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10 the beloved and deplored memory of ner who was the inepurer, and in part the author, of all that is best in my writings - the friend and wife whose exalted sense of truth and right was my strongest incitement, and whose approbation was my chief reward-I dedicate this volume. Like all that I havo mitten for many years, it belongs as much to her as to me; but the work as it stands has had, in a very insufficient degree, the inestimable nlvantage of her revision; some of the most important portious having been reserved for a more careful reexamination, which they are now never destined to receive. Were I but capable of interpreting to the morld oue balf the great thunghts and nobie feelings which are buricil in het grape, I should be the medium of a gri...or senefi to it, ihan is ever likely to arise from anything that I can wiite, unprompter and unassisted by her all but unrivalled wisdom.
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Tyr subject of this Essay is not the cocalled Liverty of the Will, so unfortunately opposed to the niisnamed dootrine of Philosophical Necessity; but Civil, or Social Liberty: the natare and limite of the power which can be logitimntely exercised by society over the individual. A question seldom stated, and hardly ever discussed, in general terms, but which protoundly influences the practical controversies of the age by its latent presence, and is litely soon to make itself recognised as the vital question of the future. It in so far from being new, that, in a pertain sense, it has divided mankind, alinost from the remotest agen; but in the stage of progress into which the more oivilized portions of the specios have now entered, it presents itself under new condition, and requires a differont and nore furdamental treatment.
The struggle between Liberty and Anthority in the most conspicuous feature in the portione of history with which we are earliest familiar, particularly in that of Greece, Ronie, and England. But in old timen this conteat was between subjects, or come claseos of subjects, and the Government. By liberts, whe meant protec tion against the tyranny of the political rulera. The rulera were concoived (except in some of the popular governmenta of Greece) as in a necessarily antagonistic poeition to the people whom they ruled. They conaisted of a goversing One, or a governing tribe or caste, who dorived their anthority from inheritance or conquest, who, at all evente, did not hold it at the uleasure of the govorned, and whose
nupremoncy men did not ventare, per haps did not desire, to contest, what ever precautions might be taken against its oppressive exercise. Their power was regarded as neceesary, but alse as higluy daugeroun ; as a weapon which they would attempt to use against their subjoots, no less than ayainst external enemies. To prevent the weaker membera of the community from being preyod upon by innumerable rultures, it was needful that there ahould be an animal of prey stronger than the rest, commisaioned to keep them down. But as the king of the rultures would be no less bent upon preying on the flock than any of the minor barpies, it mas indispensable to be in a perpetual attitude of defence againat his beak and claws. The aim, therefore, of patriots was to set limitsts to the porer which the ruler should be suffered to exercise over the community; and this limitation was what they mean: by liverty. It was atteropted in two wayg. first, by obtuining a recognition of certain immunities, called political liberties or rights, which it was to be regarded as a breach of duty in the ruler to intringe, aud which if ho did infringe, oppec:Ac resistance, or goneral rebellion, was held to be juatilable. A tecond, and generally a later expedient, was the establibhment of constitutional cheoks, by which tbe consent of the community, or of a body of some nort, supposed to represent ita interesta, was made a necessary coudition to some of the more important acts of the governing power. To the first of these moden of limitation, tho ruling power, in most European couls.
tries, was compolled, more or less, wo sobmit. It was not so with the second; and, to attain this, or when already in some degree possessed, to attain it more completely, became everywhere the principal object of the lovers of liberty. And so fong as mankind were content to combat one enemy by another, and to be ruled by a master, on condition of bcing guaranteod more or less efficacionsly againet his tyranny, they did not carry their aspirations berond this point.

A time, however, came, in the progress of luman affuirs, when men ceased to think it a necessity of nature that their governora should be an inlependent power, opposed in intercst to themselves. It appearod to them mach better that the various magistrates of the State should be their teusnta or delcgates, revocable at their pleasure. In that way alone, it seemed, could they have complete security that the powers of goverumient would never be abused to their dissdyantage. By degrees this new demend for elcctive and temporary rulers became the prominent object of the exertions of the popular party, whorever any such party existed; and superseded, to s comsiderable extent, the previous efforts to limit the power of rulers. As the atruggle proceeded for making the ruling power emanate from the periodical choice of the ruled, sonve persons began to think that too much importince had been attached to the limitation of the power itself. That (it might seem) was a resource against sulere whose interests were habitually opposed to those of the people. What whas now wanted was, that the rulers should be identified with the people; that their intorent and will ghould be the ioterest and will of the nation. The nation did not need to be profected against ity own will. There was no fear of jta tyrannizing over itself. Let the rolers be effectually responsible to it, promptly removable bs it, and i: could afford to trast them with power of which it could itself dictate the uso to be mede. Their power was but the neticu's own power, concentrated, and In a forp cupveniont for exercise.

This mode of thought, or rather por haps of feeling, was conmon amon: the last gencration of Europeal libaralism, in the Continental section of which it itill apparently predomi natos. Those who admit any limit tc What a governmont may do, oxcept in the case of such governments as they think ought not to exist, stond out ae brilliant exceptions among the political thinkers of the Continent asimilay tone of sentiment might by this time have been prevalent in our own conntry, if the circumstances which for s time encouraged it, hed continued unaltered.
4 Bat, in political and philosophical theories, as well as in persons, sucecst discluses faults and infirmitice which failure might have concealed from obsorvation. The notion, that the people have no need to lindt their power over themselves, might seem axiomatic, when populer goverament was a thing only dreamed about, or read of as baving existed at bome listant period of the past. Neither was that notion wecessarily disturbed by sucb temporary aberrations as those of the French Revolution, the worst of which were the work of an usurping few, and which, in any case, belonged, not to the permanent working of popular inatitulions, but to a gudden and convulsive outbreak againgt monarchical and aristocratio despotism. In time, however, a democratic republic came to occupy a largo portion of the earth's surface, and made itself felt at one of the most powerful members of the community of uatious; and elective and responsible government became aubject to the olvervations and criticisms which wait upon a grest existing fact. It was dow perceired that such phrases as 'self-govarnment,' and 'tho power of the people over themselves, do not express the true state of the case. The 'people' who exerciee the power are not always the same people witk those over whom it is exercised; and the 'self-government' spoken of is not the goverment of each by himself, but of each by all the reat. The will of the people, moreover, practically means the will of the moat numerous
ar the nost active part of the people: the majority, or those who succeed in making themselves accepted as the majority; the people, sonsequently, macy desire to oppress a part of their number; and precautions are as much needed againat this as against sny ather abuse of power. The limitation, therutore, of the power of government over individuals losen none of its im. Iportance when the holdera of power (are regularly accountable to the commonity, that is, to the strongent party therein. 7his yisw of things, recom monding itself equally to the intell:gence of thinkers and to the inclination of those important classes in Europeun tociety to whose real or supposed in. tereste democracy is adverse, has had no difficulty in establishing itself; and in political speculations 'the trranay of the majority' is now generally included araong the evils against which mociaty requires to be on irs guard.
$T$ Like other tgrapuies, het igranny of the majority was at first, aud is otill rulyarly, held in dread, chiebly ao operating turougl the acts of the public mathorities. But reflecting peraons perce'.red that when society in itwelf the tyraat--mociety collectively, ovar the separate individuals who compone it-ile means of tyrannizing are not rearinutied in the acts which it may do by the hands of its political fuinctionaries. Socicty can and does exocute its owi mandaties: and if it issues wroug mandutes instead of right, or any mandates at all in thiags with which it ought not to meddle, it preorised a social tyranoy more formidable than many kinds of political oppres. cion, since, though not usuall upheid by such extrime penalties, it leave日 fower means of excape, penetrating much more deeply into the details of fife, and enslaving the sovil itself. Prom teciion, therefore, againgt the tyranay of the magistrate is not enough: there poels protection aleo againat the typanugh the prevailing opinion and feer ling; against the tendency of aciety to mopuma, by other means than civil penal ties, its omn idens and practices as rales of conduct on those who dissent from theme ; to fetter the development. and, if
poosible, prevent the formation, of any undividualits not in harmony whth eto waye, and compels all characters"ta 2 . shion themselves upon the model of fit own. There is a limit to the legitimate interference of collective opinion with individual independence: and to find. that limit, and maintain it egainet ent croachnent, is as iudispensable to a good condition of human atfairs as pro teotion agaiust politioal deapotism.
6- But though this proponition is not iikely to be contented in general terms, the practicul question, where to place the limit - how to make the fifting adjustmant between individual indo. pendence and social control-ia a sub. jeot on which nearly everything romaine to be done. All that maken existence valualle to any one, depende on the enforceraent of restraint mpon the actions of other peopia. Sama rules of couduct, therefore, moat be im. posed, by law in the first place, and by opinion on many thinge which are not fit sabjects for the oppration of law. What these rules should be, is the prifucipal question in human afiairs ; but it We exoept a few of the most obvious cases, it is one of those which leasi progress has been made in resolving: No two ages, and sonrcely any twu coputries have decided it alike; and the decision of ane age or comentry is a wonder to another. Itt the people o any giren age and country no more suspect any difficulty in it, than is it wete a sat =eti on which mankind hat always beco ayreed. The rules whici pbrain among themselves appear to them selfevident and eld-jusidiying. 'hinis all but universal illusion is one of the examples of the magical infiuence of custom, which is not only, as the proverb saya, a qecond nature, but is continuelly mistaken for the Arat. The effect of custom, in preventing any miagiving reapecting the reles of ocis. duct which mankind inpose on one another, is all the more complete because the sukject in one on whioh it is nut genorally congidered necossary that rebsons siould be given, either by one person to othera, or by each to himself. People are accustomed to belisve, and have beon encouraged in the belief liv
come who aspire to the character of philosophors, that their feelings, on subjects of this nature, are better than reasons, and render reasons onnccesaary. The practical principle which guides them to their opinions on the regulation of haman condact, is the feeling in each peraon's mind that overybody should be required to act as he, and those with whom he sympathizes, would like them to act. No ond, indeed, acknowledges to himself that his standard of judgnent is his own liking; but an opinion on a point of conduct, not supported by reasons, can only count as one person's preference ; and if the reasons, when given, are a mere appeal to a similar preforence felt by other people, it is still only many people's liking instead of one. 'To an ordinary man, however, bis own proference, thus aupported, is not only a perfectly satiafactory reason, bat the only one ho generelly has for any of his motions of morality, taste, or propriaty, which are not expressly written in his religious creed; and bis chiof guide in the interpretation even of that. Men's opinions, accordiugly, on what is laudable or blanenblo, are afficted by all the multifarious oauses mhich influence their wishes in regard to the conduct (f.otbers, and which are as numerous 2a those which determine their wishes on any other mubject. Sometimes their reason-at othor times their prejudices or superstitions: often their social affoctions, not seldom their antisocial ones, their envy or jealousy, thoir arrogance or contomptuoumess: but most comraonly, thoir desires or feara for themselves-their legitinate or illegitimate self-interest. Wherover there is $3 n$ ascondant class, a large portion of the morality of the country emanates from its class interests, and its feelings of clase snperiority. The morality between Spartans and Helots, between

- planters and negroes, between princes and subjects, between nobles and roturiers, between men and women, hat been for the most part the creation of these class interests and feelings: and the centimente thus generated, react in surn upno the moral feelings of the membort of the ascendant claes, in thrir rela-
tions among themselves. Where, on the other band, a class, formerly ascendant ${ }_{\text {r }}$ has lost its ascerdancy, or where it ascendancy is unpopuiar, the prevailing moral sentiments froquently bear the impress of an impationt dislike of su. periority. Another grand determining principle of the rules of conduct, botb in act and forbearance, which have been enforced by law or opinion, has been the servility of mankind towards the supposed preferences or aversiona of their temporal masters or of their gods. This servility, though essentisily selfish, is not hypocrisy; it gives rise to perfectly genuine sentiments of abhorrence ; it made mon burn magicians and heretics. Among so many baser influonces, the general and obrious interests of eociety have of course had a share, and a large one, in the direction. of the moral sentiments: less, however, as a matter of reason, and on their own account, than as a consequence of the sympathien and antipathies which grew out of them: and sympathies and antipathies which had little or nothing to do with the interests of society, have made themselves felt in the estnllighmeat of moralities with quite as great force. 7. The likings and dislikings of anciety, or of some powerful portion of it, are thus the nain thing which lias practically determined the rules laid down for general observance, under the penalties of law or opinion. And in genem , those who have been in advance of society in thouglat and feeling, have left this condition of things uuassailed in principle, however they may have come into conflict with it in some of its details. They have occupied themsolycs rather in inquiring what things society ought to like or dislike, than in questioning whether its likinge or dislikiagn should be a law to individuals. They preferred ondeavouring to alter the feelings of mankind on the particular points on which they were themselves hergatical, rather than make 00 m mon cause in defence of freedom, with heretica generally. The only case in which tho bigher ground has been taken on principle and maintrined with consistenoy, by any but an individual here and there, is that of religions be
fief: a case instructive in many waya, and not least so as forming a most striting instance of the fallibility of what is called the moral sense: for the odium theologicum, in a.sincere bigot, is one of the most unequirocal cnses of moral feeling. Those who first broke the yoke of what called itself the Unisersal Charch, were in general as little willing to permit difference of religious opinion as that church iteelf. But when the heat of the conflict was over, wilhout giving a complete victory to any party, and each church or sect was redoced to limit its hopes to retaining possession of the ground it already occupied; minorities, seeing that they had no chance of becoming majorities, were under the necensity of pleading to those whom they conld not convert, for pormisaion to differ. It is accordinsty on this hattle fieid, almost solely, that the righia of the individual against society bave been asserted on broad grouddy of principle, and the clain of eociety te exercise nathority over dissertieuth, openly controverted. The great writers to whom the world owes what relipious liberty it possesses, have mosth asserted freedom of conscience as un indefeasible right, and denied sbsolutcly that a human boing is aocmantable to others for his religious belief. Yat so nataral to mankind is intolerance in whatever they renlly care about, that religious frectom has hardly anywhere been practically realized, except where religious inditiorence, which dislikes to bave its peace disturbed by theological quarrels, has added ite weight to the scele. In the minda of alnoat all religious persons, even in the most tolernant countries, the duty of toleration is admitted with tacit reserves. One person will bear with discent in matters of church goverament, but not of dogma; anether enn tolorate everybody, ehont of a Yapist or an Unitarian; another, every one Who believes in revenled religion: a few oxtend their obanity a little further, but atop at the belief in a God and in a future state. Wherever the sontiment of the majority is atill genuine and intanse, it is found to have abated litue of its claim to be oboyed.

8. In England, from the peculiar citcumstances of nur political history, though the yoke of opinion is perbar" heavier, that of faw is lighter, than in most oither countries of Earope; and there is considerable jealousy of direct interference, by the legislative or the executive power, with private conduct; not so much from any just regard for the independence of the individual, as from the etill eubsisting habit of looka ing on the government as representing an opposite interest to the public. The majority hare not yet learnt to feel the power of the government their power, or ita opinions their opinions. When they do so, individual liberty will probably be as much exposed to invasion from the governinent, as if already is from public opinion. Bu', as yet, there is a considerable amount of feeling ready to be called forth agains: any attempt of the law to control iucividuals in thinge in which they lave not hitherto been accustomed to be controlled by it; and this with very little discrimination as to whether the matter is, or is not, within the legitimate sphere of legal control; insomuch that the feeling, highly salutary on the whole, is perhaps quite as ofen misplaced as well grou: ided in the particular instances of its application. There is, in fact. no recoguised principie by wuich the prupriety or impropricty oi govemnent interference is customarily tested. Peorle decide acconling to their personal proferences. Sorre, whenever they see any good t: be done, or evil to be remedied, nould willingly instigate the gevernment to undertake the business; while others prefer to bear almost any anount of social evil, rather than add ene to the departmente of hunian interesta amen. able to goveramiental control. And men range themselves on one or the other side in any partioular case, ac corving to this general direction $\alpha$ their sentiments; or according to the degree of interest which they feal in the particular thing which it is pro posed that the governuent should da, or accurding to the beliet they enter tain that the govermuert weuld, or i would not, do it in tive manner thea
©
INTRODUCTORT
preler; but very rarely on account of any opinion to which they consistently adhere, as to what things are fit to bo sone by a government. Aud it seems to me that in consequence of this absence of rule or principle, oneside is at present as often wrong as the other; the interference of government is, with about equal frequency, impoperly invoked and improperly condemned.
9. The object of this Essay is to assert one very simple principle, as entitled 10 govern absolutely the dealings of society with the individual in the way of compulsion and control, whether the means used be physical force in the frim of legal penalties, or the moral coercion of public opinion. That principle is, that the Bole ond for which niankind are warranted, individually or collectively, in interfering with the liberty of action of any of their nomber, is aelf-protection, That the only parpose for which power can be rightfully exercised over any member of a civilized community, against his will, is to prevent harm to otbers. Hie own good, eithor physical or moral, is not a anfficient warrant. He cannet rightfully be compelled to do or forbear because it will be better for him to do so, because it will make him happier, because, in the opinions of others, to do eop would be wise, or even right. These nie good reasons for remonstrating with him, or reasoning with him, or porsuading him, or entreating him, but not for compelling him, or visiting him with any evil in case he do otherwise. To justify that, the conduct from which it is desired to deter himp must be calcuJated to produce evil to some one else. The only part of the conduct of any nue, for which he is amenable to society, is that which concerne others. In the part which merely concerna bimeelf, his independence is, of right, absolute. Over bimself, over his own body and mind, the individual it sovereign.
<o. It is, perhaps, hardly necessery to say that shis doctrine is mennt to apply only to human boinge in the maturity of their faculties. We are not speaking of children, or of young persons bolow the agy which the low may fix
as that of mavhood or womanhood. These who are still in a state to require being taken care of by others, must be protected against their owd actions as well as agnipst externalinjury. For the same reason, we may leave out of consideration those backward stater of society in which the race itself may be considered as in itt nonage. The early difficulties in the way of eponts. neous progress are so great, that there is eeldom any choice of means for overcoming them; and a ruler full of the spirit of improvement is warrantéd its the use of any expedients that will attain an ond, perhaps otberwise unattainable. Dcepotism is a legitimate mode of government in dealing with barbarians, provided the and be their improvement, and the means justified by actually effecting that end. Liberty, as a principle, has no application to any atate of things anterior to than cime when mankind have become capable of being improved by free and equal diycussion. Until then, there is nothing for them but implicit obedience to an Akbar or © Charlemagne, if they are so fortunate as to find one. But as s00D as mankind have attained the capacity of being guided to their own improvement by conviotion or parmasion (a period long since rascbed in all nations with whom we need here enneern ourselves), compulsion, either in tho direct formo or in that of paing and penalties for non-compliance, is no longer admissible as a meana to their own good, and juetifiable anly for the securty of others.
II. It is proper to state that I forege any adrantage which conid be derived to my argument from the idea of abstract right, at a thing indepondent of utility. I regard utility as the ultimate appeal on all ethical questions; but it mast be utility in the largest sense, grounded on the parmanent intereats of a man as a progressive beiog. Those interests, 1 contend authorize the aubjection of individual spontaneity to external control, only io respect to those setions of each, which concern the interest of other people. If any one does an mot hartful to others, there is a prima facia oase for
pulisbing him, by law, or, where legal penalties aro not safely applicable, by general disapprobation. There are also many positive acts for the benefit - fothers, which he may rightfully lo compelled to perform; auch os to give eridence in a court of justice; to bear his fair share in the common defence, or in any other joint work necessary to the interest of the society of which he enjoys the protection; and to perform certain mote of individnal beneficence, such as asving a fellow-creature's life, orinterposing to protect the defenceless againet 'ill-nsage, thinge which whenever it is obviously a man's duty to do, he may rightfully be made reeponsible to acciety for not doing. A person may canse evil to others not only by his actions but by bis inaction, and in either case he is justly accountable to them for the injury. The latter case, it is true, requires a much nore cautione exercise of compulsinn than the former. To make any one nnswerable for doing evil to others, is the rule; to mates him answerable for not preventing evil, is, comparatively speaking, the excoption. Yet there are many cases olear enough and grave enough to justify that exception. In all thinge which regard the external relations of the individual, he is de jure amenable to those whose interests are concerned, and if need be, to society as their protector. There are often good reasons for not holding him to the responsibility; but these reasona munt arise from the special expediencies of the case: either because it ia a kind of case in which he is on the whole likely to act better, when left to his own discretion, than when controlled in any way in which socijety have it in their power to control him; or because the attempt to exercise control woald produce other evils, greater ihan those which it would prevent. When such reasons as these preclode the enforcement of responsibility, the conscience of the agent himsolf should step into the vacant julgment seat, and protect thowe intoreste of others which have no external proteotion; judging bimself all the where rigidly, becanse the case does
not admit of his being made accointable to the judgment of bis fellowcreatures.
10. But there is a sphere of action in Which society, as distinguished from the individual, has, if any, only an indirect interest ; comprehending all that portion of a person's life and conduct which affecte only himself, or if it nlso affects others, only with their froe, voluntary, and undeceived consent and participation. When I say only himgelf, I mean directly, and in the first instance: for whatever affects himself,* may affect others through himself; and the objection which may bo grounded on thil contingency, will receive consideration in the sequel. This ${ }_{j}$ then, is the appropriate region of haman liberiy. It comprises, first, the inward domain of consciousness; demanding liberty of conscience, in the most comprehensive sense; liberty of thought end feeling; absolute freedom of opinion and sentiment on all subjects, practical or speculative, scientifio, meral, or theological. The liberty of expressing and publishing opinions may seem to fall under a different principlo, since it belonge to that part of the conduct of an individual which concerna other people; but, being almost of as much importance as the liberty $d$ thought itgelf, and resting in greal part on the eame reasons, is practically inseparable from it. Secondly, the priaciple requires liberts of tastes and pursuits; of framing the plan of our* fife to suit our own character; of doing as we like, subject to such consequences as may follow: without impediment from our fellow-creatures, so long as what wo do does not harm them, even though they should think our condact foolisle, perverse, or wrong. Thirdly, from this fiverty of each individual, follows the liberty, within the amme limits, of conbination among individusla; freedom to anite, for any purpose not involving harm to others: the persons combining being supposed to be of full age, and not furced or deceived.
11. No society in which these libertien nre not, on the whole, respected, is free, Whatever may be its form of govern ment; and none is completely free in
which they do not exist absolute and nnqualified. The only freedom which deserves the name, is that of pursaing our own good in our own way, 60 long as we do not attempt to deprive others of theirs, or impede their efforts to obtnin it. Each is the proper guavdian of his own health, whether bodily, or mental and apiritual. Mankind aro greater gainers by affering each other to live as seems good to themselves, than by compelling each to live as seems good to the rest.
64-Though this doctrine is anything but new, and, to some persons, may bave the air of a truiam, there is no doctrine which atands more directly opposed to the general tendency of existing opinion and practice. Sooiety has expended fully as much effort in the attempt (aco sording to its lights) to compel people to conform to ite notions of personal, us of sovial excellence. The ancient commonwealthe thooght themselves entitled to practise, and the avciont philosophers countenanced, the regulation of every part of private condact by public authority, on the ground that the State had a deep interest in the whole bodily and mental discipline of every one of its citizens; a mode of thinking which msy have been admissible in small repubiics aurrounded by powerfol enemies, in constant peril of being gubverted by foreigu attack or internal commotion, and to which even a short interval of relazed energy and self-command might to easily be fatal, that they could not afford to wait for the salutary permanent effects of froeLiom. In the modern world, the greater size of political communities, and above sil, the separation between spiritual and temporad authority (which placed the divection of men's consciences in other hands than those which controlled their worldly affairs), prevented 60 great an interference by low in the detaile of private life; but the engince of moral repression have been wielded more strenuously againat divergence from the reiguing opinion in selfregarding, than even in social mattera; religion, the most powerial of the elomenta which have entered into the formalion of moral feeling, having al.
most alrasy" been governed aither by the ambition of a bierarchy, seeking control over every department of human conduct, or by the spirit of Pari tanimin. And come of those modern reformers who have placed themselves in strongeat opposition to the religions of the past, have been noway behind either oharchen or secte in their asser. tion of the right of epiritual dominetion: M. Comte, in partienlar, whose mocial system, as unfolded in his Systams de Politique Poritive, aims at establish. ing (though by moral more than by legal appliances) a despotism of eociety over the individual, surpassing enything contemplated in the political ideal of the most rigid disciplinarian among the ancient philosophers.
12. Apart from the peculiar tenets of individual thinkers, there it also in the world at large an increasing inclination to stretch unduly the powers of society over the individual, both by the force of opinion and even by that of legiolation; and as the tendency of all the changes taking place in the world is to strengthen society, and diminish the power of the individual, this encrosch: ment is not one of the evils which tond spontaueously to disappear, but, on the contrary, to grow more and more formidable. The dieposition of mankind, whether as rulers or as fallowcitizens, to impose their own opinions and inclinations as a rule of conduct on others, is to energetically supported by some of the best and by some of the worst feelings incident to homan netore, that it is hardly ever kept under restreint by anything but want of power; and as the power is not doclining, but growing, unless a strong barrier of moral conviction can be raised sgainst the mischief, we mnst expect, in the present circamstances of the world, to see it increaso.
/6It will be convenient for the argument, if, inatead of at once entering upon the general thesis, we confine oursolves in the firat instance to a cioglo branch of it, on which the prisciple here stated is, if not fully, yet to a comtain point, recognised by the ourrent opinions. Tbis one branch is the Liberty of Thought: from which it is
(mpossible to separate the cognate liberty of apeaking and of writing. Although these libertien to come considerable amount, form part of the political morality of all countries which profesa religious toleration and free institations, the grounde, both philosophical and practical, on which they rest, are perhape not so familiar to the general mind, nor so thoroughly apprecisted by many even of the leaders of opinion, as might have been expected.

Those groands, when rightly anden stood, are of mach wider application than to only one division of the aub. ${ }^{3}$ ject, and a thorough consideration of this part of the question will be found the best introduction to the remainder. Those to whom nothing which I am about to say will be now, may therefore, I hope, excuse me, if on a subject which for now three centuries has been so often discussed, I venture on one discussion more.

## CHAPTER II.

## 

Tre time, it is to be hoped, is gone by, when any defence would be necessary of the 'liberty of the press' as one of the eecurities against corropt or tyrannioal government. No argument, we may suppose, can now be needed, againat permitting a legislature or an executive, not identified in interest with the people, to prescribe opinions to them, and determine what dectriues or what argumenta they shall be allowed to hear. This uspect of the question, besidea, han been so often and so triumphantly enferced by preceding writera, that it neede not be specially innisted on in this place. Though the law of England, on the subject of the press, is as servile to this day an it was in the time of the Tudors, there it little danger of its being actually put in force againat political discusaion, except during somo temporary panio, when fear of insurrection driven ministers and judgen from their propristy ${ }^{\text {t/ }}$ and,

- Thesi worde had mearooly been written, when, in if to give them an emphatio contradiction, occurred the Government Press Prosecotions of 1859 . That H1-judged Inter. formee with the liberty of public discusidon bas not, howeres, thduced me to alter a dingle word in the text, nor has it at all weakened my conriction that, moments of peale excopted, the era of palns and penaltios Cor political diactusion has, in our own couniry, pewed nway. For, in the frat place, the prosecutions were not persisted $w_{1}$ and, in the secoed, thay were nores,
speaking generally, it is not, in constitutional countries, to be apprehended, that the government, whether completeiy responaible to the people or not, will often ettempt to control the expression of opinion, except when in doing so it makea itself the organ of
properly speaking, poilitical proseoutiona. The offence charged whe not that of criticis: ling institutiong or the sets or persons of rulers, but of circulatiog that wis deemed an immoral doetrine, the lawfulness of Ty rannicide.
If the argutuents of the present chapter are of ens vallidity, there ought to exist the fullest uberty of profesing and discussingi as a matter of othical conviction, any doctrine, howerer tmmoral it may be considered. It mould, therefore, be Irrelevant and out of place to exanine here, whether the doctrine of Tyranicide dearrea that tile. I thall contont mysalf with maylng that the subject has been at all times one of the open questlons of morals; that the act of a pryate citizen in striking down a criminal, who, by rulsing himself abore the low, his placed himeelf beyond the ratch of lagal punishment or control, bes been accounted by wholo mations, and by some of the beat and wiseat of neen, not a crime, but an act of exalted virtua; and that, right or wrong, it is not of the nature of assassination, bat of divil war. As such, 1 hold that tha lastigation to is in a specific case, may be a pruper subject of pun/sbment, but only if an urert aet hat followed, and at heast a probable connexion can be established between the ant and the instigation. Even ther, it is net a ioreign greernment, but the very government asfiled, which alone, In the exarcite of selfdefercee can legitimately puuish atiacka directed against ita own existence.


## 10 OF THE LIBERTY OF THOOGHT AND DISCUSSION.

the general intolerapce of the public. let us suppose, therefore, that the government is entirely at one with the people, and never thinks of exerting any power of coercion umless in agreewent with what it conceives to be their voice. But I deny the right of the people to exercise suoh coercion, either by themselves or by their goverament. The power itself is illegitimate. The best government has no more title to it than the worst. It is as noxions, or mare noxious, when exertod in accordance with public opinion, than when in opposition to it. If all mankind minua one, were of one opinion, and ouly one person were of the contrary opinion, mankind would be no more justificd in silencing that one person. than he, if he had the porer, would be juatified in silencing mankind. Were an opiaion a personal possession of no value except to the owner; if to be obstructed in the enjoyment of it were simply a private injury, it would make sonie difference whether the injury was inficted only on a few persons or on many. But the peculiar evil of silencing the expression of an opinion is, that it is robbing the human race; pnsterity as well as the exieting genoration; those who dissent from the opinion, still more than those who hold it. If the opinion is right, they are
deprived of the opportunity of exchanging error for trath: if wrong, they lose, what is almost as grent a hencib, the clearer porception and livelier isipres. sion of truth, produced by its collisicu with error.
2. It is necessary to consider soparately these two hypothesen, each of which has a distinct branch of the argument corresponding to it. We can never bo sure that tho opinion wo are endicanuring to stifle is a false opinion; and if we were sure, stiling it would be an evil atill

First: the opinion which it is at1 (apired to suppress by authority may poseibly le true. Those who desire to sappress it, of coarse dony its truth; bit they are not infallible. They have nu nuthority to decide the question for all mankind, and nxclude every other
person from the means of judging. To refuse a hearing to an opinion, becauco they are sure that $i$ itis false, is to assume that their certainty is the asme thing as absolute certainty. All silencing of discubsion is an assumption of intallibility. Ite condemnation may be at lowed to rest on this common argoment, not the worse for being common. 4. Unfortunately for the good sonse of mankind, the fact of their fallibility is far from carrying the waight in their practical judguent, which is alwaya allowed to it in theory; for while every one well knowe himself to be fallible, fow think it neceessary to take any procautions against their own fallibibity, or admit the supposition that any opinion, of which they feel very certain, may be one of the examples of the error to which they acknowfedge themselves to be liable. Absolute princea, or others who are accustomed to untimited deferenoe, usallly feel this complete confidence in their onn opiniona on nearly all subjects. People more happily wituated, who sometimes hear their opiaions disputed, and are not wholly unused to be set right when they are wrong, place the same unbounded reliance only on such of their opinions as are shared by all who surround them, or to whon they habitually defer: for in proportion to a man's want of confidence in lit ofve solitary judgmest, does he usually repose, with implicit trust, on the iofalibility of 'the world ' in general. And the world, to each individual, means the part of it with which te comes' in contact ; his party, his sect, his chureh, bis class of society: the man may be called, by conininisob, alasost likeral and largeminded to whom it means anything no comprelensive as his own country or Lis own ase: Nor is his faith in this collectiv: subhority at all shaken by his being aware that other ages, countries, sects, churchas, classes, and parties bave thougbt, and even now think, the exact reverse. He devolves upon his own world the reuponsilibity of boing in the right against the dir. centient workds of other people ; and it nover tronblos him that mere accident han decided which of these numerour
wailds io the object of bis relinnce, and that the same causes which make him a Churchman in I.ondon, would have mado him a Buddhist or a Confuciati in Pekin. Yet it is as evident in itself, as any amonnt of argument can make it, that ages are no more infallible than individuals; every age having beld pany opinions which subsequent ages have deemed not only false but absurd; and it is as certnin that many opinions. now general, will be rejected by fature ages, as it is that many, once general, are rojected by the present.
5 The objection likely to be made to this argument, would probably tate some such form as the following. There is no greater assumption of infallibility in forbidding the propagation of error, than in any other thing which is done by public authority on its own judgment and responsilility. Judgmont is given to men that they may use it. Because it may be used erroneously, are men to be told that they ought not to use it at all? To prohilit what they think pornicious, is not claiming exemption from errer, but folfiling the duty incumbent on then, although fallible, of acting on their consciention conriction. If we were never to act on our opinions, becanse those opinions may be uTcrg, we should leave all our interests uncared fort and all our duties unperformed. An objection which applies to all conduct, can be no valid eljection to any conduct in particular. It is the tuty of governments, and of individuais, to form the truest opinions they can; to form them carefully, and never impose them upon othera unless they are quite sure of being right. Bat When they are sure (guch reasoners may sny), it is tou conscientionsness but cowardice to shrink from acting on their opiniene, and allow doctrines Which they honestly think dangerous to the melfare of niankind, either in this life or in another, to be scattered almoad without restraint, because other people, in leas enlightened times, hare persecuted opiniong now believed to be true. Let us take care, it may be unid, not to make the eame 'mistake : but governments and nationa have wade smistakee in other thiugs. which
are not denied to be fit subjeota for the exeroise of authority: they have laid on bad taxes, made anjust wars. Ought we therefore to lay on no taxes, and, under whatever provocation, make no waras Mon, ond governmenfs, must act to the best of their ability. There is no such thing as absolute certainty, bot there is assurance sufficient for the parposes of human life. Wo may, and must, aseume our opinion to be true for the gaidanos of our own conduct: and it is useuming no more when we forbid bad men to pervert society by the propagntion of opiniona which we regard as falae and pernicious.
6 I sniwer, that it is aseuming very much miore. There is the greateat difference between prosuming an opinion to be trae, because, with evory opportunity for contesting it, it has not been refuted, and assuming its trath for the parpose of not permitting its refatation. Complete liberty of contradicting and disproving our opinion, is the very condition which justifies us in assuming its truth for purposes of action; and on no other torms can a being with baman faculties bsve any rational assurance of being risht.
7 Wben we consider either the history of opinion, or the ordinary conduct ot homen life. to what is it to be ascribed. that the one and the other are no worse than thes arel Not certainly to the inherest force of the human under. staciding; for, on any matter not self. evident, there are ninety-nine persouls totally incapable of judging of it, for one who is capable ; and the capacity of the hundredth person is only com. parative ; for the majorits of the eninont men of every past generation held many opinione now known to be arroneous, nind did of approwed numerous thinge which no one will dow justify. Why is it, then, that there is on the whole a pryponderance among mankind of rational opinione and rational corrducti If thore really is this prepon-dernnce-which there must be inliese human affairs are, and bave alwaye been, in an almoat deaporate atato-it is owing to a quality of the humar mind the source of every thing reapect
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sble in man either as an intellectual or as a moral being, namely, that bis f errors are conigible. He is capable of (rectifying his mistakes, by discussion and experience. Not by experience alone. There must be discossion, to show how experience is to be interpreted. Wrong opinions and practices gradually yield to fact and argument: bat facts and arguments, to produce any effect on the mind, must be brought before it. Very few facta are able to tell their own story, without comments to bring out their meaning. The whole sirength and value, then, of buman juidgment, depending on the one property, that it can be set right when it is wrong, reliance can be placed on it only when the meaus of setting it right are kept constantly at thand. In the case of any person ? whose judgment is really desersing of cconfidence, how has it become so? Because be has kert his mind open to criticism of his opiaions and conduce. Becarse it has been his practice to listen to all that could be arid against him; to profit by as much of it as was just, and exponnd to himself, and apon occasion to others, the fallecy of what was fallacious. Becaose he has felt, that the only way in which a human being can make some approach to Enoring the whole of a subject, is by hearing what can be said about it by persons of every variety of opinion, and studying all modes in which it can be looked at by every character of mind. No wise man ever acquired his wisdum in any mode but this; nor is it in the aatore of buman intellect to become wise in any oticr manrer. The stenty babit of curresting anci coz pietiag his own epinioa by collating it with those of otbers. mo ter facm asusing doubt amd hesitaticu in carryizg it into practicu, is the ori! siald suritution for a just relianco on it : for, birlez cognisant of all that can. at least ouviously, be eaid ngatist him, and barine taken up bis position against all gainsayergdecowiset that he bas sought tor objeoticrs ind difficultos, instend of a coiding them, emt tas sh: ret no light which can te there roo the antject srom any quartar-be hes a right to
think his judgment better than that of auy person, or any multitude, whs have not gone throngh a similar process. It is not too much to require that what the wisest of mankind those who(A art best entitled to truet their own judgment, find necossary to warrant their relying on it, thould be oubmitted to by that miscellaneous collection of a fow wise and many foxlish individuale, called the puolic. The most jntolersnt of churches, the Koman Ca tholic Charch, even at the cadonization of a saint, admite, and listena patiently to, a devii's advocate? The boliest of men, it appears, cannot be admitted to posthumous hononra, until all that the devil could say againat him is known and reighed. If even the Newtonian pinilosophy were not permitted to we asestioned, mankind could net feel as $c$ iuplete assurance of its truth as they ucw do. 1op beliefs whil. wis jave muse wirant for, bive no sateguaru to test cr, $\bar{z}$ : a a standino invitatiots. to the whale "rorld to prove them ontucnded. I: :be challenge in not accepted, or is acce ${ }_{t}$ ied and the attempt tails. We ate far enough from certainty still; but we have done the best that the exislins state of buman reason admits of; we bave negiected nothing that could give the wuth a chance of rea hing us: if the lists are kept open, we may hupe that if there be a better truth, it wi:i te iccad when the human mind is aryabe of recriving it; and in the meanitice we may rely. on haring atuained suci epprosch to truth, as is possibie in cur Gwn day. This is the amozat of ceriainty attainable by a fallible being, and this the sole was of attaining it.
7 Strange it is, that men should admit the vaidity of the arguments for free discussion, but olject to their being 'pushed to an extreme;' not seeing that orless the reasons are good for an exireuse case, they are not good for any case. Sirasge that they should imagine that they are not assuming infallibility, when they acknowledgo that there should te free discossion on all subjecte which can possibly be doubtjul, but think that seme particular principle or dectrine sitould be
forbidden ta be questioned because it. is so certain, that is, because they are nertain that it is certain. To call any proposition oertain, while there is any one who would deny ite certainty if permitted, but who ia not pernitted, is to assume that we ourselves, and those who agree with us, are the jodges of certaiuty, and judges without hearing the other side.

* In the prosent age-which has been described as 'destitute of idith, bat tertified at ecepticism' - in which people feel sure, int so much that their opinions are true, as that they should not know what to do withont themthe claims of aza opirson to be protected from public attack are rested not so much on its truth, as on its importance to society. There are, it is alloggd, dertain beliefs, so nseful, not to aay indispensable to wel].being, that it is as moch the duty of goveraments to uphold those belieff, as to protect nuy other of tho interests of society. In a cose of such necesseity, and so directly in the line of their duty, something leas than infallibility may, it is maintained, warrant, and ever bind, governments. to act on their own opinion, confirmed by the general opinion of mankind. It is also often argued, and still oftener thought, that none but bad men would desire to weaken these salutary beliefs; and there ofin be nothing wrong, it is thought in restraining bad mon, and prolibiting what only such ment would wisl to practise. This niode of thinking napkes the juetfication of restraints on discussion not a question of the truth of doctrines, but of their nsefulness; and fatters itself by that meanas to excape the respransiblity of claiming to be an infallible judge of opinions. Bit. those. who thus satisfy themselves, do not perceive that the assumption of incallibility is mesely shifted froms one point to anocher. The usefulness of an opinion is itzel: matter of opinion: as disputabie, as oyen to discussion, and requiripg discossjon as much, as the spinion itself. There is the same noed of an infallible judge of opinions to docide an opinion to be noxious, as to decide it to be fallee, unless the opinion condemned has fall opportunity of do-
fending itself. And it will not do to sey that the heretic rany be allowed to maintain the utility or finimlesseness of hie opinion, though forbiddon to maintain its trath. The trath of an opinios is part of ita utility. If we would know whether or not it is desirable that a proposition should be believed, is it possible to exclude the consideration of whether or not it is true? In the opinion, not of bad men, but of the best men, no belief which is contrary to trutu oan be really useful: and can you prevent such mien from urging that plea, when they are charged with oulpability for deaying some doctrine which they are told is usetul, but whioh they believe to be false? Those who are on the side of received opinions, never fail to take all possible adrantage of this ples ; you do not find them handling the question of utility as if it could be completely abstracted from that of touth : on the contrary, it is, above all, because their doctrine is 'the trath;' that the knowledge or the beiief of it is held to be no indiepensable. There can be no fair discussion of the question of asefulness, when an argument bo vital may be exaployed on one side, but not on the other. And in point of fact, whan law or pnblic foeling do not permit the truth of an opinion to be disputed, they are just as little tolerantit of a denial of its usefulness. The utmost they allow is an extenuation of its absolute necessity, or of the positive guilt of rejecting it.
N In order more fully to illustrate the mischief of denying a hearing to opinions because we, in our own judgment, hape condemned ther, it will be dosirable to fix down the discossion to a ooncrete cass ; and I choose, hy preference, the clases which are :least favourable to me-in which the argtment agaiust freedom of opinion, both on the score of truth and on that of utility, is considered the sitiongest. Let the opinions impugned be the belief in a God and in a fatare atate, or any of the commonly received doctrines of morality. To flght the battle on such ground, gives a great advantage to an unfair antagonist; since he will be sure to say (and many who have no desiry
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to be unfair will eay it intornally), Are these the doctrines which you do net
? deem sufficiently certain to be taken under the protection of las?? Is the belief in a God one of the opi:iuns, to feel sure of which, you bold to tin assuming infallibility? But I mu-t be permitted to observe, that it is not the feeling sure of a doctrine (be it what it may) which I call an assumption of infallibility. It is the undertaking to decide that question for others, without allowing them to hear what can be lasid on the contrary side. And I denounce and reprobate this pretension not the less, if put forth on the side of my most solemn convictions. However positive any one's persnasion may be, not only of the falsity bat of the pernicious consequences-not only of the pernicious consequences, jur (to adopt expressions which I altogether condemn) the immorality and impiety of an opinion ; yet if, in pursuance of that private judgment, though backed by the public judgment of his country or his cotemporaries, he prevents the opinion from being ineard in its defence, he assumes infallibility. And so for from the assumption being less objeo tionable or lesa dangerons because the opinion is called immoral or impions, this is the case of all othera in which it is mont fatal. These are exactly the occasions on which the neen of one generation commit those dreadful mistakes, which excite the astonishment and horror of posterity. It is among such that we find the instances minnorable in hintory, when the arm ois the law has been ernployed to root out the best men and tho noblest doctrines; with deplorable success as to the men, though some oi the doctrines have nurvived to be (as if in mockery) invoted, I defence of similar conduct towards those whe dissent front them, or from their received interpretation.
! Maciciad can handy be too ofton remin.ed, that tiere was ouce a man named Socrntes, vitween wionm and the legal authorities and public opinion of his times, there took piace a niemorable collioion. Born in on age amd country sboumding in indivinual $\varepsilon$ - ri Ress, this ana has boen handed doxis to us by
these who best knew both him and the age, as the most virtuous man in it; while ted tnow him as the head and prototype of all subsequent teachers of virtue, the cource equally of the loity inspiration of Plato and the jucicious utifitarianism of Aristote, 'i meēstri di color che sazun ' ihe two heallsprings of etbical as of all other philosaphy. This acknowledged nutster of all the eminent thinkers who have since lived -whose fame, still growing atter more than two thousand years, all but outweighs the whole remainder of the names which make his native city illns-trious-was put to death by his countrymen, after a judicial conviction, fior impiety and imnorality. Impiety, in denying the gode recognised by the State ; indeed his accuser asserted (see the 'Apolngis') that he belicved in no gods at all. Immorality, in being, by bis doctrines and instractions, a 'corruptor of youth.: Of these charges the tribunal, there is every ground for believing, bonestly found him guilty, and condernned the man who probably of all then born had deserved best of mankind, to bo put es deatb ay a criminal.
13 To pass from this to the only other instance of judicial iniquity, the mention of whicls, after the condemnation of Socrates, would not bo an anticlimax: the event which took place on Calvary rsther more than cighticen hundred years ago. The man who left on the mernory of those who witnessed bis lifa and couversation, such as im pression oi tis moral grandeur, that eigheen subsequent centuries have done homage to him at the almighty in peronn, was igneminionsly pie to death, as what? As a blasplencer. Men did not mevelg mistake tiver benefactor; they mistook him for the exact contrary of what he was, and trested him as that prodigy of impiety, which they themselves are now held to be, for their treatment of him. The feelinge with which mankind now regard these lamentable transactiona, especially the later if the two, render them extremely unjust in thcir judgment of the unhnppy actors. These were, to all appearauce, nut had meet-
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*ot worse then men comunonly are, br:t ether the contrary; men who pooaseed in a full, or somexhat more ann a full measure, the religinas, moral, and patriotic feelings of ah-ir time and peaple: the very kind of men who, in all bines, our own incleded, have overy chance of passing through lifo blnmaless and respected. The digh-priest who reat his garments when the words were pronconnced, -bich, according to all the ideas of his country, constituted the blackest guilt, Fan in all probability quite as sincere Is bis borror and indignation, as the gonerality of reapectabie and pious man now are in the religinus and moral sentiments thoy profess; and moot of thoes who now anadider at bia conduct, if they had lived in his time, and been born Jews, would have acted precisely as be did. Orthodor Christiang who are tempted to thint that thoee who atoped to death the first martyrs must have been worse men than thoy themselvea are, ought to ronember that one of those persecutors - $n$ Saint Paul

14 Det us add one more erample, the most strikizg of all, if the impressiveness of an error is measured by the visdom and virtue of him who falls into it. If ever any one, proseseed of power, had grounde for thinking himmelf the best and most enlightened amang his cotemporaries, it was the Kmperor Marcus Aarelius. Absolate monarch-of the whole civilized wortd, be preaerved through life not only the moot unblominhed justice, bot what Was levs to be expected from bis Stoical breoding, the tendercst hoart. The fow failings which are attribated to him, were all on the side of indulsonce: white bis writings, the highert othical product of the ancient mind, differ acarcely perceptibly, if they difier at ahl, from the most characteristic teschings of Clorist. This man, s better Christiau in all bat the dogmatic sense of the word, than alnost any of the oatengibly Christian sovereigns who have aince reigned, persecated Christianity. Plaoed at the summit of all the previoun attainments of humenity, rith an open, unfettarsed intellect, and
a character which led him of kimeols to embody in his moral rritinge the Christian ideal, he yet failed to see that Christianity was to be a good and not an evil to the word, with his duties to which he was so deeply penetrated. Existing mociety be knew to be in a deplorable state. But ench es it was, he esw, or thought ho esw, that it was held togeliver, and prevanted from being wroe, by belief and reverence of the received divinities. An a roler of mankind, he deemed it his daty not to euffor sociely to fall in pieces; and man not how, it its cristing tied were removed, any others could be formed which could again knit it together. The new roligion openly aimed at dissolving theoe ties: noless, there pre, it was his daty to adopt that religion, it seamed to bo his duty to put it down. Inasmuch then es the theology of Christianity did not appear to him true or of divine origin; inasmuch as this strange history of a crucified Grod was not credible to him, and a system which parported to reat entirely upon a foundation to bim so whotiy uabehovabla, coold not be foreseen by him to be thatrenovating agency which, after all abatements, it has in fact proved to be; the geatlest and most amiable of philosophera and rulers, ander a molemm sense of doty, anthorised the persecution of Chris tianity. To my mind this is one of the mont tragical facts in ell histor;: It is a bitser thought, how different a thing the Christianiry of the world might have been, if the Cinristian faith had been adoptei as the religion of the empire under the auspices of Marcus Acrelius iustead of those of Conotantine. But it would be equally anjust to him and false to trath, to devy, that no one ples which can bs urged for pamishing antiChriatias traching, was wanting to Marcos Aurelius for panishing, se the did, the pripagation of Christianity. No Christian more firmuly believes that Acheism is false, and teads to the dissolution of sociery, than Harcus AureLius believed the same thinga of Chris tianity; ho who, of all men then liring, might have been thought the moat

## . 6 OF THE LIBERTY OF THOUGHT AND DISCUSSION.

Lapaile of appreciating it. Unless 6 any one who approves of punishment for the promulgation of opinions, flatters himself that he in a wiser and letter man than Marcus Aarelinsmore deeply versed in the wisdom of his time, more elevated in his intellect above it-more earnest in his search for truth, or more single-minded in his derotion to it when found; let him sbstatin from that assumption of the joint infalibility of himgelf and the multitude, which the great Antoninus mado with so unfortunste a result.
A. ware of the impossibility of defending the use of punishment for restraining irreligious opinions, by any argament which will not justify Marcus Antoninus, the onemies of religions freedom, when hard pressed, occisionsily accept this consequence, and sav, with Dr. Johnson, that the persacutors of Christianity were in the right; that persscution is an ordeal through which truth ought to pass, and always passes anccessfully, legal penalties being, in the end, powerless against truth, though sometimes beneficially effective agninst mischievous errors. This is a form of the argument for religicua intolerance, sufficiently remarkable not to be passed without notice.
If A theory which maintains that trath may justifiably be persecuted because persecution cannot possibly do it any harm, cannot be olsarged with being intontionally hostile to the reception of new traths; but we cannot conmend the generosity of its deaiing with the persons to whom mankind are indebted for them. To discover $b$ the world something whioh deeply concerns it, and of whioh it was previously ignorant; to prove to it that it bed been mistaken on some vital point of temporal or spiritual interest, is as important a gervice as a human being can render to his fellow-creaturea, and in certain cases, as in those of the early Christians and of the Reforniers, those who think with Dr, Jolunson believe it to bave been the most precions gift which conld be bestowed on mankind. That the suthors of auoh splendid beoefite should be requited by martyrdom; that their7reward shou'd be to
be dealt with as the vilest of criminals, is not, upon this theory, a deploralle crror and misfortune, for which bil. manity should moarn in sackeloth and ashes, but the normal and justitiable atate of things. The propounder of a new trath, according to this doctrine should atand, as stool, in the logislatinn of the Lncriaris, the proposer of a new law, with a halter round his neck, to be unstantly tiginened if the pablic assembly did not, on hearing his ressons, then and thare adopt his propoasition. People who defend this mode of treating benefactors, cannot be supposed to set much value on the benefit; and I believe this view of the subjec: is mostly confined to the sort of persons who think that new trathe may have been desirable once, but that we have had enough of themannw.
17 But, indeed, the dictum that truth always triumpis over persecution, is one of those pleasant falsehoods which mon repeat alter one another till ther pass into commonplaces, but which all experience refutes. History teems with instances of truth put down by persecution. If not suppressed for ever, it may be thrown back for centuries. To speak only of religious opinions: the Reformation broke ont st least twenty times before La 'hor, and was put down. Arnold of Brescia was put down. Fra Dolcino mas put dowa. Savonarola wes put down. The Albj. geois were put dcwn. The Vaudois were put down. The Lollards were put down. The Hussites were put down. Even after the era of Luther, mberever persecution was persisted in, it was sticcessful. In Spain, Italy. Flanders, the Austrian empire, Yrotestantism was rooted out; aud, most likely, would bave been so in England, had Queen Mary lived, or Queen Elizabeth Jied. Persecution has alwaya succeeded, save where the heretics were too strong a party to be effectually persecuted. No reasonable perwo oan doubt that Chriatianity might have been extirpated in the Romay Empire. It spread, and became pro dominant, because the persecutions wore on!y occasional, lasting bnt a ahort time and teparated by long intervais
of almost urdiaturbed propagandiam. It is a piece of idle sentimentality that truth, merels as trath, has any inherent power denied to error, of prevailing against the dangeon and the stake. Men sre not more zealons for trath than they often are for error, and a sufficient application of legal or even of acial penalties will gencrally suoceed in stopping the propagstion of either. The real arivantage which truth has consiste in this, that when an opinion is true, it may be extinguished once, twice, or many times, but in the course of agea there will generally bo found persons to rediscover it, natil come one of ite reappearances falls on a time when from favourable circomatances it eacaper persecution until it has made such head as to withstand all subsequent attempts to suppress it.
$f 8$ It will be said, that we do not now put to death the intrusucers of new opinions: we are not like our fathers who slow the prophets, we esen build eepulahres to them. It is true we no longer put heretics to death; and the amount of penal infliction which modern leeling would prohubly tolerate, even against the most obnoxions opinions, la not sufficient to extirpate :hen. But let us not flatter ourselvea that wo ars yet free from the stain eren of legal persecution. Penalities for opinion, or at least ior its expression, atill exist by law; and their enforcement is not, even in these timen, © unerampled an to make it at all incredible that they may nome day be revived in full force. In the year 1857, at the summer assizes of the county of Cornwall, an unfortunate man, said to be of unoxceprionsible condact in all relations of life, wats sentenced to twenty-one months' impriconment for uttering, aud writing on a gete, sume offensive worde concerning Christianity. Within a month of the came time, at the Old Bailey, two persans, on two separato occesions, $t$ were

[^0]rejested as jurymen, and one of thun grossly inaulted by the jadge and by one of the coimsel, because they honestly declared that they had no theological belief; and a third, a foreigner,* for the same reason, was denied justiox against a thief. This refusal of redrems took place in virtue of the legal dootrine, that no pernon can be allowed to give evidence in a court of justice; who dosa not profess beliof in a God (anys god is gufficient) and in a tuture statewhioh is equivalent to declaring such persons to be outlaws, excluded from the protection of the tribunala; wha may not only bo robbed or assaulted with impunity, if no one but themselves, or persons of similar opiuions, be present, but ang one else may be robbed or assaulted with imponity, it the proof of the fact depends on their evidence. The assumption on whiok this in grounded; in that the osth is. worthlesa, of a person who does not believe in a fature etate; a proposition which betokens much ignorance of his tory in those who assent to it (aince it is bistorically true that a large propor tion of infidels in all aggs have been persons of distinguished integrity and honour); and would be maintained by no one who had the amallent concep tion how many of the persons in gueat. est repute with the world, both fo. virtoes and attainmente, are well known, at least to their intimates, to bo unbelievers. The rule, beaider, in ancidal, and cuts away its own fourdation. Einder pretence that atheists mast be lisrs, it admits the testimony of all atheisto who are willing to lie, and rejects ouly those who brape the obloquy of publicly confersing a detested creed rather than-affirn a faleohood. A rule thua self-convicted of sbourdity so far sa regardn ita professod purpose, can be kept in force only as a badge of hatred, a relio of persecation; a persecution, too, having the peculiarty, that the qualification for undergoing it, is the being clesrly proved Liot to deserve it. The rule, and the theory it implies, ars hardly less insulting to believers than to infidele.
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For if he who does not believe in a future atate, necessarily lies, it follows that they who do believe are only prevented from lying, if prevented they are, by the fear of hell. We will not to the authors and sbettors of the rule the injury of supposing, that the conception which they have formed of Christion virtue is drawn from their own cnnscionsness.
ATMhese, indeed, are but ragg and remnente of persecation, and may be thought to be not so much an indication of the wish to persecute, as an example of that very frequent infirmity of Einglish minds, which makes them taken prepoaterocs pleagure in the ateortion of a bad principle, when they are no longer bad enough to desire to earry it really into practice. But unbappily there is no security in the slate of the publio mind, that the suspension of worse forms of legal perseontion, which hes lasted for ubout the opace of a generation, will continue. In this age the quiet surface of routine is as often ruffled by attempta to resuscitate past evils, as to intioduce new benefits. What is boasted of at the present time as the recival of religion, is always, in narrow and unoultivated minds, at loast as much the revival of bigotry; and where there is the strong permanent leaven of intolerance in the leelings of a people, which at all times sbides in the middle classen of this country, it needs but little to provoze them into actively persecuting those whom they have never ceased to think proper objects of persacution.* Jör

- Ample warning may be drawn from the large infuation of the paritions of a persecutor, which mingled with tho genoral digjilay of the worss partiy of our netional chsracter on tbe occailon of the 8opoy insurtec. lion. The ravings of fanatica or chanlatand from the pulpit may be unworthy of notice: but tha headi of tha Evangellical party bave announced at their prinelpie for the governmont of Hindoos and Mahomedars, that no seliools be supported by public money in which the Bible ts not taught, and by necessary consequence that no public omployment be given to any but real or protendod Chris. tians, An Under-Secrutapy of siato, In a opeech dellvered to his constituents on the 1:3th of November, 1857, is reported to have eald: 'Toleration of thelr falth' (the foith of a hundred millions of British mubjootn), the tuperstition which they called raligion,
it is this-it is the opinions men enton tain, and the feolings they cherisli, rospeoting those who disown the beliefo they deem important, which makes this country not a place of mental free dom. Fior a long time past, the chie? mischief of the legsl pehalties is that they strengthon the social atigma. It is that stigme which is really effective, and so effective ia it, that the profeasion of opinions which are under the ban of society is mach less common in England, than is, in many other coantria, the avowal of those which incar rist of judioial punishment. In respect to all persons but those whose pecuniary circumatances make thom independent of the good will of other people, opinion, on this subject, is as officacious ata Iaw; men might as well be imprisoned, as excluded from the means of earning their bread. Those whose bread is already secured, and who desire no favours from men in power, or from bodies of men, or from the pullic, have nothing to fear from the open avowal of any opinions, but to be ill-thought of and ill-apoken of, and this it ought not to require a very heroic mould to enable them to bear. There is no room for any appenl ad misericordiam in-behalf of sucli persons. Bat though we do not now intlict to muche evil on those who think diffierently from us, as it was formerly our custom to do, it may be that we do ourselves as much evil as ever by our treatiment of them. Socrates was pat to death, but the
by the Britah Govermment, had had the efiect of retanding the escendenoy of the Brittin numo, and preventing the ealutary growth of Christianity. . . . Toleration What the great comer-atone of the raligiout Uberties of this country; but do not les them abuse that preciuns word toleratlon. As he understood it, it meant the somplete liberty to all, freedom of worlhtp, amony Ctristianes tho worshipped wpot the sem foundetion. It meant toleration of all secto and denomimations wo Ctristians who bolieood in the ont mediation. I disire to call mitention to the fact, that a man who has been deemed fit to all a high oftion in the government of this coubtry under a liberal Sifitstry, malntaint the doctrine that all who do not belleve in the divinity of Christ are beyond the pale of toteration. Whio, after thls insbectle ditplay, can Indulye the flusion that rellgiona parsocution lis pased away, nerer to me turg ${ }^{7}$

Sunatic plulosoplyy rose like the sun in beaven, and apread its illumination over the whole inteliectral firmament. Christians were cast to the lions, but the Christian church grew ap a stately and spreading tree, overtopping the older and leas Figorous growths, and stiffing them by its shado. Our merely nocial intolerance fills no one, rooke out uo opiniong bat indreen men to digguise thom, or to abstain from any active effort for sheir diffusion. With us, berotical opiniona do not perceptibly gain, or even lose, ground in each docade or generation; they never blase out far and ride, bat continne to emonalder in the earrow circles of thinking and studions persons among whom they ariginate without over lighting up the generil affinin of manKind with either a true or a deceptive light. Aad thus is kept up a state of thinge very satisfnctory to some minds, because, withont the unpleasant process of fining or imprisoning anybody, it maintains all prevailing opinions out wardly undinturbed, while it does not absolntely interdict the exercise of resson by dissentients afflicted with the malady of thooght. A comrenient plan for having peace in the intellectal work, and keeping all thinge going on. therein very much as they do alreadyBut the price paid for this eoot of intel. lectual pacification, it the esecrifice of the eatire moral cournge of the human mind. A gtate of thinge in which a large portion of the most active mod inquiring intellectas find it advismble to keep the general principles and groanda of their convictions mithin their own breasts, and attempt, in that ther addrest to the public, to fis as moch as they can of their own conclasions to premiser which they have internally reronnced, cannot sand forth the open, leardest characters, and logical, 000 sistent intellects who once adorned the thinting morth The zort of man who san be looked for umder it, are eithar meve conformers to common-place, or time erervers for truth, whose argaments min alrgreat zubjecta are meant for their hearers, and are not those which have conrinced themealven. Those who soid thit alternative, do so ity bar-
rowing their thoughts and intereast to thing which can be spoken of without venturing within the region of principles, that is, to small practical mattere, which would come night of thomselves, if bat the minds of mantind vero witrengthenod and calarged, and Which will nover be made effectually right until then: while that which would strongthen and onlarge men's minds, free and daring epeculation on the bighest subjects, is abandoned.
24 Those in whoee eyes this reticence on the part of heretics is no evil, should consider in the first place, that in 000 sequence of it tharo never any fais and thorough discussion of haretical opinions; and that such of them as conld not atand such a discossion, though thoy may be prevented from apreading, do not disappear. But it is not the minds of herotics that are deteriortated mast, by the ban placed on all inquiry which does not ond in thorthodox conclusions. The greatoct harm doce is to those who are not heretics, and whose whole mental developmont is cramped, and their reeson cowed, by the fear of hereas. Who can compute what the world looes un. the multitude of promising intallecis combined with timid charactors, whe dare not follow oot any bold, vigoroas independent train of thought, lest it should land them in something whicb wookl admit of being coosidered irreligious or immoral ? Among them we may occesionally see some man of deep conscientioasness, and sabile and refined understanding, who spevids a lifo in sophisticating with as intellectwhich ho cannot sileace, and exhansta the reacurces of ingenaity is astempting to recoacile the promptings of his conscience and reason with orthodoxy. Fhich yet te does not, perhaps, to tho and caccoed in doing. No one can be a great thinker who doee not recognise, that es a thinker it is his first duty 6 follow hia intellect to mhatever conclusions it may lead. Trath gains moro even by the errors of one who, with dee atudy and proparation, thinta for hinself, than by the troe opinions of thowe who only hold thezs because thoy do not suffer themselven to uhiak. Not
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that it in aolely, or chiofly, to form great thinkers, that freedom of thinking is required. On the contrary, it in an mach and even more indiepensable, to enablo averago human beings to attain the mental ytaturs which they are capable of. There have been, and may again be, great individual thinkera, in * general atmosphere of mental sla very. Bat there never bas been, nor ever will $b_{0}$ in that atmosphere, an intellectually active people. Where any people has made a temporary approach to such is character, it has been becsuce the dresd of heterodox apeculation was for - time suspended. Where there is a tacit convention that principlos are not to be disputed; where the discussion of the greatest questions which can occupy humanity is considered to be olosed, we cannot hope to find that generally high scate of mental activity Which has made eume periods of history to remarkable. Never when controrersy avoided the subjecte which are large and important enough to kivdle enthusiosm, was the mind of a perple etirred up from its foundations, and the impulse given which raised even permons of the most ordinary intellect to something of the dignity of thinking beings. Of sach we have had an example in the condition of Europeduring the times immedistely following the Reformation; another, though limited to the Continent and to a more cultjvatod class, in the apecalative movement of the latter half of the eighteenth contury in and a third, of atill briefor daration in the intellectual fermenta tion of Germany doring the Goethian and Fichtean period. These periods differed videly in the particniar opipione which they devcloped; but were alike in this, that dusing all three the yoke of authority was broken. In each, as old mental despotiom had been thrown nff, and no new one bad jet taken its piace. The impulse given at these tbree pariods las wade Europe what it now is. Every single inprovement which has taken place either in the human mind or in institutions, may be traced distinctly to one or other of them. Appearances have for some tirae indicaled flat all three impalses are
well nigh apent; and we aan expect no fresh start, until we again eacertoor mental freedom.
2 Let un now pass to the second division of the argument, and difrinising the aupposition that any of the received opinions may be false, let us astume them to be true, and examine into tho worth of the manner in which they are likely to bo beld, when their truth is not freely and openly canvassed. How. ever unvillingly a person who has a strong opinion may admit the ponsibility that his opinion may bo false, be ought to be moved by the consideration that however true it may be, if it is not fully, frequently, and fearlessly discuesed, is will be beld an a dead dogma, not a living truth.
2 There is a class of persons (happily not quite so numeroan as formerly) who think it enough if a person assents undoubtingly to what they think true, though he lins no knowledge whatever of the gronnde of the opinion, and could not make a tenable defeuce of it againat the mont superficial objections. ${ }^{2}$ Such persona, if they cas once get their creed taught from authority, naturally think that no good, and come harm, comen of its being allowed to be questioned. Whers their influence provails, they make it nearly imponsible for the received opinion to be rejected wisely and considerately though it may still be rejected rashly and iguorantly; for to chut out discuasion entirely is seldom possible, and when it once gots in, boliefa not grounded on conviction are apt to give way before the slightest semblance of an argument. Waving, however, this possibility-assmming that the true opinion abides in the mind, but sbides as a prejudice, a belief indopendent of, and proof against, argu-ment-this in not the way in which truth ought to bo beld by a rational being. This ie not knowing the truth. Trulh, thus hold, is but one superati. tion the more, accilentally clinging to the words which enunciate a truth. 2 If the intellect and judginent of manlind ought to be cultivated, a thing which Protestants at least do not deny, on what can these facultien be more eppropriately exercised by anj ona
than on the things which concera him so much that it is considered necescary for him to hold opinions on them? If the cultivation of the anderatanding consists in one thing more than in another, it is surely in learning the grounds of one's own opinions. Whatover people beliere, on anbjects on which it is of the first importance to believe rightly, they ought to be able to defend egainst at least the common oljections. Bat, bome one may say, ' Let them be taught the grounda of their opinione. It does not follow that opinions must be merely parroted bocause they are never heard controverted. Persons who learn geometry do not simply commit the theorems to motmory, but understand and learn likewise the demonstrations; and it would be absurd to soy that they remain iguorant of the grounds of geometrical truths, because they never hear any one deny, and attempt to disprove them.' Undoubtedly: and such teach. ing soffices on a subject like mathematics, where there is nothing at all to be asid on the wrong side of the quession. The peculianty of the avidence of nathematical truthe is, that all the argument is on ons side. There are no objections, and no answers to objections. But on every nubject on which difference of opinion is possible ${ }_{2}$ the truth depends on a balance to be atruck between two seta of conflicting masona. Even in natural philusophy, there is alweys some other explanation possible of the rame facts; some geccentric theory inatead of huliocentric, some phlogiston instead of oxygen; and it has to be ghown why that other theory cannot be the trie one: and until this is shown, and until wo krow how it is shown, we do not understand the grounds of our opinion. But when we turn to iubjecta infinitely more complicated, to morals, religion, politica, social relations, and the batinese of life, three-fourthe of the argumenta for overy disputed opinion condiat in dispelling the appearances which favour onms opinion difforent from it. The greatest orator, eave one, of antiquity, lies left it on record that be alragye atudied his adversary's came
with es great, if not ctill greater, intensity thin eren his own. What Cicero practised as the means of forensic suocess, requires to be imitated by all who stady any subject in order to arrive at the truth. He who knows only his own side of the case, knows little of that. His reasons may be good, and no one may have been able to refute them. But if ho is equally upable to refute the reasous on the opposite side; if he does not no much as know what they are, the has no ground for preferring either opinion. The rational pasition for him wonld be auspaneion of judg. ment, and unless he contents himself with that, he is either led by authority, or adopte, like the generality of the world, the side to which he feels most inclinstion. Nor is it enough that he should hear the arguments of adversaries from his own teachers, presented as they state them, and accompanied by what they offer as refutations. Thal is not the way to do justice to the argu ments, or bring them into real contact with bie own miod. He must be ablo to hear them from persons who actually believe them; who defend them in earnest, and do their very utmost for them. He must know them in theit most plausible and persursive forna; he must feel the mbole force of the dificulty which the true view of the sabject has to encounter and dispose of: eleo he will never really possess himself of the portion of truth which meets and removes tiuat difficulty. Ninety-nine in a lundred of what are called edncated men are in this condition; even of those who can argue fluently for their opinions. Their conclusion may be true, but it might be false for anything they know: they have never thrown themselves into the mental position of those who think difierently from them, and considered what such persons may have to asy; and consequently they do not, in suly proper Bense of the word, krow the doctrine which thoy themselves proferss. They do not know these parts of it which explain and justify the remainder; the considerations which show that a fact which seemingly contlicts with another is reconcilable with it, or that, of two
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apparently strong reasons, one and not the other ought to be preferred. Al that part of the truth which turng the ecale, and decides the judgment of a oompletely informed mind, they are itrangers to ; nor is it ever really known, bat to those who have attended equally and impartially to both sides, and endeavoured to see the reasons of both in the atrongest light. So essential is this discipline to a real understanding of moral and human subjects, that if opponents of all important truthe do not exist, it is indispensable to imagine them, and cupply them with the trongest arguments which the most skilful dovil's advocate can conjure up.
14.To abate the force of these consilerstions, an enemy of free diacussion may be supposed to say, that there is no necessity for manfind in genersl to know and mederstand all that can be said against or for their opinions by philosophers and theologians. That it is not needful for common men to be able to expase all the misstatements or fallacies of an ingenious opponent. That it is enough if there is slwsye somebody capable of answering them, so that nothing likely to minlead uninstructed pursons remains unreluted. That simple minds, bavines been taught the obvione gronods of the truths inculcated an them, may trust to authority for the rest, and being aware that they bave neither knowledge nor talont to resolve every dificnlty which can be raised, may ropose in the assuranco that all those whioh hsve been raised have been or can bo nswered, by those who are epecially crained to the task.
2 . Conceding to this view of the mbject the utmost that can be claimed for it by those most easily satisfied with the anoount of understanding of truth Flich ought to accompany the belief of it; even so, the argament for free discussion is no way weakened. For oven this doctrine acknowledure that mankind ought to have rational assurance that all objectiona bave been atisfactorily answered; and how are they to be answered if that which requires to be nnswered is not apoken? or how can the answer be known to be
astisfactory, if the objectort have no opportunity of showing that it is nirsatisfactory? If not the public, at least the philosophers and theologians who are to resolve the difficulties, must make themselves familiar with thone difficulties in their mont puzuling form; and thiecannot be accomplished unlege thoy are freely atated, and placed in the most advantageonn hight whirh they admit of. : Tho Catholic Churct has its own way of dealing with this embarraseing problem. It makes $s$ broad separation between those who can be permitted to receiveita doctrines on conviction, and those who must accopt them on trust. Neither, indced, are allowed any choice as to what they will accept; but the clergy, such at least at can be fully confided in, may admiseibly and meritorioualy make themselves acquainted with the arguments of opponente, in onder to enswer them, and may, therefore, read heretical books; the laity, not anjese by epecial permission, hard to be obtained. This discipline recognises a knowledge of the enemy's case as beneficisl to the teachers, but finds means, consistent with this, of denying it to the reat of the world: thus giving to the elite more miental culture, though not more meatal freedom, than it allows to the mass. By this device it succeeds in obtaining the kind of mental superiority which ils purposes require; for though culture without freedum never made a large and liberal mind, it can make a clever nisi prize advocate of a caune. But in countries professing Protestantism, this resource is denied; since Protestants hold, at least in theory, that the responsibility for the choice of a religion must be barne by each for bimself, and cannot bo thrown of upon teachera. Bcsides, in the present srate of the world. it is pracically imposeible that writings which are read by the instructerl as be kept from the uninstructed. If the teachers of manFind are to be cognisant of all tlost they ought to know, everything must be free to be written and pulitishen without reatraint.
26. If, however, the mischievous operntion of the sbsence of free ditocussion
when the received opinions are true, were confined to leaving men ignorant of the grounds of those opinions, it might be thought that this, if an intelloctual, is no moral evil, and does not aftect the worth of the opinions, regarded in their influence on the character: The fact, however is, that not only the grounds of the opinion are forgotten in the absence of discussion, but too often the meaning of the opinion itself. The words which convey it, cease to suggest ideas, or suggest only a small portion of those they were originally employed to communicate. Instead of a vivid conception and a living belief, there remain only a few phrases retained by rote; or, if any part, the shell and husk only of the meaning is retsined, the finer essence being lost. The great chapter in human history which this fact occupies and fills, cannot be too earoestly studied and meditated on.
27 It is illustrated in the experience of almost all ethical doctrines and religious creeds. They are all full of meaning and vitality to those who originate them, and to the direct disciples of the originators. Their meaning continues to be felt in undiminished strength, and is perhaps brought out into even fuller consciousness, so long as the struggle lasts to give the doctrine or creed an ascendancy over other creeds. At last it either prevails, and becemes the general opinion, or its progress stops; it keeps possession of the ground it has gained, but ceases to spread further. When either of these results has become apparent, controversy on the subject flage, and gradually dies amay. The doctrine has taken its place, if not as a received opinion, as one of the admitted sects or divisions of opinion : those who hold it have generally inherited, not adopted it; and conversion from one of these doctrines to another, being now an exceptional fact, occupies little place in the thouglits of their professors. Instead of being, as at first, constantly on the alert cither to defend themselves against the world, or to bring the world over to them, they have subsided into acquiescence, and neither fisten, when they can help it, to argumonte against their creed, nor trouble
dissentients (if there be such) with arguments in its favour. From this time may usually be dated the decline in the living power of the doctrine. We often hear the teachers of all creeds lamenting the difficulty of keeping up in the minds of believers a lively apprehension of the truth which they nominally recognise, so that it may penetrate the feelings, and acquire a real mastery over the conduct. No auch difficulty is complained of while the creed is still fighting for its existence: even the weaker combatants then know and feel what they are fighting for, and tha dif ference between it and other doctrines; and in that period of every creed's existence, not a few persons may be found, who have realized its fundamental principles in all the forms of thought, have weighed and considered them in all their important bearings, and have oxperienced the full effect on the character, which belief in that creed ought to produce in a mind thoroughly imbued with it. But when it has come to be an hereditary creed, and to lie received passively, not actively-when the mind is no longer compelled, in the same de. gree as at first, to exercise its vital powers on the questions which its belief presents to it, there is a progressive tendency to forget all of the belief ex eept the formularjes, or to give it a dull and torpid assent, as if accepting it on trust dispensed with the necessity of realizing it in consciousness, or testing it by personal experience; until it al. most ceases to connectitselfat all wilh the inner life of the human being. Then are seen the cases, so frequent in this age of the world as almost to forms the majority, in which ths creed :o mains as it were outside the mind, incrusting and petrifying it against all other influences addressed to the higher paris of our nature; manifesting its power by not suffering any fresh and living conviction to get in, but itself doing nothing for the mind or heart, except standing sentinel over them to keep them vacant.
2970 what an extent doctrines intrinsically fitted to make the deepest impression upon the mind may remain in it as dead beliefs, without being ere
valized in the imagination, the feelings, or the understanding, is exomplificd by the manner in which the majority of believers hald the doctrines of Cluristianity. By Christianity I here mean what is accounted such by all wharches and secto-the maxims and precepts contained in the New Testament. These are considered sacred, and accepted as laws, by all professing Christians. Yetitis scarcely too much to say that not one Christian in a thonaand guides or testa hia individual condinct by reference to those laws. The etandard to which he does refer it, is the costom of his nation, his class, or hie religious profession. He has thus, on the one hand, a collection of ethical maxims, which he believes to have been vouchanfed to him by infallible wisdom ${ }^{a}$ as rules for his governnient; and on the other a net of evory-day judgments and practices, which go a certain length with eome of those maxims, not lo great a length with others, stand in direct upposition to some, and aro, on the whole, a compromise between the Christion creed. and the interests and suggestions of worldly life. To the first of these standards he gives his homage; to the other his real allegiance. All Christians believe that the blessed are the poor and bumble, and those who are ill-used by the world; that it is easier for a camel to pase through the - ye of a needle than for a rich man to onter the kingdom of heaven; that they should judge not, lest they be judged; that they should avear not at all; that they should love their neighbour as themselves; that if ne take their cloak, thoy should give him thoir cont also; that they ahould take no thought for the murrow; that if thay would bo perfeot they should sell all that they have and give it to the poor. They are not insincerc when they any that they believe these things. They do believe them, as people believe what they have always heard lauded and never discused. But in the sense of that living bolijof which regulates conduct, they behove theso doctrines just up to the point to which it is usual to act upon them. The doctrines in their integrity are earviceable to pelt advoramies with:
and it is understood that they are to ve put forwand (when possible) as the rea sons for whatever people do that they think laudable. But any one who reminded them thint the maxims require an infinity of things which they never even think of doing, would gain nothing bat to be classed among those very unpopalar charactern who aftect to be better than other people, The doctrines have no hold on ordinary be-lievers-are not a power in their minds. They have an habitual respect for the sound of them, but no foeling which spreads from the words to the thinge eignified, and forces the mind to take them in, and make them conform to the formula. Whenever conduct is concerned, they look round for Mr. A and $B$ to direct them how far to go in obeying Christ.
29 Now we may be well assured that the case was not thus but fer other Fise, with the early Christians. . Had, it been thus, Chrietianity never would bave expanded frora an obscure seot of the despised Hebrews into the religion of the Roman empire. When their enemies said, 'See how these Christiams love one another' (a remark not likely to be made by anybody now), they assuredly had a much livelier feel. ing of the meaning of their creed than they lave ever had since. And to this cause, probably, it is chiefly owing that Christianity now makes so little progress in extending its domain, and after eighteen centuries, is still nearly confined to Luropeans and the descendante of Europeans. Even with the strictly religious, who are much in earnent about their doctrines, and attach a greater amount of meaning to many of them than people in general, it commonly happens that the part which is thus comparatively active in their minds is that which was made by Cal. vin, or Knox, or some such person much nearer in character to themselves. The sayings of Christ coexist passively in their minds, producing hardly any offect beyond what is caused by mere listening to words so amiable and bland. There are many reasons, doubtless, why doctrines which aro tho badge of $\sigma$ sect retain more of thoir vitality than those
n to all recognaised sects, and why neins are taken by temobers to seir meaning alive; but one restainly is, that the peculiar dooare more questioned, and inave oftener defended against open pers. Both teachers and leanners sleep it their post, as soon as 3 no enemy in the field.
same thing holds true, genopeaking, of all traditional doo--those of pradence and knowof life, as well as of morale or 3. All langanges and literaswe full of general observations both as to what it is, aed bow luct oneself in it ; observations everylody knows, which everyrepeats, or hears with ecquies; which aro received as truspos, f which mest people first truir the meaning, when experienco, ally of a pminful tind, has made eality to them. How often, when ing under some nuforeseon misto or disappointment, doen a perill to mind some proverb or comsaying, familiar to him all his be meaning of which, if be had before felt it as he does now, I have sared him from the cala,

There are indeed reasons for other than the absence of discosthere are many traths of which 'Ill meaning cannos be realised, personal experience has brought me. But much more of the meanoven of these would have been ralind, and what was anderstood d have been far more deeply imed on the mind, if the man had accustomed to hear it argued pro son by peoplo tho did nuderstand The fatal tendency of mankind to 1 off thinking about a thing when no longer doubtful, is the cause Uf their errors. 4 cotemporery or has well opoken of the deep ber of a decided opinion.'
It That! (it may be asked) Is the sec of unanimity an indispensable ition of true tnowledge? Is it ssery that some port of mankind Id persist io error, to enatilo any sulize the truth? Dees belicf ) to be real and rital as socon as is
is generally received-and is a propocition never thoroughly understood and fele nuless some donbt of it remains? Aa soon es mankind bave unanimously accepted a truth, does the truth perish within them? The highest aim and best result of im. proved intalligonce, it has hitherto been thought, is to unite mantind mare and more in the acknowledgment of all important troths: and does the intelligence only lest as long as it has not achioved its objeat? Do the fruits of conquest perish by the wary completenees of the victory? 321 affirm no such thing. As mes kind improve, the number of doctrines which are no longer dispated or doubted will be constantly on the increase. and the well-being of mankind may almost be measured by the numbar and gravity of the truths which have reached the point of being uncontested. The cessation, on one question after another, of serious controvarsy, is one of the vecessary incidenta of the consolidation of opinion; a comsolidstion es salutary in the case of trae opinions, as it is dangerous and noxious when the opinions are erroneons. But though this gradual narrowing of the bounds of diversity of opinion is necessary in both senses of tho term, being at cance inevitable and indispensable, we are not therofore obliged to conclade that all its consequences must be bene ficial. The loss of so important an aid to the intelligent and living apprehension of a troth, as is afforded by the necossity of explaining it to, or defending it against, opponents, thouch not entficient to outweish. is no trifing drawback from, the benefit of ita universal recognition. Where this adventage can no longe? be had, I confess I chould like to see the teachers of mankind endearowing to provido a sulstitute for it; some contrivance for making the difficulties of the question as present to the loarner's consciousness, as if they were prosed apon him by $=$ diesentient champion, asgar for his con version.
3)But instoed of zeeking contrivances. for this purpose, they havo lost thoes they formenly had. The Socratio dia-
lectica, so magnificently exemplified in the dialogues of Plato, were a contrivance of this description. They were cescentially a negative discussion of the great quastions of philosophy and life, directed with consummate skill to the purpose of convincing any one who had merely. adopted the commonplaces of received opinion, that he did not understand the aubject-that he as yet attached no definite meaning to the doctrines he professed; in order that, becoming aware of his ignorance, be might be put in the way to obtain a stable behef, resting on a clear apprehension both of the meaning rof doctrines and of their evidence. The school disputations of the Middle Agea had a tomewhat similar object. They were intended to make sure that the papil understood his own opinion, and (by necessary correlation) the opinion opposed to it, and could enforce the grounds of the one and confute those of the other. These last-mentioned contesta had indeed the incureble defect, that the premises appealed to were taken from authority not from reason; and, as a discipline to the gind, they were in overy respect infevior to the powerful dialectics which formed the intellects of the 'Socratici vini :' but the modern mind owes liar more to both then it is generally wil--ling to admit, and the present modes of education contain notbing which in the smallest degree supplies the place either of the one or of the other. A person who deripes all his.instruction from teachert or booke, oven'il ho escape the beretting temptation of contenting himself with crain, is under so compulsion to hear both sides; accordingly it is far from a frequent accomplishment, even among thinkers, to know both sides; and the weakest part of what everybody aays in defence of his opinion, is what be intende as a reply to antagonists. It is the faabion of tho present time to disparage negntive logic-n that which point out weaknesses in theory or errora in practice ${ }_{3}$ without entablishing positive truthe. Such negative criticism would indeed be poor enough as an ultimate reault; but as a means to axtaining
any positive knowledge or conviction worthy the name, it cannot be valued too bighly; and until people are again. systematically trained to it, there will be few great thinkers, and a low general average of intellect, in any but the mathomatical and physical departments of speculation. On any other subject no one's opinions deserve the name of knowledge, except so far ast he bas either had forced upon-bim by others, or gone through of himmelf, the asme montal process which would have been required of him in carrying on an active controversy with opponents. That, therefore, which when absont, it is so indispensable, but so difficult, to create, how worse than absurd it is te forego, when spontaneously offering itself 1 If there are any parsons who contest a received opinion, or who will do so if law or opinion will let them, let un thank them for it, open our minds to listengo them and rejoice that there is come one to do for ur what wo othervise ought, if we liave any regaid for either the certainty or the vitality of our convfetions, to do with mucl greater labour for ourselves.

54it still remains to speak of one $\boldsymbol{d}$ the principal causes which make diversity of opinion advantageous, and will continue to do so until mankind shall have entered a stage of intellectual adrancement which at present scems at an incalculable distance. We have hitherto considered only two possibilities: that the received, opinion may be false, and some other opinion, consequently, true; or that, tho received opinion being true, a conflict with the opposite error is essential to a clearapprebension and deep feeling of ita truth. But there is a commoner case than ejther of these; when the conflicting doctrines, justead of boing one true aud the other faise, share the truth between them; and the noncoman. forming opinion is needed to eupply the remainder of the truth, of which the received doctrine embolies only a"; part. Popular opinions, on subjecta is not-palpable to scuse, are often trie. : bit seldom or never the whole truib.

They are a part of the trath; some- ; times a greater, sometimes a smailet part, but oxaggeraied, distortes, and diajoined from the truths ly which they ought to be aceumpanied and limited. Heretical opinious, on the other hand, are generally some of these suppiessed aud neglected truths, bursting the bonds which kept then down, and eithor seeking reconciligtion with the truth contained is the conmon opinion, or fronting it as enemies, and setting themselves up, with similar exclusiveness, as the whole trutb. The latter case is hitherto the most frequent, as, in the humad mind, one-sidedness hea always been the rule, and many-sidedness the exception. Hence, even in revolutions of $u^{4}$ inion, one part of the truth nsually eets whils another rises. Eren progrese, which ought to superadd, for the mont part only substitutes, one partial and incompiete truth for snother; improvement consisting chiefly in this, that the new fragment of truth is more Fanted, more adapted to the needs of the time, than that rbich it dieplaces. Sncb leing the partial character of prevailing opinions, eten when resting on a true foundation, evers opinjon which embodies somewhat of tine portion of truth which the commen opinion omits, ought to be considered precious, with whatever amount of error and confosion that truth may be blended. No sober judge of human affaits will feel bound to be indignant because those who force on our notice truthe which we should otherwise have overlooked, overlook some of these *hich wo see. Rather, he will think that so long as popuiar truth is oneoided, it is more desirable than otherwise that unpopular truth should have one-sided assertors too; such being uraslly the most energetio, and the most likely to compel reluctant attention to the fragment of wisinm which they proclaim as if it were the whole. 35. Thus, in the eighteenth centary, when nearly all the instructed, and all these of the nninatructed who were led by them, were lost in admiration of what is called civilization, and of the marvels of modern science, literatura
and phitosophy, and while greatls oserrating the amount of anlikeness between the men of modern and those of ancient times, indulged the belief that the whole of the difference was in their own farour; with what a balutary shock did the paradoxes of Rous seau explode like bombshells in the. midst, dislocating the compact mass of one-sided opinion, and forcing its elements to recombine in a better form and with additional ingredients. Not i that the current opinions were on the whole farther from the truth than Roussesn's were; on the enntrary, they were nearer to it; they containel more of positive trath, and very muct less of error. Nevertheless there lay in Rousseav's doctrine, and has flosted down the stream of opinion along with it, a considerable amount of exactly those truths which the popnlar opinion Fanted; and these are the depposit which wat left behind when the flool subeided. The saperior worth of simplicity of life, the enervating and demeratizing effect of the trammels and hypocrisies of artificial society, are ideas which have never been entirely sbsent from cultivated minds since Roussean wopte: and ther will in time produce their duo effect, though at present needing to be asserted an much as ever and to be asserted by deede, for words, on this subject, have nearly exhausted thei power.
56 In politice, again, it is almost a commonplace, that a party of onder er stability. and a parts of progress or reform, are bnth necessary elemente of n healthy state of political hife; until the one or the other shall have so ell. jarged its mental gresp as to be a party equally of order and of progress, knowing and distinguishing what is fit to le proserved from what ought to be swept away. Each of these modes of thinkiag derives its atility from the deficiencies of the other; bet it is in a great measore the opposition of the other that keeps each within the limitn of reason and sanity. Unless opiniona fevourable to deroocracy and to ariotocrecy, to property and to equality, to co-operation and to competition, to luxary and to abstinence, to msiality

## 28 OF THE LUBEBTY OF THOUGHT AND DISCUSSION.

and individuality, to liberty and discipline, and all the other standing antagoniams of practical life, are expressed with equal freedom, and onforced and dejauded with equal talent and energy, there is no. chance of both elements obtaining their due; one scale is sure to go up, and the other down. Trath, in the great prac tical concerns of life, is so mnch a question of the reconciling and combining of opposites, that very few have minds sufficiently capacions and impartial to make the adjnstment with an approach to correctness, and it has to be made by the rough process of a utruggle between combatante fighting pnder hoatile banners. On any of the sreat open questions junt enamerated, if either of the two opinions bas a better claim than the other, not merely to be tolerated, but to be encouraged and countenanced, it is the one which happent at the particular time and place to be in a minority. That it the opinion which, for the time being, represents the neglected interests, the side of human well-being which is in danger of oltaining less than ite share. I am aware that there is not, in this country, any intolerance of differences of opinion on most of these topics. They aro adduced to show, by admitted and multiplied examples, the universality of the fact, that only through diversity of opinion is there, in the exiating state of haman intellect, a chance of fair play tc all aided of the truth. When there arn persons to be found, who form an exception to the spparent unanimity of the world on any eubject, oven if the Forld is in the right, it is always pron bable that dissentients have nomething worth hearing to any for themselves, and that truth would lose comething by their cilence.
37 It may be olujected, 'But come received principles, especially on the bighest and most vital auljecte, are more than half-truths. The Christinn morslity, for instance, is the whole truch on that subject, and if any one teaches a morality which varies from it, he is wholly in ervor." As this is of all casee the mont important in prai-
tice, none can be fitter to teat the general maxim. But before prououncing what Christian morality is or is not, it would bo devirable to decide what is meant by Cbriatian morality. If it means the morality of the New Testement, I wonder that any one who dorives his knowledge of this from the book itself, can suppose that it was announced, or intended, as a complete doctrine of morals. The Gospel always refere to a pre-existing morality, and confines its precepts to the particalari in which that morality was to be corrected, or anperseded by a wider and higher; expressing itself, moreover, interms most general, often impossible to be interpreted literally, and possensing rather the impressivencss of poetry or eloquence than the precision of legislation. To extract from it a body of ethical doctrine, has nover been possible without eking it out from the old Testament, that is, from a oyetem olaborate indeed, bat in many respecta barbarous, and intended only for a barbaroua peoplo. St. Paul, a declared enemy to thia Judaical mode of intorpreting the doctrine and filling up the acheme of his Master, equally assumes a pre-exiating morality, uamely that of the Greeks and Romsns; and his advice to Christians is in a great measure a system of accommodation to that; even to thie extent of giving an apparent sanction to slavery. What is called Cinistian, oct slould rather be terned theolozical, morality; was not tue work of Christ or the Apostios, but is of much later orisin, having been gradially built up iy the Catbolic church of the birst five centories, and tbough not implicitly adopted by mo derns and Procesina:3, has been mach lese modific! by th: : than might have been exp: ted For the rosit part, indeed; they have contented themselves with cuiting of the additione which had been mado to it in the Middle Ages, each sect supplying the placebr fresh additions, adapted to its own. character and tendencies. That manLind owe a great debt to this morality, and to its early teachers, I should be the last person to deny; but I do not ecruple to asay of it that it in, in many
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important points, incomplete and onecided, and that onless ideas and feelinga, not sanctioned by it, had contributed to the formation of European life and aharacter, human affairs would have been in oworse condition than they now are. Christian morality (so celled) has all the characters of a reaction; it is, in great part, a protest against Paganism. Ita idual is negative rather than positive; passive rather than active; Innocence rather than Nobleness; $\Delta$ batinence from Evil, rather than energotio Pursuit of Good; in ita precepts (as has boen well snid) 'thou shalt not' predominates unduly over 'thou shalt.' In its horror of eenguality, it medo an idol of asceticiem, which has been gradually campromised away into one of legality. It holds out the hope of heaven and the thrast of hell, as the appointed and sppropriale motiven to a virtuous life: in this falling far below the best of the ancients, and doing what lies in it to give to homan morality an essentially belfish character, by disconnecting each man's feelinge of duty from the intereste of his follow-reatures, except so far as a self-intereated inducement is offered to him for consulting them. It is essentially a doctrine of pascive obedieuce ; it inculcates submiasion to sll authoritiea found established; who indeed are not to be ectively obeyed Fhen they command what religion forbids, but who sre not to be resisted, far leas rebolled against, for any amount of wrong to ourselves. And while, in the morality of the beat Pagan uetions, duty to the Stato holds even a disproportionste place, infringing on the just liberty of the individual; in purely Christian ethios, that grand department of duty is scarcely noticed or ecknowled $p$ It. It is in the Koran, not the New Testaneent, that we read the maxim-1 A ruler who appoints any man to an office, when there is in his dominions enother man better qualified for it, ains against God and againat the State. What little recoguition the ide of obligation to the public obtains in medera morality, is derived from Greek and homan gources, nut from Cbristian; as, even io the morality of
private life, whatever exista of magnar nimity, highmindedness, personal dignity, even the sense of honour, is derived from the purely human, not the religious part of our education, and never coold have grown out of a standard of ethics in which the only worth, professedly recognised, is that cf obedience.
$38 I$ am as far as any one from pretending that these defects are necassarily inherent in the Christian ethics, in every manner in which it can be conceived, or that the many requisites of a complets moral doctrine which it doos not contain, do not admit of boing reconciled with it. Far less would I insinuate this of the doctrines and precepts of Christ himself. I believe that the sagings of Christ are all, that I can see any evidence of their having been intended to be; that they are irreconcilable with nothing which a conprehensive morality requires; that everything which is excellent in ethics may be brought within them, with no greater violence to their language than has been done to it by all who have attempted to deduce from them any practical pratem of conduct what ever. But it is quite consiatent with this, to believe that they contain, and wore meant to contain, only a part of the trath; that many essential elements of the highest morality are among the thing which are not provided for, nor intended to be provided for, in the re conded deliverances of the Founder of Cbristiadity, and which have been entirely thrown aside in the rystem of ethica erected on the basis of those deliverances by the Christian Charch. And this being mo, I think it a great error to persist in attempting to find ir the Ciristian doetrize that complete role for our guidance, which ite suthor intended it to sanction and onforce, but only partially to provide. I believe, too, that this narrow theory is becoming a grave practical evil, detracting greatly from the moral training and instrnotion, which mo many well-meaning persons are now at length exerting themselves to promote. I mook fear that by attempting to form the mind and feelinge on an exclu.
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mively religious type, and discarding those secular standards (as for, want of a better name they may be called) which heretofore co-existed with and supplemented the Cbristian ethics, receiving some of its spirit, and infuaing into it some of theirs, there will result, and is even now resulting, a low, abject, aervile type of character, which, submit itself es it may to what it deems the Sopreme Will, is incapable of rising to or eympathizing in the nonception of Supreme Goodness. I believe that other othics than any which can be evolved from exclusively Christian sonrces, must exist side by sido. with Christian ethics to produce the moral regeneration of mankind; and that the Christisn gystem is $n 0$ exception to the role, that in an imperfect state of the buman mind, the interests of truth require a diversity of opinions. It is not necessary that in ceasing to ignore the moral trathe not contained in Christianity, men should ignore any of those which it does contain. Such prejudice, or eversight, when it occurs, is altogether an evil; but it is one from which we cannot hope to be alwaye exempt, and must bo regarded as the price paidior an ineatimable good. The exclusive pretension made by a part of the truth to be the whole, must and ought to be proteated against; and if a reactionary impulse should make the protestors unjust in their turn, this one-sidedness, like the other, mas be lamented, but nust be tolerated. If Christians would teach infidels to be just to Christianity, they should themselves bo just to inGdelity. It cas do truth no service to blint the fact, known to all who have the moat ordinary acquaintance with literary history, that a large portion of the noblest and most valuable moral toaching has beon the work, not only of men who did not know, but of men who knew and rejected, the Christian faith.
391 do not pretend that the most unlimited use of the freedom of enunciating all possible opinions would put an end to the evils of religious on philosophical sectarianism. Erery truth which men of narrow capacity are in earnest aluus in sure to be asecred,
inculcated, and in many wase oven acted on, as if no other truth existed in the world, or at all events none that could limit or qualify the first, I ace knowledge that the tendency of 'all opinions to become sectarian is not cured by the freest discussion, but is often heightened and exncerbsted thereby; the truth which ought to have been, but was not, seen, being re jected all the more violently because proclained by persons regarded as opponents. But it is not on the impas. sioned partisan, it is on the celmer and more disinterested bystander, that this collipion of opinions works its salutary. effects. Not the violent conflict between parts of the truth, but the guiet ouppression of half of it, is the formidable ovil; there is always hope when people are forced to listen to both sides; it is when they attend obly to one that errors harden into prejudices, and truth itself ceases to have the effect of truth; by being exaggerated into falsehood. And since there are fow mental attributea more rare than that judicial faculty which can sit in intolligent judgrent between two sides of a question, of which only one is represented by an adrocate before it, truth has no chance but in proportion as overy sido of it, every opinion which embodiee any fraction of the truth, not only finds adrocates, but is so adrocated as to be listened to.

40 We have now recognised the necus sity to the meutal well-being of mankind (on which all their other well. being deponds) of freedom of opinion, and freedom of the expression of opinion, on four distinct grounds; which we will now briefly recapitulato.
4f Firat, if any opinion is oompelled to silonce,- that opinion may, for aught we can certainly know, be true. I: deny this is to assume our own infallibility.
iLSceondly, though the silenced opinion be an orror, it may, and very commonly doen, contain a portion of trath; and since the general or prevailing opinion on any subject is rarely or nover the Whoio truth, it is only by tho callision of
nuverse opiniona that the rempinder of the truth has any chance of being supplied.

## 4

1 Inirdiy, even if the received opinion not only true, but the whole trith; unless it is suffered to be, and actually is, vigorously and earneatly contested, it will, by moet of thoes who receive it, be held in the mancer of a prejudice, with little comprehension or feeling of its rational grounds. And not only this, but, fourthly, the meaning of the doctrine itself will be in danger of being lost, or enfeebled, and deprived of ite vital effect on the character and conduct: the dogme becoming maore Torrual profession, inefficscious for gooit; but cumbering the ground, and proventing the growth of any neel and heartielt conviction, from reason-or persunal experience.
Ly Before quitting the subject of freeJuta of opinion, it is fit to talee some notice of those who asy, that the free expression of all opinions should be parmited, on condition that the manner be teruperate, and do not pass the bounds of fair discussion. Much might be said on the impossibility of fixing where these supposed bounde are to be place: : for if the toat be offence to lle:5e whose opinions are attacked, I think experience teatifies that this ofi ace is given whene for the sttack is telling and porrerful, and that evory opponcal whe postres them burd, and whom they find it dificult to answer, appears to them, if be shows an: sirong feeling on the subject, sa intesuperate opponent. Bat this, thoagh an importani cobsideration in a practical point of riew, merges in amore fuodamental objection. Enduabtedy tho manner of acserting an opinion, erea though it be atroo ones, may be very objectionsble, asd may justly incur eevers censure. But the priiscipsl offences of the kind are such as it is mostly impossible, unloss by accidental self-betrajal, to bring home to conriction. The graveat of them is, to argue eophistically, to ruppress fleta or argumenty, to misatato the elementa of the _cass, or misrepresent the oppo sire opinion. Bat all this, oven to the mant askravated icgree, is ao can-
tinually dono in perfect good faith, by persons who are not considered, and in many other reapecte may not deserve to be considered, ignotant or incompetent, that it is rarely possible, on sdequate grounde, acascientionaly to stamp the mierepresentation as morally culpable; and still less coold law presume to interfere with thit kind of controversial misconduct. With rogard to what is commanly meant by intemperato discussion, namoly inveo tive, sarcasm, personality, and the like, the denunciation of these weapons would deserve more apmpthy if it vere over proposed to interdiot them equally to both sides; but it is only desired to restrain the employmant of thems against the prevaiing opinion: against the unprevailing they may not only bo usod withont general dimapproval, but will be likely to obtain for him who usea them the praise of honest seal and righteou indigaation. Yot whetever mischiof arises from their une, il greateot when they are employed against the comparatively dofencollen; and whatever unfair ad vantape can be derived by say opinior from this mode of asserting it, scorus almost exclusively to received opinions The worst offence of this kind whick can be committed by a polernic, is tc. otigmatize those who hold the contrats opinion as bad and immoral men. To celumny of this sort, chose whe hold any unpopular opinion are peculintly exposed, because they are in general fow and uninfluential, and nobody bat themselves ieels much iaterested in seeing justice dove them; but this weapon is, from the nature of the case, denied to those who attack a proviling opinion: they can reither use it witk safety to thamselves, ror, if they could, would it do anything bat recoil or their own cause. In general, opinions contrars to those commonly received can caly obrain a hearing by studied moderation of language, and the most cautions avoidance of annecessary offence, from which they hardly over devinto even in a glight dogroe with. out loting ground: while unmbasured vitaporation employed on the aide of the provailiag opinion, really daot
deter peoplo from professing contrary opinions, and from listening to those who profess them. For the interest, therefore, of truth and jnetice, it is far mors important to restrain this employment of vituperative language than the other; and, for example, if it were necessary to choose, there woutd be much more necd to discourage offensive attacks on infidelity than on religion. It is, however, obvious that law and authority have no business with reetraining either, while opinion ought, in every instance, to determine its verdict by the circumstances of the individual case; condemning every one, on whichever side of the argument he places himself, in whose mode of advocacy either want of candour, or me-
lignity, bigotry, or intolerance of feeling manifest thernselves; but unt inferring these vices from the side Which a person takes, though it be the contrary side of the question to our own: and giving merited honour to every one, whatever opinion ho may hold, who has calmners to see and honeaty to state what his opponents and their opinions really are exaggerating nothing to their discredit, keeping nothing back which tells, or cin be cupposed to tell, in their favaur. This is the real morality of public disoussion: and if often violated, I um happy to think that there are many controversialists who to a great extent observe it, and a still greater number who conscienticusly strifit towands jt
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4 imperative that human beings ahould bo free to form opinions, and to express thoir opinions without reserve; sod buch tho beneful consequences to the intellectual, and through that to the moral nature of man, unless this liberty is either conceded, or asserted in spito of prohibition; let na next examine whether the game reasone do not roquire that men should be fres to act upon their opinions-to carry these out in their liver, without hindranco, either phrsicul or moral, from their fellow-men, so long as it is at their own nat and pe iil. Ihis last proviso is of conree indispeisable. No one pretends that actions should be as free as opinions. On the contrary, even opiniona lose their immunity, when the circumatancen in which thay are expressed are ench as to conatitute their expreas sion a positive instigation to some mischievous act. An opinion that corndealers are atarvers of the poor, or that private property is robbery, ought to bo anmaleated when aimply circulated through the proas, but may justly in-
cur punishment when delivered orally to an excited nob assembled beforo the house of a corn-dealer, or when handed about among the same mob in the form of a placard. Acta, of whatever kind, which, withoat justifiable cause, do harm to cillers, may be, and in the more important cases absolately require to be, controlled by the onfarvourable sentimente, and, when needful, by the active interlerence of minkind. The libeity of the indvidual must be thua far limited; he mast inot make himself a nuisance to other people. But if he refrains fron molesting othert in what concerns them, and nerely acta according to his own inclination and judgment in things which concern him-' solf, the same reasons.which show that opinion should be free, prove also that he should be allowed, without molestation, to sarry his opinions into practice at his own cust. That mankind are not infallible ; that their truths, for the most part, are only half-truths; that onity of opinion, unless realtiog from the fulle:st nad freest comparison of opposite opinions, im not dexirablo, and
diversity not arc evil, bat a good, nntil mantind are much more capable than at present of recognising all sidel of the trath, are principles applicable to men's modes of action, not less than to their opinions. Ae it inseful that while mankind are imperfect there should be different opinions, 30 it is that there ehould be different experiments of living; that free ncope should be given to varieties of character, ahort of injary to othera; and that the worth of different modes of life should be proved practicaltr, when any one thinke fit to try them. It is desirable, in short, that in things which do pot primarily concerp others, indivituntiny bhould assert itself. Where, not the percon's own character, but the tradiciona or customs of other poople are the rule of conduct, there is wanting one of the principal ingredients of humen happinens, and quite the chief ingredient of individual and social progress.

- In maintaining thin principle, the greatest difficulty to be oncountored doea not lie in the appreciation of means towards an acknowiodged end, bat in the indifference of persons in general to the end itself. If it were felt that the free development of individuality in one of the leading essentialoof rell-being; that it is not only - co-ordinate element with all that is designated by the terma civilization, instruction, educstion, cultare, but is iteelf a necessary part and condition of all thoee things; there would be no danger that hiberty should be undervatued, tind the adjustment of the boundnries between it and social control mould present no extraordinary difficulty. But the evil is, that indvidual apontaneity is hardly recognised by the common modes of thinking, as having any intrinsic worth, or deserving any regard $2 n$ its own account. The majority being astisfied with the Waye of mankind as they now are (for it in they who make thom what they are), cannot comprehend why thote ways abould not be good enough for everybudy; and what is more, aponicaneity forms no part of the ideal of the majority of moral and social reformera, but is rather looked on with jealousy,
as a troublesome snd perhape rebellions obatruction to the general accoptance of what these reformers, in their own judgment, think would be best for mankind. Few persons, out of Ger: many, even comprehend the meaning of the doctrine which Wilbelm von. Humboldt, so eminent both as a savant and as a politician, mado the text of a treatise-that *the end of man, or that which is prescrived by the eternal or immutable dictates of reason, and not suggested by vague and transient desires, is the highest and moat harmonione development of his powers to a complate and consistent whole;': that, therefore, the object 'towarde which every human bcing must ceass lessly direct his efforta, and on which aspecially ibose who design to influence their fellow-mon must evar keep their ejes, is the individuality of power and developmest;' that for this there are two requisites, 'freedom, and variety of situations;' and that from the union of these arise "individual vigorr and manifold diversity! which combine themselves in 'ariginality.'"

3. Little, however, as people are accuotomed to a dootrine like that of Von Humboldt, and surprising as it may be to them to find so high a value attached to individuality, the question, one mast nevertheless think, can only be one of degree. No one's ides of excellence in conduct is that people sinould do absolutely nothing but copy one another. No one would assert that pecple ought not to put into their modo of jife, and into the conduct of their concerns, any impreas whatever of their. own jodgnent, or of their own indivi. dual character. On the other hand, it would be absurd to protend that people ought to live as if nothing whatover had been known in the world before they came into it; as if experience had as yet done nothing towarde ahowing that one mode of existence, or of conduot, is prefersble to snother. Nobody denies that people chould be so taught and trained in youth, as to know and benefit by the ascertaizod

[^2]reaults of human experience. But it is tite privilege and proper condition of a human being, arrived at the maturity of his faculties, to ues and interpret experience in his own way. It is for him to find out what part of recorded experionce is properly applicable to his own circupastences and character. The traditions and customs of other people are, to a certain extont, evidenco of what their experience has taught them; presumptive evidence, and as such, have a claim to his deference: but, in the first place, their experience may be too narrow; or they may not have interpreted it rightly. Secondly, their interpretation of experience may be correot, but unsuitable to him. Cuntoms are made for cuatomary circumotancen, and customary charactere; and his circumstances or his character may be uncuatomary. 'Whirdly, though the customs be both good as custome, and suitable to hirm, yet to couform to custom, mexely as oustom, does not educate or develop in him any of the qualities which are the distinctive endcwment of a human being. The huy man facultice of perception, judgment;; discriminative foeling, mental activity, and eren moral preferouce, are exersised only in making a choice. He who does anything because it is the costom, makos no choice. Ho gaina no practice either in discerning or in desiring what is best, The mental and moral, like the musoular powers, sre improved only by being used. The faoulties are called into no exercise by doing a thing morely because others do it, no more than by believing a thing only because others believe it. If the grounds of an opinion are not conclasive to the person's own reason, his renson cannot be streugthened, but is likely to be weakened, by his adepting it: and if the inducetucnts to an act are not such as are cunsentaneous to his own foelinge and charscter (where affection, or thu right of others, are not concerned) it is so much done to wards rondoring his feelings and ohesacter inert and torpid, instead of active and ouergetic.
4 IIe who leta the world, or his own portion of ifg checse his plan of life for
bim, has no need of any other faculty than the ape-like one of imitation. He who chooses his plan for himself, ernploys all his faculties. He must use ob. servation to see, reasoning and judg-: ment to foresee, activity to gather ma, terisls for decision, discrimination to decide, and when he has decided, firmness and self-control to hold to hia delibersto decision. And theso qualitics he requires and exercises exactly in proportion as the part of his conduct which he determines according to his own judgment and feelinge is a larga one. It is possible that he might be guided in come good path, and kept. out of harm's way, without any of thees thinge. But what will be bis compas rative worth as a haman being? It really is of importance, not only what man do, but also what manner of men thoy are that do it. Among the works of man, which human life is rightly employed in perfocting and benutify. ing, the first in importance surely is man hiusself. Sapposing it were por sible to get hoases built, corn grown, battlos fought, causes tried, and oven churches erected and prayers said, by machinery-by sutomatons in human form-it would be a considerable loss to exchange for these automatons even the men and women who at present inhabit the more civilized parte of the world, and who assaredly are but starved spocimens of what pature can and will produce. Himan nature is not a machine to be built after a model, and set to do exactly the work prescribed for it, bat a tree, which rejurire: to grow and develop itself on all sides, according to the tendency of the inward forces which mako it a bving thing. 5 It will probably be conceded that it is desirable peoplo should exercise their understandings, and that an intelligent following of cuatom, or even occasionnily an intelligent deviation from car tom, is letter iban a blind and cimply mecisnical althesion to it. To a certain extont it is admitted, that orr anderatanding should be vur own : but there is not the rame willingness to admit that our deegiras and impulsea ahould be onr own likewise; or that to possess impuless of our omu, and of any
atrength is suything but a peril and a snare. Yet desires and impulses are as much a part of a perfect human being, as beliels and restraints : and strong impulses are only perilous when not properly balenced; when one set of eims and inclinations is developed into atrength, while others, mhich ought to coexist with them, remsin weak and inactive. It is not because men's desires are strong that they act ill; it is because their consciences are weak: There is no natural connexion between atrong impulses and a weak conscience. The natural connexion is the other way. To say that one person's desires and feelings are stronger and niore varions than those of another, is merely to say that he has more of the raw material of human nature, and is therefore capable, perhaps of more evil, but certainly of more good. Strong impulses are but anotber name for evergy. Energy may be turned to bad uses; but more good may always be made of an energetic nature, than of an indolent and impassive one. Those who liave most natural feefing are always those whose cuitivated feelings may be wade the strongest. The same strong susceptibilities which make the personal impulses vivid and powerfal, sre also the source from whense are gearsited the most passionate love of virtue, and the sternest self-control. It io through the cultivation of these, that society both does its duty and protects its interests: not by rejecting the stuff of which heroes are made, because it thows not how to raake them. A pereon whose desires and impuleses are his own-are the expression of his own nature, as it has been developed und nodified by his own culture-is said to have e character. One whoso desires and impulses are not his own, has no character, no more than a steam-engine has a character. If, in addition to being his own, his impulses are strong, and are uuder the government of a strong will, he has an energetic character. Whoever thinks that individaality of desirer and impuises should not be encouraged to utold itelt, acest maintain that society has no need of atroug natures-is not the better for
containing many persone who have much character-and that a high general average of energy is not desirable.

- In some early states of society, these forces might be, and were, too much ahead of the power which society then possessed of disciplining and controlling them. There has been a tinie when the element of spontaneity and-individuality was in excess, and the social principle had a hard struggle with it: The difficulty then was, to induce men of strong bodies or minds to pay obedienoe to sny roles which required them to control their impulses. To overcome thim diffeulty, law and diocipline, like the Popes struggling against the Imaperors, asserted a power over the whole man, clainaing to control all his life in order to control hisw character-which society had not found. any other sufficient means of binding. But society has now fairly got the better of individuality; and the danger which threatens human nature is not the excess, bat the deficiency, of perbonal impulses and preferences. Things are vastly changed, since the passions of those who were strong by station or by personal endowhent were in a state of habitual rebellion against laws and ordiasnces, and required to be rigorously chained up to enable the persons within their reach to esjoy any particle of semuty. In oar times, from the highest class of society down to the lowest, every one lives as under tho ege of a bostila sud dreaded censurship. Not only in whet concerns others, but in what concerna only thenselves, the individual or the family do not ast themselves-what do I prefer? or, what would suit my character and disposition? or, what would allow the best and higheat in me to have fair play, and enable it to grow and thrive? They ask themselves, what is suatable to my position? what is ueually done by persons of may bitation and peciniary circumstances? or (worse still) what is usually done by persons of a station and cricumstances suparior to mine? I de wot nean that they choose whath is customay, in preference to what suits their owa inclinathe It does
not ocour to them to have any inclination, except for what in customary. Thus the mind itself is bowed to the yoke: oven in what peoplo do for pleasure; conformity is the first thing thought of; they like in onowda; they oxercise choice only among thinga commonly done: peculiarity of taste, eccentricity of conduct, are shunned equally with crimes: until by dint of not following their own nature, they have no nature to follow : their human capacities are withered and starved: they become incapable of any strong wishes or native pleagures, and aro generally without oither opinions or feelinge of home growth, or properly their own. Now in this, or is it not, the deairable condition of haman nature?

7. It is 80 , on the Calvinistic theory. $\Delta$ According to that the one great is offence of man is aelf will. All the good of which homanity ja capable, is comprised in obedience. You have no choice; thus you must do, and no otherwise: ' whatever is not a duty, is a cin.' Human natare being radically corropt, there is no reder. iption for any one antil human natore is kiled within him. To one holding this theory of life, crushing out any of the human facalties, capacities, and susceptibilities, is no evil : man needs no capacity, but that of aurrendering himself to the will of God: and if he uses any of hia faoulties for any other purpose but to do that supposed will more effectually, he is better without them. This is the theory of Calvinism; and it is beld, in a mitigated form, by many who do not consider themselyes Calvinista ; the mitigation consiating in giving a less ascotic interpratation to the alleged will of God; asserting it to be his will that mankind slould gratify some of their inclinations; of conrse not in the manner they themselvos prefer, but in the way of obedience, that is, in a way prescribed to them by authority: and, therefore, oy the necessary condition of the case, the came for all.
8. In eonin auch insidious form, there is at present a stroug tendency to this carrow thepry of $\mathrm{Hife}_{1}$ and to the
pinched and lidebound type of haman character whinh it patronizes. Mlany persons, no doubt, sincerely think bhat human beings thas cramped and dwafed, are as their Maker denigned them to be ; just as many have thought that trees are a much finer thing when clipped into pollards, or cut ont into figures of animaly than as nature made them. But if it be any part of religion to believe that man was made by a good Being, it io more cousistent with that faith to believe, that this Being gape all haman faculties that thoy might be cultivated and onfolded, not rooted ont and consumed, and that he takes delight in every nearer approach mode by his creatures to the ideal conception embodied in them, every increase in any of their capabilities of compreheusion, of action, or of enjoyment. There is a different type of human excellence from the Calvinistic: \& conception of humanity as having its nature bestoved on it for other purposes than merely to be ab negated. 'Pagan melf-absertion' is one of the elements of human worth, as well as "Christian acli-deninl.'" There is a Greek ideal of elf-developinent, which the Platonic and Chriatian ideal of self.government blends with but does not supersede. It may bo better to be a John Knox than an Alcibiadea, bat it.is better to be a l'ericles thad either; nor would a Periclen, if we had one in these days, be without snything good which belonged to Jolin Knox.
4 It is not by wearing down into uniformity all that is individual in themselves, bat by cultivating it, and call. ing it forth, within the limits imposed by the rights and interests of others, that human beinga become a noble and beautiful object of contemplation; and as the works partake the character of those who do them, by the sante process human lifo also becomes rich, diversified, and aninating, frrnishing more a bundant aliment to high thoughte and elevating feclings, and atrengthening the tie which binde every individual to the race, by making the race infinitely better worth belonging to. In proportion to the developinent of - Btarling'o Esomáa.

## THE ELEMENTS OF WELT-BEIN

fhis individuality, each person becomes more valuable to himself, and is therefore capable of being more valuable to others. There in a greater fulness of life about his own existence, and when there is more life in the units there is enore in the mase which is composed of them. As much compression as is necessary to prevent the stronger epecimens of human nature from encroaching on the rights of others, cannot be dispensed with; but for this there is ample compensation even in the point of view of human development. The meane of dovelopment which the individual lomea by being provented from gratifying his inclinations to the injury of others, are chiefly obtained at the expence of the development of other people. And even to himeelf there is a full equivalent in the better development of the social part of his nature, rendored possible by the restraint put upon the selfish part. To be held to rigid rales of jnatice for the anke of othera, developes the feelings and capacitios which have the good of others for their object. But to be restrained in thinge not alfecting thoir good, by their mere displeasure, developea nothing valuable, except such force of charscter as may unfold itself in rasiating the reatraint. If acquiesced in, it dulls and blunts the whole nature. To give any fair play to the nature of ench, it is essential thes different persons should be allowed to lead different lives. In proportion as this latitude has been oxercibed in sny age, has that age been noteworthy to posterity. Even deapotism does not produce its worst effecta, so long as individuality exiata ander it; and whatover crushes individuality is denpotism, by whatever name it may bo called, and whether it professes to be enforcing the will of God or the injunctions of men.
10 Having asid that Individuality is the eame thing with development, and that it is ouly the cultivation of individuality which producea, or can produce, welli-developed human beingr, I might here oloss the argument; for what more or better can be said of any condition of human affain, than that
it bring hupfon beings fbanflive nearer to thefoest thing (igarcam hey or what worie cat foleatid of ar bis struction to good, thandesa it prevente. this? Doubtiess, however, ithepe wor sidorations wilf ent auffic to convinges those who most neet fonvincing; and it is necessary further to chow, that these developed human beings are of come use to the undeveloped- to point ont to those who do not desire liberty, and would not avail themselves of it, that they may be in some intelligiblo manner rewarded for allowing other people to make use of it without hindrance.
H. In the first place, then, $I$ would noggest that they might poseibly learn aoncthing from them. It will not be denied by anybody, that originality is a valnable element in human atfairs. There is alwaya need of persons not only to discover new truths, and point out when what were once truths are true no longer, but also to commence new practices, and set the example of more onlightened conduct, and better taste and sense in humen life. Thia cannot well be gainsaid by anybody who does not believe that the world hat already attained perfection in all ite ways and practices. It is true that this benefit is not capablo of being rendered by everybody slike: therf are but few persons, in compariso with the whule of nankind, whose $0 x$, periments, if adopted by others, would be bikely to be any improvement on eslablished practice. But these few are the salt oi the earth ; without them, huwan life would become a stagnant pool. Not ouly is it they who introduce good thinge which did not before exist; it is they who keep the lifo in those which alresdy exist. If there were nothing new to be done, would human intellect cense to benocessary? Would it be a reason why those who do the old things abould forget why they are done, and do them like cattle, not like human beinge? There is only too great a tendency in the beat boliefs and practices to degenerate into the mechanical; and unless there were a suocession of persons whose aver-recurring originality provente the groinda of
those beliefo and practices from becomiug merely traditional, suoh doad matter would not resist the emallest shock fromi anything really alive, and there woild be no reason why civilization should not die out, as in the Byzantine Empire. Persons of genius, it is true, are, and are alway likely to be, a emall minority; bot in order to have them, it is necessary to preserve the toil in which they grow. Genius can only breathe freely in an atmosphere of freedom. Persons of geniue Are, ax ni termini, more individual than any other peoplo-less capabie, consequently, of fitting theraselvea, without hurtiul compresgion, into any ( the small number of moulde which society provides in ordor to atave its members the trouble of forming their own charactor. If from timidity they sonsent to be forced into ono of these coonlds, and to let all that part of themselves which cannot expend under the pressure romain unoxpanded, society will be little the better for their genius. If they are of a astrong character, and hreak their fetters, they become a mark for the society which has not succeeded $m$ reducing them to commonplace, to point out with solemn warning as 'wild, ' 'erratio,' and the like ; much as if one chould complain of the Niagara river for not flowing emoothly betreen ita banks like a Dutoh canal.
H2 I ineist thus emphatically on the irmportance of gonius, and the necessity of allowing it to unfold itzalf freely both in thought and in praotice, being well awaro that no one will deny the position in theory, but knowing alao that almoat every ono, in reality, is totally indifferont to it. People taink genius a fine thing if it ensbles a man to write an exciting poem, or paint a picture. But in its true sense, that of originality in thought and action, thoogh no one anys that it is not a thing to be admired, neariy all, at hearh think that they can do very well without it. Unhappily this is too natural to be wondered at. Originality is the one thing which unoriginal minds cannot feel the use of. They cannot aee what it in to do for them: how showip thev? If they could see
what it would do for them, it would not be originality. The firat aervice which originality has to render thom, is that of opening their eyes: which being once fully done, they would have is chance of being themselves original. Meanmbile, recollecting that nothing was ever yet dove which some one was not the firgt to do, and that all good thinga whioh exist are the fruitu of originality, let them be modest enough to believe that there is something still left for it to accomplish, and assare themselves that they are more in need of originality, the less they are conscious of the want.
$B \operatorname{In}$ sober trulh, whatever homage may be profesed, or oven paid, to real or supposed mental superiority, the general tendency of thinge throughout the world is to render mediocrity the ascendant power among raankind. In ancient hisiory, in the Middle Ages, and in diminisling degree through the long transition from feudality to the present timo, the individual was power in himself; snd if he had either great talents or a hish social position, he was a considerable power. At present individuals are lost in the crowd. In politics it is almost a triviality to may that public opinion now rules the world. The only power deserving the name is that of massen, and of governments while they make themeclves the organ of the tendencies and instincto of mases. This is as true in the moral and social relationa of private life as in public transactions. those whose opinions go by the name of public opinion, are not always the same sort of public: in America they are the whote white population; in England, chiefly the middle class. But tuey are always a mass, that io to say. collective mediocrity. And what is a atill greater novelty, the mase do not now take their opinions from dignitaries in Church or State, from ostonsibla leaders, or froim booke. Their thinking is done for them by mea much like themselves, ad. dressing them or speaking in their name, on the spur of the moment, through the newspapors. I am not complaining of all tuis. I do not assert that anything better is compuntible, an

- general rale, with the present low state of the humsen mind. But that does not hinder the government of medioority from being mediecre government. No government by a democracy or a numerons aristocracy, either in its political acta or in the opinions, qualities, and tone of mind which it fosters, ever did or could rise above mediocrity, except in so far as the sovereign Many have let themselves be gaided (which in their best times they always have done) by the counsels and influence of a more highly gifted and inetructed One or Fow. The initiotion of all wise or noble things, comes and must come from individuals; genorally at firat from eome one individual. The honour and glory of the average man is that he is capable of following that initiative ; that he can respond interaally to wise and noble thinga, and be led to them with his oyes opon. 1 am not countenancing the sort of 'hero-worship' which applauds the strong man of genius for forcibly seiz. ing on the gorernment of the world and making it do his bidding in spite of iteolf. All he can claim is, freedom to point out the way. The power of cornpeling others into it, is not enly inconsistent with the five lom and development of all the rest, bat corrapting to the atrong man bimself. It does meern, bowever, that when the opiniona of masses of merely average mon are everywhere become or becoming the dominant power, the counterpoise sad corrective to that tendency would be, the more and more pronounced individuality of those who atand on the higher eminonces of thought. It is in thase oircumstances most especially that 9xceptional individuals, instead of being deterred, sliould be encouraged in actiog difforently from the mass. In other times there was no advantage in their doing so, unlesa they acted not only difierently, bat better. In this age, the mere example of nou conformity, the mere refual to bead the tnee to cuttom, is isself a service. Preoiely because the tyranny of opinion is auch at to make eccentricity a re. proaoh, it is dosirable, in order to wreak through that tyranny, that
people ahould be eccentric. Eiccontricity has always abounded when and where atrength of character has abounded; and the amount of ecceantricity in a society has generally been proportional to the amount of geniua, mental vigow, and moral conrage it contained. That so fow now dare to be eccentric, marks the chief danger of the time.
141 have asid that it in impostant to give the freest acope possible to uncustomary thinga, in arder that it may in time appear which of these are fit to be converted into coustons. But independence of action, and disregard of custom, are not solely deserving of encouragement for the chance they afford that better modes of action, and customs more worthy of general adop. tion, may be struck out ; nor is it only persons of decided mental saperiority who have a just claim to carry on their lives in their own way. There is no reason that all human existence should be constructed on some one or some small number of patterns. If a person possesses any toliorable amount of common sense and experience, his own mode of laying out fis existence is the best, not becanse it is the best in itsolf, but because it is his own mode. IIuman beings are not like sheep; and even sheep are not undis tinguishably alike. A man cannot get a oost or a pair of boots to fit him, unless they are cither made to bia measure, or he has a whole warehouneful to chonse from: and is it easier to fit bim with a life than with a coat, or are human beings more like one another in their whole phy. sical and apiritual conformation than in the shape of their feet? If it wero only that peoplo bave diversities nt taste, that is reason enough for not at tompting to shape them all aftor one model. But different persons also require different conditions for their spiritual development; and can no more exist heslthily in the amme moral, than all the variety of planta can in the same phyical, atniosphere and climate. The same thinge whioh are beips to one person towardr the cultiration of hischigher nature, are hid
drances to another. The same mode of life is a healthy excitement to one keeping all bis facalties of action and enjoyment in their best order, while to anothor it in a distracting burthen, Fhich suspends or croshes all internal lifo. Such sre the differences among haman beings in their cources of pleasure; their succeptibitities of pain, and the operation on them of different physical and moral agencies, that unless there is a corresponding diversity in their modes of life, they neither obtain their fair share of beppiness, nor grow up to the mental, moral, and sesthetio stature of which their nature is cepsble. Why then should toleranoe, es far as the public sentiment is concerned, extend only to tastes and modes of life which extort acquiescence by the mul titude of their adherenta 9 Nowhere (except in bome monastic institations) is diversity of taste ontinely uprecognised; a person may, without blame, either like or dislike rowing, or smokthg, or musio or atbletic exercises, or nhess, or carde, or etudy, because both thoee who like each of these thinge, and those who dialike them, ars too numerous to be pot down. But the man, and atill more the woman, who can be accused either of doing 'what nobody does,' or of not doing 'what everybody does,' is the subject of as much depreciatory remark es if he or the had committed some grave moral delinquercy. Persons require to possese a title, or come other badge of rank, or of the consideration of poople of rant, to be able to indulge somewhat in the luxury of doing es they like Fithout detriment to their estimation. To indulge nomewhat, I repeat: for whoever allow themeelves much of that indalgence, inenr the risk of something worse then disparaging apeechen-they are in peril of a commission do luration, and of having their property taken from them and given to their relations,*

There is something both comtemptible and EHghtful in the eort of ovidence on which, of late yeare, any person can be Judicially declared unat for the management of hla affirin; and after his death, hif dispotal of his property can be set aside, if there ts enough of it to pay the exparios of litige.

15 There is ons characteristic of the prasent direction of public opinion, peculiarly calculated to make it intolerant of any marked demonstration of individuality. The general sverage of mankind are not only moderate in intellect, but also moderate in inclinstions: they have no tastes or wishes erong enough to incline them to do anything unusual, and they conoequently do not underatand those who have, and class all euch with the wild and intemperste whom they are eccustomed to look down upon. Now, in addition to this fact, which is general; we have only to suppose that a etrong movement has set in towards the improvement of morals, and it is evident what we have to expect. In these days such a movement has set in; much has actually been effected in the way of increased regularity of conduct, and discouragement of excesses; and there is a philanthropio spirit abroad, for the exercise of which there is no more inviting field than the moral and pradential improvement of out followcrestures. These tendencies of the times cause the public to be more dis-
tion-which awe charged on the property Itself. All the minute detalle of his dailly life are prited tito. and whaterer to found which, ween through the medium of the por. celving and describing faculties of the iowest of the low, beara nn appearance unllike aboolute commonplace, 18 laid before the jury a evidence of insadity, and often with rucceas: the jurors being hitle, if at all, lean vulgar and ignormint than the witnossee; while the judges, with that extraondinary want of Enowledge of trumsan nature and ufe which continanly satonishea ua in Engithi langers, ofien help to millead them. These trifle upenk volumen at to the giate of foeling and opinlon among the rulgar with regard to haman liberty. So far from retting any value on individuality $\rightarrow 0$ fir from reepeciing tha Night of esch individual to act, ta things indifrerent, as seema good to hia own Judgment and fncilnatlona, jadges and juries cannot oven conceive that a perion in if wate of madity can desire such fresdom. In former dinge, when it whe proposed to burn acheiste, charitable people uted to magrest putting them in a madhouse instead: it would be nothing surprising nowe-zdays vere we to wee thif dono, and the doers applauding themselves, becausse instead of petsmeuting for religion, they had edopted so bumane and Cbritilin a trode of trating thees unfortunaten, not without a whent ${ }^{3}$. thafretion at their having thervby obtationd thair deserts.
posed than at most former periods to preacribe general rules of condact, and endeavour to make every one conform to the approved standerd. And that standard, exprese or tacit, is to desire nothing etrongly. Its ideal of character is to be without any marked character; to maim by compresaion, like a Chinese lady's foot, every part of human nature which stands out prominently, and tends to make the person markedly dissimilar in outline to commonplace humanity.
ff As is usually the case with idenle Which exclude one-helf of what is desirable, the present ataudard of approbation produces only au inferior imitacion of the other balf. Instead of great energiea guided by vigorous reason and atrong feelinge strongly controlled by a conscientions will, its rerult is weat feeling and weak energies which therefore can be kept in outwand conformity to role without any strength either of will or of reason. Already energetic characters on any large scale are becoming merely traditional. There is now ecarcely any outlet for energy in this country except business. The energy exponded in this may atill be regarded as cousiderable. What littlo is left from that employment, in expended on some hobby; which may be a useful, even a philanthropic hobby, but is always some one thing, and cenerally a thing of suall dimensions. The greatness of England is now all collective : individualig snall, we only appear capable of anything great by our halit of combining; and with this our moral and religious philanthropists are perfectly contented. But it was men of another stamp then this that made England what it has been ; and nien of another stamp will be needed to provent ite decline.
17. The despotiam of oustom is everyWhere the standing hindranoe to human indvancoment, being in unceasing anitagoniem to that disposition to aim at something betterthan customary, whioh is called, sccording to circumstances, the spirit of liberty or that of progress or improvement. The apirit of improvemont is not always a apirit of liberty, for it mow aim at forcing inprovements
on en unvilling people ; and the opirit of liberty, in so far as it resista such attempts, may ally itself locally and temporarily with the opponents of im. provement ; bat the only unfailing and permanent source of improvement is liberty, since by it there are as manyf possible independent centres of imp provement as there are individuals, The progressive principle, however, in oither shape, whether as the love of liberty or of improvement, is antagonietio to the eway of Custom, involving at least emancipation from that yoke ; and the conte日t between the two constitutes the chiefinterest of the history of mankind. The greater part of the world has, properly speating, no history, because the despotism of Custom is complete. This is the cass over the whole East. Custom is there in all thinga, the final appeal; justice and right mean conformity to custom; the argument of custom no one, unless some tyrant intoxicated with power, thinks of resisting. And we wee the resalt. Those nations must once have had ori. ginality; they did not start out of the ground populoas, lettered, and versed in many of the arts of life; they mado themselves all this, and were then the greatest and most powerful nations of the world. What are they now? The subjects or dependents of triber whose forefathers wandered in the foreste when theirs hed magnificent palaces * and gorgeous temples, but over whom custom exercised only a divided rulo with liberty and progress. A peoplo, it appeara, may be progressive for a certain lengti of time, and then stop: when does it stop? When it ceases to possess individuality. If a similar change should befall the nationg of Europe, it will not be in exactly the seme shape: the despotiem of castom with which these nations are threatened is not precisely atationsriness: It proscriben singularity, but it does not preolude change, provided all chango together. We have diacerded the fixed costumes of our forefathers; overy ons must still dreas liko other people, but the faakion may change once or twice a year. We thu take care that when there in change, it shall be for
clange's take, and not from any idea of beanty or convenience; for the same iden of beauty or convenience would pot strike all the world st the same moment, and be simultaneonaly thrown aside by all at another moment. But we are progressive as well at change. able : we comtinually make new inventions in mechanicsl things, and keep them until they are again superseded by better; we are eager for improvement in politics, in education, even in morals, though in this last our iden of improvement chiefiy consists in persanding or forcing other people to be as good as ourselves. It is not progress that we object to; on the contrary, we fiatter ourselves that we are the most progressive people who ever lived. It is individuality thet wo war against: we ahould think we had done wonders if tre had made onrsclves all alike; forgetting that the unlikenesf of one person to another is generally the first thing which drawe the aitention of cither to the imperfection of his ofn type, and the superiority of nuother, or the possibility, by combining the ad. vantages of holiz, of producing something better than either. Wo have a warning example in China-a nation of much tnlent, and, in some respects even wisdom, owing to the rare good fortune of having been provided at an early period with a particularly good set of cuaton!s, the work, in some measure, of pien to whom cven the most enlighteued Enropean must accond, under certain limitations, the tirle of anges and philosophers. They are remarkable, too, in the excellence of their ap. paratus for inpreseing, as far as possible, the best wiedom they possess upon every mind in the community, and eecuring that those who bave sppropriated most of it shall oceupy the posts of honour and power. Surely the prople who did this have discorered the secret of human progiessivenes.. and must have kept themse?res steaditr at the head of the movement of the would. On the contrary; they have becoma stationary-bare remained so for thousands of years; and it they are ever to be farther improved, it muat be by foreiguers. They have succeeded
beyond all hope in what English phis lanturopists are so industrioualy working at-in making a people all alike, sll governing their thoughts and conduct by the same maxims and rules; and these are the fruits. The modern regime of public opinion is, in an urorganized form, what the Chinese edrcational and political systems are in an organized; and unlesg individuality ahall be able succesafully to assert itself against this yoko, Europe, notwithatanding its noble anteoedents and its professed Christianity, will tend to be come another China.
$1 \mathcal{S}^{\text {What }}$ is it that has hitherto proserved Europe from this lot? What has made the European family of na tions an improving, instead of a sta tionary portion of mankind? Not any superior excellence -in them, which, when it exists, exists as the effect, not as the cause; but their remarkable diversity of character and culture. Individunls, classes, nations, have been extremaly unlike one another: they have struck out a greas variety of pathe, each leading to something valuable; and although at every period those who travelled in different paths have been intolerant of one another, and each would have thought it an excellent thing if all the reat could have been compelled to travel his road, their attempts to thwart each other's development have rarely liad any permanent success, and each has in time endured to receive tho good which the othera have offered. Europe is, in my judgment, wholly ivdebted to this plarality of patho for its progressive and manysided development. But it slready begins to possess this benefit in a considerably less degrics. It is deciderily advancing towards the Chinese ideal of making all people alike. M. de Too queville, in his last important work, remarks how much nore the Freschmen of the present day resemble one another, thnn did those cren of the last generation. The same remark might be made of Englishmen in a far greater degree. In a pasbage already quoted froma Wilhelm von Humboldt, he points out two things at recessary conditions of human dovelopment, Lecauce necessary
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to render people unlike one another; namely, freedom, and variety of bitnation. The second of these two coñditions is in this country overy day diuninishing. The circumstances which untronnd different classes and individuals, and shape their characters. are daily becoming more assimilated. Formerly, different ranks, diflerent neighbourhoods, different trades and professions, lived in what might be called diferent worlds; at present to a creat degree in the same. Comparatively spesking, they now read the same things, listen to the aame things, see the same thinge, go to the same places, havo their hopes and fears directed to the same objecte, have the same rights and liberties, and the same means of asserting them. Great as are the differences of position which remain, they are pothing to those which have ceased. And the assimilation is still proceeding. All the politionl changes of the age promote it, since they all tend to raise the low and to lower the high. Every extension of education promotes it, because edncation brings people under common influences, and gives them access to the gederal stock of facts and sentiments. Improvement in the means of communication promotes it, by bringing the inhalitante of distant places into pereonal contact, and keeping op a rapid flow of changes of residence betwenn one place and ansther. The increase of commerce and manufactores from moten it, by diffising more widely the advantages of ensy circumstances, and opening all objects of ambition, eren the highest, to general competition, whereby the desire of rising becomes no longer the character of a particular class, but of all classes. A more powerful agency than eren all these, in bringing sbout a general similarity
among mankind, is the complete tablishment, in this and other free conntries, of the ascendaner of public opinion in the State. As the varions social eminence which enabled per sons entronched on them to dieregard the opinion of the moltitude, gradually become levelled; as tite very idea of resisting the will of the public, when it is positively known that they have a will, disappears more and more from the minds of practical politicians; there ceases to be any social support for nonconformity-any substantive power in society, which, itself opposed to the ascendancy of numbers, is intorested in taking under its protection opinions and tendencies at variance with those of the public.
9. The combination of all theme canses forms so great a mass of influences 'rostile to Individuality, that it is not easy to see how it can stand its ground. It will do so with increasing dificulty, unless the intelligent part of the public can be made to feel íts value-to seo that it is good there should be differences, even thongh not for the better, even though, as it may appear to them, some abould be for the worse. If the olaims of Individaality are over to be asserted, the time is now, while much is etill wanting to complete the enforced assimilation. It is only in the esrlier atagos that any stand can be successfully made against the enoroachment. The demand that all other people shall resemble ourselves, grows by that it jeeds on. If resistsuce waits till life is reduced nearly to one uniform trpe, ail deviatious from that trpe will come to be considered inpious, immoral, even molstrone and contrary to nature. Mankind apeedily become unabie to conceive diversity, when they bave been for some time unecoustomed to soe it.
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What, then, is the rightfol limit to the sovereignty of the individual over bimself? Where does the anthority of society begin? How much of human life ahoold be assigned to individuality, and how much to society?
2 Each will receive its proper ahare, if ench has that whioh more particularly concerns it. To individuality should belong the part of life in which it is chiefy the individual that is interested; to society, the part which cbiefly inseresta society.
3. Thongh society is not founded on a contract, and though no good porpose ia answered by iaventing a contract in order to deduce social obligat tions from it, every one who receives 14 he protection of society owes a roturn (for the benefit, and the fact of living in
society renders it indispenseble that ceach should bo bound to observe a certain line of conduct towards the rest. This conduct consists, first, in not injoring the interests of one anociure; or guther oertain interesty, which, titter by expresa legal provieion or by tacit anderstanding, ought to te considered as rights; and secondly, in each person's bearing bis share (to be fixod on come equitable principle) of the labours and sacrifices incurred for defending the wociety or its memberi from injury and molestation. These conditions socicty is justified in enforoing, at all costa to those who endeavour to withluotd fulfilment. Nor is tbis all that society may do. The acts of an individnal mas be hurtful to othere, or wanting in due consideration for their welfare, without g : ing to the lengre ci violating any of the: 's sunstituted righte. The ofender may ben be justly punished by opinion. though not by law. As soon as any part of a person's condnot affeote prejudicially the intereste of othere, society has jurisdiction over ith and the question whether the genesal wellare will or will not be promoted
by interfering with it, becomes open to discascion. But there is no room for ontertaining any such question when a person's conduct affects the interesta of no persons besides himself, or needs not affect thens unless they like (all the persons concerned being of foll age, and the ordinary sinount of onderstand ing). In all such vases, there should be perfect freedom, legal and social, to do the action and stand the consoquences.
4 It would be a great misonderstanding of this doctrine, to suypose that it is one of selfish indiltierecce, which pretends that human beings have no business with each other's conduct in life, and that they should not concern themselves about the well-doing or well. being of one another, unless their own interest is involvel. Instead of any diminution. there is need of a great increase of disinterested exertion to promote the good of others. But disinrerested benerolence car: find other instruments to perssuade peeple to their good, than whips and scourges, eithor of the literal or the metaphoricsl sorth I am the last person to undervalue the self:regarding virtues; they are only second in importance, if even second, to the social. It is equally the busiDess of education to cultivate both. But even education works by convio tion and persuasion as well as by compulsion, and it is by the former only that, when the period of education in presed, the self-ragarding virtues shoold be inculcated. Human beings owe to each other belp to distinguish the hetter from the worse, and encouragement to choose the former and avoid the latter. They should bo for ever stimulating esch other to increabed exercise of their bigher faculties, and increased direction of :beir feelinge and aims towards wise :nstead of toolish, elevating instead si degrading, objects and contemplations. But neither one
person, nor any numier of persons, is wartanted in saving to another buman creature of ripe years, that be shall not do with his life for his own benefit what he chooses to do with it. He is the person most interested in his own well-being: the interest which any other person, excent in cases of strong personal attachment, cail have in it, for trificg, compared with that which be linself has; the interest which societr has in him individually (except as to his conduct to others) is fracuional, and altogether indirsot: while with respect to his own feelinge and circumntances, the most ordinary man or woman has meane of knowledge im. measurably surpassing those that can be possessed by any one olse. The interterence of society to overrule his judgment and purposes in what only regards himself, wust be grounded on genersi presusptions; which may be altugether wrong, and even if right are as likely as not to be misapplied to individual cases, by persona no better acquainted with tho circumstances of such cases than those are who look at then mereiy from without. In this department, therefore, of human atlais, Iudividuality has its proper field of action. In the conduct of human beinge towanda one anotber, it is necessary that general rules should for the most part be obsewed, in order that peoplemay know what they have to expect: but in cacl person's own concerrs, his individual spontaneity is ontitled to free exercise. Considerations to aid his judgment, exhortatiens to strengthen his will, may be ofiered to him, evon obtruded on him, by others; but he himbelf is the final judge. Ail errors which he is likely to commit against advice and warning, are far outweighed by the evil of allowing othere to constrain him to what they deent hie good.
$\mathcal{F I}$ do not mean that the feelings with which a person is regarded by others, ought not to be in any way affected by hies selfregarding qualities or deficiencies. This is neither possible nor desirable. If he is emiuent in any of the qualities which conduce to his ona good, he is, 80 far, a proper object of
admiration. He is 80 much the nearer to the ideal perfection of humass ne turo. If he is grossly deficient in those qualities, asentiment the opposite of admiration will follow. There is a degree of folly, and a degree of what may be called (though the phrase ia not unotjectionable) lownega or depravation of taste, which, though it cannot justify doing harm to the person who mani. fests jt, renders him recessarily and properlj a subject of distaste, or, in extrems cases, even of contempt: \& person conld not have the opposite qualities in due atrengih without entertaining these feelinge. Thaugh doing no wrong to any one, s person may 90 act as to compel us to judge him, and feel to him, es a fool, or as a being of an inferior order: and sinces thia judgmant and feeling are a fact which he would prefer to ayoid, it is doing him se sorvice to warn him of it beforehand, as of any other disagreeable consequenco to which he exposes hinuself. It would be well, indeed, if this good oflice ware much more freely rendered tinn the common notions of politeness at present permit, and if one persou could honeatly point out to another that he thinks him in fault, without being considered unmannerly or presuming. We have a right, also, in rarious ways, to act upon our unfavourable opinion of any nue not to the oppression of his individualits, but in the excrise oi ours. We are not bound, ior example, to seat tis society; we have a righr to avoid it , though not to parade th:e aroidance; jor we have a rigiat to choose the society most ao coprabie to us. We have a right, and it may be our duty, to caution others against him, if we think hia example or conversation dikely to have a pernicious effect on those with whom he asseciates. We may give othera a preference ovier him in optional goo? ottices, exoept those which tend to hir improvement. In these various moder a person may euffor very aevere penalties at the hands of others, for faulto whici directly concern only himself; but be suffers thess penalites only ic 30 far as they are the natural, and, as it were, the spontaneous consequences
of the faults themselves, not because they are purposely inflicted on him for the sake of ponishment. A person who shows rabhness, obertinacy, self-conceit-who cannot live within moderate menns - who cannot restrain himedf from hurtiul indulgeoces-who pursues animal pleasures at the exponso of those of feeling and intellect -muet expect to be lowered in the opinion of others, and to have a lean eliare of thair favourable sentiments; bot of this he has no right to complain, onless he has merited their favour by special excellence in his social reletions, and has thus establiehod a tizle to their frod offees, which is not affected by his demerits towarda himself.
6 What I contend for is, that the inconvenieuces which are ntricily inseptr rable from the nnfavourable judgment of otbers, are the only onea to which a person should over be mubjected for that portion of his conduct and cbaracter which concerns his own good, bat which does not affect the interests of othors in their relations with him. Acta injurious to others require a to tally different treatmemt. Encroschment on thair rights; juffiction on them of any lows or daruage not justified by his own rights; fatechood or duplicity in dealing with them ; unfair or ungenerous use of advantagoes over them ; even esfifibh abstinonce from dofending them against injury-thess are fit oljects of moral reprobation, and, in grave casee, of moral retribntion and punishment. And not only theae acts, but the dispositions which lead to them, are properly immoral, and fit suljects of diisapprobation which may rise to abhorrence. Cruelty of dispraition: malice and ill-nature; that most anti-sucinl az!! milious of all pasvions, envy; dissiantation ond ineincority; iruscibility ou insuticient canee, and reesentment disproporitioned to the provocation; the love of domineering over others; the desire to ongross more than one'p ahare of advantugos (the $\pi$ deoretia of the Greeks); the pride which derives gratification from the abasement of others; the egotian which think self aud its cod-
cerna moro importnnt than overything else, and decides all doubtiul questions in its own favour;-these afo moral vices, and constitute a bad and cliour moral character: unliko the selfire garding faults proviously mentioncd, which are not properly immoralitica and to whatever pitch they may be carried, to not constituto wickedneas They may be proofs of any ainoont ol folly, or want of parsonal dignity and selli-respect; but they are only a sulject of moral reprobation when they involve a breach of dity to others, fur whose sake the indivitian is bound to have care for himeelf. What aro called duties to ourselves are not socially obligatory, unless circumsthaces render them at the same time duti-s to otherr. The torm duts to onexelf, when it means anythivg more than prudence, nieans aeli.respect or self.derelopment; and for none of these is any one acconntable to his fellow.creaturos, because for none of thems is it for the good of mankind that he be held wo. countable to them,
7 The distinction between the lose of consideration which a person mas rightly incur by defect of pratifnce or of personal dignity, nad the reprobation which is due to hin for an offence against the rights of orhers, is not a merely nominal distinction. It makes a vast difference both in our feetings and in our conduct towerds him, whe ther he displeases us in thiugs in which we think wo have a right to centrol him, or in things in which we know that wo have not. If he digp!enses un, we may express our distaste, and we way stand aloof from a person us well as finum a thing that displeases na; bur we elinll not therefore feel called on to make his life uncomfortable: We shall reflect that be already beare, or will benr. the whole fenalty of his arror; if be spuize lis fite by mismanugemont, we sball not, ior that rexnoit desire to spoil it still iurtber: ingtead of wishing to punieh hiro, we aball rather endeavour to allevinte lis ponikhunent, by shoning hira toor he masy areid or cure the evils bis corduct ienn's ow bring mion lim. He nay bn to us an cbiect of pits. wreaps of dis
like, but not of anger or resentiment; wh shall not treat him like an enemy: of nociety: the woryt we ghall thinit ournelves justifled in doing is leaving bim to himeelf, if we do not interfere begovoleatity by mowing interest or concorn for him. It is tar otherwise if he hat infringed the rules neceesary for the rrotection of his fellowerea. tures, individually or collectively. The ovil consequences of his acta do not then fall on himself, but on others ; and eociety, as the protector of all ite mombers, must retaliate on him; must inflict pain on lim for the express purpose of punishment, and mast take care that it be oufficiently tovere. In the one agese, he ia an offender at our bar, and we are salledrom not only to sit in judgroent on him, but, in one shiape or another, to execine our own sentence: in the other rase, it is not our part to inflict any suffering on him, except What may incidentilly follow from our using the alame liberty in the regulation of our own affairs, which we allow to him in his.
The distinction here pointed ont between the part of a person's life which concerne only himsolf, and that which concerns others, many pexpont will re: fuse to admit: How (it may be asked) otan any part of the conduct of s. momber of society be a matter of indifierence to the other members? No person is en entirely isolated being; it is imporwible for a person to do anything seriously or permanently hartful to himaself, without misobief reaching at least to bis near oonnoxionts, and often far borond them. If he injures his property, be does harm to those who directly or indirecthy lerived mpport from it, and usualy diminishen, by a greater or lebs amount, the general rebourcei of the community. If ho deteriontites his bodily or mental faculties, he not only bring evil upon all who depended on him for any portion of their happiness, but disqualifies himsolf for rendering the mervices which he owes to his fellow creatures generally; perbaps becomes $a$ burthen on their affection or benevo lence; and if such conduct were very frequent, hardly any offence that is cosmitted would detraot more from the
goneral smm of good. Finully, if liy his fices or follies a pertion does no direot haiems to others, he is nevertheloge (it may be maid) fnjutions by his example; and ought to be compelled to control. himeolf, for the: selke of those whom the sightior knowledge of his conduct might corrupt or mialead.
q. And oven (it will bo added) if tho consenuences, of misconduct could be confined to the vicious or thoughtlega individual, ought rociety to abandon to their own guddance those who are manifestly unfit for it? If protection against themeelves is confessedly due to children and persons under age, is not $19-$ ciety equally bound to effiond it to persons of mature yeare who are equally
 bling, or drankombeis, or inoontiinezios, or idlenesg, or medoentimess; are ai ia jurious to hipppation, and at gretts hindrance to improveroerit, as miaty or most of the acts prohibited by law, why (it may be asked) should not lant, so far as is consistent rith practicebility and social convenience, endeavour to repress these also? And as a supplement to the unavoidable imperfeo tions of law, onght not opinion at least to organize a powerful police againat theme vicen, and visit rigidly with social penalties those who are known to praotise thern? There is no question here (it may be said) aboat restrioting iadividuality, or impeding the trial of new and original experiments in living. The only things it is sought to prevenit are things whish have heen tried and condemnea from the begianing of the vorld until now; things which experience has shows not to be useful or suitable to any person's individuality. There munt be some lenglh of time and amoutht of experience, after which a moral ofita: dentialitruih may be ragarded sa edta: blished: and it is merely desirea to prevent generation after generiation from falling over the same precipice which lasa been fatal to their predscessors.
1 I fully admit that the mischief wielich a person does to himbelf may seriously affect; both through their sympathied and their interesti, those nearly connected with him, and in a minor degroe,
society at largo. When, by conduct of this sort, a person is led to violate a diatinct and sasignable obligation to any other person or persons, the case is taken out of the selk-regarding olases, and becomes amensble to moral disapprobation in the proper sense of the term. If, for oxample, a man, through intemperance or extravagance, becomes unnble to pay his debta, or, having ondertaken the moral responsibility of a family, becomea from the some cause incapable of supporting or educating them, he is deservedly reprobated, and might be juntly punished; but it is for the breach of duty to his family or oreditore, not for the extravagance. If the resources which ought to have been devoted to them, had been diverted from them for the most prudent investment the moral culpability would havo been the aame. George Barnwell murdered lis uncle to get money for his mistrese, but if he had done it to met himself up in business, he would equelly luave been hanged. Again, in the froouent case of a man who canseas grief to his fancily by addiction to bad habita, be deserves reprosch for his unkindness or iugratitude; but so he may for cultivating habita not in themselves vicious, if they are painful to thase with whom he passes lins life, or who from personal ties ars dependent on him for their comfort. Whoever fails in the cousideration genersily due to the interests and feelings of others, not being compelled by some more imperative daty, or justified by allowable selfpreference, is as subject of moral disapprobation for that failure, but not for the cause of it, nor for the errora, inerely personal to himself, whioh may have remotely led to it. In like man. ner, when a person disables himself, by couduct purely self-regarding, from the zerformance of some definite duty in. oumbent on him to the publio, he is guilty of as social offence. No parson ought to be panished aimply for being druuk; but a soldier or a policeman chould be puniahed for being drunk on duty. Whenever, in ahort, there in a definite damage, or a definite risk of damage, either to an individual or to the pultio, the ogse in teken out ul tine
province of liberty, and placed in that of morality or law.
$/ /$ But with regard to the merely oontingent, or, as it many be called, constructive injury which è porson cansea to society, by conduct which neither violatee any specifio duty to the public, nor orcasions perceptible hurt to any assigosble indívidual except himsell; the inconvenience is one which nociety can afford to bear, for the anke of the greater grod of haman freedom. If grown porsons are to be punished for not taking proper care of themselves, 1 would rather it were for their own sake, than ander pretence of preventing them from impeiring their capacity of rendering to society benefits which society does not pretend it has a right to exaot. But I cannot consent to argue the point as if society luad no meana of bringing its weaker members up to ite ordinary standard of rational conduct, except waiting till they do something irrational, and then puniahing them, legally or morally, for it. Society has had absolute power over them during all the early portion of their existence: it hop had the whole period of clildhood and nonage in which to try whether it could make them capable of rational conduct in life. The existing generation is master hath of the training and tho entire circumstanees of the generation to come ; it canoot indeed make then perfectly wise and good, because it is iterlf to lamentably deficient in groodness and wisdom; and ita best efforts are not alway, in individual casee, ite most tuccessidid ones ; but ít is pericetly well able to maise the rising generation, as a wholo, as gord as, and a little botter thnn, itself. If society lets any considerable nuraber of its memibers grow up mere children, incapable of being acted on by rational consideration of distant motives, suciety has it. self to blame for the conseyuences. Armed not only with all the powers of education, but with the ascendancy which the authority of a raceived opinion alvays exercises over the minde who are least fitted to judge for them selves ; and aided by the natural penat. ties which oannot bo prevanted from falling on those who ineor the distagte
or the contempt of those wha know them; let not society pretend that it neede, besides all this, the power to iseue commenda and enforce obedience in the personal concerns of individuale, in which, on all principles of justice and policy, the decision ought to rest with those who are to abide the coneequences. Nor is there anything which tends more to discredit and frustrate the betier meana of influencing conduct, than a resort to the worse. If there be among those whom it is attempted to coerce into pradence or temperance, any of the material of which vigoroos and independent characters are made, thoy will infallibly rebol against the yoke. No zuch permon will over feel that others have a right to comtrol him in his concerns, such an they have to prevent him from injuring them in theirs; and it eaaily pomea to be considered a marts of epirit and courage to tly in the face of such ururped authority, and do with ostentation the axact opposite of what it onjoins ; as in the fashion of grossness which sucoeeded, in the time of Charies II, to the fanatical moral intolerance of the Poritank. With respect to what is said of the necessity of protecting eociety from the bad example set to others by the vicions or the self-indal gent ; it is true that bad example may have a pernicioun effect, especially the example of doing wrong to others with impunity to the wrong-doer. But wo are now speating of conduct which, while it doen no wrong to others, is mupposed to do great harm to the egent himself: and I do not see how these Who bolieve this, can thint otherwise than that the example, on the whole, must be more salutary then hurfoul, since, if it displays the misconduct, it displaya also the painful or degradiag consequences which, if the conduct is justly censured, must be muppoed to bo in all or moat oasea attendant on it
2 But the etrongeat of all the argumente against the interference of the publio with purely perwonal conduct is that when it dees interfere, the odds are that it interferes wrongly, and in the wrong place.) On questions of
mocial morality of duty to otherg, the opimion of the public, that is, of an overruling majority, though oftea wrong, is lifely to be otill oftenea right; because on suciz questions they are only required to judge of their own interests; of the manner in which some mode of conduct, if allowed tr be practised, would affect themselves But the opinion of a similar majority, imposed as a law on the minority, on questions of self-regarding conduct, is quite as likely to be wrong sa right; for in these ceseo public opinion means, at the best, come people's opinion of what is good or bad for other people ; while very often it does not even mean that; the public, with the most perfect indifference, passing over the plenswre or convenience of those whose conduct they censare, and considering only their own preforence. There are many who consider as an injury to them. melves any conduct which they have a distaste for, and retent it as an outrage to their feolinge; as a religious bigot, when charged with disregarding the religions feelings of othera, has beon known to retort that they disregand his feelinga, by persisting in their abominalule worehip or creed. But there is no parity between the feeling of a person for bis own opinion, and the feeling of another who is offended at his holding it; no more than between the desire of a thief to take a purse, and the desire of the right owner to keep it. And a person's taste is as much his own peculiar concern as his opinion or his purse. It is easy for any one to imagine an ideal publia, which leaven the freedom and ohoice of individuala in all uncertain mattors undisturbed, and only requirss then to abstain from modes of conduct which universal experience has condermed. But where has there been seen a publio which set any such limit to its censorship? or when does the public troable itself about universal experience? ln ita interferences with personal condact it is seldom thinking of angthing but the enomity of acting or feoling differently from itself; and this standard of judgment, thiuly disguised, is hald up to mankind ao the. dictate of
religion and philosophy, by nine-tenthe of all morslists and speculative writers. These teach that things are right beonuse they are right; becanse we feel them to be so. They tell na to search in our own minds and hearts for laws of condact binding on ourselves and on all others. What cant the poor publio do but apply these instructiong, and make their own personal foelings of good and evil, if they are tolerably snanimons in them, obligatory on all the world?
13. The ovil here pointed out is not one which exist only in theory; and it may perhaps be expected that I should apecify the instances in which the public of this age and country improperly invests its own preference日 with the character of moral lasw. Ri am not writing an esary on the aberrations of existing moral fepling. That is too Foighty a sobjeot to be discussed paronthetically, and by way of illustration. Yet examples are necessary, to sbow that the principie I maintain is of serious and praoticsi moment, and that I am not endeavouring to erect a barrier againat imaginary evils. And it is not difficult to ehow, by abondant instances, that to extend the bounds of Qhat way be called moral police, ontil it encroaches on the most unquestionably legitimate liberty of the individual, is one of the most univeranal of all human propensities.
14 As a frat ingtance, considor the antipathie which men cherish on no better groundin than that persons whose religious opinions are different from theire, do not practise their religious observancea, eapecially their religious alstinences. To cite rather trivial example, nothing in the creed or practice of Christiana does nore to envenom the batred of Mahomedana against them, than the fact of their eating pork. There are few acto which Christians and Furopenns regard with more unefleoted disgust, than Mussulmane regard this particular mode of satiafying houger. It is in the fixt piace, an offence againat their religion; hut this circumstance by no means explains either the degree or the kind of thair repugrance: for wine also is fors
bidden by their religion, and to partake of it is by sll Mussulmana abcounted wrong, but not disgusting. Their aversion to the floth of the 'unclean beast' is, on the contrary, of that peculiar character, reaembling an instinctive antipathy; which the idea of uncleanness, when once it thoroughly sinks into the feelings, seems always to excite even in those, whose personal habits are anything but sorupulously cleanly, and of which the sentiment of religious impurity, so intense in the Hindoos, is a remarkable example. Suppose now that in a people, of whom the majority were Mussulmans, thas majority gloould ingjat upon not permitting pork to be eaten within the limits of the conntry. This would be nothing new in Mahomedan conntries. * Would it be a legitimate oxercise of the moral authority of public opinion ? and if not, why not ? The prectice is really revolting to such a public. They also sincerely think that it is forbidden and abhorred by tho Deity. Neither conld the prolibition be censured as religious persecution. It might be roligious in its origin, bat it would not be persecution for religion, since nobody's religion makos it a duty to eat pork. The only tonable ground of condemnation would be, that with the personal tastes and self-regarding concorns of individuals the public has no business to interfore.
$15^{\text {Tho come somewhat nearer home: }}$ the majority of Spaniards consider it a gross inpiety, oflensire in the highest degree to the Supreme Being, to wor-

[^3]ohip him in any other tranner than the Boman Cathotic; and no other petblie worship is laveful an Spenish moil Tine peopla of all Somthere Europe look tyoa a married clergy as not only irresgions, bat unchaste, indocent, groes disgevsing. What do Protentants inisit of chese perfectly rincese feclings, and of the attempe to enforce them againat non-Catholics? lof, if manisind are justitied in interCring rith each other's libersy is thinge which do not enncern the interests of others, on that priniiple is is poerible corraistents to excibuie sthese caseal or who can blame peopie ior desiring to suppreat what they regard en a ecandial in the sight of God and men? No stronger case can be shown for prohibiting asprtbing which is regarded as a personal imumaitity, tian to made ons hor suppressing ithese proco tices in the cyes of thase who rygand them an impietien; and unless ve are Willing to adopt tha sogic of persect torn, and to aty that we may persectite oticen becange we are tizbth, and that they mast not persesote us because the 5 are wiong we must beware of arimituing a pringipio of wish wo ahouid resent as a grove injustise the application to ourselves.
16 The preceding instances crat be objected to, alihough mareasonżijy. as dreve from concingencies inpisibie ameng on: opinion, in this cownery, nat being likely to enforce aborinence from meata, or to interiere with people for morshipping, and for cither marte: ing or not marrting, according to their creed or inclination. Tob nexi exatrm pie, bowever, shall be taken frim an incerference vith liberty which we hsve by no means pased all darger of. li herever the Puritans have ieen cuffciently powerfri, as in Sev Englaed, ond in Great Britain as the time of tio Common wealth, whey have enden vorred, with considernble eucoess, to pur down all paibic, and mearly all privata, amomemta: eepeciaijymuric, dancing, public games or other afsemblages for purponee of diversion and the theatre. There are etidi in this country lerge bodits of persons by whowe notions of morality and religion then recrestions
are condemned; and those persins be longing chiefly to the middie clates, wo are the accandant power in the present social and political condition of the lingdom, it in by no means impoesible that persons of these sentiments may as sompo time or other command a majority in Partisment. How will the remaining portion of the communuity lize to have the amusemente that chat be permitted to them regulated by the neigions and moral eentimenta of the Eficier Calrinists and Methodista? Woald they not, with considerable poremptoriness, desiro these intrusively pions members of society to mind thear ounn trisiness? "This is precienty what sbould be said to every government and every pablic, who bave the preterasina that no parson ehall enjoy any pleasare. which ther think wrong. But if the principle of the pretension be admitted, mr ane can reasorabky object to isa be ing ected es is the mense of the majoritr: or oraer propenderatiag power in the concriry; and all persons must be reaij to conform to the idea of a Christian cominon westith, as anderstood by the earts eetulers in New Eagiand, if a religions profession eimilar to theira shoold over moceed in regaining its Ist ground, as religions sepppoeed to be ieclining have oo often been known to do.
17 To inagine asother contingency, periaps mure likeiy to be reatixed thas the one lest medioned. There is confesseliy a atrong tendenct in tho metera woid tomaria a democratic consti:ution of eociets, acompanied or zor by popolar polbtical institutions It is afifmed that in the coontry Where this tendency is most completely realized-where both eociety and the government are moot democratic-the Enited States-the feeling of the me jority, to whom any appearsoce of a mere sbowy or coethy style of living than thes cas bope to rivil is disazreeable, operatea a tolerably effectanal compraary lawy and that in meay patis of tho Union it is really diffirals for a persom powescing a very large incoms, to find any mode of apending is, which will not incor popular disappro betion. Though each etstementis an
thowe are dunbtless much exaggerated as a representation of existing facts, the state of things they describe is not only a concaiveblo and possible, bnt a probable renult of democratic feeling: combined with the notion that the public has a right to a veto on the nauner in which individuala shall epend their incomes. We have only further to suppoes a condiderable diffucion of Socialist opinions, and it may become infamous in the eyes of the majority to possess more property than some very amall amonnt, or any income not earned by manual labour. Opinions similar in principle to these, already prevail widely among the artizan class, and weigh oppressively on those who are amenable to the opinion chielly If that clase, namely, its own members. It is known that the bad workmen who form the majority of the operatives in many branches of industry, are decidedly of opinion that bad workmen ought to receive the came wager as good; sind that no one ought to be allowed, through piecework or otherwise, to earn by superior akill or industry more than othera can without it. And they employ a moral police, which occasionally beconnes a physical one, to deter akifful workmen from receiving, and onployers from giving, a larger remuneration for a more usoful eervica. If the public have any jurisdiotion over privato concerns, I cannot see that these people are in fanit, or that any individasl's particular public can bo blamed for asparting the game authority over his individual conduct, which the general public asgerta over people in general.

But, without dwelling apon suppasititious cases, there are, in our ows day, groes udurpations apon the liberty of private life actually practised, and still greater ones threatened with come expectation of success, and opinions propounded which assert on onlimited right in the public not only to prohibit by law everything whicb it thinks wrong, bat in order to got at what is thinks wrong, to prohibit a namber of thinge which it edmits to be innocent.

Under the name of preventing intemparanoe, the people of one English
colony, and of nearly half the United Stater, have been interdicted by lav from making any use whatever of fer mented drinks, except for modical pur poses: for prohibition of their sale in in fact, as it is intended to be, prohibition of their ase. And though the impracticability of execating the law has cansed its repeal in several of the Staten which had adopted it, including the one from which it derives its name, an attempt had notwithetanding boen commenced, and is prosecuted with con siderable seal by many of the professer philanthropirts, to agitate for a similar faw in this country. The association, or 'Alliance' as it torms itsolf, which has been formed for this purpoee, bas acgaired some notoriety tbrough the publicity given to a correspondence between ita Secretary and one of the very few English poblic men who hold that a politician's opinions ought to be founded on principles. Lord Stanley's share in this correspondence is calcolated to trengthen ibe hopel already built on him, by those who know how rare asch qualities as are manifested in some of his public sppearances, un--happily are among those who figare in peritical life. The organ of the Alliance, who would deeply deplore the recognition of any principle which cotrd be wrested to justify blgotry and perseontion,' undertalsea to point out the "broed and impaseable barrier' which divides ench principles from thoee of the association. 'Ali mattera ralating to thought, opinion, conscience, sppear to me, he save," to be withous the ephere oflegislation ; all partaining to nocial act, habit, relation, subjoct only to a discretionary power vested in the State itself. and not in the individual, to be within it.' No mention is made of a third class, different from either of these, viz acto and babita which are not social, but individual; alubough it is to this class, surely, that the act of drinking fermented liquora belongs. Selling fermented liquars, however, is trading, and tradiag is a oocial act. But the infringement complained of is not on tho hiberty of the collier, but on that of the buyer and cocsumer ; since tho State might jus
as well forbid him to drink wine, as purponely make it impossible for him to obtain it. The Secretary, however, say, 'I claim, as a citizen, a right to logitlato whenever my social rights are invaded by the eocial act of another.' And now for the definition of thene 'social rights.' 'If anything invades my sooial dights, certainly the traffic in strong drink does. It dentioyemy primaty right of security, 'by constantly areating and atimulating social disorder. It invades my right of equality, by deriving a profit from the creation of a"misery I am taxed to support. It impeden my right to free moral and intellectual development, by surrounding my path with dangers, and by weakening and domonaliting society, from which I bave a right to claim mutual sid and intercourse.' A theory of 'social rights,' the like of which probably never before found ite way loto distinct language: being nothing short of this-nthat it in the absolute social right of evory individual, that evers other individual dhall sot in overy respeotexactly as he ought ; thet whosoever fails thereof in the smallest particular, violaton my eocial right, and entitlen mo to demand from the logitheture the removal of the grievnnce. So monstrous a principle is far more dangernus than any single interference with liberty; there is no violation of liberty which it would net justify; it acknowledges noright to any freedom whatever, except perhaps to that of holding opinions in secret, without over dieclosing them: for, the moment an opiaion which I consider nozious passes any one's lips, it invades sil the ssocial rights' attributed to me by the Alliance. The doctrine aseribes to all mankind s vested interest in each other's moral, intellectual, and even physical perfection, to be defined by eiach claimant according to his own mtandard.
Another important example of:illegitimate interference with the rightful tiberty of the inclitidual, not simply threatened, but long since carried iuto triumphant efliect, is Sablatarian legifhation. Without doubt, abstinence on one day in the week, so far as the exi. gencles of life parmit, from the usual
daily oocupation; thoagh in no reapect religioull binding on any except Jews, is a highly baneficial custom. And inamuoh as this cuatom cannot be obsorved without a geperal consent to that effoct among the industrions classes, therefore, in so far as somo persons by working may impose the same necensity: on others, it may be allowable and right that the law alould guarantee to each the observance by others of the custom, by auspending the greater operations of industry on: particular day. But this juatifiontion, grounded on the direot interent which othera have in each individual's obzervance of the practice, does not apply to the selfechonen ocoupations in which a permai maj think fit to exploy hia lefisure; bor does it hold good, in the smellest degree, for legal restrictions On amusements. It is true that the amusement of some is the day's work of others; but tho pleasure, not to say the ufleful recreation, of many, is worth the Iabour of a few, provided the occupation it freely. chooen, and cas be freely reaigned. The operatives are perfectly right in thinking that if all worked on Souday, soven days' wort would have to be given for aix days wages: but so long as the great masa of employments are suspended, the small nunber who for the enjoyment of others must atill work, obtain a proportioual increase of earnings ; and they are not obliged to follow those occupations, if they prefer leisure to emolument. If a further remedy is sought, it might be found in the establishment by custom of a holiday on some other day of the week for those particular classes of persons. The only ground, therefore, on whick restrictions on Sunday amusements can be defended, muat be that they are religiously wrong; a motive of legisla. tion which can never be too earnestly protested against. 'Deorum injurize Diis cares:' It remsins to be proved that society or any of its officers holds a commassion from on high to a penge any supposed offence to Omnipotenoe, which is not also a wrong to our fellow creatures. The notion that it is one man's duty that another rhonld
be religious, wan the foundation of all the religions persecations ever perpetrated, and if admitted, would fully justify them. Though the feeling which breaks out in the repeated attompts to stop railway iravelling on Sunday, in the reasistance to the opening of Moseams, and the like, has aot the cruelty of the old persecutors, the state of mind indicated by it is fondamentally the same. It is a determination not to tolerats others in doing what is permitted by their religion, because it is not permitted by the persecutor's religion. It is a belief that God not only abominates the not of the misbeliever, but will not hold us guiltless if we leave him unmolested.
I cannot refrain from adding to these examples of the little account commonly made of human liberty, the langoage of downight persecution which breake out from the press of this country, whenever it feels called on to notice the remarkablephenomenon of Mormosism. Much might be said on the unexpected and instructive fact, that an alloged now rovelation, and a religion founded on it, the product of palpable imposture, not even anpported by the prestige of extraordinary qualities in ite founder, is believed by hundreds of thousands, and has been made tha foundation of a mociety, in the age of newspapern, railways, and the electrio telegraph. What bere concerns us is 9 , that this religion, like other and boterr religions, has its martyrs; that ita prophet and founder was, for his teaching, put to death by a mob; that othera of its adherenta lost their lives by the same lawlesa violence; that thoy were forcibly expelled, in a body, from the country in which they first grew up; while, now that they have been chneed into a solitary recesa in the midet of a jeaert, many in this country openly declare that it would be right (only that it is not convenient) to send an expodition against them, and compel them by force to conform to the opinions of other people. The article of the Mormonite doctrine which in the chief prorocative to the antipathy which thus breaka thmugh the ordinary resirainta of religionef toleranco, in ita eanction of
polygamy; which, thongh permitted to Mahomedans, and Hindoos, and Chinese, seems to excite unquenchable animosity when practised by persona who apeak English, and profess to be a kind of Christians. No one has-a deapor disapprobation than I have ol this Mormon institation; both for other reasona, and because, far from being in any way countenanced by the principle of liberty, it is a direct infraction of that principle, being a mere rivating of the chains of one half of the com. munity, and an emancipation of tho other from reoiprocity of obligation towards them. Still, it must be remembered that this relation is ae much voluntary on the part of the women concerned in it, and who may be deaned the sufferers by it, as is the case with any other form of the marriage institntion; and however surprising this facs may appear, it. has its explanation in the common ideas and customs of the world, which teaching women to think marriage the one thing needful, make it intelligible thet many a woman should pretiar being one of several wiveat, to not being a wife at all. Other countries are not asked to recognise wool unions, or release any portion of their inhabitants from their own laws on the acore of Mormonite opinions. But when the dissentiente have conceded to the hostile sentiments of otherr, far more than could justly be demanded; When they have left the countries to which their doctrines wore unacceptable, and eatablished themselves in a romote comer of the earth, which they have been the firat to render habitable to human beings; it is difficult to see on what principles but those of tyranny they can be prevented from living there undar what laws they please, provided thay commit no aggression on other nations, and allow perfect freodom of departure to those who are dissatisfied with their wayg. A rechnt writer, in some respects of considerable merit, proposes (to use his own words) not a crusade, but a civilizade, againds this polygamous community, to pat as and to what seems to him a retrograde etep in civilization. It also appears so to me, has 1 am not awase that any
wommunity has a right to force another to be civilized. So long as the sufferers by the bad law do not in roke assistance from other communities, I cannot ad. mit that persons entirely unconnected with them ought to step in and require that a condition of thinge with which all who are directly interested appear to be satibfied, should be put an end to because it is a scandal to persons some thousands of miles distant, who have no part or concern in it. Let them send missionaries, if they please, to preach against it; and let them, by any fair means (of which silencing the leachers is not one, oppose the progress of sinuiler doctrines among their own people. If civilization has got the
better of barbarism when barbarism had the world to itself, it is too much to profess to be afraid lest barbarism, after having been fairly got under, should revive and conquer civilization. A civilization that can thus succumb to its vanquished enemy, must first have become so degenerate, that neither its appointed priests and teachers, nor anybody else, has the capacity, or will take the trouble, to stand up for it. It this be so, the sooner such a civilization receives notice to quit, the better. It can only go on from bad to wosse, until destroyed and regenerated (like the Western Empire) by energetio barbarians.

CHAPTER V.

## APPLICA゙TION:

/The principles abserted in these pages must be more generally ndmitted as the basis for discussion of details, before a consistent spplication of them to all the various departments of government and morals can be attempted with any prospect of advantage. The few observations I propose to make on questions of detail, are designed to illustrate the principles, rather than to follow them out to their consequences. I offer, not so much applications, as specimens of application; which may serve to bring into greater cloarness the meaning and limits of the two maxims which together form the entire doctrine of this Lissay, and io assist the judgment in holding the balance between them, in the cases where it appears doubtful which of them is applicable to the case.
Ti The maxims are, first, that the indiThdsal is not accountable to society for bis actions, in so far as these concern the interests of no person but himself. Advice, instruction persuasion, and avoidance by other people if thought ; nocessiary by them for their own guod, are the only measures by which society
can justifiably express its dislite or disapprobation of his conduct. Socondly that for such actions as are prejudicial to the interests of others, the individual is acountable, and may be subjected either to social or to legal punishment, if socicty is of opinion that the one or the other is requisite for its protection.
3 In the first place, it must by nof. means be supposed, because damage, or probability of damage, to the intor rests of others, can alone justify the interference of society, that therefore it always does juatify such interference. In many cases, an individual; in pur suing a legitimate object, necessarily and therefore legitimately causes pain or loss to others, or intercepts a gool which they had a reasonable lupe of obtaining. . Such oppositions of interest between individuals often arise from bad social institutions, but are unavoidable while those institutions last; and some would be unavoidable under any institutions. Whoerer saocoeds in an overcrowded profession, or in a competitive examixation; whoever is preferred to anothor in any contest
for an object which both desire, reaps benefit from the loss of others, from their wasted exertion and their disappointment. But it is, by common eccmission, better for the general interent of mankind, that persons should parsue their objecte undeterred by this sort of consequences. In other worde, cooiety admits no right, either legal or moral, in the disappointed competitors, to immanity from this kind of suffering; and feels called on to interfers, only when means of success have been om ployed which it is contrary to the general interest to permit-namely, frand or treachery, and force.
4 Again, trado is a mocial act. Whobyer undortakes to sell any description of goods to the public, does what affects the interest of other persone, and of society in general; and thus his conduct, in principle, comes within the jurisdiction of society: accordingly, it was once heid to be tho duty of goveraments, in all cases which wero considered of importance, to fix prices, and regulate the processes of manufac ture. But it is now recognised, though not till after a long atruggle, that both the chaspnesa and the good quality of commoditien are mout effectnally provided for by leaving the producers astid sellers perfectly free, under the solo chect of equal freedom to the buyert for eupplying themselves elsewhere. -This is the so-called doctrine of Free Trade, which rests on grounde different from, thongh equally solid with, the principle of individual liberty assertod in this Essay. Restrictions on trade, or on production for purposes of trade, are indeed restreints; and ali restraint quat restraiat, is an ovil: but the reatraints in question affect only that part of conduct which wociety is competent to restrain, and are wrong solely because thay do not really produce the resulte which it is desired to prodace by them. As the priaciple of pudividual liberty is not involved in the doctrine of Froe Trade, 00 neither is it in moat of the questions which arise respecting the limita of that doctrine; as for example, what amount of publio control is adpissible for the prevention of fraud by dulteration; how far sani-
tary precautions or arrangements to protect workpeople employed in dangorous occupations, should be enforced on employers. Such questions involve congiderationa of liberty, only in so far as lesving pooplo to themsolves is always better, cotoris paribus, than controlling them: but that they may be legitimately controlied for these onds, in in principls andeniable. On the other hand, there are questions relating to interference with trade, which are essentially questions of liberty; such as the Maine Law, already touched upon; the prohibition of the importstion of opium into China; the rystriction of the sale of poisons; all cases, in ahort, where the object of the interference in tc make it impossible or difficult to obtain a particular commodity. These interferences are objectionable, not as infringements on the liberty of the producer or coller bat on that of the buyer.
Fine of thesy examples, that of the sale of poisons, opens a new question; the proper limite of what may be called the functions of police ; how far liberty may legitimately be invaded for the prevention of crime, or of accident. It in one of the undispated fauctions of government to take precantions against crime before it hat been committed, as well as to detect and puish it afterwards. The preventive function of government, however, is far more lisble to be sbused, to the prejudice of liberty, than the punitory fanation; for there is hardly any part of the legitimate freedom of ection of a human beiog which would not admit of being repromented, and fairly too, as increasing the facilities for some form oce other of dolinquency. Neverthelese, if a publio anthority, or even a privato persou, sees any one evidently prepariog to conmit a crime, they are not bound to louk on inactive until the crime is committed, but may interfere to prot vent it. If poisons were nover bought or nsed for any parpose oxcept the commission of murder, it would be right to prohibit their manufacture and sale. Thay may, however, be wanted not only for innocent but for agoful proposes and restrictions onnof be
inposed in the one asse without operatiog in the cther. Again, it is a proper office of public authotity to guard against accidents. If either a public officer or any one else saiv a persoi attempting to cross a bridge which bad been ascertained to bo wnsafo, and there were no time to warn him of his dauger, they might woize him and turn him back, withont any real infringement of his liberty; for liberty congista in doing what one desires, and he does not desire to fall into the river. Nevertheless, when there is not a certainty, but only a danger of nisclief, no one but the persan himaelf can judge of the aufficiency of the motive which may prompt him to incur the risk; in this case, therefore, (unless he is a child, or delirious, or in some state of excitement or absorption incnmpatible with the foll use of the reflecting faculty) he ought I conceive, to be only warned of the danger; not forcibly prevented from exponing hisgseff to it. Similar considerations, ap plied to such a question as the sale of poisons, may enalle us to decide which among the possible modes of regulation are or are not contrary te principle. Such a precaution, for example, as that of labelling the drug with some word expressive of its dangerous character, may be enforced without violstion of liberty: the buger cannot wish not to know that the thing he possesses bas pribonous qualities. But to require in ail cases the cerificate of a medical practitioner, would make it sometimes impossible, always expensive, to obtaia the article for legirimate paes. The only mode apparent to me, in which difficulties may be thwore in the way of crime compittel through this means, without any infringenent, worth taking into account, upon the liberty of those who Jesire the poisonous substance for otluer parposes, consista in providing what, in the apt language of Bentham, is called 'preappointed evidence.' This provision is familiar to every one in the case of contraots. It is usual and right that the law, when a contract is ontered into, should require as the condition of its enforcing performance, that certain formalities should be obseryed,
such as signatures, sttestation of wit nemety and the like, in order that is enio of mibsequent dispate, there, may be evidence to prove that the contriots was really entered into, and that there was nothing in the circomatences to render it legally icvalid: tho effeot being to throw great obstacles in the way of fictitious contracts, or contracte made in circumstances which, if knowa, would destroy their validity. Precautions of a similar nature might be enforced in the sale of articles adapted to be instrumenty of crime. The eeller, for example, might be required to enter in a register the exact time of the trangaction, the name and address of the buyer, the preoise quality and quatity sold ; to adk the purpone for which it was wanted, and record the answer he received. When there was no medioal prescription, the presence of some third person might be required, to bring home the fact to the purchaser, in case there should sfterwards be reason to beliove thast the article had boen applied to criminal purposes. Such regulations would in general be no material in pediment to obtaiaing the articlo, but a very considerabie one to making an improper use of it without detection.
6 The right inherent in society, to ward of crimes against itseit by antecedent precautions, suggests the obrious limitations to the maxim, that purely selfregarding miscondaot capnot properly be meddled with in the way of prevention or puuishment. Drubkeaness, for examplo, in ordinary cases is not a fit sobject for legiols tive interference; bat I shauld doem it perfectly legitimate that a person, who had once been convicted of any act of violence to others under the influence of drink, should be placed nuder a apecial legal restriction, personal to himself; that if he were afterwards found drunk, hs should be liablo to a penalty, and that if when in that atate he committed ayother offence, the punishment to which he would be liable for that othor offence should be increased in teverity. The making himeolf drunk, in a person whow drunkenness excites to do harin to others, is a crime against others. Sios
again, idlenest, excopt in a person receiving support from the public, or except when it constitutes a breach of contract, cannot without tyradny be made a subject of legal punishment; but if, either from idleness or from any other avoidable cause, a man fails to perform his legal duties to others, as for instance to eupport his chiliren, it is no tyranny to force him to fulfil that obligation, by compulsory lebour, if no other menne are available.
7 Again, there are many acte which, being directly injurious paly to the agents themselves, ought not to be legally interdicted, bat which, if done publicly, are a violation of good manbers, and coming thas within the category of offences against othcrs, may rightly be prohibited. Of this hind are offences against decency; on which it is nanecessary to dwell, the rather as they are ooly connected indirectly with our aubject, the objection to publicity being equally strong in the case of many actions not in themselves condemnable, nor supposed to be so.
8 There is another question to which an anawor mast bo found, consistent with the principles which have been laid down. In casea of personal conduct euppoeed to be blimeablo, but which respect for liberty precludes oociety from proventing or puniehing, because the evil directly resulting falls wholly on the agent; what the agent is free to do, ousht other persons to be equally free to counsel or instigate? This question is not free from difficulty. The case of a fer:son , who solicits another to do an act, fs not etrictly a case of elfrigarding cenduct. To give advice or ofler boducements to any one, is a mocial act, and may, therefore, like actiona in geceral which affect others, be mupposed amenable so eocial control. But a little reflection corrects the first impression, by showing that if the case is not strictly within tho definition of individual liberty, yet tie reasons on which the principle of individual liberty in grounded, are anplicable to it. If peopie must be allowed, in whatever concerns oniy themseives, to act at seems best to theyselves, at their own
peril, they must equally be free to cons sult with one another about what is fit to be so done; to exchange opinions, and give and receive suggeations. Whatever it us permitted to do, it muit Le permitted to advise to do. The quastion is doubtful, only when the instigator derives a pernonal benefit from his advice; when he makes it his uccupation, for sulsistence or pecuniary gain, to promote viat society and the State consider to be an evil. Then, indeed, a new element of complication is introduced; namely, the existence of classes of persons with an interest opposed to what is considered as the public weal, and whose mode of living is gronnded on the counteraction of it. Ought this to be interfered with, or not? Fornication, for example, must be tolerated, and so must gambling; but should a person be free to be a pimp, or to keep a gambling-house? The case is one of those which lie on the exsct boundary line between two principles, and it is not at once appa rent to which of the two it properly belongs. There are argumente on both sides. On the side of toleration it may be seid, that the fact of following anything as an occupstion, and living or profiting by the practice of it, cannot make that criminal which would otherwise be admissible; that the act should either be consistently permitted or conaistently prohibited; that if the priuciples which we have hitherto defended are true, society bas no buaiDess, as society, to decile anything to be wrong whici concern only the individual; that it cannot go beyond dissuasion, and that one pergon ahould be as free to persuade as another to dissuade. In opposition to this it may be contendei, that although the pullic, or the State, are not warfanted in autboritatively deciding. for purposes of repression or punishment, that such or zuch conduct ailiceting ooly the interests of the individual is good or bad, they are fui', justified in assuming, if thes regard it as bad, that its being so or not is at least a disjucalle question. That, this being supprisev, they cannot be acting wrongly in endeavouring to axclude the intivence of salicitations
-hich are not disinterested, of instigacors who canot possibly be impartial -who have a direct personal interest on one side, and that side the one Which the State believes to be wrong, and who confessedly promote it for personal objects only. There can surely, it may- be arged, be nothing loat, no ascrifice of good, by so ordering matters that persons shall make their election, either wisely or foolishly, on their own prompting, as free as possible from the arts of persons who stimulate their inclinations for interested purposes of their own. Thus (it may be said) though the statates respecting anlawful games are attoriy indefensible -though all persons ahould be free to gamble in their own or each other's bouses, or in any place of moeting catablished by their own subscriptions and open only to the mambers and their vixitors-yet publio gamblinghouses should not bo permitted. It is true that the probibition in never effectual, and that, whatover amount of tyrannical power may be given to the police, gambling-houses can alwrys be maintained under other pretoncea; but they mat be compellod to condact their operations with a certain degree of secrecy and mystery, wo that nobody knowe anything about them bot those who seek them; and more than this, cociety ougbt not to aim at. There is conciderable force in these argumenta. I will nos ventare to decide whethe: they are sufficient to justify the moral ancmaly of pumishing the accessare, when the principal is (and must be) allowed to go free; of fining or impriwoning the procurer, but not the foraicetor-the gambling-bouse keeper, but not the Gumbler. Still less ought the common operations of buying and eelling to be interfered with on aunlogous gromeda. Almost zvery articlo which is bought and wold may be unod in excess, and the cellers have a pecuniary intereat in encouraging that excess; but no argament can be founded on this, in favorr, for inatance, of the Maiua Law; becanve the clame of dealets in atrong drink, though interested in their abose, are indispensally reyuired for the anke of their legitimate use.

The interest, however, of these dealere in promoting intemperance is a real ovil, and justifiee the State in inposing restrictions and requiring gasrantees which, but for that justification, would be infringements of legitimato liberty. 9 A further question is, whether the State, while it permita, should neverthelese indirectly disconarage condact Which it deems contrary to the best intereata of the agent; whether, for example, it showid take mesacuren to render the means of drunkenness more cosily, or add tos the difincuity of procuring them by limiting the number of the places of cale. On this as on moet other practical quentions, many diatinctions recuire to be made. To tax stimulents for the sole perpose of making tinem more diticult to be obtained, is a measare difiering only is degree from their entire protibition; and would be justifiable only if that were justifisble. Every increase of cost is a probilition, to those whose means do not come ap to the angmented price; and to those who do, it is a penalty laid on them for gratifying a particular taste. Their choice of pleasures, and their mode of expending their income, after satisfying their legal and moral obligntions to the State and to individusla, are their own concern, and mast rest with their own judgment. These considerations may seem at firs sight to coniemn the selection of stimulants as special subjects of taxation for parposes of revenue. Bat it must be remembered that tasation for fiscal parposes in ebcolurely inevitable; that in moot countries itis necessary that a considersble part of that taxation should be indirect ; that the State, therefore, car not help imposing penalties, which to come persous may be prohibitory, on the use of some articles of consumption It is hence the duty of the State to consider, in the imporition of terea, what commodities the consumers can best spare ; and a firtiori, to select in preicrence those of which it deens the une, be yond a very menlerate quantity, to be positivels injurisus. Taxs tion, therelore, of stimaiants; op to the point whick produces the lergeat
amount of revenne (aupposing that the State needs all the revenue which it gields) is not only adnissible, bat to be approved of.
10 The question of making the aale of these commodities a more or less exclusive privilege, must be answered lifferently, accortling to the purposes to which the restriction is intended to be subservient. All places of public resort requirs the restraint of a polioa, and piaces of this hind peculierly, bocanse uffences against society are especially apt to originate there. It is, lherefore fit to confing the power of selling these commoditien (at least for consumption on the spot) to persons of known or vonched-for respectability of conduot; to make such regalations rorpecting hoars of opening and closing as may be requisite for public surveillance, and to withdraw the licence if breaches of the peace repeatedly take place through the connivance or incapacity of the keeper of the huuse, or if it becomes a rendezvous for concocting and preparing offences against the law. Any further restriction I do not conceive to be, in principle, justifiable. The linitation in number, for instance, of beer and spirit housen, for the express purpose of rendering them more difficult of access, snd diminishing the occasions of temptation, not only exposes all to an inconvenience becanse there are aome by whom the facility would be abused, but is suited only to a state of society in which the labooring clarses are avowedly treated as children or saviges, and placed under an education of restraint, to fit thom for future admission to the privilegea of freedom. This is not the principle on which the labonring classes are professedily goverped in any free country; and ne persen who eets due ralue on freeden will give his acibegion to their being so gwerned, unless atter all efliorts have been exbausteci is educate them for freedum and govern thens as freemen, and it bas been definitively proved that thoy can only be governed as children. The bare statement of the sltemative shows the absurdity of suppesing that such effiorts have been made in any case which neede be con-
sidered here. It is only because the institations of this country are a masa of inconsiatencien, that things find admittance into our practioe which belong to the syatem of deapotic, or what is called paternal, government, while the general freedom of our institutions produdes the exercise of the amount of control necessary to render the reatraint of any real efficacy as a moral education. /f It was pointed out in an eariy part of thit Essay, that the liberty of the individual, in thinge wherein the individual is alone concertaed, implies o corresponding liberty in any number of individuals to regulate by mutual agreo. ment such thingsas regard them jointly, and regard no persona bat thomselvea, This question prozenta no difficulty, 0 long as the will of all the persons implicsted remains unaltered; but eince that will may change, it is often necossary, oven in things in which they alone are concerned, that they ahould onter into engagements with one another; and when thay do, it is fit, as a general rule, that those engegements should ow kept. Yet, in the lawa probably, of every country, this general rule has come exceptions. Not only persons are not held to engegements which violate the rights of third parties, but it is sometimes considered a sufficient reason for releasing them from an ongagement, thes it is injuriou to thomselves. In this and most other civilized conntrieg, for example, an engagement by which a person abould sell himself, or allow himself to be nold, as a alave, would be null and roid; neither enforced by law nor by opinion. The ground for thus limiting bis power of voluntarily dipposing of his own lot in life, is appn. rent, and is very cloarly seen in this extrame caso. The reason for pot in. terfering, unlese for the sake of obsets, with a person's voluntary ${ }^{\text {acta, }}$, is consideration for his liberty. His voluntary choice is ovidence that what he wo choosea in desirable, or at the least ondurable, to him, and hie good is on the whole bett provided for by allowing him. to take hia own means of puraving it But by elling himself for a slare, be abdicaten his liberty; he foregoen any future use of it beyond that single ach.

Fie therefore defeats, in his own case, the very purpose which is the justifsation of allowing him to dispose of bimgelf. He is no longer free; but is thenceforth in a posicion which has no Irnger the preguroption in its favour, that wonld be afforded by his voluntatily remaiaing in it. The principle sif freedom cannot requive that he ehould te free not to be free. It is not freedom, to be allowed to alienate his freo dom. These reasons, the force of which is so conspicuous in this peculiar case, are evidently of far wider application; get a linit is overywhere set to them by the necessities of life, which continnaly require, not indeed that we ebould resign our freedom, but that we ehould consent to this and the other limitation of it. Tte principle, however, which demands uncontrolled free. dom of action in ail that concerns only the agents themselves, requires that those who have become bound to ane another, in things which concern no third party, should be able to release one another trom the engagement: and even without auch voluntary release, there are ferbaps no contracts or engagements, except those that relate to money or money's worth, of which one can venture to say that there ought to be no liberts wisbleret of ietiactstion. Baron Withelm von Humboldt, in the excellent exsay form which I thave aiready quoted, etases it as his conriction, that eugagemeats which involve personal relaticns or services, ahould nover be legall © Binding beyond - limited duration of time; sud that the noost impertant of these engagen:ente, marringe, ha ring the peculianty that its oliects are frastrated unless the foelings of both the partios are :u harmony with it, should require uothing more than the declared mill of either party to dispolve it. This subject in too umportant, and too complicated, to be discussed in a paranthesis, and I touch on it only so far as is necessary for purposes of illustration. If the conciseness and genorality of Baron Humboldt's dissertation had not obliged him in this inatance to content himseli with enuncisting bis conclusion withont discuser ing the promisea, be wruhl doubtless
have recognised that the question carnot be decided on grounds so sinple as those to which he conines himself. When a person, either by express pro mise or by conduct, has encouraged another to rely upon his continuing to act in a certain way-to build expectations and calculations, and atake any part of his plan of life upon that sup-position- new series of moral obligetions arises on his part towards that person, which may possibly be overruled, but cannot be iguored. And again, if the relation between two contracting parties has been followed by consequences to others; if it has placed tlisd parties in any peculiar porition, or. as in the case of marriage, has even calied third parties inio existence, obligatcus aribe on the part of both the contracting parties towards those third persone, the fulfilment of which, or at all events the mode of fulfilment, must be greatly affected by the continuance or disroption of the relation betweon the original parties to the contraot. It does not follow, nor con I admit, that these obligations extead to requiring the fultilment of the contract at ali costs to the happiness of the reluctint party; bot they are a necessary element in the question ; and even if. as Fon Henboldt mainesing, they ought to male no dii: ference in the legal freedom of the parties to release themselses from the ougagement (and I also hold that they ought not to make much difference), tier nect ssarily make a great ditlerence in the moral freedom. A person is bound to take all these circumstances into account, before resolving on a atep which may affect such important intorests of others ; and if he doea not allow proper woight to those interents, he is morally responsible for the wrong. i I have made theee obvious remarki for tho better illustration of the general priaciple of liberty, and not because thoy are at all needed on the particular question, which, on the contrary, is usually disonssed as if tho interest of children was overything, and that of srown pernons nothing.
II] have already observed that, oxing to the absence of any recignised general princigles, liberty is otited granted
where it ohonld be withheld, as well as I withheld whers it should be granted; and one of the cases in which, in the modern Europosn woild, the sentiment of liberty is tho etrongest, is a case where, in may view, it is altogether mispliced. A person shonld be free to do os he likes in his own concerns; but he ought not to be free to do as he likes in acting for anotber, under the pretext that the affairs of the etber are bis own affairs. The State, while it respects the liberty of each in what special! regards himself, is bound to maintain a vigilant control over his exercise of any power which it allows him to possese over others. This obligation is almost entirely diaregarded in the case of the family relations, a case, in its direct influence on human happiness, more important than all others taken together. The aimost despotic powrer of bna. bands over wires needs not be enlarged upon here, because nothing mone is needed for the ampiete cemoral of the evil. than that wives shouli have the came riglts, and shouk recrive the protection of law in the ganue minner, as all other persons; and becanse, on this subject, the defenders of established injustice do not avail themselves of the plea of liberty, but stand forth openty as the champions of power. It is in the case of children, that misapplied notions of liberty are a real obstacle to the fulfilment by the State of its duties. One would almost think that e man's children were supposed to oc literally, and not metaphorically, a part of himself, so jealous is opinion of the smallest interference of law with his absolute and exciusive control over them; more jealous than of almost any interienence with his own freedom of scticn: so much less do the gecerality of mankind value fiberts that power. Considier, for example, the case of edacation. Is it not almost a selferident axiom, that the State ehould reciuire and compel the education, ep to a nertain itandard, of every human being Tho is born its citizen? let who is there that is not afraid to recognise and ansert this truth? Hardly any one indeed will deny that it is one of the nows meored dutien of the parents for
as law snd usage now atand, the father), after sommoning a human bo ing into the world, to give to that be ing an education fitting him to perform his part well in life towards others and towards himself. Bat while this is unanimensly declered to be the father's duty, scarcely anybody, in this coun try, will bear to hear of obliging bim to perform it. Instead of his being required to make any exertion or sacrifice for secaring education to his child, it is left to his choice to sccept it or not when it is provided gratis! It still remaina anrecognised, that to bring s child into existence without a fair prospect of being ablo, not only to provide food for ite body, bat instruetion and training for ita mind, is a moral crime, both against the nnfortunate offspring and against society; and that if the parent does not fullil this obligation, the State ought to see it fulfilied, at the charga, as far as poacible, of the parent.
/5Were tie duty of enfurcing universal edacation once admitted, there would be an end to the difficulties about what the State ehonld teach, and how it nhould teach, which now convert the subject into a mere bartle field for sects and parties, causir.e. tie time and labour which should have been spent in educating, to be wasted in quarrelling about educstion. If the government would make up its mind to reguire for every child a good education, it might save itself the troubie of providing ona. It might leave to parente to obtain the education where and how thes pleased, and content itself with helping to pay the school fees of the poorer classes of children, and defraying the entire school axpenses of those who have no one else to pay for taem. The objections wificil are urged with reason against State educaetion, do not apply to the enforcoment of edacaticn by the State, but to the State's taking upon itcelf to direct that education: which is a tonaily different thing. That the whole or ang large part of the educstion of the people abould be in State handa, I go aso far at any one in deprecating. Ab that has boen eaid of the importance of
individuality of charmeter, and diversity in opinions and modes of conduct, irvolves, as of the aame unspeakable importance, diversity of education. A general State edacation is a mere contrivance for morldiag people to be exactly like ona another: and as the mould in which it casts them is that which plenset the predominant power in the government, whether this be a monarch, a priesthood, an aristocracy, or the majority of the existing generation ; in proportion as it is efficient and euccosaful, it establishes a despotism over the mind, leading by natural teniency to one over the body. An edrsation ertablished and controlled by the State should only exist, if it eriet at all, as one among many competing experiments, carried on for the purpose of example and etimulus, to keep the others up to a certain atandard of excellence. Unless, indeed, when society in genaral is in 80 backwand a state that it could not or would not provide for itself any proper institutions of edue cation, unless the government anderrook the task: then, indeed, the government may, as the less of two great evila, take upon itself the boancea of achools and univorsition, at may thet of joint etoct companies, when private enterprise, in a shape firted for undertaling greas works of industry, doea not erist in the country. Bat in general, if the conntry contains a soff. ciont number of perions qualifed to provide education under government auspices the same persons would bo able and willing to give an equally good education on the volumtary prisciple, onder the asurance of remuneration aftorded by a law rendering edrcation compuisory, combined with Stato aid to thbee unable to dofray the axpense.
14 The initriment for enforcing the Liw could be no other then problic ex. aminations, extending to all childron, and boginning at an early ago. An age might be fixed at which overy chitd more boxamined, to aecortain If be (or aho) ia able to read. If a child proven unabie, the fither, walem to has tome aufficiont ground of err cane, mighs be mabjectod to $t$ moderate
fine, to be worked out, if necessary, by his labour, and the child might be put to school at his expense. Once in overy year the examination should bo reneved, with a graduslly extending range of subjecta, 80 as to make the universal acquicition, and what is more, retention, of a certain minimum of general knowlodge, virtally comput bory. Beyond that mimimum, there should be voluntery examinationg of all subjects, at which all who come of to a certain stenderd of proficioncy might claim \& certificato. To prepent the State from axervising, through theooarrangementa, an improper infuenco orer opinion, the mowledge required for passing an eramination (boyond the merely instrumental parte of knowledge, such as languages and their nse) shoald, even in the higher classes of examinations, be confined to facts and positive science exclasively. The exsminations on religion, politics, or other dispated topices ahould not turn on the trath or falsehood of opinions, bat on the matter of fnot that such and anch an opinion is hald, on such grounds, by auch authors, of echools, or charchas. Under thin ayptem, the rising generation would be no worse off in regard to all diaputed truths, than they are at present; they would be brought ap either churchman or discenters as they now are, the State marely tating care that thoy should be iostrocted churchmen, or instracted dissenters. There would be nothing to hinder them from being taught religion, if their parents choee, at the same echoole where they were tanght other things. All attompta by the State to bies the conclasiona of its citizess on disputed mubjects, are ovil; bat it may very properly offar to ascertain and certify that a person poasessas the mowledge, requisite to meke his conclusiona, on any givea sabject, worth atteading to. A stadent of philosopiny would be the better for being ablo to atand an examination both in Locke and in Kant, whichever of the two bo takes up with, or oven if with neither: and there is no reasonablo objection to examining an athoist in the evidences of Christienity, provided

## APPLICATIONS.

he is not required to profess a bolief in them. The examinations, however, in the higher branches of knowlodge chould, I conceive, be entirely voluntary. It would be giving too dangerous apower to governments, were they allowed to exclude any one from pro. Pessions, oven from the profession of teacher, for alleged deficiency of qualifcations: and I think, with Wihelm von Humboldt, that degrees, or other public certificatea of acientific or profossional acquirements, should be given to all who present themeelves for examination, and stand the test; but that ouch certificates should confor no adventage over competiton, other than the weight which may be attached to their testimony by public opinion.
15 It is not in the matter of edrication only, that mitalaced notions of liberty prevent moral obligations on the part of parenta from being recogrised, and logal obligation from being impoeed, where there are the atrongest grounds for the former always, and in many caees for the lattor also. The fict itself, of causing the existence of a buman being, is one of the most reeponsible actions in the range of homan life: To undertake this responaibilityto bestow a lifo which may be either a curse or a bleasing-miless the being on whom it is to be bestowed will have at least the ondinary chances of a do airable existence in a crime against that being. And in a country either over-poopled, or threatened with being eo, to produce children, beyond a very small number, with the effect of reduoing the reward of labour by their competition, is a cerious oftence against all who live by the remuneration of their labour. The lawe which, in many countries on the Continent, forbid inarriage nnless the partios can show that they have the means of supportiug a family, do not exceed the legitimate powers of the State: and whether such laws be expedient or not (a question mainis dependent on local circumstances and feelings), they are not obiectionable as violations of tiberty. Such laws are interforences of the State to prohibit a mischievous act--an act infurioun to others which ought to be
a sulject of reprobation, and social stigms, oven when it is not deemed expedient to supersad legsl punish mant. Yet the current ideas of liberty; which bend so easily to real infringements of the freedom of the individual in things which concern only himself, would repel the sttempt to put any restraint upon his inclinationa when the consequence of their indul gence in. a life or lives of wretchednesa and depravity to the offispring, with manifold evils to thoee sufficiently within reach to be in any way affected by their actions. When we compare the atrange respect of mankind for liberty, with their strange want of rospect for it, we might imegine that a man had an indispensable right to do harm to others, and no right at all to please himeelf withont giving pain to any one.
6 I have reserved for the last place a large clase of questions respecting the limits of government interfarence, which, though closely connected with the aubject of this Essay, do not, in strictneas, belong to it. These are cases in which the reasons egainst interference do not turn upon the principle of liberty: the question is not about restraining the actions of individuale, but abont helping them: it is asted whether the government should do, or cause to be done, something for their benefic, inatead of leaving it to be done by themselves, individually or in volantary combination.
/7he objections to government inter ference, when it is not ench as to it volve infriagement of liberty, may $\mathbf{b}$ of three kinds.
/The finst is, when the thing to be done is ilifely to be bettar done by individuald than by the government Speaking generally, there is no one as fit to conduct any business, or to determine how or by whom it ahall be conducted, as those who are personally interested in it. This principle condemns the interferences, once to common, of the legislature, or the officere of government, with the ordinary processes of industry. But thia part of the subject has been sufficiently
enlarged npon by pulitical economists, and is not particularly related to the principles of this Essay.
$\%$ F The recand objection is mare nearly fllied to our subject. In many cases, though individuals may not do the particular thing so well, on the average, as the officers of government; it is nevertheless desirsble that it should be done by them, rather than by the government, as a means to their own mental education-a mode of strengthening their active faculties, exercising their judgment, and giving them a familiar knowledge of the subjects with which they are thus left to deal. This is a principal, though not the sole, recommendation of jury trial (in cases not political) ; of free and popular local and muricipalinstitutions: of the conduct of industral and philanthropic enterprises by voluntary associations. These arenot questions of liberty, and are connecteds with that subject only by remote tendencies; but they are questions of development. It belongs to a different occasion from the present to dwell on these things as parts of national edncation; as being in truth, the peculiar training of a citizen, the practical part of the political education of a free people, taking them out of the narrow circle of personal and family selfishness, and accustoming them to the comprehension of joint interests, the management of joint concerns-habituating them to act from public or semi-public motives, and groide their conduct by sims whinh unite instead of isolating them from one another. Without these habits and powers, a free constitution can neither be worked nor preserved; as is exemplified by the too-often transitory nature of political freedom in countries where it does not rest upon a sufficieut basis of local liberties. The management of purely local business by the localities, and of the great enterprises of industry by the union of those who voluntarily supply the pecuniary means, is further recommended by all the advantages which have been set forth in this Essay as belonging to individuality of development, and diversity of modes of action. Government operations tend to be everywhore
alike. With individuals and voluntery associations, on the contrary, there are varied experiments, and endless diversity of experience. What the State can usefully do is to make itself a central depository, and active circulator and diffuser, of the experience resulting from many trials. Its buisiness is to enable each experimentalist to benefit by the experiments of others; instead of tolerating no experiments but its own.
$2 \boldsymbol{T}$ he third, and most cogent reason for restricting the interference of government, is the great evil of adding unnecesmarily to its power. Every function superadded to those already exercised by the government, causes its influence over hopes and fears to be more widely diffused, and convert', more and more, the active and ambitious part of the public into hangerson of the government, or of some party which aime at becoming the government. If the roads, the railways, the banks, the insurance offices, the great joint-stock companies, the universitios, and the public charities, were all of them branches of the government; if, in addition, the municipal corporations and local boards, with all that now dovolves on them, became departments of the central administration; if the euployes of all these different enterpriees were appointed and paid by the government, and looked to the government for every rise in life; not all the freadom of the press and popular consititution of the legislature would maice this or any other country free otherwise than in name. And the evil would be greater; the more efficiently and scientifically the administrative machinery was constructed-the more skilful the arrangements for obtaining the best qualified hands and heads with which to work it. In England it has of late been proposed that all the members of the cifil service of government should be selected by competitive examination, to obtain for those employments the most intelligent and instructed persons procarable; and much has been said and written for and against this proposal. One of the arguments most insisted on by ite op.
ponenta, in rhat the occupation of a permanent official servant of the State does not hold out sufficient proppects of emolument and importance to at raot the highest talents, which will alvaya be able to find a more inviting carcer in the profensions, orin the eervice of companies and other public bodies. One would not have been surprised if thir argamont had been usod by the friends of the proposition, as an answer to its principal difficulty. Coming from the opponenta it is etrange enough. What is urged as an objecotion is the eafety-valve of the proposed syatem. If indeed all the high talent of the country could be drawn into the service of the government, a proposal tending to bring aboat that result might well inspire oneasinos. If every part of the businese of society which required organized concert, or large and comprehensive viewe, were in the bands of the government, and if goverament offices were naiversally Gilled by the ableat men, all the enlarged calture and practised intelligence in the country, except the puroly upeculative, would be concentrated in a numerous bureaucracy, to whom alone the rest of the commanity would look for all things: the multitude for direction and dictation in all they had to do; the sble and aspiring for perconal advancement. To be admitted into the ranka of this bureaucracy, and when admitted, to rise therein, would be the eole objecte of ambition.' Under this regime, not only is the outside publio ill qualified, for want of practical experience, to criticise or chect the mode of operation of the bureaucracy, but even if the accidents of despotio or the natural working of popular inatitutions occationally rase to the summit a ruler or rulere of roforming Inclinationg, no reform can be effected which is contrary to the interest of the borenucraoy. Such is the melanchoiy condition of the Russian empire, as shown in the secounts of those who have had sufficient opportunity of obcorvation. The Czar hiniself is power less against the burvancratio body; be can nend any one of them to Siberia, but ho cannot govern without them, or
against thoir will. On erary decree of. his they havo a tacit veto, by mereily refraining from carrying it into offect. In countrias of more advanced oivilit zation and of a more insorrectionary spirit, the public, socustomed to expeots everything to be done for them by thei State, or at least to do nothing forid themselves without asking from thei State not only loave to do it, bat evensi how it is to bo done, nsturally hold tho Stato responsille for all evil which befals them, and when the cril exceeded their amount of patience, they rise againgt the government, and makei what is called a revolation; wherempon somobody else, with or withont legitimate authority from the nation, vaulta: into the teat, issues his orders to the: buresucracy, and everything goes op much an it did before; the burean-j crsoy being unchanged, and nobodfy else being capable of taking their place.
If $A$ very different spectacle is ox: hibited among a people accustomed to transact their own business. In France, a large part of the people having been. engaged in military gervica, many of whom have held at least the rank of non-oommissioned officers, there ars. in overy popular inaurrection sercral. persons competent to tako the lead, and improvise some tolerablo plan of action: What the French aro in, military affaiza, the Amoricans are in every tipd of civil business ; let them. be left without a government, evars body of Amoricans is ablo to improw vise one, and to carry on that or any other public basiness with a sufficient amornt of intelligence, order, and de-, cision. This is what every free people; ought to be: and a peoplo capabley of this is certain to bo froe; it will never let itself be enslavod by anys man or body of men because these arel able to soize and pull the reins of thay contral administration. No barsanke cracy can hope to make such a peoplai as thin do or undergo anything thate: thoy do not like. But whare ereryd thing in done through the boreant cracy, nothing to which the bureand oracy is really sdererse can be done aty all The constitation of anoh conki.
tries is an organization of the experience and practical ability of the nation, into a discippined body for the purpose of governing the rest ; and the more perfect that organization is in itself, the more successful in drawing to itzelf and educating for itself the persons of greatest capacity from all ranks of the community, the more complete is the bondage of all, the members of the bureaucracy included. For the governors are as much the slaves of their organization and discipline, as the governed are of the govemors. A Chinese mandarin is as much the tool and creature of a despotism as the humblest cultivator. An individual Jesuit is to the utmost degree of abasement the slave of bis order, thengh the order itself exists for the collective powet and importance of its members.
LIt is not, also, to be forgotten, that the absorption of all the principal ability of the country into the governing body is fatal, sooner or later, to the mental activity and progressiveness of the body itteef. Banded together as they aro- worling a system which, like all systems, necessarily proceeds in a great measure by fixed rules-the official body are under the constant temptation of sinking into indolent routine, or, if they now and then desert that mill-borse rcund, of rashing into some half-examined crudity which has struck the fancy of some leading member of the corps: and the sole check to these closely allied, though seemingly opposite, tendencies, the only stimulus which can keep the ability of the body itself up to a high standard, is liability to the watchful criticism of equal ability outside the body. It is indispensable, therefore, that the means should exist, independently of the government, of forming such ability, and furnishing it with the opportunitiea and experience necessary for a correct judgment of great practical affairs. If we would possess perma mently a skilful and efficieut body of finctionaries-above all, a body able to originate and willing to adopt improvements; if we would not have our bureaucracy degenerate into $s$ pedantocracy, this body mist not engrose all
the occupations which form and cultto vate the faculties required for thel government of mankind.
3yTo determine the point at which evils, so formidable to human freedomi and advancement, begin, or rather at which they begin to prodominate over the benefita attending the collective application of the force of society, under ite recognised chiefs, for the removal of the obstacles which stand in the way of its well-being; to secure as much of the advantages of centralized power and intelligence, ss can be had without turning into governmental chanmels too great a proportion of the general activity-is one of the most dificult and complicated questions in the art of government. It is, in a great measure, a question of detail, in which raany and various considerations must be kept in view, and no absolute rule can be laid down. But I believe that the practical principle in whioh safety resides, the ideal to be kept in view, the atandard by which to test all arrangements intended for overcoming the difficulty, may be convered in these words: the greatest dissemination of power consistent with efficiency; but the greatest possible centralization of information, and diffusion of it from the centre. Thus, in municipal administration, there would be, as in the New England States, a very minute division among separate officers, chosen by the localities, of all business which is not better left to the persons directly interested; but besides this, there would be, in each department of local affairc, a central smperintendence, forming a branch of the general goverument. Tha organ of this superintendence would concentrate, as in a focus, the variety of information and experience derived from the conduct of that branch of public business in all the localities, from everytbing analogous which is done in foreign countries, and from the general principles of political science: This central organ should bave a right to know all that is done, and its special duty should be that of making the knowledge acquired in one place availsble for others. Emancipated from the petty prejudices and narrow news of a

## APPLTCATIONS

Soonility by itm elevated parition and couprohengive sphere of observation, its udvice would naturally carry much alsthority; but its actrial power, 45 a pexi mavent inotitation, should, I conceivo, be limited to compelling the local of ficers to obey the lawi laid down for thoir gridance. In all thinge not provided tor by genernl rales, those officers hould be left to their own judgment,
© tuder reaponaibility to their constituente. For the siolation of rules, thay should be reaponsible to lave, and the rolen themealvei should bo lald down' by the logialature; the cantrel adminimtrative authority only watching over their execution and if they were not properily oarried into effeot, appealing, cocording to thio riature of the case, to the tribunde to anforce the lanw, or to the constitiencied to dismias the funotioneries. who bied not executed it socording to its egpingt. Such, in it general conception, is the central superintendence which the Poor Caw Board is intenied to axercise over the adminitaratori of the Poor Reste throughont the ountry. Whatever powers the Boand exergisen bojond this limit, weto right and neceasary in that peculiar op for the cure of rooted habits of tex indiministration in mattore deoply ettoctivis not the localitian merely, but the whalo cocrimonity; aince no locality has a moral right to make itmelf by miemsnagement a nest of penperism, necesesnily overflowing into other locs. litien, and impairing the moral and phyaical condition of the whole labouring commonity. The powert of sdininistrative coorcion and uabordinate logiglation poisesened by the Poor Lav

Board (but which, owing to the stato of opinion on the suljeot ari very scantily exercised by them), though perfootly juatifiable in a case of firstrate national interest, would be wholly out of place in the auperintondence of interenta purely looal. But a oentral organ of information and instruction or all the localities, would be equally valuable in all departments of administration. A government ornnot have too much of the kind of activity which doennot impede, but aids and stimnlatea, individual exertion und development. The mischief begine when, instead of qalling forth the activity and powers of isidiviaula sud bodies, it subsitintes ite . Wwa activity for theirs; when, instead of informing, adrising, and, apon occomien, denouncing it maken them work in fetters, or bids thera atand acside and does thair work instead of them. The worth of a State, in the long rm, is the worth of the individualn composing it; and a State which postpones the intoreats of their mental expanaion and elevation to a little more of adminis. trative atill, or of that somblence of it which practioe given, in the details of buginess; state which dwarf ita men, in order that they may be more docile inetruments in its hands even for bonaficial purposes-will find that with small man no great thing can neally be accomplished; and that the perfection of machinery to which it has gickrificed everytbing, will in the end sytil it yothing for want of the vital power which, in order that the machinis anight work more emogthly, it has pre forred to banish.
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Poriod I.-Medizeval Monarchy: The Departure of the Romaus to Richord Ill. From A.D. 149101425 . $45.6 \alpha$.
Period II.-Personal Münarelyy: Henry VII. to James II. From 148 to 1688.
Period III.-Constitutional Monarchy: William and Mary to William IV. From 1689 to 1837. 7s. $6 d$.
Period IV.-The Growth of Democracy: Victoria. From 1837 to r880, 2 6t.

BUCKLE (EXEXY Thomas),-HISFORY OF CIVILISATION IN ĖNG: LAND AND FRANCE, SPAIN AND SCOTLAND. 3 ซols. Cr. 8vo. 245:-
BUL工 (Thomas).-HINTS TO MOTHERS ON THE MANAGEMENT OF THEIR HEALTH during the Period of Pregnancy. Fep. 8vo. is. 6d.

- THE MATERNAL MANAGEMENT OF CHILDREN IN HEAL.TH A:ID DISEASE. Fcp. 8vo. 5 xs .6 d
BUTLER (Samuel)-EREWHON. Crown 8vo: 5 s.
- THE FAIR HAVEN. A Work in Defence of the Miraculous Element in our Lord's Ministry. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
——— LIFE AND HiABIT. An Essay after a Completer View of Evolution. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- EVOLUTION, OLD AND NEW. Crown 8vo. ros. 6d.
- UNCONSCIOUS MEMORY. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6 d.
- ALPS AND SANCTUARIES OF PIEDMONT AND THE CANTON TICINO. Illustrated. Pott qio. 100. 6d.
SELECTIONS FROM WORKS. Crown 8 vo . js. $6 d$. MODIFICATION? Crown 8vo. 7s. $6 d$.
- VaX VOTO. An Account of the Sacro Monte or New Jerusalem at Varallo-Sesia. Crown 8vo. 10s. $6 d$.
——_ HOLBEIN'S 'LA DANSE'. 3 .
CARLYLE (Thomas),-THOMAS CARLYLE: a History of bis Life. By J. A. FRCrDE. $\quad$ 1795-1835. a vols. Cr. 8vo. 75. $1834-188 \mathrm{r}, 2$ vols. Cr. 8 vo. 7s.

LAST WORDS OF THOMAS CARLYLE-Wotton Reinfred-Excursion (Futiic enough) to Paris-Letters to Varnhagen ton Ense, \&̌c. Cr. ${ }^{\text {avo. 6s. } 6 \mathrm{~d} \text {. net. }}$
CHETWYND (Sir George).-RACING REMINISCENCES AND EXPERIENCES OF THE TURF. 2 vols, 8 vo . ass.
CHILD (GFilbert W.) CHURCH AND STATE UNDER THE TUDORS 8vo. 15 .
CHILTON (3.).-THR HISTORY OF A FAILURE, and other Tales. Fcp. 8va 3r. 6d.
CHISHOLM (G. G.) -HANDBOOK OF COMMERCIAL GEOGRAPHY. New Edition. With 29 Maps. 8va zos. net.
CLERKE (Agnea M.).-FAMILIAR STUDIES IN HOMER. Crown 8vo. 7s. $6{ }^{2}$.
CLODD (Fdward).-THE STORY OF CREATION : a Plain Account of Evolution. With 77 Illusurations. Crown 8va 3r. 6d.
CLUTTGRBUCK (W. J.)-ABOUT CEYLON AND BORNEO. With 47 Illustrations. Crown 8vo 1os. 64
COLEENSO (J. W.).-THE PENTATEUCH AND BOOK OF JOSHUA CRITICALLY EXAMINED. Crows 8 vo. 6.
COMYN (L. N.).-ATHERSTONE PRIORY:'n Tale. Crows 8vo. 2s. 6d.
CONHNGTON (John).-THE EENEID OF VIRGIL. Translated into English Verse. Crown 8vo. 6 .
.- THE POEMS OF VIRGLL. Translated into-Eaglish Prose. Cr. 8vo.6s.
COPLPSTION (Reginald Stephen, D.D. Bishop of Colombo).-BUDDHISM, PRIMITIVE AND PRESENT, IN MAGADHA AND IN CEYLON. 8vo. 16 s.
COX (Rev. fir G. W.),-A HISTORY OF GREECE, from the Earliess Period to the Death of Alexander the Great. Witb Ir Maps. Cr. 8vo. 7s. $6 d$.

CRAKN (Rev. A. D.)-HISTORICALTALES. Cr. 8 vo .5 vols. as. 6d. each.

Bdry the Falr; or, The First Cbronicle of $x$ scendune.
Aligar the Dane; or, The Second Chronicle of 在scendune.
The Rival Heirs: being the Third and Last Chronicle of Rescendune.

The House of Welderne. A Tale or the Cloister and the Forest in the - Days of the Barons' Wars.

Brain Fitx-Count: A Story of WalJingford Castle and :Dorchester Abbey.
—— HISTORY OF THE CHURCH UNDER THE ROMAN EMPIRE A.D. $30-476$. Crown 8 vo. 7 s. $6 d$.

CREIGETON (Mandell, D.D.)-HISTORY OF THE PAPACY DURING THE REFORMATION. 8iO. Vols. I. and II., 1378 -1464, 325 . ; Vols. III. and IV., $1464-1588,245$.

CROZIER (John Beattie, M.D.),-CIVILISATION AND PROGRESS. Revised and Enlarged, and with New Preface More fully explaining the nature of the New Organon used in the solution of its problems. 8 vo . 14s.
CRUMP (A.).-A SHORT ENQUIRY INTO THE FORMATION OF POLITICAL OPINION, from the Reign of the Great Families to the Advent of Democracy. Evo. 7s. $6 d$.

- AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE CAUSES OF THE GREAT FALL IN PRICES which took place ccincidently with the Demonetisation. of Silver by Germany, 8va, 6o.
CURZON (George N., M.P.).-PERSIA AND THE PERSIAN QUES. TION. With 9 Maps, 96 Illustrations, Appendices, and an Index. a vols. 8vo. 425 .
DANTE.-LA COMMEDIA DI DANTE A New Text, carefully Revised with the aid of the most recent Editions and Collations. Small 8vo. 6 .
DT LLA SAUSSAYE (Prof. Chantepie)-A MANUAL OF THE SCIENCE OF RELIGION. Translated by Mrs. Colyer Fergusson (nie Max Mulller). Crown Swo. izs, $6 d$.
DEAD SHOT (THE); or, Sportmar's Complete Guide Being a Treatise on the Use of the Gur, with Rud.mentary and Finishing Lessons on the int of Sheoting Game of all kinds. elso Game Driving, Wild-Fowl and Pigeon Shooting. Dog Breaking. Ac. By Marksman. Crown 8vo. ios. 6 d .
DELAND (Margaret, Author of 'John Ward').-THE STORY OF A CHILD. Crown 8vo. 55.
DE SALIS (Mra.),-Works by :-

Cakne and Confections is in Mode. Fcp. 8vo. Is. 64
Dressed Game and Poultry il in Yoden Fep. 8vo. 1s. $6 d$.
Dreased Fesatables id is Mode. Fcp. Bra rs. 6 d.
Drlake a ia Mode. Fcp. 8vo, ys. 62
Entréon ì la Moden Fcp. 15.8 vo .6 d
Floral Decorations. Fcp. 8vo. 2s. 6 d .
Oysters ì la Mode. Fcp. 8vo, us. $6 d$.
Yuddinge and Pantry al In Yode. Fcp. 8vo. 1s. $6 d$.

Eavouries ì la Mode. Fep. 8vo. 1s. 6d.
Soups and Dressed Fikh ì la Mode. Fcp. 8vo. xs. $6 d$.
Steets and Supper Disher ì la Mode. Fep. 8vo, is. $6 d$.
Tempting Dishes for Bmall Incemen. Fcp. 8vo. Is. 6d.
Wrinkies and Notions for every Hounthold. Crown 8 vo . Is. 6 d.
mow-Eald Egen: Hints for Amateur Poultry Rearers. Fip. 8vo. is. $6 d$.

DE TOCQUFVIIII\# (Aleyis).-DEMOCRACY IN AMERICA Translated by Heliry Rerve, C.B. 3 vols. Crown 8vo. i6s.
DOROTHY WALLIS: an Autobiography. With Preface by Walter Besant. Crown 8vo. 6 .

DOUGATM, (L.)-BEGGARS ALL; a Novel. Crown 8vo. 3r. 6d
DOWEIT (Stephen).-A HISTORY OF TAXATION AND TAXES IN ENGLAND. 4 vols. svo. Vois. I. and II., The Histury of Taxation, av. Vols. III. and IV., The History of Taxes, av.
DOYLF (A. Conan)--MICAH CLARKR: á Tale of Monmouth's Rebelliop. With Frontispiecte and Vignette. Crown 8 va 3r. 6 d .
——— THECAPTAINOFTHE POLESTAR; andother Tales. Cr. 8vo, 3r. 6 d .
EWALD (Heinrich)-THE ANTIQUITIES OF ISRAEL. 8vo, 125, $6 d$. THE HISTORY OF ISRAEL. Bvo. Vols. I. and II. 24. Vols. III. and IV. 2 Es . Vol. V. i8s. Vol. VI. 16s, Vol. VII. 2Ts. Vol. VIII. iof.
FAIKREEFR (BdWard)-GAMES, ANCIENT AND ORIENTAL, AND IIOW TO PLAY THEM. Being the Games of the Ancient Egyptians, the Hiera Gramme of the Greeks, the Ludus Litrunculonum of the Romans, and the Criental Games of Chess, Draughts, Backgampton, and Magic Squares. With numerous Photographs, Diagrams, ac. 8vo. ate.
FARNEHL工 (G. 8.).-GREEK LYRIC POETRY. 8vo. $\mathbf{1 6 6}$.
TARRAR (F. W.).-LANGUAGE AND LANGUAGES. Crown 6vo. 6s. DARKNESS AND DAWN ; in, Scenes in the Days of Nero. An Historic Tale Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
FITGPATRICE (W, J.)_-SECRET SERVICE UNDER PITTT. 8vo. is.
FIHZWYGRAM (Major-General Sir F.).-HORSEA.ANDSTABLES, With x9 pages of Ulustrations. 880.5 .

FORD (Horace).-THE THEORY AND PRACTICE OF ARCHERY. New Edition, thoroughly Revised and Ko-written by W. Brtr. 8ro. iss.
FOUARD (Abbe Constant).-THECHRIST THESON OF GOD. With Lutroduction by Cardinal Manning. 2 vols Crown 8va. iss.

- ST. PETER AND THE FIRST YEARS OF CHRISTIANITY. Transiated from the Serond Edition, with the Author's sanetion, by George F. X. Griffitt. With an Introduction by Cardinal Gibsons. Cr. ovo. gs. $^{\text {g }}$

FOX (C.J.)-THE ESRLY HISTORY OF CHARLES JAMES FOX. By the Rigi: Hon. Sir. G O. Irevelyan, Bart.

FRANCI8 (Francis).-A ZOOK ON ANGLING: including full Illustrated List: of Sialmon Flies. Post Evo. 15.
FREFMAN (E. A.)-THE HISTORICAL GEOGRAPHY OF EVROPE Witb ${ }^{5}$ Maps. 2 vols. 8 vo. 315.61.
FROUDE (Jsmes A.).-THE HiSTORY OF ENGLAND, from the Fall of Wodsey is tis Defeat of tue Sparisit Armada. 12 vois. Cromin $8 v o$. Ga 25. - THE DICOKCE OF CATHERINE OF ARAGON: The Story as told by the Impe: ni Ambensadors resident at the Court of Heniy Vill. In Usym Leiccram. Ciown Eva 6 .
——..THE ENGLISH IN IRELAND IN THE EIGHTEENTH CENI'RY. 3 vols Crown 8vo. 185.
C- SHORT STUUIES ON GREAT SUBJECTS.
Cabinct Edition. 4 vols. Cr. 8vo. 24s. I Cheap Edit. 4 vols. Cr. 8vo. 3r. 6d. en
—— THE SPANISH STORY OF THE ARMADA, and other Essays. Historicit and Descriptive. Crown 8vo. 6t.
iContinnai.

FROUDE (James A.)-(Continwed).
———CIESAR : a Sketch. Crown 8vo. 3t. 6a.

- OCEANA : OR, ENGLAND AND HER GOLONIES. With 9 Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 25. boards. 25. 6 d. cloth.

THE ENGLISH IN THE WEST INDIES; or, the Bow of Ulyses With 9 lllustrations Crown Svo. 2s. boands, 2s. 6d. cloth.
—... THE TWO CHIEFS OF DUNBOY; an Irish Romance of the Last Century. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6a.

THCMAS CARLYLE, a History of his Life. 1795 to 1835.2 vols. Crown 8vo. 7s. 1834 to 188r. 2 vais. Crown 8 vo. 75.

GAL工WEY (Sir Ralph Payne-).-LETTERS TO YOUNGSHOOTERS. First Series. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6id. Second Series. Crown 8vo. 12s. 60.

GARDINER (Samuel Rawbon),-HISTORY OF ENGLAND, r6oz1642.10 vols. Crown 8vo. price 6s. each.
——A HISTORY OF THE GREAT CIVIL WAR, 1642-1649. (3 vois.) Voi. I. 1642-1644. With 24 Maps. 8 vo . (owt of print). Vol. II. 1644-1647. With 21 Maps. 8vo. 245. Vol. IIL. 1647-1649. With 8 Maps, 285.
——THE STUDENT'S HISTORY OF ENGLAND. Vol. I. \&.c. 55-K.d. 1509 , with 173 Illustrations, Crown 800.45 . Vol. II. 1509 -1689, with 96 Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 4s. Vos. III. 1689-1885, with 100 Illustrations, Crown 8vo. 4s. Complete in $x$ vol. With 378 Iliustrations. Erown 8vo. s2s.

- A SCHOOL ATLAS OF ENGLISH HISTORI. A Companion Atas to 'Student's History of England". 66 Meps and 22 Plans. Fcap. 4to. 55.
GORTHE.-FAUST. A New Transtation cisetiv in: Blank Verse; with Introduction and Notes. By James Adey Birjs. Crowd 8vo. 6.

FAUST. The Seconc Par- A New Tansiation in Verse. By James Adey Birds. Crown suc. of.

GRMEN (T. H.)-THE WCRKS OF THOMAS HILL GREEN. (3 Vols) Vols. I. and II. 8vo. 16s. each. Voi. ILI. 8vo. 2 ss.

THE WITNESS OF GOD AND FAITH: Two Lay Sermons. Fcp. 8 vo. $2 s$.

GREVILLE (C. C. F.):-A JOURNAL OF THE REIGNS OF KING GEORGE IV., KING WILLIAM IV., AND QUEEN VICTORIA. Ediبed by H. keeve. 8 vols. Crown 8vo. 6 s. eack.
GWILT (Joseph) -AN ENCYCIOPEDIA OF ARCHITECTURE With more than ryoo Engravings on Wood. 8vo. 52s. 6xt.
HAGGARD (F. Rider).-SHE. With 32 Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 3r. $6 d$.
———ALLAN QUATERMAIN. With 3i Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d. MAIWA'S REVENGE. Crown 8vo. 25 . boands. 1s. 6́'. cloth
————COLONEL QUARITCH. V.C. Crown 8vo. 3:. 5.l.
———EOPATRA; With 29 IUustrations. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d. BEATRICE Crown 8vo. 35. 60 . ERIC BRIGHTEXES. With 51 Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 6r. NADA THE LILY. With 23 Illurtraions by C. H. M. Kegia Cr.: 8vo. 6 .

TAGGARD (H. Rider) and IMANG (Andrew).-THE WORED'S DESIRE. Crown 8vo. 6 s.

HATILIWFLI-PHILIIPPS (J.O.)-A CALENDAROFTHEHALLI-WELL-PHILLIPPS COLLECTION OF SHAKESPEAREAN RARITIES. Second Edition. Enlarged by Ernest E. Baker. ' ivo. Ior. 6d.

OUTLINES OF THE LIFE OF SHAKESPEARE With numerous Illostrations and Facsimiles. 2 vols. Royal 8vo. 215.

BARRISON (Jane $\underset{\text { E.).-MYTHS OF THE ODYSSEY IN ART AND }}{ }$ LITERATURE. IUustrated with Ouline Drawirgs. 8vo, 185.
ITARRISON (Mary)-COOKERY FOR BUSY LIVES AND SMALL INCOMES, Fcp. 8vo. rs.

HARTE (Bret).-IN THE CARQUINEZ WOODS. Fcp. 8vo. ש. bds, r. 6 d cloth.

BY SHORE AND SEDGE 1 Gmo. It ON THE FRONTIER. $\mathbf{~} 6 \mathrm{mo}$. x.
". "Complete in one Volume. Crown 8vo. 3r. 6d.
EARTWIG (Dr.).-THE SEA AND ITS LIVING WONDERS. With ra Plates and 303 Woodcats. 8 vo . 7 s . net.
THE TROPICAL WORLD. With 8 Plates and 172 Woodeuts. 8vo. 7s. net. THE POLAR' WORLD. With 3 Maps, 8 Plates and 85 Woodcuts. 8vo. 7s. not. THESUBTERRANEAN WORLD. With 3 Mapsand 80 Woodeuts. 8vo. 7s. net. THE AERIAL WORLD. With Miap, 8 Plates and 60 Woodeuts. 8 vo . 7s. nel.

HAVELOCK-MEMOIRS OF SIR HENRY HAVELOCK, K.C.B. By John Clarí Marshnan. Crowi 8vo. 3s. 6d.
FLPARN (W. Fdward).-THE GOVERNMENT OF ENGLAND: itsStructure and its Development 8vo. $\mathbf{1 6 r}$.

THE ARYAN HOUSEHOLD: its Structure and ts Development. An Introduction to Comparative Jurisprudence 8 vo . $\mathbf{2 6 5}$.
HISTORIC TOWNE. Edited by E. A. Freeman and Rev. William Hunt. With Maps and Plans. Crown 8vo 35. 6d. each.
Bristol. By Rev. W. Hunt. $\quad$ Oxford. By Rev. C. W. Roase.
Carlisle. By Dr. Mandell Creighton, Winchester. By Rev. G. W. Kitchin.
Cinque Ports. By Montagu Burows. Fen York. By Theviore Roosevels.
Coloherter. By Rev. E. L. Cutts.
Exeter. By E. A. Fremag.
London. By Rev, W. I. Loftie
 Lodge.
Tork. By Rev. jemes Faine.

HODGSON (Shadworth E.).-TINE AND SPACE: a Detaphysical Essay. 8vo. tós.
——THE THEORY OF PR.ACTICE: an Ethical Enc̣uiry. 2 sols. 8vo. 24 . THE PHILOSOPHY OF REFLECTION. 2 :ols. svo. aIs. OUTCAST ESSAYS AND VERSE TRANSLATIONS. Crown 8vo. 8. $6 d$

HOOPER (George).-ABRAHAM FABERT: Goverbor of Sedan, Marshall of France. His Life and Times, 1599-1662. Witb a Portrait. 8vo. ros. 6d.
HOWIIHP (William),-VISITS TO REMARKABLE PLACES. so Illustrations. Crown 8ra 35. 6d.

FULTAF (John)--COURSE OF LECTURES ON THE HISTORY OF MODERN MCSIC. 8vo. 8s. 6 d .
——COURSE OF YECTURES ON THE TRANSITION PERIOD OF MUSICAL HISTORY. 8vo, ros. 6d.
HUMET-THE PHILOSOPHICAL WORKS OF DAVID HUME Edited by T. H. Green and T. H. Grose. 4 vols. 8 va . 565.
HUTH (AIfred H.).-THE MARRIAGE OF NEAR KIN, considered with respect to the Law of Nations, the Result of Experience; and the Teachings?: of Biology. Royal Bvo. axs.

EVGFHOW (Jean),-POETICAL WORKS. 2 wols. Fcp. 8vo. 12.
——XRICAL AND OTHER POEMS. Selected from the Writings of Jean ingelow. Fcp. 8vo. 2r. 6d, cloth plain, 3s. cloth gith.
—— VERY YOUNG and QUITE ANOTHER STORV: Two Stories. Crown 8vo. 6s.

INGRAM (T. Dunbar).-ENGLAND AND ROME: a History of the: Rehations between the Papacy and the English State and Church from the Norman Conquest to the Revolution of 1688.'8vo. 34s.

JAMESON (Mrs). SACRED AND LEGENDARẎART. With rg Etchings and 187 Woodcuts. 2 vols. 8vo. nos. ned.
———LEGENDS OF THE MADONNA, the Virgin Mary as represented in Sacred and Legendary Art. With 27 Etciaings and 165 Wooteuts. 8vo. 10s. net.

- LEGENDS OF THE MONASTIC ORDERS. With if Exchings and 88 Woodeuts. Bvo, yor. mer.

HISTORY OF OUR LORD. His Types and Precursors. Completed by Lady Eastlake. With 3 I Etchings and 28 I Woodevts. 2 vols. 8 vo. 205 . nel.

JEFHTERTES (Richard).-FIELD AND HEDGEROW. Lest Essays. Crown Svo. 3 s. $6 d$.
———THESTORY OF MY HEART: My Autobiography. Crown gvo. 3s. 6d. RED DEER. With 17 Illustrations by J. Charlton and H. TUNALY. Crown 8vo. 3 s. 6 d.

THE TOILERS OF THE FIELD. With autotype reproduction of bust of Richard Jefferies in Salisbury Cathedral. Crown 8vo. 6s.

JFNNINGS (Rev. A. C.)-ECCLESIA ANGLICANA. A History of the Church of Christ it England. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
JEWSBURY-A SELECTIONFROM THE LETTERS OF GERALDINE JEWSBURY TO JANE WELSH CARLYLE. Edited by Mrs. ALEXANDER
$\because$ !reLaND, and Prefaced bya Monograph on Miss Jewsbury by the Editor. 8vo.6s.
JOHNSON (J. \& J. H.)-THE PATENTEES MANUAL; a Treatise on the Law and Practice of Letters Patent. 8vo. you. 6d.

JORDAN(William Teighton).-THESTANDARDOFVALUE. 8vo.6is.
JUSHINTAN.-THE INSTITUTES OF JUSTINIAN; Latin Text, with English Introduction, ac. By Thomas C. Sandars. 8vo, 185 .

KAgTR (Immanuel) - CRITIQUE OF PRACTICAL REASON $=$ N TOTHER WORKS ON THE THEORY OF ETHIGS 8N ySHBE

- INTRODUCTION TOLOGIC Iranslated by F K Abboth Hote by S. T. Coleridge. Bvo. $6 \$$.
KEITH DERAMORE. A Novel By the Author of 'Miss Molly'. Cr, 8voof 6 KIILICK (Rev. A. FI. HHANDBOOK TO MILL'S SYSTEM OF LOGIC. Crown 8vo. 3f. 6 d
'KNIGTH (D. D.)-THE CRUISE OF THE ‘ALERTE' the Nairative of a Search for Treasure on the Desert Island of Trinidad. With 2 Maps and
23 Ilustrations. Crown 8vo. 3s. $6 d$.
WHWRETHREE EMPIRES MEET. A Narrative of Recent Travol

I/ADD (Ceprge T), ELEMENTS OF PHYSIOLOGICAL PSYGHQ LOGY. 8vo. 2 is.

OUTLINES OF PHYSIOLOGICAL PSYCHOLOGY.' A Text Bool of Mental Science for Academies and Colieges. 8vo. I2s.
TUANG (Andrew).-CUSTOM AND MYTH: Sudies of Early Usage amd Belief. IVith 15 Illustrations Crown 8vo. 7\%. 6 d. HOMER AND THE EPIC. Crown zvo. gr. net. BOOKS AND BOOKMEN, With 2 Coloured Plates and 17 Illustrio tions. Fcp. 8 vo . 25, 6d, ket.

LETTERSTO DEAD AUTHORS. Fcp. 8vo. 2s. $6 d$, net. OLD FRIENDS, Fcp. 8 vo. wr. 6 d. net. LETYERS ON LITERATURE. Fcp. 8vo. 25, 62\% net.
THEGRASS OF PARNASSUS. FCp. 8vo. 25. 6d, net.
$\rightarrow$ - BALLADS OF BOOKS., Bdicel ly ANDEEW LANG. Fcp. 8vo os.
-2, THE BLUE FAIRX BOOK Edited by ANDREW LANG. With 8
Whates and $\times 30$ Illustrations in the Text. Crown $\$$ vo : 6 . $\therefore$ - THE RED FAIRY BOQK. Edited by A MBEEW LANG. With 4 Plates and 96 lllustrations in the Text. Crown 8vo. 66

- THE BLUE POETRY BOOK. Wits 12 Plates and 88 mhstrations in the Text. Crown $8 v a$ Gr. -THE BLUE POETRY BOOK. 'School Edition, without Illugtrations. Fcp. 8 rog 25. 6 .
- THE GREEN FAIRT BOOK. Editee by Anbrew Lang. With 13 Fintes and 83 Illustrations in the Text by H. J. Ferd. Crown ivo. 6s. IS ANGLING SKETOHES With intsirations by W. G. BURNMurdoch. Crown 8vo. 7s. 60.
L'AVTBET (Ernest),-GENERAL VIEW OF THE POLITICAL HIS TORYOF EUROPE: Crown 8vo. 5 .

HECKY (W. .F. H.),-HISTORY OF ENGLAND IN THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY. Library Edition, 8vo. Vols. L. and II. 1700-1760. 360
 Vols. VII, and VIII. 1793 - 380 . 30 . Cabinet Eiitios, 12 vols, Crown 8 vos 5s, each.

THE HISTORY OF EUROPEAN MORALS FROM AUGUSTUS TO CHARLEMAGNE, 2 vols: Crown 8 vo , $36 s$.
.... HISTORY OF THE RISE AND INFLUENCE OF THE SPIRIT OF RATIONALISM IN EUROPE. 2 vois. Crown 8vo. $16 s$.
$\longrightarrow$ POEMS. Tcap. 8vo. 5 s.

IHEAS (J. A.) and CLOTHERBUCK (W, J), BC. I887, A RAMBLE IN BRITISH COLUMBIA. With Map and 75 Itusts. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6 od
HHWHS (George Eanry)-THE HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY, from Thales to Comte. 2 vo s. 8 vo . 3 as .
LHYLON (Frank).-THE SHADOWS OF THE LAKE, and other Roems. Crown 8vo. 7s. $6 d$. Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6 d.
LLOYD (F. J.).-THE SCIENCE OF AGRICULTURE 8vo. I2S
LONGMCAN (Frederick W.).-CHESS OPENINGS. Fcp. 8vo. 2r. $6 \%$. - FREDERICK THE GREAT AND THE SEVEN YEARS WAR. Fcp. 8vo. 28. $6 d$.
IUNGMORE (SSix T.),-RICHARD WISEMAN, Surgeon and Sergeant Surgeon to Charles II. A Biographical Study. With Portrait. 8vo, Ios, $6 d$.
IOUDON (F.C.)-ENCYCLOPADIA OF GARDENING. With 1000 Woodcuts. 8vo. ais.
——— ENCXCLOPAEDIA OF AGRICULTURE; the Laying-out, Improvement, and Management of Landed Propenty. With 1100 Woodcuts, 8 vo . 21 s .

- ENCYCLOPADIA OF \&LANTS, the Specific Character, \&c, of an Plants found in Great Britain. With 22 oco Woodouts. 8vo. 4xs.
LUBBOCK (Sir J.).-THE ORIGIN OT CIVLLISATION and the Primitive Condition of Man: With 5 Plates and so Hlustrations in the Text. Bvo res:
LYALI (EIna).-THE AUTOBIOGRAPYYOFASLANDER. FCp. 8VO is. sewed.
Presentation Edition, with 20 Illustrations by L, Sperp. Crown 8vo. 5 .
LYDEKKER (R., B.A.).-PHASES OF ANIMAL LIFE, PAST AND PRESENT. With 82 Illustrations. Crown 8vo 6s.
LYDR (Lionel W),-AN INTRODUCTION TO ANCIENT HISTORY. With 3 Coloured Maps. Crown 8vo. $3^{\text {s. }}$.
LYONS (Rev. Daniel).-CHRISTIANITY AND INFALLIBILITYBoth or Neither. Crown 8vo. 55 .
LYTHON (Earl of)-MARAH.-By Owen Meredith (the late Earl of Lytion). Fep. 8vo. 6s. 6tt.

KING POPPY; a Fantasia. Crơwn 8vo. 10s. $6 d$.
MACAULAY (Lord).-COMPLETE WORKS OF LORD MACAULAY. Library Edition, 8 vols. 8 vo . $655^{5} . \quad$ Cabinet Edition, 16 vols. post 8 vo. 64165.

## HISTORY OF ENGLAND FROM THE ACCESSION OF JAMES

 THE SECOND.Popular Edition 2yols Crown 8vo. 55 . Student's Edition; 2 vols. Crown 8vo. 125. People's Eition, 4 vols Crownevo 16 : Cabinet Edition, 8 vols. Post 8vo. 48s, Library Edition, 5 vols. 8vo. £4.
CRITICAL AND HISTORICAL ESSAYS, WITH LAYS OF ANCIENTTROME, in I volume. Popular Edition, Crown 8 vo . $2 s$. $6 d$. Authorised Edition, Crown 8vo. 25 . 6d, or 3s baditedges

- Silver Library ${ }^{\prime}$ Edition. With Portrait and Illustrations to the 'Lays'. Crown 8vo. 35. $6 d$.


## ——CRITIOALAND HISTORICAL ESSAYS.

Student's Edition. Crown'8yo, 6s . Trevelyan Edition, z vols. Crown8vo, gs.
People's Edtipt syols Crown 8vo. 8.

Cabinet Edition, 4 vols. Post 8vo. 24 s :
Efibrary Edition, 3 vols. 8vo. 36 s.
[Continued.

MLACAOTAY (İOTd)-(Continued):
ESSA YS which may be hadseparstely, price 6d. each sewed. Is. each.cloth

Addizon and WaIpole. Frederic the Great.
Croker'a Bosmell's Johnson. Hallam's Constitutional zustory. Warzen Hantings ( 3 d. sewed, $6 d$. cloth). Tha Earl of Chatiam (Two Essays).

Ranke end Gladstong. Elliton and Machiarall. Lord Bacon. Losd Ollxa,
Lord Byron, and the Comie Dramatintis of the Rentoration.

The Eseay on Warran Hatingi, anno- 1. The Busay on Lord Clixe, annotated by tated by S. Hates Fcp. 8 vo , $15,6 d$. H.Courthope Bowen. Fcp.8vo.21.60 SPEECHES. People's Edition, Crown 8vo. 3r. 60.
LAYS OF ANCIENT ROME, atc. Hustrated by G. Scharf, Library Edition, Fep. 410. 10s. 6d
Byjou Edition, 18mn. 2s. 6d. gilk top.

8vo. 3s. 6.t. gilt edges.
Cabinet Edition, Post 8vo. 3r. 6d.

Popalar Edition, Fep. 4to. 6d sewed, Is. cloth. Illustrated by J. R. Weguelin. Crown Annotated Edition, Fep.Bvo. ze.sewed, v. $6 d$. cloth.
—— MISCELLANEOUS WRITINGS.
Reople's Edition. Crown 8vo. 4r. 6d.| Library Edition, 2 vols. 8 vo . axs.
MISCELLANEOUS WRITINGS AND SPEECHES.
Popular Edition.
Student's Edition.
Crown Svo. 2r. 6d.
Crown 8vo. 6s. Cabinet Edition, Post 8vo. 24s. $\quad \therefore$
——— SELECTIONS FROM THE WRITINGS OF LORD MACAULAY. Edited, with Notes, by the Right Hon, Sir G. O. Trevelyan. Crown 8vo. 6 , THE LIFE AND LETTERS OF LORD MACAULAY. By the Righs Hon. Sir G. O. Treyelyan.
Popular Edition. Crown. 8vo. 25. 6 d
-Studentit Edition, Crown 8vo. Gs.
MACDONALD (George).-UNSPOKEN SERMONS Three Series. Crown 8vo. 35. 6d. each.

THE MIRACLES OF OUR LORD. Crown 8vo. 3s. $6 d$.
A BOOK OF STRIFE, IN THE FORM OF THE DIARY OF AN OLD SOLLL: Poms. 12 mo . 6.
MACFARREN (Sir G. A.).-LECTURES ON HARMONY. 8vo. xas.
MACKATL (J. W.).-SELECT EPIGRAMS FROM THE GREEK ANTHOLOGY. With a Revised Tert, Introduction, Translation, \&c. 8vo. 16 .
MACLEOD (Henry D.).-THE ELEMENTS OF BANKING. Crown 8vo. 35. 6 d.

THE THEORY AND PRACTICEOF BANKING. Vol. I. 8vo. xas., Vol. II. 14 .

THE THEORY OF CREDIT, 8vo. Vol. I. [New Eaïtion in the Prasi]; Vol. II. Part I. 4. $6 d$; Vol IL Part 11. zos. $6 d$.
MANNGRING (G. H.), WITH AXE AND ROPE IN THE NEW ZEALAND ALPS. Illustrated. 8vo. 12i. $6 d$.

Firat Prinelplas of Knowiedge. By John Rickaby. Crown Bro. 35.
Moral Philooopty (Ethic! and Natural Law). By Joseph Rickaby. Crown Svo. 5 .
by. Crown sut st
Payohology. Bet Micha $\underset{3}{ }$ Qhaher Crown 8vo. 6s. 如 00 D Fataral Theologyt By Bethard Boedder. Crown 8 . 65 . $6 d$. A Manual or Polltical Economyt ByC. S. Devas. 6s. $6 d$.

MARBOT (Baron de).--THE MEMOIRS OF. Translated from the : French. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
MARTINEAU (James), -HOURS OF THOUGHT ON SACRED THINGS. Two Volumes of Sermons. a vols. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d. each. ENDEAVOURS AFTER THE CHRISTIAN LIFE. Discourses. Crown 8vo. 7s. $6 d$.
——HOME PRAYERS. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.
—— THE SEAT OF AUTHORITY IN RELIGION. 8vo. 14 .
m ESSAXS, REVIEWS, AND ADDRESSES. 4 vols. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d. each.
I. Personal : Polltical.

II, Ecolenlastioal : Historical,
| III. Theolotical: Philosophlcal. ....

MATTHEWS (Brander)-A FAMILY TREL, and other Stories. Crown 8 vo .65.

PEN AND INK-Selected Papers. Crown 8vo. 5s.
__ WITH MY FRIENDS : Tales sold in Parmership. Crown Bvo. 6s.
MAUNDER'S TRTHASURIES. Fcp. 8vo. 6s. each volume

Blographical Treasury.
Treasury of Nataral Eistory. With 900 Woodcuts.
Treasury of Goospaphy. With 7 Maps and 76 Plates.
Sctentifio and Literary Treanury.
Bletorioal troasury.
Treacury of Kzouledge.

The Treasury of Bible Knowledge. By the Rev. J. Ayre. With 5 Maps, 15 Plates, and 300 Woodcuts. Fcp. 8vo. 6 s.
The Treasury of Botany. Edited by J. Lindley and T. Mnore With 274 Woodeuts and 20 Steel Plates. 2 vols.

MAX MƯTIBR (F.)-SELECTED ESSAYS ON LANGUAGE, MYTHOLOGY, AND RELIGION. 2 vols. Crown 8vo. 16s.
———THREE LECTURES ON THE SCIENCE OF LANGUAGE. Cr 8 vo .35.

- THE SCIENCE OF LANGUAGE, founded on Lectures delivered at the Royal Institution in 186 I and $\mathbf{1 8 6 3}$. 2 vols. Crown 8 vo . ars.
$\ldots$ HIBBERT LECTURES ON THE ORIGIN AND GROWTH OF RELIGION, as illustrated by the Religions of India. Crown Svo: 7s. 6 d .

INTRODUCTION TO THE SCIENCE OF REILIGION; Four Lectures delivered at the Royal Institution. Crown 8vo. 7s. $6 d$.

- NATURAL RELIGION. The Gifford Leciures, delivered before the University of Glasgow in 1888. Crown 8vo. 10s. $6 d$.

PHYSICAL RELIGION. The Gifford Lectures, delivered before the University of Glasgow in xego. Crown 8vo. 1os. $6 d$.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL RELIGION : The Gifford Lectures delivered before the University of Glasgow in ISgi. Crown 8vo. 1or, $6 d$.

MAX MULLUER (F.)-(Continwed).
——. THEOSOPHY OR PSYCHOLOGICAL RELIGION: the Gifford Lectures delivered before the University of Glasgow in 1892 . Crown \&vo.
——. THE SCIENCE OF THOUGHT. Bvo. ans.

- THO THREE INTRODUCTORY LECTURES ON THE SCIENCE OF THOUGHT. 8vo. 2s. 6 d .

BIOGRAPHIES OF WCRDS, AND THE HOME OF THE ARYAS. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6.1 .

INDIA, WHAT CAN IT TEACH US? Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d A SANSKRIT GRAMMAR FOR BEGINNERS. New and Abridged Edition. By A. A. MacDonell. Crown 8vo. 6s.

MAY (Sir Thomas Erskine).-THE CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY OF ENGLAND since the Accession of George III. 3 weis. Crown $8 v o . x 8 s$.

DEB AND THEDUCHESS. Illust, by M. E. Edwards. Cr. 8 vo. 3r. $6 d$.
$\ldots$ THE BERESFORD PRIZE Illustrated by M. E. Edwards. Cr. 8vo. g.
MHATH (The Farl of).-SOCIAL ARROWS: Reprinted Articles on various Social Subjects. Crown suc. 5 .

PROSPERITY OR PAUPERISM? Physicai, Industrial, and Jechnicat Training. Edited by the Eazl of Meath. Bvo. gr.
MELVILLO (G. J. Whyte)-Noveis by. Crown 8vo. is. each, boards; 15. 6d. each, cloth. The Gladiatorn. The Interpretar. Good Sor Mothing.

| The Quesn's Marlea, | Digoy Grand. <br> Rolmiby Housa. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gete Gozentry. |  |

MKINDMLSSOHET-THE LETTERS OF FELIX MENDELSSOIN. Translated by Lady Wallace $a$ vols. Crown 8 vo. 10 .
MCERIVATE (Rev. Ches.) -HISTORY OF THE ROMANS CNDER THE EMPIRE. Cabinet Edition, 8 vols. Crown 8 va , 88 s. Popular Edition, 8 vols. Crown 8vo. 3r. $6 d$. ench.
— THE FALL OF THE ROMAN REPLBLJC: a Sbort History of the Last Ceatury of the Commonwealh. 12mo. 7s. 6 d .

GENERAL HISTOKY OF ROME FROM E.C. 7:3' TO A.D. 476. Cr. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

THE ROMAN TRITMVIRATES. Wich Maps Fep. Evo. 2r. $6 d$.
MILL (James).-ANALYSIS OF THE PHENOMENA OF THE HLAAN MIND. 2 vols. 8vo. 23 s .
MIIL (John Stuart).-PRINCIPLES OF POLITICAL ECONOMY. Lilrary Edition, 2 vals. Bvo. 30s. | People's Edition, i vol. Crown 8ve. 3 s. $6 d$.
———. A SXSTEM OF LOGIC. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.
——ON LIBERTY. Crown 8vo. z. $4 d$.
ON REPRESENTATIVE GOVERNMENT. Crown Soo.es.
——UTILITARIANISM. '8vo. 5 s.

- EXAMINATION OF SIR WILLIAM HAMILTON'S PHILOSOPHY. 8vo. 16 .
- NATURE, THE UTILITY OF RELIGION AND THEISM. Three Eseays, 8vo. 50

IKNER (Gejorge).-COUNTRY PLEASURES, the Chronicle of a Year - chiefly ip a gfafcien. Crown 8vo. 35. 6d.

OLESWORTY (Mrs.) -SILVERTHORNS. With Illustrations by F. Noel Paton. Ci. 8vo. 5 r.

Y

- THE PALACE IN THE GARDEN, Wih Hustrations. Cr. 8 ovo : 5 5.
—— THE THIRD MISS ST. QUENTIN. Crown 8 vo . 6 .
—... NEIGHBOURS. With Illustrations by M. Ellen Edwards. Cr. 8vo. 6. THE STORFOF A SPRINGMORNING. With Illustrations, Ct.8vo. 5s: STORIIS OF THE SAINTS FOR CHIL,DREN : the Black: Letter; Saints. Wi山 Illustrations. Royal $x 6 \mathrm{mo}$. 5 .
OORE (Edward).-DANTE AND HIS EARLY BIOGRAPHERS. Crown 8vo. 4s. Sd
ULEALL (Michaol G.):-HISTORY OF PRICES SINCE THEXEAR IEso. Crown 8ro. 6 .
ANBIGN (Dr. Fridtjof).-THE FIRST CROSSING OF GREENLAND. With numerous Illustrations and a Map. Crown 8vo. 7r. $6 d$.
APIER.-THE LIFE OF SIR JOSEPH NAPIER, BART., EX.LORD CHANCELLOR OF IRELAND. By Alex. Charles Ewald. 8vo. ys: - THE LECTURES, ESSAYS, AND LETIERS OF THE RIGHT HON. SIR JOSEPH NAPIER, BART. 8vo. 12s. $6 d$.
EBBIT (玉.).-LEAVES OF LIFE: Verses Crown 8vo. gr.
-.-_LAYS AND LEGENDS. FIRST Series. Crown 8vo. 3r. 6d. Second - Series. With Portrait Grownivo: 58.
※WMCAN (Cardinal).-Worka'by:-

Diacourses to mized Congrogationa, Cabinet Edition, Crown 8vo. 6r. Cheap Efition, 3r. 6 d.
"armone on Yarlous ocoasionn. Cabinet Edition, Cr. 8vo. 6s. Cheap Edition, 3s. 6d.
he Idea of a Oolyerstity defined and Illugtrated. Cabinet Edition, Cr. 8vo. 75. Cheap Edition, Cr. $8 \times 0$ Fr. $6 d$. Iftorical sketchen. Cabinet Editicn, 3 vols. Crown 8vo. Gr each. Cheap Edition, 3 vols. Cr. 6 - 3 . ©di. each.
the Arlane of the Fourth Century. Cabinet Exition, Crown 8vo. es. Cheap Edition, Crown Bvo. 3r. Sd.
beifect Trartises of Et. Athanasion in Controyerty with the Arians. Freely Translated. a vols. Srown Bvo. 15 .
Dlaonasious and ArgumentconYarione gubjects, Cabinet Edition, Crown 8vo. ©s. Cbeap Edition, Crown 8vo. 35. 6 d.
upologia Pro Yita Sun Cabinet Ed. Crown 8 vo. as. Cheap Ed. 3s. 6d. Deyelopment of Christian Dootrine. Cabine: tditien, Crown '8vo, 65. Clueap kd.:ion, Cr. 8vo. sf. 6d.

Certain Dimcultioa telt by Anglicena in Catholle Teaching Considered. Cabinet Edition. Vol. I. Crown 8 vo . 7s. 6d. ; Vol. II, Crown 8vo. 5s. 6d. Cheap Edition, 2 volk. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6 d. each.
The Yla Media of tho Anflioan Chareh, Illustrated in Leciares. dc. Cabinet Fdition, 2 vols. Cr. 8 vo. 6r each. Cher- Edition, $=$ vois. Crown Sve. 3s. $6 d$ each.
Essaya, Critical and Historical. Cabinet Edition. 2 vols. Crown ôvo. 12 s . Cheap Edition, 2 vols. Cr. 8 vo. 7s.
Biblical and Ecclosiastioal Hirmolom, Cabinet Edition, Crown 8vo. 6s. Cheap Edition, Crown 8vo. 35. $6 d^{t}$.
Present Position of Catholion in England. Cabinet Edition, Crown 8vo. 75. 6d. .Cheap Edition, Crown 8ro. $3^{3 \text { r. } 6 d, ~}$
Tractin f. Dissertatiuncule. 2 On the Text of the Seven Epistles of SL Ighatius. 3. Doctrinal Causes of Atianism. 4 Apollidarianism:' 5 . St. Cyrit's Formula. 6. Ordo de Tempore 7. Douay Version of Scriptura. Crown 8vo. 8 s.
[Continued ${ }^{2}$

HBWMAN (Cardinal).-Works by:-(condiamed).

In Easey in lid of a Erammar of Assont. Cabinet Edition, Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d. Cheap Edition, Crown 8vo. 3s. $6 d$.
Calistan: a Tale of the Third Centrify. Cabinet Edition, Crown Bva. 6s. Cheap Edition, Crown 8vo. 3r. 6 d

Loss and Galn: a Tale Catinet Edition, Crown 8vo. 6s. Cheap Edition, Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d
The Drean of Gerontiug. 16 mo .6 d . sewed, ws. cloth.
Tersea on Yarlous Oscastons. Cabioet Edition, Crown 8wo. 6s. Cheap Edition. Crown 8va 3s. 6d.

* For Cardinal Newman's other W"orks see Mesrrs Longmans © Co.'s Catalogme of Theological Woris.

ETORTON (Charles I.).-A HANDBOOK OF FLORIDA. 49 Maps and Pians. Fcp. Bra 5 s.

O'BRIEN (Williom).-WHEN WE WERE BOIS: A Novel. Cr. 800 25. $6 d$.

OIITPEANT (Mre).-MADAM. Cnown 8vo. $\because$ bcards; is. 6 Cl chth.
———_IN TRUST. Crewn 8va ras. boards; is. 6ri. cloth.
OMAN (C. W. C.).-A HISTCRY OF GREECE EROM THE EARLIEST TIMPSTU THE MACEDONiAN CONQUEST. Minh Maps. Crown 8 vo. $4.6{ }^{4}$.

PARKES (Sir Henty) - FIFTY years in the making op AUSTRALIAN HISTORY. With Portraits. 2 volu. Bvo. 32 zr.

PAUI (Hermann).-PRINCIPLESOF THE HISTORYOF LANGUAGE Transinted by H. A. Strong. 8vo. yos. 6 d.

PAYN (James)-THE LUCK OF THE DARRELLS. Cr. 8vo. is. bds.; 15. 6 d. cl.
——— THICKER THAN WATFR. Crown 8vo. zs. boards; $\mathbf{1 .}$. Gd. cloth
PERRING (Sip Phillp).-HARD KNOTS IN SiAKESPEARE. 8vo, 73. $6 d$.
————THE 'WORKS AND DAYS' OF MOSES. Crown 3vo. 3. 5d
PMILLIPPS-WOLTHY (C.)-SNAP: \& Legend of tie Lone Mountain. With 13 Illustrations by H. G. Willink. Crowa 8vo. 38 6d.

POLE (W.).-THE THEORY OF THE MODERN SCIENTIFIC GAME. OF WHIST. Fep. Bya 2r. $6 \alpha$
POOLF (W. E. and Mrs.).-COOKERY FOR THE DIABETIC. Fep 6vo 2s. 6d.

PRAEGER (F.)-WAGNER AS I KNELV HIM. Crown ivo. 7s. 6d.
PRATT (A. B., F.R.G.8.)-TO THE SNOWS OF TIBET THROUGH. CHINA. With 33 Illustrations and a Nap. Svo. 188.

PRENDPRGAST (JohnP.)-IRELAND, FROM THERESTORATION TO THE REVOLUTION, 1600-:530. 8vo. 5 .
＇ROCTOR（R．A．）－Wonks by：－

Old and Hew Astronomy．sio．35s． The Orbs Acound Uz，$\therefore$ ：exn $8: s$. Othar Worlds than Onrt．il：ai：s： Ihlustrations．Crown 3 se s ：Cedap Edi：on，3s．of：
The tioon．Cres．n 8 vo．$三=$ ．
Univeras of Stars．Su．İ：这：
Layger sine Atias ：or m：Lero．or
 and a iuce Pagt．The，Ig．or Maps only，yes．oi．
The stadent＇s Atiss in 12 Circular Maps．8vo． 5.
Feve Star Itles．In 12 Circular Maps． Crown 8 vo ．ss．
Light Beinnce for Leisure Hourso ＝vol．Crown 8 vo ． 5 s．each．
Chance and Luck．Crown 8va aj． boarcis：2．s．Gi．cloth．
Piensant Ways in Scionce．Cr．8vo sr．

Hew to E！ny Whist ：with the Lawsand Extque！ 1 of Whist．Crown8vo．3r．6d．
Home What：an Easy Guide to Correct Play．x6mo．a．

The geare in their Season． 12 Maps． Royal 8vo． 5 s．
Star Primar．Showing the Starry Sky Week by Week，in 24 Hourly Maps． Crown 4io．2r． $6 d$ ．
The Seasons Platured in 68 Sun－Yiema of the Barth，and 4 Zodiacal Mapa， ic．Der： 4 ！o． 5 ．
Srrength add Happincas．With 9 idistrations．Crown 8 vo 5 ．
Strengtio：Hiow to get String and heep Strong．Crown Evo．2s．
Rough Wayg Made Emooth．Essayson Scientific Subjects．Crown ivo． 5 ． Cheap Edition，35． 6 d ．
Our Placeamong ininitios．Cr．Bvo．gy． The Expanse of Heayen．Cr．8vo．gr．
The Great Pyramid，Crown 8vo．5s． Mythe and Maryels of Astronomy Crown 8va 5 s．
Hature Studias．By Grant Allen，A． Wilson，T．Foster，E．Clodd，and R．A．Proctor．Crown 8 vo．js．
Lelsure Readings，By E．Clodj，A． Wilson，T．Foster，A．C．Ranyard， and R．A．Proctor．Crown ôvo． 3.

RANSOME（Cyril）－THE RISE CE CONSTI CTIIONAL GONOKN． MENT IN ENGLAND：being a Sertes of Tusty Letures．Cronn suc．or，

3RADFR（Emily E．）－VOICES－OOM FLOWER－E：ND：a Biridsy
 Cloth，es．0．i：；vege：abie vai．．．a $\alpha$ ．
EEPLY AATO DR．DGHTECOTS ESSAMS By the Auther of Super． natura＇Reingior：＇．Sva， $\mathrm{h}^{2}$ ．

RLBOT（Th．）．－TIE S SiCHOLOGY OF ATTENTION．Crown 8vo．3s．
RICH（A．）．－A DICTIONARY OF JここIAN AND GREEK ANTIQUITIES， Wit＇ 2000 W＇oodcuts．Crown Svo．7s．6ai．
RICHARDSON（Dr．B．W．）－NATIONAL HEAETH．A Review of the Works of Sir Edwin Chadwick，K．C．B．Crown 4s．ód．
RIVERS（T，and T．Fi），－THE MINIATURE FRUIT GARNEN ；or， The Culture of Pyrawidal and Bush Fruit Trees．With 32 illustrations． Crown 8vo． 4 ．
RIVRRS（T．）－THE＇ROSE AMATEUR＇S GUIDE．Ecp．8vo．qr．5c．
ROBERTGON（A．）．－THE KIDNAPPED SQUATTER，and other Aus． tralrin Tales．Crown 8vo． 6 s．
ROGET（John Lewis）．－A HISTORY OF THE OLD WATER COLOT＇R＇SOCIETY，a vols Royal 8vo． 42 ．
ROGET（Peter M．）．－THESAURUS OF ENGLISH WORDS AND PHRASES．Crown 8vo was． $6 d$.

BOMANES（George John，M．A．，IITD．F．R．E．）－DARWIN；AND AFTER DARWIN：an Exposition of the Darwinian Theory and a Discus－ sion of Post－Darwinian Enestions．Part 1．－The Darwininn Theory．Withra Portrait of Darwis and 125 illustrations．Crown Bvo．yar．6ג
RONALDS（Al⿱一𫝀口儿ed）．－THE FLY－FISHERS ETYMOLOGY．With 20. Coloured Platès．Svo．is．
ROSEGGHI（Maria Francesca），－A SHADOW OF＇DANTE：being an Essay towards studying Himself，his World，and his Pilgrinuage．Cr．8vo．1ori6d．
ROUND（J．F．．，M．A．）．－GEOFFREY DE MANDEVILLE：a Study of the Anarchy．8vo．robr，
RUSGBLLI．－A LIFE OF LORD JOHN RUSSELL．By SPENCER WALPOLE 2 vols．8vo． 36 ．Cabinet Edition， 2 vols．Crown 8 vo ． 128.
GHEBOFM（Frederick）．THE OXFORD REFORMERS－JOHN COLET，ERASMUS，AND THOMAS MORE 8vo． 14.
——THE ENGLISH VILLAGE COMAUNITY Examined in its Re－ lations to the Manorial and Hr 1 ：Systems．\＆e．13 Maps and Plates．8vo．16s．＂ Fep．8ve EkA OF THE PROTESTANT REVOLUTION．With Mrp． Fep．8vo．25． $6,{ }_{2}$ ．
BEWELL（Elizabeth M．）．－STOR：ES AND TALES．Crown 8vo．is．6d：

． 8 my Herbers．
Tha Eerl＇s Da ugiter．
The Experlence of Lice．
of Glimpse of the World．
© chexe Hall．

Eatharine Rstion． Marrars：Percival． Lanewn Paisodade． Drata

Gertrude． trors． Home Life． Ater Life．

GFAKESPEARE－BOWDLERS FAMILYSHAKESPEARE，x vol．Bvo． is With 36 Woodcuts，145．，or in 6 vors．Fcp． 8 vo． $2 x s$ ．
：－OUTLINLS OF THE LIFE OF SHAKESPEAKE Ry J．O． Halliwell－Phillipps．With Illusirations， 2 vols Rudiwo．fir
$\therefore$ SHAKESPEARE＇S TRUE LIFE．By James Wiltra．With $3 \infty$ ＇Illustrations．Imp．8vo．ars． －THE SHAKESPEARE BIRTHDAY BOCK．Ry MIARy F．Dunbar． 3anio．Is．6d．cloth．With Photographs，32ma 5s Drawing Room Edition， with Photographs，Fcp．वwo．xos．6d．
SHERPROOKW（Viscount）－LIFE AND LETTERS OF THE RIGHT HON．KOLERT LOWE，VISCOUNT SHERBROOKE，G．C．B．By A． Firchert MaEtin．With 5 Copper－plate Portr：its，se． 2 vals 8vo．
SFIIRRES（I．P．）．－AN ANALYSIS OF THE IDEAS OF ECONOMICS． C：OW． Ci ．©
SIDGWICK（Alfred），－DISIINCTION ：and the Criticism of Beijefs．Cr． Svo． 6 s．
GILVER LIBRARY，The．－Crwn 8ro．price 38.6 each wome

BARER＇S（SIr S．W．）Elgis Years in Coylon．With 6 Ilverat：ons．
－Rife and Hoand in Ceylon． lith 6 inhastrations．
BARING－GOULD＇S（8．）CuFloas Mgths of the Niduice Ades．
．．．－＿oris！n and Dovelopment of Rallgloar Eeifel． 2 vois
BRASSEY＇S（Tady）$x$ Yoyage in ting
＇sunbeam＇．With ió Iituscranoas．

CLODD＇S（8．）Btory of creasion：a
Finir Accozet of Euclution．With 7 Hustrations．
COTYBEARE（Kev．W．J．）and HOW－ sol＇s（Yery Rez．J．S．）Lifo and Epissien of EL Paul． 46 Lhustra． ：ions．
Docgalls（L．）Begtars All ；a Novel． DOYLE＇8（A．Coasen）Mfah Clarke ：a Taie of Munmouti＇s Rebellion．
［Continued．

ILVER ITBRARY, The.-(Continted).

DOYLs's (a Conan) The Captain of the Poiestar, and otar Taies.
FREUDE'B (J. A.) Ehort Srudles an Great Sutjecte. 4 :

- The Fistory of England, frem the $\bar{F}$ ail of Werisey to the Defent of the Sparist Armadz. 12 vols.
_-_ Cxan: a Sketch.
Thonas Carigle: a History
 :8j4-t8S:. 2 vois.
-.... The Two Chief of Dunboy: an Irish Romance of the Last Centery.
GLEIG'S (Rex. G. R.) Life of the Duke of Wellington. With Portrait.
HAGGARD'S (H. R.) She : A HIntory of Adventure 33 Illustrations.
-_._Allen gontermain. With 20 Itlestrations.

Colonal Quartoh, Y.J.: e Tale of Country Life.

Cleopatia. With ag Fallpage Illustrations.
-- Beatrice.
HARTE'S (Bret) In the Cargainez Woods, and other Stories.
HELEhDLTZ'S (Profosior) Popular iectures on solentific subjects, Hïth $\overline{8}$ Woodcuts. 2 vols.
EOWITT'S (Wi) Yisita to Remarkablo Finces. 80 linusrazions.
IEPFERIES' (R.) The Story of My Heart With Pistraic
———Fiald and Hedgcrover. Lest Essays of. With Prorait.
Cun- Red Deer. Witi: :- lituse.
ENIGRT'S (B. F.) Croiso of the 'Alerte,' a Search for Treasure. With 2 Maps and 2 Eniustr:tiors.
LEES (J. A.) and CLUTTERBUCE'S (YE. J.) B.C. 1887. Britich Cotumbla. 75 Illustrations.
HACAULAY'S (Lord) Essays-Laya of Anotent Rome. In I vol. With Portrait and ti':strations to the 'Lays'.
MACLEOD'S (E. D.) Tho Elamante of Bansing.
MERBKUAK'S (J. C.) Mamoirs of etir Honry Havelock.
Max ư゙LisB's (F.JIndla, What ean it taech 08 ?

- Introduation to the Belence of Palistion.

LERIYALE'S (Damn) History of the Somans under the Empiran 8 vois.
Yille's (d. 8.) Printipios of Polltiar! Economy.
-_ Syatem of hosic.
MILRER's (G.) Country Pieasures.
NETMAK's (Cardimal) Hintorical Sketohes. 3 vols.

- Fifteen Eermons Preachdd before the Unixerclty of Oxfozd.
- Apologta Pro Yita Soa.

Cene Callisea : a Tale of the Third Cente:y:

Loss and Gain: a Tale.
Essays, Crttionl and Historical. 2 vols.
 stons.
=-LLectures on the Doctrine of Junthloation.
-_- Fifteen Eermons Preached before the Unixersity of Oxford. -.An Essay on the Daveloyment of Christian Dociting.
———The Artens of the Fourtio Centiry.
_- Corses on Farious Occs. sions.

- Daticuities fe: b Matilcaits is Cacholic Teachirg Considered. 2 vols.
defne Thes of a University dofinod and Ihinstrated.
- Biblical and Ecclosklastical Mirscies.
- Discuastons and hriamonts on Yarione Subjects.

Grammar of dissent.

- The Yia Kedia of the In Ellcan Charch. 2 vole.
$\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow}$ Parochial and Plafn Sermona. 8 wok
- Solection from 'Farocklai and Elalu Serment.
$\longrightarrow$ Discourses Addressed to Mxed Condredations. tholles Present Posttion of Catholles in England.
teate fermons bearing upon Sub-* jecte of the Dey.
PHILLIPPS-WOLLEY'S (C.) Snap: a - Legend of the Lone Mo:natains. $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ Illustrations.
PROCTOE'S (B. E.) Other Worids ths Oars.
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PROCTOR'S (R. A.) Roagh Yqays made WEYMKR'8 (8tanley J.) The House dit 8mooth.
——PIsasant Ways In Sclence. the Wolf: a Romance.

BTAMLEX'S (Bishop) Famillar History of Birds. With 160 litustrations. YOOD'S (Rey. N, G.) Patland Eb visitod. With 33 Illustrations.
STEYENSOM (Roberc Loula) and OSBOERNE'S (Lloyd) The Wrong Box.

6o Itustraionse Drailinge With 60 Illustrations.
$\longrightarrow$ Out of Doom, With ix Illustrations.
SMITH (R.Bosworth)--CARTHAGE AND THE CARTHAGINIANS. Maps, Plans, \&k. Crown 8vo. 6 r.
STANLEY (E.).-A FAMILIAR HISTORY OF BIRDS. With y60 Wood - cats. Crown 8vo. 3 s. 60.

STEPPHEN (SiF James).- ESSAYS IN ECCLESIASTICAL BIQ GRAPHY. Crown 8vo. 7s. $6 d$.
GTEPAENS (H. Morse), A HISTORY OF THE FRENCH REVOLU-

STPVENSON (Robt. Louis).-A CHILDS GARDEN OF VERSES Snali Fcp. 8vo. 55.

- A CHILDS GARLAND OF SONGS. Gathered from A Child's Garden of Verses. Set to ILusi: by C. Villieks Stanford, Mus. Doe. tor. 25. sewed. 35. 64. cioth gile.

THE DYN.AMITER. Fcp. 8vo. Is. sewed, is. $6 d$. clóth.
STRANGE CASE OF DR. JEKYLL AND MR. HIDE Fcp. 8vd. us. sewed, us. $6 d$. cloth.
STEVENSON (Robert Louis) and OSBOURNE (Lloyd).-THE WRONG BOX. Crown Bvo. 3s. $6 d$.
STOCK (St, George).-DEDUCTIVE LOGIC. Fcp. 8vo. 3. 6ut.
GTRONG (HerbertA.), LOGEMAN (WillemS.)and WHEELER (B. I.).-INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY Gت̈ THE HISTORY OF LANULCAGE 8vo. sar. 0 d.
SULLY (James).-THE HCMAN MIND. 2 vols. 8 vo .2 cs.

-     - OLTLINES OF PSYGHOLOGY. Svo. gs.
- THE TEACHER'S HANL3DOK OF PSCHOLOGY. Cr. 8vo. 5 .

SUPERNATURAL RELIGION; an lnquiry into the Keality of Divine Revelation. 3 vols. 8 vo . 36 s.
REPLY (A) TO DR. LIGHTFOOT'S ESSAYS. By the Autbor of 'Supen. natural Religion ', 8vo. 7s. 6d.
SUTPNER (Berths Von).-LAY DOWN YOUR ARAS (Die W'afen' Nieder): The Autobiography of Maxtba Tilling. Translated by T. HOLME: Crown 8vo. 7s.'6d.
SYMESS (J. F.f.-PRELUDE TO MODERN HISTORY: a Brief Sketcb of the World's History from the Third to the Ninth Century. Cr. 8 vo. 2t. $6 d$.
TAYGOR (Colonel Meadows).-A STUDENTS MANUAL OF THE HISTORY OF INDIA. Crown 8vo. 75. 6d.
THOMPSON (Aninie).-A MORAL DILEMMA: a Novel. Cr. 8vo. 6s.
THOMPSON (D. Greenleaf).-THE PROBLEM OF EVIL: an Intro:duction to the Practicai siences. 8vo. sos. 6.!.
——— A SYSTEMOF PSTCHCLOOH 2 vols. $8 \vee 0.36 s$.
7s. 6 THE RELIGIOUS SENTINENTSOFTHE HUMAN MIND. 8 Va
SOCIAL PROGRESS : an Essay. Fvo. 7s. 6 d .
-——THE PHILOSOPHY OF FICTION IN LITERATURE: an Essay Crown 8vo. 6 .

IOMPON (MOst Rev. William, D.D, late Archbishop of York).OUTLINES OF THE NECESSARY LAWS OF THOUGHT: a Treatise on Pure and Applied Logic. Post 8 vo . 6s.
IREE IN NORWAY. By Two of They. With a Map and 59 Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 2s. boards; as, 6d, cloth.
JYNBEE (Arnold).-LECTURES ON THE INDUSTKIAL REVOLUTION OF THE s8th CENTURY IN ENGLAND. 8vo. ics. $6 d$.
REVELYAN (Sir G. O., Bart.).-THE LIFE AND LETTERS OF LORD MACAULAY.
Popular Edition. Crown 8vo. 2r. 6d. $\mid$ Cabinet Edition, 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. tar.
jtudent's Edition. Crown 8vo. 6r. Library Edition, 2 rois. gwo. 36 s.
~- THE EARLY HISTORY OF CHARLES JAMES FUN. Library Edition, 8vo. r8s. Cabinet Edution, Crown 8vo. 6s.
ROLLOPE (Anthony).-THE WARDEN. Cr. 8vo. is. bds., xs. 6d. cl - BARCHESTER TOWERS. Crown 8vo. yr. boards, xs. $6 a^{\prime}$, cloth.

ERNEY. (Frances Parthenope).-MEMOIRS OF THE VERNEY FAMILY DURING THE CIVIL WAR. Compiled from the Letters and Illustrated by the Portraits at Claydon House, Bucks. With 35 Portraits, Woodcuts, and Facsimile. 2 vols. Royal 8vo. 425.
HIW (G.).-THE PERPLEXED FARMER: How is be to meet Alien Competition? Crown 8va. 5 s.
tRGIL, - PUBLI VERGILI MARONIS BUCOLICA, GEORGICA, FENEIS; the Works of Virgil, Latin Text, with English Commentary and Index. By B. H. Kennedy. Crown 8vo. yor. $6 d$.
-THE FENEID OF VIRGIL. Trenslated into English Verse. By John Conington. Crown 8 vo. 6 s.

- THE POEMS OF VIRGIL. Traslated into English Prose. By John Conington. Crown 8va. Gs.
T-THE ECLOGUES AND GEORGICS OF VIRGIL. Translated from the Latin by J. W. Mackail, Printed on Dutch Hand-made Paper. i6mo. gr. -- THE FNEID OF VERGIL. Books I. to VI. Translated into English Verse by James Rhoades. Crown 8vo. 5 s.
AKEMAN (H. O.) and HASSALL (A.)-ESSAYS INTRODUC: TORY TO THE STUDY OF ENGLISH CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY, Edited by H. O. Wakeman and A. Hassall. Crown 8vo. os.
'ALPORD (Mrs. 工. B.).-THE MISCHIEF OF MONICA. Cr. 8vo, $2 s .6 d$.
- THE ONE GOOD GUEST. Crown 8 vo . 6 .
-- TWELVE ENGLIs: AUTHORESSES. With Portrait of Hannah More Crown 8 vo .45 . $6 d$.
'AIKER (A. Campbell-),-THE CORRECT CARD; or, How to Play at Whist ; a Whist Catechism. Fcp. 8vo. \&r. $6 d$.
'ALPOLE (Spencer).-mHISTORY OF ENGLAND FROM THE CONCLUSION OF THE GREAT WAR IN 2855 to 1858.6 vols. Jrown 9 vo . 6f. each.

THE LAND OF HOME RULE: being an Account of the History and Institutions of the Isle of Man. Crown 8vo. ©s..
GHLIINGPON.-LIFE OF THE DUKE OF WELLINGTON, By the Rev. G. R. Gleig. Crown 8vo. 3r. 6 d .
TBYMAN (Etanley J.)-THE HOUSE OF THE WOLF : a Romance. Crown 8vo. 35. 6 d .
THATELY (Arohbishop).-ELEMENTS OF LOGIC. Cr. 8vo. 4. 6d.
——ELEMENTS OF RHETORIC. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6ai.
——— LESSONS ON REASONING. Fep. svo. is. $6 d$.
$\ldots$ RACON'S ESSAYS, with Annotations, 8vo. ror. $6 \alpha$

WHIBHAW (Fred. J.),-OUT OF DOORS IN TSAR LAND: a Rec the Seeings and Doings of a Wanderer in Russia, With Frontispiec Vignette by Charles Whymper.
WILCOCKS (J. C.).-THE SEA FISHERMAN. Comprising the Methods of Hook and Line Fishing in the British and other Seas, and Re on Nets, Boats, and Boating. Profusely Illustrated. Crown 8vo 68.
WILLICH (Charles M.).-POPULAR TABLES for giving Inforr for ascertaining the value of Lifehold, Leasehold, and Church Propert Public Funds, ate. Edited by H. Bence Jones. Crown 8vo. 20s. 6 d.
WITIT (Prof)-Works by. Translated by Frances Younghusband, - THE TROJAN WAR. Crown 8vo. 25 . MYTHS OE HELLAS; or, Greek Tales. Crown 8vo. 3 r. 6 . THE WANDERINGS OF ULYSSES. Crown 8 vo .38 . $6 d_{0}$ THE RETREAT OF THE TEN THOU'SAND: being the $\mathbf{S t}$ Xenophon's "Anabasis'. With Iliustrations. Crown 8vo. 3s. 60 d.
WOLFF (Eenry W.) R-RAMBLES IN THE BLACK FOREST. ( 8 vo .75 .6 d .

- THE WATERING PLACES OFTHE VOSGES. With Map. \& 8vo. 4s. 6ut.
- THE COUNTRY OF THE VOSGES. With a Map. 8vo. ras. 7s. 60
WOOD (Rev. J. G.).-HOMES WITHOUT HANDS ; a Description Habitations of fnimals. With 140 Illustrations. 8vo. 7s. net. - INSECTS AT HOME; a Popular Account of B-itish Insects, Structure, Habits, and Transformations. With 700 Illustrations. 8vo. 7 .
——— INSECTS ABROAD: a Popular Accesnt of Foreign Iasects. Structure. Habits, and Transformations Wits 500 lliwstrations. 8 vol 7.
- BIBLE ANIMALS: a Description of every Living Creature men in the Scriptures. With 112 Illustrations. 8vo. 7s. net.

STRANGE DWELLINGS: abridged from 'Homes without H: With 60 Itustrations. Crown $8 v o .3$ 3. $6 d$. - OLT OF DOORS; a Se! sction of Original Articles on Practical N History. With ix Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 3s. 68.
——— PETLAND REVISITED. With 33 Illustrations. Crown 8ra.
WORDSWORTH (Bishog Charles)-ANNALS OF MY LIFR. Series, $1806-1846 .^{\circ}$ 8va. $155^{\prime}$. Second Series, 1847-18g6. Bvo.
WYILTE (J. H.)-HISTORY OF ENGLAND UNDER HENRY FOURTH. Crown 8vo. Vol. L. $10 r$. $6 \mathrm{~d}^{2}$; Vol IL
ZGITLER (Dr. E.), HISTORY OF ECLECTICISM IN GREEK of SOPHY. Translated br Sarab F. Alleyne. Crown 8vo. Iov. 6d. THE STOICS EPICUREANS, AND SC̈EPTICS. Translat the Rev. O. I. Reichel. Crown 8 vo. 15 e.
—— SOCRATESAND THE SOCRATIC SCHOOLS. Transiated Rev. O. J. Reichel. Crown 8vo. roj. 6d.
Rev. PLATO AND THE OLDER ACADEMY. Translated by sa Aileyne and Alfred Goodwin. Crown 8vo. 185.
$\therefore$ THE PRESOCRATIC SCHOOLS. Translated by Sarah F. A: 2 vols. Crown 8vo. 30 .

- OUTLINES OF THE HISTORY OF GREEK PHILOSC Transhated by Sarah F. Alleyne and Evelyn Abbott. Crown 8vo. 120 :



[^0]:    -Thomas Pooloy, Bodmin Acisen, July 81. 1is5. In December follewing, he recoived sfreo pardon from the Crown.
    ${ }^{1}$ Oporgo Jeeob Holyncke, August 17, 1857; Tiwerd Truelove, July, 1857.

[^1]:    - Baron de Glelehen, Marlborough-street Baron de Gleichen, Marl
    Robice Court, August t, Lesy.

[^2]:    - The Splier and Dudime of Gopernternt, from the Gariman of Baron Wibelng voo * Humboldt, pp. 11-1\%

[^3]:    - The case of the Bombay Parsees ise corious instance in point. Whan this incilstrious and enterpriting tribe, the desoendants of the Persian fire-worahippers, fiying from their native couniry before the Caliphs, artived In Western India, they ware admitted to toleration by the Hindoo soveraigns on candition of not eating beef. When thow regions afterwards fell under the dominion of Mahomedan conquerors, the Pargees obtained from them a continuence of indulgence, on condition of refralning from porite Whas was at first obedience to authority became accond nature, and the Parbes bo this day ebstaln both from beet and pork. Thouga not required by their meligion, the double abstinence has had time to grow into. a custom of thair tribe ; and cuttom, in the Eack is a ruligion.

