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## CHAPTER VIII.

FROM THE DISSOLUTION OF CHARLIESS THIRD PARLIAMENT TO
THE MEETIYG OF THE LONG PARLIAMEAT.

Erechration af the King aftar the Dissolution - Prosecutiong of Fliot and othen sor Contact in Partiment - Of Chambers for refusing to pay Customs - Comp mendible Bebartorar of Judges in same instrnces - Meens adopted to riso the Bevense - Componitions for Knighthood - Forest Laws - Mcoopolies -Ship-monay - Britemeion of it to inland Piacts - Hempden's Refasal to pay Argaments an the Case - Proclemitions - Various arbitrary Proceedings StarnChamber Juriediction - Punistimenta inficted by it - Cesen of Bishop
 befween these two - Candoct of Laod to the Church-Prosecation of Purituns Favorr shown to Catholice - Tendency to their Beligion - Expectutions entertined by them - Missiog of Pamsanl - Intrigue of Bishop Mantagn with him -Cullingworth - Hales - Character of Clerendon's Writuge - Antmedrer. stons on his Accoont of this Period - Scota Troables, and Distress of the Govertment - Primanent of April, 1840 - Coancil of Yort - Convocation of Long Pariament
Trer dissolution of a parliament was always to the prerogative what the dispersion of clouds is to the snn. As if in mockery of the transient ob- Decheration struction, it ahone forth as splendid and scorching as before. Even after the exertions of the of the fing after the diesolution. most popular and intrepid house of commons that had ever met, and after the most important statute that had been passed for some hundred years, Charles found himself in an instant unshackled by his law or his word; once more that absolute king for whom his sycophants Fil. 11.
had preached and pleaded, as if awakened from a fearful dream of sounds and sights that such monarchs hate to endure, to the full enjoyment of an unrestrained prerogative. He announced his intentions of government for the future in a long declaration of the causes of the late dissolution of parliament, which, though not without the usual promises to maintain the laws and liberties of the people, gave evident hints that his own interpretation of them must be humbly acquiesced in." This was followed up by a proclamation that he " should account it presumption for any to prescribe a time to him for parliament, the calling, continuing, or dissolving of which was always in his own power; and he should be more inclinable to meet parliament again, when his people should see more clearly into his intents and actions, when such as have bred this interraption shall have received their condign punishment." He afterwards declares that he should " not overcharge his subjects by any more burthens, but satisfy himself with those duties that were received by his father, which he neither could nor would dispense with; but should esteem them unworthy of his protection who should deny them." ${ }^{\text {b }}$

The king next turned his mind, according to his own Prosecationa and his father's practice, to take vengeance on of Ellot and those who had been most active in their opposiothers for
conduet in tion to him. A few days after the dissolution, parllament sir John Eliot, Holles, Selden, Long, Strode. and other eminent members of the commons, were committed, some to the Tower, some to the King's Bench, and their papers seized. Upon suing for their habeas corpus, a return was made that they were detained for notable contempts, and for stirring up sedition, alleged in a warrant under the king's sign manual. Their counsel argued against the sufficiency of this return, as well on the prinoiples and precedents employed in the former case of sir Thomas Darnel and his colleagues, as on the late explicit confirmation of them in the Petition

[^0]of Right. The king's counsel endeavoured, by evading the authority of that enactment, to set up anew that alarming pretence to a power of arbitrary imprisonment which the late parliament had meant to silence for ever. "A petition in parliament," said the attorneygeneral Heath, "is no law, yet it is for the honour and dignity of the king to observe it faithfully; but it is the duty of the people not to stretch it beyond the words and intention of the king. And no other constraction can be made of the petition than that it is a confirmation of the ancient liberties and rights of the subjects. So that now the case remains in the same quality and degree as it was before the petition." Thus, by dint of a sophism which turned into ridicule the whole proceedings of the late parliament, he pretended to recite afresh the authorities on which he had formerly relied, in order to prove that one committed by the command of the king or privy council is not bailable. The judges, timid and servile, yet desirous to keep some measures with their own consciences, or looking forward to the wrath of future parliaments, wrote what Whitelock calle " a humble and stout letter" to the king, that they were bound to bail the prisoners; but requested that he would send his direction to do so." The gentlemen in custody were, on this intimation, removed to the Tower; and the king, in a letter to the court, refused permission for them to appear on the day when judgment was to be given. Their restraint was thus protracted through the long vacation; towards the close of which, Charles, sending for two of the judges, told them he was content the prisoners should be bailed, notwithstanding their obstineoy in refusing to present a petition declaring their sorrow for having offended him. In the ensuing Michselmas term accordingly they were brought before the court, and ordered not only to find bail for the present charge, but sureties for their good behaviour. On

[^1]refusing to comply with this requisition, they were remanded to custody.
I'he attorney-general, dropping the charge against the rest, exhibited an information against sir John Eliot for words uttered in the house; namely, That the counci and judges had conspired to trample under foot the liberties of the subject; and against Mr. Denzil Holles and Mr. Valentine for a tumult on the last day of the session; when the speaker, having attempted to adjourn the house by the king's command, had been forcibly held down in the chair by some of the members, while a remonstrance was voted. They pleaded to the court's jurisdiction, because their offences were sapposed to be committed in parliament, and consequently not punish able in any other place. This brought forward the great question of privilege, on the determination of which the power of the house of commons, and consequently the character of the English constitution, seemed evidently to depend.

Freedom of apeech, being implied in the nature of a representative sssembly called to present grievances and suggest remedies, could not stand in need of any special law or privilege to support it. But it was also sanctioned by positive authority. The speaker demands it at the beginning of every parliament among the standing privileges of the house; and it had received a sort of confirmation from the legislature by an act passed in the fourth year of Henry VIII., on occasion of one Strode, who had been prosecuted and imprisoned in the Stannary court, for proposing in parliament some regulations for the tinners in Cornwall; which annuls all that had been done, or might hereafter be done, towards Strode, for any mattor relating to the parliament, in words so strong as to form, in the opinion of many lawyers, a general enactment. The judges however held, on the question being privately sent to them by the king, that the statute concerning Strode was a particular act of parliament extending only to him and those who had joined with him to prefer a bill to the commons concorning tinners; but that, although the uct were private and extended to them alone, yet it was no more than all other par-liament-men, by privilege of the house, ought to havo;
mamely, freedora of speech concerning matters there debated. ${ }^{\text {d }}$

It appeared by a constant series of precedents, the counsel for Eliot and his friends argued, that the liberties and privileges of parliament conld only be determined therein, and not by any inferior court; that the judges had often declined to give their opinions on such subjects, alleging that they were beyond their jurisdiction; that the words imputed to Eliot were in the nature of an accusation of persons in power which the commons had an undoubted right to prefer; that no one would venture to complain of grievances in parhament, if he should be subjected to punishment at the discretion of an inferior tribunal; that whatever instances had occurred of panishing the alleged offences of members after a dissolution were but acts of power, which no attempt had hitherto been made to sanction; finally, that the offences imputed might be ponished in a future parliament.

The attorney-general replied to the last point, that the king was not bound to wait for another parliament; and, moreover, that the house of commons was not a court of justice, nor had any power to proceed criminally, except by imprisoning its own members. He admitted that the judges had sometimes declined to give their judgment upon matters of privilege; but contended that such cases had happened during the session of parliament, and that it did not follow but that an offence committed in the house might be questioned after a dissolution. He set aside the application of Strode's case, as being a special act of parliament; and dwelt on the precedent of an information preferred in the reign of Mary against certain members for absenting themselves from their duty in parliament, which, though it never came to a conclusion, was not disputed on the ground of right.

The court were unanimous in holding that they had jurisdiction, though the alleged offences were committed in parliament, and that the defendants were bound to answer. The privileges of parliament did not extend,

[^2]one of them said, to breaches of the peace, which was the present case; and all offences against the crown, said another, were pumishable in the court of king's bench. On the parties refusing to put in any other plea, judgment was given that they should be imprisoned during the king's pleasure, and not released without giving surety for good behaviour, and making submission; that Eliot, as the greatest offender and ringleader, should be fined in 2000l., Holles and Valentine to a smaller amount.*

Eliot, the most distinguished leader of the popular party, died in the Tower without yielding to the submission required. In the long parliament the commons came to several votes on the illegality of all these proceedings, both as to the delay in granting their habeas corpus, and the overruling their plea to the jurisdiction of the king's bench. But the subjeot was revived again in a more distant and mure tranquil period. In the year 1667 the commons resolved that the act of 4 H. VIII. concerning Strode was a general law, "extending to indemnify all and every the members of both houses of parliament, in all parliaments, for and touching any bills, speaking, reasoning, or declaring of any matter or matters in and concerning the parliament to be communed and treated of, and is a declaratory law of the amcient and necessary rights and privileges of parliament." They resolved also that the judgment given 5 Car. I. against sir John Eliot, Denzil Holles, and Benjamin Valentine, is an illegal judgment, and against the freedom and privilege of parliament. To these resolutions the lords gave their concurrence. And Holles, then become a peer, having brought the record of the king's bench by writ of error before them, they solemnly reversed the judgment. ${ }^{4}$ An important decision with respect to our constitutional law, which has established beyond controversy the great privilege of onlimited freedom of speech in parliament; unlimited, I mean, by any anthority except that by which the house itself ought always to restrain indecent and disorderly language ia its members. It does not, however, appear to be a neeessary consequence, from the reversal of this judgment,
that no actions committed in the house by any of ita members are punishable in a court of law. The argument in behalf of Holles and Valentine goes indeed to this length; but it was admitted in the debate on the subject in 1667 that their plea to the jurisdiction of the king's bench could not have been supported as to the imputed riot in detaining the speaker in the chair, though the judgment was erroneous in extending to words spoken in parliament. And it is obvious that the house could inflict no adequate punishment in the possible case of treason or felony committed within its walls; nor, if its power of imprisonment be limited to the session, in that of many smaller offences.

The customs on imported merchandises were now rigorously enforced.t But the late discussions Proeecution in parliament, and the growing disposition to of Chambers probe the legality of all acts of the crown, ren- for pefusius dered the merchants more discontented than toms. ever. Richard Chambers, having refused to pay any further duty for a bale of silks tham might be required by law, was summoned before the privy council. In the presence of that board he was provored to exclaim that in no part of the world, not even in Turkey, were the merchants so screwed and wrong as in England. For these hasty words an information was preferned against him in the star-chamber; and the court, being of opinion that the words were intended to make the people believe that his majesty's happy government might be termed Turkish tyranny, manifested their laudable abhorrence of such tyranny by sentencing him to pay a fine of 2000., and to make a humble submission. Chambers, a sturdy puritan, absolutely refused to subscribe the form of submission tendered to him, and was of course committed to prison. But the court of king's bench admitted him to bail on a habeas corpus; for which, as Whitelock tells us, they were reprimanded by the council. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

There were several instances, besides this just men-

[^3]Injuries, bat seems to lave been cruelly neglected, whlle they were voting large earms to thooe who had raffered mucb lean, and he died in poverty.
tioned, whercin the judges manifested a more cou

Conimendable behas vlour of Judges in come instances. rageous spirit than they were able constantly to preserve; and the odium under which thei memory labours for a servile compliance witl the court, especially in the case of ship-money renders it but an act of justice to record those testimonies they occasionally gave of a nobler sense of duty. They unanimously declared, when Charles ex pressed a desire that Felton, the assassin of the duke of Buckingham, might be put to the rack in order to make him discover his accomplices, that the law of England did not allow the tise of torture. This is a remarkable proot that, amidst all the arbitrary principles and arbitrary measures of the time, a truer sense of the inviolability of law had begun to prevail, and that the free constitution of England was working off the imporities with whioh violence had stained it. For, though it be most certain that the law never recognised the use of torture, there had been many instances of its employment, and even within a few years.' In this public assertion of its illegality the judges conferred an eminent service on their country, and doubtless saved the king and his council much additional guilt and infarny which they would have incurred in the course of their career. They declared about the same time, on a reference to them concerning certain disrespectful words alleged to have been spoken by one


#### Abstract

I I have remarized in former parsages that the rack was much employed, especially egainst Roman catholics, under Elizabeth. Those accused of the gunpowder conspistacy were also severely sortured; and otheri in the reiga of James. Colze, in the countese of Shrewsbury's came, 1612, State Trials, i1. 773, mentions it as a privilege of the noblilty that "their bodiea are not muldect to tortrro in cansa erimints lasea majestatis." Yet, in his Thitil Institute, p. 36, he may therrack in the Tower was brought in by the duke of Exeter, under Henry VI., and is thorefors familisily called the auke of faxetaria dageter; and, after anoting Fortescre to prove the practice itiegres conciaden-TMere is no lave to warmant tortures in this land, nor can they be Juetified by any proecription, boligg so lately brought in." Bacon obeerven, in E trapt written in 100s, "In the


higheat cases of treason, torture is used for discovery, and not for evidence," i. s9s, See also Min Alvin's Menolrs of James L, $\mathrm{IH}_{1}$ 168.
[This cuhject has been learnedly elt. cldiated by Mir. Jardine, in his 'Reading on, the Use of Tortare in the Criminal Law of England, 1887.' The historical fictu are very well broaght together in this casay ; but I cannot agree with this bighly-intalligent anthor in comaldering the nge of torture as having been " lawful as an act of prerogative, though not 85 by the common and statuto law." P. 89. The whole tenor of my owu view of the constitation, as developed in this and In former works, forbids my acqulewence in a theory which dowe, 告 it wems to me , go the fall leogth of Justitying, in a legal cenoe. the Flolemt proceedings of the crown ondor all the Mantogeneta, Tr dors and Stonets 18tal?

Pine against the king, that no words can of themselves amount to treason within the statute of Edward III.. They resolved, some years after, that Prynne's, Burton's, and Bastwick's libels against the bishops were no treason. ${ }^{m}$ In their old controversy with the ecclesiastical jurisdiction they were inflexibly tenacious. An action having been brought against some members of the bighcommission court for false imprisonment, the king, on Laud's remonstrance, sent a message to desire that the suit might not proceed till he should have conversed with the judges. The chief-justice made answer that they were bound by their oaths not to delay the course of justice; and, after a contention before the privy council, the commissioners were compelled to plead. ${ }^{\circ}$

Such instances of firmness serve to extenuate those unhappy deficiencies which are more notorious in history. Had the judges been as numerous and independent as those of tho parliament of Patis, they would not probably have been wanting in equal vigour. But, holding their offices at the king's will, and exposed to the displeasure of his council whenever they opposed any check to the prerogative, they held a vacillating course, which made them obnoxious to those who sought for despotic power, while it forfeited the esteem of the nation.

In pursuance of the system adopted by Charles's ministers, they had recourse to exactions, some menn odious and obsolete, some of very questionable adopted to legality, and others clearly against law. Of rovenues the former olass may be reckoned the composi- compositions for not taking the order of knighthood. knigithood. The early kinge of England, Henry III. and Edward I., very little in the spirit of chivalry, had introduced the practice of summoning their military tenants, holding

[^4]20l. por annum, to receive knighthood at their hands. Those who declined this honour were permitted to redeem their absence by a moderate fine. ${ }^{\circ}$ Elizabeth, once in her reign, and James, had availed themselves of this ancient right. But the change in the value of money rendered it far more oppressive than formerly, though limited to the holders of $40 l$. per annum in military tenure. Commissioners were now appointed to compound with those who had neglected some years before to obey the proclamation, summoning them to receive knighthood at the king's coronation. ${ }^{p}$ In particular instances very severe fines are recorded to have been imposed upon defaulters, probably from some political resentment. ${ }^{q}$

Still greater dissatisfaction attended the king's attempt to revive the ancient laws of the forests-those Forest lawb. laws, of which, in elder times, so many complaints had been heard, exacting money by means of pretensions which long disuse had rendered dubious, and showing himself to those who lived on the borders of those domains in the hateful light of a litigious and encroaching neighbour. The earl of Holland held a court almost every year, as chief-justice in eyre, for the recovery of the king's forestal rights, which made great havoo with private property. No prescription could be pleaded against the king's title, which was to be found, indeed, by the inquest of a jury, but under the direction of a very partial tribunal. The royal forests in Essex were so enlarged that they were hyperbolically said to include the whole county. The earl of Southampton

[^5]them. Ses Mr. Brodie's Fint, of Bitish Jmpire, 1. 282. There is still some displcuity about this, which I cannot clear op, nor comprehend why the title, If is could be had for abidng, wit to conttnually decilned; unleat it wero, an Mr. B. hinta, that the fees of Imighthood greatly exceeded the comporition Perbapa none Who could not prove their gentillty were admitted to the hononr, though the fint was extorted from them. It is mid that the ling got 100,000 by this reeoures. Macaulay, 1i. $10 \%$.

9 Rushworth's Abr. H. 192.

- Strafford's Latters, is 3sh.
was nearly ruined by a decision that stripped him of his estate near the New Forest." The boundaries of Rookingham forest were increased from six miles to sixty, and enormous fines imposed on the trespassers; lord Salisbury being amerced in 20,0001 ., lord Westmoreland in 19,000l., Sir Christopher Hatton in 12,000l. It is probable that a part of these was remitted.

A greater profit was derived from a still more pornicious and indefensible measure, the establishment of a chartered company with exclusive monopolles. privileges of making soap. The recent statute against monopolies seemed to secure the public against this species of grievance. Noy, however, the attorneygeneral, a lawyer of uncommon eminence, and lately a strenuous assertor of popular rights in the house of commons, devised this project, by which he probably meant to evade the letter of the law, since every manufacturer was permitted to become a member of the company. They agreed to pay eight pounds for every ton of soap made, as well as 10,0001 . for their charter. For this they were empowered to appoint searchers, and exercise a sort of inquisition over the trade. Those dealers who resisted their interference were severely fined on informations in the star-chamber. Some years afterwards, however, the king received money from a new corporation of soap-makers, and revoked the patent of the former."
.This precedent was followed in the erection of a similar company of starch-makers, and in a great variety of other grants, which may be traced in Rymer's Fœdera, and in the proceedings of the long parliament; till monopolies, in transgression or evasion of the late statute, became as common as they liad been under James or Elizabeth. The king, by a proclamation at York, in 1639 , beginning to feel the necessity of diminishing the public odium,

[^6]And there is In Rymer, zy. ER5, a come midasion to Cottington and other, dirocting them to componid with the owutre of lands within the intanded enclopares. Dec. 12, 1634.
u Kennet, 64; Xurbworth's Abridg, it 159; Strafford's Letters, 1.44; Rymor 118. 324: Land'a Diary, 81.
revoked all these grants. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ He annulled at the same time a number of commissions that had been issued in order to obtain money by compounding with offenders against penal statutes. The catalogue of these, as well as of the monopolies, is very curious. The former were, in truth, rather vexatious than illegal, and sustained by precedents in what were called the golden ages of Elizabeth and James, though at all times the source of great and just discontent.

The name of Noy has acquired an umhappy celebrity by a far more famous invention, which promised to realise the most sanguine hopes that could sulp-mocoey. have been formed of carrying on the government for an indefinite length of time without the assistance of parliament. Shaking off the dust of ages from parchments in the Tower, this man of venal diligence and prostitated learning discovered that the seaports and even maritime counties had in early times been sometimes called apon to furmish shipe for the publio service; nay, there were instances of a similar demand upon some inland places Noy himself died almost immediately afterwards. Notwithstanding his apostasy from the pablic cause, it is just to remark that we have no right to impute to him the more extensive and more unprecedented scheme of ship-money, as a general tax, which was afterwards carried into execution. But it sprang by natural consequence from the former measure, according to the invariable course of encroachment, which those who have once bent the laws to their will ever continue to pursue. The firgt writ issued from the council in October, 1634. It was directed to the magistrates of London and other seeport towns. Reciting the depredations lately committed by pirates, and slightly adverting to the dangers imminent in a seeson of general war on the continent, it enjoing them to provide a oertain number of ships of war of a prescribed tonnage and equipage; empowering them also to assess all the inhabitanta for a contribution towards this armament according to their substance. The citizens of London humbly remonstrated that they conceived themselves exempt, by sundry charters and acts of parliament, from bearing such a charge. But tho

[^7]council peremptorily compelled their submission, and the murmurs of inferior towns were still more easily suppressed. This is said to have cost the city of London 35,000!.

There wanted not reasons in the cabinet of Charles for placing the navy at this time on a respectable footing. Algerine pirates had become bold enough to infest the Channel, and, what was of more serious importance, the Dutch were rapidly acquiring a maritime preponderance which excited a natural jealousy both for our commerce and the honour of our flag. This commercial rivalry conspired with a far more powerful motive at court, an abhorrence of everything republican or Calvinistic, to make our course of policy towards Holland not only unfriendly, but insidious and inimical in the highest degree. A secret treaty is extant, signed in 1631, by which Charles engaged to assist the king of Spain in the conquest of that great protestant commonwealth, retaining the isles of Zealand as the price of his co-operation.

Yet, with preposterous inconsistency, as well as ill faith, the two characteristics of all this wnhappy prince's foreign policy, we find him in the next year carrying on a negotiation with a disaffected party in the Netherlands, in some strange expectation of obtaining the sovereignty on their separation from Spain. Lord Cottington betrayed this intrigue (of which one whom we should little expect to find in these paths of conspiracy, Peter Paul Rubens, was the negotiator) to the court of Madrid. . It was, in fact, an unpardonable and unprovoked breach of faith on the king's part, and accounts for the indifference, to say no more, which that government always showed to his misfortunes. Charles, whose domestic position rendered a pacific system absolutely necessary, busied jimself far more than common history has recorded with the affairs of Europe. He was engaged in a tedious and

[^8]anavailing negotiation with both branches of the lause of Austria, especially with the court of Madrid, for the restitution of the Palatinate. He took a much greater interest than his father had done in the fortunes of his sister and her family; but, like his father, he fell into the delusion that the cabinet of Madrid, for whom he could effect but little, or that of Vienna, to whom he could offer nothing, would so far realize the cheap professions of friendship they were always making as to sacrifice a conquest wherein the preponderance of the house of Austria and the catholic religion in Germany were so deeply concerned. They drew him on accordingly through the labyrinths of diplomacy, assisted, no doubt, by that party in his council, composed at this time of lord Cottington, secretary Windebank, and some others, who had always favoured Spanish connexions.b It appears that the fleet raised in 1634 was intended, according. to an agreement entered into with Spain, to restrain the Dutch from fishing in the English seas, nay, even as opportunities should arise, to co-operate hostiloly with that of Spain. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ After above two years spent in these negotiations, Charles discovered that the house of Austris were deceiving him; and, still keeping in view the restoration of his nephew to the electoral dignity and territories, entered into stricter relations with France: a policy which might be deemed congenial to the queen's inclinations, and recommended by her party in his council, the earl of Holland, sir Henry Vane, and perhaps by the earls of Northomberland and ArundeL In

[^9]geag, to satisify the court of Spain himsolf out of chips and goods belonging to the Datch ; and by the racond, to give nocret instractions to the commanders of his chipes, that, when those of Spain and Fianders ahould eocounter their epennies at open mea, far from his coasto and Itmith they thouid assist them If over-matched, and thould give the like help to the prises which they aboold meet, talken tyy the Jotch, that they might bo freed and set at liberty; taking some convecinnt pretax to justify it, that the Hollandera might not hold it en of of bostility. But no part of this treaty was to take efreot till the imperias ban upon the olector palatine should be semoved. ILI gis
the first impulse of indignation at the duplicily of Spain, the king yielded so far to their counsels as to meditate a deolaration of war against that power. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ But his own cooler judgment, or the strong dissuasions of Strafford, who saw that external peace was an indispensable condition for the security of despotism,' put an end to so imprudent a project; though he preserved, to the very meeting of the long parliament, an intimate connexion with France, and even continued to carry on negotiations, tedious and insincere, for an offensive alliance.' Yet he still made, from time to time, similar overtures to Spain; and this unsteadiness, or rather duplicity, which could not easily be concealed from two cabinets eminent for their secret intelligence, rendered both of them his enemies, and the instruments, as there is much reason to believe, of some of his greatest calamities. It is well known that the Scots covenanters were in olose connexion with Richelieu, and many circumstances render it probable that the Irish rebellion was countenanced and instigated both by him and by Spain.

This desire of being at least prepared for war, as well as the general system of stretching the prerogar Extenson tive beyond all limits, suggested an extension of writs for of the former writs from the seaports' to the $\begin{gathered}\text { shlp-money } \\ \text { of inland }\end{gathered}$ whole kingdom. Finch, chief justice of the places. common pleas, has the honour of this improvement on Noy's scheme. He was a man of little learning or respectability, a servile tool of the despotic cabal; who, as speaker of the last parliament, had, in obedience to a

[^10][^11]command from the king to adjourn, refused to put the question upon a remonstrance moved in the house. By the new writs for ship-money, properly so denominated, since the former had only demanded the actual equipment of vessels, for which inland counties were of course obliged to compound, the sheriffs were directed to assess every landholder and other inhabitant, according to their judgment of his means, and to euforce the payment by distress. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

This extraordinary demand startled even those who had hitherto sided with the court. Some symptoms of opposition were shown in different places, and actions brought against those who had collected the money. But the greater part yielded to an overbearing power, exercised with such rigour that no one in this king's reign who had ventured on the humblest remonstrance against any illegal act had escaped without punishment. Indolent and improvident men satisfied themselves that the imposition was not very heavy, and might not be repeated. Some were content to hope that their con tribution, however unduly exacted, would be faithfully applied to public ends. Others were overborne by the authority of pretended precedents, and could not yet believe that the sworn judges of the law would pervert it to its own destruction. The ministers pradently resolved to secure not the law, but its interpreters, on their side. The judges of assize were directed to inculcate on their circuits the necessary obligation of forwarding the king's service by complying with his writ. But, as the measure grew more obnoxious, and strong doubts of its legality came more to prevail, it was thought expedient to publish an extra-judicial opinion of the twelve judges, taken at the king's special command, according to the pernicious custom of that age. They gave it as their umanimous opinion that, "when the good and safety of the kingdom in general is concerned, and the whole kingdom in danger, his majesty might, hy writ under the great seal, command all his subjects, at their charge, to provide and furnish such number of ahips, with men, munition, and victuals, and for such time, es he should think fit, for the defence and safeguard

[^12]of the kingdom; and that by law he might compel the doing thereof, in case of refusal or refractoriness; and that he was the sole judge both of the danger, and when and how the same was to be prevented and avoided."

This premature declaration of the judges, which was publicly read by the lord-keeper Coventry in the starchamber, did not prevent a few iniropid persons from bringing the question solemnly before them, that the liberties of their country might at least not perish silently, nor those'who had betrayed them avoid the responsibility of a public avowal of their shame. The first that resisted was the gallant Richard Chambers, who brought an action against the lord-mayor for imprisoning him on account of his refusal to pay his assessment on the former writ. The magistrate pleaded the writ as a special justification; when Berkley, one of the judges of the king's bench, declared that there was a rule of law and a rule of government, that many things which could not be done by the first rule might be done by the other, and would not suffer counsel to argue against the lawfulness of ship-money.' The next were lord Say and Mr. Hampden, both of whom appealed to the justice of their country; but the famous decision which has made the latter so illustrious put an end to all attempts at obtaining redress by course of law.

Hampden, it seems hardly necessary to mention, was a gentleman of good estate in Buckinghamshire whose assessment to the contribution Hampden's for ship-money demanded from his county pay. amounted only to twenty shillings. The cause, though

[^13]only arsessed on a part of tite eziate.
[Lord Nugent has published a factimile of the retran made by the assessors of ship-money for the parlali of Great Eimble, wherein Mr, Hampden is set down for 31. ed, and is returned, with many others, as rufualing to pay Memoirs of Hampden and his Times, vol. 1. p. 230. But the cuit in the exchequer was not 00 account of this demand, but for 208 , as stated in the text, due for property sicnace in the parish of Stoke Mandevile This explains ts. cmaliness of the 8 mm immedintely in question; it was aspered only on a part thon of Hempeden's lands. 1848,]
properly belonging to the court of exchequer, was heard, on accoant of its magnitude, before all the judges in the exchequer-chamber. ${ }^{m}$ The precise question, so far as related to Mr. Hampden, was, Whether the king had a right, on his own allegation of public danger, to require an inland county to furnish ships, or a prescribed sum of money by way of commatation, for the defence of the kingdon? It was argued by St. John and Holborne in behalf of Hampden; by the solicitor-general Littleton and the attorney-general Banks for the crown."

The law and constitution of England, the former mainArgaments tained, had provided in various ways for the on the case public safety and protection against enemies. First, there were the military tenures, which bound great part of the kingdom to a stipulated service at the charge of the possessors. The cinque ports also, and several other towns, some of them not maritime, held by a tenure analogons to this; and were bound to furnish a quota of ships or men as the condition of their possessions and privileges. These for the most part are recorded in Domesday-boon, though now in general grown obsolete. Next to this specific service, our constitution had bestowed on the sovereign his certain revenues, the fruits of tenure, the profits of his various minor prerogatives; whatever, in short, he held in right of his crown was applicable, so far as it could be extended, to the publio use. It bestowed on him, moreover, and perhaps with more special application to maritime purposos, the customs on importation of merchandise. These indeed had been reoently augmented far beyond ancient usage. "For these modern impositions," eays St. John, "of the legality thereof I intend not to speak; for in case his majesty may impose npon merchandise what himself pleaseth, there will be less cause to tax the inland conuties; and in case he cannot do it, it will be strongly presumed that he can much less tax them."

But as the ordinary revenues might prove quite un-

[^14]equal to great exigencies, the constitution has provided another means as ample and sufficient as it is lawful and regular-parliamentary supply. To this the kings of England have in all times had recourse; yet princes are not apt to ask as a concession what they might demand of right. The frequent loans and benevolences which they have required, though not always defensible by law, are additional proofs that they possessed no general right of taxation. To borrow on promise of repayment, to solicit, as it were, almas from their subjects, is not the practice of sovereigns whose prerogatives entitle them to exsct money. Those loans had sometines been repaid expressly to discharge the king's conscience. And a very arbitrary prince, Henry VIII., had obtained acts of parliament to release him from the obligation of repayment.

These merely probable reasonings prepare the way for that conclusive and irresistible argument that was founded on statute law. Passing slightly over the charter of the Conqueror, that his subjects shall hold their lands free from all unjust tallage, and the clause in John's Magna Charta, that no aid or scutage should be assessed but by consent of the great council (a provision not repeated in that of Henry III.), the advocates of Hampden relied on the $25 \mathrm{E} . \mathrm{L}$, commonly called the Confirmatio Chartarum, which for ever ahrogated all taxation without oonsent of parliament; and this statute itself they endeavoured to prove was grounded on requisitions very like the present, for the custody of the seal which Edward had issued the year before. Hence it was evident that the saving contained in that act for the accustomed aids and prizes could not possibly be intended, as the opposite counsel would suggest, to presorve auch exactions as ship-money, but related to the established feudal aids, and to the ancient customs on merchandise. They dwelt less, however (probably through fear of having this exception turned against them), on this important statute than on one of more celebrity, but of very equivocal genuineness, denominated De Tallagio uon Concedendo, which is nearly in the same words as the Confirmatio Chartarun, with the omission of the above-mentionel saving. More than one law enacted nnder Edward III. reasserts the necessity of parliamen-
tary consent to taxation. It was indeed the subject of frequent remonstrance in that reign, and the king oflen infringed this right. But the perseverance of the commons was successful, and ultimately rendered the practice conformable to the law. In the second year of Richard II., the realm being in imminent danger of invision, the privy council convoked an assembly of peers and other great men, probably with a view to avoid the summoning of a parliament. This assembly lent their own money, but declared that they could not provide a remedy without charging the commons, which could not be done out of parliament, advising that one should be speedily summoned. This precedent was the more important as it tended to obviate that argument from peril and necessity on which the defenders of ship-money were wont to rely. But they met that specious plea more directly. They admitted that a paramount over ruling necessity silences the voice of law; that in actusl invasion, or its immediate prospect, the rights of private men must yield to the safety of the whole; that not only the sovereign, but each man in respect of his neighbour, might do many things absolutely illegal at other seasons; and this served to distingaish the present case from some strong acts of prerogative exerted by Elizabeth in 1588 , when the liberties and religion of the people were in the most apparent jeopardy. But here there was no overwholming danger; the nation was at peace with all the world: could the piracies of Torkish corsairs, or even the insolence of rival neighbours, be reckoned among those instant perils for which a parliament would provide too late?

To the precedents alleged on the other side it was replied, that no one of them met the case of an inland county; that such as were before the 25 E. I. were sufficiently repelled by that statate, such as occurred under Edward ILI. by the later statates, and by the remonstrances of parliament during his reign; and there were but very fow afterwards. But that, in a matter of statute law, they ought not to he governed by precedents, even if such could be adduced. Before the latter end of Edward I.'s reign, St. John observes, " All things concerning the king's prerogative and the subjects' liberties were upon uncartainties." "The government," says Holborne truly,
"was more of force than law." And this is mquestionably applicable, in a less degree, to many later ages.

Lastly, the Petition of Right, that noble legacy of a clandered parliament, reciting and confirming the ancient statutes, had established that no man thereafter be compelled to make or yield any gift, loan, benevolence, tax, or such-like charge, without common consent by act of parliament. This latest and most complete recognition must sweep away all contrary precedent, and could not, without a glaring violation of its obvious meaning, be stretched into an admission of ship-money.

The king's counsel, in answer to these arguments, appealed to that series of records which the diligence of Noy had collected. By far the greater part of these were commissions of array. But several, even of those addressed to inland towns (and, if there were no service by tenure in the case, it does not seem easy to distinguish thess in principle from counties), bore a very strong analogy to the present. They were, however, in carly times. No sufficient answer could be offered to the statutes that had prohibited unparliamentary taxation. The attempts made to elude their force were utterly ineffeotual, as those who are acquainted with their emphatic language may well conceive. But the council of Charles I., and the hirelings who ate their bread, disdained to rest their claim of ship-money (big as it was with other and still more novel echemes) on obscure records, or on cavils about the meaning of statutes. They resorted rather to the favourite topic of the times, the intrinsic, absolute authority of the king. This the attorney-general Banks placed in the very front of his argument "This power," says he, " is innate in the person of an absolute king, and in the persons of the kings of England. All magistracy it is of nature, and obedience and subjection it is of nature. This power is not any ways derived from the people, but reserved unto the king when positive laws first began. For the king of England he is an absolute monarch; nothing can be given to an absolute prince but what is inherent in his person. He can do no wrong. He is the sole judge, and we ought not to question him. Where the law trusts we ought not to. distrust. The acts of parliament," he observel, "contrined no express words to take away so bigh a prerogn-
tive ; and the king's prerogative, even in lesser matters, is always saved wherever express words do not restrain it."

But this last argument appearing too modest for some of the judges who pronounced sentence in this cause, they denied the power of parliament to limit the high prerogatives of the crown. "This imposition withoat parliament," saiys Justice Crawley, "appertains to the king originally, and to the successor ipso facto, if he be a sovereign in right of his sovereignty from the crown. You cannot have a king without these royal rights, no not by act of parliament." "Where Mr. Holborne," says Justice Berkley, "supposed a fundamental policy in the creation of the frame of this kingdom, that, in case the monarch of England should be inclined to exact from his subjeots at his pleasure, he should be restrained, for that ho could have nothing from them but upon a common consent in parliament, he is utterly mistaken herein. The law knows no such king-yoking policy. The lnw is itself an old and trusty servant of the king's; it is his instrument or means which he aseth to govera his people by: I never read nor heard that lex was rex; but it in common and most true that rex is lex." Vernon, another judge, gave his opinion in few wurds: "That the king, pro bono publico, may charge his subjects for the safety and defence of the kingdom notwithstanding any act of parliament, and that a statute derogatory from the prerogative doth not bind the king; and the king may dispense with any law in cases of necessity." Finch, the adviser of the ship-money, was not backward to employ the same argument in its behalf. "No act of parliament," he told them, "could bar a king of his regality, as that no land should hold of him, or bar him of the allegiance of his subjects or the relative on his part, as trust and power to defend his people; therefore acts of parliament to take away his royal powar in the defence of his kingdom are void; they are void acts of parliament to bind the king not to command the subjecta, their persons, and goods, and I say their money too; for no acts of parliament make any difference."

Soven of the twelve judges, namely, Finch, chief justice of the common pleas, Jones, Berkley, Vernon, Crawley, Trevor, and Weston, gave judgment for the
crown. Brampston, chief justice of the king's bench, and Davenport, chief baron of the erchequer, pronounced for Hampden, but on technical reasons, and adhering to the majority on the principal question. Denham, another judge of the same court, being extremely ill, gave a short written judgment in favour of Hampden. But justices Croke and Hutton, men of considerable reputation and experience, displayed a most praiseworthy intrepidity in denying, without the smallest qualification, the alleged prerugative of the crown and the lawfulness of the writ for ship-money. They had unfortanately signed, along with the other judges, the above-mentioned opinion in favour of the right. For this they made the best apology they could, that their voice was concluded by the majority. But in trath it was the ultimate success that sometimes attends a struggle between conscience and self-interest or timidity.:

The length to which this important cause was protracted, six month having elspsed from the opening speech of Mr. Hampden's council to the final judgment, was of infinite disservice to the crown. During this long period every man's attention was directed to the exchequer-chamber. The convincing argoments of St. John and Holborne, but still more the division on the bench, increased their natural repugnance to so mousual and dangerous a prerogative. Those who had trusted to the faith of the judges were undeceived by the honest repentance of some, and looked with indignation on so prostituted a crew. That respect for courts of justice which the happy structure of our judicial administration luss in general kept inviolate was exchanged for distrust,

[^15]the loing very soaris. The secilents wisch have followed upon it alresty are theno: First, the fiction art grown very bold. Secondif, the ingi's mosers come in a greas deal more alowly than they did to farmer yeark, and that to a very contabdenatie sum. Thirdily, it pats thoaghts tnto whes and moderise men's heade which were better oat; for they think, if the Judgen, which are behind, do not their parts both exceeding well and thoroughly, it may mach distemper thily extrewrdinary and great mavice." Stras.
contempt, and desire of vengeance. They heard the speeches of some of the judges with more displeasure than even their final decision. Ship-money was held lawful by Finch and several other judges, not on the suthority of precedents, which must in their nature have some bounds, but on principles subversive of any property or privilege in the subject. Those paramount rights of monarchy, to which they appealed to-dny in justification of ship-money, might to-morrow serve to supersede other laws, and maintain new exertions of despotic power. It was manifest by the whole strain of the court lawryers that no limitations on the king's authority could exist but by the king's sufferance. This alarming tenet, long braited among the churchmen and courtiers, now resounded in the halls of justice. But ship-money, in consequence, was paid with far less regularity and more reluctance than before. The discontent that had been tolerably amothered was now displayed in every county; and though the council did not flinch in the least from exacting payment, nor willingly remit any part of its rigour towards the uncomplying, it was impossible either to punish the great body of the country gentlemen and citizens, or to restrain their marmurs by a few examples. Whother in consequence of this umwillingness, or for other reasons, the revenue levied in different years under the head of ship-money is more fluctuating than we should expect from a fixed assessment; but may be reokoned at an average sum of 200,000l.

It would doubtless le unfair to pass a severe cenProclames sure on the government of Charles I. for tions. transgressions of law which a long course of precedents might render dubious, or at least extenuate. But this common apology for his administration, on which the artful defence of Hume is almost entirely grounded, most be admitted caatiously, and not montil we have well considered how far such precedents could be brought to support it. This is particularly applicable

[^16]to his proclamations. I have already pointed out the comparative novelty of these anconstitutional ordinances, and their great increase under James. They had not been fully acquiesced in; the commons had remonstrated egainst their abuse; and Coke, with other judges, had endeavoured to fix limits to their authority very far within that which they arrogated. It can hardly, therefore, be said that Charles's council were ignorant of their illegality; nor is the case at all parallel to that of general warrants, or any similar irregularity into which an honest government may insdvertently be led. They serve at least to display the practical state of the constitution, and the necessity of an entire reform in its spirit.

The proclamations of Charles's reign are far more numerous than those of his father. They imply s prerogative of intermeddling with all matters arbioas of trade, prohibiting or putting under restraint proceediugs. the importation of various articles, and the home growth of others, or establishing regulations for manufactures.' Prices of several minor articles were fixed by proclamstion; and in one instance this was extended to poultry, butter, and coals.' The king declares by a proclamation that he had incorporated all tradesmen and artificers within London and three miles round; so that no person mignt set up any trade without having served a seven years' apprenticeship, and without admission into such corporation." He prohibits, in like manner, any one from using the trade of a maltster or that of a brewer without admission into the corporations of maltsters or brewers erected for every county. ${ }^{*}$ I know not whether these projects were in any degree founded on the alleged pretext of correcting abuses, or were solely designed to raise money by means of these corporations. We find, however, a revocation of the restraint on malting and brewing soon after. The illegality of these proclamer tions is most unquestionable.

The rapid incresse of Londun continued to disquiet the

[^17]court. It was the stronghold of political and religious disaffection. Hence the prohibitions of erecting now houses, which had began under Elizabeth, were continually repeated.' They had indeed some lautable objects in view; to render the city more healthy, cleanly, and magnificent, and by prescribing the general use of brick instead of wood, as well as by improving the width and regularity of the streets, to affurd the best security rgainst fires, and against those epidemical diseases which visited the metropolis with umusual sevority in the earlier years of this reign. The most jealous censor of royal encroachments will hardly object to the proclamations enforcing certain regulations of police in some of those alarming seasons.

It is probable, from the increase which we know to have taken place in London during this reign, that licences for building were easily obtained. The same supposition is applicable to anothor class of proclamation, enjoining all persons who had residences in the country to quit the capital and repair to thom. Yet, that these were not always a dead letter appears from an information exhibited in the star-chamber against seven lords, sixty koights, and one hundred esquires, besiden many ladies, for disobeying the king's proclamation, either by continuing in London or retarning to it after a short absence: The result of this prosecution, which was probably only intended to keep them in check, doee not appear. No proclamstion conld stand in need of support from law while this arbitrary tribunal assumed a right of punishing misdemeanors. It would have been a dangerous aggravation of any delinquent's offence to have questioned the authority of a proclamation, or the jurisdiction of the council

The security of freehold rights had been the pecrliar boast of the English law. The very statute of Henry VIII., which has been held up to so much infrmy, while it gave the force of law to his proclamations,

[^18]interposed its barrier in defence of the subject's property The name of freeholder, handed down with religious honour from an age when it conveyed distinct privilegas, and as it were a sort of popular nobility, protected the pourest man against the orown's and the lord's rapacity. He at least was recognised as the liber homo of Magna Charta, who could not be disseised of his tenements and franchises. His honse was his castle, which the law respected, and which the king dared not euter. Even the public good must give way to his obstinacy; nor had the legislature itself as yet compelled any man to part with his lands for a compensation which he was loth to accept. The council and star-chamber had very rarely. presumed to meddle with his right; never perhaps where it was acknowledged and ancient. But now this reverence of the coromon law for the sacrednees of real property was derided by those who revered nothing as sacred but the interesta of the charch and crown. The privy council, on a suggestion that the demolition of some housos and shops in the vicinity of St. Paul's would show the cathedral to more advantage, directed that the owners should receive such satisfaction as should seem reasonable; or, on their refusal, the sheriff was required to see the buildings pulled down, "it not being thought fit the obstinacy of those persons should hinder so considerable a work." ${ }^{\text {b }}$ By another order of council, scarcely less oppressive and illegal, all shops in Cheapside and Lombard-street, except those of goldsmiths, were directed to be shut up, that the avenue to St. Paul's might appear more splendid; and the mayor and aldermen were repeatedly threatened for remissness in executing this mandate of tyranny.'

In the great plantation of Clster by James, the city of London had received a grant of extensive lands in the county of Derry, on certain conditions preseribed in their charter. The settlement became flourishing, and enriched the city. But the wealth of London was always invidious to the orown, as well as to the needy courtiers. On an information filed in the star-chamber for certain alleged breaches of their charter, it was not only adjudged to be forfeited to the king, but a fine of 70,000 . was imposed on
the city. They paid this enormous mulot; but were kept out of their lands till restored by the long parliament. In this proceeding Charles forgot his duty enough to take a very active share, personally exciting the court to give sentence for himself. Is it then to be a matter of surprise or reproach that the citizens of London refused him assistance in the Scottish war, and through the ensuing tiznes of coufusion harboured an implacable resentment against a sovereign who had so deeply injured them?

We may advert in this place to some other stretches of power, which no one can pretend to justify, though in general they. seem to have escaped notice amidst the enormous mass of national grievances. A commission was issued in 1635 to the recorder of London and others, to examine all persons going beyond seas, and tender to them an oath of the most inquisitorial nature.' Certain privy councillors were ompowered to enter the house of sir Robert Cotton, and search his books, records, and papers, setting down such as ought to belong to the crown.s This renders probable what we find in a writer who had the best means of information, that secretary Windebank, by virtue of an order of counoil, entered sir Edward Coke's house while he lay on his death-bed, and took away his manuscripts, together with his last will, which was never returned to his family. The highcommission court were enabled by the king's "sapreme power ecclesiastical" to oxamine such as were charged with offences cognizablo by them on oath, which many had deolined to take, according to the known maxims of English law. ${ }^{\text {i }}$

It would be improper to notice as illegal or irregular the practice of granting dispensations in particular in-

[^19][^20]stances, either from general acts of parliament or the local statutes of colleges. Such a prerogative, at least in the former case, was founded on long usage and judicial recognition. Charles, however, transgressed its admitted boundaries when he empowered others to dispense with them as there might be occasion. Thus, in a commission to the president and council of the North, directing them to compound with recusants, he in effect suspends the statute which provides that no recusant shall have a lease of that portion of his lands which the law sequestered to the king's use during his reousancy; a clause in this patent enabling the commissioners to grant such leases notwithstanding any law or statute to the contrary. This seems to go beyond the admitted limits of the dispensing prerogative. ${ }^{k}$
The levios of tonnage and poundage without authority of parliament, the exaction of monopolies, the extension of the forests, the arbitrary restraints of proolamations, above all the general exaction of ship-money, form the principal articles of charge against the government of Charles, so far as relates to its inroads on the subject's property. These were maintained by a vigilant and unsparing exorcise of jurisdiction in the court of starchamber. I have, in another chapter, traced the revival of this great tribunal, probably under Henry VIII., in at least as formidsble a shape as before the now-neglected statutes of Edwand III. and Richard II., which had placed burriers in its way. It was the great weapon of executive power under Elizabeth and James; nor can we reproach the present reign with innovation in this respect, though in no former period had the proceedings of this court been accompanied with so muoh violence and tyranny. But this will require some fuller explication.

I hardly need remind the reader that the jurisdiction of the ancient Concilium regis ordinarium, or court of star-chamber, continued to be exercised $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sur-cham- } \\ & \text { ber jurier }\end{aligned}$ more or less frequently, notwithstanding the dicuon. various statutes enacted to repress it; and that it neither was supported by the act erecting a new court in the third of Henry VII., nor originated at that time. The
zecords show the star-chamber to have taken cognizance both of civil suits and of offences throughout the time of the Tudors. But precedents of usurped power cannot establish a Iegal authority in defiance of the acknowledged law. It appears that the sawyers did not admit any jurisdiction in the council, except so far as the statute of Henry VIII was supposed to have given it. "The famous Plowden put his hand to a demurrer to a bill," says Hudson, " becanse the matter was not within the statute; and, although it was then overruled, yet Mr. Serjeant Richardson, thirty years after, fell again upon the same rock, and was sharply rebuked for it." The chancellor, who was the standing president of the court of star-chamber, would always find pretences to elude the existing statutes, and justify the usurpation of this tribunal.

The oivil jorisdiction claimed and exerted by the starchamber was only in particular cases, as disputes between alien merchants and Englishmen, questions of prize or valawful detention of ships, and in general such as now belong to the court of admiralty; some testamentary

[^21]ledge thenvol: Fie groceeds to fanfors mo that by meard tito records he traced Its forlediction moch higier. Tufs shown, nowerer, the dorubes entertatond of it juriadiotion in the queer's time Tha Writer, extolling the court inghly, admiter that a aone of late have doemod it to bo new, and pat the ame in print, to the blemith of its beantiful antiquitys" Ho then divcuates the question (for soch it reeng it wish), whether any peer, thorgh not of the cotincli, might ait in the stapchamber; and decides in the megrative. "A․ son of ber maferty," be mas, in the cwee of the eari of Hartiond, there were tesombled a groat number of the noble barons of thit reeling not being of ibm councll, Tho offered ther to $\begin{aligned} & \text { tit } \text {; bat }\end{aligned}$ at that the it was declared moto then iny the lord-reeper thet thay were to dive picas, and oo they did, and theres of them tratied the houlog of the caroe at tho bers

This note ought to bave bees insprited th Chapier $I_{4}$, where the antiguity of the
 dentally ovilocised.
matters, in order to prevent appeals to Rome, which might have been brought from the ecclesiastical courts; saits between corporations, "of which," says Hudson, "I dare undertake to show above a hundred in the reigns of Henry VII. and Henry VIII., or sometimes between men of great power and interest, which could not be tried with fairness by the common law." a For the corruption of sheriffs and juries furnished an apology for the irregular, but necessary, interfexence of a controlling authority. The àncient remedy, by means of attaint, which renders a jury responsible for an unjust verdict, was almost gone into disuse, and, inasmuch as it depended on the integrity of a second jury, not always sure to be obtained; so that in many parts of the kingdom, and especially in Wales, it was impossible to find a jury who would return a verdict against a man of good family, either in a civil or criminal proceeding.

The statutes, however, restraining the council's jurisdiction, and the strong prepossession of the people as to the sacredness of freehold rights, made the star-chamber cautious of determining questions of inheritance, which they oommonly remitted to the judges; and from the early part of Elizabeth's reign they took a direot cognizance of any civil suits less frequently than before; partly, I suppose, from the increased business of the court of chancery and the admiralty court, which took away much wherein they had been wont to meddle; partly from their own occupation as a court of criminal judicature, which became more conspicuous as the other went into disuse. ${ }^{\circ}$ This criminal jurisdiction is that which rendered the star-ohamber so potent and so odious an auxiliary of a despotic administration.

The offences principally cognizable in this court were forgery, perjury, riot, maintenance, fraud, libel, and conspiracy.p But, besides these, every misdemeanor came within the proper soope of its inquiry; those especially of public importance, and for which the law, as

[^22]then understood, had provided no sufficient punishment. For the judges interpreted the law in early times with too great narrowness and timidity; defects which, on the one hand, raised up the overraling authority of the court of chancery, as the necessary means of redress to the civil suitor who found the gates of justice barred against him by tectmical pedantry; and, on the other, brought this usurpation and tyranny of the star-chamber upon the kingdom by an absurd scrupulosity about punishing manifest offences against the public good. Thus corruption, breach of trust, and malfeasance in public affairs, or attempts to commit felony, seem to have been reckoned not indictable at common law, and came in consequence under the cognizance of the starchamber. ${ }^{9}$ In other cases its jurisdiction was merely concurrent; but the greater certainty of conviction, and the greater severity of punishment, rendered it inconparably more formidable than the ordinary benches of justice. The law of libel grew up in this unwholesome atmosphere, and was monlded by the plastic hands of successive judges and attorneys-general Prosecutions of this kind, according to Hudson, began to be more frequent from the last years of Elizabeth, when Coke was attorney-general ; and it is easy to conjecture what kind of interpretation they received. To bear a libel sung or read, says that writer, and to langh at it, and make merriment with it, has ever been held a publication in law. The gross error that it is not a libel if it be true, has long since, he adds, been exploded out of this court. ${ }^{\text {r }}$

Among the exertions of authority practised in the starchamber which no positive law could be brought to warrant, he enumerates "punishments of breach of proclamations before they have the strength of an act of parliament; which this court hath stretched as far as ever any act of parliament did. As in the 41st of Elizar beth builders of houses in London were sentenced, and their houses ordered to be pulled down, and the materials to be distributed to the benefit of the parish where the building was; which disposition of the goods soundeth as a great extremity, and beyond the warrart of our laws; and yet suraly very necessary, if anything would

[^23]deter men from that horrible mischief of increasing that head which is swoln to a great hugeness already.":

The mode of process was sometimes of a summary nature; the accused person being privately examined, and his examination read in the court, if he was thought to have confessed sufficient to deserve sentence, it was immediately awarded without any formal trial or written process. But the more regular course was by information filed at the suit of the attorney-general, or, in certain cases, of a private relator. The party was brought before the court by writ of subpcena; and having given bond with sureties not to depart without leave, was to put in his answer upon oath, as well to the matters contained in the information, as to special interrogatories. Witnesses were examined upon interrogatories, and their depositions read in court. The course of proceeding on the whole seems to have nearly resembled that of the chancery."

It was held competent for the court to adjudge any punishment short of death. Fine and imprisonment were of course the most usual. The pil- Pumbment lory, whipping, branding, and cutting off the the ctant lory, wheping, branding, and cuting off the chember. ears, grew into use by degrees. In the reign of Henry VII, and Henry VIIL, we are told by Hndson, the fines were not so ruinous as they have been since, which he ascribes to the number of bishope who sat in the court, and inclined to mercy; "and I can well

[^24]and divided coatrary to ber majesty's and prockmation, commit the offenders to the Fleet, and fle them sol ench; beat conmiering that If the honsed be prilled down other bapitations most be trand, did not, mequepted, orfier this to be done for the present, bot that the tomanta sowald contime for thetr live writhont parmoet of juot, and the lapilond it directed oot to moient them, and after the deach or departure of the temandia the borses to be palled down Rind. MSS. N. 299, fol. T.

- Fincl MSS p. 102, lac It appeaty that the owart of star-chomber could bot
 of an egrewithets (Rownt. Alr. fi 114) a ralie thich did mot preweal thetr neocktog the mote imperfors and inomaterive tertimoty.
remember," he says, "that the most reverend arohbishop Whitgift did ever constantly maintain the liberty of the free charter, that men ought to be fined, salvo contenemento. But they have been of late imposed according to the nature of the offence, and not the eatate of the person. The slavish punishment of whipping," he proceeds to observe, " was not introduced till a great man of the common law, and otherwise a worthy justice, forgot his place of session, and brought it in this place too much in use." " It would be difficult to find precedents for the aggravated cruelties inflicted on Leighton, Lilburne, and others; but instances of cutting off the ears may be found under Elizabeth."

The reproach, therefore, of arbitrary and illegal jurisdiction does not wholly fall on the government of Charles. They found themselves in possession of this almont onlimited authority. But doubtless, as far as the history of proceedings in the star-ohamber are recorded, they seem much more numerous and violent in the present reign than in the two preceding. Rushworth has proserved a copious selection of cases determined before this tribunal. They consist principally of misdemeanors, rather of an aggravated nature; such as disturbances of the publio peace, assaults accompanied with a good deal of violence, conspiracies, and libels. The necessity, however, for such a paramonnt court to restrain the excesses of powerful men na longer existel, since it can hardly be doubted that the common administration of the law was sufficient to give redress in the time of Charles I.; though we certainly do find several instances of violence and outrage by men of a superior station in life, which speak unfavourably for the state of manners in the kingdom. But the object of drawing so large a

[^25][^26]number of criminal oases into the star chamber seems to Lave been twofold: first, to inure men's minds to an authority more immediately connected with the crown than the ordinary courts of law, and less tied down to any rules of pleading or evidence; secondly, to eke out a scanty revenue by penalties and forfertures. AbsoIutely regardless of the provision of the Great Charter, that no man shall be amerced even to the full extent of his means, the conncillors of the star-chamber inflicted such fines as no court of justice, even in the present reduced value of money, would think of imposing. Little objection indeed seems to lie, in a free country, and with a well-regulated administation of justice, against the imposition of weighty pecaniary penalties, due consideration being had of the offence and the criminal. But, adjudged by such a tribunal as the star-chamber, where those who inflicted the punishment reaped the gain, and sat, like famished birds of prey, with keen eyes and bended talons, eager to supply for a moment, by some wretch's ruin, the craving emptiness of the exchequer, this scheme of enormous penalties became more dangenous and subversive of justice, though not more odions, than corporal punishment. A gentleman of the name of Allington was fined 12,000 . for marrying his niece. One who had sent a challenge to the earl of Northumberland was fined 50001.; another for saying the earl of Suffolk was a base lord, 40001 . to him, and a like sum to the king. Sir David Forbes, for opprobrious words against lord Wentworth, incurred 50001 . to the king, and 3000l. to the party. On some soapboilers, who had not complied with the requisitions of the newlyincorporated company, mulcts were imposed of 15001. and 10001. One man was fined and set in the pillory for engrossing corn, though he only kept what grew on his own land, asking more in a season of dearth than the overseers of the poor thought proper to give. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Some arbitrary regulations with respect to prices may be excuser by a well-intentioned though mistaken policy. The charges of inns and taverns were fixed by the judges. But even in those a corrupt motive was sometimes blended. The cumpany of vintners, or victuallers,

[^27]having refused to pay a demand of the lord treasurer, one penny a quart for all wine drank in their houses, the star-chamber, without information filed or defence made, interdicted them from selling or dressing victuals till they submitted to pay forty shillings for each tun of wine to the king.' It is evident that the strong interest of the court in these fines must not only have had a tendency to aggravate the punishment, but to induce seitences of condemnation on inadequate proof. From all that remains of proceedings in the star-chamber, they seem to have been very frequently as iniquitous as they were severe. In many celebrated instances the accused party suffered less on the score of any imputed offence than for having provoked the malice of a powerful adversary, or for notorious dissatisfaction with the existing government. Thus Williams, bishop of Lincoln, once lord keeper, the favourite of king James, the possessor for a season of the power bisbop Williams that was turned against him, experienced the rancorous and ungrateful malignity of Laud; who, having been brought forward by Williams into the favour of the court, not only supplanted by his intrigues, and incensed the king's mind against his benefactor, but harassed his retirement by repeated persecutions. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ It will sufficiently illustrate the spirit of these times to mention that the sole offence imputed to the bishop of Lincoln in the last information against him in the star-chamber was, that he had received certain letters from one Osbaldiston, master of Westminster school, whersin some contemptuous nickname was nsed to denote Laud." It did not appear that Williams had ever divalged these letters. But it was held that the concealment of a libellous letter was a high misdemeanor. Willians was therefore adjudged to pay 5000l. to the king, and 3000l. to the archbishop, to be imprisoned during pleasure, and to make a submission; Osbaldiston to pay a still heavier fine, to be deprived of

[^28][^29]all his benefices, to be imprisoned and make submission, and moreover to stand in the pillory before his school in Dean's-yard, with his ears nailed to it. This man had the good fortune to conceal himself; but the bishop of Lincoln, refusing to make the required apology, lay about three years in the Tower, till released at the beginning of the long parliament.

It might detain me too long to dwell particularly on the punishments inflicted by the court of star-chamber in this reign. Such historians as have not written in order to palliate the tyranny of Charles, and especially Rushworth, will furnish abundant details, with all those circumstances that portray the barbarous and tyrannical spirit of those who composed that tribunal. Two or three instances are so celebrated that I cannot pass them over. Leighton, a Scots divine, having published an angry libel against the hierarchy, was sentenced to be publicly whipped at Westminster and set in the pillory, to have one side of his nose slit, one aar cat off, and one side of his cheek branded with a hot iron, to have the whole of this repeated the next week at Cheapside, and to suffer perpetual imprisonment in the Fleet.b Lil. burne, for dispersing pamphlets against the bishops, was whipped from the Fleut prison to Westminster, there set in the pillory, and treated afterwards with great cruelty.c Prynne, a lawyer of uncommon erudition, and case of a zealous puritan, had printed a bulky volume, Prymes called Histriomastix; full of in vectives against the theatre, which he sustained by a profusion of learning. In the course of this he adverted to the appearance of courtesans on the Roman stage, and by a satirical reference in his inder seemed to range all female actors in the class. ${ }^{\circ}$
> b Mr. Prodis (Eilst of Brit Mmp, vol H. p siof) obperves that he cannot find in INighton's book (which I have never seen) the pascago constantly brought forward by land'e apologista, wherein be fin euppoest to have recommended the enamalation of the blehope He admitg, Indeed, an does Fiarris, that the book Wras Flolent; but what oan be cald of the poudshment?
> - Rmprorth Etate Triale
> © Id. Whitalocts, p. 10. Earrats Mife of (tharies, p. 2t: The nnfortonate

[^30]The queen, unfor tunately, six weeks after the publication of Prynne's book, had performed a part in a mask at court. This passage was accordingly dragged to light by the malice of Peter Heylin, a chaplain of Land, on whom the archbishop devolved the burthen of reading

- this heary volume in order to detect its offences. Heylin, a bigoted enemy of everything puritanical, and not scrapulous as to veracity, may be suspected of having aggravated, if not misrepresented, the tendency of a book much more tiresome than seditious. Prynne, however, was already obnoxious, and the star-chamber adjudged him to stand twice in the pillory, to be branded in the forehesd, to lose both his ears, to pay a fine of 50001 .; and to suffer perpetual imprisonment. The dogged puritan employed the leisure of a gaol in writing a fresh libel against the hierarchy. For this, with two other delinquents of the same class, Burton a divine and Bastwick a physician, he stood again at the bar of that terrible tribunal. Their demeanour was what the court deemed intolerably contumacious, arising in fact from the despair of men who knew that po humiliation would procure them mercy." Prynne lost the remainder of his ears in, the pillory; and the punishment was inflicted on them, all with extreme and designed cruelty, which they endured, as martyrs always endure suffering, so heroically as to excite a deep impression of sympathy and resentment in the assembled multitude. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ They were sentenced to perpetual confinement in distant prisons. But their departure from London, and their reception on the road, were marked by signal expressions of popular regard; and their friends resorting to them even in Launceston, Chester, and Carnarron castles, whither they were sent, an order of council was made to transport them to the isles of the Channel. It was the very first act of the long parliament to restore these victims of tyranny to
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1 Id. 85. Rughw, 208, State THis, Clareadon, who speales in a very unbecoming manner of this sestitence, admite that it exdited gemaral difapprobabion. P. 83.
their families. Ponishments by mutilation, though not quite nnknown to the English law, had been of rare occurrence; and thus inflioted on men whose station appeared to render the ignominy of whipping and branding more intolerable, they produced much the same effect as the still greater cruelties of Mary's reign, in exciting a detestation for that ecclesiastical dominion which protected itself by means so atrocious.

The person on whom public hatred chiefly fell, and who proved in a far more eminent degree than Grarscter any other individual the evil genias of this un- of Lava. happy sovereign, was Laud. His talents, though enabling him to acquire a large portion of theologioal learning, seem to have been by no means considerable. There cannot be a more contemptible work than his Diary; and his letters to Strafford display some smartness, but no great capacity. He managed indeed his own defence, when impeached, with some ability; but on such occasions ordinary men are apt to put forth a remarkable readiness and energy. Laud's inherent ambition had impelled him to court the favour of Buckingham, of Williams, and of both the kings under whom he lived, till he rose to the see of Canterbury on Abbot's death, in 1633. No one can deny that he was a generous patron of letters, and as warm in friendship as in enmity. But he had placed before his eyes the aggrandisement, first of the church, and next of the royal prerogative, as his end and aim in every action. Though not literally destitute of religion, it was so subordinate to worldly interest, and so blended in his mind with the impure alloy of temporal pride, that he became an intolerant persecutor of the puritan clergy; not from bigotry, which in its nsual sense he never displayed, but systematic policy. And being subject, as his friends call it, to some infirmitios of temper, that is, cholerio, vindictive, harsh, and even cruel to a great degree, he not only took a prominent share in the severities of the star-chamber, but, as his correspondence shows, perpetually lamented that he was restrained from going further lengths. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

[^31]Laud's extraordinary favcur with the king, through which he became a prime adviser in matters of state, rendered him secretly obnoxious to most of the council, jealous, as ministers must always be, of a churchman's overweening ascendaucy. His faults, and even his virtues, contributed to this odium. For, being exempt from the thirst of lucre, and, though in the less 10ature state of his fortunes a subtle intriguer, having become frank through heat of temper and self-confidence, he discountenanced all schemes to serve the private interest of courtiers at the expense of his master's exhausted treasury, and went right onward to his object, the exaltation of the chorch and crown. He aggravated the invidiousness of his own situation, and gave an astonishing proof of his influence, by placing Juxon, bishop of London, a creature of his own, in the greatest of all posts, that of lord high-treasurer. Though Williams had lately been lord-keeper of the seal, it seemed more preposterous to place the treasurer's staff in the hands of a churchman, and of oue so little distinguished even in his own profession, that the archbishop displayed his contempt of the rest of the council, especially Cottington, who aspired to that post, by such a recommendation.'
caricature style of Pryane, nor with tho ebeurdiy-flattering pencil of Charendon. " The Archbiabop of Canterbary was a main ayout in thil fatal writs; a man Figlant enongh, of an ective or rather of - reatlem mind; move mbitions to undertake than politio to carry on; of a dispoaition too fluce and arnel for his cont; which, notwithstanding, be whas so fer from concealling in a cubtle way, that be fncreaged the eary of it by Insolenoe. Ifs and fow volgar and private vicee, as being neither tared of covetonnemet totemperance, nor incontinence; and in a word a man not altogether 80 bad fo his personal charcter a unfit for the ataite of England." History of Parliament, 12.

I The following entry appears in Lauds Diary (March 8, 1836):- Sunday, WilLIam Juxon, lurd bishop of London, mado lond high-trewartrer of Englaud: no chnrehman had it dnoe Henry Vil.'a tinse 1 pray God blem him to caryy it so ibat the churoh may have honowar, and the lang and the atate aervice and content-
ment by it. And now, if thachurch whll not bold themselves up under God, I ean do $n o$ more."

Thoee who ware fir trum prutrandin could not digest this strange elovations. Jamen Howell writus to Weatworth, "The news that keopi greateet noteo bere at this present fie that there is is new iond-treacarer; and it is newa indeed, it being now twice time ont of mind elace the white robe and the whito atafir marched together; we begin to live bere in the charch tiomplant; and there wants bat one more to leep the Hng's conscience which 4 more proper for a churchman than his coin, to malre it a triomvirate." Strafl Lettera, i. 932 Garrand, another correspoedent, expromee hin carportee, and thinks Stralford bimelf, or Cottingtion, would have done botter: p. 593. And atterwarde, vol. i1. p. 2 " The clergy aro 0 bleg here stace the joining of the white sleoves with the white stalf, thal thare is much talk of liaving ase secretiary abtebogh Dr. Wren, blebup of Nwrwich.

He had previously procured the office of secretary of state for Windebank. But, though overawed by the king's infatuated partiality, the faction adverse to Laud were sometimes able to gratify their dislike, or to manifest their greater discretion, by opposing obstacles to his impetuous spirit.

Of these impediments, which a rash and ardent man calls lukewarmness, indolence, and timidity, Lord he frequently complains in his correspondence straford. with the lord deputy of Ireland-that lord Wentworth, so much better known by the title of earl of Strafford, which he only obtained the year before his death, that we may give it him by anticipation, whose doubtful fame and memorable end have made him nearly the most conspicuous character of a reign so fertile in recollections. Strafford had in his early years sought those local dignities to which his ambition probally was at that time limited, the representation of the county of York and the post of custos rotulorum, through the usual channel of court favour. Slighted by the duke of Buckingham, and mortified at the preference shown to the head of a rival family, sir John Saville, he began to quit the cautious and middle course he had pursued in parliament, and was reckoned among the opposers of the administration after the accession of Charles. ${ }^{{ }^{k}}$ He was

[^32]heve interfered with his own views,
It must be added that Joxan redeemed the scandal of his appointment by an unblemioned probity, and pave co littlo offence in this invidious greatnees that the long parliament never attacked him, and he remnined in his palace at Fultham Without molestation till 164 .

I Strafiond's Letters, i. 3s, ter The lettere of Wentworth in thil period of his life ahow a good deal of ambition and recentment, but no great portion of public spirit This collection of the Strafford letters forms a vary important portion of our hilstorical docamente Hnme had looked at them very superticially, and quotes them but twice. They furnighed materlals to Harris and Macaulay; bat the first is little read at proment, and the second not at all. In recent and desorvedly popalar publication, Macdlarmidi Liven of British Statesmen, the work of a goung man of lettere, who did
one ot those who were made sheriffs of their counties, in order to exclude them from the parliament of 1626. This inspired so much resentment, that he sigualized himself as a refuser of the arbitrary loan exacted tho next year, and was committed in consequence to prisons He came to the third parliament with a deternination to make the court sensible of his power, and possibly with some real zeal for the liberties of his country. But patriotism unhappily, in his -self-interested and ambitious mind, was the seed sown among thorns. He had never lost sight of his hopes from the court; even a temporary reconciliation with Buckingham had beem effected in 1627, which the favourite's levity soon broke; and he kept up a close connexion with the treasures Weston. Always jealous of a rival, he contracted a dislike for sir John Eliot, and might suspect that he was likely to be anticipated by that more distingaished: patriot in royal favours." The hour of Wentworth's glory was when Charles assented to the Petition of Right, in obtaining which, and in overcoming the king's chicane and the hesitation of the lords, he had been pre-eminently conspicuous. From this moment he started aside from the path of true honour; and, being suddenly elevated to the peorage and a great post, the presidency of the council of the North, commenced a eplendid but baleful career, that terminated at the

another with pach stroag conatradlitions, that it grew from an emulation betwean them to an momity. The lord-rienartar Weaton plated out the northern cock, xty Thoment, to make him the king's creaturo, and eat him apoll the frat stop of him riaing; whick wite wormwood in tho tatis of killot, wha revenged himaelf upon the king to the bill of tonnage, and then foll apoan the meacurer, and doclalmed againat him that he was the anthor of all the evils under whlch the lingloom wan oppreseed," He proceeds to inform ve that blebop Wullams offered to bring kilot over, for which Wentworth nover forgave him Life of Wlllingus, p. 82 Tho magnanitrouas fortitude of tilion forbide an to give credit to any surmiso unfovourable to his giory apon such tan different anshority; but wererm pacagen it Wentrorth's buters is land ahow blat
scaffold. ${ }^{n}$ After this fatal apostasy he not only lost alh solicitude about those liberties which the Petition of Right had been designed to secure, but became their deadliest and most shameless onemy.

The council of the North was erected by Henry.VIIJ. after the suppression of the great insurrection of $\mathbf{1 5 3 6}$. It had a criminal jurisdiction in Yorkshine and the four more northern counties, as to riots, conspiracies, and scts of violence. It had also, by its original commission; $a$ jurisdiction in orvil suits, where either of the parties' were too poor to bear the expenses of a process at common law; in which case the council might determine, as it seems, in a summary manner, and according to equity. But this latter authority had been held illegal by the jadges under Elizabeth. ${ }^{\circ}$ In fact, the lawfulness of this tribunal in any respect was, to say the least, highly problemstical. It was regulated by instructions issued from time to time under the great seal. Wentworth spared no pains to enlarge the jurisdiction of his court. A commission issued in 1632, empowering the council of the North to hear and determine all offences, misdemeanors, suits, debates, controversies; demands, causes, things, and matters whatsoever therein contained, within certain precincts; namely, from the Humber to the Scots frontier. They were speciall appointed to hear and determine divers offences, accordiing to the course of the star-chamber, whether provided for by act of parliament or not; to hear complaints according to the rules of the court of ohancery; and stay proceedings at common law by injunction; to attach persons by their serjeant in any part of the realm. ${ }^{\text {p }}$

These inordinate powers, the soliciting and procuring of which, espeoially by a person so well versed in the laws and coustitution, appears to be of itself a sufficient ground for impeachment, were abused by Strafford to gratify his own pride, as well as to intimidate the opposers of arbitrary measures. Proofs of this occur in the proseoution of sir David Foulis, in that of

[^33]Mr. Bellasis, in that of Mr. Maleverer, for the circumstances of which $I$ refer the reader to more detsiled history. 9

Without resigning his presidency of the northern council, Wentworth was transplanted in 1633 to a still more extensive sphere, as lord-deputy of Ireland. This was the great scene on which he played his part ; it was here that he found abundant scope for his commanding energy and imperious passions. The Richelien of that island, he made it wealthier in the midst of exactions, and, one might almost say, happier in the midst of oppressions. He carbed subordinate tyranny; bnt his own left a sting behind it that soon spread a deadly poison over Ireland. But of his merits and his injustice towards that nation I shall find a better occasion to speak. Two well-known instances of his despotic conduct in respect to single persons may just be mentioned: the deprivation and imprisonment of the lord chancellor Loftus for not obeying an order of the privy council to make such a settlement as they prescribed on his son's marriage-a stretch of interference with private concerns which was aggravated by the suspected familiarity of the lord-deputy with the lady who was to reap advantage from it; ${ }^{r}$ and, secondly, the sentence of death passed by a council of war on lord Mountnorris, in Strafford's presence, and ovidently at his instigation, on account of some very slight expressions which he had used in private society. Though it was never the deputy's intention to exeoute this judgment of his slaves, but to

[^34]humiliate and trample upon Mountnorris, the violence and irdecency of his conduct in it, his long persecution of the unfortunate prisoner after the sentence, and his glorying in the act at all times, and even on his own trial, are irrefragable proofs of such vindictive bitterness as ought, if there were nothing else, to prevent any good man from honouring his memory."

The haughty and impetuous primate found a congenial spirit in the lord-deputy. They anbosom to correspons. each other, in their private letters, their ardent ancebe thirst to promote the king's service by measures tween Laui of more energy than they were permitted to ford exercise. Do we think the administration of Charles during the interval of parliaments rash and violent? They tell us it was over-cautious and slow. Do we revolt from the severities of the star-chamber? To Laud and Strafford they seemed the feebleness of excessive lenity. Do we cast on the orown-lawyens the reproach of having betrayed their country's liberties? Wo may' find that, with their atmost servility, they fell far behind the expectations of the court, and their scruples were reckoned the chief shackles on the half-emancipated prerngative.

The system which Laud was longing to parsue in England, and which Strafford approved, is frequently hinted at by the word Thorough. "For the state," says he, "indeed, my lord, I am for Thorough; for I see that both thiok and thin stay somebody, where I conceive it should not, and it is impossible to go thorough alone." "I am very glad " (in another letter) " to read your lord-

[^35][^36]ship so resolute, and more to hear you affirm that the footing of them that go thorough for our master's service is not upon fee, as it hath been. But you are withal upon so many Ifs, that by their help you may preserve any man upon ice, be it never so slippery. As first, if the common lawyers may be contained within their ancient and sober bounds; if the word Thorough be not left out, es I am certain it is; if we grow not faint; if we ourselves be not in fault; if we come not to a peccatum ex te Israel; if others will do their parts as thoroughly as you promise for yourself, and justly conceive of me. Now I pray, with so many and such Ifs as these, what may not be done, and in a brave and noble way? But can you tell when these Ifs will meet, or be brought together? Howsoever I am resolved to go on steadily in the way which you have formerly seen me go; so that (to put in one if too), if anything fail of my hearty desires for the king and the church's service, the fault shall not be mine." "As for my marginal note" (he writes in another place), "I see you deciphered it well" (they frequently corresponded in cipher), "and I see you make use of it too; do so still, thorough and thorough. Oh that I were where I might go so tool but I am shackled between delays and uncertainties; you lisve a great deal of honour here for your proceedings; go on a God's name." "I have done," he says some years afterwards, "with expecting of Thorough on this side." ${ }^{2}$

It is evident that the remiseness of those with whom he was joined in the administration, in not adopting or enforcing sufficiently energetio measures, is the subjeot of the archbishop's complaint. Neither he nor Strafford loved the treasurer Weston, nor lord Cottington, both of whom had a considerable weight in the council. But it is more difficult to perceive in what respects the Thorough system was disregarded. He cannot allude to the church, which he absolutely governed through the high-commission court. The inadequate pumishments. as he thought them, imposed on the refractory, formed

[^37]a part, but not the whole, of his grievance. It appears to me that the great aim of these two persons was to effect the subjugation of the common lawyers. Some sort of tenderness for those constitutional privileges, so indissolubly interwoven with the laws they administered, adhered to the judges, even while they made great sacrifices of their integrity at the instigation of the crown. In the case of habeas corpus, in that of shipmoney, we find many of them display a kind of halfcompliance, a reservation, a distinction, an anciety to rest on precedents, which, though it did not save their credit with the pablic, impaired it at court. On some more fortunate occasions, as we have seen, they even manifested a good deal of firmness in resisting what was urged on them. Chiefly, however, in matter of prohibitions issaing from the eaclesiastical courts, they were uniformly tenacions of their jurisdiction. Nothing could expose them more to Laud's ill-will. I should not deem it improbable that he had formed, or rather adopted from the canonists, a plan, not only of rendering the spiritual jurisdiction independent, but of extending it to all civil causes, unless perhaps in questions of freehold. ${ }^{\text {P }}$


#### Abstract

7 The tishope, before the Reformation, fnoud process fram uheir courta in their and names. By the atatute of 1 Bdw. VL. e. a, all ecciesiastical jurisdiction ts doclared to be tmmediately from the crown; and it is dirasted that parnons exercising it ahall use the ling's arras in their seal, and no otber. This was repealed under Mary; but hor act fs itaelf repeaied by 1 Jach a 25.948 . Thia seems to revive the act of Edward. The eptritual conrth, howrever, continued to tacue process in the bishop's names and with his aeal. On soms diffleulty belag mado concerning this, it was referred by the star-chamber to the twelve judges, who gave it under eheir hands that the statute of Edward was repealed, and that the practice of the eccledastical courts in this rexpect wran agreeable to law. Neal, 888 . Kennet, 92 Rush. Abr. HI. 34 Q. Whitelock may, p. 2n, that the bishope all denied that they held their jurisdiction from the king, for which they were liable to beery penaltien The quetion is of litte con-


sequepre; for it is atdll tow that eceleaiastical furisaliction, acconding to the law, emanates from the crown; nor doee anything turn on the feming of procest in the blubop's name, any more than on the holding conrts-baron in the name of the lord. In lreland, moleas I am mistaizen, the Ying's name is usen in eoclesiantices proceedinga Lavd, in Lis formoas opoeeh In the etar-chasber, 1657, and again on his trial, esserts opiscopal Jurisdiction (except what is called in foro contentices) to be of divine right; adoctrine not easily reconcilable with the erown'a supremecy over aff canses under the ctatute of Elizabeth; aince any epifitual censure may be anuriled by a lay tribnnin, the commissian of delegntes; and how this can be ofmpatible with edivine authority in the bishep to prononanee ith ceew bot easy to prove Iand, I have no doubt, would ture pat en and to this badge of subordinution to the crown. The Jodges to Cawdrey's enme, 5 Reportin, bella e very difiorens lapgonge; ner would

The presumption of common lawyers, and the diffaulties they threw in the way of the church and crown, are frequent themes with the two correspondents. "The church," says Laud, "is so bound up in the forms of the common law, that it is not possible for me or for any man to do that good which he would, or is bound to do. For your lordship sees, no man clearer, that they which have gotten so mach power in and over the church will not let go their hold; they have indeed fangs with a witness, whatsoever I was once said in a passion to have." Strafford replies, "I know nu reason but you may as well rule the common lawyers in England as I, poor beagle, do here; and yet that I do, and will do, in all that concerns my master, at the peril of my head. I am confident that the king, being pleased to set himself in the business, is able, by his wisdom and ministers, to carry any just and honourable action through all imaginary opposition, for real there can be none; that to start aside for such panic fears, fantastic apparitions, as a Prynne or an Eliot shall set up, were the meanest folly in the whole world; that, the debts of the crown being taken off, you may govern as you please; and most resolute I am that the work may be done without borrowing any help forth of the king's lodgings, and that it is as downright a peccatum ex to Israel as ever was, if all this be not effected with speed and ease." "-Strafford's indignation at the lawyers breaks out on other occasions. In writing to lord Cottington he complains of a judge of assize who had refused to receive the king's instructions to the council of the North in evidence, and beseeches that he may be charged with this great misdemeanor before the councilboard. "I confess," he says, "I disdain to see the gownmen in this sort hang their noses over the flowers of the crown." ${ }^{\text {b }}$ It was his endeavour in Ireland, ss

derived from the king. Fol. iit. 768, 778. This arrogant contempt of the lanyers manifested by Land and bis faction of priestes led to the ruin of the greal church men, and of the church iteelf-by the handsa, chiefly, of that powerful body they bed innuited, alat Clareodon hat Juatly remarted.
*Suntiond Letters, h. 111.
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well as in Yorkshire, to obtain the right of determining civil suits. "I find," he says, "that my lord Falkland was restrained by proclamation not to meddle in any cause letween party and party, which did certainly lessen his power extremely: I know very well the common lawyers will be passionately against it, who are wont to pat such a prejudice upon all other professions, as if none were to be trusted or capable to administer justice but themselves; yet how well this saits with monarchy, when they monopolize all to be governed by their year-books, you in England have a costly experience; and I am sure his majesty's absolute power js not weaker in this kingdom, where hitherto the deputy and council-board have had a stroke with them." The king indulged him in this, with a restriction as to matters of inheritance.

The cruelties exercised on Prynne and his associates have generally been reckoned among the great reproaches of the primate. It has sometimes been insinuated that they were rather the act of other counsellors than his own. Bat his letters, as too often occurs, belis this charitable excuse. He expresses in them no sort of humane sentiment towards these anfortanate men, but the utmost indignation at the oscitancy of those in power, which connived at the public demonstrations of sympathy. "A little more quickness," he says, "in the government would cure this itch of libelling. But what can you think of Thorough when there shall be. such slips in business of consequence? What say you to it, that Prynne and his fellows should be suffered to talk what they pleased while they stood in the pillory, and win acclamations from the people, \&c.? By that which I have above written, your lordship will see that the Triumviri will be far enough from being kept dark. It is true that, when this business is spoken of, some men speak as your lordship writes, that it concerns the king and government more than me. But when any thing comes to be acted against them, be it but the execution of a sentence, in which lies the honour and safety of all justice, yet there is little or nothing done, nor shall I ever live to see it otherwise." d

The lord-deputy fully concarred in this theory of

[^38]vigorons government. They reasoned on such subjects as cardinal Granville and the duke of Alva had reasoned before them. "A prince," he says in answer, "that loseth the force and example of his punishments, loseth' withal the greatest part of his dominion. If the eyes of the Triumviri be not sealed so close as they ought, they may perchance spy $u s$ out a shrewd turn when we least expect it. I fear we are hugely mistaken, and misapply onr charity thus pitying of them, where we should indeed much rather pity ourselves. It is strange indeed," he observes in another place, "to see the frenzy which possesseth the vulgar now-e-days, and that the just displeasure and chastisement of a state should produce greater estimation, nay reverence, to persons of no consideration either for life or learning, than the greatest and highest trust and employments shall be able to procure for others of unspotted conversation, of most eminent virtues and deepest knowledge : a grievous and overspreading leprosy! but where you mention a remedy, sure it is not fitted for the hand of every physician; the cure under God must be wrought by one Aisculapius alone, and that in my weak judgment to be effected rather by corrosives than lenitives: less than Thorough will not overcome it; there is a cancerous malignity in it, which must be out forth, which long since rejected all other means, and therefore to God and him I leave it." "

The honourable reputation that Strafford had earned before his apostasy stood principally on two grounds: his refusal to comply with a requisition of money without consent of parliament, and his exertions in the Petition of Right, which declared every such exaction to be contrary to law. If any, therefore, be inclined to palliate his arbitrary proceedings and principles in the executive administration, his virtue will be brought to a test in the business of ship-money. If he shall be foond to have given conntenance and support to that measure, there must be an end of all pretence to integrity or patriotism. But of this there are decisive proofs. He not only made every exertion to enforce its payment in Yorkshire during the years 1639 and 1640, for which the
peculiar dangers of that time might furnish scmo apology, but long before, in his correspondence with Laud, speaks thus of Mr. Hampden, deploring, it seems, the supineness that had permitted him to dispute the crown's claim with impunity." "Mr. Hampden is a great brother [i. e. a puritan], and the very genius of that people leads them always to oppose, as well civilly as ecclesiastically, all that ever authority ordains for them; but in good faith, were they right served, they should be whipt home into their right wits, and much beholden they should be to any one that would thoroughly take pains with them in that kind." " "In truth, I still wish, and take it also to be a very charitable one, Mr. H. and others to his likeness were well whipt into their right senses; if that the rod be so used as that it smarts not, I am the more sorry." E

Hutton, one of the judges who had been against the crown in this case, having some small favour to ask of Strafford, takes occasion in his letter to enter on the subject of ship-money, mentioning his own opinion in such a manner as to give the least possible offence, and with all qualifications in favour of the crown; commending even lord Finch's argament on the other side. ${ }^{\text {n }}$ The lord-deputy, answering his letter after much delay, says, "I must confess, in a business of so mighty im portance, I shall the less regard the forms of pleading, and do conceive, as it seems my lord Finch pressed, that the power of levies of forces at sea and land for the very, not feigned, relief and safety of the public, is a property of sovereignty, as, were the crown willing, it could not divest it thereof: Salus populi suprema lex; nay, in cases of extremity, even above acts of parliament," \&c.

It cannot be forgotten that the loan of 1626 , for refusing which Wentworth had suffered imprisonment, had been demanded in a season of incomparably greater difficulty than that when ship-money was levied: at the ane time war had been declared against both France and Spain, at the other the public tranquillity was hardly interrupted by some bickerings with Holland. In avowing therefore the king's right to levy money in

[^39]cuses of exigency, and to be the sole judge of that exigency, he uttered a shameless condemnation of his former virtues. But lest any doubt should remain of his perfect alienation from all principles of limited monarchy, I shall produce still more conclusive proofs. He was strongly and wisoly against the war with Spain, into which Charles's resentment at finding himself the dape of that power in the business of the Palatinate neariy hurried him in 1637. At this time Strafford laid before the king a paper of considerations dissuading him from this course, and pointing out particularly his want of regular troops.' "It is plain, indeed," he says, "that the opinion delivered by the judges, declaring the lawfulness of the assessment for the shipping, is the greatest service that profession hath done the crown in my time. But unless his majesty hath the like power declared to raise a land army upon the same exigent of state, the crown seems to me to stand bat upon one leg at home, to be considerable but by halves to foreign powers. Yet this sure methinks convinces a power for the sovereign to raise payments for land forces, and consequently submits to his wisdom and ordinance the transporting of tho money or men into foreign states. Soeing, then, that this piece well fortified for ever vindicates the royalty at home from under the conditions and restraints of subjects, renders us also abroad even to the greatest kings the most considerable monarchy in Christendom; seeing, again, this is a business to be attempted and won from the subject in time of peace only, and the people first accustomed to these levies, when they may be called upon as by way of prevention for our future safety, and keep his majesty thereby also moderator of the peace of Christendom, rather than upon the bleeding evil of an instant and active war; I beseech you, what piety to alliances is there that should divert a great and wise king forth of a path which leads so manifestly, 80 directly, to the establisbing his own throne, and the secure and independent seating of himself and posterity in wealth, strength, and glory, far above any their progenitors, verily in such a condition as there were no more hereafter to be wished them in this world but that
they would be very exact in their care for the just and moderate government of their people, which might minister back to them again the plenties and comforts of life, that they would be most searching and severe in ornishing the oppressions and wrongs of their subjects, es well in the case of the publio magistrate as of private persons; and, lastly, to be utterly resolved to exercise this power only for public and neceseary uses; to spare them as much and often as were possible; and that they never be wantonly vitiated or misapplied to any private pleasure or person whatsoever? Ihis being, indeed, the very only means to preserve, as may be said, the chastity of these levies, and to recommend their beauty so far forth to the subject, as, being thus disposed, it is to be justly hoped they will never grudge the parting with their moneys.
"Perhaps it may be asked, where shall so great a sum be had? My answer is, Procure it from the subjects of England, and profitably for them too. By this means preventing the raising upon them a land army for defence of the kingdom, which would be by many degrees more chargeable; and hereby also insensibly gain a precedent, and settle an authority and right in the crown to levies of that nature, which thread draws after it many huge and great advantages, more proper to be thought on at some other seasons than now."

It is, however, remarkable that, with all Strafford's endeavours to render the king absolute, he did not intend to abolish the use of parliaments. This was apparently the aim of Charles; but, whether from remains of attachment to the ancient forms of liberty surviving amidst his hatred of the real essence, or from the knowledge that a well-governed parliament is the best engino for extracting money from the people, this able minister entertained very different views. He urged accordingly the convocation of one in Ireland, pledging himself for the experiment's success. And in a letier to a friend, after praising all that had been done in it, "Happy it were," he proceeds, "if we might live to see the like in England: everything in its season; but in some cases it is as necessary there be a time to forget, as in others to learn; and howbeit the peccant (if I may without offence so term it) humour be not yet wholly purged forth,
yot do I conceive it in the way, and that once rightly correoted and prepared, we may hope for a parliament of a sound constitution indeed; but this must be the work of time, and of his majesty's excellent wisdom; and this time it becomes us all to pray for and wait for, and, when God sends it, to make the right use of it." ${ }^{k}$

These sentiments appear honourable and constitutional But let it not be hastily conceived that Strafford was a friend to the necessary and ancient privileges of those assemblies to whioh he owed his rise. A parliament was looked upon by him as a mere instrument of the prerogative. Hence he was strongly against permitting any mutual understanding among its members, by which they might form themselves into parties, and acquire strength and confidence by previous concert. "As for restraining any private meetings either before or during parliament, saving only publicly in the house, I fully rest in the same opinion, and shall be very watohful and attentive therein as a means which may rid us of a great trouble, and prevent many stones of offence, which otherwise might by malignant spirits be oast in among us."m And, acting on this principle, he kept a watch on the Irish parliament to prevent those intrigues which his experience in England had taught lim to be the indispensable means of obtaining a contral over the crown. Thus fettered and kept in awe, no one prosuming to take a lead in debate from uncertainty of support, parliaments would have become such mockeries of their venerable name as the joint contempt of the court and nation must soon have annihilated, Yet so difficult is it to preserve this dominion over any representative body, that the king judged far more digoreetly than Strafford in desiring to dispense entirely with their attendance.

The passages which I have thus largely quoted will, I trust, leave no doubt in any reader's mind that the earl of Strafford was party in a conspiracy to sabvert the fundamental laws and liberties of his country. For here are oot, as on his trial, accusations of words spoken in heat, uncertain as to proof, and of ambiguous interpretation; nor of actions variously reported and capable of some explanation; but the sincere unbosoming of the heart in
letters never designed to come to light. And if we reflcot upon this man's cool-blooded apostasy on the first lure to his ambition, and on his splendid abilities, which enhanced the guilt of that desertion, we must feel some indignation at those who have palliated all his iniquities, and even ennobled his memory with the attributes of patriot heroism. Great he surely was, since that epithet can never be denied without paradox to so much comprehension of mind, such ardour and energy, such courage and eloquence; those commanding qualities of soul, which, impressed upon his dark and stern countenance, struck his contemporaries with mingled awe and hate, and still live in the unfading colours of Vandyke. But it may be reckoned as a sufficient ground for distrusting any one's attachment to the English constitution, that he reveres the name of the earl of Strafford.

It was perfectly consonant to Laud's temper and priuciples of government to extirpate, as far as in him lay, the larking seeds of disaffection to the Anglican church. But the course he followed conld in nature have no other tendency than to give them nourishment. His predecessor Abbot had perhaps connived to a limited extentat some irregularities of discipline in the puritanical clergy, judging not absurdly that their scruples at a few ceremonies, which had been aggravated by a vexatious rigour, would die away by degrees and yield to that centripetal force, that moral attraction towards uniformity and obedience to custom, which Providence has rendered one of the great preservatives of political society. His hatred to popery and zeal for Calvinism, which undoubtedly were narrow and intolerant, as well as his avowed disapprobation of those churchmen who preached up arbitrary power, gained for this prelate the favour of the party denominated puritan. In all these respects no man could be more opposed to Abbot than his successor. Besides reviving the prosecutions for nonconformity in their utmost strictness, wherein many of the other bishops vied with their primate,

[^40]he most injudiciously, not to say wickedly, endeavoured, by innovations of his own, and by exciting alarms in the gusceptible consciences of pious men, to raise up new victims whom he might oppress. Those who made any difficulty about his novel ceremonies, or even who preached on the Calvinistic side, were harassed by the high-commission court as if they had been actual schismatics. ${ }^{\circ}$ The most obnoxious, if not the most indefensible, of these prosecutions were for refusing to read what was called the Book of Sports; namely, a proclamation, or rather a renewal of that issued in the late reign, that certain feasts or wakes might be kept, and a great variety of pastimes used on Sundays after evening service.' This was reokoned, as I have already observed, one of the tests of puritanism. But whatever superstition there might be in that party's judaical observance of the day they called the sabbath, it was in itself preposterous, and tyrannical in its intention, to enforce the reading in churches of this licence, or rather recommendation, of festivity. The precise clergy refused in general to comply with the requisition, and were suspended or deprived in consequence. Thirty of them were excommunicated in the single diocese of Norwich; but as that part of England was rather conspicuously puritanical, and the bishop, one Wren, was the worst on the bench, it is highly probable that the general average fell short of this.9

[^41]Besides the advantage of detecting a latent bias in the clergy, it is probable that the high-church prelates had a politic end in the Book of Sports. The morose gloomy spirit of paritanism wes naturally odious to the young and to men of joyous tempers. The comedies if that age are full of smeers at its formality. It was natural to think that, by enlisting the common propensities of mankind to amusement on the side of the established church, they might raise a diversion against that fanatical spirit which can hardly long continue to be the prevailing temperament of a nation. The church of Rome, from which no ecclesiastical statesman would disdain to take a leason, had for many ages perceived, and acted upon the principle, that it is the policy of governments to encourage a love of pastime and recreation in the people, both because it keeps them from speculating on religious and political matters, and because it renders them more cheer ful and less sensible to the evils of their condition; and it may be remarked by the way, that the opposite system so long pursued in this country, whether from a puritanical spirit or from the wantonness of petty authority, has no such grounds of policy to recommend it. Thus much at least is certain, that, when the puritan party em ployed their authority in prosoribing all diversions, in enforcing all the Jowish rigour about the sabbath, and gave that repulsive air of austerity to the face of England of which so many singular illustrations are recorded, they rendered their own yoke intolerable to the youthful and gay; nor did any other canse perhape so materially contribute to bring about the Restoration. But mankind love sport as little as prayer by compalsion; and the immediate effect of the bing's declaration was to produce a far more scrupulous abstinence from diversions on Sundays than had been practised before.

The resolution so evidently taken by the court to admit of no half-conformity in religion, especially after Land had obtained an unlimited sway over the king's mind, convinced the proritans that England could no longer afford them an asylum. The state of Earope was not such as to encourage thair emigration, though many were well received in Holland. But, turning their eyes to the newly-discovered regions beyond the Atlantic Ocean, they saw a secure place of refuge from present tyranny, and a boundless pruspect for future hope. They
obtained from the crown the charter of Massachusetts Bay in 1629. About three hundred and fifty persons, chiefly or wholly of the independent soct, sailed with the first fleet So many followed in the subsequent years that these New England settlements have been aupposed to have drawn near half a million of money from the mother-country before the civil wars.r Men of a higher rank than the first colonists, and now become hopeless alike of the civil and religious liberties of England, mens of capacious and commanding minds, formed to be the legislators and generals of an infant republic, the wise and cantious lord Say, the acknowledged chief of the independent sect ; the brave, open, and enthusiastic lord Brook; sir Arthur Haslerig; Hampden, ashamed of a country for whose rights he had fought alone; Cromwell. panting with energies that he could neither control nor explain, and whose unconquerable fire was still wrapped in smoke to every eye but that of his kinsman Hampden, were preparing to embark for America, when Leud, for his own and his master's ourso, procured an order of council to stop their departure. Besides the reflections which such an instance of destructive infatuation must suggest, there are two things not unworthy to be remarked: first, that these chiefs of the puritan sect, far from entertaining those schemes of overturning the government at home that had been imputed to them, looked only in 1638 to escape from imminent tyranny; and, secondly, that the views of the arohbishop were not so much to render the church and crown secure from the attempts of disaffected men, as to gratify a malignant hamour by persecating them.

These severe proceedings of the court and hierarchy became more odious on account of their suspected leaning, or at least notorious indulgence, towards

[^42][^43]popery. With some fluctuations, according to circuutstances or changes of influence in the council, the polioy of Charles was to wink at the domestic exercise of the catholio raligion, and to admit its professors to pay compositions for recusancy which were not regularly enforced. The

## Favour

Bhown to: catholicsTendences. to their religian * catholics willingly submitted to this mitigated rigour, in the sangaine expectation of far more prosperous days. I shall, of course, not censure this patt Expectations of his administration. Nor can we say that the by them connivance at the resort of catholics to the queen's chapel in Somerset House, though they used it with much ostentation, and so as to give excessive scandal was any more than a just sense of toleration would have dictated." Unfortunately the prosecution of other sectaries renders it difficult to ascribe such a liberal principle to the council of Charles I. It was evidently true, what the nation saw with alarm, that a proneness to favour the professors of this religion, and to a considerable degree the religion itself, was at the bottom of a conduct so inconsistent with their system of government. The king had been persuaded in $1635_{x}$ through the influence of the queen, and probably of Laud, ${ }^{x}$ to receive privately, as an accredited agent from the court of Rome, a secular priest, named Panzani, whose ostensible instructions were to effect a mismon of reconciliation of some violent differences that Pannil.

[^44]had long subsisted between the secular and regular clergy of his commnnion. The chief motive, however, of Charles was, as I believe, so far to conciliste the pope as to induce him to withdraw his opposition to the cath of allegiance, which had long placed the catholic laity in a very invidious condition, and widened a breach which hie majesty had some hopes of closing. For this purpose he offered any reasonable explanation which might leave the oath free from the slightest appearance of infringing the papal supremacy. But it was not the policy of Rome to make any concession, or even enter into any treaty, that might tend to impair her temporal authority. It was better for her pride and ambition that the English catholice should continue to hew wood and draw water, their bodies the law's slaves, and their sonls her own, than, by becoming the willing subjects of a protestant sovereign, that they should lose that sense of dependency and habitual deference to her commands in all worldly matters, which states wherein their faith stood established had ceased to display. She gave, therefore, no encouragement to the proposed explanations of the oath of allegiance, and even instructed her nuncio Con, who succeeded Panzani, to check the precipitance of the English catholics in contributing men and money towards the army raised against Scotland in 1639.5 There might indeed be some reasonable suspicion that the court did not play quite fairly with this body, and was more eager to extort what it could from their hopes than to make any substantial return.

The favour of the administration, as well as the antipathy that every parliament had displayed towards them, not unnaturally rendered the catholics, for the most part, assertors of the king's arbitrary power." This again in-

[^45]creased the popular prejudice. But nothing excited so much alarm as the perpetual conversions to their faith. These had not been quite unustual in any age since the Reformation, though tne balance had been very much inclined to the opposite side. They became, however, under Charles the news of every day ; protestant clergymen in several instances, but especially women of rank. becoming proselytes to a religion so seductive to the timid reason and susceptible imagination of that sex. They whose minds have never strayed into the wilderness of duubt vainly deride such as sought out the beaten path their fathers had trodden in old times; they whose temperament gives little play to the fancy and sentiment want power to comprehend the charm of superstitious illusions, the satisfaction of the conscience in the performance of positive rites, especially with privation or suffering, the victorious self-gratulation of faith in its triumph ovor reason, the romantic tenderness that loves to rely on female protection, the graceful associations of devotion with all that the sense or the imagination can require,-the splendid vastment, the fragrant conser, the sweet sounds of choral harmony, and the sculptured form that an intense piety half endows with life. These springs were touched, as the variety of human character might require, by the skilful hands of Romish priests, ohiefly jesuits, whuse numbers in England were about 250, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ concealed under a lay garb, and combining the courteous manners of gentlemen with a refined experience of mankind, and a logic in whose labyrinths the most practical reasoner was perplexed. Against these fascinating wiles the puritans opposed other weapons from the same armonry of human nature; they awakened the pride of reason, the stern obstinacy of dispute, the names,

be the appuintment of a calholic biabup In Fingiand; s mattar about which the members of that chorch have been quarrelling ever sloce the reige of Elizabeth, but too trifiligg for our notuce in thit place. Mort than balf Panaanid Memolve relate to it.
a Memalre of Pamsent, p. 207. Thin is a atatement by fither Leander; in another place, p. 140, they are reakoned at $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ Then were aboat 180 other regalam and five or alx handred mecular pridath
so soothing to the ear, of free inquiry and private judg. ment. They inspired an abhorrence of the adverse party that served as a barrier against insidious approaches. But far different principles actuated the prevailing party in the ohurch of England. A change had for some yeara been wrought in its tenets, and still more in its sentiments, which, while it brought the whole body into a sort of approximation to Rome, made many individuals shoot as it were from their own sphere, on coming within the stronger attraction of another.

The charge of inclining towards popery, brought by one of our religious parties against Laud and his colleagnes with invidious exaggeration, has been too indignantly denied by another. Much indeed will depend on the definition of that obnoxious word; which one may restrain to an acknowledgment of the supremacy in faith and discipline of the Roman see; while another com: prehends in it all those tenets which were rejected as corruptions of Christianity at the Reformation; and a third may extend it to the ceremonies and ecclesiastical observances which were set aside at the same time. In this last and most enlarged sense, which the vulgar naturally adopted, it is notorious that all the innovations of the school of Laud were so many approaches, in the exterior worship of the ohurch, to the Roman model. Pictures were set up or repaired; the sommunion-table took the name of an altar; it was sometimes made of stone; obeisances were made to it; the orucifix* was sometimes placed upon it; the dress of the officiating priests became more gaudy; churches were consecrated with strange and mystical pageantry. These petty superstitions, which would of themselves have disgusted a nation accustomed to despise as well as abhor the pompous rites of the catholics, became more alarming from the evident bias of some leading churchmen to parts of the Romish theology. The doctrine of a real presence, distinguishable only by vagueness of definition.

[^46]Thmons consecration of St Cathurine's Creed church in 1631 in mentloned by Rushworth, Welwood, and others Land ald in hie defence that be borrowed the coremonles from Androw, who hid ianed Ghem in arme oid htorgy.
from that of the church of Rome, was generally held. Montagu, bishop of Chichester, already so conspicuous, wod justly reckoned the chief of the Romanising faction, went a considerable length towards admitting the invocation of saints; prayers for the dead, which lead naturally to the tanet of purgatory, were vindicated by many; in fact, there was hardly any distinctive opinion of the church of Rome which had not its abettors among the bishops, or those who wrote under their patronage. The practice of auricular confession, which an espiring clergy must so deeply regret, was frequently inculcated as a duty. And Land gave just offence by a priblic declaration that in the disposal of benefices he shonld, in equal degrees of merit, prefor single before married priests. They incurred scarcely less odium by their dislike of the Calvinistic system, and by what ardent men construed into a deraliction of the protestant canse, a more
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reesonable and lese dangerous theory on the nature and reward of human virtue than that which the fanatical and presumptuous spirit of Luther had held forth as the most fundamental principle of his Reformation.

It must be confessed that these English theologians were less favourable to the papal supremacy than to most other distinguishing tenets of the catholic church. Yet even this they were inclined to admit in a con: siderable degree, as a matter of positive, though not divine, institution; content to make the doctrine and discipline of the fifth century the rule of their bastard reform. An extreme reverence for what they called the primitive church had been the source of their errors. The first reformers had paid little regard to that authority. But as learning, by which was then meant an acquaintance with ecclesiastical antiquity, grew moro general in the church, it gradually inspired more respect for itself; and men's judgment in matters of religion came to be measured by the quantity of their erudition." The sentence of the early writers, including the fifth and perhaps sixth centuries, if it did not pass for infallible, was of prodigious weight in controversy. No one in the English church seems to have contributed so much towards this relapse into superstition as Andrews, bishop of Winchester, a man of eminent learning in this kind, who may be reckoned the founder of the school wherein Laud was the most prominent disciple.?

A characteristio tenet of this party was, as I have already observed, that episcopal government was indispensably requisite to a Christian ohurch." Hence they

[^48]In heaven--The court is fall of it, fits enth doctring was not weually taught thera ${ }^{4}$ sidney, Intters, iL 18s. Has ringtion aleo censures hid for an attempt to bring in auricular confondon. Nugm Antiques, it, 109 In his own witings against Perron he throws away a great part of what have always beca condidared the protestant doctrines.

- Hall, blahop of Exeter, a very coneiderable perioun, Wrote a treatise on the Divine Institution of Eplecopecy, which, according to an analyais given by Heylio and others of Its leading positions, in to much in the tooth of Hooker? Foclect atileal Polity, thas 就might purg for an
treated the presbyterians with insolence abroad and severity at home. A. brief to be read in churches for the sufferers in the Palatinate having been prepared, wherein they were said to profess the same religion as ourselves, Laud insisted on this being struck out. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Dutch and Walloon churches in England, which had subsisted since the Keformation, and which various motives of policy had led Elizabeth to protect, were harassed by the primate and other bishops for their want of conformity to the Anglican ritual.' The English ambassador; instead of frequenting the Hugonot church at Charenton, as had been the former practice, was instructed to disclaim all fraternity with their sect, and set up in his own chapel the obnoxious altar and the other innovations of the hierarchy.k These impolitic and insolent proceedings grve the foreign protestants a hatred of Charles, which they retained through all his misfortunes.

This alienation from the foreign ohurches of the reformed persuasion had scarcely so important an effect in begetting a predilection for that of Rome, as the lan-
saswer to it Yet it did inot quita come up to the primato's standard, who made him altar come passages which looked too Uke concestions. Heylin's Life of Laud, 974; Collier, 789. One of hls offences was the asserting the pope to be Antichrist, which displeased the king as well an primate, though it had been orthodox under James.
h Collter, 764; Neal, 568 ; Heglln, 288.
i Collier, 753; Heylin, 260.
y Clarendon, Iti. 368; State Papers, L. 3s8. * Lord Scudamore, the English ambassador, set up an altar, sc, in the Laudean style. His sucocsmor, lord Ialcestar, spoke to the archbishop about going to Charenton; and telling him lord Scudamore did never go thither, land enswered, ' Fe is the wiger:' Leicester requeated was advice what he thould do, In order to dift his disposition, beiag himcalf resolved how to behave in that ninttor But the other wopld only say that he let: it to hin discretion. Lelcester eays, he had many ressong to thint that for his golng to Charenton the archbirhop did him all the ill offices be could to the sing, represepting him at a puritan, and consequandiy in his method an enemy to monarchlcal govornmezt, though be hod
not been very lind before. Tha seld archbishop, he adds, would not countemance Blondel's book against the ugorped power of the pope." Blancowre's Sldney Papers, 261.
"To think well of the reformed reHgion," says Northumberiand, in 1840, "is enough to make the archblshop an enemy; and though he cannot for ahame do it in public, yet in prirate he will do Lelcester all the mischief he cas." Callins'a Sidney Papers, iL. 62*.

Such was the opinion entertained of Laud by those who could not reasomably be called puritans, except by anch as made that word a synonym for protestant It would be easy to add other proofs. The proeecution in the atar chamber against Sherfield, recorder of Salisbury, for dostroying some superstitions pictures in a thurch, led to a display of the aversion many of the comach entertained for popery and their joalonay of the arebbisbopis blas. They were with difaculty brought to condemn Shertield, and paned a sentence at last very unlite thoes to which they were acenstomed. Rush worth; Sinte Trials Hums mifrepresents the case.
guage frequently held abont the Anglican separation. It became usual for our churchmen to lament the precipitancy with which the Reformation had been conducted, and to inveigh against its principal instruments The catholic writers had long descanted on the lust and violence of Henry, the pretended licentiousness of Anne Boleyn, the rapacity of Cromwell, the pliancy of Crammer: sometimes with great truth, but with much of invidious misrepresentation. These topics, which have no kind of operation on men accustomed to sound reasoning, protuce an unfailing effect on ordinary minds Nothing incurred more censure than the dissolution of the monastic orders, or at least the alienation of their endowments; acts accompanied, as we must all admit, with great rapacity and injustice, but which the new school branded with the name of sacrilege. Spelman, an antiquary of eminent learning, was led by bigotry or subserviency to compose a wretched tract called the History of Sacrilege, with a view to confirm the vulgar superstition that the possession of estates alienated from the church entailed a sure curse on the uswper's posterity. There is some reason to suspect that the king entertained a project of restoring all impropriated hereditaments to the church.

It is alleged by one who had mach access to Land, that his object in these accommodations was to draw over the more moderate Romanists to the English church, by ertenuating the differences of her faith, and rendering her worship more palatable to their prejudices." There was, however, good reason to suspect, from the same writer's account, that some leading ecclesiastics entertained schemes of a complete re-union;" and later discoveries have abundantly confirmed this suspicion. Suck schemes have doubtless been in the minds of men not inclined to offer every sacrifice; and during this very period Grotios was exerting his talents (whether judiciously or otherwise we need not inquire) to make some sort of reconciliation and compromise appear practicable.*

[^49]But we now know that the views of a party in the English shurch were much more extensive, and went almost to en entire dereliction of the protestant doctrine.

The catholics did not fail to anticipate the most favourable consoquences from this turn in the church. The Clarendon State Papers, and many other documents, contain remarkable proofs of their sanguine and not unreasonable hopes. Weston the lord treasurer, and Cot tington, were already in secret of their persuasion: though the former sdid not take much pains to promote their interests. No one, however, showed them such decided favour as secretary Windebank, through whose hands a correspondence was carried on with the court of Rome by some of its agents. They exult in the peaceful and flowrishing state of their religion in England as compared with former times. The recusants, they write, were not molested; and if their compositions were enforced, it was rather from the king's want of money than any desire to injure their religion. Their rites were freely exercised in the queen's chapel and those of ambassadors, and, more privately, in the houses of the rich. The church of England was no longer exasperated against them; if there was ever any prosecution, it was to screen the king from the reproach of the puritans. They drew a flattering picture of the resipiscence of the Anglican party; who are come to acknowledge the truth in some articles, and differ in others rathor verbally than in substance, or in points not fundamental; who hold all other protestants to be schismatical, and confess the primacy of the holy ses, regretting the separation already made, and wishing for re-union; who profess to pay implicit respect to the fathers, and can best be assailed on that side. ${ }^{9}$

These letters contain, no doubt, a partial representation; that is, they impute to the Anglican clergy in general what was only true of a certain number. Their

[^50]as itn appearing that there was nothing contrabund or prohibited." A list of these books follows, and is curious. They consisted of English popish tracts by wholesale, intended, of coursie, for circs1atiom. Clar. State Papers, 68. 9 Clarendon State Papent, 197, \$00.
aim was to inspire the court of Rome with more favourable views of that of England, and thus to pave the way for a permission of the oath of allegiance, at least with some modification of its terms. Such flattering tales naturally excited the hopes of the Vatican, and contributed to the mission of Panzani, who was instructed to feel the pulse of the nation, and communicate more unbiassed information to his court than could be expected from the English priests. He confirmed, by his letters, the general truth of the former statements, as to the tendency of the Anglican church, and the favourable dispositions of the court. The king received him secretly, but with much courtesy; the queen and the eatholio ministers, Cottington and Windebank, with unreserved confidence. It required all the adroitness of an Italian emissary from the subtlest of courts to meet their demonstrations of friendship without too much committing his employers. Nor did Panzani altogether satisfy the pope, or at least his minister, cardiual Barberini, in this respect.'

> TId. 249. The Memole of Pancans, after furnishing some materialy to Dode's Church History, were pab. lished by Mr. Berington, in i794, They are, however, become scarce, and have not been much quoted. It is plain that they were not his own woris, but written by come dependent or person in his conflience. Their trath, as well as anthenticity, appears to me quite beyoud controveray; they colncide, in a remarkable manner, with all our other intormation; the names and local details are particulariy accurate for the work of a fortiguer; in short, they contain no ons fact of any consequence which thare is reason to distrust Some acconnt of them may be found in Butler's lingi. Cath vol iv.

> A mall truct, ontitled "The Pope's Nuncio." printed in 1843, and tald to be founded on the information of the Venetlan ambassador, is, as I concoive, derived In come direct or indirect manner from theee Memolrs. It in republished in the Eomers' Tructe, wol. iv.
> Mr. Botler has published, for the firat time, a long and important axtract from Pansand's own report to the pope corcaming the state of the catholic religion
in England. Mem of Catholics, Iv. 85. Fe reckons them at 180,000 ; many of them, bowever, continuing so outwardly to live as not to be known for such, among whom are many of the first nobility. From them the neighbouring cathoilice bave no means of heuring mace or going to the gactamenta. Ochers, more bold, give opportunity, more or less, to their poorer neighboure to practise thelr duty. Bealdes these there are others, who, apprehenslve of losing their property or places, Live in appearance as protestants, take the oaths of supremscy and alleglance, frequent the churches, and zpeak occasionally agninst catholfce; yet in their bearts are Each, and eometimes keep pricsts in thair-bouses, that they may not be without help If receamery. Among them he inclades ame of the first nobllity, neoular and evelesiastical, and many of every rank. While be was in London, almoet all the nobillty who died, though repoted protestauta, died cetholics. The blsbops are protertants except four, Darham, Nallsbary, Rochester, and Oxford, who are puritans. The latter are mast nomerous among ito people, and are more hated hy moderate protemtant than are the catholicn a

During the residence of Panzani in England, an extraordinary negotiation was commenced for the reconciliation of the church of England with that of Rome; and, as this fact, though mquestionable, is very little known, I may not be thought to digress in taking particular notice of it. Windebank and lord Cottington were the first movers in that business; hoth calling themselves to Panzani catholics, as in fact they were, but claiming all those concessions from the see of Rome which had been sometimes held out in the preceding century. Bishop Montagu soon made himself a party, and had intrigue several interviews with Panzani. He professed of bishop the strongest desire for a union, and added, that Montagu he was satisfied both the archbishops, the bishop sunL of London, and several others of that order, besides many of the inferior clergy, were prepared to acknowledge the spiritual supremacy of the holy see; there being no method of ending controversies but by recurring to some centre of ecolesiastical unity. For himself, he knew no tenet of the Roman church to which he would not subscribe, unless it were that of transubstantiation, though he had some scruples as to communion in one kind. But a congress of moderate and learned men, chosen on each side, might reduce the disputed points into small compass, and confer upon them.

This overture being communicated to Rome by its agent, was, of course, too tempting to be disregarded,


#### Abstract

freat change ta apparent in books and entoone cornpared with former times; aurlenlar confeadoa praised, images well apokes of, and altars The pope 奴 owued es patilarch of the Wen; and wishen are expressed for re-union. The queen han a public chapel besidea her private one, where eervice is calebrated.with much pomp: elso the ambemadors; and tbere are otbers in Lundor. The lawa against recusanty are much relaxed; though nometimet the king, belig in want of money, takes cone-third of their inconsee by why of composition. The catholice are yat molested by tha yranuivanta, who enter hetir houses in search of priests or eacred vessels; and though this avil was not much folt while he whe in Londion, they might be set at wort at any time Fe detarmined therefore to obtain, if


[^51]though too ambiguous to be snatched at. The re-union of England to the catholic charch, in itself a most important advantage, might, at that particular juncture; during the dubious struggle of the protestant religion in Germany, and its still more precarious condition in France, very probably reduce its adherents throughout Europe to a proscribed and persecuted sect. Panzani was, therefore, instructed to flatter Montagu's vanity, to manifest a great desire for reconciliation, but not to favour any disoussion of controverted points, which had always proved fruitless, and which could not be admitted till the supreme authority of the holy see was recognised. As to all usages founded on positive law, which might be disagreeable to the English nation, they should receive as much mitigation as the case would bear. This, of course, alluded to the three great points of discipline, or ecclesiastical institution-the celibacy of the clergy, the exclusion of the laity from the eucharistical cup, and the Latin liturgy.

In the course of the bishop's subsequent interviews, he again mentioned his willingness to acknowledge the pope's supremacy; and assured Panzani that the archbislop was entirely of his mind, but with a great mixture of fear and caution.' Three bishope only, Morton, Hall, and Davenant, were obstinately bent against the churoh of Rome; the rest might be counted moderate.' The agent, however, took care to obtain from another quarter a more particular account of each bishop's disposition, and transmitted to Rome a report, which does not appear. Montagu displayed a most unguarded warmth in all this treaty; notwithstanding which, Panzani suspected him of still entertaining some notions

[^52][^53]incompatible with the catholic doctrine. He behaved with mach greater discretion than the bishop; justly, I suppose, distrusting the influence of a man who showed so little capacity for a business of the utmost delicacy. It appears almost certain that Montaga made too free with the name of the anchbishop, and probably of many others; and it is well worthy of remark, that the popish party did not entertain any sanguine hopes of the king's conversion. They expected doubtless that, by gaining over the hierarchy, they should induce him to follow; but he had evidently given no reason to imagine that he would precede. A few casual words, not perhaps exactly reported, might sometimes elate their hopes, but cannot excite in us, who are bettar able to judge than his contemporaries, any reasonable suspicion of his constancy. Yet it is not impossible that he might at one time conceive a union to be more practicable than it really was.:

The court of Rome, however, omitted no token of oivility or good-will to conciliste our king's favour. Besides expressions of paternal kindness which Urban lavished on him, cardinal Barberini gratified his wellknown taste by a present of pictures. Charles showed a due sense of these courtesies. The prosecutions of
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recusants were absolutely stopped, by cashiering the pursuivants who had been employed in the odious office of detecting them. It was arranged that reciprocal diplomatic relations should be established, and consequently that an English agent should constantly reside at the court of Rome, by the nominal appointment of the queen, but empowered to conduct the various negotiations in hand. Through the first person who held this station, a gentleman of the name of Hamilton, the king made an overture on a matter very near to his heart, the reatitution of the Palatinate. I have no doubt that the whole of his imprudent tampering with Rome had been considerably influenced by this chimerical hope. But it was apparent to every man of less unsound judgment than Charles, that except the young elector would renounce the protestant faith, he could expect nothing from the intercession of the pope.

After the first preliminaries, which she oould not refuse to enter upon, the court of Rome displayed no eagerness for a treaty which it found, on more exact information, to be embarrassed with greater difficulties than its new allies had confessed.* Whether this subject continued to be discussed during the mission of Con, who succeeded Panzani, is hard to determine; because the latter's memoirs, our unquestionable anthority for what has been above related, cease to afford us light. But as Con was a very active intriguer for his court, it is by no means unlikely that he proceeded in the same kind of parley with Montagu and Windebank. Yet whatever might pass between them was intended rather with a view to the general interests of the Roman ohurch, than to promote a reconciliation with that of England, as a separate contracting party. The former has displayed so systematic a policy to make no concession to the reformers, either in matters of belief, wherein, since the council of Trent, she could in fact do nothing, or even, as far as possible, in points of discipline, as to

[^55]whick she judyed, perhaps rightly, that her authority would be impaired by the precedent of concession without any proportionate advantage; so unvarying in all cases has been her determination to yield nothing except through absolute force, and to elude force itself by every subtlety; that it is astonishing how honest men on the opposite side (men, that is, who seriously intended to preserve any portion of their avowed tenets), could ever centemplate the possibility of reconciliation. Upon the present occasion, shè manifested some alarm at the boasted approximation of the Anglicans. , The attraction of bodies is reciprocal; and the English catholics might, with so much temporal interest in the scale, be impelled more rapidly towards the established church than that church towards them. "Advise the clergy," say the instructions to the nuncio in 1639, "to desist from that foolish, nay rather illiterate and childish, custom of distinction in the protestant and puritan doctrine; and especially this error is so much the greater, when they undertake to prove that protestantism is a degree nearer to the catholic faith than the other. For since both of them be without the verge of the church, it is needless hypocrisy to speak of it, yea, it begets more malice than it is worth. ${ }^{\text {P }}$

This exceeding boldness of the catholic party, and their success in conversions, which were, in fact, less remarkable for their number than for the condition of the persons, roused the primate himself to some apprehension. He preferred a formal complaint to the king in council against the resort of papists to the queen's chapel, and the insolence of some active zealots about the court. Henrietta, who had courted his friendship,

[^56]It ta manifest, by a letter of Laud to Strafford in 1838, that he was not satiffled with the aytematio connivance at recueancy. Id 171. The expination of the archblahop's conduct with respect to the Roman Catholles seema to be, that, with a viow of gaving them over to his own half-way protestantism, and also of ingraliating himgelf with the queen, he had for a thme gone along with the tide, till he found there was a real danget of being carried farther thap be intended This accounts for the well-known atory cold by bvolyn, that the jesultien at lowe
and probably relied on his connivance, if not support, seems never to have forgiven this wexpected attack. Laud gave another testimony of his unabated bostility to popery by republishing with additions his celebrated conference with the jesuit Fisher, a work reckoned the great monument of his learning and controversial acumen. This conference had taken place many years before, at the desire and in the presence of the countess of Buckingham, the duke's mother. Those who are conversant with literary and ecclesiastical anecdote must be aware, that nothing was more usual in the seventeenth century than such single combats under the eye of some fair lady, whose religious faith was to depend upon the victory: The wily and polished jesuits had great advantages in these duels, which almost always, 1 believe, ended in their favour. After fatiguing their gentle arbitress for a time with the tedious fencing of text and citation, till she felt her own inability to award the palm, they came, with her prejudices already engaged, to the necessity of an infallible judge; and as their adversaries of the English ohurch had generally left themselves vulnerable on this side, there was little' difficulty in obtaining suocess. Like Hector in the spoils of Patroclus, our clergy had assumed to themselves the celestial armour of authority; but found that, however it might intimidate the multitude, it fitted them too ill to repel the spear that had been wrought in the same furnsce. A writer of this school in the age of Charles I., and incomparably superior to any of the churohmen belonging to it, in the brightness and originality of his genius, sir Thomes Browne, whose varied talents wanted nothing but the pontrolling supremacy of good sense to place him in the highest rank of our literature, will furnish a hetter instance of the prevailing bias than merely theological writings. He united a most acute and sceptical understanding with strong devotional sensibility, the temperament so conspicuous in Pascal and Johnson, and which has a peouliar tendency to seek the repose of implicit

[^57]faith. "Where the Scripture is silent," says Browne in his Religio Medici, "the church is my tert; where it speaks, 'tis but my comment." That jesuit must have been a disgrace to his order, who would have asked more than a such a concession to secure a proselyte-the right of interpreting whatever was written, and of supplying whatever was not.

At this time, however, appeared one man in the field of religious debate, who struck out from that conlunginsidious track, of which his own experience worth had shown him the perils. Chillingworth, on whom nature had bestowed something like the same constitu-) tional temperament as that to which I have just adverted, except that, the reasoning power having a greater mastery, his religious sensibility rather gave earnestness to his love of truth than tenacity to his prejudices, had been induced, like so many others, to pass over to the Roman church. The act of transition, it may be observed, from a system of tenets wherein men had been educated, was in itself a vigorous cxercise of free specu-: lation, and might be termed the suicide of private judgment. But in Chillingworth's restiess mind there was an inextinguishable scepticism that no opiates could subdue; yot a scepticism of that species which belongs to a vigorous, not that which denotes a feeble, understanding. Dissatisfied with his new opinions, of which he had never been really convinced, he panted to breathe the freer air of protestantism, and, after a long and anxious investigation, returned to the English church. He well redeemed any censure that might have been thrown on him, by his great work in answer to the jesuit Knott, entitled The Religion of Protestants a Safe Way to Salvation. In the oourse of his reflections he had perceived the insecurity of resting the Reformation on any but its original basis, the independency of private opinion. This, too, he asserted with a fearlessness and consistoncy hitherto little known, even within the protestant pale: combining it with another principle, which the zeal of the early reformers had rendered them unable to perceive, and for want of which the adversary had perpetually discomfited them, namely, that the errors of oonscientiotis men do not forfeit the favour of God. This endeavour to mitigate the dread of forming mistaken
judgments in religion runs through the whole work of Chillingworth, and marks him as the founder, in this country, of what has been called the latitudinarian school of theology. In this view, which has practically been the most important one of the controversy, it may pass for an anticipated reply to the most brilliant performance on the opposite side, the History of the Variations of Protestant Churches; and those who from a delight in the display of human intellect, or from more serious motives of inquiry, are led to these two masterpieces, will have seen, perhaps, the atmost strength thent either party, in the great schism of Christendom, has been able to put forth.

This celebrated work, which gained its author the epithet of immortal, is now, I suspect, little studied even by the clergy. It is, no doubt, somewhat tedinus, when read continuously, from the frequent recurrence of the same strain of reasoning, and from his method of following, sentence by sentence, the steps of his opponent; a method which, while it presents an immediate advantage to controversial writers, as it heightens their reputation at the expense of their adversary, is apt to render them very tiresome to posterity. But the closeness and precision of his logic, which this mode of incessant grappling with his antagonist served to display, are so admirable, perhaps, indeed, hardly rivalled in any book beyond the limits of strict science, that the study of Chillingworth might tend to chastise the verbose and indefinite declamation so characteristic of the present day. His style, though by no means elegant or imaginative, hes much of a nervous energy that rises into eloquence. He is chiefly, however, valuable for a true liberality and tolerance; far removed from indifference, as may well be thought of one whose life was consumed in searching for truth, but diametrically adverse to those pretensions which seem of late years to have been re gaining ground among the Anglican divines.

The latitudinarian principles of Chillingworth appear
Halen to have been oonfirmed by his intercourse with a man, of whose capaoity his contemporaries entertained so high an admiration, that he acquired the distinotive appellation of the Ever-memorable John Hales. This testimony of so many enlightened men is
not to be disregarded, even if we should be of opinion that the writings of Hales, though abounding with marks of an unshackled mind, do not quite come up to the promise of his name. He had, as well as Chillingworth, borrowed from Leyden, perhaps a little from Racow, a tone of thinking upon some doctrinal points, as yet nearly unknown, and thereforo bighly obnoxious, in England. More hardy than bis friend, he wrote a short treatise on schism, which tended, in pretty blunt and unlimited language; to overthrow the scheme of authoritative decisions in any church, pointing at the imposition of unnecessary ceremonies and articles of faith as at once the cause and the apology of separation. This, having been circulated in manuscript, came to the knowledge of Land, who sent for Hales to Lambeth, and questioned him as to his opinions on that matter. Hales, though willing to promise that he would not publish the tract, receded not a jot from his free notions of ecclesiastical power; which he again advisedly maintained in a letter to the archbishop, now printed among his works. The result was equally honourable to both parties; Laud bestowing a canonry of Windsor on Hales, which, after so bold in avowal of his opinion, he might accept without the slightest reproach. A behaviour so liberal forms a singular contrast to the rest of this prelate's history. It is a proof, no doubt, that he knew how to set such a value on great abilities and learning, as to forgive much that wounded his. pride. But besides that Hales had not made public this treatise on schism, for which I think he could not have escaped the high-commission court, he was known by Laud to stand far aloof from the Calvinistic sectaries, having long since embraced in their full extent the principles of Episcopins, and to mix no alloy of political faction with the plilosophical hardiness of his speculations."

These two remarksble ornaments of the English church, who dwelt apart like stars, to use the fine ex-

[^58]pression of a living poet, from the vulgar bigots of both her factions, were accnstomed to meet, in the society of eome other eminent persons, at the house of lord Falkland, near Barford. One of those, who, then in a ripe and learned youth, became afterwards so conspicuous a name in our annals and our literature, Mr. Hyde, the chosen bosom-friend of his host, has dwelt with affectionate ramembrance on the conversations of that mansion. His marvellons talent of delineating character-a talent, I think, worivalled by any writer (since, combining the bold outline of the ancient historians with the analytical minuteness of De Retz and St. Simon, it produces a higher effect than either)-is never more beantifully displayed than in that part of the memoirs of his life where Falkland, Hales, Chillingworth, and the rest of his early friends, pass over the scene.

For almost thirty ensuing years Hyde himself becomes the companion of our historical reading. Seven folio volumes contain his History of the dixa. dobil writ theg Rebellion, his Life, and the Letters, of which a large portion are his own. We confract an intimacy with an anthor who has poured out to 0880 much of his heart. Though lord Clarendon's chief work seams to me not quite accurately styled a history, belonging rather to the alass of memoirs, yet the very


#### Abstract

- It appeas by tho into edition at Oxford (182s) that land Clarendon twiot elterod his intentice a to the nitore of his worty, having origtrally destened os write the history of his time, which be changed to memorials ot his own lifit nad egan retarned to hin first pian The cousequence has been that there axo two mannucripte of the Higtory and of the Mifo, which, it a great degres, are trinEcripm one from the other, or ecantion the tome gencril firt writh Farinciona That part of the Lift, previone to lecs, winch le not insertied in the Eitery of the Pobellice, is by mo mondo extecintiva
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thet, Hise meny ofhar mecdotes, it had a conadiathilo bagia of trath, though with variotes erroseona additions, and probebly wiffil mifreprementations it is mevero thelen muprintrg that the worthy editor of the crigimal manmocript thonald may. athat the gearinamen of the wart has rehily, and for perty parpowen, booa called in questlon when no ane. I thelieve, hass ever dileputed its encuinenests and the meodote mon which I pere alloded, and to which, mo doobt, be grivelat han beap by bis own Indoring (and many thenion the owe ilm for ft) periectiy confrroed in zulbetipen Por though be endenvoous bot quite neorminity, to espone or jastify the original edituos (who arem to have been Sprat and AJurich, with the nenction grobiby of lords Clartend and Dockester, the Masterian's sona) for whet they did mad evera malurly evorts


reasons of this distinction, the long circumstantial narrative of events wherein he was engaged, and the slight notice of those which he only learned from others, render it more interesting, if not more authentic. Conformably to homan feelings, though against the roles of historical composition, it bears the continual impress of an intense concern about what he relates. This depth of personal interest united frequently with an eloquence of the heart and imagination that struggles through an involved, incorrect, and artificial diction, makes it, one would imagine, hardly possible for those most alien from his sentiments to read his writings without some portion of sympathy: But they are on this account not a little dangerous to the soundness of our historical conclusions; the prejudices of Clarendon, and his negligence as to truth, being full as striking as his excellencies, and leading him not only into many erroneous judgments, but into frequent inconsistencies.

These inconsistencies are nowhere so apparent as in the first or introductory book of his History, which profosses to give a general view of the state of affairs before the meeting of the long parliament. It is certainly the most defective part of his work. A Animadver. strange mixture of honesty and disingenuonsness pervades all he has written of the early account of ness pervades all he has witton of the early this period. years of the king's reign; retracting, at least in spirit, in almost every page what has been said in the last, from a constant fear that he may have admitted so much against the government as to make his readers impute too little blame to those who opposed it. Thus, after frealy censuring the exactions of the crown, whether
odded, auppreasod, or altered any historial fact" (Adver. to edit. J808, p. v.), Fet it is certain that, beades the perpetual impertinence of meading the style, there are veveral hundred variationa which affect the semes, introducod from one motive ar another, and directly contrary to the lawn of Iterary integrity. The long panages ineerted in the appendixes to several volumes of thie edition contain surely hiatorical fucta that had boen suppressed. And, oven with reapect to subordinate alterations, made for tho porpose of softening tralts of the enthor's angry lempar, of corroxyag his mistatron, the
gonoral efiect of tating mah libertlea with a work is to give it an undue credit in tha eyes of the pubile, and to indace men to believe metters upon the witter'a testimony, which they would not have done 50 readily if lite exros had been fairly laid befors thum Clarendon trdeed is so strangoly loome in expressian as will as incorrect in meatemont, that is would have been impussible to remove his faults of this leind without writingagoin half the History; bus it is certato that great trouble was very unduly tnkro to lighten their impression upon the world
on the score of obsolete prerogative or without any just pretert at all, especially that of ship-money, and con fessing that "those foundations of right, by which men valued their security, were never, to the apprehension and understanding of wise men, in more danger of being destroyed," he turns to dwell on the prosperons state of the kingdom during this period, "enjoying the greatest calm and the fullest measure of felicity that any people in any age for so long time together have been blessed with," till he works himself up to a strange paradox, that " many wise men thought it a time wherein those two adjuncts, which Nerva was deified for uniting, Imperium et Libertas, were as well reconciled as is possible."

Such wisdom was not, it seems, the attribute of the nation. "These blessings," he says, "could but ensble, not compel, us to be happy; we wanted that sense, acknowledgment, and value of our own happiness which all but we had, and took pains to make, when we could not find, ourselves miserable. There was, in truth, a strange absence of understanding in most, and a strange perverseness of understanding. in the rest; the court full of excess, idleness, and luxury; the country full of pride, mutiny, and discontent; every man more troubled and perplexed at that they called the violation of the law than delighted or pleased with the observation of all the rest of the charter; never imputing the incresse of their receipts, revenue, and plenty to the wisdom, virtue, and merit of the crown, but objecting every small imposition to the exorbitancy and tyranny of the government."

This strange passage is as inconsistent with other parts of the same chapter, and with Hyde's own conduct at the beginning of the parliament, as it is with all reasonable notions of government. For if kings and ministers may

[^59]plead in excuse for violating one law that they have not transgressed the rest (though it would be difficalt te name any violation of law that Charles had not committed); if this were enough to reconcile their subjects, and to make dissatisfaction pass for a want or perversion of understanding, they must be in a very different predicament from all others who live within the pale of civil society, whose obligation to obey its discipline is held to be entire and universal. By this great writer's own admissions, the decision in the case of ship-money had shaken every man's security for the enjoyment of his private inheritance. Though as yet not weighty enough to be actually very oppressive, it might, and, according to the experience of Europe, undoubtedly woald, become such by length of time and peaceable submission.
We may acknowledge without hesitation that the kingdom had grown during this period into remarkable prosperity and affiuence. The rents of land were very considerably increased, and large tracts reduced into cultivation. The manufacturing towns, the seaports, became more populous and flourishing. The metropolis incressed in size with a rapidity that repeated juroclamartions against new buildings could not restrain. The country houses of the superior gentry throughout Eng.
narch should live eplendidly, and not be ourbed at all in his prerogative, which would briug him intogreater entoem with other prinoes, and more eneble him to provall in treaties; that what they enffared by monopolies was insansible, and not griovoun, if companed with other staten; that the dulte of Tusciny sat heavier apon his people in that very Find; that the French king had wado balmeif an absolute lond, and quite doprosed the power of parliamente, which had been there as great as in any kingdarm, and yet that France flourished, and the gentry lived well; that the Austrixn princea, especially in Spain, laid heary ourdens opon their subjects. Thus did many of the Engltah gentry, by way of cumparison, to ordinary discourse, pleed for their own servitade.
*The courtient would begin to disprata egalast pariaments, in their ordinary digsurne. that they were crnel to thow VOL. II.
whom the ting favotured, and too injurions to his prerogetive; that the late parliament thood upon too high terms with the king, and that they boped the king should never need any more parliaments. Some of the greatest statermen and prirycoumseliond woald ordinarly laugh at the ancient langaage of Eingiand when the word libarty of the mablect wes named. But these gentlemen, who secmed so forward in teting up their own yoke, wero but a mall part of the nation (though a nomber considerable enough to make a reformation hard) compared with those gentlemen who were eensible of their birthrighte and the true intereste of tha kingdom; on which side the common people in the generality and the conntry frecholders atood, who woold rationilly argue of their own righta, and thost opprestions that wera lald upon them: Hist of Parliansent, p. 12 (edit. 1818)
lond were built on a scale which their descendants, even in days of more redundant affinence, have seldom ventured to emulate. The kingdom was indebted for this prosperity to the spirit and industry of the people, to the laws which secure the commons from oppression, and which, as between man and man, were still fairly administered; to the opening of fresh channels of trade in the eastern and western worlds (rivulets, indeed, as they seem to us who flost in the full tide of modern commerce, yet at that time no slight contributions to the stream of public wealth); but, above all, to the long tranquillity of the kingdom, ignorant of the sufferings of domestic, and seldom much affected by the privations of foreign, war. It was the natural course of things that wealth should be progressive in such a land. Extreme tyranny, such as that of Spain in the Netherlands, might, no doubt, have turned back the current. A less violent but long-continued despotism, such as has existed in several European monarchies, would, by the corruption and incapacity which absolute governments engender, have retarded its advance. The administration of Charles was certainly not of the former description. Yet it would have been an excess of loyal stupidity in the nation to have attributed their riches to the wisdom or virtue of the court, which had injured the freedom of trade by monopolies and arbitrary proclamations, and driven away industrious manufacturers by persecution.

If we were to draw our knowledge from no other book than lord Clarendon's History it would still be impossible to avoid the inference that misconduct on the part of the crown, and more especially of the charch, was the chief, if not the sole, cause of these prevailing discontents. At the time when Laud unhappily became archlishop of Canterbury, "the general temper and humour of the kingrom," he tells us, "was little inolined to the papist, and less to the puritan. There were some late taxes and impositions introduced, which rather angered than grieved the people, who were more than repaid by the quiet peace and prosperity they enjoyed; and the murmur and discontnnt that was, appeared to be against the excess of power exeroised by the crown, and suppurted by the judges in Westminster Hall. The church was not repined at, nor the least inclination to alter tho
government and discipline thereof, or to change the doctrine. Nor was there at that time any considerable number of persons of any valuable condition throughout the kingdom who did wish either; and the cause of so prodigious a change in so few years after was too visible from the effects." This cause, he is compelled to admit. in a passage too diffuse to be extracted, was the passionate and imprudent behaviour of the primate. Can theie be a stronger proof of the personal prepossessions which for ever distort the judgment of this author than that he should blame the remissness of Abbot, who left things in so happy a condition, and assert that Laud executed the trust of solely managing ecclesiastical affairs "infinitely to the service and benefit" of that.church which he brought to destruction? Were it altogether true, what is doubtless much exaggerated, that in 1633 vory little discontent at the measures of the court had begun to prevail, it would be utterly inconsistent with experience and observation of mankind to ascribe the almort universal mormurs of 1639 to any other cause than bad government. But Hyde, attached to Laud and devoted to the king, shrunk from the conclusion that his own language would afford; and his piety made him seek in some mysterious influences of Heaven, and in a judicial infatuation of the people, for the causes of those troubles which the fixed and uniform dispensations of Providence were sufficient to explain. ${ }^{\text {d }}$
d It is curions to contratit the incon-
sistent and freble spologies for the pre-
nogative we read in Clarendon's Higtory
with bit ryeech before the lords, on im-
penching the judgea for their decision in
the case of abdp-money. In this he spenits
Fary atrongiy as to the illegality of the
procoedings of the judges in Rolls and
Vamal's chses, though in his History ho
endenrours to insinuate that the laing
had a right to tonnage and poundage;
be inveighs also againgt the decigion in
Burade cage, whinh be vindicates in his
History. Somern'Tracta, iv. 308 . Indeed
the whole apeech is irrecomcileabie with
the pleture be afterwards drew of the
procperity of Bingland, ayd of the an-
,tempuablenete of disoontent.
The fact is, thist when be atat down in
Jenay to begin his History, irritated,
dismppotnted, anleted at all that ind paraed in the last five year, be could not bring hle mind back to the atate in whlch it bad been at the meeting of the long parliament; and believed himself to have partaken far bees in the sence of abuges and desire of redress than ho had really done. There may, however, be renson to enrpect that he had, in come respecte, gone farther in the first dranght of his History than appears at present; that is, 1 conselve, that he erased himself sonue pavagte or phreses unfavourable to the courth let the reeder fudge from the following entence In a letter to Nicholas relating to his work, deted Feh 12, 1642: - I will offpy 100 excues fixe the enter. tainlug of Con, who came after Pamant, and was onceeded by Rosetti; which. wis a muglinees of so much folly. CE Fume

It is difficult to pronounce how much longer the
beota trotue . Wues, and distreas of the govers menk nation's signal forbearance would have held out, if the Scots had not precipitated themselves into rebellion. There was still a confident hope that parliament must soon or late be assembled, and it seemed equally impolitic and unconstitutional to seek redress by any violent means. The patriots, too, had just cause to lament the ambition of some whom the court's favour subdued, and the levity of many more whom its vanities allured. But the unexpected success of the tumultuous rising at Edinburgh against the servicobook reveuled the impotence of the English government. Destitute of money, and neither daring to ask it from a parliament, nor to extort it by any fresh demand from the people, they hesitated whether to employ force or to submit to the insurgents. In the exchequer, as lord Northumberland wrote to Strafford, there was but the sum of 200l. ; with all the means that could be devised, not above $110,000 \mathrm{l}$. could be raised; the magazines were all unfurnished, and the people were so discontented by reason of the multitude of projeots daily imposed upon them, that he saw reason to fear a great. part of them would be readier to join with the Scots than to draw their swords in the king's service." "The discontents at home." he observes some months afterwards, "do rather increase than lessen, there being no course taken to give any kind of satisfaction. The king's coffers were never emptier than at this time; and to us that have the honour to be near about him no way is yot known how he will find means either to maintain or begin a war without the help of his people." ${ }^{5}$ Strafford himself dissuaded a war in such circumstances, though hardly knowing what other course to advise. He had now awaked from the dreams of infatuated arro-

ing on the court, though the catholics themselves ane cansured for imprudengs This may 解演 to acconnt for several of Clarendon's fincoasistencies, for nothing rendera an anthor to inconsistent with himself as correotions mado in a different temper of mind from that which ectunted htm in the first composition.

- Strafford Lettare, 14 188
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gance to stand appalled at the perils of his sovereign and his own. In the letters that passed between him and Land after the Scots troubles had broken out we read their hardly-concealed dismsy, and glimpses of "the two-handed engine at the door." Yet pride forbade them to perceive or confess the real causes of this portentous state of affairs. They fondly laid the miscarriage of the business of Scotland on failure in the execution, and an "over-great desire to do all quietly." $h$

In this imminent necessity the king had recourse to those who had least cause to repine at his administration. The catholic gentry, at the powerfal interference of their queen, made large contributions towards the campaign of 1639. Many of them volunteered their personal service. There was, indeed, a further project, so secret that it is not mentioned, I believe, till very lately, by any historical wxiter. This was to procure 10,000 regular troops from Flanders, in exchange for so many recruits to be levied for Spain in England and Ireland. These troops were to be for six months in the king's pay. Colonel Gage, a catholic and the negotiator of this treaty, hints that the pope would probably contribute money, if he had hopes of seeing the penal laws repealed; and observee that with such an army the king might both subdue the Scots, and at the same time keep his parliament in check, so as to make them come to his conditions.' The treaty, however, was never concluded. Spain was far more inclined to revenge herself for the bad faith she imputed to Charles than to lend him any assistance. Hence, when, in the next year, he offered to declare war against Holland, as soon as he should have subdued the Scots, for a loan of $1,200,000$ crowns, the Spanish ambassador haughtily rejected the proposition. ${ }^{*}$

[^60][^61]The pacification, as it was termed, of Berwick, in the summer of 1639 , has been represented by several historians as a measure equally roinous and unaccountable. That it was so far ruinous as it formed one link in the chain that dragged the king to destruction, is most evident; but it was both inevitable and easy of explanation. The treasury, whatever Clarendon and Hume may have said, was perfectly bankrupt.m The citizens of London, on being urged by the conncil for a loan, had used as much evasion as they dared. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The writs for ship-money were executed with greater difficulty, several 'sheriffe willingly acquiescing in the excuses made by their counties.' Sir Francis Seymour, brother to the earl of Hertford, and a man, like his brother, of very moderate principles, absolutely refused to pay it, though warned by the council to beware how he disputed its legality.p Many of the Yorkshire gentry, headed by sir Marmaduke Langdale, combined to refuse its payment. 4 It was impossible to rely again on catholic subscriptions, which the court of Rome, as I have mentioned above, instigated perhaps by that of Madrid, had already tried to restrain. The Scots were enthusisstic, nearly manimous, and entire masters of their country. The English nobility in general detested the archbishop, to whose passion they ascribed the whole mischief, and feared to see

> H Hume maty that Charies had an tocumelated treesore of $200,000 \mathrm{~L}$, at this time. I twow not his anthority for the particular cum ; bat Clarendon preteded that " the revenue bad been so wrell Improved, and to wisely managed, that there was money in the exchequar proportionable for the undertaiding any boble enterprise." This is, at tho beat, strangely byperbodcal; but, in fact, there was an abeolute what of everything. Ship-monery would have been a atlll more crying stn then It wita If the produce had gooe beYund the demandia of the state: not wess this ever imputed to the courit. This is one of lord Clarendon's capital miatares; for it leads him to speat of the treaty of berwict an a measure that might have beet aroided, and aven, in one place, to uscribe it to the king's excendre heaity and avendon to shedding blood; wherein a berd of mperticial writecs bave followed alm
> - Claroodian State Pepoth in 46, B4.

Iest it choald seem extraordinary that I somethapes contradict lord Ciarendon on the anthority of his own collection of paperst it mas be necsesary to dpprise the reader that nona of these, ancertor to the civil war, had come in his poeseresion till ha had written this part of bis Eistorg.

- The grand Jury of Norlhempton presented whip-money em a grierance. But the privy-cognell wrote to the sherit that they would not admit his areocted excuser; sud if be aeglected to execate the writ, a quicit and exemplary reparaton woold be required of him. Ruithe Abr. ifi. 93.

P Rising. Abr. 6ỉ. 47. The ifine witten In the margin of Windobaniz's lateer, ifforming him of Seytuour's refushl,-". Yod muat beeds matso him an expunpie, not oaly by dietreas, bate, if it be posibles, es Information in sotise coort, a Mr. Attorpey shall edrime."
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the ling become despotic in Scotland. If the terms of Charles's treaty with his revolted subjects were unsatisfactory and indefinite, enormous in concession, and yet affording a pretext for new encroachments, this is no more then the common lot of the weaker side.

There was one possible, though not under all the cirsumstances very likely, method of obtaining the sinews of war-the convocation of parliament. This many, at least, of the king's advisers appear to have long desired, could they but have vanquished his obstinate reluctance. This is an important observation: Charles, and he perhaps alone, unless we reckon the queen, seems to have raken a resolution of superseding absolutely and for ever the legal constitution of England. The judges, the peers, lord Strafford, nay, if we believe his dying speech, the primate himself, retained enough of respect for the ancient laws to desire that pariiaments should be summoned whenever they might be expected to second the views of the monarch. They felt that the new scheme of governing by proclamations and writs of ship-money sould not and ought not to be permanent in England. The king reasoned more royally, and indeed much better. He well perceived that it was vain to hope for another parliament so constituted as those onder the Tudors. He was ashamed (and that pernicious woman at his side would not fail to encourage the sentiment) that his brothers of France and Spain should have achieved a work which the sovereign of England, though called an absolute king by his courtiers, had scarcoly begun. All mention, therefore, of calling parliament grated on his ear. The declaration published at the dissolution of the last, that he should account it presumption for any to prescribe a time to him for calling parliaments, was meant to extend even to his own counsellors. He rated severely lord-keeper Coventry for a suggestion of this kind. He came with much reluctance into Wentworth's proposal of summoning one in Ireland, though the superior control of the crown over parliaments in that kingdom was pointed out to him. "The king," says Cottington, "at the end of 1638, will

[^62]not hoar of a parliament; and he is told by a committee of learned men that there is no other way." " This repugnance to meet his people, and his inability to carry on the war by any other methods, produced the ignominious pacification at Berwick. But as the Scots, grown bolder by success, had, after this treaty, almost thrown off all subjection, and the renewal of the war, ox loss of the sivereignty over that kingdom, appeared necessary alternatives, overpowered by the concurrent advice of his council, and especially of Strafford, ha issued writs for that parliament which met in April 1640.' They told him that, making trial once more of the ancient and ordinary way, he would leave his people without excuse if that should fail; and have wherewithal to justify himself to God and the world, if he should bel forced contrary to his inclinations to use extraordinary means, rather than through the peevishneis of some factious spirit to suffer his state and government to be lost. ${ }^{1}$

It has been universally admitted that the parliament which met on the 13th of April, 1640, was as Parilarpent of Apri, favourably disposed towards the king's service, 1640. and as little influenced by their many wrongs, as any man of ordinary judgment could expect. But

[^63]Ine so many other parages in the noble historlan, is calculated mether to misleted the reader. All the principal men who beeded the popuiar party in the lons parlament were members of this; and the whole body, 0 far ate their sulbequent cooduct ebowe, wey bot at all constitnted of different elements from the rest; for 1 find, by comparison of the live of this parliament, in Naloon's Collections, with that of the long parilament, in the Parlitmentary History, that elghty, at most, who had not tat in the former, took the covenant; and that eeventy-three, in the ame circaunctancel, sit in the lingio. convention at Oxford The difference, therefure, was not so mach th the men a in tho times: the bed administration and bed arcoen of 1640, as well as the dicooIntion of the thort parliament, havin: greatiy ageravated the pabile diropptepoth

The court had never angered wall at this parilument. The afectiong" as hod
though cautiously abstaining from any intemperance, so much as to reprove a member for calling ship-monoy an shomination (no very outrageous expression), they sufficiently manifosted a determination not to leave their grievances unredressed. Petitions against the manifold abuses in ohurch and state covered their table; Pym, Rudyard, Waller, lord Digby, and others more conspicuous afterwards, excited them by vigorous speeches; they appointed a commistee to confer with the lords, according to some precedents of the last reign, on a long list of grievances, divided into ecclesiastical innovations, infringements of the propriety of goods, and breaches of the privilege of parliament. They voted a request of the peers, who, Clarendon says, were more entirely at the king's disposal, that they would begin with the business ot gupply, and not proceed to debate on grievances till atterwards, to be a high breach of privilege.' There is not the smallest reason to doubt that they would have insisted on redress in all those particulars with at least as much zeal as any former parliament, and that the king, after obtaining his subsidies, would have put an end to their remonstrances, as he had done before.: In order to obtain the sapply he demanded, namely, twelve subsidies, to be paid in three years, which, though unusual, was certainly not beyond his exigencies, he offered to release his claim to ship-money in any manner they should point out. But this the commons indignantly repelled. They deemed ship-money the great crime of his administration, and the judgment against Mr. Hampden the infamy of those who pronounced it. Till that judgment should be annulled, and those judges punished, the national liberties must be as

[^64]enlightened body. whatever biunders ano or two individuala might commit. The rate at which every man's extate wa amened to a mubsidy wat perfectly notoHous; and the barden of twelve subsides, to be pald in three years, was more than the charge of chip-money they had been enduring.

Y Journain Parl. Bist Nalson. Cisrandon.
*The king had long befors and that "pertiamenta are like cats: thay grow curst with aga."
precarious as ever. Even if they could hear of a compromise with so flagrant a breach of the constitution, and of purchasing their undonbted rights, the doctrine asserted in Mr. Hampden's case by the crown lawyers, and adopted by some of the judges, rendered all stipulations nugatory. The right of taxation had been claimed as an absolute prerogative so inherent in the crown that no act of parliament could take it away. All former statutes, down to the Petition of Right, had been prostrated at the foot of the throne; by what new compact were the present parliament to give a sanctity more inviolable to their own?:

It will be in the recollection of my readers that, while the commons were deliberating whether to promise any supply before the redress of grievances, and in what measure, sir Henry Vane, the secretary, told them that the king would accept nothing less than the twelve subsidies he had required; in consequence of which the parliament was dissolved next day. Clarendon, followed by severul others, has imputed treachery in this to Vane, and told us that the king regretted so much what he had done, that he wished, had it been practicable, to recall the parliament after its dissolation. This is confirmed, as to Vane, by the queen horself, in that interesting narrative which she communicated to madame de Motteville." Were it not for such authorities, seemingly inde-

[^65]brancheef of the receipt; which others believed they would not have the comatdanoe to have attempted, and very fow that they would have had the credit to have comparmed" P. 24. The word they in as insocurnte as is commonly the oase with this writer's lenguige. Batdoes be mean that she borse woald not have peated a vote againat ablp-money i They bed alreedy entered on the sulijece, and ent for rewords; and be admita himself that they were resolute against grauting spabidies as a oondiderntion fot the whardonment of that grievanoes. Desiden, Hyde bimelf pot waly inveighs mont Everely to his History agititif shipmoney, brat wae himelf one of the menager of the tmpeschment acionts stx fodgee for thetr condeot in regand to it: and his speech before the hoose of zouds at that occeano is ertunt Rowhw. Abs. il 47\%. But thin is merely noos tontimen of his eternal thcoasetemen
pendent of each other, yet entirely tallying, I should have deemed it more probable that Vane, with whom the solicitor-general Herbert had concurred, acted solely by the king's command. Charles, who feared and hated all parliaments, had not acquiesced in the scheme of calling the present till there was no other alternative; an insufficient supply would have left him in a more difficult situation than before as to the use of those extraordinary means, as they ware called, which his disposition led him to prefer: the intention to assail parts of his administration more dear to him than ship-money, and especially the ecclesiastical novelties, was apparent. Nor can we easily give him credit for this alleged regret at the step he had taken, when we read the declaration he put forth, charging the commons with entering on examination of his government in an insolent and audacious manner, traducing his administration of justice, rendering odious his officers and ministers of state, and introducing a way of bargaining and contracting with the king, as if nothing ought to be given him by them but what he should purchase, either by quitting somewhat of his royal prerogative, or by diminishing and lessening his revenue. The unconstitutional practice of committing to prison some of the most prominent members, and eearching their houses for papers, was renewed. And having broken loose again from the restraints of law, the king's sanguine temper looked to such a triumph over the Scots in the coming campaign as no prudent man could think probable.

This dissolution of parliament in May, 1640, appears to have been a very fatal crisis for the king's popularity. Those who, with the loyalty natural to Engyshmen, had willingly ascribed his previous misgovernment to evil counsels, could not any longer avoid perceiving his mortal antipathy to any parliament that should not be as subservient as the cortes of Castile. The necessity of some great change became the common theme. "It

[^66]is impossible," aays lord Northumberland, at that time a courtier, "that things can long continue in the condition they are now in; so general a defection in this kingdom hath not been known in the memory of any!" ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Several of those who thought most deeply on public affairs now entered into a private communication with the Scots insurgents. It seems probable, from the well-known story of lord Saville's forged letter, that there had been very little connexion of this kind until the present summer. And we may conjecture that, doring this ominons interval, those great projects which were displayed in the next session acquired consistence and ripeness by secret discussions in the houses of the earl of Bedford and lord Say. The king meanwhile experienced aggravated misfortune and ígnominy in his military operations, Ship-money indeed was enforced with greater rigour than before, several sheriffis and the lord mayor of London being prosecuted in the star-chamber for neglecting to levy it Some citizens were imprisoned for refusing a loan. A new imposition was laid on the counties, under the name of coat-and-conduct-money, for clothing and defraying the travelling charges of the new levies.' A state of actual invasion, the Scots having passed the Tweed, might excuse some of these irregularities, if it could have been forgotten that the war itself was produced by the king's impolicy, and if the nation had not been prone to see friends and deliverers rather than enemies in the Scottish army. They were, at the best indeed, troublesome and expensive guests to the northern counties which they occupied; but the cost of their visit was justly laid at the king's door. Varions arbitrary resources Maving been suggested in the council, and sbandoned as inefficient and impracticable-such as the seiving the merchants' bullion in the Mint, or issuing a debased coin-the unhappy king adgpted the hopeless Comel of scheme of convening a great council of all the York peers at York, as the only alternative of a pas

[^67]liament. ${ }^{8}$ It was foreseen that this assembly would only advise the king to meet his people in a legal way. The public voice could no longer be suppressed. The citizens of London presented a petition to the king, complaining of grievances, and asking for a parliament. This was speedily followed by one signed by twelve peers of popular character. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The lords assembled at York almost unanimously concurred in the of the long same advice, to which the king, after some parlement hesitation, gave his assent. They had more difficulty in bringing about a settloment with the Scots: the English army, disaffected and undisciplined, had already made an inglorious retreat; and even Strafford, though passionately against a treaty, did not venture to advise an engagement. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ The majority of the peers, however, overruled all opposition; and in the alsrming posture of his affairs, Charles had no resource but the dishonourable pacification of Ripon." Anticipating the desertion of some who had partaken in his councils, and conscious that others would more stand in need of his support than be capable of affording any, he awaited in fearful suspense the meeting of parliament.

E Lord Clarendon reems not to have Well understood the necret of this great cancil, and supposen it to have been anggeated by thoee who wished for a parHament; whereas the Hindwicke Pupers chow the contrary : pp. 116 and 118 . Hin notione ebout the facility of compostng the pablic discontent are atrangels mistaken. "Wlithons doubs," be sage, *that fire at that time, which did elorty after ban the whole tiagiom, might have been covered under a busbel." But the whole of this introductory boot of has Bletory aboands with proofs that he bad partiy forgotiten, parily never known, the etate of England before the opening of the long parimment in fuct, the disaffection or at teapt discontent, had proceeded so far in 1640 that no human stall could have averted a great gurt of the corsequences. But Clarendon's partiality to the king, and to wome of his advicers, reads him to ste in every event particolar canses or an overroling destiny, nither than the sure operation of impolicy and motyovernmear
M These were Hertford, Bedford, Preex, Wurwick, Paget, Wharton, Say. Binok

Kimbalton, Seville, Malgrive, Bolings broie. Nalson, 4s5, 437.

1 This appears from the minates of tbe conncil (Hardwicke Papers), and contrindicts the common opimion. Lond Conway's disaster at Newburn was iy no yench surprising: the Eagligh troope who h a been lately premed into service, wer perfectly mntinous; some regiments had risen and even mardered their cificere on the roed. Rymer, 414, 425.
\& The Fiardwicke State Papers, iL 188, be, contain mach interesting informetion about the councll of Yorts See also the Clarendon Collection for some curions letters, with manginal notes by the Eling. In cone of them he mys, "The mayor now, whe the city, are to be fatterec, not threatened" P. 12s. Wimdebank writes to him in another (Oct. 18, 1640) that the clert of the tower house of parliament had come to demand the journatbook of the last assembly and some pedtions, which, by the ling is command, be (Windebank) had taken into bis castody, and requests to krow if they thoold be given up. Charles writea on the mas-cta-"Ar, br all means" T. 132

## CHAPTER IX.

# FROM THE MEETING OF THE LONG PARLIAMENT TO THE BEGINNING OF THE CIVII, WAR. 
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We are now arrived at that momentous period in our

Cuarscter of the long parliament. history which no Englishman ever regards without interest, and few without prejudice; the period from whioh the factions of modern times trace their divergence, which, after the lapse of almost two centuries, still calls forth the warm emotions of party-spirit, and affords a test of political principles; at that famous parliament, the theme of so much eulogy and of so much reproach ; that synod of inflexible patriots with some, that conclave of traitorous rebels with others; that assembly, we may more truly say, of unequal virtue and chequered fame, which, after having acquired a higher claim to our gratitude, and effected more for our libertiea, than any that had gone before or that has followed, ended by subverting the constitution it had strangthened, and by sinking in its decropitude, and amidst public contempt, beneath a usurper it had blindly Ita salutary elevated to power. It seams agreeable to our mennumen plan, first to bring together those admirable provisions by which this parliament restored and consolidated the shattered fabric of our constitution, before we advert to its measures of more equivocal benefit, or its fatal errors; an arrangement not very remote from that of mere chronology, since the former were chiefly onmpleted within the first nine months of its session,
before the king's journey to Scotland in the summer of 1641.

It must, I think, be admitted by every one who concurs in the representation given in this work, and especially in the last chapter, of the practical state of our government, that some new securities of a more powerful efficacy than any which the existing laws held forth were absolutely indispensable for the preservation of English liberties and privileges. These, however sacred in name, however venerable by prescription, had been so repeatedly transgressed, that to obtain their confirmation, as had been done in the Petition of Right, and that es the price of large subsidies, would but expose the commons to the secret derision of the court. . The king, by levying ship-money in contravention of his assent to that petition, and by other marks of insincerity, had given too just canse for suspicion that, though very conscientious in his way, he had a fund of casuistry at command that would always release him from any obligation to respect the laws. Again, to punish delinquent ministers was a necessary piece of justice; but who could expect that any such retribution would deter ambitious and intrepid men from the splendid lures of power? Whoever, therefore, came to the parliament of November, 1640, with serious and steady purposes for the public weal, and most, I believe, except mere courtiers, entertained such purposes according to the measure of their capacitios and energies, must have looked to some essential change in the balance of government, some important limitations of royal authority, as the primary object of his attendance.

Nothing could be more obvious than that the excessea of the late unhappy times had chiefly originated in the long intermission of parliaments. No lawyer would have dared to suggest ship-money with the terrors of a house of commons before his eyes. But the king's known resolution to govern without parliaments gave bad men more confidence of impunity. This resolution was not likely to he shaken by the unpalatable ohastisement of his servants and redress of abuses, on which the present parliament was about to enter. A statute as old as the reign of Edward III. had already provided that parliaments should be held "every year, or oftener if need
be." " But this enactment had in no age been respected. It was certain that, in the present temper of the administration, a law simply enacting that the interval between parliaments should never exceed three years
Triennial would prove wholly ineffectual. In the famous bil. act therefore for triennial parliaments, the first fruits of the commons' laudable zeal for reformation, such provisions were introduced as grated harshly on the ears of those who valued the royal prerogative above the liberties of the subject, but without which the act itself might have been dispensed with. Every parliament was to be ipso facto dissolved at the expiration of three years from the firat day of its session, unless actually sitting at the time, and in that case at its first adjournment or prorogation. The chancellor or keeper of the great seal was to be sworn to issue writs for a new parliament within three years from the dissolution of the last, under pain of disability to hold his office, and further panishment : in oase of his failure to comply with this provision, the peers were enabled and enjoined to meet at Westminster, and to issue writs to the sheriffs; the sheriffs themselves, should the peers not fulfil this duty, were to cause elections to be duly made; and, in their default, at a presoribed time the electors themselves were to proceed to choose their representatives. No future parliament was to be dissolved or adjourned without its own consent in less than fifty days from the opening of its session. It is more reasonable to doubt whether even these provisions would have afforded an adequate security for the periodical assembling of parliament, whether the supine and courtier-like character of the peers, the want of concert and energy in the electors themselves, would not have enabled the government to set the statute at nought, than to censure them as derogatory to the reasonable prerogative and dignity of the crown. To this important bill the king, with some apparent onwillingness, gave his assent. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ It effected, indeed, a strange revolution in the system of his government. The nation set a due value on this admirable statute,

[^68]the passing of which they welcomed nith bunfires and every mark of joy.

After laying this solid foundation for the maintenance of such laws as they might deem necessary, the Benefidn house of commons proceeded to cut away the lews. more flagrant and recent usurpations of the crown. They passed a bill declaring ship-money illegal, and annulling the jadgment of the exchequer chamber against Mr. Hampden." They put an end to another contested prerogative, which, though incapable of vindication on any legal authority, had more support from a usage of fourscore years-the levying of customs on merchandise. In an act granting the king tonnage and poundage it is "declared"and enacted that it is, and hath been, the ancient right of the subjects of this realm, that no subsidy, custom, impost, or other charge whatsoever, ought or may be laid or imposed upon any merchandise exported or imported by subjects, denizens, or aliens, without common consent in parliament." d This is the last statute that has been found necessary to restrain the crown from arbitrary taxation, and may be deemed the complement of those numerous provisions which the virtue of ancient times had extorted from the first and third Edwards.

Yet these acts were hardly so indispensable, nor wrought so essential a change in the character observa. of oux monarchy, as that which abolished the uons. star-chamber. Though it was evident how little the statute of Henry VII could bear out that overweering power it had since arrogated, though the statute-book and parliamentary records of the best agee were irrefragablo testimonies against its usurpations; yet the course of pracedents under the Tudor and Stuart families was so invariable that nothing more was at first intended than a bill to regulate that tribunal. A suggestion, thrown out, as Clarendon informs us, by one not at all

to him from the commencement of hls reign. Parl. Hist. 638 . Bee prefact to Hargrave's Collection of Law Tracta, p. 195, and Rymer, cx. 118, for whal Charles did with respect to impositions on merchandise. The long parliement cailed the firmern to accoont,
sonnected with the more ardent reformers, led to the substitution of a bill for taking it altogether away.0 This abrogates all exercise of jurisdiction, properly so called, whether of a civil or criminal nature, by the privy council as well as the star-chamber. The power of examining and committing persons charged with offences is by no means taken away; but, with a retrospect to the language held by the judges and crown lawyers in some cases that have been mentioned, it is enacted, that every person committed by the council or any of them, or by the king's special command, may have his writ of habeas corpus; in the return to which, the officer in whose custody he is shall certify the true cause of his commitment, which the court from whence the writhas issued shall within three days examine, in order to see whether the cause thus certified appear to be just and legal or not, and do justice accordingly by delivering, bailing, or remanding the party. Thus fell the grest court of starchamber, and with it the whole irregular and arbitrary practice of government, that had for several centuries so thwarted the operation and obscured the light of our free constitution, that many have been prone to deny the existence of those liberties which they found so often infringed, and to mistake the violations of law for its standard.

With the court of star-chamber perished that of the high-commission, a younger birth of tyranny, but perhaps even more hateful, from the peculiar iruitation of the times. It had stretohed its authority beyond the tenor of the aot of Elizsbeth whereby it had been created, and which limits its competence to the correction of ecolesiastical offences according to the known boundaries of ecolesiastical jurisdiction, assuming a right not only

[^69]did not venture to make any opjoaition; wherest there wers two conferences between the houses on the aublect, and ceveral amendments and prowisoe mado by the lords and agreed to by the commone Source any Mll, during this sesdion, recelved eo much attention. The king mado eome dificults abont asonting to the bills taking away the eteprchamber and bigh-commusalun conits bat iocon gave ray. Perl Lith des,
to imprison, but to fine; the laity, which was generally reckoned illegal.? The statute repealing that of Elizabeth, under which the high commission existed, proceeds to take away from the ecelesiastical counts all power of inflicting temporal penalties, in terms so large, and doubtless not inadvertently employed, as to ronder their jurisdiction nugatory. This part of the act was repealed after the Restoration: and, like the other measures of that time, with little oure to prevent the rersurrence of those abuses which had provoked its enactmonis. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

A single clause in the act that abolished the star chamber was sufficient to annihilate the arbitrary jurisdiction of several other irregular tribunals, grown out of the despotic temper of the 'I'ndor dynasty:-the court of the president and council of the North, long obnoxious to the common lawyers, and lately the sphere of Strafford's tyrannical arrogance; ${ }^{\text {b }}$ the court of the president and council of Wales and the Welsh marches, which had pretended, as before mentioned, to a jurisdiction ovor the adjacent connties of Salop, Worcester, Heruford, and Gloucester; with those of the duchy of Lancaster and county palatine of Chester. These, under various pretexts, had usurped so extensive a cognizance as to deprive one-third of England of the privileges of the common law. The jurisdiction, however, of the two latter courts in matters touching the king's private estafo has not been taken away by the statute. Another act afforded remedy for some abuses in the stamnary courts of Cornwall and Devon.' Others retrenched the vexatious prerogative of purveyance, and took away that of compulsory knighthood. And one of greater importance put an end to a fruitful source of oppression and complaint by determining for ever the extent of royal forests,
Cotro has strongty argued the illew
gality of fining and imprisoning by the
high commiosion; 4ib lnsin 324. And lut
ounttied this power in a commission ho
drow, " leaving nes zays bishop Williamst,

* nothing but the old ructy sword of the
church, excommunication* Cabala, $p$.

108. Care mas taken to restore this
anthority in the relgn of Charies.
18 Car. L. c. 11.
L Hyde distingatshed hlmoelf as chair-
pan of the cammittee which brought in
the bill for abolishing the conut of York. In his eppech on presenting this to the lords he alludes to the tyranny of Strufford, not rudely, but in a atyle bardly condistent with that of bis History. Parl. Hist, 786. The editore of this, however, coftened a littie what he did say in one or two pinces; an wher he uses the word syrowny th speaking of hand Momit norrid's cato

IC. 18.
l C. 10, 21
according to their boundaries in the twentieth year of James, annulling all the perambulations and inqueets by which they had subsequently been enlarged."

I must here reckon, among the beneficial acts of this parliament, one that passed some months afterwards, after the king's return from Scotland, and perhaps the only measure of that second period on which we can bestow unmixed commendation. The delays and uncertainties of raising troops by volontary enlistment, to which the temper of the English nation, pacific though intrepid, and impatient of the strict control of martial law, gave small encouragement, had led to the usage of pressing soldiers for service, whether in Ireland or on foreign expeditions. This prerogative seeming dangerous and oppressive, as well as of dubious legality, it is recited in the preamble of an act empowering the king to levy troops by this compulsory method for the special exigenoy of the Irish rebollion, that, " by the laws of this realm, none of his majesty's subjects ought to be impressed or compelled to go out of his country to serve as a soldier in the wars, except in case of necessity of the sudden coming in of strange enemies into the kingdom, or except they be otherwise bound by the tenure of their lands or possessions." "The king, in a speech from the throne, adverted to this bill while passing through the houses, as an invasion of his prerogative. This notice of a parliamentary proceeding the commons resented as a breach of their privilege; and having obtained the consent of the lords to a joint remonstrance, the king, who wastin no state to maintain his objection, gave his assent to the bill. In the reigns of Elizabeth and James we have seen frequent instances of the crown's interference as to matters debated in parliament. But from the time of the long parliament the law of privilege, in this respect, has stood on an unshaken basis. ${ }^{\circ}$
These are the principal statutes which we owe to this parliament. They give occasion to two remarks of no

[^70]slight importance. n the first place, it will appear, on comparing them with our ancient laws and history, that they made scarce any material change in our constitution such as it had been established and recognised under the house of Plantagenet: the law for triennial parliaments even receded from those unrepealed provisions of the reign of Edward III., that they should be assembled annually. The court of star-chamber, if it could be said to have a legal jurisdiction at all, which by that name it had not, traced it only to the Tudor period; its recent excesses were diametrically opposed to the existing laws and the protestations of amcient parliaments. The court of ecclesiastical commission was an offiset of the royal supremacy, established at the Reformation. The impositions on merchandise were both plainly illegal, and of no long usage. That of ship-money was flagrantly, and by universal confession, a strain of arbitrary power withoat pretext of right. Thas, in by far the greater part of the enactments of 1641, the monarchy lost nothing that it had anciently possessed; and the balance of our constitution might seem rather to have been restored to its former equipoise than to. have undergone any fresh change.

But those common liberties of England which our forefathers had, with such commendable perseverance, extorted from the grasp of power, though by no means so merely theoretical and nugatory in effect as some would insinuate, were yet very precarious in the best periods, neither well defined, nor exempt from anomalous exceptions, or from occasional infringements. Some of them, such as the statute for annual sessions of parliament, had gone into disuse. Those that were most evident could not be enforced; and the new tribunals that, whether by law or usurpation, had reared their heads over the people, had made almost all public and personal rights dependent on their arbitrary will. It was necessary, therefore, to infuse now blood into the languid frame, and so to renovate our ancient constitution that the present era should seem almost a new birth of liberty. Such was the aim, especially, of those provisions which placed the return of parliaments at fixed intervals, beyond the power of the crown to elnde. It was hoped that by their means, so long as a sonse of
public spirit should exist in the nation (and beyond. that time it is vain to think of liberty), no prince, how-1 ever able and ambitious, could be free from restraint for more than three years; an interval too short for the completion of arbitrary projects, and which few ministers would venture to employ in such a manner as might expose them to the wrath of parliament.

It is to be observed, in the second place, that by these salutary restrictions, and some new retrenchments of pernicious or abused prerogative, the long parliament formed our constitution such nearly as it now exists. Laws of great importance were doubtless enacted in subsequent times, particularly at the Revolution; but none of them, perhapa, were strictly necessary for the preservation of our civil and political privileges; and it is rather from 1641 than any other epoch, that we may date their full legal establishment. That single statute which abolished the stax-chamber gave every man a security which no other enactments could have afforded, and which no government could essentially impair. Though the reigns of the two latter Stuarts, accordingly, are justly obnoxious, and were marked by several illegal measures, yet, whether we consider the nomben and mapnitude of their transgressions of law, or the practical oppression of their government, these princes' fell very short of the despotism that had been exercised, either under the Tiudors or the two first of their own family.

From this surrey of the good works of the long parliament we must tarn our eyes with equal indifference to the opposite picture of its errors and offences; faults which, though the mischiefs they produced were chiefly temporary, have yet served to obliterate from the recollection of too many the permanent blessings we have inherited through its exertions. In reflecting on the events which so soon clouded a scene of glory, we ought to learn the dangers that attend all revolutionary crises, however justifiable or necessary; and that, even when posterity may have cause to rejoice in the ultimate result, the existing generation are seldom compensated for their present loss of tranquillity. The very enemien of this parliament confess that they met in November 1640 with almost unmingled zeal for the publio good,
and with loyal attachment to the crown. They were the chosen representatives of the commons of England, in an age more eminent for steady and scrupulous conscientiousness in private life than any, perhaps, that had gone before or has followed; not the demagognes or adrenturers of transient popularity, but men well-born and wealthy, than whom there could perhaps never be assembled five hundred more adequate to redress the grievances, or to fix the laws, of a great nation. But they were misled by the excess of two passions, both just and natural in the circumstances wherein they found themselves, resentment and distrust; passions eminently contagious, and irresistible when they seize on the zeal and credulity of a popular assembly. The one betrayed them into a measure certainly severe and sanguinary, and in the eyes of posterity exposed to greater reproach than it deserved, the attainder of lord Strafford, and some other proceedings of too much violence; the other gave a colour to all their resolutions, and aggravated their differences with the king till there remained no other arbitrator but the sword.

Those who know the conduct and character of the earl of Strafford, his abuse of power in the North, his far more outrageous transgreasions Impenchin Ireland, his dangerous influence over the Strafford. king's counsels, cannot hesitate to admit, if indeed they profess any regand to the constitution of this kingdom, that to bring en great a delinquent to justice according to the kuown process of law was among the primary duties of the new parliament. It was that which all. with scarce an exception but among his own creatures (for most of the court were openly or in secret his enemies ${ }^{p}$ ), ardently desired; yet which the king's favour and his own commanding genius mast have rendered a doubtful enterprise. He came to London, not uncon-

[^71]thut I do not know how be will poosithy awoid, witbout endangering the low of the whole kingdom, the giving way to the remove of divert persong, es well mother thloget that will be deminded by the parliament After they have done questioning nome of the greas apeen they intend to endeavour the dispiteing of Jermya, Nevansios and Walter Montagea"
scious of the danger, by his mastar's direct injunctions. The first days of the session were critical; and any vacillation or delay in the commons might probably have given time for some strong exertion of power to frustrate their designs. We must therefore consider the bold suggestion of Pym, to carry op to the lords an impeachment for high treason against Strafford, not only as a master-stroke of that policy which is fittest for revolutions, but as justifiable by the circumstances wherein they stood. Nothing short of a commitment to the Tower would have broken the spell that so many years of arbitrary dominion had been working. It was dissipated in the instant that the people saw him in the hands of the usher of the black rod : and with his power fell also that of his master; so that Charles, from the very hour of Strafford's impeachment, never once ventured to resmme the high tone of command congenial to his disposition, or to speak to the commons but as one complaining of a superior force. 9


#### Abstract

Q Clarendon, 1235 . No ane" opponed the resolution to Impench the lord-lieateasat, the that Faltiend mogerted tho appointment of a committer, at more caltuble to the gravity of their proceet inge But Pym trality anowered that this would ruin all; since Strafford would doabtles obealn a dymolution of the puir liament, unien they coald ehot him ont from aconta to the ling

The letters of Robert Ballie, Prioctpal of the Univerdity of Glangow (two nole, Ndinburgh, 1775), abound with carloes information at to this pertod, and for several eubsequent years Baillife wat gee of the Scote commisioners depatied to loodion at the ead of 1840, and toots on active atare in promoting the destrootion of eppiscopecy. His correspoudence Droathee atl the nirrow and excelugive blgotry of the presbyterimen acbool. The sollowty perget it to toteresting thel, notwitiostending tis length, it may find a place here:- - The bieutronat of Ireland came but on Mooday to tomia lates, on Tuendy rested, on Wednesiay came to partiamento bat ere ofght ine wat enged. Intolerable frifa and oppreadon cries to beavea for - vengeance. The bower bonse clowid Aletr doots: the apelker kept the keys


 afler Mr. Pym went op, with as aumber at hla back, to the ligher boove; and, th a pretty short apeech, did, to the ame of the ioner hooire, and to the arme of the commons of alit Engtand, acuman Thonne eant of Stranord, lond-bientenant of Inebud, of higet treason; and requinad hila person to be arrested till probalion nifith be beard; 50 Mr. Pym end his bect were remoped. The lores began to conoult on that etrango and umexpected motion. The word goes in bans so the loulliegtenont, where be mee with the ling; with eqped be come to the house; be call radehy at the door: Jame Marwell lieeper of the blacts iod opena: his lautmip, with \& Frood glooming cuanterobere, maken townide his plece at the board hend: lat at onet mong bid Man Fowe the borse; 0 o he for forced in conforion, We goto the door till be wele called. After
 bat to cominanded to lweel, and an hil troee to beat tha acatenca Befra ol Mis twees, bo is detivered to the lreepar of the black rod, to bo prioners till be was eleared of these exfmes twe bouse of eanmona had chergad then Fith Re offined to apents bat was comominded is be rome


The articles of Strafford's impeachment relate principally to his couduct in Ireland. For though he had begun to act with violence in the court Discubdion of York, as lord-president of the North, and of its justive. $*$ was charged with having procured a commission investing him with exorbitant power, yet he had too soon left that sphere of dominion for the lieutenancy of Ireland to give any wide scope for prosecution. But in Ireland it was sufficiently proved that he had arrogated an authority beyond what the crown had ever lawfully enjoyed, and even beyond the example of former viceroys of that island, where the disordered state of society, the frequency of rebellions, and the distance from all control, had given rise to such a series of arbitrary precedents as would have almost excused any ordinary stretch of power. Notwithstanding this, however, when the managers came to state and substantiate their articles of accusation, though some were satisfied that there was

James Maxwell required hlm, as prisoner, to deliver hil eword. When be had gut it, he cries with a loud voice for his man tocarry my lordilientenant'asword. This done, the makes througt a number of people towards his coach; all gasing, no man capping to hlm, before whom, that morning, the greatest of England wonld have stood uncovered, all crying, * What is the matter ? He ald, 'A small mattor, I warrant yon.' They replited, ' Yea, indeed. high treasch is a arnall matter.' Coming to the pince where ho expected his coach, it was not there; so be behoved to return that same way, through a world of garing peoplt. When at leat he had found his ecach, and wan enterfing. Jamea Maxwell told him, ' Your hordship in my pritaner, and mast go in my canchi' and so be behoved to do." P. $21 \%$.
x The trial of strufiond is best to be read in Ruchworth or Nalcon. The tocouns in the now edition of the State Trials, I know not whence taken, it curtous, as coming from an oye-witureng, though very partial to the prisoner; but It can hardily be so moxurate as the otbers. His famura peroration wia printed at the the in a loone aheot. It is in the Somers Tracta Many of the chargea neem to have been sufliciently proved, and would modocibtecly Justify a mevere senicace on
an Impeachment for misdemeamors, It wes not pretended by the manngers that more than two or three of shem amounted to treason; but it is the unquestionable right of the commons to blend offences of a different degree in an Impeachment.

It has been usually sald that the commona had recourse to the bill of attainder becanee they found it impossible to support the impeachment for tresson. But St John poditively denies that it was imtended to avold the Judicial mode of proceeding. Nalson, iL 162. And; what If estronger, the lords themselvea voted upon the articles judiclalify, and not as if they were enscting a legislative measurs. As to the farnous provtioo in the bili of attainder, that the judges chould detarmine nothing to be treason by virtuo of thio bill which they would not have determined to be treaton otherwise (on which Hume and many others have relled to show the conscionnem of parliament that the measure was not warranted by the existing law), it seems to have been introduced in order to quiet the apprebensiona of ampe among the peern, who had gnate great lexgthe with the late goverament, and were astonished to flid that thef? obedience to the king cooll to sorace into treason againti him.
enough to warrant the severest judgment, yet it appeared to many dispassionate men that, even supposing the evir. dence as to all of them to be legally convincing, they could not, except through a dangerous latitude of construction, be aggravated into treason. The law of Eng land is silent as to conspiracies against itself. St. John and Maynard struggled in vain to prove that a schema to overturn the fundamental laws and to govern by a standing army, though as infamous as any treason, could: be brought within the words of the statute of Edward II., as a compassing of the king's death. Nor, in fact, was there any conclusive evidence against Strafford of such a design. The famous words imputed to him by sir Henry Vane, though there can be little reason to qnestion that some such were spoken, seem too imperfectly reported,' as well as uttered too much in the heat of passion, to furnish a substantive accusation ; and I should rather found my conviction of Strafford's systematic hoetility to our fundamental laws on his correspondence since brought to light, as well as on his general conduct in administration, than on any overt acts proved on his impenchment. The presumption of history, to whose mirror the scattered rays of moral evidence converge, may be irresistible, when the legal inference from insulated actions is not only technically, but substantially, inconclusive. Fet we are not to suppose that the chargee against this minister appeared so evidently to fall short of high treason, according to the apprehension of that age, as in later times has usually been taken for granted. Accustomed to the unjust verdicts obtained in cases of treason by the court, the statute of Edward having been perpetually stretched by constructive interpretations, neither the people nor the lawyers annexcil a definite sense to that orime. The judges themselves, on a solemn reference by the house of lords for their

[^72]opinion whothen some of the articles charged against Strafford amounted to treason, auswered unanimously, that, upon all which their lordships had voted to be proved, it was their opinion the earl of Strafford did deserve to undergo the pains and penalties of high treason by law.' And, as an apology, at least, for this judicial opinion, it may be remarked that the fifteenth article of the impeachment, oharging him with raising money by his own guthority, and quartering troops on the people of Ireland, in order to compel their obedience to his unlawful requisitions (upon which, and one other article, not on the whole matter, the peers voted him guilty), does, in fact, approach very nearly, if we may not say more, to a substantive treason within the statute of Edward III, as a levying war against the king, evel without referenue to some Irish acts of par?iament upou which the managers of the impeachment relied. It cannot be extravagant to assert that, if the colonel of a regiment were to issue an order commanding the inbabitants of the district where it is quartered to contribute certain sums of money, and were to compel the payment by quartering troops on the houses of those who refused, in a general and systematic manner, he would, according to a warrantable construction of the statutes, be guilty of the treason called levying war on the king; and that, if we could imagine him to do this by an order from the privy council or the war-office; the case would not be at all altered. On the other land, a single act of such violence might be (in technical language) trespass, misdemeanor, or felony, according to circumstances; but would want the generality which, us the statute has been construed, determines its character to be treason. It is however manifest that Strafford's actual enforcement of his order, by quartering soldiers, was not by any means proved to be so fre-

[^73][^74] and the evidence is also open to every sort of legal objection. But in that age the rules of evidence, so scrupulously defined since, were either very imperfectly recognised, or continually transgressed. If then Strafford could be brought within the letter of the law, and if he were also deserving of death for his misdeeds towards the commonwealth, it might be thought enough to justify his condemnation, although he had not offended against what seemed to be the spirit and intention of the statute. This should, at least, restrain us from passing an unqualified censure on those who voted against him, comprehending undoubtedly the far more respectable portion of the commons, though only twenty-six peers against nineteen formed the feeble majority on the bill of attainder." It may be observed that the house of commons acted in one respect with a generosity which the crown had never shown in any case of treason, by
> - The names of the fify-nine members of the commons who voted agoinst the bill of attuindet, and which were piacarded ${ }^{3}$ a Straitordiana, may be found in the Purlameniary History and several other book. It la remarkable that few of them are distinguished persons, none no much so as Selden, whose whole pariamentary carser, notwithstanding the tidndility not very falriy tropoted to him, was eminently bonourable and Independent. Bat we look in vain for Hyde, Falkiand, Colepepper, or Plilmer. The Arat, probably, did not vote; the othere may have been In the majority of 204 by whom the bill whs pared; indeed, 1 have deen a MS. acoount of the debate, where Paltiatod and Colepepper appear to have boch spoken for it Ais to the loris, we baves of fry A I mow, no lift of the utneteen whomequstred Strationch. It does not comprobeend Hertford, Bristol, or Holland, who wert abeent (Nahoco, 316), nor any af the popplah lorda, whether through fear or ang privice inftuence. Lord clare, hits brother-in-inw, and lord Savilte, a man of the most changeable character, were hat prominant adrocates durtng the trial; though Bristol, Hertord, and oven Say, desired to have bud hia life apared (Ballle, 243, 247, 771, 292); and the enti 4 Bedfard, moconding to Charendon, world
havecome into thits Brat the caldgen and filtimed death of that eminent peer put an end to the negotiation for bringing the parliamentary leaders into office, wherein it wan mato abject with the king to anve the Ufo of Strafiord-aentirely, as 1 an inclined to bolieve, from motiven of conecience and honour, without any view of aver agein reatoring him to power. Chartea had no personal attectment to Strafiond; and the queen's dialite of lim (according to Clareadon and Buraet, though it mast be awned that Ifaceme de Mottevilte doen not confirm this), ar at loest his geseral unpopularity at court, woald have delarmined the ling to lay htm andie.

It in eald by Berroet that the queen prevelled on Charice to pat that strange pontseript to his letter to the lords, in behalf of Strafiond, al he most dio, it Fere charity to repriteve him till Saturiay; ${ }^{\text {: }}$ by which be manifestity murrendered him up, and gave cause to marpect his own ancerity. Doabse have been thruwn oat by Carte ${ }^{2}$ to the genuinenean of Straffordis aelebritied setzer requeating the lling to peas the bill of attinioder. They do not mppear to be founded an moch ovidetice; bat is te certain, by the manter in which he recoived the neers, that be dif not eravect to be merificed by bis menete
immediately passing a bill to relieve his children from the penalties of forfeiture and corruption of blood.

It is undoubtedly a very important problem in political ethics, whether great offences against the commonwealth may not justly incur the penalty of death by a retrospective act of the legislapture, which a tribunal restrained by known laws is not competent to inflict. Bills of attainder had been by no means uncommon in Fagland, especially sander Henry VIII. : but generally when the crime charged might have been equally punished by law. They are less dangerous than to stretch the boundaries of a statute by arbitrary construction. Nor do they seem to differ at all in principle from those bills of pains and penalties which, in times of comparative moderation and tranquillity, have sometimes been thought necessary to visit some unforeseen and anomalous transgression beyond the reach of our penal code. There are many, indeed, whose system absolutely rejects all such retrospective punishment, either from the danger of giving too much scope to vindictive passion, or on some more abstract principle of justice. Those who may incline to admit that the moral competence of the sovereign power to secure itself by the punishment of a heinous offender, even without the previous warning of law, is not to be denied, except by reasoning which would shake the foundation of its right to inflict punishment in ordinary cases, will still be sensible of the mischief which any departure from stable rules, under the influence of the most publio-spirited zeal, is likely to produce. The attainder of Strafford could not be justifiable, unless it were necessary; nor necessary, if a lighter penalty would have been sufficient for the public security.

This therefore becomes a preliminary question, upon which the whole mainly turns. It is one which does not seem to admit of a demonstrative answer; but with which we can perhaps deal better than they who lived at that time. Their distrust of the king, their appreliension that nothing less than the delinquent minister's death could insure them from his return to power, rendered the leaders of parliament obstinate against any proposition of a mitigated penalty. Nor can it be denied that there are several instances in histury where the favourites of monarchs, after a transient exile or im-
prisonment, have returned, on sume fresh wave of fortune, to mook or avenge themselves upon their adversaries. Yet the prosperous candition of the popular party, which nothing but intemperate passion was likely to impair, rendered this contingency by no means probable; and it is against probable dangers that nativme should take precautions, without aiming at more complete security than the baffling uncertainties of events will permit. Suoh was Stratiord's unpopularity, that he could never have gained any sympathy, but by the harshness of his oondemnation and the magnanimity it enabled him to' display. 'These have half redeemed his forfeit fame, and misled a generous posterity. It was agreed on all hands that any punishment which the law could arpard to the highest misdemeanors, duly proved on impeachment, must be justly inflicted. "I am still the same," said lord Digby, in his famous speech against the bill of attainder, "in my opinions and affections, as unto the earl of strafford; I cunfidently believe him tc be the most dangerous minister, the most insupportable torfree aubjects, that can be charactered. I believe him to be still that grand apostate to the commonwealth, who must not expect to be pardoned in this world till he be despatched to the other. And yet let me tell you, Mr. Speaker, my hand must not be to that despatch." These sentiments, whatever we may think of the sincerity of him who uttered them, were common to many of those who desired most ardently to see that uniform course of known law which neither the court's lust of power nor the clamorous indignation of a popular assembly might turn aside. The king, whose conscience Wha so deeply wounded by his acyuiescence in this minister's death, would gladly have assented to a bill inflicting the penalty of perpetual banishment; and this, accompanied, as it ought to have been, by degradation from the rank for which he had sold his integrity, would surely have exhibited to Europe an example sufficiently conspiouous of just retribution. Though nothing perhaps could have restored a tolerable degree of confidence between Charles and the parliament, it is certain that 'his resentment and aversion wers much aggravated by

[^75]the painful compulsion they had put on him, and that the schism among the constitutional party began from this, among other causes, to grow more sensible, till it terminated in civil war. 1.

But, if we pay such regard to the principles of clemency and moderation, and of adherence to the fixed tules of law, as to pass some censure on this deviation from them in the attainder of lord Strafford, we must not Fiold to the clamorons invectives of his admirers, or treat the prosecution as a scandalons and flagitions excess of party vengeance. Look round the nations of the globe, and say in what age or country would such a man have fallen into the hands of his enemies without paying the forfeit of his offences against the commonwealth with his life. They who grasp at arbitrary power, they who make their fellow-citizens tremble before them, they who gratify a selfish pride by the humiliation and servitude of mankind, have always played a deep stake; and the more invidious and intolerable has been their preeminence, their fall has been more destructive and their punishment more exemplary. Something boyond the retirement or the dismissal of such ministers has seemed necessary to "absolve the gods," and furnish history with an awful lesson of retribution. The spontaneous instinct of nature has culled for the axe and the gibbet against such capital delinquents. If, then, we blame in some measure the sentence against Strafford, it is not for his sake, but for that of the laws on which he trampled, and of the liberty whioh he betrayed. He died justly before God and man, though we may deem the precedent

[^76][^77]dangerous, and the better course of a magnanimous lenity unwisely rejected; and in condemning the bill of attainder we cannot look upon it as a crime.
The same distrustful temper, blamable in nothing but its excess, drew the house of commons into a measure more unconstitutional than the attainder of

Act agamar dissolution of parlian ment withortita concont Strafford, the bill enacting that they should not be dissolved without their own oonsent. Whether or not this had been previously meditated by the leaders is uncertain; but the circumstances under which it was adopted display all the blind precipitancy of fear. A scheme for bringing up the army from the north of Eingland to overawe parliament had been discoursod of, or rather in a great measure concerted, by some young courtiers and military men. The imperfection and indefiniteness of the evidence obtained respecting this plot inureased, as often happens, the apprehensions of the commons. Yet, difficult as it might be to fix its proper character between a loose project and a deliberate conspiracy, this at least was hardiy to be denied, that the king had listened to and approved a proposal of appealing from the representatives of his people to a military force.' Their grestest dangar was a sudden

[^78]ald of the ling's privity by meen who did not lose his fisvuar by thelr evidences. Mr. Brodie hay comprented in a long noto (iil. 189) on Clarendon'm apparent mirepresentations of this busineas Bot what has escaped the ecuteners of thit variter ts, that the petitica to the Ifyg and paribament, drawn up for the army's trabeription, and amerted by Clareadon to have been the ouly atep tatean by thom ongaged in the rupposed conspitracy (kough mot, an Mr. Brodle too reshly confectures, a fabrication of his own), is most cerolesaly referred by him to that period, or to the agency of Wumot and his coedjutors-having been, in finct, prepared about the Joly followiog at the instignation of Daniel O'Nuala and nocese othere of the royalist party. This is manifest, not ouly from the alturion it containg to ovents that had not osourred in the monthe of March and Aprlt, whem the plot of Wllmot and Goring wite on foot, expecially the Mid for triennial parliaments, but from ovideaco given befor the trowe of examons in Octabier, 164
dissolution. The triennial bill afforded, indeed, a valuable security for the future. Yet, if the present parianment had been broken with any circumstances of violence, it might justly seem very havardous to confide in the right of spontaneous election reserved to the people by that statute, which the crown would have three years to defeat. A rapid impulse, rather than any concerted resulution, appears to have dictated this hardy encroachzueut on the prerogative. The bill against the dissolution of the present parliament without its own consent was resolved in a committee on the fifth of May, brought in the next day, and sent to the lords on the seventh. The npper house, in a conference the same day, urged a very wise and constitutional amendment, limiting its daration to the term of two years. But the commons adhering to their original provisions, the bill was passed by both houses on the eighth." Thus, in the space of three days from the first suggestion, an alteration was made in the frame of our polity which rendered the house of comuoons equally independent of the sovereign and their constituents; and, if it could be supposed capable of being maintained in more tranquil times, would, in the theory at least of speculative politica, have gradually converted the government into something like a Dutch aristocracy. The ostensible pretext was, that money conld not be borrowed on the authority of resolntions of parliament umtil some security was furnished to the creditors that those whom they were to trust should have a permanent existence. This argument would have gone a great way, and was capable of an answer; since the money might have been borrowed on the authority of

[^79]a Jonroals; Pallamentary Hish 784 ; May, 67 ; Clarendon. According to Mrs. Hutchinson, p. 97, this bill originated with Mr. Pierpoint if we should draw any inference from the Journala, sir Juhn Colepepper seems to have been the most promineat of its mupporters. Mr. Hyde and lord Falkiand were also managers of the conference with the lords. But in sir Ralph Verney's manuscript notes I find Mr. Whitelock mentioned as being ordered by the bouse to prepare the blly; Tl ich seems to imply that he hed moved it, or at least been very forwand in it Yeb all thee were modorate men.
the whole legislature. But the chief motive, unquestionably, was a just apprehension of the king's intention to overthrow the parliament, and of personal danger to those who had stood most forward from his resentment after a dissolution. His ready acquiesoence in this bill, far more dangerous than any of those at which he demurred, can only be ascribed to his own shame and the queen's consternation at the discovery of the late plot: and thus we trace again the calamities of Charles to their two great sources; his want of judgment in affairs, and of good faith towards his people.
The parliament had met with as ardent and just an indignation against ecclesiastical as temporal Innoratons
geditusedin grievances. The tyranny, the folly, and rashwhe charch ness of Charles's bishops were still greater than his own. It was evidently an indispensable duty to reduce the overbearing ascendancy of that order which had rendered the nation, in regard to spiritual dominion, a great losor by the Reformation. They had been so blindly infatuated as, even in the year 1640, amidst all the perils of the times, to fill up the measure of publio wrath by enaoting a series of canons in convocation. These enjoined, or at least recommended, some of the modern innovations, which, though many excellent men had been perseouted for want of compliance with them, had not got the sanction of authority. They imposed an oath on the clergy, oommonly called the "et certera oath," binding them to attempt no alteration "in the government of the churoh by bishops, deans, archdeacons, \&c." This oath was by the same authority enjoined to such of the laity as held ecoleciastical offices." The king, however, on the petition of the counail of peers at York, direoted it not to be taken. The house of oommons rescinded these canons, with some degree of excess on the other side; not only denying the right of convocation to bind the clergy, which had certainly been exeroised in all periods, but actually impesohing the bishops for a high misdemeanour on that account. ${ }^{-}$ The lords, in the month of March, appointed a committee

[^80]of ten earls, ten bishops, and ten barons, to report upon the innovations lately brought into the church. Of this committee Williams was chairman. But the spirit which now possessed the commons was not to be exorcised by the sacrifice of Land and Wren, or even by such inconsiderable alterations as the moderate bishops were ready to suggest. ${ }^{\text {d }}$

There had always existed a party, though by no means coextensive with that bearing the general name of puritan, who retained an insuperable aversion to the whole scheme of episcopal discipline, as incunsistent with the ecclesiastical parity they believed to be enjoined by tho apostles. It is not easy to determine what proportion these bore to the community. They were certainly at the opening of the parliament by far the less numerous, though an active and increasing party. Few of the nouse of oommons, according to Clarendon and the best contemporary writers, looked to a destruction of the oxisting hierarchy. The more plausible scheme was one which had the sanction of Usher's learned judgment, and which Williams was said to favour, for what was called a moderate episcopacy; wherein the bishop, reduced to a sort of president of his oollege of presbyters, and differing from them only in rank, not in order (gradu, nun ordine), should act, whether in ordination or jurisdiction, by their concurrence. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ This intermediate form of church-government would probably have contented the popular leaders of the commons, except two or three, and have proved acceptable to the nation. But it was bardly less offensive to the Scottish presbyterians, intolerant of the smallest deviation from their own model, than to the high-church episcopalians; and the necessity of humouring that proud and prejudiced race of people,

[^81]and for kringing down the blehope, in all thinge spiritual and temporal, eo low as can be with any subsistenoe; but their ntter abolition, which is the only aim of the most godis, if tha knot of the question." 1. 245
f Neal, 666, 672, 713; Collier, 80s Baxtor's Life, p. 62. The ministers' poti tion, as it was called, prewanted Jan. 29 , 1041 , with the siguatares of 700 beneficen elergymen, went to thil extont of re formatlon Neal, tra
who began already to show that an alteration in the church of England would be their stipulated condition for any assistance they might afford to the popular party, ted the majority of the house of commons to give more countenance than they sincerely intended to a bill preferred by what was then called the root-and-branch party, for the entire abolition of episcopacy. This party, composed chiefly of presbyterians, but with no small admixture of other sectaries, predominated in the city of London. At the instigation of the Scots commissioners, a petition against episcopal government, with 15,000 signatures, was presented early in the session (Dec. 11, 1640), and received so favourably as to startle those who bore a good affection to the charch. ${ }^{5}$ This gave rise to the first difference that was expressed in parliament: Digby speaking warmly against the reforence of this petition to a committee, and Falkland, though strenuous for reducing the prelates' authority, showing mach reluctance to abolish their order." A bill was, however, brought in by sir Edward Dering, an honest but not very enlightened or consistent man, for the utter extirpation of episcopacy, and its second reading carried on a division by 139 to 108. This, no doubt, seems to show the anti-episcopal party to have been stronger than Clarendon admits. Yet I suspect that the greater part of those who voted for it did not intend more than to intimidate the bishops. Petitions, very numerously eigned, for the maintenance of episcopal government, were presented from several counties; nor

[^82][^83]is it, I think, possible to doubt that the nation soughi only the abridgment of that coercive jurisdiction and temporal power by which the bishops had forfeited the reverence due to their function, as well as that absolute authority over presbyters, which could not be reconciled to the customs of the primitive church. This was the object both of the act abolishing the high commission, which by the largeness of its expressions seemed to take away all coercive jurisdiction from the ecclesiastical courts, and of that for depriving the bishops of their suffrages among the peers; which, after being once rejected by a large majority of the lords, in June, 1641, passed into a law in the month of February following, and was the latest concession that the king made before his final appeal to arms. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Fords, from Somerreatshire, adgned by 14,350 freeholdert and inhabitante Nal won, ii. 787. The Cheshire pedtan, for prewerving the Common Prayer, $w$ digned by near 10,000 hands Id. 768. I have a collection of those petillom nowi before me, printed in 1642 , from thirteen English and five Welsh countien, and all very nomerously digned. In almoatevery tinatance, I obeerve, they thank the parHinent for putting a check to innovations and abuaes, while thoy deprecate the aboLition of episcopecy and the ilturgt. Thus Y seens that the ,Teabyterians were very far from baving the nation on their sida. The foilowing extruct from the Somersetdilre petition is a good sample of the senemal toue: "For the present government of the clrorch wo are most thanieflal to God, bellewing it in our hearts to be the moat pions and the wisest that any people ar bingdom upon earth hath been Withal since the apontres' days ; though we may mot deny but, through the frality of men and corruption of timen, mome thingr of ill consequence, and other needbem, aro stoled or thrust into it; which wh beartily wiab may be reformed, and the charch reatured to Ite former purity. And to the end it may be the betuer preserved from present and future innoration, we with the wittingly and mallclooaly gullty, of what condition soever they be, whether bishope or inferior ciersy, may rocoive condign panishment. Bath, for the miscarriage of govemors, to cedroy the goveramont, wo truat it shall
never enter Into the hearts of this whes and honourable agembly."

由 The houso came to a voto an July 17, cocording to Whitelocts, p. 46, in fivour of Ushers meme, thist each county abould be a diocese, and that there abould be a governing collegg or presbytery, consigting of twelve, onder the pretidency of a bshop: sir R. Dering epoke in farvour of this, though his own bill wept mueh farther, Naisom, if. 294; Neal, 703. I cunnot find the voie in the Journals ; it paseed, therefore, I exppose In the committec, and wias not reported to the bouse.
© Part. Hist. 714, 794, 817, 910, 1087. Tho londs hed previonaly came to resolnthons that blahope shoald dit In the huase of lordy, but not in the privy coubcil, nor be in any commindion of the pence. Id 814

The king wit very nowilling to give his consent to the bill excluding the blinops from parlimment, and was, of course, dssumded by Hyde trum doing m. He wis then at Newmarket, on his way to the north, and had mothing but verif his hend. The queen, however, and alr John Colopepper, provailed an him to consent Clarendon, History, it 24\% (1826); Lifo, 81. The queen corid not be expected to have man tendernes for a prosentart eplecopency; and it in to be ald in fisvorr of Colepepper's advice, who with pretty indifierent in ecclecingtical matters, that the bishops had reap dered thamselven odious to many of thene

This was hardly, perhaps, a greater alteration of the established constitution than had resulted from the auppression of the monasteries under Henry; when, by the fall of the mitred abbots, the secular peers acquired a preponderance in number over the spiritual, which they had not previously enjoyed. It was supported by several persons, especially lord Falkland, by no means inclined to subvert the episcopal discipline; whether from a hope to compromise better with the opposite party by this concession, or from a sincere belief that the bishops might be kept better to the daties of their function by excluding them from civil power. Considered generally, it may be reckoned a doubtful question in the theory of our government whether the mixture of this ecclesiastical aristooracy with the house of lords is advantageons or otherwise to the public interests, or to those of religion. Their great revenues, and the precedence allotted them, seem naturally to place them on this level; and the general property of the clergy, less protected than that of other classes against the cupidity of an administration or a faction, may perhaps require this peculiar security. In fact, the disposition of the English to honour the ministers of the churoh, as well as to respect the ancient institutions of their country, has usually been so powerful, that the question would hardly have been esteemed dubious if the bishops themselves (I speak of course with such limitations as the nature of the case requires) had


#### Abstract

Who wiahed well to the royal cause. See the very remariable converation of Hyde with ir Udward Vernoy, who war lilled at the buttle of Edgehlli, where the latter doclares his relactance to fight for the bishopes, whoee quarrel he took it to be, though bound by gratutado not to decert the king. Charendon's Life, p. 6s.

This anthor represeate lord Paltiond Is having been mialed by Hampden to take an unarpected part in favour of the finst bill for exctuding the bishopes from parliament. "The bouse was marvallousiy deltghted to see the two finsemarablo friends divided in 00 Important a polut that they could not contain from a kind of rejoicing; and the more becanos they eav Mr. Hyde was much eurprised with the contradiction, as in truth be wan, baving perer diecovered the land


incitoation in the other towardis meth a compllance! H 4 48. There is, however, an eariler speech of Falkinnd in primt aginst the Latidon petitloa; wheretn, while okfecting to the abolition of the order, be Intlmatio his willingaent is thlve awny thatr votes fa parilamant, with all other tempored authorlty. Speeches of the Happy Perliament, p. 188 (pabo Hahed in 1641). Johpstone of Wariston nays there were but four or five voles agiont taing away civil pleces and eentis in parliament from the biehoper Dale Tymple's Memoriale, IJ. 116. Bat in the Jouruals of the commons, 10th March. $1840-1$, it is buld to be revolved, aftre long and mature debata, that the ledtlatlve powor of blabope in a hinderence to thedr finnotions.
been at all times sufficiently studious to maintain a character of political independence, or even to conceal a spirit of servility, which the pernicious nsage of continual translations from one see to another, borrowed, like many other parts of our ecclesiastical law, from the rnost corrupt period of the charch of Rome, has had so manifest a tendency to engender. ${ }^{\circ}$

This spirit of ecclesisstical, rather than civil, democracy, was the first sign of the approaching storm that alarmed the Hertfords and Southamptons, the Hydes and Falklands. Attached to the venerable church of the English reformation, they were loth to see the rashness of some prelates avenged by her subversion, or a few recent innovations repressed by incomparably more essential changes. Fill of regard for established law, and disliking the puritan bitterness, aggravated as it was by long persecution, they revolted from the indecent devastation committed in charches by the populace, and from the insults which now fell on the conforming ministers. The lords early distinguished their temper as to those points by an order on the 16th of January for the performance of divine service according to law, in consequence of the tumults that had been caused by the heated puritans under pretence of abolishing innovations. Little regard was shown to this order;p but it does not appear that the commons went farther on the opposite side than to direct some ceremonial novelties to be discontinued, and to empower one of their members, sir Robert Harley, to take away all pictures, crosses, and superstitious figures within churches or without.9 But this order, like many of their other acts, was a manifest encroachment on the executive power of the crown.?

[^84]regret of all falthinl lovers of antiquitien and ancbitecture.
r Pafl Higt, 90\%. Commons Journals sept. 1, 1861. It was carriel at the time, on ${ }^{3}$ dividion, by 55 to 57 , that the committee *ahould propound an addition to this order for preventing all constempt and abuse of the Book of Common Prayer and all tomultuons disorders that might arise in the charch thereupon." This is a proof that the church party were exmetimes victorions in the hcuse. Bat thay did not long retaln this casual edventage For, the lordis hnvigg sent

It seems to have been about the time of the summer recess, during the king's absence in Scotland, that the apprehensiou of changes in church and state, far beyond schsm in what had been dreamed of at the opening cf the consttr- parliament, led to a final schism in the constitonal party. tutional party.' Charles, by abandoning his former advisers, and yielding, with just as much reluct ance as displayed the value of the concession, to a series of laws that abridged his prerogative, had recovered a good deal of the affection and confidence of some, and gained from others that sympathy which is seldom withheld from undeserving princes in their homiliation. Though the ill-timed death of the earl of Bedford in May had partly disappointed an intended arrangement for tringing the popular leaders into office, yet the appointments of Essex, Holland, Say, and St. John from that party, were apparently pledges of the king's willingness to select his advisers from their ranks; whatever cause there might be to suspect that their real influence over him would be too inconsiderable.' Those who were still


#### Abstract

down a copy of thoir order of 16th January above mentloned, requesting the commons' cancurrence, thes resolved, Sept. 9, " that the bouse do mot consent to this order; meing thought unreasonable at this time to urge the ssvero execution of the mid laws." They contanted themselves with "expecting that the commons of this realm do, in the mean time, quietly attend the reformation intended, without any tumaltwous distarbance of the worship of God aud peaces of the realm." See Nalson, 4.484. " May. p. 76. See thls passage, which Is very Judiclous The diaunion, bowover, had in some measure begun not long after the meeting of periliment; the court wanted, in December 1640, to have given the treasurer's stall to Hertford, whoee brother was crented a peer by the title of Iord Seymour. Bedford was the favourite with the commons for the eame office, ant would doubtless bave been a fitter man at the time, notwithstanding the otheris eminent virtoes Sidney Letr tenc, li. 686, 666. Sce aleo what Baillte cays of the introdactlon of seven lordes, "all connmonweaith's men," Into the eonnecl, though, as genenilly bappenn, he to mon dicoontented with nome of them.


P. 246, 347. There wat even some jew lousy of Say, as favouring Straftord.
t Wuitelock, p. 46. Bediford wes to have been lord treasurer, with Pym, whom be had brought into parllament for Tavistock, at his chancellor of the exchequer; -Hollts eecretary of atate. Hampden is sald, but not perhaps on good authority, to have sought the office of governor to the prince of Walen; whlch Home, oot very caudidly, bringe as a proof of hin ambition. It seems probabia that, if Charlea had at that time (May 164]) cartied tbese plans into oxecution, and ceased to listen to the queen, or to those persons about his bedchamber who were perpetually leading him antray, be would have escaped the exorbitant demands whtch were atterwaths made upon him, and even med Ifis favourite episcopacy. But, after tho death of the earl of Bedford, who hal not been hostile to the chorch, there was so made of rank th that party whom he Inged to trust; Narthumberiand having acted, as ho thonght, very nogratetuily, Say beling a tnown onemy to episcopecy, and Pissex, thongh of the highest tronoas not belgg of a capacity to retain micl influmece ovar the bauders of the onker
excluded, and who distrusted the king's intentions as well towards themselves as the public cause, of whom Pym and Hampden, with the assistance of St. John, though actrally solicitor-general, were the chief, found no better means of keeping alive the animosity that was beginning to subside, than by framing the Remonstrance on the state of the kingdom, presented to the king in November, 1641. This being a recapitulation of all the grievances and misgovernment that had existed since his accession, which his acquiescence in so many messures of redress ought, according November, to the common courtesy due to sovereigns, to have cancelled, was liardly capable of answering any other purpose than that of reanimating discontents almost appeased, and guarding the people against the confidence they were beginning to place in the king's sincerity. The promoters of it might also hope, from Charles's proud and hasty temper, that he would reply in such a tone as would more exasperate the commons. But he had begun to use the advice of judicious men, Falkland, Hyde, and Colepepper, and reined in his natural violence so as to give his enemies no advantage over him.

The jealousy which nations ought never to lay aside was especially required towards Charles, whose love of arbitrary dominion was much better proved than his sincerity in relinquishing it. But if he were intended to reign at all, and to reign with any portion either of the prerogatives of an Eaglish king, or the respect clained by every sovereign, the Remonstrance of the commons could but prolong an inritation incompatible with public tranquillity. It admits, indeed, of no question, that the schemes of Pym, Hampden, and St. John, already tended to restrain the king's personal exercise of any effective power, from a sincere persuasion that no confidence could ever be placed in him, though not to abolish the monarchy, or probably to abridge in the same degree the rights of his successor. Their Remon-

[^85]strance was put forward to stem the returning tide of loyalty, which not only threatened to obstruct the further progress of their endeavours, but, as they would allege, might, by gaining strength, wash away some at least of the bulwarks that had been so recently constructed for the preservation of liberty. It was carried in a full house by the small majority of 159 to 148." So much was it deemed a trial of atrength, that Cromwell declared after the division that, had the question been lost, he would have sold his estate, and retired to America.

It may be thought rather surprising that, with a house


#### Abstract

-Commonr' Joumule, 22nd November. On a eecond divielon the ame ifghts whether the Remonstrabce should be printed, the popular elde loat it by 124 to 101. Bat on the 1sin Decesmber the printing was carried by 1S5 to 83. Several divisions on important subjecta about this time abow that the royalist minority was very formidable. But the attendance, eepecialiy on that zide, seems to have been inregniar; and, in general. when we consider the fmroense fimportanoe of these debates, we are curprised to find the house so deficient in numbers as many divisions show it to bave been. Clarendon frequently complains of the sapinenems of hle party; a fault invariably impated to their friende by the evalous supporters of established auchorty, who forget that alagesieh, turewarm, and thoughtlem tempers must always oxdst, and that snch will asturally belong to their slde. I flad in the short pencil notes taken by sir Ralph Verney, with a copy of which I have been tavoured by Mr. Serjeant D'Oyly, the following entry on the 9 th of August, before the telng's Journey to Scotlend:-" A remonatrance to be made how we formen the kingdom and the church, and how the state of it now stands" This is not adverted to in Nalson nor im the journale at this time But Clarendon says, in a suppresed passage, vol IL Appead. 691, that "et the beginning of the parilament, or thortly after, whan all men were inflamed with the pressures and illegolities which had boen exerched upon them, in comulttice was appolated to prepare a remontitrance of the atate of the idingdom, to be promoted to hie majesty, in which the as-


veral grievanoes might be reated; which committee had never brought any report to the bovse; mont men concelving, and very reasonably, that the quick and efleotuil progrees his majerty made for the reparation of those grievances, and provention of the like for the fature, had rendered that wort needieme. Bot as soon st the intelligence came of his majesty being on his why from Scotland towarde London, that codnmittee was, with great carnestness and importunity, called upon to bring in the draft of such remonatrance," ate. I find a allght notice of this origin of the Remonstrance in the Journals, Nov, 1\%, 1640.

In another place, also arpppreased in tise common editions, Clarandon sags,"This debata bold ming honss, in which the framers and contrivers of the declaration sald very little, or answered any reasous that were alleged to the contrars; the only end of peading it, which was to incline the people to redition, beling a reason not to be given; but called atlll for the question, presmming their number, if not their reason, would werve to carry It; and after two in the morning (for mo long the debate conthnued, if that can be called a debate when thowe only of one opinton argued), der, it was put to the question." What a strange memory this anthor had: I have now before me ur Ralph Verney's MS. note of tho delate, whetse It appeare that Pym. Hampden, Holila, Clyn, and Maynard apolst in fivour of the Remonstrance; may, as far at them briof memoranda ga, Hyde himuelf neems not to have wherily oppoendit
of commons so nearly balanced as they appear on this vote, the king should have new demands that annihilated his authority made upon him, and have of the ellagas found a greater majority than had voted the sincerity. Remonstrance ready to oppose him by arms; especially as that paper contained little but what was true, and might rather be censured as an ill-timed provocation than an encroachment on the constitutional prerogative. But there were circumstances, both of infelicity and misconduct, which aggravated that distrust whereon every measure hostile to him was grounded. His imprudent connivance at popery, and the far more reprehensible encouragement given to it by his court, had sunk deep in the hearts of his people. His ill-wishers knew how to irritate the characteristic sensibility of the English on this topic. The queen, unpopular on the score of her imputed arbitrary counsels, was odions as a maintainer of idolatry. The lenity shown to convicted popish priests, who, though liable to cspital punishment, had been suffered to escape with sometimes a very short imprisonment, was naturally (according to the maxims of those times) treated as a grievance by the commons, who petitioned for the execution of une Goodman and others in similar circumstances, perhaps in the hope that the ling would attempt to shelter them. But he dexterously left it to the house whether they shonld die or not; and none of them actually suffered.' Ru-

[^86]mours of pretended conspiracies by the catholics were perpetually in circulation, and rather unworthily encouraged by the chiefs of the commons. More substantial motives for alarm appeared to arise from the obscure transaction in Scotland, commonly called the Incident, which looked so like a concerted design against the two great leaders of the constitutional party, Hamilton and Argyle, that it was not unnatural to anticipate something similar in Englaud." In the midst of these apprehensions, as if to justify every suspicion and every severity, burst out the Irish rebellion with its attendant massacre. Though nothing could be more unlikely in itself, or less supported by proof, than the king's connivance at this calamity, from which every man of common understanding could only expect, what actually resulted from it, a terrible aggravation of his difficulties, yet; with that distrustful temper of the English, and their jealous dread of popery, he was never able to conquer their suspicions that he had either instigated the rebellion, or was very little solicitous to suppress it; suspicions, indeed, to which, however ungrounded at this particular period, some ciroumstances that took place afterwards gave an apparent confirmation."

It was, perhaps, hardly practicable for the king, had he given less real excuse for it than he did, to lull that disquietude which so many causes operated to excite. The most circumspect discretion of a prince in such a difficult posture cannot restrain the rashness of eager

[^87]adherents, or silence the murmurs of a discontented court. Those nearest Charles's person, and who alwaya possessed too much of his confidence, were notoriously and naturally averse to the recent changes. Their threatening but idle speeches, and impotent denuncistions of resentment, conveyed with malignant exaggeration among the populace, provoked those tumaltuous assemblages which afforded the king no bad pretext for withdrawing himself from a capital where his personal dignity was so little respected. ${ }^{5}$ It is impossible however to deny that he gave by his own conduct no tritling reasons for suspicion, and last of all by the appointment of Lunsford to the government of the Tower; a choice for which, as it would never have been made from good motives, it was natural to seek the worst. But the single false step ${ }^{\circ}$ which rendered his affairs irretrievable by
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b Clarendan, ii. 81. This writer inMmates that the Tower was looked upon by the court as a bridle upon the city. - Nalson, iL 810, and other writers, ascribe this accosation of ford Kimboition in the peers, and of the five members, as they are commonily called, Pym, Hollis, Hsmpden, Haslerig, and Strode, to socret tnformation obtained by the king in Scotland of their former intrigues with that mation This is rendered in some measura probable by a part of the written charge preferred by the attornay-genaral bofore the house of lords, and ly expres nons that fell from the kding; such aa " it wata treason which trey should all thank him for discovering." Clarendon, however, hardly hintes at this; and givea at lenst a hasty reader to understand that the accunation was molely grounded on their parliamentary conduct. Probably he will aware that the act of oblivion pased last year afforded a sufficient legal defence to the charge of corresponding with the Soots in 1840. In my Judgment they hod an abondant justification In the eyes of thair country for intrigues which, though legall. treasonable, had been the menns of overthrowing despotio power. The ling and couartiers had been elated by the applause he received when bo went linto the city to dine with the Lont major on lis return from Scotiand; and Mudarne de Motteville maye plainly that be dutarimined to avall himself of it


In order to seise tha leaders in pariliament. (L 264.)
Nothing conid be more ifregular than the mode of Charies'a proceedings in this casa. He sent a mexsage by the ser-jeant-at-arms to require of the speaker that five members ahondd be givell up to him on a charge of high treason; na magistrate's or councillor's warrapt appeared; it was the king acting durgly, without the intervention of the law. It is Idle to allege, like Clarendon, that privilege of parikiment does not extend to treason; the breach of privilege, and of all conscitutional law, was in the mode of proceeding. In fact, the king was guided by bad pn rate advice, and cared not to let any of his prity council know his intentions lest ho should encounter oppooition.

- The following account of the ring's coming to the house on this cociaston is copled from the pencil notes of uir R. Verney. It has been already printed by Mr. Hatsell (Precedents, Iv. 106), but with no great correctness. What sir R. V. eays of the transactions of Jan. 3 is muct the same as we read in the Journals He than proceede:-"Tveeday, January a, 1841 The five geutitinen which were to be wceused came into the house, and there was information that they should be faken away by force. Opon this the house sent to tha lurd mayor, aldermen and common council. to let tham kuve
anything short of civil war, and placed all reconciliation at an insuperable distance, was his attempt to seize the five members within the walls of the house; an evident violation, not of common privilege, but of all security for the independent existence of parliament in the mode of its execution, and leading to a very natural though
how their privilegen were likely to be luroben and the clty put into danger, and advised them to look to their security.
- Litcowise some memberi were sent to the thne of court to let them know how they heard they were tampered withal to asaidt the king agalust them, and therefore they desired them not to come to Weatminater.
"Then the houso adjourned to one of the clock.
"As soon an the honse mot agrin it was moved, considering there was an intention to take these five members away by torce, to avoid all tomult, let them be commanded to alsent themselves; upon thls the houso gave them leave to absent themselves, but entered no order for it. And then the fire gentlemen went ont of the hoase
"A little after the king came with all his gaard, and all his pensionera, and two or three hundred soldters and genelemen. The king commanded the solilers to atay in the hall, and sentus word be was at the door. The speaker was commanded to sti cull with the mice lyligg before him, aud then the laing came to the doar and took the palngrave in with hlm, and commanded all that came with him apon their uven not to come in. So the doors were kept open, and the earl of Roxiburgh stood within the door, leaning upon it. Then tho king came upwards towarda the chair with hif hat off, and the apeaker stepped out to moet him; then the Eing stepped up to his plece, and atood upon the atap, but nat not down in the chalr.
"And after he had looked a great whille be told ta be would not breat our prifileges, but treescon had no privilege; he came for thowe five gentlemen, for be arneted obedlance yesterday, and notan answer. Then ho called Mr. Iym and Mr. Hollis by name, but no answer was meda. Than ho acked the spouker if
they were here, or where they were? Upon this the speaker fell on his kreecs, and dealred bis excose, for be was a servant to the house, and had netther eyes nor tongas to ace or may anything bat what thoy commended him: then the king told hlm he thought his own eyes were as good an his, and then sald his birds had flown, but he did expect the house shoald send them to him ; and it they did Dot, be would seek them himmelf, for their treason was foul, and wich a one an they would all thank him to discuver : then he assared us they sboald have a falr trial ; and eo weat out, polling ofl his hat till he capse to the door.
" Upon this the house dididinataniy remolve to adjonm till to-marrow at one of the clock, and in the interim they might consider what to do.
" Wednesday, 5th Jenuary, 1641.
"The house ordered a ccanmittee to stt at Gulldhall in Lomion, and all that would compe had volces. This was to consider and advise how to right the house in point of privilege broken by the kingto coming yesterday with a force to take members out of our house. They allowed the Irish committee to alt, but woald meddie with no other basiness till this were ended; they acquainted tho lords in a meseage with what they bad dose, and then they adjoumed the house till Tueaday naxt."
The author of these memorands in pencil, whichextend, at intervals of time, from the meeting of the parisment to April, 1642, though mintaken by Mr Hatsell for sir Edmand Verney, member for the county of Bracka, and killed at the batule of Edgehill, has been acorrtained by my learned friend, Mr. Sedeans Droyly, to be bis brother, adr Relph, member for Aylesbury. He contioned, at Weatemiustor, and took the covenant; bat afterwards reuted to Franco, and was dimbled to dit ty a Fote of the borim, Bept 2a, 184s
perhsps mistaken surmise, that the charge itself of high treason made against these distinguished leaders, without communicating any of its grounds, had no other foundation than their parliamentary conduct. And we are in fact warranted by the authority of the queen herself to assert that their aim in this most secret enterprise was to strike terror into the parliament, and regain the power that had been wrested from their grasp. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ It is unnecessary to $d$ yell on a measure so well known, and which scarce any of the king's advocates have defended. The only material subject it affords for reflection is, how far the manifest hostility of Charles to the popular chiefs might justify them in rendering it harmless by wresting the sword out of his hands. No man doubtless has a right, for the sake only of his own security, to subvert his country's laws, or to plunge her into civil war. But Hampden, Hollis, and Pym might not absurdly consider the defence of English freedom bound up in their own, assailed as they were for its sake and by its enemies. It is observed by Clarendon that "Mr. Hampden was much altered after this accusation; his nature and courage seeming much fiercer than before." And it is certain that both he and Mr. Pym were not only most forward in all the proceedings which brought on the war, but among the most implacable opponents of all overtures towards reconciliation; so that, although, both dying in 1643, we cannot pronounce with absolute certainty as to their views, there can be little room to doubt that they would have adhered to the aide of Cromwell and St. John, in the great separation of the parliar mentary party.

The noble historian confesses that not Hampden alone, but the generality of those who were beginning to judge more favourably of the king, had their inclinations alienated by this fatal act of violence." It is worthy of

[^88](4i. 252.) It hat been geoerally euppoeed that lady Caritisle gave the tive members a hint to absent themselves The Frenth ambaciador, however, Mcptereull, taken the credit to himmelf:"J'avoia privenn med amis, ot ila zGtolent mis en sarele" Masure, p. and It is probable that be was in commanio cation with that intrisuing ledy.

- 1. 15\%, 18 a .
remark that each of the two most striking encroachments on the king's prerogative sprang directly from the suspicions roused of an intention to destroy their privileges: the bill perpetuating the parliament having been hastily passed on the discovery of Percy's and Jermyn's conspiracy, and the present attempt on the five members inducing the commons to insist peremp.
Qaes ion of torily on vesting the command of the militis in wemilich persons of their own nomination; a security, indeed, at which they had been less openly aiming from the time of that conspiracy, and particularly of late.' Every one knows that this was the grand question apon which the quarrel finally rested; but it may be satisfectory to ehow, more precisely than our historians have generally done, what was meant by the power of
t The eariliest proof that the commons give of their metention to take the misitia into their handa was fromediately upon the discovery of Percy's plot, sth May. 16t1, when on order wind mede that the nembers of each comty, lex, ahould meet co constider to what tatate the pluces for which they serve are in respect of arma and ammanition, and whether the deputy Ilientensats and lord Beutenchts are getcont well affected to the religion and the public peace, and to present their mames to the honse, and who are the governors of forts and canties in their coumties Commons' Joarnale Notloog eflerwards, or at lents before the ling's jourrey to Scothand, ofr Arihur Hisklerigg as Cisrendon informes proposed at bill tor sectling the militis in exch hands as they shoutd nominate, which were seconded by St. Jahn, and read conce, "hat with oo univerel a disilise, that it wes never called apon a second stipe" Clarendon. i. 488.1 ann find nothing of this in the Jofrails, and believe it to be ane of the unachronimps into which thio eathor beo fallen, in consequence of writing at adistance tron anthentic meterials. The bill 30 which te allodes murg, I coscetve, bo that brought in by Hielerly long atter, Ith Dee 164, not as he terms it, for cetuling the mallita, burt for mating ererAain pervoas, leaving their nomes in blank, " londr general of all the forses within Koginad and Wales, and botd edintry of Hngland- The persoas intended unom to heve been Enex, Hollend, abi

Northumberiand The commens bed for some thas plaroned to fore the two former earls a tapreme command over the trained bands north and aorth of Trent (Journilo, Nov. 15 and 16) -hich was aflerwayds changed tnto the acheme of lord theatenment of thelr own mamimation for each owaty. The bill above mentioned twoing been once rema It wis mored that it be refected, which whe Degtived by 158 to 125. Cam mopi Jorrpals, th Dec Nabson. IS 219, bu made a mistake aboot thes numbers. Tha kill, betrever, wan laid ande, a sew plan having been devieod. It wan ordered, 31st Dec. 164, "that the house be resoived into a commotite on Monday maxt (Jdes 3), to tals tinto conslideration the mititin of theltingolom" That Mooday, Jum. 3, wat the fimoor day of the ting's mesorite aboat the five membern; and on Jan 15, a dectaration for pasting the lingida in a setre of defence pased the commoons, by which all ofilcern, meghstrates, doe, were eujolned to ontre ese that to soldiens berabed, nor any enstles or arane given up without his majexty"s platere nigmifind by both house of parlioment. Commotes" Jomanis Pail Fitso 10ss. The lords at the throe refroed to oancur in thil dectaritioces which we afterwats changed into the endimance for the militia; bas 35 peen cigned a prowet (in. 1040), and the houme not many thy aftermend carot to to oppodte vote fointng with the ocermone is

the militia, and what was the exact ground of dispute in this respect between Charles I. and his parliament.

The military force which our ancient constitution had placed in the hands of its chief magistrate and Historical those deriving authority from him, may be skecth of classed under two descriptions; one principally force in designed to maintain the king's and the nation's England. rights abroad, the $Q$ ther to protect them at home from attack or disturbance. The first comprehends the tenures by knight's service, which, according to the constant principles of a feudal monarchy, bound the owners of lands, thus held from the crown, to attend the king in war, within or without the realm, mounted and armed, during the regular term of service. Their own vassals were obliged by the same law to acconipany them. But the feudal service was limited to forty days, beyond which time they could be retained only by their own consent, and at the king's expense. The military tenants were frequently called upon in expeditions against Scotland, and last of all in that of 1640 ; but the short duration of their legal service rendered it, of course, nearly useless in continental warfare. Even when they formed the battle, orline of heavy-armed cavalry, it was necessary to complete the army by recruits of footsoldiers, whom feudal tenure did not regularly supply, and whose importance was soon made sensible by their skill in our national weapon, the bow. What was the extent of the king's lawful prerogative for two centuries or more after the Conquest as to compelling any of his .subjects to serve him in foreign war, independently of the obligations of tenure, is a question scarcely to be answered ; since, knowing so imperfectly the looundaries of constitutional law in that period, we have little to gaide us but precedents; and precedents, in such times, are apt to be much more records of power than of right. We find certainly several instancos under Edward I. and Edward II., sometimes of proclamations to the sheriffe, directing them to notify to all persons of sufficient estate that they must hold themselves ready to attend the king whenever he should call on them, sometimes of commissions to particular persons in different counties, who are enjoined to choose ard array 3 competent number of YOL. $\mathrm{H}_{*}$
horse and foot for the king's service.s But these levies being, of course, vexatious to the people, and contrary at least to the spirit of those immunities which, under the shadow of the great charter, they were entitled to enjoy, Edward III., on the petition of his first parliament, who judged that such compulsoiy service either was or ought to be rendered illegal, passed a remarkable set, with the simple brevity of those times: "That no man from henceforth should be charged to arm himself, otherwise than he was wont in the time of his progenitors, the kings of England; and that no man be compelled to go out of his shire, but where necessity requireth, and sudden coming of strange enemies into the realm; and then it shall be done as hath been used in times past for the defence of the realm." ${ }^{h}$

This statute, by no means of inconsiderable importance in our constitutional history, put a stop for some ages to these arbitrary conscriptions. But Edward had recourse to another means of levying men without his own cost, by calling on the counties and principal towns to furnish a certain number of troops. Against this the parliament provided a remedy by an act in the 25th year of his reign: "That no man shall be constrained to find men at arms, hoblers, nor archers, other than those who hold by such service, if it be not by common consent and grant in parliament." Both these statutes were recited and confirmed in the fourth year of Henry IV.'

The successful resistance thus made by parliament appears to have produced the discontinuance of compulsory levies for foreign warfare. Edward III. and his successors, in their long contantion with France, resorted to the mode of reuruiting by contracts with men of high rank or military estimation, whose influence was greater probably than that of the crown towards procuring voluntary enlistments. The pay of soldiers,

[^89]et parati ad veniandum ad nos et eundom cum proprif pervonit notath, pro defetr stone ipeorum et totos regni noetri pritedicti, quandoconque pro ipda duxarime dermandandum: f1. 864 h Stat 1 Edw. III. c- E. I © Bdw, II. as; 4 E, IV, at
which we find stipulated in such of those contracts as are extant, was exiremely high; but it secured the service of a brave and vigorous yeomanry. Under the house of Tudor, in conformity to their more despotic scheme of government, the salutary enactments of former times came to be disregarded; Henry VII. and Elizabeth sometimes compelling the counties to furnish soldiers: and the prerogative of pressing men for military service, even out of the kingdom, having not only become as much established as undisputed usage could make it, but acquiring no slight degree of sanction by an act passed under Philip and Mary, which, without repealing or adverting to the statutes of Edward III. and Henry IV., recognises, as it seems, the right of the crown to levy men for service in war, and impases penalties on persons absenting themselves from musters commanded by the king's authority to be held for that purpose.* Clarendon, whose political heresies sprang in a great measure from his possessing but a very imperfect knowledge of our ancient constitution, speaks of the act that declated the pressing of soldiers illegal, though exactly following, even in its language, that of Edward III., as contrary to the usage and custom of all times.

It is scarcely perhaps necessary to observe that there had never been any regular army kept up in England. Henry VII. established the yeomen of the gaard in 1485, solely for the defence of his person, and rather perhaps, even at that time, to be considered as the king's domestic servants than as soldiers. Their number was at first fifty, and seems never to have exceeded two hondred. A kind of regular troops, however, chiefly accustomed to the use of artillery, was maintained in the sery few fortified places where it was thought necessary or practicable to keep up the show of defence; the Tower of London, Portsmonth, the castle of Dover, the fort of Tilbury, and, before the union of the crowns, Berwick and some other places on the Scottish border. I have

[^90]met with very little as to the nature of these garrisons. But their whole number must have been insignificant, and probably at no time equal to resist any serions attack.
We must take care not to confound this strictly military force, serving, whether by virtie of tenure or engagement, wheresoever it should be called, with that of a more domestic and defensive oharacter to which alone the name of militia was usually applied. By the Anglo-Saxon laws, or rather by one of the primary and indispensable conditions of politioal society, every freeholder, if not every freeman, was bound to defend his country against bostile invasion. It appears that the alderman or earl, while those titles continued to imply the government of a county, was the proper commander of this militia. Henry II., in order to render it more effective in cases of emergency, and perhaps with a view to extend its service, enaoted, by consent of parliament, that every freeman, according to the value of his estate or moveables, should hold himself constantly furnished with suitable arms and equipments." By the statute of Winohester, in the 13th year of Edward I., these provisions were enforced and extended. Every man, between the ages of fifteen and sixty, was to be assessed, and sworn to keep armour according to the value of his lands and goods; for fifteen pounds and upwards in rent, or forty marks in goods, a hauberk, an iron breastplate, a sword, a knife, and a horse; for smaller property, less extensive arms. A view of this armour was to be taken twice in the year by oonstables chosen in every hundred." These regulations appear by the context of the whole statute to have more immediate regard to the preservation of internal peece, by suppressing tumoulta and arresting robbers, than to the actual defence of the realm against hostile invasion; a danger not at that time very imminent. The sheriff, as ohief conservator of public peaoe and minister of the law, had always possessed the right of summoning the posse comitatas; that is, of calling on all the king's liege subjects within his jurisdiotion for assistance, in case of any rebellion or tumultuous rising, or when bands of robbera infested the

[^91]public ways, or when, as occurred very frequently, the execution of legal process was forcibly,obstructed. It seems to have been the policy of that wise prince, to whom we are indebted for so many signal improvements in our law, to give a more effective and permanent energy to this power of the sheriff. The provisions, however, of the statute of Winchester, so far as they obliged every proprietor to possess suitable arms, were of course applicable to national defence. In seasons of public danger, threatening invasion from the side of Scotland or France, it became customary to issue commissions of array, empowering those to whom they were addressed to muster and train all men capable of bearing arms in the counties to which their commission extended, and hold them in readiness to defend the kingdom. The earliest of these commissions that I find in Rymer is of 1324, and the latest of 1557.

The obligation of keeping sufficient arms according to each man's extate was preserved by a statute of Philip and Mary, which made some changes in the rate and proportion as well as the kind of arms. ${ }^{\circ}$. But these ancient provisions were abrogated by James in his first parliament.p. The nation, become for ever secure from invasion on the quarter where the militia service had been most required, and freed from the other dangers which had menaced the throne of Elizabeth, gladly saw itself released from an expensive obligation. The government again may be presumed to have thought that weapons of uffence were safer in its hands than in those of its subjects. Magazines of arms were formed in different places, and generally in each county: 9 but, if we may reason from the absence of documents, there was little regard to military array and preparation; save that the citizens of London mustered their trained bands on holidays, an institution that-is said to have sprong out of a voluntary association, called the Artillery Company, formed in the roign of Henry VIII, for the encouragement of archery,

[^92]eretion of the lond-llentenant, was ouwarranted by any exjating law and must be reckoned among the violent stretcies of prerogrivive at thet tims, Kingw Abr. it 500.

4 Rymer, 75.10.
and acquiring a more respeotable and martial character at the time of the Spanish Armada.'

The power of calling to arms, and mustering the population of each county, given in earlier times to the sheriff or justices of the peace, or to special commissioners of array. began to be entrusted, in the reign of Mary, to a new officer, entitled the lord lieutenant. This was usually a peer, or at least a gentleman of large estate within the county, whose office gave him the command of the militia, and rendered him the chief vicegerent of bis sovereign, responsible for the maintenance of public order. This institution may be considered as a revival of the ancient local earldom; and it certainly took away from the sheriff a great part of the dignity and importance which he had acquired since the discontinuance of that office. Yet the lord lieutenant has so peculiarly military an authority, that it does not in any degree control the aivil power of the sheriff as the executive minister of the law. In certain cases, such as a tumultrous obstruction of legal authority, each might be"said to possess an equal power; the sheriff being still undoubtedly competent to call out the posse comitatus in order to enforce obedience. Practically, however, in all serious circumstances, the lord lieutenant has always been reckoned the efficient and responsible guardian of publio tranquillity.

From an attentive consideration of this sketch of our military law, it will strike the reader that the prinoipal question to be determined was, whether, in time of peace, without pretext of danger of invasion, there were any legal authority that could direct the mustering and* training to arms of the able-bodied men in each county, usually denominated the militia. If the power existed at all, it manifestly resided in the king. The notion that either or both houses of perliament, who posses's no portion of executive authority, could take on themselves one of its most peouliar and important functions, was so preposterous that we can scarmaly give credit to the sincerity of any reasonablo person who advanced it. In the imminent peril of hostile invasion, in the case of intestine rebellion, there seems to be no room for doubt

[^93]that the king, who could call on his subjects to bear arms for their conntry and laws, could oblige them to that necessary discipline and previous training, without which their service would be unavailing. It might also be urged that he was the proper judge of the danger. But that, in a season of undeniable tranquillity, he could withdraw his sahjects from their necessary labours agains their consent, even for the important end of keeping up the use of military discipline, is what, with our present sense of the limitations of royal power, it might be difficult to affirm. The precedents moder Henry VIII and Elizabeth were numeraus; but not to mention that many, perhaps most, of these might come mnder the class of preparations against invasion, where the royal authority was not to be doubted, they could be no stronger than those other precedents for pressing and mustering soldiers, which had been declared illegal. There were at least so many points uncertain, and some wherein the prerogative was plainly deficient, such as the right of marching the militis out of their own counties, taken away, if it had before existed, by the act just passed against pressing soldiers, that the concurrence of the whole legislature seemed requisite to place so essential a matter as the public defence on a secure and permanent footing.

The aim of the houses however in the bill for regulating the militia, presented to Charles in February, 1642, and his refusal to pass which led by rapid steps to the civil war, was not so mach to remove those uncertainties by a general

Eneronchments of the parlis. ment provision (for in effect they left them much as before), as to place the command of the sword in the hands of those they could control;-nominating in the bill the lords lientenant of every county, who were to obey the orders of the two houses, and to be irremovable by the king for two years. No one can pretend that this was not an encroachment on his prerogative: It can only

[^94]find a justification in the precarious condition, as the commons asserted it to be, of those liberties they had so recently obtained, in their just persuasion of the king's insincerity, and in the demonstrations he had already made of an intention to win back his authority at the sword's point." But it is equitable, on the other tand, to observe that the commons had by no means greater reason to distrust the faith of Charles, than he had to anticipate fresh assaults from them on the power he had inherited, on the form of religion which alone he thought lawful, on the counsellors who had served him most faithfully, and on the nearest of.his domestic ties. If the right of self-defence could be arged by parliament for this demand of the militis, must we not admit that a similar plea was equally valid for the king's refusal? However arbitrary and violent the previous government of Charles may have been, however disputable his sincerity at present, it is vain to deny that he had made the most valuable concessions, and such as had cost him very dear. He had torn away from his diadem what all monarchs would deem its choicest jewel-that high attribute of uncontrollable power, by which their flatterers have in all ages told them they resemble and represent the Divinity. He had seen those whose counsels he had best approved rewarded with exile or imprisonment, and had incurred the deep reproach of his own heart by the sacrifice of Strafford. He had just now given a reluctant assent to the extinction of one estate of parliament, by the bill excluding bishops from the house of peers. Even in this business of the militia be would have consented to nominate the persons recommended to him as lieutenants, by commissions revocable at his pleasure; or would have passed the bill rendering them irremovable for one year, provided they might receive their orders from himself and the two houses jointly. ${ }^{x}$ It was not

[^95]recelve further countenance; but now there were very fow who did not bellove It to be a very necemary provision for the peace and enfety of the ringdom. So greas in fimpreselon had the late proceedIngz made apon them, that with little oppodtion it pacsed the commons, and was aent op to the tords." Clartand. 13. 18n a Clarendon, IL. 376 ; Rarl. Hist $\mathbf{i o t z}$ 1100, tom If may bo added, that the
unreesonable for the king to panse at the critical moment which was to make all future denial nugatory, and inquire whether the prevailing majority designed to leave him what they had not taken away. But he was not long kept in uncertainty upon this score. The Nineten ninetoen propositions tendered to him at York propositicos. in the beginning of Jane, and founded upon addresses and declarations of a considerably earlier date,' went to abrogate in spirit the whole existing constitution, and were in truth so far beyond what the king could be expected to grant, that terms more intolerable were scarcely proposed to him in his greatest difficulties, not at Uxbridge, nor at Newcastle, nor even at Newport.

These famons propositions import that the privy council and officers of state should be approved by parliament, and take such an oath as the two houses should prescribe; that during the intervals of parliament no racancy in the council should be supplied without the assent of the major part, subject to the future sanction of the two houses; that the education and marriages of the king's children should be under parliamentary control; the votes of popish peers be taken away; the church government and liturgy be reformed as both houses should advise; the militia and all fortified places put in such hands as parliament should approve; finally, that the king should pass a bill for restraining all peers
miltita bill, at originally tendered to the ling by the two houseg, was urhered in by a preamble asoerting that there bad been a mont dangerons and desperato deatgi on the bonse of cotnmons, the eftect of the bloody coansels of the papists and other ill-affected perwonio, who had alrendy raised a rebeltion in Ireinnd. Clar. p s3s. Sarely be could not heve paseed this, espectally the lant allusion. Fithous recording his own aboolute dif boboar: but it mont be admitted, that on the bing's offection they omitted this preamble, and also matruially limited tho powers of the lorfia lienteanats to be eppolnted under the bill.

Y A declaration of the grievances of the inngdom, and the remedics proposed, flated April 1. may be forind in the ParHaneviary Eistory, p. 11B6. Bat that Fixi dree cot ootlet that it hut peowed
the oommons on Peb. 19, before thie kity had begun to move towards the north. Commons' Jourrmile It meems not to have plemed the house of lordis, who postpooned ite consideration, and was mach more grievons to the ling than the nineteen proporitions themelves. One propoeal whes to remove all pplists from about the queen; that is, to deprive ber of the exercise of her religion, gunanteed by ber marriage comtract To thin olaleon tion Pym replied that the bouse of exmo mons had only to conalder the law of God and the lew of the land; that they mode reaint idolatry, lest they frear the divine wrath, and must ane the lawe of this zingdom exsecuted; that the porbic filth is lees than that they owe to trod, againat which no contract ean oblige peither cen any bind ns agoinst the invin of the loinglom. Pard. Hish 11 en
to be made in future from sitting in parliament, unless they be admitted with the consent of botk houses. A few more laudable provisions, such as that the judges should hold their offices during good behaviour, which the king had long since promised, ${ }^{2}$ were mised up with these strange demands. Even had the king complied with such unconstitutional requisitions, there was one behind which, though they had not advanced it on this cecasion, was not likely to be forgotten. It had been asserted by the house of commons in their last remonstrance, that, on a right construction of the old coronation oath, the king was bound to assent to all bills which the two honses of parliament should offer." It has been said by some that this was actually the constitution of Scotland, where the crown possessed a counterbalancing: influence; but such a doctrine was in this country as repugnant to the whole history of our laws as it was incompatible with the subsistence of the monarchy in anything more than a nominal pre-ominence.

In weighing the merits of this great contest, in judging. whether a thoroughly upright and enlightened

Mecension of the rempec tive claima of the two parties to sapports.
man would rather have listed auder the royal or parliamentary standard, there are two political postulates, the concession of which we may require : one, that civil war is such a calamity as nothing but the most indispensable necessity can authorise any party to bring on ; the other, that the mired government of Fingland by king, lords, and commons, was to be maintained in preference to any other form of

[^96][^97]polity. The first of these can hardly be disputed; and though the denial of the second would certainly involve no absurdity, yet it may justly be assumed where both parties avowed their adherence to it as a common prin ciple. Such as prefer a despotic or a republican form of government will generally, without much further inquiry, have made their election between Charles I. and the parliament. We do not argae from the creed of the English constitution to those who have abandoned its commanion.

There was so much in the conduct and circomstances of both parties in the year 1642 to excite dis- Fants of approbation and distrust, that a wise and good both man could hardly unite cordially with either of them. On the one hand he would entertain little doubt of the king's desire to overthrow by force or stratagem whatever had been effected in parliament, and to establish a plenary despotism; his arbitrary temper, his known principles of government, the natural sense of wounded pride and honour, the instigations of a haughty woman, the solicitations of favourites, the promises of ambitious men, were all at work to render his now position as a constitutional sovereign, even if unaccompanied by fresh indignities and encroachments, too grievous and mortifying to be endured. He had already tampered in a conspiracy to overswe, if not to disperse, the parliament: he had probably obtained large promises, though very little to be trusted, from several of the presbyterian leaders in Scotland during his residence there in thesummer of 1641: he had attempted to recover his ascendancy by a sudden blow in the affair of the five members; he had eent the qneen out of England, furnished with the crown jewels, for no other probable end than to raise men and procure arms in foreign countries: ${ }^{\text {b }}$ he was now about to take the field with an army, composed in part of young gentlemen disdainful of a puritan faction that censured their lioence, and of those soldiers of fortune, reckless of public principle, and averse to civil control, whom

[^98]the war in Germany had trained; in part of the catholics, a wealthy and active body, devoted to the crown, from which alone they had experienced justice or humauity, and from whose favour and gratitude they now expected the most splendid returns. Upon neither of these parties could a lover of his country and her liberties look withcat alarm; and though he might derive more hope from those better spirits who had withstood the prerogative in its exorbitance, as they now sustained it in its decline, yet it could not be easy to foretell that they would preserve sufficient influence to keep steady the balance of power, in the contingency of any decisive success of the royal arms.

But, on the other hand, the house of commons presented still less favourable prospects. We should not indeed judge over-severely some acts of a virtuous indignation in the first moments of victory, ${ }^{\circ}$ or those heats of debate, without some excess of which a popular assembly is in danger of falling into the opposite extreme of phlegmatic security. But, after every allowance has been made, he must bring very heated passions to the records of those times who does not perceive in the conduct of that body a series of glaring violations, not only of positive and constitutional, but of those higher principles which are paramount to all immediate policy. Witness the ordinance for disarming recusants passed by both houses in August, 1641, and that in November authorising the earl of Leicester to raise men for the defence

[^99]containing foll as muny extravagent propodtions as any of Sh John's. Berteley; beaides his forwardiness about ahip-money, had been notorlous for cubearviency to the prarogative. The bouse eent the usber of the black rod to the court of ling's bench, whille the Judgee were altting, who took iden away to prison, "which structs a great terror," may Whiteteck, "in the rest of his brethren then siting in Weato minster-hall, and in all his profeasion." The impeachmeat majnat Berizeley for ligh treaton ended in lus payling a fine of $10,000 \mathrm{~K}$ Bat what appows atrange and unfuatiflable is, that tho hoases cuffered bin to sif for some terms as a judge with this impeschment over his beed. The onis excuece for this is that thane wore a freat mauy, vacmele on tient banch.
of Ireland without warrant under the great seal, both manifest encroachments on the executive power; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and the enormons extension of privilege, under which every person accused on the slightest testimony of disparaging their procoedings, or even of introducing new-fangled ceremonies in the ohurch, a matter wholly out of their cognizance, was-dragged before them as a delinquent, and lodged in their prison.: Witness the outrageous attempts to intimidate the minority of their own body in the commitment of Mr. Palmer, and afterwards of sir Ralph Hopton to the Tower, for such language used in debate as would not have excited any observation in ordinary times;-their continual encroacbments on the rights and privileges of the lords, as in their intimation that if bills thought by them necessary for the public good should fall in the upper house, they must join with the minority of the lords in representing the same to the king; ${ }^{5}$ or in the impeachment of the duke of Richmond

> A Journalg, Aug 30 and Nov. 9. It may be nrged in behalf of these ordinancen, that the hing bad gone into Scotiand againgt the wheh of the two bouses, and after refusing to appoint a castos regni at their request Bnt if the exigency of the case might justify, under those circambtances, the assumption of an irre gular power, it ought to have been fimited to the deriod of the sovereign'a abeence.
> - Pari. Hist 671, of atibi. Journals, pasim. Clarendon, 1 475, sayis, this begin to pass all bounds after the act rendering them ind imolube. "It bad never," ho enyr, "been attempted before this parm liameat to commit any one to prison. except for tome appareat breach of privilege, each as the arrest of ane of their members, or the life." Instances of this, however, had occurred before, of which I have mentioned in another place the groomeet, that of Floyd, in 162h. The lords, in March, 1642, condemned one Bandford, a tallor, for curaing the parilo ment, to be rept at woric in Bridewell daring his life, bealdes mome minor tnilicthons Rushworth. A strange erder was made by the opmamons, Dec. 10,1841 , thas dr Winliam Eart bsving given informe thon of tome dangerous words apoken by certain persoons, the emeaker aball istue a warrant to appresend swel persont as ur william gert shosid point out

> THe entry of this in the Journals is
too characteristle of the tone asomed in the commons to be conitted. '"This committee [after maning some of the warment men] is appointed to prepare heads for a conference with the lords, and to acquaint them what bills this house hath passed and sent up to thelr lordo shipe, which much concern the mefety of the kingdom, but have had no consent of their lordshipa nuto them; and that thin house being the representative body of the whole kingtom, and their lordshipe being but as "particular persons, and coming to parilament in a particular eapacity, that if they ahall not be pleased to consent to the pasing of those ncta and other necessary to the preservation and metay of the kingiom, that then thit house, together with such of the lords that are more mengible of the anfety of the Hingdom, may joln together and reprecent tho same unto his majesty:" This was on December \& 1641, before the argument from neceesity conld be pro tended, and evidently contains the germ of the reeolation of February, 1649, that the house of larde was urelese.

The resolation was moved by Mr. Pym; and on Mr. Godolphtn's objecting. very eensibiy, that If they went to the liog with the lesuer part of the lorda, the creater part of the lords might go to the Fing with the lesser part of them, be way communded to withdran (Vermey MP.)
for words, and those of the most trifling nature, spoken in the upper house; ${ }^{8}$-their despotic violation of the rights of the people, in imprisoning those who presented or prepared respectful petitions in behalf of the estaz blished constitution; ${ }^{\text {b }}$ while they encouraged those of a tumultuous maltitude at their bar in favour of innovation ; - -their usurpation at once of the judicial and legislative powers in all that related to the church, particularly by their committee for scandalous ministers, under which denomination, adding reproach to injury, they subjected all who did not reach the standard of puritan perfection to contumely and vexation, and ultimately to expnlsion from their lawful property. ${ }^{k}$. Witness the irmpeachment of the twelve bishops for treason, on account of their protestation against all that should be done in the house of lords during their compelled absence through fear of the populace; a protest not perhaps entirely well expressed, but abundantly justifiable in its argument by the plainest priuciples of law." These
and an order appears on the Jouruals, that on Tuesday next the house would take into consideration the offence now sifen by words apoken by Mr. GodolphinNothing tarther, huwever, seems to hava taken place.
g This was carrled Jan. 27, 1842, by a mafority of 223 to 123, the largest number, I think, chat voted for any question during the parilament. Richmond was ati eager courtier, and, perthaps, an enemy tu the conajtution, which may acoount tin the unusual majority in favour of hls Impeachment, but cannot Justify it. He had merely sald, on a propotition to ad"ourn, "Why should we not adjouta for tix monthe $\mathrm{P}^{*}$
${ }^{4}$ Parh. Hist. 1147, 1150, 118s. Cir rendon, 11. 284, 346.

1 Clarendon, 322 Amang other petstions presented at this time the aoble author insarts one from the porteri of Landion. Mr. Brodle agserts of thls that *It is nowhere to be found or alluded to, to far as I recollect, oxcept in Clarendon's Hintory; and I have no heaitation In pronouncing it a forgery by that ate thor to diagrsce the petitions whach so gelled him and bif party. The Journals of the commons give an account of every peltion; and I have gone over them with the whmod corc, in oritor to eacerwhat
whether much a petition over wis prebuted, and yet cannot discover a trace of $\mathrm{ib}^{\mathrm{n}}$ (iij. S06.) This writer is here too precipitate. No eemalble man will belleve Clarendon to have cornmitted so foollsh and usolese a forgery; and this petition If fully noticed, lhough not inserted at length, in the Journal of February and
t Nalson, iL 234, 245.
in The blahope had so few friendes in the house of commons that in the debate ariang ont of this protest all agreed that they should be charged with treason, except one gentleman, who ald he thought them onk mad, and proposed that they should bo sent to Bediam instesd of tho Tower. Ifen Clarendion bears rether hard on the protest, chiefly, as is urident, becadres it originated wilh Willame. In thet, everal of theme prelates had not cunrage to stand by what they had done, and made trivial apologita, Parh Hist. 096. Whether the volence was much as to form a complete Justification for thetr absenting themsaives is a question of tect which we cannot well detaraine. Thres biabopa continued at their posts, and voted against the bill for rem. .ing them from the bouse of lordis. See a peasage from Hall's Hand Meagure, in Wordeworth' Freles. Biogron 7. 317. The ling always enterinined a notion that thin ant wed
great abuses of power, becoming daily more frequent, as they became less excusable, would make a sober man hesitate to support them in a civil war, wherein their success mast not only consummate the destruction of the crown, the church, and the peorage, but expose all whe had dissented from their proceedings, as it ultimately happened, to an oppression less severe perhaps, but fur more sweeping, than that which had rendered the star-chamber odions.

But it may reasonably also be doubted whether, in staking their own cause on the perilous contingencies of war, the house of commons did not expose the liberties for which they professedly were contending to a far greater risk than they conld have incurred even by peace with an insidious court. For let any one ask himself what would have been the condition of the parliament if by the extension of that panic which in fact seized upon several regiments, or by any of those countless accidents which determine the fate of battles, the king had wholly defeated their army at Edgehill? Is it not probable, nay, in such a supposition, almost demonstrable, that in those first days of the civil war, before the parliament had time to discover the extent of its own resources, he would have found no obstacle to his triumphal entry into London? And, in such circumstances, amidst the defection of the timid and lukewarm, the consternation of the brawling multitude, and the exultation of his victorious troops, would the triennial act itself, or those other statutes which he had very reluctantly conceded, have stood secure? Or, if we believe that the constitutionsl supporters of his throne, the Hertfords, the Falklands, the Southamptons, the Spencers, would still have had sufficient influence to shield from violent hands that palladium which they had assisted to place in the building, can there be a stronger argument ugainst the necessity of taking up arms for the defence of liberties, which, even in the contingency of defeat, could not have been subverted?

There were many indeed at that time, as there have

[^100]been ever since, who, admitting all the calamities incident to civil war, of which this country reaped the bitter fruits for twenty years, denied extirely that the parliament went beyond the necessary precautions for self-defence, and laid the whole guilt of the aggression at the king's door. He had given, it was said, so many proofs of a dotermination to have recourse to arms, he had displayed so insidious an hostility to the privileges of parliament, that if he should be quietly allowed to choose and train soldiers under the name of a militia, through hired servants of his own nomination, the people might find themselves either robbed of their liberties by surprise, or compelled to struggle for them in very unfavourable circumstances. The commons, with more loyal respect perhaps than policy, had opposed no obstacle to his deliberate journey towards the north, which they could have easily prevented," though well aware that he had no other aim but to collect an army; was it more than ordinary prudence to secure the fortified town of Hull with its magazine of arrus from his grasp, and to muster the militia in each county under the command of lieutenants in whom they could confide, and to whom, from their rank and personal character, he could frame nc just objection?

These considerations are doubtless not without weight, and should restrain such as may not think them sufficient from too strongly censuring those who, deeming that either civil liberty or the ancient constitution must be sacrificed, persisted in depriving Charles I. of every power which, though pertaining to a king of England, he could not be trusted to exercise. We are, in truth, after a lapse of ages, often able to form a better judgment of the course that ought to have been pursued in political emergencies than those who stood nearest to the scene. Not only have we our knowledge of the event to guide and correct our imaginary determinations, but we are free from those fallacious rumours, those pretended secrets, those imperfect and illusive views, those personal prepossessions, which in every

[^101]age warp the political conduct of the most well-meaning. The characters of individuals, so frequently misrepresented by flattery or party rage, stand out to us revealed by the tenor of their entire lives, or by the comparison of historical anecdotes, and that more authentic information which is reserved for posterity. Looking as it were from an eminence, we can take a more comprehensive range, and class better the objects before us in their due proportions and in their bearings on one another. It is not easy for us even now to decide, keeping in view the maintenance of the entire constitution, from which party in the civil war greater mischief was to be apprehended; but the election was, I am persuaded, still more difficult to be made by contemporaries. No one, at least, who has given any time to the study of that history will deny that among those who fought in opposite battalions at Edgehill and Newbury, or voted in the opposite parliaments of Westminster and Orford, there were pany who thought much alike on general theories of prerogative and privilege, divided only perhaps by some casual prejudices, which had led these to look with greater distrust on courtly insidiousness, and those with greator indignation at popular violence. We cannot believe that Falkland and Colepepper differed greatly in their constitutional principles from Whitelock and Pierpoint, or that Hertford and Southampton were less friends to a limited monarchy than Essex and Northumberland.

There is, however, another argument sometimes alleged of late, in justification of the continued attacks on the king's authority, which is the most specious, as it seems to appeal to what are now denominated the Whig principles of the constitution. It has been said that. sensible of the maladministration the nation had endured for so many years (which, if the king himself were to be deemed by constitutional fiction ignorant of it, must at least be imputed to evil advisers), the house of commons sought only that security which, as long as a sound spirit continues to actuate its members, it must ever requirethe appointment of ministers in whose fidelity to the publio liberties it could better confide ; that by carrying frankly into effect those counsels which he had unwisely abandoned upon the earl of Bedford's death, and bestowing the responsible afficas of the state on men approved
vol. II.
for patriotism, he would both have disarmed tie jealousy of his subjects and iusured his own prerogative, which no ministers are prone to impair.

Those who are struck by these considerations may not, perhaps, have sufficiently reflected on the changes which the king had actually made in his administration since the beginning of the parliament. Besides those already mentioned, Essex, Holland, Say, and St. John, he had, in the autumn of 1641, conferred the post of secretary of state on lord Falkland, and that of master of the rolls on sir John Colepepper, both very prominent in the redress of grievances and punishment of delinquent ministers during the first part of the seesion, and whose attachment to the cause of constitutional liberty there was no sort of reason to distrust. They were indeed in some points of a different way of thinking from Pym and Hampden, and had doubtless been chosen by the king on that account. But it seems rather beyond the legitimate bounds of parlismentary opposition to involve the kingdom in civil war, simply because the ohoice of the crown had not fallen on its leaders. The real misfortune was, that Charles did not rest in the advice of his own responsible ministers, against none of whom the house of commons had any just canse of exception. The theory of our constitution in this respect was very ill eatablished; and, had it been more so, there are perhaps few sovereigns, especially in oircumstances of so much novelty, who would altogether conform to it. But no appointment that he could have made from the patriotic band of parliament would have furnished a security against the intrigues of his bed-chamber, or the influence of the queen.

The real problem that we have to resolve, as to the political justice of the civil war, is not the character, the past actions, or even the existing designs of Charles; not even whether he had as justly forfeited his crown as his son was deemed to have done for less violence and less insincerity; not even, I will add, whether the liberties of his subjects could have been absolutely secure under his. government; but whether the risk attending his continuance upon the throne with the limited prerogatives of an English sovereign were great enough tc counterbalance the miseries of protracted civil war, the
perils of defeat, and the no less perils, as experience showed, of victory. Those who adopt the words spoken by one of onr greatest orators, and quoted by another, "There was ambition, there was sedition, there was violence; but no man shall persuade me that it was not the cause of liberty on one side, and of tyranny on the other," have for themselves decided this question." But as I know (and the history of eighteen years is my witness) how little there was on one side of auch liberty as $s$ wise man would hold dear, so I am not yet convinced that the great body of the royalists, the peers and gentry of England, were combating for the sake of tyranny. I cannot believe them to have so scon forgotten their almost unanimous discontent at the - hing's arbitrary government in 1640, or their general coneurrence in the first salutary measures of the parliament. I camnot think that the temperate and constitutional language of the royal declarations and answers to the house of commons in 1642, known to have proceeded from the pen of Hyde, and as superior to those on the opposite side in argament as they are in eloquence, was intended for the willing slaves of tyranny. I cannot discover in the extreme reluctance of the royalists to take up arms, and their constant eagerness for an accommodation (I speak not of mere soldiers, but of the greater and more mportant portion of that party), that zeal for the king's re-establishment in all his abused prerogatives which some connect with the very names of a royalist or a cavalier. ${ }^{p}$

[^102]They port beth a decharation, dened by all thetr hands, on the 15th of Jume, 3842, professing before God their full perrungion that the King bed no deafgo to matre war oe the parilament, and that they eave no colsur of preparatione or copmaels that might remonably beget a belief of any mach dengre; but that alt Mis enderrours tended of the settiement of the protentant religion, the jost porivilefot of perimment the liberty of the miffect per This whe ap ill-fodged and even abound piece of byportiog, calculated to degrade the maberibers, sinct the design of triting troops was bardily conamaled. and every part of the kiota coodect ainot hat arrisal at Yot minnifested it The commisoton of arruy, at thoritiog certitn pensum in onem coumey

It is well observed by Burnet, in answer to the vulgar aotion that Charles I. Was undone by his concessions, that. but for his concessions, he would have had no party at all. This is, in fact, the secret of what seems to astonish the parliamentary historian, May, of the powerful force that the king was enabled to raise, and the protracted resistance he opposed. He had succeeded, according to the judgment of many real friends of the constitution, in putting the house of commons in the wrong. Law, justice, moderation, once ranged against him, had gone over to his banner. His arms might reasonably be called defensive, if he had no other means of preserving himself from the condition, far worse than captivity, of a sovereign compelled to a sort of suicide upon his own honour and authority. For, however it may be alleged that a king is bound in conscience to sacrifice his power to the public will, yet it could hardly be inexcusable not to have practised this disinterested morality; especially while the voice of his people was by no means unequivocal, and while the major part of one house of parliament adhered openly to his cause. ${ }^{9}$

It is indeed a question perfectly distinguishable from that of the abstract justice of the king's cause, whether he did not too readily abondon his post as a constitutional head of the parliament; whether, with the greater part of the peers and a very considerable minority in the

legailty of a measure of neceasity, dnce no other method of raising an army would have bean free from similar exceprtion. The same reluctance to enter on the war was displeyed in the propesitions for peace, which the king, in consequcuee of his counell's importanity, sent to the two bouses through the earl of Scethampton, juat before be raieed bis etandari at Nottingham.

Q Acourding to a list made by the house of lords, May 25, 1848, the peers with the ling at York wert thirty-two; those who remalned th Westminster forty-two. But of the latter, more thar tee jotued the others batore the coms mencement of the was, and five or bix atterwards; two or threw of thowe at Yort roturaed. Daring the war thare were it the ontadde thiry peone who ant in the parilament:
commons, resisting in their places at Westminster all violent encroachments on his rights, he ought not rather to have sometimes persisted in a temperate though firm assertion of them, sometimes had recourse to compromise and gracious concession, instead of calling away so many of his adherents to join his arms as left neither numbers nor credit with those who remained. There is a remarkable passage in lord Clarendon's Life, not to quote Whitelock and other writers less favourable to Charles, where he intimates his own opinion that the king would have had a fair hope of withstanding the more violent faction, if, after the queen's embarkation for Holland, in February, 1642, he had returned to Whitehall ; admitting, at the same time, the hazards and inconveniences to which this course was liable. That he resolved on trying the fortune of arms, his noble historian insinuates to have been the effect of the queen's influence, with whom before her departure he had concerted his future proceedings. Yet, notwithstanding the deference owing to contemporary opinions, I cannot but suspect that Clarendon has, in this instance as in some other passages, attached too great an importance to particular individuals, measuring them rather by their rank in the state than by that capacity and energy of mind, which, in the levelling hour of revolution, are the only real pledges of political influence. He thought it of the utmost consequence to the king that he should gain over the earls of Essex and Northumberland, both, or at least the former, wavering between the two parties, though voting entirely with the commons. Certainly the king's situation required every aid, and his repulsive hardness towards all who had ever given him offence displayed an obstinate unconciliating character which deprived him of some support he might have received. But the subsequent history of these two cele brated earls, and indeed of all the moderate adherents to the parliament, will hardly lead us to believe that they could have afforded the king any protection. Let us suppose that he had returned to Whitehall instead of proceeding towards the north. It is evident that he must either have passed the bill for the militia or seen the ordinances of both bouses carried into effect without
his consent. He must have consented to the abolition of episcopacy, or at least have come into some compromise which would have left the bishops hardly a shadow of their jurisdiction and pre-eminence. He must have driven from his person those whom he best loved and trusted. He would have found it impossible to see again the queen without awakening distrust and bringing insult on them both. The royalist minority of parliament, however considerable in numbers, was lukewarm and faint-hearted. That they should have gained strength so as to keep a permanent superiority over their adversaries, led as they were by statesmen so bold and profound as Hampden, Pym, St. John, Cromwell, and Vane, is what, from the experience of the last twelve months, it was unreasonable to anticipate. But even if the commons had been more favourably inclined, it would not have been in their power to calm the mighty waters that had been moved from their depths. They had permitted the populace to mingle in their discussions, testifying pleasure at its paltry applause, and encouraging its tumultuous aggressions on the minority of the legislature. What else could they expect than that, so soon as they ceased to satisfy the city apprentices, or the trained bands raised onder their militia bill, they most submit: to that physical strength which is the ultimate arbiter of political contentions?

Thus, with evil auspices, with much peril of despotism on the one hand, with more of anarchy on the other, amidst the apprehensions and sorrows of good men, the civil war commenced in the summer of 1642 . I might now perhaps pass over the period that intervened, until the restoration of Charles II., as not strictly belonging to a work which undertakes to relate the progress of the English constitution. But this would have left a sort of chasm that might disappoint the reader; and as I have already not wholly excluded our more general political history, without a knowledge of which the lawe and government of any people must be unintelligible, it will probably not be deemed an nnnecessary digression, if I devote one chapter to the most interesting and remarkable portion of British story.

## CHAPTER X.

## FHOM TIIE BRISARTNG OUT OF THE CIVE, WAR TO IHR RESTORATION.

- 

PART L.
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Factions that, while still under some restraint from the forms at least of constitutional law, excite our disgust by their selfishness or intemperance, are little likely to redeem their honour when their animositiea have kindled civil warfare. If it were difficult for an upright man to enlist with an entire willingness under either the royslist or the parliamentarian banner at the commencement of hostilities in 1642, it became far less easy for him to desire the complete success of one or the other cause. as advaacing time displayed the faults of both in
darker colours than they had previously worn. Of the parliament-to begin with the more powerful and victorious party-it may be said, I think, with not greater severity than truth, that scarce two or three public acts of justice, hamanity, or generosity, and very few of political wisdom or courage, are recorded of them from their quarrel with the ling to their expulsion by Cromwell.

Notwithstanding the secession from parliament before the commencement of the war of nearly all the peers who could be reckoned on the king's side, and of a pretty considerable part of the commons, there still centinued to sit at Westminster many sensible and moderate persous, who thought that they could not serve their country better than by remaining at their posts, and laboured continually to bring about a pacification by mutual concessions. Such were the earls of Northumberland, Holland, Lincoln, and Bedford, among the peers; Selden, Whitelock, Hollis, Waller, Pierpoint, and Rudyard, in the commons. These, however, would have formed but a very ineffectual minority if the war itself, for at least twelve months, had not taken a turn little expected by the parliament. The hard usage Charles seemed to endure in so many encroachments on his ancient prerogative awakened the sympathies of a generous aristocracy, accustomed to respect the established laws, and to love monarchy, as they did their own liberties, on the score of its prescriptive title; averse also to the rude and morose genins of puritanism, and not a little jealous of those upstart demagognes who already threatoned to subvert the graduated pyramid of English sociely. Their zeal placed the king at the head of a far more considerable army than either party had anticipated." In the first battle, that of Edgehill,

Succest of the ting in the first part though he did not remain master of the field, yet all the military consequences were evidently in his favour. ${ }^{\text {. In the ensuing campaign of }}$

who might be a cocqueror, and mary neateri jodned him" p 178. Lelliow is of the mone opinion the to Freax's behaviour and iff conerquences: $=0 \mathrm{or}$ army, after tome refrechment at Warm wick, returned to Laodon, not Ifloe meps that had cotained a victory, hut en If they had been beaten. D. 6.

1643, the advantage was for several months entirely lin own, nor could he be said to be a loser on the winole result, notwithstanding some reverses that accompanied the autumn. A line drawn from Hull to Southampton would suggest no very incorrect idea of the two parties, considered as to their military occupation of the kingdom, at the beginning of September, 1643; for if the parliament, by the possession of Gloucester and Plymouth, and by some furce they had on foot in Cheshire and other midland parts, kept their ground on the west of this line, this was nearly compensated by the earl of Newcastle's possession at that time of most of Lincolnshire, which lay within it. Such was the temporary effect, partly indeed of what may be called the fortune of war, but rather of the zeal and spirit of the royalists, and of their advantage in a more numerous and intrepid cavalry."

It has been frequently supposed, and doubtless seems to have been a prevailing opinion at the time, that if the king, instead of sitting down before Gloucester at the end of August, had marched upon London, combining his operations with Newcastle's powerful army, he would have brought the war to a triumplant conclusion. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ In these matters men judge principally by the ovent. Whether it would have been prudent in Newcastle to have left behind him the strong garrison of Hull under Fairfax, and an unbroken though inferior force commanded by lord Willoughby and Cromwell in Lincolnshire, I must leave to military critics; suspecting, however, that he wuuld have found it difficult to draw away

[^103]the Yorkshire gentry and yeomanry, forming the strength of his army, from their uuprotected homes. Yet the parliamentary forces were certainly, at no period of the war, so deficient in numbers, discipline, and confidence; and it may well be thought that the king's want of permanent resources, with his knowledge of the timidity and disunion which prevailed in the capital, rendered the boldest and most forward game his true policy.

It was natural that the moderate party in parliament should acquire strength by the untoward for-

Elforts by the moderate party for peacs. tune of its arms. Their aim, as well as that of the constitutional royalists, was a speedy pacification; neither party so much considering what terms might be most advantageous to their own side, as which way the nation might be freed from an incalculably protracted calamity. On the king's advance to Colnbrook, in November, 1642, the two houses made an overture for negotiation, on which he expressed his Afralr at readiness to ontor. But, during the parley, Brenlord. some of his troops advanced to Brentford, and a sharp action took place in that town. The parliament affected to consider this such a mark of perfidy and bloodthirstiness as justified them in breaking off the treaty, a step to which they were doultless more inclined by the king's retreat, and their discovery that his army was less formidable than they had apprehended. It is very probable, or rather certain, even from Clarendon's cocount, that many about the king, if not himself, were sufficiently indisposed to negotiate ; yet, as no cessation of arms had been agreed upon, or even proposed, he cannot be said to have waived the unquestionable right of every belligerent to obtain all possible advantage by arms, in order to treat for peace in a more favourable position. But, as mankind are seldom reasonable in admitting such maxims against theraselves, he seems to have injured his reputation by this affair of Brentford.

A treaty, from which many ventured to hope much, Treaty at was begun early in the next spring at Oxford, oxsond after a struggle which had lasted through the winter within the walls of parliament." But though the

[^104]party of Pym and Hampden at Westmiuster were not able to prevent negotiation against the strong bent of the house of lords, and even of the city, which had been taught to lower ita tone by the interraption of trade, and especially of the supply of coals from Newcastle, yet thoy were powerful enough to make the houses insist on terms not less unreasonable than those contained in their nineteen propositions the year before." The king could not be justlyexpected to comply with these; but, had they been more moderate, or if the parliament would have in some messure receded frum them, we have every reason to conclude, both by the nature of the terms he proposed in return, and by the positive testimony of Clarendon, that he would not have come sincerely into any scheme of immediate accommodation. The reason assigned by that author for the onwillingness of Charles to agree on a cessation of arms during the negotiation, though it had been originally suggested by himself (and which reason would have been still more applicable to a treaty of peace), is one sn strange that it requires all the authority of one very unwilling to confess any weakness or duplicity of the king to be believed. He had made a solemn promise to the queen on her departure for Holland the year bofore, "that he would receive no person who had disserved him into any favour or trust, without her privity and consent; and that, as she had undergone many reproaches and calumnies at the entrance into the war, so he would never make any peace but by her interposition and mediation, that the kingdom might receive that blessing only from her." " Let this be called, as the reader may

please, the extravagance of romantic affection, or rather the height of pusillanimous and criminal subserviency, we cannot surely help acknowledging that this one marked weakness in Charles's character, had there been nothing else to object, rendered the return of cordial harmony between himself and his people scarce within the bounds of natural possibility. In the equally balanced condition of both forces at this particular juncture, it may seem that some compromise on the great question of the militia was not impracticable, had the king been truly desirous of accommodation; for it is only just to remember that the parliament had good reason to demand some security for themselves, when he had so peremptorily excluded several persons from amnesty. Both parties, in truth, were standing out for more than either according to their situation as belligerents, or even perhaps according to the principles of our constitution, they could reasonably claim; the two houses having evidently no direct right to order the military force, nor the king, on the other hand, having a clear prerogative to keep on foot an army, which is not easily distinguishable from a militia, without consent of parliament. The most reasonable course apparently would have been for the one to have waived a dangerous and disputed authority, and the other to have desisted from a still more unconstitutional pretension, which was done by the bill of rights in 1689. The kingdom might have well dispensed, in that age, with any military organization, and this seems to have been the desire of Whitelock, and probably of other reasonable men. But, unhappily, when swords are once drawn in civil war, they are seldom sheathed till experience has shown which blade is the sharper.

Though this particnlar instance of the queen's prodigious ascendency over her husband remained secret till


[^105]the pullication of lord Clarendon's Life, it was in general well known, and put the leaders of the commons on a remarkable stroke of policy, in order to prevent the renewal of negotiations. On her landing in the north, with a supply of money and arms impeach-
 as well as with a few troops she had collected queen. in Holland, they carried up to the lords an impeachment for high treason against her. This measure (so obnoxions was Henrietta) met with a less vigorous opposition than might be expected, though the moderate party was still in considerable force. ${ }^{\text {b }}$. It was not only an insolence which a king, less uxorious than Charles, could never pardon, but a violation of the primary laws and moral sentiments that preserve human society, to which the queen was acting in obedience. Scarce any proceeding of the long parliament seems more odions than this; whether designed by way of intimidation, or to exasperate the king, and rendor the composure of existing differences more impracticable.

The enemies of peace were strengthened by the disoovery of what is usually called Waller's plot, waners a scheme for making a strong demonstration of plow the royalist party in London, wherein several members of both houses appear to have been more or less concerned. Upon the detection of this conspiracy, the two housea of parliament took an oath not to lay down arms, so long as the papists now in arms should be protected from the justice of parliament; and never to adhere to, or willingly assist, the forces raised by the king, without the consent of both houses. Every individual member of the peers and commons took this osth; some of them being then in secret concert with the king, and others entertaining intentions, as their conduct very soon evinced, of deserting to his side. Such was the com-

[^106]mencement of a system of perjury, which lasted for many years, and belies the pretended religion of that hypocritical age. But we may always look for this offect from oppressive power, and the imposition of political tests.

The king was now in a course of success, which made him rather hearken to the sanguine courtiers of Oxford. where, according to the invariable character of an exiled faction, every advantage or reverse brought on a diaproportionate exultation or despondency, than to those better counsellors who knew the precariousness of his good fortune. He published a declaration, wherein he denied the two houses at Westminster the name of a parliament; which he could no more take from them, after the bill he had passed, than they could deprive him of his royal title, and by refusing which he shut up all avenues to an equal peace. ${ }^{k}$. This was soon followed by so extrsordinary a political error as manifests the king's want of judgment, and the utter improbability that any event of the war could have restored to England the blessings of liberty and repose. Threo peers of the moderate party, the earls of Holland, Bedford, and Clare, dissatisfied with the preponderance of a violent faction in the commons, left their places at Westminster, and

Sccesman of some poers to the kang's quatiers. came into the king's quarters. It might be presumed, from general policy as well as from his constant declarations of a desire to restore peace, that they would have been received with such studied courtesy as might serve to reconcile to their own mind a step which, when taken with the best intentions, is alwaya equivocal and humiliating. There was great reason to believe that the earl of Northumberland, not only the first peer then in England as to family and fortune, but a man highly esteemed for prudence, was only waiting to observe the reception of those who went first to Oxford before he followed their steps. There were even well-founded hopes of the earl of Fissex, who, though incapable of betraying his trust as commander of the parliamont's army, was, both from personal and public motives, dis-

[^107]inclined to the war-party in the commons. There was much to expect from all those who had secretly wished well to the king's cause, and from those whom it is madness to reject or insult, the followers of fortune, the worshippers of power, without whom neither fortune nor power can long subsist. Yet such was the state of Charles's council-board at Orford that Their treatment there some were for arresting these proselyte earls; mpoliic and it was carried, with difficulty, after they had been detained some time at Wallingford, that they might come to the court But they met there with so many and such general slights, that, though they fought in the king's army at Newbury, they found their position intolerably ignominions, and, after about three months, returned to the parliament with many expressions of repentance, and strong testimonies to the ovil comsels of Oxford. ${ }^{-1}$

The king eeems to have been rather passive in this strange piece of impolicy, but by no means to have taken the line that became him, of repressing the selfish jealonsy or petty revengefulness of his court. If the earl of Holland was a man whom both he and the queen, on the score of his great obligations to them, might justly roproach with some ingratitade, there was nothing to be objected against the other two, save their continuance at Westminster, and compliance in votes that he disliked. And if this were to be visited by neglect and discountenance, there could, it was plain, be no reconciliation between him and the parliament. For who could imagine that men of courage and honour, while possessed of any eort of strength and any hopes

[^108]of preserving it, would put up with a mere indemnity for their lives and fortunes, subject to be reckoned as pardoned traitora, who might thank the king for his clemency, without presuming to his favour? Charles must have seen his superiority consolidated by repeated victories, before he could prudently assume this tone of conquest. Inferior in substantial force, notwithstanding his transient advantages, to the parliament, he had no probability of regaining his station but by defections from their banner; and these, with incredible folly, he seemed to decline; far unlike his illustrions father-inlaw, who had cordially embraced the leaders of a rebellion much more implacable than the present. For the Oxford connsellors and courtiers, who set themselves against the reception of the three earls, besides their particular animosity towards the earl of Holland," and that general feeling of disdain and distrust which. as Clarendon finely obeerves, seems by nature attached to all desertion and inconstancy, whether in politics or religion (even among those who reap the advantage of it, and when founded apon what they ought to reckon the soundest reasons), there seem grounds to suspect that they had deeper and more selfish designs than they cared to manifest. They had long beset the king with solicitations for titles, offices, pensions; but these were necessarily too limited for their cravings. They had sustained, many of them, great losses; they had performed real or pretended services for the king; and it is probable that they looked to a confiscation of enemies' property for their indemnification or reward. This would account for an adverseness to all overtares for peace, as decided, at this period, among a great body of the cavaliers, as it was with the factions of Pym or Vane.

These factions were now become finally predominant

[^109]at Westminster.: On the news that prince Rupert had taken Bristul, the last and most serious loss that the parliament sustained, the lords pacific party agreed on propositions for peace to be sent to ${ }_{\text {and }}^{\text {gascendunt }}$ the king, of an unusually moderate tone. ${ }^{\circ}$ The at west commons, on a division of 94 to 65 , deter- minster. mined to take them into consideration; bat the lord mayor Pennington having procured an address of the city against peace, backed by a tumultuous mob, a small majority was obtained against concurring with the other house. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ It was after this that the lords above mentioned, as well as many of the commons, quitted Westaninster. The prevailing party had no thoughts of peace till they could dictate its conditions. Through Essex's great success in raising the siege of Gloucester, the most distinguished exploit in his military life, and the battle of Newbury, wherein the advantage was certainly theirs, they became secure against any important attack on the king's side, the war turning again to endless sieges and skirmishes of partisans. And they now adopted two important measures, one of which gave a new complexion to the quarrel.

Littleton, the lord-keeper of the great seal, had carried at away with him to the king. This of itself put a stop to the regular course of the executive government, and to the administration of justice within the parlisment's quarters. No employments could be filled up, no writs for election of members issued, no commissions for holding the assizes completed, without the indispensable formality of affixing the great seal. It must suroly excite a smile, that men who had raised armies, and

[^110]Parl. Fist. 156, Bac; Clareudon, Iv. 183: Hollis's Memolre Hollis was a teller for the masority on the first occasion; he had left the warlike party some month (Ballife, i. 358); and his mame is in the Journals repeatedly, from November, 1842, mateller agalast them, though he in charged with having ald the year befure that he abhorred the name of acoommodition. Hutchinson, p, 208. Though a very honest, and to a certain extenl an able man, he wat too much carried away by personal animoslties; and as there shited his priciples shifred alma.
fought battles against the king, should be perplexed how to get over so technical a difficult.y. But the great seal, in the eyes of the Bnglish lawyers, has a sort of mysterious efficacy, and passes for the depository of royal authority in a higher degree than the person of the
king. The commons prepared an ordinance in July for making a new great seal, in which the lords could not be induced to concur till October. The royalists, and the king limself, exclaimed against this as the most audacious treason, though it may be reckoned a very natural consequence of the state in which the parliament was placed; and in the subsequent negotiations it was one of the minor points in dispute, whether he should authorise the proceedings under the great seal of the two houses, or they consent to sanction what had been done by virtue of his own.

The second measure of parliament was of greater moment and more fatal consequences. I have already mentioned the stress laid by the bigoted Scots presbyterians on the establishment of their own churohgovernment in England. Chiefly perhaps to conciliate this people, the house of commons had entertained the bill for abolishing episcopaoy; and this had formed a part of the nineteen propositions that both houses tendered to the king. After the action at Brentford they concurred in a declaration to be delivered to the Scota commissioners, resident in London, wherein, after setting forth the malice of the prelatical clergy in hindering the reformation of ecclesiastical government and professing their own desire willingly and affectionately to pursue a closer union in such mattars hetween the two nations, they request their brethren of Scotland to raise such forces as they should judge sufficient for the securing the peace of their own borders against ill-affeoterl persons there; as likewise to assist them in suppressing the army of papists and foreigners which, it was expeoted, would shortly be on foot in England.r

[^111]This overture produced for many months no sensible effect. The Scots, with all their national wariness, suspected that, in spite of these general declarations in favour of their church polity, it was not much at hear with most of the parliament, and might be given up in a treaty, if the king would concede some other matters in dispute. Accordingly, when the progress of his arms, especially in the north, during the ensuing summer, compelled the padiament to call in a more pressing manner, and by a special embassy, for their aid, they resolved to bind them down by such a compact as no wavering policy should ever rescind. They insisted therefore on the adoption of the solemn league and covenant, founded on a similar association of their own five years before, through which they had successfully resisted the king and overthrown the prelatic government. The covenant consisted in an oath to be subscribed by all sorts of persons in both kingdoms, whereby they bound themselves to preserve the reformed religion in the church of Scotland, in doctrine, worship, discipline, and government, according to the word of God and practice of the best reformed churches; and to endeavour to bring the churches of God in the three kingdoms to the nearest conjunction and uniformity in religion, confession of faith, form of church-government, directory for worship, and catechizing; to endeavour, without respect of persons, the extirpation of popery, prelacy (that is, churchgovernment by archbishops, bishops, their chancellors, and commissaries, deans and chapters, archdeacons, and all other ecclesiastical officers depeuding on that hierarchy), and whatsoever should be found contrary to sound doctrine and the power of godliness; to preserve the rights and privileges of the parliaments and the liberties of the kingdoms, and the king's person and authority, in the preservation and defence of the true religion and liberties of the kingdoms; to endeavour the discovery of incendiaries and malignants, who hinder the reformation of religion, and divide the king from his people, that they may be brought to punishment; finally, to assist and defend all such as should enter into this covenant and not suffer themselves to be withdrawn from it, whether to revolt to the opposite party, or to give into a detestable indifference or neutrality. In cor.
formity to the etrict alliance thos eatablished between the two kingdoms, the Scots commissioners at Westminster were introsted, jointly with a committee of both huuses, with very extensive powers to administer the public affairs.*

Every member of the commons who remained at WestThe par- minster, to the number of 228, or perhaps more, lument and from 20 to 30 peers that formed their apper suluscribes to the house," subscribed this deliberate pledge to covenant overtarn the established church; many of them with extreme reluctance, both from a dislike of the innovation, and from a consciousness that it raised a most formidable obstacle to the restoration of peace; but with a secret reserve, for which some want of precision in the language of this covenant (purposely introduced by Vane, as is said, to shelter his own schemes) afforded them a sort of apology.: It was next imposed on all civil and military officers, and upon all the beneficed clergy. A severe persecution fell on the faithful chil-

[^112]Io a legal mayy. Vol i. p. sion
" Barnet's Mem of Dake of Hamilton, p. 239. I am not quite sutistied ay to thin, which later witiers aeto to bave taken from Bumel. It may well be aupposed that the ambiguity of the covenant was not very palpabie; doce the Scuts presbytarians, a people nob eatily cosened, werecontent with its expreagion. According to fiar and booest rules of interpretetion, it certainly bound the cubacribers to the establishment of a church-government coniormed to that of Scoliand; namely, the presbyterian, exciuatve of all mixtare with any other. But telden, and the other friends of modernte epleoopicy who took the coweanst, Juatifed it, I suppose, to tbeir consciences, by the pretext that, in remomeing the Jartedietion of blebopis, they meant the unlimited jurtadiction withont coscarrence of any prestryters It wes not, however, at ection oa which they eoold refleot with plemare. Baxter eys that Gataker, and aomo others of the amenoly, would not subecribe the covenant, but on the understanding that they did nof reatomee primitive epltoopacy by it Life of Baxier, $p$ is Theme controveralal cabilotias elude the arditingy reeder of history.
5 After the war mane ended cite of the
dren of the Anglican church. Many had already been sequestered from their livings, or even subjected to imprisonment, by the parliamentary committee for scandalons ministers, or by subordinate committees of the same kind set up in each county within their quarters; sometimes on the score of immoralities or false doctrine, more frequently for what they termed malignity, or attachment to the ling end his party.' Yet wary men, who meddled not with politics, might hope to elude this inquisition. But the covenant, imposed as a Persention general test, drove out all who were too con- who refase scientious to pledge themselves by a solemn appeal to the Deity to resist the polity which they generally believed to be of his institution. What number of the olergy were ejected (most of them but for refusing the covenant, and for no moral offence or imputed superstition) it is impossible to ascertain. Walker, in his Sufferings of the Clergy, a folio volume published in the latter end of Anne's reign, with all the viruleuce and partiality of the high-church faction in that age, endear voured to support those who had reckoued it at 8000 ; a palpable over-statement upon his own showing, for he cannot produce near 2000 names after a most diligent

Fing's party were admitted to compound tor thelr estates without taling the corenant. This Clerendon, io one of his leuters, calls "maring hatte to broy demmation at two yeari' purchage." VoL. ii. p. 288.

Y Neal, ii. 19, dre, is thir enough in censoring the committees, expecially thome In the conntry. © The greatest part [of tho clengy) wert cast out for malignity fattechment to the royal eange); superatition and false doctrine were hardly ever ohjected; yet the proveedings of the mequeptration ware not sways jurdfiable; for, wherens a cpurt of judicetrare chould rather be countel for the prigoner than the promecutor, the commintionert condidered tho ting's clengy an their mont dangenous enemies, and were ready to lay hold of all opportunlties to diachange them their pulpits." P. 24 But if we can reiv az all on Whlte's Centenry of Malignant Miusiatars (and I do not perceive that Wultser has been able to concroverti it), there where a good manay entee of irroquler

Ufe in the clergy, 00 far et feast as bannting alehouses; which, however, was moch more common, and cornsequenuly landecent, in that age than at present. See also Barter's Life, p. 74; whoee anthority, ebough apen to mone exceptiona on tha sorre of prefadice, is at heast better than Walker'․

The ling'e party were not lem oppressive towade ministers whom they reckoned puritan; which unluckdly comprehended moet of thoes who were of surfict lives, especialiy If they preacbed Calvin-地ically, unleat they sedeemed that entipicion by strong demonstrations of loyality. Neal, p. 21. Berter's Lure, p. 42 . And, it they pot themseives formard on this aide, they were sure to enffer most eeverely for it on the parliament's ancoese; an ordiname of April 1. 164s, having eequentered the privite estates of all the clergy who had sided the king Thin the condition of the Finglish clergy way overy way moat deplorable; and in face they ware atterly ruined.
investigation. Neal, however, admits 1600 , probably more than one-fifth of the beneficed ministers in the kingdom." The biographical collections furnish a pretty copious martyrology of men the most distinguished by their learning and virtues in that age. The remorseless and indisoriminate bigotry of presbyterianism might boast that it had heaped disgrace on Walton, and driven Lydiat to beggary; that it trampled on the old age of Hales, and embittered with insult the dying moments of Chillingworth.

But the most anjustifiable act of these zealots, and one rmpench. of the greatest reproaches of the long parliamentand ment, was the death of archbishop Laud. In execation the first days of the session, while the fall of
or Laud. Strafford struck every one with astonishment, the commons had carried up an impeachment against him for high treason, in fourteen articles of charge; and he had lain ever since in the Tower, his revenues and evon private estate sequestered, and in great indigence. After nearly three years' neglect, specific articles were exhibited against him in October, 1643, but not proceeded on with vigour till December, 1644; when, for whatever reason, a determination was taken to pursue this unfortunate prelate to death. The charges against him, which Wild, Maynard, and other managers of the impeachment wore to usgravate into treason, related partly to those papistical innovations which had nothing of a political character about them, partly to the violent proceedings in the star-chamber and high-commission courts, wherein Laud was very prominent as a councillor, but certainly without any greater legal responsibility than fell on many others. He defended himself, not always prudently or satisfactorily, but with courage and ability; never receding from his maguificent notions of spiritual power, but endeavouring to shift the blame of the sentences pronounced by the council on those who concurred with him. The imputation of popery he repelled by a list of the converts he had made; but the word was equivocal, and he could not deny the difference

[^113]between his protestantism and that of our Reformation. Nothing could be more monstrous than the allegation of tresson in this case. The judges, on a reference by the lords, gave it to be understood, in their timid way, that the charges contained no legal treason." But, the commons having changed their impeachment into an ordinance for his execution, the pears were pusillanimons enough to comply. It is said by Clarendon that only eeven londs were in the house on this occasion: but the Journals unfortunately bear witness to the presence of twenty.: Land bad amply merited punishment for his trrannical abuse of power; but his execution at the age of seventy, without the slightest pretence of political necessity, was a far more unjustifiable instance of it than ny that was alleged against him.

Purstant to the before-mentioned treaty, the Scots army of 21,000 men marehed into England in January, 1644. This was a very serious accession to Charles's difficulties, already sufficient Deciline of the king's to dissipate all hopes of final triumph, except in the most sanguine minds. His successes, in fact, had been rather such as to surprise well-judging men than to make them expeet any more favourable termination of the war than by a fair treaty. From the beginning it may be said that the yeomanry and trading classea of towns were generally hostile to the king's side, even in thoes comnties which were in his military occupation; except in a few, such as Cornwall, Worcester, Salop, and most of Wales, where the prevailing sentiment was chiefly royalist; * and this diseffection was pro-

[^114][^115]digiously increased through the licence of his illpaid and ill-disciplined army. On the other hand, the gentry were in a great majority attached to his cause, even in the parts of England which lay subject to the parliament. But he was neverable to make any durable impression on what were called the associated counties, extending from Norfolk to Sussex inclusively, within which no rising could be attempted with any effect;: while, on the other hand, the parliament possessed several garrisons, and kept up considerable forces, in that larger portion of the kingdom where he might be reckoned superior. Their resources were far greater; and the taxes imposed by them, though exceedingly heavy, were more regularly paid and less ruinous to the people than the sudden exactions, half plender hatf contribution, of the ravenons cavaliers. The king lost ground during the winter. He had bailt hopes on bring ing over troops from Ireland; for the sake of which ho made a truce, then called the cessation, with the rebel catholics. But this reinforcement having been beaten and dispersed by Fairfax at Namptwich, he had the mortification of finding that this scheme had much ircreased his own unpopularity, and the distrust entent tained of him even by his adherents, without the smallest advantage. The next campaign was marked by the great defeat of Rupert and Newoastle at Marston Moori and the loss of the north of England; a blow so terrible as must have brought on his speedy ruin, if it had not been in sume degree mitigated by his strange and unexpected success over Fissex in the west, and by the

former adminigtration hed been illeqeal. Notes on Clasendon, pe 588. Bot thim was not, perbaps, to be expected; and hls repeated promides to govern sceordirg to lave might be conatrued into tacit aoknowledgraents of past errors.
d The aswoiated counties, properiy epeakligg, were at tiat Norfolty Sufiolte Essex, Hertford, Cumbridge; to which nome otherm Fere modid Savex, I beIfere, was not a part of the amocintions but it whe equally within the parliames tary palo, though the geatry were ree mariatioly loyal in their inclinationa Ta came whe tree of sient
tardiness of the Scots in making use of their victory. Upon the result of the campaign of 1644, the king's affaira were in such bad condition that nothing less than a series of victories could have reinstated them; yet not so totally ruined as to hold out much prospect of an approaching terraination to the people's calamities.

There had been, from the very commencement of the war, all that distraction in the king's councils Factions at Oxford, und all those bickerings and heart- at oxford. burnings among his adherents, which naturally belong to men embarked in a dangerous cause with different motives and different views. The military men, some of whom had served with the Swedes in Germany, acknowledged no laws but those of war; and could not understand that, either in annoying the enemy or providing for themselves, they were to acknowledge any restraints of the civil power. The lawyers, on the other hand, and the whole constitutional party, laboured to keep up, in the midst of arms, the appearances at least of legal justice and that favourite maxim of Englishmen, the supremacy of civil over military authority, rather more strictly perhaps than the nature of their actual circumstances would admit. At the head of the former party stood the king's two nephews, Rupert and Maurice, the younger sons of the late unfortunate elector palatine, soldiers of fortane (as we may truly call them), of rude and imperious characters, avowedly despising the council and the common law, and supported by. Charles, with all his injudiciousness and incapacity for affairs, against the greatest men of the kingdom. Another very powerful and ubnoxious faction was that of the catholics, proud of their services and sacrifices, confident in the queen's protection, and looking at least to a full toleration as their just rewnrd. They were the natoral enemies of peace, and little less hated at Oxford than at Westminster."

[^116]have deellned the king aervice. Rupert is prised, and Newcasle struck at. It if written, on the whole, in rather a lukewarm style of loyalty. The eari of Hol Iand and sir Edvand Dering gave out at thelr reason for quitting the king'e side that there was great danger of popery. This was much exaggerated; jet lord Sunderiand talles tho same langrama

At the beginning of the winter of 1643 the king took

Royalist lords and commoners sammoned to thut city. the remarkable step of summoning the peers and commoners of his party to meet in parlia. ment at Oxford. This was evidintly suggested obtaining a supply by more regolar methods than forced contribation, and of opposing a barrier to the military and popish interests. ${ }^{\text {t }}$ Whether it were equally calculated to further the ling's cause may admit of some doubt. The royalist convention indeed, which name it ought rather to have taken than that of parliament, mot in considerable strength at Oxford. Forty-three peers, and one hundred and eighteen commoners, subscribed a letter to the earl of Essex, expressing their anxiety for a treaty of peace; twenty-nine of the former, and fiftyseven of the latter, it is said, being then absent on the king's service, or other occasions. ${ }^{5}$ Such a display of numbers, nearly double in one house and nearly half in the other, of those who remained at Westminster, might have an effect on the nation's prejudices, and at least redeem the king from the charge of standing singly against his parliament. But they came in no spirit of

## Stiney Papers, 11. 667. Lord Falkiand's dejuection of coirits, and constant desire of peese, mast chielly be necribed to hie disgust with the conunctis of Oxford, and the greater part of those with whom be wae meoociated.

E quel che pilh ti gravert le spalle
Eara ls compagria malvagia e ris,
Nella qual tu cadrai in questa ralle.
We know too ittile of this excellent man, whose talents bowover and cariy parsuita du not neem to have particulary qualified hlm for pablic life. It is evident that ho did not plange into the loyel canse with will the yeal of his friend Hyde; and the ting donbtlese had no great regand for the connsels of onte who took so very different a view of some important mattors from bimself. Lifo of Clisendon, 48. He had been active against Strafford, and probably had a bed opinion of Iend. The prosecution of Finch for high treason be had hlmself mored in the Ormond letuerm i 90 , be secom to be struct at by ane writing thom Oxford, June 1, 1843: " God foridd that the beat of men and kings be so used by some bed bollow -heurtel counsoliore, who affect wo

[^117]forvid loyalty, rather distrustful of the king, especially on the score of religion; averse to some whom he had injudiciously raised to power, such as Digby and Cottington; and so eager for pacification as not perhaps to have been onwilling to purchase it by greater concessions than he could prudently make." Peace however was by no means brought nearer by their meeting; the parliament, jeal:us and alarmed at it, would never recognise their existence, and were so provoked at their voting the lords and commons at Westminster guilty of treason, that, if we believe a writer of some authority, the two houses unanimously passed a vote on Essex's motion, summoning the king to appear by a certain day. ${ }^{1}$ But the Scots commissioners had force enough to turn aside such violent suggestions, and ultimately obtained the concurrence of both houses in propositions for a treaty." They had begun to find themselves less likely to sway the counsels of Westminster than they had expected, and dreaded the rising ascendancy of Cromwell. The treaty was opened at Uxbridgo in January, 1645. Treaty of But neither the king nor his adversaries en- Uxbridge. tered on it with minds sincerely bent on peace: they, on the one hand, resulute not to swerve from the utwoost rigour of a conqueror's terms, without having conquered; and he, though more secretly, cherishing illusive hopes of a more triumphant restoration to power than any treaty could be expected to effect. ${ }^{\text {m }}$


#### Abstract

h Rushworth, 4 br. 7. 968 and 296; where is an addrees to the king, inlimattig. If aftentively condidered, a iltue approhension of popery and arbltrary power. Baillie says, in one of his lettern *The first day the Oxford pariament met, the tring made a loug apeech; but many being ready to give in papers for the removing of Eigby, Cottlugton, and othern from court, the meeting was adjourned for some days" i. 429. Indeed, the restoration of Coutington, and still more of Windebank, to the ling's councilts, was no pledge of protestant or conotifutional meacures. This oppoditon, so ustural to paritamente in any circumstances, disgusted Charles. In one of his lettere to the queen he congratulates bimbelf on being ${ }^{4}$ fread from the place of all motinom mothons, bit mongrel parile-


ment." It may be promuned that some of those who obeyed the king's aummons to Oxford were influenced less by loyalty than a consideration that their estates lay in parts cocupled by his troops; of course the same is applicable to the Weatminster partiament.
: Baillie, 44. I can find mo mention of this in the Journals; but, as Baillio was then in London, and in constant ibsterconrse with the leader of parlinment, there mast have been wome foundation for his statement, through he seemis to have been inaccarate as to the fact of the vote. 15 Pari. Hist 209, el poet. Clarendon, 7. 16. Whitelock, 110, Scc Rush. Abr v. 449 , Ac-
mo It wes tmpoesible for the latg to avoid this treaty. Not only his Oxferd parliamont, at might naturally bo $0 \times$

The three leading topics of discussion among the

Impoestbility of agreament. negotiators at Uxbridge were the church, the militia, and the state of Ireland. Bound by their unhappy covenant, and watched by their Scots colleagues, the English commissioners on the parliament side demanded the complete establishment of a presbyterian polity, and the substitution of what was called the directory for the Anglican liturgy. Upon this head there was little prospect of a anion. The king had deeply imbibed the tenets of Andrews and Lata, believing an episcopal government indispensably necessary to the valid administration of the sacraments, and the very existence of a Christian church. The Scots, and a portion of the English clergy, were equally confident that their presbyterian form was established by the apostles as a divine model, from which it was unlawful to depart.: Though most of the laity in this kingdom entertained less narrow opinions, the parliamentary commissioners thought the king ought rather to concede such a point than themselves, especially as his former
pected, were openly deairous of peace, but a greast part of the sarmy had, in Angut, 164, while opposed to that of Basex in we weat, taken the extraordinary step of sending a letter to that genaral, deelaring their intontions for the righte and liberties of the people, prive leges of parllament, and protestant rellgion againat popish funovations; and that, on the faith of solhfects, the honour and repatation of gentlemen and soldiers, they wovid with thair lives malnesin that which his majesty chould pabliciy promive in order to a bloodleas peace; ther went on to request that Bmeex, with dix more, would meet the general (ourl of Brentford), with aix more, to constder of all means posible to reconcile the umhappy difterences and micuanderstandinge that have oo long affilcted the Idngdom. Str Edvard Walker's Historical Discourses, 69. The ling wag acqualnted with thif letter before it wat eant, bat Ater coene hands had been gaboeribed to It Fo consented, but ovidentiv with sreat reluctance, and oven indignation; an hle own expromions testify in this paseage of Walker, whose manoseript bere, as in many oiber places, contains intertineation by Charles himelf it
was donbttoses rather in a dutinous spirit Which hed spread widely through the army, and contribated to its atter ratr in the next campaigi. I preanme it was at the Eng's deaire that tho letter was afgoed by the general as well as by prince Mantice, and ail the coloneln, I belleve, in his amm, to tate of the tappearance of a faction; but it certainly originated with Wilmot, Percy, and soma of those whom be thought id anfected. See Clarendon, iv. 627, et ponth Ruahw. Abr. 7. 34B, 858.
athe ring's doctors, Steward and Sheldon, argued at Uxbridge that eptocopecy wan jure divino; Henderson and others, that presbytery was 80 Whitelock, 132. Theve churchmen moald have been locked up like a Jury, without food or flre, till they agreed.
If we may believe Claronding, the eat of Loudon offered in the name of the Soote that, if the king would give up episcopecy, they woild not prese any of the other dewands. It is certain however that they would bever have guffered him to become the master of the Bngitab parliament; and, if this ofiter wes samorely rumic, it must have been from a convio lion that he curald not beotene such
onnsent to the abolition of episcopacy in Scotland weakened a good deal the force of his plea of conscience; while the royalists, even could they have persuaded their master, thought episcopacy, though not absolutely of divine right (a notion which they left to the churchmen), yet so highly beneficial to religion and so important to the monarchy, that nothing less than extreme necessity, or at least the prospect of a signal advantage, conld justify its abandonment. They offered, however, what in an earlier stage of their dissensions would have satisfied almost every man, that limited scheme of episcopal hierarchy, above mentioned as approved by Usher, rendering the bishop among his presbyters much like the king in parliament, not free to exercise his jurisdiction, nor to confer orders withont their consent, and offered to leave all ceremonies to the minister's discretion. Such a compromise would probably have pleased the English nation, averse to nothing in their established charch except its abusee ; but the parliamentary negotiators would not so much as enter into discussion apon it. ${ }^{-}$

They were hardly less myielding on the sabject of the militia. They began with a demand of naming all the commanders by sea and land, including the lordlieutenant of Ireland, and all governors of garrisons, for an unlimited time. The king, though not very willingly, proposed that the command should be vested in twenty persons, half to be named by himself, half by the parliament, for the term of three years, which he afterwards extended to seven, at the expiration of which time it should revert to the crown. But the utmost concession that could be obtained from the other side wes to limit thair exclusive possession of this power to seven years, leaving the matter open for an ulterior arrangement by act of parliament at their termination. ${ }^{p}$ Even if this treaty had been conducted between two belligerent states, whom rivalry or ambition often excite to press every demand which superior power can extort from weakness, there yet was

[^118][^119]nothing in the condition of the king's affairs which should compel him thus to pass under the yoke, and enter his capital as a prisoner. But we may also remark that, according to the great principle that the English constitution, in all its component parts, wes to be maintained by both sides in this contest, the question for parliament was not what their military advantages or resources for war entitled them to ask, but what was required for the due balance of power under a limited monarchy. They could rightly demand no further concession from the king than was indispensable for their cown and the people's security; and I leave any one who is tolerably acquainted with the state of England at the beginning of 1645 to decide whether their privileges and the public liberties incurred a greater risk by such an equal partition of power over the sword as the king proposed, than his prerogative and personal freedom would have encountered by abandoning it altogether to their discretion. I am far from thinking that the acceptance of the king's propositions at Uxbridge would have restored tranquillity to England. He would atill have repined at the limitations of monarchy, and others would have conspired against its existence. But of the various consequences which we may picture to ourselves as capable of resulting from a pacification, that which appears to me the least likely is, that Charles should have re-astablished that arbitrary power which he had exeroised in the earlier period of his reign. Whence, in fact, was he to look for assistance? Was it with such creatures of a court as Jermyn or Ashbornham, or with a worn-out veteran of office like Cottington, or a rash adventurar like Digby, that he could outwit Vane, or overawe Cromwell, or silence the press and the pulpit, or strike with panic the stern puritan and the confident fanatic? Some there were, beyond question, both soldiers and courtiers, who hated the very name of a limited monarchy, and murmured at the constitutional language which the king, from the time he made use of the pens of Hyde and Falkland, had systematically employed in his public declarations. 9 But it is as certain

[^120]that the great majority of his Oxford parliament, and of those upon whom he must have depended either in the field or in council, were apprebensive of any victory that might render him absolute, as that Essex and Manchester were unwilling to conquer at the expense of the constitation. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ The catholics, indeed, generally speaking, would have gone great lengths in asserting his authority. Nor is this any reproach to that body, by no means natorally less attached to their country and its liberties than other Englishmen, but driven by an unjust persecution to see their only hope of emancipation in the nation's servitude. They could not be expected to sympathise in that patriotism of the seventeenth centary, which, if it poured warmth and radiance on the protestant, was to them as a devouring fire. But the king could have made no use of the catholics as a distinct body for any political purpose without uniting all other parties against him. He had already given so mach offence, at the commencement of the war, by accepting the services which the catholic gentry were forward to offer, that, instead of a more manly justification, which the temper of the times, he thought, did not permit, he had recourse to the useless subterfuges of denying or extenuating the facts, and even to a strangely improbable recrimination, asserting on several occasions that the number of papists in the parliament's army was much greater than in his own."

court Rupert, be myth proposed to march to Lcodon. * Mats I esprit Angiois, quif ne dement poing meme dans lea pite atteches is is royaute, Terprit Artyola, dus-de, corajouns enteléde ces liberter ai funeates an repos do la nation, portia la plow grande partie do coneil à s'oppreer i oe deamekn. Lo preterte tet qu'll etoft dandereax poar kion roy do l'entrepmendre. et pour la ville gas to prince Robert Yeabertest, jeone ocmme II Etolt, emporth, et capabio d'y mettre lo tet. La Trate misoom efort qu'ile criguoient quen al la roy entroit dans Loodres les armes in la maln, il ne prétendiat our la mation me exproce de droit de coocquttes quif le veno dist trop abeolun" B6volut dAngleterre,汒 104

* Buabworth A br. Iv. 554. At the very time that he men politiol deayting

It may still indeed be questioned whether, admitting the propositions tendered to the king to have been unreasonable and insecure, it might not yet have been expedient, in the perilous condition of his affairs, rather to have tried the chances of peace than those of war. If he could have determined frankly and without reserve to have relinquished the church, and called the leaders of the presbyterian party in both houses to his councils, it is impossible to prove that he might not both have regained his power over the militia in no long course of time, and prevailed on the parliament to consent to its own dissolution. The dread that party felt of the republican spirit rising amongst the independents would have induced them to place in the hands of any sovereign they could trust full as much authority as our constitution permits. But no one who has paid attention to the history of that period will conclude that they could have secured the king against their common enemy, had he even gone wholly into their own measures.' And this were to suppose such an entire change in his character and ways of thinking as no external circumstances could produce. Yet his prospects, from a continuance of hostilities, were so unpromising, that most of the royalists would probably have hailed his almost unconditional submission at Uxbridge. Even the steady Richmond and Southampton, it is said, implored him to yield, and deprecated his misjudging confidence in promises of forcign aid or in the successes of Montrose." The more

Dis emplogment of papiste he wrote to Newonstle, commanding him to make ase of all his anibjects servioes, without uramining their consclences, except ata to loyalty. Klus's Letters, iil 291, from an original in the Mabeam. No one can rationally blame Charlee for anything in this but his Inveterate and useless habit of talsehood. See Clarendon, III. 810.
It it probable that come forelgan catholles were in the parliament's service But Doid says, with great appearance of truth, that no one Engilish gentleman of that persuasion was in arms on their alide. Church History of Engin, ill. 28 He repurts as a matter of hearsay. that, ont of ayout tive bunded gentlemen who boe thatr lives for charies in the divl
war, one hundred and ninety-four were cathollca They were, doublesa, a very powerfol faction in the court and army. Lord Spencer (afterwarde earl of Sonderland), in some remartable letters to his wifb from the ining's quarters at Sarewsbary, in September, 1642. Epeabs of the insolency of the papists with great dismaisfuction. Sidney Papers, 14. 687.
It cannot be doubted, and is admitted in a remarkable convernatiun of Holls and Whitelock with the ling at Oxford, in November, 1644, that the exortitant terms demanded at Uxbridge were carried by the vlotent party, who disllied al parification. Whitelock, $p$ ils
"Rallie, iL 91. He addes," That which his been the gremtest smare to the itng it
lukewarm or discontented of his adherents took this upportumity of abandoning an almost hopeless cause: between the breach of the treaty of Uxbridge and the hattle of Naseby, several of the Oxford peers came over to the parliament, and took an engagement never to bear arms against it. $\Delta$ few instances of such defection had ocourred before. ${ }^{x}$

It remained only, after the rupture of the treaty at Uxbridge, to try once more the furtane of war. Minedea The people, both in'the ting's and parliament's the mar. quarters, but especially the former, heard with dismay that peace could not be attained. Many of the perpetual skirmishes and captures of towns, which made every man's life and furtune precarious, have found no place in general history, but may be traced in the journal of Whitelock, or in the Mercuries and other fugitive sheets, great numbers of which are etill extant And it will appear, I believa, from these, that scarcely one county in England was exempt, at one time or other of the war, from becoming the scene of this unnatural contest. Compared, indeed, with the civil wars in France in the preceding centary, there had been fewer acts of enormons cruelty, and less atrocions breaches of public faith. But much blood had been wantonly shed, and articles of capitulation had been very indifferently kept. "Either side," says Clarendon, "having somewhat to object to the other, the requisite honesty and justice of observing conditions was mutrally, as it were by ggreement, for a long time violated." The rovalist army, especially the cavalry, commanded by men eithar wholly unprincipled. or at least regardless of the peoplo, and deeming them ill affected, the princes Rupert and Marrice, Goring and

[^121]Eveparimertit qurtex Fik leAL He

 lefine the war.

 of the ouplolation 1 mapert athet it



 nereim

Wilmot, lived without restraint, or law, or military discipline, and committed every excess even in friendly quarters. ${ }^{z}$ An ostentatious dissoluteness became characteristic of the cavalier, as a formal austerity was of the puritan : one spoiling his neighbour in the name of God, the other of the king. The parliament's troops were not quite free from these military vices, but displayed them in a much less scandalous degree, owing to their more religious habits and the influence of their presbyterian chaplains, to the better example of their commanders, and to the comparative, though not absolute, punctuality of their pay.* But this pay was raised through unheardof assessments, especially an excise on liquors, a new name in England, and through the sequestration of the estates of all the king's adherents : resources of which he also had availed himself, partly by the rights of war, partly by the grant of his Oxford parliament.'


#### Abstract

- Cierendon and Whitelock, peater. Baxtar's Lfo, p. 44, B6. Thls licemot of Maurios's and Goring's armien tin the reast ilist led to the defengive insurreotian, if so it ebould be culled, of thecilubmen; that is, of jeomen and oorotry people, armed ooly with clubs, who loped, by numbers and convert, to resiat effectually the militery maraaders of both partien, declaring themsolves nalther for king nor parilament, but for their own liberty and mroperty. They were of eorarse reganded with dialiva an both cides; by the ling'a party when they first appeared in 1644, because they erippled the royal ermy'd operatlons, and still more openily by the parimment next year, whan they opposed Fulriax's endenvoar to carry on the war in the conndes borderting on the Severn. They apperred at times in great strength; bat the want of ermit and discipline made il not very din cult to mppress them. Clarendon, V. 197; Whitelock. 187 ; Parl Eist 379, 310.

The ting himself, whoee disponition Fat Fery hargh and severe, axcept towards the tow he took into hile beemem, can pardiy be exonerated from a responsiBility for arme sets of indumanity (avo Whitelock, of, and Somers Tracts, iv. 8an, 7. 800 ; Mameres's Tracte, 1. 144, for Ins ill treatment of primoners); and he might probably hirechecked the outriget


Wirch took pince at the atorning of Lat eester: where ho wha himecif' present. Certainly no tmputation of this mature can be lald at the door of the partic mentary commanders, thorght some of them were gailty of the atructity of prab ting their Irish prisoness to death, in obedience, bowever, to an ordinance of parinment Parl. Hist. iii. 295; Rant worth's Abridgment, t. 402. It paned October 24, 1644, and all remisurese is axecuting it was to be rectroned a it vonitig of the Irish rebelllon. When we road, at wo do perpetually, these violent and harbarons proceedings of the parlis ment, is it consiatent with honeaty or homanits io hoid up that mepembly to admirition, while the faultson the lding's side are studifoasly aggrivated? Tibe partiallty of Oldmixon, Harrle, Hinctur lay, and now of Mr. Brodie and Mr. Godwin, is fall at glaring, to any the very leart, as that of Hume.

- Clarendon and Barter.
b The exclse was first impooed by an ordinames of both houses in July. 1848 (Eugband's Collection of Ordinancan, $p$ 267), und afterwands by the ling's conventica at Ceford See a view of the timaocial axpediente adopted by both parties, in Lingari, z. 84s. The place brocight in to the parimmant'a eomenis mopert at Golldhali, it 100. is mhich

A war so calamitous seemed likely to endure till it had exhausted the nation. With all the parliament's superiority, they had yet to subdue iearly half the kingdom. The Scots had not advanced southward, content with reducing Newcastle and the rest of the northern counties. These they treated almost as hostile, without distinction of parties, not only exacting contributions, but committing unless they are much belied, great ex cesses of indiscipline; their prasbyterian gravity not having yet overcome the ancient national propensities. In the midland and western parts the king had just the worse, without having sustained material loss; and auother summer might pass awsy in marches and countermarches, in skirmishes of cavalry, in tedious sieges of paltry fortifications, some of them mere country houses, which nothing but an amazing deficiency in that branch of military science could have rendered tenable. This protraction of the war had long given rise to no Esex and unnatural discontent with its management, and Manchester to enspicions, first of Essex, then of Manohester oosppected and others in command, as if they were secretly warmenes reluctant to complete the triumph of their employers. It is, indeed, not impossible that both these peers, especially the former, out of their desire to see peace restored on terms compatible with some degree of authority in the crown, and with the dignity of their own order, did not always press their advantages against the king as if he had been a publio enemy. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ They might have
> they silowed the value of the silver, and one thilling per ounce move, is stated by Neal at $1,287,3864$, an extraordinary proof of the wealth of Londion; yet I do not lnow his anthority, though it is arobably good. The university of Oxford gove all they had to the ting but conuld not, of coutes, vie with the eltizens.

> THe mans rateed within the parliament's quarters, from the beginning of the war to 1647, are rectroned in a pamphlet of that year, quoted in stachir's Hist of the Rovenue. \&. 983 , at $17,512,000 \mathrm{~K}$ Bit, on ruference to the tract itself, I find this written at random. The oontributions, however, wert really wery great; and, if we add thoae to the ang, and the low bo wetce and plunder,

We may form some Jodegment of the eflectes of the civil war.

- The independente ralsed lend chamours seginot the Scote army; and the nortbern oounties naturally complatined of the barthen of supporting them, of well as of thelr exowsean Many puosages in Whitelock's journal dutug 1645 and 1846 relate to this Holls endeavonat to deay or extemmate the charges; br:" is too prejudiced a writer; and Ballie himself actrnowledges a great deal. Vol. ii. 389, 149, 106.
d The catef mpotetion against Mancheater was for not following up his victory in the second battio of Newbary, with which Cromwell openk tared bim Sea Ladlow, 1132 There certainhy ap
thought that, having drawn the sword avowedly for the preservation of his person and dignity as much as for the xights and liberties of the people, they were no farther bound by their trust than to render him and his adherenta sensible of the impracticability of refusing their terms of accommodation.
There could, however, be no doubt that Fairfax and solldenying Cromwell were far superior, both by their own ordiunco talents for war and the discipline they had introduced into their army, to the earlier parliamentary commanders; and that, as a military arrangement, the self-denying ordinance was judiciously conceived. This, which took from all members of both houses their commanòs in the army, or civil employments, was, as is well known, the first great victory of the independent party which had grown up lately in parliament under Vane and Cromwell.: They carried another measure of no less


#### Abstract

paars to have been a wamt of milltary energy on this occaston; but it is and by Balilie (ii. 76) that all the general ofliours Cromwell not excepted, cancurred in Manchester's determination. Eseax had been euspected from the time of the affile at Brentiord, or racher from the battle of Edgelill (Balliso and Ludlow); and bis whole conduct, ercept in the celebrated march to relleve Gloacester, conffrmed a reasonsble distrust eithar of bis military talents, or of his real in the cance. "He loved monarchy and nodlity," mays Whitelock; p. 108, "and dreaded thoee tho had a design to destroy both." Yot Encex wes too muah a man of honerue to enter an any privato ittriguen with the ting The other pecre employed under the parliament, Stamford, Denbigh, Whloughby, werv not auocomful enough to redeem the suspicions that foll upon their zeal.


All our republican writerh, auch an Ladiow and Mn. Hutchinson in that ege, Mren. Mecaulay and Mr. Brodle more of late. spenk acrimoniousty of Emax. ${ }^{4}$ Moat will be of opinion," sat Mr. B. (History of British Empire, ill. s85), w thath as tex thousand pounds in-yeer out of the sequesterod lande were wetued apon him for his eervices, the was rewardel Infinitcly begond tis werita" \&ue roward wil doublema magolticont;
but the merit of Eneax was this, that be made himealt the moot prominent oblect of vengeance in onse of falture, by taling the commend of en army to oppowe the Klog in porracn at Edgehill; a cormmand of which no other man in his rank was capable, and which coold not, at that ume, have been intrasted to any mann of inferior rank, whithout dimolving the whole confederacy of the parllament
It is to De observed, moreover, that the two battles of Newibory, libe that of Edgehill, wert by no meang decisive victories on the adide of the pritiament; and that it is not clear whether elther Eecex or Manchentar covild have pashed the ling much more than they did. Even after Naseby his party made a pretty long realstance, and be had been as much blamed as they for not preaning his advantages whth vigoux.

- It hed been voted by the londa a gear before, Dec. 12, 1848 "That the oplinion and resolation of this bouge for from benceforth not to mdmit the mernbers of either hoose of parilament into any place or office, oxcepting ench placee of great trust as are to be axecuted by pereors of exolnency and known integrity, and are nocemary for the governmeat and oufety of the kingrom." But a motion wimate this resolution finto an ardinanor was car. ried is the nagative lorda" Jownela;
mportance, collateral to the former ; the new-modelling, us it was called, of the army; reducing it to twenty-one or twenty-two thousand men; discharging such officers and soldiers as were reckoned unfit, and completing their regiments by more select levies. The ordinance, after being once rejected by the lords, passed their house with some modifications in April. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ But many joined them on this occasion for those military reasons which I have mentioned, deeming almost any termination of the war better than its continuance. The king's rejection of their terms at Uxbridge had disgusted, however unreasonably, some of the men hitherto accounted moderate, such as the earl of Northamberland and Pierpoint, who, deaming reconciliation impracticable, took from this time a different line of politics from that they had previously followed, and were either not alive to the danger of new-modelling the army, or willing to hope that it might be disbanded before that danger conld become imminent. From Fairfax, too, the new general, they saw little to fear and mach to expect; while Cromwell, as a member of the house of commons, was positively excluded by the ordinance itself. But, through a successful intrigue of his friends, this great man, slready not leas formidable to the presbyterian faction than to the royalists, was permitted to continue lieutenantgeneral.' The most popular justification for the selfdenying ordinance, and yet perhaps its real condemnation, was soon found at Naseby; for there Batte of Fairfax and Cromwell triumphed not only over Nasely.

[^122]the king and the monarchy, but over the parliament and the pation.

It does not appear to me that a brave and prudent man, in the condition of Charles 1., had, un

## Deaperate

 conditlon of the king's afthiss. to that unfortunate day, any other alternadive than a vigorous prosecution of the war, in hope of such decisive success as, though hardly within probable calculation, is not unprecedented in the changeful tide of fortune. I cannot therefore blame him either for refusing unreasonable terms of accommodation or for not relinquishing altogether the contest. But after his defest at Naseby his affairs were, in a military sense, so irretrievable that, in prolonging the war with as much obstinacy as the broken state of his party would allow, he displayed a good deal of that indifference to the sufferings of the kingdom and of his own adherents which has been sometimes imputed to him. There was, from the hour of that battle, one only eafe and honourable course remaining. He justly abhorred to reign, if so it could be named, the slave of parliament, with the sacrifice of his conscience and his friends. But it was by no means necessary to reign at all. The sea was for many months open to him; in France, or still better in Holland, he would have found his misfortunes respected, and an asylum in that decent privacy which beoomes an exiled sovereign. Those very hopes which he too fondly cherished, and which lured him to destruction-hopes of regaining power through the disunion of his enemies -might have been entertained with better reason, as with greater safety, in a foreign land. It is not perhaps very probable that he would have been restored; but his restoration in'euch circumstances seems less desperate than through any treaty that he could conclude in captivity at home. ${ }^{\text {b }}$Whether any such thoughts of sbandoning a hopeless contest were ever entertained by the king during this particular period, it is impossible to pronounce; we should infer the contrary from all his actions. It must

[^123]be said that many of his connsellors seem to have been as pertinacious as himself, having strongly imbibed the same sanguine spirit, and looking for deliverance, according to their several fancies, from the ambition of Cromwell or the discontent of the Scots. But, whatever might have been the king's disposition, he would not have dared to retire fiom England. That sinister domestic rule to which he had so long been subject controlled every action. Careless of her husband's happiness, and already attached probably to one whom she afterwards married, Henrietta longed only for his recovery of a power which would become her own. ${ }^{1}$ Hence, while she constantly laid her injunctions on Charles never to concede anything as to the militia or the Irish catholics, she became desirous, when no other means presented itself, that he should sacrifice what was still nearer to his heart, the episcopal church-government. The queen-regent of France, whose sincerity in desiring the king's restoration there can be no ground to deny, was equally persuaded that he could hope for it on no


#### Abstract

1 Whether there are enficient grounde For concluding that Elemrietisis connexion with Jermga was criminal I will not pretend to decide; though Warturton hat settled the matter in a very cummary atyle. Sec one of his notes on Clarendon, vol. vil. p. 636. But I donbt whether tho hishop had anthority for what he there eny, thongh it it ilkely enough to be trus. See also a note of lord Dertmonth ch Burnet. 2.63. * Clarendion epeate often in his History, and atill more irequently in his private lettern, with greet resentment of the conduct of France, and nometimes of Holland, during oar civil warm. I must confors that I eee nothing to warrant thin. The States-General, againat whom Charies had so shamefulty been plotiting. intertered as moch for the purpose of mediation at they conld with the alightest prospeet of enccem, and 50 as to give offerce to the parliament (Ruabworth Abridged, v. 567 ; Ballice, it 78 ; Whitelock, 141, 148; Hardis's Life of Crom well. 246); and ata to France, though Richellea had lustignted the Scota maleocalientis, and pousibly thoee of Eingiand, yet after Min doech, in 1649, no eort of eugpicion


ougit to lle on the Freach government; the whole conduct of Anne of Amiria baving been friendly, and both the mit cion of Harcourt In 1643, and the present segotiations of Montrenll and Bellievre. perfeculy well tatended. That Mararin made promises of asistance which he had no desigh, nor perhape any power, to folni, is troe; bat this is the common tricis of mach statempen, and argues no malevolent puppore Byt Hyde, out of hin jast dislike of the queen, hated all French compexions; and his pasalonate loyalty made him think ft a crime, or at lemast a piece of bate pusillanimity, in foreign states, to keep ob ayy terms with the rebellions parioment. The che was altered after the retirement of the regent Ame trom power: Maserin's lither condoct wate, at in well kown, exceedingly dverte to the royal caume.

The tocount given by Mr. Dilsreell of Tabran'a negotintions in the firth volume of his Cammentaries on the Relgn of Charies 1 , though it does not contajn anything very important, teads to show Mararinis incilinalon toward the royal cana ts 1644 and 1846
lees painful conditions. They reasoned of course very plausibly from the great precedent of flexible consciences, the reconciliation of Henrietta's illustrious father to the catholic church. As he could neither have regained his royal power nor restored peace to France without this compliance with his ontbjects' prejudices, so Charles could still less expect, in circumstances by no means so favourable, that he should avoid a concession, in the The ing eyes of almost all men but himself, of incomthrova imp parably lees importance. It was in expectation, elf into the or perbape rather in the hope, of this sacrifice ube Soora that the French envoy Montrevil entered on his ill-starred negotiation for, the king's taking shelter with the Scots army. And it must be confeesed that eeveral of his best friends were hardly less ancions that he ahould desert a church he could not protect." They doubted not, reasoning from their own characters, that he would altimately give way. But that Charles, unchangeably resolved on this head," should have put himseff in the power of men fully as bigoted as himself (if the really conceived that the Scots presbyterians would shed their blood to re-establish the prelacy they abhorred), was an additional proof of that delusion which made him fancy that no government could be established without his concurrence; nulees indeed we should eather consider it as one of those desperate coursee into which he who can foresee nothing but evil from every calculable

[^124][^125]lise of action will sometimes plange at a veriture, borrcwing some ray of hope from the uncertainty of their con eequences. ${ }^{\circ}$

It was an inevitable effect of this step that the king surrendered his personal liberty, which he never afterwurds recovered. Cousidering his situation, we may at first think the parliameat tolerably moderate in offering nearly the same terms of peace at Newcastle which he had rejected at Uxbridge ; the chief difference being that the power of the militia, which had been demanded for commissioners nominated and removable by the two houses during an indefinite period, was now proposed to reside in the two houses for the space of twenty years; which rather more unequivocally indicated their design of making the parliament perpetual. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ But in fact they had so abridged the royal prerogative by their former propositions, that, preserving the decent semblance of monarchy, scarce anything farther could be exacted. The king's circumstances were, however, so altered that by persisting in his refusal of those propositions he excited a natural -indignation at his obstinacy in men who felt their own right (the conqueror's right) to dictate terms at pleasure. Yet this might have had a nobler character of firmness if, during all the tedious parleys of the last three years of his life, he had not by tardy and partial concessions given up so much of that for which he contended, as rather to appear like a pedler haggling for the best bargain than a sovereign unalterably determined by consoience and public spirit. We must, however, forgive much to one placed in such unparalleled difficulties. Charles had to contend, during his onhappy residence at Newcastle, not merely with revolted sub-

[^126]monld co-operits with Montrose, whom they abhorred, and viry justly, er bil (reschery and crualcy, above all moas Kving?

P Pert Fist 499. Whitelock, 215, 218. It wase voted, 17th Jmen, that after these twenty yeard the king what to exeroite no power over the militita witbont the pravious consent of purilament, who were to pas a blll at any time reapecting It, If they doould fodge the tiogdom"t afety to be concerned, which shoold be valid withoot the ling smoat Cotor monsi' Jrutnel.
jects in the pride of conquest, and with bigoted priests, as blindly confident in one set of doabtful pro-




 myn, and Ashbarnham, or the two former, urging him to sacrifice episcopacy, as the necessary means of his restoration. We have the king's answers, that display in an interesting manner the struggles of his mind under this severe trial. ${ }^{\text {N }}$ No candid reader, I think, can doubt that a serivus sense of obligation was predominant in Charles's persevering fidelity to the English church. For though he often alleges the incompatibility of presbyterianism with monarchy, and says very justly, "I am most confident that religion will mach sooner regain the militia than the militia will religion," $r$ yet these arguments seen rather intended to weigh with those who slighted his scruples than the paramount motives of his heart. He could hardly avoid perceiving that, as Colepepper told him in his rough sityle, the question was whether he would choose to ide a ling of presbytery or no king. But


#### Abstract

- P. St * Show me ay precsilent." ine thy in mother pimos " wherevor presbyering goveramentacid regal weth tocether without perpetatil rebellions, which was the caroe that nextertaled the iting Oy futher कo charge that foncmerent in Sochand And ewea th Pranoe, whero they er thet on tokerance, whith it liketpood mall canto moderation, ita  porer to robel? And it cannot to ouhermise; for the ground of thetr \$00trios in anti-meparchial Pr seen See atso pins $r$-The deripe if to unito yon with the Scoct malica and the preatorterimat ct Konglend agatote the math-arorcitind party, the indepondentis ..... If Hy conoclenco it in interaled to sumort thet eqhoupacr is jare divizo exciestin, Therier br bo protestiong er Ether Curtaing churci can be ackomiedext for ench vithoont a buthop vo now thentio erume teane onis co dilier. And if me be it en erro: we are th rood eonpary tivere



 the otber optaion . . . Conat the ques tion in short is, whether goa will choowe to be a ining of penchytery, or mo ling

 ever, at much maint lif givtorg up the
 abolianing epiderpacy.
 ont all lide periodis aone of mie exrioqumbares Ederquod the ntate of apmire th تell as hropatif: to whe with the Scoes, and whet wivy move mode of vilite
 thetr ow priver entilithereted verm If th vary certitia lint hy merilicting epith copecy he momid mot have oriond enemp
 it Scobiond alowes momicion tulnal


 Aned an ayblat tiver.
the utmost length which he could prevail on himself to go was to offer the continuance of the presbyterian discipline, as established by the parliament, for three years, during which a conference of divines might be had, in order to bring about a settlement. Even this he would not propose without sonsulting two bishops, Juxon and Duppa, whether he could lawfully do so. They returned a very cautious answer, assenting to the propositiun as a temporary measure, but plainly endeavouring to keep the king fixed in his adherence to the episcopal church. ${ }^{\text {c }}$

Pressed thus on a topic so important above all others in his eyes, the king gave a proof of his sincerity by greater concessions of power than he had ever intended. He had some time before openly offered to let the parliament name all the commissioners of the militia for seven years, and all the officers of state and judges to hold their places for life:' He now empowered a secret agent in London, Mr. William Murray, privately to sound the parliamentary leaders, if they would consent to the ostablishment of a moderated episcopacy after three or five years, on condition of his departing from the right of the militia during his whole life." This dereliction of the main ground of contest brought down the queen's indignation on his head. She wrote several letters, in an imperious and unfeeling tone, declaring that sho would hever set her foot in England as Iong as the parlianent should exist. ${ }^{*}$ Jermyn and Colepepper

[^127][^128]assuned a style bardly less diotatorial in their letters, till Charles withdrow the proposal, which Murray soems never to have communicated. ${ }^{*}$ It was indeed the evident effect of despair and a natural weariness of his thorny or:w1. He now began to express serious thoughts of making his escape," and seems even to hint more than once at a resignation of his government to the prince of Wales. But Henrietta forbade him to think of an escape, and alludes to the other with contempt and Bad conduct indignation. With this selfish and tyrannical or the queen woman, that life of exile and privacy which religion and letters would have rendered tolerable to the king, must have been spent in hardly less bitterness than on a dishonoured throne. She had displayed in France as little virtue as at home: the small resources, which should have been frugally dispensed to those who had lost all for the royal cause, were squandered upon her favourite and her French servants." So totally had ste abandoned all regard to English interests, that Hyde and Capel, when retired to Jersey, the governor of which, sir Edward Carteret, still held out for the king, dis-

[^129]also Montrentl's Memolr in Thurioe's State Papers, 4. 85, whenoc it appenrs that the ling had thoughte of making him exape to Jan, $164 t$.
b "For the proposilion to Belltevre (a French agrat at Newcastle, after Montreall's recall), I hate it If any tuch thing shrould ba made priblic, you are undonet y your enomien will make a mallelous nse of it. Be zure you never own it again in any discourse, otherwise than as intended an foil, or an hyperbole, or any other wayo, except in wober carnest," \&ar p. 304. The queen and her counsollor, however, seem afterwerde to have retracted lo come mequare what they had sald about his excope; and advised that, if he could not be giffered so go into Scotland be would Ey Irelaod of Jersey; p. 312.

Her tilalike to the ting'e escapo chowed itself, according to Clavetidur, of, 199, even at a timo when it appeared the only means to ancure lifi lift during its 000 finement in sye lsie of Wighs some may surpect thit Henrietim had comboted herself too wey with lord Jumyn to wiah for her huaband's mturn.

- H. SMA
covered a plan formed by the queen and Jermyn to put that island into the hands of France. ${ }^{4}$ They were ex coedingly perplexed at this discovery, conscious of the impossibility of defending Jersey, and yet determined not to let it be torn away from the sovereignty of the British crown. No better expedient occurred than, as soon as the project should be ripe for execution, to despatch a message " to the earl of Northumberland cr some other person of honour," asking for aid to preserve the island. This was of course, in other words, to surrender it into the power of the parliament, which they would not name even to themselves. But it was evidently more consistent with their loyalty to the king and his family than to trust the good faith of Mazarin. The scheme, however, was abanduned, for we hear no more of $i$.
It must, however, be admitted at the present day, that. there was no better expedient for saving the king's life, and some portion of the royal authority for his descendants (a frank renunciation of episcopacy parhaps only excepted), than such an abdication, the time for which had come before he put himself into the hands of the Scots. His own party had been weakened, and the number of his well-wishers diminished, by something more than the events of war. The last nufortunate year had, in two memorable instances, revealed fresh proofs of that culpable imprudence, speaking mildly, which made wise and honest men hopeless of any permanent accommodation. At the battle of Naseby copies of some letters to the queen, chiefly written Poulcation about the time of the treaty of Uxbridge, and wexen at strangely preserved, fell into the hands of the taken at
Naseby. enemy, and were instantly published.* No other losses

[^130]of that fatal day were more injurious to his cause. He. sides many proofs of a contemptible subserviency to one justly deemed irreconcilable to the civil and religious interests of the kingdom, and many expressions indicating schemes and hopes inconsistent with any practicable peace, and especially a lesign to put an end to the parfiament,' he gave her power to treat with the English catholics, promising to take away all penal laws against them as soon as God should enable him to do so, in consideration of such powerful assistance as might deserve so great a favour, and enable him to effect it.s Yet it
have been rewarded for it Memoliss, $L$ 156. But I ghonid not be inelined to believe thils

There is, however, an aneodote which may be mentioned in this place. a Dr. Hickman, afterwardis bighop of Darry, wrote in 1890 the following letter to Sprat, bishop of Rochester, a copy of which, in Dr. Bhrch's handwriting, may be found in the Biftigh Museam. It wat printed by him in the Appendix to the - Inquiry into the Share K. Charles L had In Glamorgan's Trangactions;' and from thence by Harris in his Life of Charies I p. 144.


Fantage by ahowing it But my lord hopes hif friends whll epare him; and therefore he has ordered me not to dellver the book to the bookseller, bat pat it into your lordship's hands; and when you have read it, he knowe you will be of the opinion. If your lordahip bas not time to nead it an, my lord has tarned down sume letven where he makes his chief objections. If your tordship aend any servant to town, I beg you will order him to call hers for the book; and that you would talce care about it."

Though the deacription of these letters answers perfectly to thove in the King's Cabinet Upened, which cartainiy "Artract mach from the reputation of Charles's prodence, and sometbing from his integrity," it it impossible that Rochester and the othere could be iqporant of so well-known a pablication; and wo mulat somsequently infer that come bettera injurious to the ining's character bavo been suppresed by the cantion of his friendis.
TThe liog had long ectertained a notion, in whlch he wate encouraged by the attorney feneral Herbert, that the act againat the dimoletion of the parile ment without ith own consent was pold In itselc. Life of Clarendon, p. 86. Thit high monarchical theory of the nollity of statntes in restraint of the prerogative wal never thoroughly erndicated till the Revolution, and in all contentions between the crown and parllament destroyed the confideace withuut which mo accompondation could be durable.

E * There is uttle or no appearanee but that thin aummer will be the hotteat frop war of any that hath been get; and bo conildent that, in making peace, I dionl
was certain that no parliament, except in absolute duress, would consent to repeal these laws. To what sort of victory therefore did he look? It was remembered that, out taking the sacrament at Oxford some time before, he had solemnly protested that he would maintain the protestant religion of the church of England, without any connivance at popery. What trust could be reposed in a prince capable of forfeiting so solemn a pledge? Were it even supposed that he intended to break his word with the catholics, after'obtaining such aid as they could render him, would his insincerity be less flagrant?

These suspicions were much aggravated by a second discovery that took place soom afterwards, of a secret treaty between the earl of Glamorgan Gismorgans and the confederate Irish catholics, not merely treaty. promising the repeal of the penal laws, bat the establishment of their religion in far the greater part of Ireland.
ever ahow my constancy in achering to bishops and all our friends, not forgetting to put a short period to this perpetual parllamenL'" KIng's Calinet Openad, p. 7. " It belng presumption, and no piety, so to trast to a good caube as not to nase ail lawful moans to maintain it, I have thought of ont meanal more to furnith thoe with for my amintance than hitherto thon luatt had: it is, that I give thee powtor to promise in my nume, to whom thou thinkest most it, that I will take awny all the pensil lawe eqpinst the Koman eatholica in Engiard as eoon as God thall eneble me to do it, 90 as hy thair means, or in their finvousc, I may have 50 powerful sceintanow as may deserve mogrent a favour and unahie me to do ft But if thon ank what I call that avistance, I anower thell whea thou knowest what may be done for it, it will be oardly reen If it dewarve to bu wo eq seamed. I need not toll theo what cecrecy this bualmes requirea; yet thin 1 will gay, that this is the greatest point of coruldence I cad expreas to thee; for it is no thankt to me to troat thee in anything the bat In thita, which is the anly point of difforanco in opinlan botwixt un: and yet I bnow thou wilt mike as good a bergein for me, even in thls, as if thon wert a protentant"" Id. ibid. "As to my calling thowe at london a pariament, I stall rofer thee to Diges for partioular entis-
faotion; this in general-If there'had been but two, besides myself, of my opinion, I had not done it; and the argument that prevalied with me was, that the calling did no ways acknowledge them to be a parliament, upon whith condition and construction I did it, and no otherwise, and accordingly it is registered in the councll-books, with the counell's unanimona approbation." Id. p. 4. The one councillor who oancurred with the ling was secretary Nicholat. Supplement to DVelya's Memolrs, p. 90.
b The queen evidently milpected that he might be brougtt in abandoa the eatholica. Kingis Cuvinet Opened, p. 然u, Si. And, if fear of ber did not prevent him, I malce no quertion that he wonld have done so, ourid he but have carried his other points.

1 Parl Hist 428; Bomers Tracta, $v$. 542. It appears by several letters of the kligg, published among thoee taken at Nageby, that Ormond liad power to promise the lrish a repeal of the penal laws and the use of private chapels, as well as as mpension of Poyning's law. King's Cabinet Opened, p. 18, 19; Rubhw. Abr. 7. $\mathbf{0 8 9 \text { . 'Glamorgan's treaty granted them }}$ all the chorches, with the rovenues thereof, of which they had at any time ance October, 1641, bean in possession; that is the re-establishment of their religion they, on the other hand, were to furnish

The marquis of Ormond, as well as lord Digby, who happened to be at Dublin, loudly exolaimed against Glamorgan's presumption in concluding such a treaty, and committed him to prison on a charge of treason. He produced two commissions from the king, secretly granted without any seal or the knowledge of any minister, containing the fullest powers to treat with the Irish, and promising to fulfil any conditions into which he should enter. The king, informed of this, disavowed Glamorgan; and asserted in a letter to the parliament that he had merely a commission to raise men for his service, but no power to treat of anything else, without the privity of the lord-lieutenant, much less to capitulate anything concerning religion or any property belonging either to church or laity.k Glamorgan, however, was soon released, and lost no portion of the king's or his family's favour.

This transaction has been the subject of much his-
a very large army to the king in Epghand
 well as come letters taken on lord Dighy's rout at Sherborne about the eame time, made a prodigions impreedon © Mazy good men were worry that the Higgs actions egreed no better with his words; that he openly protested before God with borrtd imprecationa that ho endeavoured nothing eo much as the preservation of the protectant rellgion, and rooting oot of popery $;$ yet in the mean time, underhand, be promised to the Irish rebela an abrogation of the laws againat them, which was contrary to hin late expremed promises in theme words, I will never Abrogate the lawt against the paptste' And again be catd, 11 abhor to thank of bringing foreign soldiers into the lingdom,' and yet he collcited the dulee of Lortaln, the French, the Danes, and the very Irinh, for ausistadee." May's BreHate of Hist. of Parliament in Moseres's Tracta, 1. 81. Charies had certuinly never scrapled (I do not bay that he ought to have done mo) to make appllicetion in every quarter for amalstance ; and beger in 1862 with sending a coll Cachran in a secret mistion to Donmark, in the bope of obteining an mbaidery force frum chat kiagdom. There wis at leant io
danger to the rational fndependenco from such allies. "We fear this chall undo the ling for over, that too repentance aball ever obtaln a pardon of thils sect, if It be troo, from inis parliamenta." Badille, ii. 185. Jen. 20, 1646. The Eing's diso avowal had some eflect; is meems es if even those who were prefuditod against bim could hardly beliave bim golity of such an aposting as it appeared in thelr oyem. P. 175. And, in fact, though the cathollos had demanded sothing unroer conablo ellther in ity own matore or according to the clrcumetances wheredn they stood, it threw a greas suspicion on the King's ettachment to his own finth, when he win soen to abandcn altogother, as it meemed, the protestant cave In Ineland, whito he was atragging 90 tenno clonaly for o particulter form of it in Britain. Nor wa him negotation sean Impolitio than diebonoorabie. Withont deprecialling a very brave and infured people, it may be mald with cartalnty that an lrith army cooid not have had toe remoteat chance of enccess agalnat Yatrfin and Cromwell; the cournge belng equal on our aide, tho aldll aud dacipiline incomparibly mperior. And it was ovident that Charlea could never reign in thoy land but oo a protentant interesth
torical controversy. The enemies of Charles, both in his own and later ages, have considered it as a proof of his indifference at least to the protestant religion, and of his readiness to accept the assistance of Irish rebels on any conditions. His advocates for a long time denied the anthenticity of Glamorgan's commissions. But Dr. Birch demonstrated that they were genvine ; and, if his dissertation could have left any doubt, later evidence might be adduced in confirmation. Hume; in a very artful


#### Abstract

1 Eirex's lisquiry finto the Srare Fufat King Conries 1. had in tion Tranenctions the Reri of Glanomgin, 174t. Four letterit of Charial to Givmorgen, now in the Eritisk Maneme (Sione MSS 4161), fa Bircios latedwriting bat of rinich he  eation, decinively show the tingia dapit cisy. In the fing, which wes meant to be ween by lixiby, dated Feh S, 1546, bo Mrmes ind for lering been trawn to  foctroctions- If you hed sdvied arish  all this had been betped; ${ }^{* \prime}$ and cells him be thed otmmanded an moch fivout to be bown bia as midote poenty ferod with lis gervice and anefy. Oa Feth 28 ho Fribes by grinate hand, etr Johan Winter, that be la every day mise and more enafirmed in the trust thet te had of them. In a third letter, davod Apeil Es, mexys, 的 a dpher, to which the lopy in stuen " you empoot to bat confidete of my mating good all instructionsapil promives of you and suacia" The fourch lettar in dated April $6_{2}$ and is th these *oords:-" Berbert, $=1$ doabt aot bat rum hat too merh coarage to be dismayed er timeonryged et the papp tise  extimation of yoa is notind diminisbed by it, but rather begetas in tue a derire of  in this 1 mold myelf equally interested Fith you), Fivereupen bot doabting of guer accestroned ente and todoctry in ny arrice, 1 enare gove of the contianavoe of my firvoar and pronection to $\mathrm{gon}_{3}$ and that the diacten mario than in words I dell how mymetr to be joer raget crared cetronat firled C. B."   70. $\mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ •


note B , from Warnerel Eist of Ane Civi War in Irelind. The cipber may be foomed fin the Brogrephia Britaroica, under the artide Bazes Dr. I. endeanoers to prove thet cimmagon ected all along rith Ormond's potvity : ade tit atan be oreved that the expresiop it tho king's last latere about reverge and reparation Which Dr. L. daes not olvert to, list a very odid typrarames

The entrovery in I seppoter, oone pletety at am end; to thet it in inatily meonery to zention a hexar fion Cly Dongrat then mandifo of Warcester io Cherenion, after tho Revtoration, Fhich hat every futernal mart of erediolity. and dipplay the hing's wofrinmens Clar. Ente Pap fin 2el; and Lingord, nhi
 action is bever meationed in the Higtory of the Rebellikas. The noble anthow mas, bowerer, coriviteed of the gerginene of Glomongo's enamiastion es appears by $a$ ientro to secretery Nichoins $=1$ mand tell yous I cure mot how littin I tay bim that briferes of Irelorit thot thove etrange powers and imptractions given to your finurite Glanotion, Finch appeer to be ac inexinaline no fatice, piecy, and Yrabance Ami I iminr there is very mpol in that cromerotiga of Irelond, boih before and tioce, that you ard I Were mexer obraghs rise erough to be alviond will
 bare given me more hed hoors than al the gatiforuanes in frer with hove bthline the king and look ifoe tive eliects - Actis argit torardas" ML p. 2n. See abse mote of Mr laing Hist of Scolland 话 667, fr amotiver letyer at the ting to Gin aropion, from Rerocelle. to Juby, 1cut, mot he expligh than the forpoing
and very unfair statament, admitting the authenticity of these instruments, endeavours to show that they were never intended to give Glamorgan any power to treat without Ormond's approbation. But they are worded in the most unconditional manner, without any reference to Ormond. No common reader can think them consistent with the king's story. I do not, however, impate to him any intention of ratifying the terms of Glamorgan's treaty. His want of faith was not to the protertant, but to the catholic. Upon weighing the whole of the evidence, it appears to me that he purposely gave Glamorgan, a sauguine and injudicious man, whom he could ceasily disown, so ample a commission as might remove the diftrust that the Irish were likely to entertain of a negotiation wherein Ormond should be concerned; while, by a certain latitude in the style of the instrament, and by his own letters to the lord-lieutenant about Glamorgan's errand, he left it open to assert, in case of necessity, that it was never intended to exclude the former's mivity and sanction. Charles had unhappily long been in the habit of perverting his natural acuteness to the mean subterfuges of equivocal language.

By these discoveries of the king's insincerity, and by what seemed his infatuated obstinacy in refusing terms of accommodation, both nations became more and more alienated from him; the one hardly restrained from casting him off, the other ready to leave him to

Tbe bing delivered up by the Scots. his fate. $=$ This ill opinion of the king forms one apology for that action which has exposed the Scots nation to so much reproach-their delivery of his person to the English parliament. Perhaps,

[^131]abeorutely, for all than to eome, in the
 On the king's refuon of the proporitions enot to Newcestle, the Scote tools greit patns to provent s vote agoinct him : 228, Tbere wite etill bomever, danger of thit: 938, Oct, 13, and p. 24s. His tntrigue With both pertios, the prestrytermons and Independenta, were now lowwn; and all stdes axem to bave been tipe for depoding him: 246. Thees lethens are e eurions contrist to the thite finncies of a epeedy and tulumpirant reatoration, which Clo rendon hlmwelf, es well at others of mat joxigment, enen to have entertaborl
if we place ourselves in their sitaation, it will not appear deserving of quite such indignant censure. It would have shown more generosity to have offered the king an alternative of retiring to Holland; and, from what we now know, he probably would not have neglected the opportunity. But the consequence might have been his solemn deposition from the English throne; and, however we may think such banishment more honourable than the acceptance of degrading conditions, the Scots, we should remember, ssw nothing in the king's taking the covenant, and sweeping away prelatic superstitions, but the bounden duty of a Christian sovereign, which only the most perverse self-will induced him to set at nought. They had a right also to consider the interests of his family, which the threatened establishment of a republic in England would defeat. To carry him back with their army into Scotland, besides being equally roinous to the English monarchy, would have exposed their nation to the most serious dangers. To undertake his defence by arms against England, as the ardent royalists deaired, and doubtless the determined republicans no leas, would have been, as was proved afterwards, a mad and culpable renewal of the miseries of both lingdoms."

[^132]engaged to the Soots that the army chould be disbanded, and the ling brought op to Lacton with honour and gafety. Memoins of wir J. Beriley, in Mewereste Tracte, in 358. Bailie, fi. 257. This aforda no bad fucstification of the Sopts for delfivering him up.
 had done apy dinty, though be bad never twisen the covenant, bat permitted it to have been pal in an act of partiement in both lingdoms, and given 00 extig. factory an answer to the reat of the propoadions, as entily ho might, and aometimes I know be wat willing, certainy Scotland had been for him as ane man; and the body of Eagiand, npon many groundes, was upon a dispondition to have bocrdially emberaced him, thet mo man, for his Hfe, durat have muttared agionst his preseat reatitution. But remaining what be wis in all his maxims, atol Cunterborian, both in matters of religion and state, be still inclined to a new war; and far that end reeolved to go to Soal

He had voluntarily come to their camp; no faith was pledged to him; their very right to retain his person, though they had argued for it with the English parliament, seemed open to much doubt. The circumstance, anquestionably, which has always given a character of apparent baseness to this transaction, is the payment of 400,000l. made to them so nearly at the same time that it has passed for the price of the king's person. This sum was part of a larger demand on the score of arrears of pay, and had been agreed upon long before we have any proof or reasonable suspicion of a stipulation to Jeliver up the king. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ That the parliament would never have actually paid it in case of a refusal to comply with this requisition, there can be, I presume, no kind of doubt ; and of this the Scots must have been fully aware. But whether there were any such secret bargain as had been supposed, or whether they would have delivered him up if there had been no pecuniary expectation in the case, is what I cannot perceive sufficient grounds to pronounce with confidence, though I am much inclined to believe the affirmative of the latter question. And it is deserving of particular observation that the party in the house of commons which sought most earnestly to obtain possession of the king's person, and carried all the votes for payment of money to the Scots, was that which had no further aim than an accommodation with him, and a settlement of the government on the basis of its fundamental laws, though doubtless on terms very derogatory to his prerogative; while those who opposed cach part of the negotiation were the zealous enemies of the king, and, in some instances at least, of the monsrchy. The Journals bear witness to this. 4
land. Some great mean there premed the
equity of Scothands protecting of him
of any teral. This untimeors excem
of triesolstip thes ruined thet unhapipy
prince; for the better party flnding the
cooclusion of the ting's comaing to Soot-
Laod, and thereby their own preweat rain.
and the roin of the whole cause the
malding the mallgrants manters of cturch
and atate, the dirawing the whole force of
Engtand apon Seoleand for thetr perfariona
volation of thetr covenont, they reeolved
by ell meena to crote that dedign." P. 24s.
F In voles fie parmeat of the sum
of 400,000 . to the Scotan wre on Ane. $\mathrm{m}_{4}$ 9t, and Sepl 1 ; thoagh it the roof fully marred between the two mationat till Dec. \& . Whitelock, 220, 298, Bot Whitelock cratea the conmencemeat of the understanding to to the delluvery of the iding aboas Dwe S4 (p 231). See Coonmons' Journals; Baltie, th. 246, 253; Barnot's Memotre of
 Mr. Godivin's History of the Cammosmealch, IL. 2s8, a wort in which greas assention bet been paid to the order of theme.
Q Joarmala Aug, and Sept; Godwing uht mpras ; Buillie, th paxam,

Whatever might have been the consequence of the king's accepting th 3 propositions of Newcastle, his chance of restcration upon any terms was now in all appearance very slender. He had to encounter enemies more dangerous and implacable than the presbyterians. That faction, which from small and insensible beginnings had acquired continued strength, through ambition in a few, through fanaticism in many, through a despair in some of reconciling the pretensions of royalty with those of the people, was now rapidly ascending to superiority. Though still weak in the house of commons, it had spread prodigionsly in the army, especially since its new-modelling at the time of the self-denying ordinance. The presbyterians saw with dismay the growth of their own and the constitution's enemies. But the royalists, who had less to fear from confusion than from any settlement that the commons would be brought to make, rejoiced in the increasing disunion, and fondly believed, like their master, that one or other party must seek assistance at their hands.'

The independent party comprehended, besides the members of that religious denomination,' a countless

[^133]eret correspondence with Oriond, throagb the medium of lord Saville, in the epring of IEAS, if we belfeve Hollis, wbo agerta that he had meen their lettert, asking offices for themselves. Mem. of Hollis, sect. 48. Baillie refers this to an earilier period, the beginning of 1644 ( 1.427 ); and I conceive that Holis has been incorrect as to the date. The Hng how ever, was cartaiply playing a game with them in the beginning of 1846, as well as with the presbyterians, so to to give both parties an opinion of his ingincarity. Clerendion State Fhapers, 214; and see two remaritable letters written by his order to dir Henry Vans, 226, urging a nuion, in onder to overthrove the press byterian government.

The prixciples of the independent are eot forth candidy, and even favourably, by Collier, 820 ; as well aby by Neal, 11. 38. For thote who are not much acquainted with ecelesiantical filstinctions, it may be useful to mention the two essencial characteristice of this gect, by which they differed from the presbyterfans The first wase that all
brood of fanatical sectaries, nursed in the lap of presbyterianism, and fed with the stimulating aliment

Opposition to the presbytarian government. she furnished, till their intoxicated fancies could neither be restrained within the limits of her creed nor those of her discipline." The presbyterian zealots were systematically intolerant. A common. canse made toleration the doctrine of the

Toleration. sectaries. About the beginning of the war it had been deemed expedient to call together an assembly of divines, nominated by the parliament, and consisting not only of clergymen, but, according to the presbyterian usage, of lay members, peers as well as commoners, by whose advice a general reformation of the church was to be planned. ${ }^{*}$ These were cbiefly presbyterian, though a small minority of independents, and a few moderate episcopalians, headed by Selden, gave them mach trouble. The general imposition of the covenant, and the substitution of the directory for the common prayer (which was forbidden to be used even in any private family, by an ordinance of August, 1654), seemed to assure the triumph of presby-
churches or separate congregations were aboolutely independent of each other an to Juristiction or ditioipline; whence they rejected all symode and representative ayremblies st poareaning anthority; though they goverally adraitted, to s very thrited degree, the allunce of churehes for mur tonal connsel and sopport. Their asoond charncteristic aras the denial of dapititual powers commonicated in ordination by apostolicil succestion; deening the call of a congregation a muticient warrant for the exercise of the ministry. See Orme'n Whe of Owea for a clear vier and able defence of the principlea manitaloed by this party. 1 mont add that Neal acems to tove proved that the Indepreadetits, at a body, write not systematically edverno to monarehy.
a Edrauda's Gungriens, a moted book In that age, enumeratet one truthired and sereaty-ix heresies, which boweter are redroed by him to axiteen heads; and theme neens capable of further concollde. tion. Neel, 24s. The boase andered a
 to stop tho growth of bereay and blas phemy: Mhitetock 235 : a prebbyterian crifine to alame the nacion
$x$ Pari Flist H 1478 They did not meet till July 1. 1643; Rush. Aht. V. 133; Neal, 42; Collier, 8is. Thoagh this as eembly ahowred abrandapce of bigotry and narrownes, shey were by no menns so conteroptrible (harandon repreateb them, if. 183 ; and perhaps equal to learoting grood aene., and ofher meris, so ant lower hoose of conpocmition that ever made a figure in Engiand.

I Whiteloces In; Neel, ins selien, who ored to gradtuie to the ephecopal choreh, wist from the beganing of ita dangers a metedy and active friend, diluphaying, whitever may heve been mid of his timidity, fall em mbeh conrage 5 could remsonably be expected from a todions man edvanced in yearr. Bailie, In test, calls him " the avowed proctor of the bichops," I. 245; and, when propoked by his Erastian oppodition in 1848, per virmes to talk of his " incolent ehardity," 11.96. Seiden sats the amenbly of arvinea: and by his great trowledge of the anclent languages and of occleanimitical entiquitice, an well a by his tound logie and calm dear fudponent, obtained an underiable saperdotity, whteh ba tack an yains to concell.
terianism, which became complete, in point of law, by an ordinance of February 1646, establishing for three years the Scots model of classes, Bynods, and general assemblies throughout England." But in this very ordinance there was a reservation which wounded the spiritual arrogance of that party. Their favourite tenet had always been the independency of the church. They had rejected, with as much abhorrence as the catholics themselves, the royal supremacy, so far as it controlled the exercise of spiritual discipline. But the house of commons were inclined to part with no portion of that prerogative which they had wrested from the crown. Besides the independents, who were still weak, a party called Erastians," and chiefly composed of the common

[^134]sidministretur as mugistrate." Enatus, de Erconmonicatione, p. 550; and a utill atronger pasage in p. S79. And it Is aaid that archbishop Whitgift caused Brastus's book to be printed at hit own expense. See cue of Wartrarton's notes on Neal Calvin, and the whole of hid cobool, held, at is well known, a very oppoaito tenet See Brast Thewen de Excommunicatione, tho. 167 g.

The eaclesiastical constitution of England fo mearly Erastian in theory, and almort wholly 00 in practice. Every wen tence of the epiritan judge is linhle to be reversed by a mill tribumal, the court of delegates, by virtue of the ting's the premacy over all capoes And practcally, what is called church discipline, or the censuren of eoclessestical governors for ofrencea, bas gone wo much into disune, and what remalns is so contemptibles that I belleve no ane, except those who derive a little profit from it, woald regret ite abolltion.
"The most part of the house of commons," mays Bailice, H. 149, "especialy the iawryers, whareof there are many, and divers of them very able men, are elther half or whole Erastians, belleving no charch government to be of divine right, bat all to be a human constitation, depenifing on the will of the magistrate" "The pope and ling," he says in another place, 106, " were never more earbent for the headelip of the chrorch then the plurality of this parimment." Seo also p. 183; and Whtalocty 169.
lawyers, under the gaidance of Selden, the sworn foe of every ecclesiastical usurpation, withstood the assembly's pretensions with success. They negatived a declaration of the divine right of presbyterian government. They voted a petition from the assembly, complaining of a recent ordinance as an encroachment on spiritual jurisdiction, to be a breach of privilege. The presbyterian tribunals were made subject to the appellant control of parliament, as those of the Anglican church had been to that of the crown. The cases wherein spiritual censures could be pronounced, or the sacrament denied, instead of being left to the clergy, were defined by law.: Whether from dissatisfaction on this account, or some other reason, the presbyterian discipline was never carried into effect except to a certain extent in London and in Lancashire. But the beneficed clergy throughout England, till the retarn of Charles $\amalg$., were chiefly, though not entirely, of that donomination."

This party was still so far predominant, having the strony support of the city of London and its corporation, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ with almost all the peers who remained in their


#### Abstract

© Parl Hisk 469, et alinf Rushw. Abr. 7.578 , of alibi; Whitelock, 165 , 169, 178, 176, at post; Baillie's Letters, paraim; Neal, 23, 10e 194, et post; Collier, 841. The assembly attempted to suatain their own cause by counter votes; and, the minority of independents and Erestians having withdrawn, it was carried, with the single dissent of Lightfoot, that Christ had established a government In his chareh independent of the civil magistrate. Neal, 223. - Neal, 228. Warbartom may, in his note on this paseage, that "r the presbye terian was to all inbente and parypases the eatablished religion during the time of the commozwealth." But, es coerchre discipline and aynodical government are no small intents and purpoges of that religion, thas assertion requires to be modified, as it has been in my text Besidee which there were many ministers of the independent sect in benefices, some of whom probably had never received ordination. "Both baptists and inder pendents," says a very well-informed writer of the latter denomination "were In the gractice of eccepting the IVinger


that is, the temporalities of the church They did not, bowever, view themselvel as partis ministery and bound to administer all the ordinances of religion to the parish population. They occupled the parochtal edifice and recelved a portion of the tithes for thoir maintenance; but in all other respects acted according to their awn principles." Orme's Life of Owen, 136. This be thinks would have produced very serions evils If not happily checked by the Restorstun. "Daring the commontrealth," he obwerven artarwards, 245, " mos system of church govarnment can be comstdered ea having been properly or fully eatablithed. The prenbyterians, if exy, mioyed this datinction."
d The clity began to petition for the establishment of presbytery, and againgt toleration of sectaries, early in 1845; and not long after came to aroume what evemed to the eotmmons too dictatorial s tone. This gave moch offence, and cobtributed to drive some members into the oppoaite faction. Neal, $103,221,811$ : Whitelock, 207, 249
house, that the independents and other sectaries neither opposed this ordinance for its temporary establishment, nor sought anything farther than a toleration for their own worship. The question, as Neal well observes, was not between presbytery and independency, but between presbytery with a toleration and without one." Not merely from their own exclusive bigotry, but from a


#### Abstract

- Fol if 238. Soe aleo 902 and other places This it a remaris that requires ettention; many are apt to misunderstand the question. "Por this point (toleration) both they and we contend," cays Ballile, " ranquam proaris ot focia," if. 175. "Not only they praise your magistrate" (writing to a Mr. Spang in Holland), who for policy gives eome secret tolemance to divers religions, wherein, al 1 conceive, your divines preach egainet them as great sinners, bat avow that, by God's command, the magietrate is discharged to put the tenst discourtesy on any man, Jew, Turt, Paplat, Socinian, or whatover, for hit roligion:" 18 See also 61, and many other paseages. "The army" (says Hugh lolers, ith a tract antitled A Word for tho Army, and Two Words to the Pepple, 1647) "never hindeted the state from a state religion, having only wished to enfoy now what tha puritans begged under the prelates; when we deaire more, blame us, and shame as" In another, entued Vox Millaris, the author says, "We dld never engnge agrinut thli platform, nor for that platform, nor ever will, axcept better informed; and, therefore, if the tiate eniablisheth presbytary, wo ehall


 never oppose if."The question of toleration, in its moat Important shape, wal brought at this time befort parilament, on occapion of one Panl Best who hed written agriotit the doctrine of the trinity. According to the common law, heretion, on being ad. judiged by the apiritual cuart, were delivered over to be burned under the writ do leveretico combaranda Thin panishmeat had been inflicted tive times under Elimablh; un Wielnucker and Ter Wort, two Dutch amabaptiath, who, IIke many of that sech, entertained Artan teneta, and wero burned in Sunthtield in 1678; on Matthew Hatnmond in 1879, Thomas Lewne in 16bs, and Francle Kot in 1688:
all burned by Seambler, blshop of Norwhch. It was also inficted on BarthoLomew Legat and Edward Wigttman, onder Jamet, in 1614; the firt barned by King, bisbop of Lomden, the second by Neyle of Lichfleld. $A$ third, by Oirth a Epaniard, incurred the same penalty; but the compassiou of the people showed itsolf so etrongly at Legat's execution, that James thought it expedient not to carry the centence into effect Such ts the venomous and damoralizing spirit of bigotry, that Fuller, a writer remartable for good natura and gentleness, expresses hat indignation at the pity which was manifeated by the speo tators of legat's mufferinga. Churoh Hist part il p. 62 In the present cate of Paril Best, the old sentence of fire wat not sugseated by any one; but an ordinance was brought in, Jan. 1046, to puniah him with death. Whitelock, 190. Beas made, at length, such an explanes tion as was accepted; Neal, 214; but an ordinance to suppree blasphemies and heresies at mpital offencen was brought In. Commons' Jouranis, April, 1646. The independents gaining strength, this was long delayed; but the ordimace passed both hauses, May 2, 1648 . Id 303. Neal, 338, Justly obeerves that it showe the governing presbyterians would have made a terrible use of their power, had they been sopported by the aword of the civil magistrate. The denial of the trinity, incarnation, atonornent, or inupiration of any book of the Old or New Testameut, was made felony. Leaser offences, such as ambaptiam, or depying the lawfalness of preabyterins rovernment, were punishable by imprisonment till the party abould recant. It was much opposed, especially by Whitelock. l'he witt de imeretico oofoburendo, an well known, was taken away by act of parlisment in 2677.
political alarm by no means ungrounded, the presbycerians stood firmly against all liberty of conscience. But in this again they could not influence the house of commons to suppress the sectaries, though no open declaration in favour of indulgence was as yet made. It is still the boast of the independents that they first brought forward the great principles of religious toleration (I mean as distinguished from maxims of political expediency) which had been confined to a few philosophical minds-to sir Thomas More, in those days of his better judgment when he planned his republic of Utopia, to Thuanus, or L'Hospital. Such principles are, indeed, naturally congenial to the persecuted; and it is by the alternate oppression of so many different sects that they have now obtained their universal reception. But the independents also assert that they first maintained them while in power-a far higher praise, which, however, can only be allowed them by comparison. Without invidiously glancing at their early conduct in New England,' it must be admitted that the continuance of the penal laws against catholics, the prohibition of the episcopalian worship, and the punishment of one or two anti-trinitarians under Cromwell, are proofs that the tolerant principle had not yet acquired perfect vigour. If the independent sectaries were its earliest advocates, it was the Anglican writers, the school of Chillingworth, Hales, Taylor, Locke, and Hoadley, that rendered it victorious. ${ }^{6}$


#### Abstract

I 4 In all New Engladd, no liberty of -Ilving for a preabyterian. Whoever there, were thsy angels for life and doctring, will eany to set up a different way from them (the Independente) ahall be sure of presont banishment." Balllie, it. 4; sleo 17. I am anprised to find a late writor of that country (Dwight's Travele in New England) attempt to extenuate at least the intolerance of the independent towands the quaker who came to settle there; and which, wo soo, extended also to the prestygteriana But Mr. Orme, with more judgreent, obeerves that the Now Fingland congregnationa did not suftdiently adibere to the principle of independency, and acted too much as a body; to which he asoribes their persecution of the quakers and others. Ilfe of Ower


p. 355 . It if certaln that the cangregertional scheme leadin to tolersiton, as the national church scheme is adverse to it, for manifold reagons which the reader will discover.
8 Though the writinge of Chillingworth and Fales are not directly in behalf of toleration, no one could relish them without imblbing its spirit in the fullest moasure. The great work of Jeremy Taylor, on the Liberty of Phrophesying Was published in 1647 ; and, if we axcept a fow concessions to the temper of the time, which are not reancilable to its general princlptes, bas len titue for thooe who followed him. Mr. Orme admits thus the remontsrants of Hodiand mainralned the principles of toledation wors early (p. 50); bett refers to theok lat

The king, as I have said, and his party cherished too sangaine hopes.from the disunion of their opponents. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Though warned of it by the parliamentary commissioners at Uxbridge, though, in fact, it was quite notorious and undisguised, they seem never to have comprehended that many active spirits looked to the entire subversion of the monarchy. The king in particular was haunted by a prejudice, natural to his obstinate and undiscerning mind, that he was necessary to the settlement of the nation ; so that, if he remained firm, the whole parliament and army must be at his feet. Yet during the negotiations at Newcastle there was daily an imminent danger that the majority of parliament, irritated by his delays, would come to some vote excluding him from the throne. The Scots presbyterians, whatever we may think of their behaviqur, were sincerely attached, if not by loyal affection, yet by national pride, to the blood of their ancient kings. They thought and spoke of Charles as of a headstrong child, to be restrained and chastised, but never cast off. ${ }^{\text {i }}$ But in England he had absolutely no friends among the prevailing party; many
1.oonard Busber, an itrdependent, in 1614, as "containing the most enlightened and ecriptoral views of religion itberty ${ }^{n}$ (p. 99). He quotes other writiogs of the ame sect under Charles I.
b Soveral proofs of this occur in the Clarendon State Papera A tetter, in particular, from Colepepper to Digby, in Sept 1645, is eo extravagantly sanguine, considering the posture of the King's cffatrs at that time, that, if it was perfectly alnoere, Coleproper must have been a man of lees ebility then has generally been supposed. Vol. 1L. p. 188. Neal hat some senslbte remarta on the king's mistake in cuppoing that any party which he did not joln maxt in the end be rained : p. 289 He had not lost this atrange confidence after his very life had becoms deaperate; and told fir John Bowring, when be adrised bim not to spin out the time at the trenty of Newpart, that "eny interesta would be glad to come In with him." See Bowringis Memoirs in Halifax's Misorilanles. 192.

- Ballite's letters are full of this youlIncs, and muta be reckoned thir evidenco, cije mo man conld be more Biguted to
presbytery, or more bitter against the royalist party. I bave somewbere seen Baillte praised for bis mildnest His lettere give no proof of it. Take the following epecimens :-" Mr. Maxwell of Rooe hus printed at Oxford sodexperately malicious an invective agajnat our assemblies and presbyteries, that, however 1 could handly consent to the hanging of Canterbury or of any jesult, yet I conla give my eentenca freely against that unhappy man's life."-IL. 99. "God han atruck Colcman with death; he fell in an ague, and, aftar three or four days, explred. It is not good to stand in Christ's Way." P. 199.

Baille's judgment of men wa not more consplcuons than his moderation, * Vane and Cromwell are of horrible bot fancies to put all in confusion, but not of any deep reach. St John and Plerpulnt are more stayed, but not great bends." P. 269 The drift of all his letiens is, that every man who resleted the fre divinum of presbytery was knave or fool, if not both. They are bowever emi. pentiy eurviceablo as historical cocer mants
there were who thought monarchy best for the nation, but none who cared for the king.

This schism, nevertheless, between the parliament and the army was at least in appearance very desirable for Charles, and seemed to afford him an opportunity which a discreet prince might improve to great advantage, though it unfortunately deluded him with chimerical expectations. At the conclusion of the war, which the useless obstinacy of the royalists had protracted till the beginning of 1647, ${ }^{m}$ the commons began to take measures for breaking the force of their remaining enemy. They resolved to disband a part of the army, and to send the rest into Ireland." They formed achemes for getting rid of Cromwell, and even made some demur about continuing Fairfax in command. ${ }^{\circ}$ But


#### Abstract

y N Now for my own perticular resoIution." he eays in a letter to Digby, March 28, 1646, "it is this. I am endeavouring to get to London, so that the conditions may be such as a gentleman may own, and that the rebels may soknowledge me king; being not without hope that I shall be able so to draw afther the presbyterians or tindepandenta to side with me for extirpating the one or the other, that I wasll be really ling again." Carte's Ormond, lit. 462; quoted by Mr. Brodle, to whom I am tndebted for the pasage. I have mentioned already his overture ahout this time to sir Henry Vane through Aahburnham. manendon, followed by Eume and ecoveral othery, appears to ery that Ragian caatlo in Monmouthshire, dolended by the marquia of Worcester, was the last that surrendered; namely, in Augast, 1840. I tue the axpreseion appears to ata, because tha last edidion, which exhibits his real tozt, showi that be paid this compllment to Pendennis castle in Cornwall, and that his orginal edstora (I suppose to do bunotr to a noble family) folated in the name of Raglen. It is trute howevor of neither. The North Welsh castles beld out considerably longer; that of Harlech was not taken till Aprll, 164t, which put an end to the war. Whitelock.

Clarendon, atall moth unyiolding than


 His master, extole the long resistance of his party, and raye that thowe who sume rondered st the firat mummons obtalnedno better terms then they who made the atontest defence; as if that were a suficleat justfication for prolonging a civl war. In fact, however, they did the lifg some harm; Innomuch as they impeded the efforts made In parllament to disbund the army. Severad votes of the commons show this; tee the Jourmin of 12th May and 31st July, 16N6.
n The resolution to disband Falriax'e regiment next 'Tuesday at Chelmsford passed 16th May, 164\%, by 136 to 115; Algernon Sidney being a telter of the noea. Commons' Journals. In theme votes the house, that is the preatyyterian majority, acted with extrame imprudence; not having provided for the pay. ment of the army's arreare at the time they were thus disbanding them. Whitelock advised Houls and his party not to preas the disbanding: and on findiug them obetivate, drew off, at he tells us, trum that connexion, and came nearer to Cromwell. P. 248. This, howaver, be had begun to do rather carlifer. Independently of the danger of dinguating the ammy, it it probable that, as moon a it was disbanded, the roymliste would hawe been op 加 anms. For the growth of this discontent, day by day, perue Whitelock's Jourcala for March aad the three following months, we well an the Parilamentary History.

- It was only oarried by 150 to $14 \%_{0}$ March 5, 1847, that the forcee abould be commanded by Fairfax. Rut on the Rth
in all measures that exact promptitude and energy, treachery and timidity are apt to enfeeble the resolutions of a popular assembly. Their demonstrations of enmity were however so alarming to the army, who knew themselves disliked by the people, and dependent for their pay on

Intrigues
of the army with the lding. the parliament; that as early as April, 1647, an overture was secretly made to the king, that they would replace him in his power and dignity. He cantiously answered that he would not involve the kingdom in a fresh war, but should ever feel the strongest sense of this offer from the army.p Whether they were discontented at the coldness of this reply, or, as is more probable, the offer har only proceeded from a minority of the officers, no further overture was made, till not long afterwards the bold manceuvre of Joyce had placed the king's person Eia persou in their power. soised
The first effect of this military violence was to display the parliament's deficiency in political courage. It contained, we well know, a store of energetic ment prielt spirits, not apt to swerve from their attach- to the army ments. But, where two parties are almost equally balanced, the defection, which external circumstances must produce among those timid and feeble men from whom no assembly can be free, even though they should form but a small minority, will of course give a character of cowardice and vacillation to counsels which is imputed to the whole. They immediately exponged, by a majority of 96 to 79, a vote of reprehension passed some weeks before, upon a remonstrance from the army which the presbyterians had highly resented, and gave other proofs of retracing their steps. But the army was not inclined to accept their submission in full discharge

of the provocation. It had schemes of its own for the reformation and settlement of the kingdom, more extensive than those of the presbyterian faction. It had its own wrongs also to revenge. Advancing towards London, the general and council of war sent up charges of treason against eleven principal members of that party, who obtained leave to retire beyond sea. Here may be said to have falten the legislative power and civil government of England; which from this hour till that of the Restoration had never more than a momentary and precarious gleam of existence, perpetvally interrupted by the sword.

Those who have once bowed their knee to force, must expect that force will be for ever their master. In a few weeks after this submission of the commons to the army, they were insulted by an unraly, tamaltaons mob of apprentices, engaged in the presbyterian politics of the city, who compelled them by actual violence to rescind several of their late votes. ${ }^{9}$ Trampled upon by either side, the two speakers, several pears, and a great number of the lower house, deemed it somewhat less ignominious, and certainly more politic, to throw themselves on the protection of the army. They were accordingly soon restored to their places, at the price of a more complete and irretrievable subjection to the military power than they had already medergone. Though the presbyterians maintained a pertinacious resistance within the walls of the honse. it was evident that the real power of command was gone from them, and that Cromwell with the army must either become arbiters between the king and parliament, or crush the remaining authority of both.:

[^135]to repeed them A motion to deciars that the boosed trom 2sth July ic eth Auguan, bad been meder a forve, win alop loat by 78 to 76. Jonronh, 9th and 17th Aqgute Tis loeds, howevtr, pened as oudinance to thit efrect; and, after aces more relectiog it the oonmons morind on Aneus 20, With a proviso that mo one
 been done
T The tranaetian axe bet Fund to the Ocamonst Joariale and the Purlic mentary Alirtory, and mert to thing in Vhattoloce Bonla zelate tive with

There are few circumstances in our history which have caused more perplexity to inquirers than the couduct of Cromwell and his friends towards the king in the year 1647. Those who loots conduckelt only at the ambitious and dissembling character of that leader, or at the fierce republicanism imputed to Ireton, will handly believe that either of them could harbour anything like sincere designs of restoring bim even to that remnant of sovereignty which the parliament would have spared. Yet, when we consider attentively the public documents and private memoirs of that period, it does appear probable that their fixst intentions towards the king were not unfavourable, and so far sincere that it was their project to make use of his name rather than totally to set him aside. Bat whether by gratifying Cromwell and his associates with honours, and throwing the whole administration into their hands, Charles would have long contrived to keep a tarnished crown on his head, must be very problematical.

The new gaolers of this unfortunate prince began by treating him with unusual indulgence, especially in permitting his episcopal chaplains to $\begin{aligned} & \text { Improdent } \\ & \text { hopes of }\end{aligned}$ attend him. This was deemed a pledge of the kingWhat he thought an invaluable advantage in dealing with the army, that they would not insist upon the covenant, which in fact was nearly as odious to them as


#### Abstract

great patalow; and Clarendon, an he doeat everything else that passed in London, very tmperfectig. He acoounts for the carl of Mancheater sad the apenker Lenthal's retiring to the army by thair percuation that the chief officers had neariy concluded a treaty with the king, and recolved to bave their whares in it This it a very unnesemary surmiec. Lenthal wes a poor-apirited mant, aways influenced by those whon be thought the strougeth, and in this instance, acoording to Lodiow, p. 205. persuaded agoinst his will by Heslerig to go to the army, Manctuster Indeed had more courage and bonour; - but he was not of much capactiy, and hin partiamentary conduct whe not eytcemaine. Bat upon the whole it is obFiomen an reading the lite of namio (Perl. Hine T67), that the Heg's frieds were ulther amoog thove who utayed behlind, experielty in the korid, then amoas thoot


who went to the army. Seven of aight peers who continued to alt from 28th July to 6th of Angust, 1647, were impenched for it afterwards (Parl. Hist, 164), and they were all of the mort moderate party. If the ling had any previous coumexion with tha eity, be acted very disingemuonaly in his letter to Palrfax, Aug $\mathrm{S}_{3}$, while the cooteat was atill pending; wherein he condemus the tumult, and declates his unwillingoene that his friends thould join with the city agalnst the army. whose proposals be had refected the day before with an imprudence of which be was now sengible. This letuer, am actually tent to Fairfax, is in the Parliamentary History. 734, and may be compared with a rough draft of the mame, preserved in Charewion Paperss, 373, from which th materially dirfers, being musk sharper agrinst the city.
to the royalists, though for very different reasons. Charles, naturally sanguine, and utterly incapable in every part of his life of taking a just view of affairs, was extravagantly elated by these equivocal testimonials of good-will. He blindly listened to private insinuations from rash or treacherous friends, that the soldiers were with him, just after his seizure by Joyce. "I would have you to know, sir," he said to Fairfax, "that I have as good an interest in the army as yourself;" an opinior as injudiciously uttered as it was absurdly conceived."


#### Abstract

* Fafrfax's Memoiri in Maseres'a Collection of Tracta, vol i. p. 447. *By this," says Yairfax, who had for onco Tound $s$ man less discerning of the times than himself, "I plainly eaw the broken reed he leaned on. The agitators had brought the ling into an opinion that the army was for him." Ireton aaid plainly to the king, "Sir, yon have an inteution to bo the arbitrator between the parilement and us; and we mean to be so between your majesty and the parisament." Bertay's Memoirs. Ibid. p. $\mathbf{3 6 0}$.

This folly of the king, If Mra Hutch. inson is well informed, alienated Irston, who had been more inclined to trust him than is commonly belleved. "Cromwell;" she says, "was at that time so incorruptibly faithfal to his truat and the people's interest, that he could not be drewn in to practige even his own usual and naturai diasimulation on this occanion. His som-in-law Ireton, that was an falthful as be, was not so fully of the opinion, till be bad tried it and found to the contrary, but that the king might have been managed to comply with the pubite good of his people, after he conld no longer uphold his own violeat will; but upon tome dieqouraes with him, the ting ntterIng these words to him, 'I shall play my game as weil as I can,' Ircton replied, If your majeaty have a gatore, yun muat give ne also the liberty to play oture.' Colomel Hutchinson privately disoctundigs चith bis cousin aboat the communications he bad had with the king, Ireton'e exprosions were these: - "Ho gare us words, and we paid him in his own coin, when we found he had no real tritention to the people's good, bat to previlli by our factiont, to regain by art what be and lost in Aght." R. 274.


It must be said for the kivg that he Wha by no meana more sanguine or more blind than his distinguished historian and miniater. Clarendon's privato letters are full of strange and absurd expectations Even so late as October, 1647, he writes to Berxley in high hopee frozn the army, aud presses him to make no concesoiona except as to persons "If they see you wila not gleld, they mast; for sare they have as much or more need of the ling than be of them." P. 378. The whole tenwr, indeed, of Clarendon's correspondence demonstrates, that, notwitbstanding the fine remarke occasionaliy moattered through his History, he wes no practical statemana, nor bad any just conception, at the time, of the contse of affaln. He never flinched trom one principle not very practicable or rational to the circumstances of the king-thint nothing was to be receded from which had ever been demanded. This may be called magnapimity; bat mo foreign or domestic ditsenaion could be settled if all men werv to act uponit, or If afl men, litce Chariag and Clarendon, were to expect that Prom vidence would interfere to mpport what seemn to them tho best, that is, thair own causa. The following paraco is a speci-men:--4 Truly I am so unfit to bear 4 part in carrying on this new convention [by vegotiation and concession], that 1 would not, to preserve myoulf, wife, and children trom the lingering death of want by famine (for a audden denth wrouid require no courage), consent to the lemen. . fing any part which I take to be the the function of alshop, of the taling awny the malleat prebendery in the church, or to be bound not to ondeavour to alter
 Fobl $5,184 \mathrm{~m}_{4}$

These strange expectations account for the ill reception which in the hasty irritation of disappointment he gave to the proposals of the army, when they were He rejects actually tendered to him at Hampton Court, the propoand which seems to have eventually cost him army. his life. These proposals appear to have been drawn up by Ireton, a lawyer by education, and a man of much courage and capacity. He had been supposed, like a large proportion of the officers, to aim at a settlement of the nation under a democratical polity. But the army, even if their wishes in general went so far, which is hardly evident, were not yet so decidedly masters as to dictate a form of government uncongenial to the ancient laws and fixed prejudices of the people. Something of this tendency is discoverable in the propositions made to the king, which had never appeared in those of the parliament. It was proposed that parliaments should be biennial; that they should never sit less than a hundred and twenty days, nor more than two hundred and forty; that the representation of the commons should be reformed, by abolishing small boroughs and increasing the number of members for counties, so as to render the house of commons, as near as might be, an equal representation of the whole. In respect of the militia and some other points, they either followed the parliamentary propositions of Newcustle, or modified them favourably for the king. They excepted a very small number of the king's adherents from the privilege of paying a composition for their estates, and set that of the rest considerably lower than had been fixed by the parliament. They stipulated that the royalists should not sit in the next parliament. As to religion, they provided for liberty of conscience, declared against the imposition of the covenant, and, by insisting on the retrenchment of the coercive jurisdiction of bishops and the abrogation of penalties for not reading the common prayer, left it to be impliod that both might continue established.' The whole tenor of these propositions was

[^136]in a style far more respectful to the king, and lenient towands his adherents, than had ever been adopted since the beginning of the war. The sincerity indeed of these uvertures might be very questionable if Cromwell had been concerned in them; but they proceeded from those elective tribunes called Agitators, who had been established in every regiment to superintend the interests of the army." And the terms were surely as good as Charles had any reason to hope. The severities against his party were mitigated. The grand obstacles to all accommodation, the covenant and presbyterian establishment, were at once removed; or, if some difficulty might occur as to the latter, in consequence of the acturl possession of benefices by the presbyterian clergy, it seemed not absolately insuperable. For the changes projected in the constitution of parliament, they were not necessarily injuriousto the monarchy. That parliament should not be dissolved until it had sat a certain time was so salutary a provision, that the triennial act was hardly complete without it.

It is however probable, from the ling's extreme tensciousness of his prerogative, that these were the conditions that he found it most difficult to endure. Having obtained, through sir John Berkley, a sight of the propasitions before they were openly made, he axpressed much displeasure; and said that, if the army were inclined to close with him, they would never have demauded such hard terms. He seems to have principally objected, at least in words, to the exception of seven unnamed persons from pardon, to the exclusion of his party from the next parliament, and to the want of any articles in favour of the church. Berkley endeavoured to show him that it was not likely that the army, if meaning sincerely, would ask less than this. But the king, still tampering with the Scots, and keeping his
ever meen. At to bis prerogative and the power of the monarihy, the wat to thxroaghly beaten, that wo treaty coold io hits any emontial serploe; and be bal. in trath, only to make his eloction, whecker to be the nominal ehtef of an aristucration or a dernocratical reprabite In a wellviluen tract celled Fox Mixtaris concitining a deferce of the army's prooceding and intentions, and pablinked
epparently in July, 1e4t, their dotre to preserve the Hing's righta, acoording it their notion of thew and the gengrin lawe of the reation, is strungy anerted
a The preciep menaing of thin word beeme abecurs Some have appoeed tit to be a orraption of edfacitores, is if th monden term adjatent meant the mow thines. But I find agientor always en pelled in the pemphece of the trons.
eyes fixed on the oity and parliament, at that moment came to an open breach with the army, disdainfully refused the propositions when publicly tendered to him, with such expressions of misplaced resentment and preposterous confidence as convinced the officers that they could neither conciliate nor trust him. This unexpected haughtiness lost him all chance with those proud and republican spirits; and as they succeeded about the same time, in bridling the presbyterinn party in parliament, there seemed no necessity for an agreement with the king, and their former determinations of altering the frame of government returned with more revengeful fury against his person.'


#### Abstract

${ }^{2}$ Berkley's Memofris, s68. He told mid Capal about this time that he expected a war between Scotland and Fingland; that the scolt hoped for the axsistance of the presbyterians; and that ho wished hin own party to rise it. arme on - proper conjuncturv, without which he could not bope for much banefit from the othert. Clarendon, 7. 476. Y Berkley, 363, to Compare the Better of Aghburnham, published in 1648 , and reprinted in 1764 ; alsp the memuir of Hollis, Hantingdon, and Fairfax, which art all in Maseres's Collection; also Ludlow, Hutchinson, Clarendon, Burnet's Memoirs of Hamilton, and some despatches in 1647 and 1648 , from a royalist in London, printed in the Appendlx to the secund volume of the Clarendon Papers. This correopondent of secretary Nicholay believes Cromwell and Ireton to have all along pinnned the ting's destruction, and set the levellers on, till they proceeded so violently that they were forced to restraln them. This also is the conclusion of major Huntingdon, in his Reasons for laying dowa his Comminaion. But the contrary appears to me more probable.

Two ansculotes, weil known to those eonversant is Eugilab history, are too remaricable to be omisted. It is sald by the editor of lord Orrety's Memoirs, as a relation which lie had heard from that noble person, that, in a conversation with Gromwell couserning the king's desth, tho intter told bith he and his Miends had once a mind to bave cloeed with the ling, fearing that the Scots and


prestyterians might do 80 , when one of their spies, who was of the king's bedchamber, gave them information of a letter from his majesty to the queen, sewed up in the eldrt of a stadile, and directing them to gp Inn where it might be found. They obtained the letter accordingly, in which the king eald that he was couried by both factions, the Scote presbyterians and the army; that those which bade fairest for him should have him; but he thought he nhould rather cloes with the Scote thap the other. Upon this, finding themselves unlikely to get good terms from the ting, they fram that time vowed hs destruction. Carie's Ormond, il. 12

A second apectote is alraded to by some earlier writers, but is particularly told in the following words by Richardcon, the painter, author of mome aneo dotes of Pope, edited by Spence :- ${ }^{\text {n }}$ Lond Bolinghrobe told us, June 12, 1742 (Mr. Pope, lord Marchmont, and myeell, that the accond eari of Oxford had often told blem that he had eeen, and had in his handa, an original letter that Charies the Eirst wrote to his queen, in answer to one of hers that had been intervepted. and then forwarded to him; whorein she had reproached lum for havirge made thowe viliains $\mathbf{t 0 0}$ great conceations, vin that Cromwell ahouid be lord-itentenant of Ireland for lifo without account; that that kingdom ahould be in the hanis of the party, with an army there lsept whicb should know no bead but the llentoant; that Cromwell ahould dave a garter, te. That in this letter of tbe buggis it was
p

Charles's continuance at Hampton Court, there can be little doubt, would have exposed him to
do gight from Himpo trom Coump such imminent risk thst, in escaping from self-preservation. He might probably, with due precautions, have reached France or Jersey. But the hastiness of his retreat from Hampton Court giving no time, he fell again into the toils through the helplessness of his situation and the unfortunate counsels of one whom he trusted. The fortitude of his own mind sustained him in this state of captivity and entire seclusion from his friends. No one, however sensible to the infirmities of Charles's disposition and the defects of his understanding, can refuse admiration to that patient firmness and unaided acateness which he displayed throughout the last and most melancholy year of his life. He had now abandoned all expectation of obtaining any present terms for the church or crown. He proposed, therefore, what he had privately empowered Murray to offer the
mid that she ebouid leave bim to manage, who was better informed of ell circumatances than sbe coold bo; but sbe might be entirely eany as to whatever concest slons he should make them; for that he shoald llow is due thme how to deal with the rogues, who, instead of a silken garter, sbould be fitted with a hempen cord So the letter ended; which answer - 4 they walted for so they intercepted zocordingity, and it determined bis fate This lester lord Oxford genid he had affered sool for."
The authenticity of this lutter edory has been constantly refected by Hame and the adrocates of Charlee in general; and for ase remoan among others, that it look. like a milerepresentation of that told by lord Orrers. whlah both wiands on good anthority, aDd is perfectly conformable to all the memolrs of the time. I have, hownover, been informed thati a memorandum vearif conformuble to Richardson's aueodote is axtant, th the mandwriting of lord Oxford.
It is poasibie that chlo letter is the mane with that mentioned by lord Orrery; and in that cape way writsen in the month of October. Cromawall seems to have been in trenty with the king as late an September; and advised hime acconding
to Beriley, to refect the proposals of the partiament in that month. Herbert mentions an tatercepted better of the queen (Memoirs, 60); and even ble story proves that Crommell and hid party broke ofl with Charies from a conviction of hif diuaimutation. See Laing's note, Iil. 562; and the not6 by Strype, theredn referred to, oa Kennet's Complete Firct. of Ingtand, ith 170, which speaks of - "constant tradition" aboat this story. tend it mare worthy of notice, becauso it was written before the pablication of hand Orresc's Memodrs, or of the Bichandsoniana
${ }^{2}$ Ashburnham givee un to undentimed that the king bad made choice of the Inle of Wight previcualy to his rearing Hampten Court, but probably at his own sugestion. This sesman confrmed by the xing's lettor in Bronst's Mern of Duken of Hamilton, ses. Clarendon's account is a romance, wilh a Ittele mixtores probably, of trath. Bat Ashbarm bam's Narrutive, pablished in 1839, proved that be auggested the Isle of Wight in consequance of the king'a being forced to abandon a deaign be had formed of eoting to Londan, the Scots cummisalaeers retriniting thair engesemont to eupport htm.
year before, to confirm the presbyteriar government for three years, and to give up the militia $c$ uring his whole life, with other concessions of importance.* To preserve the church lands from sale, to shield his frionds from proscription, to obtain a legal security for the restoration of the monarchy in his son, were from henceforth the main objects of all his efforts. It was, however, far too late, even for these moderate conditions of peace. Upon his declining to pass four bills tendered to him as preliminaries of a treaty, which, on that very account, besides his objections to part of their contents, he justly considered as unfair, the parliament voted that no more addresses should be made to him, and that they would receive no more messages.b He was votesing placed in close and solitary confinement; and against hm. at a meeting of the principal officers at Windsor it was concluded to bring him to trial, and avenge the blood shed in the war by an awful example of punishment; Cromwell and Ireton, if either of them had been ever favourable to the king, acceded at this time to the severity of the rest.

Yet, in the midst of this peril and seeming abandonment, his affairs were really less desperate than they had been; and a few rays of light broke for a time through the clouds that enveloped him. From the hour that the Scots delivered him up at Newcastle they seem to have felt the discredit of such an action, and longed for the opportunity of redeeming their public name. They perceived more and more that a well-disciplined

[^137][^138]army, under a subtle chief inveterately hostile to them, were rapidly becoming masters of England. Instead of that cuvenanted alliance, that unity in charch and state they had expected, they were to look for all the jealousy and dissension that a complete discordance in civil and spiritual polity could inspire. Their commissioners therefore in England, the earl of Lanark, always a moderate royalist, and the earl of Lauderdale, a warm pres-
> soots the byterian, had kept up a secret intercourse with vaston the king at Hampton Court. After his detention at Carisbrook, they openly declared themselves against the four bills proposed by the English parliament, and at length concluded a private treaty with him, by whioh, on certain terms quite as favourable as he could justly expect, they bound themselves to enter England with an army in order to restore him to his freedom and dignity." This invasion was to be combined with risings in various parts of the country: the presbyterian and royalist, though still retaining much of animosity towards each other, concurring at least in abhorrence of military usurpation; and the common people having very generally returned to that affectionate respect for the king's person, which sympathy for his sufferings, and a sense how little they had been gainers by the change of government, must naturally have excited. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The anfortunate issue of the Scots expedition under the dake of Hamilton, and of the various insurrections throughout England, quelled by the vigi-

[^139]Juty 25, 1648, the commens enve all a reason for indsting on the ling's merrender of the milltis ta a preliminary to e treaty, that buch wrat the dizaffection to the parliament on all eides that without the miltila shey conld never bo secureEush. $A$ br. Ti. 44. "The chief cirisens of London, "uys Miny, 122, "and othere called preibyterians, though the pret byterian Scota abominated chis srmy, wisted good mocese to theme Scota no lem than the mallgasints did Wheore let the reader fadge of the timen." The fugitive sheets of thit year, soch m the Mercarias Aulicons, bear witness to the axalting and trowent tone of the royalista. They chackle over Furfiry and Cromwell an if bey bed canght socople uf rata in atrap.
lance and good conduct of Fairfax and Cromwell, is well known. But these formidable manifestations of the public sentiment in favour of peace with the The presking on honourable conditions, wherein the city byterians regain the mendant of London, ruled by the presbyterian ministers, took a share, compelled the house of commons to retract its measures. They came to a vote, by 165 to 99 , that they would not alter the fundamental government by king, lords, and commons; *they abandoned theirimpeachment against seven peers, the most moderate of the upper house, and the most obnoxious to the army; ${ }^{1}$ they restored the eleven members to their seats; ${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ they revoked their resolution against a personal treaty with the king, and even that which required his assent by certain preliminary articles. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ In a word, the party for distinction's sake called presbyterian, but now rather to be denominated constitutional, regained its ascendancy. This change in the councils of parliament brought on the treaty of Newport.

The treaty of Newport was set on foot and managed by those politicians of the house of lords who, Treaty of having long suspected no danger to themselves Nenpart but from the power of the king, had discovered, somewhat of the latest, that the crown itself was at stake, and that their own privileges were set on the same cast. Nothing was more remote from the intentions of the earl of Northumberland or lord Say than to see themselves pushed from their seats by such upstarts as Ireton and Harrison; and their present mortification afforded a proof how men reckoned wise in their generation become the dupes of their own selfish, crafty, and pusallanimous policy. They now grew anxious to see a treaty concluded with the king. Sensible that it was necessary to anticipate, if possible, the return of Cromwell from the north, they implored him to comply at once with all the

[^140][^141]
# propositions of parliament, or at least to yield in the first instance as far as he meant to go. They had not, how- 

1 Clarendon, Fi. IsE. Eic is very abound in fungining that any of the parlizmeatary cormmi.rioners wonld have been
 ablivion."

That the parifament had ecuse remon to expect 0be ling' frmmere of purpoes to sive way in epits of all his begging will appenef fitem the following ehort neview of what had been dove. 1 . At Newmatict, in Jume, 16es, ho abooktely refined the sineteen propocitions teodered to him by the lorts and commone 2. In the treaty of Orford, March, 1643, he seems to have mado no concestione, nite even promitrig en amonesty to thote ho hed already excinded from pardion. 3. In the treaty of Uribridge no meution trat made on his tide of exclagion trog perdon; heoftered to rest the miltic for aven geers in connmiscioners folntiy eqpointed by himo self and partiament, 00 that it sboald fleerwards retarn to htm, arod it Hint the furistiction of the blahope 4 In the winter of 1815 then onty offered to tishend his forceen, bat toles the miltia be vested for sevili yeats in oumminiones to be appotnted by the two boases, and titermands to bo tetiled by bill ; also to give the nomination of atioters of etato cud judse pro the wion to the hoosers. 5. He weat no firther in Eribstance till Mity, 14t; then be oftered the militio for ten jeats, al well a great thritations of episcopecy, and the contimumace of presbyterian goverment for three years: lhe whole macter to be arterwieds cetsind hy Mill on the advise of the avombly of divines, ond twenty more of his own notainastion. 6. In hia letter from CarisBrooks Nov. 1tit, be give tip the militts tor hat life Thin wis in ellect to merifre almost everything at to inntrediata poces; bat he truegded to wow tho charch lande from conlmation, Firch woald have repdered it hardly proestratio to restore epiecoperey is futare. Hia futare corcesincons io the treaty of Newport, thoogh very lowly exterted, whe somperatively trifing.

What Clarendon thonght of the treaty of Sewport many bo tmagined Fion reay endty conclode," be writes to Degby. © bot It a convellor I am into to bes

When tho bext that is proponed is Alst which I would rest condiont miso so greworve the letagion from ashes. I en tell yon worse of myoulf then thin; which 5 , that there may be acme reagobabie expediente which poosibly might in trath restore and preasive all, fin which i coold beer no part" P. 453. See atoo p. 51 and 410 I do not divine what he meena by this, malees it were the king's abdication. But What he coold aot have epproved wing, that the iling bad no thoughte of deating eincerely with the peritmont to this treaty, and gave Onmond directions to obey all hdy wife's commanda, bat Bot is obey any further aoters he might atod, nor to be getartied at his great concenstons respecting Ireiand, for they woald cotos to nothing. Carte's Pupers, \& 186. Set Mr. Brodie's remario an this, tv. 143146. Eie had agreed to give up the government of Irelond for towenty sear to the paritoment. In his letter event fiven Hobmby in May, 1647, he hed deciared that he moubd give tall satiafoction with xespect to Irelind But be thasexpiatio himself to the queen :--"I have so ocoubed that articio that, if the Irish give mo ceave, I facy tuberpret if enough to theip advantage For I conly my that I will give them (the faro hoased) fill antiano thin to to the manegrmenk of the war. nor do I promisa to contina the var; m that, if I find remon to melve a good peace there, my engegoment $\frac{1}{2}$ at $m$ end Wherefore matse this my interpretethen lanown to the Irich." "What reliences" bye Mr. Ialng from whom I traseribe this parage (Fhich I connot find in the Clarendon State Papern quoted by him),
 of the dimpace, of at any entrequent period, on the word of moderation of a prince whoee aiem and wituen dectars-
 of Soothon, it coe It ming bere be added that, thongh Charlea bed given hifa prove to colonel Eilarmiond, atad had the ceatinela repowed in enperquapo, be was engroud during mont part of he stay at Curtabrook to schereas for an escape Seo Ool. Coolcelt Narrative, printed with Biopbert's Mewoira; and in Kosh Ate AL BS. Bat lis enomien wert apprimed of
ever, mitigated in any degree the rigorous conditions so often proposed; nor did the king during this treaty obtain any reciprocal concession worth mentioning in return for his surrender of almost all that could be demanded. Did the positive adherence of the parliament to all these propositions, in circumstances so perilous to themselves, display less unreasonable pertinacity than that so often imputed to Charles? Or if, as was the fact, the majority which the presbyterians had obtained was so precarious that they dared not hazard it by suggesting any more moderate counsels, what rational security would the treaty have afforded him, had he even come at once into all their requisitions? His real error was to have entered upon any treaty, and still more to have drawn it out by tardy and ineffectual capitulations. There had long been only one course either for safety or for honour, the abdication of his royal office; now probably too late to preserve his life, but still more honourable than the treaty of Newport. Yet though he was desirous to make his escape to France, I have not observed any hint that he had thoughts of resigning the crown; whether from any mistaken sense of obligation, or from an apprehension that it might affect the succession of his son.

There can be no more erroneous opinion than that of such as believe that the desire of overtuming the monarchy produced the civil war, rather than that the civil war brought on the former. In a peaceful and ancient kingdom like England the thought of change could not spontaneously arise. A very few speculative men, by the study of antiquity, or by observation of the prosperity of Venice and Holland, might be led to an abstract preference of republican politics; some fanatics might aspire to a Jewish theocracy; but at the meeting of the long parliament we have not the slightest cause to suppose that any party, or any number of persons among its members, had formed what must then have appeared so extravagant a conception." The insuperable distrust

[^142]E Clarendon mentions an expreasion that dropped from Eienty Martin to converuation, not long after the meeting of the parifament: a do not think one min wite enoagh to govern tis all." Thit may doubtlose be taken in a aenes per-
of the king's designs, the irritation excited by the sufferinge of the war, the impracticability, which every attempt at negotiation displayed, of obtaining his acquiescence to terms deemed indispensable, gradually created a powerful faction, whose chief bond of wion was a determination to set him aside." What further scheme they had planned is uncertain : none probably in which any number were agreed: some looked to the prince of Wales, others, perhaps, at one time to the elector palatine; ${ }^{\text {n }}$ but necessity itsolf must have suggested to
fectily compatible with our limited mo narchy But Martin's republicanden was soon apparent: he was aent to the Tower in August, 1643, for language reflecting on the ling. Parl Hist 16 L A Mr. Chillingworth had before incurred the came puntshment for a lise offence, December 1, 1641. Nelson, if, T14 SHr Henry Iadlot, father of the regiolde, was also censured on the same account, As the opposite fiction grew etronger, Martin was not only reatored to his eeat, but the vote against him was expunged. Vane, 1 presume, took ap republican principles pretty early ; perhapt also Hislerig. With these axceptions, I know not that we can fix on any Individaal member of parliament the charge of an intention to aubvert the constitution all 1648 or 1647.
m Pamphiets may be found as carly as 1643 which breathe thile eplrit; but they are certaiply rare till 1645 and 1648. Such are 'Pisin Einglish,' 1843; "The Character of an Ants-mallgaant,' 1046; ${ }^{4}$ Last Warntug to all the Inhabltants of London, ${ }^{\text {² }} 164$.

- Charles Louls, elector palatine, elder brother of the princes Rupert and Mau foo, gave cause to suspect that be wis looking towands the throne. He left the king's quarters, where he had been at the eommencament of the war, and retired to Holland; whenco be wrote, as well as hif mother, the queen of Bohemia, to the paritament, disclaiming and renouncing prince Itupert, and begging thelr own pension might be pald. He came over to Landon in August, 164t, took the covenant, and courted the parilamont. They ahowed, huwevar, at first, a gnod deal of jealousy of him; and intimated that his affialre would proupar better lue
his leaving the vingiom. Whitelock. 101. Ruah. Abr. iv. 359. He did not take this hint and obtained next year an allowance of 80002 per annum. Id. 145. Lady Banelagh, in a letter to Hyde March, 1644, conduring him, by his regard for lori Feltiand's memory, to nae all his Infinence to procure a mesenge from the king for a treaty, adds, "Methinite What I have informed my aister, and what aho will inform yon, of the postare the prince elector's affairs are in here, bbould be a motive to hastea sway this menoge" Clar. State Pappers, it 167 . Clarendon himself, in a letter to Nicholas, Dec. 12, 1646 (where be gives his opinion that the independents look more to a change of the ling and his line than of the mom parchy fteelt, and world restore the full prerogative of the crown to one of their own chudoe), proceeds in these remarkable words: "And I pray God they bave not meh a noee of wax ready for their imprewion. Tras it is malkes me tremble more than afl their disopareas of destrojing monarchy; and thas towards this end they ind aggistance from those who from thelr hearts abhor their confusione" P. 308. These expresulots seem mose epplicabie by far to the elector than to Cromwell. But the former way not danguroni to the parliament, though it wes decmed fit to treat him with respect. In March. 164t, wo find a committee of both houses appointed to receive some intelilgence which the prince olecter dosred to commonicate to the parllament of great tmpartapce to the protestant relbgion. Whitelock, 21. Nothing tarther eppeare aboat this Intelligence; which looks as If he were merely afrild of belng torgotten. Ho lelt tingland in lefy, and dled in 263 a
many the idea of a repulblican settlement. In the newmodelled army of 1645 , composed of independents and enthusiasts of every denomination, a fervid eagerness for changes in the civil polity, as well as in religion, was soon found to predominate. Not checked, like the two houses, by attachment to forms, and by the influence of lawyers, they launched forth into varied projects of reform, sometimes judicious, or at least plausible, sometimes wildly fanatical. They reckoned the king a tyrant, whom, as they might fight against, they might also put to death, and whom it were folly to provoke if he were again to become their master. Elated with their victories, they began already in imagination to carve out the kingdom for themselves; and remembered that saying so congenial to a revolutionary army, "that the first of monarchs was a successful leader, the first of nobles were his followers."

The knowledge of this innovating spirit in the army gave confidence to the violent party in parliament, and increased its numbers by the accession of some of those to whom nature has given

Gradual progress of a repubJean party. a fine sense for discerning their own advantage. It was doubtless swollen through the publication of the king's letters, and his pertinacity in clinging to his prerogative. And the complexion of the house of commons was materially altered by the introduction at once of a large body of fresh members. They had at the beginning abstained from issuing writs to replace those whose death or expulsion had left their seats vacant. These vacancies, by the disabling votes against all the king's party, ${ }^{\text {P }}$ became so numerous that it seemed a glaring violation of the popular principles to which they appealed to carry on the public business with so maimed a representation of the people. It was, however, plainly impossible to have elections in many parts of the fingdom while the royal army was in strength; and

[^143]the change, by filling up nearly two hundred vacancies st once, was likely to become so important, that some feared that the cavaliers, others that the independents and republicans, might find their advantage in it. 9 The latter party were generally earnest for new elections; and carried their point against the presbyterians in September, 1645, when new writs were ordered for all the places which were left deficient of one or both representatives. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ The result of these elections, though a few persons rather friendly to the king came into the house, was on the whole very favourable to the army. The self-denying ordinance no longer being in operation, the principal officers were elected on every side; and, with not many exceptions, recruited the ranks of that small body which had already been marked by implacable dislike of the king, and by zeal for a total newmodelling of the government." In the summer of 1646 this party had so far obtained the opper hand, that, according to one of our best authorities, the Scots commissioners had all imaginable difficulty to prevent his deposition. In the course of the jear 1647 more overt proofs of a design to change the established constitution were given by a party out of doors. A petition was addressed "to the supreme authority of this nation, the commons assembled in parliament." It was voted upon a division that the house dislikes this petition, and cannot approve of its being delivered; and afterwards, by a majority of only 94 to 86 , that it was seditious and insolent, and should be burnt by the


#### Abstract

9 The best friende of the parilismeat Were not without foars what the teste of the new elections might be; for though the people durat pot chooet such at wert open enemies to them, yet probebly thay woald euch as ware moot likely to be for a peace on any terme, corruptly per ferring the truition of thair eatates and censual enjoymante Defore the pabilo Interest," be Ladow, if 168. This is a fiair conflestion how little the commonwealth party had the sapport of the untiong. ${ }^{2}$ C. Jomrnals Whitelock 168, The borough of Soathwart had Jous before petitioned for a new writ, ite member yoing doed of dimbled.

That the hone of comanomi in De.


cember, 1848, entertained no views of altering the fundatorental conatitution, appears from some of their resolutions al to anditions of poece: "That Fatriex thoold have an earidom, with socot a year; Cromwell and Waller baroaices Fith balf that entate; Espor, Northomberiand, and twn more, be medo dokes: Mancbester and Salisbary marpulete; and ocher peers of their party be elevated to highar ranlos; Halereg Stapylton and Eripton to havo pensione" Perl Sist. 405. Whiteloci 182 . These vosen da Eot cqeat mach for the magranimity and dicinteresteduess of that aseembly, thougl It may sait political romanoen to declai about is.
hangman.' Yet the first decisive proof, perhaps, which the journals of parliament afford of the existence of a republican party, was the vote of 22nd September, 1647, that they would once again make application to the king for those things which they judged necessary for the welfare and safety of the kingdom. This was earried by 70 to 23." Their subsequent resolation of January 4, 1648, against any further addreases to the ling, which passed by a majority of 141 to 91 , was a virtual renunciation of allegiance. The lords, after a warm debste, concurred in this vote. And the army had in November, 1647, before the king's escape from Hampton Court, published a declaration of their design for the settlement of the nation under a sovereign representative assembly, which should possess authority to make or repeal laws, and to call magistrates to account.

We are not certainly to conclude that all who, in 1648, had made up their minds against the king's restoration, were equally averse to all regal government. The prince of Wales had taken so active, and, for a moment, so successful a share in the war of that year, that his father's enemies were become his own. Meetings however were held, where the military and parliamentary chiefs discussed the achemes of raising the duke of York, or his younger brother the duke of Glocester, to the throne. Cromwell especially wavered, or pre-


#### Abstract

(Commons' Journals, May 4 and 18, 1647. This minority were not, in general, repablican; bat were unwling to increase the irritation of the army by 80 alang a vote. ${ }^{4}$ Commons Jownais. Whitelock, 271. Purl Hist 781. They had Jost been eraspersted by his evadion of their propoditions Id. 778. By the smallneas of the numbers, and tha names of the tellers it seems an If the presbytarian party had been almost entirely absent; which may be also inforred from other parts of the Jouranks. See October 9 , for a long list of abmentees Haslerig and Evalyn, both of the army faction, told the aves, Martin and dir Peter Wentworts the noes. The boume had divided the day before on the question for going into a cormmittee to talke this matter tuto conslderation, 84 to 2u; Cromweyl and Evelyn telling the


majority, Wentworth and Rainsborotgh the minority. . I zappose it is from some of these divisions that barom Mamores has reckoned the repablican party in the house not to exroed thirty.

It was resolved on Nov. 6, 1647, that the king of England, for the time being, was bound, in factice and by the duty of his offica to give his assent to all much laws as by the loris and commonis in parlament shall be adjudged to be for the good of the lingdom, and by them tendored unto him for his aasent. But the previous question was carried on the following addition: a And in cape the law wo offered ninto him aball not thereapos be aseented unto hy htm, that never thelesa they are an valid to all intenta and pros poeer as if bis assent had been thereunto band and obtained, which they do inalat upm as an modoabtad righte" Com. Jour
tended to waver, as to the settlement of the nation; nor is there any evidence, so far as I know, that he had ever professed himself averse to monarchy, till, dexterously mounting on the wave which he could not stem, he led on those zealots who had resolved to celebrate the inaggaration of their new commonwealth with the blood of a victim ling. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

It was abont the end of 1647, as I have said, that the principal officers took the determination, which had

Scheme among the oflicers of bringing Cluarles to trial. been already menaced by some of the agitators, of bringing the king, as the first and greatest deliaquent, to public justice.' Too stern and haughty, too confident of the righteousness of their actions, to think of private
and this with to much particniarity of circumstance that, if we reject Ma acoront, we must get aside the whole of his memoirs at the same time. Maseres' Tracts, 1. 38s. But it is fully confirmed by an independent testimony, WIllism Allen, btroself one of the comell of afficets and adjutant-general of the ermy, who in a letter addressed to Fleetwood, and pabliahed in 1659, declarea that, inter much consolitation and prayer at WIndsorCastle, in the begioning of 1648, they hul "came to $s$ very clear and jolnt resolution that-it was their duty to call Charics Stuart, that mina of blood, to an scoonent for the blood be had sled, and mischies he had done to his utmost against the Iordia canse and people in thete poor nations,* This is to be found in Somers' Tractivi $\mathbf{F L}$ 490. The only dlecrepancy, if it is one, between him and Berkley, is as to the precto thme, which the other neem to place in the end of 1647. But this might be lippee of memory in elther party; nor is it clear, on looting attentively at Beriley't marmthon, that he determines the thon Arbburnham aryes afor ame days belare the king'a remove from Himpton Court there was acarcely aday in which eeveral alarms were not brought blm by and from erveral considerabe permons, both well-afiected to him and lilbaiy to lonop mach of what was thein In agdietion, of the reeolution which a violent party irs the army had to take away ble Iffa. And that anch a dealgn tbert wha, there were strong insinuations to perwasder" Sen tho his Nartitive, problished in 19se.
assassination, they sought to grattry their pride by the solemnity and notoriousness, by the very infamy and eventual danger, of an act unprecedented in the history of nations. Thronghout the year 1648 this design, though suspended, became familiar to the This ts depeople's expectation.* The commonwealth's termined. men and the levellers, the various sectaries (admitting a few exceptions), grew clamorous for the king's death. Petitions were presented to the commons, praying for justice on all delinquents, from the highest to the lowest." And not long afterwards the general officers of the army came forward with a long remonstrance against any treaty, and insisting that the capital and grand author of their troubles be speedily brought to justice, for the treason, blood, and mischief whereof he had been guilty. This was soon followed by the vots - of the presbyterian party, that the answers of the king to the propositions of both houses are a ground for the house to proceed upon for the settlement of the peace of the kingdom, ${ }^{\text {e }}$ by the violent expulsion, or, as it was called, seclusion, of all the presby- Sectusion of terian members from the house, and the ordi- member. nance of a minority, constituting the high court of justice fer the trial of the king. ${ }^{d}$

A very small number among those who sat in this strange tribunal upon Charles I. were undoubtedly capable of taking statesmanlike views of the interests of their party, and might consider his death a politic expedient for consolidating the new settlement. It seemed to involve the army, which had openly abetted the act, and even the nation by its passive consent, in such inexpiable guilt towards the royal family, that neither common prudence nor a sense of shame would permit them to suffer its restoration. But by far the

[^144][^145]greater part of the regicider such considerations were either overlooked or kept in the background. Their more powerful motive was that fierce fanatical hatred of the king, the natwal fruit of long civil dissension, inflamed by preachers more dark and sanguinary than those they addressed, and by a perverted study of the Jewish scriptures. They had been wrought to believe, not that his erecution would be justified by state necessity or any such feeble grounds of homan reasoning, but that it was a bounden duty, which with a safe conscience they could not neglect. Such was the persuasion of Ludlow and Hutchinson, the most respectable names among the regicides; both of them free from all suspicion

Motives of bonme of the bing's jodigen of intarestedness or hypocrisy, and less intoricated than the rest by fanaticism. "I was fully persuaded," says the former, "that an accommodation with the ling was unsafe to the people of England, and unjust and wicked in the nature of it. The former, besides that it was obvious to all men, the king himself had proved, by the duplicity of his dealing with the parliament, which manifectly appeared in his own papors, taken at the battle of Naseby and elsewhere. Of the latter I was convinced by the express words of God's law: 'that błood defileth the land, and the land cannot be cleansed of the blood that is shed therein, but by the blood of him that shed it.' (Numbers, c. Ixxv. จ. 33.) And therefore I could not consent to leave the guilt of so mach blood on the nation, and thereby to draw down the just vengeance of God upon ws all, when it was most evident that the war had been occasioned by the invasion of our rights and open breach of our laws and constitution on the king's part." : "As for Mr. Hutchinson," says his high-souled consort, "although he was very much confirmed in his judgment concorning the canse, yet, being here called to an extraordinary action, whereof many were of several minds, he addressed himself to God by prayer, desiring the Lord that, if through any human frailty be were led into any error or false opinion in thoee great transactions, he would open his eyes, and not suffer him to proceed, but that be would confirm his spirit in the

[^146]truth, and lead him by a right-enlightened conscience; and finding no check, but a confirmation in his conscience that it was his duty to act as he did, he, upon serious debate, both privately and in his addresses to God, and in conferences with conscientious, upright, unbiassed persons, proceeded to sign the sentence against the king. Although he did not then believe but it might one day come to be again dispated among men, yet both he and others thought they could not refuse it without giving up the people of God, whom they had led forth and engaged themselves unto by the oath of God, into the hands of God's and their enemies; and therefore he cast himself upon God's protection, acting according to the dictates of a conscience which he had sought the law to guide; and accordingly the Lord did signalise his favour afterward to him."s

The execution of Charles I. has been mentioned in later ages by a few with unlimited praiso-by some with faint and ambiguous censure-by prestion of most with vehement reprobation. My own tion dibjudgment will possibly be anticipated by the reader of the preceding pages. I shall certainly not rest it on the imaginary sacredness and divine origin of royalty, nor even on the irresponsibility with which the law of almost every country invests the person of its sovereign. Far be it from me to contend that no cases may be conceived, that no instances may be found in history, wherein the sympathy of mankind and the sonnd principles of political justice would approve a public judicial sentence as the due reward of tyranny and perfidiousness. But we may confidently deny that Charles I. was thus to be singled out as a warning to tyrants. His offences were not, in the worst interpretation, of that atrocious character which calls down the vengeance of insulted humanity, regardless of positive law. His government had been very arbitrary; but it may well be doubted whether any, even of his ministers could have suffered death for their share in it, without introducing a principle of barbarous vindictiveness. Far from the sanguinary misanthropy of some monarchs. or the revengeful fury of others, he had in no instance dis-
played, nor does the minutest scratiny since made into his character entitle us to suppose, any malevolent dispositions beyond some proneness to anger, and a considerable degree of harshness in his demeanour. As for the charge of having caused the bloodshed of the war, upon which, and not on any former misgovernment, his condemnation was grounded, it was as illestablished as it would have been insufficient. Well might the earl of Northumberland say, when the ordinance for the king's trial was before the lords, that the greatest part of the people of England were not yet satisfied whether the king levied war first against the houses, or the houses against him." The fact, in my opinion, was entirely otherwise. It is quite another question whether the parliament were justafied in their resistance to the king's legal authority. But we may contend that, when Hotham, by their command, shut the gates of Hull against his sovereign, when the militia was called out in different counties by an ordinance of the two houses, both of which preceded by several weeks any levying of forces for the king, the bonds of our constitutional law were by them and their servants anapped asunder; and it would be the mere pedantry

潮


#### Abstract

t The king's manners were not good. Ho mpoke and behaved to ledien with indellesay in rablle See Warburton's Notas on Clarendon, vil. 629 ; and a passage in Milton's Defensfo pro Populo Angicano, quoted by Harris and Brodia. Ho onoo forgot himself no far an to canc the jounger al Hewry Vane for coming into 5 room of the palace reserved for persons of higher rant: Carte's Ormond, 1. 356, where othar ifintances are menHoned by that friendiy (writer. He had m truth none who loved him, till his eniatortune soltened hit demper and exsited sympethy.

An aneedote, strongy indmating tho Fiolene of Chritea's temper, has been releoted by his adrocatet It it eadd Hat Burnet, in earching tho Hamilton papers, found that the lelog, on discovering the celebneted letter of the Soote eoveaxnting lords to the ifing of Franco, wes so incensed that be rent an dider to dr Witliam Balfory, llaucapanige yerncr of the Tower, to cut off the mand of his


prisoner, lord Loxdon; but that the marquis of Finmition, to whom Balforr immediately communicated this, urged 80 atrougly on the ling that the city Fotild be up in arms on this violences that with reluctance he withdrew the warrant This gtory in told by Otdmixon, Hist of the Stuarta, p. 140. It was brought formand on Burnet's ano thority, and aloo on that of the duke of Ermilton, filled in 1712, by Dr. Birch. no Incompetent judge of historical evidence: It seems conffrmed by an intimation great by Bornat bimelf ta his Mamoirs of the dinke of Hamilton, p. 161. It is alvo mentioned by Scots of Scotatarret, a contamporary witur. Fiarris, p. 350, quotes other anthorities anrlier than the aneodote told of Burnet; and upon the whole I thlnk the atory dicuerving credit, and by no means 00 much te be alighted as the Oxford etitor of Burnet bee thought tit to da

- Ciemeut Walbar, Hist of Indepond nay, part U. D. 56
and chicane of political casuistry to inquire, even if the fact could be better ascertained, whether at Edgehill, or in the minor skirmishes that preceded, the first carbine was discharged by a cavalier or a roundhead. The aggressor in a war is not the first who uses force, but the first who renders force necessary.

But, whether we may think this war to have originated in the king's or the parliament's aggression, it is still evident that the former had a fair cause with the nation, a cause which it was no plain violation of justice to defend. He was supported by the greater part of the peers, by full one-third of the commons, by the principal body of the gentry, and a large proportion of other classes. If his adherents did not form, as I think they did not, the majority of the people, they were at least more numerous, beyond comparison, than those who demanded or approved of his death. The steady deliberate perseverance of so considerable a body in any cause takes away the right of punishment from the conquerors, beyond what their own safety or reasonable indemnification may require: The vanquished are to be judged by the rules of national, not of municipal law. Hence, if Charles, after having by a course of victories or the defection of the people prostrated all opposition, had abused his triumph by the execution of Essex or Hampden, Fairfax or Cromwell, I think that later agen would have disapproved of their deaths as positively, though not quite as vehemently, as they have of his own. The line is not easily drawn, in abstract reasoning, between the treason which is justly punished, and the social schism which is beyond the proper boundaries of law ; but the civil war of England seems plainly to fall within the latter description. These objections strike me as unanswerable, even if the trial of Charles had been sanctioned by the voice of the nation through its legitimate representatives, or at least such a fair and full convention as might, in great necessity, supply the place of lawful authority. But it was, as we all know, the ect of a bold but very small minority, who, having forcibly expelled their colleagues from parliament, had usurped, under the protection of a military force, that power which all England reckoned illegal. I cannot pereeive what there was in the imagined solemnity of
this proceeding, in that insolent nockery of the forms of justice, accompanied by all nnfairness and inhmmanity in its circumstances, which can alleviate the guilt of the transaction; and if it be alleged that many of the regicides werv firmly persuaded in their consciences of the right and daty of condemning the king, we may surely remember that private murderers have often had the same apology.

In discussing each particular transaction in the life Hische of Charles, as of any other sovereign, it is racter. required by the truth of history to spare no just animadversion upon his faults; especially where much art has been employed by the writers most in repute to carry the atream of public prejudice in an opposite direction. But when we come to a general estimate of his character, we should act unfairly not to give their full weight to those peculiar circumstances of his condition in this worldly scene which tend to account for and extenuate his failings. The station of kings is, in a moral sense, so unfavourable, that those who are least prone to servile admiration should be on their guard against the opposite error of an uncandid severity. There seems no fairer method of estimating the intrinsio worth of a sovereign than to treat him as a subject, and to judge, so far as the history of his life enables us, what he would have been in that more private and happier condition from which the chance of birth has excluded him. Tried by this test, we cannot doubt that Charles I. would have been not altogether an amiable man, but one deserving of general esteem; his firm and conscientions virtues the same, his devistions from right far less frequent than upon the throne. It is to be pleaded for this prince, that his routh had breathed but the contaminated air of a profligate and servile court-that he had imbibed the lessons of arbitrary power from all who surrounded him-that he had been betrayed by a father's culpable blindness into the dangerous society of an ambitious, unprincipled favourita. To have maintained so much correctmess of morality as his enemies confess, was a proof of Charles's virtuous dispositions: but his advocates are compelled also to own that he did not escape as little injured by the poisonons edulation to which he had listened. Of a
temper by nature, and by want of restraint, too passionste, though not vindictive, and. though not crael, certainly deficient in gentleness and humanity, he was entirely unfit for the very difficult station of royalty, and especially for that of a constitutional king. It is impossible to excuse his violations of liberty on the score of ignorance, especially after the Petition of Right; because his impatience of opposition from his council made it unsafe to give him any advice that thwarted his determination. His other great fault was want of sin-cerity-a fault that appeared in all parts of his life, and from which no one who has paid the subject any attention will pretend to exculpate him. Those indeed who know nothing bat what they find in Hume may believe, on Hume's authority, that the king's contemporaries never deemed of imputing to him any deviation from good faith; as if the whole conduct of the parliament had not been evidently founded upon a distrust which on many occasions they very explicitly declared. But, so far as this insincerity was shown in the course of his troubles, it was a failing which untoward circumstances are apt to produce, and which the extreme hypocrisy of many among his adversaries might sometimes palliate. Few personages in history, we should recollect, have had so much of their actions revealed, and commented upon, as Charles; it is perhaps a mortifying truth that those who have stood highest with posterity have seldom been those who have been most accurately known.

The turn of his mind was rather peculiar, and laid him open with some justice to very opposite censuresfor an extreme obstinacy in retaining his opinion, and for an excessive facility in adopting that of others. But the apparent incongraity ceases, when we observe that he was tenacious of ends and irresolute as to means; better fitted to reason than to act; never swerving from a few main principles, but diffident of his own judgment in its application to the course of affaire. His chief talent was an acuteness in dispute; a talent not usually much exercised by kings, but which the strange events of his life called into action. He had, unfortunately for himself, gone into the study most fashionable in that age, of polemical theology; and, though not at all learned, had read enough of the English divines to
maintain their side of the current controvervies with much dexterity. But this unkingly talent was a poor compensation for the continual mistakes of his judgment in the art of government and the conduct of his affiairs.'

It seems naturul not to leave untouched in this place
Ionn the famous problem of the Icon Basiliké, which
Basilike. has been deemed an irrefragable evidence both of the virtues and the talents of Charles. But the authenticity of this work can hardly be auy longer a question among judicious men. We have letters from Gauden and his family asserting it as his own in the most express terms, and making it the ground of a claim for reward. We know that the king's sons were both convinced that it was not their father's composition, and that Clarendon was satisfied of the same. If Ganden not only set up a false claim to so famous a work, but persuaded those nearest to the king to surrender that precious record, as it had been reckoned, of his dying sentiments, it was an instance of successful impudence which has hardly a parallel. But I should be content to rest the case on that internal evidence which has been so often alleged for its authenticity. The Icon has, to my judgment, all the air of a fictitious composition. Cold, stiff, elaborate, without a single allusion that bespeaks the superior knowledge of facts which the king must have possessed, it contains little but those rhetorical commonplaces which would snggest themselves to any forger. The prejudices of party, which exercise a strange influence in matters of taste, have caused this book to be extravagantly praised. It has doubtless a certain air of grave dignity, and the periods are more artificially constructed than was usual in that
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I Clacendon, Collier, and the highr church writere in genoral, are very prood of the superfority they fancy the ling to have obtalued in a long argamentation held af Newrastle with Hendareon, Soots minister, on church authority and goverament. This was condacted in writing and the papors afterwarde published. They may be roud in the lingio Wortss, and In Colliar, p. 849. It it more than Insinuated that Henderson died of mortificution at hla defeat. He cartainly had not the excuce of the phi-


locopber, who eatd he had no gheme te Helding to the master of ifty legiona But those who take the trouble to read these pepers will probably not think and parts 00 much the stronger as to shorten the other't daga. They ebow that Charion held thowe extravigant eunets about the authority of the church and of the fathers, which are irreconcilable with protestaption in any coantry where It to not eatablished, and are likedy to dirive it out where it is 8 .
age (a circumatance not in favour of its authenticity); but the style is encumbered with frigid metaphors, as is said to be the case in Gauden's acknowledged writings; and the thoughts are neither beautiful nor always exempt from affectation. The king's letters during his imprisonment, preserved in the Clarendon State Papers, and especially one to his son, from which an extract is given in the History of the Rebellion, are more satisfactory proofs of his integrity than the laboured self-panegyrics of the Icon Basilike. ${ }^{*}$
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The death of Charles $Y$. was pressed forward rather through personal hatred and superstition than

Abolition of the menarchy. out of any notion of its necessity to secure a republican administration. That party was still so weak that the commons came more slowly, and with more difference of judgment, than might be expected, to an absolute renanciation of monarchy. They voted, indeed, that the people are, under God, the original of all just power; and that whatever is enacted by the commons in parliament hath the force of law, although the consent and concurrence of the king or house of peers be not had thereto; terms manifestly not exclusive of the nominal continuance of the two latter. They altered the public style from the king's name to that of the parliament, and gave other indications of their intentions; but the vote for the abolition of monarchy did not pass till the 7th of February, after a debate, according to Whitelook, but without a division. None of that clamorous fanaticism showed itself which, within the memors of many, " produced, from a far mare
numerons assembly, an instantaneous decision against monarchy. Wise men might easily perceive that the regal power was only suspended through the force of circumstances, not abrogated by any real change in public opinion.

The house of lords, still less able than the crown to withstand the inroads of democracy, fell by a vote of the commons at the same time. It had and of to continued during the whole progress of the war larte. to keep up as much dignity as the state of affairs would permit; tenacious of small privileges and offering much temporary opposition in higher matters, though always receding in the end from a contention wherein it could not be successful. The commons, in return, gave them respectful language, and discountenanced the rade innovators who talked against the rights of the peerage. They voted, on occasion of some rumours, that they held themselves obliged, by the fundamental laws of the kingdom and their covenant, to preserve the peerage with the rights and privileges belonging to the house of peers, equally with their own." Yet this was with a secret reserve that the lords should be of the same mind as themselves. For, the upper house having resented some words dropped from sir John Evelyn, at a conference concerning the removal of the king to Warwiok castle, importing that the commons might be compelled to act without them, the commons, vindicating their member as if his words did not bear that interpretation, yet added, in the same breath, a plain hint that it was not beyond their own views of what might be done: "hoping that their lordships did not intend by their inference upon the words, even in the sense they took the same, so to lind up this house to one way of proceeding as that in in no case whatsoever, though never so extrsordinary, though never so much importing the honour and interest of the kingdom, the commons of England might not do their duty, for the good and safety of the kingdom, in such a way as they may, if they cannot do it in such a wus as they would and most desire." ${ }^{\circ}$

[^148]After the violent seclusion of the constitutional party from the house of commons, on the 6th of December, 1648, very few, not generally more than five, peers continued to meet. Their number was suddenly increased to twelve on the 2nd of January, when the vote of the commons, that it is high treason in the king of England for the time being to levy war against parliament, and the ordinance constituting the high court of justice, were sent up for their concurrence. These were unanimously rejected with more spirit than some, at least, of their number might be expected to display. Yet, as if apprehensive of giving too much umbrage, they voted at their next meeting to prepare an ordinance, making it treasonable for any future ling of England to levy war against the parliament-a measure quite as unconstitutional as that they had rejected. They continued to linger on the verge of annihilation during the month, making petty orders about writs of error, from four to six being present; they even met on the 30th of January. On the lst of February, six peers forming the house, it was moved, "that they would take into consideration the settlement of the government of England and Ireland, in this present conjuncture of things, upon the death of the king;" and ordered that these lords following (naming those present and three more) be appointed to join with a proportionable number of the house of commons for that purpose. Soon after, their speaker acquainted the house that he had that morning received a letter from the earl of Northumberland, "with a paper enclosed, of very great concermment;" and for the present the house ordered that it should be sealed up with the speaker's seal. This probably related to the impending dissolution of their house, for they found next day that their messengers sent to the commons had not been admitted. They persisted, however, in meeting till the fth, when they made a trifling order, and adjourned "till ten o'clock to-morrow." P That morrow was the 25th April, 1660. For the commons having the same day rejected, by a majority of forty-four to twenty-nine, a motion that they would take the advice of the house of lards in the exercise of the legislative power, resolved
that the honse of peers was useless and dangerous, and ought to be abolished. 9 It should be noticed that there was no intention of taking away the dignity of peerage; the lords, throughout the whole duration of the commonwealth, retained their titles, not only in common usage, lout in all legal and parliamentary documents. The earl of Pembroke, basest among the base, condescended to sit in the house of commons as knight for the county of Berks, and was received, notwithstanding his proverbial meanness and stupidity, with such excessive honour as displayed the character of those lowminded upstarts who formed a sufficiently numerous portion of the house to give their tone to its proceedings.?

Thus by military force, with the approbation of an inconceivably small proportion of the people, conmos the king was put to death, the ancient funda- weall. mental laws were overthrown, and a mutilated house of commons, wherein very seldom more than seventy or eighty sat, was invested with the supreme authority. So little countenance had these late proceedinge, even from those who seemed of the ruling faction, that, when the executive council of state, consisting of forty-one, had been nominated, and a test was proposed to them, declaring their approbation of all that had been done about the king and the kingly office and about the house of lords, only nineteen would subsoribe it, though there were fourteen regicides on the list." It was agreed at length that they should subscribe it only as to the future
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9 Commotus' Joumale It hed been proposed to conttrue the house of lorid as a court of judicatore, or a a court of eopmitation, of in acte way or other to lseep it up. The majorlty, it will be observed, was not very great; so finr was the demorratic acheme from belng onfvernl even withip the house. Whitolock, sti. Two divisions had already taren place: one on Jun. 9, when it wha carried by thirty-ane to eighteen that "a meanage from tho lords whould be reoelved ;" Cromwell strongly soppurting the motion, and being a teller for It; and again on Jan. 18, when, the oppocita party provaling, it was negor tived by twenty-Avo to elghteen to ants thoir ascent to the vote of the tin inetant that the soverelignty redidee in the com-


mans; which, dotibtlem, If tring, conld not require the londs' concurrence.

FWhitelock, S9s. They voted that Pembroks, an well as Sallabury and Howerd of Pericte who followed the igrominions examplo, should be adied to all cammittees.

- Commanar' Journals. Whitelock. It had been referred to a committee of tive members, Lisie, Folland, Robiawn, Ecott, and Lodlow, to recummend thirtyfive for a conncil of etate; to whowe nominations the hoone agreed, and added thetr own. Lodlow, i. 288. They were appointed for a year; but in 1850 the houge oniy left ont two of the former list, benides those who ware doad Whitelocks, 41. In 1851 the chasige wiss more conn siderable. In 488
proceedings of the commons. With such dissatisfaction at head-quarters there was little to hope from the body of the nation. Hence, when an engagement was tendered to all civil officers and beneficial clergy, containing only a promise to live faithful to the commonweslth, as it was established without a king or house of lords (though the slightest test of allegiance that any government could require), it was takeu with infinite reluctance, and, in fact, refused by very many, the presbyterian ministers especially showing a determined averseness to the new republican organization. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

This, however, was established (such is the dominion of the sword) far beyond the control of any national sentiment. Thirty thousand veteran soldiers guaranteed the mock parliament they had permitted to reign. The sectaries, a numerous body, and still more active than numerous, possessed, under the name of committees for various purposes appointed by the house of commons, the prinoipal local authoritiea, and restrained by a vigilant scrutiny the murmurs of a disaffected majority. Love, an eminent presbyterian minister, lost his head for a conspiraoy by the sentence of a high court of justice, 8 tribunal that superseded trial by jury.. His death struck horror and consternation into that arrogant priesthood who had begun to fancy themselves almost beyond the scope of criminal law.' 'The cavaliers were prostrate in the dust, and, anxious to retrieve something from the wreck of their long-sequestered estates, had generally little appetite to embark afresh in a hopeless cause;
© Six Juugge agreed to hold on their
commisions-alx refosed. Fhitelocts.
who maked a poor figure at thit thene
un his own thowing contented to act
still as commiasioner of the great weal
Thom who remained in offico affected
to sumalate that the fundamental law
arould not be abolinhed; and the homese
pansed es vote to thiseffect, Whitolocts
$\$ 3$.
${ }^{4}$ Whitelock, 4 , ot ally. Baxtoris
Life. of. A committeo wna appotated,
April, 1848, to laquins abcot ministers
Who apperat tho proceedings of par-
Hamort to thetr puipite Whitalock,
20s.

[^149]besides that the mutual animosities between their party and the presbyterians were still too irreconcilakle to admit of any sincere co-operation. Hence zeither made sny considerable effort in behalf of Charles on his march, or rather flight, into England : a measure, indeed, too palpably desperate for prudent men who had learned the strength of their adversaries, and the great viotory of Worcester consummated the triumph of the infant commonwealth, or rather of its future master.

A train of favouring events, more than any deep-laid policy, had now brought sovereignty within schemes of the reach of Cromwell. His first schemes of Cranwell ambition may probably have extended no farther than a title and estate, with a great civil and military command in the king's name. Power had fallen into his hands because they alone were fit to wield it; he was taught by every succeeding event his own undeniable superiority over his contemporaries in martial renown, in civil prudence, in decision of character, and in the public esteem which naturally attached to these qualities. Perhaps it was not till after the battle of Worcester that he began to fix his thoughts, if not on the dignity of royalty, yet on an equivalent right of command. Two remarkable conversations, in which Whitelock bore a part, seem to place beyond controversy visconthe nature of his designs. About the end of with 1651, Whitelock himself, St. John, Widdrington, Lenthall, Harrison, Desborough, Fleetwood, and Whalley, met Cromwell, at his own request, to consider the settlement of the nation. The four former were in favour of monarchy, Whitelock inclining to Charles, Widdrington and others to the duke of Gloucester; Desborough and Whalley were against a single person's government, and Fleetwood uncertain. Cromwell, who had evidently procured this conference in order to sift the inclinations of so many leading men, and to give some intimation of his own, broke it up with remarking that, if it might be done with safety and preservation of their rights as Englishmen and Christians, a settlement of somewhat with monarchical power in it would be very effectual. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ The observation ho here made of a disposi-
tion among the lawyers to elect the duke of Gloucester, as being exempt by his youth from the prepossessions of the two elder brothers, may, perhaps, have put Cromwell on releasing him from confinement, and sending him to join his family beyond sea."

Twelve months after this time, in a more confidential discourse with Whitelock alone, the general took occasion to complain both of the chief officers of the army and of the parliament; the first, as inclined to factious murmurings, and the second, as engrossing all offices to themselves, divided into parties, delaying business, guilty of gross injustice and partiality, and designing to perpetuate their own authority. Whitelock, confessing part of this, urged that, having taken commissions from them as the supreme power, it would be difficult to find means to restrain them. "What," said Cromwell, "if a man should take upon him to be king?" "I think," answered Whitelock, "that remedy would be worse than the disease." "Why," rejoined the other, "do you think so?" He then pointed out that the statute of Henry VII. gave a security to those who acted under a king which no other government could furnish; and that the reverence paid by the people to that title would serve to curb the extravagancies of those now in power. Whitelock replied, that their friends having engaged in a persuasion, though erroneous, that their rights and liberties would be better preserved under a common wealth than a monarchy, this state of the question would be wholly changed by Cromwell's assumption of the title, and it would become a private controversy between his family and that of the Stuarts. Finally, on the other's enoouragement to speak fully his thoughts, he

[^150]told him "that no expedient seemed so desiraule as a private treaty with the king, in which he might not only provide for the security of his friends and the greatness of his family, but set limits to monarchical power, keeping the command of the militia in his own hands." Cromwell merely said "that such a step would require great consideration;" but broke off with marks of dis pleasure, and consulted Whitelock much less for some years aftorwards.*

These projects of usarpation could not deceive the watchfulness of those whom Cromwell pretended to serve. He had on several occasions thrown off enough of his habitual dissimulation to show the commonwealth's men that he was theirs only by accident, with none of their fondness for republican polity. The parliament in its present wreck contained few leaders of superior ability, but a natural in- pariiment. stinct would dictate to such an assembly the distrust of a popular general, even if there had been less to alarm them in his behaviour. ${ }^{6}$ They had no means, however, to withstand him. The creatures themselves of military force, their pretensions to direct or control the army could only move scorn or resentment. Their claim to a legal authority, and to the name of representatives of a people who rejected and abhorred them, was perfectly impudent. When the house was fullest their numbers did not much exceed one hundred; but the ordinary divisions, even on subjects of the highest moment, show an attendance of but fifty or sixty members. They had retained in their hands, notwithstanding the appointment of a council of state, most of whom were from their own body, a great part of the executive government, especially the disposal of offices." These they largely shared among themselves or their dependents; and in many of their votes gave occasion to such charges of injustice and partiality as, whether true or false, will

[^151]attach to a body of men so obviously self-interested. ${ }^{4}$ It seems to be a pretty general opinion that a popular assembly is still more frequently influenced by corrapt and dishonest motives in the distribution of favours or the decision of private affairs than a ministry of atate; whether it be that it is more probable that a man of disinterestedness and integrity may in the course of events rise to the conduct of government than that such virtues should belong to a majority; or that the clandestine management of court corruption renders it less scandalous and more easily varnished than the shamelessness of parliamentary iniquity.

The republican interest in the nation was almost wholly composed of two parties, both offshoots deriving strength from the great stock of the army; the levellers, of whom Lilburne and Wildman are the most known, and the anabaptists, fifth-monarchy men, and other fanatical sectaries, headel by Harrison, Hewson, Overton, and a great number of officers. Though the sectaries seemed to build their revolutionary schemes more on their own religious views than the levellers, they coin. oided in most of their objects and demands." An equal
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$\triangle$ One of their mont mondilous sote wist the sale of the earl of Craven's eatate. Ho had been out of Boglend during the war, and could not therofore be reckroned a dellnquent. Bnit evidence wis offered that he had aeen the fing in Folland; and upon this chargt, though be petitioned to be heurd, and, as is mid, indlcted the informer for pedjory, whereof he whs convicted, they voted by ss to 31 that hils land should be sold; Haslerig the most mange sealot of the whole faction, being steller for the ayes, Vane for the noes Journala, 6th March, 1651, and zand June, 1659; State Triels, v. Sess. On the 201 h of July in the enve year it whe reforred to a comninitise to solect thirty delinquente whose estatee ahonld be sold for the nige of the neve. Thus, leng after the cemation of hostility, the mgalitsts cootlaued to atand in joopardy. not only collectively but permonally, from thite arbitrary and vindictive factiou. Nor eete thene qualties displayed againgt the sogrulste alone; one Josiah Primsth, who seems to bave boen coanected with LIT burne, WIldman, and the lovellen, har-


Ing preserted a petition complaining that sir Arthur Hisalerig had violently dispoesmessed him of some collleries, the bouse, after voting every part of the petition to be faise, adjudged him to pay a tine of soo0k to the commonwealth, 2000k to Haslerig, and 2000K more to the commisslonert for compositions. Journale, 18th Jan. 1651-2. There had been a praject of erecting an univeraty at Darham, in favour of which a committee reported (18th June, 1851), and for which the chapter-lands would bave madeas oomper tent endownent. Haslerig, however, got moet of them tnto his owit hands, and thus trustrated, perhapa, a dedgn of great importance to eduction and llereture in thil country. For had an anbverdity once been eatablished, is it jout poosible, though not very likely, that the eatates would not bave reverted, on the king's restoration, to their formar, bu: much leas veefuli, pompenortis.

- Mrs. Hutchinion speaks very hevourably of the levelters as they appeared about 1647, declaring aeninet the now thons of the preabyteriuns and indepen
representation of the people in short parliaments, an extensive alteration of the common law, the abolition of tithes, and indeed of all regular stipends to the ministry, a full toleration of religious worship, were reformstions which they concurred in requiring as the only substantial fruits of their arduous struggle.' Some among the wilder sects dreamed of overthrowing all civil institutions. These factions were not without friends in the commons But the greater part were not inclined to gratify them by taking away the provision of the church, and much leas to divest themselves of their own authority. They voted indeed that tithes should cease as soon as a competent maintenance should be otherwise provided for the clergy. They appointed a commission to consider the reformation of the law, in consequence of repeated petitions against many of its inconveniences and abuses; who, though taxed of course with dilatoriness by the ardent innovators, suggested many useful improvements, several of which have been adopted in more regular times, though with too cantious delay. They proceeded rather slowly and reluctantly to frame a scheme for future
denth, and the ambitions views of their leaders, and especially agalast the unrear conable privileges claimed by the housea of partinment collectively and personally. ${ }^{4}$ Indeed, as all vitues are mediums and bave their extremes, there rome op aflar In that house a people who endeavoured the levelling of all estates and qualities, which those cober levellen were never guilty of dearing; but were men of just and mober princlples, of honest and rellglous enda, and were therefore hated by tull the deaigaing melf-interested men of both factions. Colonol Hutchinton had a greatintmacy with many of these; and co fur as they acted according to the juat, plour, and public spifit. which they profowed ownod them and protected thern tas far as be bad power. These were they who first begas to ciliscover the smbition of lient-gen. Crommell and his sdolatera, and to zuspect and dislike iL." P. 285.

IWhltolock, 399, 401. The levellen nowe in arms at Banabury and other places, ont were poon puldown, chielly through the energy of Cromwelh, and thetr riugteonders shot
in was referiol to a ommiltee, zipth 701. 11.

April, 1642, to connider how a conventart and competent muintenance for a godily and able miniatry may be setuld, in lieu of titheer a proposed additum, that tithes be paid as before, till auch maintenance be zethled, was carried by 27 to $1 \%$.
h Journats, 19ch Jan. 1852 . Hello was the firnt named on thle cosmonisetion, and took an ective part; but he was assochated with soms furious levellers, Denborough, Tomlinion, and Hugh Peters, so that it ts hard to know how far he concorred In the alterations suggested. Many of them, bowever, neem to bear marian of bis hand. Whltelock, 475, 517, 519, 890 et alibl. There bad been previous!y a onmmittee for the same purpose in 1050. See a list of the acte prepared by them In Somers Tracte, vi. 177; meveral of them are worthy of attention. Ludiow, indeed, blamea the commbation for siow. neen; but their delay seems to have been very Juatilable, and their auggentione mighly valuable. It even appearn thal they drew up a book containing a reguint dianest or code, willth was ordered to b. protol. Tournaty, 20th Jas. $\mathbf{6} 63$.
parliaments; and resolved that they should consist of 400 , to be chosen in due proportion by the several counties, nearly upon the model suggested by Lilburne, and afterwards carried into effect by Cromwell.'

It was with mach delay and difficulty, amidst the loud murmurs of their adherents, that they could be
Thear fall. brought to any vote in regard to their own dissolution. It passed on November 17, 1651, after some very close divisions, that they should cease to exist as a parliament on November 3, 1654." The republicans out of doors, who deemed annual, or at least biennial, parliaments essential to their definition of liberty, were indignant at so unreasonable a prolongation. Thus they forfeited the good-will of the only party on whom they could have relied. Cromwell dexterously aggravated their faults: he complained of their delaying the settlement' of the nation; he persuaded the fanatics of his concurrence in their own schemes; the parliament, in turn, conspired against his power, and, as the conspiracies of so many can never be secret, let it be seen that one or other must be destroyed-thas giving his forcible expulsion of them the pretext of self-defence. 'Phey fell with no regret, or rather with much joy of the nation, except a few who dreaded more from the alternative of military usurpation or anarchy than from an assembly which still retained the names and forms so precious in the eyes of those who adhere to the ancient institutions of their country. ${ }^{\text {m }}$
1 A committee was named, 15th May,
1649, to take into consideration the set-
ting of the encceesion of future paritia
mentin and regulating their elections,
Nothing more appears to have been done
dill Oct. 11th, when the commitiee was
ordered to meat next day, nad so de dite
In dlem, and to give an account thereof
to the house on Tuesday come fortnight;
tll that cane to have voicees, but the espe-
chal care thereuf commended to sir Henry
Vane, colonel Ludlow, ard Mr. Robinson.
Wo find nothing farthe: HII 5 sm . 3nd
1650, when the commitisee is ordered
to make its report the next Wednesiday.
This is done scoordingty, Jan. 9, Fhen
HR K. Vane reports the resolutions of the
canmitteo, one of which was, that the
enniber in futote parlitaments should be
400. Thin was carried, after negotiving the previons question in a cummitice of the whole house. They proceeded several daye afterwarde on the came budivene. See aloo Ludlow, p. 318, 43s.
$t$ Two divisions had taken plnce. Nor. 14 (the first on the previona queation). on amotion that it is couvenlent to declare a certajo time for the continume of this parlament, 50 to 48 , and 49 to 47. On the last divieitian Cromwell and St. John were tellers for the ayea
$m$ Whttelock was one of theme; and beflat as that time out of Crombellis favour. Inveigho moch equinst this destruction of the power from which be had taken als comminalan. P. 553, 884. St John appears to bave concorred in the nuatire in fuct these had to long been

It was now the deep policy of Cromwell to render himself the sole refuge of those who valued the sutue parlaws, or the regular ecclesiastical ministry, or uament their own estates, all in peril from the mad enthusiasts who were in hopes to prevail." These he had admitted into that motley convention of one hundred and twenty persons, sometimes called Barebone's parliament, but more commonly the little parliament, on whom his council of officers pretended to devolve the government, mingling them with a sufficient of govern proportion of a superior class whom he could menh direct.' This assembly took care to avoid the censurs which their predecessors had incurred, by passing a good many bills, and applying themselves with a vigorous hand to the reformation of what their party deemed the most essential grievances, those of the law and of the church. They voted the abolition of the court of chancery, a measure provoked by its insufferable delay, its engrossing of almost all suits, and the uncertainty of its decisions. They appointed a committee to consider of a new body of the law, without naming any lawyer
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mend of law that one nsurpation might coadjutor to Carisbrook eastile- seem as rightfol as another. But while any hous of cummons remained there was a alock tef from which the anclent constitution might possibly germinate. Mre. Maccuilay, whose lamentations over the Rxmp did not certalniy proceed from this cause, thu vents her wrath on the Enghah natlon: "An acquiescence thos unversal in the insult commited on tho guardians of the infant republic, and the first step towards the usarpation of Cromwell, fixes an tudelible atain on the cherecter of the Englinh, as a people basely and incorrigibly attached to the sovereignty of individunta, and of natures too tenoble to endute an empire of equal Lawa" Vol. v.p. 112. ${ }^{n}$ Harrison, when Ludiow asked him why he had folned Cromwell to turo out the parilament, told, be thought Cromדell would own and favour a eet of neru who acted on bigher priaciples than linve of abll liberts; and quoted from Deniel, "that the mainta aball take the litugikum and posmene it." Ludiow argued agnuast him; bat what was argument to such a head? Mexn of Ludlow, p. 665 . Not - Hume speaks of thil assembly at chiefly compueed of the lowest mechanics, But this was not the case. Some persons of inferior rank there were, but a large groportion of the members were men of good familiy, or, at least, military digtinotion, as the list of tho names in the ParHamentary History is oufficient to prove; and Whiteloct remarks, "It was much wondered at by some that these gentlemen, many of them belng persons of fortune and knowledge, would at thit summona, and from thoee hinde, thice upon thern the eupreme authority of thin pation." P. 559. With respect to this, it may be obeerved that those who have lived in revolutions find it almost recessary, whether their own intareats or those of their conntry are their alm, to cctuply with all changes, and take agreater part In aupporting them than men of inflexthle consciences can approve No ans felt this more than Whitelock; and hid remark in this pince fa a matire upon all ris conduct. Ho was at the momera disentiafled, and out of Connwell's favoang but thet no thase in repainhing it.
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 many months aftor, Cromwell sent biaupon it.p They nominated a set of commissicuers to preside in courts of justice, among whom they with diffculty admitted two of that profession; ${ }^{4}$ they irritated the clergy by enacting that marniages should be solemnized before justices of the peace; ; they alarmed them still moro by manifesting a determination to take away their tithes, without security for an equivalent maintenance.' Thus, having united against itself these two powerful bodies, whom neither kings nor parliaments in England have in general offended with impunity, this little synod of legislators was ripe for destruction. Their last vote was to negative a report of their own committee, recommending that such as should be approved as preachers of the gospel should enjoy the maintenance already settled by law; and that the payment of tithes, as a just property, should be enforced by the magistrates. The house having, by the majority of two, disagreed with this report,' the speaker, two days after, having secured a wajority of those present, proposed the surrender of their power into the hands of Cromwell, who put an end to the opposition of the rest by turning them out of doors.

It can admit of no doubt that the despotism of a wise man is more tolerable than that of political or religious fanatics; and it rarely happens that there is any better remedy in revolutions which have given the latter an ascendant. Cromwell's assumption, therefore, of the title of protector was a necessary and wholesome usurpation, however he may have caused the necessity; it

[^152][^153]escured the nation from the mischievous lumacy of the anabaptists, and from the more cool-blooded tyranny of that little oligarchy which arrogated to itself the name of commonwealth's men. Though a gross and glaring evidence of the omnipotence of the army, the instrument under which he took his title accorded to him no un. necessary executive authority. The sovereignty still resided in the parliament; he had no negative voice on their laws. Until the meeting of the next parliament a power was given him of making temporary ordinances ; but this was not, as Hume, on the authority of Clarendon and Warwick, has supposed, and as his conduct, it that were any proof of the law, might lead us to infer, designed to exist in future intervals of the legislature." It would be acarcely worth while, however, to pay much uttention to a form of government which was so little regarded, except as it marks the jealousy of royal power, which those most attached to Cromwell, and least capable of any proper notions of liberty, continued to entertain.

In the ascent of this bold usurper to greatness he had successively employed and thrown away several of the powerful factions who distracted the nation. He had encouraged the levellers and persecuted them; he had flattered the long parliament and betrajed it; he had made use of the sectaries to crush the commonwealth; he had spurned the sectaries in his last advance to power. These, with the royulists and the presbyterians, forming in effect the whole people, though too disunited for such a coalition as must have overthrown him, were the perpetual, irreconcilable enemies of his administration. Master of his army, which he well knew how to manage, sursounded by a few deep and experienced

[^154]lefore (id. 501), hecides many other ondimances of a tegielative mature. $\boldsymbol{= I}$ am very alded, mys Fleetwood (Feh 1855, Thurioe, ill. 183), "to hear his highnest has declined the lexielative power, which by the instrament of government, in my opinion, he could not exerctse efter this late priliment's meeting." And the parliament of 1656, at the protector's desire, confirmed all ordinances made since the Alemotation of the long paritament. Thry Ine. FL 348.
counsellors, furnished by his spies with the completest intelligence of all designs against him, he had no great cause of alarm from open resistance. But he was bound

## Parlisment called by

 Crom well. by the instrument of government to call a parliament; and in any parliament his adversaries must be formidable. He adopted in both those which he summoned the reformed model already determined; limiting the number of representatives to 400 , to be chosen partly in the counties, according to their wealth or supposed population, by electors possessing either freeholds or any real or moveable property to the value of 200l.; partly by the more considerable boroughs, in whose various rights of election no change appears to have been made. ${ }^{\text {. This alteration, conformable to the }}$ equalizing principles of the age, did not produce so considerable a difference in the persons returned as it perhaps might at present.' The court party, as those subservient to him were called, were powerful through the subjection of the electors to the army. But they were not able to exclude the presbyterian and republican interests; the latter, headed by Bradshaw, Haslerig, and Scott, eager to thwart the power which they were compelled to obey: Hence they began by taking into consideration the whole instrument of government; and even resolved themselves into a committee to debate its leading article, the protector's anthority. Cromwell, his supporters having lost this question on a division of 141 to 136, thought it time to interfere. He gave them to understand that the government by a single person and a parliament was a fundamental principle, not subject to their discussion; and obliged every member to a recognition of it, solemnly promising neither to attempt nor to concur in any alteration of that[^155]apeaker, but the protector's party carried It for Leathall. By thil begtanang one may fndge what the aothority of the lord prolector will be in thin paridarient However It whe oberved thath es oftes酸 be epoles to hte epeech of Miority of rellgion, the members atd aocm or molice with ecclamations of joy." Therko, ${ }^{\circ}$ 8A8. Bat the electit of of Lenthall appear by Guibhom Godinrd'a Joumal, Intely publiahed in the Introduction to Burtanis. Diary ta beren been mantroos
article." The commons voted, however, that in recognition should not extend to the entire instrument, consisting of forty-two articles; and by him went on to discuss them with such heat and prolixity that, after five months, the limited term of their session, the protector, having obtained the ratification of his new scheme neither so fully nor so willingly as he desired, particularly having been disappointed by the great majority of 200 to 60 , which voted the protectorate to be elective, not hereditary, dissolved the parliament with no small murks of dissatisfaction. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

The banished king, meanwhile, began to recover a little of that political importance which the battle of Worcester had seemed almost to ex- intrigues of tinguish. So ill supported by his English his parky. adherents on that occasion, 80 incapable, with a better army than he had any prospect of ever raising again, to make a stand against the genius and fortune of the usurper, it was vain to expect that he could be restored by any domestic insurrection, until the disunion of the prevailing factions should offer some more favourable opportunity. But this was too distant a prospect for hig court of starving followers. He had from the beginning looked around for foreign assistance. But France was distracted by her own troubles; Spain deemed it better policy to cultivate the new commonwealth; and even

[^156]Holland, though engaged in a dangerons war with England, did not think it worth while to accept his offer of joining her fleet, in order to try his influence with the Einglish seamen.- Totally noscrupulous as to the means by which he might reign, even at the moment that he was treating to become the covenanted king of Scotland, with every solemn renunciation of popery, Charles had recourse to a very delicate negotiation, which deserves remark, as having led, after a long course of time, but by gradual steps, to the final downfall of his family. With the advice of Ormond, and with the concurrence of Hyde, he attempted to interest the pope (Innocent X.) on his side, as the most powerful intercessor with the catholic princes of Europe. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ For this purpose it was necessary to promise toleration at least to the catholics. The king's ambessadors to Spain in 1650, Cottington and Hyde, and other agents despatched to Rome at the same time, were empowered to offer an entire repeal of the penal laws." The king himself, some time afterwards, wrote a letter to the pope, wherein he repeated this assurance. That court, however, well aware of the hereditary daplicity of the Staarts, received his overtures with haughty contempt. The pope returned no answer to the king's letter; but one was received after many months from the general of

[^157]In one Jother geve way alm: see vol fill p. 158. Bat the groat criminality of all these negotations hy in thith that Charies was by them colichting auch a mearure of foreign atd es Froald matro him at unco the tyrant of Pagland and the vaanal of Opain; face nu free periliment, Lowever royalitit, was iffety to ropet all the inwo agalnat popery. "That which the king will be ready and willing to do to to give his conoent for the repeal of all the penal Laws and statates which have boen made in the prefudice of catholick and to put then torto the mane condition an his other malyecto." Cottingtion to Father Bap thorpa. fi- 541. Theme megotiativen. with Roms were aood kown; and a tract was phblisbed, ty the parifament's anthority, containing the docustemes Noswithatanding the deliritum of the Ber meturition, this had made an fappotion Which was not afterward efirons
the jesuits, requiring that Charles shoull declare himself a catholic, since the goods of the charch could not be lavished for the support of an heretical prince.' Even after this insolent refusal, the wretched exiles still clong at times to the vain hope of succour which as protestants and Englishmen they could not honourably demand.s But many of them remarked too clearly the conditions on which assistance might be obtained; the court of Charles, openly or 'in secret, began to pass over to the catholic church; and the contagion soon spread to the highest places.

In the year 1654 the royalist intrigues in England began to grow more active and formidable through the accession of many discontented republicans. ${ }^{\text {n }}$ Though there could be no coalition, properly speaking, between such irreconcilable factions, they came into a sort of tacit agreement, as is not onusual, to act in concert for the only purpose they entertained alike, the destruction of their common enemy. Major Wildman, a name not very familiar to the general reader, but which occurs perpetually, for almost half a century, when we look into more secret history, one of those dark and restless spirits who delight in the deep game of conspiracy against every government, seems to have been the first mover of this unnatural combination. He had been early engaged in the schemes of the levellers, and was exposed to the jealous observation of the ruling powers. It appears most probable that his views were to establish a commonwealth, and to make the royalists his dupes. In his correrpondence, however, with Brussels, he engaged to restore the king. Both parties were to rise in aums against the new tyranny; and the natiou's temper was tried by clandestine intrigues in almost every county.' Greater reliance however was placed

[^158]it Gierendon's Fistory of the Rebellion, h. 14. State Papers, iti 285, 300, \&c Whitelock observes at this time, " Many sober and faithful patriots did begin to inctine to the king'a restoration;" and hints that this was bis opinion, which exclted Crowneli's jealuusy of him. P. 620.
© Clarendon's Fistory, FH. 129. Statr Papers, ili. 235, *sc. These lavellars whe

In the project of assassinating Cromwell. Neither party were by any means scrupulous on this score: if we have not positive evidence of Charles's concurrence in this scheme, it would be preposterous to suppose that he would have been withheld by any moral hesitation. It is frequently mentioned without any disapprobation by Clarendon in his private letters; ${ }^{\mathbf{k}}$ and, as the royalists certainly justified the murders of Ascham and Dorislaus, they could not in common sense or consistency have scrupled one so incomparably more capable of defence." A Mr. Gerard suffered death for one of these plots to kill Cromwell ; justly sentenced, though by an illegal tribunal."

In the year 1655, Penruddock, a Wiltshire gentleman, with a very trifling force, entered Salisbury at

Incurreos
thonary movernents in 1655. the time of the assizes; and, declaring for the king, seized the judge and the sheriff. ${ }^{-}$This little rebellion, meeting with no resistance from the people, but a supineness equally fatal, was soon quelled. It roused Cromwell to secure himself by an unprecedented exercise of power. In possession of all the secrets of his enemies, he knew that want of concert or courage had alone prevented a general rising, towards which indeed there had been some movements in the midland counties. ${ }^{\text {p }}$ He was nware of his own


#### Abstract

Fery boetile to the taterference of Hyde and Ormond, Judging them too inflexibly stuached to the ancient constituthon; bat this batillity recommended thein to thers of the banished tring't court who ebowed the mane sentlmenta k P. 315, 324, 343. Tharios, i. 360, 510. In the amme rolume (p. 248) we find even a decisation trom the ling dised at Purts, srd May, 1654, offering 5004 per annom to any one who ahorald till Cromwell, and pardon to any one who shoold lenve that perty, except Bradchav. Ienthall, and Beslerig. But thit coems anikely to be autbentic: Charied wonld not have avowed a design of an roanination 50 opealy: and it to strange that Ienthall and Haslerig, eapecially the former, should be that axempled from pardon, rather than 00 many reginider ${ }^{m}$ See what Clarendun says of Asclaum's dealb, Stete Papert, ti. 542 In avotber gina lve oheerves,-" It in in worm and


a beser thing that any man ghould appeer In any part bayond eea under the charnoter of an agent from the rebels, and mot have bis throat cut." Id ifi. 144 a State Trials, 618. Thatioe, fil 418. Sotme of the mabeccutent cormmon wrelithmen were liso eager to get rid of Crowwell by eqoendnation; Wildman, Saxby, Titue Symiercoane'batory in well lmom: be was coanected in the conipiracy whib thowe siready mentioned. The famorn pamphlet by Titas, Killing no Marder. wes printed In 1657. Clareadon State Papera, S15, S24, S43.

- A very reprebenaible parange ocetre to Clarendonit socount of this trananction, vol. Fii. f 140; Wivere be blames and derides the fiverrjenth for not puitiog chief fuatice Rolle and othere to deach, which wrould have been a deteriable end nseless munder.
PWhselock, 818, 620. Lodiow, 515 Thurion tif 3et, apd throngh nowe then
unpopularity, and the national bias towards the cxiled king. Juries did not willingly convict the sharens in Penruddock's rebellion. ${ }^{9}$ 'lo govern according to law may sometimes be an usurper's wish, but can seldom be in his power. The protector abandoned all thought of it. Dividing the kinglom into districts, he placed at the head of each a major-general as a Rigorous sort of military magistrate, responsible for the crumweli. subjection of his prefecture. These were eleven in number, men bitterly hostile to the royalist party, and insolent towards all civil authority. They were einployed to secure the payment of a tax of ten per cent., imposed by Cromwell's arbitrary will on those who had ever sided with the king during the late wars, where their estates exceeded 100l. per annum. The majorgenerals, in their correspondence printed among Thurloe's papers, display a rapacity and oppression beyond their master's. 'They complain that the number of those exempted is too great; they press for harsher measures; they incline to the unfavourable construction in every doubtful case; they dwell on the growth of malignancy and the general disaffection." It was not indeed likely to be mitigated by this unparalleled tyranny. All illusion was now gone as to the pretended benefits of the civil war. It had ended in a despotism, compared to which
half the volume, parsim. In the preceding volume we have abundiant proof: buew completely master Cromwell whas of the royalkst schenies. The " mealed knot" wf the klog's friends in London is mentioned as frequenty as we find it in the Olarendon Papers at the same time.

Q Tharioe, ill sil, sec "Pentuldock and Grove," Ludlow saym, "could Dot bave been justiy coodemned, if they had as sure a fommation in what they dectared for, an what thay declared agalnst Iat certainly it can never be estecmed by a wise man to be worth the scratch of a flager to remove a slingle person acting by an artitrary power, in order to set up another fith the anme unlimited authority: "p. 6is. This ls a just and manky mentiment. Woe to thowe who do nut reougaise it! But is it falr to that the royalists werv conterding to set up an unlimited anthority?

They were originally ten, Iambert,

Desborongh, Whalley, Goffe, Floetweood, SEippon, Kelsey, Batlar, Worseley, and Berry. Thurioe, iii. 701. Barkatead whs afterwards added. The major-generis, says Ludlow, "carried things with up-beard-of insolences in their several precincts decimating to extremity whom they pleased, and interrupted the proceedings at iaw upon petitions of those who pretended themselves aggrieved; chreateaing such as would not yisld a ready entsolssion to their orders with trumportation to Jamaica, or some other plantation in the Weat Indies," dac P. $55 \%$.

- Tharioe, vol tv. paustm. Tbe wnpopalarity of Crotnsell's povernment appears atrongty in the letters of this collection. Duckinfleld, a Cbeshire gention man, writes,-"Charles Stuart hath 500 Iriends in them adjacent counties for every one Miend to yon amonget thenp Vol inis \%\%.
all the illegal practices of former kings, all that had cost Charles his life and crown, appeared as dust in the balance. For what was ship-money, a general burthen, ly the side of the present decimation of a single class, whose offence had long been expiated by a compositiou and effaced by an act of indemnity? or were the excessive punishments of the star-chamber so odious as the capital executions inflicted without trial by peers, whenever it suited the usurper to erect his high court of justice? A sense of present evils not only excited a burning desire to live again under the ancient monarohy, but obliterated, especially in the new generation, that had no distinct remembrance of them, the apprehension of its former abuses.'
If this decimation of the royalists could pass for an Hisarthrary aot of severity towards a prosoribed faction, in govermman: which the rest of the nation might fanoy themselves not interested, Cromwell did not fail to show that he designed to exert an equally despotic command over every man's property. With the advice of the council, he had imposed, or as I conceive (for it is not


#### Abstract

It may be falr towands Cromwell to give his own epology for the decimation of the royaliston in a declaration pubUshod 1556. "It is a trouble to us to se still rubbing apon the old eore, disobliging thuen whom we hope time and patiance zulght make friends ; but we can with comfort appeal to God, and dare also to thul own consciences, whether thls way of procoeding with them hath beeu the matter of ons cholce, or that which we have conght an occssion for; or whether, contrary to our own Inelinations and the constant coures of our carriage towards them, which hath beon to oblige them by Eindues to forsaks thelr former principles, which God bath so oftern and so eminently bore witnesa agaings, we bave not been conatruined and neceesitated hereunto, and without the loing whereof we should bave been wanting to our duty to God and thew mations - That character of difierence between them and the rest of the people whlcl it now put upon them is occagioned by themintiven, not by un There in nothing


they have more indugtriously laboured in than this-to keep themselves diatingaished trom the well-aflectel of this shation: to which end they have kep! their convermallan apart; as if they would avoid the very beginginge of union, have bred and educated their children by the sequestered and ejected clergy, and very noch confined their marriages and allianceas viluin their own party, as if they meant to entall their quarel, and pevvent the means to reconclle posterity; which with the great palins they talke upon all occastons to lessen and suppress the exteem and honour of the English nation in all their actione and undertatings abroud, striving withal to make olber uations distingaish their interest from it, gives us ground to Judge thats they have teparated themselves from tho body of the nation; and therefore we leave is te all mankind to judge whether we ough: not to be timely jealoun of that eeparmition and to proceed to agalintit them an they may be at the chargs of thoue remedies Which are requifed agalint tho denoress they heve bred."
clearly explained) continued, a duty on merchandise beyond the time limited by law. A Mr. George Cony having refused to pay this tax, it was enforced frow him, on which he sued the collector. Cromwell sent his connsel, Maynard, Twisden, and Wyndham, to the Tower, who soon petitioned for liberty, and abandoned their client, Rolle, the chief justice, when the canse came on, dared not give judgment against the protector; jet, not caring to decide in his favour, postponed the case till the next term, and meanwhile retired from the bench. Glyn, who succeeded him upon it, took care to have this business accommodated with Cony, who, at some loss of public reputation, withdrew his suit. Sir Peter Wentworth, having brought a similar action, was summoned before the council, and asked if he would give it up. "If you command me," he replied to Cromwell, "I must submit;" which the protector did, and the action was withdrawn. ${ }^{\text {" }}$

Though it cannot be said that such an interference with the privileges of advocates or the integrity of judges was without precedents in the times of the Stuarta, yet it had never been done in so public or shameless a manner. Several other instances wherein the usurper diverted justice from its course, or violated the known securities of Englishmen, will be found in most general histories; not to dwell on that most flagrant of all, the erection of his high court of justice, by which Gerard and Vowel in 1654, Slingsby and Hewit in 1658, were brought to the scaffold. ${ }^{-1}$ I cannot therefore agree in the praises which have been showered upon Cromwell for the just administration of the laws ander his dominion. That, between party and party, the ordinary civil rights of men were fairly dealt with, is no extraordinary praise; and it may be admitted that he filled the benches of justice with able lawyers, though not so considerable as those of the reign of Charles II.; but it is manifest that, so far as his own authority was concerned, no hereditary despot, proud in the crimes of

[^159]a hundred ascestors, could more have spurned at every - limitation than this soldier of a commonwealth.'

Amidst so general a hatred, trusting to the effect of an equally general terror, the protector ventured
He rummons to summon \& parliament in 1656. Besides the jument common necessities for money, he had doubtless in his head that remarkable scheme which was developed during its session.' Even the despotic influence of his major-generals, and the political annihilation of the most considerable body of the gentry, then labouring under the imputation of delinquency for their attachment to the late king, did not enable him to obtain a secure majority in the assembly; and he was driven to the audacious measure of excluding above ninety members, duly returned by their constituents, from taking their seats. Their colleagues wanted courage to resist this violation of all privilege; and, after referring them to the council for approbation, resolved to proceed with publio business. The excluded members, consisting partly of the republican, partly of the presbyterian factions, published a remonstrance in a very high strain, but obtained no redress.'


#### Abstract

${ }^{7}$ The late editor of the State Triale, v. 985, has introduced a sort of opisodical dissertation on the administration of justice daring the commonwealth, with the viow, as far as appears, of setting Cromwell in a favourable Ught For thit purpose ho quotes several paseages of vagus commendation from different suthore, and among others one from Burke, writtan ln basto, to servo an itrmediate purpooe, and ovidently from a very supericial recollection of our hastory. It has been sald that Cromwell nought ont men of character from the party moat opposite to his deslgas. The proof given is the appointmant of Hale to be a puisne judge. But Hale bad not boen a royalist, that is, an edberent of Charlen, and had takon the engagement at well as the coverant. it was no great eflort of virtue to place an eminent lawyer and worthy man on the bench. And it is to be rememberod that Halo fell under tho ururper's displeasure for edministoring justice with an impartiality that did not zuft him goverment; and


censed to go the druait because the $^{0}$ criminal law was not allowed to have its course.
${ }^{2}$ Thurioe writes to Moatagua (Carte'a Letters, il. 110) that he cannot give him the reasons for calling this parliament. except in cipher. He eays in the same place of the committal of Ladlow, Vane, and others, "There was a necessity not only for peace' alke to do this, but to let the nation thoes that govern are in good earnest, and intend not to gult the goverament wholly into the hands of the parliament, as some wozid needs matse the world believe:" p. 112. His lirat direct allation to the profected change is in writing to Henry Cromwell. 9th Dec. 1856. Thurioe Papera, च. 194. The influence exerted by hin legates, the major-generals, appears in Thurioe, $v$. 290, et post Bint they complained of the elections. Id, $502,341,371$.

- Whitelock. 550. Parl. Hist 1485. On a 'etter to the speaker from the membors who had beren refused admittaber at the door of the lubby. Sept 18, the houte

Crom rell, like so many other usurpers, felt his position too precarious, or his vanity ungratified, without tie name which mankind have agreed to worship. He had, as evidently appears from crown. Designs to the conversations recorded by Whitelock, long since aspired to this titular, as well as to the real, proeminence; and the banished king's friends had contemplated the probability of his obtaining it with dismay.b Affectionate towards his family, he wished to assure the stability of his son's succession, and perhaps to please the vanity of his daughters. It was indeed a vory reasonable object with one who had already advanced so far. His assumption of the crown was desirable to many different classes; to the lawyers, who, besides their regard for the established constitution, knew that an ancient statute would protect those who served a de facto king in case of a restoration of the exiled family; to the nobility, who perceived that their legislative right must immediately revive; to the clergy, who judged the regular 1 ninistry more likely to be secure under a monarchy; to the people, who hoped for any settlement that would put an end to perpetual changes; to all of every rank and profession who dreaded the continuance of military despotism, and demanded only the just rights and privileges of their country. A king of England could succeed only to a bounded prerogative, and must govern by the known laws; a protector, as the nation had well felt, with less


#### Abstract

ordered the clert of the commonweath to atiend next day with all the indentares. The doputy clerk cato accordingly, with an excuse for his principal, and lrougbt the lndenturea abut on being auked why the asmes of certain members were not returned to the house, answered, that he bad no certificate of approhetion for them. The house on this sent to inquire of the conncil why these membere land nut been approved. They neturned for answer, that, whereas it is ondained br a clanse in the ingtrument of government that the persons who shall be stected to serve in parliament chall be nach and no other than auch as are parcons of known integrity, fearing God, and of good converaztion, that the councll, in perananoe of their duty, an 1 acci rding to


the trust reposed in them, have examined the said returns, and bave not refused to approve any who have appeared to them to be persons of fintegrity, fearing God, and of good convertation; and thoee who are not approved, his higtanes hath given ordar to some persons to take cart that they do not came into the housa. Cpon thit answer, an edjowrament wan propoted, but lost by 115 to 80 : and it being moved that toe parsone who have been raturned from the eeveral conntica, cieles, and boruughs to sarve in this parliament, and have not been approved, be referred to the council for approbation, and that the house do yroceed with the graat aflain of the nation, the question wes cerried by 125 to 29. Journala, Sept. 28.

nominal authority, had all the sword conld confer. And, thnogh there might be little chance that Oliver would abate one jot of a despotism for which not the times of the T'udors could furnish a precedent, yet his life was far worn, and under a successor it was to be expected that future parliaments might assert again all those liberties for which they had contended against Charles." A few of the royalists might perhaps fancy that the restoration of the royal title would lead to that of the lawful heir; bat a greater number were content to abandon a nearly desperate cause, if they could but seo the more valuable object of their concern, the form itself of polity, re-established. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ There can be, as it appears to me, little room for doubt, that, if Cromwell had overcome the resistance of his generals, he would have transmitted the sceptre to his descendants with the acquiescence and tacit approbation of the kingdom. Had we been living ever since under the rule of his dynasty, what tone would our historians have taken as to his character and that of the house of Stuart?


#### Abstract

- The whole confereace thet took place tot Whitehall, between Cromwell and tha committee of parilament, on this eabject. was published by anthority, and may be reed in the Somers Tracts, of. 349. It in very Interesting. The lanyers did not bealiate to arapport the propooition, on the fround of the nore definite and legal charecter of a Ving's anthority. The " king't prerogative," say Glyn, " 10 known by taw ; he (fing Charles) did expatiate beyond the duty; that's the evil of the man: bat in Weatminster-hall the ling a prerogntive was under the coorts of justlce, and te butunded as well an any scre of tand, or anything amma hath, an moch an any controverny between party and party; and unerefort, the office being Inwiel in its bature, known to the nation, certain in itself, and confined and regiInted by the law, and the other aflice not being sa, that wat a sreat ground of the retaon why the parinment did so moch fndet upon thla ofice and title, bot as efrcomstential, but at eroenthal." P. 339. See aloo what lemthall may, ph 858, againat the indefinltenen of the protector's anthority.

Those prateges were endentify foplted cemoure of tho late courge of gavern


ment. Cromveli's todistipet and evasive atyle in his share of thas debate betrayt the secret thelinations of his heart. Ho kept his uldmato intentions, however. very becrot; for Thurioe profemes hats fogerames of them, even la writing to Henry Cromwell, vol vL p 219, et pont Thit correspondence thows that the pradent secretary whe upensy at the porture of airairs, and the manifest dismatiofoction of Fleeswood and Denboroogh, which had - dangeroan influence on others lew borand to the present family: get be hed eet his heart on thls mode of eltuement, and was mach disappointed at hia master's nlthaste refanal
d Clareadon'a Hiet vil. 194 It appem by Clarendon's private kettern that he had expected to 00 Cruwwell amme the tille of ling from the gear jess Fol佔. p. 201, 2025, 244 If we man ornat what if bere called an Intercepted leater (p. 328), Marartn had told Cromwell thes Franco would enter finto a strict inapoe With him, if he could eatale himselif to the throos, and racke it bereditary; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ which ho andivered that be designal chorthy to tilse the erown, reetore the two horoces, and apvern by the epelati 14wit Bat this may be apocryphal

The scheme, however, of founding a new royal line failed of accomplishment, as is well known, through his own caution, which deterred him from encountering the decided opposition of his army. Some of The prolect his contemporaries seem to have deemed this fulls abandonment, or more properly suspension, of so splendid a design rather derogatory to his firmness.* But few men were better judges than Cromwell of what might be achieved by dasing. It is certainly not impossible that, by arresting Lambert, Whalley, and some other generals, he might have crushed for the moment uny tendency to open resistance. But the experiment would have been infinitely havardous. He had gone too far in the path of violence to recover the high road of law by any short cut. King or protector, he must have intimidated every parliament, or sunk under its encroachments. A new-modelled army might have served his turn; but there would have been great difficulties in its formation. It had from the beginning been the misfortune of his government that it rested on a basis too narrow for its safety. For two years he had reigned with no support but the independent sectaries and the army. The army or its commanders becoming odious to the people, he had sacrificed them to the hope of popularity, by abolishing the civil prefectures of the major-generals, and permitting a bill for again decimating the royalists to be thrown out of the house. ${ }^{g}$ Their disgest and

- Ciar. vil 208.
f Ludlow, ph 581. The major-generale,
or at least many of them, folned the oppo-
stion to Cromwell's royalty. Id p. 586.
Giar, Stata Papers, 839.
© This appears from the following pas-
sage in a chriona lotter of Mr. Vincent
Gookin to Henry Oromwell, 27th Jan

2867. "To-morrow the blll for decimat-
ing the cavaliers comes agaln into debate.
It in dobated with much heat by the
major-generale, and as botly almoat by
the anti-docimatore I bolieve the bill
will be thrown out of the house. In my
opinion thowe that eppeatr agpainat the bill
have mnoh to nav in point of moral
jartice and prudence; bat that which
makes me fotr the pasoing of the Bll tis,
that thereby his highneas'• gevernment
will be more founded in forme, and mote
70). 11.
removed from that nataral foundation which the people in parliament are deafrous to give him; supposing that he will become more theire than now he is, and will in time find the arfety and peace of the nation to be ae well malntalned by the laws of the land as by the aword, And truly, tir, if any others have pretenslons to erecoed him by their interest in the army, the more of force upholds his highnes living, the greater when he is dead will be the hopes and advantages for sach a one to effect his alm who desires to mucceed him. Lambert it much for dechrations." Thurloe, vL 20. He Writea agaln, " I am confident it is judged by eome that the Interest of the godly cannot bo preserved bat by the dissoln tion of this, if not all parifiomenta; and theit cademvonia in is have been onlauly
resentment, excited by an artful intriguer, Lambert, who aspired at least to the succession of the protectorship, foumd scope in the new project of monarchy, naturally obnoxious to the prejudices of trine fanatica, who still fancied themselves to have contended for a republican liberty. We find that even Fleetwood, allied by marriage to Cronwell, and not involved in the discontent of the major-generals, in all the sincerity of his clouded understanding, revolted from the invidious title, and would have retired from service had it been assumed. There seems therefore reason to think that Cromwell's refusal of the crown was an inevitable mortification. But he undonbtedly did not lose sight of the object for the short remainder of his life."

The fundamental charter of the English commorwealth, onder the protectorship of Cromwell,

His matho-品等 pro tector is angmented. had been the instrument of government, drawn up by the council of officers in December, 1653, and approved with modifications by the parliament of the next year. It was now changed to the "Petition and Advice," tendered to him by the present parliament in May, 1657, which made very essential innovations in the frame of polity. Though he bore, as
ditcovered to the perty mont capoerned
to lonew them; which will, I belteves
coddenis occuden a reincting of the Fo-
vernipent 8 Idifginp, to which his bigh-
new th not averse- Pierpotnt and 86
John baw beas olten, bot accretiy, as
Waitehall, I lrow, to strive thereof."
P. 37. Thurioe digin, to the ame Renry
Cromiven, on Febriary is that the dect-
mation bill was thrown out by a madority

- forty $=$ Some nentivenen do think
theanselvalim much trampled upes by thin
vota, and arcextreanely mendbla thereof
and the trath in is bath wrooght moh a
beat in the honts, thet I Bear litio will
be dowe for the tutrore" 1d. p 3s. No
such Dili appears, co aomine, in the Jour.
mals. Bat a bill Ar regriatiog the militio
torvee were thrown out, Jan an, by 184 to
st sol Gromweil (Oliver's owetin) belne
- teller for the maforty. Proboly there
Wers teac claver in thth rowering the
dectmation of the rogalimit
I Whitelock tho wre conmalted \&s
 conseretice eppotnced by the honse of comonocen intimates that tim projeed way not really lad mida $\omega$ He whe entinded in his private jodgment that is wita ft tor him to for gipe lin the tite of
 thereanfor bet eftermard if woticite. tha of be commonamitils morn, and fenring a bantiny and drfection of a great part of the arny, in cme be choerdatioume thet dite and carion ato andyd changet, and many of the alloent of the arny geve ons grvel throatminge egatuat hth im cone be monald to it; be therufore thooght it beet to ethand mace betwer manor and opportanity to the bempent and reftued it at and on whith greet
 diviars with Cromiell ap thin ociaton, becidea Whitelocks ware hond Broglan, Proppotin Thurice el etr Cuarien Woiselef. Many perete to Tharioes Tol vil., bow time Orowell peomen

formerly, the name of lord protector, we may say, speaking according to theoretical classification, and without reference to his actual exercise of power, which was nearly the same as before, that the English government in the first period should be ranged in the order of republics, though with a chief magistrate at its head; but that from 1657 it became substantially a monarchy, and ought to be placed in that class, notwithstanding the difference in the style of its sovereign. The Petition and Advice had been compiled with a constant respect to that article which conferred the royal dignity on the protector;' and when this was withdrawn at his request, the rest of the instrument was preserved with all its implied attributions of sovereignty. The style is that of subjecte addressing a monarch; the powers it bestows, the privileges it claims, are supposed, according to the expressions employed, the one to be already his own, the other to emanate from his will. The necessity of his consent to laws, though nowhere mentioned, seems to have been taken for granted. An unlimited power of appointing a successor, unknown even to constitutional kingdoms, was vested in the protector. He was inaugurated with solemnities applicable to monarchs ; and what of itself is a sufficient test of the monarchical and republican species of government, an oath of allegiance was taken by every member of parliament to the protector singly, without any mention of the commonwealth.* It is surely, therefore, no paradox to assert
> - Whitelock, 65\%. It had been agreed, in dibcussing the Petition and Advice in parlament, to postpone tho firat articie requeuting the protector to assume the tute of ling, till the rest of the charter (to use a modern bat not inspplicabia word) had been gone through. One of the subsequent articles, fixing the revenne at $1,300,000 \mathrm{~L}$ per annum, provides that no part thereof chould be raiped by a land-tax, " and this not to be altered withont the cousent of the throse eviater in garliamant"" A diviston took place, in connequence, no doubt, of this ibaidions exprowion, which was preserved by of to 50. Journaid, 13th March. The Irtit articie whis carried, afler much debata on March 94, by 123 to 02. It ntuod thus: © Res-lved, That jour high-
ners will be ploased to sasume the name. style, dignity, and ofilo of ling of England, Scotland, and Ireland, and the respective dominion and territories thereants belonging; and to axerrise the anme according to the lime of these mations." Oa Cromwell's first demurring to the propoenh, it was resolved to adbere to the Petition and Advice by the amall majority of 78 to 65 . This was perhapia a mufficient waraing that be sbould not proced.
k Journals, Zist June. This cath, Which effectually declared the parliament to be the prutector's aubjects, way outy careled by 83 to 55. Iambert refused it, and wat dimplased the army in convegavoce, with a penilen of 20001 reat annnma, insteed of his pay, 10L a-duy' a
that Oliver Cromwell was de facto sovereign of England during the interval from Jone, 1657, to his death in September, 1658.

The zealous opponents of royalty could not be insensible that they had seen it revive in everything except a title, which was not likely to remain long behind." It was too late, however, to oppose the first magistrateie personal authority. But there remained one important point of contention, which the new constitution had not fully settled. It was therein provided that the pariament should consist of two houses; naunely, the commons, and what they always termed, with an awkward generality, the other house. This was to consist of not more than seventy, nor lees than forty persons, to be nominated by the protector, and, as it stood at first, to be approved by the commons. But, before the close of the session, the court party provailed so far as to procure the repeal of this last condition;" and Crom-

He atmo at forming a pe- hoose well accordingly issued writs of summons to persons of various parties, a few of the ancient peers, a few of his adversaries, whom he hoped to grain over, or at least to exclude from the commons, and of course a majority of his steady adherents. To all theee he gave the title of lords, and in the next session their assembly denominated itself the lorde' house.* This measure encountered considerable difficulty. The republican party, almost as much attached to that vote which had declared the house of londs useless as to that which bad abolished the monarchy, and well aware of the intimate connexion between the two, resisted the assumption of this aristocratic title, instead of that of the other house, which the Petition and Advice had sanctioned. The real peers feared to compromise their hereditary right by sitting in an assembly where the tenure was only during life; and disdained some of their colleaguea, such sis Pride and Hewson, low-born and inso-

[^160]Preas 30.

 sech Jus.

 the real lymo of Lrish Jomin (1)ad
lent men, whom Cromwell had rather injudiciously bribed with this uew nobility; though, with these few exceptions, his house of lords was respectably composed. Hence, in the short session of January, 1658, wherein the late excluded members were permitted to take their seats, so many difficulties were made about acknowledging the lords' house by that denomination, that the protector hastily and angrily dissolved the parliament. ${ }^{p}$

It is a singular part of Cromwell's system of policy that he would neither reign with parliaments nor without them; impatient of an opposition which he was sure to experience, he still never seems to have meditated the attainment of a naked and avowed despotism. This was probably due to his observation of the ruinous consequences that Charles had brought on himself by that course, and his knowledge of the temper of the English, never content without the exterior forms of liberty, as well as to the suggestions of counsellors who were not destitute of concern for the laws. He had also his great design yet to accomplish, which could only be safely done under the sanction of a parliament. A very short time, accordingly, before his death, we find that he had not only resolved to meet once more the representatives of the nation, but was tampering with several of the loading officers to obtain their consent to an hereditary suocession. The majority however of a council of nine, to whom he referred this suggestion, would only consent that the protector for the time being should have the power of nominating his successor; a vain attempt to escape from that regal form of government which they had been taught to abhor. 9 But a sudden illness, of a
P Whiltelock; Part. Hist The former
mays this was dome aguinat his advice
These debates abous the other house are
to be traced in the Jourcuis; and are
mentioned ly Thurlos, Fh. 107, tace, and
Ludiow, 59\%. Not one of the true peers,
exceept lord Eure, took his seat in this
house; and Haslerlg, who bed been no-
minated merely to weakem his influence,
shose to retain his place in the commons.
The list of thete protended lords in
Thurloe, pi. 668, is not quite the ame as
that in Whitalock.
$\cap$ This junto of nine debated how they
notabt be socure ngaingt the cavaliers.

One scheme was an outh of affuration; bas this it was thought they wouid all take: another was to lay a heavy tax on them; " a moiety of their entates writ spoken of; bat this, I euppome, will not go down with all the nine, and least of all will it be amallowed by the parlis ment, who will not be perauaded to punish both nocent and innocent without distinction." 2end June: Thurioe, vol vil. p. 198. And again, p. 969: "I beHeve we are aut of danger of our finta and I think also of over having such another. As I take it, the reprort was made to his highnase upor Thursiay
nature soldom fatal except to a constitution slready shattered by fatigue and anxiety, rendered abortive all these projects of Cromwell's ambition.

Ho left a fame behind him proportioned to his extra-

Hin denth ordinary fortunes and the great qualities which
mad der
mectac sustained them; still more perhaps the admira- the tion of strangers than of perantry, because to extenuate the glory that irritates it. The nation itself forgave much to one who had brought back the renown of her ancient story, the traditions of Elizabeth's age, after the ignominious reigns of her successorts. This contrast with James and Charles in their foreign policy gave additional lustre to the era of the protectorate. There could not bat be a sense of nationsl pride to see an Finglishman, but yesterday raised above the many, without one drop of blood in his veins which the princes of the earth could challenge as their own, receive the homage of those who acknowledge no right to power, and hardly any title to respect, except that of prescription. The sluggish pride of the court of Spain, the mean-spirited cunning of Mazarin, the irregular imagination of Christina, eonght with emulous ardorr the friendship of our usurper.' He had the advantage of reaping the harvest which be had not eown, by an honourable treaty with Holland, the fruit of victories achieved under the parliament. Bit he still employed the great energies of Blake in the service for which he was so eminently fitted; and it is just to asy that the maritime giory of Fagland may first be traced from the era of the commonwealth in a track of continuons light. The oppressed protestants in catholic kingdoms, disgusted at the Inkewarmness and half-apostasy of the Stuarts, looked up to him as their patron and mediator.


Courted by the two rival monarchies of Earope, he seemed to threaten both with his hostility; and when he declared against Spain, and attacked her West India possessions, with little pretence certainly of justice, but not by any means, as I conceive, with the impolicy sometimes charged against him, so auspicious was his star that the very failure and disappointment of that expedition obtained a more advantageous possession for England than all the triumphs of her former kings.

Notwithstanding this external splendour, which has deceived some of our own and most foreign writers, it is evident that the submission of the people to Cromwell was far from peaceable or voluntary. His strong and skilful grasp kept down a nation of enemies that must naturally, to judge from their numbers and inveteracy, have overwhelmed him. It required a dexterous management to play with the army, and without the army he could not have existed as sovereign for a day. Yet it seems improbable that, had Cromwell lived, any insurrection or conspiracy, setting aside assassination, could have overthrown a possession so fenced by systematic vigilance, by experienced caution, by the respect and terror that belonged to his name. The royalist and republican intrigues had gone on for several years without intermission; but every part of their derigus was open to him ; and it appears that there was not courage or rather temerity sufficient to make any open demonstration of so prevalent a disaffection.'

The most superficial observers cannot have overlooked

they should offer, frivolously and withcot a cause, to disturb the peace of France Thurioe, ili. 6. In fact, the French protestants were in the habit of wriuing to Thurloe, as this collection testifies, whenever they thougit themsalives injured, which huppened irequenly enough Cromwell's noble seal in behalf of the Vaudole is well known. See this volume of Tharioe, p. 112, tre. Mavarin abd the catholic powers in general undenvoured to Ho down that mosencre; but the usurper had too mach protestant aplrit to belleve them. Id 538 .
t Ludlow, 607; Thurfot, 1 and 4 pasedim.
the general resemblances in the fortunes and character of Cromwell, and of him who, more recently ard upon an ampler theatre, has atruck nations with wonjer and awe. But the parallel may be traced more closely than perhaps has hitherto been remarked. Both raised to power by the only merit which a revolution leaves oncontroverted and untarnished, that of military achievements, in that reflux of public sentiment when the fervid enthusiasm of democracy gives place to disgust at its excesses and a desire of firm government. The means of greatness the same to both-the extinction of a representatite assembly, once national, but already mutilated by violence, and sunk by its submission to that illegal force into general contempt. In military science or the renown of their exploits we cannot certainly rank Cromwell by the side of him for whose genius and ambition all Europe seemed the appointed quarry; but it may be said that the former's exploits were as much above the level of his contemporaries, and more the fruits of an original moeducated capacity. In civil government there can be no adequate parallel between one who had sucked only the dregs of a besotted fansticism, and one to whom the stores of reason and philosophy were open. But it must here be added that Cromwell, far unlike his antitype, never showed any signs of a legislative mind, or any desire to fix his renown on that noblest basis, the amelioration of social institutions. Both were eminent masters of human nature, and played with inferior capacities in all the security of powerful minds. Though both, coming at the conclusion of a struggle for liberty, trampled upon her claims, and sometimes spoke disdainfully of her name, each knew how to associate the interests of those who had contended for her with his own ascendancy, and made himself the representative of a victorious revolution. Those who had too much philosophy or zeal for freedom to give way to popular admiration for these illustrious usurpers, were yet amused with the adulation that lawful princes showered on them, more gratuitously in one instance. with servile terror in the other. Both, too, repaid in some measure this homage of the pretended great by turning their ambition towards those honours and titles which they knew to be so little con-
nected with high desert. A fallen race of monarchs, which had made way for the greatness of each, cherished hopes of restoration by their power, till each, by an inexpiable act of blood, manifested his determination to make no compromise with that line. Both possessed a certain coarse good-nature and affability that covered the want of conscience, honour, and humanity; quick in passion, but not vindictive, and averse to mnnecessary crimes. Their fortunes in the conclusion of life were indeed very different : one forfeited the affections of his people, which the other, in the character at least of their master, had never poesessed; one furnished a moral to Europe by the continuance of his success, the other by the prodigiousness of his fall. A fresh resemblance arose afterwards, when the restoration of those royal families, whom their ascendant had kept under, revived ancient animosities, and excited new ones; those who from love of democratical liberty had borne the most deadly hatred to the apostates who had betrayed it, recovering some affection to their memory, out of aversion to a common enemy. Our English republicans have, with some exceptions, displayed a sympathy for the name of Cromwell; and I need not observe how remarkably this holds good in the case of his mighty parallel.u
> ${ }^{4}$ Mru. Mcounlay, who hed nothing of comprombe or concillation in ber temper, and breathed the entire rpirit of Vare and Ladlow, makes mome Figorvos and just ealmadreralume on the favour sbown to Cromwell by some profemors of a segard for llberty. The dispenting Writers, much an Neal, and to come meermure Harris, wero particularly open to this reproach. He long continued (perhaps the present tense is more appropriato) to be revered by the independenta. One who well knew the mannera he palnua has deecribed the mecret idolatry of that rect to their hero-mint See Crabhe's tale of the Frank Courtship.
> Slingely Bethell, an exoeption pertapps to the general politices of thla sect, pubwhed, is 160\%, a tract, entiled The Worlde Mistake in Olver Cromwell, with the parpose of decrying bis policy and depreotating this genius Harleian Mincellany, 1. 280. But he who goes whout to prove the world mistaken in its
entimate of a problic character has always a difficalt cause to maintain. Bethell, Like Mr. Mscanlay and othern, labours to set op the Bromp parllament againat the coldier who dlspersed them; and sacerts that Cromwell, having forand s00,000k in ready money, with the value of $700,000 \mathrm{~L}$ in storea, and the arny in advance of their pay (subject, bowever. to $a$ debt of near 500,0002 ), the custome and excise bringing in meariy a million anmaily, left a debt which, in Rlchard'm parliament, was given in at $1,900,000 \mathrm{~L}$. though be belleves this to have been yorpoeely exacgerated in order to procure rapplies I cannot eny bow far theas sums are correct; bet jt is to be kept in mind that one great reeource of tho pariament, confiscation, sequestration, composition, could not be repeated for ever. Neither of these governments, it will be found on inquiry, were ecunomical, especially ta respect to the amolus monts of those concernod in them.

The death of a great man, even in the most regular sicherdi, course of affairs, seems always to create a sort
 eces always a problem to be solved only by experimont, whether the mechanism of government may not be disordared by the shock, or have been deprived of some of its moving powers. But what change coald be 00 great as that from Oliver Cromwell to his son! from one beneath the terror of whose name a nation had cowered and foreign princes grown pale, one trained in twenty eventful years of revolution, the first of his age in the field or in council, to a young man fresh from a country life, meducated, unused to business, as little a statesman as a soldier, and endowed by nature with capacities by no means above the common. It seeme to have been a mistake in Oliver, that with the projects be had long formed in his eldest son's favour, he should have taken so little pains to fashion his mind and manners for the exercise of eovereign power, while he had placed the second in a very eminent and arduons stition; or that, if he despaired of Richard's capacity, he should have trusted him to encounter thoee perils of disaffection and conspiracy which it had required all his own vigiance to avert But, whatever might be hia plans, the sudden illneas which carried him from the world left no time for completing them. The Petition and Advice had aimply empowered him to appoint a succeasor, without prescribing the mode. It appeared consonant to law and reason that so important a trust should be axecuted in a notoriom manner, and by a written instrument; or, if a varbal nomination might seem sufficient, it was at least to be expected that this should be anthenticated by molemn and indispatable testimony. No proof, however, was ever given of Richard's appuintment by his father, except a recital in the proclamation of the privy council, which, whethar well founded or otherwise, did not carry conviction to the minds of the people ; and this, even if we call it bat an informality, aggravated the numenows legal and natural deficiencies of his title to the government."

[^161]This very difference, however, in the personal qualifications of the father and the son,' procured the latter some friends whom the former had bs supporepred never been able to gain. Many of the presby- dent men. terian party began to see the finger of God, as they called it in his peaceable accession, and to think they owed subjection to one who came in neither by regicide, nor hypocrisy, nor'violence.' Some cool-headed and sincere friends of liberty entertained similar opinions. Pierpoint, one of the wisest men in England, who had stood aloof from the protector's government till the scheme of restoring monarchy came into discossion; had great hopes, as a writer of high authority informs us, of settling the nation in the enjoyment of its liberties under the young man; who was "so flexible," says that writer, "to good counsels, that there was nothing desirable in a prince which might not have been hoped in him, but a great spirit and a just title; the first of which sometimes doth more hart than good in a sovereign; the latter would have been 'supplied by the people's deserved approbation." Pierpoint believed that the restoration of the ancient family could not be effected without the ruin of the people's liberty, and of all who had been its champions; so that no royalist, he thought, who had any regard to his country, would attempt it: while this establishment of monarchy in Richard's person might reconcile that party, and compose all differences among men of weight and zeal for the publio good. He acted accordingly on those principles; and became, as well as his friend St. John, who had been discountonanced by Oliver, a steady supporter of the young pretector's administration. These two, with Thurloe, Whitelock, lord Broghill, and a very few more, formed a small

[^162]the Lord continge it Tharine Sint Papers, vi. 38s, 312 . Lowd Pavoorbers aftermards confurme the finct of Bichard s popmination. P. st5 ; and see p. 41s. I m Minny obler man that called mis鱼ther no bether than a traitoroas hopoefito, did begin to think that they owed htm [R. C.] ualfaction," Aco. Buxter, 100
: Eutchmson, 345. She does not numa Pierpoint, but 1 bave Ittic doabt the be fis metat
phnlanx of experienced counsellors around his.unstable throne. And I must confess that their course of policy in sustaining Richard's government appears to me the most judicious that, in the actual circumstances, could have been adopted. Pregnant as the restoration of the exiled family was with incalculable dangers, the English monarchy would have revived with less lustre in the eyes of the vulgar, but with more seourity for peace and freedom, in the line of Cromwell. Time would have worn away the stains of ignoble birth and criminal usurpation; and the young man, whose misfortune has subjected him to rather an exaggerated charge of gross incapacity, would probably have reigned as well as most of those who are born in the purple. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

But this termination was defeated by the combination bat opposed by a coallof some who knew not what they wished, and tion. of some who wished what they could never content to make Cromwell the first of themselves, or greater than themselves by their own creation, had never forgiven his manifest design to reign over them as one of a superior order, and owing nothing to their pleasure. They had begon to cabal during his last illness. Though they did not oppose Richard's succession, they continued to hold meetings, not quite public, but exoiting intense alarm in his council. As if disdaining the command of a olownish boy, they proposed that the station of lord general should be separated from that of protector, with the power over all commissions in the army, and conferred on Fleetwood; who, though his brother-in-law, was a certain instrument in their hands. The vain ambitious Lambert, aspiring, on the credit of some military reputation, to wield the sceptre of Cromwell, influenced this junto; while the commonwealth's party, some of whom were, or had been, in the army, drew over several of these ignorant and fanatical soldiers. Thurloe describes the posture of affairs in September and October, while all Europe was admiring the peaceable transmission of Oliver's power, as most alarming; and it may almost be said that Riohard had

[^163]already fallen when he was proclained the lard protector of England. ${ }^{-}$

It was necessary to summon a parliament on the usual score of obtaining money. Lord Brog-cants a hill had advised this measure immediately on partimment Oliver's death, ' and pertaps the delay might be rather prejodicial to the new establishanent. But some of the comeil feared a parliament almost as much as they did the army. They called one, however, to meet Jan. 27,1659 , issuing writs in the ordinary manner to all boroughs which had been accustomed to send members, and cunsequently abandoning the reformed model of Cromwell. This Ludlow attributes to their expectation of greater infleence among the small boroughs; but it may possibly be ascribed still more to a desire of retuming by little and little to the ancient constitation, by eradicating the revolutionary imnorations. The new parliament consisted of courtiers, as the Cromwell party were al ways denominated, of presbyterians, among whom some of cavalier principles crept in, and of republicans; the two latter nearly balancing, with their mited weight, the ministerial majority." They began with an oath of allegiance to the protector, as presented by the late parliament, which, as usual in such cases, his enemies generally toot without scruple.- But upon a bill being

[^164][^165]offered for the recognition of Richard as the modoubted lond protector and chief magistrate of the commonwealth, they made a stand against the word recognise, which was carried with difficulty; and cansed him the mortification of throwing out the epithet undoubted.' They subsequently discussed his negative voice is passing bills, which had heen purposely slurred over in the Petition and Advice; but now everything was disputed. The thorny question as to the powers and privileges of the other house came next into debate. It was carried by 177 to 113 to transact business with them. To this resolution an explanation was added, that it was not thereby intended to exclude such peers as had been faithful to the parliament from their privilege of being duly summoned to be members of that house. The court supporting this not impolitic, but logically absurd, proviso, which confounded the ancient and modern systems of government, carried it by the small majority of 195 to $188 .{ }^{8}$ They were stronger in rejecting an important motion, to make the apprubation of the commons a preliminary to their transacting business with the persons now sitting in the other house as a house of parliament, by 183 voices to 146 . But the opposition succeeded in inserting the words "during the present parliament," which left the matter still unsettled. The sitting of the Scotch and Irish members was also unsuccessfully opposed. Upon the whole, the court party, notwithstanding this coalition of very heterogeneous interests against them, wore sufficiently power-


[^166]ful to disappoint the hopes which the royalist intriguers had entertained. A strong body of lawyers, led by Maynard, adhered to the government, which was supported also on some occasions by a part of the presbyterian interest, or, as then called, the moderate party; and Hicharl would probably have concluded the session with no loss of power, if either he

The andy or his parliament could have withstood the more overthtow formidable cabal of Wallingford House. This knot of officers, Fleetwood, Desborough, Berry, Sydenham, being the names most known among them, formed a coalition with the republican faction, who despaired of any success in parliament. The dissolution of that assembly was the main article of this league. Alarmed at the notorious caballing of the officers, the commons voted that, during the sitting of the parliament, there should be no general council, or meeting of the officers of the army, without leave of the protector and of both houses.' Such a vote


#### Abstract

1 There seems reason to believe that vichand wonld have met with more support boll in the house and among the nation, If he had not been oppressed by the odium of some of his fathers connvellors A general Indigration was folt at those who had conderoned men to death in illegal tribunalis, whom the topublicans and cavaliens were impatient to bring to justice. He was forced also to employ and to acreen trom veageance his wise and expertenced mecretary Thurioe, master of all the aecret springs that bad moved his father'e government, but obmazions from the chare bo had caken in Hiegal and arbitrary measuren Pedtions wese prosented to the houme from soven! Who had been committed to the Tower mpean abort written orders, withoat any formal warmat or exprewed cause of econmitment In the caso of one of these, Mr. Portman, the bouse reoolved that his apprebension, tmprisorment, and detention in the Tower wes Heggal and unjuat: Joumnla, 26 Fob, A still more Angrant tyranny was that frequently practised by Cromwelh, of sending persons disaffectod to hlm ws ulaves to the W est Indieas Ono Mr. Tbomas petitioned the thouse of commons, complaining that he had been thus sold as a slave. A member af the court itide juntifed it wo the neom


of his betng a malligoant. Major-general Browne, a secret royalist, replied that he was neverthelems an Englishman and free-born. Tharioe had the presumption to say that he had not thooght to live to see the day when soch a bling at this, 20 justiy and legally dons by lawfil anthority, should be broaght befare paro liament. Vane replied that he did not think to have meen the day when freebon Englishmen shonid be sold for navea by fuch asin astitrary government. Thero were, it seems, not lese than filty gentlo men sold for slavesat Barbadoes. Clarendon State Papers, p. 445. The royalista had pianned to attact Thurioe for aceme of these andastifisble proceedinges, which would have greatly embarraseed the government. Ibid. 423, 428 . They hoped that Richard woald be better dispoted toward the ting, if his three advisett. St. John, Tharioe, and Pierpoint, ail tmpplacable to their cause, could be removed. But they were not strong enough in the bouse. If Richard, bowever, had continued in power, he must probably have sencriticed Thurice to publio opiniun ; and the consciousnems of thit may have led this minister to advise the dissolution of the parilament, and pertapp to betray hit master, from the ausplicion of whileb be it not tren

It
could only accelerate their own downfall. Three days afterwards the junto of Wallingford House iusisted with Richard that he should dissolve parliament; to which, according to the advice of most of his conncil, and perhaps by an overruling necessity, he gave his consent." This was immediately followed by a declaration of the council of officers, calling back the long parliament, such as it had been expelled in 1653, to those seats which had been filled meanwhile by so many transient successors."

It is not in general difficult for an armed force to destroy a government; but something else than the sword is required to create one. The military conspirators were destitute of any leader whom they would acknowledge, or who had capacity to go through the civil labours of sovereignty; Lambert alone excepted, who was lying in wait for another occasion. They might have gone on with Richard as a pageant of nominal authority. But their new allies, the common-


#### Abstract

It ought to bo remurked what an outtageous proof of Cromwell's tyranny it exhiblted in this note Mary writern gllde farourably over hil adminiatration, or content themselves with treating it a en urupation which can famish ne precedent, and consequently does not mert particalar notice; but the effect of this generality is, that the wortd forma an imperfect notion of the degree of arbltnary power which he exerted; and 1 belleve there are many who take Charles I., and even Charles II, for greater violatons of the laws than the protector. Neal and Hasrla are full of this dirhonest blgotry. [Slnce this note was Arst printed the pablication of Barton's Diary hes conflimed ite Urath, which hal rachly been called in queation by a pearlonate and predudiced reviewer. Sea vol. iv. p. 25s, doc]

I Richard edvised with Brogtill, Fennew. Tharloe, and othere of his coancil4 all of whom, except Whitelociz, who itforms us of thin, were in favour of the dincolntion. This cansed, ha eays, much troable to honeat men; the cavallere and sepoblicand refolced at it; manay of Richard'o coonell were his enemien. P. 17t. The army at fratintanded to rateo


money by their own axthority; but thin was deemed tmpoesible, and it was resolved to recall the long parlimment. lambert and Haslerig accondingly met Lenthall, who was persuaded to act again at apeaker; thorugh, if Ladlow is right, against his will, belng now connected with the court, and to the pretencied horase of londs The partiament now conaisted of 91 members Parl. Kike 154Y. Harris quotes a manuscript fournal of Montague, afterwarde eart of Sandwich. wheretg it it autd that Richard's great error was to disolve the parlianment, and that be might have overraled the army If he would himself have employed Ingoldaly, lord Fancoaberg, and others who were suspected to be for the king Idife of Charles IL. 194 He afterwards p. 20s, quoten Calamy'e Lif of Howes for the assertion that Richard atood ont againat his counctl. With Thurloe alope, that the parliament shoald not be disgoived. Thin in very malikely.
m This was curtred agolgast the previona quection by 188 to 87. Jearnais, Abr, 111. Scme of the protector's AHenda were alarmed at 50 high a rote aghat the many, which did Ln fart bring the mattel

wealth's men, insisted upon restoring the long parlisment." It seemed now the policy, as much as duty, of the sficers to obey that civil power they had set up; for to rule ostensibly was, as I have just observed, an impracticable scheme. But the contempt they felt for their pretendec. masters, and even a sort of necessity arising out of the blindness and passion of that little oligarchy, drove them to a step still inore ruinous to their cause than that of deposing Richard, the expulsion once more Expelled of that assembly, now worn out and ridiculous in all men's eyes, yet seeming a sort of frail protection against mere anarchy and the terror of the sword. Lambert, the chief actor in this last act of violence, and indeed many of the rest, might plead the right of self-defence. The prevailing faction in the parliamont, led by Haslerig, a bold and headstrong man, perceived that, with very inferior pretensions, Lambert was aiming to tread in the steps of Cromwell; and, remembering their neglect of opportunities, as they thought, in permitting the one to overthrow them, fancied that they would anticipate the other. Their intemperate votes cashiering Lambert, Desborough, and other officers, brought on, as every man of more prudence than Haslerig must have foreseen, an immediate revolution that crushed once more their boasted commonwealth. ${ }^{\circ}$ They re- and analn vived again a few months after, not by any reshored

[^167]* bebaved with all Imaginable perveris ned and insolenne ${ }^{m}$ in the cooncil of sita, whenever they came there, which was bat weldom, borupling the aath to be true to the commonwealth against Cinerlea Stuat or any other persion. P. 857. He conspures, hovaver, the violence of Heslerig " a mon of a disobliging temper, sorr and morate of temper, linble to be transported with pasione, and in whom libesallty meemed to be a vice. Fet, to du alm justice, I must acknowledge that I am under no manner of doabt conceralng the mectitude and sinoerity of his intentions" P. 718. Ladlow gave sume offence to the bot-heended reproblicans by bis batf comptiance sith the army, and much dis: approved the procsedinges they adopsed after their second restoration in Dectmber. 1859, against Vame and others P. 800., Yet, thor gh nominatiod on tie sas sitter
exertion of the people, who hated alike both parties, in their behalf, but through the disunion of their real masters, the army, and vented the impotent and injudi cious rage of a desperate faction on all who had not gone every length on their side, till scarce any man of eminence was left to muster under the standard of Haslerig and his little knot of associates.p
I can by no means agree with those who find in the character of the English nation some absolute

> ImposelMility of eatablishing a repablio. incompatibility with a republican constitution of government. Under favouring circumstances, it seems to me not at all incredible that such a polity might have existed for many ages in great prosperity, and without violent convulsion. For the English are, as a people, little subject to. those bursta of passion which inflame the more imaginative multitude of southern climates, and render them both apt for revolutions and incapable of conducting them. Nor are they again of that sluggish and stationary temper which chokes all desire of improvement, and even all zeel for freedom and justice, through which some free governments have degenerated into corrupt oligarchies. The most conspicuously successful experiment of repullican institutions (and those far more democratical than, according to the general theory of politics, could be reconciled with perfect tranquillity) has taken place in a people of English original; and though much must here be ascribed to the peculiarly fortunate situation of the nation to which I allude, we can hardly avoid giving some weight to the good sense and well-balanced temperament which have come in their inheritance with our laws and our language. But the establishment of free commonwealths depends much rather on temporary causes, the influence of persons and particular events, and all those intricacies in the conrse of Providence which we term accident, than on any general maxims that can become the basis of prior calculation. In the year 1659 it is manifest that no idea could be more chimerical than that of a republican settlement in England. The name, never familiar or venerable in English ears, was grown infinitely odious: it was

[^168]associated with the tyranny of ten years, the selfish rapacity of the Romp, the hypocritical despotism of Cromwell, the arbitrary sequestrations of committee-men, the iniquitous decimations of military prefects, the sale of British citisens for slavery in the West Indies, the blood of some shed on the scaffold without legal trial, the tedious imprisonment of many with denial of the habeas corpus, the exclusion of the ancient gentry, the persecution of the Anglican church, the bacchanalian rant of sectaries, the morose preciseness of puritans, the extinction of the frank and cordial joyousness of the national character. Were the people again to endnre the mockery of the good old cause, as the commonwealth's men effected to style the interests of their little faction, and be subject to Lambert's notorious want of principle, or to Vane's contempt of ordinances (a godly mode of expressing the same thing), or to Haslerig's fury, or to Harrison's fanaticism, or to the fancies of those lessar schemers who, in this utter confusion and abject state of their party, were amusing themselves with plans of perfect commonwealths, and debating whether there should be a senate as wrell as a representation; whether a fixed number should go ont or not by rotation; and all those details of political mechanism so important in the eyes of theorists? ${ }^{9}$ Every project of this description must have wanted what alone could give it either the pretext of legitimate existence or the chance of permanency, popular consent; the republican party, if we exclude those who would have had a protector, and those fanatics who expected the appearance of Jesua Christ, was incalmulably small; not, perhaps, amounting in the whole nation to more than a few hundred persons.

The little court of Charles at Brussels watched with trembling hope those convulsive struggles of their enemies. Doring the protectorship of Inturan Oliver their beat chance appeaned to be, that royalitst some of the numerows schemes for his assassination might take effect. Their correspondence indeed, especially among the presbyterian or neutral party, became more

[^169]extensive; ${ }^{\text {r }}$ but these men were habitually cantions; and the marquis of Ormond, who went over to England in the beginning of 1658 , though he reported the disaffection to be still more universal than he had expected, was forced to add that there was little prospect of a rising antil foreign troops should be landed in some part of the country, an aid which Spain had frequently promised, but, with an English fleet at sea, could not very uasily furnish." The death of their puissant enemy brightened the visions of the royalists. Though the appareut peaceableness of Richard's government gave them some mortification, they continued to spread their toils through zealous emissaries, and found a very general willingness to restore the ancient constitation under its hereditary sovereign. Besides the cavaliers,
They unita with the presbyterianal who, though numerous and ardent, were impoverished and suspected, the chief presbyterians, lords Fairfax and Willoughby, the earls of Manchester and Denbigh, sir William Waller, sir George Booth, sir Ashley Cooper, Mr. Popham of Somerset, Mr. Howe of Gloucester, sir Horatio Townshend of Norfolk, with more or less of zeal and activity, pledged themselves to the royal cause. ${ }^{2}$ Lord Fauconberg, a royalist hy family, who had married a daughter of Cromwell, undertook the important office of working on his brothers-in-law, Richard and Henry, whoso position, in respect to the army and republican party, was so hazardous. It seems, in fact, that Richard, even during his continuance in power, had not refused to hear the king's agents," and hopes were entertained of him ; yet at that

[^170][^171]time even he could not reasonably be expected to abandon his apparent interests; but soon after his fall, while his influence, or rather that of his father's memory, was still supposed considerable with Montaga, Monk, and Lockhart, they negotiated with him to procure the accession of those persons, and of his brother Henry, for a pension of $20,000 l$ ' a-year and a title. ${ }^{x}$ It soon appeared, however, that those prudent veterans of revolution would not embark under such a pilot, and that Richard was not worth purchasing on the lowest terms. Even Henry Cromwell, with whom a separate treaty had been carried on, and who is said to have determined at one time to proclaim the king at Dublin, from want of courage, or, as is more probable, of seriousness in what must have seemed so unnatural an undertaking, submitted quietly to the vote of parliament that deprived him of the command of Ireland. ${ }^{3}$

The conspiracy, if indeed so general a concert for the restoration of ancient laws and liberties ought coneptracy to have so equivocal an appellation, became of 1659. ripe in the summer of 1659 . The royalists were to appear in arms in different quarters, several principal towns to be seized; but, as the moment grew nigh, the courage of most began to fail. Twenty years of depression and continual failure mated the spirits of the cavaliers. The shade of Cromwell seemed to hover over and protect the wreck of his greatness. Sir George Booth, almost alone, rose in Cheshire; every other ccheme, intended to be executed simultaneously, failing through the increased pradence of those concerned, or the precautions taken by the government on secret intelligence of the plots; and Booth, thus deserted, mado

[^172][^173]less resistance to Lambert than perhaps was in his power: This discomfitare, of course, damped the expectations of the Ejing's party. The presbyterians thought themselves ill-used by their new allies, though their own friends had been almost equally cautions." Sir Richard Willis, an old cavalier, and in all the secrets of their conspiracy, was detected in being a spy both of Cromwell and of the new government: a discovery which struck consternation into the party, who could hardly trust any one else with greater security. In a lesa favourable posture of affairs these nntoward circumstances might have ruined Charles's hopes ; they served, as it was, to make it evident that be must look to some more efficacious aid than a people's good wishes for his restoration.

The royalists in England, who played so deep a stake on the king's account, were not unnaturally desirous that he should risk something in the game, and continually pressed that either he or one of his brothens would land on the coast. His standard would become a rallying-point for the well-affected, and create such a demonstration of public sentiment as would overthrow the present unstable government. Bnt Charles, not by nature of a chivalrous temper, shrunk from an enterprise which was certainly very hazardous, unlese he could have obtained a greater assistance of troops from the Low Conntries than was to be hoped." He was as little inclined to permit the duke of York's engaging in it, on account of the differences that had existed between them, and his knowledge of an intrigue that was going forward in Eingland, principally among the catholics, but with the mischievous talents of the duke of Buckingham at its head, to set up the duke instead of himself. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ He

[^174][^175]gave, however, fair words to his party, and continued for some time on the French coast, as if waiting for his opportunity. It was in great measure, as I suspect, to rid himself of this importunity that he set out on his long and very needless journey to the foot of the Pyrenees. Thither the two monarchs of France and Spain, wearied with twenty years of hostility withont a cause and without a purpose, had sent their ministers to conclude the celebrated treaty which bears the name of those mountains. Charles had long cherished hopes that the first fruite of their reconciliation would be a joint armament to place him on the English throne; many of his adherents almost despaired of any other means of restoration. But Lewis de Harc was a timid statesman, and Mazarin a ounning one: there was little to expect from their generosity, and the price of assistance might probably be such as none but desperate and unscrupulous exiles would offer and the English nation would with unanimous indignation reject. It was well for Charles that he contracted no pablic engagement with these foreign powers, whose co-operation must either have failed of success or have placed on his head a degraded and unstable crown. The full toleration of popery in England, its establishment in Ireland, its profession by the sovereign and his family, the surrender of Jamaica, Dunkirk, and perhaps the Norman islands, were conditions on which the people might have thought the restoration of the Stuart line too dearly obtained.


#### Abstract

former as already their own. A remariablo lotter of Moriey to Hyde, April 24, 1650, p. 489, thow the Enspiciots already ontertainod of him by the writer in point of religion; and Hyde is plainly not free trom apprehension that he might favour the scheme of aupplatiting his brother. ihe intrigue might have gone a greal way, though we may now think it probable that thoir alarm magulfied the danger. "Lat me tetl you," eyy ifr Antony Ashiey Copper in a loitar to Fyda, * that WIldman is much an enemy now to the ling as he was before a meemYog friend; get pot upon the scopunt of a commonwealth, for his ambition meete with overy-day repuleses and ationtio from that party; but npon a finar epundedign of mutting up the interen of the duke of


Yotk againat the king; in which dedign I fear you will find confederated the dure of Bucich, who perhaps may draw away with him lord Fairfax, the presbyteriars, levellers, and many catholics. I am apt to think these things are not tranatacted wfthout the prifity of the queen; and 1 pray God that they have not an ill influence upon your afidrs In France' 475. Backingham was surmised to bave been formally reconclifed to the church of Rome. 48t. Some mpposed that be, with his Ariend Wildman, were for a repablic But such men ave for nothing bat the Intrigut of the motnent. These projecta of Buckinghim to tat up the dake of Yort are hated at in a pamphict by Shatteqbiry o? one of ha party, written about 1880. fomers Tracts, VIII. 34.

It was a more dasirable object for the king to bring over, if possible, some of the leaders of the common. wealth. Except Vane, accordingly, and the decided republicans, there was hardly any man of consequence whom his agents did not attempt to gain, or, at least, from whom they did not entertain hopes. Three stood at this time conspicuous above the rest, not all of them in ability, but in apparent power of serving the royal cause by their defection-Fleetwood, Lambert, and Monk. The first had discovered, as far as his understanding was capable of perceiving anything, that he had been the dupe of more crafty men in the cabals against Richard Cromwell, whose complete fall from power he had neither designed nor fureseen. In pique and veration he listoned to the overtures of the royalist agents, and sometimes, if we believe their assertions, even promised to declare for the king." But his resolations were not to be relied upon, nor was his influence likely to prove considerable; though, from his post of lieutenant-general of the army, and long-accustomed precedence, he obtained a sort of outward credit far beyond his capacity. Lambert was of a very different stamp; eager, enterprising, ambitious, but destitute of the qualities that inspire respect or confidence. Far from the weak enthusiasm of Fleetwood, he gave offence by displaying less show of religion than the temper of his party required, and still more by a current suspicion that his secret faith was that of the church of Rome, to which the partiality of the catholics towards him gave support. ${ }^{5}$ The crafty unfettered ambition of Lambert rendered it not unlikely that, finding his own schemes of sovereignty impracticable, he would make terms with the king; and there were not wanting those who recommended the latter to seoure his services by the offer of marrying his daughter, but

[^176]
## chester, Popham, and others, tried whal they could da with Fleetwood; bat, "though they left him with grod reaolutorm, they were mak an not to comp tinue longer than the next temptation." 655 (Dece 7is).

f Id. s8s. Ourte's Lettern it. and

- Lorid Hatton, an old royallst, suge: getcted thit humiliating proposition in terma marcely teaten to the heir of Cerdice and Forgus ${ }^{-1 T h}$ Then is a wry goel
it does not appear that any actual overtures were mads on either side.

There remained one man of eminent military reputation, in the command of a considerable insu- Interferance lated army, to whom the royalists anxiously as Mark. looked with alternate hope and despondency. Monls's early connections were with the king's party, among whom he had been dofeated and taken prisoner by Fairfax at Namptwich. Yet even in this period of his life he had not escaped suspicions of disaffection, which he effaced by continuing in prison till the termination of the war in England. He then accepted a commission from the parliament to serve against the Irish, and now, falling entirely into his new line of politice, became strongly attached to Cromwell, by whom he was left in the military government, or rather viceroyalty, of Scotland, which he had reduced to subjection, and kept under with a vigorous hand. Charles had once, it is said, attempted to seduce him by a letter from Cologne, which he instantly transmitted to the protector. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Upon Oliver's death he wrote a very sensible letter to Richard Cromwell, containing his advice for the govermment. He recommends him to obtain tho affections of the moderate presbyterian ministers, who have much influence over the people, to summon to his house of lords the wisest and most faithful of the old nobility and some of the leading gentry, to diminish the number of superior officers in the anny by throwing every two regiments into one, and to take into his council as his chief advisers Whitelock, St. John, lord Broghill, sir Richard Onslow, Pierpoint, and Thurlos. The judiciousness of this

[^177]advice is the surest evidence of its sincerity, and must leave no doubt on our minds that Monk was at that time very far from harbouring any thoughts of the king's restoration.

But when, through the force of circumstances and the Hisdir. deficiencies in the young protector's capacity, amuadion. he saw the honse of Cromwell for ever fallen, it was for Monk to consider what course he should follow, and by what means the nation was to be rescued from the state of anarchy that seemed to menace it, That very different plans mast have passed through his mind before he commenced his march from Scotland, it is easy to conjecture; but at what time his determination was finally taken we cannot certainly pronounce.k It

E If the tecount of his chaplefn, Dr. Prics, repubished in Maseres Tracts, vol if, be wurthy of trost, Moak jave to much esocurayement to his brother, a clergyman, secrelly despatched to Sconland by etr Johan Greavil, itit relation, tn June, 1659, as to have approved ir George Booth's incarrection, and to hare been of the point of publishing a dociration in tavour of it P. Ifs Bot thets 自 fistly to contradiction of what Clarendon asoerts, that the gexeral not ctuly ment awny ife brother with no hopea, but threatened to harg him if bo cerme tyatin on sach an errand And, in fich If anything so faverable as what Price talls was hod occurred, the king could not thil to have known ith see Clerendou
 muptelon on Prico's sobmequent narrative (so fir asit profemes to relato the genorul's incentions); so that I rely for iems on tt than on Monk's own behaviour, which zeams irreccuctiable with his profeedana of repablican principlem. It in, however, sn obecure point of history, which vill cecily admit of diferant oplatons:

The etory told by Lacke, on lond Thutteabory's antborts, that Mank had agreed with the French ambamendor to turse on himself the goverument, wherein tho was to have the expport of Maserin, and that hle with, having overhoard what Wes golng forward, weat notice to Shaflesbiry, who wan thas emabled to frustrato tbo intrigue (Locke's Worta lii 456), merm to have boen confrimed lately by

Mr. Dismeli, to an extract from tho manoseript memotrs of ctr Thomen Browna (Curionitien of Literature, N. Si, vol. il.), bat in terma mo nearty reseunbling those of locke, that it mayy be sospected of being merely an echa. It is certato, am we find by forilijpse copss nuation of Bater's Crroalcle (nid 约 be andited fn this pars by wir Thomen Clargen, Mont's bro(her-ti-trow), that Bourdeanx, whe Prepeh amberedor, add make anch overturen to the gooeral, who aboolately refored to enter upos them; bat, at the writer edmitit, received a vidit from the ambumador an andition that be shonkd propoene boothing in relation to pabile matters I quote frum Kannetis Reglater, 85. Buas, wocording to my preeent mapretaion, thle tie more likely to have been the foumdetion of Shalleibary's story, who might have heard from Mrs. Monk the circumstapes of the virit, and cancelved truspicions apoo it, which bo afterwards turned into proof. It wat evidentis not th Manks power to have nsarped the goverament after be had let the royallat lnolinationa of the peopie show themselves ; and he was by po menen of a rabl character. He most have these his remotution when the aecloded memp bers were restored to the boose, Fith. 12 ; and thls allegod intrigoe with Masarin could hardy bave been so early.
If may be added that in one of the paraptleta abont the time of the exclution vill, witten by Sturtesbory hlmeelf, of ots of bis party (soczery Tracts fill
would be the most honourable supposition to believe that he was sincere in those solemn protestations of adherence to the commonwealth which he poured forth, as well during his march as after his arrival in London; till discovering, at length, the popular zeal for the king's restoration, he concurred in a change which it would have been unwise, ànd perhaps impracticable, to resist. This, however, seems not easily reconcilable to Monk's proceedings in new-modelling his army, and confiding power, both in Scotland and England, to men of known intentions towards royalty; nor did his assurances of support to the republican party become less frequent or explicit at a time when every one must believe that he had taken his resolution, and even after he had communicated with the king. I incline, therefore, upon the whole, to believe that Monk, not accustomed to respect the parliament, and incapable, both by his temperament and by the course of his life, of any enthusiasm for the name of liberty, had satisfied himself as to the expediency of the king's restoration from the time that the Cromwells had sunk below his power to assist them, though his projects were still subservient to his own seourity, which he was resolved not to forfeit by any premature decleration or ansuccessful enterprise. If the coalition of cavaliers and presbyterians and the strong bent of the entire nation had not convinced this wary dissembler that he could not fail of success, he would have continued true to his professions as the general of a commonwealth, content with crushing his rival Lam bert and breaking that fanatical interest which he most disliked. That he aimed at such a surereignty as Cromwell had usurped has been the natural conjecture of many, but does not appear to me either warranted by any presumptive evidence, or consonant to the good sense and phlegmatic temper of Monk.

At the moment when, with a small but veteran army of 7000 men, he took up his quarters in London, it seemed to be within his arbitrement which way the scale should preponderate. On one side were the wishes of the nation, but restrained by fear; on the other, esta-

[^178]blished possession, maintained by the sword, but repdered precarions by disunion and treachery. lt if certainly very pussible that, by keeping close to the parliament, Monk might have retarded, st least for a considerable time, the great event which has immortalized him. But it can hardly be said that the king's restoration was rather owing to him than to the general sentiments of the nation, and almost the necessity of circumstances, which had already made every judicions person anticipate the sole termination of our civil discord which they had prepared. Whitelock, who, incapable of refusing compliance with the ruling power, had sat in the committee of safety established in October, 1659, by the officers who had expelled the parliament, has recorded a curious anecdote, whence we may collect how little was wanting to prevent Monk from being the great mover in the restoration. He has for some time, as appears by his journal, entertained a persuasion that the general meditated nothing but the king's return, to which he was doubtless himself well inclined, except from some apprehension for the public interest, and some also for his own. Thissinduced him to have a private conference with Fleetwood, which he enters as of the 22nd December, 1659, wherein, after pointing out the probable designs of Monk, he urged him either to take possession of the Tower and declare for a free parliament, in which he would have the asistance of the city, or to send some trusty person to Breda, who might offer to bring in the king upon such terms as should be settled. Both these propositions were intended as differitht methods of bringing about a revolution which hie judged to be inevitable. "By this means," he contended, "Fleetwood might make terms with the king for preservation of himself and his friends, and of that canse, in a good measure, in which they had been engaged ; but if it were left to Monk, they and all that had been done would be left to the danger of destruction. Fleetwood then asked me, ' if I would be willing to go myself upon this employment?' I answered, 'that I would go if Fleetwood thought fit to send me.' And after mnch other disconse to this effect Fleetwood seemed fully satisfied to send me to tho king, and desired me to go and prepare myselt forthwith for the journey; and that in the mean time

Fleetwood and his friends would prepare the instractions for me, 60 that 1 might begin my joarney this evening or to-morrow morning early.
"I, going away from Fleetwood, met Vane, Desborough, and Berry in the next room, coming to speak with Fleetwood, who thereupon desired me to stay a little; and I suspected what would be the issue of thein consultation, and within a quarter of an hour Fleetwood came to me, and in much passion said to me, 'I cannot do it! I cannot do it!' I desired his reason why he could not do it? He answered, "Those gentlemen have remembered me, and it is true, that I am engaged not to do any such thing without iny lord Lambert's consent.: I replied, 'that lambert was at too great a distance to have his consent to this business, which must be instantly acted.' Fleetwood again said, 'I cannot do it without him.' Then I said, "You will ruin yourself and your friends.' He said, ' I cannot help it.' Then I told him I must take my leave, and so we perted." =

Whatever might have been in the power of Monk by adhering to his declarations of obedience to the parliament, it would have been too late for him, sertoded after consenting to the restoration of the se- retarn to cluded members to their seats on February 21, 1660, to withstand the settlement which it seems incredible that he should not at that time have desired. That he continued for at least sir weeks afterwards in a course of astonishing dissimnlation, so as to deceive in a great measure almost all the royalists, who were distrusting nis intentions at the very moment when he made his first and most private tender of service to the king through Sir John Grenvil about the beginning of April, might at first seem rather to have proceeded from a sert of inability to shake off his inveterate reservedness than from consummate pradence and discretion; for any sudden risings in the king's favour, or an intrigue in the council of state, might easily have brought about the Restoration without his concurrence; and, even as it was, tho language held in the house of commons before their dissolntion, the votes expunging all that appeared on their joumals against the regal government and the
house of lords," and, sbove all, the course of the elections for the new parliament, made it sufficiently evident that the general had delayed his assurances of loyalty till they had lost a part of their value. It is, however, a full explanation of Mon''s public conduct that he was not eecure of the army, chiefly imbued with fanatical
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princivles, and bearing an inveterate hatred towards the name of Charles Stuart. A correspondent of the king writes to him on the 28th of March, "The army is nor yet in a state to hear your name publicly." In the beginning of that month many of the officers, instigated by Haslerig and his friends, had protested to Monk against the proceedings of the house, insisting that they should abjure the king and house of lords. He repressed their matinous spirit, and bade them obey the parliament, as he should do. ${ }^{\text {p }}$ Hence he redoubled his proteatations of abhorrence of monarchy, and seemed for several weeks, in exterior demonstrations, rather tha grand impediment to the king's restoration than the one person who was to have the credit of it. ${ }^{9}$ Meanwhile he silently proceeded in displacing the officers whom he could least trust, and disposing the regiments near to the metropolis or at a distance, according to his knowledge of their tempers; the parliament having given him a commission as lord-general of all the forces in the three kingdoms." The commissioners appointed by parliament for raising the militis in each county were chiefly gentlemen of the presbyterian party; and there seemed likely to be such a considerable force under their orders as might rescue the nation from its ignominious servitude to the army. In fact, some of the royalists expected that the great question would not be carried

[^179]published aboas vo pamplideta against Mm In February, from which Kennet, in hit Register, p. 68, glves quotations:"Wherces be was the common hopes of all men, he is now the common hatred of all men, as a tradror more detestable than Oliver himelif, who, though he pospacied the eftisens' bands, yet never took away the doora of the city." and so forth. It appears by the tetters of Mordaunt and Broderick to Hyde, and by thoee of Hyde bimself in the Clareadon Papers, that they bad too ort of confldence in Monk till near the end of March; though BapWhck, another of his correspondenti, seems to have hed more insight into tbe general's dendgne (Thurtoe, 852, 860, 870), who had expressed himself to at friend of the writer, probably chobery, fully in favorit of the king. before March 19.

FCiar. ©et, 703. Thurion, all tie. - $\%$.
without an appeal to the sword.: The delay of Monk in privately assuring the king of his fidelity is still not easy to be explained, but may have proceeded from a want ol confidence in Charles's secrecy, or that of his counsellors. It must be admitted that lord Clarendon, who has written with some minuteness and accuracy this important part of his History, has more than insinuated (especially as we now read his genuine language, which the ill-faith of his original editors had shamefully garbled) that Monk entertained no purposes in the king's favour till the last moment; but a manifest prejudice that shows itself in all his writings against the general, derived partly from offence at his extreme reserve and cantion during this period, partly from personal resentment of Monk's behaviour at the time of his own impeachment, greatly takes off from the weight of the noble historian's judgment.'

The months of March and April, 1660, were a period of extreme inquietude, during which every one

## Difinculdes

 about the restoration. spoke of the king's restoration as imminent, yet it none could distinctiy perceive by what means it would be effected, and much less how the difficulties of such a settlement could be overcome." As the mo-[^180][^181]ment approached, men turned their attention more to the obstacles and dangers that lay in their way. The restoration of a banished family, concerning whom they knew little, and what they knew not entirely to their satisfaction, with ruined, perhaps revengeful, followers; the returning ascendancy of a distressed party, who had sustained losses that could not be repaired without fresh changes of property, injuries that could not be atoned without fresh severities; the conflicting pretensions of two churches-one loth to release its claim, the other to yield its possession; the unsettled dissensions between the crown and parliament, suspended only by civil war and usurpation; all seemed pregnant with such diffculties that prudent men could hardly look forward to the impending revolution without some hesitation and anciety. ${ }^{2}$ Hence Pierpoint, one of the wisest statesmen in
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wards, a strunge plan of setting up again Richard Crumwell wherein not only theso three, but Moatague, Jones, and vthern, were thought to be concerued, erroneonsy no doubt at to Montague. Curendon Sinte Papers, ©0s. Curte't letters, 11 310, 330 . "Ona of the greatest roesoas they alleged was, that the ring's perty, comsisting aitogether of Endigent men, will become powerful by litile and Iitule to forcs tho ling, whatever be his own disposition, to breat any engagement he an now make; ard aidoe the nation Is bent on a singie person, noon will combine all interests 0 well as Richard." This madio Monk, it is suid, jealute of 8t. John, to that be writ choeen et Cambridge to exclude him. In $a$ letter of Thurioe to Dowaing th the Hague, April 6, be may "that many of the prestoyteritus are alarmed at the prospect, and thinking how to keep the king out - ithout foining the esctariex ${ }^{* 3}$ vi. 897 . Thbe coold hardly be achieved bat by metting up Richard. Yet that, as is truly taid in one of the letrati quoted, was ridiculorat. None were ao conspicuous and fotreptd on the king's tide as the presuyterian ministeri. Reyooids preached before the lord mayor, Feb. 28, with manifeat alluslon to the restoration: Ganden (who way be reckioned on that dide, $m$ conforming to $i t$ ) on the same day munh more explitity. Kennetis Begistar, siturp sayt, in alettar to a VOS. I


correspondent in Ecotiand, that he, Ahb, and Calamy, had a long conversation with Monk, March 11, " and convinced him a commonweath was impracticuble, and to our sense sent him ofl that sense he had hitherto maintaised, and camp from him as belog satisfied of the neceesity of dissolving this house, and calling a new periliment" Id. p. 81. Baxtor thinls the presbyterian ministers, together with Clarges and Morrior, torned Monlif resolution, and induced him to deciare for the Eing. Llfe, p. 2 This is a very platasible conjectare, thongh 1 incline to think Monts more dispowed that way by his own judgment or his wife's. But she was intluenced by the presbyteHan ciergy. They evidently deserved of Charles what they did not meet with.
$\therefore$ The royallsts began too acon with threatening speeches, which well-nigh frustrated their object. Id. 721, 722, 727. Curte's Letters, 318. Thurioe, 887. One Dr. Grtulih published a litcle book vindicating the late king in his war against the parliament, for which the ruling party ware ly no means ripe; and having justifiod it before the councll, wrat committed to the Gate-house, earis in April. Id. Ibid. These improdenose occoadioned the loing's declaraciun from Brede. Somers Tracts, vL. 682 Another also was pabilished, April 25, 1661, signed by several peers, taighta, divinet, see, of the royalist perty, digelaiming ast

England, though not so far implicated in past transactions as to have much to fear, seems never to have overcome his repugnance to the recall of the king; and I am by no means convinced that the slowness of Monk himself was not in some measure owing to his sense of the embarrassments that might attend that event. The presbyterians, generally speaking, had always been on their gaard against an unconditional restoration. They felt much more of hatred to the prevailing power than of attachment to the house of Stuart, and had no digposition to relinquish, either as to church or state government; those principles for which they had fought against Charles I. Hence they began, from the very time that they entered into the coalition (that is, the spring and summer of 1659), to talk of the treaty of Newport as if all that had passed since their vote of the 5th December, 1648, that the king's concessions were a sufficient ground whereon to proceed to the settlement of the kingdom, had been like a hideous dream, from which they had awakened to proceed szactly in their former course.' The council of state, appointed on the 23rd of February, two days after the return of the secluded members, consisted principally of this party. And there can, I conceive, be no question that, if Monk had continued his neatrality to the last, they would, in conjunction with the new parliament, have seni over propositions for the king's acceptance. Meetings were held of the chief presbyterian lords, Manchester,

[^182]lished by Carte. The klag' mgente ts England ovidenuly expected nothing better; and were, generilly cpealidg. much for his cocepting the proporitions "The presbyterian lurda," my dr Alkan Broderic to Hyde, " with many of whom I have eppoken, pretend thash abould tho kjog come in upon any speb insarrection, abected ty thowe of his own party, he world be more abeolate than bie futher Whas in ibe beight of his prerogntive Seay thanofore, ay they, till we are rendy; our numbers to added will abondently recoupense the delay, renderipy whan $\boldsymbol{m}^{4}$ now extremely doubtrol mornaly certato. and eutablishling his throne upon the troe bealia llberty and property." Juby 14. 1650. Our. Bxato Papers, atar.

Northumberland, Bedford, Say, with Pierpoint (who, finding it too late to prevent the king's return, endesvoured to render it as iittle dangerous as possible), Hollis, Annesley, sir William Waller, Lewis, and other leaders of that party. Monk sometimes attended or. these occasions, and always urged the most rigid limitations. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ His sincerity in this was the less suspected, that his wife, to whom he was notoriously submissive, was entirely presbyterian, though a friend to the king; and his own preference of that sect had always been declared in a more consistent and mequivocal manner than was usual to his dark temper.

These projected limitations, which but a few weeks before Charles would have thankfully accepted, seemed now intolerable; so rapidly do men learn, in the course of prosperous fortune, to scorn what they just before hardly presumed to expect. Those seemed his friends, not who desired to restore him, but who would do so at the least sacrifice of his power and pride. Several of the council, and others in high posts, sent word that they would resist the imposition of unreasonable terms." Monk himself redeemed his ambiguous and dilatory behaviour by taking the restoration, as it were, out of the hands of the council, and suggesting the judicious soheme of anticipating thoir proposals by the king's letter to the two houses of parliament. For this purpose he had managed, with all his dissembling pretences of commonwealth principles, or, when he was (as it wore)

[^183]compelled to lay them aside, of insisting on rigorous limitations, to prevent any overtures from the council, who were almost entirely presbyterian, before the meeting of parliament, which would have considerably embarrassed the king's affairs.' The elections meantime had taken a course which the faction now in power by no means regarded with satisfaction. Though the late house of commons had passed a resolution that no person who had assisted in any war against the parliament since 1642, unless he should since have manifested his good affection towards it, should be capable of being elected, yet this, even if it had been regarded, as it was not, by the people, would have been a feeble barrier against the royalist party, composed in a great measure of young men who had grown up under the commonwealth, and of those who, living in the parliamentary counties during the civil war, had paid a reluctant obedience to its power." The tide ran so strongly for the king's friends, that it was as much as the presbyterians could effect, with the weight of government in their hands, to obtain


#### Abstract

b "Monk came thls day (about the first week of Aprid) to the conncil, and mesured them that, notwlthstanding anl the appearnace of a general desire of kingly government, yet it was in nowiso his sense, and that he would spend the last drop of his blood to malntain the contrary." Extract of a letter from 'Thurive to Downing. Carte's Ietters, i. 322. "The councli of state are utteriy fgnorant of Monk's treating with the ling; and surely, as the present ternper of the conncil of state is now, and may pousibly bo also of the parifament, by reason of the pretbyterian influence apon both, I should think the finst chapman will tot be the worth who perhape will bot offer 80 good a rate in conjunction with the company an he may give to ongrose the commodity." Clar. T22, April 6. This sentence is a clue to all the intrigue. It is aid noon afterwards (p. 726, April 11) that the preebyterians were much troubled at the coures of the elections, whidh mado wome of the contaoll of atate again addreas themselves to Moak for his consent to propoeltilons they vurlid eand to the king; but be abooincots refucod, and said he would leave


all to a tree parliament, sa he had promised the nation. Yet, though the elections went as well at the roytilots could reasonably expect, Hyde wes ditmatusied that the ting wis mot restored without the intervention of the new parliament; and this may have been ous reason of his opleen agyinst Monk P. 726, 732.

- A proposed resolution, that those who had been on the tring's sidia, or their sonts, should be disabled from voting at eloctione, wat loat by 83 to 56, the lest offort of the expirigg lous parlitment Journals, 13th Mnrch The electors did not think themselves bound by this arbitrary exclusion of the carallern from parliament; everal of whom (hough not perhaps a great namber within ibe terms of tho resolution) were raturned. Massey, however, having gons down 0 stand for Gloncester, was put undor arrent by onder of the cotunctl of atate. Tharione. 887. Clarendun, who we himselt not Insenslble to that zind of superstition had fancied that anything doos at Gloucoster by Maseoy for the Hing's wen vice would matre a powerful impremion an tho peogla.
about an equality of strength with the cavaliers in the convention parliament. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

It has been a frequent reproach to the conductors of this great revolution, that the king was restored without those terms and limitations which might secure the nation against his abuse of their confidence; and this, not only by contemporaries who had suffered by the political and religious changes consequent on the Restoration, or those who, in after times, have written with some prepossession against the English church and conatitutional monarchy, but by the most temperate and reasonable men; so that it has become almost regular to cast on the convention parliament, and more especially on Monk, the imputation of having abandoned public liberty, and brought on, by their inconsiderate loyalty or self-interested treachery, the misgovernment of the last two Stuarts, and the necessity of their ultimate expulsion. But, as this is a very material part of our history, and those who pronounce upon it have not always a very distinct notion either of what was or what could have been done, it may be worth while to consider the matter somewhat more analytically; confining myself, it is to be observed, in the present chapter, to what took place before the king's personal assumption of the government on the 29th of May, 1660. The subsequent proceedings of the convention parliament fall within another period.

We may remark, in the first place, that the uncon ditional restoration of Charles II. is sometimes spoken of in too hyperbolical language, as if he had come in as a sort of conqueror, with the laws and liberties of the people at his discretion. Yet he was restored to nothing but the bounded prerogatives of a king of England; bounded by every ancient and modern statute, including those of the long parliament, which had been enacted for the subjects' security. If it be true, as I have elsewhere observed, that the long parliament, in the year 1641, had established, in its most essential parts, our existing constitution, it can hardly be maintained that

[^184]fresh limitations and additional securities were absolutely indispensable, before the most fundemental of all its principles, the government by king, lords, and commons, could be permitted to take its regular course. Those who so vehemently reprobats the want of conditions at the Resturation would do well to point out what conditions should have been imposed, and what mischiefa they can probably trace from their omission." They should be able also to prove that, in the circumstances of the time, it was quite as feasible and convenient to make certain secure and obligatory provisions the terms of the king's restoration as seems to be taken for granted.

The chief presbyterians appear to have considered the plan of treaty of Newport, if not as fit to be renewed reviving the treaty of Newport in every article, yet at least as the basis of the inerpedient 0 ppact il which they wore to entor with in this treaty from his father, in the hour of peril and necessity, fit to become the permanent rules of the English constitution? Turn to the articles prescribed by the long parliament in that negotiation. Not to mention the establishment of a rigorous presbytery in the church, they had insisted on the exclusive command of all forces by land and ses for twenty years, with the sole power of levying and expending the moneys neceesary for their support; on the nomination of the principal officers of state and of the judges during the same period; and on the exclusion of the king's adherents from all trust or political power. Admit even that the insincerity and arbitrary principles of Charles I. had rendered necessary such extraordinary precautions, was it to be supposed that the executive power should not revert to his successor? Better it were, beyond comparison, to maintain the perpetual exclusion of his family than to mock them with such a titular crown, the certain

[^185][^186]canse of discontent and intrigue, and tr mingle premature distrust with their professions of affection. There aras undoubtedly much io apprehend from the king's restoration; but it might be expected that a steady regard for public liberty in the parlisment and the sation would obviate that danger without any momentons change of the comstitution; or that, if such a sentiment should prove unhappily too weak, no guarantees of treaties or statutes world afford a genuine security.

If, however, we were to be convinced that the restoration was effected without a sufficient safegoard against the future abuses of royal power, we of fremive must still allow, on looting attentively at the comedivios circumstances, that there were very great difficulties in the way of any stipulations for that porpose. It must be evident that any formal treaty between Charles and the English government, as it stood in April, 1660, was inconsistent with their common principle. That government was, by its own declarations, only de facto, only temporary; the return of the sechuded members to their seats, and the votes they subsequently passed, held forth to the people that everything done since the force put on the house in December, 1648, was by an usurpation; the restoration of the ancient monarchy was implied in all recent measures, and was considered as out of all doubt by the whole kingdom. But between a king of Fingland and his subjects no treaty, as such, conld be binding; there was no possibility of entering into stipulations with Charles, though in exile, to which a court of justice would pay the slightest attention, except by means of acts of parliament. It was donbtless possible that the council of state might have entered into a secret agreement with him on certain terms, to be incorporated afverwards into bills, as at the treaty of Newport. But at that treaty his fathor, though in prison, was the acknowledged sovereign of England; and it is manifest that the ling's recognition must precede the ensctment of any law. It is equally obvious that the contracting parties wonld no longer be the same, and that the conditions that seemed indispensable to the council of state might not meet with the approbation of parliament. It might occur to an impatient people that the former were not invested with such legal or permanent antho-
rity as could give them any pretext for bargaining with the king, even in behalf of public liberty.

But, if the council of state, or even the parliament on its first meeting, had resolved to tender any hard propositions to the king, as the terms, if not of his recognition, yet of his being permitted to exercise the royal fnuctions, was there not a possibility that he might demur about their acceptance, that a negotiation might ensue to procure some abatement, that, in the interohange of couriers between London and Brussels, some weeks at least might be whiled away? Clarendon, we are sure, inflexible and uncompromising as to his master's honour, would have dissuaded such enormons sacrifices as had been exacted from the late king. And during this delay, while no legal authority would have subsisted, 60 that no officer could have collected the taxes or executed process without liability to punishment, in what a precarious state would the parliament have stood! On the one hand, the nation, almost maddened with the intoxication of reviving loyalty, and rather prone to cast at the king's feet the privileges and liberties it possessed than to demand fresh security for them, might insist upon his immediate return, and impair the authority of parliament. On the other hand, the army, desperately irreconcilable to the name of Stuart, and sullenly resenting the hypocrisy that had deluded them, though they knew no longer where to seek a leader, were accessible to the furious commonweslth's men, who, rushing as it were with lighted torches along their ranks, endeavoured to rekindle a fanaticism that had not quite consumed its fuel. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ The escape of Lambert from the I'ower had struck a panic into all the kingdom; some such accident might again furnish a rallying point for the disaffected, and plunge the country into an anfathomable abyes of confusion. Hence the motion of sir Matthow Hale, in the convention parliament, to appoint a committee who should draw up propositions to be sent over for the king's acceptance, does not appear to me well timed and expedient: nor can I censure Monk for having objected to it. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The business in hand required

[^187]greater despatch. If the king's restoration was an essential blessing, it was not to be thrown away in the debatea of a committee. A wary, scrupulous, conscientious English lawyer, like Hale, is always wanting in the rapidity and decision necessary for revolutions, though he may be highly useful in preventing them from going too far.

It is, I confess; more probable that the king would have accepted almost any conditions tendered to him; such at least would have been the ad(he conver vice of most of his counsellors; and his own this not conduct in Scotland was sufficient to show how blameable, little any sense of honour or dignity would have stood in his way. But on what grounds did his English friends, nay, some of the presbyterians themselves, advise his submission to the dictates of that party? It was in the expectation that the next free parliament, summoned by his own writ, would undo all this work of stipulation, and restore him to an unfettered prerogative. And this expectation there was every ground, from the temper of the nation, to entertain. Unless the convention parliament had bargained for its own perpetuity, or the privy council had been made immovable, or a military forcu independent of the crown had been kept up to overawe the people (all of them most unconstitutional and abominable usurpations), there was no possibility of maintaining the conditions, whatever they might have been, from the want of which so much mischief is fancied to have sprung. Evils did take place, dangers did arise, the liberties of England were once more impaired; but these are far less to be ascribed to the actors in the restoration than to the next parliament, and to the nation who chose it.

I must once more request the reader to take notice that I am not here concerned with the proceedings of the convention parliament after the king's retura to England, which in some respects appear to me censurable; but discussing the question, whether they were guilty of any fault in not tendering bills of limitation on the prerogative, as preliminary conditions of his restoration to the exercise of his lawful authority. And it will be found, upon a review of what took place in that interregnum from their meeting together on the 25th of April, 1660, to Charles's arrival in London on the 29th
of May, that they were less unmindful than has been sometimes supposed of provisions to secure the kingdom against the perils which had seemed to threaten it in the restoration.

On the 25th of April the commons met and elected Grimston, a moderate presbyterian, as their speaker, somewhat against the secret wish of the cavaliers, who, elated by their success in the elections, were beginning to aim at superiority, and to show a jealousy of their late allies.' On the same day the doors of the house of lords were found open; and ten peers, all of whom had sat in 1648, took their places as if nothing more than a common adjournment had passed in the interval. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ There was, however, a very delicate and embarrassing question that had boen much discussed in their private meetings. The object of these, as 1 have mentioned, was to impose terms on the king, and maintain the presbyterian ascendancy, But the peers of this party were far from numerous, and must be outvoted, if all the other lawful members of the house should be admitted to their privileges. Of these there were three classes. The first was of the peers who had come to their titles since the conclusion of the aivil war, and whom there was no colour of justice, nor any vote of the house, to exclude. To some of these accordingly they caused letters to be directed, and the others took their seats without objection on the 26th and 27th of April, on the latter of which days thirty-eight peers were present." ${ }^{\text {m }}$ The second class was of those who had joined Charles I., and had been exoluded from sitting in the house by votes of the long parliament. These it had been in contemplation among the presbyterian junto to keep out; but the glaring inconsistency of such a measure with the popular sentiment, and the strength that the first class had given to the royalist interest among the aristooracy, provented them from insisting on it. A third class consisted of those who had been created since the great seal was taken to York in 1642; some by the

[^188]late king, others by the present in exile; and these, so cording to the fundamental principle of the parliamentary side, were incapable of sitting in the house. It was probably one of the conditions on which some meant to insist, conformably to the articles of the treaty of Newport, that the new peers should be perpetually incapable : or even that none should in future have the right of voting without the concurrence of both houses of parlisment. An order was made therefore on May 4, that no lords created since 1642 should sit. This was vacated by a subsequent resolution of May 31.

A message was sent down to the commons on April 27, desiring a conference on the great affairs of the kingdom This was the first time that word had been used for more than eleven years. But the commons, in returning an answer to this message, still employed the word nation. It was determined that the conference should take place on the ensuing Tuesday, the first of May." In this conference there can be no doubt that the question of further securities against the pawer of the crown wouid have been discussed. But Monk, whether from conviction of their inexpedience or to atone for his ambiguous delay, had determined to prevent any encroachment on the prerogative. He caused the king's letter to the council of state and to the two houses of parliament to be delivered on that very day. A burst of enthusiastic joy testified their long-repressed wishes; and, when the conference toolk place the earl of Manchester was instructed to let the commons know that the lords "do own and declare

[^189][^190]that, according to the ancient and fundamental laws of this kingdom, the government is and ought to be by king, lords, and commons." On the same day the commons resolved to agree in this vote, and appointed a committee to report what pretended acts and ordinances were inconsistent with it. ${ }^{\circ}$

It is, however, so far from being true that this convention gave itself up to a blind confidence in the king, that their journals during the month of May bear witness to a considerable activity in furthering provisions which the circumstances appeared to require. They appointed a corminttee on May 3rd to consider of the king's letter and declaration, both holding forth, it will be remembered, all promises of indemnity, and everything that could tranquillize apprehension, and to propose bills accordingly, especially for taking away military tenures. One bill was brought into the house to secure lands purchased from the trustees of the late parliament; another, to establish ministers already settled in benefices; a third, for a general indemnity; a fourth, to take away tenures in chivalry and wardship; a fifth, to make void all grants of honour or estate made by the late or present king since May, 1642. Finally, on the very 29th of May, we find a bill read twice and committed, for the confirmation of privilege of parliament, Magna Charta, the Petition of Right, and other great constitutional statutes. ${ }^{p}$ These measures, though some of them wers never completed, proved that the restoration was not carried forward with so thoughtless a precipitancy and neglect of liberty as has been asserted.

There was undoubtedly one very important matter of
except in respect of the militin. past controversy which they may seem to have avoided, the power over the militia. They silently gave up that momentous question. Yet it wes become, in a practical sense, incomparably more important that the representatives of the commons should retain a control over the land forces of the nation than it had been at the commencement of the controversy. War and usurpation havl sown the dragon's teeth in our fields; and, instead of the peaceable trained bands of former ages, the citizen soldiers who could not be marched

[^191]leyond their counties, we hai a veteran army accustomed to tread upon the civil authority at the bidding of their superiors, and used alike to govern and obey. It seemed prodigiously dangerous to give up this weapon into the hands of our new sovereign. The experience of other countries as well as our own demonstrated that the pablic liberty could never be secure if a large standing army should be kept on foot, or any standing army without consent of parliament. But this salutary restriction the convention parliament did not think fit to propose; and in this respect I certainly consider them as having stopped short of adequate security. It is probable that the necessity of humouring Monk, whom it was their first vote to constitute general of all the forces in the three kingdoms, ${ }^{9}$ with the hope, which proved not vain, that the king himself would disband the present army, whereon he could so little rely, prevented any endeavour to establish the control of parliament over the military power till it was too late to withstand the violence of the cavaliers, who considered the absolute prerogative of the crown in that point the most fundamental article of their creed.

Of Monk himself it may, I think, be said that, if his conduct in this revolution was not that of a conduct of high-minded patriot, it did not deserve all the Monk reproach that has been so frequently thrown on it. No one can, without forfeiting all pretensions to have his own word believed, excuse his incomparable deceit and perjury; a masterpiece, no doubt, as it ought to be reckoned by those who set at nought the obligations of veracity in public transactions, of that wisdom which is not from above. But, in seconding the public wish for the king's restoration, a step which few perhaps can be so much in love with fanatical and tyrannous usurpation as to condemn, he seems to have used what influence he possessed -an influence by no means commanding-to render the new settlement as little injurious as possible to public and private interests. If he frustrated the scheme of

[^192]throwing the executive authority into the hands of a presbyterian oligarchy, I, for one, can see no great canse for censure; nor is it quite reasonable to expect that a soldier of fortune, inared to the exercise of arbitrary power, and exempt from the prevailing religious fanaticism which must be felt or despised, should have partaken a fervent zeal for liberty, as little congenial to his temperament as it was to his profession. He certainly did not satisfy the king, even in his first promisee of support, when he advised an absolute indemnity, and the preservation of actual interests in the lands of the crown and chorch. In the first debates on the bill of indemnity, when the case of the regicides came into discussion, he pressed for the smallest number of exceptions from pardon; and, though his conduct after the king's retarn displayed his accustomed prudence, it is evident that, if he had retained great influence in the council, which he assuredly did not, he would have maintained as mach as possible of the existing settlement in the church. The deepest stain on his memory is the production of Argyle's private letters on his trial in Scotland; nor indeed can Monk be regarded, upon the whole, as an estimable man, though his prodence and sucoess may entitle him, in the common acceptation of the word, to be reckoned a great one.

## 1 <br> CHAPTER XI.

## FROM THE RESTORATION OF CHARLES TEE SECOND TO THE <br> FALL OF THE CABAL ADMINISTRATIOX.
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Ir is universally acknowledged that no measure was ever more national, or has ever produced more testimonies of public approbation, than the restoration of Charles II. Nor can this be attributed to the usual fickleness of the multitude. For the late Popolur for government, whether under the parliament or surntion the protector, had never obtained the sanction of populat consent, nor could have subsisted for a day without the support of the army. The king's return seemed to the people the harbinger of a real liberty, instead of that
bastard commonwealth which had insulted them with its name-a liberty secure from enormous assessments, which, even when lawfully imposed, the English had always paid with reluctance, and from the insolent despotism of the soldiery. The young and lively looked forward to a release from the rigours of fanaticism, and were too ready to exchange that hypocritical austerity of the late times for a licentiousness and impiety that became characteristic of the present. In this tumult of exulting hope and joy there was much to excite anxious forebodings in calmer men; and it was by no means safe to pronounce that a change so generally demanded, and in most respects so expedient, could be effected without very serious sacrifices of public and particular interests.

Four subjects of great importance, and some of them

Procsedinga of the convention parlisment very difficult, occupied the convention parliament from the time of the king's return till their dissolution in the following December: a general indemnity and legal oblivion of all that had beon done amiss in the late interruption of government; an adjustment of the claims for reparation which the orown, the church, and private royalists had to prefer; a provision for the king's revenue, consistent with the abolition of military tenures; and the settlement of the church. These were in effect the articles of a sort of treaty between the king and the nation, without some legislative provisions as to which, no stable or tranquil course of law could be expected.

The king, in his well-known declaration from Breda, net of dated the 14th of April, had laid down, as indemnity. it were, certain bases of his restoration, as to some points which he knew to excite much apprehension in England. One of these was a free and general pardon to all his subjects, saving only such as should be excepted by parliament. It had always been the king's expectation, or at least that of his chancellor, that all
 the roglicidea
and ochern who had been immediately concerned in his father's death should be delivered up to punishment; "and, in the most unpropitious state of his fortunes, while making all professions of pardon and favour to different parties, he had constantly excepted

[^193]the regicides." Monk, however, had advised, in hil first messages to the king, that none. or at most not above four, should be excepted on this account; ${ }^{\circ}$ and the commons voted that not more than seven persons should lose the benefit of the indemanity both as to life and estate. Yet, wafter having named seven of the late king's judges, they proceeded in 8 few days to add several more, who had been concerned in managing his trial, or otherwise forward in promoting his death." They went on to pitch npon twenty persons, whom, on account of their deep concern in the transactions of the last twelve years, they determined to affect with penalties not extending to death, and to be determined by some future act of parliament.f As their passions grew warmer, and the wishes of the court became better known, they came to except from all benefit of the in. demnity such of the king's judges as had not renderec themselves to justice according to the late proclamation. In this state the bill of indemnity and oblivion was sent

CHar. State Papern, ili. 427, 529. In foct, very few of them were likely to ba of nse; and tho exception made in age neral offers appear more sinoure.
${ }^{\circ}$ Clar. Hist. of Rebellion, viL 46. Lodlow taye that Fadriax and Northumbertand were poaitively againot the pumishment of the regicides; vol. iti. p. 10; and that Mont vehemently decinred at frat against any exceptions, and afterweris prevalled on tha hoote to limit them to seven: p. 16. Though Jadlow was not in England, this coems very probeble, and is confirmed by other authority as to Moak Pairiax, who had et one dey himself on the ling's trial, could hurdly with decency concor in the panishment of thoee who went on.
d Journals, May 14

- June 5. 6, T. The frat seven wiro Ecoth, Holiand, ISile, Barlatead, Elarrimon, Say, Joaes. They went on to add Cube, Broughton, Dendy.

I'These were Lenthall, Vans, Barton, heble, St. John, Ireton, Henderig, Ssdenham, Deaborough, Axtell, Lambert, Pact, Illackwell, Fieetwood, Pyou, Dean, Greed, Nye, Goodinin, and Cobbet: mane of them rather insigalicant namea. Upon the wouls that *twenty and io more*
be eo exempted, two divisions toik place, 160 to 131, and 163 to 135 ; the preaby terian belng the madority: June 8. Two other divisiona took place cal the rames of Lenthall, carried by 215 to 128, and af Whaltolock, lost by 175 to 134 Amother motion wa made afterwanda againat Whicelock by Prynne. Miltom wes ompered to be prosecated exparately from the tweenty; so that they already broike their realation Eio wha put is custody of the serjeant-at-arms, and released, Deoember 17. Andrew Marvell, his frieod, ccon afterwards complained that fees to the amount of 250 pound. had been extorted from him; Dut Finch answered that Mitcoa had been Crompwell's socretary, and desarved hanging. Park. Hist. p. 162 Lenthnal had taken armo ahare in the restoration, and entered into correapondence with the ling's edviaers a little before Clar. Stite Papers, 1il. 711, $72 a$ Kennet's Register. 76\%. But the royaliste never could forgive hil having pat the quertion to the vote en the ordiannoe for trying the late king
E Jone 30. This was carried without a division. Bloven were aftorwarde bxcopted by name, st not havigg rendend themeelvea : July
up to the lords." But in that house the old royalists had a more decisive proponderance than among the commons. They voted to except all who had signed the deathwarrant against Charles I., or sat when sentence was pronounced, and five others by name, Hacker, Vane, Lambert, Haslerig, and Axtell. They struck out, on the other hand, the clause reserving Lenthall and the rest of the same class for future penalties. They made other alterations in the bill to render it more severe; ' and with these, after a pretty long dolay, and a positive message from the king, requesting them to hasten their proceedings (an irregularity to which they took no exception, and which in the eyes of the nation was justified by the circumstances), they returned the bill to the commons.

The vindiotive spirit displayed by the upper house was not agreeable to the better temper of the commons, where the presbyterian or moderate party retained great influence. Though the king's judges (such at least as had signed the death-warrant) were equally guilty, it was consonant to the practice of all humane governments to make a selection for capital penalties; and to put forty or fifty persons to death for that offence seemed a very sanguinary course of proceeding, and not likely to promote the conciliation and oblivion so much cried up. But there was a yet stronger objection to this severity. The king had published a proclamation, in a fow days after his landing, commanding his father's judges to render themselves up within fourteen days, on pain of being excepted from any pardon or indemnity, either as to their lives or estates. Many had voluntarily come in, having put an obvious construction on this proclamation. It seoms to admit of little question that the king's faith was pledged to those persons, and that no advantage could be taken of any ambiguity in the proclamation, without as real perfidiousness as if

[^194]the words had been more express. They were at least entitled to be set at liberty, and to have a reasonable time allowed for making their escape, if it were devermined to exclude them from the indemnity.
The commons were more mindful of the king's Discussions honour and thein own than his nearest ad- bousea onit visers. ${ }^{m}$ But the violent royalists were gaining ground among them, and it ended in a compromise. 'Ihey left Hacker and Axtell, who had been prominently concerned in the king's death, to their fate. They even admitted the exceptions of Vane and Lambert, contenting themselves with a joint address of both houses to the king, that, if they should be attainted, execution as to their lives might be remitted. Haslerig was saved on a division of 141 to 116, partly through the intercession of Monk, who had pledged his word to him. Most of the king's judges were entirely excepted; but with a proviso in favour of such as had surrendered according to the proclamation, that the sentence should not be executed without a special act of parliament." Others were reserved for penalties not extending to life, to be inflicted by a future act. About twenty enumerated persons, as well as those who had pronounced sentence of death in any of the late illegal high courts of justice, were rendered incapable of any civil or military office. Thus, after three months' delay, which had given room to distrust the boasted clemency and forgiveness of the victorious royalists, the act of indemnity was finally passed.

[^195][^196]Ten persons suffered death soon afterwards for the treention marder of Charles I .; and three more who of rogicidea had been seized in Holland, after a considerable lapse of time. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ There can be no reasonable ground for censuring either the king or the parliament for their punishment, except that Hugh Peters, though a very odious fanatic, was not so directly implicated in the king's death as many who escaped, and the execution of Scrope, who had surrendered under the proclamation, wos an inexcusable breach of faith.p But nothing can be more sophistical than to pretend that such men as Hollis and Annesley, who had been expelled from parliament by the violence of the same faction who put the king to death, were not to vote for their punishment, or to sit in judgment on them, because they had sided with the commons in the civil war. It is mentioned by many writers, and in the Joarnals, that when Mr. Lenthall, son of the late speaker, in the very first days of

[^197]In be eqpize of the lagis denth es bo thought

As to Eutchinson, be had certataly concarred in the restoration, having an extreme dibilise to the party who had turned out the partiomeat in Oct 1689, edpeciany Lambert. This may be Inferred from hls conduct, well at by what Indiow eays, and Kemont to hes Reglater, p. 169. His vilio youte as epeech Into his month at to his share to the Hag's death, mot abeolntety Justifying it, but, I euspect, etrongor than be ventured to nee. At lenet, thecommons voted that be shorid not be excepted from the todemnity, "an acoonnt of his dignil repentance," whlch conid hardly be prediatted of the iangrage whe acorlbet to himb Conpare Mre. Iutehinson's Memoirs, p. 80t, with Commoni' Jonrnaly, June 0.
9 Horeas Wappoia, in his Catalogie of Noble Authork, mas thought tit eo ompare both theew persons for thelr yreteoded incongistency, The case it however different as to Mont and Cooper; and porthpa it mav be thought that men of more dellcate eantimente than elither of these pomeend wound not neve sast opon the trial of thoee with whom they boll long profomed to act to concert, thongt innocent of their arima
the convention parliament, was led to say that those whe had levied war against the king were as blameable as those who had cut off his head, he received a reprimand from the chair, which the folly and dangerous consequence of his position well deserved; for such language, thongh it seems to have been used by him in extenuation of the regicides, was quite in the tone of the violent royalists. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

A question apparently far more difficult was that of restitution and redress. The crown lands, those of the church, the estates in certain instances of eminent royalists, had been sold by the suthority of the late usurpers, and that not

Restitution of crown and chnouh lands. at very low rates, considering the precariousness of the title. This naturally seemed a material obstacle to the restoration of ancient rights, especially in the case of ecclesiastical corporations, whommen are commonly less disposed to favour than private persons. The clergy themselves had never expected that their estates would revert to them in full propriety, and would probably have been contented, at the moment of the king's return, to grant easy leases to the purchasers. Nor were the house of commons, many of whom were interested in these sales, inclined to let in the former owners without conditions. A bill was accordingly brought into the house at the beginning of the session to confirm sales, or to give indemnity to the purchasers. I do not find its provisions more particularly stated. The zeal of the royalists soon caused the crown lands to be excepted.: But the house adhered to the principle of composition as to ecclesiastical property, and kept the bill a long time in debate. At the adjourmment in September the chancellor told them his majesty had thought much upon the business, and done much for the accommodation of many particular persons, and doubted not but that, before they met again, a good progress would be made,

[^198]so that the persons concerned would be much to blame if they received not full satisfaction, promising also to advise with some of the commons as to that settlement. These expressions indicate a design to take the mattar out of the hands of parliament. For it was Hyde's firm resolution to replace the church in the whole of its property, without any other regard to the actual possessors than the right owners should severally think it equitable to display. And this, as may be supposed, proved very small. No further steps were taken on the meeting of parliament after the adjournment; and by the dissolution the parties were left to the common course of law. The church, the crown, the dispossessed royalists, reentered triumphantly on their lands: there were no means of repelling the owners' claim, nor any satisfaction to be looked for by the purchasers under so defective a title. It must be owned that the facility with which this was accomplished is a striking testimony to the strength of the new government and the concurrence of the nation. This is the more remarkable, if it be true, as Ludlow informs us, that the chapter lands had been sold by the trustees appointed by parliament at the clear income of fifteen or seventeen years' purchase."

The gruat body, however, of the suffering cavaliers,
inecontent of the noyallsta who had compounded for their delinquency under the ordinances of the long parliament, or whose estates had been for a time in sequestration, found no remedy for these losses by any process of law. The act of indemnity put a stop to any suits they might have instituted against persons concerned in carrying these illegal ordinances into execution. They were compelled to put up with their poverty, having the additional mortification of seeing one class, namely, the clergy, who had been engaged in the same canse, not alike in their fortune, and many even of the vanquished republicans undisturbed in wealth which, directly or indirectly, they deemed acquired at thair

[^199]own expense. ${ }^{3}$ They called the statute an act of indemnity for the king's enemies, and of oblivion for his friends. They murmured at the ingratitude of Charles, as if he were bound to forfeit his honour and risk his throne for their sakes. They conceived a deep hatred of Clarendon, whose steady adherence to the great principles of the act of indemnity is the most honoarable act of his public life. And the discontent engendered by their disappointed hopes led to some part of the opposition afterwards experienced by the king, and still more certainly to the coalition against the minister.

No one cause had so eminently contributed to the dissensions betwoen the crown and parliament, in the two last reigns, as the disproportion setuement between the public revenues under a rapidly- revenas. increasing depreciation in the value of money, and the exigencies, at least on some occasions, of the administration. There could be no apology for the parsimonious reluctance of the commons to grant supplies, except the constitutional necessity of rendering them the condition of redress of grievances; and in the present circumstances, satisfied, as they seemed at least to be, with the securities they had obtained, and enamoured of their new sovereign, it was reasonable to make some further provision for the current expenditure. Yet this was to be meted out with such prudence as not to place him beyond the necessity of frequent recurrence to their aid. A committee was accordingly appointed "to consider of settling such a revenue on his majesty as may maintain the splendour and grandeur of his kingly office, and preserve the crown from want and from being undervalued by his neighloours." By their report it appeared that the revenue of Charles I. from 1637 to 1641 had

[^200]While those who atood up for the kwis were abandotad to the comfort of an inreparshte but bonourable ruin." Ile roviles the presbyterian ministars still in posesssion, and tellos the kdat that misplaced levity was his father'e rilin. Kemnet's Regiater, p. 23s, See, too, in Somen Tracts, viL $81 \%_{\text {, " The Humble }}$ Beprementetion of the Bad Condition of the King' Party: $\mathbf{A l o u}$ p. EEt.
amounted on an average to about 900,0001 ., of which full $200,000 l$. arose from sources either not warranted by law or no longer available.' The house resolved to raise the present king's income to $1,200,000$ l. per annum, a sum perhaps sufficient in those times for the ordinery charges of government. But the funds assigned to produce his revenue soon felt short of the parliament's calculation."

One ancient fountain that had poured its stream into

> Abolition of military tenures. Exclee granted Instomd. the royal treasury it was now determined to close up for ever. The feudal tenures had brought with them at the Conquest, or not long after, those incidents, as they were usually called, or emoluments of signiory, which remained after the military character of fiefs had been nearly effaced, especially the right of detaining the estates of minors holding in chivalry without accounting for the profits. This galling burthen, incomparably more ruinous to the tenant than beneficial to the lord, it had long been determined to remove. Charles, at the treaty of Newport, had consented to give it up for a fixed revenue of $100,000 \mathrm{l}$; and this was almost the only part of that ineffectual compact which the present parliament were anxious to complete. The king, though likely to lose much patronage and influence, and what passed with lawyers for a high attribute of his prerogative, could not decently refuse a commutation so evidently adventageous to the aristocracy. No great difference of opinion subsisting as to the expediency of taking away military tenures, it remained only to decide from what resources the commutation revenue should spring. Two schemes were suggested; the one, a permanent tax on lands held in ohivalry (which, as distinguished from those in soccage, were alone liable to the feudal burthens); the other, an excise on beer and some other liqtors. It is evident that the former was founded on a just principle, while the latter transferred a particular burthen

[^201]to the community. But the self-interest which so unhappily predominates even in representative assemblies, with the aid of the courtiers, who knew that an excise increasing with the riches of the country was far more desirable for the crown than a fixed land-tax, caused the former to be carried, though by the very small majority of two voices." Yet even thus, if the impoverishment of the gentry, and dilapidation of their estates through the detestable abuses of wardship, was, as cannot be doubted, very mischievous to the inferior classes, the whole community must be reckoned gainers by the arrangement, though it might have been conducted in a more equitable manner. The statute 12 Car. II. c. 24, takes away the court of wards, with all wardships and forfeitures for marriage by reason of tenure, all primer seisins and fines for alienation, aids, escuages, homages, and tenures by ohivalry without exception, save the honorary services of grand sergeanty; converting all such tenures into common soccage. The same statute abolishes those famous rights of purveyance and preemption, the fruitful theme of so many complaining parliaments; and this relief of the people from a general burthen may serve in some measure as an apology for the imposition of the excise. This act may be said to have wrought an important change in the spirit of our constitution, by reducing what is emphatically called the prerogative of the crown, and which, by its practical exhibition in these two veratious exercises of power, wardship and purveyance, kept up in the minds of the people a more distinct perception, as well as more awe, of the monarchy, than could be felt in later periois, when it has become, as it were, merged in the common course of law, and blended with the very complex mechanism of our institutions. This great innovation, however, is properly to be referred to the revolution of 1641, which put an end to the court of star-chambor, and suspended the feudal superiorities. Hence, with all the misconduct of the two last Stuarts, and all the tendency towards arbitrary power that their government often displayed, we must perceive that the constitution

[^202]had put on, in a very great degrea, its modern charactar during that pariod; the boumdaries of prerogative were better understood; its pretensions, at least in public, were less enormous; and not so many violent and oppressive, certainly not so many illegal, acts were committed towards individuals as under the two first of their family.

In fixing upon $1,200,000 \mathrm{~K}$ as a competent revenue for Army the crown, the commons tacitly gave it to be dimended understood that a regular military foroe was not among the necessities for which they meant to provide. They looked upon the army, notwithstanding ita recent services, with that apprehension and jealousy which became an English honse of commons. They were still supporting it by monthly assersmenis of $70,000 \mathrm{~K}$, and could gain no relief by the king's restoration till that charge came to an end. A bill therefore was sent up to the lords before their adjournment in September, providing money for disbanding the land forces. This was done during the recess: the soldiens received their arrears with many fair words of praise, and the nation saw itself, with delight and thankfulness to the king released from its heavy burthens and the dread of earvitude." Fet Charles had too much tnowledge of foreign combries, where monarchy flourished in all its plenitude of sovereign power ander the guardian sword of a standing army, to part readily with so favourite an instrunent of kings. Some of his comecillors, and especially the duke of York, dissuaded him from disbanding the army, or at least advised his supplying its place by another. The unsettled state of the kingdom after so momentors a revolution, the dangerons audacity of the fanatical party, whose enterprises were the more to be guarded against because they were founded on no such calculstion as reasonable men would form, and of which the insurrection of Venner in November, 1660, fornished an example, did undoubtedly appear a very plansithe excuse for something more of a military protection to the government than yeomen of the grard and gentlemen pensioners. General Monk's regiment, called the Coldstream, and one other of horse were accordingty

[^203]retained by the king in his service; another was formed out of troops brought from Dunkirk; and thus began, under the name of guards, the present regular army of Great Britain." In 1662 these amounted to about 5000 men; \& petty force-according to our present notions, or to the practice of other European monarchies in that age, yet sufficient to establish an alarming precedent, and to open a new source of contention between the supporters of power and those of freedom.

So little essential innovation had been effected by twenty years' interruption of the regular government in the common law or course of judicial proceedings, that, when the king and house of lords were restored to their places, little more seemed to be requisite than a change of names. But what was true of the state could not be applied to the church. The revolution there had gone much farther, and the questions of restoration and compromise were far more difficult.

It will be remembered that such of the clergy as steadily adhered to the episcopal constitution had been expelled from their benefices by the long parliament under various pretexts, and chiefly for refusing to take the covenant. The new establishment was nominally presbyterian. But the presbyterian discipline and syuodical government were very partially introduced; and, upon the whole, the church, during the suspension of the ancient laws, was rather an assemblage of congregations than a compact body, having little more unity than resulted from their common dependency on the temporal magistrate. In the time of Cromwell, who favoured the independent sectaries, some of that denomination obtained livings; but very few, I believe, comparatively, who had not received either episcopal or presbyterian ordination. The right of private patronage to benefices, and that of tithes, though continually menaced by the more violent party, subsisted without alteration. Meanwlile the episcopal ministers, though excluded from legal toleration along with papists, by the instrument of government under which Cromwell professed to hold his power, obtained, in general, a sufficient indulgence for the exer

[^204]cise of their function. Once, indeed, on discovery of the royglist conspiracy in 1655, he published a severe o-dinance, forbidding every ejected minister or fellow of a college to act as domestic chaplain or schoolmastar. But this was coupled with a promise to show as much tenderness as might consist with the safety of the nation towards such of the said persons as should give testimony of their good affection to the government; and, in point of fact, this ordinance was so far from being rigorously observed, that episcopalian conventicles were openly kept in London. ${ }^{\text {- }}$ Cromwell was of a really tolerant disposition, and there had perhaps, on the whole, been no period of equal duration wherein the catholics themselves suffered so little molestation as under the protectorate. ${ }^{\text {f }}$ It is well known that he permitted the settlement of Jews in England, after an exclasion of nearly three centuries, in spite of the denunciations of some bigoted churchmen and lawyers.

The presbyterian clergy, though co-operating in the

## Hopes of

 the prasbytorrans from the tiag king's restoration, experienced very just apprehensions of the church they had supplanted; and this was in fact one great motive of the restrictions that party was so anxious to impose on him. His character and sentiments were yet very imperfectly known in England; and much pains were taken on both sides, by short pamphlets, panegyrical or defamatory, to represent him as the best Englishman and best protestant of the age, or as one given up to profligacy and popery.' The oaricature likeness was,[^205][^206]we must now acknowledge, more true than the other: but at that time it was fair and natural to dwell on the more pleasing picture. The presbyterians remembered that he was what they called a covenanted king; that is, that, for the sake of the assistance of the Scots, he had submitted to all the obligations, and taken all the oaths, they thought fit to impose. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ But it was well known that, on the failure of those prospects, he had returned to the church of England, and that he was surrounded by its zealous adherents. Charles, in his declaration from Breda, promised to grant liberty of vonscience, so that no man should be disquieted or called in question for differences of opinion in matters of religion which do not disturb the peace of the kingdom, and to consent to such acts of parliament as should be offered to him for confirming that indulgence. But he was silent as to the church establishment; and the presbyterian ministers, who went over to present the congratulations of their body, met with civil language, but no sort of encouragement to expect any per sonal complianoe on the king's part with their mode of worship.'

The moderate party in the convention parliament, though not absolutely of the presbyterian interest, saw the danger of permitting an op- for a pressed body of churchmen to regain their compromise

shows that he was on the polnt of giviup hls new frlends the sljp ; as indeed be attempted coon after, in what was called the Start Laing, $3 i 1.463$.

I Several letters of Sharp, then in London, are published in Wodrow': 'History of the Church of Scoutland,' which I quote from Kennet'a Register. "I see clearly," he writes on June 10, "the general will not atand by the presbyterians; they talk of oloelng with moderate episcopacy for fear of woree." And on June 29, "All it wrong here as to charch affaire. Episcopacy will be ettled here to the helght; their lande will be all restored. None of the presbyterina way hare oppose this, but monn in secret." "The generality of tho peon ple are doting aftor prelacy and the ser-"vice-books" He found to his coat thit It was much othervise in Srotland2045. 1
superiority without some restraint. The actual incumbents of benefices were on the whole a respectable and even exemplary class, most of whom could not be reckoned answerable for the legal defects of their title. Brt the ëjected ministers of the Anglican church, who had endured for their attachment to its discipline and to the crown so many years of poverty and privation, stood in a still more favourable light, and had an evident claim to restoration. The commons accordingly, before the king's return, prepared a bill for confirming and restoring ministers, with the two-fold object of replacing in their benefices, but without their legal right to the intermediate profits, the episcopal clergy who by ejection or forced surrender had made way for intruders, and at the same time of establishing the possession, though originally usurped, of those against whom there was no claimant living to dispute it, as well as of those who had been presented on legal vacancies." This act did not pass without opposition from the cavaliers, who panted to retaliate the persecution that had afflicted their church. ${ }^{\text {m }}$

This legal security, however, for the enjoyment of their livinge gave no satisfaction to the scruples of conscientious men. The episcopal discipline, the Anglican liturgy and ceremonies, having never been abrogated by law, revived of course with the constitutional monarchy; and brought with them all the penalties that the act of uniformity and othor statutes had inflicted. The non-

[^207]conforming clergy threw themselves on the king's compassion, or gratitude, or policy, for relief. The independents, too irreconcilable to the eatablished church for any scheme of comprehension, looked only to that liberty of conscience which the king's declaration from Breda had held forth." But the presbyterians soothed themselves with hopes of retaining their benefices by some compromise with their adversaries. They had never, generally speaking, embraced the rigid principles of the Scottish clergy, and were willing to admit what they called a moderate episcopacy. They offered, accordingly, on the king's request to know their terms, a middle scheme, usually denominated Bishop Usher's Model; not as altogether approving it, but because they could not hope for anything nearer to their own views. This consisted, first, in the appointment of a suffragan bishop for each rural deanery, holding a monthly synod of the presbyters within his district; and, secondly, in an annual diocesan synod of suffragans and representatives of the presbyters, under the presidency of the bishop, and deciding upon all matters before them by plurality of suffrages. ${ }^{\circ}$ This is, I believe, considered by most competent judges as approaching more nearly than our own system to the usage of the primitive church, which gave considerable influence and superiority of rank to the bishop, without destroying the aristocratical character and co-ordinate jurisdiction of the ecclesiastical senate. ${ }^{p}$ It lessened also the incon-

[^208]nginat thembelve: : for, if the English constitution, or momething analogous to it, had been eatablished in the church, thelr sciveraaries would heve had all they now asked.

P Stillingieet's Irenicum. KIng's Inquiry Into the Conetstution of the Primituve Church. The former wort was pablisbied at this time, with aviow tomoderate the protensions of the Anglican party, to which the author belonged, by showing: 1. That there aro no eufficient date for determining with certainty the form of church government in the apostolical ago, or that which immediately followed it; 2. That, as far as we may probebly cons gecture, the primituve church whis framed on the model of the rynagogue; that is, a ayrol of prients in every congregetion,
veniences supposed to result from the great extent of some English dioceses. But, though such a system was inconsistent with that parity which the rigid presbyterians maintained to be indispensable, and those who espoused it are reckoned, in a theological division, among episcopalians, it was in the eyes of equally rigid chorchmen little better than a disguised presbytery, and a real subversion of the Anglican hierarchy. ${ }^{9}$

The presbyterian ministers, or rather a few eminent persons of that class, proceeded to solicit a revision of the litargy, and a consideration of the numerous objections which they made to certain passages, while they admitted the lawfulness of a prescribed form. They implored the king also to abolish, or at least not to enjoin as necessary, some of those ceremonies which they scrupled to use, and which in fact had been the original cause of their schism; the surplice, the cross in baptisma, the practice of kneeling at the communion, and one or two more. A tone of hamble supplication pervades all their language, which some might invidiously contrast with their unbending haughtinees in prosperity. The bishops and other Anglican divines, to whom their propositions were referred, met the offer of capitulation with a scornful and vindictive smile. They held out not the least overtare towards a compromise.


#### Abstract

having one of thetr own numbar for a chief or preaddent ; s. That there is no reason to consider any part of the apostolical diocipline as an invariable model for Attare ages, and that much of our own cocleainatical pollty cennot any way pro tend to primituve anthority ; \& That thle has been the opinlon of all the mont eminent theologien at boone and abroed; - That it wuald be expedient to introduce various modilications, not on the whole much different from the scheme of Uhher. Stillingfoeth whooe work bsa remartable inatence of extematre learning and matare Judgment at the age of aboet tweoty-three, thought at aftorwarda to retract it in a oertasin degree; and townda the lattor part of his life gave into more Migh-charch politues it is troe that the Irentcum mant have been compoeed with almost unparalleled rapidity for sach a wort: but it ahowe, at orr as I can Judges Do marte of precipleancy. The blogra-


phical writere patits pribitention to 150.8: but this must be a miatike; it conild not bave paceed the preat on the 24th of March, 1660, the titeat day which coald, eccording to the oid style, buve stimitted the dafe of 1659, is is contrina ahrogum to the king'e restontion.

9 Baxter't Lifo Neal. [The eptsoopailans, according to Baxter, were of two Hindes "ithe old common moderate tort," Who took eptecopecy to be good, but not necemeary, and on ned the other reformed to be true churcbes; and thong who foltowed Dr. Hammond, and were very few: their notion wa that preebyters in Bcripture meapt bishopa dxcloavely, and thay ent ande the reformed churches. But thom fow, ${ }^{\text {an }}$ by thelr parta and intareat in the mobiltity and Eentry, did earry it at lagt egoinst tha other party." Bueter's Hfo, part is p. 149-1845,

The king, however, deemed it expedient, during the continuance of a parliament the majority of whom were desirous of mion in the church, and had given some in: dications of their disposition,' to keep up the delusion a little longer and prevent the possible consequences of despair. He bad already appointed several presbyterian ministers his chaplains, and given them frequent audiences. But during the recess of parliament he published a declaration, wherein, after some compliments to the ministers of the presbyterian opinion, and an artful expression of satisfaction that he had found them no enemies to episcopacy or a liturgy, as they had been reported to be, he announces his intention to appoint a sufficient number of suffragan bishops in the larger dioceses; he promises that no bishop larger dioceses; he promises that no bishop declaration
should ordain or exercise any part of his spi- in favour ritual jurisdiction without advice and assist-

King's ance of his presbyters; that no chancellors or officials of the bishops should use any jurisdiction over the ministry, nor any archdeacon without the advice of a council of his clergy; that the dean and chapter of the diocese, together with an equal number of presbyters, annually chosen by the clergy, should be always advising and assisting at all ordinations, church censures, and other important acts of spiritual jurisdiction. He declared also that he would appoint an equal number of divines of both persuasions to revise the liturgy; desiring that in the mean time none would wholly lay it aside, yet promising that no one should be molested for not using it till it should be reviewed and reformed. With regard to ceremonies, he declared that none should be compelled to receive the sacrament kneeling, nor to use the cross in baptism, nor to bow at the name of Jesus, nor to wear the surplice except in the royal chapel and in cathedrals, nor should subscription to articles not doctrinal be required. He renewed also his declaration from Breda, that no man should be called in guestion for differences of religious opinion not disturbing the peace of the kingdom.*

[^209][^210]Though many of the presbyterian party deemed this modification of Anglican episcopacy a departure from their notions of an apostolic church, and inconsistent with their covenant, the majority would doubtless have scquiesced in so extensive a concession from the raling power. If faithfully executed according to its appasent meaning, it does not seem that the declaration falls very short of their own proposal, the scheme of Usher.' The high ohurchmen, indeed, would have murmured had it been made effectual. But such as were nearest the king's councils well knew that nothing else was intended by it than to scatter dust in men's eyes, and to prevent the interference of parliament. This was soon rendered manifest, when a bill to render the king's declaration effectual was vigorously opposed by the courtiers, and rejected on a second reading by 183 to 157." Nothing could more forcibly demonstrate an intention of breaking faith with the presbyterians than this vote. For the king's declaration was repugnant to the act of uniformity and many other statutes, so that it could not be carried into effect without the authority of parliament, unless by means of such a general dispensing power as no parliament would endure. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ And it is impossible to question

rentiy made obligstory, but bearing perhaps on the great point of controversy, whether the difference between the two were in orier or in degree. The ling woald not come into the scheme of consent; though they pressed hlm with a panage out of the Icon Basilike, where his father sllowed of it. Life of Baxter. 276. Some alterations, howtver, were made in consequance of their suggentions,
a Parl. Hist 141, 152 Clarendon, 78, mont trangely obeerver on thla, "Some of the leaders broaght a bill into the botev for the making that declaration a law, which was suitable to their other actis of Ingenulty to keep the charch for ever modor the eame indulgence and without any ettlement; which being quickly perceived, there wal no forther progrea in it." The bll was brought in by ar Matubow Hala
$\pi$ Collter, who of conre thinlat thlo decluration an eacroachment on the church 9 woll $m$ on the legialative. power, may, "For tible amon to wim
that a bill for confirming it would have easily passed through this house of commons had it not been for the resistance of the government.

Charles now dissolved the convention parliament, having obtained from it what was immediately nedessary, but well aware that he could better convention accomplish his objects with another.' It was dissolval. studiously inculcated by the royalist lawyers, that, as this assembly had not been summoned by the king's writ, none of its acts could have any real validity, except by the confirmation of a true parliament. This doctrine, being applicable to the act of indemnity, left the kingdom in a precarious condition till an undeniable security could be obtained, and rendered the dissolution
overiooked at the asolves and semand in several plecee in the country, where the disenting ministers were indicted for not conforming purruant to the lawisin force:" p. Bra. Neal confirme thic, bsb, and Keanet's Register, 374.
I [After the ling had concluded his own speect by giving the royal assent to many bills at the prorogation of the corrvention parimment, the lord chapcollor Hyds (not then a peer) requeated his majesty'a permiedion to addreas the two honsea, His apeech is long and eloquent, expresive of nothing but eatisfaction, end recommendiag harmony to all clamen One passage is eloquent eooogh to be extructed: "They are too much in love with Rengland, two partlal to it, who belleve it the best country in the wortd; there is a better earth, and a botter alf, and better, that is, a wamer cuin in other countries; but we are no mare than fost when we may that Eag. land is an enclosure of the best peopio in the world, when they are well informed and instructed ; a people, in sobriety of anpelense, the moot sevoted to God Almighty ; in the integrity of their affections, the mant datiful to the trag; In their good manners and inclinations, mant regirdfal and loving to the nobilty; no nobility in Europe so entrely beloved by the people; there may be more awo and fear of them, but no such respect towerds bem ${ }^{*}$ in England I beseech yoor lordebips do not andervalue thle love" loc. Part. Hist iv. ito-1846.]

[^211]almost necessary. Another parliament was called, of very different composition from the last. Possession and the standing ordinances against royalists had enabled the secluded members of 1648 , that is, the adherents of the long parliament, to stem with some degree of success the impetaous tide of loyalty in the last elections, and put them almost upon an equality with the court. But in the new assembly cavaliers and the sons of cavaliers entirely predominated; the great families, the ancient gentry, the episcopal clergy, resumed their influence; the presbyterians and sectarians feared to have their offences remembered; so that we may rather be surprised that about fifty br sixty who had belonged to the opposite side found places in such a parliament, than that its general complexion should be decidedly royalist. The presbyterian faction seemed to lie prostrate at the feet of those over whom they had so long triumphed, without any force of arms or civil convulsion, as if the king had been brought in against their will. Nor did the cavaliers fail to treat them as enemies to monarchy, though it was notorious that the restoration was chiefiy owing to their endeavours."

The new parliament gave the first proofs of their dis-

DIferent oumplexion of the new partiament position by voting that all their members should receive the sacrament on a certain day according to the rites of the church of England; and that the solomn league and corenant should be burned by the common hangman.b They excited still more serious alarm by an evident reluctance to confirm the late act of indemnity, which the king at the opening of the session had pressed upon their attention. Those who had suffered the sequestrations and other losses of a vanquished party could not endure to abandon what they reokoned a just reparation. But Clarendon adhered

[^212]with equal integrity and prudence to this fundamental principle of the Restoration; and, after a strong message from the king on the subject, the commons were content to let the bill pass with no new exceptions." They gave, indeed, some relizf to the ruined cavaliers by voting $60,000 l$. to be distributed among that class; but so inadequate a compensation did not assuage their discontents.

It has been mentioned above that the late house of 0 ommons had consented to the exception of condennaVane and Lambert from indemnity on the tion of Vane king's promise that they should not suffer death. They had lain in the Tower accordingly, without being brought to trial. The regicides who had come in under the proclamation were saved from capital punishment by the former act of indemnity. But the present parliament abhorred this lukewarm lenity. A bill was brought in for the execution of the king's judges in the Tower; and the attomey-general was requested to proceed against Vane and Lambert. The former was

[^213]lord Derty hed voluntarily sold before the restoration, in the very face of the act of indemnity, and agalnst all law and justice. Clarendon, who, together with some very respectablo peers, had protested againat thla measure in tbe upper boose, thought it his daty to recommend the ling to refuse bis awsent Londr' Joarnals, Feb. 6 and May 14, 1662 Thero fa so much to blame in both the minieter and his master, that it is bat fair to give them credit for that which the pardos. able prejudices of the fumily interested have led it to mistate.

- Commons Journala, 1st July, 1661. $\triangle$ dividion took place, November 9s, an a motion to liny this bill eside, in coneideration of the ring's prociemation; which wea loat by 124 to 100: lord Cornbory (Ciarendoarisoon) betng a teller for the Noes. The blll was eent op to the lorda Jan. 27, 1669. See also Parl. Hist 217. 225. Scme of their proceeding trespeased upoce the execultive power, and sefringed the pretogative they labx ured to exall. F at bong fitterruption of the tue cororse of the constitution had made ita boundaries inditinct. Thus, te the convention parliments, the bodles al Cromwell, Bradebaw, Ireton, and others
dropped in the house of lords; but those formidable chiefs of the commonwealth were brought to trial. Their indictments alleged as overt acts of high treason against Charles II. their exercise of civil and military functions under the usurping government; though not, as far as appears, expressly directed against the king's authority, and certainly not against his person. Under such an accusation many who had been the most earnest in the king's restoration might have stood at the bar. Thousands might apply to themselves, in the case of Vane, the beantiful expression of Mrs. Hutchinson, as to her husbandis feelings at the death of the regicides, that " he looked on himself as judged in their judgment, and executed in their execation." The atroke fell upon one, the reproach upon many.

The condemnation of sir Heary Vane was very quesIts th- tionable, even according to the letter of the jumbica law. It was plainly repugnant to its spirit. An excellent statute enacted under Henry VII., and deemed by some great writers to be only declaratory of the common law, but occasioned, no donbt, by some harsh judgments of tresson which had been pronounced during the late competition of the houses of Yort and Lancaster, assured a perfect indemnity to all persons obeying a king for the time being, however defective his title might come to be considered when another claimant should gain possession of the throne. It estar blished the duty of allegiance to the existing government upon a general principle; but in its terms it certainly presumed that government to be a monarchy. This furnished the judges upon the trial of Vane with a distinction of which they willingly availed themselves. They proceeded, however, beyond all bounds of constitutional precedents and of common sense when they determined that Charles II. had been king de facto as well as de jure from the moment of his father's death, though, in the words of their senselees sophistry, "kept

[^214]out of the exercise of his royal anthority by traitors and rebels." He had indeed assumed the title during his exile, and had granted letters patent for different purposes, which it was thought proper to hold good after his restoration;'thus presenting the strange anomaly, and as it were contradiction in terms, of a king who began to govern in the twelfth year of his reign. But this had not been the usage of former times. Edward IV., Richard III., Henry VII., had dated their instruments either from their proclamation or at least from some act of possession. The question was not whether a right to the crown descended according to the laws of inheritance, but whether such a right, divested of possession, could challenge allegiance as a bounden duty by the law of England. This is expressly determined in the negaive by lord Coke in his Third Institute, who maintains a king "that hath right, and is out of possession," not to be within the statute of treasons. He asserts also that a pardon granted by him would be void; which by purity of reasoning must extend to all his patents.' We may consider, therefore, the execution of Vane as one of the most reprehensible actions of this bad reign. It not oaly violated the assurance of indemnity, but introduced a principle of sanguinary proscription, which would ronder the return of what is called legitimate governnent, under any circumstances, an intolerable curse to anation.!

The king violated his promise by the execution of Yane, as much as the judges strained the law by his onviction. He had assured the last parliament, in alswer' to their address, that, if Vane and Lambert slould be attainted by law, he would not suffer the sentance to be executed. Though the present parliament hid urged the attorney-general to bring these delinqients to trial, they had never, by an address to the kng, given him a colour for retracting his promise of nercy. It is worthy of notice that Clarendon does not say a syllable about Vane's trial; which affords a strong pesumption that he thought it a breach of the act of indemnity. But we have on record a remarkable letter

[^215]of the king to his minister, wherein he expresses his resentment at Vane's bold demeanour during his trial, and intimates a wish for his death, though with some doubts whether it could be honourably done.s Doubts of such a nature never lasted long with this prince; and Vane suffered the week after. Lambert, whose submisivei behaviour had furnished a contrast with that of Vane, was, sent to Guernsey, and remained a prisoner for thirty years. The royalists have spoken of Vane with extreme dislike; yet it should be remembered that he was not only incorrupt, but disinterested, inflexible in conforming his publio conduct to his principles, and averse to every sanguinary or oppressive measure; qualities not very common in re volutionary chiefs, and which honourably distinguished him from the Lamberts and Haslerigs of his party. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

No time was lost, as might be expected from th

Acts replacing the crown in Its prerogatives. temper of the commons, in replacing the throno on its constitutional basis after the rude ercroachments of the long parliament. They df clared that there was no legislative power in either or both houses without the king; that the league and covenant was unlawfully imposed; that the sole supreme command of the militia, and of all forces if sea and land, had ever been by the laws of England the undoubted right of the crown; that neither house $\&$ parliament could protend to it, nor could lawfully lev any war offensive or defensive against his majesty These last words appeared to go to a dangerous length, and to sanction the suicidal doctrine of absolute norresistance. They made the law of high treason mox strict during the king's life in pursuance of a precedey

> 8 "The relation that has been made to me of str H . Vane's carriage yesteriay in the Hall is the occusion of this letter, which, if I am rightiy fuformed, was so Insotent as to jostify all he had done: acknowledging no mpreme power in Fangland but a parilament, and many thinge to that purpose. You have had a true accoant of all; and if be has given new occalion to be banged, certaiuly be in too dangerons a man to let live, if wo can boneetly put him out of the way. Tbink of this, and give me come accoums of It to-morrow ; till when, I have no more to any to forn C." Indorved in

Iord Clarendon's hand, "The tling Jub T, 160\%." Vane wre beheaded June 1 Barnet (note in Oxford edition), ip 16 Harrir's Lives, v. 82

1 Fanc gave up the protise of 1 place as treasurar of the navy, whic, eccording to his patent, would hat amonnted so $30,000 \mathrm{l}$, per annam, if may rely on Harris's Life of Conante p. 280.
i 13 Car. 2, 0.2 at 4 bill for 8 tiling the millits had been much oppoed it the convention parilament, as thadis to bring in martial law. Parl. Hish if 146. It neemen to bave dropped.
in the reign of Elizabeth." They restored the bishops to their seats in the house of lords; a step which the last parliament would never have been induced to take, but which met with little opposition from the present.' The violence that had attended their exclusion seemed a. sufficient motive for rescinding a statute so improperly obtained, even if the policy of maintaining the spiritual peers were somewhat doubtful. The remembrance of those tomultuous assemblages which had overawed their predecessors in the winter of 1641, and at other times, produced a law against disorderly petitions. This statute provides that no petition or address shall be presented to the king or either house of parliament by more than ten persons; nor shall any one procure above twenty persons to consent or set their hands to any petition for alteration of matters established by law in church or state, unless with the previous order of three justices of the county, or the major part of the graad jury. ${ }^{\text {m }}$

Thus far the new parliament might be said to have acted chiefly on a principle of repairing the corporation breaches recently made in our constitution, actand of re-establishing the just boundaries of the executive power; nor would much objection have been offered to their measures, had they gone no farther in the same course. The act for regulating corporations is much more questionable, and displayed a determination to exclude a considerable portion of the oommonity from their civil rights. It enjoined all magistrates and persons bearing offices of trust in corporations to swear that they believed it unlawful, on any pretence whatever, to take arms against the king, and that they abhorred the traitorous position of bearing arms by his authority against his person, or against those that are commissioned by him. They were also to renounce all obligation arising out of the osth called the solemn league and covenant; in case of refusal, to be immediately removed from office. Those elected in future were, in addition to the same oaths, to have receiver

## $\pm 01$.

IC.2 The onty oppodition mado to thle was in the house of loride by the earl of Bristol and some of the Roman catbolt.

[^216]the sacrament within one year before their election according to the rites of the English charch." These provisions struck at the heart of the presbyterian party, whose strength lay in the little oligarchies of corporate towns, which directly or indirectly returned to parliament a very large proportion of its members. Yet it racely happens that a political faction is crushed by the terrors of an oath. Many of the more rigid presbyterians refused the conditions imposed by this act; but the majority found pretexts for qualifying themselves.

It could not yet be said that this loyal assembly had

Repeal of
the trien. nlal act. meddled with those safeguards of public liberty which had been erected by their great predecessors in 1641. The laws that Falkland and Hampden had combined to provide, those bulwarks against the ancient exorbitance of prerogative, stood unsoathed; threatened from afar, but not yet betrayed by the garrison. But one of these, the bill for triennial parliaments, wounded the pride of royalty, and gave scandal to its worshippers; not so much on account of its object, as of the securities provided against its violation. If the king did not summon a frosh parliament within three years after a dissolution, the peers were to meet and issue writs of their own accord; if they did not within a certain time perform this duty, the sheriffs of every county were to take it on themselves; and, in default of all constituted authorities, the electors might assemble without any regular summons to choose representatives. It was manifest that the ling must have taken a fixed resolution to trample on a fundamental law, before these irregular tumultuous modes of redress could be called into action; and that the existence of such provisions could not in eny degree wreaken or endanger the legal and limited monarchy. But the dootrine of passive obedience had now orept from the homilies into the statute-book; the parliament had not scrupled to dealare the unlawfulness of defensive war

[^217]against the king's person; and it was but one step more to take away all direct means of countaracting his pleasure. Bills were accordingly more than once ordered to be brought in for repealing the triennial act; bat no further ateps were taken till the king thought it at length necessary in the year 1664 to give them an intimation of his desires. A vague notion hsd partially gained ground that no parliament, by virtue of that bill, could sit for more than three years. In allusion to this, he told them, on opening the session of 1664, that he " had often read over that bill; and, though there was no colour for the fancy of the determination of the parliament, yet he would not deny that he had always expected them to consider the wonderful clauses in that bill, which passed in a time very uncareful for the dignity of the crown or the security of the people. He requested them to look again at it. For himself, he loved parliaments; he was much beholden to them; he did not think the crown could ever be happy without frequent parliaments; "but assure yourselves," he concluded, "if I shonld think otherwises, I would never suffer a parliament to come together by the means prescribed by that bill."

So andacious a declaration, equivalent to an avowed design, in certain circumstances, of preventing the execution of the laws by force of arms, was never before heard from the lips of an English ling; and would in any other times have awakened a storm of indignation from the commons. They were, however, sufficiently compliant to pass a bill for the repeal of that which had been enacted with mnanimous consent in 1641, and had been hailed as the great palladium of constitutional monarchy. The preamble recites the said act to have been "in derogation of his majesty's just rights and prerogative inherent in the imperial crown of this realm for the calling and assembling of parliaments." The bill then repeals and annuls every clanse and article in the fullust manner; yet, with an inconsistency not unusual in our statates, adds a provision that parliaments shall not in fature be intermitted for above three years

[^218]at the most. This clanse is evidently framed in a different spirit from the original bill, and may be attributed to the influence of that party in the house which had begun to oppose the court, and already showed itself in considerable strength. 9 Thus the effect of this compromise was that the law of the long parliament sabsisted as to its principle, without those musual clanses which had been enacted to render its ohservance secare. The king assured them, in giving his assent to the repeal, that he would not be a day more without a parliament on that account. But the necessity of those securities, and the mischiefs of that false and servile loyalty which abrogated them, became manifest at the close of the present reign; nearly four years having elapsed between the dissolntion of Charles's last parliament and his death.

Clarendon, the principal adriser, as yet, of the king since his restoration (for Sonthampton rather gave reputation to the administration than took that superior influence which belonged to his place of treasnier), has thought fit to stigmatize the triennial bill with the epithet of infamous. So wholly had he divested himself of the sentiments he entertained at the beginning of the long parliament, that he sought nothing more ardently than to place the crown again in a condition to run into those abuses and excesses againgt which he had once 80 much inveighed. "He did never dissemble," he says, "from the time of his return with the king, that the late rebellion could never be extirpated and pulled up by the roots, till the king's regal and inherent power and prerogative should be fully avowed and vindicated, and till the usurpations in both houses of parliaments since the year 1640, were disclaimed and made chions; and many other exoesses, which had been affected by both before that time under the name of privileges, should be restrained or explained. For all which reformation the kingdom in general was very well dispoeed, when it pleased God to restore the king to it. The present parliament had done mach, and would willingly have

[^219]prosecuted the same method, if they had had the same advice and encouragement." ${ }^{\text {r }}$ I can only understand these words to mean that they might have been led to repeal other statutes of the long parliament, besides the triennial act, and that excluding the bishops from the house of peers; but, more especially, to restore the two great levers of prerogative, the courts of star-chamber and high commission. This would indeed have pulled up by the roots the work of the long parliament, which, in spite of such general reproach, still continued to shackle the revived monarchy. There had been some serious attempts at this in the house of lords during the session of 1661-2. We read in the Journals' that a coms mittee was appointed to prepare a bill for repealing ell aots made in the parliament begun the 3rd day of November, 1640, and for re-enacting such of them as should be thought fit. This committee some time after ${ }^{1}$ reported their opinion, "that it was fit for the good of the nation that there be a court of like nature to the late court called the star-chamber; but desired the advice and directions of the house in these particulars following: Who should be judges? What matters should they be judges of? By what manner of proceedings should they act?" The house, it is added, thought it not fit to give any particular directions therein, Star-chamber but left it to the committee to proceed as they ${ }^{\text {not restored }}$ would. It does not appear that anything farther was done in this session; but we find the bill of repeal revived next year." It is, however, only once mentioned. Perhaps it may be questionable whether, even amidst the fervid loyalty of 1661, the house of commons would have concurred in re-establishing the starchamber. They had taken marked precautions in passing an act for the restoration of ecclesiastical jurisdiction, that it should not be construed to restore the highcommission court, or to give validity to the canons of 1640, or to enlarge in any manner the ancient authority of the charch. ${ }^{x}$ A tribunal still more formidable and obnoxions would hardly have found favour with a body of men who, as their behaviour shortly demonstrated

[^220]might rather be taxed with passion and vindictivencss towards a hostile faction, than a deliberate willingness to abandon their Eaglish rights and privileges.

The striking characteristic of this parliament was a zealous and intolerant attachment to the established church, not losing an atom of their aversion to popery in their abhcrrence of protestant dissent. In every former parliament since the Reformation the comatry party (if I may use such a word, by anticipation, for those gentlemen of landed estates who owed their seats to their provincial importance, as distingaished from courtiers, lawyers, and dependents on the nobility) had incurred with rigid churchmen the reproach of puritanical affections. They were implacable against popery, but disposed to far more indulgence with respect to nonconformity than the very different maxims of Elizabeth and her uuccessors would permit. Yet it is obvious that the puritan commons of James I. and the highahurch commons of Charles II. were composed, in a great measure, of the same families, and entirely of the same classes. Bat, as the arrogance of the prelates had excited indignation, and the safferings of the scrupulous clergy begotten sympathy in one age, 80 the reversed scenes of the last twenty years had given to the former, or their adherents, the advantage of enduring oppression with humility and fortitude, and displayed in the latter, or at least many of their number, those odions and mavevolent qualities which adversity had either concealed or rendered less dangerous. The gentry, connected for the most part by birth or education with the episcopal clergy, could not for an instant hesitate between the ancient establishment and one composed of men whose eloquence in preaching was chiefly directed towards the common people, and presupposed a degree of enthusiasm in the hearer which the higher classes rarely possessed. They dreaded the wilder sectaries, foes to property, or at least to its political influence, as much as to the regal constitution; and not unnaturally, though without perfect fairness, confounded the presbyterian or moderate nonconformist in the motley crowd of fanatics, to many of whose tenets he at least mors approximated than the church of England minister.

Thare is every reason to presume, as I have alremay
remarked, that the king had no intention but to deceive the presbyterians and their friends in the con- Presbyvention parliament by his declaration of Oc - terions tober, 1660.5 He proceeded, after the dis- decaived solution of that assembly, to fill up the number by the of bishops, who had been reduced to nine, but with no forther mention of suffragans, or of the council of presbyters, which had been announced in that declaration.* It does indeed appear highly probable that the scheme of Usher would have been found inconvenient and even impracticable; and reflecting men would perhaps be apt to say that the usage of primitive antiquity, upon which all parties laid so much stress, was rather a presumptive argument against the adoption of any system of church-government, in circumstances so widely different, than in favour of it. But inconvenient and impracticable provisions carry with them their own remedy; and the king might have respected his own word, and

[^221]larity of the blshopa though himself en episcopalinn even bofore the restomation. "The clergy are to high that all people I meet with do protent against their prictioe" August 31, 1660. ${ }^{31}$ am convinced in my fodgment thet the present clergy will never heartily go down with the geperality of the commons of Frogind; they have been so used to Iberty and freedom, and they are $0080-$ quainted with the pride and debauchery of the present clergy. He [Mr. Blackburn, sonconformist] did give me many stories of the atronts which the clergy recatvo in sll partil of Bingiand from the gentry and ordinary persons of the parish." November 9,1663 . The opposite party had recourse to the old weapons of plous fruad. I havo a tract contalning twenty-weven instances of remartable judgments, all between June, 1660, and April, 1661, Which befoll divers persons for reeding the common prayer or reviling godly ministers. Thit is entitied Annus Mirabilis; and, besidea the above twents-erven, attests 00 many prodigies, that the name in by no means misapplied. The bishope made lerie fortune by tiling up leasen Bornet, 260. And Clarendon admita them is have been too rapecions, thongh he tries to matenatize, P4.
the wishes of a large part of the church, without any formidable danger to episcopal authority. It would have been, however, too flagrant a breach of promise (and yet hardly greater than that just mentioned) if some show had not been made of desiring a reconciliation on the subordinate details of religious ceremonies savog con- and the liturgy. This produced a conference ference. held at the Savoy, in May, 1661, between twenty-one Anglican and as many presbyterian divines: the latter were called upon to propose their objections; it being the part of the others to defend. They brought forward so long a list as seemed to raise little hope of agreement. Some of these objections to the service, as may be imagined, were rather captious and hypercritical ; yet in many cases they pointed out real defects. As to ceromonies, they dwelt on the same scruples as had from the beginning of Elizabeth's reign produced so unhappy a discordance, and had become inveterate by so much persecation. The conference was managed with great mutual bitterness and recrimination; the one party stimulated by vindictive hatred and the natural arrogance of power; the other irritated by the manifest design of breaking the king's faith, and probably by a sense of their own improvidence in ruining themselves by his restoration. The chief blame, it cannot be dissembled, ought to fall on the churchmen. An opportunity was afforded of healing, in a very great measure, that schism and separation which, if they are to be believed, is one of the worst evils that can befall a Christian community. They had it in their power to retain, or to expel, a vast number of worthy and laborious ministers of the gospel, with whom they had, in their own estimation, no essential ground of difference. They knew the king, and consequently themselves, to have been restored with (I might almost say by) the strenuous co-operation of those very men who were now at their mercy. To judge by the rules of moral wisdom or of the spirit of Christianity (to which, notwithstand ing what might be satirically said of experience, it is difficult not to think we have a right to expect that a body of ecolesiastios should pay some attention), there oan be no justifioation for the Anglican party on this occasion. They have certainly one apology, the best
very frequently that can be offered for human infirmity; they had sustained a long and anjust exclusion from the emoluments of their profession, which begot a natural dislive towands, the members of the sect that had profited at their expense, though not, in general, personally responsible for their misfortunes.*

The Savoy conference broke up in anger, each party more exasperated and more irreconcilable than before. This indeed has been the usual consequence of attempts to bring men to an understanding on religious differences by explanation or compromise. The public was apt to expect too much from these discussions; unwilling to believe either that those who have a reputation for piety can be wanting in desire to find the truth, or that those who are esteemed for ability can miss it. And this expectation is heightened by the language rather too strongly held by moderate and peaceable divines, that little more is required than an understanding of each other's meaning, to umite conflicting sects in a common faith. But as it generally happens that the disputes of theologians, though far from being so important as they appear to the narrow prejudices and heated passions of the combatants, are not wholly nominal, or capable of being reduced to a common form of words, the hopes of union and settlement vanish apon that closer inquiry which conferences and schemes of agreement produce.


#### Abstract

Tive fillest account of this acafien  preberalion of the prestyteriana, is to be read in Barter, whom Neal has abridend some allownance more, of courrse, be made for the reseptoment of Baxter; bat his Brown integoity melcen it impontille to discredit the anoin part of his narrition. Nor is it necenary to rext on the evidence of thoee who may be expposed to have the profodices of dissenter For bibhop Burset admite that all the oancern which vecmed to employ the preintes minds wis not ondy tor make no alteration on the probbyterians' accoenth, bot to straitea the terms of conformity far more then betore the war. Thowe, however, who woold wee Fhat an be gaid by milters of midbehurch principlea, may consolt Kebet'e Himtory of Churles IL p. 252, or Diller, $p$ art One litic aneciote mey
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serve to display the aplrit with which the Anglicans came to the conference. Upon Barter agying that their proceeding wrold allerate a great part of the nation, Stearne, Bishop of Carisie, observed to
 lest he zhould actnowriedge a king." Bartir, p 338 This was a very mialigmant refiection on a man who tras well boorn gever to have been of the repabfican party. It fotree that Finuter seema to have thoaght, in 1659, that Bicberd Crammell wrould have served the tam better than Chartes Scrart; and, an a presionterinn, be thought very righaly. See p. 307, and part iii p. 71. Bat, prewching before the perimment April so, 1660, he mad it wrat moter of carr differnaces whethor we shoold be toyal to onr tringi on that all were agreed B घย.

And though this may seem rather applicable to spectrlative controversies than to such matters as were debated between the church and the presbyterians at the Savoy conference, and which are in their nature more capable of compromise then articles of doctrine, yet the consequence of exhibiting the incompatibility and reciprocal alienation of the two parties in a clearer light was nearly the same.

- A determination having been taken to admit of no extensive comprehension, it was debated by the government whether to make a few alterations in the liturgy, or to restore the ancient service in every particular. The former advice prevailed, though with no desire or expectation of conciliating any scrupulous persons by the amendments introduced." These were by no means numerous, and in some instances rather chosen in order to irritate and mock the opposite party than from any compliance with their prejudices. It is indeed very probable, from the temper of the new parliament, that they would not have come into more tolerant and healing Ant of measures. When the act of uniformity was nulformity. brought into the house of lords, it was found mot only to restore all the ceremonies and other matters to which objection had been taken, but to contain fresh clanses more intolerable than the rest to the presbyterian clergy. One of these enacted that not only every beneficed minister, but fellow of a college, or even schoolmaster, should declare his unfeigned assent and consent to all and everything contained in the book of common prayer.: These words, however capable of being eluded and explained away, as suoh subscriptions always are,

[^222]seemed to amount, in common use of langrage, to a complete approbation of an entire volume, such as a man of sense hardly gives to any book, and which, at a time when scrupulous persons were with great difficulty endeavouring to reconcile themselves to submission, placed a new stumblingblock in their. way, which, without abandoning their integrity, they found it impossible to surmount.

The temper of those who chiefly managed church affairs at this period displayed itself in another innovation tending to the same end. It had been not unusual from the very beginnings of our Reformation to admit ministers ordained in foreign protestant churches to benefices in England. No re-ordination had ever been practised with respect to those who had received the imposition of hands in a regular church; and hence it appears that the ohurch of England, whatever tenets might latterly have been broached in controversy, did not consider the ordination of presbyters invalid. Though such ordinations as had taken place during the late troubles, and by virtue of which a great part of the actual clergy were in possession, were evidently irregular, on the supposition that the English episcopal church was then in existence, yet, if the argument from such great convenience as men call necessity was to prevail, it was surely worth while to suffer them to pass without question for the present, enacting provisions, if such were required, for the future. But this did not fall in with the passion and policy of the bishops, who found a pretext for their worldly motives of action in the supposed divine right and necessity of episcopal succession; a theory naturally more agreeable to arrogant and dogmatical ecclesiastics than that of Cranmer, who saw no intrinsic difference between bishops and priests; or of Hooker, who thought ecclesiastical superiorities, like civil, subject to variation : or of Stillingfleet, who had lately pointed out the impossibility of ascertaining with clearness the real constitution of the apostolical church from the inconclusive testimonies that either Soripture or antiquity furnishes. It was therefore enected in the statute for uniformity that no person should hold any preferment in England without having received episcopal ordination. There seems to be little or no objection
to this provision, if ordination be considered as a ceremony of admission into a partioular society; but, according to the theories which both parties had embraced in that age, it conferred a sort of mysterious indelible character, which rendered its repetition improper. ${ }^{\text {d }}$

The new act of uniformity succeeded to the utmost

Eljection
of nonconformiat clargs. wishes of its promoters. It provided that every minister should, before the feast of St. Bartholomew, 1662, publicly declare his assent and consent to everything contained in the book of oommon prayer, on pain of being ipso facto deprived of his benefice:- Though even the long parliament had reserved a fifth of the profits to those who were rejected for refusing the covenant, no mercy could be obtained from the still greater bigotry of the present; and a motion to make that allowance to nonconforming ministers was lost by 94 to 87. The lords had shown a more temperate spirit, and made several alterations of a conciliating nature. They objected to extending the subscription required by the act to schoolmasters. But the commons arged in a conference the force of education, which made it necessary to take care for the youth. The upper house even inserted a proviso, allowing the king

[^223]to dispense with the surplice and the sign of the cross but the commons resolutely withstanding this and every other alteration, they wexe all given up. ${ }^{6}$ Yet, next year, when it was found necessary to pass an act for the relief of those who had been prevented involuntarily from subscribing the declaration in due time, a clause was introduced declaring that the assent and consent to the book of common prayer required by the said act should be understood only as to practics and obedience, and not otherwise. The duke of York and twelive lay peers protested against this clause, as destructive to the church of England as now established; and the commons vehemently objecting to it, the partisans of moderate counsels gave way as before. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ When the day of St. Bartholomew came, about 2000 persons resigned their preferments rather than stain their consciences by com-pliance-an act to which the more liberal Anglicans, after the bitterness of immediate passions had passed sway, have accorded that praise which is due to heroic virtue in an enemy. It may justly be said that the episcopal clergy had set an example of similar magna nimity in refusing to take the covenant. Yet, as that was partly of a political nature, and those who were ejected for not taking it might hope to be restored through the success of the king's arms, I do not know that it was altogether $s 0$ eminent an act of self-devotion as the presbyterian clergy displayed on St. Bartholomew's day. Both of them afford striking contrasts to the pliancy of the English church in the greater question of the preceding century, and bear wituess to a remarkable integrity and consistency of principle. ${ }^{1}$

[^224]or 2000. Life, 384. And it has generally been reckoned about 2000 ; though Burnet mins it bas been moch controverted. It indeed wa can rely on Calamy's acconat of the ejected ministers, abridged by Palner, under the title of the Nanconformist'e Memorial, the number mant have been foll 2400 , facinding fellows of colleges, though not in orders Patmer enys that a mannscript catalogue given 2257 names Kennet, however (Register, 80t). notices great mistaken of Calumy In respect only to ono diovese, that of Peterborough. Probably both in thls collection and th that of Walker on

No one who has any sense of honesty and plain dealing can pretend that Charlas did not violate the spirit of his declarations, both that from Breda and that which he published in October, 1660. It is idle to say that those declarations were subject to the decision of parliament, as if the crown had no sort of influence in that assembly, nor even any means of making its inclinations known. He had urged them to confirm the act of indemnity, wherein he thought his honour and security concerned: was it less easy to obtain, or at least to ask for, their concurrence in a comprehension or toleration of the presbyterian clergy? Yet, after mocking those persons with pretended favour, and even offering bishoprios to some of their number by way of purchasing their defection, the king made no effort to mitigate the provisions of the act of uniformity; and Clarendon strenuously supported them through both honses of parliament. ${ }^{k}$ This behaviour in the minister sprang from real bigotry and dislike of the presbyterians; but Charles was influenced by a very different motive, which had become the secret spring of all his policy. This requires to be fully explained.

Charles, during his misfortunes, had made repeated
Hopes of
the eathopromises to the pope and the great catholio princes of relaxing the penal laws against his subjects of that religion-promises which he well knew to be the necessary condition of their assistance. And, though he never received any succour which could demand the performance of these assurances, his desire to stand well with Franoe and Spain, as well as a sense of what was really due to the English catholics, would have disposed him to grant every indulgence which the temper of his people should permit. The laws were highly severe, in some cases sanguinary; they were enacted in very different times, from plausible motives of distrust, which it would be now both absurd and ungrateful to retain. The oatholics had been the most strenuous of the late king's adherents, the greatest suf-

[^225]ferers for their loyalty. Out of about five handred gex. tlemen who lost their lives in the royal cause, one-third, it has been said, were of that religion." Their estates had been selected for confiscation when others had been admitted to compound. It is, however, certain that after the conclusion of the war, and especially during the usurpation of Cromwell, they declined in general to provoke a government which showed a good deal of connivance towards their religion, by keeping up any connexion with the exiled family." They had, as was surely very natural, one paramount object in their politioal conduct, the enjoyment of religious liberty; whatever debt of gratitude they might have owed to Charles I. had been amply paid; and perhaps they might reflect that he never scrupled, in his various negotiations with the parliament, to acquiesce in any proscriptive measures suggested against popery. This apparent abandonment, however, of the royal interests excited the displeasure of Clarendon, which was increased by a tendency some of the catholics ahowed to unite with Lambert, who was understood to be privately of their religion, and by an intrigue carxied on in 1659, by the machinations of Buckingham with some priests, to set up the duke of York for the crown. But the king retained no resentment of the general conduct of this party; and was desirous to give them a testimony of his confidence by mitigating the penal laws against their religion. Some steps were taken towards this by the house of lords in the session of 1661; and there seems little doubt that the statutes at least inflicting capital punishments would have been repealed without difficulty, if the catholics had not lost the favourable moment by some disunion among themselves, which the never-ceasing intrigues of the Jesuits contrived to produce. ${ }^{\circ}$

[^226][^227]There can be no sort of doubt that the king's natural tacility, and exemption from all prejudice in Bias of the favour of established laws, would have led him king lowerts favour of estabished aws, would have led him manded to his catholic subjects, many of whom were his companions or his counsellors, withont any propensity towards their religion. But it is morally certain that during the period of his baxishment he had imbibed, as deeply and seriously as the character of his mind would permit, a persoasion that, if any scheme of Christianity were true, it could only be found in the bosom of an infallible church; though he was never reconciled, according to the formal profession which she exacts, till the last hours of his life. The secret, however, of his inclinations, though disguised to the world by the appearance, and probably sometimes more than the appearance, of carelessmess and infidelity, could not be wholly concealed from his court. It appears the most natural mode of accounting for the sudden conversion of the earl of Bristol to popery, which is generally agreed to have been insincere. An ambitious intriguer, holding the post of secretary of state, would not have ventured such a step without some grounds of confidence in his master's wishes ; though his characteristic precipitancy hurried him forward to destroy his own hopes. Nor are there wanting proofs that the protestantism of both the brothers was greatly suspected in England before the Restoration. ${ }^{\text {p }}$ These suspicions acquired strength after the king's return, through his manifest intention not to
cular priesta, had long ceased to makso
oblection. The honse had voted that the
Indulgence mhould not oxtend to Jesuition
and that they would not alter the oatha
of allegiance or anpremacy. The Jexuits
complained of the distinction talken
againgt them; and amerted, is a printed
trect (Kennet, ubl supth), that since 1818
they had been inhlbited by their soperion
from maintating the pope's right to de-
powe novereigne See alo Batler's Mem.
of Catholics, 11. 27. Iv. 149; ad Burnet,

1. 184. 

P The enapicions agdinat Charlea चero
very strong in England before the ren
atoration, 00 an to alam his embaries;
"Your mutter," Mordaunt wites to
Ormond. Nor. 10, 1659, whe utteris
rolned as to his interest here in whatever party, if this be truc." Carte's Letters, il. 284, and Ctar. Saite Pupers, ith, toa. But as anecdote releted in Cartera Life of Ormond, if. 255, and Xiarriala Lives, T. ES. Which hea obeained mone credti, proves, if trae, that be had embroced the Boman cathotio religion an early as 1859, to as even to attend mona. This cannot ber reckoned out of queatiab; bat the tendency of the tingis mind ber fore hia retam to Eagland ts to be inforred from all hit behaviour. Ketanet (Cumplete Hixt of Kingland, till 2at) plainly indauate that the prufect ion restoring popery beran at the treaty of the Pyronees; and weo his Rygister, p. 862
murry a protestant; and still more through the pro sumptuous demeanour of the -opposite party, which seemed to indicate some surer grounds of ounfidence than were yet manifest. The new parliament in its first. session had made it penal to say that the king was a papist or popishly affected; whence the prevalence of that scandal may be inferred. 9

Charles had no assistance to expect, in bis scheme of granting a full toleration to the Roman faith, from his chief adviser Clarendon. A repeal of the sanguinary laws, a reasonable connivance, parhaps in some cases a dispensation-to these

Reslated by Clarendon and the purllament. favours he would bave acceded. But in his creed of policy the legal allowance of any but the established religion was inconsistent with public order, and with the king's ecclesiastical prerogative. This was also a fixed principle with the parliament, whose implacable resentment towards the sectaries had not inclined them to abate in the least of their abhorrence and apprehension of popery. The charch of England, distinctly and exclusively, was their rallying-point; the crown itself stood only second in their affections. The king, there fore, had recourse to a more subtle and indirect policy. If the terms of conformity had been so far relaxed as to suffer the continuance of the presbyterian clergy in their benefices, there was every reason to expect, from their known disposition, a determined hostility to all approaches towards popery, and even to its toleration. It was therefore the policy of those who had the interests of that cause at heart to permit no deviation from the act of uniformity, to resist all endeavours at a compreheusion of dissenters within the pale of the church, and to make them look up to the king for indulgence in their separate way of worship. They were to be taught thatamenable to the same laws as the Romanists, exposed to the oppression of the same enemies, they must act in sonoert for a common benefit. The presbyterian ministers, disheartened at the violence of the parliament, had recourse to Charles, whose affability and fair promises thoy were loth to distrust, and implored his dispensation for their nonconfurmity. The king, naturally irresolute,

[^228]and duubtleas sensible that he had made a bad return to those who had contributed so much towards his restormtion, was induced, at the strong solicitation of lord Manchester, to promise that he woold issue a declaration suspending the execution of the statate for three months. Clarendon, though he had been averse to some of the rigorous clanses inserted in the aot of uniformity, was of opinion that, once passed, it ought to be enforced without any connivance; and told the king, likewise, that it was not in his power to preserve those who did not comply with it from deprivation. Yet, as the king's word had been given, he advised him rather to issue sach a declaration than to break his promise. But, the bishops vehemently remonstrating against it, and intimating that they would not be parties to a violation of the law by refusing to institate a clerk presented by the patron on an aroidance for want of conformity in the incumbent, the ling gave way, and resolved to make no kind of concession. It is remarkable that the noble historian doea not seem struck at the enormous and unconstitutional prerogetive which a proclamstion suspending the statute would have assumed.'

Instead of this very objectionsble measure the king adopted one less arbitrary, and more conso-

Dechartion for Indalcepos. nant to his own secret policy. He pablished a declaration in favour of liberty of conscience, for which no provision had been made, so as to redeem the promises he had held forth at his accession. Adverting to these, he declared that, "as in the first place he had been zealous to settle the uniformity of the church of England in disoipline, ceremony, and government, and should ever constantly maintain it, so, as for what concerns the penalties upon those who, living peaceably, do not conform themselves thereto, he should make it his apecial care, 80 far as in him lay without invading the freedom of parliamant, to incline their wisdom next approsching sessions to concur with him in making some such act for that purpose as may enable him to exercise with a more universal satisfaction that

[^229]power of dispensing which he conceived to be inherent in him." "

The aim of this declaration was to obtain from parligi ment a mitigation at least of all penal statutes in matters of religion, but more to serve the interests of catholic than of protestant nonconformity." 'Except, however, the allusion to the dispensing power, which yet is very moderately alleged, there was nothing in it, aecording to our present opinions, that should have created offence: But the commons, on their meeting in $\mathrm{Fe}-$ bruary, 1663, presented an address denying ojplected that any obligation lay on the king by virtue ammanic. of his declaration from Breda, which must be understood to depend on the advice of parliament, and slightly intimating that he possessed no such dispensing prerogative as was suggested. They strongly objected to the whole scheme of indulgence, as the means of inoreasing sectaries, and rather likely to occasion disturbance than to promote peace.* They remonstrated, in another address, against the release of Calamy, an eminent dissenter, who, having been imprisoned for transgressing the act of uniformity, was irregularly set at liberty by the king's personal order. 'The king, undeceived as to the disposition of this loyal assembly to conour in his projeote of religious liberty, was driven to more tedious and indirect courses in order to compass his end. He had the mortification of finding that the house of commons had imbibed, partly perhaps in consequence of this declaration, that jealous apprehension of popery which had osused so much of his father's ill fortune. On this topic the watchfulness of an English parliament could never be long at rest. The notorious insolence of the Romish priests, who, proud of the court's favour, dis-

[^230][^231]dained to respect the laws enough to disguise themselves, provoked an address to the king that they might be sent out of the kingdom; and bills were brought in to prevent the further growth of popery. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Meanwhile, the same remedy, so infallible in the oyes of legislators, was not forgutten to be applied to the opposite disease of protestant dissent. Some had believed, of whom Clarendon seems to have been, that; all scruples of tender conscience in the presbyterian clergy being faction and hypocrisy, they would submit very quietly to the law, when they found all their clamour unavailing to obtain a dispensation from it. The resigustion of 2000 beneficed ministers at once, instead of extorting praise, rather inflamed the resentment of their bigoted enemies; especially when they perceived that a public and perpetual toleration of separate worship was favoured by part of the court. Rumours of conspiracy and insurrection, sometimes false, but gaining credit from the notorious discontent both of the old commonweaith's party, and of many who had never been on that side, were sedulously propagated, in order to keep up ant against the animosity of parliament against the ejected conventicee clergy; * and these are recited as the pretext of


#### Abstract

* Joarnais, 17th and 2ath March, 166s. Pari. Hisk 264, Burnet, 274, wye the declanation of induigence was mavalify ascribed to Bristot, but in fact proceeded from the ting, and that the opposition to It in tha house was chiefly made by the triends of Clarendon. The batter tells un in his Life, 189, that the ling was displeased at the finsolence of the Rotulsh party, and gave the judges genernl order: to conviet recupatite The minister and historian either was or protended to bo his master's dupe; and, if be had any enspicions of what was meant an to rellgion (es be must anrely have had), if fr too loyal to hiat thim. Yet the one circurustance be mentlons soon after, that the countess of Cantlemaine suddenly doclared hereelf a cathollc, was enough to open his eyea and those of the worth

The Romish partistins asoumed the tane of high loynlty, axclusively chat tractoriatic of thelr religion; but atrocted, at thle time, to nese great clolity towards tho churvin of England $A$ book, eatitled


Philamar Angticas, pablished under the mame of Bellimyy, the aeoond edidun of Which is in 1663, after a most flattering dedication to Sheldon, lanuchen foto virulent abuas of the presbyteringand of the reformation in general, ars founded om principles atverse to mocarchy. Thila Indeed, was cosmmon with the ultre of high-chorch party; but the wurk th question, though it parports to be wiltien by a clergyman, is manifeatiy a ahaf from the concerled bow of the Rame: Apullo.

- See prooff of thin th Ralph, ts Rapin. p. 78. There was in 1685 a trithing insurrecton in Yorloshlre, which the goverament what to hive been more cerions, $\boldsymbol{0}$ a to alford a better protext for strong measurea; whay be collected from a pasagge in a letter of Bennet to the duke of Ormond, where be syrTThe coluntry wrat in greater readingen to provent the disonders than perhapa wern to be wriched; bat is being the caret of thedr own care, rather than hata tomency's
an act passed in 1664, for suppressing seditious con: venticles (the epithet being in this place wantonly and unjustly insulting), which inflicted on all persons above the age of sixteen, present at any religious meeting in other manner than is allowed by the practice of the church of England, whers five or more persons besides the household should be present, a penalty of three months' imprisonment for the first offence, of six for the second, and of seven years' transpartation for the third; on conviction before a single justice of peace." This set, says Clarendon, if it had been vigorously executed, would no doubt have produced a thorough reformation.: Such is ever the language of the supporters of tyranny; when oppression does not saccend, it is because there has been too little of it. But those who suffered under this statute report very differently as to its vigorous execution. The grols were filled, not only with ministers who had borne the brunt of former persecutions, but with the laity who attended them; and the hardship was the more grievous, that, the act being ambiguonsly worded, its construction was left to a single magistrate, generally very adverse to the accused.

It is the natural consequence of restrictive laws to aggravate the disaffection which has served as their pretext; and thus to create a necessity for a legislature that will not retrace its steps tind. to pess still onward in the course of severity. In the next session accordingly, held at Oxford in 1665, on account of the plague that ravaged the capital, we find a new and more inevitable blow aimed at the fallen church of Calvin. It was enacted that all persons in holy orders, who had not subscribed the act of oniformity, should swear that it is not lawful, upon any


The ling seems to have beet displensed at this deley; for he told then at thetr prorogation that he had expected some bills agoinst cuaventicles and distempers In religion, an well at the growth of popery, and shoald himeif prespent ontme et their mext meeting. Part Hist 888 , Burset oberves, that to emponver a justios of peece to convict withoat a jury win thonght a great breach of tho princlpley of the Engilish conedration: 28s,
4. P. 8.1
pretence whatsoevar, to take arms against the king: and that they did abhor that traitorous position of taking arms by his authority against his person, or against those that are commissioned by him, and would not at any time endeavour any alteration of government in church or state. Those who refused this oath were not only made incapable of teaching in schools, but prohibited from coming within five miles of any city, corporate town, or borough sanding members to parlia ment. ${ }^{\text {d }}$

This persecuting statute did not pass without the opRemarts position of the earl of Southampton, lord treaon them. surer, and other peers. But archbishop Sheldon, and several bishops, atrongly supported the bill, which had undoubtedly the sanction also of Clarendon's authority.: In the commons I do not find that any division took place; but an unsuccessful attempt was made to insert the word "legally" before commissioned; the lawyers, however, declared that this word must be understood.' Some of the nonconforming olergy took the oath upon this construction. But the far greater number refused. Even if they could have borne the solemn assertion, of the principles of passive obedience in all possible, cases, their scrupulous consciences revolted from a pledge to endeavour at no kind of alteration in church and state; an engagement, in its extended sense, irreconcilable with their own principles in religion, and with the oivil duties of Englishmen. Fet to quit the town where they had long been connected, and where alone they had friends and disciples, for a residence in country villages, was an exclusion from the ordinary means of subsistence. The church of England had doubtless her provocations; but she made the retaliation much more than commensurate to the injury. No severity, comparable to this coldblooded perseoution, had been inflioted by the late powers, even in the ferment and fury of a civil war. Encouraged by this easy triumph, the violent party in the house of oommons thought it a good opportunity to give the same test a more aweeping application. A bill was brought in imposing this asth upon the whole nation;

[^232][^233]that is, I presume (for I do not know that its precise nature is anywhere explained), on all persons in any public or municipal trust. This, however, was lost on a division by a mall majority.

It has been remarked that there is no other instance in history, where men have suffered persecution on account of differences which were admitted by those who inflicted it to be of such small moment. But, supposing this to be true, it only proves, what may perhaps be alleged as a sort of extenuation of these severe laws against nonconformists, that they were merely political, and did not spring from any theological bigotry. Sheldon, indeed, their great promoter, was so free from an intolerant zeal that he is represented as a man who considered religion chiefly as an engine of policy. The principles of religious toleration had already gained considerable ground over mere bigotry; but were still obnoxious to the arbitrary temper of some politicians, and wanted perhaps experimental proof of their safety to recommend them to the caution of others. There can be no doubt that all laws against dissent and separation from an established church, those even of the inquisition, have proceeded in a greater or less degree from political motives; and these appesr to me far less odious than the disinterested rancour of superstition. The latter is very common among the populace, and sometimes among the clergy. Thus the presbyterians exclaimed against the toleration of popery, not as dangerous to the protestant establishment, but as a sinful compromise with idolatry ; language which, after the first heat of the Reformation had abated, was never so current in the Anglican church.' In the case of these statntes against


Reasons agsingt Toleration and Indalgence of Popery, 1603, is a pleasunt apecbmen of this argumentuan ab inferno - Being there is bat ane asfo way to subvation, do you think that the protestant Way is that way, or is it not? If it be not, why do yon live in it? If it be, thow can you ond to your heart to give your anderta liberty to go another way? Can yon, in your conscience, give them leave to go on in that courne in which, in your conscience, you think you coald not be smedil Baster, bowever, dotem
nonconformists undor Charles II., revenge and fear seem to have been the unmixed passions that excited the church party against those whose former superiority they remembered, and whose disaffection and hustility it was impossible to doubt.?

A joy so excessive and indiscriminating had accom-Disantr- panied the king's restoration, that no prudence shaion or virtue in his government could have averted tncreaser that reaction of popular sentiment which inovitably follows the disappointment of unreasonable hope. Those who lay their account upon blessings which no course of political administration can bestow, live, accorving to the poet's comparison, like the sick man, perpatually changing posture in search of the rest which nature denies; the dupes of successive revoIutions, senguine as children in all the novelties of politics, a new constitution, a new sovereign, a new minister, and as angry with the playthings when they fall short of their desires. What then was the discontent that must have ensued upon the restoration of Charles II.? The negleoted cavalier, the persecuted presbyterian, the disbanded officer, had each his grievance; and felt that he was either in a worse situation than he had formerly been, or at least than he had.expected to be. Though there were not the violent acts of military power which had struok every man's eyes under Cromwell, it cannot be said that personal liberty was secure, or that the magistrates had not considerable power of oppression, and that protty unsparingly exercised


#### Abstract

mention this Utte book in his life; nor coen he there apeak violently about the tolaration of Romenists.

I The clergy had petitioned the botue of commons in 1864, inter alia, "That for the better obeervation of the Lord's day, and for the promoting of conformity, yon would be pleseed to edvanes the pecuaiary mulct of twelve pence for each absenco from difino eervices, in proportion to the degree, quality, and ablity of the dolinquent; that so the penalty may be of force mutthelent to conquer tho obetinacy of the nonconformists." WiHat's Conclitis, Iv. 680 Letters from Sbeldon to the coromiatary of the difoceso of Carterbury, in 1889 and 1870, occur directing him to inquire abort conveitticlen; and if they cannot be reatrained by ecciediastical anthortsy, to apply to the next justice of the peece in onder to put tham down. A proclamation appears also from tho king, enfoining magistrated to do this In 1673 the archblabop writes a circular to bls sufrrgans, directiog them to proceed against auch as keap ecbouls without licences P. sis

See in the Somere Tracten vil. 580, a "true and failuful narrative ${ }^{4}$ of the cerve Htiea practised againat monconformints about this time. Barter's Life is also full of proofs of persecution; bust the most complete regititer is in Calomy's acownd of the vected clargs.



towards those suspected of disaffection. The religions persecution was not only far more severs than it was evar during the commonwealth, but perhaps more extensively felt than onder Charles L. Though the monthly essessments for the support of the army ceased soon after the restoration, several large grants were made by parliament, especially during the Dutch war; and it appears that in the first seven years of Charles II. the nation paid a far greater sum in taxes than in any preceding period of the eame duration.". If then the people compared the national fruits of their expenditure, what a contrast they found, how deplorable a falling off in public honour and dignity since the days of the magnanimous nsurper! ${ }^{m}$ They saw with indignation that Donkirk, acquired by Cromwell, had been chaffered away by Charles (a transaction justifiable perhaps on the mere balance of profit and loss, but certainly derogatory to the pride of a great nation); that a war, needlessly commenced, had been carried on with much display of bravery in our seamen and their commanders, but no sort of good conduct in the goveroment; and that a petty northern potentate, who would have trembled at the name of the commonwealth, had broken his faith towards us out of mere contempt of our inefficiency.*

[^234]No estato ecold be pot up to ale anywhere bat a parchusar wis found for it: vol Ii. p. 364 The matn quedtion, howover, is af what rate be would purchaner. Reats, he owns, had caddenly fallen $2 \%$ par cent, which cansed a olomour sugalnat taxce, prescmed to bo the canse of it But the trath is that whoet, which bed been at a very bigh price for a few yeare Juat before and after the restoration, fell about 168s; and there in no doubt that the reign of Charies II. wan not fivourable to the landed interent. Lady Sonderland tella us, in a letter of 1681, that "the manor of Worme-Lelghton, which, when 1 wis married \{1662\} was let for
 Liary, edlted by Blencowe, 184, rol 1 . Introductiva. p. 73. On the other mand, Ir Jocish Child amoerte that there wore more tmen on change worth $10,000 t$ tio 1850 than there were in 1680 wouth 10002 and that a hoodrad coachean wera kept fore

These discontents were heightened by the private Provetife conduct of Charles, if the life of a king can in of the king any sense be private, by a dissolateness and contempt of moral opinion, which a nation, still in the main grave and religious, conld not endure. The austere character of the last king had repressed to a considerable degree the common vices of a court which had gone to a scandalous excess under James. But the cavaliers in general affected a profligacy of manners, as their distinction from the fanatical party, which gained ground among those who followed the king's fortunes in exile, and became more flagrant after the reatoration. ${ }^{\circ}$ Aneodotes of court excesses, which required not the aid of exaggeration, were in daily circulation through the coffee-houses; those who cared least about the vice not failing to inveigh against the scandal. It is in the nature of a limited monarchy that men should censure very freely the private lives of their princes, as being more exempt from that immoral servility which blinds itsolf to the distinctions of right and wrong in elevated rank. And as a voluptuous court will always appear prodigal, because all expense in vice is needless, they had the mortification of believing that the public revenues were wasted on the vilest associatee of the king's debauchery. We are, however, much indebted to the memory of Barbara duchess of Cleveland, Louiss duchess of Portsmouth, and Mrs. Eleanor Gwyn. We owe a tribute of gratitude to the Mays, the Killigrews, the Chiffinches, and the Grammonts. They played a serviceable part in ridding the kingdom of its besotted loyalty. They saved our forefathers from the starchamber and the high-commission court; they laboared in their vocation against standing armies and corruption; they pressed forward the great ultimate security of English freedom, the expulsion of the house of Stuart."

[^235]Among the ardent loyalists who formed the bulk of the present parliament, a certain number of a different class had been returned, not sufficient of themselves to constitute a very effective menh Opposition minority, but of considerable importance as a nucleus, round which the lesser factions that circumstances should produce might be gathered. Long sessions, and a long continuance of the same parliament, have an inevitable tendency to generate a systematic opposition to the measures of the crown, which it requires all vigilance and management to binder from becoming too powerful. The sense of personal importance, the desire of occupation in business (a very characteristic propensity of the English gentry), the varions inducaments of private passion and interest, bring forward so many active spirits, that it was, even in that age, as reasonable to expect that the ocean should always be tranquil as that a house of commons should continue long to do the king's bidding with any kind of unanimity or submission. Nothing can more demonstrate the incompatibility of the tory eystem, which would place the virtual and effective, as well as nominal, administration of the execative government in the sole hands of the crown, with the existence of a representative assembly, than the history of this long parliament of Charles II.: None has ever been elected in circumstances so favourable for the crown, none ever brought with it such high notions
grace of their atyte and sto vivactio of thetr pleturea, bat for the lappy leoorunce to which the anthor meens to have fred that any ooe of twat remers could traying that there aro groh thinge a virtue and principle in the worid In the deliritum of thougtitlese voluptrocas. mem chery reocmbte artone of the momohis about the end of Loud XVi's reig, and oonwwhat later; thoogh, 1 homk, evet in thent there fin keperilly anoce efiort, bort Ind shere, es moral conouth, er tone sfiectation of memorbility. Tley, tmiked, bere alvays an awfol moral; and in the lidit portralte of the coomet of Vermillay
 difoot lent to perme) we have before Ethe trandretidng the mall, the finter whoriwind trohed in tes grtan repoes and expecting is gray, the veromano of

的 oppiteneed people and lapg-forbeming Deity. No guch retribation fell an the courtiere of Charles 11 , bat they earned in their own ases, what has deseended to poriarity, thongh posaibly very indifierent to themeivea, the diegust and aversion of all thet Tres rempectabie among mantind. - (Antray relates a maing of Earrix; tang jout befort the restoration, which hows him enpefty. Well I the ling will oura in. Leth Hhe eation in and call a gariliment of the greacert eavalies in Engiand, to they be men of espates, and let them ats bat meven yearm and they will all tumocmmonwealth'a men." Letwors of Aubrey and cobers, from tho Bodielan, Fol. IL P. 37s. By commopwellin's ant bo probalis meant only man who would etand up for polbin 14urty agatont the crown-184k]
of prerogative; yet in this assembly a party soon grew up, and gained strength in every succassive year, which the king could neither direct nor subdue. The methods of bribery, to which the court had largely recourse, though they certainly diverted some of the measures, and destroyed the oharacter, of this opposition, proved in the end like those dangerous medicines which palliate the instant symptoms of a disease that they aggravate. The leaders of this parliament were, in general, very corrupt men; but they knew better than to quit the power which made them worth purchase. Thus the house of commons matured and extended those rights of inquiring into and controlling the management of public affairs, which had caused so mach dispute in former times; and, as the exercise of these functions became more habitual, and passed with little or no open resistance from the crown, the people learned to reckon them unquestionable or even fundamental ; and were prepared for that more perfect settlement of the constitution on a more republican basis, which took place after the revolution. The reign of Charles II., though displaying some stretchas of arbitrary power, and threatening a great deal more, was, in fact, the transitional state hetween the ancient and modern schemes of the English constitution; between that course of government where the executive power, so far as executive, was very little bounded except by the laws, and that where it can only be carried on, even within its own province, by the consent and co-operation, in a great measure, of the parliament

The commons took advantage of the pressure which Appropric tum of supplice. ciples on the basis of their sole right of taration. the war with Holland brought on the administration, to establish two very important prinThe first of these was the sppropriation of supplies to limited purposes. This, indeed, was so far from an absolute novelty, that it found precedents in the reigns of Richard II. and Henry IV.; a period when the authority of the house of commons was at a very high pitch. No subeequent instance, I believe, was on record till the jear 1624, when the last parliament of James I., at the king's own suggestion, directed their supply for the relief of the Palatinate to be paid into the hanus

- of commissioners named by themselves. There were cases of a similar nature in the year 1641, which, though of course they could no longer be upheld as precedents, had accustomed the house to the idea that they had something more to do than simply to grant money, without any security or provision for its application. In the session of 1665 , accordingly, an enormous supply, as it then appeared, of $1,250,0001$., after one of double that amount in the preceding year, having been voted for the Dutch war, sir George Downing, one of the tellers of the exchequer, introduced into the subsidy bill a proviso that the money raised by virtue of that act should be applicable only to the purposes of the war." Clarendon inveighed with fury against this, as an innovation derogatory to the honour of the crown; but the king himself, having listened to some who persuaded him that the money would be advanced more easily by the bankers, in anticipation of the revenue, upon this better security for speedy repayment, insisted that it should not be thrown out.' That supplies, granted by parliament, are only to be expended far particular objects apecified by itself, became, from this time, an undisputed principle, recognised by frequentand at length constant practice. It drew with it the necessity of estimates regularly laid before the house of commons; and, by exposing the management of the public revenues, has given to parliament, not only a real and effective control over an essential branch of the executive administration, but, in some messure, rendered them partakers in it. ${ }^{\text {' }}$

[^236][^237]It was a consequence of this right of appropriation that

Commianica of prebic cocrunts. the house of commons should be able to satisfy itself as to the expenditure of their moneys in the services for which they were voted. But they might claim a more extensive function, as naturally derived from their power of opening and closing the public purse, that of investigating the wisulom, faithfulness, and economy with which their grants had been expended. For this, too, there was some show of precedents in the ancient days of Henry IV.; but what undoubtedly had most influence was the recollection that during the late civil war, and in the times of the commonwealth, the house had superintended, through its committees, the whole receipts and issues of the national treasury. This had not been mach practised since the restoration. But in the year 1666, the large cost and indifferent success of the Dratch war begetting vehement euspicions, not only of profuseness but of diversion of the publio money from its proper purposes, the house appointed a committee to inspect the accounts of the officers of the navy, ordnance, and stores, which were laid before them, as it appears, by the king's - direotion. This committee, after some time, having been probably found deficient in powers, and particularly being incompetent to administer an oath, the house determined to proceed in a more novel and vigorous manner; and sent up a bill, nominating commissioners to inspect the public accounts, who were to possess full powers of inquiry, and to report with respect to suoh persons as they should find to have broken their trust. The immediate object of this inquiry, so far as appears from lard Clarendon's mention of it, was rather to discover whether the treasurers had not issued money without legal warrant than to enter upon the details of its expenditure. But that minister, bigoted to his tory oreed of prerogative, thought it the highest presumption for a parliament to intermeddle with the course of government. He spoke of this bill as an enoroachment and usurpation that had no limits, and pressed the king to be firm in his resolution never to consent to it." Nor was the king less averse to a parliamentary commission

[^238]of this nature, as well from a jealousy of its interference with his prerogative as from a consciousness, which Clarendon himself suggests, that great sums had been issued by his orders which could not be put in any public account; that is (for we can give no other interpretation), that the moneys granted for the war, and appropriated by statute to that service, had been diverted to supply his wasteful and debauched course of pleasures. ${ }^{*}$ It was the anspicion, or rather private knowledge, of this criminal bresch of trust, which had led to the bill in question. But such a slave was Clarendon to his narrow prepossessions, that he would rather see the dissolute excesses which he abhorred suck nourishment from that revenue which had been allotted to maintain the uational honour and interests, and which, by its deficiencies thus aggravated, had caused even in this very year the navy to be laid up, and the coasts to be left defenceless, than suffer them to be restrained by the only power to which thoughtless luxury would submit. He opposed the bill, therefore, in the house of lords, as he confesses, with much of that intemperate warmth which distinguished him, and with a contempt of the lower house and its authority, as imprudent in respect to his own interents as it was unbecoming and unconstitutional. The king prorogued parliament while

[^239]which nobody there could answer; bot I perceive they did donbt what his answer could be.* September 93, 1660,TThe money granted the king for the war bo atherwards reckons at $5,580,000 \mathrm{~h}$, and the debt at $900,000 \mathrm{~L}$. The charge gitited only at $3,200,000 \mathrm{~h}$ - So what is becoene of all thia sum, 2sp0,000t $1^{\text {ª }}$ He memtions afterwands, Oct 8, the proviso in the polttax bill, that there shall be $\pm$ committee of nitue pertone to have the indpection on ofth of all the secountil of the money given and epent for the wer, whlech makes the king and conut mad; the king having given order to my lord chambertain to and to the playbousea and brothels, to bid all the parilamentmen that were there to go to the parHament presenty; but it wead curtied againas the court iby estety or forty voices" It wats thonght, ho gyts Dee 22, that above 400,0001 hed gen intid an privy prone dince the war.
the measure was depending; but in hopes io pacify the house of commons, promised to iasue a commission under the great seal for the examination of public accountants;' an expedient which was not likely to bring more to light than suited his purpose. But it does not appear that this royal commission, though actually prepared and sealed, was ever carried into effect; for in the ensuing session, the great minister's downfall having occurred in the mean time, the house of commons brought forward again their bill, which passed into a law. It invested the commissioners therein nominated with very extensive and extraordinary powers, both as to auditing publio accounts and investigating the frauds that had taken place in the expenditure of money and employment of etores. They were to examine upon oath, to summon inquests if they thought fit, to commit persons disobeying their orders to prison without bail, to determine finally on the charge and discharge of all accountants; the barons of the exchequer, apon a certificate of their jadgment, were to issue process for recovering money to the king's use, as if there had been an immediate judgment of their own court. Reports were to be made of the commissioners' proceedings from time to time to the king and to both houses of parliament. None of the commissioners were members of either house. The king, as may be supposed, gave way very reluctantly to this interference with bis expenses. It brought to light a great deal of abuse and misapplication of the publio revenues, and contributed doubtless in no small degree to destroy the house's confidence in the integrity of government, and to promote a more jealous watchfulness of the king's dosigns. ${ }^{2}$ At the next meeting of parliament, in October, 1669, sir George Carteret, treasurer of the navy, was expelled the house for issuing money without legal warrant.

Sir Edward Hyde, whose influence had been almost annihilated in the last years of Charles I. through

[^240]acted with wore technticni riguar thes equity, farcbergitg the acoomannim to all sums not expended pince the weat began, thongh actrally expended for th parpoees of proparation
the inveterate hatred of the queen and those who surrounded her, acquired by degrees the entire confidence of the young king, and baffled all the Cecirondorii intrigues of his enemies. Guided by him, in power. all serious matters, during the latter years of his exile, Charles followed his counsels almost implicitly in the difficult crisis of the restoration. The office of chancellor and the title of earl of Clarendon were the proofs of the king's favour; but in effect, through the indolence and ill health of Southampton, as well as their mutual friendship, he was the real minister of the crown." By the clandestine marriage of his daughter with the duke of York, he ohanged one brother from an enemy to a sincere and realous friend, without forfeiting the esteem and favour of the other. And though he was wise enough to dread the invidiousness of such an elevation, yet for several years it by no means seemed to render his influence less secure.b


#### Abstract

- Burnet p. 150. Sonthampion Ieft all the boginem of the treenary, occoriling to Bumat, pa 131, in the hends of atr Philip Warwick, "E weat bot incorrupt man" The laing, he tays, chone to put up with his contradiction rather than make him popular by dismbsing him But in fict, atiat weo by Clarendon's ino efance, the ring retained his minintera long after he win displeased with them. Southampton'e reminaees and slownem, notwithyending bis integrity, Popye enys, we the cause of undoing the mation as much as anything: " yot, if 1 lmew all the dificulties ho hat latio nuder, and his Instrament ile Philip Warwick, I might ino of another mind." May 16, 1687.He wat willing to have done eotmethings Clarendon talls nis, p. 416, to gratify the presbyterinatis on which socount thit bishope throught him not enough affected to the church. His friend endsavours to extennate this helooter sin of tolarans prisciplet. - The behavionr of lend Claroniog on thi occabion was to extreordinary, that no crodit conld have been given to any other account than his own. The dulve of Yort, be mya, informed the ling of the affection and friendshlp that had lones been botween him and the yoong lady: 4nal they had beeo long oontracted, and


that ehe wat with child; and therefort requested hir mafenty' - leave that he might problicly marty her. The marquis of Ormond by the king'e order comma. micated this to the chancelior, who " broke out into an immoderate pasion aginat ${ }^{3}$ the Fickednen of his duaghter ; and said. with all tmaginohto earnestneas, that at coon at be ceme homs he would turn her cot of his house as setrumpet to ahin for herself, and would never seo ber egain. They told him that his pacilon wwa to0 violent to administer good connemal to him; that they thought that the duke wan martied to his dangiter; and that there wext other measuren to be takem than those which the disorder be was in had anggested to him. Whereopon ho fill into new commotions ; and and, If that wepe trag, he was well prepared to cdulse what with be done; that he had moch ruther hil deaghter chould be the duke' whore than his wifo: in the former cerac, nobody corald blapm him for the reaplutica bo had taken, for bo was not obliged to leep a whore for the greatest prince allve; and the indignity to himesif he woald mabmit to the good plemare of God. But, if there wars aty remond to encpect the other, be was ready to give a poolture fudgenent, in which he moped their lordhipip would coacar with

# Both in their characters, however, and turn of thinking, there was so little conformity between Clarendon 

him, thet the ling chonid immediately cause the woman to be eont to the Trow and cast into the dungeen, nuder so strick a gaurd that no perron living should be adenitied to come to her; and then that an act of parliament should be imandiately paresed for cutting of her head, to which he woould not only give hits conent, but eoould very willingly be the first man that should propase it. And whoever knew the man will belleve that he eald atl this very heartily." Lond Souchampton, he proceede inform us, on the ling'a entering the room at the time, eald very natarally that the chancellor was mad, and had proposed such extravagont things that he was no more to be consalted with. This, however, did not bring him to his aenses; for he repeated his strange proposal of " eeading her promentily to the Tower, and the reat;" imploring the klag to take this conrse, as the only expedient that couid free him from the evila that this buinets would otherwise bring apon him.

Thilt any man of ane intellect choold flll into ruch ar extravaganoe of pacsion in sufiliciently wonderfils; that he should eit down in cool blood eaveral years after. warde to relste it is sadil more ano and perhape we ehall carty our candour to an ercem, if we do not set down the whole of this some to overacted hypocrisy. Charles II., we may bo very sure, could tee it in moother light And here I muat take notloe, by the way, of the eingular observation the worthy editor of Burnet has made:-" King Charlea's conduct in this businean was axcellent throughout; that of Clarendon worthy an ancient fionam.'" We have indeed a Roman precodent for muduing the exadmenti of nature, rather than permitting a daughter to inour diagrace through the pealons of the great; but I think Virginics would not quite have underatood the frelinge of Cinrendon. Suah virtue wee more like what Mantespulen calls "I'herofiene do I'eaclavage," and whe juet it for the court of Gondar. But with all thit violence that he records of himeolf, be deviaten greatly from the truth: "The king" (he may.) "aforwarde epoke every day mbout If and told the abapoellor that in must
behave himsolf wisely, for that the thing was remediless, and that his majesty know that they were morried; which would quickly appear to all men who trew that nothing could be done apen it. In this time the chancellor hed conferred with his danghter, without anything of indulgence, and not only discovered that they were unquestionsbly married, but by whom, and utho were present af it, wato coould bs raody to arove it ; which plensed him not, though it diverted itm from uaing eome of that rigonr which be intended. Ath be asw no other remedy could be appiled but that which be had proposed to tha ldig, who thought of nolling like it." Life of Clarendou, 20, et post.

Eivery ons would eonclude from thin that a murriage had boen eolumnized, if not before their arrival in England, yot before the chancellor had this conferance with hia duughter. It appears, however, from the dulce of Yorts declaration in the books of the privy council, quoted by Ralph. p. 40, that he was contracted to Ano Hiyde on the stid of November. 1659, at Brects; and after that time lived with berss his wife, though very eacretivi he married her sid Sept 1660, acoording to the Engluah ritual, ford Owory giving her tway. The trat child wie boln Oct 22, 1680. Now, whether the contract ware cuffioient to conerituto a valid marriage will depend on two thing: freth upon the law existing at Breda; mecoodly, opon the applicability of what if commonily called the rule of the lex Iod to a marriage between wich perwons nocorting to the recolved nutions of Ringitah lanyern in that ato. Bua, even admituing all thia, it is stll manifeat that Clarendon's axpromelons point to an seturl celobration, and are congequentif intended to mitatead the readar. Cartain it to that at the time the contract seems to have beon reckoned only $a^{2}$ bonorary obliger tion. James telle us bimeolf (alecphers con'e Extructe, p. 17) that he promined to marry ber: and thoagh, when be acked the ling for his isave, be refuned and dimuaded hlm from it, yot at iast he opposed it tho mort, and the dutve mets tied har privately, and owned is mome
und his master, that the continuance of his ascendancy can only be attributed to the power of early habit over the most thoughtless tempers. But it rarely happens that kings do not altimately shake off these fetters, and release themselves from the sort of subjection which they feel in acting always by the same advisers. Charles, acute himself and cool-headed, could not fail to discover the passions and prejudices of his minister, even if he had wanted the suggestion of others who, without reasoning on such broad principles as Clarendon, were perhaps his superiors in judging of temporary business. He wished too, as is common, to depreciate a wisdom, and to suspect a virtue, which seemed to reprosch his own vice and folly. Nor had Clarendon spared those remonstrances against the king's course of life which are seldom borne without impatance or resentment. He was strongly suspected by the king as well as his courtiers (though, according to his own account, without any reason) of having promoted the marriage of Miss Stewart with the dake of Richmond.
> there after." His Mogrupher, writing trom Jameeta own menoscript, adda, "It maxy well be supposed that my lord chancolior did his pert, but with great cencion and circumaspection, to moften the tivig to that maller which in overy respect seemed so moch tor his own advanuge" Lifo of James, stit. And Pepys imearta in hia Ding. Fch 23, 1661, "Mr. H. told mo bow my lord cbanocilor had letely got the duke of Yort and duchien, and her women, my lord Omory and a doctor, to matre oath before mont of the fudges of the lingglom, canoerning all the diroumgtancese of their merriage. And, in fine, It in confemed that thay were not fuily enarried tillabout a month or two before sbe was brought to bed; bot that they were contructed long before, and [were married] time enough for the chlld to be legitumata Bat 1 do not hear that it Was pat to the judges to determine that it ซata so $\sigma$ not" Tbere wan no questhon to put about the childra legtitimacy, Which we: beyood all doubt. Ho bed mild beforn that lord Sandwict told bim. 17ch Oct 1660, "the liting wanuod him [the tuke] to manry ber, bit be moald not" Thin weem at first idght incosomoteat with mhat James ans bimolt

But at this thme, thoogh the privata marriage had really talten pluce, bo had been persuaded by a motl infamons carg, epiracy of asome profigate courtiens that the lindy wis of a Hoentions charrocter, and that Berkeley, anterwarda lord Falmouth, had enjoyed her favoure Life of Clarendon, ss. It must be prearumed that thoee mem treew only of a controct Which they thooght he could breat. Hamiltan, in the Memoirs of Grammoent, apeats of this trimastion with his pencl levity, thoogh the partices thowed themcelves as destitute of apirit as of hooorr ned hamanity. Ciarendon, we mast believe (and the moat favourable bypotheris for him is to glve up hie veridty), woold not permit his daughter to be made the victim of a fer perjured debancheos, and of her honband'l ficklenesp ar credulity. [Upon reconvidering this note. I tbink It probable thas Ciarendon's ocotverwation whit his daugher, whep be apoestationd har marringe, was anberganat to the srd of September. It te alwaye dificult to mathe ous hide dutes.-1815.]

- Hemilituen mentions this so the cuto reat rumotr of the cours, apd Burnet has dane the mame. Bost Clareodote himseh dualen that be had may cocoern fin is, en

But above all he stood in the way of projects which, though still probably unsettled, were floating in the king's mind. No one was more zealous to uphold the premgative at a height where it must overtop and chill with its shadow the privileges of the people. No one wes more vigilant to limit the functions of parliament, or more desirous to see them confiding and submissive. But there were landmarks which he could never be brought to transgress. He would prepare the road for absolute monarchy, bat not introduce it; he would assist to batter down the walls, but not to march into the town. His notions of what the English constitation vught to be appear evidently to have been derived from the times of Elizabeth and James I., to which he frequently refers with approbation. In the history of that age he fonnd much that could not be reconciled to any liberal principles of government. But there were two things which he certainly did not find-a revenue capable of meeting an extraordinary demand without parliamentary supply, and a standing army. -Hence he took no pains, if he did not even, as is asserted by Burnet, discourage the proposal of others, to obtain such a fixed annual revenue for the king on the restoration as would have rendered it very rarely necessary to have recourse to parliament, and did not advise the keeping up any part of the army. That a few troops were


#### Abstract

any acequintance with the parties He Wrote in too humble a straln to the king on the subject Lfe of Cler, p. 454. d Burnet tays that Bonthampton hed como into a soheme of obtaining 2,000,000K at the annual revenue; which wat prevented by Clirendon, lest it ahould put the idug out of need of partiamenta. Thls the ting found out, and hated hma mortaliy for it. P. 223. It it the farhion to disoredit all that Borast mage But obeerve what wo may read in Popys: - Sir W, Coventry did tall me it as the wicest thing that was avor aald to the ting by any atateman of hin time; and it wes by my lord treapurer that is deed, whom, I find, he talea for a very great etateman, that, whon the lidge did ebow btmolf forwerd for paring the act of inderanity, bo did saviea the king that bo would hald his hand in dolnt it till


he had got hie power reatored that had been diminithed by the late timee, and bia revenus eottled in exteh a mander a be might depend opon himself without reating upon parliamente, and then pase 1t. But my lord chancellor, who thonght be could have the command of partis ment for ever, becunse for the ling's anke thoy were awhile willing to grant all the ling dentred, did prom for its being done; and 00 Is Whe, and the king from that time able to do pothing with the pardiament almoat." March 80, 1609 Rari quippe bonil Nelther Southampton nor Coventry make the figure in this sxe trat we chould wish to thad; yet whe Were thotr suparions for Integrity and patriotiam under Charios II.? Purhope Mopys, like mont gomiping mon, was met always correet.
retained was owing to the duke of York. Nor did he go the length that was expected in procuring the repeal of all the laws that had been enacted in the loug parliament."

These omissions aank deep in Charles's heart, especially when he found that he had to deal with an unmanageable house of commons, and must fight the battle for arbitrary power; which might have been achieved, he thought, without a struggle by his minister. There was still less hope of obtaining any concurrence from Clarendon in the king's designs as to religion. Though he does not once hint at it in his writings, there can be little doubt that he must have suspected his master's inclinations towards the chnirch of Rome. The duke of York considered this as the most likely cause of his remissness in not sufficiently advancing the prerogative.! He was always opposed to the various schemes of a general indulgence towards popery, not only from his strongly protestant principles and his dislike of all toleration, but from a prejudice against the body of the English catholics, whom he thought to arrogate more on the ground of merit than they could claim. That interest, so powerful at court, was decidedly hostile to the chan; cellor; for the duke of York, who strictly adhered to him, if he had not kept his change of religion wholly secret, does not seem to have hitherto formed any avowed connexion with the popish party. ${ }^{\text {s }}$

This estrangement of the king's favour is sufficient to account for Clarendon's loss of power ; but loss of the his entire ruin was rather accomplished by a kivg'sto. strange coalition of enemies, which his virtues, vour. Conal or his errors and infirmities, had brought into clarendon.

[^241]E The earl of Bristol, with all his constitutional precipltancy, made a violent attack on Clarendon, by exhibiting articles of treason againat him in the house of losid in 168s; believing, no doubt, that the achemet of the intriguers were more mature, and the ting more alienated, than was really the cane, and thus disgraced hiraself at conrt insteed of his enemy. Part. Hist 276. Life of Ciar. 209. Before this turse Pepys had heard thet the chancelior had lost the king's favour, and that Bristof, Fith BuykInghm and 8 (wa ef three more, frulud him Nay 16, 1667
union. The cavaliers hated him on account of the act of indemnity, and the presbyterians for that of uniformity. Yet the latter were not in general so eager in his prosecution as the others. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ But he owed great part of the severity with which he was treated to his own pride and ungovernable passionateness, by which he had rendered very eminent men in the house of commons implacable, and to the language he had used as to the dignity and privileges of the house itself.' A sense of this eminent


#### Abstract

i A motion to refer the heads of charge against Clarendon to a commitiee was loat by 194 to 128 ; Seymour and Oaborne telling the noes, Birch and Clarges the ayes. Commons' Journals, Nov. $8,1867$. These namea show how parties ran; Seymour and Oaborne baing high-tying cavaliers, and Birch a presbyterian. $\Delta$ motion that he be impeacbed for trenson on the fint article wan loat by 172 to 108, the two former being tellers for the ayes: Nov. 9. In the Harieian MS. 881, we have s copious account of the debatee on thle occasion, and a transertpt in No. 1218 St' Keneage Finch apoke much against the charge of treamon; Maynard secms to have done the same. A charge of bacret correapondence with Cromwell wat introduced merely ad invidiam, the promecutors admitung that it was pardoned by the act of Indemnity, but wloping to mars the chancellor plead that: Magnard and Hampden opposed it, and It was given op ont of ahame without a vote. Vauginan, aftarwards chief juatice, argued that connselling the king to govern by a stauding amm was treason at common law, and eeens to diapute what Fioch Iald down mult broadly, that thare can be no auch thing as a common-iaw treason; relying un a parenge in Glanvill, where " eeductio domini regis" is sald to bo treecon. May. nand utood up for the opposita doctrine. Wallerand Vanghan argued that the ale of Dunkits was treason, but the articls pamed without deolaring it to be sop nor would the word have appeared probably In the impeachment, if a yours lord, Vanghan, bad not awerted that be could prove Charendion to have betrayed the king's onnella, on which an artiole to that afteot was carred by 181 to 89. farraway and Littleton Nere forwand - eningt in chaneellar: bat Corenter


peems to have taken no great path See Pepyi's Diary, Deas sind and 6th, 1667. Baxter also bays that the preabyterinn wers by no means etrepnows againat Clarendon, but rather the contrary, fearIng that worse might orme for the corntry, at giving him credit for having kept of military govemment. Baxter's Lifo, part IIl. 21. This is very highly to the honour of thet party whoten he had so much oppressed, if not betrayed. It was a notable providence of God." be gays, " that this man, who had been the great intrument of state, and done almont all, and had dealt so cruelly with the nonconformiats, ehuald thas by hes own fiende be cast out and banlshed; while thoee that he had persecuted wrere the mont moderate in his cause, and many for him And it whs a great ease that befell the good people throughout the land by bia dejection. For hie way was to decoy men into conspiracien or to pretend plots, and upon the rumone of a plot the innocent people of many countries were hid in prison, to that no man luew when he was safe. Wherses since then, though lawe have been mado more and more eevere, yot a man lunoweth a litete better What he is to exprot when it is by a dav that ho in to be tried." Sham plots thare neem to have been; but it is not reewonsble to charge Clarendon with inventing then. Ralph, 122.

I In bis wrath against the proviso inserted by sir George Downing, as above mentfoned, in the bill of mupply, Cle rendon told him, as he confesmes, that the king could never be well eorved while fellows of his condidon were admitted to mpeak as much at they had a mind; and that in the beat tizues such preaumptions had beven puntehed with imprinonment by the lordan the coapell, withont the idnio
person's great talents as well as general integrity and sonscientiousness on the one hand, an indignation at the king's ingratitude and the profligate counsels of those who supplanted him on the other, have led most writers to overlook his faults in administration, and to treat all the articles of accusation against him as frivolous or unsupported. It is doubtless impossible to justify Hz mm . the charge of high treason on which he was peachment; impeached; but there are matters that never come ofit not were or coald be disproved; and our own unfounded. knowledge enables us to add such grave accusations as must show Clarendon's unfitness for the government of a free country. ${ }^{k}$

1. It is the fourth article of his impeachment that he " advised and procured divers of his majesty's megal imsubjects to be imprisoned against law, in remote prisonments. islands, garrisons, and other places, thereby to prevent them from the benefit of the law, and to produce precedents for the imprisoning any other of his majesty's subjects in like manner." This was undoubtedly true. There was some ground for apprehension on the part of the government from those bold spirits who had been accustomed to revolutions, and drew encouragement, from the vices of the court and the embarrassments of the nation. Ludlow and Algernon Sidney, about the


#### Abstract

taking notice of $\mathbf{1 t}:$ 821. The king was neturally displeased at this insolent language towands one of his mervants, a man who had filled an eminont station, and done sorvices for a muggestion intended to benefit the revenue. And it was a atill more fiagrent alfront to the houte of commone, of which Downing was a memr ber, and where he had proposed thle clanne, and induced the bouse to adopt it. Coventry told Pepys "many things about the chancellor's demiesal not fit to be apoken; and yet not auy unfajthful. pees to the ling, but instar omasiom, that be wat mo great at the coumcit-board and In the alministrition of matters there was no room for anybody to proposa any rumody for what was amita, or to comrpeas anything, though never mo good for the kingdom, unlem approved of by the chancellor; be managing all thinge with that greatnem which now will be removed, that the lang rany have the berwitio of


others' advise." Sept 2, 1867. His own memoins are fall of proofs of this haughtsuter and intemperance. He set himself egainat sir WIlliam Coventry, and speaks of a man as able and virtuona an himself with marked avendon. See, too, Life of James, 398. Coventry, aecording to thit writer, 431, was the chief actor in Clarendon's impsachment, but thly soems te be a mistake; though he was certainly dealrous of getting him out of place.

The king, Clarendon tells us (438), pretended that the anger of parliament was such, and their power too, is it waa not in his power to save him. The fillen minister deatred him not to fear the power of parilament, "which was more or less, or nothing, as be pleased to make it" So preposterous an well in oncounttro tonal a way of talking could not bat aggravato hils onpopularity with that grat body he pretended to contemn.
*ame Triela, vi 318 Parl Bust
year 1665, had projected an insurrection, the latter soliciting Louis XIV. and the pensionary of Holland for aid.a Many officers of the old army, Wildman, Creed, and others, suspected, perhaps justly, of such cunspiracies, had been illegally detained in prison for several years, and only recovered their liberty on Clarendon's dismissal. ${ }^{\text {. He had too much encouraged the hateful }}$ race of informers, though he admits that it had grown a trade by which men got money, and that many were committed on slight grounds. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Thus colonel Hutchinson died in the close confinement of a remote prison, far more probably on account of his share in the death of Charles I., from which the act of indemnity had discharged him, than any just pretext of treason. ${ }^{\text {p }}$ It was difficult to obtain a habeas corpus from some of the judges in this reign. Bnt to elude that provision by removing men out of the kingdom was such an offence against the constitution as may be thought enough to justify the impeachment of any minister.
2. The first article, and certainly the most momentuns, asserts, "That the earl of Clarendon hath designed a standing army to be raised, and to govern the kingdom thereby, and advised the king to dissolve this present parliament, to lay aside all thoughts of parliaments for the fature, to govern by a military power, and to maintain the same by free quarter and contribution." This was prodigiously exaggerated; yet there was some foundation for a part of it. In the disastrous summer of 1667 , when the Dutch fleet had insulted our coests and burned our ships in the Medway, the exchequer being empty, it was proposed in council to call together immediately the parliament, which then stood prorogued to a day at the distance of some months. Clarendon, who feared the hostility of the house of commons towards himself, and had pressed the king to dissolve it, maintained that they could not legally be summoned before the day

[^242]fixed; and, with a strange inconsistency, attaching more importance to the formalities of law than to its essence, advised that the counties where the troops were quar tered should be called upon to send in provisions, and those where there were no troops to contribute money, which should be abated out of the next taxes. And he admits that he might have used the expression of raising contributions, as in the late civil war. This unguarded and unwarrantable language, thrown out at the counciltable where some of his enemies were sitting, soon reached the ears of the commons, and, mingled up with the usual misrepresentations of faction, was magnified into a charge of high treason. ${ }^{4}$
3. The eleventh article charged lord Clarendon with having advised and effected the sale of Dunkirk sale of to the French king, being part of his majesty's Dunktit dominions, for no greater value than the ammunition, artillery, and stores were worth. The latter part is generally asserted to be false. The sum received is deemed the utmost that Louis would have given, who thought he had made a close bargain. But it is very difficult to reconcile what Clarendon asserts in his defence, and much more at length in his Life (that the business of Dunkirk was entirely decided, before he had anything to do in it, by the advice of Albemarle and Sandwich), with the letters of d'Estrades, the negotiator in this transaction on the part of France. In these letters, written at the time of Louis XIV., Clarendon certainly appears not only as the person chiefly concerned, but as representing himself almost the only one of the council favourable to the measure, and having to overcome the decided repugnance of Southampton, Sandwich, and Albemarle. I cannot indeed see any

[^243]VOL II.
${ }^{*}$ Rajpb, 78,280 The overture came from Clarendan, the French having ao expectation of it The wortat was that, just before, he had dwelt in a tpeecin to parifament on the importance of Dum-
 appears by Louis XIV''s own acconoth which certainly doee not tally with eome other authorities, that Donklit had been 0 great an object with Cromweli, that Is What the stipulated price of the Engilat:
other explanation than that he magnified the obstacles in the way of this treaty, in order to obtain better terms; a management not very unusual in diplomatical dealing, but, in the degree at least to which he carried it, scarcely reconcilable with the good faith we should expect from this minister. For the transaction itself, we can hardly deem it honourable or politic. The expense of keeping up Dunkirk, though not trifling, would have been willingly defrayed by parliament; and could not well be pleaded by a government which had just encumbered itself with the useless barthen of Tangier. 'That its possession was of no great direct value to England must be confessed; but it was another question whether it ought to have been surrendered into the hands of France.
4. This close connexion with France is indeed a great reproach to Clarendon's policy, and was the spring of mischiefs to which he contributed, and which he ought to have foreseen. What were the motives of these strong professions of attachment to the intereets of Lonis XIV. which he makes in some of his letters it is difficult to say, since he had undoubtedly an ancient prejudice against that nation and its goverament. I shonld incline to conjecture that his knowledge of the king's unsoundness in religion led him to keep at a distance from the court of Spain, as being far more zealous in its popery, and more conneoted with the Jesuit faction, than that of France; and this possibly influenced him also with respect to the Portuguese matah, wherein, though not the first adviser, he certainly took moch interest; an
allinge Loals, howter, whe vexed et thts, and determinod to recover it at any frice: il eat certain que fe ne pouvoif frop dotaner poar racheter Dankerqua He cent d'Estryales accordingly to kingland in 1861, dlrecting him to make this ais groat objeet. Charies told the cmbessador that Spain had made him great offers, but ho would rather treat with Prance Louia was dollghted at this ; and though tbe raro anted whe considersbit, $\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{0}} 000,000$ livres, be wroald not breats ofr, bett finativ concinded the treaty for 4,000000, payale in three jeats; may, Laved, 800,000 withont it being forud out by the Eingtiob, for, a benizer having oflared then prompl paraent at thin dite

[^244]alliance as little judicious in the outset as it proved eventually fortunate. But the capital misdomeanor that he committed in this relation with of trench Ffance was the clandestine solicitation of pecu- money. niary aid for the king. He first taught a lavish prince to seek the wages of dependence in a foreign power, to elude the control of parliament by the help of French money. The purpose for which this aid was asked, the succour of Portugal, might be fair and landable; bnt the precedent was most base, dangerous, and abominable. A king who had once tasted the sweets of dishonest and clandestine lucre would, in the words of the poet, be no more capable afterwards of abstaining from it than a dog from his greasy offal.

These are the errors of Clarendon's political life; which, besides his notorious concurrence in all measures of severity and restraint towards the Clarendon's nonconformists, tend to diminish our respect minister. for his memory, and to exclude his name from that list of great and wise ministers where some are willing to place him near the head. If I may seem to my readers less favourable to so eminent a person than common history might warrant, it is at least to be said that I have. formed my decision from his own recorded sentiments, or from equally undisputable sources of authority. The publication of his Life, that is, of the bistory of his administration, has not contributed to his honour. We find in it little or nothing of that attachment to the constitation for which he had acquired credit, and some things which we must struggle hard to reconcile with his veracity, even if the suppression of truth is not to be reckoned an impeachment of it in an historian." But

> 6 Life of Clar. 78. Life of James, 398.
> : See cupplement $\omega$ third volums of Carendon State Papers for abundant ovidence of the clowe connexion between the courta of France and England. The former ofiered briben to lord Clarendon to frecuently and unceremonionaly, that one is disposed to thint he did not show to moth indignation at the firt overture in he ought to have done. Soe p. 1, 4, 15. The simp of Lorsin wats to effect the match with Catherine. Spain would have gived a grat prortion with eny protestant

[^245]
# the manifest profligacy of those who contributed mont to his ruin, and the measures which the court took soon afterwards, have rendered his administration comparatively honourable, and attached veneration to lis memory. We are mwilling to believe that there was anything to censure in a minister whom Buckingham persecuted, and against whom Arlington intrigued. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 

ons ilberty, wo no man whatever can avold consldering hits fncessant devistions from the great duties of an histortan as a moral blemish in his character. He dares very frequently to men what is not true, and what he muat bave known to be otherwise; he does not dare to sas what is true. And it is almost an aggravation of this repronch thast he aimed to decoive posterity, and polsoned at the fountain a stream from which another generation was to drink. No defence has ever been set up for the fildelity of Charendon's History; nor can men who have difted the authentio materials entertaln mach difference of judgenent in this respect; thougb, at a monument of powerfal ability and impreselive cloquence, it whll always be read with that delight which we recelve trom many great hirtorland, especiaily the ancient, tadependent of any contdonce in their veracity.
One more instance, before we quit lond Clarendon for over, may here be mentloned of hia disregard for truth. The atrange tale of a frustion search after the restoration for the body of Charlen Lis well knowa. Lords Southampton and Lindsey, he tells us, who had ssaisted at their master's obsequlea in St. George's chapal et Windsor, were so overoome with grief that they could not recogniso the place of interment; and after several valn attemptas the search was abundoned in deapaif. Hlat of Rebellion, ot. 244 Whatever motive the noble historian may luave had for this story, it is absolutely Incredible that any such ineffectraal saarch was over made. Nothing conld have been more easy than to have taken up the pavament of the chotr. But thia was unneceseary. Some at leart of the workenen employed must bave remembered the place of the vault. Nor did it depend on them; for alr Thomes Herbort, who wae pretent, had made at the times note of the apot, "Just oppoelte the eleventh stall on
the ling's stde." Herbert's Memotra, 142. And we find from Pepys's Diary, Feb. 26, 1668, that "he was shown at Windsor where the late king wets burled, and kivg Henry VILI., and my lady Soymour." In which poot, as is well tnown, the royal body bas twlee been found, once tis the reigo of Anne, and agrin in 181s. [It has been sometimes suggested that Charlea IL, having recolved a large som of money from parliament toward his father's funeral, clucee to have it belleved that the body conld not be found. But the vote of $\mathbf{7 0 , 0 0 0}$ h by the commond for thls parpose wis on Jan 30, 1679, lang after the pratenied tearch which Clarencion has mentloned Wrean wat directed to make a design for a mombsment, which is in All Soals' College: bat no farther steps were taken. Ende's Letters, Jut merles, vol. II. p. 32s. It seems very onlikely that the kirg ever got the money which had been voted, and the next parlimemeate were not in a tem. per to repeat the offer.-1848.]
x The tenor of Clarendon'a lffe and writurge almost forblis any surmioe of pecuniary correption. Yet thia is insingated by Pepys, on the anthority of Evelyn, ApHI 27, and May 16, 2867. But the one was gosesplige thoogh ahrewd; and the other feeble, though scoomplished. Lord Dartmouth, who lived in the next afe, and whoee splenetic bumour matran him no good witnees againat anybody, chargee him with receiving bribes from the maln instrumenta and promoters of the lite troubles, and thone who bad plundered the royallsta, which enabled him to build his great mansion in Plectdilly; awerting that it was full of ploturen belonging to fumilies who had heen despolled of them. "And whoever. had - mind to see what great fomillen had been plundered during the civll war might find some remains aither at Clat endern house or at Cornbury." Niute co RJurnet, Ra

An eminent characteristic of Clarendon had been his firmness, called indeed by most pride and obstinacy, which no circumstances, no perils, His pusiliseemed likely to bend. But his spirit sunk all ${ }^{\text {llights }}$ at once with his fortune. Clinging too long to office, and cheating himself against all probability with a hope of his master's kindness when he had lost his confidence, he forgot that dignified philosophy which ennobles a voluntary retirement, that stern courage which innocence ought to inspire; and, hearkening to the king's treacherous counsels, fied before his enemies into a foreign country. Though the impeachment, at least in the point of high tresson, cannot be defended, It is impossible to deny that the act of banish- cond coequent ment, under the circumstances of his flight, banishment was capable, in the main, of full justification. In an ordinary criminal suit, a process of outlawry goes against the acoused who flies from justice; and his neglect to appear within a given time is equivalent, in casses of treason or felony, to a conviction of the offence; can it be complained of, that a minister of state, who dares not confront a parliamentary impeachment, should be visited with an analogous penalty? But, whatever injustice and violence may be found in this prosecution. it established for ever the right of impeachment, which the discredit into which the long parliament had fallen exposed to some hazard; the strong abettors of prerogative, such as Clarendon himself, being inclined to dispute this responsibility of the king's advisers to parliamont. The commons had, in the preceding session, sent up an impeachment against lord Mordaunt, upon charges of so little public moment, that they may be suspected of having chiefly had in view the assertion of this important privilege.' It was never called in question from this time; and indeed they took care during the remainder of this reign that it should not again be endangered by a paucity of precedents."

[^246]The period between the fall of Clarendon in 1667 and the commencement of lord Danby's administration in 1673 is generally reckoned one of the most disgruceful Cabal ministry. age of what is usually denominated the Cabal administration, from the five initial letters of sir Thomas Clifford, first commissioner of the treasury, afterwards lord Clifford and high treasurer ; the earl of Arlington, secretary of state; the duke of Buckingham ; lord Ashley, chancellor of the exchequer, afterwards earl of Shaftesbury and lord chancellor; and, lastly, the duke of

## Scheme of comprehension and indulgence.

 Lauderdale. Yet, though the counsels of these persons soon became extremely pernicious and dishonourable, it must be admitted that the first measures after the banishment of Clarendon, both in domestic and foreign policy, were highly praiseworthy. Bridgeman, who succeeded the late chancellor in the oustody of the great seal, with the assistance of chief baron Hale and bishop Wilkine, and at the instigation of Buckingham, who, careless about every religion,
#### Abstract

Girst proceeding of the long parltament, which showe to considerable change in their tone dince 1661. They do not, however, seem to have urged-whit is an apparent distunction between the two pre-sedients-that the commenment of Scrationd was on a verbal request of Pym in the name of the commons, whont allegina any spectal matter of treason, and contequently trregular and Illegal; while the roul article of Clarendon's impeachment charge him with betraying the ting's cotusels to his enemien; which, however untrus, evidenty amounted to treagon Within the sitatute of Edward III.; to that the objection of the lorde cxtonded to committing any one for treason upon impoachment without all the particularity required in an Indictment. Thls sbowed a very commendable regard to the libarty of the subdect; and from this time we do not find the vegue and unintolligible acousations, whether of treason or midedomeanour, to eraal to formor proceedings of parilament Parh. Hiat ssit. A protelt was sigued by Buctingham, Albemarle, Brintol, Arfing ton, and others of their party, Including Three biuhops (Coalius, Crois, and another)


tgainst the refonal of thetr boruse to combmit Clarendion zpon the general charge. A few, on the other hand, of whom Holla If the ooly remarkable name, protested sgainst the bill of basishment
"The mogt fintal blow" (ayy Jamea) "the king geve himself to his power and prerogetive was whan be sought aid from the bonse of cotamous to deatruy the earl of Clareadon: by that he pat that boose agein in mind of their tmpewching privilegh, which had been wreated out of their hands by the reatoration; and when ministera foond they were like to be left to the consure of parliament, it made them hare a greater attention to court an intereat there than to protume that of thetr princen, trom whom thay baped not fur to sure at tapport" Llfe of Jamen 533.

The lung it fo midy ame rather towis into the mesasare of impenchment; bat became afterwards to enger me togive the attorney-ganeral. Finch, positive orders to be active in it, oberving him to bedlent: Curte's Ormonit idess. Bocidingham had mado the ling great promises of what the commons would do, to case bo woild macrifice Clarondon.
was from humanity or politio motives friendly tc the indulgence of all, laid the foundations of a treaty with the nonconformists, on the basis of a comprehension for the presbyterians, and a toleration for the rest." They had nearly come, it is said, to terms of agreement, so that it was thought time to intimate their design in a speech from the throne. But the spirit of 1662 was still too powerful in the commons; and the friends of Clarendon, whose administration this change of counsels seemed to reproach, taking a warm part against all indulgence, a motion, that the king be desired to send for such persons as he should think fit to make proposals to him in order to the uniting of his protestant subjects, was negatived by 176 to 70.' They proceeded, by almost an equal majority, to continue the bill of 1664 , for suppressing seditious conventicles; which failed, however, for the present, in consequence of the sudden prorogation. ${ }^{\text {© }}$

But whatever difference of opinion might at that time prevail with respect to this tolerant disposition $T$ ripte of the new government, there was none as to allunce. their great measure in external policy, the triple alliance with Holland and Sweden. A considerable and pretty sudden change had taken place in the temper of the English people towards France. Though the discordance of national character, and the dislike that seems natural to neighbours, as well as in some measure the recollections of their ancient hostility, had at all times kept up a certain ill will between the two, it is manifest that before the reign of Charles II. there was not that antipathy and inveterate enmity towards the French in

[^247][^248]general which it has since been deemed an act of patriotism to profess. The national prejudices, from the accession of blizabeth to the restoration, ran far more against Spuin; and it is not surprising that the apprehensions of that ambitious monarchy, which had been very just in the age of Philip II., should have lasted longer than its ability or inclination to molest as. But the rapid declension of Spain after the peace of the Pyrenees, and the towering ambition of Lonis XIV., master of a kingdom intrinsically so much more formidable than its rival, manifested that the balance of power in Europe, and our own immediate secarity, demanded a steady opposition to the aggrandisement of one monarchy, and a regard to the preservation of the other. These indeed were rather considerations for statesmen than for the people; but Louis was become unpopular, both by his acquisition of Dunkirk at the expense, as it was thought, of our honour, and much more deservedly by his shuffling conduct in the Dutch war, and union in it with our adversaries. Nothing, therefore, gave greater satisfaction in England than the triple alliance, and consequent peace of Air la Chapelle, which saved the Spanish Netherlands from absolute conquest, though not without important sacrifices. ${ }^{4}$

Charles himself meanwhile by no means partook in this common jealousy of France. He had, from

Intrigue
with
Franca. the time of his restoration, entered into close relations with that power, which a short period of hostility had interrupted without leaving any resentment in his mind. It is now known that, while his minister was negotiating at the Hague for the triple allianoe, he had made overtures for a clandestine treaty with Louis, through his sistor the duchess of Orleans, the Duke of Buokingham, and the French Ambassador Rouvigny: As the king of France was at first backward

[^249]change for Rowalllon, bal thought better of it on cooler reflection,

- Dalrymplo, 11. 5, et poat. Tempio Wa not treated very thvoarably by mont of the mintsters on his retaro from conctading the triple alliance: Milford midd to in fitend "Well, for all this nolse, we mant yet baw another wir with the Datch before it be long." Tempines Lettores, 193,
in meeting these advances, and the letters publisked in regard to them are very few, we do not find any precise object expressed beyond a olose and intimate friendship. But a few words in a memorial of Rouvigny to Louis XIV. seem to let us into the secret of the real purpose. "The duke of York," he says, "wishes mach for this union; the duke of Buckingham the same: they use no art, but say that nothing else can re-establish the affairs of this court."

Charles II. was not of a temperament to desire arbitrary power, either through haughtiness and conceit of his station, which he did not greatly $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kinge } \\ & \text { desire to be } \\ & \text { absolate }\end{aligned}$ display, or through the love of taking into his absolate. own hands the direction of public affairs, about which he was in general pretty indifferent. He did not wish, as he told lord Essex, to sit like a Turkish sultan, and sentence men to the bowstring, but could not bear that a set of fellows should inquire into his conduct.s His aim, in fact, was liberty rather than power; it was that immunity from control and censure in which men of his character place a great part of their happiness. For ncine years he had cared probably very little about enluncing his prerogative, content with the loyalty, though not quite with the liberality, of his parliament. And. had he not been drawn, against his better judgment, into the war with Holland, this harmony might perhaps have been protracted a good deal longer. But the vast expen diture of that war, producing little or no decisive suooess, and coming unfortunately at a time when trade was not very thriving, and when rents had considerably fallen, exasperated all men against the prodigality of the court, to which they might justly ascribe part of their burthens, and, with the usual miscalculations, believed that much more of them was due. Hence the bill appointing commissioners of public account, so ungrateful to the king, whose personal reputation it was likely to affect, and whose favourite excesses it might tend to restrain.

He was almost equally provoked by the licence of his people's tongues. A court like that of Charles is the patural topic of the idle, as well as the censorious. An
administration so ill conducted could not escape the remarks of a well-conducted and intelligent city. There was one method of putting an end to these impertinent comments, or of rendering them innoxious; but it was the last which he would have adopted. Clarendon informs us that, the king one day complaining of the freedom, as to political conversation, taken in coffeehouses, he recommended either that all persons should be forbidden by proclamation to resort to them, or that spies should be placed in them to give information against seditious speakers. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The king, he says, liked both expedients, but thought it unfair to have recourse to the latter till the former had given fair warning, and directed him to propose it to the conncil ; but here, sir William Coventry objecting, the king was induced to abandon the measure, much to Clarendon's disappointment, though it probably saved him an additional article in his impeachment. The unconstitutional and arbitrary tenor of this great minister's notions of government is strongly displayed in this little anecdote. Coventry was an enlightened and, for that age, an upright man; whoee enmity Clarendon brought on himself by a marked jea lousy of his abilities in council.

Those who stood nearest to the king were not backward to imitate his discontent at the privileges of hir people and their representatives. The language of courtiers and court ladies is always intolerable to honest men, especially that of such courtiers as surrounded the throne of Charles II. It is worst of all amidst publio calamities, such as pressed very closely on one another in a part of his reign-the awful pestilence of 1665 , the still more ruinous fire of 1666 , the fleet burned by the Dutch in the Medway next summer. No one oould reproach the king for outward inactivity or indifference during the great fire. But there were some, as Clarendon tells us, who presumed to assure him "that this was the greatest blessing that God had ever conferred on him, his restoration only excepted; for the walls and gatea being now burned and thrown down of that rebellious city, which was always an enemy to the crown, his majesty would never suffer them to repair and build
them up again, to be a bit in his mouth and a bridle upon his neck: but would keep all open, that his troops might enter upon them whenever he thought it necessary for his service, there being no other way to govern that rude multitude but by force." ${ }^{1}$ This kind of discourse, he goes on to say, did not please the king. Bat here we may venture to doubt his testimony; or, if the natural good temper of Charles prevented him from taking pleasure in such atrocious congratulations, we may be sure that he was not sorry to think the city more in bis power.

It seems probable that this loose and profligate way of speaking gave rise, in a great degree, to the suspicion that the city had been purposely burned by those who were more enemies to religion and liberty than to the court. The papists stood ready to bear the infamy of every unproved crime; and a committee of the house of commons collected evidence enough for those who were already convinced that London had been burned by that obnoxious sect. Though the house did not proceed farther, there can be no doubt that the inquiry contributed to produce that inveterate distrust of the court, whose connexions with the popish faction were half known, half conjectured, which gave from this time ain entirely now complexion to the parliament. Prejndiced as the commons were, they could hardly have imagined the catholice to have burned the city out of mere malevolence, but must have attributed the crime to some farspreading plan of subverting the established constitution. ${ }^{k}$

The retention of the king's guards had excited some jealousy, though no complaints seem to have been made

[^250]to be reed in the Iondon Gavettry for April 30, 1858 ; and it is equally certain that the city was in flames on the 3rd of September. But, thoagh the coincidence is carlous, it would be very weak to think it more than a colncidence, for the same reason as applles to the raupicion which the catholict tncurred--that the more destruction of the city arabl not have been the object of any party, and that nothing was atlompted to manifoed any further design.
of it in parliament; but the sudden levy of a consi dersble force in 1667, however founded upon a very plausible pretext from the circomstances of the war, lending credit. to these dark surmises of the court's sinister designs, gave mach greater alarm. The com mons, summoned together in July, instantly addressed ${ }^{\circ}$ the king to disband his army as soon as the peace should be made. We learn from the duke of York's private memoirs, that some of those who were most respected for their ancient attachment to liberty, deemed it in jeopardy at this crisis. The earls of Northumberland and Leicester, lord Hollis, Mr. Pierpoint, and others of the old parliamentary party, met to take measures together. The first of these told the duke of York that the nation would not be satisfied with the removal of the chancellor, unless the guards were disbanded, and several other grievances redressed. The duke bade him be cautious what he said lest he should be obliged to inform the king; but Northumberland replied that it was his intention to repeat the same to the king, which he did accordingly the next day. ${ }^{\text {m }}$

This change in pablic sentiment gave warning to Charles that he could not expect to reign with as little trouble as he had hitherto experienced; and doubtless the recollection of his father's history did not contribute to cherish the love he sometimes pretended for parliar ments. ${ }^{2}$ His brother, more reflecting, and more impar tient of restraint on royal authority, saw with atill greater clearness than the king that they could only keep the prerogative at its desired height by means of intimidation. A regular army was indispensable: but to keep up an army in epite of parliament, or to raise

[^251]a king't care and happineen to content hit people. I doabt, at men will bever part wilingly with their money, tunled they be well persanded it will be employed directly 6 thooe ond for which they gave it, to thay whil nover be atietiad with a goveroment, unlem thay meo meen are chowen into oflloes and amploymenta by being fit for them, cuatinued for ditcharging them well, rewarded for extre ordinary morth and punithed for remarisable faralte" March \& 18ts. Courtmay' Liso of Tempio, v \& th p $80-1645$
money for its support without parlisment, was a very difficult undertaking. It seemed necessary to call in amore powerful arm than their own ; and, by establishing the closest union with the king of France, to obtain either military or pecuniary succours from him, as circumstances might demand. But there was another and not less imperious motive for a secret treaty. The king, as has been said, though little likely, from the tenor of his life, to feel very strong and lasting impressions of religion, had at times a desire to teatify publicly his adherence to the Romish communion. The duke of York had come more gradually to change the faith in which he was educated. He describes it as the result of patient and anxious inquiry; nor would it be possible therefore to fix a preoise date for his conversion, which seems to have been not fully accomplished till after the restoration. ${ }^{\text {o }}$ He however continued in conformity to the church of England, till, on discovering that the catholic religion exacted an outward communion, which he had fancied not indispensable, he became more uneasy at the restraint that policy imposed on him. This led to a conversation with the king, of whose private opinious and disposition to declare them he was probably informed, and to a close onion with Clifford and Arlington, from whom he bad stood aloof on account of their animosity against Clarendon. The king and duke held a consultation with those two ministere, and with lord Arondel of Wardour, on the 25th of January, 1669, to disouss the ways and methods fit to be taken for the advancement of the catholic religion in these kingdoms. The king spoke earnestly, and with tears in his eyea.

[^252]formers, had power to do what they did; and be was confident, be mald, that whomever reads thoes two books, with attenthon and withont yrefindice, would be of the game opinion. Life of James, i. 629. The duchess of Yorte embraced the same creed an ber husband, and, as be tells us, without knowledge of his mentimenta, but one year before ber death in 3670. She len a paper at ber doath, containing the reatons for her change. Set it in Kennet, 300. It in plain that the, as well as tha duke, had beep influenced by the Romaninlug tendency of tome Aagitas divinet

After a long deliberation it was agreed that there wam no better way to accomplish this purpose than through France, the house of Austria being in no condition to give any assistance. ${ }^{\text {P }}$
The famous secret treaty, which, though believed on secret trouty pretty good evidence not long after the time, of 1480. was first actually brought to light by Dalrymple about half a century since, began to be negotiated very soon after this consultation. ${ }^{4}$ We find allusions to the king's projectes in one of his letters to the duchess of Orleans, dated 22nd March, 1669.' Its otblecta. In another, of June 6, the methods he was adopting to secure himself in this perilons juncture appear. He was to fortify Plymouth, Hull, and Portsmouth, and to place them in trusty hands. The fleet was under the duke, as lord admiral ; the guards and their officers were thought in general well affected;' but his great reliance was on the most christian king. He stipulated for 200,000 . annually, and for the aid of 6000 French troops.' In return for such important

[^253]State Trects, temp. W. III., vol L p. 1. Harl Misc, ii. 38\%. Euvres de Louf XIV., v. 476. It is edogular that Hume should have atigited 90 well-authanct cated a fact, evea before Dalrymple's pubIloation of the treaty; bat I suppose be had never heard of Prtmi's book. (Yet It had been quoted iry Bolingbroke, Diseertation on Partiea, Letter if., who allades also to ecther proofs, which bavo not asen the light." And, in the 'leto tern on the Stody of Eintory,' Lett. $\mathrm{vil}_{0}$ he is zather more expliedt aborat * the private relations I have rend formeriy, drawn up by thooe who were no enemales to amch dealgns, and on the suthority of thuee who were parties to them. ${ }^{3 /}$ ? The criginal treaty has lately been pobliabed by Dr. Lngand, from lord Cliford'a cablaet. [IMalrymple had ouly siven a rougb draught from the depdt at Ver anilles, drawn by eir Richard Beaing for the Freach court. The varintione are not very material.] 5 Dalrymple, $I \mathrm{IL}$.

tThe tenot of the articie leade me to coaclude that these troope were to be landed in Eingland at all events, in order to secure the public trantallity, withoent valting for any disturbenom.
succour, Charles undertook to serve his ally's ambition and wounded pride against the United Provinces. These, when conquered by the French arms, with the co-operation of an English navy, were already shared by the royal conspirators. A part of Zealand fell to the lot of England, the remainder of the Seven Provinces to France, with an understarding that some compensation should be made to the prince of Orange. In the event of any new rights to the Spanish monarchy accruing to the most Christian king, as it is worded (that is, on the death of the king of Spain, a sickly child), it was agreed that England should assist him with all her force by sea and land, but at his own expense; and should obtain not only Ostend and Minorcs, but, as far as the king of France could contribute to it, such parts of Spanish America as she should choose to conquer." So strange a acheme of partitioning that vast inheritance was never, I believe, suspected till the publication of the treaty, though Bolingbroke had alluded to a previous treaty of partition between Louis and the emperor Leopold, the complete discovery of which has been bat lately made.*

Each conspirator, in his coalition against the protestant faith and liberties of Europe, had splendid oljects in view; but those of Louis seemed by far betweense the more probable of the two and less liable to Charies and be defeated. The full completion of their scheme the mode or would have reunited a great kingdom to the ${ }^{\text {its erecution }}$


#### Abstract

${ }^{4}$ P. 49. E Bollingbrokse bus a remariatile pasange as to thia in hil Latters on History (Lettar vil.): it may be aleo alluded to by othere The full detally, however, as well as more authentic proofs, werv reserved, as I bolieve, for the publicestion of Eunrea de Louls XIV, where they will be found in vol. it cos. The propoenal of Louls to the emperor, in 1607, was, buat France thould have the Pays Bas, Franche Comti, Milan, Naples, the porte of Thacany, Navarre, and the Philippine Ielands: Leopold taring all the rest. The obvious drifit of this was, that Prance ahould put hersolf in poosession of an enormon linerease of power and verritory, leaving Leopold to fight as he eould for Spain and Americen, which were oon liticoly io aranit peaceably. Tra


Anstrian eabinet understood this; and proposed that they ahould exchange their shares. Finally, however, it was concluded on the King's terms, except that he wat to take Sicliy instead of Mina One article of this treaty was, that Louis should leeep what he had conquered in Flandets; is other words, the terms of the treaty of Aix la Chapelie. The ratifications were exchanged 29th Feh 1668. Louls representa himself as moro suduced by this prospect than by apy lear of the triple alliance, of which be spenike allightingly, to conclude the peace of Aix Is Chapelle. He thought that he shauld acquire a character for moderation which might be servicesble to him "davs les grands mocroissemens que nua fortuba prourcoit recevoir." Foll it th 38.
catholic religion, and turned a powerful neighbour into a dependent pensioner. But should this fail (and Louis was too sagacious not to discern the chances of failure), he had pledged to him the assistance of an ally in subjugating the republic of Holland, which, according to all human caloulation, could not withstand their united efforts; nay, even in those ulterior projects which his restless and sanguine ambition had ever in view, and the success of which would have realised, not indeed the chimera of an universal monarchy, but a supremacy and dictatorship over Europe. Charles, on the other hand, besides that he had no other return to make for the necessary protection of France, was impelled by a personal hatred of the Dutch, and by the consciousness that their commonwealth was the standing reproach of arbitrary power, to join readily in the plan for its subversion. But, looking first to his own objects, and perhaps a little distrustful of his ally, he pressed that his profession of the Roman catholio religion should be the first measure in proseoution of the treaty; and that he should immediately receive the stipulated 200,0001 , or at least a part of the money. Louis insisted that the declaration of war against Holland should precede. This difference occasioned a considerable delay; and it was chiefly with a view of bringing round her brother on this point that the duchess of Orleans took her famous journey to Dover in the spring of 1670. Yet, notwithstanding her influence, which passed for irresistible, he persisted in adhering to the right reserved to him in the draft of the treaty of choosing his own time for the declaration of his religion; and it was concluded on this footing at Dover, by Clifford, Arundel, and Arlington, on the 22nd of May, 1670, during the visit of the duchess of Orleans."

[^254] war mucoeded it was wot mach metter mave been if the private treaty fint

[^255]A mutual distrust, however, retarded the further progress of this scheme, one party umwilling to comuit himself till be should receive money, the other too cautious to run the risk of throwing it away. There can be no question but that the king of France was right in urging the conquest of Holland as a preliminary of the more delicate Dusiness they were to manage in Fingland; and, from Charles's subsequent behaviour, as well as his genoral fickleness and love of ease, there seems reason to believe that he would gladly have receded from an ondertaking of which he must every day have more strongly perceived the difficulties. He confessed, in fact, to Louis's ambassador, that he was almost the only man in his kingdom who liked a French alliance. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ The change of religion, on a nearer view, appeared dangerows for himself and impracticable as a national measure: He had not dared to intrust any of his protestant ministers, even Buckinghan,, whose indifference in such points was notorious, with this great secret; and, to keep them the better in the dark, a mock negotiation was set on foot with France, and a pretended treaty actually signed, the exact counterpart of the other except as to religion. Buckingham, Shaftesbury, and Lauderdale were concerned in this simulated treaty, the nego ${ }^{\circ}$ tiation for which did not commence till after the original convention had been signed at Dover."

The court of France, having yielded to Charles the point about which he had seemed so anxious, had soon the mortification to discover that he would take no steps to effect it. They now urged that immediate declaration
sgreed on had not been altered" The French court, bowever, wie evidenty right in thintien that, till the exoquest of Kolland erould be achieved, the dechatelion of the litage teligion Fotald oaly mexken bin at bowe. it is gratt fying to find the berofo charncter of our glorious deliveser tiliphaytang ibelf amont theme foll cosespirnctes The prince of Orage cume ower to England in J6T0. He wian theo wery roung; and tis sache. who wis really atorcted to him, would troe giadly muochuted him to the design; thetoed it had been agreed that be wien to propers prit of the Univad Prootmoer in
tovereigoty. Bat Colbert writes that the king had found han mo sealons a Datchman and protestiant, that he could not trust bim vith any part of the becret He ket himin trow, howeve, ta welear from Barnet $\$$ sen, that be had himeell embraced the Romisil faith
${ }^{5}$ Dalrymaple, 57.
 wort is is ald that even the docterem of Criem had mo knowledge of the real tremty; and that the other origineted vith Bactingbam. Bat Dalrymple's antibority neem far bether in this in stubse.
of his religion which they had for very wise reasons not long before dissuaded. The king of England hung back; and tried so many excuses that they had reason to suspect his sincerity; not that in fact he had played a feigned part from the beginning, but, his zeal for popery having given way to the seductions of a voluptuous and indolent life, he had been led, with the good sense he naturally possessed, to form a better estimate of his resources and of the opposition he must encounter. Meanwhile the eagerness of his ministers had plunged the nation into war with Holland, and Louis, having attained his principal end, ceased to trouble the king on the subject of religion. He received large sums from France during the Dutch war.b

This memorable transaction explains and justifies the strenuous opposition made in parliament to the king and duke of York, and may be reckoned the first act of a drama which ended in the revolution. It is true that the precise terms of this treaty were not authentically known: but there can be no doubt that those who from this time displayed an insuperable jealonsy of one brother, and a determined enmity to the other, had proofs enough for moral conviction of their deep conspiracy with France against religion and liberty. This suspicion is implied in all the conduct of that parliamentary opposition, and is the apology of much that seems violence and faction, especially in the husiness of the popish plot and the bill of exclusion. It is of importance also to observe that James II. was not misled and betrayed by false or foolish counsellors, as some would suggest, in his endeavours to subvert the laws, but acted on a plan long since concerted and in which he had taken a principal share.

It must be admitted that neither in the theaty itsolf, nor in the few letters which have been published by Dalrymple, do we find any explicit declaration either that the catholio religion was to be established as the national church or arbitrary power introduced in Fingland. But there are not wanting strong presumptions of this design. The king speaks, in a letter to his sister, of finding moans to put the proprietors of church lands

[^256]ont of apprehension. ${ }^{*}$ He uses the expression, "rétablir la religion catholique;" which, though not quite unequivocal, seems to convey more than a bare toleration or a personal profession by the sovereign. He talks of a negotiation with the court of Rome to obtain the permission of having mass in the vulgar tongue and comimunion in buth kinds as terms that would render his couversion agreeable to his subjects." He tells the French ambassador that not only his conscience, but the confusion he saw every day increasing in his kingdom to the diminution of his authority, impelled him to declare himself a catholic; which, besides the spiritual advantage, he believed to be the only means of restoring the monarchy. These passages, as well as the precautions taken in expectation of a vigorous resistance from a part of the nation, appear to intimate a formal reestablishment of the catholic chorch; a measure connected, in the king's apprehension, if not strictly with arbitrary power, yet with a very material enhancement of his prerogative. For the profession of an obnoxious faith by the king, as an insulated person, would, instead of strengthening his authority, prove the greatest obstacle to it, as, in the next reign, turned out to be the case. Charles, however, and the duke of York deceived themselves into a confidence that the transition could be effected with no extraordinary difficulty. The king knew the prevailing laxity of religions principles in many sbout his court, and thought he had reason to rely on others as secretly catholic. Sunderiand is mentioned as a young man of talent, inclitited to adopt that religion. ${ }^{\text {f }}$ Even the earl of Orrery is spoken of as a eatholic in his heart.s The duke, who conversed more among divines, was led to hope, from the strange language of the high-church party, that they might readily be persuaded to make what seemed no long step, and come into easy terms of union. ${ }^{\text {" }}$ It wes the constant

[^257]4 Pp. 62, 84 PR. 81. EP. 83.
b ${ }^{4}$ The generallts of the church of Fingland men wea not at that time very averse to the catholio religton; many that went under that uame had their religica to choose, and went to charch for cemp̧any's eake." Lifo of Jamos p. 408
policy of the Romish priests to extennate the differences between the two churches, and to throw the main odium of the schism on the Calvinistic sects. And many of the Anglicans, in their abhorrence of protestant nonconformists, played into the hands of the common enemp.

The court, however, entertained great hopes from the depressed condition of the dissenters, whom it

Presh reveritien against dissentions. was intended to bribe with that toleration under a catholic regimen which they could so little expect from the church of England. Hence the duke of York was always strenuous against schemes of comprehension, which would invigorate the protestant interest and promote conciliation. With the opposite view of rendering a union among protestants impracticable, the xigorous episcopalians were enconraged underhand to prosecute the nonconformists. ${ }^{\text {' }}$. The duke of York took pains to assure Owen, an eminent divine of the independent persuasion, that he looked on all persecution as an unchristian thing, and altogether against his conscience.' Yet the court promoted a renewal of the temporary act passed in 1664 against conventicles, which was reinforced by the addition of an extraordinary proviso, "That all clauses in the act should be construed most largely and beneficially for suppressing conventicles, and for the justification and enceuragement of all persons to be employed in the execution thereof." $=$ Wilkins, the most. honest of the bishops, opposed this act in the house of lords, notwithstanding the kang's personal request that he would be silent." Sheldon, and others who, like him, disgraced the charch of England by their unprincipled policy or their passions, not only gave it their earnest support at the time, but did all in their power to enforce its execution. ${ }^{-}$As the king's temper was naturally tolerant, his 00-operation in this

[^258][^259]severe measure would not easily be anderstood without the explanation that a knowledge of his secret policy enables us to give. In no long course of time the persecution was relaxed, the imprisoned ministers set at liberty, some of the leading dissenters received pensions, and the king's declaration of a general indulgence held forth an asylum from the law under the banner of prorogative. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ Though this is said to have proceeded from the advice of Shaftesbury, who had no concern in the original secret treaty with France, it was completely in the spirit of that compact, and must have been acceptable to the king.

But the factious, fanatical, republican party (such were the usual epithets of the court at the time, such have ever since been applied by the advocates or apologists of the Stuarts) had gradually led away by their delusions that parliament of cavaliers; or, in other words, the glaring vices of the king, and the manifestation of designs egainst religion and liberty, had dispossessed them of a confiding loyalty which, though highly dangerous from its excees, had always been rather ardent than servile. The sessions had been short, and the intervals of repeated prorogations much longer than usual : a policy not well calculated for that age, where the growing discontents and suspicions of the people acquired strength by the stoppage of the regular channel of complaint. Yet the house of commons, during this period, though onmanageable on the one point of toleration, had displayed no want of confidence in the king nor any animosity towards his administration; notwithstanding the flagrant alnses in the expenditure which the parliamentary commission of public accounts had brought to light, and the outrageous assault on sir John Coventry, a orime notoriously perpetrated by persons

[^260]employed by the court, and probably by the king's direct order. ${ }^{4}$

The war with Holland at the beginning of 1672 , sec repugnant to English interests, so unwarranted
Dutch war. by any provocation, so infamously piratical in its commencement, so ominous of further schemes stillmore dark and dangerous, finally opened the eyes of all men of integrity. It was accompanied by the shutting up of the exchequer, an avowed bankruptcy at the moment of beginning an expensive war, and by the declaration of indulgence, or suspension of all penal laws in religion: an assertion of prerogative which seened without limit. These exorbitances were tho more scandalous that they happened during a very long prorogation. Hence the court so lost the confidence of the house of commons that, with all the lavish corruption of the following period, it could never regain a secure majority on any important question. The saperiority of what was called the country party is referred to the session of February, 1673, in which they compelled the king to recall his proclamation suspending the penal lows, and raised a barrier against the encroachments of popery in the test act.

The king's declaration of indulgence had been pro-

Declarntion of indul. genco jected by Shaftesbury in order to conciliate or lull to sleep the protestant dissenters. It redounded, in its immediate effect, chiefly to their benefit; the catholics already enjoying a conniv-


#### Abstract

9 This is assarted by Burnet, and semens to be acknowledged by the duke of York. The court eudeavoured to miltigate the effect of the bill brought into the commons in consequence of Coventry'm infirty; and wo far suoceeded, that, instead of a partial measure of protection for the members of the house of commons, as orignally designed, (which moemed, I auppose, to carry too marked s referonce to the particular trausaction), It was turied tutic a general ach, making It a capital folvay to wound with intentilon to miim or distgura. But the name of the Coventry act lus alwaye clung to this statute. Parl. Hist. 48l. * Tho ting promised the bankers interost ut six per cent, Instead of tho


[^261]ance at the private exercise of their religion, and the doclaration expressly refusing them publio places of worship. The plan was most laudable in itself, could we separate the motives which prompted it, and the means by which it was pretended to be made effectival. But in the declaration the king says, "We think ourselves obliged to make use of that supreme power in ecclesiastical matters which is not only inherent in us, 'but hath been declared and recognised to be so by several statutes and acts of parliament." "We do," he eays, not long afterwards, "declare our will and pleasure to be, that the execution of all and all manner of penal laws in matters ecclesiastical, against whatsoever sort of nonconformists or recusants, be immediately auspended, and they are hereby suspended." He mentions also his intention to license a certain number of places for the religious worship of nonconforming protestants."

It was generally understood to be an ancient prerogative of the crown to dispense with penal statutes in favour of particular persons, and under certain restriotions. It was undeniable that the king might, by what is called a "noli prosequi," stop any criminal prosecution commenced in bis courts, though not an action for the recovery of a pecuniary penalty, which, by many statutes, was given to the common informer. He might, of course, set at liberty, by means of a pardon, any person imprisoned, whether upon conviction or by a magistrate's warrant. Thus the operation of penal statutes in religion might, in a great measure, be rendered ineffectual by an exercise of undisputed prerogatives; and thus, in fact, the catholics had been enabled, since the accession of the house of Stuart, to withstand the crushing severity of the laws. But a pretension, in explicit terms, to suspend a body of statutes, a command to magistrates not to put them in execution, arrogated a sort of absolute power which no henefits of the indulgence itself (had they even been less insidiously offered) could induce a lover of constitutional privileges to endurs." Notwithstanding the affected distinotion of

[^262]temporal and ecclesiastical matters, it was evident that the king's supremacy was as much capable of being bounded by the legislature in one as in the other, and that every law in the statnte-book might be repealed by e similar proclamation. The house of commons voted that the king's prerogative in matters ecclesiastical does opposed by not extend to repeal acts of parliament, and pariiement, addressed the king to recall his declaration. Whether from a desire to protect the nonconfurmists in a toleration even illegally obtained, or from the influence of Buckingham among some of the leaders of opposition, it appears from the debates that many of those, who had deen in general most active against the court, resisted this vote, which was carried by 168 to 116. The king, in his answer to this address, lamented that the house should question his ecclesiastical power, which had never been done before. This brought on a fresh rebake, and, in a second address, they positively deny the king's right to suspend any law. "The legislative power," they say, "has always been acknowledged to reside in the king and two houses of parliament." The king, in a speech to the house of lords, complained mach of the opposition made by the commons, and found a majority of the former disposed to support him, though both houses concurred in an address against the growth and witb- of popery. At length, against the advice of drawn the bolder part of his council, but certainly with a just sense of what he most valued, his ease of mind, Charles gave way to the public woice, and withdrew his declaration."

There was, indeed, a line of policy indicated at this
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timo which, though intolerable to the bigotry and passion of the house, would best have foiled the schemes of the ministry; a legislative repeal of all the peual statutes both against the catholic and the protestant dissenter, as far as regarded the exercise of their religion. It must be evident to any impartial man that the unrelenting harshness of parliament, from whom no abatement, even in the sanguinary laws against the priests of the Romish church, had been obtained, had naturally and almost irresistibly driven the members of that persuasion into the camp of prerogative, and even furnished a pretext for that continual intrigue and conspiracy which was carried on in the court of Charles II., as it had been in that of his father. A genuine toleration would have put an end to mach of this, but, in the circumstances of that age, it could not have been safely granted without an exclusion from those pablic trusts which were to be conferred by a sovereign in whom no trust could be reposed.

The act of supremacy in the first year of Elizalueth bad imposed on all accepting temporal as well as ecclesiastical offices an oath denying the apiritual jurisdiction of the pope. But though the refusal of this oath when tendered incurred various penalties, yet it does not appear that any were attached to its neglect, or that the oath was a previous qualification for the enjoyment of office, as it was made by a subsequent act of the same reign for sitting in the house of commons. It was found also by experience that persons attached to the Roman doctrine sometimes made use of strained constructions to reconcile the oath of supremacy to their faith. Nor could that test be offered to peers, who were excepted by a special provision. For these Teat act. several reasons a more effectual security against popish counsellors, at least in notorious power, was oreated by the famous test act of 1673 , which renders the reception of the sacrament acoording to the rites of the church of England, and a declaration renouncing the doctrine of transubstantiation, preliminary oonditions without which no temporal office of trust can be enjoyed. In this fundamental article of faith no compromise or equi-
vocation would be admitted by any member of the church of Rome. And, as the obligation extended to the highest ranks, this reached the end for which it was immediately cesigned; conipelling not only the lord-treasurer Clifford, the boldest and most dangerous of that party, to retire from public business, but the duke of York bimself, whose desertion of the protestant church was hitherto not absolutely undisguised, to quit the post of lord-admiral. ${ }^{\text {P }}$

It is evident that a test might have been framed to exclude the Roman catholic as effectually as the present without bearing like this on the protestant nonconformist. But, though the preamble of the bill, and the whole history of the transaction, show that the main object was a safeguard against popery, it is probable that a majority of both houses liked it the better for this secondary effect of shutting out the presbyterians still more than had been done by previous statutes of this reign. There took place, however, a remarkable caalition between the two parties; and many who had always acted as high-churchmen and cavaliers, sensible at last of the policy of their common adversaries, renounced a gqod deal of the intolerance and bigotry that had characterised the present parliament. The dissenters, with much prudence or laudable disinterestedness, gave their support to the test act. In return, a bill was brought in, and after some debate passed to the lords, repealing. in a considerable degree the persecuting laws against their worship. The upper house, perhaps invidiously, returned it with amendments more favourable to the dissenters, and insisted upon them after a conference."

[^264]pretty well known, though be did not ceese to comform till 1672
${ }^{4}$ Parl. Hist 528-585. Thew debates are copled from those pobllahed by Ax chitel Grey, a member of the commons for thirty jears; but his notes, though collect valy most valuable, sre sometumen *) brief and itl expreseed, thatit in bardity ponsible to make out their meaning. The court and church party, or rather tame al them, seem to bave much oppowed thid bll for the relief of proteatent dizeentirne - Commoest Jommals, 29th and 29ch Miach, 1e7s Lorde' Jourpal, 24in and

A sudden prorogation very soon put an end to this bill, which was as unacceptable to the court as it was to the zealots of the church of England. It had been intended to fullow it up by another, excluding all who should not conform to the established church from serving in the house of commons. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

It may appear remarkable that, as if content with these provisions, the victorious country party did not remonstrate against the shatting up of the exchequer, nor even wage any direct war against the king's advisers. They voted, on the contrary, a large supply, which, as they did not choose explicitly to recognise the Dutch war, was expressed to be granted for the king's extraordinary occasions. This moderation, which ought at least to rescue them from the charges of faction and violence, has been censured by some as servile and corrupt; and would really incur censure if they had not attained the great object of breaking the court measures by other means. But the test act, and their steady protestation against the suspending prerogative, crushed the projects and dispersed the

Fall of members of the cabal. The king had no longer Shaftesbry and his colleagues. any minister on whom he could rely; and, with his indolent temper, seems from this time, if not to have abandoned all hope of declaring his change of religion, yet to have seen both that and his other favourite projeots postponed without much reluctance. From a real predilection, from the prospect of gain, and partly, no doubt, from some distant views of arbitrary power and a catholic establishment, he persevered a long time in clinging secretly to the interest of France; but his active co-operation in the schemes of 1669 was at an end. In

29th March. The lords were so slow about this bill that the lower housa, knowing an adjourrment to be in contemplation, aent a message to quicken thern, according to a practice not nnusual in this reign. Herhaps, on an attantive comeideration of the report on the canference (Minch 29), it toay appeer that the loris' amesdmente hed a tendency to lef in poptsh, rather thas to fivour protestant disoenters. Parker any that this act of indulgenco was defested by ins

posed that the ponconformists should actinowledge the war againgt Charies L to be unlawful. Hist salit temparis. P . 208 of the transletion.
b It wata propowed, an an Inatruction to the committee on the lesk act, that a clause aboold be introduced readering nonconformint incapable of diting in the house of commona. This was lost by 103 to $10 \%$; bat it was resolved that $\quad$ a distiact till thould be brought in for taat porposen, 10th March, 1673.

- Kennet, p. S18.
the next session, of October, 1673, the commons drove Buckingham from the king's conncils; they intimidated Arlington into a change of policy; and, though they did not succeed in removing the duke of Lauderdale, compelled him to confine himself chiefly to the affains of Scotland. ${ }^{\text {d }}$


## CHAPTER XII.
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Tre period of lord Danby's administration, from 1673 to 1678, was full of chicanery and dissimulation on the king's side, of increasing suspiciousmess $\begin{gathered}\text { Eanrby's of } \\ \text { Di- }\end{gathered}$ on that of the commons. Forced by the voice mimistration of parliament and the bad success of his arms into peace with Holland, Charles struggled hard against a cooperation with her in the great confederacy of Spain and the empire to resist the encroachments of France on the Netherlands. Such was in that age the strength of the barrier fortresses, and so heroic the resistance of the prince of Orange, that, notwithstanding the extreme weakness of Spain, there was no moment in that war when the sincere and strenuous intervention of England would not have compelled Louis XIV. to accept the terms of the treaty of Aix la Chapelle. It was the treacherous attachment of Charles II. to French interests that brought the long congrese of Nimeguen to an anfortunate termination; and, by surrendering so many towns of Flanders as laid the rent open to future aggres
sion, gave rise to the tedions struggles of two more wars."

In the behaviour of the house of commons during this

Opposition to the commons. period, previously at least to the session of 1678, there seems nothing which can incur much reprehension from those who reflect on the king's character and intentions; unless it be that they granted supplies rather too largely, and did not sufficiently provide against the perils of the time. But the house of lords contained, unfortunately, an invincible majority for the court, ready to frustrate any legislative security for public liberty. Thus the habeas corpus act, first sent up to that house in 1674. was lost there in several successive sessions. The commons, therefore, testified their sense of public grievances, and kept alive an alarm in the nation, by resolutions and addresses, which a phlegmatic reader is sometimes too rpt to consider as factions or nnnecessary. If they seeri to have dwelt more, in some of these, on the dangers of religion, and less on those of liberty, than we may now think reasonable, it is to be remembered that-the fear of popery has always been the surest string to touch for effect on the people; and that the general clamour against that refigion was all covertly directed against the duke of Oorrupation York, the most dangerous enemy of every part of the par- of our constitution. The real vice of this parlinement liament was not intemperance, but corruption. Clifford, and still more Danby, were masters in an art practised by ministers from the time of James I. (and which indeed can never be unknown where there exists a court and a popular assembly), that of torning to their use the weapons of mercenary eloquence by office, or blunting their edge by bribery. Some who had been once prominent in opposition, as sir Robert Howard and sir Richard Temple, became placemen; some, like

[^265][^266]Garrawny and sir 'Chomas Lee, while they continued to lead the country party, took money from the court for softening particular votes; many, as seems to have been the case with Reresby, were won by promises and the pretended friendship of men in power. ${ }^{4}$ On two great classes of questions, France and popery, the commons broke away from all management; nor was Danby unwilling to let his master see their indocility on these subjeots. But in general, till the year 1678. by dint of the means before mentioned, and partly no doubt through the honest conviction of many that the king was not likely to employ any minister more favourable to the protestant religion and liberties of Europe, he kept his ground without any insuperable opposition from parliament."

The earl of Danby had virtues as an English minister, which served to extenuate some great errors and an entire want of scrupulousmess in his conduct. Zealons against the church of Rome Character of lue enrl of Lamby. und the aggrandizement of France, he counteracted, while he seemed to yield to, the prepossessions of his

[^267]master. If the policy of England before the peace of Nimeguen was mischievous and disgraceful, it would evidently have been far more so had the king and duke of York been abetted by this minister in their fatal predilection for France. We owe to Danby's influence, it must ever be remembered, the marriage of princese Mary to the prince of Orange, the seed of the revolution and the act of settlement-a courageous and disinterested counsel, which ought not to have proved the source of his greatest misfortunes. ${ }^{4}$ But we cannot pretend to say that he was altogether as sound a friend to the constitution of his country as to her national dignity and interests. I do not mean that he wished to render the king absolute. But a minister, harassed and attacked in parliament, is tempted to desire the means of crushing his opponents, or at least of augmenting his own sway. The mischievous bill that passed the house of lords in 1675, imposing as a test to be taken by hoth houses of parliament, as well as all holding beneficed offices, a declaration that resistance to persons commissioned by the king was in all cases unlawful, and that they would never attempt any alteration in the government in church or state, was promoted by Danby, though it'might possibly originate with others.s It was appar

[^268]England a provinco of Prance. Reranly': Memolrs, p. 109.-1845.]

E Kennet, $p$ 3*2. North: Errmen, p. 61. Burnet. Thts teat was covertly meant egolntt the Romish party, an well te more openily against the dimenters Life of Jamea, p. 499. Danby wet hime belf up as the patron of the church party and old cavaliers agalnst the two opposing religfons, trasting that they were stronger in the houst of oommone But the times were so changed that the same men had no longer the axme priaciples, and the house woald listen to no mes. suret agalast nonconformista He prow pldated, however, the prelates, by rep newing the persecation under the existirs lawn, which had bean relazed by tif abal ministry. Baxter, 156, 172. Eennet, S91. Neal, 808. Somers Tracts, wh. 33.

Meanwbite, schemee of wtapinbenaio were sometimes on foot; and the prelated afrected to be intirons of beinging aboat
rently meant as a bone of contention among the country party, in which presbyterians and old parliamentarians were associated with discontented cavaliers. Besides the mischief of weakening this party, which indeed the minister could not fairly be expected to feel, nothing could have been devised more unconstitutional, or more advantageous to the court's projects of arbitrary power.

It is certainly possible that a minister who, aware of the dangerous intentions of his sovereign or his colleagues, remains in the cabinet to thwart and countermine them, may serve the public more effectually than by retiring from office; but he will scarcely succeed in avoiding some material sacrifices of integrity, and still less of reputation. Danby, the ostensible adviser of Charles II., took on himself the just odium of that hollow and suspicious policy which appeared to the world. We know indeed that he was concerned, against his own judgment, in tho king's secret receipt of money from France, the price of neutrality, both in 1676 and in 1678, the latter to his own ruin. Could the opposition, though not so well apprized of these transactions as we are, be censured for giving little credit to his assurances of zeal against that power; which, though sincere in him, were so little in unison with the disposition of the court? Had they no cause to dread that the great army suddenly raised in 1677, on pretence of being employed

than not at all.
it Charles received 800,000 crowns for the long prorogatluth of parilament, from Nov. 1676 to Feb. 1677. In the begino ning of the year 1676 the two klage bound themselven by a formal treaty (to which Danby and Lauderdale. but not Coventry or Williamson, were privy) not to enter an eny treaties but by matanal consent; and Charles promised, in coninideration of a penalion, to proregue of dissolve parliament, if they mould attempt to force auch treatles upon him. Dairymple, p. 99. Danby tried to break this uf, but did not best tata to prees the French cabinat for the money; and $\mathbf{2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ was paid. The prince of Orange came afterwards through Rou Vigny to a knowledge of this sacret treaty

## against Erance, might be tarned to some worse purposes more congenial to the king's temper ? ${ }^{i}$

This invincible distrast of the court is the best apology Connexion for that which has given rise to so much cenof the popular paris with France. Its motives on both sidides. sure, the secret connexions formed by the leaders of opposition with Louis XIV., through his ambassadurs Barillon and Rouvigny, about the spring of $1678 .{ }^{*}$ They well knew that the king's designs against their liberties had been planned in concert with France, and could hardly be rendered effectual without her aid in money, if not in arms. ${ }^{(1)}$ If

T Thls army consisted of between twonty and thirty thousand men, as fine troops an could be seen (Life of James, p. 612 - an alarming sight to those who denied the lawfutuess of any standing ermy. It is Impossible to doubt, from Barillon's correspondence in Dalrymple, that the ling and duke looked to this force as the means of consolidatiug the rogal anthority. This was suspected at home, and very Justly:-re Many wallmeaning men," says Reresby, "began to fear the army now ralsed was rather inlended to awe our own tingdom than to war agalnat France, as had at flret been eragested:" $p$. And in a former passage, p. 57, he poaitively attributes the oppoaition to the French war in 2878 to "a jealonay that the king indeed Iutended to raleo an army, but never dosigned to go on with the war; and, to ay the truth, some of tive teing's own party wers not tery sure of the contrary."
t Dalrymple, p. 129. The fmmediate canse of tboce intrigues wan the indignts Nan of Louln at the princess Mary's marriage That ovent, which, we we know from Iguras lumgelf, was very atdienly brought about, *cok the ling of Frawce by surprise Charles apoingised for it to Barillon, by maying, "I am the only one of my party, rxoept my brother." P. 12B. This, in fhet, was the secret of his apparent relinquishment of Hrench intereatis at diferent times in the latter years of his relgin; he found it hard to trick constantly againat the pricker, and could ersploy no minieter who went cordially aloug with his prodilections He seems Ho st Jriek, in woll as the duke of Yors,
to have been eerlously provolted at the mocesing encruachments of Prapce, whis expoeed him to so mach resation at Rome.

The connexion with lords Burell and Hollis begain in March, 1678, thongh some of the opposition had been making advances to Barilion in the preceding November: p. 125, 131. See als0 ${ }^{4}$ Copien and Extracts of bome Letters writien to him from the Earl of Danbry.' published in 1t18, whence it appeare that Montage suspected the Intrigues of Barillon, and the mistion of Ronvigny, lady Rusoelra first-cousin, for the same purpose, an early as Jan. 1078, and informed Dank. of it: p. 50, $53,69$.
m Courtin, the French ambarauior who preceded Harillon, had been engegad throngh great part of the gear 1677 in a treaty with Charlea for the prorogation ur dissolution of Parlinment After a long chafiering, the tum wrst Axed at 2,000,000 livrea; in conalderation of wilch the king of England pixdged himp tell to prorogae parliament from becember to April, 1678. It way in convequence of the auboidy being atopped by Loule, in resentment of the princeng Minry's marriage, that parilament, whill thad been already prorogued sill Aprit, was cuddenly amembled in Febranry. Dalrymple, p .111 . It appears that Courtin had employed Prench money to bribs membere of the commone is 16 p t with the knowledge of Charles, maigning as a reason that Spatin and the amperio wer distributing monay on the other adde. In the cuurse of this megotiation ho amured Charle that the tiog d Frace was alway mond to emplog at
they could draw over this dangerous ally from his side, and convince the king of France that it was not his interest to crush their power, they would at least frustrate the suspected conspiracy, and secure the dis banding of the army; though at a great sacrifice of the continontal policy which they had long maintained, and which was truly important to our honour and safety. Yet there must be degrees in the scale of public utility; and, if the liberties of the people were really endangered by domestic treachery, it was ridiculous to think of saving Tournay and Valenciennes at the expense of all that was dearest at home. This is plainly the secret of that unaccountable, as it then seemed, and factions opposition, in the year 1678, which cannot be denied to have served the ends of France, and thwarted the endeavours of lord Danby and sir William.Temple to urge on the uncertain and half-reluctant temper of the king into a decided course of policy. ${ }^{\text {n }}$ Louis, in fact, had no desire to see the king of England absolute over his people, unless it could be done so much by his own help as to render himself the real master of both. In the estimate of kings, or of such kings as Louis XIV., all limitations of sovereignty, all co-ordinate authority of estates and parliaments, are not-only derogatory to the royal dignity ${ }^{3}$,
bis forces for the confirmation and augmentation of the royal authority in Fingland, so that he should always be master of his subjecta, and not depend upon them.
${ }^{-}$See what Temple says of this, p. 460. The king raised 20,000 men in the spring of 1678 , and seemed ready to go luto the war; but all was spoiled by a vote, on Clarges's motion, that no money should be granted till satisfaction should be made as to religion. This irritated the sing so much that be determined to take the money which France offered him; and he afterwards almost conpelled the Dutch to sign the treaty; so much against the prince of Orange's inclinations, that he has often been charged, though unjustly, with having fought the battly of St. Denis after he knew that the peace was concluded. Danby also, In his Vindicati on (pablished in 1679, and again in 1710--see State Trials, ii. a34), lays the blame of discouraging the
king from embarking in the war on this vote of the commons. And the author of the Life of James II. says very truly that the commons "were in reality more jealous of the king's power than of the power of France; for, notwithstanding all their former warm addresses for hindering the growth of the power of France. when the king had no army, now that he had one they passed a vote to have it immediately disbanded; and the factious party, which was then prevalent among them, made it their only business to be rid of the duke, to pull down the ministers, and to weaken the crown." P. 512.
In defence of the commons it is to be urged that, if they had any strong suspicion of the king's private intrigues with France for some years past, as in all likelihood they had, common prudence would teach them to distrust his pretended desire for war with her ; and it is, in fact, most probable that his real ohfect was to be master of a considerable arnay
but injurious to the state itself, of which they distract the councils and enervate the force. Great armies, prompt obedience, unlimited power over the national resources, secrecy in council, rapidity in execntion, belong to an energetic and enlightened dospotism: we should greatly err in supposing that Louis XIV. Was led to concur in projects of subverting our constitution from any jealousy of its contributing to our prosperity. He saw, on the contrary, in the perpetual jarring of the kings and parliaments, a source of feebleness and vacillation in foreign affairs, and a field for intrigue and corraption. It was certainly far from his design to see a republic, either in name or effect, established in England; but an unanimous loyalty, a spontaneous submission to the court, was as little consonant to his interests; and, especially if accompanied with a willing return of the majority to the catholic religion, wonld have put an end to his influence over the king, and still more certainly over the duke of York. ${ }^{\circ}$ He had long been sensible of the advantage to be reaped from a malecontent party in England. In the first years after the restoration he kept up a connection with the disappointed commonwealth's men, while their courage was yet fresh and unsubdued; and in the war of 1665 was very nearly exciting insurrections both in England and Ireland. These schemes of course were suspended as he grew into closer friendship with Charles, and saw a surer method of preserving an ascendancy over the kingdom. But, as soon as the princess Mary's marriage, contrary to the king of England's promise, and to the plain intent of all their olandestine negotiations, displayed his faithless and uncertain character to the French cabinet, they determined to make the patriotism, the passion, and the corruption of the house of commons, minister to their resentment and ambition.

[^269]The views of lord Hollis and lord Russell in this clane. destine intercourse with the French ambassador were sincerely patriotic and honourable: to detach France. from the ling; to crush the duke of York and popish faction; to procure the disbanding of the army, the dissolution of a corrupted parliament, the dismissal of a bad minister. ${ }^{9}$ They would indeed have displayed more prudeuce in leaving these dark and dangerous paths of intrigue to the court which was practised in them. They were concerting measures with the natural enemy of their country, religion, honour, and liberty; whose obvious policy was to keep the kingdom disunited that. it might be powerless; who had been long abetting the worst designs of our own court, and who could never be expected to act against popery and despotism, but for the temporary ends of his ambition. Yet, in the very critical circumstances of that period, it was impossible to pursue any course with security; and the dangers of excessive circumspection and adherence to general rules may often be as formidable as those of temerity. The connection. of the popular party with France may very probably have frustrated the sinister intentions of the king and duke, by compelling the reduction of the army, thougk at the price of a great sacrifice of European policy. Such may be, with unprejudiced men, a sufficient apology for the conduct of lord Russell and lord Hollis, the most public-spirited and high-minded characters of their age,


#### Abstract

9 The letters of Barillon in Delrymple, p. 134, 138, 140, are aufficient proofs of this. He imputes to Danby in one place, p. 142, the design of making the king absolute, and says: "M. le duc d'York se croit perdu pour sa religion, sl l'occasion préserte ne lul sert à soumettre l'Angleterre; c'est une entreprise fort hardic, et dont le anccès est fort douteux." Of Charles himself he says, "Le roi d'Angleterre balance encore is se porter à l'extrémité; son humeur répugne fort au dessein de changer le gouvernement. Il eat néanmoins eutrainé par M. le duc a'York et par le grand trésorier; mais Atans le fond il aimerolt mieux que la palx lo mit en état de demeurer en repos, et reftablir ses affaires, c'est-à-dire, un bon reverin; et je crois qu'il ne se sonere pas beascoup d'etre plus aboolu qu'il eat Le duc et le trésorier connolssent bien à qui ils ont affaire, et craignent d'être abandonnes par le roi dAngleterre aux premiers obstacles considérables qu'ils trouverunt an dessein de relever l'autorité royale en Angleterre." On this passage it may be observed that thers is reason to believe there was no co-operation, but rather a great distrust, at this time between the duke of York and lord Danby. But Barillon had no doubt taken care to infuse into the minds of the opposition those suspicions of that minish :'s designs.

5 Berllon appears to be te favoured the opposition rather than the duke of York, who urged the keeping up of the army. This was also the great object of uhe king who very reluctantly disbanded it in Jma. 1679. Dalrymple, 20\%, \&se


in this extraordinary and unnatural alliance. It woulc have been unworthy of their virtue to have gone into so desperate an intrigue with no better aim than that of ruining lord Danby; and of this I think we may fully acquit them. The nobleness of Russell's disposition beams furth in all that Barillon has written of their conferences. Yet, notwithstanding the plausible grounds of his conduct, we can hardly avoid wishing that he had abstained from so dangerous an intercourse, which led lim to impair, in the eyes of posterity, by something more like faction than can be ascribed to any other part of his parliamentary life, the consistency and ingenuousness of his character.

I have purposely mentioned lord Russell and lord Doabt as Hollis apart from others who were mingled in to the acceptance of money by the popular the same intrigues of the French ambassador, both because they were among the first with party. whom he tampered, and because they are honourably distinguished by their abstinence from all pecuniary remuneration, which Hollis refused, and which Barillon did not prosume to offer to Russell. It appears, however, from this minister's accounts of the sioney he had expended in this secret service of the French crown, that, at a later time, namely about the end of 1680, many of the leading members of opposition, sir Thomas Iittleton, Mr. Garraway, Mr. Hampden, Mr. Powle, Mr. Sacheverell, Mr. Foley, received sums of 500 or 300 guineas, as testimonies of the king of France's munificence and favour. Among others, Algernon Sidney, who, though not in parliament, was very active out of it, is more than once mentioned. Chiefly because the name of Algernon Sidney had been associated with the most stern and elevated virtue, this statement was received with great reluctance; and many have ventured to call the truth of these pecuniary gratifications in question. This is certainly a bold surmise; though Barillon is known to have been a man of luxurious and expensive habits, and his demands for more money on account of the English court, which continually occur in his correspondence with Louis, may lead to a suspicion that he would be in some measure a gainer by it. This, how

[^270]in this extraordinary and unnatural alliance. It wonle have been unworthy of their virtue to have grne into so desperate an intrigue with no better aim than that of raining lord Danby; and of this I think we may fully acquit them. The nobleness of Russell's disposition beams furth in all that Barilion hss written of their conferences. Yet, notwithstanding the plausible grounds of his conduct, we cen hardly avoid wishing that he had abstained from so dangerous an intercourse, which led lim to impair, in the eyes of posterity, by something more like faction than can be ascribed to any other part of his parliamentary life, the consistency and ingennousness of his cluaracter."

I have purposely mentioned lord Russell and lord
Doub as Hollis apart from others who were mingled in to the the same intrigues of the French ambassador, of wracy both becanse they were among the first with or the prapome whom he tampered, and becanse they are hopurts. nourably distingaished by their abstinence from all pecuniary remuneration, which Hollis refused, and which Barilion did not presume to offer to Rassell. It appears, however, from this minister's accounts of the money he had expended in this secret service of the French crown, that, at a later time, namely about the end of 1680, many of the leading members of opposition, sir Thomas Littleton, Mr. Garraway, Mr. Hampden, Mr. Powle, Mr. Sacheverell, Mr. Foley, received sums of 500 or 300 guineas, as testimonies of the king of France's munificence and favour. Among others, Algernon Sidney, who, though not in parliament, was very active ont of it, is more than once mentioned. Chiefly becanse the name of Algernon Sidney had been associated with the most atern and elevated virtue, this statement was recsived with great reluctance; and many have ventured to call the truth of these pecumiary gratifications in question. This is certainly a bold surmise; though Barillon is known to have been a man of luxurious and expensive habits, and his demands for more money on account of the English court, which continually occur in his correspondence with Lowis, may lead to a suspicion tlant he would be in some measure a gainer by it. This, how
over, might possibly be the case without actual peculation. But it must be observed that there are two classes of those who are alleged to have received presents through his hands: one, of such as were in actual communication with himself; another, of such as sir John Baler, a secret agent, had prevailed upon to accept it. Sidney was in the first class; but as to the second, comprehending Littleton, Hampden, Sacheverell, in whon it is, for different reasons, as difficult to suspect pecuniary corruption as in him, the proof is manifestly weaker, depending only on the assertion of an intriguer that he had paid them the money. The falsehood either of Baber or Barillon would acquit these considerable men. Nor is it to be reckoned improbable that persons employed in this clandestine service should be guilty of a fraud, for which they could evidently never be made responsible. We have indeed a remarkable coufession of Coleman, the famous intriguer executed for the popish plot, to this effect. He deposed in his examination before the house of commons, in November, 1678, that he had received last session of Barilion 2500l. to be distributed among members of parliament, which he had converted to his own use. ${ }^{\text {t }}$ It is doubtless possible that Coleman, having actually expended this money in the manner intended, bespoke the favour of those whose secret he kept by taking the discredit of such a fraud on himself. But it is also possible that he spoke the truth. A similar uncertainty hangs over the transactions of sir John Baber. Nothing in the parliamentary conduct of the above-mentioned gentlemen in 1680 corroborates the suspicion of an intrigue with France, whatever may have been the case in 1678.

I must fairly confess, however, that the decided bias of my own mind is on the affirmative side of this question; and that principally because I am not so mach struck as some have been by any violent improbability in what Barillon wrote to his court on the subject. If indeed we were to read that Nlgernon Sidney had been bought ovor by Louis XIV. or Charles II. to assist in setting up absolute monarchy in England, we might fairly orpose cur knowledge of his inflexible and haughty

[^271]character; of his zeal, in life and deatl, for republican liberty. But there is, I presume, some moral distinction between the acceptance of a bribe to desert or betray our principles, and that of a trifling present for acting in conformity to them. The one is, of course, to be styled corruption; the other is repugnant to a generous and delicate mind, but too much sanctioned by the practice of an age far less scrupulous than our own, to have carried with it any great self-reproach or sense of degradation. It is truly inconceivable that men of such property as sir Thomas Littleton or Mr. Foley should have accepted 300 or 500 guineas, the sums mentioned by Barillon, as the price of apostasy from those political principles to which they owed the esteem of their country, or of an implicit compliance with tle dictates of France. It is sufficiently discreditable to the times in which they lived that they should have accepted so pitiful a gratuity; unless indeed we should in candour resort to an hypothesis which seems not absurd, that they agreed among themselves not to offend Louis, or excite his distrust, by a refusal of this money. Sidney indeed was, as there is reason to think, a distressed man ; he had formerly been in connection with the court of France, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and had persuaded himself that the countenance of that power might one day or other be afforded to his darling scheme of a commonwealth; he had contracted a dislike to the prince of Orange, and consequently to the Dutch alliance, from the same governing motive : is it strange that one so circumstanced should have accepted a small gratitication from the king of France which implied no dereliction of his duty as an Englishman, or any sacrifice of political integrity? And

[^272][^273]Cha. II.-1673-85. SECRET TREATIES WITH FRANCE. 409
I should be glad to be informed by the idolaters of Algernon Sidney's name, what we know of him from authentic and contemporary sourcos which renders this incredible.

France, in the whole course of these intrigues, held the game in her hands. Mistress of both parties, she might either embarrass the king through Secret she might either embarrass the king through treaties of parliament, if he pretended to an independent the king course of policy, or cast away the latter when he should return to his former engagements. Hence, as early as May, 1678, a private treaty was set on foot between Charles and Louis, by which the former obliged himself to keep a neutrality, if the allies should not accept the terms offered by France, to recall all his troops from Flanders within two months, to disband most of his army, und not to assemble his parliament for six months : in return he was to receive $6,000,000$ livres. This was signed by the king himself on May 27 ; none of his ministers venturing to affix their names. ${ }^{*}$ Yet at this time he was making outward professions of an intention to carry on the war. Even in this secret treaty, so thorough was his insincerity, he meant to evade one of its articles, that of disbanding his troops. In this alone he was reaily opposed to the wishes of France; and her pertinacity in disarming him seems to have been the chief source of those capricious changes of his disposition which we find for three or four years at this period. ${ }^{7}$ Louis again appears not only to have mistrusted the king's own inclinations after the prince of Orange's marriage, and his ability to withstand the eagerness of the nation for wax, but to have apprehended that he might become absolute by means of his army, without standing indebted for it to his ancient ally. In this point therefore he faithfully served the popular party. Charles used every endeavour to evade this condition; whether it were that he still entertained hopes of obtaining arbitrary power through intimidation, ore

[^274]or does he think that a matter to be wether With 8000 men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ' Temple say were ex. reemed at this time (May, 18 had clanresolved to entor into the wasaded in bar of ever before seell or though ${ }^{+}$
that, dreading the violence of the house of commons, and ascribing it rather to a republican conspiracy than to his own misconduct, he looked to a military force as his security. From this motive we may account for his strange proposal to the French king of a league in support of Sweden, by which he was to furnish fifteen ships and 10,000 men, at the expense of France, during three years, receiving six millions for the first year, and four for each of the two next. Louis, as is highly probable, betrayed this project to the Dutch government, and thus frightened tham into that hasty signature of the treaty of Nimeguen, which broke up the confederacy, and aocomplished the immediate objects of his ambition. No longer in need of the court of England, he determined to punish it for that duplicity which none resent more in others than those who are accustomed to practise it. He refused Charles the pension stipulated by the private treaty, alleging that its conditions had not been performed; and urged on Montagu, with promises of indemnification, to betray as mach as he knew of that secret, in order to rain lord Danby.:

The altimate cause of this minister's fall may thus

Fullsp 1)anby. His fmpeachment: be deduced from the best action of his life; though it ensued immediately from his very culpable weakness in aiding the king's inclinations towards a sordid bargaining with France. It is well known that the famons letter to Montagu, empowering him to make an offer of neutrality for the price of $6,000,000$ livres, was not only written by the king's express order, but that Charles attested this with his own signature in a postscript. This beans date five days after an act had ahsolutely passed to raise money for carrying on the war; a circumstance worthy of particular attention, as it both puts an end to every pretert or apology which the least serapulous cunld venture to urge in belalf of this negotiation, and justifies the whig party of England in an invincible distrust. an inexpiable hatred, of so perfidious a cozener as filled the throne. But, as he was beyond their reach, they exercised a constitutional right in the impeschment of his responsible minister. For responsible he surely was; thongi.
strangoly mistaking the obligations of an English statesman, Danby seems to fancy in his printed defence that the king's order would be sufficient warrant to justify obedience in any case not literally unlawful. "I believe," he says, "there are very few subjects but what would take it ill not to be obeyed by their servants, and their servants might ass justly expect their master's protection for their obedience." The letter to Montagu, ho asserts, " was written by the king's command, upon the subject of peace and war, wherein his majesty alone is at all times sole judge, and ought to be obeyed not only by any of his ministers of state but by all his subjects." Such were, in that age, the monarchical or tory maxims of government, which the impeachment of this minister contributed in some measure to overthrow. As the king's authority for the letter to Montagu was an undeniable fact, evidenced by his own handwriting, the commons in impeaching lord Danly went a great way towards establishing the principle that no minister can shelter himself behind the throne by pleading obedience to the orders of his sovereign. He is considered, in the modern theory of the constitution, answerable for the justice, the honesty, the utility of all measures emanating from the crown, as well as for their legality; and thus the executive administration is rendered subordinate, in all great matters of policy, to the superintendence and virtual control of the two houses of parliament. It must at the same time be admitted that, through the heat rit honest indignation and some less worthy passions on iLe one hand, through uncertain and crude principles of constitutional law on the other, this just and necessary impeachment of the earl of Danloy was not so conducted as to be exempt from all reproach. The charge of high treason for an offence manifestly amounting only to misdemeanor, with the purpose, not perhaps of taking the life of the accused, but at least of procuring some punishment beyond the law, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ with the strange mixture

[^275]of articles, as to which there was no presumptive proof, or which were evidently false, such as concealment of the popish plot, gave such a character of intemperance and faction to these proceedings as may lead superficial readers to condemn them altogether. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ The compliance of Danby with the king's corrupt policy had been h'ghly culpable, but it was not unprecedented; it was even conformable to the court standard of duty; and as it sprang from too inordinate a desire to retain power, it would have found an appropriate and adequate chastisement in exclusion from office. We judge perhaps somewhat more favourably of lord Danby than his contemporaries at that juncture were warranted to do ; but even then he was rather a minister to be pulled down than a man to be severely punished. His one great and undeniable service to the protestant and English interests should have palliated a multitude of errors. Yet this was the mainspring and first source of the intrigue that ruined him.

The impeachment of lord Danby brought forward several material discussions on that part of our constitutional law which should not be passed over in this place. 1. As soon as the charges presented by the commons at the bar of the upper house had been read, a motion was made
> atrestions  the impeackenent. Danby's commitment to the Tower. that the earl should withdraw; and another afterwards that he should be committed to the Tower; both of which were negatived by considerable majorities. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ This refusal to commit on a charge of treason had created a dispute between the two houses in the instance of lord Clarendon. ${ }^{\circ}$ In that case, however, one of the articles of impeachment did actually contain an unquestionable treason. But it was contended with much more force on the present occasion, that if the commons, by merely using the word traitorously, could alter the character of offences which, on their own showing, amounted but to misdemeanors, the boasted certainty of the law in matters of treason would be at an end; and unless it were

[^276]d Lords'Jotirnals, Dec. 26,1678. Eighteen
meant that the lords should pass sentence in such a cass against the received rules of law, there could be no pretext for their refusing to admit the accused to bail. AEven in Strafford's case, which was a condemned precedent, they had a general charge of high treason upon which he was committed; while the offences alleged againist Danby were stated with particularity, and upon the face of the articles could not be brought within any reasonable interpretation of the statutes relating to treasort. The house of commons faintly urged a remarkable clause in the act of Edward III., which provides that, in case of any doubt arising as to the nature of an offence charged to amount to treason, the judges should refer it to the sentence of parliament; and maintained that this invested the two houses with a declaratory power to extend the penalties of the law to new offences which had not been clearly provided for in its enactments. But, though something like this might possibly have been in contemplation with the framers of that statute, and precedents were not absolutely wanting to support the construction, it was so repugnant to the more equitable principles of criminal law which had begun to gain ground, that even the heat of faction did not induce the commons to insist upon it. They may be considered, however, as having carried their point; for, though the prorogation and subsequent dissolution of the present parliament ensued so quickly that nothing more was done in the matter, yet, When the next house of commons revived the impeachment, the lords voted to take Danby into custody without any further objection.' It ought not to be inferred from hence that they were wrong in refusing to commit; nor dd I conceive, notwithstanding the later precedent of lord Oxford, that any rule to the contrary is established. In any future case it ought to be open to debate whether articles of impeachment pretending to contain a charge of high treason do substantially set forth overt acts of such a crime; and if the house of lords shall be of opinion, either by consulting the judges or otherwise, that no treason is specially alleged, they should, notwithstanding any technical words, treat the offence as 8 misdemeanor, and admit the accused to bail.g

Iords" Journals, April $16 . \quad$ conference between the two horkes," nalo © "The lord privy seal, Anglesea, in a "fbat in the transaction of this affir
2. - still more important question arose as to the king's right of pardon upon a parliamentary Parn
prld
prard impeachment. Danby, who had absconded on trar. the unexpected revival of these proceedings in th new parliament, finding that an act of attainder was liely to pass against him in consequence of his flight fim justice, surrendered himself to the usher of the fack rod; and, on being required to give in his written nswer to the charges of the commons, pleaded a pardon secretly obtained from the king, in bar of the prosecution. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The commons resolved that the pardon was illegal and void, and ought not to be pleaded in bar of the impeachment of the commons of England. They demanded judgment at the lords' bar against Danby, as having put in a void plea. They resolved, with that culpable violence which distinguished this and the succeeding house of commons, in order to deprive the accused of the assistance of counsel, that no commoner whatsoever should presume to maintain the validity of the pardon pleaded by the earl of Danby, without their consent, on pain of being accounted a betrayer of the liberties of the commons of England. ${ }^{\text {i }}$ They denied the right of the bishops to vote on the validity of this pardon. They demanded the appointment of a committee from both houses to regulate the form and manner of proceeding on this impeachment, as well as on that of the five lords accused of participation in the popish plot. The upper house gave some signs of a vacillating and temporizing spirit, not by any means unaccountable. They acceded,

[^277][^278]after a first refusal, to the proposition of a committee, though manifestly designed to encroach on their own exclusive claim of judicature. ${ }^{k}$ But they came to a resoJution that the spiritual lords had a right to sit and vote in parliament in capital cases, until judgment of death shall be pronounced. ${ }^{m}$ The commons of course protested against this vote; ${ }^{\text {n }}$ but a prorogation soon dropped the curtain over their differences; and Danby's impeachment was not acted upon in the next parliament.

There seems to be no kind of pretence for objecting to the votes of the bishops on such preliminary votes of questions as may arise in an impeachment of bishops. treason. It is true that ancient custom has so far engrafted the provisions of the ecclesiastical law on our constitution that they are bound to withdraw when judgment of life or death is pronounced; though even in this they always did it with a protestation of their right to remain. This, once claimed as a privilege of the church, and reluctantly admitted by the state, became, in the lapse of ages, an exclusion and a badge of inferiority. In the constitutions of Clarendon under Henry II. it is enacted, that the bishops and others holding spiritual benefices " in capite" should give their attendance at trials in parliament till it come to sentence of life or member. This, although perhaps too ancient to have authority as statute law, was a sufficient evidence of the constitutional usage, where nothing so material could be alleged on the other side. And, as the original privilege was built upon nothing better than the narrow superstitions of the canon law, there was no reasonable pretext for carrying the exclusion of the spiritual lords farther than certain and constant precedents required. Though it was true, as the enemies of lord Danby urged, that by voting for the validity of his pardon they would

[^279]in effect determine the whole question in his favour, yot there seemed no serious reason, considering it abstractedly from party views, why they should not thus indirectly be restored for once to a privilege from which the prejudices of former ages alone had shut them out.

The main point in controversy, whether a general or special pardon from the king could be pleaded in answer to an impeachment of the commons, so as to prevent any further proceedings in it, never came to a regular decision. It was evident that a minister who had influence enough to obtain such an indemnity might set botk houses of parliament at defiance; the pretended responsibility of the crown's advisers, accounted the palladium of our constitution, would be an idle mockery if not only punishment could be averted but inquiry frustrated. Even if the king could remit the penalties of a guilty minister's sentence upon impeachment, it would be much that public indignation should have been excited against him, that suspicion should have been turned into proof, that shame and reproach, irremissible by the great seal, should avenge the wrongs of his country. It was always to be presumed that a sovereign, undeceived by such a judicial inquiry, or sensible to the general voice it roused, wonld voluntarily, or at least prudently, abandon an unworthy favourite. Though it might be admitted that long usage had established the royal prerogative of granting pardons under the great seal, even before trial, and that such pardons might be pleaded in bar (a prerogative indeed which ancient statutes, not repealed, though gone into disuse, or rather in no time acted upon, had attempted to restrain), yet we could not infer that it extended to cases of impeachment. In ordinary criminal proceedings by indictment the king was before the court as prosecutor, the suit was in his name; he might stay the process at his pleasure by entering a " noli prosequi;" to pardon, before or after judgment, was a branch of the same prerogative; it was a great constitutional trust, to be exercised at his discretion. But in an appeal, that is, an accusation of felony, brought by the injured party or his next of blood, a proceeding wherein the king's name did not appear, it was undcubted that he could not remit the capital sentence. The some principle seemod applicable to an impeachment at the suit of the commons of

England, demanding justice from the supreme tribunal of the other house of parliament. It could not be denied that James had remitted the whole sentence upon lord Bacon. But impeachments were so unusual at that time, and the privileges of parliament so little out of dispute, that no great stress could be laid on this precedent.

Such must have been the course of arguing, strong on political and specious on legal grounds, which induced the commons to resist the plea put in by lord Danby. Though this question remained in suspense on the present occasion, it was finally decided by the legislature in the act of settlement, which provides that no pardon under the great seal of England be pleadable to an impeachment of the commons in parliament. ${ }^{\circ}$ These expressions seem tacitly to concede the crown's right of granting a pardon after sentence, which, though perhaps it could not well be distinguished in point of law from a pardon pleadable in bar, stands on a very different footing, as has been observed above, with respect to constitutional policy. Accordingly, upon the impeachment of the six peers who had been concerned in the rebellion of 1715, the house of lords, after sentence passed, having come to a resolution on debate thai the king had a rigut to reprieve in cases of impeachment, addressed him to exercise that prerogative as to such of them as should deserve his mercy; and three of the number were in consequence pardoned. ${ }^{\text {p }}$
3. The impeachment of Danby first brought forward another question of hardly less magnitude, and remarkable as one of the few great points in constitutional law which have been discussed and finally settled within the memory of the present generation: I meanthe continuance of an impeachment by the commons from one parliament to another. Though this has been put at rest by a determination altogether consonant to maxims of expediency, it seems proper in this place to show briefly the grounds upon which the argument on both sides rested.

In the earlier period of our parliamentary records the

[^280]busuess of both houses, whether of a legislative or judi. cial nature, though often very multifarious, was despatched with the rapidity natural to comparatively rude times, by men impatient of delay, unused to doubt, and not cautious in the proof of facts or attentive to the subtleties of reasoning. The session, generally speaking, was not to terminate till the petitions in parliament for redress had been disposed of, whether decisively or by reference to some more permanent tribunal. Petitions for alteration of the law, presented by the commons and assented to by the lords, were drawn up into statutes by the king's council just before the prorogation or dissolution. They fell naturally to the ground if the session closed before they could be submitted to the king's pleasure. The great change that took place in the reign of Henry VI., by passing bills complete in their form through the two houses instead of petitions, while it rendered manifest to every eye that distinction between legislative and judicial proceedings which the simplicity of olden times had half concealed, did not affect this constitutional principle. At the close of a session every bill then in progress through parliament became a nullit $\xi$, and must pass again through all its stages before it could be tendered for the royal assent. No sort of difference existed in the effect of a prorogation and a dissolution; it was even maintained that a session made a parliament.

During the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries writs of error from inferior courts to the house of lords became far less usual than in the preceding age; and when they occurred, as error could only be assigned on a point of law appearing on the record, they were quickly decided with the assistance of the judges. But, when they grew more frequent, and especially when appeals from the chancellor, requiring often a tedious examination of depositions, were brought before the lords, it was found that a sudden prorogation might often interrupt a decision; and the question arose whether writs of error, and other proceedings of a similar nature, did not, according to precedent or analogy, cease, or, in technical language, abate, at the close of a session. An order was accordingly made by the house on March 11, 1673, that " the lords' committees for privileges should inquire whether an
appeal to this house, either by writ of error of petition, from the proceedings of any other court being depending and not determined in one session of parliament, continue in statu quo unto the next session of parliament, without renewing the writ of error or petition or beginning.all anew." The committee reported on the 29th of March, after misreciting the order of reference to them in a very remarkable manner, by omitting some words and interpolating others, so as to make it far more extensive than it really was, ${ }^{9}$ that upon the consideration of precedents, which they specify, they came to a resolution that " businesses depending in one parliament or session of parliament have been continued to the next session of the same parliament, and the proceedings thereupon have remained in the same state in which they were left when last in agitation." The house approved of this resolution, and ordered it accordingly. ${ }^{\text {r }}$

This resolution was decisive as to the continuance of ordinary judicial business beyond the termination of a session. It was still open to dispute whether it might not abate by a dissolution; and the peculiar case of impeachment to which, after the dissolution of the long parliament in 1678, every one's attention was turned, seemed to stand on different grounds. It was referred, therefore, to the committee of privileges on the 11th of March, 1679, to consider whether petitions of appeal which were presented to this house in the last parliament be still in force to be proceeded on. Next day it is referred to the same committee, on a report of the matter of fact as to the impeachments of the earl of Danby and the five popish lords in the late parliament, to consider of the state of the said impeachments and all the incidents relating thereto, and to report to the house. On the 18th of March lord Essex reported from the committee that, "upon perasal of the judgment of this house of the 29th of March, 1673, they are of opinion that, in all cases of appeals and writs of error, they continue, and are to be proceeded on, in statu quo, as they stood at the

[^281]dissolution of the last parliament, without begenning de novo. . . . . . . And, upon consideration had of the matter referred to their lordships concerning the state of the impeachments brought up from the house of commons the last parliament, \&o. . . . . . . they are of opinion that the dissolntion of the last parliament doth not alter the state of the impeachments brought up by the com mons in that parliament. This report was taken into consideration next day by the house; and after a debate, which appears from the Journals to have lasted some time, after the previous question had been moved and lost, it was resolved to agree with the committee.'

This resolution became for some years the acknowledged law of parliament. Lord Stafford, at his trial in 1680, having requested that his council might be heard as to the point whether impeashments could go from one parliament to another, the house took no notice of this question; though they consulted the judges about another which he had put, as to the necessity of two witnesses to every overt aot of treason.: Lord Danby and chief-justice Scroggs petitioned the lords in the Oxford parliament, one to have the charger against him dismiseed, the other to be bailed; but neither take the objection of an' intervening dissolution." And lond Danby, after the dissolution of three successive parliaments since that in which he was impeached, having lain for three years in the Tower, when he applied to be enlarged on bail by the court of king's bench in 1682, was refused by the judges, on the ground of their incompetency to meddle in a parliamentary impeachment; though, if the prosecution were already at an end, he would have been entitled to an absolute discharge. On Jefferies becoming chief-justice of the king's bench, Danby was admitted to bail. . But in the parliament of 1685, the impeached. lords having petitioned the house, it was resolved that the order of the 19th of March, 1679, be reversed and annulled as to impeachments;

[^282]Cha. Il.-1679-85.
and they were consequently released from their recognizances. ${ }^{3}$

The first of these two contradictory determinations is not certainly free from that reproach which so often contaminates our precedents of parliamentary law, and renders an honest man reluctant to show them any greater deference than is strictly necessary. It passed during the violent times of the popish plot; and a contrary resolution would have set at liberty the five catholic peers committed to the Tower, and enabled them probably to quit the kingdom before a new impeachment could be preferred. It must be acknowledged, at the same time, that it was borne out in a considerable degree by the terms of the order of 1673 , which seems liable to no suspioion of answering a temporary purpose; and that the court party in the house of lords were powerful enough to have withstood any flagrant innovation in the law of parliament. As for the second resolution, that of 1685, which reversed the former, it was passed in the very worst of times; and, if we may believe the protest signed by the earl of Anglesea and three other pears, with great precipitation and neglect of usual forms. It was not however annulled after the revolution; but, on the contrary, received what may seem at first sight a certain degree of confirmation from an order of the house of lords in 1690, on the petitions of lords Salisbury and Peterborough, who had been impeached in the preceding parliament, to be discharged; which was done, after reading the resolutions of 1679 and 1685 , and a long debate thereon. But as a general pardon had come out in the mean time, by which the judges held that the offences imputed to these two lords had been discharged, and as the commons showed no disposition to follow up their impeachment against them, no parliamentary rear soning can perhsps be founded on this precedent. ${ }^{2}$ In the case of the duke of Leeds, impeached by the commons in 1695, no further proceedings were had; but the lords did not make an order for his discharge from the

[^283]accusation till five years after three dissolations had intervened, and grounded it upon the commons not proceeding with the impeachment. They did not, however, send a message to inquire if the commons were ready to proceed, which, according to parliamentary usage, would be required in case of a pending impeachment. The cases of lords Somers, Orford, and Halifax were similar to that of the duke of Leeds, except that so long a period did not intervene. These instances therefore rather tend to confirm the position that impeachments did not ipso facto abate by a dissolution, notwithstanding the reversal of the order of 1679 . In the case of the earl of Oxford, it was formally resolved in 1717 that an impeachment does not determine by a prorogation of parliament; an authority conclusive to those who maintain that no difference exists in the law of parliament between the effects of a prorogation and a dissolution. But it is difficult to make all men consider this satisfactory.

The question came finally before both houses of parliament in 1791, a dissolution having intervened during the impeachment of Mr. Hastings; an impeachment whieh, far unlike the rapid proceedings of former ages, had already been for three years before the house of lords, and seemed likely to run on to an almost interminable length. It must have been abandoned in despair, if the prosecution had been held to determine by the late dissolution. The general reasonings, and the force of precedents on both sides, were urged with great ability, and by the principal speakers in both houses; the lawyers generally inclining to maintain the resolulution of 1685 , that impeachments abate by a dissolution, but against still greater names which were united on the opposite side. In the end, after an ample disoussion, the continuance of impeachments, in spite of a dissolution, was carried by very large majorities; and this decision, so deliberately taken, and so free from all suspicion of partiality (the majority in neither house, especially the upper, bearing any prejudice against the accused person), as well as so consonant to principles of ntility and constitutional policy, must for ever have set at rest all dispute npon the question.

The year 1678 , and the last session of the parliament
that had continued since 1661, were memorable for the great national delusion of the popish plot. For national it was undoubtedly to be called, and Poplanplon by no means confined to the whig or opposition party, either in or out of parliament, though it gave them much temporary strength. And though it was a most wnhappy instance of the aredulity begotten by heated passions and mistaken reasoning, yet there were circum stances, and some of them very singular in their nature, which explain and furnish an apology for the public error, and which it is more important to point out and keep in mind, than to inveigh, as is the custom in modern times, against the factiousness and bigotry of our ancestors. For I am persuaded that we are far from being secure from similar public delusions, whenever such a concurrence of coincidences and seeming probsbilities shall again arise as misled nearly the whole people of England in the popish plot."

It is first to be remembered that there was really and truly a popish plot in boing, though not that which Titus Oates and his associates pretended to reveal-not meraly in the sense of Hume, who, arguing from the general spirit of proselytism in that religion, says there is a perpetual conspiracy against all governmenta, protestant, Mahometan, and pagan, but one alert, enterprising, effective, in direct operation against the established protestant religion in England. In this plot the king, the duke of York, and the king of France were chief conspirators; the Romish priests, and especially the Jesuits, were eager co-operators. Their colemon': machinations and their hopes, long suspected, lelems and in a general sense known, were divulged by the seizure and pablication of Coleman's letters. "We have here," he says, in one of these, "a mighty work npon our hands, no lass than the conversion of three king doms, and by that perhaps the ntter subduing of a pestilent heresy, which has a long time domineered over this northern world. There were nerer such hopes since the death of our queen Miary as now in our days.

[^284]God hath given us a prince who is become (I may say by miracle) zealous of being the author and instrument of so glorious a work; but the opposition we are sure to meet with is also like to be great, so that it imports us to get all the aid and assistance we can." These letters were addressed to Father la Chaise, confessor of Louis XIV., and displayed an intimate connexion with France for the great purpose of restoring popery. They came to light at the very period of Oates's discovery; and, though not giving it much real confirmation, could hardly fail to make a powerful impression on men unaccustomed to estimate the value and bearings of evidence. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

The conspiracy supposed to have been concerted by the Jesuits at St. Omer, and in which so many Einglish catholies were implicated, chiefly consisted, as is well known, in a scheme of assassinating the king. Though the obvious falsehood and absurdity of much that the witnesses deposed in relation to this plot render it absolutely incredible, and fully acquit those unfortunate victims of iniquity and prejudice, it could not appear at the time an extravagant supposition that an eager intriguing faction should have considered the king's life a serious obstaole to their hopes. Though as much. attached in heart as his nature would permit to the catholic religion, he was evidently not inclined to take any effectual measures in its favour; he was but one year older than his brother, on the contingency of whose succession all their hopes rested, since his heiress was not only brought up in the protestant faith, but united to its most strenuous defender. Nothing could have been more anxiously wished at St. Omer than the death of Charles; and it does not seem improbable that the atrocious fictions of Oates may have been originally suggested by some actual, though vague, projects of assassination, which he had heard in disenurse amoug tho ardent spirits of that college.

[^285]The popular ferment which this tale, however andeserving of credit, excited in a predisposed multitude, was naturally wrought to a higher pitch Murder by the very extraordinary circumstances of sir mondury Edmondbury Godfrey's death. Even at this Godirres. time, although we reject the imputation thrown on the catholics, and especially on those who suffered death for that murder, it seems impossible to frame any hypothesis which can better account for the facts that seem to be authenticated. That he was murdered by those who designed to lay the charge on the papists, and aggravate the public fury, may pass with those who rely on such writers as Roger North, ${ }^{\text {e }}$ but has not the slightest corroboration from any evidence, nor does it seem to have been suggosted by the contemporary libellers of the court party. That he might have had, as an active magistrate, private enemies whose revenge took away his life, which seems to be Hume's conjecture, is hardly more satisfactory; the enemies of a magistrate are not likelyto have left his person unplundered; nor is it usual for justices of the peace, merely on account of the discharge of their ordinary duties, to incur such desperate resentment. That he fell by his own hapds was doubtless the auggestion of those who aimed at disorediting the plot; but it is impossible to reconcile this with the marks of violence which are so positively sworn to have appeared on his neck: and, on a later investigation of the subject in the year 1682, when the court had become very powerful, and a belief in the plot had grown almost a mark of disloyalty, an attempt made to prove the self-murder of Godfrey, in a trial before Pemberton, failed altogether; and the result of the whole evidence on that occasion was strongly to confirm the supposition that he had perishel by the hands of assassins." His death remains at this moment a problem for which no tolerably satisfactory solution can be offered. But at

[^286]own Wincotes proved that Godfrey's body had all the appearance of baing strangled.

The Romm extholice give out, at the time of Godtrey's delth, thet be had tilled himself, and hart their own chuse by foolish lies North's Fxamen
the time it was a vary natural presumption to connect it with the plot, wherein he had not only taken the deposition of Oates, a circumstance not in itself highly important, but was supposed to have received the confidential communications of Coleman.*

Another circumstance, much calculated to persuade ordinary minds of the truth of the plot, was the trial of Reading, a Romish attorney, for tampering with the witnesses against the accused catholic peers, in order to make them keep out of the way. As such clandestine dealing with witnesses creates a strong, and perhaps with some too strong, a presumption of guilt, Where justice is sure to be uprightly administered, men did not make a fair distinction as to times whon the violence of the court and jury gave no reasonable hope of escape, and when the most innocent party would much rather procure the absence of a perjured witness than trust to the chance of disproving his testimony.

There was indeed good reason to distrast the course of Injnstre of justice. Never were our tribunals so disgraced judges on tho trisisa. by the brutal manners and iniquitous partiality of the bench as in the latter years of this reign. The State Trials, none of which appear to have been published by the prisoners' friends, bear abundant testimony to the turpitude of the judges. They explained away and softened the palpable oontradictions of the witnesses for the crown, insulted and threatened those of the accused, checked all oross-examination, assumed the truth of the charge throughout the whole of every trial. One Whitbread, a jesuit, having been indicted

[^287][^288]with several others, and the evidence not being sufficient, Scroggs discharged the jury of him, but ordered him to be kept in custody till more proof might come in. He was accordingly indioted again for the same offence. On his pleading that he had been already tried, Scroggs and North had the effrontery to deny that he had been ever put in jeopardy, though the witnesses of the crown had been fully heard, before the jury were most irregularly and illegally discharged of him on the former trial. North said he had often known it done, and it was the common course of law. In the course of this proceeding, Bedloe, who had deposed nothing explicit against the prisoner on the former trial, accounted for this by saying it was not then convehient; an answer with which the court and jury were content. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

It is remarkable that, although the king might be justly surmised to give little oredence to the pretended plot, and the duke of York was manifestly affected in his interests by the heats it excited, yet the judges most subservient to the court, Scroggs, North, Jones, went with all violence into the popular ary, till, the witnesses beginning to attack the queen and to menace the dake, they found it was time to rein in, as far as they could, the passions they had instigated.' Pemberton, a more


#### Abstract

es usual, the truth of the story an beyond controversy, sald it was very auspletont that they bhould see or hear nothling of it; and another, Dolben, told them It was well they were not indicted. Id. 198. Jorees, aumming up the evidence on sir Thomas Gascoigna's trial at York (an aged oathollic gentleman, most improbably accased of eccession to the plot), saye to the Jury: "Gentlemen, you have the king's witnese on his oath; he that tesufies agalnat him is barely on his word, and he is a papiat." Id. 1039. Thus deriving an argumant from an iniquitous role, which at that time provalled in our law, of refusing to bear the priteoner's. witnemas apon outh Geacolgne, however was acquitted. It woutd swell thas note to an unwarrantable length were I to extruct romach of the trials at might fully exhibit all the Inatanoes of grose partiallty in the condrot of the jodges. I must; therefore, refer meromian to tha molome itmolf-natande


如g monument of the necemity of the revolution; not only as it rasdered the Judgea independent of the crown, bot at it brought forward those principles of equal and Indifiereat justice, which can never be axpected to flourish but under the ahadow of Hiberty:
b Stato Trials, 119, 315, 344.
1 Roger North, whow long sccount of the popish plot is, as usual with him, a medley of trath and lles, actuteness and absurdty, represents his brother, tho chlef furtioe, as perfecily immaculate in the midast of this degradation of the bench. The State Triale, howevar, thow that he was as partial and unjuct towrude the priconers as any of the reat, till the government thought it neceseary to intern jere. The moment when the fulges veered roond wat on the trial of atr George Wakeman, physician to the queen, Scroggs, who had been infanously partal against the prisoners upon every forner oxcmion dow treatrd Oater and Bedion at
honest man in political matters, showed a remarkable intemperance and unfairness in all trials relating to popery. Even in that of lord Stafford in 1680, the last, and perlıaps the worst, proceeding under this delusion, though the court had a standing majority in the house of lords, he was convicted by fifty-five peers against thirty-one; the earl of Nuttinghams lord-chancellor, the duke of Lauderdale, and several others of the administration voting him guilty, while he was acquitted by the honest Hollis and the acate Halifax.k So far was the belief in the popish plot, or the eagerness in hunting its victims to death, from being confined to the whig faction, as some writers have been willing to insinuate. None had more contributed to raise the national outcry against the accused, and create a firm persuasion of the seality of the plot, than the clergy in their sermons, even the most respectable of their order, Sancroft, Sharp, Barlow, Burnet, Jillotson, Stillingfleet; inferring its truth from Godfrey's murder or Coleman's letter, calling for the severest laws against catholics, and imputing to them the fire of London, nay even the death of Charles I. ${ }^{\text {m }}$

Though the duke of York was not charged with participation in the darkest schemes of the popish conspirators, it was evident that his succession was the great aim of their endeavours, and evident also that he had been engaged in the more real and undeniable intrigues of Coleman. His accession to the throne, long viewed with just apprehension, now seemed to throaten

[^289]such perils to every part of the constitution as ought not supinely to be waited for, if any means could be devised to obviate them. This gave rise to the bold measure of the exclusion bill, too bold indeed duke of Yort for the spirit of the country, and the rock on proposed. which English liberty was nearly shipwrecked. In the long parliament, full as it was of pensioners and creatures of court influence, nothing so vigorous would have been successful. Even in the bill which excluded catholic peers from sitting in the house of lords, a proviso, exempting the duke of York from its operation, having been sent down from the other house, passed by a majority of two voices." But the zeal they parilament showed against Danby induced the king to put disolved. an end to this parliament of seventeen years' duration; an event long ardently desired by the popular party, who foresaw their ascendancy in the new elections. ${ }^{\circ}$ The next house of commons accordingly came together with an andour not yet quenched by corruption; and after reviving the impeachments commenced by their predecessors, and carrying a measure long in agitation, a test ${ }^{\text {P }}$ which shut the catholic peers out of parliament,

[^290]This must mean that the dissenters yight gain an advantage over the Hgorous church of Pagiand men, and be induced to cume into a general indulgence.

P This test ( 30 Car. II stat 2) th tho deciaration nabecribed by members of both bouses of parifinment on taiding their seats, that there is no tramsulstantiation of the elements in the Iard's Supper; and that the invocation of eainte, as practhaed in the church of Eome, is Idolatrous The onth of anpremacy was alremdy taken by the commons, thongh not by the lords; and it is a great mistake to innigine that catholice were legally cappable of litting in the lower bouge before the act of 1670 . Bet it had been the atm of the loog parisiment in 1642 to exciude them from the boose of lords; and this was of coursu revived with greater eagernees as the danger from thetr influcace grew more apprerent 4 bill for thle proppose peated the coammone in 1675, bat wes thrown oat by the peers Joaroaks, May 14; Nov. 8. It was brogith in again in the spring of 1878. Purl. Hist 990 . In the antown of the nme your it wes recierred, when the
welt upon the exclusion bill. Their dissolution put a stop to this, and in the next parliament the lords rejected its

The right of excluding an unworthy heir from the succession was supported not only by the plain and fundamental principles of civil society, which establish the interest of the people to be the paramount object of political institutions, but by those of the English constitution. It had always been the better opinion among lawyers that the reigning king, with consent of parliament, was competent to make any changes in the inheritance of the crown; and this, besides the acts passed under Henry VIII. empowering him to name his successor, was expressly enacted, with heavy penalties against such as should oontradict it, in the thirteenth year of Elizabeth. The contrary doctrine, indeed, if pressed to its legitimate consequences, would have shaken all the statutes that limit the prerogative; since, if the analogy of entails in private inheritances were to be resorted to, and the existing legislature should be supposed incompetent to alter the line of succession,


#### Abstract

lorigagreed to the oath of supremncy, but anitted the deciaration against transubstantlation, so far as their own house was affected by it. Lords' Journals, Nov. 20, 1678. They also excepted the duke of York from the operation of the bill; which exception was carried in the commons by two voices. Pari. Fist. 1040. The duke of Yort and seven more lords protested.

The violence of those times on all sides will account for thle theological declaration; but it is more dimenalt to justify its retention at present. Whatever influence a belifef in the pope's supremacy may exercise upon men's polltics, it is hard to see how the doctrine of transubstantiation can directly affect them; and surely he who renouncea the former cannol be very dangerous ou account of hla alherence to the latter. Nor is it less extraordinary to demand, from any of those who usually compose a house of commons, the assertion that the practles of the church of Rome in the invocation of salats is idolatrous; aince, evem on the bypothesis that a country gentleman has a clear notion of whut is meant by idolatry, he is in many casea, wholly out of the


way of knowing what the chorch of Rome, or any of its members, believe or practise. The invucation of eaints, as held and explained by that charch in the council of Trent, is surciy not tdolatrous, with whatever error it may be charged; but the practice al least of unedacatod Roman catholics seems fully to fustify the declaration; understanding it to refer to certain smparstitions, countenanced of not eradicated by their clergy. I have cometimes therght that the leghatator of a great mation sets of odtly by aolemnly professing theological positiong about which be lcoows nothing, and ewearing to the possession of property which he does not exjoy. [1827.]

T The eecond reading of the exclusion bill whs cartied, May 21, 1679, by $20 \%$ $\omega 129$. The debates are in Iarllamentary Hiatory, 1125, et post. In the next parliament it was carried without a division. Sir Leolize Jenkins alone seems to have taken the ligh groand that ${ }^{4}$ parthar ment canmot disinherit the heir of the crown; and that, if such an set shoaid pass, it would be invaltd in itcelfor Id 181.
they could es little impair as they could alienate the indefeasible rights of the heir; nor could he be bound by restrictions towhich he had never given his assent. It seemed strange to maintain that the parliament could reduce a future king of England to the condition of a doge of Venice by shackling and taking away his authority, and yet could not divest him of a title which they could render little better than a mockery. Those accordingly who disputed the legislative omnipotence of parliament did not hesitate to assert that statutes infringing the prerogative were nuill of themselves. With the court lawyers conspired the clergy, who pretended these matters of high policy and constitutional law to be within their province, and, with hardly an exception, took a zealous part against the exclusion. It was indeed a measure repugaant to the common prejudices of mankind, who, without entering on the abstract competenoy of parliament, are naturally accustomed in an hereditary monarchy to consider the next heir as possessed of a right, of which, except through necessity or notorious criminality, he cannot be justly divested. The mere profession of a religion differcnt from the established does not seem, abstractedly considered, an adeqpate ground for unsettling the regular order of inheritance. Yet such was the narrow bigotry of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, which died away almost entirely among protestants in the next, that even the trifling differences between Latherans and Calvinists had frequently led to alternate persecutions in the Germari states, as a prince of one or the other denomination happened to assume the government. And the Romish religion in particular was in that age of so restless and malignant a character, that, unless the power of the crown should be far more strictly limited than had hitherto been the case, there must be a very serious danger from any sovereign of that faith; and the letters of Coleman, as well as other evidences, made it manifest that the duke of York was engaged in a scheme of general conversion, which, from his arbitrary temper and the impossibility of succeeding by fair means, it was just to apprehend, must involve the subversion of all civil liberty. Still this was not distinctly perceived

most of the rentry were, with the principles of the old cavaliers and those which the church had inculcated. The king, though hated by the dissenters, retained much of the affections of that party, who forgave the vices they deplored, to his father's memory and his personal affability. It appeared harsh and disloyal to force his consent to the exclusion of a brother in whom he saw no crime, and to avoid which he offered every possible expedient.' There will always be found in the people of England a strong unwillingness to force the reluctance of thoir sovereign -a latent feeling, of which parties in the heat of their triumphs are seldom aware, because it does not display itself until the moment of reaction. And although, in the less settled times before the Revolution, this personal loyalty was bighly dangerous, and may still, no doubt, sometimes break out so as to frustrate objects of high import to the publio weal, it is on the whole a salutary temper for the conservation of the monarchy, which may require such a barrier against the encroaehments of factions and the fervid passions of the multitude.

The bill of exclusion was drawn with as much regard to the inheritance of the duke of York's daugh-

## Scheriss of

 Shartesbury and Monmouth. ters as they could reasonably demand, or as any lawyer engaged for then could have shown; though something different seems to be insinuated by Burnet. It provided that the imperial crown of England should descend to and be enjoyed by such person or persons successively during the life of the duke of York as should have inherited or enjoyed the same in case he were naturally dead. If the princess of Orange was not expressly named (which, the bishop tells us, gave a-jealousy, as though it were intended to keep that matter still undetermined), this silence was evidently justified by the possible contingency of the birth of a son to the duke, whase right there was no intention in the framers of the bill to defeat. But a large part of the opposition had unfortunately other objects in view. It had been the great error of those[^291]who withstood the arbitrary counsels of Charles II. to have admitted into their closest confidence, and in a considerable degree to the management of their party, a man so destitute of all honest principle as the earl of Shaftesbury. Under his contaminating influence their passions became more untractable, their connexions more seditious and democratical, their schomes more revolutionary; and they broke away more and more from the line of national opinion, till a fatal reaction involved themselves in ruin, and exposed the cause of public liberty to its most imminent peril. The countenance and support of Shaftesbury brought forward that unconstitutional and most impolitio scheme of the duke of Monmouth's succession. There could hardly be a greater insult to a nation used to respeot its hereditary line of kings than to set up the bastard of a prostitute, without the least pretence of personal excellence or public services, against a princess of known virtue and attachment to the protestant religion. And the effrontery of this attempt was aggravated by the libels eagerly circulated to dupe the credulous populace into a belief of Monmouth's legitimacy. The weak young man, lured on to destruction by the arts of intriguers and the applause of the multitude, gave just offence to soberminded patriots, who knew where the true hopes of public liberty were anchored, by a kind of triumphal procession through parts of the country, and by other indications of a presumptuous ambition. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

[^292]If any apology can be made for the oncouragement given by some of the whig party (for it was by no means general) to the pretensions of Monmouth, it must be found in their knowledge of the king's affection for him, which furnished a hope that he might more easily be brought in to the exclusion of his brother for the sake of so beloved a child than for the prince of Orange. And doubtless there was a period when Charles's acquiescence in the exclusion did not appear so unattainable as, from his subsequent line of behaviour, we are apt to consider it. It appears from the recently-published Life of James that, in the autumn of 1680 , the embarrassment of the king's situation, and the influence of the ducheas of Portsmouth, who had gone over to the exclusionists, made him seriously deliberate on sbandoning his brother. ' Whether from natural instability of Uneseod. judgment, from the steady adherence of. France the exlug. to the duke of York, or from observing the great strength of the tory party in the house of lords, whore the bill was rejected by a majority of 63 to 30 , he soon returned to his former disposition. It was long, however, before he treated James with perfect cordiality. Conscious of his own insincerity in religion, whith the duke's bold avowal of an obnoxious creed seemed to reproaoh, he was provoked at bearing so much of the odium and inourring so many of the difficulties


#### Abstract

had been made ase of. This artful tract is Intended to keep op the belief of Monmonth'a legilmmacy, and evento gran it on the undeniable fatsehood of that tale; as if it thad been parposely fabricated to dolude the people, by setting them on a wrang acent. See atso another libel of the came clas, p. 197.

Though Monmouti's inegitimacy is pait all question, it has been obecrved by Harris that the princem of Orange, in writug to her brother aboot Mrs. Watarg, in 165s, twico names ber as hit wife. Tharios, i. 665, quoted in Hars ristl Ilvea, iv. 16s. Bah, though thit wap a scandalonas indecency co ber part, it p :ovea no wore than that Charies, like othat- young men in the beat of passion, What foolish exought to give that appelisthon to. his mistreas, and that hlas shoter humoured bim in it

Sidnay mentions a atringe ploce of P. $\mathbf{3} 9$.

Monmonth's prequmption, Whea to went to dine with the city in October, 1880, It way remariced that the bar, by which the heralds denote illegitimery. had been taken ofr the roynl arm on his conch. Letters to Saville, p. 54. - Lift of James, 592, et poet; compers Datrymple, p. 285, ot poet. Barition wred evidently of opinion that the king would Anally abandon his brother. Sanderiand Joined the duchea of Portumicath and was one of the thirty peent who roted for the bill in November, 1680 . Jamed chargee Oodolphin aleo with oesertion him, p sis. But his name does not appear in the protest signed by twenty five peers, though that of the privy aoal, Iond Anglemen does Tho duchem of Portmonth ant near the commong th Stafiond's trial, "diapensing bet awhis meate and granious looks among them."


which attended a profession that he had not ventured to make. He told Hyde, before the dissolution of the parliament of 1680 , that it would not be in his power to protect his brother any longer, if he did not conform and go to church." Hyde himself, and the duke's other friends, had never ceased to urge him on this subject. Their importunity was renewed by the king's order, even after the dissolution of the Oxford parliament; and it seems to have been the firm persuasion of most about the court that he could only be preserved by conformity to the protestant religion. He justly apprehended the consequences of a refusal; but, inflexibly consciontious on this point, he braved whatever might arise from the timidity or disaffection of the ministers and the selfish fickleness of the king.

In the apprehensions excited by the king's unsteadiness and the defection of the duchess of Portsmouth, he deomed his fortunes so much in jeopardy as to have resolved on exciting a civil war, rather than yield to the exclusion. He had adready told Barillon that the royal authority could be re-established by no other means.. The episcopal party in Scotland had gone such lengths that they could hardly be safe under any other king. The catholics of England were of course devoted to him. With the help of these he hoped to show himself so formidable that Charles would find it his interest to quit that cowardly line of politics to which he was sacrificing his honour and affections. Louis, never insensible to any occasion of rendering England weak and miserable, directed his ambassador to encourage the duke in this guilty project with the promise of assistance. It seems to have been prevented by the wisdom or public spirit of Churchill, who pointed out to Barillon the absurdity of supposing that the duke could stand by himself in Scotland: This scheme of lighting up the flames of civil war in three kingdoms, for James's private advantage, deserves to be more remarked than it has hitherto been at a time when his apologists seem to have become numerous. If the designs of Russell and Sidney for the preservation of their country's liberty are

[^293]blamed as rash and unjustifiable, what name shall we give to the project of maintaining the pretensions of an individual by means of rebellion and general bloodshed?

It is well known that those who took a concern in the maintenance of religion and liberty were much divided as to the best expedients for securing them; some, who thought the exclusion too violent, dangerous, or impracticable, preferring the enactment of limitations on the prerogatives of a catholic king. This had begun, in fact, from the court, who passed a bill through the house of lords in 1677, for the security, as it was styled, of the protestant religion. This provided that a declaration and oath against transubstantiation should be tendered to every king within fourteen days after his accession; that, on his refusal to take it, the ecclesiastical benefices in the gift of the crown should vest in the bishops, except that the king should name to every vacant see one out of three persons proposed to him by the bishops of the province. It enacted also that the children of a king refusing such a test should be educated by the archbishop and two or three more prelates. This bill dropped in the commons; and Marvell speaks of it as an insidious stratagem of the ministry. It is more easy, however, to give hand names to a measure originating with an obnoxious government than to prove that it did not afford a.considerable security to the established church, and impose a very remarkable limitation on the prerogative. But the opposition in the house of commons had probably conceived their scheme of exolusion, and would not hearken to any compromise. As soon as the exclusion became the topic of open discussion, the king repeatedly offered to grant every security that conld be demanded consistently with the linesl succession. Hollis, Halifax, and for a time Esser, as well as several eminent men in the lower house, 4

[^294]were in favour of limitations." But those which thep intended to insist upon were such encroach ments on the constitutional authority of the Expedienta crown, that, except a title and revenue, which exolasion Charles thought more valuable than all the rest, a popish king would enjoy no one attribute of royalty. The king himself, on the 30th of April, 1679, before the heats on the subject had become so violent as they were the next year, offered not only to secure all ecclesiastical preferments from the control of a popish successor, but to provide that the parliament in being at a demise of the crown, or the last that had been dissolved, should immediately sit and be indissoluble for a certain time; that none of the privy council, nor judges, lord-lientenant, deputy-lieutenant, nor officer of the navy, should be appointed during the reign of a catholic king, without consent of parliament. He offered at the same time most readily to consent to any further provision that could ocour to the wisdom of parliament, for the security of religion and liberty consistently with the right of succession. Halifax, the eloquent and successful opponent of the exclusion, was the avowed champion of limitations. It was proposed, in addition to these offers of the king, that the duke, in case of his accession, should have no negative voice on bills; that he should dispose of no oivil or military posts without the consent of parliament; that a council of forty-one, nominated by the two houses, should sit permanently during the recess or interval of parliament, with power of appointing to all vacant offices, subject to the future approbation of the lords and commons.b. These extraordinary innovations would, at least for the time, have ohanged our constitution into a republio; and justly appeared to many persons more revolutionary than an alteration in the course of succession. The duke of York looked on them with dismay; Charles, indeed, privately declared that he would never consent to auoh infringements of the prerogative. It is not, however, easy to perceive how

[^295]most of the gentry were, with the principles of the old cavaliers and those which the church had inculcated. The king, though hated by the dissenters, retained much of the affections of that party, who forgave the vices they deplored, to his father's memory and his personal affability. It appeared harsh and disloyal to force his consent to the exclusion of a brother in whom he saw no crime, and to avoid which he offered every possible expedient." There will always be found in the people of England a strong unwillingness to force the reluctance of thoir sovereign - a latent feeling, of which parties in the heat of their triumphs are seldom aware, because it does not display itself until the moment of reaction. And although, in the less settled times before the Revolution, this personal loyalty was highly dangerous, and may still, no doubt, sometimes break out so as to frustrate objects of high import to the public weal, it is on the whole a salutary temper for the conservation of the monarchy, which may require such a barrier against the encroachments of factions and the fervid passions of the multitude.

The bill of exclusion was drawn with as much regard

Schemres of Shaftesbury and Monmonth. to the inheritance of the duke of York's daughters as they could reasonably demand, or as any lawyer engaged for theme could have shown; though something different seems to be insinuated by Burnet. It provided that the imperial crown of England should descend to and be enjoyed by such person or persons successively during the life of the duke of York as should have inherited or enjoyed the same in case he were naturally dead. If the princess of Orange was not expressly named (which, the bishop. tells us, gave a-jealousy, as though it were intended to keep that matter still undetermined), this silence was evidently justified by the possible contingency of the birth of a son to the duke, whose right there was no intention in the framers of the bill to defeat. But a large part of the opposition had unfortunately other objects in view. It had been the great error of those

[^296]he could have escaped from the necessity of adhering to his own propositions, if the house of commons would have relinquished the bill of exclusion. The prince of Orange, who was doubtless in secret not averse to the latter measure, declared strongly against the plan of restrictions, which a protestant successor might not find it practicable to shake off. Another expedient, still more ruinous to James than that of limitations, was what the court itself suggested in the Oxford parliament, that, the duke retaining the title of king, a regent should be appointed, in the person of therprincess of Orange, with all the royal prerogatives; nay, that the duke, with his pageant crown on lis head, should be banished from England during his life. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ This proposition, which is a great favourite with Burnet, appears liable to the same objections as were justly urged against a similar scheme at the revolution. It was certain that in either case James would attempt to obtain possession of power by force of arms; and the law of England would not treat very favourably those who should resist an acknowledged king in his natural capacity, while the statute of Henry VII. would, legally speaking, afford a security to the adherents of a de facto sovereign.

Upon the whole, it is very unlikely, when we look at the general spirit and temper of the nation, its predileotion for the ancient laws, its dread of commonwealth and fanatical principles, the tendency of the upper ranks to intrigue and corruption, ine influence and activity of the church, the bold counsels and haughty disposition of James himself, that either the exclusion, - or suoh extensive limitations as were suggested in lien of it, could have been carried into effect with much hope of a durable settlement. It would, I should conceive, have been practicable to secure the independence of the judges, to exolude unnecessary placemen and

[^297]notorious pensioners from the house of commons, to render the distribution of money among its members penal, to remove from the protestant dissenters, by a full toleration, all temptation to favour the couxt, and, above all, to put down the standing army. Though none perhaps of these provisions would have prevented the attempts of this and the next reign to introduce arbitrary power, they would have rendered them still more grossly illegal; and, above all, they would have saved that unhappy revolution of popular sentiment which gave the court encouragement and temporary success.

It was in the year 1679 that the words Whig and - Tory were first heard in their application to English factions; and, though as senseless as any cant terms that could be devised, they beNames of Whig and came instantly as familiar in use as they have since continued. There were then indeed questions in agitation which rendered the distinction. more broad and intelligibls than it has generally been in later times. One of these, and the most important, was the bill of exclusion; in which, as it was usually debated, the republican principle, that all positive institutions of society are in order to the general good, came into collision with that of monarchy, which rests on the maintenance of a royal line, as either the ond, or at least the necessary means, of lawful government. But, as the exclusion was confessedly among those extraordinary masures to which men of tory principles are sometimes compelled to resort in great emergencies, and which ng rational whig espouses at any other time, we shall better perhaps discern the formation of these grand political sects in the petitions for the sitting of parliament, and in the counter addresses of the opposite party.

In the spring of 1679 Charles established a new privy cotuncil, by the advice of sir William Temple, consisting in great part of those emi- New coundi nent men in both houses of parliament who dr willian had been most prominent in their opposition to the late ministry. He publioly declared his resolu-

[^298]tion to govern entirely by the advice of this council and that of parliament. The duke of York was kept in what seemed a sort of exile at Brussels.' But the just suspicion attached to the king's character prevented the commons from placing much confidence in this new ministry; and, as frequently happens, abated their esteem for those who, with the purest intentions, had gone into the council.s They had soon cause to perceive that their distrust had not been excessive. The ministers were constantily beaten in the house of lords; an almost certain test, in our government, of the court's insincerity. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ The parliament was first prorogued, then dissolved; against the advice, in the latter instance, of the majority of that council by whom the king had pledged

Long profter being to meet in Octobl, parliomion after being sammoned to meet in . October, parllement 1679, was prorogued for a twelvemonth without the avowed concurrence of any member of the council. Lord Russell, and others of the honester party, withdrew from a boaid where their presence was only asked in mockery or deoeit; and the whole

## $400,000 \mathrm{~F}$. The Hing objected much to

 admitting Fallfax; but himself propoed Shaftesbury, muck against Temple's wishes, The funds in Holland rose on the nowit Barillom was displensed, and gaid it wat making "des stats, et non des conselis ;" which wes not without weight, for the ling had declared he would take no masgure, nor oven choose any new counsellor, withont their congent. But the extrame disadvantage of the position in which this placed the crown rendered it absolutely certain that it was not aubmilted to with eincerity. Lady Portsmouth told Barllion the new ministry was formed in order to get money from pariament Another motive, no dorbt, was to provent the exclusion bill.P Life of Jamen, 538. On the klog's midden Illaens, ang. 22, 1679, the ruling ministors, Hallinax, Sunderland, and Eosex, alarmed at the anarchy which might come on his death, of which Shaftesbury and Monsouth wonld profit sent over for the duke, but coon endeavoured to make him go into Scotland ; and, aftor a ctregte against the Hing's tricks to outwit trem, ancooeded in this objech Id.
p. $57^{\circ} 0$, et posk
g Tomple. Rereaby, p. 80. "So true it ing" he gays, "that there is no wearing the court and conentry livery together." Thus aloo Aigernon Sidney, in his letters to Saville, p. 18:-" The ling certainly inclines not to be so suif se formeriy in advancing only those that exalt preroger tive; but the earl of lhesex, and sume others that are coming into play thereupon, cannot avoid being suspected of having intentions different from what they have hitherto professed." He atr eribed the change of ministry at this time to Sunderland, "If he and two more [Essex and Hallfax] can well agroe among themselves, I belleve they will have the managgenent of almost all bardness, and may bring much hononr to themselves and good to our nation." April 21, 1810. But be writes aflaw wards, Sept. 8, that Halifax and Keser were become very unpopular, p. 80 "The bare being preferred," exys sacretary Coventry, "makroth eome of theas suspected, though not criminal." Lond S. Ruseell's Life of Lord Rusaell, p sa. a Seo the proterts in 1870, pmach
specious scheme of Temple came to nothing before the conclusion of the year which had seen it displayed.' Its author, chagrined at the disappointment of his patriotism and his vanity, has sought the causes of failure in the folly of Monmouth and perverseness of Shaftesbury. He was not aware, at least in their full extent, of the king's intrigues at this period. Charles, who had been induced to take those whom he most disliked into his council, with the hope of obtaining money from parliament, or of parrying the exclusion bill, and had consented to the duke of York's quitting England, found himself enthralled by ministers whom he could neither corrupt nor deceive; Essex, the firm and temperate friend of constitutional liberty in power as he had been out of it, and Halifax, not yet led away by ambition or resentment from the cause he never ceased to approve. He had recourse therefore to his accustomed refuge, and humbly implored the aid of Louis against his own council and parliament. He conjured his patron not to lose this opportunity of making England for ever dependent upon France. These are his own words, such at least as Barillon attributes to him.. In parsuance of this overture, a secret treaty was negotiated between the two kings ; whereby, after a long haggling, Charles, for a pension of $1,000,000$ livres annually during three years, obliged himself not to assemble parliament during that time. This negotiation was broken off through the apprehensions of Hyde and Sunderland, who had been concerned in it, about the end of November, 1679 before the long prorogation which is announced in the Gazette by a proclamation of December 11th. But, the resolution having been already taken not to permit the meeting of parliament, Charles persisted in it as the only means of escaping the bill of exclusion, even when deprived of the peouniary assistance to which he had trusted.

Though the king's behaviour on this occasion exposed the fallacy of all projects for reconciliation with the

[^299]house of commons, it was very well calculated for his own ends; nor was there any part of his reign wherein he acted with so much prudence as from this time to the dissolution of the Oxford parliament. The scheme concerted by his adversaries, and already put in operation, of pouring in petitions from every part of the kingdom for the meeting of parliament, he checked in the outsel. by a proclamation, artfully drawn up by chief-justice North, which, while it kept clear of anything so palpably unconstitutional as a prohibition of petitions, served the purpose of manifesting the king's dislike to them, and encouraged the magistrates to treat all attempts that way as seditious and illegal, while it drew over the neutral and lukewarm to the safer and stronger side. ${ }^{\text {ma }}$ Then were first ranged against each other the hosts of Whig and Tory, under their banners of liberty or loyalty; each zealous, at least in profession, to maintain the established constitution, but the one seeking its security by new maxims of government, the other by an adherence to the old." It must be admitted that petitions to the king from bodies of his subjects, inand tended to advise or influence him in the exercise of his undoubted prerogatives, such as the time of calling parliament together, familiar as they may now have become, had no precedent, except one in the dark year 1640, and were repugnant to the ancient principles of our monarchy. The cardinal principle of toryism is, that the king ought to exercise all his lawful prerogatives without the interference, or unsolicited advice, even of parliament, much less of the people. These novel efforts therefore were met by addresses from most of the grand juries, from the magistrates at quarter sessions, and from many corporations, expressing not merely their entire confidence in the king, but their abhorrence of the petitions for the assembling of parliament; a term which, having been casually used in one

[^300]address, beoame the watchword of the whole party. ${ }^{\circ}$ Some allowance must be made for the exertions made by the court, eapecially through the judges of assize, whose charges to grand juries were always of a political nature. Yet there can be no doubt that the strength of the tories manifested itself beyond expectation. Sluggish and silent in its fields, like the animal which it has taken for its type, the deep-rooted loyalty of the Finglish gentry to the crown may escape a superficial observer, till some circumstance calls forth an indignant and furious energy. The temper shown in 1680 was not according to what the late elections would have led men to expect, not even to that of the next elections for the parliament at Oxford. A large majority returned on both these occasions, and that in the principal counties as much as in corporate towns, were of the whig principle. It appears that the ardent zeal against popery in the smaller freeholders must have overpowered the natural influence of the superior olasses. The middling and lower orders, particularly in towns, were clamorous against the duke of York and the evil counsellors of the crown. But with the country gentlemen popery was scarce a more odious word than fanatioism; the memory of the late reign and of the asurpation was still recent, and in the violence of the commons, in the insolence of Monmouth and Shaftesbury, in the bold assaults upon hereditary right, they saw a faint image of that confusion which had once impoverished and humbled them. Meanwhile the king's dissimulation was quite sufficient for these simple loyalists; the very delusion of the popish plot raised his name for religion in their eyes, since his death was the declared aim of the conspirators; nor did he fail to keep alive this favourable prejudice by letting that impostare take its course, and by enforcing the execution of the penal laws against some unfortunate prieats. ${ }^{\text {P }}$

It is among the great advantages of a court in its coutention with the aseerters of popalar privileges that it

[^301]can employ a circumspect and dissembling policy, which

Violence of the commons. is never found on the opposite side. The demagogues of faction, or the axistocratio leaders of a numerous assembly, even if they do not feol the influence of the passions they excite, which is rarely the case, are urged onwards by their headstrong followers, and would both lay themselves open to the suspicion of unfaithfulness and damp the spirit of their party by a wary and temperate course of proceeding. Yet that incautious violence, to which ill-judging men are tempted by the possession of power, must in every case, and especially where the power itself is deemed an usurpation, cast them headlong. This was the fatal error of that house of commons which met in October, 1680; and to this the king's triumph may chiefly be ascribed. The addresses declaratory of abhorrence of petitions for the meeting of parliament were doubtless intemperate with respect to the petitioners; but it was preposterous to treat them as violations of privilege. A few precedents, and those in times of much heat and irregularity, could not justify so flagrant an encroach. ment on the rights of the private subject as the commitment of men for a declaration so little affecting the constitutional rights and functions of parliament. ${ }^{9}$ The expulsion, indeed, of Withens, their own member, for promoting one of these addresses, though a violent measure, came in point of law within their acknowledged authority." But it was by no means a generally received opinion in that age that the house of commons had an unbounded jurisdiction, directly or indirectly, over their constituents. The lawyers, being chiefly on the side of prerogative, inclined at least to limit very greatly this alleged power of commitment for breach of privilege or contempt of the house. It had very rarely, in fact, been exerted, except in cases of serving legal process on members or other molestation, before the long parliament of Charles I.; a time absolutely disoredited by one party, and confessed by every reasonable man to be full of innovation atd violence. That the commons had no right of judicature was admitted: was it compatible,

[^302]many might arge, to principles of reason and justice that they could, merely by using the words contempt or breach of privilegein a warrant, deprive the subject of that liberty which the recent statute of Habeas Corpus had secured against the highest ministers of the crown? Yet one Thompson, a clergyman at Bristol, having preached some virulent sermons, wherein he had traduced the memory of Hampden for refusing the payment of ship-money, and spoken disrespectfully of queen Elizabeth, as well as iusulted those who petitioned for the sitting of parliament, was sent for in custody of the eerjeant to answer at the bar for his high misdemeanor against the privileges of that house; and was afterwards compelled to find security for his forthcoming to answer to an impeachment voted against him on these strange charges." Many others were brought to the bar, not only for the crime of abhorrence, but for alleged misdemeanors still less affecting the privileges of parliament, such as remissness in searching for papists. Sir Robert Cann, of Bristol, was sent for in custody of the serjeant-at-arms, for publicly declaring that there was no popish, but only a presbyterian plot. A general panic, mingled with indignation, was diffused through the conntry, till one Stawell, a gentleman of Devonshire, had the courage to refuse compliance with the speaker's warrant; and the commons, who hesitated at such a time to risk an appeal to the ordinary magistrates, were compelled to let this contumacy go unpunished. If, indeed, we might believe the journals of the house, Stawell was actually in custody of the serjeant, though allowed a month's time on account of sickness. This was most probably a subterfuge to conceal the truth of the case."

These encroachments, under the name of privilege, were exactly in the spirit of the long parliament, and revived too forcibly the recollection of that awful period. It was commonly in men's mouths that 1641 was come about again. There appeared indeed for several months \& very imminent danger of civil war. I have already mentioned the projects of the duke of Yotk, in case his brother had given way to the exclusion bill. There could be litilo reason to doubt that many of the opposite
leaders were ready to try the question by arms. Reresby has related a conversation he had with lord Halifax immediately after the rejection of the bill, which shows the expectation of that able statesman that the differences about the succession would end in civil war." The just abhorrence good men entertain for such a calamity excites their indignation against those who conspicuously bring it on. And, however desirous some of the court might be to strengthen the prerogative by quelling a premature rebellion, the commons were, in the eyes of the nation, far more prominent in accelerating so terrible 2 crisis. Their votes in the session of November, 1680, were marked by the most extravagant factiousmess." oxford Their conduct in the short parliament held at parilament. Oxford in March, 1681, served still more to alienate the peaceable part of the commmity. That session of eight days was marked by the rejection of a proposal to vest all effective power during the duke of York's life in a regent, which, as has been already observed, was by no means a secure measure, and by a much less justifiable attempt to screen the author of a treasonable libel from punishment under the pretext of impeaching him at the bar of the upper house. It seems difficult not to suspect that the secret instigation of Barillon, and even his gold, had considerable influence on some of those who swayed the votes of this parliament.

Though the impeachment of Fitelnarris, to which I

[^303] have just alluded, was in itself a mere work of temporary faction, it brought into discussion a considerable question in our constitutional law, which deserves notice both on account of its importance and because a popular writer has

[^304]advanced an ontenable proposition on the subject. The commons impeached this man of high treason. Fitharit The lords voted that he should be proceeded impeachec against at common law. It was resolved, in consequence, by the lower house, "that it is the undoubted right of the commons in parliament assembled to impeach before the lords in parliament any peer or commoner for treason, or any other crime or misdemeana": and that the refusal of the lords to proceed in parliament upon auch impeachment is a denial of justice, and a violation of the constitution of parliament." I It seems indeed difficult to justify the determination of the lords. Certainly the declaration in the case of sir Simon de Bereford, who having been accused by the king, in the fourth year of Edward IIL., before the lords, of participating in the treason of Roger Mortimer, that noble assembly protested, "with the assent of the king in full parliament, that, albeit they had taken upon them, as judges of the parliament, in the presence of the king, to render judgment, yet the peers who then were or should be in time to come were not bound to render judgment upon others than peers, nor had power to do so; and that the said judgment thus rendered should never be drawn to example or consequence in time to 00 me , whereby the said peers of the land might be charged to judge others than their peers, contrary to the laws of the land;" certainly, I say, this declaration, even if it amounted to a statute, concerning which there has been some question, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ was not necessarily to be interpreted as applicable to impeachments at the suit of the commons, wherein the king is no ways a party. There were several precedents in the reign of Richard II. of such impeachments for treason. There had been more than one in that of Charles I. The objection indoed was so novel, that ohief-justice Scroggs, having been impeached for treason in the last parliament, though he applied to be admitted to bail, had never insisted on so decisive a plea to the jurisdiction. And if the doctrine adopted by the lords

[^305]were to be carried to its just consequences, all impeachment of commoners must be at an end; for no distinction is taken in the above declaration as to Bereford between treason and misdemeanor. The peers had indeed lost their ancient privilege in cases of misdemeanor, and were subject to the verdict of a jury; but the principle was exactly the same, and the right of judging commoners upon impeachment for corruption or embezzlement, which no one called in question, was as much an exception from the ordinary rules of law as in the more rare case of high treason. It is hardiy necessary to observe that the 29th section of Magna Charta, which establishes the right of trial by jury, is by its express language solely applicable to the suits of the crown.

This very dangerous and apparently unfounded theory, broached upon the occasion of Fitzharris's impeachment by the earl of Nottingham, never obtained reception; and was rather intimated than avowed in the vote of the lords that he should be proceeded against at common law. Buty after the revolution, the commons having impeached sir Adam Blair and some others of high treason, a committee was appointed to search for precedents on this subject; and, after full deliberation, the house of lords came to a resolution that they would proceed on the impeachments." The inadvertent position therefore of Blackstone, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ that a commoner cannot be impeached for high treason, is not only difficult to be supported upon anoient authorities, but contrary to the latest determination of the supreme tribunal.

No satisfactory elucidation of the strange libel for

Procoeding aralinat Shatuctabury and College. which Fitzharris suffered death has yet been afforded. There is much probability in the supposition that it was written at the desire of some in the court, in order to cast odium on their adversaries; a very common stratagem of unscrupulous partisans." It caused an impression unfavourable

[^306]to the whigs in the nation. The court made a dexterous use of that extreme credulity which has been supposed characteristic of the English, though it belongs at least equally to every other people. They seized into their hands the very engines of delusion that had been turned against them. Those perjured witnesses, whom Shaftesbury had hallooed on through all the infamy of the popish plot, were now arrayed in the same court to swear treason and conspiracy against him. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Though he, escaped by the resoluteness of his grand jury, who refused to find a bill of indictment on testimony which they professed themselves to disbelieve, and which was probably false, yet this extraordinary deviation from the usual practice did harm rather than otherwise to the general cause of his faction. The judges had taken care that the witnesses should be examined in open court, so that the jury's partiality, should-they reject such positive testimony, might become glaring. Doubtless it is, in ordinary cases, the duty of a grand juror to find a bill upon the direct testimony of witnesses, where they do not contradict themselves or each other, and where their evidence is not palpably incredible or contrary to his own knowledge. The oath of that inquest is forgotfen, either where they render themselves, as seems too often the case, the mere conduit-pipes of accusation, putting a prisoner in jeopardy upon such slender evidence as does not call upon him for a defence; or where, as we have sometimes known in political causes, they frustrate the

[^307]logue, entitled Ignoramus Vindicated, it is asked, Why were Dr. Oates and others believed against the papists? and the best answer the case admits is given: "Because his and their testimony was backed by that undeniable evidence of Coleman's papers, Godfrey's murder, and a thousand other pregnant circumstances, which makes the case much different from that when people, of very suspected credit, swear the grossest improbabilities." But the same witness, it is urged, had lately been believed against the papists. "What! then," replies the advocate of Shaftesbury; " may not a man be very honest and credible at one time, and six months after, by necessity subornation, malice, or twenty ways, become a rotorious villain? ${ }^{\text {* }}$

2 a
ends of justice by rejecting indictments which are fully substantiated by testimony. Whether the grand jury of London, in their celebrated igaoramus on the indict. ment preferred against Shaftesbury, had sufficient grounds for their incredulity $\boldsymbol{l}$ will not pretend to determine.' There was probably no one man among them who had not implicitly swallowed the tales of the same witnesses in the trials for the plot. The nation, however, in general, less bigoted, or at least more honest in their bigotry, than those London citizens, was staggered by so many depositions to a traitorous conspiracy, in those who had pretended an excessive loyslty to the king's person.' Men unaccustomed to courts of justice are naturally prone to give credit to the positive oaths of witnesses. They were still more persuaded when, as in the trial of College at Oxford, they saw this testimony sustained by the approbation of a judge (and that judge a decent person who gave no scandal), and confirmed by the verdict of a jury. The gross iniquity practised towards the prisoner in that trial was not so generally bruited as his conviction. There is in England a remarkable


#### Abstract

- Foger North, and the prerogative witters in guneral, apeak of thif inquest at a ecandalous plecs of perjury enough to Justify the measure soon afterwards trizen agaiast the city. But Fialph, who, at this pertod of hitatory, it very impartral, seems to thint the jury warranted by the abcurdity of the depositions. It if to bo remambered that the petty juries had shown themsolves llable to Intimidation, and that the bench was cold to the court. In modern times, such an ignoramus could harily ever be Justhed. There is atrong reason to beliove that the court had recourse to mbornstion of ovidence agalnit Shaftesbury. Ralph 140, ot poith And the Fitnesseds were chiefly low Irahmen, in whom he was not likely to have placed consdence. An to the amociation fownd among Shatteebury's paperts, it was not sigued by himeolf, bior, is I concelva, treasonable, only btiding the asociatore to oppow the dike of Yark, in case of hily coming to the crown. Stats Trais, Fill, tes, See ales 897 and 536.


$f$ If we may bolleve Jamen II., the po-
pulace hooted Shafcabury when he wit sent to the Tower. Macpherson, 184; Life of James, 6xe. This was on improvement on the odit damnadoe. Ther rejoiced, bowever, turuch more, is ha owns, at the igmoramma p. 714.

E Sea Collegote cana in Stale THals, viti. 840 ; and Hiwles's remaris on it 728. Ralph, 626. It is ope of the worit pleces of Judicial Inlquity that we find is the whole collectlon. The writeen todructions be had given to hile conneal belore the trial were taken away from him, In order to learn the crounder of his defence. North and Jonet, the Judges before whom be wes tried, afforded blm no protection. But, beaidea this, even if the witneneses had been credibles, it doea not appear to ue that the facts amonated to treawn. Roger North ontdoes hime melf in his juxtification of the proseeding on hle trial. Bramen, p. 88t. Whet would this man have been in power, when be wittee thut in a sort of proscription twenty yeart after the revolvtion! But in justice it should be obcorved that his dortralt of North and
confidence in our judicial proceedings, in part derived from their publicity, and partly from the indiscriminate manner in whicl jurors are usually summoned. It must be owned that the administration of the two last Stuarts was calculated to show how easily this confiding temper might be the dupe of an insidious ambition.

The king's declaration of the reasons that induced him to dissolve the last parliament, being a mani- Trumph of festo against the late majority of the house of the caurt commons, was read in all churches. The clergy scarcely waited for this pretext to take a zealous part for the crown. Every one knows their influence over the nation in any cause which they make their own. They seemed to change the war against liberty into a crusade. They re-echoed from every pulpit the strain of passive obedience, of indefarsible hereditary right, of the divine origin and patriarchal descent of monarchy. Now began again the loyal addresses, more numerous and ardent than in the last year, which overspread the pages of the London Gazette for many months. These effusions stigmatise the measures of the three last parliaments, dwelling especially on their arbitrary illegral votes egainst the personal liberty of the subject. Their-language is of course not alike; yet, amidst all the ebullitions of triumphant loyalty, it is easy in many of them to perceive a lurking distrust of the majesty to which they did homage, insinuated to the reader in the marked satisfaction with which they allude to the king' promise of calling frequent parliaments and of governing by the laws.'

The whigs, meantime, so late in the heyday of their pride, lay, like the fallen angels, prostrate mpon the fiery lake. The scoffs and gibes of libellers, who had trembled before the resolutions of the commons, were showered upon their heads. They had to fear, what was much worse than the insults of these vermin, the perjuries of mercensry informers suborned by their enemies

[^308]to charge false conspiracies against them, and sure of countenance from' the contaminated benches of justice. The court, with an artful policy, though with detestable wickedness, seoured itself against its only great danger, the suspicion of popery, by the sacrifice of Plunket, the titular archbishop of Dublin. ${ }^{1}$ The execution of this worthy and innocent person cannot be said to have been extorted from the king in a time of great difficulty, like that of lord Stafford. He was coolly and deliberately permitted to suffer death, lest the ourrent of loyalty, still sensitive and suspicious upon the account of religion, might be somewhat checked in its course. Yet those who heap the epithets of merciless, inhuman, sanguinary, on the whig party for the impeachment of lord Stafford, in whose gailt they fully believed, seldom mention, without the characteristic distinction of "goodnatured," that sovereign who permitted the execation of Plunket, of whose innocence he was assured. ${ }^{k}$


#### Abstract

I Stete Trinls, vill, 4ty. CMiaf Justice Pemberton, by whom ho wea tried, had ctrong projudices againat the paplats. though yrell enough disponed to merve the court in some respectis. it Tho Hing, James mas in 14tr, was convinced of the falsehood of the ploth * white the seeming neceesity of his affair made thin unfortnaate princo-for wo he may well be termed in this conjanctarethink he could not be eafe but by coneenting overy day to the axecution of chooe be buew in hil heart to be most innocent; and an for that notion of letting she lat take its commo, it was euch a piece of casulatry ${ }^{\text {a }}$ had been fatal to the lying his futher," \$0. 889, If this wat blameable in 16t9, how much more in 1881d

Temple relates, that, having objected to leaving come priestis to the isw, as the bouse of commona had dedred in 1679, Liallfax fald he would tell every one he was a paplat if be did not concor; and that the plot must be treated as if it were true, whether it was wo or not: p. 390 (folto edit.). A vile maxim indeed! Bat w Hallfar had never ahowed any want if amadour or hamanity, and voted lond silaflord toot guitty next year, we may coabt wather Templo has repreesonted


this quite exactly.
In reterence to lond Stationd, I will here notice that lord John Rutsell, in a parasage deserving very high prsige, has shown mether too mneh candour in censuring his ancestos (p. 140) on scrount of the mpport he gave (if in fret he did en, for the evidence eeeme weak) to the objection raised by the shorifis, Bethell and Cormish, with recpect to the mode of Staflord'a exacutron. The ling having romitted all the mentence except the beheading, thew magistenten thought fit to convult the house of commons Humb talls of Prossoll's seconding thll "hatbarous acrople, "t as be calts It, and timputesit to faction. But, notwlthatanding the eplibat, it is oertain that the only question was between denth by the cord and the axe; and If Stafferd had been grilty, as lond Ruasell was convinced, of a moat stroeions treason, be conld not dowerve to bo spared the mose ignominioss pentahment The trith is, which seemes to have eacaped both thee writere, that, if the king conld remit a part of the weatemo. upon a parilamentery tmperichmens, it might condderably fifect the question whether be could not grant a pexders which the couruons had clenied

The hostility of the city of London, and of several other towns, towards the court, degenerating no doubt into a factious and indecent violence, gave a pretext for the most dangerous aggression on public liberty that occurred in the present reign. The power of the democracy in that age resided chiefly in the corporations. These returned, exclusively or principally, a majority of the representatives of the commons. So long as they should be actuated by that ardent spirit of protestantism and liberty which prevailed in the middling classes, there was little prospect of obtaining a parliament that would co-operate with the Stuart scheme of government. The administration of justice was very much in the hands of their magistrates, especially in Middlesex, where all juries are returned by the city sheriffs. It was suggested, therefore, by some crafty lawyers that a judgment of forfeiture obtained against the corporation of London would not only demolish that citadel of insolent rebels, but intimidate the rest of England by so striking an example. True it was that no precedent could be found for the forfeiture of corporate privileges. But general reasoning was to serve instead of precedents, and, there was a considerable analogy in the surrenders of the abbeys under Henry VIII., if much authority could be allowed to that transaction. An information, as it is called, quo warranto, was accordingly brought into the court of king's bench against the corporation. Two acts of the common council were alleged as sufficient misdemeanors to warrant a judgment of forfeiture; one, the imposition of certain tolls on goods brought into the city markets by an ordinance or by-law of their own; the other, their petition to the king in December, 1679, for the sitting of parliament, and its publication throughout the country. ${ }^{\text {m }}$. It would be foreign to the purpose of this work to inquire whether a corporation be in any case subjeot to forfeiture, the affirmative of which seems to have been held by courts of justice since the revolution; or whether the exaction of tolls in their markets, in consideration of erecting stalls and standings, were within the competence of the city of London; or, if not
so, whether it were such an offence as could legally incur the penalty of a total forfeiture and disfranchisement; since it was manifest that the crown made use only of this additional pretext in ordet to punish the corporation for its address to the king. The language, indeed, of their petition had been uncourtly, and what the adherents of prerogative would call insolent; but it was at the worst rather a misdemeanor, for which the persons concerned might be responsible, than a breach of the trust reposed in the corporation. We are not, however, so much concerned to argue the matter of law in this question, as to remark the spirit in which the attack on this stronghold of popular liberty was conceived. The court of king's bench pronounced judgment of forfeiture against the corporation; but this judgment, at the request of the attorney-general, was only recorded; the city continued in appearance to possess its corporate franchises, but apon submission to certain regulations: namely, that no mayor, sheriff, recorder, or other chief officer, should be admitted until approved by the king; that, in the event of his twice disapproving their choice of a mayor, he should himself nominate a fit person, and the same in case of sheritts, without waiting for a second election; that the court of aldermen, with the king's permission, might remove any one of their body; that they should have a negative on the elections of commoncouncilmen, and, in case of disapproving a second choice, have themselves the nomination. The corporation submitted thus to purchase the continued enjoyment of its estates at the expense of its municipal independence; yet, even in the prostrate condition of the whig party, the question to admit these regulations was carried by no great majority in the common councils." The oity was, of course, absolutely subservient to the court from this time to the revolution.

After the fall of the capital it was not to be expected that towns less capable of defence should stand out. Informations quo warranto were brought against eeveral corporations, and a far greater number hastened to anticipate the assault by voluntary surrenders. It seemed to be recognised as law by the judgment against London

[^309]that any irregularity or misuse of power in a corporation might incur a sentence of forfeiture, and few could boast that they were invulnerable at every point. The judges of assize in their circuits prostituted their inflaence and authority to forward this and every other encroachment of the crown. Jeffreys, on the northern circuit, in 1684, to use the language of Charles II.'s most unblushing advocate, " made all the charters, like the walls of Jericho, fall down before him, and returned laden with surrenders, the spoils of towns." They received, instead, new charters, framing the constitution of these municipalities in a more oligarchical model, and reserving to the crown the first appointment of those who were to form the governing part of the corporation. These changes were gradually brought about in the last three years of Charles's reign and in the beginning of the next.

There can be nothing so destructive to the English constitution, not even the introduction of a military force, as the exclusion of the electoral $\begin{aligned} & \text { Profects of } \\ & \text { londeell }\end{aligned}$ body from their franchises. The people of this and sidney. country are, by our laws and constitution, bound only to obey a parliament duly chosen; and this violation o charters, in the reigns of Charles and James, appears to be the great and leading justification of that event which drove the latter from the throne. It can therefore be no matter of censure, in a moral sense, that some men of pure and patriotic virtue, mingled, it must be owned, with others of a far inferior temper, began to hold consultations as to the best means of resisting a government which, whether to judge from these proceedings, or from the language of its partisans, was aiming without disguise at an arbitrary power. But as resistance to eetablished authority can never be warrantable until it is expedient, we could by no means approve any schemes of insurrection that might be projected in 1682, unless we could perceive that there was a fair chance of their success. And this we are not led, by what we read of the spirit of those times, to believe. The tide ran violently in another direction; the courage of the whigs was broken : their adversaries were strong in nombers
and in zeal. But hence it is reasonable to infer that men like lord Essex and lord Russell, with so much to lose by failure, with such good sense, and such abhorrence of civil calamity, would not ultimately have resolved on the desperate issue of arms, though they might deem it prudent to form estimates of their strength, and to knit together a confederacy which absolute necessity might call into action. It is beyond doubt that the supposed conspirators had debated among themselves the subject of an insurrection, and poised the chances of civil war. Thus much the most jealous lawyer, I presume, will allow might be done, without risking the penalties of treason. They had, however, gone farther; and by concerting measures in different places as well as in Scotland, for a rising, though contingently, and without any fixed determination to carry it into effect, most probably (if the whole business had been disclosed in testimony) laid themselves open to the law according to the construction it has frequently received. There is a considerable difficulty, after all that has been written, in stating the extent of their designs; but I think we may assume that a wide-spreading and formidable insurrection was for several months in agitation. ${ }^{p}$ But the difficulties and hazards of the enterprise had already caused lord Russell and lord Essex to recede from the desperate counsels of Shaftesbury; and but for the unhappy detection of the conspiracy and the perfidy of lord Howard, these two noble persons, whose lives were untimely lost to their country, might have survived to join the banner and support the throne of William. It is needless to observe that the minor plot, if we may use that epithet in reference to the relative dignity of the conspirators, for assassinating the king and the duke of York, had no immediate connexion with the schemes of Russell, Fssex, and Sidney. ${ }^{9}$

[^310]But it is by no means a consequence from the admission we have made that the evidence adduced on lord Russell's trial was sufficient to justify Thetr trial. his conviction? It appears to me that lord Howard, and perhaps Rumsey, were unwilling witnesses; and that the former, as is frequently the case with those who betray their friends in order to save their own lives, divalged no more than was extracted by his own danger. The testimony of neither witness, especially Howard, was given with any degree of that precision which is exacted in modern times; and, as we now read the trial, it is not probable that a jury in later ages would have found a verdict of guilty, or would have been advised to it by the court. But, on the other hand, if lord Howard were really able to prove more than he did, which I mach suspect, a better-conducted examination would probably have elicited facts unfavourable to the prisoner which at present do not appear. It may be
of come techoical erroses, not emential to the meritus of the cuse.
 ins throat in the Tower. He was a man of the moat excellent qualitien, but rabfert to constitutional melencholy, which overcome hif fortitade; an event the more to be deplored, as there neems to bave been no poopelbility of bis being convicted. A spapiction, to ts well koown, obtalned credit with the enemles of the court that lond Eseser wan mordered; and torme evidence will brought forward by the real of one Braddon. The late editor of the State Trials neems a litule inclined to rovivo this report, which even Harris (Lufe of Charith p 152 ) does not venture to necredit; and I am curprised to find lord Jobn Rameell observe, " It Frold be bile, at the present time, to pretend to give any optaico on the sabfoct:" p. 182 Thite I can by no menent edmit. We have, on the ooe eldes eame tentimoales by children, who trequently invent and pendat is talsehoods with no concelvabie motiva. But, on the other hand, we are to suppoee that Charies II. and the duke of York cansed a detentable marder to to perpetrated on one towurde whom thes had never sbown any boathity, and in whose death they land 80 interies. Euch of these princes
had fralts enough; bat I may venture to axy that they were totally incapable of such a crime One of the presumptive argumenta of Braddon, th a pamphlet pablisthed long afterwards, in, that the king and his urother were in the Tower on the morning of lord Emex's desth If this lends to anyihing, we are to believe that Churies II, like the tyrant in a Grub-street tragedy, came to kill his prisoner with his own bande. Any man of ordinary understanding (which semens not to have been the case with Mr. Braddon) mast perceive that the circomstance tends to repel cuspicion rather than the cantrary. See the whole of this, incloding Braddon's pamphlet, in Stavo Trials, ix. 1127. [i am sorry to reed in an article of the Edinbargh Review by an eloquent friexd, "Ensex added a yet madder and more foarfol wtory to the Dlocdy chroalclee of the Tower." Macallay's Eways, ill is, and Edinburgb Revew, 1858 . Por though this may impty no mare then his cuicida it will groerally be constraed in another mensa. And marely the critical judement camnot be misisted with evidence which might weigh, as I thav heerd it did, with the pardonable prejuctioes of a drecendent. -1848.]
doubtful whether any overt act of treason is distinctly proved against lord Russell, except his concurrence in the project of a rising at Tannton, to which Rumser deposes. But this, depending on the oath of a single witness, could not be sufficient for a conviction.

Pomberton, chief justice of the common pleas, tried this illustrious prisoner with more humanity than was usually displayed on the bench; but, aware of his precarious tenure in office, he did not venture to check the counsel for the crown, Sawyer and Jeffreys, permitting them to give a great body of hearsay evidence, with only the feeble and useless remark that it did not affect the prisoner.' Yet he checked lord Anglesea, when he offered similar evidence for the defence. In his direction to the jury, it deserves to be remarked that he by no means advanced the general proposition which better men have held, that a conspiracy to levy war is in itself an overt act of compassing the king's death; limiting it to cases where the king's person might be put in danger, as, in the immediate instance, by the alleged scheme of seizing his guards.' His language, indeed, as recorded in the printed trial, was such as might have produced a verdict of acquittal from a jury tolerably disposed towards the prisoner; but the sheriffs, North and Rich, who had been illegally thrust into office, being men wholly devoted to the prerogative, had taken care to return a panel in whom they could confide."

The trial of Algernon Sidney, at which Jeffreys, now raised to the post of chief justice of the king's bench, presided, is as familiar to all my readers as that of lord Russell.: Their names have been always united in

[^311]tholomew Shawer in behalf of It. These are in the State Triala Bet Eolt, by laylag down the principle of constractive treason in Ashten's caso, entablished tor ever the legality of Pemberton's dootrine, and indeed carsied it a good deal farther. " There seems Hute doubt that the Jurien were packed through a comaplasay of the cherffis with Burton and Graham, solleators for the crown. State Tring, br 982. These two men ran sway at the revolution; but Roger North vindication thair oharactars, and thoos who tratt in him may think them honept
a Stato Trials, is 是男
grateful veneration and sympathy. It is notcrions that sidney's conviction was obtained by a most illegal distortion of the evidence. Besides lord Howard, no living witness could beproduced to the conspiracy for an ingarrection; and though Jeffreys permitted two others to prepossess the jury by a secondhand story, he was compelled to admit that their testimony could not directly affect the prisoner.' The atturney-general, therefore, had recourse to a paper found in his housa, which was given in evidence, either as an overt act of treason by its own nature, or as connected with the alleged conspiracy; for though it was only in the latter sense that it could be admissible at all, yet Jeffreys took care to insinnate, in his charge to the jury, that the doctrines it contained were treasonable in themselves, and without reference to other evidence. In regard to trath, and to that justice which cannot be denied to the worst men in their .worst actions, I must observe that the common accusation against the court in this trial, of having admitted insufficient proof by the mere comparison of handwriting, though alleged, not only in most of our historians, but in the act of parliament reversing Sidney's attainder, does not appear to be well founded; the testimony to that fact, unless the printed trial is falsified in an extraordinary degree, being sach as would be received at present." We may allow, also, that the


#### Abstract

 up the evidetuce he repeatad all Weat and Kecling bad thros mid at meoondhend Flchoat remonding the fury thats it mas mot legol testimony. Id gete. It woald be seld by his adrocrtel, if any are left; that these witnewes must bave been left ort of the question, since there could etherwive have been no disperte about the Eritten paper. Bat they were undoubtedly miended to prop up Elawad's evidence, Flich had been 00 turch ehaken by ins previoces declapetion thet ha limear of no conapiricy.

Thil by pointed out, perhaps for the  booty, Philitipps'a Law of Evidence. Yet the tet for the reveral of Sidney't at siainder deciares in tive preandie unat - the peper, expposed to be his handwriting, ade not proved hy the textimory


of anty one witapes to be written by htin, bat the Jary wres difected to believe is by actuparing it with other mitinge of the and Alguaca." State TYiang set. This does not $\quad$ qppear os heve beed the case; aud though Jelifeyt in ard to bave garbled the manusoript trial before it was printed (for all the tritalat this time were poblisined by anthocity, whil makes them moch betuer efidionce agatint the Judgee then for them), get he cath hardly have anbitituted momach testionony withcout its attracting the motion of Ations and Hawling, who wrote ser the revolothon However, in Bayesia uas, Statia Triale, I 312, blough the primoner's handariting to a ketrep wa proved it the eatal why by permose who had seen him Frite yet thin letter Fint abo abom to the jary, along with anme of hlo to hmowledged writing for the parpoen el
passages from this paper, as laid in the indictment, containing very strong assertions of the right of the people to depose an unworthy ling, might by possibility, if connected by other evidence with the conspiracy itself, have been admissible as presumptions for the jury to consider whether they had been written in furtherance of that design. But when they came to be read on the trial with their context, though only with such parts of that as the attorney-general chose to prodnce out of a voluminous manuscript, it was clear that they belonged to a theoretical work on government, long since perhaps written, and incapable of any bearing upon the other evidence. ${ }^{*}$

The manifest iniquity of this sentence npon Algernon Sidney, as well as the high courage he displayed throughout these last scenes of his life, have inspired a sort of enthusiasm for his name, which neither what we know of his story, nor the opinion of his contemporaries, seems altogether to warrant. The crown of marlyrdom should be suffered perhaps to exalt every virtue, and efface every defect, in patriots, as it has often done in saints. In the iaithful mirror of history Sidney may lose something of this lustre. He possessed no doubt a powerful, active, and undaunted mind, stored with extensive reading on the topics in which he delighted. But having proposed one only object for his political conduct, the establishment of a republic in England, his pride and inflexibility, though they gave a dignity to his charactar, rendered his views narrower and his temper nnaccommodating. It was evident to every reasonable man that a republican government, being adverse to the preporsessions of a great majority of the people, could only be brought about and maintained by the force of usurpation. Yet for this idol of his speculative hours he was ountent to sacrifice the liberties of Europe, to plunge the country in civil war, and even to stand indebted to

[^312]France for protection. He may justly be suspected of having been the chief promoter of the dangerous cabals with Barillon; nor could any tool of Charles's court be more sedulous in representing the aggressions of Louis XIV. in the Netherlands as indifferent to our honour and safety.

Sir Thomas Armstrong, who had fled to Holland on the detection of the plot, was given up by the States. A sentence of outlawry, which had passed against him in his absence, is equivalent, in cases of treason, to a conviction of the crime. But the law allows the space of one year, during which the party may surrender himself to take his trial. Armstrong, when brought before the court, insisted on this right, and demanded a trial. Nothing could be more evident, in point of law, than that he was entitled to it; but Jeffreys, with inhuman rudeness, treated his claim as wholly anfounded, and would not even suffer connsel to be heard in his behalf. He was executed accordingly without trial. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ But it would be too prolix to recspitulate all the instances of brutal injustice, or of cowardly subserviency, which degraded the English lawyers of the Stuart period, and never so infamously as in these last years of Charles II. From this prostitution of the tribunals, from the intermission of parliaments, and the steps taken to render them in future mere puppets of the crown, it was plain that all constitutional securities were at least in abeyance; and those who felt themselves most obnoxious, or whose spirit was too high to live in an enslaved country, retired to Holland as an asylum in which they might wait the occasion of better prospects, or, at the worst; breathe an air of liberty.

Meanwhile the prejudice against the whig party, which had reached so great a height in 1681, was still farther enhanced by the detection of the late conspiracy. The atrocious soheme of assassination alleged against Walcot and some others who had suffered was blended by the arts of the court and clergy, and by the blundering credulity of the gentry, with those less heinous projects ascribed to lord Russell and his associates."

[^313]These projects, if true in their full extent, were indeed such as men honestly attached to the government of their country could not fail to disapprove. For this parpose a declaration full of malicious insinuations was ordered to be read in all churches. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ It was generally commented upon, we may make no question, in one of those loyal discourses, which, trampling on all truth, charity, and moderation, had no other scope than to inflame the hearers against nonconforming protestants, and to throw obloquy on the constitutional privileges of the subject.

It is not my intention to censure, in any strong sense of the word, the Anglican clergy at this time for their High-wry assertion of absolute non-resistance, so far is principlesor it was done without calumny and insolence the clergs. towards those of another way of thinking, and withont self-interested adulation of the ruling power. Their error was very dangerous, and had nearly proved destructive of the whole constitution; but it was one which had come down with high recommendation, and of which they conld only perhaps be undeceived, as men are best undeceived of most errors, by experience that it might hurt themselvee. It was the tenet of their homilies, their canons, their most distinguished divines and casuists; it had the spparent sanction of the legislature in a statute of the present reign. Many excellent men, as was shown after the revolution, who had never made use of this doctrine as an engine of faction or private interest, conld not disentangle their minds from the arguments or the authority on which it rested. But by $t 00$ great a number it was eagerly brought forward to serve the purposes of arbitrary power, or at best to fix the wavering protestantism of the court by professions of unimpeachable loyalty. To this motive, in fact, we may trace a good deal of the vehemence with which the non-resisting principle had been originally adranced by the church of England under the Tudors, and was continually urged under the Stuarts. If we look at the tracts and sermons published by both parties after the restoration, it will appear manifest that the Romish and Anglican churches bade, as it were, against each other

[^314]for the favour of the two royal brothers. The one appealed to its acknowledged principle, while it denounced the pretensions of the holy see to release subjects from their allegiance, and the bold theories of popular government which Mariana and some other Jesuits had promulgated. The other retaliated on the first movers of the Reformation, and expatiated on the usurpation. of lady Jane Grey, not to say Elizabeth, and the republioanism of Knox or Calvin.

From the era of the exclusion-bill especially, to the death of Charles II., a number of books were passive published in favour of an indefeasible heredi- obedience. tary right of the crown, and of absolute non-resistance. These were, however, of two very different classes. The authors of the first, who were perhaps the more numerous, did not deny the legal limitations of monarchy. They admitted that no one was bound to concur in the execution of anlawful commands. Hence the obedience they deemed indispensable was denominated passive; an epithet, which in modern usage is little more than redundant, but at that time made a sensible distinction. If all men should confine themselves to this line of duty, and merely refuse to become the instruments of such unlawful commands, it was evident that no tyranny could be carried into effect. If some should be wicked enough to co-operate against the liberties of their country, it would still be the bounden obligation of Christians to submit. Of this, which may be reckoned the moderate party, the most eminent were Hickes, in a treatise called Jovian, and Sherlock, in his case of resistance to the supreme powers. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ To this also

[^315]must have belonged archbishop Sancroft, ar il the great body of nonjuring clergy who had refused to read the declaration of indulgence ander James II., and whose conduot in that respect would be utterly absurd, except on the supposition that there existed some lawful boundaries of the royal authority.

But besides these men, who képt some measures with the constitution, even while, by their slavish

Some contand for absolute power. tenets, they laid it open to the assaults of more intrepid enemies, another and a pretty considerable class of writers did not hesitate to avow their abhorrence of all limitations apon arbitrary power. Brady went back to the primary sources of our history, and endeavoured to show that Magna Charta, as well ${ }^{\text {w }}$ every other constitutional law, were but rebell: oroachments on the ancient uncontrollable tible prerogatives of the monarchy. His wi. with learning and acuteness, and in some $I$, with with just remarks, though often unfair and alv a partial, naturally produced an effect on those who had been acoustomed to value the constitution rather for its presumed antiquity than its real excellence. But the author most in vogue with the partisans of despotism


#### Abstract

the fostitution of divl power and anthority is fram God; and indeed, if it be not, I know not bow any princo can fustif the taking away the ufo of any man, whatever crime ho ha been guilty of For no man has power of ha own lite, and therefore cannot give this power to atother; which proves that the power of copital punlahments cannot result from more concent, but from a mperior anthority, which is lord of life and death." This in plauribly urged, and in not nee foted th a marnent. He pert comen to an oldection, which evenually he was compolled to edmit, with mome diecredls to his conaistency and dilaintarantednom. w it the power of जictorions robeln and ururpers from God? Bid Oidvar Cromwrall recolve his porver from God? then it meemn it wat uninwful to reslat him too, or to consplet agalnt hbm; then all thowe loyal mubjecta who refused to submit to blon whea Do had got the power in his handa ware rebels and traitors.' To this I amwer, that the most proeperous rebel is not the bigher power, white our nataril prince, to whom we owe obedinoes


and anblection, in in being And thersfors, though mach men may gat the power into their hand by God's porminaion, yet not by God's ondinance; and he who rethats them does not regiat the ordinapoe of God, but the unurpations of men. In bereditary kingdome the Hing bever dies, but the same minate that the natural person of ane Hog dies the crown doe coand apon the naxt of hlood; and therefore be who rebelleth againt the fether, and murders him, continuea it rebol in the relge of the ton, which commencee with hie father's death It is othorwiso, fodeed, where toone can protend a greatior tille to the crown than the nourper, for there poemesion of power teems to give a right." P. 127.

Sherlock begra to preach in a vury" different manner as soon ea James bhowed - dispodition to eet up lis own chorch. "It is no act of logaity," he told the
 accommodate of compliment avay ont religion and ita legal asontider." Good Adivion to the Pulpitis
was sir Robert Filmer. He had lived before the civil war, but his posthumous writings came to sir Robert light about this period. They contain an Filmer. elaborate vindication of what was called the patriarchal scheme of government, which, rejecting with scorn that original contract whence human society had been supposed to spring, derives all legitimate authority from that of primogeniture, the next heir being king by divine right and as incapable of being restrained in his sovereignty as of being excluded from it. "As kingly power," he says, "is by the law of God, so hath it no inferior power to limit it. The father of a family governs he no other law than his own will, not by the laws and
"s of his sons and servants." "The direction of the
${ }^{2}$ like the advice and direction which the king's $s$ the king, which no man says is a law to the Ueneral laws," he observes, "made in parlian un, ay, upon known respects to the king, by his authority by mitigated or suspended npon causes only known to him; and '. y the coronation oath, he is only bound to observe good laws, of which he is the judge." h "A man is bound to obey the king's command against law, nay, in some cases, against divine laws."' In another treatise, entitled the Anarchy of a Mixed or Limited Monarchy, he inveighs, with no kind of reserve or exception, against the regular constitution; setting off with an assumption that the parliament of England was originally but an imitation of the States-general :f France, which had no further power than to present requests to the king. ${ }^{\text {k }}$

These treatises of Filmer obtained a very favourable reception. We find the patriarchal origin of government frequently mentioned in the publications of this time as an undoubted truth. Considared with respect to his celebrity rather than his talents, he was not, as some might imagine, too ignoble an adversary for Locke to have combated. Another person, far superior to Filmer in political eminence, undertook at the same time an unequivocal defence of absolute monarchy. This was sir George Mackenrie, the famous lord-advocate of Sootland. In his Jus Regium, published in Mackensie

[^316]1684, and dedicated to the university of Oxford, he maintains that " monarchy in its nature is absolute, and consequently these pretended limitations are against the nature of monarchy." $m$ "Whatever proves monarchy to be an excellent government, does by the same reason prove absolute monarchy to be the best government; for if monarchy be to be commended because it prevents divisions, then a limited monarchy, which allows the people a share, is not to be commended, because it occasions them; if monarchy be commended because there is more expedition, secrecy, and other excellent qualities to be found in it, then absolute monarchy is to be commended above a limited one, because a limited monarch must impart his secrets to the people, and must delay d the noblest designs, until malicious and factious spiritons be either gained or overcome; and the same analogy $0 t^{7}$ reason will hold in reflecting upon all other advantages of monarchy, the examination whereof I dare trust to every man's own bosom." " We can hardly, after this, avoid being astonished at the effrontery, even of a Scots crown lawyer, when we read in the preface to this very treatise of Mackenzie, "Under whom can we expect to be free from arbitrary government, when we were and are afraid of it under king Charles'I. and king Charles II.?"

It was at this time that the university of Oxford pub-

Decree of the university of Oxford. lished their celebrated decree against pernicious books and damnable doctrines, enumerating as such above twenty propositions, which they anathematised as false, seditious, and impious. The first of these is, that all civil authority is derived originally from the people; the second, that there is a compact, tacit or express, between the king and his subjects : and others follow of the same description. They do not explicitly condemn a limited monarchy, like Filmer, but evidently adopt his scheme of primogenitary right, which is, perhaps, almost incompatible with it. Nor is there the slightest intimation that the university extended their censure to such praises of despotic power as have been quoted in the last pages. ${ }^{\circ}$ This decree was publicly burned by an order of the house of lords in 1709 ; nor does there

[^317]seem to have been a single dissent in that body to a step that cast such a stigma on the university. But the disgrace of the offence was greater than that of the punishment.

We can frame no adequate conception of the jeopardy in which our liberties stood under the Stuarts, especially in this particular period, without attending to this spirit of servility which had been so sedulously excited. It seemed as if England was about to play the scene which Denmark had not long since exhibited, by a spontaneous surrender of its constitution. And although this loyalty were much more on the tongue than in the heart, as he next reign very amply disclosed, it served at least to deceive the court into a belief that its future steps would be almost without difficulty. It is uncertain whether Charles would have summoned another parliament. He either had the intention, or professed it in order to obtain money from France, of convoking one at Cambridge in the autumn of $1681 .{ }^{\text {p }}$ But after the scheme of new-modelling corporations began to be tried, it was his policy to wait the effects of this regeneration. It was better still, in his judgment, to dispense with the commons altogether. The period fixed by law had elapsed nearly twelve months before his death; ånd we have no evidence that a new parliament was in contemplation. But Louis, on the other hand, having discontinued his annual subsidy to the king in 1684, after gaining Strasburg and Luxemburg by his connivance, or rather co-operation, ${ }^{9}$ it would not have been easy to avoid a recurrence to the

Compexion with Louis broken off. only lawful source of revenue. The king of France, it should be observed, behaved towards Charles as men usually treat the low tools by whose corruption they have obtained any end. During the whole course of

[^318]their long negotiations, Louis, though never the dupe of our wretched monarch, was compelled to endure his shuffling evasions, and pay dearly for his base compliances. But when he saw himself no longer in need of them, it seems to have been in revenge that he permitted the publication of the secret treaty of 1670 , and withdrow his pecuniary aid. Charles deeply resented both these marks of desertion in his ally. In addition to them, he discovered the intrigaes of the French ambessadors with his malecontent commons. He perceived, also, that by bringing home the duke of York from Scotland, and restoring him, in defiance of the test act, to the privy council, he had made the presumptive heir of the throne, possessed as he was of superior steadiness and attention, too near a rival to himseif. These reflections appear to have depressed his mind in the latter months of his life, and to have produced that remarkable private reoonciliation with the duke of Monmouth, through the influence of lord Halifax, which, had he lived, would very
Elaga probably have displayed one more revolution
drail. in the uncertain policy of this reign.? Bat a death, so sudden and inopportune as to excite suspicions of poison in some most nearly connected with him, gave a more deoisive oharacter to the system of government."
> - Dalrympta, Appendix, Th Barnot. Marcore, Biet, de in Révolution de 1688, 1. 340, s79. Thle to confirmed by, or rather confirms, the very earious notes lornd in the duke of Monmouth's pocketbook when be wea tiken after the batule of Sedgemoor, and published in the appendix to Welwood's Memootrs. Though we iboold rather aee more external evtdence of their authenticity than, 10 far tis I troow, has been produced, thay thave great mative of it in themselven; and it fan not fmpomible that, after the revolvtion, Welwood may have oblained thex from the Eecretery of State's Oniloes.
> - It it mentloned by Mr. Fox, as a trastition in the dute of Richmond's family. that the duchese of Pormenonth believed Clurties III to bave been poisoned. This I find confirmed in a letcer read on the melel of Francin Pranoly, indicted for trow-
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[^0]:    n " It hath mo happened," he mayt, " by the dimbedient and seditions carriage of three satd sll-affectind persons of the houmo of commons, that we and our regal avthorty and commandment have been io
    highly contemned as our Hingly oneso cannot bear, nor any former ago cen paralloL." Rymer, xic. 30. - Rymer, I. 64

[^1]:    - Whitelock' Memoriale, p. 14 Whitelock's fither was one of the Joiges of the ting's bench: hir won takes pains to excalpate bim from the charge of too much compliance, and suoceeded wo well with the lang pariliment that, when they woted chleffustion Hydo and justion

    Jones gafity of delay in not bailing these gentremen, they voted also that Croke and Whitolock were not gulity of it The proceedings, we now read them, bardly warmat this favourable disting tion Puri. Hiet. ii. 869, 876.

[^2]:    4Strode's act is printed In Hintseli's Procedents, vol. i. p. 80 , and in erveral ocher books, as well iss in the grest edition If Beatutes of the Beelm. It in worded,

    Xike many of oar andent lawe, to controedily an to make its applictition unoertaln; bat it rather appeara to me nor to have been intended as a publicic ack

[^3]:    E Rochworth.
    \& Rualuworth; Stato Trials, ill. S78; Whitelock, $\boldsymbol{p} 12$ Chamlers appited covernal times for redrest to the long parUnment on acoount of this and erpsequent

[^4]:    K Stato Triale, ill. 3se. This wan a at all times to come, "to hear and very impartant detarminmition, and pat an end to cuch tyrannical persecution of Roman catholion for bare expreselons of opinion an had been used under Elizaboth and Jamer
    m Rnshworth's Abr, 11. 253; Strafo fort's Letters, it, is.
    ${ }^{n}$ Whitelocks 16 ; Kenuet, es. We find In Rymer, xix. 979, a commisaion, dated May 6, 1632, amabling the privy connoil examine all differences which chall arieo betwixt any of our coorta of jostico especially between the aivil and ecole:' estical jurisdictions." dec. This was to all probabllity contrived by Laud, or come of those who did not favour the common law. But I do not find that mythtns was done under this commlsalon, which, I need hardjy say, was as tllogal as mort of the Eying's othor proceediuge

[^5]:    - 2 Inst. 693. The regulations contained in the statute de millitions, 1 Ed IL., though apparently a temporary law. aeem to have been cousidered by Coke an parmazaently binding Yet In this atatute the estate requiring koighthood, or a componltion for it, is fixed at 204 per annum.

    P According to a apeech of Mr. Hyde In the loug parifament, not only military. senants, but all others, and even lessees and marchants, were anmmoned befors the coruncli on thle woount Parl. Hist 4. 948. Thle was evidently illegal; espocially if the Stataium do militibus was (ifforce, which by exprese worde exempte

[^6]:    * Strafterd'a Lettera, 1. 463, 467.
    * Id. If. 11\%. It in woll known that Charles made Richmond Part by means of depriving many propiletors not unly of commom rigtte, bat of their freehold lands. Clarendon, i. 178. It is not clear that they were ever compensated; but I think this probable, as the matter ezcited to erest clinmour in the long parlinmens

[^7]:    

[^8]:    F Kennet, 74, 75; Strafiord's Letters L. 358. Some petty ceaports in Suraex reftued to pay wip-money; but, flinding that the oberif had authority to distrain on them, manitted. The deprity-lientenante of Devonshire wrote to the council In behalf of some towns a few miles distant from the cea, that they might be uraned from this tax, maying if was a
    novelty. But they were summoned to Loondon for this, and reovived Ereprimand for their interference. Id. 372.
    z Clarendon State Papers, L 49, and 4. Append p xxvi.
    ${ }^{0}$ This curious intrigue, before un. known, I believe, to history, was brought to light by lond Hardwicke. Siate Por pers, in. 64

[^9]:    b See Clarendon State Papers, i. 490, for proof of the manner in which, through the Hispano-popish party in the cablinet, the house of Austria hoped to dupe and dishonont Chatices.

    - Clarendon Stace Papers, 1. 109, et pont Five English shlps ont of twenty were to be at the charge of the king of Gpain. Besides this agreement, according to which the Engilsh were only bound to protect the ohips of Spaln whin their owr seal, or the limits clalmed as such, there were certhin searet articles, signed Dee 10, 1est; by one of which Chartes hound bimsolf, in cuse the Dutch ebould not make restitution of erme Spanigh vesuate taken by them Fithid the Einglish

[^10]:    d Clarendon Stato Papers, i. 721, 761.

    * Stranord Papers, Ii. 62. 63, 60. 66. Richeliea ment d'Eatrades to London, in 16st, ascording to Ptre Orlearas, to securo the noutrallty of Engiand in case of hin stacking the maritime towns of Flanders onjointly with the Datch. But the amongendor wes recolved haughtily, and the neutrality refused; which put an ead to the acheme, and so iritated Richellen, that te eant a priest named Chamberiain to Edinburgh the eame year, in order to fompnt troubles in Scotland. Revol. d'angiet itic. 49. This is conflrmed by dEstrades Mimeolf. Sce note in Sidney Papers, 11. 447, and Harris's Life of Charien, 189 ; almo Liggard, $x$. 69 . The sonnexion of the Seotch leaders with

[^11]:    Richelieu in 1639 is matter of notorious history. It has-lately been conffrmed and illustrated by an important noto in Marure. Hist de ia Revolution an 1888, 1i. 402. It appears by the above-mentioned note of M. Marure that the celebrated letter of the Scotch lords, afdressed "An Roy," was really sent, and is extant. There seems reason to think that Henrietta joined the Austrinn faction aboat 1839; her mother belog then in England and very hostile to Rlcheliou. This is in some degree corroborated by a passage in a letter of iady Carlinle Side ney Papers, ii. 614.

    Sidnuy rupers, il. 613.
    © Clamndon State Papers, IL. IE

[^12]:    - See the instroctlons in Riphworth, il. 2 .

[^13]:    1 Rughworth, 253. The same judge deciared aftarwards, in a charge to the grapd fury of York, that -chip-money Whe an inseparable flower of the crown, glancing at Fiutton and Crolve for thelr opporition to It Id. 267 .

    * As it is lmpoedble to reconclle the trifling amonnt of thil demand wh Eampdon's lmown estate, the tar boing probably not moch lese than dxpence ta the pound, it has been conjectured that his property was purposely rated low. But it is hard to peroeive any motive for Chis indulgence; and it seems more ilkely that E nominal sum was fixed upon, in order to try the questlon; are that it was VOL. is.

[^14]:    wo There seeme to have been something unusual, if not irregular, io thin purt of the proceeding. The barops of the exchequer called in the other fudysen, eot onky by way of advice but direction, ast the chitiof baron declares. Stace Triale.

[^15]:    - Croce, whowe conduct co the brech th other politikal questions whes not rithout blemisth, bad resotred to give judtsroent for the ting, bat was withhetd by bis ofing who tmplored him not to sacrithoe hhe conacienco for fear of any danger er prefadise to ith fruxty. betug contens to yufifer any misery with blm, mecher thin to be an ocossion for hifm to violate his insegerty. Whaltelock $p$ 2s. Of each blegt-minded and taflerible women our Britiah himery prodaces many examples.

    F Iaud writes to lord Wentworth, that Cribe and Rotlos had both pone seint

[^16]:    4 It in notorionsly known that presrase was borae with mach more cheerfalnres before the Jodgment for the ling than ever it was after. Clarendon, p. 129.

    State Papers, t. 600 . It ita thid by Beyim that the clergy were much opered to the masemmat of ehfo-mong: Lifo of Iand sat.

[^17]:    - Rymer, patsim.

    Id. xix. 812 . It may be curions to mention some of these. Tive best taricey aray to be cold at is. ed.; the beat goceo
    
    egge for a penny; freah butter at $8 d$ in minimer and ed, in winter. This ves to 1634

    - Rymer, y. 118
    = Id. 18t

[^18]:     tolon wie granted to the earl of Arundel and ochers, May So, 1035, to mpudre What booses, chops, fen, hod been built for then years peat, espectally since the mat proclemation, and to cominit the offendern It recibe the care of Elizt
    beth and Jamen to have the dity bailit in tom uniform manper Fith brick, and aloo to clear it from undar-lemants and tave people who live by begsing and mealing: Id xvil e of
    ${ }^{2}$ Id. xin sts.

    - Rochwrorlh Abt til 2 as.

[^19]:    d Ruswworth Abr. Ill. 123; Whitelock. p. 35; Strafford Ietters, L2 S14, et allibs. See what Clarondon says, p. 293 (1. 161, edit 1828). The second of theee talls in that the city ofered to baild for me ling a palace In St. Jamestr part by way of compnadition, which wea refused If this bs true it must allude to tho palace already projected by him, the magnillcent deaigns for which by Inigo Jones are well tnown. Had they been executed the metropolls woald have posseased a $\quad$ plendid monument of Pulladinn archltecture; and the reproach

[^20]:    cometime thrown on Bngland, of wanting a At mansion for its monarchs, would bave bean provented. But the exchequar of Cluarte L had never been in urch a state as to render it at all probabla that he could ondertile so coatly $A$ worts

    - Stratrond Lotters, 5.840
    $f$ Rymer, yis 690.
    6 Id. 108.
    - Boger Coke's Detection of the Covirt
     crandson.

    I Rymer, 5x, 190

[^21]:    mandon's Treatise of the Court of Star-Chamber, p 51 . This vinuble worts, written aboat the and of Jumes's retgn, is publiched in Collectanat Juridict, vol. IL. There is more then one manoecript of it in the British Museum.

    In apother treatiso, written by a ciert of the comoll aboat 1890 (Exrgane MSS. coxil. 185) the anthor ayn-- There wa a time when there grem a controverky between the star-chamber and the ting $s$ bench, for thelr forisiliction in a canse of perjory conoerning tithea, str Nicholen Bacon, that monet grave and Forthy counollor, thea being lond-beeper of the great men, and ely Robert Cutiyn, terights then loand chilef Justice of thi bunch. To the deciding thereof were called by the pininetif and defendant a greas number of the lanned connmelton of the liv: they werv. celled into the .nner etar-chamber after dinner, where before the lonin of the cowneil they argaed Uhe canse on both siden, bat could not find the court of greater entiquity by all their coolon than Eleary VIL and Bichard 111 On this I fell in condsation bow to find weme further lrow.

[^22]:    a Fodson's Treatise of the Court of Stan-Chamber, p. 86.
    ${ }^{9}$ P. 69. Lord Bacon observes that the oornell in his time did not meddle Fith meurn and tuum as formerly; and that such suupes ought not to be entertyined Vul. 1. 720: vol. 11. 208. "The
    bing." he says, "should be sometimes present, yot not too often." James was too after present, and took one wollknown criminal procoedligs, that agains! sir Thomss Late and mis family, entirely into his own hande.

    P P. 82

[^23]:    I Budma's Trenteo of the Court of Stan-Chmber, p. 10 an
    F P. 100, 102

[^24]:    - P. 107. The following case th the quect's ruign goon a great may :-An information wer preferied in the starchamber againat Gritio and another for crocting a tenement in Hog-lane, which he divided into geveral nooms, wherein wert thhabiting two poor tements, that onk lived and were madntained by the relief of their veighbouth tre The at-torneg-foreral, and also the lord maytr and aldermen, praged some coedign pmistment on Grimin and the other, and that the ocart moold be plessed to anet down and docrev same semeral order ha this and other litse canat of new bailding and difidion of teacmente Whereupan the eowrs ponorally coondering the groat growing evils and ipocerveniences that conthounty breed and happen by chit ent-arected baflding and divisions made
    VOL, 14.

[^25]:    4 Eudson, p. 38, 824. Instead of " the - alavieh pualahment of whipplag," the priuted book has athe alavish epeoch of whlspering," which of consee entirely alters the eense, or mather makes nonsense. I have followed MS. in the Muwaum (Hargrave, vol 950), which agroes with the abstract of this treaties by Knuhwurth, II. 348.

    VFallongor, author of roditiona llbels, mas gentenced in the queen's relgn to etand twion in the pillory and lae both

[^26]:    his cars. Hayl. MSS. E285, thl S7s So aspo the conspirators who accused archbishop Sandys of adultery. Id, 376. And Mr. Pormd, a Roman catholic gentleman, Who had vuffered much before for wia religion, was oentenced by that court, in 1608, to lowe both his eart, to be find 1000k, and imprisoned for 3ifo, unless be declare who instigated him to charese andeant Pallip with infustice in comdemning a nelgtbour of hia to denel Whmood, it 38.

[^27]:    $\equiv$ The moarcity muat have been very 2l. 18f, for the quarter of mye. Rosb spert tave semoon (1831), for he refued worth, ililila

[^28]:    Y Rastwrorth, IL. sue. Carrand, the oaprespondent oi Wentworth, who woit him All Laodot perm, wites about this, "The attorncy-general hath acot to all therne to prohibit them to dreen zueat: somowhit was required of thein, a halppeniny a quart for French whas, and a penny
    

[^29]:    1the: the gratlomen vintows grew ent ien, and monld not give it, so they aro
     tern 1. $80 \%$.

    F Heckets Life of Willimpa Ront morth. Atr. IL sits, et pont. Brodia, il. sest - Coblaldiction awere ent be da mot moen Ined; an molraberad protury.

[^30]:    Worin in the index, "Framen actors 50 torlone whoren," cost Pryme half his eare; the remainder ho eaved by the hangman'a meroy for a macond harvest When he was brought again before the star-chamber, some of tho lords turned up his hatr, and expressed great indigus tion that lis ears had not been botter cropped. State Trials, 717 . The most bratal and warilie of these conrtiens soema to have been the earl of Durset, thorgh Clarendon epeativ well of him. Ho wid aleo impodianty corcupt, deciaring that

[^31]:    © [This has lately been neppblished at celebrated editor; Fho has angolned the Onford, 1829, noder the titus ' Autobiography of Arcbbishop Laud,' with a
    

[^32]:    and as chancellor of the exchequer Dr. Banceroft, blabop of Oxford: bat this comes only from the young fry of the ciergy ; litile aredit ls given to it, bat it if observed they mwan mightily about the court." The twate of these lottern shows that the witer curpected that Wentworth would not be well pleased at seeing a churchman eot over bis beud. But in several of his own letters he poritively declarces his averaion to the office, and perhape with alncority. Ambition way lem predominant in his mind than pride and impatience of oppostion. Ho knew that es lord-treegorer be would be perpetually thwarted and underanked by Cotington and others of the councli. Thoy. on the other hand, munt have dreaded that such s colleague might becouno their mustor Laud himpelf, in his correspondenco with Strationd, never thrown out the loent hiat of a Wish that the mould aucoeed Weston, whloh would

[^33]:    gralloe towards one who had periahed in the groat canse which be hidel so tasciy forsaken.

    - Weatworth was brought over before tho semmination of Brciloghsm. His
    patent in Rymer bears date 29nd July 1628, a month previous to that orent.
    - Fonrth Inth e. 40. See also ls Rer
    ports, 82.
    

[^34]:    9 Ruahworth Suaflord's Trial, Brodie, Ui. sta. Straff. Lettem, L. 145. In a letter to lord Doncsiter, preming for is werere senterce oa Foulis, who had been gullty of some disreapect to himoself as pespicant of the North, Wentworth phowf his abhorrence of liberty with all the oftternees of a renegido; and urges the "seasparable correctivg an hamour and Itberty I And reigo in theen parta, of obmerving a aqpertor command no fretber then thoy tise themselvea, and of questhonsing any proilt of the erown, called upon by his malocty' ministers, which might enable it to subedat of itself, with sas being necoudtuted to monept of gach
    
    to tmpose upon it" Sept. 1639 Somers Tructs iv. 198.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ruphworth, Abr. IIL Es. Clarepdia, i. 300 (1886). The original editans tith out coune worde wikch brought this home to Sirsfrond And if the caso was as there meens every remon to believa, I woold ets those who tulk of this man's innocence whether, in any civilised conntry. more ontrageone piece of tyranny bae boen committed ty a gorernor than to compel a noblerman of tho bighest cretton to change the dispodition of has privete mintio, because thas govarm nor cartied an an edultaroos intercourso with the deaphter-in-law of the perion whom to treacial thes tmpertovacy?

[^35]:    © Crarendon Papers, i. 449, 543, 694 Pronhworth, Amidg. III. 4s. Clar. Histi. 888 (1826). Stralford Letters, 1. 497, et port. This proceeding againat lond Mountrigils excited much dissatisfaction in Zanglend; thooe of the counctl who disuliked Straford making it a pretert to Invoigh agatust his arrogance. But the king inveriably on the eavert and arbltrary side, Juntified the measare, which silenced the courbure : p. 512. Be it added that the virtuous Charlea tock a bribe of scoot for bestowing Mountnorria's ofice on dr Adam Loftos, not out of distress throrgh the parsimony of parliament, beit to purchene an estate in Soot-

[^36]:    land. Id 511.
    Hume, in extenuating the conduct of Strafford as to Mountnorris's triak, eay that, "menribie of the iniquity of the eentence, he procured his majesty's free pardon to Mountnoris." There is not the silghtest ovidence to warrant the worda in italies; on the contrary, be alway Justilied the sentance, and bad most manjfently procured it The king, In return to $s$ moving petition of Iady Monntworrla, permitted his release from confinement ${ }^{4}$ on making such a subunt aion as my lord-deputy whall approve."

    S Strafford Letters, 1. 211.

[^37]:    a Strafirord Lethers, 1. 165.
    E P. 820 . In other lettors they complatis of what they call the ledy Mora, Hhed seems to be a cent word for the
    ineticient system of the rest of the conncil, unien it is a personal nictrame for Wention

[^38]:    
    VOL, 1.

[^39]:    

[^40]:    - The mpfavourabie physognomy of May anyst, thay were all on hla side. Buruifiond is noticed by writera of that The portrits by Vandyke at Wentthene. Somers Tracts, iv, 231. It did not worth and Petworth are well knowni provent him from being admired by the the intter appearis eminentls characo ehr anct, appectatly at bio trial, where, teriatia.

[^41]:    - Soe the cases of Workman, Peter Stuart, tex., in the common histories: Ruchworth, Rapln, Neal, Macaniay, Brodle, aud oven Hume, con ane alde; and for what can be aeld on the other, Collter, and Land's owe defence oth his trial $A$ numbor of persone, doubtlese Inclinsing to the paritan side, had ratsed a sum of money to bey up impropila tunn, which they vested in trustees for the purpone of expportiog lecturers; a olase of ministers to whom Land was very averse. He cansed the parties to be summoned before the star-chamber, where their association was dissolved, and the impropriations aliready parchased were confiscated to tbe crown. Rushworth. Abr. II. 17 ; Nieal, I. 568.
    - This originated in an order made at the Somerset asalzes by chief fustice Richardion, at the requent of the justices of poace, for suppressing these fensta, which had led to much disorter and
    profunenesp Laud made the privy conncil reprove the jodge, and direct him to revoke the order. Keanet, p. 71 ; Eushw. Abr. II. 166. Heyllon mays the gentlemen of the county wore agains Richardeon's order, which is ono of his habitual falmehoods. See Ruphor. Alor. ii. 16t. I mutit add, however, that the procimatiou was perfectly legal, and according to the epirit of the lato act, 1 Car. I. a 1 , for the observance of the Lord's day. It bas been rather mlarepresented by those who have not attended to its limitations, as Neal and Mr. Brodie. Dr. Lingurd, ix 092, has stated the matter rightly.
    9 Neal, 869 ; Rushworth, Abr. II. 168; Coliler, 758 ; Heylin's Lift of Land, 241, 290 Thu lant writer extonuates the persecullon by Wren; but it is evident by hils uwa account that no spappebston or censture wis taken of till the party ccoformed and read the deciaration

[^42]:    T Feal, p. 54k I do not know how he makes his computation.

    * A proclamation, dated May 1, 1858, reelting that the king was informed that many parmock went jearty to New Ingsinnd, in cordar to be ant of the reach of ecelealealical authority, commands that no oue abail pers whout a llcence and a enethmonial of conformitify from the misinter of hil gerth Bymat, x5, 298,

[^43]:    Land, to a letfor to Stratord, H2 168; compiains of men ruming to New Bugland when there was a want of theme to Iroland. And why did thoy 00 , but that ony trackiese aildernest mermed bethot than his own or bin trieod'a tyranys? In thin letter be lementa that be in Ief alone in the exvioter and thoray part of the work, and ben monctaragemanto

[^44]:    - In thirteen gears, ending with 1640, but 40802 wa levied op recssanta by proces from the exchequer, according to Commonis Jourails, 1 Dec. 1640 . But It emnot be denied that they paid oaneiderable cum by way of componaltion, though lees probably than in former Nmes. Lingari, ix. 424, ben, note G. Weston is ald by Clarandon to have effended the catholla by eaforcing pecalliae to raine the revenue. One priect saly was execuled for religion bofort the meeting of the long parilamento Buther, iv. 97. And, thongh, for the -lice of appesannce, proclamations for arcesting priesta and recosants sometines came forth, they were always discharged in a bhort time. The number pardoned in the tirat dixteen yeers of the ting is gid to have amounted, in swenty-nine avities oniy, to 11,970 Neal., 604-

    Clarendon, LI 261, confirms the eystid matio indulgotere shown to eatholket which Dr. Lingand seems, reluctantly and by silence, to admit.
    u Strallord Letters, i. 605, 624; 3. 3. $8 \%$.
    x Hoylin, 289. The very day of Abbot'a death ap offer of a cardinal's hat was made to Laod, as be tolls ns in hts Dlary, ${ }^{4}$ by one that avowed ability to perfurm it." This was repeated ame diny afterwards; Aug. 4th and 17th, 1036. It ecoms very questionable whether this ceme from authority. Tise new primata made a strange answer to the first application, which might well encourage a secound; certainly not what might inve bean expected from a stealy protestant, If we did not road this in hig own lyars we should not believe it The offer at lenst proves that he was appoead caprble of eooeding to it.

[^45]:     the alwayn important to diseingoted dation By the your 1659 the court of Rome had mecn the falliocy of thow bopes the

    - had previonariy been lod to entertila, that the rivg and church of Eagieed woald return to her fold. This might exineperute ber aganot htim, at it certionty did agiont Lead; besidee which. I mould mapect the tranuever of Sprin in the cancluve.
    a Proofs of thit abound to the tirt
    

    Fell in to otber hooks. The catholics
     thoy took of the litug's prerugetive, witich becrme of tmportance in the controverty ato the eath of alyedimoti cose party Evintatutorg bate the kipg hed at right to pat hat own exphenasion on that outh, Wixhen win mato to be reforded then the moneof perthemant; while moorber dented that they coold coomelenticosity metrult the
     trow move bele the mination of the

[^46]:    b Kennet,75; Farris's Life of Charles, 200; Collier, 772; Brodie, th. 284, note; Neal, p. 872, dec Laud, in his defenoo Et lis trial, denies or extonuates mome of the charges. There is, howover, full proof of alt that I have eald in my text The

[^47]:    E In Birlip Amiremef enger to Bow
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     phin tomen-We fally mote rill yon thet Curiot's baty tactily proment is
     cone 的 yon me the moud; lut vere monnte for deter ining the precine
     Paterwis.
    Tho dactine of the dinoch of Bodend
    
     thangh Andrours. Caconbing and others tio delierved wionty to getiquity. in
     the he int two opiniona meplectives ens-
    
    
    
     Griet's than betry the oterorranal
    
    
    

[^48]:    - See Life of Fiammond in Wordsworth's Escles. Biography, voll v. 343: It had been umal to stady divinity th compendianes, chlefly drawn ap in the sixteenth century. Klag James was a great favourer of anttqulty, and preacribed the asudy of the fathers in hif Ingitruotipns to the Unlveritien in 1818.
    i Andrews gave scandal in the queen's reign by preachlng at court "that contrition, whithont confession and absolution, and deeds worthy of repentance, way not cufficient; that the minietars had the two keys of power and kcowledge deliferod unto them; that whooe dns wever they seanlted upon earth ehoald be remitted

[^49]:    E Hegithic Tite of Iman, son

    - Id. Ss8 Tin pmango fo very nemarlable, bitt too long to be extrmeted In a widi not directity eoclentaticel If is remer ambletrons; bat the Menotrit of Pamand stront the laty.
    - II thoald now think lean anvoriably of Groturs, end sorpect thet he woold viltimitely have mede erery marifiks. Soe Hibe of Literatire of 15th 1chs
     edidion). 1846.1

[^50]:    P The Spanish ambanador applies to Whalebank, 1633, to have a case of books reatored, that had been carried from the custom-houge to archbishop Abbot.*Now bo fo deed I make this demand apmin his eftects and library that they vay be reatored to mo; wh his majesty' ording at that time was inefinctual, as well

[^51]:    posible, a generil order from the Jing to restraln the pursulvantis; woil the bouinem was put into the hands of aome councillors, but not setiled at his departure, The oath of alleginnce divided the eccioaisatics, the major part refusing to take it. Atter a good deal about the appolato ment of a catholic bishop in Eingland, be mentions father Davenport or Sancta Clara's book, entiled Dens, Natarn, Gratia, with which the king, he mas, had been pleased, and was therefore dibappointed at finding it put in the Indez Expurgetoriua at Rome - This book, which made much noise et the time, was an attempt to show the compatibility of the Anglican doctrinea with thowe of the catholle church; the uman trick of popish intrigueria. See an abotract of it in StibLingleet's Worts, vol 7.9 176.

[^52]:    - If we may bolieve Heglin, the queen prevailed on Laud to use his influenco with the liug that Panzant might come to London, promking to be his frlend. Lifo of Laud, 286.
    : R. 246. It may neem extraordinary that he did not mention Wililams; but I yresume be took that polltioal biebop's real to be indincere. Wuliams had been While in power, a grat favourar of the toleration of pepista. If, indeed, atory told of hlm, on Endymion Porter's authority, in a late work, betrue, he wan解 that time enfincontly laciloed to hive

[^53]:    scoepted a cardinal's hat, and made intereat for it Blencowe's Sidnay Papers, p. 289 One blahop, Goodman of Glean ceater, wat nidoubtedly a Roman omtbolic, and died in that communion. He rofnsed, for a long time, to enbecribe the canons of 1040, on acoonit of one that contained a remonclation of popery ; bat glelded at length for fear of smipenaton, and charged Montagu with having inatignted hla reflusal, though he aubscribed hlmoelf Naicon, i. 371; Rushw. Abr. 14168 ; Collier t03; Laud' defenot on ha ulat

[^54]:     cation to Madrone de Moterilles hes the following panezte, which is pot madoearving of sotiot, thoogh tive tuny have been dectired:- Is Roi Jexques . . . corapona denz livzes poar is defenst de组 funbeb religion dAngieterre ef it If podive a ceax que lo cardinal it Perion sorivit copure lui. $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ defondort bo motorponge, il conppat de l'enont pour la veritis al sophaits de ge retirer de l'errour. Co fit en volinat monoder las deax rellgiong la motro of le miecre; ania il moarat atvant que fiexfortar of lomble
    
     troave prevque dan lise momes mentineen 12 avoit mupride de lai lerche veque de Captorberi, quí, dans man crear
     mon mitre un prand didir te retablit is ifmrgie, angrat que s'il pornoit arriver is re polita il y maroit di prou is diftoreaio
    

[^55]:    * Cardinal Barberial wrote word to Panseni, that the proposal of Wiodobank that the church of Rotae shoubt mecrifioe conamunlon in one kind, the cellbecy of the elengy, bon, would never please; that the Kinglinh ought to look beok on the breach thay had made, and
    their motives for th, and that the whole world was againat them on the frot mentloned polnts: p. 175. Thbe in exactiy what any one might predict who knew the long disousalons on the urbject with Austria and France at that time of the councll of Thent

[^56]:    7 "Begets more malices if obscureperhape it means "irritates the parituns more." Cins. Papers, 15. 44.
    z Heylin, p. Sss; Laud's Diary, Oct. $168 \%$; Strafford Lattorn, 1. 426. Gartard, - dependent friend whom Strafiord retained, as wea urual with great men, to communicate the nowl of the court, finsquently descants on the excesive boldneen of the papistas "Laud," he says, Fol 1i. p. 74, "dome all be can.to beat down the general fear conceived of bring. trx on popery." So In p. 165 and mand otber places.

[^57]:    spote of him an their bittersat enemy. the pope's legate Con and the Pingilst Ho is reported to havo ondd that they. Jesuita againat Land, and detected in 1640 and the purtians were the chiof obetaclen by one Androw Babernfeld, which wone to a re-anlon of the charcheen There in have treated as amere fiction lipith en obecure story of a plot carried on by worth, ith 298

[^58]:    - Heylin, in hin Lite of Land, p. s40, to the arabblabop, which is foll se boid telle thia story ins If Rales had recanted his opinions and owned laud's raperiortity over him in argament. This is Indicrouk, conaldertag the relative ablluthee of the two men. And Halers letter as his treatise on echiom, prover that Heylin's narrative is one of his many wiffal falsahoods; for, by making himself a witness to the pretended elrcomstances ha has precluded the excense of errow

[^59]:    * 
    - May thus answers, by a but of pro photic antlefpation, this pagage of Cle rendon:-" Another sort of men." he
     men by whom the pretures of the povernment were not much felt, who enfoyed their own pientilal fortunes, with Ittle or insendible detriment, looking no further than their present afety and prouparity, and the yet undieturbed peano of
    the nation, whilist other Hingionn were embroiled in calamities, and Gormany asdly wasted by a shapp wry, did nothing bet applaud the happiness of Endiand, and called those nogratefal factiona epirita who complained of the breach of laws and libertios; that the Elogdom abounded with wealth, plenty, and all kind al elegancies, moro than ever, that it wis for the hoocur of 0 people that the mo

[^60]:    - Strafiond Letters, ii. wso, "It wm ever clear it my fudgroent," ment Surat. ford, "that the businem of scotland, wo well laid, so pleasing to God and man, thad it been effected, was misenbly lost in the arecotion; fet coold dever have so finally mulacerried if there had not been a fallure likewise in this direction, ocesaloned alther by over-great deasires to do ell quietis withoat nolte, by the atate of the bedpeem mirrepresented, by opportasulven and manols slipped, or by meme

[^61]:    mech like" Land answers in the eame ctrain:-- Indeed, my lond, the basinesa of Scotiand, I can be bold to tan witheat vanity, was well laid, and was a great errices to the crown as well as to God himeelf. And that it thould so fitally fall in the execation fo a great blow a well to the power sa honour of the ting," to. He lays the blame in a great degree on lord Traquair. P. 261

    1 Claredon Stite Paperts, in. 12.
    $\pm$ Id 84, and Appeodix, xur.

[^62]:    " "The ying hath mo ratted my lord- llaments are quite out of his pata." Cob lkeeper, that be it mow the most plimble tington to Strafford, 29th OcL 1693, val i. man in England, and all thougbts of pass p. 141.

[^63]:    - Vol. il p. 2x. "So by this thmen" saje a powerful writer, "all thoughte of over having a parliament again was quita banlabed; 80 many oppreseilons had been set on frot, 80 many illegal ections done, that the only way to justify the mischiess already done was to do that one grenter; to talce awny the means which were ondained to redress them, the lawfal governmert of England by parifamentas" May, History of Parliament, p. 11.
    \& Stdney Papers, it 02s. Carundina 1hapers, 15. 8L.
    a Id. Ibfd. The attentive reader will not fuil to obeerve that this in tho sdentical longoage of the faroons advice timpated to Strafford, though used on apother cocasion.
    ${ }^{2}$ May. Ciarsadon The latter sagh, Ineas the dismolution of this parlaments -m It could never be hoped that to many cober and dispesstonate men woold ever meet mgain in that plece, or fower who booght ill purposes with them" This

[^64]:    Niorthmoriland writes to lord Ielcester at Paris (Sidney Papers, it. 641), "thet are generally made of knights and bargenas in thls Eingdom, give us cause to foar that the parimanent will not sit long; for such as have dependence upon the court are in divers pleces refused, and the most refractory persona chojen."

    There ars tome strange things sald by Clarendon of the ignorance of the commond an to the value of twelve subsidies, which Home, who loves to depreciste the laowledge of former thmes, implicitly coples, But thay camnot be true of that

[^65]:    a See Mr. Waller's opeech on Grawity'a Impenchment Naimon, ii. 338

    - Métn de Motreville i. 2ss-278 P. Orleans, R6v. de l'Angleterres, tome III., says the same of Vans; bat his terthmony may resolye itself intw the former. It is to be obverved that ship-mogey. which the ling oftered to relinquish, bronght in 200,000L a year, and that the pajposed serelve anbedises woold have creounted, at moert, to $840,000 \mathrm{~L}$, to bo pald in three gear. Is it surpriting that, Whem the bouse dispiaged an mitention not to grant the whole of thin, as appears by Glarendon's own atory, the ting and Mifedviners stoold have shooght it better to break ofl altogether? I tee no reacon for hapating treachery to Vane, even if be did not eot merely by the idugle directhoth Cinrendon any be and Eerbert persarded the icing that the hoome a would pase mach ote againet ahip-money as rould bleat that reveave and atber

[^66]:    *It seems that the lond-lleutenant of violent measure of demanding twelve Irelaud wishod from tho beginoing that matuer ehould tho be driven to the utrooth. For be wished the idigg to inaint on a grant of money before any program thoald be made in the removal of the aboued which hed grown op-a procceding of varlance with that of the preceding rerliament. Nodan did be wote for the brabidies, only five at the ntmoth laving been previously granted. Ho either entertained the vifer of thus gaining conaderation with the king or of moving him to an alliance with the Spanianda In whose confidencs he is." Montreali: despatches, In Racomer, il. S08.
    c Parl Hive Rusbworth Salaots

[^67]:    - June 4, 1840 Sidney Pupers, ill est
    - A late vriter his apoket of this celobrated metier es resting on very questionable authority. Lingerd, $x$. is It it, however, meationed me a known fuct or wovenl contemporary ritena and partheulefly by the earl of Manchenter, in

    Nabocn bat mede extracta; and who could pelther be mitatikes mor theve tuy appereat modive, in thim private pursotive, to deceive. Ninbog, ti. ent.
    
     11. 318

[^68]:    - E E. s, c. 14 It appears by the been altered in the committee; at lean Joumals, 30 th Dec, 1640, that the trien- we And the tite changed, Jan. 10 plal bill was originaliy for the yearly b Parl Histh 702, 717. Stal, 28 Car. If, bolding of parllamenta. It reems to have a. 2

[^69]:    16 (ar. I. c. 10. The sbolltion of the star-chamber was Arst moved, March sth, 1041, by lord Andover, In the house of lords, to which he had beent called by wrik. Both he and hiefather, the earl of Berlshlre, wepe pealons royallate during The subeequent wat. Pari. Hist, 722 . Thit ine if not, I presome, the pernon to whom Ntarendon alludes. This suthor insinuates that the act for taling awny the etarrhamber pacsed both bouses without ruftirsant doliberstinnt and that the peen

[^70]:    - 18 Cry Le 18
    - 0.80
    - Journals, 18th Dee Jarl. Hith 98s. Naleon, 750 . It in temartable that Cinrandon, who is manclentry jealous of all
    that be thought encromechment in the commoos, does not cengure thelr explicll amertion of thit privilego He layw the blame of the ring's Interferthce on Es Jobn's advioa, whlch is vary fmprobe

[^71]:    P-A greater and more miversal Matrod," mys Northumbering in a lether to Lalcester, Not. 13, 1640 (Sidnog Peperx, L. 663), $=$ wea nover contrected by any person then he hay drean npon himoelf. He ts pot at all dejected, but belleve conideptiy to clear himpelr in the oplnikn of all equal and indifierentminded beareng, whea be shall come to multe his fafence Itio king in in mach a drait

[^72]:    - They werv confirmed, in a conudder able degroe, by the evidence of Nothomberland and Bristol, and even of Uaber aud Juxon. Ruabm. Abr. iv. 45s, 659, 588 ; Ballite, 284. But are they not almo exuctly according to the principles alvays avowed and acted upon by that minister. and by the whole plsalanx of conrtlers, that a king of Enginod doen very well to wh lis peopio's consent in the firat in-
    atance, bat, tf that in frowardly reftased. he hase paramount right to matntain hit governmeat by any means?

    It may be remarted that Ciarencion my "tbo law wat clear that bewthen \$wo withemes ought not to be reatved in a case of treamo." Yut 1 doubt whether any one had been allnwed the henefit of that law ; and the contrery bad been araerted repentedly by the judrus-

[^73]:    t Lords' Journaia, May 6; Pard. Hiat. 75\%. This opioion of the judges, which is not mentioned by Clarendon, Bume, and other common histarians, seems to bave coati Strafford his llta. It was relied an by oome hiabope, eapecially Usher, Whom Charles consplted whether he should pase the bill of attainder, though Clarandon putit muh wone cembusuy

[^74]:    Into the month of Willams. Parris Iifo of Uaher, p. 15; Facketis Life of Wik linms, $p$ 160. Juxon is said to have stood alone, among tive bisbops, in as. Fing the king to follow his conseleno Ciareadon, indeed, doee not mention thin, though he glancess at Uibher with same reproach, p. 451 ; but the totory ts as old as the Lean Baalilizy in which it inallucien tin

[^75]:    

[^76]:    Y See some Jutitclons remerits on this by Minf, p. A4, who generally ebowe a good deal of impartiality at this pertod of ilstory. The violence of individuats, especialiy when of considareble note, doarces to be remarked at characterlatic of the tomper that infurenced the house, and ensernting for the diaguat of molerate men. Why whould he bave law himgolf? ${ }^{3 \prime}$ tald St. John, th arguing the bill of attainder before the peers, "who would not that other should have any? We Indeed give lawn to hares and deer, becance they aro beasts of chuce; but wo five none to wolven and foxes, but linools thero on the hoad wharaver thay and

[^77]:    formd, because they are beagte of prey! ${ }^{1}$ Nor was this a mere burst of panionate declamation, but urged as a serions argument for tating away Strafioncis life withont moficient grounde of law or terthmony. Rashworlh, Abr.iv. 61 Clarendon, i, 407. Strode told the bouse that, as they lad charged Strafiord with high treason, it conocercued them to charge ay conspirators in the anme treason all who had before, or ahould berenfter, plead to that cause. Bailiie, 262. This monatron/ proposal seems to please the prasbyterian bifgot "If this bold," he obeervely "Strationd's coungel will be mate"

[^78]:    © Clarendon and Fime of coutwe treat this as a very trifing affair, exaggerated for faotions purposess But thoee whojudge frem the evidence of persons unvilling to accuse themselves or the ling, and from the natural probabillties of the case, will Bumpect, or rether be wholly convinced, that it had gone maoh farther than theme writers stmit. See the ecorontis of this plot in Rushworth and Nalten, or in the Partiamentary History, also what is sated by Montreall in Banmer, p. sit. The trongeat evidence, however, is furulshed by Henrietit, whose relation of the circumstances to Madame do Motreville moves that the king and herself luad the strongeat bopes from the infineme of Goring and Wlimat over the army, by meana of which they amed at eaving Strafrod's life; though the jealcuay of thowe ambitions intriguers, who could not boch enjoy the place to whith each as. pired, broke the whole plot Mdm, do Motreville, i. 23s. Compars with thite pamage Percy's letter and Gortng'e dopoo altion (Nelson, it. 286, 294), for whet is

[^79]:    and which Mr. Brodie has publiched in We appendix to his third volume, though, with an inadvartence of which be is meldom guilty, orerlooking ita date and parport. This, however, is of iteelf sullicient to diaplay the inaccurate character of Ctarendon's History ; for I ann ecaroely encribe the present lncorrectness to design. There are, indeed, to many minatea me to dates and other mattors in Clarendon's account of this plot, that $t_{2}$ retting aside uis manifest disposition to zoppreds the unth, we cen plege not the leats reliance on his memory as to those pointa which wo may not be well alde to bring to a test.

    VOL. 1.

[^80]:    - Neel, p. exa, has printed these cunuon mperfecty. Thas may be found at length in Nallon, it 543.

    64\%, 720 . Thew votee an to the cuncos. soweves wars carried mine am.
    

[^81]:    d Neal, 709. Land and Wren were Doth impeached Dec. 18 ; the latter enViroly for introduclag roperationar Parl. Mist 881. He lay in the Tower 4111669.

    - Neal bays that the major part of the perilamentarians at the beginning of the war were for moderated epitoopacy (1i.4), and amerta the mane in another place (2.715) of the puritans, in contradiction of Rapln. "How thit whi go," says Baille, to April, 1841, "the Lond imows; sill aro tor the creatiog of a biod of preibytery

[^82]:     Ballife's Letiers, 218, foc. Though manpalne ats to the progreas of hif sect, bo adraits that it was very dificult to pluck up episcopecy by the roots ; for this reasion they did not with the house to give a apeedy answer to the elty petition: p. 24k. it was carried by 35 or 37 voiece, he nayne to refer it to the committee of religion: p. 246. No diviaion appeari on the Joarmall

    The whole influence of the Seots cummusilunery war directed to this object; as not only Balillo's Letteta, bat thoee of Juhnstome of Wariston (Dalrymple's Memorials of Jame and Cmarles L, H. 114, (4c), thow. Bealdee thedr extreme bigotry, Which whe tho peocominnt modres

[^83]:    they bad a better apology for lateriering with charch-goverament in Eingiend, with which the archbietop had farnisted them; it welt the oaly sione means of preberving thetr own.

    M Rushworth; Nalron.
    1 Fard. High 814, 8\%2, 828, Clarendon telts us that, being charman of the commbttee to whom this bill when referred, be gave it monech tnterruption, that no prograss coold bo mande before the ad journment Tir boum cempe, bawever, to a resolution, thate the tatring eway the offices of arohbiahopara bishops, chancellors, and commimartes ont of thie charch and lingdom, thonid be one clause of the Will June 12 Commons' Joureals.
    a land Hertion yraeated ons to the

[^84]:    ${ }^{-}$[1827.]
    P "The higher bouse," mayn Ballie, "have made an onder, which was read in the churches, that nove presume of their own bead to alter any customs eatablished by law: this procured ordinance doce not dipocurage any ana" P. 237. Same riotarh, however, who had pulled down rails about the altar, dec, wero committed by ordar of the Lords in Juse. Nelecon, 1. 275.

    9 Parl. Hitet eses. By the hande of this sealous kudght fell the bonuliful cromen as Chating and Cheap, to tho lesting

[^85]:    hotwa. Clarendon insinmates that, even prerogedive untonched (ii s26). But as late an March, 164, the prituctpal pa- it meems more probable thath alet the triote, with $a$ few exseptions, would have eccusation of the five members, no meso been . content with coming thempelven sure of this kind would have been of any tnto powne under the Hing, and on tails earvico to Charles.
    conditiot worid bave hath lis semainion

[^86]:    E The letters of etr Rewrand Nicboles, pubilished as a mpplement to Evelynic Dtary, show how generally the epprehepduna of popish influence were entertalned. It is well for soperficial pretendert to lay thew on calumny and misrepresentation; frat such 道 have read our historical doctmentes know that the royaliste werv almost as joalons of the king in thin respect en the paritina. See what Nicholns sam to the Hing himaelf, $p, 22,25,81$. Indeed be dives ecveral hints to a diboerning neador thas be wan not adrelled with the coundisee of tho ting's intentiona, expecilly as to ONTeale's tampering with the army: f 77. Nicholas, however, becmeno ofterwards a very decided appporter of the royal canse; and in the council of 9 riordi, foas before the treaty of Uxbridge, was the only one who voted accucting to the Eing' with, not to give
    the nembers at Wertminster the appol. lation of a partiament: p. $\mathbf{\rho}$.

    7 The ling's speech aboat Goodman, Ballulotells us, gave great matiofection to All; "with nach hammaing wat it roceived." P. 240. Goodman petitioned the house that he might be executed rether than beocme the occaston of difierne ences belween the king and parilament. Thia was eariser in time, and at least equal in generodity, to land Straford's fimons letter; or perhaps rather more ma, ence, thongh it turned ont otherwite. be had greater remson to expect that ho abould be taken at his wrord. It is remarkable that the ling enys, in his angwer to the commone that no priest had been erecnted merely fur reugion, aither by has fiether or Kilorabeth; which, thougt well manot, wras quito montue Pat Bing 712; Batler, if 8

[^87]:    - See what Clarendon ays of the effect produced at Weatminster by the Incident, in ant of the suppressed pas ages. Vol it. Append p. E75, edic 1826.
    - Nakon, 1L 788, 792, 804; Marendion, H. 84 The queen's behaviour had been extraondinarily improdent from the very beginning. So sarly as Feb. 17. 1641, the French ambasanior writes word,"La reine d'Angleterre dit pnblqquement qu'il is a we trive arrestée pour troia ans entre la France et I'Fapagne, tot que ces deux coaromnes vont unir leurs iorces pour is dofondre ot poor venger lea cetboliques" Marare, Bist. de in Revol on 1688, [i. 419. She wns very dedrous to go to Prance, doubtien to Intereat ber brother and the queen in the acane of royelts. Lord Eollaud
    who acems to have been the medium between the parilamentary chiets and the French court, sdgnifled bow much this would be dreaded by the former ; and Elchelled took cere to keep ber away. of which she bitteris complalned. This was in Pebrany. Her majesty's letter, which M. Masure has been malicions curough to print verbation, it a curlons epecimen of orthography. Id. pa 416. Her own party were equally averse te this utep, which was chilefly the alfect of cowardice; for Heurictin wes by no meansetho high-spirited woman that sornd have fanded. It is well known thet a few montha afterwards she pretepded to tr quite the waterin of Spa for her hoalth; but was induged to give up her fock Dej.

[^88]:    d Mem. de Motteville, 1. 264. Cisresdon thet hardly been ingennors in throwing $e 0$ much of the blaune of this afilir on lard Digby. Indeed, he inAnantien in one place that the queen'a apprehension of being impeached, with which eome one in the confidencer of the parilamentary leaders (elther lord Elolland or lady Carisisle) had inspired ber, ind to the acheme of entiojnating them.

[^89]:    E Rymar, sub Fivw. I. ot II. pagaim. Zhug, in 1297, ewit to the aberif of Yorishire directs him to malce lnown to sill. qui habent 20 llbratas terta et reditus par annum, tam illis qui non tement de noids in copite quam illis qui tanent, is de equia of armis aibl provideant ot ee probarent indlints; ite quod alnt promped

[^90]:    14 a 5 Phllip and Mary, 0.3 . The Harteian mansecripta are the vest totthority for the practice of preening eolciers to marve in Irelend of elsewheres, and are full of instanoes. The btouldys and Ballcall weme to frequent requist-

    Thenks to Hemparey Wanley'e diligeace, the analysis of these papers in the catalogue will meve the inquirer the tronile of romating, ar the mortifiention of finding he cannot read, the terible marrwis in which they mage generally wilten. moun See vole, 209, 1023, telis, and others.

[^91]:    m Whirin's Leges Anglo-Sexonicen, p. Ss3; Lotiloton's Renry II, til. 354.
    "Stat 1 is 1.

[^92]:    - 6 Pbilip and Mary, a 2

    P 1 Jec. o. 25, 6 48. An order of commeil in Dec. 165s, thet overy man maving lands of inberitance to the clear yourty value of 200 h should be chargeable to fornish a ilght hormeman, every one of gook ectate to furntan a fance at ther dis-

[^93]:    r Growe's Military Antlquition, 1 iso. The word erthbery wal aned in that ane the the long bow.

[^94]:    $s$ Whiteloct maininined, boath on thile scemoino and at the treaty of Uxbrides. That the powrer of the militfa teoded in the ling and two mounes jodntiy : p. $\mathbf{S E}_{5}$, 12. This, though not wery rell erproend, con anly mean that it suctitred
    an ext of parimonent to determine and regulate it
    See the Itre of thone reocinmended Poul. Fivh 10es. Sowe of then wert sogiliats: but, an the whole, thane-forthe of ing millenty ferco of Nagiand moal

[^95]:    have been in the hands of pernons who, though raen of rank and attached to the monarchy, had given Charies no reason to hope that they would decine to obey any order which the parllament might tasue, however derogatory or dioplearing to himuelf.
    a "When this bill had been with much wio sccepted, and sirat read, there were thw man who tmaginod it would aver

[^96]:    - Parl. Fist. 70a,
    - Clarendon, p. 459. Upon this pasange in the remonetrance a diviglan toots place, when it was carried by 103 to 61. Parl Hist 1502 The words in the old form of corvanation oath, as prewerved ins bill of parilament under Heary IV., conserning which this grammatioo-polltical contention arover are the following:-
    Conoedis jurtas legen et conguetudined ane tenanden, of promititis par to ens emen protegonden, ot ad boasyom Doi corroborandan guas milgwe alegerit, seccundum vires tom?" It wes minteined by ona alde that ehopartf abouid be oomatrued is une future tapee, white the other concencled for the proberperiect Bat even

[^97]:    If the former were ryht, as to the pofnt of Iatid constraction, though cousustodines exemas naturally to fmply a pats temoe, I ihould by no means admit the etrenge infarence that the king was bound to eanction all lawe proponed to him. His own agoont in involved in the expreeston, "quas vulgat elegerit," which was Introduced, an the hypotheds of the word boing in the futare tence, as a security ageinat his legialation withont coment of the people in parlisment. The Bagles coromatlon oath which Gbarlea had taken excluden the fiture: Sir, will goa grant to hold and keep the law and righthul customs, which the comemonalty of atit your hingeden anoe?

[^98]:    $t$ See what is waid at to this by P. Orieang, ill. b7, and by Madame do Motto--ille i i 20. Her intended journey to Spm in July, 1841, which was given op on the
     recelved even then information that tha crown jowele ware to be carried away Nalson, iL 39 L
    ramucararenos of parimment, if highly

[^99]:    - The impeachments of lord Finch and of Judge Berteley for high treason are at loant as iltele justifinble in point of law as that of Strafford. Yet, bectase the former of these was moved by lord Falkland, Ciarendon is so far from objecting to it that he imputes as a fault to the parisia mentary leadpre their lukewarmnese in this prosecution, and insinuates that they were dealrous th save Finch. Ses etper fially the atew ed don of Clarendon, vol $t$ Appendix. But hoy might reasonably Chink that Finch was not of aufficlent Importanice to diver thelr atteution from the grand apontate whom they were detormined to pungh. Finch fied to Holland; to that then t would have been abard to take much rouble about his mpeachment: Falkiaud, powever, opened If to the lords 12 Jan 1 In. in a speoch

[^100]:    moll in Itedr; and in one of hia prociams- The loods atmitted the tweive bhopa tc thona trom Yort not very judicionsly do ball; but, with their waral puadianimity eleren his intention to presarve the priv zeoommitted them on the commons' ex
    

[^101]:    " May, p. 187, inainuates lbat the have been in thetr power to have menned divl war abould have been prevented by the ying'a parson before be reached York. nere vigorous meanoros on the purt of But the majorlty were not ripe for acct tbe parliament and it might prohnbly volent procedege.

[^102]:    - Theme morde are acribed to torat Chithome in a epeect of Mr. Gration accopting to lopd John Enosell, in his Ening on the Eietors of the Buglidh Goveraments p . 5.

    9 Clarendon has everal semartable passagen, chiethy towards the end of the Afh boolk of tid Eistory, on the mowners apd timidicy of the roylint party beforo the cotrumencement of the ofvil wato. The peene al Yort, forming, in fact, a majority of the upper hodme-fiot there rere Dearty forty of them-displinyed mroch of thit Want of political cuarage was a characcertette of our ardetocrecy at this period, Dravely at many bebaved in the theld Bat 11 bave mo dorbt thats a seal featoung of the ting' intantions had a copetider. paik effict.

[^103]:    that thry had not, in fact, obtained much of a victory ; and lord Wharton's report to parifiment almost leads ue to thint the adrantage, apom the whole, to have been with tho ling, Park. Hist. IL 1405 .
    c May, 812. Ballie, 873, 391.
    d May, Bailie, Mra Hutchinson, avo es much of this opinion as sif Philip Warwick and other royalist writers It is sertain that there was prodigioun alarm, and almost despondency, amons the parlamentarians. They inmediately begen to make entrenchments alout Iondon, which were finished in a month, May, p. 214. In the Somers Tractis.格. 634 , it an Inturesting letfer from a Geotrman thea in Landon, giving an
    acconnt of these furtifications, which, considering the short time employed nboat them, eeem to have been rery roepectable, and sach as the ting's army, with its weak cavalry and bad artiliery, conld not eastly have carried. lond Sunderiand, four days before the battie of Newbury, wherein he was Eilled, wrute to his wife, that the king's affaite had never been in a more prosperous condition; that dtulng down before Glonceater had prevented their firishing the sour that year, "which mothing could keep us from doing, if we had a month's more time." Sidney lettern, iL 672. He allodet in the same tetiert th the divisions in the myallat party.

[^104]:    Parl. Fist ill. 45, 48. It eecmit tadr oppopentig after the devertion of a astural to think that if the moderate great many royallat members who bad party were able ta contiond wo weli agalagt jolued the ling they would have maing

[^105]:    apon grounds which I do not cieaty tim dentand Hist of Engtend, $x 208$, pote That no vertige of ite trath ehonld appear. the obecrven, in the privace correrpondence between Cuarle and in monot (if be means the louruers thicen its Neviby, and I linow no oiber), is not very tim pular; as the Fhole of that correprent ence if 18 much linter date

[^106]:    in 1 cannot discoverr in the Journain any divition on this impenchment. But Bullia tuvelgha agalnst it in his memoirs a one of the tlagrant acts of SL. Jobn's party: and there in an account of the debato on thin nubject In the Somers Tract, v. 600 ; whence it appears that It was opposed by Maynard Wafler, Whitelock, and othera; bat eapported by Pym, Strode, Long, Glynn, and by Martin with bis uanal Mry and radenev.

    Tite first of these cariled up the tmpeachment to the botse of lords

    This impeschment was not absolutely loet inght of for acme time. In Junvary 1844, the lords appointed a committee to consider what mode of proceeding for bringing the queen to trinal was moer agreeable to a parliamentary way, aod to pernse precedents. Parl Hir. 10
    ( Parl Hitt 129

[^107]:     dm, tv. 155. He pabliahed, bowever, determination to govern to the konwr a diclaration soon aftar the taldug of lew. Peri Ritet ish

[^108]:     hock, 7e They met with a wrors reocp- commons refoeed him leave to the Hot tion at Wememinater tha at Oxford, at theed they hed reason of expect A motion that the eut of Follimed ebould the reat to the Tow race lost in tho cumsons by anty ase volce. Part Hith isa. Theg were proveted at hie miting tha soel fithoes perminione Alter loog refoutis to cumerts the trode agreed so - ondiminotar Juw 23,1644 that mo pertor coltriceer, who ted been if the tadace quartern woukd be admituod aspil to it to ether hoom Peri Hive. $\quad$ th.
    
    had with him on tis expedition tato the west that mamer. Baillie fic ast; Whitetoci, 88 . II It be ander thy this Romen rigotro wist lem tmpolitic in the perliameas than in the king I cun caty ancwer thet the prooger and the weoket heve diftereat meesarem to parmoe. Bat reatively to the pecification of the king
     oghe to require from eact other, it wis equally bumpable moth partica, $\infty$ rather gare so in that proverod of the greatr poims.

[^109]:    * It it ntumated by Clarendon that some at Oxford, probably Jermyn and Digity, were jealocas of Hollmaly reoovertite the inflinence be bed pomemed with the queen, who coema to bave retained zo reseatmeat qginet him. As to Beckford and Clare, they woald probably mave been betiler receired, if not nowers canied by eo almoxitom on inviguar of them.
    the old coart This meems to eccoms" for the auantuity which the historition demertbes mowe beta sbown in the eoond afoinet their finvorrable recep than. Light and pandionate tempernillite thet of Hentictia, tro prose to fornet frifories ; serions and melemphoile onom. Hire itist of Charles, never lome tight ef

[^110]:    - Ballife deploren at this timo "the norrible feare and confusions in the ctty, Une king everywhere being victorlons In tho city a strong and ivsolent party for him." P. s91. "The mallgnanta urired a maldtude of women of the meaner and mare infumous rank to come to the door of both hooses, and cry tomultuonsy for pence on any terma. This sumalt coald not be coppressed bat by roblences, and ldiling acme three or four women, and harting tome of them, and moprimooling many." P. so0.
    P Lords and Commons Joarnala;
    VOL. II.

[^111]:    T The resolution, that govermment by archbishopa, blehopa, dec, was meotvenient and oaght to be tuken away. pacsed both bouses unanimourly, Sep-
    

[^112]:    a This conmitioe, appointed to February, 184, consisted of the following percong, the most consplenous, at that stime, of the paritament: the earis of Northumberland, Been. Warwick, and Manchester; lords Say. Wharton, and Roberts; Mr. Pleppolnt, the two str Henry Vanes, sir Phillp Stapyiton, Ar William Wialler, air Gtibert Germad, sar William Armyn, ar Arthar Riverig; Mesms Crew, Wallop, Se John, Cromwell, Brown, and Giymn. Parl. Hist, ill. 248.
    I Somers Tracts, to. 633 . The names marted in the Purlimmentary History as baving taken the covenant are 288.
    The earl of Lincoln abone, a man of great integrity and moderation, though orly couspscuoses in the Journals, refored tc take the covenant, and was excluded in consequenco from bis seat to the bouse; bat, on hid petision noxt year, thoogh, am far as appears, without compllance, wha reatored, and the vole reacinded Parl. Hert 303. He regnlerty protented sainat all whoknt mensures; and we still find Lite nume fl the minority on spoch coccclons after the Restoration

    Baille mays, tioe desertion of about ofx Imin at this time to the king with of sT tan une to the pouding of the covenant

[^113]:    * Neal, p 08. He cang it was mot tsta. P. 69. Sandermon to sald to be one cendered, by ficroar, to come of the fostanco. This histurian, at boneat ant
     the parlampent and were repoted Calvipo sures its lmporitions.

[^114]:    - = All the fundeo monered thet they
     point of tremocn by the faw: Becarare they
     theewn bid what ves partionimy er precod to be trumo in the gritate of 25 K IIL and 0 referred it mbolly 分
     Jomerain IItit Incerober, Itth
     ant mid to be doon man exa.
    $c=$ The diflerence to the trupter of the angang people of both cidetwe prant thest they prita inctined to itreparitio-
    
    

[^115]:    Filled relt to the forg thoondat they hed perfionmed tivetr dint in doting on, and that they had dran enougt for mbin that they lad dowe woting againat him" Ciradom, P 3, act. = Mot of the equity of the coanty (Aloteinghturstare)" my Mir Minctiforon, were disofected to the parimment; mont of the yiddha oort, the able aubernitial treetolyans and the oxber conaraes, who hat not theit Heperseace apon the moligiont moloilty and geatry, alhered to the parfiament" P. 角. Thin I ancife of lave been the
    
    
    

[^116]:    - Clarendon, panaim. May, 160. Barlle, 1. 416. See, in the Somers Tracta, V. 495, a dlalogue between a sentleman and a efiscen, printed at Oxford, 1643 . Though of course a royalist pamphiet, it sbows the disunion that prevailed in- that onfortunate party, and invelght againat the Influence of the papista, in consequence of चhich tho marquis of Hertford is waid to

[^117]:    much the parilamenary why. Many epare not to name them; and I doabt pot bat you have beard their nameas"
    It appears by the lato odition of Clarendon, iv. 351, that he was the adviser of calling the oxford partimment The former editors omilled has name.
    E Parl. Hist. 218. The number who took the covenant in September, 184s, appeare ty a liat of the long parlimment In the same work, vol. it., to be 236 ; bat tweive of these are lpcioded to both lintr, baving gone afterwards lnto the king's quartern The remainder, aboot 100, were etcher dead since the beginniog of the troublee, of for wome reason absented themsolves from both asembies Pooaibly the liat of thoee who took the covesant is not quite complete; nar do 1 thlak the king had mocb more thap about wixty peers on bis side. The parllament however could not have prodoced thirty. Lords Journale, Jan 92, 1644. Whitelock, p. 80, mys that two buodred and elebty appeared in the boowe of conmmona, Jan 1644, besides one bondred absent in the partiaments servios: bat this canbol be quile exact

[^118]:    - Rushworth. Whitelocts Chaendon Tha lattor telis in his life, which reveald naveral thinge not found in his History, that the king wad very engry with ooms ef this Uxboldipe comsmiesionetis, eqpectally

[^119]:    Mr. Bridgman, for maidng too great conceeclan with respect to episoopecy. He Ifved, bowover, to matre mmeld mincb greater.

    P Whiteloct, 188

[^120]:    - The creed of thits party in set forth is the Behemoth of Habbes; which th 15 ather words, the application of thove
    pripesples of governmonat which are lind cown in the Levititian to the conetitus. toon and stale of Begined the the ofvy

[^121]:    the napia
    
    
    
    
     ungin to live beet expector Buret Cminh olve good enthoity. Luts
    
    
    
    
    

    FOL 1.

[^122]:    Part Hixt 187. The first motion had been fur a recolubicen wiblout this exception, that to plece of proft should be executed by the members of citber bouse
    f Whitelock, p 118, 120 It wn oppreed by blm, bot eapported by Plerpoluts who cartied it ap to the lards The londr were clicicay of the presbyterian party; thougt Say, Wharton, and a few more, were connectod whth the indopendenan They edded a provieo to the coflimance miving fircees to be comminted by Fairiax, that to offioes refuping the co. weanit thoold be capeble of verving, witich wees thrown oat in the lower boupe. But mother proviso weat cerried in the cortssmas by 84 to th, that the officers, trough

[^123]:    I II was the opinion of Montreull that distensions, and the throus will be tar the plan of fight which the ling wion more essilty restured, if the king oome meditating bafore be tock refage with the baciz wo it from abrowd, than if be were to Scots is is far the best, and in avery point of view neceseary; for the parilimaneat will by that time have fallen into ionae from an primon. I only fear then flight will, perhapa, be 70 langey pondble." Jan 10, 1648. Raturec, p. 340 I

[^124]:    = Cobrpepper mitas to Aldarmhom, In Pedroury, 146, to alvioce the Scots treaty tith all hio power. © It it the aoly way lats to avo the cruwa and the
     you. . . . It in no thene to dady on disthoclione mad extictign Al the world will lench at them whan erown is th question" Cier. Pupers, in. 27 .

    The ksing had podtively donkend lite remigtion mot so coneret to the entibitur. mont of presirytiry. This bed to mooch diggutrd both tha 8rots and Eroith presbyterlame (for the laterr lad bees ocomorsed in the megotation), that Mor Greall wrote to ing bo phonght they mind Father unake it up fith the Ioderiendentin
     pati plo maschander, et que V. M. © dill hater \&'eovuyter anx dew parieners

[^125]:    
    
     3nan 1640.) P. 812
    
     paraphureve or prophecies nuly be medis
     houste to coomates to a poracil troaty). I chall mever part will the charch, the encoptink of my arown, ar my triade" P. 2m. Bollie coled mot bettove the
     sefone in the Sonef army, as where would be eo cholter there for inh, ming
     the advice of tus pretument Riard pilts to be swaliowed by a wilfal and an en
    

[^126]:    - Not long aftor tho Hing had taken thaltor with the 8coes be wrote s botter to Omood, which wits intercepted, Eherein be amared bitn of his expectotin that their army woold foln with his, sod ect in conjunceion with Mintruen, to procafes bappy peace and the testorntion of his rightis Whiteloct. p. 208. Oharles had bad luck with his letters, Which fell, too froquently for hif fame end interets, fntw the hands of his ouemiles. Buf who, wive this mont ill-judising of princes, wonld bave enterrained on ldea that the foots preabyterian army

[^127]:    * Juxon had been well treated by the parliament, in comsequence of hile prudent abstinence from politics, and reaidonce in their quarters. He dntes his answer to the king from his palace at Fulham. Kie Fas, however, dispoesessed of It not long afler by virtue of the ondinance directing the sale of bishopa' lands, Nov. 36, 1646. Prin. Hisl. 628 A committee was appointed, Nov. 2, 1646, to consider of a fitung maintenance to be allowed the bisbope, both thows who had remained under the parimment, and thoee who had deserted ith Jourials 1 was led to thin pasasge by Mr. Godwin. Hist of Commonwaalth, fi. 250. Whether anything Parther was dome I have not observed. But there is an onder In tien Journals, 1 it May, 1647, that, whereat divers of the linte temants of Dr. Juxo a, late biabop $\alpha$. Luodon, have retused to pay tho tents or

[^128]:    other cums of mones due to him as bixhop of London, at or before the lst of November last, the trustees of blshops' lands are directed to recelve the bume, and pay them over to Dr. Jnxon. Though this was only Justice, it shows that justice was done, at loast In this instance, to a bishop. Juxon must have been a viry pradent and judiclous man, though not learned; which probably was all the better.
    t Jan. 29, 1646. Parl, Hist. 438. Whitelock says, "Many sober men and lovers of peace were earnest to have ounplled with what the king proposed; but the major part of the bouse was contrary, and the now-elected members jolned thone who were averete to compliance." P. 20 .
    ${ }^{4}$ Clar. Papers, p. 275.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ciar. Papers, p. 294, 297, 300. She had said as much before (King's Cobtnol

[^129]:    Opened, p. 28); 60 that this was not s burst of prestor. "Conserves-voun la militla," the ayy in one plece ( $p$. 271), ${ }^{*}$ et n'abandonnes famaia; et par cila tout reviendra" Charles, bowever, dischamed all iden of violating bia fatth in cave of a treaty (p. 2is); but observed as to the milition with eome truth, that "the roe caining of it te not of eo much coneo-quence-I sm far from saying nowois it thought, withont the concurreses of other things; becance the milidin here is not, as in France and other corntrice, a formed powerful strength; but it eerves more to hold off ill than to do much good. And cartainly if the pulpits teach gut obedience (which will inever be, if preabyterian guvernment be aboolutely cottled), the crown will have litule cutar. fort of the militis. ${ }^{1 "}$ P. 298.
    $y$ I. 301. $\quad$ P. 313.
    a D. 245, 247, 878, 314, In one place be eaye that he will go to France to clear his rappucation to the quean; p. 286. His wrote in great distrews of mird to Jermyn and Colepepper, on her threatenicg to retire from all bugines into a anmiantory, in consequence of his refumal to wraply with her wisbeat: p. 770 . Sce

[^130]:    A Clar. Prpers, p. 7 go.

    - Clarexdon and Eume Inveigh agatnot the perliament for thit publicaltion ; to whlch they are of course followed by the whole rabble of Cuarlecta edmirers. But it conld not resoonably be expected that such material papers should be kept bask: nor were the parisment under eny obligation to do an. The former writer Insinustes that they were garbied; but Cuntes himself never pretended this (eev Sopplement to Evelya'a Diary, p. 101); ver dom there neen any frandation for
    tho surmise. His own friende garbled them, however, after the Bestorntion: nome paranges tre comitted in the edition of King Chatien's Works; se that they canionly be rewd acomately tin the origina pablication, called tho King'a Cutonet Opened, a mall troot in quarto; or in the modern compilations, such at the Puctiamentary Elistory, which have copied it Lodiow wa be has been mformed that come of the letters taken at Nucetry were mppresed by those fintrusted aite them, who dince the Ing's restoriatione

[^131]:    mannet's Menc. of Dakes of 酸mil tom, 384. Baille's betiers throughouk 1846, Indicate hils apptrebection of the provelent epliti, which be dreedied te implecribie, mot oniy to monarciy, bat to preimytery and the Scotia nation. "The tenders of the peopto seem fnelined to
     kie all religions a bato Bration preetorytery, to be so fufurion to tos as to chare tit bace with tha sword."-148, March 21, 1648. The common word is, that ltacy will kave the ling prisuaer. Fow eibly they many grant to the prines ic bo adute of Fenice The milltia must be

[^132]:    *     - Thoogh ba should swear it," eny Brifle, " mo man will believe that be aticks upon epfeopecy for any corerience" Ii 306. And agin: "It is pfty that buge hypoertas, when it is pellucid ball utib be entertained. No oathe did ovar periourde me that episcopacy wnat ever edhered to on any conscience." 274 . This botes at first like mere Mpotry. Bax, when we remember that Cbarjes hed ebolished epirooppey in Scotiand, and mate ready to abolich protestiantion in Iremind, Batllio's prejudioes will appear 12 whrengocable. The king's privata leturt in the Clarendia Paperis bave convinoed tre of his mascientionsmest bloat ehareh governiment; bat of this mis contremporaries conid not be aware.
    - Eollin maintaing that the violent party were very deniruan that the Scots aboald carry the kitug with them, and that nothing could heve bean more itfuriote to hila inforesth If we amay ber Heve Berkley, Who is much contirmed by Ballita, the presoyterlans had ascretly

[^133]:    F Ballie, who, in Jan. 1844, speaks of the independents as rather troublesome than formudable, and even says, "No man, I mow, in either of the honses of any note, is for them" (4si); and that - lord Say's power and reputation is none at all ;" admity, in a few monthas, the alarming incresse of independency and sectarianism in the earl of Manchester's army; more than two parts in three of the officera and eoldicrs being with them, and those the moat rosoluto and coufident: though they had no oonaiderable tores elther in Essex's or Wallar'e army. nor in the ussembly of divines or the parliament (3i. 6, 19, 20). This was owing In a great drgree to the infinence, at that pertod, of Cromwell over Manchenter. "The man," be says, "is a very wino and sctive bead, universally well beloved, crelfgions and stont; belng a known tudependent, and moust of tho coldiers who love new ways pat themselves under His cemmand " (60).

    - The independent party, or at leant sorne of tom moat eminent membery, the lurd Einy and Mr St. John, were in a se-

[^134]:    E Scobell; Eush Alr. v. 876; Pari. 18at. Iil. 44; Neal, 199. The latter gyy this did not pan the lordin till June a. But this is not sa. Whitelock very righty spposed the prohibition of the nee of the common prayer, and of the ellenoing episopal ministers, al contrary to the prinAple of liberty of comstience avowed by the perlisment, and like what had been complained of in the bishops: 228, 259, 881. But, in Sept 1647, it whs voted that the indulgence in favour of tender consclences should not extend to tolerate the cornmon prayer. Id. ats.
    ${ }^{a}$ The Erastians were mamed from Erastun, a German physician in the dixteenth century. The denomination is often used in the present age lgoorantly, and therefore todefinitely; but I apprehend that the fundamental principle of his followera was thls:-That, in a commonwealth where the maghtrato professea Christianity, it is not convenlent that offences against religion and morality thould be puninined by the oensures of the church, eepecially by excommanication. Probably be may have gone farther, as Selden seems to have done (Neal, 194), and denied the right of axclission from church commonion, even withoat referepce to the tamporal power; bat the Himited propodition was of course mafilciens to ralse the practical controveray. The Fielvetic divines, Goulter and BulHiger, atrongly concurred in this with Stractus: "Oontendimas disciplinam casa delerv in coolegin ad matis emon al a

[^135]:    S Fionif censures the epeekent of the two boones and other who fled to the army frem this mob; the riot beling a spdden tomnitaonas thtns of young idle people without design. ${ }^{\circ}$ Pomitis this might be the cmee; but the trmaill the the door of the boose, steth July, was sach that it coald not be divided. Their vote were piainly mall, an belng twede pader duren Fet the prabbyterian were ob etroeg to the ormmoes, that a revolution to annol alt procsedinge durtus the
     after his retarmi and it trat coiv viled

[^136]:    1 Parl Fist 739. Clarendon talks of these propotalsas wormo than nyy the ting bad over reocived orom tho parionent; and Hollis says theng "diseolved the whole crame of the mon archy." It in hard to
    see, however, that they did so in a greater degree than those which be had bimeel! endenvoural to obtaln as a cornmilarioner at Uxbriage. Ae to the church. they were manifestly the best that Charies hat VOLH. ${ }^{2}$.

[^137]:    - Parl. Filet. 799.
    b Jun. 15. This vote wie carried by 141 to 92 Id. 881 ; and eee Append to and vol, of Clar. State Papers Cromprell was now vehement against the ling though he had voted in his favour on Fepe. 22. Journale; and Berkley, 3ta. A proof that the ling was meant to be wholly rejected is, that at hils time, in the fist of the navg. the expremion ${ }^{*}$ his traticaty's chip" way changed to "the parizment's ship" Whitelock, 292

    The foar bills were foanded of four tropositions (for which I refer to Erume of the Parliumentary History, not to Clarundon, who has mistased thems) tent cown from the lorde The lower honem

[^138]:    voted to agree with them by 115 to 100 ; Sidney and Evelyn fellerm for the ayes, Martin and Mortey for the noes The increace of the minority in remarkable, and shows how much the King's refusal of the terms offored him La September. and his escape from Hamptan Court had swollen the commonwealth party; to which, by the waty, colonel Sidney at this the seems not to have belonged. Ludlow mys, that party hoped the ting would not grant the foor bilis: f. 224. The commons published a declaration of their reatoms for making no further addreases to the king wherein they mare than inetnuats bis participation in the murder of hil fathar by Bockingiam Pat. Hink 847.

[^139]:    e Ciarendon, whose aversion to the Scote warp his judguent, eaye that this treaty contained many thitugs dishonomp thle to the Eingltah nadion. Hist. v. 693. The ting lost a good deal in the gyes of this uncompromising ataterman by the conceagions be made ta the Ista of Wight State Fapers, 537 : I catmots for my own part, wee anything darogatory to Engiand In the treaty; for the temporary oocopathon of a fow forified towns in the north can tuardly be called mo. Charlea, there it come reacon to think, hed on a former ooceasion made ofers to tho Scota farmore focondistent with bis daty to this Hiagdom. dClarendon. May, Breviate of the Fist of the Perilardent, in Haveres's Tracte, 1. 11s; Whitelocts \$07, 317, ase In aconforenot between the twro bosiet,

[^140]:    - Apill 28, 1848. Pari Flist 888

    P Jume 6. Thee peers wers the earls of Suffolt, Middlesex, and Lincoln, lorde Willoughby of Partham, Berklay, Hansdons and Maynard. They were itmpeached for sitting in the hories during the tumalts from 26th of Jaly to 6th of Augrath 1847. The earl of Pembroke, who had aleo continned to tit, merely beanuge the was too stupld to discover

[^141]:    which party wailicely to previll, eaceped by trackling to the new powern.

    - June 8.
    - See Parl. Firat. 828, 899, 904, 921, $994,969,998$, for the different votea on this mbject, wherein the prosbyterians gradually beat the independent or repulblican party, but with very manll and precarfons maforitien.

[^142]:    this Iatantion, and even of an attampt to eacape by rumoving a bar of his window, ar appears by the ietters from the compmittue of Derby House, Cromwell, and others, to col Eammond, publiched in 11息

[^143]:    - Rexter's Life, sa. He mecribee the increase of enthusiasm in the army to the tous of the presbrterian chapiains, who left it for their beneficen, an the reduction of the king'e party and the new-modelling of the troops. The officers thea took on them to art as preachers. Id. s4; and Meal, 18s. I conceive that the year lect
    is that to which we moast refer the appearance of a repablicun party is coosiderable numbers, though not yet among the bouse of commons.

    P These paosed agoinast the royalist members exparately, and for the ruwn part in the first monthe of the war

[^144]:    ${ }^{3}$ Somers' Tracts, v. 160, 102.
    1 E Sept. 11. Parl. Hist. 1077. May's Breviate in Mnsores' Tracte, vol, 1. p. 12f. Whitelock, 338 .
    b Nov. 17. Pari. Hith 107\%. Whitelock, p. S55. A motion, Nov. So, that the house do now proceed on the remuthtrance of the aminy, was lost by 185 to 68 (printed 53 in Parl. Hist). Commons Joumale, So weak was etill the copubllean pary. It it todeed remarizuble

[^145]:    that thin remonstrauce itelf is rather agalust the king than absolutely against all monarchy; for one of the proporalin contalned in it is that bings stoould be chosen by the people, and have no negor tive volce.

    - The difision was on the provious cuestion, which was loet by 120 to 83 d No difiston took place on eny of the votea reapecting tho king'a trinl.

[^146]:    - Lunnow 1 anc.

[^147]:    * The note on thls passage, which, on socount of ita length, wes pliced at the and of the volume in the two frate edithosen is withdrawn in this, as relating toa mitter of Ilterny controvering, iltela
    connected with the general objecta of tbla work. It is needleas to add that the anthor entertains not the amalleat doubt about the jurtnene of the argument be had employed-Notes to the ard edit.

[^148]:    - Parl. Hist 549. The council of 98s; and ar Wiliam Fialler's Vindicw war more than ousce, in the year 164t, thon, 192 declared their Intention of preserving "Commons' Journal, asth and 29th the rights o! the peerage. Whitelock, May, 1646.

[^149]:    Love't death hnrt the new commornrealth more than woald be carily believed, and made to odlons to all the reltigioes party in the land, except the sectarits Lffe of B , 07 . But © oderint dum metuant' is the derice of thooe who rale in revolutions Claredion apeakra on the contrary, of Love'a executhot triumphantly. He hed been distinguisbed by a vilent sermon daring the tremty of Uxbridge, for which tho perifimenti, on the complaint of the ting sommiselooerth, petthlm in confincoment Thurion, it Stiefe Triala, 201. Thoogh the gooble hittorina, as woul, represents this others wher Hia alo minatices lowis dims
    

[^150]:    - The parlament had reeolved, mith July, 1650, that Henry Stoart, of the lato tiong, and the Indy Enirabeth, daugiter of the late bidge be removed forthwith beyond the ouen of the limitn of this commonwealth. Fet thia intention seemen to have boen soon changed; for is is resolved, Sept. 11, to give the duke of Glotoenter $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ per annam for his matatenenct 20 lons $m$ be should behave himeclt tnoffensively. Whether thite prucedied from itberality. of from a vague iden that ther might
    one day mike use of him, is hard to Bay. Chrendon mantionst the echerne of making the duke of Gloncestar iding, in one of the letters (115. 39, 114 Nov. 1651); bat mye, "Truly 1 do beliero that Crosawell might ats eenily procure himself to be chosen king as the duke of Glowester: for, as nome of the tingis party woold aealst the lasti, no I am persuaded both presbyteriena and toder pendente wrould bave moch soover the former than any of the ruce of tin when thav havo martheteds"

[^151]:    - Id. p. ses. Lond Orrery told Barnes that ho had once mentioned to Cromwell a report chati be wes to bring to the tring. Who should marry bio daughter, and ofvarnd that be miw no better expedient Cowoell, withoat expreming any ditplemare, suld, "The ling cennot forgive his fathere Stood," which the other at mombed to arawor. Burnel, in th, it to
    certaln, however, that tach a compromite would bave beca disbonoarable for ons party, and infumoan for the other.
    - Cromenwell, to his lotter to the parr lument, after the batile of Worcester. called it s crovming mercy. Thit, thongh a vary intelligible axpreetion, way them in en invidious sense by the repubticera
    - Jourbula pindmo

[^152]:    P Jonnala, August 10. Thls was capFied by 48 to 30 agalnst Cromwell's party. Yet Cromwell, two yeurs afterwards, publithed an ordinance for regalating and limiting the jurisdiction of chancery, which offended Whltolock so much that he realgned the great seal, not lanving been conaulted in framing the regutations This is a rare inatance in his IIfe; and he vaunty much of his coneclence accordingiv, but thankfully accepted the office of commisaioner of the trearury inatcad. Y. 621, 625. Ho doed not seem, by his own sccount, to have given much tatiefaction to sultom in equit (p. 548) ; yet the fault may unve hoan theirs, of the ayntem's

    - 4t, Tetobar.

[^153]:    $r$ This had betn proposed by the compmiegion for amendment of the law appolated in the long parilament The great number of dimenters from the eatablished religion rendered it a very reasorabie measure.

    - Tharloe, 1 369; till 132.

    Sourrala, and and loth Dec. 1883 Whitelock. See the slxth volume of the Sonsert Tracts (p. 268) for a lung and rather sble vindication of this parilament by one of its mambers. Lindiow alsospesty pretty well of it, p. iti; and mys craly enuugh that Cramwoll frighteped the lawyons and clergy, by howing what the parliament meant to do with them which made them in a burry to bue it it do stroyed. Sev aleo Parl. Hisk 1418. 1410

[^154]:    - Ses the lnstrument of governmeat in Wbiteloct, p. 671 ; or Somers Tracts, vi. 13\%. Ladlow nays that mome of the cuticers oppused this ; but lambert forced is stown tiedr throats: p. 278 Cromwell made gond nate of this temporery power. The auion of Soothand with Fingiand arate by was of thewe ordinnices, Aprile (Whitetinck Exe); and the imposed an encessment of 190,000 , monthly. for th ree fanths, and 90,0065 , wor the next th ce,
    

[^155]:    天 I tufer thic from the report of a commmittee of privileges an the election for Lyna, Oct 20, 1*5e. See aleo Jouraris, Nov. 26, 1654
    y It Ls remarioable that Clinrendon eeerns to appruve this model of a perlinment, baying, $m$ it whis then generally booked upou a an alveration fil to be tnote warrantably mado, and in a better time"
    ${ }^{2}$ Boarcouna, the Prench muhemedor, nys, some wero for Mrudelaw an

[^156]:    - Journale, leth and 18th Sept Parl. Hist 1445, 1460. Whitelock, 005, \&e. Ludlow, 499. Ooddard's Joornal, sa.
    b This division fie not recurded in tho Journils, in consequence, I suppose, of tsa having boen rebulved in a conmmittee of the whole house. Bat it is impoealble to doubs the fact, which is referred to Oct 19, by a letter of Bourdeaux, the French ambemador (Thurloc, 1L 691), who observea, "Hereby it is exsily discerned that the antion is nowiso affected to hat fumily. not much to himself. Without doubt be will otrengthen his army, and keep that in a gooil poture." It is aleo alluded to by Whitcelock, 609. They reoolved to keep the millitia. in the powtr of the parilament, and that the protector's negative should extend acily to anch bilts as wight alter the tortore mouk; mud in other smoen if be did not
    pass bille within twenty days, thay were to becatne laws without his conseut
    Journala, Nov. 10, 1654 Whitelock, 809 to become lawt without his consent This was carried against the court by 109 to 85. Ludlow inslmuates that thes parliament did not ait ont its legal torts of five montha; Cromweli having intar
    proted the months to te lunar instead of of five montha; Cromweli haring intan calendar. Hume hes adopted this notion; but it is groundies, the month in law being always of twenty-elght daym, unlewt the contraty be expresed. Whitelock eays that Cromwell's dissolution of the parilament, because be found them not
    co pilable to ble purpoes es bo expected, parilament, becquse be found them not
    no pitable to hie purpoes as bo expected, caused much discontent in them and others; but that he valued is not, eateeming himeir above thoee thingt: p. 616 . He gave out that the partinment wise concerned in the conspiracy to briag in tive kinge.

[^157]:    * Dalce are seldom scrapolons: wo find that Custites was wililig to propose to the Statea, in retorn for their acknowledging ild tille, 4 auch present and basting edventages to them by this salbance is may appear mose cousiderable to that nation and to thelr posterity, and a valnable compensation for whatever prement edrantages the ling can recelve by $1- \pm$ Clarendion State Papers, ili 90 Theae intriguee woald have justly made him odions in lingtand.
    d Ormond wrota strongiy to this enteeth aftar the batte of Worcenter, convincel that nothing but foretge smantance conld restore the tione *Amonget protestente there is mone that hath the power, and amongat the cetholice it is viribin." Carte's letters, i. 461.
    - Clarendap Stato Papers, 1i. 4B1, of sappe alibl The proteritiot real of Hyde but enuroly deserted himis and bis veracity

[^158]:    f Clarendun State Papers, IIl. 181
    6 = The pope very well known." myn Hyse to Clement, an apzut at the oururt of Romen, 2nd Aprit, 1658, " how far the sing is from thoughte uf evverity agningt this entholic muldecte; bay, that be doth Westre to pat them into the mume enn. litlon with lis other arbjects, and that do mith thall enffer in any consideration
    

[^159]:    - Ladlow, 53a. Clarendoa, sice. Cim reodon relatien the amese story, whel edditional ctroumsiances of Crommell's endocions contempt for the courts of Jostion and for the very mame of migno charie.
    x Stato Trials, wi. Whitelock edrised the protector to proceed acoording to inw aguinat Hewit and Singaby; " bat ha higineter wat two much in love with the pow way: P. efs

[^160]:    
    
    
    
    
    
     Man

[^161]:    
    
    

[^162]:    greluaplans to dileplenc htm if recoverfing, or oubers hereafter, if it abvald not surceed, be has not get done it, nor do I believe fill ${ }^{24}$ Tharloe, bowever, innouncts on Sept if that "his highneen wa plented beforv him deteh to deciare my lord Exchand moceonor. Ho.did th en Menday; and the Iard bath os ardered it that the coameli and army hath recelved him widh all manow of af fection. Fie ta thim day procisimed, and Mitharto there nemen froas fece of peacos

[^163]:    - Rlchard's conduct is more than once did nothing amile during he short whulcommended in the comoupondence of ristration. Thurioe ( $p$. 401, 497); and in fact be

[^164]:    
    
    
     Betury Grumwer. If Maty promed God Mithorto to give Mis Bighnes your brother a Dety ens and peaceable erifrome waid
    
    
     equefinger that there are mano mocret
     bes prepe mot genaral of the arory ex mis
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[^165]:    Ms broeter-ins.

    - Tharion, vif 5\%s.
    d Lond Fansonbert says = The even
     very momarvan and beyond measame
     D
    
     Baraints excrecpoolrut ef bord Cim readion, felle han the reparitions freve
     and dingemb-titat rely to and be timiler poobecter bitionat milition er nego tive refice P. CIK

    Acnurimes to a betare flum Anon Bro-
     therv wete it repaNicmas, from 109 to
    
     E aflumat
    

[^166]:    everything else that might tend to metilio the govermment. Clar. Sutio Papent, 11. Thin of coarme way thetr tree grame
    It to elid that, Richard preaing the eart of Northumberiand to alt in the ocher hooso, be declined, wiging thath Tben the goverument was rach est be prederesanors had earved under, be would serve him with hat lift and fortuse. Id. 433.

    - Parl. Hist Joarnala, y Jen.; 14 18 Feh; 1, 8, 81, 23, 28 March The tamen of the teliers in thene diristor show the conanexiona of leading tadirt doals: we fad todiferenuly presbyterian and repuibicion names for the mitiontery a Fairfax, Lambert, Nowil, Benleris Trumahe 1, Booth

[^167]:    - The areny, mocording to Lodlow, liad mot mado up their mind how to set witer the disaolntion of the parizament, and bome were inclined to gock with Richard; bot the repubilicad parts, who had coalenced with that faction of afleers who touk their denotmination from Wallings ford Hoase, their place of meeting, ineisted on the reataration of the old parile ment; thoagh they sgreed to make soms provision for Bichard. Memoirs, p. 636646. Actordingly it way voted to glve him an incoent of $10,000 \mathrm{~L}$ per annom. Joornala, July 16.
    - Jonmals, Sept. 58, port Whiteloth e8s. Purl 日int 1862 Thurloe, pit. 7as et port Ladiow's acooupt of this perion ts the most intereating pert of his Mecoofrs The chlef ofilicers, it appean from his marretive, were soou dis guaced with thetr reppubicon allieg, fond

[^168]:     picat in Ostober, he never mi an it, at mited. Fens and Whitelook did.

[^169]:     mapaned chifely at lenct of these deakra
    

[^170]:    - Thurioe, V. E79. Clarenion Stato Pupers, 301, 395.
    - Carto's Letterm, IL 118, In a ietter of Ormond to Hydo ebout thly time be ceems to have seen Into the ling'e charsoter, and apealice of him severely: "I fear his immoderate delight in empty, effemsnate, and vulgir converations, is become on ifredictille part of his nature;" otc Clarendon Stata Papers, III, set.
    © Clarendon Papers, 591, 418, 460, ot noat Townabend, young man who sceme to have been much looked up to was not, in fact, a preabyterian, but ta rectroned among tham as not being a covaller, having come of age shoe the war, and his moully neutrah

[^171]:    - Thise carions flet appears for the tirit thme, I believe, in the Clarendon St (1) Papers, naleas it as aywhere indimated in Cartie's collection of the Ormoed letters. In the former collection we and meveral allumion to it; the firat is 如 lotter Irum Rumbold, a royalist comimary. to Hyde, dated Dee. 2, 1658, p. 481; from which I eollect lord Facoconberg's thare In this intrigue; which is alvo conitioned by a letier of Mordanet to tho lingen in p. 428. "The lond Falcoabeldge protertas that Cromwell is 00 rembes a persoth that be canoot pisy hil own geme, mech lean annther man'e, and ta theroby divcouraged from exting in buelnean, having aloo many enemies who oppoe hil minion elter

[^172]:    power or intereal in the army or dill guveraments because they concelva his principles contrary to theirn Ho mys Thurioe goverm Cromweli, and St John and Pierpoint govern Thurloe; and therofore it is not likely be will think himeirin danger till theoe tell bion so, nor meek a diveruion of it but by theír coumels." Feb. 10. 1669 . Theve ill-grounded hopes of RL. chardis movenion to their carse appear in perveral oflvar lettons, and even Hyde neema to lane grven in to them, 434, 454, bec Eicoderict another active embanary of the furallath, farcied that the three above

[^173]:    mentioned would restore the ting if they dared, 67 ft but this is quite onlikely.
    $\pm$ P. 469. This was carried on through colonel Hemry Cromwell, his consin. If fo fald that Richard hed not courrage to sign the letters to Monk and his other riends, which be afterwards repented, 491. The intrigues sill weat on with him for a ittile jonger. This whe in Misy, 1659

    Y Cimendon State Papers, 434, 600, et pont. Thurioe, F. 686. See alvo an enflamatical letter to Renry Cromwell 629, which certainly blats at his unade with the king; and Curte's Letters, tis atich

[^174]:    - Clar. Stato Pupore, 05\% 858, be.

    Clarendion conferses, Lifo, p. 20, that the cavallem dtsilized this whole intrigue with the preabytionans, which wras plannod by Mordeant, the most active and mbellugent agent that the king poenereed In England The former, doubtlem, percolved that by extending tho beds of the coalltion they thould low all chance of tudemnity for their own malleringe; beaddes which, their timaldity and ifremoluWhet are mantron if all the Grreadon

[^175]:    eorreppondence tht thin pertod. Bee jas. tacularly 491,820.

    - Wills bad drope all in his powes to obetract the ridng. Climendon wate very chow to believing this treechery, of which be had at leagth conclutive proofs, 83/4. sin
    - Citr, Paperen s14, 580, 502, 642
    d Clarendon Paperr, 485, 4\%7, 450, 404, 475, 625, s7t It in evident that the enthollos bed grepter hopes tronn the dulse than trom the bing and oopldored the

[^176]:    - Byde wittes to tiv duke of Ormend, "I pray inform the hang that fleetwood makee great profestons of belng converted, and of a resolution to eerre the ring apon the firat opportunitys" Oct 11, 1869. Carto's Letters, in, 231. See Clarendon State Paparsh 651 (Sept 2) and 6it. But it is sald altermants that be had "not courage onough to follow the honest thoughts which some time pow anes aim, $692(00 t .31)$, and that Mas

[^177]:    peutloman's family, and tinga have condeecended to marry subivectas The ledy is protty, of an extruordinary sweetnem of dieposition, and very Firtuously and ingenuounly dioposed; the futher is a petcon, wet aside hit onhappy engngement, of very great parts and noble inclinatlook," Clarendon itute Papera, 592. Yet, after all, Mise Lambert wat hardiy more a maxalliance than Hortense Manctin, whom Charies had asked for in rain.
    ${ }^{4}$ h Biogr. Brit, art Mone. The royal* teta contlinuel to entertaln hopea of him, especiselly after Ollver's death Clareadoo Papers, III. 393, 395, 346. In a
    enarfite letter of Colepepper to Hyde, Sept 20, 1658, be points out Monk as able alone to restore the king, and not absolutely averse to it, either in his principles or afliections; kept hitherto by the vanity of adhering to his profeselong, and by his afioction to Cromwell, the latter whereaf is diesolved both by the Jes. lousiea he enteriained of him, and by hils death, de. Id. 412.

    - Thurioe, vil. s8y. Monk wrote aboui the mamo time againat the earl of Argyte, at not a frlend to the goverament: p. 584. Two years afterwerds bo tock away bis life as boing 100 much ea.

[^178]:    338), he is hinted to have priucipally the most higily rewarded, bad done prought about the Reatoration; "without otherwies than thoy did." But this atal Fhuse courage and dexterity tome men, iepends on his ferncity.

[^179]:    - Clarendion State Papers, 112

    P Id 696.
    C Id. ete, ot poen He wride a tetter (Jinn 21) to the gentry of leron, who had petitioned the tupeaker for the reasmisson of the escluded members, object ing to that meesure as ilikely to bring in monarchy, very judicions, and with an air of stncertity that might decolve any cate; and after the restoration of these meclosied mesenbers, be mede a speech to them (Feb. 81) strongly againit moturchy; and that to lagentuosily, upon mach grod remoons, at mosth withous inveot ivo or fingeticiom, that the profemanomal bypoctites, who were ased to their own tone of importors, were deceived by his Cromatill wa a mere bungler to bim See theo In Harris' Charlee II. 2986, or Eomera Thete, vh. 861 . Is cennot be woodered at that the roylinte were exrueperated es Moak's betaviout. They

[^180]:    - A correcpandent of Ormond writes, March 10, "This night the fatal long parliament hath dissolved itself All thil sppears well; but I belisve we shall tot be ectiled upon our ancient foundations withoat a wnr, for which all prepart Vigorousiy and openly." Carte's Letters II. 513 . It appears also, from a fettor of Massey to Hyde, that a riaingin diferent conntlea was intended. Thurioe, 854.
    t After giving the mbenance of Monk's epeech to the bouse, recommending a new parilament, but insisting on commonwealth principles, Clarendon goea on: There was no tilesimulation in shis, in order to cover and conceal his grod intentions to the ring; for whthont doubt he had not to thit hour entertained any purpoce or thought to serve tadm, but whe really of the opinion he expressed in his paper, that It was a wortis tmpoedble; and desired sothing but that be mighs see a comtuonwealth eatablished on such a model as Holland was, wher be bed been bred, and that himselt might enfoy the authority end ploce which the prince of Orange poteresed in thist government"

[^181]:    - The Clarendon and Tharloe Papers ere fall of more proofts of this that cini be quoted, and ara vary amusing to read, at a perpetualty shifting pictare of hopes and faans, and confecture right or wrong Pepye's Diary also, in thewe two monthe, atrikingly show the prevaliling uncertainty as to Monk's intentions, as well as the general deare of having the ling brought in. It scems plaido thit, if ho had delayed a very iltile langer, be wotad have lost the whole credit of the restoris tlon. All partief began to crowd in With addressea to the King in the first part of April, before Monk west lnown to have declared himself. Thurloe, among others, was full of his offors, though ovjdently anxions to find ont whethar the ling had on intereat with Mont, p. 80s. The royalisa bad long entertained hopes, from thrae to time, of this deep politicion; bat it is certion he never wished well to their canse, and, with St. John and Fierpolnt, had baen most mulous, to the lent moment that it seemed practicable, against the reatoration. There had been
    

[^182]:    privata pustions and resentmenia Kernet's Register, 120. Clar. viL 471. But these probic proferdoos were weak degrimen when belied by their carrent langrags Bee Bexter, 217. Marchmont Needham, in a turt entitied 'Intereat whll not Lye, (wittien In answer to an arifal pamplelet aecribed to Fell, after warde Bishop of Oxford, and reprinted in Mnseres' Tractes, The Intereat of EOg: lund rested'), endeavoared to alerm all ather parties eoppectaly the presbisteriana, with representations of the rolence they zead to expect from that of tha kinge 800 Eirrifin Chartes $\mathrm{H}_{1} 268$
    F Prooks of tho disponition among the party to revive bee trenty of the libe of Wight ocear peaperanity is the Thorioe enclamadce Papers, and th thote preb-

[^183]:    - Clarendon, Hist of Rebellion, vil. Letteng, ii. s30. See also a remarkable 40. State Papern, 705, 729. "Thero in to insolent a spirit among some of the noblility." anys Clarendon, about the middle of February, "that I really fear It will turn to an aristocracy; Monk incllning that way toa My opinion to clear that the ting ought not to part Whth the church, crown, or triends' lande, leat he mateo my ford of Northomberiand his equal, nay, perthape his superior." P. 680.
    - Dowaing, the minister at the Hague, wns ape of thete hit overtures to the ting were at enrly as Monk', at the besinning of April; be declered the what to ece the malesty restored on good torme though many were desirous to make bim a doye of Venice. Curte's
    retter of the ling to Monk (dated May 21; but I suspect be need the new style, therefore resd May 11). intimating what a service it would be to prevent the imposition of any terms. Clar. 245. And another from him to Morrice, of the pame tenor, May 20 (N. S), 1860, and hiating that his majesty's friende in the bouse had complied with the general in all things, acconding to the king's directions, doparting from their own sense, and restralning theawelves from parsuing what they thought most for his service. Tharioe, Fli. 912. This pertape referred to the indemnity and ather provistons then pending in the commons. or rather to the delay of a few days before the dellivary of dir John Grenvil': mesange.

[^184]:    dit ia curions proof of the state cavalier paris wise powerini, that hit If pubila sentument that, thmugh Monk friends did not even produce the letier himself wrote a letier to the electors of leat it cllould be treated with negiest Bridgaworth reocmmanding Thurioe, the Thirice, Fif. 姰5.

[^185]:    - a To the Hing's coming in without conditions may bo well kmpated all the errons of has relgn." Thu Eys Burnet. The great politiol error, if 0 it should be termed, of his reign, wasa a conepirmey with the ting of France and somme weked edvisers at bome to subvert the religion and liberty of hit eutrects; and if a

[^186]:    dificult to perceive by what condition this aecret intrigue could bave been provented.
    $f$ Clarendon Fupers, $p$ Fis. They in colved to and the articlea of that tereaty to the ling loaving out the protion This wes ebout the ailitio of Apell

[^187]:    - Life of Claremdon, p. 10.
    b "This,"" may Burnet, momewhat Eshdionaly, was the great murvion that Monk did; for as to the reawter

    Hon itwilf, the tide man no utrons that be conly went into it daxteroculy enough to aet much prilise and groat manardr" 18. 1 18:

[^188]:    i Grimston was proposed by Plerpoint, and conducted to the chalr by him, Wlonk, and Holle. Journale, Pey. Hist Tho savaliers complalned that thit was done Defore they carne into the hooso, and that ho wha partial. Mordanat to Hyle, Aprla 97. Clareodop Stato Papers. Teh.
    k These were the earle of Manchenter, Northumberiand, Limooln, Danbigh, and Suffoik; lorde Say, Wharton, Huusinn. Grey, Maynard Lords Joornale, AI D 26.
    ${ }^{1}$ Clar. Etate Puph TM Jour

[^189]:    - ec It was this day (Aprl 2t) moved In the bonse of commons to call in the Hag; but it was deforred till Tuesday sext by the bing's friends' consent, and then it is generally belleved eomething will be done in it. The calling in of the lang is now not doubted; but there is a party among the old secluded members that would have the meaty groonded upon the Iels of Wight propoditions; and the old londa are thonght generally of that design. Bat it is believed the house of commons will vew the king more gently. The genenn hath been bighly cotnpimented by both houset, sad, without doubt, the giving the ling eny or hand coaditions dependelh totally

[^190]:    upon himi for, if he appear for the ling the affections of the people are so high for him, that no othar authority can oppose him." H. Coventry to Marquil of Ormond." Carto's Letters, it 328 Mordeant eonthrms this. Those who moved for the king were colonel King and Mr. Finch, both declded cavallerg It must have been postponed by tha policy of Monk. What could Clarendon mean by saying (History of Rebellion, vil. 478) that "none had the cournge how loyal woever thelr withee were, tc mention his majesty"? This atrange way of epeaklug bat misled Hume, whe copder it The king was as genernlly talked if ay if he were om the thratan

[^191]:    
    P Cromoss' Joornale

[^192]:    9 Lords' Journals, May 2. Jpon the name day the house went into cousider alion how to settio the millitia of this ting dom. 4 committee of twelve lords was appointed for thim porpoee, and the
    commons were requested to appotnt a proportionate number to Join therein. But to bill was brought in till after the king's retorn.

[^193]:    * Life of Clarondon, p. 69.

[^194]:    4. 

    I The wont and moat odions of their procoedings, quite unworthy of a Chisthan and civilued amombly, was to give the nort relations of the fone poers who had boen execrited under the cormmonwealth, Hamilton; Holland, Capel, and Darby, the privilege of naming each ane
    person (among the reglidice) to be executod Thla wis done in the threo last instancter; bat lord Denblgh, an Hemilton's kdrumen, notilusted one who wu deanl; and, on thle being polnted out tan him, rafused to fix on another. Journal, Aug. T. Ladlow, Lil. st

[^195]:    t. Lond Southampton, aocording to Ladiow, acturlly moved this in the house of lonis, but wes oppowed by Finch: iil 49.
    \$ Clarendon tees come shameful chjcanery about thin (Life, p. 69); and with that lnancaracy, to eay the leagt, 80 hre Btanl to hitm, thy, "the parilamant had pubiiabed a proclamation, that all who did not render themselves by a day named chould be Judged an gullty, and attalnted of treasom." The proclamation Wha problubed by the ling, on the aug peation indeed of the lords and commons, and the oxpremaions were what 1 have thented in the teath State T'riale, 7. 989, Somen Tracts vis. 437. It is obvorss that by thls mifrepresentation he aot onis throwa the blame of Ill fith off the kinges

[^196]:    mboulders, but puts the case of those who obeyed the prociamation on a very different footing. The ling, be pretende, had atways expected that none of the regjcides should be opared. But why did bo publiah sach a proclamation? Charendon. howwer, seems to have been hgainst the other exceptions from the bill of indemnity, as contrary to eome expreselong in the declaration from Breda, which had been inserted by Monk's advice; and thu wiseiy and bopourably got rid of the twenty exceptions, which had been sent up from the commons, p. 133. The lower house reolved to agree with the lords an to thoce twenty pensons, or rether dxteen of them, by 197 to $10 \%$, Rillis and Mus rice tellitig the ayed

    Prat 18 Oar IL c. 12

[^197]:    - These were, in the first Instance, Earrison, Seott, Scrope, Jones, Clement, Carew, all of whom had signed the war rant, Cock, the solicitor at the high court of Justice, Hackar and Axtell, who compmanded the gaand on that occasion, and Pater: Two years afterwarda, Downing mabagador to Holland, prevailed on the tates to give up Barksteed, Corbet, and Olfey. They all died with grest cobetrancy, and an enthualatic persuadion of the righteonmess of thelr canas. State Trian

    Pepys eayn to his Diarg, 1sth October. 1860, of Herrison, whowe execation be witnemed, that "ho looked as cheerful as any man coold do in that condition."

    9 It is remartable that Serope had been 00 particularis favozred by the cocavention paritament, an to be exempted, together with Hutchlagon and Iagocile, from eny peralty or forfedtare by a equecial resolution: June 9. Bat the lorde put in ais name again, though they polntedity excepted Bntchinese; and the commona, etter firat reeolving that be should only pey efine of one yeer's vilue of his eatrite, cama at ingt to agree in exroepting him from the indemnity en to lifo It eppeare that some purate convernation of Scrope had been botreved, where.

[^198]:    PCommons' Journals, MEy 12, 1660. [Yot the balance of parties in the sonvention pariament was so equal, that on a resolution that recelvers and collectoris of public money ehould be acoorantable to the ting for all moncys received by tem alnce Jan. $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ 164-9, an amandtwant to mbedinte the geer 1642-3 we
    carried againgt the preabyterians by 165 to 160. It was not deaispred that those who had acconnted to the pariliament shoold actually refund what they had recoived, bat to deciare, tndirecty, the tilegulity of the parlesmentary anthority Commons' Jouruals, Jupe 2-1845)

    * Parl Hiediv, 80

[^199]:    T Parl. Fist Iv. 129.
    ${ }^{4}$ Memoirs, p. 289. It appears by soma pessages in the Clarsodon Papers that the church had not expected to come off so brilitantly; and, whila the restoration was jot unsotdled, would bare been com-
    tent to give leases of thatr lande: p 620, T2S. Hyde, howover, was convinced that the ohurch would be either totally ruined, or reatorod to a great lonatre and herein ho was right, as it furued qut. P.814.

[^200]:    : Ufe of Clarendon, 92. L'Datrange, In a pamphlet printed beforv the end of 1660, complains that the caviliers were neglected, the ifing betrayed, the creatarea of Chomwrell, Bradshaw, and SL Jotun, inden with ofilices and honours. Ot the Inderonity he may, "That act made the enemies to the comptitution matiens In effied of the booky of three mations, bacing the crown and church landa, afl
    

[^201]:    J [Commous' Jouraals, Sept 4, 1650; 1,200,000k, voted by parllament Boe which 1 quote from 'Intter to the Rov. T. hla Diary, March 1, 1684 Raiph, bowCarto (in 1749), p. 44. This seemito have ever, mayis, the ficome in 1869 wia been excluative of ship-rnoney.-1845.]
    ${ }^{2}$ Commona' Jourtalk, September 4, 2080. Sir Philip Warwict, chancellior of tho axchoquor, aseured Pepys that the levano fell shurt by a fourth of the pasp

[^202]:    - Nov. 21, 1660, 151 to 140. Ferl. tary excles thus granted was one molety of Flet. [It to to be obverred, at eome what alreedy was paid by wirtue of ordiarcues for the corsmons, that the heredi- nances under the coumponwealth--184al

[^203]:     reghamits of brow and eqtisee of fook
    

[^204]:    

[^205]:    d Neal, 429, 44

    - Neal, 471. Pepyn'a Diarg, ad tuth Even in Oxforis, about 300 episcoppaliame used to meeet every Sunday with the connivance of Dr. Owen, dean of Chriat Church. Orme's Lifs of Owen, 188 It to comewhat bold in Anglicen writears to complain, as they now and then do, of the persecution they buffered at thls period, when we conalder what hed been the conduct of the blehops before, and what It wes afterwarde I do not know that any member of the oharch of Enginend was imprisoned under the commonwealth, excopt for some politicul reason; cortatn it is that the grole were not Illed with "thorm.

[^206]:    P The pemal Inwa vere comparatively dormant, though two priesta sofieted death, ouse of then before the profectors ata Butier's Mom, of Cetholles, II. 1s, Bat in 1658 Oranwell isoued a procian mation for the exwention of these atatatess which ercme to bave been provoked ty the persecntica of the Vaudoie. Whatelocis tells whe oppoed it ans. If wan not acted upon.
    © Several of theme appear th Somorts" Tracts, vol. vil. The king's nowrest infooda were of conrse bot beatwand in prationg him, thougt a litule at the expoose of their conesiances. "In a word," any Hyde to a correspoedent th 1630, "If bolve the beat protereant and tid bet

[^207]:    \# 19 Car. II. c. 17. It is quite clear that an usurped poescesion was conflimed by thif act, where the lawfil incumbent was dead [though Buruet intimates that, this statato not having been contimed by the next parianinent, those who bad originally come in by an unlawfll tide, were axpelled by course of tavi. Thls I am Inclined to donbt, as such a proceeding would have asumed the favaltdity of the lawe enected in the convention parisment But we find by a case roported In 1 Ventris, that the judges would not suffer thece acta to be dim putad.-1845.]
    wo Perl. Hist 84 Tho chuncellor, in his epeech to the housee at thelr alfonimmans in September, gave them to undar: stand that the hill when pot quito batio.
    factory to the court, who preferred the confrrmation of ministers by particuiar lettere patent under the great seal; that the king's prerogetive of diepensing with acta of pariament might not grow into disuse. Many got the addltional securty of such patents; which proved of service to them, when the next parliment did not think fit to conArm this tmportant natute. Baxter maym p. 241, come got lettern pateut to turn ont the pomemorn, where the former (Dcumbenta were dend. Theso munt have been to benefices in the gin of the crown; it other cases lettery patent could have been of an eftect. I have found this confrmed by the Joarmale, Aug. 2r, 1689 [But compars tha precedir s note, which leares some draby on the fuctes of the casal

[^208]:    a Upon Feaner's Insurrection, though the sactarles, and especinlly the ipdependente, published a declaration of their abhorrance of it, a pretext was found for lesuing a proclamation to chat up the conventicles of the anabaptists and quakert, and 80 worded as to reach all others. Kennet's Register, 55 \%.

    - Coller, 869, 871 ; Barter, 252, 238. The btshopssaid, in their answer to the presbyterians' propomals, that the objections againat a single person's edminlotrotion in the church were equaily epplicable to the state. Coliler, 872 But this wate false, as thoy well knew, and desigped only to produce an effect at court; for the objections were not grounded on reasonIng, bat on a presumed politive inatitutwo. Bedides which the argament cut

[^209]:    I They addreseed the king to call such dilvines as be should think the to advies With conceraing matten of religion. July 20, 1860, Journals and Part. Hist
    vor. II.

[^210]:    - Parl Fist. Ňeal, Barter, Collier, do Burnet says that Clarendon had made the king publish this declaratiou; " but tho bishope did not approve of this:

[^211]:    * Life of Clarendor, 74 A plansibla道d somewhat dangerous altack had been made on the anthority of this parilament from an opposita quarter, in a pamphlet written by one Drake, under the mame of Thomar Phillips, entltled "The Lang Parliament Revived; and intended to prove that by the act of the late ling, providing that they should not be discolved bot by the concurrence of the whole legialature, they were atill in extsternce; and that the ling's demise, which legally puta an end to a parimment, could not affect one that was deciared perme nent by so direct an entectment. This argument seems by no means incongilderable; bat the times were not axch as to admit of technical remoning. The con. ventlon parliament after questioning Drake, inally sent up articles of impeachment against him; but the lords, after hearing him in his defence, when ho con feosed his fault, ieft film to be prosecuted by the attomey-general. Nothing more, probably, took place. Purl. Hist. 145, 137. This was in November and December 1660: but Drake's book seems still to have been in conalderable circolation; at leagt I have two editions of it, both bearing the date of 1681. The argament it contains if parely legal; but the aim must have been to aerve the presbytarian or parlinmentarian canse. [The next parilament never give their predecessort apy other nama in the Jnarmals thas "the last amembly."]

[^212]:    a Complaints of Insults on the preabyterisn clergy were made to the late parHament. Parl Hits. 160. The Anglicate Inveighed grosily againat them on the score of their past conduct, notwithatandIng the act of Indemalty. Kannet's Register, 156. See, as a epecimen, Southis Sermons, pasalm.
    b Journals, 17th of May, 1861. The provious question way moved on this with, but losk by 928 to 103: Mortes,
    the secretary of state, being one of the tellers for the minority. Monk, I believe, to whom Morice awed liss alovallon, did what te could to prevent vioient moasures againgt the presbyterians. Alderinan Love was suipedied from altting in the homee, Juify 8, for not aayigg taren the sacrament. I euppoee that be afterwards conformed; fit he became au ective mention of the op position

[^213]:    - Journals, Jupe 14, Im; Farl. Flit 209 ; Life of Clarendon, 71 ; Burnet, 290 A bill dlacharging the loyalists from all Interest exceeding three per cent on debts contracted before the whis paseed the conomons, but wat dropped in the other bouse The great discontent of thals party at the iodeminity continned to show fitaeif in rabeequent sessions Claresdon mentions, with much censure, that many private bills paseed abont 1668, nanulling conveyances of tapd mads during the troubles: p. 164 16s. One remiritable tnstance ought to be noticed at haviog been grealiy mbrreprewented. At the eur of Derby's mat of Knowaley In Lancostire a cublet is placeed to coenstremarata the ingratitude of Charies II. in baving reflamed the royal ament to $*$ tull which bad paseod both houses for rostoring the son of the eerl of Derby, who had lost ula tife in the royal cense, to his family estate This bas been so often reprinted by tourtates and novelista that it papees currentu for a fust reproech on the thage memory. It was, bowever, in fech seec of his mpost bonourable sottoma The truth to, that the covalier fiction corried through parisment a bill to makie vold bhe coaveyances of mome manger which

[^214]:    rere ondered, Dea, in on the motion of colcoed Titris, to to didtararid and
     curred to then ondior: bat the mode of sidreen to the idag would have beeth
     butbes had been previecons remorved true.
    

    Into a pit at the lect docr of to prebeederter houdtras" Tio toody of
    
     churchyard." Il appears to tame been done oy se eriter of the bine to the
     - Mal

[^215]:    S Inste 7. Thin appean to have been i Fonter, in his Dhecourse on High hrd in Bagotia case, 9 Edw. 4. See atso Higden's View of the Einglish Constitu4ia, 1709.

    Tratan, evidentiy intimatea that he thought the conviction of Vane anjugt fiable.

[^216]:    party, who thought the bishops would not be brought into a tolerntiou of theit religion. Lafe of Clarendon, p. 138.

    - C.

[^217]:    - 13 Cur. 9 , seen. 2. a. 1. Thla bull did not pase without itrong opponition in the commone. It was carried at leat by 182 to 77 ; Joormath, July 5 ; but oo a proviore division for It commitment the numbers were 185 to 286 . June 20

    Pryane was atterwande reprimemied by the apenker for publishing a peomphlot agaimat this acth Julgrt ; but his courtos had now formaten him; and bo made a entemielve apology, thougt the consure wa prabounced in a vary harib manner.

[^218]:     March, 1663 geting that ho had himealf concurred in
    P Parl Hint 989 . Ciarandon apenks it. P. 232.

[^219]:    11 Or. II. $c .1$ Fe find by the Joarnals that gone ivilioge took phen turing the pernge of tha mill. ar
    
     ofpocition to its zefoction March six
     part thaced arinot the enare

[^220]:    - P. 383.
    - Inrds' Joumatis, 23rd and 24th Jan. 18882

    2 12th Feb
    19th March, 168 .

    - 13 Car. 14 c. 1.

[^221]:    - 7 Clarendon, in hin Life, p. 140, suge that the ting "had recelved the presbyterian ministete with grace; and did believe that he abould work upon them by persanelons, having been well ecquainted with thedr common erguments by the conversation he had had in Scotland, ard woas vary abie to confute them." Thin it one of the etrange absurdities into which Cifnendon's profodices hurry him in almont overy page of hid willinge, and more empecinlly in this cortmation of his Lfa. Charies, ae inis mininter well knew. could not read a common Latin book (Clarendon State Papers, ili. B67), and had no menner of acquadntance with theologion loarting, unless the popular arcoment in finvoru of popery is to to be called; yot he wes very able to conifute men who had pasmed their livea in etndy. on a culdect involving acosiderable tnowiedge of Scripture and the cariy witers in their original languageal
    * Clarendion adizita that this expld not hnve been done till the former parlinment wea dimolved: of. This meens, of course, on the supposition that the ling's word whet to be broken. aTha maliguity towards the chorch," he eays, "remmed ineremaing, and to be greater than at the coming in of the lling" Pepser in his Diary, hes eeverl sharp nematia on the roisooduce and anpopa

[^222]:    © Iffe of Clarendon, 147. He obcorves that the slterations made did not reduce oes of the oppoalte party to the obediance of the church. Now, in the urst place, be corld not know thin; and, In the part, be concelis from the raeder that, on the whole matter, the change made in the liturgy were mare llikely to disgust than to concifate. Thus the puritang having always obfected to the number of mints days, the blabope added $a$ few more; and the former having given very plavalble remoons againat the aporyphal lemons in the dally aerrice, the ethors inworted the gerend of Bol and the

    Dragon, the no other porpooe than to whow contempt of their meruples. The alterations may be seen in Keanet's Be glater, 885 The most importent was the restoratian of a rabric lascrted in tha commanion mervice under Edwand VI. bat lett out by Elizabeth, declaring agofinat any conporal presence in the Lord's supper. Thit geve offence to beme of thooe who had adopted thest opinione, eqpecislly the dulve of Yorts, and perhape tended to complete his alieastion from tho Anglienn church Barpet, 1 184.
    

[^223]:    d Lto of Clarendon, 152. Barneh 258. Morloy, afterwards blshop of Win chester, was engeged junt before the rentorstion in negollating with the prese bytertans. They stuck out tor the nogetlve voice of the counail of presbyters and for the valdelty of thole ordinations. Clar, State Papers, 727. He had two chemes to get over the difficulty: one to pags them over anb silentio; the other, a hypotheticel remalination, on the suppoaition that something might have bean wanting before, at the charch of Rome practises about re-baptusation. The fore mer is a curioos axpedient for thone who protend to thints preabytarlan ordinatione raally mall. Id. 738

    - Tis day txed opon ungested a tomparison which, though evere, whe obvions 4 modern writar has observed on this "Thay wore carefal not to remambar thas the mand day, end for the tame reaton, becanse the the were commonly due at Michselmas, had been uppointed for the former ajectment, wiben toar dimes an many of the boyll olery

[^224]:    E The report of the conference, Lords' Jourtion 7th May, is allogether rather curions.
    b Lardor Joumala, 95th and 2tth Joly, 166. Ralph, 58.
    i Neal, 625-638. Berter told Burneth as the lactar sayg, A. IBS, thit not above 200 would have resipned had the terms of the lingh's declaration been adhered to. The blame, he goee on, fell chlefly en Sheldon. But Clarendon was charged Fith entertaining the presbyterinas with food mords, while he was giving way to the biahope. Slee also p. 268 Baxter pois the number of the dentived at 1801

[^225]:    volume, to extenuato the prabe of the deprived preshytorians by captions and onfalr argumenis
    : See clarendon's feeble attempt to vindicate the king mom the charge of breach of fath, 158 .

[^226]:    - A list of theso, pablished in 1680, contalan more than 170 namea. Neal, 590.
    n gir Kenelm Dighy wat eupponed to be deep in a acreme that the cethoilcs, in 1640, Ehould cupport the cummonwealth with alt thelr power, in neturn for liberty of religion Cartaio Latien, i, 218, ot poet We find a lotier from him to Cromwell in 1058 (Thnrioe, iv. 501), Fith grat protestations of duty.

[^227]:    - See Lordar Journaly, June and July, 1861, or extracts from them in Kenner's Regjater, 489, de., en0, tac, and 708, where te weveral other particniars worthy of notice. Clarendon. 14s, explaine the filiture of this attempt at a partial toiteration (for it was only meant as to the exercise of apligtons ritea in private bones) by the persevering oppoustion of the Jesnits to the oath of allegiance, to which the $4 y$ athotion, and genanally tro ar

[^228]:    Q 13 Car. 2 a. $1 . \quad$ Buruelı 1:a

[^229]:     mates that this begot a coldreen tin the complein of thetw on his tritel 8oen, wo
    

[^230]:    © Parl Hint. 257.

    - Baxtar Intlmates, 029, that some dieagreement arvee beiwreen the presbya terians and independents as tothe tolerstion of popery, or rather, at he puls it, es to the active concurrence of the protestant disamiters In acoepting auch a toleration as should include popery. The intter, conformably to thelr general primciples, were flvourable to it; but the former wrould not make themselves parthes to any relaration of the penal lawd

[^231]:    uquanst the church of Rome, learing the lding to act as ho thougbt fit By this suffess it is very probable that they provoked a grod deal of persecution from the court, which they might have arolded by falling into its viow of a general indulgence.
    $₹$ Parl. Wiat 260. An adjournmeni had been moved and lost by 161 to 119 Jourate, 25th Fob,
    1 10Lh Feb. Bexter, p. 482

[^232]:    

[^233]:    - Burnek Bextor, part ili. p. 2. Neal, p. 0 ge IBurner Batite.

[^234]:    E [Bishop Parber, certuinly no enamy to the adminietration of Cumitee IL, owns that nothing did the lding to much burm at the immense grant of $8,800,0001$ in 1674, to be levied in three years; from Which timo he thought that he abould cever want monery, and put no restraint on his expenses. Hist of hit own Tima, p. 245. In the oesion of 1666 great diffcolties were found, an Marvell telis un, in raling money; "the nation's extreme neoserity makea us exceedingly tender whereapon to fiaten our resoldo thona." Marvell's Lettara (in his Works), Nov. 6.-1845.)
    m Peppe obsarven, nith July, 5se7. "how everybody now-e-days refleot apon Olver and commend outm, what brave thing he did, and made all the madethoar prinoes fear bim.'

    - [Clarendon, while be metroile beeo decontenty, and complatate of the decry of trede, amarta them to be unfoanded.

[^235]:    obe fasmeriy. Lande ylelded twenty geare' purcheme which, when be wes young, were not worth above alght or ten. See Macpharson'e Anneis of Commaros, ad A.d. 1660-1845.]

    - [Lute of Clarendon, p 34 Perhapa be laya too mueh the blame of chis on the sectaries; jot we puay surpect that the acthustantio and antlyomilen concoltis
    of these men had relaxed the old boode of mortilty, and peved the way for the more giaring lloentionsmees of the reftorHlan. See, toon Pepyic Dhary, Aus. 34 1680, for the rapld increase of dismolato nean about the court - 1845 .
    P The Memolres de Ormmont ark known to everybody, and are almond untgue in thenr tiod, nos ondy for the

[^236]:    * This wing carried on a divition ins 179 to 102. Joarnilis, 25th November, 1865. It was to be raised " in a regulated gubulditry way, reducing the mamo to a ecrintuty is all corminien, to ase no perton, for his real or pensoal estate, be exempted." They seam to bave bad some difikelty in ralsing this vact mibeldy. Parilamentary Hintory, 306.
    - 17 Or. 2, 4 h. The mane clanae fa repeated bezt gear, and hen beoomo regalar. [" The bankers dild not mondtet of above the number of five or elx men, tomp whereof were aldermen and had been lord mayers of London, and all the teen rean aldermin or bed fined far alder-

[^237]:    men. They were a tribe that had risen and grown up in Cromwell's time, and never were heard of before the late troubie, till when the whole trade of monery had passed throagh the handa of the eriveners. They were, for the most part, goldsentiths-mea lnown to be to rich, and of 00 good repputation, that all the moners of the ifogiom weutd bo trusted or deposited io their hands" Lifo of Clarendon, Tol. 6il. p, 7.-1846.]
    : Lif el Clureadion. p. \$18. Hiatsell's Irecedentr, ith en The primipie of appropriation way not carried into foll effect till after the Revolation Id. ITs 48

[^238]:     as a great movation: p. sis.

[^239]:    ${ }^{2}$ Pepyis Diary bus lately furniahed noms thinge worthy to be extracted *Mr. W. and I by water to Whitehall, aad there at atr George Cartarel's ludglogs as Willinm Coventry met; and we did dehate the wholo bualiuent of our cocounts to the parisment; where it appens to us that the charge of the war from Sepl 1, 1664, to thla Micheelmas, will have been but $3,200,000 \mathrm{~h}$, and we have paid in that ume oumowhat aboat $2,200,000 \mathrm{~L}$, wo that we owe ahout 000,000 : : but our method of socounting, thongh it cannot, I belleve, be far wide from the mark, yet will not abdide a atrict examination, if the parisiment should be troublesome. Here bappened a pretly question of ef Whilian Coventry, whother this scooumt of ours will not put my lord treagurer to a difticuity to tell what t. becomp of all the woney the parilament have given th this time for the we. which bath amounted to aboul 4000,000 ,

[^240]:    F Litu of Ciarendra, p. ses.

    - 10 \& 20 Car. 11 a 1. Buroeth p 314 bhoy reported unnocounted balanoee of 1.809, 1014 , bedde moch but we questomable in the phyments Pal accordiot to Ralph, $\mathbf{p}$ Ift, the commiagioners hed

[^241]:    - Mecphermon's Extracta from Life of Jamen, 17, 18. Compare Inneg's Life of James, published by Clartre, 1. $391,393$. In the former wort it is said that Clnrendon, npon Venner'a insurroction, advised that the guards should not be dlbauded. But this seems to be a mistate In copying: for Clarendon, read the dnke of Yort. Pepys, however, who heard all the gresip of the town, mentiong the year after that the chancellor thonght of raising ap army, with the dutse a geparal. Dec. 2e, 1601.

    8 lowd

[^242]:    
     de Loull XIV. IL 204.
     art Habrixotor whe of James, sea
    

    - 8me Kencol'a Beglater, Y5t; Balph

    P Mem. of Rutohinson, sos. It meema, however, that he wes cuspected of mant cuncern with an tatanded theing tn 1693 thongh nothing was proved equinat bims Miecollarien Aulton, sing.

[^243]:    4 Lifo of Clarendon, 014 - Pepys Eay this parliament was called logether *against the duke of Yoric'a mind flatly, who dild rathar advite the tring to ratse broney an he pleased; and against the chancellor, who told the ling that queen Elisabeth did do all her bualmen in 1588 without calling a parliament, and $\boldsymbol{0}$ might he do for anything be enw." June 25, 1687. He probably egot thit from hit Irrend atr N. Coventry

[^244]:    cormt, they gieity acoepted it; But this banker चase operson empioyed by Loale bimself, who had the money ready. He had the greaceat ansiets aboot this afinir: for the city of Londion depurted the lurd major to offer any sum on that lhankirt might not be allemated Eivrre de Lonis XIV. 1 167. If tims be arto pether correct, the king of Pranas did not funcy be had made oo bed a bargito ; and tondeed, will bis profecta, if io bend tho money to spare, he coold not thitnt sa Compare the Menolives or Putrien and the supplement to the thind virane of Clarendon Sace Papers The hirmo stans are of no vilue, except on they evpr
    

[^245]:    princess, in order to breat it Clarendon asked, on his master's scoount, for $50,000 \mathrm{~L}$ to avoid appication to parliament: p. 4. The French offered a secret loan, or subuldy perhaps, of $2,000,000$ livies for the cuccour of Portugal. This wres acsepted by Clarendon-p. 16 ; but I do not find anything more about it

    As no one who regards with attachmant the present syatem of the English constitution can look upon lond Clareadon as an excellent minister, or a frieud to the woundent princtples of civil and religt-

[^246]:    Ith charecter of Clareadon ata mnister is fairiy and Joulimoandy drawa by Macphermon, Hist, of England, 08 ; a work by no means oo full of a cory apirit as has beep supponed.

    F Parl, Hist. 84.
    *The larde refturd to cormoit the and
    of Clarendon on $b$ goveral Impeachment of high treason; and, in a sonferencewith the lower house, denied the anthoMity of the precedent in Straftiond's case, which wis preased opoo them. It is re martable that the managers of thin confirmos for the commons vindicrted the

[^247]:    - Kemet, 298, 800. Burpet Baxter, *s. Tha deaign was to act on the principle of the deelaration of 1600 , wo that presbyterian ondinations should pens anb modo. Tillotion and suilingfeat were comperped in if. The king was at thly thme exasperated aginat the blahope for their support of Clarendon. Burnet, ibld. Pepye's IMary, 8Lat Dec 1067. And he had alvo deeper motivee.
    b Pari. Hist ©it. Ralph, 370. Carts'a Lifio of Ormood, it. 362 Str Thomes Litileton mpolse in invour of the comprebention, at did Seymorar and Waller: wll of them emomies al Garendons and

[^248]:    probably oonmected with the Bucianghem faction: but the chureh party was moch too shong for them. Pepys zays the commans were furions agningt the prom joct; it whas and that whoever proposed new lawa abont religion must do it with a rope abost hin nect. Jan. 10, 1688 . This is the first instance of a thiumph obtained by the chorch over the crown in the honge of commong Ralph oberves upor it, - It is not for noright that the worda church and atate are 30 often coupled together, and that the first ine wo insolently tuarped the precedency of the last."

    - Pari plithens.

[^249]:    a France retaing Inle; Townay, Domay. Charlacol, and other places by the treaty. The allee were sorpised and not pleased, at the choloo Spaln made of yielding these town in ardor to eavo Franche Comt6. Templo's Letters, of. In fact they were not on good terms with that power; the iad even a projects ent of oplte to Holland, of giving up the Eatheriands suldreiy to Fravies in ex-

[^250]:    - LA50 of Clarendob, 355.
    k State THals, 7. . 807. One of tha odiest things connected with this fire wast thit some persons of the fanstio party had been hanged in Aprll, for a conspiracy to muyprise the Tower, murder the daze of Albanario and others, and then declare for an equal division of lande, tse. In order to offect thit, the olty was to be fired, and the guirds ecened in their quartern; and for this the 3rd of September following was fixed npan ex a looky day. Tus is undoubtoily

[^251]:     of Jumes, 429.
    " ["I am corry," nayt Tumpla, very wisely and Firtronsly, als majesty abould meot with anythins be did not look for at the opening of this seesion of paritament; but confen 1 do not aee why his majeaty chould [not] not only conaent, but enconnage any tupuirien or cispuiteltana they dedre to make into the mincarracies of the late war, as woll as te had done already in the matter of aco sopmits For if it be not nepensary, it to

[^252]:    - He tells $\quad$ wh himself that it began by hls reeding a book witten by E learned Dtabop of the church of Engiand to clear her from echism in leaving the Roman commuaion, which had a contrary effect on him; especially when, at the said blahop's deaire, he read an answer to ith This made him inquiaitive about the crounde and menner of the Reformation. 4 fier his retwrn, Heylin's Ristory of the Reformation, and the preface to Hooker's Eicelesieatical Polity, thormaghly convinced him that neither the church of Wingand, nor Culvin, nor any of the re-

[^253]:    P Macpherson, 50. Life of Jemen, 414
    9 De Witt wes epprised of the intrigue between France and England as early as April, 2669, through a Swedinh agent at Paris Temple, 179. Temple himself, in the conrwe of that year, became convinced that the king'a viewi were not those of bit peopio, and reflectin severeiy on his conduct in a letter, December 24, 1669, p. 206. In September; 1070, on his sudden reeall from the Hague, De Witt told him his enspicions of a clandastine treaty : 241. He wap recoived on his retum coldly by Arington, and almont with rudeness by clifiord: 244. They knew he would never concur in the new profecte. But In 1682, during ane of the intervals when Charle way playing false with hit brother Loulis, the itter, in revenge, let an abbo Primb, in a hlstory of the Dutch war, publish en cocount of the whole wecrel troaty, noder the mame of Count de St. Majota. Thale book was fmmediately auppressed at the inatence of the Engilsh amberador; and Primil was eant for a chort time to the Bastle. But a pamphiat, publitahed in London, just after the revointion, contaline axtracts from it lele zonpion i. sa Somers Tracto, vili, i上

[^254]:    5 Dalrympie, 31-5\%. Jamea givea a sititerent mocount of this; and intimates that Fienriotta, whoee vidt to Dover be had for this reason been much sgainat, prevalled on the ling to change his reeo Iution, and to begin with the war. Ho galned over Arlingtion and Clifiond. The dake told them it would quite defeat the catholle design, because the ling mnat ron in debt, and be at the mercy of his parliament. They enswared that, if the

[^255]:    what people suxpected. P. 45a This ahows that they looked on force ate neces. aary to compatis the deaign, and thes The noble repistance of the Datche upder the prince of Orange, was that which frustrated the whole conspiracy. "The duke," it it again said, p. 45s, "was in hiy own judgmant agalnst entering toto thla war before his majesty's power and authority in Bingland had been belter fixed and lem prectriougs as it would

[^256]:    4 Ivalrympla, at, tha

[^257]:    - Dalrymple, 23.
    d. F. Es. The rainetance to let the duke of Backingham into the eecret enems to prove that more was mearit then a toleration of the Roman catholic seligion, towards which bo had alwhes toen dippoted. and which wa varily a saret ab couth

[^258]:    i Lfe of James, p. 44
    \& Mappherson's Extractin p. 51.
    m 22 Car. 2, c. 2 . Kennet p. Sos. The seel in the commong againet popery cended to aggravate thits perseconion of the dipsontens They had been led by tome faricen clengrmen to belleve the abmordity that there whe a good understanding berween the two parties

    * burnot, pis 878.
    - Batter, Pp. 74, 86 Eemot, 911

[^259]:    See a lettor of Eicoldon, written at then sima, to the heshope of hie provingen urging then to persacute the monoripformbet Harrisin Ldfo of Charien 1L, p 103. Frooth alo are given by this wathor of the manoser in which gamen moch Emplazt and Werd, repoodred to their primate's whenem.
    sbeldar foand a panegribi quito Worthy of him in hio chaplain Purbers aflerwerda binbop of Orforch This nows

[^260]:    ble person has left a Latio history of his own time, wheredn he largely commemantes the archbtshopin eeel in motestjng the dimenters, and prisise him for defenting the cherne of comprebendion. P. 28 . I obwerve, that the late excellent editur of Burnet hay eadeavoured to alide tha word for the primate (note on vol. $L$ p. 245), on the anthority of that hitatory ty Bebop Partser, and of Sheldsa's Life the Btographia Brilunnice. It if is
    mentable to reat on auch proofs. I should certainly not have expected that, in Magdaten college, of all places, the name of Parter woaid have been held in hosour: and as to the Biographla, landetory as it in of primates to gederal (cave Tulowod whom it depreciates), I find, an refer ence, that its prube of Sheldor's viriten ther: anded on the auchority of his epptapth in Croydon church
    P Baztiry, 87 .

[^261]:    money due to them from the exchequer; but thls was never pald till the latter par: of Williamis rolgo. It may be conedderca as the beginning of our natlonal debs. It seams to bave beon intended to follow the shutting up of the exchequer with a still more unwarrantable stretch of power, by granting an injunction to the credis tora who were euing the bankers at law. According to North (Examen, pp. 38 47), lurd-keeper Bratgman resigned the sTeat seal, rather thas comply with this; and Shaftenlury inlmself, who suceected him, did not vexture, If I urderitand the pawage rightly, to grane an abooluto inJunction. The promise of interest for thetr money seerne to have been given fated of tha mure illagal apd violent renuedy

[^262]:    - Pard. Hist 515. Kennet, 313.
    i Bridgman, the lordtreeper, reatgoed the great evat, acoording to Buynet, be-
    canse he would not pit it to the declarts tivn of indulgenoe, and was zuoceeded ty Shafteelury.

[^264]:    Y The teas act began in a resolution, February 28, 167s, that all who refice to take the oaths and recelve the eseranment according to the rites of the church of England ohall be Incapable of all public employments Puri. Hist, BS6. The court party endeavonred to oppose the deolaration eqgainat trancubatantio: tion, but of course in vin. Id. 861, 692.

    The king bud preaned his brother to recalive, the eacrament in order to avoid mapicion, which be abolutaly refused; and thin led, ho eym, to the teat. Life of Jamen 10 482. But his rellgion was loon

[^265]:    - Tenpien Memolrs.
    - Burcet tixt that Duaby bribed the lees important members, inetred of the lember, which did not enswet to well But be eectis to bave been liberal to all. The partiament be gained the marme of the pensioned In that of $16 \pi^{\circ}$ dir SerBheo Fox wan called upon to produce an mocount of the moogre paid to many of

[^266]:    therr predecenongt Thate mito belonged to the not parilament endenvoured to defend themselves, and guve rewans for their pensions; bat I obmerve ao and mav be did mot bhay vots mith the eourt Part Hist i1ss. North edrals that great clamour wer expliad by thon Hiscovery ; abd mell it migite Sea admo Dalryongte, h. 88

[^267]:    - Burnet chargea these two leariers of eppoaition with belng bribed by the court to draw the houso into granting an enormone eupply, as the consideration of pering the teat act; and seo Pepys, Oot. 6. 1666. Sir Robert Howard and sir Bichard Temple were said to have gone over to the court in 1670 through simb inr Inducemente. Balph Boger North (Bxamen, p.456) given an account of the manner in which men were brought off from the opposition, though it was sometimes advieable to let them nominally continue in It; and mentlone Lee, GarrmThy, and Merea, all very active patriots, If we trast to the parliamentiory debates But, aflar all, neither Bnamet nor Rogar North are wholly to be relied on $\$$ to partlcular instancet; though the genaral fact of an extanative corruption be indisputable.
    d This eunning self-interested man, tho had been introduced to the hoase by lord Russell and lard Cavendish, and wa connected with the coimtry party. telle us that Danby sents for blm in Feb. 1817, and asiciced him that the jealonates
    foundation; that, to his oertain known ledge, the king meant no other thail to preserve the religion and government by law established; that, if the government was in any danger, it wes from those who pretended such a malghty meal for it On, finding him well disposed, Danby took his proselyte to the ling, who asured him of his regurd for the consttution, and was right loyally belleved. Reresby's Memulrs, p. 38.
    - "There were two things" eays bishop Parker, "which, Ike Circe's cup bawitched men and curned them into brutes, vis. popery and, Freach intereat If men otherwise sober heard them once, It was sufficiont to make them run mad But, when those things were lald awide, their behaviour to his majeaty was with a becoming modeity." P. 244. Whenever the court esemed to fall ta with tho national interests on the two points of France and popery, many of the country party woted with them on olber gnewtions, though mone numerous than theit own. Temple, p. 48s. See too, Rerentry p. 95, at alibi.

[^268]:    PThe king, according to James hirneelf, readlly consented to the marriage of the princess, when it was firat guggested In 1875; the dificulity was with her tather. He gave at last a relactant consant; and tha offor was made by lords Arlingtop and Omory to the prince of Orange, who received it coolly. Life of Jnmes, 501. Temple's Memolr, p. si\%. When he came over to Eingland in Oct 1677, with the Intention of effeoting the match, the ling and dute wished to defor it till the conclusion of the treaty then in negotiation at Nimeguen; but *the absinacy of the prince, with the ambiatance of the tresurer, who from that time entered into the meerure and intarest of the prince, prevailed opon the fiexditity of the ling to let the marriage be firat agreed and conoluded." P. 508, EIf wo may trust Reresby, witch ta not perhapa alway the case, the duke of York had hopen of marrying the prinsen Mary to the Duuphin. thas reurlering

[^269]:    - The memorfel of Blanchard to the prince of Oranges quoted by Dalrympten in 201, containg these words: "Lo nol anolt ste bien tichs qu'H eat 616 abooln danse ate ctats; I'une de ceaplus constrantes maximes depuls son retablimement ayant etid de le divisar d'avec aco pariement, tot de to mervir tantat de l'an, tantot de Pantre, toulones par angent pour parvenir
    in mee fins"
    P Ralph, p. 116. Cunvret to Ionts XIV. II. 204, and 7 . ©f, where wo have a curions and characteriatio letter of the Ing to d'listrades in Jan. 1002, when M had been provolked by nome high lansuage Charendon had bold about the nido of the fiag.

[^270]:    - This delicate subject is treated with sohn Russell, in his Life of Whian Lasd great candour as well as judgment hy loud Russell

[^271]:    - Parl. Hist. 1035; Dalrymple, 200

[^272]:    "Louis XIV. tells us that Sidney had made proposals to France in 1666 for an insurrection, and asked 100,000 crowns to effect it, which was thought too much for an experiment. He tried to persnade the ministers that it was against the interest of France that England should continue a monarchy. Euvres de Louls XIV., il. 204. [Sidney's partiality to France displays itself in his Letters to Saville, in 1679, published by Hollis. They evince also a blind credulity in the popish plot. The whole of Sidney's conduct is incon.

[^273]:    sistent with his having possessed either practical good sense or a just appreciation of the public interests; and his influence over the whig party appears to have been entirely mischievous, thongh he was not only a much better man than Shaftegbury, which is no high praise, but than the greater number of that faction, as they must be called, notwithstanding their services to liberty. A Tract on Love by Algernon Sidney, in Somers' Tracts, vili. 612, displays an almont Platonic elegause and delicacy of mind.-1845.7

[^274]:    $\times$ Dairymple, 162.
    I His exclamation at Bariuon's prese mg the reduction of the army to 8000 anen is well known. God's fish ! are all the king of Frsnce's promises to make no nuaster of my aubjects come to this?

[^275]:    * Memoirs relating to the Impeachment of the Earl of Danby, 1710, p. 151, 227. State Trials, vol. xi.
    b The violence of the next house of commons, who refused to acquiesce in I banby's banishment, to which the londs hed changed their bill of attainder. mar
    seexa to render it very doubtful whetherthey would bave spared bls life. But it Is to be remembered that they were exasperated by the pardon he had clandestinely obtained, and pleaded in bar of their impeachment.

[^276]:    c The impeachment was carried by peers entered their protests; Halifox, 179 to 116, Dec. 19. A motion, Dec. 21, to leave out the word traitorously, was dost by 179 to 141 . Essex, Shaftesbury, \&c.
    ${ }^{0}$ State Trials, vi. 351, et post. Hat.

[^277]:    were two great points gained by this house of commons: the first was, that impeachments made by the commons in one parliament continued from session to session, and parliament to parliament, notwithstanding prorogations or dissolutions: the other point was, that in cases of impeachments, upon special matter shown, if the modesty of the party directs him not to withdraw, the lords admit that of right they ought to order him to withdraw, and that afterwards be ought tc be committed. But he understood that the lords did not intend to extend the points of withdrawing and committing to general impeachments without special matter alleged; else they did not know how many wight be picked not, of

[^278]:    their house on a sudden."
    Shaftesbury said, indecently enough, that they were as willing to be rid of the earl of Danby as the commons, and civilled at the distinction between general and special impeachments. Commons' Journals, April 12, 1679. On the impeachment of Scroggs for treason, in the next parliament, it was moved to commit him; but the previous question was carried, and he was admitted to ball; doubtless because no sufficient matter was alleged. Twenty peers protested Lords' Journals, Jan. 7, 1681.
    h Lords' Journals, April 25. Pert Hist. 1121, \&c.
    i Lords' Journals, May 0, 1679.

[^279]:    $x$ Iords' Journals, May 10 and 11. After the former vote 50 peers, out of 107 who appear to have been present, entered their dissent; and another, the earl of Leicester, is known to have voted with the minority, This unusual strength of opposition no doubt produced the change next day.
    ${ }^{m}$ May 13. Twenty-one peers were
    fnquired whether it were intended by this that the bishops should vote on the fardon of Danby, which the upper house declined to answer, but said they couls not vote on the trial of the five popish lords, May 15, 17 , 27.
    ${ }^{n}$ See the repurt of a committee in Journals, May 29 ; or Hutsell's Irecer dents, iv. 374.

[^280]:    - 13 W. III. c. 2.
    and one of the managers on the impeach-
    P Parl. Hist. vii. 283. Mr. Lechmere, ment, had most confidently denied tbls - rery ardent whig, then sulicitor-gene al, prerugative. 1.L. 233.

    TOL. 1.
    2 E

[^281]:    9 Instead of the words in the order, not in their legislative capacity." The "from the proveedings of any other court," the following are inserted, "or any other busin sss wherein their lordwhips act as in a sourt of Judicature, and

[^282]:    ${ }^{-}$Lorls' Journits. Seventy-elght peers were preseat
    IId. th Dec. 1880.
    4 Iondr' Journ. March 24, 1681. The very next day the commons ment a meswago to demand Jodgrent on the im ponchment arelnt him. Come Journ.

    March 28.
    ${ }^{x}$ Sisower's Reporta, il 53s. We was beiled to appear at the lorde' bar the first day of the then next parilament" Then cathollc londa were balled the nert dar. Thit proved that the impeachment wha not boid to be at an and

[^283]:    
    a Upon conslderiag the proceedinge in the house of tords on tats cutblect, Oct. 8 and 30, 1690, and eapecially the protest
    there can be little doubt that their release had been chlefiy grounded on the act of grece, and not on the abondumment of the impeachment

[^284]:    - Brabop Parkar in not wrong in maing of Oatert plot, they readily belleved that the hous of commone had so long accustomed themselvee to strange fictiona

[^285]:    b Park. Flist, 102t, 1035. State Triale, vit. 1. Keunet, 327, 337, 851 . North'a Examen, 129, 177. Ralph, 380 . Burnet, I. 655. Scroges tried Coleman with nuch rudenasa and partlality; bat bus sume suing up, in reference to tho fatmuls par

[^286]:    - Epamen, P. 108
    dH. F. Farwell and others State Triais, -1IL. 15\%1. They wore Indieted for probJishing eome lettert to prove that Godfrey had tilled timgelf. They defonded themselvee by calling witumens to prowe the truth of the fect, which, though in 8 cave

[^287]:    - It was deposed by a respectable witness that Godirey entertained apprehenslons on weenunt of what he had dono as to the plot, and had gald, "On my conecience, I belleve I shall be the first martyr." Stato Trals, vil. 168, Thees Hitle additional elrcunatances, which are soppreased by later historians, who speak of the plot as unfit to impoas on any but the most bigoted fanatices, contributed to trake up a body of presumptive and posk tre evidance from which hamn beliof is rarely wlahlield.

    It is remarkable that the most acote nud dillgent historian we poesens for those Imes, Ralph, doos not to tho altghtent

[^288]:    degree pretend to sccount for Godfrey's doath; though, in his general reflechiona on the plot (p. 656), be relles too much on the aspertiont of North and I'Estrango I State Trials, vit. 249. Sorth's Examen, 240.

    E State Trials, vol, vi. passim. On the trial of Green, Berry, and Hill, for Goifrey's murder, part of the atory for the prasecution waa that the body was brought to Hillis lodginge on the Satorday, and remalned there till Monday. The prisoner called winnespes who kulged In the ame house to prove that it could not have been there withoat their trown ledge. Wild one of the juderot ambinim.

[^289]:    thoy desorved, though to the aggravation of bla own disgrace. Stato Triaie, vil. 618-688.
    k Lorda' Journule, 7th December; State Trials, 1652; Parl Hist 1229. Stafford, though not a man of much abillty, had rendered himself obnoxious as a prominent opposer of all messurea intended to check the growth of popery. His name appeara constantily in protests upon euch oceasions-as, for instance, March 8, 1678, against the bill for ralsing money fur a French war. Reresby praisell Mis defonce very highis, p. 109. The dake of York, on the contrary, or his blographer, observes: "Those who wished lond Stafford well were of opinion that, Iman he managod the adrantages which
    were given him with dexterity, ho mand bave made the greatest part of his jodges ashamed to condemn bim; but it was hla miafortune to play his game worat when be had the best cards." P. 687.
    m I take thls from extrectant of those earmons, contained in the Roman Catholio pamphlet printed in 1687, and er.titled Good Advice to the Puipils. The Prow testant divinen did cheir canse no good by misrepresentation of their adversariee, and by thelr propensity to sudeness and acnr. rility. The former fault, indecd, existe In a much greater digree on the oppoeito side, but by no means the latter. Sea also a tratiso by Barlow, publisbed in 1679, entitled Popigh Pringiplea peralokus th Yroteatant lirinces.

[^290]:    - Par. Finst 1040
    - Sev Marvell's" Seasonable Argoment to perauade all the Graxd Juries in England to petition for a new Parliament" He give very bed characters of the princlpal nembers on the coart aide; bat we cannot take for grantad all that comes from to unscrupulons a Ibeller. SIr Harbotale Grimstope had fligt thrown ouk in the gention of 1675 , that a standing parliament was as great a grievance as a panding army, and that an application ought to be made to the ting for a diseolution. This whs not seconded, and met wish much disepprobetion from both sidea of the hoase Parl Hist viL 64 Bat the country party. in two years' time, bed ehanged their views, and were becomentenger for a dimolution. An addrems to that effect wat moved in the honse of lords, and loat by ouls two voices, the duke of York voting for it 14.800 . This fa explained by a paratge in Colemanis Jettiers whers that intriguer expreswes his deaire to see parisment disoolved, in the bope that anotber roald bo more fincourtile to the soleration of caftoilce

[^291]:    - Whils the exciusion bill whe pasalng the cornmons, the ling took the pains to pin to when, be apmak himself to shanat every lord, to woutd never anffer such a pilinpoas bil
    

[^292]:    - Ralph, p. 498. The atrocions libel, entitied ' An Appeal from the Country to the City:' poblished in 1679, and usually ascribed to Ferguson (though said, in Btog. Brikn art L'Bargayge, to be written by Charles Blonnt), was almost vanifient of itabif to excune the return of pabico opialon towards the throve. Stata Tracte, teanp. Car. Il.; Ralph, 1. 478; Parl. Hint. iv. Appendix. The ling is persomally etruck at in thle tract with the utmost fury; the gqueen is called Agripplong in allualon to the snfamous wharges of Oates; Monmonth is held up athe bope of the country. © He will etand by yon, tierefore yon onght to etand by htm. He who hath the wrorat stile alurays mates the best kiog." One
    

[^293]:    - Life of James, p. 65 .
    - IL est persuade que l'autorito roycle
    one greme divila Ang. 29,1680 Dal. rymple, 285.

[^294]:    - Merveli's Growith of Popery, in Stete Thactis temp. Cur. H. $p$ 日e Pari. Fils E5s. The mocond realing west earted by 18t to 88. Setfenat Maycard, who wer probebily mot in the accrets of hie perty. ceens to have been surprised at thelr oppoedtions. An offection with Marvell, and bot by erify mean so bed ane, woold
    have been that the clilidren of the rogel funity were to be condgned for etres. thica to the wole governtenent of bishopes. The duke of Yoct and thirtern othor peers proterted egranat thly bll, vor all of cherp trom the gam mottren at my be collected trome thetr namos. Latela
    

[^295]:    - Lortis Ressell and Cavendiah, str alone th the coancll againat the scheme W. Coventry, and sir Thomes Liteleton, neem to have been in favour of IImitations. Iord J. Ruesoll, p. t2. Ralph, 446. Sinney's Letters, p. 32. Temple and of limitations. Temple's Memoirs.
    b Commons' Journais, 2sid Nov. 1630, 6th Jan. 1681.
    © Life of Jameth 6S4, 671. Dalrymplas

[^296]:    * Whlle the exclusion bll wed paesing the commons, the Eing took the pains to epmak bimself to almost ovary lord, to Herude blan from ananting to to when
    it shoald carve up; telling them, at the same time, let what would happons, be would never anfer such a willanoes bl to paso Life of Jamesh 60 .

[^297]:    d Dalrgmple, p. so1. Lufe of Jampes, 680, 67 h. The duke gove himself ap for lost when he heard of the alanse in the king's speoch declaring his reedinew to hearisen to any expedient but the eroluaton, Birch and Himpdan, be say, wers in fibvour of this; bot Fisherethet bualuess set the houne in a frame, and dokrmulned cham so percist in thelr formar
    techeme. Rercesty cuve (p.19), confirmed by Parl. Hish 133, it was supparted by of Thomes Littleton, who is aid to have been originally against the bill of excinsan, as well me wir William Coventry. Sldney's Letters, p. St. It was opposed by Jones, Winnington, Booth, mod, if the Pariampentary History be ratito by Hamplem and Birch.

[^298]:    - Templo's Momofre Bo any their $900,000 \mathrm{~L}$ per annum; whereas thoee or

[^299]:    I Temple'a Memairs. Lifo of Jumes, 891. [An articte la the London Gazette, Jan 30, 1680, io rather amuitog. "This oventig the lord Ramell, the lord Cor
    prayod hir Minesty to give them lease to withdraw from the coumell-bourd To which his Majesty wen pleased to an. awer, ' With all his heart' ""-1864j

[^300]:    ${ }^{m}$ See Roger North's account of this court stratagem. Examen of Kennek, ste. The proclamation itself, bowever; In the Gasette, 12th Dea. 1879, in mose atrongly worded than we ahould expect from North's acconnt of it, and is by no means limited to tumultious petitions.
    ${ }^{3}$ [The zame of whig, meaning tour
    milk, as ta weil krown it said to have originated in Scotiand in 1848, and wat given to thoee violent covenantora who opposed the duke of Hamilion's invasion of Eingland in order to restore Charies L . Somere Tructs vill sio. Tory wall a dimilar nickname for soome of the wild Irich in Uletor. $\mathbf{- 1 8 4 5}$. .

[^301]:    - London Gasettes of 1880, paraim.
    $p$ David Lewin wis executed at Ude Sor mating man, Aug. 87. 1679. Blate Titais, FII. 2s6. Other instances oceor in the nome volume; aet expecially ppos11,
    sovare and onfust towards thewe infortunato men than Serogge. The king, as his brother tells us, came millilingly into thege eeverities to prevemt worre Life of Jamos, 585.

[^302]:    9 Journaly, pasalm. North's Examen, 87r. 68 l .
    F d'hoy weut a Ittie too fur, however, ater. Ralpb, bl

[^303]:    Impeachment of cammoners for treason constitutoral.

[^304]:    a Reresby's Momoira, 106. Lord HaUfar and be agreed, he sayb, on considerghtion, that the court party were not only the most nomerous, but the most ective and wealthy part of the nation.

    2 It was carrled by 210 to 86 (17th Nov.) to addreas the Hing to rempove lord Rallfax from his cquacits and presance for ever. They resolved, nem. con, that no member of that house should accept of any aftice or place of profit from the orown, or any promise of one, during such time as be ahonld continue a member and that all ofrenders herain should
    be expelled: soth Dec. They paseed resolutions against a number of parsons by name whom they surpected to have advised the king not to pass the bill of exclusion: 7th Jan. 1880. They resolved unanimously (10th Jan.) that it is the opinion of this house that the city of London was burnt in the year 1668 by the paplsti, designing theneby to introduce popery and arbitrary powar inte thin kingdom. They trere going on with more resolntions in the samo splat when the ushor of the black rod appeared it prorogre them. Parl. Hisk

[^305]:    7 Commons' Joninals, March 28, 1681.
    ${ }^{5}$ Parl, Hint. ii. 64. Lord Eale donbted whether this were a atatuta. But the Jodinem to 1689 , on being conterted by
    one; arguing, I muppose, from the words "is full partiament" which have been heid to imply the presence and amems of the commotra.

[^306]:    
     ㄹil. 1218.
    b Commentaries, vol. iv. c. 10.

    - Ralph, 664, et post. State Trale, 288, 427. North's Examen, 274. Fits-
    harris was an trlish paplat, who had evidently had interviews with the Ving through lady Portamoath. One Hawking, afterwards made dean of Cbichostar for his pains, pubitabed a narrative of thin casse full of falwhoodin

[^307]:    d State Trials, viii. 759. Roger North's remark on this is worthy of him:* Having sworn false, as it is manifest some did before to one purpose, it is more likely they swore true to the contrary." Examen, p. 117. And sir Robert Sawyer's observation to the same effect is also worthy of him. On College's trial, Oates, in his examination for the prisoners, ssid that Turberville had changed sides; Sawyer, as counsel for the crown, unswered, "Dr. Oates, Mr. Turberville has not changed sides, you have; he is still a witness for the king, you are against him." State Trials, viii. 639.

    The opposite party were a little perplexed by the necessity of refuting testimony they had relied upon. In a dia-

    VOL. II.

[^308]:    Sones (id. 619 and $51 \%$ ) are excelient shive up altogethor every right end privt apecimens of him tnimitatio teient fir lege to the crown. Thill may be tros Datch painting in is very faw instumoen, but is by no means thetr gepend tenor They ano
    

[^309]:    n State Trink, will 10se-13ca. Ralph, dividion bopoamble to the piprit of the 8if. The malority was but 104 to 98 : a duman

[^310]:    P Inaty Ruseoll's opinion was that = It the secute and Indefitigable Ralph p. 122.下as no more than what her lord confesced, and by lord John Romell, p. 253. See talls-and it is posible that talk goling 00 far as to conalder, if a remedy for exppoeed orits might be moughts how it coukd be tormod." Life of Lord Rumeall, p. 268, It is not eeng, however, to talt long in this mannar mboat the how of treemon Withous ficurring the penalties of it
    

[^311]:    E Etato Trish, 618. Sanyer fold lord Fumeli, when he applied to have his trin put ofl, that he would not heve given the king an hour's notlee to weve his Hift. Id. 889. Yet he could not pretend that the priconer hed any conoern in tho ato emedination plol.
    a The act mnailing lord Rowelle sttaindar reeltes him to have been *wrongfolly sonvicted by partial and unfuat congtruottons of intw." State Trialy, 18.808. Soveral paniphleta were pablisbed afier the rovaiution by alt Robort Atking and atr John Eiawlem againat the conduct nf the certrit in thit trial, and by edr Bar.

[^312]:    their comparition. [See almo the triah of that the exprewsion whe noed in that aes the meven bishope Id. xil. 298.] It it oc precisely as it that preaent; and it in poosible, therefore, that the mame may well known to lawyers that che roles of bave been done on Sidney's trial, thourg evidence on this aulject have only been the dircomstance doee not appear. Jof diatinctly latd down withle the mamory troge indeed mya, "Comparison of bands of the prewent peneration. wat allowed for good proof in Stdaey't man" Id sis. Bat 1 do not believe

[^313]:    - Stato Triale, 工. 106
    - The ennod Jury of Northermptonshire,
    

[^314]:    
    

[^315]:    - This book of Sherlock, printed in nsurpations. Heanswers this very feebly. 1684, is the most able treatise on that side. His proposition is, that " sovereign princes, or the supreme power in any nation, in whomsoever placed, is in all cases irresistible." He infers, from the statute 13 Car. 2, declaring it unlawful under any pretence to wage war, even defensive, against the king, that the supreme potver is in him; for he who is unaccountable and irresistible is supreme. There are some, he owns, who contend that the higher powers mentioned by St. Paul meant the law, and that when princes violate the laws we may defend their hogal authority against their personal
    "No law can come into the potion and definition of supreme and sovereign powers; such a prince is under the direce tion, but cannot possibly be said to be under the government, of the law, because there is no superior power to talke cognizance of his breach of it, and a law has no authority to govern where there is no power to punish:" p. 114. "These men think," he says (p. 126), "that all civil authority is founded in consent, as if there were no natural lord of the world, or all mankind $2 a m e$ free and independent into the world. This is a contradiction to what at other times they will grant, that

[^316]:    PP. B .

    E P. 95.
    1 P. 200
    greator length, enditud the Preeholderm frand Inquest, wish published in 1879;

[^317]:    ${ }^{m}$ P. 39. ${ }^{n} P{ }^{46} \quad{ }^{\circ}$ Collier, 902. Somers Tracts, viii 420.

[^318]:    P Dalrymple, Appendix, 8. Life of James, 691. He pretended to come into a proposal of the Dutch for an alliance with Spain and the empire against the fresh encroachments of France, and to call a parliament for that purpose, but with no sincere intention, as he assured Barilion. "Je n'ai aucune intention d'assembler le partement; ces sont des diables qui veulent ma ruine." Dalrymple, 15.

    9 He took 100,000 ivres for allowing
    the French to seize Luremburg; after this he offered his arbitration, and on Spain's refusal laid the fanlt. on her, though already bribed to decide in farour of France. Lord Rochester was a party: in all these base transactions. The acquisition of Laxemburg and Strasbuirg was of the utmost importance to Iouls, as they gave him a predominating influence over the four Rhenish electors, through whom he hoped to procure the election of the dauphin as kince of the Romans. Id. 3e

