READING UNIVERSITY STUDIES

COST ACCOUNTING APPLIED TO AGRICULTURE

AS AN AID TO MORE PRODUCTIVE FARMING

J. S. KING



Price 10/6 Net.

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS LONDON: HUMPHREY MILFORD 26570 King, J.S. Cost Accounting Applied toagriculture

SERVANTS OF INDIA SOCIETY'S LIBRARY, POONA 4

Cl. No.

Date of release for loan

Ac. No. 26570

This book should be returned on or before the date last mentioned below.

An overdue charge of 5 naye Paise will be levied for each day the book is kept beyond this date.

jor each aby the book is kept beyond this date.	
29 APR 1963	
Fact Mal 8 s	
111 1757	
8 OCT 1969	
10 FEB 1977	
110 FEB 1977,	

Dhananjayarao Gadgil Library

GIPE-PUNE-026570

COST ACCOUNTING APPLIED TO AGRICULTURE

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

LONDON EDINBUEGH GLASGOW
COPENHAGEN NEW YORK TORONTO
MELBOURNE CAPETOWN BOMBAY
CALCUTTA SHANGHAI
H UM P H R E Y M I L F O R D
PUBLISHER TO THE
UNIVERSITY

READING UNIVERSITY STUDIES

COST ACCOUNTING APPLIED TO AGRICULTURE

AS AN AID TO MORE PRODUCTIVE FARMING

By J. S. KING

B.Sc. (Econ.), B.Sc. (AGRIC.), LONDON, N.D.A.
Formerly Advisory Agricultural Economist and
Lecturer in Agricultural Economics in the University
of Reading; Advisory Officer in Farm Economics
at the Board of Agriculture
for Scotland

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS LONDON: HUMPHREY MILFORD 1927 26570

×9J:873 F7

Printed in Great Britain

PREFACE

THE initiative, in this country, in promoting the use of cost accounting as an instrument of value to the farmer and the Agricultural Economist, has come, in the main, from Mr. C. S. Orwin at the Agricultural Economics Research Institute, Oxford. To that initiative was due, in large measure, the form of the work of the post-war Agricultural Costings Committee, and it has given direction, in later days, to much of the work of the Advisory Agricultural Economists, now established by the Ministry of Agriculture at various centres throughout the country. This small volume has arisen out of an attempt to apply, to the solution of some of the economic problems of farmers, the methods of farm accounting, involving the determination of costs, which are at present advocated.

Scientific method in accounting, as in every other branch of human activity, is only perfected by a process of trial and error. No scientific weapon was ever forged that proved entirely suitable under trial and that could not be sharpened on the wheel of critical discussion. If in these pages some modifications of present methods are suggested, it is hoped that the reasons adduced for change may not prove to be inadequate. It is hoped, too, that the interest of farmers in the efforts now being made to obtain for agriculture the benefits accounting can offer, will be increased by a frank discussion of the difficulties arising from the character of the industry, and of the limits within which accounts may be of practical value.

Critical discussion, however, to be effective for good, must be constructive. To that end, where weaknesses may have been established in existing methods, new lines of approach to the same problems have been suggested. For the use of the farmer simpler means have been devised. For the investigator, in search of comparative data, accuracy of principle rather than simplification of method has been the objective: at the same time it is proposed to eliminate some of the wearisome labour of apportionment without, it is hoped, any loss of vital information. The excuse for putting these alternative methods into print is that they have been tried, and, if the farmers who have allowed themselves to be the subject of experiment are not merely charitable, they have been found to work.

Acknowledgement is gratefully made to the Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries and to the Research Board of the University of Reading for making the work and its publication possible; to Mr. C. S. Orwin, who read the work in draft, and, whilst giving helpful criticism, generously advised publication and offered to put the methods advocated to practical test under his supervision at Oxford; to Professor S. Pennington of Reading and Professor J. A. S. Watson of Oxford, whose careful scanning of the proofs has resulted in the removal of some flaws; to the workers on the advisory staffs at the Midland Agricultural and Dairy College and the University of Reading, for their patience and loyalty in carrying out the constant changes in the detailed work of farm accounting suggested by growing experience; and finally, and in greatest measure, to the farmers in the Midlands and the South of England, too numerous to mention by name. who have, during the past four years, personally recorded in detail the operations on their farms, and placed full information upon the practical and financial aspects of their business at the writer's disposal. Such blemishes as appear in the book are due entirely to the writer's failure to profit by the help so freely given.

J. S. K.

University of Reading, September 1927.

INTRODUCTION

CCOUNTING as an end in itself has no attractions for the practical farmer. It must be a direct means to the attainment of some definitely useful purpose if it is to make any appeal to the man whose interests are very largely centred in crops and stock. which are often not only the source of his profit, but the basis of his reputation as a farmer as well. Cost accounting, even in factory industry, is used in only a small proportion of businesses in which it could be an effective instrument for promoting efficiency. An essential condition for its more widespread use by the farming community is that its practical utility, for throwing light upon specific problems in management, must be clearly demonstrated with reference to particular cases. It is, at best, an irksome and rather monotonous business to keep records from day to day and analyse them periodically. The work only becomes attractive if it can proceed upon fairly definite lines to results which can be readily understood and used as a basis of action.

Any system of cost accounting must take account of the conditions under which the industry is carried on. It is necessary, therefore, to make some preliminary inquiries into the characteristics of farming which may determine the nature of the problems to be solved. It has been proposed to apply to agriculture conceptions of the uses of cost accounts which arise in factory industry. Accounts have been framed to arrive at the cost of the individual products of the farm by a detailed apportionment of expenses, and to arrive at a Profit and Loss Account in which the net return on each saleable crop or live-stock product is stated separately. These methods will be examined in the light of the circumstances of the industry, in Chapter I from the standpoint of the farmer, and in Chapter II from the comparative standpoint.

The individual farmer would no doubt be interested in cost accounting if, with a reasonable expenditure of time and effort, his records would provide him with some means of measuring the efficiency of his methods, and indicate where he has succeeded or failed in achieving the objects at which he had aimed. His outlook and his interests are, however, limited by the circumstances in which he finds himself. The possibilities of change, or of develop-

ment, may be conditioned by the physical and economic environment of his farm; by its soil, climate, situation relative to markets; by its size, the amount of capital at his disposal, and by labour conditions in the locality. Comparative costs and returns on other farms, similarly situated, but on a larger or smaller scale, or differently organized, may help him to solve his own particular problems. He is, however, chiefly interested in comparisons between alternatives which he can apply under the circumstances prevailing in his district, and in figures by which he can test the efficiency of the use of his labour and capital.

An investigator into the economics of farming will wish to take a wider and more comprehensive view of the methods and costs of production in different localities, and of the relative economy of working on a large or a small scale. The statesman, who may be called upon to legislate for the promotion of agriculture in the national interest, must, of necessity, take wide and long views, and visualize adjustments in methods and in organization which, in the light of economic investigation, seem to suggest possibilities of greater efficiency in the agricultural activities of the country as a whole. Comparative data may be of very great significance when considering farmers as an industrial class, or for determining the effects of policy upon the fortunes of different sections of the agricultural community.

The major difficulty in farm cost accounting seems to arise from the organic nature of the farming business, its processes being the exploitation of living organisms, which require the maintenance of suitable conditions for development, and a consequent dependence upon natural forces. In the present state of knowledge these forces can only be brought under control at a cost which is altogether beyond the possibilities of economical production at the present level of food values. Farming thus exhibits a state of affairs in which limiting factors of an uncontrollable kind are constantly in operation, and in which a balance of activities must be maintained; conditions which are to a great extent eliminated in factory industry when inert material is being dealt with in a controlled environment. The farmer's problem is thus the grouping of activities within a circumscribed field. The farm cost accountant is, in consequence, faced with the measurement of factors which are not independent of one another, and he must fall back upon the expedient of expressing their joint

INTRODUCTION

ix

effect in such a way that the possibilities of economical change are shown as clearly as possible. That has been the objective in these pages, and the constructive suggestions in Chapter III are offered as a contribution to the discussion of principles and to the technique of what may prove to be an essential part of the machinery for the study of Agricultural Economics.

LIST OF REFERENCES

The abbreviated titles used in the text are given in brackets.

For a fuller bibliography of works on Farm Accounting and Costs see Orwin, Farming Costs, Appendix II.

Agricultural Costings Committee (1919-21).

Final Report (unpublished). Library of Agricultural Economics Research Institute, Oxford. (A.C.C. Report.)

search Institute, Oxford. (A.C.C. Repor

Leaflets 1 and 4. (A.C.C. Leaflets.)

——Interim Report on an Investigation into the Cost of Milk Production, Cmd. 1028, 1920: Final Report, Cmd. 1305, 1921. H.M. Stationery Office. (Cmd. 1028, Cmd. 1305.)

Agricultural Economics Research Institute.

Report on the Work of the Institute, 1925. Clarendon Press, Oxford. (Oxford Report, 1925.)

Agriculture, Royal Commission on (1919–20).

Minutes of Evidence, vol. iv. Cmd. 445, 1919.

Bridges, A., and Dixey, R. N.

Sugar Beet—Results of an Inquiry into the Costs of Production, Yields, and Returns in 1924. Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, Research Monograph, No. 3. (Bridges and Dixey.)

Coleman, Prof. J.

Farm Accounts. Journal of the Royal Agricultural Society, vol. xix, 1858.

Crowther, Dr. C.

Some Problems in the Assessment of Residual Manurial Values of Feeding Stuffs and Fertilizers. Journal of the Auctioneers' and Estate Agents' Institute, April 1925. (Crowther, Residual Values.)

Dicksee, Prof. L. R.

Advanced Accounting. Gee & Co., 6th Edition, 1921.

Hall, Sir A. D.

Simple Cost Accounts for Farmers. Journal of the Ministry of Agriculture, June 1921. (Hall, Cost Accounts.)

---Feeding of Crops and Stock. John Murray, 1919.

Holmes, H. R. J.

A Short System of Farm Costing. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1924. (Holmes, Short System.)

Hutchinson, H. B., and Richards, E. H.

Artificial Farmyard Manure. Journal of the Ministry of Agriculture, August 1921. (Hutchinson and Richards.)

Illinois, University of, Agricultural Experiment Station.

The Cost of Milk Production. Bulletin 216, 1919. (Illinois Bulletin, 216.)

International Institute of Agriculture, Rome.

Les Offices de Comptabilité agricole dans les divers Pays, 1924. (Offices de Comptabilité.)

Jackson's Agricultural Holdings and Tenant Right Valuation, 5th Edition. (Jackson.)

King, J. S.

The Interpretation of Farm Accounts. Reading University, Advisory Economics Dept. Bulletin.

Marshall, A.

Principles of Economics, 5th Edition. Macmillan & Co. (Marshall, Principles.)

Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries.

Agricultural Statistics, 1922, Part I; 1924, Part I.

-A Simple System of Farm Book-keeping. Misc. Pub., No. 50, 1925. Orwin, C. S.

Farm Accounts. Cambridge University Press. (Orwin, Farm Accounts.)

-Farming Costs. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1921.

The Cost of Tractor and Horse Labour. Agricultural Economics Miscellaneous Papers, vol. ii. Oxford, 1923. (Orwin, Tractor and Horse Labour.)

Rothamsted Experimental Station. Report, 1918-20.

(Rothamsted Report, 1918-20.)

Report, 1921-2. (Rothamsted Report, 1921-2.)

Russell, Sir E. J.

Soil Conditions and Plant Growth. Longmans, Green & Co., 1921. (Russell, Soil Conditions.)

Ruston, A. G., and Critchley, R. S.

The Cost of Grazing. Leeds University, Bulletin 144. March 1926. (Ruston and Critchley.)

Scotland, Board of Agriculture for—Report on Questions of Farm Accounting and Economics. H.M. Stationery Office, 1926. (Scottish Report.)

Spillman, W. J., and Lang, E. The Law of Diminishing Returns. Geo. Harrap & Co. (Spillman and

Lang.) Taylor, H. C.

> Agricultural Economics, 1919 Edition. The Macmillan Company, New York.

Taylor, Herbert.

Farm and Estate Book-keeping. Simpkin Marshall. 4th Edition, 1926. Texas Agricultural Experiment Station.

Farm Records and Accounts. Bulletin No. 264, May 1920. (Texas

Bulletin, 264.)

United States Department of Agriculture.

Farm Book-keeping. Farmers' Bulletin, No. 511. 1920 Edition. Washington. (U.S. Bulletin, 511.)

-A System of Farm Cost Accounting. Farmers' Bulletin, No. 572. 1924 Edition. Washington. (U.S. Bulletin, 572.)

United States Department of Agriculture.

- -Farm Management. Practice of Chester County, Pa. Bulletin No. 341. (U.S. Bulletin, 341.)
- Selecting a Farm. Bulletin No. 1088. (U.S. Bulletin, 1088.)
- Validity of the Survey Method of Research. Bulletin No. 529. (U.S. Bulletin, 529.)

Venn, J. A.

- An Economic and Financial Analysis of Fourteen East Anglian Farms in 1923-4. Farm Economics Branch, School of Agriculture, Cambridge University.
- Venn, J. A., and Carslaw, R. McG.

Reports 2-4 on East Anglian Farms, 1924-5 and 1925-6. School of Agriculture, Cambridge University. (Venn and Carslaw, Cambridge Reports.)

Warren, G. F., and Others.

Cost Accounts . . . on Some Successful New York Farms. Cornell University, 1923. (Warren, New York Farms.)

Watson, J. S., and More, J. A.

The Science and Practice of British Agriculture. Oliver & Boyd, Ltd., Edinburgh. (Watson and More.)

Whetham, C. Dampier. The Economics of Agriculture with Special Reference to the lag between Expenditure and Receipts. Journal of the Royal Agricultural Society, Vol. 85. (Whetham, R.A.S. Journal.)

Wyllie, J.

Scientific Farm Management. Trans. Highland and Agricultural Society of Scotland, 1925.

- -Farming Costs in Relation to Farm Management. The Cost Accountant, vol. v, Nos. 5 and 6. (Wyllie, Farming Costs.)
- -Investigation into Farming Costs of Production and Financial Results. Reports 1 and 2. South-Eastern Agricultural College, Wye, Kent. (Wyllie, Wye Reports.)

APPENDIX

The body of rules drawn up by the Agricultural Economics Committee of the Ministry of Agriculture for the guidance of agricultural accountants, to which reference is made in the text, is printed below. The Sections in which the various rules are discussed are indicated by Section or Page references in brackets at the end of each paragraph.

Instructions to Accountants

The following instructions have been drawn up by agreement between research and educational workers engaged in preparing agricultural cost accounts, on methods to be adopted in dealing with disputed questions in cost accounting, in order to secure comparability between different accounts.

- 1. Produce Accounts for arable crops and grass should relate to individual crops and different kinds of grass and, preferably (especially where the previous history of the field is known), to individual fields as well. The advisability of costing on the basis of individual fields is, however, a question which is left to the discretion of the cost accountants, to be considered by them in relation to its applicability and practicability. (Chapter I, also pages 75–7, 82–3, 143–6, 160.)
- 2. Overhead Charges. The rent and rates of cottages should be charged to labour accounts. Where cottages are let with the farm, the rental value of the cottages as estimated by the farmers should be adopted. The rent and rates of farm-houses should be charged in the first instance as a business expense against profits. Any adjustments made by H.M. Inspector of Taxes as regards charging a part to personal account should also be made by the cost accountant. Apart from the above two exceptions rent and rates should be distributed over land alone at a flat rate per acre; but cost accountants are free to adopt differential rates in cases of marked differences in categories of land. (Pages 66-70, 140.)

Where roots are fed on the fields the proportionate share of rent, rates and certain overhead charges allocated in accordance with this paragraph should be charged to the stock eating the roots. In the case of catch crops the proportion of rent and rates should be two-thirds for the main crop and one-third for the catch crop, except in special cases. (Page 149.)

Insurance (except where a direct allocation can be made), upkeep of roads, buildings, hedging, ditching and draining, should be charged in the same way as rent and rates. (Pages 71, 139.)

Bailiff's wages should be distributed between the different produce (including live stock) accounts in the same proportions as the other manual

labour is charged in these accounts. General overhead charges other than the above should be distributed between the various produce accounts in the same way as bailiff's wages. (Pages 71, 139-43.)

- 3. Interest on Capital and Management Charges should not be brought into the accounts (except where cash is actually paid). They should be borne in mind in considering whether the profit is sufficient reward for the capital, management, and labour. In comparing two farms or two systems of farming, the result in each case must be interpreted in the light of capital sunk and labour given without wages. The value of unpaid labour (apart from management) should be estimated and records kept of such charges; such charges must always be kept separate in the accounts. Where cash is paid for Interest or Management (exclusive of bailiff's wages), the charges should not be divided between the accounts for the different farm products but should appear in the Profit and Loss account. In the memorandum accompanying the accounts, reference should be made to the extent of the non-chargeable items. (Pages 53-4, 70-1, 86, 94, 95, 136, 140, 142.)
- 4. Produce both grown and used on the farm (e.g. seed, home-grown foods fed to stock) should be charged in the accounts at the cost of production and not at conventional or market prices (for cost of straw see paragraph 5). (Pages 61-2, 89-91.)
- 5. Secondary Products. The cost of dung should be ascertained so that it can be charged to the crop accounts. To ascertain the cost of the food residues the figures published in recognized tables should be taken as a guide. The cost of straw should be taken as one-seventh of the cost of production (exclusive of marketing) of the Wheat, Oats, or Barley crop. Where the dung made is not used or sold (e.g. in dairies) its cost should be written off in the Dung Account as a loss. (Pages 58-9, 64-6, 98-104, 145, 149-50.)

Wool should be regarded as a by-product. A separate account should be kept for wool solely for the purpose of comparing the cost of shearing and other operations directly debitable to the wool with the price received; and the balance should be carried into the Sheep Account, but shown as a separate item.

6. The Distribution of the Cost of Manure between Crops and Years. The cost of artificial manures should be spread over the crops in rotation, the recognized tables being used as a guide for the purpose. (Pages 55-8, 117-18, 145.)

The duration of effect of farmyard manure should be taken as three years; the following proportions of cost are suggested for guidance: first year, 50 per cent.; second year, 30 per cent.; third year, 20 per cent. (Pages 30-31, 58-9.)

7. Cleaning Crops. In the case of potatoes carried off, 75 per cent. of the cost of cleaning operations should be debited in the crop account and 25 per cent. should be debited to succeeding crops in the rotation until another cleaning crop is taken. The proportions of the 25 per cent. suggested for guidance are: three years, 50 per cent., 30 per cent., 20 per cent.; four years, 50 per cent., 25 per cent., 12½ per cent., 12½ per cent.

In the case of turnips, swedes, and mangolds carted, one-third of the cost of cleaning operations should be regarded as removed and two-thirds as

remaining to be carried forward to be charged to succeeding crops until the next cleaning crop is taken, in the proportion given above for potatoes.

In the case of roots fed in the field, the stock eating the roots should be charged with the cost of the seed and one-third of the operations; the cost of the manures and two-thirds of the cost of operations should be carried forward and charged to succeeding crops in the manner indicated above. (Pages 30-1, 59-60, 104-6, 145, 148-9.)

8. Seed Leys. In deciding what proportion of the cost of a ley to charge each year, the length of ley contemplated should first be ascertained. The initial cost should then be equally divided between each year. If the ley is discontinued before the time contemplated the charges originally allocated to the years by which the ley is shortened should be a general charge. If the length of the ley is beyond the time contemplated no charge need be made for the years by which the ley is extended. (Page 159.)

When the first crop is fed to early lambs, and the second crop mown for seeds hay; or first cut used for hay and second cut used for grazing, the proportions of cost should be two-thirds for the first crop and one-third for the second crop. (Page 149.)

- 9. Charges for Grazing. Four-fifths of the cost should be allocated to the summer grazing, and one-fifth to the winter grazing, the periods of summer and winter grazing to be determined according to locality and season. (Pages 62-4, 90-1, 109-11, 147-8.)
- 10. Horse Labour. No difference should be made in different seasons of the year, but a flat rate should be charged throughout the year. Where horses are fed on home-grown produce grown during the same season as that for which it is desired to calculate the cost of horse labour, it will be necessary, in order to enable the cost of home-grown foods to be arrived at, to estimate the cost of the horse labour—such estimate, after the first year, should be based on the preceding year's cost subject to such modifications as may be justified by altered circumstances. (Pages 88-92, 137.)
- 11. Valuations. As a general rule the term 'stock in hand' should be employed in preference to 'valuation'. Stock in hand should as a general rule be valued at cost.

In the case of breeding-stock, however, the 'Standard Valuation' method should be adopted, i.e. the stock should be valued at a fixed amount per head, unvarying from year to year, unless the change in market values requires the adoption of a different fixed amount. The advantages of this method in agricultural costing are so great that it should be adopted even although another method has to be adopted for Income Tax purposes.

Working horses should be brought into the accounts at cost. For depreciation purposes a decision should be made as to the number of years of life and the value written down by the yearly amount so obtained every year. In the case of working horses bred on the farm the cost at which they

² This difficulty can be surmounted, without using any estimated cost of horse labour on produce fed to horses, by ignoring, for the computation of the cost of horse work, both the number of horse-hours worked for the benefit of the horses and its value. See 'A note on the Determination of the Cost of Horse Labour' by the Author, *Incorporated Accountants' Journal*, June, 1925.

are brought in (i.e. the cost up to the time of working) should be estimated. (Pages 31-7, 89.)

12. Discount. The net amounts paid or received for goods or services rendered should be entered in the accounts.

On the memoranda referred to in clause 3 a note should be made of the total amounts of discounts paid or received.

13. Draining. In the event of mole or tiling draining operations being carried out a Drainage and Improvement Account should be opened, and the estimated depreciation written off each year over the whole farm. (Pages 140-1.)

INDEX

ACCOUNTS, Organization of, 158-62. Change, Costs of, 104-9. Adjustments within the Farm, 81–118. Cheese-making, Costs of, 115. Advisory Agricultural Economists, 10, Classification of Income and Expendi-121. ture, 131-2, 135-46. Cleaning Costs, Apportionment of— in Analytical System, 7–8, 11, Ap-Agricultural Costings Committee, 1, 6-10, 40 n. pendix para. 7. not valid in Rotation Farming, 30-1, Agricultural Economics Research Institute, 6, 7, 10, 16 n., 24 n., 47 n., 52 n., 54 n., 68 n. 59 - 61Agricultural Holdings Acts, 68, 69, 98. not required for many comparisons of Agricultural Surveys, 51-2, 119-22. Agricultural Wages Board, 6. cost, 100-1, 106, 145. Clerical difficulties, 37. Agriculture Act, 1920, 10. Clover, 19, 99. Agriculture, Board of, 162. Coleman, Prof. J., 2. Agriculture, Ministry of, 2, 6, 10, 14, 18, Comparative Costs-82, 91, 163 n. of Products, 52-78, 162. Agriculture of Great Britain, U.S.A., of Power, 84-96. of Processes, 75-7, 80, 96-117, 162-5. and Continent compared, 4, 28, 98. Analysis of Farming Systems, 44-5, 122-30, 143-57, 162-9. Comparisons of Profitableness, 3, 25-6. Corn Production Acts, 6, 10, 69.

'Cost of Production'—As defined by
Agricultural Costings Committee, Arable Farming, Costs of, 97-109, 143-6. Arable-Sheep Farming, Examples from, 108-9, 123-7, 128-30, 152-3. Argenzano, A., 2. of Joint Products, 21, 65. Costs and Selling Prices, Relationship Artificial Manures (see also Manures, Unexhausted Values of), 138. of-An Objective in Cost Account-Austria, Costing in, 27. ing, 1, 6. Not a close one over short periods, 15. Auto-countancy, 38. Cannot be established for separate products, 20-2, 28, 39.
Is closer in case of 'marginal' units, BANK Charges, 140. Barley (see Cereal Crops). 21, 65, 72, 169-70. 'Basic Costs', 31, 132, 154, 161, 173. Crowther, Dr. C., 56 n. Basis of Comparisons between Farms, 130-1. Bridges, A., and Dixey, R. N., 22 n., 23, DAIRY Farming (see Milk Production). Date of Closing Accounts, 8, 133-4. 47 n. By-products, 31, 64-6. Departmental Accounts, 1, 16-17, 24, 44. CAPITAL, Provision of, 14. Estimation of, 29, 125-7. Depreciation (see Implements). Interest (see Interest on Capital). Dicksee, Prof. L. R., 24 n. Disposal of Produce, Table showing, Catch Crops, 149. Cattle-Place in Farming Systems, 41-124 3, 101. Drainage Costs, 140, 141, Appendix pars. 13. Costs and Returns, 27, 101-3, 115-17, 151, 166-9. (See also Live Stock.) Cereal Crops-Place in Farming Sys-ECONOMIC Environment, Effect of, 13-14, 112-13. tems, 41-3, 62, 75-6. Prime Costs and Returns, 107-9, 143, Economist, Methods of, in Farm Studies, 80-1, 119-22, 172-3. 150-4, 162-3, 166-9. Apportionment of Costs of, 64-5, 101, Economy of High Wages', 50, 88. Edge, Thos., Accounts of, 5 n. 145, 149, 150.

180 INDEX

Efficiency, Measurement of, 3-4, 14-15, To compare economy of alternative 41-2, 46-51. crops or processes, 39, 44-5. of Labour, 47-50. To compare economy of methods of carrying out essential functions, 40-1, 75-7, 143. of Horse Management, 50-1. of Organization, 119-22, 130-2, 143-To explain profit and loss account, 82. Equipment (see Implements). To provide estimates of costs and results of change, 83-4, 105, 109. Establishment Costs (see Overhead Ex-To establish limits of maximum propenses). Expenditure, Classification of, 135-43. fitable outlay on manuring, 117. To determine factors underlying success, 119. FACTORY and Farming Costs com-To establish relationships between pared, 12-31, 44, 46-7, 112-14, 139. outlay and return, 158, 161-2. Summary, 171-3. Fallows, 99, 144, 151, 153. Farm as a single unit of account, 26-7. GRASSLAND, Costs on, 109-11. Farm Assets, Estimation of, 125-7. Grazing, 62-4, 110, 115, 147-8, Appendix Farm Diary, 5. para. 9. Farm Records, 4, 29, 82, 85-6, 91, 96, Great Britain, Development of Costing 110, 113, 114, 115. in, 1, 4-12. 'Farm Values', 90-1, 137, 149. Farming—Characteristics of, 12-29, 39-45, 112-13. HALL, Sir A. D., 14 n., 56 n., 57 n., Dependence on Physical Conditions, 58 n., 59 n. 12-13, 41-5, 97-8, 162-4. Hedging and Ditching, 139, 151. Dependence on Economic Environ-High Farming, 99, 112, 117-18. ment, 13-14, 112-13. Historical Summary, 4-11. Holmes, H. R. J., 37 n. Uncertainty of Returns, 14-18. Interdependence of Products, 19-26, Home-grown Forage (see Food-stuffs). 44, 98–100, 106, 130. As a 'Factory' Industry, 20–21, 112– Horse Labour, Cost and Efficiency of, 50-1, 54-5, 88-92. 14. Apportionment of, 192, 128-9, 137, Types compared, 165-9. Appendix para. 10. Farmyard Manure, Costs of, 58-9, Ap-Hutchinson, H. B., and Richards, E. H., pendix para. 5. 65 n. Fen Farming, Examples from, 102, 165-IMPLEMENTS, Cost of use of, 30, 49, Fertility, Maintenance of, 4, 19, 28, 40-87, 92–5, 137–8, 140, 141–2, 151. 4, 62, 76, 97-106, 109, 143-6. Improvements, Value of, 145, 156-7. Financial Accounts-For use of Far-Index Numbers, 18. mers, 6, 82. IndirectExpenses(seeOverheadCharges). In Relation to Cost Accounts, 11-12, 'Instructions to Accountants', 10, Ap-16-19, 31, 32, 46, 70-1, 82, 132-4, pendix. 157–8. Interest on Capital-Treatment in An-Food-stuffs-Check upon, 17, 96. alytical Systems, 7, Appendix para. Apportionment in Accounts-(a) Purchased Foods, 138. Significance in Financial and Cost (b) Home-grown Forage, 7, 16-17, Accounts, 70-1. 61-5, 88-91, 145, 148-50, Ap-Importance in comparisons of cost, pendix para. 4. 94, 95, 131, 142, Manurial Residues of, 8, 11, 65-6, Examples, 151, 152, 166-8. 145, Appendix paras. 5 and 6. Interest on borrowed Capital, 140. Forage Crops (see also Roots and Homegrown Forage), 105, 164. International Institute of Agriculture, 3. Ireland, Costing in, 7. Function, Analysis on Basis of, 40-5, 75–8, 80, 83, 143. Functions of Cost Accounts—As defined JOINT-Products, 11, 19-26, 44, 64-6, by various writers, 1-4. 78.

LABOUR—Supply and organization of, 13, 15, 17, 28, 39, 51.

Efficiency of, 47-50.
Cost of, 84-8.
Apportionments of, 37, 53-4, 86-7, 110, 128-9, 135-7.
Of women and boys, 49.
Unpaid, 7, 53-4, 86, 136.
'Labour Income', 53-4, 170-1.
Lag between expenditure and returns, 29-31.
Law of Diminishing Returns, 117.

Leguminous Crops, 19, 99.

Liming, 56.

Live Stock—Place in farm economy, 25,
41–3, 62, 63–4, 75, 97–9, 164.

Ledger in Cost Accounts, 159-60.

41-3, 62, 63-4, 75, 97-9, 164. Risks with, 15. Costs and returns, 97, 104-17, 143-6. (See also Cattle, Sheep, and Pigs.)

MACHINERY (see Implements).
Maintenance of Fertility (see Fertility).
Management Expenses (see Labour, unpaid, and 'Labour Income').
Manures, Unexhausted Values of, 8, 11, 30, 31, 55-9, 107, 145, Appendix

para. 6.
Manurial Residues of Foods (see Foodstuffs).
Manuring for maximum profit. 117-18.

Manuring for maximum profit, 117-18. Marginal Costs, 21-2, 72, 73, 106, 170. Market Gardening, 13, 21, 97. Marketing Costs, 13. Marshall, A., 21 n., 26 n.

Matthews, R. Borlass, 38.

Measurement of the results of change, 81-118.

Mechanical Power, 92-5.

Mechanical Power, 92-5.

Milk, Production and Costs of, 8-10, 15, 24-5, 27, 33-7, 71-5, 114-17.

Milk-producing Farms compared, 151, 167-8. Mixed Farms, Examples from, 127-8, 151, 166, 167.

151, 166, 167. Modifications of Cropping and Stocking, 96–117.

NATURAL Classification of Costs, 1, 40, 44, 172.

'Net Output' of Farms, 119, 128.
'Normal' Costs and Returns, 16–17, 31, 45, 68–9, 105, 119, 172.

OATS (see Cereal Crops). Operation Costs, 18, 47-50, 135-9. Organization of Accounts, 158-62. Orwin, C. S., 2, 14 n., 24 n., 32, 35 n., 50 n., 55 n., 63 n., 67 n., 68 n., 70 n., 90 n., 94, 138 n. Overhead Expenses, 8, 24, 66-70, 83, 135, 139-43, 151, 155, 159, Appendix para. 2.

PAULI, W., 27 n.
Pigs, Costs and returns, 20, 113-14, 115.
Potatoes—Place in farm economy, 1314, 21, 28, 99-100.

Costs and returns, 48, 56-7, 151, 153, 166, 167.
Power, Costs of, 84-96.
Prime Costs, 83, 135-9.
Principle, Uniformity of, 71.
Product Costs, Chap. 1 and Chap. 2, pp. 52-78.

Profit and Loss, Typical Account, 16.
QUALITY of Produce, 18.

RECONCILIATION Statement, 134, 161. Records (see Farm Records).

Rent, 39, 66-70, 79, 140, 151, 170-1.
Representative Farms, 119-22.
Residual Values (see Food-stuffs and Manures).
Retailing Milk, Costs of, 116.
Root Crops—Place in Farming Systems,

19, 76, 99-100.
Costs and returns, 16-17, 101, 104-6.
Rotation Grass, 149, Appendix pars. 8.
Rothamsted Experiment Station, 18 n.,
48 n.

Royal Commission on Agriculture, 10. Russell, Sir E. J., 98 n. Ruston, A. G., and Critchley, R. S., 63 n.

SAULNIER, Dr. J. M., 3. Scotland, Board of Agriculture for, 6, 8. Report on Farm Economics, 24-5. Selection of Farms for Cost Analysis, 120-2.

Shaen, S., Accounts of, 5.
Sheep—Place in farm economy, 41-2, 100, 103-5.
Costs and returns, 105-6, 108-9, 152-5, 165-9.
(See also Live Stock.)

Sheep Equivalents, 63. Simplified Systems, 37–8. Size of Holdings, Influence of, 3–4, 52, 93, 94, 119, 140.

Specialized Farming, 112-17. Spillman, W. J., and Lang, E., 117 n. 'Standard Values', 35-7.

Straw (see Cereal Crops, Apportionment of Costs of).

Sugar-Beet, 22-3, 47, 52, 102-3. Supervision, Costs of, 140. 'Surplus and Deficit', 134, 151-5, 161, 168-9. Surveys (see Agricultural Surveys). Synthetic Costs, 27.

TAYLOR, H., 82 n. Taylor, H. C., 3. Tenant-Right Valuations, 55-6, 57-8. Tractors, 17, 18, 92-3, 128-9, 137-8.

UNCERTAINTY of Returns, 14-17. Unit Costs of Products, 17-18. United States of America, Conditions and Costing in, 1, 2 n., 4, 11, 12 n., 27 n., 28, 38 n., 51, 61, 70, 141. Unpaid Labour (see Labour).

VALUATIONS—Basis adopted by Agrioultural Costings Committee, 7-8. 'Basis in 'Instructions to Accountants', Appendix para, II. Requirements for Financial and Cost Accounts compared, 31–7, 133–4. For Estimates of Farm Assets, 127. Of Cattle and Sheep, 32, 33–7, 158–9. Of Horses, 89, 158. Of Implements, 94, 159. Of Foods and Cultivations, 32–3, 159. Under simplified system, 37–8. 'Standard', 35–7. Venn, J. A., and Carslaw, R. McG., 11 n. Voelcker and Hall, Tables, 8, 55, 57.

WARREN, G. F., 62 n.
Waste, Elimination of, 3, 96.
Watson, J. S., and More, J. A., 12 n., 54 n.
Wheat (see Cereal Crops).
Whetham, C. D., 29 n.
Woman Labour, 49.
Wyllie, J., 11 n., 24 n., 71 n.

YIELDS, Uncertainty of, 14-15.

CHECKFD 2003-04

SERVANTS OF INDIA SOCIETY'S LIBRARY POONA 4.

- 1. Books drawn from the library may not be retained for longer than a fortnight.
- 2. Borrowers will be held strictly responsible for any damage done to books while they are in their possession.