5375
Manu's Land 2trade laws

The Right Hon'ble U.S. Summada Asstr Ad. PC week the best respect to

SERVANTS OF INDIA SOCIETY'S LIBRARY, POONA 4.

FOR INTERNAL CIRCULATION

To be returned on or before the last date stamped below

MANU'S LAND AND TRADE LAWS

(Their Sumerian Origin and Evolution up to the beginning of the Christian Era)

BY

R. S. VAIDYANATHA AYYAR, B. A.

With a Foreword by

Diwan Bahadur Sir. T. Vijayaraghavachariya,

K.B.E., M.A.

Member, Public Service Commission, Delhi.

(All Rights Reserved)

HIGGINBOTHΛMS

MADRAS

1927.

XO(1): Z2 F7 5375

DEDICATED

By Kind Permission

to

DOCTOR L. A. WADDELL LL.D., C.B., C.I.E., F.L.S., F.B.A.I.

In admiration of his laborious researches in connection with the Indo-Sumerian affinities and identities.

By the Author.

EXTRACT

Doctor Waddell writes from Scotland under date 26-1-27.

"All my facts are fully attested in detail in my works and thus cannot be gainsaid. They are confirmed up to the hilt by my new works now nearing completion in the Press.- The Sumer-Aryan Dictionary § to be published next month (February) and 'The Aryan-Sumerian origin of the Alphabet' to be published in March. The Dictionary proves in detail that all the Aryan Languages, ancient and modern, are derived from the Sumerian or Early Arvan and that in particular over 75 per cent. of the words in Sanskrit, Pali and English are derived from the Sumerian or Early Aryan. The second in the evolution of the Alphabet proves that all the Alphabets of the world, including especially the Asokan, Nagari and the English so-called Roman' are derived from the Sumerian picture signs for the simple vowel and single consonantal values in the Sumerian or Early Aryan writing. A third volume is also ready for the Press and will issue, I hope, about June, identifying the Indian Epic and Vedic Solar Kings from Ikshvaku down to Gadhi with the Sumerian Solar Kings of the 1st Dynasty downwards from their contemporaneous inscriptions. There are amongst others several actually existing inscriptions of King Bharat himself as a former ancient Sumerian King!"

(Author.)

[§] Part I — A to F — since published by Lusac & Co. London. 12s. net.

FOREWORD.

The discovery of the Sumerians and their language is one of the greatest romances of archaeological science of the 19th century. The name "Sumerian" is applied earliest civilized Non-Semitic people Mesopotamia and the credit for the discovery rests with Sir Henry Rawlinson, who announced it in 1855. noticed that the language in many of the texts from Nineveh and in a tablet from Larsa in Lower Babylonia was written in Non-Semitic language, that the Non-Semitic texts, which were mostly of a religious kind identical with the Assyrian, were furnished with interlinear Assyrian translations, and that many of these Non-Semitic words were found in other tablets containing bilingual lists (or 'syllabaries') of these words to which Semitic Assyrian equivalents or translations were attached, showing that these bilingual lists were used as dictionaries to this earlier Non-Semitic language. Further, he found several actual contemporary inscriptions in this early writing in the date-records, building inscriptions, bricks and votive texts unearthed in Southern Babylonia and Susa by W. K. Loftus, a scientific Geologist, and J. E. Taylor in their excavations, in 1852-54, beneath the Babylonian period foundations." From these and similar other facts Rawlinson concluded that this Non-Semitic early language was spoken by the early civilized inhabitants of Mesopotamia, who were Non-Semitic in race and have given the Semitic Babylonians and Assyrians their civilization, religion and alphabet. Rawlinson's discoveries were extended and confirmed

by Hincks and Jules Oppert. Spectacular confirmation of these conclusions was furnished in 1877 when the French expedition under M. de Sarzec unearthed at TELLO in the delta of Lower Babylonia the massive remains of a mighty Sumerian city and sea-port of about 3100 B.C. This old Sumerian city covered an area of about two-and-a-half miles by quarter of a mile in breadth and contained great buildings, palaces, temples, granaries, which teemed with stone scriptures covered with inscriptions in the old picto-graphic writing. Sacred and official scenes engraved with marvellous art and technique. alabaster and other vases, gold and silver jewellery, copper weapons, decorated pottery and a host of other objects of a highly civilized people were discovered amidst these ruins, in which the work of excavation was carried on for 23 years until 1900. The exploration of this city yielded records and monuments of great Sumerian kings who had built large public works and had left highly evolved codes of laws and regulations. Other expeditions both under French and American guidance all over Babylonia have yielded similar records of many other early Sumerian kings and governors. These discoveries which have been added to almost every year, have established conclusively that the Sumerians were the pioneers of civilization in this part of the world and that the Sumerian codes of law were the basis of Hammurabi's code of Babylon, which was the basis of the Mosaic code of legislation.

If the discovery of the Sumerians and their language was, in the words of Dr. Waddell, one of the greatest romances of archaeological science of the 19th century, it may with equal truth be stated that the discoveries made by the Indian department of Archaeology at

Harappa in the Montgomery district of the Punjab and at Mohen-jo-daro in the Larkana district of Sind in the past few years are one of the greatest triumphs of Archaeology of the 20th century. The excavations at Harappa which were started in 1920 disclosed a great city covering a vast area and containing many strata of successive buildings. The excavations started at Mohenio-daro in 1922 have proved still more fruitful. finds from the two sites belong to the same stage of culture and to the same period of time and are distinct from anything previously known to archaeologists in India, Sir John Marshall has succeeded in eliciting evidence which establishes a close resemblance between the objects found in these buried cities of the Indus Valley and the Sumerian antiquities unearthed in Southern Mesopotamia. A detailed comparison of the pictographic scripts and the antiquities found in the Indus Valley and in Mesopotamia proves that the Indian scripts and antiquities are closely connected with the Sumerian antiquities of Mesopotamia and are roughly contemporaneous with those belonging to the third or the fourth millennium before Christ. Sir John Marshall has received evidence of similarity between the ceramic wares found at Mohen-jo-daro and at Kish in Mesopotamia. and a seal identical with those found at Harappa and Mohen-jo-daro was discovered beneath a temple of Hammurabi's time, 2100 B.C. Sir John Marshall draws the conclusion that, though the civilization of the Indus Valley has doubtless its own distinctive characteristics. it is essentially part and parcel of a much wider sphere of culture which embraced not only Mesopotamia and India, but also Persia, Egypt and a large part of Central Asia as well. He thinks, though in this he is cautious

to state it as only a hypothesis requiring further verification, that the dissemination of a Sumerian culture over this wide extent of the country may be due to India having been the cradle of Sumerian civilization which in its turn was the root of Babylonian. Assyrian and Western Asiatic culture generally. This, however, is vet only a hypothesis. What is undoubted is that the materials, seals, etc., of Sumerian type discovered at Harappa and Mohen-jo-daro show that a culture akin to the Sumerian culture of Mesopotamia was widely disseminated in the valley of the Indus for incalculable centuries on Indian soil. These discoveries have "at a single bound taken back our knowledge of Indian civilization 30 centuries earlier and have established the fact that in the third millennium before Christ and even before that, the peoples of the Puniab and Sind were living in well-built cities and were in possession of a relatively mature culture with a high standard of art and craftsmanship and a developed system of pictographic writing."

In the light of these discoveries which make Sumerian civilization and culture a matter for peculiar interest to the Indian reader, this little book which my old friend Mr. R. S. Vaidyanatha Ayyar has written on ancient Indian land and trade laws and their striking resemblance to Sumerian land and trade laws must be welcomed as a valuable contribution to our knowledge of the subject. Mr. Vaidyanatha Ayyar has been, for the most part of his life, engaged in the study and administration of modern land revenue and settlement in the Madras Presidency. He is therefore peculiarly fitted to deal with the ancient history of it. Whether the Sumerians are to be identified with the ancestors of the early Aryan

race, and whether their language and its alphabet were the parent of modern Aryan languages and alphabet, are matters which form the subject of discussion and enquiry by archaeologists, and doubtless we shall know a great deal more about them in the near future. Whatever may be the ultimate outcome, Mr. Vaidyanatha Ayyar has done a distinct service by this attempt to show that in the department of land and trade laws there is distinct evidence of kinship between ancient Indian and Sumerian civilization.

T. VIJAYARAGHAVACHARYA.

Simla, 11th May 1927.

PREFACE.

Though the Hindus have always claimed an antiquity of over five thousand years with agriculture as their mainstay from the period of the Rig-Veda, their land and trade laws during the first three millenniums have still been practically lost in oblivion and mythology. and all that is now known of them can be summarised in a few expressions, such as, loose ownership of land, unprogressive village communities, universal tax of onesixth share of the gross produce and trade by barter. This is the beginning and end of the history of the landed institutions which we often used to hear from historians and which, by reason of it's convenient brevity and paucity of materials, has easily settled into incontrovertible facts. But the question arises whether a conquering and versatile race like the Indo-Arvans who were the foremost among the pioneers of what is called 'civilisation' in this world, could have remained in such depressive stagnation for over 3,000 years, especially when the Egyptians and the Babylonians who separated from the same family of races just about the same period prior to 3000 B.C., have bewildered the world by their ancient spectacular civilisation. In the words of a gymnosophist examined by Alexander the Great, it looks almost like an impossible question which can elicit only an impossible answer, or does not admit of an answer at all.

But history is, in the main, only the story of great men who figured in the past as makers of nations. This history was recorded and transmitted by the ancients in various ways. The Egyptians built up their history on pyramids, tombs and temples, or buried its relics with the dead, to be unearthed several centuries later by some irreverent grave-diggers; the Babylonians inscribed it on stones, monoliths and tablets which, though lost for a time, could still be recovered and reconstructed, as is being done now; the history of the Hebrews, the Canaanites, the Levites and the Jews was preserved in the Bible itself; the Greeks and the Romans, being comparatively more modern, kept a regular record of their achievements and handed it down to posterity for preservation and continuance. But the Indo-Aryans alone resorted to 'memoriae mandare' and burnt their history with the dead. Consequently when we begin to add up our old historical quantities, our 'two and two' always leads us to any other number except four.

Nevertheless, I tried to collect materials for tracing the evolution of Manu's land and trade laws by measured stages arranged, if possible, in some chronological order, but soon found that the path of evolution was throughout continuous; where it was continuous, it dis-' closed no stages; and where some stages were visible, they either appeared to be shifted from their places, or did not fit in with the marches and halts indicated by the trend of the evolution. Seeing that mere literary and linguistic evidence drawn from classical and puranic works possessed very little historical value, I began to explore the parallel evolution of the Egyptian and Babylonian civilisation which has recently been reclaimed and surveyed by a noble band of Egyptologists and Assyriologists within the last 30 years. From the ruins of Babylon, Lagash, Susa, Merkes, Nineveh and other places in Mesopotamia, they have now unearthed King

Hammurabi's Code of Babylon, the Hattic Code of Cappadocia and the Assyrian Code together with thousands of contract-tablets and other monuments and have demarcated them in their fixed places in chronology, but unfortunately in complete detachment from the Indo-Aryan culture and civilisation. Though parallel lines never meet each other, it seemed to me that it should be possible to establish close historical connection between the Vedic, Epic and Smrithic culture of India and the Sumerian culture of Mesopotamia, especially as the Indus Valley and the Punjab originally formed an integral Sumerian colony of Central Asia. The Indian Archaeological Department recently discovered innumerable seals and grave amulets from beneath the foundations of the ancient Buddhistic buildings of the 2nd century B.C. in the Indus Valley and Dr. Waddell, one of the ablest and the most resourceful Assyriologists of the present day. has found that these Indo-Sumerian seals which actually belong to the several Vedic and Epic kings and sages. such as, Diva Daso, Sushena, Parasurama, Sakuni, Ghalava Rishi and a host of others, are inscribed in the most ancient Sumerian language of Central Asia. deciphering these seals, he has now established beyond doubt the historicity of the once fabulous Epic kings and sages and connected them with the ancient historical personages of Mesopotamia with the help of the contemporaneous monuments still preserved in that country. India cannot, indeed, be too grateful to Dr. Waddell for his having opened up a long vista of background for future research into the dark and dusty corners of the Vedic and Epic theatres and for the collection of materials to convert its ancient mythologies into hard historical facts.

With the clues thus obtained, I have now fairly succeeded in tracing the Sumerian origin of the Code of Manu as well as its real author and date. It now appears perfectly clear that it was compiled by Parasurama in about 2300 B.C. from the same Sumerian and the Akkadian laws from which King Hammurabi's Code of Babylon was drawn up in 2100 B.C. Though as yet it is too early to dogmatise, it appears also fairly certain that the exploits of the Mahabharata and the Ramayana took place in the same century between 2300 B.C. and 2200 B.C. These and the other theories relating to the evolution of the land and trade laws of ancient India. which I have now evolved from the materials recently brought to light, are summarised in the concluding chapter of this thesis. However largely they may appear to be at variance with the established theories of the Indian antiquarians, they will. I am sure, be found to be based upon reasonable and satisfactory data capable of confirmation, if necessary, after further research by eminent Egyptologists and Assyriologists. Who knows that some day a monument containing the original Code of Manu in cuneiform will not be discovered in the Indus Valley, or from the ruins of the once famous seats of ancient culture and civilisation? But it requires the use of the spade rather than arm-chair research!

In the present investigation, I should acknowledge my indebtedness to the works of the famous Egyptologists and Assyriologists, such as, S. A. Cook, Revd. James Baikie, Arthur Weigall, L. A. Waddell and the Editors of the Cambridge Ancient History for all the materials connected with the Egyptian and Babylonian civilisation, and more particularly to Dr. Waddell who has furnished the key to the solution of many of the mysterious problems connected with the historical aspects of the Vedic and Epic culture of India.

My special thanks are also due to M. R. Ry. T. R. Venkatrama Sastri Avl., B.A., B.L., C.I.E., Advocate-General, Madras, a great Sanskrit scholar and authority on Hindu Law, for his very valuable suggestions and advices in connection with the interpretation of Manu's original texts and the commentaries thereon and to M. R. Ry. S. Venkatrama Ayyar Avl., B.A., M.L., Assistant Professor, Law College, Madras, for the preparation of the Index. Needless to say that Sir T. Vijayaraghavacharya, K.B.E., M.A., has laid me under a deep debt of gratitude for the very interesting and instructive Foreword he has written in generous appreciation of this, my maiden, attempt to explore an untrodden field of research into the earliest epochs of ancient Indian history.

R. S. VAIDYANATHA AYYAR.

Madras, 16th May 1927.

List of authorities cited and abbreviations used.

Zimmer	Rig Veda	Zim. R. V.
H. H. Wilson	19	Wil. R. V.
J. Muir	Sanskrit Texts	Muir
Martin Haug	Aitareya Brahmana	Haug Ait. Brah.
Bühler	Code of Manu	Manu.
) 1	Goutama	Büh. Gout.
**	Vasishta	Büh. Vas.
33	Boudhayana	Büh. Boudh.
,,	${f A}$ pasthamba	Büh. Ap.
Julius Jolly	Institutes of Vishnu	Jolly. Vish.
11	Tagore Law Lectures	Jolly. Tag. Lect.
Sir W. Jones	Code of Manu	Jones. Manu
Burnell	**	Bur. Manu
Medatithi	Manu's commentator	Medh
Kullukabhatta	,,	Kull.
Govindarajah	••	Gov.
Ragavanandha	**	Ragh.
Nandanacharya	**	Nandh.
Naradha	Pr .	Nar.
Saranga Natayana	73	Bar, Nar.
Ramachandra	*1	Ram.
M. M. Kumte	Vicissitudes of Aryan civilisation	Kum, Ary. Civil.
M. N. Dutt	Yagnavalkya	Dutt, Yag.
**	Mahabharatha	Dutt Maha.
Griffith	Ramayana	Griff. Rama.
Monier Williams	Indian Wisdom	Mon. W. Ind. Wis
Barnett	Antiquities of India	Bar, Antiq.
Mrs. Spier	Life in Ancient India	Spier. Anc. Ind.
Dr. Shama Sastry	Kautilya Arthasastra	Kaut. Arth.
Patanjali	Mahabashya-Banares Edition	Mahabashya

Benoy Kumar Sircar	Sukra Niti	Suk. Niti
S. C. Sircar	Vyavastha Chandrika	Vya. Chand.
J. C. Ghosh:	Principles of Hindu Law	Gh. H. L.
J. D. Mayne	Hindu Law & Ancient- usage	Мау. Н. L.
M. Rollin	Ancient History.	Roll, Anc. His.
M'orindle	The Invasion of India by Alexander the Great	M'er. Anc. Ind.
M'orindle	Ancient India as des- cribed in Classical Literature	M'er. Cl. Lit.
S. A. Cook	The Laws of Moses and Hammurabi's Code	Cook, Ham. Code.
Revd. J. Baikie	Life of the Ancient East.	Baik. Anc. East.
Arthur Weigall	A History of the Pha- rachs	Weig. His. Phar.
L. A. Waddell	The Indo-Sumerian Seals deciphered	Wad. Indo. Sumer.
J. B. Bury & Others (Editors)	The Cambridge Ancient History. Vols. 1 to 3	Camb. Anc. His.
B. H. Baden-Powell	The Land Systems of British India.	BadPow.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

•	=			1125	PAG	es.
Foreword		•••	•••		***	٧
Preface	•••	•••		•••		хì
List of autho	orities cite	d and abbr	eviations u	sed	•••	x vii
Table of cont	tente	•••	•••	•••		xix
	(СНАРТ	ER I.	•		
S	cope and	l object	of the e	nguiry.	1	13

Fallacy of the socialistic and communistic origin of the idea of property — Idea of property individualistic in origin — The stages of evolution — The characteristics of the several stages of evolution — Trade laws inseparably connected with land laws.

CHAPTER II.

The Vedic Period (5000 B.C. to 2500 B.C.). 14-26

The antecedents of the Indo-Aryans — The invasion of India by the Indo-Aryans — Vedic civilisation in India — Vedic polity and administration — The growth of priestly classes.

CHAPTER III.

The source, authorship and antiquity of the Code of Manu.

SECTION 1. The source of the Code of Manu.

27-50

Ourrent theories — Excavations in Egypt — Babylonian excavations and contract tablets — King Hammurabi's Code of Babylon and the Code of Manu — Comparison of the two codes — The Hattic Code of Cappadocia — The Assyrian Code — Sumerian origin of the Code of Manu.

PAGES.
SECTION 2. The authorship and antiquity of the Code of Manu.

51 - 61

The Indo-Sumerian seals deciphered — The authorship and date of the Code of Manu — Parasurama, 2300 B.C. — Code of Manu not post-Buddhistic.

CHAPTER IV.

The Code of Manu (2300 B.C.).

SECTION 1. Monarchy and its prerogatives.

62 - 71

Growth of the Idea of monarchy — The divine right of kings — The proprietary right in the soil.

SECTION 2. The State administration and rural polity. 73-75

The machinery of government — Village corporations.

SECTION 3. The law of ownership and trade,

76 - 86

Occupation and ownership — Methods of acquisition of property, patrimony and self-acquisition — The law of inheritance — The law of partition — Business transactions — Debts and documents — Boundary marks and boundary disputes — Pasture grounds and cattle trespass — Writing — Coinage.

SECTION 4. Taxes, tolls and duties.

87--94

General rules - Taxes on Profits.

SECTION 5. Land-Tax.

95---103

Exemptions from land-tax — Application of Manu's rules — Land-tax among ancients in other countries — Egypt, Canaan Greece, Roman Empire, Persia, Babylonia, China, Cochin-China, Siam and Burma.

CHAPTER V.

(The Epic Period (2300 B.C. to 1000 B.C.). 104-120

The Antiquity of the Epics — Land and trade laws of the Mahabharata-period — The period of the Ramayana.

CHAPTER VI.

PAGES.

The Suthra Period (1000 B.C. to Christian era).

SECTION 1. The Smrithis (1000 B.C. to 320 B.C.)

121 - 126

Changes introduced during the Smrithic period.

SECTION 2. The Kautilya Arthasastra, 320 B.C.

127-145

The Kautilya Arthasastra — The divine right of kings — The Ministers and Council meetings — The Government departments — The classification of villages for revenue purposes — Classification of lands, (1) communal lands and pasture grounds, (2) cultivable crown lands, (3) inams and endowments, (4) lands with private ownership — Revenue registry and revenue accounts — Boundary disputes — Irrigation works — Formation of new colonies in un-opened tracts — Land-tax — Remission of taxes — Taxes in times of distress — Trade and commerce — Prices and profits — Sea customs — Interest on debts — Breach of contracts and agreements — Mint and coinage — General summary.

CHAPTER VII.

The origin of Village Communities. 146-156

Greek historians on ancient Indian republics — The origin of the Village Communities and the communistic idea of property — Modern Village Communities — Special features of the Punjab system.

CHAPTER VIII.

The Retrospect		•••	•••	•••	•••	157-164
Index	a	•••	•••		•••	i—vii

ERRATA.

Page	e 3	Line	16	For	Lacedominians	Read	Lacedemonians
,,	11	**	1	ь	Comflicts	,,	Conflicts.
,,	12	,,	19	74	Discribed	,,	Described
,,	14	,,	4	"	Sankrit	,,	Sanskrit.
,,	19	,,	11	10	Arayan	,,	Aryan,
,,	28	11	28	,,	Shrowded	.,	Shrouded.
,,	36	,,	29	,,	Penalities	.,	Penalties.
,,	41	,,	27	*2	Purety	,,	Purity.
נע	54	,,	1	"	Geneology	,,	Genealogy.
,,	58	,,	12	,,	Abridgement	,,	Abridgment.
•	59	1)	18	19	Athiests	,,	Atheists.
,,	63	92	26	"	Annointed	19	Anointed,
17	111	,,	14	21	Properous	.,	Prosperous.
,1	126	,,	18	"	Deceipt	.,	Deceit.
,,	128	,,	F.N	Γ. ,,	Sharma	,,	Shama,
,	131	,,	18	le le	Perambokes	••	Porambokes.
19	132	,,	4	"	Guage	,,	Gauge.
							_

Appointed daughters	55, 79,	33, 43	
••	121	Contracts 44	
Apprenticeship	45, 48	Contract Tablets 32, 33	
Armies	137	Cultivation 43	
Cavairy	19, 146	Debts 45	
Infantry	19, 146	Deposits 45	
	136, 150,	Excavations 32, 33, 34	
,	162, 163	Inheritance 36, 44, 45	

164 MANU'S LAND AND TRADE LAWS

model, but all attempts to do so have so far proved futile. This is mainly due to the fundamental difference in the conception of property in land prevailing in the Punjab and in the other provinces. The modern craze for stickling after individual rights can never reconcile itself to

INDEX

[Note.—The Numbers refer to pages.]

A (A—(contd.)
Administration.—	Assyria.—
Assyrian 47, 48	Code 46-48, 49, 158
Egyptian 30	Society 47
In Manu's Code 72, 73, 74	Trade 48
In the Epic period 9, 10,	Interest 48
109112, 119	Social laws 48
In Kautilya Arthasastra	Kshatriyas 60, 61
128—130	Astronomy 15, 32
Of Colonies 136	Asuras 60
Vedic 22, 23, 25, 26	В
Adoption 36, 38, 45, 76, 79	-
Agreements 22, 49, 77, 81, 83,	Babylon 8, 9, 13, 14, 17, 23,
102, 117, 136, 137, 141,	46, 158
142, 151	Civilization 8, 13, 17, 113
Agriculture 12, 15, 23, 24, 39,	City 20, 21 Code 34 et seg.
78, 81, 96, 99, 122, 129, 131 Agricultural stage 14	Couc of the acq.
- B	Adoption 45 Apprenticeship 45
Alphabets 32, 84 Amenemhat—King 23	D-1 22
Amelu 39, 41	Business transactions
Appointed daughters 55, 79,	33, 43
121	Contracts 44
Apprenticeship 45, 48	Contract Tablets 32, 33
Armies 137	Cultivation 43
Cavalry 19, 146	Debts 45
Infantry 19, 146	Deposits 45
Standing 73, 94, 136, 150,	Excavations 32, 33, 34
162, 163	Inheritance 36, 44, 45

B—(contd.)	C—(contd.)
Babylon-(contd.)	Chandragunta 10 143
	Chandragupta 10, 143 Chivalrous stage 14, 15 Civilization.—
Irrigation 44 Interest 33, 34, 45	Civilization.—
Tuestica 40 41	
Justice 40, 41 Land laws 42, 43	Vedic 19-22 Indo-Aryan 19, 20, 50,
Land Tax 44 102	53, 113
Land Tax 44, 102 Marriage laws 41, 42	53, 113 Egyptian 13, 17, 29
Money-lending 33, 45	Babylonian 8, 13, 17, 113
Partition 44, 45	Codes
Property in land 42, 43, 44	Assyrian 46—48 49
Prostitution 42	Assyrian 46—48, 49 Hammurabi's 9, 34, seq.
Prostitution 42 Society 38, 39, 40, 41	47, 49, 158
Survey 42	Tattia 46
Survey 42 Testaments 44	Chanakya's 127 et seq. Manu's 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13,
Trade 34	Manu's 6 7 8 9 10 13.
Writing 32.33	28, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38,
Ranking 33 49 80	44, 45, 47, 48, 49, 51—
Trade 34 Writing 32, 33 Banking 33, 49, 80 Bhrigu 51, 57, 58, 158	103, 134, 135, 158, 160
Son of 9, 28, 51, 56, 57, 158	Colonies 12, 54, 101, 136, 137,
Boundary—Disputes 4, 5, 38,	139, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152,
43, 80, 82, 135	162, 163
Encroachment 131	Coinage 45, 80, 84, 85, 86.
Encroachment 131 Marks 80, 81, 82	118, 142, 143
Buddhism 10, 11, 59, 60, 108,	Contract 22, 30, 31, 38, 42,
109, 121, 162	43, 44, 45, 49, 77, 80, 81,
Budget 30 Business transactions 8, 33, 43, 80, 83	83. 141. 148. 160
Business transactions 8, 33, 43,	Contract tablets 32, 33, 50
80, 83	Cremation 18 Ground 131, 134
С	Ground 131, 134
	Crops 23, 24, 76, 77, 83, 89.
Caste-system 11, 26, 38, 55, 75, 105, 113	90, 93, 97, 98, 101, 102, 137,
Beginnings of 26, 55	149
Reconstruction of 56, 57	Cultivation 15, 23, 24, 36, 37,
Cattle 15, 38, 100, 131, 132	43, 67, 100, 102, 103, 135,
Cattle 15, 38, 100, 131, 132 Damage by 5, 45, 83, 137	138, 159
Rearing of 78	4
Rearing of 78 Seven kinds of 24	Ð
Trespass 83	
Taxes on 89, 90, 93, 96, 97, 123, 124	Damages—by cattle 5, 45, 83,
97, 123, 124	137
Wealth measured by 24, 93	Damascus blades
Chanakya 7, 10, 12, 92, 123, 126, 127, 128, 136, 137,	Dasyus 19, 26, 62, 160
123, 126, 127, 128, 136, 137,	Debts 33, 36, 37, 45, 63, 80, 81
139, 143, 145, 146, 148, 149,	Deposits 36, 37, 43, 45, 80, 133
150, 151, 152, 155, 157, 159,	Divine right 64, 65, 66, 113, 128
162	120

F-	l ***
Egibi	Hammurabi.— King 9, 33, 34, 40, 41, 58, 65, 77, 80 Code 9, 34 seq. 47, 49, 158 Hattic Code 46, 158 Houses 20, 134 I Indo-Aryan.— Antecedents 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 Civilization 19, 20, 50, 53 Institution 19, 20, 50, 53 Institution 18, 19, 53 Migration 18, 19, 53 Migration 18, 151 Society 28 Indra 56, 57, 61, 65, 112 Incarnation 60, 61 Inheritance-Law of.— In Egypt 31 Babylon 36, 44, 45 India 70, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 121, 133 Inscriptions 54, 73, 142 Interest 20, 22, 33, 34, 45, 48, 70, 77, 78, 80, 81, 117, 140, 141, 160
Egypt	Irrigation 23, 24, 44, 98, 103, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 144, 163
F	Jamadhagni 52, 53, 54, 105
Fee—for protection 23, 67, 68 Fine 40, 41, 81, 83, 84, 88, 130, 131, 135, 139, 140, 141, 142 Fortification 20, 21, 139	K Kautilya—Arthasastra 10, 122 Authorship 127 Boundary disputes 135 Breach of contract 141, 142 Classification of villages 130, 131 Classification of lands
Geometry 32	lassification of lands

K—(contd.)	L-(contd.)
Kautilya Arthasastra—(conid.)	In the Epic period 109-
Colonies 136, 137	112
Date 127	In India 9, 12, 37
Divine right of	Land Tax.—
Kings 128	In Assyria 102
Irrigation works 135, 136	Babylon 44, 102
Ministers 128, 129 Mint and Coinage 142, 143	Burma 102 China 102
Government depart-	Cochin-China 102
ments 129, 130	Egypt 30, 31, 100,
Land tax 137	103
Prices and Profits 140	Greece 100
Remission of taxes 138	Judea 100
Revenue registry 134	India 9, 12, 25, 67, 70,
Revenue accounts 134	09, 90-100, 103, 113,
Sea Customs 141 Society 59, 60	119, 123—120, 137, 144,
Society 59, 60 Taxes during distress	119, 123—120, 137, 144, 145, 158, 159, 161 Roman Empire 100,
138, 139	101, 102
King-Birth right 64, 66	Persia 102
Divine right 64, 65, 66,	Siam 102
113, 128	Land-Tenures 8
Duties 135	Communal 131, 163
Ideals 111	Common 43, 67, 83, 149
Power of taxation 67, 70,	Crown 30, 43, 102, 131, 132, 137, 144
87, 89, 90, 95, 96, 160	Endowment 31, 131, 132,
Punishment 72, 89 Prerogatives 62, 69	133
Proprietary right 66, 67,	Encroachment 131
68, 69, 70, 71, 135, 160	
Reversionary right 69, 70,	M
160	Manu's Code 6, 7, 8, 9, 10,
Sovereign right 64	13, 28, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49,
Khotris 16 Kubshi 47, 48	38, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49,
Ruosii 47, 40	51—103, 134, 135, 158, 160
<u>/</u>	Acquisition of property
Ľ	1 77 78
Lagash 8, 29, 32, 34, 54 Lake Moeris 23 Lakes 23, 135, 137, 138, 141	Antiquity 27, 28, 57,
Lake Moeris 23	S8 59 60
Lakes 23, 135, 137, 138, 141	Authorship 28, 51, 53.
Land Laws.—	56, 58
In Arthasastra 131—134 Rahvion 36 42 43	Appointed daughters 55, 79
Babylon 36, 42, 43, 44, 77	Alterations of the
Egypt 30, 31	laws 123—127
-0,0-	

M—(contd.)	M—(contd.)
Manu's Code-(contd.)	Prerogatives . 62, 69 Murashi . 33 Mushkenu . 39, 41 Muslins . 22
Boundary marks 81,82	Murachi 33
Boundary disputes 82	Muchkens 30 41
Business transactions 80	Mueline 22
Compared with Ham-	Musilia 22
Current theories	N
	Nebuchadnazar 24
regarding 27 Coinage 84, 85, 86	
Cattle trespass 83	
	0
Date 55, 50	Ornaments 20, 21, 118,
Debts and documents 80, 81	119
Inneritance 78,79	Osymondyas 32
Inheritance 78, 79 Kingship 65 Land laws 9	Ownership 7, 23, 24, 69, 70,
Land laws 9	Ownership 7, 23, 24, 69, 70, 76, 77, 78, 131, 132,
Machinery of Government 72, 73, 74	133, 134, 135, 150, 160
ernment 72, 73, 74	200, 201, 200, 200, 200
Not post-Buddhistic 58	_
Occupation and owner-	P
ship 76,77 Partition 79,80	Panchajanas 19, 151, 160
Partition 79,80	Partition 44, 76, 79, 80, 133,
Proprietary right 68, 69,	145, 154, 163
	Partition 44, 76, 79, 80, 133, 145, 154, 163 Pastoral stage 14, 15 Pasture ground 80, 83, 101, 131, 134, 155, 156 Pastures 15, 102
Pasture ground 83	Pasture ground 80, 83, 101,
Punishmeut 72	131 134 155 156
Subsidiary sons 55, 58,	Pastures 15 102
79	Pastures Paterfamilias . 24,77 Pharaoh . 29, 30, 100
Sumerian origin 43,	Pharach 29 30 100
49, 50, 158	
Taxes 87, 88, 89, 90-95	Dolument 15 55
Tolls E8 Village Corporations 74, 75	Polygamy 25, 75, 96, 132, 146 Polygamy 15, 20, 24, 25, 44, 45, 47, 52, 53, 54, 57,
Village Corporations 74, 75	45, 47, 52, 53, 54, 57,
Writing 83, 84	105, 133
Writing 83, 84 Marbanuti 47	Priestesses 41, 45
Marriage 18, 37, 41, 42, 122	Priestesses 41, 45 Prices 88, 91, 92, 139, 140,
Mazdayasnians 14, 16, 17,	Prices 88, 91, 92, 139, 140,
103	141, 144, 145, 159, 162 Profits 16, 48, 80, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93, 96, 98, 99, 130,
Menes 17 51	02 03 06 00 00 120
Mint 141	92, 93, 90, 90, 99, 130,
Mithakshara 5 152	135, 139, 140, 141, 144
Menes . 17, 51 Mint . 141 Mithakshara . 5, 152 Monarchy . 9, 14, 15, 160	145, 162
5th stage of evolution	Proprietary right 66, 67, 68,
of society 14, 15	69, 70, 71
- Idea 62, 63, 64	Compared with pro-
Divine nature 64, 65, 66,	perty right 69
144 140	Property.— Acquisition 77,78
113, 128	Acquisition 77,78

,	
P—(contd.)	S—(contd.)
Property—(contd.)	Sukra Niti 66
Socialistic 2, 4, 6	Sumati 51. 57
Socialistic 2, 4, 6 Communistic 2, 3, 5, 6, 74, 76, 149, 151, 152, 156, 159, 162, 163	Survey 23, 32, 42, 82, 153, 163
74, 76, 149, 151, 152,	Susa—Excavations in 34
156, 159, 162, 163	Sushena—King 52, 53
Individualistic Z , 0, 7, 31,	Sumerian.—
76, 78, 80, 120, 155, 156, 158	Seals 51, 52, 53, 54, 58,
156, 158	60, 84, 105, 106
Ownership 43, 44, 76,	Colony 54
77	Origin of Manu's
Prostitution 42	Code 48, 50 Language 53 Sumerians 14, 16, 53
Punishment 37, 40, 41, 42, 44, 47, 49, 65, 72, 82, 89, 96, 110, 112, 129, 130, 121, 125, 140	Language 53
47, 49, 65, 72, 82, 89,	Sumerians 14, 16, 53
96, 110, 112, 129, 130,	
131, 135, 140, 141, 142	T
.	Taxes 9, 25, 26, 30, 31, 48, 57, 81, 84, 87, 88, 89,
R	57, 81, 84, 87, 88, 89,
Re-people 18	90-103, 111, 112, 115,
Re-people 18 Revenue 9, 30, 31, 44, 45, 66,	123—126, 131, 133, 137
67, 68, 70, 71, 73, 80,	—139, 144, 145, 152,
92, 94, 101, 129—131,	90—103, 111, 112, 115, 123—126, 131, 133, 137 —139, 144, 145, 152, 159, 160, 161
162, 163	
Revenue accounts 12, 30, 130,	Trade 10, 16, 22, 26, 32, 34,
134	39, 48, 49, 72, 77, 80,
Revenue registry 12, 134	81, 87, 96, 116, 117,
Ryotwari 7, 12, 68, 131, 161	139, 160, 162
	Trade 10, 16, 22, 26, 32, 34, 39, 48, 49, 72, 77, 80, 81, 87, 96, 116, 117, 139, 160, 162 Trade Laws 12, 96, 109, 125, 120, 141
s	126, 139, 141 Treasuries 30, 31, 73, 91, 94,
	114, 138, 139, 141, 142
Samos 35	114, 138, 139, 141, 142
Samhitas 10, 18, 79, 94	
Savage state 14, 15	Ü
Samos	TTI1
Semiramis-Queen 23	Uksha 14 Ummane 47, 48 Unoccupied lands 43
Set-people 18	Ummane 47, 40
Settlement.—	Unoccupied lands 45
Revenue 7, 9, 12, 163 Colonies 12, 136, 137,	Usury 22, 33, 49, 160, 162 Village.—
Colonies 12, 130, 137,	Daumdonion 01 02 135
155 Ships 22 120 141	Communities 2 3 6 11
Slaves 30 100 101 149	12 74 110 146 148
Smrithic 60 QC	Communities 2, 3, 6, 11, 12, 74, 119, 146, 148, 156, 159, 162
Ships 22, 129, 141 Slaves 39, 100, 101, 148 Smrithis 60, 85 Smriti-writers 121—126 School-third Fig. 70	Corporations 74, 75
Subsidiary sons 55, 58, 79,	Head-man 73, 74, 109, 110
121	System 5, 6
	2,000

INDEX.

v	W-(contd.)
Vizier 30 Vrisalas 11	Weaving 22, 117 White-house 31 Writing.—
w	In Egypt 32
War 16, 18, 19, 21, 54, 57, 106, 113, 138, 146, 148 Chariots 16, 21, 146	Babylon 32, 33 India 80, 82, 83, 84, 118, 119
Implements 16, 21 Social and Civil 16, 18, 54, 57	Y Yakshavarta 14
Wardhu 39, 40 Wealth 11, 15, 24, 78, 114,	Z
116, 128, 139, 161 [[]	Zendavesta 16, 59

CHECKED 2003-04