India, another man, raising the same quantity, would have paid one hundred and fifty bushels as rent and tax, leaving his wages exactly the same, although apparently above one third less. Again, where the mode of taxation is the same, money wages, although they may be taken as a measure of the powers of production, cannot be taken as an evidence of the extent of real wages, unless allowance be made for the difference of amount of taxation. In England, money wages are nearly as high as in the United States, yet the greater amount of the claims of the state makes a vast difference in the quantity and quality of commodities at the labourer's command, which is the only measure of real wages.

In the following extract will be found Mr. Senior's views as to the actual amount of wages, and the causes of the difference that exists :-

[^7]Within the same time the American labourer obtains twekve tirece, and the English labourer nine times as much silver as the Findoo.
"The difference in the coot of obtaining silver, or, in ether words, in the wages of labour in eilver, in different countries, at the same period, has attructed attention, though not perhaps $\omega_{0}$ much as it deserves, and various theoriea have bean proposed to accoumt for it.
"It has been attributed to the different degrees of labour requiwite to obtain the necessaries of the labourer. In Findoaten it hate beem said, he requires little clothing or fuel, and wabaista on rica, of which ho obtaine a mafficient quantity with litte axertion. But how then do we account for his wagea in North America being twenty-five per cent higher than they are in England, while the Mbour requisite to obtain necesearles is not much more then half eg great in the former country as in the latter? How do we aco comnt for the low amount of wages in silver in Chins, where the Inbour neceesary to obthin necesaries in proverbially great?
" It has been attribated to the different densities of popalation In Findoatan and in Ireland, it has beon mid, labourere multiply so rapidly, that the market in overstocked with labour, and the price falln ffrm the increased rapply. Bat if this were an universal rule, as the population of England has doubled in the luat seventy oe eighty years, wagea ought to have fillen, wherean thoy have doubled or trebled in that intarval. They have kept on increaning in North Americu during a dill greater incroase of popalation They are, perhapa, twice as high in Holland an in Swedea, thoogh the popalation of Holland is ten times as dense an that of Sweden
${ }^{4}$ It has been attributed to the different premerre of taration: but tanation is no where no light an in America, whare wagen are the highert. It in, probebly, hearier in Hindontan than in England, yee wagee are nine or ten timee enbigh in Eaglend an in Findoten, So that it might neem that wagee are highent where taration in foweat; but, on the other hand, tarition is lightar in Fronco than in Begland, yet wagea ere lower, and lighter in Ireland than in Framoe, yot wages are lower atill It appeans, therefore, that there in no nocesary connoxion between terstion and wages.
ut has been attribated to the different ratee of profit. The avomage tute of profit in Englend in cappoeed to be about osatenth, of ubout aleven perr cent. per annom. In Eindomen and Americe it in
higber. We will mappose it to be one-sixth, or twents per cent. par annam, which is probably far too high an eationte. This differunce would account for the habourer, whose wages have been advanced for a yeur, receiving nine-tenths of the value of what he producen in Englend, and only five-sixthn in America and Hindostan, or rather is only a different expression of the aame fact, brat it does not afford evan a plansiblo solution of the prement quention.
"If the difference.in wages were molely accasioned by a differsence in the rate of profit, whatever is loat by the labouror would be gained by the capitalist, and the aggregate value in silvar of a camp modity produced by an equal expenditure of wagee and profits, or, in my nomenclature, by an equal aum of lebour and abatinence, would be every where the mame; and in that case, how could both wagen end profite be higher in North America than in England?
"Tuking North America as the atandard, and that tho velue in silvor of the produce of a year's labour of one man, his wagea hav. ing boen advanced for a year, is two hundred and eighty ouncea of cilver, the valuo in silver in Hindoetan and in England, of the produce of a year's labour of one man, his wages having been advanced for a year, would also be two hundred and eighty ounces, and an the labourer receives only twenty-four oumces of silver in Eindostan, and only ano hundred and eighty ouncea in England, the Hindoo capitalint must receive, on the sum advanced by him in payment of wagen, a profit of mare than two hundred and fifty-aix ounces, or ebow one thousand per ceint. per annum; and the English capitalint more than one hundred ouncea, being more than aixty per cent per annum, which we know to have no resomblance to the fact. If nuy etatamenti and mapporitionem to the average wages of haboar, and the average profits of capital in England, Hindostan, and America be correct, a commodity unaffected by any monopoly produced by the labour of one mun for a year, his wagee having been adranced for a yoer, must sell in Bindoctan for from one pound two ounces. to two pounds four ouncen of nuilver; that is, for from twelve to twenty-four ouncese aa tha wagee of the labour, and from two to four ounces an the profit of the capital employed. In Eagland auch a commodity muat ell for from aboat nine pounds nine ooncen, to about sistean pounds three ounces. In Americe for from fourtean pounde to twenty-three pounds four ounces. In other worda, the same rum of libour and abotinemec, or, in other words, the tame
marifice of eave and of immediate enjoyment, obtaing in Ameriea twenty-three pounds four ounces; in Fingland sirteen pound three ounces; and in IEindontan two pounds four ouncew. And this diffor. ence is the phenomenon to which I am calling your attention.
$\omega$ It hat been ettributed to the different price日, in silver, of neoeas mariee. Provisions, it is asid, are dearer, that is, exchange for more nilver in Fingland than in France; therefore, the labourer muat receive mare silver to enable him to parchase them. But proviaions are cheaper in America than in Ongland, and yet the labourer neceive much lees ailver in England than in America. The productivaneas of the wornt woil cultivated, the period for which capital is advanced, end tha rate of profit being given, it is clear that the average price in silver of corn, must depend an the average wagen in wilvar of labour, not the wages of labour an the price of corn. On my hypotheais, that the services of an Engliah labourar for a year, his wages having been advanced for a year, are worth ebout nine pounde nino ouncea of ailver, the corn produced by him in a year on the worat land, his wages having been advanced fer a year, must be worth nine pounde nine ouncen of iilver, and cannot be parmnnantly worth either mare or lews. Ifhin wagen fill one-half, the rete of profit ramaining the mame, the corn must be worth forr pound ten comeses and a half. If they double, it must be worth nineteem pound six oumces. But in all cases, the productivenees of the worst land cultivated, the period for which wages are advanced, and the rate of profit remaining the aame, the average amount in silver of wages miut regulate the average value in nilvar of carn, and not the value in silver of corn the amount of wagcs. To auppoes the contrary, is in flet the vaigar error of puiting the cart before the horme, or mintaking the effect for the cause. To ue Adam Smith' illus. tration, "It is not because one man keepe s coech while hit naighbour walla a-foot, that the one in rich and the other it poor; but because the one in rich he keepi a coach, and because the othar it poor he wallse a-foot'
$\omega$ If the popolation of Eagland ahould maintain ita preant rate of advenoe; if our numbere ahould continue to increare at the rate of mare than five hondred persons evary twenty-four houre, and the abvolute prohibition of foreign corn, for which e vialent fection is now clamouring, thould be conceded, there can be no queation that twen though wage ahould not rise, the price of corn would advunce
$X: 952 \times 193$
$A 835$

The constantly increasing additional quantity which must be rained to cupply an annual eddition of fifty thousand families, would ta raised at a contantly increasing proportionate expense. According to the theory which I am considering, the wages of the labourer would rise in proportion. For what prarpowe would they rise? To anable him to consume the same quantity as before, though the whole quantity reised would bear a lexe proportion than before to the whole number of producers 1 On such a supposition wages might be ten guineas a day, and corn ten guineas a pect. Accarding to the present administration of our poor-laws, which allots to each individual a definite quantity of corn, to be given by the landlond as relief when not paid by the employer as wagen, the whole amount received by the labourer in the two forms of relief and wages might rina, not indeed ad infinitum, but nntil it had absorbed the whols manount of rent and tithes,-had converted the landlords and clergy into truntees for the poor. And this is the state of thinge which under the mited influence of corn laswe, even guch as they are nows poor lawn, and an increasing population, seems gradually approaching. But in the absence of poor lawn, what reason would there be for expecting a rise in wages? Because the labourer would want moref But would the labourer's wants give to the capitalist the power or the will to pay him more?. Does the Manchester manuface tarer pay hil fine opinners 30s. a woek, and his coarse opinners $15{ }^{\mathbf{e}}$. becsuse the fine apinner eats twice as much as the coarwe apinnar? He paya the fine spinner 30e. because the produce f his labonr is worth $300_{4}$ and a further rum equal to the average profil obtained by s manufacturing capitalist, and because, if he were to offar leme, otber capitaliste would engage his labourers, and hit machinery would atand idle. While the labourer's marvices are worth 30 s . he will receive 30e, whatever be the price of corn. To muppowe the contrary, in to consider the labourar not an a free agent, but at a aleve or domeatic animal, fod not according to his value, but his no. cemities.
*All experionce show that in the case which I have been expponing, the labourer's resource would be, not to raize his wagea, but to reduce his expenditure. Ho mast firet give up his weekly pit. tence of animal food. Ho muat drink his tes without angar, and trarrender his piph and perhap hisbeer. He must nint from wheat to rye, or barloy, or oatmeal, and from catmeal to potatocen Fie muat look on the whent which he would raice, as ho now doen an
the ahsep and cattle that he tends, as a luxury boyond his enjoymeant. The price of corn is nearly as high in Ireland as in England; but have the wages of the Irish labourser risen to enable him to consume it? Did the exportation of corn and catte from Ireland cease oven during the rages of famine, and of pestilence occasioned by fmine?
"The only mode by which I can account for the phenomena which I have been describing is, by eupposing that the countries which have the precious metala to dispose of, either as producers or as having a temporary superfluity at their own current rate of prices, are willing to give more than ane-fourth more for the exportable commoditiea produced by the labour of one North American in a year, assisted by an advance of capital equal in value to his Wages for a given period, than for the commodities produced by the lehour of one Englishman, and more than ten times as much as for the commoditiea produced by the labour of one Hindoo, mimilarly circumstanced. Or in other words, that the diligence and skill with which English labour is applied, enables the English laboarer to produce in a year exportable commodities equal in value to thoso produced in a year by eight Hindoon; and that the diligence and akill with which North American labour is applied, inferior as they aso perhaps to our own, yet by the assistance of the fertile efil which he cestivates, enable the North American labourcr to produce exportable commodities more than one-fourth more vaiuable than thowe produced by ine Fanglishmín in a given period, and more than ten timpes more valuable than thoee produced by the Hindoo. Or to ase a etill moore concise expresaion, that labour in England in eight timen ar productive of exportable commodilies ar in Hindoctan, and laboor in North America is one-fourth more produetive of exportable comb moditisa than in England."

Having thus taken money wages as the measure of production, without allowance for the effect produced by the mode of taxation in increasing their apparent difference, Mr. Senior has fallen into the error of supposing a much greater difference in the reward of the labourer than really exists, as I propose to show. By the following statemeats the reader
will be enabled to compare the rate of money wage of England and the United States I propose, on a future occusion, to eramine what is the amount of real wages.

The number of persons employed in the cotton manufacture of the United States is thus stated in the memorial of the New York Convention, 1832: -males, 18,539 ; females, 38,927 ; children, 4,691 ; hand weavers, 4,760 ; in all, 66,917 ; total wagea, \$10,294,944, equal to $\$ 3$ or 12 s . 6 d . per week.

In the History of the Cotton Manufacture, by Mr. Baines, (p. 511) the above amount of wages is taken, but the children and hand weavers are omitted, by which the number of operatives is reduced to $\mathbf{5 7 , 4 6 6}$, and the wages are thereby made to appear to be 14. 11d. per week Mk. Baines's reasoning in relation to the comparative wages of the United Statee and England, is thereby vitiated.

It is to be regretted, that the gentlemen by whom the report was drawn up, did not give the average wages of men, women, and children. As they have not done so, we must endeavour to estimate them 18,539 men, at $\$ 5$ per week, would be $\$ 99,695$ 38,827 women, at $\$ 2$ pat week, 77,854
4,691 children, at $\$ 175$ per week, 8,211.
4,760 hand weavers, at $\$ 4$ per do $\quad 19,040$
\$187,800
52 weeks, at $\$ 197,800$ each, would be $\$ 10,285,600$, being nearly the amount given in the report.
In the above, it will be observech that only about ${ }^{-1}$
seven per cent. are termed children, and even those are much above the age at which children are employed in England. At Lowell, the number employed beiow 16 is very small, and none below 12. In the Lawrence Factory at that place, out of 1000 females, only 120 are below 17, and of the males there are 28 below that age. Deducting those over 16, those below that age, or who may properly be styled children, cannot exceed eight per cent. of the whole number employed, which is 1160.
In a summary of the returns to the questions of the Factory Commissioners, of 151 owners of cotton mills, in Lancashire, Cheshire, and Derbyshire, for five weeks, ending May 1833, it is stated, that out of 48,645 persons employed, 20,084 are under 18 years of age. The average wajes in these mills, are 10ع 5d..*

In an estimate of the number of persons employed in the cotton mills,of England, the total number is given at at $212,800, \dagger$ of whom 43,703 are under 14 years of age, and 39,554 between 14 and 13 . One half of the latter being deducted, the total number employed below 16 years, would be 63,480 , or 30 per cent. of the whole quantity. Notwithstanding the vastly greater quantity of inferior labour thus used, wages are estimated at 10s. 6d. per week, or within two shillings of what was paid in the United States in 1832.

Dr. James Mitchell was employed under the Factory Commissioners, to draw out tables, showing the

[^8]wages, health, \&cc. of the factory operatives; and the results of some of the principal cotton mills, embracing 7614 operatives, are as follows:-*
1415 males below 162355 males above 16
1278 females below $16 \quad 2566$ females above 16
giving above 35 per cent. below the age at which children are usually employed here. As wages differ very much with age, and as it is to be supposed that the efficiency of the labourer is in proportion to the wages received, the only fair mode of comparing those of the United States and England, is to strike off all whose ages are below that at which they are here employed. The average wages of persons above 16, in those factories, as given by Dr. Mitchell, are as follows:

2355 males, 16 s .3 d.
2566 females, 8s.
4921 -general average 12 s.
or within $6 d$. as much as the average of the estimate furnished by the New York Convention. It may be said, that seven per cent. of the labourers employed in the United States being below 16, there should be some allowance made therefor, but they are generally so little below that age, that any allowance would have small effect upon the result.

The great disproportion that exists between the two countries, in the employment of male and female lamour, cannot fail to strike the reader. In England, tine females exceed the males by only about 9 per

[^9]cent, while in the United States they exceeded them, agreeably to the above statement, hy above 110 per cent. Since that time great improvements have takeh place in machinery, increasing the proportion of females very greatly, as will hereafter be showns At first sight, it might be supposed that this should cause wages to be lower here, the labour of men being generally more productive than that of women, and that this would be an offset to the number of children employed in England. Such is not, however, the case, women being employed here beesuse every thing is done to render labour productive, while there a large portion of the power of the male operatives is wasted.

By the above statement it is shown, that in the United States there were only 4760 hand weavers in the year 1832, and the number can hardly be supposed to have increased. From the great influx of emigrants from Ireland, it is probable that there will be, for a long time to come, an equal number; but the modes of employment are so numerous, that a large number must be annually absorbed. On the lst January, 1835, there were in the town of Lowell 5051 power looms, or more, by nearly 300 , than the whole number of hand looms in this country.

The whole number of power looms in England, in 1820, was 14,150-in 1829 it had risen to 55,500 , and is now supposed to be 85,000 , which, with 15,000 in Scotland, would give a total of 100,000 . During this time it is supposed that the number of

[^10]- hand looms has rather increased, and it is now estimated at 250,000 .

The condition of the weavers is thus described:
"'The hand-loom weavers' saym Dr. Kay, apeaking of thoee living'ip Menchestes, 'labour fourteen hours and upwand daily, and earn only from five to meven or eight ahillingt par week. They concist chiefly of Irinh, and are affected by all the causea of moral and phynical depresaion which we have enumerated. Ill-fed, ill clothod, half-sheliared, and ignorant-weaving in clove, damp celt hns, ar crowded, ill-ventilated workehope-it anly remains that thoy should become, as in too frequently the ease, demoralized and. reckicen, to render perfect the portraiture of aavage life.' The atatement that the weosens work fourteen or nixtoen hours per day, has beon no often made, that it is now generally believed. The fact, howover, in, that they work these long hours only two or three daya in the weok, and they gemerally, notwilhstanding their poverty, apend one ar two daya in idleness; thoir week's labour seldom er ceeds fifty-xix or fify-eight hours, whilat that of the upinnen is sixty-nine hours. This irregularity on the part of the weevers in to be escribed in come degree to the wearisome monotony of their habour, from which they reok refuge in company and amusement; and aloo to therr degraded condition, which makee them recklems and improvident ${ }^{\text {me }}$

It may be asked, why they should continue in an employment so degrading.
mThese were the occasions and direct enucen of the lamentablo fill in weaven' wagen; bat their effecta could not have been $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ earious if thers had not been permanent canses, belonging to the nature of the employment itrelf: Of thene, the first and grand cane in, the eccy seture of the enployment. The weaving of calicoes is ane of the simpleat of manual operations, underatood in a few mo mente, and completely learnt in a fow weok. It requires no little utreagth or akill, that a child aight or ten years of age may prec. tise it A man brought up to eny other employment many aloo vory anorily lourn to weave. From the freility of learning the tredon

$$
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\text { Buinee, p. } 485 . \\
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nnd from its bring carriod on undar the weaver's own roof, he natocully teachos his children to wreave an moon is they can tread the treadles, if he cannot obtain plsees for them in a factory. Thus they begin at a very early age to add to the earninge of the fimily, and the wife also toilh in the mame way to increase their scanty pittance. But it is obvious, that that which is only a child's labour, can be remmerated ouly by 8 childre wagen. There are large dopartmente of hand loom weaving, which are almout entirely given up to women and children, and their wages go far to regulato all the reat. The men, where they are able, procure better kinds of wott; and whore they are not able, they must put up with the moot paltry earnings.

The sacend cause for the low wages of wewrers is, that their employment is in morne reapecte more agrecoblen as laying there mader lens reatraisat than factery lesbows. Baing earried on in their own cottages, their time is at their own command : they may begin and leare off work at their pleasure: they are not bound pumetually to obey the sumanons of the factory ball: if they are so diuposed, they can quit their hoon for the pablichones, or to lowge in the etreet, or to accept momo other job, and thon, when urged by neceanity, thoy may make up for lost time by a great exartion. In shart, they ere more independent than factory operatives; they are their own mantarn; they receive their materiale, and mometimes do not tale beck the web for meveral weoke; and-what is a lamentable, but fir los common oceurrence-they have the power, in eace of urgent mecoenity of strong teraptation, to embersle a fow eope of their omFloyors' weft in ordar to buy bread or aloe AN this makee tho Freever'm occupation more sedactive to men of idlion irregular, and disipeted habith, than other occupations, It in a doar-boughts miverable liberty, but, like poaching ar smaggling, it in mone cois groial to came tutos, then working under precine rentrictione for twice the remmoration The mention of thim unquestionalla fiot by no moan impliea a charot against the weavers, that they are all of loow habite and mosals; but it helpe to escoont for many continuing at the loom, notwithtanding the wrotehodnese of their cirrametances. ${ }^{\text {º }}$

Various estimates have been made of the wages of weavers, as will be seen by the following extract:

[^11]*The weekly wages of eoveral clasmes of handiloom cotton weevers, in each jear, from 1810 to 1825, have been given in a table at p438; and their wages in 1832 are given in a table at p. 439. The former etates the wages of the weavers of calicocs at the estomishingly low rate of 4 s .3 d . in the yoar 1825; but these goode were chiefly woven by women and children. The latter table does not mention tho pricen paid for calicoes; but it shown that in 1852, the averago wagos for weaviug common checks, common nankeens, and cambrica, ull of which are woven principally by women and children, were from 6e, to 6. 6d, 78, and 8s.; the wagee for fancy checke, woven by men, were 7e to 7a. 6d.; and for fancy nankeens and quiltinga, from 9 a , to $12 \mathrm{~s}, 13 \mathrm{~s}$, and cven 15s. Mr. George Smith, of the firm of James Massoy and Son, of Manchester, gave evidence before tyo Conumittee of the House of Commons on Mannficturch, Commasco, ste, in Joly, 1833, that the wearerm of calicoen in the neighbourhood of Burniey and Colne earned littic more than 4e per week not wagen: these, howover, were almoet all children: of the whole number of hand-loom cotton weavere in the kingdom, which he extimated at 200,000 , he eupposed that 30,000 earned this low rate of wagea; whilst the remaining $\mathbf{1 7 0 , 0 0 0}$ would only earn 6. or 7a. a week: in the neighbourhood of Manchoster be thought the average would be 7. Mr. John Makin, a manofacturer, of Boltom, stated before the Committoc of the Commons on Finad-loom Weavera, in Joly, 1834, thit a weaver of the kind of cambric most commonly produced thera, namely, a six-quarter 60 -reed cambric, 120 ahoots of weft in an inch, could only weave one picce in a weak, the groses wagen for which were 5w, 6d.-subject to a dodaction of aboat 1a. 4d. Hugh Mackenria, a hand-loom woaver of Gleagow. informed the aame Cosmmittoe, that the average not wnges of the weavers of plain goode in that city and ncighbourthood, would acarcoly amount to 5 e. per wrek. Mr. William Craig, a manufac. turar of handkerchiofin and ginghams at Glangow, atated the net wages of weavers in that department to bo 4e. 6d. to 5e, a week; and Mr. Thamua Devidion, a manufacturer of finey hppet goods in that city, stated the wages of the plain weavars to be from 5m to 5a. 6d, net on the avcrage, and that the plain weavern ware two. thirds or three-fourthe of all the hand-loom weavern in Scothend, whilkt the remaining one-chird or one-foorth oarsed on an average about Ben weok. On the proceedings of the Committoo on Hinci-

boom Weavern, it may be oberrved, that the melection of the with nemes, and the mode of examining them, show some dieposition to make out a case; and the mont unfajourable view of the wenvens' condition is presented, ${ }^{\text {ne }}$

Wages must depend upon production, and the following statement will at once satisfy the reader, that low as are those received by the weavers, they are fully equal to their deserts as producers.
"' A very grod hand weaner, 25 or 30 gears of age, will weave. twoe pieces of $9-8$ hhe ahirtings per week, each $\$ 4$ yarde long, eontaining 100 ahoots of weft in an inch; the reed of the cloth being a 44 Bolton connt, and the warp and weft 40 hanleito tho ib .
$\omega 1 \ln 1838$, a ateamdoom weaver, from 15 to 20 years of agar minted by a girl about 12 yoars of age, attending to forar loomm cen weave aighteen aimilar pieces in a week; some can weave twenty piecen.' "t

In a description of the cotton goods made in Lapcashire, at page 418 of Mr. Baines's work, it is stated, that the only goods that are the product of the powerloom exclusively, are stout printing calicoes. Stout calicoes for domestic purposes, as sheetings, coarse shirtings, \&c., cotton shirtings, and small wares, are said to be chiefly the product of the power-loom. Cotton velvets, velveteens, \&cc., of power and handlooms; but all other articles, including common printing calicoes, are made at hand-looms.

Hence it will be seen, that hand-looms continue to be,used for the manufacture of the commonest articles; and while sucis is the case-while human labour undertakes to compete with machinery-wages must necessapily be low. In the extract above given, it - will be seen that two-thirds or three-fourthe of the

[^12]weavers in Scotland are employed in the production of plain goods.

If one half of the hand-looms, or that portion of them now employed in producing articles that could be made by the power-loom, were set aside, and replaced by the necessary number of power-loomer, and females to attend them the effect upon the average of wages would be very great. There would be 125,000 persons, at the lowest rate of wages, withdrawn, and replaced by a small number, at the highest rate of female wages.

Hence it is evident, that much of the apparent difference in wages arises from the misapplication of labour in the weaving department, and I propose now to show that a similar result is produced in the spinning department.

The following is the account given by Mr. Baines of the throstle, which is the latest improvement in spinning machinery.
"Mr. Bannatyne thas describes this improvement ;-In the throetle, the epinning apparatue is in every reapect the eamo as in Sir Richard Arkwright's frame, but the movement of the parts in different. In phace of four or aix epindlees being coupled together, forming what in called a head, with a ecparato movement by a pal. loy end drum, an iothe cace in the freme, the whole rallern and spindles on both sidare of the throstle are connected togother, and turned by bands from a tin cylinder lying harizontally under the machine. The merit of the invention chiefly lies in the eimplifiontion of the moving apparaton juat mentioned. The movement is not only rendered lighter, but greater fheility in affordod for increas. ing the speed of the machine, and comeoquantly, when the nature of the epinning admita it, for obecining a larger production. The throato can elea, with mare eeco, and at leas expense, to altered to epin the difforent griets of jern; coly a fow moremonate require to
be changed in it to produce this end, while in the apinning-frame there are E great many.'
"Further improvements, which have the effect of increusing the veloci'y of the spindles, and consequently of augmenting the quantity of twist produced, have been made within the lent few yeers by American mechanics; but these machines cause a harge quantity of waste, and they are therefaro by no means entablished in general use as real improvements. Owing to theso advantages-tho greater quantity of twist produced, its consequent cheapnese, and its adaptation to the purpose of warps foe power-loom cloth of the coarser kinds-it in probable that the throoles will come into nso more extensively than at present. For all the finer qualities of yarn the mule is the ooly machine employed."*
"Slome idea may be formed of the proportions which these two machines at present bear to each other in the extent of their sdoption, from the statement of mule and throethe spindles in Lanarkehire, in November, 1831, made by Dr. Clelend, in his • Enumerstion of the Inhahitunts of Glaggow' \&cc. The number of mule epindlen is stated to be 591,288 , and of throsto apindles 48900-p. $151 .{ }^{3} 4$

By the above it is seen, that at Glasgow, in 1831, the number of throstles was only about one-twelfh of the mule spindles. In one of the tables above referred to, $\ddagger$ the whole number of persons employed in mule spinning is given at 65,216 , of whom more than one-third are male adults, while the throstle spinners are 7709 in number, and only $\mathbf{7 9 3}$ are male adults. Three-fourths of the mule spinners are males, while nearly three-fourths of the throstle spinners are females.

At Lowell, the mule is not in use in any of the factories. The throstle has been greatly improved, and is now worked to great advantage, and the conse-

[^13]quence is, that female labour here takes the place of the male labour employed in England.

It will be seen by the following passage that the throstle, where it has been introduced in England, has had the effect of superseding the demand for the labour not only of males, but of young children.
«The throwte, which hardly ever requires the operative to deviate from the perpendicular postore, has for a great many years eupermaded entirely that machine" [the water frame]. "It is managed by young persons from fifteen yeare of age and upwards, and does not nocesearily involve the employment of children. One girl is adequate to experintend a throntle-frame of 220 apindles. From this groet fictory department, therefore, children are in a great measure erscluded." ${ }^{\text {º }}$

In the carding department, the-difference in the proportion of males and females employed is exceedingly great. $\dagger$ Of 48,645 persons engaged in the cotton manufacture, there were employed in the carding rooms,

2350 male adults.
1328 males under 18 years.
Total, males, 3678
3501 female adults.
2578 females under 18 years
Total, females, 6079
At Lowell, in the card rooms, are employed 13 or 14 males to 33 females; the latter exceeding the former by 150 per cent, while in England the difference is only 65 per cent.

[^14]$\dagger$ Bainot, p. 372.

The whole number of persons employed in the carding rooms in England, is estimated* at 40,484, of whom there were, Male adults, $\quad 10,361$
Children, 5,522
15,883
Female adults, 15,062
Children, $\quad \mathbf{8 , 7 2 0}$
23,782
Age and sex uncertain, 819
40,484
In this case the difference is only 50 per cent.
Nearly all the most recent improvements of machinery have been made in the United States. That such should be the case, will not appear extraordinary, when it is recollected, that the best workmen are to be found where the best wages are paid, and as wages are highest in the United States, there is every reason to suppose, that at least as high a degree of intelligence prevails among the labouring classes, as in any other country. $\dagger$
Reference has before been made to the return of

[^15]151 cotton mills, in which it was shown, that of 48,645 there were 13,740 male adults, being about 271 per cent. of the whole.* Of these, 927 are engineers, firemen, \&c., and the remainder are employed in the different processes of carding, spinning, reeling, weaving, \&cc., nearly all of which is here done by women. At Lowell, the proportion of male adults does not amount to more than $12 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent.

It thus appears, that in every department of the cotton manufacture, there is an economy of labour greatly exceeding that of England, and that although apparently rather more expensive, it is really more productive. The effect of these improvements in the price of female labour, is the most remarkable and the most gratifying. By substituting it for that of males, to the greatest possible extent, it has been rendered so productive, that the wages received by females now average more than the average wages paid to men, women, and children, in the cotton mills of England.

Since the preparation of the report to the New York Convention in 1832, there have been various improvements in cotton machinery, the effect of which has been to increase the rate of wages, by rendering labour more productive. By a statement prepared at Lowell by W. Austio, Esq, superintendent of the Lawrence Factory, there were employed in the manufacture of cotton goods (exclusive of the printing establishments) about 4800 females, and $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ males. The average wages of the former

```
* Baiben p. $71.
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were $\$ 315$, or 13 s . 1d. per wreek, and of the latter $\$ 675$, or 28 s. Id-average of the whole, $\$ 3$ 67, or 15s. 4d. sterling, being considerably more than the average of the English cotion mills, as given above.

The factories at Lowell are, however, on the best footing, and it would not be just to compare the wages there paid with those of the ordinary mills of England. I will therefore take some of those selected by Mr. Baines, and enable the reader to make a comparison between the wages there paid, and those of Lowell

Fine cotton spinners, in the employ of Mr. T. Houldsworth, Manchester, received, in 1833, from 54s. to 65s. per week, out of which they paid their piecers 21s. to 22e. $6 d^{2}$, leaving them from 338 to 42s. per week**

There are 111 spinners at present employed in the mill; their average net earnings 33s. 3d. per week $\dagger$

In the card room, males receive from 15s to 30 s . per week. Females receive from $8 s, 6 d$. to $12 s$ Mechanics' wages, blacksmiths, turners, filers, or machine makers, and fitters up, are now from 27 . to 31s. per week. $\ddagger$

Spinners in the employ of Mr. Thomas Ashton, of Hyde, earned, in 1832, from 20s to 35s. Dressers received 30 s .6 d . Weavers, all of whom are employed in attending the power-loom, and are for the mot part young girls, average 12s.§. Deducting the young

[^16]persons, who receive inferior wages, and who would not be employed in this country, there can be litte doubt the average of wages paid by Mr. Ashton is nearly as high as that of Lowell.
"The net wages of a cotton spinner have been rarely under 30 s . a week, the year round."*

Mr. George Royle stated on oath before the Factory Commissioners, that the whole of his spinners, whose average weekly wages were $53 \mathrm{~s} .5 d$., turned out for higher wages $\dagger$

The average of wages of all persons, young and old, at the mills of Messrs. Lees, in Gorton, is 12s. per week. $\ddagger$

The improvements in machinery since 1832, have materially increased the wages of spinners, as will be seen by the following extract:
u In the year 1834, in two fine spinning-mills at Manchester, a epinner could produce sisteen pounds of yarn, of the fineness of two hundred hanke to the pound, from mules of the productive fertility of three hundred to three hundred and twenty-four spindles, working them sixty-nine hours: and the quantity that he turned off in sirty-nine houra more frequently exceeded sixtoen pounds thon fell short of it. These very mules being in the ame year replaced by othere of double power, let us analyze the result. The epinner had been accustomed to produce aisteon pounds of No. 200 yarm from mules of the aaid extent. From the list of prices, it appears, that in the month of May, he was paid 3s. 6d. per pound; which being multiplied by sixteen, given 54s. for his gross receipts, out of which he had to pay (at the highest) 13s. for assintanta. This leavea him de. of net earningn. But noon thereafter his mulen have their productive power doubled, being re-mounted with six hundred and

[^17]
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A statement of the weekly cates of wages paid in March and April, 1832, gives, for .

Spinners, men, 20s. to 25 s .
Spinners, women, 10s. to 15 s
Stretchers, men, 25s. to 26s.
Dressers, men, 28s, to 308.
Mechanics, 24s to 26s. $\dagger$
A statement of average net weekly earnings, of different classes of operatives, in various cotton factories, May 1833, gives the following:

Carders, or overlookers, - - 25s. 6d.
Spinners, overlookers, - - 29s. 3d.
Spinners, male and fernale, average, 25s, 8d.
Throstle spinners, overlookers, 22s. 4d.
Dressers, - - . . 273.9d
Engineers, firemen, mechanics, 8ec. 20. 6d.
Warpers, weavers, \& roller coverers, 10s, 10d to 12e. 8 d . Thase are both male and female. $f$

| - Urep pr 328. | noid. p. 400 |
| :---: | :---: |
| f Brinem pr 439. |  |

From a statement of daily wages of persons employed in the cotton mills of Glasgow and its vicinity, April 1832, compiled by Dr. Cleland, it appears that men on piece work, at spinning, earn from 21s. to 27 s per weelf. Lads and girls earn from 12 s . to 188. per week.?
" The Glasgow mills are, in productive power, much in arrear of the Manchester ones, as is proved by the circumstance of thirty or forty per cent. more being paid for the same produce of yarn in the former than in the latter place." $\dagger$ A necessary consequence of this deficient production, is that the average of wages is lower in Glasgow than in Manchester.

I am not possessed of information in regard to the woollen trade, as copious as that furnished by Mr. Baines's excellent work, but the improvements that have been made in machinery in the United States are very important, and have enabled the employers, in like manner, to employ female labour for many purposes for which male labour is still required in England. The following statement will enable us to see what is the proportion:-"The statistics of the woollen trade, at present, as far as they can be ascertained, give us the following results: number of manufactories, 1315-male operatives, $\mathbf{8 1 , 3 6 0 - f e}$ male operatives, 22,526." $\ddagger$

At the Middlesex woollen factory, in Lowell, the number of females is 240 , and of males 145 , whereas

[^18]in England the number of the latter would be 336. In the one case, the males exceed the fernales by 40 per cent, while in the other they are 40 per cent. less in number.

The following extract will show the average rate of wages paid in the woollen manufacture. Were the children deducted, it cannot be doubted that the rate would be nearly as high as that given by the New York Convention, say 12s. 6d. per week.
"The woollen manufacturers in the neighbourhood of Leeds,
monount to about 20,000 , working twelve hours par day, and may be
divided into three clamsen, viz weavers, earning 14e,-spinners and
slubbers, 21s, and drassers the mame-women gain about 6e-chil-
dron from eight to twelve yeara, 32. to $5 s$, ; from twelve to sixteen
yeara, 65. to 83. Forty yearn since, the arerage wagea of men, wo-
men, and children, in the woollen manuficture, were from 5e. to 6e.
each per week; they are now from 9e. to 10e. per week."-Leede
Morcury, Marel 23d, 1833, quated in Eiot. of Middle and Working
Clatices, p. 572.

The difference in the rate of wages paid in the woollen manufacture is remarkable. At Leeds, according to a table furnished by Dr. Ure,* men between the ages of 26 and 51 average from $22 s$ s. to $22 s .6 d$. In Gloucester, men of the same age average from 13s. to 1 ks . 3 d -in Somerset, 16s. 3d. to 19s. 9d.in Wiltshire, 13s. 7d. to 15s. 5d. The latter counties are in the south of England, where the abuses of the poor laws have been carried to the greatest extent. The following statement will enable the reader to see how regularly low wages accompany a high poor's rate.

[^19]| Leeds, | 22s. to 22s. 6 d. | 5s.7d. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gloucester, | 13s. to 15s. 3d. | 8s. 8d. |
| Somerset, | 16s. 9d. to 19s. 9 d . | 8s. 9 d. |
| Wilts, | 13s. 7d. to 15s. 5 d. | 16s. $6 d$. |

The cause of these extraordinary differences is to be found in the poor laws, which obstruct the circulation of labour, and produce the effects described in the following extract:
" © Were I to detail the melancholy, degrading, and ruinoven ayw tem which has been pursued throughout the country, in regard to the unomployed poor, and in the payment of the wages of idleness, I ahould ecarcely be credited beyond its confines. In the generality of parishes, from five to forty labourers have been without employment, loitering about during the day, engaged in idle gamea, insolting passengers on the road, or else conmuming their time in aleep, that they might be more ready and active in the poure of darkmena The weekly allowances cannot supply more than food; how then are clothing, firing, and rent to be provided ' By robbery and plun. der; and those so artfully contrived and effected, that dircovery has been almoot impomible. Picklocka have readily opened our barne and granaries; the lower orders of artificers, and even, in one or two instances, amall farmere, have joined the gang, congisting of ${ }^{\text {" }}$ trom ten to twenty men; and corn has been sold in the market of much mixed qualities by these mmall farmorn, that competent judges have assored me, it must have been stolen from different barne, and could not have been prodnced from their occapationn. Disgracoful as these fucts are to a civilized country, I could enumerate many more, bat recital would excito dingust'-Report of the Poor Law Commissionere, p. 70, 8vo. edit."*

The average of carpet and hardware manufacturers, is 22 s . $4 d$., or $\$ 536$ per week.

Carpet Manufacturers, 1st class, 30s.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
2 \mathrm{~d} & \text { do. } & 23 \mathrm{~s} . \\
3 \mathrm{~d} & \text { do. } & 20 \mathrm{~s} .
\end{array}
$$

- Ure. p. 355.


## Hardware and Metal, 1st do 25 s.

2 d do 20
3d do. 16e.
I think it must be evident to the reader, that any difference in wages that mayexist between England and the United States, must arise out of its better application in the latter. The perfection to which machinery has been brought, enables the proprietor to avail himself much more extensively of female labour than is the case in Europe. The labour of the females, as shown, is much more productive, and they consequently receive higher wages. The males, not being compelled to compete with machinery, are enabled to apply their powers in other ways that are more productive, and as a consequence, when they marry, the necessity for the employment of their wivest and young children in factories is unknown A further consequence is, that all parents have it in their power to obtain education for their children, and the children have time to receive it. A still further consequence is, that the state of morals at Lowell, Dover, Providence, and other places where extensive factories exist, is such as is almost utterly unknown in any other parts of the world, and constitutes a phenomenon in the moral, equal to that of Niagara in the natural world. $\dagger$

[^20]The necessity for the passage of $₫$ Factory Bills," does not exist in this country. In England, by interferences of all kinds, the parents are oppressed and reduced to the necessity of sending their children to work at the earliest possible age, and then it becomes hecessary to interfere anew, to prevent the children from bearing too much of the burthen. In the United States, on the contrary, it is so desirable to have a efficient hands, that the owners are not disposed to employ children at too young an age, and thus, 4
macy had taken place, and in all thome caree the partien wore marn sied on the diecovery, and eeveral monthe prior to the birth of thair childrea; eo that, in a logal point of viow, no illagitimato birth hat taken place among the fermales employed in the mille onder my disection. Nar have I known of but one cave among all the femelon comployed in Lowell I have said known-I abould eay heard of one case. I am juat informod that that was a case where the femnlo had boen employed bat a fow dayn in any mill, and wen forthwith rejocted from the corporation, and eent to her friende. In point of fanulo abastity, I bolieve that Lowell is an free from reproach an eny plece of en equal popalation in the United Staten or the world."

At the great emablithment at Dover, New Hampahire, I have beon amared there have never been a cume of beatardy.
Lot thin be comparod with the ntatemente of the Poor Law Comnmiecionern, und it will go far to nhow that the means which tend to promoto the increase of wealth, tend aleo to the promotion of momelity, and, at a necomary connequence, of happinoma. There can bo no doubt, that with a different ay atam, thare would in time arise, in the fictorles of Bagiand, a similar atate of thingur There ary, even now, name mimilar casce to be found in Englend, proving how mach good may be dooe where the owners are diapoesd to do what is in thair power to promote the cence of morality.
"Amonget the great nombers of ficlory operativen employed undar this gentleman, [Willium Grant Eeq, at Rumubottom,] only one case of fomenle miscouduct has occurred in the epseco of twenty yoarn and that was a Armorro deaghter."-Ure, p, 416-Noth
while the excellent situation of the labourer renders it unnecessary, the interest of the employer would tend to prevent it, should ideness or dissipation lead the parent to desire it.*

Owing to the system of making up wages out of the poor rates, it is exceedingly difficult to ascertain what is usually paid to the agricultural labourer, but I find in the Report of the Poor Laws Commissioners, that in 1832, wages at Eastborne were 2s. per day; at Brede 2s. 3d. per day, winter and summer; at Northian and Ewhurst the same.

The following extract will show the wages paid to common labourers at the Docks in London.
"What are the wages of permenent and catra? The wages of a . permanent labourer are 16s. per week; extra linbourera 2 s .6 d . per day, the latter being only emploged according to the demands of the marvice.
"In there ever any insufficiency felt of Engliak labourers? There

- The following passage from a Inte English journal, werves to show the inefficacy of legislative intorfesences. In the endeavour to avoid Seylla, they are sure to fall upon Charybdis.
"Mr. Brotherton has brought beforc Parliament a grievance which ought to obtain an immediate care. The public are ecarcely aware that children under cleven are required to produce a certificate of their age from a surgeon; still less do they know that thia zurgeon is empowered to exact aixpence for ench ecrtificate; or that when the child, as is frequently the case, ia tranaferred from one fletory to another, a fresh certificate is necessary. The children of Manchester alone have thua becn taxed, within a twelvemonth, to the extent of 520 L . In two district, the sum of 4000 L . has been thus wrung from the wages of the children within eleven monthe! These harah, these monstrous trutha are contained in returna laid before the House of Commons. Will that House close its labourn for the year, without terminating a eystem of spoliation that amounte to sacrilege ?"
in; withoat the aid of the Irish and some Germens, the busineme of the docks woold at times be impeded"-Evidence of John Fichl, Neoredery of Se Kotiverime's Dock, jce, before the Poor Laus Commiocimere.

Two shillings and three pence per day is equal to $\$ 170$ per annum. An agricuitural labourer in the United States receives nine dollars per month, equal to $\$ 108$ per annum, and his board, estimated at $\$ 65$, making \$173. In the immediate vicinity of the principal cities, wages are somewhat higher, being frequently ten, and sometimes eleven dollars per month The cost of boarding is also somewhat higher. The price given above would be considered a fair one at the distance of twenty or thirty miles from those cities. At greater distances they frequently at not exceed \$8, so that nine dollars may be considered as a fair average price.

The following is given in the History of the Middee and Working Classes, as an evidence that wages are "still lower in Scolland than in Lancashire" It will be recollected, that Lancashire is the head quarters of the hand weavers, whose situation has been described.
"At Dumfries hiring market, on Wednesday, healthy, unmarried men, who understood their business, commanded readily $\mathbf{£} 6$ for the half year, with board and lodging, and, in some instances, the pounds were made guineas. Dairy maids and others were hired at from 50s. to 555 s, according to character, capability, and experience; but the former was most common." Here we find wages from 56 to 60 dollars per annum, with board and lodging, while the
mame labourer would obtain here $\$ 108$, with boañ t and lodging; making, a difference of \$ 50 pez annumm. or about 96 cents per week, between this country and Scotland, in a case that is adduced as an evidence of the extremely low rate of wages, and where the taxes on consumption must be "paid by the anployer. When those taxes are added, it will be found that the cost of labourers is not probably 50 cents per week less than in the United States. The female servant has from.£5 to £ 5 10s., being an average of $\$ 2540$, or about 50 cent per week. In this case, the difference is considerable, although but a few years since good female servants' could readily be had at 75 cents. Adding to the wages of the Scottish' lass, the taxes on consumption, the difference wwill be very much lessened.

The saverage of the wages of carpenters, brickHyers, masons, and plasterers, as given in the contract prices of Greenwich Hospital, by Mr. M'Culloch', has been, for many years, 5s. 6d. per day, equal to $\$ 1$ 32. The wages of similar persons in Philadelphia, as already given, ( $p$. 26,) average about the same sum.
The wages of domestic servants, I believe, are higher in London than in any city of this country. By the following extract it will be seen that $\mathbf{\sum 1 5} \mathbf{1 5}$ given as the wages of female servants. This is much above the average here.
"There are, perhape, no earvicen which, in Englews, are more amply remonerated than thowe of damertic marvanta. While all other chuceon have suffered a groet depreciation during the lent twenty yeare, the wagen paid to domeotio carrante bave undoubedly is conemat The ordinury iteme of the expeoditture of this chate have
aloo veryncenaiderably diminished; the cont of clothing, which, we preizume, constituten the chief disbursement of fomale domestic mervante hat diminished, aince the peace, at least 80 per ceant. Hence the ic 15 now paid as yearly wages, is equivalent to $x 27$ twenty years aince. The number of famale servente in Britain is upwarde of 700,000 ; and if their agen wene calculated, wo do not doubt it would be found that two-thirdn of all thid Britich damelf between the aget of fifteen and twenty-five, are domestic marvanta; yol, notwithrtanding this immense sapply of fentale labourera; the demand in superior to it; their wages rive and their promperity is atrikingly evinced by their elegant garmenta and cootly decorations. Malo serventere aret so numerona, yet the demand is ruperior to the cupply; and the mervicea of a footman, gratritounly educated at the parish achool, already command an equal remuneration, and promise to command a higher price thin the earvices of a curate; who has expended large cums in the acquirement of clasic lore at the ani-- vernities." ${ }^{2}$

From the above it is evident that there is not the difference that has been supposed in the amount of money wages received by the labourers of England and the United States. At page 63 it will-be: ieen that Mr. Senior estimates wages in Great Britain al an average of $£ 35$ per annum, and in tho Ynited States and Canada at $£ 46 \mathrm{j3s}$. per annum. The lowest weekly wages in the former he puts at 108 . per week, the highest 17s., and the average 13s. $6 d$. In the United States and Canada, the lowest is 13 s. $6 d$. , the highest 22 s. $6 d$., and the average 18s. By the statements given above it has been seen that the average wages of males and females above 16 in a cotton factory, in which more than half are females, are 12s., nearly equal to his average of men's wages.

[^21]It has also been shown that the wages of agricultural labour in some parts of England, are 2s. 3d. per day, equal to the average wages stated by Mr: Senior. It is very true that in a large portion of England not more than 10s. are paid as wages, but to that must be added the parish allowance, which would make it equal to what is paid elsewhere.* Even in Scotland, where wages are exceedingly low, it is shown that from six pounds to six guineas the half year is paid, and if to that be added 6s. per week for board, the whole will exceed 10s. In Wade's History of the Middle and Working classes (p. 538,) the average of husbandry wages is stated at 128 . If this be correct, the whole average must certainly very much exceed 13s. 6 d .

The average of carpet and hardware manufacturers is 22s. 4d. per week-of carpenters, bricklayers, masons, \&c., 33s. per week. Is it possible thet such wages could be obtained when the average of men's wages was only 13s. 6d. 1 I believe it is not.

It is probable that a much nearer average of wages will be found at 18 s. per week, or 72 cents per day.

[^22]
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That of the United States is perhaps a little higher, but the difference cannot, I think, exceed 8 or 10 per cent., and árises entirely out of the superior application of labour.*

> - The following statementa, omitted in their proper pleces, give a view of the operation of two factories of the higheat character, couch of New England, and show a nearer approach to the condition of the average English factories, than is to be found at Lowell.
> Average waget
> The firct in a cotton mill, employing 65 men, $\quad \$ 770$ 98 children, $\quad 150$

Average wagea 3 41, or $148.2 d$. Here it will be obaerved, that the proportion of men is pearly 20 per cent.; about 30 per cent- are childran; and less than one half are women, In the English mills referred to at page 71, 31 par cent. are men, 36 per cent. children end about ane-third women.

| The mecond in a woollem mill, employing |  | Average wager |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 44 men, | 625 |
|  | 57 females, | 250 |
|  | 39 children, | 125 |

Average wages 33 33, or 13e. 10d. If the children be equally divided between the aexen, there will be 64 males, and 76 femnlea. At Lowell, in the woollen manufacture, 64 malea would give 106 femalem, while in England there would be only 39.

It is probablo that the machinery in thewe mills is not so perfect to that of the Lowell mills, which will account for difference of production and difference of wages. The improvements of the present timed, tend very much to reducing the demand for childrea and men, and increasing that for young women, a ohange that cannot be ctherwiso than adventageoun.

## tesgy of tini mati or wages

## CHAPTER VII.

Ir Mr. Senior is in error as to the amount, he is not less so, I think, as to the causes, of difference. He admits himself to be unable to account for it, except by ascribing it to British superiority in industry and skill. There is here a want of precision in the use of the word " skill." It is well known that it is not want of skill in the management of the miserable tools he possesses, that affects the Hindoo. On this head, Bishop Heber says:-

* Nor in it true that in the mecharic arts they are infarior to the seneral rum of the Buropean nations. Whare they fill shoth of en, which is chiefly is agricultoral implementh, and the mechanice of camman lifo, they are not, wo fir en il beve understood of Italy and the South of France, anrpasoed in any dogree by the people of thons countrice Their goldmiths and weavers produce as beautifl fabries es our own, and it is so fir from tros that they are obminetal? wodded to their ald patterna, that they mow an anriety to imiteso cor models, and do imitate them soceominlly. The chip baile by mative artivte mombay are motorimoly as good at amy which ari firm Loodon or Liverpool. The earriages ot gige which they orpphy at Culcatit are a handeome, thongh not en durableree thoeo of Langecre. In the little town of Monghyr, three humdred miles firom Calcutie, I had pietoly, double-barreled gank, and diferent pincte of cembint work, trought down to thy bout for ins, which it opiward form, for I know no furthor, sobody but perhapa Mr. poold deteot to bo of Bindoo arigin, and at Dethi in the chop of a mative jewellor, I foond broachos, ear-vinges mureboweg, At $c_{4}$ of the
 deviese and motice"

From this it will be seen that it is not akill, but capital, in the form of improved tools, and other aida

## TSAT OX THE RATE OF WAGYS

to industry, that is wanting, and if the Hindoo did not possess very considerable skill in the management of such as he has, it would not be possible for him to pay the enormous assessments of the Company.

Labour is said to be dearer in America than in England, because of the superior capital in land; but if such be the case, why would it not be as correct to say that it is cheaper in Hindostan because of the inferior capital in land, as well as of tools with which it is to be wrought? If superior capital give superior wages, we may well believe that inferior capital will give inferior wages. Superior capital in land is deemed sufficient to make amends for deficient skill in the American, enabling him to earn even higher wages than the Englishman; but if it produce so much effect, why should not a similar effect be produced in Russia, which, with an European population of forty-two millions, has twelve times as much European territory as Great Britain and Ireland, with a population of twenty-two millions? Or why are not wages as high in Brazil, with its immense territory and limited population? Or why should not the abundance of capital in other shapes, existing in England, have an equal effect? Her lands are in a high state of cultivation; the amount of capital invested in turnpikes, and railroads, and canals, is immense; and steam, with its mighty power, is used to an extent almost incredible. Her mines of iron, lead, tin, copper, and coal, are abundant, and highly productive; mills and machinery of every description abound, and are of the first order, and circulating capital, with which to work them, is abundant, at a low rate of interest. In this country,

- ${ }^{1} 2$
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land, and mines of iron, gold, lead, and coal are abundant; but circulating capital is scarce, and while it remains so, much of this important machinery is of no more use for present purposes than the mill to the man who has used his whole credit and capital in erecting it, and is unable to command the means with which to put it in operation, as has not unfrequently occurred. So scarce is this description of capital in many parts of this country, that it is not unfrequently worth from twelve to twenty-four per cent. per annum, and in some of the states, the legal rate of interest is ten per cent. Mr. S. has certainly erred in supposing the difference of wages to arise from this cause, and if there be inferiority in point of skilh, it must be compensated in some other way.

I say if there boinferiority of skill, not being at all prepared to admit such to be the case. On the contrary, my impression, as stated at the close of the last chapter, is that labour is more advantageously applied in the United States than in England. In making a comparison between two countries, it is necessary to take some pursuit in which both are fairly engaged, as it would not do to compare a flutist and violin player, and attribute superior skill to the latter, because the former could not handle the bow; nor to the native of Hindostan, because he displayed more ability in the management of a rice plantation, than an Englishman who had but recently seen one.

In what does this superior skill consist? Is it in agriculture? The farmer of the United States would be most unwilling to exchange his implements for those of England; all that he uses are calculated to
save labour in a much greater degree, and he would lose much by relinquishing the cradle for the reaping hook, or the horse-rake for the hand-rake.*

Is it in the manufacture of flour? Oliver Evans is the first authority in the world on this subject, and his book is studied in England, while it has been translated on the continent, and is adopted every where. Is it in the manufacture of cotton goods? The English journalists tell us that all the recent improvements have been sent from this country to England. Is it in navigation? Compare the number of English vessels engaged in the trade between the two countries, and the question will be answered; or compare the number of vessels engaged in the whale fishery, when it will be found that the American ships triple those of England. Or remark the fact, that with her immense trade with all the world, with her great empire in India, there is nothing in England to compare with the lines of packet ships between the United States and Europe. Is it in steam navigation? Compare the steam vessels of the several countries, and it will not long be a matter of question.

I am disposed to believe that Mr. Senior himself

[^23]
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would withdraw the claim of superior skill, and admit that in every pursuit in which the people of the United States have been, or are, fairly and fully engaged, there is no deficieney such as he has supposed, requiring superior capital in land to make amends for it.

The question now arises: what is the cause of the difference in the productive powers of the nations to which we have referred? Why is it that a man labouring on the shores of the Ganges cannot obtain an equal amount of the comforts of life with another labouring on the banks of the Thames or the Delaware? Why is the labourer in the vicinity of Calcutta barely able to exist, while another in the neighbourhood of Philadelphia or New York can accumulate capital? Why is it that when the labourer of Calcutta is content with a handful of rice per day, he does not drive the American labourer out of the markets of South America, open to both, upon equal terms, and with bittle difference of freight? Why is it that he cannot even hold his own market for the sale of his cottons?

The answer is, that the system of government of Hindostan tends to prevent the growth of capital, while that of England, and still more, that of the United States, tends to promote it. Upon capital depends production; upon production depends wages. Where production is small, wages cannot be otherwise than low.
If capital increase more rapidly than population, the ratio of production, or revenue, to population will increase, and wages will rise; but if population increase more rapidly than capitala the con-
trary effect must be produced, and wages must fall. The experience of the United States shows how much more rapidly capital may increase than population, when security of person and property are obtained at moderate cost of government, while that of Great Britain, the Netherlands, and France, shows that the tendency so to do, is too great to be prevented, even by the lavish expenditure of those nations. In Spain and Turkey, mis-government has been carried so far as to prevent it; but it is doubtful, if in any other part of Europe such is the case. With these exceptions, Europe, and certainly the United States and Canada, may be cited in proof of the assertion, that capital has a tendency to increase more rapidly than population, and that it will do so, when not prevented by disturbing causes, the most important of which are,

First. Insecurity of person and property.
Second. Heary taxation.
Third. Restrictions upon the freedom of action, or of trade.
All tending to produce the
Fourth. Want of industry.
All of which it is proposed to consider, with reference particularly to England, the United States, and Hindostan.

In his Lectures on Wages, Mr. Senior adverts to two of the above disturbing causes, restraints upon commerce and difference of industry, but he does not notice the others, which are of the utmost importance.

## CHAPTER VIII.

First among these causes is insecurity of person or property, or both, as where these exist improvement can hardly be hoped for. The beneficial effect of security is well described by Mr. Mי Culloch in the following passage, and he does not attach to it more importance than it merits.
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with foreign watee hus, indeed, been wobjected to many verations and oppressive regulations; but full acope has been given tiethe cosopetition of the home producans; and, on the whole, the matural ordet of things has been lese disturbod amongat us by artificial restrainta, than in moat other countries, But without security, no degtee of freedom could have been of any material impartance, Happily, however, every man has felt atisfied, not only of the temporary, but of the permanent tranquillity of the country, and the atability of it institutions. Tho plans and combinations of the capitalists have not been affected by any miagivings as to what might take place in future.
$u$ Moneyed fortunes have not been armassed, because they might be mere easily sent abroad in periods of confusion and disorder; but all individuals have unhesitatingly engaged, whenever an epportunity offered, in undertakings of which a remote posterity was alone to reap the benefit. No one can look at the immense oums expended upon the permanent improvement of the land, on docks, wrehehouses, canals, \&xc, or reflect for a moment on the ectllement of property in the funds, and the extent of our system of life insurance, without being impressed with a deep sense of the vast importance of that confidence which the public have placed in the eecurity of proparty, and, consequently, in the endurance of tile present order of things, and the good faith of government. Had this confidance been imperfoct, industry and invention would have been paralyzed; and much of that capital, which clothes and feeds the industrious classes, would never have exieted. The maintenance of this secarity entire, both in fact and in opinion, is essential to the public welfare. If it be anywise impaired, the colomal fisbric of our prosperity will crumble into dust; and the commerce of London, like that of Car. thage, Palmyra, and Venice, will, at not a very remote period, be famoun only in history. It is, therefore, of the utmost consequence, that in introducing the changea which the wants and altared cir. cumstances of society require should be madc in the frame of our polity, nothing be done to impair; but every thing to atrengthon, that confidetuce and security to which we are mainly indebted for the high and conapicuous place we have long occupied among thm nations of the earth."

In the United States equal security has existed. Their course has been peaceful, and they have known
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nothing of the calamities of war since the peace of 1783, except for a short period, and even then it was confined to a very small portion of the country. Confiding in the protection of the laws, capitalists have invested their fortunes in mills and machinery; in canals and rail roads. The most gigantic projects have been started; many of them have been commenced, and several completed. No enterprise seems too great, and capital is always to be found when it can be shown that the investment will be profitable. The capitalists of Europe, feeling the same confdence, make investments in the stocks of our canals, rail roads, and banks, thus aiding in giving employment to the population, which ignorance of the true principles of political economy drives to our shores

In India, on the contrary, security of person or property has never been known. Since the Mahommedan Conquest the country has at all times been desolated by the march of immense armies At one time by the invading hosts of a Tamerlane; at others by those of a Baber or Nadir; at all times by the contending forces of opposite factions, always existing in a country where the succession to the throne is irregular, and its possession uncertain; and where it is constantly the object of contention among fathers and children; brothers and cout sins; sovereign and subject.-The history of India is a long scene of horrors, marked only by the "incessant plunder and devastation of provinces: the perpetual marching and counter-marching of armies, and their lawless predatory habits." Nothing more fully illustrates the state of society, than the fact of

## 

> the existence in their language, of two such terms as "Wulsa" and "Joar," describing, in single words, scenes so unusual in other countries, that no term has been invented for them. The following account of them is tom Rickards's India.*

> 4 Illustrations of the manners and immemorial habita of a people are sometimes unexpectedly derived from a careful attention to the elements or atructure of their language. On the approach of a hostile army, the unfortunate inhabitants of India bury under ground their mont cumbrous effects, and each individual, man, woman, and child above nix years of age, the infant childrep being carried by thair mothers, with a loud ef grain proportioned to their atrength, isaue from their beloved homen, and take the direction of a country, (if moh can be found,) exempt from the miseries of war: sometimes a strong fortress; but more generally of the mont unfrequented hilla and woods, where they prolong a miserable existence, until the doparture of the enemy; and if this should be protracted beyond the time for which they had provided food, a large portion necesaarily dies of hunger. The people of a district thus deserting their homes, are aalled the Wulet of the district. A state of habitual mimery, involving precaution against incessant war, and unpitying deprev dation of ao peculiar a detcription, at to require in any of the languages of Europe a circumlocution, is exprensed in all the languages of the Deccesn and the south of India, by a single word.
> "The eccond fact is, the ahocking ceremony of the Joar, of which come instancen have been above given. We have eeen that the Bindooe, when driven to deapair by the Mussulman arms, were in the habit of aacrificing their own wives and children, by burning alive, or otherwise destroying them, to avoid the barbarities and pollotions they would have to ondure, by falling into the hande of their con= quorora. From facta like these it is to conecive how dreadful must have been the fate of the sufferers; whilst the name or appollation It obtained throughout Indie, proves the cruolty to have been of no

[^24]
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unfrequent occurrence. Even the horrore of the Inquisition in the west, are not to be compared with those of an eastern Joar. In the formar, individuals only suffered, and generally under the consoletory hope that their temporary panga woold be rewarded by a happy etarnity; but in the latter, thousands at a time were sacrificed, and with no other feeling at the moment than the conviction that the eparing of their livee would only be to expose them to greater cruelfies"

The last century was marked by the invasion of Nadir Shah, attended with an extraordinary destruction of life and of property. Independently of all that was destroyed, it was estimated that he carried with him into Persia, gold and silver and jewels to the almost incredible amount of thirty-two millions of pounds sterling. Shortly pretious to that invasion, Sevajee had laid the foundation of the Mahratta power, which continued, during the whole of that century, and until its final overthrow by the Marquis of Hastings, to spread havoc and desolation throughout India. Some idea may be formed of the effect of the operations of such a body from the following:-

[^25]the imperial armien fram Delhi, who atill continued to carry off vart contributione from the Devean; secondly, of thoir local Mumentman governors ; and thirdly, of their equally insatiate Mahratta invaderno Tho march of a Mehrattanermy is generally described an desolating the country through which it passes, on eithor: nide of its route, Fhich may thus easily be traced by rained villages and deatroyed cultivation. They pluader an they moverabong, seixing by violence. or by treachery, on all that is valuable, ot any way conducive to their present securfy or ulterior views. Sevajee's depredations in this way were excessive; so that it his death his treasuries and arsenals were atocked even to exuberance. Anong ofher acts of the kind, he plundered the rich city of Surat three different times; on one of which occasions only, hia booty was estionted at ane millian terling. In his celebrated incursion into Drauveda;bow called the Carnatic, he is asid to have carried off vast wealth; but the beat proof, as well as the most characteristic trait of his unbounded and indincriminate depredations is, that he was at length dintinguiahed by the appellation of "The Robber," which was applied to him as an exclusive and appropriate title."-Rickarde, Vol. i. 236.

## The Pindarees were another description of plunderers, thus described:-

$u$ It is a remarkable proof of the anarchy and tyranny long prevalent in India, and of the deplorable ataty of its inhabitanta, that a power like that of the Pindarees should have grown into such farmidable dimenaions in the very heart of the country; and epreading tarror through all the neighbouring atatea, should require for its euppreasion one of the largeat British armien that was ever callod into the field. There are authentic recordn of the exirtence of Pindarees, as a marauding body, for upwards of a centary. * Their rants were constantly replenished with vagrants of all casten, and from every quartar of India; men driven from their homes by oppression, derpair, or famine, to meek a precarious mubsistance by plunder.
${ }^{4}$ Their incorsions into the British tarritories were no frequent, and their devastations 80 extamaive, an to require a military forco to bo annually employed againat them. Their progreas wee genarally marked by moking ruine, and the mont inhoman barbatitios to parcoon of both sarol.
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> "Marquir Hartinge observes of the Pindareen, "When it is recollected that the assoffation in question consisted of above 30,000 mounted 'men, all professediy sabsisting by plunder, the pretent of theatre necessary to furnish an adequato prey may be well conceived. The whole of the Nizam's nubjects, as well as the inhabitants of the northern circars of the Medras presidency, were contantly exposed to devastation. It was not rapine alone, but uner. appled barbarity, that marked the course of the epoilens. Their violation of the women, with circumstances of peculiar indignity, which made maltitudes of the victima throw themselves into wells, or burn themselves together in straw hats, wase invariable; and thoy subjected the malo villagen to refined tortures, in order to exiract disclosure where their little hoards of money were busied' ${ }^{n}$ Rickarde, i 260.

The French and English nations were also contending for the sovereignty of that vast country, stirring up wars among the natives, that they might profit thereby. The native princes themselves, among the most distinguished of whom were Hyder and his son Tippoo, were plundering their subjects to obtain the means of waging war with their neighboims, either for the purpose of retaining or extending their dominions. In short, war, pestilence, and famine stalked abroad, with powerty, and misery, dind wretchedness in their train.

On a smaller scale, for where all are plunderers, there must be some of an inferior order, was what is called Decoity, or gang robbery, anether of the inflictions upon this unfortunate country. Recruits were never wanting for the Decoits, or the Pindarees, or any other robbers, for misery and want were constantly driving the people from the homes of their fathers, to seek by plundering others, to make amends for having been plundered. "Murder, robbery, rape
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and torture in the most barbarous shapes, were the constant practice of these Decoits. Nothing was more usual with them than to bind up persons in straw, hemp, or quilts moistered with oil, and to burn them alive to force a discovery of hidden treasures." -Rickards, ïe 207. The judge of the Calcatta Circuit says, June 13, 1808, "If its vast extent were known, if the scenes of horror, the murders, the burnings, the excessive cruelties which are continually perpetrated here, were ptoperly represented to Government, I am convinced some feasures would be adopted to remedy the evil. * * It camot be denied that there is in fact no protection for persons or property." This too was in Bengal, in the vicinity of Caleutta, the earliest and most important possession of the Company!

The police were little better, if we may judge from an extract from a letter of Mr. Secretary Dowdeswell, (1809), quoted by Mr. Rickards. He says they are an actual "pest to the country from their avarice, and addiction to evercy species of extortion."

Since that time the Mahrattas and Pindarees have been subdued, but gang robbery still exists, although to a much more limited extent. During the existence of such a state of things, all that could be hoped for by the unfortunate cultivator, would be sufficient to secure hin and his family from starvation. Not only capital could not accumulate, but it was destroyed much more rapidly than it could be reproduced, and the people were retrograding towards barbarism. Immense tracts of land were depopulated and soon became Jungle, inhadited only by lions and tigara,
who now roam unmolested where the Hindoo had for ages cultivated the arts of peace.

During the period of comparative security that has followed, population has again begun to extend itself slowly, and part of those lands that had been abandoned, have again been brought under cultivation; but a long time will be necessary to recover from the effects of such a state of things as has been described.

We have seen the calamitous effects produced by the revolution of 1830 in France. Paris was deserted; workmen were discharged and left to starve; merchants were ruined; and the agriculturist was without a market for his products. Yet compare all the tumults of France in the last five years, with a single hour of the presence of Nadir Shah in Delhi, and they sink into insignificance.

In the organization of the Courts of Justice there are also defects which tend to increase insecurity. "The delay in the administration of justice is, of course, enormous and increasing. Under the Bengal presidency, the causes in arrear were, in 1819, 81,000 ; and in 1829, they had crept up to 140,000 , or in ten years sustained an increase of 75 per cent" -W. Rev. Vol. xix. p. 142.

The traveller through England sees the country dotted over with farm houses and cottages, as is the case in the United States; but crossing the channel and entering France, he is immediately struck with the difference in the landscape. Instead of neat cottages, each with its little piece of land, he seea here
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and there a dirty village, and finds that is the residence of all the cultivators of the surrounding country. Living here, they are compelled to walk daily one or two or three miles to their patches of land, and with a view to save expense and trouble of transportation, they occupy that which is nearest, whether fitted or not, for raising their heavy crops, while those of a lighter kind are reserved for the more distant land. A slight knowledge of history, with a little reflection, will satisfy him that this is the result of the insecurity that has prevailed in that country, as well as in most countries of Europe. Exposed at all times to the violence of contending factions; robbed alternately by the soldiers of Valois and of the League; by the Catholic and the Hugonot; the labourer could look for protection only to union with his neighbours, and deemed a residence in a dirty village, with security, preferable to purer air, with the risk of being daily plundered by friend and foeThe injurious effect of this is described by Mr. Jacob, thus:-

[^26]
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them, wrould make the houses and erections in the vilngea, nuimanoes,
and incumbrances on the land, rather than beneficial property."-
Scosed Report, p. 14t
To the same cause may be attributed the indisposition of the people of the continent to engage in great public improvernents, and the necessity for their being undertaken, if at all, by the government; while in England they are made entirely, and in the United States in a great degree, by individual capital and exertion. Who would be willing to invest his capital in the building of a bridge liable to be blown up during the next war, by either friends or invaders? or in making canals, the right to collect tolls upon which would probably cease at the next war, and which, at the ensuing peace, would perhaps be transferred to an adjoining State? Even in France, where such events are least likely to occur, the people have been so long accustomed to look to the government for all improvements of this description, that they can with difficulty accustom themselves to the idea of such investments of capital, and the most important communications remain unimproved.

[^27]
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lages of Pingland, derived from the enterprising spirit und reil patrictism of its inhnhitants, and then upon her internal commomict tiong, he days, "Je percoars apres une loague aboence lea departemens du Jara, de l'Ain, du Seope et Laire, du Rhone, et lea provinceu intarieures da Royaume; je troave les chemine vicinaur, lee rivieres, leas fleuves, dans l'uncien etat de nature; on n'arrive d'uno contrie i Pantre que par des direotions farctes et difficien En s'bcertant des grandes routes entretenues, on entre danas des eapposes de deserta; on ne décourre plas que quelques truces des familles qui out illustré on enrichi la France; an n'appergoit qua les raines de leur demeures, ou des débris de domaines qui pesseent sans cesoe de main en main, ou crexploitent per procuration, wu dotrimens do maitre et de h coatroe. J'ai traverod plȧzurn finit dasa tiffires dopertemens vingt lievea carrees, sana rencontrer un cumal, wo roete, une minuficture, et surtout une terre habitice: La campagne cemble un exil abandonno aux malbeureux; sea interets et ses bocoins mont meeonnus, et en détrenso toxjoturs croissante par lo bes prix dea produits at la difficulto dea transports:' "-Quarteriy Resien, Vol. zxin, p 412.

For many years it has been in contemplation to make a canal or rail-road from Havre to Paris, and thence to the Rhine; but it is not yet commenced, although offering greater advantages than almost any other route in Europe. With a capital like Paris and similarly situated, the people of the United States would, before this time, have made some half dozen communications with the ocean, and most probably have reached the Rhine in two or three places. Already there are two communications between the ocean and the western waters completed, and several others are in progress, and likely to be completed sooner than that between the Rhine and the ocean, although the latter would afford facilities of intercourse to a population at least twenty times greater than can benefit by any one of the others. At Am-
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sterdam, capital tray often be had at two per cent. per annum, but it is not wanted for such purposes, and the bankers find a market for it here at a much higher price than can be obtained at home.

The same causes have prevented the construction of roads or canals in Spain, the consequence of which is, that " all means of transport are dear, and in the neighbourhood of Salamanca it has been known, after a succession of abundant harvests, that the wheat has actually been left to rot upon the ground, because it would not repay the cost of carriage"-Ed. Rev. Vol LV., 448.

## CHAPTER IX.

Trie second great disturbing cause is the unproductive expenditure by government of the enormous sums collected by taxation.
In the United States, taxation has been at all times light. With a government comparatively cheap, and abstaining from war, it has never been necessary, except for a short period after its establishment, and again during the war of 1812 , to seek for subjects of taxation elsewhere than at the custom house. The state governments have had to support themselves by other taxes, chiefly those on land, but the whole amount of taxation has been comparatively small, and the absence of the other great drawbacks has rendered it very easy to meet these demands. False ideas of the effect of legislation upon the price of labour have induced the extension of the duties on imports so far as to comped the people to pay considerable sums for the protection of domestic manufactures, but the effect has not been heavily felt, and it is to be hoped that the more correct views that now obtain will, at no very distant period, with the present tariff law, put the matter on a preper footing.

There is still too much disposition on the part of Congress and the state legislatures to legislate away money, without due consideration, and particularly for what are called internal improvements; without reflecting that if those improvements were really necessary, they would be made by private enterprise, and that, even if they be necessary, there does not
seem to be any good reason why the money of $\mathbf{A}$. B. and C. should be expended to improve the property of D. E. and F., particularly when it at the same time probably reduces the value of that of the former. The people of Maryland, and the District of Columbia, have been very urgent with Congress to complete the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal. If done, it most be done with the money of New York and Pennsylvania, both of which states have made canals for the same purpose at their own expense. There can be no doubt there will be ample business for all, but is it right to require the people of New York to make a canal in opposition to their own, or the people of New Orleans to contribute to a great wort, the chief object of which is to draw trade from the Gulf of Mexico to the Atlantic sea-board?

Legislators would to well to recollect the flllowing sound remarks of Sir Henry Parnell:-
"Tacution is the price we pay for government; and evary particlo of expene that is incurred beyond what necemity ahoolutely requires for the preservation of social ardex, and for tho proctection aguint farcign attack, ia wrete, and an mjunt and opprensive impo. sition upon the pablic. Every minister, and every member of Parlinment who has the power to apend or mave the pablic monejs, aboukd do his beat to preveat the wante of the atate from depriving the people of the meane of providing for their wanta, and therefire economy and fragulity, which are virtoes in e private atrion, fir
 edtot the mosk preating of dation" p. 107.

Since the revolution of 1689, England has deemed it necessary to take a part in all the wars of Europe, but all previous exertions were trivial, compared with those which she made under the pretence of "fighting for the liberties of Emerope," the true object of which
was to prevent the spread of the revolutionary spirit at home, and check the demand for a reform, that would have a tendency to distribute more equally the burthen of government. These extraordinary efforts for the freedom of the uorld, caused enormous expenditure, enormous debts, and their associate, enormous taxation. In collecting these large sums, the interests of the land owners required to be considered, as they were the makers of laws, and thus the taxes on land are light, while almost the whole revenue is raised by taxes on consumption, and levied upon articles used chiefly by the labouring classes. Mr. Pitt said, that "three-fifths of the price of labour are said to come into the Exchequer."* Sir Henry Parnell estimates that the higher classes do not pay more than six millions out of fifty. Mr. Bulwer says, "By indisputable calculation it can be shown that every working-man is now taxed to the amount of one-third of his weekly wages; supposing the operator to obtain twelve shillings a week, he is taxed therefore to the amount of four shillings per week; and at the end of six years, (the supposed duration of Parliament,) he will consequently have contributed to the revenue, from his poor energies, the almost incredible sum of £62 3s." $\dagger$ The Metropolitan for July, 1833, gives the following "amount of taxes paid by a citizen of London, having, we will suppose an income of £200 a year, out of which he is necessitated to support himself, his wife, three children, and a servant maid!" showing that out of that sum, above eighty pounds are paid to government.

[^28]| Articles Taxed and Urod. | Rate of TaxtLion demauded. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \text { Amount of } \\ \text { Taxed leried } \\ \text { by Govkren } \\ \text { ment. } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| per ilb. - - . | 1001. per cent. | 350 |
| Sugar-6 Ihe a week - | 21d. per lb. | 214 |
| Cofee- 1 lh per week | 6d. per lb. | 16 |
| Porter and ale-2 pota per diem (malt and hop tax) | 2d. per pot. | $\begin{array}{lll} 3 & 0 & 10 \end{array}$ |
| Spirit-1 pint per week (lowest ave- |  |  |
| Wine-1 quart per week, on a yearly |  |  |
| Soap-3 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ lbse per week. | 58. 6 d. per gal $3 d . \mathrm{per}$ lb. | 119 |
| Pepper-5 lbs, a year at least | 13. per lb. |  |
| Other spices-viz. ginger, cinnamon, cloves, \&c. | at least |  |
| Paper-for the family, or boys at school, 1 lb . weekly - | 3 d . per Ih |  |
| Starch-12 lbs, yearly | 31d. per lb. |  |
| Newnpaper to read anly-daily 1d. | thh of ata. | 110 |
| Currants dried-25 lbs. a year | 5 d. per lb. |  |
| Raisins, oranges, lemons, pranes, nuts, sec | varions rates. | 10 |
| Osoational use of an omnibus, cab, hackney, or stage-coach | ditto. | 1 |
| Sundries-such an taxes on medicines, books, glass, silver-spoons, small items, and luxarien \&c. | ditto. |  |
| House, window, and land tax - | ditto. | 10100 |
| Poor, church, highway,"water, gas, police, \&e. | ditto. | 100 |
| Taxen on house materials which are included in the rent-viz on bricke, timber, glass, \&ec. | ditto. | 120 |
| Taxes paid to butcher, baker, tailor, milliner, ahoomsker, hatter, and all persons employed, who being themselvee tared on the preceding articles, proportionably enhance their demand for goods rendered or sarvicen done | at leat | 210 |
| Total tares paid by a parmon with 2001. par annam |  | 80104 |

Of the whole sum collected for the support of government, fifty-two millions sterling, less than one third is raised by taxes on lands, stamps, insurances, wood, wine, servants, carriages and horses, probates and legacies, and by the Post Office, leaving the remainder to be paid chiefly by the articles used by the labouring classes, as malt, hops, sugar, spirits, tea, tobacco, coffee, corn, soap, newspapers, \&c., \&c.

The land tax which affected the aristocracy, was made permanent in 1798, upon an assessment then more than a century old, and produces now little more than a million of pounds, notwithstanding the immensely augmented value of landed property. The income tax was repealed immediately after the close of the war, because it affected the pockets of the law makers. The house tax is assessed in a manner that. secures that class from the payment of their share of the public burthens almost as effectually as the same class were secured in France before the revolution. The following are specimens.



Northumberland Hoase, in London, pays 41d. per square foot, and the grocer's shop next door, 7 shillings!

Nottingham Castle, being injured some time since by a mob, the Duke received twenty thousand pounds sterling for the damage to a property valued at £ 100 sterling per annum. "Let it be supposed, however, that Nottingham Caste is worth a quarter of a million sterling, which is probably much nearer its price. His Grace's mansion ought, in this case, to be rated at $£ 16,250$ per annum, while his house tax ought to be $£ 2,301 \mathrm{ls}$ sd. per annum." *** "Farm houses are wholly exempted from taxation, which farm houses are as much the property of the landed aristocracy as the hedges and the ditches and the very fields which compose their estate"*

A house with eight windows pays a tax of 2s. each -sixteen windows 4s. 11d. each-thirty-two windows, $6 s .8 d$. each-and the scale rises gradually, until at thirty-nine windows the charge is about 7s each. Having thus arranged to include all the middle and working classes, a provision is now to be made to let off as easily as possible what Captain Hall calls the money-spending classes. Accordingly, forty-four windows are 6s. 6ald each, and gradually reducing, one hundred are 5s. 10 hd . each, and after one hundred and eighty the charge is but

[^29]one and sixpence. The labourring man who has eight windows, and wants a ninth, must pay 4s. 6 d for it; but the Duke of Northumberland may have the same permission for his one hundred and eighty-first for one-third of the money. Some mansions are said to contain a window for every day in the year, and would be charged at 3s. $3 \frac{7}{4} d$. per window, which is less than half the rate of charge to the middle classes.

Real estates, or lands, are wholly exempted from legacy or probate duty. Thus lands are not only almost free from tax, but they are the only species of property that can be inherited without the payment of an enormous duty. The landholders have well understood the mode of making laws which shall exempt them, in a great degree, from contributions, while every one is made to contribute to them. A more atrocious system of plunder does not prevail in India, although done more openly.

[^30]bowis aboat a fourth part of the pablic incoma. By having had the making of lawa in their own bunde, they have in fict contrived to add ton millions to their own property, and of coarse rob the pablis to the ame extent. It is curions to contrast the difference of their conduct when they make lawn for themelves and whan they are colled upon to mako them for ocher poople. A very few yencr befiane the British Parliament enacted a law fixing their own hand tux in perpetrity at 4s. in the pound, on a careless and imparfect ameenment mado one humdred yenra before, they had paseed a law fixing the land tax of British asbjects in India at 18 e, on a modern and inquanitorial asoesament. Thin they called creating an Indian haded urintocracy."-Wert. Rets di. p. 85.

As an evidence that this exemption of landed property from taxation has not arisen out of any desire peculiar to the present generation, it may be mentioned, that in 1731-2 the land tax was reduced to one shilling in the pound, or five per cent, at the erpense of taking two and a half millions from the sinking fund.*

While the landholders are, as has been shown, exempt from the payment of tares, they have taken care that nothing they produce shall be lessened in price by foreign competition. Not only is the importation of corn prevented by prohibitory duties, but every article of agricultural produce is in the same way subject to duties that are almost, if not entirely prohibitory. The high prices thus caused, must be paid out of the wages of the labourer, while his wages are diminished by the reduced demand for his laboar, produced by the prohibitory system, which forbids the exchange of woollens, cottons, and hardware for corn. "The makers of laws have contrived

[^31]to throw the great burden of taxation, first, by their selection of the taxes imposed, and secondly, by their selection of the taxes repealed, from off their shoulders, upon the industrious classes, so that out of the $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}, 000 \mathrm{l}$ of annual revenue, not more than six millions fall upon the landlords."-Parnell, p. 67.

The amount of taxation for the support of the church, in the form of tithes, is enormous. It is, however, asserted that it is borne by the landholders, constituting a deduction from their rents, and that the price of grain is not enhanced by it. In support of this assertion is adduced the case of lay-improprietors, who receive as much in rent as is elsewhere paid in rent and tithes. Such must continue to be the case so long as the corn laws shut out the competition of tithe-free land abroad, and the tithe system forbids the cultivation of any lands that cannot pay one-tenth of their produce to the church before paying rent. Were the system abolished; it would soon be found that lands that are now of no value, would be rendered productive, paying to the owners a rent of two, three, or five per cent. of the produce, and gradually increasing as they should be improved, until it would probably amount to a tenth, or as much as would have been claimed by the church at the outset. The effect of this extension of cultivation, would be to reduce the price of grain so as to prevent the landholder from adding the tithe to his rents, and the consumers would be thereby relieved from a heary tax. The landholders would, however, benefit by the change, as those who own lands that are now unproductive, would be able to obtain a small rent from
them, and those whose lands are now productive, would find that the improved cultivation that would be the result of relief from the interference of tithe proctors, would speedily enable their tenants to pay higher rents Reduction of price would cause increased consumption, while the extension of cultivation, and improved methods, would cause production to keep pace with it, and the tenant would find that the increased product would enable him to pay a high rent even while the price of grain was low. The low price of grain would enable the government to do away with all restriction on the trade in corn, and its price would thenceforward be steady, as has been the case in this country during periods of peace. (See page 27.) This would relieve both landlord and tenant from the. vexatious changes that have for years impoverished both parties, and thus, while the labourer would be supplied with corn at a lower rate than heretofore, the situation of both landowner and tenant would be improved.

The following extract will show the extraordinary growth of indirect taxation, payable by the labourer, while direct taxation, or that payable by the landlord, has been stationary.*

Erpanoes of the family of an agrientoral hbouror in 1769, from Iondon Magarino for 1762.


[^32]|  | Perweek. | Per mimit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount brought forward, |  | 2711 |
| Firing 6d. candlea 3d, moap 2ld. - | 111 | 2910 |
|  | 81 | 11610 |
| Fleah 6d. rept 6d. pinh, woruted threac, dec. 1 | 11 | 216 |
| Clothee, repair, bedding, shoes, - | 1 | 212 |
| Slat, beer, exotics, vinegar and opices, | 81 | 1610 |
| Medicines, chorehing, lying in, |  | 16 |
|  |  | $22000 \quad 9$ |

Tusee on the above consumption-m mail 4s. 2d_-walt 1e. 8d -40ep and candlea 3e-deather 2e-mundriea 2d--total 11s. Total chout 1.36.-Page 540.
Proper food for the able bodied labourer, with a wifo and forte children, per week, with the proportion of the price of each article of provision occasioned by taz or monopoly. 1833.

| 5 gallone of bread, - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Priee } \\ & \text { 7c. } 6 d . \end{aligned}$ | Tax and monopoly 2e. 6d. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 lbe of becon at 7 d. | 19 | 7 |
| 2 lbs of butter at $10 \alpha$. | 18 | 61 |
| 2 libe. of cheese at 6 d. | 1 | ? |
| Ten | 9 | 6 |
| Sugar, . - | 7 | 31 |
| Bear, 7 quarts, at 28. | 12 | 7 |
| 1 bushel of coalc, | 12 | 1 |
| 3 figgota, - | 9 |  |
| 1 lb of moap, - | 4 | 21 |
| 1 lb . of oundien, | 4 |  |
|  | 17. | 52. 512 |

By the above it will be seen that in $\mathbf{1 7 6 2}$ the tax npon the consumption of the labourer was only one-thirty-sixth, while in 1833 it is almost one-third. It will be observed that a very considerable portion of this arises out of the monopoly of tea granted to the East India Company, and of corn to the land owners, At the time of the first statement, corn was in general
cheaper in England than on the continent, and with a view to raise its price the labouring classes were taxed for the payment of bounties on exportation, while importation was prevented by high duties. When, however, the increased population engaged in manufactures enhanced the price so as to make it unnecessary to look abroad for a market, it was deemed proper to keep up the prohibitory duties, because corn was cheaper abroad. Thus in 1762 im portation was prevented, because corn was too cheap at home, and in 1834, because it is too cheap abroad-

Mr. M'Culloch estimates the difference in cost produced by the corn laws at about 20 per cent, while the above statement makes it 33 f per cent, but I am disposed to believe that a repeal of those laws would be attended with very small reduction of price, because the countries to which a supply could be looked for, and where it is now low, are totally destitute of the capital necessary for its cheap production, on a large scale, in the general market of the world.

Mr. M'Culloch asserts that corn is cheap in Poland, because produced by low priced labour. Cheapness of production is dependent, on that gentleman's own principles, on the quantity of labour that enters into it. The wages of a labourer, on the Vistula, midway between Warsaw and Cracow,* are 4d. per day, and

[^33]the average price of wheat at Cracow, for a period of 10 years, (Jacob, 1st Rep. p. 94,) 25s. sterling, while at Warsaw it was higher. It required, then, 75 days' labour to produce a quarter of wheat, which is obtained in Ohio by the labour of 9 or 10 days. Where is wheat cheapest, at Cracow or in Ohio? Again, we must take, not its cost in Cracow, or in the heart of Ohio, but the cost of producing it in the general market of the world; at Dantzic, or Hamburgh; at New York, or New Orleans. To do otherwise, would be equivalent to comparing the observations of half a dozen astronomers in different parts of the world, without an allowance for parallax. Its average value at Cracow was 25s., but the cost of transportation, and loss before reaching Dantric, were 11s. 6d., making 36s. 6 d . per quarter, or 4 s .7 d. per bushel, nearly as much as the ordinary price in the United States. The labour of the producer being aided by capital, as much is thus accomplished by one man in the United States, as by $\mathbf{8}$ or $\mathbf{1 0}$ in Poland. As that country depends for the value of its products on the foreign demand through Dantzic, the land that is cultivated in the vicinity of Cracow, is, in relation to that near the former city, in the situation of an inferior soil, to the extent of the expense of transportation and loss; or 11 s . 6 d . per quarter; an enormous rent, which must be calculated as a part of the cost of production.

If thoy do not work on the eatate, bat are employed eleowhere, they are paid from eight to twelve pence for agricultural labour with their tomm, and from three pence to six pence for their oven work-Jreak, Firat Report, p. 171.

Such is the poverty of the people of those coumtries, that they are totally unable to make the improvements in their implements, or in their modes of cultivation, that are necessary. The consequence is, that the product does not usually exceed three, four, or five times the amount of seed sown, as may be seen by the following statements, taken from Mr. Jacob's reports.

In Pomerania, in 1804, the poverty of the people was such that they were unable to keep a sufficiency of stock to supply their lands with manure, and consequently the returns were little more than treble the seed, as follows:*

| Wheat eown, | 155,936 tehetwerta |  | Produco, | 996,924 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rye sown, | 1,254,960 | do. | da. | 4,383,584 |
| Berley sown, | 619,992 | do. | da | 2,757,688 |
| Onta mown, | 1,245,704 | do. | do. | 2,975,880 |
|  | 3,276,592 |  |  | 1,113,376 |

At page 103 he states, that although Volhynia is represented as a district of extraordinary fecundity, he finds, by the official harvest returns of the Russian Empire, the return was little more than four times the seed sown.

Sowed 635,700 tchetwerts ; reaped 2,626,832.
Podolia, also represented as very fertile, yielded only $\mathbf{3 , 0 6 7 , 8 4 6}$ from 644,803 tchetwerts of seed.

[^34]wirter eoris ehiefly rye, with a proportion of wheat commencurate. to the manure that can be appliod; 3d, nimmer corn, or barlay and oata. There are ocousional and small devietions from this-nymema, In some fow cases potntoes, in othora, yeas are grown, in the fillow yeer; but they are only minnte exceptions to the generally enteHishod ayntern. It is not norprising that under mach a ayotem the peoduce aboald not be mitheh more than four timea the seed, at which rato it in calculated, it appears to me rightly, by Baron Alezander Tamboldt. ${ }^{\text {me }}$

It must be evident, that when production exceeds by so small a quantity what must be retained for seed, the chief part must be absorbed by the consumption of the producers, leaving but a very small quantity to be sold. If the demand should be considerable, it could not be supplied except from a very ertensive tract of country. Having neither canals nor rail roads, the cost of transportation would be enormous $\dagger$ Capital is as necessary for the production of wheat or cotton as of cotton goods, and the nations best supplied with the one will produce the other to the greatest advantage. No better evidence of this need be adduced than the present state of the trade in cotton wool. The two chief competitors for the supply of the world, are Hindostan, where labour is lowest, and the United States, where it is highest; but the latter having the aid of capital, are enabled almost to monopolise the trade. It is not at all improbable that a few years will see this country among the first in regard to the production of silk, and poe-

[^35]sibly absolutely the first. In that it will be necessary to compete with Hindostan, China, Italy, and France, the cheapest countries, as to the price of labour, in the world, but the United States possess advantages that enable them to compete with any country whatever in the production of those articles for which their soil and climate are fitted, and they need fear no competition.

The benefit to the labourer from the repeal of the corn lews, would be not so much in the reduction in the price of corn, as in the increased amount of commodities obtainable for his labour, arising out of the increased demand for it that would be produced by a free intercourse with corn-growing countries. That benefit would be obtained without any sacrifice on the part of the land owner, whose lands would probably rent as high as at present Notwithstanding the enormous deductions from the product of his toil, the labourer receives a constantly increasing quantity of the usual articles of consumption, showing an angmentation of the fund out of which he is to be paid; but how great would be the increase were he at liberty to exchange the products of his labour, without the intervention of custom houses, excise offices, or com laws! Had the true interests of the nation been conculted, taxation would not now be as great as in 1762, and the reward of labour would be as great *) as in the United States, if not greater.

In Hindostan the Mahommedan Sovereigns claimed to be owners of the land, and to demand as reat much amount an they might judge expedient.

The Company succeeded to all their rights and privileges, and has not failed to avail itself of them to the full extent, and not unfrequently has gone far, very far, beyond the demands of the most oppressive of the native princes. It would occupy too much space to give an account of the several modes of collection adopted in that country, and distinguished by the niames of Zemindary, Ryotwar and Mouzawar settlements. The meaning and intent of all are the same, which is to take from the unfortunate cuttivator every farthing that can be squeezed out of him, leaving him in no case more than is necessary to support life. In the first the collector is the Ze-: mindar, a farmer general, responsible for the amount . assessed; in the second the collection is made directly from the Ryot or labourer, and in the third the settlement is made with the village collectively: In order that the reader may understand the mode of assessing taxes in that country, the following instructions to the assessors under the Ryotwar settlement are given:

[^36]cre to notice the number of plante of young treen, and to rpecify whother they are cocoe nut, soopari, tamarind, jarnoon, lime, orange, ere, and likewist to entor all pinntetions of betal, augar-eanc, tobacen, red pepperf \&ce"-Rickerds, 1. 454

Under the Zemindary settlement, in the division of. the produce of estates assigned to them, it is fixed, or rather estimated, that after deducting the expense of collecting, one-half or teco-fifths of the gross produce should be left to the Ryots, the remainder constituting the rent of the state, except one-eleventh to the Zemindars That settlement is permanent, and some improvement having taken place in the condition of the country, the taxes in Bengal, where it exists, are collected generally in full, but in the commencement the assessments were so high, that nearly all the Zemin-- dars were ruined. Some idea may be formed of the enormous amount of taxes, and the consequent low value of property, from the fact that in 1799, ten years after the settlement, lands were sold in every province, the taxes upon which amounted to 777,965 rupees, and produced at the sale 654,215 rupees, not :even one year's purchase of the taxes. The Zemindars having been ruined, and their property in those estates sold, the present proprietors, who purchased at reduced prices, are enabled to live. Under the other settlements the Company are not bound by any fired rent, the only limit being the possibitity of collection.

[^37]Iand reverues of Indis as the colly wource from whences the pablic exigencies can be suppliod, they have alwaye dreaded a fixed Jumma in perpetuity, as debarring them from the memas of inureased mupply, in the event of fatare exigencien requiring it. The Ryotwar system has acoordingly been prefarred, because no bounda are unab. terably effixed to the amount of the land tax; and because, (an they zay,) it providen for their moderate participation with the propriotors at atated intervala in the growing improvement, or extended coltivation'of the country."-Bickarde, L p. 609.

Any improvement in cultivation produces an immediate increase of taxation, so that any exertion on the part of the cultivator would benefit the company, and not himself: One-half the grass produce may be assumed as the average annual rent, although in many cases it greatly exceeds that proportion. The Madras Revenue Board, May 17th, 1817, stated that "the conversion of the government share of the prodace (of lands) is in some districts as high as 60 or 70 per cent. of the whole"-Rickards, Vol. I. p. 288.
"The following ntatement is extracted from Colebrook'e 'Treatino on the Eusbandry of Bengal, to ahow the avarage gain of a Ryot from agriculture in the lower province.

16 Anas, 1 Seat- 40 Sleorm, 1 Mauad; or 74 lbe
"Tan Maunde of rice are a large produce from ane Bigha, and a' return of fifteen for odne
L. S. A. M. S. $\underset{5}{A_{0}}$
"Cultivatore ohare
"Soed which the proprictior of the land had madrencod, and which in repaid to him with 100 per cent by way of interent, - 036101
"Laboor of reaping ditto, at the rete of is mixth of the whole crop, . $1261011^{-}$
$m$ Ditto weeding, at 20 days, at 21 soer, 1100


E
${ }^{1}$ Thirty-five meers and seven-eixteanths of clear rice, at the average rate of twelve Anas for the Maund, are worth eleven Anach (eleven-sixteentha of a rupee) nearly; and this doew not pay the le. bour of ploughing at two Anas, ( 6 centy) per diam for aight daym, It appears then that the peasant, cultivating for half prodoce, is not to well rowarded for his toil as hired labourers; and it most be further noticed that he is undor the necessity of anticipating his crop for seed and subsistence, and of borrowing for both, as well as for his cattle, and for the implements of husbandry, at the usorious advance of a quartor, if the loan be repaid at the succeoding harreat, and. of half, if repaid later. We cannot then wonder at the ocerese of distress which this class of cultivators exhibity, nor that they are. often compelled by accomalating debta, to emigrate from provinee to province."-Rickards, 1. 568.

It might be supposed, that having taken one-halif of the gross produce, the cultivator would be permitted to exist on the remainder, but not so. At page 218, Vol. II., Mr. Rickards gives a list of sixty other taxes, invented by the Sovercigns, or their agente, many of which he states to exist at the present day. If they have any other occupation, in addition to the. cultivation of their patches of land, as is very commonly the case, they are subject to the following taxes, the principle of which is described as excellent by one of the collectors, December 1st, 1812.
"The Veesabuddy, or tax on merchante, tradera, and nhopkeopern; Mohturfa, or tax on weavera, cotton-cleaners, nhepherds, goldmitha, braxiest, iron-mithe, carpenters, atone-cuttens, dec, end Bagoobelb, conainting of a number of analler taree annually rented out to the bigheṣt bidder. The renter was thus constituted a petty chieftin, with power to exact ftes at marriagea, religions ceremonies, to in guire into, and fine the mieconduct of females in families, and other misdemeanourn, and in the axercise of their privileges, would oftan urge the plos of engagemente to the Cirker, (government) to jurtity extortion. The detaile of thees taxee are tro long to be given in thin plece. The readar, however, may fadee of the oparetion and
charectar of an, by the following welsotion of onc, as deseribed in the collectorke report: "The mode of settling the Mohturfa da looms. hitherta has been very minute; every. circumetance of the weaver'l... fandly it consideried, the sumber of daye sohich he devates to his loorn, the nember of tid chillinem, the estandance which he receives'from them, atol the inmober and guality of the pieces which he can twrn
 induntry will aluays be taced to the highest degree? This mode al. Weya legids tosuch dotails, that the government servants cannot enter: into it, and the anserament of the tax in, in consequenpe, left a great. dined toi much to the Ciurnums of the villagen. No weaver can poeribly lnow what he in to pay to the Cirkar, till the demand come to be made for his heving axerted himself through the yoar; and having turnod ort one or two pieces of cloth more that he did the yeer before, though hil family and looms have remained the anme, is made p groond for his being charged a thigher Mohturfa, and at lust, instefd of a profemionsl, it becomes a real income tax. ${ }^{n}$-Rich. unds, L. 500 .

## The following will show that nothing is allowed

 to escape the notice of the tax gatherer.${ }^{4}$ The render Fill, parhape, better judge of the inquisitorial nature if one of them surveys, or pymanheen, as they are termed in Mala. bar, by knowing that npwards of mevenis different kinds of buildinge Whe honsee, shope, or ware-houmes of different captes and professions -were ardered to be entered in the eurvey accounts; besidea the fol lowing 'implemente of profemions' which were urually ancosed to the pablic revenne, viz:
"Oil-mille, iron manufactory, toddy-drawer'à etills, potter's-kiln, watherman'e stone, gold-wmith's toole, exwyer's eaw, toddy-drawer'a lniven, firhing-nete, barber'a hone, blacirmith's envile gelk bub bock, cocos-nut aafo, mall fighing-boatm, cotton-beator'm bow, car. penter${ }^{2}$ thole, large finhing-boute, looms, alt torohouse,"-Riche: crile, I. 559.
"If the Inadlord objected to the amemment an treen, as old and peat hearing, they ware, one and all, ordered to be cut down, nolling luing cllowod to atand that did not pay roventue to the ateto. To fodge of thin order, it thould be mentioned that the trees are valusMa, and commonly need her bailding, in Mabar. To foll at tha
thmber of a man's eatate when no demand existed for it in the mortret, and merely becanse its stream of revonue had been drined, is en odd way of conforring benefits and protecting property."-Rickarde, I. 558.

- Having myerlf, been principal collector of Malabar, and made, during my readance in the province, minute inquirien into the produce and asesmmentis of lande, I was enabled to ascertain beyoud all doubt, and to antisfy the revenue board at Madrue, that in the formar survey of the province which led to the rebellion, lande and produce wree inserted in the pretended warvey account, which theolutely did not exist, while other hende were asoesoed to the revense, at more then their ectual produce."-I. 557.

At page 561, Vol I., is given a detailed statement of the produce of an estate in Malabar, for a period of ten years, from 1815 to 1824, for the correctness of which Mr. Rickards vouches:


By the following remarks, extracted from letters of the Revenue Board at Madras, it will be seen that in the Ryotwar settlement, where every cultivator is separately liable for his own taxes, he is also bound for the payment of those of his neighbour, in case of failure. It would really appear, that the object of those who devised such a system of revenue was to deprive man of every possible inducement to exertion "Lasciate ogni speranza, o woi ch'entratel"-
would be as appropriate an inscription over India as over the gates of hell, and an Indian Dante would perhaps so apply it.
*This lant mentioned rale of the Ryotwrt aydem, which is to mako good the failure of unsuccesoful Ryoty, imposing an extre asmemment, not erxeeding ten per cent. upon thair more fortmite. peighbours in the same village, and even occaugiteally upon thomis of the villagea in the vicinity, was found to be indispensable to thi scourty of the revenue under that aystem. The litule profit acerraing sthe induatrious Ryota soas thus taken by the atote, to remunnercte is for the losese it suctained from the failure of the lese fortanate or mers estravagata, and while the Ryotwar system disoolved the unity of interest, and the joint partnerahip in profit and loen, Which formarly existed in each village community in all the provinces gant of the Ghata, and was so beneficin! both to the members of its own tumioipal body, and to the government, it, in fict, admittod that their joint responsibility was necessary for the security of the public sevenue, and precluding the Ryote from an equal participation of the profit, moot unjustiy obliged them to share jointly the lowa."Rickerder

To this system is very properly attributed by the Board, the decline of Agriculture.
«To the practice of losding the lowly assemed ar industrious Ayot with the tax of his lese fortunate or more improvident neighboor, (condemned by the very officar who adopted it, at both impolitic and unjust,) to the conewnption of a maximum atandard of asocsment muck beyond the capability of the cosstry, aven at the period of ite greateas prosperity, to the gradual appraximation made to this high atandard in the actonl demand on more than half tho landed property in Cenara, and to the annual variation and consequent uncertainty in the amount of this asoesment on individual Ryoth, as much an to any temporary reduced value of produce, or the imposition of new indirect taxem, are to be secribed the declise in agriculture, the pooerty cmong the Ryats, the increased sale of landed property by the landlords, the difficulty of rowlising the coll Betions, end the necersity, before winknown, of dieporing of defaulters" lende, in satiofaction of revenue demands, sokich, after fourteen yoove rasidence in Canare, of lengeth conatrained the lete collector to



In the enforcement of such an extraordinary cystem of revenue, it must be evident that the number of parsons "dressed in a little brief authority" must be almost endless, and that the unfortuate cultivator must be almost entirely at their mercy. The Zemindars have not failed to do their share towards the destruction of the rights of all those under them, as will be seen by the following extract from Lard Moira, afterwards Marquis of Hastings, September, 1815.
*Within the eirclo of the perpetunl actikment, the titention of thio mafortamito ehase is yet more doppenato; and thongh their crice fir redrem mary have been tiffed in many dintrict, by perceiving a
 the difficulty of the operation, their coffiringes on that ecocome have sot been lese matio. In Bordvar, is Beher, in Cawnpores, and in smed wherever these may hries existed euteneive hoded property at the marcy of individunt, (whether in farm or Jaghire, in Talooks, Ein Zemindary, of the higher clan, compleints of the vilhege Eomindres have crowded in apon mo without number, and I had only the mortification of fmoting that the arixing cyuteme entablishod by the logishature, ket mowithort tho moenn of pointing out to the complainants any mode in which thoy mighs hope to obtain redrems. In al these towns, from what I eoold obeenves the chate of vilinge pepprictore appeared to be in a train of annihiltition, and unions a semedy is apeedity applied, the chere will soon be extinet Indeod, I faur, that eny remody that would be proposed, would, ove sow. coane too late to be of any effect in the ravee of Bangal, for the B cones of 20 yearn, which has been lett to the Zeminders of that pro tinea, will bave given them the power, and they gover wented the inclination, to ertinguish the rights of this edmes, $\infty$ that no remenents


In 1769, Governor Verelst wrote, that in addition to the enormous taxes for government, the people
were taxed by the collectors "for every extravagance that avarice and ambition, pride, vanity, and intemperance can lead them into." Annexed are extracts from his account of affairs at that period, and from several others, that will show the continuance of the same system to the present time.
> -Govemar Verelit's scoount, indeed, in 1763, of the canduct of Zexninders, is one which waheequeat inventigations have fully confirmed. Ho edde, "the truth cunnot bo doubted, that the poor and indostriocim tenunt in tared by the Zeaninder or collectop, fir every extravagance that avarice and ambition, pride, vanity, or intempemace many leed him into, over and above what is geoerilly deemed the entablished rent of hia lesila. If be it to be married, a criild borm, honovet confarred, laxury indulged, nuarmeranas, (preeconten) ur fiee acrected, even for his own misconduct; all munt be peid by the Bych and what heightena the distrewful socene, the more opalent, who can better obthin redrese for imposition, encape, while tho woaker aro obliged to aubmit"-_Rickarde, FCL II. I. 59.

In a report by the Judge of Moorshedabad, dated 1st August, 1810, it is stated, that-
"The Teuindry, his furbers, and Aminh, (officerse of government collectively, of all denominations, abose the powers with which they are vested, to ethot from the Ryot to the utmost extent of his ability. He is thas often deprived of the means of complaint; and thin rystem, carried on from year to year, reduces the Ryot to the extremee of poverty, frequently the ceave of the commiosion of erimes; met, ite is to be hoped, from cery iaherent deprecity, het driven thereto by necessity, to obtain a precarions and insecure cubsaistenice." —Eicicarda, YOL II. $\boldsymbol{\mu} .64$

The magistrate of Dinagepore, under date of July 24th, 1810, describes the state of affairs as
"A goperil ryetem of reck-renting, hard-heartedneme, and exmotions, throagh farmers, under furnery, Eutkeenudarn, (undar temanta, and the whole hoat of Zemindery Amlah. Even thia rackreating in mafirily managed. We have no regular leaves emecuted botweea the Zomindar and hin teasate. We do not find a matanal
consents and umrestrined negotiation in their bargains Nothing lika iff tit instead, we hear of nothing but aritrary damanderes. Sorced by stocke, durees of all sorts, and bettery of their persoigan"Fol III pros
The collector of Rajeshahye, August, 1811, sayg:
${ }^{\text {"The Theminder's ouly eecurity for the posemsion of his estate }}$ being the punctaal discharge of the government revenue, to merow this out of the wretched cultivators is his firat connideration. With his miserable pittance of one-oleventh, the under-teninnta, firmoits Ryoter, and all the Amiah together, are then left to fight and ocramb

The following statements are firmished by the ma-
gistrate of Rungpore:-
w One of them, Rejchunder Chowdry, bought a horso at Rungpore, which coot 4100 rapeen, (E512). It in a notorions ficte, that Rajchunder Chowdry collected from the Ryotr of his extate; to doIray thin expanse, no less a sum than 11,000 rapeec, (11375) under the bold item of Delan Khurchu, (house of hall money.) The mene Eemindar expended 1200 rupeem, (E150) on the ceremonies attending the hirth of his grandron, and collected from hir Ryobe 5000 rupeen ( $\mathbf{E} 025$ ) on this account. Another Zeaindar, Spdarieb Raco, had hin houso burnt down. Fie imposed an addition on the reat-roll of his entate to defray the erpenses of rebuilding it; but having coce ctablicbed the arrction, it outlived the case, und became a parmamoat addition to the former rent, under the tifle of Chrur Bunnen, (hoomokuilding.")-VoL. II. p. TR.
"Saduahob Reee celebrated a fostivi, which lanted three monthen and cont him 20,000 ripees ( $\mathbf{5} 2500$ ); all of which and on the ther entry of his estato.
*Jyram Baboo, a man of boundleas extravagance, msod mivit in great pomp, amually, the villagee of his entate, loyging contribetions as he went along, under the name of Mangun or Bhukh, which litorally moane begging. 'I am unprepared, (anyn the Judgar) to atate the amount of the collections thus made; tho mode in which they are levied bids defiance to all inquiry. Leot, howter, ht should be thought that this practice is confined to ane instance, I bog to obearva, that this in the mont general of all the moden of illogel ersetions practised in Rungpore.' "——V位I. p. Th.


#### Abstract

*Mooneheo Himayutoallah, unce Serishtader of the judge'a courth and leto Dewen of the oolloctorahip, bought a very largs. exato in Dinagtpors. In a visit of corromony to hia now temante, ho collseted from them in Mangun coatribations, a full moiety of tip parchase money. Fimayotoollah had alvo ocession to bay an olephant, and concted the coth 500 rupeos, ( $£(110$ e.) from hir Ryoter, it being. 'all emential to their respectability es hir own, that he thould no longer mount. the back of co mean a quadruped an a horse.' , "Another Zemindar, Rase Daniolinund Niteeanund, has very ertenaive eatatee in Rungpore, Dinagepora; and Moarahedabad. On his Rongpore estate alone he paye revenus to government of 6,70 rupees, ( $\mathbf{~} 8,742$ ) and collecte a cess on his tenants of one Anna in the rupee, or 4,358 rapeen per annum, ( $£ 544$ ) to dafray the expense of daily offeringen to his idol, or boossehold god, Bunwaree. "The above, (adds the judge, are bat a fow of the many prectical proofin which may bo adduced, in support of what I have advanood roletive to the atate of the Ryot in Rangpores." Evary extre arpoones, and ovary religions or mugeratilions cerennony, is paid for by the defencelesa Ryol 'Not a child can be born, not a hood religiously ahnved; not a won married, not a dsughter given in manrriago, not avan one of the tyrannical fratarnity dies, withoot an immediate visitatiod of culamity upon tho Ryot. Whether the $\infty$ omion be joyful oread, in ite effects, it in, to the cultivator; alike mournful and celamitoos;' "-Rickarde, Vol. IL 74.


Under such an oppressive weight it cannot be matter of surprise, that vast quantities of land are relinquished, from the absolute impossibility of paying.taxes: the land not being worth a single year's assessment. The following are extracts from a Rovenue letter to Bengal, from the Court of Directors, dated August 1, 1821, quoted by Mr. Rickards, Vol II. p. 149.
"The present anemment, bo affirma, is not too high; yet he angm that the 'Juimine (tars) of ectetes reviguod (that in which cheir mis. ere heve relizquiphed rether thas midertake to pay thio asomesment)
 minle.' $=$


It is a common saying of the Ryout that "their, ahins only are laff shern's and the reader may porhy be already totposed to believe it, but he has by no means reached the end of the oppressions of this. unfortuniate people. Thus far we have dealt only with dinumt taxation, but that has been carried so far, that it would appear almost imposible to find may means of Collocting indirect taxes, which pevertholeter is done. The following extract frowe the fifth xpport of the Select Committee of the 柆iline of Comyhopan will ahow the nature of the indirect tarction triting all that is detoutabla in the French ocirg, and thefpenimh alcabale.





Seryer, ar they extendel to grain, eattle, mith, and all the ather meceso acrica of life passing throught the coundry, and wore collocted by corrupt, partial, and extortionate agente, produced the worst effecte on the state of eociety, by not only checking the progreas of indpstry, oppresaing the manuficturer, and cansing him to debeso hil manufacture, but also by clogging the beneficial operations of commeroe in genernl, and abridging the connforts of the people at largen This latter description of imposta was originally considered an a brunch of revenue too mach exposed to abrases to be intrustod to parsons not linble to reatraint and punishment. It was therefore retuined undar the immediate management of the government. The firat ratea were easy, and the custom hooses few ; but in the general relexation of anthority, this mode of raising revenue for the anpport. of the goverament wes scendalously abosed. In the course of a littlo time, new duties were introduced, under the pretence of charitable and religions donation, as fees to the Chokeydars, or account keepars' guards, and other officers at the etations, as protection money to a Zemindar; of as a present to thoos who framed the duties. Not only had the duties been from time to time raised in their amonnt, and multiplied in their namber, at the diseretion ac the Zamindara and the renters under them, bat they were at length beriod at almoat overy atage, and on every ouccespive trenefor of property-miformity in the principles of callection wan completely wanting; a diffarent mode of taxation prevailing in every district, in reapect to all the varieties of goods, and other erticles, mubject to impont. This conouming syatem of opprestion had, in agme imstancos, beas aggrupated by the Company's governineut, which, when possomed of a faw factories, with a mall extent of territory around them, adopted the measure of placing Chokiee, or custom stationc, in the vicinity of each, for the purpose of secertaining the state of trade within their own limits, as well an to afford them a notroe of revenue. Undor the hoed of Sayar revence, wan aloo included a veriety of tares, indefinite in thair amount, and vexations in their nature, callod Moturpha; they consisted of imports upon hoomen, on the implemento of agricultare, on loomes, on merchantes, an artifieens, and othor profomions and caston.
"Again, apeaking of the Company's adminirtration in referenco to the Nunjah, Pumjah, and Raghayut lands above describod, the moloct committee observe-r Tho demand on the collivitor was,
however, by no means confined to the eatablished rates of land tax er rent; for beaide the Sayer duties and taxum, personal and profere eional, the Ryot was mubject to extraordinary aida, additional aseeme. menta, and to the private exactions of the officers of govermment, or renters, and their people; 20 thint what was left to the Ryot was littic more than what he was able to secure by evatios and concealment." -Rickard, Val. I. 414.

The manufacture of salt is a strict monopoly, which produced in Bengal alone, in the years $1822-3$ to $1825-6$, an average revenue of $15,785,376$ rupees, or nearly eight millions of dollars. The total revenue in the three presidencies from this source, was $18,000,000$ of rupees per annum. Mr. Rickards says, "comparing the revenue with the cost and charges in each year, it appears that the former is more than 31 times the latter." This extravagant charge is necessarily augmented by the profits of the retailers, and the salt which is sold by government at 3 rupees per maund, (equal to a dollar per bushel,) is re-sold in Calcutta at 5 rupees, ( $\$ 167$ per bushel,) after being adulterated with ten or fifteen per cent of dirt. No one not conversant with the revenue system of India ${ }_{n}$ after being informed that one-half of the whole produce of their patches of land had been taken to meet the demands of government, could have conceived the possibility of such an assessment upon anf article like salt, by which it should be quadrupled in price for the payment of dividends on India stock! Another of the effects of this oppressive tax, is to increase the risk of famine, to which this unfortunate people are always liable. "The great impediment," says Mr. M'Culloch, "to the intercourse between the Bengal and Madras provincea
is the salt monopoly; the quantity of salt annually taken being restricted by the government of Bengal. This limits the consumption of salt in Bengal, where it is actually dear, and by compelling the inhabitants of Madras to grow corn on poor lands, precludes the export of the cheap rice of Bengal. The India government, instead of having improved of late years in liberality, have actually drawn tighter the cords of monopoly. The effect of this upon the export of corn from Bengal to Madras has been remarkable. In 1806-7, when the salt of Madras was admitted into Calcutta with some liberality, their ex: port of grain to the Coromandel coast, amounted to 2,635,658 maunds, ( 74 llbs . each.) or about 470,000 quarters; whereas in 1823-4, a year of scarcity, it amounted to $1,591,326$ maunds, or about 284,000 quarters."

In 1804, Lord Wellesley writes, that the "main and avowed object of the Company's system is an exclusive appropriation of the labour of the weavers, and the establishment of a control over that labour, to enable the commercial officers to obtain the proportion of goods required for the Company at prices to be regulated by the officers themselves."-Rickards, Vol. I. 84.

Tobacco was monopolised, and the Ryots were prohibited from cultivating any smaller quantity than ten maunds, to the injury of those who had been accustomed to discharge part of their rent by the help of a small plantation of one or two maunds-Rickards, $I$. 91.

Opium is also a monopoly. The average of the
gross receipts from this source for fourteen years, ending with 1821-2, was $9,382,263$ rupees, and the cost and charges $\mathbf{9 9 0}, 738$ rupees, giving a profit of 850 per cent.! Since the introduction of Malwa opium, which the company has been unable to monopolise, the profits of this department of trade have very much diminished.

By the monopoly of the sale of salt to, and the purchase of opium from, this unfortunate people, the Company realized a profit of thirteen millions of dols lars, a sum almost equal to the whole expenses of .the government of the United States.

The following extract will give a general view of the state of India under all these exactions:-
"Let us suppose England to bo divided into amall tenores, not meoh bigger than Irish potato gardens; the produce of the ecil a sreat variety of articles, of which nome one or mare, come to mutur rity in almost every month in the year; the present landlords forced to emigrate, or reduced to cultivate their own lands, or perhape convartod into Zemindars, with power to eract, flog, fine and imprisom ab libitum; the land tax fixed at one-half the groses produce, to be ascortained by admeasurement of every acre, and by valuation, or by waighing the produce; or, in the event of difference of opinion with the cultivators of any village or district, by calling in the farmore of a neighbouring district to eettle the diapate. From the oppreserive, as well as vexations nature of this tax, les un also mappose the fearn and jealousies of government occusion the appointment of hoote of revenue eervanta, ermed and unarmed, some to make, others to check the collections; that accounts and check accounts be also multiplied, to guard against imposition; and that servants required tor these various purposes, be authorized to collect additional imposts from the cultivatore, or to have land aseigned to them, as a remumeration for their own sarvicoe; and that, under colour of thair privilogen end grantu, excesaive aractions are enforced, lenving bat s hare mabeistence to tho farmera; that this ayatem of taxation ubould to liable to increuse with every inerrense of cultivation; that the do-

Ehinations of ome fermer, or one village, chould be made good frem the murplus groduce of others; that the spirit of the peoplo ahould be mo beokea by the rigoar of deapotic power, as to anfer the goversh ment with impanity to etep forward, and declare fteself sole propriotor of all the lands in the conatry; and that its avarice and cravinge had 90 multiplied imponte at to inapire cultivatore with the utmoet elarm and dread, whenever changea or reforma were projected in the revenne administration, lest forthar additiona thould be mado to their almost intolerahle burdens. Let the reeder, I say, consider theoe thingt, and then ask himself if a government assessor, with every sool in the country thas oppoeed to his research, is likely to obtain the requisite information for justly valuing every acre of cul tivated land, including every variety of woil, and of producta; or if it could bo justly valued, whether the collectore of such a government ware likely to be guided by any better ruio, than to extraet from the contribetores all that could with cafety be drawn into their own end the public parsa. Thia, however, is but a sketch of the stato of mociety in Eindortan, of which demoralimation was the ineritablo seault; where lawe, regulations, and even official instructions, are but a nume: where power is really uncontrolled, and usage afforda shandent opeaings for its arbitrary excercise, the holders of powar, with their numerons hangerson, will be arrayed on one side as in. ctrumente of oppresaion, to which the Ryots, or the mase of people, have naught to oppoee but evasion, falsehood, artifice and conning. Soma of the worst passions of the homen mind, thus called into conetant ecticn, become mettied habita; and every rising geaeration baing of necessity, and from infincy, driven to the practive of thowe habila, a character of alavinh submission, and moral degradation, in generated, which it is most illiberal and unjuat to impute to this eppreseed people, as inhorent and incorrigible depravity."—Richercle, Val. II, 43.

Notwithstanding the horrible picture that is here presented, the Quarterly Review, for August, 1834, says, "It is to be hoped that no further encroachments will be made on the authorities who have so long and so ably administered the government of India, and whose succeseful endeavours in diffusing
happiness among countless millions of a quiet and innocent people, are universally allozed. Placed, as these natives are, under the immediate rule of able, upright, and honourable men, taught froin an early age to respect their prejudices and to treat them with kindness and humanity, no change of the present system, we are quite satisfied, could tend to better their condition, or to promote the tranquillity of this extensive empire." p. 368.

I have made these very copious extracts, believing that a very large portion of my readers cannot be familiar with the revenue system of British India, and that its importance, aflecting, as it does, the happiness of a nation of above one hundred millions, is a sufficient excuse for so doing. Copious as they are, they give but a faint idea of the abuses to which it has given rise, and which can only be understood by a perusal of the excellent work of Mr. Rickards, of whom Mr. M'Culloch says, "his opinion, from the high station he filled in India, his intelligence, candour, and experience in commercial affairs, is entitled to great weight"

Having perused them, the reader can have little doubt as to the difficulty in obtaining commodities to exchange for silver, by the people of India, as compared with the United States or England-nor can he have much doubt of the fact that the fund out of which the labourer is to be supported, must be yery small, when an individual cultivator of some half dozen acres, the whole produce of which would not support an American, is compelled to pay onehalf for rent; then to meet a succession of demands
from those who are placed over him; then to pay duties on the transport of all he has to sell; and finally, to sell and buy at prices fixed by the Company; selling always at the lowest, and buying al ways at the highest. That a people so situated, should be "poor and miserable," is not matter of surprise, but that they are able to exist at all is wonderful!

The effects of excessive taration upon a nation are well described by Mr. M'Culloch-"The effect of exorbitant taxes is not to stimulate its industry, but to destroy it. No man will ever be really and perseveringly industrious, whose industry does not yield him a visible increase of comforts and enjoyments. If taxation be carried so high as to swallow the whole, or even the greater part of the produce of industry above what is required to furnish us with mere necessaries, it must, by destroying the hope and means of rising in the world, take away the most powerful motive to industry and frugality, and, instead of producing increased exertion, will produce only despair. The stimulus given, by excessive taxation, to industry, has not been unaptly compared to the stimulus given by the lash to the slave-a stimulus, which the experience of all ages and nations has proved to be as ineffectual as it is inhuman, when compared to that which the expectation of improving his condition and enjoying the fruits of his industry without molestation, gives to the productive energies of the citizen of the free state."-Sup. Ency. Brit. art Taxation.

## CHAPTER X.

Ther third great disturbing cause is restraint upon freedom of action and of commerce.

Freedom of action has never been interfered with in the United States. Every man may travel from North to South, from East to West, without a passport, and without the necessity of visiting police offices, so annoying to those who travel on the continent of Europe. Every man who finds business unprofitable, or employment scarce, may change his residence until he finds himself suited, without incurring the risk of being sent back to his parish, as would be the case in England. There, a constant strife exists as to the right of settlement, and immense sums are squandered in law proceedings, to determine whether parish No. 1 or No. 2 shall support the unfortunate pauper; and even when a demand for labour exists, so much apprehension is there of permitting a settlement to be gained, that engagements are made for eleven months, in order that, before the expiration of the year, the man may be returned to his parish to take up a new departure in quest of employment.

[^38]his own exertions. He is driven from a place whare he was earming, an a free labourer, 12 s , or 14 s . a week, and in offered road work as a pauper at $6 d$, a day, or perhaps to be put up by the parish antharities at anction, and sold to the farmer who will take him at the lowent allowance"-Rep. Poor-Lave Com, p. 86.
${ }^{4}$ The Rov. R. R. Bailoy, chaplain to the Tower, who has had ex. tennive opportunities of observing the operation of the poor-lawe in. the raral districta, matea, "I consider that the present law of nettio. ment renders the peasant, to all intents and purposes, a bondaman! he in chained to the soil by the operation of the aystem. Very frequent instances have occurred to me of one parish being full of lebourera, and anffering greatly from want of employment, whilst in another adjacent parish, there is a demand for laboar. I have no doubt that if the labourers were freed from their prement trammels, there would be auch a circulation of labour as would relieve tho agricaltoral districta.' "—Mr. Chadwick's Report.

If he has devised any improvements, he incurs the risk of interfering with the vested rights of some corporate body, as in the following instance:-
"At the Thames police office on Wednesday, the captain of the steam boat Adelaide, which has recently been running between Hangerford market and Greenwich, for the convejance of passengera, to the great injury and annoyance of the Thames spatermen, vare fined $£ 5$ under a law of the Watermen's Company, far acting an master of the atenm boat, without being duly approved and licensed by the Watermen's Company. It is farther onderstood that this verdict will go to put a atop to any further stoam conveyance to Greensuich, as it is the intention of the Watermen's Company not to grant a license to any ateam boat which ahall only ran to Green-wiah."-Wastminster Review, July, 1834.

The following extract will serve to show the effect produced upon the price of labour by the settlement laws:-West. Rev. No. xlii. p. 40.
"If higher wages wore offored from a distank parish to the labourera in your parish than they now get there, do you not think they would move out of it ? -No, I am quite aure thoy would not, bocause, in addition to the asual parish relief, they have a vory harge
wharity there: it ia some lands bequeathed in Edward the Slath'n time, for the repairy of the charch, the roads, and the we of the poor. We expend that portion with relation to the poor in clothing, and coals and rents, and some in educuting their children. At timens ut occurions deaperate swearing to get mettlementa in the perish, and at all times it is a very great hindrance to people going out of the parish. I do not blame them for remaining in the parish, and uticking to their settlements; 1 ahould do so myself, if $I$ were in their place."

In the United States, there are no apprentice laws, and a man may change his trade as often as he thinks proper; nor is he compelled to pay for making himself free of the "Worshipful Company of Merchant Tailors," or any other company, before he can exercise his skill in any one of our cities Mr. Gallatin says:-
u No cause has, perhapa, more promoted, in every reapect, the general improvement of the United States, than the alvence of those aystems of internal reatriction and monopoly which continne to disfigure the state of society in other countries. No laws exist hare, directly or indirectly, confining men to a particular occupation or plece, or excluding any citizen from any branch he may, at any Heqe, think proper to pursue. Industry is, in every respect, free and unfottered; every species of trade, commerce, and profiesions, and manufacture, being equally open to all, uoithout requiring any regular apprenticeshis, admission, or license. Hence the improvement of America has led not only to the improvement of har agricolture, and to the rapid formation and eetlement of new states in the wilderness; bat her citizens bave ertended their commerce tp every part of the globe, and carry on with complete succems even thoee branchoes for which a monopoly had heretofore been conaidered meatially neeenary."

This absence of interference with the affairs of individuals, produces p state of things so different from that usually met in Europe, that it is perfectly incomprehensible to most of foreigners who write upon the
affairs of the United States. Thus in Blackwood' Magazine for March 1835, in an able article on Mr. Pitt and his policy, is the following passage:-
$\pm$ It in remarkablo an a national diotinction, and still moreremarkable an a public advantage, that in England all the groat principlen of the ifife of nations, are in a ctate of perpetual inquiry. In tho continental kingdoms, the sole object of pablic interest is the con. duct of the monarch or the minister. In France new era ham lately begun, but it in still in the infancy of legislation, and may nover reach the manhood. Eren in America, we hear of little more than the tricks of elections transfarred to the tricks of Congroes; quarrels, among obscure coteries in the villagea, expanded into inter. minable epeeches in the legialature; and the whole annual labour of American wisdom, compiled for the world in the speech of the presidont, whowe wholo labotor meems to be that of lacky finance, and whowe financial trinmphe, in the midst of a new world, demanding the largest liberality of government to foster tho growing powerre of the people, woem to be limited to the saving of mo many dollare this yeat, within the narroweat scale of national penury the year before, But in England we have topica of a more deliberate, manly, and majoutic ordor."

Misconception of the true object of government was never more clearly displayed than in the above passage. It is claimed as a national distinction and advantage, that the great principles of government are matters of debate, and such it certainly is when compared with those nations of the continent whose absolute monarchs forbid inquiry; but it would be equally proper for the people of Baden, or Bavaria, or Hesse, to boast their superiority over those of England, because the great question of the freedom of the press, or the right of habeas corpus, happened to be under debate at this time. Should such a claim be made, the Briton would feel towards the German precisoly as an American must feel towards the writer
of an article like the above. All the important prisciples of government are settled in this country, and have ceased to be matters of discussion; and notwithstanding the departure therefrom in the case of the tariff, it is now so fully understood that the true policy of the United States is abstinence from meddling with. the affairs of individuals; or in other words, freedom of trade and of action; that there will be every day less disposition to interfere with in. It never occurs to any one on the floor of Congress to doubt the expediency of abolishing all restrictions upon navigation, and thus the reciprocity treaties are deemed by all an improvement upon the old and barbarous system, while in England they are as often discussed as the question of tithes! The true object for which government was instituted, is understood to be to give to every man security of parson and property, at the smallest cost, and with the least possible interference with his freedom of action; a very simple theory, but apparently very difficult of comprehension to one brought up in the habit of contemplating the very complicated machinery of an European government His view of the object of government is foumd in the idea contained in the above, of " fostering the growing powers of the people ${ }^{n}-$ or, in other words, plundering A. to enrich B.; for such most be the result of all evch attempts to "foster the growing powers of the people." When the object of government shall be as well understood in Great Britain as it is in the United States, the idea wrill be exploded, and many of tho discumions referred to as being of that "deliberate and majostic ordar," will be deemed as absurd and
unnecessary as would be a repetition of those in relation to tonnage and poundage. Two centuries since, many very important questions were discussed in the most "manly and deliberate" manner, but a reference to those discussions would now excite little other feeling in the mind of the above writer, than that of surprise that the object of government should have been wo little understood. Precisely such is the condition of the people of the United States. They see the bar, the press, and both houses of parliament, engaged in the discussion of tithes, corporations, marriage acts, the union of church and state, the right of disenters to take degrees, the right of Roman Catholics and Jews to the enjoyment of certain privileges, the right of exporting machinery, and numberless other questions, which appear to them absurd and ridiculous, and totally unworthy the period in which we live. All such matters are settled, and can never be revived in the United States. There are important questions peculiar to this form of government, such us the constitutionality of the tariff-che powers of the states-and the executive power-that have been within a few years brought into discussion, and it may be safely said that some of the debates upon them are of as "deliberate and majestic" a character an those of any deliberative body in the world.

## CHAPTER XI.

Risstianists upon the freedom of commerce are next in order, and to them and to the colonial system has been owing a large portion of the wars of Great Britain and France of the last century. Even previous to that time, during the administration of $\mathbf{C o l}-$ bert, they had produced the same effect between France and Holland.
"Befare his time, Holland supplied all Europe with manuficturen, and recoived in payment for them the raw produce of har poor neighbourn. M. Colbert, overiooking the fieta, that manofacturet eanmot be establisbed in a country until it has acquired a conaiderable capital, and until the people of it have become rich enough to bury them, cought to force the growth of manufictures in Prance merely by imening hin fimous tariff of 1667, by which the importation of all manofactorea into France was prohibited. e * Immediatoly uftar the appenrance of the turiff of 1667 , the Datch retalinted by prohibiting the importation of the winet, brandien, and othar pros ductions of France. This commarcinl warfire produced opea hoetilities in 1672, and a war that lasted aix yeare; and it it to eombmercinl prohibitions and retaliations that moat of the were of Ees ropen since 1667, are to attributed."-Pernell, p. 74

The internal trade of the United States is perfectly - free, except that flour, tobacco, staves, and a few other things are required to be inspected, a species of regulation which will, doubless, soon disappear.

Their foreign trade has always been comparatively free, and even with the tariff at its highest rate, it was vastly more so than that of England. In the
early part of their existence as a nation, all duties were very moderate, but the war of 1812 produced the necessity of increasing them, and the system of protection continued it. At present, however, with the exception of cottons and woollens, paper, surgar, lead, hardware, and a few other articles, duties. are very light, and in many cases importations are: absolutely free, so that the grower of cotton may now exchange for coffee or tea, without paying a farthing to the government for the privilege. This country was the first to propose the abolition of all pestrictions upon navigation, and the equalization of duties upon domestic and foreign vessels; a measure to which Great Britain assented only when it could not be avoided, and even to this hour, as has before been stated, the advantages and disadvantages of "the reciprocity system" are matters of discussion in the House of Commons, and in the newspapers and magazines of Great Brilain!

The necessity of raising a large revenue in England, compels the government to impose taxes upon many of the exchanges that take place, by the aid of excise laws, which prevent the shoemaker from exchanging his products for those of the paper maker or glass blower, unless he will pay the government for permission so to do. Nor is this the only disadvantage. To secure the collection of the revenue, it has been found necessary to prescribe the modes of operation in various branches of manufacture, and thus the brewer, the paper maker, the glass blower, sce, are prohibited by law from making improve-
ments, lest the collection of the revenue ahould be endangered.
 manuficturar cannot manage hin trude in the way hir akill and arr perience point out we the beat; bat he in compalled to comform to such methode of porming his ant as be find turght in the acter of. Parlinment. Thue the mnseen injury ariang from excice tarntion, by ite intarference with the free course of manofictores, is moch creatar than is cacpected by the problic. The consoquence of the activity and invention of the manuficturess beiny repressed, is, that the eonemmers of goode pay increasod pricet, not only for the duties impoesd on them, but for the additional expenso incurred by ahsord and varations regulations; and in addition to this, the good are generilly vary inferior in quality to what thoy would bo if no datioe ecirtod" p. 99, "In the act of Periament for the colloction of theso dution, (on glem, there are no lees than thirty-two chansen of regalationas. penaltion, and prohibitions; all great obstaclen in the wey of introducing improvements, vasation in the highert degtee to the manuficturer, and nocemarily obliging him to coll hin good manch highar prices than what tha mare amount of the duty co-encions,"-Pernoll, p. 31.
${ }^{n}$ The seel for necuring revenve han so lept down the trade of malting; at to hava mado the concumption stetionery for the hat forty yearef whareas, there enn bo no donbt, that if the trudo had sot been so haramed by excies rolee, checks and penation, the ecocumption would have inereased with incroased popalation and Weath, and consequantly the revenno derived from it"-Ibid. \& 176.

## The following extract from a speech of Mr. Powlett Thompson, will show the effect of duties on glass:

uIt appeart, therefore, that notwithatending the increese of popahation and genoral haxary, the conasuroption han beon kept down by your improvident instam, and in metranlly now been than it wes thve and thirty yourt ngo. But here again the duty in fur from boing tha greatect evil. Leot any one turn to the ect: he win fand thitrytro alenen of regulations, penalien, probibitions, all vexatione to the menuficturer, and all to be paid for by tho pablic. 1 have mid that the duty on flint ghene is 64 per pound; the ghich, whon made, enting for le. But the exive aticer hat the powne of impoing
the thety, tither when the giem in in the pot, 34. par pound, or atcer It has been turned out, at 6., the glem, when turnod out, gaining 100 por cent. It is found more advantageoun to the revenuse, to ar. sot the duty an gless in the pol, at Sd; and in this way the duty ia tuisod to 7d. Noe is this all. The mamphaturer is driven by thin mathod into the neocosity of producing froquently an article which he doos not went. He mukes the fine glem from the middio; tho coerver frome the top and bottom of the pot. Fo frequently wants colly fine gitem, and be would remelt the fiux of the coarnor perth If ho had act paid duty upon it; bat of courso he in unablo to do mo All the gham manufincturers whom I have convalted, agree that the

- Wholo cont of the excoiso, to the conmumer, besides the duty, which is 100 per cent, is 25 per seant; and besiden, there is great incomvenience and oppromion from the frouda that aro daily tating pincos, And observe the efleot which is prodocod upon your tracto, both at home and ahroud. A manuficturer who hus Intoly travellod through Tranoo, the Netheriends, and Germany, has avcured.me that ore manoficturers coold advantageoualy cope with foreigners, wese it not for tho dutics imponed by the government, Labour in es choep In thin country, our ingenuity greater, and the matarials are choo ase ohoeap: it in, then, the veratiocus onerous duty close that given the foredign manuficturer the adventage over the English. Bat the of set of the duty goen further; it operaten to provent ill improvement in the article, beounve to improve experiments mast be mado; bat a man with a duty of 125 per cent. over hin hoad, is not rary litoly to malbe many oxperiments. This argument appliem eopecially with reopeot to eoloorn. A manuficturer has merared me, that he hat never theen ablo to produce a boentifal red, becenceo the dutien have prevented hin trying the nocessery experimenta, without hir ineurtring a great rink or loes. Thus a minarablo duty, amoranting to ealy $\$ 500,000$, end upon which a eharge of 10 por ceent, is medo for colleoting, is allowed to impode our mutive induastry, and to pets a stop to all improvementa, and be a cource of endleme opprestion and atench I really canook bolieve that the logislatore will recint coch an appeal an the menuficturese of thim article could muke to theme, at refore to reliere then ftom the gratuitona injury which in inflictod anthen"


## Groat Brithin retains a hont of colonies for no con-

ceivable purpose but to act as drains of her capital Canada alone costs her $\mathbf{£ 6 0 0 , 0 0 0}$, or three millions of dollars per annom, in addition to the enormous sums paid in bounties on Cánadian timber used in Great Britain Canadian wheat is admitted at a duty of $6 d$. States, Prussia, and Poland, is shat out by prohibitory duties.

The West India Islands are compelled to supply themselves from Canada at prices much higher than they would pay to the United States, and to make amends therefor, West India sugar is allowed a bounty in the home market over that of British subjects resident in lidia. Millions of pounds are expeaded in the protection of colonies, for no purpose but to enable the colonists to supply their fellow subjects with wheat, lumber, ece., at greatly higher prices than other persons would gladly sell at. In the report of the Poor-Laws Commissioners, are statements in regard to the number of persons who are born and brought up to be paupers, in consequence of the injudicious nature of the system, but they liave given it on a very small scale. They might have included in the same category the whole mass of the colonists, all of whom are supported at the expense of the labouring classes of Great Britain. «The history of the colonies for many years, is that of a series of loss, and of the destruction of capital; and if to the many millions of private capital which have been thus wasted, were added some hundred millions that have been raised by British tares, and spent on account of the colonies, tho total loses to the British public of wealth which
the colonies have occasioned, would appear to be quite enormous."-Parnell, 247.

No exchange for any foreign product can take place without the payment of a heavy duty, except in the case of certain raw materials indispensable to her manufacturers. With a limited territory at home, incspable of supplying her population with food, she refuses to avail herself of the advantages of her position as a manufacturing nation, to obtain it on the best terms, and deprives her mechanics of the vast business that would arise therefrom. Thus by internal and external regulations, every thing is done to prevent freedom of action and of trade; to prevent her population from employing themselves either at home or abroad, where they would be best paid; and to prevent, by this means, any increase in the fund for the support of the labourer, while the chief part of the expenses of the estate is borne by that fund; and then great astonishment is expressed at the increase of pauperism, the remedy for which is to discourage matrimony! and that too in a country in which it has been recently declared by high authority, that " female chastity among the labouring class is a virtue almost unknown!"* The time is not

[^39]
## remote, when it will be altogether unknown, unless the burthens of the labouring classes are alleviated,

coanidened a good apeculation to marry a woman who ean bring a fortuna of one or two bastarde to her husband" p. 171.
a An unmarried girh, upan leaving the work-house, after her fourth confinement, said to tho master, "Well, if I can have the good luok to have another chilc, I thall draw a good round aram from the parish, and with what I can earn myself, I shall be bettor off than any marriod women in the parish.' " p. 172
"At Bulkington, the clergyman of the pariah, who wat interrogated as to the proportion of pregnant women among the poor whom he married, repliod, 'not lege than nineteen out of twenty.' "
"At Mantun, 'seventeen out of every twenty of the female poor who went there to be married, were far advanced in pregnanoy.' " p. 173.
"Several clergymen told me, that four-fifth of the wromen are with ohild, and frequently noar the time of their confinement at the time of their marriage." p. 174
"I bnow of many instances in which the motherm have themsolves boen instrumental in having their daughters soduced, for the oxpresu purpose of getting rid of the onse of cupporting her," p. 176.
""They are almoat al way" with child whan thoy come to church." p. 178
"One day," anid one of the witnesses emamined by Mr. Chadwick, "I went into the house of one of the people who work at the chalk quarrion at Northfleet, to buy foesils, and a yoong woman came in for a save minutes, whose appearance clearly ahowed appromeh to sumternity. When ahe went out, I eaid to the woman of the honsa, ' Poor girl, sho has been unfortunate.' She replied, ' Indeed the hath, poor girh, and a virtuous good girl ahe is too. The follow has botrayed bor, and gone to mea." said, 'She ahould not have trusted hinh until they had beon at church.' To this obsorration the woman replied, and, let me obecrve, her own childrean woere all ebowt Wer. "What could the do, poor girl! if she did not do tat other girls da she would never get a husband. Girla are offen deoeived, and how ean thoy holp it? "
"The cuasen why illagitimato childron are loen euaneroas in menuficturing towne, are manifold; of these I chall allude but to two

## and the Malthusian doctrines which lead men away from the true cause of distress are abandoned.

"If ence men were allowed to take their own way, they would wary soon, to the great advantage of mociety, ondective the workd of the error of restricting trade, and nhow that the pessage of marchandive from ane ptute to another, ought to be an free ns air and water.

- Every country abould be an a guneral and common firir for the sale of gooda, and the individual or nation which makes the beet commodity, ehould find the greatent adrantaga. The distunce and axperso of carriage are eufficient reasong for any nation's.preforring its own goods to those of others, and when these obstaclen cease, the stranger is preferable to our own countrymen, otherwise domestic trade in injured instead of being fivoured. For these reavons, trede claima liberty, instend of thom protections by which it has been diseop-raged":-Parmell, p. 292.

After the view given of the taxation of India, it can hardly be necessary to say, that no freedom of any kind exists there; nor has existed, except to
-the inforior bealth of the wormen, and the desperate remody of dertroying the borden prexaturely in the womb The exirtence of theso ficta will be acknowledged by any one who has soen with inquiring eye, the ectral atate of the manufacturing population."England, Val. 1. p. 204
"I requated," eaye Mr. Breretion, of Norfalt, "the governor of a neighbouring hundredhouse to furnich me with the nomber of children born within a certain period, distinguishing the legitimato from the illegitimate. The sccount was 77 born- 23 legitimate, 54


In Mr. Conningham's work on New South Walea, is a stateroent given to him- by an English clergyman, "that he considered the women in his parish more moral than they had been, in consequence of the incronsed number of bustards that were born. Before that tirme, they had boen so promisonoras in their inlercourne, that very Sow birthe took pleos."
plunder wherever it was possible to do so. The Hindoo may not sell where he pleases-nor buy where he pleases-he may not decide how much land he will cultivate, nor what he will raise--he may not cultivate tobacco, except umder restrictions, nor, since 1797, might he cultivate the poppy, except in Bahar or Benares, until the recant territorial additions have made it necessary to permit its continuance in Marwa. His fellow subjects in England refuse to receive any of his productions, (cotton excepted,) unless burthened with excessive duties, while they require that all their productions, used chiefly by the rich, shall be adnitted at a duty of five per cent, and that he shall out of his miserable patch of land contribute more than one-half of the gross proceeds to the support of the State, and to the payment of his absentee landlordsI

The following extract from the minutes of the Madras Revenue Board, may be taken as evidence of the extent of freedom allowved to the Hindoo.
${ }^{4}$ The emount lovied on each Ryot was in fact left to be determined at the discretion of the European of native revenue officers, Atr it wes the practice to compel tha Ryot to oocupy as much land, and consequently to pay as much revence, as they deemed propor. tional to his circomatances; the was not allowed, on payment even of the high survey ansesament fired on each field, to cultivate only those fields to which he gave the prefarence; his task wen asaigned to him; he soas constrained to occupy all such fields as ware alloted tio him by the revenue afficere; and whether he cultivated them ar not, he was, as Mr. Thackery emphatioslly terme it, anddled with the rent of esch." Mr. Rickards adde, "that if the Ryot waw driven by theno oppresaions to fly, and meek a subsintence elnowhersa, ho wan followed wharever he went, and oppremed at discretion, of deprived of the adventagee he might axpect fiom a ohange of rooi-dence."-Vol I. p. 476.

## CHAPTER XII.

Habiss or industry constitute a very important item in the consideration of the causes which tend to increase or diminish the product of labour, and, of course, the fund out of which it is to be paid.

In the United States every inducement is held out to industry. The people have the confidence that they will have the enjoyment of almost the whole product of their labour undiminished by taxation, and that moderate exertion, with economy, will lead to independence. As no people ever had stronger inducements, so none ever pursued their avocations with more earnestness.

Unfortunately the English System of poor-laws has been introduced, and from the great temptation held out to idleness, there is cause to fear that it will produce most injuriouseffects, unless carefully watched. There is also a vast increase of charitable societies, whose object is to teach people that they can be supported without labour, and that will be productive of serious injury. The following remarks of Mr. E. L. Bulwer are in the highest degree judicious.

[^40]Hence the wisdom of the institation of Sevinge Benks, Taughtio bear upon others, they are only a burden upon industry."

Next in order are the people of Great Britain, who have great reason for exertion, but not the same confidence that they will have the enjoyment of its products, or that it will lead them to independence. Too large a portion of them feel that they must drag on existence without improvement, and that by availing themselves of the temptations held out to idleness by the poor-laws, they place themselves little lower in the scale than the position they must necessarily occupy, exert them@elves as they, may.

High duties, prohibitions, excise regulations, and game laws, offer the strongest inducement to engage in pursuits that are destructive of industry, and lead to crime.
"It has been well observed, that to create by high dutien, an orerwhelming temptation to indulge in crime, and then to punish men for indulging in it, is a proceeding wholly and completely ubverdive of every principle of justice. It revolts the natoral feelings of the people, and teaches them to feel an intereat in the worst chnracters, to espouse their cause, and to avenge their wrongs. A puniahment which is not apportioned in the offence, and which does not carry the canction of mociety, -long with it, cain never be productive of any good effect; the true way to put down mmuggling is to render it unprofitable, by reducing the duties on the amuggled commoditien.' $\dagger$

When such inducements to pauperism and crime are held out, it is extraordinary that the poorrates and criminal calendar have not increased more

[^41]zapidly than they have done. It is estimated that the quantity of solid food that can be obtained by The independent agricultural labourer is - 122 oz The soldier has - . . . . . . . . 168 "
The able bodied pauper has vegetables and 151 «
The suspected thief has - - . 181 to 203 "
The convicted thief has - . . . . . 239 "
The transported thief has - . . . . . 330 "
The Poor-Laws Commissioners, (page 228,) state that "the diet of the work-house almost always exceeds that of the cottage, and the diet of the gaol is almost always more profuse than that of the workhouse." The following extracts from their Report will give some idea of the effect of the pauper system upon the labourer and the land owner.
"In Coleabury, the expense of maintaining the poor, hen not merely swallowed up the whole esluse of the land; it repuiree even the ansistance of two years rates ini aid, from other parishes, to ensble the able bodied, after the land hat been given up to them, to anpsort themseloce; and the aged and impotent must even then remain a burden an the neighbouring pariabea."
"Our evidence exhibits no other instance of the abendonment of a parish, bat it contains many in which the presaure of the poor rate has reduced the rent to one-half, or loss than half of what it would have boen, if the hand had boen aituated in an anpauperied dirtrich, and some in which it has been imponsible for the owner to find a tomant"-Report Poor-Law Cominiesiners, p, 65.
${ }^{-}$In the neighbourhood of Aylesbury there were 42 farms untonented at Michaelmas lest, moot of them ere still on the proprietors' hand; and on eoms no actes of husbandry have bean done ever fimee, in order to avoid the payment of the poor rata."
"In the parish of Thornborough, Buake, there are at this timp 600 acres of land moccupiod, and the greater part-of.the other tonunte have given notice of their intention to quit their farma, owinge entirely to the iscreacing barthen of the peoria rate."- Ih p. 660


#### Abstract

"The constant war which the pauper hat to wage with all who employ or pay him, is destructive to his honesty and his temper; an his mubaistence does not depend upon hie exartions, ha lonta all that eweetens labour, ita asociation with rewarch, and geta through his work, such as it is, with the reluctance of a alave, Bie pes, earned by importunity and fraud, or even violence, is not husbanded with the carefulaom which would be given to the remolte of indoretry, bat wasted in the intemperance to which his emple leieure invites him. The ground on which relief is ordered to the idle and dissolnte is, that the wife and family muat not euffier for the vices of the head of the family; but as the relief is almont alway given into the hands of the vicions husband or parent, the excuse is obviously shourd. Wherever, saye Mr. Zaurence, of Herefield, the tabourera are nuemployed, the beer mhops of the parinh are frequanted by them" p. 87. *Applications to the petty sessinn had been made by soms b. Corrers who had been refiused relief by the overseer, afler they had rejected work at Wrotham Hill, twelve miles off. This hill wa lowered a ahort time ago, and the work was let out by contrect; fourteen or fifteen men of this parish might have found employment at 2e. 6d. per day, or 15y. a week-high pay for winter wagen. The hboarers, however, one and all, refneed to go, unlew the parinh would agree to allow them two daye pay, one for going, and ane foe returning; in other worda, would enable the men to make eight daye of the air, and so raise their wagen from 15y, to $玉 1$ per week." Rew EI. Biahop's Report.


That pauperism leads to crime, there is abundant evidence to be found in the excellent Report to which we have already referred. I shall, however, quote only a single passage from the evidence of Mr. Gregory, treasurer of Spitalields Parish.
wAre we to underatend, as the refult of your experienco, that the groat mase of crime in your neighbourhood has always arisen fiom idlanese and vios, rather than from the want of employment? Yes, and this idlencss and viciows habits are increased and foeterth hy pauporiom, and by the rasdinces with which the ablebodied can obtrin from parichen allomances and food aithont labosr."

In Lamb's admirable essays of Elia, is a description of the two races into which mankind is said to be divided: the lenders and the borrowers. It applies so well to the situation of the able-bodied pauper and the miserable workman that toils all day for the support of his family, and is obliged to contribute a part of his earnings for the support of the Falstaffs and the Sheridans of the poor house, that I am tempted to extract the following :-
"The human apecies, eccording to the best theory I can farm of it, in composed of two distinct races, the men who borrons, and the men who lend;" (the men who live by the labour of othera, and the men who live by their oson labour;) "the infinite superiority of the former, which I choose to designate as the great race, is discarnible in their figure, port, and a certain instinctive sovereignty. The letter are born degraded. 'Hle shall serve his brethren.' There in comething in the air of one of this caste, lean and auspicious; contrasting with the open, generoun manner of the other. Observe who have been the greatent borrowers of all ages-Alcibiades and Fal-traff-Sir Richard Steele-our late incomparable Bringloy-what a family likeness in all four. What a careless, even, deportment hath your borrower! What rosy gills! What a beautiful reliance in Providence doth he manifest-taking no more thought than lilien! What contempt for money-eccounting it, (yours and mine eapocially, no better than drosa! What a liberal confounding of thowe pedantic distinctions of mesm and turm; or rather what a noble cimplification of langunge, (beyond Tooke,) resolving theso napposed opponiten into one clear, intelligible pronom adjective! What near epproachen doth he make to the primitive comenunity! to the axtant of one half of the principle at leart!"

Other causes in other countries produce similar effects. Mr. Senior says:
"The eatublished annual holidaya in Protertant countries, are between fifty and sixty. In many Catholic countries they exceed cono hundrod Amang the Hindoos, they are mid to occiupy nearly
half the year. But theso holidaye are confined to a certain portion of the population; the laboor of a sailor, or a soldier, or a menial servant, admits of scarcely any distinction of dayn.
"Many of the witnesses examined by the Committee on Articans and Machinery, (Sesaion of 1824,) were English manufacturerin, who had worked in France. They agree as to the comparative indolence of the French labourer, even during his hours of employment. One of the witnesses, Adam Young, had been two years in one of the beat manufictoriea in Alasce. He is asked, 'Did you find the spinners there as industrions as the apinners in Englandr and replies, "No; a epinnar in England will do twice as much as a Frenchman. They get up at four in the morning, and work till ton at night; but our spinners will do as mach in six hours as they will in ten.'
"'Had you any Frenchmen employed under your'-'Yea; eight, at two frances a day:'
"' What had you a day $?$ '- 'Twelve francs.'
$u$ 'Supposing you had had eight English cardera under you, how much wore work could you have done T"- With one Englishman, I could have dome more than I did with thoee eight Frenchmen. It cannot be called work they do: it in only looking at it, and wishing it done. ${ }^{2}$
a ' Do the French make theix yarn at a greater expenser'-. Yen; though they bave their hands for much lese wages than in England.'
${ }^{4}$ The average annoal wagen of labour in Eagland, are three times an high as in Ireland; bat an the labourar in Ireland is maid not to do more than one-third of what is done by the labourer in Elngland, the price of labour may in both countriex, be about equal ${ }^{\text {ne }}$

1 doubt much the correctness of this statement in regard to the Hindoos. There is no doubt that their religion leads to snormous waste of time; that mendicity is promoted by it; and that their various pir grimages and observances are of a most injurious character; but I doubt the correctness of the assertion, that half their time is thus bestowed. Were

[^42]such the case, would it be possible for them to live, and meet the enormous demands upon them? A. very important portion of the people of India, are mussulmen, whose religion does not require these observances, yet there is no very material difference in their situation. Mr. Hamilton says, "It may safely be asserted, that with so vast an extent of fertile soil, peopled by so many millions of tractable and industrious inhabitants, Hindostan is capable of supplying the whole world with any species of tropical merchandise; the production being in fact, only limited by the demand."

Mr. Rickards says:-
$*$ They are decidedly by nature, a mild, pleasing, and intelligent race; sober, parsimonious, and, where en object is held out to thens, mont inductrions and persevering. But they are men of high and gallant conrage, courteons, inteiligent, and eager after knowledge and improvement, with a remarkable aptitude for the abatract aciences, geametry, astronomy, tec; and for the imitative arth, painting and mculpture."

Since the above was in type, I have received the Quarterly Review for April, 1835, containing the address of the Assistant Commissioner for the county of Kent, under the new poor-laws, giving so excellent a view of the effects of the poor-law system, as heretofore pursued, that I cannot omit making the following extract:-

[^43]civilization from this crool extreme, most onforturately fell into an opposite ane, wearing the meak of charity. Instead of mutilating individuals, it inflicted its cruelty on the whole fabric of nociety, by the aimple and apparently harmless act of raising the pauper a degree or twoo above the honest, hard-working, hard-earning, and hardfaring peasant. The change, for a moment, neemed a benovolent one, but the preecription soon began to undermine the sound conatitution of the labouren-it induced him to look behind him at the workhonse, instead of before him at hie plough.
"The poison, having paralyzed tho lowest extremity of nociety, next made its appearance in the form of dut-door relief, and it thun sickened from their work those who were too proud to woar the Jivery of the pauper. In the form of labour-rate, the farmers next began to feel that thore was a profitable, but unhealthy, mode of cultivating their land by the money levied for the support of the poor. He who nobly scomed to avail himself of this bribe, became every day poorer than his neighbour who accepted it; until, out of this distompered syatem, there grew up in every pariah, petty lawe and curtome, which, partly from ignorance, and partly from self: intarest, actunlly threatened with panishment those who wore still uncontaminated by the disease.
u To the provident labourer they exclaimed, "You shall have no work, for your drese and decent appearance show that you have been guility of saving money from your labour; subsist, therefare, upon what you have saved, until you have sunk to the level of those who, by having been careless of the future, have become entitled more than you to our relief!'
"' You have no fumily,' they zaid to the prudent labourer, who had refrained from marrying because he had not the means of providing for children-s you have no family, and the farmer therefore must not employ you until wo have found occupation for those who have children. Marry without means!-prove to us that you have been improvident!-satisfy us that you have created children you have not power to support!-and the more children you produce, the more you thall receive!'
" To thowe who felt disponed to set the lnws of their country at dafianoe,-'Why fear the laws?-tho English pasuper is better fed than the independent labourer-the suopected thief receives in jail considerably more food than the pauper-the convicted thief receives
till more-and the transported felon receiven every day very mearly three times as much food es the honest, independent peasant?
" While this dreadful nystom was thus corrapting the principlea of the English lebourer, it was working still harder to effect the demoralization of the weaker mex. On returning home from his work, vin was it for the peasant to spend his evening in instilling into the mind of his child thet old-fanhioned doctrine, that if she ceased to be virtuous she would coesse to be respected--that if she ceared to be reapected ahe would be abandoned by the world-chat har daya would pase in ahame and indigence, and that ahe would bring her father's gray hairs in eorrow to the grave.
" ' No much cruelty ahall befall you,' whispered the poor-lawa in her ear: ' abandoned, indeed! you ahail not be abandoned-concede, and you shall be married; and even if your seducer should refuse to go with you to the altar, he or your parish shall make you such an allowance, that if you will but repeat and repeat the offence, you will at last, by dint of illegitimate children, establinh an income which will make you a marketable and marringeable commodity. With these advantages before you, do not wait for a seducen-be one yourmalf!'
u To the young female who recoiled with horror from this advice, tho following arguments were used:-' If you do insint on following your parenta' precepts instead of ours--don't wait till you can provide for a family, but marry!-the parink shall support you; and remember that the law saya, the more children you bring into the world, without the means of providing for them, the richor you mhall be!-'
${ }^{4}$ To the mont depraved portion of the ser--'Swear!-we incint upon your swearing-who in the father of your child. Never mind bow irregalar your conduct may have beem; fix it upon a fathor; for the worde, ' Thow shalt not bear false witness againat thy neighbowr,' are not pariah law-what's wrong before the altar, we have deareed right in the ventry! Swear, therefore; and though you nwear over to falmely, you ahall immediately be rewarded!'
"I have now endeavoured to explain to you the two extremen of error under which the English poor-inwa have hitherto existed; the ancient arror having proceoded from the vice called cruolty, tho modern one fram falce virtuen amoming the name of charity. Of theme two extremen, there can bo little doubt that the latter wis the
wort. Iowever, it is useless to argue-both are now at an ond The now act reigns in their stead, and we have therefore now only to consider what this really is. . . . Those who are epeqies to its machanism, tell you that this now act has a grinding propensity; but so has the mill which gives us our bread. The act traly enough does grind; but before we condemn it, let us clearly understand who and what it is that will be ground by it.
"The act reats upon that principle, which, whether admitted or not by law, is indelibly imprinted in the heed and heart of every honest person in this country, that no individual, whether able-bodied, impotent, or vicious, should be left to suffer from absolute went. To this principle of common social justice, there is attached a liberal feeling almost as universal, nemely, that the poor of this wealthy country ahould not only be barely supported, but totally regardless of expense, they should receive as many comforts and as mach alleviation, as can, by any man's ingenuity, possibly be invented for thom, without injuring, corrupting, or demoralizing other anembere of enciaty":

The same Article gives an excellent view of the effect of Foundling Hospitals. That of London has long since been compelled, while retaining its name, to resolve that foundlings should not be accepted, and that " henceforward from none but their mothers should babies be received. All honest women are now denied admittance, on the ground that 'the design of the foundation was to hide the shame of the mothers;' but those who happen to have children without husband, are rigidly examined by the committee, and if they can succeed in showing that they are really guilty, a day is appointed on which they are doomed painfully to produce and abandon their offspring,-to be re-christened, to be re-named, and, so long as they remain in the institution, never by their mothers to be seen again."

It contains also some admirable remarks upon that provision in the new poor-law which relieves the father froin the necessity of providing for illegitimate children. That clause has excited great feeling, and " It has not only been argued but preached, not only senators but divines have boisterously contended, that in cases of bastardy, to relieve the man from punishment, and to leave his unhappy victim to shame, infamy, and distress, is a law discreditable to our national character,-impious, cruel, ungenerous, unmanly, and unjust."

Upon this head the Assistant Commissioner re-marks,-
u We confene that we feel very deenly the force of these obeervations; at the same time it must be evident that we should have dreaded (we hope we may say so fairly) to have stated one aide of the question, unlews we felt convinced that there was something to be maid on the other. That the virtues of the weaker mox are the pureat blesaings which this world affords un,-that they were so intended to be by nature,-and that, like all her works, they have roll been created in vain, it is not even necessary to admit. From, our cradle to our grave,-in our infancy, our boyhood,-our zenith and our decline,-rejoicing in our pronperity, ever smiling in our adversity, there is, we all know, a matellite attending our orbit, which, like our shadow, never leaves us, and which too often becomes itelf a shadow when we are gone; but as the atellite shinen with borrowed luntre, to doen the cheracter of a woman much depend upon the conduct of him whome fate ahe follows;-and if this be true, how deeply important it is for a nation to take eapecial care leat, by too much human legialation, it may (as ours has too often done) interfere with the wise arrangements of nature, whose motio, with all her kindness, han ever been, Nemo me impune lacesait:
«Universally adored as woman is, yet it is an anomalous facts which no one can deny, that in every climate under the mon man apponrs an her opean evowed enemy-and strange an it may mound,
tho more he admires the treasme ahs pomencen, the more enxions be in to deprive her of it:
> - The lovely toy, wo keenly cought, Has loot ite charms by being caught; And every touch that wooed its etay, Has broab'd ite brightest hoes ewey!?

Now, if thin arrangement were totally incomprehensible to na, yet surely it world not be altogether discreditable, were we to feel cosured that the myaterious dispensation wat benevolent and just
"We have already observed, that with aill her kindnew, the punishmenty by which natore preservea her lawe are irrevocally mevere. Beatowing on un, with one hand, the enjoyment of health, with what severity does ahe, with the other, punish every intempernnce which would destroy it-what humnn castigation, we beg leave to ank of nome of oar opponents, in equal to a fit of their goat ? Compare a healthy peesant's cheeko with the livid countenance of a gin-drinker, and who can may that a magistrate's fine for drunkennens is as acvere as hers 1 What admonition of a preacher in equal to the reproof of a guilty conscience? Even the sentence of death is what the meanest among ns has fortitude enough in silence to endure, bat the first murderar's purishment was "greater than he could bear!' and after all, what was this punishment but simply a voics erying to him in tho wildernesp of his paradiso-C Cain! Cain! where is thy brother " If abatinence be necessary for the recovery of our health, can any phyaician enforce it like the fever which robe out of our appetite? Cun the surgeon explain to the man who has broken a limb the noeemity of rest, in order that the bone may knit, as eternly to the excruciating pain which ponishes him if he moves it? What would be our sufferinga if one man were to have the gout for another man's intemperance 9 Or if the effecte of gin-drinking were to be borme equally by all mankind? Leaving juatice out of the cesa, would it be as wise arrangement to divide responsibility, and partinlly at the expense of the community to aboolve an individual for neglecting the particular duty he has to perform 1 Now, if in thew cases it be admitted, that Nature, though hicr lipe be motionleas, maintuine our real welfare by a judicions aystem of rewards and punishments, curely it would fallow, that it in probable she would consistently purnue a aimilar course in protecting femalo virtue, an which the happinese of all incividould, as well as of all mations, meinly deppende.

Woold it be prudent to intruat it to any but her own keeping? If whe alone receives the reward which adorns its preservation, is it not a cenaible arrangement that ahe should likewise be the sole anf. ferar for ita loes? Could any better arrangement be invented? In common affairs of life, do we not invariably act on the same pris. ciple 1 Have we not one officer to command our army in the field, on purpose to ensure a responsibility which would not practically exist, ware it to be eubdivided? But it is loodly argued- Nature is wrong: a woman ought not to be the eole guardian of her own honour ; let us, therefore, muke it, by English law, the joint-stook property of the eexee-let the man be punished for its loen as much as harself, and under this clever end superior arrangement, which will make it the interest of both parties to preserve the treasure, it will remain inviolate; depend apon it, no benkraptoy will tako plinco!
*Well-shis theory has long been reduced to practice, and what, we ank, han been the renult 9 Have the lower orders, to whom it has bean exelusizely applied, become more or less moral than thair sut periofa in atation? Has the fear of punishment had its promised effect Han it intimidated the enemy? Hes it atrengthened or wined tho fortresa? Has it preserved the citadel? In there nows. as thare used to be, but one seducer, or are there two? Has it become the interest of the woman, instead of oppoaing, to go over to the enemy? For consenting to do wo, hat not the law almost inveriably newarded har with a humband? Han it not forcibly provided for her? Has not the oath it has extorted from her been frequently prodnctive of perjury? Before the altar, do the ceremonies of marriago, oharching, and chrintening, respectfully follow oach other at awful intervals, or are they not now all jumbled together in a bag? Are the peasantry of England a more moral people in this respeot than the Irish, emong whom no poor-laws exist? Has it not been indisputably proved, that our domestic earvants are, as to this mattor, by fir the most moral among our lower clasees; and han not thim been produced by our own unrelenting rule of turning them out of our housen, in short, like Nature, abandoning thoee who misbehave? Hea not that eeverity had a mont bencficial effect? Can there be any harm in our acting mationally at we conscientipualy mot in our own homes?
" If," arguea the Anaistant Commistioner, " it nhould be imposi-
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he for the defenders of the old hav, and the revilers of the PoorLaw Amendment Act, aatisfictorily to enswar these questionn, murely it must follow, that our theory, having been ansuccesaful, in false; and ntanding before the world an we do, convicted of being incapable, on mo delicate a cubject, to leginiate for ourselven, curely we ought, in penitence and mubmisnion, to fall back upon thut aimple law of nature, which has moat sensibly decreed, that a woman after all in the beat guardian of her own honour, and that the high rewarde and sovere puniahments which naturally attend ite preservation and ita losa, are the beneficent meane of mecuring our happinean, and of maintaining the moral character of our country. That we have erred from a miutaken theory of charity and benevolence-. that we have demoralized society, kindly desirous to improve itthat, in ecrubbing our morality wo never meant to destroy its polinh -hat, by our old bastandy lawn, we nobly intended to protect pretty women, just an we once thought how kind it would be to nurse infants for them in our national baby-house the Foundling Hoapital-and just as we thought how benevalent it would be to raise the pauper above the independent labourer-it in highly consoling to reflect;-mat the day of such follies has past. This come: try has no longer the apology of youth and inerperience-it is deoply stricken in yearo-age has brought with it experienco, and by experience most dearly purchased, it anacted, in tho Poor-Law Amendment Bill, the clause to which so mach obloquy has attached, but which we humbly conceive, reata on a foundation that cunnot now be undermined by the weak tools of mintaken aympathy, or reversed by explosions of popular clamour."

This long extract is given on account of the excellence of the argument and its applicability to all other cases of regulation. It is incessantly argued, in relation to the system of free trade, that "Nature is wrong: that man is not the proper guardian of his own interests:" and therefore it is deemed necessary that he should be regulated by law in the manner of pursuing them. If the able writer of the Quarterly Review would apply the Assistant Commissioner's argument to many other questions in political econo-
my, he would speedily be a convert to the doctrine of non-interference.

There is no circumstance to which has been attributed more influence upon the industry of a nation than to the character of the relation between the owner of the land and its occupant. As this is a subject of considerable importance, it is proposed to consider it fully in the next chapter.

## CHAPTER XIII.

The Rev. Richard Jones of Cambridge, has published the first volume of a series "On the Distribur tion of Wealth and the Sources of Taxation," in which he has collected a great body of facts tending to show the nature of the relation between the owner and occupier of land throughout the world. In his preface, (page xxv.) he says:-
"I have begun by analysing rente, becarso a mall progrewis in this subject was cufficient to show, that the greater part of tho nob tions of the earth are atill in that etato which is properily callod agricultiural; that in, in which the bulk of their popalation dependa wholly on agriculture for mubuistance; and because in this state of society, the relations between the propriatore of the soil and its ocempiers, determine the detaile of the condition of the suajority of the people, and the epirit and forme of their political inutituciona."

The Quarterly Review, Vol. 46, p. 82, noticing the work, confirms this doctrine in the following terms:
"There in no exaggeration in the aseartion that it is by these cincumstances almoet alone that the pasition of any nation in the acale of civilization is practically deternined. Nor can any one be catprised that the fact is so, when he adverts'to the simple considers. tian that it is from the land, and the land alone, that nations derive as well the whole of the food an which they are supported, an the raw materials out of which, by the axertion of their induntry and ingenuity, thoy olaborato all the other necomeriea, comforta, and luxuries of life; that, therefores, the clase who are posseseed, no mattor how or why, of the axclusive property of the land, have it in their power, by the more or lese eary and equitabte terms apon which they chooen to admit of its eollivation, either to reitrain pro-
duction of every kind within the narrowent limith, or to permit its foll dovelopment to the utmone extant of which moman induatry is capabla."

It is singular that both of these writers should have allowed themselves to be so far misted as to make this relation modify the politicat institutions of the world, thus mistaking effect for cause. Had they examined it more closely, they could not have failed to see that the political institutions influence that relation, being the reverse of Mr. Jones's proposition. Property is the creature of government, and the object of man in the formation of communities, it to give to each other mutual aid and profection in the enjoyment of the fruits of labour, or property. Having associated themselves together, it becomes necessary to charge some certain person or persons with the maintenance of order, and to give to those persons perpetual succession, either by inheritance or election, as the case may be. Having agreed to maintain each other in the possession of such property as they may obtain, they may resolve that all the land; they possess are the property of the community or state, to be held on payment of rent to the state; or, that it shall be the property of a few individuals, who shall be eatitled to receive the rents, and shall be liable to the payment of taxes on land; or that it shall be equally divided among all the members of the community, they paying a tax upon it. According to the decision that would be made as to the adoption of one or other of these modes, there might be in such a community, Ryot, labour, cottier, or farmers' rents, and it would then depend upon the subsequent
action of those who had the control of the movements of the political machine thus created, whether, under any one of them, there should be peace and prosperity, or wars, taxation, and poverty. If the ruler or rulers had entire control of its action, they might, in the first case, demand such rents, or, in the last, impose such taxes, as would effectually prevent the improvement of the community, by absorbing nearly the whole fruits of their labour, as is done in Hindostan, where no more is left to the cultivator than is sufficient to provide him the most wretched subsistence. Or, if disposed to let the nation enjoy the benefits of peace, they might content themselves with a small contribution as in China. The following extracts will show Mr. Jones's views in regard to those two nations:-

[^44]> "Scenen like these, in the ancient history of Indis, have beem frequently renewed, and succeeded rapidly ahort intervals of repona. They were of course disastrous. Half the rich territory of that country has never been cultivated, though awarming with a popahation to whom the' pormisaion to make it fruitful in znoderate necurity, would have been happiness; and nothing can well exceed the ordinary poverty of the ryota, and the inefficiency of thair means of cultivation. ${ }^{\text {" }}$

> In China, " the arts of government are, to a certain extent, underatood by the laboriously educated civilians, by whose hands the affirr of the Empire are carried on; the comtry has, till very lately, been remarkably free from intestine convulsion or cerious fareign wars, and the administration has been well orgenized, pacific and efficient. The whole conduct indeed of the Empire, presents a striking contrast to that of the neighbouring Aeiatic monarchiea, the peoplo of which, accustomed to see violence and bloodshed the common instrumente of government, exprese great wonder at the epectacle of the Chinese stateamen upholding the anthority of the atate rather ing the pen than the sword. One effect we know to have followed from the public tranquillity: the apread of agriculture, and an increase of people much beyond that of the neighbouring countrien. While not one half of India has ever been reclaimed, and leas atill of Persia, China is an fully cultivated, and more fully peopled than moat European monarchies."t

Here we have the same mode of tenure, but with the most different results. In the one is a government oppressive almost beyond imagination, and in the other the mildest possible form of absolute monarchy.

The whole land being declared to be the property of the state, it is said by Mr. Jones, [page 140,] that "The sovereign proprietor has the means of enabling a body of labourers to maintain themselves, who, without the machinery of the earth with which he supplies them, must starve." The terms upon which this ma-

[^45]chinery will be rented, must depend upon the wants of the party having it to let, and the extent of those wants will depend upon the character of the government. If the master be a Cæsar, an Alexander, or a Napoleon, he will deem his own glory paramount to the happiness of those who are under him, and will extract from them the chief part of their earnings, for the purpose of adding to the number of unfortunates subject to his control. If, on the contrary, he regard the happiness of his people as most likely to add to his glory, he will demand but a small rent, and will leave the remainder in their possession to become capital Of the former character have been most of the sovereigns of India, and to such an extent has their oppression been carried, that, although there is every reason to believe that private property in the soil", did, at one time, exist, it has been destroyed,

- Mr. Jones's reasoning in opposition to the existence of any rach rights, is curious.
"Are the Ryots in Rajat'hun practically, as he (Colonel Tod) ocnoeives them to be, freeholdere in any rense in which an English proprietor in called the freeholder of the land he owns? I bagan in the taxt by remurking, that the ryot has vary generally a recognized right to the hereditary eceupation of his plot of ground while he pays the rent demanded of him: and the question in, whethor that.right in Rajast'han practically amounts to a propriatary right or not. Now a distinction before suggested in the tart, meema to afford the only reat criterion which can enable va to dotermine thir queation fairly. Is the Ryot at rack-renti has he, or han to not, a beneficial interest in the coil? can be obtain moner for that interost by salop can he make a landlord's rent of it 1 To give a cuhivator an hareditary interent at a variablo rack-ront, and then to call hip right to till, a frochold right, would clearly bo littso better than mookery. To sabject mack a porson to the paymont of were than a meckrent, to leave hise no adequate remaperation for
and the East India Company has declared itself proprietor of the whole land of India. It will be evident, upon consideration, that it is now of no importance whether the Company demands fifty per cent. of the products as rent, and ten per cent. additional as taxes,
his permonal toil, and atill to call him a freehold proprictor, woald be something more bitter than mere mockery. To eatablish by laws and anforce arreelly in practioe, fines and punishments to avenge hin-rumning away from his freehold, and refusing to cultivata it for the benefit of his hard tant master, would be to convert him into a predial alave: and this, athough a very natoral consequence of the mode of establishing such freekold rights, would make the numen of proprietor and owner almoot ridiculous,"

According to this mode of reasoning, all that is necemary to do away with privato property in land in any part of Europe, in, that the tares ahall be raised so high as to prevent the owner from hav. ing any beneficial interest. In some parts of Englend the poor tax has risea so high, that property has been readered totally valueleen; but Mr. Jones would consider it vary extreordinary in any Frenchman or German, who would deny private property therein on the ground that the owner had no beneficial intereat in it.

At page [40], Mr. Jones gives the views of Sir Thoman Munra, by which it will be seen that he comsidern the Ryot to be the proprietor, eubject to the claim of the atate for rent or taxee.
"Yet with all theee views of the difficulty of eatablighing private property in lend, Sir Thoman Munro declares the Ryot to be the troo proprietor, poseetaing all chat is not clained by the sovereign as revenue. This, he zaya, while rejecting the proprietary claime of the Zemindars; which he thinke unduly magnified-- But the Ryot is the reel proprietor, for whaterer land does not belong to the so. vereign belonga to him. The demand for pablic revenue, according as it is high or low in different places, and at different times, affecto hin ahare: but whether it leavee him only the bare profit of his nock, or a manall eurplus beyond it as landlord's reant, be is still the troe proprietor, and poseoseen all that is not claimed by the sovereign at revenus,-Vol. ni. p 340 ."

- Joses on the Distribution of Wealth. Appendix, p, 36.

02
or twenty per cent. as rent, and forty per cent. as tares. In either case, the result must be the same, as the cultivator can only live, and can accumulate no capital.
It is not to be doubted that they would relinquish the claim of ownership of the land, if by doing so there could be a small increase of revenue obtained. The contrary is, however, what is required.
"It in the high assesment on tho land," the members of tho boerd of revenue obeerve, "which Colonel Munro juntly conaiders the chiof cheak to popalation. Wers it not for the pressure of this beary rent, population, he thiniks, ought to increase even fanter thes in Americs; because the climato is more favourable, and there aro vat trects of good land unoccupied, which may be ploughed at once, without the lehour or expense of clearing awny foresth, at there is above three millions of acren of this kind in the ceded dia tricte. He is of opinion, that a great increace of population, and consequently of land revenue, might be expected in the course of tweaty five geare, from the operation of the remiscion., ${ }^{\text {n/ }}$

By the following passage it will be seen that the Company do not so much object to making changes that cost them nothing.
${ }^{M}$ In Indin, tho Anglo-Indian government havo been creditably roedy to give more security and more civil righte to thoir Indian subjects than they before enjoyed; but vhen it becarne a quention of direot sacrifice of revenue, notwithstanding the clearest conviction in thoir own minde, that the population would be increased, cultivation improved, and the wealuh and resources of their territaries rapidly multiplied, atill the exigencies of the government would not permit them to remit the sotual rents to the amount of 25 per cents, or 15 per conts, evan to insare all these confossed ulterior adven. tages; and therefore they concluded that the atate of cultivation, and the poverty of the tomuntry, mast continue as thoy weren ${ }^{4}$

If, instead of making the whole land the property

[^46]of the state, it be decided that it shall be the property of a few persons who shall constitute the aristocracy, the effect of this arrangement will depend entirely upon the manner in which the government sball be administered by those who are charged with it. If honestly administered for the good of all, the taxation for the support of the state will be upon property, and as the aristocracy will be the holders of the whole property of the community, it will be to their interest to make it as economical as possible, in order that their rents may not be reduced. Should they chance to have a warlike monarch, his nobles would counsel him that upon the continuance of peace would depend their prosperity, and he would find himself compelled to practise a little restraint. No taxation being imposed upon the labourers, until they should have accumulated some property, they would be at liberty to employ themselves as they might think proper, and many of them would turn their attention to other pursuits than agriculture. If rents were moderate, the whole body of the people would find their means improving, and the demand for manufactured articles would increase so rapidly as to absorb a large portion of the new population in their production; and as the quantity of land could not be increased, the rent would rise, in consequence of the general prosperity producing increased demand for its products, by which the landholders would benefit in common with the whole body of the people."If, on the contrary, rents were high, the expenditure of the landlords would be considerable, and would provide a market for a large amount of the
products of labour in other departments than agricurture: or, capital would accumulate in their hands, and they would find it necessary to employ it, in doing which they would increase the demand for, and the reward of, labour. The labourers, free from taxation, would find their wages increase with the demand for their products, and the agriculturist, ceasing to employ his children upon his farm, would send them to the cities that they might participate in the harvest. The effect of this would be to reduce the competition for land, and lower the rent, by which the wages of the cultivator would rise to a level with those of persons otherwise employed.

If, instead of assessing the contributions for the support of government, on property, by which the holders of land would be compelled to furnish the chief part, taxes should be upon consumption, they would not have the same inducement to counsel the continuance of peace. The taxes would not be paid by them, but on the contrary, a heavy expenditure by government would provide places for themselves and their children, where they could be supported out of the moneys paid by the people. 'The two parties would then compete with each other, which should have the largest share of the spoils, and both would deem it unnecessary to show mercy to the unfortunate producer, because if any thing were left by the one, it would be taken by the other.
Here are two cases in which the tenure of land is precisely the same, and the sole difference is in the spirit with which the government is administered. In the one the expenses of the protection of person
and property are fairly divided according to the means of the parties, while in the other thie burthens are laid upon the shoulders of those who are incapable of resistance.

The case of Ireland may be adduced as one of a nation governed according to the latter system. There, even the land tax does not exist, and the owners of property, which rents at two, three, and four pounds per acre, are almost totally exempted from contributing to the support of thegovernment. Nearly the whole revenue of Ireland is raised by taxes on consumption, and as a large portion of the landholders are absentees, they escape the payment of them: Various modes have been proposed for the improvement of the condition of the people, among which is the adoption of the English system of poor-laws, but that would only tend to aggravate the disease. Another has been to lay a heavy tax upon the estates of absentee landlords, to compel them either to return, or to pay what will be equivalent to the taxes on consumption that they would pay were they resident. To this there is a very serious objection, that it would be an interference with the rights of person and property. Every man should be equal before the law, and be at liberty to expend the income of his property, whethez in land or in the funds, when and where he pleases, In this consists that security which is the first and most important consideration in a state, and should it be interfered with in such a nation as Great Britain,
$\omega$ "r will be recorded for a precedent; And many as error by the ame etcmple, Will ruch into tho atate: it eannot be"-Mercln of Fenn.

It is of the utmost importance that in the endegvours to remedy an existing evil, we do not make a greater, which would be the consequence of the adoption of either of these plans.

Lord John Russel bas another, by which a portion of the revenues of the Irish church is to be appropriated to the education of the people, without distinction of religion. To suppose that this can make any important alteration in the condition of the Irish people, is as absurd as to suppose that a man whose whole system is disordered, is to be cured by the application of a plaster to his little finger.

There is a very simple mode by which the desired change could be effected, and it has the advantage that no man could feel that he had the smallest right to complain of it. The first step should be to transfer the cost of maintaining a government for the protection of property, to the shoulders of those who possess it, relieving those who have none; or in other words, to abolish all taxes on consumption which are paid by the laborrer, and not paid by the landlords; and in their place levy a tax on property to the full amount that is now paid by Ireland. This would leave in the possession of the producer a considerable sum now paid in taxes, and much of it would become capital, while the absentee landlord would find that his residence in Ireland would be, under these circumstances, quite as advantageous as his present residence in France, Italy, or Belgium. Second, without interfering in any way with the property in posmession of the church, let the tithes be transferred from the clergy to commissioners for the general
improvement of the communications of-Ireland; or, let tithes be abolished, and in lieu thereof a further tax be imposed on land, to be applied as above stated. It would be better if they could be abolished without the necéssity of such a measure as that proposed; but the exhaustion of Ireland is such as renders the application of capital in this way absolutely necessary to make some amends for the drain of its resources. for so long a period. Without such a provision, the competition for land arising out of the absence of all other means of employment, would enable the landlord to add the tithes to his rent, and thus make amends for the land tax that might be imposed. The application of a sum equal to the tithes of Ireland in its improvement, for the space of twenty years, (to which time it might be limited,) would, in connexion with the measure first above proposed, produce such a change as would now appear utterly incredible. The first effect would be to give employment and wages to all that needed them, and the number would probably be found much smaller than might be anticipated; the second would be to raise wages; the third would be to increase the value of produce, and by so much to lessen the burthen of rent. The cultivator would be enabled to improve his mode, and increase his production. Feeling himself relieved from the burthen that had been imposed upon him for the benefit of his landlord and the church, all cause of agitation would be at an end, and the country would becone quiet. Capital would flow in for the purpose of giving employment to the cheap laboure, which would gradually rise, and rents would
fall, but the improved modes of cultivation and means of transport, and the extension of cultivation, would place the landholders, as a body, on a very different footing, and one vastly more advantageous, than any they have over occupied. Should the English people ever feel disposed to do justice, they may tranquillize Ireland. Without it they never will do so, and all attempts, such as we have seen and such as are now proposed, will prove fruitless.

According to the doctrine of Mr. Jones, the nature of this relation regulates the character of the government. Government is generally (I might almost say always) in the hands of those who hold the property. In China there is no restraint by law upon the will of the Emperor, notwithstanding which, it is deemed highly necessary to conciliate the good feelings of the people, who have, by prescription, acquired a right to hold lands for their own use, or to alienate them for their own benefit, upon payment of a certain fixed rent or tax. In Hindostan, where the people have no rights, and the property belongs to their masters, the action of the Company's agents is uncontrolled. The Autocrat of all the Russias must administer his government in accordance with the wishes and feelings of the nobility. In France, bee fore the revolution; the nobility were masters, as they were almost' the sole possessors of property. At present the direction of affairs is in the hands of the higher and middle classes. In Great Britain it has been with the aristocracy, who, until a very recent period, were the great holders of property, but now it is shared with the middle classes. In the United

States it is controlled by the property holders throughout the country, infinitely more numerous there than in any other part of the world. Were the doctrine of Mr. Jones correct, it would follow, that the tenure of land being once fixed, and the character of the government established, no change could take place in the latter, until the holders of property should judge it for their interest to let their lands to their tenants on more liberal terms: or, in other words, the character of the government could not be changed until the government (i. e. the holders of property) willed it.

The changes that have taken place in England are thus stated by Mr. Jones:
${ }^{4}$ Thirteen hundred yeara have elapsed since the final establishment of the Saxons. Eight hundred of these had passed away, and the Normans had been for two centurics settled here, and a very large proportion of the body of cultivators was still precisely in the nituation of the Russian serf. During the nert three hundred, the unlimited labour rents paid by the villeins for the lands allotted to them were gradually commuted for definito earvicos, atill payable in kind; and they had a legal right to the hereditary occupation of their copyholds. Two hundred yeara have barely elapsed since the change to this extent became quite universal, or since the personal bondage of the villeins ceased to exist among us. The last claim of villenage recorded in our courts was in the 15th of James I. 1618. Instancea probably existed some time afler this. The ultimate cas-

$$
\cdot
$$ ion of the right to demand their stipulatod scrvices in kind has been aince brought about, ailently and 'imporrecptibly, not by positive law; for, when other pertonal servicessmere abolished at the reatoration, those of copyholders were excepted and reserved.

uThroughout Germany similar changes are now taking place, on the land; they are perfected perhaps no where, and in some large districts they exhibit themselven in very backward stages." ${ }^{\text {" }}$

Were the doctrine of Mr. Jones correct, these

[^47]changes could never have taken place, and labour rents once established, they must have continued to the present time. Why have they not? Why did the change in the tenure of land take place earlier than on the continent? Why is it now more complete? Simply because the government was more liberal; because the people of England have always had rights recognised by the law ; and because those rights enabled them to obtain property, and thus to influence the action of the government, which was therefore administered more for the general benefit than in other countries. Her insular position secured her against invasion, and the consequence was, that she enjoyed a degree of security unknown to the rest of Europe, and at comparatively moderate cost.

In France, the people were regarded as possessing no rights whatever, and as being of value to their owners only as producers of rent and taxes. They were described as taillable et corvéeable, a merci et misericorde. Govornment was administered for the benefit of the few, who were exempt from taxation, while nearly the whole of the product of labour was absorbed by contributions for the support of the state. These contributions failed to produce security, and the kingdom was torn to pieces by factions among the nobles, too powerful to submit to law. When a momentary calm took place at home, the country was not allowed toprofit by it, and it mattered little whether the head of the government was St. Louis, Charles VIII., or Francis the First, as the bigotry of the one produced the same calamitous results for the people as the egotism of the others. Insecurity of property

## has restrained production, and a long course of war and of heavy expenditure, has prevented accumulation, the consequence of which is seen in the fact that slavery existed in France until the time of Louis XVI., as is shown in the annexed extract; and that a large portion of the kingdom is still in the hands of metayers.

"Beniden the serfie thus gradually amaimilated to vasalal, there were other sarfi whowe ntate of alavery was as distinct and undis. guisod as that of the Runsian cultivatore is now: they exirted for mome time in considerable numbera, and continued to exist in several provinces up to the ora of the revolution. We will eay momething of these before we proceed to the metayers. They were found on the estates of the crown, of lay individuals, and of eccleriantice, under the name of mainmortablen, which was uned indifferently with that of torf, and appeara to havo been considered aynonymous with it They were attached to the coil, end if they escaped from it, were reatored by the interference of the tribunaln to their owners, to whom their persons and those of their posterity belonged. Thay were incapable of tranomitting property: if they acquired any, their ownern might meize it at their death : the exercise of this right wes in full vigour, and wome startling instances led Louin XVI. to make a feeble attempt at is partial emancipation. Proprietors, exercining their droit de suite, as it wae called, had forced the reluctant tribunale of the king to deliver into their hands the property of doconed citivone who had been long settled as respectable inhabitants in different towne of Frence, some even in Paria iteelf; bat who were proved to have been originally merfa on the estates of the chainants. The contrant between the condition of theme poor peoplo and that of the reat of the popuintion, became then too proong to be en. dured; but though the netarally kind feelings of Loule appear to have been ronsed upon the occation, he ventared no farther, than to give liberty to the serfi or mainmortablea on his own domnins, end to abolinh indirectly the droit do saite, by forbidding his tribancle to ceise the perion or property of serfi, who had ance beoame domiciled in troe districte. In the edict published by the unfortanate monarch on thin onbijoct, he deolaree that thin atate of alavery exinte
in meveral of hir provincea, and includen a greal number of his colbjecth, and lementing that he ir not rich enough to ransom them all, he atates that his reapoct for the righte of property will not allow lim to interfere between them and their owners, but be expremen m hope that his oxample and the love of huraanity no pecaliar to the French people, would lead undar hin reign to the entire emmneipation of all hin arbjecten. ne

In our own time we have seen the case of a government (Prussia) changing the tenure of land by a decree. The influence of the land owners was, however, too great to admit of any very material change in the distribution of the product. Mr. Jones says:
"The ponterity of the emancipated marit of eastern Europe are chut out from tho pomibility of forming a body of capitalist tananta, fitted to take churge of the cultivation of the domainy of the proprictore. Perwonal freedom, hereditary poseemsion of their allot. mente, righte and privilegee in abundance, the landlorde and covereigns are willing to grant; and it would be extravagent to any thow grantu aro worth nothing: but that which in necemary to smable the peacants to profit by their new position, that is, an im. mediate releration of the presture upon thom, an increase of their sevenue, proceeding from a direct sacrifice of income on the part of eithor the crown ar the landlord, is eomething much more difficult to be accomplishod. In Prumis, the rent charge fixed upon the eorfi now conntituted a proprietor, formen, as wh have meen, one of the hearient renta known in Europer And among the varions echempes for improving the condition of the peceankry, afloat in the eect of Erarope, I know but of one, that of tho Livonien nobility, in which a direct sacrifice of revenue on the part of the hodlorde in contamplated as the bacis of the axpected amolioration." 4
This is in direct opposition to the theory previously advocated. If the character of the government de-

[^48]pended upon the tenure of lands, there should be an immediate change in it, consequent upon the alteration of tenure, which should be felt in an improvement in the "details of the condition of the majority of the people." That Mr. Jones does not conceive it to have produced this effect, is shown by the following paszage, in which he speaks of the observations of Mr. Jacob.
"He has came to resulta remarkably similar to thone which I had vantured to eaggent from a more dirtant and general knowiedge of thair circumetances. The atill predominant influence of habour reats: the general want of capital axnong the proprietors: the rapid increase in the numbers of the peasant coltivatorn which han beon taking plece since their dependence on the lendlards has been lews corvib: the feeblo banoficinl effecten on agricultare and on the general compoaition of cociety which in twenty years have aprung from tho utrang measures of the Prousian government: the difficulties which evory where oppoee themselves to all sudden changen in the old aymtem of cultivation: the atrong apparent probability that the future progress in the eastern division of Europe will not, with all the of: forta that are making, be much more rapid than that of this country when emerging from a cimilar atate of things; all these are points on which I can now refer with very great atinfaction to the locel knowledge and authority of Mr. Jecob, in nupport of the nuggeationa I have here thrown out. See Second Repart pasim, but more eapecially 140 and the following pagea"-pp. 71, 72.

Mr. Jones, throughout his work, attributes the condition of the people, and the nature of the government, to the tenure of land, yet the following passage is in direct opposition thereto, and shows that wherever security is obtained at moderate cost of government, there is a disposition to change in the relations between the landlord and tenant. "Metayer rents, too, have a constant tendency to spring up and engraft themselves on ryot rents throughout Asia, wherever
the moderation and efficiency of the government is such as to ensure protection to the property advanced by the cultivator." p. 137.

There is no nation whatever, in which the tenure of land is, so far as we understand it, more advantageous than that of China, where the government is proprietor, and the cultivator a ryot, whose possession is, however, guarantied upon payment of onetenth of its produce to the state. In England the church alone would take as much, leaving the cultivator afterwards to the tender mercies of the landlord and the government.' Mr.Jones's sympathy, therefore, in regard to a large portion of the ryots of Asia, as shown in the following passage, seems uncalled for.
"An examination into the nature snd effecta of Ryot rente, recaives an almoat mournfal interest from the conviction, that the political and eocial institutions of the people of this large division of the earth, aro likely for many long agee yet to come, to rent upon them. We cannot unveil the future, but there in little in the charao. tor of the Asiatic population, which oan tempt us even to apecalate upon a time, when that futare will essentially differ from the pert and the prowent."-p. 142.

The reader can hardly, I think, hesitate to agree with me, that it is the nature of the government that influences the tenure of land, and not the tenure of land that gives character to the government. If the latter be administered for the general benefit, there will be a steady increase of capital and improvement in the condition of the people. Such improvement will be attended with a change in the tenure of lands, and labour and metayer rents will disappear with the progress of civilization, being replaced by money
rents. A further increase of capital will enable the farmer to obtain a longer lease on condition of making improvements, or he will become proprietor of the land he has been accustomed to rent. Were it otherwise-were the doctrines of Mr. Jones correct, the science of political economy would be without fixed principles, and among the most difficult of acquisition, when, on the contrary, it is the simplest of sciences. It teaches that the best system of government is that which gives security of person and property at the lowest cost, either of money or of freedom of action, and its most important maxim is embraced in the words "let of alons." Where that is the rule of action, and where the expenses of protection are fairly distributed, there is a constant tendency to remedy all existing evils, and none more promptly than those which arise out of the nature of the tenure of lande.

## CHAPTER XIV.

Ir has been seen that the United States are comparatively free from those disturbing causes which impede the growth of capital. With a vast body of land; with mines of gold, lead, iron, copper, and coal, abounding in every direction; circulating capital alone was wanting to bring them into activity, and the system has tended to promote its rapid growth. Secure in person and property, comparatively free from taxation, unrestrained in action, comparatively so in all matters of trade, and very industrious, the people of this country, applying their labour in the way which they think will produce the largest reward, find their capital rapidly augment; the consequence of which is, that mines are opened in all directions, new lands are brought into cultivation, rail-roads and canals are constructed, and machinery is applied in every way to increase the produce of labour. Capital flows from all quarters to this country, where it can be best paid for, and, increasing the demand for labour, finds employment not only for the vast natural increase of population, but for great numbers who are led to seek here an improvement of their condition. The fund out of which the labourer is paid is larger, and his wages are consequently greater, than in any other country. It is in a very high degree satisfactory to see that this arises out of
circumstances peculiar to the United States, and that there is no reason to beliete that any increase which may take place in the extent of their population can make it otherwise, while adhering to the present system.

The following remarks of Mr. Senior on the subject of the high rate of wages in England, as compared with the nations of the continent of Europe, apply with still greater force to this country. In corroboration of them, is the fact that the only competition to be feared by the United Stales, is that of those nations in which the rate of wages is highest -not that of Hindostan, Italy, or Poland, but that. of Great Britain, France, and the Netherlands:-
"The latt remark which occurs to mea connected with the preecont aubject, is one which I eomewhat anticipated in my firak courwas namoly, the ebourdity of the opinion that the generally high rete of mages in Dngland unfite us for eompetition sith foreign producers. it is obvious that our poncer of competing swith forrigrers depende on the efficiancy of our labour, and it has appenred that a high rate of soges is E necesaary comsequence of that efficiency. It is trus, indeed, that if we choose to misemploy a portion of our labourars, we beet pey them, not socording to the value of what they do produce, bet socording to the value of what they might produce if their la bour were properly directed. If I call in a surgeon to cut my hair, I mont pay him es a surgeon. So if I employ in throwing ailk, a man who could earn three ouncen of ailver a week by apinning cotens, I mut pay him three onneen of silver a week, though ho cannot shrow more ailt than could be thrown in the mame time by an It linn whose wages are only on ance and a half And it is true, also, that I can be rapported in auch a wasto by nothing but an artificial monopoly, or, in other words, thet I thall be noder-eold by the Italien in every markot from which I cannot exolude him by vioknce But do thee cireumutancen jurtify me in reeorting to that violence? Do thoy juatify mo in imploring the legialature to direct that violenoe ageinat my fallow subjecta? If that violeace
is releried, bot not dicocotinned, have $\mathbf{I}$, ar has the consumer, the more right to complain' If my entate were water-meadow, I should lowe if I were to endenvour to convert it into corn-fieldn. But eurely that in no subject of complaint; curcly it in no reanon for prohibiting my neighbourn from parchasing corn in any adjoining parimh. Tb complain of our high maget, is to complein that ous labour is pro-ductivo-to complain that asr mork-people are diligent and akifful. To act on such complainta is ase wise an to enact that all men mhooid labour with only one hand, or atand idle four daje in evary week."p

It has been shown that in England there is equal security of person, but not equal security of property, because the visits of the tax-gatherer are more numerous, and his demands vastly heavier; that there is less freedom of action, and much less freedom of trade; and that industry is repressed by the allurements of poor-laws, which reward idleness, thus producing some of the effects which superstition produces among the natives of Hindostan. The ratio of capital to population steadily increases, but these disturbing causes not only retard its growth, but prevent the capitalist from employing it at home, and induce him to send it to this country, where the greater freedom of action and of trade enable him to derive from it a larger compensation for its use. All these causes tend to prevent the growth of the fund for the support of the labourer, whose wages are consequently lower than they should be, and he is compelled to emigrate; and thus a large unproductive expenditure by government, while it increases the wants of the nation, tends to lessen its means, by driving abroad its capital and its population. A long course of peace and economy would, and will, retrieve its affairs, and even should

[^49]there be no reduction of ita debt, the increase of capital and employment that must necessrrily arise out of the improved system that has been adopted, and which without doubt will be extended, will make its present enormous debt as insignificant, a century hence, as that of 1750 would now be deemed.

The unfortunate Hindoo labours under all these disturbing causes. He is secure in neither his person nor his property; he is tazed to such an extent that scarcely enough is left to support life; confined in all his actions and with all trade repressed by the most grievous monopolies, he finds no inducement to exertion when he knows that it will only produce increased demands upon him. Under auch circumstances, capital cannot accumulate, and the aids to labour are of the worst kind. The steam engine, with its wonderful productive power, has hardly been introduced, and the manufacturers are unable with their unassisted exertions, to compete with those of Europe or this country.

The introduction of British capital is prevented, and-
> "Down to the prevent moment, British rubjecte commonly hold lande in India clandestinoly, and no men, nor body of mean will be mad enough to embart ten, twenty, or thirty thousand pounda aterling, in stock, machinery, and land, which he ean meither openty buy not mell, and in a country from which, with or without offonce, be is lisble to bo beniched forever on the briefoct notice."-W. Re. sins.

Communications are bad, and rail-roads and canals are yet to be commenced. In short, there is no conceivable obstacle to the improvement of the people
that does not exist in that country, and while thery do exist, there can be no hope of change.

The following passage from Mr. Rickards's book will show the scarcity of capital, and the enormous compensation that is required for its use:-
"Of all the effects, ima, resulting from this dentruetive syutom, there in none more obvions than ite preventing the pomibility of necumulating capital, through which alone can the agricalture of the country be improved. At prement the atock of a Ryot consista of a plough, not cappable of cutting deep furrowh, and only intended to scratch the sorfice of the woil, with two or three pairs of halfentarved caen. This, a sickle maed for a acytha, and a emall apaio or boo for weiding, constitute almort his onily implements for hasbendry. Faggote ff loone atick, bound togother, aervo for a harrow. Carts ere little unod in a country whero there are no roadm, of none but bad ones. Corns, when seaped, is heaped in a carclem pile in the open cir, to wait the Ryot'r leirure for threahing, which is performed, not by manual labour, bat by the cimple operation of cattle-treading it out of tho oar. A Ryot han no barna for etacking or atoring grain, which in preserved, when required, in jars of unbaked earth, or besketer made of twige or grues. The outto are montly fed in the jurcla, or common wate land adjoining hin firm, and buffiloes, them supported, generally aupply him with milk. Harses are altogethar disuced in humbendry. The fields have no enclonaren. Crope an the ground are guarded from the depredations of hirde and wild boasta by watchmen, for whowe neaurity a temporary mage is erected sourcely worth a ahilling. Irrigation is performed by mouns of reservairt, intended to retuin the witer pariodically falling from the hoavens, and of dame constructed or placod in convenient situations. In rome places wreter is ruired from well, either by cettle or by hand. A rotation of crope on which so much etrees in hid in Eu ropor, is unknown in Indin. A course extending beyond the year, is never thought of by Indian Ryoth. Different articles are often grown together in the came field, in which the object always in to obtain the utmoot pomible produce without the leant regard to the impoverishment of the moii. The dung of cattlo in curefully collected for fteal, atter being dried in the con, and nevor ased for manmes. Oil eake is resed for manute in sugaricano plantutiona, and for memo
other articied; bat corn-fialde are geeverally lett to thoir own mitered fitility, and otem worked to exhaustion withoat compunection. In come nitantions nour the nem, docayed finh is ueod as a mamase for rieogrounds; bat it in meldowi permitiod where anthority ean be inmarosed, an tho itench of it is intolesabis. In a eountry like India, whare tho hout of the climate is greet, the ocamerection of tanke of volle, foe the porpoee of irrigation, in ane of the moot unofel perpoene to which agricultaral capital can be applied. Walle and tenke are somenoinsee coastracted or repained by the labowr or indentery of the Eyote, bet moot commonly at the expmes of govarnment. It
 melele grins, they nover apply them to the impeovernant of lands mbject to pablic revence. Where theminhurs have been known to enertiuct works of the above deseription, thay are marely decigpod to inerouse the fertility of lande beld free. Det genarally grenking, as entire in the want of capital in India, an well in art ${ }^{0}$, ${ }^{2}$ mame.
 cmendecte the whole procese of hie arta, from the farnetion of his woole to the sale of his procuctions but, whore kemenadry io wo sirs. piy a proomen, turns cultivator for the eapport of himeolf and family. Ho thas dividen his time and tabour, betwem the boom and the plowith; thareby mulkiplying ocetapatione fital to tho ingprovement of oither. In this univeswl state of poverty, manufictorons alwayn require advenoes of money to enable them to make up the article in demand; whilst Ryota have frequently been known, somectinces for anticipeted paymenta, and rometimen for their owr expensem, to borrow money on the necurity of growing cropen, at 3 , 4 , and 5 por cent. per annum. No fact is perhape' better eatablished in political seconomy than that induakry cannot, in any of ite branchem, be promoted without capital. Capital is the revalk of saving from annual profits. Here there can be nowe. A dense, or rathor redundent popalation cocemions in Indis, as in Irelend, a competition for land; becunse in a nation of parpers, land is indiaponsable as a means of exirtenco. It is therefore at times greedily sought for in India, notwitheranding the exorbitance of the revenue chargeable thereupon, for the same reacon that umall portions of land in Ireland are cecenpied under payment of exorbitant rents to landionds; and this extension of cultivetion in Indis is often mistaken for an increase of propperity, whan in frect it is bat the forther aprending of peoperime and want.

Hence the aoquisition of capital in India, by the cultivatory of the moil, is abeolutely imposeible. Either the revenue absorbe the whole produce of industry, except what is indirpencable to preserve the workers of the hive from aboolute atarvation; or it in engrossed by a Zemindar, of farmar, who will not reapply his gains to the improvement of lende, within a tax-gatherer's grasp. In this view of proceedingu, effecta are presented to our notice deserving the mort sarione consideration. It is clear that wherever the wante of government, real or imaginary, may call for incressed supplien, recourne will be had to the "improsement," or extension of an impont already almost intolerable, It is in fact the only available recoursa. Univarsal poverty leaves no other. Meacures will therefore be multiplied for assesaing wantea; for remaming rent-free lande; for invalidating former alienations; for dispating righte, which had been allowed to lie dormant for half a centory; for increaning the aggregate receipts from lands already taxed, or supposed to be taxed at 50 per cent. of the groen produce; in shorh, for the moat harasaing, and veration interference with private property, and the pursaite of private industry. Every improvement or extension of agriculture is thus rare to be followed, sooner or later, by the graupinge of the tar getherer. Industry, therefore, will be effectually ehecked, or only prosecuted where the demands of government may chance, through bribery, freud, or concealment, to be eluded. Or if the necessitien of huraan life, or increased population, ahould occamion mgricalture to be extended to waste lande, to be thereaftar tared at the "just amount of the public dues,' what is it but the further apread of paparime and wretchednema,"-Rickards, Vol. II. p. 196.

Under such circumstances, it is not surprising that the fund for the payment of the labourer is small, and that it does not increase, if it does not even diminish, in its ratio to population. It is evident that the disadvantageous position of the Hindoo does not arise out of any natural defect, as will be seen by the for lowing quotations from Bishop Heber.

[^50]like an Italisn villa, than what one ahould have expected as the residence of Baboo Harree Mohun Thakoor. Nor are his carriages, the furniture of his house, or the atyle of his conversation, of a cha racter less decidedly Earopean. He is a fine old man, who speaks English well, is well informed on most topies of general discunsion, and talks with the appearance of much familiarity an Franklin, chemintry, natural philomophy, te. His family is brahminical, and of aingular purity of descent; but about 400 years ago, during the Mehommeden invarion of Indis, one of his ancestors having become polluted by the conquarors intruding into his Zenanah, the race is conceived to have lost claim to the knotted cord, and the more rigid brahmins will not oat with thern. Being, however, one of the principal landholdera in Bengal, and of a family mo ancient, they etill enjoy to a great degree the veneration of the common people, which the present head of the house appears to value-since I can hardly reconcilo in any other manner his philoeophical studies and imitation of many European habita, with the dnily end austere devotion which he is anid to practise towarde the Ganges, (in which he bathes three timen every twenty four houra, and his veneration for wll the othar duties of his ancestors.י"
"One of their men of rank has absolutely promiced to found a college at Burdwan, with ons of our miscionaries at its head, and where little children should be clothod and educated under his care. All this is very ahort indeed of embracing Christianity themselvos, but it proves how completely thome feelinge are gone by, in Bengal at loust, which made even the presence of a eingle misaionary the occasion of tumult and alarm." 4
uI do not by any means aseent to the picturee of depravity and genaral warthlesmese which some have drawn of the Hindoos. Thoy are decidedly, by nature, a mild, plensing, and intelligant rece; eober, parsimonious, and, where an object is held out to thern, mont induntrious and pornevering. ${ }^{17}$
"Of the people, co fir as their netural character is concesned, 1 have been led to form, on the whole, a very favourable opinion. They have, unhappily, many of the vices arising from alsvery, from an unsotted atate of society, and immoral and erroneons aystems

[^51]Of religion. But they are men of high and gallant courage; courtwonk, intelligent, and most eager after knowledge and improvements, with a romarkable aptitude for the abetract reiancen, goometry, astronomy, tec. and forithe imitative arts, painting and cenlpture. They are sober, ithdustrioon, dutifal to thair perranta, and afise*tonate to thair childrean, of tempere aintoat uniformly gentle and pretient, and mant easily, affected by kindneas and attention to their wentr and feelings than elmont any inen whom I have mot with."
"In the mame haly city ${ }^{1}$ I had visited another college, foumded Intely by a wealthy Hindoo banker, and intrasted by him to the manangement of the Chureh Mienionary Society, in which, beaiden a grommatical knowledge of the Klindotanee hagrage, as well as Perrian and Arabia, the menior boys conld pane a good examinution in Mnglish grammar, in Fume'r Fistory of England, Joyco'n Sci. entific Dialogrees, the weo of the globea, and the principal ficter and morel procepta of the Goopel, moest of them writing beartifully in the Perrian, and very tolerably in the Fonglish eharacter, and ercollivg mont boys I have mot with in the sceurncy and readineen of their arithrnetia.'
${ }^{-1}$ I have been pessing the lant four days in the society of a Finn doo Prince, the Rajah of Tanjore, who quotee Fourcroy, Lavoisiar, Linnous, and Buffon fluently, has formed a more accurate judgmant of the poetical merite of Shakepeare than that no felicitoosly expremed by Lord Byron, and has actually emitted English poetry very suparior indeed to Roumear's epitaph on Shenstone, at the mame time that he in mueh respected by the English officess in his neighbourhood as a real good judge of a harec, and a cool, bold, and Coudly ahot at a tiger. "The truth in, that hit in an extreordinary man, who havingin earrly youth received anch an edacation an ald Schwath, the coletrated mimionary, could giva him, has over sinco eontinued, in the midet of many disadvantagen to preserve his tanto for; and extand hil knowledge of European literciure, while he has nover negloctod the active axprcison and frank coldierly bearint Fhich become the deveendant of tho old Mahratis conquerores, and by which oonly, in the present atate of thinge, ho hess it in hip powes

- Heber's Tharele in Indim ppe 984, 286.
thide p s0a
to gretify the prejucucea of hin people, and prolong his popralarity among them, ${ }^{\text {" }}$

Nothing is wanting but a good system of government, and until that can be obtained, it is useless to send missionaries among them. When jhey shall have found that the rule of Christians has brought with it peace and prosperity; that they are allowed to live as they should do on the produce of their land; and that their little savings will enable them gradual ly to improve their mode of operation, and benefit their condition; then, and not till then, will they be disposed to avail themselves of the instruction offered them. They cry for food, and we tender them the gospel; they find in it peace, and good will, and charity, while in their governors, they fizd nothing but tyranny and oppression.

## CHAPTER XV.

A siman survey of all the countries of Europe would show the same result as that of these three great nations. Where the disturbing causes are in full action, as in Ireland and Spain, we find the population to be "poor and miserable," but in other nations, as those causes cease to operate, or diminish in intensity, the condition of the people improves, until at length we arrive at the United States, where the situation of the labouring classes is confessedly better than in any other nation whatever.

The most prosperous country of Europe, after England, was the late kingdom of the Netherlands. In Holland, the truths of Political Economy were first acted upon, and they brought with them a copious harvest of wealth Security and freedom and economy were looked to as the sources of riches, as may be seen by the following passages from a description of the policy of the republic, written nearly a century since, in answer to inquiries respecting the state of trade, addressed to the merchants of Holland by the stadtholder William IV.

[^52]wont to be folfilled and retifiod; and particularly the oare and cartion prectised to preserve tranquillity and peace, and to decline, inctead of entaring on a ecene of war, merely to gratify the ambitious viewa of gaining fruitlous or imaginary conquesta.
"By these moral and political maxims wat the glory and the reprtation of the repablic so fir apread, and foreigners animated to place to great a confidence in the ateady determinations of a etate so wisoIf and pxadently conducted, that a concourve of them stocked this cotmery with an augmentation of inhabitanta and usefal hande, whereby its trade and opulence were from time to time increased."

The following anecdote, given by Lady Morgan, shows that the same correct views are entertained at this time by some of the Belgians. "At Verviers, - the King, (Leopold,) observed to the Burgomaster, "qu'il protegerait toujours l'industrie." The Burgomaster replied, "Il n'y a pas besoin; ça va bien comme ca."-Princess, Vol. III. 253.

Unfortunately their rulers were not all equally wise, or equally patriotic, and the desire of personal ag. grandizement led to war in some instances, while in others it was forced upon them. The consequences were enormous expenditure, heavy debt, and its attendant heavy taxation, carried so far, that it was said that every fish was paid for, once to the fisherman and six times to the state. This contributed to drive away commerce, and her capital was, and is

[^53]compelled to seek employment abroed. Notwithstanding this, there are so many advantages in their system of public and private economy, that capital accumulates with sufficient rapidity to enable them to lend to all the world, and retain at home sufficient to find employment at wagen that are high in comparison with those of the rest of the continent of Et rope, for their whole population. Lady Morgan zays of the Belgians, "the cheerful, joyous peasantry, so well conditioned, so well dressed, so beyond the miseries and privations of the same classes in other coum-tries."-Princess, Vol III. p. 200.

The proportion of land to population in this kingdom, as it existed prior to 1830, is much smaller than in England and France, there being 9,822 persons to every 10,000 Hectares, while England has 6,950, and France only 5,200. The system of cultivation is, however, admirable, and makes amends in some measure by increased productiveness for the diminished quantity of land, and its population is thereby enabled to bear an equal taxation with that of France; the former paying 14.48 florins, and the latter 14.74 fiorins per head. That they are able to do thin, is owing to the possession of the qualities described by the abbe De Pradt-c" voulex vous un peuple bon, franc, hospitalier, laborieux, econome, ami de Pordre et de la regularité, vous la trouverez dans la Belge."

Taxes are laid chiefly upon land, patents, stamps, \&cc; on an average of eleven years, from 1816 to 1826, only 25 millions of florins out of 88 being the produce of import and export duties and excise; the former producing only six millions. Indirect taxes
being emall in amoumt, it follows that the quantity of commodities attainable by a given amount of money wages, must be much greater than can be obtained by a similar amount in England. As an evidence of this, it has been ascertained by Baron Keverberg, that the maximum of the actual wants of a labouring mai can be supplied at a cost of 20 centimes, ( 8 centis) per day, or 73 florins per annum.

The price of labour was ascertained by the government on an average of the ten provinces of Liege, North Holland, North and South Brabant, Friesland, East Flanders, Hainault, Antwerp, Guelderland, and Overyssel, to be 75 centimes, or 30 cents per day. At the coal mines of M. de George, there are 2,000 workmen employed, whose wages are from 70 centimes to 3 francs, ( 56 cents,) per day. In addition, they have the use of houses and gardens, (of which he has built 260,) at the moderate rent of one to two francs per week, according to size. These wages enable the workmen to command a larger quantity of commodities than are obtainable by the labourers of the rest of the continent of Europe, and inferior only to those of the United States and Great Britain.

The Netherlands have been the battle ground of Europe; a necessary consequence of which has been insecurity of property, that has prevented the accumulation of capital, and its employment in manufactures. The wars of the French revolution disturbed the commercial arrangements of Holland, and its incorporation with France destroyed its commerce

[^54]altogether. Since the return of peace, its system has been comparatively very free, and notwithstanding a rapid increase of population, the rate of wages in commodities was bat little inferior to that of Great Britain.

Until within a very recent period, France has known little of the benefit of security, either of person or of property. Constantly engaged in war, her expenses were enormous, and she groaned under an enormous load of taxation, rendered more burthensome by an injudicious mode of collection, and still more so upon the productive classes by the exemption of the nobility. Her commerce has been cramped at all times by monopolies-her internal trade harassed by regulations, to enforce which required an army of douaniers-every trade and every occupation the subject of brevets or patents-her manufacturers limited by law in their mode of proceeding, and exposed to the risk of having their goods seized if they departed from the process fixed by law*-in

[^55]
## short, by every means in its power, did the government destroy freedom of trade or action, and with it

u To insure a compliance writh much aburd regulations, inquisiterial meamures were resorted to; the reaidance of mannfacturers Fere entared by force; their eatablishmente searched and explared, and their modes of working inquired into. Thus their mont mecret methode ware oftem discovered and pirated by frendulent competitors.
"The worthy Roland da la Platiare, who wat a miniatar during some part of the Frepch Revolntion, and put an ond to his life in the reign of terror, gives a doplorable account of the nomarous acts of oppreacion he had witnoased, 'I have meen,' may ha, 'eighty, ninety, a hunired pieces of cotton or woollen atuff cut up and come plotely deatroyed. I have witmened similar meence every week for a nomber of yeark. I have sean manufactured goods confincated; heavy fines laid on the manuficturers; some pieose of fabric were burnt in public placen, and at tho houre of market: others were fixed to the pillory, with the name of the manufacturer interibed upom them, and he himealf wat threataned with the pillory, in oave of a second offence. All this was dane, under my eyen, at Rouen, in conformity with existing regalations, or ministerial ordare. What crime denerved to cruel a pronishment? Some defects in the materialn employed, or in the tarture of the fabric, or even in eome of the threads of the warp!
u'I have frequantly eeen', continceal Rolond, 'manufaotures. visited by a band of etallitea, who pat all in confasion in their eesablishments, epread terror in their familien, cut the efoffi from the tramee, tore off the warp from the looms, and carried them away at yroof of infringement; the monufactarers were nummoned, triod, and copdemned; their goods oppfiscated; oppies of their judgment of confinoation pouted up in every public place; future reputation, credit, ill waa lont and deatroyed. And for what offence? Becaune they had made of worated, a kind of cloth oalled shag, moh te the Englinh need to manuficturo, and even coll in France, whils the Fronch rogulations atated that that kind of eloth thould be mode with mohair.
$w$ I have seen other manufecturers treated in the eame why, becane they had made camletin of e particular width, med in Bag.

# the power of improvement. The revolution changed much of this, and trade between the provinces is now 

Ind and Germany, for which there wet a great demand trom Spain, Portagal, and othar conntriea, and from aevaral partis of France, while the French regulations paserribed other width for camieta.
"There wu no free town where mecheniol invention could find a refuge from the tytunny of the monopolirto-no trade but what was clearly end explicitly deecribed by the atatutee could be exer-cirod-none bat what wes included in the privilagen of some cor. poretion.
"No one coald improve on a method, or deviate from the presmoribed sulee for manofacturing atoffie of ootwon, worsted, or vilk, without ranning the rink of being heavily fined, having his framoe deatroyed, and his manafsctured goods barnt in the pablic plece by the hands of the executioner.
$u$ Many inventons were forbidden to reduce their inventione into prectice, when their application for lettern patent was not sapported by powerfil recommendations, of when thoy were mathe to hid a high price for the good will of the clerks of office.
"Some merchunts of Nantea and Remnee wished to form, on a now plan, manufactoriee of wook, nilk, and cottan goode. They pomemod new preparations for fixing the collours. As moon an the cotablinhment wes fitted up, the corporation of arge makers conseated their,right of making woollen ataffi, and the corporation of dyers chaimed the privilege of dying for them. Law proceedingh, corried on forr neveral yeare, aboorbed the capital trived for the pans. pose of forming a usofil eatablishment, and when at lant a favourable docixion was obtrined, all the resources of the manufictorers were oxhenated; thu tho merge makern and dyare succeeded in rolning dangorous compotitors!
"The art of marling and verninhing ahoetiron west found out in Franco in 1761; bat to cart'y it into execution, it was neceenary to employ workmen and use toole belonging to coveral trades; the in ventor, not rich enough to pay the frees of admimion into the corporationa to which thow traden belonged, went abroad and formed man entabisbment in a forcign country."-Procigme on the Premel 100 of Patont.
free, except so far as it is interfered with by the vexatious system of the Octroi: but it left brevets, patents, monopolies by individuals and the state, in numerous departments of internal trade, and a syy. tem of restraints by high daties and prohibition, in the foreign.

Every thing is the subject of regulation; even "in some districts of France the period of the gathering of the product of the vine is regulated by authority." When ggthered, and when the wive is ready for sale, it is subjected to heavy duties, altogether amounting to more than 20 per cent. They are excessive, and very unequally levied, and produce about $54,800,000$, or near 23 millions of dollars. The octroi, on entering Paris, is 21 francs the hectolitre, being nearly equal to the price of the wine itself.

In the Report upon the commercial relations between France and Great Britain, made by the Commissioners, Messrs. Villiers and Bowring, is given a list of prohibitions upon importation and exportation, with the reasons of the French government for their adoption. Of them the Commissioners say, and with justice,
" It is hardly necenaary to remark, that if these rescons for prohibition wore purhed to thair necenary consoquences, all commercial roletions would infullibly coses. If the choupnese of a foraign artiele were a sufficient reason for probibiting the importation, and the cheapaeen of a home article for prohibiting its exportation, no erchange at all could take place.
" Many of the argomenta which are put forward in justification of prohibitary mencares, we matanlly dectructive of each other. To keap the price of com low in the intereat of the cocesmenar, in

$$
\text { - Redding on Winem, p. } 92 .
$$

n
semigned an the reasom for probibiting expostation; and to raime the price high in the intereat of the producer, as the reeson for prohibit ing importation: the two objects are incompetibla. Again, ane net of prohibitions are jurtified because the articles are dear in Frace emach to the exportation of wood, timber, charcoal, and othern: another met of prohibitions are advocated becauce the articlem are choap in France-buch as the exportation of sill, rage, bark, te. Reanoning" wholly oppowed to ane another, are, in turn, employed. There is scarcely an urgument or calcolation, which, if recognived an applying to eome articlem, ip not-opposed altogether to the legislation on others.
u It requires merely to utate some of the objections to importstians, in order to nhow their nurrow and anti-commercial apirit. The introdaction of manufuctured tin, for example, is opposed because it might banefit Englend, which is rich in tin mimes; as if the importation into France could tako place without equally benofiting her. The reasong, too, which are grounded on the cupariority of other coontriea; te, for erample, 'dangeroun siviliry' in the oure of mannfactared ateel; 'chenpnem' of forcign articles in tho ease of ehipping; threatened 'annihilation of the French manuficturs' in that of cotlery; 'extra advantagen of the English' in plated ware; 'apprehencion of the English' in articlen of pottery; 'imprudence of admitting English addlery, in momy perrona, regardlem of price, profer it;' 'edvantagen of machinery' in works of iron;-all are modee of announcing the mpariority of foreign articlea, end the power which foraigners poesees of cupplying them on cheaper tarms than they san be produced at home.
"There ure other grounde of prohibition, by which particalar French manufucturee are arowedly eacrificed to the interent of other branches of French induotry. The importation of extracte of dye woods is disallowed, for the parpose of encouraging the importation of the dye-woode themeaives; the intereat of the dyer, the muncfleturer, and the consumer, being wholly forgotten. The importin. tion of irom of certain sisea is prohibited, leat amell mannufacturere ohould actablish fabrice, and espply the market at lese coet thate the larger atablichmenta. Woollan ywn is not allowed to be imported, beousuo it oan be prodaced in France, though the high price mont be a great detriment to the woollen manuficturer; and cent iron of a greal variety of corta in prohibited, on the ground that a aufl-
ciency may be obtained at home, though the cont is notariously more than double that of many articlea of foreign cart iron. Moleseen are not allowed to be introduced, because the price in Frunce is no low, and the exportation sol large, on the ground that impartatian will lower the pricen atill more, though the lowness of price would obviously make importation unprofitable; and the fret of con. ciderable exportation is the best evidence that prices are low in France. Rock ealt wan prohibited in 1791, and the prohibition is now justified, on the ground that mines have lately been discovered. The prohibition of refined sugar is supported on the ground that its admisuion would not benaft the trearury; but it is clear, if the intarent of the treamary ware kept in view, that all prohibitions would bo euppremed, or muparseded by a rystem of dutien. While some articles are probibited becaune the production is amall in France, and requiree protection, othera are prahibited (dressed okins for ex. amplo) because the production in great, and engagee a large numbar of hande." $\rightarrow 45$.

Having thus, by prohibition, endeavoured to prevent the exportation of various articles of French production that would be required abroad, as well as the importation of various foreign articles that could be introduced with advantage, the next step is to force the export of those productions, which, from being higher in France than elsewhere, could not find a market abroad without the aid of government in the form of bounties on export. That system was commenced soon after the close of the war, and in 1817 the whole amount paid was $£ 3,500$ sterling, but in 1830 it had advanced to $£ 800,000$, or onefifh of the whole revenue from duties. The table of premiums for 1332 shows that it still increases, having amounted in that year to nearly a million sterling, or onc-rourth or the whole oubton-houss menerush The increase on the premiums of 1831 is about 50 per cent, and in that of sugar alone, seven millions of
francs, the amount paid as bounty on the export of 151 milions of kilogrammes of refined sugar, being 181 milions of francs, while the import duty seceived wpon 891 millions, was only 301, millionsof francs, a little more than double the bounty.

To show how steady is the growth of such a system, the following statements are given:

| In 1830-Euyst import | K |  | Franes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 60,626,936 | duty | 33,535,174 |
|  | 8410,780 | bounty | 10,101,678 |
| In 1831-Sugar | 81,735,374 | duty | 39,264743 |
|  | 9,679,034 | bounty | 11,614,840 |
| In 1852-Aagar | 82,500,000 | duty | 39,500,000 |
|  | 15,500,000 | bounty | 18,500,000 |

If the whole quantity entered were exported, the government would pay, after allowing for the loss on refining it, nearly double what was received.


By the following, it will be seen that the bounty on the export of woollens is four times as great as the duty on the import of wool.

|  | Tilogrummes |  | 7 m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In 1830-Wool imported, ald | 8,000,000 | duty | 4,246,021 |
| Woollens expartod | 955,617 | bounty | 1,970,659 |
| In 1831-Wool imported | 3,836,207 | daty | 1,733,002 |
| exported | 1,039,257 | bounty | 2,496,728! |

On the import of molasses in 1830, the duty received was 972 francs, and the bounty paid on export wan 787,988 france.

The cost of premiums granted to the whale fishery,
amounted in $\mathbf{1 8 3 0}$ to 1142.80 francs per manl but there were several claims unsettled; which the minister şaid would bring the amount to 1500 or 1600 francs I In addition to this there was a bounty of $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ francs per tont ${ }^{6}$

In the cod fishery, 12000 seamen are encouraged at an expense of four millions of francs!

The same system of interference prevails in regard to the development of the natural resources of Frahce. No mine can be worked wilhout permission from the sovereigh, and there are instances of valuable mines remaining unwrought for many year, in consequence of being unable to obtain that permission.
> "By the Prench law, all minerrale of overy kiad bolong to the crown, and the only advantage the proprietor of the soil enjoye, in, to have the refural of the mine at the rent fixed upon it by tho thown earvoyors. There in greas difficulty mometimes in evan obtaining the lowve of the crown to aink a ehaft upon the property of the individunl who is ancions to undertate the apeculation, and to pay the rent usaully domanded, a cortain portion of the groee product. The Camto Alerander do B--Whas been vinly seeking this parmiszion for a loed mine on his estato in Brittany for upwande of tam yoark"-Querterly Revieng, Vol. XXXI. p. 408.

Here we see, on the one hand, an enormous erpense incurred for the purpose of inducing the people of France to engage in pursuits that would be unprofitable to the individuals if the nation did not pay a part of the expense; while, on the other hand, an individual is desirous to engage in a pursuit that will enable him to pay a rent to the state, and is refused permission so to do. This is a fair specimen of the whole system. By brevets and monopolies
of every kina, une government forbids the people from engaging in pursuits that are profitable, while by the offer of bounties it seduces them to others that are unprofitable.

Under 'such circumstances, capital accumulates slowly. The people, long accustomed to look to the government to provide them with such improvements in the mode of transport as may be necessary, are not prepared to invest their capital in the making of roads and canals, and even the great city of Paris has neither the one nor the other to connect it with its seaport. The extraordinary deficiency in the means of transportation is well deseribed by M. Cordier, in the passage given at page 92.

The system of centralization pursued by the government, has an additional tendency to cramp the energies of the country. Dupin says, that not a bridge can be repaired without permission from the central board at Paris. A report must first be made from the commune to the arrondissement, thence to the department, and thence to Paris, where it sleeps a year or two, and by the time the order returns through the same channels, the bridge requires to be rebuilt, instead of being repaired. Every thing, indeed, is done in France to prevent improvement, and we see its consequences in the following view of the progress of pauperism.
'u' Dana la plupart des communce,' mye M. de Villaneuve, 'lem fouds affecter aux Bureawx de Bienfiimence, romin anx produity deu queten et dee dons charitablon, mont tonjours insoffimann, martout pendent la minon rigourensen. Alore I'adminintration supdrieare at aseillio, do he part des commanee et des lereenax de charits, do domandes tendunt a autarisar dee imporitione extruordinaires pour
venir aux necours des padvrea. Dans plasieurs villes, an 1828 et $1820_{\text {, an a }}$ a meme amploye secretement, a cet objet, des ailocation deatinden a d'autres vervices. L'imperieuse nocessite etait ie motif et l'excuse d'acten ausai irregoliers; ainsi la Taxe drs Pauvea (Poor's Rate) s'ent deja forcement introduite, avec le Pauprasimis Avalata, dane catte portion de la France. * * L'administration n'a ceese, surtout dans leen anntea 1828 et 1829 , d'opposer tous ses efforts au développement officiel de cette taxe. Mais en vain se deguise-telle sous le nom de travaux de charité ou de aupplément dee secoors aux Bureaux de Bienfaisance, son existence eat consacrée de finit, et la force deas chomes an fait reconnaltre le droit dee panvres a l'amistance publique. L'opinion générale, dane le département du Nord, eat prépare a cetteinnovation dats la législation française. - - Les abus speciaux a la tare des panvres en Angleterre ce manifestent graduellement. On remarque que, dans les commonea du departancent du Nerd, le nombre des pauvres est toujoura en rapport avec la quotite dos fondations charitables.'
"And yet, observes M. de Villeneuve elsewhere,

- la plupart des adminiatrations de bienfaisance n'osent entreprendre aucun esas d'ameliorations nouvelles, dans la crainte d'exposer, par des innovations eans succes, une multitude en proie à toutes len horreurs du besoin.'-vol. ii. pp. 61, 62,":

Another of the effects is thus described by Dupin. "On a calculé, que sur 25 millions d'adultes, la France n'en compte que dix qui sachent lire et ecrire. Il reste donc 15 millions d'individus qui n'ont pas meme acquis les premiers élémens de l'instruction la plus vulgaire."

The population of France doubles in about 105 years, more slowly than that of any other nation in Europe, and the growth of coinfort is in about the same ratio as that of population. Even matrimony is subjected to regulation as far as practicable. It is

[^56]stated by Mr. Browning,* that "no French soldier can contract marriage without the express permission of the colonel of his regiment, and as this officer has a discretionary power on the subject, assent is by no means general." He states also, that the married men in the French army are to the unmarried as one to twenty-four.

The same inconsistency prevails in this respect that has been pointed out in relation to commerce by Messrs. Villiers and Bowring: While matrimony in forbidden, every facility is given to the disposal of the fruit of ?licit connexions.

- In 1809 the number of foondlinge in France wan 69,000. Aincos the mearure of 1811," (ordering a foundling boapital to be extablisbed in each arrondissement ) "it hat advunced to 84,500 in 1815; tis 102,100 in 1800; to 119,900 in 1825; to 125,000 in 1850; and duris. the lant four yours it has advancod with a atill mose ramarkalio acoeleration: At Paris the proportion of foundings to tirthe wae - one to tem; it in now little lees than one to foors. © © Two expense has sdvinced in a perallol proportion to the numbern It armounta at proesat to $11,500,000$ franes par annum; the Paris intitution alane, coeting, luot year, 1,731,239 trance."1

The poople of France being thus reduced to poverty by regulations and restrictions, the government, by a further regulation, attempts to protect them against some of the consequences of that poverty, and accordingly monts de pitte are established, whose object is to protect the poor against usury. By heavy penalties it is attempted to secure to those institutions a monopoly of the business of pawn-broking, notwith-

[^57]standing which means are found of evading the regulations. As an evidence how little advantage is derived from interferences, it is stated that a large portion of the pawning which takes place is for the purpose of gambling in the petty lotteries. Thus, in 1829, it was remarked at Brussels, where the same institutions exist, that when the lottery, termed the Genoese lottery, was suppressed in that city, the number of pledges during the succeeding five months was less by 7837, and of redemptions, more by $\mathbf{3 6 0 9}$, than in the corresponding five months of the previous year.*

Of the very few rights possessed of old by the people, some yet remain, but they are of a character to do injury by lessening the security of property, and preventing the improvements that would otherwise take place. Witness the following: "The droit de parcours and droit de vaine pature, or common rights of feeding stock on the plough and grass lands of private persons, after the harvest and aftermath until seed and spring time, are equally general, and, although great hindrances to farming improvements, afford important conveniences to the peasantry." $\dagger$

Some idea may be formed of the compensation of the labourer from the allowance to the pauper.
"The moane of aubaidence, in France, are cheaper, and the liv-
ing in mont reapecte of an inferior kind; rye, pulse, and maize, with
potatooe and other vegetable diet, forming $99-100$ the of the French-
man's food. Yet, even with this abatement, the average quanturn
of relief accorded seems out of all proportion with the measure ne-

[^58]ceasary for the loweat ecale of existance. The mean value of food distributed to each pauper last year, in the fifth arrondieement,* was 6 fr. 62 c c (the Paris price of sixty-five pounds of the worst breed),-of fivel, 32 c . and of clothing and bedding, 4 fr .16 c . But even this allowance in high compared with the practice in the dopartment of the North, where the average relief of all kinde, and without discrimination of classes, is only 5 fr .42 c . and in the arrondissement of Dunkirk only 4 f. 22 c. We are anwilling to give our own description of the deatitute population of Paris, or of the more minerable canuts or silk weavers of Lyans; but the following paseage, abridged from M. de Villeneave's work, may suffice-s. though somewhat obscure-for the manufacturing towns in the North; viz_
" 'The paupers consist of weavers, unable at times to support their families, and wholly chargeable to public or private charity in case of illness, scarcity, or discharge from work; of workmen, igno. rant, improvident, brutified by debauchery, or enervated by manufacturing laboor, and habitually umable to support their families; of aged persona, prematurely infirm, and abandoned by their children; of children and orphans, a great number of whom labour under incurable disease or deformity; and of numerous farailies of hereditary paupers and beggare, heaped together in loathsome cellann and garreta, and for the most part subject to infirmities, and addicted to brutal vice and depravity:
"More than one-third of the Lille panpars are comprised in the four lart clasese; and if this arithmetic is correct, it cannot be reedily underutood how the relief given by the charity-boarde can palliato such extencive privation. 'La mondicite s'exerce publiques ment par des bandes nombreuses qui alarment les propristaires inoltas, (rol. ii. p. 63;) nevertheless, begging in company in an of. fence epecially puminhable with imprisonment from six monthe to two years, (Code penal, art. 276). The number of beggare is above 16,000, and forms a tenth of the indigent population" 4

## The following extracts from the Report of Messrs.

[^59]
## Bowring and Villiers, show what are the usual wages in various parts of France.

uln the iron worke at Vandelesse, (Nievre) the price of labonr is f. 1.50 per day; (Dapin, p. 293 ;) at Nevers, for the manufacture of iron cables, fr. 2; at Fourchambault, (where 2, 386 are employed in wood cutting,) fr. 1.60 is the average rate; the workmen in the potteries at Nevera gain fr. 1.75 per day; at Nogent, in the manufacture of linen goods, the wages are to men, fr. 2, women, fr. 1.25 , and children, 60 c to 90 c per day; at Mony in the woollen manufuc. tures, men are paid fr. 1 to 1.50, and boys of 15, fr. 1; in the department de l'Aube, the weavers of fine cloths got fr. 1.75; stocking makers, fr. 1 ; cotton spinners, fr. 1.50 per day; reelers and winders, fr. 1; tanners, fr. 2 to 2.10; at St Etienne, the wages paid to the miners are, diggers, fr. 3.50; drawers, fr. 3 per day; at Rive do Gier, fr. 4.25 and 3.50 ; nailore receive 7 c . to 10 c . per 1 lb , or from fr. 1 to $\mathbf{1 . 5 0}$ per 1,000 . The tenders on silk worms are paid from 150 c to fr. 1 per day. Women employed in reeling silk receive ff. 1 per lb . At the forge of Jarron, (Vienne, a maoter founder is paid fr. 8, a founder, fr. 4 to 5, a labourer, fr. 2, and a boy, from fr, 1 to 1.25 per day. At Rive de Gier, the labouring makers of coke receive from fr. 2 to 2.50 per day.
"The 'Ponts and Chaussees' pay their labourers fi. 36 per calew. dar month. (Dupin, p. 263)
${ }^{\mu} \mathrm{M}$. Dupin, as the realt of his observations and investigations as to the medium price of manufacturing labour, calcolates fr. 2.26 for the northern, and fr. 1.89 for the southern provinces of France; giving with reference to the wholy population, fr. 2.06 as the average rate."-Bowring and Villiers, p. 180.

In answer to queries addressed by the Commissioners to the workmen of Paris, it was stated that,

[^60]tom is, to breakfast on the soup and vegotablen, and carry tha moes anrey with them for dinner. Thus, these trions-two pounde of bread, 8 cous-and perhape for wine, 2 cons-mako 17 sons."Bowring and Fillierv' Report, p. 179.

The average given by M. Dupin includes probably a large body of those descriptions of workmen who receive the highest wages in the finer departments of manufacture. By the above statement of Messrg. Bowring and Villiers it is shown, that in the most extensive manufactures the wages of men vary from one to two francs, and that the majority do not exceed 13 francs. The proportion which the agricultural population of France bears to the whole, is so much greater than in England, that the average wages must approach much more nearly to those of common labourers than in Great Britain. To estimate them at 10 per cent. below those of men engaged in the cotton manufacture; say fr. 1.35 ( 25 cents) as the average rate of the wages of men in France; would give probably a higher average than the true one in a nation where, according to M. Dupin, two-thirds of the population, or twenty millions ${ }_{2}$ are deprived of the nourishment of animal food, and live wholly on chesnuts, maize, and potutoes.

The freedom with which labour circulates in the United States produces a nearer approach to equality than in any other country whatever. The adoption for France, of the same rule for ascertaining the rate of wages, would most probably make it appear higher than it really is in that country, where labour circulates so slowly as to cause great inequalities.

It has been proposed to colonize Algiers, for the purpose of providing a drain for part of her surplus population. The following extract from M. Pichon, ex-governor of Algiers, will show how far this colony would be likely to form an exception to the rule, that colonies cost more than they produce:-
${ }^{\text {M Dana m }}$ beystome de colonization comme on l'a fait, en apparenoe, adopter lo gourvernement, ce n'est, comme je l'ai dit, ni vingt, ni trente mille hommen qu'il faut, mais cent mille hommes; et cela avec une dépense qui, indépendamment de la dépense militaire, $\infty$ compterait par dixaines de millions, seulement pour dispooer completement de la Métidja, et la laisser vacante aux soixants mille colons dont on a parle; venant d'oa, a'éstablissant avec quoi, c'eat co qu'on ne dit pas."-Alger in 1830, par M. Pichon, quoted Weatmincter Retiews, Vol. XIX. p. 239.

The colonial system of France is the worst that now exists. The nation is compelled to pay high prices for the products of colonies that cost immense sums to keep, and afterwards enormous bounties to induce other nations to assist in their consumption. It is estimated by Messrs. Villiers and Bowring, that the colonies of France, few as they are, have cost since the peace not less than $\mathbf{4 0}$ millions of pounds sterling. The addition of a new colony like Algiers would only increase the evil, for as soon as it was ascertained what could be produced there, the importation from other quarters would be prohibited, and a new scale of bounties established.*

[^61]If the "dixaines de millions" that must be raised to maintain such colonies, were left in the pockets of the producers, or applied to the construction of railroads, France would advance more in twenty years, than under the system of colonization in a century. Were they to be so applied, she would require no "drain for her surplus population," nor would her engineers, returning from England or this country, be called upon for the humiliating acknowledgments of M. Cordier.

A reference to Mr. Jacob's Report on the corn trade will show the situation of Poland, and the Polish provinces of Prussia. Every where he found heavy taxation in money, as well as in military ser-vices-a people unaccustomed to freedom of action, and even where they are now free, incapable of exercising it-a total absence of capita-the want of implements of husbandry-small stocks of cattlecommunications so bad, that, in many cases, the grain will not pay the cost of transportation-every thing,
capital enorme compromis; la perspective de ne porvair prelever l'impot exur notre eol appauri et doponille; an projodico immene pour tous lee dopartemente dont nove nommen tributairen; mide croisoment rapido dans celles de nom consommations quil profitent an Nord; la atagnation gónérale du commerce, avec toun les detare tree qu'elle entraine; touten lem perten qu'ello prodait, ot toon lee - dommagea en materiale, en politiquee, en moraux, qui en cont liinóvitablo anito; anfin l'eneantinsement do plus en plue irreparablo da town noe anciens rapporte commercinax; lee autree pouplen i'enrichimant do noe perten, et developpens leor ayatume commarciabs urur lee dobris du notre.
 princtpalee victimen"
in short, indicating extreme poverty. A good and cheap government is alone wanting in that country, to make it, in time, as populous and as productive as some of the provinces of Holland, which were formerly as little so as any of those of Poland.

In regard to Prussia, I am not possessed of the statistical information to enable me to speak of it at length. It is sufficient, however, to know, that since property has become more secure; since freedon of action has been granted and slavery abolished; since commerce has become more free by the arrangements in regard to the internal trade of Germany; capital increases, roads and canals are being made, and the situation of the people is steadily improving, notwithstanding a vast increase of population, which doubles in 26 years.

Spain has laboured under all these disturbing causes in full perfection, and its consequence is, that so entire is the absence of capital employed in facilitating the communication between the different parts of the country, that wheat varies in the same year from 18 reals, to $53 \frac{1}{2}$ reals per quarter. The average prices of the following articles from September, 1827, to September, 1828, were as follows.


The same quantity of transportation would be done on the Schuylkill Canal by one hundred and fifty canal boats, and as many horses, in the same time.

In describing the situation of the roads in various parts of Europe, Mr. Jacob says, "they afford a practical reason for the people of Andalusia, in Spain, drawing their supplies of wheat and flour from the United States, when wheat was there 4s. 6d. per bushel, while on the plains of Castile it was not more than 1s. 6d. per bushel.-Second Report, p. 10.

Catalonia had a Constitution, which exempted it from the oppressive taxation which caused the decay of the rest of Spain, and enabled its people to prosper and accumulate capital. Its wealth made it a desirable object of plunder, and after much bloodshed, it was deprived of that Constitution by Olivarez. It was, however, exempted from that most oppressive of all taxes, the alcavala, and in consequence, it is

[^62]still the richest and most enlightened portion of Spain, as has been shown during the last thirty years. No part of the kingdom showed so great an aversion to submission to the dominion of France, either under Napoleon or Charles X.

No nation has experienced fewer revolutions than that of China, and none has enjoyed a peace so durable as that which has prevailed since its conquest by the Mantchoos, nearly two centuries since. Person and property have been secure against those hazards which have affected them in the Netherlands, in Italy, and in Germany, the battle grounds of Europe. Such, however, is the weakness of the government, that it is incapable either of enforcing its laws against foreigners, or of affording protection to its own subjects, and the former are set at defiance by the vessels which visit its eastern coast, while the latter are plundered by pirates which throng the adjacent seas. Unable to put them down by force, the government has been compelled to offer them employment, which has been accepted, until the next favourable opportunity offers for resuming their old trade. Under such circumstances, were even the restrictions withdrawn, the domestic trade of China could not be canried on by sea.

Thus insecure abroad, the people do not find security at home. Office is generally purchased, and as is usual in such cases, it is the duty of the holder to indemnify himself out of those placed under him, for the cost of his purchase. Such is the case with judges, and it is not unusual for both parties to fee
them, in the hope of a favourable decision. "Capital is so scarce, and so little feeling of security exists, that money is only lent on pawn, and in that case government restricts the rate of interest to three per cent. per month, above which rate it must have a tendency to rise."* In describing the great industry of the people of China, Staunton says, that "they labour as if it were all for their own profit." $\dagger$ Such is doubtless the case with the dabourers, but it cannot be the case with the higher classes, or there would not be a total absence of a moneyed interest. "Such a deficiency in a country so wealthy, and a people so industrious, seems to imply in this boasted administration some radical defect, some want of protection for all fortunes that rise above the humblest mediocrity. There is no system of credit established between the merchants of distant provinces, no bills of exchange; no circulating medium except a copper coin of one-third of a farthing. In this respect China yields greatly to India, which, amid its political agitation, has formed a great moneyed and banking interest, comprising some individuals of immense fortune." $\ddagger$

Restrictions of every kind abound. "With a firm hand, they (the Board of Censors,) restrain every thing within the prescribed form, spare the people as well as the emperor the trouble of thinking and act--ing for themselves, and rigorously resist every im-

[^63]provement as highly dangerous."* The people are not even permitted to select the mode in which they will make the earth useful to them. "The mountains (of Kwang-se) are rich in ore, and even gold mines are to be found, but the policy of the Chinese government does not allow the working of them on a large scale, for fear of withdrawing the attention of the people from the cultivation of the soil." $\dagger$ No improvement of any description is permitted. "The foreign trade of China is carried on in large unwieldy junks whose structure never can be improved, as the slightest deviation from their present clumsy structure, would subject the owners to the high duties imposed on foreign merchants." $\ddagger$ Even the extent of trade is the subject of regulation. "The viceroy of the province fixes the number of vessels that shall sail to each particular country, and the species of cargo they shall carry."§

Wherever Europeans can enter into competition with them, they are likely to be left behind, in consequence of this opposition to innovation. Of porcelain, but a few years since, the export was very large, but it has now almost disappeared from the list of exports, in consequence of the superiority of the products of England and France.

It has recently been proposed to introduce into Hindostan the culture of the tea plant. Should it be done, it is not improbable that at no distant period

[^64]that country may supersede China in the supply of it. At present, a very important portion of the cost of inferior teas, consists in the expense of transportation to Canton, a distance of about $\mathbf{7 5 0}$ miles, over thirty of which it is carried on men's backs. All this could be obviated by permitting foreign vessels to load at Amoy, but it is conceived better to have the people employed in carrying tea than in producing it. Were the exportation from Amoy permitted, the immediate effect would be an increase in the price paid to the cultivator, while the total cost would be reduced. An increased demand would arise out of the reduction of price, and all the people who are now employed in the business of transportation, would then find higher wages in that of production.

Taxation is light compared with that of other countries. The land tax is one-tenth of the product. Du ties are levied upon salt, and forcign merchandise, and there are transit duties, but in general the articles consumed by the labouring classes are in a great degree exempted. So desirous, indeed, is the government to secure a full supply of food, that vessels bringing cargoes of rice are exempted from the customary charges. In this respect the despotism of China shames the liberal governments of Europe and America, which have always selected for taxation the articles consumed chiefly by the working classes. Timkowski* states the whole taxation of the empire at $39,667,272$ liang, equal to nearly sixty millions of dollars, or about twelve millions of pounds

[^65]sterling; but it is uncertain whether or not this includes the local expenditure. Staunton states the revenue at 200 millions of ounces of silver, equal to 225 millions of dollars, which, with a population of 333 millions, would give 68 cents per head. The Rev. Mr. Jones, on the authority of the Bulletin des Sciences, May 1829, states it at eighty-four millions of ounces of silver, of which thirty-three millions are paid in silver, and fifty-one millions in grain, rice, \&ec. Eighty-four millions of ounces are equal to ninety-five millions of dollars, which, with the present population of 367 millions, would be but 27 cents per head.

There is no tax for the support of religion, and but little for that of the army. The chief part of the troops labour for their own support, and the calling is held in little esteem. "They are reckoned far below the civilians, who are thrice as well paid, and who treat the military officer like a police agent, which has brought the whole body into disrepute."* In almost every part of Europe and America, the most important posts are occupied by marshals and generals; but in China, " unlike to the rest of the world, where labour and military talents, occasionally united to natural eloquence, were originally the foundation of all wealth and greatness, while literature was little more than an amusement; the etudy of the written morals, history and politics of China was the only road not merely to power and honour, but to every individual employment in the state" $\dagger$

[^66]In regard to industry, they are models for all other people. "At this season of harvest an active cheerfulness seemed to pervade both sexes. They appeared to be sensible of labouring for their own profit. Many of the peasants are owners of the lands they cultivate." Extraordinary good humour and cheerfulness are their characteristics, and there is perhaps no nation in which decency and regularity are so universal $; \uparrow$ where crime is less. $f$ Their economy is equal to their industry.

The population is stated by Mr. Gutzlaff at 367 millions, on a surface of $1,298,000$ square miles, being equal to 290 to each square mile. In the province of Ke -ang-se there are $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 6}$, while in that of Shen-se there are only fifty to a mile. In the three presidencies of Bengal, Madras, and Bombay, there is a population of $89,470,152$ upon 421,673 square miles, giving an average of 212. Bengal has 316, Madras 95, and Bombay 105 to the mile.

Mr. Gutzlaff states his belief that the population is not over-rated, and if his views be correct, it follows that the quantity of land for each individual in China, is one-fourth less than in Hindostan. Her lands are generally fertile, and cultivated in the most extraordinary manner. They are watered by immense rivers, two of which are 2,000 miles in length, and numerous canals have been constructed at vast expensie.

We here find a nation increasing rapidly in numbers, and forbidden to extend their field of action.

They are not permitted to leave the empire, by which they might transport themselves to new lands; nor are they permitted to vary their modes of operation, by which old lands might be rendered more productive, or by which labour employed in manufactures might be made to increase the quantity or improve the quality of the commodities brought to market. "Notwithstanding the paramount importance attached to works of utility, the Chinese have made no progress in the application of the mechanical powers; they cannot even construct a common pump; and all their great works are the mere result of indefatigable labour performed by a multitude of human hands."*

Population increases rapidly, but production is not permitted to keep pace with it, and the nation is in precisely the opposite situation of that described at page 29, where the ratio of production increases more rapidly than that of population. Forbidden to avail themselves of machinery, the amount of production is small, and the most untiring industry is required to obtain the means of support; the necessary consequence is, that very little remains to become capital. Even were it, under these disadvantageous circumstances, to increase more rapidly than it does, the insecurity that appears to exist would prevent its investment in machinery, were it not, as it is, forbidden to be so used.

Peace and security from invasion, light taxation, great industry and strict economy, enable the Chinese to obtain a better support than falls to the lot of

[^67]the Hindoos, but restrictions and insecurity prevent them from availing themselves of their advantages, and thus impede the growth of capital. Barrow says he never saw a beggar. Beggars, however, there certainly are, but they are always well clothed, and it is believed that the Chinese are better clad than any other nation in the world. Infanticide is often adduced as an evidence of great distress, but Mr. Morrison, who had as good opportunity as any European of knowing the facts, declared that he had never been able to find it.

China possesses every requisite but an enlightened government for becoming one of the most prosperous nations of the earth, but the doctrines of her rulers are in accordance with those of some of our writers, who would tax machinery for the purpose of limiting production, and while she thus refuses the aid of science, her people must continue in a state of poverty.

## CHAPTER XVI.

Havisa thus completed the survey of some of the most important nations, I propose now to ascertain as nearly as practicable, with the imperfect means at my command, what is the actual difference in the reward of labour, between the United States, Eng. land, the Netherlands, France, China, and Hindostan.

Mr. Jacob, (First Report, p. 230,) gives a statement of the average prices of wheat of the best quality in the markets of Europe, during the year 1825, from which the following prices are taken


The price above given for New York, is exceedingly low; much lower than the usual price; and can hardly be correct. At page 27 is given a statement of the average price of flour in Philadelphia in that year, by which it will be seen to have been $\$ 484$, but the average of ten years was $\$ 532$, which would give about 85 s. per quarter for wheat.

The ordinary average of France was stated by Count Chaptal, in 1819, at 42s. 10d. per quarter, but it has probably fallen to the rate mentioned by Mr.
Jacob. The price in Havre in December,

being an average of 49 francs, or 42 s . 9 d . aterling per quarter, or nearly the same price stated by Chaptal Owing, however, to the difficulty of transportation, the price varies greatly in the different parts of the kingdom. Desirous not to over-rate it, the price assumed shall be that of Mr. Jacob, 35s. 4d., which is a little less than the average price in Havre for 1832, 33, and 34.

Wheat was at an unusually high price in England in 1825, and it would not be correct to take that year for the purpose of making a comparison. I will therefore take the average for eleven years, from 1820 to 1830, which was 61s. 2d.*The price in

- In 1833, the price of the finest whoaten flow in ㄷondon, we 50 e per cack; the highent price of the fineat wheuten flowr in Pari, was 46 francs per 150 kilogrammes, equal to only 28e, 6d. the Ringlish sack of 280 pornda-(Hist. Mid, and Working Clamen, p. 543) These prices are in almost exact accordance with the averages that are above assumed for England and Frence. By the following article It will be reen that the reduction in price that hun tuteo plece in England, has altered materially the proportions above given.
*The higheat quotation of whito wheat of the first quality at Blamburgh, is 80 rix dollene current the last which enswars to 25 . 10 d , the quarter, and the higheat quotetion of red wheat of the firct que Lity, in 76.2 dollers carrent the lent, which anowers to 24. 7 d. tho quarter, and therefore the meen price at Hambargh of white and red wheat in $250.3 d$, per quarter. The highert quotation of white wheat of the first quality in Mark Leno, is 50 , the quarter, and the higbent quotation of red wheat of tho firat quality, is 4he. the quartor, and therefore the mean price in Mark Lene of white and rod whoat, is 47 c . the quarter. It appeare, therefore, that whent in 861.8 par cont. dearer in Loondon than in Hamburgh, and that with the ram of $897 \mathrm{o}_{4}$ s man may buy 141.8 bochele of whoat at Hamborgh, wharoas with the aame sum he can bray onily eight busbelo at Loadon.
uThe higheat price of Zoalend white wheat of the firs quality at Ametordam, in 175 florins the lent, which equile 280, 3d, the quartar, and the mean price in London being 47a, the quartar, if follow: that wheat is 66 S8 per cent, doerer in Lomelon than in Amserdemp
the United States being 35s. and 78 to 80 cents per day being the usual wages of a labouring man, the labour requisite to procure a quarter of wheat would be nearly - - - - days, 11 In England, 61 s .2 d .72 cents or 3 s . 20 s The Netherlands, 29230 " 29 3d. $23 \frac{1}{3}$ France, $\quad 35 \quad 4 \quad 25 \quad$ " $\quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad 331$ To make a fair comparison it would be, however, necessary to ascertain the comparative cost of many other commodities besides that of corn, by which a considerable change would be produced in the relative position of the inhabitants of those countries.

The people of the United States have corn, and provisions generally, very cheap. Tea and coffee are imported free of duty, and are sold at a very small advance upon their cost at the places of production. Sugar is at a much smaller duty than in France and England. Fuel is cheap. Most descriptions of manufactured goods are higher than in England, particularly those of wool and iron; and the rate of interest being higher, house rent is also higher. Making allowance for these differences, it is probable that the English labourer would be required to work sixteen days

[^68]to obtain the same amount of commodities that would be obtained by the American labourer in eleven days.

In the case of the Netherlands the same remarks are to be made as in that of the United States. Provisions are cheap, as trade in them is free; and their great system of canals facilitates the transmission of domestic and foreign products at small cost, so that the variations in price cannot be very great. Manur factured goods are lower than in the United States, while provisions are not higher, if so high. Notwithstanding the difference in money wages, almost as large an amount of commodities can be obtained by the labourer as in England. A strong evidence of the comfortable situation of the people is to be found in the fact, that the magazines and journals count one subscriber for every 100 persons, while in England there is only one for 184, and in France only one in 437.*

In France, prohibitions, heary duties, monopolies, and restrictions, meet us at every step. Roads are bad, and limited in extent, and canals and rail roads are few in number. Transportation is consequently expensive, and the differences of price are very great. The domestic trade is interfered with by the octroi, which prevents the free transmission of merchandise from one part of the kingdom to another. According to the price of wheat, the difference in the reward of the American and French labourer would be as 11 to $33 \frac{1}{2}$, but taking into consideration the difference in other articles of consumption, it would be probably about as 11 to 28.

[^69]The following extracts will tend to show what is the rate of wages in India.
a In a lato atatistical accome of Dinagepore, a province of Bengal, there are atatementa of the amnunl oxpenses of different classes of cociety; and among them ome of the expensee of a labouring man with e wife and two children. The amount is only, rupees 22.10 .11 -ur near $£ 3$ per manum; being at the rate of 15 ahillingt a head The article of clothing for this family of four persons is only 6 shillings per annom."*
:"Colonel Monro atatea the average price of agricultural labour in the 'Ceded Districta,' to be about 5s. per month, or 2d. per day. Ere framed tablea, dividing the population (about two millions) into three clasees, and ascertained the annual expense of each individual, for clothing, food, and every other article, to be an follorss:Firet clusa, containing about one-fourth of the poprlation, average per head, - . . 2200 Second class, containing ebout one-half of the population, average per head, - . . 170 Third clase, containing about one-fourth of the popn-
lation, average per head, $\uparrow$ - . . 0180
In estimating them at two rupees per month, it is believed much more likely to exceed than to fall short of the average. Mr. Senior (see page 63) estimated them at from one to two pounds of silver per annum, or 24 to 5 rupees per month. By reference to page 114 it will be seen, that wages are spoken of by Mr. Colebrooke as being two anas a day (about three rupees per month), but that hie considers that more than can be realized by the cultivator. The usual price paid to the men engaged in the cultivation and preparation of indigo, is two rupees per month. Assuming that as the average of money wages, and the price of rice in the interior, as given by Mr. Colebrooke, at 12 anas

```
* Committoo's Roport, p. 9.-Quoted by Bickarde, I. p. 48,
$ Bickurda, I. p. 68.
```

per maund of 74 pounds, it would require, in the rice country, the labour of two months and a half to earn 480 pounds, being the equivalent of a quarter of wheat.
In Calcutta the labourer receives three rupees per month, while servants and mechanics have from four to six rupees: the average may be three and a half rupees. By reference to M'Culloch's Commercial Dictionary, article Calcutta, under the head of Exports, it will be seen that the average price of the rice exported was 1 rupee 7 anas per Bazar maund of 82 pounds, and of wheat 1 rupee 8 anas. By a recent price current the average price of rice was 1.7, and of wheat 1.6. At these prices, and allowing the average of wages to be $3 \frac{1}{h}$ rupees per month, it would require the labour of $2 \frac{1}{2}$ months to obtain 480 pounds of rice, or as much as would be obtained in the United States by the labour of eleven days.

In Southern India rice is much higher, and it is probable that a much larger amount of labour may be required to obtain the same quantity of food. It is proper to observe that a large portion of the rice of India is exceedingly inferior in quality, and of course low in price. Even that which is exported sells at very low prices in England compared with that of the United States ${ }^{*}$


The means of ascertaining the condition of the labouring population of China are not such as could be desired, but Timkowski* has furnished a list of prices at Pekin, that will enable us to make some comparison between their situation and that of similar persons in Europe.

He says servants in the first houses have, per month, 3300 tsian,for cash, equal to $\$ 450$.

Servants in houses of the second class have, in addition to their board, from 1000 to 1500 tsian, equal to an average of $\$ 175$ per month, or $\$ 21$ per annum.

At page 91 are given the wages of house servants in Dumfries, at $\$ 56$ to $\$ 60$ per annum. In many parts of Prussia, under similar circumstances, wages do not exceed $\$ 10$ per annum.

The prices of provisions are as follows:


Wages are as follows:-
A joiner, per day, $\quad 300$ equal to 15 lb . rice. A carpentar, do. 200 equal to 10 lbe rice. A paper hanger, do. $\quad 200$ equal to 10 lbe rice. A working man, do. 130 equal to $6 \frac{1}{\mathrm{~h}}$ lbe. rice.

The average price of bread in Paris for several years, as given by the police to Messrs. Bowring \& Villiers, was 60 centimes for 2 kilogrammes. The

[^70]wages are also given in the same report, by which it is seen that

|  |  | Franes. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| The cabinet maker has per day, | 3 to 3.50 equal to 23 pounds. |  |
| The carpenter, | do. | 3 to 3.50 equal to 23 pounds. |
| Hatcr, shoemaker, sce. do. | 3 | equal to 22 pounds. |
| Terrace makers \& blacksmiths, do. 2 | equal to 14 pounds. |  |

In Canton, rice sells at $\$ 140$ to $\$ 180$ per picul of 133 p pounds English. Mr. M'Culloch says from a halfpenny to three farthings per pound, equal to $\$ 133$ to $\$ 2$ per picul. A day labourer has from nine candareens to one mace (say 13 cents) per day, finding himself. His wages will purchase from $9 \frac{1}{2}$ to 13 pounds of rice, according to quality, being perhaps 25 per cent. less than is obtained by the labourer in Paris. A mechanic receives one mace ( $13 \frac{4}{8}$ cents) per day, and his board. Allowing 313 days to the year, he would carn 3200 pounds of the best rice by his year's work. A brewer or cooper, in Paris, receives 500 francs per annum and his board.* With 500 francs he could purchase 3610 pounds of bread. A butcher has 1000 francs.* A pastry cook from (000 to $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ francs.* The average, being 750 francs, would purchase 5415 pounds of bread.
I see no reason to believe that the rate of wages in France exceeds that of China more than 50 per cent., or that the Chinese labourer could not obtain as much in 40 or $\mathbf{4 2}$ days, as is obtained in France by the labour of 28 days. The materials for forming a judgment are, however, very small, and this view of the case may not prove correct.

[^71]
## CHAPTER XVII.

I propose now to submit to the reader a scale of the advantages (or productive powers) possessed by the several nations to which I have referred, that he may see at a glance how far it accords with the state of the labouring classes as described in the last chapter. It is necessary to bear in mind what has been the situation of the various countries during the last fifty years, as, although property was secure, and trade free, in the Netherlands, at the time of preparing the statements that have been used, it had been far otherwise at very recent periods, and a long course of peace and tranquillity is necessary to enable a nation to recover from the effects of such wars as have been waged in her territory. It is also necessary to recollect, that although capital abounded in Holland, a large portion of it was invested abroad, and the owner of what was thus lent to aid foreign manufacturers or ship-owners, was useful at home to the same extent only as the Irish absentee landlord was of service to the people of Paris or Rome.

This table is not offered as being accurate, but simply as an approximation sufficiently near to illustrate my argument.

|  | Us. |  |  |  |  | Hind |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Security of person and property, | 100 | 100 | 45 | 50 | 20 | 10 |
| Freedom of action, | 100 | 70 | 65 | 40 | 00 | 00 |
| Freedom of commerce, | 80 | 50 | 60 | 30 | 00 | 00 |
| Habits of industry, | 90 | 80 | 100 | 55 | 100 | 50 |
| Capital, land included, | 50 | 100 | 45 | 50 | 15 | 15 |
| Deduct taxation, | 460 | 400 | 315 | 225 | 135 | 75 |
|  | 20 | 100 | 50 | 50 | . 6 | 10 |
|  | 440 | 300 | 265 | 175 | 129 | 65 |

It has been estimated that eleven days' labour in the United States would be süfficient to obtain a quarter of wheat. Taking the above sum of 440, and multiplying it by that number of days, the product would be 4840, which I propose should represent a quarter, or eight bushels, of wheat. Say, 11 days. The powers of the English labourer being

300 , he would require, to obtain the
same value of commodities, - . 16 days.
The labourer in the Netherlands, - 18 days.
The advantages of the French labourer
being only 175 , he would require nearly 28 days. The Chinese would require - - 38 days. The Hindoo, whose powers of production
are estimated at only 65 , would require 74 days.
These results correspond very nearly with the estimates of the previous chapter, but it is possible that many persons may be disposed to question the correctness of the quantities assumed, and which have produced those results. It has been a matter of controversy whether the amount of taxation in France was greater than in the United States, while it is above stated to be more than twice as great. 1 en -
tertain no doubt of its being as given above, but statistical information is needed on that and many other points for the correct formation of such a table. Again, notwithstanding the tendency of insecurity and restrictions to produce a want of industry, the people of China and the Netherlands are set down in the preceding table as more industrious than those of the United States and England. Taxation is light in China, and the common people,feeling themselves secure, labour with extraordinary assiduity, while the industry of thê class which is possessed of capital, is restrained by the causes to which I have referred. It is difficult here to assign proper quantities to security and industry, but it is probable, that, taking into view the situation of the two classes, the former might be increased to 30 or 40 , while the latter might be reduced to $\mathbf{7 0}, 80$, or 90 . In the case of the Netherlands, for the same reason, it would perhaps be proper to reduce industry to 80, and the sum of the powers of production to 245 , which would make it necessary to give the labour of 20 days instead of 18 as above stated. The increased attention that is given to statistics will, $I$ doubt not, in a few years, enable future writers to give much more accurate views than can now be done, and every improvement in that science will tend more and more to prove that the condition of the working classes improves as security is obtained without interference with their freedom, and without taking from them too large a portion of the fruits of their labour.

## CHAPTER XVIII.

Havira thus passed in review several of the principal nations, the reader will probably be disposed to admit that there are abundant reasons for the state of things that exists, and will now be prepared for a brief examination of the views of some of the writers who insist that all the poverty and wretchedness that exist, arise out of the erroneous arrangements of the Deity.

Mr. Mill (Elements of Political Economy,) takes the same view of the influence of capital as that which will be found at p. 30. He says, "If the ratio which capital bears to population increases, wages will rise; if the ratio which population bears to capital increases, wages will fall." Being, however, a full believer in the Malthusian theory, that population is always disposed to increase so rapidly as to be threatened with starvation, and only kept down by the apprehension thereof, he asserts that population has increased much faster than capital, as "is proved incontestably by the condition of the population of most-parts of the globe. In almost all countries, the condition of the great body of the people is poor and miserable." I do not doubt their poverty, but do doubt their being as poor as they were, one, two, or three centuries since, and if they are not so, capital must, according to Mr. Mill's own theory, have in-
creased more rapidly than population. That they are not so, is evidenced by the case of Great Britain; by that of Prussia, where population is increasing more rapidly than in any other part of Europe, and where improvement in the condition of the people keeps pace with the increase of population; and by that of the late kingdom of the Netherlands, whose population at its present rate of increase would double itself in 63 years. Even were such not the case, and were they as poor, or even poorer than they had been, it would be necessary, before admitting such to be the natural course of things, to examine how far the measures of the various governments had tended to promote or to repress the growth of capital. If upon such examination it were found, that in some of them, all the disturbing causes, treated of in the previous chapters, had been in full operation, and in others a portion of them, it might well be doubted if its slow increase had not arisen from those interferences alone, which are abundantly sufficient to keep any people "poor and miserable." In accordance with the above doctrine, Mr. Mill asserts, that " whether, after land of superior quality has been erhausted, capital is applied to new lands of inferior quality, or in successive doses, with diminished returns upon the same lands, the produce of it is continually diminishing in proportion to its increase. If the return to capital is, however, continually decreasing, the annual fund from which savings are made, is continually diminishing. The difficulty in making savings is continually augmented, and at last they must entirely cease." The means of accumulating
capital being thus cut off, it follows that "how slow soever the increase of population, provided that of capital be still slower, wages will be reduced so low, that a portion of the population must regularly die of want." He says that population does increase more rapidly than capital, and we must therefore be gradually approaching that state of things which he describes.

The first dose of capital applied to land, was probably in shape of a spade, and the next that of a plough, and it is unlikely that the return in the second case, was less than in the first. Among the most recent are the cradle and horse-rake, and it is highly improbable that any farmer will admit that capital thus applied, pays him less interest than that previously applied in the shape of a reaping-hook and hand-rake.

The "inferior soils" of Mill, Ricardo, and others, mean those which by reason of their inferiority of quality, or distance from market, are last brought into cultivation. It is evident that both situation and quality must enter into the consideration of the character of land, as that of second, third, or fourth quality near New York or Philadelphia would be sooner brought into cultivation, and command a higher rent, than that of first quality in Ohio or $\mathbf{I n}_{\text {- }}$ diana. The latter are emphatically the "inferior soils" referred to, and yet fresh doses of capital are daily administered to them, and to lands in Ilinois, Missouri, Tennessee, Mississippi, and Alabama, and so far are they from being attended with a "diminished return," that circulating capital is there worth 12,

15 , or 18 per cent. per annum, and is used to greater profit by the borrower than in the older states, when obtained at 5 or 6 . Those distant lands are brought into cultivation in consequence of the "doses of capital" being administered in the form of canals, turnpike and rail-roads, by which the transport of their products is facilitated; but the result would be the same if the lands were less distant and of inferior quality, and the capital were applied in the form of manure, or improved methods of culture. Yet Mr. M'Culloch refers to the culture of lands in Indiana and Illinois in support of this theory!

Such theories are so totally opposed to the evidence afforded by all Europe, and particularly Great Britain, as well as this country, that it is difficult to account for their production. They would be amusing, were it not that they are adopted by men in elevated stations, whose modes of thinking influence the happiness and prosperity of the people over whom they are placed. There is no doubt that population may increase with great rapidity, and it is probable that it will increase at a much more rapid rate than it has done, but if governments can be induced so to modify their systems as to permit the labourer to enjoy the product of his labour, his situation will become more comfortable with every such increase. Even now it does so in Prussia, the Netherlands, and Great Britain, and still more so in the United States, where the demand for labour and its reward, are, with a population of fifteen millions, vastly greater than when there were but five millions.

Such being the case, it is difficult to believe in the
awful consequences to be apprehended from this enormous increase; or to dread starvation, when misgovernment is not carried too far. The disciple of Mr. Malthus would ask if there can be a doubt as to the geometrical increase of population, and arithmetical increase of food, or that if population should double in fifteen or thirty years, it would be attended with the horrible effects that have been predicted. So the advocate of restrictions upon trade objects to taking off the duties upon coffee, on the ground that the increased demand must increase the price at the place of production, and that the duty will be paid to the grower, in place of the government. The advocates of the corn laws, when the approach of famine has made it necessary to import a large quantity, and they see that it is paid for in bullion, deem it conclusive evidence of the incorrectness of the assertion of their opponents, that a free trade in corn would promote the demand for British goods. All are restrictionists, and all equally in error. By prohibiting the trade in corn in ordinary seasons, the one does all in his power to depress the people of Prussia and Poland, and keep them in a state of poverty, preventing the accumulation of capital, and the growth of a taste for British wares, and then uses the fact of their poverty as a reason why the system should be continued. By heavy duties upon coffee, the consumption is discouraged, and the owners of plantations are compelled to root up their trees, which cannot be replaced for several years, and when the production is thus reduced to the demand, we are told that the system must be persevered in, lest the
coffe: planter shoura odtan an maneased price for a short period of time, until the production could again overtake the demand. By enormous taxes and ruinous wars; by every expedient that could be devised; the people of the eastern continent have been ground to the earth; unable, in many places, ta command more than the mere necessaries of life, there, could be no accumulation of capital; their trade restrained so that in many places corn has not been worth the cost of sending to market, while the want of capital prevented improvement of communications; compelled, at in Hindostan, to pay in rent and taxes more than half the gross product of their lands, and rendered unable to improve the miserable tools with which they were cultivated; and after having thus done "all in our power to prevent the growth of capital, and consequent increase of food, we are asked if we believe the demand, being doubled in twenty or thirty years, could be supplied. . To this, the reply would, of course, be in the negative; but if rulers had been content to leave to the labourer the product of his labour, allowing his capital to increase, the population of the earth might now be infinitely greater than it is, without a deficiency of food, and it might go on to an extent that would now be deemed incredible, the condition of the people steadily improving with the increase in number. Even in Ireland,* the condition of the people is decidedly better

[^72]than it was, and yet in that unfortunate country all the distlrbing causes have been in full action. Every thing has tended to repress the growth of capital, and keep them in a state of poverty, preventing them from either improving their own waste lands, or transferring themselves to the richer lands of Canada or the United States. Here, transters of population are daily going on to an immense extent, but there,
the like proportion in Eigland. We have often heard a cry, too, that in consequence of the Union, Dublin had been deserted, and multitudes of houses become animhabited. Now, the fect is, that the proportion of uninhabiled houses is less in Dablin than in the metropalis or either of the sister kingdoma:-the uninhabited housea in London, Edinburgh, and Dablin, boing respectively one in 11, 17, and 18: So much for clamour, and so much for facts of statistical inveatigation to put clamour down; or, if it cannot be silenced, the light, at least, may exhibit the screech-owl."
The following statement is from a pamphlet by Mr. Prath, the berriater appointed to certify the rulea of Savings' Bankn and Friendly Societies, and ahows a marked improvement in the condition of the people of Ireland.
"The increase in the deposits of the Irish savings' banks, hat been proportionably much greatar than in the English.
"In England and Wales, the augmentations since 1831 do not exceod 8 per cent. of the groes sum invested, while. in Ireland the increame has teen above 25 per cent. Of course it will be said that the condition of the Irish people being inferior to the English, there oritu greatar room for improvement in the one case than in the other, and that his circumstance explains the more rapid expansion of cavinga' banka in lreland. The observation is undoubtedly true, but it leaven the fact of the increasing prosperity of the nister kingdom unshaken. It is clear that Ireland in not only in a state of progreasive improvement, but that she is improving at e quicker rate than thin country."
"The erports from Ireland to the single port of Liverpool in 1833, wese £ 7,456,692."-Speech of MF. S. Rice, April, 1834.
such is their poverty, that unless sent by the government, they are utterly unable to leave the country; to be useful to it either at home or abroad.

Having done all in our power to make man "poor and miserable"-to prevent the growth of capital or any improvement in his situation-and finding that there is a great deal of poverty in the world, we inquire the cause, and find it arises out of a mistake in the Deity, who fitted man to increase in a geometrical ratio, while he permitted the fruits of the earth to increase in an arithmetical ratio only, thus making poverty and misery inseparable accompaniments of the human race. This result is highly satisfactory to us, as it transfers to the Deity what should rest upon our own shoulders, and we then invent the starvation check; discourage matrimony that we may promote profligacy, and thus check population; while the earth is as yet, in a great measure, untouched, and is capable of supporting thousands of millions in those parts where cultivation is almost unknown.

All these attempts at interference should be regarded like those with trade, and it is not to be doubted that the time will come when they will be considered equally absurd. The trade of population is the only one that has heretofore been free, and it is to be regretted that those who are in favour of loosing the shackles which have bound all others, advocate restrictions upon that which has heretofore escaped. As yet we know nothing of the productive powers of the earth In the United States food has increased in the same ratio with population, while they supply a large portion of the world with other
productions of the soil, cotton and tobacco. In England, within the last century, the improvement has been immense, arising from improved methods of cultivation, yet she is far behind Flanders, which, "two centuries since, was a barren waste." There, "the produce of wheat is often not less than thirty-two bushels to two of seed; of oats, sixty bushels to three; and of other grain in proportion, whilst in scarcely any part of Great Britain does wheat yield more than eight or ten times."

The following remarks, upon the present state of English agriculture, are from a review of a work on that subject, by Mr. Lowe, in a British journal for November last.
"The most fertile soils, miserably tilled, ecoording to the preseriptive rule of 'follow my lender;' are every where found contiguous to aramples of akill and industry which raise abundant crope; and the contented boor sita down to his starved returns, quite eatisfied with what rade implements, wasteful defects, and ignorant blind nese, have permitted him to gather like his predecessora!
"How different would it be, were the opposite course pursued; were all the British Empire, for instance, ar ably and intelligently eultivated an the Lothians and Lowlands of Scotiend! Were Mr. Lowe's practical lessons universally acted upom, we should then heur mo more of a surplas population beyond the supply of food; of the necessity of exporting our hearty peasantry to Australian or other colonies; of the dreadful sufferinge of the labouring poor. The bonoest toils of the field would largely oupersede the dopraving employtrent of the workhouse; and the wewerd of thoee toils would be plenty of wholesome food to custrin the humblest classee of oor fel. low-creatures."

Throughout a large part of the Russian, Polish, and Prussian provinces on the Baltic, Mr. Jacob de-

\author{

- For, Qaar, Rev, Vol V. p. 375.
}
scribes the people as in a state of extreme povertytotally destitute of capital-unable to stock their land, or to supply themselves with any but the poorest implements, and yet subject to enormous taxation, is money and military services. Were that taxation dispensed with, or reduced to a moderate amount, there can be,no doubt that capital would increase, agriculture would be improved, communications would be opened, and the lands might become as productive as those of Great Britain or the Netherlands.

At page 108 is given a view of the state of agriculture in those countries, where it is shown that the return to the cultivator does not usually exceed four times the seed. An examination of those statements would show that similar causes produce similar effects in Europe and Asia. There is no country of Europe that so much resembles Hindostan in-its fate as Poland, and there we find, in the situation of the labouring classes, an almost exact parallel. As many days' labour are necessary to obtain a quarter of wheat, with the further disadvantage that the Hindoo scarcely requires any clothing, while the climate of Poland makes it indispensable.

In an able article on America in the Encyclopedia Britannica, it is stated, that notwithstanding the difference in size between the eastern and western continent, the proportion of the former that is unfit for cultivation, in consequence of sterility, or absence of water communications, is so much greater, that the latter is capable of subsisting an equal population. The writer then proceeds to estimate the extent of its ability, and taking Germany as the basis, where
population varies from 100 to 200 to a square mile, he assumes 150 to be the limit in latitude $50^{\circ}$, according to which, and making due allowance for the superior productiveness of the lower latitudes, he finds that this continent is capable of subsisting $\mathbf{3 , 6 0 0}$ millions. The same quantity for the eastern continent would made 7,200 millions, or eight, if not nine times, the present population. We know, however, that agriculture in Germany and in France, where there are. 160 to a square mile, is in a very backward state, and it cannot be doubted that the application of capital would very speedily double the product, and that in many parts of Europe it might be trebled, quadrupled, or quintupled. Long before the population shall have attained the extent above mentioned, Germany will support 300 persons to a square mile better than she now does 150 . If to that be added the economy of the products of the earth that is to arise out of the substitution of steam for horse power; estimated in Great Britain to be now equal to the support of eight millions, or one-half of its present population; and the vast extent of land in Australasia, it will be seen that the ability of the earth to afford food is immense, perhaps equal to thirty thousand millions. It is perfectly true, that if population were to proceed every where at the rate at which it does in this country, it would soon attain this extraordinary amount. We know, however, that it does not, and we also know that in every country where the government will permit it, there is a steady improvement of condition with the increase of population: we know that the difficulty is not to supply food, but to find
a market for it: that in a very large part of Europe the cultivators are "poor and miserable," solely because they are not at liberty to exchange their products freely for what they want: that in consequence thereof, prices have been so much reduced in many places as to render them totally unable to pay rent: and with this knowledge we may be content to let population take its own course, and instead of fettering it by restrictions, endeavour to improve the condition of the people by increasing their liberty of action and lightening their burdens. Let this be done, and capital will increase more rapidly than population, and the surplus of Europe being enabled to transfer itself to this continent, the whole world may become a garden. Doing this, we may safely trust that population will limit itself, and that the wisdom of the arrangements of the Deity, in regard to man, will be as evident as it is in every other part of the creation. We shall find that, as in every thing else, "laissez nous faire" is the true doctrine: that when allowed to come into action, there is already established a system of checks and balances, action and re-action, as far superior to that which has haunted the imagination of some of the writers on population, as is that which regulates the motions of the planets to that of a windmill: and that if to man was granted the power of increase in a geometrical ratio, there was at the same time implanted a principle which secures him against its effects, the desire of bettering his condition; which, if allowed to begin to act, will be abundantly sufficient, with the increased fruitfulness of the land, arising out of the application of
capital, to prevent the necessity of the starvation check.

Mr. Malthus tells us that wherever food is abundant, population increases rapidly; but it might be as correctly said that where population increases rapidly, food is abundänt, and we have full evidence that with increased population, the dangers of famine are greatly decreased, where man is not too much trammeled. " $A^{\prime}$ coté d'un gain, il nait un homme," is a saying in some parts of the continent, but experience would show that it would be eqially correct if read thus, " $a$ cote d'un homme, il croil un pain," -or would grow, if permitted. At the time Mr. Malthus formed his theory, he had but few facts in regard to civilized man upon which it could be based. The experience of this country had been too short to enable him to $\mu s$ it with any advantage, and he was obliged to argue from the state of man as he exists in the eastern hemisphere, "checked like a bondman," fettered by laws and regulations, and oppressed by claims for the support of government and of individuals. To argue from facts thus ohtained, is like constructing a theory of the tides from a collection of observations on mill dams. I am not aware of a fact in his book in regard to man in a state of civilization, that goes to support his theory, or that is not much better evidence that man has been misgoverned, and his increase repressed thereby, than that it has been repressed by inability of the earth to afford him support.

The only disease under which mankind labours is oppression. Let that be removed, and he will speedily
recover, and show by the increase of population and consequent division of labour, increased productiveness of labour, and growth of capital, that such was the case. All other medicines must fail, even that of Dr. Chalmers, who contends that relief from taxation would do little good, and that the only want is the extension of education. Relieve the people from oppressioff, and they will educate themselves. If not disturbed in its growth, capital will increase more rapidly than population, and with its increase will be increase of éducation, and of all comforts, moral and physical.

## CBAPTER XIX.

I will now submit for the consideration of the reader, the conclusions at which I have arrived. They are-
I. That government was instituted for the protection of person and property.
II. That the best government is that which secures 'the attainment of the object, with the smallest tacrifice of freedom of action and of the produce of labour.
III. That where it is attained at the smallest cost, there is the most rapid accumulation of capital.
IV. That there is a tendency to the more rapid increase of capital than of population, when not prevented by human interferences.
V.: That the more rapid the increase, the greater will be the demand for labour, and the more rapid the increase of production.
VI. That the greater the amount of production, the larger will be the quantity for each individual member of the community, if equally divided; but the extent of the portion actually assigned to the labouring class must depend, first, upon the ratio which capital bears to population, and second, upon the extent of the demands for the support of government and the manner in which they are assessed.
VII. That the rate of wages depends on the ex-
tent of the fund assigned for the support of the labouring population compared with the number to be supported.
VIII. That high wages, or a large "fund for the support of the labouring class, in proportion to the extent of that class," are an infallible evidence of prosperity, and of the rapid increase of capital, and that the doctrine of Mr. M'Culloch in regard to high rate of profit is not borne out by the facts.
IX. That nothing is required to secure to the mass of the people in Europe, a rate of wages equal to that of the United States, but peace and tranquillity; security of person and property at small cost; permission to citizens or subjects to exercise their talents in such modes as they may deem most advantageous to themselves; cheap government, which, allowing them to enjoy nearly all the proceeds of their labour, and having in return their affections, is thereby rendered strong government.

Whether or not we shall ever see such governments in Europe, it is very difficult now even to guess, but it is to be hoped that by slow degrees, rulers will see that their interests and those of the people are the same. Whenever they shall do so, the reign of peace and freedom of trade will commence; population will increase at a more rapid rate than it has ever done, and every increase of population bringing with it new divisions of labour, will insure a higher degree of perfection, and a more rapid increase of the supply of the means of support; leaving the theory of starvation, and the commercial theory of "ships, colonies, and commerce," to be forgotten together. "National
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prosperity," says Mr. M'Culloeh, ""does not depend. nearly so much on advantageous situations, salubrity of climate, or fertility of soil, as in the adopting of measures fitted to excite the inventive powers of genius, and to give perseverance and activity to industry. The establishment of a wise system of public economy can compensate for every other deficiency. It can render regions naturally inhospitable, barren, and unproductive, the comfortable abodes of an elegant and refined, or crowded and wealthy population. But where it is wanting, the best gifts of nature are of no value; and countries possessed of the greatest capacities of improvement, and abounding in all the materials necessary for the production of wealth, with difficulty furnish a miserable subsistence to hordes distinguished only by their ignorance, bas barism, and wretchedness."

## CEAPTER XX.

I will add here a few words in regard to the dis. claimer, by Professors Senior and Whately, of any consideration of that which I deem the great object of political economy, and its chief claim to attention, viz the promotion of the happiness of nations. The former says, "it is not with happiness, but with wealth that I am concerned as a political economist;" and the latter speaks of the science as one "whose strict object is to inquire into the nature, production, and distribution of wealth, not in connexion with human happiness."

The political economist sees that a large portion of mankind are "poor and miserable." He sees that the disease with which they are afflicted, is poverty, and that the remedy for the evils under which they labour is to enable them to accumulate property, or articles having exchangeable value. He sees that the possession of a sufficient quantity of such articles will redeem them from the "miserable" state in which they are, and the object of his studies is to ascertain the causes which have prevented such accumulations in times past, and to point out the course' by which they may be promoted in future. As well might a physician called to a patient labouring under a disorder which rendered him "poor and miserable," assert that his object was only to remove the disease, not restore his patient to health, as the political eco-
nomist that his science had regard to the wealth, and not to the happiness of nations. Mr. Senior says truly, that " in fact wealth and happiness are very seldom opposed," and as evidence thereof, adduces the great increase of the duration of life in the course of the last fifty years, as a strong proof of great increase of comfort.

The object of the political economist is to ascertain what is the mode in which the labour of a nation can be applied, so as to enable the labourer to command the greatest amount of comforts with the smallest sacrifice. It is obvious that if he can devise a mode by which twelve hours of labour per day shall enable the workman to command twelve yards of cloth, instead of half that number, which he had hitherto had for an equal quantity of time, he renders him service. By doing so, he does not compel him to make any greater sacrifice of his ease than he had before done, but, on the contrary, enables him, if so disposed, to live as he had done, with half the labour; or to live better than he had done by the devotion of the same quantity of time. He has his option. Fortunately, the desire to improve his condition prevails to a sufficient extent to induce the great mass of mankind to continue their exertions, and with the surplus produce, add to the

[^73]list of their enjoyments. Every improvement in the condition of a people, tends to new improvements. Adam Smith says, that the best labourers are always to be found where wages are highest, the truth of which is fully proved by a comparison of those of Great Britain or the United States, with those of Ireland and Hindostan.

It is difficult to imagine a case in which the wealth of a community can be increased by measures tending to lessen the happiness of its members. Wealth may accumulate, even in Hindostan or Ireland, but the increase is not a consequence of the injudicious measures of the government. If it do so, it is because maladministration is not earried quite far enough to prevent it. The adoption of the measures recommended by the political economist could not fail to promote the increase of wealth, as well as an increase of happiness. If the absentee go abroad for economy; if instead of expending £ 5000 in Ireland, he live in Italy for $£ 1000$, and the balance be added to his capital, and judiciously expended by an agent in the improvement of his lands, or in the promotion of manufactures, (the only mode in which wealth can be increased by absenteeism, then absenteeism is decidedly advantageous, and tends to promote the happiness of the community by increasing the demand for labour, and its reward. It may, indeed, be asserted without fear of contradiction, that it is not possible to increase the wealth of a nation, without increase of happiness in the community, even if it consist of slaves. If the cotton planters, by economy and industry be enabled to accumulate capital, they
must find means of investing it, by which the demand for slaves will be increased, and the price will be raised. Their increased value then, operates as an additional reason for care and attention, and we find accordingly, that the situation of the slave does, as a general rule, improve with his increased value.

The ingenious author of numerous articles on various branches of this science, in the Quarterly Review, avails himself of this admission of Messrs. Se-' nior and Whately, and asserts, "that the amount of wealth in a country is no measure of its prosperity, understanding by that term the aggregate of comfort, ease, and happiness enjoyed by its inhabitants," and in proof thereof, adduces the following case:-

[^74]One error in this reasoning is the assumption, that
if the produce was not.exported, it would remain for the support of the agriculturists. Another consists in separating the landlord from the producers. The whole constitute but one community, and the mass of enjoyment is undoubtedly increased by the foreign trade. Suppose, however, that the trade did not exist, and that the.same quantity of corn first supposed to be exported, were consumed by the landlord in its original shape, would the situation of the inhabitants of $A$. be improved thereby'? 'Suppose, instead of corn, its inhabitants were employed in producing peaches and pine apples, which were consumed by the landlord, instead of wines and rich stuffs, how would their situation be improved? Suppose, instead of exporting it, it were sent to the capital, and there invested in piotures by Lawrence, Wilkie, or Leslie, would the inhabitants of A. benefit thereby?. Would any of these changes cause them to live in abundance, instead of penury? They would not. The whole income of the land owner might be spent on domestic productions, without the smallest increase of comfort to the labourer. What is to be complained of, is the manner in which the produce is divided.

The reviewer will, perhaps, allow us another supposition. 'Suppose the exchangeable value of the labour of the inhabitants of $A$. were doubled, in consequence of a great reduction of the rents, but that instead of applying the excess to the improvement of the condition of their families, the labourers were one and all to apply it to the purchase of gin, and spend all their leisure time in the consumption of it, would their situation be improved? The result would be the
same, a state of "extreme misery," and as good an argument might be made in the one case against the reduction of rents, because the additional sum left to the labourer, might, perhaps, be spent in gin, as against the foreign trade, because the proceeds of the corn might come home in wines and rich stuffs, to be spent by the land owner. यf the whole proceeds over what is required to support the people in the state described, be consumed, it matters little whether its consumption be the work of the labourer or the landlord. The result in either case is the same, as in both cases the growth of capital is prevented, and without it, there can be no improvement of condition.

Suppose the landiord, anxious to improve his income, were to look into "The Wealth of Nations," what would he find there? He would find advice to economize his expenditure; to let his capital increases to improve his estate; and to make good roads leading to his market, by which his revenue would be increased. Suppose he were influenced only by the desire to improve the condition of the inhabitants, he would find the same advice as tending to his own benefit, and to that of all connected with him. He would not find advice to erect hospitals or almshouses; or to distribute the surplus among the people, to enable them to idle away half of their time; but he woould be advised to invest it in such manner as would be profitable to himself; to conform to the dictates of an enlightened self interest; by which he must increase the demand for labour, and its exchangeable value, thereby offering an incentive to in-
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[^0]:    - Three Loctures on the Rate of Wagea; deliverod before the Univerrity of Oxford-Earter Term, 1830. By Naman William Eenior. Second edition: London: 1831.
    Lecture on the'Coot of obtaining Money; delivered before the Deivarsity of Oxiond, in Featar Term, 1899; by Nawan W. Elaiot. Lood 1630.

[^1]:    - During tho long peace maintained by Cardinal Floury, France secovared a litle; the insignifieant administration of this weak minintar proving that the rular of a nation may uchiove much good by abataining from the comminion of evil_-Sing, $p$.

[^2]:    - From e parmphlet recently poblimbed by Mr. Pratt, in relation to Eavinga Benky, it is frond, " that the fow countien which exhibit a filling of in the amount of their deposita are precinoly thowe in Which tradee'-mions and turneout have provilod to the greetent ers-

[^3]:    tent. Among parta of the counitry where unions appear to have floariched at the expenso of the savinge banks, we may enumerats Derbyshire end Darham, in the latter of which there has been a decrease of 917 out of 3651 accounta. Aa might natarally be euppowed, the watte of capital has occurred principally among the mallor and poor deporitons; the diminution in the number of ecoounts under $\mathbf{5 5 0}$, baing 719, and the decreaso in pame bolow $\mathbf{2 1 0 0}$, amounting to 850 of the entire 917.n

[^4]:    *The Edinbargh Review, Vol. 56, in a Review of Dr. Chalmen'n Political Economy; furnithen extracta from various workn on the nitiation of Scotland, nbout the middle of the last century, ahowing that the people were in the loweat state of wretchedncsas, and "often felt what it was to want food." Now thoy are univeraally well fed and well clothed, their cottages are comfortable; and they are all in the enjoyment of luxuries that'formerly were never tasted, even by rich proprietore.
    † Upwards of 20,000 of the depositora were agricultural labourern, who, there is reason to believe, were generally heads of familienReport Poor Latb Commiesionere, p. 229.
    $\ddagger$ "M. Peuchet, the ablest of French statistical writere, mayn, - Ils re mangent aujourd'hui plue de pain, plus de viande en France qu'autrefois. L'homme des campagnce qui ne connoissoit qu'une nouriture grossiere, une boisson peu saine, a aujourd'hui de la viande, du pain, du ble, du rin, du bon oidre, ou de la bierre. Lees denrées coloninless we cont repandues ausai dans lem campagnes dopaia l'augmentation de la richesso dee collivaleura.' If we turn to

[^5]:    agree to it by the prompect of advantage to their commerce. Such an arrangement would have ane good effect, that of throwing the burthene of the government upon the holders of property, who have boen accuatomed to ahuffle them off upan the unfortunate labourern, bat it would not refuce wagen in the manner supposed by Mr. P. Although the ultimite effect would be to raise wagea by reducing the cont of articles of consumption, it is not improbable that the first effect produced by such an arrangement might be to depress them. The two yours in which it is proposed that this assesmant ahould be paid, would probably be "yeare of confusion," urising out of the tranafor of property under circomstances little calculated to satisfy the ownere of it, or to give confidence to the trading community, and it is not improbable that the lom to the nation, arising out of auch a measore, would be greater than the whole amount raised by it. Lat Great Britain throw of the ahackles which interfore with the froe ection of her people, and the free enchange of her productions, and her debt will cemse to be of moment to her.

[^6]:    u War is a game, which, were their mabjecta wine, Kinga would not play ata"-Cowoper.

[^7]:    "The average annual wagen of labour in Hindostan aro from one pound to two pounde troy of uiver a year (from fourteen to twentycight dollarn). In England thoy are from nine pounde to fifteen pounde troy ( 8126 to $\mathbf{2 1 0}$-average 168 , or $\mathbf{2 3 5}$ ). In Upper Ct nade and the United Statan of America, they are from twelve pounda
    

[^8]:    - Beinemp p. $371 . \quad t$ thid. p. 379.

[^9]:    * Buinen p. 437.

[^10]:    - Buines pana

[^11]:    - Baineor pr 498

[^12]:    - Beinem p. 406. thid p. 940

[^13]:    - Buines, p. 208.
    tIbid. p. 509-Woter.
    $\ddagger$ Ibid. p. 379.

[^14]:    - Ure Philooophy of Manufictures, p. 362

[^15]:    - Baines p. 379.
    $\dagger$ The following romark, made by a Fronchman in relation to the Ingliah worknen, will perhape apply with groeter forcee to thow of.the United Statce.
    ${ }^{4}$ M. Roman, delogato from Alpace to the Commission of Inquiry, who has travelled in England to inspect our manufictures, esich, with much justice-m Il y an dats Pouvrier Anglaia, on eaptee de troisement du caractore Frençaio of du caractire Allemund, un mon lange do Saron et do Narmand, qui lui doana, en mome tempa, Pab tention of is vivacita' "-Baimec, p. 513

[^16]:    - Ropart of Commons Committeo, quoted by Baines, p 448.
    

[^17]:    - Ure. Philowophy of Manofecturea, p. 280.
    $\pm$ Ure p. 283.
    $\ddagger$ Ure p. $\mathbf{3 0 7}$.

[^18]:    - Ropart of Commona Committoc, quoted by Bainen, p. 142 - Ure p. 388.
    t Leadan Atheowam, July 4,1885

[^19]:    - Philowophy of Menufictures, p. 476.

[^20]:    - Of ane thoosand femalos in tho Lawrence Fectory at Lomelh thare are bat aleven who are marriod Thare ere nineteen widome.

    4 Tho following paragge from a statoment farmiabed by a geatioman who has echuge of one of the principal ereablinhmenta in Iowell, ehown a vory gratiffing atate of thinge. "There have enly occorred these instanowish which any apparently inproper connaxion or intin

[^21]:    - Browning'a Political and Domsatic Concition of Great Britain, pels

[^22]:    - Judging from the foilowing statement, wo might cuppose the rate of agricultural wagee fally equal to the desorts of the labourent.
    "Good ploughmen are not to be found. The labourera eay thoy do net care to plongh, because that iv a kind of work which, if noglected, will anbject thown to purishment, and if property done roquires constant attention; and the lade do not even wish to learn. Nine able-bodied young men were in the workhouse laat winter; such wat their charactor, that they were not to be trusted with threahing:"-Report of Poor Lasse Commistionere, p. 70.

[^23]:    - The following extract will ahow the difficulty that exists in introducing into England improvements in agricultural machinery.
    u Instances, novertheless, have been frequent, of farmers being obliged to use the ecy the instead of the sickle-Though the resorting to this instrument has, on all occasions, excited the ill will of the labourers to a very dangerous extent, for the ecythe is a mont powerful and efficient instrument, and it is thought that if brought thto nee would extingrish the ntual harvent earnings"-Repert Par Laso Commierionerb,-Evidence of Mr. Chadwiek.

[^24]:    - Indie; or Facter rubmitted to illurtrate the Character and Condition of the Native Inhabitanter By R. Aickarda, Pug, 2 pols 8vo. Lardon: 1859.

[^25]:    "The eharacters of the Mabrattas throughout all these transactions, have been that of the most rapacious planderers. Their predatory habite are quite proverbial, and their conquents were in a great meanure effected by laying waste the countries through which they pamed. When, therefore, it is considered, that in their first triumphe over the Mogala, they demanded and axacted, where they could, a chout, or fourth, of the revenuen; that they obtained from the Emparor, as before mentioned, a formal grant of this tribato, with power themselves to levy it on the disaffected provinces; that is, the vice royaltien which had shaken off the imperial antharity; the readoenmay judge of the atate of misary and oppresaion to which the inhnbifenter of these devoted countriea must have boen redsoed, who were thus aubject to threefald plunder and extortion; firat, of

[^26]:    "The reidences of the peasants are generally near together, in villages co distant from the extremitiea of the parish, an to maks thone extramitien very expensive to cultivate. The barns and other buildinge are neur them; these are, upon a ocale regulated by the meture of the elimsto, much more expensive to construct than in owr own, more agreeable, country. At present the lands, divided to each occupier in ecattered fragments over the wholo comman fieldes, receive erope according to their vicinity to the vilage, and thant part epproprinted to whoet, which is manured, in generally near to it. If those lande were parcelled out in eeparato farme, ecome allotmentu must be at a greet distance from the village. The eharea in soch cituntions might be, and in justice ahould be, comparatively large The expense of earrying manure, and of bringing the produse to

[^27]:    "The want of canals and navigable rivers in mont pate of the kingdom, compels the inhabitente generally to have recourse to the rouda for the coavegance even of the moat balty articien of marchandiso. The raw cotion is transported by hand from Hivre to Alstec, a distance of 440 miles, and the mannfictored articie in sent in carevane so Paris, upwerde of 400 miles. * * Though rich in minorals and regetable productions, all indostry is checked for wrus of means of axport, and by reeson of its amall internal connomption - - This atate of thinge in etrikingly portrajed by M. Cordiere oce of tho mont itilful of Prenoh engineors, in hin ablo week, "\$ire
    

[^28]:    - Quartarly Revien, Vol XLIII.
    † England, Vol. In P. 187, Londen odition.

[^29]:    

[^30]:    "In Germany, France, Belgiom, and Italy, the land tar never conntitutes lese than one fourth part of the public income, nor in ite rate in any of theae countries entimated at leas than ons fifth of the actaal rental. This laot has generally been ita rate in Fingland, In Great Britain (Ireland is exempted frem it altogether, instead of forming a fourth part of the public income, it forme about ane twenty-fifth part. The total rental of Great Britain at pretent, incloding tenemente and mines, as well at lands, or what would be mubject on the continent to what has been called by the French, the contritustion foncierre, is commonly eatimated at fifty millions, If therefara, the English proprietore of real property were to contribute an equal share of their renta with the continental mations, and indeed what the rate was generally fized at in early timen in Enghend itwel-they ought to contribute, not two milliong, but twolve milHons, which would then constitute, at in the ouse with thair maigh

[^31]:    - Scewntin Political Eocnomy. Valiv. p 57.

[^32]:    - Einatory of the Middie and Werking Clemea, by J. Weda, Loocens 1803, p. 545

[^33]:    - From a statistical acconnt of the Lordship of Pulavay and Kounkowola, in the province of Lublin, in Poland. "The aubjecta, when called to work with their teams on the astate, beyond the day of etipulated eorvice, recoive aix pence a diay for agricultural labour, (ploughing and sowing) and throe pence for othor manual work,

[^34]:    uThe greatar part of France, a ntill much greater portion of Genmany, and nearly the wholo of Prussia, Austria, Poland, and Rossia, prowent a wretched uniformity of cyetem. It is called the threo. courne humbendry, consisting of, Iat, one yeart clean fillow; 94,

    - Jsoob, Firat Report, p.3A

[^35]:    - Jacob, Second Repart, p. 140.
    + Mr. Jacob atatee that the cont of trensportation in Mochioslorghin in to great that a distance of $\mathfrak{S A} 0$ milos would be equivi to the whale value of the cormandecond Ryput, p 9.

[^36]:    $m$ The cultivated lands wase ardared to be clamed into dry, wet, and garden landa; ach wes then to be mearured field by field, and marked 1, 2, 3, ece. Bech fictd to contint of an much lind an oould be enilivated by one plough, and tho boundaries thereof to be marked and fixed by the morveyors. No deduction was to be allowed for land in a field shaded by groductive treea; but for the land ahaded by singrodscotive treen, a deduction wal made. Forte, uburbe, open villagen, conrt-yards of honsen, with the number and mpecies of treee in each, banke of tanks, riveri, nullahs, ravines, hillocks, moade, barren lands, wells, malt mounda, and topes or grovea, with the numpbar and specion of tsees in each, were all required to be particulasiand. In Palmire topee or grovee, the treen were ordared to be claned into malo and flmalo, young, productive, and ald or pat learing. The mame wha to be done in garden landa gevorally, talking

[^37]:    in In the despatchen of the Court of Directors to their governmente ebroad, anziety is uniformily expreased, leat thoir righs to participates scoording to unage, in the annaal prodace of the lande, shoald be cither limited or infringed. Fhome the commencement of the proost enatery, move eapecially, it has conutituted their main objoctiona to the Emonidery metcoment, Looking, en they moturelly dos to the

[^38]:    "The enterpricing man who has fled from the tyranny and pasparism of his parish, to some place where there is a demend and a reward for his services, is driven from a situation which suite him. and an employer to whom he is attached, by a labour rate, or aome other dovice againat non-parinhioners, and foroed back to his aetelomeat to reocive ar anm a portion only of what be wee carning by

[^39]:    - Extrects fram the Reports of the Poor-Lawa Commisaionerat
    ${ }^{4}$ Swaifham Norfolk. A woman in a neighbouring pariah had five illegitimate children, for which ahe wam allowed 10a. per week, and 6. for hermelf." Remanstrating with another, she repliea, 'I am not going to be disappointed in my company with men, to aave the periah.' This woman now receives 14k, a weok for her meven mastardn. Hud ahe been a zoidono with five legitimate childrem, ahe mould mat have receioed so such by fowr or five shillingen" It in

[^40]:    "The aystem of public charities, however honourable to the ho manity of a nation; requirea the wisent leginlative principlea nott to conspire with the poor-lawn to be deatructive of ite morals. Nothing oo nurtures virtue as the opirit of independence. The poor should be aeaisted undoubtedly-bus in what-in providing for thewasionm

[^41]:    - England and the Englieh, Vol. I. 4 . 231.
    t Ed. Rev. Vol. XXXI. p. 536,

[^42]:    - Lecturem on Wagen, p. 11.

[^43]:    4 In old timea, tho English law ponished a vagrant by cutting of his ear ; and, said the ancient law, "if he have no sars' (which meant, if the lew should have cobbed him of both), 'then he shall be branded with a hot iron; him city, town, or village being noreover arthorised to punish him, eccording to its diecretion, with chasining, beating, or otharwise.' The legialature, driven by the progreas of

[^44]:    to It has been hitherto the misfortune of that country, (India, to wee a rapid succession of short lived empires: the convulsions amidat which they were eatablished, have hardly subaided, before the people have begun to be harassed by the consequences of their weakness and decay. While any really efficient general government has oxisted, it has been the obvious interest, and asually the aim of the chiefs, to act upon some definite aystem; to put some limit to their own exactions; to protect the ryots, and forter cultivation by giving reaconabie security to all the interests concerned in it. The Mogul emperors acted in this spiritwhile exercising a power over the moil, which had no real bounds, but those which they proscribed to them. selves. But as the eropire grew feeble, and the aubordinate chieftains, Mahonctan, or Hindoo, began to exercise an uncontrolled powter in their districta, their rapacity and violence seem usually to havo been wholly unchecked by policy or principle. There was at once an end to all syatem, moderation, or protection; ruinous reate, erbitrarily impoeed, were collected in frequent military circuith, at the spear'a point; and the reaistance oflon aftempted in despair, was unaparingly puniahed by fire and alaughter.

[^45]:    - Joness on the Distribution of Wealth, p. 116.

    4 lbid p. 133.

[^46]:    - Jonee on the Distribation of Wealth. Appendix, p. 47. 4 Jonen on the Distribation of Wealth, p. 174

[^47]:    - Jonea on the Distribution of Wealth, pp, 40, 4].

[^48]:    - Josen on the Distribation of Wealh, pp, 69, 90. $t$ Ibid 174, 175.

[^49]:    - Incturen on the Meroentio Theory of Woukh, p. 76

[^50]:    a Since my lant letter, I have become acquainted with anme of the trealthy nativas, of whom I epoke, and we are jued returned firom pasaing the ovening at one of thair country housen, This is more

[^51]:    - Hebar'0 Travole in India, p. 299.
    + Ibid p $230 . \quad \$$ Ibid. p. 240 .

[^52]:    "To mum up all, amongit the moral and polition canoee of the formor flourishing state of trude, may be likewise pleced the Fiedom and prudonce of tho administration; tha intropid firmneme of the councila; the faithfthew with which treatios and engagementer were

    - In almont all coumtries, the condition of the great body of the peopio is poor and minorablem-lintre Politioal Eeonomys.

[^53]:    - u D'antres examineront pent etre si cen tazen out ott judiciensemeat placsent ai elles sont perguen avec l'economic convensble. II eufift ici d'obearrer que lee mannfacturee de laine, de soie, d’or at deargent, une foule d'antreen ont succombé aprese avoir lutto longteme contre la progremion do l'impok. La. Hollande n'e eavive du naufrage de me manufictures, que cellea que n'ont pan éte exponén a in concoarence doe antree nutionan"-La Richesse de le Kiollande, Vol. IL. p. 78.

[^54]:    - For the sbove freten I ans indobted to the Review of M. Que-
    
    

[^55]:    - "Fettered and oppressed in every way, as France was, under her despotic kingt, tho apirit of invention and enterprise could never rise to those high conceptions, which, of late yearn, have brought Bingland and Amorica to the aummit of proaperity. Manufacturera, placed under the nevere control of men who purchased their officen from government, and who, thorefore, exercised them with rapacity, could not hazard any improvement, without infringing the ewtablished rogulations, and running the riok of having their goode destroyed, burnt, or confiscated. In every trade, official regulatians presoribed to workmen the methods of working, and forbade devis. tion from them, under pain of the mont severe punishmenta. Ridiculous to wag, the framer of these rtatutes fancied ho underatood better how to cort and prepare wool, silk, or cotton, to spin throede, to twist and throw them, than workmen brought up to the trade, and whowe livelihood depanded on their talent.

[^56]:    - Economie Politiquo Chretienne. Par M. de Villenenve Bargemont Quoted in Foreign Quarterly Review, p. 89. Am, edit.

[^57]:    - Politional and Domentic Condition of Groat Pritain, by G. Browning. p. 48
    

[^58]:    - Foreiga Quarterly Reviev, No. XXIX. p. 86. Am. edit.
    + Ibid. p. 81.

[^59]:    - Procte-Vorbal de l'Arsembl6e generalo du Burean de Bienfin. mance du 5ma Arroudimement. Puris, 1834
    4 Pocsign Quartarly Reviow, No. XXIX. pp. 89, 90 Amo adit.

[^60]:    "The terrace-makers and labourers live very economically, not expending mare than from 16 to 17 eour per day; in the morning they repair to the low euting housen, called gargottes, where for 7 sous they get soup, and a plate of meat with vegetablea; their con-

[^61]:    - The effect of these regalations and restrictions, bounties and prohibitions, is well deseribed by the vine-growers of the department of the Girondo, in their petition to the French Chambern, "La ruine d'un dee plun importantes departements de la France; le detresse dees departements circumvoixins; le déperissement géneral du Midi; uno immenco population attaquee dans sea moyens d'existence!' wn

[^62]:    - Farsiga Quarterly Reviow, Vd. V. p. 80.

[^63]:    - Murray'z Pacy. of Geog. p. 1034.
    + Staunton, Fol. II. p. 143.
    $\ddagger$ Ibid.

[^64]:    - Gutalaffir I. 34
    t Ibid. I. 28.
    ! Murray'n Ency, of Gecg. 1032. 6 Ibid.

[^65]:    - Timkownki. Rusain Embany to China Vol. II p. 458

[^66]:    - Gutider. L $40 . \quad$ it Staunton. II. 107.

[^67]:    - Murray's Geography, p. 1039.

[^68]:    4 The higheat price of red wheat of the firm quality it Antwerp is 8 3-4 florins current the hectolitre, which is equal to 36s, 5d. the quarter, and the highest price of red wheat in London being 44 . the quartor, it follows that red wheat in 203 -8 per cent. dearer in London than at Antwerp.
    *The mean avarage of the prices of wheat of the firat quality at Hambargh, Amaterdam, Antwerp, and Stettin, is 288, 1d, the quar. ter, and the mean price of wheat of the first quality in Landon being 47e. the quarter, it follows that the mean price in London is 67 3-8 par cent highor then in the above mentioned places."-Times, Mareh 80, 1835.

[^69]:    * Foreign Quartorly Reviow, March, 1835.

[^70]:    - Rusaian Misaion to China, vol. II. p. 199.
    + Of thees $137 \frac{1}{1}$ are equal to a franc, or 181 centa.

[^71]:    * Bowring and Villien' Report

[^72]:    - 4From various criteria of prosperity we have a remarkable testimony to that of Ireland; for whilat the proportion of uninhabited houses is juxt the eame as in Scotland, that of the houses building is ona in 81 of thove inhabited, or exceoding above aloven por cent,

[^73]:    *."The great practical probiom involved in that part of the ucience which treata of the production of wealth, muat resolve itsolf into a disciusaion of the means by which labour may be rendered moat officient, or by which the greateak amouns of necessary, weefw, and detirable productu may be obtained voith the loast pomible oullay of lebour."-Principloe of Polis. Ecom p. 75.

[^74]:    "Lot ms auppose a country, $A_{1}$ to raise large quantities of corn by the labour of a body of agriculturiste, who, fram the condition on which alone they are allowed to cultivate the woil, have but a bare mbaistence left to them, and live in a atate of extrame misary. The corn remaining beyond their consumpiion, is the property of the lend owner, who exports it in exchange for loxuriee and rich stuffe for his own conmumption. Now, there can be no question that the total wealth of A. is increased by thir trado, becance for the weelth exported in the ehape of corn, wealth of greater value, in the ahape of laxuries, is imported. But is the trade which thus produces an increase of wealth in A. of a beneficial character? Doee it tend to increase the prosperity of the inhabitants of A. 1 Suppose the trade did not exist, and that the weme quantity of corn we firus mpposed to be exported, was conramed in maintaining the population of A. in ebundanca, instoad of penury; whatevar circomstancer occasioned this different atate of things, of a political or other nature, it is evident that the condition of the inhabitante of $A$. would be vantly muperior to what we muppoeed, than before, though the total amount of weallh passeased by them would be lese."-QwarRarly Reviero, Vol. LXVI. p. 48.

[^75]:    dustry, and an opportunity of rising from the wretched situation to which they had been reduced.

    There is no doctrine of political economy, the object of which is.not to pronnote happiness, and Adam Smith would have been perfectly justified in entitling his book, "Aa Ineumi hejo tas Nature and Cadsig of the Happiness of Natioss."

