T.C.



BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH STAFF

RALPH J. WATKINS, Director

J. P. WATSON, Assistant Director

WILBERT G. FRITZ

E. N. MONTAGUE

GLENN E. McLAUGELIN BERVARD NICHOLS

THEODORE A. VEENSTRA

MILDRED R. BUCKLEY, Secretary

Research Assistants

PRANCES A. AMBURSEN HELEN HOLLINGSWORTE

UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH

BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH MONOGRAPHS NUMBER 3

THE CITY REAL ESTATE TAX IN PITTSBURGH

PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA
1934

BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH STAFF

RALPH J. WATKINS, Director

J. P. WATSON, Assistant Director

WILBERT G. FRITZ

E. N. MONTAGUE

GLENN B. McLAUGELIN BERVARD NICHOLS

THEODORE A. VEENSTRA

MILDRED R. BUCKLEY, Secretary

Research Assistants

PRANCES A. AMBURSEN HELEN HOLLINGSWORTH

THE CITY REAL ESTATE TAX IN PITTSBURGH

Ьy

J. P. WATSON



BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA

X722.7312.35P

G4 10328 1

COPYRIGHT, 1934

BY THE

UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH

A complete list of the publications of the Bureau of Business Research, University of Pittsburgh, is shown facing page 108.

DIRECTOR'S PREFACE

The government of a large city represents a complex set of social machinery. The operations of that machinery, although expensive, are an essential part of an orderly society; and the financing of such operations is a major economic problem. An adequate appraisal of the economic welfare of the Pittsburgh district must comprehend an analysis of the financial basis of public functions. This observation applies with particular force to an analysis of the real estate tax in Pittsburgh because the city tax, under the graded tax system, differs from that in the other major American cities and because the importance of the real estate tax in local governmental revenues is considerably greater in Pittsburgh than in the other large American cities.

The owners of real estate in Pittsburgh have in the past three or four years become increasingly aware that the City's budget is dependent primarily on the real estate tax. No ready solution to this problem is available, nor is one in prospect. Those who are directly burdened with real estate taxes usually advance one or both of the following proposals: first, that the cost of government be reduced; second, that a large share of the tax burden be shifted from real estate to other forms of wealth or sources of income. Such proposals, of course, should be given full consideration. Reduction of public financial requirements, however, cannot be accomplished by a simple bold stroke. Intelligent public retrenchment involves a judicious weighing of the necessity or the relative desirability of the various activities for which public money is spent and due regard for the requirements to meet the public debt. On the other hand, it is by no means easy for a local government to lighten the local burden on real estate by tapping other sources of income, for the revenue systems of the legally superior governmental units-the Federal Government and the state government—limit very much the fruitful choices open to local governments. Essentially, then, the local governments, after doing what they may to reduce revenue requirements, are left with the necessity of doing the best they can with the real estate tax.

The City of Pittsburgh, in common with most other cities in 1934, is having difficulties with its budget. One step always essential in such contingency is to take stock of the local revenue system. The major analysis required in such stock-taking is the analysis of the real estate tax. This monograph is intended as a contribution on the character and the functioning of the real estate tax in Pittsburgh.

Publication of this monograph is part of a program in regional economic research under an eight-year grant from The Buhl Foundation, supplemented by the University of Pittsburgh. The purpose of this program is to lay a basis for constructive action in the solution of economic problems confronting the Pittsburgh district through objective analysis of these problems.

RALPH J. WATKINS

Director

June 1934

CONTENTS

PAG	32
Introduction	1
Fiscal Setting of the Real Estate Tax in the United States	1
Plan of This Study	3
CHAPTER 1. CITY REAL ESTATE RATES IN PITTSBURGH	5
City Rates under the Graded Tax Law	6
Weighted Average of City Rates	7
Average City Rate in Comparison with the School Rate and the County Rate	10
Differences in Tax as Related to Differences in Degree of Improvement	12
Caution Necessary in the Interpretation of Tax Rates	15
Interpretation of Tax Rate Levels	15
Interpretation of Changes in Tax Rates	17
CHAPTER 2. ASSESSED CITY VALUATION OF TAXABLE REAL ESTATE IN PITTSBURGH	19
Valuation and the Graded Tax	20
New Building	
Transportation	
The Change to the Graded Tax System	
Probable Fallacy in the Graded Tax	33
CHAPTER 3. YIELD AND BUDGETARY IMPORTANCE OF THE CITY REAL. ESTATE TAX IN PITTSBURGE	34
Current and Delinquent Tax Collections	34
Yield of the City Tax on Land and of That on Buildings	35
City Real Estate Taxes in Relation to Total Local Real Estate Taxes in the City	17
Budgetary Importance of the City Real Estate Tax in Pittsburgh	
Importance of the General Property Tax in Combined Local Revenues in Pittsburgh and in Other Leading Cities	•

PAGE
CHAPTER 4. GROWTH OF CITY REAL ESTATE TAX COLLECTIONS IN PITTSBURGH
Major Factors Contributing to the Growth of Taxes
CHAPTER 5. DELINQUENCY OF CITY REAL ESTATE TAXES IN PITTSBURGH 67
Annual Collections from Levies of Prior Years
Collection Agencies 68
Dates of Delinquency and Penalties for Delinquency 69
Amount and Growth of Delinquent City Taxes 70
Budgetary Significance of Delinquency in City Real Estate Taxes . 76
The Public Treasury as Junior Claimant during Depressions 81
Tax Delinquency and Tax Collection Policy 81
Chapter 6. Summary
Appendix A. Non-Revenue Receipts
Appendix B. Minor General Budget Revenues of the City of
PITTSBURGH

LIST OF TABLES

ABL	
I.	City Real Estate Tax Rates in Pittsburgh, 1913-1934 7
2.	Weighted Average of City Fax Rates in Pittsburgh in Comparison with the City School Rate and the County Rate, 1913-1934
3.	Assessed City Valuation of Taxable Real Estate in Pittsburgh, 1910-1934
4	Per Cent Net Increase in the Assessed City Valuation of Taxable Real Estate in Pittsburgh from 1914 to Each of the Years 1915-1934
5.	Ratio of Land Valuation and of Building Valuation to Total Assessed City Valuation in Pittsburgh, 1914-1934 23
6.	Value of Building Permits Issued in Pittsburgh, 1914-1932, and Depreciation at One Per Cent on New Building Value to the End of 1932
7.	Indexes of Selected Factors Affecting Value of Taxable Property in Pittsburgh, 1914-1932
8.	City Real Estate Tax Collections, Pittsburgh, 1915-1933 35
9 .	Collections of Current City Levies on Land and on Buildings in Pittsburgh, 1918-1930
10.	Real Estate Tax Collections of the Pittsburgh School District, 1915-1933
II.	Real Estate Tax Collections of Allegheny County, 1915-1933 38
12.	City Real Estate Tax Collections, Other General Budget Revenue, and Water Service Revenue, Pittsburgh, 1915-1932 39
13.	Per Cent of City Revenue from the Real Estate Tax and from Other Sources, Pittsburgh, 1915-193240
14.	Per Cent of Combined Local Revenue from the General Property Tax, Major American Cities, 1919 and 1930
IŞ.	Per Cent of Combined Local Revenue in Pittsburgh from the General Property Tax and Median Per Cent of Major American Cities, 1915-1930

TABLE PAGE

16.	Indexes of City Tax Collections, City Payrolls, and Cost of Living, Pittsburgh, 1915-1932
17.	Selected Indexes of Wages and Earnings in the United States 53
18.	Index of Commodity Purchases, City of Pittsburgh, and Indexes of Wholesale Prices in the United States, 1915-1932 57
19.	City Collections of Taxes and of Special Assessments and Amounts Required from General Budget Funds for Amortiza- tion of Long-Term Debt and for Interest, Pittsburgh, 1915-1932 62
20.	Indexes of City Collections of Taxes and Special Assessments and of Requirements from General Budget Funds for Amortization of Long-Term Debt and for Interest, Pittsburgh, and of Cost of Construction and Building in the United States, 1915-1932
21.	Per Cent of Real Estate Tax Collections from Current Levy and Per Cent from Levies of Prior Years—City of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh School District, and Allegheny County, 1915-1933 67
22,	Delinquent City Taxes Outstanding in Pittsburgh, 1915-1933 68
23.	Delinquent School Taxes Outstanding in Pittsburgh, 1915-1933 69
24.	Delinquent Real Estate Taxes of Allegheny County Outstanding, 1915-1933
25.	Total New Delinquency of City Real Estate Taxes in Pitts- burgh, 1927-1932
26.	City Tax Levy, Discounts, and Exonerations, Pittsburgh, 1915- 1933
27.	Per Cent of Net Levy Collected and Per Cent Unpaid in the Year of Levy—City of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh School District, and Allegheny County, 1915-1933
A-1.	City Revenues and Non-Revenue Receipts, Pittsburgh, 1915-1932 93
A-2.	Reported Payments by the City of Pittsburgh for Correction of Erroneous Receipts, 1915-1932
А-3.	Non-Revenue Receipts of the City of Pittsburgh, by Major Classes, 1915-1932
В-т.	Minor General Budget Revenues of the City of Pittsburgh, by Classes, 1915-1932
B-2.	Per Cent of City General Budget Revenue, Pittsburgh, from Each Class of Minor Revenues, 1915-1932102

LIST OF CHARTS

CHA	RT PAGE
I.	City Real Estate Tax Rates in Pittsburgh and the Weighted Average of City Rates, 1913-1934 8
2.	Weighted Average of City Tax Rates in Pitttsburgh in Comparison with the School Rate and the County Rate, 1913-1934 It
3.	Differences among Parcel Rates under the Graded Real Estate Tax in Pittsburgh
4.	Assessed City Valuation of Taxable Real Estate in Pittsburgh, 1914-1934
5.	Indexes of Assessed Valuation in Pittsburgh and of Selected Factors Relating to Assessed Valuation
6.	Real Estate Tax Revenue and Other General Budget Revenue of the City of Pittsburgh, 1915-1932 41
7.	Ratio in 1930 of General Property Tax Revenue to Total Local Governmental Revenue in Cities Having a Population of More than 500,000
8.	Pittsburgh Ratio of General Property Tax Revenue to Total Local Governmental Revenue and Median Ratio for Cities Hav- ing a Population of More than 300,000, 1915-1930
9-	Real Estate Tax Collections of the City of Pittsburgh, of the Pittsburgh School District, and of Allegheny County, 1915-1933 47
IO.	Net Bonded Debt of the City of Pittsburgh, of the Pittsburgh School District, and of Allegheny County, 1915-1933
11.	Indexes of City Tax Collections, Payrolls, and Cost of Living in Pittsburgh and Indexes Reflecting Changes in the Cost of Labor 55
12,	Indexes of City Real Estate Tax Collections and Total Com- modity Purchases in Pittsburgh and Wholesale Prices in the United States, 1015-1022

Delinquent Taxes Outstanding—City of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh School District, and Allegheny County, 1915-1933
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
City of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh School District, and Allegheny
County, 1915-1933
New Delinquent Taxes and Collections of Old Delinquent
Taxes—City of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh School District, and Allegheny County, 1915-1933



APPENDIX A

Non-Revenue Receipts

City revenue data used in this study were compiled from the annual reports of the City Controller. Those at all familiar with the Controller's reports will realize at once that reported "receipts" amount to much more than the amounts called "revenue" in Chapter 3. This difference requires explanation.

The explanation will be facilitated by immediate reference to a definition of "revenue." The Bureau of the Census defines municipal revenue as "amounts of money or other wealth received by or placed to the credit of the local governments for

TABLE A-1
City Revenues and Non-Revenue Receipts, Pittsburgh, 1915-1932*

Year	Total Reported Receipts	Total Revenues†	Total Non-Revenue Receipts
1915	\$23,002,730	\$12,439,854	\$10,562,876
1916	19,301,566	13,418,765	5,882,801
1917	15,610,381	12.891.287	2,719,094
1918	19,761,588	15,016,455	4,745,133
1919	23,619,022	15,423,842	8,195,180
1920	26,913,432	18,639,256	8,274,176
1921	28.245.737	19,367,495	8,878,242
1922	26.593.955	19 054,263	7,539,692
1923	24,630,242	19,995,088	4,635,154
1924	25,948,633	20,729,092	5,219,541
1925	25,816,468	20,383,631	5.432.837
1926	35,240,811	22,639,663	12,601,148
1927	42.547.995	23.947.236	18,600,759
1928	36,881,759	26,461,021	10,420,738
1929	31,220,281	26,827,928	4,392,353
1930	58,403,277	28,457,946	9,945,331
1931	34,721,426	26,714,311	8,007,115
1932	31,736,230	23,494,489	8,241,741

^{*}Compiled from the respective issues of the Annual Report of the City Controller. Pittsburgh. The grand totals compiled are consistent with the grand totals shown in the Controller's reports except as follows: the 1915 total shown here exceeds the published total by \$11,259; the 1918 total exceeds the published total by \$83.790; the 1919 total, by \$89. The data are not adjusted for the item ordinarily reported as "payments for the correction of erroneous receipts," the published data not being so classified as to make possible a consistent adjustment in the classified totals. The amounts of such payments, shown in Table A-2, are not large enough to make material difference with respect to the purposes of this study.

[†]Classification explained in accompanying text.

TABLE A-2
Reported Payments by the City of Pittsburgh for Correction of
Erroneous Receipts, 1915-1932*

Year	Erroneous Tax Receipts	Erroneous Water Service Receipts†	Total Erroneous Taxes and Water Receipts	Total Correction of Erroneous Receipts:
1915	\$3,452,59	\$12,733,90	\$16,186,49	\$16.186.49
1916	3.372.56	28.785.56	32,158,12	32,158.12
1917	936.54	13,168.61	14,105,15	14.985.381
1918	900.64	11.325.85	12,226.49	12.381.991
1919	1,543.49	17,624.71	19,168.20	19,168.20
1920	984.89	13.449.12	14,434.01	15.116.011
1921	902.89	9.488.33	10,391,22	10.391.22
1922	3,383.30	9.815.83	13,199,13	13,199,13
1923			14.149.67	14.149.67
1924			4,998.89	4,998.89
1925			11,243.86	11,243.86
1926			20.597.87	21,416.891
1927			11,720.32	11.720.32
1928			9,421,94	43,178,172
1929		-	9,884.15	19,805.15
1930	·		12.364.89	12,364.89
1931			15.112.74	15,112.74
1932	******************		12.864.47	12.864.47

^{*}From Annual Report of the City Controller, Pittsburgh, for the respective years.

governmental purposes, under such conditions that they increase the assets without increasing the debt liabilities or decrease the debt liabilities without decreasing the assets." The criterion given in this definition has been applied as nearly as it was possible to apply it. It is fully recognized that there is likely to be a measure of inaccuracy in the classification. In the first place, the data are mainly from published reports, and the lumping of odds and ends necessary for purposes of condensed publication results in occasional difficulties of classification. In the second place, over the long period studied there were occasional changes in the classification of receipts for purposes of publication. Every effort has been made to make the data consistent throughout the period studied, and it is believed that the remaining inaccuracies are not serious enough to distort the analysis of budget revenues.

In Table A-1, the grand total of "receipts" is divided into revenues and non-revenue receipts. A summary of the more important classes of non-revenue receipts is shown in Table A-3.

[†]This item appears to arise largely as a bookkeeping adjustment due to exoneration of charitable institutions from water assessments. In the published reports, erroneous tax receipts and erroneous water service receipts have not been shown separately since 1922. In addition to erroneous taxes and water rents, the totals include unclassified refunds of erroneous receipts as follows: 1917, \$880.23; 1918, \$155.50; 1920, \$682.00; 1926, \$819.02; 1928, \$33,756.23; 1929, \$9,921.00.

¹ Financial Statistics of Cities, 1930, p. 18.

TABLE A-3

Non-Revenue Receipts of the City of Pittsburgh, by Major Classes, 1915-1932*

Year	Realization from Borrowing	Reimbursements from Other Local Units	Transfers	Investment Transactions	Sales of Real Estate	Refunds and Miscellaneous	Total Non-Revenue Receipts
1915 1916 1917 1918 1919	\$ 4,710,621 1,194,478 266,400 911,035 5,834,357	\$ 42,000 39,250 39,250 39,250 39,250 29,438	\$2,545,423 2,483,525 1,917,771 2,511,667 1,913,320	\$3,020,100 1,852,600 204,900 1,165,600 317,000	\$192,825 241,583 28,050 69,884 71,735	\$ 51,907 71,366 262,722 46,697 29,330	\$10,562,876 5,882,802 2,719,093 4,745,133 8,195,180
1920	\$,799,075	49,062	2,195,664	161,600	57,464	11,313	8,274,178
1921	\$,887,705	70,216	2,392,766	406,300	112,526	8,731	8,878,244
1922	4,374,573	54,589	2,701,612	304,400	89,789	14,727	7,539,690
1923	1,248,166	85,842	2,756,731	349,800	187,169	7,445	4,635,153
1924	1,070,750	70,216	2,686,970	1,045,400	344,983	1,220	5,219,539
1925	1,974,131	86,341	2,788,679	533,200	40,218	10,268	5,432,837
1926	9,296,247	89,266	2,922,212	253,300	36,049	4,074	12,601,148
1927	14,589,369	70,216	3,159,649	753,800	22,710	5,018	18,600,762
1928	6,628,804	83,000	3,497,228	184,400	22,759	4,550	10,420,741
1929	22,000	470,000	3,548,325	314,900	26,294	10,834	4,392,353
1930	5,971,902	83 ,000	3,596,856	267,500	2,676	23,397	9,945,331
1931	2,885,269	83 ,000	4,335,298	216,400	38,378	448,771	8,007,116
1932	8,234,461	83 ,000	4,463,782	297,742	3,362	159,393	8,241,740

^{*}From Annual Report of the City Controller, Pittsburgh, for the respective years. Classifications explained in accompanying text.

The predominant part consists of two classes—borrowings and transfers. A brief discussion of the several classes of non-revenue receipts is given in the following sections.

Realization from Borrowing

In most of the years since 1915, borrowing has been of considerable amount. The par amount received and premium and accrued interest are included under "Realization from Borrowing" in Table A-3. The city's bonded debt is increased exactly by the par amount of bonds issued. Interest to be paid by the city is immediately increased by the amount of accrued interest received when the bonds are sold. The relationship of premium to the obligations created is not so immediate; it arises from the fact that the rate of interest stipulated in the bonds is higher than the rate demanded by the successful bidder or bidders. Presumably, then, it may be considered as the present value (at the time of sale of the bond) of an annuity equal to the amount of excess interest for the life of the bonds. At any rate, premium on bonds sold is derived from borrowing operations, not from revenue operations.

Reimbursements from Other Local Units

The city school district makes annual payments to the city in compensation for collection of school taxes by the city treasurer, who is officially school treasurer also, and for medical inspection in schools by the city department of health. In three of the years studied, payments were made by the county to the city under a plan of cost-sharing on public works projects. The amounts reported as received from the county were as follows: in 1925, \$16,125; in 1926, \$19,050; in 1929, \$387,000. With these exceptions, the amounts in this classification in Table A-3 are payments by the school district. Since the amounts covering these reimbursements enter the local governmental picture as receipts of the school district or of the county, they were ruled out as city receipts. (Correspondingly, they would be counted as reductions of city expenditures, since they are finally charges on the school budget or the county budget.)

Transfers

Another large part of the non-revenue receipts is made up of transfers (Table A-3): The largest transfer each year is that from the general fund to the sinking funds for amortization of debt. Amounts reported as interest received on sinking fund investments are classified in this study as transfers from general fund to sinking fund, since the sinking fund investments are city bonds. In some of the years, amounts are reported as transfers from sinking fund surplus to general sinking fund, i.e., surpluses in specific sinking funds made available to meet amortization requirements in other sinking funds. Transfers from bond funds (balances of borrowed money) to sinking funds occur with respect to amounts remaining in the bond funds after the projects for which the bonds were issued have been completed. Special assessment receipts in street and sewer funds, after final payments to contractors have been covered by funding bond issues. are transferred to the general fund. Receipts reported under the heading "Stores for Distribution" are usually mere appropriation transfers by means of which the revolving fund used for centralized purchases is replenished as supplies are issued to the several departments; they may be new appropriation transfers for the enlargement of the purchasing fund.

Transfers to or from the guarantee of deposit fund require a little fuller explanation. By an ordinance enacted in 1912, it was provided that \$100,000 per year should be set aside from interest received on city deposits (except sinking fund deposits) until a fund of \$500,000 had been accumulated. interest received on deposits of general funds (not sinking funds) was to be used, when necessary, to replenish this fund. If a depository should fail to meet its deposit obligation to the city, transfers were to be made from this fund to offset the immediate reduction in available cash, and subsequent recoveries from the bank were to be placed in the guaranty fund. When transfers of general fund interest receipts plus recoveries from failed depositories raised the fund above \$500,000, the excess was to be returned to the general fund. This guaranty fund was merely a part of the treasury machinery for handling the tie-up or the loss of city funds through bank failure; it was in no sense an instrumentality for producing revenue. (This plan was

abolished in 1931, another method of deposit guaranty being established.)

Investment Transactions

Receipts arising from investment transactions (Table A-3) are made up of two reported items. One is from redemption of matured investments. The investments are city bonds. Payment of city bonds at maturity brings cash into the sinking funds in place of the matured bonds. The other item of investment transactions is that frequently referred to as "sales inter se," cash of one sinking fund being traded for bonds in another. (The published reports do not deal with sinking fund profit or loss on investment transactions. It is assumed for purposes of this study that such profit or loss would not be of material consequence.)

Sales of Real Estate

Realization from the sale of property makes city resources available in liquid form, thus introducing into the current flow of cash something that was not there before. In the absence of profit and loss data, however, these amounts must be counted as mere changes in form of city assets, not additions to assets. (It may be argued that receipts from minor sales of property other than real estate should likewise be counted as non-revenue. But minor departmental sales are so mixed with services that they cannot be accurately separated from earnings of departments.)

Refunds and Miscellaneous Non-Revenue Receipts

Receipts called refunds are ordinarily understood to be returns of amounts or parts of amounts once paid out. Disbursing officers, being human, sometimes make mistakes. An erroneous payment returned to the treasury is a refund. Sometimes it is found that a payment has been made on an erroneous invoice or on a claim that was in part or wholly fraudulent—not necessarily because of any avoidable mistake of the finance officer. Recovery of such payment would usually be treated as a refund. In the published reports, there is occasional lumping of miscellaneous minor entries, so that refunds cannot be uniformly segre-

gated from minor revenues or non-revenues. Sometimes the description of what appears to be comparable items in other years serves as a guide in classification.

Along with refunds in the total designated "Refunds and Miscellaneous" (Table A-3), there are several odds and ends. One of the more important items consists of recoveries from failed depositories. It has been pointed out in the discussion of transfers that recoveries from depositories failing while the guarantee of deposit fund was in operation were carried to this fund. Apparently, in some of the years after 1915 there were recoveries from banks that failed before the guaranty fund was established. The guaranty fund was abolished in 1931, a different system of deposit insurance being established. In 1932, recoveries from failed banks are in this miscellaneous total. Another group of small items consists of amounts temporarily in trust, such as fines collected for the state or taxes and water rents over-paid. Any other item not otherwise classified but apparently not city revenue was placed in the classification "Refunds and Miscellaneous."

APPENDIX B

Minor General Budget Revenues of the City of Pittsburgh

Real estate tax collections are readily recognized as revenues. Among other reported "receipts," however, there are large amounts that must be classed as non-revenue (discussed in Appendix A). Among the revenues, those received from the water service have been excluded as not properly a part of the general budget picture (Table 12, in Chapter 3). Appendix B is intended mainly to show the yield of the several classes of minor general budget revenues in comparison with the yield of the real estate tax and to indicate the kinds of items that make up each class of minor revenues. It is thus merely a summary of minor revenues, supplementary to the real estate tax study. It is in no sense offered as a thoroughgoing analysis of minor revenues of the city.

As an introduction to the discussion of minor revenues, a precaution should be observed. Administrations change, and there is no certainty that data published by one administration are exactly comparable with data released by other administrations. Indeed, within a single report issued by one administration, the data are not always consistent. The attempt has been made, however, with a great deal of courteous assistance from the City Controller's office, to present data comparable over the period studied.

In 1915 the minor revenues produced about one-fifth of the total general budget revenues. Since the war the range has been from about one-sixth to about one-ninth (Table 13, in Chapter 3). By reference to Table 12, Chapter 3, it will be noted that the total of general budget revenues tended generally upward from \$9,700,000 in 1915 to \$25,200,000 in 1930. The total from the minor revenues was somewhat over \$2,000,000 in 1915. Although more irregular than the tax total, the minor revenues

TABLE B-1

Minor General Budget Revenues* of the City of Pittsburgh, by Classes,† 1915-1932

Yes	Total	Special Assessments	Licenses and Permits	Rents and Concessions	Interest on Deposits	Fines and Penalties	Grants and Donations	Pittsburgh Railways	Miscellaneou
1915 1916 1917 1918 1919 1920 1921 1922 1923	\$2.025.661 1,939.010 2,192.415 2,210.792 1,757,304 2,449.044 2,531,924 2,517,159 2,176.607	\$ 200 .874 237,105 391.819 504,772 516,334 418,489 653,942 714,722 436,642	\$860,429 823,866 814,802 771,453 283,767 879,699 500,109 345,126 266,972	\$136,450 136,263 135,934 142,880 145,667 166,705 211,672 220,030 243,517	\$190,509 141,397 114,626 195,777 174,017 360,381 455,787 426,232 417,747	\$192,513 238,447 237,011 277,660 278,609 335,235 286,678 403,127 425,621	\$ 117,651 141,432 116,641 124,111 228,037 137,089 285,622 250,786 154,632	\$144,494 136,053 132,382 66,012	\$182,741 134,447 250,200 128,127 130,873 151,446 138,114 157,116 231,476
1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932	2,638,095 2,878,881 2,880,462 3,586,179 3,526,313 3,338,357 3,491,076 2,636,731 2,788,275	544,881 750,736 742,967 980,469 1,042,249 802,073 983,958 590,115 328,705	230,540 245,126 237,876 264,987 246,522 243,890 237,424 225,203 178,145	255,350 247,731 247,153 261,596 248,567 255,890 242,612 250,755 225,035	364,478 311,958 287,591 575,255 679,586 629,029 515,894 459,050 236,388	504,958 476,173 467,284 414,774 392,868 362,142 423,697 368,463 362,569	238,210 299,424 217,993 374,255 323,822 394,306 466,056 465,211 1,207,016	273,225 352,609 452,609 467,195 382,054 384,811 384,810 58,207 84,061	226,453 195,124 226,989 249,648 210,645 266,216 236,625 219,727 166,356

^{*}All revenues other than real estate tax and water revenue. See Table 12 in Chapter 3, item "Other General Budget Revenue." †Refer to explanation of the respective classifications in the accompanying text.

also moved generally upward until 1927, when the aggregate was close to \$3,600,000. By 1932 the total had fallen below \$2,800,000.

In Table B-1 the amounts received annually from the various classes of minor revenues are shown for the years 1915-1932. In Table B-2 the percentage of general budget revenue from each classification is shown. These tables will be clarified by a brief explanatory statement concerning each of the classifications.

TABLE B-2

Per Cent of City General Budget Revenue, Pittsburgh, from Each Class of Minor Revenues, 1915-1932*

Year	Total	Special Assess- ments	Li- censes and Permits	Rents and Conces- sions	Interest on Deposits	Fines and Penal- ties	Grants and Dons- tions	Pitte- burgh Rail- ways	Miscel- laneous
1915 1916 1917 1918 1919	% 20.82 17.99 20.83 17.67 13.80	% 2.06 2.14 3.72 4.03 4.05	% 8.84 7.45 7.74 6.16 2.23	% 1.40 1.23 1.29 1.14 1.14	% 1.96 1.28 1.09 1.56 1.37	7.98 2.16 2.25 2.22 2.19	% 1.21 1.28 1.11 0.99 1.79	% 1.49 1.23 1.26 0.53	1.88 1.22 2.37 1.04 1.03
1920	15.53	2.65	5.58	1.06	2.29	2.13	0.87	1.52	0.95
1921	15.17	3.92	3.00	1.27	2.73	1.72	1.71		0.82
1922	15.44	4.38	2.12	1.35	2.61	2.47	1.54		0.94
1923	12.58	2.52	1.54	1.41	2.41	2.46	0.89		1.35
1924	14.69	3.03	1.28	1.42	2.03	2.81	1.33		1.27
1925	16.31	4.25	1.39	1.40	1.77	2.70	1.70	2.00	1.10
1926	14.58	3.76	1.20	1.25	1.46	2.37	1.10	2.29	1.15
1927	17.00	4.65	1.26	1.24	2.73	1.97	1.77	2.21	1.17
1928	14.94	4.42	1.04	1.05	2.88	1.66	1.37	1.62	0.90
1929	14.00	3.36	1.02	1.07	2.64	1.52	1.65	1.61	1.13
1930	13.87	3.91	0.94	0.96	2.05	1.68	1.85	1.53	0.95
1931	11.24	2.52	0.96	1.07	1.96	1.57	1.98	0.25	0.93
1932	13.56	1.60	0.87	1.09	1.15	1.76	5.87	0.41	0.81

^{*}Amounts shown in Table B-1 for each year expressed as percentages of the corresponding annual amounts shown in Table 12 as "Total General Budget Revenue."

Special Assessments

Special assessments, in the most common usage of the term, are charges of some part of the cost of a public improvement against properties abutting on, or located near, the improvements. In other words, within the assessment area on a given project, they are charges on real estate, additional to the general levy. The assumption on the basis of which such special charges are justified is that the improvements are of special benefit to the owners of neighboring properties. In Pittsburgh, special assessments are made to cover part of the cost of street and sewer construction.

Licenses and Permits

Licenses and permits, in the generally accepted sense of the words, are alike in that they are special charges imposed in connection with governmental regulation of private possession or conduct. Grants of authority for a fixed period of time—month, season, or year—usually are called licenses, and the fees collected in connection with such grants of authority are called "license fees" or simply "licenses." An authorization relating to a single performance of a specific action, such as erecting a building, is ordinarily called a permit. The distinction between these two classes of revenues is not always clear in the city records. Moreover, items under other names, such as "registration of electricians," are of the same general character. Whatever the name under which the item is recorded, all items recognizable in the published reports of the city as being of the character of licenses or of permits have been so classified.

In the years 1915-1918 and again in 1920, this class of revenue was the largest of the minor groups (Table B-1). The reason was liquor licenses—well in excess of \$600,000 in the earlier years.

Aside from this major item in earlier years, this classification includes a rather large number of other licenses, most of which may be grouped roughly into three classifications. First, licenses are required for a wide range of amusement and recreational businesses—theaters, operatic performances, circuses and other transient exhibitions, carnivals and street fairs, dance halls, baseball parks, shooting galleries, pool or billiard parlors, skating rinks, penny arcades, merry-go-rounds, and roof gardens. Second, licenses are required for a number of businesses other than those in the amusement and recreational bracket-milk dealers. beauty parlors, junk dealers, second-hand dealers, pawn brokers, fumigators, street venders, and vending machines. A license is required of anyone selling or storing explosives. Sale of fireworks is permitted only under license and only for one month preceding July 4. Third, a few licenses relate to occupations (not necessarily in connection with a specific place of business). Licenses, under one name or another, are required of auctioneers (each auction), beauty parlor operators (personal license in addition to license for place of business), electricians, engineers.

plumbers, and picture machine operators. In addition to these three main groups, city licenses are required for the operation of horse-drawn vehicles or bicycles and for the possession of dogs.

Most of the amounts received by the city for permits are for the several types of permits related to building, i.e., for the main structure, for wiring, for heating equipment, and for plumbing. A number of related inspectional fees have been included with permits in Table B-1.

Rents and Concessions

Aside from the amounts received for rental of miscellaneous properties scattered here and there throughout the city, three classes of city rental income should be mentioned—that from the markets owned by the city, that from the wharves and landings, and rents and concessions from the parks. Fees for parking automobiles on the wharves are included in the total of rents and concessions; golf permits and golf locker rentals also are included.

Interest on City Deposits

The interest item shown in Table B-1 includes interest received on deposits of general and special revenue funds, of bond funds, and of sinking funds. It does not include interest on sinking fund investments. (See "Transfers" in Appendix A.) Accrued interest on bonds sold is not included. (See "Realization from Borrowing" in Appendix A.)

Although direct water service receipts are not counted general budget revenues, interest that might be attributable to water funds is included in Table B-1. Allocation of interest receipts would have to be arbitrary and has not been made. For present rough purposes, it need not be made, since the general expenditure budget (including all expenditures other than directly identifiable water service expenditures) carries important items part of which are costs of the water service (for example, the City Treasurer's office).

A great deal of the interest received is attributable to bond fund balances (borrowed money in hand); and much of the variation in amount of interest receipts is due to changes in bond fund balances. For example, at the end of 1925 the balance of bond fund cash (not adjusted for warrants outstanding) was \$2,779,610; at the end of 1927 the total was \$14,716,095. The first amount was the lowest end-of-year bond fund balance in the period 1919-1932; the second amount was the highest. Such wide variation, of course, is extreme; but considerable changes are common and have much to do with variations in interest received.

To whatever extent the rate paid on bonds exceeds the rate received on balances of borrowed cash, the carrying of large balances in bond funds is costly. Consequently, interest receipts from large balances of borrowed funds are deceptive and are classifiable as "revenues" (see definition in Appendix A) only in a doubtful sense.

Fines and Penalties

In the usual meaning of the words, "fines" are imposed by the courts and "penalties" are imposed by administrative offices. The exceptions are not of material importance in this summary. Fines received by the city are mainly from the police courts, a small amount being from the aldermen's courts. The penalties included in this classification are mainly penalties and interest on delinquent taxes and on water rents. (No allocation of penalties and interest attributable to delinquent water rents was attempted. The reason is the same as that for not allocating interest, explained above under the caption "Interest on City Deposits.") The large volume of penalties for delinquent taxes and water rents in 1931 and 1932 is the reason why the total of fines and penalties was as large in those years as in 1929. Police court fines fell in each of the years 1926-1932.

Grants and Donations

Most of the revenue of this class is received from the state. One item, the city share of the fire insurance tax, is a legally fixed share of the gross premiums tax collected by the state from foreign fire insurance companies (i.e., companies chartered outside Pennsylvania). Another item in this classification is state aid to the city for charities. The sharp increase in the amount of grants and donations in 1932 was due almost entirely to emergency unemployment relief, under the Talbot Act.

Beginning in 1930, there were limited grants from the state on improvement projects on main roads in the city.

Donations from private sources are received by the city now and then, either for outright expenditure or to be held as income-producing trusts. The largest private donation is for the maintenance of Frick Park, received annually from the income of a trust created from the Frick estate. Other donations rarely have much effect on the total in this class of receipts.

Pittsburgh Railways

For the years 1915-1919, the amounts shown include items reported as charges for street cleaning and as taxes on gross receipts and on cars. The amounts shown for the later years are made up of three items explainable only in terms of the agreement signed in 1921 between the Pittsburgh Railways Company and the City. Under that agreement, all past-due claims for street cleaning, paving, and special taxes were pooled in one sum thereafter referred to in the city reports as "old claims." A stipulated sum (subject to change if mileage of street railways was changed) was set to take the place of special taxes and other charges except paying and repaying in the car tracks. This payment is later reported as "franchise." Another stipulated amount (also subject to change if mileage changed) was set to take the place of all special assessments of paving costs. This was later reported as "paving and repaving." The amounts shown in Table B-1 for the years 1924-1930 include payments separately reported in the published data as "old claims," "franchises," and "paving and repaving." For 1931 and 1932, the amounts reported in the published data were called "franchises."

Miscellaneous Minor Revenues

In addition to the classes of revenues already explained, there are numerous odds and ends of city revenues, some being of distinct classes but too small for separate classification in a summary, and some being of mixed character, straddling various classifications.

Among the receipts classified as miscellaneous in Table B-1, the more important items are what would commonly be called departmental earnings. Considerable amounts appear as charges made by the Bureau of Highways and Sewers for making excavations in streets (for water connections or gas connections, usually), for constructing sidewalks, and for other services specifically chargeable against individuals or firms. charges for bridge repairs are reported by the Bureau of Engineering. At least in part, these seem to be related to the street railways paving charge, mentioned above. The Department of Health collects pay or part-pay from some of the patients at the municipal hospital; other receipts of this department are derived from the sale of milk and the sale of vaccine anti-toxin. The Department of Welfare receives a few thousand dollars a year as pay or part-pay for the care of persons at Mayview Home. Various fees and costs incident to legal actions are collected by the Department of Law.' Several thousand dollars a year are collected for the printing of private ordinances. The Bureau of Police reports an item "special police," consisting of payment for extra police detailed on private property.

In addition to such items of departmental earnings, each year shows several amounts, scattered here and there among the departmental reports, representing a variety of sales and charges. In some of the years of the period studied, sale of horses was reported by several departments, most of these sales occurring, of course, in the process of motorizing city departments. Several departments report sales of material or of scrap. Recoveries for damages to city equipment or property appear occasionally, as well as receipts in liquidation of judgments. Numerous small items of unclassified charges appear. An attempt has been made to eliminate refunds to appropriations; but probably some minor items of this sort remain in the total set up as miscellaneous in Table B-1. On the other hand, it is probable that some minor amounts of actual revenue are erroneously included in nonrevenue (Appendix A). The totals of the items, however, are so small that no considerable error could arise from a mistake in the classification of them.

Unclassified amounts received from the treasury assets of annexed areas are included here as miscellaneous. Inasmuch as the city assumes the debts of annexed units, receipts incident to annexation are not consistent with the definition of revenue given in Appendix A; but it is not possible, from published data, to work out the book gain or loss to the city from annexation. The volume of receipts thus doubtfully classified as revenue is not large enough to make substantial difference in the total.

A group of collections from public utility companies has been placed in the miscellaneous total. The more important are gross receipts taxes from two utility companies; charges against steam railway companies for rights-of-way over or across streets or alleys; and charges for the suspension of pipes, cables, and conduits on bridges. (Note, however, that the major collections from utilities are segregated under the heading "Pittsburgh Railways," explained above.)

PUBLICATIONS OF THE BUREAU OF BUSINESS **RESEARCH***

Publication Number 1 Business Forecasting†
Publication Number 2 Housing Rents in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania†
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Publication Number 3 Residence Construction and Other
Factors Which Have Determined
Rent Levels in Pittsburgh
Rent Levels in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and Their Causes † 1926
(A combination of Number 2 and Number 3, above.)
Barometer Number 1 The Iron and Steel Industry1927
Barometer Number 2 The Price of Pig Iron
Barometer Number 3 The Bituminous Coal Industry† 1927
Miscellaneous Publications
Changes in Pittsburgh's Business Conditions
Consumer Attitude Toward Packaging of Meats†1930
(Published by the National Provisioner, Chicago; distri-
buted by the Bureau of Business Research.)
Periodical
Pittsburgh Business Review, A Monthly Summary of
Business and Economic Conditions in the Pittsburgh
District (Vol. I, Nos. 1-13, December, 1930-De-
cember, 1931, inclusive. Thereafter, 12 numbers to
the volume.)
Bureau of Business Research Monographs
Number 1 Housing Status of Salaried Workers Em- Price
ployed in Pittsburgh1932 \$1.00
Number 2 Regulation of Intercoastal Commerce 1932 \$1.00
Number 3 The City Real Estate Tax in Pittsburgh 1934 \$1.00

^{*} Available without charge unless otherwise noted. † Supply exhausted.