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## INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES and foreign countries.

## .PART I.-THE MAKING AND USING OF INDEX NUMBERS.

## BY WESLEY C. MITCHELL. ${ }^{1}$

## THE AIM AND SCOPE OF THE PRESENT BULLETIN.

The aim of this bulletin is to make the index numbers of wholesals prices currently published in the United States and foreign countries more accessible, more intelligible, and therefore more useful.

To this end the leading series of index numbers, compiled by official bureaus, financial journals, and private investigators both at home and abroad are described in detail. The history of each series, the source from which quotations are taken, the number and description of the commodities included, the methods of averaging, the statistical results obtained, etc., are set forth as far as the facts could be learned, so that anyone wishing to use the figures in question may know how they are derived and what they mean. This systematic description of the series now being published is preceded by a critical analysis of the various methods employed to measure changes in the level of prices-an analysis which shows the advantages and the defects of these methods, the purposes for which the different index numbers may properly be employed, the reasons for the discrepancies which usually appear when two or more series for the same time and country are put side by side, the safeguards which are necessary in making comparisons between difforent series, and the confidence which index numbers merit as measures of price fluctuations.

## I.-THE HISTORY OF INDEX NUMBERS.

The honor of inventing the device now commonly used to measure changes in the level of prices probably belongs to an Italian, G. R. Carli. In an investigation into the effect of the discovery of America

[^0]upon the purchasing power of money, he reduced the prices paid for grain, wine, and oil in 1750 to percentages of change from their prices in 1500, added the percentages together, and divided the sum by three, thus making an exceedingly simple index number. Since his book was first published in 1764, index numbers are now 150 years old. ${ }^{1}$

It was in England, however, where practically the same device had been hit upon by Sir George Schuckburg-Evelyn in 1798, ${ }^{2}$ that the theory and practice of index numbers were chiefly developed. The generation that created the classical political economy was deeply interested in the violent price fluctuations that accompanied the Napoleonic wars and the use of an irredeemable paper currency from 1797 to 1821 . Several attempts were made to measure these flectuations, and in 1833 G. Poulett Scrope suggested the establishment .of a "tabular standard of value."

Interest in the study of price fluctuations lagged somewhat in the forties; but the great rise of prices after the Californian and Australian gold discoveries started fresh investigations. W. S. Jevons in England and Adolf Soetbeer in Germany gave a powerful impetus to the theoretical discussion and the practical computation of index numbers. The problem changed somewhat in form but received even more attention after 1873, when a prolonged fall of prices began. In the sixties the chief aim of investigation had been to discover the relations between the rise of prices and the increased production of gold; in the seventies and eighties the chief aim was to find the relations between the fall of prices and the restrictions placed upon the free coinage of silver. The weightiest theoretical contributions of this period were made by Prof. F. Y. Edgeworth, who served as secretary of a committee appointed by the British Association for the Adrancement of Science "for the purpose of investigating the best methods of ascertaining and measuring variations in the value of the monetary standard." "

The problem of price fluctuations did not enter upon its present phase until the world-wide rise of prices which began in 1896-97 had been under why for several years. After 1900, and more insistently after 1910, complaints about the rising cost of living became common in practically all civilized countries. Efforts to measure this increase as well as efforts to explain it multiplied. Index numbers are both

[^1]troublesome and expensive to compile, yet now in the United States not less than five series are currently maintained, four of them by financial papers. In England there are three important series; in France two; in Germany three; while the Governments of Italy, Denmark, Netherlands, Russia, Canada, Australia, and Japan publish official index numbers, and private investigators have made series for Belgium, Norway, Austria, Spain, New Zealand, and India. This list may well be incomplete even at present, and is almost certain to require additions within a short time.

Most of the series just mentioned have been established but recently. The oldest-that of the London Economist-was begun in $1869 .{ }^{1}$ Sauerbeck's English series dates from 1886, Conrad's German series inom 1887 (though in a sense it continues investigations made by Laspeyres in 1864), and Bradstreet's American series from 1897. Of the remaining index numbers regularly published at present, all date from years since 1899, and the majority from years since 1909. ${ }^{2}$

With this increase in numbers there has come an improvement in quality. The early index numbers were made by private investigators, at irregular intervals, from such price quotations as chance had preserved. As public appreciation of the importance of messaring changes in price levels has developed, the work has more and more been assumed by financial journals and Government bureaus. This shift has produced a greater measure of continuity in the series, as well as greater frequency, regularity, and promptness in the publication of the results. Even more important is the improvement in the character and the scope of the price quotations from which the index numbers are made. Whereas the individual investigator had to take what he could get in the way of data, financial journals and Government bureaus can collect those current prices that are best adapted for statistical treatment, and can give better assurance of the representative value of their quotations and the uniform quality of the commodities included in successive years.

This improvement in the quantity and quality of index numbers is as marked in the United States as elsewhere. Price quotations had been published with more or less care and systom by various newspapers and periodicals for many years before the first effort to compile an average of price variations was made. In 1881, Mr. H. C. Burchard, Director of the Mint, made an index number covering the years 1825 to 1880 from quotations that had been printed in certain reports of the Secretary of the Treasury, supplemented by quotations from a New York newspaper. But his data were of uncertain quality

[^2]and his series was allowed to lapse after 1884. ${ }^{1}$ After an interval of eight years, the Senate Committee on Finance authorized a more ambitious effort. Under the direction of Dr. Roland P. Falkner; the statistician of this committee, the (then) Department of Labor made a huge collection of price quotations, running back as far as 1840, and compiled an index number including more than 200 commodities for the years 1860 to 1891 , and 85 commodities for 1840 to 1891.2 But this also was a single investigation, and the United States. did not have an index number regularly maintained year after year. until the establishment of Bradstreet's series in 1897. A quasi continuation of the Senate Finance Committee's work, covering the years 1890-1899, was prepared by Dr. R. P. Falkner, and published by the Department of Labor in March, 1900. ${ }^{3}$ Another shortlived series was begun by Prof. John R. Commons and Dr. N. I. Stone in the Quarterly Bulletin of the Bureau of Economic Research later in the same year. ${ }^{4}$ In January, 1901, the second continuous American series was started by Dun's Review and gradually carried back to 1860 ; the third, covering the years 1890 to date, was added by the Federal Department of Labor in March, 1902. Other series of this type were begun by Thomas Gibson's weekly market letters in 1910, and by the New York Times Annalist in 1913.

This recent activity in the making of index numbers has been aocompanied by a rapid growth of the literature of the subject. Among the later contributions dealing with theoretical issues, the first place belongs to the work of an American scholar, Mr. C. M. Walsh. His great treatise upon The Measurement of General Exchange-Value, published in 1901, is still the most comprehensive book upon the subject. But the bibliographies that aim to cover the field now include hundreds of items, and to them must go the student who wishes a guide to further reading. ${ }^{5}$

## II.-THE DIFFICULTIES OF MEASURING CHANGES IN THE LEVEL OF PRICES.

It is a curicus fact that men did not attempt to measure changes in the level of prices until after they had learned to measure such subtle magnitudes as the weight of the atmosphere, the velocity of sound, fluctuations of temperature, and the precession of the equi-

[^3]noxes. Their tardiness in attacking that problem is the more strange because price changes had frequently been a subject of acrimonious debate among publicists and a cause of popular agitation. Long before the high development of the credit system and the wage-earning class practical issues of grave importance were raised by the instability of prices, as the disturbances created in sixteenth-century Europe by the inflow of American silver and gold abundantly show. Perhaps disinclination on the part of "natural philosophers" to soil their hands with such vulgar subjects as the prices of provisions was partly responsible for the delay; ${ }^{1}$ but after all a number of eminently "respectable" men wrote upon economic topics in every generation after the days of Columbus-to go no further back. Nor can the technical difficulties of the problem explain this tardiness; for the mathematical intricacy of index numbers, and even the necessity of allowing for changes in the pure silver content of coins, are obstacles far less formidable than those surmounted long before in other fields of research.
Probably the chief cause of delay was that averages of price fluctuations did not promise to command much confidence after they had been made. The quotations available for use by the early investigators were few in number and often of doubtful accuracy. Carli, for example, dealt with only 3 commodities; Shuckburg-Evelyn with only 12. About the vastly greater number of unrecorded price fluctuations the one firmly established fact was that they exhibited bewildering diversity. Under these circumstances, could an average made from a few samples be accepted as a reliable measure of changes in the general level of prices? And if averages could not be trusted, why trouble to devise a plan of making them? So writers upon prices long contented themselves with statements about the fluctuations of particular commodities, and with indefinite assertions that the purchasing power of money had changed little or changed much. So, also, when certain bold investigators did finally venture to make index numbers, no one was particularly impressed by the significance of their achievement.

This lack of faith in the validity of averages of price variations was overcome rather slowly, partly in consequence of improvements in business organization. The multiplication of commercial news-

[^4]1
papers and the more systematic keeping of private and public records provided a larger and more accurate body of quotations. Improved means of transportation made wholesale prices in the larger cities basic for many local markets. The grading and standardizing of commodities increased the number of articles which could be safely accepted as substantially uniform in quality from one year to the next. More important still was the discovery by statisticians that social phenomena of most kinds, though seeming to result from the uncontrolled choice of individuals, yet reveal a striking regularity when studied in large numbers. The demonstration that a formerly unsuspected regularity lay hidden in one set of numerical data after another encouraged economists to believe that the known price variations might after all be fair samples of the more numerous unknor:a variations. The general similarity of the results reached by different investigators using dissimilar data confirmed this faith. Thus emboldened, economic statisticians devoted much time to extending the scope and improving the technique of index numbers. And their growing confidence in the trustworthiness of their series was gradually imparted to the public.
To-day few, if any, competent judges doubt the validity of index numbers or the substantial accuracy of the results they show when properly constructed from carefully collected data. Indeed the danger at present is rather that the figures as published will be taken too absolutely as a complete representation of the facts about price fluctuations. It is therefore well to begin a study of index numbers, not by analyzing the finished series, but by inspecting the actual changes in prices from which they are made, and which they purport to summarize. In no other way, indeed, can the value and the limitations of index numbers be learned.

## III.-THE CHARACTERISTICS OF PRICE FLUCTUATIONS.

An excellent collection of materials for the study of changes in wholesale prices is found in the Bulletin of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, No 149. Here are given the average annual prices at wholesale of more than 230 commodities for a period of almost a quarter of a century. Comparison of the changes in these actual prices is facilitated by the publication of two series of relative prices for each commodity. One series reduces the quotations in dollars and cents to percentages of the average actual prices in the decade 1890-1899. The second series, which may be called "chain relatives,"
shows the percentage by which each article rose or fell in price each year as compared with the year before. ${ }^{\text {t }}$

A survey of these relative figures for the 230 commodities throws the diversity of price fluctuations into high relief. (1) During the 24 years 1890-1913 no two of the commodities quoted have undergone the same changes in price. Some articles have risen rather steadily in price and fluctuate on a much higher level in 1913 than in 1890; for example, rosin and crude petroleum. Other articles have fallen much more than they have risen and fluctuate on a much lower level at the end than at the beginning; for example, soda and wood alcohol. Some articles are steady in price, seldom changing from one year to the next; for example, bread and certain kinds of tools. Other wicles change in price every year, for example, cotton and pig iron. (2) In every year a considerable proportion of the commodities rise in price, a considerable proportion fall, and a somewhat smaller proportion remain unchanged. (3) The range covered even by the fluctuations from one year to the next is very wide. For example, in 1896 potatoes fell 54.6 per cent, while coke rose 41.5 per cent;

[^5]Cotton, upland, middling.

| Year. | Average price per pound. | Relstive price. | Per cent of increase( + ) or der crease (-) compared with preceding year. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Averast, 1890-1892. | 80.07768 | 100.0 |  |
| 1590................. | . 11089 | 142.9 |  |
| 1891.. | .08800 | 110.8 | $-22.4$ |
| 1892 | . 07688 | 99. 0 | $-10.7$ |
| 1893 | . 08310 | 107.2 | $+8.2$ |
| 1894. | -. 07002 | 90.2 | -15.8 |
| 1895. | . 072988 | 94.0 | - +4.2 |
| 1890. | . 07918 | 102.0 | $+8.5$ |
| 1897. | .07153 | 92.2 | $-9.7$ |
| 1898. | . 0590 | 76.9 | -16.5 |
| 1899. | . 06578 | 84.7 | $+10.1$ |
| 1900. | .09009 | 128.8 | $+46.1$ |
| 1901. | .08627 | 111.1 | $-10.2$ |
| 1902. | . 098382 | 115.1 | +3.5 |
| 1903. | .11235 | 144.7 | +25.8 |
| 1904. | . 12100 | 155.9 | $+7.7$ |
| 1905. | . 095553 | 123.1 | -21.0 |
| 1906. | .11025 | 142.0 | $+15.4$ |
| 1907 | . 11879 | 153.0 | +7.7 |
| 1903. | . 10403 | 134.8 | $-11.8$ |
| 1909. | .12107 | 156.0 | +16.7 |
| 1910. | . 15118 | 194.8 | $+24.9$ |
| 1911. | . 13037 | 168.0 | -13.8 |
| 1912. | . 11503 | 148.2 | -11.8 |
| 1913.. | . 12792 | 164.8 | $+11.2$ |

in 1899 wheat flour fell 20.2 per cent, while steel billets rose 103.3 per cent; in 1913 onions fell 38.5 per cent, while cabbage rose 58.5 per cent. ${ }^{1}$
Such extreme diversities as have been cited, however, give a misleading impression of chaos among the fluctuations. A just impression can be had only from some scheme of presentation which takes account of all the commodities at once. Table 1 is a first rough approximation toward this end. It shows for each year how many of the commodities quoted rose, remained unchanged, or fell in price, and divides those which rose and those which fell into six groups, according to the magnitude of their fluctuations.

[^6]Table 1,-conspectus of the ceanaes in wholegale prices in the united statye, by yearg, 1891 to 1013.
[Based upon the percantages of increase or hecrease in price irom one year to the next, given in Table II of Baliatin of the Unltad Stateq Burealu of Labor Statistles, No. 140, May, 1914.]

| Year. | Total number of comquoted each year. | Number of commodilies that fel in price. | Number of commodities that fell in price by- |  |  |  |  |  | Number or commodities did not change in price. | Number of commoditiea that rose in price by- |  |  |  |  |  | Number of commodities in price. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 50.0per cent or mors. | 20.0 to cont. | 10.0 to 10.9 per cent. | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0 \text { to } \\ & 9.9 \text { per } \end{aligned}$ cant. | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \text { to } \\ & 4.0 \text { per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less } \\ & \text { than } \\ & \text { 2. } 0 \text { per } \\ & \text { cont. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \text { to } \\ & \text { 4.9 per } \end{aligned}$ cent. | $\begin{aligned} & 6.0 \text { to } \\ & 9.9 \text { per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 10.0 \text { to } \\ \text { 19.9per } \\ \text { cent. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | 20.0 to 99.9 per cent. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60.0.0er } \\ & \text { cemitor or } \\ & \text { more. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1891. | 232 | 106 |  | 13 | 28 | 30 |  | 18 | 44 | 17 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 82 |
| -1892. | 232 | 140 |  | 11 | 48 | 39 | 27 | 16 | 37 | 10 | ${ }^{9}$ | 13 | 12 |  |  | ${ }^{56}$ |
| 1893. | 234 | 114 |  | $\stackrel{6}{6}$ | 70 | 4 | 34 | 15 | 22 | 4 | 6 | 2 | ${ }_{3}$ | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | 1 | 19 |
| 1895. | 237 | 138 |  | 10 | 35 | 41 | 40 | 12 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 12 | 13 | 2 | 77 |
| 1896. | 240 | 133 |  | 22 | 35 | 22 | 30 | 23 | 34 | 18 | 16 | 18 | 18 | ${ }^{6}$ |  | 73 |
| 1897. | 241 | 118 | 1 | 9 | ${ }_{16}^{22}$ | 35 | 27 | 24 | 31 | 12 | 20 | ${ }_{34}$ | 11 | 17 | 2 | 135 |
| 1888 | 242 | ${ }_{6} 3$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 7 | 12 | 18 | 10 | ${ }_{27} 27$ |  | 28 | 45 | ${ }_{36}$ | 26 | 14 | 169 |
| 18890. | $\begin{array}{r}242 \\ 242 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{36} 3$ |  | $\stackrel{1}{3}$ | 4 | 13 | 9 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 25 | 69 | 57 | 33 | 3 | 184 |
| 1901. | 242 | 128 |  | 10 | 40 | 32 | 35 | 11 | ${ }_{38}^{28}$ | 19 | 22 | 16 | 21 | 9 | 2 | 89 |
| -1902. | 242 | 61 |  | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }_{23}^{18}$ | $\frac{14}{21}$ | 12 | 16 | 38 | 120 | ${ }_{28}$ | 4 | ${ }_{29}^{29}$ | 12 | 1 | 148 |
| 1903. | 242 242 | ${ }_{108}^{82}$ |  | 12 | 24 | 22 | 28 | 20 | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | 27 | 32 | 28 | 10 | 16 |  | 113 |
| 1904. | 242 242 | ${ }_{89} 10$ |  | ${ }_{3}$ | 13 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 22 | ${ }_{22}$ | 26 | 37 | 31 | 15 |  | 131 |
| 1906. | 242 | 47 |  | ${ }^{5}$ | 10 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 28 | 13 | 31 | 52 | 52 | 19 |  | ${ }_{162}^{167}$ |
| 1907. | 242 | 148 |  | 23 | 50 |  | 30 | 18 | 32 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 4 |  | 65 |
| 1900. | 253 | 88 |  | 2 | 15 | 21 | 28 | 32 | 31 | 24 | 24 | 40 | 17 | 16 | 3 | 124 |
| 1910. | 253 | 81 |  | 3 | 10 | ${ }_{43}^{20}$ | $\stackrel{22}{30}$ | ${ }_{25}^{26}$ | ${ }_{31}^{26}$ | 12 | 33 16 | 14 | $\stackrel{25}{13}$ | ${ }_{10}^{22}$ | 3 | ${ }^{146}$ |
| 1911. | ${ }_{253}^{253}$ | 147 |  | ${ }_{6}^{18}$ | ${ }_{12}$ | 18 | ${ }_{25}$ | 19 | 36 | 21 | 27 | 34 | ${ }_{35}$ | 20 |  | 137 |
| 1913. | 252 | 84 |  | 12 | 14 | 16 | 23 | 10 | $3{ }_{30}$ | 28 | 38 | 30 | 27 | . | 2 | 133 |

A more significant presentation of the same set of price fluctuations is given by Table 2. To make this table a tally sheet was drawn up for each year from 1891 to 1913, on which the changes from prices in the preceding year were entered in the order of their magnitude, beginning with the greatest percentage of fall and running up through "no change" to the greatest percentage of rise. Then the whole number of recorded fluctuations for each year was divided into 10 numerically equal groups, again beginning with the case of greatest fall and counting upward. Finally the nine dividing points between these 10 equal groups were marked off in the percentage scale of fall, "no change," or rise. For example, the tally sheet for 1913 showed how the average prices of 252 commodities in that year differed from their average prices in 1912. One-tenth of thpe 252 commodities showed a fall of prices ranging between 38.5 per cent and 10.4 per cent, the second tenth ranged between a fall of 10.4 per cent and one of 3.7 per cent; the third tenth ranged between a fall of 3.7 per cent and one of 1 per cent; the fourth tenth between a fall of 1 per cent and "no change"; the fifth tenth between "no change" and a rise of 0.5 per cent, and so on. These dividing points ( -10.4 per cent, -3.7 per cent, -1 per cent, $\pm 0$ per cent, +0.5 per cent, etc.) between the successive tenths into which the data were split are called "decils." The midmost decil, which of course divides the whole number of observations into two equal groups, is called the "median." Table 2 presents the results drawn from the tally sheets-that is, the nine decils for each year, together with the percentages of greatest fall and of greatest rise from prices in the year before.


TABLE 2-CHAIN INDEX NUMBERS OF PRICES AT WHOLESALE IN THE UNITED STATES, BY YEARS, 1801 TO 1913.
[Thie decils are those points in the percentage seale of rise or fill in price which divide the whole number of price chanzes recorded each year into 10 equal groups. Dased upon the percentages of incrcase or of price chanzes recorded each year into 10 equa groups $n$ insed upon the tpercentages of incrase or
decrease in price from ons rear to the next, given in Table of Bulletin of the United States Bureau of decrease in price from onz cear to the
Labor Statistics, No. 149, May, 1914.]
( - indicates a fall; + indicatos andse; $\pm 0$ indicates "no change.")

| Year. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Great- } \\ \text { estit. } \\ \text { fatl. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1st } \\ \text { decil. } \end{gathered}$ | 2d decl. | $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{~d} \\ \text { decil. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4th } \\ & \text { decil. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mo- } \\ & \text { dian. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6tb } \\ \text { decil. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7th } \\ \text { decil. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8th } \\ & \text { decll. } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Pth }}{\text { decil. }}$ | Greatest rise. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Perct. | Perct. | Perct. | Perct. | Pouter | Perct. | Per | Patch. | Peret. | Por ct. |  |
| 1891 | -30.5 | $-13.2$ | -8.0 | - 4.8 | $-1.1$ | $\pm 0$ | $\pm 0$ | $+1.5$ | +5.0 | +15.3 | + 53.0 |
| $1 \times 92$ | -41.2 | -16.0 | -11.2 | -85 | - 5.4 | -3.1 | -0.5 | $\pm 0$ | +1.1 | + 5.5 | + 28.0 |
| 1593 | -27.5 -4.3 | - 11.9 | -8.0 | -5.5 | -2. 10.8 | $\pm 0$ | $\pm{ }^{ \pm} \mathrm{s} .0$ | $\pm 1.1$ | + 4.8 | $\pm 11.0$ | +39.1 +31.1 |
| 189 | -44.3 -38.0 | ${ }_{-14.0}^{21.4}$ | - 15.8 | -13.4 | -10.8 | -7.1 | $\pm{ }^{-5.0}$ | +3.3 | -1.3 | $\pm$ | +31.1 +61.9 |
| 1886 | -54.6 | -17.8 | -11.3 | - 7.5 | - 3.0 | -1.2 | $\pm 0$ |  | +4.3 | +10.2 | + 41.5 |
| 1 | -50.9 | -11.5 | - 7.2 | -4.4 | $-1.7$ | $\pm 0$ |  | +2.9 | +6.2 | +12.7 | +101.6 |
| 18 | -21.9 | - 7.0 | - 3.3 | - ${ }^{-1}$ | $\pm 0$ | +1.8 | +5.0 | 48.3 | +13.3 | +19.8 | +60.4 |
| 15 | -20.2 | - 3.8 | $\pm 0$ | $\pm 0$ | + 2.6 | +5.5 | +7.6 | $+10.6$ | +16.4 | +30.8 | +183.3 |
| 1900 | -29.2 | -3.6 | $\pm$ | + 3.2 | + 5.1 | +7.5 | +8.6 | +12.7 | +17.4 | +35. 8 | + 72.8 |
| 1901 | -42.6 | -15.0 | -10.2 | -8.1 | $-3.7$ | -1.5 | $\pm 0$ | +1.3 | +1.9 | +13.2 | + 53.0 |
| 1902 | -40.6 | - 7.4 | - 1.6 | $\pm 0$ | $\pm 0$ | +2. 2 | $+4.7$ | + 7.1 | +12.1 | +30.4 | +58.9 |
| 1903 | -33.7 | -12.6 | - 5.3 | - 2.1 | $\pm 0$ | +1.3 | +3.7 | +5.3 | +8.3 | +14.1 | + 37.1 |
| 190 | -43.8 | $-15.0$ | -7.0 | - 3.5 | $\pm .6$ | $\pm 0$ | +1.3 | +3.0 | +5.9 | +11.7 | + 39.9 |
| 1905 | -44.9 | - 7.6 | $-3.9$ | - 1.0 |  | +. 7 | +3.2 | +5.9 | +9.6 | +15.9 | + 46.0 |
| 1900 | -39.1 | -4.8 | $\pm 0$ | $\pm 0$ | +2.8 | +5.1 | +6.4 | +9.7 | +14.5 | +18.9 | +40.7 |
| 1907 | -43.0 | $-3.2$ | $\pm{ }^{0}$ |  |  | $+3.9$ | +6.8 |  | $+12.3$ | +17.6 |  |
| 1908 | -39.5 -29.8 | -21.3 | -16.0 | -10.8 | - 5.8 | $\pm 3.8$ | +1.9 | $\pm$ +5.0 | + 8.8 | +6.2 +16.0 | + +70.9 +70. |
| 1909 | -39.8 | - 7.7 | - 3.7 | - 1.1 | $\pm{ }^{ \pm}$ | $\pm 0$ +1.5 | +1.7 +3.6 | + 5.0 | + | +16.0 +18.6 | +78.1 +49.5 |
| 1911 | -47.4 | $-15.1$ | -9.8 | - 7.0 | $\pm 4.2$ | - 1.8 | $\pm 0$ | $\pm 0$ | +2.9 | $+11.6$ | +86.1 |
| 1912 | -36.1 | -6.8 | - 3.9 | - .5 | $\pm 0$ | +1.0 | +3.6 | +6.7 | +11.0 | $+17.7$ | + 48.2 |
| 1912 | -38.5 | -10.4 | - 3.7 | $-1.0$ | $\pm 0$ | $+.5$ | +2. 4 | + 4.5 | + 7.5 | +12.7 | + 58.5 |
| Aver | -38.0 | -11.0 | 6.2 | - 3.6 | - 1.4 | + . 6 | +8.3 | +4.3 | + 7.8 | +14.6 | + 57.0 |

Chart 1, based upon Table 2, gives a more vivid idea of these price fluctuations. It shows for each year the whole range covered by the recorded changes from prices in the preceding year by vertical lines, which connect the points of greatest rise with the points of greatest fall. These lines differ considerably in length,-which indicates that price changes cover a wider range in some years than in others. The heavy dots upon the vertical lines show the positions of the decils. One-tenth of the commodities quoted in any given year rose above their prices of the year before by percentages scattered between the top of the line for that year and the highest of the dots. Another tenth fell in price by percentages scattered between the bottom of the line and the lowest of the dots. The fluctuations of the remaining eight-tenths of the commodities were concentrated within the much narrower range between the lowest and the highest dots. The dots grow closer together toward the central dot, which is the median. This concentration indicates, of course, that the number of commodities showing fluctuations of relatively slight extent was much larger than the number showing the wide fluctuations falling outside the highest and lowest decils, or even between these decils and the decils next inside them.

The middle dots or medians in successive years are connected by a heavy black line, which represents the general upward or downward drift of the whole set of fluctuations. To make this drift clear the median of each year is taken as the starting point from which the upward or downward movements in the following year are mensured. Hence the chart has no fixed base line. But in this respect| it represents faithfully the figures from which it is made; since these figures are percentages of prices in the preceding year, a price fluctuation in any year establishes a new base for computing the percentage of change in the year following. The fact that prices in the preceding year are the units from which all the changes proceed is further emphasized by connecting the nine decils, as well as the points of greatest rise and fall with the median of the year before by light diagonal lines.- The chart suggests, and not inaptly; a series of bursting bomb shells, the bombs being represented by the median dots of the years before and the scattering of their fragments by the lines which radiate to the decils and the points of greatest rise and fall. ${ }^{1}$

Time is well spent in studying this ohart, because it is capable of giving the mind a more just impression of the characteristics of price changes than any other device. ${ }^{2}$ Themarked diversity of the fluctuations of different commodities in the same year-some rising, some falling, some remaining unchanged-the wide range covered by these fluctuations, and the erratic occurrence of extremely large changes are strikingly shown; but so also are the much greater frequency of rather small variations, the dense concentration near the center of the field, the existence of a general drift in the whole complex of changes, and the frequent alterations in the direction and the degree of this drift. . But if the chart is effective in giving these impressions, it leaves them rather vague. To render certain of them more definite, recourse must be had to the figures from which the chart was drawn.

These figures, already given in Table 2, enable us to measure the concentration of the mass of fluctuations about the center of the field. One way to measure this concentration is to compute the differences between the successive decils; that is, to find the range within which successive tenths of the fluctuations fall. This "range" is, of course,

[^7]a certain number of points in the percentage scale of change from prices in the year before. When this computation is made for the whole period covered by the table, we get the results presented in Table 3. As heretofore, the successive tenths of the fluctuations represented are reckoned by starting with cases of greatestfall in price and counting upwards to cases of greatest rise. The central division of the table shows that the average range covered by the fluctuations diminishes rapidly as we pass from the cases of greatest fall toward the cases of little change, and then increases still more rapidly as we go onward to the cases of greatest rise. The right-hand group of columns shows how the range increases if we start with the two middle tenths, take in the two tenths just outside them, then the two tenths outside the latter, and so on until we have included the whole body of fluctuations. The left-hand group of columns, on the other hand, combines in succession the two tenths on the outer boundaries, then the two tenths immediately inside them, and so on until we get back again to the two central tenths. Perhaps the most striking single result brought out by this table is that eight tenths of all the fluctuations are concentrated within a range ( 25.7 per cent) slightly narrower than that covered by the single tenth that represents the heaviest declines ( 27 per cent), and much narrower than that covered by the single tenth that represents the greatest advances ( 42.4 per cent).

TAble 3.-AVERAGE CONCENTRATION OP PRICE FLUCTUATIONS AROUND THE MEDLAN, 1201 TO 1913.
[Basod upon Trale 2. The fluctrations reprosant poroentage changos from avarage prices in the preceding year.]


Such results as these gain greatly in significance by being put beside corresponding results for other groups of statistical data. The best comparison to make, however, is one between the actual distribution of our price fluctuations about their average and a "normal" distribution of the same data-that is, a distribution according perfectly with the so-called "normal law of error." This law shows how phenomena are distributed about their average when the number of phenomena observed is very large, and when each phenomenon is the resultant of numerous independent factors, none of which is of preponderating importance. It has been found that many kinds of phenomena tend to conform rather closely to this normal distribution; for example, human heights, errors of observation, shots at a target, wage rates in different occupations, etc. ${ }^{2}$ When it can be shown that phenomena are distributed approximately in this fashion, their average can safely be accepted as a signifioant measure of the whole set of variations, since even the deviations from the average are then grouped in a tolerably definite and symmetrical fashion about the average.

With such a comparison in view we may treat each recorded percentage of rise or fall in price as an observation of the degree and direction in which prices vary from one year to the next. Taking all the commodities and all the years covered by the bureau's chain relatives, we have 5,578 observations for analysis. Table 4 shows how these cases are distributed along a percentage scale of rise or fall in prices which jumps two points at a time. The columns headed "number of cases" show how many price variations of the given magnitudes and directions occur, and the columns headed "proportion of ceses" show the same numbers in the rather clearer form of percentages of their sum $(5,578)$.

[^8]TABLE \&-DISTRIBUTION OF 5,578 CASES OF CHANGR IN THE WHOLESALE PRICES OF COMMODITIES FROM ONE YEAR TO THE NEXT, ACCORDING TO THE MAGNHTUDE AND DIRECTION OF THE CEANGES.
[Based upon the ohain relatives in Table If of Bulletin of tho Bureau of Labor Statistics, No. 149.]

| Rising prices. |  |  |  |  |  | Falling prioss. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per cent of change from tho average priee of the preceding year. | Number of cases. | Proportion of cases. | Per cant of change from the average price of the preceding year. | Nurnber of cases. | Proportion of cases. | Per cent of change from the average price of the preceding year. | Number of cases. | Proportion of cases. |
| 102-103.9 | 1 | 0.018 | 46-47.9 | 11 | 0.197 | Under 2. | 1405 | 7.261 |
| 100-101.9 | 1 | . 018 | $45-5.8$ | 10 | . 179 | $2-3.9$ | 1375 | 6.728 |
| 98-89.9 |  |  | 43-43.9 | 6 | . 108 | 4-5.9 | 329 | 5. 898 |
| 96-87.9 |  |  | 40-41.9 | 14 | . 251 | 6-7.9 | 1238 | 4. 268 |
| 94-59.9 |  |  | 38.39 .9 | 17 | . 3195 | 8-9.9 | 200 173 | 3.585 |
| 92-83.8 |  | ...... | $36-37.9$ $34-35.9$ | 18 | . 197 | - 10-11.9 | 173 1120 | 3.101 2.151 |
| 88-89.9 |  |  | 32-33.9 | 17 | . 305 | 14-15.9 | 107 | 1.918 |
| 80-87.9 | 1 | . 018 | 30-31.9 | 22 | . 394 | 18-17.9 | 75 | 1.362 |
| 8485.9 |  | . 018 | 2s-29.9 | 30 | . 538 | 18-10.9 | 75 | 1.273 |
| $82-83.9$ $80-81.9$ | 1 | . 018 | $28-27.9$ $24-25.9$ | $\stackrel{29}{47}$ | . 5343 | 20-21. 32020 20.9 | 45 | .807 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 80-81.9 \\ & 78-79.8 \end{aligned}$ |  | . 018 | 24-25.9 | 47 | -83 | $\xrightarrow{24-23.9}$ | 38 32 | . 694 |
| 76-7.9 |  |  | $20-21.9$ | 65 | 1.165 | 23-27.9 | 17 | .305 |
| 74-75.9 | 1 | . 018 | 18-19.9 |  | 1.308 | 28-29.9 | 27 | . 484 |
| 72-73.9 | 4 | . 072 | 16-17.9 | ${ }^{1} 102$ | 1.828 | 30-31.9 | 18 | . 227 |
| 70-71.9 | 3 | . 018 | ${ }_{\text {12-13.9 }}$ | 1100 | 1.000 2.062 | $32-33.9$ $3-35.9$ | 10 | . 179 |
| 68069.9 | $\stackrel{3}{4}$ | . 054 | $12-13.9$ $10-11.9$ | 115 167 | 2.062 | $34-35.9$ $38-37.9$ | 10 | . 178 |
| $66-67.9$ $64-65$. | 4 | . 072 | $10-11.9$ <br> $8-9.8$ | 167 1237 | 2. 9894 | $38-37.9$ $38-39.9$ | 7 5 | . 1200 |
| $62-63.9$ |  |  | 6-7.9 | 261 | 4.679 | 40-41.9 | 5 | . 090 |
| $60-61.9$ $58-59.9$ |  | . 1078 | $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ \hline \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{1} 3388$ | 6.352 6.364 | 4243.9 | 4 | . 038 |
| $58-59.9$ $50-57.9$ | 6 | . 1018 | Under 2.9 | 335 1410 | 6.364 7.350 | $44-45.9$ $46-47.8$ | 2 1 1 | .038 |
| $54-65.9$ | 3 | . 034 |  |  |  | 48-49.9 |  | . 018 |
| $52-53.9$ | 4 | . 072 | No change | 1697 | 12.484 | $50-51.9$ | 1 | . 018 |
| - $40-49.9$ | 5 | .080 |  |  |  | 54-53.9 | 1 | .018 |

Summary.

| ¢. | Number of crases. | Proportion of cases. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rising prices. No change... Falling prices | $\begin{aligned} & 2,587 \\ & 2,314 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48. } 021 \\ & \text { 13. } 49 \\ & \text { 41. } 485 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total. | 5,578 | 100.000 |

${ }^{1}$ Location of the decils.
Such is the actual distribution of the phenomena under analysis. To compare it with the "normal" distribution, we put these figures on a chart, which presents the facts clearly to the eye. Here the horizontal scale represents percentages of rise or fall in price, and the vertical scale represents the number of times each percentage of change is observed. The dotted line shows how our 5,578 cases would have been distributed had they followed strictly the normal law of error. The areas included by the unbroken line and the dotted line are equal.

There are three significant points to notice here: (1) The two forpns of distribution, the actual and the "normal," are of the same
type. (2) The concentration about the central tendency is greater in the actual than in the "normal" distribution; but on the other hand, the extreme variations diverge further from this central tendency in the actual distribution than in the other. ${ }^{1}$ (3) Unlike the "normal" distribution, the actual distribution is not perfectly symmetrical. Two closely related aspects of this difference may be pointed out: First, the outlying casas of the "normal" distribution

Chart 2-DINTRIBUTION OF 5,578 PRICE VARLATIONS (PERCENTAGES OF RISE or fall from prices of preceding year).

extend precisoly the same distance from the central tendency in both directions, whereas in the actual distribution the outlying cases run much farther to the right (in the direction of a rise in prices) than to the left (in the direction of a fall). ${ }^{3}$ Second, the central tendency

[^9]itself is free from ambiguity in one case but not in the other. In the "normal" distribution this tendency may be expressed indifferently by the median, the arithmetic mean, or the mode (the point of greatest density); for these three averages coincide. In the actual distribution, on the contrary, these averages differ slightly; the median and mode stand at $\pm 0$, while the arithmetic mean is +1.36 per cent. ${ }^{1}$ These departures of the actual distribution from perfect symmetry possess $\varepsilon$ certain significance; but, after all, they are minor qualifications of the important proposition; namely, year-to-year price fluctuations are grouped about their central tendency in a strikingly regular fashion.

This study of the actual distribution of price fluctuations from one year to the next will be found to throw light upon several problems presently to be faced in discussing the methods of making index numbers. For the moment we have use primarily for the demonstration that these fluctuations are highly concentrated about a central tendency. This conclusion strengthens the hope that we may make measurements of price fluctuations that fairly represent the net resultant of all the changes, miscellaneous as they seem to be. For properly constructed averages have clearly a better chance of being representative and significant when the phenomena for which they stand have a strongly marked central tendency about which deviations are symmetrically grouped than when the phenomena are irregularly scattered over their range.

But it must be remembered, and with the reminder doubt reenters, that the variations just analyzed are percentages of increase or decrease from the prices of the year before. Most index numbers, however, attempt to measure price fluctuations, not with reference to the preceding year, but with reference to a period considerably more remote. For example, the Bureau of Labor Statistics measures prices in 1913 in terms of average prices in the decade 1890-1899. Are price variations computed in this manner highly concentrated around their central tendency like the price variations with which we have been dealing ?

Chart 3 answers this question emphatically in the negative. It represents the distribution of the price variations of 241 commodities quoted by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the year 1913. ${ }^{2}$ These variations are computed in two ways: (1) as percentages of rise or fall from the prices of 1912; (2) as percentages of rise or fall from

[^10]Celat 3.-distribution of the price variations of 21 commodities in 1913 (Percentages of rise or fall in prices).

the average prices of 1890-1899. Of course the first set of variations corresponds in character to the variations represented above in Chart 2. The distribution of these variations, shown by the area inclosed by the unbroken line, is similar in type to the actual distribution in Chart 2; although it is less regular-a difference to be expected, since the number of observations is only 241 here as against 5,578 there. But the distribution of the second set of variations (percentages of change from the average prices of 1890-1899) as represented by the area inclosed within the dotted line belongs to a different type. It has no pronounced central tendency; it shows no high degree of concentration around the arithmetic mean ( +30.4 per cent) or median ( +26 per cent). It is more like an oblong than like the bell-shaped normal curve; it has a range between the greatest fall ( 52.2 per cent) and greatest rise ( 234.5 per cent) so extreme that two of the cases could not be represented on the chart; and its probable deviation is five times as great as that of the corresponding variations from 1912 prices-18.5 points as against 3.6. ${ }^{1}$

Price variations, then, become dispersed over a wider range and less concentrated about their mean as the time covered by the variations increases. The cause is simple: With some commodities the trend of successive price changes continues distinctly upward for years at a time; with other commodities there is a consistent downward trend; with still others no definite long-period trend appears. In any large collection of price quotations covering many years each of these types, in moderate and extreme form, and all sorts of crossings among them, are likely to occur. As the years pass by the commodities that have a consistent trend gradually climb far above or subside far below their earlier levels, while the other commodities are scattered between these extremes. Thus the percentages of variation for any given year gradually get strung out in a long, thin, and irregular line, without a marked degree of concentration about any single point.

The consequence is that the measurement of price fluctuations becomes difficult in proportion to the length of time during which the variations to be measured have continued. In other words, the farther apart are the dates for which prices are compared, the wider is the margin of error to which index numbers are subject, the greater the discrepancies likely to appear between index numbers made by different investigators, the wider the divergencies between the averages and the individual variations from which they are computed, and the larger the body of data required to give confidence in the represeatative value of the results.

From this preliminary survey of the characteristics of price fluctuations it appears (1) that year-to-year changes in the price level

[^11]
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 BULLETIN OF TEE BUBEAU OF LABOR STATISTICS.can b'e measured with good prospects of success, because such variations show a symmetrical distribution and a marked degree of concentration about their central tendency; but (2) that measurements of variations between years far apart have a more problematical value. The practical question whether the index numbers in current use can be trusted, then, may have two answers. Perhaps they give results that are reliable as between successive years, and at the same time doubtfyl for dates between which 10,20 , or 50 years have intervened.

The best way to test the reassuring conclusion about index numbers for successive years and to resolve the disturbing doubt about index numbers covering long periods is to compare different series of index numbers that purport to measure price changes in the same country during the same time. If the results turn out to be consistent with one another our faith will be confirmed. If the results are not consistent we must find a valid reason for the discrepancies, or become skeptical about the present methods of measuring changes in the price level.

When this test is applied, the first impression is unfavorable. For example, the five currently published American index numbers show the following results for 1912 and 1913:

| Year. | Burean of Labor Statisticy'index number. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Bradstreet's } \\ \text { index } \\ \text { namber. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Annalist index number. | Gihson's index number. | Dun's index number. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1912 . \\ & 1918 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 133.6 \\ & 135.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.1867 \\ 9.2076 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 143.25 \\ & 139.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.6 \\ & 68.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 124.44 \\ 130.99 \end{gathered}$ |
| Changes....... | +1.6 | +.0209 | $-3.27$ | -4.5 | -3. 56 |

Here no two of the series are as closely consistent with each other as one could wish. On the contrary, the five series disagree not only as to the degree but also as to the direction of the change in prices. And this is a comparison between successive years, where measurements should be especially accurate.

Such offhand comparisons as the above, however, are not fair, and the conclusion they suggest as to the unreliability of index numbers can not be accepted without further study, for these various index numbers mean different things. They do not all undertake to measure the same quantity, hence they do not all employ the same methods, and hence the discrepancies among their results may reveal no real inconsistency. No valid comparison of index numbers can be made, indeed, without a careful examination of what is measured and how the measurement is made. Such an examination accordingly we must make before we can satisfy our minds upon the question whether index numbers yield trustworthy resulte.

## IV.-VARIETIES OF METHODS USED IN MAKING INDEX NUMBERS.

Making an index number involves several distinct operations: (1) Defining the purpose for which the final results are to be used; (2) deciding the numbers and kinds of commodities to be included; (3) determining whether these commodities shall all be treated alike or whether they shall be weighted according to their relative importance; (4) collecting the actual prices of the commodities chosen, and, in case a weighted series is to be made, collecting also data regarding their relative importance; (5) deciding whether to measure the average variations of prices or the variations of a sum of actual prices; (6) in case average variations are to be measured, choosing the base upon which relative prices shall be computed; and (7) settling upon the form of average to be struck.

At each one of these successive steps choice must be made among alteraatives that range in number from two to thousands. The possible combinations among the alternatives chosen are indefinitely numerous. Hence there is no assignable limit to the possible varieties of index numbers, and in practice no two of the known series are exactly alike in construction. To canvass even the important variations of method actually in use is not a simple task.

## 1. THE RELATIONS BETWEEN METHODS AND USES.

The first step, framing a clear idea of the ultimate use of the results, is most important, since it affords the clue to guide the compiler through the labyrinth of subsequent choices. It is, however, the step most frequently omitted.

When the end in view is specific and capable of precise statement the problem of choosing methods is comparatively simple. Straightforward logic then determines what commodities should be included, what sources of quotations should be drawn upon, and how the original data should be worked up to give the most significant results. Puzzles a-plenty are left, but most of them are limited to finding the best compromise between what logic marks out as desirable and what is feasible in view of the time and money at the investigator's disposal.

Few of the widely-used index numbers, however, are made to serve one special purpose. On the contrary, most of them are "generalpurpose" ${ }^{3}$ series, designed with no aim more definite than that of measuring changes in the price level. Once published they are used for many ends-to show the depreciation of gold, the rise in the cost of living, the alternations of business prosperity and depression, and the allowance to be made for changed prices in comparing estimates cl national wealth or private income at different times. They are
cited to prove that wages ought to be advanced or kept stable; that railway rates ought to be raised or lowered; that "trusts" have manipulated the prices of their products to the benefit or the injury of the public; that tariff changes have helped or harmed producers or consumers; that immigration ought to be encouraged or restricted; that the monetary system ought to be reformed; that natural resources are being depleted or that the national dividend is growing. They are called in to explain why bonds have fallen in price and why interest rates have risen, why public expenditures have increased, why social unrest prevails in certain years, why farmers are prosperous or the reverse, why unemployment fluctuates, why gold is being imported or exported, and why political "landslides" come when they do.

The compiler of a general-purpose index number, then, can not foresee to what uses and misuses his figures will be put. For each of the legitimate uses he might conceivably devise an appropriate series. But he can not conceivably devise a single series that will serve all uses equally well. For the very qualities that make an index number good, say, for the man of affairs concerned with the business outlook, may make it bad for other men interested in the fortunes of farmers, in the effects of the tariff, in the relation between gold output and prices, in comparing changes in price levels in different countries, etc. The day has not yet come when the uses of index numbers are sufficiently differentiated and standardized to secure the regular publication of numerous special-purpose series. Until that day does come the making of general-purpose series will continue and the makers will go on choosing their methods perforce on rather vague and general grounds. So long also must most of the users of index numbers put up with figures imperfectly adapted to their ends.

The critical student of contemporary index numbers is in the same uncomfortable position as the compiler. He has no single rule of right and wrong to apply in judging the different general-purpose series, for methods that are legitimate for certain uses are questionable for others. Nevertheless, it is futile (though not uncommon) for him to discuss methods without reference to uses, since a statistical method has neither merits nor defects except as a means to certain ends. The one course that is open to him is to invert the problem. Instead of studying methods in the light of uses, he must study uses in the light of methods. That is, he must anglyze the effect of the different methods followed in practice and so determine what the resulting figures mean and the uses to which they may properly be put.

The following discussion proceeds upon this plan. It deals primarily with the popular general-purpose series and endeavors to show how
the various methods used in constructing these index numbers determine the uses to which they are severally adapted.

## 2. COLLLECTING AND PUBLISHING THE ORIGNAL QUOTATIONS.

The reliability of an index number obviously depends upon the judgment and the accuracy with which the original price quotations were collected. This field work is not only fundamental, it is also laborious, expensive, and perplexing beyond any other part of the whole investigation. Only those who have tried to gather from the original sources quotations for many commodities over a long series of years appreciate the difficulties besetting the task. The men who deal with data already published are prone to regard all this preliminary work as a clerical compilation requiring much industry but little skill. To judge from the literature about index numbers, one would think that the difficult and important problems concern methods of weighting and averaging. But those who are practically concerned with the whole process of making an index number from start to finish rate this office work lightly in comparison with the field work of getting the original data.

We commonly speak of the wholesale price of articles like pig iron, cotton, or beef as if there were only one unambiguous price for any one thing on a given day, however this price may vary from one day to another. In fact there are many different prices for every great staple on every day it is dealt in, and most of these differences are of the sort that tend to maintain themselves even when markets are highly organized and competition is keen. Of course varying grades command varying prices, and so as a rule do large lots and small lots; for the same grade in the same quantities, differont prices are paid by the manufacturer, jobber, and local buyer; in different localities the prices paid by these various dealers are not the same; even in the same locality different dealers of the same class do not all pay the same price to everyone from whom they buy the same grade in the same quantity on the same day. To find what really was the price of cotton, for example, on February 1, 1915, would require an elaborate investigation, and would result in showing a multitude of different prices covering a considerable range.

Now the field worker collecting data for an index number must select from among all these different prices for each of his commodities the one or the few series of quotations that make the most representative sample of the whole. He must find the most reliable source of information, the most representative market, the most typical brands or grades, and the class of dealers who stand in the most influential position. He must have sufficient technical knowledge to be sure that his quotations are for uniform qualities, or to
make the necessary adjustments if changes in quality have occurred in the markets and require recognition in the statistical office. He must be able to recognize anything suspicious in the data offered him and to get at the facts. He must know how commodities are made and must seek comparable information concerning the prices of raw materials and their manufactured products, concerning articles that are substituted for one another, used in connection with one another, or turned out as joint products of the same process. He must guard against the pitfalls of cash discounts, premiums, rebates, deferred payments, and allowances of all sorts. And he must know whèther his quotations for different articles are all on the same basis, or whether concealed factors must be allowed for in comparing the prices of different articles on a given date.
Difficult as it is to secure satisfactory price quotations, it is still more difficult to secure satisfactory statistics concerning the relative importance of the various commodities quoted. What is wanted is an accurate census of the quantities of the important staples, at least, that are annually produced, exchanged, or consumed. To take such a census is altogether beyond the power of the private investigators or even of the Government bureaus now engaged in making index numbers. Hence the compilers are forced to confine themselves for the most part to extracting such information as they can from statistics already gathered by other hands and for other purposes than theirs. In the United States, for example, estimates of production, consumption, or exchange come from most miscellaneous sources: From the Department of Agriculture, the Census Office, the Treasury Department, the Bureau of Mines, the Geological Survey, the Internal Revenue Office, the Mint, associations of manufacturers or dealers, trade papers, produce exchanges, traffic records of canals and railways, etc. The man who assembles and compares estimates made by these various organizations finds among them many glaring discrepancies for which it is difficult to account. Such conflict of evidence when two or more independent estimates of the same quantity are available throws doubt also upon the seemingly plausible figures coming from a single source for other articles. To extract acceptable results from this mass of heterogeneous data requires intimate familiarity with the statistical methods by which they were made, endless patience, and critical judgment of a high order, not to speak of tactful diplomacy in dealing with the authorities whose figures are questioned. The keenest investigator, after long labor, can seldom attain more than a rough approximation to the facts. Yet it is only by critical use of the data now available that current index numbers can be weighted, and the best hope of
improving weights in the future lies in demonstrating not only the imperfections of our present statistics of production, consumption, and exchange, but also the importance of making them better.

When all this preliminary work has been done, the original quotations and the weights should be published at length. Unfortunately, many compilers of index numbers publish only the final results of their computations, upon the ground of expense or lack of interest in the detailed information. But much is sacrificed by taking this easy course. First, the reputation of the index number itself is compromised, and deservedly. No one can really test whether a series is accurately compiled from representative quotations unless the data and their sources are given in full. Second, and more important, the publication of actual quotations greatly extends the usefulness of an investigation into prices. Men with quite other ends in view than those of the original compilers can make index numbers of their own adapted to their peculiar purposes if provided with the original data.

Nor is the importance of such unplanned uses to be rated lightly. If we are ever to make the money economy under which we live highly efficient in promoting social welfare we must learn how to control its workings. What wares our business enterprises produce and what goods our families consume are largely determined by existing prices, and the production and consumption of goods are altered by every price fluctuation. What we waste and what we save, how we divide the burden of labor and how we distribute its rewards, whether business enjoys prosperity or suffers depression, whether debts of long standing become oasier or harder to pay-all these and many other issues turn in no small measure upon what things are cheap and what are dear, upon the maintenance of a due balance within the system of prices, upon the upward or downward trend of the price changes that are always taking place. But if the prices of yesterday are powerful factors in determining what we shall do and how we shall fare to-day, what we do and how we fare to-day are powerful factors in determining what prices shall be to-morrow. If prices control us, we also control them. To control them so that they shall renot favorably upon our economic fortunes we need more insight than we have at present. It is, then, one of the great tasks of the future to master the complicated system of prices which we have gradually developed-to find how prices are interconnected, how and why they change, and what consequences each change entails. For when men have learned these things they will be vastly more skillful in mending what they find amiss in economic life, and in reenforcing what they find good. As yet our
-knowledge is fragmentary and uncertain. But of all the efforts being made to extend it none is so certain to prove fruitful as the effort to record the actual prices at which large numbers of commodities are bought and sold. For such data are the materials with which all investigators must deal, and without which no bits of insight can be tested. Indeed, it is probable that long after the best index numbers we can make to-day have been superseded, the data from which they were compiled will be among the sources from which men will be extracting knowledge which we do not know enough to find.

## 3. MARKET PRICES, CONTRACT PRICES, AND IMPORT-EXPORT VALUES.

All the American index numbers are made from "market prices." These prices are ustally obtained directly from manufacturers, selling agents, or wholesale merchants; from the records of produce exchanges and the like; or from trade journals and newspapers which make a specialty of market reporting in their respective fields.

Several of the important foreign index numbers are made wholly or partly from "import and export values"; that is, from the average prices of important articles of merchandise as officially declared by the importing or exporting firms, or as determined by govermmental commissions. For example, Soetbeer's celebrated German series, and the British Board of Trade's official series are made mainly from such material, and the official French series was made wholly from import values until 1911 .

A third source of quotations often drawn upon in Europe is the "contract prices" paid for supplies by such institutions as hospitals, normal schools, poorhouses, army posts, and the like. The official Italian series, Alberti's series for Trieste, and Levasseur's French series are examples.

These three classes of quotations-market prices, import and export values, and-contract prices-usually differ somewhat, not only with respect to the prices prevailing on a given date, but also with respect to the degree of change from time to time. Accordingly it is desirable to inquire into the several advantages possessed by each source of quotations.

Contract prices may be set aside promptly, because index numbers made from them have a limited range of usefulness. Though the institutions whose records are drawn upon often make purcheses on a considerable scale, yet the common description of their contract rates as "semiwholesale" prices points to the peculiar and therefore unreprasentative character of suoh data. Moreover, there is
often more doubt about the strictly uniform character of the supplies furnished to these institutions than about the uniformity of the standardized goods which are usually quoted ir the market reports. If the aim of the investigation is to find the average variations in the cost* of supplies to public institutions, doubtless contract prices are the best data to use. But if the aim is to measure the average variations in the wholesale prices paid by the business world at large, then market prices are distinctly the better source. Indeed, contract prices are seldom used for the latter purpose except when well-authenticated market quotations can not be had.
The theory on which import and export values are sometimes preferred to market prices is that the former figures show more nearly the variations in the prices actually paid or received by a country for the great staples which it buys and sells than do market quotations for particular brands or grades of these commodities. For example, England buys several different kinds of cotton in proportions that vary from year to year. A.price obtained by dividing the total declared values of all the cotton consigaments imported by their total weight will show the average cost per pound actually paid by Englishmen for cotton with more certainty than will Liverpool market quotations for a single grade of cotton like "Middling American"provided always that the "declared values" are trustwonthy. Now, if the aim of the investigation is to find out the variations in , the average prices paid or received for staples-irrespective of minor changes in their qualities-then the preference for import and export values is clearly justified, again granted the trustworthiness of the returns. But if the aim is to measure just one thing-the average variation in prices-market prices for uniform grades are clearly better data. For index numbers made from import and export values measure the net resultant of two sets of changes, and one can not tell from the published figures what part of the fluctuations is due to changes in prices and what part is due to changes in the qualities of the goods bought and sold.
As might be expected, import and export seríes generally pursue a more even course than market-price series. But this difference may be due less to the sources from which the quotations are obtained than to differences in the lists of commodities used. Fortunately, we can arrange a more certain test than any of the common series provide. In 1903 the British Board of Trade published the average import or export prices of $\mathbf{2 5}$ commodities for which Mr. Sauerbeck
has published market prices. ${ }^{1}$ Index numbers made from these two sets of data for the same commodities for the years 1871 to 1902 are given in Table 5. The results confirm the expectation: As compared with the import and export index number, the market-price index number starts on a higher level in 1871, falls to a lower point during the middle nineties, rises to a higher level in 1900, and again drops to as low a level in 1902.

TABLE 5.-COMPARISON OF INDEX NUMBERS MADE FROM TMPORT AND EXPORT VALUES WITH INDEX NUMBERS MADE FROM THE MARKE'T PRICEG OF THE SAME COMMODITIES, BY YEARS, 1871 TO 1902.
[Data from the British Board of Trade and from Sauerbeck.]
(Arithmetic meanim of redative prices. Avergge prices in 1890-1899-100. 25 commoditits.)


[^12]| Commodity. | Quotations given by Board of 'Crade. | Brands quoted by Sauerbeck. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bacon....... | A verage import values | Waterforct, |
| Barley...... | ...do................- | English Gaxett? |
| Coal.... | A verageexport values. | Wallsend, 1letton, in London. |
| Coffee. | Average import values | Rio, good channel. |
| Copper. | .....do. | Chile bars. |
| Colton. | do | Middting American. |
| Flax. | .... do................. | St. Petersburg. |
| Fides | do................. | River Plata, dry. |
| Iron, pla | A verage export values. | Scotch pig. |
| Jute | A vrruge import values | Grod medtum. |
| Lead. | ..... do | English pig. |
| Inseed | do | I.finsed. |
| Maize. | do | Ancerican mixed. |
| Oats. | do | English Gaxette. |
| Oll, olve. | do. | Olive oil. |
| Oll, palm. | do | Palm oil. |
| Petroleum. | do. | Jetralcum, refined. |
| Rice... | . do. | Raugoon, eargoes to arrive. - |
| Silk........ | do | Tsatlee. |
| Sugar, reflned. | do | Java, floating eargoes. |
| Tea. | do | Congou, common. |
| W beat | do | Euglich Gacettr. |
| Wool. | Average export values. | Merino, Adelaide, averagegrease. English, Lincoln, half hogs. |

CHART4.-INDEX NUMEERS MADE FROM TEE MAREET PRICES AND FRON TEE TMPORT
AND EXPORT VALUES OF IDENTICAL LISTS OF COMMODITIES. ENGLAND, 1871-1800. (BABED ON TABLE 6.)

$94261^{\circ}-$ Bull. $178-15-3$

## 4. RELATIVE VERSUS ACTUAL PRICES.

In February, 1864, Hunt's Merchants' Magazine published the following statement to show how rapidly prices rose after the suspension of specie payments in December, 1861, and the issue of the irredeemable United States notes. ${ }^{1}$ These figures are the total prices of 55 articles quoted by their customary commercial units.

Value of 55 leading articles of New York commerce.

| January, 1862. | \$804 |
| :---: | :---: |
| April, 1862. | 844 |
| January, 1863. | 1,312 |
| March, 1863. | 1,524 |
| July, 1863. | 1,324 |
| October, 1863. | 1,455 |
| January, 1864. | 1,693 |

For example, in January, 1862, coal oil is entered as 30 cents per gallon and pig iron as $\$ 24$ per ton; molasses is entered as $42 \frac{1}{2}$ cents per gallon and whalebone as $\$ 69$ per ton; oats is entered as $\mathbf{3 8}$ cents per bushel and corn as $\$ 59.25$ per hundred bushels, etc. ${ }^{2}$

Clearly, this simple method of measuring changes in the price level by casting sums of actual prices is not trustworthy. For a relatively slight fall in the quotation for whalebone would affect the total, as Hunt's Merchants' Magazine computes it, much more than a relatively enormous increase in the price of molasses. The fact that corn happens to be quoted by the hundred bushels makes a 1 per cent change from its price in January, 1862, equal to a 43 per cent change in the price of wheat and to a 156 per cent change in the price of oats, both of which are quoted by the bushel.
It was to avoid such patent absurdities that Carli threw his actual prices of grain, wine, and olives in 1750 into the form of percentages of rise or fall from their prices in 1500, and then struck the average of the three percentages. When this operation is performed it makes no difference whether the commodities are quoted by large or by small units. The obvious common sense of this precedent has caused it to be followed or reinvented by most makers of index numbers to this day-with one slight modification. To avoid the awkwardness of the plus and minus signs necessary to indicate whether prices have advanced or receded, it is usual to substitute for percentages of rise or fall relative prices on the scale of 100. For example, a rise of 10 per cent and a fall of 10 per cent are expressed by relatives of 110 and 90, respectively. Occasionally, however, percentages of rise or fall are still used as by Carli; as, for instance, in the chain relatives published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in Bulletin No. 149 and
averaged in the first four tables of this bulletin. A second unimportant variant, long practiced by the Economist, but now seldom used, is to publish as the final result the sums of relative prices, instead of their averages. ${ }^{1}$

In recent years a few statisticians have gone back from the use of relative to the use of actual prices, adopting various devices to avoid such crude errors as those perpetrated in the figures cited from Hunt's Merchants' Magazine. In 1897 Bradstreet's began reducing all its original quotations by the gallon, ton, dozen, square yard, etc., to prices by the pound, and presenting as its index number the aggregate prices per pound of 98 articles. ${ }^{2}$ Four years later, Dun's Review followed this lead, with an important difference. Instead of reducing actual quotations to quotations by the pound, it multiplied the actual quotation for each article included by the quantity of that article supposed to be consumed in the course of a year by the average individual. These products were then cast up, and the sums, in dollars and cents, were presented as an index number purporting to show the changes in the per capita cost of a year's supplies. ${ }^{3}$

Still later (1912), the method practiced by Dun was adopted by the Commonwealth statistician of Australia as the basis of his official series. However, after he had calculated the aggregate expenditure of Australians upon his bill of goods in terms of pounds sterling, he threw these pecuniary sums back into the form of relative numbers on the scale of 1,000 .

Accordingly, three types of index numbers are now in general use: (1) Averages of relative prices or average percentages of change in prices; (2) sums in dollars and cents showing changes in the aggregate cost of certain definite quantities of certain commodities; (3) relative figures made from series of the second sort. The first type shows average variations, the second type shows the variations of an aggregate, the third type turns these variations of an aggregate into percentages of the aggregate itself as it stood at some selected time. Certain of the advantages and shortcomings of the several types can be brought out in connection with the next.topic, base periods; but the relation between average variations of relative prices and the variations of aggregate actual prices can not be adequately treated until we reach the section devoted to forms of averages.

[^13]
## 5. BASE PERIODS.

When relative prices are used it is necessary to select the quotations of some given period as a base. The actual prices in this base period are called 100; all antecedent and subsequent prices are divided by the base prices, and the quotients, multiplied by 100, make the relatives which are usually summed and divided by the number of commodities to get the final index number.
In some cases the prices of a single day have been used as the base, but as a rule average prices for a year, five years, a decade, or an even longer period have been preferred. For this preference there is a simple justification when arithmetic means are used as averages of the relative prices. ${ }^{1}$ If the price of any commodity happens to be unusually high or uncusually low in the base period, its relative prices at other periods will be correspondingly high or low, and very high relative prices, especially, may exercise an undue influence upon arithmetic means. If an appreciable proportion of the commodities in the list be very high or very low, the final index number may be distorted. Though numerically* correct, the results have less significance than if they showed changes in terms of prices that men consider "normal." Of course exceptionally high or exceptionally low quotations are less likely to last for a year than for a day, and less likely to last for a decade than for a year.
The period chosen as base should be that period with which accurate comparisons are most significant for the purpose in hand. Probably most users of general-purpose index numbers prefer to make their comparisons with recent dates. Hence the case for "chain" indexes is very strong-that is, for indexes like the medians of Table 2, which show the average rise or fall of prices on the basis of prices in the preceding year. ${ }^{s}$ Hence, also, any index number with a fixed base becomes in one respect less significant the longer it is maintained. For example, when the Bureau of Labor Statistics' series was established in 1902, the public was interested to know how much prices in that year had changed in terms of average prices in the decade 1890-99. In 1915, however, we care less about a measurement of change in terms of what prices were 16 to 25 years ago than we care about how much prices have changed with reference to 1914. Similarly, Sauerbeck's index number suffers in significance now because it forces one to make all comparisons in terms of prices in a

[^14]period that ended before most of the people now living were old enough to know the meaning of prices.
A further advantage of chain index numbers is that they make the dropping of obsolescent and the adding of new commodities especially easy. It is difficult to keep the list of commodities included in a fixed-base system really representative of the markets over a long period of time. Barring perhaps thirty or so staple raw materials that hold their importance for centuries at a time, most commodities have their day of favor and then yield to new products. Consequently the compilers can hardly let two decades pass without revising their lists, in certain details, or seeing them lose in significance. But since a chain index does not profess to give accurate comparisons except between successive years the compiler feels himself free to improve his list whenever he can. It is very much easier to include many commodities on this plan. And if the index number be weighted, the chain index has a similar advantage in facilitating the frequent revision of the weights. ${ }^{1}$
Once more, year-to-year variations of prices can be measured with a closer approach to accuracy than variations covering a longer period of time. For, as was shown in Section III of this bulletin, the former variations are highly concentrated about their central tendency while the variations from what prices used to be years ago are widely dispersed. The longer a fixed-base system is maintained, indeed, the more scattered become the relative prices as a rule. Hence the variations are less and less aptly represented by any average that can be devised, and the margin of error to which the results are subject grows wider. In other words, with a given body of quotations to build upon, chain relatives are more trustworthy than their rivals; and, as has just been said, it is feasible to provide a larger body of quotations for chain relatives than for a fixed-base series.
Finally, another aspect of the wide dispersion that becomes characteristic of fixed-base relatives with the lapse of years merits separate mention. The commodities that have a consistent long-period trend gradually climb far above or fall far below the avarage relative prices. ${ }^{2}$ Then the high relative prices of the first group come to exercise much more influence upon the position of the average itself than do the low relative prices of the second group. ${ }^{3}$ A 10 per cent change in the price of an article whose price has already doubled will count four times as much as a 10 per cent change in the price of an article whose price has dropped by half. For most purposes, this

[^15]development is to be regarded as a defect of the fixed-base series. For commodities seldom gain in importance because of a great rise in price; on the contrary, the commodities that become cheaper are likely to be consumed and produced on an increasing scale. ${ }^{1}$ Against this danger of magnifying the influence of articles that are becoming costly and minimizing the influence of articles that are becoming cheap, no care in the selection of a base avails for long if the base be fixed. ${ }^{2}$

Chain relatives have their drawbacks also. Makers of index numbers find them more laborious to compute than fixed-base series, since most of the actual prices used as divisors change every year. And users of index numbers find a chain series difficult to interpret when they seek to know how much prices have risen or fallen over considerable periods of time. Of course, chain relatives for successive years can be multiplied together to form a continuous series, but it is not easy to give the later members of the series a concrete meaning. To know, for example, that in 1891 prices fell, on the average, 0.2 per cent below their level in 1890; that in 1892 they fell 4.4 per cent below their new level in 1891, and so on through ups and downs on an ever-changing base for every year to 1915, enables one to make a series beginning, say, with 100 in 1890 and running on with 99.8 in 1891, 95.4 in 1892, etc., to some result for 1915. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ But such a series does not enable one to say in terms of what a comparison is made between prices in 1915 and in 1890. Any fixed-base series covering these years, on the contrary, would show the level of prices both in 1890 and in 1915 in terms of a common denominator-namely, the level at which prices stood in the base period, whatever that was. Hence it is an excellent plan to make from the original quotations two series of index numbers-one a chain index and the other a fixed-base series.

Even this combination, however, is far from meeting all the needs of users of index numbers. For certain users may require for special purposes accurate measurements of price fluctuations in terms of the price level in any given month or year, or any given stretch of time in the whole period covered by the investigation. If such users are few as compared with all the people who note or quote the popular index numbers, they are precisely the few most interested in price fluctuations and most likely to increase knowledge by their use of the figures. But of course compilers can not foresee what base periods would serve best all these special purposes, and they can not be

[^16]expected to work out index numbers on all the bases made possible by their original data. It is therefore highly desirable to have index numbers that can be shifted from one base to another both readily and accurately.

It is this desideratum, in large part, that has led to the recent reaction against index numbers made by striking arithmetic means of relative prices and in favor of index numbers made by adding actual prices. For the latter form of index, being a sum of dollars and cents, can be thrown into the form of a series of relative prices upon any base that is desired, with slight labor and without inaccuracy; whereas arithmetic means of relative prices can not consistently be shifted to a new base without recomputing the relative prices, commodity by commodity, and striking new averages from these new relatives. ${ }^{1}$ Such recomputations are so laborious that a short method of shifting the base of this kind of index numbers is often practised even by persons quite aware of the ambiguity of the new results. This method consists in dividing the figures for other dates by the figures for the date desired as base and multiplying the quotients by 100. Of course this process results in a relative price of 100 for the new base period, and the other figures look as if they showed average relative prices as percentages of prices at this period. But there is no mathematical justification for assuming that results reached in this way must agree with results reached by recomputing relative prices for each commodity on the new base. For such recomputation usually alters considerably the relative influence exercised upon the arithmetic means by the price fluctuations of certain commodities. Those articles which are cheaper in the new than in the old base period get higher relative prices and therefore increased influence. Vice versa, articles that are dearer in the new base period get lower relative prices and therefore diminished influence. Of course the short method of shifting the base, which retains the old relative prices, does not permit any such alteration in the influence exercised by the fluctuations of different commodities. Hence the two methods of shifting the base seldom yield precisely the same results. To present a series of arithmetic means shifted by the short method as showing what the index numbers would have been if they had been computed upon the new base is therefore misleading.

[^17]It is easy to arrange examples in which wide discrepancies apperar between the results of the two methods of shifting the base. ${ }^{1}$ But the difficult and the important thing is to find out how serious the discrepancies are in actual practice. For to use index numbers effectively, it is often necessary to shift the base, and sometimes the short method must be followed, either because recomputation in full requires a prohibitive amount of labor, or because the original data necessary for recomputation have not been published. The next table gives three pertinent examples. In the first case when Sauerbeck's index is shifted from $1867-1877=100$ to $1890-1899=100$ the discrepancies are fairly regular and rather small both absolutely and relatively. In the last case, when the same series is shifted to $1860=$ 100, the discrepancies are highly irregular from year to year, and are rather large both abṡolutely and relatively-several times exceeding 5 per cent of .the recomputed figures. In the remaining case the discrepancies are small absolutely, though often large relatively to the recomputed figures, and also highly variable from year to year. ${ }^{2}$ The conclusion which these experiments suggest is that the two

[^18]If 1013 be made the base, the relative prices and index numbers will be:

|  | 1913 | 1914 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat, relativeprices Corn, relativeprices. <br> Spins. <br> Indax numbers. | 100 | 50 |
|  | 100 | 100 |
|  | 200 100 | 150 75 |

Ifnow tho base beshifted from 1913 to 1914 by the short method, the index number for 1913 will be(100 +76 ) $100=133$. But if the flgures be recomputed on the besis of prices in 1014, the resalt is an index number of 150 in 1918:

|  | 1913 | 1814 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wheat, relative prioes <br> Corn, ralativepricus. | 200 | 100 |
| Sums............ <br> Index numbers...... | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Thediscrepanotes shown in the table do not result wholly from the mathometioal lngonskstonoy of the ehort method; but partly from the fact that whem an hidex numbar is shitted to a new base by recomputation in full it is commonly impossible or nndesirable to $u$ tilite all the original data. Some commodity, for example, may not be quoted for the dates used as the new base, and therefore has eithor to be dropped or introduced at a later date by means of some doubtful essumption as to what its price would have been had it been quoted for the full parlod. Of course this obsarvation makkes the objection to using the short method stronger rather than weeker. It means that this method often leads the statistician into uses of the origtan data which he would have avotied had he undertakan the recomputation of the index numbar.
methods almost always give different results; that the discrepancies are by no means constant from year to year in a given case, and that their magnitude both absolutely and relatively differs much from one case to another. Hence it is well to avoid the short method of shifting bases whenever possible; and when that method must be used, its results should not be treated as showing what the index number would have been had it been made originally on the new base.

Table 6.-EXAMPLES OF DISCREPANCLES BETWEEN THE RESULTS OF TWO METHODS OF SHIPTING THE BASES ON WHICH INDEX NUMBERS ARE COMPUTED.

| Years. | Saumbects index number, 1850-1913. |  |  |  | Burean of Lebor Statistics' Index дumber. |  |  |  | Years: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rauarbeek's fndex numbar, } \\ 1800-1891 . \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Origh } \\ \text { nal } \\ \text { form, } \\ 1867- \\ 1877- \\ 100 . \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Shifted } \\ \text { to } \\ 1890- \\ 1899-b y \\ 100, \text { by } \\ \text { short } \\ \text { method. } \end{gathered}$ | Recom- puted on basis $1890-$ $1899 m$ 100, by long method | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dis- } \\ & \text { crep- } \\ & \text { anr } \\ & \text { cies } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bu- } \\ \text { reau's } \\ \text { series on } \\ \text { bgsis } \\ 1890- \\ 1899= \\ 100 . \end{gathered}$ | Chain <br> index <br> made by short method. | Chain fndex made by long method. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { ciepan- } \\ \text { cies. } \end{gathered}$ |  | Origi- <br> nal <br> form, <br> 18875. <br> 1877= <br> 100. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Shifted } \\ t 0 \\ 1800 \\ -100, \\ \text { by } \\ \text { short } \\ \text { method. } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Rem } \\ \text { com- } \\ \text { puled } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { basis } \\ 1800= \\ 100 . \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dis } \\ & \text { crep- } \\ & \text { gn- } \\ & \text { cies. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 180. | 72 | 109 | 109 |  | 112.9 |  |  |  | 1860. | 99 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| 1891. | 72 | 109 | 109 |  | 111.7 | -1.İ | -0.2 | 0.9 | 1861. | 98 | 99, 0 | 99.6 | 0.6 |
| 1889. | 68 | 103 | 103 |  | 108.1 | -5.0 | -4.4 | . 6 | 1862. | 101 | 102. 0 | 105. 5 | 3.5 |
| 1838 | 68 | 103 | 103 |  | 105. 6 | -. 5 | -. ${ }^{2}$ | . 8 | 1868. | 103 | 104.0 | 109.3 | 5.3 |
| 1894. | 83 | 85 | 95 |  | 96.1 | -9.0 | -8.7 | . 3 | 1864. | 105 | 106.1 | 112.3 | 6.2 |
| 1885. | 62 | 95 | 94 |  | 93.6 | -26 | - 1.5 | 1.1 | 1865. | 101 | 1020 | 105.8 | 3.8 |
| 1806. | 61 | 92 | 9. |  | 90. 4 | -3.4 | -2.8 | .6 | 1866. | 102 | 103.0 | 106.5 | 3.5 |
| 1807.. | 62 | 94 | 08 | 1 | 89.7 | $-.8$ | + 2 | . 4 | 1867. | 100 | 101.0 | 103.9 | 2.9 |
| 1888. | 04 | 97 | 97 |  | 98.4 | +4.1 | +4.8 | . 7 | 1808. | 99 | 100.0 | 103.1 | 3.1 |
| 1809. | 88 | 103 | 104 | 1 | 101.7 | +8.9 | +10.4 | 1.5 | 1869. | 98 | 99.0 | 101.9 | 2.9 |
| 1900. | 75 | 114 | 115 | 1 | 110.5 | +R. 7 | +9.4 | . 7 | 1870. | 96 | 97.0 | 100.3 | 3.3 |
| 1901.. | 70 | 108 | 107 | 1 | 108.5 | $-1.8$ | $-1.1$ | .7 | 1871. | 100 | 101.0 | 1026 | 1.6 |
| 1902.. | 69 | 105 | 100 | 1 | 112.9 | +4.1 | +4.6 | . 5 | 1872. | 109 | 110.1 | 1125 | 2.4 |
| 1903. | 69 | 105 | 106 | 1 | 113.0 | +.6 | +1.2 | . 6 | 1873. | 111 | 112.1 | 116.6 | 4.5 |
| 1904. | 70 | 106 | 108 | 2 | 113.0 | -. 3 | $\underline{+} .1$ | . 4 | 1874. | 102 | 103.0 | 107.0 | 4.0 |
| 1905. | 72 | 109 | 111 | 2 | 115.9 | +26 | $+2.9$ | . 3 | 1875. | 96 | 97.0 | 100.3 | 3.3 |
| 1900. | 77 | 117 | 119 | 2 | 122.5 | +5.7 | + 5.8 | .1 | 1878. | 95 | 96.0 | 97.6 | 1.5 |
| 1907. | 80 | 121 | 128 | 2 | 129.5 | +5.7 | $+8.0$ | .8 | 187. | 94 | 95.0 | 97.1 | 2.4 |
| 1908. | 73 | 111 | 112 | 1 | 122.8 | $-5.2$ | $-8.6$ | . 4 | 1878. | 87 | 87.8 | 01.2 | 3.3 |
| 1909. | 74 | 112 | 114 | 2 | 123.5 | +3.0 | $+8.2$ | .2 | 1879. | 88 | 88.8 | 86.7 | 2.9 |
| 1910. | 78 | 118 | 120 | 2 | 131. G | $+4.0$ | +4.1 | . 1 | 1880. | 88 | 88.9 | 01.8 | 2.9 |
| 1911. | 80 | 121 | 123 | 2 | 129.2 | -1.8 | -1.9 | .1 | 1892. | 85 | 85.9 | 88.5 | 2.6 |
| 1912. - | 85 | 129 | 130 | 1 | 133.6 | +3. 4 | $+3.4$ |  | 18se. | 84 | 84.9 | 88.0 | 3.1 |
| 1913. | 85 |  | 130 | 1 | 135.2 | +1.2 | +1.2 |  | 1853. | 82 | 828 | 88.0 | 8.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1584. | 76 | 78.8 | 79.3 | 2.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1855. | 72 | 72. 7 | 75.4 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1836. | 69 | 60.7 | 72.4 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1887. | 68 | 68.7 | 70.7 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1858. | 70 | 70.7 | 73.9 | 3.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1889. | 72 | 72.7 | 76.7 | 4.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1840. | 72 | 72.7 | 76.0 | 3.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1892. | 72 | -72.7 | 75.4 | 2.7 |

The second of the preceding examples of discrepancies arising from the two ways of shifting bases merits especial attention because it refers to the new and important chain index number published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in Bulletin No. 149. All of the "percentages of increase or decrease compared with each preceding year or month" on pages 9 to 16 of Bulletin No. 149 were made by dividing the 1890-1899 index number for each date by the corresponding index number for the preceding date. Consequently these results ara not precisely what the captions, under which they appear, suggest.

The fact that the disorepancies between the two sets of results are small, never exceeding 1.5 points in the scale of percentage changes, affords striking confirmation of a conclusion drawn in Section III from the distribution of price variations. Because variations from prices in the preceding year are highly concentrated about a central tendency, while variations from the prices of a remoter period are widely soattered, it was argued that the measurement of price changes is easy in proportion as the time during which these changes have been accumulating is short. So, now, we find that dissimilar methods of manipulating the same data yield nearly the same results when they are applied to the easy problem of making a chain index number.
The use of the short method in making the new chain indexes was the natural result of a practice begun by the bureau in 1908-a practice that illustrates, from another angle, the problem of shifting bases. In that year 11 new commodities were introduced into the bureau's index number. ${ }^{1}$ Since quotations were not secured for these commodities prior to 1907, relative prices could not be computed for them on the 1890-1899 base. How, then, could these new articles be inoluded in the index numbers of the groups affected? The bureau solved this problem by (1) computing relative prices for both the new and the old commodities in 1908 on the basis, prices in $1907=100$, (2) averaging these relatives, and (3) shifting these new index numbers for 1908 from the 1907 base to the 1890-1899 base. This shift was effected by multiplying the group index numbers for 1908, on the 1907 base, by the group index numbers for 1907, on the 1890-1899 base.
The process may be illustrated from the group of farm products. The index number for this group in 1907, on the base, prices in 1890$1899=100$, was 137.1, while in 1908, on the base, prices in $1907=100$, the index number was 97.1. The bureau assumed that since prices of farm products in 1908 were, on the average, 97.1 per cent of their prices in 1907, and since their prices in 1907 had been 137.1 per cent of their prices in 1890-1899, therefore prices in 1908 were 97.1 per cent of 137.1 per cent of prices in 1890-1899; that is, 133.1 per cent of prices in 1890-1899. By repeating this process in later years, the bureau forged its successive chain indexes from 1908 to 1913 into a continuous series.

The merits and defects of series made in this fashion have already been canvassed. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ The one fact important for present purposes is that the results of this method, however excellent in other-ways, do not agree with results worked out on a fixed-base system. Hence the

[^19]bureau's present index numbers of farm products, foods, and lumber and building materials-the three groups into which new commodities were introduced-are not accurately comparable in 19081913 either with its figures for these same groups in 1890 to 1907, or with its 1908-1913 figures for the six remaining groups. Even the general index number of all commodities is affected appreciably by the admission of the inconsistent elements into the grand totals from which averages were struck.

The question remains: How much difference did the change in method makel To answer one must find a better way of introducing the 11 new commodities into the old list. To effect this introduction, some assumption is necessary concerning the relation of their prices in 1907, the first year for which quotations were obtained, to their unknown prices in the base period. Perhaps the bureau's implicit assumption that the 1907 index number of the old commodities on the 1890-1899 base should be taken as the starting point for computing relative prices for the new commodities is as defensible as any other guess that can be made. If this guess be accepted, the relative prices for the four new farm products should be computed on the base, actual prices in $1907=137.1$; for the six new foods on the base, actual prices in $1907=117.8$, and for the one new kind of lumber on the bese, actual prices in $1907=146.9$. Then the relatives for the new commodities can be added to and averaged with the relatives for the old without more ado. If this method be applied to farm products the result is an index number for 1908 of 133.4, ${ }^{1}$ whereas the bureau's method gives 133.1. In the case of foods we get 121.5 instead of the bureau's 120.6, and in the case of lumber and building materials 131.8 instead of 133.1.

Now the discrepancies between these two sets of rasults for 1908 seem small. But the bureau soon made them large, by building its index for 1909 on the discrepancy for 1908, again building in 1910 on the discrepancy accumulated in 1908 and 1909, and so on. By 1913

the results of the two methods for farm products are far apart; the two figuires for food products and for lumber and building materials are seriously at variance, and even the general index numbers for all commodities show a difference of 4.2 points. Table 7 presents the two sets of results side by side. ${ }^{1}$

Table 7.-EFFECT OF gHipting the Base of nidex nokbers by gimple molit PLICATION.
[Burgau of Labor Statisties' index numbers for farm products, foods, lamber and building materials, and all commodities after the finclusion of 11 now commodities in leve.]
(Arithmelle meana.)

| Years. | Farm produots. |  | Food prodincts. |  | Lumber and ballding materials. |  | All commodities. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index numbers on bese, prices in preceding year- 100, multt- <br> plied by tndex numbers based on 1800-1890 $=100$. |  | Index numbers on base, prices in preceding year=100, multi- <br> plied by index numbers based on 1800-1899 -100 . | Base for old commodities, 1850-1899 $-100$. Base for now commodittes, prices in 1007-故dex number of old comb moditíes In that year. | Index numbers on brase, prices fin preceding year- 100, multiplled by Index numbers based on 1800-1899 -100 . | Base for old coltic modities, 1890-1899 -100 . Base for hew commodities, prices in 1907=红dex number of old commodities In that year. | Index numbers on base, prices in preceding $y$ уat $=100$, multiplled by index numbers based on 1890-1809 -100 . | Base for old commodilies, 1890-1809 $=100$. <br> Base for Hew commodities, prices in 1907= Index <br> numbers of theif groups in that year. |
| 1908. | 183.1 | 133.4 | 120.6 | 121.5 | 183.1 | 181.8 | 122.8 | 122.0 |
| 1909. | 163. 1 | 150.2 | 124.7 | 128. 5 | 138.4 | 138.4 | 128.5 | 125. 5 |
| 1910..... | 164.6 | 159.8 | 128 7 | 129,0 | 153.2 | 151.2 | 131, 6 | 130.5 |
| 1911. | 162.0 | 156.3 | 131.3 | 126.4 | 151.4 | 1521 | 129.2 | 128.8 |
| 1912. | 171.3 | 162.6 | 139.5 | 134.2 | 148.2 | 148.2 | 133.6 | 130.5 |
| 1918. | 165.8 | 153.8 | 157.1 | 131.9 | 151.8 | 147.1 | 135.2 | 131.0 |

## 6. THE NUMBERS AND KINDS OF COMMODITIES INCLUDED.

Since the earlier makers of index numbers had to use such price quotations as they could find, the problems how many and what kinds of commodities to include were practically solved for them. As Prof. Edgeworth remarks, "Beggars can not be choosers."

[^20]

|  | 1908 | 1009 | 1010 | 1911 | 1912 | 1013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After revising the method of treating the 11 new com- |  | $\begin{aligned} & 125.5 \\ & 124.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 130.5130.3 | 131.0230.4 |
| moditias................................ | 122.0121.7 |  | 130.5130.0 | 328.8126.4 |  |  |
| Aftar dropplag the 11 new commodities sitogather...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Paucity of data still hampers contemporary efforts to mensure varistions of prices in the past; but the compilers of index numbers for current years have a wider range of choice. The scope of their data is limited not by the impossibility but by the expense of collecting quotations. And in the case of governmental bureaus or financial journals the limits set by expense are neither narrow nor rigid. Such organizations can choose many commodities if they will or content themselves with few.
One principle of choice is generally recognized. Those commodities are preferable that are substantially uniform from market to market and from year to year. Often the form of quotation makes all the difference between a substantially uniform and a highly variable commodity. For example, prices of cattle and hogs are more significant than prices of horses and mules, because the prices of cattle and hogs are quoted per pound, while the prices of horses and mules are quoted per head.

It is often argued that the application of this common-sense principle rules out almost all manufactured goods, because such articles are continually heing altered in quality to suit the technical exigencies of new industrial processes or the varying tastes of consumers. But minor changes in quality, provided their occurrence is known, do not necessarily unfit a commodity for inclusion. When the brand formerly sold is replaced by a variant it is usually possible to get overlapping quotations for the old and new qualities during the time of transition. Then the new series may be spliced upon the old by means of the ratio borne by the price of the new grade to the price of the old grade in the years when the substitution is made. Statisticians willing to take the extra precautions and trouble involved by such operations can legitimately include not only a large number of staple raw materials and their simplest products, but also an even larger number of manufactured goods.

Some of the modern index numbers, accordingly, have long lists of commodities. Dun's index number seems to be built up from 310 series of quotations, the official Canadian index number includes 272 . articles, the Bureau of Labor Statistics' index number Yor 1913 had 252, and the new weighted index number for 1914 contains 297 quotations of 201 distinct articles. On the other hand, many of the bestknown index numbers use less than 50 serias of quotations. Forty-five is a favorite number, largely because of the high reputation early established by Sauerbeck's English series. The British Board of Trade's series, the new official French series, the New Zealand series, Von Jankovich's Austrian series, and Atkinson's series for British India
all have just 45 commodities, while the new series of the London Economist and the relative prices published by the Imperial Statistical Office of Germany include 44 articles. Even shorter lists are often used. For example, Schmitz's German series has only 29 commodities, the New York Annalist series 25, and Gibson's series 22. Private investigators working with limited resources sometimes confine themselves to a bare dozen commodities, or even less. ${ }^{1}$

These differences of practice raise important questions of theory. Does it make any substantial difference in the results whether 25 or 50 or 250 commodities be included-provided always that the lists be well chosen in the three cases? If differences do appear in the results, are they merely haphazard, or are they significant differences? If there are significant differences, which set of results is more valuable, that made from the long or from the short lists? And what does the proviso that the lists be well-chosen mean In short, do the index numbers including hundreds of commodities possess any real advantages over those including 50 or 25 to compensate for the greater trouble and expense of compiling them ${ }^{\text {? }}$

The best way to answer these questions is to experiment with large and small index numbers, made on a strictly uniform plan for the same country and the same years. Table 8 presents six such index numbers which differ only in respect to the number and kind of commodities included. The first column includes all the commodities quoted by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, except the 11 whose prices do not run back of 1908.' Many of the commodities in this list are merely different varieties of the same article; for example, there are two kinds of corn meal, four kinds of leather, six kinds of women's dress goods, eleven kinds of steel tools, etc. The second column gives an index number in which all such groups are represented by single averages, so that the pumber of series which enter

[^21]directly into the final results is cut down to $145 .{ }^{1}$ The third column, which includes 50 commodities, is made up from the list adopted for the Gibson index number in its original form. ${ }^{2}$ The fourth series is


#### Abstract

1 This experimental list of 145 commodities is given below. When the relative prices of closely related articles are averaged to make a single serites, the number of these artioles quoted by the burecu and ina cluded in the group is indicated. Most of tho burseu's series which do not cover the whole period, $1880-$ 1913, are dropped altogether. As tho basis of a genacal-parpose index number, this revised list is worse than the bureau's list in certain respects and bettex in others. See Section $V$.


| HaRM Producte, | CLOTES AND CLOTHiNG. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Barley. | 1. Bugs. |  |
| 2. Cattle, 2. | 2. Blankets, 3 . | 1. Brick. |
| 3. Corn. | 8. Boots and shoes, 8. | -2. Carbonate of lead. |
| 4. Cotton. | 4. Broadaloths. | 3. Cement. |
| 5. Flaxseed. | 5. Calice. | 4. Doors. |
| 6. Hay. | 6. Carpets 3. | 5. Hamlock |
| 8. Hogs, 2. | 8. Cotor thread. 2. | 6. Lime. |
| 8. Hops. | 9. Cotion yarns, 2. | 8., Maple. |
| 10. Onts. | 10. Denims. | 9. Oak |
| 11. Rye. | 11. Drillings, 2. | c10. Oxide of elne. |
| 12. Eheep, 2. | 12. Fhannels. | 11. Pline, white, 2. |
| 13. Wheat. | 13. Ginghams, 2. <br> 14. Horse blanketa. | 12. Pine, yellow. <br> 13. Plato glass, 2. |
| fuel and ligitines. | 15. Hose. | 14. Poplar. |
|  | 18. Leather, 4. | 16. Putty. |
| 1. Coandes. anthrecite, 4. | 18. Overcoatings, 2. | 17. Shiniles, 2 |
| 9. Coal, bituminous, 3 . | 19. Print claths, | 18. Spruces. |
| 4. Coke. | 20. Sheettings, 7 . | 19. Tar. |
| 5. Matches. | 21. Shirtings, 6. | 20. Turpentine. |
| 6. Patroleam, crude. | 22. Silt, 2 . | 21. Window glass, 2. |
|  | 24. Tekiogs. | Mousc-rurniling goodr. |
| FOOD, BTC. | 25. Underwear, 2 | 1. Earthenware 3 |
| 1. Apples, evaporated. | 27. Wool, 2 . | 2. Furniture, 4. |
| 2. Beans. | 28. Worsted yarns, 2. | 3. Glassware, 3. |
| 3. Bread, crackers, 2. |  | 4. Table cutlery, 2. |
| 4. Bread, losi, 3. | Mrtals and miplements. | 5. Woodenware, 2. |
| 6. Cheese. | 1. Bar fron, 2. | miscgilanisoush |
| 7. Coffee. | 2. Barb wire. |  |
| 8. Currants. | 3. Builders' hardware, 3. | 1. Cottonseed meal, |
| 9. Egas. | 4. Copper, inqot. | 2. Cottonseed oll, |
| 10. Fish, 4 . | 5. Copper, wire. | 3. Jute. |
| 12. Fiour, rye. | 7. Lead plpe. | 5. Papat. |
| 13. Flour, wheat, | 8. Nails, 2 . | 8. Proof spirit. |
| 14. Lard. | 9. Pig Iron, 4, | 7. Rope. |
| 15. Maal, corn, 2. | 10. Quieksliver. | 8. Rubbec. |
| 17. Meat, boed, 8. | 11. Silver. | 9. Soap. |
| 17. Meat, pris, 4. | 12. Spelter. | 10. Starch, leundry. |
| 18. Meat, mution. | 13. Steel billets. | 14. Tobacco, 2. |
| 29. Molisses. | 14. Steel rails. |  |
| 21. Onions. | 16. Tools, 11. |  |
| 22. Potatoes. | 17. Wood screws. |  |
| 23. Prunes | 18, Zino. |  |
| 24. Raisins. |  |  |
| 25. Rlce. | DRUGB AND CHEMmCate |  |
| 26. Salt. |  | - |
| 27. Sode. | 1. Alcohol, grain. | - |
| 28. Spleo pepper. | 2. Alcohol, wood. |  |
| 29. Btarch, dorn. | 3. Alum, |  |
| so. Surar, 3 . | 4. Brimstone. |  |
| 81. Tallow. | 5. Olycerine. |  |
| 82. Tea | 8. Murialic neld. |  |
| \%. Vinugry | 7. Oplum. |  |
|  | 8. Qumine. |  |

[^22]made from the prices of 20 pairs, each commodity being given in two forms, raw and manufactured, e. g., barley and malt, cattle and beef, copper ingots and copper wire, etc. ${ }^{1}$ The last two columns contain index numbers each made from the prices of 25 important articles selected at random, the two lists having no items in common.'

TABIE 8.-SIX INDEX NUMBERS FOR THE UNITED GTATES MADE FROM QUOTATIONG FOR DIFFERENT NUMBERS OF COMMODITLES, BY YEARS, 1890 TO 1918.
[Data from the Bulleth of the Bureau of Labor Btatisties, No. 140.]
(Arithmetic meanas. Average prices in 1890-1890-100.)

| Year, | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 242 \text { to } 261 \\ \text { commod- } \\ \text { 1tiles. } \end{array}\right\|$ | 145 com- modities. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EP come } \\ & \text { moditite } \end{aligned}$ | 40 comsmodities. | $25 \mathrm{com}-$ moditifes, frst list. | $\begin{gathered} 25 \text { com- } \\ \text { modiltios, } \\ \text { second } \\ \text { Hst. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1890. | 118 | 114 | 114 | 113 | 115 | 113 |
| 1892. | 112 | 113 | 114 | 114 | 112 | 118 |
| 1892. | 106 | 108 | 105 | 105 | 108 | 112 |
| 1893. | 106 | 105 | 105 | 101 | 103 | 107 |
| 1894. | 96 | 06 | 0 | 93 | 92 | 96 |
| 1885. | 94 | - 93 | 94 | 95 | 95 | 93 |
| 1896. | 90 | 89 | 87 | 88 | 88 | 85 |
| 1897. | 00 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 90 | 84 |
| 1898. | 93 | 83 | 95 | 95 | 98 | 90 |
| 1899. | 102 | 103 | 103 | 109 | 107 | 103 |
| 1900. | 111 | 111 | - 112 | 115 | 113 | 109 |
| 1801. | 109 | 110 | - 109 | 116 | 111 | 107 |
| 1902. | 113 | 114. | 118 | 122 | 116 | 117 |
| 1903. | 114 | 114 | 115 | 118 | 118 | 117 |
| 1904. | 113 | 114 | 116 | 118 | 129 | 110 |
| 1905. | 116 | 116 | 118 | 122 | 123 | 115 |
| 1906. | 123 | 122 | 123 | 128 | 130 | 122 |
| 1907. | 130 | 130 | 132 | 138 | 132 | 132 |
| 1908. | 122 | 121 | 125 | 129 | 124 | 122 |
| 1909. | 125 | 124 | 132 | 135 | 133 | 128 |
| 1910. | 130 | 131 | 135 | 141 | 133 | 134 |
| 1911. | 128 | 130 | 129 | 135 | 129 | 131 |
| 1912. | 130 | 134 | 138 | 142 | 140 | 138 |
| 1913. | 139 | 131 | 138 | 138 | 142 | 133 |
| Averages 1890-1899. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1900-1909............................. | 118 | 118 | 120 | 124 | 120 | 118 |
| 1910-1913........................- | 129 | 132 | 135 | 139 | 138 | 134 |
| Number of polats by which prices rose ( + ) or foll (-) in- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1890-180$ | -23 | -25 | -27 | -35 | $\leq 27$ | -28 |
| 1890-1907.... | $+40$ | +41 | $+45$ | +50 | +44 | $+47$ |
| 1907-1908. | -8 | -9 | $-7$ | -9 | -8 | $-10$ |
| 1908-1912. | $\div 8$ | $+13$ | +13 | +13 | +16 | $\pm 16$ |
| Differance betwean highest and lowest relative prices. | 40 | 45 | 51 | 54 | 8 | 51 |
| Average change from year to year. ......... | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 5.0 | d. 2 |

1 The remaining 17 pairs are corn and corn meal, cotton and cotton textllee, flaxseed and linseed oH, Whindow glass and glassware, hidea and leather, hogs and pork, lead (pig) and lead pipa, milk and cheese, patroleum (crude and reflned), pig tron and nails, pine boards and pine docrs, rye and rye flour, sheep and matton, spelter and xinc, steel billets and steel tools, wheat and wheat flotr, wool and woolen textites.
${ }^{2}$ The first list includes cotton, corn, wheat, hidee, cattle, hogs, coffee, wheat flour, salt, sugar, tee, potstoes, wool, silk, anthracite coal, bltumbous coal, ertde petroleam, pig iron, steel billets, copper mgots, lead (pig), brick, avarage of nine kinds of lumber, jute, and rubber.

The second list thcludes hay, oate, rye, egess, sheep, lard, beans, corn mend, butter, rice, milk, prunes, cotton yarns, worsted 5arns, coke, coment (Rosendele 1800-1999, Portland dnmestic 1900-1913), tallow, spelter, bar iron, tin (pig), quictsslver, lime, tar, paper, proof spirit.

TABLE B.-SIX INDEX NUMBERS FOR THE UNITED STATES MADE FROM QUOTATIONS FOR DIFFERENT NUMBERS OF COMOIODITIES, BY YEARS, 1890 TO 1913-Conduded.

Number of pointa by which the aelected index nurmbert were greater ( + ) or leth ( - ) than the Buranu of Labor Statistics' aeries.


Number of polnts by which each index number nowe ( + ) or foll ( $(-$ ) in each ancceanide year.


[^23]Now, these six index numbers, large and small, certainly have a strong family likeness. The great movements of American prices since 1890 stand out boldly in them all-the heary fall of prices in 1890-1896, the distiactly greater rise in 1896-1907, the sharp decline in 1908, the recovery in 1909, and the wavering course in 1910-1913.
CRART 5.-GENERADPURPOBE INDEX NUMBERS, INCLUDING 25, 50, AND 242 COMMODI-
TLES. (BASED ON TABLE 8.)


If index numbers could pretend to nothing more than to show roughly the trend of price fluctuations, then it would indeed matter little which of these series were used. Either of the sets including only 25 commodities would serve that limited purpose as well as the set containing nearly ten times as many commodities, though doubtless the longer lists would command more confidence,

But the very success with which index numbers, even when made from scanty and dissimilar data, bring out the broader features of price movements encourages one to hope, from this device, for more than an indication of the direction and a rough approximation to the degree of change. Instead of concluding that an easy compilation, based on a few series of quotations "will do," we may hope that careful work covering a wide field will enable us to improve upon our first results and attain measurements that have a narrow margin of error.
When we make these more exacting demands upon our six index numbers we attach importance to the fact that their general similarity does not preclude numerous differences of detail. For example, two series indicate that prices rose in 1891, one indicates that prices did not change, and three indicate a fall; three put the lowest point in 1896, one in 1897, and two make the price level the same in these years; one series shows a rise in 1901, five show a fall; in 1913 again one series indicates a rise of prices, three indicate a fall, and two indicate no change; the general level of prices in the final year is made to vary between an average rise of 30 per cent and one of 42 per cent above the level of 1890-1899; there is also a difference in steadiness, the small series fluctuating through a wider range than the large ones, etc.
To what are these discrepancies due? Are they discreditable to the large series, or to the small ones, or to neither set? Can they be accounted for except as the results of random differences in sampling?
If an index number made from the wholesale prices of 25 , or 50 , or 250 commodities can measure approximately the changes in all wholesale prices, it must be because the known fluctuations in the prices of these selected commodities are fair samples of the unknown fluctuations in the prices of the vastly larger number of other commodities for which quotations are not collected. Now if (1) the price fluctuations of each commodity that is bought and sold were strictly independent of the price fluctuations of every other commodity, and if (2) each commodity had just the same importance as an element in the general system of prices as every other commodity, then any series of price quotations collected at random would be a fair sample for determining the average changes in the wholesale prices of commodities in general. Of course, the larger the number of commodities included, the more trustworthy would be the index number. In Table 8, for example, the first index number would be adjudged the best, and the divergencies between it and its fellows would be held to result from the scantier material from which the latter are made.

In fact, however, the situation is by no means so simple, because neither of the above-mentioned conditions holds true. Commodities
are far from being all of the same importance as elements in the whole system of prices. With the complications arising from this fact the section on the problems of weighting will deal. Neither are the price fluctuations of different commodities independent of each other. On the contrary, the price changes of practically every commodity in the markets of the whole country are causally related to the changes in the prices of a few or of many, perhaps in the last resort of all, other commodities that are bought and sold. Most of these relations are so slight that they can not be traced by statistical methods. But certain bonds are so close and so strong that they establish definite groups of related prices which fluctuate in harmony with one another and which differ in definable ways from the fluctuations of other such groups. The present task is to show the existence of these groups and the effects which they exercise upon index numbers.

First, the price fluctuations of a raw material are usually reflected in the prices of its manufactured forms. Hence to quote in some cases both the raw material and several of its finished products, and to quote in other cases the raw material alone, assigns certain groups of related prices a larger influence upon the results than is assigned the other groups. When the aim is to secure a set of samples which fairly tepresent price fluctuations as a whole, the existence of these groups must be taken into account. Neglect on this score may give a misleading twist to the final index numbers. A celebrated case in point is that of the Economist index number in 1863-1865. Out of the 22 commodities included in the Economist's list as then constituted 4 consisted of cotton and its products. Hence when the blockade of Southern ports during the Civil War raised the price of cotton, the Economist index numbers grossly exaggerated the average rise in the price level, as appears from the following comparison between the Economist's results for 1860-1865 and the corresponding English figures compiled by Sauerbeck: ${ }^{1}$


1 To make the comparison as hatr as possble, both series are here given, not in their ortginal form, but recomputed on a common beals. See Wholesale Prices, Wages, and Transportation, report by Mr. Aldrich from the Commitite on Finanoe, March 3, 1893, 52d Cong., 2 d tess., Senate Report No. 1394, Part I, pp. 228 and 255.

Directly opposing the relations which unite the prices of finished goods with the prices of their raw materials is a second set of influences which make the price fluctuations of manufactured goods considered as a group characteristically different from the price fluctuations of their raw materials considered as a separate group. Table 9 presents several sets of index numbers designed to throw these characteristic differences into high relief. The first two columns compare the relative prices of the 49 raw materials quoted by the Bureau of Labor Statistics and of the 183 to 193 more or less manufactured commodities in its list. ${ }^{1}$ The second pair of columns contains index numbers made from the prices of 20 raw materials and of 20 products manufactured from these same materisls. ${ }^{2}$ Then come three columns giving index numbers made from the prices of five great staples at three successive stages of manufacture: Wheat, flour, and bread; cotton, cotton yarns, and cotton textiles; wool, worsted yarns, and woolen textiles; pig iron, steel billets, and steel tools; hides, leather, and shoes.s The later sections of the table give the data for each of these last-mentioned groups separately. These several comparisons establish the conclusion that manufactured goods are steadier in price than raw materials. The manufactured goods fell less in 1890-1896, rose less in 1896-1907, again fell less in 1907-1908, and rose less in 1908-1913. Further, the manufactured goods had the narrower extreme range of fluctuations, the smaller average change from year to year, and the slighter advance in price from one decade to the next. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ It follows that index numbers made from the prices of raw materials, or of raw materials and slightly manufactured products, must be expected to show wider oscillations than index numbers including a liberal representation of finished commodities.

Third, there are characteristic differences among the price fluctuations of the groups consisting of mineral products, forest products,

[^24](Arithnetic means. Average

| Year. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 48 } \\ \text { Traw } \\ \text { meri- } \\ \text { teri- } \\ \text { alls. } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 183 \text { to } \\ 193 \\ \text { man- } \\ \text { ufach } \\ \text { tured } \\ \text { prod- } \\ \text { uets. } \end{array}\right\|$ | Twenty paits. |  | Five triplets. |  |  | Wheat group. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Raw } \\ \text { mar. } \\ \text { taril. } \\ \text { ais. } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Man- } \\ \text { ufare } \\ \text { tured } \\ \text { goods. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Raw } \\ & \text { mat } \\ & \text { meri- } \\ & \text { als. } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Inter } \\ \text { medh } \\ \text { sete } \\ \text { prod- } \\ \text { ueta. } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fim- } \\ & \text { Lahed } \\ & \text { goods. } \end{aligned}$ | Wheat. | Whea | Bread. |
| Number of commoditiea Included.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| 1890. | 115 | 112 | 118 | 112 | 125 | 119 | 109 | 119 | 121 | 101 |
| 1801 | 118 | 111 | 114 | 114 | 117 | 116 | 107 | 128 | 126 | 101 |
| 1893. | 104 | 106 | ${ }_{9} 9$ | 103 | ${ }^{103}$ | 109 | 105 | 106 | 108 | ${ }_{101}^{101}$ |
| 1894. | . 93 | 97 | 91 | 94 | 79 | ${ }_{89}$ | 98 | 74 | 78 | 101 |
| 1895. | 92 | 94 | 94 | 96 | 89 | 89 | 95 | 80 | 84 | 98 |
| 1896. | 84 | 92 | 85 | 92 | 87 | 88 | 95 | 85 | 91 | 97 |
| 1807 | 88 | 90 | 88 | 89 | 94 | 90 | 94 | 108 | 110 | 101 |
| 1808 . | 94 | 93 | 98 | 92 | 101 | 95 | 95 | 118 | 109 | 101 |
| 1899. | 106 | 101 | 114 | 103 | 111 | 107 | 98 | 85 | 88 | 101 |
| 1900 | ${ }_{112}^{112}$ | 110 | 118 | 111 | 120 | 110 | 105 | 94 | 88 | 101 |
| 1901. | 111 | 109 | 120 | 118 | 110 | 102 | 102 | 96 | 87 | 101 |
| 1002. | 122 | 111 | 127 | 118 | 123 | 110 | 103 | 9 | 90 | 101 |
| 1905 | 121 | 115 | 127 | 117 | 132 | 115 | 114 | 135 | 122 | 110 |
| 1908 | 127 | 122 | 135 | 120 | 136 | 119 | 121 | 106 | 97 | 110 |
| 1907. | 133 | 129 | 146 | 131 | 145 | 126 | 125 | 121 | 109 | 110 |
| 1908. | 124 | 121 | 135 | 124 | 130 | 117 | 120 | 132 | 119 | 113 |
| 1909. | 131 | 123 | 143 | 127 | 149 | 128 | 121 | 100 | 139 | 116 |
| 1910. | 135 | 129 | 149 | 132 | 149 | 125 | 124 | 148 | 128 | 118 |
| 1911 | 135 | 124 | 144 | 127 | 135 | 115 | 120 | 131 | 112 | 118 |
| 1912 | 145 | 127 | 151 | 132 | 141 | 119 | 124 | 140 | 122 | 122 |
| 1913 | 139 | 128 | 149 | 128 | 143 | 122 | 127 | 127 | 109 | 123 |
| A veragea, 1800-1899 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1900-1909 | 122 | 116 | 130 | 119 | 130 | 115 | 113 | 110 | 107 | 107 |
|  | 139 | 127 | 148 | 130 | 142 | 120 | 124 | 136 | 117 | 120 |
| Number of polints by which prices rose ( + ) or fell ( - ) m- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1890-1806 | -31 | -20 | -28 | -20 | -88 | -31 | $-13$ | -34 | -30 | - 4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1896-1907 \\ & 1907-\mathrm{tan} \end{aligned}$ | $\pm 49$ | +37 | + 81 | +39 | + 515 | +38 | +30 | +38 | +18 | +13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1907-1908 \ldots . \\ & 1008-1913 \ldots \end{aligned}$ | - ${ }^{9}$ | +8 +7 | +11 +14 | + 7 | +15 +13 | + | +5 +7 | $\pm 11$ | +10 -10 | +3 +10 |
| Dtrerence between highest and lowest relative prices. | ${ }_{61}+$ | +89 | ${ }_{66}$ | $+4$ | +18 | + 40 | ${ }_{3}$ | -888 | -10 | +28 |
| A verage change from year to year... | 5.5 | 4.0 | 8.4 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 5. 5 | 3.1 | 13.8 | 11.6 | 1.3 |

AND OF MANUFACTURED GOODS, BY YEARS, 1800 TO 1913.
of Labor Statistios, No. 149.]
prived in 1890-1890-100.)

| Cotton group. |  |  | Wool group. |  |  | Irmig groap. |  |  | Leather gromp. |  |  | Year. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw cotton. | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Cot- } \\ \text { ton } \\ \text { yorns. } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \text { cot } \\ \text { ton } \\ \text { tex. } \\ \text { tiles. } \end{array}$ | Raw wool. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Worst- } \\ & \text { yedrs. } \end{aligned}$ | Wool- en tex. tiles. | Pig | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Steel } \\ \text { bil } \\ \text { lete } \end{array}\right\|$ | Steel tools | Hides. | Leath- | Shaes |  |
| 1 | 2 | 24 | 3 | 8 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 8 | Number of commodities finchaded. |
| 143 | 112 | 117 | 132 | 122 | 111 | 131 | 142 | 107 | 100 | 101 | 108 | 1890 |
| 111 | 113 | 112 | 128 | 123 | 112 | 116 | 118 | 108 | 302 | 101 | 104 | 1891. |
| 99 | 117 | 111 | 113 | 117 | 112 | 108 | 110 | 105 | 93 | 97 | 103 | 1882 |
| 107 | 111 | 109 | 102 | 110 | 109 | 96 | 95 | 103 | 80 | . 97 | 101 | 1883. |
| 90 | 98 | 98 | 70 | 01 | 96 | 83 | 7 | 99 | 68 | 92 | 99 | 1894. |
| 9 | 92 | 9 | 70 | 74 | 8 | 91 | 8 | 95 | 110 | 108 | 100 | 1895. |
| 102 | 83 | 95 | 7 | 73 | 87 | 88 | 88 | 98 | 87 | 05 | 101 | 1896. |
| 88 | 91 | 80 | ${ }_{8} 8$ | 83 | 90 | 78 | 70 | 95 | 108 | 6 | 96 | 1887. |
| 77 | 91 | 85 | 108 | 101 | 18 | 77 | 71 | 94 | 123 | 104 | 94 | 1898 |
| 85 | 80 | 91 | 111 | 107 | 100 | 134 | 145 | 101 | 132 | 109 | 0.5 | 1899. |
| 124 | 116 | 106 | 118 | 118 | 111 | 140 | 116 | 112 | 127 | 113 | 98 | 1900. |
| 1115 | 9 | ${ }_{100}^{90}$ | 101 | 102 | 105 | 115 | 113 | 110 | ${ }_{1}^{132}$ | 111 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 1901. |
| 145 | 113 | 105 | 101 | 112 | 1108 | 145 | 142 | 115 | 143 <br> 125 | 113 | ${ }_{68}{ }_{8}^{68}$ | ${ }_{1900}^{198 .}$ |
| 156 | 120 | 114 | 116 | 117 | 112 | 104 | 103 | 118 | 124 | 109 | 98 | 1904. |
| 13 | 108 | 107 | 127 | 125 | 119 | 124 | 112 | 128 | 153 | 112 | 108 | 1905. |
| 142 | 121 | 117 | 121 | 129 | 125 | 145 | 128 | 134 | 165 | 120 | 119 | 1906. |
| 158 | 13 | 138 | 122 | 128 | 124 | 175 | 136 | 138 | 156 | 124 | 120 | 1907. |
| 135 | 109 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 129 | 125 | 128 | 134 | 143 | 119 | 114 | 1808. |
| 156 | 119 | 117 | 127 | 130 | 122 | 127 | 114 | 129 | 176 | 127 | 121 | 1909. |
| 195 | 135 | 127 | 116 | 124 | 124 | 124 | 118 | 131 | 165 | 125 | 118 | 1910. |
| 168 | 125 | 125 | 108 | 116 | 120 | 112 | 100 | 123 | 158 | 121 | 116 | 191. |
| 148 | 120 | $\frac{122}{128}$ | 111 | 119 | $\frac{123}{123}$ | 118 | 104 120 | ${ }_{124}^{124}$ | 188 | 129 | 127 | 1912. |
| 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | A verages, 1890-18 |
| ${ }_{1}^{136}$ | 118 | 111 | 116 | 120 | 116 | 135 | 122 | 124 | 144 | 116 | 106 | 1900-1900. |
| 109 | 128 | 125 | 110 | 118 | 123 | 119 | 111 | 120 | 177 | 120 | 125 |  |
| -41 | -19 | -72 | -61 +51 | -49 | +24 | -13 | -54 | -11 | $-13$ | $-{ }^{6}$ | - 5 | - $1890-1898$. |
| ${ }_{-18}^{+18}$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm 8$ | $\pm$ | ${ }_{-14}^{+48}$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm 2$ | $\pm 19$ | i $1907-1908$. |
| $+30$ | + ${ }^{3}$ | +10 | -19 | - 5 | +2 | -8 | -2 | -8 | +53 | $+20$ | +23 | 1908-1913. |
| 118 | 45 | 48 | 62 | 57 | 38 | 98 | 75 | 4 | 128 | 4 | 4 | Difference between highest and low- |
| 18.1 | 0.8 | 6.1 | 2.1 | 81 | 28 | 17.5 | 16.0 | 2.7 | 14.7 | 5.0 | 1.7 | Aversge change from year to year. |

CHART 6.-INDEX NOMBERS OF THE PRICES OF 20 RAW MATERIALS AND OF 20 PROD. UCTS MANUFAOTURED FROM THEM. (BABED ON TABLE 9.)


GHARY 7.-INDEX NUMBERS OF TEE PRICES OF WOOL, COTTON, HEDES, WHEAT, AND PIG IRON IN THEIR RAW, PARTIALLY MANUFACTURED, AND FINISHED FORMS. (BASED ON TABLE 9.)

animal products, and farm crops. Table 10 presents index numbers for these four groups. Fifty-seven commodities are included, all of them raw materials or slightly manufactured products. ${ }^{1}$ Here the striking feature is the capricious behavior of the prices of farm crops under the influence of good and bad harvests. The sudden upward jump in their prices in 1891, despite the depressed condition of business, their adrance in the dull year 1904, their fall in the year of revival 1905, their failure to advance in the midst of the prosperity of 1906, their trifling decline during the great depression of 1908, and their sharp rise in the face of reaction in 1911 are all opposed to the general trend of other prices. The prices of animal products are distinctly less affected by weather than the prices of vegetable crops, but even they behave queerly at times, for example in 1893. Forestproduct prices are notable chiefly for maintaining a much higher level of fluctuation in 1902-1913 than any of the other groups, a level on which their fluctuations, when computed as percentages of the much lower prices of 1890-1899, a ppear extremely violent. Finally, the prices of minerals accord better with alternations of prosperity, crisis, and depression than any of the other groups. And the anomalies that do appear-the slight rise in three years (1896, 1903, and 1913) when the tide of business was receding-would be removed if the figures were compiled by months. For the trend of mineral prices was downward in these years, but the fall was not so rapid as the rise had been in the preceding years, so that the annual averages were left somewhat higher than before.: An index number composed largely of quotations for annual crops, then, would be expected at irregular intervals to contradict capriciously the evidence of index numbers in which most of the articles were mineral, forest, or even animal products.

[^25]CHARTS,-INDEX NUMBERS OF THE PRICES OF 19 MINERAL PRODUCTS AND OF 18 FARM CROPS. (BASED ON TABLE 10.)


TABLE 10.-LNDEX NUMBERS MADE FROM PRICES OF MENERAL, FOREST, ANIMAL, AND FARM PRODUCTR, BY YEARS, 1800 TO 1013.
[Data from the Bulletin of the Burean of Labor Btatigtics, No. 149.]
(Arthminetle means, Average prices in 1890-1899-100.)


Fourth, there are characteristic differences between the price fluctuations of manufactured commodities bought by consumers for family use and the price fluctuations of manufactured commodities bought by business men for industrial or commercial use. Such at least is the story told by Table 11. The data employed here are quotations for 28 articles from the Bureau of Labor Statistics' list that rank distinctly as consumers' goods and 28 that rank as producers' goods. ${ }^{1}$ Though consisting more largely of the erratic-

[^26]ally fluctuating farm products, the consumers' goods are steadier in price than the producers' goods, because the demand for them is less influenced by changes in business conditions.

TABLE 11--DNDEX NUMBERS MADE FROM THE PRICES OF CONSUMERS' GOODS AND PRODUCERS' GOODS, BY YEARS, 1800 TO 1918.
[Data from Bulleth of the Burean of Labor Statistics, No. 149.]
(Arthmede means. Arerage priees in 1890-1899m 100.)

| Year. |  | Producers ${ }^{3}$ goods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1890. | 112 | 115 |
| 189. | 109 | 111 |
| 1892. | 104 | 107 |
| 1893. | 108 | 102 |
| 1889. | 100 | 92 |
| $1895 . .$. | 95 | 91 |
| 1898....... | 94 | ${ }_{63}$ |
| 1899. | 98 | 107 |
| 1900. | 108 | 117 |
| 1801. | 105 | 113 |
| 1902. | 108 | 114 |
| 1903. | 105 | 114 |
| 1804. | 103 | 114 |
| 1905. | 106 | 117 |
| 1900. | 110 | 124 |
| 1907. | 114 | 138 |
| 18008. | 112 | 119 |
| 1809. | 114 | 118 |
| 1810. | 118 | 128 |
| 191. | 118 | 125 |
| 1012. | 118 | 125 |
|  | 121 | 123 |
| A verages, 1880-1899. | 100 | 100 |
| 1900-1009. | 108 | 118 |
|  | 119 | 125 |
| Number of potnts by which prices rose ( + ) or 1890-1847 |  |  |
| 1897-1907. | + 24 | - 26 |
| 1907-1008. | + |  |
| 1908-1913. | +0 | +4 |
| Diference between highest and lowest relative | 31 | 4 |
| Average change from year to year. | 3.4 | 4.7 |

Other groups of related prices having specific peculiarities of fluctuation doubtless exist, but the analysis has been carried far enough for the present purpose. That purpose is to show how the existence of groups of prices which fluctuate in harmony with each other and at variance with other groups affects index numbers in general and in particular the six index numbers for the United States given in Table 8. To apply the knowledge gained from the preceding analysis to the explanation of the differences among these six index numbers is not difficult when once the commodities included in each index number have been classified on the basis of the groups which have been examined.
First, the list of commodities used by the Bureau of Labor Statistics includes 29 quotations for iron and its products, 30 quotations for cotton and its products, and 18 for wool and its products, besides

8 more quotations for fabrics made of wool and cotton together.- On the other hand it has but 7 series for wheat and its products, 8 for coal and its products, 3 for copper and its products, etc. The iron, cotton, and wool groups together make up 85 series out of 242, or 35 per cent of the whole number. The same three groups furnish 36 (or 25 per cent) of the 145 series in the second index number in Table 8.

Chart 9.-INDEX NUMBERS OF THE PRICES OF MANUFAGTURED GOODS USED FOR FAMILY CONSUMPTION AND FOR INDUSTRIAL PURPOSES. (BASED ON TABLE 11.)


Similarly, cofton, wool, and wheat, or coal, or cattle, with their products, make 20 per cent of the series in the third index number.

Does this large representation of three staples distort these index numbers-particularly the bureau's series where the disproportion is greatest? Perhaps; but if so the distortion does not arise chiefly from the undue influence assigned to the price fluctuations of raw cotton, raw wool, and pig iron. For, contrary to the prevailing impression, the similarity between the price fluctuations of finisherd products and their raw materials is less than the similarity between
the price fluctaations of finished products made from different materials. Such at least is the testimony of Table 9. As babies from different families are more like one another than they are like their respective parents, so here the relative prices of cotton textiles, woolen textiles, steel tools, bread, and shoes differ far less among themselves than they differ severally from the relative prices of raw cotton, raw wool, pig iron, wheat, and hides. ${ }^{1}$ Hence the inclusion of a large number of articles made from iron, cotton, and wool affects an index number mainly by increasing the representation allotted to manufactured goods. What materials those manufactured goods are made from makes less difference in the index number than the fact that they are manufactured. To replace iron, cotton, and woolen products by copper, linen, and rubber praducts would change the results somewhat, but a much greater change would come from replacing the manufactured forms of iron, cotton, and wool by new varieties of their raw forms. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

This similarity among the price fluctuations of manufactured goods arises from the fact demonstrated by Table 9 that such articles are relatively steady in price. Does knowledge of this steadiness assist in explaining the differences among the six American index numbers of Table 8? To answer we must find the proportions of raw and manufactured commodities included in each index number. Classification along this line is rather uncertain in many cases, but the results shown in the following schedule, if not strictly correct, are at least uniform in their errors.

\footnotetext{
${ }^{1}$ A complation of the differences smong the relative prices in question taken seriatim for esch of the 2 s years $1890-1913$ ylelds the following results:


1 While the fictuations in the prices of manufactured goods are gemarally slightar than those in the prices of raw matarials, they ere neverthaless violent at times, as in the case of cotton yarns and cotton taxtiles during the CIvil Wer. (See p. 52.)

TABLE 12-NUMBER AND PER CENT OF RAW AND MANUFAGTURED COMRODITES INCLUDED IN THE SLX INDEX NUMBERS OF TABLE 8.

| Index number. | Total number of commodities. | Number of- |  | Percentage of- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Raw } \\ \text { commod- } \\ \text { ittes. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Manucommod. ities. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Raw } \\ \text { commod- } \\ \text { itlies. } \end{gathered}$ | Manufactured commodIties. |
| Ftrst. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eecond. | 195 | 36 | 109 | 25 | 75 |
| Thurd... | 50 | 28 | 24 | 52 | 48 |
| Fourth. | 40 | 17 | 23 | 43 | 67 |
| Fith | 25 | 19 | 6 | 78 | $\stackrel{1}{24}$ |
| 8ixth. | 25 | 10 | 15 | 40 | 60 |

On this showing the Bureau of Labor Statistics series ought to be the steadiest, and the second series the next steadiest-and so they are, as the summaries at the bottom of the columns in Table 8 show. With the smaller index numbers, however, the rule does not work well, for the most variable of all-the sixth-has a larger per cent of manufactured goods than the other three. Moreover, number four is more variable than number three, though it has relatively more manufactured goods. But the preceding studies of different groups throw further light upon the matter.
It has been found that among manufactured commodities those bought for family consumption are steadier in price than those bought for business uise. To take account of this factor the manufactured goods in the several series are classified as primarily consumers' goods, primarily producers' goods, or as bought in large measure by both classes of purchasers.

Tabie 13.-CLASSIFICATION OF THE MANUFACTURED COMMODITIES INCLUDED IN THE ELX INDEX NUMBERS OF TABLE 8.

| Yndex number. | Number of- |  |  |  | Par cont of- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufactured articles. | Con- sumers comities. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pro-, } \\ \text { ducers' } \\ \text { codities. } \end{gathered}$ | Both consumers' and producers' corre modities. | Mantfinctured artiales. |  | Pro- ducers con- modities. | Both consumers and procoms. modities. |
| First....... | 198109242361515 | 10851111034 | 73471212811 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r}12 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right.$ | 80754949542460 | 45352225121216 | 803234301244 |  |
| Second. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Third............. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fourth............... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Futh.............. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sixth............... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Here it does turn out that the two series (numbers four and six) which are highly variable despite the inclusion of many manufactured goods have relatively more of those manufactured goods which as a group are most variable. So far as this factor counts, then, it counts toward clearing up the contradiction pointed out in the preceding paragraph. It also brings out a further reason for the comparative stability of the first two series.

The one remaining form of analysis suggested above seems easy to apply. In the schedule below, raw and slightly manufactured commodities like those used in Table 10 are distributed among four groups according as their constituents come chiefly from mines, forests, animal sources, or cultivated fields. There is little doubt about the classification here, but there is much doubt about the significance of the results as applied to our six index numbers. The figures in the schedule are either such small percentages of the whole number of series that they can not exercise much influence upon the results, or such small numbers that they can not claim to be typical of their groups. Further, the second part of the schedule shows that there is less difference among the six index numbers than appears at first sight in the proportions of the raw and slightly manufactured commodities which consist of mineral, forest, animal, and farm products. Hence it is not surprising that efforts to account forthe divergences in Table 8 by appealing to this schedule and to Table 10 accomplish little, especially for the smaller index numbers. This much does appear regarding the first two series: Whenever mineral products and farm crops move sharply in opposite directions the Bureau of Labor Statistics' index diverges from its mate in harmony with mineral products, while the series of 145 commodities bends toward the agricultural products-which is what should happen according to the schedule.
TABLE 14.-FARM, ANMAL, FOREGT, AND MINERAL PRODOCTS IN RAW OR SLIGHTLY MANUFACTURED FORM, INCLUDED IN THE SIX INDEX NUMBERS OF TABLE $\&$


Two practical conclusions of moment to both the makers and the users of index numbers are established by this section. (1) To make an index number that measures the changes in wholesale prices at large, samples must be drawn from all the various groups that behave in peculiar ways. (2) In using an index number made by others, one must study the list of commodities included critically with these groups in mind to know what it really does measure.

The first conclusion seems to contradict a rule often practiced and sometimes preached. Most of the middle-sized index numbers are confined to raw materials and slightly manufactured goods. Most of the small index numbers are confined to foods alone. The makers of both sets argue that their series are more "sensitive" and therefore better measures of price changes than the larger series, which are loaded down with a mass of miscellaneous manufactured goods. And many users of index numbers seem to prefer a series like Sauerbeck's with only 45 commodities, or even one like the Anualist's with only 25 commodities, to one like that of the Bureau of Labor Statistics with five or ten times the number.

Critics who take this stand usually assume tacitly that the purpose of an index number is to serve as a "business barometer," or to measure changes in "the cost of living." If these aims were always clearly realized by the critics and clearly stated for their readers the room left for differences of opinion would be narrow. In Table 8 the index number with 145 commodities shows itself a more sensitive and on the whole more faithful barometer of changing business conditions during the 24 -year period from 1890 to 1913 than the official series with 242 commodities, ${ }^{1}$ and the preceding analysis shows that the sluggishness of the larger index number is due chiefly to its proportion of manufactured goods. For this particular purpose, then, a series modeled after Sauerbeck's has strong claims to preference over one including a larger number of commodities. Indeed, in the light of the preceding discussion one might carry the process of exclusion much further and throw out of the business barometer not only manufactured goods but also all farm crops, on the ground that their prices depbind on the eccentricities of the weather, and most forest products, on the ground that their prices are rising so fast as to obscure the effects of bad times, etc. But clearly such exclusions, while they might make the resulting figures more responsive to changes in business conditions, would also make the figures less acceptable as a measure of changes in prices as a whole. The sluggish movements of manufactured goods and of consumers' commodities in particular, the capricious jumping of farm products, the rapidly increasing dearness of lumber, etc., are all part and parcel of the fluc-

[^27]tuations which the price level is actually undergoing. Consequently, an index number which pretends to measure changes in the general level of pricés can not logically reject authentic quotations from any of these groups. Every restriction in the scope of the data implies a limitation in the significance of the results.

Probably the most illuminating way of presenting an index number that aspires to cover the whole field of prices at wholesale would be to publish separate results for the groups that have characteristic differences of price fluctuations, and then to publish also a grand total including all the groups. The groups to be recognized and the distribution of commodities among them is a difficult matter to decide. Doubtless intensive research along the lines here followed would suggest the desirability of further subdivisions and perhaps the realignment of the whole classification. But, as matters stand, the most significant arrangement seems to be (1) a division of all commodities into raw and manufactured products; (2) the subdivision of raw commodities into farm crops and animal, forest, and mineral products; (3) the subdivision of manufactured products according as they are bought mainly for personal consumption, mainly for business use, or largely for both purposes. It would also be interesting in a supplementary table to bring together index numbers for the leading raw materials and the products manufactured from them.

This classification is based upon differences among the factors affecting the supply of and the demand for commodities that belong to the several groups-that is, upon differences among the factors which determine prices. If we wish our index numbers to help toward an understanding of changes in the price level, a classification along these causal lines promises the most illuminating results; but it is not the basis of classification usually adopted.

In most large index numbers the commodities are divided among several classes, but these classifications seldom possess logical consistency. Among the nine groups recognized by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, for example, one group, "Farm products," emphasizes the place of production; four groups, "Food, etc.," "Fuel and lighting," "Lumber and building materials," and "House-furnishing goods," emphasize the use to which commodities are put; three groups apply a double criterion, use and physical character of the goods, namely, "Cloths and clothing" (which includes such articles as 2-bushel bags), "Metals and implements," and "Drugs and chemicals"; the remaining group is frankly styled "Miscellaneous." Such a classification is not without ustfulness, for there doubtless are readers especially interested in the prices of, say, all things that are raised on farms, and others who care especially about the prices of things used to furnish houses, or things that can be classed together as drugs and chemicals whather they are used chiefly as medicines or to make farm
fertilizers. But if a classification of this empirical character is maintained, it might with advantage be accompanied by a classification that throws more light upon the workings of the complex system of prices.
As for the small series made from the prices of foods alone or from the prices of any single group of commodities, it is clear that, however good for special uses they may be, they are untrustworthy as generalpurpose index numbers. Table 15 shows what differences are likely to appear at any time between series confined to foods and series covering a wider field. The general-purpose indexes are taken from Table 8; two of the food indexes include the commodities quoted by the Annalist index number and by the Gibson index number as now constituted; the thied food index is the bureau's own series for foods, with decimals dropped and new arithmetic means for 1908-1913. ${ }^{\text {s }}$

TABLE 15.-INDEX NUMBERS OF TEE PRICES OF FOODS, AND GENERAL-PURPOSE INDEX NUMBERS, BY YEARS, 1890 TO 1918.
[Data from Bulletingof the Burear of Labor Statistics, No. 149.]
(Arthmetic means. Averige pricee in 1890-1899-100.)

| Year. | General-jutrpose index numbers from Tables. |  | Index numbers of the prices of foods. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 342 \text { to } 291 \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { modities. } \end{aligned}$ | $25 \mathrm{com}-$ modities, furstist. | 25 come modities, Analist | $\begin{gathered} 22 \text { com- } \\ \text { modities, } \\ \text { Clbson } \\ \text { list. } \end{gathered}$ | 48 com modities, Biarean of Labor Statistics' list. |
| 1800. | 118 | 115 | 109 | 109 | 112 |
| 1801. | 112 | 112 | 119 | 121 | 116 |
| 1802. | 108 | 103 | 108 | 108 | 104 |
| 1803. | 108 | 103 | 116 | 110 | 110 |
| 1804. | 96 | 02 | 102 | 98 | 100 |
| 1805. | 94 | 05 | 95 | 94 | 95 |
| 1896. | 90 | 88 | 80 | 81 | 84 |
| 1897. | 90 | . 60 | 84 | 87 | 88 |
| 1888. | 93 | 98 | 92 | 96 | 94 |
| 1809. | 102 | 107 | 93 | 96 | 98 |
| 1900. | 111 | 113 | 99 | 100 | 104 |
| 1901. | 109 | 111 | 117 | 100 | 106 |
| 1902. | 113 | 116 | 117 | 118 | 111 |
| 1903. . . ........................................... | 114 | 118 | 107 | 107 | 107 |
| 1904. | 113 | 129 | 109 | 115 | 107 |
| 1905. | 116 | 123 | 110 | 114 | 109 |
| 1906............................................. | 123 | 130 | 115 | 111 | 113 |
| 1907. | 130 | 132 | 120 | 121 | 118 |
| 1108. | 122 | 124 | 178 | 128 | 128 |
| 1909. | 125 | 133 | 134 | 127 | 125 |
| 1910. | 130 | 133 | 137 | 137 | 129 |
| 1911. | 126 | 129 | 181 | 134 | 127 |
| 1912. | 130 | 140 | 143 | 147 | 135 |
| 1813. | 130 | 142 | 139 | 138 | 131 |
| A verages, $1890-1899$. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1900-1809.. | 118 | 123 | 114 | 115 | 112 |
| 1910-1913......................... | 129 | 136 | 188 | 138 | 131 |
| Number of paints by which prices rose ( + ) or foll (-) in- |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1800-1860 .$ | -23 | $-27$ | $-29$ | - \% | - 部 |
| 1896-1907.................................... | $+40$ | +14 | $+40$ | $+40$ | $+34$ |
| 1907-1808. .................................... | -8 | $\cdots 8$ | +6 | $+7$ | $+4$ |
| 1008-1912. | + 8 | $+16$ | $\pm 17$ | $+19$ | $+17$ |
| 1912-1913. | $\pm 8$ | + 2 | $-4$ | $-8$ | - 4 |
| Diffarence batwean highest and loweat relative prices. | 40 | 54 | 68 | 63 | 51 |
| A verage ahange from year to year........... | 4.0 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 5.0 |

Compere the explenation given, pp. 42 to 44.

GRART 10--INDEX NOMBERS OF THE PRICES OF 25 FOOD PRODUCTS AND OF 25 mISCELLANEOUS COMMODITLES. (BASED ON TABLE 15.)


The three index numbers for foods agree better than might have been expected in view of the dissimilarity of the lists of commodities which they quote and the brevity of two of the lists. ${ }^{1}$ The bureau series is rather steadier than the others, because of the larger proportion of manufactured products included in it; but this series and that of the Annalist invariably agree about the direction in which prices are moving, ${ }^{2}$ and the Gibson figures agree with the other two series in 19 years out of the 24 . On the other hand, the three food indexes often contradict the evidence of the two general-purpose index numbers in a striking fashion. Such contradictions occur in 1890-1891, 1892-1893, 1900-1901, 1902-1903, 1907-1908, and 1912-1913. These differences are due chiefly to a contrast in the years mentioned between business conditions and harvest conditions. They parallel the differences in Table 10 between the index numbers of mineral products and those of farm crops, or farm crops and animal products taken together; for the food indexes are made up almost wholly from the prices of vegetable crops, food animals, and their derivatives. ${ }^{3}$ A food index number, then, is likely at any time to give a wrong impression regarding the shifting of prices in general and is especially treacherous as a business barometer. Nor can such an index when made from wholesale prices be trusted to show changes in the "cost of living"; for living expenses are made up of retail prices, and fluctuations in retail prices do not follow closely those in the wholesale markets.

The second conclusion which this section establishes is that large index numbers are more trustworthy for general purposes than small ones, not only in so far as they include more groups of related prioes, but also in so far as they contain more numerous samples from each group. What is characteristio in the behavior of the prices of farm crops, of mineral products, of manufactured wares, of consumers' goods, etc.-what is characteristic in the behavior of any group of prices-is more likely to be brought out and to exercise its due effects upon the final results when the group is represented by 10 or 20 sets of quotations than when it is represented by only one or two sets.

[^28]The basis of this contention is simple: In every group that has been studied there are certain commodities whose prices seldom behave in the typical way, and no commodities whose prices can be trusted always to behave typically. Consequently, no care to include commodities belonging to all the important groups can guarantee accurate results, unless care is also taken to get numerous representatives of each group.

Even here the matter does not end. The different groups that have been discussed, the other groups that might have been discussed, and the commodities that are included within the several groups differ widely in importance as elements in the system of prices. To these differences, and to the methods of making them count in index numbers, we must now turn.

## 7. PROBLEMS OF WEIGBTING.

It is customary to distinguish sharply between "simple" and "weighted" index numbers. When an effort is made to ascertain the relative importance of the various commodities included, and to apply some plan by which each commodity shall exercise an influence upon the final results proportionate to its relative importance, the index number is said to be weighted. When, on the contrary, no such effort is made, but every commodity is taken just as it comes and supposedly allowed just the same ohance to influence the result as every other commodity, the index number is said to be unweighted, or simple.

In unweighted series, however, it is seldom true that every com. modity has just the same chance to influence the result as every other commodity. For example, in Bradstreet's index the influence of every artiole upon the result varies as its price per pound happens to be large or small. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Again, the dacisive objection to making index numbers by merely adding the ordinary commercial quotations for different articles is that these nominally simple series are in fact viciously weighted series. ${ }^{2}$ Nor does the substitution of relative prices for actual prices assure an equal chance to every article. For instance, in its famous report of 1893, the Senate Cqmmitteo on Finance presented three wholesale-price index numbers-one simple and two weighted; but in the simple series it included relative prices for 25 different kinds of pocketknives, giving this trifling article an influence upon the result more than eight times greator than that given to wheat, corn, and coal put together. Finally, even if one series of relative prices, and only one, be accorded each commodity, it does not follow that equal percentages of change in the price of

[^29]every article will always exercise equal influence upon the results. For, as shown above, when the relative prices are computad upon a fixed base and averaged by the use of arithmetic \#\#eadis, those
 presently far outweigh in influence those commodities whose prices are declining. ${ }^{1}$

Lack of attention to weighting, then, does not automatically secure a fair field and no favor to every commodity; on the contrary, it results in what Walsh happily termed haphazard weighting. Indeed, when it is desired to give each commodity an equal chance to influence the results, great care must be taken; practically a scheme of equal weights must be devised. The real problem for the maker of index numbers is whether he shall leave weighting to chance or seek to rationalize it.
There are two excuses for neglect of weighting. First, as has been shown in another connection, to collect satisfactory statistics showing the relative importance of different commodities is extremely laborious and extremely difficult." Second, there are high authorities who hold that the results turn out much the same whether or not formal weights are used." Certainly "the weights aro of much less importance in determining an index number of prices than the prices themselves." ${ }^{\text {" }}$ But whether their importance is negligible is a question best answered by a study of actual cases such as are shown in the next table. ${ }^{\circ}$

[^30]The discrepancies here revealed between the averages with haphazard and with systematic weights seldom amount to 10 per cent of the results, except under the chaotic price conditions created by the greenback standard in 1862-1873. In many kinds of statistics a 10 per cent margin of error is not accounted large. But in making whole-sale-price index numbers for current years we may reasonably try to get not two, but three, significant figures; and the third figure is usually altered in appreciable degree by the substitution of systematic for haphazard weights. Even the large Canadian series, with its 272 commodities, is shifted 9.5 points, or more than 7 per cent, in 1912 by weighting.

[^31]TABLE 16.-COMPARISONS OF WEIGETED AND UNWEIGHTED INDEX NUMBERS.
[1. From the report of the Senate Committee on Finance, Mar. 3, 1893. By years, 1890 to 1801.]
(Arithmetic meang. Pricen in $1860=100$. )

| Year. | Stimple arithmetic means, all sarticles. | All articles averaged accordling to importance, certain expanditures being uniform. | All articles averaged according to importance: 68.6 per cent of total ex. penditure. | Difference between slmple and first welghted averages. | Difference between simple and second weighted sverages. | Difterence between first and second weighted averages. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1860. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |
| 1861. | 100.6 | 05.9 | 94.1 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 1.8 |
| 1802. | 117.8 | 102.8 | 104.1 | 15.0 | 18.7 | 1.3 |
| 1863 | 148.6 | 122.1 | 132.2 | 28.5 | 16.4 | 10.1 |
| 1864. | 100.5 | 149.4 | 172.1 | 41.1 | 18.4 | 22.7 |
| 1865. | 218.8 | 100.7 | 232.2 | 28.1 | 15.4 | 41.5 |
| 1860. | 191.0 | 160.2 | 187.7 | 30.8 | 3.3 | 27.5 |
| 1867. | 172.2 | 145.2 | 165.8 | 27.0 | 6.4 | 20.8 |
| 1888. | 160.5 | 150.7 | 173.9 | 9.8 | 18.4 | 28.2 |
| 1869. | 153.5 | 135.9 | 153.3 | 17.6 | 1.2 | 16.4 |
| 1880. | ${ }^{112.3}$ | 130.4 | 144.4 | 11.9 | 2.1 | 14.0 |
| 1871. | 136.0 | 124.8 | 136.1 | 11.2 | 1 | 11.3 |
| 1872. | 138.8 | 122.2 | 132.1 | 16.6 | 6.4 | 10.2 |
| 1873. | 137.5 | 119.9 | 129.0 | 17.6 | 8.5 | 9.1 |
| 1884. | 133.0 | 130.5 | 129.9 | 12.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |
| 1875. | 127.6 | 119.8 | 1289 | 7.8 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| 1876. | 118.2 | 115.5 | 122.8 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 7.1 |
| 1877. | 110.9 | 109.4 | 113.6 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 4.2 |
| 1878. | 101.3 | 103.1 | 104.6 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 1.5 |
| 1899. | 06.6 | 96.6 | 55.0 |  | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 1880. | 108.9 | 103.4 | 104.9 | 3.5 | 20 | 1.5 |
| 184. | 105.7 | 105.8 | 108.4 | . 1 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| 1882. | 108.5 | 100.3 | 109.1 | 2.2 | . 8 | 2.8 |
| 1883. | 108.0. | 104.5 | 108.6 | 1.5 | . 8 | 2.1 |
| 1884. | 69.4 | 101.8 | 102.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 8 |
| 1886. | 91.9 | 96.5 | 88.4 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 2.1 |
| 1887. | 92. B | 96.2 | 94.5 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| 1888. | 94.2 | 97.4 | 96. 2 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| 1889. | 94.2 92.3 9.3 | 90.0 96.7 | 88.5 83.7 | 4.8 3.4 | 1.3 1.4 | 2.5 |
| 1881. | 82.3 88 | 98.7 | 98.4 | 3.40 | 2.2 | 1.8 |

[2. From Bulletln of the Department of Labor, No. 27, March, 1000. January of the years, 1890 to 1890.]
(Arithmetic means. Averagen of 2 quartoriy quotatlona, January, 1890 to Jannary, 1892-100.)

| Year and month. | All articles slmply averuged. | All articles averaged according to importance, cettain expenditures beling considered unitorm. | All articles averaged gecording to importance, com prising 68.6 par cont of total expenditure. | Difference betwean simple and lirst wetghted averages. | Difference between simple and second waighted avbrages. | Difference between first and second welghted averages. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1880, January. | 102.0 | 100.1 | 100.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| 1891, January. | 100.8 | 102.2 | 103.2 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| 1892, January. | 96.5 | 100.0 | 100.1 | 3.5 | 8.6 | . 1 |
| 1863, January. | 97.2 | 103. 4 | 105.0 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 1.6 |
| 1894, January. | 88.6 | 97.5 | ${ }^{96.4}$ | 7.9 | 6.8 | 1.1 |
| 18 ct , January. | 81.7 | 98.5 | 80.5 | 8.8 | 6.8 | 8.0 |
| 1886, January. | 85.8 | 92.8 | 89.5 | 7.6 | 4.8 | 3.3 |
| 1807, January. | 88.0 | 90.3 | 88.8 | 88 | 8.9 | 4.4 |
| 1808, January. Janury. | 88.3 <br> 86.5 | 91.0 | 88.8 <br> 88.8 | 7.7 4.5 | 3.5 .3 | 4.2 |
| 1809, January. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 16.-COMPARISONS OF WEIGHTED AND UNWEIGHTED INDEX NUMBERS-Cono,
[3. From Wholesale Prices, Cannda, 1913. Report by R. H. Coals. By years, 1880 to 1813.]
(Arthhmetic means. Average prices, 1890 to 1899-100.)

| Year. | Weighted index number. | Onwoighted indox number | Differences. | Year. | Weighted index number. | Thwoighted index number | Differеncers. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1890. | 112.0 | 110.3 | 1.7 | 1908. | 109.6 | 109.0 | 0.6 |
| 1891. | 111.3 | 108.5 | 2.8 | 1903. | 100.7 | 110.5 | . 8 |
| 1592. | 104.8 | 1028 | 2.1 | 1904. | 110.0 | 111.4 | . 8 |
| 1803. | 103.9 | 102.5 | 1.4 | ${ }_{1006}$ | 113.8 | 113.8 |  |
| 189. | 97.2 | 97.2 |  |  | 120.1 | 120.0 |  |
| ${ }_{1}^{12995}$ | 90.6 90.8 | ${ }_{62.5}^{6.6}$ | 1.9 | ${ }_{1908} 19$. | 129.2 | 128.2 120.8 | 3.0 4.3 |
| 1897. | 89.9 | 92.2 | 23 | 1909. | 120.3 | 121.2 | 5.1 |
| 1898. | 95.5 | 96.1 | . ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 1910. | 128.0 | 124.2 | 3.8 |
| 109. | 90.0 | 100.1 | 1.1 | 191. | 131.1 | 127.4 | 3.7 |
| 1800. | 105.8 | 108.2 | 2.4 | 1912. | - 143.9 | 134.4 | 0.5 |
| 1801.. | 100.0 | 107.0 | 1.0 | 1913. | - 139.0 | 135.5 | 4.1 |

[4. From new compatations by the Burean of Labor Statistics. ${ }^{\text {1] }}$
(Arthmetic moans. Avertage pricea in 1890 to 1899-100.)

| Year. | 18 farm products. |  |  | 37 food prodacts. |  |  | 14 metalic products. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { Un- }}{\substack{\text { Unhted. }}}$ | Weighted by estimated ex-pondiupon each article in 1009. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dif- } \\ & \text { fer- } \\ & \text { ferexs. } \end{aligned}$ | Onweighted. | Weighted by estimated ex-penditures tpon each article in 1909. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dif } \\ \text { for- } \\ \text { foncos. } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { welghted. }}{\text { On- }}$ | Weighted by estimated ex-penditures upon each article in 1909. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dif- } \\ \text { fer- } \\ \text { nerees. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1800. | 113 | 109 |  | 114 | 114 |  | 128 | 181 |  |
| 1991..... | 124 | 117 | 7 | 116 | 114 | 2 | 118 | 116 | 2 |
| 1192. | 112 | 105 | 7 | 105 | 103 | 2 | 110 | 107 | 3 |
| 1850. | 100 | 107 | 1 | 112 | 111 |  | 102 | 98 | 4 |
| 1s94... | 98 | 94 | 2 | ${ }^{98}$ | 97 | 2 | 88 | 84 | 4 |
| 1:1096....... | ${ }_{78} 8$ | ${ }_{88}^{05}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ <br> 8 | ${ }_{83}^{80}$ | ${ }_{80}^{84}$ | 1 | ${ }_{88}^{88}$ | 88 | 3 |
| 1597.......... | 84 | 03 | ${ }_{9}^{8}$ | 87 | 80 | ${ }_{3}^{8}$ | 82 | 80 | 2 |
| 1888... | 97 | 97 | 0 | 83 | 96 | 3 | 83 | 81 | 2 |
|  | 109 | 98 108 | 1 | -9888109 | $\begin{array}{r}96 \\ 100 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8 | 124 | 124 | 1 |
| 1001. | 117 | 115 | 2 | 110 | 102 | 8 | 114 | 113 | 1 |
| 1902. | 130 | 129 | 1 | 114 | 108 | ${ }^{8}$ | 114 | 114 | 0 |
| 1903. | 120 | 120 | 0 | 110 | 104 | ${ }^{6}$ | 114 | 113 | 1 |
| 1904. | 130 125 | 128 | 2 | 113 | 110 | 3 | 105 | 102 | 3 |
| 1906. | 122 | 124 | 2 | 115 | 109 | 9 | 131 | 150 | 3 |
| 1907. | 139 | 136 | 3 | 120 | 112 |  | 138 | 140 | 2 |
| IgRe | 135 | 135 | 0 | 122 | 119 | 3 | 103 | 108 | 5 |
| 1999. | 150 | 154 | 4 | 124 | 126 | 2 | 109 | 107 |  |
| 1910 1. | 181 168 | 165 150 | 16 | 129 128 | 127 | ${ }^{3}$ | 111 | 108 | 8 |
| 1912. | 173 | 104 | 9 | 137 | 137 | 0 | 120 | 114 | 6 |
| 1913. | 152 | 161 | 9 | 133 | 127 | 6 | 110 | 115 | 4 |

isee explanatlons in foctuote, p. 72.
If rational weighting is worth striving after, then, by what criterion shall the relative importance of the different commodities be judged? That depends upon the object of the investigation. If, for example, the aim be to measure ohanges in the cost of living, and the data be retail quotations of consumers' commodities, then the proportionate expenditures upon the different articles as represented by collections of family budgets make approprinte weights. If the aim be to study
changes in the money incomes of farmers, then the data should be "farm prices," the list of commodities should be limited to farm products, and the weights should be proportionate to the monetary receipts from the several products. If the aim be to construct a business barometer, the data should be prices from the most representative wholesale markets, the list should be confined to commodities whose prices are most sensitive to changes in business prospects and least liable to change from other causes, and the weights may logically be adjusted to the relative importance of the commodities as objects of investment. If the aim be merely to find the differences of price fluctuation characteristic of dissimilar groups of commodities, or to study the influence of gold production or the issue of irredeemable paper money upon the way in which prices change, it may be appropriate to give identical weights to all the commodities. If, on the other hand, the aim be to make a general-purpose index number of wholesale prices, the question is less easy to answer.

One proposition, however, is clear. The prevalent practice of weighting wholesale-price index numbers by figures drawn from family budgets is to be deprecated. For family budgets do not show the importance of wheat and cotton, of petroleum and spelter, of tar and lime, of pig iron and hides, of brick and lumber; indeed, to apply budget weights to half or more of the articles in any wholesale list is impossible, or at best nonsensical. And to pretend that wholesaleprice index numbers when weighted on the basis of family expenditures show fluctuations in the cost of living is to overtax the credulity of those who know and to abuse the confidence of those who do not.

Allied to the family-budget method of weighting and yet vastly better for wholesale-price index numbers is the "aggregate expenditure" method. ${ }^{1}$ Here an attempt is made to ascertain the aggregate sums of money laid out by the people of a whole country upon the articles quoted and to adjust their weights upon this basis. Of course the country as a whole buys raw materials, as single families do not, and of course consumers' commodities can be taken at their aggregate values in wholesale markets. Similar in net effect is the weighting an the basis of consumption practiced by the British Board of Trade. For "consumption is taken to mean any process by which the commodity is substantially changed in character. In other words, consumption in manufacture is recognized as well as consumption by an individual." ${ }^{3}$ Somewhat different weights would result if quantities or values produced were taken in place of quantities or values consumed. Mr. Walsh thinks it best to combine these

[^32]two criteris-that is, to take "either the total product or the total consumption according as the one or the other is the greater." Prof. Irving Fisher prefers "an index number in which every article or service is weighted according to the value of it exchanged at base prices in the year whose level of prices it is desired to find.'" On this system the weight assigned to each article would be affected by the number of times it changed hands on its way from producer to final consumer. A variation of his plan is therefore represented by the proposal to weight each article according to the quantity of it which enters into the country's commerce, irrespective of the frequency with which it changes hands.

The practical consequences of adopting these different systems of weighting may be illustrated by considering their application to cotton, corn, and coffee in the United States. Production weights would give cotton much greater importance than consumption or aggregate-xpenditure weights, because so large a part of the American crop is exported and consumed abroad. Exchange weights would be practically equivalent to production weights, because practically all the cotton grown is sold by the planters and enters into the commerce of the country, and very little cotton is imported. On Prof. Fisher's plan, however, the exchange weights would be some multiple of the production weights, depending upon the average number of American hands through which the cotton passed. In the case of corn, production and consumption weights would substantially agree, for we import very little corn and export but a very small percentage of the production. On the other hand, exchange weights would be much less than either production or consumption weights, because a large part of the corn crop is never sold, but is consumed on the farms where it is grown. In the case of coffee, production weights would be practically zero, while consumption and exchange weights would correspond closely.

We are helped toward a choice among these rivals by common agreement upon a slightly different point. In arranging any system of weights except Prof. Fisher's, double counting is to be avoided so far as possible. For example, if cotton is counted at itsofull importance as a raw material, then cotton yarns and later cotton fabrics made of the yarn can not be counted at their full importance without assigning triple weight to the raw cotton which is represented at these two successive stages of manufacture. Now, if this sensible observation be applied to cases like those of corn, hay, etc., it casts the die in favor of exchange weights. For if these articles, which are used largely by the original producers in making things quite

[^33]different from corn and hay (for instance, pork and beef) are counted at the full amount produced or consumed, and if their products (the pork and beef) are also counted at the full amount produced or consumed, there will be a great deal of double counting. Not all but much of this duplication can be eliminated by counting only the amount of corn and hay sold by the producers and letting the rest of these articles produced and consumed get their proper representation under the captions of pork, beef, etc. ${ }^{1}$

If for this reason exchange appears a rather better criterion of importance than production, consumption, or a combination of the two, it remains only to decide whether the number of times a thing is exchanged should be recognized. Prof. Irving Fisher had good cause to propose faultiple counting, for he wanted an index number of prices for constructing the "equation of exchange," a mathematical expression of the necessary equivalence between the total volume of business done in a country and the total volume of payments effected by means of money and credit instruments. Of course the oftener an article is sold and paid for the more important it is as a factor in this equation. But it does not follow that the economic importance of an article is greatly changed by reorganizing the chain of business enterprises that deal in it. "Integration of industry," as expressed in our trusts, does not make pig iron less significant as an item in the country's economic life, except in the sense that it reduces the average number of transfers of ownership. The quantity of the article that enters into exchange, then, irrespective of the number of turnovers, is probably the most satisfactory gauge of importance to apply in making general-purpose index numbers. But anyone experienced in the search for statistical information will need, no warning that in the working out of weights along this line many puzzling cases will arise in which consistency will be difficult to maintain, to say nothing of wide gaps and many weak places in the existing data being revealed.

Three interesting questions remain: Should the weights be sums of money or physical quantities? Should the weights be changed from year to year or kept constant? . Should the weights be adjusted to the importance of the commodities as such, or should there be taken into account also the importance of the commodities as representing certain types of price fluctuations?

When relative prices are being used the weights should be reduced to a common denominator. As multipliers, of course, weights may be regarded as merely abstract numbers; but in studying the weights

[^34]themselves it is necessary to have some common standard by which the relative importance assigned to various commodities can be accurately compared. The only common denominator for all commodities that is significant for economic ends and capable of quantitative expression is money value. But it is ill advised to weight by money values when actual prices are being used, for the common denominator is already present in the quotations themselves. These price quotations are best multiplied by the physical quantities of the goods produced, exchanged, or consumed, as the case may be.
The argument in favor of changing the weights at frequent intervals is that the relative importance of commodities is continually varying. Hence a system of fixed weights applied to prices over a long period of years is certain to be inaccurate for most of these years, however accurately it was adjusted to conditions prevailing when it was devised. The rejoinder is that an index number is primarily a device for measuring changes in prices; if the weights are revised it becomes a measure of two sets of changes, and no one can tell what part of the net results is due to variations in prices and what to variations in weights. ${ }^{1}$ Practically, then, the compiler must choose between two evils-inaccurate weights and ambiguous price measures. Sometimes he can minimize the first evil by collecting data showing the average importance of his commodities over a period of years, for these averages are less likely to go awry than figures for a single year. And when he makes chain index numbers he is free to revise his weights as often as he likes, since such series do not profess to yield accurate comparisons except between successive years. In other cases the loast objectionable compromise is probably to revise the scheme of weights, say, once a decade, and to show the effect of this change by computing overlapping results for a few years with both the old and new weights. A further practical reason in favor of this compromise is found in the heavy expense of time and labor required for frequent revisions of the weights.

To the third question, whether weights should be adjusted to the importance of the commodities as such, or whether there should also be taken into account the importance of these commodities as representatives of certain types of price fluctuations, little attention has been paid. But the preceding section shows that this neglected problem is both important and difficult. The prices of raw materials behave differently from the prices of manufactured goods; among the raw materials the prices of farm crops, of forest, animal, and mineral products behave differently; there are also differences of behavior between the prices of manufactured goods bought by pro-

[^35]ducers and by consumers, etc. An accurate measure of changes in the level of all wholesale prices is not obtained unless all of the different types of fluctuation, doubtless including types not yet definitely. recognized, are represented in accordance with the relative importance of the commodities belonging to each.

How can such representation be attained? If all the commodities bought and sold could be included in the index number, it would suffice to weight each by the criterion of its own individual importance. Since that is out of the question, it is theoreticall $\bar{Y}$ necessary to draw from each part of the whole system of prices samples sufficient to determine its characteristic fluctuations, and then to make sure that each part of the whole system counts for the proper amount in determining the final result. On this plan commodities would be weighted simply as commodities in making the subtotals for each recognized group, and these subtotals would be weighted again in making up the grand totals.

Perhaps it is a counsel of perfection to urge such refinements in systems of weighting. Certainly the difficulties to be encountered are very great. Statistical knowledge is not complete enough to supply accurate data for weighting all the different parts of the system of prices that are known to have characteristic peculiarities of fluctuation. Nor have these different types and the commodities exhibiting each been adequately studied. And puzzling difficulties are raised by overlapping among the types-there are commodities that belong in two places at once. But here is certainly a promising lead for future efforts to improve present measurements of changes in the price level. Even now it might be feasible by taking pains to secure rough justioe as between raw and manufactured commodities, and as between raw vegetable, animal, forest, and mineral products. One modest step in the right direction can readily be taken by any compiler of index numbers: He can make olear that his results do not measure changes in the general level of wholesale prices accurately when they are obtained without an effort to represent each part of the field according to its due importance.

## 8. AVERAGES AND AGGREGATES.

Among all the problems involved in the making of index numbers the one that has been discussed most thoroughly is the best form of average to strike. Most of these discussions have come from men interested in the mathematical side of statistics rather than in the problem of ascertaining what changes have actually occurred in prices. The practical makers of index numbers, on the contrary, have seldom troubled themselves greatly about theoretical refinements of method. Indeed, the two problems of finding out how prices have actually changed, and finding the best method of meas-
uring changes, appeal to two types of interest, whioh are seldom strongly developed in the same mind. The mathematical statistician is likely to know little and care less about the field work of collecting price quotations. To the practical statistician this field work is of overshadowing importance, and the subsequent manipulation of his data is a matter of secondary interest. Hence, a study of index numbers as they are made need not carry one into long mathematical flights. ${ }^{1}$

First, it should be recalled that certain compilers of index numbers do not strike averages at all. The old form of the Economist index and Gibson's present index, for example, are sums of relative prices. More important are the series which dispense with the use of relative prices for each commodity, and give results in the form of sums of metual prices, or such sums thrown back into a series of relative numbers. These cases are still exceptional, however, and most index numbers are made by finding some sort of average from the relative prices of the commodities included.

The sort of average struck is almost always the arithmetic meanthat is, the sum of the relative prices divided by their number. Oocasionally medians are used-that is, the midmost relative prices which divide the whole number of cases into two equal groups. In one famous investigation, ${ }^{2}$ geometric means were employed-that is, all the relative prices for a given date were multiplied together and the $n$th roots of the products were extracted, $n$ standing for the number of commodities included. But Jevons has had few imitators. The other standard forms of averages-the mode and the harmonic meanhave been discussed frequently, but used seldom, in making index numbers. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

For the geometric mean two great merits are claimed. First, unlike the arithmetic mean, it is not in danger of distartion from the asymmetrical distribution of price fluctuations. Chart 2 shows that in a large colleotion of percentage variations from the prices of the preceding year, the extreme cases of rise run about twice as far up the scale as the extreme cases of fall rum down. Such a distribution is characteristio of relative prices in general. Indeed, the case cited is distinctly moderate; most collections of variations covering many

[^36]years would show a greater difference. Of course there is no limit tc the possible percentage of rise, while the possible percentage of fal can not exceed 100. If the price of one article be doubled and the price of another be halved, their relative prices will be 200 and 50 . Then an arithmetic mean will show a net rise of 25 per cent; for $\frac{200+50}{2 \cdot}=125$. But a geometric mean will show that the price level has not changed; for $\sqrt{200 \times 50}=100$. Second, geometric means can be shifted from one base period to another easily and without inaccuracy. Suppose, for example, that the price of wheat falls from $\$ 1$ per bushel in 1913 to 50 cents in 1914, while the price of corn remains unchanged at 40 cents. Then the relative prices are-
(1) On the basis, , prices in $1913=100$ :

|  | 1913 | 1014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat. | - 100 | 50 |
| Corn. | 100 | 100 |

(2) On the basis, prices in 1914 $=100$ :

|  | 1913 | 1914 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat | 200 | 100 |
| Corn.. | 100 | 100 |

The arithmetic and geometric means are
(1) On the basis of prices in 1913:

|  | Arithmetio means. | Grometrio means. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | $(100+100)+2=100$ | $\sqrt{100 \times 100}-100.00$ |
| 1014. | $(50+100)+2=75$ | $\sqrt{50 \times 100}=70.71+$ |

(2) On the basis of prices in 1914:


Here the arithmetic means can not, but the geometric means can, be shifted from the 1913 base to the 1914 base or vice versa by simply dividing the index number for one year by that for the other. That is, $100 \div 75=133 \mathrm{f}$, not 150 ; but $100 \div 70.71=141.42 .{ }^{1}$ By
shifting the base in this simple fashion geometric means can be made to give direct comparisons between the price levels at any two dates covered by the investigation, whereas with arithmetic means comparisons must always be made in terms of prices at the original base period.

The chief objection to geometric means in an index number intended for general use is that this form of average is unfamiliar and therefore more likely to be misinterpreted than arithmetic means. Further, geometric means do not have any direct bearing upon changes in the purchasing power of money as do arithmetic means and weighted aggregates of actual prices. ${ }^{1}$ Finally, geometric means are more laborious to compute than arithmetic means or medians. Instead of adding the relative prices just as they stand and dividing the sums by their number, the computer must convert the relative prices of every commodity into their logarithms, add these logar rithms, divide the sums by the number of logarithms, and look up the natural numbers corresponding to the quotients. ${ }^{2}$ Statisticians are the more loath to incur the extra labor of this process since the special merits of the geometric mean are shared in part by certain other forms of index, numbers. Like geometric means, sums of actual prices, or relatives made directly from them, can be shifted to any base desired. Like geometric means, again, medians are not more affected by cases of exceptionally great adyances in price than
${ }^{1}$ This point is more fully explained an pp. 88 and 89.
-If relative prices are not needed for any other purpoes, it is quicker to compute the geometrie mean from the logarithms of the succossive actual pricas and then to find the ratios betwean the results. But even that is a somewhat longer process than calculating raintive prices, casting them up, and dividing by thetr number.
That geometrio means can be computad elther with or without the use of relative prices is resdily shown.
Lat $\left.\begin{array}{ll}p_{0} & p_{x} \\ p_{\prime} a_{1} & p_{x}^{\prime} \\ \\ p_{0} & p_{1}\end{array}\right]$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}p_{0}^{n}, p_{n}^{\prime \prime} \\ p_{0}^{n}\end{array}\right\}$ atand for the actual prices of $n$ commodities in the two years o and $x$.
Than the relative prices of those artioles in the year $x$ on the basis of actual prices in the year o are

$$
\frac{p_{z_{2}}}{p_{0}}, \frac{p_{z}^{\prime}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{0}^{\prime}} \cdot \cdots \frac{p_{x}^{n}}{p_{0}^{n}}
$$

The geomatric manan of theas relatives is

$$
\sqrt[n]{\left(\frac{p_{0}}{p_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{p_{x}^{\prime}}{p_{0}^{\prime}}\right) \cdots\left(\frac{p_{x}^{n}}{p_{0}^{n}}\right)}
$$

But this exprossion is equal to

$$
\frac{\sqrt[n]{\left(p_{x}\right)\left(p_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdots\left(p_{x}^{q}\right)}}{\sqrt[n]{\left(p_{0}\right)\left(p_{0}^{\prime}\right) \cdots\left(p_{0}^{x}\right)}}
$$

[^37]by cases of exceptionally great declines. Hence in practice most makers of index numbers who distrust arithmetic means abandon relative prices altogether or use medians instead of taking to geometric means.

Medians, indeed, have several distinguished champions among theoretical writers. ${ }^{1}$ Of all averages they are the easiest to compute, for a quick arrangement of the materials followed by simple counting of the items takes the place of casting sums and dividing by the proper number. And Prof. Edgeworth has recently argued that the median is safer than the arithmetic mean when, as in the case of index numbers, the items to be averaged are samples drawn from a larger field. For, according to the theory of probabilities, the probable error of the median can not in any case be much greater than that of the arithmetic mean and in other cases it may be very much less. ${ }^{3}$

But medians have their drawbacks. (1) They are not perfectly reversible; that is, they can not always be shifted from one base to another by simple division. (2) The median may not answer precisely to its definition when several of the items to be averaged have identical values. For example, in Table 2 of this bulletin it often happens that the median falls in a large group of precisely identical figures, so that it ceases to be true that half of the cases are above and half below the median. ${ }^{3}$ (3) Medians of different groups can not be combined, averaged, or otherwise manipulated with ease as can arithmetic means. For example, in making up its index number the Bureau of Labor Statistics can add the sums used for making arithmetic means of the relative prices of farm products, foods, cloths and clothing, etc., and from the sum of these sums strike the grand average for all commodities. It could not handle medians in this convenient fashion; instead of combining the sums from the groups it would have to combine the single commodities. Similarly, a reader who finds arithmetic means of two groups in different sources can compute the arithmetic mean of these means, provided the number of items in each group be stated, with no greater error than that arising from the dropping of fractions in the published data; but he can not approximate except in the vaguest way the median of two

[^38]medians. ${ }^{1}$ (4) When the number of items to be averaged is small, medians are erratic in their behavior. For in such groups there is often a considerable interval between the midmost relative price and the relative prices standing next above it and next below. No change in any of the items, large or small, can alter the position of the median umless it shifts an item from the upper half of the list to the lower half, or vice versa. But any change of this character, large or small, will make the median jump over the whole interval between its former position and that of the next highest or next lowest relative price, unless the change happens to place a new item within these limits. In large groups such erratic jumps are less likely to occur, because the intervals between the median and its nearest neighbors are usually slight.

Most of the advantages and defects of arithmetic means have been mentioned incidentally, but it is well to list them all together. (1) Arithmetic means, then, stand next to medians in ease of computation, and even ahead of medians when the items are to be averaged first inf small and later in large groups. (2) They are perfectly definite in meaning. (3) Their familiarity to all readers is a great advantage in work intended for wide reading. (4) They are more representative averages than medians, being affected by any change in any of the items in the group. (5) They can themselves be averaged and manipulated algebraically in various other ways. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. On the other side of the score it must be said (6) that arithmetic means are liable to distortion from the occurrence of one or two extremely high relative prices; (7) that arithmetic means of relative prices can not consistently be shifted from one base to another without recomputation in full, ${ }^{\text {s }}$ and (8) that they may conceivably give contradictory results con-

[^39]cerning the direction in which prices are moving, according as relative prices are computed on one base or on another. ${ }^{1}$

Concerning the numerical value of the three averages under discussion, it can be proved that the geometric mean is always less than the arithmetic. On the other hand, the median may be either above or below the arithmetic mean, and likewise either above or below the geometric mean. For example, if the relative prices of the 145 commodities represented in the second index number of Table 8 be averaged in these three ways, the results are as follows for 1913:

Geometric mean, 125.7; median, 126.9; arithmetic mean, 131.3. .
A fuller study of the relations between medians and arithmetic means is provided for by the following table. ${ }^{2}$ In the chain index the two forms of average never quite coincide; the median is smaller in 20 cases and larger in 3; it is also steadier than the arithmetic mean in the sense that it indicates an average annual change of 2.22 per

> I Take, for axample, tho following data:

|  | 1913 | 1014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat, per brushal. | \$0, 50 | $31.0{ }^{\circ}$ |

Then compate fudex nambers on the basis 1913-100:


Also, compute index numbers on the basts 1914-100:


Thus it appears that prices were 25 per cent higher in 1913 than in 1914 and also that they were 25 per cent higher $\ln 1814$ than in 1918. Much stress is often laid apon illustrations of this sort; but timey are not seriously damagtng to the good repute of arithmatic means when properly interpreted. What they resllysay is: The arithmetic mean varlation of prices from 1013 to 1014 may concelvably be upward in percontagea of prices in 1913, and at the same time be downward In parcentages of prices in 1914. No real inconsistencyis involved in that statament to ons who can reep the meanings of the two results in mind. It should be added that cases in which such apparant inconsistancy occurs, while common in theoretical diseusions, reldom if ever oceur in the prectical computation of wholesale-price index numbers. In retall-price indexes they are not unknown. An example has been polnted out in the British Board of Trade's reporta upen cost of living of the working classes. See the reviews by J. M. Keynes in the Economic Journal, Septernber and Deosmber, 1008.
${ }^{2}$ For numerical exfimples of geometric and arithmetio meana computed from the same data, see F. Y. Edgeworth, "A defense of index numbers," Economio Journal, Vol, VI (1896), p. 137, and A. W. Flue "Modes of construoting index numbers," Quarteriy Journal of Economice, Vol. XXI (1007), p. 687,
cent from prices in the preceding year, as against 3.64 per cent for the arithmetic mean. In the fixed-base series for 1890-1913, including 145 commodities, the median is likewise steadier than the arithmetic mean, showing a smaller percentage of change except during the middle nineties, when the price level was at its lowest. The second series for these years illustrates the erratic character of the median when used to average a small group of variations. Here the median is greater than the arithmetic mean in 13 years, just the same in one year, and less in 10 years. Moreover, it shows a greater average change from one year to the next than the arithmetic mean. Finally, the figures for prices during the period of irredeemable paper money ( $1862-1878$, inclusive) show how far arithmetic means may depart from the medians when a few commodities attain very high relative prices. The maximum difference occurs in July, 1864, when the arithmetic mean exceeds the median by 42 points, or more than 20 per cent. This excessive difference is due to the high prices of cotton, tar, and other southern products. It is precisely in cases such as this that the median is distinctly safer to trust than the arithmetic mean.

TABLE 17.-COMPARISONS OF MRDIANS AND ARITHMETIC MEANS AS AVERAGES OF RELATIVE PRICEB.
[Data from Bullotin of the Burean of Labor Statiatics, No. 149.]


TABLE 17.-COMPARISONS OF MEDIANS AND ARITHMETIC MEANS AS AVERAGES OF
RELATIVE PRICES-Concluded.
[From W. C. Mitohall, Gold Prices and Wages under the Greenbeck Standard, pp. 50, 60.]

| 92 commodities at wholpsale (prices in 1860 m 100). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mer } \\ & \text { dlans. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|\|} \hline \text { Arlath- } \\ \text { metic } \\ \text { means. } \end{array}$ | Year. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Me- } \\ \text { dians. } \end{array}$ | Arith metic means. | Year. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Me- } \\ \text { dlans. } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Arith- } \\ \text { metic } \\ \text { macens. } \end{array}\right.$ |
| 1860, January. | 100 | 102 | 1867, January | 109 | 179 | 1874, January | 130 | 140 |
| April. | 100 | 102 | April.. | 186 | 175 | April. | 129 | 141 |
| July. | 100 | 100 | July. | 150 | 170 | Juy. | 130 | 138 |
| 1891, January | 100 | 102 | 1888, Jantuary | ${ }_{158}^{182}$ | 172 | 1875, January | 130 | 138 |
| - April. | 96 | 98 | 180, April... | 182 | 176 | 1876, Jamiary | 125 | 138 |
| Jny ... | 90 | 95 | Juy... | 154 | 165 | July.. | 121 | -129 |
| October. | 97 | 103 | October | 159 | 160 | October | 120 | 127 |
| 1862, January. | 100 | + 2115 | 1860, January | 159 159 | 165 | 1876, January | 117 | 122 |
| ${ }^{\text {A }}$ pril | 100 | +112 | April | 159 | 185 | Aprill | 115 | 122 |
| July. | 111 | 120 | July | 158 | 158 | July.: | 110 | 118 |
| 1888 , January | 111 | 126 |  | 153 | 157 <br> 152 <br> 1 | 1877, January | 114 | 117 |
| 1800, Januili. | 125 | 142 | 1870, January | 147 <br> 140 | 146 | 1877, January | 114 | 118 |
| July. | 134 | 155 | July | 132 | 145 | , July. | 100 | 114 |
| October. | 135 | 155 | October. | 135 | 143 | October | 102 | 110 |
| 1884, January. | 150 | 179 | 1871, January | 133 | 142 | 1878, January | ${ }^{99}$ | 107 |
| April.. | 169 | 107 236 | April. | 131 130 | 140 <br> 137 | A pril. | 98 | 105 |
| July.... | ${ }_{200}^{104}$ | 236 230 | Only | 131 <br> 129 | 137 139 | July... | 90 | 99 |
| 1885, Jenuary. | 215 | 248 | 1872, January | 133 | 141 | 1879, January | 88 | 100 |
| April. | 190 | 206 | April.. | 140 | 145 | April. | ${ }_{4}$ | ${ }_{89}$ |
| July.. | 158 | 183 | July | 130 | 139 | July.. | 85 | 98 |
| October | 175 | 205 199 | 1873, Octob | 133 | 143 | 1880, Ofanuary | ${ }^{65}$ | 111 |
|  | ${ }_{173}^{182}$ | ${ }_{198}^{198}$ | 187, April. | 137 | 144 | 18s, April.. | 107 | 116 |
| July. | 181 | 191 | July | 130 | 140 | July. | 102 | 110 |
| October | 173 | 188 | Octob | 131 | 140 | Octob | 101 | 111 |

Average change from one quarter to the nort: Medians, 5.66 points; arlthmetic means, 5.05 points.
Wise choice of the average to use in making an index number, then, involves careful consideration of the materials to be dealt with and of the purpose in view. (1) If that purpose be to measure the average ratio of change in prices, the geometric mean is the best, indeed, in strictness, it is the only proper average to employ. For, alone among our averages, the geometric mean always allows equal influence to equal ratios of change in price, quite irrespective of the previous levels of the prices in question, the amounts of money represented by the changes themselves, or any other factor. As has been said already, in a geometric mean the doubling of one price is precisely offset by the halving of another price-though if the two prices were originally the same the rise amounts in money to twice the fall. And further changes of 10 per cent from the two new prices will again be precisely equal in their influence upon a geometric mean, although 10 per cent of the price that has doubled represents a sum of money four times as great as 10 per cent of the price that has been halved. (2) But these same examples show that geometric means are not proper averages for measuring alterations in the amount of money that goods cost. And as a rule our interest does center in the money cost of goods rather than in the average ratio
of changes in price. For example, when we are investigating the increased cost of living, the doubling of one item in the family budget. may well be twice as important as its halving; and when we are studying the "relation of prices to the currency, a large upward variation should count for more than a small downward variation, for it requires more currency." ${ }^{\text {- }}$ For such purposes the arithmetic
Ghart 11.-A Comparigon of medians and arithmetic means of 145 commodiTIES. (BASED ON TABLE 17.)

mean is the logical average to use. (3) Frequently, however, the very fact that an article has advanced greatly in price cuts down its market, so that the increase in money cost represented by the arithmetic mean exists on paper rather than in fact.' When such cases of extreme advance are numerous among the relative prices to be averaged, the median may give more significant, results than the arithmetic mean. (4) When the number of commodities included

[^40]in the index number is small, however, medians are likely to prove highly erratic, representing less the general trend of prices than the peculiarities of the data from which they are made. (5) If the index number is designed for the public at large, the familiarity of arithmetic means is an argument in their favor; but it counts for nothing in the case of figures intended for specialists. (6) Often the usefulness of a new index number may be enhanced without detriment to its special purpose by throwing it into a form directly comparable with that of index numbers already in existence. Then, of course, not only the form of average but also the base period employed in making the existing series has special claims for imitation. (7) Finally, the desirability of making index numbers that can be shifted from one base to another deserves far more consideration than is commonly accorded it. On this count the score is in favor of the geometric mean. If geometric means were invariably used, all index numbers could readily be compared with one another, whatever the bases on which they were originally computed. And that would be a great gain to all students of prices.
No single form of average made from relative prices, then, is without its merits and its defects. Can we not escape the necessity of relying upon any one of them by giving up the use of relative prices and falling back upon aggregates of actual prices?
Index numbers made on this latter plan practically compel the compiler to adopt a systematic scheme of weighting. For the haphazard weighting involved in merely adding up the raw quotations for different commodities in terms of their ordinary commercial units is far more dangerous than the haphazard weighting involved in using the same materials after reduction to relative prices.' It is also true that sums in dollars and cents are likely to run in amounts awkward for comparison; but these sums can quickly be turned into a series of relatives on the scale of 100 . The same device meets the objection that the introduction of new commodities, necessary at intervals in any large index number that is kept up to date, disturbs a sum of actual prices more than it disturbs an average of relative prices. Thim statement is valid because the quotations for new commodities, however adjusted, are just so much added to the old sum; while the relative prices of new commodities may be either above or below the old average, and often exercise but a trifing net effect upon its value. But by noting the ratios between the sums of actual money whioh include and which exclude the new commodities, and by using these ratios to adjust successive aggregates, the compiler

[^41]meats this difficulty quite as well as if he were averaging rolatives from the start.
The technical difficulties attending the construction of index numbers made of actual prices, then, can be surmounted. Offsetting these difficulties are numerous and substantial advantages. Aggregates of money prices weighted according to the importance of the several articles are as easy to understand as arithmetic means of relative prices. They are less laborious to compute than any other form of weighted series, for no relative prices are used; the original quotations are multiplied directly by the physical quantities used as weights, and the products added together. They are not tied to a single base period; but from them relative prices can quickly be made upon the chain system or any fixed base that is desired, and these relative prices themselves can be shifted about at will as readily as geometric means. ${ }^{\text {. Hence they are capable of giving direct comparisons }}$ between prices on any two dates in which an investigator happens to be interested. Hence, also, they can be compared with any index numbers covering the same years, on whatever base the latter are computed. Their meaning is perfectly definite-which is not always true of medians. They can not be made to give apparently inconsistent results like arithmetio means. When published as sums of money, they can be added, subtracted, multiplied, divided, or averaged in any way that is convenient. When weighted on a sound systom, they can not be unduly distorted by a very great advance in the price of a few articles, and yet, unlike medians, they allow every change in the price of every article to influence the result. In fact, they combine most of the merits and few of the defects characteristic of the various methods of averaging relative prices.

[^42]But the main issue has still to be faced. Averages of relative prices and aggregates of actual prices are different kinds of things. Which kind do we want in an index number? Do we wish to know how certain sample prices have changed on the average, or do we wish to know how the total cost of a sample bill of goods has changed? This is practically the same question we considered on pages 88 and 89 in discussing how best to average relative prices. And the answer given there is valid here. If our interest really lies in measuring average ratios of change, then geometric means are best. But (1) the unfamiliarity of this average outside technical circles is itself an objection to measuring average changes in an index number designed for wide use, and (2) a measure of change in the money cost of goods probably serves more uses than a measure of average ratios of change in prices. Now, the weighted aggregate of prices is the best measure of change in the money cost of goods; it is better in several ways than the simple arithmetic mean of relative prices, and in addition it has all the merits of the latter form of average. For the relatives which can be computed from these aggregates with little trouble are identical with arithmetic means of relative prices, when the latter are weighted by the money values of the physical quantities used as weights for the corresponding actual prices.

This identity of the variations of a weighted aggregate of actual prices and the arithmetic-mean variations of similarly weighted relative prices can readily be demonstrated. Suppose that we have collected the price quotations and the weights to be used in an index number, and have decided what period to make the base for comparisons. Then if we want an aggregate of actual prices, we merely multiply the quotations of each commodity at each date by the physical quantities used as weights, and add these products. To measure the variations of these aggregates in terms of prices at the base period, we have only to divide the aggregate for each period by the aggregate for the base period. But if we plan to make a weighted arithmetic mean of price variations, we begin by turning the quotations into relative prices. That is, we divide the actual price of each commodity at each date by its price in the base period. Then we weight these relatives, not by physical quantities as in the first case, but by the money values of the physical quantities at the prices of the base year. But in this step the prices of the base year, which were just used as divisors to get relative prices, are used again as factors by which the relative prices are multiplied. Hence our results are the same as if we had neither multiplied nor divided by the prices of the bose year; in other words, the same as if we had multiplied the quotations of each commodity in each year by the physical quantities used as weights. But that is just what we did when we set out to make an aggregate of actual prices. So far, then, the two processes
are identical in their outcome. And the remaining steps are also the same. The products must be added, and the sums divided by the physical quantities used as weights times the actual prices of the base year. Therefore, to make relative prices from aggregates of actual prices is a shorter way of getting the same results as are obtained by making similarly weighted arithmetic means of relative prices. ${ }^{1}$.

In addition to the advantages peculiar to themselves, then, aggregates of actual prices can readily be given all the advantages claimed for weighted arithmetic means of relative prices. This combination of qualities makes them the most desirable type of general-purpose index numbers. ${ }^{2}$

## V.--A COMPARISON OF THE LEADING AMERICAN INDEX NUMBERS FOR THE YEARS 1890 TO 1913.

Most ef the threads running through the preceding sections can be woven into a comparison of the best-known index numbers currently published in the United States-a comparison having intrinsic interest of its own, as well as making a fitting summary of the introduction to this bulletin. Much repetition of conclusions already stated will be necessary, but repetition makes the essence and the usefulness of summaries.

[^43]$$
\frac{\frac{p_{x}}{p_{0}}+\frac{p^{\prime}}{p_{0}^{\prime}}+\cdots \cdot \frac{p_{x}^{n}}{p_{0}^{n}}}{n}
$$
A. welghted aggregate of prices reduced to rolatives is represanted by the following formula:
$$
\frac{p_{0} q+p^{\prime} \& q^{\prime}+\ldots p_{x}^{n} q^{n}}{p_{0} q+p^{\prime} \subset q^{\prime}+\ldots p_{0}^{n} q^{n}}
$$
A. welghted arithmetio mban of relative prices is represented by the following formula:
$$
\frac{\frac{p_{x}}{p_{0}}\left(q p_{0}\right)+\frac{p^{\prime} x}{p_{0}^{\prime}}\left(q^{\prime} p_{0}^{\prime}\right)+\ldots \frac{p_{x}^{n}}{p_{0}^{n}}\left(q_{n} p_{0}^{n}\right)}{p_{0} q+p_{0}^{\prime} 0 q^{\prime}+\cdots p_{0}^{n} q_{n}}
$$

But in the numerator of this fraction, $p_{0}, p_{o}^{\prime}$ sand $p_{0}^{n}$ cancel ont. Then formula (3) becomes identical With formula (2). That is, the weighted aggregate of prices gives the same resuits when turned into relatives as the weighted arithmetic mean of relative prices, and glves them with less work.

- Because of the disadvantages of calculating indox numbers from relatives the Bureau of Lebor Statyties has discontinued that method and is now constructing its wage index numbers from weighted averapes of money wages, hours of labor indox numbers from weighted averages of achual hours worked, and price ludex numbers from wolghted aggregates of mongy pricee. The quantities enterlig into exchange in the census year 1009 have been taken as the weight for wholessle, prices. In making relutives from those aggregates, the fired base $1880-1898$ has heen discarded in favor of the last completed year.


## 1. ANALYSIS OF THE SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES.

Seven index numbers are available for the analytical study proposed: Dun's, Bradstreet's, the Annalist's, Gibson's, made from the original list and from the present list of commodities, and two forms of the series compiled by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

The first step toward comparing index numbers is to throw them into similar form and establish them upon a common base. Both forms of the bureau's series ${ }^{1}$ and the Annalist series are arithmetic means of relative prices on the base, average prices in 1890-1899= 100. So, likewise, is the first Gibson series, which is made by the writer from the bureau's relative prices for the 50 commodities included in Gibson's original list. ${ }^{2}$ These four series accordingly are comparable without more ado.

Not so the remaining three series. Gibson's present index number is a sum (not an average) of relative prices, originally computed on the 1890-1899 basis, but shifted for splicing upon Dun's series as it stood in 1907. This shift was effected by multiplying the sums of relative prices by' a number which made the Gibson figures in January, 1907, almost the same as the Dun figures. Hence the Gibson series can be put back on the 1890-1899 basis by dividing the published results by this multiplier. ${ }^{9}$ Dun's and Bradstreet's series are sums of actual prices, and accordingly have no base of their own, but may be shifted to any base desired-in this case 1890-1899= 100. Dun's figures for this decade average $\$ 84.32$. By dividing the published figures by this sum and multiplying the results by 100 we can make a new series strictly comparable with the rest of our material. Shifting Bradstreet's series is less satisfactory, because it does not begin until 1892. The best that can be done is to equate Bradstreet's average for 1892-1899 with the bureau's average for these years-that is, to put $\$ 6.7785=97.1$-and then to apply the rule of three. ${ }^{4}$

[^44]These seven series, then, all in comparable form, are assembled in Table 18. ${ }^{1}$ The second and third sections of the table facilitate certain detailed comparisons of greatest interest.
A cursory examination shows that these seven series, made by five independent organizations, have a marked family resemblanceas was found to be the case with the six index numbers made from the Bureau of Labor Statistics data and presented in Table 8. They all say that prices fell heavily in 1890-1896, that prices rose in 18971900, that they wavered rather uncertainly in 1901-1904, that they rose sharply again in 1905-1907, and once more in 1908-1913. They all agree that the general level about which these oscillations clustered was distinctly higher in 1910-1913 than in 1900-1910, and higher in 1900-1910 than in 1890-1899. About the major facts of price fluctuations, in short, the testimony from different sources is so unanimous that one can scarcely doubt its validity.

| es follows: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year. | Duna | Bradstreat's. | Gibson. | Year. | Duna ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Brad. street's. | Qibsom. |
| 1890. | 590.9 |  | 43.4 | 1905.. | \$100. 6 | 8.10 | 847.3 |
| 1891. | 92.2 |  | 60.8 | 1906. | 105.3 | 8. 42 | 40.8 |
| 1882. | 80.0 | 87.78 | 45.3 | 1907. | 111.8 | 8.90 | 50.0 |
| 1893. | 02.4 | 7.53 | 46.0 | 1908.......................... | 109.9 | 8.01 | 64.2 |
| 1894. | 84.7 | 6.68 | 43.4 | 1909........................... | 117.8 | 8.58 | 50.2 |
| 1895. | 81.3 | 6.43 | 42.0 | 1910........................... | 119.2 | 8.99 | 59.8 |
| 1896. | 76.0 | 5.91 | 34.0 | 1911........................... | 116.8 | 8.71 | 50.0 |
| 1897. | 74.6 | 6.18 | 34.7 | 1912-.......................... | 124.4 | 0.19 | 62.6 |
| 1898. | 78. 8 | 6.57 | 38.7 | 1913....-....................... | 120.9 | 0.21 | 58.1 |
| 1899 | 82.8 | 7.21 | 41.6 | 1914.......................... | 122.2 |  |  |
| 1900 | 0.4 | 7.88 | 44.2 | Aversges: |  |  |  |
| 1901. | 85.9 | 7.57 | 44.5 | 1890-1899.......... | 84,32 | 66.78 | 42.9 |
| 1902. | 100.4 | 7.88 | 63.5 | 1900-1809................ | 103.43 | 8.11 | 61.0 |
| 1903. | 99.0 | 7.94 | 49.0 | 1910-1813. | 120.33 | 9.03 | 69.2 |
| 1904...... | 100.2 | 7.92 | 48.3 |  |  |  |  |

[^45]Table 18.-A COMPARISON OF THE LEADING AMERICAN index NUMBERS FOR THE YEARS 1890 TO 1013.

| Xear. |  | Brud sireetsindexnimber <br> calculated on base, average prices for -97.1. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gibson } \\ \text { nudex } \\ \text { namber, } \\ \text { original } \\ \text { ift, } \\ \text { average } \\ \text { prices for } \\ \begin{array}{c} 890-1890 \\ -100 . \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Burealis of Labor Statistics thdex number, average prices for 1850-1809 -100 . | Rovised Burean of Labor Btatistica number, ${ }^{1}$ average prices or -100 . | Gibson Index number, present form on base, average prices or $1800-180 \mathrm{n}$ $-100$. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of commoditles...... | 3102 | 96 | 50 | 242土 | 146 | 22 | 25 |
| 1800. | 108 |  | 114 | 113 | 114 | 103 | 1109 |
| 1801. | 109 |  | 114 | 112 | 113 | 121 | 119 |
| 1892. | 107 | 111 | 105 | 106 | 106 | 108 | 108 |
| 1893. | 110 | 108 | 105 | 106 | 105 | $10 \%$ | 116 |
| 1894. | 100 | 96 | 94 | 96 | ${ }^{98}$ | 103 | 102 |
| 1885............................ 4 | 96 | 02 | 94 | 94 | 03 | 100 | 85 |
| 1808. | 80 | 85 | 87 | 90 | 89 | 81 | 180 |
| 1887............................. | 88 | 88 | 89 | 90 | ${ }_{9}^{99}$ | 82 | 84 |
|  |  | ${ }_{103}^{94}$ | ${ }^{95}$ | -98 | ${ }^{93}$ | 98 | 82 |
| 1900. | 111 | 113 | 112 | 111 | 111 | 109 | 109 |
| 1901. | 114 | 108 | 109 | 109 | 110 | 106 | 105 |
| 1902. | 119 | 113 | 118 | 113 | 114 | 127 | 117 |
| 1903. | 117 | 114 | 115 | 114 | 114 | 116 | 107 |
| 1904. | 119 | 113 | 116 | 113 | 114 | 115 | 109 |
| 1905. | 119 | 116 | 118 | 116 | 116 | 112 | 110 |
| 1906. | 125 | 121 | 123 | 123 | 122 | 118 | 115 |
| 1907. | 133 | 127 | 132 | 130 | 130 | 121 | 120 |
| 1908. | 130 | 115 | 125 | 122 | 121 | 129 | 120 |
| 1800. | 140 | 128 | 132 | 125 | 124 | 141 | 134 |
| 1910. | 141 | 129 | 135 | 130 | 131 | 141 | ${ }^{-1} 13$ |
| 1911 | 139 | 125 | 129 | 126 | 130 | 135 | 2131 |
| 1912 | 148 | 132 | 138 | 130 | 134 | 149 | -143 |
| 1913. | 143 | 132 | 138 | 130 | 131 | 138 | 1140 |
| Averages, 1890-1899.. | 100 | ${ }^{3} 97$ | 100 |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1900-1900. | 123 | 116 | 120 | 118 | 118 | 119 | 114 |
| 1910-1913.. | 143 | 130 | 135 | 129 | 133 | 141 | 138 |

1 For explanstion of therensons for and methods of revising the bureau's todex number, see pp, 46 and 47. ${ }^{2}$ As computed by the Annalist. The remsining, figures in this columa were computed from the Bureau of Labor Statistics' relative prices for the artioles on the Annalst list. The resuits of this computatlon agree with the Annalist'a rosults ercept in 1913, when there it a discrepancy-139 as against 140 .
a varage of 1892-1880.

TABLE 18．－A COMPARISON OF THE LEADING AMERTOAN INDEX NUMBERS FOR THE YEARS 1890 TO 1912－Continued．

Number of pointe by which the other index numbers were greater（＋）or lesa（ - ）than the Bureat of Labor Statistica＇serisy，in each year from 1890 to $191 \bar{s}^{\circ}$.

| Year． |  | Brad street＇s index mumber， calcu－ lated on base， pricas for 1802－1890 －97．1． |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Revised } \\ \text { Bureau } \\ \text { of Labar } \\ \text { Statis } \\ \text { tifes } \\ \text { index } \\ \text { number, } \\ \text { averefo } \\ \text { picios for } \\ 1590-1800 \\ -100 . \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gibson } \\ \text { midax } \\ \text { number, } \\ \text { present } \\ \text { form, } \\ \text { calcu- } \\ \text { tatad on } \\ \text { base, } \\ \text { average } \\ \text { priees for } \\ 1890-1899 \\ =100 . \end{gathered}$ | Annaltst index number， average price for ispo－1898 $=100$. | Maxt mum difier－ ence b－ tween any two inder num－ bers． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |
| 1891. | － 8 |  | ＋ 2 | $\pm 1$ | $+8$ | ＋ 7 | 12 | 1 |
| 1892. | $\pm 1$ |  | $=1$ | $\pm 0$ | $\pm{ }^{2}$ | $\pm 2$ | 1 |  |
| 1893. | ＋ | $+2$ | － 1 | － 1 | $\pm 8$ | ＋ | 11 |  |
| 1894 | ＋ 4 | $\pm 0$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\pm 0$ | ＋ 7 | +6 $+\quad 1$ | 8 |  |
| 1885 | $\pm$ | 二 5 | $\pm$ | 二 1 | $\pm 8$ | $\pm 10$ | 10 |  |
| 168. | $\pm 2$ | －8 | － 1 | － 1 | － 8 | － 6 | 8 |  |
| 1898 | ＋ 1 | $\pm 1$ | ＋ 2 | $\pm 0$ | － 1 | － | 3 |  |
| 1899 | － 4 | $+1$ | ＋ 1 | $+1$ | － 8 | － 9 | 10 |  |
| 1900. | ＋ 0 | $\pm 2$ | $\pm 1$ | $\pm$ | － 8 | －12 | 9 |  |
| 1002. | ＋ 6 | 士 0 | ＋ | $\pm$ | － 14 | $\pm 4$ | 14 |  |
| 1903. | ＋88 | $\pm 0$ | ＋1 | $\pm 0$ | ＋ 2 | － 7 | 10 |  |
| 1904. | ＋ 6 | $\pm 0$ | ＋ 8 | ＋1 | ＋ 2 | － | 10 |  |
| 1905. |  | $\pm 9$ | ＋${ }^{\mathbf{g}}$ | $\pm 0$ | － 4 | － 8 | 10 |  |
| 1900 | +3 $+\quad 3$ | こ $\frac{3}{3}$ | ＋ | － 0 |  | $=10$ | 13 |  |
| 1909 | ＋8 | － 7 | ＋ 3 | $\pm 1$ | $+7$ | ＋ 4 | 15 | i |
| 1809. | $+15$ | － 8 | $\pm 7$ | － 1 | ＋ 18 | ＋8 | 19 | 1 |
| 1910 | ＋11 | 二 1 | ＋ 5 | $\pm 1$ | ＋11 | $\pm 7$ | 12 |  |
| 1911. | +13 +18 +18 | － 1 | ＋ 8 | ＋ 4 | ＋${ }^{9}$ | +5 +13 | 19 |  |
| 1913. | ＋18 | $\pm$ | ＋88 | $\pm$ |  | ＋10 | 13 |  |
| Arithmetic sums． | 138 | 42 |  |  | 173 | 59 | 268 | 5 |
| Algebraio sums．．． | ＋104 | $-19$ | ＋ 44 | ＋9 | $+67$ |  |  |  |
| puted from the－ <br> Arithmetio sums． $\qquad$ <br> Algebraic sumg．．．．．．．．．．．． | $\begin{array}{r} 5.5 \\ +\quad 4.8 \end{array}$ | 1.5 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.5 \\ +\quad 1.8 \end{array}$ | ＋ $\begin{array}{r}1.0 \\ +\quad .4\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}7.2 \\ +\quad 9.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6.6 .1 | 11.2 | 0.2 |
| Maximum differances． <br> Minimum diritarences． | +18 $\pm 0$ | $\pm 7$ | +18 $+\quad 0$ | $\pm$ $\pm$ | $\pm 107$ | ＋ +18 $+\quad 1$ | $\stackrel{19}{8}$ | $\pm 0$ |

LABLE 1B＿A COMPARIBON OF THE LEADING AMERICAN INDEX NUMBERE FOR THE YEARS 1890 TO 1912－Concluded．

Number of points by which atach index number noak（ + ）ar fell（ - ）in sach euccesstoe year．

| Tear． | Dun＇s madex namber calcou－ lated on base， average prious for 1800 1800 $=100$. |  | Grbson mdex nump Der origi－ nal list， average prices for 1800 1809 $=100$. | Bureal of Labor Statits titis Indeex num ber， average priceas for 1800 1899 $=100$. |  |  | Anmge list midex num－ ber， everige prices for $1850-$ 1899 -100. | Maxl－ mum differ－ enes be tween any two ladex num－ bers． | Minl－ mum differ ence be tweem any two tmder num－ bers． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of commodities．．．．． | 810＊＊ | 08 | 50 | 242土 | 145 | 22 | 26 |  |  |
| 1890－1891． | ＋1 |  | $\pm 0$ | － 1 | － 1 | ＋18 |  |  |  |
| 1891－1892． | － 2 |  | －9 | －6 | $-7$ | －13 | －11 | 11 |  |
| 1892－1803． | ＋ 8 | － 8 | $\pm 0$ | $\pm 0$ | －1 | ＋1 | ＋8， | 11 |  |
| 1893－1894． | $-10$ | －12 | －11 | －10 | －9 | $-8$ | －14 | 8 |  |
| 1804－1505． | $-4$ | －4 | $\pm 0$ | －2 | －8 | －8 | －7 | 7 |  |
| 1895－1896． | $-6$ | －7 | $\pm 7$ | －4 | － 4 | －19 | $-15$ | 15 |  |
| 18997－1897． | －${ }^{2}$ | $\pm 8$ | $\pm 2$ | 幸 8 | $\pm$ | $\pm{ }^{1}$ | $\pm 4$ | 6 |  |
| 1899－1899． | $+4$ | $+0$ | $+8$ | ＋9 | ＋10 | $\pm 7$ | ＋1 | $\theta$ |  |
| 1899－1800． | $+13$ | ＋10 | ＋9 | ＋9 | ＋8 | ＋6 | $+8$ |  |  |
| 1900－1001． | ＋8 | －5 | － 3 | －2 | －1 | $+1$ | $+6$ | 11 | 1 |
| 1901－1002． | ＋85 | $+5$ | $\pm 7$ | $+4$ | $\pm 8$ | $\pm 21$ | $\pm 12$ | 17 |  |
| 1002－1903． | －2 | $\pm 1$ | $\pm 1$ | $\pm 1$ |  | $-11$ | －10 | 12 |  |
| 1903－1904． | ＋2 | －1 | $\pm 1$ | $\bigcirc 1$ | 寺 0 | － 1 | $\pm 2$ | 4 |  |
| 1904－1905． | $\pm$ | $\pm 8$ | $\pm 2$ | ＋ | +8 +8 +8 | $\pm 8$ | $\pm 1$ | 6 |  |
| 1906－1907．： | ＋88 | ＋88 | ＋9 | +7 +7 | +8 +8 +8 | +8 +8 +8 | $\pm \begin{aligned} & +5 \\ & +5\end{aligned}$ | 6 |  |
| 1907－1908． | － 8 | －12 | $\bigcirc 7$ | $-8$ | －9 | ＋88 | $+6$ | 20 | 1 |
| 1008－1009． | ＋10 | $+7$ | $+7$ | $+8$ | $+8$ | $+12$ | $+8$ | 9 |  |
| 1009－1910． |  | $+7$ | ＋ 8 | ＋ 5 | ＋ 7 | $\pm 0$ | ＋ 8 | 7 |  |
| 1910－1911． | －2 | $\pm 4$ | －88 | ＋ 4 | －1 | $\bigcirc{ }^{-14}$ | －6 |  |  |
| 1911－1912． | $+9$ | $+7$ | $+9$ | ＋ 4 | $+1$ | ＋14 | ＋12 | 10 |  |
| 1912－1013． | －5 | $\pm 0$ | $\pm 0$ | $\pm 0$ | －8 | $-11$ | $\pm 8$ | 11 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net rise }(+) \text { or fall }(-) \text { : } \\ & 1890-1806 . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \end{aligned}$ | －18 | 1－28 | －87 | －23 | －25 | －22 | －29 |  |  |
| 1890－1907． | ＋48 | ＋ 42 | ＋45 | ＋40 | ＋41 | ＋40 | ＋40 |  |  |
| 1907－1908． | $\pm 8$ | －12 | $-7$ | －8 | －989 | ＋88 | ＋ 6 |  |  |
| 1008－1912． | $\pm 18$ | ＋17 | $\pm$ | ＋88 | $\pm$ | ＋20 | +17 +8 |  |  |
| Difference betweem highiest | 5 | $\pm 0$ | $\pm 0$ | $\pm 0$ | －3 | －11 | －8 |  |  |
| Difference between highest and lowest points． | 60 | 47 | 1 | 40 | 45 | 68 | 63 |  |  |
| A verage change irom year to year．． | 4.7 | 55.6 | 49 | 40 | 41 | 7.9 | 7.1 |  |  |
| Net rise in 1800－1018．．．．．．．．． | 35 | 121 | 2 | 17 | 17 | 35 | 31 |  |  |

11892－1806．
：1802－1913．
The man＇who thinks that index numbers do well if they get within 10 per cent of the truth might be satisfied with this showing．But the man who hopes for three significant digits ${ }^{1}$ would be disappointed if he had to accept these seven series as similar in meaning and equal in authority．For the detailed differences among them are neither few nor trifling．Indeed these differences are distinctly greater than those found among the six index numbers made from the bureau＇s data and presented in Table 8．For example，（1）the net change in the price level between 1890 and 1913 is made twice as great by two series as it is made by two others；（2）the maximum
difference between any two series for a given year averages over 11 points and varies irregularly between the wide limits of 3 and 19 points; (3) in a year of such decided business character as 1908 two of the series show a rise of 6 to 8 points, while four indicate a fall of
Ghart 12.-DUN'S, BRADSTREET'S, AND THE bUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS' INDEX NUMBERS REDUCED TO A COMMON BASIS. (BASED ON TABLE 18.)


7 to 12 points; (4) indeed the seven series all agree about the direction of price changes in only 12 cases out of 23 ; (5) regarding the degree of these changes from one year to the next they show discrepancies ranging all the way from 2 to 20 points and averaging nearly

10 points for the whole period; (6) the seven series also differ strikingly in respect to steadiness, the least steady making the average change in prices from one year to the next almost twice as great as the steadiest series makes it; (7) certain of the series reflect changes in business conditions with marked regularity, others are quite unreliable business barometers, etc.

To show that these series differ in many details, however, means little. The significant problem is whether these differences are due to the inherent difficulty of measuring changes in the price level, to the crudity of the general methad of measurement in vogue, or to technical differences in the construction of the particular index numbers in question.

The way to attack this problem is shown by the preceding sections. The seven series may be analyzed with respect to the ultimate sources of information drawn upon, the adequacy of the original quotations of each commodity, the numbers and kinds of commodities included, the weights employed, the use made of relative prices, and the kinds of average struck. At each step the question is whether the observed differences among the index numbers accord, with the differences found to be characteristic of the various methods considered. If most of the differences can be accounted for in this way, considerable confidence may be felt in the possibility of measuring approximately the variations in prices by index numbers.

The sources of information, the frequency of the quotations, and the forms of average used, are in part so little known and in part so similar that they give us no help in explaining the discrepancies among the results. ${ }^{1}$ On the contrary, a marked influence can be

[^46]traced with confidence to differences in methods of weighting and in the numbers and kinds of commodities included.

Dun's index number is said to be weighted by per capita consumption, and the weights for the separate commodities are so arranged that foods count for 50 per cent of the total, textiles for 18 per cent, minerals for 16 per cent, and other commodities for 16 per cent. ${ }^{1}$ Gibson's index number in its present form is also sajd by the publisher to be weighted according to Dun's method. ${ }^{2}$ Bradstreet's series has a curious combination of rational and irrational weights. The rational element consists in the inclusion of several quotations for important articles like pig iron, coal, lumber, and hog products, and only one quotation for articles like lemons, tea, and flax. The irrational element results from the reduction of all the original quotations to prices per pound. On April 1, 1897, these prices per pound ranged from $\$ 0.0008$ for soft coal and coke to $\$ 0.52$ for quicksilver and $\$ 0.83$ for rubber. Recognition of the excessive influence upon the results accorded to these high-priced articles presently led the computers to drop them from the index number; but they seem to have retained articles like alcohol and Australian wool which in 1897 cost $\$ 0.33$ and $\$ 0.49$ per pound- 400 and 600 times as much as soft coal and coke. Haphazard weighting preponderates also in the two series from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, for the representation accorded to different commodities has not been thoroughly worked out on any logical plan. It is true that in the original figures certain highly important articles are represented by two or more series-for instance, coal, iron, cattle, and leather; but so also are certain articles of slight moment, such as window glass, glassware, saws, sheetings, etc. ${ }^{3}$. In the two remaining index numbers, the Annalist series and the original form of Gibson's index number, no formal weights are applied; but the lists of commodities have been carefully studied and the most important articles allotted two or three sets of quotations.

The constitution of the seven series with respect to the numbers and kinds of commodities included can best be represented in tabular form. The analysis, given in the next table, can not be applied to Dun's index number for lack of information about the commodities and weights used, and it can not be strictly applied to Gibson's present series because we know the commodities but not the weights allotted each. In the case of Bradstreet's index number the percentages of the total are computed on the basis of the prices per pound of 96 commodities published for April 1, 1897. This basis is not wholly

[^47]satisfactory, because the relative price per pound of different commodities, and therefore their relative influence upon the result, has doubtless changed considerably from year to year. But the error arising from using these figures for a single date is less than the error that would arise if we merely counted the number of Bradstreet's commodities in the several classes. ${ }^{1}$ In dealing with the remaining series counting the number of commodities in each class is satisfactory, since there are no weights to be considered aside from the number of forms or products by which each article is represented.

TABLE 19-ANALYSIS OF THE COMMODITIES INCLODED IN THE LEADING AMERICAN INDEX NUMBERS.

1. Diplsion into raw, sllghtly manufactured, and manufactured products.

2. Subdinition of the manufacturod and sllghtly manufactured goods.

| Yodax number. | Number <br> of eom- <br> modities. | Number of these commodities clessiffied as- |  |  | Pareentage of the total. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Con- } \\ & \text { sumars, } \\ & \text { goods. } \end{aligned}$ | Producars' goods. | Both ars' and ducars, goods. | Cansumers' goods. | Pro ducers' gogds | Both consumars' and ducers" goods. |
| 1. Buregu of Labor Statisties, original | 108 | 108 | 73 | 12 | 45 | 30 | 6 |
| Burbauor Labor statistics, | 109 | 51 | 47 | 11 |  | 32 | 8 |
| 8. Bradistreet's............... | 56 | 21 | 30 | 5 | ${ }^{2} 28$ | ${ }^{2} 28$ | 12 |
| 4. Gibson, oricinal.......... | 24 | 11 | 12 |  | 22 | 24 |  |
| 6. Gibson, present.............. | 11 | 11 |  |  | 50 |  |  |

[^48]TABLE 19.-ANALYSIS OF THE COMMODITIES INCLODED IN THE LEADING AMERICAN INDEX NUMBERS-Concluded.
3. Subaliointon of the rave materials and slightly manufaetured goods.


1 Percentage of the total weights on Apr. 1, 1897, not of the number of commodities meluded.
What light do these facts about weights and the numbers and kinds of commodities included shed upon the differences among the seven index numbers?

To begin with, the present Gibson and the Annalist index numbers are confined to one kind of commodities-foods, or rather foods and the staples from which foods are prepared. The other index numbers include besides foods an equal or greater number of textile materials and fabrics, minerals, building materials, fuels, drugs, etc. The constitution of the seven series in this respect is as follows: ${ }^{1}$

| Index number. | $\varphi$ | Whole number of commoditios | Nomber of foods. | Per cent of foods. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Burean of Labonstatistics, orlyinal. |  | 249 |  |  |
| 2. Bureau of Labor Staistics, revised. |  | 145 | 40 | 28 |
| 8. Bradstreet's...i |  | 8 | 87 | 29 |
| 5. Dun's......... |  | $310 \%$ | 7 | 49 |
| 8. Oibsou, present. |  |  | 22 | 100 |
| 7, Annalist.... |  | 25 | 25 | 100 |

1 Weights allotted foods. Bradstrett's weights as of Apr. 1, 1897.
Now it has been shown above that food index numbers differ widely and capriciously from miscellaneous-list index numbers', because the prices of agricultural products are largely dependent upon the yield of each season's harvests, while the prices of most other articles are less dependent upon weather conditions than upon the activity or depression of business.' Hence, if index numbers are sufficiently accu-

[^49]rate to charge their very differences with meaning, the seven series under analysis should fall into three groups. (1) The two index numbers composed exclusively of foods should resemble each other rather closely and should differ rather widely from the three series in which foods count for less than a third of the total. (2) These three series, in turn, should resemble each other closely and differ, not only from the food indexes pure and simple, but also, though in less measure, from the two series in which foods count for approximately half of the total. (3) The latter, Dun's index number and the index number made from Gibson's original list, should be hybrids, standing intermediate between the two pure stocks, Dun's inclining rather toward the food index numbers and Gibson's toward the miscellane-ous-list group.

These expectations are put to the test in the next table and handsomely realized. The best simple criterion of relationships among the index numbers is the average number of points by which their results differ for each of the 24 years for which data are available. On this basis it appears that the two forms of the Bureau of Labor Statistics'series and Bradstreet's index number come very close togetherthe greatest average difference is only 2 points. On the other hand, the two food index numbers agree much better with each other than they agree with any of the other series-though the average difference between them is 3.9 points-distinctly larger than the differences among the miscellaneous-list series. Presumably, this greater difference arises from the relatively small number of articles included by both the Annalist and Gibson's present list, 25 and 22, respectively. Finally, it also turns out not only that Dun's index number and the series made from Gibson's original list stand between the two extreme groups, but also that of the two the Gibson series bears a distinctly greater resemblance to the miscellaneous-list group and Dun's index number a rather closer resemblance to the food group. ${ }^{1}$

[^50]TABLE 20.-DEGREES OF KINSHIP AMONG THE SEVEN AMERICAN INDEX NOMBERS OF TABLE 18 AS SHOWN BY THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF POINTS BY WHICH THEY DIFFER IN TEE YEARS 1800 TO 1018.

1. Average differancen batween the original form of the Burewu of Labor Statintles index number and-

|  | Points. |  | Potnts. |  | Points. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Burean of Lebor Statis- |  | Glbson, ariginal. | 2.5 | Annalist. | 6.6 |
| ties, revised.............. | 1.0 | Dum's. ................... | 5.5 | Gibson, present form... | 7.2 |

2. Aperage differences betwesn the revined form of tha Bureau of Labor Stathtice indar number arnd-

|  | Points. |  | Points. |  | Pofntis. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bureau of Labar Statis- |  | Clbson, original. | 2.0 | Annalist.............. | 6.3 |
| ties, oripinal. ............ | 1.0 | Dun's......... | 5.8 | Gibson, pretent form.... | 6.8 |
| Bradstreet's................ | 20 |  |  |  |  |

3. Average differences befween Bradetreet"s index number and-

4. Average differences between the Index number made from Gibson's original liat and-

|  | Points. |  | Points, |  | Points, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Burear of Labor Statis- |  | Dan's................... | 4.1 | Annalist........ |  |
| Bureau of Labor Statis. | 2.5 |  |  | Gibson, present form..... | 5.0 |
| Bureau of Labor Statistica, revised. | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Bradifirset's................ | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |

6. Aborage differancea betwemn Dun's tndex number and-

|  | Points. |  | Pointis. |  | Points. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buresu of Lebor Stativtics, original. | 6.5 | Grian, criginal... | 4.1 | Amalist. $\qquad$ Gibson, present form.... | 6.15 |
| Bureau of Labor statlstices, revised. Bradstreet'3. | 5.3 6.6 |  |  |  |  |

6. Average differences batween the Anmaliat Indox number and-

|  | Points. |  | Points. | - | Points. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bureau of Labor Statis. |  | Dun's. | 6.1 | Glibson, present form. | 3.9 |
| tics, originat........... | 6.6 | Gibson, original. | 5.5 |  |  |
| Bureau of Laber Statistics, revised. | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Bradstreet's. | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |

T. Average differvncas between the present form of Gibson's index number and-

| , | Points. |  | Points. |  | Points. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Burean of Labor Statiotics, original. | 7.2 | Dinn's. <br> Gifbson, original. | 8.5 | Annalist... | 8.9 |
| Buresu of Labar Statistics, revised. | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |

Gibson's present series, then, and the Annalist index number may be set aside as different in kind from the miscellaneous-list series. They do not aim to measure the same thing as the latter, and therefore the wide and frequent discrepancies between the two groups are not disquieting. Quite the contrary, the series differ from the miscellaneous-list series in precisely the ways that the previous sections would lead one to expect. This fact is highly reassuring; for it means that in different parts of tho business field there really are general trends among the apparently random variations of prices, and that existing index numbers have measured these divergent trends with approximate accuracy. Otherwise such close consistency would hardly exist among the results.

It is equally reassuring to find that most of the small discrepancies among the three miscellaneous-list series are also consistent with what has already been learned about the price fluctuations of different kinds of commodities. Indeed it is curious that two such dissimilar kinds of weighting as are used in Bradstreet's index and in the two series drawn from the Bureau of Labor Statistics should not have produced wide discrepancies. These three series never contradict one another fiatly abeut the direction in which prices are moving. The nearest approach to disagreement occurs in the five years (1893, 1897, 1903, 1904, and 1913) when one or two fail to change while another moves up or down a trifle. In no year are the two bureau series more than 4 points apart, and their average difference is only 1 point. Similarly, Bradstreet's is never more than 7 points out with the original bureau index, and never more than 6 points out with the revised series. Its average differences from them are 1.9 and 2 points, respectively. Bradstreet's is sometimes above and sometimes below the two bureau series, so that its average differences from them computed from algebraic sums of the plus and minus quantities are only five-tenths and nine-tenths of 1 point, respectively. The corresponding average difference between the two bureau series is four-tenths of 1 point. ${ }^{1}$

[^51]Note.-For the figures from which these differthees are computed tee Tabled 18, 9, 10, and 11.

The discrepancies that do occur arise chiefly from the fact that while a given change in business conditions affects all three series in the same way it usually causes a wider fluctuation in Bradstreet's index than in the revised bureau series, and a wider fluctuation in the latter than in the bureau's original series. This difference in steadiness is just what should follow from the constitution of these three index numbers with reference to their proportions of raw materials and manufactured products. To the reader who remembers that raw materials fluctuate much more widely in price than goods manufactured from them, the following schedule tells its own story:


The only thing that is difficult to explain, indeed, is the goneral level on which the three index numbers fluctuate in 1900-1913. We should expect Bradstreet's to stand a little higher than the two bureau indexes because of its larger proportion of raw materials and smaller proportion of minerals. In fact it stands a shade lower, and the slight weight it assigns to the rapidly rising prices of forest products seems hardly sufficient to account for this result, since these products count for only 5 and 7 per cent of the totals in the two bureau series.

The preceding comparison of index numbers on the 1890-1899 basis may be supplemented by a similar comparison on the chain basis, that is, prices in the preceding year equal 100. Table 21 supplies chain index numbers for this purpose. Only three of the seven series can be included; for among the relative-price index numbers yearly percentages of rise or fall in price have been computed and averaged only for the official list of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Of course chain figures can easily be made from the aggregate actual prices given by Bradstreet's and Dun.

In part the results merely show in a slightly different form the differences among the three series brought out by Table 18 and explained in the preceding commentary. It may be noted, however, that the use of a finer scale, including one place in the decimal column, makes the agreement between the bureau's series and Bradstreet's even closer than it has hitherto appeared. In Table 21 these two series invariably agree about the direction in which prices changed from one year to the next, though Bradstreet's index number, maintaining its greater sensitiveness, makes the degree of change rather larger on the average. On the other hand, Dun's series contradicts the other two about the direction of change in 7 years out of 23.

TABLE 21,-CEAIN INDEX NUMBERS.
(Percentage of rise ( + ) or tall ( - ) from prices in the preceding year.)

| Year. | Chatn tadox numbers made from data supplied by- |  |  | Year. | Chain findex numbers made from data supplind by- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Burtana Of Latisties. | Bradstreet's. | Dan. |  | Bureau of Labor Gtattstics. | Bredstreet's. | Dun. |
| 1891. | - 0.2 |  | $+6.9$ | 1003. | +1.2 | + . 8 | - 1.4 |
| 1892 | $=4.4$ |  |  |  | $\pm 1.1$ |  | + 1.1 |
| 1883. | - 8.2 | -3.1 | +2.7 | 1805. | +2.9 | +2.3 +3.9 | $\pm .4$ |
| 1885. | -1.5 | $-3.7$ | - 4.0 | 1907. | +8.8 | $\begin{array}{r}+8.9 \\ +6.8 \\ + \\ \hline\end{array}$ | + 4.7 |
| 1806. | -2.8 | -8.1 | $-8.4$ | 1908. | $\pm 5.8$ | -10.1 | $\pm 1.8$ |
| 1887. 1888. | $\pm .2$ | $\pm 3.4$ | $-2.7$ | 1900. | + +8.2 +8.1 | +6.3 | + 7.2 |
| 1898. | + 4.8 | +8.4 $+\quad 7.7$ +8.7 | + ${ }^{\text {a }}$ + 6 | 1910. | + 4.1 | + 8.5 | + 1.2 |
| 18990. | +10.4 +9.4 | +9.7 +9.3 | +5.0 +12.7 | 1911. | +8.9 +8.4 | +3.1 +5.4 | - 2.0 |
| 1901. | +1.4 | + 3.9 | $+2.7$ | 1913... | +1.2 | + | + $\mathbf{+ 6 . 5}$ |
| 1902. | + 4.6 | + 4.0 | + 4.7 |  |  |  |  |

The new point of chief interest in this table is that the chain index numbers differ less than the corresponding series computed on a fixed base. A comparison covering the years 1892-1913 works out as follows:

| Inder nomber. | Difference. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average. |  | Maximum. |  | Mintmum. |  |
|  | Fixedlase serices. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chain } \\ \text { fndex } \\ \text { number. } \end{gathered}$ | Fixed. base series. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chain } \\ \text { tnder } \\ \text { number. } \end{gathered}$ | Fixedbase staries. | Chajn index number. |
| Burean of Labor Statistics and Bradstreet's. | 1.9 | 1.8 |  | 8 |  |  |
| Buresu of Libor Statstics and Dun's..... Bradstreet's and Dun's................ | ${ }_{6.8}^{5.4}$ | 288 | 18 18 | 8 |  |  |

For the closer agreement among the chain-index form of these figures there are two reasons, one of them arising from the trend of price fluctuations in the particular period covered, and the other of more general significance. In a majority of the years 1890 to 1913 the price lephl was higher than its average in 1890-1899. Hence the majority of the year-to-year changes are percentages of a larger number than that upon which the fixed-base relatives are computed. Therefore the percentages are themselves smaller numbers. And of course the differences between smaller numbers are themselves smaller, other things being equal. The second reason has been brought out before. Variations of prices over an interval of only one year are more highly concentrated about a central tendency than variations over an interval of several years. ${ }^{1}$ Hence averages made
from the yearly variations are less likely to be distorted by differences in the samples used than averages made from variations computed on a fixed base.

## 2. CRITICAL YALUATION.

A just evaluation of our seven American index numbers is not easy to make. For a comparison has little meaning unless it deals with all the important points at which the series differ. And since no one series is superior to the others at all points a verdict can not be rendered in a single sentence.
In the publication of actual prices, the Bureau of Labor Statistics and Bradstreet's stand foremost. The contribution they have thus made to the knowledge of prices possesses great and permanent value over and above the value attaching to their index numbers. For, it is well to repeat, all efforts to improve index numbers, all investigations into the causes and consequences of price fluctuations, and all possibility of making our pecuniary institutions better instruments of public welfare depend for their realization in large measure upon the possession of systematic and long-sustained records of actual prices. And much of this invaluable material would be lost if it were not recorded month by month and year by year.

Critical users of statistics justly feel greater confidence in figures which they can test than in figures which they must accept upon faith. Hence the compilers of index numbers who do not publish their original quotations inevitably compromise somewhat the reputation of their series. They compromise this reputation still further when they fail to explain in full just what commodities they include, and just what methods of compilation they adopt. ${ }^{1}$ In the latter respect the Annalist index number shares first honors with the Bureau of Labor Statistics'series. Anyone who chooses to take the trouble can find what commodities are used, and how the final results are worked up from the raw material. Bradstreet's index number suffers a bit in comparison because readers are not told which 96 commodities out of the 106 of which prices are published are included in the index number, and because the method of reducing prices by the yard, the dozen, the bushel, the gallon, etc., to prices per pound is not fully explained. Dun's index number is more mysterious still, because neither the list of commodities nor the weights applied to each commodity are disclosed. And Gibson's present series also stands partly in the shadow because, while the list of commodities is known, the publishers state merely that these articles are weighted by Dun's system.

With reference to weighting, Bradstreet's index number takes low rank, for the plan of reducing all quotations to prices per pound grossly misrepresents the relative importance of many articles. That figures

- made thus should give results in close agreement with the Bureau of Labor Statistics' series is a remarkable demonstration of the ability of index nümbers to extract substantial truth èven from unpromising materials. The agreement is all the more remarkable since the bureau's series is also badly weighted, though in a different way and in less degree. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ The revised bureau series is scarcely better than the original in this respect. It is better in substituting a single set of relatives for the articles of minor importance to which the original accorded several sets (for example, shirtings, sheetings, tools, window glass, etc.), but worse in cutting down the representation accorded to great staples (for example, pork, coal, pig iron, and leather).? The Annalist index number follows the sensible, though rudimentary, plan of including two or three varieties of the most important articles, and only one of the less important. The like can be said in favor of Gibson's index number, both in its original and its present form, and in addition Gibson uses the Dun system of weights. The latter system is, in theory, the nearest approach to a satisfactory plan of weighting made by any American index number at present. Whether the practice is as good as the theory is doubtful, to say the least, for anyone familiar with the deficiencies of American statistics of consumption must wonder whence the compilers derived their estimates of the quantities of 310 commodities "annually consumed by each inhabitant." Moreover, what little is known concerning the actual weights is not unobjectionable. Fifty per cent of the total is too large a weight to allow to foods in a wholesale-price series. Even in the great collection of budgets of workingmen's families made by the Commissioner of Labor in 1901 the average expenditure for food was less than 45 per cent of total family expenditure; ${ }^{8}$ and in wholesale markets, of course, many commodities that are never directly consumed by families have great importance.

Dun's index number is supposed to stand first in number of commodities included, but lack of definite information makes it impossible to judge whether its list is well balanced. The bureau's list also is long and contains samples of many different kinds of goods, manufactured as vyell as raw, consumed for all sorts of purposes and produced under all sorts of conditions; but the representation accorded to different parts of the whole system of prices is certainly far from equitable. Bradstreet's list, while less than half as long as the bureau's, seems better chosen. It is particularly strong in raw materials and rather weak in manufactured goods. The same remarks apply to Gibson's original list, though it suffers in comparison by

[^52]being only about half the length of Bradstreet's. Finally, the present Gibson index number and the Annalist series are confined to foodstuffs, and make no pretense of representing prices at large.

In the form of presenting results, Bradstreet's set an admirable example, which was wisely followed by Dun's. Their sums of actual prices can readily be turned into relatives on any base desired, and hence can be made to yield direct comparisons between any two dates. The other series, as averages of relative prices on the $1890-$ 1899 basis, can not be properly shifted without a detailed recomputation of the relative prices of each commodity, and force readers to make all their comparisons in terms of what prices were in the decade used as base.
It is interesting, finally, to test the reliability of the several index numbers as "business barometers." Monthly figures would be much better than our yearly averages for this purpose; but, since they are not to be had for most of the series during most of the period covered, we must do the best we can with the rougher gauge. In 11 of the 23 cases of changes from one year to the next the seven index numbers disagree as to whether prices rose, fell, or remained constant. In the following schedule these 11 years are represented by columns in which each index number is credited with plus one when its change accords with the character of the alteration in business conditions, debited with minus one in cases of disagreement, and marked zero when it recognizes no change in the price level. ${ }^{1}$ The net scores made by casting up the plus and minus entries indicate roughly the relative faithfulnoss with which these series have reflected changes in business conditions in the past. Of the index numbers regularly published, Bradstreet's makes much the best showing. Even the scores against it in 1895 and 1903, and its failure to show the reaction in business conditions in 1913, would be wiped out were the data by quarters and months used in place of the annual averages.

| Index number. | 1891 | 1893 | 1885 | 1897 | 1801 | 1908 | 1904 | 1905 | 1508 | 1910 | 1913 | Net score. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Bradstreet's............. | ${ }^{*}+1$ | +1 | -1 | +1 | +1 | -1 | +1 | $\pm 1$ | +1 | $+1$ | 0 | +6 |
| 2. Buresu of Labor Statictics, revised. | +1 | +1 | -1 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | +1 | +1 | +1 | +1 | $+6$ |
| 3. Gisson, origimal......... | 0 | , | 0 | +1 | $+1$ | +1 | -1 | $+1$ | +1 | +1 | 0 | +5 |
| 4. Buredu of Laber statistles, oripinal | +1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | +1 | -1 | +1 | +1 | +1 |  | 0 | +4 |
| 5. Anpulist................. | -1 | -1 | -1 | $+1$ | -1 | +1 | -1 | +1 | -1 | +1 | +1 | -1 |
| 6. Dun's. | -1 | -1 | -1 | $-1$ | -1 | +1 | -1 | 0 | $\pm 1$ | $+1$ | $+1$ | -2 |
| 7. Gibson, present | -1 | -1 | -1 | +1 | -1 | +1 | +1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | +1 | -2 |

[^53]Each of these seven series, then, has its special uses, its merits, and its defects. Choice among them should be madein accordance with the particular purpose for which an index number happens to be wanted. But it seems feasible to construct an American series which would present a stronger combination of good qualities as a general-purpose index number than any now existing. The original quotations might be collected from the records of the Bureau of Labor Statistics and Bradstreet's, a list of commodities more complete than Bradstreet's and better balanced than the bureau's might be drawn up, the use of actual prices might be adopted from Bradstreet's and Dun's, the several commodities might be weighted by physical quantities after Dun's fashion, but with the use of a criterion more appropriate to wholesale prices, and the whole process of construction might be set forth with the frankness characteristic of the Annalist and the bureau. Such a series might differ little from the figures now available; but, however it might turn out, its results would merit greater confidence than can properly be felt in any of the present index numbers as a measure of changes in the general level of wholesale prices.

## VI.-CONCLUSIONS.

1. Variations in the level of wholesale prices from one year to the next are capable of being measured with a close approximation to accuracy, for these variations are highly concentrated about a central tendency. There are two American chain index numbers which never differ by more than 5.3 per cent, and differ on the average by less than 2 per cent, although they were compiled from start to finish quite independently of each other, based upon dissimilar sets of price variations, constructed by unlike methods, and extended over 22 years of violent fluctuations. Moreover, these moderate differences are not inscrutable results of dependence upon chance for the samples used for analysis, but for the most part arise from known causes, and harmonize with the outcome of investigations inte the dissimilarities of variation characteristic of different parts of the system of ppices.
2. Variations in prices that have been cumulating through several or many years show much less concentration about a central tendency than variations from one year to the next. Hence, index numbers on a fixed base become less trustworthy the greater the time elapsing between the base period and the year under consideration. Hence, also, most of the entries in a fixed-base series are less trustworthy than chain index numbers with a one-year interval made from the same data. Nevertheless, the discrepancies observed between the two series just referred to (Bradstreet's and the Bureau of Labor Statistics' index numbers) never exceed 7 points in the scale of relative
prices, and average less than 2 points, even when compared in the fixed-base form. And, to repeat, the discrepancies themselves are of the character which an investigator would predict, if he were familiar both with the data used in these two series and with the fluctuations characteristic of various groups of commodities.
3. The choice of methods to be employed in making an index number should be guided by the purpose for which the results are to be used. These purposes are so numerous and so diverse that it is impossible to make a single series well adapted to them all. Probably the time is near when certain uses will be so standardized that several divergent types of index numbers will be regularly compiled to serve the needs of various groups of users. Even now we have special index numbers of the prices of foods, of farm products, of metals, etc. To this list there might well be added a series especially designed to throw changes in business conditions into high relief, and assist in the bettering of business forecasts. Most of the currently published index numbers, however, are what may be called generalpurpose series, which undertake to measure changes in the wholesale price level at large.
4. The best form for these general-purpose series is a weighted aggregate of actual prices
5. The more commodities that can be included in such on index number the better, provided that the system of weighting is sound. Certainly, each of the following classes of commodities should be represented, and represented as fully as is feasible: Raw mineral, forest, animal, and farm products; and manufactured products in various stages of elaboration, bought for family consumption and for business use.
6. Probably the best weights to apply are the average physical quantities of the commodities bought and sold over a period of years without reference to the number of times their ownership is changed. These weights should be applied directly to the actual prices of each commodity in making up the totals for the several groups that have been mentioned, and then, if the necessary data can be secured, the totals for the several groups should be weighted again in making upthe grand totals for "all commodities."
7. In presenting such an index number, it is well to publish the aggregate actual prices, both for the several groups and for the grand totals. But it is highly desirable to publish also relatives made from these actual prices on a percentage scale, since comparisons can be made more easily from such figures than from the aggregates of actual prices, which are likely to run in awkward quantities. Indeed, several sets of these relatives, computed on the basis of actual prices at different times, can readily be provided for readers interested in 94261- Bull. 173-15-8
knowing how prices have changed with reference to recent or to past years. Among the relatives of greatest significance is the set which shows the annual percentage of rise or fall as compared with prices in the preceding year. In such chain index numbers it is usually possible to include some commodities for which quotations are lacking in certain of the years covered by the whole inveatigation.
8. While index numbers are a most convenient concentrated extract of price variations, they are far from being a competent representation of all the facts which they summarize. Most "consumers of statistics" lack the time to go back of the finished products to the data from which they are made. But the increase of knowledge concerning the causes and consequences of price variations depends much more upon intensives study of the ultimate data than upon the manipulation of averages or aggregates. Upon the extension of knowledge in this field depend in turn large issues of public welfare. Hence it is highly important to collect and to publish in full the actual prices of as many commodities as possible, even though some of the quotations may not now be available for use in making an index number.

## PART II.-INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES AND FOREIGN COUNTRIES.

UNITED STATES.
INDEX NUMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS.

## PUBLICATION.

An index number is published in connection with the reports on wholesale prices issued by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor at Washington. These reports are issued in bulletin form and appear annually.

## HISTORT.

The publication of this index number was began in 1902. Prior to that time the Department of Labor, now the Bureau of Labor Statistics, had conducted an inquiry into the course of wholesale prices from 1890 to 1899, the results of which were published in March, 1900. ${ }^{1}$ The purpose of this inquiry was to continue, so far as practicable, the investigation made for the Senate Committee on Finance for the years 1840 to 1891 under the direction of Roland P. Falkner, statistician to the committee. ${ }^{2}$ In the report of the Department of Labor alluded to, the index numbers appearing in the Senate Finance Committee's report were brought down to'1899, important changes with respect to the base period and the method of weighting being adopted. In 1902, however, when the material for the new report on wholesale prices was being assembled, it was found that many articles included in the report of the Senate Committee on Finance were either no longer manufactured or had ceased to be important factors in the market. On the other hand, a number of articles not shown in that report had become of such importance as to render necessary their inclusion in the new report. These facts necessitated the computation of a new series of index numbers based on the revised list of commodities. It was found, however, that prices of such commodities could be obtained for a period dating back to 1890 , so that the new series of index numbers, as published in the 1902 report, ${ }^{3}$ covered the 12 years from 1890 to 1901 , inclusive. This series has been continued in subsequent wholesale-price reports.

[^54]
## SOURCE OF QUOTATIONS.

The commodities included in the reports have been selected, not only with regard to their representative character, but also with regard to their availability in the future in the continuation of the price record. Standard trade journals, reports of boards of trade, chambers of commerce, produce exchanges, and leading manufacturers or their selling agents are the usual sources from which the price quotations are obtained. It has been the aim to secure the quotations for the various commodities from their primary markets. At present about one-half of the quoted prices are those in the New York market. For grains, live stock, etc., Chicago prices are quoted; for fish, New York and Boston prices; for pig iron, Pittsburgh prices; for tar, Wilmington, N. C., prices; etc. The prices for textiles are those prevailing in the general distributing markets, such as New York, Boston, and Philadelphia; and where no market is mentioned it should be understood that the prices are for the general market. ${ }^{1}$

## BASE PERIOD.

In the compilation of the bureau's index numbers it was recognized that in reducing a series of actual prices to relative prices a base must first be chosen that represents, approximately at least, prices when business conditions are normal. This may be either a single quotation, the average price for one year, or the average for two or more years. If the price for a single year is chosen, it is essential that the year be a normal one, for if prices are high in the year chosen for the base any subsequent fall will be unduly magnified, while on the other hand, if prices are low any subsequent rise will be unduly magnified. For the reason that all commodities probably never present a normal condition as regards prices in any one year, it was decided that an average price for a number of years would better reflect average conditions and form a broader and more satisfactory base than would the price for any single year. The period chosen as this base was that from 1890 to $1899-$ a period of 10 years. In the cases of a few articles for which prices for the entire 10 -year period could not be obtained, the average for such years prior to 1899 as were available was chosen as the base. -

The relative prices included in the series have been calculated in the usual manner and represent the percentage which each monthly or yearly price is of the average price for the base period 1890-1899. The aterage price of every article for the base period is represented by 100 , and the relative prices for each month or year show the percentage of rise and fall, from month to month or from year to year, of the prices of each single commodity, of each group of commodities, and of all commodities in terms of the average prices in 1890-1899.

Weekly prices heve been secured in the case of all articles which are subject to frequent fluctuations in price, such as butter, cheese, eggs, grain, live stock, meats, etc. In the case of articles whose prices are more stable, monthly prices have been taken. The majority of the weekly quotations show the price on Tuesday, but if for any reason the price was not obtainable on the particular day stated, the first available price thereafter has been taken. The quotations from trade and other journals, when credited to the first of each month, are not in all instances the price for the exact day stated. The prices. are, however, the earliest prices quoted for the month in the journal to which the article is credited.
In many localities the price of bread per loaf is not affected by changes in the price of flour, but the weight of the loaf is changed from time to time. For this reason the relative prices of bread are computed on the price per pound and not per loaf.

The average price for the year is obtained by dividing the sum of the quotations for a given commodity by the number of quotations shown. For example, the sum of the 52 Tuesday prices of cotton for 1913 was $\$ 6.6520$. This total divided by 52 gives $\$ 0.12792$ as the average price for the year. When a range is shown the mean price for each date is found, and this is used in computing the yearly average as above described. It should be understood that, in order to secure for any commodity a strictly scientific average price for the year, one must know the quantity marketed and the price for which each unit of quantity was sold. It is manifestly impossible to secure such detail, and even if it ware possible the labor and cost involved in such a compilation would be prohibitive. It is believed that the method adopted here, which is also that used in the construction of other index numbers, secures results which are quite satisfactory for all practical purposes. ${ }^{1}$

Net cash prices are shown for textiles and all articles whose list prices are subject to large and varying discounts. In the case of a few articles, however, the prices of which are subject to a small discount for cash, no deduction has been made. All rebaits have been deducted.

## NUMBER AND CLASS OF COMMODITIES.

In the record of prices from 1890 to 1913, 234 series of quotations have been presented for the entire period and an additional 38 for some portion of the period. The number of commodities included in the report for 1913 was 252, classified as follows: Farm products, 20 articles; food, etc., 54 articles; cloths and clothing, 63 articles; fuel and lighting; 13 articles; metals and implements, 38 articles; lumber and building materials, 28 articles; drugs and chemicals, 9

[^55]articles; house-furnishing goods, 14 articles; and miscellaneous, 13 articles. It was recognized by the bureau that, in the computation of an index number of this character, it is important that the greatest care be exercised in the choice of commodities, in order that a simple average of their relative prices shall show a general price level, and it has -been the aim to select only important and representative articles in each group. The use of a large number of articles, carefully selected, minimizes the effect on the general price level of an unusual change in the price of any one article or of a few articles.

## DESCRIPTION AND GROUPDNG OF COMMODITIES.

The following list, compiled from the 1913 report (pp. 33 to 82), shows the grouping and description of the articles:

Farm products (eo articles).
Barley: Choice to fancy malting, by samples.
Cattle:
Steers, choice to prime, corn fed.
Steers, good to choice, corn fed.
Corn: Contract grades, cash.
Cotion: Upland, middling.
Flaxseed: No. 1, cash.
Hay: Timothy, No. 1.
Hides: Green, ealted, packers', heavy native steers.
Hoga:
Heavy (range of quotations for selected 260 to 300 pounds packing and fair to fancy heavy shipping).
Light (range of quotations for common to choice, light bacon, and fair to fancy selected butcher's).
Hops: New York State, prime to choice.
Horses: Draft, choice to extra.
Mules: Medium to extra (16 hands from January to second woek in February, 16
to $16 \frac{1}{3}$ hands from third week in February to December).
Oais: Contract grades, cash.
Poultry: Live fowls (by freight), choice.
Rye: No. 2, cash.
Sheep:
Native wethers, poor to prime.
Western wathers, plain to prime.
Tobacco: Burley, dark red, good leaf.
Wheat:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { No. 1, northern spring, cash. } \\ \text { No. 2, red winter, cash. }\end{array}\right\}$ Prices combined to form 1 series of quotations.

Beans: Medium, choice.
Bread:
Crackers, oyster, puff, in boxes.
Orackers, soda, in boxes, containing 9 dozen each.
Loaf (weight before baking, 16 ounces).
Loaf (waight before baking, 15 to 154 ounces).

Butter:
Creamery, Elgin.
Creamery, extrs.
Dairy, New Yorl State, tubs, finest.
Canned goods:
Corn, Republic No. 2, fancy.
Peas, Republic No. 2, sifted.
Tomatoes, Arlington, standard, New Jersey, No. 3.
Cheese: New York State, full cream, large, colored, fancy.
Coffee: Rio No. 7, Brazil gradee.
Eggs: New laid, State, Penneylvania and near-by, range of hennery and freah-gathered white.
Fish:
Cod, whole fish, dry, bank, large.
Herring, pickled, Newfoundland split, large No. 1.
Mackerel, aalt, large No. 3.
Salmon, canned, Columbia River, 1-pound talls (Chinook fancy).
Flour:
Buckwheat.
Rye (range, in jute and in wood).
Wheat, spring patents (range, in sacka and barrels).
Whest, winter straights (range, in sacks and barrels).
Fruit:
Applee, evaporated, choice.
Currants, uncleaned, in barrels.
Prunes, California, 60s to 70s, in 50-pound bores.
Raisins, California, London layer.
Glucose: 42 degrees mixing.
Lard: Prime contract.
Meal:
Corn, fine white.
Corn, fine yellow.

## Meat:

Bacon, rough sides (short rib), amoked, looes.
Bacon, ahort clear sides, moked, loose.
Beaf, freah, carcass, good native nides.
Beef, fresh, native sides.
Beef, salt, extra, mess.
Hams, smoked, loose.
Mutton, dressed.
Pork, salt, mese, old to new.
Milk: Freah.
Molasees: New Orleans, open Lettle.
Poultry: Freah-killed dressed fowls, western, dry packed, dry picked, fancy, 48 to 55 pounds to the dozen.
Rice: Domestic, choice, head.
Salt: American, medium.
Soda: Bicarbonate of, American.
Spices: Pepper, black, Singapore.
Starch: Corn, for culinary purposes, Sunbeam, 48 1-pound packages in box.
Sugar:
96 degrees centrifugal.
Granulated, in barrele.
Tallow.

## Tea: Formosa, fine.

Vegetables, freah:
Cabbage, white (range of domeatic-grown cabbage from native and Danish seed). Onions.
Potatoes, white, ordinary to fancy.
Vinegar: Cider, Monarch, 40 -grain, in 45 -gallon barrels.
Cloths and clothing (6s articles).
Bags: 2-bushel, Amoskeag.
Blankets:
All wool, 11-4, 5 pounds to the pair.
Cotton, 2 pounds to the pair, 54 by 74 inches.
Boots and shoes:
Men's seamless Creedmores (eplit ties), first quality standard screw or pegged.
Men's vici calf shoes, blucher bal., vici calf top, single sole.
Men's vici kid shoes, Goodyear welt.
Women's solid grain shoes, leather, polish or polka.
Broadcloths: First quality black, 54 -inch, made from XXXX wool.
Calico: American standard prints, 64 by 64,7 yards to the pound.
Carpets:
Brussels, 5 -frame, Bigelow.
Wilton, 5 -frame, Bigelow.
Cotton flannels:
24 yards to the pound.
-31 yards to the pound.
Cotton thread: 6-cord, 200-yard spools, J. \& P. Coata.
Cotton yarns:
Carded, white, mule-spun, northern, cones, $10 /$.
Carded, white, mule-spun, northern, cones, $22 / 1$.
Denims: Amoskeag.
Drillings:
Brown, Pepperell.
30-inch, Massachusette $D$ standard, 2.85 yards per pound.
Flannels: White, 4-4 Ballard Vale, No. 3.
Ginghams:
Amoskeag.
Lancaster.
Horse blankets: All wool, 6 pounde each.
Hosiery:
Men's cotton half hose, seamiess, fast black, 20 to 22 ounce, 160 needles, single thread, carded yarn.
Women's colton hose, highspliced heel, double sole, full fashioned, combed peeler yarn.
Women's cotton hose, seamless, 26-ounce, 176 needles, single thread, carded yarn.
Leather:
Chrome calf, dull or bright finish, $\mathbf{B}$ grade (range of prices).
Harness, oak, 17 pounds and up, No. 1.
Sole, hemlock, Buenos Airee and Montevideo, middles, No. 1.
Sole, nak, scoured backa, heavy No. 1.
Linen ahoe thread: 10s, Barbour.
Overcoatings:
Covert cloth, all wool, double and twist, 14-ounce.
Soft-faced, black, plain twill, 24-ounce.

Print cloths: 28 -inch, 64 by 64 :
Sheetings:
Bleached, 10-4 Pepperell.
Bleached, 10-4, Wamsutta S. T.
Brown, 4-4 Indian Head.
Brown, 4-4, Pepperell R.
Brown, 4-4 Ware Shoals L. L., 4 yards to the pound.
Shirtings:
Bleached, 4-4, Fruit of the Loom.
Bleached, 4-4, Rough Rider.
Bleached, 36-inch, Lonsdale.
Bleached, 4-4, Wamsutta <0>

## Silk:

Raw, Italian, classical.
Raw, Japan, Kansai, No. 1.
Suitings:
Clay worsted, diagonal, 12 -ounce, Washington mills.
Clay worsted, diagonal, 16-ounce, Waahington mills.
Serge, 11-ounce, Fulton mills, 3192.
Wool dyed blue, 55-56 inch, 15-ounce.
Tickings: Amoskeag, A.C.A.
Trouserings: Fancy worsted, worated warp and filling, worsted back, $16 \frac{1}{2}$ to $17 \frac{1}{1}$ ounce.
Underwear.
Shirts and drawers, merino, natural color, full-fashioned, 50 per cent wool, 24 gauge.
Union suifi, merino, natural color, 40per cent wool, circular, 24-gauge, light weight.

## Women's dress goods:

Cashmere, all wool, 8-9 twill, 35 -inch, Atlantic Mills, 3602.
Cashmere, cotton warp, 9 -twill, 4-4, Atlantic Milla, $\mathbf{F}$.
Cashmare, cotton warp, 4-4, Hamilton.
Panama cloth, all wool, 54-inch.
Poplar cloth, cotton warp and worsted filling, 4-4.
Sicilian cloth, cotton warp, 50 -inch.
Wool:
Ohio, fine fleece ( $X$ and $X X$ grade), scoured.
Ohio, medium fleece (one-fourth and three-eighths grade), scoured.
Worsted yarns:
2-40s, Australian fine.
2-32s, crossbred stock, white, in skeins.
Fiuel and lighting (1s articles).
Candles: Adamantine, 6s, 14-ounce.
Coal:
Anthracite, broken.
Anthracite, chestnut.
Anthracite, egg.
Anthracite, stove.
Bituminous, Georges Creek, at the mine.
Bituminous, Georges Creek, f. o. b. New York harbor,
Bituminous, Pittaburgh (Youghiogheny), lump.
Coke: Connellsville, furnace.
Matches: Globe, No. 1, in cases.

Petroleum:
Crude.
Refined, for export, in barrels, cargo lote, S. W., 110 degrees fire test.
Refined 150 degreee fire test, water-white, in barrels (jobbing lots).
Mfetals and implements (s8 articles).
Augera: Extra, 1-inch.
Axes: Eseex, pattern handled.
Bar iron:
Bert refined.
Common to bèst refined, from mill.
Barb wire: Galvanized.
Butts: Loose pin, wrought steel, $3 \frac{1}{2}$ by $3 \frac{1}{f}$ inches.
Chisels: Extra, socket firmer, 1 -inch.
Copper:
Ingot, electrolytic.
Sheet, hot-rolled (base sizes).
Wire, bare, No. 8, B. \& S. gauge and heavier (base sizes).
Door knobs: Steel, bronze-plated.
Files: 8 -inch mill bastard, Nicholeon.
Hammers: Maydole, No. 11.
Lead: Pig, desilverized.
Lead pipe.
Locks: Common mortise, knob lock, $3 \frac{1}{2}$-inch.
Nails:
Cut, 8 -penny, fence and common.
Wire, 8 -penny, fence and common.
Pigiron:
Bessemer.
Foundry No. 1.
Foundry No. 2, northern.
Gray forge, southern, coke.
Planes: Sargent 414, jack plane.
Quicksilver: Jobbing lots.
Saws:
Crose-cut, Diseton No. 2, 6-foot, Champion tooth.
Hand, Disston No. 7, 26-inch.
Shovels: Ames, No. 2, cast-steel, long-handle, round-point, back-strap, black.
Silver: Bar, fine.
Spelter (pig rinc): Weatern.
Steel billets.
Steel rails.
Steel sheets: Black, No. 27, box annealed, cold-rolled, United Statee standard.'
Tin: Pig.
Tin plate, coke.
'Trowels: Johnson's, brick, $10 \frac{2}{2}$ inch.
Viese: Solid box, 50 -pound.
Wood screws: 1 -inch, No. 10, flathead.
Zinc: Sheet, ardinary numbers and sizes, packed in 600 -pound casks.
Lumber and building materials (28 articles).
Brick: Common red, domestic building.
Carbonate of lead: American, in oil.

## Cement:

Portland, domestic.
Rosendale.
Doors: Western white-pine, 2 feet 8 inches by 6 feet 8 inches, $1 \frac{1}{8}$ inches thick, 4 -panel
No. 2 O. G.
Hemlock: Base price, Pennsylvania and West Virginia stock.
Lime: Rockport, common.
Linseed oil: Raw, in barrels.
Maple: Hard and soft, 1-inch (4-4), firsts and aeconds.
Oak:
White, plain, mired, rock, mountain or West Virginia atock, 1-inch (4-4) firsts and seconds.
White, quartered, Indiana, firsta and seconds, 6 inches and up wide, 10 to 16 feet long.
Oxide of tinc: American, extra dry.
Pine:
White, boards, No. 2 barn, 10 inches wide, rough.
White, boards, upper, 1-inch (4-4), rough or dressed.
Yellow, flooring, long-leaf, B, heart-face, rift sawn, $1 \frac{3}{18}$ by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ face (counted 1 by 3), D. \& M.
Yellow, siding, long-leaf, boards, heart-face, 1-inch and ly-inch.

## Plate glass:

Polished, glazing, area 5 to 10 square feet.
Polished, glazing, area 3 to 5 square feet.
Poplar: Yellow, 1-inch, firsts and seconds, 7 to 17 inches and up wide, rough.
Putty: Commercial (bulk).
Rosin: Common to good, strained.
Shingles:
Cypress, best all heart, 5 inches wide, 16 inches long.
Red cedar, clear, random width, 16 inches long.
Spruce: 6 to 9 inch, cargoes, eastern.
Tar: Pine. .
Turpentine: Spirits of, southern, barrels.
Window glass:
American, single, AA, 25-inch.
American, aingle, $B, 25$-inch.
Drugs and chemicals (9 articles).
Alcohol:
Grain, 190 proot, U. S. P.
Wood, refined, 05 per cent.
Alum: Lump.
Brimstone: Crude, domestic.
Glycerin: Refined, chemically pure, in bulk.
Muriatic acid: 20 degrees.
Opium: Natural, in cases.
Quinine: American, in 100-ounce ting.
Sulphuric acid: 66 degrees.
Earthenware:
House-furnishing goods (14 articles).
Plates, cream-colored, 7-inch.
Plates, white granite, 7 -inch.
Teacupa and saucers, white granite, with handles.
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Furniture:
Bedroom sets, 3 pieces, iron bedstead, hardwood dreseer and washstand.
Chairs, bedroom, maple, cane seat.
Chairs, kitchen, common spindle.
Tables, kitchen, $3 \frac{1}{2}$-foot.
Glaseware:
Nappies, 4-inch, common.
Pitchers, one-half gallon, common.
Tumblers, table, one-third pint, common.
Table cutlery:
Carvers, stag handles, No. 016, 8-inch.
Knives and forks, cocobolo handles, metal bolstera, No. 210.
Woodenware:
Pails, oak-grained, 3-hoop, wire ears.
Tubs, oak-grained, $\mathbf{Y}_{4}$ in nest, $\mathrm{C}, 19,21$, and 23 inches in diameter.
Miscellaneous ( 13 articles).
Cottonseed meal.
Cottonseed oil: Prime, summer, yellow.
Jute: Raw M-double triangle, shipment, medium gradee.
Malt: Weatern grade, standard.
Paper:
News, wood, roll, contract.
Manila, wrapping, No. 1 jute.
Proof spirit: Finished goods basis (whisky).
Rope: Pure manila (base sizes, $\frac{2}{16}$-inch and larger to Mar, 10; thereafter $\frac{\pi}{8}$-inch and larger, three-strand).
Rubber: Para Ialand, fine.
Soap: Castile, mottled, pure.
Starch: Laundry, 50 -pound boxes, in bulk.
Tobacco:
Plug, Climax, 12 pieces to the pound.
Smoking, granulated, Seal of North Carolina, 1-ounce baga.

## SUBSTITUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.

Since the issuance of the first wholesale price report covering the years 1890 to 1901 a number of changes have been made necessary in the character of the articles included. Certain articles no longer commercially important or for which satisfactory price quotations could no longer be obtained have had to be discontinued and other articles substituted therefor. Thus material changes in the description of 3 articles became necessary in 1902, of 2 articles in 1903, of 1 article in 1904, of 4 articles in 1905, of 6 articles in 1906, of 3 articles in 1907, of 19 articles in 1908, of 1 article in 1909, of 2 articles in 1910, of 4 articles in 1911, of 4 articles in 1912, and of 16 articles in 1913. For 7 of these articles the trade journals no longer supply satisfactory quotations; the manufacture of the particular grade of 15 previously quoted has been discontinued by the establishments heretofore furnishing quotations, and for 43 articles the substituted descriptions more nearly represent the present demands of the trade. In making these substitutions articles were supplied corresponding as closely as possible to those which were previously used.

In explanation of the method adopted for computing the relative prioes of articles substituted for others the statement is made in the report for 1913 (p. 31) that in any year where it was found necessary to introduce an article to replace another the relative price assigned to the new article for that year was identical with the relative price already ascertained for its predecessor in the same year. In other words, it is assumed that if the price of a commodity in any year, as represented by the price of a particular grade of the commodity in question, be correotly expressed by the relative number assigned it, then its price in the same year may continue to be expressed by assigning this same relative number to a new grade of the commodity when substituted for the former grade.

In 1908 a number of articles (11) were added to the list of those previously inaluded. For such articles no relative price based on the 1890-1899 period could be computed, owing to the impossibility of obtaining satisfactory prices for those years. However, it was deemed necessary to include these new commodities in the sevieral group relatives for 1908 . This was accomplished by dividing the 1908 price of each article in the group, both old and new, by its 1907 price and then computing the simple average of the percentages thus obtained. This last result, which represents the group index for 1908 expressed as a percentage of the 1907 group index, was then multiplied by the relative price of the group in 1907 to produce the group relative for 1908. Similarly, in succeeding years, the relative prices for individual articles in the current year, computed on the prices of the preceding year as a base, have been averaged and the result multiplied by the group relative for the preceding year to give the corresponding group relative for the current year. The general index number for all commodities in 1908 and in subsequent years has been computed in the same manner as explained above.

INTEREPOLATION.
Prices have not been interpolated for periods when price quotations were lacking for any of the commodities for which index numbers have been computed, although whenever new commodities have been introduced or substituted for other commodities, the assumption is tacitly made that the price of the newly introduced article has changed by the same percentage as the group as a whole and that the price of every substituted commodity has varied exactly as the price of the old article varied up to the time when the substitution'was made.

## WhGirting.

In compiling the present series of index numbers weighting in its technical sense has not been attempted. Instead, it has been thought best to use simply a large number of representative staple articles, selecting them in such a manner as to make them, to a large
extent, weight themselves. Upon a casual examination it may seem that by this method a comparatively unimportant commoditysuch, for instance, as tea-is given the same weight or importance as one of the more important commodities, such as wheat. A closer examination, however, will disclose the fact that tea enters into no other commodity under consideration, while wheat is quoted in the raw state and enters into the two descriptions of wheat flour, the two descriptions of crackers, and the two descriptions of loaf bread. This method is the one employed by Mr. Sauerbeck in his compilation of English prices.

## TESTING.

No formal comparison of the index number compiled by the Bureau of Labor Statistics with other index numbers has been made in any of the wholesale-price reports. Great care is exercised in the selection and compilation of the data on which the index is based and the percentage changes in prices recorded are therefore quite accurate.

- tables of ragulte

The following table, which has been reproduced from the 1913 report, ${ }^{1}$ shows the movement in wholesale prices for the period from 1890 to 1913 in each of the nine principal groups of commodities and the monthly variations from January to December, 1913:

RELATIVE PRICES OF COMMODITIES, BY OROUPS, 1890 TO 1913, AND JANUARY TO DECEMBER, 1913.
(Hinmo period, 1890-1899-100.)

| Year. | Farm produots. | Food, tc. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cloths } \\ \text { 日nd } \\ \text { cloth. } \\ \text { fig.: } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fuel } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Hghtligg. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metals } \\ & \text { And } \\ & \text { tmple- } \\ & \text { ments. } \end{aligned}$ | Lumber and building mate rlals. | Drage chemscals. | Hotuse- furnalis ing good <br> goods | Miscallaneous | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { com. } \\ & \text { modi- } \\ & \text { tiles. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1890. | 110.0 | 112.4 | 113.5 | 104.7 | 119.2 | 111.0 | 110.2 | 111.1 | 110.3 | 112.9 |
| 1891 | 121.5 | 115.7 | 111.3 | 102.7 | 111.7 | 108.4 | 103.6 | 110.2 | 100.4 | 11.7 |
| 1892 | 111.7 | 103.8 | 109.0 | 101.1 | 100.0 | 102.8 | 102.9 | 108.5 | 106.2 | 100.1 |
| 1803. | 107.9 | 110.2 | 107.2 | 100.0 | 100.7 | 101.9 | 100.5 | 104.9 | 105.9 | 105.6 |
| 1804. | 85.9 | 99.8 | 96.1 | 0.4 | 00.7 | 96.3 | 59.8 | 100.1 | 9.8 | 68.1 |
| 1895. | 9.3 | 94.6 | 92.7 | 88.1 | 97.0 | 9. 1 | 87.8 | 96.5 | 94.5 | 93.6 |
|  | 78.3 | 83.8 | 91.8 | 104.3 | 93.7 | 83.4 | 92.6 | 9.0 | 91.4 | 90.4 |
| 1897. | 85.2 | 87.7 | 91.1 | 96. 4 | 86.6 | 90.4 | 94.4 | 89.8 | 92.1 | 80.7 |
| 1898. | 00.6 | 94.4 | 93.4 | 85.4 | 88.4 | 95.8 | 108.8 | 92.0 | 92.4 | 93. 4 |
| 1890. | 100.8 | 98.3 | 96.7 | 105.0 | 11.7 | 105.8 | 111.3 | 05.1 | 97.7 | 101.7 |
| 1900. | 109.5 | 104.2 | 106.8 | 120.9 | 120.5 | 115.7 | 115.7 | 108.1 | 100.8 | 110.5 |
| 1801. | 116.9 | 105.9 | 101.0 | 119.5 | 111.9 | 118.7 | 115.2 | 110.9 | 107.4 | 108.5 |
| 1900. | 130.5 | 111.8 | 102.0 | 134.3 | 117.2 | 118.8 | 114.2 | 112.2 | 114.1 | 112.9 |
| 1903. | 118.8 | 107.1 | 108. 6 | 149.8 | 117.6 | 121.4 | 112.6 | 113.0 | 113.6 | 113.6 |
| 1903. | 120.2 | 107.2 | 109.8 | 182.6 | 109.6 | 122.7 | 110.0 | 111.7 | 111.7 | 113.0 |
| 1805. | 124.2 | 108.7 | 112.0 | 128.8 | 122.5 | 17.7 | 100.1 | 109.1 | 1128 | 115.9 |
| 1908. | 13.8 | 112.0 | 120.0 | 131.9 | 135.2 | 140.1 | 10 L .2 | 11.0 | 121. 1 | 122.8 |
| 1007 | 137.1 | 117.8 | 128.7 | 135.0 | 143.4 | 148.9 | 109.6 | 118.5 | 127.1 | 129.5 |
| 1808. | 133.1 | 120.6 | 116.9 | 230.8 | 125.4 | 133.1 | 110.4 | 114.0 | 119.9 | 1228 |
| 1009. | 163.1 | 124.7 | 118.8 | 120.3 | 124.8 | 138.4 | 112.4 | 111.7 | 125.0 | 128.8 |
| 1910. | 184.6 | 128.7 | 128.7 | 125. 4 | 128.5 | 153.2 | 117.0 | 111.6 | 133.1 | 131.6 |
| 1911. | 189.0 | 131.3 | 110.6 | 122.4 | 119.4 | 151.4 | 110.3 | 111.1 | 131.2 | 120.2 |
| 1912. | 171.8 | 139.6 | 130.7 | 133.9 | 128.1 | 148.2 | 122.9 | 113.7 | 133.2 | 133.6 |
| 1913. | 105.8 | 187.1 | 128.7 | 14.2 | 127.8 | 151.8 | 13.1 | 118.1 | 237.1 | 136.9 |

1 Bultetin of the United Etates Burcaun of Labor itatistles, No. 149, p. 11.

RELATIVE PRICES OF COMISODITIES, BY GROUPS, 1890 TO 1813, AND JANUARY TO DECEMBER, 1013-Concluded.

| Year. | Farm prodnots. | Food, etc. | Clotbs and clothing. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fuel } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { lighting. } \end{gathered}$ |  | Lumber and building mate rials. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hooss- } \\ \text { furnish } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { goods } \end{gathered}$ | Miscallangous. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jenuary. | 100.4 | 132.7 | 124.2 | 144.3 | 132.8 | 153.1 | 12.0 | 117.5 | 134.9 | 134.9 |
| February. | 162.3 | 133.1 | 124.7 | 144.3 1428 | 133.1 | 154.1 | 124.1 | 117.5 118.8 | 134.5 <br> 134 | 135.3 |
| Apriil... | 167.8 | 132.9 | 124.6 | 138.9 | 129.4 | 154.7 | 124.5 | 118.3 | 135.5 | 135.0 |
| May | 103.1 | 132.5 | 124.1 | 138.5 | 129.1 | 153.2 | 124.9 | 118.8 | 135.8 | 134.8 |
| June. | 162.7 | 133.4 | 12.6 | 139.9 | 127.7 | 152.3 | 124.7 | 118.3 | 136.4 | 134.1 |
| Jaly. | 102.7 | 135.4 | 122.7 | 141.0 | 129.1 | 151.2 | 12. 5 | 118.3 | 138.6 | 134.3 |
| Augnst | 164.6 | 133.4 | 122.8 | 142.7 | 128.2 | 150.3 | 128. 1 | 118.3 | 138.7 | 134.4 |
| Septernher | 188.6 | 141.2 | 123.5 | 143.8 | 128.7 | 150.7 | 123.7 | 118.3 | 140.3 | 136.1 |
| October. | 168.9 | 144.1 | 123.5 | 133.2 | 125.5 | 148.9 | 124.7 | 118.8 | 139.6 | 136.3 |
| November | 169.7 | 143.3 | 123.7 | 142.7 | 123.3 | 148.9 | 124. 8 | 118.3 | 138.8 | 135.8 |
| Decamber. | 17.8 | 144.6 | 123.2 | 143.6 | 120.5 | 149.7 | 124.8 | 118.3 | 137.6 | 135.7 |

In order to follow the movement in the two great classes of com-modities-raw and manufactured-the following table, which shows the relative prices by years, 1890 to 1913, and by months, January to December, 1913, has been prepared: ${ }^{1}$

RELATIVE PRICES OF RAW AND MANUFACTURED COMMODITIES, BY YEARS, 1800 TO 1913, AND BY MONTES, JANUARY TO DECEMBER, 1913.
(Base period, 1890-1899-100.)


I Bullotin of the United gtares Bursau of Labor Statistios, No. 149; pp. 13 and 14.

## INDEX NUMBERS OF TRE UNITED STATES SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ <br> publication.

The Committee on Finance of the United States Senate published in 1893 an exhaustive report in which the course of wholesale prices in the United States was shown by means of index numbers for the 52year period from 1840 to 1891 . The report was of a special character, involving an extensive research, and the price data contained thereein have not been continued except in a modified form for subsequent years.

HISTORY.
A Senate resolution of March 3, 1891, authorized the Committee on Finance "to ascertain in every practicable way, and to report from time to time to the Senate, the effect of the tariff laws upon the imports and exports, the growth, development, production, and prices of agricultural and manufactured articles at home and abroad." Pursuant to this resolution the committee undertook to ascertain through accurate and adequate statistics of prices and wages the changes which had taken place in the condition, as shown by the relative purchasing power of their earnings, of the great mass of people in the country for the preceding 50 years. The report of the committee submitted on March 3, 1893, contained a mass of statistics relating to wholesale prices compiled by the statistician of the committee, Roland P. Falkner. (See Report on Wholesale Prices, Wages, and Transportation, Part 1, Appendix A.)

A continuation of this series of prices has been published in Bulletin No. 27 issued by the United States Department of Labor (now the Bureau of Labor Statistics) bringing the data down to 1899. In this latter series, however, two important changes of method were introduced. The first was in adopting as a basis the average price for the nine quarters-January, 1890, to January, 1892, inclusive-in place of the single-date basis, and the second in departing from the simple average method of allowing to each article equal weight, and instead combining the index numbers of similar articles to form one index number, to be used as one article only in calculating the index numbers for groups and for all commodities.

Another presentation of the data for the years 1860-1880 in somewhat different form (by quarters) is contained in "Gold Prices and Wages under the Greenback Standard," by Wesley C. Mitchell."

## sOURCE OF QUOTATIONS.

The wholesale price quotations included in the report were collected mainly by the United States Department of Labor through its corps of agents and experts. In some cases experts employed

[^56]directly by the committee furnished the data for the tabulation. As a rule, the prices were obtained first hand; that is, from records of actual sales. In the selection of articles for quotations the committee frequently consulted the representatives of leading industries.
"The greatest care was exercised to secure absolutely accurate statements, and the books of merchants and manufacturers were ransacked in order to obtain figures worthy of every confidence." ${ }^{1}$

## BASE PERIOD.

It is explained that the year 1840 was not used as a base because a statement based on that year "would have rendered comparatively useless for purposes of comparison all the articles the quotations for which begin later than 1840." 2 For this reason the year 1860, which would include most of the figures presented, was considered preferable. Moreover, it was believed that "the year 1860 represents a period in our industrial development midway between the older methods of production that prevailed before the war and those which have come into use since that period. It is also a period of comparatively normal prices. The markets of the country had recovered from the crisis of 1857 and the disturbances of trade caused by the war had not yet taken place." ${ }^{1}$

Also, a single year, 1860, rather than the average for a period of years, was taken because "it was not always practicable to secure for the articles in question the average prices that would have covered the period immediately prior to 1860 , while in the following year some prices already manifested the disturbances due to the unsettled state of national affairs"; 1860 possessed all the aspects of a normal year. "Its price varies little from that of 1859 or of 1858 on the one hand and of 1861 on the other. It is therefore quite as proper a basis of comparison as would be an average of these four years." ${ }^{1 / 2}$

## PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.

As a rule the prices used were actual prices obtained at certain dates. In a few cases average prices for the year were used, whensuch prices were considered representative. The index numbers were calculated on the basis of the January prices in each year where the prices were quoted by quarters. An exception was made to this rule in the cases of those articles for which the January price was not the representative price for the year, as for fresh vegetables, in which cases the most appropriate month was selected.

## NUMBER AND CLASS OF COMMODITIES.

In all there were 230 series of quotations presented, covering the prices not only of food products and raw materials but also of a very

[^57]large number of manufactured articles. While all series of quotations did not cover the entire period, owing to the difficulty of obtaining for the earlier years prices of articles in use during the later years, prices for 85 articles quoted in 1891 were secured as far back as 1840, and for 223 articles as far back as 1860. Those articles which are articles of luxury only and whose price had increased so immoderately that they could not be said to enter into consumption in the same degree as formerly were omitted.

## DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.

The 223 articles were grouped as follows:
Food (53).
Cloths and clothing (28).
Fuel and lighting (10).
Metals and implements (54).
Lumber and building materials (35).
Drugs and chemicals (18).
House-furnishing goods (15).
Miscellaneous (10).
Following is an enumeration of the articles appearing on pages 30 to. 52 of Part I of the report:

Food.
Beans.
Bread:
A ship bread.
B ship bread.
Boston crackers (two quotations).
Navy ship bread.
Oyster crackers.
Ship biscuits.
Soda crackers.
Butter.
Cheese.
Coffee, Rio, fair.
Eggs.
Fish:
Cod.
Mackerel, "salt, shore, No. 1.
Mackerel, salt, shore, No. 2.
Mackerel, salt, shore, No. 3.
Flour, wheat.
Flour, rye.
Fruit:
Apples, dried.
Currants, Zante.
Raisins.
Lard.
Jard, pure leaf.
Meal, corn, yellow, kiln-dried.

## Meat:

Bacon, clear.
Beef, loins.
Beef, salt, meas.
Beef, ribs
Ham, sugar-cured.
Lamb.
Mutton.
Pork, salt, meas
Milk, fresh.
Molasses:
New Orleans, prime.
Porto Rico, best.
Rice, Carolina, prime.
Salt:
Ashton's.
Ashton's Liverpool, fine.
Coarse, solar.
Fine, boiled.
Turk's Island.
Spices:
Nutmegs.
Pepper, whole, Sumatra
Starch, corn (two quotations).
Sugar:
Brown.
Cut.
Fair refining.
Refined, crushed, and granulated.
Tallow, prime, city, in hogsheads.
Vegetables:
Freah, potatoes, white (two quotations).
Cloths and clothing.
Blankets, 11-4, 5 pounds to the pair:
Cotton warp, cotton and wool filling.
Cotton warp, all-wool filling.
Broadcloths:
Finst quality, black, 54 -inch, made from XXX wool.
Second quality, black, 54 -inch, made from XX wool.
Calico, Cocheco prints.
Carpets:
Brussels, 5-frame, Bigelow.
Ingrain, 2-ply, Lowell.
Wilton, 5 -frame, Bigelow.
Cassimeres, all-wool:
3-4, 7-ounce, Harris double and twist.
3-4, 12 -ounce, Harris double and twist.
3-4, 12-ounce, Harris silk mixed.
Fancy, 3-4, light weight.
Checks, black and white, all-wool, 3-4, 7-ounce, Harria,
Cotton, upland, middling.

Denims, Amoskeag.
Drilling, 30-inch, Pepperell.
Hides, dry, Buenos Aires.
Horse blankete, 6 pounds, all-wool.
Leather, harness.
Print cloths:
28-inch, 64 by 64, Metacomet.
28 -inch, 7 yards to the pound, standard.
Shawls, standard, 72 by 144 inches, weighing 42 ounces, made of XX Ohio fleece wool.
Sheetings, brown, 4-4, Atlantic A.
Shirtings, bleaches, 4-4, New York mills.
Sole leather, first quality, medium weight, Buenos Aires.
Tickings, Amoskeag, A. C. A.
Wool, Ohio, medium fleece, scoured.
Wool, Ohio, fine fleece, scoured.
Fuel and lighting.
Candles, best adamantine.
Coal, anthracite:
Chestnut.
Egg.
F. lump.

Grate.
Pea.
S. lump.

Stove.
Coal, bituminous.
Matches, 8-card.
Metals and implements.
Arvils, domestic.
Bar iron, best refined, rolled.
Butts, loose, joint, cast, 3 by 3 inch.
Copper, ingot.
Copper, sheet.
Door knobs, mineral.
Iron rods, for making common wood screws.
Iron wire, market, No. 10.
Lead, drop shot.
Lead:
Pig (two quotations).
Pipe.
Locks:
Common mortise.
Common rim.
Meat cutters, Hale's, No. 12.
Nails, cut.
Pig iron, No. 1, anthracite, foundry.
Pocket knives:
Redwood, iron-lined handle, 2 -inch, 1 blade.
Standard, black horn, brass G. S. handle, 3h-inch, pen, 2 blades.
Standard-
Cocoa, brase G. S. handle, 3 tinch, 2 blades.
Cocoa, brass G. S. handle, $3 \boldsymbol{i}$-inch, 2 blades.
Cocoa, iron-lined handle, 24 -inch, 1 blade.
Cocoa, iron-lined handle, 3 finch, 1 blade (two quotations).

Pocket knives-Continued. Standard-Continued.

Cocoa, iron-lined handle, 3 -inch, 2 bladen,
Cocoa, iron-lined handle, 3 sinch, 2 blades.
Cocoa, iron-lined handle, 4 -inch, 1 blade.
Ebony, brass G. S. handle, 3$\}$-inch, 2 blades.
Ivory, brass G. S. handle, 3 -inch, pen, 2 blades.
Ivory, brase G. S. handle, $3 \frac{1}{4}$-inch, pen, 2 blades.
Ivory, brass G. S. handle, 3 -inch, pen, 4 blades.
Ivory, brass G. S. handle, $3 \frac{1}{2}$-inch, pen, 3 blades.
Pearl, brase-lined handle, 3 tinch, pen, 3 blades.
Pearl, silver-lined handle, 3 -inch, pen, 3 blades.
Pearl, silver-lined handle, $3 \frac{1}{4}$-inch, pen, 4 blades.
Redwood, iron-lined handle, 4-inch pruner, 1 blade.
Redwood, iron-lined handle, 5-inch pruner, 1 blade.
Stag, brase G. S. handle, $4 \frac{1}{2}$-inch, 3 blades.
Stag, brass-lined handle, 3 -inch, pen, 3 blades.
Stag, brass-lined handle, $3 \frac{1}{2}$-inch, pen, 3 blades.
Stag, brass-lined handle, 37 -inch, 4 blades.
Stag, brass-lined handle, 4 -inch, 4 blades.
Quicksilver.
Rope:
Manila.
Tarred, American.
Tarred, Russian.
Saws:
Circular, 52-inch, Disston's.
Croescut, 6-foot, Disston's.
Hand, common, Disston's.
Hand, standard, Disston's.
Scythee.
Shovels, Amea No. 2, cast-ateel D handle, square-point, back-strap.
Spelter, imported.
Wood screws, l-inch, No. 10, flat head, iron.

## Iumber and building materials.

Brick, common domestic building.
Carbonate of lead, in oil.
Cement, Rosendale.
Chestnut, Iumber, in the log, not sawed,
Doors, pine, unmolded, 2 feet 4 inches by 6 feet 8 inches, $1+$ inchee thick.
Hemlock, boards, first quality, I-inch, not planed.
Hemlock, lumber, in the log, not sawed.
Lime, Rockland.
Maple, boards, first quality, l-inch, rough.
Oak, boards, white, plain, fret quality, l-inch, rough.
Oxide of vinc, Ameriçan, dry.
Pine, boarde, white, clear, l-inch, not planed.
Pine:
Boards, white, elear, extra, l-inch, not planed (2 quotations).
Boards, white, common, 1-inch, not planed (2 quotations).
Boards, white, culls, 1-inch, not planed.
Flooring, white, extra, 1-inch, not planed.
Lumber, in the $\log$, not sawed.

Plate glass, polished:
Unailvered, area 1 to 3 equare feet.
Unsilvered, area 3 to 5 square feet.
Unsilvered, ares 5 to 10 square feet.
Unsilvered, area 10 to 40 square feet.
Unsilvered, area 40 to 80 square feet.
Unsilvered, area $\mathbf{8 0}$ to 100 square feet.

## Putty.

Shingles, pine:
16 inches, XXX.
16 inches, extra, XXX cut.
16 inches, extra XXX suwed.
Spruce boards, 1-inch.
Tar, Wilmington.
Turpentine.
Window glass:
American, 10 by 14.
French, 10 by 14, firsta, ringle.
French, 10 by 14, thirds, single.

Alcohol.
Drugs and chemicals.
Alum, lump, crystal.
Bichromate of potash.
Blue vitriol.
Brimatone, crude.
Calomel.
Copperas.
Flaxseed.
Glycerin, refined.
Linseed oil.
Mercury.
Muriatic acid.
Opium.
Quinine.
Soda ash.
Sugar of lead:
Brown.
White.
Sulphuric acid.
Furniture:
House-furnishing goods,
Chairs, bedroom, maple, cane seat.
Chairs, kitchen, common, epindle.
Tablee, kitchen, pine, 3 -foot.
Glaseware:
Bowle, 8 -inch.
Goblets, common.
Pitchers, t-gallon.
Sets, finished.
Tumblers, $\frac{1}{2}$-pint.
Pails, wooden:
2-hoop (2 quotations).
3-hoop.
Tubs, wooden (4 quotations).

Powder, rifle (2 quotations).
Rubber, Para.
Soap, castile, mottled, imported.
Starch:
Ontario.
Ordinary laundry.
Pearl.
Pure.
Refined.
Silver gloss.

## SUBSTITUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.

In the compilation of the index numbers no substitution of one grade or quality of an article for another grade or quality of the same article or for a different article previously included was made. In cases where quotations on a particular article could no longer be had, or where the article had ceased to be representative, it was discontinued and the index number was computed on the remaining articles. Additions to the list of articles were made from time to time as occasion demanded, such additions being carried into the index number for the year.

INTERPOLATION.
Interpolation of prices was not resorted to in the preparation of the index numbers. In cases where prices for particular periods were lacking, the article in question was temporarily discontinued.
weigeting.
The committee calculated three distinct index numbers. The first was unweighted, while the second and third were weighted by assigning to each article an importance in the result equal to its importance in family consumption. The basis selected by the committee for determining this consumption was the Seventh Annual Report of the Commissioner of Labor, showing the values of various articles consumed by a large number of families which were considered typical of the expenditures of the mass of the people. This information is summarized in the following table showing the distribution of expenditure for 2,561 normal families.

DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENDITURE FOR 2,561 FAMILIES.

| Group. | Per cent of expenditure for each purpose. | Proportlons of 10,000 . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rent. | 15.08 | 1,500 |
| Food. | 41.03 | 4,103 |
| Fuel. | 5.00 | 500 |
| Clothing............. | 15.31 | 1,531 |
| Liphting.............. | \%900 | - 90 |
| All other purposes.... | 22.70 | 2,270 |
| ; | 100.00 | 10,000 |

This table shows the main groups of family expenditures only, and these were not sufficiently specific for the purpose. In order to secure accurate and specific data as to the composition of these groups themselves, therefore, 232 special budgets of family expenses were collected. The table based on 2,561 families was then used to secure the proportion of the groups entering into consumption, while a table based on 232 families was used to secure the distribution of expenditure within the groups themselves. The figures thus secured, showing the relative weight in consumption of each article contained in the family budgets, were then applied to the various articles in the index numbers. Few articles were found, however, with identical descriptions, hence a method of grouping was resorted to. For example, two or more articles contained in the index numbers were often grouped to represent one article of the family budget, thus, "ham," "bacon," and "pork" were considered equivalent to the "hog products" of the family budgets, and an arithmetical average was made of the index numbers of these three articles, which was made the index number of hog products and given its appropriate weight. Briefly described, the method as applied to the food group was as follows: The figure denoting the importance of each article in the group in a given year was multiplied by its simple index number (or the average where two or more articles were grouped), and the sum of these products was divided by the total of the figures denoting importance for the weighted index number for the general group of food. This method was used in securing similar weighted index numbers for the other years of the period. It is seen that according to this method the same weights were used for each year of the period, although they were based on the consumption of families in 1891.

The following table shows the weighted relative prices or index numbers in 1891 for each of the groups considered, together with the total weighted relative price or index number:

RELATIVE PRICES OF ALL ARTICLES IN 1801, MEASURED BY CONSUMPTION.


In the above table the weighted index numbers for the various groups were found in practically the same manner as has previously been described for food, except that rent and certain items entering
into the group "All other purposes" were considered to have remained unchanged. These were then multiplied by the figures denoting importance, and the sum of the products divided by the total of the figures denoting importance $(10,000)$ to secure the weighted total of 96.2. The remaining years were treated in a similar manner.

The items of budget expenditure considered as remaining unchanged (rent, taxes, insurance, etc.), constituted 31.40 per cent of the total expenditure, leaving 68.60 per cent as affected by changes in prices. Another set of index numbers for such articles was made by the committee by assigning a total numerical weight of 6,860 to such articles and working out the index numbers on that basis. This resulted in a slightly different total index number.

TESTING.
The accuracy of the results secured was tested by comparison of the index numbers with those of the London Economist and of Sauerbeck for England. ${ }^{1}$

## tablas of riesults.

The index numbers computed by the three methods, i. e., simple average, average of all articles weighted according to consumption, and average of fluctuating articles only, weighted according to consumption, are shown in the following table. The prices are in currency. ${ }^{2}$

RELATIVE PRICES IN EACH YEAR, 1840 TO 1801, FOR ALL ARTICLES GROUPED BY DIFFERENT METHODS.

| Year. | All articles simply aversged. | All articles averaged according to 1mportance, certain expenditures being considered uniform. | All articles averaged according to importance, comprising 68.60 per cent of total axpenditare. | Year. | All articles simply averaged. | All articles averaged accarding to importance, certain expenditures being considered uniform. | All articles averayed according to importance, comprising 68.60 per cont of totsl expenditure. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1840. | 116.8 | 985 | 97.7 | 1886. | 191.0 | 160.2 | 187.7 |
| 1841. | 115.8 | 98.7 | 88.1 | 1897.... | 172.2 | 145.2 | 165.8 |
| 1842. | 107.8 | 88.2 | 00.1 | 1888... | 160.5 | 150.7 | 173.9 |
| 1843. | 101.5 | 89.3 | 84.3 | 1860.... | 153.5 | 135.9 | 152.3 |
| 1844. | 101.9 | 89.8 | 85.0 | 1870.... | 142.3 | 180.4 | 144.4 |
| 1845. | 102.8 | 92.1 | 88.2 | 1871 | 136.0 | 124.8 | 186.1 |
| 1848. | 106. 4 | 96.7 | 25.2 | 1872. | 138.8 | 122.2 | 182.4 |
| 1847. | 108.5 | 86.7 | 95.2 | 1873.. | 187.5 | 119.9 | 129.0 |
| 1848. | 101.4 | 92.0 | 88.3 | 1874.. | 183.0 | 120.5 | 129.9 |
| 1849.. | 98.7 | 88.9 | 83.5 | 1875..... | 127.6 | 119.8 | 128.5 |
| 1850. | 102.3 | 92.6 | 89.2 | 1876... | 118.2 | 115.5 | 122.6 |
| 181. | 105.9 | 99.1 | 98.6 | 1877. | 110.9 | 109.4 | 113.6 |
| 1852. | 102.7 | 98.5 | 97.9 | 1878. | 101.3 | 108.1 | 104.6 |
| 1853. | 109.1 | 103.4 | 105.0 | 1879..... | 96.6 | 98.0 | \$5.0 |
| 1854. | 112.9 | 100.4 | 105.0 | 1880..... | 106.9 | 103.4 | 104.9 |
| 1855. | 113.1 | 106. 3 | 109.2 | 1881. | 105.7 | 105.8 | 108.4 |
| 1850. | 113.2 | 108.5 | 112.3 | 1882..... | 108.5 | 108.3 | 109. 1 |
| 1857. | 112.5 | 109.8 | 114.0 | 1883..... | 106.0 | 104. 5 | 106. 6 |
| 1858. | 101.8 | 100.1 | 113.2 | 1844..... | 09.4 | 101.8 | 102.6 |
| 1859. | 100.2 | 102.0 | 302.8 | 185..... | 03.0 | 95, 4 | 98.8 |
| 1860. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1866...... | 01.9 | 95.5 | 93.4 |
| 1861. | 100.6 | 95. 9 | 94.1 | 1887. | 92. 6 | 98.2 | 94.5 |
| 1862. | 117.8 | 102.8 | 104.1 | 1883 | 94.2 | 97.4 | 98.2 |
| 1863. | 148. 6 | 122.1 | 132.2 | 1889. | 94.2 | 99.0 | 98.5 |
| 1864. | 190.5 | 149.4 | 172.1 | 1890. | 023 | 95.7 | 93.7 |
| 1865. | 218.8 | 100.7 | 282.2 | 1591. | 92.3 | 00.2 | 94. |

[^58]A convenient summary of the foregoing table, by periods of five years, is found in the table following: ${ }^{\text {d }}$

RELATIVE PRICES, BY 5-YEAR PERIODS, 1840 TO 1891, FOR ALL ARTICLES GROUPED BY DIFFERENT KETHODS.

| Pertod. | $\underset{\substack{\text { All articles } \\ \text { stmply } \\ \text { smeriged }}}{ }$ sveraged. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1840-1844 |  |  |  |
| 1845-1849 | 130.2 | 83.8 | 90.1 |
| ${ }^{18800-1859 .}$ | 106.6 108.2 | 109.4 | ${ }^{199.1} 10.3$ |
| 1880-184. | 131.5 178.8 | ${ }^{114.0}$ | 1290.5 182.4 |
| 1870-1874. | 187.5 | 123.6 | 13.4 |
| - $1875-1879 .$. | 110.9 105.8 | 108.9 104.4 | 112.9 108 |
| 1885-1880. | ${ }_{68.2}$ | ${ }_{90}^{19.7}$ | ${ }_{9.2}$ |
| 1890-1801 | 92.8 | 98.0 | ¢. |

INDEX NUMBERS OF TRE ANNALIST.
publication.
The Annalist, a magazine of finance, commerce, and economics, published weekly in New York City, has compiled an index number based on the wholesale prices of 25 food commodities in the United States. These articles are so selected as to represent a theoretical family food budget.

## EISTORI.

The publication of this index number began with the first issue of the Annalist on January 20, 1913, and has been continued weekly since that date in connection with the exbibit of various other items of business activity appearing under the caption of "Barometrics."

> source or quotations.

The prices used in the computation of the index number are those prevailing in the New York and Chicago markets.

```
| BASE PIRRIOD.
```

The 10 years 1890-1899 constitute the base period used in computing the index number.

## PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.

During the period from May 19 to September 1, 1913, the Annalist published in each week's issue the mean price of each selected commodity during the preceding week, together with the relation

[^59]of such price to the price for the base period 1890-1899. The sum of these relative prices, divided by 25 (the number of commodities), constitutes the index number for the week. In all other issues of the Annalist up to date no exhibit of wholesale prices is made in connection with the presentation of the index number.

## NUMBER AND CLASS OF COMMODITIES.

As previously stated, 25 articles of food are included in the index. These are listed in the Annalist of May 19, 1913, and in subsequent numbers to September 1 of the same year, as follows:

| Steers. | Flour, wheat, spring. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hogs. | Flour, wheat, winter. |
| Sheep. | Corn meal. |
| Beef, freah. | Rice. |
| Mutton, dresed. | Oats. |
| Beef, salt. | Apples, evaporated. |
| Pork, salt. | Prunes. |
| Bacon. | Butter, creamery. |
| Codfish, salt. | Butter, dairy. |
| Lard. | Cheese. |
| Potatoes. | Coffee. |
| Beans. | Sugar, granulated. |
| Flour, rye. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## dESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.

The following description of the commodities included in the index number has been supplied by the publishers of the Annalist:

## Now York manketa.

Codfish (Georges), corn meal, rice, beans, evaporated apples, California prunes, extra creamery butter, New York State dairy butter, cheese (New Yorl State, whole milk, held), No. 7 Rio coffee, fine granulated sugar, fresh beef, dressed mutton, salt beef, aslt pork, wheat flour (winter atraights and spring patents), Middle West lard, and rye flour.

Chicago marketa
Good to choice steers, hogs ( $250-300$ pound packers and fair to select butcher's), sheep (good to choice wethers), bacon (ahort, clear sidea), white potatoes, and cash oats ( 2 white, 3 white, and standards).

## SUBSTITUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.

The statement is made in the Annalist of October 13, 1913, that " $a$ substitution has been made which affects the current numbers seven-tenths of 1 per cent." This was occasioned by the substitution of "good to choice steers" for "prime to fancy steers," as quotations on the latter grade had become nominal in the Chicago market. The entire index number was recast so as to conform to the change made in this respect. No additions to the list of commodities have been made.

INTERPPOLATION.
No prices have been interpolated, as far as the published information discloses.

## WETGHTLNG.

The index number is unweighted and is obtained by computing the simple arithmetic mean of the relative prices of the different commodities.

TESTING.
No test of the index number by means of comparison with other indexes or by other means is shown in any issue of the Annalist.

TABLE OF RESULTS.
The course of the index number by years from 1890 to 1914 and by months during 1912, 1913, and 1914 is shown in the following statement furnished by the publishers of the Annalist:

INDEX NUMBERS, BY YEARS, 1800 TO 1914, AND BY MONTHS, 1912, 1913, AND 1914. (Base period, 1890-18\%9=100.)

Tearly.

| Year. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Index } \\ \text { number. }}}{\text { and }}$ | Year. | $\underset{\text { Index }}{\substack{\text { Inder }}}$ | Year. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ \text { number. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1890. | 109. 252 | 1809 | 93.348 | 1908. | 125.758 |
| 1891. | 119.488 | 1800. | 99.388 | 1909. | ${ }^{133.962}$ |
| 1892.. | 108.694 | 1801. | 104.656 | 190. | 137.172 |
| $1 \oiint 3$. | 118.100 | 1002. | 116.284 | 191. | 131.088 |
| 1294. | 102.076 | 1003.. | 107.516 | 1912. | 143.254 |
| 1895. | 94.604 | 1904. | 108.604 | 1913. | 139. 280 |
| 1890. | 80.000 | 1805. | 110.652 | 1914. | 146.069 |
| 18978. | 84.092 92.218 | ${ }_{1} 1908$. | 114.364 |  |  |
|  | 82.218 |  | 117.940 |  |  |

Monthly.

| 1912 |  | 1913 |  | 1914 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month. | Index number. | Konth. | Index number. | Month. | Index number. |
| January... | 139.881 | January. | 137.197 | ranuary.. | 142.489 |
| February. | 138. 012 | February | 137. 8886 | February. | 141.278 |
| March. | 143.615 15826 | Maroh. | 1141.971 | April. | 142.120 141 |
| May.. | 152.858 | May. | 137.927 | мау... | 139.231 |
| Jиив.... | 148.193 | Jupe. | 137.750 | June... | 141. 433 |
| July....... | 143. ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | July... | 139.8.890 | July.. | 141.879 |
| August. | 140.871 140.794 | August. | 139.927 142.290 | August. | 152. 106 |
| October... | 141.861 | Oetober. | 142.230 11.654 | Oeptember.. | 160.788 100.245 |
| Novamber. | 139.543 | November | 141.558 | Novernbar. | 150.008 |
| Decamber. | 138.013 | December | 141.847 | Decamber. | 146. 770 |

## INDEX NUMBERS OF BRADSTREETS.

## PUBLICATION.

This "index" ${ }^{1}$ represents the record of wholesale prices of staple articles in the primary markets of the United States and is now published every month. Formerly it was issued only every quarter.

## HISTORY.

Bradstreet's index had its beginning in the issue of September 21, 1895, of the periodical of that name, which presented a table of comparative prices of 110 staple articles for each quarter from October 1, 1890, to July 1, 1895, under the heading, "Five years' prices for 110 staple products."

The compiler evidently had in mind a record of price movements in the United States similar to that furnished by Sauerbeck's index of English prices, as he refers to it in the introductory paragraph as follows:
"In Sauerbeck's latest record of prices of staple products in the United Kingdom during the past 30 years it is shown that quotations for 50 selected articles by groups averaged lower in 1894 than in any of the 16 next preceding years, as well as lower than in the 11-year period from 1867 to 1877 , which the eminent statistician selected as representing the normal and called $100.1{ }^{13}$

In explanation of the data presented in Bradstreet's the compiler says: "In the accompanying exhibit of comparative prices of staple articles at primary markets in the United States at quarterly intervals, beginning with the autumn of 1890, prior to the Baring crash, and ending with July 1, 1895, is furnished what should prove an opportunity for tracing the relative effects of panic and trade depression on the prices in different lines of business." *

In the issue of October 26, 1895, the report was extended to include prices for October 1 of that year and the statement made that "it will be recalled this work was first made public by Bradstreet's late in the summer with a comparison of quotations for more than 100 articles of merchandise and produce at quarterly intervals during the past five years."

Again in the issue of January 11, 1896, the author has this to say in discussing the compilation: "Perhaps the most elaborate exhibit which has been compiled of comparative prices of staple products, breadstuffs, live stock, provisions, fresh and dried fruits, hides and leather, raw and manufactured textiles, coal and coke, mineral and vegetable oils, building materials, chemicals and drugs, and others

[^60]is presented in connection with this article. The quotations are given for quarterly periods during the past five calendar years, and probably few, if any, better outlines of the movement of quotations have thus far been presented."

The comparative prices continued to be presented on the first of each quarter until May 8, 1897, when in connection with "A study of prices" an index number was published for the first time.

The index as constructed was simply the sum obtained by adding the per pound prices of the different articles included. At first it was not expressed in dollars and cents, but as an abstract number. No attempt was made at weighting, nor was consumption taken into account, so that the result was "not an absolute indication of the price movement based on the proportions in which each of the products and articles are used, but a fair indication of the tendency." The author stated that only 97 articles were included in the index, but as actual prices were shown for 108 articles and only 10 articles were stated to be excluded it would appear that the index comprised 98 articles.

In the issue of June 11, 1898, actual prices were shown for 107 articles, quotations for onions being dropped, and the index number was revised to exclude the price of quicksilver. The only explanation given for this was: "It might be stated in passing that the lowering of the index number is accounted for by the deduction of the price of quicksilver." The index for the period October, 1890, to June, 1898, was thus recomputed by deducting the price of quicksilver; for instance, the old index for January, 1898, was 80,149 and the new one was 75,084; that is, the price of quicksilver on January 1, 1898 ( $\$ 0.5065$ per pound), was deducted from 80,149 , leaving 75,084 as the new index. There were still 10 articles, excluding quicksilver, not included in the index, but for which comparative prices were given.

Again on September 10, 1898, the index appeared with revised figures. This revision was due to the quotation of a different grade of hides. Previous to this time prices had been quoted for dry Buenos Aires hides, but for some reason not stated the new quotations were for No. 1 native steer hides. The difference between the prices of these two grades of hides in August, 1898, was $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 9 2 5}$, and this deduction from the former index for August $(77,481)$ leaves 76,556 as the new index. This amount was deducted from every index figure already established as far back as October, 1890.

In the issue of October 12, 1901, the first group indexes were shown and consisted of the sum of the per pound prices for all of the articles included in the group. The sum of the 13 groups was the index shown for all commodities. The general index was expressed in dollars and cents and continued to be stated this way until April 9,

1904, when it was restated in dollars, cents, and fractions thereof. This was not a revision of the index, but simply a change in the method of pointing off. The index numbers for the groups had been expressed in this way for some time before this date. The index now began with January 1, 1892, instead of October 1, 1890, as formerly, and was computed upon the basis of the revision of September, 1898, until December 16, 1905, when a general index "revised to exclude some staples showing wide fluctuations" in price was published. It is not stated in connection with these figures what articles were excluded or on how many commodities the revised index number was based. The exhibit as published contained the index number by quarters from January 1, 1892, to October 1, 1898, and by months from January 1, 1899, to December 1, 1905, inclusive. No further revision of the index number appears to have been made.

## sOURCE OF QUOTATIONS.

The source of these quotations is not disclosed, but it is stated that they are from primary markets.

RASE PERIOD.
No base period was selected in the compilation of the index number, the need of such being obviated by the method employed, which consists simply in adding together the prices per pound of the various selected articles at the date named.

## PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.

Prices are published each month for a selected list of representative commodities. These prices are shown for the first day of the current month and, for purpose of comparison, the first day of several preceding months and the first day of the corresponding month in the preceding year. No range of quotations is shown in any case, and it is evident that a single price has been used, but whether either extreme or the mean was taken it is impossible to determine with the source of quotations unknown. No yearly average actual prices are published.

In the issue of May 8, 1897, the price per pound of 'each article was shown as quoted on the first of April, the articles being grouped under the amount paid per pound. The list was prefaced by the following statement: "Bradstreet's exhibit of 98 staple, raw and manufactured articles, products, produce and live stock classified according to the cost of 1 pound of each on April 1, 1897." This exhibit was continued at intervals for about a year and then dropped. In many cases the figures appear to have been approximations. The list as published in Bradstreet's of July 10, 1897, follows. The prices are for July 1.

Cost par pound.
$\$ 0.0007$ Connellaville coke, southern coke.
. 001 Bituminous coal, brick, iron ore.
.002 Anthracite coal.
. 003 Salt, southern pig iron, cride petroleum, rosin, lime, phosphate rock.
. 004 Beasemer pig iron, pine lumber, cotton seed.
. 005 Corn, eastern pig iron, tar, epruce, hemlock.
.006 Steel billets.
.007 Oats, barley, rye, potatoes, hay, sulphuric acid.
. 009 Steel rails, steel beams, refined petroleum.
. 015 Wheat, milk, peas, nails, alum, bicarbonate of soda.
. 02 Flour, molasses, beans, paper, caustic soda.
. 03 Hogs; lemons, hemp, jute, tin plates, cottonseed oil, turpentine, glass, flax.
. 04 Beeves, sheep, bread, barreled beef, pork, lard, codfiah, rice, linseed oil, raisins, lead, nitric acid.
. 05 Pigs, bugar, cucrants, borax, bacon.
.06 Egge.
. 07 Beef carcuses, mutton, coffee, olive oil, hops.
. 08 Horses, mackerel, cheese, cotton.
. 10 Hams.
.11 Copper.
. 12 Castor oil.
. 14 Standard eheetings, cotton eheetinge, tin, tobacco.
. 15 Butter.
.175 Print cloths.
. 18 Tea, Buenos Airea hides, carbolic acid.
. 20 Hemlock hides, wool.
. 27 Union leather.
. 29 Oak leather.
. 31 Ginghams.
. 34 Alcohol.
. 50 Australian wool.
. 52 Quicksilver.
.84 Rubber.
number and class of commodities.
In the beginning 110 articles were shown in the comparative table of actual prices, but now only 106 are included, and of these only 96 are included in the index. Oranges, naphtha, onions, and aluminum were the articles dropped from the table of comparative prices, but the reason for their discontinuance is not given. Two of these, onions and alumincm, were never included in the compilation of the index. Two articles that at first were included in the index are no longer included-namely, quicksilver and rubber-but these are still shown in the table of actual prices. When these articles were dropped the index was recomputed from that date to the beginning, necessitating a new index figure for every previous date. The list of articles includes both raw and manufactured commodities that are of general consumption in the United States.

The articles on which the index is based are divided into 13 general greups, as follows: Breadstuffs, live stock, provisions and groceries, fresh and dried fruits, hides and leather, raw and manufactured textiles, metals, coal and coke, mineral and vegetable oils, naval stores, building materials, chemicals and drugs, and miscellaneous. Since October 12, 1901, an index has been computed usually for each of the different groups separately. The sum of the indexes for the 13 groups is the index for the whole number of articles. Index numbers for years are computed by averaging the 12 monthly totals.

The following list is an enumeration of the articles, under the various groups, for which actual prices are shown in the comparative price table. As before stated, only 96 of these 106 articles are included in the index as now compiled. This is the list and description of articles as printed in Bradstreet's of December 12, 1914:

Breadstuffs ( 6 articles).

Wheat, No. 2, red winter, in elevator. Corn, No. 2, mixed, in elevator. Oats, No. 2, mixed, in elevator.

Barley, No. 2 (Milwaukee).
Rye, western.
Flour, straight winter.

Live stock (4 articles).
Beeves, best, native steers (Ohicago). Sheep, prime (Chicago).

Hogs, prime (Ohicago).
Horses, average, common to beet (Ohi:cago).

Provisions and grocerics (24 articles).

Beef, carcasses (Chicago).
Hogs, market pigs, carcasses (Chicago). Mutton, carcasses (Chicago). Milk (New York).
Eggs, State, freeh (New York).
Bread (New York).
Beef, family.
Pork, new mess.
Bacon, thort ribs, amoked (Clicago).
Hams, smoked.
Land, western steam.
Butter, creamery, State, bost.

Cheese, choice, east factory. Mackerel, No. 1, bsys (Boaton). Codfish, large dried.
Coffee, Rio, No. 7.
Sugar, granulated.
Tea, Formosa Oolong, superior. Molasses, Now Orleans, prime. Salt, fine domestic, sacks. Rice, domestic, good. Beans (New York), choice marrow. Peas, choice (New York). , Potatoes, eastern.

Freah and dried fruits ( 6 articles).
Apple (State).
Granberries, Cape Cod, fancy.
Lemons, choice.
Peanuts, best Virginia, in hull.

Raising, layer.
Gurrants, new, dried.

Hides and leather (4 articles).

Native steer hides, No. 1.
Hemlock, packer, middIeweights, No. 1. 94261 ${ }^{*}$-Bull. 173-15-10

Union, middlebacks, tannery run.
Oak, scoured backs, No. 1.

## Raw and manufactured textiles (11 articles).

Hotton, middling uplands.
Wool, Ohio,and Pennsylvania X, washed (Boston).
Wool, Australian super combing, scoured.
Hemp, manila.
Jute, average of gradea.

Silk, beat No. 1, filature.
Flax, New Zealand, spot.
Print cluthe, 64s (Boston).
Standard sheetings (Boston).
Ginghams, Amoekeng staple (Boston).
Cotton, sheetings, southern, 3 yards.
Mfetals (1s articles).

Iron ore, old range, Beessemer, hematite.
Pig iron, No. 1 foundry, eastern (New York).
Pig iron, No. 2 foundry, southern (Birmingham).
Pig iron, Bessemer (Pittsburgh).
Steel billets, Beasemer (Pittsburgh).
Steel rails, standard (Pittsburgh).

Tin plates, American (Pittsburgh).
Steel beams (Pittsburgh).
Silver, commercial bars (New York).
Copper, electrolytic (New York).
Lead, pig, western (New York).
Tin, pig, spot (New York).
Quickailver (San Francisco).

Coal and coike (4 articles).
Anthracite, stove sizes (New York). $\quad$ Connellsville coke, short ton, f. o. b. Bituminous (Pittsburgh), f. o. b. Ohicago: Southern coke (Chattanooga).

Mineral and vegetable oils ( 6 articles).
Petroleum, crude, in barrels (New York). $\mid$ Cottonseed, crude, prime (New York). Petroleum, refined, in cases. Linseed.

Castor, No. 1.
Olive, Italian. in barrels.

Naval stores (s articles).
Roain, good, strained (Savannah). $\quad$ Tar, regular (Wilmington, N. C.). Turpentine, machine, regular (Savannah).

## Building materials (7 articles).

Brick, Hudson River, hard.
Lime, eastern common.
Nails, wire, from store, base prices.
Glass, window, 10 by 15.

Pine, yellow, 12 -inch and under.
Timber, eastern spruce, wide random.
Timber, herolock, Pennsylvania, random.

Chemicals and drugs ( 11 articles).
Alum. $\mid$ Sulphuric acid, 66 degrees.
Bicarbonate of Soda, American.
Borax, crystals.
Carbolic acid, in bulk.
Caustic boda, 60 per cent.
Nitric acid, 36 degrees.
Phosphate rock, South Carolina, ground.
Alcohol, 94 per cent.
Opium.
Quinine, domeatic, in bulk.
Miscellaneous (7 articles).

| Hops, New York State, choice. | Paper, news, roll. <br> Rubber, upriver, Para, fine new. <br> Tobacco, medium leaf, Burley (Louis- <br> Ground bone, fine, steamed. <br> Ville). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hay prime (New York). |  |
| Cotton seed (Houston). |  |

## SUBSTTTUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.

Numerous changes in description of the articles have occurred from time to time, but only once, apparently, has a substitution been considered of enough importance to justify any change in the index. This was in the case of dry Buenos Aires hides, for which were substituted No. 1 native steer hides, when the index was recomputed back to the beginning.

INTERPPOLATION.
No method of supplying missing data is disclosed, if such has been found necessary.

## wemgeting.

Apart from the basic plan of expressing in terms of dollars and cents the value of 1 pound avoirdupois of each commodity, there is no attempt at assigning varying degrees of importance to the different articles included in the index.

## TESTING.

No test has been made of the index so far as known, other than a comparison in parallel columns of the numbers with those published by the London Economist, the Statist (Sauerbeck's), the Canadian Department of Labor, and La Reforme Economique for the same dates.

TABLES OF RESULTS.
The following table, appearing in Bradstreet's issue of December 12, 1914, illustrates the manner in which the group index numbers for different dates are shown:

BRADSTREET'S INDEX NUMBERS FOR EPECIFIED DATES.

| Commodity. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } 1, \\ 1913 . \end{gathered}$ | Aug. 15, 1914. | Nov. 1, 1914. | Dec. 1, 1914. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brearlstufts. | \$0.0947 | 90.1001 | \$0.1116 | 50.1139 |
| Live stock. | . 4480 | . 4860 | . 4415 | . 4220 |
| Provisions. | 2. 4513 | 2. 50006 | 2.3733 | 2.3659 |
| Fruits. | . 1850 | . 2305 | . 1736 | . 1648 |
| Hides and lesturer. | 1.3500 | 1. 4300 | 1. 4175 | 1.4250 |
| Textiles. | 2.5625 | 2.3704 | 2.1854 | 2. 1882 |
| Metals. | . 6720 | . 8707 | . 6279 | . 5830 |
| Coal and coke | . 0070 | . 0067 | . 0067 | .0066 |
| Olls......... | . 3539 | . 3755 | . 3434 | . 3503 |
| Naral stores. | . 0771 | . 0784 | -0794 | . 0770 |
| Building materinls. | . 0831 | . 0822 | . 0816 | . 0821 |
| Chemicals and drugs | . 5887 | 1.0096 | . 8529 | . 9779 |
| Miscellaneous. | . 3477 | .3058 | . 2652 | . 2547 |
| Total. | 9. 2290 | 0.8495 | 8.8639 | 9.0354 |

For some years past a yearly index has been computed by averaging the 12 monthly indexes. The manner of presenting this information is shown by the following table, which is reproduced from Bradstreet's of December 12, 1914.

| 1914. | \$8.9035 | 1902. | \$7. 8759 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 9. 2076 | 1901. | 7.5746 |
| 1912. | 9. 1867 | 1900. | 7.8839 |
| 1911. | 8. 7132 | 1899. | 7. 2100 |
| 1910. | 8. 9881 | 1898. | 6. 5713 |
| 1909. | 8. 5153 | 1897. | 6. 1159 |
| 1908. | 8. 0094 | 1896. | 5. 8124 |
| 1907. | 8. 9045 | 1895. | 6. 4346 |
| 1906. | 8.4176 | 1894. | 6. 6846 |
| 1905. | 8. 0987 | 1893. | 7. 5324 |
| 1904. | 7. 9187 | 1892. | 7. 7769 |
| 1903. | 7. 9364 |  |  |

Ten-year average, 1902 to 1911, inclusive, $\$ 8.3377$.
Ten-year average, 1892 to 1901, inclusive, $\$ 6.9696$.
The index numbers computed from the wholesale prices of 96 articles on the first day of each month from January, 1903, to December, 1914, inclusive, are shown in the subjoined table, also reproduced from Bradstreet's issue of December 12, 1914.

BRADSTREET'G INDEX NUMBERS, JANDARY, 1003, TO DECEMBER, 1914, INCLUSIVE.

| Year | Index number: First of each month. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. | Feb. | Max. | Apr. | May. | June. | July. | Aug. | Elept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dea. |
| 1903. | 8.0789 | \$8.0824 | \$8. 1300 | 88.1247 | \$7.9687 | 57. 8751 | \$7. 8706 | 57.7473 | \$7.7583 | 57.8033 | \$7.8871 | 57.8383 |
| 1004. | 7.9885 | 8.0973 | 8. 6882 | 7.9680 | 7.0858 | 7.0877 | 7. 6318 | 7.763 | 7.7845 | 7.9213 | 8.0015 | 8.0579 |
| 1905. | 8.0827 | 8.0905 | 8. 0979 | 7.9906 | 7.9700 | 7.9073 | 7.9160 | 8.1111 | 8. 2705 | 8.2298 | 8.2007 | 8. 3014 |
| 1008. | 8.3289 | 8.2415 | 8.2321 | 8. 2987 | 8.3054 | 8.3203 | 8.2835 | 8.3376 | 8. 4523 | 8.5587 | 8.7509 | 8. 0023 |
| 1207. | 8.9172 | 8. 8953 | 8.1293 | 8. 9640 | 8. 8356 | 8. 9801 | 8.0400 | 8. 9304 | 8.8297 | 8.85Ca | 8.7468 | 8. 3248 |
| 1808. | 8. 2949 | 8.1289 | 7.9862 | 8.0650 | 7.9629 | 7.7227 | 7.8224 | 7.9328 | 7.9051 | 8.0139 | 8.0674 | 8.2133 |
| 1809. | 8. 2031 | 8.3022 | 8.2167 | 8.3157 | 8. 3016 | 8.3960 | 8. 4578 | 8. 5039 | 8. 5906 | 8.7478 | 8.9635 | 9. 1262 |
| 1910. | 9.2810 | 0.0730 | 9.1113 | 9.1906 | 9.0385 | 8.9105 | 8.9240 | 8.5222 | 8. 0519 | 8.9267 | 8. 8811 | 8. 7844 |
| 1911. | 8.8361 | 8.7602 | 8. 6929 | 8.5223 | 8.4586 | 8.5294 | 8.5935 | 8. 6.08 | 8.8191 | 8.8005 | g. 8922 | 8.9824 |
| 1912. | 8.9493 | 8. 8578 | 8.9019 | 9.0978 | 9. 2096 | 9.1017 | 9.1119 | 9.1595 | 9.2157 | 9.4515 | 9.4781 | 9.3468 |
| 1913. | 9. 4835 | 9. 4582 | 9. 4052 | 9.2976 | 9. 1394 | 9.0721 | 8.9521 | 9.0115 | 9.1006 | 9.1526 | 9.2252 | 9.2280 |
| 1914. | 8. 8857 | 8.8619 | 8. 8320 | 8. 7562 | 8. 6294 | 8.6200 | 8. 6566 | 8. 7087 | 9.7572 | 9.2416 | 8.8580 | 0.0354 |

## INDEX NUMBERS OF DUN.

## pUBLICATION.

An "index" ${ }^{1}$ number based on the wholesale prices of a large number of representative commodities in general use in the United States is published by the mercantile agency of R. G. Dun \& Co., of New York City. The information appears monthly in Dun's Review, the weekly journal of finance and trade issued by the above-named company.

[^61]
## history.

The publication of this index number was begun in 1901 and covered a period of time extending back to 1860. From 1901 to 1907 periodical presentation of the index in Dun's Review appears to have been made. With the issue of May 11, 1907, however, its publication was discontinued and apparently was not resumed until May 9, 1914. The issue of the latter date contained data for the first five months of the years 1912, 1913, and 1914, respectively; but no attempt was made in this number to supply figures for all of the period intervening since 1907. Data for other months of 1912, 1913, and 1914 are shown in subsequent issues; and in Dun's Review of January 9, 1915, a presentation is made of the index number on the first of each month for the entire period from 1907 to 1914, inclusive, thus furnishing a continuous series since the inception of the undertaking.

## SOURCE OF QUOTATXONS.

The price quotations on which the index number is based are those gathered by Dun \& Co. in the principal markets of the country, New York and Chicago prices predominating.

## BASE PERIOD.

Under the method followed in the computation of the index number no base period is employed, the index in the case of each article and group being the actual amount in dollars and cents required to purchase a year's supply for a single individual at the date named.

## PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.

With regard to the method of calculation, the following statement is reproduced from Dan's Review of May 9, 1914:

Quotations of all the necessaries of life are taken and in each case the price is multiplied by the annual per capita consumption, which precludes any one commodity having more than its proper weight in the aggregate. Thus, wide fluctuations in the price of an article little used do not materially affect the "index," but changes in the great staples have a large influence in advancing or depressing the total. * * * The per capita consumption used to multiply each of many hundreds of commodities does not change. There appears to be much confusion on this point, but it should be seen at a glance that there would be no accurate record of the course of prices if the ratio of consumption changed. It was possible, however, to obtain figures sufficiently accurate to give each commodity its proper importance in the compilation. This was done by taking averages for a period of years when business conditions were normal and every available trade record was utilized, in addition to official statistics of agriculture, foreign commerce, and census returns of manufactures.

## NUMBER AND CLASS OP COMMODITIES.

The following excerpt from the same source shows what commodities are included:

For convenience of comparison and economy of space the prices are grouped into seven classes: Breadstuffs include quotations of wheat, corn, oats, rye, barley, beans, and peas; meats include live hogs, beef, sheep, and many provisions, lard, tallow, etc.; dairy and garden products embrace eggs, vegetables, fruits, milk, butter, cheese, etc.; other foods include fish, liquors, condiments, sugar, rice, also tobacco, etc.; clothing covers the raw material of each industry, as well as quotations for woolen, cotton, silk, and rubber goods, also hides, leather and boots and shoes; metals include various quotations for pig iron and partially manufactured and finished products, as well as the minor metals, tin, lead, copper, etc., and coal and petroleum; miscellaneous includes many grades of hard and soft lumber, lath, brick, lime, glass, turpentine, hemp, linseed oil, paints, fertilizers, and drugs.

The precise number of articles included in the index is not stated; but in Dun's Review of January 9, 1915, it is said that "about 200 products are taken."

## DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.

As previously stated, the commodities are divided into seven groups: viz, breadstuffs, mests, dairy and garden products, other foods, clothing, metals, and miscellaneous articles. No further description of the articles entering into the index is given.

## SUBSTTTUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.

Additions to the list of commodities for which index numbers have been computed, or substitutions of a particular grade or quality of an article for another grade or quality of the same article, if any, are not shown in connection with any of the published data.

## INTERPOLATION.

So far as can be determined from the information at hand concerning the long period covered, no interpolation of prices has been made.

## weicerting.

As stated in a preceding paragraph, weighting is accomplished by multiplying the price of each commodity, at the date named, by its annual per capita consumption "for a period of years when business conditions were normal," ${ }^{1}$ as nearly as could be ascertained by reference to reliable statistical records. It is stated in Dun's Review of January 9,1915 , that "while it is obvious that the consumption of some commodities has increased during recent years, it would defeat the purpose of the index to change the multiplier in any instance,
because there would no longer be a comparative record of the cnst of the same quantities of the same articles back to 1860 , as is now the case." The issue of September 7, 1901, states that "while the figures can not be considered exact, the approximation is sufficiently close to attain the desired result, and the ratio being constant the comparison with different dates shows to a cent the rise or fall in the cost of living." ${ }^{1}$

## TESTING.

No comparison of the index number with those compiled by others has been made, nor have other means of testing been employed so far as can be determined.
table of results.
The following statistics, showing the trend of wholesale prices from January 1, 1860, to December 1, 1914, have been compiled from Dun's Review of May 11, 1907, and January 9, 1915:

WHOLESALE PRICES OF APECLFIED COMMODITLES, JAN. 1, 1860, TO DEC. 1, 1914.

${ }^{1}$ Tbe Issue of May 0,1914 , contains the statemont that "Dun's fadex number does not propose to show the coat of living, because wholesale prices are takan and ell luxurles amitted. Its economio valne lise in ahowing the percentage of advance ar deciline from month to month."

WHOLESALE PRICES OF SPECIFIED COMMODITIES, JAN. 1, 1800, TO DEC. 1, 1914Concluded.

| Date. | Breadstaftis. | Meats. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dairy } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { garden } \\ & \text { products. } \end{aligned}$ | Other Poods. | Clothipg. | Metals. | Miscelis- neous. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1908, July 1 | 322.828 | \$10. 197 | 812. 552 | \$10.485 | 817.233 | 1616. 542 | 518.359 | 5108. 174 |
| Aug. 1 | 24.161 | 0.992 | 13. 367 | 10. 319 | 17.348 | 18. 537 | 17.751 | 100. 195 |
| Aept. 1 | 24.178 | 9.488 | 13.924 | 10.090 | 17.325 | 16.720 | 17.808 | 109.381 |
| Oct. 1 | 28. 690 | 9.534 | 14.620 | 10.090 | 17.220 | 18.821 | 17.710 | 109.991 |
| Nov. | 23.579 | 9.175 | 15.016 | 10.314 | 17.308 | 18. 788 | 17.734 | 100.914 |
| Dev. | 21.879 | 9. 135 | 17.019 | 10. 428 | 17.828 | 18.920 | 17.781 | 111. 008 |
| 1909, Jan. | 21.480 | 8.142 | 18. 104 | 10.306 | 18.024 | 18.910 | 17.783 | 111.848 |
| Yeb. 1 | 22. 000 | 10.277 | 15. 645 | 10.506 | 18.277 | 16.935 | 18. 914 | 113.454 |
| Mar. 1 | 23.967 | 8.800 | 15. 212 | 10. 417 | 18.803 | 16. 052 | 21.419 | 118.420 |
| Apr, 1 | 24.129 | 0.247 | 16.142 | 10.680 | 18. 633 | 16.388 | 21.635 | 116.864 |
| May 1 | 25.696 | 0.022 | 15.70.5 | 10.620 | 18.078 | 16.3133 | 21.789 | 118. 283 |
| June 1 | 26.781 | 9. 498 | 16,053 | 10.850 | 19.587 | 16. 483 | 22.003 | 121.025 |
| Juiy 1 | 25.854 | 9.855 | 15.288 | 10.628 | 20.008 | 16. 426 | 30.828 | 119. 021 |
| Aug. 1 | 23.805 | 0.617 | 15.767 | 10.810 | 20.924 | 16.615 | 20.588 | 118.020 |
| Sept. | 22.002 | 0.540 | 16.014 | 10.740 | 21.061 | 16.948 | 20.656 | 118. 961 |
| Oct. 1. | 21.530 | 9.450 | 16.265 | 10.975 | 21528 | 17.200 | 21.362 | 118.301 |
| Nov. 1 | 21.038 | 0.351 | 17.508 | 11.077 | 22.145 | 17.304 | 21.751 | 120.710 |
| Dee. 1 | 22.315 | 9.546 | 19. 184 | 11.052 | 22. 130 | 17.437 | 21. 770 | 120.414 |
| 1910, Jan. 1 | 23.830 | 9.642 | 18.908 | 10.803 | 20.635 | 17. 496 | 22.122 | 123.434 |
| Feb. 1 | 23.500 | 9.683 | 17.594 | 10.810 | 21. 671 | 17. 419 | 21.743 | 122.399 |
| Mar. 1 | 23.423 | 10.786 | 18.927 | 10,906 | 21.785 | 17.265 | 21.748 | 122.840 |
| Apr. 1. | 22172 | 12. 359 | 15. 237 | 10. 778 | 22.061 | 17. 132 | 21.816 | 121. 305 |
| May 1 | 20.992 | 11.542 | 14.321 | 10. 515 | 22.194 | 16.937 | 21. 806 | 118.307 |
| June 1 | 20.580 | 11. 692 | 14.325 | 10.649 | 21.281 | 16. 804 | 21.910 | 117.241 |
| July 1 | 21.6930 | 11. 406 | 14.683 | 10.558 | 21.173 | 16.744 | 22.836 | 119. 168 |
| Aug. | 21.883 | 11.080 | 15.457 | 10.830 | 20.508 | 16.587 | 22.171 | 118, 324 |
| sopt. 1 | 20. 283 | 11.029 | 15.738 | 11. 037 | 20.556 | 18.652 | 22. 156 | 117.431 |
| Oet. | 19.120 | 10.370 | 16. 234 | 11.038 | - 18.832 | 16.574 | 22.181 | 115. 449 |
| Nov. | 18.830 | 9.897 | 16.810 | 10.886 | 18. 898 | 16. 154 | 22.180 | 111. 828 |
| Dec. | 18. 587 | 9. 788 | 18.013 | 10. 509 | 20.042 | 16,002 | 21.653 | 114.084 |
| 1811, Jan. | 18.010 | 0.483 | 18,073 | 11. 196 | t9.644 | 16. 519 | 22. 177 | 115. 102 |
| Feb. | 18175 | 0.063 | 18.488 | 11.258 | 19.590 | 16. 391 | 22.201 | 114. 253 |
| Mar. | 17.702 | 10.146 | 14.588 | 11.018 | 19.789 | 18. 742 | 22. 243 | 112. 288 |
| Apr. | 18.176 | 9. 742 | 13. 634 | 11.078 | 19.355 | 16.718 | 22.225 | 110. 928 |
| May | - 19.973 | 9.363 | 14.759 | 11.283 | 20.021 | 18.683 | 22. 166 | 114. 259 |
| June | 2 E .508 | 9.638 | 14.701 | 10. 081 | 18. 845 | 18,617 | 22.083 | 113.373 |
| July 1 | 21.288 | 0.414 | 17.473 | 11.384 | 19.324 | 18.583 | 22. 609 | 118. 130 |
| Aus. 1 | 21. 685 | 9. 000 | 19.248 | 11. 604 | 18.778 | 16.526 | 22.024 | $-119.775$ |
| Sopt. | 22.145 | 10.080 | 18.001 | 12.055 | 18. 509 | 16.502 | 22.040 | 119.382 |
| Oot. | 23. 828 | 9.812 | 16.501 | 12.339 | 18. 638 | 16. 307 | 22. 067 | 119. 292 |
| Nov. | 24.884 | 9. 218 | 19. 190 | 12. 597 | 18.191 | 16.294 | 21.818 | 121.970 |
| Dec. | 23.125 | 8. 824 | 22.177 | 12.610 | 18. 191 | 16.361 | 21.534 | 122.922 |
| 1012,Jan. 1 | 23.523 | 8.920 | 21.288 | 12.261 | 18.630 | 16.371 | 22.437 | 122. 438 |
| Feb. 1. | 24.278 | 9.173 | 21.848 | 12.237 | 19.048 | 18.356 | 22.435 | 125.425 |
| Mar. 1. | 24.718 | 0.514 | 19.364 | 12. 222 | 19.498 | 15. 881 | 22. 255 | 123. 527 |
| Apr. 1. | 25.590 | 10.597 | 21.734 | 12.333 | 19. 868 | 15.550 | 22.354 | 128. 049 |
| May 1 | 27.637 | 11. 283 | 20.778 | 11, 753 | 19.979 | 15.918 | 21. 640 | 128.986 |
| June 1 | 27.391 | 11. 016 | 18.087 | 11.976 | 20.003 | 16. 104 | 21. 111 | 125.988 |
| July | 25.904 | 10. 715 | 15.501 | 11.828 | 20.449 | 16. 349 | 21.471 | 122. 277 |
| Aug. | 25.760 | 10. 848 | 16. 752 | 11. 705 | 20.388 | 16.664 | 21.575 | 123.892 |
| Sopt. 1 | 24.088 | 11. 188 | 16.491 | 11.590 | 20.703 | 17.022 | 21.485 | 122. 545 |
| Oct. 1 | 21. 785 | 10.923 | 18.627 | 11.757 | 20. 705 | 17.633 | 21. 690 | 123. 108 |
| Nov. 1 | 22.371 | 10. 457 | 19.416 | 11. 103 | 20.789 | 18.029 | 21.360 | 123. 525 |
| Dec. 1. | 20.685 | 10.629 | 19.223 | 11. 112 | 21.066 | 18040 | 21.313 | 122.054 |
| 1013, Jan. 1. | 19,883 | 10.912 | 17.925 | 11.073 | 21.015 | 17.942 | 22.082 | 120.838 |
| Feb. 1 | 19.505 | 11.522 | 16.651 | 10.877 | 20.835 | 17.850 | 22.428 | 119. 728 |
| Mar. | 19.590 | 13.047 | 16. 142 | 10.732 | 21.143 | 17.379 | 22.422 | 120. 481 |
| Apr. | 19.986 | 13. 478 | 15.319 | 10.185 | 20.938 | 16,924 | 22. 227 | 111.217 |
| May | 20.673 | 18. 183 | 15. 112 | 10. 120 | 20. 807 | 14.753 | 21. 678 | 118.324 |
| June 1 | 21, 277 | 12.963 | 16.525 | 10.250 | 20.705 | 16.760 | 21.570 | 120.050 |
| July 1 | 21, 192 | 18.090 | 13.039 | 10.213 | 20.534 | 16.512 | 21. 739 | 116.319 |
| Aup. 1 | 21.022 | 18.090 | 14.916 | 10.267 | 20.250 | 16.528 | 21. 812 | 118515 |
| Sept. 1 | 22.975 | 12786 | 16.604 | 10. 571 | 20.507 | 16.742 | 21.888 | 122.053 |
| Oct. | 222. 588 | 13.053 | 17.934 | 10.700 | 20.947 | 18.780 | 21.922 | 123.902 |
| Nov. 1 | 22.810 | 12.211 | 19.978 | 11.0088 | 21.074 | 16.758 | 21. 804 | 125.503 |
| Dec. 1 | 23.000 | 12. 059 | 20.454 | 11.010 | 20.815 | 16. 596 | 21. 784 | 125.734 |
| 1014, Jan. | 21.961 | 12. 150 | 20.087 | 10.950 | 20.664 | 16.170 | 22546 | 124.528 |
| Fob. | 20.062 | 12. 835 | 18.056 | 11, 002 | 20.241 | 16.185 | 22.570 | 121. 641 |
| Mar. 1. | 22.148 | 12. 168 | 16.009 | 11. 361 | 20.434 | 15.881 | 22.72 | 121. 771 |
| Apr. 1. | 21. 402 | 12.868 | 15.872 | 10.684 | 20.641 | 15.784 | 22.540 | 119.791 |
| May 1 | 21.644 | 12.813 | 16.437 | 10. 467 | 19.989 | 15. 559 | 21, 441 | 118.250 |
| June 1 | 23.162 | 13.088 | 16. 114 | 10. 810 | 20.688 | 15.695 | 21. 781 | 121. 098 |
| July 1 | 21.088 | 12. 978 | 17. 244 | 10. 449 | 20.834 | 15, 601 | 21.425 | 119. 708 |
| Aug. 1. | 22.567 | 12. 427 | 16.201 | 10. 284 | 20.975 | 15.764 | 21. 522 | 120. 740 |
| Sopt. 1. | 26.253 | 12880 | 17.432 | 11.729 | 20.398 | 16. 126 | 27. 108 | 126.975 |
| Oot. 1 | 24. 441 | 12.083 | 17.320 | 11.423 | 20.259 | 15.974 | 22.015 | 123. 531 |
| Nov. 1 | 25.300 | 11. 907 | 18.588 | 10.880 | 19.970 | 15. 849 | 21.848 | 124.340 |
| Dec. 1. | 24.426 | 11.824 | 19.825 | 10,548 | 19.88 | 18.134 | 22.048 | 124.183 |

## INDEX NUMBERS OF GIBSON.

## PUBLICATION.

This index of wholesale prices in the United States is published by Thomas Gibson, New York, every Saturday, in his weekly market letter.

## HISTORY.

In March, 1910, Prof. J. Pease Norton published a "report on a new method of compiling index numbers on the Sauerbeck selection of commodities modified with the Dun system of weighting," which was prepared for use in the weekly market report of Thomas Gibson. ${ }^{1}$ The work was undertaken as a continuation of the Dun index, which had been suspended in May, 1907.

In this compilation 50 articles, divided into four general groups, were used instead of the much larger number included in Dun's index. The general food group was in turn divided into vegetable foods and animal foods. The descriptions of the 50 articles whose prices formed the index were the same as those used for these 50 articles in Bulletin of the United States Bureau of Labor, No. 75. The actual and relative prices for 1907 of these 50 articles appear to have been taken from the latter source. The plan followed in the compilation of this index was intended to be that used by Sauerbeck. It is claimed that no manufactured or derivative products are included, but that only primary commodities have been used.
Since November, 1912, only 22 articles, all of which belong to the food group alone, have been included in the index number.

## sOURCE OF QUOTATIONS.

As previously stated, the quotations used to join this index number with the one compiled by Dun were those published for January, 1907, in Bulletin of the United States Bureau of Labor, No. 75. The source of later quotations is not given. ${ }^{3}$

BASE PERIOD.
The years 1890 to 1899 are used as the base period in the computation of the index number.

PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.
The actual prices of the articles are not shown for any period, the only data published in Gibson's weekly market report being the index for all commodities.

[^62]
## NOMBEE AND CLASS OP COMMODITIES.

As has been stated, when this index was first published it covered 50 articles from the farm, mines, and other sources, and included such as had been subjected only to an initial manufacturing process. Since November, 1912, it has been calculated on the food group alone, including 22 articles. It is stated that the articles covered are those essentially prinary in their nature.

DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.
The present list of articles is divided into two groups, as follows:
Vegelable foods (15 articles).
Wheat, contract price.
Wheat flour, spring patents.
Wheat flour, winter patents
Barley, by sample.
Oata, cash.
Corn, No. 2, cash.
Corn meal, fine yellow.
Potatoes, white.
Rye, No. 2.
Sugar, $89^{\circ}$, fair refining.
Sugar, $96^{\circ}$, centrifugal.
Coffee, Rio, No. 7.
Tea, Formosa, fine.

> Animal foods (9 articles).

Beef, steers (average of quotations for two grades).
Beef, fresh native sides.
Beef, salt.
Mutton, sheep (average of quotations for two grades).
Mutton, dressed.
Pork, hogs (average of quotations for two grades).
Bacon, short rib sides.
Hams.
Butter (average of quotations for three grades).
SUESTITUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.
Since the adoption of the present list there have been no additions of now articles nor substitutions in the place of those carried, so far as can be ascertained from the material published.

INTERPOLATION.
Methods of supplying lacking statistical data, if resorted to, are not disclosed.

WkGETING.
The weights assigned to the four groups formerly included in the index number were 50 for foods, 18 for textiles, 16 for minerals, and 16 for other commodities.

The weighting was accomplished by using a combination of figures from Dun's report and the material published by the

United States Bureau of Labor. The first operation was to secure an average of Dun's general index numbers for the years 1890 to 1899 , which was found to be 0.843 . The sum of the relative prices for all the articles in a group as published for January, 1907, in Bulletin No. 75 of the Bureau of Labor was then found. These relative prices were based upon the average for 1890 to 1899 as 100. The sum of these relatives was then dirided by the average of the Dun number, 0.843 . The quotient thus obtained was termed a multiplier. The total of the relatives of a group was then multiplied by this multiplier. The result divided by 100 was the index for that group, and the sum of the indexes for the four groups was the general index number.

The following statement explains the process of calculating the index by the above method:

ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE OF CALCULATING GIBSON'S INDEX NUMBERS.

| Commodity. | Total relatives of the croups. | Multipliers. | Weirhted product. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foods............... | 24221 | 4. 9159 | 16.4050 |
| Textiles.............. | 1264.0 | 1.6860 | 21, 3010 |
| Minerais. | 1324.8 | L. 493 | 19.8548 |
| Other | 140s. 7 | 1.3488 | 18.0005 |
| Index number. |  |  | 106, 5813 |

Since the reduction of the number of articles on which the index number is calculated from 50 articles of all olasses to 22 food commodities, no explanation has been given concerning the method of weighting employed, so far as oan be ascertained. It is stated, however, in Gibson's weekly market letter of January 11, 1913, and in subsequent issues that the index number is weighted according to Dun's method.

## TESTING.

The compiler of Gibson's index compares the result obtained under his method, 106.5613 , with 107.2640, Dun's number for the same period. As a further test to show that figures compiled by this method would take the course of Dun's index number, the following figures are shown:

| Date. | Tibson numbers. | Dun numbers. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January, 1907 | 108.50 | 107. 26 |
| Fethruary, 19 | 10801 | 107.37 |
| Marrh, 1807. | 109.38 | 109.91 |
| April, 1907. | 110.58 | (107. 90) |
| May, 1007. | 113.41 | (100.00) |
| 1896....... | 72.22 | 74. 32 |

The compiler expresses the opinion that in April and May of 1907 the comparison of the two index numbers can not justly be made, inasmuch as it appears probable the Dun calculator changed his system of weighting for those months.

## TABLES OF RGSULTS.

The average yearly index numbers for the cost of foodstuffs, the only part of the original series now published, as computed by this process from 1890 down to the present time, are shown in the following table appearing in Gibson's weekly market letter of December 31, 1914:

AVERAGE YEARLY INDEX NUMBERA, 1890 TO 1914.

| Year. | Average yearly index number. | Year. | Averape yearly index number. | Yoar. | A verare yearly index number. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1890. | 43. 4 | 1900.. | 44.2 | 1910. | 59.3 |
| 1891... | 50.8 | 1901......... | 41.5 | 1911...... | 56.9 |
| 1892. | 45.3 | 1902..... | 53.5 | 1912... | 62.6 |
| 1893. | 46.0 | 1803. | 40.0 | 1913. |  |
| 1894. | 43.4 | 1004. | 48.3 | 1814. | 60.8 |
| 1895. | 42.0 | 1805.. | 47.3 |  |  |
| 1896. | 34.0 | 1093... | 49.8 |  |  |
| 1897. | 84.7 | 1807... | 50.9 |  |  |
| 1898.. | 38.7 | 1808. | 54.2 |  |  |
| 1899... | 11.6 | 1909. | 50.2 |  |  |

Monthly averages for 1913 and 1914, also shown in the publication referred to above, are as follows:

MONTHLY AVERAGES, 1913 AND 1914.

| 1918 |  |  |  | 1914 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month, | Manthly average. | Month. | Monthly average. | Month. | Monthly avarage. | Month. | Morthly avaruge. |
| January.. | 55.5 | Jels. | 58.6 | January. | 58.2 | July.... | 58.9 |
| February | 57.8 | August.... | 59.3 | Fobruery. | 58.2 | Adgust.... | 64.9 |
| March . | 57.8 | September. | 60.0 | March... | ${ }_{57}^{57.8}$ | September | ${ }_{68,6}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {April. }}$ | 59.0 <br> 58 <br> 8 | October... | 584 | April... | 57.7 57.9 | October... | ${ }_{63.9} 6$ |
| May..... | 57.8 57.3 | November. | 58.4 58.2 | May... | 57.9 59.4 | November | ${ }_{6}^{63.1}$ |

## AUSTRALIA. <br> INDEX NUMBERS OF THE COMMONWEALTH BUREAU OF CENSUS AND STATISTICS. <br> PUBLICATION.

In December, 1912, a report entitled "Prices, Price Indexes, and Cost of Living in Australia," compiled by G. H. Knibbs, Commonwealth statistician, was published by the recently organized Labor and Industrial Branch of the Commonwealth Bureau of Census and Statistics at Melbourne as its Report No. 1. Both wholesale and retail prices, together with import and export index numbers for Australia, are among the subjects considered in the report.

This publication is the first of a proposed series designed to include topics covering general industrial conditions as well as prices. Its main object, as stated in the preface, is "to furnish information as to prices in past years in such a form as to be fully comparable with that which it is proposed to publish periodically in the future."

The continuation of these index numbers is to be found in Report No. 2, entitled "Trade Unionism, Uneimployment, Wages, Prices, and Cost of Living in Australia, 1891 to 1912," under date of April, 1913.

## HISTORY.

The author of these reports, having studied the various systems of index numbers published in other countries, became convinced that the methods ordinarily followed were so defective as to be misleading. He believed that an accurate system of inquiry should be determined upon to secure reliable and satisfactory data on which to base index numbers, and further that a uniform method for the international study of prices as a basis for world index numbers should likewise be worked out by those economists interested in the subject. These conditions hè undertook to meet.

## SOURCE OF QUOTATIONS

At first an attempt was made to secure from market reports wholesale prices of a representative list of commodities for the capital towns of each State. This plan was abandoned when it was found to be impracticable owing to the lack of complete records and to the difficulty in obtaining comparable returns. Moreover, the compilation of figures for Melbourne alone involved so much labor that no attempt was made to complete any other city.

The figures for Melbourne were obtained mainly from market prices published in the ordinary press and in special trade reviews. Where there was any question as to the reliability of the quotations the figures were verified by "reference to well-known and important business firms dealing in the articles in question."

The prices quoted are taken from the following sources: Journal of Commerce, 1861, 1866, 1871, 1872, and 1883 to 1912; Australasian Trade Review, 1871 to 1882 and occasionally to 1892; Melbourne papers, 1873 to 1912; for meats, Gippsland Mercury, 1890 to 1892; for coal, Federated Steamship Owners, 1901 to 1912.

BASE PERTOD.
The basic period for the computations of the wholesale price indexes was the year 1911, the aggregate expenditure on all articles and on each group of articles in this year being taken as 1,000 . To quote: "The index numbers show the amount which would have had to be expended in each of the years specified in order to purchase what would have cost $£ 1,000$ in 1911, distributed in purchasing the relative quantities (indicated by the mass units) of the several commodities included in each group and in all groups respectively."

## PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTRB.

A table in the appendix to the first report shows the average annual wholesale prices in Melbourne of all commodities included in the investigation except meats from 187', to 1912 (first 9 montbs only), inclusive. Complete 1912 data for all of the 80 commodities, except silk; and for 13 additional commodities, are contained in the appendix to the second report.

Prices for meat were not obtained for the years prior to 1884 and 1885 nor for the years 1886 to 1889 , inclusive. The unit of measurement is given for each article and the price stated in shillings and pence. The articles for which prices are quoted are divided into eight groups. In most cases monthly prices were obtained, and from these the yearly averages were computed. For tea, coal, cotton, wool, and silk, however, monthly prices were not available, so that yearly averages based in each case on expert opinion ${ }^{1}$ were secured.

The monthly quotations, from which the yearly average is computed, are not shown.

The group and general index figures for 1861 and 1866 are shown elsewhere in the report, but no actual prices are given for these years.

## NUMBER AND CLASS OF COMMODITIES.

In the computation of index numbers for the years prior to 1911 (the base year) the aggregate expenditure on 80 commodities was used, while for 1912 the number of commodities included was increased to 92. The author states that the commodities included are generally in the nature of raw materials-that is, materials in which the labor cost is relatively low.

[^63]There are no articles of clothing, boots or shoes, or furniture included. The reason assigned for their omission was the impracticability of obtaining periodic prices for predominant grades and qualities and of satisfactorily determining the relative importance in consumption of the various items, the author contending that the character of clothing and of furniture includes the element of change due to the influence of fashion, and that where incomes are limited economy strikes first at these articles.

## DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OP COMMODITIES.

The 80 commodities used in computing the index numbers for years prior to 1911 are divided into 8 groups as follows:

> I. Metals and coal, 12 commodities.
> II. Jute, leather, etc., 9 commodities.
> III. Agricultural produce, 13 commodities.
> IV. Dairy produce, 7 commodities.
> V. Groceries, 21 commodities.
> VI. Meat, 5 commodities.
> VII. Building materisls, 9 commodities.
> VIII. Chemicals, 4 commodities.

The list of articles, with their description or brand, the source of information, the unit upon which quoted, the quantities consumed, and the "mass unit"-i. e., the extent to which a commodity is used-are shown in detail on peges 162 to 164 of this bulletin.

In both reports index numbers are given for all groups taken as a whole and for each group. Under each group in the first report is shown the index number for a few individual articles of importance computed on the price in 1911 as the base, but no table is given showing an index for each of the 80 articles separately.

## SUBSTITUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.

Cases of substitution of a particular grade or quality of an article for another grade or quality of the same article, if any, are not apparent in the tables, owing to the manner in which the information is presented. The author states, however, that "every care was taken to insure that the prices quoted for each article refer to a uniform quality" and that "special precautions were taken to insure substantial continuity of quality or grade."

In the computation of the index numbers for 1912 in the second report, as previously stated, the author added 13 articles and dropped raw silk, so that the index for 1912 covers 92 articles instead of 80 , as formerly. The aggregate expenditure on these 92 articles in 1911 formed the base for the 1912 index. In group 3 the mass unit for hay was changed from 270 to 135 , and oaten chaff, a new article in this
group, was assigned a mass unit of 135 , thus making the sum of the mass units used for hay and oaten chaff in 1912 equal the mass unit for hay in the earlier years. The 13 articles added are as follows:

## GROUPS OF ARTICLES ADDED IN TEE COMPDTATION OF THE INDEX NUMBERS FOR 1012.



Note.-Groups V and VI have no additlong.

## INTERPOLATION.

As already stated, prices for meats were not secured prior to 1890 except for $1884^{\circ}$ and 1885 . For the full period since 1871 the index numbers were accordingly worked out for the seven groups, excluding meats, and also for the period since 1890 for the eight groups, including meats. The figures for the general index for 1871 to 1889 (except 1884 and 1885) were then adjusted, on the basis of the results of succeeding years, so as to include meats. The exact procedure has not been disclosed.

## FEIGETING.

The system of weighting used differs materially from the system generally employed by compilers of index numbers. The author bases his index numbers on what he terms the aggregate expenditure method. By this method the cost of an unvarying bill of goods is cakulated at the varying prices prevailing during different years. The extent to which a commodity is used is expressed by a number termed the "mass unit." The mass unit is developed from the figures which denote the quantity used or consumed, which latter amount has in general been obtained by adding to the production of each commodity in Australia the amount of imports and from this sum subtracting the amount of exports. The figures have, in general, been based on the average production and the average export and import returns for the five years 1906 to 1910, inclusive. No further explanation as to the source of his con-
sumption figures has been given by-the author. Reference to the table on pages 162-164 of this Bulletin will indicate the manner in which the mass units were obtained. In this table the quantities consumed of the various commodities are expressed in thousands only. The mass unit is obtained in each case by dividing the figure denoting the quantity consumed by 10 and approximating the quotient. For instance, the average annual consumption of pig iron is stated to be 64 thousand tons, which is restated as a mass unit of $6 \frac{1}{2}$.

The mass unit having been established and the average yearly price for the year determined, the process then was to multiply the mass unit by the price. Thus, the price of pig iron was 81 s . 2 d . (\$19.48) for a ton in 1911. This price, 81s. 2d. (\$19.48), multiplied by the mass unit ( $6 \frac{1}{2}$ ) gives the aggregate expenditure on pig iron in 1911. This process was applied to each article of the metals group in 1911 and each of the years during the entire period (1890-1912), the sum of such products producing the yearly aggregate group expenditure. The same mass unit was used for all the years of the period. The index for a single group and for all groups was obtained by dividing the total expenditures of a given year by the total expenditures of the basic je ar, i. e., 1911, and the result multiplied by 1,000 .

The following table illustrates the system of weighted index numbers used:

COMPUTATION OF - VDEX NUMBERS-ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE OF AGGREGATE EXPENDITURES METHOD.

| Articles. | Unit. | Quantitifes consumed ( ln mil Lions). | Prices. |  | Total expendilures (fin millions). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1901 | 1911 | 1501 | 1911 |
| Buttar.. | Ponnds.. <br> 2-pound lo <br> Pounds. <br> Quarts.. | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 470 \\ & 830 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | d. $\begin{array}{r}15 \\ 15 \\ 3 \\ 8 \\ 4\end{array}$ | $d$. 18455 | $d$.$\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{d} .850 \\ 1,410 \\ 1,990 \\ 1,200 \end{array}$ | d.$\begin{aligned} & 1,680 \\ & 1,080 \\ & 1,680 \\ & 1,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| Bread.... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutton. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 4,950 | 6,650 |

Thus 6,650 millions is the total expenditure for this group in 1911, which is the base or 1,000 . To secure the index figure for 1901, the total aggregate number 4,950 millions for 1901 is divided by 6,650 millions, the base, which quotient multiplied by 1,000 equals 744 as the index for 1901. A similar process was used for each of the groups represented in the report. The author lays particular emphasis on the fact that his index numbers are reversible, by which he means that they may be recomputed with any other year than 1911 as the base and the results be as accurate as if that year had been taken as the base originally.
$94261^{\circ}$-Bull. 173-16-11

## TESTING.

The author testa his system of weighting by mass units instead of actual quantities consumed by a comparison of results obtained under the two methods by taking a list of prices from 1871 to 1911 for 73 articles, the year 1911 being used as a base. In the first instance the actual figures were used and in the second the mass units. The result in the first case was 1,194 , and in the second 1,193 , the slight difference thus shown appearing not to warrant the extra arithmetical labor required by the use of the actual figures instead of the rounded numbers or mass units.
: He further tests his index numbers by a comparison with index numbers obtained by other methods. The following table illustrates this comparison: .
I PRICE INDEXES: EXAMINATION AS TO RELIABILITY OF VARIOUS METHODS,


He considers the first two as valid but the last two as invalid for his use.

TABLES OF RESULTE.
The following table shows the list of 80 commodities divided into 8 groups, with the brand, source of information, unit, quantities consumed, and mass unit in each case:
MELBOURNE WHOLESALE PRICES, COMMODITIES INCLDDED, SOURCES OF INFORMATION, QUANTITLES CONSUMED, AND MASS UNITS.

Group I.-Mretats and coal ( 12 commodities).


[^64]MELBOURNE WHOLESALE PRICES, COMMODITIES INGLUDEB, SOURCES OF INFORMATION, QUANTITIES CONSUMED, AND "MASS UNITS"-Continued.

Group II.-Jute, leather, wool, etc. (9 commodities).


Group III.-Grains, etc. (1s commodities).


Group IV.—Dairy produce (7 commodities).


Group V.-Groceries (\$1 commodities).


[^65] 18ss to 1912, and the Australusian Trade Reviow, 1871 to 18s2, and cocaslonaliy to 1892,

MELBOURNE WEOLESALE PRICES, COMMODITIES INCLUDED, SOURCES OF INFORMATION, QUANTITIES CONSUMED, AND "MASS UNITS"-Conoluded.

Group V.-Groceries (21 commodities)-Concluded.

| Commodity. | Brand. | Sources of information. | Unit. | Quantilies consumed (in thousandis). | Mass unit. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coffee. | Plantation........ | Trade Journals ${ }^{\text {2 }}$... | Pound. | $\mathbf{2 , 1 0 0}$ |  |
| Cocos |  |  | T..do........... | 1,000 | 100 |
| sugar | equivalent former years. | do |  | 220 |  |
| Macaroni, |  | ...do.. | Pound.......... | 2,000 | 200 |
| Sego. |  |  | -..do.......... | 7,750 | 800 |
| Rice. | Patas............ | .do | Ton............... | 22 | 2 |
| Balt ${ }_{\text {biol }}$ | Ltverpool, fine.... | do |  | 70 | 7 |
| Mustard.. | 1871-183 Dis. ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | do. | Dozon 1-pound | 10 | $\frac{1}{6}$ |
|  | in 1884-1911, i- |  | Doons. 1-paund |  |  |
|  | Round tins, |  |  |  |  |
| Starch. | Coleman's white. . | . do. $^{\text {d }}$ | Pound. | 1,000 | 100 |
| Blue. | Kean's..... |  | ....do | , 500 | 60 |
| Matches. | Woodan safety. | .do | Gross.......... | 860 | 00 |
| Candlea. | Gouda ......... | do. | Pound........... | 16,000 | 1,000 |
| Tabacco. | Two Seas, in pock- | .do. | ....do | 13,000 | 1,300 |
|  | at plecas. | London prices |  |  | 3.000 |
| Keroseme. |  | Trade journals i... | Gallon............ | 17,500 | 1,700 |
| Total. |  |  |  |  | 12,178 |

Group VI.-Meat (5 commodities).


Group VII.-Building materials (9 commodities).

|  |  |  |  |  | , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Timber..........e.e.- | Flooring: <br> 6 by 1 | Trade journals $1 . .$. | 100 feet, Itnear. . | 300 | 30 |
|  | 6 by 7 ......... | . ..do........... | ....do. . ......... | 300 | 30 |
|  | 6 by | . do. | ....do. | 300 | 30 |
|  | 6 by | do. | .....do. | 300 | 30 |
|  | Weatherboards. | .do. | ...do. ${ }^{\text {d }}$. | 2,000 | 200 |
|  | Orggan.............. | .d0. ............ | 1,000 feet, 暗per- | 200 | 20 |
|  | Shelving........... | .do............. | fledal. | 100 | 10 |
|  | Partland. | do. | Cask -.............. | 312 | 30 |
| White leed.............. |  | do. | Ton. | 8 | 4 |
| Total. |  |  |  |  | 8808 |

Group VIII.-Chemicals (4 commodities).


[^66]The next table contains the index numbers for each group and for all the groups as a whole from 1871 to 1912 , inclusive. It will be noticed that no index numbers for meats are given before 1884 or for the years 1886 to 1889.

MELBOURNE WHOLESALE-PRICE INDEX NOMBERS, 1871 TO 1912, COMPUTED TO YEAR 1911 AS BASE.

| Year. | I. Metals and coal. | II. Jute, leather, eto. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { III. } \\ & \text { Agrient } \\ & \text { tural } \\ & \text { produce, } \\ & \text { etre. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IV. } \\ \text { Dadry } \\ \text { produce. } \end{gathered}$ | V. | VI. Meat. | $\begin{gathered} \text { VII. } \\ \text { Builaing } \\ \text { materlals. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIII. } \\ & \text { Chemi- } \\ & \text { calls. } \end{aligned}$ | All com: modities together. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1871. | 1096 | 1257 | 1236 | 884 | 1596 |  | 1014 | 1409 | 1229 |
| 1872. | 1456 | 1394 | 1246 | 1019 | 1608 |  | 1097 | 1537 | 1335 |
| 1873 | 1816 | 1382 | 1422 | 1032 | 1581 |  | 1446 | 1681 | 1451 |
| 1874. | 1835 | 1240 | 1456 | 1180 | 1478 |  | 1138 | 1668 | 1887 |
| 1875...... | 1487 | 1230 | 1361 | 1345 | 1435 |  | 1009 | 1554 | 1337 |
| 1876... | 1406 | 1146 | 1446 | 1415 | 1462 | . | 1054 | 1532 | 1350 |
| 1877.... | 1400 | 1149 | 1347 | 1303 | 1502 |  | 1047 | 1560 | 1311 |
| 1878. | 1329 | 1094 | 1269 | 1112 | 1378 |  | 856 | 1411 | 1216 |
| 1879. | 1268 | 1060 | 1298 | 1146 | 1371 |  | 852 | 1444 | 1210 |
| 1880 | 1347 | 1111 | 159 | 900 | 1412 |  | 943 | 1026 | 1109 |
| 1881 | 1178 | 1115 | 1012 | 835 | 1421 |  | 1091 | 1587 | 1121 |
| $18 \times 2$ | ${ }^{1297}$ | 1032 | 1494 | 1317 | 1414 |  | 1005 | 1498 | 1289 |
| 183 | 1231 | 1021 | 1237 | 1114 | 1408 1326 |  | 910 | 1484 | 1183 |
| 184. | 1208 | 997 | 1124 | 11156 | 11828 | ${ }_{1042}^{1151}$ | 8880 | 1471 | 1132 |
| 1885 | 1218 | 921 | 1158 | 1316 1286 | 1158 | 1042 | 880 | 1432 | 1105 |
| 1888. | 1168 | ${ }_{883} 835$ | ${ }_{1282}^{1228}$ | ${ }_{1091}^{1286}$ | 1139 |  | 780 | 1398 | 1058 |
| 1887. | 1053 | 883 870 | 11184 | 12010 | 1128 |  | 790 | 1401 | 1055 |
| 1 k | 1216 | 8886 | 1505 | 1082 | 1152 |  | ${ }_{90}^{607}$ | 1378 | 1074 |
| 1899 | 1081 1020 | 888 | 1505 1022 | 1089 | 1152 1074 | 1007 | 980 | 1228 | 1171 |
| 1891 | 505 | 847 | 1024 | 925 | 1032 | 889 | 780 | 1194 | 105 |
| 1851.. | 889 | 800 | 971 | 1066 | 997 | 801 | 704 | 1149 | 918 |
| 1893. | 856 | 783 | 834 | 842 | 1038 | 816 | 739 | 1018 | 850 |
| 1894. | 732 | 721 | 644 | 708 | 1007 | 605 | 731 | 034 | 740 |
| 1895 | 720 | 684 | 734 | 712 | 1016 | 688 | 789 | 1003 | 700 |
| 1588 | 508 | 749 | 1118 | 875 | 1021 | 808 | 780 | 1065 | 022 |
| 18897. | 813 | 706 | 1063 | 937 | 1009 | 1072 | 768 | 971 | 925 |
| 1898. | 842 | 68 | 920 | 1034 | 1000 | 1091 | 888 | 033 | 895 |
| 1899. | 833 | 77 | 670 | 814 | 1003 | 000 | 805 | 892 | 800 |
| 1900. | 1042 | 801 | 703 | 888 | 1039 | 1188 | 911 | 908 | 894 |
| 1901 | 1081 | 734 | 828 | 1029 | 1048 | 1845 | 84 | 917 | 97 |
| 1902. | 1007 | 756 | 1192 | 1215 | 945 | 1447 | 837 | 881 | 1051 |
| 1003. | 023 | 834 | 1209 | 1059 | 986 | 1443 | 875 | 221 | 1048 |
| 1900. | 81 | 950 | 754 | 878 | 916 | 1437 | 895 | 875 | 890 |
| 1985. | 772 | 850 | 894 | 880 | ${ }_{922}^{942}$ | 1209 | \$01 | 859 | 910 |
| 1906. | 882 | 978 | 916 | 972 | 823 | 1110 | 896 | 854 | 98 |
| 1907. | 1037 | 1017 | 973 | 1020 | 988 | 1294 | 988 | 981 | 1021 |
| 1008.... | 1033 | 901 | 1312 | 1108 | 908 | 1335 | 935 | 891 | 1115 |
| 1009.... | 1014 | 907 | 1000 | 1119 | 978 | 1088 | 911 | 815 | 083 |
| 1910. | 1004 | 1052 | 969 | 1100 | 999 | 1008 | 900 | 898 | 1003 |
| 1911. | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| 1912. | 1021 | 091 | 1370 | 1206 | 1052 | 1367 | 1057 | 978 | 1170 |

The author presents the following table of index numbers by quinquennial periods to show the average level of prices cover periods of several years. The average for each 5 -year period is 1000 and that for 1911 and 1912 is compared with this base. For instance, taking the average price level of 1871-1875 as 1000, that for 19111912 has fallen to 806, and taking that of 1876-1880 as 1000 that for 1911-1912 in comparison is 877. The other figures are to be read in the same manner.

INDEX NUMBERS FOR 1911-1912, WITE AVERAGE EXPENDITURE IN EACH SUCOESSIVE qUiNQUENNLAL PERIOD AB BABE.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base period } \\ & \text { (prices- } \\ & 1000 \text { ). } \end{aligned}$ | I. Matals and coal. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II. } \\ & \text { Juto, } \\ & \text { leather, } \\ & \text { ete. } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Agri- } \\ \text { cultaral } \\ \text { produce. }}}{\text { In }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IV. } \\ \text { Dairy } \\ \text { Drodurge. } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\text { V. }}{\text { Groces. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { VI. } \\ \text { Meat. } \end{gathered}$ | VII. <br> Building <br> matariols. | VIII, Chemf cals. | A1 groupe together. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1871-1876. | 672 | 792 | 841 | 1,007 | 678 |  | 899 | 621 | 809 |
| 1876-1880.. | 746 | 923 | 895 | 007 | 731 |  | 1,067 | 641 | 877 |
| 1881-1885. - | 881 | 1,012 | 946 | 957 | 775 |  | 1,071 | 651 | 932 |
| 1888-1890.. | 854 | 1,172 | 934 | 974 | 928 |  | 1,193 | 730 | 999 |
| 1891-1895.. | 1,225 | 1,340 | 1,345 | 1,299 | 1,015 | 1,438 | 1,362 | 917 | 1,289 |
| 1880-1900.- | 1,134 | 1,383 | 1,205 | 1,249 | 1,028 | 1,122 | 1,244 | 1,019 | 1,223 |
| 1901-1905. | 1,098 | 1,224 | 1,137 | 1,099 | 1,089 | 833 | 1,214 | 1,001 | 1,118 |
| 1800-1910. | 1,013 | 1,060 | 1,094 | 1,039 | 1,082 | 981 | 1,084 | 1,097 | 1,0\% |

A table contained in the first of the two reports compares index numbers of wholesale prices in Australia with those of the United Kingdom, Belgium, Germany, Italy, France, Canada, the United States, and New Zealand. Complete data for all countries are shown for the years 1890 to 1911, inclusive. In the oases of the United Kingdom and the United States the comparison is extended back to 1840. This comparative table does not show, of course, the relative prices as published in the different countries, but as recomputed for each country on the base 1911 equals 1,000 . The last column of the table contains figures computed by weighting the index number for each country by ite relative population, thus supplying what the author says may be termed the world's index number.

## AUSTRIA-HUNGARY.

## INDEX NUMBERS OF DR. BÉLA VON JANKOMICE.'

## pUBLICATION AND history.

This index for the years 1867-1897 appeared under the title "Die Fluktuation der Waarenpreise im Grosshandel und die Schwankungen der Wechselkurse der oesterreich-ungarisehen Papiervaluta in den Jahren 1867-1897" (The fluctuation of wholesale prices and the variation in the rate of exchange of the AustroHungarian paper values). It was printed in the Hungarian economio reviep entitled "Kozgazdasagi Szemle 1899."
It was continued to 1909 and reprinted in the Bulletin of the International Institute of Statistics, volume 19, Part III, page 136 et seq., in 1912 (Bulletin de L'Institut International de Statistique), under the title "Index Nummer von 45 Waren in der oesterreichungarischen Monarohie, 1867-1909; System Sauerbeck, zum Teil vom Verfasser korrigiert" (Index number of forty-five articles in the

[^67]Austro-Hungarian monarchy, 1867-1909, according to the system of Sauerbeok, with some corrections by the author).

Sauerbeck's method of computation was followed as closely as possible in order that the Austrian index might be comparable with Sauerbeck's index, since England throughout the period had a gold standard. Articles were also selected to correspond as closely as practicable with those entering into Sauerbeck's index.
source of quotations.
The sources of the actual prices vary, being mostly official and somiofficial publications of Austro-Hungarian cities and Provinces.

## BASE PERIOD.

The years 1867-1877 were used as a base for all articles except petroleum, for which 1873-1877 constitutes the base, and flax, for which 1874-1877 constitutes the base.

NUMBER AND CLASS OF COMMODITISS.
The index includes 45 articles. Actual as well as relative prices are shown for all articles except tea, but in a few cases the actual prices are not complete. Relatives are also shown for each of six groups into which the 45 articles are separated, and for the entire 45 taken together. The six groups are: Grains ( $1-8$ ), animal products ( $9-15$ ), colonial goods (16-19), minerals (20-26), textiles, raw matetials (27-34), and miscellaneous (35-45).
table of resulys.
The following table summarizes the results of Dr. von Jankovich's compilations:
sUMMARY TABLE SHOWING INDEX NOMBERS FOR THE PRICES OF 45 ARTICLESIN: THE WHOLESALE MAREETB OF AUSTRIA-HUNGARY.
[Soure: Bulletio de L'Institut International de Statistique, vol. 19, PL III, p. 156.]

| Year. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grains } \\ & (1-\delta) . \end{aligned}$ | Animal products (9-15). | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colonial } \\ \text { (8oods } \\ (16-19) . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { foods } \\ (1-19) . \end{gathered}$ | Mineral products (20-26). | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Tertilies } \\ \text { (raw } \\ \text { materials) } \\ (\pi-34) . \end{array}\right\|$ | Mksellanoobs raw $(35-45)$. | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { All rbw } \\ \text { meterials } \\ (20-45) . \end{array}\right.$ | Index number, Anstria- Hongary for 45 articles. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1807. | 110 | 90 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 117 |  |  |  |
| 1848.. | 85 | 86 | 99 | ${ }^{6} 6$ | 93 | 104 | 99 | 99 | 8 |
| 1869. | 88 | 98 | 104 | 85 | 99 | 109 | 103 | 108 | 100 |
| 1870...... | 95 | 101 | 103 | 98 | 104 | 106 | 106 | 106 | 102 |
| 1871..... | 101 | 102 | 104 | 102 | 116 | 105 | 106 | 109 | 100 |
| 1872..... | 101 | 103 | 102 | 104 | 106 | 110 | 103 | 106 | 105 |
| 1875.... | 108 | 111 | 99 | 107 | 107 | 95 | 100 | 101 | 104 |
| 1874...... | 114 | 103 | 98 | 106 | ${ }^{8}$ | 87 | ${ }^{05}$ | 94 | 0 |
| 1875...... | 91 | 97 |  |  | 86 | 87 | 02 | 89 | 01 |
| $1870 . .$. | ${ }^{89}$ | 87 | ${ }^{94}$ | 86 | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & 07 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{94}^{86}$ | $\stackrel{98}{08}$ | ${ }_{98}^{98}$ | 8 |
| 1877...... | 103 91 | $\stackrel{97}{94}$ | $\begin{array}{r}103 \\ 88 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 101 | ${ }_{80}^{93}$ | 94 85 | $\stackrel{98}{89}$ | 96 88 | 88 |
| 1878. | 89 | 90 | 各 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9 1} \\ & \mathbf{8 8} \end{aligned}$ | $81$ | 88 | ${ }_{88}^{89}$ | 8 | 8 |
| 1880. | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84 |  |

GUMMARY TABLE SHOWING INDEX NUMBZRS FOR THE PRICES OF 45 ARTICLES IN THE WHOLESALE MARKETS OF AUSTRIA-HUNGARY L-Concluded.

| Year. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grains } \\ & (1-8) . \end{aligned}$ | Animal products (9-15). | Colontal $\underset{(10-19)}{80018}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { foll } \\ & \text { f(1-19). } \end{aligned}$ | Mmeral products (20-26). | $\begin{gathered} \text { Textiles } \\ \text { (raw } \\ \text { materifils } \\ (27-34) . \end{gathered}$ |  | All raw materjals $(20-45)$ | Index number, Austrigfor $45^{\circ}$ articies. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1881... |  | -97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1882. | 99 | 101 | 77 | 95 | 89 | 81 | 78 | 80 | 88 |
| 1883.... | 93 | 103 | 72 | 92 | 88 | 78 | 83 | 82 |  |
| 1884..... | 83 | 101 | 64 | go | 77 | 78 | 85 | 81 | 85 |
| $1885 . .$. | 84 | 97 | 62 | 34 | 74 | 74 | 80 | 77 | 80 |
| 1886..... | 82 | 93 | 61 | 82 | 74 | 73 | 74 | 74 | 7 |
| 1867..... | 79 | 92 | 66 | 81 | 76 | 74 | ${ }^{73}$ | 74 | 7 |
| 1888..... | 79 | 94 | 67 | 82 | 80 | 71 | 7 | 74 | 77 |
| 1889. | ${ }_{88}^{78}$ | 90 | 70 | 81 | 78 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 77 |
| 1890...... | 89 89 | 98 98 | 64 | ${ }_{86}^{82}$ | 881 | 70 | 70 | 73 | 77 |
| 1892..... | 80 | 90 | 64 | 80 | 79 | 65 | 67 | 70 | 74 |
| 1893..... | 76 | 91 | 68 | 79 | 78 | 69 | 71 | 22 | 75 |
| 1894..... | 74 | 91 | 62 | 78 | 73 | 65 |  | 68 | 72 |
| 1895... | 76 | 97 | 58 | 80 | 72 | 64 | 9 B | ${ }^{87}$ | 72 |
| 1896..... | 71 | 94 | 54 | 76 | 74 | 63 | 65 | ${ }^{66}$ | 71 |
| 1897.... | 81 | 9 | 47 | 78 | 76 | 62 | 66 | 68 | 72 |
| 1898..... | 89 | 09 | 45 | 83 | 81 | 69 | 68 | 68 | 76 |
| $1899 . .$. | 79 | 97 | 46 | 78 | 96 | 67 | 68 | 75 | 78 |
| 1900..... | 76 | 98 | 47 | 78 | 109 | 76 | 74 | 84 | 82 |
| 1901.... | 81 | ${ }^{97}$ | 41 | 78 81 | 106 | 71 | ${ }_{6}^{73}$ | 81 78 | 88 |
| 1902...... | 83 83 | 1103 | 87 89 | ${ }_{84}^{81}$ | ${ }_{93}^{82}$ | 71 76 | 69 67 | 78 | 88 |
| 1004..... | 91 | 109 | 45 | 88 | 90 | 78 | 88 | 77 | 8 |
| 1005..... | 90 | 121 | 48 | 95 | 98 | 70 | 69 | 70 | 5 |
| 1006..... | 89 | 129 | 44 | 94 | 112 | 85 | 74 | 88 |  |
| 1907..... | 95 | 131 | 40 | 98 | 117 | 88 | 79 | 82 | 95 |
| 1908. | 109 | 125 130 | 48 | 100 | 105 | 79 | ${ }_{78}^{78}$ | ${ }_{88}^{84}$ |  |
| 1909...... | 115 | 130 | 48 | 107 | 103 | 79 | 79 | 86 | 9 |

${ }^{1}$ Numbersappearing In the boxes refer to the column numbers of the articles making up the six groape as they appear in the detailed tabie.

## index numbers of mario alberti.

## PURLICATION.

A volume entitiled "Il costo della vita i salari e le paghe a Trieste nell' ultimo quarto di secolo" (The Cost of Living, Salaries, and Wages in Trieste During the Last Quarter of the Century), by Mario Alberti, was published in Trieste in April, 1911, under the direction of the Museo Commerciale, a nonofficial organization of that city.

A second volume, Il movimento dei prezzi e dei salari a Trieste, 1911 (The Movement of Prices and Wages in Trieste, 1911), was published by the same author in 1912.
mistory.
Mario Alberti in his two works has made a study of prices and has computed index numbers for certain commodities purchased by contract in Trieste.

In his cost of living study the author traces from the time of ancient Rome to the present the interest manifested in the question of the cost of living and the means adopted to study the movement of prices in various epochs and countries. Chapter IV of this work deals exclusively with conditions in Trieste. The volume on the movement of prices and wages also relates exclusively to Trieste.

The prices used in the tables of index numbers are contract or semiwholesale prices quoted by the Istituto dei Poveri (Institution for the Poor) and by the Austrian Lloyd Steamship Co. Those for the Istituto dei Poveri extend from 1885 to 1911, inclusive, and for the Austrian Lloyd Steamship Co. from 1892 to 1911, inclusive.

## BASE PERIOD.

For the index numbers relating to the Istituto dei Poveri the year 1885, taken as 100, is used as a base. For those of the Austrian Lloyd Steamship Co. the years 1892-1896, taken as 100, are used as a base.

PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.
Tables are presented showing by years the average annual price of each commodity purchased by the Istituto dei Poveri and by the Austrian Lloyd Steamship Co. In addition, the simple index, the coefficient or weight attributed to the commodity, and the weighted index for the commodity are given. The totals for each year show the figures on which the simple index and the weighted index for all commodities taken as a whole are computed.

## NUMBER AND CLASS OF COMMODITIES.

The commodities included are:
(a) For the Istituto dei Poveri:

Bread.
Flour.
Paste (macaroni, etc.).
Rice.
Kidney beans.
Potatoes.
Oil.

Cheees.
Meat.
Sugar.
Wine.
Vinegar.
Coal.
Soap.
(b) For the Austrian Lloyd Steamship Co.:

Bread.(1)
Flour N. O. (national).
Paste (macaroni, etc.), national, fine.
Rice, fine, in transit.
Kidney beans.
Potatoes.
Oil, fine, in transit.
Cheese, Parma, in transit.
Beef (cow).

Sugar.
Wine, Dalmatian, in transit.
Vinegar. ${ }^{1}$
Soap. ${ }^{1}$
Fresh butter.
Coffee, Santos, in tranait.
Milk.
Lard.
Egge.
Meal, yellow.

## SUBSTITUTIONG AND ADDITIONS

For 1910 two sets of prices were used in the computation of the indexes. The report on the cost of living contained prices based on estimates, while the volume devoted to the movement of prices and wages contained prices based on actual expenditures. On page 21 of the latter the author states that for this reason "The index number for 1910 was newly calculated on the base of prices furnished by the Istituto dei Poveri."

## WKGETING.

The prices of the different articles are weighted by the uss of coefficients which represent the relative amounts of the commodities consumed. These coefficients are shown for the tables relating to the Istituto dei Poveri in the following list:


The coefficients used in the tables for the Austrian Lloyd Steamship Co. are as follows:

| Bread. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 26 | Wine.. | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flour, wheat.............. 1 | Vinegar. | 3-5 |
| Peste (macaroni, etc.)...... 4 | Soap. | 1 |
| Rice....................... 2.5 | Freah butter. | 2 |
| Kidney beans............... 2 | Coffee. | 1 |
| Potatoes. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 10 | Milk. | 30 |
| Oil........................ 2 | Lard. | 2 |
| Cheese..................... 1-5 | Egrs....................... | 50 |
| Beef. .................... 20 | Meal, yellow............... | 1 |
| Sugar. |  |  |

testing.
No comparison with other index numbers or other means of testing the accuracy of the results obtained has been attempted so far as the fublished information discloses.

## TABLES OF RESULTAS.

The first of the three iollowing tables shows the average price of ench of 14 articles for the base year 1885; the coefficients used in computing the weighted index; the average price, the simple index and the weighted index for each of the 14 articles for the years 1910 and 1911, and the totals of the simple and the weighted indexes for those two years. The prices in the table are based on reports from the Istituto dei Poveri.

The second table shows the indexes, both simple and weighted, for the 14 articles taken as a whole, in yearly periods from 1885 to 1911, inclusive.

The third table shows the average prices for 1892-1896 of 19 articles ( 18 food articles and soap); the coefficients; the average price, the simple index and the weighted index for each article in the years 1910 and 1911; and the totals of the indexes for 1910 and 1911, respectively. The prices are based on the period 1892-1896, taken as 100, and were obtained from the Austrian Lloyd Steamship Co.
index numbers based on prices of istituto dei poveri, ${ }^{2}$

| Name of artiole. | Price In base 1855. | Coefff. cient. | 1910 |  |  | 1911 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Price. | Simple inder. | Weighted | Prica. | Simple index. | Weifhted inder. |
| Bread. | 32.00 | 26 | 38.17 | 119.28 | 3,101. 28 | 37,54 | 117.31 | 8,050.06 |
| Flour, wheat ........ | 25.52 | 1 | 35.60 | 139.85 | 139.85 | 24. 19 | 133.97 | 133.07 |
| Paste'(macaroni, eto.) | 36.00 | 4 | 48.47 | 134.64 | 638.56 | 49.97 | 138.81 | 555.24 |
| Rice-................ | 30.50 | 2.5 | 31.82 | 104.33 | 260.82 | 33.91 | 111.18 | 277.5 |
| Kidney beans......... | 15.52 | 2 | 29.60 | 191.26 | 338.52 | 35.05 | 205.84 | 451.68 |
| Potatoes.............. | 8.00 | 10 | 8.06 | 100.75 | 1,007.50 | 11.04 | 138.00 | 1,380.00 |
| OH | 120.00 | 2 | 102.43 | 85.36 | 170.72 | 100.70 | 88.82 | 177.84 |
| Cheese | 137.60 | .$^{2}$ | 213.57 | 156.22 | 31.04 | 219.98 | 159.87 | 31. 97 |
| Meat | 82.00 | 20 | 105.00 | 114.13 | 2, 282.60 | 138.00 | 150.00 | 3,000 00 |
| Sugar. | 43.36 | 4 | 79.14 | 18252 | 730.08 | 82.13 | 189.42 | 757.68 |
| Wine. | 66.00 | 20 | 46.50 | 70. 45 | 1,409.00 | 78.00 | 118.18 | 2,363. 60 |
| Yinegar................ | 17.00 | -6.6 | 6.00 | 35.29 | 31. 18 | 6.03 | 35.47 | 21.28 |
| Coal.................. | 2.00 |  | 2.14 | 107.00 | 5,350.00 | 2.04 | 102.00 | 5,100.00 |
| Soap................. | 52.00 | 1 | 58.90 | 109.42 | 109.42 | 59.33 | 114. 10 | 114. 10 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,650 | 15,536 |  | 1,283 | 17,415 |

INDEX NUMBERS BASED ON PRICES OF ISTITUTO DEL POVERI, FOR RACH YEAR,

| Year. | Eirnple index. | Welghted index. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1885. | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| 1885. | 97.21 | 95.74 |
| 1857. | 85.57 | 83.58 |
| 1888. | 94.14 | 90.54 |
| 1899. | 90.21 | 88.98 |
| 1850. | 92.43 | 94.11 |
| 1891 | 83.80 | 97. 55 |
| 1892. | 97. 86 | 92.77 |
| 1880. | 96. 86 | 92. 48 |
| 1894 | ${ }^{95} .43$ | 89.69 |
| ${ }_{189} 18$. | ${ }_{0} 0.28$ | 88.32 |
| 1897. | ${ }_{\text {98. }}^{\text {91. }} 8$ | 90.79 97.40 |
| 1898. | 99.87 | 99.99 |
| 1899. | 00.87 | 102. 43 |
| 1800. | 101.21 | 114.85 |
| 1901. | 101.78 | 118. 11 |
| 19982. | 103.00 | 108.09 |
| 1904. | 102.43 | 103.31 |
| 1905. | 108.80 108.00 | 100.38 <br> 104 <br> 1 |
| 1808. | 105.00 | 10. 35 |
| 1903. | 111.43 | 111.72 |
| 18008. | 118.79 | 117.55 |
| 1909. | 117.14 | 112.35 |
| 1910. | 117.80 150.21 | 108.41 |

- Marfo Alberti, Il Movimento del prezal e del salarl nell' anno 191I a Trieste, pp, 24 and 26.

```
INDEX NUMBERS BASED ON PRICES OBTAINED FROM THE AUSTRIAN LLOYD
``` STEAMSHIP CO.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Name of articla.} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Price in base parlod, 1892
1896.} & \multirow{2}{*}{Coom clent.} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{1910} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{1911} \\
\hline & & & Price. & Blmple index. & Welghted index. & Prine. & Stmple Index. & Welghted tadex. \\
\hline Broad 2 & 27.918 & 26. 00 & 38.17 & 136.73 & 3,554. 88 & 37.54 & 134. 48 & 3,496.48 \\
\hline Flour, N. O., national............ & 25. 982 & 1.00 & 40.61 & 156.48 & 156. 48 & 35.28 & 135.71 & 135.71 \\
\hline Paste macaroni, ete.) national, ino & 35.220 & 1.00 & 45.46 & 128.58 & 508.24 & 47.86 & 133.24 & 532.96 \\
\hline Rice, fine, in transit & \({ }^{36.850}\) & 2.50 & 43.05 & 119.17 & 297.94 & 45.11 & 12.32 & 305.79 \\
\hline Potatocs..... & 22.740 & 2.00 & \({ }_{7} 8.55\) & 134.35 & 268. 69 & 31.72 & 139.49 & 278.98 \\
\hline OH, fine fin transit & 6.392 & \(\underline{2.00}\) & 135.40 & 118.43 & i,18.30 & 9.86 & 154. 20 & 1,542.50 \\
\hline Cheese, Parma, & 181.752 & . 20 & 252.08 & 138.70 & 72. 74 & 240.52 & 132.34 & 28.47 \\
\hline Beet (cow) \({ }^{\text {? }}\) & 94.300 & 20.00 & 106.00 & 111.34 & 2,228. 80 & 138.00 & 148.35 & 2,827.00 \\
\hline Supar. & 64. 906 & 4.00 & 80.00 & 124. 61 & 498. 44 & 83.66 & 128.72 & 514.88 \\
\hline Wine, Dalmatian, & 23.322 & 20.00 & 22.53 & 96. 61 & 1,932. 20 & 44.06 & 188.92 & 2,778.40 \\
\hline Vineqar & 12.896 & 60 & 6.00 & 46.62 & 27.91 & 6.03 & 46.76 & 28.06 \\
\hline Soap \({ }^{2}\) & 50.092 & 1.00 & 58.90 & 113.59 & 113.59 & 69.3i & 118.44 & 118.44 \\
\hline Fresh but & 224. 992 & 2.00 & 231.68 & 102.98 & 205.96 & 259.96 & 115.55 & 231.10 \\
\hline Coffee, Santos & 183.300 & 1.00 & 108.87 & 56.37 & 56.37 & 161.38 & 79.87 & 79.87 \\
\hline Milk. & 20.000 & 30.00 & 22.00 & 110.00 & 3,300.00 & 23.80 & 119.00 & 8,570.00 \\
\hline Lard. & 102.612 & 2.00 & 187.67 & 182.90 & 365.80 & 160.65 & 156.56 & 313.12 \\
\hline & B. 238 & 60.00 & 6. 60 & 105.90 & 6,295.00 & 7.18 & 115.05 & 5,752.50 \\
\hline Meal, yellow & 17.364 & 1.00 & 23.68 & 136.37 & 136.87 & 22.68 & 130.62 & 130. 62 \\
\hline & & & & 2,266 & 20, 3 31 & & 2,446 & 24,060 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
1 Mario Alberti, 11 moyimento del preazl a del salarl nell anno 1911 a Trieste.
Index numbers based on prices paid by the Istituto dol Poveri.
}

BELGIUM.
index numbers of hector denis.

\section*{history.}
'Hector Denis, professor at the University of Brussels, is believed to be the author of the only series of index numbers of prices in Belgium that has been presented with any measure of continuity and completeness. This series has not, however, been published in uniform manner, but has appeared as a gradual development in various publications credited to its author. Even at the present time it does not seem to have reached its final form, since every succeeding presentation either alters or omits former tables or includes additional ones. The only exception seems to be a table of index numbers computed for 28 articles of export, which is given in 1911 in practically the same form in which it first appeared in 1895.

\section*{PUBHCATION}

Among the publications of Prof. Denis in which his various tables of indexes appear are the Economic and Social Depression and the History of Prices (La dépression économique et sociale et l'histoire des prix), 1895, \({ }^{1}\) and the Index Numbers of Moral Phenomena (Les index numbers-nombres indices-des phénomènes moraux), 1911. \({ }^{\text {² }}\)

The most nearly complete examples of his indexes, however, are published in the Bulletin of the International Institute of Statistics, volume 19, Part III, pages 157-195, and are computed to include the years 1909, 1910, or 1911, as the case may be. Only two of the earlier tables appear in the bulletin. Of these the index numbers based on the 28 exports are continued to include the year 1910, as is likewise a comparative table that had appeared in his Index Numbers of Moral Phenomena. This comparative table is used by Prof. Denis to test his own general index for the 28 articles of export of Belgium. He reduced the general index numbers of France (Palgrave), Germany (Soetbeer), England (Sauerbeck), and the United States (Falkner-Hardy) to the common base 1867-1877, and presented them in parallel columns with his own for each year and for five-year periods from 1860 to \(1893 .{ }^{3}\) In the same publication the table appears a second time, but with data for each fear from 1850 to 1910,4 and without the reduction of the indexes to a common base. A second table of index numbers of exports, similar to but not identical with the one published in 1895 on the base period 1865, also appears in the bulletin. Separate tables of index numbers are also given for vegetable products, meats and butter, cereals, and other groups of articles.

\section*{SOURCE OF QUOTATIONS.}

Prof. Denis bases his computations for the index numbers appearing in his study of the economic and social depression on the quotations given in the tables of foreign commerce of Belgium (tableaux du commerce exterieur de la Belgique), i. e., on the prices used for fixing

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) In this appear four tables of indextes. The first is an inder foc 28 exports yearly from 1850 to 1800 , computed on the base perlod \(1887-1877\). The second index covers the same pertod of ysars and almost the same articles, except that someare grouped and one or twoare added, the base period boing the single year 1886. The third Index Ls similar to the first, but is besed on 22 imports. The fourth table presents the general Index number for Imports and also for exports for every year from 1886 to \(\mathbf{1 8 8 0}\), the period \(1867-1877\) again being used as the base.
: Publahed by the Royal Acedery of Belgium in its Mematres, second sarios, 4, Brussels, 1008-1011. Separate tables of prive index numbers are given for cersaly, beef, whest, cosal, and metals, all computed an the base period 1867-1877. A comparative table ahows Index numbers for the United States, Belgium, Carmany, and England.
- This table is given an p. 175 of thls bulletin.

1 The general Index computed for Belgium by Prot. Denis, whfch eppearsin this table, is printed on p. 175 of this bulletio far the years 1894-1010.
}
the customs values. Of these Mr. Armand Julin \({ }^{1}\) remarks that he finds that the averages adopted by the commission for the official values correspond but remotely with the real fluctuations in prices. Therefore the accuracy of Prof. Denis's index numbers may appear rather doubtful. However, this criticism can apply only to the single original table of 28 exports that is continued to include 1910, since in the additional tables published in the bulletin of the International Institute of Statistics referred to above he states in a footnote that prices up to 1852 were taken from the secular almanac of the observatory (l'Almanach séculaire de l'Observatoire) and those for later years from the statistical yearbooks (Annuaires statistiques).

BASE PERYOD
For the base period Prof. Denis selected the years 1867-1877, as did Sauerbeck for England. His reason for such a choice appears to be the fact that during those years there were periods of both rise and depression in prices, while a later period would not include the economic depression that followed 1873 and an earlier one would cover a time of rising prices only.

\section*{DESCRIPTION OF COMMODPTIES}

The articles for which index numbers have been computed are not described specifically in the publications mentioned above, but it is stated in the one dealing with the economic and social depression that the 28 exports (whose indexes are continued to 1910) and the 22 imports (not computed after 1890) were selected with a view to including those most prominent, and that the total of 50 articles so selected comprises two-thirds of the total exports and imports of Belgium.

WEIGBTING.
The indexes are not weighted, and in his Economic and Social Depression and the History of Prices Prof. Denis justifies himself by stating that in spite of the use of weighted averages the variation in the curve of prices as shown in the diagrams accompanying that publication remain essentially the same and that therefore he has not abandoned the simpler method, but presents his indexes with the caution that the results are to be taken only as an approximation of the truth.

TABLES OF mesults.
Below follows the comparative table of general index numbers for several countries as it appears in his treatise on the Index Numbers of Moral Phenomena mentioned above:

\footnotetext{
" The cocnomid progreas of Belgium from 18s0 to 1908," fin the Joumal of the Rogel Statistical Society, 1911, D. 298.
}

COMPARISON OF GENERAL INDEX NUKBERS FOE FRANCE (PALGRAVE), GERMANY (SOETBEER), ENGLAND (SAUERBECK), DNITED STATES (FALKNER-HARDY), AND BELGIUM (DENIS), REDUCED TO A COMMON BASE,
(Base perlod, 1867-1877=100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & France (Palgrave). & Germeny (Soetbeer). & England (Sauerbeck). & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Unittod } \\
& \text { States } \\
& \text { (Falkner- } \\
& \text { Hardy). }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Belgitum \\
(Denis).
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1860. & & 94.6 & 99 & 78 & 108.6 \\
\hline 1861 & & 08 & 88 & 78 & 98.8 \\
\hline 1862 & & 06.3 & 101 & 84 & 99.9 \\
\hline 1833 & & 97.8 & 103 & 108.8 & 104.2 \\
\hline 1864 & & 100.8 & 105 & 137 & 116.6 \\
\hline 1865 & & 96.3 & 101 & 156 & 106.9 \\
\hline 1865. & \(97.6)\) & 98.5 & 102 & 138 & 108.9 \\
\hline 1867 & 97.6 & 97 & 100 & 123 & 83.1 \\
\hline 1868 & 97.6 97 & \(95.5\} 98.7\) & \({ }_{08} 988\) & 115 117.6 & 95.8 .05 .8 \\
\hline 1869 . & 97.6 & 88.3 & 88 & 110 & 91.6 \\
\hline 1870. & 94.6 & 08.3 & \(96 \%\) & 102 & 91.8 \\
\hline 1871. & 101.5 & 100 & 1001 & 97.8 & 98.8 \\
\hline 1872.. & 108.3 & 106.4 & 109 & 98.8 & 109 \\
\hline 1873. & 107.3 3103 & 107.96104 .5 & 111108.6 & 98.890 .7 & 108.6107 .6 \\
\hline 1874.. & 98.5 & 106.4 & 102 & 95.6 & 108.3 \\
\hline 1875.. & 99.5 & 101.7 & 06 & 91.8 & 113.4 \\
\hline 1876. & 97.6) & 100.1 & 05 & 85 & 108.3 \\
\hline 1877. & 99.5 & 100.1. & 04 & 70.7 . & 108 \\
\hline 1878. & 86.890 .7 & 94.698 .3 & 8789.2 & 72.8376 .7 & 98. 4 101. 1 \\
\hline 1879. & 83.9 & 91.5 & 8 & 69.5 & 95.8 \\
\hline 1880. & \(85.8)\) & 95.6 & 88 & 78.9) & 100.1 \\
\hline 181. & & 94.6 & 85 & 76 & 97.8 \\
\hline 1882... & 81.989 & 95.500. & 8480 & 78.7 & 85.78 \\
\hline 183. & \(80{ }^{89.7}\) & 95.5
90 & 8276.8 & \(76.2{ }^{7} 78.7\) & 85.7 88, 1 \\
\hline 1885. & 74.1) & 85.2 & 72 & & 82.8 \\
\hline 1886. & & 81.0 & 69 & 66.1 & 77.8 \\
\hline 1887. & & 80.6 & 68 & 68.6 & 78.2 \\
\hline 1885. & & 80.6. 82.2 & 70.70 .2 & 67.768 .9 & 25.6. 74.2 \\
\hline 1859. & & 83.7 & 72 & 67.7 & 71.5 \\
\hline 1890. & & 85.1) & 72 & 68.4) & 70 \\
\hline 1891. & & & 72 & 66.3 & 69.9 \\
\hline 1892. & & & 68 & & 66.5 \\
\hline 1593. & & & 68 & & 64.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A continuation of the general index numbers for Belgium as computed by Prof. Denis in the above table appears on page 158 of volume 19, Part III, of the Bulletin of the International Institute of Statistics, as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & Indax numbers. & Year. & Index nambers. \\
\hline 1894. & 59.0 & 1903. & 60.6 \\
\hline 1895. & 61.5 & 1904. & 61.8 \\
\hline 1896. & . 61.0 & 1905. & 60.9 \\
\hline 1897. & . 56.0 & 1906. & 64.8 \\
\hline 1898. & . 58.5 & 1907. & 69:2 \\
\hline 1899. & . 61.8 & 1908. & . 67. 7 \\
\hline 1900. & 63.4 & 1909. & 65.9 \\
\hline 1901. & . 63.1 & 1910. & . 64.7 \\
\hline 1902. & 64. 0 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{CANADA.}

\section*{INDEX NUMBERS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.} publication.
This compilation of wholesale prices for the Dominion of Canada is published yearly by the Department of Labor of Canada at Ottawa. Index numbers for each group of commodities and for its main subdivisions are also published monthly in the Labor Gazette, the official organ of the department of labor.

\section*{histiony.}

The first report on wholesale prices made by the Canadian Department of Labor was published in 1910 and covered the years 1890 to 1909, inclusive. The object in undertaking this work was to determine as accurately as possible the nature and extent of the general rise in wholesale prices which had occurred in Canada during recent years. Prior to the beginning of this work the Labor Gazette, the official organ of the department, had for some time 'published each month certain data regarding prices in connection with its review of industrial and labor conditions. The importance of the subject and the unsatisfactoriness of general statements in a matter of this kind led the department in 1910 to adopt a more comprehensive and systematic method of treating the subject of prices in the monthly summary and also to extend the inquiry into the wholesale prices of a selected list of representative staple commodities back over the preceding 20 years. \({ }^{1}\) In subsequent annual and monthly reports the price data have been brought down to the present time.

\section*{sOURCE OF QUOTATIONS.}
- It is stated that the practice followed throughout the investigation was "to collect and collate the best available published information and to submit the result for verification to long-established firms at the wholesale center in question." The daily press and weekly trade journals of Canada and the printed reports of exchanges, boards of trade, etc., are mentioned as the principal sources of data. When reliable pristed matter failed, information was obtained from books of manufacturers and wholesalers.
A source used for verification purposes in the case of a few important raw materials imported by manufacturers direct from the primary markets of the world, and in which there is no wholesale trade in Canada, was the declared import values, which were divided by total quantities to show the average prices. Toronto and Montreal markets furnish the great mass of the quotations published in the reports.?

BASE PERIOD.
The base period selected for the computation of index numbers for practically all commodities is the decade 1890-1899. Two reasons are given for this selection: (1) The period was considered as representative of normal conditions as any available, containing a time of falling and a time of rising prices, and (2) direct comparison with the similar study of the United States Department of Labor was considered very desirable, and this was made possible by choosing the same base period.' In a few instances, owing to special reasons, a period other than the decade 1890-1899 has been chosen as the bese.

PRICES: HOW COMPUTED AND SHOWN.
In the first report the prices quoted are stated to be "for the most part those prevailing on the opening day of each month, though if, in particular cases, these were found to be abnormal, an average of the week was taken." \({ }^{2}\) In the report for 1912 it is stated that the manner of quoting prices is the same as in the earlier reports except that for certain articles subject to rapid fluctuations (grains, live animals, certain meats, butter, eggs, potatoes, and fresh fruits - 40 in all) weekly instead of monthly quotations were obtained.s This plan was continued in the preparation of the wholesale-price data for 1913.

Difficulty wis encountered in obtaining quotations of a uniform quality of certainarticles, particularly of manufactured articles, through a series of years. It is stated in the reports that wherever such articles are quoted, care has been taken to see that changes in quality are accounted for in the prices given." In a few cases-as, for example, in the case of cotton goods-the prices published are not simple quotations on a single variety, but averages of a large number of varieties.

In the annuul reports the actual prices are published for each commodity by months, or, in some cases, by weeks, and the average of these quotations is given as the price for the year. Index numbers are published in the annual reports for each commodity by years and in the Labor Gazette for each group and subgroup ( 56 items in all) by months currently. Index numbers do not seem to he published for single commodities by months. Many of the actual prices are stated in the form of a range of price, and apparently the mean is used for computations based on these figures.

Some commodities whose price is largely governed by seasonal conditions are quoted for only those months of the year when they are in season-as, for example, blue grapes, for which quotations are given only for September and October.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Wholesale prices in Canada, 1580-1809, p. 440.
\({ }^{1}\) Idem, 1912, p. 2.
\({ }^{2}\) Idem, p. 439.
4 Idem, 1890-1909, p. 439.
\(94261^{\circ}-\) Bull. \(173-15-12\)
}

The index number for the 20-year period 1890-1909 is based on 230 commodities so-called, some of these quotations being, however, as in the case of cotton fabrics, the average of a large number of varieties of the articles. In the first annual report (covering the year 1910) one quotation was dropped and six new quotations were added, thus making the number of commodities 235. In the second annual report (covering the year 1911) one quotation was dropped, but the entire number covered by the index was increased to 261. In the latter report the statement is made that it is hoped ultimately to include about 280 commodities. The total was increased to 272 in 1912 by the addition of nine new articles and additional series of quotations in the case of two articles previously included. No change in the list was made in 1913. The new articles have been included in the index numbers since 1910, so as to assist immediate comparisons, but no recalculation of the entire series of index numbers back to 1890 is to be made on the enlarged basis until the number of commodities is completed. \({ }^{1}\)

In recent reports, prices for a number of articles which it is hoped ultimately to include in the index number are published in connection with prices for the 272 commodities included at present. Both raw materials and manufactured articles are included in the commodities used in computing the index number. Difficulties attending the employment of manufactured articles were recognized, but their inclusion on a conservative basis seemed imperative in selecting a sufficiently large number of representative commodities. With respect to the original number, 230 , it was said that "the effect of tendencies incidental to the manufacturing process are present in about 40 per cent of the quotations." \({ }^{3}\)

\section*{DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.}

The commodities for which index numbers have been computed are shown in the following list, which is taken from the report for 1913 (pp. 218-240).
-
Barley, Weatern.
Barley, No. 2, Ontario.
Bran.
Corn, No. 3, yellow.
Flaxseed, No. 1, Northwestern.
Hay, Montreal.
Hay, Toronto.
Oats, No. 2, white, Western.
I. Gralne and fodder.

\author{
Oats, No. 2, white, Ontario. \\ Peas, No. 2, Ontario. \\ Rye, No. 2, Ontario. \\ Shorts. \\ Stram. \\ Wheat, No. 1, Northern. \\ Wheat, No. 2, white, Ontario.
}

\section*{1. Aufmals mand mexts.}

Bacon, English bonelawe breenkfact. Beef, plate.
Beef, dreveed, hind quarters. Beef, drewed, knequarters. Cintule, Weatern prime. Cattile, choice steers, Toranto. Fowle.
Haxns, city cured, medium. Hoge, selects.

Hioge, dreased.
iend, pure.
Mattion, dressed.
Iand.
Fork, Clanade, heavy short-cut mess.
Sheop, erpput ewes.
Turkeys.
Veel, dreeed.
CIL. Ouhy moducts.

Butter, creamery, Montreal. Butter, creamery solids, Mroronto. Butter, dairy, printa, Taronto. Cheese, weetern colored.
Eggs, freab, Montreal.
Codfich, dry, f. o. b.
Haddock, dry, f. ©. b.
Halibut.
Hexring, milted. Lobeter, frech.

Fggs, starage, Tononto.
Milk, at Mombreal. Milk, at Toranto.
Milk, at Victoris, B. C.
1v. That
Lohater, canned.
Mackenel, solted.
flekmon, B. C., canined.
Salnon trout, fresh.
Whitefish, freek.

\section*{v. Ower focoter}
(a) Fruits and vegetables.
1. Freek fruita Native-

Apples, geed vammable.
Cherries.
Grapes, Blue.
Peaches, Leno comas, No. 1 fruit.
Pears, early, Bartiettes and winter.
Rlumas, early, Iombards, greengages.
Rampherries, red.
Strawberriea. Forvign-

Bananas, yelliow.
Lemons, Measinas and Verdelli..

Orangee, navels and Valencian.
2. Dried fruita. Apples, evaporated. Currants, Patras. Prunes, Bosnia. Ravising, Sultanas.
3. Freeh vegetables. Beans, hand-picked. Onione, Csnadian Red. Potatoes, Montreal. Potatoes, Toronto. Turnips. Tomatoea.
4. Canned vegetablea.

Corn, standards, 2's.
Peas, standande, 2's, Tomatones, \({ }^{3} \mathrm{~B}\).
(b) Misollanoons procerice and provisions.
1. Breadetuffs. Flour, straight rollera. Flour, atrong bakers. Flour, winter wheat patenta. Flour, Manitoba 1stpatents. Breed, Taronto.
1. Breadstuffe-Concluded.

Bread, Victoria, B. O.
Biscuits, soda.
Oatneeal, standard.
Rice, Patna.
Tapioca, medium pearl.

\section*{7. Other Poodrmanoluded.}
(b) Miscellaneous groceries and provisions-Concluded.
2. Tea, coffee, and chocolate.

Chocolate, Diamond.
Coffee, Rio, No. 7.
Coffee, Santos.
Tea, good common Japan.
3. Sugar, etc.

Glucose.
Honey, strained.
Maple sugar.
Molasses, New Orleans.
(a) Woolens:

Wool (Ontario), washed.
Wool (Ontario), unwashed.
Yarn.
Woolen underwear.
Beaver cloth.
(b) Cotton:

Cotton, upland middling.
Gray cottons.
Woven colored fabrics.
Prints.
(c) Silk:

Silk, raw, Italian, clasaical.
Belding', 50 yards spool silk (A).
Belding' prize medal (16-ounce, machine).
VII. HIdes and tallow, leathers, and boots and ahoem

Hides and tallow:
No. 1 inspected steers and cows.
No. I green caliskins.
Horsehides.
Tallow rendered, No. 1 stock, in barrel.
Leather:
No. 1 Spanish sole, for jobbing. No. I slaughter sole, heavy.
3. Sugar, etc.-Concluded. Sugar, Montreal granulated. Sugar, Montreal yellow.
4. Condiments, etc.

Pepper, black, pure.
Cream of tartar.
Salt, fine, dairy, cheese, and table. Soda, bicarbonate of. Vinegar, white wine, proof atrength. V. Textilea.
(d) Flax products: Flax sewing twine. Linen rope, white. Flax fiber. Tow, fine.
(e) Jute:

Jute, first marks.
Heseian, \(10 \frac{1}{2}\) ounce, 40 -inch.
( \()\) ) Oil cloths:
Floor oil cloth, No. 3 quality.
Table oil cloth, assorted patterns (5-4 wide).
VIII. Metala and Implements.
(a) Metals:

Antimony.
Brass.
Copper.
Iron, pig, Summerlee.
Iron, pig, No. 1 foundry, N. S. .
Iron, common bar.
Iron, black sheets.
Iron, galvanized aheets.
(a) Metalg-Continued.

Iron, tin-plate, charcoal.
Iron, tin-plate, Beseemer.
Iron, boiler-plate.
Wrought im, No. 1.
Lead, imported.
Lead, domestic, Trail.
Nickel.
Quicksilver.
(a) Metals-Concluded.

Silver.
Solder.
Spelter.
Steel billets.
Steel, cast.
Tin, ingots.
Zinc, sheets.
(b) Implements:

Anvils, Wrights', 80 pounds and over.

Ex. Puel and Hehting

Coal, N. S., run of mines.
Coal, Grow's Neat Pass.
Coal, Pennsylvania anthracite.
Coke, Oonnellsville furmace.
Coke, Crow's Nest Pase.
(b) Implemento-Concluded. Axes, standard.
- Chaine, coil.

Crowbans.
Grindetones, 40-200 pounds.

\section*{Horseshoes.}

Mallets, carpenters' hickory.
Screws, bench wood.
Soldering irons.
Vises, Wrights'.

Gasoline.
Coal oil, Canadian standard.
Coal oil, United States standard.
Calcium carbide.
Matches.
X. Brallding triterials.
(a) Lumber.

Pine, all gradea, Ottawa.
Pine, good sidings, Ottawa.
Pine, No. 1 cuts, Toronto.
Laths, pine, Ottawa.
Pine, shipping, culla, Ottawa.
Pine, box boards, Ottawa.
Hemlock, Ottawa.

Spruce, New Bronswick.
Shingles, New Brunswick.
Birch, Toronto.
Maple, Toronto.
Oak, Toronto.
British Columbia fir.
British Columbia shingles.
(b) Miscellaneous building materials.

Brick, fire.
Brick, common building.
Cement, Cansdian Portland.
Hinges.
Iron pipe.
Lead pipe.
Lime.
Nails, cut.
Nails, wire.
Pitch.

Plaster of Paris.
Red lead, dry.
Sush cord.
Sash weights.
Soil pipe, medium.
Tar.
Wire, copper.
Wire, iron.
Wire cloth.
Wire fencing.
(c) Paints, oil, and glass.

Benrine.
Glue.
Linseed oil (raw).
Linseed oil (boiled).
Paris green.
Propared painta.
Putty.
Rosin, white.
Shellac.
Turpentine.
Varnish.
Venetian red (dry color).
White lead.
Window glass.

\section*{2I. Blouso farroficingat}

(b) Crockery and glaqemare-Coneluded. Earthemwarei 10-piece printed toiIntert.
Earthen menear-giece printed dinमup
(c) Table cutlery:

Knives, cellulciak handle, medium sive.
Silverplaked huives and forkw, 6 per my meisint medium.
(d) Kitchen furvighinget

Paina
Tuber
Brooms.
Sodinoma, Mris, Pottm

In brugeand chemicels.

Alcohol, 65 O. P.
Alcohol, mood.-
Alum.
Bleaching powter.
Borar
Brimgtane
Carbolie acid.
Canatic soda.

Copperas.
Glycerine.
Muriatie acid.
Opixm:
Quizime
Soda melin
Sulphuric acia.

YIII miscelimacons

\section*{(a) Pumos.}

Mink, dark.
Muskrat, best fall and winter.
Rsecour.
Skwhe lack Canadian.
(b) Liquors and tobaceo.
Hope.
Malt.
Whinky (Com, Cluh 20-s up).
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Abe and potar (dradt), \\
Tobween multing
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \\
\hline Tobaces, risw leait \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(c) Sundries.

Binder twine.
Gunpowder.
Paper, newa grint.
Rope.

Rubber, Para Ialand. Soap Stwer.

\section*{SURETYTUTIONS AND ADPMTONB}

In cases where new articles have been included in the index number an effort was made to seetre a series of quotations back to 1890. In some cases this was not found pessible, owing to "poverty of records, changes in industrial metheds or eonsumption standards, ete." It is stated that-no satisfactory solation of this problem has been formen, but that "the method followed wras to assign to the new cemmedity the index number of the commodity displaced or most nearty represented in the year in question. Thus the index number of the lowest
grade of pine lumber was assigned to hemlock in the year in which that article first makes its appearance in the quotations. In this way the new commodity creates a minimum of disturbance in the index number of the year in which it first occurs, whilst subsequent variations make themselves duly felt." \({ }^{1}\) In the case of calcium carbide, an entirely new commodity introduced into the index in 1894, and the case of Crow's Nest Pass coal and Crow's Nest Pass coke, both introduced in 1899, the price first quoted was taken as 100, or the base, while in the case of cotton prints, introduced in 1893, the average price for the years 1893-1899 was taken as the base.

\section*{interpolation.}

So far as can be determined, no price quotations have been interpolated. In the case of a few commodities, however, the statement is made that, owing to incomplete records, associated data have been drawn upon in calculating the base prices. Thus the price of fiaxseed for the base period 1890-1899 was estimated from the price at Chicago from 1890-1910, as published in the reports of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, and the price at Winnipeg from 1906-1910. The base price of plate beef was in like manner "calculated from the percentages of cattle and beef prices from 1906 to 1911," while the base price of dressed veal is "based on the average prices of other meat products, 1890-1899." \({ }^{\text {a }}\)

WEIGETITVG.
The general index number is the simple average or arithmetio mean of the index numbers of the several commodities; i. \(\theta\)., the sum of the relative prices of the different commodities, divided by the number of commodities. Certain commodities are represented by more then one quotation and, as would happen in any extensive list including both raw materials and manufactured products, some commodities are represented indirectily more than once, as, for example, lumber, which is also represented by furniture. In the opinion of the compiler "an extended list of articles tends to weight itself."

TRSTHNC.
With the object of testing the results obtained by the use of the simple arithmetical average of the index numbers representing the several commodities, a weighted index has been computed. This is based on the table prepared by the British Association for the Advancement of Science, a committee of which dealt exhaustively with the whole subject of index numbers in 1887-1890. The table follows. :

\footnotetext{
1 Wholesale Prices in Canada, 1590-1909, p. 447. I Idem, 1800-1009, p. 442.
: Idem, 1013, pp. 120, 180.
}

\section*{BRITIGH ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE TABLE OF WEIGHTS} FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF AN INDEX NUMBER.
[The following explamation of the table is given in the Canadian repart:
The second colvinn gives in round numbers ( \(\mathbf{0 0 0 , 0 0 0}\) 's being omitted) the average national expendfture (In pounds) on each class of article at present and for the last few years, and presumsbly also for the immodatefutare the proportion at least, if not the absolute amounts, of expenditure. In the estimated amount of consumption allowarce is made for the addition to the value made betore the articles are in the form in which they are finally consumed.
In the third columin these amounts (or proportions) are reduced to percentages (of the total amount expended on such articles).
In the last column the relative importance proposed to be assigned to each article in the index number is stated, matuly an the basis of the percontages in the third column, but with modifications so es to subet:tute even figures for the conventience of handling.]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Articles consumed or used up. & Estimated expanditure par annum on each article. & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Percentage } \\
\text { of canch } \\
\text { amount to } \\
\text { total. }
\end{array}\right.
\] & Relative importance proposed for each index number reduced to parcentage. \\
\hline Breadstufif: & \multirow{5}{*}{60
80
80
80} & & \\
\hline & & 6.5 & \\
\hline Bariey............... & & \({ }^{1} 5.4\) & 5 \\
\hline Potatoes, rice, eto............................................................. & & 6.4 & 5 \\
\hline & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{110.9
2.8} & 20 \\
\hline Meat and dalry food: & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{100} & & \\
\hline Fish. & & & 24 \\
\hline Cheese....... & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Butter......} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{60} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & 73 \\
\hline & & & 90 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Mass luxuries:} \\
\hline - 8ugar..... & \({ }^{80}\) & \({ }^{8 .} 8\) & \(2{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline Bear. & 100 & 110.9 & 9 \\
\hline Spirits. & 40 & 4.3 & 24 \\
\hline Wine.. & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{10} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{ll}
11.1
\end{array}
\]} & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Tobacco.} & & & 2 \\
\hline & & & 20 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Clothing:} \\
\hline Cottom. & 20 & 2.2 & \\
\hline Wool & \({ }_{20}^{30}\) & 2.3 & 2 \\
\hline Leather.............................................................. & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1.1} & 2 \\
\hline & & & 10 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Metals and minersig:} \\
\hline Coal............. & 100 & \({ }^{1} 10.9\) & \\
\hline Iron.............. & 25 & 2.7 & -21 \\
\hline Lead, tin, stmô etc..................................................... & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{25} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & 2 \\
\hline & & & 30 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Muscellaneous:} \\
\hline Timber............. & & 8.3
1.5 & 8 \\
\hline Petraleram........................ & \({ }_{5}\) & 1.5 & 1 \\
\hline Flax and iinsoed. & 10 & 1.1 & 8 \\
\hline Palma oil........... & & 1.5 & 1 \\
\hline 1., Canatahoue............ & 5 & 1.6 & 1 \\
\hline & & & 10 \\
\hline Total. & 920 & 100.0 & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) This pareentage does not agree with that found in the Candian report, but is oorrect acoordtag to the
} expenditure given in the preceding column.

Slight modifications were made in the above table to meet the groupings adopted in the Canadian investigation and the different standards of consumption in that country. In the absence ofstatistics directly bearing on consumption standards in Canada, apart from statistics of import and export trade and of production, use was made of the special studies of family expenditures of the British, United States, and Massachusetts labor departments.

The following figures show the weighting used in the Canadian study: \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Group. & Weight. \\
\hline Grains and fodder. & 18 \\
\hline Animals and mests. & 10 \\
\hline Figh. & 24 \\
\hline Dairy produce. & 71 \\
\hline Other loods... & 15 \\
\hline Textiles. & 8 \\
\hline Hides, leather, boots and shoes & 2 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Matals and implements: \\
(g) Metals
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline (b) Implements.... & 1 \\
\hline Fuel and lighting-. & 10 \\
\hline Building materials: & \\
\hline (a) Lumber & 6 \\
\hline (b) Miscellaneons building materials.. & 2 \\
\hline House furnishligs................................. & \(\frac{1}{2}\) \\
\hline Drugs and chemicals. & 2 \\
\hline Miscallaneous: & \\
\hline (b) Furs........ (iquors and tobaco. & \(\frac{1}{2}\) \\
\hline (c) Sundry ............. & 2 \\
\hline Total. & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The results of the testing may be seen in the following table, which gives both the weighted and unweighted index numbers for each year from 1890 to 1913 , inclusive. \({ }^{2}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & Woighted number. & Unweighted number. \\
\hline 1880. & 112.0 & 110.3 \\
\hline 1891. & 111.8 & 108.5 \\
\hline 1892. & 104.9 & 102.8 \\
\hline 1893. & 103.9 & 102.5 \\
\hline 1894. & 97.2 & 07.2 \\
\hline 1895. & 05.6 & 05.0 \\
\hline 1896. & 90.6 & 92.5 \\
\hline 1897. & ce. 9 & 82.2 \\
\hline 1898. & 85.5 & 88.1 \\
\hline 1890. & 90.0 & 100.1 \\
\hline 1000. & 105.8 & 108.2 \\
\hline 1901. & 100.0 & 107.0 \\
\hline 1902... & 109.6 & 109.0 \\
\hline 1903.... & 109.7 & 110.5 \\
\hline 1904... & 110.6 & 111.4 \\
\hline 1905.. & 113.8 & 113.8 \\
\hline 1908.. & 120.1 & 120.0 \\
\hline 1907.. & 129.2 & 126.2 \\
\hline 1008. & 125.1 & 180.8 \\
\hline 1909.. & 126.3 & 121.2 \\
\hline 1910. & 12\%.0 & 124.2 \\
\hline 1911.. & 131.1 & 127.4 \\
\hline 1912............ & 143.9
139.8 & 184. 4 \\
\hline 1019............. & 139.6 & 186.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 Wholesale Prices in Canada, 1800-1909, p. 12.
: Idem, 1913, p. 11.

TABLE OF RESULTS.
The following table, reproduced from the 1913 report (p. 3), shows by groups of commodities the index numbers for the 24 years 18901913, inclusive:

INDEX NUMBERS OF COMMODITIES, BY OROUPS, 1890-1913.
(Base period, 1890-1099-100.0.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Group. & 1800 & 1891 & 1802 & 1803 & 1894 & 1996 & 1896 & 1897 & 1898 & 1809 & 1000 & 1901 \\
\hline 1. Gratus and fodder...... & 116.7 & 123.9 & 106.7 & 09.1 & 04.8 & 08.8 & 85.2 & 80.6 & 98.8 & 06.7 & 99.9 & 107.8 \\
\hline 2. Animgls and me & 111.2 & 104.7 & 108.5 & 117.7 & 18.7 & 02.2 & 82.4 & 90.4 & 97. 8 & 95, 1 & 109.4 & 111.3 \\
\hline 3. Dairy products & 103.0 & 106.2 & 105.8 & 110.4 & 104.6 & 94. 8 & 90.1 & 90.1 & 92.8 & 101.4 & 109.0 & 102.5 \\
\hline 4. Fish. & 103.3 & 97.8 & 90.6 & 99.7 & 96.4 & 101.4 & 102.6 & 98.6 & 99.0 & 110.0 & 100.4 & 113.2 \\
\hline 6. Other foe & 120.3 & 191.3 & 104.7 & 102.1 & 95. 0 & \({ }^{95} 2\) & 87.1 & \({ }^{86} 0.0\) & 94. \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & \({ }^{93} 6\) & \({ }^{900.4}\) & \({ }^{98.6}\) \\
\hline  & 111.4 & 104.3 & \({ }_{60} 102.8\) & 101.2 & 89.3 & \({ }_{68}^{93.6}\) & \({ }^{96,8}\) & 88.0. & 85.2 & 89.8. & 100.0 & 103.6 \\
\hline 8. Metals and implemente: Motals. & 125. 4 & 114.4 & 107. 6 & 101.8 & 89. & 88.6 & 02.8
87
8.8 & 100.1
85.7 & 105.0 & 109.4 & 113. & 112.8 \\
\hline Implemants.. & 103. & 103.2 & 102.9 & 102.6 & 102.2 & 101.0 & 88.5 & 83.1 & \({ }_{94} 8.8\) & \({ }_{68} 11.0\) & 100.1 & 102.2 \\
\hline 9. Pual and lighting. & 107.4 & 108.7 & 106. 6 & 102.8 & 07.5 & 97.0 & 88.8 & 96.4 & 93.5 & 86. 0 & 100.8 & 88. 1 \\
\hline 10. Building materlaks; & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lumber. & 108.5 & 102.7 & 104.4 & 100.7 & 104.6 & 102.8 & 97.1 & 98.0. & 90. \(0^{\text {c }}\) & 95. \(\%\) & 114.0 & 114.6 \\
\hline Misoallaneou & 117. & 110.4 & 1008 & 103.7 & 98.7 & 95.2 & 83.8 & 87.7 & 87.4 & 97.2 & 111.8 & 106.0 \\
\hline Patnts, oils, gl & 109.5 & 109.8 & 98.2 & 98. 6 & 88.6 & 96.1 & 96.2 & 95. 5 & 100.0 & 107.6 & 126.8 & 121.9 \\
\hline 11. Heuse furnighlige & 1002 & 100.5 & 100.9 & 101.1 & 101.3 & 97.8 & 97.5 & 99.8 & 99.0. & 100.2 & 110.2 & 107.9 \\
\hline 13. Drugs and chemicais. & 110.5 & 110.3 & 104. & 104. 4 & 108.1 & 100.3 & 99, 8 & 96.5 & 98.8 & 93.3 & 101. 6 & 89.8 \\
\hline Furs....... & 88.5 & . 7 & 108.7 & 128.6 & 118.6 & & 80.7 & & 111.1 & & 147.8 & \\
\hline Lquars and tobacco. & 96.9 & 99.0 & 90.7 & 99.4 & \({ }_{68} 8.7\) & 69. & 98.0 & 108. & 103.8 & 1028 & 103.3 & 103.8 \\
\hline Sundrlas. & 112.0 & 106. 7 & 98.8 & 100.3 & 93.7 & 91. 3 & 92.6 & 91.2 & 108.3 & 109.5 & 118.0 & 110.9 \\
\hline Total. & 110.3 & 108.5 & 102.8 & 102. 5 & 97.2 & 85 ¢ & 5 & 2 & 1 & 100.1 & 108.2 & 107.0 \\
\hline Group. & 1000 & 1003 & 1904 & 1905 & 1006 & 1807 & 1008 & 1809 & 1910 & 1911 & 1912 & 1013 \\
\hline 1. Gratus & 110 & 108.5 & 115.6 & 116.4 & 118. 6 & 140.2 & 148.8 & 149.8 & 140.7 & 148.4 & 167.3 & 136.8 \\
\hline 2. Animals and meats. & 122.2 & 117.9 & 111.3 & 120.7 & 130.1 & 133.8 & 129.6 & 148.6 & 103.6 & 146. 6 & 160.8 & 180.8 \\
\hline 8. Dalry products. & 100.9 & 109.9 & 107.2 & 115. 1 & 123.2 & 131.6 & 136.3 & 138.6 & 135. & 136.2 & 150. & 154.7 \\
\hline 4. Fish. & 110.2 & 110.2 & 119.5 & 115.7 & 121.8 & 129.6 & 120.5 & 134.0 & 145. 1 & 143. 6 & 156.7 & 158.0 \\
\hline 5. Other foo & 18.4 & 98.1 & 101.8 & 100. 7 & 103.1 & 112.5 & 110.3 & 107. & 11. & 118. & 126. & 17.4 \\
\hline 6. Textiles. & 101.0 & 105.9 & 110.4 & 114.6 & 123. & 126. 1 & 111.0 & 1088 & 114.6 & 119.2 & 120. & 230.8 \\
\hline 7. Hides, leather, boots.... & 118.2 & 115.7 & 113.6 & 118.8. & 128. & 125,5 & 1200 & 136.4 & 135. & 139.6 & 152.4 & 168.9 \\
\hline 8. Kotals and implements: & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Implataments & 109.7 & 105.5 & 109.7 & 108. & \({ }^{128.6}\) & 134.8 & & 102.4 & \({ }^{97.0}\) & 108.3 & 117.4 & 119.1 \\
\hline 9. Fuel and lighting. & 104. 9 & 11.0 & \({ }^{103.0}\) & 104.1 & 100.4 & 108, 8 & 102.2 & 108.8 & 102.0 & 100.5 & 1218 & 106. 6 \\
\hline 10. Building materinis: & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lumber.......... & 122.0 & 1288 & 151.3 & 134.1 & 152.7 & 105. 2 & 168.8 & 154.6 & 158. 5 & 165. & 166.5 & 181.3 \\
\hline Miscalistaoio & 1046 & 107.7 & 107.2 & 10. 8 & 104. 7 & 108.7 & 107.5 & 105.7 & 100.2 & 102.6 & 105. & 11.7 \\
\hline Patnts, oils, glas & 128.1 & 123.3 & 122.4 & 125.3 & 135.3 & 141.2 & 136.8 & 135.2 & 145.8 & 154.8 & 148.6 & 144.8 \\
\hline 11. House furnishtits & 109.2 & 1098 & 112.7 & 107.3 & 113.0 & 112.7 & 112.8 & 110.4 & 110.6 & 110.4 & 114.5 & 128.2 \\
\hline 18. Mrugs and ehemilanial . & 1022 & 100.5 & 109.8 & 108.4 & 1083 & 108.5 & 107.1 & 103.9 & 109.5 & 112.1 & 115.5 & 113.3 \\
\hline Furs.. & 145.2 & 108 1 & 171.3 & 217.4 & 229.2 & 239.4 & 241. & 227.2 & 234. & 252.8 & 297.8 & 307.9 \\
\hline Liquors and tobacco. & 109.7 & 107.0 & 107.8 & 108.1 & 108.1 & 125.3 & 118.6 & 17.5 & 132.9 & 151.2 & 150.2 & 134.7 \\
\hline Sundries. & 118.8 & 115.9 & 119. 1 & 121.3 & 120.9 & 128.6 & 117.6 & 121.6 & 118.0 & 100.3 & 104.3 & 113.1 \\
\hline Total..... & 109.0 & \(110 \cdot 6\) & 111.4 & 113.8 & 130.0 & 129.2 & 180.8 & 121.2 & 122 & 127. & 134.4 & 125.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{DENMARK.}

\section*{INDEX NUMBERS OF THE STATE STATISTICAL BUREAU.}

\section*{history and pubrication.}

This series of index numbers is based on the values of Danish imports and exports. It was first compiled in 1907 by Michael Koefoed, chief statistician of the State Statistical Bureau of Denmark,
and since then has been published annually in the trade statistics of that country, \({ }^{1}\) with a brief advance statement of it appearing in the journal of the statistical office. \({ }^{3}\) It covers a period extending from 1876 down to the present time.

\section*{SOURCE OF QUOTATIONS.}

The index numbers are computed from average annual prices reported by various corporations, public authorities, and a considerable number of private business houses, upon the basis of which the customs officials determine the value of imported and exported commodities. For the four varieties of grains included in the index, the figures taken are the official Government prices.

\section*{BASE PERIOD}

The decade 1891-1900 constitutes the base period used in the computafion of the annual index numbers.

\section*{NUMARE AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES}

From a list of about 100 commodities entering into the import and export trade of Denmark, there were selected for inclusion in the index 38 of the more important ones classified into three groups, as follows:

Group I.-Fata, oleomargarine, wheat flour, flaxeed, copra and palm kernel, rice, cofiee (green), ceeou bean, tobscco (raw), cotton, cotton yarn (undyed), tallow, copper(including braet copper platea, and tin), and petroleum-in all, 14 commoditiea.

Group II.-Horsee, egga, salt herring, wheat, potatoes, wool, wool yern (undyed), hides (raw), boota and shoee (not including thoes with silk topa), paper (writing and print), firewood bricko-in all, 12 commodities.

Group III.-Salt park, meat (other than pork), butter, rye, barley, oats, maize, oilment eakes, eagre (3 articles or more-granulated, whitor than Dutch standard No. 18; rock cugar, ete.; also granulated, whiter than No. 9), lumber (rough, for shipe, etc.), coal, bar and hoop iron-in all 12 commoditios.

It is not possible to ascertain with any certainty the number and variety of articles included. The classification used in the administration of the eustoms laws determines the nature of the commodities which enter inte this index number. A somewhat arbitrary method of combining articles has, therefore, been adopted. Thus, the articles coming under the single designation of "boots and shoes" apparently inchude all boots and shoes except those with silk tops; "sugar" ineludes twe separate items in the tariff schedule and forms in reality three or more articles, while "paper" includes two kinds (writing and print) made up of various qualities combined for the purposes of collecting the eustoms duty.

\footnotetext{



}

\section*{weighting.}

The system of weighting is unique. The commodities have been placed in three distinct groups, as already noted, and these three groups in their numerical order have been given the relative importance in the total index of 1,2 , and 3 , respectively. No statement is made as to the reason why certain commodities were thrown into any particular group. An examination of the grouping of the commodities, however, leads to the inference that they were thrown into one group or the other on the basis of their relative importance in consumption.

No group index numbers are given, only a general index for all 38 commodities being published. This table follows:

INDEX NUMBER OF WHOLESALE PRICES OF 88 IMPORTANT ARTICLES ENTERING INTO THE IMPORT AND EXPORT TRADE OF DENMARK, 1876 TO 1913.
[Source: Danmarks vareindifrsel og-udidrsel i earet 1912. Udgivet of det statistiske departementet. Copenhagen, 1913: Pt. 2, p. 7.]
(Base period, 1891-1900-100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Index } \\
\text { number. }
\end{gathered}
\] & Year. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Index } \\
\text { number. }
\end{gathered}
\] & Year. . & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { Index } \\
\text { number. }
\end{array}
\] & Year. & Inder
number. \\
\hline 1876. & 145 & 1888. & 101 & 1898. & 93 & 1008. & 114 \\
\hline 1877. & 135 & 1887. & 99 & 1897. & 95 & 1907. & 118 \\
\hline 1878. & 122 & 1888. & 105 & 1838. & 90 & 1908. & 113 \\
\hline 1879. & 120 & 1889.... & 109 & 1890 & 105 & 1809 & 115 \\
\hline 1880......... & 18 & 1890. & 109 & 1000. & 110 & & \\
\hline 1881. & 129 & 1891. & 112 & 1901. & 106 & 1911. & 123 \\
\hline 1882. & 127 & 1892.... & 101 & 1902. & 108 & 1912. & 130 \\
\hline 1883.
1884. & 120 & \(1893 .\). & 100
94 & 1903. & 105
107 & 1913. & 1129 \\
\hline 1885. & 109 & 1895.... & 92 & 1905.... & 110 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 Statstiske efterretninger, Bte aargang, 1014. Utgivet af det Statistiske departementet. Copenhagen, 1014, p. 53.

\section*{FRANCE.}
index numbers of annualre statistique de la france.
pumication.
The statistical annual (annuaire statistique de la France) published by the general statistical office of France (statistique générale de la France) in Paris, under the direotion of the Ministry of Labor and Social Welfare, contains index numbers for a group composed of certain food commodities and for a second group comprising other commodities, such as mineral products, textiles, hides, oils, etc., for the years from 1857 to the present time.

In addition to these there are now shown in the report, for purpose of comparison, Sauerbeck's index number for the United Kingdom, as published in the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, the index number for Hamburg, Germany, based on import values, the index numbers for the United States, published by the Senate Committee on Finance and by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and
the one compiled by Jules Domergue for France and published in La Réforme Economique.

\section*{mistory.}

Index numbers were first published in the Annuaire Statistique of 1904 (page 151*). Previous to this date average wholesale prices for certain food commodities, for fodder, and for fuels had been shown, but no totals were made for these average prices, each of which represented data for one year. The prefatory note to the table appearing in the 1904 report states that the index numbers for France are based on the import values of 43 articles, the period 1867 to 1877 being taken as the base. In this table all commodities are divided into two classes-foodstuffs and miscellaneous materials. An index number is also given for all articles combined.

In the preparation of the 1907 report the period 1891-1900 was adopted as the base instead of the years 1867-1877 and, in accordance with this change, new index numbers were computed for all preceding years. \({ }^{1}\) In 1912 a further change was made by the substitution for the years since 1905 of index numbers based on the average annual prices of 45 articles in interior markets of the country instead of the import values of 43 articles, as in former reports. \({ }^{2}\)
sOUREE OR QUOTATIONS,
The index numbers for years prior to 1906 are based on data published by the customs administration showing the values of imports. These values were fixed by the board of appraisers (commission des valeurs en douane). Beginning with 1906, as has been stated, the index numbers are computed from the average yearly prices of the different articles in interior markets of France. These average prices are compiled mainly from records of transactions on the Paris Bourse and from periodicals. \({ }^{3}\)

\section*{BASE PERIOD}

Prior to the 1907 report the base period used was that of 1867-1877. In the 1907 report, as already stated, the base period was changed to 1891-1900 and recomputations of the index number for previous years were made.

\section*{PRICES: HOW GHOWN AND COMPUTED.}

The prices shown in the reports are in all cases averages of those prevailing during the year. For years prior to 1906 these averages are based on values assigned to imported articles by officials of the customs service. The figures for years beginning with 1906 represent in each case the average of 12 monthly quotations in selected interior markets.

\footnotetext{

}
-

\section*{NUMBER AND CTAES OF COMDODITEES.}

The index numbers for the years from 1857 to 1905, inclusive, are computed on the import values of 43 articles, while theoe for years since 1905 are based on the market prices of 45 articles. Both raw and manufactured commodities are included, the former predominating.

\section*{DESCRIPTION AND GROUFING OP COMMODITIES.}

The commodities for which average yearly pricea are published in the Annuaire Statistique are shown in the following list appearing in the report for 1912 (pages 88* and 89*):
1. Wheat.
2. Wheat flour
3. Ryre.
4. Barley.
b. Oats,
6. Maize (corn).
7. Potatoen.
8. Rice.
9. Beef (Villette).
10. Veal (Villette).
11. Mutton (Villette).
12. Pork (Villette).
13. Beef (Halles Centrales).
14. Veal (Halles Centrales).
15. Mutton (Halles Centrales).
16. Pork (Halles Oentrales).
17. Salt meats.
18. Butter.
19. Chease (soit).
20. Cheese (dry).
21. Sugar (white, No, 3).
22. Sugar (refined, good quality).
23. Coffee.
24. Cocoa.
25. Bar iron (No. 2).
26. Cast iron (pipes)
27. Cast iron (columns).
28. Cast iron (plates).
29. Copper (bars).
30. Tin.
31. Lead.
32. Zinc.
33. Coal.
34. Cotton.
35. Flex (raw).
36. Hemp.
37. Jute.
38. Wool
39. Bilk (raw).
40. Hides (cattle).
41. Hides (horeea).
42. Tallow.
43. Rapeseed oil.
44. Linseed oil.
45. Alcohol.
46. Petroleum (refined)
47. Soda (carbonate).
48. Soda (nitrate).
49. Indigo.
50. Timber (Russian fir).
51. Timber (Austrian oak).
52. Rubber (Para, fine).

It is stated on page 223* of the 1912 report that since 1905 the index numbers are computed on 45 of the above-named articles. It is not shown which articles are not included. \({ }^{1}\) As previously stated, the commodities are arranged in three groups: Foodstuffs, miscellaneous articles other than food, and all commodities combined. No description of the articles appears in direct connection with the index numbers as published in the Annuaire Statistique.

\section*{SUBSTITUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.}

Except for the changes made in the preparation of the 1912 report, no additions to the list of articles or substitutions of one grade or

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See, however, pege 101 of this bulletin for inst of articles published in the Butioth de in Statistique Générale de la France, October, 1912.
}
quality of an article for another have been made, so far as the printed information discloses.
interpoumtion.
No prices have been interpolated, as far as can be ascertained from the reports.

> WKAGETTENG.

\section*{The index numbers are unweighted.}
testing.
Other than the arrangement by which the index numbers are exhibited in comparison with other index numbers, by years, no testing as to accuracy of results is apparent from the information at hand.

TABLES OF RESULTS.
The following table, showing the variation in the index number by years, from 1857 to 1913, inclusive, is reproduced from the Annuaire Statistique for 1912 (XXXIIe volume, page 224*):

FLUCTUATION IN WHOLESALE PRICES, 1857 TO 1013, BY YEABE.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & Food. & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
\text { Miscal- } \\
\text { hano- } \\
\text { ous } \\
\text { com- } \\
\text { modi. } \\
\text { tios. }
\end{gathered}\right.
\] & Total. & Year. & Food. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Kiscel- \\
lane ous commodr thes.
\end{tabular} & Total. & Year. & Food. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Miscal- \\
laseous com-modithes.
\end{tabular} & Total. \\
\hline 1857. & 136 & 105 & 169 & 1876. & 135 & 151 & 14 & 1895 & 97 & 93 & 94 \\
\hline 1858. & 117 & 180 & 152 & 1877.... & 144 & 146 & 145 & 1896. & 90 & 91 & 92 \\
\hline 1859. & 121 & 178 & 152 & 1878.... & 135 & 132 & 133 & 1897. & 94 & 90 & 敀 \\
\hline 1860., & 230 & 183 & 150 & 1879.... & 137 & 126 & 130 & 1898... & 98 & 93 & \({ }^{6}\) \\
\hline 1861. & 141 & 170 & 157 & 1880.... & 136 & 130 & 133 & 1899. & 95 & 110 & 103 \\
\hline 1862. & 131 & 179 & 158 & 1881.... & 133 & 128 & 130 & 1800... & 95 & 121 & 110 \\
\hline 1883. & 125 & 185 & 159 & 1888.... & 130 & 125 & 127 & 1801... & 97 & 111 & 105 \\
\hline 18 CH. & 122 & 184 & 157 & 1883.... & 122 & 122 & 122 & 1002... & 05 & 109 & 108 \\
\hline 1805.. & 118 & 170 & 147 & 1884.... & 109 & 114 & 112 & 1903.... & 95 & 110 & 104 \\
\hline 1806.... & 127 & 167 & 149 & 1885.... & 110 & 110 & 110 & 1904.... & 95 & 110 & 109 \\
\hline 1867. & 131 & 157 & 146 & 1886... & 105 & 106 & 106 & 1905. & 102 & 115 & 109 \\
\hline 1868. & 137 & 155 & 147 & 1887.... & 100 & 104 & 102 & 1909.... & 100 & 128 & 115 \\
\hline 1869. & 133 & 153 & 144 & 1888.... & 104 & 109 & 107 & 1007... & 107 & 132 & 121 \\
\hline 1870... & 137 & 157 & 148 & 1899.... & 107 & 113 & 111 & 1008.... & 106 & 115 & 112 \\
\hline 1871. & 148 & 157 & 153 & 1890.... & 105 & 115 & 111 & 1000... & 106 & 117 & 112 \\
\hline 1852. & 138 & 173 & 159 & 1891.... & 109 & 110 & 109 & 1910... & 111 & 127 & 120 \\
\hline 1873. & \begin{tabular}{l}
141 \\
136 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 173 & 159 & 1892... & 109 & 103 & 106 & 1911... & 127 & 128 & 128 \\
\hline 1874.... & 136
130 &  & 147 & 1893.... & 110 & 90 & \({ }_{96}^{104}\) & 1013... & 119 & 134
136 & 131
128 \\
\hline 1876.... & 230 & 163 & 14 & 1897... & & 90 & & & 119 & 136 & 128 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

An index number apparently based on the same 45 artheles that are included in the Annuaire Statistique index is published in the quarterly bulletin which is also issued by the General Statistical Office of France (Bulletin de la statistique generale de la France). The list of articles as published in the bulletin for October, 1912, pages 22 to 25, is as follows: Wheat, wheat flour, rye, barley, oats, maize, potatoes, rice, beef ( 2 kinds), mutton ( 2 kinds), pork, salt meats, butter, cheese, raw sugar, refined sugar, coffee, cocon, cast iron, bar iron, copper, tin, lead, zinc, coal, cotton, flax, hemp, jute, wool, silk, salted hides, skins, rapeseed oil, linseed oil, alcohol ( \(90^{\circ}\) ), petroleum, soda carbonate, soda nitrate, indigo, lumber, and rubber.

The publication of this index number began with the April, 1912, issue of the bulletin and has been continued in each subsequent issue. For purpose of comparison the index numbers of the Reforme Economique, those of Sauerbeck, and those published in the Economist are shown in connection with it, all four being reduced to the common base period 1901-1910. The following table showing the manner in which the information is presented has been reproduced from the bulletin of January, 1914:

TABLE SHOWING FOUR INDEX NUMBERS FOR PURPOSES OF COMPARISON.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year and month or quarter.} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Frances.} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Etugland.} \\
\hline & Btatistilque Générale. & Réforme Economique. & Satarbeok. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { The } \\
\text { Ecomomist. }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 1918 & & & & \\
\hline December. & 133.9 & 114.0 & 114.2 & 111.8 \\
\hline November. & 114.7 & 115.0 & 113.4 & 113.5 \\
\hline October.- & 114.4 & 116.0 & 115.1 & 114.5 \\
\hline Fourth quartar. & 514.8 & 115.0 & 114.2 & 113.8 \\
\hline Third quarter. & 115.1 & 114.5 & 115.7 & 115.0 \\
\hline Serond quarter. & 116.7
116.2 & 115.7
117.2 & 116.2
117.6 & 115.0 \\
\hline Entire year. & 115.6 & 115.6 & 115.9 & 114.8 \\
\hline 1912 & & & & \\
\hline Fourth quarter. . & 116.7 & 116.6 & 116.8 & 116.7 \\
\hline Third quarter ... & 118.3 & 117.2 & 117.6 & 116.9 \\
\hline Second quarter. & 119.7 & 119.5 & 116.1 & 111.9 \\
\hline First quarter . & 116.6 & 116.1 & 113.0 & 114.5 \\
\hline Entire year. & 117.8 & 117.4 & 115.9 & 115.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{index numbers of the statistique générale de la france.}

\section*{publication and history.}

In 1911 the general statistical office (statistique générale) of the French Ministry of Labor and Social Welfare published a volume devoted exclusively to the subject of wages and the cost of living in France at different epochs. Under the cost of living topic is included a study of wholesale prices, contract prices, and retail prices, with index numbers for each class. Index numbers are also given for wages and for family budgets.

\section*{SOURCE OF QUOTATIONS,}

The prices published in connection with the data on the cost of living relate to the city of Paris. They were obtained from various sources and may be classified as follows:
1. Wholesale prices (current prices published by the Bourse de Commerce; import prices, as fixed by the board of appraisers of customs; prices of various articles in the municipal markets of Halles Centrales; and meat prices in the market of Villette).
2. Contract prices (prices paid for large quantities of supplies furnished on the basis of awards on competitive bids to the Department of Public Aid and to the Lycee Louis-le-Grand).
3. Retail prices (prices charged by the company stores of two railroad companies, by cooperative stores, and by a few large groceries).

\section*{BASE PERIOD.}

In the computation of the index numbers the average of the prices for the years 1891-1900 was taken as the base, or 100.

PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.
Only annual prices are shown in the various tables included in the report.

\section*{NUMBEAR AND CLASS OF COMMODITIES.}

Table 2, on pages 44 and 45 of the report, contains 10 commodities for which index numbers are given for the years 1880 to 1909, inclusive. The articles are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{l|l} 
Bread. & Oil (edible). \\
Butter. & Wine (ordinary). \\
Cheese. & Sugar, refined. \\
Potatoes. & Coal. \\
Rice. & Oil (illuminating).
\end{tabular}

For each of these articles separate index numbers are given for wholesale or import prices, for the prices paid by the Department of Public Aid, and for retail prices.
A continuation of this table on page 46 covers the period from 1867 to 1910, inclusive, and contains index numbers for the following articles:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Beef (steer). \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & Beef (cow). \({ }^{2}\) \\
\hline Veal. \({ }^{1}\) & Fresh meats of all qualities.* \\
\hline Mutton. \({ }^{1}\) & Bacon.* \\
\hline Pork. \({ }^{1}\) & Lard.* \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

On page 47 of the report is found a table of index numbers for the years 1875 to 1910, inclusive, in which the following articles are included:
\begin{tabular}{l|l} 
Milk. & Vinegar. \\
Egge. & Candles. \\
Coffee. & Petroleum (refined). \\
Salt. &
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
1 Baved on prices in the markets of VWletio and Halles Centrales, reepectively.
- Based on prices in market of Villette.
- Based on import prices and those paid by the Department of Pubile Ald, and by the Lyode Louls-leGrand, respectively.
- Based on prices pald by the Department of Public Ald and on retall prices, respectively. \(94261^{\circ}-\) Bull. \(173-16-18\)
}

For all of these articles except eggs index numbers, based on import prices, are given in addition to those based on retail prices or prices paid by the Department of Public Aid, or both. In the case of eggs, the index numbers are computed from retail prices and from those paid by the Department of Public Aid and by the Lycee Louis-leGrand, respectively. Prices paid by the last-named institution also furnish the basis for index numbers shown for vinegar and salt.

\section*{TABLES OF RESOLTS}

In the following table, which has been compiled from data published on pages 44 and 45 of the report, are shown index numbers covering the period from 1880 to 1909 , inclusive, computed from the wholesale or import prices of 10 commodities in common use. The figures for refined sugar and illuminating oil are based on wholesale prices of the Bourse de Commerce of Paris. Those for wine are computed from prices published annually by the minister of finance in the Bulletin de Statistique et de Législation. The index numbers for the remaining articles are based on import prices. As stated in a preceding paragraph, the average of the prices for 1891-1900, taken as 100 , constitutes the base.

RELATIVE PRICES OF COMMODITIES, 1880 TO 1000.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & Bread. & Butter. & Cheese. & Potatoeb. & Rices. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { onl } \\
& \text { edible. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Wing, ordbnary. & Sugar, & Conl & On, nating. \\
\hline 1880. & 137 & 100 & 117 & 150 & 132 & 109 & 148 & 133 & 88 & 132 \\
\hline 1881. & 135 & 100 & 117 & 142 & 129 & 169 & 156 & 114 & 100 & 133 \\
\hline 1882. & 134 & 98 & 121 & 150 & 118 & 150 & 157 & 106 & 100 & 130 \\
\hline 1833. & 114 & 98 & 121 & 145 & 122 & 166 & 144 & 101 & 82 & 150 \\
\hline 1884. & 105 & 96 & 121 & 133 & 122 & 184 & 158 & 100 & 88 & 121 \\
\hline & 101 & 91 & 120 & 150 & 125 & 183 & 155 & 100 & 77 & 109 \\
\hline 1858 & 107 & 89 & 102 & 100 & 125 & 183 & 156 & 92 & 71 & 94 \\
\hline 1887. & 99 & 82 & 99 & 92 & 125 & 175 & 140 & 94 & 71 & 97 \\
\hline 1888. & 112 & 82 & 102 & 125 & 107 & 181 & 118 & 102 & 82 & 107 \\
\hline 1889. & 109 & 80 & 110 & 92 & 125 & 149 & 122 & 111 & 120 & 120 \\
\hline 1890. & 100 & 82 & 113 & 118 & 125 & 146 & 138 & 102 & 123 & 122 \\
\hline 1891. & 106 & 82 & 110 & 117 & 111 & 134 & 116 & 103 & 88 & 123 \\
\hline 1899. & 101 & 100 & 100 & 83 & 125 & 138 & 111 & 101 & \({ }^{83}\) & 87 \\
\hline 1893. & 84 & 107 & 104 & 100 & 129 & 124 & 90 & 109 & 88 & 98 \\
\hline 1894. & 78 & 98 & 97 & 100 & 118 & 145 & 80 & 101 & 90 & 88 \\
\hline 1895. & 78 & 96 & 88 & 67 & 100 & 105 & 122 & & 88 & 01 \\
\hline 1596 & 100 & 107 & 98 & 8 & 82 & 8 & 88 & 96 & 00 & 103 \\
\hline 1897. & 120 & 90 & 98 & 117 & 88 & 78 & 92 & 92 & 185 & 100 \\
\hline 1898. & 121 & 102 & 99 & 100 & \({ }_{8}^{80}\) & \({ }_{73} 7\) & 112 & \({ }_{98}^{98}\) & 105 & \({ }_{89} 8\) \\
\hline 1899. & 105 & 104 & 99 & 117 & 79 & 73 & 99 & 101 & 118 & 89 \\
\hline 1900. & 105 & 107 & 104 & 117 & 82 & 73 & 70 & 100 & 147 & 117 \\
\hline 1901. & 105 & 111 & 110 & 187 & 82 & 101 & 58 & p6 & 131 & 110 \\
\hline 1902. & 109 & 107 & 118 & 150 & 82 & 121 & 78 & 91 & 111 & 104 \\
\hline 1003. & 107 & 100 & 104 & 167 & 75 & 128 & 109 & 78 & 101 & 80 \\
\hline 1904. & 110 & 102 & 108 & 150 & 80 & 128 & 65 & 60 & 98 & 83 \\
\hline 1005. & 120 & 104 & 110 & 167 & 84 & 131 & 62 & \({ }^{63}\) & 98 & 88 \\
\hline 1000. & 130 & 111 & 88 & 183 & 86 & 142 & 71 & 56 & 112 & 115 \\
\hline 1007. & 129 & 107 & 120 & 167 & 89 & 149 & 68 & 55
58 & 117 & 141 \\
\hline 1003.. & 129 & 107 & 120 & 199 & 104 & 181 & 68 & \({ }_{68}^{58}\) & 117 & 109
108 \\
\hline 1909... & 130 & 105 & 128 & 100 & 100 & 192 & 70 & 60 & 188 & 106 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The following table, reproduced from page 45 of the report, contains three series of index numbers representing in each case the average of index numbers computed for the 10 articles included in the pre-
ceding table. In addition to index numbers based on wholesale or import prices, similar data for contract prices paid by the Department of Public Aid and for retail prices are given in this table.
THRER SERIES OF INDEX NUMBERS COMPUTED FOR 10 ARTICLERS, 1850 TO 1009.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & Wholesale or
import pricos. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Contract \\
prices \\
pald \\
by the \\
ment of \\
Publio \\
Aid.
\end{tabular} & Retall prices. & Year. & Wholesale or prices. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Contrect \\
prices \\
pald \\
Depart- \\
Publio \\
Ald.
\end{tabular} & Retall prious \\
\hline 1880. & 138 & 126 & 121 & 1895. & 94 & & \\
\hline 1881. & 129 & 121 & & 1896........... & 98 & 96 & 93 \\
\hline 182. & 125 & 125 & 111 & 1297....... & \({ }^{98}\) & 99 & 83 \\
\hline 1883 & 125 & 120 & 117 & 18898. & 98 & 8 & 99 \\
\hline 1835. & 120 & 109 & 106 & 1900. & 103 & 99 & 97 \\
\hline 1886. & 112 & 110 & 101 & 1901.... & 107 & 99 & 98 \\
\hline 1837. & 108 & 104 & 94 & 1902....... & 107 & 05 & 95 \\
\hline 1858. & 110 & 106 & 104 & 1803........ & 106 & 96 & 8 \\
\hline 1890. & 114 & 104 & 105 & 1904..... & 99 & 90 & 04 \\
\hline 1890 & 117 & 105 & 103 & 1805-- & 102 & 85 & 9 \\
\hline 18181. & 1105 & 108 & 104 & 1906. & 1109 & \({ }_{85}^{89}\) & 9 \\
\hline 1883. & 102 & 101 & 103 & 1908......... & 122 & 86 & 80 \\
\hline 1594. & 98 & 100 & 105 & 1909........ & 122 & 18 & 101 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

INDEX NUMBERS OF LA RÉFORME ÉCONOMIQUE.
publication.
This series of index numbers is based on wholesale prices in France and is published weekly in La Reforme Economique, a journal of social and political economy, of which Jules Domergue is the editor.

\section*{history.}

For a number of years prior to 1900 comparative prices for a varying number of commodities were published in this journal. In the earlier numbers the increase or decrease in prices of seven commodities as compared with the primary period (1890) was shown by means of a chart. In 1894 prices for corresponding dates in 1892, 1893, and 1894 were given, and for some articles an average monthly price was computed. In 1896 a weekly table of prices was presented for the first time, and on May 9, 1997, a series of tables was begun showing the average monthly prices of all the commodifies used in the computation, Beginning with January, 1899, an annual average price was computed and published for the years 1890 to 1898, inclusive, and in addition current prices were compared with these by means of annual average prices. No comparison was made by means of index numbers, however, until 1900, when the method of presenting the variation in prices for stated periods was changed by showing in addition to the average price of each commodity the simple parcentages of increase or decrease in the various commodities.

\section*{source of quotatrons.}

Actual commercial transactions are sought for in the collection of price data. The prices quoted are said to be those obtained from the records of licensed brokers (courtiers assermentes) and private brokers (courtiers libres) in different parts of Paris and in the departments; official quotations of the Department of Agriculture; prices obtained in the municipal markets of La Villette and Halles Centrales; quotations on importations as stated in the records of the Government warehouses in Paris and elsewhere; and official quotations of the price of bread furnished by the prefecture of the Seine.

\section*{RASE PERIOD.}

The year 1890 is used as the base period. Nothing is stated in connection with the figures, so far as can be ascertained, as to why this year was chosen in preference to any other year or period of years.

PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.
As has been stated, no comparison of prices was made by means of percentages until 1900. In that year, after noting the principal objections to an index number representative of the combined prices of all commodities for which prices are quoted, it was decided to construct an index for each article and an index for each group of articles, in addition to the index for the entire list. The prices used in the calculations were the average annual prices already published in La Reforme Economique for the period 1890-1895, the quarterly average prices for the years 1896-1898, and the average monthly quotations for the year 1899.

A special presentation of the price of cotton is made in the issue of September 23, 1900, showing for five grades the range of prices of this commodity from 1875 to September, 1900, with an index based on the price in 1875 (equal to 100). In the supplement to the issue of November 24, 1901, the average prices of wheat, sugar, wine, and alcohol for the periods 1884-1891 and 1893-1900 have been computed and the divergence in price between the two periods is shown. The prices for the year 1892 are not a fector in either period.

NUMBER AND CLASS OF COMMODITIES.
In tracing this index number through the period elapsing since its inception, there is great difficulty in determining the number and class of commodities which have been included in the compilation. Between 1890 and 1899 prices and index numbers were shown for from 40 to 56 or even more articles, according to the system of counting and classification adopted. Thus the compiler evidently combined four kinds of meat (beef, pork, mutton, and veal) into one commodity, while from two to four kinds of wool, silk, or cotton were
each sometimes given a separate index number. From 1900 to date it would appear that approximately 48 articles make up the series of index percentages, although avarage annual wholesale prices are given for several additional articles. \({ }^{2}\) After 1904 a statement printed on the cover page and called "La Thermomètre des Affaires on France" shows a separate index number for only 21 leading commodities, although the general percentage index number includes additional articles, as may be verified by actual arithmetical test.

\section*{- description and grouping of commodities.}

The classification of the various commodities has differed from time to time. The first summary table, presented in the issue of January 14, 1900, page 67, shows index percentages for 56 commodities ( 43 if certain grades of textiles and bar and structural iron are not considered separate commodities), classified in five main groups as follows:

Food products.-Wheat, flour, rye, barley, meats, wine, sugar, alcohol, coffee, butter, sirup, edible starches (fecula), \({ }^{2}\) oleomargarine, tallow, lard, cocoa, rice, and bread.

Textiles.-Silk ( 2 grades), wool (raw and yarn), linen (raw and thread), cotton (raw and spun), hemp, jute.

Agricultural products.-Hides (raw), leather, oats, maize, fodder, fatty acids (3), rapeseed oil, linseed oil.

Minerals and metals.-Coal, petroleum, copper, tin, zinc, lead, steel, iron (2 grades), sheet iron.

Miscellaneous.-Rubber, sulphuric acid, hydrochloric acid, chloride of lime, carbonate of soda, sal soda, sulphate of ammonia, superphosphates.

In the issue of April 14, 1901, average annual wholesale prices are presented for all of these 56 commodities, while relative prices are shown for only 43 of them, divided into five groups as above, except that the fifth group is termed "Chemicals and fertilizers" but contains the same commodities as the group which is designated above as "Miscellaneous" commodities. Certain interchanges were also made as between "Food products" and "Agricultural products." This classification was continued until January, 1902, so as to include indexes for the year 1901.

In 1904 the list of commodities for which separate relative prices were presented was reduced to 21 commodities as follows: Wheat, meat, wine, sugar, alcohol, coffee, coal, petroleum, copper, tin, zinc, lead, steel, iron, silk, wool, flax, cotton, nitrate of soda, superphosphates, and sulphuric acid.

Beginning with the issue of December 10, 1905, a change was made in the form of classification, the articles being grouped as (1) agricul-

\footnotetext{
4 Annuaire Statistique de le France, 1012, p. 228*.
*The French term "fecule" includes such articles as pptato flour, taplocs, sago, arrowroot flour, eto.
}
tural products and (2) industrial products. Under this classification average annual wholesale prices are presented for 21 articles in the first group, if forage is counted as one commodity and not as two, and wines as one instead of three, meats as one instead of four (beef, pork, veal, and mutton), and fatty acids as a single commodity instead of three; whereas if all these subdivisions are counted as separate commodities, the number would appear as 29. In the second group, that of industrial products, there are 28 or 40 commodities, according to the system of counting edopted.

For the above commodities general index percentages are given only for both groups combined, and not for each group separately or for each commodity. This plan of presentation has continued since 1905, although the commodities differ a trifle from year to year. As already stated a separate index number for 21 commodities is shown in "Le Thermomètre," which forms part of the cover page.

\section*{SURSTITUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.}

In the issue of La Reforme Economique for January 15, 1899, the average prices of raw and spun silk of several grades was included for the period of 1890-1898, and on February 12 of the same year average prices of iron (two grades), steel rails, sheet iron, bar copper, and tin (Banka) were added for the years 1890 to that date.

Two further groups were added on April 2, 1899. The first of these included wine, coffee (Santos, good average), hops (Burgundy), nitrate of soda, sulphate of ammonia, and superphosphates; the second, sulphuric acid \(66^{\circ}\), hydrochloric acid \(20-21^{\circ}\), chloride of lime \(105-110^{\circ}\), carbonate of soda \(90-92^{\circ}\), and caustic soda \(80^{\circ}\), for manufacturing and laundry purposes. Quotations for hides, raw (three quotations), and tanned (foor quotations) were added on April 23, 1899. The index for tanned leather does not appear after 1900, nor do those for cocoa, rice, rubber, oleomargarine, bread, lard, and fatty acids.

During the year 1902 percentage relatives for two articies were dropped from the list, and in the first issue of 1903 two more indexes were droppad. After 1905 no quotations appear for the following classes of wine: Alicante, Huelva, Aragon, Valence, and Haw. Apparently no adjustment of previous percentages have been made. No further change in the list of articles or method of presenting the variation of prices appears to have been made since 1905.

Oleomargarine did not figure in the index series until 1896, and it was dropped in 1900. Up to 1900 separate relative prices were calculated for two kinds of sugar, raw and refined; after that date only
one index is shown, although wholesale prices are quoted for both kinds. Apparently, however, the index percentage is based on the average of the prices shown for each kind. Similar changes have taken place in the coal index. Thus, four index percentages were presented up to 1900, but after that date only one is shown, which is based on the average wholesale prices of all four kinds.

In general, when any changes were made in the number or classes of commodities the index percentages were recomputed back to the base year, 1890.

\section*{interpolition.}

No method of price interpolation has been resorted to, so far as can be determined from the information published in La Reforme Economique.

\section*{weigeting.}

No scientific method of weighting has been used, the arithmetic average alone being employed in the construction of the index numbers. The method of calculating the yearly general index for groups of commodities and for all commodities seems to be as follows: For the years already covered by the reports on wholesale prices, the sum of the average prices for the year of the different articles was divided by that of the besic year (1890). Thereafter, average monthly prices were obtained by getting the average of the weekly quotations made during the month as published in La Reforme Economique, and from these average monthly prices the yearly average price was computed, the yearly general index then being computed as before. The relatives for each article (when given), the index for each group, and the general index are in all cases simple percentages based on the prices of corresponding items for the corresponding period in 1890.
testing.
In the earlier years Sauerbeck's table of index numbers for England was occasionally given for comparison, and in the later issues it has appeared quite regularly. No other comparisons are made.

\section*{TABLE OF Results.}

The following table has been compiled from the nimbers of La Reforme Economique published during the years 1892-1913. The items extending throughout the entire series of years are identical with those contained in the summary table showing index percentages for 21 commodities published regularly as a part of "La Thermomètre des Affaires en France," which forms the cover page of current numbers.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mar- } \\
& \text { gin- } \\
& \text { gium- } \\
& \text { ner. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Commodity. & 1891 & 1892 & 1858 & 1894 & 1895 & 1896 & 1897 & 1888 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1
2
2
3
4
5
6} & Whast. & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
100 \\
109 \\
107 \\
117 \\
88 \\
92
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
883 \\
84 \\
89 \\
84 \\
101 \\
90
\end{gathered}
\]} & 76 & 75 & 75 & 100 & \\
\hline & Flour. & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
78 \\
84 \\
\hline 1
\end{tabular}} & 78 & 77 & 100
07 & 101 \\
\hline & Bread. & & & & & 86 & 82 & 97 & 103 \\
\hline & Ryy. & & & & 77 & 88 & 71 & \({ }_{88}^{98}\) & 101 \\
\hline & Barioy & & & & 81 & \({ }_{71}^{81}\) & 79 & 88 & 101 \\
\hline 7 & Beal. & 103 & 94 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{-94} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{100} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\begin{array}{r}98 \\ 103 \\ \hline 108\end{array}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{788} & 78 & \\
\hline 8 & Veal. & 188 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\({ }^{98}\)} & & & & & 90 & 85 \\
\hline 9 & Mution & & & & 118 & 104 & 97 & 94 & 90 \\
\hline 10 & Pork & 101 & 100 & 89 & 118. & 117 & 78 & 80 & 98 \\
\hline 11 & A Yarnge, mpats . & 102 & 88 & 97 & 106 & 105 & 85 & 87 & 86 \\
\hline 12 & Raw hides. & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\begin{array}{r}104 \\ \hline 10\end{array}\)} & & 82 & 77 & 107 & \({ }^{97}\) & \({ }^{96}\) & 110 \\
\hline 13 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Leathar.. \\
Wines:
\end{tabular} & & \[
99
\] & & & & & & 102 \\
\hline 14 & Fittered & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
97 \\
103 \\
84 \\
84 \\
98
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 91 \\
& 83 \\
& 78 \\
& 08
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 87 \\
& 87 \\
& 88 \\
& 85
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 82 \\
& 78 \\
& 62 \\
& 81
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 77 \\
& 87 \\
& 74 \\
& 86
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 88 \\
& 79 \\
& 88 \\
& 89
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 87 \\
& 65 \\
& 85 \\
& 91
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
87 \\
85 \\
85 \\
85 \\
95 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 15 & Franch. & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 178 & Algarian. & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & Foreig & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 18 & Average, wines & 01. & 94 & 88 & 80 & 76 & 85 & 81 & 80 \\
\hline & Sugar: & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 19 & Beat root, maw... & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{107
101} & 113 & 123 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
24
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 82 \\
& 94
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 88 \\
& 985
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 78 \\
& 71
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{90
088} \\
\hline 20. & Beet root, refinod. & & & 107 & & & & & \\
\hline 21 & A varage, sugar & 104 & 106 & 115 & 96 & 88 & 91 & 84 & 94 \\
\hline 22 & Alcohol. & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{116
102
102
102} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 132 \\
& 101 \\
& 96 \\
& 124
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
128 \\
88 \\
88 \\
133 \\
\ldots .
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\(\begin{array}{r}94 \\ 88 \\ 76 \\ 103 \\ \hline 1\end{array}\)} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{87
84
84
89} & 86 & & 128 \\
\hline 23 & Sirups....... & & & & & & 91 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{81
81} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
98 \\
98 \\
96 \\
\\
\hline 0
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 25 & Butter.......... & & & & & & 74 & & \\
\hline 26 & Oleomargarine. & & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{85} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{90} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{104
76} \\
\hline 27 & Rapesied oll & 101 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{81
89
119} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
78 \\
87 \\
\hline 8
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{78
86} & & & \\
\hline 28 & Linseed oll. & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{194} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{883} & & & & 888 & 68 & 72 \\
\hline \({ }_{9}^{29}\) & Talow. & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{138} & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{78} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\({ }_{63}^{73}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{81
87} \\
\hline 30 & L Stard.....̈. & \({ }^{98}\) & 108 & & 121 & 101 & & & \\
\hline 31 & Stoarie acld & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{104} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\begin{array}{r}100 \\ 80 \\ \hline 8 .\end{array}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\({ }^{119} 9\)} & 87 & \({ }_{80}^{08}\) & 81 & 77 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{88
70} \\
\hline  & Oielc acld & & & & \(9{ }_{6} 9\) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{88} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{130} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{885} & \\
\hline 33 & Glyoerin. & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
76 \\
101
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{-62} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1738} & 62 & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
70 \\
84 \\
\hline 8
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 35 & Hay. & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{151
90} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\begin{array}{r}108 \\ \hline 0\end{array}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{|c|}
107 \\
67
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{11.} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
97 \\
85 \\
\hline 8
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 36 & colfee. & \[
\begin{gathered}
104 \\
88 \\
88
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
134 \\
85 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & 178 & & & & & \\
\hline 37 & Cocoa. & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{104} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\({ }^{102}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{135} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(7{ }^{76}\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{772} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{160
71} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\({ }_{275}^{60}\)} \\
\hline 38 & Rice.. & & & & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
80 \\
80 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 39 & Maize. & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{143} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{104} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{104} & 117 & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{119} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\({ }_{157}^{157}\)} \\
\hline 40 & Rubber. & & & & 104 & 114 & & 140 & \\
\hline 41 & Potroleum & 94 & 81 & 72 & 7 & 107 & 100 & 83 & 108 \\
\hline 42 & Comprance. & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{87
112
198
98
104
104} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{l|}
77 \\
86 \\
70 \\
78 \\
787 \\
90
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 71 \\
& 77 \\
& 74 \\
& 782 \\
& 788
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 71 \\
& 82 \\
& 72 \\
& 78 \\
& 73 \\
& 91
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 63 \\
& 73 \\
& 73 \\
& 78 \\
& 700
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 64 \\
& 70 \\
& 70 \\
& 76 \\
& 80
\end{aligned}
\]} & & \\
\hline 48 & Cardifi. & & & & & & & \[
71
\] & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\(\begin{array}{r}77 \\ 119 \\ 77 \\ 77 \\ 98 \\ \hline\end{array}\)} \\
\hline 44 & Mrons.... 6. & & & & & & & \[
2
\] & \\
\hline 45 & Charleroj. & & & & & & & \[
77
\] & \\
\hline 46 & Saarbricken. & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 47 & Average, coal & 99 & 83 & 7 & 80 & 76 & 74 & 75 & 88 \\
\hline 48 & Coppar. & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r|}
\hline 90 \\
97 \\
90 \\
98 \\
100 \\
100 \\
84 \\
80
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
81 \\
98 \\
90 \\
90 \\
80 \\
90 \\
100 \\
88
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r|}
\hline 78 \\
98 \\
76 \\
74 \\
91 \\
900 \\
100 \\
81
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 71 \\
& 74 \\
& 78 \\
& 72 \\
& \hline 93 \\
& 99 \\
& 77
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{l|l|}
\hline 78 & \\
\hline 88 & \\
\hline 79 & \\
87 & 8 \\
96 &
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 88 \\
& 84 \\
& 71 \\
& 84 \\
& 88 \\
& 88 \\
& 78
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{91
77
88
108
97
98
78} \\
\hline 49 & Thanc. & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 61 & Lead. & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 59 & Iron (struotural and merchan & & & & & & & & \\
\hline  &  & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{\({ }^{1}\) The Franch term " fecule" Lncludee amoh artioles as potato flour, taploca, saso, arrowroat fiour, eto.} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AVERAGE ANNUAL PRICES: 180 T TO 1013.}

\section*{forme Economique. 1}

1890-100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1899 & 1800 & 1001 & 1902 & 10as & 1904 & 1005 & 1806 & 1807 & 1808 & 1009* & 1010 & 1011 & 1012 & 1013 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mer- } \\
& \text { gin- } \\
& \text { gil } \\
& \text { num. } \\
& \text { ber. }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 70 & \[
\begin{gathered}
79 \\
77
\end{gathered}
\] & 80
76 & \({ }_{82}^{86}\) & 80 & 87 & 83 & 92 & 94 & 80 & 95 & 102 & 103 & 114 & 110 & \(\frac{1}{8}\) \\
\hline 85. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \(\frac{9}{3}\) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 85 \\
& 88 \\
& 88 \\
& 87
\end{aligned}
\] & & & & & & & & & & & …… & .... & & & - \\
\hline & & & 8 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 73 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 89 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 8 \\
\hline 10. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 10 \\
\hline 90 & 87 & 89 & 80 & 90 & 80 & 89 & 91 & 100 & 96 & 58 & 88 & 100 & 101 & 100 & 11 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{114} & 113 & 12 & 119 & & & & & & & & & & & & 12 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 13 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|r|}{87 .......} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 15 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{188} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 18 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 7 \\
\hline 83 & 885 & & & & 8 & 60 & 61 & 68 & 73 & 78 & 120 & 129 & 122 & 123 & 18 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{90} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 20 \\
\hline 91 & 94 & 8 & - & 74 & 70 & 77 & 63 & 64 & 71 & 74 & 90 & 83 & 83 & 73 & 21 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
117 \\
90 \\
95 \\
105 \\
130 \\
73
\end{gathered}
\]} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 104 & 0 & & & 122 & 126 & 118 & 119 & 22 & 115 & 145 & 173 & 185 & 118 & \({ }_{28}^{22}\) \\
\hline & 19 & 67 & 74 & & & & & & & & & & & & 4 \\
\hline & 103 & 92 & & & & & & & & & & & & & 25 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 26
27 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\({ }_{94}^{89}\)} & 129 & 126 & 128 & & & & & & & & & & & & 27
28 \\
\hline & 106 & 10. & 119 & & & & & & & & & & & & 29 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{85.} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 30 \\
\hline & ....... & ...... & ..... & & & & & & & & & & & & 31 \\
\hline 95
80
8 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 32
33 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 94 \\
& 060 . \\
& 97
\end{aligned} .
\] & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \({ }^{33}\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(33,} & \({ }_{45}^{110}\) & \begin{tabular}{|c}
123 \\
36
\end{tabular} & 1031 & .....32 & & & & 36 & 38 & 00 & \(\cdots\) & 67 & 78 & 63 & 85
86
88 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 37 \\
\hline \({ }^{91} 97\). & 100 & "i2i & 133 & & & & & & & & & & & & 3 \\
\hline 170 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 40 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{100.} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 4 \\
\hline -78 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{87.} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 4 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \({ }_{6}\) \\
\hline 93 & 120 & 100 & 88 & 102 & 101 & 08 & 112 & 129 & 121 & 118 & 118 & 119 & 122 & 127 & 4 \\
\hline 129 & 128 & 120 & & 104 & 104 & 124 & 156 & 159 & 106 & & & & & & \\
\hline 130
100 & 140 & \({ }^{125}\) & 126 & 133 & 133 & 149 & 188 & 182 & 140 & 141 & 101 & 108 & 221 & 213 & 49 \\
\hline 126 & 140 & \({ }^{76}\) & 78 & 101 & \({ }^{97}\) & 110 & 1184 & \({ }_{160}^{107}\) & 118 & 101 & 104 & 113 & 120 & 106 & 50 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{131} & 15 & 110 & 99 & 98 & 10 & 9 & 118 & 120 & 114 & 1103 & 105 & 105 & 119 & 125 & 61
52 \\
\hline & 14 & 115 & 105 & 103 & 108 & 111 & 118 & 118 & 111 & 102 & 105 & 103 & 118 & 124 & \({ }_{64}^{63}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

INDEX NOMBERS COMPUTED FROM AVERAGE


ANNOAL PRICES: 1891 TO 1913-Coneluded.


\section*{INDEX NUMBERS OF ÉMILE LEVASSEUR.} publication.
This "Inquiry into the price of food commodities for a period of 25 years in 70 high schools of France" was published in the Revue Economique Internationale, Brussels, in May, 1909. Later in the same year, under the title of "Le cout de la vie," it appeared as a separate pamphlet, which also was published by the Rerue. \({ }^{1}\)

\section*{History.}

Toward the close of 1908 the minister of public instruction, at the request of Mr. E. Levasseur, addressed to the principals of 70 high schools of Paris and the Departments an inquiry concerning the prices of certain food products and of coal as paid by the schools since 1880.

The inquiry covered the years \(1880,1885,1890,1895\), and each year from 1900 to 1908, inclusive.

The schools selected, exclusive of those of Paris and its suburbs, included some of the large and some of the small institutions in each of the nine agricultural sections of France.
Mr. Lucien March, chief of the general statistical office (statistique générale) of France, assisted in the work by assuming the responsibility for the calculation of the index numbers from the figures secured.

The author states that from the data received it was possible to secure a sufficiently exact report of the variation which the prices of commodities had undergone for a period of about 25 years.

\section*{source of quotations.}

The prices considered are contract or semiwholesale prices (prix d'adjudication) obtained from 70 high schools. The articles are 21" in number- 20 food commodities and coal.

BASE PERIOD.
The average price for the two years 1895 and 1900, taken as 100 , is used as the base. The index numbers for the period 1880 to 1908 derived from the use of this base are shown in the following table, appearing on page 7 of the pamphlet:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Years. & Inder numbers. & Years. & Index numbers. \\
\hline 1880. & 111.9 & 1903. & 89.9 \\
\hline 1885. & 104.2 & 1904. & 99.8 \\
\hline 1890. & 101.4 & 1905. & 98.0 \\
\hline 1895. & 100.2 & 1906. & - 98.8 \\
\hline 1900. & 99.3 & 1907. & - 108.1 \\
\hline 1801. & .. 99.8 & 1008. & . 106.5 \\
\hline 1902. & .. 98.8 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Le colt de la Fle. Rinquate sur le prix des denrees alimentarres depuis min quart do slecle dans 70 lycees, par f. Levassiur, membre de l'Institut, administrateur du Collage de Franoe.
}

\section*{DESCRIPTION OP COMMODITESS.}

The articles selected for which E. Levasseur computed index numbers are the following:
Bread.
Fresh meats (other than pork).
Freeh pork.
Smoked pork (charcuterie).
Poultry and game.
Red wine.
White wine.
Beer. \({ }^{1}\)
Cider. \({ }^{3}\)
Butter.
Drippinge and lard.
Oil (table).
Eggs.
Milk.
Cheese.
Sugar.
Freeh fish.
Salted fish and canned fish.
Codfish.
Potatoes.
Coal.

TiNG.
testing.
Mr. Levasseur verified his index numbers by comparison with index numbers for France, England, Germany, and the United States.

The variations as shown by the index numbers of prices in 70 high schools were verified by comparisons with the following:
(a) Index numbers relating to France only-
1. Statistics preparedyry Mr. Lucien March, chief of the general statistical office of France, and published in the Annuaire Statistique de la France. These show a greater inorease for all merchandise in general than for food commodities alone (except in 1895). The index numbers show a rapid increase since 1905.
2. Index numbers calculated by Mr. de Foville. These numbers were based on the difference between the rates of duty, and show prices to have been low in 1900, with an increase in all the following years, especially since 1903.
3. Index numbers calculated by Mr. Levasseur from the duties on 32 food commodities, corresponding closely to those of the high schools. These figures show a rapid rise in prices since 1903.
4. Index numbers calculated on the basis of current prices since 1882 by the purchasing agent of the southern railways. These index numbers verify (or confirm) the index numbers of the high schools of the southwest.
(b) Index numbers relating to foreign countries-
5. England-Sauerbeck's index numbers for 45 articles of general merchandise. These index numbers are published in the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Sauerbeck's index numbers for food commodities show, like those of the high schools, a slight fall in prices from 1900 to 1902 and also a marked rise in 1907 and 1908.
6. Index numbers computed in Germany for the city of Hamburg.
7. Index numbers computed by the United States Bureau of Labor

\footnotetext{
1 Included in the calculation of inder numbers in 1 listance only.
sInclibded in the calculation or inder numbers in 3 finstances only.
}

Statistics. These index numbers are for wholesale and retail prices, respectively.

In conclusion the author states that "these diverse statistics, despite the differences of detail, confirm the statistics of the 70 high schools and show clearly that the great changes in prices are not due to special or looal causes, but to general causes, the results of which are felt at the time in all the great markets which are in constant commercial copmunication with each other." \({ }^{1}\)

Tables of resulits.
The following table, reproduced from page 15 of the publication, shows the variations in the index numbers for food articles in the 70 high schools of Paris and suburbs and of the 9 agricultural sections of France, by years, division into large and small schools being made:
TNDEX NUMBERS OF PRICES OF 20 FOOD COMMODITIES AND COAL IN TO HIGH*
SCHOOLS OF PARIS AND ITS ENVIRONB AND OF THE O AGRICULTURAL SECTIONS OF FRANCE, WITH DIVISION INTO LARGE AND GMALL SCHOOLS.
(Average of prices for 1895 and 1900-100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Sections. & 1880 & 1885 & 1890 & 1595 & 1900 & 1901 & 1902 & 1903 & 1004 & 1905 & 1906 & 1907 & 1908 \\
\hline Parls.. & 115 & 112 & 99 & 101 & 99 & 99 & 97 & 300 & 99 & 95 & 96 & \({ }^{98}\) & 19 \\
\hline Envitons of Paril.... & 114 & 108 & 100 & 98 & 102 & 90 & 99 & 105 & 99 & 97 & 99 & 102 & 106 \\
\hline Northwest: & 117 & 111 & 105 & 100 & 100 & 96 & 06 & 00 & 103 & 93 & 95 & 95 & 104 \\
\hline Small schools. & 111 & 100 & 106 & 100 & 100 & 98 & 100 & 101 & 102 & 9 & 102 & 104 & 100 \\
\hline North: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Large schoo & 111 & 98 & 102 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 98 & 99 & 88 & 97 & 96 & 99 & 02 \\
\hline Small schools & - 114 & 99 & 97 & 105 & 98 & 88 & 98 & 99 & 99 & 100 & 107 & 110 & 108 \\
\hline Northoast: & 111 & 108 & 103 & 101 & 9 & 90 & 98 & 97 & 97 & & 96 & 108 & 107 \\
\hline Small schools & 122 & 106 & 88 & 100 & 100 & 102 & 98 & 97 & 98 & 90 & 100 & 102 & 109 \\
\hline Esat: Large schools... & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Lerge schools. & 108
109 & 103 & 101 & 100
90 & 100
101 & \[
99
\] & \({ }^{98}\) & \({ }^{989}\) & 101 & \[
{ }_{99}^{99}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 99 \\
& 90
\end{aligned}
\] & 102
104 & 108
108 \\
\hline Southeast: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Large school & 105 & 104 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 98 & 101 & 99 & 98 & 101 & 108 \\
\hline Small schools & & & 106 & 102 & 98 & 99 & 9 & 95 & 100 & 97 & 101 & 108 & 107 \\
\hline Aloath: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Large schoo & 108 & 102 & 95 & 94 & 98 & 95 & 88 & 6 & 90 & 00 & 88 & 99 & 88 \\
\hline 8 8all schools, & 116 & 102 & 98 & 90 & 102 & 103 & 09 & 101 & 103 & 100 & 100 & 107 & 12 \\
\hline Large schools. & 106 & 102 & 98 & 101 & 90 & 101 & 100 & 98 & 98 & 98 & 88 & 104 & 06 \\
\hline Small schools... & 115 & 112 & 104 & 102 & 98 & 88 & 101 & 101 & 103 & 100 & 102 & 107 & 109 \\
\hline West: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 8maril & 112 & 109 & 109 & 100 & 100 & 104 & 102 & \({ }_{80}\) & \({ }_{06}\) & 90 & 97 & 102 & 108 \\
\hline Central: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Large schools & 111 & 101 & 101 & & & & & 98 & 88 & 95 & 102 & 104 & 104 \\
\hline Bmail schools... & 113 & 108 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 103 & 100 & 102 & 102 & 103 & 104 & 113 & 117 \\
\hline P & 114 & 109 & 99 & 100 & 100 & 101 & 97 & 100 & 90 & 04 & 96 & 98 & 98 \\
\hline Largeschools (37) & 110 & 109 & 100 & 100 & 99 & 90 & 98 & 100 & 99 & 9 & 97 & 101 & 108 \\
\hline Smallschools (30) & 113 & 105 & 102 & 101 & 99 & 101 & 99 & 100 & 101 & 69 & 101 & 105 & 100 \\
\hline Total. & 111 & 104 & 101 & 100 & 90 & 100 & 09 & 100 & 100 & 98 & 99 & 103 & 107 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Index numbers computed on the prices of 20 food commodities and coal in 1908 are shown in the following table, the figures being given separately for Paris, its suburbs, and the large and small schools, respectively, of the 9 agricultural sections of France. \({ }^{2}\)

INDEX NUMEERS OF PRICES OF 20 FOOD COMMODITERS AND COAL IN 20 GROUPS (PARIS, ENVIRONS, LARGE AND SMALL SCEOOLS OF THE 9 AGRICULTURAL SECTIONS OF FRANCE) DN 1808.
(Average of prices for 1895 and 1900 \(=100\).)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Bections. B & Bread. & Fresh pork. & Smoked port. & \[
\begin{array}{c|}
\text { Poul- } \\
\text { try } \\
\text { and } \\
\text { gama. }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Red } \\
& \text { wine. }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Whit } \\
& \text { wine }
\end{aligned}
\] & Beer & Cider. & Butter. & Drtppings land. & Fresh meats (exclasive of porla, \\
\hline Paris................ 1 & 115.3 & 117.8 & 116.4 & 108.9 & 47.2 & 73.1 & & & 104. 5 & 121.9 & 131.2 \\
\hline Environs of Paris.... 1 & 120.2 & 174.4 & 135.6 & 99.9 & 62.2 & 102.1 & & & 112.1 & & 128.8 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Northwest: \\
Large schools. \(\square\) 1
\end{tabular} & 117.6 & 106.0 & 164.1 & 111.7 & 65.1 & 64.7 & & 169.5 & 110.5 & 104 & \\
\hline Small sehools...... & 121.6 & 114.2 & 119.2 & 124.0 & 57.9 & & & 154.2 & 109.8 & & 110.3 \\
\hline North: & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Large schoois..... & 113.3 & 113.1
106.3 & \({ }^{189.6}\) & 105.8
118.0 & 53.3
78.3 & 80.0
97.8 & 91. & 105.7 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 104.0 \\
& 107.8
\end{aligned}
\] & 81.1 & 103.7 \\
\hline Northeast: & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Large schools. & 114.5 & 106.2 & 136.8 & 105.7 & 59.3 & 78.3 & & & 111.1 & 138.5 & 105.5 \\
\hline Small schools..... & 110.8 & 100.1 & 116.8 & 106.2 & 69.3 & 72.9 & & & 113.8 & & 109.0 \\
\hline Esst: Large schools..... & 110.9 & 116. 5 & 121.1 & 117.6 & 20.4 & 7.6 & & & 109.4 & & \\
\hline Smail schools.... 1 & 116.6 & 113.7 & 116.8 & 112.8 & 70.1 & 88.1 & & & 110.1 & & 118.8 \\
\hline Sontheast: & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Large schools.... & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 122.0 \\
& 120.7
\end{aligned}
\] & 123.8
13.5 & 115.7
18.1 & 101.3
97.0 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 85.2 \\
& 81.2
\end{aligned}
\] & 77.7 & & & 186.1 &  & 105.2
10.0 \\
\hline Soath: & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Large schools. & 108.7 & 97.8 & 95.7 & 110.7 & 68.1 & & & & 111.5 & & 101.1 \\
\hline Smoll schools. & 118.7 & 116.0 & 107.7 & 13.7 & 63.7 & 109.6 & & & 104.4 & 150.6 & 109.8 \\
\hline Larke schools. & 122.1 & 12.8 & 128.6 & 117.1 & 74.3 & 112.1 & & & 110.5 & 122.0 & 110.1 \\
\hline Small schools. & 118.4 & 110.6 & 101.8. & 108.7 & 72.6 & 116.1 & & & 103.1 & 128.8 & 100.8 \\
\hline West: Large schools. & 136. 5 & 189.2 & 108.5 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Small schools. & 116.7 & 11.8 & 135.6 & 131.3 & 61.8 & 82.2 & & & 111.1 & 108.2 & 109.5 \\
\hline Contral: & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Larke schools & 121.5 & 11.0 & 110.5 & 118.6 & 65.0 & 56.4 & & & 112.6 & & \\
\hline Small school & 132.5 & 113.1 & 140.1 & 108.2 & 66.7 & 84.6 & & & 116.7 & 125.0 & 10.1 \\
\hline Genaral average. & 110.0 & 113.0 & 116.5 & 111.3 & 63.3 & 69.2 & & & 110.0 & 130.8 & 110.7 \\
\hline Sections. & onl & Eggs. & Milk. & Cheese. & 8. Sug & & rech ash. & Salted ilish. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Cod- } \\
& \text { fish. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Potatoed. & Conl. \\
\hline Parls............... & . 913 & 110.8 & \({ }^{95.6}\) & 108.0 & & 3.3 & 71.0 & & 81.6 & 108. 1 & 113.5 \\
\hline Environs of Paris....... & 112.2 & 107.5 & 100.0 & 108.9 & & . 7 & 88.4 & & & 125.8 & 110.3 \\
\hline Northwest: & 108.8 & 118.1 & 112.4 & 116.2 & & 0 & 98.5 & & 103.8 & 88.9 & 122.8 \\
\hline Small schools. & . 87.9 & 127.9 & 96.9 & 111.2 & & . 0 & 108.6 & 127.2 & 123.1 & & 117.6 \\
\hline North: & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Large scbools & -93.4 & 106.2 & 108.5
104.5 & 110.6
130.5 & & 7 & 88.3 & 112.6 & & 108.7 & 135.7 \\
\hline  & . 114.1 & & & 130.5 & & . 1 & 88.2 & 128.2 & 78.2 & 138.3 & 124.6 \\
\hline Large schools...... & . 114.8 & 117.2 & 107.2 & 105.4 & & . 8 & 79.2 & 125.2 & & 05.0 & 117.7 \\
\hline Smail schools...... & . 114.5 & 125.0 & 90.0 & 225.5 & & 2 & 81.4 & 150.7 & & & 128.5 \\
\hline Large sehools. & 107.4 & 120.6 & 08.0 & & & 7 & 80.7 & & 188.1 & & \\
\hline Small schools. & 105.1 & 123.9 & 105.6 & 116.3 & & . 1 & 91.4 & 130.8 & & 100.7 & 224.7 \\
\hline Southeast: & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Large schools...... & . 102.9 & 115.3 & ( \(\begin{aligned} & 100.4 \\ & 125.0\end{aligned}\) & 120.6
125.0 & & 3.5 & 83.9
100.0 & 106.0
11.0 & 1258 & & 119.9
121.3 \\
\hline South: & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Large schools. & 74.2 & 115.6 & 121.7 & 104.8 & & 8 & 75.9 & 109.6 & & 100.5 & 107.1 \\
\hline Small schools: & 111.6 & 134.0 & . 211.6 & 113.0 & & . 6 & 78.2 & 143.2 & 106.8 & 119.7 & 188.8 \\
\hline Large schools. & 100.2 & 120.3 & 3 90.1 & 117.0 & & 0.1 & 74.8 & & & & \\
\hline Emall schools. & 84.3 & 123.4 & 1 94,3 & 109.8 & & 0.6 & 75.7 & 128,4 & 119.6 & 131.4 & \\
\hline West: & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline L.arge schools. & 114.0 & 103.9 & . 128.5 & 123.5 & & . 5 & 84.0 & 84.3 & . 09.5 & 82.6 & 150.0 \\
\hline Oentral: & 128.1 & 114.6 & 5100.0 & 156.8 & & . & 85.7 & 81.7 & & & 128.3 \\
\hline Large schools. & 03.5 & 122.3 & 387 & 112.7 & & . 3 & 110.3 & & 109.1 & 115.8 & \\
\hline Email schoots & 138.9 & 112.2 & 2118.4 & 151.8 & & . 9 & 108.8 & 123.4 & & & 144.9 \\
\hline General average.- & . 105.1 & 117.6 & 6 105.7 & 7 118.2 & & . 6 & 89.0 & 119.3 & 107.5 & 210.5 & 129.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GERMANY.}

\section*{INDEX NUMBERS OF THE IMPERIAL STATISTICAL OFFICE.}

\section*{PUBLICATION.}

This series of index numbers, which represents wholesale prices of commodities in German markets, is published yearly in the Vierteljahrshefte zur-Statistik des Deutschen Reichs, a publication of the Irperial Statistioal Office, and appears regularly for each year in the first quarter of the succeeding year.

The first report, including index numbers, was published in 1905 and covered the years 1899 to 1904. The table of index numbers in late reports regularly covers the 10 -year period ending with the date of the publication of the report.

HISTORY.
Since the year 1879 the German Imperial Statistical Office has published monthly average wholesale prices of commodities of importance in German markets. These were shown in detail in the Monatsbefte zur Statistik des Deutschen Reichs up to the year 1891, and from 1892 to the present time in the Vierteljahrshefte zur Statistik des Deutschen Reichs.

The object of the price study, as stated at the outset, was the collection of reasonably accurate and adequate average prices representing fixed grades of important articles of the wholesale trade, with a view to the gradual assembling of really useful data for the observation of the movement of prices. It was not until the year 1905 that the publication of relative prices was begun. The official series of index numbers has been extended back only as far as the уеаг 1899.

\section*{sourcis of quotations.}

The number of markets represented in this study has been limited to those with permanent arrangements for furnishing reasonably accurate and representative quotations. The following sources of information, representing 30 wholesale markets, are acknowledged in the report for the year 1911: Chambers of commerce or boards of trade in Augsburg, Berlin, Bielefeld, Brunswick, Bremen, Breslau, Danzig, Dortmund, Frankfort on the Main, Halberstadt, Hamburg, Cologne, Konigsberg (in Prussia), Krefeld, Landeshut (in Silesia), Leipzig, Lübeck, Magdaburg, Mannheim, Mühllaausen (in Alsace), Munich, München-Gladbach, Nuremberg, Posen, and Stettin; the administrations of municipal stockyards and slaughterhouses; the mill administration in Bromberg (for wheat flour from Berlin), the stock exchange in Düsseldorf, the board of directors of the stock exchange in the city of Essen, the United German Jute Manufacturers in Brunswick (for raw jute at Hamburg), the Konigsberg

Commercial Association in Konigsberg (in Prussia) (for petroleum at Danzig), the Merchants' Association at Lindau, the Royal Administration of Mines at Saarbrücken, and the Bureau of Trade Statistics at Hamburg.

From the beginning ordinary published market quotations have been avoided as representing fluctuations in quality and as not being scientifically constructed. The study has been restricted to prices secured currently from the above-named or similar sources.

\section*{BASE PERRIOD.}

The 10 -year period 1889 to 1898 is taken as the base period. No reasons are assigned for this selection.

\section*{PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.}

Three tables show the prices involved in the computation of index numbers. The first shows average monthly prices for the current year, the second shows average yearly prices for the 20 -year period ending with the current year, and the third shows relative prices for each year of the 10 -year period ending with the current year.

All actual prices shown are averages. A tabular statement in the first report (February, 1879) Ge for each of the 26 markets then included in the study the intervals at which prices for the Imperial Statistical Office were determined and the methods of determining the quotations. According to this statement the average actual prices represent great variations from market to market in the number of original quotations involved in the computation, some being based on daily determinations while others are based on weekly or even monthly determinations, and some representing a medium price or quality while others are averages of the prices of the highest and lowest or of the highest, medium, and lowest grades of the commodities reported.

A few series of index numbers represent interrupted series of actual prices and a few others represent series of actual prices whose comparability is broken within the period involved in the table.

\section*{NUMBER AND CLASS OF COMMODITIES.}

From the beginning (1899) index numbers have been given in summary form for 44 quotations. Of this number three represent iron and two each represent coal and petroleum. Each of theothercommodities is represented by a single quotation. The number of commodities is therefore 40 . No index number for the total of the 44 quotations is published, nor are index numbers shown for groups of commodities.

Index numbers are given for 40 articles, representing the 235 quotations of the table of actual prices. The number was originally 238, the two Stuttgart quotations for cotton yarn and the Stuttgart \(94261^{\circ}-\) Bull. 173-15-14
quotation for cotton goods having been dropped from both actual and relative tables.

The table of actual average yearly prices as published in 1913 contains 320 series of quotations, some of which are themselves calculations from more than one variety, as, for example, the first Mannheim quotation for barley. This table at present includes five commodities not represented in the tables of relatives, namely, raw sugar, refined sugar, molasses, cocoa, and rubber. The comparability of all series of sugar quotations has been interrupted by changes in tariff laws; there is no continuous series of yearly average prices on molusses for the base period, and the last two articles have been added to the list of commodities since the publication of index numbers was begun-cocoa in 1907 and rubber in the following year.

The commodities included are not classified into raw materials and manufactured products. The great majority are raw materials but a number are so-called semimanufactures (Halbfabrikaten).

Some commodities originally omitted from the list were considered dosirable but were not included because satisfactory data could not be secured. The original number of articles (30) has been considerably increased, but still certain important articles, as, for example, lumber and flax, are not included even in the tables of actual prices.

\section*{DESCRIPTION AND GROLPNG OP COMMODTTES.}

Index numbers are shown only for single commodities and not for groups.

The description of commodities in the table of index numbers as published in 1912 follows:
\[
\text { Rye ( } 1,000 \text { kilograms). }
\]

Berlin, good, minimum 712 grams per liter [ 51.3 pounds per bushel].
Breslau, medium grade.
Danzig, goods ior free exchange (Ware 2. frcion Verkehr).
Frankfort on the Main, minimum 70 kilograms per hectoliter [ 54.4 pounds per bughel]. Hamburg, Russian, in bond.
Konigsberg, good, 714 grams per liter [51.5 pounds per bushel].
Leipzig, Germap, good.
Lübeck, Russian, 71.3 kilograms per hectoliter [55.4 pounds per bushel].
Mannheim, various origins, medium.
Munich, Bavarian, best.
Munich, Bavarian, good medium.
Wheat (1,000 kilograms).
Berlin, good, minimum 755 grams per liter [ 54.4 pounds per bushel].
Brealau, medium grade.
Danzig, gooda for free exchange.
Frankfort on the Main, minimum 75 kilograms per hectoliter [ 58.3 pounde per bushel]. Hamburg, Holstein, Mecklenburg.

Konigsberg, good, 749 to 754 grams per liter [ 54.0 to 54.4 pounds per bushel].
Leipzig, German, good.
Lindau, 78 to 79 lilograms per hectoliter [ 60.6 to 61.4 pounds per bushel], various origins.
Mannheim, various origins, medium.
Munich, Bavarian, beet.
Munich, good medium.

> Oats (1,000 kilograms).

Berlin, good, minimum 450 grams per liter [32.4 pounds per bushel].
Breslau, medium grade.
Dansig, domestic.
Frankfort on the Main, good, native.
Konigsberg, good, 447 grams per liter [ 32.2 pounds per bushel]. -
Leipzig, German, good.
Lindau, Bavarian, 44 to 45 kilograms per hectoliter [ 34.2 to 35.0 pounds per bushel].
Mannheim, from Paden, from Wurttemberg, medium.
Munich, Bavarian, best.
Munich, Bavarian, good medium.
\[
\text { Corn }(1,000 \text { kilograns })
\]

Bremen, American, best, in bond.
Brealau, Russian, medium grade.
Hamburg, American, in bond.
Leipzig, various origins.
Barley (1,000 kilograms).
Breslau, medium grade.
Danzig, brewing, domeatic.
Frankfort on the Main, brewing.
Konigsberg, 647 to 652 grams per liter [ 46.6 to 47.0 pounds per bughel].
Leipzig, German, good.
Lindau, Hungarian, 65 to 66 kilograms per hectoliter [ 50.5 to 51.3 pounds per bushel].
Magdeburg, Chevalier, good medium. (Not in 1912.)
Mannheim, from Baden, from the Palatinate, medium.
Munich, Hungarian, Moravian, etc., best.
Munich, Bavarian, best.
Munich, Bavarian, good medium.
Hops (100 kilograms, without urappings).
Nuremberg, market.
Nuremberg, Wurttemberg.
Nuremberg, Hallertauer.
Nuremberg, Hallertauer soal.
Nuremberg, Spalt.
Potatoes (1,000 kilograms, without sack).
Berlin, early red, for distilling.
Berlin, early red, for food, assorted.
Breslau, good, Silesian, food.
Magdeburg, Saxon, for food.
Magdeburg, distilling.
Stettin, sorted, red, for food.
Stettin, sorted, white, for food.

\section*{Butcher's meat.}

Beef (100 kilograms [220.5 pounds]) Berlin, slaughter weight. \({ }^{1}\)
Pork ( 100 kilograms [220.5 pounds]) Berlin, slaughter weight. \({ }^{1}\)
Veal ( 100 kilograms [ 220.5 pounds]) Berlin, slaughter weight. \({ }^{2}\)
Mutton (100 kilograms [220.5 pounds]) Berlin, slsughter weight. \({ }^{1}\)
Rye flour ( 100 kilograms with sack).
Berlin, No. \(0 / 1\), good average grade.
Dafizig, No. 0/L, domestic price (Inlandspreis).
Cologne, No. 0/1.
Munich, No. 0.
Posen, domestic, No, 0/1.
Whead flour ( 100 kilograms).
Berlin, No. 00, with sack.
Danzig, No. 00, with eack, domestic price
Cologne, Rhenish, No. 00, with sack.
Lubeck, German, No. 0 , without sack.
Munich, Bavarian, No. 2, with sack.
Posen, domestic, No. 00, with sack.

Berlin, I quality.
Berlin, II quality.
Munich, finest Swise.
Munich, mountain.
Butter (100 kilograms).

Raw sugar ( 100 kilograms, net weight). \({ }^{2}\)
Brunswick, 88 per cent centrifugal, without sack, 3 months' time.
Halle, 88 per cent centrifugal, without sack, 3 monthe' time.
Magdeburg, I product, 88 per cent centrifugal, without sack, 3 monthe' time. Stettin, 88 per cent centrifugal, without sack, 3 months' time.
\[
\text { Refined sugar ( } 100 \text { kilograms). }{ }^{2}
\]

Brunswick, without container in paper.
Magdeburg, I loaf (Brot), without container, in paper.
Stettin, I loaf (Brot), without container, in paper.

> Molasses (100 kilograms, net weight).

Magdeburg, for distilling.
Potato alcohol, crude ( 100 liters), alcohol.
Hamburg, with container.

> Rapesced oil (100 kilograms).

Berlin, crude, without container.
Danzig, crude, with container, export price.
Frankfort on the Main, with container.

\footnotetext{
1 Slaughter welpht (Schlachtgevelcht) is the presumptive weight of the four quarters on which the price of the animal, without the deduction of the value of hide, heed, feet, entrails, etc., has been apportionod. Prlor to July 1, 1897, quotations were not on slaughter weight. At the tlme the change was made it was stated that according to information from authoritadive sources the quotations on slaughter weight are about 84 par cent higher than on dressed weight.
i Deecriptions from table of notual prices. Article not inoluded in table of relative prices.
}

Hamburg, crude, with container.
Cologne, crude, good and clear, with container.
Konigsberg, crude, clear, without container.
Leipzig, crude, light color and clear, without container.
Mannheim, marketable, with container.
Herrings (1 cask, 150 kilograms).
Danzig, with container, Crown and full.
Danzig, with container, Crown, Ihlen.
Hamburg, with container, in bond, Norwegian.
Hamburg, with container, in bond, Scotch West Coast.
Stettin, clear, with container, Norwegian, commercial.
Stettin, clear, with container, Norwegian, large medium.
Stettin, clear, with container, Norwegian, fair medium.
Stettin, clear, with container, Norwegian, medium.
Stettin, clear, with container, Scotch, Crown, full brand.
Stettin, clear, with container, Scotch, Crown, Matfulls.
Stetin, clear, with container, Scotch, Crown, Thlen.

> Coffee (100 kilograms).

Bremen, clear, with eack, in bond, Sabanilla, fair ordinary.
Bremen, clear, with asck, in bond, \(\mathrm{S}\left[\mathrm{j}^{20 s}\right.\), good average.
Hamburg, net weight, in bond, Santoc
Hamburg, net weight, in bond, Rio.
Hamburg, net weight, in bond, La Guaira, unwashed.
Cologne, net weight, with sack, Java, good medium.
Cologne, net weight, with sack, Santos, good medium.
Mannheim, Santos, average quality.
\[
\text { Cocoa (100 kilograms, in bond). }{ }^{1}
\]

Hamburg, Akkra current.
Hamburg, St. Thome, fine.
Hamburg, Bahia, fair, fermented.
Hamburg, Trinidad current.
Hamburg, Samina current.
Hamburg, Arriba, choice, zummer.
Tea (1 kilogram, in bond).
Hamburg, Kongo, Foochow.
Hamburg, Kongo, Shanghai.
Hamburg, Souchong.
Konigsberg, common Moning.
Konigsberg, fine Moning.
Konigsberg, fineet Moning.

> Rice (100 kilograms, in bond).

Bremen, Rangoon, shelled, 4 montha' time.
Bremen, broken, No. 0, abelled, 4 months* time.
Hämburg, Rangoon, shelled, highest price, 1 per cent discount.
Hamburg, Rangoon, ahelled, lowest price, 1 per cent discount.
Hamburg, broken, shelled, lowest price, 1 per cent discount.

Bremen, Singapore, 4 months' time.
Hamburg, Singapore, 1 per cent discount.
Lard ( 100 kilograme, in bond).
Bremen, refined American, 4 months' time.

\section*{Leaf tobacco ( 100 kilograms).}

Bremen, with packings, in bond, Kentucky, ordinary, 6 montha' time.
Hamburg, in bond, Domingo, wrapper and filler leaves.
Hamburg, in bond, Brazil.
Mannheim, in bond, wrapper leaves, Palatinate.
Mannheim, in bond, wrapper leaves and filler leaves, Palatinste.
Mannheim, in bond, cut, Palatinate.

\section*{Hides and skins.}

Bremen, 100 kilograms, or'hides, best dry, Buenos Aires, 6 months' time.
Bremen, 100 kilograms, Buenos Aires, Saladero, 6 months' time.
Bremen, 100 kilograms, Kip hides, Durbunga, arsenic slaughtered, 6 months'time.
Bremen, 100 kilograms, kip hides, Hugli, alaughtered, 6 months' time.
Bremen, 100 kilograms, kip hides, Dakka, best, 6 months' time.
Hamburg, 100 kilograme, ox hides, Rio Grande, salted.
Hamburg, 100 lilograms, ox hides, dry, West Indian; Central American, etc.
Cologne, 100 kilograms, ox hides, best, green, Uruguay, 6 months' time.
Cologne, 100 kilograme, kip hides, dry, East Indian, best Dakka, 6 monlla' time.
Munich, 100 kilograms, ox and cow hides, best, green.
Frankfort on the Main, 100 kilograms, calfakins, 3 to 4 months' time.
Frankfort on the Main, 100 kilograms, goatakins, 3 to 4 months' time.
Frankfort on the Main, 100 kilograms, hare akins, 3 to 4 months' time.
Leipzig, 500 skins, hare aking, German.
Leipzig, 500 skins, hare sking, Russian.

> Wool (100 kilograms).

Berlin, North German sheep, medium.
Bremen, washed, Buenos Aires, I.
\[
\text { Cotton ( } 100 \text { Lilograms). }
\]

Bremen, middling upland.
Bremen, good Oomrawuttee II.
Hamburg, New Qrleans, middling.

> Cotton yarn (1 kilogram).

Augsburg, 36 warp, 42 woof.
Augsburg, 20 warp, 20 woof.
Krefeld, English Nos., 40-120, twofold, singed.
Krefeld, English Nos., 130-200, twofold, ainged.
Mulbausen, in Alsace, metric Nos., warp No. 16, 30 days, 2 per cent discount; cash, 24 per cent discount.
Mullhausen, in Alsace, metric Nos., warp No. 28, 30 days, 2 per cent diecount; cash, \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent discount.
Mulhausen, in Alsace, metric Noe., wary No. 40, 30 days, 2 par cent discount; cash, 21 per cent discount.

Mülhausen, in Alsace, metric Nos., woof No. 16, 30 days, 2 per cent discount; cash, 24 per cent discount.
Mulhausen, in Alsace, metric Nos., woof No. 37, 30 days, 2 per cent discount; cash, \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent discount.
Mulhausen, in Alsace, metric Nos., woof No. 50, 30 dsys, 2 per cent discount; cash, \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) per cent discount.
Munchen-Gladbach, Mule No. 8, f. o. b. tactory.
Munchen-Gladbach, Water No. 12, f. o. b. factory.
Munchen-Gladbach, Water No. 20, f. o. b. factory.
Calico ( 1 meter).
Mulhausen, in Alsace, 90 centimeters [ 35.4 inches] wide.
Mulhausen, in Alsace, 78 centimeters [ 30.7 inches] wide, 16/16 thread.

\section*{Linen yarn ( 1 bilogram).}

Bielefeld, English Noe., average price for I and II, No. 30, flax yarn.
Bielefeld, English Nos., average price for I and II, No. 50, flax yarn.
Bielefeld, English Nos., sverage price for I and II, No. 10, tow yarn.
Bielefeld, English Noa., average price for \(I\) and II, No. 20, tow yarn.
Landeshut, in Silesia, English Nos., average price for I, No. 30, flax yarn.
Landeshut, in Silesia, Euglish Nos., average price for I, No. 50, flax yarn.
Landeahut, in Sileaia, English Nos., a uage price for I, No. 10, tow yarn.
Landeahut, in Silesia, English Nos., avierage price for I, No. 20, tow yarn.
Raw silk (I kilogram).
Krefeld, Italian organzine, 18-20, 9 months' time, or cash 5 per cent discount. Krefeld, Italian tram, 24-26, 9 monthg' time, or cash 5 per cent discount.
Krefeld, Italian raw (grege.), 12-14, 9 months' time, or cash 5 per cent discount.
Krefeld, Japanese organzine, 22-24, 9 months' time, or cash 5 per cent discount.
Krefeld, Japanese tram, 34-40, 9 months' time, or cash 5 per cent discount.
Krefeld, Chinese tram, 36-40, 9 months' time, or cash 5 per cent digcount.
Hemp (100 kilograms).
Labeck, Petersburg dressed hemp.

Hamburg, in balea.
Mexican fiber ( 100 kilograms).

Hamburg, Brand \(\underset{\sim}{R}\)
Raw jute (100 kilograms).

Hamburg, Good I, native brands.
Hamburg, Good II, native brands.
\[
\text { Rubber, crude ( } 1 \text { kilogram). }{ }^{1}
\]

Hambung, South Kamerun.
Harnburg, Benguela II,
Hamburg, Upper Kongo I.
Hamburg, Kassai I, red.
Hamburg, Massai.
Hamburg, Mosambique I.
Hamburg, fine Para, hard.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Descriptions from table of actual prices. Articles not facluded in table of relative prices.
}

Hamburg, Manáos, Negro, heads.
Hamburg, Cametá.
Hamburg, Peruvian balls.
Hamburg, Mexican gum.
German, pig:
Breslau, at the foundry, puddle.
Breslau, at the foundry, foundry pig.
Dortmund; at the foundry, Bessemer.
Dortmund, at the foundry, puddie-1.
Dortmund, at the foundry, Thomas.
Dusseldorf, at the foundry, puddle.
Dusseldorf, at the foundry, foundry pig.
Dusseldorf, at the foundry, Luxemburg No. 3.
English, pig:
Hamburg, Scotch No. 1.
Hamburg, Middlesboro No. 1.
Swedish, bar:
Lübeck, I Stockholm.

> Lead (100 kilograms).

Berlin, various German brands.
Frankfort on the Main, Rhenish, double refined.
Halberstadt, refined, Harz, soft.
Halberstadt, refined, Silesian, soft.
Hamburg, Harz, soft, double refined.
Cologne, Rhenish, soft, double refined.
Rerin, Mansfeld.
Copper (100 Zilograms).
Berlin, foreign I, Bede brand.
Frankfort on the Main, German double refined, in aheets.
Hamburg, English, best selected.

Breslau, good, Silesian.
Zinc (100 kilograms).

Frankfort on the Main, refined, eine blend.
Halberstadt, Rhenish Westphalisn, crude.
Hamburg, Silesian, in sheets.
Cologne, Rhenish, crude, "W H und S S."
Tin (100 kilograms).
Frankfort on the Main, Bence.
Hamburg, Bance, in blocks.
Anthracite coal (1,000 kilograms).
German:
Breslau, pit price, Lower Silesian, gas.
Breslau, pit price, Upper Silesian, gas.
Dortmund, at the mine, fallen, lump (run of mine).
Dortmund, at the mine, puddle.
Duliseldorf, at the mine, open-burning.
Düsseldorf, at the mine, anthracite.
Dulsseldorf, at the mine, uninflammable.
Dulsseldorf, at the mine, gas.
Essen, at the mine, open-burning.
Esaen, at the mine, anthracite.
Essen, at the mine, uninflammable.

German-Concluded.
Essen, at the mine, gas.
Saarbrucken, at the mine, open-burning.
Saarbrücken, at the mine, anthracite.
English:
Danzig, f. o. b., English, pea.
Danzig, f. o. b., Scotch, machine.
Hamburg, f. o. b., West Hartley.
Hamburg, f. o.b., Sunderland.
Petroleum ( 100 kilograms), with container.
American:
Standard white, Berlin.
Standard white, Danzig.
Standard white, Hamburg, in bond.
Standard white, Mogdeburg.
Standard white, Mannheim.
Standard white, Posen.
Standard white, Stettin.
Russian:
Breslau.
Labeck, "Nobel."
SURSTITUTIONS, ADDITIONS, AND OMISSIONS
Within the period of 13 years covered by index numbers three series of relatives have been changed, namely, those for hides and skins, cotton yarn, and cotton cloth. The change in the series for hides and skins was occasioned by the substitution in 1909 of a new set of quotations for Frankfort hare skins (German and Russian) with no alteration in the description of the article and with some of the earlier actual average prices identical with the old figures. The data for six years of the base period are incomplete for the new series. No reason is assigned for the substitution of the new series and no explanation is given in regard to its source. The later series, being published in the 10 -year table 1900-1909, does not include the first year for which index numbers have been regularly shown. Minor substitutions of varieties or brands which apparently do not affect prices are occasionally indicated in footnotes to the tables (e. g. the Mannheim quotations on oats as given in the tables published in 1912). Actual price series printed in "old-style" type on account of a break in the comparability of the figures are in a number of cases represented by relative series (e. g. the Cologne coffee quotations).
The changes in the series of index numbers for cotton yarn and for cotton cloth were occasioned by the discontinuance of quotations from the Stuttgart market. In this case new series of relatives with the Stuttgart quotations eliminated were constructed for the whole period covered by the index numbers. Series of actual prices with data lacking for one or more years are in several cases represented by series of relative prices.

Within the period which affects the index numbers only two nuw commodities, cocoa and rubber, have been added. In these cases, as in cases where new quotations are added for commodities already included, the actual price series alone is given.

\section*{TABLE OF RESULTS.}

The following table of index numbers is taken from more than one report, because no table of relatives as published covers more than 10 years. In cases where the earlier and later series of index numbers are not the same both sets of figures have been copied.

RELATIVE PRICES OF ARTICLES OF WHOLESALE TRADE.
[Sorice: Viertallahreshelte aur Statistlik des Deritschen Feechs: 1012 and preceding years.]
(Base perlod, 1889-1898-100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Commodity. & 1809 & 1000 & 1001 & 1902 & 1903 & 1904 & 1805 & 1903 & 1907 & 1908 & 1909 & 1010 & 1011 & 1912 \\
\hline R7o. & 100 & 97 & 96 & 97 & 91 & 00 & 98 & 107 & 127 & 123 & 115 & 101 & 118 & 125 \\
\hline Wheat & 91 & 88 & 94 & 94 & 90 & 98 & 88 & 100 & 116 & 118 & 130 & 116 & 114 & 121 \\
\hline Oats.. & 98 & 98 & 101 & 108 & 93 & 92 & 103 & 114 & 125 & 114 & 119 & 107 & 120 & 134 \\
\hline Corn (malze) & 91 & 103 & 106 & 116 & 106 & 108 & 115 & 119 & 131 & 140 & 139 & 127 & 127 & 147 \\
\hline Barley.... & 90 & 98 & O8 & 95 & 92 & 94 & 101 & 103 & 114 & 118 & 112 & 103 & 121 & 129 \\
\hline Hops. & 118 & 90 & 81 & 88 & 138 & 166 & 107 & 2 & 78 & 54 & 97 & 142 & 195 & 184 \\
\hline Potato & 93 & 103 & 87 & 81 & 102 & 133 & 126 & 83 & 122 & 121 & 115 & 88 & I4B & 165 \\
\hline Beef. & 101 & 104 & 102 & 106 & 113 & 115 & 120 & 129 & 128 & 121 & 115 & 127 & 134 & 145 \\
\hline Pork & 91 & 02 & 107 & 114 & 98 & 84 & 123 & 128 & 106 & 112 & 128 & 127 & 110 & 141 \\
\hline Venl & (1) & (1) & (1) & (1) & (1) & (1) & (1) & (1) & (1) & (1) & (1) & (t) & 167 & 181 \\
\hline Muttor & 108 & 108 & 109 & 117 & 128 & 123 & 134 & 146 & 144 & 136 & 137 & 143 & 146 & 160 \\
\hline Rye foo & 97 & 05 & 94 & \({ }^{68}\) & 89 & 87 & 91 & 101 & 322 & 120 & 110 & 97 & 106 & 115 \\
\hline Wheat & 91 & 86 & 92 & 92 & 89 & 94 & 94 & 97 & 112 & 115 & 126 & 113 & 171 & 115 \\
\hline Butter. & 100 & 100 & 105 & 101 & 105 & 107 & 112 & 116 & 114 & 119 & 120 & 123 & 129 & 134 \\
\hline Potaro alcoh & 102 & 97 & 81 & 71 & 86 & 127 & 107 & 91 & 119 & 143 & 108 & 107 & 104 & 141 \\
\hline Rapeseed 0 & 90 & 109 & 107 & 100 & 90 & 85 & 87 & 105 & 135 & 129 & 107 & 107 & 118 & 123 \\
\hline Herting & 129 & 144 & 116 & 128 & 106 & 99 & 136 & 146 & 115 & \(\underline{50}\) & 107 & 121 & 124 & 145 \\
\hline Coffe & 53 & 63 & 56 & 55 & 51 & 60 & 62 & 62 & 59 & 60 & 62 & 74 & 97 & 107 \\
\hline Tes & 93 & 92 & 84 & 89 & 87 & 90 & 81 & 87 & 94 & 88 & 87 & 94 & 102 & 102 \\
\hline Tice & 108 & 104 & 104 & 95 & 104 & 101 & 100 & 103 & 115 & 113 & 107 & 105 & 118 & 142 \\
\hline Popp & 147 & 168 & 164 & 161 & 167 & 159 & 155 & 143 & 123 & 87 & 60 & 103 & 122 & 143 \\
\hline Lard. & 82 & 105 & 127 & 152 & 126 & 105 & 109 & 130 & 135 & 133 & 171 & 183 & 136 & 156 \\
\hline Leat tobao & 108 & 108 & 108 & 101 & 93 & 01 & 92 & 103 & 121 & 118 & 112 & 129 & t+1 & 123 \\
\hline Hider and & \(\left\{{ }^{1}{ }^{1} 87\right.\) & 2113
110 & 1111 & 1122 & \({ }^{2} 117\) & \({ }_{2} 118\) & 132
129
129 & 146
142 & 137
134 & 12 & 143 & 156 & 144 & 180 \\
\hline Wool. & 127 & 117 & 94 & 104 & 117 & 118 & 123 & 134 & - & 121 & 132 & 135 & 129 & 131 \\
\hline Cotto & 79 & 120 & 102 & 105 & 128 & 144 & 114 & 130 & 135 & 122 & 137 & 172 & 159 & 142 \\
\hline Cottom & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}492 \\ 891\end{array}\right.\) & 1117
5116 & 1105 & [105 & \({ }^{-121}\) & 1131
3131 & 1108
4117 & 134 & 150 & 137 & 181 & 149 & 142 & 138 \\
\hline & E 81 & 5116
107 & \begin{tabular}{l}
+104 \\
+88 \\
\hline 88
\end{tabular} & -104 & - 121 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3131 \\
& 1 \$ 18
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
8117 \\
108 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}127\end{array}\right.\) & 145 & 119 & 118 & 121 & 116 & 123 \\
\hline & \({ }^{\circ} 86\) & - 108 & 689 & - 94 & - 111 & \[
1118
\] & 5109 & 127 & 145 & 119 & 118 & 121 & 116 & 123 \\
\hline Imen je & - 96 & 118 & 120 & 102 & 110 & 120 & 116 & 130 & 157 & 152 & 110 & 124 & 134 & 134 \\
\hline Raw silt & 109 & 108 & 91 & 99 & 107 & 93 & 89 & 107 & 133 & 96 & 99 & 96 & 85 & 98 \\
\hline Hemp & 112 & 124 & 135 & 128 & 121 & 114 & 114 & 125 & 132 & 128 & 130 & 138 & 145 & 187 \\
\hline Mexican ib & 105 & 112 & 97 & 98 & 117 & 128 & 122 & 121 & 117 & 113 & 100 & 104 & 114 & 113 \\
\hline Raw jute. & 88 & 114 & 107 & 103 & 111 & 113 & 149 & 194 & 185 & 152 & 128 & 131 & 180 & 191 \\
\hline Iran, German, pfg & 122 & 158 & 11.5 & 106 & 115 & 104 & 104 & 119 & 138 & 119 & 101 & 107 & 108 & 123 \\
\hline Iron, English, pig & 138 & 145 & 113 & 115 & 108 & 102 & 108 & 117 & 126 & 112 & 110 & 112 & 110 & 129 \\
\hline Iron, Ewedish, & 120 & 148 & 118 & 115 & 113 & 112 & 114 & 118 & 118 & 115 & 115 & 124 & 123 & 123 \\
\hline 1,and. & 130 & 149 & 112 & 97 & 100 & 102 & 118 & 140 & 185 & 116 & 112 & 111 & 120 & 154 \\
\hline Coppe & 149 & 148 & 141 & 108 & 120 & 119 & 141 & 176 & 179 & 121 & 119 & 116 & 114 & 148 \\
\hline Zino. & 131 & 108 & 91 & 88 & 110 & 118 & 133 & 141 & 126 & 107 & 119 & 124 & 135 & 141 \\
\hline Tin. & 158 & 160 & 118 & 150 & 158 & 158 & 180 & 225 & 216 & 188 & 169 & 193 & \({ }_{238} 23\) & 259 \\
\hline Coal, anthracito, Ger & 108 & 120 & 123 & 116 & 112 & 111 & 113 & 118 & 127 & 133 & 129 & 127 & 125 & 130 \\
\hline Coal, anthracito, Eng & 113 & 159 & 125 & 118 & 114 & 108 & 108 & 113 & 137 & 129 & 118 & 120 & 118 & 139 \\
\hline Petroleum, American & 106 & 110 & 106 & 103 & 110 & 104 & 99 & 106 & 108 & 113 & 110 & 105 & 105 & 123 \\
\hline Petroleum, Tusstan & 102 & 106 & 97 & 91 & 90 & 98 & 98 & 102 & 106 & 112 & 106 & 103 & 104 & 120 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
1 No index number published.
Neqy geries cooasioned by substitution in F'ranklort quotation for German and Rnssian bare sting
Old series.
I New serica Inoluding Strittgart quotationg.
Old series including Stuttrart quotations.
Old saries inciuding stutrfart quiotations.
}

\section*{INDEX NUMBERS OF THE JAHRBÜCHER FÜUR NATIONALÖKONOMIE UND STATISTLK. \\ publication.}

Three general "indexes" and one table of index prices for which no general relative is computed, all based on German wholesale price statistics, are found in the Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik, which is published monthly at Jena, Germany. They are, as now published, the work of Dr. Johannes Conrad, a professor of the University of Halle, although in the years through which the index numbers have been carried, different persons have assisted in their compilation.

No distinctive name, so far as known, has become identified with any of the three, though they are variously spoken of as "Conrad's indexes" and the "Jahrbücher indexes," and are now and then referred to as the "Hamburg indexes."

These index number, ppear about two years late, and with some irregularity. Thus the tigures for 1911 appeared in the August, 1913, issue and those for 1910 in the issue of July, 1912. Since 1887, however, presentation of the figures at some time during the year hes been made. Each issue of the Jabrbücher reproduces the figures from the begioning, though those for the earlier years are grouped by periods in the later issues.

\section*{HISTORY.}

The following is a translation of the history of these price studies as given by Dr. Conrad in volume 17 of the Jahrbücher, third series, 1899, page 642:

In these Jahrbücher, in volume 3, 1864, appeared for the first time the results of an investigation into the course of prices, based upon the Hamburg quotations on imported goods subject to taxation. Prof. Laspeyres was the author. This study was based upon the one already made by Soetbeer which brought the data up to 1856, Laspeyres carrying the figures to 1862 for 48 articles. He compared the years 1851-1862 with both of the two preceding decades, taking the arithmetical mean of the prices, in order to demonstrate the alteration of prices in consequence of the gold importation resulting from the discovery of gold in California.

In the year 1874, in volume 23, Prof. H. Paasche, then a student, at my suggestion carried the investigation further upon the basis of the same materials but by a different method. Instead of computing the arithmetical mean he reckoned the quantities of 22 articles consumed by the population in the current year and multiplied the quantity of each article by the average price for the basic period (1847-1867) and by that for the current year, in order to get a more aceurate relative.
Again in the year 1882 Richard van der Borght, now Prof. van der Borght, took up this computation according to the same principles and for the same articles and printed the results of his investigations in volume 5 (new series), 1882. However, he used other figures for the
consumption quantities, since statistics on this subject in the meantime had improved.

In the year 1887 we ourselves in volume 15 (new series) utilized the Hamburg quotations for an investigation of the price reduction in the eighties, and carried the data forward in the same manner. Since then wethave annually compared the prices of the current year with those for preceding years, in order to determine how the movement of prices has further developed.

\section*{sOURCE OF QUOTATIONS,}

Two of Dr. Conrad's indexes and one table of 47 articles for which a general index is not printed are based on the actual yearly average prices appearing in the publication entitled "Hamburg's commerce and shipping" (Hamburgs Handel und Schiffahrt), issued by the Hamburg Bureau of Trade Statistics (Handelsstatistisches Amt).

This publication contains actual prices, per 100 kilograms, net, stated in marks. The report for the year 1911 contains prices for 174 articles and subdesignations of articles. The price is that of seaborne commerce declared at entry at the port of Hamburg.

In the first study published in the Jahrbücher, that appearing in volume 3, 1864, by Dr. E. Laspeyres, professor in the University of Basel, and entitled "Hamburg prices from 1851 to 1863 , and the Califormia and Australian gold discoveries since 1848" (Hamburger Waarenpreise 1851-1863 und die californisch-australischen Goldentdeckungen seit 1848), Dr. Laspeyres stated that the prices for the 48 articles used by him in preparing his relative had appeared every Friday since the year 1736 in the official "General price-current" (Allgemeiner Preis Courant). However, so far as known, no use of them for purposes of a relative had been made prior to Prof. Soctbeer's compilation beginning with 1831. In volume 23, 1874, Prof. Paesche stated that the current report on Hamburg's commerce and shipping for that year contained prices for more than 300 articles for the years 1847-1872. Prof. Soetbeer, in a study entitled "The movement of prices in the years 1886-1890" (Das Niveau der Warenpreise in den Jahren 18861890), published in the Jahrburcher, volume 58 (3d series, vol. 3), 1892, made the sapue statement for the years 1886-1888. In other words, no material change had occurred in the method of quoting Hamburg prices from 1847 to 1888.

During all these years Hamburg had been a free port, collecting duties on all goods entering the city, even if they came from other States of Germany, and therefore the prices declared at entry on all goods, whether received into the city by sea or river, by rail or wagon, appeared in the official price statistics. On October 15, 1888, however, Hamburg entered the German customs union (Zollverein). The following statement as to the effect of this change on the Hamburg quotations is abstracted from Prof. A. Soetbeer's statement in
the Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik, vol. 3, 3d series, 1892, pp. 590, 591 :

With the entry of Hamburg into the German customs union the Hamburg trade statistics underwent a fundamental alteration which no longer permitted of a direct comparison with former years. The quotations upon articles of domestic commerce-i. e., articles received from neighboring German States-ceased, and quotations upon imports entering by sea only were available from that date. Therefore, for only those articles which had previously been exclusively er in overwhelming proportion brought in by sea were the figures after 1888 comparable. If the long series of preceding calculations of average yearly prices was not to be finally terminated and an entirely new series started, it would be necessary to make a complete revision and recomputation of the preceding tables and a new computation of average actual prices to include through the entire period from 1847 to 1888 only those articles which had been imported by sea.

The great importance of the Hamburg quotations as a basis for price statistics being generally recognized, and the great desirability of a continuous series दonm 1847 being evident, the director of the Hamburg bureau of traub statistics decided to make this recomputation. By means of subsidiary material, by use of the price declarations on exports by sea for the period 1847-1888, by the utilization of trustworthy market reports for the period, and in some degree by the use of expert estimates, the average actual prices were brought to the more restricted basis for the entire period.

Prof. Soetbeer concludes his discussion in these words: "These combined means-i. e., of recomputation-have cost much work and time, but one may with all good confidence put trust in them that in spite of all difficulties success has been attained in securing the continuity of this most important work on the movement of prices, which is not only desirable but necessary."

The effect of this change is discussed by Dr. Conrad in the Jahr,bücher for 1893 (3d series, vol. 6, p. 695):

Unfortunately the first source-i. e., Hamburgs Handel und Schiffahrt-has undergone an alteration which has rendered necessary a reconstruction of the tables based upon it. Up to the year 1888 the prices were reckoned upon the customs declarations of goods entering by all means of transportation. As a result of the entry of Hamburg into the German customs union the customs declarations now embrace only goods imported by sea. As a result of this à comparison with preceding years could not be made with any accuracy. Now, however, the yearly average actual prices for 1847-1888 have been recomputed on the basis of 1888 . This has been done by restricting the prices for 1847-1888 to those articles which were imported by sea. This reduced the number of articles upon which quotations were given from 320 to 180.

No changes of importance have taken place since Dr. Conrad's article was written. An average actual quotation is now carried for each of 171 articles and subdesignations of articles.

The fourth series of relative prices published in the Jahrbücher and prepared by Dr. Conrad is not based upon the famous Hamburg wholesale price quotations but upon official statistics of the German customs union. The history and source of this series are separately treated on page 232 of the present bulletin.

From this point it will be necessary to consider separately the three series of index numbers based on the Hamburg trade statistics which Dr. Conrad now presents annually in the Jahrbücher.

Index numbert computed from pricses of 39 selected articles.
The first series contains relatives for each of 39 articles from 1871 to date and appears in current issues of the Jahrbücher. No general relative is now computed for this group. This is the table used in the Report from the Committee on Finance of the United States Sen-. ate, 1893. \({ }^{1}\)

\section*{BASE PERIOD.}

This table appears in the first study of this series, vol. 3 of the Jahrbücher, 1864, by Dr. E. Laspeyres in practically its present form. For 42 of the 48 articles then included in it the period 1831-1840 was used as a base. Relatives for 3 articles had 1841-1850 as a base, while for 3 articles 1851-1853 was the base. A general relative, not weighted, was computed with 1831-1840 as the base.
When the study of relative prices wes resumed in the Jahrbūcher by Prof. Paasche, volume 23, 1874, the period 1847-1867 was used as a base and the relative for each of 47 articles was computed, but as at present Prof. Paasche made no general relative for this table.

Of the three articles for which Dr. Laspeyres had been forced to use 1851-1853 as a bese, Dr. Paasche dropped two (soda and Java coffee), while rapeseed appeared under a slightly different designation. He makes no comment as to how he adjusted it to the basis of 1847-1867.

Dr. Richard van der Borght, by whom the work was continued in 1882, did not print this table at all. Its publication was resumed, however, by Dr. Conrad in volume 1, 3d series, of the Jahrbücher, 1891, with 1847-1870 as the base. This base has remained unaltered since that date.

\section*{PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.}

In the latest available presentation of the table, that published in June, 1914, relatives are shown by articles and decades from 1871 up to and including 1900. Relatives appear for 1901-1905, 1906-1910, and for each of the years separately from 1906 to 1912, inclusive. By the use of the tables in preceding issues, beginning with volume 1, 3d series, 1891, it is possible to get the decennial relative for each article since 1851-1860 and the yearly relative from 1886 to date, on

\footnotetext{
1 Report from the Committee on Finance of tho United States Senate on Wholessale Prices, Wages, and Transportation. Mar. 3, 1808, bod Congress, 2d session, Report No. 1394. Pt. I, pp. 297-301.
}
the present base. No yearly relatives are printed for the years 1864-1885, inclusive, and the yearly relatives for 1851 to 1863, appearing in the Jahrbūcher (vol. 3, 1864) are, as already noted, on a different base. Their reduction to the present base has not been made except by decades.

Actual average prices are also shown in the June, 1914, issue of the Jahrbŭcher by zentners ( 50 kilograms) in marks, for 1847-1870, for the decades 1871-1880, 1881-1890, and 1891-1900, for the five-year periods 1901-1905 anc 1906-1910, and by single years from 1906 to 1912. By the use of preceding issues, actual average prices by years are available, beginning with 1886.

A comparison with the official figures shows that from 1891 to date the actual prices of the table under consideration are those of Hamburgs Handel und Schiffahrt as now published in its current issue, reduced from the doppelzentner ( 100 kilograms) to the zentner ( 50 kilograms). The data if \(=\) years prior to 1891, however, are not those shown in the current onficial publication but those published previous to the complete recomputation of the official figures, which was made after Hamburg entered the German customs union; in other words, Dr. Conkad's table of actual prices for years prior to 1888 has not been readjusted to the basis of 1888, as are the official quotations which are now published for 'Hamburg.

Two relatives are given for each article for the current year, namely, the per cent which the average price for that year is of the average price for 1847-1850 and for 1871-1880, respectively.

No general relative has ever been printed for this table except as it first appeared in 1864, although the Committee on Finance of the United States Senate in 1893 computed a relative for its own use. \({ }^{2}\)

\section*{NUMBER AND CLASS OF COMMODITIES.}

The table shown in the Jahrbücher for June, 1914, the latest available, embraces 39 articles. As originally computed by Dr. Laspeyres the table contained 48 articles, but Prof. Paasche in 1874 made a number of changes in his selection and reduced the number to 47. He brought the table from 1847 to 1872 to the basis of his selection, however, and since he computed no general relative, the changes were of no especial significance. As already stated, this table was not printed from 1874 until Dr. Conrad resumed it in 1891. (Jahrbücher, 3d series, vol. 1, pp. 916, 917.) In it he used the same 47 articles that Dr. Paasche had used.

In later issues of the Jahrbücher actual prices as well as relatives appear for all articles up to the year 1891, inclusive. In 1892, however, neither actual prices nor relatives were shown for raw sugar,

\footnotetext{
I Report from the Committes on Finanoe of the United States Senate on Wholesale Prices, Wages, and Transportation. Mar. 3, 1593. 52d Congreas, 2d sessilon, Report No. 1394. PL. I, pp. 297-301,
}
silk, flax, hops, rapeseed oil, horsehair, or butter, and no prices or relatives have appeared for these articlessince that year, although they were not finally eliminated from the table until the issue of the Jarbücher for July, 1911. No explanation of the change is made.

All the articles embraced in this table are either raw materials or semimanufactured materials.

\section*{DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.}
* No description of the articles in this table has accompanied it after its first appearance in 1864. At that time Dr. Laspeyres gave a detailed description, but his list of articles is not the same as is used in later tables, and the time that has elapsed since its appearance makes his description of no great present significance. It is therefore not reproduced. It may be found in the Jahrbücher, vol. 3, pp. 89-92, inclusive.

As the table now stands, the description of articles is an exact copy of the description found in Hamburgs Handel und Schiffabrt with the following exceptions;

Cotton.-The table as published in the Jahrbücher merely says "cotton" (Baumwolle), but in the original table the boxhead reads "Cotton and cotton waste" (Baumwolle und Baumwoll-abfall). The actual prices are those of "Commerce and shipping" reduced to a basis of 50 kilograms.

Coal.-Dr. Conrad's designation is "Coal and coke" (Steinkohlen und Koks), but the official designation is "Coal" (Steinkohlen). Dr. Conrad's actual prices are the same as the official figures, reduced to zentrers.

Iron, English bar.-This designation does not appear at all in the official Hamburg figures. "Bar iron from all sources" (Stangen-Eisen im Ganzen) and "English strap iron" (Stangen-Eisen, englisch) are quoted. Comparison of the actual prices, as quoted by Dr. Conrad in the Jabrbücher, shows that from 1881 to 1890 the quotation appearing under the heading "English bar" is "English strap iron." From 1891 to 1905 it is the quotation of the official figures for "bar iron from all sources." From 1906 to 1910 it is again the quotation for "English strfap iron." So far as can be ascertained, no explanation of this irregularity is offered.

No grouping of commodities is made in the table. No general relative has been published since 1864. The relative for 1864 was not weighted.

\section*{Index numbert computed from pricen of 19 articlen in 6 groupe.}

A second table of weighted relatives for six groups containing 19 articles, together with a combined weighted relative for all articles, appears in current issues of the Jahrbücher. The weighted relative
for all articles is in each issue compared with a simple relative based on all the articles quoted in the official Hamburg prices for which the continuity of the quotations is such as to make them usable. This latter relative is discussed on pages 228-232 of this bulletin.

\section*{BASE PBRIOD.}

The weighted relative as now published is calculated on two bases for purposes of comparison: 1847-1880 and 1871-1880. The table also contains a relative forfine years 1871-1880 with the period 1847-1867 as a base.
This table first appeared in Prof. Paasche's study published in vol. 23,1874 . At that time \(1847-1867\) was used as the base. This same period was used as the base period by Dr. van der Borght in 1882, but he also made a relative for the years 1876-1880, with 1847-1875 as a base. When Dr. Conrad calculated this table in 1887 he reduced it to its present form.

PRICES: HOW GHOWN AND COMPUTED.
As the table is now published relatives are shown for the 10 -year periods 1881-1890 and 1891-1900, for the 5-year periods 19011905, and 1906-1910, snd for each year since 1905. By the use of preceding issues a yearly relative for the present 19 articles is available beginning with 1888 and also a relative by 5 -year periods beginning with 1881-1885.

\section*{Number and class of commodities.}

The first issue of the table embraced 22 commodities, all raw materials. As it now appears it contains 19 raw materials. The reduction from 22 to 19 articles really occurred in 1892, since in the table of actual prices from which the relatives were made no quotation has appeared for sugar, silk, or unforged zinc since 1891. The table, however, was preserved in its original form until vol. 31 of the Jahrbücher, 1906 (containing the relative for 1904), when the table was recast to include only the 19 articles now quoted. No explanation of the reason for the omission of the three articles noted above was made, but since they are not now quoted in Hamburgs Handel und Schiffahrt, their omission from the official statistics undoubtedly forced their omission also from Dr. Conrad's weighted relative.

DRSCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF GOMMODITIES.
The articles used by Dr. Conrad are described in his table exactly as they appear in their original source (Hamburgs Handel und Schiffahrt), with four exceptions:
1. Rice.-The quotation on rice is that for all rice, without distinction of kind.
\[
9 \mathrm{~A}: 61^{\circ}-\text { Bull. 173-15-15-15 }
\]
2. Cotton.-In the Jahrbücher the quotation under the heading "Cotton" (Baumwolle) is the price appearing in Hamburgs Handel und Schiffahrt under the heading "Cotton and cotton waste," (Baumwolle und Baumwoll-abfall).
3. Fish oil.-This article appears in the Hamburg tables merely as "Tran," in the Jahrbücher as "Fischtran."
4. Coal.-The quotation in Hamburgs Handel und Schiffahrt is for "coal and coke" (Steinkohlen und Koks), while in the Jahrbücher the heading used is merely "Coal" (Steinkohlen). The price, however, is that for coal and coke.

Actual average prices are also shown in current issues of the Jahrbücher by zentners ( 50 kilograms) in marks from 1847 to date.-

The grouping of articles is as follows:
I. Coffee, cocoa, tea, pepper, and rice.
II. Cotton.
III. Indigo, saltpeter, fish oil, and palm oil.
IV. Iron (pig and cast), tin, copper, and lead.
V. Coal.
VI. Wheat, rye, barley, and oats.

Wergeting.-
The index number under consideration was first weighted by Prof. Paasohe according to consumption in the German Empire in 1874. He states that he determined the consumption quantities which he used by various means. For those articles which were not produced in Germany it was possible to get fairly accurate data from the import and export statistics of the German customs union. The articles which came to Germany exclusively by importation were coffee, cocoa, tea, pepper, rice, cotton, indigo, saltpeter, fish oil, and palm oil. Domestic production of sugar being at that time subject to a tax, it was possible to get accurate statistics of the consumption of sugar from the official imperial statistics (Reichsstatistik). The same was true of the mineral products, iron, zinc, tin, copper, lead, and coal. Prof. Paasche stated that the least satisfactory were his statistics for the consumption of grain. He found that it was absolutely impossible to make accurate tables of grain production, and he therefore used the figures of Hausner in his "Comparative European Statistics" (Vergleichende Statistik Europas), 1864.
The weighted relative was computed according to the method of Drobisch. To illustrate, the relative for each group for the year 1868 was computed as follows:

German consumption for that year of each article in the group was ascertained as indicated above. It was then multiplied by the average price for the base period, 1847-1867, and by the average price
for 1868. The sum of the products for 1847-1867 was then asoertained and likewise the sum of the products for 1868 . The relative for 1868 was the ratio of the sum of the products for 1868 to the sum of the products for 1847-1867.

The relative for the entire table of 22 articles (now 19 articles) in six groups was made in the same way. That is, the sum of all the products for 1847-1867 was found and the sum of the products for 1868 was compared with it to get the general relative. Thus, the textile group consistld of cotton and silk. The average price of cotton for 1847-1867 was 26.83 thalers per zentner; for 1868, 25.92 thalers per zentner! The consumption of cotton in 1868 was 1,509,961 zentners. The average price of silk for 1847-1867 was 616.31 thalers per zentner; for 1868, 858.12 thalers per zentner. The consumption of silk for 1868 was 22,088 zentners. The relative for the group was therefore secured as below:


The sum of the 22 products for \(1847-1867\) was \(954,341,370\); for 1868 it was \(1,130,430,232\). The relative for the 22 articles for 1868 therefore was \(\frac{113,043,023,200}{954,341,370}\) equals 118.5 .

The table was reweighted for each year from 1868 to 1872 , inclusive, according to the consumption for that year.
When Dr. Richard van der Borght computed this table in 1882, he followed the same method as Prof. Paasche, weighting anew for each year the figures for the years 1873 to 1880 , inclusive. As to the source of his statistics of consumption he states that for grains, instead of using Hausner's results for the years 1873-1877, he substituted the figures of \(\mathbf{X}\). von Neumann-Spallart which had appeared in his "Review of International Traffic" in 1878. For the years 1878-1880 he used the imperial statistics of domestic grain production which had become available.

The estimates of consumption of other articles were all besed on official imperial statistics (Reichsstatistik), including statistics of domestic production, and of export and import.

When Dr. Conrad computed the table for the years 1880 to 1886, inclusive (Jahrbücher, vol. 15, pp. 322-331), he used the consumption
statistics of 1880 for the entire table, having abandoned Dr. van der Borght's method of weighting each year separately. Dr. Conrad has continued to weight this table according to the consumption statistics of 1880 to the present time.

So far as can be ascertained, the general relative for the entire 19 articles was weighted for all years prior to 1906 , inclusive, as indicated in the preceding paragraphs. From 1907 to date, however, the general relative has been obtained by computing the simple arithmetical average of the relatives for the sif groups.

\section*{testing.}

It can not be said that any method of testing was applied to Dr. Conrad's weighted relative prior to 1889 . From that year, however, the weighted relative has been compared to the unweighted relative for all articles with continuous quotations included in Hamburgs Handel und Schiffahrt. For 1889 this simple relative embraced 318 articles. It now includes but 157 articles. Dr. Conrad has also included in his periodical price studies since that date a relative based on the official imperial quotations (Reichsstatistik), and in addition has reprinted Sauerbeck's index as found in the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, London. These various relatives he has utilized for comparison with his own work.

Indax numbers computed from pisees ofermazitclas.
Beginning with volume 1, 3d series, of the Jahrbücher for 1891, Dr. Conrad's price studies have also included, as statad in' the preceding paragraph, a simple relative for all the articles contained in Hamburgs Handel und Schiffahrt for which the quotations have been continuous. The relative is printed in the same table as the weighted relative described above and is used for purpose of comparison with it.

BASE PERIOD.
The base periods are the same as for the weighted relative already discussed. A relative for the years from 1871 to 1880, with 1847-1867 as the base, and two relatives for the years from 1881 to date are shown. Of the latter the first has as its base the period 1847-1880, while the second is computed upon 1871-1880 as a base.

\section*{PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTKKD.}

In the latest available issue of the Jahrbücher (June, 1914), relatives are shown for each of the decades 1881-1890 and 1891-1900, for the five-year periods 1901-1905 and 1906-1910, and for the years 1906 to 1912 separately. By the use of preceding issues it is possible to get a yearly relative beginning with 1886 and a relative by fiveyear periods beginning with 1881-1885.

\section*{NUMBER AND CLASS OF COMMODITIES.}

As now printed the index number includes 157 articles, largely raw materials, but including also some manufactured and semimanufactured articles, as varied in character as possible. A yearly relative on the present basis of 157 articles is available only from 1902 to date. This is occasioned by the fact that when the relative was recomputed on its present basis the years prior to 1902 were grouped.

When first computed for 1889 and preceding years 318 articles were included. The relative for 1891 and preceding years, which appeared in volume 5, third series, embraces 320 articles. However, by the time that Dr. Conrad prepared his relative for 1892 the recomputation of the Hamburg prices necessitated by the entry of Hamburg into the German customs union, to which repeated reference has been made, had taken place, and Dr. Conrad found it necessary to recompute his relative on the reducer basis of 163 articles.

Dr. Conrad's sfí' ement concerning this is as follows:
It became necessary for us to reduce the number of articles included in our computation of the arithmetical mean from 320 to 163 articles, and on this basis we have available figures from 1847 to date. This fact is bound to have an appreciable effect on our results. The decrease in prices as a result of this in recent times is rendered less important, while the rise in prices in the seventies compared to 1847-1867 becomes greater. Thus with the old list of articles the relative for 1871-1880, with 1847-1867 as a base, is 104. With the restricted list it is 111. With 1847-1880 as a base the average of 320 articles for the decennium 1871-1880 has a relative 79, but the relative for the new list of 163 articles is 95 .

The difference is yet greater for the years \(1890-91\), for which the relative according to the old method on the base 1847-1880 was 74, but is now 95, and on the base 1871-1880 was 72 and is now 85 plus. The year 1392, so far as comparison is possible, with the base 18711880 would have shown a decrease of from 70 for 1891 to 68 for 1892. With the present list of articles, however, the relative for 1892 is 82.7 as against 87.4 for 1891 .

This is a difference of about 15 per cent, arising from the fact that the prices of domestic products had decreased much more than the prices of those imported by sea. This difference will serve to warn against the putting of too great faith in these tables, fgr they show how results differ according to the number of articles considered and show how necessary it is to use as large a number of articles as possible.

With the publication of the relative for 1906 and preceding years in volume 34, third series, of the Jahrbücher, 1907, the number of articles was further reduced to 158 and the relative was recomputed from the beginning on the new basis. Dr. Conrad does not state what articles were dropped. When the index for 1907 was printed the number was still further reduced to 157, without recomputation, where it now stands.

\section*{DFSCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.}

No list of included articles is printed in the Jabrbücher. The 157 articles, however, are from the following list of 174 articles for which average annual prices from 1850 to date appear in "Hamburgs Handel und Schiffahrt." The quotations for the articles marked with an asterisk (*) are in some degree imperfect, and it seems safe to conclude that the excluded articles are among those so designated:
- 1. Aloes.
2. Antimony.
3. Oranges.
4. Arrack.
5. Asphalt.
6. Balsam of copaiba.
7. Peruvian balaam.
8. Cotton and cotton waste.
9. Bay leaves.
10. Tin plate.
11. Sheet iron.
12. Lead.
13. White lead.
14. Borax.
15. Bristles.
16. Cinchona bark.
17. Cochineal.
18. Divi-divi.
19. Iron wire.
20. Pig and caat iron.
21. Bar iron from all sources (im Ganven).
22. Strap iron, English.
23. Sheet billets, Swedish.
24. Iron rails and fishplates.
*25. Elephant's tuaks and ivory.
26. Peas.
27. Extract of logwood.
28. Extract of redwood.
*29. Extract of yellowwood.
*30. Extract of quercitin (dyer's oak).
31. Figs
32. Deersking, doeskins, and reindeer skins.
33. Calisking.
34. Sheep and goat skins.
35. Dried fish.
36. Meats, freah and cured.
*37. Meat extracta.
*38. Nutgalla.
39. Ootton yarn.
*40. Coconut fiber yarn.
"41. Jute and manila hemp yarn.
42. Linen yarn.
43. Woolen and half-woolen yart.
*44. Casings ( \(i\), e., for sausagea).
45. Yellow metal and brass.
46. Gin.
47. Barley.
48. Plate glass.
49. Natural guano.
50. Gum arabic.
*51. Gum benzoin.
*52. Dammar.
53. Raw rubber.
54. Copal.
55. Gutta-percha and chicle.
56. India-rubber ahoes.
57. Dried and salted hides.
58. Oats.
59. Hemp.
60. Resin and galipot.
61. Herring.
62. Logwood.
63. Yellowwood.
64. Redwood.
65. Ebony wood.
66. Rosewood (Jacaranda).
67. Corkwood.
68. Mahogany.
*69. Walnut wood.
70. Cedar wood.
71. Honey.
72. Ox and cow horns.
73. Indigo, natural and manufactured.
74. Raw ginger (zinziber).
75. Iodine and iodine preparations.
\({ }^{*} 76\). Jute.
77. Cheese.
78. Coffee, raw, without designation of kind (im Ganten).
79. Coffee, raw, Bravil.
80. Coffee, raw, San Domingo.
81. Coffee, raw, Java.
82. Coffee, raw, La Guaira.
83. Coffee, raw, Porto Rico.
84. Cocoa.
45. Potasgium monochromate.
86. Camphor.
87. Cinnamon.
88. Cassia lignea and cassia vera.
89. Catechu, brown and yellow.
90. Bones.
491. Bone ash.
92. Bone charcoal and bone meal.
93. Cognac.
94. Currants.
95. Corks.
96. Madder.
97. Copper.
98. Licorice.
99. Leather.
100. Candles. \({ }^{1}\)
101. Maize.
102. Almonds.
*103. Manila hemp, sisal, etc.
104. Nutmeg flowere
105. Nutmegs.
106. Nails, iron.
107. Cloves.
*108. Corozo nuts and coconuta (for use in making buttons, etc.).
109. Walnuts and havelnuts.
110. Castor oil.
*111. Cottonseed oil.
112. Coconut oil.
113. Linseed oil.
114. Olive oil.
115. Palm oil.
116. Turpentine.
*117. Oil cake.
*I18. Paraifin and vaseline.
119. Mother-of-pearl shells.
*120. Refined petroleum.
121. Pepper.
122. Phosphorus.
123. Piassaba.
124. Allspice.
*125. Quicksilver.
126. Rice, hind not specified (i. e., im Ganzen).
\({ }^{*}\) 127. Rice, Japanese.
128. Rice, Java.
129. Rye.
130. Raisins.
131. Rum.
132. Grass seed.
133. Olover seed.
134. Flaxseed.
135. Rapeseed (Raps Undrubsaal).
\({ }^{*}\) 136. Sesame seeds.
137. Sago and tapioca.
138. Saltpeter.
139. Anchovies.
140. Sardines.
141. Shellac, and gumi lac.
142. Slate.
143. Grease.
144. Sulphur.
145. Sail twine (canvas yarn).
146. Soda, calcined and crystallized.
147. Steel.
148. Stearine.
149. Coal.
150. Rattan.
151. Sumac.
152. Tobacco, without designation as to origin (im Ganzen).
153. Tobaceo, San Domingan.
*154. Tobacco, Cubra.
155. Tobacco, Porto Rican.
156. Tallow.
157. Cordage, new.
158. Tea.
159. Fish oil.
160. Wax.

161, Baleen.
162. Spermaceti and margarine.
163. Wines without designation of origin or lind (im Ganzen).
164. Wines, excluaive of champagne.
165. Champagae.
166. French wines.
167. Portuguese wines.
168. Spanish wines.
169. Tartar.
170. Wheat.
\({ }^{* 171}\). Woolen waste and 4 hoddy.
172. Sheep's wool.
173. Tin.
174. Lemons.

\footnotetext{
1 This term ts not further defined in current tssues. The word "Lichte," which in technical usage is equivalent to "Kergen," eppears without qualifleation. In the issue for 1889 it was deaned as "Stearin-Liehte" i. e., composite candles; but it can not be safely inierred that at present composite candies only are included, sines the technical term "Lichte" covers all sorts of candles, as tallow, perafin, wax, etc.
}

\section*{TESTING.}

The only test of this relative so far as is shown in the Jahrbücher is its comparison with Dr. Conrad's weighted index, with Sauerbeck's index for England, and with that which Dr. Conrad bases on the German imperial statistics (Reichstatistik).

Index numbers based on pricep of the German euntonu unton.
In addition to the three series of index numbers described in the foregoing paragraphs Dr. Conrad regularly includes in his annual study of prices a table of actual and relative prices derived from official quotations of the German customs union. This table first appeared in the Jabrbūcher when Dr. Conrad took up the work of studying German prices, as published in the fifteenth volume of the new series, 1887 (forty-ninth volume of entire series). This index has usually appeared with those based on Hamburg prices, but in a few cases has been issued separately. In general it has appeared more regularly than the Hamburg index.

\section*{SOURCE OF QUOTATIONS.}

In the volume of the Jabrbücher in which this table first appeared Dr. Conrad merely states that "Table III, which follows, presents the movement of prices from 1871 to 1886, as they are so satisfactorily published in the official imperial statistics, and we compare the periods 1879-1882 and 1883-1886."

In the next issue, however, the table is credited to the "Monthly Statistical Journal of the German Empire" ( Monatshefte der Statistik des Deutschen Reichs), and it continued to be so credited until the publication of the table for the year 1892 (Jahrbücher, 3d series, vol. 61, entire series, 1893). From that date to the present it has been from the "Monthly Statistical News of Foreign Commerce in the German Customs Union" (Statistische Nachweise uiber den auswärrigen Handel des deutschen Zollgebiets).
base pieriod.
As at prespat issued the index is computed upon two bases-1879-1883 and 1879-1889. The period 1879-1882 was the base ns the table was originally printed. It was changed to \(1879-1883\) with the presentation of the table for the year 1888 (Jahrbücher, vol. 18, whole series vol. 52, 1889). The second index, with the base 18791889, was added when the table was printed for 1890 (Jahrbücher, 3d series, vol. 1, whole series vol. 56, 1891).

\section*{PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.}

On the base 1879-1883 the table as now published \({ }^{1}\) shows relatives by five-year periods from 1884 to 1913, inclusive, and annual relatives for years since 1908. By the use of preceding issues of the Jahrbücher an annual relative is available from 1888 to date, excepting for 1889 and 1902. For these years relatives'were not computed, although the actual prices were available.

On the base 1879-1889 the table shows relatives by five-year periods from 1889 to 1913 and annual relatives from 1908 to date. By the use of preceding issues an annual relative is available from 1890 to date, except for 1889 and 1902, as noted above.

Actual prices are presented in the same way, and are available for every year of the period covered.

\section*{NUMBER AND CLASG OF COMMODITIES.}

The table as constincted embraces quotations on 33 articles. In this number are included two designations each for sugar, coffee, tobacco, and cotton yarn. However, for the years since 1909 the quotation on herring is lacking, while from 1907 to date no quotation on copper is shown. Therefore the relative as now printed is actually based on 33 articles from 1884 to 1906, 32 articles from 1907 to 1909, and 31 articles for years since 1909.

The relative, however, is not computed on 31 quotations but on 103, as for many articles several quotations are utilized in making up the average annual price on which the relative is based. There has been a slight variation in the number of quotations from time to time, but no change of any significance. As first issued the relative was based upon 96 quotations.

Of the 33 articles in the list some are raw materials, others are finished manufactures, while a few are semimanufactured products.

DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.
The articles are not divided into groups. They are described as follows in the current issue of the Jabrbücher: \({ }^{2}\)
1. Wheat, 14 quotations ( 1892 to date).
2. Rye, 14 quotalions ( 1892 to date).
3. Barley, 15 quotations ( 1892 to date).
4. Maize, 5 quotations ( 1892 to date).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Jahrbucher fur Nationalokonomle und statistif, 102. Band (III. Folge, 47. Band), Heft 6. Juni, 1914, p. 800.

Idem, pp. 700-sint.
}

\section*{TESTING.}

The only test of this relative so far as is shown in the Jahrbücher is its comparison with Dr. Conrad's weighted index, with Sauerbeck's index for England, and with that which Dr. Conrad bases on the German imperial statistics (Reichsstatistik).

\section*{Index numbers based on prices of the German cuttome unton.}
.In addition to the three series of index numbers described in the foregoing paragraphs Dr. Conrad regularly includes in his annual study of prices a table of actual and relative prices derived from official quotations of the German customs union. This table first appeared in the Jahrbücher when Dr. Conrad took up the work of studying German prices, as published in the fifteenth volume of the new series, 1887 (forty-ninth volume of entire series). This index has usually appeared with those based on Hamburg prices, but in a few cases has been issued separately. In general it has appeared more regularly than the Hamburg index.

\section*{SOURCE OF QUOTATIONS.}

In the volume of the Jahrbücher in which this table first appeared Dr. Conrad merely states that "Table III, which follows, presents the movement of prices from 1871 to 1886 , as they are so satisfactorily published in the official imperial statisties, and we compare the periods 1879-1882 and 1883-1886."

In the next issue, however, the table is credited to the "Monthly Statistical Journal of the German Empire" (Monatshefte der Statistik des Deutschen Reichs), and it continued to be so credited until the publication of the table for the year 1892 (Jabrbücher, 3d series, vol. 61, entire series, 1893). From that date to the present it has been from the "Monthly Statistical News of Foreign Commerce in the German Customs Union" (Statistische Nachweise über den auswärtigen Handel des deutschen Zollgebiets).

\section*{BASE PERIOD.}

As at present issued the index is computed upon two bases-1879-1883 and 1879-1889. The period 1879-1882 was the base as the table was originally printed. It was changed to \(1879-1883\) with the presentation of the table for the year 1888 (Jahrbücher, vol. 18, whole series vol. 52, 1889). The second index, with the base \(1879-\) 1889, was added when the table was printed for 1890 (Jahrbücher, 3d series, vol. 1, whole series vol. 56, 1891).

\section*{PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.}

On the base 1879-1883 the table as now published \({ }^{1}\) shows relatives by five-year periods from 1884 to 1913, inclusive, and annual relatives for years since 1908. By the use of preceding issues of the Jahrbücher an annual relative is available from 1888 to date, excepting for 1889 and 1902. For these jears relatives were not computed, although the actual prices were available.

On the base 1879-1889 the table shows relatives by five-year periods from 1889 to 1913 and annual relatives from 1908 to date. By the use of preceding issues an annual relative is available from 1890 to date, except for 1889 and 1902, as noted above.

Actual prices are presented in the same way, and are available for every year of the period covered.

\section*{NEMBER AND CLASS OP COMMODITHES.}

The table as constructed embraces quotations on 33 articles. In this number are ifynded two designations each for sugar, coffee, tobacco, and cotton yarn. However, for the years since 1909 the quotation on herring is lacking, while from 1907 to date no quotation on copper is shown. Therefore the relative as now printed is actually based on 33 articles from 1884 to 1906, 32 articles from 1907 to 1909, and 31 articles for years since 1909.

The relative, however, is not computed on 31 quotations but on 103, as for many articles several quotations are utilized in making up the average annual price on which the relative is based. There has been a slight variation in the number of quotations from time to time, but no change of any significance. As first issued the relative was based upon 96 quotations.

Of the 33 articles in the list some are raw materials, others are finished manufactures, while a few are semimanufactured products.

\section*{DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIRS.}

The articles are not divided into groups. They are described as follows in the current issue of the Jahrbücher: \({ }^{3}\)
1. Wheat, 14 quotations ( 1892 to date).
2. Rye, 14 quotations ( 1892 to date).
3. Barley, 15 quotations ( 1882 to date).
4. Maize, 5 quotations ( 1892 to date).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Jahrbobher fitr Nationalokonomie und Statistic, 102. Band (III, Folge, 17. Band), Heft 6. Juni, 1914, p. 800 .

Idem, pp. 799-801.
}

\section*{234 bullettin of the bureau of labor statistics.}
5. Oats, 14 quotations (1892 to date).
6. Wheat flour, 6 quotations ( 1892 to date).
7. Rye flour, Berlin.
8. Rapeseed oil, Berlin.
9. Potato alcohol, Berlin (1892-1903), Hamburg (1904 to date).
10. Raw sugar, Magdeburg.
11. Refined sugar, Magdeburg.
12. Coffee, Rio, good ordinary, Bremen (Sabanilla, 1896 to date).
13. Coffee, plantation, Ceylon, medium, Frankfort on the Main.
14. Rice, Rangoon table, Bremen.
15. Pepper, Bremen.
16. Herring, Norwegian, Hamburg (Seotch, 1904 to date).
17. Leaf tobacco, ordinary Kentucky, Bremen.
18. Leaf tobacco, second-grade Brazil, Bremen.
19. Cotton, middling upland, Bremen.
20. Wool, Berlin.
21. Hemp, Lăbeck.
22. Raw silk, Milan organzine, Krefeld.
23. Cotton yarn, Nos. 40-120, Krefeld.
24. Cotton yarn, warp 16, Mohlhausen, in Alsace.
25. Cotton cloth, Muhlhausen, in Alsace.
26. Linen yarn, No. 30, flax yarn, Bielefeld.
27. Lead, 6 quotations,
28. Copper, Mansfeld, Berlin
29. Zinc, 5 quotations.
30. Tin, 3 quotations.
31. Pig iron, Scotch No. 1, Hamburg (up to 1900, ithelusive, Berlin).
32. Petroleum, Hamburg (up to 1900, Bremen), in bond.
33. Coal, Westphalian, Berlin.

\section*{SUBSTITUTTONS AND ADDITIONS.}

Such substitutions as have been made are with respect to grade or place of quotation and are indicated in the description above. No additions to the list of articles have been made.
testing.
This relative is presented for purposes of comparison with the two other general relatives published currently in the Jahrbücher, namely: The weighted relative of 19 articles (based on Hamburg quotations) and the simple relative of 157 articles (also based on Hamburg quotations):

Dr. Conrad also reprints Sauerbeck's index for comparison with his own, and in addition compares them with other studies which appear from time to time.

\section*{TABLES OF RESULTS.}

The following tables, compiled mainly from the issue of the Jabrbücher for June, 1914, show the principal index numbers computed by Dr. Conrad:

RELATIVE PRICES OF 89 SELECTED ARTICLES (BASED ON HAMBURG TRADE STATISTICS), BY SPECIFIED PERIODS, 1874 TO 1910, AND BY YEARS, 1800 TO 1012.1
(Base period, 1847-1870-100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Article. & \[
1871-
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1881- \\
1890
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1891- \\
19000
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1901- \\
1905
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1900- \\
& 1910
\end{aligned}
\] & 1906 & 1807 & 1908 & 1909 & 1910 & 1911 & 1012 \\
\hline Caffee & 135. & 120. & 125. & 75.90 & 83.37 & 82.09 & * & 81.84 & 84.65 & 102.23 & 130.44 & \\
\hline & 116.53 & 130.88 & 119.07 & 109.48 & 111.84 & 103.48 & 154.58 & 118.15 & \({ }^{97}\). 68 & 95.80 & 97. 05 & 100.30 \\
\hline Tea & & 87.20 & 52.96 & 53.98 & 58.8 & 80.86 & & 51.04 & 81.75 & 60.48 & 63.50 & 57.56 \\
\hline Curran & 89.5 & 80.33 & 63.44 & 79.0 & 96.3 & 90.8 & 97. 40 & 95.50 & 94.82 & 104. 34 & 109. 7 & 110.71 \\
\hline Rasims & . 5 & 88.10 & 79.43 & 04. & 102.8 & 99.5 & 118.89 & 102.78 & 89.10 & 108. 44 & 119.52 & 122.88 \\
\hline Almand & 110.91 & 111.22 & 101.34 & 101.56 & 122.96 & 89. & 125.70 & 114.17 & 126.09 & 128.20 & 135.4 & 138.64 \\
\hline Pepp & 140.35 & 182.83 & 104.65 & 162.61 & 127.35 & 144.41 & 135.27 & 115.40 & 114.99 & 128.64 & 143.5 & 164.37 \\
\hline Cocon & 80.13 & 68.54 & 61.26 & \%0.8 & 76.93 & 71.92 & 77.30 & 71.48 & 72.40 & 85.11 & 78.0 & 72.83 \\
\hline Palm 0 & 100.46 & 71.0 & 57.6 & 62. & 74.67 & 69.47 & 78.41 & 68.63 & 69.15 & 85.31 & 83.16 & 81.78 \\
\hline Indigo & 111.4 & 93. & 77. & 54. 2 & 40.8 & 47.15 & 51,80 & 38.24 & 33.75 & 34.5 & 43.9 & 85.94 \\
\hline Mahoga & 02.5 & 83.1 & 68. & 56.97 & 42.86 & 48.53 & 44.29 & 4.13 & 37.11 & 43.2 & 57.0 & 78 \\
\hline Cotton & 81.0 & 03 & 45. & 48. 24 & 49.70 & 48. & 48.8 & 50.52 & 45.23 & 55.5 & 29. & 1. 85 \\
\hline Hemp & 88.0 & \(8{ }^{2}\) & 80.3 & 90.13 & 91.69 & 83.95 & 81.88 & 97.46 & 96.7 & 101.17 & 97.15 & 53.27 \\
\hline Rice. & 81. & 68.2 & 59.3 & 57.78 & 65.33 & 62. 24 & 68.40 & 69.84 & 84. 47 & 62.6 & 74.60 & 89.84 \\
\hline Whea & 104.38 & 76.2 & 61. & 60.73 & 73.24 & 63.65 & 67.31 & 73.97 & 84.11 & 73.9 & 71.6 & 76.53 \\
\hline Rye & 108.2 & 82.5 & 7. & 68.46 & 80.10 & 70.84 & 82.60 & 00.61 & 89.0 & 73. & 76. & 87.48 \\
\hline Barl & 127.7 & 89.95 & -57.76 & 51.34 & 67.84 & 63.35 & 7.15 & 71.36 & 09. & 62.6 & 72.6 & 88.53 \\
\hline & 109.9 & 89.3 & 78. & 82.24 & 88.93 & & 101.6 & 80.48 & 91.53 & 85.8 & 边 & 109.69 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Cloverse \\
Rapeand seed.
\end{tabular} & 115.0 & 95. & 82.46
72.13 & 85.8 & 93.58 & 78.51 & 86.80 & 94.08 & 90.02 & 108.48 & 114.58 & 111.24 \\
\hline \(L \operatorname{lnseed}\) & , & & 60. & 78.36 & T1.20 & 68.06 & 75.24 & 78.86 & 76.57 & 96.50 & 115 & 102 \\
\hline Calstin & 103.00 & 74.42 & & 70. & 87.8 & 94. 10 & 82.60 & 86.48 & 87.31 & 86.77 & 88.7 & 85.20 \\
\hline Brist & 135.22 & 145.76 & 88.7 & 74.42 & 81.0 & 82.12 & 78.52 & 77.91 & 80.76 & 85.7 & 93.92 & 98.92 \\
\hline Wa & & 54.13. & \% & 74.41 & 75. & 81.98 & 83.06 & 72.42 & 67.14 & 72.7 & 80.7 & 82.48 \\
\hline Tallo & 89.7 & 74.00 & 57.52 & 68.11 & 74. 7 & 68.82 & 74.76 & 78.47 & 73.51 & 78.68 & 78.6 & 84.68 \\
\hline Fish & 88.1 & \({ }^{66.65}\) & 49. & \({ }_{75}^{52 .}\) & & & 57. & 51 & 54 & 62.10 & \({ }^{65} 5\) & \\
\hline Herrin & 121.94 & 100.15 & 103.7 & 110.82 & 117 & 12 & & & 110.3 & 11 & 117 & \\
\hline Iron, & 117.71 & 76.57 & 78.20 & 83.38 & 97.5 & 94. 5 & 97. 2 & \({ }_{97} 1.5\) & 96. 46 & 104.08 & 96. 46 & 103.81 \\
\hline & 98. 3 & 84.7 & 84.4 & 05.00 & 103. 85 & 105.33 & 111.6 & 100.27 & 107.18 & 118. 16. & 143.3 & 153.85 \\
\hline Copp & 88.02 & \({ }^{63} .81\) & 5 5 .20 & 67.35 & 68.90 & 70.50 & 81.95 & 65.36 & 65.77 & 63.8 & 63. 4 & 72.31 \\
\hline Lead. & 11219 & 83.77 & 86.44 & 95. 08 & 102.09 & 112.18 & 116.70 & 102.58 & 06.2 & 91.14 & 86.4 & 98.24 \\
\hline Qulensily & 129.54 & 83.40 & 87.18 & 102.43 & 91.36 & 87.54 & 84.08 & 92.43 & 94.67 & 95.65 & 98. & 92.25 \\
\hline Coal and col & 100.88 & 77.78 & 85.85 & 87.135 & 87.05 & 83.85 & 93.8 & 92.59 & 83.8 & 88.42 & 8272 & 05.08 \\
\hline Saltpeter. & g & 73 & 56.30 & & & 75.14 & 75. 70 & 68.98 & 85.8 & 6.111 & 87, & 74.58 \\
\hline lish).... & 113.53 & 12.8 & 2 & 5.08 & 1.37 & 76. 69 & 39 & 3.5 & 0.74 & . 22 & 2, 51 & \\
\hline Cotton yarn & 115.60 & 106.38 & 87.32 & 95.66 & 113.48 & 114. 12 & 109.64 & 110.96. & 133.41 & 121.13 & 127.38 & 132.45 \\
\hline Woolen and half-woolen yarn. & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Linen y & 80.55 & 88. 17 & 109.81 & 116.56 & 117.10 & 116.30 & 121.75 & 117.93 & 111.49 & 117.35 & 121.11 & 125.60 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Jahrtideher fiar NationalZkonomie und Statistik, 102. Band (III. Foige, 47. Band), Eeft 6. Juni, 1914, p. 7 . 6.

RELATIVE PRIGES OF CERTAIN GROUPS OF ARTICLES WEIGHTED IN PROPORTION TO THEIR CONSUMPTION (BASED ON HAMBURA TRADE GTATISTICS), BY SPECIFIED PERIODS, 1871 TO 1910, AND BY YEARIS, 1906 TO 1012.1
(Race period, 1847-1880-100.)


\footnotetext{
1 Jahrbilcher far Nationalokonomif und Statistik, 102. Band (IIL. Folge, 17. Band) Heft 6. Juni, 194, \({ }^{1}{ }_{2}{ }^{7}\) Computed from prices of only 134 articles, alnce the separate listing of several qualitise of an articlos, such as tea, coffee, rice, wool, ofl, etc., has been discontinued.
}

RELATIVE PRICES OF CERTAIN GROUPS OF ARTICLES WEIGHTED IN PROPORTION TO THEIR CONSUMPTION (BABED ON HAMBURG TRADE STATISTICS), BY SPECIFIED PERIODS, 1871 TO 1010, AND BY YEARS, 1800 TO 1912-Concladed.
(Brive period, 1871-1880-100.)

\({ }^{1}\) Computed from prices of caly 134 articles, stace the separate listing of meveral qualities of an artiche, mech as lies, coffee, rice, wool, onl, otc., has been ilscontinned.
(Base pertod,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & Article. & 1890 & 1891 & 1888 & 1803 & 1894 & 1836 & 1808 & 1807 & 1808 & 1899 \\
\hline & Wheat-141 quotations. & 102.5 & 118.9 & 99.73 & 82.70 & 72.61 & 75.78 & 83.37 & 9.24 & & \\
\hline 2 & Rye-141 quotations. & 110.27 & 138. 65 & 117.35 & 89. & 77.95 & 79.08 & 80.01 & 85.5 & 97.7 & \({ }_{106.20}^{93.88}\) \\
\hline 3 & Barleg-15 \({ }^{1}\) quotation & 113.03 & 112.12 & 104.26 & 101.41 & 93.95 & 8.15 & \({ }_{65} .43\) & 99. & 107.9 & 107.5 \\
\hline & Malze-5. quotations & 91.53 & 116.60 & \({ }^{96.35}\) & 88.40 & 8.50 & 84.84 & \({ }^{60} 609\) & 68. & & 83. \\
\hline 5 & Osts- \(13^{1}\) quotations & \[
\left|\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
1165
\end{array}\right|
\] & \[
\left|\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
15.49
\end{array}\right|
\] & 106.43
94.33 & & \({ }^{98} 8.84\) & \({ }^{88} 8.61\) & 94.01 & 101.85 & 110.6 & \\
\hline & Wheat flour-61 quo & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
100.60 \\
12 .
\end{array}\right.
\] & 115.14 & (28.33 & \({ }_{85.50}^{78 . g}\) & 70.54 & 74.62 & & 88.20 & 96 & 80 \\
\hline 8 & Rapessed oll, Berlin & 120.15 & 110.98 & \({ }_{85.63}\) & \({ }_{80.51}^{85.5}\) & 78.72 & 79.89 & 88.28 & & & \\
\hline 9 & Potsto alcohol, Hambury & 112.78 & 40.46 & 115.36 & 106.74 & 100.9 & & 107.75 & & & \\
\hline 10 & Sugar, raw, Magdeburg & \({ }^{63} 8199\) & 67.51 & & & 45.6 & 39.85 & 44.27 & d & & \\
\hline 112 & Sugar, refined, Magdeburg-.... Collee, Rio,' good ordinary, Bre- & 83.14 & 83.98 & 85.22 & 85.15 & & 66. 49 & 72.69 & 69. & & 84.84 \\
\hline 13 & \begin{tabular}{l}
men. \\
Coflee, plantation, Cepion, mo dium, Frankiort on \(\frac{\text { asin..... }}{}\)
\end{tabular} & & & & & & & & & & 4 \\
\hline 14 & Rlce, Rangoon, table, Bremen.. & 103.13 & 102.01 & 95, 58 & 86.51 & \({ }^{83} .56\) & 76.85 & 9. 2 & 86. & 10. & 106.29 \\
\hline 15 & Pepper, Bremen & 88.57 & 68.61 & 52.92 & 49. & 39.00 & 39.90 & 40.20 & & & \\
\hline 17 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Herring, Norwagian, Hamburg. \\
Leal tobacco, Kentucky, ordi-
\end{tabular} & & & 86.40 & 61.16 & & & 76.28 & 96. & & \\
\hline & & 68. 78 & 75.68 & 85.60 & 101.31 & 80.83 & 76.98 & 67.64 & 6E. 3 & & \\
\hline 18 & Leal tobaceo, Branil, second prade, Bremen & 136.45 & & 85. 56 & 83.24 & 1.03 & 6 & 88.72 & & & \\
\hline 19 & Cotton, middling upland; Bremen & & & & & & & 7. & 65.55 & & \\
\hline 20 & Wool, Berlin & 94.37 & 89.50 & 81.30 & 7R. 14 & 70.81 & 73.16 & 77.04 & 72.34 & 36. & 08. 78 \\
\hline 21 & Hemp, Lub & 91.67 & 85.61 & 83.43 & 85.08 & 106.5 & 107.60 & 105. 72 & 101.5 & 107.0 & 103.19 \\
\hline 22 & Raw silk, Krefeld & \({ }_{100}^{95.03}\) & 77.73 & 83.56
81.55 & \({ }^{102.85}\) & \({ }^{68.44}\) & 77.30
81.13 & 78.81
80.57 & 70.48
80.28 & 71.63, & 98. 28.88
88, \\
\hline 24 & Cotton yarn, Nos.40-120, Xrefeld Cotton yarn, warp, 16, Müheusen, in Alsace. & & & & & & 81.13
77.000 & & 85.20 & & \\
\hline \[
\stackrel{25}{26}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Calico, Mülhausen, in Alsace..... \\
Linen yarn, No. 30, dlax yarn,
\end{tabular} & & & 89.56 & & & 95.00 & 94.78 & 79.5 & 76.52 & 78.33 \\
\hline 77 & Lead-61 quota & 100.888 & 80.57 & & 75. & 73.64 & \({ }_{80.13}^{86}\) & 85.41 & 83.80 & 99.3 & \\
\hline 28 & Copper, Berlin & 101.48 & 93.45 & 83.95 & 79.44 & 70.63 & 77.2 & 52. & 83.86 & 9. & 9.m \\
\hline 29 & Zinc-5' \({ }^{\text {a }}\) quotations. & 143.22 & 143.32 & 130.50 & 107.19 & 96.05 & 01.0 & 101.2 & 108.2 & 127.0 & 1167.46 \\
\hline 31 & Tin-3 quatations \(\ldots . . . . . . .\). & & & & \[
\text { | } 82.34
\] & & & & & & 118.08 \\
\hline 32 & Petroleum, iamburg, in iond. & & 8 & 79.0 & 62.8 & & 88.92 & 82 & 70 & & 97. 9.5 \\
\hline 33 & Coal, Westphalian, Berlin.... & 132.60 & 129.40 & 117.34 & 11.12 & & 114.58 & 113.6 & & 17.56 & 127 \\
\hline & Arithmetical aver & 5.71 & 88.14 & 85.32 & 91. 62 & 82. 54 & 81.75 & 81.82 & 82.65 & 34.04 & 99.60 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{4}\) Present number has varted from time to time.
2 Relative not computed for this year.

PRICES AS SHOWN BY OFFICLAL STATISTICS OF THE GERMAN CUSTOMS UNION).
tifi, 3. Folge, \(1,5,11,17,24,31,34,44,46\), and 47.]
1879-188\%=100.)


Trom 1892 to 1894 , No. 00 with sack; from 1895 to dete, No. OfL. Coftee, Sabanille from 1896 to date, EMerlin, 1879-1903, Hamburg, raw, 1804 to date.

\footnotetext{
- Java, 1872-1890.
}

\section*{INDEX NUMBERS OF OTTO SCHMITZ.}

PUBLICAYYON.
The data embodying the results of Mr. Schmitz's original study of the course of wholesale prices were published in book form in Berlin in 1903. \({ }^{1}\) "Those from 1903 to 1906 are extracted from manuscript figures supplied by the author himself and in the possession of the Royal Statistical Society. As regards later years the index numbers have been taken from the supplement to the Zeitschrift für Sozialwissenschaft (Monatliche Ubersichten über die allgemeine Wirtschaftslage); only the general index numbers for all articles and for one group (the metal group) are there given, however." (Great Britain. Report of an Enquiry by the Board of Trade into Working-class Rents and Retail Prices, 1912. p. 354.)

\section*{HISTORX.}

This study was undertaken in order to furnish a convenient means of measuring the fluctuations in German prices. It was considered highly desirable to construct an index covering a long period of years and reliable data from a single source were not available. By using two sources the author was able to cover the second half of the nineteenth century and to include six price waves, whose duration he fixes as follows:

From 1849 to 1858.
From 1858 to 1870.
From 1870 to 1879.
From 1879 to 1886.
From 1886 to 1895.
From 1895 to 1912.

\section*{source of quotations.}

The earlier series of index numbers (1851-1885) is based on quotations of the bureau of trade statistics at Hamburg. \({ }^{2}\) Mr. Soetbeer, who used figures from the same source, says in regard to the way in which the quotations were obtained:
"Throughput this period (1847-1885) Hamburg was an important market for almost all raw materials. Moreover, it has been a free port, without duties or differential taxes. Commodities imported are declared in writing, with a statement of their weight and of their ordinary trade designations. Their value is stated separately for each commodity, either according to its price on 'Change that day, or if there were no quotations, according to the probable price plus the cost of importation. For consigned goods a careful estimate of

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Die Bowegung der Warenpraine in Dentchsland pon 1851 Dss 1802; nebst swel Ergänsungen: Bankdiskont, Goldproduktion und Warenpretsstand, der Weizenprets, von 400 v. Chr. bia 1900 . Von Otto Bchmilts. Berlin, 1008. 443 pp .
\({ }^{3}\) In regard to Hamburg bureau of Trade Statistios see also pp. 220 and 221.
}
the prices sufficed, sometimes supplemented with a statement of their insured value. These declarations, which were carefully supervised, were then collected by the bureau of trade statistics, and tables were made out of the quantity and value of goods exported and imported." \({ }^{1}\)

The later index number (1879-1902) is based on data of the Imperial Statistical Office, which publishes for each of the more important commodities several series of quotations representing a number of important markets and varieties. From these the author has selected as a basis for his index number a single series from a representative market, and in connection with the detailed description of the article has stated the manner in which prices are obtained for the Imperial Statistical Office at that market. These statements are retained with the descriptions as given herewith. Eleven markets are represented: Berlin and Bremen by 8 commodities each; Breslau and Hamburg by 3 each; and Danzig, Magdeburg, Krefeld, Bielefeld, Dortmund, Cologne, and Essen by 1 each.

In the few casefea which a hiatus occurs in the official series the source of the substituted data is stated in connection with the description of the commodity.

\section*{BASE PERIOD.}

The average of the 10 annual prices as given by the Imperial Statistical Office for the first 10 years covered by its reports (1879-1888)was taken as the base for both series of index numbers. On the ground that the period was a time of quiet and normal business development and contained a period of falling prices, beginning in 1880 , and a period of rising prices, beginning in 1885, the average price was considered comparatively normal and reesonably satisfactory as a basis.

The base period for lard is evidently the 9-year period, 1880 to 1888. The Imperial Statistical Office did not publish quotations on lard for 1879 and no other quotations were substituted.

\section*{PRICES: HOW SHIOWN AND COMPUTED.}

The various methods of determining monthly averages are given - in the section on description and grouping of commodities. The simple average method is used in computing the various averages for the tables. All index numbers are printed with two decimals.
Data of the Imperial Statistical Office are in almost all cases shown separately from Hamburg data and in much greater detail. The principal table of the study shows for each article and group of articles the index numbers for each month, quarter, half year, and year from 1879 to 1900, while the corresponding table for Hamburg gives the index number for articles and groups' by years only. Actual prices of the later series are shown by months and of the earlier by years.

\footnotetext{
- Bimetallism in Europe, by Edward Atkinson, p. 222

04201 \({ }^{\circ}\)-Bull 173-15-16
}

\section*{NOMBER AND CLASS OF COMMOBITESS.}

The index number based on the Imperial Statistical Office prices (1879-1902) represents 29 commodities, while the earlier number based on Hamburg prices (1851-1885) includes only 24 commodi-ties-corn, linen yarn, petroleum, and one quotation each for iron and coal being lacking. The list of 29 commodities is the original list of the Imperial Statistical Office, with the following modifications: (1) Wheat flour, rye flour, refined sugar, cotton yarn, and cotton cloth are omitted as not being strictly raw materials. Linen yarn, however, is not omitted because the list does not include flax. (2) Two kinds of iron and two of coal are carried as separate commodities instead of one description for each group. (3) Lard and butcher's meat are added as being important commodities introduced early in the period covered by the figures of the statistical office.

For the years 1909 to 1912, inclusive, however, copper and one description of pig iron are excluded.

\section*{DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.}

The descriptions of commodities are based on the descriptions of the selected varieties as given by the Imperial Statistical Office and cover the years 1879-1902. \({ }^{1}\) Concerning the descriptions for the period 1851-1885 the general statement is made that Hamburg quotations are for standards of quality similar to those of the Imperial Statistical Office.

The grouping of commodities appears in the list which follows. The description of the first commodity is given in full, but the descriptions of the others are somewhat abbreviated.
```

Gronp 1.-Gralns.

```

\section*{1. Wheat.}

Official Berlin quotations. The average monthly price is ascertained by the committee of senior merchants of Berlin on the basis of daily quotations based on hearings of the brokers. The early quotations are for 1,000 kilograms good, sound, yellow wheat of all origins, 71.3 kilograms per hectoliter. On October 1, 1887, the standard was fixed at a minimum of 71.5 kilograms per hectoliter, and since January 1,1893 , the quotation is for good, sound, dry wheat, free from musty odor (hard wheat excluded), of all origins, minimum 72.5 kilograms per hectoliter. In the author's judgment this repeated raising of the standard has exercised no demonstrable influence on prices.

A further rise in the standard grading weight to 75.5 kilograms per hectoliter on January 1, 1893, was caused by the introduction of the new grain tester and was of merely formal nature.
On account of the closing of the Berlin grain exchange there are no official quotations for wheat, rye, and oats from January 1, 1897, to April 1, 1899. Therefore the official Brealau quotations, suitably adjuated (unter entsprechender Anpassung), are used. For January, February, and March, 1809, information founded upon the daily publi-

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) No detaited description is avaltable after 1902.
}
cations of the central quotation office of the Prussian agricultural chambers was used since the standard of quality was the game. From April, 1899, the official Berlin quotations are again given. The inder numbers calculated on the Brealau figures are printed in italics.

1879, duty free.
1880-1885, duty per 1,000 kilograms grose, 10 marks.
1885-1887, duty per 1,000 tilograms groes, 30 marks.
1887-1891, duty per 1,000 kilograms groes, 50 marks.
1891 and following, duty per 1,000 kilograms groes, 35 marks.

> 2. Rye.

Official Berlin quotations. The monthly average price is ascertained as in the case of wheat up to October, 1887. The quotations are for 1,000 kilograms good, sound rye of all origins. The standsrd grading weight rose during the period from 65.9 to 67.8 kilograms per hectoliter without demonstrable influence on the price. In the absence of Berlin quotations for the period January, 1897, to April, 1899, the procedure was the same as in the case of wheat.

1879, duty free.
1880-1885, duty per 1,000 kilogramos groes, 10 marls.
1885-1887, duty perf = \(\mathbf{0 0}\) kilograms groes, 30 marks.
1887-1891, duty per 1,000 kilograms groes, 50 marks.
1891 and following, duty per 1,000 tilograms gross, 35 marks.

\section*{s. Barley.}

Breelau quotations. The price is ascertained every week day by the municipal market commissioner. The monthly average price is derived from the Breslau chamber of commerce. The quotation is for 1,000 lilograms medium heavy barley.
1879, duty free.
1880-1885, duty per 1,000 kilograms groes, 15 marks.
1885-1887, duty per 1,000 lilograms groes, 23 marks.
1887-1891, duty per 1,000 kilograms groes, 23 marks.
1891 and following, duty per 1,000 kilograms gross, 22.50 marks.

\section*{4. Oats.}

Official Berlin quotations. The monthly average price is ascertained as in the case of wheat. The quotation is for 1,000 kilograms good, sound oats of all origins. The atandard grading weight has increased from 38.6 to 41.5 kilograms par hectoliter without demonstrable influence on the price. In the absence of Berlin quotations from January, 1897, to April, 1899, the procedure was the same as in the case of wheat. 1879, duty free.
1880-1885, duty per 1,000 kilograms groes, 10 marks.
1885-1887, duty per 1,000 kilograms groes, 15 marks.
1887-1891, duty per 1,000 kilograms groes, 40 marks.
1891 and following, duty per 1,000 kilograme groes, 28 marke.

\section*{5. Corn (maire).}

Bremen quotations. The price is determined every Saturday by the chamber of commerce through licensed brokers, and the monthly average price is derived from the medium prices actually paid. The quotations are for 1,000 kilograms mixed American corn of prime quality, in bond. On account of a shortage in corn, occasioned by poor crope in America, there are no quotations from April, 1882, to March, 1883. There are likewise no quotations from September to December, 1884, because
only La Plata and Danube varieties were in the market. Although corn is qioted in bond, duty rates are given for the sake of completeness.

1879, duty free.
1880-1885, duty per 1,000 kilograms groes, 5 marks.
1885-1887, duty per 1,000 kilograms groes, 10 marks.
1887-1891, duty per 1,000 kilagrams groes, 20 marks.
1891 and following, duty per 1,000 kilograms grose, 16 marks.
Group ith-other producta of agriculture and products of tabing.

\section*{6. Herrings.}

Danzig quotations. The monthly average price is ascertained on the basis of the prices actually paid every Saturday or on the last exchange day of every 'week as determined by the bourse commistion. The quotations are for 1 cask of \(l_{50}\) kilograms gross, "Crown and full," in bond. The custom rate, which is given a lthough the article is quoted in bond, is 3 marks per cask.

\section*{7. Rapeseed oil.}

Berlin quotations. The monthly average price is ascertained as in the case of tweat. The quotations are for 100 kilograms good, raw rapeseed ail. Owing to the closing of the Berlin produce exchange, January, 1897, to December, 1898, Konigabe rag quotations were used for these two years and continued through 1899 and 1900. Since 1901 Berlin quotations have been used again. The relativee for 1897 and 1898 are printed in italics.

1879, duty per 100 kilograms gross, including container, 3 marks.
1880-1885, duty per 100 kilograms groes, including container, 4 marks.
1885 and following, duty per 100 kilograms grows, includiug container, 9 mal:ks,

\section*{8. Alcohol.}

Hamburg quotations. The average price is ascertained through the brokers by the chamber of commerce on the basis of prices actually paid and the average, of the medium prices of every month. The quotation is for raw potato alcohol (per 1 ( m liters pure alcohol) in bond. For the sake of completenees the customs rates so far a could be ascertained are appended.
January, 1879, to July, 1879, duty per 100 kilograms net, 36 marks.
July 5, 1879 to 1885, duty per 100 kilograms net, 48 marks.
1885-1891, duty per 100 kilograms net, 80 marks.
July 1, 1891, to July 14, 1900, duty per 100 kilograms net, 125 marks.
July 14, 1900, and following, duty per 100 kilograms net, 160 marks.

\section*{9. Ravo sugar.}

Magdeburg quotations. The average price is determined on the basis of the highest and lowest prices fixed on Friday of each week by commissions and commis sioners of eenior merchants. The quotations are for 100 kilograms first quality. The description was 86 per cent polarization until October, 1887, 92 per cent yield until February, 1897, and 88 per cent yield aince that date. The first two deecriptions are considered as corresponding fairly well. The difference in value between 92 per
 into account in calculating the index number. From September, 1888, the quotation includes customs duty and excludes.excise tax, and the index number has been revised accordingly.

\section*{10. Butcher's meal.}

Berlin quotations. Prices have been quoted regularly by the month since May, 1887. For the years 1881 to 1886 the yearly average prices were subsequently ascer-
tained and communicated by the Imperial Statistical Office in December, 1889. The price for 1881 is the average price for the months March to December. For 1879 and 1880 Hanburg prices form the basis of the index numbers.
The index number is based on the average of the quotations for beef, veal, pork, and mutton. The earlier quotations were for 100 kilograms.
Beef, dressed weight, average of the prices for second grade.
Pork, live weight, with 20 per cent tare, highest quotation for second grade.
Veal, dressed weight, lowest quotation for first grade.
Mutton, dressed weight, medium of the prices for first grade.
Since July, 1897, the prices for beef, veal, and mutton are for 100 kilograms, slaughter weight. Both dressed weight and slaughter weight are estimated on the presumptive weight of the four quarters on which the price of the animal has been apportioned, dressed weight with and slaughter weight without the deduction of the value of the hide, head, feet, entrails, etc. The price based on slaughter weight, according to information obtained by the Imperial Statistical Office from authoritative sources, is about \(8 \frac{8}{2}\) per cent higher than the price based on dressed weight. In computing the index number, allowance has been made for this change in the manner of quoting.

> 11. Lard.

Bremen quotatias. The monthly average price is ascertained as in the case of corn. The quotations are for 100 kilograms refined American lard, Wilcox brand, in bond. The Imperial Statistical Office did not publish lard quotations until 1880. The prices for September, October, and November, 1888, and for January, 1892, were merely nominal, owing to lack of the commodity, but were included in the yearly average. Although lard is quoted in bond, the rate of duty, 10 marks throughout the period, is given.

\section*{Group IIL.-Colonial troods, ete. \\ 12. Leaf tobacco.}

Bremen quotations. The monthly average price is ascertained as in the case of corn. The quotations are for 100 kilograms Kentucky ordinary, container included, in bond. Although the article is quoted in bond, the rates of duty are given.
In 1879 the duty on 100 kilograms net was 24 marks.
At present the duty on tobacco leaves, stems, and ribs, as well as tobacco sauce, is 85 marks.
At present the duty on atripped leaves and smoking tobacco is 180 marks.
1s. Coffice.

Bremen quotations. The monthly average price is ascertained as in the case of corn. The quotations are for 100 kilograms net, including sack, in bond. Prior to 1896 the quotations were for "Rio, good ordinary," and since Janeary, 1896, for "Sabanilla, fair ordinary." The change was made because Rio, good ordinary, had become relatively of small importance in the Bremen market. Although quoted in boud, the duty rates are given.

Prior to July, 1879, duty per 100 kilograms net, 35 marks.
Since July, 1879, duty per 100 litograms net, 40 marks.
14. Rice.

Bremen quotations. The monthly average price is ascertained as in the case of corn. The quotations are for 100 kilograms Rangoon table rice, shelled, in bond. Although quoted in bond, duty rates are given.

Prior to July, 1879, the duty per 100 kilograms grose, 3 marks.
Since July, 1879, the duty per 100 kilograms groes, 4 marks.

\section*{15. Pepper.}

Bremen quotations. The monthly average price is ascertained as in the case of corn. The quotations are for 100 kilograms, black Singapore pepper, in bond. Although quoted in bond, duty rates are given.

Prior to July, 1879 , duty per 100 kilograms net, 39 marks.
Since July, 1879 , duty per 100 kilograme net, 50 marks.
Group IV,-Raw matertale of the texilit indugtry.
16. Cotion.

Bremen quotations. The monthly average price is ascertained as in the case of com. The quotations are for 100 kilograms middling upland, in bond, duty free.

> 17. Wool.

Berlin quotations. The monthly average price is ascertained on the basis of weekly quotations by the committee of senior merchants of Berlin after having heard the brokers. The quotations are for 100 kilograms North German sheep wool, medium grade, duty free.

\section*{18. Hemp.}

Hamburg quotations. Quotations every Friday. The monthly average price is ascertained as in the case of alcohol. The quotations are for \(\mathbf{1 0 0}\) kilograms Mexican, net, in bales of about 350 pounds, 7 pounds tare, in bond, duty free.
19. Raw silk.

Krefeld quotations. Quotations are ascertained on the second Monday of every subsequent month by a committee of merchants and manufacturem on the basis of local transsctions and of the medium price. The quotations are for 1 kilogram Milaneee organzine, classique, 18-20, duty free.

\section*{20. Linen garn.}

Bielefeld quotations. Quotations represent prices determined on the first and middle of every month by the secretary of the chamber of commerce on the basis of the prices obtained at the sales occurring at the spinning mills. The quotations are for 1 kilogram linen yarn, No. 30 (English number), medium price, betwreen grades Is and IIa, but for the aske of accuracy the author has given the yearly average price per 100 kilograms.

1879, duty fer 100 kilograms groes, 3 marks.
Groap V.-Metala.
21. Fotendry pig iron.

Breslsu quotations. Prices are obtained through private persons at the end of the month from the average of all the quotations for delivery on the ladt day of the month. The quotations are for 1,000 kilograms Sileaian foundry pig iron at the foundry. The grade of Silesian foundry pigiron quoted at Brealau is lowen than that quoted at Dileseldorf. Since 1897 other lower pricea have been quoted for pig iron eold to pointa in Lower Silesia, but theea have not been included in the index number.

Until June, 1879, duty free.
Since June, 1879, duty per 1,000 kilograms groes, 10 marks.

\section*{22. Bessemer pig iron (from the Ruhr district, Rhenish Westphalia).}

Dortmund quotations. Prices are determined at the end of every month by a committee of the chamber of commerce or the secretary of the chamber of commerce on the bssis of schedules filled out by producers, consumers, and dealers and on the basis of the lowest and highest prices. The quotations are for 1,000 kilograms Bessemer pig iron from the districts of the Ruhr at the foundry.

Until June, 1879, duty free.
Since June, 1879, duty per 1,000 kilograms gross, 10 marks.
2s. Lead.

Berlin quotations. The monthly average price is ascertained as in the case of wool. The quotations until May, 1899, are for 100 kilograms Tarnowitz lead, Saxonia brand, and since May, 1899, are for 100 kilograms Tarnowitz and Harz lead. For November and December, 1887, on account of the great fluctuation in prices, averages could not be given. Duty free.

\section*{24. Copper.}

Berlin quotations. The monthly average price is ascertained as in the case of wool. The quotations are for 100 bilograms Mansfeld copper. For the year 1887 the Inperial Statistical Office, owing to the great fluctuation in prices, gives a nominal average covering only the montha January to May. The author, convinced that this nominal figure was too low, computed an average for the greater part of the eecond half of the year on the basis of information which he himaelf obtained. Taking the average of the Imperial Statistical Office for the first five months and his own average as representing the last seven months, he obtained the average which he has used for the year. He states that this is the only case in which he has deemedrit expedient to depart from the officisl figures, and in this case the low nominal figure of the statistical office would have affected the average for the base period sufficiently to have raised the total index number for the period 1889-1900 about 10 points (i. e., one-tenth of a unit. Index numbers are printed with two decimals). In March, 1899, when pricea fluctuated greatly the monthly average price given in the tables is merely approximately correct. Duty free.

\section*{25. Zinc.}

Cologne quotations. Quotations are obtained every Wednesday by Cologne wholesale extablishments or the chamber of commerce. The information is based on the booking of sales and represents the prices paid by buyers-for the indicated grade of the commodity-settling their accounts regularly. The quotations are for 100 kilograma Rhenish crude zinc, brand "W H und S S." The price for March, 1889, is nominal, since there were no sales. Duty free.
26. Tin.

Hamburg quotations. Quotations are obtsined every Friday. The average price is ascertained as in the case of alcohol. The quotations are for 100 kilograms Banca tin in blocks. Duty free.

Groap V1.-Coal and petrolenm.

\section*{27. Ruhr anthracite coal.}

Eseen quotations. Quotations are obtained once a month at the industrial exchange in Essen by an exchange committee of the chamber of commerce on the basis of the enlea at all the mines in the district and on the basis of the higheat and lowest prices. The quotations are for 1,000 kilograms anthracite coal at the mine. From May to August, 1889, there are no quotations on account of the strike. Duty free.

\section*{28. Upper Silesia gas lump coal (Gas-Stuckkohle).}

\begin{abstract}
Breslau quotations. The price is obtained through private persons at the close of the month from the average of all quotations for delivery on the lart day of the month. The quotations are for 1,000 kilograms. Upper Silesian lump coal for gas, f. o. b. mine. Duty free.

> 29. Petroleum.
\end{abstract}

Bremen quotations. The monthly average price is ascertained as in the case of corn. The quotations are for 100 kilograms American white refined, including container, in bend. From January 1, 1892, to July 1, 1893, hogahead duty amounting to about 0.95 marks per 100 kilograms net was charged. After the lifting of the hogshead duty, July 1, 1893, the quotation was again for the commodity in bond. Although quoted in bond, the duty ratee are given.

From January, 1879, duty free.
From 1891, duty, 6 marks.

\section*{SUBSTITUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.}

The report includes parallel data from Hamburg and from the Imperial Statistical Office for the 7 -year period 1879 to 1885 . Hamburg index numbers were obtained by finding the average actual Hamburg price for the 7-year period and the average relative Imperial Statistical Office price for the same period. It was then calculated what Hamburg price corresponded to the index number 100 and on the basis of the resulting figure index numbers for the Hamburg quotations from 1851 to 1885 were computed.

In the case of a few commodities, breaks occur in the series of quotations. In the absence of Berlin prices Breslau prices have been substituted from January, 1897, to April, 1899, in the series for wheat, rye, and oats. These are said to have been "suitably adjusted" (unter entsprechender Anpassung) but the process is not described. Neither is the method of changing from Hamburg to statistical office prices of butcher's meat at the end of 1880 described. No actual prices are given for lard for the year 1879, and the index numbers for all months of that year are given as 100 . This procedure is equivalent to the substitution of the average of the actual prices from 1880 to 1888 as the actual price for 1879. The base period for this commodity is therefore the 9 -year period 1880 to 1888.

\section*{WHGHTING.}

The total index is the simple arithmetic mean of the index num. bers of the 29 articles. No system of weighting is used. The author holds that the simple average of wholesale prices of important raw materials roughly indicates the course of prices and that this is its only purpose. He does not think that manipulation on the basis of estimated consumption makes it a satisfactory index of the standard of life or increases its value as an index of price movements. He may be considered, however, to have weighted his own index, in a loose sense, for two of the 29 commodities are different varieties of coal and two others are separate varieties of iron.

TESTING.
No test of the index number is made. A comparison is shown, however, with an index number derived from Soetbeer's figures for the total of the 24 articles in question, as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Period. & Sobtheer. & Schmits. \\
\hline 1851-1855. & 117.18 & 117.38 \\
\hline 1856-1860. & 123.35 & 122.06 \\
\hline 1881-1805. & 121.48 & 120.68 \\
\hline 1866-1570. & 120.88 & 119.62 \\
\hline 1871-1875. & 135.58 & 130.75 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLES OF RRSULTS.
The following table shows the index numbers for the total of all articles. The numbers from 1851 to 1878 represent Hamburg quotations for 24 commodities, while the numbers from 1879 to 1902 represent Imperial Statistical Office quotations for 5 additional commodities, or 29 in all. The statement is made that the addition of the 5 articles affects the index number only slightly.
INDEX NUYBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN GERMANY, 1851 TO 1912, ACCORDING TO OTTO SCHMYTZ. .
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Tear. & Total index nymber: 18791888 100. & Year. & Total index number: 1879equals 100 & Year. & Total Index number: 1879equals 100 & Year. & Total index number: 1879 1888 aqual
100. & Year, & Tatal
index
numa
ber:
\(1879-\)
1888
equals
100 & Year. & Total
fudex
pum-
ber:
1870.
1888
equals
100. & Year. & Total indax gumber: 1879 1888 100. \\
\hline 1851 & 100.60 & 1860 & 118.73 & 1898 & 120.14 & 1878 & 110.62 & 1887 & 00.88 & 1896 & 83.91 & 1005 & 103. 50 \\
\hline 18.52 & 103. 38 & 1861 & 117.24 & 1870 & 117.32 & 1879 & 100.87 & 1858 & 96.07 & 1897 & 85.79 & 1906 & 112.08 \\
\hline 1853 & 118.91 & 1802 & 120.31 & 1871 & 123.02 & 1880 & 111.71 & 1899 & 100.87 & 1898 & 90.65 & 1907 & 119.43 \\
\hline 1851 & 131.79 & 1863 & 122.68 & 1872 & 130.12 & 1881 & 109.26 & 1890 & 107. 54 & 1899 & 98.43 & 1908 & 112.87 \\
\hline 1855 & 131.93 & 1864 & 125.28 & 1878 & 141.58 & 1882 & 106. 52 & 1891 & 104.75 & 1800 & 108.49 & 1909 & 111.65 \\
\hline 1856 & 132.50 & 1865 & 117.87 & 1874 & 130.60 & 1883 & 104.08 & 1892 & 95.46 & 1901 & 100.11 & 1910 & 113.65 \\
\hline 1857 & 132.76 & 1866 & 119.88 & 1876 & 122.41 & 1884 & 99.62 & 1893 & 92.21 & 1902 & 99.19 & 1911 & 118.95 \\
\hline 1858 & 112.08 & 1867 & 120.69 & 1876 & 119.52 & 1855 & 92.88 & 1894 & 83.79 & 1903 & 100.64 & 1912 & 130, 61 \\
\hline 1899 & 114.16 & 1868 & 120.09 & 1877 & 119.88 & 1896 & 88.00 & 1895 & 83.55 & 1904 & 100.22 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Nores,-i. Index from 1851 to 1878 inclusive basedi on getual wholessile prices of the Eamburg Bureay
of Trade statistics; from 1878 to 1912 on sctual wholesale prices of the Imperial Statistical Office of of Trade Statistics; from 1879 to 1912 on actual wholesale prices of the Imperial statiatical Office of Germany.
2. The index numbers for the years 1900, 1910, 1911, and 1912 do not finclude two erticles, copper and ply tron (ons kind), 1851 to 1002 inclusive from "Die Bewegung der Warenpreise in Deutschignd von 1851 bls 1902. index for for 1900 to 1912 inclusive trom the British "Repart of an Enquiry by the Board of Trade into Working-elass Rents and Retan Prices, 1912."

The two series of index numbers for the 7-year period for which parallel data were available are:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Year, & Hamburg. & Impertal Statistical Othe. \\
\hline 1879. & 104.47 & 100.87 \\
\hline 4880 & 109.94 & 111.71 \\
\hline 1882. & 110.18 & 100.26 \\
\hline 1ss3. & 104.52 & 104.06 \\
\hline 1884. & 98.31 & 99.62 \\
\hline 1835. & 90.69 & 92.88 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A table of index numbers for each of the 29 articles, and a total index number, has been prepared far a series of 5,10 , and 25 year periods, as well as for the 22 -year period 1879 to 1900 . These are calculated on the basis of 1879-1888 equals 100 . It is obvious that any one of these period indexes could be made the basis (100) of a new series of calculations for the different commodities if so desired.

INDEX NUMBERS, BY PERIODS.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1861-1856 & 117.32 & 1876-1880 & 112.52 & 1861-1860 & 110.69 \\
\hline 1850-1800 & 122.03 & 1881-1885 & 102.47 & 1861-1870 & 120.10 \\
\hline 1861-1865 & 120.58 & 1886-1880 & 06.60 & 1871-1880 & 121. 68 \\
\hline 1866-1870 & 119.62 & 1891-1895 & 01.95 & 1881-1890 & 90. 58 \\
\hline 1871-1875 & 130.75 & 1896-1900 & 93.05 & 1891-1900 & 92.50 \\
\hline 1851-1875 & 122.07 & 1876-1900 & 99.34 & 1851-1900 & 110.70 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{1879-1900=96.97} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In summary the author shows the price level of the latest decade included in the study, by means of cumulative figures, as follows: The index number for the period 1851 to 1900 is 110.70 ; for 1879 to 1900, 96.97; for 1891 to 1900, 92.50.

\section*{INDEX NUMBERS OF ADOLF SOETBEER.}

PUBhCATION AND Hertory.
Dr. Adolf Soetbeer, a German economist, published the results of a study of wholesale prices in Hamburg as early as 1858, \({ }^{1}\) but his maincontribution to the study of prices and the one that contains the index number which he continued until his death, in 1892, appeared in 1885, under the title "Materials toward the Elucidation of the Economic Conditions affecting the Precious Metals and the Question of Monetary Standards" (Materialien zur Erläuterung und Beurteitung der wirtschaftlichen Edelmetalverhältnisse und der Währungstrage. Berlin, 1885; 2. Aufgabe, Berlin 1886). This publication has been translated into English, in full, by Prof. F. W. Taussig and the translation is included in the United States Senate Document No. 34 (pp. 57-258), 1st session, 50th Congress, 1887. Dr. Soetbeer added the index numbers for 1886 in the Hamburg Börsen-Halle, Nos. 181 and 182 (a transfation of which also appears in Senate Document No. 34, pp. 271-276), and published the indexes for succeeding years up to 1890, inclusive, in his article on "The course of prices from 1886 to 1890" (Das Niveau der Warenpreise in den Jahren 1886-1890), which appeared in the Jahrbücher für Nationalōkonomie und Statistik, 1892, 3. Folge, 3, pp. 588-596. The original tables compiled by Soetbeer show average prices and indexes for each individual article,

\footnotetext{
\# Beitrthge zur Statigttit der Preise: I. Uebersiaht der Durchsehnttot-Preise verschtedener Handelsartikel nach den Angaben im Hamburger BBrsen-Prelscourante in den Jahren 1851-1857 unter Vergletchung inlt den Durchschnittspreisen der Jahrrehnte 1891-1840 und 1841-1850; II. Zusammenstelhung der Jährichen Durchschnitte-Presse fir Weisen in Hamburg, Hannover, Braunschweig, Beritn, Frankreich und England wälurend der Jahre 1851-1857. Eamburg, 1858.
}
for groups, and for five-year periods from 1851 to 1885. The table for 1886 omits some articles of minor importance but continues the dats for the rest and for the groups - with the exception of the group of British exports. The article in the Jahrbücher continued the data to include 1890, by groups only, but stated that the figures for the individual articles were in the writer's hands in manuscript but would have to be reserved for future publication on account of lack of room in the Jahrbücher. Soetbeer's death in 1892 prevented the realization of his plan. Although his index numbers were not continued beyond 1891, two other important indexes have been based on Hamburg prices, namely, those of Dr. Heinz, published in Hamburgs Handel und Schiffahrt by the bureau of trade statistics of Hamburg and those of Prof. Conrad, of Halle, published in the Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik. \({ }^{1}\)

SOURCE OF QUOTATIONS-BASE PERIOD.
Soetbeer used the average wholesale prices published by the bureau of trade statisties of Hamburg. These prices do not go back farther than 1847, since prior to that time no such statistical bureau existed. For that reason Dr. Soetbeer found it necessary to select 1847-1850 for the base period, although he himself states that he would have much preferred to use 1841-1850.

PRICES: HOW GHOWN AND COMPUTED.
The annual average prices were computed by a simple arithmetical process from the total quantity and total value of each article imported as recorded by the Hamburg bureau. Since the bureau of trade statistics entered the weight and kind of each article imported into Hamburg, and the price of each on the Hamburg exchange on the day of importation, this was most easy. When the price of an article was not quoted on the exchange, then the invoice value, plus freight, insurance, and other charges, was entered. As long as Hamburg was a free harbor and all goods entering the city in any manner whatever were recorded, these average prices were of the utmost importance. But when, in 1888, Hamburg joined the German customs union, and only articles entering by sea were fequired to be recorded, the figures for land importations could no longer be obtained with any accuracy, nor could those of articles entering by both land and sea. In consequence the quotations of the bureau of trade statistics, which up to that time had numbered over 300, now dropped to 163. Dr. Heinz, director of the Hamburg Bureau of Trade Statistics, undertook the task of going back over the records of the bureau to separate sea importations from land importations for Soetbeer's list of articles, so as to furnish reliable average prices on them for years up to 1891, inclusive. But after the death of

\footnotetext{
1 For a description of these index numbers see pp. 210-239 of the present bullatin.
}

Soetbeer, in 1892, Dr. Heinz carried on this investigation for a different list of articles, selecting only such as presented data previous to 1888 that could also be quoted subsequent to 1888 . This list contains only 70 of Soetbeer's 114 articles, but adds 110 new quotations. The average prices of these 180 commodities were carcied back to 1850 .

\section*{DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITTES,}

The 114 articles on which Soetbeer computed his index numbers were grouped as follows:
I. Products of agriculture, atc. (20 articles).

Wheat.
Wheat flour.

\section*{Rye.}

Rye flour.
Oats.
Barley.
Malt.
Buckwheat.
Peas.
White beans.

> Potatoes.*
> Hops.
> Clover seed.
> Rapeseed.
> Rapeseed oil.
> Linseed oil.
> Oil cake.
> Rew eugar.
> Refined sugar.
> Spirits from corn or potatoes.
II. Animal and fish products ( 22 articles).

Beef.*
Veal."
Mutton."
Pork.*
Milk.*
Butter,*
Cheese.
Tallow.
Lard.
Hidee.
Calfsking.
Leather.
Horsehair.
Bristles.
Bed feathers.
Bones.
Buffalo horns.
Glue.
Eggs.*
Herrings.
Dried fiah.
Fish oil.

IIT. Southern products ( 7 articles).
Raising,
Currants.
Almonds.
Dried prunes.
Olive oil. Wine in caaks.
Ohampagne.
IV. Colonial procucts (19 articles).

Ooffee.
Cocob.
Tea.
Pepper.
Allspice.
Oasaia bark.
Rice.
Sago.
Arrack.

Tobacco.
Indigo.
Cochineal.
Logwood.
Redwood.
Mahogany.
Cane.
Palm oil.
I vory.
V. Minerals and metals (14 articles).

Coal.
Pig iron.
Bar inon.
Steel.
Lead.
Zinc.
Tin.
Copper.
Quickailver.
Sulphur, raw.
Saltpeter, raw, Chile.
Salt.
Lime.
Gement.
VI. Teatile materials (7 articles).

Gotton.
Wool.
Flax.
Hemp.

Guano.
India rubber.
Gutta-percha.
Rosin.
Pearl ash.
Pitch.

Silk.
Cordage.
Rags.
VII. Miscollaneous (11 articles).

Potarh.
Soda.
Tallow candlea.
Tar.
Wax.
VIII. British articles of export (14 articles).

Cotton yarn. \(\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Linen, plain. }\end{aligned}\right.\)
Piece goods, plain.
Cotton piece goods, printed.
Cotton stockings and socks.
Thread for sewing.
Common glass bottles.
Linen yarn.
Linen sail cloth and sails.
Woolen and worsted yarn.
Woolen cloths, etc.
Flannels, etc.
Worsteds.
Carpets, etc.
Notr_-The prices of articies marked with an asterisk are the average of the prices patd by Hamburg institutions (bospitals, eto.) for large purchasee.

\section*{gubstitutions and additions.}

Although no substitutions or additions are mentioned specifically as having been made, the procedure of the Hamburg Bureau of Trade Statistics in securing its average annual wholesale prices, as described by Dr. Soetbeer, would readily admit of such being done. Dr. Soetbeer states \({ }^{1}\) that since the quantities and kinds of many important articles undergo changes in the course of decades, it had seemed proper to the bureau to take no account of the different kinds of each article but to treat all kinds as one in order to get a general indication of the changes in prices. He also adds that to meet objections to his selection of articles for his compilation, he has carefully revised the list, so as to exclude those for which the Hamburg wholesale prices are not to be considered fairly indicative of prices in the general trade; that a number of very important articles were included for which the official statements give no figures and for which wholesale prices have been ascertained from the yearly accounts of large

\footnotetext{
I U. S. Sennte EX. Doc. No. 31 (p. 228), 50th Cong. 151 sess., 1887 .
}
institutions at Hamburg, as in the case of meat, butter, milk, and eggs; that the prices of yarns and cloths which appeared in the earlier compilation were later excluded, since the indirect influence of the German import duties on the importation of cheaper grades prevents the prices from indicating the general range of prices of such articles, and that in their place have been given corresponding average prices of yarns and cloths exported from England, as well as the prices of some other articles of manufacture, all derived from the British trade statistics. Likewise, to incorporate the results of a thorough and detailed revision made by the Hamburg Bureau of Trade Statistics of its earlier price tables, it was necessary to make some slight changes in the figures for some articles in the second edition of the Materialien as compared with the figures for those same articles in the first edition. Since Soetbeer's tables were discontinued only six years after their first publication there was no occasion to resort to interpolation, and no trace of any is evident.

\section*{WEGETTING.}

Soetbeer's index numbers were not weighted, although the problem was recognized and met half way by his discriminating selection of articles and by his including more than one variety of a commodity in the list, as in the case of wheat, rye, sugar, etc.

TESTING.
To test his index Dr. Soetbeer constructed a comparative table showing the successive annual average prices from 1871 to 1890 of three different groups of Sauerbeck's articles as compared with three similar groups of his own, recomputing for this purpose his own index numbers on Sauerbeck's base period of 1867-1877. The comparisons are made, first, between the general index number for Sauerbeck's complete list of 45 articles \({ }^{1}\) and his own index number for 100 articles; second, between the index numbers for their respective groups of agricultural products, which include 7 articles in Sauerbeck's list and 20 in Soetbeer's; and, third, between the index numbers for their respective groups of minerals and metals, consisting of 8 articles for Sauerbeck and 14 for Soetbeer. In the same article he makes a further test by contrasting his index number for 100 articles for the separate years from 1881 to 1890 with the index numbers for exports, for imports, and for both, which are published by the Imperial Statistical Office For this comparison the base period 1881 of the bureau is used.

TABLE OF RESULTS.
In his article on "The course of prices from 1886 to 1890 " \({ }^{1}\) Dr. Soetbeer presents his last published table, which gives his computations of index numbers for the 114 articles by groups, as follows:

SUROLARY OF RELATIVE PRICES OF COMDODITIES FOR THE FEARS 1847-1890
(Buse period, 1847-1850=100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { I } \\
\text { Agricul } \\
\text { prorial } \\
\text { pionts. }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { II. } \\
\text { Antmal } \\
\text { products. } \\
\text { ote. }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
III. \\
Southern prodicts.
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TV. } \\
& \text { Colonial } \\
& \text { predocts. }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { V. } \\
& \text { Minerals } \\
& \text { mand } \\
& \text { metals. }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { VI. } \\
\text { Textilo } \\
\text { mathrials. }
\end{gathered}
\] & YII. Miscellaneous articies & VIII. British enperts. & \begin{tabular}{l}
TVIII. \\
Totsl grticles, 114.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1847-1850.. & 100.00 & 100.00 & 100.00 & 100.00 & 100.00 & 100.00 & 100.00 & 100.00 & 100.00 \\
\hline 1851-185... & 129.99 & 114.79 & 110.43 & 110.97 & 107.03 & 105.20 & 106.65 & 98.47 & 11227 \\
\hline 1850-1860. - & 131.84 & 13231 & 134. 72 & 122.61 & 113.59 & 105.12 & 108.21 & 102.41 & 120.91 \\
\hline 1861-1565. . & 124.46 & 125. 24 & 114.13 & 118.64 & 102. 11 & 131.83 & 144.33 & 127. 56 & 122. 58 \\
\hline 1866-1870.. & 187.74 & 138.35 & 121.54 & 118. 32 & 95.47 & 129. 17 & - 105.90 & 130.55 & 120.57 \\
\hline 1871. & 144. 76 & 14.14 & 12299 & 120. 22 & 101.85 & 119.23 & 117.48 & 122.61 & 127.08 \\
\hline 1872 & 144.17 & 153.82 & 125.36 & 130.25 & 121.63 & 128.79 & 128. 54 & 130.07 & 135.62 \\
\hline 18.3 & 146.21 & 156. 72 & 13215 & 134.32 & 140.60 & 119.58 & 119.14 & 128.52 & 138.28 \\
\hline 18.4 & 150.99 & 157.76 & 145.02 & 136.74 & 116.70 & 11280 & 11221 & 128.06 & 136. 20 \\
\hline 1875 & 138.16 & 158.59 & 131.35 & 132.11 & 107. 49 & 111.47 & 98. 74 & 124.96 & 129.85 \\
\hline 1871-1875 & 144.90 & 154.57 & 131.50 & 130.72 & 116.30 & 117.17 & 114.98 & 125.14 & 133.9 \\
\hline 1876. & 141.06 & 155.79 & 128.69 & 129. 74 & 106. 77 & 105.54 & 101. 78 & 119.23 & 128.33 \\
\hline 187. & 145.34 & 152.51 & 140.55 & 130.20 & 98.87 & 108.33 & 99.80 & 114.04 & 127.70 \\
\hline 1878. & 132.50 & 141.58 & 134.34 & 125.61 & 94. 14 & 102.33 & 97.24 & 111.03 & 120.60 \\
\hline 1879 & 13292 & 137.60 & 139.10 & 12.34 & 84.28 & 48.76 & 90.21 & 105.98 & 117.10 \\
\hline 1850. & 138. 11 & 147.30 & 154.65 & 12. 92 & 88.33 & 96.72 & 95.23 & 108. 15 & 121.89 \\
\hline 1876-1890. & 138.12 & 146.76 & 138.91 & 126. 38 & 94.35 & 10233 & 98. 79 & 111. 70 & 123. 07 \\
\hline 1881. & 137.50 & 151.21 & 146.57 & 122.60 & 84.87 & 99.29 & 94.89 & 103.08 & 121.07 \\
\hline 1882 & 13845 & 155.17 & 139.23 & 12247 & 86.99 & 95.10 & 99.10 & 104.72 & 122.14 \\
\hline 1883 & 143.33 & 156.40 & 14238 & 120.17 & 529 & 95.93 & 95.38 & 104.72 & 122.24 \\
\hline 154 & 123.85 & 150.38 & 120.16 & 117.00 & 78.69 & 97.02 & 84.82 & 103.36 & 114. 25 \\
\hline 1885. & 110.75 & 140.45 & 128. 78 & 116.30 & 74.23 & 85.89 & 81.35 & 100.48 & 108.72 \\
\hline 1831-1835.-1 & 130.7 & 150.65 & 134.41 & 119.91 & 81.55 & 06.65 & 91.11 & 103. 28 & 117.88 \\
\hline 1886.. & 101.31 & 133.53 & 122.44 & 115.45 & 70.52 & 89.76 & 78.75 & 97.03 & 108.99 \\
\hline 1887. & 96. 28 & 12. 93 & 121.81 & 116. 59 & 72.50 & 81.42 & 77.30 & 95.98 & 102.02 \\
\hline 1888. & 88.18 & 128.97 & 120.69 & 118.41 & 75. 57 & 82.17 & 74.31 & 94.91 & 10204 \\
\hline 1889. & 10208 & 130. 95 & 127.57 & 118.88 & 78.55 & 89.05 & 86.41 & 96.60 & 106.13 \\
\hline 1890 & 107.53 & 129.85 & 13861 & 118.35 & 83.54 & 81.82 & 91.70 & 94.98 & 108.12 \\
\hline 1898-1800. & 101.06 & 130.41 & 125.08 & 117.32 & 78.12 & 84.86 & 81.70 & 95.90 & 104.41 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GREAT BRITAIN.}

\section*{Index numbers or the board of trade.}

\section*{' publication.}

The first report of this series contains the results of an investigation conducted by the Labor Department for years prior to \(1902 .{ }^{2}\) Since that year an annual report on the subject has been prepared and published by the Board of Trade in the January dissue of The Labour Gazette, London.

HISTORY.
The inquiry concerning the subject of prices had occupied the Labor Department for several years, and, on account of the great amount of public attention devoted to all questions affecting prices of commodities, it was decided in 1903 to publish the results up to

\footnotetext{
1 Jehrbuicher filr Nationalokonomie und Statistik, 1892, p. 508.
2 Repart an Wholestelo and Retall Prices, 1902. Great Britain. Board of Trade.
}

1902 without delay. The first report in 1903 consisted of a series of comparative tables of actual wholestle prices covering the years from 1871 to 1902.

The index of wholesale prices was computed upon 45 selected articles. In respect to most of the articles the actual prices were carried as many years back of 1871 as was regarded safe, considering the nature of the data available. However, the index was not computed back of 1871, even where the actual prices of individual articles were secured for earlier years, as in the instance of bread in the city of London, where the price was carried back to 1758.

To show the average change of general prices, not only from 1871 to 1902 but extending over the whole of the nineteenth century, a chart was published covering the period from 1801 to 1902.

The statement showing the course of prices from 1801 to 1846 is based on Jevons' index number, from 1846 to 1871 on that of Sauerbeck, and from 1871 to 1902 on the Board of Trade index as shown in these reports.

\section*{source or quotations.}

The data used in the original report were import and export average values, contract prices at hospitals and institutions, prices at markets, ascertained values of coal and iron in different districts used for the determination of wage rates, prices from private firms, associations, etc. The import values were based on the declarations of the importer, those for exports also being declared values, but the report states that various difficulties were encountered "in tracing back the average value of the same article throughout so long a period, arising to a large extent from changes in classifications." \({ }^{1}\) The same system of declared values was in force throughout the period. The contract prices of certain articles for hospitals and asylums of the London County Council represent the prices paid, throughout the period, by a somewhat similar class of consumers. Market prioes were compiled from official reports, newspapers, and market quotations. The ascertained values of coal and iron were from reports made by accountants for use in the determination of the general rate of wages by sliding scale or otherwise. In a few cases it was found necessary to secure quotations from the original sources and from private corporations. A memorandum states that it was proposed to use either import or export values according as the article was chiefly one of import or export, except for British corn, milk, potatoes, beef, mutton, and brick. \({ }^{3}\)

\section*{BASE PERIOD.}

The year 1871 was originally adopted as the base period, and from 1871 to 1906 the index was computed upon this base for the average

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Report on Wholesale and Retal Prices, 1902, p. 427. Great Brttatn. Board of Trada' \({ }^{1} 1 \mathrm{dem}, \mathrm{p} .49 \mathrm{e}\).
}
price of all the articles. This was used as a standard until 1906, when 1900 was established as the base and the index for the 45 articles as a whole was recomputed on the new basis from 1871 to 1906. Since then 1900 has been used as the base period.

\section*{PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.}

In the original report the prices were shown as yearly actual averages for the separate articles. In succeeding reports up to 1905 the actual average prices were not shown, but the index numbers for the four groups and the general index number were published. Since 1905 no price data other than the general index number have been shown.

\section*{NUMBER AND CLASS OF COMMODITHES}

The list of articles covered by the reports numbers 45 and includes principally raw materials or materials at an early stage of manufacture. The January, 1914, issue of the Labour Gazette states that, in compiling the general index number, the index numbers for 47 separate articles were weighted in accordance with their estimated consumption. Counting milk, butter, and cheese as separate articles (heretofore counted one) probably accounts for the new number; however, no explanation is vouchsafed.

\section*{DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.}

Below is shown the list of the 45 articles included in the group and general index, the weight allotted to each article, and the source of the quotations. \({ }^{1}\)

Group I.-Coal and metals ( 6 articles).


\footnotetext{
1 Report on Wholesale and Retall Prices, 1902, pp- Exxv-xicrvii. Great Britain. Board of Trade.
}
\[
94261^{\circ}-\text { Bull. } 173-15-17
\]

B. Meat, fish, and dairy products.

C. Tan, tobaceo, wine, and sugar.


Group IV.-Miscellaneous (10 articles).


SUBSTITUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.
Various difficulties, as was previously stated, were met in tracing average values throughout so long a period. Changes in classification were the cause to a large extent. The methods adopted in making substitutions are not fully explained. Apparently no new articles have been added since the publication of the first report.

\section*{ENTEMPOLATION.}

In the discussion of sources of information the statement is made that where the data related only to some of the earlier years of the period covered, or could not be continued to the present, they were omitted, and that when large gaps existed in the records it was the general practice as far as possible to start the table from dates subsequent to the gaps in order to preserve continuity. \({ }^{1}\)

\section*{WEIGETING.}

The method of weighting used in computing this index number was that based on the amount of consumption of the various articles in the United Kingdom. The consumption of an article is defined to mean any process by which the commodity is substantially changed in character. The original report in its explanation of the "consumption standard" states that "the theoretical basis of the consumption standard is the proposition that the true measure in the change of the value of money is the change in the amount of gold that must be paid by consumers throughout the country for all commodities in their finished state consumed by them per unit of time." \({ }^{2}\) The value of the national consumption of the 23 raw materials which were derived almost entirely from foreign sources was taken to be the declared value of the imports less the declared value of the exports. The value of the consumption of the 22 remaining articles was the value of the quantity produced plus the value of the amount imported, if any, minus the value of the exports, if any. The results thus obtained represent the estimated value in millions sterling of the annual consumption of the articles. The millions sterling constitute the weights allotted.

The weights assigned to the various articles were placed against the percentage variations in prices. The percentage variations were computed for each year by using 1871 as the base, or 100 . For example, the percentage price of coal in 1872 was 161.1 per cent, the price in 1871 being 100 . This percentage multiplied by 34 -the weight allotted to coal-produced \(5,477.4\), or what was termed the weighted percentage. The sum of the weighted percentages of all the articles in a group divided by the sum of the weighted percentages for the base period produces the index number for the group in the specified year. For example, the weights for the group of coal and metals were \(5,950.0\) for the base year, and for 1872 the total was \(9,178.2\), which divided by the figure for the base year equals 154.1, the published index number of the group for 1872. A continuation of this process produces the other group indexes and the general index for the 45 commodities is computed in like manner.

\footnotetext{
1 Report on Wholessle and Retail Prices, 1902, p. 428. Great Britain. Board of Trade.
2 Idem, p. 432.
}

Some comparison of results was tande with the results of other indexes. The principal test was made by using certain articles as given by Sauerbeck. These articles covered only 28 of the 45 price quotations in his report, but they formed nine-tenths of the total weight of the Board of Trade index number. These articles were used to form a special index number, making use of the weights allotted as above. The results are shown below.

COMPARLSON OF A SPECLALLY WEIGHTED NUMBER FROM THE BOARD OF TRADE AND SAUERBECK'S NUMBER. \({ }^{1}\)


1 Report on Wholesale and Retail Prices, 1902, p. 449. Great Britain. Board of Trade.
Tables of results.
The principal table of the original report shows the index numbers for the four general groups, three subgroups under food and drink, and the index for all the 45 commodities. \({ }^{1}\) This table is reproduced below and carried forward to 1905, the last year that the index was computed upon the basis of 1871 as 100 .

BOARD OF TRADE INDEX NUMBERS, BY GROUPS, 1871 TO 1905.
[The index numbers are the sum of the weighted percentages divided by the sum of the welghta.]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year-} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Index } \\
& \text { numbar } \\
& \text { for all } \\
& \text { the } 45 \\
& \text { comodl- } \\
& \text { ties. }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1. \\
Coal and metals.
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { II. } \\
\text { Textiles } \\
\text { (raw } \\
\text { materfals) }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{17. Food and drink.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
IV. \\
Miscelle- \\
neous.
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & & & III A . Corn, ote. & III B. Meat, fish, gnd dary produce. & \begin{tabular}{l}
IIIC. \\
Sugar, tea, wine, and tobaces.
\end{tabular} & Total, group III. & \\
\hline 1871. & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline 1872. & 110.6 & 154.1 & 114.0 & 104.0 & 102.0 & 102.0 & 102.7 & 105.1 \\
\hline 1873. & 118.8 & 194.8 & 110.2 & 109.7 & 109.3 & 98.1 & 108.2 & 109.8 \\
\hline 1874.. & 113.6 & 158.8 & 102.6 & 110.8 & 110.1 & 94.9 & 108.6 & 108.4 \\
\hline 1875. & 107.8 & 126.3 & 100.2 & 99.9 & 116.6 & 93.0 & 107.3 & 09.8 \\
\hline 1876.. & 104.2 & 107.2 & 93.6 & 98.7 & 117.2 & 00.7 & 106.8 & 97.9 \\
\hline 1877.- & 105.3 & 90.9 & 81.7 & 107.9 & 114.9 & 06.0 & 110.3 & 97.3 \\
\hline 1878.. & 99.8 & 22.9 & 88.5 & 0s. 9 & 112.2 & 88. 4 & 104.2 & 88 \\
\hline 1879.. & 04.9 & 80.8 & 84.1 & 97.4 & 106.7 & 85.2 & 100.5 & 81.5 \\
\hline 1880.- & 97.4 & 94.9 & 88.6 & 98.0 & 106.6 & 8.2 & 100.8 & 89.2 \\
\hline 1881.. & 95.7 & 94.1 & 87.0 & 94.9 & 106. 7 & 54.4 & 99.4 & 88.5 \\
\hline 1882.. & - 97.3 & 91.8 & 84.1 & 95.8 & 112.0 & 83.6 & 102.3 & 89.1 \\
\hline 1583. & 00.5 & 90.3 & 8.0 & 03.9 & 113.7 & 80.9 & 102.1 & 87.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Report on Wholesale and Retall Prices, 1902, p. 34. Great Britain. Board of Trade.

BOARD OF TRADE INDEX NUMBERS, BY GROUPS, 1871 TO 1905-Concladed.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Yeay} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Inder number for all the 45 commodfties.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
I. \\
Cond and metals.
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { II. } \\
\text { Textiles } \\
\text { (raw } \\
\text { materials) }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{III. Food and drink,} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TV. } \\
& \text { Miscelian } \\
& \text { neous. }
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline & & & & III A. Corn, etc. & III B . Meat fish, and dairy produce. & \begin{tabular}{l}
III C. \\
Sugar, tea, wine, and tobacco.
\end{tabular} & Total group IfI. & \\
\hline 1884.. & 88.3 & 88.4 & 79.8 & 81.1 & 104. 7 & 70.7 & 91.4 & 82.2 \\
\hline 1885.. & 88.0 & 82.1 & 75.7 & 70.7 & D6.3 & 60.7 & 85.1 & 90.2 \\
\hline 1880.. & 78.5 & 78.8 & 69.0 & 71.8 & 92.7 & 6*. 7 & 81.1 & 73.8 \\
\hline 1887.. & 76.7 & 80.1 & 70.7 & 71.2 & 88.2 & 61.4 & 78.3 & 68.3 \\
\hline 1888. & 79.3 & 83.0 & 70.0 & 7.7 & 94.0 & 65.0 & 81.8 & 71.0 \\
\hline 1889. & 80.8 & 94.1 & 72.4 & 70.6 & 82.8 & 68.0 & 81.1 & 74.3 \\
\hline 1890.. & 82.8 & 113.8 & \(72.9{ }^{\circ}\) & 72.0 & 81.7 & 63.8 & 80.6 & 72.8 \\
\hline 1891.. & 84.1 & 108.6 & 70.1 & 83.2 & 91.1 & 64.8 & 84.9 & 70.1 \\
\hline 1892.. & 80.1 & 98.8 & 66.1 & 73.4 & 91.8 & 63.9 & 81.3 & 68.1 \\
\hline 1893.. & 78.7 & 89.4 & 60.6 & 68.3 & 95.2 & 65.0 & 81.1 & 66.2 \\
\hline 1894.. & 75.1 & 91.7 & 60.8 & 63.1 & 92.2 & 59.5 & 76.9 & 62.7 \\
\hline 1895.. & 72.2 & 85.8 & 57.7 & 62.2 & 88.2 & 56.2 & 74.2 & 62.6 \\
\hline 1896.. & 69.8 & 83.3 & 64.0 & 57.6 & 81.9 & 57.0 & 09.4 & 63.6 \\
\hline 1897.. & 71.3 & 84.4 & 59.7 & 62.7 & 84.6 & 54.9 & 72.4 & 63.9 \\
\hline 1898. & 73.6 & 92.7 & 54.8 & 78.1 & 81.8 & 54.2 & 75.1 & 66.5 \\
\hline 1899.. & 74.5 & 107.5 & 57.2 & 63.7 & 85.8 & 52.5 & 73.2 & 67.9 \\
\hline 1000. & 88.2 & 151.9 & 70.0 & 62.4 & 90.3 & 52.4 & 74.9 & 74.3 \\
\hline 1801. & 79.2 & 124.7 & 65.7 & 64.0 & 89.8 & 50.1 & 75.3 & 71.7 \\
\hline 1902.- & 78. 8 & 114.9 & 65.0 & 63.7 & 94.4 & 46.1 & 76.7 & 69.2 \\
\hline 1003.- & 78. 6 & 111.2 & 71.3 & 68.8 & 92.1 & 47.0 & 75.7 & 68.4 \\
\hline 1904. & 78.7 & 100.1 & 78.7 & 06.7 & 89.0 & 48.2 & 75.5 & 66.0 \\
\hline 1905. & 77.7 & 105.6 & 73.5 & 64.8 & 88. & 52.1 & 74.8 & 68.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A second table, reproduced below, shows the index as now published, the price in 1900 being used as the base, or 100 . No group index figures have been regularly published since the revision. The exact method of computation upon the new base period is not stated, but a note to the report for 1906, issued in January, 1907, states that "the index number has, however, now been recalculated with the year 1900 as its base year instead of 1871." 1 It is presumed that the calculation is made in the same way as in the original report.

BOARD OF TRADE INDEX NUMBERS, 1871 TO 1913.
[Source: The Board of Trade Labour Gavette, Jannary, 1914, p. 5.]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & Index numbar. & Year. & Index number. & Year. & Index number. & Year. & Index number. & Year. & Index number. \\
\hline 1871. & 135.0 & 1880... & 129.0 & 1890... & 103.4 & 1898.. & 98.2 & 190\%... & 106.0 \\
\hline 1872.. & 145.2 & 1881... & 120.6 & 1890... & 103.3 & 1899... & 92.2 & 1808... & 103.0 \\
\hline 1873. & 151.8 & 1882... & 127.7 & 1801... & 106.9 & 1800... & 100.0 & 1909... & 104.1 \\
\hline 1874... & 140.9 & 1883... & 125.9 & 1892... & 101. 1 & 1901-. & 80.7 & 1010... & 108.8 \\
\hline 1875.. & 140.4 & 1884.-. & 114.1 & 1893... & 99.4 & 1902... & 96.4 & 1911... & 109.4 \\
\hline 1876.. & 187.1 & 1885... & 107.0 & 1894-. & 93.5 & 19093... & 06.8 & 1912... & 114.9 \\
\hline 1877.. & 140.4 & 1886... & 101.0 & 1895... & 90.7 & 1004... & 98.2 & 1013..- & 116.5 \\
\hline 1878. & 131.1 & 1887... & 98.8 & 1896... & 88.2 & 1905... & 97.6 & & \\
\hline 1879.. & 125.0 & 1888... & 101.8 & 1897... & 80.1 & 1606... & 100.8 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

INDEX NUMBERS OF THE ECONOMIST. PUBLICATION.
This index represents the course of wholesale prices of commodities in the United Kingdom.

It is compiled and published each month in the Economist, London, the general results for each year appearing in the first issue of January of the following year.

\section*{History.}

The object of this compilation originally was te throw some light on the relation between the gold supply and prices. In 1849 gold had been discovered in California and in 1850 in Australia, and the pouring of this gold into Europe seemed to be accompanied by a general upward movement of prices. It was to ascertain whether there had been such a movement and, if so, its extent that the Economist index numbers were developed.
In 1859 William Newmarch, then editor of the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, published an article in that journal on the prices of the previous year, in which the prices of 19 commodities in the London market were expressed as percentages of the average of the prices of 1845-1850: These commodities were as follows: Coffee, sugar, tea, tobacco, wheat, butcher's meat, cotton, silk, flax and hemp (average), wool, indigo, oils (average of 3 varieties), timber, tallow, leather, copper, iron, lead, and tin. In 1860 and 1861 similar articles appeared in the journal, when in addition to these 19 commodities 3 others were added: Raw cotton, cotton yarn, and cotton cloth-all at Manchester prices. The prices of these 22 commodities were expressed in the form of percentages, but no general index number was constructed from them.
The Economist stated in its issue of February 20, 1864, in which it published for the first time its commercial history and review of the past year, that in the table of actual wholesale prices it was following the arrangement and method which were adopted by Tooke and Newmarch in their history of prices, and continued by Newmarch in the Journal for 1859, 1860, and 1861.
- The first table in the Economist report of 1864 presented the actual prices in pounds sterling of 45 articles for the base period of 1845-1850 and for succeeding years down to 1862 . The prices were for a given date, being either those for J̇anuary 1 or July 1 for all years previous to 1863 , for which year they were the prices for the 1st of each month.
The 45 articles were coffee, sugar ( 3 kinds), rum, tea, tobacco, butter, wheat, beef ( 2 kinds), mutton ( 2 kinds), pork, cotton, silk, flax, hemp, wool ( 4 kinds), dyes ( 2 kinds), oils ( 3 kinds), timber ( 2 kinds), tallow, leather, saltpeter, ashes, copper, iron ( 2 kinds), lead, steel, tin, raw cotton ( 3 kinds), cotton yarn, and cotton cloth ( 2 kinds). For a few of the articles the prices were not continuous throughout the peried.

A second table was printed entitled "Proportionate results," being the percentage that the actual price of each article for the given date
was of the actual average price for 1845-1850. In this table, instead of 45 series of percentages, the fumber was reduced to 22 . This number was made up of 37 of the 45 series of quotations under the heads of coffee, sugar, tea, tobacco, wheat, butcher's meat, cotton, raw silk, flax and hemp, sheep's wool, indigo, oils, timber, tallow, leather, copper, iron, lead, tin, raw cotton (cotton wool), cotton yarn, and cotton cloth. Of these 22 series of percentages, the 9 composed of more than one description or grade of the article were sugar ( 2 kinds), butcher's meat ( 2 kinds of beef and 2 of mutton), flax and hemp ( 2 articles), sheep's wool (4 kinds), oils ( 3 kinds), iron ( 2 kinds), raw cotton ( 3 kinds), cotton cloth ( 2 kinds), and timber ( 2 kinds).
The articles were divided into five groups as follows:
I. Colonial and tropical produce (food).
II. Wheat (England and Wales) and butcher's meat (Newgate market).
III. Raw materials of manufacture.
IV. Metals.
V. Manchester markets.

The articles under the fifth head were raw cotton, cotton yarn, and cotton cloth.
During the years 1864 to 1867 . the composition of these tables remained the same, except that in 1865 the percentage for raw cotton was computed upon one grade instead of three, as formerly.

The commercial history, published by the Economist, for 1868 gave for the first time the total index number. However, this was simply the total at each date of the 22 percentage columns, no general index being computed, and it was not until 1869 that the numbers were added together and divided by 22, the result thus becoming the "Economist" index number, which has been published year by year since that date.
It was announced in the Economist of February 4, 1911, that it was deemed desirable to change the basis upon which the index number had been calculated. This statement recited the intention of the publication to make this review of prices more far-reaching by embodying in the index quotations of some importantaarticles which play a large part in modern commerce, and at the same time to retain its character as a wholesale market index number. On account of the inclusion of new articles, it became necessary to adopt a base period sufficiently recent to include standardized quotations of modern commodities.

It was stated that, owing to the fact that many commodities are now important in the business life that were not so regarded at the time of beginning the index, the list of commodities had been revised and the number increased. The result of this recasting was published in the issue of November 18, 1911, showing how the new
index number was made comparable with the old figures by dividing the total index number for the 44 commodities by two, thus reducing it to that of 22 articles as used formerly.

The chief changè made is in respect of the coal and iron trades, which were formerly represented by one quotation only, but are now given a weight of 5 quotations out of 44 . Quotations are added, for the first time, for barley, oats, potatoes, and rice among foodstuffs; Egyptian cotton and jute among textiles; iron bars, steel rails, and coal among minerals; and petroleum, oil seeds, rubber, and soda crystals in the miscellaneous group.
- In order to show the relation between the percentage index as computed by the old method and the index number obtained by the new plan, the latter has been reduced to the same basis. The index number, however, is based on the prices of 44 articles, while the old percentage number was computed on but 22. They have been made comparable, as stated, by dividing the index number for the 44 articles by 2 .
sOURCE OF QUOTATTONS.
The quotations used in compiling this index are market prices as published weekly in the Economist, which represent those of the London or Manchester markets.

\section*{HASE PERIOD.}

As has been explained, the base period formerly was 1845-1850, but in November, 1911, it was announced that the base period had been changed to 1901-1905.

\section*{PRICES: HOW SEOOWN AND COMPUTED.}

As was previously stated, the quotations used are those published weekly in the Economist. The weekly prices for the selected articles are published each month in the discussion of the index number.

\section*{NUMEER AND CLAES OF COMMODITIES.}

The original number of commodities, as has been stated, was 22. In 1911 the number was increased to 44. Raw, or what might be termed primaty, commodities only are included in these quotations.
The following table, appearing in the Economist of November 18, 1911, shows the number of quotations for each commodity, comparing the old with the new base period:

NUMBER OF COMMODITIES: SERIES OF OUOTATIONS UNDER THE OLD BASIS OF 1845-1850, COMPARED WITH THAT, UNDER THE NEW BASIS OF 1901-1005*
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Commodities. & Old basis quotation number. & New basis quotation number. & Commodities. & Old basis quotation number. & New basis quotation number. \\
\hline Wheat and four... & 1 & 3 & Pligimo & 1 & 1 \\
\hline Barley............. & & 1 & Steel ralis...... & & 1 \\
\hline Oats P (atos. & & 1 & Coal Irors........ & & 1 \\
\hline Rice... & & 1 & Copper. & i- & 1 \\
\hline Beer.. & & & Tin.... & 1 & 1 \\
\hline Mutton. & 1 & 2 & Lead.... & 1 & 1 \\
\hline Porls.- & & & Timber.... & 1 & 2 \\
\hline Sugar. & 1 & 2 & Leather..... & 1 & 1 \\
\hline Confer.. & & 1 & Oil O & 1 & \(\frac{1}{1}\) \\
\hline Tobac.... & 1 & 1 & Oispeds.... & & \(\frac{1}{1}\) \\
\hline Batter................. & & 1 & Rubber..... & & 1 \\
\hline Cotton (raw, yam, elot & 4 & 4 & Tallow.. & \(i^{-}\) & 1 \\
\hline Wool. & 1 & 2 & Indigo. & 1 & 1 \\
\hline & & & Soda crystals & .......... & 1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hemp } \\
& \text { Jute }
\end{aligned}
\] & & 1 & Total.. & 22 & 44 \\
\hline Sily. & 1 & 1 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{DESCRIPTION AND GROUPENG OF COMMODITTES}

The detailed table of prices week by week as published includes 33 series of quotations. The articles are arranged in four groups as follows: \({ }^{1}\)

Minerals (8 articles).
Iron, Cleveland, No. S, G. M. B.
Iron, common bars.
Iron, steel rails.
Coals, best ateam Newcastle.
Copper, standard.
Tin, standard.
Lead, English pig.
Saltpeter, Bengal.

> Textiles (7 articles).

Cotton, middling Amarican.
Cotton, yarn, 32's, twist.
Wool, N. S. Walee, greasy, average.
Silk, Canton.
Flax, Riga ZK.
Hemp, manila.
Jute, native firsts.
Food producls (9 artīcles).
Wheat, Gazette averages (English grain).
Barley, Gazette averagea (English grain).
Oats, Gazette averages (Engliah grain).
Flour, town-made, household.
Beef, inferior.
Beef, prime.
Mutton, prime.
Potatoms, grod Engliah.
Rice, Rangoon.

Sugar, West India sirupa.
Miscellaneous ( 9 articles).
Sugar, beet, German.
Tea, Congou, middling, common.
Tea, Congou, medium, good.
Coffee, Santos, good average.
Oils, petroleum.
Oils, oliye, Ievant.
Tallow, town.
Rubber, fine hard Para.

\section*{SUBSTTIUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.}

It is stated that over so long a period of time some variations have inevitably arisen in the mode of quoting prices, but in all such cases the nearest approach possible has been made to a uniform quotation. The articles cited are raw cotton, tea, sugar, flax, and wool. It is further stated that in some cases, where it has been considered desirable to introduce a commodity to replace one no longer actively dealt in, the current price of the substituted article has been taken as equivalent to the same percentage of the basis price as was represented by its predecessor.

INTERPOLATION.
The supplying of missing data, if such has been found necessary, has not been noted.

\section*{weighting.}

The index is computed by means of simple arithmetical average. Indirect weighting is attained by the selection of articles.
This method, as applied to the present index, has been frequently criticized because of the small number of articles included. An attempt to correct the fault of giving each article an equal weight was made by Mr. R. H. Inglis Palgrave, in 1886, in a memorandum to the Royal Commission on the Depression of Trade and Industry. The method used by him was to give each relative price an importance proportional to the consumption of the article, which was ascertained by adding to the production the imports and deducting the exports. H \(\rho\) thus obtained a series of figures representing the importance, in each year, of the consumption of each commodity, and used these in connection with the Economist figures for the years 1865 to 1885 upon the basis, 1865-1869 equals 100 . The data prepared by Mr. Palgrave in 1886 have not been continued for subsequent years (see Report of United States Senate Finance Committee on Wholesale Prices, Wages, and Transportation, 1893, Pt. I, pp. 228, 229).

\section*{tegtine.}

The testing of the accuracy of the results secured in this index is made by comparison with the results in other index compilations.

The table below shows a comparison by decades of the weighted and unweighted indexes of the Edonomist with those of Sauerbeck (an unweighted index) and the Board of Trade (a weighted one). The weights for the Economist index have been calculated on the basis of consumption in the country as estimated by the Board of Trade. \({ }^{1}\) The decade 1891 to 1900 is considered as the base or 100 .
index numbers of the level of prices in the united kingdom, by decades, 1851 TO 1910.
(Rase perlod, 1891-1900-100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Decade.} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Ecanomist.} & \multirow{2}{*}{Saumarbeoz.} & \multirow{2}{*}{Board of Trade.} \\
\hline & Weighted. & Unweighted. & & \\
\hline 1861-1870.... & 146 & 152 & 151 & 138 \\
\hline 1871-1880.... & 131 & 131 & 144 & 138 \\
\hline 1581-1830.... & 107 & 108 & 113 & 112 \\
\hline 1891-1900.... & 100
110 & 100
108 & 1100 & 111 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The Economist of August 26, 1911, presents as a test of the accuracy of its index a table to show that retail prices have pursued much the same course as wholesale prices. The following comparison is made of the Economist index with that of the Board of Trade for ratail prices in London from 1895 to 1910, in each case the year 1900 being taken as the base.

> COMPARISON OF WHOLESALE AND RETAIL PRICES, 1895 TO 1010.
> (Base period, \(1900=100\). )
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & Economist index number on Jan. 1 of each year. & Board of Trade index number of retail pribes in Lomdon. \\
\hline 1885.................... & 89 & 98.2 \\
\hline 1886................... & 98 & 92.0 \\
\hline 1897..................... & 91 & 96.2 \\
\hline 1898. & 88 & 100.8 \\
\hline 1899. & 99 & 96.4 \\
\hline 1900.2....anmesterat & 100 & 100.0 \\
\hline 1001................... & 99 & 101.9 \\
\hline 1902..................... & 01 & 101.6 \\
\hline 1908..................... & 93 & 103.2 \\
\hline 1904..................... & 103 & 104.3 \\
\hline 1805..................... & 99 & 103.7 \\
\hline 1006.................... & 100 & 108.2 \\
\hline 1907..................... & 117 & 105.8 \\
\hline 1908..................... & 108 & 1084 \\
\hline 1909...................... & 103 & 1082 \\
\hline 1910..................... & 112 & 109.9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLES OF RESULTS.
The following table, covering the period from 1851 to 1910, shows the total index number for the 22 commodities in the form in. which it was published in earler years.

THE ECONOMIST INDEX (ORIOINAL). 1
(Base period, 1846-1860-2200.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
1845-50: ~ A \text { ver- } \\
\text { sye }
\end{array}
\] & 2200 & \({ }^{18 \% 51}\) January & 2778 & 1887; Janus & 2050 & 1800: & 1918 \\
\hline 18t1: January. & 2310 & July... & 2003 & & 2116 & July -- & 2028 \\
\hline 1853: July... & 2463 & 1876: & & 1888: & & 1900: & \\
\hline 1857: July.... & 3059 & January. & 2711 & Januar & 2239 & Januar & 2145 \\
\hline 1858: January-- & \({ }_{2558}^{2067}\) & 1875: & 2531 & July. & 2121 & 1901: \({ }^{\text {July. }}\) & 2211 \\
\hline 1860: January. & 2713 & Jantu & 2715 & Janu & 2187 & Janu & 2125 \\
\hline 1861: January.- & 2751 & July & 2025 & July & 2161 & July. & 007 \\
\hline 1882: January.. & 2878 & 1878: & & 189 & & 1902: & \\
\hline 1883: January.. & 3492 & Janu & 2554 & Jana & 2236 & Janua & 948 \\
\hline 1864: January.- & 3787 & 3uly ....... & 2457 & & 2259 & July ....... & 1096 \\
\hline 1865: January.- & 3575 & \({ }^{1879}\) Jan & 2225 & \(1801{ }^{1}\) & 2224 & \({ }^{1003}\) & 008 \\
\hline 1867: January. & 3024 & July & 2289 & July. & 2180 & July.. & 211 \\
\hline 1868; & & 1880: & & 1802: January... & & 1003: & \\
\hline January & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2582 \\
& 2820
\end{aligned}
\] & July... & 2479 & & 2081 & Januar & 2197
2100 \\
\hline 1869: & & 1881: & & 1898: & & 1005: & 2130 \\
\hline Januar & 2666 & \({ }^{\text {Janty }}\) & 2378 & Jan & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2120 \\
& 2105
\end{aligned}
\] & Januar & 2136 \\
\hline July... & \(\left.{ }^{2}\right)\) & & & 1804: & & July ........ & 2163 \\
\hline Januar & 2689 & Jenuary... & 2435
2442 & Jan & 2088 & \begin{tabular}{l}
1906: \\
Jan
\end{tabular} & 342 \\
\hline July ... & 2711 & 1883: Juy ....... & 2442 & 1895: July....... & 1974 & Juy & 2362 \\
\hline 1871: & & Januar & 2343 & \({ }^{188}\) Janua & 1023 & 1907; & \\
\hline Janus & 2590
2040 & July. & 2220 & July....... & 1931 & January & \({ }_{2}^{2499}\) \\
\hline 1872: & & & & & & 1008: & \\
\hline January & 2835 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Januarg... } \\
& \text { July ....... }
\end{aligned}
\] & 2221 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { January... } \\
& \text { July........ }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1099 & January... & 2210 \\
\hline July... & 3054 & 1885: & & 1887: Juy....... & 1947 & 1909: \({ }^{\text {July . . . . . }}\) & 2100 \\
\hline 1878: & & 18s6: January & 2008 & Jenaer & 1950 & Jant & 2197 \\
\hline July. & 2014 & July....... & 2048 & July ........ & 1885 & July & 2240 \\
\hline 1874: & 2891 & 1880: & 2023 & & 1890 & \(\mathrm{1910}^{\text {Jan }}\) & \\
\hline July & 2779 & July & 2023 & July & 1915 & July ........ & 2362 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) The Economist, Sept. 2, 1911, pp. 480 and 491. \({ }^{2}\) FIgures not calculated for July 1, 1869.
A comparison of the Economist index number as computed on the old and new bases is afforded in the following table:
COMPARISON OF ECONOMIST INDEX NUMBERS COMPUTED ON OLD AND NEW BASES. 1

\({ }^{1}\) These data are taken from the Eeonamist of Nov. 28, 1011, p. 1035.

The following table, reproduced from the Economist of December 5, 1914, shows the manner in which the information relative to the index number is now presented. The quarterly index figures represent the average of the figures for the months of the quarter. It will be noted that the method of grouping here employed is not the same as is used in the detailed table of prices.

INDEX FIGURES BY GROUPS.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Date. & Cereals and meats. &  & Textiles. & Minerais. & Miscellanemus rubber, timber, dils, eto. & Total. & Percentage change. \\
\hline Bakde (average 1901-1905) & 800 & 300 & 500 & 400 & 500 & 2,200 & 100.0 \\
\hline First 1912. & & & & & & & \\
\hline Second quarter. & 634 & 879 & 571 & \({ }_{502}^{502}\) & 576 & 2,690
2,695 & 122.3 \\
\hline Third quarter.. & 624 & 378 & 600 & 531 & 605 & 2,736 & 124.4 \\
\hline Fourth quarter.. & 602 & 364 & 619 & 537 & 608 & 2,730 & 124.1 \\
\hline 1913. & & & & & & & \\
\hline End of January.. & 608 & 363 & 623 & 5343 & 6055 & 2,732 & 124. 1 \\
\hline End of February & 6022 & \(364{ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{639} 4\) & 519 & 6003 & 2,717 & 12.4 \\
\hline End of March. & 594 & 358 & 641 & 529 & 505 & 2,717 & 123.4 \\
\hline End of April. & \({ }_{5}^{603}\) & 352 & \({ }_{681}^{684}\) & 542 & \({ }_{598} 5\) & 2,729
2,694 & 124.0 \\
\hline End of may.. & \({ }_{580}\) & \({ }_{845}^{343}\) & \({ }_{623}^{630}\) & \({ }_{5722}^{542}\) & 598 & 2,694 & 12.4 \\
\hline End of July. & 584 & 3445 & \({ }_{623}^{623}\) & \({ }_{5}^{523}\) & \({ }_{609}\) & 2,669
2,689 & 121.3 \\
\hline End of August. & \(5{ }_{51}\) & 359 & 6354 & 539 & 585 & 2,089 & 122 \\
\hline End of Scptember. & 583 & 359 & 671 & 523 & 578 & 2,714 & 123 \\
\hline End of October.......... & 567 & 365 & 687 & 514 & 57 & 2,384 & 122.1 \\
\hline End of November... & 5694 & 367 & 654 & 495 & 5757 & 2,861 & 121.0 \\
\hline End of December . . . . . . & 563 & \$55 & 642 & 401 & 572 & 2,623 & 119.2 \\
\hline 1914. & & & & & & & \\
\hline End of Januery. & 5623 & 358 & 628 & 502 & 5717 & 2,818 & 119.0 \\
\hline End of February & 5735 & 352 & 639 & 4913 & 569 & 2,816 & 118.9 \\
\hline End of March.. & 560 & 3504 & 6264 & 483 & 567 & 2,597 & 118.0 \\
\hline End of April. & 5604 & 846 & 6337 & 4824 & 5624 & 2,585 & 117.5 \\
\hline End of May. & 5704 & 349 & 644 & 480 & 551 & 2,595 & 118.0 \\
\hline End of June. & \({ }_{5605}^{565}\) & 345 & 616 & 471 & 551 & 2,549 & 115.9 \\
\hline July........ & 579 & 352 & \({ }_{629}^{616}\) & 484 & 553 & 2,565 & 116.6 \\
\hline August.... & 646 & 869
405 & \({ }_{6112}^{626}\) & 474 & 588 & 8,698 & 1228 \\
\hline October... & \(66^{6} 4\) & 4007 & \(560^{\circ}\) & \(4{ }_{48}^{47}\) & \({ }_{6}^{645}\) & 2,780
2,732 & 124.2 \\
\hline November. & 683 & 4073 & 512 & 473 & 684 & 2,760 & 125. 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{INDEX NUMBERS OF AUGUSTUS SAJERBECK.}

\section*{publication.}

This index number represents the course of wholesale \({ }^{\circ}\) prices in the United Kingdom. Prior to 1910 statements were published only once a year. From January, 1910, to January, 1913, the general result was published each month for the preceding month, and the yearly résumé in March, in the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, London, but since January, 1913, the information has appeared in The Statist, London. The general discussion of the results for the past year is now also published in the April number of the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society.

HISTORY.
During 1885, or in the early part of 1886, Mr. Augustus Sauerbeck, a London wool merchant, prepared a paper upon the gold supply and its relation to prices, which was published in the September, 1886, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. \({ }^{1}\) In much of the discussion relating to the causes of an "extraordinary and almost unprecedented fall of prices" that had continued for 12 years, Mr. Sauerbeck recognized the lack of statistical information and prepared this paper in order to supply data upon the subject.

The work thus begun was continued by Mr. Sauerbeck until the end of 1912, when be relinquished the task and it was taken up by Sir George Paish, editor of The Statist.

\section*{gOURCE OF GUOTATIONS.}

The statement is made in the appendix to the first article that such of the prices from 1846 to 1885 as are not official returns were received from private firms or collected from the Economist and other publications. Further than this no information concerning the source of price quotations is given.

\section*{BASE PERIOD.}

The 11 years 1867-1377 are taken as the standard period. At the time the period was chosen the study covered the 40 years 1846-1885 and the base period included the years of the highest prices as well as a number of years of low prices. The index number for the 11 -yearperiod was found to correspond exactly with the index number of the 25 years 1853-1877, so that " \(a\) comparison of the aggregate prices of all commodities in a certain year with the 11 years 1867-1877, is equivalent to a comparison with the whole 25 years 1853-1877." \({ }^{2}\)

\section*{PRICES: KOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.}

The prices upon which the index number is based are average prices for each year. The prices quoted in the report covering the years 1846-1885 are, with but few exceptions, "the average prices in each year, either those officially returned or the averages of the 12 quotations at the end of each month." \({ }^{3}\) Where a range of prices is given the mean is taken between the highest and the lowest quotations. The prices as given in later reports are the averages of 12 monthly or 52 weekly quotations; in the case of potatoes, of 8 monthly quotations, January to April and September to December. These annual averages are shown in the tables by articles, as arealso the corresponding relatives. The actual prices from which the yearly \({ }_{\tau}\) averages are computed are nowhere shown, but relatives based

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Journal of the Royal Statistlcal Soclety, September, 1886, vol. 49, p. 581.
\({ }^{2}\) Idem, p. 692.
Idem, p. 622.
}
on the quarterly averages are shown by groups of commodities, covering the period from 1884 to the present time.
The statement is made in the report of 1893 that all articles have been calculated at their actual prices and no corrections have been made for extraordinary fluctuations. The treatment of cotton prices at the time of the American Civil War is cited as an example.
The prices of all imported articles are quoted "in bond."
In the first report the general statement is made that in constructing his table of prices the author has on the whole been guided by the system adopted in the Economist reports on the course of prices.

\section*{NUMBER AND CLASS OF COMMODITIES.}

The number of articles used directly in computing the index number was 43 from 1846 to 1866, 44 from 1867 to 1872, and 45 from 1873 to the present time. All are considered raw materials. In the original report the statement is made that only commodities were included which in the United Kingdom at that time represented a value of about a million pounds or more, counting both domestic production and imports. A few important articles, like wine, spirits, and tobacco, had to be left out, as no reliable data were obtainable.

Certain important commodities are represented by more than one of the 45 articles; for example, two varieties of wheat are quoted, and each variety is considered a separate article. The relative prices of certain others of the 45 articles, as for example coffee, were obtained by averaging two relatives representing different varieties or grades of the article. Thus in 1911, when the relative price of Ceylon coffee was 95 and of good Rio was 91, the relative used for coffee was 93, the average of these two. This method was followed in cases where the price of a single variety was not considered sufficiently representative of the article. The number of quotations, including these additional quotations used only indirectly in the computation of the index number in the report for 1911, was 57. The table of average actual prices, however, comprised 60 quotations, one each for tea, copper, and coal being shown in the actual price form without being represented in the index number. At the time the original report was published the series of quotations in the table of average prices comprised a total of 55.

An index number based on the prices of "the 31 principal commodities" from 1818 to 1845 was prepared by Mr. Sauerbeck and published in his original report. These commodities are not enumerated.

\section*{DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.}

The 45 articles are divided into six groups. The grouping is shown in the following table, which also shows the number of series of price quotations secured for each commodity, and the number of relative
prices for each commodity used directly in the computation of the index for the year 1911. The takle has been compiled from data appearing in the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, March, 1911, pages 415 to 420.

NOMBER OF EEREE OF PRICE QUOTATIONS SECURED AND NGMBER OF RELATIVE PRICES UAED IN INDEX, BY COMMODITES,


A description of the various articles included in the six groups of commodities follows: \({ }^{1}\)

Fogetable food (8 price series).
1. Wheat, English Gazette.
2. Wheat, American.
3. Flour, town-made white.
4. Barley, Finglish Gazetto.
5. Oata, English Gazette.
6. Maize, American, mixed.
7. Potatoes, good English.
8. Rice, Rangoon, cargoes to arrive.

Animal food (7 price series).
9. Beef, prime.
10. Beef, middling.
11. Mutton, prime.
12. Mutton, middling.
13. Pork, large and small, average.
14. Bacon, Wateriord.
15. Butter, Friesland, fine to finest.

16a. Sugar, British West Indian refining.
16b. Sugar, beet, Germen, 88 p. c. f. o. b.
17. Sugar, Java, floating cargoes.

18a. Cdifee, Ceylon plantation, low middling.

18b. Coffee, Rio, good.
19a. Tea, Congou, common.
19b. Tea, average import price.
19c. Tea, Indian, good medium.
\({ }^{1}\) Journal of the Ropal Statistical Socloty, Maroh, 1911, PP, 415-120.

\section*{Minerals (10 price series).}

Textiles (11 price series).
27. Cotton, middling American.
28. Cotton, fair Dholera.

29a. Flax, St. Petersburg.
29b. Flax, Russian average import.
30a. Hemp, Manila fair roping.
30b. Hemp, St. Petersburg clean.
31. Jute, good medium.

32a. Wool, merino, Port Phillip, average feece.
32b. Wool, merino, Adelaide, average grease.
33. Wool, English, Lincoln half hoge.
34. Silk, Tsatlee.

\section*{Sundry materials (16 price series).}

35a. Fides, River Plata, dry.
35b. Hides, River Plata, salted.
35c. Hides, average import.
36a. Leather, dressing hides.
36b. Leather, average import.
37. Tallow, town.
38. Oil, palm.

39, Oil, olive.
40a. Oil, linseed.

40b. Seeds, linseed.
41. Petroleum, refined.
42. Soda, crystals.
43. Nitrate of \(\operatorname{sod}\) a.
44. Indigo, Bengal good consuming.

45a. Timber, hewn, average import.
45b. Timber, sawn or split, average import.

\section*{SUESTITUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.}

The method of calculating the index adopted when it was deemed necessary to add or drop quotations for articles is not disclosed. No mention is made of the necessity of quoting other grades of commodities than those formerly quoted, but it is reasonable to believe that in a period of this length it has been found necessary to do so.

\section*{INTERPOLATION.}

It may have been impossible to secure complete statistical material during the full period, but, if so, the author makes no mention of the fact. Where prices were abnormal, as cotton during the Civil War in the United States, no corrections were made, quotations being used as found.

\section*{weigeting.}

The index number is unweighted. The author has, however, given to certain important commodities a larger influence in computing the index number by quoting as separate articles several different varioties or grades of the same commodity. For example, English wheat and American wheat constitute two separate articles, as do prime beef and middling beef; also prime mutton and middling mutton. Similarly, sugar, iron, coal, cotton, wool and oil are each given double importance in the computation of the index.
\[
\left(4261^{\circ}-\text { Bull. } 173-15 \cdots-18\right.
\]

\section*{TRESTING}

Beginning with the index numbers of the year \(1892^{1}\) two tests were applied, the one consisting of weighting the relative prices according to the "money-values" of the commodities in accordance with their importance in the trade of the United Kingdom during the 3 -year period 1889-1891; the second method consisting of weighting them according to their "mass-quantities" of other years. In the latter method the quantities of imports and exports of any one year are reduced to a nominal money value by multiplying the number representing the quantity of the article by the number representing the average prices of said articles during the years 1867-1877.

In his presentation for the year \(1895^{2} \mathrm{Mr}\). Sauerbeck used the geometric average \({ }^{3}\) of Jevons and calculated by that means a total index for his 45 articles for the years 1880, 1894, and 1895, and compared it with his own arithmetic averages, both simple and weighted.

After 1907 the single test of weighting according to average " moneyvalues" of the commodities for the 3-year period 1904-1906 was employed.

The author makes no direct statement in regard to the process of finding the nominal values of the several articles, beyond stating that one factor is the average price of the article during the base period 1867-1877. The other factor, or that quantity which represents the "jimportance in the United Kingdom" of the article, appears to be the average of the annual production plus imports for the chosen 3 -year period. Due warning is given that this figure, which represents the total trade in the commodity including reexports, must not be considered as representing the actual consumption of the commodity in the United Kingdom.

A second tast was in use up to and including the report for 1907. It is stated that the estimated actual values of the 45 articles consumed in the United Kingdom were obtained by taking the production on the basis of Mr. Sauerbeck's price and the imports at British Board of Trade values. The ratio of these actual prices to the nominal values on the basis of the average prices from 1867 to 1877 constituted a second weighted index. The explanation of this second test is not fully stated.

\section*{TABLE OF RESULTS.}

The principal table in this compilation shows the index number for the four general groups, and the grand total index. In addition there are three subindexes of food and an index of all materials contained

\footnotetext{
1 Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, June, 1503, pp. 215-247, 254
\({ }^{2}\) Idem, March, 1590, pp. 193, 104.
1 To findethe geometrical mean, the logarithm is taken of the percentage figure of each article, the total of all logarithms is difided by 45-the number of articles-and the antilogarithm, the number corresponding to the average logarithm, is tho geometrieal index number. (Journal of the Royal statistical Society March, 1808, p. 194.)
}
in the groups of minerals, textiles, and sundry materials. The following data, showing the variations in the group index numbers and in the general index, have been compiled from various issues of the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. \({ }^{1}\)

SUMMARY OF INDEX NUMBERS, 1846 TO 1918.
(Base perlod, 1867-1877-100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Date.} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Food.} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Materials.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Grand total.} \\
\hline & Vegetable food (corn, etc.). & Ant mal food (meat, etc.). & Sugar, coffec, and tea. & Total food & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Miner- } \\
& \text { als. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Texthles. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Sundry } \\
\text { mate } \\
\text { rials. }
\end{gathered}
\] & Total mate rials. & \\
\hline 1846. & \multirow[t]{52}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{52}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{52}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{52}{*}{95
95
105
84
76
75
74
74
75
91
101
101
90
102
88
89
98
98
97
94
89
88
91} & \multirow[t]{52}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{52}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{52}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{52}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{52}{*}{89
98
98
78
74
77
75
78
95
102
101
101
105
91
94
99
98
101
103
105
101
109
100
99
98
96
100
109} \\
\hline 1847.. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1848.... & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1850. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1851.. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(1852 .\). & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1853. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1856. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1857.. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1858.. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1859... & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1860. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1861. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1862. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(1886 .\). & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1865. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1866. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1867. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 18889. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 18.9 .: & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1871. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1872. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1873. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1874. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1875. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1877.. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1878... & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1879.. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1880.. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1833. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1884. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1840. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1887.. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1888. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(1 \times 91\). & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1692.. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 180. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 189. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1596. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1807. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 mos . & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1800. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 11000. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(1402 .\). & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1903. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1504. & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 Geptamber, 1886, p. 648; March, 1891, P. 128; March, 1911, p. 408; April, 1914, P. 550.

SUMMARY OF INDEX NUMBERS, 1846 TO 1913-Concluded.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Date.} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Food.} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Materials.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Grand total.} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Vege- \\
table \\
food \\
(corn, \\
etc.).
\end{tabular} & Antmal food (meat, etc.). & Sugar, coffee, and tea. & Total food. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MInet- } \\
& \text { als. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Textiles. & Sundry
mate
rials. & Total materlals. & \\
\hline 1908. & 70 & 89 & 48 & 72 & 89 & 82 & 73 & 74 & 78 \\
\hline 1509. & 71 & 89 & 50 & 78 & 86 & 64 & 76 & 75 & 74 \\
\hline 1910. . . . . . . . . . . & 85 & 06 & 54 & 74 & 89 & 73 & 81 & 81 & 78 \\
\hline 1911................... & 70 & 90 & 61 & 75 & 98 & 76 & 81 & 88 & 80 \\
\hline 1912. ................ & 78 & 96 & 62 & 81 & 110 & 76 & 82 & 88 & 85 \\
\hline 1018................ & 69 & 80 & 64 & 77 & 111 & 8 & 83 & 01 & 86 \\
\hline A verape: & & & & & & & - & & \\
\hline 1902-1911 & 68 & 88 & 49 & 71 & 90 & 70 & 74 & 77 & 74 \\
\hline 1890-1890** & 81 & 80 & 63 & 68 & 71 & 56 & 66 & 64 & 60 \\
\hline 1878-1887. & 78 & 95 & 76 & 84 & 73 & 71 & 81 & 76 & 7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The general tndex was 83.5 for Janugnmant; 88.8 for February; \(\mathbf{g 2 . 8}\) for March; 82.3 for Aprli; and 82.6 for May.

\section*{INDIA.}

\section*{INDEX NUMBERS (TU(PEE PRICES) OF FRED. J. ATKINSON.}

\section*{pUblication and history.}

Index numbers of rupee prices in India for the years 1861-1895 were first published by Fred. J. Atkinson, Accountant General, United Provinces, India, in the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society for March, 1897. Those for the years 1896 and 1897 were presented in the Journal for June, 1898, and those for the years 1898 to 1901 in the number for March, 1903. In the number for September, 1909, they were brought up to the end of 1908.
In the March, 1907, issue Atkinson makes the following remarks introductory to his study:
The extraordinary fall in gold prices led to the preparation by several economists of figures detailing the course that prices bad taken annually for many years back. No attempt has, however, hitherto been made to deal with the course of silver prices. The consequence is that various contradictory statements have been made on the subject at different times, and the general impression prevails, probably based on the declared values of exports from India, that silver prices have been practically stable for the past 25 years, and the inference drawn is that silver as a measure of value possesses qualities of stability which gold is declared not to possess. It is with the object of coming to some definite conclusion that the present figures have been worked out with considerable difficulty, and whether the conclusions arrived agt hereafter are agreed to or not, the figures themselves will, it is hoped, prove useful to economists generally:
This paper deals only with silver prices in India-it would perhaps be more accurate to say "rupee prices in India"; but the rupee price represented the silver price up to the year 1893, and it seems probable that up to the time India practically fixed silver prices throughout the world. Since 1893, the year in which the mints were closed, the rupee and silver have diverged, and the prices given are rupee prices.

\section*{SOURCE OF GUOTATIONS.}

In preparing his index numbers, Atkinson, while adopting Sauerbeck's principles, did not base his figures on the prices of imported articles but on those of the native products of India. This was necessary, because the bulk of the trade of India is concerned with the products of the country, imports representing only some 8 or 9 per cent of the exported products. Moreover, a considerable portion of the articles produced are mainly for the purpose of export and ape but little used by the natives of the country. It is evident, therefore, that prices must necessarily be affected rather by the production in common use than either by imports or exports.
Sauerbeck takes the majority of the prices he quotes from the London market. In India, however, the production and prices vary so greatly in different parts of the countryedhato take only one market, as Calcutta or Bombay, and treat every arthcle as of equal or nearly equal importance would give a very inaccurate idea of the actual state of affairs. To avoid this, Atkinson prepared a statement based on the agricultural returns and financial and commercial statistics published by the Government of India, and the administration reports of the various native States, giving for the year 1893, with a fair degree of accuracy, the agricultural and manufactured products of India and the relative importance of each.'

Next, accepting the fact that India in its economic conditions represents a cluster of different countries, it had to be ascertained in what particular markets the prices of the various articles should be taken. Atkinson accordingly prepared a table showing the area of cultivation in 1893-94 of each product in each Province of India, and the price of each product was, as far as possible, taken in the Province or Provinces in which the area of its cultivation is greatest.

The prices taken were obtained from various sources; partly from the prices current which the chambers of commerce of Calcutta, Bombay, and Madras issue; partly from the publication "Prices and Wages of India," issued by the Government of India, and partly from private sources. A few only, when figures were not elsewhere obtainable, were taken from the export accounts.

\section*{BASE PERIOD.}

In the first table of index numbers prepared in 1897 Atkinson took the year 1871 to represent the number 100. This year was selected because in it Sauerbeck's index number of gold prices was 100, and the price of silver was approximately the same (99.7). This selection had the advantage of permitting a ready comparison of the course of rupee prices with that of gold prices, which was useful in cormection with the currency question. It is obvious, however, that prices of a

\footnotetext{
1 Bes Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, March, 1897, Vol. LX, pp. 124 and 125.
}
single year can not be regarded as representing normal prices. As a matter of fact, rupee prices in 1871 were exceptionally low, and as the currency question had been settled, Atkinson in 1903 thought it best to use the average of the years 1868-1876, which may be regarded as fairly normal years, as the base period for another table of index numbers. Both tables, that with 1871 and that with 1868-1876 as the base period, were in 1908 brought up to date.

\section*{PRICES: HOW COMPUTEDD.}

Briefly, the procedure adopted in preparing the index numbers was to ascertain the relative importance of each article as compared with the total value of all production in India as shown by the table of production for the year 1893. In computing the index numbers for each month and year for the whole of India, one or more prices at different important places of production were taken for each article in proportion to its relative importance to the whole. The general index number is based on the prices of 38 different commodities. Of these rice, representing three-tenths of the value of all products of India, was allotted 30 prices out of a total of 100 taken. Similarly wheat was allotted 5 prices and other grains 5 , sugar 4 , jowar 3, raggee, gram, bajra, and meat 2 each, and maize, barley, potatoes, spices, and ghee 1 each; making a total of 60 prices for food articles. For raw produce, seeds were allotted 4 prices, cotton 3, hides and skins 3, jute, indigo, opium, tobacco and timber 2 each, and tea, coffee, saltpeter, cutch, myrobalans, animal bones, coal, raw silk, and raw wool 1 each, making 29 in all. For manufactures, hides and skins were allotted 3 prices, cotton goods, jute goods, and oils 2 each, and silk piece goods and shellac 1 each, making 11 in all. Summarized, the division was:

Prlees.
Articles of food ..... 60
Raw produce. ..... 29
Manufactures ..... 11
Total. ..... 100

DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.
The individual commodities included in the general index numbers. and the markets in which their prices were obtained are the following:

\begin{abstract}
Articles of Food.
1-50. Rice (15 prices). \({ }^{\text {1-M Monghyr, Calcutta; common, Bengal, eastern division; com- }}\) mon, Calcutta; common, Patna; common, Bengal, Deltaic division; commcn, Bengal, Orissa division; common, Madras, southeast coast diviaion; common, Madras, Salem; common, northwest provinces, eastern division; common, northwest provincee, central diviaion; common, Burma, Rangoon; common, Burma, Tenasserim division; common,
\end{abstract}

\footnotetext{
I As rice is given an lmportance of 30 and anly 16 pricee were taken, each price has been doubled in computing the gemeral index number.
}
central provincea, Nagpur; common, central provinces, Jubbulpore; and common, Hyderabad, Bolaram. The quotations used are thoee published in "Prices and Wages" and from prices supplied by dealers.
s1-35. Wheat ( 5 prices).-Common, northwest provinces, Cawnpore; common, Punjab, Delhi; common, central provincee, Nagpur; first quality, Qentral India, Nuesirabad; and flour, Bombay, Poona.
s6-s8. Jowar \({ }^{1}\) ( \(\$\) prices)--Bombay; Madras, Salem; and Hyderabad, Bolaram.
s9-40. Raggee \({ }^{2}\) ( 2 prices).-Madras, southeast coast division; and Mysore.
41-42. Gram \({ }^{3}\) (2 prices).-Punjab, central division; and northwest provinces, central division.
49-44. Bajra 4 (2 prices).-Bombay, Deccan division; and Madras, Salem.
45. Maize ( 1 price),-Chota, Nagpur.
46. Barley ( 1 price).-Delhi.

47-51. Other grains ( 5 prices).-Arhar, Allababad; arhar, northwest provinces, Sub-Montano division; mung, Dal, Lucknow; masur, Lucknow; and chenna, Cawnpore. This classification in the agricultural returns includes a large number of different varieties of minor grains and pulses grown in different parts of the country, though the northwest provinces are preeminent in their cultivation. Reliable figures could be obtained for only a few, and the figures for some of these are not complete. Arhar (Cajanus indicus), the most important of these minor pulses, for which two prices have been given, and mang (Phaseolus mungo) are represented by complete figures. The prices for masur (Erva lens) and chenna (Cicer arietinum), were supplied by the commissariat department and commence only from 1875 and are averages of the financial year.
52. Vegetables (1 price).-Potatoes, Bombsy.

5s-66. Sugar (4 prices).-Gurputty, Calcutta; Dhulloah, Calcutta; Jaggery, cane,
Madras; and Jaggery, Palmyra, Madras. Prices for the two refined sugars have been iaken from the Calcutta prices current, aupplemented by prices supplied by Bissouath, Law \& Co., and those for raw sugar from the Madras prices current. Considerable difficulty was experienced in the case of refined sugar, as indeed in most of the quotstions taken from the prices current, by the changes in nomenclature, which in some cases meant a change in actual quality. To continue the same quality throughout the entire period involved a method of calculation of comparisons. The reault, however, is said to be approximately accurate. \({ }^{6}\)
57. Spices (1 pricc).-Ginger, export accounts. Prices taken from the export accounts.
58. Ghee \({ }^{9}\) ( 1 price).-Bombay.

59-60. Meat (z prices).-Mutton, Bombay; beef, Bombay.
Haw Produce and Materimis.
61. Ten (1 price).-Taken from the export, accounts.
62. Coffee (t price).-Taken from the export accounts.

6s-65. Cotton (s prices).-Dharwar; Broach; and Dholera. All obtained from the Bombay prices current.
66-67. Jute (2 prices).-Picked; and double triangle M. From Calcutta prices current.

\footnotetext{
1 A chesp Indian grain used in making a kind of unleavened breed.
I A cercal grass (eleusing Carocana) largely cultivetod for food.
- Tho chlck-pea (Cicer arietinum) of the East Indes, there extensively used as food tor mgn, horses, and cattle.
4 The spiked or pearl millet (Penicillarla spitata) one of the commonest focil cereals of southegstarn \(A\) sia,
- Journal of the Boyal Statistical Soclety, March, 1507, Vol. LX, p. 0.
* Buttar clarified by bolling or heating and skimming or straining until it beeomes a liquid or semillquid of, capable of belng kept for many yours. It enters into the compasition of nearly everything eaten by the Brahmans.
}

68-69. Indigo ( 2 prices).-Bengal, good; and consuming. From Calcutta prices current.
70-71. Opium (2 prices).-Behar; and Malwa. From the monthly figures published by the Government of India.
7t-7s. Tobacco ( \(\$\) prices). Central India, Nuesirabad; and Bombay.
74-77. Seeds (4 prices).-Linseed, bold; til; rape-yellow, mixed; and castor. The prices for linseed and rape were taken from the Calcutta prices current, those of til and castor seeds from the monthly figures published by the Government of India.
78-84. Miscellaneous ( 7 prices).-Saltpeter, 5 per cent refined; cutch, \({ }^{1}\) Rangoon; my \({ }^{\text {forobalans; }}{ }^{2}\) manure, animal bones; coal; raw silk, Surdales; and raw wool. The prices of saltpeter and raw silk were taken from the Calcutta prices current, those of cutch, myrobalans, and manure from the export accounts, and those of coal were furnished by the Bengal Coal Co.
85-87. Hides and skins ( 5 prices).-Raw hidee, buffalo, Patna, slaughtered, arsenic; raw hides, cow, Burdwan, slaughtered; and raw skins, goat, Calcutta. Prices taken from the Calcutta prices current.
88-89. Timber (2 prices).-Bamboos, Calcutta; teak, Rangoon. The prices for bamboos are taken from the figures published in the Government of India publication "Prices and Wages." The prices on 1st of January of each year beginning from 1871 only aregiven. Monthly prices for the series of years were not obtainable. The prices for Rangoon teak were, taken from the Calcutta prices current.

\section*{Manufucturera.}

90-91. Cotton goods (2 prices).-Yarm 1/203, and T cloth, 44 inches. These are taken from "Prices and wages" and represent the prices as given to the Govemment of India by the Bombay Mill Owners' Association on lst of January and July of each year.

92-99. Jute goods (2 prices).-Bags, No. 2 twill; and bags for Califomia. Prices taken from the Calcutta prices current.
94-95. Oils (\& prices). Castor and coconut. The prices for castor oil were taken from the Calcutta prices current. Those for coconut oil are from "Prices and wages" the prices on January 1 of each year beginning from 1871 only are given, monthly prices not being obtainable.
96. Silk piece goods ( 1 price).-Corah No. 1, from the Calcutta prices current.

97-99. Hides and skins (s prices).-Tanned hides; cow; tanned skins, goat; and tanned skins, sheep. Prices are taken from the Madras prices current.
100. Shellac (1 price).-Finst quality, orange; from the Calcutta pricea current.

\section*{WEGETTING.}

As has been mentioned above, Atkinson has weighted his general index numbers by giving to each commodity included as many quotations as corresponded to its importance in the whole production value of India in 1893. Since 1893 changes have occurred and some of the articles included are of more and some of less importance now than they'were then; for example, indigo has declined while coal and cotton goods have materially increased in importance. But all the changes combined, according to Atkinson, would not make any material difference in the percentages as computed. He also remarks

\footnotetext{
1 An extract trom the berk of the mangrove used in tanning and dyratng.
2 Prane-ifice fruits of soveral tropleal plants of the genus terminalis, used for tanping and calleo printing.
}
that during recent years the trade descriptions in some cases and the quality in others of articles entered in the various chamber of commerce prices current, from which several prices are taken, have undergone a change and that it hes been a matter of difficulty to fit the changes, with accuracy, to the previous order of things. \({ }^{1}\)

\section*{table of results.}

In the table printed in the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society for September, 1909, pp. 500-502, Atkinson gives, in addition to the index numbers of 100 articles of Indian production, index numbers for 11 articles of import. These are taken from data published annually since 1905 by the Commercial Intelligence Department of India. Under the title "Variations in Indian Price Levels" this department has published index numbers prepared on different lines from the system adopted by Atkinson- 39 articles only being taken, of which 11 are imported, and all articles being given equal weight. As imported articles are regulated by their gold price and as the individual articles are not weighted according to their importance, it is not surprising that the two sets of index numbers do not agree, though their general trend is practically the same. It having been suggested, however, that so far as the dweller in India is concerned the prices of imports now materially affect his annual expenditure, Atkinson shows in column 6 of his table the index number of 11 articles of import equated to 1868-1876, as given by the commercial intelligence department, and then adds the index numbers of these 11 articles of import to those of the 100 articles of Indian production to which his own index numbers relate, and shows the total index number in column 7 of his table. In column 8 are shown Sauerbeck's index numbers for gold prices; in column 9 the gold price of silver; in column 10 the gold price of the rupee; in column 11 index numbers of articles of export; in column 12 Sauerbeck's gold prices index number of the 11 articles of import shown in column 6; and in column 13 Sauerbeck's gold prices index number of 11 articles exported by India.

This table is reproduced below with the exception of the data contained in columns 12 and 13.
i Journal of the Royal Statistlcal Society, Beptember, 1909, Vol. LXXII, p. 497.

INDEX NUMBERS OF PRTCES IN TNDIA, 1870 TO 1908.
[Boarce: Journel of the Royal statistical Society, September, 1900, Vol. LXXII, pp. 500-502.]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year.} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Index numbers (percentages) of rupee prices in India (averare of 1858-1876-100).} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Index numbers of 11 articlas of Import.} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Inder num: bers 111 articlos including 11 arimport.} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Eavierbeck's gold prices
(gverage 18071877 \(=100\) ).} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Gold } \\
\text { ofrico } \\
\text { of silver } \\
\text { (60.84d. } \\
\text { perr } \\
\text { ounce } \\
=100) . \\
\\
\mathbf{g}
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Gold
prlce
of
rupee
\((23.34\).
\(-100)\).
10} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Index \\
num- \\
ber of \\
articles of 6xpont (150881878 \(-100)\). \\
11
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Food } \\
\text { (tico } \\
\text { artices). }
\end{gathered}
\] & \(\underset{\text { produce }}{\text { Raw }}\) (20 articles) & Manatactures articless). & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { All } \\
\text { prices } \\
\text { grtioleses }
\end{gathered}
\] & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1870. & 104 & 103 & 108 & 105 & 100 & 105 & 96 & 100 & 100 & 103 \\
\hline 1871. & 85 & & 108 & & 93 & 91 & 00 & 100 & & 7 \\
\hline & 9 & 10 & 100 & 98 & 90 & 88 & 111 & & & 101 \\
\hline 1873. & 96 & 99 & 100 & 97 & 106 & 98 & 111 & 9 & 9 & 102 \\
\hline 1874. & 107 & 103 & 105 & 105 & 104 & 105 & 102 & \({ }_{9}^{68}\) & 98 & 108
98 \\
\hline \(1876 . .\). & \({ }_{98}^{92}\) & \({ }_{98}^{96}\) & \({ }_{88} 8\) & 97 & 96 & \({ }_{97}^{9}\) & \({ }_{85}^{60}\) & \({ }_{87}\) & 87 & \({ }_{96}\) \\
\hline 1877. & 142 & 102 & 90 & - 125 & 93 & 122 & 94 & 00 & 90 & 105 \\
\hline 1878. & 155 & 102 & \({ }^{93}\) & 135 & 88 & 130 & 87 & 86 & 86 & 100 \\
\hline 1879. & 137 & 104 & 95 & 123 & 87 & 119 & 8 & 84 & 84 & 109 \\
\hline 1880... & 108 & 108 & 103 & 103 & 93 & 105 & 88 & 88 & 88 & 106 \\
\hline 1881... & 98 & 103 & 102 & 96 & 90 & 95 & 85 & 85 & 85 & 100 \\
\hline 1882. & 93 & 100 & 97 & 95 & 80 & 95 & 84 & 85 & 85 & 96 \\
\hline 183. & 96 & 100 & 87 & 96 & 83 & \({ }^{95}\) & 88 & \({ }_{83}^{83}\) & \({ }_{83}^{83}\) & 107 \\
\hline 1884. & 107 & \({ }_{68}^{100}\) & \({ }_{85}^{81}\) & 103 & 78 & 101 & 72 & 80 & 80 & 9 \\
\hline 1886. & 103 & 99 & \({ }_{60}^{60}\) & 100 & 88 & 98 & \({ }_{68}^{68}\) & 76 & 75 & \({ }_{97}^{98}\) \\
\hline 1887.... & 108 & 101 & 96 & 101 & 87 & 100 & \({ }_{7}^{68}\) & 78 & 73 & \({ }_{101}^{97}\) \\
\hline 1888. & 111 & 106 & 100 & 114 & 97 & 107 & 78 & 70 & 70 & 106 \\
\hline 1889. & 118 & 111 & 109 & 114 & \({ }_{96}^{96}\) & 112 & 72 & 78 & 78 & 109 \\
\hline 1891. & 123 & 106 & 100 & 116 & 8 & 113 & 72 & 74 & 74 & 105 \\
\hline 1992... & 138 & 115 & 103 & 128 & 88 & 124 & \({ }_{88}^{68}\) & 65 & 86 & 115 \\
\hline 1893. & 131 & 117 & 113 & 125 & 94 & 122 & \({ }^{88}\) & 58 & 64 & 121 \\
\hline 1804. & 121 & 118 & 116 & 119 & 88 & 116 & 83 & 48 & \({ }^{57}\) & 116 \\
\hline 1895 & 113 & 125 & 118 & 118 & 91 & 114 & 62 & 40 & \({ }_{61}^{67}\) & 115 \\
\hline 1896.. & 133 & 120 & 111 & \({ }^{127}\) & 99 & 124 & 61 & 80 & 61 & 113 \\
\hline 1897. & 171 & 114 & 103 & \begin{tabular}{l}
149 \\
122 \\
\hline 1
\end{tabular} & \(\stackrel{90}{80}\) & 118 & \({ }_{64}^{62}\) & 4 & 65
68 & 115 \\
\hline 1900. & 152 & 120 & 104 & 139 & 102 & 135 & 75 & 46 & 68 & 112 \\
\hline 1901. & 148 & 117 & 106 & 135 & 101 & 132 & 70 & 45 & 68 & 110 \\
\hline 1902. & 131 & 213 & 112 & 124 & 90 & 121 & 69 & 40 & 69 & 110 \\
\hline 1903. & 124 & 213 & 108 & 119 & 93 & 116 & \({ }_{70}^{69}\) & 41 & 69 & 108 \\
\hline 1904. & 118 & 115 & 118 & 117 & \({ }^{98}\) & & 78 & 48 & 66 & 113 \\
\hline 1905. & 139 & 116 & 121 & 1130 & 110 & 127 & 77 & \({ }_{61}^{46}\) & \({ }_{69}^{60}\) & 128 \\
\hline 1907. & 178 & 134 & 144 & 162 & 122 & 158 & 80 & 80 & \(\stackrel{69}{89}\) & 138 \\
\hline 1908. & 202 & 227 & 122 & 174 & 113 & 188 & 73 & 40 & \({ }^{88}\) & 131 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ITALY.
Index numbers of the annuario statistico italiano.
publication.
Index numbers based on the prices of a limited number of commodities at wholesale are contained in the annual statistical report for Italy (Annuario Statistico Italiano), issued from the Office of the Director ,General of Statistics and Labor (Direzione generale della statisca e del lavoro). history.

Since 1886 the Annuario Statistico Italiano has presented tables showing fluctuations in the prices of a large number of commodities, both raw and manufactured, during a series of years. In the earlier reports these prices were shown for a period extending, in some
instances, back to 1862 . In more recent issues the figures have been limited as a rule to the last five years preceding the date of publication.

Prior to 1912 no index numbers appear to have been computed, the data being given only in the form of actual average prices. In the report for 1912, however, was begun the publication of two series of index numbers based in the one case on the prices of a few articles of food furnished to the army, and in the other case on a larger number of articles of the same class supplied to 43 nationeal boarding schools (convitti nazionali) of Italy. These index numbers were continued in the report for 1913.

\section*{SOURCE OF QUOTATIONS.}

The price quotations on which the index numbers are based were furnished by the directors of the schools and by the minister of war (ministero della guerra, direzione generale dei servizi logistici e amministrativi).

\section*{BASE PIRIOD.}

The five years 1890-1894 constitute the base period in the series relating to the boarding schools. In the series for the army the relatives are based on the period 1900-1904.

PRICES; HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.
Only the average annual prices of the different commodities included in the two indexes are given in the reports. In several instances data for earlier years are lacking from the figures relating to the army.

NUMBER AND CLASS OF COMMODITHES.
The table of index numbers for supplies furnished to the army contains 8 commodities, while that for boarding schools contains 13 commodities. All articlos belong to the food group.

\section*{DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODTIIES.}

The following articles are included in the table of index numbers for the army: Corn, bread (ration), Italian paste, rice, beof (young steer), coffee (roasted), sugar, and wine. The list of articles supplied to boarding schools for which index numbers are shown includes bread, Italian paste, rice, beef, sausage, fish (in oil), eggs, butter, oil, milk, coffee, sugar, and wine. In the latter series the index for beef is based on the average of the prices paid for young stoer flesh and veal in a single institution. No further description of the commodities is furnished.
gUBSTITUTIONS, ADDITIONS, AND INTERPOLATION.
So far as can be determined from the information at hand, no additions to the list of articles or substitutions of one grade or quality of an article for another have been made. No prices appear to have been interpolated.

\section*{weighting.}

All commodities are given equal weight in the computation of the general index number for each series.
tagting.
The accuracy of these index numbers is not tested by comparison with similar data or by other means, so far as the published results show.
table of resulits.
The table following contains the index numbers for the 13 commodities furnished to boarding schools during the period 1890 to 1913, inclusive.

INDEX NUMBERS BASED ON PRICES PAID BY THE NATIONAL SCEOOLS (CONVITTI NAZIONALI) FOR COMMODITIES NECEBSARY FOR THE NOURISEMENT OF THE PUPLS, 1890 TO 1913.
(Base period, 1890-1894-100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Commodity. & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Av- \\
erage \\
prices \\
for \\
1890 \\
1894. \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 1890 & 1891 & 1892 & 1898 & 1894 & 1896 & 1896 & 1897 & 1898 & 1899 & 1000 & 1801 \\
\hline Bread, kellog & 80. 358 & 09.7 & 101.1 & 107.e8 & 89.2 & 92.1 & 85.5 & 92.4 & 94, 1 & 103.9 & 103.1 & 102.8 & \\
\hline Italtan paste, killo- & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline p prams............. & 515 & 102.1 & 101.2 & 108.1 & 99.6 & 94.2 & 01.8 & 91.7 & 97. & & 100.0 & 99.0 & 98.1 \\
\hline Beef, l (lograms. & 1.62 & 99.4 & 104.7 & 100.5 & 88.8 & \({ }_{96} .4\) & 97.0 & \({ }_{95.2}\) & \({ }_{93.8}\) & 99.1 & 98.7 & \({ }_{90.6}^{95.7}\) & 83 \\
\hline Sbusage, Elograms & 2. 681 & 90.8 & 98.8 & 101.1 & 101.1 & 88.8 & 100.3 & 101.3 & 101.5 & 102.2 & 08.2 & 104.2 & 104.8 \\
\hline Fish (in oil), wilo- & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \({ }^{\text {grams... }}\) & 2.123 & 100.0 & 101.0 & 101.7 & 98.5 & 98. 5 & 100.0 & 101.4 & 102.0 & 102.6 & 100.8 & 103. 1 & 100.2 \\
\hline Eggs, dozen & 815 & 101. & 99.3 & 100.4 & 99.0 & 100. 3 & 09.7 & 100.7 & 100.9 & 101.7 & 100.2 & 107.8 & 108.9 \\
\hline Butter, kilograms & 2.792 & 98.5 & 97.4 & 101.0 & 100.2 & 101.7 & 101.0 & 100.2 & 102.4 & 98.8 & 97.4 & 98.4 & 102.0 \\
\hline Oil 11 ters. & 1.251 & 99.3 & 99.4 & 102.1 & 101.1 & 88.3 & 97.6 & g2.8 & 91.5 & 105.0 & 100.7 & 110.8 & 111. \\
\hline Milk, liters. & . 288 & 103.8 & 102.1 & 97. 2 & 100. 6 & 88.5 & 97.5 & 95. 4 & 91.6 & 93.0 & 93.7 & 89.2 & 89 \\
\hline Coffee, kilograms & 4.051 & 98.8. & 99.1 & 96.8 & 99.5 & 106. 0 & 105. 1 & 102.7 & 98.9 & 93.9 & 85.3 & 83.8 & 82. \\
\hline Sugar, kilograms. & 1.506 & 97.4 & 96.2 & 98.6 & 100. 1 & 107.5 & 100.0 & 101.7 & 100.3 & 99. & \(10 t .0\) & 101.1 & 100.0 \\
\hline Wine, liters.. & . 357 & 115.5 & 110.0 & 90.7 & 90.7 & 93.2 & 94.6 & 102.8 & 91.3 & 94.3 & 95.2 & 94.9. & 93.8 \\
\hline Oenarid index number...... & & 101.4 & 100.8 & 100.3 & 08.8 & 98.4 & 88.3 & 98.0 & 97.5 & 98.9 & 97.3 & 98.6 & 98.4 \\
\hline Commodity. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Ave } \\
\text { Arage } \\
\text { prices } \\
\text { for } \\
\text { 1890- } \\
\text { 1s94. }
\end{gathered}
\] & 1802 & 1903 & 1004 & 1905 & 1906 & 1907 & 1908 & 1909 & 1010 & 1911 & 1012 & 1913 \\
\hline Breed, kllograms. & *0.856 & 90, 8 & 95, 5 & 01.3 & 91, 3 & 93.8 & 98.0 & 97.2 & 109.7 & 108.8 & 104.8 & 108.2 & 111.1 \\
\hline Itafian paste, kilocrams & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Rice, kilograms.. & . 500 & 93.7 & \({ }^{03.7}\) & 93.3 & 92.1 & 93.7 & 94.3 & 98.0 & 98.4 & 100.5 & 95. 5 & 95.3 & 103.9 \\
\hline Bert, \({ }^{\text {E }}\) ilograms..... & 1.624 & 93.8 & 82.8 & 93, 6 & 101. 4 & 102.8 & 102.8 & 102.6 & 111.2 & 12.0 & 124. 7 & 132.8 & 134.8 \\
\hline gausage, viograms.. & 2.681 & 104.3 & 107.6 & 109.1 & 111.6 & 100.1 & 111.7 & 118.9 & 118.4 & 130.6 & 139.1 & 138.3 & 146.5 \\
\hline Fish (in oil) f kilo- & 2.123 & 103.6 & 115.0 & & & & & & 127.5 & 138.6 & 140.1 & 134.8 & 147.0 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{E}_{\text {ggs, dozen. }}\) & . 815 & 111.0 & 111.2 & 110.9 & 114.9 & 115.8 & 121.4 & 126.2 & 131.5 & 133.4 & 140.0 & 140.9 & 145.3 \\
\hline Butter, vilograms.... & 2. 782 & 99.9 & 101.3 & 101.7 & 100.6 & 103.1 & 184.8 & 107.4 & 111.0 & 112.4 & 113.9 & 118. & 120.0 \\
\hline Oil liters. & 1. 251 & 103.8 & 102.7 & 95. 2 & \({ }^{100.0}\) & 94.3 & 101.0 & 105.1 & 145.3 & 135.8 & 146.6 & 113.1 & 140.1 \\
\hline milk, itiers. & & 92.7 & 92.0 & 88.8 & 91.6 & 93.4 & 98.6 & \({ }^{99} 6\) & 103.8 & 109.3 & 112.5 & 114.9 & 121.1 \\
\hline Conee, kilograms.... & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1.051 \\
& 1.506
\end{aligned}
\] & 88.8 & \({ }^{75.6}\) & \({ }^{74 .} 8\) & 74.5
97.4 & \({ }_{98.0} 75\) & \({ }_{96.7}^{75}\) & 97.4 & 98.4 & \({ }^{76.1} 9\) & \({ }^{84 .} 10{ }^{8}\) & 1028 & \\
\hline Wugar, litorn......... & 1.357 & 88.8 & 89.3. & 89.6 & 89.8 & 92.1 & 98.2 & \({ }^{94.6 .5}\) & 7.7 & 77.5 & 123.5 & 133.8 & 122.0 \\
\hline General indax number...... & & 00.8 & 97.1 & 95.3 & 90.7 & 97.4 & 100.0 & 102.8 & 107.5 & 109.8 & 117.2 & 119.7 & 121.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) The prices usad represent the avamape prices pald for beal (stears) and veal in one schowl. Annubilo Statistico Itallano, 1012, p. 138; 1018, p. 184.

\section*{INDEX NUMBERS OF ACHILLE NECCO.}

PUBLICATHON AND EISTORY.
A volume entitled "La Curva dei Prezzi delle Merci in Italia negli Anni 1881-1909" (The Price Curve of Commodities in Italy during 1881-1909), which was published in Turin by Achille Necco in 1910,1 contains four series of index numbers based in each case on the import or export values of certain important articles of commerce. Comparative tables showing the price fluctuations in several countries on a common basis, that of the year 1881, are also contained in the volume.

A continuation of the two principal series of these index numbers has been published by Necco in La Riforma Sociale for 1911, pages 68-72, and 1913, pages 621-635; also in a special bulletin entitled "Prezzi della Merci in Italia nel 1912" (Prices of commodities in Italy during 1912), appearing in 1914. In the last-named publication which also was issued by La Riforma Sociale, the figures for 1910, 1911, and 1912 have been supplied.

\section*{sOURCE OF QUOTATIONS.}

The data used in computing the index numbers were those formulated by the commissione centrale dei valori per le dogane (central commission for customs valuation) and published in the reports of the Ministry of Agriculture, Industry, and Commerce.

\section*{HASE PERIÓ́.}

The values of imported and exported articles for the year 1881, taken as 100 , constitute the bases on which the two principal series were computed. The other two series appearing in the first volume issued in 1910 are based on the method employed by Pantaleoni and have for the standard of measurement the values of imports and exports, respectively, in 1878.

\section*{NUMBER AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.}

In each of the two main series of index numbers the groupings adopted in the collection of the customs revenue have been followed. Within the 16 principal groups there are approximately 400 different articles, each of which in turn may comprise several varieties.

The 16 groups of commodities are as follows:
1. Spirits, beveragee, oils.
2. Colonial products, spicet, tobacco.
3. Chemical products, medicinal substances, resins, gums, etc.
4. Coloring and other materials for dyeing and tanning.
5. Hemp, flax, jute, and other fibrous plants.
6. Cotton.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Sociota Tipografi-Editrice Nacionale (eta Roux 0 Vierenge), Torino. The same information also was published as a supplamant to La Riforma Boolale, Vol. XXI, Septembar-October, 1910.
}
7. Wool, horsehair, and other hair.
8. Silk.
9. Wood and straw.
10. Paper and books.
11. Hides.
12. Minerals, metals and their manufactures.
13. Stone, earthen, glase, and crystal ware.
14. Cereals, four, Italian paste, and vegetable products.
15. Animals and animal products.
16. Miscellaneous commodities.

The two index numbers of import and export values computed according to the method adopted by Pantaleoni are likewise based on commodities selected from the tariff schedules. There are 19 import and 12 export commodities as follows:

Importa:
1. Petroleum, refined.
2. Coffee, raw.
3. Sugar, second grade.
4. Dyes, in dry state.
5. Cotton, raw.
6. Cotton cloth, unbleached.
7. Wool, natural or unwashed.
8. Woolen cloth (combed wool).
9. Woolen cloth (carded wool).
10. Hides of oxen and cows.
11. Leather.
12. Cast iron (in pigs or plates).
13. Bar iron and ateel in sheets.
14. Copper, brass, and bronze.
15. Machinery (not specified).
16. Grain

Importo-Concluded.
17. Cheese.
18. Dry goods, ordinary.
19. Dry goods, fine.

Exports:
1. Wine in bottles.
2. Olive oil.
3. Boric acid.
4. Sulphate of quinime.
5. Soap (common).
6. Hemp, raw.
7. Silk, raw.
8. Straw braid for hats.
9. Sulphur, raw and refined.
10. Oranges and lemons.
11. Almonds (shelled).
12. Coral (polished).

WHGRTING.
In the computation of his two main series of index numbers Necco adopted the method employed by De Foville in following the changes in the import and export values of France from 1826 to 1880 . It consists in weighting the prices of the first of any two consecutive years with the mass quantities of imports or exports of the second year. The price of the preceding year in any instance is multiplied by the mass quantity of the current year, giving. what is termed the "provisional value." The price of the current year is then multiplied by the mass quantity to produce the "actual value." These provisional and actual values of the imports or exports are then summed and compared to ascertain the increase or decrease which has taken place in these values as between the two years under comparison. For example, it might be found that the import values of 1881 were 2 per ceat lower than those of 1880; those of 1882, 4 per cent higher than those of 1881; those of 1883, 3 per cent lower than those of

1882; and so on. Assuming now that the import values of the year. 1880 are taken as the base, or 100 , the index for 1881 would be 98 , since the import values in 1881 decreased 2 per cent from those of 1880. Again in 1882 the import values increased 4 per cent over what they were in 1881 ; that is, 4 per cent of 98 , or 3.92 . Therefore the index for 1882 is 98 plus 3.92, or 101.92 . In like manner, since import values in 1883 were 3 per cent lower than in 1882, the index for 1883 becomes 97 per cent of 101.92 , or 98.86 .
It is seen that under Necco's system there is a constantly changing weight, namely, the quantity of an article imported or exported each year. No direct relationship exists between the index number of any one year and that of the basic year, since, as has been said, the relative importance of a commodity changes from year to year according to the quantity imported or exported, as the case may be.
Pantaleoni likewise employed a fluctuating weight in determining the relative importance of the commodities entering into his index number. Under his original plan there was determined each year the percentage which the value of each commodity imported or exported, as the case might be, formed of the total value of all imported or exported commodities. This figure was then used as a weight for each commodity included in the final index number. To simplify this rather laborious process from year to year, Pantaleoni sug-gested-and Necco followed the suggestion in extending Pantaleoni's indexes-that it would be advisable to ascertain the average import or export value of each commodity concerned over a period of years and then calculate the ratio between the average value of each commodity so ascertained and the total average value of all imports and exports over the same period and use the result as a weight for each commodity for each of the years involved in the period under consideration. Neccu has done this for each of the three periods, 18901895, 1896-1901, and 1902-1908, in the case of imports and for each of the two periods, 1890-1898 and 1899-1908, in the case of exports.

The result of this method is that there is employed a constant weight over a limited number of years, a weight which may be termed the average importance of the particular commodity as determined by its proportionate value in the total import or export trade of the country, as the case may be.

\section*{TABLE OF RESULTS.}

On page 32 of Necco's original work \({ }^{1}\) are given the following index numbers for imports and exports, respectively. The first series in each case is Necco's own number computed according to the method of Benini. The two remaining series are those of Pantalegni and

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) La Curra del Proari delifo Mered in Italis noghi Anni 1881-1900.
}

Benini reduced for the sake of comparison to a common-base period, 'that of the year 1881. Necco's figures for the years 1910 to 1912 have been supplied from the "Prezzi della Merci in Italia nel 1912," published in 1914.

DNDEX NUMBERE OF ITALIAN IMPORTS AND EXPORTS,
(Binse period, 188i-100.)


JAPAN.
INDEX NUMBERS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COMMERCE.

\section*{publication.}

Wholesale prices, with index numbers for the same, are published annually in the reports issued by the Department of Agriculture and Commerce of Japan. These reports, which are printed in English as well as Japanese, contain various data of a statistical nature relating to the agricultural, mining, manufacturing, and other industries of the Empfire and its dependencies. No text analysis is given of the tables included in the reports.

HISTORY.
The quotations of prices, according to N. Hanabusa, director of the Japanese bureau of statistics, were not matters of record prior to 1886 except for the four standard commodities: Rice, barley, beans,
and sake, for which there were incomplete records for earlier years. The latest available report, issted in March, 1914, is the twentyninth of the series.

\section*{sodice or quotations.}

Wholesale prices of the articles for which index numbers are compiled are obtained from the cities of six statistical divisions of the Empire by the Department of Agriculture and Commerce. No statement is made in the reports as to the methods of securing this information.

BASE PERRIOD.
The base period for each year from 1901 to 1912, inclusive, is the year 1900 taken as 100 . In each of the reports for this series of years, except the one issued in 1905, index numbers are computed for the years from 1900 to two years earlier than the date of publication. For example, the report published in 1908 contains index numbers for the years 1900 to 1906, inclusive. The latest report, issued in 1914, comprises index numbers for the years 1904 to 1912 only, 1900 being still retained as the base or 100 .

The report of 1905, which is the earliest one available, uses the year 1887 as a base or 100 and shows average annual prices and index numbers for each year for the several commodities from 1887 to 1903 inclusive. In the case of a fow commodities for which data for 1887 were lacking, a subsequent year was used as the base. There is no general index number in this volume for the groups of commodities as in those for succeeding years.

\section*{PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.}

Average annual prices are published for each commodity taken separately for all years subsequent to and including 1900 . In the report of 1905 there is no grouping of the commodities, while in those for other years the commodities are divided into three main groupsfood, clothing, and material.

Following the average annual prices of the different articles for the Empire as a whole, there is a table showing for each commodity the average monthly price and the average price for the year of that commodity in each of the principal cities of the several statistical divisions and in the country at large, all data being for the year prior to the one preceding the publication of the report.

\section*{NUMBER AND CLASS OF COMMODITIESS.}

Sixty-five commodities are quoted in the report for 1912, which is the latest year for which data are available. Leaf tobacco was not quoted after 1905, nor cut tobacco after 1907.
\({ }^{1}\) Ballatin del'Institut Intarnational de Btatistique, tome XIX, 3- IVrraison, p. 237. \(94261^{\circ}-\) Bull. 178-15-19

The 65 commodities, including both raw and manufactured articles, are as follows:

Rice, superior.
Rice, medium.
Rice, inferior.
Barley.
Naked barley.
Wheat.
Soy beans.
Small red beans.
Salt.
Soy (sauce).
Miso (saiuce, soy beans, rice, water).
White sugar, domestic.
White sugar, foreign.
Brown eugar, domestic.
Brown sugar, foreign.
Sake (rice liquor).
Tea.
Bonito (fish), dried.
Beef.
Eggs.
Milk.
Umeboshi (pickled plum).
Takuwan (pickled radiah).
Ginned cotton, domestic.
Ginned cotton, foreign.
Cotton yarns, domestic.
Cotton yarns, foreign.
Raw silk, superior.
Raw silk, medium.
Raw silk, inferior.
Hemp.
Bleached cotton fabric, domeatic.
Grey ahirting, foreign.

Calico.
Silk tissue, for lining.
Kaiki silk.
Petroleum.
Coal.
Firewood.
Charcoal.
Rapeseed oil.
Mino paper.
Hanshi paper.
Indigo (Japanese).
Balk (beam) pine.
Balk (beam) sugi.
Balk (beam) keyaki.
Balk (beam) fir.
Plank, pine, 6 bu ( .7155 jnch) thick.
Plank, sugi, 6 bu (. 7155 inch) thick.
Plank, pine, 4 bu ( 477 inch) thick.
Plank, sugi, 4 bu (. 477 inch) thick.
Log, pine.
Log, sugi.
Shingles.
Sleeper, chestnut.
Sleeper, Hinoki.
Pig iron, domestic.
Pig iron, foreign.
Naila, foroign.
Straw.
Hay.
Dried sardine (for manure).
Herring (for manure).
Rapeseed cake.

DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OY COMDMODITESS.
In the report for 1904 and in subsequent issues the commodities for which average yearly prices and index numbers are given are divided into three groups: (1) Food, etc.; (2) clothing; (3) materials. Under food the following articles are listed: Rice, barley, naked barley, wheat, soy beans, red beans, salt, sake, soy (soy-bean sauce), miso, tea, bonito (dried fish), beef, eggs, milk, umeboshi (pickled plum), takuwan (pickled radish), sugar ( 4 grades), tobacco ( 2 grades)-a total of 23 articles.

Under clothing are listed ginned cotton (2 grades), cotton yarns (2 grades), raw silk, hemp, bleached cotton fabric, gray shirting, calico, silk tissue, kaiki silk-11 articles in all.

Under materials are listed the following: Petroleum, coal, firewood, charcoal, rapeseed oil, paper (2 grades), indigo, beams ( 4 grades),
planks ( 4 grades), logs ( 2 grades), shingles, sleepers ( 2 grades), pig iron (2 grades), nails, straw, hay, manure (fish, 2 grades), rapeseed cake-altogether 29 articles.
As previously stated, leaf tobacco was discontinued after 1905 and cut tobacco after 1907.

\section*{SUBSTITUIIONS AND ADDITIONS.}

So far as the reports show, no substitutions of a particular grade or quality of an article for another grade or quality of the same article or for a different article have been made at any time. Additions to the list of articles have apparently been made from time to time as data became available. In all such cases the average price for the earliest year for which data were available was taken as the base, or 100 . No additions have been made since 1901, in which year logs (pine and sugi \({ }^{1}\) ) appear to have been included for the first time.

\section*{INTERPOLATION.}

No interpolation of prices has been made in any of the reports so far as can be determined. In cases where prices for a particular month in a given locality were lacking, the averages for the year and for the country at large have been based on the data for the remaining months and localities.

\section*{WHGHTING.}

There is no attempt at weighting any of the commodities for which index numbers have been computed, apart from the inclusion in the tables of several grades of the more important articles.

\section*{TESTING.}

No comparison of these index numbers with those for other countries has been made in the reports, nor have other means of testing their accuracy been employed, so far as the published results show.

\section*{tableg or resulis.}

The index numbers for average wholesale prices of four staple articles, viz, rice, barley, beans, and sake, \({ }^{2}\) from 1881 to 1909, inclusive, are shown in the following table published in the dulletin of the international statistical institute. \({ }^{\text {. }}\) This table was compiled by the director of the Japanese imperial statistical bureau, Mr. N. Hanabusa, and is based on data collected annually during the months of March, June, September, and December from six principal cities of the Empire by the minister of agriculture and commerce. Only medium grades of the articles for which quotations were secured have been included in the compilation.

\footnotetext{
1 A genus of evergteen trees of the plne family.
A A native beer mede from rice.
4Bulletin de l'Institut International do Statistique, tome XLX, se IVralson, p. 239.
}

INDEX NUMBERS FOR FOUR PRINCLPAL COMMODITIES, 1881 TO 1900.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year, & Rlco. & Barley. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Beans } \\
\text { (Јвраияse). }
\end{gathered}
\] & Bake (rice wine, clear). & Canersi index. \\
\hline 1881. & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
\hline 1882... & 88 & 88 & 102 & 69 & 90 \\
\hline 1883. & 60 & \({ }^{63}\) & 77 & 78 & 72 \\
\hline 1884.......... & 52 & 59 & 61 & 73 & 84 \\
\hline 1885......... & 64 & 64 & 64 & 94 & 77 \\
\hline 1887...... & & & 57 & 80 & \({ }_{6}^{86}\) \\
\hline 1888. & 48 & 41 & 57 & 76 & \({ }_{61}^{66}\) \\
\hline 1880... & 81 & 47 & 71 & 80 & 69 \\
\hline 1890... & 00 & 72 & 78 & 8 & 88 \\
\hline 1801. & 75 & 70 & 74 & 84 & 78 \\
\hline 1892 & 77 & \({ }_{64}^{64}\) & 73 & 84 & 78 \\
\hline 1883. & 78 & 64 & 78 & 83 & 78 \\
\hline 1895. & \({ }_{01}^{61}\) & 72 & 88 & 102 & \({ }_{97}^{7}\) \\
\hline 1806. & 103 & 71 & 93 & 118 & 103 \\
\hline 1817. & 129 & 94 & 113 & 146 & 120 \\
\hline 1898. & 145 & 117 & 119 & 170 & 147 \\
\hline 1899. & 108 & 85 & 123 & 185 & 133 \\
\hline 1900... & 125 & 84 & 115. & 192 & 147 \\
\hline \(1901 .\). & 127 & 72 & 108. & 201 & 148 \\
\hline 1902... & 132 & 81 & 104 & 201 & 150 \\
\hline 1003. & 158 & 113 & 116 & 205 & 164 \\
\hline 1904. & 143 & 137 & 147 & 208 & 172 \\
\hline 1005. & 187 & 123 & 148 & 223 & 175 \\
\hline & 155 & 85 & 139 & 229 & 180 \\
\hline 1007. & 173 & 106 & 149 & 240 & 110 \\
\hline 1908... & 169 & 115 & 134 & 252 & 192 \\
\hline & 139 & 103 & 117 & 251 & 180 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The following table, compiled from the 28 th and 29th reports of the Japanese Department of Agriculture and Commerce, shows the index numbers for each of the three groups-food, clothing, and materials, and for the three groups combined, by years, from 1900 to 1912. The indexes for thegroups as a whole apparently were obtained 'by taking the simple average of the index numbers for all articles included in the three groups reported.

INDEX NUMBERS FOR THE THREE PRINCIPAL OROUPS OF COMMODITIES, 1800 TO 1912.

\({ }^{1}\) For fndex numbers of the separate commodities of each group see the statistical reports of Dopartment of Agriculture and Commarce for 1011 and 1012

\section*{NETHERLANDS.}

\section*{INDEX NUMBERS OF THE NETHERLANDS STATISTICAL OFFICE.}

\section*{history and publication.}

This index of wholesale prices has been prepared by the Netherlands Statistical Office and appeared for the first time in the monthly journal of that office in June, 1914. \({ }^{1}\) It grew out of a compilation of tables on wholesale prices presented by the Statistical Office in its yearbook of 1913, and covered the years 1885 to 1913 ; it is being continued in the monthly journal of this same office.

\section*{sOURCE OF QUOTATIONS.}

Price quotations are reported from the different wholesale markets, the number and place of these markets not being specifically mentioned. Monthly price quotations are averaged annually.

BASE PERIOD.
The base period is 1893 , the average price of that year representing 100 .

NUMARR AND DESCRIPTION OF COMMODITIES.
There are twelve commodities for which separate index numbers are given; no general index for all commodities combined has been presented. A graphical presentation is shown for each of the commodities in four groups: (1) Wheat, maize, and rye; (2) crude grain alcohol, rapeseed, and flaxseed oil; (3) oleomargarine (best quality), coffee (Java), and beet sugar; (4) petroleum, tin (Banca), and refined grain alcohol.

WEIGATINGG.
A simple arithmetical average has probably been employed, no mention being made of any kind of weighting.
table of resplis.
Index numbers for each of the twelve commodities are shown in the table which follows.

\footnotetext{
1 Maandschrift van hat Cantraal Bureau voor do Statistiblc. The Fague, 1014, F © .9, No. 0 (Juno) pp. 581-486.
}

RELATIVE PRICES (INDEX NOMBERS) FOR TWELVE COMMODITIES IN THE WHOLE SALE MARKETS OF THE NETEERLANDS, 1885 TO 1913.
[Source: Manadschrift van het Centraal Bureau yoar de Statistiak. The Hague, 1014, vol 0, No. 6 (Jume),
p. 462.]
(Base perfod, 1898-100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & Wheat, & Maize, American. & Rye, grad. & \[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Aleo } \\
\text { hol, } \\
\text { grain, }, \\
\text { crude. }
\end{array}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rape } \\
& \text { seed. }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { OII, } \\
& \text { flax- } \\
& \text { seed. }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Oles- \\
marga- \\
rine, \\
first \\
qual \\
ity. \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & Coffee,
Java. & Sugar, & Kerosene, Abel test. & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
\text { Tin, } \\
\text { Bancen }
\end{gathered}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Splr- } \\
& \text { fot } \\
& \text { grain, } \\
& \text { re } \\
& \text { fined. }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1885. & 124. & 106. 88 & 102 & 113.42 & 110.91 & 108.09 & 95.73 & 48.85 & 93.48 & 162.6 & 9705 & [16. 83 \\
\hline & 124.58 & 100. 78 & 80.81 & 80.83 & 04.60 & 100. 88 & 89.21 & 57.05 & 79.11 & 143. 82 & 110.13 & 78.91 \\
\hline 1887 & 123.88 & 101. 22 & 81.94 & \(90.0{ }^{9}\) & 94. 89 & 102. 14 & 62.41 & 94.33 & \(80.0{ }^{7}\) & 139.40 & 12532 & 90. 76 \\
\hline 1888. & 122.03 & 112.49 & \({ }^{81} 16\) & 88.91 & 108.39 & \({ }^{93} 288\) & 81.60 & 80.22 & 103.28 & 14772 & 132.33 & 101.58 \\
\hline 1889. & 119.01 & 96.84 & 105.16 & 102. 88 & 129.69 & 98.72 & 83.55 & 98.06 & 107.04 & 154.28 & 105. 43 & 120. 70 \\
\hline 1890. & 129.52 & 98.97 & 108.77 & 112. 62 & 121.18 & 112.43 & 81.55 & 106.83 & 82. 20 & 148.17 & 124.36 & 123.24 \\
\hline 1891 & 147.22 & 137.63 & 150.51 & 135. 53 & 119.50 & 105.00 & 99.63 & 110. 20 & 89.24 & 134.81 & 102.52 & 131.12 \\
\hline 1892. & 107.45 & 107. 20 & L25. 50 & 100. 02 & 105.97 & 88.40 & 91, 35 & 103. 47 & 90.38 & 119.57 & 104.93 & 104, 69 \\
\hline 1893 & 100.00 & 100.00 & 100.00 & 100.00 & 100.00 & 100.00 & 100.00 & 100.00 & 100.00 & 1100.00 & 100.00 & 100. 00 \\
\hline 1894 & 78.96 & 08. 52 & 81.19 & 68.06 & 85.91 & 97.94 & 81.23 & 98.50 & 76.42 & 104.60 & 70.72 & 68. 23 \\
\hline 1595 & 84.9 & 93.90 & 78.03 & 78.72 & 84.61 & 97.48 & 7.68 & 100.81 & A4. 41 & 160.32 & 71. 72 & 82. 16 \\
\hline 1896 & 08. 88 & 71.88 & 73.50 & 74. 18 & 90. 84 & 89.88 & 53, 35 & 96.78 & 88. 77 & 144.91 & 67.54 & 81.38 \\
\hline 1897 & 118.50 & 71.20 & 81.49 & 71.36 & 106. 46 & 68.12 & 58.54 & 88. 01 & 57. 68 & 153.92 & 68. 78 & 81.05 \\
\hline 1598. & 124.78 & 84.61 & 101.82 & 110.30 & 99. 26 & 75.71 & 57. 22 & 68.28 & 61.181 & 163.48 & 79.84 & 114.00 \\
\hline 1809. & 110.18 & 85.12 & 108.32 & 109.57 & 94.64 & 93.85 & 73.70 & 4. 63. & 65.21 & 197.93 & 136.77 & 115.43 \\
\hline 1900. & 109.45 & 95.60 & 103.32 & 98.85 & 111.64 & 146.08 & 69.81 & 67.74 & \({ }^{68} 588\) & 218.87 & 140.32 & 115.89 \\
\hline 1901 & 110.23 & 105.30 & & 100.44 & 118.74 & 141.49. & 70.84 & 63.18 & 56.82 & 177.73 & 131.33 & 104.75 \\
\hline 1902 & 108.01 & 127.40 & 102.90 & 94. 80 & 98. 98 & 140.56 & 98.31 & 65.91 & 4.44 & \({ }^{176.96}\) & 135.00 & \({ }^{113.87}\) \\
\hline 1903. & 109.84 & 104.85 & 102.69 & 106.82 & 79.43 & 102.05 & 69.38 & 62.62 & 53.82 & 188.14 & 141.91 & 120.35 \\
\hline 1904. & 121.64 & 104.97 & 100.08 & 132.34 & 74.52 & 78. & 69.59 & 57.40 & \({ }^{65} 37\) & 192. 66 & 141.88 & 128.08 \\
\hline 1905. & 130. & 110.05 & 110.01 & 121.50 & 88.19 & 80.00 & 95. 18 & & 75.08 & 180.31 & & \\
\hline 1900 & 123.52 & 101.07 & 119.81 & 109.48 & 106.43 & 96.87 & 81.85 & 63.10 & 57.40 & 190.0 & 202.25 & 128.70 \\
\hline 1907 & 137.05 & 117.88 & 146. 99 & 130.80 & 121. 22 & 112.28 & 84. 79 & 65. 14 & \({ }^{63} .04\) & \({ }^{215.06}\) & 192. 18 & \({ }^{338} 35\) \\
\hline 1008 & 137.01 & 137.27 & 140.98 & 130.53 & 129.43 & 103.62 & 104.31 & 6830 & 68. 68 & 230.02 & 150.38 & 120.02 \\
\hline 1309. & 158. 37 & 131.85 & 125.78 & 120.30 & 116.84 & 116.08 & 108.85 & 75.60 & 71.70 & 220.02 & 161.78 & 128. 97 \\
\hline 1910. & 137.85 & 115.02 & 110.92 & 101.81 & 00.88 & 185.65 & 100.02 & 81.27 & 83.33 & 217.49 & 172.92 & 128.61 \\
\hline \({ }^{1911}\) & 142.45 & 121.08 & 119.44 & 111.80 & 100.33 & 204. 33 & 85.39 & 91.60 & 82.90 & 205.77 & 212. 20 & 141.85 \\
\hline 1912 & 150.14 & 144.92 & 133.68 & 161. 93 & 121.06 & 170.08 & 112.30 & 100.51 & 80.93 & 235.57 & 234.53 & 181.38 \\
\hline 1013. & 135.08 & 123.78 & 121.12 & 148. 10 & 128.13 & 120.47 & 96.98 & 8 & 62. & 24 & 227.23 & 150.88 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1914 \text { (Jan. to } \\
& \text { July) } 1 . \ldots . .
\end{aligned}
\] & 143.78 & 130.24 & 118. 60 & 128.83 & 131.04 & 121.60 & . 14 & , & 62.48 & 243.46 & 183.40 & 123.40 \\
\hline 1014 (Aug. to & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1915 (Jan.) & & 200.40 & & 160.89 & & 181.25 & 124. 77 & 89.50 & 81. 4. & 243.46 & 223.17 & 201.68 \\
\hline 1915 (Feb.) \({ }^{\text {c }}\). & & 203.58 & & 174.62 & & 214.12 & 132.82 & 88.50 & 86.23 & 243.48 & 178.68 & 230.21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

2 Maandschrift van hat Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek. The Hague, 1015, vol. 10 (March), p. 282.

\section*{NEW ZEALAND.}
index numbers of james w. mellratth.

\section*{pubication.}

This index, which is based on the wholesale prices of certain important articles in New Zealand from 1861 to 1910, was published by the Government of that Dominion in 1911 in "The Course of Prices in New Zealand," by James W. McIraith. It is stated in the introduction to the volume that the author intends to continue the tables from year to year, the results to appear annually in the "New Zealand Official Yearbook." \({ }^{1}\)

HISTORY.
The report is the result of a post-graduate research in economics at Canterbury College. It has two main objects: (1) To measure the changes in the general level of prices, year by year, since 1860; and (2) to attempt to ascertain the causes of the changes in the local price level. In the absence of any official index numbers for New Zealand the study was undertaken in the hope that it would "help all engaged in the solution of those practical problems of social life in which the changes in the purchasing power of money are an important factor." \({ }^{2}\)

BOURCE OF QUOTATIONS.
The author states that prices for all imported goods and for a few colonial products have been obtained at Wellington, the geographical and political center of New Zealand, while for all the cereals (including flour) and for pastoral products he has used prices at Christchurch, a city in the heart of the agricultural district of New Zealand. He further states, however, that while it seemed inadvisable to use Wellington prices for certain articles for certain years and then to use the prices from some other city for the same articles for other years, the nature of things demanded that the principle of continuity-must be subordinated to that of accessibility. The data contained in the report were secured mainly from Wellington and Christchurch newspapers: "The New Zealand Trade Review and Price Current" (Wellington), "The Press" (Christchurch), and "The Lyttleton Times" (Christchurch) being the principal sources of information.

\section*{BASE PERIOD.}

The base or standard period is the decade 1890-1899. The author at first felt inclined to use 1867-1877, because this would have enabled

\footnotetext{
An examination of the yearbooks for 1911, 1912, and 1013, bowever, talls to disciose any data bearing on this sublect.
\({ }^{1}\) The Course of Pricee In New Zealand, Jamed W. Moliraltb, p. 3.
}
him to compare his figures more directly with those of Sauerbeck, but he decided that the period was "oo early in the development of New Zealand and that the data were insufficient. He found the decade chosen a period of comparatively stable prices, the average being almost identical with that for the 20 years 1886-1905.

PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED,
Wholesale prices for the articles upon which the index number is based were taken quarterly, during the first week of January, April, July, and October, or as near as possible to those dates. The simple average of the four prices was taken as the average price for the year. From these averages the simple average for \(1890-1899\) was computed and was taken as the base, or 100 , the prices for each of the years included in the report being reduced to percentages of this figure. For each year the percentages representing the prices of the several articles were then added together and the result divided by the number of articles. The resulting figure is the general index number for the year.

\section*{NUMEER AND CLASS OF COMMODITEES.}

The index number from 1887 to 1910 is based on the prices of 45 commodities, both raw and manufactured. Previous to 1887 , according to the tables, the number of articles included was smaller and varied from time to time, being lowest in 1861 and 1862, when only 33 articles were represented. Since 1875 the index number has in every year been based on 41 or more commodities. The author state that his aim has been to make the list include, as far as data were available, the articles of the greatest importance in the trade of the country. He considers his selection of commodities superior to the selections of Suuerbeck and the Economist, because in his judgment these indexes contain undue proportions of raw materials.

\section*{DESCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.}

Concerning nearly all of the 45 articles the statement is made that only the best grade is quoted. Further description of the articles, as far as given, appears in the following list which classifies the 45 commodities under 8 heads:

Agricultural products (5 articles).
Wheat, best on Christchurch market.
Flour, beat brande of New Zealand roller flour.
Barley, beat on Christchurch market.

Oate, best on Christchurch market. Ontmeal, firat-class New Zealand manufacture.

Pastoral products (s articles).

Wool, best merino, greasy. \({ }^{1}\)
Wool, beat half-bred, greasy. \({ }^{1}\)
Beef, beet quality, Addington (Christchurch) sales yard.
Mutton, carcasses sxported. \({ }^{2}\)

Lamb, carcasses exported. \({ }^{2}\)
Bacon, best New Zealand product.
Cheese, beet New Zealand product.
Butter, beat New Zealand product.

Liquors (5 articles).
Beer (ale), Bass's "Dog's Head."
Claret (in bond).
Whiskiy, Teacher's (in bond).
Brandy, Hennessy's (in bond).
Port, Superior (in bond).
Beverages (s articles).
Tea, Congou, fine.
I Cocon, Van Houten's.
Coffee, ground.
Oils (s articles).
f Castor oil.
Kеговеne.
Linseed oil (boiled).
Minerals (6 articles).
Iron, galvanized, "Orb" brand (26| Zinc.
gauge).
Iron, bar.
Lesd, sheet.

Wire, black fencing, No. 8.
Coal, Newcastle (New South Wales), on ship.

Materials (7 articles).
Cement, Portland.
Hops, Nelson (New Zealand). \({ }^{3}\)
Soap, New Zealand.
Soda, carbonate.
Matches, plaids.
Candles, Price's London Sperm.
Soda crystals. -

Other foods (8 articles).
Sugar, Auckland (New Zealand), refined

No. 1.4
Currants.
Sultanas (raisins).
Rice.

Sago.
Salt, Liverpool, fine.
Salmon, in tins.
Pepper, white.

\section*{SURSTTTUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.}

The substitution of one description of an article for another description can not, except in a few cases, be traced in the report, owing to the vagueness of the descriptive terms employed. It appears quite probable, however, from the extensive period of time covered by the tables that a considerable number of such substitu-

\footnotetext{
1 The quotaions are prloce of New Zealand wool at the Londor wool snles, minus freight from New Zealand, as reported by the Landion agents of H. Watson \& Co., one of tho principal wool-broling flrms in New Zeakand.
\({ }^{2}\) Prices are deduced from estlmnted values of carcasses exported, as published in the "Statistios of New Zealand."
- This kind is quoied in later decades; Kentish is quoted in earlier pariods.

4 Best innorted sugar was quoted prior to the opening of the Auckland rofnery.
}
tions must have been made. In a number of instances additions to certain groups of commodities have been made since the initial year of the series. In such cases the index numbers for the added articles have been carried into the total of index numbers for the year and this total divided by the number of articles to obtain the level of prices for that year.

\section*{INTERPPOLATION.}
- Much difficulty was experienced in securing data for the earlier years covered by the report, and for years prior to 1875 there was an occasional quotation lacking which the author deemed it necessary to supply by an interpolated figure. He thus describes his method of interpolation.
"I examined the price of the particular commodity in preceding and succeeding years. If those prices showed a continuous rise or fall, that was strong evidence of the probability of the missing price being one of an uninterrupted series. To test this probability, I referred to similar products which would most likely vary in price in the same manner as the commodity whose price was missing; and if the price movement in these commodities was in the same direction as the movement in the latter one, I presumed that the missing price would most probably vary in the same manner as the price of the similar articles in the same year. This method was applied chiefly where there was a causal connection between the fluctuations in the prices of the two articles, e. g., where both were produced from the - same raw material (as galvanized iron and bar iron), or where one was raw material and the other the finished product (as wheat and flour), or where the production of both would be affected by the same causes, as by drought (in the case of wheat and oats, mutton and beef)." \({ }^{1}\)

All index numbers based on interpolated prices are inclosed in brackets.

WIMGETTING.
The author does not attempt to assign definite weights to all commodities. He has, however, quoted prices on more than one variety of cartain articles considered as of great importance. He illustrates as follows: "Thus, I have taken three cereals, and to emphasize the importance of wheat I have taken flour as well. I have sought to give wool adequate representation by including two kinds, grdasy merino and greasy half-bred. Iron is represented by bar iron and galvanized iron, while meat is represented in a similar manner by beof, mutton, lamb, and bacon." "

\footnotetext{
1 Tho Course of Priows in New Zealand, James W. Mollralth; p. 99.
FIdem, p. 98
}

\section*{TESTING.}

The tables of the report compare the index numbers derived by the author with -
(1) A series of index numbers based on the median instead of the simple arithmetic mean.
(2) The figures of the Economist and Sauerbeck reduced to the New Zealand basis: Annual average prices 1890-1899=100.
(3) Index numbers indicating the local movements in certair related phenomena, as, for example, the marriage rate, the bankruptcy rate, world gold production, and New Zealand gold production.
The third set of comparisons is made by single years; the first and second are made both by single years and by decades.

\section*{TABLE OF RESULTS.}

The first eight tables of the report show for the several groups of commodities and for each year the index numbers by articles, and also the prices from which the index numbers were computed.
The next table summarizes the data contained in the preceding tables by showing the index number for the total of all articles.
Other tables compare the New Zealand results with the figures of Sauerbeck and the Economist (1) in their original form, and (2) reduced to the New Zealand basis: Average annual prices \(1890-1899=100\).
Tables similar to these show index numbers for decades (e. g., 1861-1870, 1862-1871, 1863-1872, etc.) instead of for single years.
In the two succeeding tables are shown the New Zealand index numbers for farm products and for nonfarm products (1) by single years, and (2) by decades.
The remaining tables show for New Zealand (1) an annual index number of prices based on the volume of foreign trade and the tonnage of vessels carrying such trade, (2) an annual index number of prices based on the volume of foreign trade per head of population, (3) a comparison of index numbers of prices (a) of farm products and (b) of all commodities with the index numbers of the marriage rate and the bankruptcy rate, and (4) the index numbers (a) of the gold production of the world, (b) the gold production of New Zealand, and (c) the price of wheat. The last table also gives the New Zealand wheat yield per acre, in bushels.
The table showing the comparison of the index numbers for New Zealand derived by the author with those of Sauerbeck and the Economist reduced to the same base period, and also with index numbers based on the median, instead of the arithmetic mean, is reproduced herewith.
comparisons of midex numbers computed upon the same base pertod.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. &  & \[
\begin{gathered}
" \text { Econo- } \\
\text { mulst }, \\
1590-1890 \\
=100.0 .
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Bauer- } \\
\text { beck } \\
1890-1180 \\
=100.0 .
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Now Zeer } \\
\text { Iand } \\
\text { (by } \\
\text { median). }
\end{gathered}
\] & Year. & Now Zeeland, \(1800-100\). & "Econo\({ }_{1890-180 p 9}^{\text {mis }}\) -100.0 . & Batuerbeck, \(1890-1890\)
-100.0 \(=100\). & New Zeer land (by medinn) \\
\hline 1881. & 184 & 133 & 149 & 172 & 1886... & 108 & 99 & 105 & 107 \\
\hline 1888... & 188 & 141 & 153 & 178 & 1887... & 103 & 101 & 103 & 102 \\
\hline 1883... & 193 & 171 & 156 & 176 & 1888....- & 103 & 109 & 106 & 104 \\
\hline 1884... & 185 & 185 & 159 & 185 & 1889.... & 111 & 103 & 109 & 111 \\
\hline 1465... & 190 & 175 & 153 & 183 & 1890.... & 107 & 110 & 109 & 108 \\
\hline 1860.. & 200 & 174 & 155 & 187 & 1801.... & 108 & 110 & 100 & 108 \\
\hline 1867. & 187 & 148 & 152 & 192 & 1892.... & 104 & 104 & 103 & 102 \\
\hline 1888... & 184 & 131 & 150 & 189 & 1833.... & 100 & 103 & 06 & 100 \\
\hline 1860... & 184 & 130 & 149 & 163 & 1804.... & 98 & 102 & 96 & 100 \\
\hline 1870... & 154 & 131 & 146 & 148 & 1895.... & 93 & 94 & 9 & 96 \\
\hline \(187 .\). & 150 & 127 & 152 & 137 & 1886.... & 96 & 98 & 82 & 98 \\
\hline 1872. & 154 & 139 & 165 & 154 & 1897..... & 97 & 95 & 94 & 98 \\
\hline 1873... & 104 & 140 & \({ }^{168}\) & 152 & 1888..... & 97 & 93 & 94 & 96 \\
\hline 1874... & 161 & 140 & 155 & 160 & 1899..... & 98 & 94 & 103 & \({ }^{6}\) \\
\hline 1875.... & 148 & 135 & 146 & 148 & 1900-.... & 101 & 104 & 114 & 99 \\
\hline 1876.\%.. & 140 & 132 & 144 & 134 & 1901-.... & 98 & 104 & 106 & 98 \\
\hline 1877. & 144 & 132 & 142 & 148 & 1902.... & 100 & 95 & 105 & 100 \\
\hline 1878... & 135 & 134 & 132 & 133 & 1903.... & 100 & 98 & 105 & 100 \\
\hline 1879. & 127 & 109 & 123 & 125 & 1904... & 95 & 106 & 106 & 95 \\
\hline 1890. & 130 & 124 & 133 & 129 & 1905. & 98 & 108 & 109 & 99 \\
\hline 1881. & 125 & 118 & 129 & 125 & 1806. & 101 & 116 & 118 & 100 \\
\hline 1882. & 123 & 119 & 127 & 122 & 1907..... & 107 & 121 & 121 & 101 \\
\hline 1883..... & 118 & 114 & 124 & 118 & 1908..... & 104 & 109 & 111 & 101 \\
\hline 1848 & 115 & 109 & 115 & 113 & 1909...... & 101 & 110 & 112 & 104 \\
\hline 1845. & 111 & 102 & 109 & 110 & 1910..... & 103 & & 118 & 101 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The author has this to say concerning the foregoing table: "A general review of this table shows a marked similarity in the movements of columns 1 and 3. The fluctuations in both columns are parallel, i. e., a rise or fall in both is synchronous. The index numbers are fairly even in both columns, and particularly so since 1872, the numbers in column 4-those calculated by the median-being on the whole slightly lower than those in column 1, thus indicating that exceptional variations have been of the nature of a rise more often than of a fall."

\section*{NORWAY.}

\section*{index numbers of einar rudd.}

\section*{publication and history.}

This index of wholesale prices, based on the prices of imports into Norway, was first published in 1911 in the official journal of the Norwegian Labor Office, \({ }^{\text { }}\) No. \(9-10\) of that year. It covers a period from 1880 to 1910, but whether or not it has since been brought down to date is not known, although the prices on which it is based continue to be published in the annual summary of commercial statistics issued by the Norwegian office of general statistics. \({ }^{2}\)

\footnotetext{
1 Sochale meddelelser (fortstettelse ar masanedsalcrift for soclalstatistil) utgit ap Socialavdellngen noder Departementet for scciale saleer, havdel, industri og fiskerf. Christianta, 1911-1915, 1ste aargang, Nr. 2-10, 1911, pp. 136-149.
1 Norgea handel, 1808-(Statistique du commerce de la Norvege pendant l'annee 1008-) Utgil av det Statisthike centrh.bareau. (Norges offlicielle statistik, \(V, 87,116\).)
}

SOURCE OF QUOTATIONS.
The summary of commercial ahd customs statistics alluded to presents the average annual prices of some 135 different commodities imported into the Kingdom each year, and from this list the compiler of the index has selected 39 articles for inclusion in his series. The prices of these articles are obtained from a number of manufacturers and wholesalers in different parts of the Kingdom.

\section*{BASE PERIOD.}

The base period chosen extends from 1891 to 1900. This period was selected, it is explained, because it contained both a rising and a falling tendency of prices, not only in Norway but also in foreign countries, and therefore, when taken as a whole, may be regarded as representing average market conditions.

\section*{NUMRER AND CLASS OF COMMODITIES.}

The 39 articles chosen from the larger list of 135 imports are divided into five groups: (1) Food commodities; (2) grains and flours; (3) imports from the East; (4) manufactured products; (5) miscellaneous. Group I includes beef, pork, cheese, oleomargarine, eggs, and potatoes; Group II, barley, oats, wheat, rye, also hulled and prepared barley and oats, and flour or meal ground from the above grains; Group III includes coffee, tea, sugar (two kinds, loaf and granulated), tobacco (smoking and chewing), and rice; Group IV is made up of wool yarn (plain and dyed), cotton yarn (single and several twist), cotton cloth or goods (three kinds, printed, dyed and bleached, and unbleached); and Group V includes patroleum, coal and coke, dressed skins or leather, pig iron, steel, bar and hoop iron, zinc, lead, and tin.

\section*{WEIGETENG.}

In the construction of the index a simple aritbmetic average is employed, there being no weighting. The total index is the mean of the indexes for each of the five groups.

Table of results.
The following table, which appears in the journal of the Norwegian Labor Office for September and October, 1911 (No. 9-10) \({ }^{1}\) shows in detail the main results of this series of index numbers, as published.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Maanedisicrift for socialstatistik. Uigit av det Statigtigke Centralbyras, 1ste aargang, Vr. 9-10, 1011, pp. 141-148.
}
(Based on 2 food commodttiar imported, into Norapay, 1880-1910. Bapo period, 1891-1900 = 100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & Beef. & Pork & Cheese. & Butter. & Oleon margarina. & \(\mathrm{E}_{\text {ges }}\) & Potatoras. & All 7 com-moditles. \\
\hline 1880. & 141 & 124 & 103 & & & 7 & & 107 \\
\hline \(1881 .\). & \({ }_{1}^{138}\) & 129 & 118 & & & 88 & 97 & 115 \\
\hline -1883.... & 151 & \(\begin{array}{r}149 \\ \hline 134\end{array}\) & 9 & & & 97 & 140 & 129 \\
\hline 1884. & 138 & 112 & 96 & & & 97 & 140 & \({ }_{124}^{124}\) \\
\hline 1885.. & 121 & 102 & 87 & & & 97 & 117 & 106 \\
\hline 1886... & 103 & 08 & 87 & & & 86 & 100 & 96 \\
\hline 1887. & 92 & 110 & \({ }^{96}\) & & & 86 & 100 & 97 \\
\hline 1888. & 90 & 122 & 06 & 98 & 96 & 91 & 100 & \({ }^{-}\) \\
\hline 1889. & 0 & 107 & 100 & 08 & 101 & 91 & 100 & 8 \\
\hline 1800. & 90 & 97 & 104 & 98 & 101 & 91 & 100 & \(g\) \\
\hline 1881. & 95 & 98 & 109 & 105 & 112 & 91 & 100 & 10 \\
\hline 1892. & 92 & 112 & 104 & 101 & 112 & 97 & 100 & 10 \\
\hline 1893. & 100 & 138 & 104 & 105 & 112 & 97 & 100 & 10 \\
\hline 1804.. & 25 & 115 & 96 & 98 & 107 & 97 & 100 & 10 \\
\hline 1805. & 95 & 05 & 01 & 97 & 96 & 97 & 100 & 9 \\
\hline 1896. & 98 & 75 & 96 & 91 & 90 & 102 & 100 & 9 \\
\hline 1807. & 95 & 76 & 96 & 91 & 8 & 102 & 100 & 9 \\
\hline 1898.. & 105 & 83 & 100 & 105 & 98 & 102 & 100 & 10 \\
\hline 1809. & 108 & 86 & 100 & 105 & 96 & 102 & 100 & 10 \\
\hline 1900. & 113 & 115 & 100 & 105 & 96 & 108 & 100 & \\
\hline 1001... & 113 & 131 & 100 & 105 & 96 & 108 & \(6_{3}\) & 10 \\
\hline 1902.. & 118 & 153 & 104 & 105 & 98 & 108 & \({ }^{83}\) & 11 \\
\hline 1903. & 110 & 132 & 104 & 105 & 98 & 108 & 83 & 10 \\
\hline 1904 & 103 & 114 & 104 & 105 & 96 & 108 & 92 & 10 \\
\hline 1905 & 115 & 119 & 104 & 108 & 98 & 108 & 88 & 10 \\
\hline 1908. & 128 & 138 & 113 & 105 & 101 & 108 & 72 & 10 \\
\hline 1007. & 133 & 138 & 122 & 108 & 101 & 108 & 88 & 11 \\
\hline 1908. & 123 & 132 & 113 & 115 & 112 & 108 & 111 & 11 \\
\hline 1009. & 123 & 186 & 113 & 115 & 112 & 108 & 97 & 12 \\
\hline 1910. & 181 & 180 & 113 & 129 & 112 & 108 & 88 & 12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(Based on 10 grains and nours imported into Norwaty, 18se-1910. Base paiod,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Par- } \\
& \text { log. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Oats. & Whent. & Rye. & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { Pot } \\
\text { or } \\
\text { peart } \\
\text { bor- } \\
\text { loy. }
\end{array}
\] & Hulled & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Bare } \\
\text { ley } \\
\text { fowr. }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rye } \\
& \text { flour. }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { oat. } \\
\text { meal. }
\end{array}
\] & Wheat Dour. & \[
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{Al1} \\
10 \\
\text { com- } \\
\text { modd } \\
\text { itles. }
\end{array}\right.
\] \\
\hline 1880 & 153 & 126 & 156 & 164 & 186 & 124 & 146 & 141 & 108 & 158 & 141 \\
\hline & 157 & 136 & 163 & 184 & 139 & 129 & 155 & 165 & 114 & 167 & 14 \\
\hline 188 & 137 & 128 & 140 & 130 & 133 & 112 & 148 & 153 & 108 & 144 & 138 \\
\hline 1883. & 134 & 110 & 156 & 124 & 148 & 112 & 138 & 143 & 102 & 139 & 13 C \\
\hline 183 & 132 & 105 & 117 & 115 & 133 & 97 & 130 & 129 & 95 & 121 & 12 \\
\hline 1885 & 119 & 105 & 111 & - 104 & 120 & 135 & 130 & 114 & 95 & 110 & 115 \\
\hline 1888 & 106 & 99 & 107 & 92 & 123 & 107 & 114 & 127 & 92 & 110 & \(10 ¢\) \\
\hline 1887 & 97 & 8 & 108 & 81 & 113 & 79 & 88 & 103 & 83 & 107 & 9 \\
\hline 1888. & 102 & 80 & 109 & 84 & 119 & 4 & 106 & 90 & 88 & 111 & 98 \\
\hline 1859. & 109 & 110 & 113 & 9 & 119 & 90 & 114 & 101 & 88 & 108 & 106 \\
\hline 1800. & 114 & 99 & 113 & 102 & 119 & 98 & 114 & 109 & 105 & 118 & 109 \\
\hline 1901. & 134 & 115 & 132 & 148 & 136 & 112 & 142 & 146 & 111 & 129 & 131 \\
\hline 1892. & 109 & 115 & 115 & 128 & 129 & 132 & 118 & 135 & 120 & 104 & 120 \\
\hline \(18 \times 3\). & 94 & 105 & 97 & 90 & 96 & 120 & 87 & 97 & 108 & 95 & 100 \\
\hline 1894. & 78 & 80 & 78 & 79 & 80 & 88 & \({ }^{83}\) & 81 & \({ }^{95}\) & 81 & 8 \\
\hline 1885. & 86 & 76 & 82 & 78 & 83 & 87 & \({ }^{88}\) & 82 & 88 & 85 & 84 \\
\hline \(1 \geqslant 96\). & 87 & 89 & 89 & \({ }_{88}^{88}\) & \({ }_{80}^{90}\) & 87 & 85 & 71 & 95 & 90 & 87 \\
\hline & 87 & 108 & 105 & 86 & 88 & 87 & 85 & 71 & 05 & 110 & 92 \\
\hline 1898. & 101 & 105 & 105 & 102 & 96 & 96 & 103 & 103 & 95 & 107 & 101 \\
\hline 1899. & 108 & 102 & 100 & 102 & 105 & 98 & 107 & 111 & 95 & 108 & 103 \\
\hline 1800. & 115 & 102 & 96 & 97 & 105 & 93 & 99 & 108 & 95 & 96 & 100 \\
\hline 1801. & 108 & 107 & 96 & 83 & 97 & 98 & 98 & 96 & 95 & 92 & 98 \\
\hline 1802. & 108 & 107 & 97 & 94 & 96 & 112 & 102 & 10t & 108 & 05 & 102 \\
\hline 1903. & \({ }^{98}\) & 97 & 97 & \({ }^{93}\) & 9 & 103 & 98 & 9 & 102 & 97 & \({ }^{98}\) \\
\hline 1904. & 97 & 105 & 109 & \({ }^{38}\) & \({ }_{6}\) & 103 & 98 & 95 & 102 & 107 & 100 \\
\hline 1805. & 105 & 105 & 104 & 109 & 87 & 104 & 100 & 101 & 210 & 100 & 101 \\
\hline 1908. & 109 & 112 & 102 & 100 & 98 & 110 & 103 & 111 & 108 & 96 & 103 \\
\hline 1807. & 131 & 127 & 118 & 128 & 108 & 121 & 138 & 132 & 117 & 112 & 123 \\
\hline 1008.
1009. & \({ }_{126}^{134}\) & 128 & & 128 & 103
101 & 122 & & 112 & 117
109 & 115
127 & 123
118 \\
\hline 1900. & 126 & 105 & 128 & 121 & 91 & 121 & 118 & 118
98 & 109 & 127 & 104 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{INDEX NUMBERS OF WEOLESALE PRICES-Continud.}
(Bumed on t commoditise imported into Noripay fram the Eimpt, 188e-1910. Base poriod, 1891-1900=100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Coffer.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Tea.} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Sugar.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Tobaces.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Rioe.} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{All 6 commodities.} \\
\hline & & & Loaf. & Other.: & & & \\
\hline 1880 & 96 & 138 & 187 & 177 & 80 & 148 & 138 \\
\hline 1881. & 82 & 146 & 198 & 192 & 80 & 119 & 136 \\
\hline 1882. & 70 & 131 & 187 & 173 & 80 & 105 & 121 \\
\hline & 9 & 131 & 178 & 123 & 107 & \({ }_{95}^{95}\) & 121 \\
\hline 1855..... & 64 & 126 & 123 & 119 & 107 & 00 & 105 \\
\hline 1886. & 24 & 121 & 113 & 108 & 107 & 90 & 102 \\
\hline 1857. & 111 & 116 & 113 & 108 & 107 & 90 & 108 \\
\hline 1888 & 100 & 106 & 119 & 116 & 107 & 90 & 106 \\
\hline 1880. & 117 & 106 & 128 & 127 & 107 & 95 & 113 \\
\hline 1890. & 129 & 106 & 116 & 119 & 103 & 100 & 112 \\
\hline 1891. & 117 & 106 & 113 & 115 & 103 & 105 & 110 \\
\hline 1892 & 117 & 108 & 116 & 123 & 103 & 100 & 111 \\
\hline 1883.. & 125 & 106 & 119 & 123 & 99 & 138 & 118 \\
\hline 1894. & 121 & 106 & 103 & 108 & 99 & 90 & 105 \\
\hline 1885. & 122 & 101 & 94 & 82 & 99 & 88 & 99 \\
\hline 1906 & 112 & 98 & 94 & 88 & 99 & 90 & 97 \\
\hline 1897. & 88 & 95 & 84 & 81 & 99 & 05 & 88 \\
\hline 1898. & 70 & 05 & 84 & 85 & 99 & 100 & 8 \\
\hline 1899.. & 61 & 95 & 87 & 80 & 99 & 100 & 80 \\
\hline 1900. & 89 & 94 & & 92 & & 100 & 91 \\
\hline 1901..... & 6 & & 84 & 81 & 99 & 100 & 87 \\
\hline 1902.... & 54 & \({ }_{95}^{55}\) & 74 & \(\stackrel{69}{78}\) & 99 & \({ }^{95}\) & \({ }_{81}^{81}\) \\
\hline 1904. & 58 & 95 & 90 & 9 & 96 & 100 & 68 \\
\hline 1905. & 63 & 95 & 97 & 100 & 96 & 100 & 83 \\
\hline 1906. & 61 & 05 & 81 & 81 & 92 & 100 & 85 \\
\hline 1907. & 52 & 101 & \(\stackrel{81}{87}\) & 81 & 92 & 110 & 88 \\
\hline 1908.... & 58
81 & 101 & 87 & 88 & \({ }_{92}^{88}\) & 100
105 & \({ }_{80}^{88}\) \\
\hline 1910. & 70 & 103 & 103 & 108 & 96 & 105 & 98 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(Basod on wool and cotion yarne and cotton yoods (7 articlas) imported into Norbay,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Yoar.} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Wool yara.} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Cotton Farn, unbleached.} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Cotton goods.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All 7 modities.} \\
\hline & Undyed and unbleached. & Dyed, mixed, otc. & Single thread. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Multi- } \\
& \text { plo } \\
& \text { twist. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Printed. & Bleached or dyed. & Un- & \\
\hline 1880. & 144 & 148 & & & 142 & 134 & 128 & 159 \\
\hline 1881. & 144 & 148 & & & 142 & 134 & 128 & 139 \\
\hline \(1582 .\). & 144 & 148 & & & 120 & 118 & 117 & 129 \\
\hline & 152 & 140 & & & 120 & 118 & 117 & 125 \\
\hline 1884. & 128 & 132 & & & 120 & 118 & 114 & 122 \\
\hline 1885. & 121 & 119 & & & 114 & 118 & 110 & 116 \\
\hline 1886. & 122 & 120 & & & 114 & 110 & 103 & 114 \\
\hline 1887. & 122 & 120 & & & 108 & & 107 & 112 \\
\hline 1888. & 128 & 119 & & & 108 & 106 & 110 & 113 \\
\hline 1889. & 115 & 114 & & & 108 & 108 & 114 & 112 \\
\hline 1890. & 115 & 112 & & & 107 & 108 & 114 & 111 \\
\hline 1891. & 109 & 106 & & & 101 & 102 & 107 & 105 \\
\hline 1892. & 100 & 103 & & & 98 & 14 & 103 & 102 \\
\hline 1893. & 109 & 106 & & & 97 & 100 & 103 & 102 \\
\hline 1894. & 108 & 101 & & & 91 & 94 & 99 & 98 \\
\hline 1595. & 103 & 101 & & & 99 & 94 & 99 & 99 \\
\hline 1890. & 101 & 98 & & & 99 & 94 & 90 & 98 \\
\hline 1597. & 86 & 03 & & & & 98 & 98 & 96 \\
\hline 1898. & 88 & \({ }_{95}^{98}\) & 93 & 98 & \({ }_{198}^{99}\) & 88 & \(\begin{array}{r}92 \\ \hline 92 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 93 \\
\hline 1889. & 92 & 95 & 03 & 98 & 103 & 102 & - 92 & 96 \\
\hline 1900.. & 106 & 106 & 117 & 118 & 108 & 112. & 110 & 110 \\
\hline 1001. & 101 & 103 & 100 & 120 & 103 & 102 & 99 & 105 \\
\hline 1902. & 101 & 109 & 109 & 117 & 103 & 102 & 09 & 105 \\
\hline 1809. & 93 & 111 & 121 & 127 & 108 & 110 & 114 & 112 \\
\hline 1900. & 95 & 111 & 121 & 133 & 114 & 114 & 124 & 116 \\
\hline 1905. & 102 & 116 & 113 & 130 & 117 & 122 & 135 & 118 \\
\hline 1806. & 115 & 132 & 125 & 133 & 121 & 124 & 142 & 127 \\
\hline 11007. & 115 & 138
116 & 137 & 143 & 125 & 128 & \({ }^{19} 19\) & \\
\hline 1804. & 101
109 & 116
122 & 1133 & 133
133 & 125 & 128 & 112
142 & 125
125 \\
\hline 1010. & 118 & 127 & 14 & 147 & 125 & 134 & - 145 & 134 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRTCES-Coneluded.
(Based on 6 metalb, and leather, fuels, and petroleum imported Into Norway, 1880-1910. Base period, 1891-1900=100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & Leath er. & Petro leum. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Pig } \\
& \text { fron. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Steel. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Bar } \\
\text { gadd } \\
\text { hoop } \\
\text { tron. }
\end{gathered}
\] & Zinc. & Lead. & Tin. & Coen, coke, ette. & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
\text { All9 } \\
\text { com- } \\
\text { moull- } \\
\text { ties. }
\end{gathered}\right.
\] \\
\hline 1880. & 157 & 188 & 109 & 148 & 112 & & 144 & & 89 & 136 \\
\hline & 141 & 150 & 100 & 157 & 110 & 103 & 108 & 101 & 78 & 116 \\
\hline 1882. & 140 & 131 & 95 & 157 & 110 & 77 & 104 & 101 & 78 & 110 \\
\hline & 134 & 131 & 87 & 144 & 102 & 72 & 104 & 101 & 85 & 107 \\
\hline 1888 & 122 & 131 & 78 & 135 & 99 & 66 & 80 & 91 & 87 & 99 \\
\hline 1885 & 118 & 131 & 75 & 135 & 99 & 72 & 80 & 91 & 91 & 99 \\
\hline 1886. & 113 & 122 & 71 & 126 & 98 & 72 & 88 & 101 & 93 & 98 \\
\hline 1887. & 107 & 118 & 75 & 117 & 80 & 80 & 96 & 128 & 95 & 100 \\
\hline 1888. & 101 & 127 & 77 & 112 & 89 & 86 & 104 & 120 & 90 & 101 \\
\hline 1889. & 88 & 127 & 88 & 121 & 101 & 100 & 104 & 107 & 90 & 106 \\
\hline 1830. & 101 & 122 & 103 & 129 & 106 & 114 & 108 & 116 & 103 & 111 \\
\hline 1891. & 95 & 113 & 88 & 117 & 99 & 114 & 100 & 110 & 98 & 105 \\
\hline 1892. & 92 & 103 & 89 & 98 & 92 & 100 & 100 & 110 & 94 & 98 \\
\hline 1893. & 02 & 94 & 86 & 94 & 92 & 86 & 100 & 107 & 98 & 94 \\
\hline 1894. & 89 & 94 & 87 & 90 & 86 & 86 & 98 & 88 & 94 & 90 \\
\hline 1895. & 107 & 122 & 87 & 90 & 86 & 74 & 92 & 82 & 86 & 02 \\
\hline 1896 & 101 & 113 & 84 & 94 & 91 & 86 & 88 & 79 & 81 & 91 \\
\hline 1897 & 101 & 89 & 80 & 94 & 95 & 85 & 92 & 77 & 87 & 00 \\
\hline & 104 & 85 & 99 & 90 & 99 & 114 & 98 & 91 & 96 & \\
\hline 1809 & 110 & 04 & 125 & 103 & 118 & 120 & 104 & 113 & 111 & 112 \\
\hline 1000. & 110 & 94 & 154 & 121 & 142 & 114 & 128 & 145 & 158 & 130 \\
\hline 1001. & 113 & 85 & 104 & 103 & 105 & 100 & 100 & 128 & 150 & 110 \\
\hline 1902. & 110 & 75 & 104 & 94 & 101 & 103 & 92 & 142 & 107 & 103 \\
\hline 1903. & 110 & 75 & 104 & 90 & 98 & 100 & 92 & 142 & 26 & 101 \\
\hline 1804. & 110 & 75 & 96 & 85 & 95 & 114 & 82 & 142 & 90 & 100 \\
\hline 1005. & 110 & 69 & 107 & 81 & 95 & 129 & 100 & 160 & 83 & 104 \\
\hline 1906. & 116 & 7 & 112 & 82 & 103 & 143 & 132 & 195 & 87 & 116 \\
\hline 1907. & 116 & 77 & 120 & 82 & - 107 & 129 & 144 & 188 & 106 & 119 \\
\hline 1908. & 104 & 86 & 107 & 72 & 89 & 109 & 108 & 157 & 94 & 103 \\
\hline 1009. & 116 & 81 & 104 & 68 & 86 & 114 & 104 & 157 & 88 & 102 \\
\hline 1910 & 128 & 75 & 104 & 72 & 94 & 114 & 104 & 17 & 86 & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(Baned on sp artielod imported into Normoy, 1880-1910, by groupa of commodities. Tund poriod, \(1891-1900-100\).)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Food } \\
\text { products. }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { II. } \\
\text { Flour } \\
\text { and gradn. }
\end{gathered}
\] & III. Articles imponted from the Eest. & \begin{tabular}{l}
IV. \\
Manutac tured art1cles (colton and woolen yarn and goods).
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { V. } \\
\text { yiscelinne } \\
\text { ous (metals } \\
\text { princi- } \\
\text { pally). }
\end{gathered}
\] & All comb moditlet. \\
\hline 1880. & 107 & 144 & 138 & 139 & 135 & 133 \\
\hline +1881. & 115 & 149 & 136 & 139 & 118 & 131 \\
\hline 1882. & 129 & 133 & 124 & 129 & 110 & 125 \\
\hline 1883. & 124 & 130 & 121 & 125 & 108 & 121 \\
\hline 1884. & 115 & 117 & 111 & 122 & 99 & 113 \\
\hline 1885.. & 105 & 115 & 105 & 116 & 99 & 108 \\
\hline 1886.. & 85 & 108 & 108 & 114 & 98 & 108 \\
\hline 1887. & 97 & 95 & 108 & 112 & 100 & 108 \\
\hline 1888. & 99 & 98 & 108 & 113 & 101 & 103 \\
\hline 1859. & 08 & 100 & 113 & 112 & 105 & 107 \\
\hline 1890. & 07 & 108 & 112 & 111 & 111 & 108 \\
\hline 1891. & 101 & 131 & 110 & 105 & 106 & 110 \\
\hline 1802.. & 103 & 120 & 111 & 103 & 98 & 107 \\
\hline 1883....... & 108 & 100 & 118 & 102 & 94 & 104 \\
\hline 1894..... & 101 & 83 & 105 & 98 & 90 & 95 \\
\hline 1895...... & 88 & 84 & 99 & 99 & 92 & 94 \\
\hline 1896...... & 92 & 87 & 97 & \({ }_{98}^{98}\) & 91 & \({ }_{92}^{93}\) \\
\hline 1807...... & 82 & 92 & 89 & 96 & 90 & 92 \\
\hline 1898...... & 100 & 101 & 898 & 98 & \({ }_{11} 88\) & 96
100 \\
\hline 1890......... & 100 & 103 & 89 & 96 & 112 & 100 \\
\hline 1900..... & 105 & 100 & 91 & 110 & 1s0 & 107 \\
\hline \(1901 .\). & 105 & 98 & 87 & 105 & 110 & 101 \\
\hline 1902...... & 110 & 108 & 81 & 106 & 103 & 100 \\
\hline 1003... & 105 & 88 & 83 & 112 & 101 & 100 \\
\hline 1904. & 103 & 100 & 88 & 116 & 100 & 101 \\
\hline 1905. & 100 & 101 & 92 & 118 & 104 & 104 \\
\hline 1806......... & 109 & 104 & 85 & 127 & 116 & 108 \\
\hline \(1907 . .\). & 116 & 123 & 88 & 134 & 119 & \\
\hline \[
1000 . . .
\] & 116 & 128 & \({ }_{80}^{88}\) & 125 & 1108 & 111 \\
\hline 1010. & 122 & 104 & 98 & 134 ! & 108 & 113 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

RUSSIA.
INDEX NUMBERS OF MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND ENDUSTRY, PETROGRAD.
PUBLICATION.
The Ministry of Commerce and Industry of Russia publishes annually a "Summary of Prices for Commodities in Representative Russian and Foreign Markets," in which is included an index number based on the average annual prices of the various articles under consideration. Wholesale prices are used in this summary.

HISTORY.
The history of the index number shown in connection with the prices can not be learned from the translations of the reports at hand.

\section*{source of quotations.}

Quotations of prices on Russian and foreign exchanges as printed in the bulletins of these exchanges are used as the original material for compilation. In the absence of exchange quotations for some of the articles, information has been secured from special periodicals such as: "Commerce and Industry," "Baltische Wochenschrift," "Iron and Coal Trades Review," etc. Prices for cereals in some markets, as well as data on freight charges and insurance premiums on cereal freight, are taken from the reports of the Bureau of Commerce in Cereals of the Department of Commerce which compiled them from telegrams of its special agents. They have been supple- mented by quotations from the bulletins of local exchanges, and are to be found on the last pages of the 1908 report. Prices of dutiable foreign articles on foreign markets are given without the inclusion of the Russian customs duties; those articles the prices of which include customs duties are marked with a star. Prices on Russian. markets are always given including custom duties.

\section*{BASE PERIOD.}

The average price for the ten-year period, 1890-1899, taken as 100 , is used as a base.

PRICES: HOW SHOWN AND COMPUTED.
The following is a translation of the introduction to the summary of 1908:
The present bulletin (1908) of wholesale prices contains data relating to the principal commodities in Russian and foreign markets: and is compled in the same way as the preceding issues. In addition to detailed prices for each month of the present year, the gverage prices for each of the preceding years-1907, 1906, 1905-are given, as well as the general average prices for the 5 years, \(1900-1904\), and the 10 years, \(1890-1899\).
\[
04^{4} 61^{\circ}-\text { Bull. } 173-15-20
\]

In the summary table (see pp. ii-vii) average annual prices of the principal commodities in Russian markets for each year of a period of 19 years, 1890-1908, are shown. For each article included in this table the prices in one or two of the representative markets furnishing sufficiently accurate data are given. In order to minimize the influence of local conditions on the prices of the principal cereals, general average prices are quoted for several markets combined in the following three groups:
1. Markets in northern ports (Petrograd Reval, Riga, and Libau).
- 2. Markets in southern ports (Odessa, Nicolaie, Taganrog, Rostov on Don, and Novorossysk).
3. Markets in central Russia (Moscow, Yelts, Samara, and Saratov).

For purposes of comparison of the average prices for the years 1890-1895 with those of the following years, the former have been converted from paper into gold values ( 1 ruble equals \(1-15\) imperial), taking as a base the average quotations for the corresponding years as follows:

number and class of commodities.
Prices and índex numbers were reported in 1912 for 66 commodities. - Wholesale prices are used in the computation of the tables for all years. Both raw and manufactured articles are included.
dEsCRIPTION AND GROUPING OF COMMODITIES.
The 66 articles for which prices were secured in 1912 are combined in the following 7 groups or classes:
1. Cereals and by-products.
2. Cattonand cattle products.
3. Oils.
4. Spinning materials.
5. Miperals (including petroleum, etc.).
6. Drugs and chemicals.
7. Groceries.

The commodities quoted are as follows:
1. Cereals and by-products.
- Articles.

Marinets of-
Rye......................Northern porta and southern ports.
Wheat...................Riga (Russian), southern ports, and central Russia.
Oata.....................Northern ports, southern ports, and central Russia.
Barley.................. Southern ports.
Corn...................... Odessa,

Articles. Markets of
Peas.......................... Saratov and Rybinsk.
Buckwheat................ Yelts.
Buckwheat groats. . . . . Moscow, large groats.
Millet...................... Moscow, from Orenburg and Ural.
Whest flour.............. Moscow (highest quality in Saratov)
Rye flour............... . . Moscow (sifted).
Bran........................Libau, of wheat, medium; Rybinsk; of wheat.
Malt......................... Moscow, imported.
2. Cattle and animal producis.

Large horned cattle. . . . Petrograd, highest quality.
Small cattle. . . . . . . . . . . Petrograd, calves, hogs.
Meat...................... . Petrograd, best quality, beef; Moscow, pork.
Hides, steer............. . . Moscow, gray.
Lard . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Petrograd, for gruel.
Butter.................... Moscow, fresh made of warmed cream,
Herring....................... Rigs, Scotch.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 4 & 3. Ois. \\
\hline Flaxseed & Petrograd, 95 per cent. \\
\hline Hempseed. & Orel. \\
\hline Sunflower seed. & . Seratov, for oil. \\
\hline Linseed oil. & Moscow. \\
\hline Hempseed oil. & . Petrograd. \\
\hline Sunflower-seed & . Moscow. \\
\hline Rapeseed oil. & Warsaw, raw. \\
\hline Olive oil. & .Petrograd. \\
\hline Oil cake, flax. & .Rige. \\
\hline Oil cake, hemp & Rige. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{4. Spinning materials.}


\footnotetext{
11 verahok equals 1.78 inahes.
21 arahin equals 0.77 pard.
t 1 funt equals 0.9 pound.
}
6. Drugs and chemicals.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Articies. & Markets of- \\
\hline White lead.... & .Petrograd. \\
\hline Indigo.. & .Moscow, from Bengal. \\
\hline Dry paints. & Moacow, blue. \\
\hline White resin. & Petrograd. \\
\hline Tragacanth. & Moscow, highest quality. \\
\hline Borax & .Petrograd, in crystals. \\
\hline Vitriol. & Petrograd. \\
\hline Ptash. & .Petrograd. \\
\hline Soda (caustic) & Petrograd. \\
\hline Saltpeter. & Petrograd, from Chile. \\
\hline Sulphur. & Petrograd, in lumps. \\
\hline & 7. Groceries. \\
\hline Salt. & Rybinsk. \\
\hline Granulated sugar & Kiev, in territory of the Southweatern R. R. \\
\hline Lump sugar, refi & Kiev, lump. \\
\hline Coffee...... & Petrograd, round, various qualities. \\
\hline Tea. & Moscow, from Kyakhta. \\
\hline Rice. & Odexs, Patus, highest quality. \\
\hline Pepper. & Petrograd, black, from Singapore. \\
\hline Currants & Riga. \\
\hline Almonds. & Odessa, from Messina. \\
\hline Hope............. & Riga, from Bavaria. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SUASTITUTIONS AND ADDITIONS.
No substitutions or additions have been made so far as the reports disclose, but certain commodities reported in 1908 were dropped in isubsequent years. This changes the index number for the group affected and also the general index number.

Alcohol and woolen yarn were dropped in 1909. Tea in 1909-1912, inclusive, is reported in a different market and with a different unit of measure from that used in 1908.

In the group "Cattle and animal products" lard is given an average price of 6.90 for the year 1909 in the report for that year, while in all subsequent reports it is quoted at 6.60 for 1909. In the 1912 report new index numbers are published for the group embracing "Cereals and by-products" and for the "Groceries" group, also for all groups combined, ovfing to the substitution in some cases of other markets for those carried in reports for preceding years.

\section*{INTHERPOLATION.}

If any interpolations of prices have been made, they are not called to the attention of the reader.

\section*{WHGHTING.}

Whatever weighting there is consists in the use of a number of different descriptions of the same commodity.

\section*{TABLE OF RESULTS.}

Index numbers for each of the 7 groups into which the total number of commodities is divided, together with a general index for the 66 commodities taken as a whole, are shown in the following table compiled from the 1912 report:

INDEX NUMBERS FOR 7 GROUPS OF COMMODITIES (66 ARTICLES) AND GENERAL INDEX FOR ALL COMMODITIES.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & Cereals and byproducts. & Cattle and catide products. & Ofls. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Spinning } \\
& \text { materials. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Minerals. & Drago and chemicals. & Grocerlea & Ganeral index for all commodities. \\
\hline 1890-1890. & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline 1890. & 108.2 & 09.3 & 102.6 & 100.9 & 109.8 & 103.6 & 113.3 & 105.4 \\
\hline 1891. & 128.8 & 93.9 & 108.3 & 93.7 & 99.3 & 100.8 & 105.5 & 104.2 \\
\hline 1892. & 128.4 & 06.7 & 104.5 & 94.1 & 89.6 & 102.7 & 97.5 & 101.6 \\
\hline 1893. & 103.1 & 104.6 & 109.3 & 108.0 & 96.1 & 108.6 & 104.7 & 104.6 \\
\hline 1894. & 78.6 & 102.5 & 103.8 & 105.1 & 91.0 & 100.6 & 97.1 & 97.0 \\
\hline 1835. & 76.2 & 98.7 & 86.1 & 99.4 & 03.9 & 97.9 & 91.8 & 92.0 \\
\hline 1890. & 77.3 & 94.6 & 80.1 & 101.1 & 96.1 & 86.6 & 92.4 & 91.2 \\
\hline 1897. & 87.2 & 94.9 & 92.7 & 08.9 & 98.7 & 08.4 & 95.2 & 94.8 \\
\hline 1898. & 106.3 & 104.0 & 110.4 & 06.1 & 102.7 & 86.0 & 99.7 & 102.2 \\
\hline 1899. & 107.3 & 12.0 & 108.2 & 100.3 & 119.0 & 89.4 & 103.3 & 106. 2 \\
\hline 1800-1809 & 119.9 & 131.4 & 111.1 & 133.0 & 118.7 & 107.2 & 105.4 & 118.1 \\
\hline 1900. & 98.7 & 117.8 & 108.0 & 124.5 & 131.1 & 103.2 & 103.8 & 112.4 \\
\hline 1901. & 108.8 & 115.3 & 132.1 & 131.0 & 109.1 & 101.5 & 108.0 & 114.8 \\
\hline 1802. & 112.8 & 121.8 & 113.3 & 124.8 & 06.5 & 89.4 & 102.6 & 110.2 \\
\hline 1903. & 102.0 & 131.9 & 94.3 & 190.0 & 98.2 & 88.9 & 104.5 & 107.1 \\
\hline 1904. & 106.8 & 121.7 & 88.7 & 134.1 & 103.9 & 102.7 & 108.7 & 111.0 \\
\hline 1905. & 117.0 & 127.8 & 102.0 & 127.0 & 119.0 & 105.8 & 108.2 & 115.2 \\
\hline 1906. & 122.7 & 137.3 & 115.4 & 139.8 & 137.9 & 112.7 & 108.4 & 124.9 \\
\hline 1907. & 146.7 & 148.4 & 109.5 & 146.4 & 141.8 & 121.0 & 106.7 & 131.5 \\
\hline 1908. & 147.4 & 148.4 & 106.1 & 134.7 & 134.4 & 116.8 & 102.4 & 125.0 \\
\hline 1909. & 138.5 & 152.5 & 130.9 & 138.6 & 121.7 & 111.6 & 101.1 & 127.8 \\
\hline 1910. & 117.8 & 154.6 & 140.7 & 154.3 & 116.7 & 113.6 & 104.8 & 188.9 \\
\hline 1911. & 128.0 & 143.9 & 134.1 & 151.9 & 129.9 & 117.9 & 110.4 & 130.9 \\
\hline 1912.. & 145,7 & 158.7 & 126.8 & 152.2 & 155.6 & 122.4 & 117.1 & 139.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SPAIN.
INDEX NUMBERS OF FRANCISCO BERNIS.
PUBYCATION.
A series of index numbers based on food prices in Spain is found in El Problema de las Subsistencias (The Problem of the Food Supply), by Francisco Bernis, professor of political economy in the University of Salamanca, which was published in pamphlet form in 1911. The volume is divided into two parts, the first of which refers to prices of food commodities in general uso, and the second to laws and regulations in regard to food commodities, their distribution and prices.

A general study of the variations of prices is included in the first part, but the information does not show whether wholesald or retail prices are consideried.

EISTORY.
The author of El Problema de las Subsistencias made a survey of the various attempts of the State to deal with the subjeat of the increased cost of living as it affected the working classes. The results
ef this survey were published at the request of the Asociacion de Patronos Mineros de Vizcaya, in order that this association might make a study of the strike in 1910 of the miners of that locality. The tables of prices and of index numbers contained material that was considered sufficiently comprehensive to be used as a basis for the work undertaken by the association.

\section*{sOURECE OF QUOTATIONS,}

The data on which Bernis based his tables were taken from unpublished records of the chief statistician of Barcelona, and, in the absence of information of an official character, from the works of college directors and others who have made a study of the subject.

Some specific sources of information are as follows:
The Asociacion de Patronos Mineros de Vizcaya published in 1907 a pamphlet in which appears a table of comparative prices of foodstuffs for 1903-1907. The document is entitled "El trabajo en las minas de Vizcaya."

El Instituto de Reformas Sociales issued a bulletin in 1904 relative to labor conditions in the mines of Vizcaya, which gives a table of comparative prices for 1893-1903.
The Camara Oficial de Comerco of Madrid, in its report entitled "Información publica sobre el problema de las subsistencias," 1905, presents a study of variations of prices for several years.
"El presupuesto de reconstrucción," by Garcia Alix, gives under - the title of "Subsistencias" average prices for Spain.

\section*{BASE PMRIOD.}

The year 1901, taken as 100, is used as the base period.
PRICES: HOW GHOWN AND COMPUTED.
Prices are shown for yearly periods, and cover the years 1891 to 1908, inclusive. The compiler states that "the prices for forming my indexes are wholly those which I possess, though these had to be corrected and completed." \({ }^{1}\) At times market prices were used when there were ng others available.

NUMBER AND CEASS OF COMDMODFILES,
The table of prices includes 13 articles of food, as follows: Wheat, potatoes, bread, rice, pulse (chick-peas), kidney beans, beef, mutton, pork, codfish, sugar, salt, and coffee.

\section*{descrifition and grouping or commodities.}

There is no separation of the commodities into groups except in the case of meats, of which three kinds are listed. No description of the articles is given.

\footnotetext{
4 Fi Problema de Ias Subsistenclas, Franolsco Bernis, p. 57.
}

\section*{WEIGETING.}

Two index numbers axr cumputed for all articles combined, one unweighted and the other weighted.

The weighting is accomplished by dividing the commodities into four groups, each of which represents a certain percentage of the whole number as regards importance in consumption. The four groups are as follows: \({ }^{1}\)

Per cent.
I. Wheat, petatoes, bread

30
II. Rice, pulse (chick-peas), kidney beans . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 30
III. Beef, mutton, pork, codfiah. 20
IV. Sugar, coffee, salt. 10

The importance assigned to each of these four groups is based on an estimate of the relative values of the articles of food as consumed. in the family of a laborer.

TRSTING.
The only test made of the index numbers is their comparison with index numbers for the United Kingdom, Germany, and France. Diagrams are added to show the variations of these index numbers during the same period of time.

Tables of pesults.
The following table shows the variations in the weighted relative prices of the different food articles in Spain for the years from 1891 to 1908, inclusive. Weighted and unweighted price relatives for all commodities combined are also shown in the last two columns:

VARIATIONS IN WEIGHTED RELATIVE PRICES OF FOOD COMMODITIES IN SPAIN 1801 TO 1908, BY YEARS. 2
(Bune period, 1901-100.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year. & Potatoes. & Wheat. & Bread. & Rice. & Pulse (chicipees). & Kidnby beans. & Beal. & Mutton. \\
\hline 1891 & 85.2 & 82.2 & 100.0 & 101.5 & 89.4 & 85.8 & 88.1 & 82.3 \\
\hline 18362. & 77.8 & 96.3 & 120.0 & 102.3 & 76.5 & 85.3 & 80.9 & 98.1 \\
\hline 1893. & 80.7 & 92.2 & 101.0 & 102.1 & 84.6 & 89.3 & 88.4 & 03.2 \\
\hline 1892. & 80.5 & 79.2 & 104.6 & 99.7 & 73.9 & 90.1 & 88.7 & 94.4 \\
\hline 1895. & 850 & 73.1 & 97.0 & 99.9 & 8.7 & 85.9 & 03.9 & 76.4 \\
\hline 1890. & 929 & 94.8 & 94.8 & 102.5 & 05.3 & 88.7 & 94.8 & 80.0 \\
\hline 1897. & 94.5 & 108.8 & 97.6 & 108.8 & 08.2 & 91.9 & 01.9 & 90.4 \\
\hline 1888. & 1020 & 118.4 & 103.3 & 1029 & 96.0 & 95.0 & 02.4 & 89.1 \\
\hline 1599. & 102.0 & 104.4 & 1084 & 1021 & 90.0 & 94.6 & 97.2 & 95.6 \\
\hline 1400. & 89.3 & 101.9 & 101.8 & 100.0 & 91.8 & 94.3 & 100.0 & 97.6 \\
\hline 1901. & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline 1802. & 99.8 & 91.9 & 99.6 & 107.6 & 08.6 & 104.0 & 403.7 & 110.8 \\
\hline 1933. & 121.5 & 97.0 & 97.2 & 110.1 & 09.5 & 90.7 & 95.1 & 93.1 \\
\hline 194. & 111.8 & 109.4 & 106.4 & - 112.2 & 103.5 & 105.7 & 102.4 & ti4. 6 \\
\hline 1005. & 131.7 & 1123 & 068 & 111.8 & 109.1 & 105.5 & 100.7 & tod 2 \\
\hline 19100 & 111.6 & 97.2 & 983 & 1109.3 & 1187 & 114.6 & 100.7 & 106.2 \\
\hline 1907. & 115.0 & 96.3
1085 & 981
100.6 & 105.8
105 & - 115.3 & \({ }_{83.1}^{108}\) & 100.7
100.7 & 1082 \\
\hline 1005. & 119.8 & 108.5 & 100.6 & 105. 5 & - 104.3 & 83.1 & 100.7 & 108.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
It will be noted that the figures used to represent the growps show a total of emly g0. Evtently the rembining 10 per cent includes commodities not in general use, and for this reason not included in this study. \({ }^{2}\) El Probleme de las Subsistencios, Francisco Bernis, \(\mathbf{p}\). 58.
}

VARIATIONS IN WEIGHTED RELATIVE PRICES OF FOOD COMMODITIES IN SPAIN, 1891 TO 1908, BY YEARS-Gancluded.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Pork.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Codish.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Sngar.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Salt,} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Coflee.} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{All commodities,} \\
\hline & & & & & & Welghted- & Unweighted. \\
\hline 1801. & 90.9 & 88.5 & 83.3 & 75.0 & 99.0 & 88.6 & 84.1 \\
\hline 1892 & 96.3 & 80.6 & 83.3 & 75.0 & 108. & 92.1 & 91.4 \\
\hline 1863 & 89.5 & 72.2 & 83.3 & 75.0 & 96.0 & 00.7 & 80.2 \\
\hline 1894. & 89.5 & 74.4 & 83.3 & 62.5 & 98.6 & 87.7 & 84.8 \\
\hline 1805. & 91.0 & 74.4 & 78.9 & 62.5 & 98.6 & 8 gr .6 & 89.9 \\
\hline 1806 & 83.5 & 78.9 & 87.7 & 625 & 98.6 & 91.7 & 80.9 \\
\hline 1897. & 01.8 & 79.7 & 83.3 & 62.5 & 101.0 & 95.2 & 92.5 \\
\hline 1988. & 100.2 & 70.4 & 87.7 & 62.5 & 105.0 & 97.3 & 94.7 \\
\hline 1899. & 101.9 & 91.0 & 95.8 & 87.5 & 102.0 & 20. 5 & 98. 5 \\
\hline 1800. & 99.5 & 100.0 & 99.1 & 87.5 & 1024 & 97.6 & 98.0 \\
\hline 1801. & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline 1902... & 100.4
91.4 & 105.3
103.8 & 96. 5 & 100.0 & 108.9 & 101.5 & 101.8 \\
\hline 1004. & 104.4 & 103.8
113.5 & 100.0
104.4 & 100.0
100.0 & 1080 & 102.3
108.0 & 101.7
107.2 \\
\hline 1805. & 101.4 & 111.5 & 107.0 & 87.5 & 112.8 & 108.8 & 109.4 \\
\hline 1900. & 101.4 & 103.0 & 921 & 87.5 & 110.5 & 1060 & 104.2 \\
\hline 1007. & 06.9 & 87.9 & 98.5 & 87.5 & 1123 & 101.5 & 101.8 \\
\hline 1008... & 88.8 & 101.5 & 117.5 & 75.0 & 112.3 & 102.8 & 1024 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A second series of index numbers is contained in a pamphlet published by Bernis in \(1914^{2}\) as a contribution to the current literature on the economic condition of the working classes in Spain. Under the subject of cost of living (el coste de la vida) the author traces the movement of food prices through a period of years by means of index numbers based on commodities purchased by contract in certain charitable institutions of Salamanca.

The information was gathered directly from the records of the "institutions and covered the years from 1892 to 1913, inclusive. The base pariod selected was the year 1901.

Average yearly prices, both absolute and relative, are shown for 17 articles, viz, flow, bacon, pulse (chick-peas), beef, potatoes, wine, codfish, vermicelli, rice, sugar, milk, vinegar, lard, oil, pepper, salt, and charcoal. There is no grouping of commodities and no description of kinds or grades is furnished.

Three general index numbers are published. The first two are unweighted and were obtained by computing the arithmetic mean and the geometric mean respectively of the several price ratios based on the prices in 1901. In calculating the weighted index the relative price for each article on the 1901 base was multiplied by a figure denoting its importance in family expenditure, ss determined by a study of 13 family budgets collected by the author, and the sum of the products thus obtained was divided by the sum of the weights. The 3 index numbers are as follows: \({ }^{2}\)

\footnotetext{
1 Estudios estadistions. Contribuclon a is investigraion de ha situation economica de los trisbajadores en Rspafia. Baprelona, Tipografta "La Acndomica" do Serra Fnos y Rossall, Ronda Univeraldad, a.
- Estudios Estadisticos, pp. 10-18.
}


\section*{CONFERENCE ON INDEX NUMBERS OF THE INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STATISTICS, SEPTEMBER, 1911.}

At the thirtenth session of the International Institute of Statistics held at The Hague, September 4 to 8, 1911, the section of economic statistics devoted a part of its time to a discussion of index numbers. \({ }^{1}\) In order to secure a basis for a possible international study of the variations of prices and the increased cost of living, a circular had been sent to the members of the section in the various countries early in January of that year, requesting each to prepare a table of index numbers. A free translation of the circular reads as follows:

At the present time the high cost of living is disturbing many countries. Economists are endeavoring to ascertain how far the phenomenon may be attributed to unfavorable seasons, to the effect of labor and social legislation, to the supply of gold in the world, etc. But it is for statisticians primarily to measure and correlate the, fluctuations in prices as far as possible. And since the thirteenth session of the International Institute of Statistics this year will bring together at The Hague specialists from many countries, it seems desirable to solicit from them answers to this question of universal interest.

We wish to request each of our colleagues to prepare a complete series of index numbers for the common period 1881-1910 (namely 30 years), and beg of them to send in with the results obtained a statement of what prices were used for the computations and what methods were employed. Of course, it is understood that whatever supplementary explanations may accompany the tables will be most welcome.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Bullotin de PInstitut International de Statistique, tome XIX, I* IVraison, p. 66t.
}

\section*{Form of Table}

The average of the prices for the year 1881 being taken as 100 , the general course of prices in the following years is as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Year. & Inder number. \\
\hline 1881. & 100 \\
\hline 1882... & \\
\hline 1883. & \\
\hline * * & * * \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Address:}

When the section of economic statistics met on September 5, Mr. Waxweiler's report for Belgium was presented printed in completed form. The substance of all the papers received had been summarized by Mr. de Foville for the use of the section, and he also read the following list of index numbers submitted:
Germany.-Mr. Zahn (for Bavaria), Mr. Zimmermann (for Brunswick), Mr. Hartwig (for Llabeck).
Austria-Hungary.-Mr. Mario Alberti (for Trieste), Dr. von Jankovich.
- Belgium. - Mr. Hector Denis, Mr. Armand Julin, Mr. Nicolai, Mr. Sauveur, Mr. Waxyeiler (for Brussela).

Dennark.-Mr. Michsel Koefoed.
France.-Mr. de Foville, Mr. Levaeseur (for Lycees français), Mr. L. March. Ttaly.-Mr. Achille Necco.
Netherlands.-Mr. Falkenburg (for Amsterdam), Mr. Methorst.
Australia.-Mr. Coghlan (for New South Wales).
Canada.-Mr. Godfrey.
Japan.-Mr. Hanabusa.
Since no papers were sent from England Mr. de Foville included in his summary the English index numbers of Sauerbeck and a few others.

When it was proposed to have these tables of index numbers printed in the Bulletin of the International Institute of Statistics and to take up their discussion at the next meeting of the institute after the member had had an opportunity to study the flucturtions of prices shown in the tables and possibly the causes that produced them, some discussion arose as to the period of years selected, the disparity, appearing between similar tables by different authors, and the choice of wholesale or retail prices.

Upon the first point Mr. Denis (Belgium) objected to the year 1881 being taken as the beginning of the series of index numbers, particularly if the various tables constructed were to be used in attempting to get an insight into the possible causes of the fluctuation of prices. He summed up the periods of rise and fall of prices as evidenced in all tables of indexes and pointed out that 1881 was a year in the midst
of a period of depression of prices going back as far as 1874, and sug. gested that therefore the authors of the tables submitted be asked to lengthen their series by going back to an earlier date.

Mr. de Foville (France) justified the use of 1881 as the initial year upon two grounds: The period of 30 years thus included from 1881 to 1910 represents approximately the lifetime of a generation, and in going back even for so short a period it had been found difficult to secure the earlier data in many countries. Furthermore, 1881 is placed halfway between the extreme high level of prices in 1873 and the lowest depression following it in 1896.

No action was taken and Mr. Waxweiler (Belgium) raised the next question as to the disparity between similar tables. He noted that while the curves for the price of wheat in Belgium as presented by Mr. Sauveur and by himself are almost identical, those of meat are quite different \({ }^{1}\) and that it might be desirable to have authors adjust such discrepancies before publishing the tables. But Mr. Sauveur explained the disparity in the indexes for meat by the fact that Mr. Waxweiler chose his prices from the purchases of charitable institutions in Brussels, whose consumption is exceptional in that it is confined to meat of first quality and does not include pork. Both of these meats are exactly the ones that presented the greatest fall in prices after 1881 , while his own prices covered consumption of meat in general, which includes a large proportion of second quality meat as well as a large quantity of pork that is used particularly in country districts. He concluded by saying that the explanations. added by the authors to their tables seemed sufficiently complete to clear up such points, but also called attention to the fact that some general rule ought to be followed by the anthors of index numbers to insure the comparability of the statistics so gathered. It was finally voted to print the tables with such comments as their respective authors thought desirable to add in view of this discussion so that the minutes of the meeting of this section as printed in the bulletin would clear up the matter of the comparability of the tables even to the least experienced of readers.

The question of including retail as well as wholesale prices was brought up by Mr. Bowley, a member of the section, upon the ground that the fluctuations in retail prices for both long and short periods were less abrupt than those of wholesale prices. Mr. Lucien March (France) called to the attention of the member the extreme difficulty of identifying the class of articles sold at retail-a difficulty which existed even in wholesale trade as exemplified by the disparity pointed out by Mr. Waxweiler previously. He concluded with the statemente that as long as the level of retail prices followed that of wholesale prices in general, it justified the exclusive use of the more easily

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See Bulletin de l'Institut International de Statistique, tome XIX, 3- IVralson, Pp. 209, 216, ot 217.
}
escertained wholesale prices. He added that in his opinion the task before the Institute was to compare the tables already prepared, to note the discrepancies that appeared, and to ascertain the reasons for such discrepancies so that the Institute would be in a position to prescribe the precautions that ought to be taken in comparing the prices of different countries or of different periods of time. With this the discussion of index numbers practically closed.
- Among the tables of index numbers submitted to the meeting of the Institute in response to the request quoted, the following already existed in printed form and were not reprinted in the Bulletin of the International Institute of Statistics:
H. Denis.-Index numbers of moral phenomena.
A. Julin.-Indexes of the economic progress of Beigium from 1890 to 1908, which appeared in the Revue des Questions Scientifiques.
E. Levasseur.-Cost of living, which appeared in the Revee Eco nomique Internationale.
M. Alberti.-The cost of living, salaries and wages in Trieste. Irving Fisher.-The purchasing power of money.
A. Necco.-The course of prices of commodities in Italy.
A. Sauerbeck.-Prices of commodities in 1910, which appeared in the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society.
Réne Therry.-Variation of prices in India, which appeared in the Economiste Européen.

A brief description of the tables printed in Bulletin XIX of the Institute follows:

\section*{Gkrmany.}

\section*{Barvarta.}

The course of prices in Bavaria, 1881-1910. By Dr. Fr. Zahn, director of the Royal Bavarian State Statistical Office. pp. 126-131.

Dr. Zahn utilizes the results of an inveatigation by the German Imperial Statistical Office concerning household budgets. Of the 19 articlee that were thus found to repreeent a typical family budget, he expands flour into 2 independent varietiea, meat into 3 , and groups the reet into 7 articles, thus obtaining a list of 12 food articles nstend of 19, but he retains the relative proportion of consumption for each as ascertained by the imperial office.
Three tables ere presented by him:
1. Prices of food articlos ( 12 as explained above) par pound (one-half bilogram). These actual average annual pricea were obtained from the reports of the Bavarian Statistical Office.
2. The equrse of the cost of living in Bavaria, 1881-1910. The pricee of Table 1 are here multiplied by the per cent of consumption in the typical budget for the reopective food articles.
3. The general index number for each year is computed from the totals of Table 2 and for the base year, 1881.

Brunswick (eity).
The course of prices in tine city of Brunawick, 1881-1910, By F. W. R. Zimmermann, city treasurer. pp. 132-133.

The single table preeented gives a general index number computed for the base year 1881 for each year from 1881 to 1910 . The average annual pricee used for this computas tion were obtained from the monthly average prices of the city for 14 food articles and atraw and hay.

LHbect.
The course of pricea in Labbeck, 1886-1910. By Dr. Hartwig, director of the etatistical office of the free Hanse town of Lübeck. pp. 134, 135.
The table begins with the year 1886 because data previous to that were not available. Therefore the general index number had to be computed on the base 1886 instead of 1881 as requested by the Institute. Average annual prices are given for 27 fool articles, 10 wholesale and 17 retail. The sourcee of quotatione were various.

\section*{AUSTERIA-HUNGARY.}

Index numbers of 45 commodities in the Austro-Hungarian Monarchy, 1867-1909 (according to the system of Sauerbeck, partially revised by the author). By.Dr. Bela von Jankovich, vice preaident of the Hungarian Chamber of Deputies. pp. 136-156.
These tables are discuseed in detail on pages 166 to 168 of this bulletin.
BHIGIUM.
Tables of index numbers. By Hector Denis, professor in the University of Brussele. pp. 157-195.
Beaides the comparative tables and the tables of exports already deecribed on pages 172 to 175 of this bulletin, more than 20 tables are presented to illustrate various places of economic history. Thees tables make no attempt to confine themselves to the period 1881-1910 or to the base period 1881, as requested by the circular of the Institute.
Paper on index numbers for Belgium. By Edmond Nicolai. pp. 195-200.
The paper presents a table and chart covering 40 articles placed in eight groups, with the various sources of prices designated in a separate column. Index numbers are given yearly from 1881 to 1910, both for individual articlea and for groups; 1881 is used as the base.
Variation in prices in Belgium from 1881 to 1909. By Maurice Sauveur. pp. 201-209
The two tables of index numbers and chart submitted by Mr. Sauveur are based on the wholesale prices published in the Annuaire Statistique de la Belgique-official figures ascertainod by the Department of Agriculture. The indexes are computed on the base period 1881. Table I presents yearly unweighted indexes of 18 articles16 foods, and hay and atraw. Table II groupe these into cereals, vegetables, both groups combined, and meats; and weights the indexes for each group in proportion to the amount of home consumption.
Course of prices of 10 articles of current consumption in Brussels, 1881-1910. - By Prof. E. Waxweiler, director of the Solvay Institute of Sociology. pp. 210-218.
Prof. Waxweiler prepared his two tables and six charts in atrict sonformity with the purpose of the International Inetitute in requeating auch information, namely a possible international atudy of the causes of the increase in the cost of living. He used as a base the year 1881, computed indexes for the period 1881-1910, and selected such commodities as he considered most important of those available influencing the cost of living. His prices were taken from the accounts of a large charitable institution of Bruesels and are the yearly a verage wholesale pricee paid by that institution through public bids. The 10 commoditiee selected by him are mente, eggs, wheat, potatoes, butter, milk, linen cloth, cotton cloth, coal, and wood. Table I ahows the average yearly pricen and Table II the yearly iodex number of each commodity apd also a general index number for each year. The charts present these index numbers graphically.

\section*{DENMARK.}
- Variation of prices in Denmark from 1881 te 1910. By M. Koefoed, director of the Danish Bureau of Statistics. pp. 219-220.

The single table submitted shows only the yearly general index number from 1881 to \(1910,1881=100\), of 38 commodities, unevenly weighted. For computing this index number the 38 commodities were arranged in three groupe, according to their imporanice in commerce, and the prices of those in group 2 were multiplied by 2 and those of group 3 by 3. No prices are given, but they were taken from the Statistique de l'Échange extérieur.

Variation of prices in France from 1881 to 1910. By A. de Foville, member of the Institute of France and chief coumsellor of the Government auditing department (Cour des comples). pp. 220-222.

A double table of index numbers ahows indexes for imports and for exports separately. These were computed from values calculated by the administration of customs (Direction Genérale des Douanes). To ascertain the variation in the value of exports and imports the administration of customs first multiplied the total imports and exports, respectively, in any given year by the price for the previous year, then also by the price for the given year, and from the two computed the per cent rise or fall of the price of exporta and of imports. Theee proportions form the basis for the table that appears, but no details of commodities, prices, etc., are given.

Wholesale prices in France (index numbers computed for the base period 1881). By Lucien March, director of the statistical office of France. pp. 222-223.
The table is computed for three index numbers-one for food articlea, one for miscollaneous articles, and one for the two together, or a general index number. Fortythree articles were used, almost the same as those of Sauerbeck, but they are not specified. Sauerbeck's method of computation also was used and the prices are those fixed every year by the permanent commisaion on customs values.

\section*{NETHEKRTANDS.}

Variation of prices in Amaterdam from 1881 to 1911. By Ph. Falkenburg, director of the bureau of statistics. pp. 224-229.
A table of index numbers is preeented for 23 food articles separately and an index number for each of three groups into which these are clasified, as well as a general index number for all of them. The base period used is 1881. A second table gives the actual prices of these 23 food articles beeides 10 other commodities. Both tablea are based on the contract prices paid by the Amsterdam municipal hospitals.
Variation of prices in the Netherlands. By H. W. Methorst, director of the Central Bureau of Statistics of Netherlands. pp. 230-234.
- Table I presents index numbers for eight food articles based on contract prices paid by two prisons, three workhouses, and an asylum for the insane. 1881 is used as the base, and the table covera the period from 1881 to 1911. Beaidea the separate index numbers for each article a general index is also given.
For Table II data previous to 1903 were not available. It covers the period from 1903 to 1911 and gives relative prices for four kinds of bread and a general index number for, all. The base period is 1903 and the prices were obtained from bakeries in cities of 10,000 population or over.
Table III presents index numbers for 29 commodities from 1893 to 1911, based on retail prices of six cooperative societies. 1893 is used as the base.

\section*{CANADA.}

Variation of prices in Canada from 1890 to 1910. pp. 235-236.
Reliable data previous to 1890 could not be secured in Canada and the table of general index numbers presented is computed on the base period 1890-1899 and was
taken from the report of R. H. Coats of the Department of Labour as presented in the volumes entitled "Wholesale Prices in, Canada, 1890-1909," and "Wholease Prices" in Canada, 1910."

\section*{JAPAN.}

Statement of prices in Japan. By N. Hanabusa, director of the bureau of general statistics. pp. 237-243.
Table I gives average wholesale prices, index numbers, and a general inder for four food articles-rice, barley, Japanese beans, and sake (rice wine)-for the years 1881-1909. 1881 is used as the base. The prices were taken from the figures obtained by the Ministry of Agriculture and Commerce in its quarterly investigations into prices in six large cities.
Table II gives average prices, index numbers, and a general index of 26 commodities selected from among 60 which the Ministry of Agriculture and Commerce reports regularly since 1886. The table covers the years 1886-1909. 1886 is taken as the base. A more complete account of the index numbers compiled by Mr. Hanabuea appears on pages 288 to 292 of this bulletin.

\section*{NEW SOUTE WAMES}

Variation of pricee in New South Wales. By T. A. Coghlan, general sgent for New South Wales, p. 244.
The single table presents a general index number from 1860 to 1910 with 1881 as the base. No explanations accompany the table.

\section*{SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY OF ADDITIONAL INDEX NUMBERS.}
[The publications that are atarrad wore not available for referenee either in the Library of Congress oe in the library of the Bureau of Labor Statistios, and statements concerning them are besed upon informeulon found in the worls of other authora)
Avenel, Vicomte Georges d'. Fistoire économique de la propriété, des salaires, dea denrées, et tous les prix en genéral depuis l'an 1200 jusqu'en l'an 1800. Paris, 1894-1912. 6 vol.
"Apparently drawa, very roughly, an average of the maso-quantities of goods pum chasable with given amounts of silver at different epochs from 1200 to \(1890 .{ }^{\prime \prime 2}\)

Barker, Wharton. The course of prices. (Published in The American. Philadelphis. 1896-1900.)
Beginning in January, 1896, quarterly index numbers and a general index are given for 13 groups of 100 articles, going back as far as the year 1891 and continued until October, 1900, shortly after which the publication of The American was discontinued, The prices of January 1, 1891, were used as base.
Bourne, Stephen. On some phases of the silver question. (Published in the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society of London, 1879.)
The chapter "On the fall of prices" presents a general index number for the 22 articles used by The Economist, but combines the four linds of cotton into a aingle index and adds coal. The indezes are computed yearly from 1847 to 1879 for the base period 1845-1850.

On index numbers. (Published in the Reports of the British association for the advancement of acience for 1885 and 1888 and concluded in the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society of London in 1889.)
Yearly index numbers and a general index are given for the total imports and exports of Great Britain from 1876 to 1888 , computed for the base year 1883. This base year was talen as equal to 1,000 . The component parts of this 1,000 and of the index numbers for 1888 are shown in two tables, one for imports and one for exports.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) C. M. Wainh, The Yeasurement of General Exehange-Valug.
}

Bulgaria. Index nombres des marchandises les plus importantes et des salaires des - ouvriers, d'après leur prix dans les villee principales de la principaute. (Published by the Direction Gónérale de Statistique in the "Statistique des prix moyens des animaux domeatiques, des principaux articles alimentaires et des salaires des ouvriers en Bulgarie pendant la période décennale 1893-1902. Sofia. 1906.)

Index numbers are given for 99 articles computed yearly from 1893 to 1902 for the base period 1888-1892.
- Annuaire statistique du royaume de Bulgarie. (Published by the Direction * Générale de Statistique. Sofia. \(1^{\circ}\) année 1910. \(2^{\circ}\) année 1911d

A yearly general index is given for 86 articles from 1899 to 1910 , computed for the base 1894-1898.

Burchard, H. C. Tables are published in the Finance Reports of the Secretary of the Treasury, Washington, 1881, 1882, 1883, and in the Report of the Director of the Mint on the production of the precious metals in the United States in 1884.
In these reports a series of tables appears comparing the yearly average prices of over 80 articles in each of the years 1881, 1882, 1883, and 1884 , with the average prices of each of the preceding years, respectively, and also with the average prices of the 56 years preceding 1881.

Calwer, Richard. Das Wirtschaitgiahr. Annual numbers. Jena. (Theae are published in two parts and several years late. The last year to appear is 1909, of which Part I was published in 1912 and Part II in 1914.)
Beginning with the year 1907, a permanent chapter is published on "Einkommen und Konsum. Waarenpreise." Three tables of index numbers are given for 17 articles of consumption in Germany. One ahowa the monthly index numbers for these articles for the year, another the general index computed as far back as 1895, and a third the yearly index for each article computed back to 1903.
*Carli, G. R. Del valore e della proporzione de' metalli monetati con i generi in Italia prima delle acoperte dell' Indie col confronto del valore e della proporzione de' tempi nostri. -, 1764.
Prices of grain, wine, and oil in the year 1750 are reduced to proportions of those in the year 1500 .

Commons, Prof. John R. Comparative prices, freight ratea, stock quotations for the years 1876 to 1900 , shown by percentages or index numbers. (Publighed in No. 1 of the Quarterly Bulletin of the Bureau of Economic Research. New York. 1900.)

Yearly index numbers are given for 66 articles individually and also as arranged in 5 groups and 10 eubgroupe from 1878 to 1900, computed for the base period 1878-1880.

Wholesale prices by monthly and quarterly averages, 1896 to 1900 and 1878 to 1882. Shown by index numbers and diagrame. (Published in No. 2 of the Quarterly Bulletin of the Bureau of Economic Research. New York, 1900.)
Monthly index numbers are given for the same 66 articles from 1896 to 1900 and also by groups and subgroups, as above, and for the same base. Quarterly index numbera are given for the groups and subgroups only and for the years from 1878 to 1882 only.
Daggett, Ellaworth. A quarter of a century of prices. Salt Lake City. 1896.
By the use of 8 "commodity unit" he computes yearly index numbers and a general index for 21 articlee in the United States from 1870 to 1894, for the base period 18701872.

Drobisch, Moritz Wilhelm. Ueber die Berechnung der Verinderung der Waarenpreisq und des Geldwerthes. (Published in the Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik. 1871.)
He illuatrates his own method of computing index numbers by applying it to Hamburg prices of 26 articles for the years 1854 and 1867.
*Dutot, R-Wéflexions politiques sur les finances et le commence. The Hague. 1738.

Prices at the time of Louis XII and of Louis XIV are compared.
*Ellis, A. The money value of food and raw materials. (Published in the London Statist. June 8, 1878.)
Index numbers are given for 25 articles for the years 1859, 1869, 1873, 1876, and the fingt quarter of 1878 , computed for the base year 1869.
Eulenberg, Dr. Franz. Die Presisteigerung dee letzten Jahrzehnts. (Published in the Vortrige der Gehe-Stiftung zu Dreaden. 4. Band, 1912.)
Yearly index numbers and a general index are computed for 9 groups of 45 articles from the prices of the "Vierteljahrsheft zur Statistik des Deutschen Reiches, 1912," from 1899 to 1911 and for the base period 1889-1898.
Evelyn, Sir George Shuckburgh. An account of some ondeavors to ascertain a standard of weight and measure. (Published in the Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London, 1789, part I; reprinted in the Bulletin de l'Institut International de Statistique, 1887.)
Index numbers are given for wheat, butcher's meat, day labor, and 12 agricultural products at irregular periods from 1050 to 1800, computed for the year 1550 as base.
Flux, A. W. Some old trade records reexamined: A study in price movementa during the present century. (Publighed in the Transactions of the Manchester Statiotical Society. . London. 1898-99.
General index numbers are given for the total export values and total import values of British products, for seven-year periods from 1798 to 1869 , computed for the base year 1694. The same is done for France from 1873 to 1897 and for Germany from 1891 to 1897.
*Forbes, Francis B. The causes of depression in the cotton industry of the United Kingdom. London. 1886. (Occasional paper of the Bimetallic League, No. 3.)
The period 1884-85 is compared with 1875-76 for 12 classes of exports and 7 of imports.
Foville, Alfred de. La mouvement desprix dana lecommerce extérieuer de la France. (Published in a series of articles in the Economiste Francaia, July 5, 19, Nov. 1, 1879, and Apr. 29, 1882.)
Index numbers are given for imports and exporta of France from 1847 to 1880, computed for the base year 1.862 .
Giffen, Sir Robert. Report to the Secretary of the Board of Trade on recent changes in the amount of the foreign trade of the United Kingdom and the prices of . imports and exporte. (Parliamentary Document. Session 1885, c. 4456.)
Index numbers are given at irregular intervals of one to four years for 67 exporta from 1840 to 1883 and for over 100 imports from 1854 to 1883 , computed for the bsse 1861. These exports and imports include several varieties of many articles. Earlier and less complete forms of these tables appeared, also as parliamentary documente, in 1879 (c. 2247), 1880 (c. 2484), and 1881 (c. 3079).
* Hansuer, A. Etudes économiques sur l'Aleace ancienne et moderne. Vol. II. Denrées et aslaires. Paris. 1878.
The average of 10 articles purchasable with one franc in 1351-1378 is compared with that of \(1851-1875\) at 25 -year periods from 1351 to 1875.
Hansard, Luke. On the prices of some commodities during the decade 1874-1883. (Published in the Journal of the Institute of Bankers. London. 1885.)
Yearly index numbers are given for 25 articles in Great Britain from 1874 to 1885 , computed ior the base year 1874.
\[
94261^{\circ}-\text { Bull. } 173-15-21
\]

Hooker, R. H. The course of prices at home and abroad 1890-1910. (Published in the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. London. 1912.)
Various index numbers of Great Britain, United States, Germany, France, Belgium, and Italy are all reduced to the same base period, 1890-99, and s comparison is made between the resulting indexes for several groups of articles and aleo for 16 separate articles.
Fungaky. Preiastatiatik. (Publiahed by the K 8 a. ungarieche ptatistische Zentralamt in "Ungarische statistische Mitteilungen. n. s. bd. 44. Budapest. 1913.) In the neighborhood of 40 tables of index numbers of wholesale prices are included in this exhaustive study of prices. Many tablea are computed for three different bases: 1867-1877, 1880-1899, and 1899-1903, and for five-year as well as for yearly pesiods, while in a fow ceses even monthly indexes ane ahown. Index numbers of the most important grains are given for the leading countries of Europe and the United States. For Hungary individual articles as well as a list of articles are given for the country as a whole and for different markets. General index numbera are also given for various countries for the several bsases, usually basod on existing price atudies in those countries.

InamaSternegg, Dr. Karl T. M. von. Der Rurckgang der Waarenpreise und die oestarreichisoh-ungarische Handelebilane 1875-1888. (Published by the k. k. Statistische Zentral-Kommission in the "Statistische Monatsachrift." XVI Jabrgang. 1890.)
Index mumbers are given for 30 imports and 26 exporta of Austria-Hungary from 1880 to 1888, computed yearly for the base period 1875-1879.
The same author also published a pamphlet "Beitrije zur Geechichte der Preise"" in which he describes the various tables of index numbers and prices that were submitted for exhibition in the exposition in Vienna in 1878.

India. Prices and Wages in India. Compiled in the office of the Director General of Commercial Intelligence. Calcutta. 1913.
Half-yearly and yearly index numbers are given for staple articles of import, 11 at Calcutta and 3 at Bombay, from 1873 to 1913, for the base 1873. The same is done for exports for prices selected msinly at Calcutta.
- Another table compares the index of prices of 9 articles at Calcutts and London, yearly from 1888 to 1912, base 1873.

Index numbers are also given for 8 staple articles in Calcutta in January of each year from 1888 to 1913, base 1873.
Yearly index numbers are also computed for the prices of articles of consumption of troops at 16 stations in India from 1887 to 1912, base 1882-83, for each etation and for each article.
*James, Henry. The state of the nation. Causes and effecta of the rise in value of property and commodities from the year 1790 to the present time. London. 1835.

Average prices of British produce from 1798 to 1823 as compared with 1694 are given.
Jevons, Prof. Wiliam Stanley. Invertigations in currency and finance. London. 1909. (Reprints of various articles published earlier, including: A serious fall in the value of gold ascertained and ite social effects set forth, 1863; The variation of prices and the value of currency since 1782, 1865; The depreciation of gold, 1869.) \(P\)

Three sets of index numbers appear. One series gives yearly index numbers for 39 articles from 1845 to 1865, computed for the base period 1845-1850. The second gives yearly index numbers and a general index for 12 groups of 40 articles from 1762 to 1865, computed for the base 1782. The general index for these 40 articles is also - given in 10 -year periods from 1789 to 1869, but for the base year 1849. The third seriee gives a general index for 50 leading articles of commerce from 1847 to 1869, computed yearly for the base 1849.

Juergens, Carl H. Movement of wholesale prices in New York City, 1825-18j̧3. (Published in the quarterly publfations of the American Statiatical Association. 1910-11.)
Yearly index numbers are given for each of 74 articles whose prices were taken from the report of the Secretary of the Treasury for June 30, 1863. A general index is also given for each year for the whole period covered, 1825-1863. The year 1860 is used as base.
Julin, Armand. The economic progress of Belgium from 1880 to 1908. (Published in the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. 1912.)
In a presentation of a large number of index numbers that do not concern prices there appear also yearly index numbers for exports, imports, agricultural products, and several other articles from 1880 to 1908, computed for the base year 1884.
Levaseeur, Emile. La question de l'or. Paris. 1858.
Yearly index numbers are given for 2 groups ( 8 articles) of exports and 3 groupa ( 28 articles) of imports from 1847 to 1856, computed for the base 1826.
March, Lucien. Le mouvement dea prix et l'activite productrice. (Published in the Bulletin of the Bureau de la Statistique Générale. Paris. 1911.)
In moking a comparative table of general index numbers for France, Germany, England, and the United States he computes a yearly index for France of 43 articles out of Sauerbeck's 45 from 1840 to 1910 and for the base period 1891-1900.
Mulhall, Michsel George. History of prices aince the year 1850. London. 1885.
Several tables of index numbers are given. Yearly, 5 -yearly, and 10 -yearly index numbers are given for total imports, total exports, and both together from 1854 to 1884, base 1841-1850. Likewise 10-yearly indexes are given for each of 50 imports and 50 exports from 1850 to 1884, base 1854-1860. Another table shows 10-yearly index numbera for each of 7 agricultural producta and 7 manufactured producta from 1782 to 1884, base 1782-1790.
Netherlands. Prijzen van levenamiddelen te Amsterdam. Amsterdam. 1911. (Published by the Bureau van statistiek inemthe "Statistische medeelingen uitgegeven door he Bureau van statistiek der gemeente Amsterdam" No. 35.)
The contract prices paid by the municipal hospitals are used for computing the yearly index numbers of 26 articlea from 1881 to 1911 for the base year 1881. A general index is also computed. \({ }^{\text {a }}\)

\section*{-_. Maandschrift van het centraal buroau voor de atatistiek. 1913; 1914.}

The series of index numbers published in the 1913 volume is based on the contract prices paid by 5 large institutions. Yearly indexes and a general index are given for 9 articles, computed for the base year 1881, from 1881 to 1912.
New South Walea. Indox numbers of exports at Sydney, principal articlee of domestic produce. Published in the Official Yearbook 1913.
Index numbere are given for two groups and also for the total of exports, computed Yearly from 1901 to 1913 , for the base year 1901. The monthly index numbers for this table are also given for the year 1913, and this latter table likewise appears in the Monthly Statistical Bulletin of New South Wales.

Palgrave, Sir R. H. Inglis. Currency and standard of value in England, France, and India, and the rates of exchange between these countries. (Published in the Third Report of the Royal commission appointed to inquire into, the depression of trade and induntry. London. 1888.
The index numbers of the Economist are presented as reduced to the base 1865-1869 and computed for each article yearly and five-yearly from 1870 to 1886 . The same is done for 22 articles in France, corresponding as nearly as possible to those of the Economist and computed from 1865 to 1885 . Each of these series is then weighted to forma new table. The series for India gives yearly, five-yearly, and general indexde for 10 articlee (also as arranged in tiree groups) from 1870 to 1884 for the same base 1865-1869

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) Campare pp. 203 and 294 of this bulletin.
}

Perter, George Richardson. The progrese of the nation, in its various social and economic relations, from the beginning of the nineteenth, century. London. 2d edition. 1847.
A monthly general index number is given for 50 articles in London, siso for wheat separately, from January, 1833, to December, 1837. This is computed upon the prices of the first week in January, 1833, as base.
Powers, Le Grand. Modern variations in the purchasing power of gold; an inveatigation into the extent and causes of recent price variations. (Published in the fifth biennial report of the Bureau of Labor of the State of Minnesota. St. Paul. 1896.)

Index numbers are given for 16 articles of farm production for the years from 1862 to 1895, computed yearly for the base year 1872, and combined in a great variety of ways, i. e., by States, years, groups of articles, etc.
Rogers, J. E. Thorold. A history of agriculture and prices in England from the year after the Oxford parliament (1259) to the commencement of the continental war (1793); compiled entirely from original and contemporaneous records. Oxford. 1887. Vol. V.

The prices of \(3 \theta\) articles arecompared for the periods 1541-1582, 1583-1702, 1583-1642, 1643-1702, the average prices of each period in turn being compared with those of the period immediately preceding.
Rumania. Bulletin statistique de la Roumanie. La Statistique Générale. Bucharest. 1911.
The rise and fall per cent of prices as compared with the period immediately preceding is shown semimonthly, monthly, quarterly, semiannually, and annually from 1908 to 1911 for five grains.
Tygzka, Carl von. Die Bewegung der Preise einiger wichtiger Lebensmittel, insonderheit der Fleischpreise in Deutschland und im Auslande, unter besonderer Berücksichtigung Englands. ( Published in the Jahrbücher für Nationalokonomie und Statistik. 3. F. 42. 1911.)
Index numbers are computed for 10 -year periods for 10 articles ( 8 meats, wheat, rye) from 1881 to 1910, for the base 1891-1900. Other tables compare similar indexee for Berlin with those of London, New York, Paris, from 1895 to 1810.
United States. Index numbers of production per capita and price of important farm products. Published by the Department of Agriculture in The Crop Reporter, Washington. April, 1912.
These index numbers are not given in figures, but are represented by graphs. Yearly indexes for the total of 10 important crops are computed from 1868 to 1911 for the base period 1866-1908.
Walras, Léon. Études d'économie politique appliquée. Lausanne and Paris. 1898.
Yearly index qurabers and a general index are given for 20 articlee at Berne, Switzerland, from 1871 to 1884, computed for the baso period 1871-1878.
Wasgerab, Karl. Preise und Krisen. Gekrönte Preisschrít "Ciober die Veränderungen der Peise auf dem allgemeinen Markt seit 1875 und deren Ursachen." Stuttgaft. 1889.
Index numbers aro computed for 31 articles in Germany by comparing the average price of the period 1882-1885 with that of 1861-1870 as base.
Whitchead, Thomas Henderson. The critical position of Britiah trade with Oriental countries. (Paper read before the Royal Colonial Institute, February 12, 1895, and reprinted from the proceedings of the Institute.)
On pare 35 of this volume the author presents a table of yearly index numbers compiled by W. S. Wetmore, of Shanghal, from official returna, covering 20 staple commodities of China from 1874 to 1803, computed for the base year 1873.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The writar has recelved generous halp from Prot. Irving Fisher, Prof. Allyn A. Young, Dr. Royal Mceker, and Mr. C. H. Verrill, all of whom read the first draft of this paper and made many effective crithoistns. To his wife he is indebted not only for a critical reading of the manuseript, but also for the drawing or thecharts.

[^1]:    ' Del Valoro e della Proporsione de' Motalli Monotati con I generi in Italla primn dalo Seoperto doll' Iudio col confronto del Valore e della Froporitione de' Templ nostri. Repabilshod by Custodi in his Serittorl Italiani de Foonomia Politica. Parte Moderns, Vol. XIII, pp. 207-368, espectally pp. 335-354.
    " "An account of some ondeavors to ascertain a standard of weight and meacure," Philosophical Transactlons of the Royal Soclety of London, 1788, Part I, Art. VIII, pp. 133-152, evpecially pp. 175 and 176,
    ${ }^{2}$ Princtples of Political Economy, London, 18ss, pp. 405-908.
    4 For the reports of this committee, see the Reports of the 1ritish Aseciation, 1887, pp. 247-254; 1888, pp. 181-188; 1859, p. 138; 1880, pp. 485-488. See purtkularly the memoranda by Prof. Fideeworth gubjolined to those reports.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ From 1804 to 1899 the Economist publisted the relative prices of commoditios, but such separste figures without a sum or an average do not constitute an index number proper.
    ${ }^{1}$ The yorrs mentioned are the datos of first publication, not tho earliest dates for which relative prices gre ahown. In most cases tho computers carried their investigations back into the past, frequently for a . decade or more

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Seo Finance Reports, 1881, pp. 312-321; 1852, pp. 252-254; 18s9, pp. 318-318; Repori 0 : thendirector of the Mint on the Production of the Precious Matals, 1884, pp. 497-502. Compare the criticism of this sertes by Prof. J. Lourance Loughlin, Quarterly Journal of Economles, April, 18st, pp. 397 and 388.
    : See the deserlption given on pp. 128-130.
    : See Bulletin of the Department of Labor, No. 27, March, 1900.

    - See the Issues for July and Octolver, 1000.
    - For such bibliographies see Walah, The Measurement of General Exohange-Value, pp. 553-574, and J. L. Leughin, Princlples of Money, pp. 221-24. The mast important contribution of later date than Laughlin's entrios is Prof. Irving Fisher's Purchasing Power of Money, pp. 385-429.

[^4]:    1 One of the early British writers on pricos, Blahop. Fleetwood, remaried: "* * as the Worid now goes, the grastast (thn' I will not thinis the best) Pert of Readers will be ratherapt to despise than to commend the Pains that uro taken in making Collections of so mean Things as the price of Whest \& Oats, of Poultry, and such like Provisions * *."-Chronicon Precionum, 1707, 2d ed., 1745, p. 6. Str G. Shuck-burg-Evelyn, in the paper referred to above, also felt himsolf on the defensive in presenting the first English index number: "* * However, I may appear to descend below the dignity of philosophy, in such economical researchas, I truat Ishalland favour with the historian, at laast, and the pontiquary." Shuck-burgs-Evelgn's discussion of inder numbers, indeed, was,merely a minor appendir to his disoussion of utandards of woights and measares. But it has become his chiof clatm to remombrance.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The reader may follow the discussion more easily if he rums over the following sample of the figurea reforred to:

[^6]:    1 Allof these figuresshow percentages of isse or fall from the avernge prices of the commodties in quester: in the preceding yarr.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Owing to the constant shifting of the base line, no flxod scale of rolative priess can be shown on the margin of the chart. But the oftsots on the margin indicate tho vertical distances alloted to a risp or fall of 1 par cant from the prices of the proaeding year.
    Tho scale used here, that is, the ratlo between the horizontal distances which represent one year, and the vartical distances which represent 1 per cent of rise ar alli in prices, is the same as that used in the other charts in this bulletin, and in the earifer bulletins in this sarieg. Because of its intricacy, the chart had to be reproduced on a largor scate than to the other cases, but of oourse that fact does not altor the slant of the lines, and this slant is the matter of importance.
    I Excopt, perhaps, a slmilar chart drawn to a logarithmio scale.

[^8]:    I See, for arample, Prof. F. Y. Edgeworth's article "Probability," Part II, Encyclopedita Britannica 11th ed,, and the refarences there given.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ The greatar concentration in the actual than in the "norma"" distribution is peribaps best ghown by the Lact that the probable deviation (half of the range between the quartiles) is oniy 5.6 points in the Arst case ns against 8.9 points in the second. The quartiles correspond in nature to the decils and medians. They are the points whioh divide the whole number of observations into four equal parts. In the case of the actual distribution the quartiles are +0.0 and -4.0 .
    © The extremes are +103.3 per cent and -54.8 per cent. From another viewpont, however, thees extremes may be regardod as nearly symmetrical. The greatest rise reprosents a price of the year before mut tipliad by 2.033; the greatest tall a price of the year before divfded by 2.203. The signdicance of these two ways of comparing the magnitude of a rise and a fall in pribee is discussed in Section IV, subsection 8 -

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ That the arithmetio mean is slightly above apre arises partly from the fact that thero aro 38 percentagus of rise greatar than any percentage of fall. But it also arises partify from the fact that our data come from a period (1890-1913) when the trend of year-to-pear fluctuations was more often upward than downwart; there were 2.56 cases of adpance in prico against 2,314 cases of fall. The median in kept from rising above zere because the cases of "no change," 007 in number, more than offset the difference between the numbers of advanoes and of decitines in price.
    7 The Burean quotes 252 commodities in 1913; but 11 can not be included in the present comparison focause no quotations ave given for them in 1800-1800.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ The probeble devintion, to repeat, ts half the tater-quartile rapge.

[^12]:    : Wholesale and Retail Prices. Return to an Order of the . . . House of Commons . . . for "Report on Wholesale and Retail Prices in the United Kingdom in 1902, with Comparative Statistical Tables for a Serles of Years." For Sauerbeck's figures see his annual articles in the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. The list of commodlties in question is as follows:

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gibson's lydex number ts such a sum. See p. 94. The difference between sums of nelative prices and these sums divided by the number of artices included is, of course, purely formal. Averages have displaced sums in current use mainly because it is easler to make comparlsons on the ceale of 100 than on the scale of 2,200, of whatever number is given by tho addistion of relative prices.
    : For a criticism of thla method, see p. 101.

    - The confidence merlted by this findex number is dispussed in Section $V$.

[^14]:    1 If geometrie moans are used the ratios between the index numbers for diferent dates are nitt influenced at all by theselection of the base, and if medians are used they arelikely to beaffected but slightly, provided the number of commoditles facluded be larga. Sep the discussion of forms of averages, subsec. 8.
    -The selection of a proper base period, however, does not guarantee immunity from the exeroise of undue influence by cartain articles. Mare important than the base is the choice of proper weights, See subsec. 7.

    Thts form of index number was invented by ProL. Altred Karihall. See Contamporary Reviow, Maroh

[^15]:    ${ }^{2}$ Compare Irving Fishor, The Purchasing Power of Monoy, revised edition, p. 204. On weighting, seo subsec. 7 of this bulletin.
    2 Compare p. 23.
    1 Medtans and ceometric means are not distorted hy such cases, as are the much commonar arithmetio meahs. See subsec. 8.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare, A. W. Flux, "Modes af constructing findex numbers," Quarterly Joural of Economices, Auguat, 1007. Vol. 21, p, 615. Se0 pp, 88 and 89 of this bruletin.

    In other words, the objection stated on $p$. $\$ 6$ to socepting as the base a perfod when prices were not "normal" extends in a measure to ecoopting any long-past period as a bave for measuring current fluctuations of prices.

    - For an exnmple of thls mothad aee pp. 42 to 44.

[^17]:    1 On the forms of Index numbers that can be ahifted from one base to another withort altaring the ratios between the succestive mambers of the sarias, see Irving Fiaher, Purchasing Power of Money, table oppoaite p. 418, teat 7 .

[^18]:    1 For example, auppose that an index number inoludes only wheat and corn, and that their prices are as follows:
    

[^19]:    1 Among firm products, horsea, miles, poultry, and leaf tobecco; among food products, cannod corn, canned peas, canned tomatoss, freah beal in Chicago, drewed poultry, and cabbage; among lumber and building materials, yellow-pine flooring.
    ${ }^{1} 8$ 8ee pp. 86-98.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ The revised Agures should be substituted for the bureau's figures for 180e-1913, or the 11 new commodtties should be dropped altogethar. The latter course has been followed in other tables in this paper, but it makes IIt

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ These statements refor to the number of series of relative prices sveraged to get the Anal results as now presented. Often two or more different varieties of an important article are counted as separate commodities, and, on the other hand, the relative prices of slightly diferent articles are sometimes avaraged to make one of the series which enters into the final averages. In view of the diversity of practice to this respect, a perfectly consistent counting of the number of distinot "commodities" tncluded in the general serles is imposslbler Mareover, the figures are oftem published with such fmperiect axplanations as to make the counting of the commodities inchuded doubtful or impossible on any interpretation of that term.
    2 To facilitnte comperison, decimals have been dropped and the index for each year rounded off to the nearest whale number. Furcher, the results for 100s-1013 are changed for the reasans explatred on pp. 0-44. Fegarding the changes in the number of commeditien froluded, zee Bulletin Na. 149, p. 11.

[^22]:    2 Tho Ilst Is as fallows: Whert, «wheat flour (two kinds), barley, cats, corn, corn meal, potatoes, rye, suger $89^{*}$, sugar $96^{\circ}$, coffee, tea, stoers, iresh beat, salt beef, sheep, matton, hogs, bacon, hams, bptter, oatton, cotton yarns (two kjnds), Jute, wool (two kinds), worsted yarns, raw silk (two kinds), pit fron, bar Iron, cement, copper ingots, copper sheets, lead, anthrecito coal, bitumbous coal (two kinds), hides, lesther, cottonseed oll, linseed oll, petroleum (erude and refined), rubber, spruce lumber, fellow-pine lumber, and paper. See J. P, Norton, "A revised indax number for measuring the rise in prioes," Quarterly, Journal of Eoonomics, August, 10t0, vol. 24, pp. 760-758

[^23]:    $94261^{\circ}-$ Bull, $173-15--4$

[^24]:    1 See Bulletin No. 140, pp. 13 and 14. The diflerences between the origtoal figures and those given here are due (1) to the dropping of decimals, (2) to the exclusion of 11 commodities which the Burean of Labor Statistics quotes in the years 1808-1013 only, (3) to the computation of the arithmetic means in these years by the method applied in 1890-1007 in place of the buresu's roundabout method. See Bulletin No. 149, p. 32 and pp. 4 to 44 of this bullettin.
    : The aricices included here are those from which the index mumber of 40 commoditiea in Table 8 was made. For the list, see p. 48 and note.
    ${ }^{5}$ For the lists of textfles and of tools, see Bulletin of the Bureas of Labor, No. 09, March, 1912, pp. 554-656 and 682-688.
    4 Like most generaitiations about pripe changea, these statements are striotly vald only in the case of averages oovering several commodities, but the exceptions are not nomerous, even in the case of single commodities, as detailed study of the wheat, cotton, wool, iron, and leather groups whil show.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ The ints of commoditios are as follows:
    Farm cropa; Cotton, daxseed, barley, corn, cats, rye, wheat, hay, hops, beans, colles, rles, pepper, twe, . onions, potatoes, cottonseed meal, and Juto- 18 erticles.
    Animal producta; Hides, cattle, hogs, sheep, eggs, lard, millk, tallow, silk, and wooh- 10 artiches.
    Forest producta Hemlook, maple, onk, white pine, yellow pine, poplar and spruco lumber, together with turpenttis, tar, and rlbber- 10 artalese.
    Mineral products: Salt, anthrael te coal, bituminons coal, oohe, crude petroleum, coppar mgots, lead (pls), pig iron, bar irom, stzel billots, quicksilver, silver bars, the (pig), spalter, stine, brick, cement, lime, and brim-stome- 19 articles.
    E Compare the monthly figures compiled by the Burean of Labor Stathties for its group of "Motals and implaments," Builetin No. 149, p. 18. These fleures are largely mfunenced by the relatively stable prices of 11 difforent Linds of tools. Monthly data for the 19 minaral products of Table 10 would probably show evtin more of a docline betwean January and December in thees years.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ The consurners' goods are bread, crackera, batter, cheese, salt fish, evaporated apples, pranes, ralsins, beef, mution, pork, molasses, corn itarch, suggar, vinegar, shoes, cotton textiles, woolen textiles, candlea, matches, quinine, furniture, earthenware, glasswart, woodenware, table cutlery, soap, and tobacco. The producers' goods ave bags, cotton yarns, leather, linen shoe thrted, worstod yarns, ranned petrolgom, barbed wire, builders' hardware, copper wire, lead pipo, nails, steel ralls, tools, wood serews, pine docrs, plate glass, window glass, carbonate of lead, oxide of eline, patty, rosin, shlnglea, murlatie wold, sulpharic acid, mait, paper, proof sptrit, and rope.
    It will be noticed that a large proportion of the consumers' goods are subjeot to very slight manufincturing prosessee, notaliy the coods. Hence the diference between the two indax numbers can scarcely be regarded as merely a fresh contrast between the fluctuattons of finighed goods and of intermedtate produots.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ compare p. 111.
    $1.718 .1 . N s$

[^28]:    1 Of the 56 articlea included altogether, only 11 are common to all three lists. The Gibeom list has 8 commodities and the Annalist list has 4 commodities classlfied by the bureau with farm products instead of with foods, while the bureau has 34 foods not quoted by Obson and 27 not quoted by the Annallst. Even the two short lists have only 15 articles in common, while Glbson bas 7 articles not quoted by the Annilist, and the Annalist has 10 articles not quoted by Oibson.
    For the bureau's 1 ist see Bulletin No. 149, pp. 80-107.
    The Annalist list runs-onts, cattle, fresh beaf, salt beel, hoxs, becon, salt pork, land, sheap, mutton, butter (two kinds), cheose, coffee, sugar, wheat flour (two kinds), rye flour, corn mesl, rice, beens, potatoes, prunos, evaporated apples, and codilish.
    The Gibson list Is-barley, corn, cats, rye, wheat, catlle, hogs, sheep, buttar, coffee, wheat flour (tro kinds), corn meal, bacon, freah boof, salt beef, hams, mutton, sugar (two kinds), tea, and potatoes.
    a Even in 1903-4 the bureau's flgures record a silight advance of prices in harmony with the Annalist figures, though this advanee is confined to the decimal columns and disappears whan the decimals art rounded off.
    ${ }^{2}$ The exceptions are salt and sold, and of these artiales the Annalist and Gison quote nalther.

[^29]:    1 For dotails, 800 p. 101.
    See p. 34.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ See pp. 37 and 38.
    ic. M. Walsh, The Measurement of Cemeral Exahange-Value, pp. 81 and 82 ." Haphsrand welghting is not necessarily the warst weighting; indeed, it often is better than the weighting which results fromsome aystematic calculations. For example, Bradstreat's plan of using actual prices per pound is cortainly systamatic, but the weighting which this systom involves is probably less defensible than the haphazard woighting involved in most averagas of the relative prices of commodities salected at random. See p. 101.
    8 See p. 28. When the (then) Department of I Labor started its present index number it canvessed the subject of weighting, but deeided to nse a simple average, because of the " impossibility of securing eren approximately accurate figures for annual constumption in the United States of the commodities included," (Bulletin of the Department of Labor No. 39, p. 234, March, 1912.) It ddd, however, allot two ar moreserles to certain commoditles, and thus introduced a rough aystem of weights. Untortunately tbe number of serise allotted to cach commodity seems to heve been determined quite as much by the ease of securing quptations as by the importance of the articles, For criticism of the welghting which resulted, see pp. 61, 62, and 101.

    - Compare A. L. Bowley, Flements of Statlstics, 2d ed., pp. 113 and 220-224.
    - Irving Fisher, The Purchasing Power of Money, revised edition, p. 406. For further detalls see the pepers by Edgeworth to which Fisher refors in his footnote.
    - Detalls concerning the first three sets of simple and weighted avarages can be found in the documbents referred to fo the table. But the fourth set of comparisons is based upon hitherto unpubishod data and requires description.
    The "unwoighted" Index numbers in this set are arithmette means of the relative prices given in the bulletins of the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the commodities listed below. But where two or more seried of relative prices ere shown in the bultetins for different grades of the same article, as in the case of catile, hogs, bacon, butter, cora meal, plg fron, etc., they were replaced by asingle avarage soriea for the article in question, before the arithmetic means of the group ware computed.

    The "welghted" index numbers wero made from these same relative pricess fin the following way: (1) For each commodity included the Bureau of Labor Statistics made a careful eatimate, based apom a critical study of the best avallable sources of intormation, of the physical quantity of it entertug into exchange to the year 1009. By "quantity entering into exchange" is meant the quantity bought and kold, trreepective of the number of times it changed hands, (Seepp. 77 and 78.) (2) Theso physical quantilleas were malit-

[^31]:    pifed by the average prices in 1908 of the respective commodities. (3) The resulting sums af money were used as weights to multiply the relative prices of the respoctive compnodities on the 1880-1809 base. (4) The sums of the products were east up for each year, and finally these sums were divided by thesums of the welghts, Lien, the value in exchange for 1909.
    The average prices of the commodities in 1909 may befound in any of the recent wholesale-price briletins, ag., No. 149. The commoditises included, and the estimated physical quantity of each entering into exchango in 1009, are as fallows:
    Farm products; Barley, $75,300,888$ bu.; cattie, 124,344,349 cwt; ccenn, 400,778,251 bur; cotton, 5,400,760,011 Ibs.; flaxsmed, 20,100,489 bus; hay, 10,085,804 tons; hides, 022,243,804 Ibe.; hogs, 76,438,923 ewt.; hope $48,776,921$ lbs.; oats, $267,859,660$ bu.; rye, $29,521,503$ bu.; sheep, $11,498,090$ owt.; wheat, $683,418,528$ bat.
    Food, ctc.: Deans, 8,468,385 cwh; butter, $1,042,709,708 \mathrm{Jbs} ;$ cheose, 353,641, g92 lbs; coftee, 1,088,489,285 lbs.; egks, $926,600,118$ dax.; codish, $684,692 \mathrm{cwt}$; herring, 428,804 bbls,; mackerel, 190,565 bbls; salmon, $1 k, 431,008$ doz. cans; buckwheat flour, 2,009,598 cwt; rysilour, 1,594,346 bbls; wheat flour, $107,306,408$ bbls; currants, 32,163,008 Ibs; prunes, 138,705,607 lbe; raistns, 12,438,044 boxes; glucose, 7,701,228 cwt.i lard, $1,243,572,129$ lbs.; corn meal, $53,353,460$ ewt.; bacon, 741,354,500 lbs.; beet, fresh, 4,209,196,748 lbs.; beol, salt, 632,388 bbls.; hams, $789,861,744 \mathrm{lbs}$.; mutton, $495,458,007$ lbs.; pork, salt, $4,760,690$ bbls.; milk, $7,749,070,256$ qts.; molasses, $65,890,983$ gals; rice, $1,042,588,093$ lbs; salt, $22,136,480$ bbls.; sode, bicarbonato, $185,600,000 \mathrm{lbs}$; pepper, $36,211,482$ lbe.; sugar, raw, $\mathbf{0 , 3 1 6 , 0 3 3 , 6 9 9}$ lbs; sugar, granulated, $7,368,818,210$ lbs; tallow, 200, 209,103 libs.; vhegar, $98,400,027$ gals.; potatoes, $307,491,062$ bur; onions, $4,072,947$ ewt.; tea, 113,547,647 lbs.

    Metals and implements: Bar fron, 2,166,529,067 lis.; barbed wire, 6,471,300 owt; copper, ingot, 1,312,437,910 lbs.; copper wire, 278,904,000 Ibes; lced, pis, $732,152,538$ lbs.; lead ptpe, $1,058,280$ cwt.; nalls, wire, $13,916,097$
     billots, 4,972, 179 tnins; steel rells, $3,025,009$ tons; tin plate, $12,968,174 \mathrm{ewt}$.

[^32]:    1 See a. H. Knibbs, Prices, Price Indexce, and Cost of Living in Australla. Commonwealth nureau of Cansus and Statistics, Labovr and Inilustrial Branch, Report No. 1, pp. 11-14.
    ${ }^{1}$ Report on Wholeanle and Retail Pricea in the United Kingdom in 1902. I.ondon, 1903, p. 41. The socuracy of the statisties upon which the Australlan and British inder numbers are besed moy be opean to question. Not the data, but the method is of titerast here.

[^33]:    1C. M. Walah, The Keasurement of General Exchange-Value. New York, 1001, p. 05.
    1 Irving Fisher, The Purchastig Power of Koney, revised edition. New York, 1913, pp. 217 and us.

[^34]:    $t$ of course, this same end might be attaned without surrandering the production or consumption basts If the rule aquinst double counting of raw materiais and products were mede broad enough to include corn, for example, as the raw matarial of pork; but needless to say there is little likelihood that the common meaning of terms will be strotched to such an extent.

[^35]:    1 See the criticism of index numbers made from import-ayport valuen, subsec. 3, p. 81.
    © Compare G. H. Knibbs, Priees, Price Indexes, and Cost of Living in Australla. Commonwealth Burean of Census and Statistics, Labour and Industrial Branch, Report No. 1, pp. xxiv end xilx.

[^36]:    1 The bestsystematiodiscussions of averaging for the purpesein hand are to be found in Prof. Edgeworth's papers referred to in footnote on p. 6; Fring Fisher's The Purchasing Power of Money, revised edition 1913, pp. 885-420; and C. M. Walsh's The Measurement of General Exchange-Value, 1901,

    IW, S. Jevons, "A serious fall in the valueof gold ascertained," 18es. Reprinted in his Invertightionsin Currency and Finance, 1884, pp. 13-118.

    - Concarning the properties of these avtrages see, for amanple, F. Zitiok, Statistical A Ferages (translated by W. M. Persons), and G. U. Yule, Introduction to the Theory of Statisties, pp. 120-123, 128-129. The "crude mode" is that relative price which oceurs most frequentiy in the data under exsmination, e.g., In Chart 2 it is "no clange." The true mode is "the valte of the variable corresponding to the maximum of the ideal frequanoy-urve which gives the clovest possible fit to the actual distribution." "The harmonio: mean of s series of quantifies is the reciprocal of thearithmetie mean of their reciprocals."

[^37]:    And the latter expresalon, of course, is the ratio betriean the geomotrio means of the actual prices in the two year.

[^38]:    1Compare, for example, F. Y. Edgeworth, "Index nambers," Dictionary of Political Ecanomy, Vol. II, p. 386; Irving Fisher, The Purchasing Power of Maney, revised edition, p. 425; A. L. Bowley, Elements of Statistics, second edition, p. 924. Walsh, however, pretars the geometrio mean. See his Measirement of Gemeral Exchange-Valus.
    "Soe his paper "On the use of analytical geometry to represent certain kthis of statistics," Journal of the Reyal Statistical Soolety, June, 1014, Vol. LXXVII, p. 733.
    In 1891, for instance, 232 commodities are represented. One-halt the list is 118. But 82 commoditien rose in price, while 108 foll. The remating 44 did not change. Here not anly the median but also the rith docil foll in the group "no change." Ot course the median here does not-divide the whole number of eases into two equal parts any more than the 6th deell docs,

[^39]:    1 It is a conveniont feature of arithmetio means computed from relatives based on average prices over a period of years that the mean of these means for the base pariod must be 100 -again barring diserepancies carsed by dropping fractlans. For example, the arlthmetic means of the Bureau of Labor Btatistics index numbers for the ten-year perfod 1800-1899 would always add op to $1,000.0$, had all the frections been kept and had all commoditios been queted in every year of the decade. If medians made from these figures add up to 1,000.0 in 1800-18e9, it is pocaldental.
    s See, for example, G. U. Yale, Introduction to the Theory of Statistics, pp. 114-116.

    - See subece. 5, "Base Pertods."

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ Irving Fisher, The Purchasing Power of Monoy, revised edition, p. 426 , note 2.
    i Sueh cases might be met by reducing the wedght allowed the articio in question; but we have seen that rovising weights blurs the meaning of the inder number, by making it Impossible to say how far the final results measure the change in proes and how far they measure the change in weights. See p .79.

[^41]:    1 See the axample from Hunt's Merchants' Magacine given in subsection 4. However, a very rough system of welghts besod upon guessworl may give quite as good results as the hapharard welghting of relative prices. Prof. Irving Fisher suggests to the writer a "lazy man's index number," made by edding actual prisea for ordinary commercial units, with their decimal points shifted forward or backward, or left unchanged, acearding to the eatimated importance of each article.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ The legitimacy of shifting these relatives by the "short" mothod is best shown by the use of symbols.
    Let $p_{0,} p_{n}, p_{y}$, represent the maney prices of the two commodities $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ in three years $\left.p^{\prime}, p^{\prime} x, p^{\prime} y\right\}_{0, x}$, and $y$.
    Then the sums of these actual prioes will be-
    $p_{0}+P_{0}^{\prime}$ in the year 0 .
    $p a+p^{\prime}$ in the year $x$.
    $p y+p^{\prime} y$ in the year $y$.
    Relative prioes in the year $x$ compnted from these sums will be-
    $p_{x}+y^{\prime} y_{0}$ on the besis of prices in the year 0 , and
    potpo
    $p_{x}+p^{\prime} x_{0}$ on the besis of prices in the year $y$.
    Relative prices in the year $y$ will be-
    $\frac{p_{1}+p^{\prime} y^{\prime}}{p_{0}+p^{\prime}}$ on the basis of prices in the year 0.
    Now the relative price in the gear $x$, computed on the basis of prices in the year 0 , can be turned into the relative price for the year $x$ on the basis of prices in the year $y$, by dividing the relative for the year $x$ an the besis of prices in the jear oby the relative for the gear $y$ on the basis of prices in the year o. For $p_{x}+p^{\prime} x_{z}+p_{y}+p^{\prime} y_{y}=p_{z}+p^{\prime}$
    $p_{0}+p_{0}^{\prime}+p_{0}+p_{0}^{\prime} p_{y}+p_{y}^{\prime}$
    The reason why ordinary arithmetie means of relative prices can not be consiatently shifted to amother base by this simple method is explained in subsee. 5, p. 89 .

[^43]:    1 The explanatian given in the toxt may be put in the form of algebrais formules for readors wiling to stady symbols.
     $\left.\boldsymbol{p}_{0_{0}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} p_{x}^{n}\right\}$ base year o and in some other year designated by the subseript $x$.
    Let $q$, $q^{\prime}$, and $q^{n}$ respeetively reprosent the phyaical quantitles of these commodities to be used as welghts.
    Then an unwelghted arithmetic mean of relstive prices is represented by the following formula, in which $n$ atands for the number of commodities included:

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the differences between these two forms, see pp. 46 and 47, and footuote.
    ${ }^{3}$ This Itst is given in the second footnote on p. 47. The original designer of this series, Dr.J. P. Norton, oonlined himseli to commodities quoted by the burean, but he changed the form of the bureau's relativea to make them eorrespond with Dun's figures in 1807. (Bee the roference in the naxt footnote.) It is, of course, easy to use his lisfeand the bureau's ralatives to make an inder number covering the years 1890 to 1018 on the 1800-1899 batis.
    ${ }^{3} T h i s$ multiplitir was obtatned from the farmuls $\frac{\mathrm{W} \times \mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{C}}$ in which W the Dun weight far foods, 0.50 ;
    D= the avarage of Dun's results for 1800-1809, namely, 894.3; and Cm the number of foods included in the Hist, namaly, 29. This formule has the value 1.0159. To divide the published results by this multipliar restores the original sums of relative prices. To get arithmetio means instead of sums, we must divide by $1.9150 \times 22=42,1+$. See.J. P. Norton, "A revised index number for measuring therise in prices," Quarterily Journal of Economics, August, 1910, vol. 24, pp. 75s, 754.

    - No vilance is done by this procedure to Bradstreet's saries, but the compartson is nat quite setisfactory, because our othar serles ware not worked out on the basis, prices in 1802-1809-97.1, and would probebly have shown somewhat different results if thay had been. The only way to make a perfect comparison with Bradstreet's flgures would be to recompute all the relative prices that enter into the Bureau of Labor Statistics' index number and the other index numbers here derived from it on the basis of $1802-1809-100$. In other words, we are here prectically applying the sbort method of shiliting a base, which has bean shown to invelve inacturactss. Soe sec. IV, subsee, S.

[^45]:    a Mr. Dougias R. Little courtoously ruppliad Dun's Agures for 1007-1814 in advanue of thatr publication in Dun's Review.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ Conceroing the sources of Information drawn upan by the compllars of Dun's, Bradstreot's, Cibsom's, and the Annglist's index numbers, the published information is slight. Despite the meager faformation, however, there seems little reason to donbt that all these authorities use mariet prices at wholesale. The Bureau of Labor Statistics alone states in full the source of ovary set of quotations, except those obtained from private hourss. The diferences among the results therefore can not be ascrlbed to differences in the nature of the ultimate data.
    Dun's Review uses quotations for Jan. 1 and July 1 in the years 1890 to 1000; from 1901 formard it usew quotations on the 1st day of every month. Bradstreet's uses quartarly quotations from 1802 to 1590, and monthly quotations thereaftor. The Bureau of Labor Statisties in 1913 seeured weekly quotatioms for 44 commodities and monthly quotations for 208 . In 1890-1901, however, it was obliged to content Itsolf with only ong quotation a year for 18 commoditiss and with quarterly quotations for 4 mors. (See Bulletins No. 30, p. 215, and No. 149, p. 28.) The Gibson index number for 1890 - 1008 is made from quotatlons collected by the Bureau of Labor Statsties; from January, 1909, caward it is based upod an independent collection of monthly figures. (See J. P. Norton, "A revised index number for measuring the itse in prices," Quarterly Journal of Economies, Aug., 1910, vol. 24, p. 758, note.) As explatned above, the mdeax number made from the ilst of 50 artloles ariginally included by Glbson is compiled throughout from the buraau's deta. Finally, the Annalist also takes Its data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics for earliar years (1890, 1888, 1010, 1011), but seemingly makes an indepandent compilation by manths for 1012, and by weeks for 1013 and 1914. (The Annalist ligures for 1891-1805, 1897-1899, and 1901-1909 have bean dled in by the present writer from computatlons basod upon tho bureau's relative prices.) All these author: ties, then, have more frequent and therefore more representative quotations for later years. For the earliar years the Bureau at Labor statistics' collection seems rather the fullest on the whole. But it is not ensy to show Just how the realits are affected by differences in the frequency of quotations.
    Also, the form of average used may be set aslde-as of no moment in explaining the discrepancles among the rasults. Five of the serles are arithmetio mesens of relative prifos. The other two are rolative figuret bessed upon sums of actual prices, and of corurso these sums bear the same ratios to each other as arithmetio means made trom tham would bear, propded the wetghts wereadjusted to that end. (See pp. 08 and 99.)

[^47]:    1 Soe J. P. Nartom's article in the Quartorly Journal of Economics, Aug., 1910, vol. 24, p. 75 .
    1 It must be these weights that make Gibson's figures as recomputed from the pubilished findex number for Table 18 differ from the saries made from Gibson's present list and presented in Table 15 . The latter figures are unwelghted arithmedo means of the relative prices prepared by the Buramu of Labrt Statistioe for the 29 articles which Gibeco now insludes.

    - Compare pp. 61, 69, and 72, note S.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ Erndstreet's now publishes quatations of 100 commodities, basee its tndex number on quotations of 96, and does not tell which 10 are omitted. Its prices per pound, published for only a short while in 1897, fnciude 88 articles, among tham rubber and quicksilver, which are known to have been dropped from the index number at a later date. Accordingiy the quotations for the rematning 98 articles have been socepted as the basis of this analysks. Thelr prices per pound sum up to $\$ 8.0154$, whereac Bradstreet's revised Index number for thits date is $\mathbf{5 8 . 0 4 6 0 - a}$ difference of ebout 2 par cant.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pertentage of the total welghts an Apr. 1, 1s97, not of the number of commodities included.

[^49]:    1 Foods are here taken in the rather liberal sanse fimplied by the present Gibson and the Amalist fadex numbers. Hence the number of foods credited to the Bureau of Labor Statistlos is greater than the number of artieles which it $\mathbf{5 0}$ classifies in its own thdax number.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Ses}$ pp. 68-70.

[^50]:    1 The influance of the food factor can be traced in the detalled differences among the series as well as in the average differences. For instance, it is the peculiar harvest condicions of 1891, 1893, 1001, and 1908 that force the food index numbers up when the migcellaneous-1lst serfes fall because of business depreselon; and it is harvest conditions of an opposite sort that check the rise of the food index nombers in 1905 and 1010 when thecuiscelianeous-ist saries respond buoyantly to the increasing activity of trade. In all of these cases Dun's index number, and in leas measure that made from Gibson's original list, move in partial sympathy with the food serles. Again, the food index numbers change more from ane year to the naxt than thoother series, because raw materlals in general and farm crops and antmal products in particular are more variable in thatr prices than mazutactured goods and raw mineral and forest products. In addltion, their high percentages of ras materials and especially of agricultural products account also for the relatively high levels upon whioh the food index numbers fuetuate in the later years covered by the tables; for it has been found that these classes of commodities have risen more in price since 1800-1890 than those with which they are contrasted. (Soe Tables 9 and 10.)

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is interestiog to compare these differences with those which separate the index numbers worked out above for diflerent parts of the system of prices.

    |  |
    | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^52]:    1 Compare p. 72, note.

    - See the list of commodities used in this index number, p. 47, footnote.

    3 Highteanth Annual Report of the Commissioner of Labor, 1903, p. 68. The date represeated 25,440 farullice and 124,108 persons, both natives and immigrants.

[^53]:    : For a description of American business oonditions in this period, see W. C. Mitchell, Business Cycles, Chapter III (Summary, p. 88).
    ${ }^{2}$ Based on Bradstreet's original Agures for 1890 and 1891, figures which are not used in the index number as currently published.

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bulletin of the Department of Labor, No. 27.

    - Report from the Committee on Pinanee of the United States Senate on Wholesele Prices, Wages, and Transportation. Mar. 3, 18ss. E2d Congress, 2 d session, Report No. 1894.
    $\cdot$ Bulletn of the Depertanent of Labor, No. 88, March, 1902.

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bulletin of the Uniled States Burean of Labor Statistics, No. 149, p. 29.

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ Report from the Committee on Ftasnce of the United States Senate an Wholesalo Prices, Wagee and Transportation, Mer. 3, 1583. E2d Congress, 2d sesslon, Report No. 1394.
    : Univeralty of California Publications in Economion, vol. 1, Mar. 7f, 1808.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ Report from the Committee on Finance of the United States Senate on Wholesale Prices, Wages, and Transporlation, Pt. I, p. 2 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Idem, p. 28 .

    $$
    94261^{\circ}-\text { Bull. } 173-15-9
    $$

[^58]:    1 Report trom the Committes on Finance of the United States Senate on Wholesale Prloes, Wages, and Transportatian, PL. I, Pp. 228, 227, and 258.
    s Idem, PL. I. p. 0.

[^59]:    I Report from the Committee on Finsuce of the United States Eariate on Whalesale Prices, Wages, and Transportation, Pt. I, p. 10.

[^60]:    1 Not an index in the true sense of the word, being rathgr a number representing the aggregate of per pound prices of oertain salected commodities.
    ${ }^{3}$ Bradstreat's, Saturday, Eeptn 21, 1505, p. 501

[^61]:    1 Not an index in the true sense of the word, but a statement in dollars and cents of the per capite cost of a year's supply of certain commodities at each date named.

[^62]:    I See also article by Prof. Norton in Quarterly Joprasi of Eeonomites, August, 1910, pp. 750-750. Published by Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass.
    I It is stated, however, in the Quarterly Journal of Economics, A ugust, 1910, p. 758 (footnote) that "8tatisties collected from trade joumals were used from Jambary, 1009, to compute relative prices."

[^63]:    1 Sonuce of this expert opinion not stated.

[^64]:    1 When "trade journals" is mentioned it slgnifles the Journal of Commerce, 1861, 1866, 1871, 1872, and 1888 to 1012, and tho Australagian Trads Rovlow, 1871 to 1882, and occaslomelly to 1802

[^65]:    1 When "trads fournals" ja mentioned it signifles the Journal of Commerce, 1861, 1806, 1871, 1872, and

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ When "trade journals" is mentioned it signiffes the Journal of Commerce, 1881, 1886, 1871, 1872, and 1883 to 1912, and the Australasian Trade Review, 1871 to 1882 , and occastonally to 1892
    8 Gippaland Mercury, 1890 to 1892; Melbourne papars, 1803 to 1912.

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr. von Jankovich was in 1911 professar of the theory of finance and eredit in the Universtty of Budapeat (according to the Minerva Yearbook of the Learned World) and vice president of the Huagarian Chambar of Deputles.

