

AcN 350

**SERVANTS OF INDIA SOCIETY'S LIBRARY
PUNE 411 004
FOR INTERNAL CIRCULATION**

To be returned on or before the last date stamped below.

29 APR 1966

Dhananjayrao Gadgil Library



GIPE-PUNE-000350

The Indian Mutiny

1857-58

SELECTIONS

FROM THE

LETTERS DESPATCHES AND OTHER STATE PAPERS

PRESERVED IN

THE MILITARY DEPARTMENT

OF

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

1857-58

EDITED BY

GEORGE W. FORREST, B.A.

DIRECTOR OF RECORDS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

FELLOW OF THE BOMBAY UNIVERSITY

WITH A MAP AND PLANS

VOLUME I



CALCUTTA

MILITARY DEPARTMENT PRESS

1893.

V2, L: 51. MS7
C3.1

350

PREFACE

THREE years ago, Lieutenant-General Sir George Chesney, K.C.B., at that time Military Member of Council, requested me to examine and systematically arrange, with a view to publication, all the State papers relating to the revolt of the Bengal Native Army in 1857 deposited in the different military offices of the Government of India. A mass of papers were placed in my hands, and, as they belonged to different offices, different modes of arrangement had been adopted. My first step was to arrange the papers in the following main groups—

- 1st.*—All papers relating to the first outbreak of disaffection at Barrackpore and Berhampore and the revolt of the Native troops at Meerut: all documents relating to the capture of Delhi by the English troops.
- 2nd.*—All papers relating to the mutiny at Lucknow and the defence of the Residency by the garrison: General Havelock's march from Allahabad and the first relief of Lucknow: General Outram's defence of Lucknow: Sir Colin Campbell's relief of Lucknow in November 1857: Outram's defence of the Alambagh: General Windham's defence of Cawnpore: Sir Colin Campbell's storming of Lucknow. All documents relating to the outbreak at Cawnpore and the defence of the intrenchment.

3rd.—All papers relating to Sir Hugh Rose's Campaign of Central India : General Whitlock's Campaign : Sir Colin Campbell's operations in Oude. All miscellaneous papers relating to the different outbreaks and operations of war in different parts of India.

When the whole mass emerged from confusion, the papers belonging to the first group were arranged in uniform chronological order, and the volume now published comprises all the military records from the first outbreak of disaffection to the siege and storming of Delhi by the English troops. The story of that siege, one of the most illustrious in the annals of England, is told by the letters and despatches of the chief actors, and their plain narrative of facts, reflecting the spirit which produces great deeds, must always be read by Englishmen with interest, pride and sympathy.

As the letters and despatches do not, however, furnish an unbroken narrative of events and are somewhat full of technical details, I have thought it advisable, for the sake of the general reader, to construct from these official materials a continuous story and to prefix it as an introduction. For the introduction, besides the original documents, I have consulted *The History of the Indian Mutiny*, by Sir John Kaye and Colonel Malleon ; *The History of the Indian Mutiny*, by T. R. E. Holmes ; *The Punjab and Delhi in 1857*, by the Reverend Cave-Browne ; *Letters written during the Siege of Delhi*, by H. H. Greathed, Esq. ; *A Chaplain's Narrative of the Siege of Delhi*, by the Reverend J. A. W. Rotton ; *The Sepoy War*, by Sir Hope Grant and Captain H. Knowlys ; *A Year's Campaign in India*, by Captain Medley ; *Eight Months' Campaign against the Bengal Sepoys during the Mutiny of 1857*, by Colonel George Bouchier, c.b. ; *Mutiny Memoirs*, by Colonel A. R. D. Mackenzie, c.b. ; and *The History of the Siege of Delhi*, by an Officer who served there, from which I have derived considerable assistance.

It is a difficult and dangerous task for a civilian to describe the operations of war, but owing to the generous assistance rendered me by many military men my work has been made less onerous and is offered with less fear. Their technical knowledge I trust has removed and corrected numerous imperfections.

To Lord Roberts, who took part in the conflict and whose name is in the list of the wounded, my best thanks are due for describing to me on the theatre of their enactment the principal operations of the great siege which decided the destinies of India. To His Lordship I am further indebted for having found time amidst his multifarious and responsible duties to read the Introduction and furnish some important suggestions and corrections. I must also record my obligation to him for the comparative table showing the casualties in the different arms of the service in the Crimea and Delhi. I may however mention that the Introduction has no official character or authority.

It affords me much pleasure to acknowledge the great trouble taken in the preparation of the maps and plans by Colonel Wilkins, Assistant Surveyor-General, and Mr. Cusson, Assistant Surveyor.

The letters, reports and returns have been printed exactly as they were written day by day, and no alteration has been made in the orthography of the several writers. It is true "Swami House" is correct and orthodox, but "Sammy House" was what the soldiers who so stoutly defended the temple called it, and to alter it would be an act of repulsive pedantry. The corruption of the name is characteristic of the British soldier, and about "Sammy House" dwells the memory of many a hard fought contest and many a signal deed of valour.

CALCUTTA; }
May 1893. }

CONTENTS

CHAP		PAGES
	INTRODUCTION	
I	BARRACKPORE AND BERHAMPORE - - - - -	1
II	MEERUT - - - - -	227
III	DELHI - - - - -	263

MAP AND PLANS

	MAP OF INDIA TO ILLUSTRATE THE HISTORY OF THE MUTINY IN	
	1857 - - - - -	POCKET
	PLAN OF THE BRITISH POSITION AT DELHI MAINTAINED FROM	
	8TH JUNE TO 14TH SEPTEMBER 1857 - - - - -	,,
		PAGES
	SKETCH MAP TO ILLUSTRATE THE MARCHES OF THE DELHI	
	FIELD FORCES, 1857 - - - - -	263
	PLAN OF ENGAGEMENT AT BADLI-KI-SERAI - - - - -	290
	SKETCH ILLUSTRATIVE OF THE ACTION OF NAJAFGARH - - - - -	361

APPENDIX A

From Major-General J. B. HEARSEY, C.B., Commanding the Presidency Division, to the Secretary to the Government of India, in the Military Department,—dated Barrackpore, 22nd March 1857.

IN compliance with the directions contained in your official despatch of the 14th instant, I have the honor to enclose for submission to Government a letter in duplicate from the Officer Commanding the 19th Regiment of Native Infantry, dated the 18th idem, furnishing the required explanation of his conduct as affected by the statements in the petition of the men of the 19th Regiment of Native Infantry, which petition is also herewith returned.

From Lieutenant-Colonel W. ST. L. MITCHELL, Commanding the 19th Regiment of Native Infantry, to the Assistant Adjutant-General, Barrackpore,—dated Berhampore, 18th March 1857.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of a letter to Major-General J. B. Hearsey, C.B., commanding the Presidency Division, from Colonel R. J. H. Birch, C.B., Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, dated Council Chamber, Fort William, 14th March 1857; and in reply I beg leave to state as follows:—

The first statement in the petition in which I am mentioned is regarding the meeting at the mess-house on the 16th February; on that subject I need say nothing; the Major-General has received my report and approved of my proceedings.

The petition then goes on to state:—"After some time fresh stores arrived from Calcutta"; this statement is not true. The stores arrived on the 11th February, five days before the meeting at the mess-house, and subsequently none have been received.

The petition then states that I spoke angrily on parade, saying, "If you will not take the cartridges, I will take you to Burma, where

through hardships you will all die." I certainly did not make use of the expression above quoted; I explained to the regiment that the cartridges were made by the men of the 7th Native Infantry, and had been in store for a long time, and therefore they should be served out by the officers commanding companies. It is true, I cautioned the regiment against the serious consequences which would ensue from their persisting to disobey orders in refusing to take the cartridges.

It is stated in the petition that I said—"This is a very bad business; we don't fear to die, and will die here." I don't remember exactly the words I made use of on the occasion above referred to, but they were to the effect that we, the officers, were prepared to do our duty, should the men of the regiment not yield obedience.

It was not until after the men of the regiment had broken into the *kotas*, had armed themselves, and were in a state of mutiny, that I called out the artillery and cavalry.

The Adjutant, who went by my orders to the quarter-guard to sound the call for the native officers, came back with a report that the native officers at the quarter-guard had warned him not to go onwards, as the men were loaded, and would fire. When I arrived with the guns on parade, there was much shouting on the part of the men, and the rattling of ramrods in the barrels of the muskets,—such a noise as is made in loading.

It is further stated in the petition that I sent away the cavalry and guns, but said that they should come to a general parade in the morning, but that, on the native officers having requested me to dispense with the presence of artillery and cavalry, and on the representation of the Adjutant, I did so. I at first thought it advisable to parade all the troops together in the morning, thinking that the presence of the cavalry and artillery would hold the 19th Native Infantry, in check in case of any further outbreak; but subsequently, on its being reported to me that three or four companies had given up their arms, and that the rest were doing so, and as I saw a disposition on the part of the men to return to obedience, and in order to restore confidence, I took away the guns and ordered off the cavalry, and all was quiet in the lines.

At first I objected to parade the 19th Native Infantry alone, for the reasons above assigned; but on hearing from the Adjutant that the native officers had told him that the men could not be divested of the idea that the other troops were to be called out to act against

them, I relinquished my intention of parading all the troops in the morning, and directed that the 19th Native Infantry should be paraded alone, which was done, and the regiment behaved quietly, respectfully, and obediently.

I feel certain that the mutinous proceedings of the 19th Native Infantry, on the night of the 26th February, did not arise from anything that took place on parade that evening; but that the men were ripe for an outbreak owing to communications which they had been receiving for days and weeks previously from some of their comrades in the regiments at Barrackpore.

I am sure it will be readily admitted by the Major-General that my position was a very trying and a very difficult one, and I cannot help thinking that, if I had adopted measures less prompt and vigorous, lamentable results might have ensued.

Demi-official from Lieutenant-Colonel W. St. L. MITCHELL, Commanding the 19th Regiment of Native Infantry, to the Assistant Adjutant-General, Barrackpore,—dated Berhampore, 19th March 1857.

I return the petition with an explanatory letter in duplicate.

The commissariat elephants have not yet arrived, but I hope they may to-day or to-morrow, as I am anxious to march from this on Saturday morning, although we will be rather short of carts. I am sending everything I can by water. Any public letters I will send off to-morrow.

From Colonel R. J. H. BIRCH, C.B., Secretary to the Government of India in the Military Department, to Major-General J. B. HEARSEY, C.B., Commanding the Presidency Division,—dated Fort William, 31st March 1857.

With reference to my letter of this date, I am instructed to transmit to you, for the purpose of being laid before the Court of Enquiry ordered to be assembled to investigate into the conduct of Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell, Commanding the 19th Native Infantry at Berhampore, the several documents enumerated in the accompanying list.

2. The return of the documents is requested when no longer required for the purpose of the investigation.

List of papers transmitted with letter to Major-General J. B. HEARSEY, C.B., Commanding the Presidency Division, dated the 31st March 1857.

Letter to Major-General Lloyd, commanding the Dinapore Division, of the 13th February 1857, and his reply, dated the 17th February 1857.

Letter to the Officer Commanding at Berhampore, of the 13th, and his reply, dated the 17th February 1857.

Despatch from Major-General Hearsey, C.B., dated 21st February 1857, with three enclosures.

Letter from the Deputy Assistant Adjutant-General, dated 2nd March 1857, with one from Major-General Hearsey, of the 1st March, enclosing a demi-official from Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell, dated 27th February 1857, with two pieces of brown paper.

Letter from Major-General Hearsey, dated 5th, enclosing one from Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell, dated 2nd March 1857, with a Persian petition from the 19th Native Infantry, and translation in English.

Letter to Major-General Hearsey, dated 14th March 1857.

Letter from Major-General Hearsey, dated 7th March 1857, with one from Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell, dated 3rd of March, also one dated 5th March (and a demi-official also dated 5th March), with the proceedings of a European Court of Enquiry held at Berhampore, on the 27th February 1857.

A demi-official letter from Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell, dated 8th March 1857.

Letter from Major-General Hearsey, dated 13th March 1857 with two demi-official letters from Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell, dated 6th and 7th March 1857.

Letter from Major-General Hearsey, dated 9th March 1857, with one from Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell, enclosing the continued proceedings of the Court of Enquiry, also a demi-official letter dated 11th March 1857.

Letter from Major-General Hearsey, dated 18th March 1857, with the continued proceedings of the Court of Enquiry.

Letter from Major-General Hearsey, dated 22nd March 1857, with one from Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell, dated 18th March 1857, and a demi-official letter dated 19th March 1857.

Proceedings of a Special Court of Enquiry, held at Barrackpore, on the 2nd of April 1857, by order of Major-General J. B. HEARSEY, C.B., Commanding the Presidency Division, to investigate certain matters which will be communicated to the President by the Assistant Adjutant-General of the Division.

PRESIDENT:

Brigadier C. GRANT, C.B., Commanding at Barrackpore.

MEMBERS:

Brevet-Colonel E. AMSINCK, 3rd Battalion, Madras Artillery.

Brevet-Lieutenant-Colonel A. HUYSER, 5th Battalion, Bengal Artillery.

Major H. W. MATTHEWS, 43rd Regiment, Light Infantry.

Major W. A. COOKE, 2nd Grenadiers.

Captain G. N. GREENE, 70th Regt., Nat. Infy., conducting the Proceedings.

Thursday, 2nd April 1857.

The Court assembled at 11 o'clock, A. M., this day, at the mess-house of the 43rd Regiment, Light Infantry.

President, Members, and Officiating Judge-Advocate, all present.

Lieutenant-Colonel W. St. Leger Mitchell, commanding the 19th Regiment of Native Infantry, appears in Court.

Presidency Division Orders and Barrackpore Station Orders, both of the 1st instant, convening and forming the Court, fixing the hour and place of assembly, and nominating Ensign F. E. A. Chamier, 34th Regiment of Native Infantry, to be Interpreter to the Court, are here read.

The Interpreter is present in Court.

Read and attached to the Proceedings (marked Appendix A) letter of instructions of the 1st instant, from the Assistant Adjutant-General of the Division to the address of the President.

Read and attached to the Proceedings (marked Appendix B) letter, dated 31st ultimo, from the Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to the address of Major-General J. B. Hearsey, C.B., commanding the Presidency Division. Read successively the whole of the documents transmitted with the foregoing letter, as specified in the "list" annexed thereto, and appended and marked as above.

The Court adjourns at 2 o'clock, P. M., until 11 A. M. to-morrow, to enable the European officers of the 19th Regiment of Native Infantry to be warned to attend for the purpose of giving evidence.

Second day, Friday, 3rd April.

The Court having met pursuant to adjournment, and all being present as on yesterday, proceeds to the examination of witnesses.

Intimation being here received from the Assistant Adjutant-General of the Division that Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell and the officers of the 19th Regiment of Native Infantry are required to proceed on duty to Chinsurah, the Court adjourns at quarter past 11 o'clock, A. M., until further orders.

Third day, Monday, 6th April.

The Court re-assembled at 11 A. M., this day, agreeably to Station Orders of yesterday's date. All present as on first day.

Lieutenant-Colonel W. St. L. Mitchell is present in Court.

1st Witness

Lieutenant and Adjutant I. F. MacAndrew, 19th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and examined by the Officiating Judge-Advocate:—

Question—Did any of the European officers of companies accompany Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell and yourself to the quarter-guard of the 19th Regiment of Native Infantry on the evening of the 26th February?

Answer—No.

Question—Did the Lieutenant-Colonel direct any of them to go to their men upon that evening, and use their influence to induce them to accept the percussion caps which they had refused?

Answer—Not to my knowledge.

Question—What measures did he on that evening adopt for making known to the men of the regiment generally the explanation given to the native officers at the quarter-guard?

Answer—There were a number of native officers and havildars and about fifty sepoy present, and they were told to make known the Colonel's explanation to the men generally.

Question—Did the Lieutenant-Colonel, before leaving the quarter-guard, await a report of the effect of that explanation upon the men generally?

Answer—No.

Question—How soon after that explanation at the quarter-guard did you receive the Lieutenant-Colonel's orders to warn the Officer Commanding the 11th Irregular Cavalry to have his regiment on the 19th Native Infantry parade-ground, on the following morning; likewise the order given to yourself to have the post guns on the same ground?

Answer—Whilst driving home in a buggy from the lines.

Question—Did you, when at the quarter-guard the evening referred to, hear the Lieutenant-Colonel threaten the men by saying to them—"If you don't take the cartridges, I will take you to Burma or China, where you will all die"?

Answer—Yes; I heard something to that effect.

Question—Did you hear the Lieutenant-Colonel say that he would make the men bite the cartridges, or that, if they

Question—(concl'd.)

refused to do so, they would be imprisoned or transported?

Answer—I did not.

Question—Did you hear him say that he “would have the Governor-General’s orders read out;” and, if so, what did you understand thereby?

Answer—What I understood him to say was that he would have the Articles of War read.

Question—Was the submission of the men, in respect to lodging their arms on the night of the 26th February, prior or subsequent to the withdrawal of the artillery and cavalry?

Answer—I should say it was simultaneous.

Question—Do you consider that the circumstance of the men arming themselves upon that night was produced by fear of the guns and cavalry being used against them on the following morning?

Answer—No; I don’t think so, as it would have been time enough for them to arm themselves when the other forces actually came. My own opinion is that it was all arranged beforehand, though perhaps the parade for the blank ammunition may have precipitated the affair.

EXAMINED BY THE COURT

Question—Was the regiment aware that any grease required might be provided by the pay-havildars?

Answer—It was.

Question—Were you present when four men per company were called out, and the cartridges shown to them; if so, what was said by those men regarding them?

Answer—I was present; the four men per company were called out; the cartridges were opened before them; some of them were pulled to pieces, and the paper was burnt; the men discussed the matter amongst themselves, and some of them said there was not any grease. While the Colonel, the Quartermaster, and I were talking on the subject, a man named Guinness Doobey called my attention to there being two kinds of paper, one of which was more highly glazed than the other, and that he and the other men objected

Answer—(concl.)

to this kind. The men then requested that the two kinds might be put to the test of water.

Question—Was Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell's manner or language calculated to excite or arouse any religious feeling regarding the issue of the cartridges?

Answer—No.

Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell declines to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

2nd Witness

Captain J. MacDougall, 19th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and examined by the Officiating Judge-Advocate.

Question—Did you, on the evening of the 26th February, go to the lines of your company and endeavour to prevail upon your men to accept the percussion caps which they had rejected in the evening?

Answer—No; my reason for not doing so was that the refusal was not reported to me until 8 o'clock, P.M., by the orderly-havildar, who then told me that the Colonel and Adjutant were in the lines. I made an immediate report to the Commanding Officer.

Question—Did the men of the regiment, on the night in question, lodge their arms before or after Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell had directed the withdrawal of the guns and cavalry?

Answer—I did not see any of the men lodge their arms. Fifty men of my own company agreed to do so, but before I could get the other fifty together, those who had agreed had seated themselves under the trees with their arms in their hands, saying—"All will be right to-morrow morning."

CROSS-EXAMINED BY LIEUTENANT-COLONEL MITCHELL

Question—At the time you had collected the fifty men who agreed to lay down their arms, did not the men in general about you show a disposition to return to obedience?

Answer—I think if they had been more regularly drawn up at the *kotes*, they might have lodged their arms. I mean those men assembled about Nos. 1 and 2 *kotes*.

Question—Did I not order the European officers to go to their companies and endeavour to get their men to lodge

Question—(concl'd.)

their arms? Why, then, did not you get your company regularly drawn up?

Witness withdraws.*

3rd Witness

Captain H. D. Manning, 19th Regiment of Native Infantry, having been called into Court, is examined by the Officiating Judge-Advocate.

Question—Did you, on the evening of the 26th February, go to the lines of your company, and try to persuade them to take the percussion caps, which they had previously refused?

Answer—No; I did not. I was prepared to do so, but refrained because I heard that the commanding officer and the adjutant had already been to the lines (on the subject of that refusal) and returned therefrom.

Question—Did the lodgment of arms precede or succeed the withdrawal of the guns or cavalry on the night of the 26th February?

Answer—Up to the time of my quitting my company, the men had not lodged their arms. I saw but very few men of my own company with arms.

EXAMINED BY THE COURT

Question—Were you aware that any grease required might be prepared by the pay-havildars?

Answer—I am aware that my pay-havildars knew such to be the case; beyond that I cannot say; still my idea is that all the men were aware of it.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY LIEUTENANT-COLONEL MITCHELL

Question—Where were you when I gave the order for the guns to be taken away?

Answer—I heard the order given after I had left my company and joined you and the other officers.

Question—Did you hear the conversation that took place between me and the native officers? If so, state what it was.

Answer—When I joined the Colonel and the other officers at the guns, I heard the former call for the native officers; they came to the front; the Colonel spoke to them, saying: "This is a most disgraceful proceeding; I will order a general parade for the morning, and see what all this is about." The native officers begged that the regiment might be paraded alone, as it would only

* No reply recorded in original.

Answer—(concl'd.)

alarm the men were the whole of the troops ordered out. The Colonel at first declined acceding to their request, but afterwards yielded the point.

Question—Did not the native officers say to me that some of the companies had laid down their arms, and that the rest were in the act of doing so?

Answer—I did not myself hear them say so, but I have been told that they did say so.

Question—Did I not, before taking away the guns, say to the native officers that it was a very dark night and I could not ascertain how the men were behaving, but that I trusted to their honour that all should be quiet during the night, and I would meet them on parade in the morning?

Answer—Yes, you did.

Question—Did I not show you this paper (paper handed in, marked C, and appended to the Proceedings), and ask you to circulate the contents privately throughout the regiment, as I could not speak them to the men on parade for fear of their taking the subject as an address ordered by the Major-General or the Governor-General?

Answer—Yes, I recollect you showing me the paper; and although I cannot remember positively that you asked me to circulate it, yet you told me to do my best to try and discover the origin of the meeting, which from that time up to the date of disbandment I have endeavoured to do without avail.

The witness withdraws.

4th Witness

Lieutenant and Brevet-Captain L. R. Newhouse, 19th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court and examined by the Officiating Judge-Advocate.

Question—Did you, on the evening of the 26th February, go to the men of your company and try to prevail on them to take the percussion caps which they had on that evening refused?

Answer—No, I was officer of the day; but on a report to that effect having been made to me, I went in search of the commanding officer.

Question—Were you present at the quarter-guard on the evening in question when the Lieutenant-Colonel and the Adjutant were there?

Answer—No, I was not.

Question—Were you, at a later hour of the same date, amongst the men of your company after they had armed themselves, and did you enquire of them the reason of their doing so?

Answer—When the Colonel sent the European officers to their companies, I asked the men why they had armed themselves, and they said it was because they thought the cavalry were coming down to cut them up.

Question—Did the men lodge their arms before or after the withdrawal of the guns and cavalry on the night of the 26th February?

Answer—After.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY LIEUTENANT-COLONEL MITCHELL

Question—Did you hear me give the order for the removal of the guns?

Answer—No.

Question—How then do you know that the guns were ordered to be withdrawn before the arms were lodged?

Answer—My opinion is derived from the time when I saw the torches with the guns moving off the parade-ground.

Question—When was it that you reported to me that the men of your company had all lodged their arms?

Answer—About 3 A.M., on the morning of the 27th February, I reported to you that most of my men had lodged their arms.

The witness withdraws.

The Court deems it unnecessary to call in any more of the officers of the 19th Native Infantry as witnesses.

The Court having called upon Lieutenant-Colonel William St. Leger Mitchell for any statements he may be desirous of making, that officer states as follows:—

Statement of Lieutenant-Colonel MITCHELL.

Gentlemen,—I wish to bring to your notice that the native commissioned and non-commissioned officers of my regiment never gave me or any of my officers any information of what reports had reached the regiment concerning cartridges or the disturbances at Barrackpore,

although, in the evidence before the Court of Enquiry at Berhampore, they state that rumours had reached them one or two months before.

On the 11th of February we received some ball'd ammunition from Calcutta.

On the 15th idem I received letters from Major-General Hearsey, C.B., and from Colonel Birch, C.B., informing me that messengers had been sent from Barrackpore to the 19th Native Infantry for the purpose of getting them to create a disturbance.

On the 16th February I had a meeting of the native commissioned officers and the pay and color-havildars of companies at the mess-room at 11 o'clock, at which the second-in-command and the adjutant and the quartermaster were present. All the native officers present positively denied that any *kossid* had arrived, and told me that if any message should reach them they would report it to me. According to the evidence before the Court, the rumour about the cartridges being greased was confirmed by the guard of the 65th Native Infantry on the 11th February. Pray mark that the meeting at the mess between me and the native officers took place on the 16th February. Again, on the 18th February, a havildar's guard of the 34th Native Infantry arrived with some Government stallions proceeding to Buxar. In the evidence before the Court, you will see it stated that this guard confirmed the statement made by the guard of the 65th Native Infantry, and still no report was made to me. Again, on the 25th February, a havildar's guard of the 34th Native Infantry arrived with European convalescents, and they corroborated the statements made by the two former guards; still my native officers never made any report to me. All this I did not know until the first portion of the proceedings of the Court of Enquiry was sent to me.

As regards my conduct during the whole proceedings, it is fully explained by the written documents before the Court, except, perhaps, that the withdrawal of the guns has been left in doubt by my hastily-written letter of the 27th February.

I can assure the Court that I never made any compromise with the men, and that before I ordered the guns and cavalry off, the native officers declared to me that some of the companies had lodged their arms, and that the rest were doing so. I then told them that I trusted to their honour that there should be no further disturbance during the night, and that I would meet them on parade at daylight. All went off quietly. I may as well inform the Court that my position on the night of the 26th February was most critical; that I was uncertain

whether, if it came to a fight, we were able to coerce the men of the 19th Native Infantry, and that I was in consequence exceedingly desirous of avoiding a collision.

I tender to the Court a copy of my demi-official letter, dated 12th March 1857, to the address of the Assistant Adjutant-General of Division (the original of which is not amongst the documents which were laid before the Court) also a letter, dated 4th instant, from Lieutenant-Colonel Macgregor, the Governor-General's Agent at Moorsheadabad, both of which I would wish to be attached to the Proceedings.

The following two documents are marked, respectively, Appendices D and E and appended to these Proceedings.

The Proceedings are here closed :—

(Sd.) C. GRANT, *Brigr., President.*

(Sd.) E. AMSINCK, *Bt.-Col.*

(Sd.) ALFRED HUYSHE, *Bt.-Lieut.-Col.*

(Sd.) H. W. MATTHEWS, *Maj.*

(Sd.) W. A. COOKE, *Maj., 2nd Grenadiers.*

(Sd.) G. N. GREENE, *Capt., conducting the Proceedings.*

} *Members.*

The Court adjourned at half-past 2 o'clock, *sine die.*

BARRACKPORE,
The 9th April 1857. }

(Sd.) J. B. HEARSEY, *Maj.-Genl.,
Comdg. Presy. Divn.*

A.

*From Major A. H. ROSS, Assistant Adjutant-General, to Brigadier C. GRANT,—
dated Barrackpore, 1st April 1857.*

I am directed by Major-General Hearsey, C.B., commanding the Division, to inform you that the Special Court of Enquiry, of which you are President, has been convened in Division Orders of this date, to investigate in the fullest manner possible into the conduct of Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell, commanding the 19th Regiment of Native Infantry, at Berhampore, in connection with the occurrences at that station on the 26th February last and subsequently, which have led to the disbandment of that regiment.

B.

*From Colonel R. J. H. BIRCH, C.B., Secretary to the Government of India, to
Major-General HEARSEY, C.B., Commanding the Presidency Division—dated
Fort William, 31st March 1857.*

With reference to my letter of this date, I am instructed to transmit to you, for the purpose of being laid before the Court of Enquiry, ordered to be assembled to investigate into the conduct of Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell, Commanding the 19th Native Infantry at Berhampore,

the several documents enumerated in the accompanying list (*vide* pages iii and iv).

2. The return of the documents is requested when no longer required for the purpose of the investigation.

C.

I cannot suppose that what occurred on the night of the 26th February was the united act of the whole regiment. I do not think that more than ten or fifteen men of each company can have been concerned in the forcible seizure of the arms and ammunition at the *kotes*, but the raising a cry of fire, and the drum sounding the alarm, caused the entire regiment to turn out, so that the good and the bad men of the regiment got assembled; and the night being dark, there was no distinguishing between the armed and the unarmed men. I now call upon you, the native commissioned and non-commissioned officers of the regiment, to assist me in finding out the leaders of this mutinous proceeding; also by whom these gross mis-statements concerning the cartridges and the grease about to be served out to regiments to be used with the new Enfield rifles, were first communicated to the 19th Regiment of Native Infantry. This is your duty to find out.

The Articles of War clearly state that any person acting against the religious feelings of any man in a regiment of the army is liable to the severest punishment. Therefore you should not, upon the mere rumour spread abroad by mischievous or designing persons, have distrusted a Government you have sworn faithfully to serve, and who for upwards of 100 years have had your forefathers in their service.

I now entreat you to come forward and state when and from whom you first heard that it was the intention of Government to destroy your caste. If this information is not given immediately, the punishment, whatever Government may please to order, will fall equally upon the good and the bad men of the regiment, and I think it is the duty of all officers and good soldiers to save the character of the regiment, which has hitherto been good.

D.

Demi-official from Lieutenant-Colonel W. ST. L. MITCHELL, Commanding the 19th Regiment of Native Infantry, to the Assistant Adjutant-General, Barrackpore, —dated Berhampore, 12th March 1837.

All quiet and orderly. The Court re-assembles to-day at 11 o'clock A.M. I send two days' proceedings, the first copy of which went yesterday. On the evidence of the subadar-major I have two remarks to

make. The first is with regard to the Governor-General's orders, should be read—"I said General Orders and *Lashkar Aien*,"—by which I meant the Standing Orders and Articles of War. Again, the subadar-major says that an order was given to send in a petition. The true history of the petition is this: Four men of the Adjutant's company went to him and said that they wished to tell their own story to the General. He told them that if it was respectful it would be sent, he had no doubt. The men then said that the other companies were preferring similar requests to their own officers. The Adjutant then told them that there was no use in sending in ten petitions, but that one for the whole regiment was enough, and that he had no doubt I would forward it if it was respectful and couched in proper language.

He told them to go and prepare it, and that he would ask me. When he did so, I said of course, if the petition was a proper one; and I had told the same thing to a havildar of the regiment, who asked me if I would forward a petition from the men, before I heard from the Adjutant.

E.

Demi-official from Lieutenant-Colonel G. H. МАСНЕРОВ, to Lieutenant-Colonel W. ST. L. MITCHELL, Commanding 19th Regiment of Native Infantry,—dated Berhampore, 4th April 1857.

I have just received your letter of the 1st instant, and lose no time in replying to it.

I returned to Berhampore, as you know, on the morning of the 27th of February last, and it was then that I learnt what had occurred the previous night.

I believe, from all that I know of the matter, that you did all in your power, on the evening of the 26th of February last and previously, to satisfy the men of the 19th Regiment that the cartridges which they refused to take were altogether unobjectionable, and that it was only when they had seized their arms to resist your authority that you had recourse to the adoption of strong measures against them.

There can be no doubt, I think, that the measures taken by you for reducing the men of the 19th to obedience were necessary, and, under the emergency, that you displayed good judgment in adopting such measures is, I think, sufficiently shown by the success which attended them.

The men behaved very well afterwards, and seemed to be very sorry for what they had done, and I do believe that if the native officers

and non-commissioned officers had done their duty, the men would never have been guilty of mutiny. I have been stationed here with the regiment for some months past, and until this business a quieter or a better-behaved regiment I never met with.

With regard to your own conduct in the matter, I fail to perceive in what way you are to blame.

I suppose it is a form generally observed, to assemble a Court of Enquiry on an occasion of the kind, to enquire into the conduct of the commanding officer, and as much for his satisfaction as for that of the Government; but you will, I doubt not, be fully acquitted of all blame in the matter.

Hoping soon to hear of such acquittal, and that Government have entirely approved of your conduct.

Minute by the Governor-General, concurred in by Members of Council.

A careful perusal of these papers satisfies me that Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell, in dealing with the outbreak of the 19th Regiment of Native Infantry, on the 26th of February, did not show the temper and firmness which is required of a commanding officer in such circumstances.

I cannot doubt that during the first part of the proceedings, Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell was, as some witnesses have testified, very angry. The inconsiderate threat that if the men did not receive the cartridges he would take them to Burma or China, where they would die, which is not denied by Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell, could not have proceeded from an officer speaking advisedly on a matter in which calmness and self-possession were urgently needed.

But it is especially in the time and manner of withdrawing the artillery and cavalry which he had brought upon the ground for the purpose of compelling to obedience the regiment, which had then taken up arms, that Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell's gravest error of a want of firmness consists. The evidence upon this point varies somewhat, but not materially. Lieutenant MacAndrew, Adjutant of the regiment, thinks that the submission of the sepoys in lodging their arms was simultaneous with the withdrawal of the artillery. Captain MacDougall did not see any men lodge their arms; fifty men of his own company agreed to do so, but they did nothing more than sit down with their arms in their hands. Captain Manning did not see the arms lodged by the few men of his company who had them. Captain Newhouse says

that the arms were not lodged until after he saw the torches which accompanied the artillery move off the ground. Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell's statement is that he made no compromise with the men, and that before he ordered the guns and cavalry off, the native officers declared to him that some of the companies had lodged their arms, and that the rest were doing so.

It is no doubt true that there was no arranged bargain between Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell and his men; but whereas it was his duty to listen to no proposals, and to accept no assurances, until he had satisfied himself, through his European officers, that every musket in the ranks was laid down, he did yield to representations made on behalf of a regiment in mutiny with arms in its hands, and he did so in order to obtain from them that which he ought to have exacted as an act of obedience. It is impossible not to view the mode in which Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell withdrew the coercing force as a triumph to the mutinous sepoy.

After what has passed in this matter, I submit that the Government cannot feel that confidence in Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell's judgment and firmness which it ought to be able to repose in every officer commanding a regiment, and I propose that the Commander-in-Chief be requested to appoint some other officer to raise and command the corps which will take the place of the disbanded 19th Regiment, and to find such other employment for Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell as His Excellency may deem suitable.

13th May 1857.

(Sd.) CANNING.

I agree entirely.

13th May 1857.

(Sd.) J. DORIN.

I concur fully.

14th May 1857.

(Sd.) J. LOW.

And I.

15th May 1857.

(Sd.) J. P. GRANT.

16th May 1857.

(Sd.) B. PEACOCK.

From Colonel R. J. H. BIRCH, c.B., Secretary to the Government of India, to the Adjutant-General of the Army,—dated Fort William, 30th May 1857.

I am desired to acquaint you, for the information of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, that after a careful perusal of the papers, copies of which are herewith transmitted, connected with the outbreak of the 19th Regiment of Native Infantry, on the 26th of February last, the Right Honourable the Governor-General in Council is of opinion

that Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell did not show the temper and firmness which is required of a commanding officer in such circumstances.

The inconsiderate threat held out by Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell during the first part of the proceedings, "that if the men did not receive the cartridges, he would take them to Burma or China, where they would die," and which is not denied by him, could not have proceeded from an officer speaking advisedly on a matter in which calmness and self-possession were urgently needed.

But it is specially in the time and manner of withdrawing the artillery and cavalry which he had brought upon the ground for the compelling to obedience the regiment, which had then taken up arms, that, in the opinion of the Governor-General in Council, Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell's gravest error of a want of firmness consists.

The evidence on this point varies somewhat but not materially.

Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell states that he made no compromise with the men, and that before he ordered the guns and the cavalry off the ground, the native officers declared to him that some of the companies had lodged their arms, and that the rest were doing so.

It is no doubt true that there was no arranged bargain between Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell and his men; but whereas it was his duty to listen to no proposals, and to accept no assurances, until he had satisfied himself through his European officers that every musket in the ranks was laid down, he did yield to representations made on behalf of a regiment in mutiny with arms in its hands, and he did so in order to obtain from them that which he ought to have exacted as an act of obedience.

In the opinion of the Governor-General in Council it is impossible not to view the mode in which Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell withdrew the coercing force as a triumph to the mutinous *sepoys*.

After what has passed in this matter, the Government cannot feel that confidence in Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell's judgment and firmness which it ought to be able to repose in every officer commanding a regiment; and I am desired to request that His Excellency may be moved to make known to that officer the sentiments of the Governor-General in Council. Should it be eventually determined to raise another corps to take the place of the disbanded 19th Regiment, it is the desire of the Governor-General in Council that Lieutenant-Colonel Mitchell should not be employed to raise and command it, and that some other employment may be found for that officer, such as His Excellency may deem suitable.

APPENDIX B

From Major-General J. B. HEARSEY, C.B., Commanding the Presidency Division, to Colonel R. J. H. BIRCH, C.B., Secretary to the Government of India, in the Military Department, —dated Barrackpore, 5th April 1857.

I HAVE the honor to forward, for the consideration and orders of Government, the enclosed letter, in original, from Brevet-Colonel G. Burney, commanding the Sonthal Field Force, dated the 29th ultimo, with a correspondence attached, relating to the recent misconduct of three companies of the 63rd Native Infantry at Sooree, some of the men of which had declined to avail themselves of indulgence of furlough to their homes, on the ground that none of the regiments at Barrackpore intended to take theirs.

2. Colonel Burney also reports that these companies have since, he was informed, returned to a proper sense of their duty and were willing to take their furlough, but that he did not now consider himself at liberty to accept their offer.

3. A nominal roll of certain men who would seem to have taken the lead in this affair forms a portion of the enclosures; and I would venture to suggest, for the consideration of Government, the expediency of directing the summary discharge from the service of all these fourteen sepoy, in order to mark its displeasure at this act of passive-mutiny.

From Colonel G. BURNKY, in temporary command Sonthal Field force, to the Assistant Adjutant-General, Barrackpore, —dated Camp Sooree, 29th March 1857.

In obedience to instructions from Government, I have the honor to report, for the information of Major-General J. B. Hearsey, C.B.,

commanding the Presidency Division of the army, that the 63rd Regiment Native Infantry will probably commence its march from this in progress to Berhampore on the 2nd proximo, leaving two companies at Raneegunge and two companies at Sooree until they can be relieved.

2. As the 63rd Regiment will be attached to the Presidency Division immediately, I do myself the honor to submit; for the consideration of Major-General J. B. Hearsey, C.B., commanding, the enclosed correspondence, showing the state of feeling in the regiment.

3. On the morning of the 27th instant, the Commanding Officer and Adjutant waited on me for instructions how to act under the following circumstances, stating that three companies of the regiment (the Grenadier, No. 2, and Light) had refused to proceed on furlough unless the troops at Barrackpore also went. The commanding officer handed me his confidential letter marked A. In reply, I instructed the commanding officer to tell the men they might please themselves, and that they would not be allowed the indulgence should they again ask for it; but the leave would be granted to others according to priority of claim; this to be explained to the Native officers in the evening, and again to the whole regiment at the ordinary instruction parade the next morning. This verbal communication of mine was afterwards put to paper by the Brigade-Major, and sent to Captain Phillips, commanding the regiment, as demi-official, marked B.

4. This day Captain Phillips, in his letter marked C, reports that the men who objected to take their furlough have come to a sense of their duty. I beg to forward a list, showing the names of the men who first assigned the objectionable reason for not proceeding on furlough: these men have been told that the motives of their refusing to take their furlough unless the troops at Barrackpore did so, have been reported to higher authority.

5. With advertence to Captain Phillips' letter marked C, stating that the men have returned to a sense of their duty, I beg to add that, to all outward appearance, his opinion is correct, but it is impossible to say how long the regiment may continue so, if instigated by men of other regiments. I urge this, as I hear this disaffection in the 63rd Regiment has been occasioned by two sepoys having come on the evening of the 26th instant, incognito, by train from Barrackpore *via* Paneighen to Sooree, and that these men brought a written communication with them. Previous to that evening the men of the 63rd Regiment had resolved to avail themselves of the furlough, as several of them had purchased tattoos and made preparatory arrangements for their journey

home, and it was the pretended necessity of acting in concert with their brethren at Barrackpore which made them plead their inability to go on furlough.

A.

From Captain J. G. PHILLIPS, Commanding 63rd Regiment of Native Infantry, to Captain H. L. PESTER, —dated Camp Sooree, 27th March 1857.

I deem it my duty to beg you will acquaint the officer commanding the field force that a report was made to me last evening to the effect that the men of the Grenadier, 2nd and Light Companies of the regiment under my command had, after sunset roll-call, requested the orderly-havildars of their companies to report their reluctance to proceed home on furlough.

I immediately sent for the Native officers of those companies, and told them to go to the lines, reason with the men, and endeavour to ascertain their state of feeling.

Captain W. J. P. Barlow, officiating Adjutant, visited the lines by my orders at the 9 o'clock roll-call, and reports having first gone to the place where the Grenadier Company was assembled. He then caused the roll of the men entitled to furlough to be called, and asked the men present, individually, whether they wished to accept it or not. The officers, commissioned and non-commissioned, without exception, expressed their willingness to go, also the three senior sepoy; the other men present replied, if our brethren at Barrackpore go, we will go, but we hear they are not going. His enquiries from the 2nd and Light Companies met with a similar result. He then left them, telling them to think over the matter during the night. This morning the leave men of the Grenadier, No. 2 and Light Companies were had up to the adjutant's quarters, and very respectfully gave in their intention of not proceeding on leave until they heard of the men at Barrackpore having availed themselves of the indulgence, and the three sepoy of the Grenadier Company who expressed their willingness to go now declared their inability to resist the general pressure.

There seems to be a dread of incurring the displeasure of other troops, which apparently was only implanted in their minds at a late hour yesterday, as the purchase of ponies and other preparations for their home journey were in progress throughout the day, and the men appeared to be in high good humour. I am convinced that the receipt

of some intelligence during yesterday afternoon caused the excited state of feeling, but I am unable to trace its origin.

B.

From Captain H. L. PESTER, to Captain J. G. PHILLIPS,—dated Sooree, 27th March 1857.

Colonel Burney wishes that you should have the instructions he gave you verbally in writing. The colonel wishes you to tell the men that they may please themselves, and that they will not be allowed the indulgence should they again ask for it, but that the leave will be granted on the 1st proximo according to priority. This to be explained to the regiment at the ordinary inspection parade to-morrow morning, and this evening to the Native officers.

C.

From Captain J. G. PHILLIPS, to Captain H. L. PESTER,—dated Camp Sooree, 29th March 1857.

With reference to my letter dated the 27th instant, I have the honor to report, for the information of the officer commanding the field force, that the men who objected to take their furlough leave, I am happy to say, come to a sense of their duty. The same was reported to me last evening by the Native officers and pay-havildars of the three companies.

The men, they say, express their sorrow at what has occurred.

List of Sepoys of the Grenadier, 2nd and Light Companies of the 63rd Regiment of Native Infantry who stated their reluctance to proceed on furlough on the 26th March 1857.

No.	Rank and names.	Remarks.
	SEPOYS.	
	<i>Grenadier Company.</i>	
	Samput Singh ...	Stated his reluctance.
	Sewsahae Pattack ...	Ditto ditto.
	Cholee Tewaree ...	Was one of the first to come forward.
	Runghee Singh ...	Stated his reluctance.
	Luchmun Gwala ...	Ditto ditto.
	Mutthoora Misir ...	Was very prominent as a spokesman from the first, although not entitled to furlough.
7	Sooklat Puchowrie ...	Was one of the first to come forward.
	<i>2nd Company.</i>	
	Soondur Singh ...	Was one of the first to come forward.
	Mulkaou Singh ...	Ditto ditto.
	Mooklapersaud Sookool ...	Ditto ditto.
4	Khurugjeet Singh ...	Ditto ditto.
	<i>Light Company.</i>	
	Junghir Khan ...	Was one of the first to come forward.
	Ramchurn Pattack ...	Ditto ditto.
3	Prag Misir ...	Ditto ditto.

NOTE.—There were thirty-six men entitled to furlough present at the roll-call when the three companies were reported as being reluctant to take their furlough, but their names (though recorded) are not given, as they did not take a prominent part in the affair, remaining silent, or speaking in the dark, where they could not be recognised.

CAMP SOOREE, }
The 29th March 1857. }

(Sd.) J. G. PHILLIPS, *Capt.*,
Comdg. 63rd Regt. of Nat. Infy.

(Sd.) W. J. P. BARLOW, *Capt.*,
Offg. Adjt., 63rd Regt. of Nat. Infy.

Minute by the Governor-General, concurred in by the Members of Council.

I cannot assent to the proposal of Major-General Hearsey for the summary disposal of the fourteen sepoy of the 63rd Regiment of Native Infantry, who are reported in these papers to have refused to take their furlough on the ground that the sepoy of the regiments at Barrackpore intended to do the same.

The offence may deserve the definition given of it by Major-General Hearsey as "passive mutiny," but it is accompanied by extenuating circumstances which call for favorable consideration.

The men returned to a sense of their duty after a few hours, and expressed sorrow for what they had done.

They had up to the time of their refusal shown no sign of contumacy, and were preparing eagerly for their journey home.

It seems certain that they were instigated to the refusal by two sepoy of the 34th Regiment, who came to their lines and brought written communications from Barrackpore.

They belong to a regiment to shake the fidelity of which an attempt had previously been made when the late 19th Regiment left Berhampore; and this attempt was exposed by the men, who placed the letters which had been addressed to them with this view in the hands of their adjutant.

I submit that after the solemn warning which has just been given in the case of the 19th Regiment, it will be sound policy to pass over the offences of these men of the 63rd Regiment without punishment. The example which will be furnished by their escape from punishment in consideration of their resistance, though a tardy one, to the attempt made to lead them astray, will, I fully believe, be quite as effective in present circumstances as that of a dismissal.

I would, however, direct that the commanding officer of the 63rd Regiment should warn them how narrow their escape has been, explaining, if this be necessary, that although the refusal to take a furlough is in itself an innocent act, the doing so in combination with others as a mark of mistrust, fear, or opposition directed at the Government or its officers, is an offence of the gravest character, and one which will not be pardoned a second time.

The 6th April 1857.

(Sd.) CANNING.

The 7th April 1857.

(Sd.) J. DORIN.

I quite agree; but I would suggest, if any future similar case were to occur, that any man who may take decidedly a leading part in such

an unsoldierlike proceeding, such, for instance, as was done in this instance by Mutthoora Misir, of the Grenadier Company, should be summarily dismissed from the service.

The 7th April 1857.

(Sd.) J. LOW.

I agree with the Governor-General.

The 7th April 1857.

(Sd.) J. P. GRANT.

The 7th April 1857.

(Sd.) B. PEACOCK.

From Colonel G. BURNBY, in temporary command, Sonthal Field Force, to Colonel R. J. H. BIRCH, C.B., Secretary to the Government of India,—dated Camp Sooree, 5th April 1857.

I have the honor to acknowledge your message by electric telegraph, dated the 3rd instant, received by me this day at half-past 4 P.M. I immediately despatched a mounted orderly to the Officer Commanding the 63rd Native Infantry at Synthia (one march from this), with instructions to grant furlough to all the men of the regiment, without exception, and this in obedience to the orders received from you.

From Colonel R. J. H. BIRCH, C.B., Secretary to the Government of India, to Colonel C. СНЕУТЕР, Adjutant-General of the Army,—dated Fort William, 8th April 1857.

With reference to the accompanying copy of a letter of the 5th instant, from Major-General Hearsey, C.B., Commanding the Presidency Division, forwarding correspondence relative to the reluctance expressed by certain men of the 63rd Regiment of Native Infantry to take their furlough, on the ground that the sepoy of the regiment at Barrackpore intended to decline their furlough, I am directed to acquaint you, for the information of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, that the Governor-General in Council is not prepared to assent to the proposal of the Major-General for the summary dismissal of the fourteen men named in the roll which accompanied Colonel Burney's letter.

2. The offence may deserve the definition given to it by Major-General Hearsey, as "passive mutiny," but His Lordship in Council observes, that it is accompanied by extenuating circumstances which call for favorable consideration.

The men returned to a sense of their duty after a few hours, and expressed sorrow for what they had done.

They had up to the time of their refusal shown no sign of contumacy, and were preparing eagerly for their journey home.

It seems certain that they were instigated to the refusal by two sepoy, supposed to be of the 34th Native Infantry, who came to their lines and brought written communications from Barrackpore.

They belonged to a regiment to shake the fidelity of which an attempt had previously been made, when the late 19th Native Infantry left Berhampore, and this attempt was exposed by the men, who placed the letters which had been addressed to them with this view in the hands of their adjutant.

After the solemn warning which has just been given in the case of the 19th Regiment, the Governor-General in Council considers that it will be sound policy to pass over the offence of these men of the 63rd Regiment without punishment.

The example which will be furnished by their escape from punishment, in consideration of their resistance, though a tardy one, to the attempt made to lead them astray, will, His Lordship in Council believes, be quite as effective in their present circumstances as that of a dismissal.

The Governor-General in Council, however, requests that His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief will be so good as to direct the Officer Commanding the 63rd Regiment of Native Infantry to warn the men how narrow their escape has been, explaining that, although the refusal to take a furlough is in itself an innocent act, the doing so in combination with others as a mark of mistrust, fear, or opposition directed at the Government or its officers, is an offence of the gravest character, and which will not be pardoned a second time.

Colonel Burney has been instructed by telegraph to allow the men to proceed on furlough.

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to Major-General Hearsey, C.B., Commanding the Presidency Division, with reference to his letter of the 5th instant.

By order of the Governor-General of India in Council,

(Sd.) R. J. H. BIRCH, *Colonel*.

APPENDIX C

From Colonel K. YOUNG, Judge-Advocate-General, to Colonel R. J. H. BIRCH, C.B., Secretary to the Government of India,—dated Simla, 2nd April 1857.

I HAVE the honor, by direction of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, to transmit, for the information of the Governor-General of India in Council, the accompanying proceedings of a general court-martial on the trial of Boodheelall Tewarry and Boohadoor Sing, Sepoys, 2nd Native Infantry, who, having been convicted of mutiny, have been sentenced to imprisonment with hard labour for fourteen years.

The return of the proceedings is requested when no longer required.

Proceedings of a Native General Court-Martial assembled at Fort William on Wednesday, the 18th March 1857, by order of Major-General HARRIS, C.B., Commanding the Presidency Division of the Army, and with the sanction of the Governor of the Fort, for the trial of Boodheelall Tewarry and Boohadoor Sing, both sepoy, 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry (Grenadiers), and all such other prisoners as may be duly brought before it.

PRESIDENT :

Subadar-Major JEWABIR TEWARRY, 43rd Regiment, Native Light Infantry.

MEMBERS :

Subadar BHOLA OOPADHIA, 17th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar HURRUCK SING, 40th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar RAM SING, 9th Battalion, Artillery.

Subadar AMANUT KHAN, 37th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar SEWUMBI PANDIE, 34th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar DOORGA RAM, 70th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar KHOODA BUKSH, 2nd Regiment, Native Infantry (Grenadiers).

Subadar MEERWAN SING, 70th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar SOOKLALL MISR, 43rd Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar ADJUDHIA TEWARRY, 70th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar SALIM SING, 43rd Regiment, Native Infantry.

Jemadar DEWAN ALIE, 9th Battalion, Artillery.

Jemadar MOHUN SING, 65th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Jemadar LALLA RAM BUKSH, 8th Regiment, Native Infantry.

IN WAITING :

Jemadar ROMADE SING, 43rd Regiment, Native Infantry.

Jemadar SEWRAM MISE, 70th Regiment, Native Infantry.

JUDGE-ADVOCATE :

Captain G. C. HATCH, Deputy Judge-Advocate-General, Presidency Division.

INTERPRETER :

Lieutenant W. M. GRIERSON, 70th Regiment, Native Infantry.

The Committee assembled this day at the Main Guard, Fort William, at 10 o'clock A. M., the President, Members, Judge-Advocate, and Interpreter being all present.

Sepoys Boodheelall Tewarry and Boohadoor Sing, 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry (Grenadiers), are brought prisoners into Court.

The orders convening and forming the Court and appointing the senior Native officer to be President and Captain G. C. Hatch to be Judge-Advocate, and Lieutenant-Colonel Cavenagh, Town-Major, to be Prosecutor, and garrison orders appointing the hour and place of the Court's assembly, are produced and read.

The names of the President and Members of the Court are read over in the hearing of the prisoners.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—Sepoy Boodheelall Tewarry, prisoner,—Do you object to being tried by the President, or any of the members appointed to serve on this court-martial ?

Answer—I have no objection.

Question—Sepoy Boohadoor Sing, prisoner, do you object to being tried by the President, or by any of the members appointed to sit on this court-martial ?

Answer—I object to none.

The members in waiting withdrew. The Interpreter, President, Members, and Judge-Advocate make the prescribed solemn affirmation.

The following charges were read :—

Boodheelall Tewarry, No.—, and Boohadoor Sing, No.—, both sepoy of the 4th Company, 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry (Grenadiers), placed in confinement by order of Major-General Hearsey, C.B., Commanding the Presidency Division, on the following charges :—

1st—For having, when on duty on the Town-Major's guard at Fort William, at or about 10 o'clock on the night of the 10th of March 1857, quitted their guard without being regularly relieved and without leave, and not returned until brought back as prisoners on the following morning.

2nd—For mutiny, in having, during the absence from the guard, as set forth in the first charge, at about half-past 10 o'clock at night, together gone to the mint guard in Calcutta, and then and there endeavoured to induce Subadar Muddeh Khan then commanding the mint guard, to quit his post, and march on that night with his guard into Fort William for the purpose of joining in an intended mutiny or concealed combination against the State.

By order of the Major-General Commanding Presidency Division,

BARRACKPORE,
The 16th March 1857. }

(Sd.) A. H. ROSS, Major,
Asst. Adjt.-Genl., Presy. Divn.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—Sepoy Boodheelall Tewarry, 4th Company, 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry (Grenadiers),—How say you, are you guilty or not guilty of the charges?

Answer—Not guilty.

Question—Sepoy Boohadoor Sing, 4th Company, 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry (Grenadiers),—How say you, are you guilty or not guilty of the charges?

Answer—Not guilty.

Lieutenant-Colonel Cavenagh, Town-Major, Fort William, having been appointed Prosecutor, and being present in Court, proceeds to call his witnesses.

Subadar Muddeh Khan (Mussulman), 34th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court and duly affirmed.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—What is the strength of the guard which you command and where is it stationed?

Answer—Four havildars, three naicks and eighty-six sepoy. I commanded the mint guard in Calcutta.

Question—What are the orders which you have received relative to the discharge of your duties whilst stationed at the mint?

Answer—To protect the mint, and report any irregularity to the town-major.

Question—State what occurred on the night of the 10th instant.

Answer—At about the time of half-past 10 o'clock at night, I was sitting on my charpoy reading. These two sepoy who are now prisoners before this Court (witness pointing to them both) came up to me. I said to

Answer—(concl'd.)

them—"Where do you come from, and who are you?" The sepoy who is on the left (pointing to the prisoner Boodheelall Tewarry) said—"I have come from the fort, and from the men off duty on the reserve guard." I said—"Well?" The sepoy, the one who was speaking, said—"At 12 o'clock the Calcutta Militia is coming into the fort, and do you also bring the mint guard at that time into the fort; the Governor-General is going up to Barrackpore at 10 o'clock, and after taking possession of the magazine there will be some fighting." I was angry and told him to be silent, saying—"Hold your tongue, you rascal; how can you say such improper things?" I said—"Get out of this." They went to the door of the guard-room and again stopped. I called out for the naick of the guard, Allahooddeen, and told him to place them in confinement, and place an extra sentry over them. I gave orders that no one should be allowed to come near to them, or speak to them. They remained all night in confinement, and next morning I sent them off with a naick and four sepoy, with the havildar who goes to make the daily report to the town-major. This is what happened. When they were being taken off with the guard, they both joined their hands and begged to be pardoned. I said—"I have no power; I cannot pardon such an act."

Question—How far was Boohadoor Sing from you when the prisoner Boodheelall Tewarry addressed you? Was he sufficiently near to enable him to hear the conversation that took place?

Answer—They were close, side by side.

Question—Did Boodheelall Tewarry speak in a sufficiently loud tone of voice, so as to render whatever he said perfectly audible to Boohadoor Sing?

Answer—They were close together. He spoke as I am doing now; they were close enough to touch each other.

Question—Did the prisoner Boohadoor Sing in any way join in the conversation?

Answer—No; he did not speak; he stood there.

Question—Was any other person present when the prisoner came to your guard, and during the time the conversation took place ?

Answer—Yes; Allahooddeen, Naick, and Sepoy Sirdar Khan were on a charpoy within a cubit's distance from me. The naick had just come in from posting a sentry, and stood close by while the conversation was going on.

Question—Did Boodheelall Tewarry state that he was acting under the authority of any commissioned or non-commissioned officer ?

Answer—He mentioned the havildar-major of the reserve guards.

Question—Did Boodheelall Tewarry mention from whom the Calcutta Militia had received instructions to move into the fort ?

Answer—He did not; he merely said it would come into the fort.

Question—Did he state that any particular duty had been assigned to the Calcutta Militia in garrison ?

Answer—No; he merely said the Calcutta Militia are coming in, and you also bring your guard, and we will take the fort.

Question—Did he tell you to put your guard at any particular place in the fort ?

Answer—No; he merely said—"Bring your guard into the fort, and join in."

Question—After you had placed the prisoners in confinement, are you aware of any person having come to the guard to make enquiries regarding them ?

Answer—I went to sleep, and heard nothing of any one coming to enquire after them.

Question—You have stated that in the morning both the prisoners begged you to pardon and release them; can you mention the exact words that they used on that occasion ?

Answer—They folded their hands and said—"You are an officer, pardon us; don't report us." They both said this.

Question—Did they give any reason for expressing a hope that you would not report their fault ?

Answer—They merely asked to be pardoned, and not to be reported; which they did repeatedly.

Question—Did either of the prisoners make any allusion to their fault being known only to yourself; and, if so, can you state the words he used ?

- Answer*—Yes; he said—"You only know our fault; pardon us."
- Question*—Did either of the prisoners make use of any such expression as "household words" (*ghur kee bat*) in his conversation with you; if so, which was the person, and when did he use the expression?
- Answer*—The prisoner on the left (pointing to Boodheelall Tewarry) said—"This is a thing among ourselves; why are you angry?" This was said at half-past 10 at night, when I ordered them to be confined.
- Question*—To your knowledge, did any of the men of the guard enter into conversation with the prisoners either before or after you had directed them to be confined?
- Answer*—No; I gave very strict injunctions that no one should talk to them.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

- Question*—Had you ever seen either of the prisoners before to your knowledge?
- Answer*—I never saw them before.
- Question*—Was there a light in the guard-room?
- Answer*—Yes; there is a light in my room, and three in the main room of the guard, the whole night.
- Question*—How were these two men dressed when they came to you?
- Answer*—They were dressed in native clothes.
- Question*—About what distance is the mint guard from the fort?
- Answer*—About two miles.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER BOODHEELALL TEWARRY

- Question*—How could I say that the Lord Sahib could take the magazine? Did I not say that he was going up for a parade? Did I not say anything about a European regiment having gone up?
- Answer*—I am answering on my oath what was said. He said nothing about European troops.
- Question*—Were we known to each other that I came and asked you to come into the fort, as you say?
- Answer*—No.
- Question*—How could I then, who am not even a non-commissioned officer, come to you and say what you say I did?
- Answer*—He can best answer that himself.

Question—Did I not say that I had come for a letter?

Answer—No, he said nothing of the kind.

Sepoy Boohadoor Sing declines to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

Allahooddeen (Mussulman) Naick, No. 6 Company, 34th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court and duly affirmed.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Have you any acquaintance with the prisoners in Court?

Answer—No; but I recognise them.

Question—What guard do you belong to; who commands it; and from what date has it been stationed on its present duty?

Answer—The mint guard in Calcutta; Muddeh Khan, Subadar, 34th Native Infantry, commands it; and it has been stationed there since the 3rd of the present month.

Question—Has either of the prisoners been in the habit of visiting any man attached to the guard since it has been posted in the mint?

Answer—No; I never saw them there before the day they were confined.

Question—On what date, and by whose orders were they confined?

Answer—On the night of the 10th of this month, by order of Muddeh Khan, Subadar.

Question—State, to the best of your power, the exact circumstances under which they were confined?

Answer—On the night of the 10th instant, at about half-past 10 o'clock, the subadar was sitting on his charpoy before the lamp, reading the order book. These two men, the prisoners in Court, came alongside of him, and when the subadar looked up they saluted him. The subadar asked them who they were, what they wanted, and where they came from. They replied—“The havildar-major has sent us; the Governor-General is going to Barrackpore to take the magazine, and there will be fighting there. The Calcutta Militia are coming into the fort; you bring your guard and join them.” The subadar then said—“Who sent you with this order?” and they replied—“The havildar-major.” The subadar said—“What! Am I going to

Answer—(concl'd.)

obey the havildar-major? Get out of this!" They then went outside into the compound before the door, and began talking. I do not know whether to each other or to men of the guard. When the subadar came out and told me to get a sentry ready and place them in confinement, I did so, and told the sentry that no one should speak to the prisoners. During the night they remained in confinement, and in the morning, when the havildar, who goes to make the daily report, was starting, the subadar took out four sepoy from the guard, which always falls in at gunfire, and told me to go with them, and report the whole of the circumstances to the authorities in the fort.

Question—Were you in the same room with the subadar when the prisoners entered?

Answer—Yes, I was.

Question—Did both the prisoners take part in the conversation with the subadar, or did one of them act as spokesman?

Answer—One only spoke [witness points to Boodheelall Tewarry.]

Question—How far was the prisoner Boohadoor Sing from his companion Boodheelall Tewarry when the latter was carrying on his conversation with the subadar?

Answer—Close together.

Question—Did Boodheelall Tewarry speak in an audible tone, so as to be heard by every one in the room?

Answer—He spoke so that others might have heard; I myself heard.

Question—Who were present at the time the prisoner Boodheelall Tewarry addressed the subadar?

Answer—I and a sepoy, Sirdar Khan.

Question—Where were the rest of the guard?

Answer—There are two rooms in the guard, one a small room and the other a large room. In the small room the subadar and two or three of us stop. It is a large guard of eighty-six men. They were all in the large room, with the exception of those who were on duty.

Question—To enter the large room is it necessary to pass through the room allotted to the subadar, or has each room a separate entrance?

Answer—There are doors in the large room, besides the one leading into the subadar's room.

Question—Is it usual for persons to enter the little room unless they should wish to speak to the subadar ?

Answer—It is not usual, unless to speak to the subadar.

Question—Did the prisoner Boodhador Sing at any time address the subadar, or take any part in the conversation ?

Answer—Only in the morning, when he begged the subadar to release him.

Question—When the subadar went out of his room, and ordered you to confine the prisoners, were any of the men of the guard standing near them, or conversing with them ?

Answer—I did not see any.

Question—Did the prisoner Boodheelall Tewarry mention who was the havildar-major from whom he had received instructions to wait upon the subadar ?

Answer—He gave no name ; but meant, I suppose, the havildar-major of the place whence he came. He used the words "havildar-major."

Question—Did he state by whom the order had been given for the Calcutta Militia to march into the fort ?

Answer—No.

Question—Did he state the reason for the corps marching at such unusual hours, and what was the nature of the duty to be performed in the fort ?

Answer—No ; he merely stated that the regiment was to go into the fort at 12 o'clock at night, and the subadar was to bring his guard and fall in with them.

Question—Did he, Boodheelall Tewarry, tell the subadar to report his arrival to the Town-Major, or to any of the authorities in garrison, or did he mention the particular spot to which the mint guard was to be taken ?

Answer—No ; he did not. He only said—"Bring your guard, and fall in with the Calcutta Militia."

Question—From what the prisoner stated to the subadar, were you led to suppose that the guard was summoned into the fort for any other particular object ?

Answer—If we had got the order from European officers, I would have thought it was all right ; but as the order came

Answer—(concl.)

from them, I suppose they wanted us to come in to fight against the *huzoor log* (the State).

Question—Did either of the prisoners, at any time on that night, speak to you, or to any other man of the guard?

Answer—They did not speak to me, nor to any one else. The order was given that they were not to be allowed to speak to any one.

Question—After the prisoners had been confined, are you aware of any one having come to the guard to make inquiries regarding them?

Answer—No one came. I went to sleep.

Question—Did you accompany the escort in charge of the prisoners into the fort; and if so, to whom did you make the latter over?

Answer—I did accompany them into the fort, and after reporting the circumstances to the authorities according to orders received, I took them to the main guard.

Question—Do you know whether either of the prisoners is very well acquainted with, or is a particular friend of any man now doing duty with the mint guard?

Answer—No; I don't suppose so, as I never saw them there before, and we have been there eighteen days.

The prisoner Boodheelall Tewarry declines to cross-examine.

The prisoner Boohadoor Sing declines to cross-examine.

BY THE COURT

Question—At what distance is the sentry from the subadar's room?

Answer—I have never measured it. I should say it was ten or twelve paces.

Question—Who was the sentry?

Answer—I don't know his name, but he is here. I have seen him outside the Court.

The witness withdraws.

Sirdar Khan (Mussulman), Sepoy, No.—of the 34th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and duly affirmed.

Question—Are you acquainted with the prisoners now before the Court?

Answer—I saw them on that day, the day on which they were confined in the mint guard.

Question—State what occurred on the night they were confined ?

Answer—I was sitting on my charpoy, which was about a couple of feet distant from that of the subadar. We were both reading. I saw these men come up to the subadar. They held up their hands to me not to speak. The subadar then turned round to them, and said—“ Who are you ? ” One of them said—“ I am a sepoy of the 2nd Regiment, Grenadiers.” The subadar asked them what they wanted. He replied the havildar-major had sent a *salaam* to them. The subadar became angry, and said—“ Why ? ” The sepoy said—“ The Governor-General is going up to the cantonments at 10 o'clock to take the magazine ; there will be a fight there. At 12 o'clock, the Calcutta Militia will come into the fort ; you also bring your guard into the fort at the same hour.” The subadar was very angry, and said—“ What ! Am I to receive orders from the havildar ? Get out of this, you rascal ! ” The sepoys went out. The subadar followed them, and called to the naick to place them in confinement. While the naick went for a sentry, the subadar placed them under the sentry at the guard-room door. The naick came and posted the sentry over them.

Question—Was there a light in the room in which you and the subadar were sitting ?

Answer—Yes, there was.

Question—Did only one of the prisoners speak to the subadar, or did they both address him ?

Answer—Only one spoke.

Question—Which one ?

Answer—I cannot say which.

Question—Was the one who remained silent close to his companion ?

Answer—I only saw one sepoy in the room. I saw them both after they were confined.

Question—You have stated in your evidence that you saw these men (and you looked towards the prisoners in Court) come up to the subadar. How do you explain this with your last answer ?

Answer—I saw only one.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—How long did the conversation last between the subadar and the sepoy ?

Answer—About five minutes.

Question—Who were present in the room at the time of this conversation ?

Answer—I saw one of the sepoys of the Grenadiers now in confinement, and the subadar only.

Question—Was Allahooden, Naick, in the room when the conversation took place between the subadar and the sepoy ?

Answer—He remained in that room, but it was so dark over his bed that I could not see whether he was there.

Question—If it was dark, how could you see the sign made to you not to speak ?

Answer—The sepoy came near me, and the light was beside me.

Question—What was the size of the room ?

Answer—It was about the size of the half of this room (about 11 paces by 8).

Question—How do you know that the subadar ordered two sepoys to be put in confinement ?

Answer—He spoke loudly to Allahooden, Naick, who was within the subadar's room, to bring a sentry to place over these men.

Question—Where were you when the subadar told Naick Allahooden to put the sepoys in confinement ?

Answer—I was in the subadar's room.

Question—Then you and Allahooden were in the same room together ?

Answer—Yes; but we have our beds on different sides of the room.

The Court is closed.

The Court is opened.

The witness is cautioned by the President to speak the truth.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Did the sepoy who spoke to the subadar mention whether it was the havildar-major of the reserve guards or any other havildar-major who had sent him ?

Answer—He used the words "havildar-major," and said he had come from the fort.

Question—Did he state under whose instructions the Calcutta Militia were to come into the fort, and why the corps was to march at such an unusual hour ?

Answer—No; the subadar hearing what was said got angry.

Question—Did he tell the subadar that he was to report himself to any authority in the fort, or to take any duty in garrison?

Answer—No, he did not.

Question—From what the prisoner stated to the subadar, were you led to suppose that the guard was called into the fort for any particular purpose?

Answer—I never troubled my head about it.

Question—Did either of the prisoners speak to you or to any other sepoys of the guard?

Answer—They said nothing to me, and I did not see them speaking to any one else.

Question—After the prisoners had been placed in confinement, did they make any petition to the subadar?

It now striking 4 o'clock, the Court adjourned until to-morrow morning, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon.

SECOND DAY'S PROCEEDINGS

Fort William, 19th March 1857.

The Court re-assembled this day at 11 o'clock A. M., at their former place of meeting, the President, Members, Judge-Advocate, Interpreter, Prosecutor, and the prisoners being all present.

Sepoy Sirdar Khan, the witness last under examination, not being in attendance, a report having been made of his being ill, the Prosecutor calls another witness.

Purmode Pandy (Hindu), Sepoy, 8th Company, 34th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes the prescribed solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Were you a sentry at the mint guard at Calcutta at any time on the night of the 10th instant?

Answer—I was posted as sentry at 10 o'clock.

Question—State what occurred during the time that you were on sentry duty on that occasion?

Answer—About half-past 10 o'clock, two men came to me. I asked them—"Why do you come here?" They replied by asking me whether it was a subadar's or jemadar's guard. I told them it was a subadar's guard. I again asked them why they came, and where they came from. They replied they had come from the fort, and

Answer—(concl'd.)

passed on to the subadar, Muddeh Khan. I don't know what passed there. When they came out, the subadar placed them in confinement, and put a sentry over them. I was relieved at 12 o'clock, and know nothing more.

BY THE COURT

Question—Were you posted sentry at the guard-room door or at the further gate?

Answer—I was at the door of the guard-room by the sentry box.

Question—How far is the sentry box from the door of the guard-room?

Answer—About a pace from the door, and twelve or thirteen paces from the place where the subadar was.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Do you recognise the prisoners in Court as being the men, or either of them as being one of the men, who addressed you?

Answer—I recognise that man (witness points to prisoner Boodheelall Tewarry) as the man who spoke to me,

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—Was it a moonlight night?

Answer—Yes.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Was the other prisoner in Court present on that occasion?

Answer—Yes, they were both together.

Question—How far distant from one another were the two prisoners when they spoke to you?

Answer—As they are standing now; they were close together.

Question—After they passed you, did they proceed direct into the room in which the subadar was sitting, or did they enter any other part of the guard-house?

Answer—They went straight into the subadar's room.

Question—When they made their exit from the guard-house, and the subadar ordered them to be confined, did they make any petition to the Native officer?

Answer—At the time they said nothing.

Question—Was the night of the 10th instant the first occasion on which you had seen the prisoners at the mint guard?

Answer—I never saw the prisoners at the mint guard before.

Question—Have you heard of either of them having a particular friend amongst the men at the above guard?

Answer—I have not heard that they had any particular friend at the mint guard.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—From the place at which you were posted could you see into the subadar's room?

Answer—I could not see into the subadar's room from the place where I was posted.

Question—Did you hear what the subadar said to the men?

Answer—I did not hear anything the subadar said to them. I heard the subadar give the order to put them in confinement, and not to allow any one to talk to them, or go near them.

Question—How long were the two men inside the subadar's room?

Answer—A short time; about five minutes.

Question—Who were inside the subadar's room when the men went in? Do you know?

Answer—There are five people who live in that room, but I do not know who were in it then.

Question—How were the two men dressed who came to you?

Answer—They were dressed in native clothes.

Question—Where was the subadar when he gave the order for the men to be confined?

Answer—He came out of the room into the compound.

The prisoner Boodheelall Tewarry declines to cross-examine.

The prisoner Boohadoor Sing cross-examines.

Question—Did not the man who addressed you say he had come for a letter?

Answer—Nothing was said about coming for a letter.

BY THE COURT

Question—Did you see both the prisoners go into the subadar's room?

Answer—They both went in.

Question—Why did you let them go in?

Answer—They told me they had come from the fort. There is no order to prevent the sepoy's going in.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—Did the men say anything when they were ordered into confinement?

Answer—Yes; they said—“ Why do you confine us ?” The subadar replied—“ Because you are scoundrels.”

Question—Which of the two said this to the subadar ?

Answer—Boodheelall Tewarry.

Question—Did the other man complain about being confined ?

Answer—No; he remained silent.

The witness withdraws.

Jyepall Sing (Hindu), Naick, 2nd Company, 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry (Grenadiers), is called into Court, and makes the prescribed solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Where were you doing duty on the night of the 10th instant ?

Answer—I was on the Town-Major's guard.

Question—Where is the Town-Major's guard stationed ?

Answer—Near the barrack occupied by the men off duty of the reserve guard.

Question—Did the prisoners belong to that guard ?

Answer—Yes, they did belong to that guard.

Question—Were they present with the guard during the whole night of the 10th instant ?

Answer—No, they were not present during the whole night. I relieved them both from sentry duty at 9 o'clock on that evening. At a quarter to 10 o'clock I took the roll-call. These two men were absent, and I reported them to the subadar-major and havildar-major. They were absent from their guard all night. I also told the Town-Major's sirdar bearer of their absence.

Question—Did you give them permission to leave the guard ?

Answer—No; I gave them no leave.

Question—Were they relieved from their duty with your guard ?

Answer—They were relieved from sentry duty, not from guard.

Question—Did you give them any orders to quit the fort or guard on the night of the 10th instant ?

Answer—No, I gave no such orders.

Question—At what time did you report their absence to the havildar-major ?

Answer—I reported it immediately I discovered their absence, at a quarter to 10 o'clock.

Question—Was any one present when you made the report ?

Answer—The havildar-major and subadar-major only were present. There were no sepoy's there.

Question—At what time did you make your report at the Town-Major's quarters?

Answer—It was passed 1 A.M. ; near 2 o'clock on that night I awoke the sirdar bearer.

Question—When did you next see the prisoners?

Answer—I saw them when they were brought back about 7 o'clock next morning, prisoners, under a guard of the 34th Regiment.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—What was the reason for your delay in reporting at the Town-Major's quarters the absence of these two men?

Answer—I went to sleep, having told the sentry to awake me when these men returned. When I awoke, I asked the sentry on duty what o'clock it was. He replied, near 2 o'clock. I then ran off, and reported their absence at the Town-Major's quarters.

Both prisoners declined to cross-examine.

BY THE COURT

Question—At what time did you post the prisoners on sentry on the 10th March?

Answer—At 6 o'clock in the evening.

Question—How is it you kept them on sentry duty for three hours?

Answer—There are sixteen sepoy's on the guard at night, and they supply four sentries.

BY THE PRISONER BOODHEELALL, BY PERMISSION

Question—Do you know if I received news of a letter having come for me at the mint guard?

Answer—No.

Question—Did I ask leave to go for a letter?

Answer—No.

The witness withdraws.

Rambuksh Sing (Hindu), Havildar, Officiating Havildar-Major, 10th Company, 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry (Grenadiers), is called into Court, and makes the prescribed solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—What guard did the prisoners belong to on the night of the 10th instant?

Answer—The town-major's guard.

Question—Do you know whether they absented themselves from their guard on that night?

Answer—The Naick, Jyepall Sing, reported the circumstance of their absence without leave to the subadar-major in my presence; thus much I know.

Judge-Advocate,—By this answer it should be understood that the witness speaks only to a report having been made, and not to the absence of the men, of which this report is not evidence.

Question—Was any one else present at the time the naick of the guard, Jyepall Sing, made the report of the prisoner's absence?

Answer—No one else was present.

Question—At what hour did Jyepall Sing, naick, make the report as stated above?

Answer—At about a quarter to 10 o'clock.

Question—Did you give him any orders on hearing the report?

Answer—No, I did not; I do not belong to the guard.

Question—Where is the town-major's guard stationed?

Answer—It is situated in one of the new barracks in the ravelin, in front of the town-major's quarters.

Question—Did you send the prisoners with any message to the subadar of the mint guard on the night of the 10th instant?

Answer—No, I did not; they were on duty on another guard, and I neither saw them nor had anything to say to them.

Question—Did they obtain permission from you to leave their guard either with or without being regularly relieved?

Answer—The guard is not mine; I have no authority in it. I gave no leave.

Question—In the event of any change taking place in any of the guards in the fort, is not a report made to you?

Answer—Yes.

Question—Did you see the prisoners on the morning of the 11th instant?

Answer—I saw them at the main guard under charge of a guard of the 34th Regiment, just after they arrived, being made prisoners.

Question—Did you give any orders to Dabee Rai, naick, to leave the fort on the night of the 10th instant?

Answer—I gave him no order.

Sepoy Boodheelall Tewarry, prisoner, declines to cross-examine.

Sepoy Boohadoor Sing, prisoner, declines to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

Subadar-Major Shaikh Gholam Mahomed, 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry (Grenadiers), is called into Court, and makes the prescribed solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Was any report made to you on the night of the 10th instant, regarding the absence of sepoy's from the town-major's guard?

Answer—About a quarter to 10 o'clock at night on the 10th instant Jyepall Sing, naick, of the town major's guard, came and reported to me that two sepoy's of his guard were absent. I asked him who they were, and he said, Boodheelall Tewarry and Boohadoor Sing, sepoy's of the 4th Company.

Question—Was any one present when the report was made?

Answer—There were sepoy's sleeping round about when report was made to me. I heard him report to the havildar-major, who was about five paces off.

The prisoners both declined to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

Sungut (Hindu) is called into Court, and makes the prescribed solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Whose servant are you?

Answer—The town-major's.

Question—Did any one come to you on the night of the 10th instant?

Answer—Before 2 o'clock, on the night of the 10th instant, a naick came to me and awoke me, and told me two sepoy's were absent, and had been so since about a quarter to 10 o'clock. He told me to report it to my master. I replied, he was asleep. He went away.

Both prisoners declined to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

Dabee Rai (Hindu), Lance-Naick, 8th Company, 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry (Grenadiers), is called into Court, and makes the prescribed solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—To what guard did you belong on the night of the 10th instant?

Answer—I was on the town-major's guard.

Question—Did the prisoners also belong to that guard?

Answer—They did.

Question—Do you know if they were present with the guard the whole of that night?

Answer—I was asleep and don't know.

Question—Were you absent from the fort or guard at any time during the night?

Answer—No, I was absent from neither the guard nor the fort.

Question—Did you receive any order from any one to leave the fort on the night of the 10th instant?

Answer—No.

Question—Were the prisoners present with the guard on the following morning?

Answer—Three or four days ago they were absent. I don't know the date.

Boodheelall Tewarry, prisoner, declines to cross-examine.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY BOOHADOOR SING

Question—Did you not come to the mint guard at 1 o'clock at night and see me there?

Answer—I did not go. I did not leave the fort.

BY THE COURT

Question—At what time did you relieve the sentries that night?

Answer—I was awake at 12 o'clock, and I heard that these two men were absent.

Question—How do you reconcile this statement with your answer, that you did not know whether they were present the whole night?

Answer—I did not clearly understand the first question.

The witness is warned by the Court that prevarication is liable to severe punishment.

The witness withdraws.

The prosecution is closed.

The prisoners are called on for their defence.

Sepoy Boodbeelall Tewarry, prisoner, says:—

I was reading my accounts at about half-past 5 o'clock in the evening, when Boohadoor Sing, sepoy, came from the city and said to

me that Gunes Gwala, who is of the same caste with myself, and with whom I am on intimate terms, and who is of this regiment, and was on the mint guard, had got a letter for me from my home. I said,—“It is now within half an hour of my tour for sentry duty; I shall not be able to get there in that time.” I performed my tour of sentry. Boohadoor Sing was on duty at the same time in the guard-room. He had taken off his uniform, and I began to take off mine. He called me over and said—“Let us go and get the letter”; and I said—“Very good; let us go.” The witness, the lance-naick, who says he was asleep, was sitting on his charpoy. Boohadoor and I went together to the mint guard. I asked the sentry if this is a subadar's or a jemadar's guard; so I went and made a *salaam* to the subadar and said—“There is a report that we shall have to go to a parade at Barrackpore.” The subadar became angry and abused us, and I said—“Why do you abuse us? We have come for a letter, or would not have come at night.” He then abused us and put us in confinement, and placed a sentry over us. I joined hands and begged to be let off; that I was on duty and I should lose my livelihood. About half-past 11 o'clock the Lance-Naick Dabee Rai came to the mint guard, and spoke to the other naick, Allahoodeen, to waken the subadar, and to get us let off as we were on duty. The subadar sent out word that they would come into the fort in the morning. Allahoodeen asked the Lance-Naick Dabee Rai, on his arrival, why he came running there at that time of night, and his reply was that he had come to seek two sepoys who were absent, and asked for us to be let off, as we were on duty. This is all. I don't tell falsehoods. Kill me, but I will not tell lies. I have no witness: God is my witness.

It being suggested to the prisoner that he might call Gunes Gwala,

Gunes Gwala (Hindu), 10th Company, 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry (Grenadiers), is called into Court, and makes the prescribed solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER BOODHEELALL TEWARRY

Question—Did you receive a letter for me about the 10th instant?

Answer—On the 9th instant I got a letter for him.

Question—Were you on guard then at the mint guard?

Answer—Yes.

BY THE COURT

Question—Did you receive the letter by dak or from the hands of any private person?

Answer—Some relative of his gave it to me, and told me to forward it to Boodheelall Tewarry.

Question—Do you know the man who gave you the letter?

Answer—I don't know him.

Question—Then how did you know he was a relative?

Answer—I merely supposed that receiving the letter from him he was so.

Question—Did you give the letter to the prisoner before or after he was confined?

Answer—Before.

Question—When and where did you give it?

Answer—At about half-past 9 at night, on the 9th instant, I went out from the guard to make water in the lane near the guard, and I met the two prisoners in the lane. They asked me for the letter. I went into the guard-room and brought it out and gave it to them outside. I then went into the guard-room and they went away.

Question—Do you know on what day the prisoners were confined?

Answer—No, I don't recollect the date. I gave the letter before they were confined. I don't know when they were confined.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Where were you when the man gave the letter to you?

Answer—I was returning, from bathing, to the guard-room, when a man met me, and asked me if Boodheelall Tewarry was in the regiment. I said he was in the 4th Company. He gave me the letter, and asked me to forward it. This was on the 9th instant.

Question—Were you in uniform or in your Hindustani dress?

Answer—In my Hindustani clothes.

Question—If you were in Hindustani clothes, how was it that a man should ask you about a sepoy of the 2nd Regiment?

Answer—He asked me in what regiment I was; I told him I was in the 2nd Regiment.

Question—Why did you not tell the man to take the letter to Boodheelall Tewarry?

Answer—I took it because it was a sepoy's letter; otherwise I should not have taken it.

Question—Why did you not take the letter to Boodheelall Tewarry?

Answer—After eating, I went into the bazar, when I met Boohadoor Sing, and told him to tell Boodheelall Tewarry to come and get this letter.

Question—At what o'clock, and at what place, did you meet Boohadoor Sing, sepoy?

Answer—At 4 o'clock on the 9th instant, near the mint, in the bazar.

Question—At what o'clock did you come off sentry duty on that day?

Answer—From 10 to 12 o'clock.

It now striking 4 o'clock, the Court adjourned until to-morrow the 20th March, at 11 o'clock A.M.

THIRD DAY'S PROCEEDINGS

Fort William, Friday, 20th March 1857.

The Court re-assembled this day, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, at their former place of meeting. The President, Members, Judge-Advocate, Interpreter, Prosecutor, and prisoners are all present.

The cross-examination of the last witness, Sepoy Guiness Gwala, is resumed.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—How many letters did you receive for Boodheelall Tewarry?

Answer—One only.

Question—Was Boohadoor Sing in uniform?

Answer—He was dressed in native clothes.

Question—Can you read and write?

Answer—I can write a little, but I can't read a book.

Question—Did the address in the letter mention by whom it had been forwarded?

Answer—I don't know what was written on it; I did not read it.

Question—Could you recognise the letter if it was shown you?

Answer—Yes; of course I would.

Question—What was the size of the letter?

Answer—About four or five inches in length.

Question—Was the writing on both sides of the envelope?

Answer—Yes.

Question—Did the prisoner Boodheelall Tewarry read the letter in your presence?

Answer—He did not read it in my presence.

Question—Did he take it away with him ?

Answer—He took it away with him.

Question—Have you seen the letter since you delivered it to Boodheelall Tewarry ?

Answer—No.

Question—How long have you been acquainted with the prisoners ?

Answer—I have not been on intimate terms with them ; I merely know them to speak to.

Question—Why did you tell Boohadoor Sing to mention to Boodheelall Tewarry the circumstances of your having a letter for him ?

Answer—Because they are both sepoys in the 4th Company.

Question—If you knew that the prisoners were in the same company, why did you not make the letter over to Boohadoor Sing, instead of requiring Boodheelall Tewarry to leave his guard and walk about two miles to obtain it ?

Answer—I did not give it to him because I thought that the person for whom the letter was ought to take it himself.

The witness withdraws.

The prisoner Boohadoor Sing says :—

On the day of the night on which the *Holee* is burnt, at about 4 o'clock in the afternoon, I met Guiness near the mint. He told me to tell Boodheelall Tewarry that he had a letter for him, and that he was to come and take it away. I got back to the fort at half-past 5 o'clock, and saw Boodheelall Tewarry seated on his charpoy at the guard, looking over his accounts. I told him that there was a letter for him with Guiness at the mint, and to go and get it. He said it was too late to get it then, as it was half-past 5 o'clock, and he was for sentry duty at 6 o'clock. I replied—"You may go now or to-morrow, just as you like, to get your own." We were both on duty together, and we were relieved at 9 o'clock. Boodheelall Tewarry said—"So a letter has come for me." I said—"Yes ; and if you like you may go and get it." Boodheelall replied—"If you will come with me I will go." We went together to the mint guard, and Boodheelall asked the sentry if it was a subadar's or jemadar's guard. The sentry replied it was a subadar's. We then went into the compound, and went towards the door of the guard-room in which the subadar was. I stood at the

door, and Boodheelall Tewarry went in. He went up to the subadar and said—"What is this report which is going about, about a parade? I fancy we shall have to go to Barrackpore for it." The subadar then said—"Who are you?" Boodheelall replied—"I am a sepoy of the 2nd Regiment, Grenadiers." On this the subadar became angry. Boodheelall Tewarry said—"Why are you angry with me, subadar sahib? I came for a letter, and am now going away." The subadar was angry, and said—"Put both these men in confinement; they are a pair of scoundrels." They put us in confinement, and placed another sentry over us. About half-past 11 o'clock, Lance-Naick Dabee Rai came there. The sentry asked him who he was. He replied—"I am a sepoy, and have come to look for these men." He then asked us why we were sitting there. Boodheelall replied—"The subadar has placed us in confinement." He then told the sentry to tell the subadar that these sepoys are being absent without leave, and to tell him to let them go. Then the sentry called Naick Allahooddeen, and told him that the sepoy, pointing to the lance-naick, was come to look for these men, who were absent without leave. Allahooddeen replied—"The subadar is sleeping; I will not awake him." Dabee Rai said to Allahooddeen—"You are an officer; when there is anything to be done, will you not wake an officer?" On this he awoke him, and told him that a sepoy had come to look for these men, who were absent without leave. The subadar said—"Tell him they won't come to-night; they will come to-morrow morning." Dabee Rai went away, and we were reported absent without leave. In the morning, when we were being sent off, I joined my hands and said—"Subadar Sahib, why are you confining me? I never saw you before to speak to and have never spoken to you: How can you know me to be a *badmash* (scoundrel)?" He said—"Hold your tongue." This is all I have to say. I have no witness to call.

The defence is closed.

Naick Jyepall Sing, 2nd Regiment (Grenadiers), is recalled and examined on his former solemn affirmation.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Did the prisoners belong to your guard on the 9th instant?

Answer—They did.

Question—Were they absent at any time after gun-fire that night?

Answer—At no time were they absent.

The prisoners both decline to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

Naick Allahooddeen, 34th Regiment of Native Infantry, is recalled and examined on his former solemn affirmation.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Was Guiness Sepoy present at the guard when the prisoners were confined?

Answer—I don't know whether he was on duty or in the guard-room.

Question—Did the prisoners, at any time subsequent to, or previous to, their confinement, mention to you, or to any one in your presence, that they had come to receive a letter from Guiness?

Answer—I never heard them say anything of the kind to me or to any one in my presence.

Both sepoy's decline to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

Purmode Pandey, Sepoy, the fourth witness for the prosecution, is recalled and reminded of his former solemn affirmation.

Question—On the 10th instant, did the prisoner, at any time subsequent to, or previous to, their confinement, mention to you, or to any one in your presence, that they had come to receive a letter from Guiness?

Answer—I never heard them say anything of the kind.

Both prisoners declined to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

The Court rose for a quarter of an hour at ten minutes past 1 o'clock to enable the Prosecutor to prepare a reply, and at half-past 1 o'clock resumed their seats, all parties being present.

The following reply is read by the Prosecutor:—In closing this case, I consider it right to point out the enormity of the crime with which the prisoners have been charged, and of which I have every reason to believe that they will be found guilty. It has been distinctly stated by Subadar Muddeh Khan, and that officer's statement has been fully corroborated by other witnesses, that the prisoners endeavoured to induce him to leave the important post with which he had been entrusted, and to march his guard into the fort for the purpose of taking possession of

it. As to the object of this move there could be no doubt; it could only have been with the view of taking up arms against their officers, and subverting the authority of that State which they have solemnly sworn to defend; and although it is equally without doubt that this attempt would have signally failed, yet it would have cast upon the reputation of the Bengal Army a stigma that it would have required years to efface.

I am sure I need not remark upon the utter worthlessness of their defence, for no officer of any standing could for a moment suppose that there could have been a particle of truth in the assertion of the witness Guiness, that a perfect stranger had made over to his charge a letter for a sepoy with whom he acknowledges that he had but a slight acquaintance; and even in the event of his improbable story being so far true, that he really had been addressed by this stranger, of whom he knew not the name or residence, he would certainly have directed the person so addressing him to take the letter to Boodheelall Tewarry, and not put that sepoy to the inconvenience of walking a distance of about two miles to receive a letter that might have been put into his hands by the man who had actually brought it from his home, and would therefore have been able to have given him information regarding his relatives and friends. Again, if Guiness' evidence is to be believed, the letter was really given to Boodheelall on the night of the 9th instant, in a lane near the mint; hence there could have been no necessity for the prisoner's entering the guard-room, and their statement as to their having gone for the letter can only be a mere pretence.

I repeat the whole story is evidently unworthy of belief, and I consequently feel convinced that at the hands of the President and Members of this Court, composed as it is of officers who have served the Government for so many years with fidelity and devotion, the prisoners will be awarded a punishment commensurate with the offence of which they have been guilty.

The Court is closed for their finding.

FINDING

The Court are of opinion that the prisoners Boodheelall Tewarry and Boohadoor Sing, both sepoys of the 4th Company, 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry (Grenadiers), are guilty of both charges preferred against them.

The Court is re-opened, and the prisoners are again brought before it.

Ensign W. D. Shaw, 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry (Grenadiers) is called into Court and duly sworn.

EXAMINED BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—Have the prisoners been duly warned that evidence of previous conviction and general character would be given against them?

Answer—They have been warned. There are no previous convictions.

Question—What is their general character?

Answer—That of Boodheelall Tewarry is bad; that of Boohadoor Sing is good.

Question—What is their age and length of service?

Answer—I am not able to speak on these points.

Question—Is there any officer here in garrison of the regiment who can speak on these points?

Answer—I do not know.

The prisoners both decline to cross-examine.

The Court is closed.

SENTENCE

The Court sentence the prisoners Boodheelall Tewarry and Boohadoor Sing, both sepoys of the 4th Company of the 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry (Grenadiers), to imprisonment with hard labour for the term of fourteen years.

(Sd.) JOWAHIR TEWARRY, *Subadar-Maj.,*
43rd Regiment, Native Infantry,
President.

(Sd.) W. M. GRIERSON, *Lieut.,*
Interpreter to the Court.

FORT WILLIAM, } (Sd.) G. C. HATCH, *Capt.,*
The 20th March 1857. } *Dy. Judge-Advocate-General.*

Approved and confirmed.

SIMLA, } (Sd.) G. ANSON, *Genl.,*
The 1st April 1857. } *Comdr-in-Chief, East Indies.*

The Court adjourned at half-past 3 o'clock P. M. until 11 A. M. tomorrow, 21st March.

(Sd.) G. C. HATCH, *Capt.,*
Dy. Judge-Advocate-General.

List of Witnesses on the Trial of Boodheelall Tewarry and Boodhador Sing, both sepoy's of the 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry, (Grenadiers).

PROSECUTION

1. Muddeh Khan, Subadar.
2. Allahooddeen, Naick.
3. Sardar Khan, Sepoy.
4. Purmode Pandy, Sepoy.
5. Jyepall Sing, Naick.
6. Rambuksh Sing, Havildar.
7. Shaikh Golam Mahomed, Subadar-Major.
8. Sungut, Sirdar-Bearer.
9. Dabee Rai, Lance-Naick.

DEFENCE

1. Gunes Gwala, Sepoy.

MINUTE BY THE COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF

Death would be the appropriate punishment for the crime of which the prisoners have been convicted.

There is none of which a soldier can be guilty which more imperatively calls for the severest sentence which can be awarded by a court-martial, but fourteen years of disgraceful labour may be to some worse than death, and the Commander-in-Chief will not therefore call for a revision of the sentence.

He is disposed to believe that many of the Native officers who composed the Court would agree with him in this view, and he therefore has unhesitatingly approved and confirmed their award. The miserable fate which the prisoners have brought upon themselves will excite no pity in the breast of any true soldier.

The Commander-in-Chief has noticed with satisfaction the conduct of Subadar Muddeh Khan, who, when the prisoners first dared to speak to him of mutiny, at once ordered them into confinement and reported the circumstance without delay. It is in this prompt manner that the least approach to mutiny should invariably be met; and General Anson will have much pleasure in bringing the subadar's behaviour on the occasion in question to the favorable notice of Government.

The 1st April 1857.

(Sd.) GEORGE ANSON.

From Colonel R. J. H. BUCH, C.B., Secretary to the Government of India, to the Judge-Advocate-General,—(Dated Fort William, 20th April 1857).

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter of the 2nd April 1857, forwarding proceedings of a general court-martial on the trial of two sepoys of the 2nd Regiment of Native Infantry, I am directed to acquaint you, for the information of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, that it has been laid before Government.

2. The enclosure of your letter is herewith returned as requested.

APPENDIX D

From Major-General J. B. HEARSEY, C.B., Commanding the Presidency Division, to the Secretary to the Government of India,—dated Barrackpore, 5th May 1857.

FOR the information of Government, I have the honor to report that in obedience to His Excellency's orders communicated to me by telegram on the 2nd instant, the charges, finding, and sentence in the case of Jemadar Salickram Sing, 70th Native Infantry, namely, dismissal from the service, were duly read and interpreted to all the Native troops at Barrackpore, at a parade, which was specially ordered for the purpose.

From Lieutenant-Colonel K. YOUNG, Judge-Advocate-General, to the Secretary to the Government of India,—dated Simla, 4th May 1857.

I have the honor, by direction of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, to transmit to you, for the information of the Right Hon'ble the Governor-General of India in Council, the accompanying proceedings of a general court-martial on the trial of Salickram Sing, Jemadar, 70th Native Infantry, who has been convicted of mutiny, the return of which is requested when no longer required.

LIST OF WITNESSES UPON THE TRIAL OF JEMADAR SALICKRAM SING,
70TH REGIMENT, NATIVE INFANTRY.

PROSECUTION

1. Colonel J. D. Kennedy.
2. Major J. Bontein, 37th Regiment of Native Infantry.
3. First-Lieutenant M. E. Currie, Commissary of Ordnance.
4. Lieutenant H. Michell (Unattached).
5. Colonel A. Abbott, C.B., Inspector-General.

PROSECUTION—(concl.)

6. Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing.
7. Havildar Issuree Sing.
8. Subadar Homail Sing.
9. Subadar-Major Duriou Sing.
10. Drill-Havildar Sew Churn Missr.
11. Lieutenant P. H. F. Harris.
12. Havildar Davee Sing.
13. Havildar Poorun Roy.
14. Sepoy Issuree Ram.
15. Sepoy Gunness Tewarry.
16. Naick Latchmun Doobey.
17. Havildar Madho Sing.
18. Lance-Naick Rampersaud Ditchit.
19. Havildar Bhowany Bux Sing.
20. Sepoy Gunness Pandy.
21. Sepoy Issuree Sing.
22. Sepoy Buldeo Sing.
23. Sepoy Adhar Sing.

DEFENCE

1. Havildar Poorun Roy.
2. Boodhram Sing, Sepoy.
3. Subadar Gourie Sunkur.
4. Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing.
5. Muedoom Bux, Abdar.
6. Rujjub Khidmatgar.
7. Lieutenant Harris.
8. Havildar Hyat Bux Khan.
9. Naick Mumrez Khan.
10. Sepoy Soobdhan Doobey.

(Sd.) G. C. HATCH, *Colonel,*
Deputy Judge-Advocate-General.

Proceedings of a Native general court-martial assembled at Fort William, on Saturday, the 21st March 1857, by order of Major-General J. B. HEARBY, C.B., Commanding the Presidency Division, and with the sanction of the Right Hon'ble the Governor of the Fort, for the trial of Jemadar Salickram Sing, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, who appears as prisoner in Court.

PRESIDENT:

Subadar-Major JEWABIE TEWARRY, 43rd Regiment, Native Light Infantry.

MEMBERS:

Subadar BHOLA OPADIA, 17th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar HURBUCK SING, 40th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar RAM SING, 9th Battalion, Artillery.

Subadar AMANUT KHAN, 37th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar SEWUMBER PANDY, 34th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar DURGA RAM, 70th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar KHOODA BUKSH, 2nd Regiment, Native Infantry, (Grenadiers.)

Subadar MEEERWAN SING, 70th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar SOOKHLALL MISSR, 43rd Regiment, Native Light Infantry.

Subadar AJOODHIA TEWARRY, 70th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Subadar JALIM SING, 43rd Regiment, Native Light Infantry.

Jemadar DEWAN ALIE, 9th Battalion, Artillery.

Jemadar MOHUN SING, 65th Regiment, Native Infantry.

Jemadar LALLA RAM BUKSH, 8th Regiment, Native Infantry.

IN WAITING.

Jemadar RAMODE SING, 43rd Regiment, Native Light Infantry.

Jemadar SEWEAM MISSR, 70th Regiment, Native Infantry.

JUDGE-ADVOCATE.

Captain G. C. HATCH, Deputy Judge-Advocate-General, Presidency Division.

INTERPRETER.

Lieutenant W. M. GIBBSON, Officiating Interpreter and Quartermaster, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry.

Lieutenant-Colonel J. D. Kennedy, Commanding 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, having been appointed Prosecutor on this trial, takes his seat in Court.

The names of the President and Members of the Court are read out in the hearing of the prisoner by the Judge-Advocate.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—Jemadar Salickram Sing, do you object to being tried by the President, or any of the Members appointed to sit on this court-martial, whose names you have heard read?

Answer—I object to being tried by any of the Native officers of my own regiment.

I object to Durga Ram, Subadar, Meerwan Sing, Subadar, Ajoodhia Tewarry, Subadar, all of the 70th Regiment of Native Infantry.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—Will you state your reasons for your objections to these Members ?

Answer—I don't think they will do me justice.

Subadar Durga Ram, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, to Court

—I have no enmity against the jemadar; I will do my duty to the Government.

Subadar Meerwan Sing—I have no spite against the jemadar. Perhaps he may have against me.

Subadar Ajoodhia Tewarry to the Court—I have no spite against the jemadar.

The Court is closed,—the members objected to withdrawing.

The Court is opened.

President to Salickram Sing, Jemadar—The Court have decided that your objection should not be allowed; you have assigned no reason for it.

Jemadar Salickram Sing to the Court—There is an ill-feeling against me in the regiment. My heart does not fancy them. It rests with the Court.

BY THE COURT

If you can state any reason why you conceive there is ill-feeling against you, or show that either of these sirdars has expressed an unfavorable opinion of you, the Court will hear the objection.

Jemadar Salickram Sing to Court—I don't think they have enmity against me, but I don't fancy their sitting. I withdraw the objection.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—Do you object to any one else ?

Answer—No.

The Interpreter, President, Members, and Judge-Advocate make the prescribed solemn affirmation.

The following charges are read out:—

CHARGES

Jemadar Salickram Sing, of the 1st Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, placed in arrest by order of Major-General J. B. Hearsey, C.B., Commanding the Presidency Division, on the following charges:—

FIRST CHARGE

For having begun a mutiny, and incited others to join in a mutiny in the regiment to which he belongs, in the following instances:—

- 1st*—In having at Barrackpore, on the evening of the 5th March 1857, in presence of Issuree Sing, Havildar of the Light Company, addressed Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing, of the Light Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, in words to the following effect:—"My only hope is in you; what do you say? The sepoys may bite the new cartridges if they like, but I will not bite them;" thereby endeavouring to persuade the said jemadar and havildar to combine with him in resistance to lawful authority.
- 2nd*—In having at Barrackpore, on the evening of the same day endeavoured to persuade the men of his company to disobey the order they had received to thatch their huts without delay; informing them that they need be in no hurry in thatching their huts, as there would shortly be a disturbance, thereby inciting the men to resist authority.
- 3rd*—In having at Barrackpore, on the evening of the 8th March 1857, had a meeting of non-commissioned officers and sepoys of his regiment at his hut in the lines of the 1st Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry in breach of the standing orders of the army and of the regiment.

SECOND CHARGE

For conduct unbecoming an officer, in having made no report to his commanding officer of any intended disturbance, although he informed the men that a disturbance was intended, as set forth in the second instance of the first charge.

By order of the Major-General Commanding the Presidency Division.

BARRACKPORE, }
The 16th March 1857. }

(Sd.) A. H. ROSS, Major,
Asst. Adjt.-Genl., Frery Division.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—Jemadar Salickram Sing, of the 1st Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry—How say you, are you guilty, or not guilty, of these charges?

Answer—Not guilty.

JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Colonel Kennedy proposes to produce his evidence separately on each instance of the charge, and to close the evidence on each instance before proceeding to the next, which will be the most convenient way of recording the evidence.

1st Witness

Brevet-Colonel J. D. Kennedy, the Prosecutor, is sworn.

EXAMINED BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—You command the 70th Regiment of Native Infantry?

Answer—I do

Question—Was the prisoner with the regiment in the present month at Barrackpore?

Answer—Since the 4th of the month, when he returned from garrison duty. I believe he returned on the night of the 3rd instant.

Question—Has there not been a great deal of excitement amongst the sepoy of the regiments at Barrackpore, arising from, or connected with, a new kind of cartridge issued by Government?

Answer—Yes; more or less in all the regiments.

Question—Will you state to the Court, what measures you know to have been taken by the authorities at Barrackpore in consequence of the excitement, and what measures you yourself took?

Answer—The Brigadier and General ordered a parade of the whole force. The General addressed the men, and explained to them how groundless their suspicions were regarding the preparation of these cartridges; that it was far from the intention of Government to interfere in any way with their religion and caste; and not to suppose that there was anything prejudicial to their caste in the paper of which the cartridges were made. The address appeared to have the desired effect upon the men. The men went to their lines, appearing to be perfectly satisfied with this explanation given

Answer—(concl'd.)

by the General. I myself went on two different occasions into my own lines, taking with me some cartridges and paper of which they were made, which I had received from the Brigadier Commanding. I showed them to the men in the lines, whom I had called out and collected near the bells-of-arms. The cartridges and the paper I distributed myself amongst the men, told them to examine them carefully, and to hand them round that all might see them. This I saw done in my presence, as I was standing surrounded by them. I then explained to them that those were the kind of cartridges which would be issued for the new rifle and that would be the paper of which they would be made; and to tell me what they thought, if there was anything prejudicial to their caste or religion in either the one or the other. They carefully examined the papers, and said that there was nothing; that they could see nothing that could injure their caste. I assured them there was no grease whatever in the paper. Had there been, it would have been very perceptible; indeed, the paper could not be made with grease in it, to the best of my belief. That Government would not, on any account now, as they had ever done before, interfere with their religion or caste; and I appealed to one or two old Native officers, who were standing near, to know if what I said was not the case. They all appeared quite satisfied with my explanation; and said they felt sure that my statement was perfectly true. I then collected from them the paper and cartridges, and returned with them to my quarters, and have kept them ever since.

Question—When did you make this explanation to the men of your regiment?

Answer—On the 3rd February, the day on which I received them from the Brigadier.

Question—From that time to the 5th of this month, did you have any dissatisfaction amongst your men on the subject of the cartridges?

Answer—No; I am not aware that my men were at any time dissatisfied.

Question—Will you show the Court the cartridges which you received from the Brigadier, and made over to your men to examine?

Answer—These are the cartridges and this is the paper. They are placed before the Court.

Question—Is there anything in the composition of this paper which can possibly be objectionable to the religion of any man, whether a Mussulman or Hindu?

Answer—Certainly not, to the best of my belief.

Question—Have the new cartridges, which you have produced, been generally issued out to the men?

Answer—No; they have not been issued at all.

Question—When was the second occasion of your speaking to the men on the subject of these cartridges?

Answer—It was about the end of February, when I proceeded into the lines as I had done before, showing the men the paper and the cartridges; and explained to them almost in the same words as on the previous occasion. Thinking I had not shown them the thick paper of which the cartridges are made, I went again and procured some of the thick paper from the depot at Dum-Dum. On showing them this paper, they said I had shown it to them already on a previous occasion. They, however, re-examined it, and the cartridges on that evening; and, to my mind, appeared just as satisfied as they were on the former occasion.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY JEMADAR SALICKRAM SING

Question—When you spoke to the sirdars at your bungalow on the subject of the cartridges, did I object to use them?

Answer—I cannot exactly say whether he was one of the Native officers present when they were at my quarters; but none of them made any objection; so, of course, if he were there he would be included.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—When was this occurrence at your bungalow?

Answer—I have had them to my house two or three times; it may have been in January, because I remember having the Native officers up there about a bad feeling which

Answer—(concl'd.)

appeared to exist amongst the troops at the station generally before I received the cartridges and paper from the Brigadier, which was on the 3rd February.

The witness resumes his seat as Prosecutor.

2nd Witness

Major J. Bontein, 37th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and sworn.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—You command, I believe, the School of Musketry at Dum-Dum?

Answer—Yes.

Question—Will you be so good as to give the Court such information as you can as to the preparation of the cartridges used in the School of Musketry?

Answer—There are two kinds of paper used, specimens of which I have with me. The finer kind is the same which was formerly employed in making cartridges, to the best of my belief. The other is of a thicker quality, and forms the inner case or cylinder. The thick paper is known as what is generally called "cartridge paper". It is employed in making the present cartridges in consequence of its greater strength. I have tested it in a variety of ways by burning it and writing on it; and I can detect nothing beyond the ordinary cartridge paper.

Question—Is grease of any kind used with it?

Answer—The cartridge is made up; and the ball end of it should be dipped into grease. I mention this as the process of making the regular cartridge; but in the exercise of cartridge-making at the Dum-Dum School, we have not as yet employed grease of any kind, the object being simply to teach the men the process.

Question—Have greased cartridges ever been issued by Government to the depot at Dum-Dum?

Answer—Never.

Question—Will you produce the specimens you have brought of cartridges? Who are these cartridges made by?

Answer—Made by Mahomedans and Hindus, Native officers and men at Dum-Dum.

Question—Why has this process of making cartridges been taught to the Native officers and sepoy's at the Dum-Dum Depot ?

Answer—Because it is so ordered in the book of instructions, the object being simply that the men should understand the process as a part of their profession, and not with the smallest expectation that they would be called upon to practise it. The system of instruction includes a certain course of drills, of which cartridge-making is one.

Question—Is not this cartridge for a new kind of musket which is not in general use ?

Answer—Yes.

Question—What are your instructions regarding the use of grease for these new cartridges by the men at the Musketry School ?

Answer—In consequence of some objection raised at the School of Musketry to the grease employed in the magazine, I reported the circumstance officially to Major-General Hearsey, and in due course I received orders to parade my men and explain to them that the Commander-in-Chief readily attended to their objection, and that instead of the grease then employed in the magazine for the purpose in question, they would be allowed to procure the materials in the bazar; provided, of course, that it in no way lessened the efficiency of the cartridge. The depot was accordingly paraded, and after I had myself explained to the men the decision of Government, they unanimously expressed themselves perfectly satisfied and ready to obey any orders that I might wish to give them.

Jemadar Salickram Sing declines to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

3rd Witness

1st-Lieutenant M. E. Currie, Artillery, is called into Court and duly sworn.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—You are, I believe, Commissary of Ordnance, and in charge of the arsenal of Fort William ?

Answer—I am.

Question—Will you give the Court such information as you possess regarding the preparation and manufacture of the new cartridge ?

Answer—The cartridge is prepared with a particular sort of paper sent out from England. The directions are to prepare it with care, to keep the grease to fit the bore exactly, for which purpose paper of a uniform thickness is required. After the cartridge is finished, as you see it here (the witness takes up a cartridge already in Court), about two-thirds of the bullet is dipped in grease. The cartridge is then ready for use.

Question—Have greased cartridges ever been issued to the troops from your magazine ?

Answer—No cartridges have ever been issued from the arsenal here ; but some have been sent from the arsenal to Delhi, and to the depots of instruction up-country. None have been issued from the arsenal to any regiment ; but they have been sent to the Delhi magazine for the 60th Rifles, a European regiment.

Question—Do you issue any cartridges for the use of the Dum-Dum School of Musketry ?

Answer—The depot under my orders at Dum-Dum makes up the cartridges required for that place.

Question—Were the cartridges made there and issued from your magazine prepared with grease ?

Answer—I really cannot answer that without referring to the records in the office.

Question—Did you receive any orders from Government on the subject of grease for these cartridges ?

Answer—I got an order to supply cartridges for the use of the Dum-Dum depot free from any grease.

Question—When did you get that order ?

Answer—On the 27th of January last.

Question—Then since that date no greased cartridges have been made ?

Answer—No greased cartridges have been made at Dum-Dum since that date.

Question—In the paper used for the new cartridges is there any grease, to the best of your knowledge ?

Answer—To the best of my knowledge there is none.

The witness withdraws.

4th Witness

Lieutenant H. Michell, Assistant Commissary of Ordnance, is called into Court and sworn.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Are you employed in the arsenal of Fort William ?

Answer—I am.

Question—Will you look at the cartridge paper of which these cartridges are made, and say if there is any or the slightest appearance of grease in this paper (the cartridges in Court shown) ?

Answer—I should know from their outward appearance; I know there is nothing of the kind in them.

Question—Have you seen the manufacture of paper, and have you ever seen or known grease to be a material employed in the composition of paper ?

Answer—I have witnessed the whole process of making cartridge-paper at the Serampore Mills; I saw no grease used of any kind. I believe that grease would be dangerous; I could give many reasons for it; owing to the fear of spontaneous combustion, I should say that grease would be entirely discarded in the manufacture of paper; the use of it would also cause the paper to rot.

Jemadar Salickram Sing, the prisoner, declines to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

It being ten minutes to 4 o'clock, the Court adjourns until Monday, the 23rd March, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon.

SECOND DAY'S PROCEEDINGS

Fort William, 23rd March 1857.

The Court reassembled this day, at 12 o'clock, A. M., the President, Members, Judge-Advocate, Interpreter, Prosecutor, and prisoner being all present.

3rd Witness

Lieutenant Currie, Commissary of Ordnance, recalled and examined on his former oath.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—You stated in your evidence on Saturday, that before the 27th January, cartridges were issued to the Delhi

Question—(concl'd).

magazine from the arsenal, already greased; what are the orders you have received on the composition of grease for the use of cartridges?

Answer—The grease was to be made of six parts of tallow and one part of bees-wax.

Question—Of what ought that tallow to consist?

Answer—No inquiry is made as to the fat of what animal is used.

Question—You do not yourself know what fat is used?

Answer—No, I don't know.

Question—Is not the intention of Government that the tallow to be used in the preparation of grease should be mutton or goat's fat?

Answer—It is not the intention of Government that all grease used in any preparation in the magazine is to be made of goat's and sheep's fat only.

The witness withdraws.

5th Witness

Colonel A. Abbott, C.B., is called into Court and sworn.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—You are, I believe, Inspector-General of Ordnance?

Answer—Yes.

Question—Will you be so good as to state to the Court what the tallow used with the cartridge for the new musket was to be composed of?

Answer—The tallow is that which the contractor supplied; but I can't say positively what it consists of.

Question—Did you receive any information that an objectionable material was used in the grease supplied from the arsenal for the new cartridges in the first instance?

Answer—I heard on the morning of the 27th of January, I believe, I am not positive, that the sepoy's objected to use the new cartridges because they were greased. I inquired at the arsenal what composition had been used, and was told that the composition was that which the Regulations prescribed, and that the tallow might or might not have contained the fat of cows or other animals.

Question—What did you do on receiving this report?

Answer—I went to my office immediately, and then I saw Major Bontein, who stated what had occurred at Dum-Dum. I told him to take any cartridges he liked from the depot, and to do anything he pleased to satisfy the men, and that the warrant officer there would comply with all his requisitions. I afterwards received the original correspondence, showing that the case had been submitted to Government, who had sanctioned the issue of cartridges free from any kind of grease.

Question—Did you accordingly give any instructions for the discontinuance of the issue of greased cartridges ?

Answer—Decidedly; immediately, not only here but to all the magazines in the Upper Provinces.

Question—And what are the orders now for the use of grease for the new musket cartridges ?

Answer—The orders are at present that they are to be made without any grease whatever.

Question—When did you give the order for the discontinuance of grease in the new cartridges ?

Answer—I gave orders to stop the making of cartridges with grease on them immediately after I heard of the objection.

Question—Are you not aware that directly Government heard of the objection raised by the men that they immediately sanctioned the issue of cartridges free from grease of any kind ?

Answer—Yes, I am aware.

The prisoner Salickram Sing declines to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

6th Witness

Sewbuccus Sing (Hindu), Jemadar, Light Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes the prescribed solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—On the evening of the 5th instant, did Salickram Sing, Jemadar, 1st Company, the prisoner in Court, go to you at your hut in the lines and converse with you on any particular subject, and what did he say ?

Answer—About 10 o'clock on the day of the 5th March, I and Issuree Sing, Havildar, Light Company, were talking together in my hut regarding furlough. After

Answer—(concl'd.)

the usual salutations, I asked him to sit down; he then said—"Now tell me; I want only your opinion now, and I place great hope (tawakku*) in you." He said—"Tell us what is the state of your mind about it." I said—"About what?" He said—"About biting the cartridges." I replied—"I will bite cartridges if I get the order, and will obey whatever I get; I don't care if any one should say that I have lost my caste by biting them. I will still obey the Government, from whom I get my livelihood." He said—"I will not bite them; I will cut them with my sword." Subadar Ram Kissen was passing my door at the time, so Salickram got up and joined him. Issures Sing and I were left alone. I reported the circumstance shortly afterwards to Homail Sing, Subadar, Light Company, in the presence of the Subadar-Major, Duriou Sing.

Question—What did you understand by the expression, "I place great hope in you?"

Answer—I understood that he wished me to side in his opinion regarding the cartridges, by doing which I should have been a guilty man.

Question—Did he say that he had spoken to any others on the subject, and whether they had agreed with him or not?

Answer—He said—"All the rest have taken cartridges in their hands; you only remain."

Question—Had you been on garrison duty with the prisoner Salickram Sing, and when did you both return?

Answer—He was on duty in the fort; I was on duty on the Governor-General's guard at Government House. We returned to Barrackpore on the 3rd of this month. This man came to me on the 5th of the month.

Question—During the time you were down here, did you see much of Jemadar Salickram Sing?

Answer—We met twice. He came to my guard once; and I came into the fort on business once, and met him and all the rest of the Native officers.

Question—Did Jemadar Salickram Sing say anything to you on these two occasions about the cartridge question?

Answer—He said nothing to me, or to any one else before me.

* See page Lxiv.

Question—What cartridges did you understand the prisoner to say he would not bite?

Answer—I understood him to mean those that had gone to Dum-Dum.

Question—Why did you understand this?

Answer—Because there had been a great deal of talk about these cartridges in the station, and the colonel had told us there was nothing wrong in them; that no one wished to take our caste from us; and that if there was anything said about it, no matter to what regiment the men belonged, we were to report it to him.

Question—Were you on intimate terms with the prisoner at the time he came to you?

Answer—We have known each other a long time, and we were on the usual terms of friendship.

Question—How long did he remain with you on the occasion in your hut?

Answer—About half an hour.

Question—What was the conversation about all this time?

Answer—We smoked and talked on general subjects, with the exception that I have above related.

Question—State to the best of your recollection what were the exact words spoken by the jemadar regarding the cartridges?

Answer—He said—“What do you say on the subject of the cartridges? Will you bite them or not?” I replied—“I will.” He replied—“I will not cut them with my teeth; I will cut them with my sword.”

Question—Did he use no other words whatever on the subject?

Answer—No, I told him not to talk about the cartridges, as it is forbidden; saying, what will people say if they hear that we have had a conversation on the subject in my hut.

Question—Was Havildar Issuree Sing, Light Company, in your hut during the whole time Jemadar Salickram Sing was there?

Answer—He was there the whole time.

Question—Did the Jemadar assign any reason for his not biting the cartridges?

Answer—He said there is some grease in them, and therefore I cannot bite them.

Question—You have been twice asked if Jemadar Salickram Sing said anything more about the cartridges than what you previously said; now you say that he said this; what explanation do you give?

Answer—You did not ask the reason for his not biting them, or I should have stated it.

Question—Do you now recollect whether he did or did not use any other words than those you have given in evidence about these cartridges, and on what subject?

Answer—I don't recollect any more.

Question—When you told Jemadar Salickram Sing not to talk about that subject, what did he say? Did he say anything?

Answer—He took his leave and went away.

Question—At what part of the visit to you did this conversation take place about the cartridges?

Answer—Shortly after he came in.

Question—You have said the visit lasted half-an-hour, and he went away when you told him not to speak about the cartridges. Are you quite sure that in all this time the jemadar said nothing more about them?

Answer—We had a smoke and chat, and it does not take long for half-an-hour to pass.

Question—Do you know whether, before the time of that conversation, there had been a feeling of dissatisfaction amongst the Native troops stationed at Barrackpore regarding the new cartridges?

Answer—There was a little dissatisfaction. The manner of the men was different from usual. It was the talk of the place. The people left the Sudder Bazar through some fear.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY JEMADAR SALICKRAM SING

Question—Did you not at first say that the conversation was in the evening and afterwards at 10 o'clock in the day?

Answer—I did not mention the word evening; it was in the question put to me; and when I was asked if it was in the evening, I said—"No, it was in the forenoon."

Question—Did you report the circumstance on the same day, and when?

Answer—I reported on that day, the 5th, at about 4 o'clock; I did not report before, because I wanted another officer to be present,

Question—Did you send for the subadar-major, or did he come himself to the subadar's hut ?

Answer—No, the subadar came of his own accord ; and when I saw the two officers together, I went and reported.

Question—Why did you not report such a serious circumstance to the commanding officer direct, instead of reporting to Native officers ?

Answer—The subadar, my senior officer, was present in the lines, and it is my duty to report to him. Had he not been there, or had he not reported what I told him, I would have done so myself.

Question—Why did you not report a circumstance that occurred at 10 o'clock in the day time until 4 o'clock in the afternoon ?

Answer—I waited until I could see two officers together ; there would have been no witness if I had gone and reported the circumstance to him while he was alone.

BY THE COURT

Question—Had any cartridges been distributed to any men of the regiment, that this jemadar should speak about them ?

Answer—No, none had been distributed to the regiment ; but the colonel had taken some down, as well as the paper of which they were made, and showed it to the men. The Native officers took them in their hands and showed them to the men. I heard of this.

Question—Had any ever been distributed for use ?

Answer—No.

Question—On the 5th, or about that day, had there been any new cartridges distributed to the men ?

Answer—No.

The witness withdraws.

7th Witness

Issuree Sing (Hindu), Havildar, Light Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes the prescribed solemn affirmation.

BY THE PROSECUTOR.

Question—Were you at the hut of Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing, of the Light Company, at Barrackpore on the 5th instant ? If so, state what occurred there ?

Answer—Yes, I went there. I was going to bathe, when Sewbuccus called me in, and told me he was going to bathe too, and asked me to wait for him, when Jemadar Salickram Sing came from the 1st Company and said—“Brother, I have no one but you to hope in; if they will tell me, I will cut the cartridges with a sword, but will not bite them; the sepoy's may do as they please.”

Question—What did Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing reply?

Answer—He said—“You ought not to say such a thing; whatever is necessary to be done for Government that I will do.”

Question—Did Jemadar Salickram Sing say why he would not bite them?

Answer—He said nothing more. The jemadar did not ask him for a reason.

Question—Did the jemadar say anything about there being grease on the cartridges, and assign that as a reason for not biting them?

Answer—I did not hear him say so.

Question—To whom were these words addressed?

Answer—To Sewbuccus Sing, in my presence.

Question—When Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing replied “he would do whatever was necessary for Government,” what did Jemadar Salickram Sing say? Did he say anything?

Answer—No, he went away.

Question—What did you understand from what Jemadar Salickram Sing said about the cartridges?

Answer—I understood he alluded to the excitement amongst the troops. I only heard these words—“My only hope is in you and in no one else. If the Government shall order it, I will cut the cartridges with a sword, but not bite them with my teeth. The sepoy's may do as they choose.”

Question—What cartridges do you understand to have been meant?

Answer—The cartridges about which there was so much excitement. The Court now adjourned at 4 o'clock, until 11 o'clock to-morrow in the forenoon.

THIRD DAY'S PROCEEDINGS

Fort William, Tuesday, 24th March 1857.

The Court reassembled this day at their former place of meeting at 11 o'clock A.M., the President, Members, Judge-Advocate, Interpreter, the Prosecutor, and prisoner being all present.

The examination of Havildar Issuree Sing was resumed by the Prosecutor.

Question—What do you understand by the words—"My only hope is in you?"

Answer—That he wanted the Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing to join with him.

Question—About what time elapsed in this visit and conversation?

Answer—About half-an-hour.

Question—What were they doing all this time?

Answer—These words about the cartridges first passed between them, and they afterwards smoked and conversed.

Question—Was the word used by Jemadar Salickram Sing "tawaku,"* or *bharosa*?

Answer—*Bharosa*.

Question—Did you remain in the hut with the jemadar after Jemadar Salickram Sing left?

Answer—The jemadar said to me—"Stop, Issuree Sing, I want to say something to you."

Question—What did he say?

Answer—"Recollect the words used by Salickram Sing. I shall report them."

Question—Did Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing tell Jemadar Salickram Sing not to talk about the cartridges?

Answer—Yes. "Don't talk about such a thing. Whatever work is ordered to be done by Government, that I will perform."

Question—Did Jemadar Salickram Sing give any and what reply?

Answer—He said—"You sirdars don't understand the thing; one says one thing, and one another."

Question—Did Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing make any and what reply to that?

Answer—He said—"I am not in the habit of running about in all directions to see people, and don't you do so. I sit in my house you sit in yours. We will see what happens."

Question—After this what was said?

Answer—The conversation on the subject ended, and Salickram said he would return to his hut. Sewbuccus asked him to sit down and take a smoke first, which he did.

Question—Did you join in the conversation about the cartridges?

Answer—They spoke together; I did not join in the conversation.

* See page lix.

Question—Did Jemadar Salickram Sing address you at all on the subject?

Answer—No.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY JEMADAR SALICKRAM SING

Question—At what time did I go to Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing's hut and how long did I remain?

Answer—At half-past 9 o'clock in the morning. He remained until 10 o'clock.

Question—When this conversation took place, how far were you from us?

Answer—Sewbuccus Sing was seated at the head of his *charpoy* (bedstead); Salickram Sing was seated on the middle, and I was at one side of the charpoy.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—Did Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing appear to be angry when Salickram Sing spoke about the cartridges?

Answer—He was angry.

Question—How do you know he was angry?

Answer—He spoke sharply.

Question—On Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing speaking sharply, did Jemadar Salickram Sing excuse himself?

Answer—Salickram Sing made no reply, and dropped the subject.

BY THE COURT

Question—Did Jemadar Salickram Sing after this remain and smoke?

Answer—Yes; after this he smoked and then went away.

Question—When they were smoking, was there no conversation?

Answer—No; having finished smoking, Jemadar Salickram Sing went away.

Question—Do you know anything more on the subject than what you have stated?

Answer—I know nothing more.

The witness withdraws.

5th Witness

Homail Sing (Hindu), Subadar, Light Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court and duly affirmed.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—On the 5th of this month did Sewbuccus Sing, Jemadar, Light Company, make any particular report to you and what?

Answer—Between 4 and 5 o'clock of that day he reported to me that Jemadar Salickram Sing had come to his hut and said—"I place great hope in you and in no one else; all the sirdars have taken the cartridges in their hands, and have consented to bite them. Tell me, will you bite them also." That Sewbuccus Sing said—"I will." That Salickram Sing said—"I will not bite them."

Question—Were you alone when this report was made to you?

Answer—Subadar-Major Duriou Sing was present.

Question—What steps did you take upon this report being made to you?

Answer—I said—"I would report the circumstance;" but the subadar-major said—"I, as senior, will report it."

Question—Did you report this affair to the adjutant?

Answer—When I was sent for next morning I related the circumstance to the adjutant.

The prisoner Salickram Sing declines to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

9th Witness

Duriou Sing (Hindu), Subadar-Major, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes the prescribed solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Were you with Subadar Homail Sing of the Light Company at Barrackpore on the 5th of this month, when any particular report was made to him by any one?

Answer—On the 5th instant. I can't say whether it had struck 5 o'clock in the afternoon or not, but it was late. I had gone to the hut of the subadar to see them catching fish in the tank which is close beside it. Subadar Homail Sing was sitting on his *charpoy* in front of his door, looking on also. He called me to come and sit down, which I did, stating I had come to see the fishing. A little while afterwards, Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing, Light Company of the regiment, came and reported as follows:—"To-day Salickram Sing, Jemadar, of the 1st Company, came to my hut and said—"I place great confidence or hope in you all; the rest have agreed to bite the cartridges, what

Answer—(concl'd.)

do you say? Will you bite them? I never will. Havildar Issuree Sing and I were both sitting in the hut. I said—'All the rest have agreed to bite them, and I will do so even if I do lose my caste by it; my relations will give me my food at the door and I will eat it there.'

Question—On hearing such a report what steps did you take?

Answer—I reported it that evening to the adjutant. I went to the adjutant's house at about 7 o'clock in the evening of that day to make the report, but he was out, so I waited until he returned at about 10 o'clock at night.

Question—Did you wait from 7 o'clock on that evening until 10 o'clock that night at the adjutant's bungalow?

Answer—Yes, I was there three hours; I waited near the door of his house.

Question—Were you on garrison duty in the month of February last?

Answer—Yes, on the Government House guard in Calcutta. I came down on the 3rd February, and returned to Barrackpore on the 3rd March.

Question—Was a considerable portion of the 70th Regiment down on garrison duty?

Answer—Yes, somewhere about 500 men.

Question—Are you able to state what the feeling was at that time amongst the men of the 70th in garrison on the subject of the cartridges? You are not asked as to individual names or opinions, but as to the general feeling.

Answer—I was on the Government House guard, some distance from the fort. I never heard anything about the cartridges among the men of my guard.

Question—Were they men of the 70th Regiment?

Answer—Yes, the whole guard. There are 100 sepoy on the guard, with the proportion of the non-commissioned officers and others.

Question—Did you hear nothing about the cartridges when you were on Government House guard?

Answer—Yes; I think it was only from the barber who came down from the lines at Barrackpore.

Question—Before you came down on garrison duty in February last, was there any excitement amongst the troops at Barrackpore on the subject of cartridges, and what measures were taken in your regiment in consequence?

Answer—There was; on the subject of the cartridges. The colonel of our regiment, Colonel Kennedy, sent for the Native officers to his bungalow, and told us to tell him upon what points we had any doubt. The colonel said—“ You think you are going to lose your caste, but I assure you there is no doubt in it.” I explained this to the men of my company.

Question—Was the Jemadar, Salickram Sing, present on the occasion of the colonel speaking to the sirdars of the regiment?

Answer—I don't recollect; I should say there were about fifteen of us there.

Question—About what date was this?

Answer—I can't tell the date. It was some time in January, a day or two before the parade when Captain Impey, the Interpreter at that time of the regiment, read the order regarding the new cartridges, and explained to the men that Government would give the paper, and the men might supply their own grease, either oil or ghee.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—Were you present at that parade?

Answer—I was.

Question—Was Jemadar Salickram Sing, the prisoner, present?

Answer—He is in the 1st Company, and I am in the 4th; I cannot say.

Question—Did the men appear to you to be satisfied with the explanation then given at the parade, and with what you told them to have been said by the colonel?

Answer—Yes; the men appeared to be satisfied and are so still.

Question—Will you state as nearly as you can recollect what Captain Impey, the Interpreter, said to the men on parade about the cartridges?

Answer—Captain Impey said—“ The doubt, which you had in your minds regarding cow's or pig's fat being used in the grease, has been reported to Government, who have

Answer—(concl'd.)

no desire whatever that you should lose caste, and they have, therefore, forbidden the application of the grease to the cartridges. The paper and the powder will be supplied by Government, and oil and ghee or other greasy substance, according to their own wish, receiving payment for the same from the Government. Some twenty or thirty men, who will be taught here to make these cartridges, will make them up in conjunction with the *tindal*."

CROSS-EXAMINED BY JEMADAR SALICKRAM SING

Question—How is it that you, who knew everything that goes on in the regiment, cannot say whether or not I was present at that parade. Do we not always go up together to salute the commanding officer after parade?

Answer—We do not go now; we used to do so, but Colonel Kennedy gave the order that after parade we should accompany our companies and dismiss them, and that if he should want to say anything to us that he would send for us.

The witness withdraws.

10th Witness

Sewchurn Missr (Hindu), Drill Havildar, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes the prescribed solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Do you remember the Native officers of the 70th Regiment being sent for to Colonel Kennedy's bungalow at Barrackpore in January last, when the colonel spoke to them about the cartridges?

Answer—Yes, I do remember; the colonel sent his order through me; it might be some twelve or fourteen days before the reserve guard came down to garrison, which was on the 3rd of February.

Question—Did you accompany the sirdars to the colonel's bungalow, and can you name them?

Answer—Yes, I know all, but I may forget one or two; all were there except five. There were fourteen sirdars.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Were you in the lines of the 1st Company of the regiment at Barrackpore on the 5th March, and did you hear anything said by Jemadar Salickram Sing about thatching the huts?

Answer—I was in the lines; I heard Jemadar Salickram Sing say something about thatching the huts.

Question—What did you hear him say?

Answer—On the 5th of this month I was in my hut arranging the screen over my fireplace. Between 5 and 6 o'clock, the jemadar, Salickram Sing, came along the lines, saying—
“The order is very strict; get your fire-screens ready. You can thatch your houses four or five days hence. In two or three days there will be a riot (ghulbah).”
The jemadar was going from the direction of the bells-of-arms to his own hut.

Question—Did you see the jemadar at the time?

Answer—Yes, I saw him.

Question—Did you hear him say this once only, or how often?

Answer—He repeated it as he went along through the lines.

Question—Did he speak loudly?

Answer—Loud enough for me to hear it.

Question—Did you hear him from any distance from your hut?

Answer—I did not hear him when he got at a distance from me. I heard him repeat when he was about twenty yards from me, after which I could not hear him.

Question—What did you understand by the words you heard spoken by the jemadar?

Answer—I understood there was to be a disturbance such as that which occurred at Barrackpore, on the night of the 28th January, and therefore not to thatch the huts until it was over.

Question—Did the words you heard spoken by the jemadar strike you particularly at the time?

Answer—Yes, I was surprised, as I had been told repeatedly to get my hut quickly finished.

Question—What men of the company were near you when the jemadar said this?

Answer—I did not see any one; I was in my hut, and I don't know where the other men of the company were. Some men

Answer—(concl'd.)

have been at the bells-of-arms, some may have gone to the rear, and some may have been in their huts.

Question—Did you mention what you had heard to any one ?

Answer—I did not speak to any one about it until I was asked.

Question—Why did you not speak about it to any one ?

Answer—How could I report an officer; perhaps he might have received orders.

Question—Did you go outside your hut when the jemadar passed by saying this ?

Answer—No; if I had gone out I should have seen plenty of men about.

Question—Do you live alone in your hut ?

Answer—No; Leela Ram, Sepoy, lives with me.

Question—Was Sepoy Leela Ram at that time in the hut ?

Answer—No; I had sent him to buy some bamboos.

Question—Did you mention to Leela Ram, Sepoy, what you had heard the jemadar say ?

Answer—No, I did not; but I kept it to myself, not knowing whether there was any truth in what the jemadar said about a disturbance.

Question—Who occupy the huts opposite to yours ?

Answer—Sepoys Seeam Sing and Hummut Sing live directly opposite. On the left of their hut Khoodabux and Moonna Khan (sepoys) live. On the right of the first hut is the road.

Question—Who occupy the huts on the right of your hut ?

Answer—Jullasur Sing, Sepoy, and Sewraj Ram, Sepoy.

Question—Do you know where these sepoy were when the jemadar spoke what you told us about the huts ?

Answer—Jullasur Sing was on garrison duty. The other one had gone to the bazar; I knew this by his telling me that if the havildar should ask about him, I was to say he had gone to the bazar to get bamboos.

Question—Were any of these sepoy, Seeam Sing, Hummut Sing, Khoodabux and Moonna Khan, at that time in their huts ?

Answer—I could see into the hut of Seeam Sing and Hummut Sing. There was nobody there. I did not come out of my hut, and I cannot say with regard to the other two. I cannot see into their hut from mine.

Question—What was the disturbance on the night of the 28th January which you allude to ?

Answer—It was a panic. Reports of all kinds were flying about the station ; some said there was a regiment coming ; some said there would be fighting ; some said there was a dacoity ; and some said there was a fire. The men were all assembled near their bells-of-arms.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—Did the men give over thatching their huts after what you say I said, or continue to repair them ?

Answer—The thatching went on.

The witness withdraws.

15th Witness

Gunness Tewarry (Hindu), Sepoy, 1st Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Were you in the lines of the 1st Company of the regiment on the 5th March, and did you hear anything said by Jemadar Salickram Sing about the huts ?

Answer—Yes ; I was in the lines on that day and heard the jemadar.

Question—What were the words you heard ?

Answer—“ Get your fire-screens ready ; the order is very strict. You can thatch your huts four or five days hence. In four or five days there will be a disturbance.” This is all I heard.

Question—Where were you when you heard this ?

Answer—I was inside my hut.

Question—Where is your hut ?

Answer—In the rear.

Question—How far from the hut of Sepoy Issuree Ram ?

Answer—Four huts distant, and on the opposite side of the street. It is nearer the jemadar's by that distance.

Question—In what direction was the jemadar going ?

Answer—From the bells-of-arms towards his own hut.

Question—At what time was this ?

Answer—About half-past 5 or a quarter to 6 o'clock in the evening.

Question—Did the jemadar remain opposite your hut, or did he pass on ?

Answer—He passed on.

Question—Did he speak the words you heard loudly?

Answer—In a full tone. I heard him distinctly; others might have heard.

Question—Did he say these words once, or more than once?

Answer—He repeated them as he went along.

Question—At what distance from you did you hear him?

Answer—At the distance of three or four huts on either side of me.

Question—Did you see the jemadar?

Answer—Yes, I saw him.

Question—How were you occupied inside your hut?

Answer—I was sitting down, doing nothing.

Question—Did you go out of your hut?

Answer—I did not then. I did when the roll was called.

Question—From where you were sitting in your hut, could you see any distance on either side of your hut?

Answer—To the distance of five or six huts on either side.

Question—Were you at the door of your hut?

Answer—In the doorway.

Question—Did you not just now say you were sitting in the centre of your hut?

Answer—I said I was in the centre of the doorway.

Question—Did you hear Jemadar Salickram Sing say these words before he came up to your hut?

Answer—Before he came opposite my hut he was saying these words.

Question—Did you see any men of the company near you at the time?

Answer—I did not see any, but I heard them talking in their huts. All those in my rear had gone on garrison duty.

Question—Did you see no sepoy sitting at the door, or near the door of his hut at that time?

Answer—I saw no one, but I heard them talking.

Question—Whom did you hear talking?

Answer—I heard Kaleedeen Pattuck, Sepoy of the 1st Company, and Pudarut Ram of the same company, and Sepoy Salickram Sing. Two of these, Kaleedeen Pattuck and Pudarut Ram, were in one hut. Salickram Sing was in his own hut.

Question—Did you hear Salickram Sing talking?

Answer—No; he was alone.

Question—How do you know he was in his hut ?

Answer—I saw him go in about 5 o'clock. I did not see him come out until roll-call.

Question—Did you tell any one what you heard the jemadar say ?

Answer—At about 7 o'clock on that day I told Lutchman Doobey, Naick, Grenadier Company, at his hut.

Question—Why did you tell it to this man, who is not in your company ?

Answer—I had gone to visit him, and he mentioned to me that the orders of the officer commanding his company were very strict regarding the finishing of the huts, so I told him what the jemadar had said.

Question—You said at first you heard Sepoy Salickram talking. How do you explain this ?

Answer—I meant I knew the other men to be in their huts by hearing them talking. Salickram Sing was alone, but I had seen him go in.

Question—What did you understand by the word "Ghubah," spoken by Jemadar Salickram Sing ?

Answer—A disorderly meeting of the men, as there had been before.

Question—Are you quite sure that Jemadar Salickram Sing made use of the exact words you have stated in evidence ?

Answer—I am quite sure of those words.

The prisoner declines to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

16th Witness

Lutchman Doobey (Hindu), Naick, Grenadier Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Did Sepoy Gunness Tewarry of the 1st Company of the 70th Regiment come to you on the evening of the 5th March, and did any conversation take place between you ?

Answer—He did about 7 o'clock in the evening, and we had some conversation.

Question—What did he say ?

Answer—He said there is a strict order in my company to get out fireplaces and screens ready as quick as possible. He then said (in reply to an observation from Madho

Answer—(concl'd.)

Sing, Havildar, Grenadier Company, who was present),—
 “The jemadar of my company has told us to get the fireplaces ready at once, but to wait for five or six days before we thatch the huts, as there will be a disturbance (ghulbah.)”

The prisoner declines to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

17th Witness

Madho Sing (Hindu), Pay-Havildar, Grenadier Company, is called into Court, and makes solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Did you on the 5th March hear anything said by Sepoy Gunness Tewarry, of the 1st Company, at the hut of Lutchmun Doobey, Grenadier Company of the regiment, on the subject of thatching the huts?

Answer—Yes; I heard him say—“We in our company have got a very strict order to finish our fire-screens. The jemadar of the company has told us to put off thatching for five or six days, as on the day of the Holey there would be a serious disturbance (pukka ghulbah.)”

Question—What time was this?

Answer—It was after 7 o'clock.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—Is Gunness Tewarry in the habit of going to Lutchmun Doobey's hut, or did he go only on this occasion?

Answer—I cannot answer that; Lutchmun Doobey can answer.
 The witness withdraws.

COLONEL KENNEDY TO THE COURT

I have concluded my evidence on the second instance of the first charge, with the exception of the evidence of three witnesses who are not in attendance. I will, therefore, proceed now upon the third instance of the first charge, and take the evidence of these witnesses when they arrive.

1st Witness

Colonel Kennedy, the Prosecutor, examined on his former oath.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE.

Question—Will you produce the Standing Orders regarding assemblies of men in the lines?

Answer—I refer to section 10, para. 3 of the Standing Orders of the Bengal Native Infantry, which I produce.

The Order is read to the Court by the Interpreter, as follows :—

“Should any meeting of Native officers or others for the discussion of matters connected with their duty as soldiers take place in or near the lines, he will report it immediately to the European officer of the day with such circumstances connected with the meeting as he may be able to learn. Any neglect of duty on this head will be deemed a disobedience of orders, and will be dealt with accordingly.”

Question—Whom does this Order more especially apply to ?

Answer—The Native officer of the day, the section being so headed.

Question—What other Army Standing Orders are there ?

Answer—I refer to section 11, headed “Non-commissioned Officers,” para. 8, as follows :—

“Non-commissioned officers, though not on duty, are to check irregularities and neglects which are prejudicial to good order and discipline, and to be particularly careful not to permit any assemblies of men in or near the lines at unreasonable hours, or for the discussion of any points connected with the service, and should any irregularity of this description, or discontent of any kind, come to their knowledge, they are to report it without loss of time to the officer commanding their company.”

Question—What orders have you in the regiment on the subject of meetings being held in the lines ?

Answer—These Army Standing Orders are translated and entered in order books of companies, and are read weekly, or oftener, if necessary, to companies.

It being now close upon 4 o'clock, the Court adjourns until 11 o'clock A. M. to-morrow.

SIXTH DAY'S PROCEEDINGS

Fort William, Friday, 27th March 1857.

The Court reassembled this day at 11 o'clock in the forenoon at their former place of meeting, the President, Members, Judge-Advocate, Interpreter, Prosecutor, and prisoner being present.

COLONEL KENNEDY

Two of the three witnesses whom I propose to call on the second instance of the first charge, as I yesterday stated to the Court, have arrived, but as they do not appear to have any evidence to give for the prosecution, I shall not call them. They are, however, at hand, and the prisoner can examine them on his defence if he pleases.

Poorun Roy, Havildar, 1st Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is recalled and examined on his former solemn affirmation.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—What are the orders read out to your company on the subject of meetings or assemblies of the men in the lines?

Answer—This order is read out sometimes weekly, sometimes once a fortnight, or whenever directed. The witness reads out from the Order Book of the 1st Company a translation of para. 3, section 10 of Army Standing Orders, as entered already on the Court's proceedings.

The witness withdraws.

Lutchmun Doobey, Naick, Grenadier Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, recalled and examined on his former solemn affirmation.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Were you in the lines of the 1st Company on the evening of the 8th March, and did you observe anything unusual going on?

Answer—Yes; I was in the lines, and at about half-past 7 or 8 o'clock I was passing by the hut of Jemadar Salickram Sing, alongside of which there is a road, and saw in the enclosure round the jemadar's hut an assembly of fifteen to twenty men. As I returned to the rear-guard, where I was on duty, I met the havildar-major; and as I had been called on to give evidence before Captain Greene on that day regarding what I had been told—the jemadar said about the thatching of the huts—I was afraid they were plotting against me, and reported it to the havildar-major.

Question—Was it not your duty to report it whether you considered it might concern yourself or not?

Answer—Yes; the orders are to the effect that meetings are to be reported.

Question—Who were the men whom you saw at the meeting?

Answer—I did not recognise any one. I was passing along, and did not stop. They were sitting wrapped up in the sheets (*chaddars*) which Natives usually wear as a protection against cold.

Question—Were their faces covered so that you could not see them?

Answer—No. If I had stopped I might have seen them so as to recognise them.

Question—Was there any light at that time?

Answer—I could not see faces about six paces off, but I could see persons at some considerable distance, about twenty-five paces off.

Question—At what distance were you from the jemadar's hut when you passed along?

Answer—About twenty or twenty-two paces.

Question—Which side of the jemadar's hut did you pass?

Answer—I passed the jemadar's hut on my left.

Question—Did you hear any voices among the assembly?

Answer—Yes; but they were speaking in a low tone. I did not hear anything said. If I stopped I might have heard.

Question—Did you recognise the voice of any one in that assembly?

Answer—No.

Question—Was there a moon at that time?

Answer—The moon had just risen then.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—Did you go by the street between my hut and that of Abdoollah Beg, Naick of the 1st Company?

Answer—I did, leaving Abdoollah Beg's hut on the right.

Question—Was there around my hut any enclosure, or was it open space?

Answer—The posts of an enclosure were there, but no mat screens were up.

RE-EXAMINED BY THE COURT

Question—What distance is there between the hut of Naick Abdoollah Beg?

Answer—One of the two huts is about six to eight paces distant, but where the men were assembled was about twenty paces from Abdoollah Beg's hut.

Question—Will you explain this?

Answer—There are huts of the jemadar, the farthest some twenty paces distant from the nearest. The men were sitting in the space between the huts.

The witness withdraws.

Sewchurn Miser, Havildar-Major, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, re-called.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Did you receive a report from Naick Lutchmun Doobey of a meeting in the lines on the 8th March, and what steps did you take ?

Answer—At about a quarter to 8 o'clock on that evening Naick Lutchmun Doobey came to my hut and reported that there was an assembly of men, from twenty to twenty-five, at the hut of Jemadar Salickram Sing of the 1st Company. I sent off Rampersaud Ditchit, Lance-Naick, of the 3rd Company, to the hut to see if this report was true. He returned and confirmed the report. I then took him, the lance-naick, and Bhowany Bux Sing, Lance-Naick of the 7th Company, who was with me, to the hut, and myself saw that there was an assembly.

Question—Did you recognise any one at that assembly ?

Answer—I recognised four men, Hyat Bux Khan, Pay-Havildar, 1st Company, and Rameburn Sing, Havildar, 4th Company, and Jhoomuck Sing, Drill Lance-Naick, of the Grenadier Company, and Kootub Ally Khan, Drill-Naick, 4th Company.

Question—How did you manage to recognise these four men in particular ?

Answer—Three were sitting down, and Jhoomuck Sing standing; these men having been reported to me as being there by Lance-Naick Rampersaud Ditchit, I more particularly noticed them.

Question—Did you recognise any other person ?

Answer—I recognised no one else.

Question—Where are these four men whom you recognised ?

Answer—They are all in confinement for being present at the meeting.

Question—How near did you go to the spot where the assembly was ?

Answer—I went to within four or five paces.

Question—Did you stop there or pass on ?

Answer—I did not stop. I went straight on to the sergeant-major to report.

Question—What was the state of the evening as to light ?

Answer—It was not very dark; there was some light.

Question—How many men do you think you saw there ?

Answer—About twenty or twenty-five.

Question—Did you hear any talking going on?

Answer—I heard them talking, but could not hear what they said.

Question—Did you recognise the voice of any person?

Answer—I did not recognise any voice.

Question—Describe the position of the four men whom you recognised?

Answer—Three of these men were sitting close together, and Jhoomuck Sing was walking about two or three paces off.

Question—Did you notice whether any of the men had clothes (*chaddars*) drawn over their bodies?

Answer—Yes; some had.

Question—Did you notice the dress of any of the four men whom you recognised?

Answer—Hyat Bux Khan had a coloured padded long coat reaching to the knees, and Jhoomuck Sing had on a short white jacket (*mirzai*); I do not know how the other two were dressed.

Question—Was there a moon or not at that time?

Answer—Yes; it had risen, but did not give much light.

Question—When you reported to the sergeant-major, what time was it?

Answer—Just as I got to the sergeant-major and had commenced my report, the gun fired. The sergeant-major then told me to go and receive the reports of the roll-call of the different companies; and then I accompanied the sergeant-major to the adjutant's quarters to report the meeting. Lance-Naick Rampersaud Ditchit and Lance-Naick Bhowany Bux Sing accompanied us.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—Did you recognise me there at the hut?

Answer—I did not see you.

Question—Was there an enclosure around my hut or open space?

Answer—There was a fence of some kind for about two paces, and about four paces open.

RE-EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Were the men you saw assembled in the open space?

Answer—The fence was so low that a passer-by can see all over the enclosure.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—When you arrived at the adjutant's bungalow what time was it; and how long did you remain there?

Answer—We arrived there at about half-past 8 o'clock, and left at a little after 9 o'clock.

Question—Where did you go after you left the adjutant's bungalow?

Answer—I went over with the sergeant-major and the two lance-naicks to the mess of the regiment, hearing that the adjutant was there.

Question—How long did you stay at the mess-house?

Answer—About seven minutes.

Question—Where did you go then?

Answer—We returned to the adjutant's quarters.

Question—When you were at the mess, did you see Hyat Bux Khan, Pay-Havildar, No. 1 Company?

Answer—Yes; he was there. I did not see him when I first arrived; I saw him after.

Question—How long after you arrived?

Answer—A short while; some three or four minutes.

Question—Did you see any one else of the regiment at the adjutant's quarters when you arrived?

Answer—I saw no one of the regiment.

Question—When you returned to the adjutant's quarters from the mess-house, did you see any one?

Answer—Yes; I saw Jemadar Salickram Sing.

BY THE PRISONER

Question—Did I not accompany you from the adjutant's house to the mess-house when you crossed over?

Answer—He did not accompany me.

Question—Where did you first see me, and from what direction was I coming?

Answer—I saw him close to the adjutant's door, when I returned from the mess-house. I do not know from whence he came.

BY THE COURT

Question—If the jemadar had been at the adjutant's bungalow when you first arrived there, must you have seen him?

Answer—Yes; if he had been at that side of the house, the front. The compound is open, and it was moonlight.

The witness withdraws.

18th Witness

Rampersaud Ditchit (Hindu), Lance-Naick, 3rd Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Did you receive any orders from the havildar-major on the evening of the 8th instant to go into the lines of the 1st Company, and when did you go ?

Answer—I received orders from the havildar-major to go and see if a report, which was made to him in my presence by Naick Lutchmun Doobey, of the Grenadier Company, of a meeting being held at Jemadar Salickram Sing's hut, was correct. I was told to go quickly, and did so. I went down the road in front of where the Native officers reside, and saw, as far as I can guess, as many as twenty to twenty-five men at the hut.

Question—How near did you go ?

Answer—I went round the hut, and returned, having gone to within six or seven paces.

Question—Did you recognise any one ?

Answer—I recognised Pay-Havildar Hyat Bux Khan, 4th Company; Kootub Ally Khan, Drill-Naick, 4th Company; and Jhoomuck Sing, Drill Lance-Naick, Grenadier Company, was outside on the road.

Question—How far was he from the other men ?

Answer—He was four or five paces off.

Question—What was he doing ?

Answer—He was standing there.

Question—Did he speak to you or you to him ?

Answer—No; he did not recognise me.

Question—Did you hear any conversation amongst the people there ?

Answer—I heard them talking, but not what they said.

Question—What was the light at the time ?

Answer—It was moonlight; I could recognise people at ten paces.

Question—How was Hyat Bux Khan, Havildar, dressed; did you observe ?

Answer—He had on a long padded coloured coat, chintz.

Question—How was Kootub Ally Khan dressed, did you observe ?

Answer—He was dressed in white.

Question—Did you notice Jhoomuck Sing's dress ?

Answer—He was in white.

Question—Did you recognise any one else ?

Answer—I did not.

Question—Did you recognise the voice of any one ?

Answer—No, I did not.

Question—Did you go back to the havildar-major ?

Answer—Yes ; I went and reported.

Question—What occurred then ?

Answer—The havildar-major took Bhowany Bux Sing, Lance-Naick, and we went along the road to the jemadar's hut, but I saw the people there as I saw them before.

Question—Where was Jhoomuck Sing then ?

Answer—He was standing when we came up, but sat down on the havildar-major coming up. He was then outside the jemadar's compound, and sat down as making water.

Question—Where did you go to after that ?

Answer—We went to the sergeant-major's.

Question—From thence where did you go ?

Answer—To the adjutant's bungalow.

Question—What time was it when you arrived at the adjutant's ?

Answer—It would be about half-past 8 o'clock.

Question—Did you see Jemadar Salickram Sing at the adjutant's bungalow when you arrived there ?

Answer—No.

Question—Did you go over to the mess-house and return again to the adjutant's bungalow ?

Answer—Yes ; in a few minutes.

Question—Did you see Jemadar Salickram Sing at the adjutant's bungalow on your return ?

Answer—No ; but he came two or three minutes afterwards.

Question—Did you see him come into the compound ?

Answer—I did not see him coming in ; I was standing at the door of the house when he came up.

Question—Was the jemadar alone when you saw him come up ?

Answer—Yes.

Question—When you were at the mess-house, did you see Jemadar Salickram Sing ?

Answer—No.

Question—What is the distance from the jemadar's hut in the lines to the adjutant's bungalow ?

Answer—A quarter of an hour's distance, or half a quarter, according as you travel.

The Court adjourns at 4 o'clock P. M. until 11 o'clock A. M. tomorrow, the 28th March.

SEVENTH DAY'S PROCEEDINGS

Fort William, Saturday, 28th March 1857.

The Court reassembled this day at 11 o'clock A. M. at their former place of meeting, the President, Members, Judge-Advocate, Interpreter, Prosecutor, and prisoner being present.

Lance-Naick Rampersaud Ditchit, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is recalled.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—Did you see the assembly of people inside my hut, or in the enclosure about the house?

Answer—In the enclosure. I don't know whether there was any one inside the hut.

The witness withdraws.

19th Witness

Bhowany Bux Sing (Hindu), Sepoy, 7th Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Did you accompany the havildar-major and Lance-Naick Rampersaud Ditchit to the lines of the 1st Company of the regiment on the 8th of this month, and what did you see?

Answer—I went and saw about twenty to twenty-five people sitting in the enclosure of Jemadar Salickram Sing's hut.

Question—Did you recognise any one?

Answer—I recognised Hyat Bux Khan, Pay-Havildar, 1st Company, and Ram Churn Sing, Havildar, 4th Company, and Kootub Ally Khan, Drill-Naick, 4th Company, and Jhoomuck Sing, Drill Lance-Naick, Grenadier Company. I recognised no other person.

Question—How do you account for recognising these four men in particular?

Answer—My eyes fell on them, and therefore I recognised them. Jhoomuck Sing was standing outside.

Question—Can you describe the dress of any of these men?

Answer—Hyat Bux Khan had on a coloured long padded chintz coat: the rest were dressed in white.

Question—At what time was this?

Answer—It was close upon 8 o'clock in the evening.

Question—You say Jhoomuck Sing was outside; was he with this party, or how far from it?

Answer—He was standing at the entrance; I can't say whether he was one of the assembly or not.

Question—Did you hear talking going on and anything said?

Answer—I heard voices, but I could not distinguish the words.

Question—Was there any light at that time?

Answer—It was evening, and there was a moon, but not much light.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—What sort of enclosure is there round my hut, or is there any?

Answer—On that day there was a kind of fence round it; but opposite the entrance it was open.

RE-EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Could you see over the fence into the compound?

Answer—Yes; the fence was about as high as my middle.

Question—Were there lathes at all round the enclosure?

Answer—There were lathes up.

BY THE COURT

Question—Did you see Salickram Sing, Jemadar, there?

Answer—I did not recognise him.

The witness withdraws.

20th Witness

Gunness Pandy (Hindu), Sepoy, 3rd Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Were you sentry near the hut of Jemadar Salickram Sing, on the evening of the 8th March, this month?

Answer—Yes; my beat extended from the Grenadiers to the 2nd Company.

Question—At what time were you sentry?

Answer—From 6 to 8 o'clock in the evening.

Question—How near to the jemadar's hut did your beat extend?

Answer—About five paces.

Question—Did you see any people there during the time you were on sentry?

- Answer*—Yes; I saw from ten to twenty people there.
- Question*—Did you see Jemadar Salickram Sing there?
- Answer*—Yes; I saw him.
- Question*—About what time did you see him?
- Answer*—About half-past 7 o'clock.
- Question*—What was the jemadar doing?
- Answer*—He was sitting in his hut, talking.
- Question*—To whom was he talking?
- Answer*—He was inside his hut. I don't know to whom he was talking.
- Question*—Did you hear what he said?
- Answer*—I did not hear what he said.
- Question*—How do you know he was in his hut?
- Answer*—I know it from this,—Jhoomuck Sing was with an assembly of people in the compound, and I heard Jhoomuck Sing speak to the jemadar by name, and the jemadar answer.
- Question*—What did Jhoomuck Sing say to the jemadar?
- Answer*—I did not notice. I was walking up and down on my beat; I heard the jemadar's answer.
- Question*—Did you see the jemadar at any time while you were on duty there?
- Answer*—Yes; just after the havildar-major, and Bhowany Bux and Rampersaud Ditchit had passed from the left wing towards the Grenadiers, the gun fired, and the men of the assembly broke up and went away. I saw Hyat Bux Khan come out of the compound with the Jemadar, Salickram Sing.
- Question*—In what direction did Salickram Sing go?
- Answer*—He went towards the front of the lines.
- Question*—When did the assembly first meet?
- Answer*—From half-past 6 the men began to come in.
- Question*—Where was the jemadar when the men began first to come in?
- Answer*—Before half-past 6 o'clock the jemadar went out from his hut to the front; about half-past 7 o'clock he returned, and after him Hyat Bux Khan.
- Question*—Did they both enter the jemadar's compound?
- Answer*—Yes; both went in.
- Question*—Between half-past 7 o'clock, when you saw him go in, and gun-fire, did the jemadar leave his hut?

Answer—I don't know; I saw him leave at about gun-fire.

Question—Did you give evidence before the court of inquiry held on the 10th March on the subject?

Answer—Yes; I gave different evidence. I was afraid,—the jemadar having come to me at half-past 2 o'clock on that night, and told me that if any one should ask me if I had seen any assembly at his hut, I was to say—"No, that I had seen nothing."

Question—Where were you when the jemadar came to you and said this?

Answer—I was again on sentry at the same place; the jemadar came out and called me to him, and threatened me if I told anything about the meeting.

Question—Did you tell any one that the jemadar had threatened you about giving evidence of the meeting?

Answer—I did not tell any one then; I told the colonel down here about the 10th* of this month.

The prisoner declines to cross-examine.

BY THE COURT

Question—At that meeting what sort of talking was there? Was it carried on in the usual tone of voice, or in an under-tone?

Answer—They were not speaking loudly, but in a subdued tone.

The witness withdraws.

BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE

To Colonel Kennedy, the Prosecutor, on his former oath.

Question—Did the last witness report to you that the jemadar had spoken to him about the evidence he was to give, and when?

Answer—Yes; he did, about the 20th of this month.

Question—In any one's presence?

Answer—In the presence of the subadar-major and the Judge-Advocate.

The prisoner declines to cross-examine. Colonel Kennedy resumes his seat.

21st Witness

Sepoy Issures Sing (Hindu), Grenadier Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes solemn affirmation.

* It should be 20th of this month.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—On the 8th instant, did you hear it mentioned in the lines that certain men had been reporting to the colonel about Jemadar Salickram Sing?

Answer—Yes; Issuree Dutt Tewarry (Sepoy), Grenadier Company, had been the colonel's orderly that day; I heard him say, when he was hanging up his belt, he having just returned from the colonel's, and in the presence of Hyat Bux Khan, Havildar, 1st Company, and Jhoomuck Sing, Sepoy, Grenadier Company,—“Madho Sing, Pay-Havildar of the Grenadiers, has gone and reported to the colonel that Jemadar Salickram Sing, 1st Company, has been telling the men not to have their huts thatched, as there would be a disturbance at the end of the *Holee*.”

Question—At what hour was this?

Answer—At sun set roll-call.

Question—What day was this?

Answer—I don't know the day, but it was the same day when the meeting was held at the Jemadar's hut.

The prisoner declines to cross-examine.

The witness withdraws.

22nd Witness

Sepoy Buldeo Sing (Hindu), Grenadier Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Did you hear Issuree Dutt say in the lines that Madho Sing, Pay-Havildar of the Grenadier Company, had reported Jemadar Salickram Sing's conduct to the colonel.

Answer—I heard Issuree Dutt say to Hyat Bux Khan in the presence of Jhoomuck Sing, that Madho Sing had been making reports to the colonel about the state of the regiment, but I did not hear the jemadar's name mentioned.

Question—When was this, and where?

Answer—At about sun-set roll-call on the 8th instant, in the lines, between the bells-of-arms of the Grenadier Company and No. 1 Company.

Question—What things did Issuree Dutt say Madho Sing had reported ?

Answer—About the thatching of the huts of the 1st Company.

Question—Were any men of the 1st Company about when this was said by Issuree Dutt Tewarry ?

Answer—Only Issuree Sing, Sepoy, Grenadier Company. There were none of the 1st Company there, except Hyat Bux Khan. Jhoomuck Sing of the Grenadiers was there.

Question—Did you hear Issuree Dutt say that anything should be done ?

Answer—No, I did not.

The prisoner declines to cross-examine.

BY THE COURT

Question—How were these men talking, openly or secretly ?

Answer—They were close together, talking in a low tone of voice. I was some way off.

The witness withdraws.

Subadar-Major Durion Sing, called again.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Have you heard Sepoy Guinness Pandey say to his commanding officer that he had been told not to give evidence against the jemadar about the meeting ?

Answer—I have heard him. I heard him say in presence of the colonel that at 2 o'clock in the night when he was on sentry, the jemadar came to him and persuaded and threatened him not to say that he had seen the assembly at his house.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—When Guinness Pandey made this report why were you at the colonel's ? Were you sent for, or did you go of your own accord ?

Answer—I went of my own accord. I am in the habit of calling every two or three days.

Question—Were you there in uniform, or Native dress ?

Answer—In Native dress.

BY THE PROSECUTOR TO THE COURT

I may as well explain that I had been authorised by the General to offer a free pardon to any one who was concerned in that meeting, if he spoke the truth and would acknowledge all he knew. Guinness

Pandy was brought to me by the havildar-major, and the subadar-major also came.

The witness withdraws.

23rd Witness

Sepoy Adhar Sing (Hindu), 1st Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called, and makes a solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—On the evening of the 8th March, did you pass near the hut of Jemadar Salickram Sing of the 1st Company?

Answer—Yes, I did, in returning from the Grenadier lines.

Question—Did you hear any conversation in the hut, and anything said? If so, state it.

Answer—I heard talking. I heard the jemadar say,—“What I have done has been exposed; now what is to be done?—*Jo bat humne kissoe kholker-jagya; iska kya bundo-bust kurna hoga.*”

Question—Did any one reply?

Answer—Jankee Sing (1st), of No. 5 Company, replied,—“It is no great matter; we will arrange it.”

Question—Did you see any one at the hut?

Answer—It was night; I saw some twenty or twenty-two people there.

Question—Did you recognise any one?

Answer—I saw Hyat Bux, Pay-Havildar, 1st Company, and Ram Churn, Havildar, 4th Company, and Kootub Ally, Drill Naick, and Goordut Sing, No. 1 Company, and Jhoomuck Sing was standing outside, and Jankee Sing (1st), No. 5 Company.

Question—Did you see the jemadar?

Answer—I did not see him, but recognised his voice; he was inside his hut, the others were in the court-yard.

Question—At what hour was this?

Answer—A little before gun-fire.

Question—Was it light enough at that time to enable you to see people?

Answer—Yes, at about six or eight paces.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—How long did you remain there that you heard this?

Answer—I stopped for a minute on hearing what I did.

Question—Do you say whether I was inside or outside the hut?

Answer—He was inside; the hut is made of matting, and sound passes through it.

The witness withdraws.

COLONEL KENNEDY

This is my evidence on the third instance of the first charge, and I now proceed to the second charge.

COLONEL KENNEDY EXAMINED BY THE JUDGE-ADVOCATE ON HIS
FORMER OATH—

Question—On or after the 5th of this month was any report made to you of any intended disturbance?

Answer—No.

Question—Did Jemadar Salickram Sing, the prisoner, make any report to you whatever of that nature?

Answer—Not any.

Question—Did your adjutant make to you any such report?

Answer—No, not of any intended disturbance. The only report made to me by the adjutant on this subject was the conduct of the jemadar, which having enquired into myself, I placed the jemadar in arrest, and ordered the assembly of a Special Court of Inquiry without loss of time. The jemadar was placed in arrest on Monday morning, the 9th instant, and the Court assembled on that morning.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—If there was no disturbance, and if I knew of none, was it my duty to report it?

Answer—Certainly not.

Colonel Kennedy resumes his seat.

COLONEL KENNEDY

The adjutant not being in attendance, and having much to do at Barrackpore, I do not think it necessary to keep open the prosecution in order to examine him whether any report was made to him by the jemadar regarding an intended disturbance. I have given evidence to show that no such report was received by me.

The prosecution is closed.

DEFENCE

Jemadar Salickram Sing, being called upon for his defence, says—

“I require the evidence of the adjutant, the Mess Abdar, Mucdoom Bux, and Shaik Rujjub, the *khidmatgar* of the adjutant, who are not in attendance; my other witnesses are present.”

1st Witness

Davee Sing, Havildar, called, but not being present, Poorun Roy, Havildar, 1st Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, recalled.

EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—Have you ever heard me give any order to the men that they should not thatch their huts ?

Answer—No.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Were you present in the lines of your company through the whole of the 5th instant ?

Answer—I don't know the date.

BY THE PRISONER, BY PERMISSION

Question—Did you not hear me tell the men to thatch their huts ?

Answer—I heard the jemadar on one occasion, going through the lines with the subadar, telling the men to thatch their huts.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—What time of the day was that ?

Answer—Eight or nine o'clock in the morning.

The witness withdraws.

There being no other witnesses in attendance, and it being now 4 o'clock, the Court adjourns until 11 o'clock on Monday next, the 30th March.

EIGHTH DAY'S PROCEEDINGS

Fort William, Monday, 30th March 1857.

The Court re-assembled this day at their former place of meeting at 11 o'clock A.M., the President, Members, Judge-Advocate, Interpreter, Prosecutor, and prisoner being all present.

2nd Witness

Boodkram Sing (Hindu), Sepoy, 4th Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court and makes solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—Did I go to Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing's hut on the morning of the 4th instant ?

Answer—I was going along the road on that morning in rear of the Native officer's huts, when I heard Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing call out to Salickram Sing to come to him. I looked round and saw that Salickram Sing was coming along the same road as I was. Salickram said—"I have pressing business and cannot come just now." He

Answer—(concl'd.)

came on after me towards the bazar, and I then asked him why he did not go to Sewbuccus Sing; he replied—"One ought not to go to an enemy (*muddai*)."

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—What time of the day was this?

Answer—It was between 9 and half-past 9 o'clock.

Question—Do you know whether this Native officer and Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing were on friendly terms?

Answer—I do not know.

Question—Was any one near when this was said to you by Jemadar Salickram?

Answer—No one was near.

Question—Did you ask what cause there was of enmity?

Answer—No.

The witness withdraws.

3rd Witness

Gourie Sunker Tewarry (Hindu), Subadar, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—On the 8th of this month did I go to the adjutant's at about a quarter to 7 o'clock in the evening?

Answer—I was sitting at the wrestling-shed, (*akhara*) about a quarter to 7 o'clock on that evening, when Jemadar Salickram came from the direction of his company's lines. I asked him to come and sit down; his reply was—"There has been a complaint made against me; will you be an evidence?" I said,—"I don't know anything about it; I cannot give evidence."

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Do you know whether the jemadar left the lines after speaking to you?

Answer—He went in the direction of the quarter-guard; I do not know where he went after that.

Question—What complaints did he say had been made against him?

Answer—He did not tell me.

Question—Then Jemadar Salickram Sing asked you to be a witness for him without stating to you on what subject?

Answer—Yes; I did not ask him what evidence he wanted me to give. I said—"I will not be a witness because you ask

Answer—(concl'd.)

me to give evidence ; I don't know anything about your case."

Question—Did he say to you he was going to the adjutant's ?

Answer—No, he did not say so.

The witness withdraws.

4th Witness

Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is recalled.

BY THE PRISONER

Question—On the 8th of this month, did you hear me, about a quarter to 7 o'clock in the evening, tell Subadar Gowrie Sunkur Tewary that I was going to the adjutant's ?

Answer—I did not hear the jemadar say anything to Gowrie Sunkur, but at that time he came up to me at the quarter-guard, where I was on duty, and told me that some one had been back-biting him, and he was going to the adjutant's quarters.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Is not the adjutant's bungalow on the opposite side of the parade to the quarter-guard, and at what distance ?

Answer—Yes, the adjutant's bungalow is on the opposite side of the parade, and about two thousand, or less, paces distant.

Question—Is there no other way of getting to the adjutant's from the lines but by crossing the parade ?

Answer—No other way.

Question—Did the jemadar cross the parade after he had spoken to you ?

Answer—I don't know where he went.

Question—Was any sepoy with the jemadar when he came to you ?

Answer—Jankee Sing (1st), Sepoy, 5th Company, was with him.

BY THE PRISONER, BY PERMISSION

Question—In what direction did I go when I left you ?

Answer—He turned to the right, and by going in that direction he might either be going to his own hut or to the adjutant's house. I saw him for a few paces only.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Did Sepoy Jankee Sing leave with the jemadar, and go along with him ?

Answer—Yes, they both went together.
The witness withdraws.

5th Witness

Mucdooim Buz (*Mussulman*), is called into Court, and makes solemn affirmation.

BY THE PRISONER

Question—Are you the *Abdar* of the 70th mess?

Answer—Yes.

Question—On the 8th of this month did I not come to the mess and ask for the adjutant?

Answer—Yes, the jemadar did.

Question—At what hour was this?

Answer—At about a quarter to 7 o'clock. Seven o'clock had not struck.

Question—Did I ask you to tell the adjutant anything?

Answer—The jemadar asked me to tell the adjutant he was come.

Question—Did you tell the adjutant?

Answer—I did not tell. I said—"The officers are at dinner. I will tell the adjutant when they have finished; wait till then." I told the adjutant the jemadar was there just before dinner was over.

Question—Did the adjutant give any order?

Answer—He told me to tell the jemadar to wait.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—When the jemadar first arrived at the mess, and said he wished to see the adjutant, were the gentlemen at dinner?

Answer—They had not sat down.

Question—How long after did they sit down?

Answer—Immediately afterwards.

Question—Did they sit down before it struck 7 o'clock?

Answer—After 7 o'clock.

Question—How long after?

Answer—A very little after; not five minutes.

Question—You said the gentlemen were at dinner, and that you would tell the adjutant when they had finished. You now say that they had not sat down to dinner. How do you explain this?

Answer—I said—"Let them eat dinner first."

Question—If the gentlemen had not sat down to dinner, why did you not tell the adjutant?

Answer—I was engaged in my work.

Question—Where were you when the jemadar said this to you?

Answer—I was going into the *abdar khana* to get some soda water which had been called for, when the jemadar spoke to me from the door as I passed.

Question—What officers were there at that time?

Answer—I did not notice. I noticed that there were Colonel Harris and Lieutenant Harris, the Adjutant.

Question—You did not see any one else?

Answer—I did not notice.

Question—Then why did you not tell the adjutant.

Answer—I did not know that there was anything pressing.

Question—Did the jemadar tell you what he had come to report to the adjutant?

Answer—No, he did not.

Question—What time was it when you told the Adjutant?

Answer—About half an-hour after the jemadar had come.

Question—Did the jemadar remain all this time?

Answer—Yes, near the entrance; on the steps under the portico.

Question—How was the jemadar dressed?

Answer—In Hindustani clothes.

Question—When the jemadar came, was he alone?

Answer—No; Pay-Havildar Hyat Bux Khan and Jankee Sing, Sepoy, 5th Company, came with him.

Question—Do you know if Pay-Havildar Hyat Bux Khan and Jankee Sing had any business that they came to the mess?

Answer—They all came together.

Question—Did they remain with the jemadar the whole time he was at the mess-house?

Answer—Yes, they remained with the jemadar.

Question—When you informed the adjutant that the jemadar had come, what then took place?

Answer—I told the jemadar to wait, as directed by the adjutant. I do not know whether he waited or not.

Question—How do you know it was a quarter to 7 o'clock when the jemadar first came.

Answer—The first mess bugle had sounded, and dinner was being served, when I heard it strike 7 o'clock.

The witness withdraws.

6th Witness.

Rujjub (Musulman) is called into Court, and makes solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—On the 8th of this month, did you see me at the mess-house?

Answer—I don't know the date. But the jemadar came as dinner was going on table.

Question—Did I get an order to go over to the adjutant's bungalow?

Answer—The adjutant told me to tell the jemadar to go over to his house. I told the jemadar.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—At what hour does the second bugle sound?

Answer—About 7 o'clock.

Question—Did you see the jemadar come into the compound?

Answer—I saw him at the door first; at the portico entrance.

Question—Did the jemadar speak to you, and what did he say?

Answer—He did not speak to me.

Question—Was the jemadar alone, or was any one with him?

Answer—He was not alone; there were two others with him.

Question—When you first saw the jemadar, what time was it?

Answer—It was about 7 o'clock.

Question—Do you know who were with the jemadar?

Answer—I did not notice at first who they were, but when I gave them the adjutant's message, I recognised Hyat Khan, Pay-Havildar, and Jankee Sing, Sepoy.

Question—When did you give the adjutant's message?

Answer—The adjutant was eating his curry and rice at the time he gave the order for them to go to the bungalow.

Question—Was the jemadar there before the gentlemen sat down to dinner?

Answer—He was.

Question—When you first saw the jemadar, was the adjutant then at the mess?

Answer—No, he was not.

Question—You are the adjutant's servant, are you not?

Answer—Yes.

Question—Did the adjutant come into dinner on that day before or after the other gentlemen had sat down to dinner?

Answer—They had sat down to soup when the adjutant came in.

Question—Did you see your master come in and take his seat at the table?

Answer—Colonel Harris and his son, the adjutant, came in together, and sat down to table. I saw them enter from the east side of the house.

Question—How came you to give an order from the adjutant to tell the jemadar to go over to his, the adjutant's, house?

Answer—I can't say, but I was told to give the order.

Question—Did you hear any one report to the adjutant that the jemadar had come?

Answer—No; I was continually moving about.

Question—When you gave the order, did the jemadar tell you why he had come to report?

Answer—He merely said—"When will the adjutant come?"

Question—When did the adjutant go over to his house?

Answer—Not till after 8 o'clock.

The witness withdraws.

7th Witness

Lieutenant Harris recalled.

EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—On the evening of the 8th of this month, did you get a report that I had come to the mess-house?

Answer—Yes, I did.

Question—Did you not order me to go over to your quarters direct?

Answer—Yes. About ten minutes after I received the first message from him, I requested him to go over to my bungalow, and told him to wait there until I came over.

Question—When you came to your bungalow, was I there?

Answer—Yes.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—On that day, did you come into dinner at the mess before or after the other gentlemen had sat down?

Answer—I was at the mess with my father a quarter of an hour before any one else had arrived.

Question—In what room were you?

Answer—A portion of the time in the mess-room, and for a few minutes in the room occupied by Captain Greene.

Question—Was the dinner hour at the usual time that night?

Answer—Yes, it was.

Question—At what hour did the second mess bugle sound on that day?

Answer—It usually sounds from between twenty minutes and half-past seven.

Question—Is the dinner hour later on Sundays?

Answer—No, I think not.

Question—When you first got the news of the jemadar's having come, was it before, or after, or during dinner?

Answer—It was rather after the middle of dinner.

Question—Who reported to you the jemadar had come?

Answer—My *khidmatgar*, Shaikh Rujjub. I am not certain whether it was he or Muedoom Bux, the *abdar*.

Question—What time elapsed between your receiving the report of the jemadar having come and your going over to your bungalow?

Answer—From the time of my first hearing of his arrival, about twenty-five minutes.

Question—When you got to your bungalow, whom did you see there?

Answer—I found Jemadar Salickram and Havildar Hyat Bux Khan, of the 1st Company, Sergeant-Major Booth, the Havildar-Major, Sewchurn Missr, and, I think, Rampersaud, Lance-Naick. I don't know if it is Rampersaud Ditchit or Tewarry.

Question—Were these people all together in one place?

Answer—Yes, I found them all in my office inside.

Question—What report did Jemadar Salickram make to you that night?

Answer—I took him into my room separately, and questioned him why he came. He said some one had been telling tales against him he had heard, and that he immediately came to me, as the officer in charge of his company, for protection.

Question—To the best of your judgment was the report of the jemadar's arrival made to you before or after 8 o'clock on that evening?

Answer—To the best of my judgment I believe it was a little before it.

BY THE COURT

Question—You did not refer to a watch to see what time it was?

Answer—No, I did not.

Question—Do you recollect to have heard the gun fire on that evening?

Answer—I don't recollect to have heard the gun.

BY THE PRISONER

Question—Will you state my character to the Court?

Answer—As a Native officer with respect to his duties, I have had no fault to find with him whatever. I have only had charge of his company for a very short time.

Question—But being adjutant, can you not say what my character is?

Answer—In my own opinion, I am sorry I cannot give him generally a good character. He has on several occasions displayed a litigiousness which has shaken my good opinion of him considerably; my former answer was merely with reference to the performance of his duties as a Native officer in the 1st Company.

Question—In what respect have you had occasion to find fault with me?

Answer—I have never had occasion to find fault with him in the performance of any duty matter; but his manner on many occasions has induced me to feel but little confidence in him.

The witness withdraws.

8th Witness

Hyat Buz Khan (Mussulman), Pay-Havildar, 1st Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—Did you hear me give any order to the sepoy of No. 1 Company about thatching their huts, on the 5th March?

Answer—Yes, on the 5th March, at half-past 4 o'clock, the jemadar and sudadar came from their huts in the rear to mine in the front; on hearing them outside, I came out of my hut and joined them, and said the orders of the colonel and the adjutant are very strict on the subject of thatching the huts and preparing the fire-screens. They said that they were obedient to orders, and told me to go with them. They both said—"Come with us, and let us examine the huts." We went from the

Answer—(concl'd.)

front to the rear, and they went along saying to each sepoy—" You have received your hutting allowance; get your fire-screens ready, and thatch the huts, so that the lines may appear neat."

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Are you not now under arrest on a charge of having attended a meeting at the jemadar's hut ?

Answer—Yes, I am.

Question—How do you know that the date was the 5th March when you heard the subadar and jemadar say this about the huts ?

Answer—The men returned from garrison duty on the 3rd March; orders had been given that they were to get their huts and fire-screens prepared at once, but the men said, " Let us have a day to get grass, &c." The order was given peremptorily the day after that,—that is, on the 5th March.

Question—Was Issuree Ram, Sepoy, at that time in the lines ?

Answer—Issuree Ram was there, and Gunness Tewarry, Sepoy, was there.

Question—You were merely asked the question whether Issuree Ram, Sepoy, was in the lines, and you have told us in reply that Gunness Tewarry was there also; why did you mention Gunness Tewarry ?

Answer—I know all the men of my company, and I named him.

Question—Why have you particularly named Gunness Tewarry ?

Answer—It occurred to me to name him.

It strikes 4 o'clock : the Court adjourns.

The Major-General commanding the Division having desired the attendance of the Native officers forming the Court at a general parade to be held at Barrackpore either to-morrow or Wednesday, the 1st proximo, the Court adjourns until Thursday, the 2nd proximo, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon.

NINTH DAY'S PROCEEDINGS

Fort William, 2nd April 1857.

The Court reassembled this day at their former place of meeting at 11 o'clock A.M., the President, Members, Judge-Advocate, Interpreter, Prosecutor, and prisoner all being present as before.

The cross-examination of the witness Pay-Havildar Hyat Bux Khan continued on his former solemn affirmation.

BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—Why did you particularly name Sepoy Guinness Tewarry, when you were not asked about him ?

Answer—I fancied that in the question both names were asked.

Question—Were Kaleedeen Pattuck and Pudarut Ram, Sepoys, then in the lines ?

Answer—I don't know.

Question—At a little after 8 o'clock, on the evening of the 8th March, did you not in company with another person pass between the bells-of-arms of the 1st and 2nd Companies running ?

Answer—No.

The witness withdraws.

9th Witness

Mumrez Khan (Mussulman), Naick, 1st Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court and duly affirmed.

EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—Were you in the lines on the 5th of last month, and did you hear me say anything about the huts of the men ?

Answer—Yes, I heard him say—"The colonel's and adjutant's orders are very strict about the huts and fire-places: I don't know when they may come to see if they are ready, so get them done quickly."

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—When did this take place, and at what time ?

Answer—In the morning, at about 10 o'clock, and again in the afternoon, the subadar and jemadar came from the rear to the front repeating the order.

Question—Where were you at the time when the subadar and jemadar went down the lines in the afternoon ?

Answer—I was outside my hut, near it.

Question—How far is your hut from those of Sepoys Guinness Tewarry and Issuree Ram, of the 1st Company ?

Answer—It is a long way ; mine is in front, and theirs in the rear.

Question—Then you could not hear, could you, what the jemadar said, if he said anything in front of the huts of these sepoy's ?

Answer—No, it is far off.

BY THE COURT

Question—On what side of the lines of the 1st Company is your hut ?

Answer—On the side of the 2nd Company's lines.

Question—On what side of the lines are the huts of Issuree Ram, Sepoy, and Gunness Tewarry ?

Answer—I think the hut of Issuree Ram is on the proper right, and I don't know where Gunness Tewarry's is, but I think it is on the right also.

The witness withdraws.

10th Witness

Soobdhan Doobey (Hindu), Sepoy, No. 1 Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, is called into Court, and makes solemn affirmation.

EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—Were you in the lines of the 1st Company of the regiment on the 5th of last month, and did you hear me give any order about the huts ?

Answer—I was. I heard the subadar and jemadar tell the men to put their huts in repair, and to arrange their fire-screens.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE PROSECUTOR

Question—At what time of the day was this ?

Answer—About half-past 4 o'clock in the afternoon.

Question—To whom did the jemadar say this ?

Answer—They were looking at the huts, and were giving the orders at the same time.

Question—Did you hear the jemadar give this order ?

Answer—Yes, I did.

Question—Where were you at that time ?

Answer—I was inside my hut making up clay for the fire-screen.

Question—Did you come out from your hut at that time ?

Answer—No.

Question—In what part of the lines is your hut ?

Answer—It is the fifteenth from the front, and the third in the rear of the non-commissioned officers.

Question—Could you see from inside your hut down the lines of the company ?

Answer—I could not ; I could see just out in front of the door.

Question—How far is your hut from the huts of Sepoy Issuree Ram and Gunness Tewarry ?

Answer—The first one is five or six huts off; that of Guinness Tewarry is farther still; some three or four huts farther.

Question—Was the jemadar alone when he gave the order?

Answer—The jemadar, subadar, and Pay-Havildar Hyat Bux Khan were together.

Question—Were you in the lines at 10 o'clock that morning?

Answer—Yes.

Question—Did the jemadar come through the lines at that time, and say anything about the huts?

Answer—I did not hear him.

Question—When you heard the jemadar give the order about the huts in the afternoon, do you know what orders he gave in other parts of the lines?

Answer—I only heard what I have said.

Question—How do you know that this was the 5th March?

Answer—I was in the lines on the 5th March.

Question—Were you not in the lines on the 4th and 6th March?

Answer—I was.

Question—Did the jemadar and subadar come through the lines on these days and give any orders, and what?

Answer—I did not hear him on those days.

Question—You were in the lines on the 4th, 5th and 6th March; how can you particularise the 5th as the day on which the order was given by the jemadar?

Answer—I know it.

Question—Whom did you hear give the order, the subadar or jemadar?

Answer—I heard them both at different times.

Question—Did you see these three men as they went through the lines?

Answer—Yes.

Question—If the jemadar had come in the morning of that day through the lines, and said anything about the huts, would you also have heard him?

Answer—I should have heard of course.

Question—At what time were you in the lines on the morning of that day?

Answer—I was employed in my hut in the morning, and went out at about between 10 and 11 o'clock to bathe.

Question—Did you see the jemadar when you were going out to bathe?

Answer—I did not see him.

Question—Do you know where he was ?

Answer—No.

Question—Do you know whether Sepoys Issuree Ram and Guinness Tewarry were in the lines when you heard the jemadar give the order about the huts ?

Answer—I do not know.

Question—Were Sepoys Kaleedeen Pattuck and Pudarut Ram in the lines ?

Answer—They might have been. I did not go to their huts.

BY THE COURT

Question—Was there any one with you in the hut ?

Answer—No one. There are three living in the hut ; one was in the hospital and one on garrison duty.

The witness withdraws.

The prisoner declines to call any more witnesses, and makes the following statement :—

When the regiment was at Delhi, the havildar-major died, and the colonel appointed another one. From that day to this moment there has been an ill-feeling against me.

We are all under the orders of the colonel, but I am always found fault with ; and called out whenever the slightest duty is to be performed. The call is—"Where is the jemadar ?" Whenever I go out, even to perform the calls of nature, I am always watched by certain men, drill lance-naicks, Pay-Havildar Madho Sing, and others.

As to the accusation about cartridges, I arrived in the lines at half-past 6 o'clock on the evening of the 3rd March from garrison duty. On the morning of the 4th, at about half-past 9 o'clock, I went with my boy servant towards the bazar to get grass and other materials for thatching. I had thatched one of my huts before I went away on garrison duty. Boodheram Sing was going along in the same direction, about ten paces in front. When we came near the lines of the Light Company, Jemadar Sewchurn Sing called me to him. Subadar-Major Duriou Sing, Subadar Homail Sing were sitting on the same charpoy. Aman Sing, Pay-Havildar of the 6th Company, and Thakore Sing, Pay-Havildar of the 4th Company, and Issuree Sing, Havildar, Light Company, these three were also together on a charpoy ; and Madho Sing, Pay-Havildar of the Grenadier Company, was standing with a book under his arm. I told the jemadar, I had no time to come then ; but

the reason was, I was afraid they might catch some word of mine, so as to bring it up against me. When I got near the bazar, Boodheram asked me why I had not gone when the jemadar called me; I replied—“Because they are my enemies, and only asked me to go to them that they might catch me.” I made my purchases and went home, and did not leave my hut again that day.

On the 5th March, at about 8 o'clock in the morning, the subadar of the 2nd Company, who is now in Court, called out to me—“Here is your son arrived.” He had been away to Juggernath. I did not leave my hut that day after his arrival, but remained in attendance upon my son.

As to the cartridge accusation, we were never told to bite them, neither on the parade ground nor at the colonel's house, on the two occasions. But people took my name from reasons of enmity to try and get my name cut. Send for cartridges, and bring in a musket, and see who will bite the cartridges, and who will not; and let those who will not bite them be dismissed.

On the second occasion of going to the colonel's bungalow, did any one refuse, or did any one agree, to bite the cartridges? I would ask if I have disobeyed any orders of the colonel on the subject. The colonel, on receiving such a report, ought to have asked me, whether I would obey orders or not; and if I had not, then it was proper to punish me. For thirty-three years I have served the Government and obeyed all orders I have received and had intended to do so; and I am still in hopes that my enemies may be brought before the Court and directed to bite the cartridges, and that I may be placed alongside them and told to do so too; and if I refuse, then punish me.

When the colonel first called us up, he said that in the grease there was only sheep's and goat's fat; and that that would do no harm to our religion. All the Native officers agreed that there was nothing objectionable; and I among the rest. On the second occasion, when we were at the colonel's bungalow, he told us the manner of using the new cartridges; and then said—“Look; some enemy has made a report against the subadar-major, and here, I have just got it.” We had a conversation about duty matters, and then returned to our lines.

On the 5th of March, Madho Sing, Pay-Havildar, Grenadier Company, took a sepoy of my company to Captain Greene's quarters. I don't know what occurred there or what this was for, but I know the fact. In the evening, after roll-call, he took him and another one to the colonel's on the 8th March. I was cleansing my water-vessel about half-past 6 o'clock, when some sepoy's came to me and said—“What

are you sitting there for, while Madho Sing is setting a trap for you? He took a sepoy during the day to Captain Greene's, and now he is taking two to the colonel's." I then started to go to the adjutant's, but it being late, I was afraid that if I was found absent I might be reported again; so I went up towards the quarter-guard to tell them where I was going. I saw Gowrie Sunkur Tewarry of the 5th Company sitting near the wrestling place, and told him they are making up a case against me—"Will you be my witness? I am going up to the adjutant's." The subadar said—"I don't know anything about your case, but I will be a witness that you are going up to the adjutant's." I then went up to the quarter-guard, and told Sewbuccus Sing, jemadar on duty there, that they were making false accusations against me, and that two men had gone up to the colonel's with Madho Sing; and that I was going up to the adjutant's, and that he was to be a witness.

As I was going on, I saw Jankee Sing and called him to me, and took him along with me to the adjutant's. When we got up to the tank near the magazine, we came up to Hyat Bux Khan, pay-havildar; and I told him what I heard was going on against me, and said—"You are a pay-havildar; what do you know about it?" He replied—"I will take an oath I know nothing about it whatever." We all three went straight to the mess-house. Just as we went up the steps to the door, it struck 7 o'clock. The servants were taking in dinner. I told the *abdar* two or three times to inform the adjutant that I was there on particular business. He said—"Dinner is just coming on to the table and the gentlemen are arriving; let them eat their dinner, and then I will tell the adjutant." In about twenty minutes after the *abdar* came and told me that the adjutant saheb's order was that I was to wait about a quarter to 8 o'clock. The *khidmatgar* Rajjub told me that the adjutant had sent word to me to go over to his house. Jankee Sing then said—"It is near 8 o'clock; I have to be present at roll-call,"—and went to the lines; Hyat Khan and I then went over to the adjutant's house, and waited at the door on the side opposite the mess-house, which is not the principal entrance, but on the opposite side of the house. About half-past 8 o'clock the sergeant-major, the havildar-major, Bhoowany Buccus, and Rampersaud Ditchit, Lance-Naick, came into the compound, and went round to the front of the house. We followed them at twenty paces off, and just then the adjutant came over from the mess walking rapidly, and went into the house from the rear where we had been waiting. When we got to the front, I went up and saluted him; Hyat Khan

did the same, and so did the others. About a minute afterwards Colonel Harris also came. We were all examined separately by the adjutant, and I overheard the havildar-major state that I had a meeting at my hut. The adjutant asked him if he had reported it to the sergeant-major. He said he had. The sergeant-major was then called in, and asked if he had gone to see if there was a meeting. He said—"No." Just as he was going it had struck 8 o'clock, and he did not go. We all returned from the adjutant's to our lines at half-past 9 o'clock.

With regard to what Jemadar Sewbuccus Sing reported, there are plenty of Native officers in the regiment, the captain of my company, and subadars in the regiment, what misfortune had befallen me that I should go to him, a jemadar like myself, and say that my only hope was in him! Whoever is not a fool can tell who is his enemy. Is it likely that I should go to my enemy to talk any such thing?

With regard to the case of thatching the huts, how is it that the report should be made direct to the commanding officer, and that the captain of the company, the subadar, and the orderly-havildar should know nothing about it, but that a havildar of another company should take a sepoy of my company to the colonel's to complain.

As to the meeting, the havildar-major knows very well who is the subabar of the day, and that it is his duty to tell him first; and if he did not bring him, the subadar of my company was on that day in the lines.

The orderly-havildar was there also; he did not say anything to any of them, but according to his choice, he brought men from the 3rd and 7th Companies, lance-naicks, and men of the same caste, as witnesses. There are plenty of Native officers in the lines whom he might have made witnesses instead of these men. I complain of men having been called as witnesses during the course of the trial,—men who had been mentioned to the colonel by the subadar-major and havildar-major day by day, but who had not been warned. With regard to the evidence about that meeting, some witnesses say that the meeting was in the court-yard, some in the house; some say that there are tatties (enclosure) round the compound, some say it was open; the Native officers of my regiment who are in the Court can give evidence about that; ask them. They can also give information as to whether four of my family came on that day or not. Another thing I wish to state is, that since I joined the regiment on its being raised, there have been fourteen commandants, seven adjutants, and eight havildar-majors. I have always had a good character with all of these. If the character book is referred to, this will be shown. I have only got a bad

character now by the back-biting and false complaints made against me by the subadar-major and the havildar-major, and to which the colonel listens.

On the 20th of March the subadar-major made the four prisoners who are to be tried on the charge of being at the meeting sit down on his bed and told them that if they would confess that they were at this meeting, whether they mentioned the names of others or not, he would get them promoted to be naicks and havildars, and have them released from confinement.

The subadar of the 37th Regiment now in this Court and many other officers can speak to my character when I was naick of the 3rd Company, 37th Regiment, before this was raised.

I again urge upon the Court that I have served 33 years, and have always done my duty, and would also do my duty; but I have been ruined by false reports being made of me, and throw myself upon the consideration of the Court. I have no more witnesses.

The defence is closed.

It being near 4 o'clock, the Court adjourned until 11 A.M. to-morrow, the 3rd April.

TENTH DAY'S PROCEEDINGS

Fort William, 3rd April 1857.

The Court reassembled this day at their former place of meeting, at half-past 11 o'clock in the forenoon, the President, Members, Judge-Advocate, Interpreter, Prosecutor, and prisoner, being all present.

The Prosecutor not having his reply prepared, the Court did not commence proceedings until near 1 o'clock p.m.

At the request of the prisoner, Lieutenant W. M. Grierson, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, the Interpreter to the Court, is sworn.

EXAMINED BY THE PRISONER

Question—Will you state to the Court what you know of my character?

Answer—It is now three years since I left the regiment, at which time the jemadar was a pay-havildar. I believe he accompanied me once on treasure escort duty, during which time I had no fault to find with him. I know nothing further personally of him, he not having been in my company, but I always considered him a smart non-commissioned officer.

Lieutenant Grierson resumes his seat in Court.

Colonel Kennedy hands in the following reply, which is read by the Interpreter :—

To the President and Members of the Native general court-martial.

SIRDARS,—

I request your particular attention to a few remarks I wish to make on the defence made yesterday by the prisoner, Jemadar Salickram Sing, and would at the same time refer in a few instances to certain parts of the evidence for the prosecution which have not in any way been contradicted or disproved by the witnesses for the defence.

The first instance of the first charge, I conceive, has been as clearly and satisfactorily proved as it is possible to prove anything. A Native commissioned officer (a jemadar) and a havildar have both sworn to having heard the prisoner make use of the mutinous expressions with which he is charged ; a report of the same is made a short time after to the subadar of the company in presence of the subadar-major of the regiment ; these two respectable Native officers have sworn to the report made by the Native officer, to whom it is addressed by the prisoner. The prisoner has not attempted to disprove the statement he is charged with having made regarding the biting of the cartridges, and I think there can be no doubt in the minds of the members of this Court that the statement was made, and this, too, the Court will recollect, after I had had a parade of the regiment, at which the Interpreter, Captain Impey, explained to the men, in my presence, the whole of the cartridge case, pointing out that no grease was upon any that had been issued ; that none would be put upon them ; that the paper was clean and free from grease ; and that the whole of the material used in the preparation of the cartridge was unobjectionable to either Hindu or Mussulman. This explanation, it has been shown in evidence, satisfied the minds of the men.

This Native officer, Salickram Sing, was also present at that parade, and carried the colours. He was well aware of the disaffection and bad feeling existing amongst the troops at Barrackpore ; he also saw that the regiment to which he belonged was perfectly satisfied with the explanation that had been made to them, and with what Government proposed doing, so that there would not be the slightest interference with caste or the religious prejudices of the sepoy, and this I myself more than once fully and satisfactorily explained to both Native officers and men ; and yet a month or so afterwards here is a Native commissioned officer of about thirty-three years' service, who evidently must be one of the disaffected,

but without any real cause, as has been shown, instead of endeavouring to soothe and allay any groundless fears that might still be lurking in the minds of any of the men of his regiment, doing all he could to foster and foment disaffection amongst them, and endeavouring to incite them to join in his mutinous and unauthorised conduct; but fortunately, the men were too staunch and too true to their colours and their Government to allow themselves to be led away by one disaffected individual, where they saw there was no just cause for complaint.

The prisoner has said in his defence that he was in his hut the whole of the day of the 5th March 1857, from 8 o'clock in the morning, attending upon his son, who had returned from Juggernath; whereas his own witnesses have sworn that he went through the lines of his company once at ten o'clock in the morning, and again at half-past 4 in the afternoon of that day, telling the men as he passed along to repair their huts; this he did twice on the very day he has stated in his defence that he did not leave his hut after 8 o'clock in the morning. Two sepoy of the 1st Company have also sworn that they saw the prisoner passing through their lines on the afternoon of the 5th March, and heard him tell them not to hurry in repairing their huts, as there would be a disturbance in three or four days or so; this too said at a time when the sepoy of some of the regiments at Barrackpore were more or less disaffected, and showed every disposition to join in a disturbance.

The prisoner's witnesses have sworn that they heard the jemadar, Salickram Sing, give orders to repair the huts and cooking-places at the time that he states he was in his hut, but these witnesses have not said that they did not hear the jemadar also give orders to delay repairing them, for the reasons stated in the second instance of the first charge.

With reference to the third instance of the first charge, the jemadar is not charged with being himself present at a meeting of non-commissioned officers and others at his hut, but with having a meeting of non-commissioned officers and sepoy of the 70th Regiment at his hut, in the lines of his company, and this, I think, the Court will allow has been most satisfactorily proved by no less than six witnesses, who have all sworn to the fact, and who have given the names of some of the men they saw there, describing minutely the very clothes some wore. There surely could not have been any mistake in this evidence, for no six witnesses could have so correctly given evidence had they really not been eye-witnesses to what they stated. The jemadar in his defence wishes to show that he was not present at this meeting, but that he was at the mess. If it should appear to the Court that

there is any uncertainty about the exact time at which the jemadar was present at that meeting, there is no uncertainty of the fact of his presence. He was both seen and heard. The sentry, Guinness Pandey, saw him, and Sepoy Adhar Sing heard his voice.

But if even he were not present, he would be equally culpable under this charge. The fact of the meeting having taken place is unquestionable, and it is impossible to suppose that twenty or twenty-five persons should assemble at the jemadar's hut without his connivance.

What the subject discussed at that meeting was, has to a certain extent been shown, and that the assembly was held for illegal purposes is beyond a shadow of a doubt; if not for such purpose, how is it that none of those have come forward who were present at the assembly, although a pardon was offered by the Major-General commanding the Division to any one who would speak the truth; and, if not, why should the jemadar have threatened and persuaded the sentry, Guinness Pandey, to deny there had been a meeting? There can be no other conclusion than that the meeting was of an illegal nature, and the evidence itself in some measure explains its nature. Sepoy Adhar Sing has sworn that he heard the jemadar say—"What I have done has been exposed; and now what shall I do?" What had the jemadar done? Is it not shown that he had, on the 5th of March, used seditious language about the cartridges and the huts? Here was something to be exposed, and did not the jemadar know that his conduct had been exposed? The answer to this is clear. The evidence shows that my orderly had at 6 o'clock in the evening of the 5th of March informed Hyat Bux Khan, Pay-Havildar, the constant companion of the jemadar on that evening, as shown both by the evidences for the prosecution and the defence, that Madho Sing had reported the jemadar's misconduct to me, and that an enquiry had that day been made by me. It is impossible to conceive that Hyat Bux Khan did not tell that to the jemadar, so that the meaning of the words heard to be spoken—"What I have done has been exposed, and now what shall I do?"—is clear, and is in itself sufficient to show the unlawful character of the meeting.

Having now disposed of the charges, I will proceed to remark upon the style of defence adopted by the prisoner Jemadar Salickram Sing. He evidently wishes the Court to think him an ill-treated man, and that there is a strong party feeling against him in the regiment, for he talks of his enemies in the corps, and lays great stress upon the names of the subadar-major and drill-havildar of the regiment, whom he implies

having maligned him to me, and that I (he also says) have listened to tales, back-bitings, and false complaints from these two men. I now solemnly assure this Court that the first I ever heard of there being enmity between the prisoner and any one in the regiment was at one of the sittings of this very Court, and not before. Indeed, I had not the slightest idea that he was not on the same friendly terms in the regiment as the other Native officers appear to be; and I would also here inform the Court that neither the subadar-major nor the havildar-major has ever told me any tales against the prisoner, or made any false complaints against any other persons in the regiment. Indeed, I would not have allowed it. I never encourage tale-bearing and tittle-tattle. I never have done so, and I never will, either from a subadar or from a sepoy.

In explanation of what the prisoner complains as having taken place on the 20th of March, I will state to the Court exactly what occurred. On or about the 20th of March, Major-General Hearsay, Commanding the Presidency Division, authorised me to offer a free pardon to any one of the prisoners who would come forward and speak the whole truth regarding the meeting at the jemadar's hut, if he were there, what was the subject of conversation that was carried on there, and so forth. This I made known at the head-quarters of my regiment through my adjutant, and when I came down to Fort William to act as prosecutor on this trial, by order of the Major-General commanding, I made the same known through the subadar-major and drill-havildar, who were down here as witnesses for the prosecution; going myself to the encampment of the evidences, and prisoners near the tank, and myself telling them to make known the General's orders, and my offer, with his sanction, of a free pardon to any one who would turn Queen's evidence. So much for the prisoner's insinuation that the other prisoners were attempted to be bribed into confessing.

Jemadar Salickram Sing has merely given his own testimony of false complaints having been made against him, and has also stated that there are persons at enmity with him in the regiment, without proving a single instance; and he has not attempted even to show why they are at enmity with him, or given any reasons for it throughout his statement, or attempted even to support it by evidence. I believe his assertion to be without the least foundation, and wholly untrue, for, as I have before said, I never heard of it until it was put forward in this Court.

The jemadar talks of his good character, and says he has always done his duty, and would do so again. Having been thirty-three years in the

service, it is, I think, a pity he should so far have forgotten his position in the regiment, and lost sight of his duty to his Government, as to have attempted at the time he did, and when there was disaffection abroad amongst the troops at the station, to have persuaded others in his own regiment, which had been so steady and well-behaved, to combine with him against the lawful authority of his superiors, and by such an act to blast the good name of his own regiment.

The jemadar would also wish the Court to think that I have some ill-will towards him, and think I listened to complaints against him. I assure the Court I knew no more of this Native officer than I did of the others in the regiment, and I never heard from his comrades (Native officers or men) any complaint against him. I only joined this regiment, the 70th Native Infantry, at the end of last July, and on the very day I took the command, the havildar-major, I believe, died. Before appointing his successor, I consulted the ablest and best officers in the regiment, and when two or three good and smart men had been pointed out to me, I myself examined them in their duty on parade, and then selected the man I considered best fitted for the situation.

The jemadar thinks and says I ought, on receiving a report against him, to have sent for him, and asked him whether he would obey orders. My duty is to issue orders to those under my command, and to see them obeyed; not to ask my subordinates "if they will obey them."

On receiving reports against the prisoner of so serious a nature as mutiny, and holding a meeting at his hut, particularly at a time when the troops at the station were more or less disaffected, I directed my adjutant to place the jemadar in arrest, and I ordered, without delay, a special Court of Inquiry.

Before concluding, I would make a remark concerning the jemadar's complaint that men were called as witnesses during the course of the trial, men who had been mentioned to me by the subadar-major and havildar-major day by day, but who had not been warned. The Court may recollect, and I have no doubt the Judge-Advocate does, that on one or two occasions during the trial, some particular points to which answers were made elicited the name or names of one or two men whom I had not heard of as knowing anything of the case under investigation; it was then thought in Court that these men would be material witnesses for the prosecution. The Court may also recollect having seen me on these occasions writing to my adjutant to send these witnesses down on the following morning early; these notes were

written by me in Court, and given immediately the proceedings of the day were closed; on one occasion, to the havildar-major to send up to Barrackpore, and on another, I think I gave the note to the adjutant himself, as he was in Court on that day. This explanation will, I hope, fully satisfy the Court that the jemadar's insinuations that witnesses for the prosecution were first told what to say by the subadar-major and havildar-major, and then mentioned to me as men necessary to be sent for, are groundless and malicious, put forth evidently in hope of making the Court believe that he, the prisoner, has not had justice done him, and that party feeling was at work against him, the whole being a fabrication of his own and utterly untrue.

I will now leave the serious charges against the prisoner in the hands of the Court, to be dealt with as the members may see fit, feeling convinced that fifteen Native officers who have served the State faithfully and honourably, some from thirty to forty years, will show their Government that they know their duty, and will perform it; that notwithstanding the prisoner is a Native officer of about thirty-three years' service, they will not permit the good name and bearing of a steady and well-behaved regiment to be even tarnished by the machinations of one disaffected and contumacious officer.

FORT WILLIAM, } (Sd.) J. D. KENNEDY, *Lieut.-Col. and Col.,*
 The 3rd Apr. 1857. } *Comdg. 70th Regt. of Nat. Infy.*

The Court was closed.

FINDING

The Court find the prisoner, Jemadar Salickram Sing, of the 1st Company, 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, guilty of the first charge, in every instance, and guilty also of the second charge.

SENTENCE

The Court sentence the prisoner, Jemadar Salickram Sing, of the 1st Company of the 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, to be dismissed from the service.

(Sd.) JOWAHIR LALL TEWARRY, *President.*

(Sd.) G. C. HATCH, *Capt.,*
Dy. Judge-Adv.-Genl.

(Sd.) W. M. GRIERSON, *Lieut.,*
Interpreter to the Court.

The Court adjourned at 3 o'clock P. M. until further orders,

(Sd.) G. C. HATCH, *Capt.*,
Dy. Judge-Adv.-Genl.

From Lieutenant-Colonel K. Young, Judge-Advocate-General of the Army, to the Deputy Judge-Advocate-General, Presidency Division,—dated Simla, 11th April 1857.

I have the honor, by direction of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, to return, for revision of the sentence, the proceedings of the trial of Jemadar Salickram Sing, of the 70th Regiment of Native Infantry.

2. General Anson concurs with the Court in their verdict, and it seems fully proved that the prisoner has disgracefully broken the oath he took to behave himself as "becomes a good soldier, and faithful servant of the Company," having himself fomented the mutinous feeling that existed in a portion of his regiment, instead of reporting the matter at once to the European officer of his company and at the same time exerting his own influence to check the spirit of disaffection.

3. You will be good enough to explain to the Court the serious nature of the offence of which the prisoner has been convicted, and point out to them the impossibility of maintaining discipline in the army, if the crime of mutiny in a Native officer is allowed to be visited by mere dismissal from the service.

4. The Commander-in-Chief trusts that, having taken these remarks into their consideration, the Court will be prepared to award such a sentence as His Excellency can approve.

Barrackpore, 24th April 1857.

The Court reassembled this day with closed doors, at the mess-house of the 34th Regiment of Native Infantry, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, the President, and all the members detailed in the heading of these Proceedings being present. The Interpreter is also present.

The orders for the reassembly of the Court were read, and the Judge-Advocate read to the Court the letter of instructions for revision, marked A, and attached to these Proceedings, and explained also the serious nature of the offence of which the prisoner has been convicted, as directed in paragraph 3 of this letter.

REVISED SENTENCE

The Court having maturely considered the remarks of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, most respectfully beg to adhere to their former sentence.

(Sd.) JOWAHIR LALL TEWARRY,
Presdt. of the Court.

(Sd.) G. C. HATCH, *Capt.*,
Dy. Judge Adv.-Genl.

(Sd.) W. M. GRIERSON, *Lieut.*,
Interpreter.

The Court closed their proceedings, on revision, at 1 o'clock P.M. and adjourned until further orders.

(Sd.) G. C. HATCH, *Capt.*,
Dy. Judge Adv.-Genl.

Approved and confirmed,

Simla,
The 1st May 1857. }

(Sd.) GEORGE ANSON, *Genl.*,
Comdr.-in-Chief, East Indies.

REMARKS

The Commander-in-Chief returned these proceedings for a revision of the sentence, considering mere dismissal from the service an inadequate punishment for the crime of mutiny.

The Court have, however, adhered to their original sentence, representing that there is a marked difference between the case of Jemadar Salickram Sing, and those of Sepoy Mungul Pandy and Jemadar Issuree Pandy of the 34th Regiment of Native Infantry, on each of whom the Court has passed a capital sentence. General Anson, agreeing in much that has been advanced by the Court, is still of opinion that a very lenient view has been taken of the misconduct of Jemadar Salickram Sing, but out of deference to the President and Members of this court-martial, who, faithful to their duty to the State, have not shrunk from awarding a sentence of death when they conscientiously considered it deserved, General Anson has accorded his approval and confirmation of the present sentence, and he has the more readily done so, as the 70th Regiment, to which Jemadar Salickram Sing was a disgrace, is a well-conducted body of men, and requires no severe example in its ranks to mark the heinousness of the crime of mutiny.

(Sd.) GEORGE ANSON.

From Colonel R. J. H. BIRCH, C.B., Secretary to the Government of India, to the Judge-Advocate-General of the Army,—dated Fort William, 27th May 1857.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 4th May 1857, forwarding the original proceedings of a general court-martial on the trial of Salickram Sing, Jemadar of the 70th Regiment of Native Infantry, who has been convicted of mutiny, and sentenced to be dismissed the service, and to acquaint you, for the information of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, that the same has been laid before Government.

2. The original enclosures of your letter are herewith returned as requested.

APPENDIX E

Memorandum of the circumstances which led to the trial of Mattadin Sing, Havildar, and eighty-four others, naicks and troopers of the 3rd Light Cavalry.

On the 24th April 1857, Brevet-Colonel Smyth, commanding the 3rd Light Cavalry, addressed the following letter to the Major of Brigade at Meerut :—

“ I have the honor to report, for the information of the officer commanding the station, that yesterday I ordered a parade to take place this morning for the purpose of showing the men the new mode by which they might load their carbines without biting their cartridges; and late in the evening I received information from the havildar-major that the men of the first troop would not receive their cartridges (which were the same that they always used), and my adjutant received a letter from Captain Craigie, copy of which is enclosed.

This morning I explained to the men my reason for ordering the parade, and I first ordered the havildar-major to show them the new way of loading, which he did, and fired off his carbine. I then ordered the cartridges to be served out, but with the

Heera Sing, Havildar, 4th Troop.
Persaud Sing, Havildar, 5th Troop.
Golam Nubbie Khan, Havildar, 5th Troop.
Shaikh Golam Mahomed, Naick, 6th Troop.
Delawar Khan, Naick, 6th Troop.

exception of the men noted in the margin, they all refused to receive them, saying they would get a bad name if they took them, but that if

all the regiment would take their cartridges, they would do so. I explained to them that they were not new cartridges, but the very same they had always been using, and once more called on them to receive the cartridges, but with the exception of the men above mentioned, they all still refused, after which I ordered the adjutant to dismiss the men, as they were too large a party to send to the guard. The party consisted of ninety men.”

2. On the receipt of this letter, a court of inquiry was assembled by Major-General Hewitt, commanding the Meerut Division with the view to elicit facts, if possible, to elucidate the cause of the refusal of the men of the 3rd Light Cavalry to use the cartridges on the 24th April, and to ascertain if there was anything objectionable in them.

3. The Court of Inquiry, which was held on the following day (25th April), was composed of the following native officers:—

Subadar-Major Thakoor Awustie, 20th Native Infantry.

Subadar Gungadeen Doobey, 20th Native Infantry.

„ Ramchurn, 3rd Light Cavalry.

„ Gunness Sing, 20th Native Infantry.

„ Golab Khan, 3rd Light Cavalry.

„ Buxees Sing, 20th Native Infantry.

Jemadar Fyze Khan, 3rd Light Cavalry.

4. This shows three cavalry and four infantry officers (the 11th Regiment had not reached Meerut, it is believed) as composing the Court; and there were two Mahomedans, two Brahmins, two (apparently) Chuttries, and one (apparently) inferior caste Hindu. The late Captain Macdonald, 20th Native Infantry, was Interpreter.

5. Before this Court, the quartermaster-havildar, the regimental tindal and the senior havildar, and the senior Mahomedan trooper of each troop were examined. The quartermaster-havildar, a Hindu, knew nothing of the making up of the particular cartridges, but he stated: "I know of nothing which should prevent either Hindu or Mussulman using them. The cartridges are unobjectionable; they are the same as have been in use with the regiment for years. There is no grease in them." Hereupon the witness was directed to produce some of the cartridges; he did so without hesitation. The members of the Court examined them, and observed that "they are exactly similar to what have been in use in the service for thirty and forty years."

6. The havildar, a Hindu, who superintended the making up of these very cartridges, said: "There is nothing whatever about them that either Hindu or Mussulman can consider objectionable; they are precisely the same as have been in use for years with the regiment; they were made in the regimental magazine, as heretofore, by the regimental *khalasia*."

7. The tindal, a Hindu, states: "The present cartridges were made up by myself and the *khalasia* of the regiment; they are precisely the same in paper and in all materials as they have been ever

since I have been in the regiment, and that is thirty-three years. Till now I never heard an objection of any kind against them, and even now I cannot understand what point in particular is objected to. I have never heard anything beyond general rumour, which no one is able to particularise."

8. Of the twelve troopers examined, not one could point out anything objectionable in the cartridges; they spoke of the suspicion of impurity of some kind, though of its nature not one knew anything. Moolah Bux (Mahomedan) when questioned on the subject, insolently replied: "I have doubt about the cartridges; they apparently look like old ones, but they may, for aught I know, have pigs' fat rubbed over them." The next witness, a Hindu, voluntarily handled the cartridges to show that he considered them perfectly unobjectionable. It may be here remarked that not only had no objectionable cartridges been served to the 3rd Regiment of Light Cavalry, but, so far as is known none fit for carbines had been received at Meerut when the mutiny occurred.

9. The opinion of the Court of Inquiry is contained in the following words:—

"The Court, having attentively weighed the evidence given before them, are of opinion that no adequate cause can be assigned for the disobedience of Colonel Smyth's orders by the men of the 3rd Regiment of Light Cavalry in refusing to receive and use the cartridges that were served out. The only conclusion the Court can arrive at in regard to this point is that a report seems to have got abroad which in some vague form attaches suspicion of impurity to the materials used for making these cartridges, but the Court are unanimously of opinion that there is nothing whatever objectionable in the cartridges of the 3rd Regiment of Light Cavalry, and that they may be freely received and used as heretofore without in the slightest degree affecting any religious scruple of either a Hindu or Mussulman, and if any pretence, contrary to this, is urged, that it must be false."

10. When the proceedings of the Court of Inquiry reached the late Commander-in-Chief, General Anson, accompanied by Colonel Smyth's report, His Excellency ordered the mutinous soldiers (of whom forty-nine were Mahomedans and thirty-six were Hindus) to be tried by a native general court-martial on the following charge:—

For having, at Meerut, on the 24th April 1857, severally and individually, disobeyed the lawful command of their superior officer, Brevet-Colonel G. M. C. Smyth, Commanding the 3rd Regiment of

Light Cavalry, by not having taken the cartridges tendered to each of them individually for use that day on parade, when ordered by Colonel Smyth to take the said cartridges.

11. The Court sat on the 6th, 7th, and 8th of May, and was composed of six Mahomedan and nine Hindu native officers taken from the following corps:—

		Mahomedans.	Hindus.
Artillery, 3rd Company, 7th Battalion	... 0	1	
3rd Light Cavalry	... 1	1	
11th Native Infantry	... 3	2	
20th Native Infantry	... 0	2	
38th " "	... 1	1	
54th " "	... 1	1	
74th " "	... 0	1	

12. At the trial the evidence of Colonel Smyth was as follows:—

EXAMINED BY JUDGE-ADVOCATE

Question—On the 24th April 1857, were you commanding the 3rd Regiment of Light Cavalry?

Answer—Yes.

Question—Had you any of the troopers of your regiment on parade that morning?

Answer—Yes; including non-commissioned officers and troopers, ninety men.

Question—Were the prisoners in Court amongst those ninety men?

Answer—Yes, they were.

Question—Did they, on that occasion, disobey any lawful command you gave; if so, state the circumstances?

Answer—Yes, they did disobey my lawful command. Each of the troopers, on being ordered by me to take their cartridges from the kot-dafadars, who tendered them to them, refused to do so, saying: "If all will take the cartridges, we will take them." I then separately and individually ordered each of the prisoners to take the cartridges; each man refused, saying—"I shall get a bad name if I do so."—This was the only plea that any of them urged; not one of them alleged any scruple of religion, but simply declared that he would get a bad name among his comrades if he took them. Previous to ordering the men to take their cartridges, I made the havildar-major load and fire off his carbine, to

Answer—(concl'd.)

show them how it could be loaded without putting the cartridge to the mouth; this the havildar-major did before them. When they had all refused the cartridges, I pointed out to them that the havildar-major had used these cartridges; that there was no grease of any kind on them, and that they were the same as they had always used, and that they had better take them. With these men on parade were five others, non-commissioned officers, who made no objection to taking the cartridges. I made these five men, who had obeyed my orders, advance a short distance in front of the prisoners, to follow the example those men had set them, but not one of them would do so (*sic*). The prisoners, one and all, ended by disobeying the orders I gave them on parade; and as this was the case, I caused the parade to be dismissed, and reported the circumstance for the information of the officer commanding the station.

CROSS-EXAMINED

Meer Kudrut Ally, Naick, No. 232, puts the following questions:—

Question—Did you show us the cartridges that we might see whether they were old ones or new ones?

Answer—The kot-dafadars had them in their hands; you might have examined them in any way, but you refused to touch them.

Question—Were the cartridges, which we refused, put aside and confined with us?

Answer—No, all the cartridges of the regiment are precisely the same; there are not two kinds.

The other prisoners decline to cross-examine.

EXAMINED BY THE COURT

Question—Why did you tell the men they would have to fire, instead of merely ordering them to do so?

Answer—The parade was in orders the day before, and entered in the order-book as usual, and each man was ordered to receive three cartridges. I wished to show them the new way of loading without putting the cartridges to the mouth, and attended the parade for the purpose.

Answer—(concl'd.)

When I came on parade, the adjutant informed me that the men had not taken their cartridges, and it was on that account I ordered the havildar-major to take a cartridge and load and fire before them; and it was then also that I said, when the whole army heard of this way of loading, that they would be all much pleased, and exclaim "Wah, Wah!"

13. The defence of the prisoners made by Mattadin, Havildar, on behalf of himself and others, was in the following words:—

"On the evening of the 23rd ultimo, at about half-past 7 o'clock, about five or six men of the 3rd troop were standing in the lines; they were saying to each other that Brijmohun Sing had just said that he had that day fired off two of the new greased cartridges; there will be a parade to-morrow morning; the colonel and the adjutant will be present, and the new cartridges are to be used; we shall then see whether any one, Hindu or Mussulman, will refuse to use them. After this a number of us said to each other: If we use the greased cartridges we shall lose our caste, and shall never again be able to return to our homes. We then consulted as to what was to be done, and came to the conclusion that we ought to report the circumstances to the captains of our troops, so that something might be done to save our caste. The native officers of troops reported the circumstances to the captains commanding troops. We all went to our respective huts. Early in the morning, as we were ordered to turn out for parade, we all went there without knowing what had been done or said the night before by captains of troops. The adjutant came down to parade shortly afterwards, and then the colonel stood in front of the skirmishers and said: "I have invented something; listen to what I am about to say. If you will fire off these cartridges, the Commander-in-Chief will be much pleased, and you will have a great name, and I shall likewise get great praise, and I will have the whole affair published in the papers." The colonel called the havildar-major to the front, and said to him: "Take a carbine, load and fire it off in the way I showed you yesterday," and then he said to us that we should have to load and fire in the same manner. The havildar-major brought his carbine to his side, and having handled his cartridges, was about to bite off the end, when the colonel stopped him, saying: "Tear it with your hands." He loaded and fired. The colonel then said: "Where are the cartridges; bring them here." Kot-dafadars brought the cartridges tied up in cloth.

The colonel went to the right and said: "Will you take those cartridges?" All said—"No, we won't take them." We would not take them as we had great doubts about them; so the colonel had to induce us to take them, a thing he had never done before. When the colonel had put us 'threes about' to dismiss us from the parade, we begged to make a statement to him. He fronted us, and we said—"If the other regiments will fire one cartridge, we will fire ten." The colonel said—"There are no other cartridges for other men." We said—"There are pistols." The colonel then again put us 'threes about' and dismissed us."

14. By the votes of fourteen out of the fifteen native officers, the whole of the prisoners were convicted and sentenced to imprisonment with hard labor for ten years each. But the Court solicited favorable consideration for the prisoners, on account of the good character which they had hitherto borne, as testified to by their commanding officer, and on account of their having been misled by vague reports regarding the cartridges.

15. Major-General Hewitt approved and confirmed the sentence, and made the following remarks:—

"I would willingly attend to the recommendation of the Court if I could find anything in the conduct of the prisoners that would warrant me in so doing. Their former good character has been blasted by present misbehaviour; and their having allowed themselves to be influenced by vague reports, instead of attending to the advice and obeying the orders of their European superiors, is the gist of the offence for which they have been condemned. It appears from these proceedings that these misguided men, after consultation together on the night of the 23rd of April 1857, came to the resolution of refusing their cartridges, having so far forgotten their duty as soldiers. Their next step was to send word to the troop captains that they would not take cartridges unless the whole of the troops in the station would do so likewise. Some of them even had the insolence to desire that firing parades might be deferred till the agitation about cartridges among the native troops had come to a close. In this state of insubordination they appeared on parade on the morning of the 24th, and then consummated the crime, for which they are now to suffer, by repeatedly refusing cartridges that had been made as usual in their regimental magazine, when assured, too, by Colonel Smyth that the cartridges had no grease on them; that they were old ones, and exactly similar to what had been in use in the regiment

for thirty or forty years. Even now they attempt to justify so gross an outrage upon discipline by alleging that they had doubts of the cartridges. There has been no acknowledgment of error, no expression of regret, no pleading for mercy.

"To the majority of the prisoners no portion of the sentence will be remitted. I observe, however, that some of them are very young, and I am willing to make allowance for their having been misled by their more experienced comrades; and under these circumstances, I remit one-half of the sentence passed upon the following men, who have not been more than five years in the service;" and then follow the names of eleven troopers.

16. The prisoners having been delivered over to the civil power on the 9th of May, the native cavalry and infantry at Meerut mutinied on the evening of the following day, and proceeded to Delhi, where they were joined by the native troops at that station. It will be seen that the general court-martial was formed of native officers from all the corps that mutinied on the 10th and 11th of May, and the President of the court-martial, the Subadar-Major of the 33rd Light Infantry, was afterwards, it has been said, one of the principal leaders of the mutineers.

17. When a report of the result of the trial was made to the late General Anson, it was intimated that the mutineers, before being made over to the civil power, were put in irons on the parade ground in the presence of their regiment. His Excellency approved of the sentence that had been passed upon the prisoners, but expressed his regret at this unusual procedure. Nothing more was heard regarding the mutineers till the receipt of the telegraphic message giving information of the mutiny, and of their release from jail.

JUDGE-ADV.-GENL.'S OFFICE,
SIMLA:
The 21st Oct. 1857. }

(Sd.) KEITH YOUNG, *Lieut.-Col.*,
Judge Advocate-Genl. of the Army.

APPENDIX F

Numerical Return of the killed, wounded, and missing of the Field Force under the command of Major-General Sir H. BARNARD, K.C.B., during the operations of the 27th June 1857.

Corps.	KILLED.					WOUNDED.					MISSING.										
	Field officers.	Captains.	Subalterns.	Non-commissioned officers.	Drummers, rank and file.	Native.	Horses.	Field officers.	Captains.	Subalterns.	Non-commissioned officers.	Drummers, rank and file.	Native.	Horses.	Field officers.	Captains.	Subalterns.	Non-commissioned officers.	Drummers, rank and file.	Native.	Horses.
<i>Artillery Force.</i>																					
Head-quarters and 1st, 2nd and 5th Troops, 1st Brigade, Horse Artillery ...																					
Head-quarters and 2nd and 3rd Troops, 3rd Brigade, Horse Artillery ...																					
3rd Company, 3rd Battalion, and 14th Light Field Battery ...				1							2										
1st and 4th Companies, 4th Battalion ...																					
4th Company, 6th Battalion, and Field Artillery ...																					
Detachment, Artillery recruits ...											1										
Cavalry Brigade ...			None							None									None		
<i>1st Infantry Brigade.</i>																					
Her Majesty's 75th Regiment ...																					2
1st European Bengal Fusiliers ...				1							2										
4th Sikh Infantry ...				1						1*											
<i>2nd Infantry Brigade.</i>																					
Her Majesty's 60th Royal Rifles ...				2							5										
2nd European Bengal Fusiliers ...				1	2					1	12										
Birmoor Battalion ...										2	11								10		
Engineer Brigade ...			None							None									None		
<i>Guide Corps.</i>																					
Cavalry ...																					
Infantry ...				17						2									1*	1	9
Total	1	1	6	6						6	24	20									
Grand Total	13					49															

* Native officer.
† Subedar.

CAMP DELHI;
The 28th June 1857. }

(Sd.) H. W. BARNARD, *Maj.-Genl.*,
Comdg. Field Force.

Examined.

(Sd.) R. S. EWART, *Maj.*,
Depy. Asst. Adjt.-Genl.

Nominal Roll of Officers killed and wounded on the 27th June 1857.

Lieutenant Harris, 2nd European Bengal Fusiliers, wounded.

(Sd.) H. W. BARNARD, *Maj.-Genl.*,

Comdg. Field Force.

APPENDIX G

List of officers killed, died of wounds, or wounded in the Operations near and before Delhi, including the actions on the Hindun and at Badli-ki-Serai, from the 30th May 1857 to the final capture of the place on the 20th September 1857.

KILLED, OR DIED OF WOUNDS.

- Brigadier-General J. Nicholson, commanding 4th Infantry Brigade, wounded in the assault, September 14th; died of his wound, September 23rd.
- Colonel C. Chester, Adjutant-General of the Army, killed at Badli-ki-Serai, June 8th.
- Captain C. W. Russell, 54th N. I., Orderly Officer to Brigadier Wilson, at Badli-ki-Serai, June 8th.
- Captain J. W. Delamain, 56th N. I., Orderly Officer to Brigadier Wilson, at Badli-ki-Serai, June 8th.
- Captain R. C. H. B. Fagan, Artillery (wounded June 30th), killed in a breaching battery, September 12th.
- Lieutenant E. H. Hildebrand, Artillery (wounded June 30th), killed in a breaching battery, September 7th.
- Lieutenant H. G. Perkins, Artillery, in action at the Hindun,* May 31st.
- Lieutenant T. E. Dickins, Artillery, wounded July 20th; died of wound, July 27th.
- Second-Lieutenant F. L. Tandy, Engineers, in the assault at the Cashmere Gate, September 14th.
- Second-Lieutenant E. Jones, Engineers, wounded July 18th; died of wounds, July 24th.
- Captain T. M. Greensill, 24th Foot, Assistant Field Engineer, accidentally shot while on duty in front of the Metcalfe Picquet July 20th, and died on following day.
- Assistant-Surgeon S. Moore, 6th Dragoon Guards, wounded at the Hindun,* May 31st; died of wound, June 2nd.
- Brevet-Lieutenant-Colonel R. A. Yule, 9th Lancers, in the rear attack, June 19th.

* Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggur.—*Vide London Gazette* (Supplement), December 15th 1857.

- Lieutenant W. W. Pogson, Her Majesty's 8th Regiment, wounded July 23rd; mortally wounded in the breach, and died September 17th.
- Lieutenant W. R. Webb, Her Majesty's 8th Regiment, mortally wounded in the assault, and died September 15th.
- Lieutenant W. H. Mountstevens, Her Majesty's 8th Regiment (previously slightly wounded), July 9th.
- Lieutenant J. H. Bradshaw, Her Majesty's 52nd Light Infantry, in the assault.
- Captain F. Andrews, Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, at the Hindun, May 30th.
- Ensign W. H. Napier, Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, wounded in action at the Hindun, May 30th;* died of wound, June 4th.†
- Lieutenant M. A. Humphrys, 20th Regiment, N. I., attached to Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, wounded June 19th; died of wound, June 20th.
- Ensign E. A. L. Phillips, 11th N. I., attached to Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, slightly wounded, June 12th; killed at the Bank House, September 16th.
- Lieutenant T. Gabbett, Her Majesty's 61st Regiment, at Najafgarh; August 25th.
- Ensign S. B. Elkington, Her Majesty's 61st Regiment, mortally wounded at Najafgarh, August 25th, and died a few days after.
- Captain E. W. J. Knox, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, at the Flag Staff Battery, June 12th.
- Lieutenant J. R. S. FitzGerald, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, wounded slightly, June 8th, at Badli-ki-Serai; killed in the breach, September 14th.
- Lieutenant A. Harrison, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, at Badli-ki-Serai, June 8th.
- Lieutenant E. V. Briscoe, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, in the attack on the Lahore Gate, September 18th.
- Lieutenant W. Crozier, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, in the Subzee Munde, July 18th.
- Major G. O. Jacob, 1st European Fusiliers, slightly wounded at Najafgarh, August 25th; killed in the assault, September 14th.
- Captain G. G. McBarnet, 55th N. I., attached to 1st Fusiliers, in Kissengunge, September 14th.

* 31st May } — *Vide London Gazette* (Supplement), December 15th 1857.
 † 6th June }

- Lieutenant E. Speke, 65th N. I., attached to 1st Fusiliers, in the assault, September 14th.
- Lieutenant S. H. Jackson, 2nd Fusiliers, in the right flank attack, June 23rd.
- Second-Lieutenant D. F. Sherriff, 2nd Fusiliers, mortally wounded at the capture of the Ludlow Castle Battery, August 12th; died of wound, August 14th.
- Lieutenant C. H. FitzRoy Gambier, 38th Light Infantry, attached to the 2nd Fusiliers.
- Ensign O. C. Walter, 45th N. I., attached to the 2nd Fusiliers, died of sun-stroke while in action, July 18th.*
- Ensign C. E. Wheatley, 54th N. I., attached to Sirmoor Battalion, at the main picquet, June 17th.
- Lieutenant J. H. Browne, 33rd N. I., attached to the Kumaon Battalion, August 6th.
- Lieutenant J. Yorke, 8rd N. I., attached to 4th Sikh Infantry, wounded June 30th; died of wound, July 1st.
- Brevet-Captain W. G. Law, 10th N. I., attached to 1st Punjab Infantry, killed July 23rd.
- Lieutenant E. J. Travers, 2nd-in-command, 1st Coke's Rifles, slightly wounded, July 21st; killed, August 2nd.
- Lieutenant W. H. Lumsden, Adjutant, 1st Coke's Rifles, at Najafgarh, August 25th.
- Ensign J. T. Davidson, 26th N. I., attached to 2nd Punjab Infantry, in the assault, September 14th.
- Lieutenant R. P. Homfray, 17th N. I., attached to 4th Punjab Infantry, in Delhi, September 16th.
- Lieutenant Quintin Battye, Commandant of Cavalry, Guide Corps, mortally wounded under the walls, June 9th; died the next day.
- Lieutenant A. W. Murray, 42nd N. I., attached to Guide Corps (previously severely wounded), in the assault, September 14th.
- Lieutenant C. B. Bannerman, 1st Bombay N. I., attached to Beluch Battalion, September 7th.
- Lieutenant R. W. Alexander, 3rd Regiment N. I., in the rear attack, June 19th.

WOUNDED.

- Brigadier-General N. B. Chamberlain, Adjutant-General of the Army, July 14th, severely.

* 8th July.—See *London Gazette* (Supplement), December 15th 1857.

-
- Colonel A. M. Becher, Quartermaster-General of the Army, June 19th, severely.
- Lieutenant F. S. Roberts, Officiating Deputy Assistant Quartermaster-General, July 14th, slightly.
- Brigadier H. Garbett, C. B., Artillery, August 8th, slightly.
- Brigadier St. G. D. Showers, commanding 1st Infantry Brigade, August 12th, severely.
- Captain H. E. H. Burnside, Her Majesty's 61st, Brigade-Major, 3rd Infantry Brigade, July 9th and September 14th.
- Lieutenant F. C. Innes, 60th N. I., July 12th, slightly.
- Lieutenant-Colonel T. Seaton, C. B., 35th N. L. I., July 23rd, severely.
- Lieutenant-Colonel R. Drought, 60th N. I., July 23rd, severely.
- Major J. H. Campbell, Artillery, September 11th, severely.
- Brevet-Lieutenant-Colonel M. Mackenzie, Artillery, July 2nd, severely.
- Captain E. K. Money, Artillery, July 23rd, severely.
- Captain J. Young, Artillery, June 18th, slightly.
- Brevet-Major H. Tombs, Artillery, June 17th and September 14th.
- Captain T. E. Kennion, Artillery, August 6th, severely.
- Captain A. Light, Artillery, June 8th, slightly.
- First-Lieutenant A. Bunny, Artillery, July 23rd, slightly.
- First-Lieutenant H. P. Bishop, Artillery, June 19th, slightly.
- First-Lieutenant G. Baillie, Artillery, August 10th, slightly.
- First-Lieutenant A. Gillespie, Artillery, September 11th, slightly.
- First-Lieutenant E. L. Earle, Artillery, September 11th, slightly.
- First-Lieutenant A. H. Lindsay, Artillery, August 12th, slightly.
- Lieutenant C. Hunter, Artillery, June 8th, slightly.
- Second-Lieutenant J. Hills, Artillery, July 9th, severely.
- Second-Lieutenant M. Elliot, Artillery, July 9th, severely.
- Second-Lieutenant P. Thompson, Artillery, July 14th, severely.
- Second-Lieutenant A. H. Davidson, Artillery, June 8th, severely.
- Captain E. B. Johnson, Artillery, at the Hindun, May 31st, slightly.
- Second-Lieutenant E. Fraser, Artillery, August 7th, slightly.
- Second-Lieutenant R. T. Hare, Artillery, June 8th, slightly.
- Second-Lieutenant H. Chichester, Artillery, July 18th, slightly.
- Lieutenant and Riding-Master S. Budd, Artillery, September 8th, slightly.
- Assistant-Surgeon W. W. Ireland, Artillery, August 25th, at Najafgarh, dangerously.

- Lieutenant-Colonel R. Baird Smith, Engineers (Chief Engineer), September 12th, slightly.
- Lieutenant W. W. H. Greathed, Engineers, September 14th, in the assault, very severely.
- Lieutenant J. T. Walker, Bombay Engineers, July 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant F. R. Maunsell, Engineers, August 12th and September 14th.
- Lieutenant J. G. Medley, Engineers, September 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant P. Salkeld, Engineers, September 14th, dangerously.
- Lieutenant E. Walker, Engineers, September 14th, slightly.
- Lieutenant G. T. Chesney, Engineers, (Brigade-Major), September 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant W. E. Warrand, Engineers, September 14th, dangerously.
- Lieutenant H. A. Brownlow, Engineers, September 14th, dangerously.
- Lieutenant M. G. Geneste, Engineers, July 18th, slightly.
- Lieutenant J. St. J. Hovenden, Engineers, September 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant Æ. Perkins, Engineers, June 17th, slightly.
- Second-Lieutenant R. C. B. Pemberton, Engineers, September 14th, slightly.
- Second-Lieutenant J. U. Champain, Engineers, June 17th, slightly.
- Second-Lieutenant P. Murray, Engineers, September 10th, slightly.
- Second-Lieutenant H. A. L. Carnegie, Engineers, July 14th, slightly.
- Ensign (local) L. Gustavinski, Punjab Sappers, September 14th, severely.
- Ensign (local) C. Anderson, Punjab Sappers, September 14th, slightly.
- Captain C. P. Rosser, Her Majesty's 6th Dragoon Guards, September 14th, dangerously.
- Lieutenant A. A. de Bourbel, Her Majesty's 6th Dragoon Guards, at the Hindun, May 30th, severely.
- Captain the Hon'ble A. H. A. Anson, Her Majesty's 84th Regiment, attached to Her Majesty's 9th Lancers, September 14th, slightly.
- Lieutenant B. Cuppage, 6th Light Cavalry, attached to Her Majesty's 9th Lancers, September 14th, slightly.
- Lieutenant J. Watson, 1st Punjab Cavalry, September 14th, slightly.

-
- Lieutenant H. H. Gough, 3rd Light Cavalry, attached to Hodson's Horse, September 14th, slightly.
- Brevet-Lieutenant-Colonel J. C. Brooke, Her Majesty's 8th Regiment, September 14th, severely.
- Captain E. G. Daniell, Her Majesty's 8th Regiment, July 9th, severely.
- Brevet-Major R. S. Baynes, Her Majesty's 8th Regiment, September 14th, dangerously.
- Brevet-Captain D. Beere, Her Majesty's 8th Regiment, September 14th, severely.
- Brevet-Captain E. N. Sandilands, Her Majesty's 8th Regiment, August 10th and September 14th, slightly.
- Lieutenant G. F. Walker, Her Majesty's 8th Regiment, September 14th, in the assault, severely.
- Lieutenant W. F. Motge, Her Majesty's 8th Regiment, September 14th, slightly.
- Colonel G. Campbell, Her Majesty's 52nd Light Infantry, September 14th, in the assault, slightly.
- Captain J. A. Bayley, Her Majesty's 52nd Light Infantry, September 14th, in the assault, severely.
- Lieutenant W. Atkinson, Her Majesty's 52nd Light Infantry, September 14th, in the assault, slightly.
- Ensign T. Simpson, Her Majesty's 52nd Light Infantry, August 16th, slightly.
- Captain H. F. Williams, Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, June 19th, severely.
- Captain C. Jones, Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, June 23rd, severely.
- Captain G. C. H. Waters, Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, August 7th, slightly; September 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant H. P. Eaton, Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, September 10th, dangerously.
- Lieutenant J. D. Dundas, Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, June 19th, slightly.
- Lieutenant H. G. Deedes, Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, June 12th, slightly.
- Lieutenant P. J. Curtis, Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, June 12th, slightly; September 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant J. S. D. M'Gill, Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, June 19th, slightly.
- Ensign W. G. Turle, Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, August 10th, severely.

-
- Lieutenant Pemberton, Engineers, September 14th, severely.
- Ensign A. S. Heathcote, Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, June 17th, slightly.
- Surgeon J. H. K. Innes, Her Majesty's 60th Rifles, May 30th, slightly.
- Captain W. E. D. Deacon, Her Majesty's 61st Regiment, September 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant T. M. Moore, Her Majesty's 61st Regiment, September 14th, slightly.
- Lieutenant W. H. W. Pattoun, Her Majesty's 61st Regiment, July 18th, severely; died of dysentery at Simla in November.
- Lieutenant A. C. Young, Her Majesty's 61st Regiment, September 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant C. J. Griffiths, Her Majesty's 61st Regiment, July 9th, severely.
- Lieutenant T. B. Hutton, Her Majesty's 61st Regiment, July 9th, slightly.
- Lieutenant R. Hutton, Her Majesty's 61st Regiment, August 10th, severely.
- Ensign E. B. Andros, Her Majesty's 61st Regiment, July 9th, slightly.
- Lieutenant-Colonel C. Herbert, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, June 8th and September 14th, slightly.
- Captain T. C. Dunbar, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, June 8th, slightly.
- Captain A. Chancellor, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, June 8th, slightly; died of wound at Kasauli.
- Captain R. Dawson, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, June 8th, dangerously.
- Captain R. Freer, Her Majesty's 27th Regiment, attached to Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, September 14th, in the assault, slightly.
- Lieutenant and Adjutant R. Barter, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, June 8th, severely.
- Lieutenant C. R. Rivers, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, June 8th and July 14th, slightly; since dead of cholera.
- Lieutenant E. Armstrong, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, September 14th, slightly.
- Lieutenant G. C. N. Faithfull, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, July 14th, slightly.

- Lieutenant C. M. Pym, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, June 8th, slightly.
- Ensign R. Wadson, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, September 14th, severely.
- Ensign T. Dayrell, 58th N. I., attached to Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, September 14th, severely.
- Paymaster D. F. Chambers, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, September 14th, slightly.
- Assistant-Surgeon S. A. Lithgow, Her Majesty's 75th Regiment, June 8th, slightly.
- Colonel J. Welchman, 1st Fusiliers, June 23rd, dangerously.
- Captain S. Greville, 1st Fusiliers, June 8th, at Badli-ki-Serai, slightly; August 12th, before Delhi; September 14th, in the assault.
- Captain E. Brown, 1st Fusiliers, June 17th, dangerously.
- Lieutenant H. M. Wemyss, 1st Fusiliers, September 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant J. W. Daniell, 1st Fusiliers, July 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant Butler, 1st Fusiliers, September 14th, in the assault, slightly.
- Lieutenant E. A. C. Lambert, 1st Fusiliers, September 14th, slightly.
- Lieutenant A. G. Owen, 1st Fusiliers, August 12th, slightly; September 14th, severely.
- Second-Lieutenant N. Ellis, June 8th, at Badli-ki-Serai, slightly.
- Captain J. P. Caulfeild, 3rd Regiment, N. I., attached to 1st Fusiliers, September 14th, slightly.
- Captain W. Graydon, 16th Grenadiers, attached to 1st Fusiliers, September 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant E. H. Woodcock, 55th N. I., attached to 1st Fusiliers, September 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant A. Elderton, 2nd Fusiliers, September 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant C. R. Blair, 2nd Fusiliers, June 30th, dangerously.
- Lieutenant J. T. Harris, 2nd Fusiliers, June 27th, severely.
- Captain J. C. Hay, 60th N. I., attached to 2nd Fusiliers, September 14th, dangerously.
- Captain D. Kemp, 5th Regiment N. I., attached to 2nd Fusiliers, July 9th, severely.
- Lieutenant T. N. Walker, 60th N. I., attached to 2nd Fusiliers, July 13th and September 14th.

- Major C. Reid, 10th N. I., Commandant, Sirmoor Battalion, September 14th, in Kissengunge, severely.
- Lieutenant D. B. Lockhart, 7th N. I., attached to Sirmoor Battalion, severely.
- Lieutenant S. Ross, 9th N. I., attached to Sirmoor Battalion, July 14th, slightly; since dead of cholera.
- Lieutenant A. Tulloch, 20th N. I., attached to Sirmoor Battalion, July 14th.
- Lieutenant H. D. E. W. Chester, 36th N. I., attached to Sirmoor Battalion, July 14th, slightly.
- Lieutenant A. H. Eckford, 69th N. I., attached to Sirmoor Battalion, July 9th, slightly.
- Captain H. F. M. Boisragon, 2nd-in-command, Kumaon Battalion, severely.
- Lieutenant A. B. Temple, 49th N. I., attached to Kumaon Battalion, August 6th, slightly.
- Lieutenant C. F. Packe, 4th Regiment, N. I., attached to 4th Sikh Infantry, June 30th, severely.
- Lieutenant F. H. Jenkins, 57th N. I., attached to 4th Sikh Infantry, September 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant A. Pullan, 36th N. I., attached to 4th Sikh Infantry, July 9th, severely.
- Major J. Coke, 10th N. I., commanding 1st Punjab Infantry, "Coke's Rifles," August 12th, severely.
- Lieutenant C. J. Nicholson, 31st N. I., acting Commandant, 1st Punjab Infantry, September 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant H. T. Pollock, 35th Light Infantry, attached to 1st Punjab Infantry, July 14th, very severely.
- Lieutenant T. M. Shelley, 11th N. I., attached to 1st Punjab Infantry, September 14th, slightly.
- Ensign (local) C. Prior, attached to 11th N. I., September 14th, slightly.
- Captain G. W. G. Green, Commandant, 2nd Punjab Infantry, September 14th, slightly.
- Lieutenant T. Frankland, 2nd-in-command, September 14th, severely.
- Captain H. Daly, 1st Bombay Fusiliers, Commandant, Guide Corps, June 19th, severely.
- Lieutenant T. G. Kennedy, officiating Commandant, Guide Cavalry, June 12th, severely.

- Lieutenant R. H. Shebbears, 60th N. I., attached to Guide Corps, July 14th, twice slightly.
- Lieutenant C. W. Hawes, Adjutant, attached to Guide Corps, July 14th, slightly.
- Lieutenant E. E. B. Bond, 57th N. I., attached to Guide Corps, September 14th, severely.
- Lieutenant A. W. Murray, 42nd Light Infantry, attached to Guide Corps, June 23rd, slightly; July 9th, severely.
- Ensign O. I. Chalmers, 3rd N. I., attached to Guide Corps, July 5th, severely.
- Lieutenant H. DeBrett, 57th N. I., attached to Guide Corps, July 14th, slightly.

INDEX

- A**
- Abbott, Col. A., C.B., Inspr.-Genl. of Ordnance.**
 Greased Cartridges. Deposition regarding, app. 67.
 Patches. Report by—regarding composition used for, p. 3.
- Abbott, Maj. E.E.S., 74th N. I.**
 Delhi outbreak. Narrative of the, p. 263.
 Escape of—from Delhi. p. 266.
 Flight of—to Meerut. p. 265.
 Narrative of his proceedings. p. 264.
 Protection afforded to—by men of his regiment. p. 265.
 Return of—to Cantonments. p. 264.
 Wallace (Capt.) picked up—p. 266.
- Abbott's House.**
 Force advanced up to—p. 376.
 Possession taken of—p. 479.
- Abdool Wahab Khan, Trooper, Srd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Abdoollah Khan, Trooper, Srd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Abulbakt, Delhi Prince.**
 Hodson (Lieut.).—Captured and shot by, pp. 367, 369, 378, & 480.
- Adhar Sing, Sepoy, 1st Co., 70th N. I.**
 Assembly in the hut of Jemadar Salickram Sing. Deposition relating to the, app. 110.
 Hyat Bux Khan (Pay Havildar) recognised by—in the assembly. app. 110.
- Adhar Sing, Sepoy, 1st Co., 70th N. I.—(Contd.)**
 Gardut Sing (Sepoy) recognised by—in the assembly. app. 110.
 Jankee Sing 1st (Sepoy) recognised by—in the assembly. app. 110.
 Jhoomuck Sing (Sepoy) recognised by—in the assembly. app. 110.
 Kootub Ally Khan (Drill Naick) recognised by—in the assembly. app. 110.
 Ramchurn Sing (Havildar) recognised by—in the assembly. app. 110.
 Salickram Sing's (Jemadar) conversation overheard by—app. 110.
- Adjt.-Genl. of the Army.**
 Chamberlain (Brigr.-Genl.) appointed to act as—pp. 326-28.
- Adjt.-Genl.'s Dept.**
 Norman (Capt.) entrusted with the duties of the—p. 321.
 Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by officers of the—during, p. 428.
- Agra.**
 Column forming at Allahabad to move on—p. 332.
 Cotton (Lieut.-Col.) appointed to the command at—p. 332.
 Native troops reported staunch at—p. 251.
 Nixon's (Capt.) requisition for Dragoons and artillery to move on—p. 333.
 Polwhele (Brig.) removed from command at—p. 332.
 Quietness prevailing at—p. 270.
 Stores to be laid in largely at—p. 332.

Agra—(Contd.)

Telegraph communication restored between Meerut and—p. 269.

Tranquillity prevailing at—p. 331.

Aikman, Lieut., doing duty with the 4th P. I.

Wilde's (Capt.) notice of the services of—p. 407.

Aislabie, Lieut., R. A.

Escape of—from Delhi. p. 266.

Return of—to malinguard with guns. p. 265.

Ajmere Bastion.

Ordnance captured at the—p. 421.

Ajmere Gate.

Delhi mutineers moved to—p. 256.

Ajoodhea Persaud, Jem., 19th N. I.

Cartridges. Statement concerning, p. 78.

Guard of the 34th N. I. Statement regarding information given by, p. 78.

Oath. Statement regarding, taken by the men. p. 79.

Ajoodhea Sing, Hav.-Maj., 2nd Grds.

Cartridge paper. Objections about, p. 12.

Ajoodhea Tewary, Sub., 70th N. I.

Issuree Pandey (Jem., 34th N.I.).

Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 178.

Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N.I.)

Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 113.

Salickram Sing (Jem., 70th N.I.)

Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 57.

Alexander, Capt. A. C., 11th Irrs.

Misconduct of the 19th N. I. President of the European Court of Inquiry on, p. 48.

Alexander, Lieut., 3rd N. I.

Killed in repelling rear attack on 19th June. pp. 302, 304, 306, 445, 485, & app. 151.

Aligarh.

Measures for the reoccupation of—p. 258.

Native Regt. mutinied at—p. 280.

Aligarh—(Contd.)

Party sent out towards—p. 265.

Sepoys of the 11th and 20th N. I. apprehended at—p. 268.

Telegraph wire cut by insurgents at—p. 257.

Treasury plundered by mutineers at—p. 258.

Alipur.

Coke's (Maj.) Column escorted ammunition and treasure from—p. 460.

Delhi Field Force baggage left at—p. 434.

————— moved to—p. 433.

Mutineers' demonstration towards—p. 449.

Troops detailed for protection of baggage at—p. 434.

Younghusband's (Lieut.) det. retired from—p. 450.

Allahabad.

China Expedition regiments to form main body of column forming at—p. 332.

Native troops steadfast at—p. 269.

Allahoodeen, Naick, 34th N. I.

Bahadoor	}	(Sepoys, 2nd Grenadiers),	Deposition in regard to mutinous conduct of,
Singh,			
&			
Boodhellal Tewary,			

Prosecutor's examination of—p. 50.

Allen, Asst.-Surg. J., 34th N. I.

Mungul Pandey (Sepoy). Health certificate of, p. 113.

Allen, Bt.-Capt. A. S., 34th N. I.

Sepoy delegates. Statement regarding meeting of—p. 17.

Sepoy delegates' meetings. Ram Sahaj Lalla's (sepoy) statement reported by—regarding, p. 17.

Trustworthiness of 34th N. I. Opinion in regard to, p. 171.

Ally Mahomed Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.

Charge preferred against—p. 239.

Ally Noor Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.

Charge preferred against—p. 239.

- Amannt Khan, Subdr., 37th N. I.**
Mangul Pandey (Sepoy). Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 113.
Isuree Pandey (Jemadar). Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 177.
Salickram Sing (Jemadar). Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 57.
- Amarun Sing, Subdr., 19th N. I.**
Cartridges. Statement concerning, p. 74.
- Ameer Kudrut Ally, Naik, 3rd L. C.**
Cartridge refused by—p. 231.
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Amsinck, Bt.-Col. E., 3rd Battn., Mad. Arty.**
Mitchell (Lt.-Col.). Member of the Special Court of Inquiry in re, p. 4.
Trustworthiness of 34th N. I. Member of the Court of Inquiry in regard to, p. 158.
- Anderson, Local Ensg. C., Punj. Sap.**
Wounded during the operations in the City of Delhi. pp. 419, 488, & app. 153.
- Andrews, Capt. F., 60th Rifles.**
Killed at Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggur. pp. 284, 286, 484, & app. 150.
- Andros, Ensg. E. B., H. M.'s 61st Regt.**
Wounded on 9th July. pp. 320, 488, & app. 155.
- Anson, Capt. O. H. St. G., H. M.'s 9th Lancers.**
Charge led by—in action of the 19th June. p. 304.
Grant's (Brig.) notice of the services of—p. 304.
Showers' (Brig.) notice of the services of—p. 348.
- Anson, Capt. the Hon'ble A. H. A., H. M.'s 84th Regt.**
Gaitkall's (Maj.) notice of the services of—p. 386.
Grant's (Brig.) notice of the services of—as his A. D. C. p. 398.
Wounded during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 489, & app. 153.
- Anson, Genl. the Hon'ble G., C.-in-C.**
Arrival at Kurnaul of—p. 282.
Demise of—at Kurnaul. pp. 277, 433.
Force collected by—p. 271.
Hewitt (Maj.-Genl.) requisitioned for a force by—p. 275.
Light Cavy. (3rd). Opinion on conduct of the men of the, p. 229.
Measures for organizing a force by—p. 278.
Meerut force requisitioned to join—p. 275.
Military arrangements of—p. 275.
Movements of—p. 271.
Patiala Raja visited by—p. 280.
Précis of proceedings on outbreak of the Mutiny. p. 277.
Panjab Chief Comsr. informed of the movements of—p. 280.
Sanford (Capt.) arrived in camp of—p. 276.
Sentence passed on Jemadar Salickram Sing. Remarks on, app. 137.
- Armstrong, Lieut. E., H. M.'s 75th Regt.**
Wounded during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 488, & app. 155.
- Army Head-Quarters.**
Meerut Mutiny. Barnard (Capt.) conveyed intelligence of, to—p. 429.
Meerut Native troops mutiny. News received at—p. 430.
Mutineers of 3rd L. C. Hewitt's (Maj.-Genl.) report to—regarding execution of sentence on, p. 429.
- Artillery.**
Casualties among the—during siege operations at Delhi. p. 492.
- Artillery (Europeans.)**
Strength of—before Delhi on 6th Sep. p. 466.
- Artillery, 3rd Co., 7th Battn.**
Loss of guns of—p. 277.

Artillery, 4th Battn.

Casualties on 9th July in the detachment of—p. 319.

—————18th July in the detachment of—p. 336.

—————1st and 2nd Aug. in the detachment of—p. 345.

Artillery at Delhi.

Delhi mutineers.—forced to join the, p. 271.

Artillery Brigades.

Casualties among the—p. 482.

—————at Badli-ki-Serai in the—p. 292.

—————on 8th June in the—p. 438.

—————9th July in the—p. 319.

—————14th July in the—p. 321.

—————18th July in the—p. 336.

—————21st July in the—p. 338.

—————23rd July in the—p. 341.

—————1st and 2nd Aug. in the—p. 345.

—————12th Aug. in the—p. 349.

Columns of assault. Dispositions of the— with the, p. 387.

Gaitskell's (Maj.) notice of the services of the—p. 389.

—————report of the operations of the—from 6th to 14th Sep. p. 384.

—————report on operations of the 14th Sep.—of the—p. 387.

G. O. noticing the services of the officers and men of the—during the siege of Delhi, p. 423.

Operations on 14th Sep. of the—. Report of, p. 387.

Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to the—p. 330.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) commendations of the services of the—p. 378.

Artillery Drivers.

Return to Delhi Cantt. of the—p. 265.

Artillery Force.

Strength of the—before Delhi. p. 493.

Artillery Recruits.

Casualties among—on 23rd June. p. 309.

—————9th July in the detachment of—p. 319.

—————18th July in the detachment of—p. 336.

Artillery Reserve.

Casualties during operations of 21st July in the Head-Quarters of the—p. 338.

Artillerymen.

Hewitt (Maj.-Genl.) requisitioned for—p. 276.

Assault on 13th June.

Accident hindered the—p. 441.

Assemblies or Meetings.

Native commisd. and non-commisd. officers' duty in regard to—p. 96.

Atkinson, Capt.

Kasauli,—to arrange for protection of, p. 279.

Atkinson, Lieut. W., H. M.'s 52nd

L. I.

Wounded during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 488, & app. 154.

Atma Sing, Sikh Sepoy, 34th N. I.

Adjutant's rescue. Jemadar prevented— from going to the, p. 153.

Issuree Pandey (Jemadar). Deposition regarding the conduct of, while in command of the quarter-guard. p. 197.

Mehee Lall (Sepoy) recognised by—as on duty at the quarter-guard. p. 154.

Mungul Pandey (Sepoy). Deposition regarding the mutinous proceeding of, p. 197.

Occurrences at the quarter-guard of 34th N. I. on 29th March. Statement regarding, p. 153.

Peacock (Hon'ble Sir Barnes) objects to exemption of—from dismissal. pp. 216, 218.

Atma Sing, Sikh Sepoy, 34th N.I.—*(Contd.)*

Sepoys of the quarter-guard prevented by the Jemadar from rescuing their officers. p. 198.

Services retained on disbandment of his corps. p. 213.

Sewbuccus Tewary (Sepoy) recognised by—as on duty at the quarter-guard on 29th March. p. 154.

Aubert, Capt. W. W., 34th N. I.

Disrespect shown to European officers.

Statement regarding, p. 165.

Museulman Sepoys, 34th N. I. Reliance on loyalty of, p. 166.

Sikh Sepoys, 34th N.I. Reliance on loyalty of, p. 166.

Trustworthiness of 34th N.I. Opinion in regard to, p. 164.

Asadpore.

Insurgent cavalry's sortie on—p. 476.

Azeemullah Khan (1st) Trp., 3rd**L. C.**

Charge preferred against—p. 239.

Azeemullah Khan (2nd) Trp., 3rd**L. C.**

Charge preferred against—p. 239.

Asimgurh.

Communication opened with—p. 307.

Venables (Mr.) occupied—p. 307.

B**Babur Khan, Chief of Rangur Tribe.**

Hodson's (Lieut.) skirmish with—p. 462.

Badli-ki-Serai.

Attack on—Disposition of the troops for the, p. 435.

Barnard (Capt.) Services of, at—p. 289.

Barnard (Maj.-Genl., Sir Henry) commanded main attack on—p. 435.

————— detailed

account of the action at—pp. 289, 290.

Becher's (Col.) services at—p. 290.

British troops success at—G. O. noticing, p. 427.

Badli-ki-Serai.—(Contd.)

Casualties on 8th June at—p. 438.

Casualties at—. Return of, p. 292.

Chester (Col.) killed at—pp. 283, 290, 293, 484, & app. 149.

Civil officers' services at—p. 290.

Congreve's (Col.) services at—p. 290.

Curzon's (Col. the Hon'ble) services at—p. 290.

Delhi Field Force moved towards—p. 434.

Ewart's (Maj.) services at—p. 291.

Grant (Brigr.) entrusted with left flank attack on—p. 435.

————— in command of the rear column of attack at—p. 290.

Graves (Brigr.) in command of left column of attack at—p. 291.

————— column to operate on left of road to—p. 435.

Gurkha Batta's praiseworthy conduct at—p. 289.

Guns captured at—p. 290.

H. M.'s 75th charged and captured heavy battery at—p. 291.

Insurgents defeated at—p. 436.

Insurgents' losses at—p. 438.

Jhind Contingent's good services at—p. 290.

Maisey's (Capt.) services at—p. 291.

Mutineers' position at—described, p. 434.

Native Contingent's good services at—p. 290.

Native troops praiseworthy conduct at—p. 289.

Norman's (Capt.) services at—p. 290.

Ordnance captured at—p. 438.

Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) despatch regarding the enemy at—p. 282.

————— unable to take part at—p. 283.

Sappers' praiseworthy conduct at—p. 289.

Showers (Brigr.) in command of the right column of attack at—p. 290.

————— column to operate on right of road of—p. 435.

Shute's (Capt.) services at—p. 292.

Troops composing left rear column of attack on—p. 435.

Badli-ki-Serai—(Contd.)

Troops composing main column of attack on—p. 435.

Troops engaged at—p. 282.

Turnbull's (Lieut.) services at—p. 289.

Young's (Col.) services at—p. 290.

Wilson (Brigr.) with Meerut troops took part in action at—p. 283.

————— services at—p. 289.

Baghput.

Anson (Genl.) proposed to be at—p. 275.

Meerut force to move on—p. 275.

Bahadoor Sing, Sepoy, 2nd Grds.

Allahooddeen's (Naick, 34th N. I.) deposition in regard to the mutinous conduct of—app. 31.

Charges preferred against—app. 26.

C.-in-C.'s minute on sentence passed on—app. 53.

Court's opinion on criminality of—app. 51.

Dabee Rai (Lance-Naick, 2nd Grds.) cross-examined by—app. 44.

—————De-

position regarding absence from the fort of—app. 43.

Defence of—app. 48.

Gholam Mahomed's (Sub.-Maj., 2nd Grds.) deposition regarding absence from the fort of—app. 43.

Jyepall Sing's (Naick, 2nd Grds.) deposition regarding absence from the fort of—app. 40.

Muddeh Khan's (Sub., 34th N. I.) examination in regard to the mutinous conduct of—app. 27.

Motiny. Trial and conviction of—for, app. 25.

Pleading of—app. 27.

Purnode Pandey's (Sepoy, 34th N. I.) deposition regarding mutinous conduct of—app. 37.

Prosecutor's address on criminality of—app. 50.

Rambuksh Sing's (Hav., 2nd Grds.) deposition regarding absence from the fort of—app. 41.

Sentence passed on—app. 52.

Bahadoor Sing, Sepoy, 2nd Grds.—(Contd.)

Sirdar Khan's (Sepoy, 34th N. I.) deposition regarding mutinous conduct of—app. 34.

Witness for the defence of—app. 53.

Witnesses for the prosecution of—app. 53.

Bahadurghur.

Insurgents' movements towards—p. 463.

Nicholson (Brigr.-Genl.) detached to watch insurgents' movements towards—p. 463.

Baillie, 1st Lieut. G., Arty.

Wounded on 10th Aug. p. 487, app. 152.

Baird Smith, Lieut.-Col. R., Chief Engr., Delhi Field Force.

Bengal Artillery's services. Eulogy on, p. 392.

Delhi fortifications described by—p. 389.

Engineer Brigade's services brought to notice by—p. 539.

Exertions of the Engineers under—p. 371.

G. O. noticing the services of—during the siege of Delhi. p. 423.

Hurt from a fall from his horse. p. 366.

Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.

Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by—during, p. 428.

—————Success attending the,

due to—p. 474

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 319.

Wounded on 12th Sep. p. 487, app. 153.

Bannerman, Lieut. C. B., 1st Bom. N. I., attached to Beluch Battn.

Wounded on 7th Sep. p. 485, app. 151.

Barchard, Capt. C. H., 20th N. I., on staff of Maj.-Genl. Wilson.

G. O. noticing the services of—p. 244.

Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggur. Services at, p. 285.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 380.

Bareilly Regiments.

Movements of—p. 296.

Barnard, Capt.

- Badli-ki-Serai. Services at, p. 289.
 Delhi massacre. News conveyed to Simla by—of, p. 277.
 Meerut Mutiny. Intelligence conveyed to Army Head-Quarters by—of, p. 429.

Barnard, Maj.-Genl. Sir Henry, C. B., Comdg. Delhi Field Force.

- Arrival of—at Kurnaul. p. 433.
 Badli-ki-Serai. Detailed account of the action at, pp. 289 & 290.
 Delhi. Left column under command of—in taking up a position before, p. 291.
 —. Troops under—on taking up position before, p. 436.
 Delhi Field Force command assumed by—p. 277.
 ————under the command of—pp. 296-433.
 Delhi's early recovery impressed on—p. 324.
 Demise on 5th July of—pp. 314, 451.
 Guns captured by the column under—p. 437.
 Hodson's (Lieut.) services brought to notice by—p. 297.
 Manœuvres of—in securing a position before Delhi. p. 291.
 Movements of the column under—p. 436.
 Mutineers' attack on his position on 11th June. Report of, p. 296.
 ————14th June repulsed by—p. 297.
 ————19th June in rear of the camp. Report of the repulse of, p. 302.
 ————23rd June on outposts of the Delhi Field Force. p. 308.
 Fahiripur Battery of the mutineers. Report of the destruction of the, p. 298.
 Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) eulogy on services of the late—p. 314.
 Reid's (Maj.) services brought to notice by—p. 298.
 Route on advance towards City of Delhi taken by—p. 436.
 Siege of Delhi. G.O. recognizing the services of the late—during, p. 529.
 Supreme Government requests frequent reports from—p. 323.

Barnard, Maj.-Genl. Sir Henry, C.B., Comdg. Delhi Field Force.—(Contd.)

- Tombs' (Maj.) services brought to notice by—p. 298.
 Wilson (Brig.) joined the force under—p. 283.
 ————valuable assistance to—p. 295.

Barrackpore.

- Cartridge question.—Proceedings of the Special Court of Inquiry in regard to the, p. 7.
 Christianity. Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) explanation to the Native corps at—in regard to, pp. 27, 102.
 Commandants of Native corps at—report on the feelings of their men, p. 30.
 C.-in-C. informed regarding apprehensions of sepoy regts. at—p. 32.
 Disaffection in Native corps at—Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) detailed report regarding, p. 24.
 Disaffection among Native troops at—Hearsey (Maj.-Genl.) instructed in regard to the, p. 28.
 Disaffection among Native troops at—Young's (Col.) Memo. on, p. 53.
 European troops at—Hearsey (Maj.-Genl.) on policy of not having, p. 27.
 Golaub Sing (Maharaja) Khareeta shown to troops at—p. 82.
 Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) address to the Native troops at—p. 81.
 ————report regarding ill-feeling among the Native regts. at—p. 40.
 Incendiarism at—Precautionary measures against, p. 16.
 Incendiary fires at—p. 4.
 Issuree Pandey (Jem., 34th N.I.) Native general Court-Martial reassembled for the trial of, p. 177.
 Magazines at—Sepoys contemplated seizure of the, p. 18.
 Misconduct of 19th N. I. communicated to Native troops at—p. 82.

Barrackpore.—(Contd.)

- Mutiny originated in regiments quartered at—p. 94.
 N. I., 19th, disbanded at—p. 93.
 N. L., 34th, disbanded at—p. 225.
 Native troops at—, Hearsey (Maj.-Genl.) questions policy of having only, p. 20.
 Officers' bungalows burnt down at—p. 4.
 Oudh King's followers suspected of being concerned in fomenting discontent among Native corps at—p. 40.
 Reward offered for conviction of incendiaries at—p. 16.
 Sepoys' grievances. Grant's (Brigr.) measure to ascertain, p. 5.
 Sepoys' mutinous designs at—p. 17.
 Telegraph Office burnt down at—p. 4.
 Troops to assemble at—p. 98.
 Trustworthiness of 34th N. I. Special Court of Inquiry in regard to, p. 161.

Barrackpore Troops.

- Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) address of assurance to—p. 83.
 Religious prejudices. Hearsey (Maj.-Genl.) addressed—regarding their, p. 20.
 Sepoys of the 2nd Grds. detected in tampering with the Mint guard made known to troops at—p. 81.

Barter, Lt. and Adj. R., H. M.'s 75th Regt.

- Wounded at Badli-ki-Seral. pp. 293, 498, & app. 155.

Batteries.

- Captured ordnance used in the—p. 442.

Battery No. I.

- Armament and position of—p. 468.
 Blunt (Capt.) worked—p. 469.
 Moree Bastion silenced by—p. 468.

Battery No. II.

- Armament and position of—p. 469.
 Campbell (Maj.) commanded left portion of—till wounded. p. 470.
 Cashmere Bastion and adjacent curtains destroyed by—p. 470.
 Gray (Lieut.) worked in—p. 470.

Battery No. II.—(Contd.)

- Johnson (Capt.) assumed command of—p. 470.
 Kaye (Maj.) in command of right portion of, p. 470.
 Punjab Infy. (1st) portion employed in protecting—p. 476.
 Strengthened, armed, and unmasked on 11th Sep. p. 469.
 Work assigned to—p. 469.

Battery No. III.

- Armament and position of—p. 469.
 Position of—p. 469.
 Scott (Maj.) worked—p. 469.

Battery No. IV.

- Armament and position of—p. 469.
 Tombs (Maj.) in charge of—p. 469.

Battye, Lieut. Quinton, 56th N. I., Comdg. Guide Cavy.

- Died of wound. p. 484.
 Wounded mortally on 9th June. pp. 295, 440, and app. 151.

Baugh, Lt. B. H., Adj., 34th N. I.

- Allen's (Bt.-Capt.) opinion on spathy of sepoy in regard to rescuing—p. 172.
 Atma Sing (Sepoy) prevented by the Jemadar from going to the rescue of—p. 153.
 Disrespect shown to European officers. Statement regarding, p. 170.
 Heera Lall Tewary (Sepoy) of the quarter-guard assaulted—pp. 186, 189, 193, 195, 196, 197, 198.
 Horse shot under—p. 121.
 Issuree Pandey's (Jemadar) conduct deposed by—p. 142.
 Jemadar of the quarter-guard witnessed assault on—p. 196.
 Mookta Persand Pandey (Havr.). De-position regarding conduct of, p. 142.
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy). Gallant conduct of—in conflict with, p. 106.
 _____ fired at—p. 138.
 _____ inflicted wounds on—pp. 125, 133, 138, 145, 189.

- Baugh, Lt. B. H., Adj., 34th N. I.**
—(Contd.)
- Mungul Pandey (Sepoy) murderous assault on— Deposition regarding, pp. 118, 120, 184. —shot the horse of—pp. 125, 133, 145.
- Mussulman sepoy, (34th N. I.) Reliance on, p. 171.
- N. I., 34th. Deposition regarding occurrences on parade ground of the, p. 141.
- Sepoys assaulted—pp. 138, 146, 188.
- Sepoys of the quarter-guard assaulted—pp. 119, 125, 130, 193. —prevented from going to the rescue of—p. 195.
- Sepoys rendered no assistance to—p. 146.
- Sewbuccus Towary (Sepoy) prevented by the Jemadar from going to the rescue of—p. 154.
- Shaik Pultoo's (Havildar,) gallant conduct stated by—p. 128. —rendered assistance to—pp. 121, 188.
- Shots fired from the quarter-guard at—pp. 121, 142, 188.
- Shot fired from the lines at—p. 119.
- Bikh Sepoys (34th N.I.) Reliance on, p. 171.
- Sobha Sing (Sepoy) prevented by Jemadar from going to the rescue of—p. 153.
- Trustworthiness of 34th N. I. Opinion on, p. 169.
- Wounds received by—pp. 121, 142.
- Bayley, Capt. J. A., H. M.'s 52nd L. I.**
- Campbell's (Col.) notice of the services of—p. 403.
- Cashmere Gate stormed by party under—p. 402.
- Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 403, 416, 488, & app. 154.
- Baynes, Capt. R. S., H. M.'s 8th Regt.**
- Jones' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 401.
- Baynes, Capt. R. S., H.M.'s 8th Regt.—(Contd.)**
- Wounded dangerously during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 416, 488, & app. 154.
- Becher, Col. A. M., Qm.-Genl. of the Army.**
- Badli-ki-Serai. Services at, p. 290.
- G. O. noticing the services of—p. 425.
- Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 329.
- Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by—during, p. 428.
- Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services—of p. 381.
- Wounded severely in repelling rear attack on 19th June. pp. 302, 306, 445, 486, & app. 152.
- Beere, Bt.-Capt. D., H. M.'s 8th Regt.**
- Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 416, 488, & app. 154.
- Beharee Sing, Jemdr., 19th N. I.**
- Mutinous conduct of the 19th N.I. Deposition regarding, p. 58.
- Beluch Battn.**
- Casualties in the—during siege operations at Delhi. p. 492.
- in the assault on Delhi. p. 414.
- from 15th to 20th Sep. at Delhi. p. 418.
- Guide Infy. party rescued by a wing of the—p. 397.
- Hindu Rao's Ridge.—detached to support, p. 477.
- Mooltan.—ordered from Sind to, p. 326.
- Ordered to Ferozepore. p. 270.
- Benares.**
- Native corps weak at—p. 253.
- N. I., 37th, in an excited state at—p. 269.
- Quietness prevailing at—p. 307.
- Troops reported quiet at—p. 270.
- Bengal Arty.**
- Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) eulogy on services of the—p. 392.

- Bengal Arty.—(Contd.)**
 Casualties among the—from 15th to 20th Sep. at Delhi. p. 418.
 ———— in the assault on Delhi. p. 414.
 Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggur. G. O. noticing conduct of—at, p. 427.
 Services before Delhi of the—p. 482.
- Bengal Infy. Regts.**
 Punjab. Arms retained by twelve—in the, p. 326.
- Bengal Native Infy.**
 Standing orders of—, Kennedy (Col.) produced, app. 95.
- Beresford, Mr.**
 Mozaffernuggur.—reported at, p. 256.
- Berhampore.**
 Kossid sent to Native corps at—. Report regarding, p. 39.
 Misconduct of 19th N. I. Proceedings of European Court of Enquiry held at—in regard to the, p. 48.
 Mutinous conduct of 19th N. I. at—p. 40.
 Mutiny of 19th N. I. at—. Minute on, p. 87.
 N. I. (63rd) departure for—app. 188.
- Bheekun Khan, Hav., 10th Co., 2nd Grds.**
 Cartridge paper. Objections about, p. 12.
- Bhola Opadiah, Subdr., 17th N. I.**
 Issuree Pandey (Jem). Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 177.
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy). Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 113.
 Salickram Sing (Jem). Member of the Court for the trial of, app. 57.
- Bhowany Bux Sing, Sepoy, 7th Co., 70th N. I.**
 Assembly in the hut of Jemadar Salickram Sing. Deposition relating to, app. 104.
 Hyat Bux Khan (Pay-Hav.) recognized by—as being present in the assembly. app. 104.
- Bhowany Bux Sing, Sepoy, 7th Co., 70th N. I.—(Contd.)**
 Jhoomuck Sing (Drill Lance-Naick) recognized by—as being present in the assembly. app. 104.
 Kootub Ally Khan (Drill Naick) recognized by—as being present in the assembly. app. 104.
 Ramchurn Sing (Hav.) recognized by—as being present in the assembly. app. 104.
 Salickram Sing (Jem., 70th N. I.) cross-examined—app. 105.
- Bhowany Deen, Subdr., 3rd Co., 9th Battn., Arty.**
 Barrackpore incidents retailed to men of 19th N. I. Statement regarding, p. 80.
- Bhuggun, Tindal, 3rd L. C.**
 Cartridges. Statement regarding, in use in the regt. p. 226.
- Bhurtpore.**
 Military aid received from—p. 269.
 Muttra occupied by force from—p. 270.
 Siege of Delhi compared with that of—p. 474.
- Bhurtpore Force.**
 Muttra occupied by—p. 252.
- Bijoo Sing, Hav.-Maj., 18th N. I.**
 Mutinous conduct of 19th N. I. Deposition regarding, p. 57.
- Bingham, Lieut. H., Punj. Pioneers.**
 Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) notice of the services of—pp. 395-96.
 Column of assault (1st).—accompanied, pp. 393, 470.
- Biharut Ally, Risaldar, 1st Irra.**
 Hodson's (Lieut.) party destroyed—pp. 352, 462.
- Bishop, Lieut. H. F., Horse Arty.**
 Grant's (Brig.) notice of the services of—p. 304.
 Wounded in repelling rear attack on 19th June. pp. 445, 467, & app. 152.
- Bishun Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 239.

- Bisram, Jem., Sep. and Miners.**
Services of—with the firing party. p. 395.
- Blair, Lieut. C. R., 2nd Fusiliers.**
Wounded dangerously on the 30th June.
p. 489 & app. 156.
- Blane, Capt. Seymour J., H. M.'s
52nd L. Infy.**
G. O. noticing the services of—as Eda-
Maj. to the late Brigr.-Genl. Nichol-
son. p. 424.
Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) notice of the
services of—in action at Najafgarh.
p. 363.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the
services of—p. 370.
- Blunt, Capt. C. H. Arty.**
Light mortars worked by—from rear of
Custom House. p. 469.
Mortars in siege battery. (No. III) under
command of—p. 385.
Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) notice of the
services of—in action at Najafgarh.
p. 363.
- Boisragon, Capt. H. F. M., 1st Fus.,
2nd-in-Comd., Kumaon Battn.**
Wounded severely during the assault on
Delhi. pp. 416, 487, & app. 157.
- Bombay.**
European troops expected from—p. 281.
- Bond, Lieut. E. E. B., 57th N. I.,
attached to Guide Infy.**
Wounded severely on the 14th Sep.
pp. 376, 397, 417, 490, & app. 158.
- Bontein, Maj., Comdt., Dum-Dum
School of Musketry.**
Cartridges. Deposition in regard to the
making of, app. 63.
Cartridges. Sepoys at the Dum-Dum
School of Musketry object to biting,
reported by—p. 36.
Cartridge grease objected by Depot men
under—p. 2.
Cartridge-making at Dum-Dum Depot.
Report on, p. 36.
Cartridge-paper. Deposition regarding,
app. 63.
- Bontein, Maj., Comdt., Dum-Dum
School of Musketry.—(Contd.)**
Enfield rifles. Proposed new mode of
loading, p. 37.
Grease ordered to be used for greasing
cartridges intimated to—p. 5.
- Boodhelall Tewary, Sepoy, 2nd
Grds.**
Allahooddeen's (Naick, 34th N. I.) deposi-
tion in regard to the mutinous con-
duct of—app. 31.
Charges preferred against—app. 26.
C-in-C.'s minute on sentence passed on
—app. 53.
Court's opinion on criminality of—app. 51.
Dabee Rai's (Lance-Naick, 2nd Grds.)
deposition regarding—absence from the
fort of—app. 43.
Defence of—app. 44.
Gholam Mahomed's (Subdr.-Maj.) depo-
sition regarding absence from the fort
of—app. 43.
Gunness Gwals (Sepoy, 2nd Grds.)
questioned by—app. 45.
Jyepall Sing's (Naick, 2nd Grds.) depo-
sition regarding absence from the fort
of—app. 40.
Muddeh Khan's (Subdr.) examination in
regard to the mutinous conduct of—
app. 27.
Muddeh Khan (Subdr.) cross-examined by
—app. 30.
Mutiny. Trial and conviction of—for,
app. 25.
Pleading of—app. 27.
Prosecutor's address on criminality of—
app. 50.
Furmode Pandey's (Sepoy, 34th N. I.,) de-
position regarding mutinous conduct
of—app. 37.
Rambukah Sing's (Hav., 2nd Grds.,)
deposition regarding absence from the
fort of—app. 41.
Sentence passed on—app. 52.
Sirdar Khan's (Sepoy, 34th N. I.,) de-
position regarding mutinous conduct
of—app. 34.

- Boodhelall Tewary, Sepoy, 2nd Grds—(Contd.)**
 Witness for the defence of—app. 53.
 —prosecution of—app. 53.
- Boodhram Sing, Sepoy, 4th Co., 70th N. I.**
 Salickram Sing's (Jemadar) conversation with—app. 112.
- Boolundshahur.**
 Force occupied—p. 258.
 Gwalior Contingent Rissalla escorted treasure from—p. 271.
 Rampore Horse at—p. 258.
 Sepoys (mutineer) apprehended at—p. 267.
 Sirmoor Battn. moving down on—p. 271.
 Sirmoor Battn. occupied—p. 258.
 Telegraph wire cut by insurgents at—p. 257.
 Treasure plundered by mutineers at—p. 258.
- Booth, Sergt.-Maj.**
 Harris (Lieut.) found—at the bungalow. app. 119.
- Boswell, Capt. N. C., 2nd Grds.**
 Cartridge paper objected to by men under command of—p. 13.
- Darriou Sing (Jem.)** } Members of the
 and } Court appoint-
Ram Sahai Lalla } ed to take the
 (Sepoy) } deposition of—
 p. 155.
- N. I. (2nd Grds).** Left wing reported in a satisfactory condition by—p. 31.
Shaik Pultoo's (Hav., 34th N.I.) claim to Order of Merit. Member of the Board to enquire into, p. 128.
- Bourchier, Capt.**
 Gaitkell's (Maj.) notice of the services of—p. 389.
 Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 398.
- Boyd, Capt., 2nd Fusiliers.**
 Column of assault (No. 11.)—commanded detachment in the, p. 471.
 Jones' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 401.
- Bradshaw, Lieut. J. H., H. M.'s 52nd L. I.**
 Killed in the attempt to capture a gun during the assault on Delhi. pp. 365, 375, 402, 416, 484, & app. 150.
- Brigadier.**
 Bengal Army Regns. relating to the status of a—p. 262.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) report regarding the status of a—p. 262.
- Brigr.-Genl.**
 Lawrence (Sir Henry) appointed—p. 270.
 Wilson (Brigr.) granted the rank of—pp. 329, 457.
- Brigadiers.**
 Rewards for act of loyalty and gallantry. —empowered to confer, p. 271.
- Briggs, Capt.**
 Nasiri Batta.—to enquire into cause of discontent of the, p. 279.
- Brijmohun Sing, Hav.-Major's Orderly, 3rd L. C.**
 Carbine loaded and fired by—p. 232.
 Hut of—burnt. p. 232.
- Brind, Major J., Arty.**
 Battery (No. 1) worked by—p. 469.
 Gaitkell's (Maj.) notice of the services of—p. 386.
 Right of siege battery under command of—p. 384.
- Brind's Battery.**
 Battery (No. 1) designated—p. 469.
 Insurgents' sortie on—p. 475.
- Briscoe, Lieut. E. V., H. M.'s 75th Regt.**
 Killed during operations in the City of Delhi. pp. 419, 484, & app. 150.
- British Officers.**
 Goojars killed five—on their flight from Delhi. pp. 257, 270.
 Synd brought in three—of the Delhi garrison. pp. 257, 270.
- British Troops.**
 Casualties among—on day of assault on Delhi. p. 375.
 Siege operations. Strength of—employed in, p. 466.

- Brooke, Bt.-Lt.-Col. J. C., H. M.'s 8th Regt.**
Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 416, 488, & app. 154.
- Brookes, Capt. W., H. M.'s 75th Regt.**
Column of assault (1st). Operations of, on 14th Sep. reported by—p. 399.
- Brougham, Surgn. J. P., 1st Fus.**
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 382.
- Brown, Capt. E., 1st Fusiliers.**
Wounded at Paharipore. p. 299.
—dangerously on 17th June. pp. 443, 489, & app. 156.
- Browne, Lieut. J. H., 33rd N. I., attached to Kumaon Battn.**
Wounded on the 6th Aug. p. 485 & app. 151.
- Brownlow, Lieut. H. A., Ben. Engrs.**
Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) notice of the services of—p. 396.
Engineer Park under charge of—p. 396.
Felix's notice of the services of—p. 468.
Wounded dangerously on 14th Sep. during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 396, 415, 487, & app. 153.
- Buckley, Conductor.**
Delhi magazine. Services acknowledged in defending the, p. 272.
Escape of—from Delhi. p. 274.
Forrest's (Lieut.) report on services of—p. 274.
Wound received by—p. 274.
- Budd, Lieut. & Riding-Master S., Arty.**
Wounded on 8th Sep. p. 487 & app. 152.
- Buddun Sing, Jemdr., 6th Co., 2nd N. I.**
Cartridge paper. Objections about, p. 10.
- Bugwan Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Buldeo, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Cartridges. Statement regarding, p. 235.
- Buldeo Sing (1st), Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Buldeo Sing (2nd), Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Buldeo Sing, Sepoy, Grd. Co., 70th N. I.**
Salickram Sing (Jemadar). Statement regarding report having been made of the conduct of, app. 108.
- Bullubgurh.**
Greeathed's (Mr.) advice to Rajah of—p. 296.
- Bunbury, Lieut. A. C., 34th N. I.**
Trustworthiness of the 34th N. I. Opinion in regard to, p. 168.
- Bunny, Lieut. A., Horse Arty.**
Wounded on 23rd July. pp. 459, 487, & app. 152.
- Burgess, Corpl. F., alias J. B. Grierson, Sap. & Min.**
Explosion party.—was one of the, p. 394.
Home's (Lieut.) notice of the gallantry of the late—p. 395.
Wounded mortally while endeavouring to fire the charge on 14th Sep. pp. 394, 473.
- Burjuar Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Burma.**
European regt. requisitioned from—p. 252.
- Burn Bastion.**
Capture of—p. 377.
Failure to capture—p. 477.
Grant's (Brigr.) column suffered from—p. 472.
Ordnance captured at the—p. 421.
Surprised and captured on 19th Sep.—p. 480.
- Burn, Lieut.-Col. H. P., Field Officer, 1st Infy. Brigade.**
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 379.
- Burney, Capt. A., Horse Arty.**
Wounded on the 23rd July. p. 342.

- Burney, Lieut.-Col. G., Comdg. Sonthal Field Force.**
Misconduct of the 63rd N. I. reported by—
—app. 18a.
- Burnside, Capt., H. M.'s 61st Regt.**
Jones' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—
as Bde.-Maj. p. 401.
Wounded on 9th July. pp. 320, 454,
486, & app. 152.
- Burrowes, Capt. O., 54th N. I.**
Killed by mutineers at Delhi. p. 263.
- Butler, Lieut. C. J., 54th N. I.**
Wounded by mutineers at Delhi. p. 263.
- Butler, Sergt., Horse Arty.**
Wilde's (Capt.) report of the services
of—p. 407.
- Butter, Lieut., 1st Fus.**
Wounded during the assault on Delhi.
app. 156.
- Buxees Sing, Subdr., 20th N. I.**
L. C. (3rd).—Member of the Court of
Inquiry to investigate conduct of men
of, 230, app. 140.
- Byjonath Pandey, Sepoy, 5th Co.,
2nd Grds.**
Cartridge paper. Objections to the, p. 8.
- C**
- Cabul Gate.**
Column's (2nd) operations against—
p. 401.
Obstinate resistance at—p. 365.
Ordnance captured at the—p. 419.
- Calcutta.**
Militia to undertake duties in—p. 17.
- Campbell, Bt.-Maj. J. H., Comdg.
Meerut Arty.**
Battery (No. II) left section commanded
by—till wounded. p. 470.
Discontent of Native arty. recruits at
Meerut reported by—p. 241.
Gaitkell's (Maj.) notice of the services
of—p. 386.
Native arty. recruits discharged by—
Explanation regarding. p. 218.
- Campbell, Bt.-Maj. J. H., Comdg.
Meerut Arty.—(Contd.)**
Wounded while in command of left of
No. II Siege Battery. pp. 385, 487, &
app. 152.
- Campbell, Capt., 2nd Fus., Orderly
Officer.**
Longfield's (Brigr.) notice of the services
of—p. 405.
- Campbell, Capt. Sir E. FitzGerald,
H. M.'s 60th Rifles.**
Reid's (Maj.) notice of the services of—
p. 346.
- Campbell, Col. G., H. M.'s 52nd
L. I.**
Column of assault (No. III) under com-
mand of—pp. 372, 471.
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
Movement of the column under—p. 372.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the ser-
vices of—p. 379.
Wounded whilst leading column of at-
tack— pp. 366, 372, 375, 379, 416,
488, & app. 154.
Troops composing column of assault
under—p. 401.
- Campbell, Mr.**
Intelligence regarding—p. 271.
- Campbell, Sir Colin.**
Delhi Field Force's distinguished services
brought to notice by—p. 370.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) distinguished ser-
vices brought to notice by—p. 370.
- Camp Followers.**
Casualties among—p. 475.
- Canning, Earl.**
Disbandment of the 34th N. I. Minute
proposing. p. 213.
Mitchell's (Lieut.-Col.) conduct in dealing
with the outbreak of the 19th N. I.
Minute in regard to, app. 16.
Mutiny of 19th N. I. Minute on, p. 87.
Untrustworthiness of the 34th N. I.
Minute on, p. 212.
- Capital Sentences.**
C.-in-C.'s warrant in regard to—p. 209.

Caps.

N. I., 19th, men refused to receive—p. 51.

Carabineers, 6th Dragoon Guards.

Casualties at Badli-ki-Serai among the—
p. 292.

—at Ghazi-ud-din-Naggur among
the—p. 286.

—opposite the Hindun among the
—p. 288.

—at Najafgarh among the—
p. 364.

—in the assault on Delhi. p. 414.

—on 8th June in det. of the—
p. 438.

—on 4th July in det. of the—
p. 314.

—on 18th July among the—p. 336.

—from 15th to 20th Sep. p. 418.

—during the siege operations at
Delhi. p. 492.

Gaitkell's (Maj.) notice of the services
of men of the—p. 386.

G. O. noticing the services of the men
of the—in working at the batteries.
p. 425.

Ghazi-ud-din-Naggur. G. O. noticing con-
duct of—at, p. 427.

Mutinous Sappers and Miners shot by—
p. 256.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the
services as artillerymen of men of
the—p. 383.

—report on inefficient
condition of the—p. 260.

**Carmichael, Sergeant A. B., Sap-
pers and Miners.**

Explosion party.—was one of the, p. 394.

Home's (Lieut.) notice of the gallantry
of the late—p. 395.

Killed while laying the powder bag at
the Cashmere Gate on 14th Sep.
pp. 394, 473.

**Carnegy, 2nd-Lieut. H. A. L., Beng.
Engrs.**

Wounded on the 14th July. pp. 323, 456,
487, & app. 153.

Carter, Mr., By. Engr.

Escape of—from Fulwal. p. 268.

Cartridges.

Abbott's (Col.) report regarding grease
used for—p. 3.

Ajoodhee Persaud's (Jemadar) statement
regarding—p. 78.

Bazar ingredients for greasing. Hearsey
(Maj.-Genl.) recommends, p. 1.

Bhuggan's (Tindal, 3rd L. C.) state-
ment regarding—in use in the regt.
p. 236.

Bontein's (Maj.) deposition regarding the
making of—app. 63.

—report of objections of
Depot men to the grease used in—p. 2.

Buldeo's (Trooper, 3rd L. C.) state-
ment regarding—p. 235.

Chundee Sing's (Trooper, 3rd L. C.)
statement regarding—p. 235.

Chutter Sing (Trooper, 3rd L. C.)
objects to the use of—p. 234.

Composition used for greasing—pp. 3, 68.

Cows' and pigs' fat. Sepoys suspect, to be
used in greasing—p. 1.

Craigie (Capt.) reports refusal of his
men to receive—p. 228.

Currie's (Lieut.) deposition regarding pre-
paration and manufacture of—app. 64.

Doolum Sing's (Pay-Hav., 19th N. L.)
deposition regarding men being made
by the Col. to *bite*—p. 56.

Doolum Sing's (Pay-Hav., 19th N. L.)
statement regarding composition used
in—p. 68.

Doorga Sing's (Trooper, 3rd L. C.) state-
ment regarding—p. 236.

Durion Sing's (Subdr.-Maj., 70th N.I.)
statement regarding—app. 77.

Dum-Dum Depot men's suspicions in
regard to the preparation of—p. 1.

Fuzzeer Ally Khan's (Trooper, 3rd L. C.)
statement regarding—p. 235.

G. O. abolishing the use of new—p. 280.
Ghae to be used for greasing—p. 5.

Glazed paper used for—Sepoys' suspi-
cions in regard to, p. 15.

Cartridges—(Contd.)

- Gungabissun Chowbey's (Subd.) statement regarding—p. 77.
- Harris' (Lieut.) statement regarding order read about—app. 82.
- Hindu Havildar (3rd L. C.) superintended making up of—app. 140.
- Hindu Quartermaster Havildar's (3rd L. C.) opinion regarding—app. 140.
- Hindu Tindal's (3rd L.C.) statement regarding—app. 140.
- Ill-feeling among Native troops in regard to—p. 158.
- Kufeyut Ollah's (Trooper, 3rd L. C.,) statement regarding—p. 235.
- Kurream Bux's (Subdr., 19th N. I.,) deposition regarding—p. 55.
- L. C. (3rd). Hewitt's (Maj.-Genl.) report that the, refuse to take—p. 227.
- opinion of Native Court of Inquiry in regard to—p. 237.
- Smyth (Col.) statement regarding refusal of his men to take—p. 230.
- refuse to use—p. 227, app. 139.
- Meer Tarub Ally's (Trooper, 3rd L.C.,) statement regarding—p. 234.
- Moula Bux's (Trooper, 3rd L.C.,) doubts about—app. 141.
- Moula Bux's (Trooper, 3rd L.C.,) statement regarding—p. 236.
- Murad Bux's (Subdr.-Maj.) statement concerning—p. 63.
- Native arty. recruits refuse to use—p. 244.
- N. I., 19th, men assured regarding—p. 39.
- N. I., 19th, petition to prepare and grease their own—p. 61.
- N. I., 19th, suspicions about—p. 45.
- N. I., 70th, men satisfied in regard to—app. 77, 78 and 80, 81, 82.
- Pay-Havildar's (19th N.I.) enquiry regarding—p. 39.
- Petum Sing's (Sepoy, 2nd Grds.,) objections to bite—p. 36.
- Pursaud Sing's (Hav., 3rd L.C.,) statement regarding preparation of—p. 233.
- Ram Sahai Lalla's (Sepoy, 34th N. I.,) statement of sepoy's suspicion regarding—p. 160.

Cartridges—(Contd.)

- Recruits, 19th N. I., firing—p. 66.
- Sahib Deen Khan's (Trooper, 3rd L.C.,) statement regarding—p. 236.
- Salickram Sing (Jem., 70th N.I.,) seditious utterance of, in regard to—app. 69.
- Sepoys at the Dum-Dum School of Musketry object to bite the—p. 36.
- Sepoys excited in regard to—p. 189.
- Sewbuccus Sing's (Jem., 70th N.I.,) statement regarding—app. 81.
- Sewchurn Misser's (Drill-Hav., 70th N. I.,) statement regarding Col. Kennedy's speech in regard to—app. 80.
- Shaik Gholam Jullani's (Trooper, 3rd L. C.,) statement regarding—p. 235.
- Smyth's (Col.) report that the 3rd L.C. refuse to receive—p. 227.
- Book Lall Sing's (Trooper, 3rd L.C.,) statement regarding—p. 234.
- Subadar-Major's (19th N.I.) remark regarding—p. 39.
- Thakoor Sing's (Hav., 3rd L.C.,) statement regarding the preparation of—p. 232.
- Thakoor Sing's (Trooper, 3rd L.C.,) statement regarding—p. 236.
- Wax and oil suggested for greasing—p. 2.
- Wright's (Bt.-Capt.) report of the suspicions of the Dum-Dum Depot men regarding the grease used in—p. 3.

Cartridges and Grease.

- Sepoys' opinion unalterable in regard to—p. 61.

Cartridge-making.

- Bontein's (Maj.) report on—at Dum-Dum Depot. p. 36.

Cartridge-paper.

- Ajoodha Sing's (Hav.-Maj., 2nd Grds.,) objections about—p. 12.
- Bheekun Khan's (Hav., 2nd Grds.,) objections about—p. 12.
- Bontein's (Maj.) deposition in regard to—app. 62.
- Boswell's (Capt.) report of the objections of his men to—p. 13.

Cartridge-paper—(Contd.)

- Buddhan Sing's (Jem., 2nd Grds.) objections about—p. 10.
- Byjonath Pandey's (Sepoy, 2nd Grds.) objections to the—p. 8.
- Chemical Examiner's report on analysis of — p. 88.
- Chand Khan's (Sepoy, 2nd Grds.) objections about—p. 9.
- Court of Inquiry on objections to the—p. 7.
- Golaub Singh Khan's (Jem., 2nd Grds.) objections about—p. 11.
- Grant's (Brigr.) instructions about taking evidence regarding objections to the — p. 13.
- Guanesh Sing's (Jem., 2nd Grds.) Objections about—p. 10.
- Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) explanation to the Native troops at Barrackpore regarding —p. 82.
- report of sepoy's suspicions about— p. 15.
- regarding objections to the use of—p. 7.
- Kenney's (Bt.-Col.) deposition regarding excitement caused about—app. 60.
- Khoda Buksh's (Subdr., 2nd Grds.) objections about—p. 10.
- McNamara's (Dr.) report on analysis of— p. 88.
- Mitchell's (Lieut.) deposition regarding manufacture of—app. 66.
- N. I., 2nd (Grds.) object to the—p. 7.
- N. I., 19th, men's objections to the—p. 43.
- Ram Sing's (Jem., 2nd Grds.) objections about—p. 11.
- Wheler (Col.) to enquire regarding objections about—p. 13.
- Wasoor Khan's (Jem., 2nd Grds.) objections about— p. 11.

Cashmere.

Intelligence, satisfactory from—p. 296.

Cashmere Bastion.

- Battery (No. I) left section to keep in check— p. 468.
- Battery (No. II) destroyed—and adjacent curtains. p. 470.

Cashmere Bastion—(Contd.)

- Breach made in the curtain to the right of— p. 371.
- Engineers examined breach in—p. 392.
- Front attack on—p. 468.
- Heavy guns opened on the—p. 295.
- Lang (Lieut.) examined breach in—p. 470.
- Medley (Lieut.) examined breach in— p. 470.
- Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) column escaladed left face of— pp. 371, 399.
- column to storm the breach near— p. 470.
- Ordnance captured at the— p. 420.
- Siege Batty. (No. I) employed against— p. 391.
- (No. II) destroyed defences of— p. 392.
- employed to breach curtain to the right of— p. 391.
- operations against —p. 385.

Cashmere Contingent.

- Casualties in the—in the assault on Kissengunge batteries. p. 410.
- Causes of the failure of the— p. 478.
- Bodgah. Failure of the—to capture the— pp. 411, 478.
- C. O. noticing the services of the— p. 426.
- Guns lost by the—p. 410.
- Lawrence (Maj.) in command of the reserve of the— p. 478.
- report of part taken by the—in the assault on Delhi. p. 407.
- Strength of the— p. 466.
- Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of the— p. 383.

Cashmere Gate.

- Bayley's (Capt.) party stormed the— p. 402.
- Campbell's (Col.) column captured the— p. 372.
- Casualties in column operating on 23rd July in front of the— p. 341.
- Column of assault (III). Operations of the, against the— p. 401.

Cashmere Gate.—(Contd.)

Casualties in the—p. 402.

Column of assault (III) rushed through the— p. 473,

_____ to assault the—
p. 471.

Delhi entered by the— p. 365.

Explosion party. Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) notice of the gallant services of the, p. 394.

_____ Baird Smith (Lieut.-Col.) recommends rewards for—p. 395.

_____ 's report of operations of the—p. 394.

_____ blew in the— p. 394.

_____ 's advance on the— p. 472.

Insurgents' sorties from the— p. 475.

Jhind Force occupied— p. 405.

Officers and men composing the—p. 394.

Ferguson (Maj.) assumed command of the— p. 264.

Reserve column held the—p. 477.

Sapper party blew in the— p. 366.

Siege Battery's incessant fire against the— p. 386.

Showers' (Brigg.) report of operations on 23rd July opposite the— p. 339.

Wallace's (Capt.) order sneered at by guards at the— p. 263.

Wilson (Lieut.) drove rebels from the— p. 264.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) report of mutineers driven from front of the— p. 346.

Cashmere Gun Battery.

Ordnance captured at the— p. 420.

Caste Return.

N. I., 34th.—of the, p. 176.

Caulfield, Capt. J. P., 3rd N. I., attached to 1st Fusiliers.

Wounded during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 417, 489, & app. 156.

Cavalry (British).

Strength of—before Delhi on 6th Sep. p. 466.

Cavalry Brigade.

Bourchier's (Capt.) battery sent to the aid of the— p. 473.

Cavalry Brigade.—(Contd.)

Casualties among the—at Badli-ki-Sawal. p. 292.

_____ in the assault on Delhi. p. 414.

_____ from 15th to 20th Sep. at Delhi. p. 418.

_____ of the 19th June— p. 305.

_____ on 9th July among the— p. 319.

_____ 18th July among the— p. 336.

Casualties on 21st July among the— p. 338.

_____ 12th Aug. among the— p. 349.

Composition of the—on 14th Sep. p. 397.

Grant's (Brig.) report of operations on 14th Sep. of the— p. 397.

Guide Infy.'s assistance to— p. 397.

Operations of the—on the 14th Sep. p. 397.

Strength of the—before Delhi. p. 463.

Cavalry Corps.

Panjab. Number of—maintained in the, p. 326.

Cawnpore.

Agra Govt. to correspond daily with— p. 332.

Fatehgarh refugees massacred at— p. 307.

Firing heard at— p. 366.

Intelligence about— p. 307.

Native troops steadfast at— p. 269.

Centenary of Plassey.

Mutineers' attack on 22nd June in commemoration of the— p. 446.

Ceylon.

European troops expected from— p. 281.

_____ to be obtained from Govt. of— p. 270.

Officers sent to bring up European troops from— p. 257.

Chalmers, Ensign, Engrs.

Column of Assault (I).—accompanied. p. 393.

- Chalmers, Ensg. O. I., 3rd N. I., at-**
tached to the Corps of Guides.
Wounded severely on 5th July. p. 489
& app. 158.
- Chamberlain, Brigr.-Genl. Neville.**
Appointment of—to act as Adj.-Genl.
of the Army. pp. 326, 327, 328.
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
Jones' (Brigr.) columns placed under
direction of—, p. 453.
Mutineers driven from enclosures on right
of British camp by column under—,
p. 316.
Operations after the assault on Delhi.
Report of, p. 374.
Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) favorable notice of
the services of—, p. 317.
———thanks to—, p. 329.
Showers' (Brigr.) column accompanied by
—on 14th July. p. 456.
Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services render-
ed by—during, p. 428.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the
services of—, p. 390.
Wounded severely on the 14th July.
pp. 321, 323, 456, 486, & app. 151.
- Chambers, Lt.-Capt., 2nd-in-Comd.,**
4th Sikh Infy.
Showers' (Brigr.) notice of the services
of—p. 348.
- Chambers, Pay Master D. F., H. M.'s**
75th Regt.
Wounded on 14th Sep. p. 488 & app. 156.
- Chamier, Ensign F. E. A., 34th N. I.**
Appointed Interpreter to the Court—
p. 147.
Disrespect shown to European officers.
Statement regarding, p. 166.
Musulman sepoy, 34th N.I. Reliance
on, p. 166.
Prisoners' (late of the quarter-guard, 34th
N. I.) statement to—, p. 152.
Sikh sepoy, 34th N.I. Reliance on loyalty
of, p. 166.
Trustworthiness of 34th N. I. Reliance
on, p. 166.
- Champaign, 2nd-Lieut. J. U., Engrs.**
Wounded on the 17th June. p. 487 &
app. 153.
- Chancellor, Capt. A., H. M.'s 75th**
Regt.
Wounded on 8th June. Died of wound
at Kassul. p. 488 & app. 155.
- Chand Khan, Sepoy, 7th Co., 2nd**
Grds.
Cartridge paper. Objection about the, p. 9.
- Chemical Examiner.**
Cartridge paper. Report on, p. 88.
- Chesney, Capt. G. T., Bde.-Maj.,**
Engrs.
Beird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) notice of the
services of—p. 393.
Wounded very severely during the assault
on Delhi. pp. 375, 393, 415, 487, &
app. 153.
- Chester, Col. C., Adj.-Genl. of the**
Army.
Killed at Badli-ki-Serai on 8th June.
pp. 283, 290, 293, 435, 484, & app. 149.
- Chester, Lieut. H. D. E. W., 86th**
N.I., attached to Sirmoor Battn.
Wounded on 14th July. pp. 323, 456,
490, & app. 157.
- Chichester, Lieut., Arty.**
Wounded on 18th July. pp. 337, 457,
487, & app. 152.
- Chief Comar., Punjab.**
Detachments from Infy. regts. from Pun-
jab Force to be sent by—p. 269.
Guides to be sent down by—p. 269.
Punjab police to be sent down by—p. 269.
Order of Merit. Authorized to admit
Native officers and soldiers to the,
p. 271.
Rewards for acts of loyalty and gal-
lantry. Empowered to confer, p. 271.
- Chief Engineer.**
Assault on Delhi. Opinion regarding,
p. 331.

- Ching.**
European troops for—to be diverted.
p. 257.
- Chittagong.**
N. I., 34th. Expressions of loyalty from
det. of, serving in—. p. 175.
- Chosee Tewaree, Sepoy, Grd. Co.,
63rd N. I.**
Furio.—Reluctant at first to proceed on,
app. 21.
- Christian, Drummer Peter, 19th
N. I.**
Mutinous conduct of the 19th N.I. Depo-
sition regarding, p. 60.
Oath. Statement concerning—taken by
the men. p. 69.
- Christianity.**
Hearsey (Maj.-Genl.) address& Barrack-
por troops in regard to—. p. 102.
— explanation to Na-
tive at Barrackpore regarding—
p. 21.
- Christiana.**
Sepoys apprehensive of being forcibly
made—. p. 17.
- Chundee Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Cartridges.—statement regarding, p. 235.
- Church.**
H. M.'s 52nd placed in the—p. 477.
Siege batty.'s incessant fire against the—
p. 386.
- Chutter Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Cartridges.—objects to the use of, p. 234.
- Civil Officers.**
Badli-ki-Berai. Services of—at, p. 290.
- Clifford, Asst.-Surgn. J. J., H. M.'s
9th Lancers.**
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the
services of— p. 382.
- Clifford, Mr. R. M., C. S.**
G. O. noticing the services of—, p. 425.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the ser-
vices of— p. 381.
- Coke, Major J., 1st Punjab Rifles.**
Atipura's plunder recaptured from muti-
neers by column under—. p. 450.
Casualties on 3rd July in the detachment
under—. p. 451.
— in the column engaged on the
4th July under—. p. 314.
Force detached under—to intercept muti-
neers. p. 450.
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 425.
Gun captured on 12th August by—. p. 347.
Manœuvres of—on 4th July. p. 313.
Mutineers defeated on 3rd July by
column under—. p. 451.
— dispersed by troops under
command of—. p. 313.
Showers' (Maj.) notice of the services of
—pp. 340, 318.
Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services render-
ed by—during, p. 428.
Stores captured by column under—. p. 450.
Troops detached under—for escorting a
convoy. p. 313.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the
services of— pp. 347, 381.
Wounded severely in the act of seizing
a gun. pp. 347, 350, 461, 486, & app.
157.
- Coke's Cavalry.**
Casualties among—on 14th July. p. 322.
- Columns of Assault.**
Arty. Brigade. Disposition of the, with
the—. p. 387.
- Column of Assault, No. I.**
Brooke's (Capt.) report of operations of
the—. p. 399.
Cashmere Bastion captured by—. p. 371.
Corps composing the—. p. 470.
Engineer officers attached to—. pp. 393,
470.
Movements of—on effecting an entrance.
p. 477.
Nicholson (Brigr.-Genl.) in command
of—. pp. 371, 470.
Troops composing the—. pp. 371, 399.
- Column of Assault, No. II.**
Corps composing the—. p. 471.

Column of Assault, No. II.—(Contd.)

- Engineer officers detailed to accompany —. pp. 393, 471.
 Jones (Brigr.) in command of— pp. 371, 471.
 Movements of—on effecting an entrance. p. 477.
 Operations of the—. p. 400.
 Troops composing the—. pp. 371, 400.
 Water Bastion captured by—pp. 371, 471.

Column of Assault, No. III.

- Campbell (Col.) in command of the—. pp. 372, 471.
 Corps composing the—. p. 471.
 Cashmere Gate.—to assault, p. 471.
 Engineer officers detailed to accompany— pp. 393, 471.
 Metcalfe (Sir T.) conducted the—. p. 477.
 Movements of the—after storming the Cashmere Gate. p. 477.
 Operations of the—. p. 401.
 Troops composing the—. pp. 372, 401.

Column of Assault, No. IV.

- Casualties heavy among the—. p. 413.
 Corps composing the—. p. 471.
 "Crows Nest" Guns covered retreat of —. p. 473.
 Defective organisation of the—. p. 409.
 Engineer office attached to—. p. 393.
 Failure in operations of the—. p. 473.
 Kiseengunge.—to attack, p. 471.
 Lahore Gate.—to enter the, p. 471.
 Lawrence (Maj.) assumed command of the—. pp. 408, 473.
 ——— report of the part taken by the Cashmere Contingent with the—. p. 401.
 ——— report on causes of the retirement of the—. p. 410.
 Movements of the—. p. 473.
 Muter (Capt.) assumed command of the—. p. 412.
 ———'s report of the operations of the—. p. 412.
 Opposition met by—. p. 473.
 Reid (Maj.) in command of—. p. 471.
 Troops composing the—. p. 412.

Column of Pursuit.

- Troops composing the—. p. 377.

C.-in-C.

- Arrival at Karnaul of—p. 433.
 ———Umballa of—p. 430.

Bahadoor	}	(Sepoys,	}	Minute in
Sing and				
Boddhelall	}	Grenadiers	}	sentence
Tewary				
				app. 53.

- Barrackpore sepoy regiments' apprehensions in regard to their religion intimated to—. p. 32.
 Delhi. Advised of the importance of the early capture of, p. 270.
 Demise of—at Karnaul. p. 433.
 Enfield Rifles. Correspondence sent to— regarding new mode of loading, p. 38.
 Hewitt (Maj.-Genl.). Explanation to be demanded of, p. 260.

—————removal from Meerut Command.—concurrence in, p. 259.

Meerut mutiny. Measures of—in consequence of, p. 430.

Mitchell's (Lieut.-Col.) future employment. Intimation sent to—regarding, app. 17.

Muddeh Khan's (Subdr., 34th N.I.) conduct favorably noticed by—, app. 53.

Mutiny. Measures for concentrating troops on outbreak of the, p. 430.

N. L., 19th. Orders in regard to the, p. 44.

Order of Merit.—authorized to admit Native officers and soldiers to the, — p. 271.

Rewards for acts of loyalty and gallantry. —empowered to confer, p. 271.

Sentence on men of 3rd L. C.—instructions in regard to carrying out, p. 240.

Vic. Act VII, Chap. XVIII, Warrant to— p. 269.

Commanding Officers.

- General Courts-martial. Empowered to convene, p. 270.

Commissariat Department.

- G. O. noticing the services of officers of the— p. 425.
 Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by officers of the—during, p. 428.
 Unpreparedness of the—, p. 278.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 382.

Congreve, Actg. Adjnt.-Genl., F. M.'s Forces in India.

- Badli-ki-Seral.—services at, p. 290.
 Departure for Simla of—, p. 457.
 Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) favorable notice of the services of—, p. 317.
 Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by—during, p. 428.

Cooke, Major W. A., 2nd Grds.

- Mitchell (Lieut.-Col.). Member of the Special Court of Enquiry *in re*, app. 4.
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy) questioned by— p. 108.
 N. I., 34th. Member of the Court to enquire into proceedings in the lines of the, p. 132.
 Trustworthiness of 34th N. I. Member of the Court of Inquiry in regard to, p. 161.

Corbett, Lieut., 43rd N. I.

- Cartridge paper. Interpreter to the Court of Enquiry regarding objections to the use of, p. 7.
 N. I., 34th. Interpreter to the Court appointed to investigate into occurrences in the lines of the, p. 132.

Cotton, Lieut.-Col. 87th N. I.

- Agra Divn. Command conferred on— p. 332.

"COUP DE MAIN."

- Felix's sentiments in regard to the— p. 466.
 Proposal for a— a second time. p. 448.
 Proposal in regard to a—. Remarks on, p. 441.

Court of Inquiry.

- Durriou Sing (Jemadar) and Ram Sahai Lalla (Sepoy) } —to investigate statement of, p. 155.
 Mitchell's (Lieut.-Col.) conduct to be investigated by a—, app. 3.
 Trial of men of 3rd L. C. Native officers composing the—for the, app. 140.

Craigie, Capt. H. C., 3rd L. C.

- Cartridges refused by men of his troop. p. 228.
 Hewitt's (Maj.-Genl.) explanation of having forwarded an objectionable letter from—, p. 246.

Crosse, Capt., H. M.'s 52nd L. L.

- Campbell's (Col.) notice of the services of—p. 403.

Crow, Sub-Conductor.

- Delhi magazine. Services acknowledged in defending the, p. 272.

"Crows Nest."

- Casualties during operations on 1st and 2nd Aug. in defence of the—p. 345.
 Reid's (Maj.) report of mutineers' attacks on 1st and 2nd Aug. on— p. 344.

Crozier, Lieut. W., H. M.'s 75th Regt.

- Killed on 18th July. pp. 337, 457, 484, & app. 150.

Cuppige, Lieut. B., 6th L. C., attached to H. M.'s 9th Lancers.

- Wounded during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 415, 489, & app. 153.

Currie, 1st-Lieut. M. E., Comy. of Ordn.

- Cartridges. Deposition regarding preparation and manufacture of, app. 64.
 Greased cartridges. Deposition regarding issue of, app. 65.
 Greased cartridges. Statement regarding composition used for, app. 66.

- Curtis, Lieut., 60th Rifles.**
Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 488, & app. 154.
- Curzon, Col. the Hon'ble R. W. P., Milly. Secy. to the late C.-in-C.**
Badli-ki-Serai. Services at, p. 288.
Departure for England of—p. 457.
Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) favorable notice of the services of—p. 317.
—thanks to—p. 329.
Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by—during, p. 428.
- Custance, Lieut.-Col. of the Carabineers.**
Charger wounded at the Hindun. p. 288.
Ghasi-ud-din-Nuggur. Services at, p. 283.
Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 399.
Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by—during, p. 427.
- Custom House.**
Battery No. III established in compound of the—p. 469.
Blunt (Capt.) worked light mortars from rear of—p. 469.
- Custom House Battery.**
Approach to—hazardous. p. 475.
Fagan (Capt.) killed at the—p. 475.
Insurgents' fire on—p. 475.
Sellingurh's fire on—p. 476.
- D**
- Dabee Rai, Lance-Naick, 8th Co., 2nd Grds.**
Bahadoor Sing (Sepoy) cross-examined—app. 44.
Bahadoor Sing } Deposition re-
and } garding ab-
Boodhelall } 2nd Gre-
Tewary } nadiers. }
the fort of,
app. 43.
- Daly, Capt., Comdt., Guide Cavy.**
Charge of the Guide Cavy. led by—p. 444.
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 425.
Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 304.
- Daly, Capt., Comdt., Guide Cavy.—(Contd.)**
Guide Corps arrived at Delhi under—pp. 283, 439.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 381.
Wounded severely on 19th June. pp. 302, 304, 306, 445, 487, & app. 157.
- Daniell, Capt. E. G., H. M.'s 8th Regt.**
Wounded severely on 9th July. pp. 320, 454, 488, & app. 154.
- Daniell, Lieut. F. W., 1st Fus.**
Wounded severely on the 14th July. pp. 323, 456, 489, & app. 156.
- Davee Sing, Hav., 70th N. I.**
Thatching huts. Statement regarding orders given for, app. 85.
- Davidson, Ensign J. T., 26th N. I.**
Killed during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 417, 485, & app. 151.
- Davidson, Lieut. A. H., Arty.**
Wounded severely at Badli-ki-Serai. pp. 293, 487, & app. 152.
- Dawson, Capt. E., H. M.'s 75th Regt.**
Wounded at Badli-ki-Serai. pp. 293, 488, & app. 155.
- Dawson, Vet. Surgn. C. J., H. Arty.**
Murdered by mutineers at Meerut. pp. 249, 255.
Wife of—murdered at Meerut. p. 249.
- Dayrell, Ensign T., 58th N. I., attached to H. M.'s 75th Regt.**
Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 415, 490, & app. 156.
- Deacon, Capt. W. E. D., H. M.'s 61st Regt.**
Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 416, 488, & app. 165.
- Deacon, Lieut.-Col., H. M.'s 61st Regt.**
Longfield's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 405.

- Deacon, Lieut.-Col., H. M.'s 61st Regt.—(Contd.)**
 Reserve column,—in command of detachment in the, p. 472.
 Showers' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 340.
 Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by—during, p. 427.
- DeBourbel, Lieut., Carabineers.**
 Wounded at Ghasi-od-din-Nagpur. pp. 286, 488, & app. 153.
- DeBrett, Lieut. H., 57th N. I., attached to Guide Corps.**
 Wounded on 14th July. pp. 323, 456, 490, & app. 158.
- Deedes, Lieut. H. G., H. M.'s 60th Rifles.**
 Wounded on 12th June. p. 488 & app. 154.
- Delamain, Capt. J. W., 56th N. I., Orderly Officer.**
 Killed at Badi-ki-Serai. pp. 293, 484, & app. 149.
- Delawar Khan, Naick, 3rd L. C.**
 Cartridge received by—pp. 228, 231.
- Delhi.**
 Abbott's (Maj.) narrative of his flight from— p. 265.
 ————— the outbreak at— p. 263.
 Active operations undertaken for the capture of—p. 465.
 Advance on— little signs of, p. 331.
 Agra Govt. to correspond daily with—p. 332.
 ————— to keep up communication with—p. 332.
 Ajmere Gate occupied by mutineers at—pp. 256, 270.
 Artillery Brigade's operations against the City of—p. 384.
 Assault delivered on 14th Sep. p. 365.
 ————— on the City of—Particulars relating to the, p. 472.
 Attack on north face of— Reason for, p. 468.
 Bernard (Maj.-Genl.) in command of left column in taking up a position before—p. 291.
- Delhi.—(Contd.)**
 Bernard (Maj.-Genl.) secured a position before— p. 291.
 Bastions improved at— p. 468.
 British force inadequate for investing the City of— p. 449.
 —————troops in complete possession of City of—pp. 366, 367, 480.
 Capture of— Importance of the early, p. 270.
 Casualties at—not known. p. 255.
 ————— on day of assault on—p. 378.
 Chamberlain's (Lieut.-Col.) progress report in occupying— p. 374.
 Chief Engineer's opinion regarding assault on—p. 331.
 Christian prisoners massacred at—confirmed. p. 282.
 C.-in-C. impressed with the importance of the early capture of—p. 270.
 C.-in-C.'s decision to move on—p. 431.
 Column forming at Allahabad to move on— p. 332.
 Columns formed for obtaining a position before—p. 291.
 Englishmen escaped from— p. 256.
 Europeans massacred in the city and civil lines at—pp. 268, 431.
 Flight of the inhabitants from the City of— p. 371.
 Force assembling to move on— p. 273.
 G. O. on capture of— p. 367.
 Gwalior Contingent, 7th Infy. Regt. arrived at—p. 449.
 Heir apparent declared King of—p. 268.
 Inhabitants deserting the City of—p. 480.
 Insurgent force collected at—pp. 438, 449.
 Intelligence from—p. 307.
 Jhansi troops arrived at—p. 449.
 Jhind Contingent headed by Rajah brought in to share in the capture of— p. 466.
 King in the hands of the insurgents at—p. 258.
 King wishing to throw himself on British protection. p. 307.
 Kotah Contingent arrived at—p. 449.
 L. C. (3rd) entered—p. 263.

Delhi.—(Contd.)

- Losses severe in assault on—p. 365.
 MacAndrew (Capt.) ordered to clear road to—p. 280.
 Meerut mutineers' irruption into—signalled to Umballa. p. 429.
 Mutineers' main body leaving—p. 366.
 ——— muster strong at—p. 357.
 ——— of the 60th N. I. proceeded to—p. 440.
 ——— powder manufactory destroyed at—p. 333.
 ——— reported to be at—pp. 253, 256.
 ——— reported to be dispirited at—p. 307.
 ——— reported to be fighting among themselves at—p. 282.
 ——— retreating from—p. 365.
 ——— sortie repulsed on 15th July. p. 325.
 ——— corps holding—p. 431.
 Mutiny of the garrison at—p. 255.
 Narrative of events relating to the outbreak at—p. 268.
 Native Infy. regts. that mutinied concentrated at—p. 268.
 Neemuch Brigade arrived at—p. 449.
 Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) arrival for the assault on—p. 472.
 N.-W. P. Lieut.-Govr. advised of the importance of the early capture of—p. 270.
 N.-W. P. Lieut.-Govr. urged to make short work of—p. 257.
 Officers killed and wounded on the outbreak at—p. 263.
 Ordnance captured in city and streets of—pp. 366, 376, 419, 420.
 Pathans banded together and declared a religious war. p. 295.
 Points of assault on—p. 371.
 Position of the force before—p. 466.
 Post Office destroyed by mutineers at—p. 267.
 Punjab Chief Comr. urges advance on—p. 279.
 Rebel troops flight from—p. 377.

Delhi.—(Contd.)

- Recapture of—. Exertions to be made for the, pp. 252, 281.
 Reconnaissance to be made as near to—p. 276.
 Reed (Maj.-Genl.) hopes of early capture of—p. 324.
 Rohilkhund mutineers arrival at—p. 449.
 Route taken by Field Force on advance towards City of—p. 430.
 Royal salute fired on capture of—p. 367.
 Siege train's arrival awaited for active operations against—p. 350.
 Siege train arrived on 6th Sep. before—p. 465.
 Strength and fortifications of—p. 482.
 Supreme Govt.'s expectations from the force before—p. 332.
 ——— expect the early capture of—p. 224.
 Telegraph Office destroyed by mutineers at—p. 257.
 Villages plundered by mutineers at—p. 25.
 Walls of—reported to have been knocked down. p. 307.
 Wilson (Maj.-Genl.) awaiting arrival of siege train for active operations against—p. 350.
 ——— indisposed to attack—unless batteries are silenced. p. 295.
 ——— in command of the right column in taking up a position before—p. 291.
 ———'s determination to hold his position to the last before—p. 331.
 ——— report of the assault on—p. 371.
- Delhi Bank.**
 Mutineers destroyed the—p. 26.
 Rifles occupy the—p. 366.
- Delhi Cantonment.**
 Barnard's (Sir Henry) route through—p. 436.
- Delhi College.**
 Casualties in the capture of the—p. 406.

Delhi College.—(Contd.)

Wilde's (Capt.) report of the capture of the—pp. 405, 406.
 ——— took possession of—and ground. p. 405.

Delhi Field Force.

Artillery insufficient with the—p. 440.
 Barnard (Maj.-Genl. Sir Henry) assumed command of the—pp. 277, 296, 433.
 ——— Demise of, p. 451.
 ——— report of mutineers' attack on 19th June in rear of the camp of the—p. 302.
 ——— report on mutineers' attack on 23rd June on outposts of the—p. 308.
 Battery constructed for protection in rear of the camp of the—p. 445.
 Batteries erected by the—p. 283.
 British troops of the—. Strength of the, p. 466.
 Campbell's (Sir Colin) sentiments on distinguished services of the—p. 370.
 Casualties on 8th June in the—p. 438.
 ——— 19th June—p. 445.
 ——— 22nd June in the—p. 447.
 ——— 27th June in the—p. 447.
 ——— 30th June in the—p. 448.
 ——— 1st Aug. in the—p. 460.
 ——— during the actual siege in the—p. 473.
 ——— from 7th to 17th Aug. in the—p. 351.
 ——— from 15th to 20th Sep. in the—p. 418.
 ——— on day of assault in the—pp. 375, 414, 473.
 Cavalry force with the—. Strength of the, pp. 433, 455.
 Cholera in camp of the—. Fatal effects of, p. 434.
 Column formed to drive mutineers from enclosures on right of the camp of the—p. 316.
 Column of pursuit formed from the—p. 377.

Delhi Field Force.—(Contd.)

Composition and strength of the—p. 331.
 Effective strength of the—p. 463.
 Encamping ground taken up by the—p. 427.
 Engineering means insufficient with the—p. 440.
 ——— with the—p. 467.
 Eulogy on the constancy and trials of the troops composing the—p. 481.
 European strength of the—p. 331.
 Felix's account of the position of the—p. 466.
 Field guns with the—. Number of, p. 433.
 G. O. commending the services of the—pp. 423, 425.
 Grant's (Brigr.) reports of the repulse of the mutineers on 19th June in rear of the camp of the—p. 303.
 Greathed's (Mr.) account of the proceedings of the Field Force on 8th June. p. 295.
 Guide Corps joined the—pp. 283, 295, 439.
 Infantry with the—. Strength of, p. 433.
 Irregular Cavy. Corps detached from—p. 434.
 Main picquet. Reid's (Maj.) report of the repulse of the mutineers' attack on the, p. 293.
 Meerut Brigade joined the—p. 283.
 Mutineer Cavy.'s—irruption on 9th July in camp of the, p. 315.
 Mutineers' attacks on 9th, 10th and 11th June repulsed by the—p. 440.
 ——— 11th June. p. 296.
 ——— 12th June. p. 430.
 ——— 14th June. p. 297.
 ——— 19th June. p. 444.
 ——— 22nd June. p. 446.
 ——— 23rd June. p. 308.
 ——— on Metcalfe House on 27th June. pp. 310, 447.
 ——— on Subzee Mundeos picquet on 30th June. p. 310.
 ——— desultory attack on the—p. 350.
 ——— incessant attacks on—357.
 Native Regt. to accompany the—p. 280.

Delhi Field Force.—(Contd.)

Nicholson (Brigr.-Genl.) appointed to the command of a moveable column of the—pp. 326, 327.

Nicholson (Brigr.-Genl.) expected to join the—p. 357.

————— column arrived in camp of the—pp. 332, 350, 357, 461.

Najafgarh. Orders regarding action fought at, p. 359.

Numerical strength of the—p. 331.

Ordnance captured by the—p. 419.

Picquet duty. Half the effective force employed on, p. 442.

Picquets established by the—p. 437.

Position of the—p. 331.

Punjab Chief Comar.'s exertions appreciated in reinforcing the—p. 327.

Punjab reinforcements expected in camp of the—p. 333.

Punjabis in the—p. 331.

Reed (Maj.-Genl.) assumes command of the—p. 314.

————— commends the persevering gallantry on 23rd June of the—p. 307.

————— arrival in camp of—p. 282.

————— G. O. for resigning the command of the—p. 329.

Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) intention of joining the—p. 277.

————— report of the repulse of the mutineers on the 9th July from the right of the—p. 315.

————— report of mutineers' attack on 14th July on picquets of the—p. 320.

————— resigns command of the—pp. 326, 456.

————— thanks to the European and Native troops composing the—p. 330.

Reinforcements arrived in camp of—pp. 325, 448, 461, 465.

Sick and wounded in camp of—pp. 463, 465, 466.

Delhi Field Force.—(Contd.)

Siege of Delhi. G. O. publishing despatch regarding operations of the—during the, p. 427.

Siege operations: Effective strength of the—employed in, p. 466.

————— ordnance with the—p. 467.

————— train arrived in camp of—p. 433.

————— expected to join the—p. 357.

————— to follow—p. 281.

Strength and composition of the—p. 433.

Supplies plentiful in camp of—p. 296.

Supreme Govt.'s enquiry regarding proceedings of the—p. 323.

Survivors of the—p. 266.

Thirty different combats won by the—p. 481.

Troops composing the—pp. 433, 467.

Wilson (Maj.-Genl.) appointed to command the—pp. 332, 456.

————— assumed command of the—p. 329.

————— commendations of the services of the—p. 378.

————— in command of the—p. 331.

—————'s report of mutineers' attacks on 18th, 20th, and 23rd July—p. 334.

————— report of operations from the 17th to 20th Sep. of the—p. 317.

————— report on services of officers and men of the—p. 374.

————— representation on inadequacy of the—p. 331.

————— with his force joined the—p. 433.

Delhi Fortifications.

Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) description of—p. 389.

————— project of attack on—p. 390.

Dismantlement postponed of the—p. 369.

Felix's account of the—p. 468.

Felix on the strength of the—p. 467.

Siege guns available and employed against—p. 391.

Delhi Garrison.

Native regiments composing the—p. 269.

Delhi Gate.

Hodson (Lieut.) secured—p. 480.

Mutineers' camp outside—deserted. p. 480.

Delhi Insurgents.

Brind's batteries. Sorties of—on, p. 475.

Losses of the—between 7th and 20th Sep.
p. 474.

Delhi King.

Europeans in the palace slaughtered by
order of the—p. 266.

European inhabitants massacre ordered
by—p. 266.

Govt.-Gen'l's message regarding—sent to
Agra. p. 307.

Hodson (Lieut.) captured—p. 378.

Insurrection originated and matured in
the palace of the—p. 266.

Life guaranteed of the—p. 369.

Patodee's plunder by mutineers dis-
avowed by—p. 358.

Prisoner, and his chief queen. pp. 367, 368.

Rajah Ali's (Moulvi) services in captur-
ing—p. 480.

Delhi King's Sons and Grandson.

Hodson (Lieut.) captured—pp. 367, 369,
378, & 480.

Shot at the kotwali. pp. 367, 369, 378, &
480.

Delhi Magazine.

Blowing up of the—. Account of the,
p. 274.

Capture of—p. 366.

Crow's (Sub-Cond'r.) services acknow-
ledged in defending the—p. 272.

Defensive arrangements at the—p. 273.

Edwards' (Sergt.) services acknowledged
in defending the—p. 272.

Forrest's (Lieut.) detailed report of the
capture of the—by mutineers. p. 272.

—services acknowledged
in defending the—p. 272.

G. O. notifying occurrences at the—
p. 272.

Insurgents killed on explosion of the—
p. 264.

Delhi Magazine.—(Contd.)

Native estab. deserted from—p. 274.

—of the—. Insubordinate con-
duct of the, p. 273.

Ordnance captured in the—p. 421.

Raynor's (Lieut.) services acknowledged
in defence of the—p. 273.

Scully (Cond'r.) fired train of the—p. 273.

—services acknowledged in
defending the—p. 272.

Shaw's (Cond'r.) services acknowledged in
defending the—p. 272.

Signal for firing the train of the—p. 273.

Willoughby's (Lieut.) defence of the—
p. 272.

—ordered the destruc-
tion of the—pp. 264, 268, 274.

Delhi Massacres.

Army Hd.-Qrs. received intelligence of—
p. 480.

Delhi Mutineers.

Accessions to the strength of the—p. 449.

Alipura threatened by—p. 449.

Artillery at Delhi forced to join the—
p. 271.

Artillerymen with—. Remarks with
regard to, p. 439.

Artillery practice of—pp. 284, 442.

British force powerless to prevent rein-
forcements joining—p. 449.

Coke (Maj.) detached to intercept—
p. 450.

Defeated in thirty different actions.
p. 481.

Estimated strength of the—p. 449.

Ghewzur placed under contribution by—
p. 358.

Greathed's (Mr.) report of proceedings of
the—p. 853.

Gwallor. —intended move towards, p. 366.

Hodson (Lieut.) captured stores, &c., in
camp of—p. 480.

Houses in cantonments destroyed by—
p. 267.

Jullundur mutineers joined the—p. 446.

L. C. (3rd) the nucleus of the—p. 271.

Losses inflicted on 14th July on—p. 456.

Nasirabad Brigade reinforced—p. 444.

Delhi Mutineers.—(Contd.)

- N. I. (11th) partially joined the—p. 271.
 —(20th) nucleus of the—p. 271.
 —(38th) nucleus of the—p. 271.
 —(54th) joined the—p. 271.
 —(74th) forced to join the—p. 471.
 Phillour mutineers joined the—p. 446.
 Powder magazines fell into the hands of the—p. 268.
 Powers of resistance possessed by the—p. 295.
 Proceedings of the—p. 268.
 Rohatuk Nawab paid contribution to—p. 358.
 Sikh battalions (two) formed from—p. 358.
 Treasure received by—p. 269.

Delhi Palace.

- Batteries erected on all sides of the—p. 366.
 British flag hoisted over the—p. 368.
 —guns playing on the—p. 366.
 —head-quarters established in the—p. 377.
 —troops in possession of the—p. 367.
 King occupying the—p. 366.
 King and family evacuated the—pp. 376, 377.
 Ordnance captured at the—p. 422.
 Overtures from chief lady of the—. Particulars regarding, p. 365.
 Shelling of the—p. 366.

Delhi Princes.

- Hodson (Lieut.)—Captured and shot by, pp. 367, 369, 378, & 480.
 Dennis, Col. J. L., H. M.'s 52nd L. I. Camp protected by troops under—p. 476.
 G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 379.
 DeTeissier, Capt. H. P., Arty. Escape of—from Delhi, p. 266.
 Dewall, Capt. P. H. K., 34th N. I. Expressions of loyalty from det. of 34th N. I. serving at Chittagong. p. 174.

Dewan Ali, Jemdr., 9th Battn., Arty.

- Insures Pandey (Jemadar, 34th N.I.). Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 178.
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N.I.). Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 113.
 Salickram Sing (Jemadar, 70th N.I.) Member of the Court for the trial of, app. 7.

Dewan-i-Khas.

- H. M. the Queen's health drunk by Hd. Qrs. Staff at the—p. 369.
 Wilson (Maj.-Genl.) established his headquarters in the—p. 367.

Dickins, Lieut. T. E., Arty.

- Wounded dangerously on 2nd July (since dead), pp. 339, 458, 484, & app. 149.

Dinapore.

- Kossid reported to have been sent to—p. 29.
 Sikh Police Battn. ordered to—p. 270.

Divisional Staff.

- Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to officers of the—p. 329.

Dixon, Lieut., late 9th L.C., Ord. Officer.

- Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) notice of the services of—at Najafgarh, p. 363.

Doab.

- Cavy. sent to the—to clear it of plunderers, p. 270.
 Greated (Lieut.-Col.) moved with a strong column into the—p. 481.
 Gwalior Cavy. Corps sent into the—p. 257.

Doolum Sing, Pay-Hav., L. Co., 19th N. I.

- Composition used for cartridges. Statement regarding, p. 68.
 Mitchell (Lieut.-Col.) contradicts statement by—regarding *biting* cartridges, p. 62.
 Mutinous conduct of the 19th N.I. Deposition regarding, p. 86.

- Doolum Sing, Pay-Hav., L. Co., 19th N. I.—(Contd.)**
Oath. Statement concerning, taken by the men. p. 68.
- Doorga Sing (1st), Trooper, 3rd L. C. Cartridges.** Statement regarding, p. 236.
- Doorga Sing (2nd), Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Dorin, Hon'ble Mr. J.**
Mutiny of the 19th N. I. Minute on, p. 87.
Untrustworthiness of the 34th N. I. Minute regarding, p. 214.
Wheler's (Lieut.-Col.) conduct. Minute regarding, p. 214.
- Douglas, Capt. C. E. G., Comdt., Delhi Palace Guard.**
Killed by mutineers at Delhi. p. 266.
- Drought, Lieut.-Col. R., Comdg. Metcalfe House Picquet.**
Showers' (Brig.) notice of the services of—p. 340.
Wounded severely on 23rd July. pp. 342, 459, 490, & app. 152.
- Drummers.**
Killed during siege operations at Delhi. p. 493.
Wounded during siege operations at Delhi. p. 493.
- Drury, Capt. C. C., 43rd N. I.**
Disrespect shown to European officers. Opinion in regard to, p. 167.
Durrion Sing's (Jemdr.) statement disbelieved by—p. 157.
Issuree Pandy (Jemdr., 34th N. I.) Statement regarding the conduct of, while in command of the quarter-guard. p. 201.
—ordered to imprison Sepoy Mangul Pandy by—p. 183.
—conversation with—p. 149.
Jemadar of the quarter-guard. Opinion on character of the, p. 167.
- Drury Capt. C. C., 43rd N. I.—(Contd.)**
Mookta Peraan Pandey. (Havr., Maj.) Opinion of—regarding influence of, p. 168.
Occurrences on 29th Mar. at the quarter-guard of 34th N. I. Statement regarding, pp. 149, 201.
Quarter-guard of 34th N. I. not questioned by—p. 151.
Trustworthiness of 34th N. I. Opinion in regard to, p. 167.
- Drysdale, Capt. W., H. M.'s 9th Lenc.**
Grant's (Brig.) notice of the services of—p. 398.
- Dum-Dum.**
Cartridges. Depot men's suspicions of the ingredient used in the preparation of, p. 1.
—Musketry Depot men object to grease in, p. 1.
Cartridge-making at—depot. Report regarding, p. 36.
Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) report of an unpleasant feeling among men of the depot at—p. 1.
Sepoys obedient at depot. p. 36.
- Dum-Dum School of Musketry.**
Cartridges. Sepoys at the—object to bite, p. 36.
- Dunbar, Capt. T. C., H. M.'s 75th Regt.**
Wounded on the 8th June. p. 488 & app. 155.
- Dundas, Lieut. J. D., H. M.'s 60th Rifles.**
Wounded in repelling rear attack on 19th June. pp. 306, 445, 488, & app. 154.
- Dunleary, Sergt., 1st Fusiliers.**
Killed during the assault on Kiseengunge Serai. p. 413.
- Dunlop, Mr.**
Arrival of—at Delhi. p. 297.
- Dunford, Lieut.-Col., Comdg. Jhind Force.**
Longfield's (Brig.) notice of the services of—p. 405.

- Dunsford, Lieut.-Col., Comdg. Hind Force.**—(Contd.)
Reserve Column. —in command of det. in the, p. 472.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 383.
- Durga Ram, Subdr., 70th N. I.**
Issued Pandy (Jemdr., 34th N.I.) Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 177.
Mungul Pandy (Sepoy, 34th N.I.) Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 113.
Salickram Sing (Jemdr., 70th N.I.) Member of the Court for the trial of, app. 57.
- Durriowungoo.**
Ordnance captured at—p. 422.
- Durriow Sing, Jemdr., 34th N. I.**
Bad feeling among Native troops. Cause of, p. 158.
Drury (Capt.) disbelieved statement of—p. 157.
Maun Sing (Rajah). Deposition regarding a letter written to, p. 156.
Mookta Persaud Pandya's (Pay-Havdr.) animus towards—p. 157.
Statement regarding, p. 159.
Muddeh Khan (Subdr.) complains of the enmity of, p. 156.
Statement regarding word sent by, p. 156.
Pay to be assigned to mutineers stated by—p. 158.
Pescok (Hon'ble B.) minute on evidence given by—p. 216.
Peer Ally (Havdr.) informant of—p. 157.
Statement regarding message of, p. 156.
Regiments that were to join in the meeting stated by—p. 158.
Sepoy delegates meeting. Deposition regarding, p. 20.
Kassee Persaud Doobey (Sepoy) led—to the, pp. 157, 158.
- Durriow Sing, Jemdr., 34th N. I.**—(Contd.)
Sepoy delegates meeting. Kassee Persaud Doobey (Sepoy) recognised by—at, p. 21.
Mohun Sookul (Sepoy) recognised by—at, p. 21.
Mookta Persaud Pandy (Drill-Havdr.) recognised by—at, p. 21.
Services retained on disbandment of his corps. pp. 213, 224.
Treasonable language held by certain Native officers. Deposition of, p. 15.
Wheler's (Col.) refusal to listen to—p. 157.
- Durriow Sing, Subdr.-Maj., 70th N. I.**
Salickram Sing (Jemdr., 70th N.I.) Deposition regarding seditious language used by, app. 76.
cross-examined—p. 79.
threat.
Statement regarding report of, app. 109.
Shaik Pultoo's (Havildar, 34th N. I.) claim to Order of Merit. Member of the Board to enquire into, p. 128.
- Durriow Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Dursun Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Dwarka Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Dwyer, Capt. H. A., 59th N. I., attached to Cashmere Contgt.**
Cashmere troops. Report of the failure of the attack on the Kodgah by, p. 411.
Kodgah. Unsuccessful in attempt to occupy the, p. 410.
Loss of guns by Jummoos force explained by—p. 411.
- E**
- Earle, Capt. W. H. S., 20th N. I.**
L.C. (3rd). Interpreter to the Court of Inquiry to investigate the conduct of the men of the, p. 230.

Earle, Lieut. E. L., Arty.

Wounded on the 11th Sep. p. 487 & app. 152.

Easian Khan (1st) Trooper, 3rd L. C.
Charge preferred against—p. 239.

Eaton, Lieut. K. P., H. M.'s 60th Rifles.

Wounded dangerously on the 11th Sep. p. 488 & app. 158.

Eckford, Lieut. H. A., 69th N.I., attached to Sirmoor Battn.

Wounded on 9th July. pp. 320, 490, & app. 157.

Edwards, Lieut. E. A., 54th N. I.
Killed by mutineers at Delhi. p. 263.

Edwards, Sergeant.

Delhi Magazine. Services of—acknowledged in defending the, p. 272.

Edgah.

Cashmere Contingent failed in its attack on the—pp. 411, 478.

Dwyer (Capt.) unsuccessful in occupying the—p. 410.

Force sent to reconnoitre the—p. 448.

Materials found at the—p. 311.

Mutineers' battery in the direction of the—captured and destroyed. p. 443.

Reid (Maj.) commanded left column of attack on the—p. 443.

Showers (Brigr.) reconnoissance of the—pp. 311, 448.

Tombs (Maj.) commanded right column of attack on the—p. 443.

Troops composing column for reconnoitring the—p. 311.

Elderton, Lieut. A., 38th N.I., doing duty 2nd Fusiliers.

Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 415, 489, & app. 156.

Elkington, Lieut. S. B., H. M.'s 61st Regt.

Wounded dangerously (since dead) on 25th Aug. at Najafgarh. pp. 362, 364, 464, 484, & app. 156.

Elliot, Lieut., Arty.

Hindun. Services at the, p. 288.

Elliott, 2nd-Lieut. M., Beng. Arty.

Wounded severely during operations in the City of Delhi. pp. 419, 487, & app. 152.

Ellis, Lieut., Carabineers.

Services of—on 19th June. p. 303.

Ellis, Lieut. N., 1st Fusiliers.

Wounded at Badli-ki-Seraj. pp. 293, 489.

Elton, Ensign R. W., 74th N. I.

Escape of—from Delhi. Account of, p. 266.

Wallace (Capt.) picked up—p. 266.

Enfield Rifles.

Bontein (Maj.) on new mode of loading—p. 37.

C-in-C. furnished with correspondence regarding new mode of loading—p. 38.

Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) opinion on new mode of loading the—p. 35.

Enfield Rifle Cartridges.

Native officers' (34th N. I.) enquiry regarding—p. 5.

Engineer Brigade.

Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) report on services of the—pp. 389, 393, 396.

Casualties among the—on the 19th June. p. 305.

—9th July among the—p. 319.

—14th July among the—p. 321.

—18th July among the—p. 336.

—12th Aug. among the—p. 349.

—15th to 20th Sep. at Delhi. p. 418.

—in the assault on Delhi. p. 414.

—heavy among officers of the—p. 393.

G. O. noticing the services of the officers and men of the—during the Siege of Delhi. p. 424.

Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by—during, p. 428.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of the—p. 379.

Engineer Corps.

Losses in officers sustained by the—p. 374.

Engineer Dept.

Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.

Engineer Officers.

Casualties on day of assault among—pp. 366, 473.

Siege batteries. Exertions of—to complete, p. 475.

Engineer Park.

Brownlow (Lieut.) in charge of—p. 396.

Casualties on the 23rd June in the—p. 309.

Engineers.

Casualties among—during siege operations at Delhi. p. 492.

Casualties among—pp. 349, 482.

Services before Delhi of the—p. 482.

English Subjects.

Khakara zemindars protected—from Delhi. p. 270.

European Artillery.

Casualties among the—in the assault on Delhi. p. 414.

— from 15th to 20th Sep. at Delhi. p. 418.

Strength of the—before Delhi. p. 463.

European Artillery (Invalids.)

Despatch of—to Allahabad. p. 269.

European Artillerymen.

Strength of—sent to reinforce the Delhi Field Force. p. 325.

European Cavalry.

Strength of the—before Delhi. p. 463.

European Corps.

Strength of—p. 466.

European Families.

Removal of—to hill stations. p. 432.

European Infantry.

Ordered from Karachi to Mooltan. p. 270.

Strength of the—before Delhi. p. 463.

European Officers.

Disrespect shown by sepoy of the 34th N. I. to their—pp. 70, 165.

European Regiments.

Lawrence (Sir John) to be asked to send down—252.

Karachi.—ordered down from, p. 255.

Mad. Govt. asked for an—p. 252.

Mooltan.—ordered from Sind to, p. 826.

Pegu authorities asked for an—p. 252.

Punjab Chief Comsr. requested to send down an—from Peshawar. p. 327.

European Sp. Court of Inquiry.

Parade ground of 34th N. I. } Composition of the
—to inquire into proceedings on, p. 132.

Guard of the 34th N. I.—to investigate conduct of the, p. 131.

Misconduct of 19th N. I. } Misstatements in depositions taken by—p. 72.

— Proceedings of —in regard to the, p. 48.

— Reassembling of—at Berhampore. pp. 62, 73.

European Troops.

Ceylon Govt. asked for—p. 270.

Hearsey (Maj.-Genl.) on policy of not having—at Barrackpore. p. 27.

Persia.—returning from, ordered to Calcutta. p. 270.

Europeans.

Killed during siege operations at Delhi. p. 493.

Missing during siege operations at Delhi. p. 493.

Wounded during siege operations at Delhi. p. 493.

Evans, Lieut. H. J., Arty.

Muter's (Capt.) notice of the services of—p. 413.

Ewart, Maj. R. S., Dy. Ass't. Adj't.-Genl.

Badli-ki-Serai. Services at, p. 291.

G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.

Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 380.

Explosion Party.—See Cashmere Gate.

F

- Fagan, Capt. R. C. H. B., Arty.**
Killed in No. IV Siege Batty. on 12th Sep. pp. 387, 475, 484, & app. 149.
Mutineers pursued and destroyed by—p. 453.
Mutineer sowers' further irruption into British camp prevented by—p. 315.
- Fairlie, Capt. J., 3rd L. C.**
Leave withheld from—247.
- Faithfull, Lieut. G. C. N., H. M.'s 75th Regt.**
Wounded on 14th July. pp. 323, 456, 488, & app. 155.
- Farquhar, Lieut.-Col., Comdg. Beluch Battn.**
Longfield's (Brigr.) notice of the services of, p. 405.
Reserve Column.—in command of detachment in the, p. 472.
- Fatehgarh Refugees.**
Massacre at Cawnpore of the—p. 307.
- "Felix."**
Siege of Delhi. Comparisons in regard to the, p. 474.
Siege operations at Delhi.—Narrative of, p. 465.
- Ferozepore.**
Beluch Battn. from Hyd. ordered to—p. 270.
European Regt. to be conveyed from Mooltan to—p. 255.
Mutiny of Native troops at—p. 431.
- Ferozepore Magazine.**
European guard ordered to be placed over—p. 430.
- Ferozepore Regt.**
Detachment left at Mirzspur. p. 253.
Marched to Allahabad. p. 253.
Relief of detachment at Chunar. p. 253.
- Field Arty., 4th Co., 6th Battn.**
Casualties on 23rd June among the—p. 309.
- Field Batteries.**
Casualties on the 19th June in the—p. 305.
- Finnis, Col., Comdg. 11th N. I.**
Murdered by mutineers at Meerut. pp. 249, 255.
- Fisher, Lieut, 2nd-in-Comd, Sir-moor Battn.**
Reid's (Maj.) notice of the services of—p. 346.
- FitzGerald, Lt. J. R. S., H. M.'s 75th Regt.**
Killed in the Cashmere Bastion breach on 14th Sep. in the assault on Delhi. pp. 365, 375, 399, 415, 484, & app. 150.
Led the column up the Cashmere Bastion breach in the assault on Delhi. p. 399.
Wounded at Badli-ki-Serai. p. 293.
- Flag-Staff Tower.**
Picquet established at the—p. 437.
Mutineers' attack on 12th June on picquet stationed at the—p. 440.
- Forrest, Lieut. G., Asst. Comy. of Ordn.**
Buckley's (Condr.) services brought to notice by—p. 274.
Delhi Magazine. Detailed report of the capture of the, by mutineers. p. 272.
———. Services acknowledged in defending the, p. 272.
Kurreeb Baksh's (Durwan) traitorous conduct brought to notice by—p. 274.
Sally's (Condr.) services brought to notice by—p. 274.
Wounds received by—p. 274.
- Fort St. George.**
Delhi news sent to—p. 251.
Meerut intelligence sent to—p. 252.
- Fort William.**
Europeans proposed for garrisoning—p. 17
King of Oude to assist in seizure of—p. 158.
Ram Lall (Subdr-Maj., 34th N. I.) intended to seize—p. 157.
Sepoys' intention to seize—p. 17.

- Frankland, Lieut. T., 48th M. N. I., 2nd-in-Comd., 2nd Punjab Infy.**
Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 417, 486, app. 157.
- Fraser, 2nd-Lieut. E., Arty.**
Wounded on 7th Aug. p. 487, app. 152.
- Fraser, Mr. Simon, Comsr., Delhi.**
Killed by mutineers at Delhi. p. 266.
- Frawley, Sergt.-Maj. J., 19th N. I.**
Character of—p. 61.
Leave granted to—p. 61.
Mitchell's (Lieut.-Col.) proposals on behalf of—p. 61.
Mutinous conduct of the 19th N. I. Detachment regarding, p. 51.
Unpopularity of—p. 61.
Warnings received by—p. 52.
- Freer, Capt. E., H. M.'s 27th Regt., Comdg. Metcalfe House Picquet.**
Showers' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 348.
Wounded during the assault on Delhi. p. 488, app. 155.
- French, Capt. L. J., H. M.'s 9th Lancers.**
Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 398.
- Frith, Lieut. J. S., Brig.-Maj., Horse Arty.**
Gaitkell's (Maj.) notice of the services of—p. 386.
Tombs' (Maj.) mention of the services of—p. 299.
- Furlough.**
N. I., 63rd. Conduct of certain men of the—in refusing to take, app. 18a & 19.
—men refuse to proceed on—app. 19.
N. I., 63rd, willing to go on—app. 20.
"Passive mutiny." Refusal to take—considered, app. 18a.
Sopos of 63rd N.I. refusing to take—pardoned. app. 22.
- Fusiliers, 1st.**
Casualties at Badli-ki-Serai among the—p. 292.
—Najafgarh among the—p. 364.
—Paharipore among the—p. 300.
—on day of assault among the—pp. 414, 473.
—on 8th June among the—p. 438.
—19th June among the—p. 305.
—22nd June among the—p. 447.
—23rd June among the—p. 309.
—9th July among the—p. 319.
—14th July among the—p. 321.
—23rd July among the—p. 441.
—1st and 2nd Aug. among the—p. 345.
—12th Aug. among the—pp. 349, 461.
—from 15th to 20th Sep. at Delhi. p. 418.
—on 14th Sep. among officers of the—p. 366.
—during siege operations at Delhi. p. 492.
Departure from the hills of the—p. 269.
Losses severe in the—p. 366.
Marched from the hills—pp. 269, 253, 254, 256.
Meerut.—ordered down to, p. 252.
Mutineers driven out of Subzee Mundee by—p. 297.
Ordered to Umballa. p. 256.
Showers' (Brigr.) notice of the gallant conduct of the—p. 348.
Warned to move down to Umballa. p. 430.
- Fusiliers, 2nd.**
Arrival at Umballa of the—431.
Casualties among the—at Badli-ki-Serai. p. 292.
—in the assault on Delhi. p. 414.
—in action on 8th June among the—p. 438.

- Fusiliers, 2nd.—(Contd.)**
 Casualties on 22nd June among the—
 p. 447.
 —23rd June among the—p. 309.
 —27th June among the—
 p. 447.
 —30th June among the—
 p. 319.
 —9th July among the—p. 319.
 —14th July among the—p. 322.
 —18th July among the—p. 336.
 —1st and 2nd Aug. among the—
 p. 345.
 —12th Aug. among the—p. 349.
 —from 15th to 20th Sep. at
 Delhi. p. 418.
 —during siege operations at Delhi.
 p. 492.
 March ordered of the—p. 278.
 Held in readiness. p. 256.
 Ordered to Umballa. p. 430.
- Futteh Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Fuzzur Ally Khan, Trooper, 3rd
 L. C.**
 Cartridges. Statement regarding, p. 235.
- Fyzabad.**
 Delhi king posted troops at—p. 256.
- Fyze Khan, Jemdr., 3rd L. C.**
 L. C. (3rd). Member of the Court of
 Inquiry to investigate conduct of men
 of the, p. 230.
 Troopers of 3rd L. C. Member of the
 Court of Inquiry for the trial of,
 app. 140.
- G**
- Gabbett, Lieut, H. M.'s 61st Regt.**
 Killed at Najafgarh. pp. 361, 364, 464,
 484, & app. 130.
- Gaitskell, Maj. F., Arty.**
 Arty. Brigade. Report of operations of
 the, from 6th to 14th Sep. p. 384.
 —'s operations on 14th Sep.
 Report of, p. 387.
 G. O. noticing the services of—p. 423.
- Gaitskell, Maj. F., Arty.—(Contd.)**
 Operations of the Arty. under—p. 371.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the ser-
 vices of—p. 378.
- Gambier, Lieut. C. H. F., 38th N. I.,
 attached to 2nd Fusiliers.**
 Wounded dangerously during the assault
 on Delhi. pp. 375, 415, 485, & app. 151.
- Garbett, Brigr., Arty.**
 Disabled by a wound from taking part in
 the assault on Delhi. pp. 378, 423, 487,
 & app. 152.
- Garstin Bastion.**
 Captured on 20th Sep. p. 480.
 Ordnance captured at the—p. 421.
- Garstin, Capt. H. M., Dy. Asst.
 Qmrm.-Genl. of the Army.**
 G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
 Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) favorable notice of
 the services of—p. 317.
 ————thanks to—p. 330.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the ser-
 vices of—p. 380.
- General Courts-Martial.**
 Commanding officers empowered to con-
 vene—p. 270.
 Number of officers to constitute—p. 270.
 Sentences of—. Powers in regard to con-
 firmation and execution of, p. 270.
- G. O.**
 Badli-ki-Serai. British troops thanked
 for their success at, p. 427.
 Capture of Delhi announced in—p. 367.
 Delhi Magazine. Publishing occurrences
 at the, p. 272.
 Despatches relating to the siege operations
 at Delhi ordered to be made public
 by—p. 427.
 Disbandment of the 19th N. I. published
 in—p. 94.
 ———— 34th N. I. promul-
 gated in—p. 222.
 Ghazi-nd-din-Neggur. Troops thanked
 for gallant conduct at, p. 427.
 Lawrence's (Sir John) services acknow-
 ledged in—p. 368.

G. O.—(Contd.)

- Mitchell's (Lieut.-Col.) explanations regarding the reading of—app. 15.
- New cartridges.—circulated abolishing, p. 280.
- Officers' services noticed in—pp. 423, 427.
- Religious prejudices of the Native army.—regarding non-interference with the, p. 225.
- Rewards for conspicuous loyalty and gallantry.—empowering officers to confer, p. 271.
- Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) despatch published in—p. 370.
- Geneste, Lieut. M. G., Engrs., Bom.**
Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) notice of the services of—in action at Najafgarh, pp. 263, 456.
Wounded on 14th July, pp. 323, 487, & app. 153.
- Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggur.**
Andrews (Capt.) killed at—pp. 284, 286.
Barchard's (Lieut.) services at—p. 285.
Bong. Arty.'s gallant conduct at—noticed in G. O. p. 427.
Carabineers' gallant conduct at—noticed in G. O. p. 427.
Casualties at—. Return of, p. 286.
Custance's (Lieut.-Col.) services at—p. 285.
DeBourbel (Lieut.) wounded at—p. 286.
Force to occupy—p. 258.
Greathed's (Mr.) services at—p. 285.
Hamilton's (Capt.) services at—p. 285.
H. M.'s 60th Rifles' gallant conduct at—noticed in G. O. p. 421.
Johnson's (Capt.) services in action at—p. 285.
Jones' (Lieut.-Col.) services at—p. 285.
Mackenzie's (Lieut.-Col.) services at—p. 285.
Ordnance, carriages, and stores captured at—p. 286.
Russell's (Capt.) services at—p. 285.
Scott's (Maj.) services at—p. 285.
Tamba' (Maj.) services at—p. 285.
Troops engaged at—thanked in G. O. p. 427.

Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggur.—(Contd.)

- Wilson's (Brigr.) force to occupy—p. 258.
———gained actions at—p. 433.
———report of his action at—p. 284.
- Ghulam Mohamed Shaikh, Sub-Maj., 2nd Grds.**
Bahadur } Deposition regarding ab-
Sing } Sepoys, } sentance from
and } 2nd Gre- } the fort of,
Boodhellal } nadiers. } app. 43.
Tewary }
- Ghulam Nubbee Khan, Havr., 3rd L. C.**
Cartridges received by—pp. 228, 231.
- Gillespie, 1st-Lieut. A., Arty.**
Wounded on 11th Sep. p. 487, app. 152.
- Glazed Paper.**
Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) address to Native corps regarding—p. 82.
N. I., 19th, object to—p. 43.
Sepoys' suspicious in regard to—p. 15.
Testing of—p. 43.
- Gobind Tewary Sepoy, L. Co., 19th N. I.**
Subadar's absence brought to notice by—p. 56.
- Golaub Khan, Jemdr., 2nd Co., 2nd Grds.**
Cartridge paper. Objection about, p. 11.
- Golaub Khan, Subdr., 3rd L. C.**
L. C. (3rd). Member of the Court of Inquiry to investigate the conduct of men of, p. 230.
Troopers of 3rd L. C. Members of the Court of Inquiry for the trial of, app. 140.
- Goojars.**
British officers killed in their flight from Delhi by—pp. 257, 270.
- Goorbuccus Sing, Havr., 34th N. I.**
Services retained on disbandment of his corps. pp. 213, 224.

- Goordut Sing, Sepoy, 1st Co., 70th N. I.**
Adhar Sing (Sepoy) recognised—in the assembly. app. 110.
- Gordon, Capt., H. M.'s 61st Regt.**
Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) notice of the services of—at Najafgarh. p. 363.
- Gordon, Capt., 74th N. I.**
Killed by mutineers at Delhi. p. 266.
- Gough, Lieut. C. J. S., 8th L. Cavy.**
Hodson's (Lieut.) notice of the services of—p. 355.
- Gough, Lieut. H. H., 3rd L. C.; attached to Hodson's Horse.**
Hodson's (Lieut.) notice of the services of—355.
Wounded on 15th August at Khurkowdeh. pp. 352, 357.
Wounded at Rohtack. pp. 463, 489, & app. 154.
- G.-G. in C.**
Lawrence's (Sir John) services acknowledged by—p. 368.
Mitchell's (Lieut.-Col.) conduct on outbreak of 19th N. I. reviewed in Minute by—app. 16.
Mutinous proceeding of 19th N. I. Minutes on, p. 87.
"Passive mutiny" of sepoys of 63rd N. I. Minute regarding, app. 22.
- Gowree Sunkur, Subdr., 70th N. I.**
Salickram Sing's (Jemadar) conversation with—app. 113.
- Grant, Brigr. C., C.B., Comdg. at Barrackpore.**
Cartridge paper. Instructions about taking evidence regarding objections to the, p. 13.
Mitchell (Lieut.-Col.). President of the Special Court of Inquiry in regard to, app. 4.
Sepoy delegates. Report regarding meeting of, p. 19.
Sepoy's grievances. Parade ordered for ascertaining, p. 5.
- Grant, Brigr. C., C.B., Comdg. at Barrackpore.—(Contd.)**
Trustworthiness of 34th N. I. President of the Court of Inquiry in regard to, p. 161.
- Grant, Brigr. J. H., Comdg. Cavy. Bde., Delhi Field Force.**
Action fought on the 19th June. Report of, p. 303.
Anson's (Capt.) services brought to notice by—p. 304.
Artillery employed with troops under—p. 444.
Badli-ki-Serai. Rear column of attack under—p. 290.
Bishop's (Lieut.) services brought to notice by—p. 304.
Casualties on 19th June in the column under—p. 445.
Cavalry Brig. commanded by—in the assault on Delhi. p. 375.
———— operations on 14th Sep. p. 397.
Daly's (Capt.) services brought to notice by—p. 304.
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
Gun brought in by—p. 444.
— captured by—p. 304.
Hancock's (Pte., 9th Lancers) services brought to notice by—p. 303.
Horse shot under—p. 445.
Jones' (Lieut.) services brought to notice by—p. 304.
Life of—saved by certain men. p. 445.
Money's (Capt.) services brought to notice by—p. 304.
Movements of the column under—p. 472.
Mutineers' attack in rear of camp on 19th June repulsed by troops under command of—p. 444.
Parcell's (Pte., 9th Lancers) services brought to notice by—p. 303.
Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.
Scott's (Maj.) services brought to notice by—p. 304.
Roopur Khan's (Sowar, 4th Irr.) services brought to notice by—p. 303.

- Grant, Brigr. J. H., Comdg. Cavy. Bde., Delhi Field Force.—**
 (Contd.)
 Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by—during, p. 427.
 Tombs' (Maj.) services brought to notice by—p. 304.
 Troops composing left column of attack under—p. 435.
 Turner's (Maj.) services brought to notice by—p. 304.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 379.
 Work assigned to Cavy. Brig. under—p. 476.
- Grant, Hon'ble J. P.**
 Mutiny of 19th N. I. Minute on, p. 87.
 Untrustworthiness of 34th N. I. Minute on, p. 215.
- Grant, Sir Patrick, C.-in-C.**
 Hewitt's (Maj.-Genl.) removal from Meerut Command. Concurrence in, p. 259.
- Graves, Brigr.**
 Badli-ki-Seral. Left column of attack under command of—p. 291.
 Badli-ki-Sersi. Troops under—in action at, p. 435.
- Gray, Lieut.**
 Battery (No. II).—worked in, p. 470.
- Graydon, Capt. W., 16th N. I., attached to 1st Fusiliers.**
 Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 417, 490, & app. 156.
- Greased Cartridges.**
 Abbot's (Col.) deposition regarding—app. 67.
 Currie's (Lieut.) deposition regarding composition used for—app. 66.
 ————— issue of—app. 65.
- Greathed, Lieut. W. W. H., Engrs.**
 Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) notice of the services of—as directing Field Engineer. p. 394.
 Cashmere Bastion Breach examined by—p. 392.
- Greathed, Lieut. W. W. H., Engrs.**
 —(Contd.)
 Column of Assault No. II.—accompanied, pp. 393, 471.
 Jones' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 401.
 Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.
 Water Bastion Breach examined and reported practicable by—pp. 392, 470.
 Wounded very severely during assault on Delhi. pp. 366, 375, 394, 401, 415, 474, 487, & app. 153.
- Greathed, Lt.-Col., Comdg. H. M.'s 8th Regt.**
 Column of Assault No. II.—commanded detachment in the, p. 471.
 Column of pursuit formed under—, pp. 369, 377.
 Jones' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 401.
 Moveable column formed under—p. 181.
 Showers' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 340.
 ————— relieved of command when wounded by—p. 461.
 Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by—during, p. 427.
- Greathed, Mr. W. H., Comsr., Meerut.**
 Ballabgarh (Rajah) addressed by—p. 296.
 Bungalow of—at Meerut burnt. p. 249.
 Death of—381.
 Delhi Field Force. Report of proceedings of, p. 295.
 Delhi mutineers' proceedings reported by—p. 357.
 G. O. noticing the services of the late—p. 425.
 Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggur. Services at, p. 285.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of the late—p. 381.
- Green, Capt. G. W. G., 2nd Fus., Comdg. 2nd Punjab Infy.**
 Column of Assault No. I.—commanded detachment in, p. 470.
 Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) notice of the services of—at Najafgarh. p. 363.

- Green, Capt. G. W. G., 2nd Fus., Comdg. 2nd Punjab Infy.—**
(Contd.)
Wounded during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 417, 486, & app. 149.
- Greene, Capt. G. N., 70th N. I.**
Cartridge paper. Member of the Court of Inquiry regarding objections to the use of, p. 7.
Mitchell (Lieut.-Col.). Member of the Special Court of Enquiry in regard to, app. 4.
N. I., 34th. Member of the Court to enquire into proceedings in the lines of the, p. 132.
Trustworthiness of the 34th N. I. Interpreter to the Court of Inquiry in regard to, p. 161.
- Greensill, Capt., Asst. Field Engr., H. M.'s 24th Regt.**
Accidentally wounded (since dead) on 20th July. pp. 339, 458, 484, & app. 149.
- Greville, Capt. S., 1st Fusiliers.**
Showers' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 348.
Wounded at Badli-ki-Sersi. p. 293.
—on 12th Aug. pp. 352, 461.
—severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 416, 489, & app. 156.
- Grierson, Lieut. W., 70th N. I.**
Durrion Sing } —Interpreter to
(Jemdr.) and } the Court ap-
Ram Sahai Lalla } pointed to take
(Sepoy) } the deposition
of, p. 155.
Shaik Pultoo's (Havdr., 34th N. I.) claim to Order of Merit. Interpreter to the Board to enquire into, p. 128.
- Griffiths, Lieut. C. J., H. M.'s 61st Regt.**
Wounded severely on 9th July. pp. 320, 454, 488, & app. 155.
- Guide Cavy.**
Casualties at Najafgarh among the—p. 364.
—on 9th June among the—p. 440.
—19th June among the—p. 445.
—4th July among the—p. 314.
—12th Aug. among the—p. 349.
—15th to 19th Aug. among the—p. 356.
—during the assault on Delhi. pp. 398, 414.
—det. of the—at Rhotuck. p. 462.
Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the soldier-like conduct of the—p. 398.
Tombs' (Maj.) mention of the services of the—p. 299.
- Guide Corps.**
Arrival at Delhi of the—pp. 283, 439.
Casualties among the—p. 482.
—on the 19th June. p. 305.
—22nd June. p. 447.
—23rd June. p. 309.
—9th July. p. 319.
—14th July. p. 322.
—18th July. p. 336.
—21st July. p. 338.
—23rd July. p. 341.
—1st & 2nd Aug.—p. 345.
—at Foharipore. p. 300.
—in defending the main picquet. p. 294.
—during siege operations at Delhi. p. 492.
Chief Comr., Punjab, to send down the—p. 269.
Daily engaged in combats. p. 482.
Famous march from Mardan of the—p. 439.
Mutineer horsemen's further irruption into British camp prevented by—p. 316.
Ordered down to Karnaal. p. 280.

Guide Corps.—(Contd.)

Reld's (Maj.) notice of the services of officers of the—p. 346.
Services in action of the 19th June. p. 304.

Guide Infantry.

Beluch Batta. afforded assistance to—p. 397.
Beluch Batta. wing rescued a party of the—p. 478.
Casualties among the—in the assault on Delhi. p. 414.
Cavy. Brigade assisted by—p. 397.
"Sammy House" defended by—p. 456.

Gungabissun Chowby, Subdr., 19th N. I.

Cartridges. Statement of—concerning. p. 77.

Gunga Deen Doobey, Subdr., 20th N. I.

L.C. (3rd). Member of the Court of Inquiry to investigate conduct of men of, p. 230.
Troopers, 3rd L.C. Member of the Court of Inquiry for the trial of, app. 140.

Gunness Gwala, Sepoy, 2nd Grds.

Boodhelal Tewary's (Sepoy, Grds.) examination of—app. 45.

Gunness Lalla, Jemdr., 34th N. I.

Darriow Sing's (Jemadar) deposition regarding treasonable proceedings of—p. 156.

Maan Sing (Rajah) written to by—p. 166.

Mungul Pandey (Sepoy) addressed by—p. 134.

_____ remonstrated with by—p. 134.

_____ required by—to throw down his arms. p. 145.

Gunness Pandey, Sepoy, 3rd Co., 70th N. I.

Assembly in hut of Jemadar Salickram Sing. Depositions relating to, app. 105.
Hyat Bux Khan (Pay-Havildar) seen by—app. 106.

Gunness Pandey, Sepoy, 3rd Co., 70th N. I.—(Contd.)

Jhoomuck Sing (Drill-Lance-Naick). Statement regarding, app. 106.
Kennedy's (Col.) statement about the evidence to be given by—app. 107.
Salickram Sing (Jemdr.) threatened—app. 107.

Gunness Sing, Jemdr., 10th Co., 2nd Grds.

Cartridge paper.—objections about, p. 10.

Gunness Sing, Subdr., 20th N. I.

L. C. (3rd). Member of the Court of Inquiry to investigate the conduct of men of, p. 230.
Troopers of 3rd L. C. Members of the Court of Inquiry for the trial of, app. 140.

Gunness Tewary, Sepoy, 1st Co., 70th N. I.

Lutchmun Doobey (Naick) confirms statement of—regarding thatching of huts. app. 94.
Madho Sing (Pay-Havildar) confirmed statement of—regarding thatching of huts. app. 75.
Thatching huts—. Statement regarding order for, app. 92.

Gurkha Battalion.

Badli-ki-Soral. Praiseworthy conduct of the—at, p. 289.
Mutineers invite—to join them. p. 294.

Gustavinski, Local Ensign L., Beng. Sap. and Miners.

Column of Assault No. II.—accompanied, p. 393.
Wounded very severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 415, 488, & app. 153.

Gwalior.

Delhi mutineers intend moving towards—p. 366.
Military aid received from—p. 269.

Gwalior Cavalry Corps.

Doab to be cleared of plundering tribes by—p. 257.

- Gwalior Contingent.**
 Infy. regt. (7th) joined Delhi mutineers.
 p. 449.
 Treasure from Bolendehahur escorted by
 Ressalla of—p. 271.
- Gwalior Troops.**
 Intelligence regarding—p. 366.
 Movements of the—p. 333.
- H**
- Hall, Capt., 4th L. C.**
 Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of
 —p. 398.
 Horse shot under—399.
- Hallifax, Brigr., H. M.'s 75th Regt.**
 Death of—p. 434.
 Umballa Force (1st Bde.) under command
 of—p. 275.
- Hamilton, Capt., Brig.-Maj., Cavy.
 Brig.**
 Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—
 p. 398.
 Horse shot under—398.
- Hamilton, Capt. A., Offg. Dy. Asst.
 Qrmr.-Genl.**
 Ghari-din-Nuggur. Services at, p. 285.
- Hancock, Pte. T., 9th Lancers.**
 Victoria Cross proposed for—p. 302.
- Hansi.**
 Hodson (Lieut.) detached to intercept in-
 surgent cavy. moving towards—p. 462.
 ————— to enlist horsemen at—
 p. 280.
 Irr. Cavy. (4th) ordered from—p. 269.
 ————— to be in readiness to move
 from—p. 256.
- Hare, Lieut. R. T., Arty.**
 Wounded at Badli-ki-Serai. p. 293.
 Wounded during siege of Delhi. p. 487 &
 app. 152.
- Hare, Surgn. E., 2nd Fusiliers.**
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the
 services of—p. 382.
- Harriott, Major F. J., Dy. Judge
 Adv.-Genl.**
 L.C. (3rd).—attends Court of Inquiry to
 investigate conduct of men of, p. 230.
- Harris, Capt. W. D., Comdg. det.,
 2nd Fus.**
 Showers' (Brigr.) notice of the services
 of—p. 348.
- Harris, Lieut. J. T., 2nd Fusiliers.**
 Wounded severely on 27th June. pp. 447,
 489 & app. 156.
- Harris, Lieut. P. H. F., Adjt.,
 70th N.I.**
 Cartridge. Statement regarding order
 read about, app. 82.
 Salikram Sing's (Jemadar) call at the
 Adjt.'s bungalow. Statement regard-
 ing, app. 118.
 Thatching huts of No. 1 Company. State-
 ment regarding, app. 84.
- Harrison, Lieut. A., H. M.'s 75th
 Regt.**
 Killed at Badli-ki-Serai. pp. 293, 484, &
 app. 150.
- Hatch, Capt. G. C.**
 Durriow Sing (Jemdr.) } Judge-Adv. of
 and } the Court ap-
 pointed to take
 Ram Sahai Lalla (Sepoy) } the depositions
 of, p. 155.
 Issuree Pandey (Jemdr., 34th N.I.,)
 Judge-Adv. of the Court for the trial of,
 p. 178.
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N. I.), Judge-
 Adv. at the trial of, p. 113.
- Hattrass.**
 Force collecting at—p. 258.
 Party out towards—p. 365.
- Hawes, Lieut. A. B., 38th N. I.**
 Wounded on 14th July. p. 323.
- Hawes, Lieut. C. W., 43rd N. I.,
 Adjt., Guide Corps.**
 Reid's (Maj.) notice of the services of,
 p. 346.
 Wounded while on picquet duty. pp. 346,
 450, 487, & app. 157.

- Hawkey, Capt. J. P. P. T., 74th N. I.**
Escape of—from Delhi. p. 26.
- Hawthorne, Bugler B., H.M.'s 52nd I. I.**
Campbell's (Col.) notice of the services of—p. 404.
Explosion party.—was one of the, p. 394.
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 426.
Homes' (Lieut.) notice of the humane conduct of—p. 395.
Salkeld's (Lieut.) wounds bound up by—p. 395.
- Hay, Capt. J. C., 60th N. I., attached to 2nd Fusiliers.**
Wounded dangerously during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 415, 490, & app. 156.
- Head-Quarters Staff.**
Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to officers of the—p. 329.
- Hearsey, Lieut. J., 84th N. I.**
Occurrences at the gr.-guard on 29th Mar. Statement regarding, p. 204.
- Hearsey, Maj.-Gl. J. B., Comdg. Pres. Dn.**
Barrackpore. C-in-C. informed regarding state of affairs at, p. 32.
——— troops reported to be discontented. p. 39.
Cartridges. Bazar ingredients for, recommended by—p. 1.
Cartridge paper. Report regarding sepoy's suspicion about, p. 14.
Christianity. Barrackpore troops addressed by—in regard to, p. 102.
——— explained to Native corps at Barrackpore by—p. 27.
Comdg. Officer, H. M.'s 53rd. Complaint against proceedings of the, p. 5.
Delay in submission of his reports explained. pp. 28, 29.
Disaffection in Native Corps at Barrackpore. Detailed report regarding, p. 24.
——— of Native troops at Barrackpore. Govt. instructions to—regarding, p. 28.
- Hearsey, Maj.-Gl. J. B., Comdg. Pres. Dn.—(Contd.)**
Disbandment of the 19th N. I. Detailed report of the, p. 100.
——— to be carried out by—p. 93.
——— Govt. thanks—for services on the, p. 105.
Disbandment of the 4th N. I. to be carried out by—p. 221.
Dum-Dum Depot. Report of the unpleasant feeling among men of the—p. 1.
Enfield rifles. New mode proposed of loading, p. 35.
European troops at Barrackpore. Opinion on policy of not having—p. 27.
Glazed paper. Barrackpore troops addressed by—regarding, p. 82.
Ill-feeling among Native regts. at Barrackpore reported by—p. 4.
Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N. I.). Detailed report of the mutinous proceedings of, p. 109.
Native corps at Barrackpore. Comdts.' report on the feelings of their men, p. 30.
N. I., 2nd Grds., reported as disaffected by—p. 16.
N. I., 63rd. Suggestion for punishing ringleaders in, for refusing to take furla. app. 18a.
Native officers' responsibility. p. 27.
Native troops at Barrackpore. Questionable policy of having only—p. 20.
——— addressed by—p. 81.
Petition for pardon of the disbanded 19th N. I. Reply to, p. 103.
Powers to confirm sentence of death. p. 208.
Religious prejudices. Native troops addressed regarding their, p. 20.
Sepoys. Opinion in regard to, being tampered at Calcutta. p. 16.
Sepoy delegates' meetings. Measures adopted with regard to, p. 15.
Troops ordered to their cantonments by—p. 220.

- Hearsey, Maj.-Gl. J. B., Comdg. Pres. Dn.—(Contd.)**
 Wing of 2nd N. I. to be withdrawn from Rancegunge recommended by—p. 6.
- Heathcote, Ensign A. S., H. M.'s 60th Rifles.**
 Wounded during siege of Delhi. p. 498 & app. 155.
- Heeralall, Sepoy Musn., 19th N. I.**
 Mutinous conduct of the 19th N. I. Deposition regarding, p. 60.
- Heeralall Tewary, Sepoy, 34th N. I.**
 Atma Sing's (Sikh Sepoy) statement of the conduct of—p. 198.
 Baugh (Lieut.) struck down by—pp. 189, 193, 195, 196, 197, 198.
 Gunness Lalla's (Jemadar) deposition regarding—p. 136.
 Hewson's (Sergt.-Maj.) deposition regarding—p. 120.
 ———— struck down by—pp. 138, 186, 189, 193, 195, 196, 197, 198, 200.
 ———— suspicions of being struck by—p. 146.
 Issuree Pandey's (Jemadar) conduct towards—on return to the quarter-guard. pp. 195, 198, 200.
 Mehee Lall's (Sepoy) statement regarding conduct of—pp. 155, 200.
 Sewbuccus Tewary's (Sepoy) statement regarding—p. 154.
 Bobha Sing's (Sikh Sepoy) statement regarding conduct of—p. 195.
- Heera Sing, Havdr., 3rd L. C.**
 Cartridges received by—pp. 228, 231.
- Heera Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Henderson, Capt. D. H., 20th N. I.**
 Murdered at Meerut by mutineers. p. 255.
- H. M.'s 8th Regt.**
 Casualties on 9th July among the—p. 319.
 ———— 14th July among the—p. 322.
 ———— 18th July among the—p. 336.
 ———— operations of 21st July among the—p. 338.
- H. M.'s 8th Regt.—(Contd.)**
 Casualties on operations on 23rd July among the—p. 341.
 ———— of 12th Aug. among the—p. 349.
 ———— from 15th to 20th Sep. at Delhi. p. 418.
 ———— during the assault on Delhi. p. 414.
 ———— 14th Sep. among officers of—p. 366.
 ———— during siege operations at Delhi. p. 492.
 Reid's (Maj.) notice of the services of the —p. 346.
 Strength of—when sent to reinforce the Delhi Field Force. p. 325.
- H. M.'s 52nd Light Infy.**
 Campbell's (Col.) notice of the gallant conduct of—403.
 Casualties during the assault on Delhi. p. 414.
 ———— from 15th to 20th Sep. at Delhi. p. 418.
- H. M.'s 53rd Regt.**
 Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) complaint against proceedings of the Comdg. Officer—p. 5.
- H. M.'s 60th Royal Rifles.**
 Casualties among the—482.
 ———— at Badli-ki-Serai. p. 292.
 ———— during assault on Delhi among—p. 414.
 ———— at Ghazi-ud-din-Naggur. p. 286.
 ———— opposite the Hindun. p. 288.
 ———— in the attack on Kiseengunge. p. 301.
 ———— at Paharipore. p. 299.
 ———— on 8th June among—p. 438.
 ———— 19th June among—pp. 305, 445.
 ———— 23rd June among—p. 309.
 ———— 30th June among—p. 311.
 ———— 2nd July among—p. 312.
 ———— 9th July among—p. 319.
 ———— 14th July among—p. 322.
 ———— 18th July among—p. 336.

H. M.'s 60th Royal Rifles.—(Contd.)

Casualties on 1st & 2nd August among—
p. 345.

—during siege operations at Delhi.
p. 418.

—during siege operations at Delhi.
p. 492.

Daily engaged in combats. p. 482.

Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggur, G. O. noticing conduct of the—at, p. 427.

Reid's (Maj.) report on distinguished services of detachments of the—p. 346.

H. M.'s 61st Regt.

Casualties during the assault on Delhi among—p. 414.

—at Najafgarh among—p. 364.

—on 4th July among—p. 314.

—9th July among—p. 319.

—14th July among—p. 322.

—18th July among—p. 336.

—23rd July among—p. 341.

—1st & 2nd Aug. among—
p. 345.

—from 15th to 20th Sep. at
Delhi. p. 418.

—among—during siege operations
at Delhi. p. 492.

Boid's (Maj.) notice of the services of
the—p. 346.

Strength of the—when sent to reinforce
the Delhi Field Force. p. 325.

H. M.'s 75th Regt.

Arrival at Umballa of—p. 278.

Badi-ki-Serai Charge at, p. 291.

Barnard (Capt.) warned—to be in readiness. p. 429.

Casualties at Badi-ki-Serai among—
p. 292.

—during assault on Delhi among—
p. 414.

—on 8th June among—p. 438.

—19th June among—p. 305.

—23rd June among—p. 309.

—14th July among—p. 321.

—18th July among—p. 336.

—23rd July among—p. 341.

—12th Aug. among—p. 349.

H. M.'s 75th Regt.—(Contd.)

Casualties from 15th to 20th Sep. at
Delhi. p. 418.

—during siege operations at Delhi
among—p. 492.

Departure from the hills of the—p. 269.

Kurnaul the destination of—pp. 252, 254,
269.

March of the—from the hills. pp. 253,
254, 256, 269.

Meerut.—ordered to, p. 250.

—authorities requisition for—p. 270.

Ordered to Umballa. pp. 256, 430.

H. M.'s 84th Regt.

Arrival at Barrackpore of—p. 107.

Herbert, Lt.-Col., H. M.'s 75th Regt.

Badi-ki-Serai, Services at, p. 291.

Column of Assault No. 1.—commanded
det. in, p. 470.

Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered
by—during, p. 427.

Wounded at Badi-ki-Serai. p. 293.

Wounded at the Cashmere Bastion glacis
on the 14th Sep. pp. 375, 399, 415,
488, & app. 155.

Hewitt, Maj.-Gen. W. H., Comdg.

Meerut Dn.

Additional troops.—required to forward,
p. 276.

Anson (Genl.) requisitioned—for a force.
p. 275.

Bungalow at Meerut belonging to—destroyed
by fire. p. 249.

Cartridges refused by 3rd L. C. Report
regarding, p. 227.

C.-in-C. concurs in the removal of—from
command of the Meerut Dn. p. 259.

—proposes to call for explanation
from—p. 260.

Craigie's (Capt.) objectionable letter.
Explanation in regard to having forwarded,
p. 246.

L. C. (Srd). Proceedings of Native Court
of Inquiry on conduct of men of the,
p. 229.

—Enquiry regarding conduct
of, p. 250.

- Hewitt, Maj.-Genl. W. H., Comdg. Meerut Dn.—(Contd.)**
 Meerut Command. Removal of—from, p. 259.
 Meerut occurrences.—questioned regarding, p. 253.
 Mutiny at Meerut. Report of the outbreak of the, p. 249.
 Native Arty. recruits. Explanation regarding discharge of, p. 246.
 Native Genl. Court-martial to be convened by—p. 240.
 Native Infy. regts. at Meerut. Insubordination not spread to, p. 247.
 Sentence on men of 3rd L. C. Instructed in regard to carrying out, p. 240.
 Sirmoor Battrn.'s services brought to notice by—p. 258.
 Wing of 4th Ir. Cavy. called for by—p. 152.
 Wilson (Maj.-Genl.) being entrusted with military arrangements as Brigr. of the station. Statement of—regarding, p. 262.
- Hewson, Sergt.-Maj. J. T., 34th N. I.**
 Assault of sepoy of the gr.-guard on—Particulars of the, p. 186.
 Heralall Towary (Sepoy) of the gr.-guard struck—, pp. 138, 186, 189, 193, 195, 196, 197, 198, 209.
 _____suspected of having struck—p. 120.
 Jemadar of the gr.-guard witnessed assault on—p. 196.
 Mungul Pandey's (Sepoy, 34th N.I.) Deposition regarding mutineers' proceedings of—p. 117.
 _____Gallant conduct of—in conflict with, p. 106.
 _____fired at—pp. 133, 185.
 _____requested—to "Get out of the way", p. 144.
 _____murderous assault on—p. 118.
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N.I.), wounded. pp. 139, 145.
- Hewson, Sergt.-Maj. J. T., 34th N. I.—(Contd.)**
 N. I. (34th). Deposition regarding occurrences on the parade ground of the, p. 144.
 Gr.-guard failed to assist—p. 119.
 Sepoys of the gr.-guard (34th N.I.) assaulted—, pp. 119, 125, 130, 133, 142, 145, 193.
 _____prevented from going to the rescue of—p. 197.
 Shot fired from the lines at—pp. 119, 146.
 _____gr.-guard at—p. 142.
 Sobha Sing's (Sepoy) statement of a sepoy striking—p. 153.
 Wounds received by—p. 120.
- Hildebrand, Lieut. E. H., Arty.**
 Killed in No. II siege baty. on 8th Sep. pp. 387, 484, & app. 149.
- Hills, Lieut. Jas., 2nd T., 1st Brig., Horse Arty.**
 Gallant conduct of—p. 452.
 Mackenzie's (Lieut.-Col.) report on gallant conduct of—p. 317.
 Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) report on heroic conduct of—p. 316.
 Siege of Delhi. G.O. on daring conduct of—during, p. 428.
 Tombs (Maj.) rescued—p. 453.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) recommendation on behalf of—p. 317.
 Wounded in an encounter with a mutineer. pp. 381, 453, 454, 487, & app. 152.
- Hindun.**
 Ammunition wagon of the enemy destroyed at the—p. 288.
 Casualties at the—. Numerical return of, p. 288.
 Elliot's (Lieut.) services at the—p. 288.
 Light's (Lieut.) services at the—p. 288.
 Maunsell's (Lieut.) services at the—p. 288.
 Sappers and Miners' services at the—p. 288.
 Wilson's (Brigr.) report of his action with the mutineers posted on the opposite side of the—p. 287.

Hindun Bridge.

L.C. (3rd) party in possession of the—
p. 268.

Hindu Rao's House.

Battery's losses at the picquet in—p. 447.

Casualties on the 23rd June in defending
position at—p. 309.

Force established at—p. 437.

Heavy guns taken up for battery at—
p. 437.

Insurgents' attack on—repulsed. p. 437

Mutineers' artillery fire principally direct-
ed against—p. 442.

—attack on right of—p. 440.

—repeated attacks on picquet at
—p. 343.

Picquet established at—p. 437.

Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) report of the muti-
neers' attack on picquet at—on 14th
July. p. 320.

Reid (Maj.) held position at—throughout
the siege. p. 443.

—in command of picquet at—
p. 442.

—brave defence of—p. 343.

Troops composing picquet at—p. 442.

—forming picquet on 14th July at—
p. 320.

Hindu Rao's Picquets.

Mutineers' repeated attacks on—repulsed.
pp. 315 441, 448.

Hindu Rao's Ridge.

Beluch Battn. detached to support—p. 477

Kissengunge batteries of insurgents
played on—p. 476.

Mutineers' repeated attacks on battery
on—pp. 445, 455, 460.

Hindu Sepoys, 34th N. I.

Distrust of—. Court's opinion on, pp. 169,
174.

Hodson, Lieut. W. S. E.

Abulbakt (Delhi Prince) captured and
shot by—pp. 369, 378.

Babur Khan, Chief of Rangarh. Skirmish
with, p. 462.

Barnard's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the ser-
vices of—p. 293.

Hodson, Lieut. W. S. E.—(Contd.)

Bisharat Ali (Ressaldar, 1st Irr. Cavy.)
killed by—p. 352.

Cavy. det. formed under—p. 352.

Delhi Gate secured by—p. 480.

—King captured on 21st Sep. by—
p. 480.

—surrendered to—p. 378.

—Princes captured and shot by—
pp. 367, 369, 378, & 480.

Expedition to Rhotuck.—report of, p. 352.

Force detached with—to Rhotuck. p. 462.

G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.

Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services
of—p. 398.

Horsemen to be enlisted by—p. 290.

Insurgent cavalry.—detached to watch,
p. 462.

Irr. Cavy. men on leave destroyed at
Khurkowdeh by—p. 352.

Jhind (Rajah) sent reinforcements to—
p. 355.

—to be assisted by—p. 351.

Khair Sultān (Delhi Prince) captured and
shot by—pp. 367, 369, 378, & 480.

Mutineers' movements.—sent out to watch,
p. 358.

Mirza Moghal (Delhi Prince) captured
and shot by—pp. 367, 369, 378, & 480.

Rangurh horsemen defeated by—p. 353.

Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 303.

Rhotuck. Report of the results of his
expedition to, p. 352.

Seaton's (Lieut.-Col.) report on services of
—p. 398.

Siege of Delhi. G.O. on services ren-
dered by—during, p. 428.

Soneput to be protected by—p. 351.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the ser-
vices of—p. 380.

Hodson's Horse.

Casualties among—on 14th July. p. 322.

—from 15th to 19th

Aug. in—p. 356.

—during the assault on Delhi
among—p. 414.

—at Rhotuck among—p. 463.

Hodson's Horse.—(Contd.)

Casualties during siege operations at Delhi among—p. 492.

Hogge, Lieut.-Col., Comy. of Ordn.

Gaitkell's (Maj.) notice of the services of—p. 386.

G. O. noticing the services of—p. 423.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 379.

Homal Sing, Subdr., 70th N. I.

Salickram Sing (Jemdr., 70th N. I.)

Deposition regarding report of seditious language of—app. 75.

Home, Lieut., Engrs.

Baird Smith (Lieut.-Col.) recommends— for reward. p. 395.

Campbell's (Col.) notice of the services of—p. 403.

Column of Assault No. III.—accompanied, pp. 393, 471.

Explosive party led by—p. 394.

G.O. noticing the services of—p. 426.

Victoria Cross bestowed on—p. 473.

Water Eastion breach examined and reported practicable by—p. 476.

Wounded on 14th Sep. p. 366.

Homfray, Lieut. R. P., 17th N. I.,

attached to 4th Punjab Infy.

Wounded (since dead) during the assault on Delhi pp. 376, 417, 419, 485, & app. 151.

Horse Artillery.

Casualties at Badli-ki-Serai among the— p. 292.

— at Ghazi-ud-din-Naggur among the—p. 286.

— opposite the Hindun among the— p. 288.

— at Najafgarh among the— p. 364.

— at Paharipore among the— p. 299.

— on 19th June among the— p. 305.

— 23rd June among the— p. 309.

Horse Artillery.—(Contd.)

Casualties on the 14th Sep. among the— p. 398.

— operations on 14th Sep. among the—p. 387.

Horse Artillery, 1st Brig.

Casualties on 9th July among the— p. 319.

— 12th Aug. among the— p. 349.

Horse Arty., 1st, 2nd, & 3rd T., 1st Brig.

Casualties on 18th July among the— p. 336.

Horse Arty., 2nd T., 3rd Brig.

Casualties on 4th July among the—p. 314.

Horse Arty., 2nd & 3rd T., 3rd Brig.

Casualties on 14th July among the— p. 321.

— 23rd July among the— p. 341.

Horse Arty., Hd.-Qrs., & 2nd & 3rd T., 3rd Brig.

Casualties on 18th July among the— p. 336.

Horses.

Killed during siege operations at Delhi. p. 493.

Missing during siege operations at Delhi. p. 493.

Wounded during siege operations at Delhi. p. 493.

Hovenden, Lieut. J. St. J., Beng. Engra.

Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) notice of the services of—p. 395.

Column of Assault No. II.—attached to, p. 393.

Jones' (Brig.) notice of the services of— p. 401.

Wounded severely on 14th Sep. during the assault on Delhi pp. 375, 395, 401, 415, 487, & app. 153.

Howell, Capt., 1st Fus., Sub.-Asst. Comy.-Genl.

Death of—from cholera. p. 434.

- Hoyle, Park-Sergt.**
Delhi mutineers killed—p. 275.
- Humphrys, Lieut. A. M., 20th N. I.,**
attached H. M.'s 60th Rifles.
Wounded dangerously (since dead) in
repelling rear attack on 19th June.
pp. 306, 445, 465, & app. 150.
- Hunoomunt Misser, Sepoy, 19th**
N. I.
Outbreak of the 19th N. I.—statement of
occurrences on the, p. 74.
- Hunter, Lieut. C., Arty.**
Gaikell's (Maj.) notice of the services
of—p. 389.
Wounded at Badli-ki-Serai, p. 293.
Wounded during siege of Delhi. p. 487
& app. 152.
- Hurruck Sing, Subdr., 40th N. I.**
Issuree Pandey, (Jemadar, 34th N. I.)
Member of the Court for the trial of,
p. 177.
Mungul Sing (Sepoy, 34th N. I.) Member
of the Court for the trial of, p. 113.
Salikram Sing (Jemadar, 70th N. I.)
Member of the Court for the trial of,
app. 57.
- Hutton, Lieut. T. B., H. M.'s 61st.**
Wounded during siege of Delhi. p. 488
& app. 155.
- Huyshe, Bt.-Lt.-Col. A., 5th Battn.,**
Beng. Arty.
Mitchell (Lieut.-Col.). Member of the
Special Court of Inquiry in re, app. 4.
- Hyat Bux Khan, Pay-Hav., 70th**
N. I.
Adhar Sing (Sepoy) recognised—in the
assembly. app. 110.
Bhowany Bux Sing (Sepoy) recognised—
as being present in the assembly.
app. 104.
Gunness Pandey's (Sepoy). Statement
regarding—app. 106.
Harris (Lieut.) found—at the bungalow.
app. 119.
- Hyat Bux Khan, Pay-Hav., 70th,**
N. I.—(Contd.)
Rampersaud Ditchit (Lance-Naick) recog-
nised—in the assembly. app. 102.
Sewchurn Misser (Havdr.-Maj.) recog-
nised—in the assembly. app. 99.
Thatching huts. Statement regarding or-
ders for, app. 120.
- Hydrabad.**
Beluch Battn. ordered to Ferozepore from
—, p. 270.
- I
- Imdad Hoossain, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Incendiary Fires.**
Barrackpore. Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) re-
port regarding—at, p. 4.
- Indar Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 24.
- Infantry.**
Strength of the—before Delhi. p. 463.
- Infantry Brigades.**
Casualties on 19th June among the—
p. 305.
—23rd June among the—
p. 306.
—26th June among the—
p. 309.
—30th June among the—
p. 311.
—2nd July among the—
p. 312.
—9th July among the—
p. 319.
—14th July among the—
p. 321.
—18th July among the—
p. 336.
—21st July among the—
p. 338.
—23rd July among the—
p. 341.
—1st & 2nd Aug. among
the—p. 345.

Infantry Brigades.—(Contd.)

Casualties on 12th Aug. among the—
p. 349.

— from 15th to 20th Sep. at
Delhi among the—p. 418.
— during the assault on Delhi
among the—p. 414.

Infantry, British.

Strength of—before Delhi on 6th Sep.
p. 466.

Innes, Lieut. F. C., 60th N.I.,
Orderly Officer.

Showers' (Brigr.) notice of the services
of—pp. 341, 348.

Wounded on 12th Aug. pp. 350, 461, 486,
& app. 152.

Innes, Surgn. J. H. K., 60th Rifles.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the
services of—p. 382.

Wounded during siege of Delhi. p. 488 &
app. 155.

Insurgent Cavalry.

Azadpore attacked by—p. 476.

Irruption into camp on 9th July of—
p. 452.

Treachery of picquet of 9th Irr. Cavy.
caused inroad of—p. 454.

Ireland, Asst. Surgn., Horse Arty.

Wounded dangerously on 25th Aug. at
Najafgarh. pp. 364, 465, 487, &
app. 152.

Irregular Cavalry.

Feelings against—p. 454.

Punjabis and Sikhs distrustful of—p. 454.

Irregular Cavalry, 4th.

Arms and horses taken from—p. 455.

Casualties among the—on the 19th June.
p. 305.

— during the assault on Delhi.
p. 414.

— during siege operations at
Delhi. p. 492.

Disarmed for a time. p. 455.

Hewitt (Maj.-Genl.) asked for a wing of—
p. 252.

Irregular Cavalry, 4th.—(Contd.)

Horses and arms restored after the
capture of Delhi to hd.-qrs. of the—
p. 455.

Lieut.-Govr., N.-W. P., ordered—from
Hansi. p. 262.

Meerut authorities requisitioned for the—
p. 270.

Movement delayed of the—p. 280.

Orderlies' duties performed by—p. 455.

Ordered to be in readiness to move.
p. 256.

Orders regarding movements of—p. 256.

Irregular Cavalry, 9th.

Casualties on 9th July among the—
p. 319.

Inroad of insurgent cavy. caused by
treachery of picquet of the—p. 454.

Treachery of the picquet of the—p. 454.

Wing returned to the Punjab. p. 454.

Irregular Cavalry, 17th.

Wing returned to the Punjab. p. 454.

Irregular Cavalrymen.

Hodson (Lieut.) destroyed—on leave.
p. 342.

Irregular Corps.

Lawrence (Sir Henry) authorized to raise
—p. 269.

Issuree Pandey, Jemdir., 34th N. I.
Anson (Genl.) confirmed sentence passed
on—p. 207.

—'s remark on sentence passed
on—p. 207.

Atma Singh's (Sikh Sepoy) deposition re-
garding the conduct of—while in com-
mand of the qr.-guard. p. 197.

Baugh's (Lieut.) deposition regarding con-
duct of—while in command of the qr.-
guard. pp. 142, 188.

Charges preferred against—p. 178.

Defence of—p. 205.

Drury (Capt.) on character of—p. 167.

—'s orders conveyed to—
p. 185.

—'s statement regarding con-
duct of—while in command of the qr.-
guard. p. 201.

Issuree Pandey, Jemdr., 34th N. I.—
(Contd.)

Drury's (Capt.) statement regarding conversation with—p. 149.

Finding of the Court; p. 207.

Hearsey (Maj.-Genl.) empowered to execute sentence passed on—p. 211.

Hewson's (Sergt.-Maj.) deposition regarding conduct of—while in command of the gr.-guard pp. 117, 144, 182.

_____ 's reproach to—
pp. 119, 145.

Lewis's (Drummer) deposition on conduct of—p. 123.

Mehee Lall's (Sepoy) deposition regarding conduct of—while in command of the gr.-guard, p. 199.

Mookta Persaud Pandey (Color-Havdr.) communicated Capt. Drury's orders to—
p. 183.

Mungul Pathy (Sepoy, 34th N. I.)—declined to arrest, p. 137.

_____ Disobeyed orders to seize, p. 180.

_____ Drury (Capt.) ordered—to confine, p. 183.

Pleads not guilty. p. 179.

Gr.-guard halted by order of—pp. 201, 203.

Shank Paltoo (Havdr.) called on—for assistance, p. 197.

_____ denied help by—
p. 193.

_____ 's deposition on the conduct of—while in command of the gr.-guard. p. 191.

Sobha Sing's (Sikh Sepoy) deposition regarding conduct of—while in command of the gr.-guard. p. 194.

Sentence of death passed on—p. 207.

_____ executed on—p. 211.

Trial of—Native Genl. Court-Martial for the, p. 177.

Wheler (Col.) prosecutor of—p. 178.

_____ deposition regarding conduct of—p. 147.

Issuree Pandey, Jemdr., 34th N. I.—
(Contd.)

Wheler's (Col.) orders to advance repeated to—p. 203.

Issuree Ram, Sepoy, 1st Co., 70th N. I.

Thatching huts. Statement regarding orders for, app. 89.

Issuree Sing, Havdr., 70th N. I.

Salickram Sing (Jemdr., 70th N.I.)

Deposition in regard to seditious language held by, app. 72.

Salickram Sing (Jemdr., 70th N.I.), cross-examined—app. 75.

Issuree Sing, Sepoy, Gdr. Co., 70th N. I.

Salickram Sing's (Jemdr.) statement regarding report being made against, app. 107.

J

Jackson, Lieut., 2nd Fusiliers.

Killed on the 23rd June. pp. 310, 446, 485, & app. 151.

Jacob, Maj. G. O., Comdgr. 1st Fus.

Column of Assault (No. 1).—commanded detachment in, p. 470.

Nicholson's (Brigri.-Genl.) notice of the services of—at Najafgarh. p. 363.

Showers' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—pp. 340, 348.

Siege of Delhi. G.O. on services rendered by the late—during, p. 428.

Tombs' (Maj.) mention of the services of—p. 299.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 347.

Wounded at Najafgarh. p. 364.

Wounded severely (since dead) during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 416, 489, & app. 150.

Jalim Sing, Subdr., 84th N. I.

Issuree Pandey (Jemdr., 34th N.I.) Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 178.

- Jalim Sing, Subdr., 34th N. I.—**
(*Contd.*)
Mungul Pandi (Sepoy, 34th N. I.). Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 113.
Salickram Sing (Jemadar, 70th N. I.). Member of the Court for the trial of, app. 57.
- Jan Fishan Khan.**
G. O. noticing the services rendered by— p. 426.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 383.
- Jankee Sing (1st) Sepoy, 1st Co., 70th N. I.**
Adhar Sing (Sepoy) recognised—in the assembly. app. 110.
- Jehangir Khan, Rough Rider, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Jelallabad Battery.**
Losses inflicted on the—p. 445.
- Jenkins, Lieut. F. H., 57th N. I., attached to 4th Sikh Infy.**
Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 379, 416, 490, & app. 157.
- Jennings, Rev. Mr.**
Daughter of—killed by mutineers at Delhi. p. 266.
Killed by mutineers at Delhi. p. 266.
- Jewrakhun Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Jewrakhun Sing (2nd), Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Jewrakhun Sing Tewary, Hav., 34th N. I.**
Services retained on disbandment of his corps. pp. 213, 224.
- Jhind.**
Force from—assembled at Kurnaul. pp. 254, 269.
G. O. noticing the material support received from the Rajah of—p. 426.
Hodson (Lieut.) reinforced by Rajah of— p. 355.
- Jhind.—(Contd.)**
Hodson (Lieut.) to afford assistance to Rajah of—p. 351.
Loyal services of the troops of the Rajah of—p. 351.
Rajah's co-operation to be invited. p. 252.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of the Rajah of—p. 383.
————— thanked Rajah of— p. 351.
- Jhind Contingent.**
Badli-ki-Serai. Good service in action at, p. 290.
Capture of Delhi.—brought in to share in the, p. 466.
—————, Rajah headed the—in the, p. 466.
Cashmere Gate occupied by the—p. 405.
- Jhind Horse.**
Casualties among the—at Rhotuck. p. 462.
—————on 18th Aug. among the— p. 356.
- Jhind Troops.**
Kurnaul.—to rendezvous at, p. 252.
- Jhoolun Sing, Pay-Hav., 10th N. I.**
Subadar of the Co. reported absent by— p. 51.
- Jhoomuck Sing, Sepoy, 70th N. I.**
Adhar Sing (Sepoy) recognised—in the assembly. app. 110.
Bhowany Bux Sing (Sepoy) recognised—as being present in the assembly. app. 104.
Gunness Pandi's (Sepoy) statement regarding—app. 106.
Rampersaud Ditchit (Lance-Naic) recognised—in the assembly. app. 102.
Sewchurn Miaser (Havdr.-Maj.) recognised—in the assembly. app. 99.
- Johnson, Capt. E. B., Asst. Adj.-Gt. of Arty.**
Batty. (No. 11). Command assumed by— p. 470.
Gaiskell's (Maj.) notice of the services of—p. 386.

- Johnson, Capt. E. B., Asst. Adj.-Gl. of Arty.—(Contd.)**
 G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
 Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggur. Services at, p. 285.
 Left of Siege Batty. (No. 11) under command of—p. 385.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 380.
 Wounded at the Hindun. p. 289 & app. 152.
- Jones, Brigr, W., H. M.'s 61st Regt., Comdg. 2nd Infy. Bde.**
 Casualties on 9th July in the column under—p. 454.
 Chamberlain (Brigr.-Genl.) directed column under—p. 453.
 Column formed under—to clear Subzee Munde and suburbs. p. 453.
 —of Assault (No. 11) under command of—pp. 371, 471.
 G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
 Read's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.
 Movement of the column under—p. 312.
 Umballa force (2nd Bde.) under command of—p. 275.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 379.
- Jones' (Brigr.) Column.**
 Troops composing—p. 400.
- Jones, Capt. C., H. M.'s 60th Rifles.**
 Wounded severely on the 23rd June, pp. 310, 447, 488, & app. 154.
- Jones, Lieut. A. S., H.M.'s 9th Lancers.**
 Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—pp. 304, 399.
- Jones, Lieut. E., Engrs.**
 Wounded dangerously (since dead) on 18th July. pp. 337, 457, 484, & app. 149.
- Jones, Lieut.-Col. J., H. M.'s 60th Rifles.**
 Casualties on 18th July in the column under—pp. 336, 457.
 Column formed under—to drive out mutineers on 18th July. pp. 335, 457.
 G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
- Jones, Lieut.-Col. J., H. M.'s 60th Rifles.—(Contd.)**
 Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggur. Services in action at; p. 285.
 Longfield's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 405.
 Mutineers driven out on 18th July by column under—p. 457.
 Operations at the Subzee Munde on 18th July. Report of, p. 335.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—pp. 334, 379.
- Jowahir Lall Tewary, Sub.-Maj., 43rd N. I.**
 Issuree Pandey (Jomadar, 34th N.I.). President of the Court for the trial of, p. 177.
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N.I.). President of the Court for the trial of, p. 118.
 Salickram Sing Jomadar, 70th N.I.). President of the Court for the trial of, app. 57.
- Jubberdust Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Judge Adv.-Genl. of the Army.**
 G. O. noticing the services of the officers of the Dept. of the—p. 424.
 Trial of men of 3rd L. C. Memo. regarding, app. 139.
- Judge Adv.-Genl's Dept.**
 Siege of Delhi, G. O. on services rendered by officers of the—during, p. 428.
- Jumma Musajid.**
 Cavalry took possession of the—p. 480.
 Mortars bearing on the—p. 376.
- Jummoo Contingent.**
 See Cashmere Contingent.
- Junghir Khan, Sepoy, L. Co., 63rd N. I.**
 Furlough. Reluctant at first to proceed on, app. 21.

Jyepall Sing, Naick, 2nd Grdra.

Boodhella)	} Sepoys, { Deposition re-		
Tewary		} 2nd Gre- } garding a b-	
and			} nadiern. { sence from the
Bahadour			

Prosecutor's examination of—app. 49.

K**Kalka Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**

Charge preferred against—p. 239.

Kalla Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.

Charge preferred against—p. 239.

Kasauli.

Atkinson (Capt.) to arrange for protection of—p. 279.

Families and women ordered to—p. 261.

Kasauli Treasury.

Gurkha guard robbed—captured. p. 298.

Nasiri Batta party plundered—p. 431.

Kasoo Persand Doobey, Sepoy, 8th Co., 34th N. I.

Sepoy delegates' meeting. Durriow Sing's (Jemdr.) recognition of—at p. 21.

—Durriow Sing

(Jemdr.) taken by—to the, p. 158.

Kasoo Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.

Charge preferred against—p. 240.

Kaye, Major E., Arty.

Battery. (No. 11) right portion under command of—p. 470.

Gaiskell's (Maj.) notice of the services of—p. 356.

Left of Siege Batty. (No. 1) under command of—p. 384.

Right of Siege Batty. (No. 11) under command of—p. 385.

Kemp, Capt. D., 6th N. I., attached to 2nd Fusiliers.

Wounded severely on 9th July. pp. 329, 434, 489, & app. 156.

Kennedy, Col. J. D., Comdg. 70th N.I.

Bug-Noise Infy. Standing orders prescribed by—app. 85.

Kennedy, Col. J. D., Comdg. 70th N. I.—(Contd.)

Cartridge and paper. N. I. (70th) Regt satisfied with the address regarding p. 30.

Cartridge paper. Deposition in regard to excitement about, app. 60.

Disturbance. Examination in regard to report of apprehended, app. 111.

Salickram Sing (Jemdr.) placed in arrest by—app. 111.

Durriow Sing (Jemdr.)	} President of the Court and appointed to take depositions of, p. 155.
Ram Sahi	
Lalla (Sepoy)	

Thatching huts. Statement regarding order for, app. 83.

Kennedy, Lieut. T. G., 62nd N. I., Offg. Comdt., Guide Cavy.

Wounded during siege of Delhi. p. 467 & app. 151.

Kennon, Capt. T. E., Arty.

Wounded during siege of Delhi. p. 467 & app. 152.

Khadurdad Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.

Charge preferred against—p. 240.

Khair Sultan, Delhi Prince.

Holmes (Lieut.) captured and shot—pp. 367, 369, 378, & 480.

Khanan Khan, Rissaldar, Guide Cavy.

Tombs' (Maj.) mention of the services of—299.

Khokarah Zemindars.

English refugees from Delhi protected by—pp. 266, 276.

Khoda Buksh, Subdr., 8th Co., 2nd Grdra.

Cartridge paper. Objections about, p. 10.

Lauron Pandey, (Jemdr., 34th N.I.) Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 177.

- Khoda Buksh, Subdr., 9th Co., 2nd Grds.**
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N.I.).
 Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 113.
 Salickram Sing (Jemdr., 70th N.I.)
 Member of the Court for the trial of, app. 57.
- Khodasee Bagh.**
 Batty. (No. IV) established in—p. 469.
 Detachment placed in—p. 469.
- Khurkowdeh.**
 Casualties on 15th Aug. at—356.
 Irr. Cavy. men on leave destroyed at—p. 352.
- Khurugeet Sing, Sepoy, 2nd Co., 63rd N. I.**
 Furtive—Reluctant at first to proceed on, app. 21.
- Kissengunge.**
 Casualties at—p. 301.
 Column of Assault (No. IV) failed in its attack on—p. 478.
 _____ to attack—p. 471.
 Insurgents evacuated—p. 479.
 _____ guns spiked at—p. 479.
 _____ strong position at—p. 479.
 Light Battery, employed in keeping ground clear between Shah Bastion and—p. 384.
 Losses of the mutineers at—p. 300.
 Mutineers at— Measure for driving out, p. 373.
 _____ occupied—p. 446.
 Ordnance captured at—p. 420.
 Reid's (Maj.) attack on mutineers' position at—p. 373.
 _____ column drove insurgents out of—p. 443.
 _____ report of his manoeuvres at—p. 300.
 _____ report of the destruction of the mutineers' batteries at—p. 300.
 Troops composing the column of attack on—p. 300.
- Kissengunge Batteries.**
 Cashmere troops' losses in attack on—p. 411.
 Column of Assault (No. IV) failed in its attack on—pp. 408, 410.
- Kissengunge Bersai.**
 Wriford (Capt.) headed the attack on—p. 412.
- Knox, Capt. E. W. J., H. M.'s 75th Regt.**
 Killed while reinforcing picquet at the flag-staff tower.—pp. 297, 440, 484, & app. 150.
- Kohat Horse.**
 Chief killed in action on 3rd July. p. 451.
 Gallant conduct of the Chief of the—p. 451.
- Koodrut Ally Meer, Naick, 3rd L. Co.**
 Smyth (Col.) cross-examined by—app. 143.
- Kootub Ally Khan, Drill Naick, 70th N. I.**
 Adhar Sing (Sepoy) recognised—in the assembly. app. 110.
 Bhowany Bux Sing (Sepoy) recognised—as being present in the assembly. app. 104.
 Rampersaud Ditchit (Lance-Naick) recognised—in the assembly. app. 102.
 Sewcharn Misser (Havdr.-Maj.) recognised—in the assembly. app. 99.
- Kossid.**
 Dinapore Regts. Matthew's (Maj.) report of a—sent to, p. 29.
 Mitchell's (Lieut.-Col.) report regarding—sent to Berhampore. p. 33.
 Ramjan Khan's (Native doctor) statement regarding—sent to *Ung-As-Patten* (the 19th N. I.) p. 30.
- Kufeyut Ollah, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
 Cartridges. Statement regarding, p. 235.
- Kumson Battn.**
 Casualties during the assault on Delhi among the—p. 414.

Kumaon Battn.—(Contd.)

Casualties in operations on 1st and 2nd Aug. among the—p. 345.

—————12th Aug. among the—p. 349.

—————from 15th to 20th Sep. at Delhi among the—p. 418.

—————during siege operations at Delhi among the—p. 492.

Kurnaul.

Anson (Genl.) arrived at—p. 282.

—————died at—p. 383.

Force detached for protection of—pp. 279, 281.

Force to move on—p. 269.

Force to rendezvous at—p. 269.

H. M.'s 75th to proceed to—p. 269.

Jhind Force to assemble at—p. 359.

Jhind sowars to be employed on road between Meerut and—p. 280.

Irr. Cav. (4th). Two hundred arrived at—p. 282.

Lancers (9th) to proceed to—p. 269.

Officers' flight towards—p. 265.

Patials Force to assemble at—p. 269.

Patials Horse to occupy post on road to—p. 272.

Troops pushed on to—p. 432.

Troops to assemble at—p. 252.

Kurream Bux Shaik, Subdr., 4th Co., 19th N. I.

Cartridges. Deposition regarding, p. 55.

Mitchell (Col.) denies statement of—regarding "imprisoned and transported." p. 12.

Mutinous conduct of the 19th N.I. Deposition regarding, p. 53.

L**Lahore.**

Native troops disarmed at—p. 431.

Lahore Gate.

Captured on 20th Sep. p. 480.

Column of Assault (No. IV) to enter the—p. 471.

Failure to capture—p. 477.

Ordnance captured at the—p. 421.

Lall Sing, Trooper, 8rd L. C.

Charge preferred against—p. 239.

Lalla Dakehore, Jemdr., 19th N. I.

Cartridges. Statement concerning, p. 76.

Oath. Statement concerning, taken by the men. p. 76.

Lalla Gopal, Subdr., 84th N. I.

Durriow Sing's (Jemdr.) deposition regarding treasonable proceedings of—p. 156.

Maun Sing (Rajah) written to by—p. 156.

Lalla Ram Buksh, Jemdr., 8th N. I.

Issues Pandey (Jemdr., 34th N. I.)

Member of the Court for the trial of p. 178.

Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N. I.)

Member of the Court for the trial of p. 113.

Salickram Sing (Jemdr., 70th N. I.)

Member of the Court for the trial of app. 57.

Lambert, Lieut. E. A. C., 55th N. I., attached to 1st Fusiliers.

Wounded during the assault on Delhi pp. 376, 417, 489, & app. 156.

Lancers, H. M.'s 9th.

Casualties at Badli-Sarai among—p. 292.

—————during assault on Delhi among the—pp. 398, 414.

—————at Najafgarh among the—p. 364.

—————on 8th June among the—p. 438.

—————19th June among the—pp. 304, 305, 445.

—————4th July among det. of the—p. 314.

—————12th Aug. among the—p. 349.

—————from 15th to 20th Sep. at Delhi. p. 418.

—————during siege operations at Delhi among the—p. 492.

Gaitkell's (Maj.) notice of the services of men of the—p. 386.

G. O. noticing the services of the men of the—in working at the batteries. p. 425.

Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the brave conduct of the—p. 398.

Lancers, H. M.'s 9th.—(Contd.)
 Kurnal, the destination of the—pp. 252'
 254, 259.
 Mutineer horsemen's further irruption into
 British camp prevented by a squadron
 of the—p. 315.
 Services on the 19th June. p. 304.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the
 services of the men of the—as artillery-
 men. p. 383.

Lang, Lieut., Engra.
 Asaid Smith's (Lieut.-Col.)' notice of the
 services of—p. 395.
 Cashmere Bastion breach examined and
 reported practicable by—pp. 392, 479.
 Cotawa of Assaut (No. 1).—accom-
 panied, pp. 393, 479.
 Water Bastion breach examined by—
 p. 392.

**Law, Capt. W. G., 10th N. I., attach-
 ed to 1st Punjab Infy.**
 Killed on the 23rd July. pp. 242, 459, 485,
 & app. 151.

Law, Farrier-Sergt., Arty.
 Escape of—from Delhi. p. 266.

**Lawrence, Capt. R. C., Pol. charge.
 Jummo Contingent.**
 Cashmere troops. Part taken in the
 assault on Delhi by the, p. 467.
 Cashmere Contingent Reserve under
 command of—p. 479.
 Column of Assaut (No. IV). Causes which
 led to the retirement of the, p. 416.
 Wil-on's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the
 services of—p. 381.

Lawrence, Sir Henry.
 Brig.-Genl.—appointed a, p. 279.
 Irv. Corps to be raised by—p. 269.
 Military powers conferred on—pp. 269,
 279.

**Lawrence, Sir John, Chief Comsr.,
 Punjab.**
 Delhi Field Force. Success due to aid
 received from—p. 483.
 European regts. to be sent down by—
 p. 252.

**Lawrence, Sir John, Chief Comsr.,
 Punjab.—(Contd.)**
 G. O. acknowledging services of—p. 368—
 —on valuable aid rendered by—
 p. 426.
 G.-G.'s acknowledgment of the services
 of—p. 362.
 Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) departure intimated
 to—p. 331.
 Wilson (Maj.-Genl.) in command of the
 D. Hi Field Force intimated to—p. 331.
 —'s acknowledgment of
 the invaluable aid received from—
 p. 383.
 —'s request for re-
 inforcements from—p. 331.

Lewis, Drummer J., 34th N. I.
 Jemadar of the q.-guard of the 34th
 N. I. Deposition regarding conduct of
 the, p. 123.
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N.I.). De-
 position regarding the mutinous con-
 duct of. p. 122.
 — ordered to—
 sound the "assembly." p. 141.

Lieut.-Govr., N.-W. Provs.
 G.-G.'s thanks for services of—p. 253.
 Meerut. Intelligence regarding, p. 256.
 Order of Merit.—authorized to admit
 Native officers and soldiers to the, p. 271.
 Rewards for acts of loyalty and gallantry.
 —empowered to confer, p. 271.

Light Battery.
 Composition of the—p. 384.
 Operations of the—p. 384.
 Remington (Capt.) in command of the—
 p. 384.

Light Cavy., 3rd Regt.
 Anson's (Genl.) opinion on conduct of
 men of—p. 222.
 Cartridge question in—. Opinion of Native
 Court of Inquiry in regard to, p. 237.
 Cartridges refused by men of the—
 p. 227 and app. 139.
 Civil authorities. Condemned men of the
 —made over to the, p. 247.

Light Cavalry, 3rd Regt.—(Contd.)

- C.-in-C.'s orders for carrying out of sentences on men of the—p. 240.
 Comrades in jail released by—p. 249.
 Condemned men of the—made over to the civil authorities. p. 247.
 Delhi bridge of boats in possession of a party of the—p. 263.
 —mutineers.—nucleus of the, p. 271.
 Disaffection in the—. Judge Advocate-Genl.'s memo. on, p. 237.
 Govt. enquiry regarding conduct of the—p. 250.
 Hewitt (Maj.-Genl.) asked to report on condition of the—p. 253.
 —instructed to carry out sentences on men of the—p. 240.
 —'s remarks on recommendation on behalf of men of the—app. 145.
 Hindun Bridge taken possession of by a party of the—p. 268.
 Irons rivetted at a brigade parade on condemned men of the—p. 247.
 Men (certain) of the—protected their officers. p. 350.
 Mutiny of the—pp. 249, 255.
 Native Genl. Court-Martial. Proceedings closed in the case of men of the, p. 247.
 —Composition of, for the trial of troopers of the—app. 142.
 —ordered for trial of men of the—p. 240.
 N.I. (38th) guard refused to fire on attacking party of the—p. 263.
 N. I. (54th) wing refused to fire on attacking party of the—p. 263.
 N. I. Regts. at Meerut not in sympathy with the—p. 429.
 Old horse hospital of the—burnt. p. 232.
 Plowden's (Bt.-Maj.) failure to read G. O. G. to men of the—p. 246.
 Prisoners in jail released by—p. 249.
 Prisoners put in irons on parade. Remarks regarding, app. 146.

Light Cavalry, 3rd Regt.—(Contd.)

- Sentence passed on men of the—p. 21.
 —on mutineers of the—. App. 142.
 Hd.-Qrs. made aware of the carrying out of the, p. 429.
 Smyth's (Col.) deposition regarding obedience of orders by men of the—app. 142.
 Trial of the men of the—Memo. & app. 139.
 Votes of Native officers convicting a—of the—app. 145.
Light, Lieut. A., Arty.
 Hindun. Services at the, p. 288.
 Wounded at Badli-ki-Serai. pp. 2, 487 & app. 152.
Light Field Battery, No. 5.
 Loss of guns by—p. 267.
Light Field Batty., No. 14, 3rd C.
3rd Battn.
 Casualties on 23rd June among the—p. 307.
 —4th July among the—p. 314.
 —9th July among the—p. 319.
 Employment on 14th Sep. of the—p. 319.
Light Field Battery, No. 17.
 Employment on 14th Sep. of the—p. 319.
Lindsay, Lieut. A. H., Beng. H.
 Gaitkell's (Maj.) notice of the services of—p. 389.
 Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 398.
 Wounded on the 12th Aug. pp. 350, 375, 415, 487, & app. 152.
 —during the assault on Lal. pp. 375, 415, 487, & app. 152.
Liscombe, Lieut. J. T., 34th N. I.
 Sikh sepoy (34th N. I.). Reliance on—p. 173.
 Trustworthiness of the 34th N. I.—Opinion in regard to, p. 173.
Lithgow, Asst.-Surgn. A. S., H. I. S.
76th Regt.
 Wounded at Badli-ki-Serai. pp. 293, 487 & app. 156.

- Lockhart, Lieut. D. B., 7th N. I.,**
attached to Sirmoor Batta.
Wounded severely during siege of Delhi.
p. 459 & app. 157.
- Longfield, Brigr. J., Comdg. Infy. Brig.**
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.
Reserve column under command of—
pp. 372, 472.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the
services of—p. 379.
Longfield's (Brigr.) Reserve column.
Operations of troops composing, p. 484.
- Low, Lieut. R. C., on staff of Maj.-
Genl. Wilson.**
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) notice of the
services of—at Najafgarh, p. 363.
Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 324.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the ser-
vices of—p. 381.
- Low, Maj.-Genl. J.**
Courtesy-worthiness of 34th N.I. Minute
on, p. 214.
- Lowe, Capt. R. H. D., on staff of
Maj.-Genl. Wilson.**
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the ser-
vices of—p. 381.
- Lutchmun Doobey, Trooper, 3rd
L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Lutchmun Gwala, Sepoy, Grdr. Co.,
63rd N. I.**
Fadh'. Reluctant at first to proceed on
app. 21.
- Ludlow Castle.**
Bary. (No. II) established in front of—
p. 469.
Cory, withdrawn to—p. 478.
Coke (Maj.) in command of left column
of attack on insurgents at—p. 347.
Column formed for dislodging mutineers
from—p. 347.
- Ludlow Castle.—(Contd.)**
Detachments placed in—p. 469.
Guns captured from insurgents at—p. 348.
Insurgents' attack on 23rd July emerging
from—p. 458.
Jacob (Maj.) in command of right
column of attack on insurgents at—
p. 347.
Showers (Brigr.) in command of the
centre column of attack on insurgents
at—p. 347.
—attack on 23rd July on
flank of insurgents emerging from—
p. 459.
—report of operations in dis-
lodging insurgents from—p. 347.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) report of mutineers
being driven from—p. 246.
- Lumsden, Lieut. W. H., 1st Punj.
Infy.**
Killed on 25th Aug. at Najafgarh.
pp. 262, 364, 464, 495, & app. 151.
- Lutchmun Doobey, Naick, Grdr.
Co., 70th N. I.**
Assembly in Jemadar Fakhram's hut.
Deposition regarding, app. 97.
Gowans Treasury's (Sepoy) statement
regarding thatching hats corroborated
by—p. 91.
- Lutchmun Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 240.

M

MacAndrew, Capt., Asst. Comsr.

Pahla troops—reported with, p. 271.
Sent to Delhi to be kept clear by—p. 230.

**MacAndrew, Lt. & Adj't. I. P., 19th
N. I.**

Cartridges. Deposition regarding, app. 7.
Cartridge paper. Deposition in regard to
objection raised about, app. 7.
Misconduct of the 19th N. I. Deposition
in regard to, p. 48.
Mitchell (Lieut.-Col.). Examination of—
in 19, p. 6.

- Macdonald, Capt. D., 20th N. I.**
L. C. (3rd). Supdg. Officer of the Court of inquiry to investigate the conduct of the men of the, p. 230.
Murder of wife and children of—at Meerut. p. 249.
Murdered by mutineers at Meerut. pp. 249, 255.
- MacDougall, Capt. T.**
Lodgment of arms. Deposition regarding, app. 8.
Mitchell (Lieut.-Col.). Examination of—in *re*, app. 8.
- MacGregor, Lieut.-Col. E. H.**
Mitchell's (Lieut.-Col.) conduct. D.-O. in regard to, app. 15.
- Mackenzie, Lt.-Col. M., 1st Brig. H. A.**
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 425.
Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggar. Services at, p. 285
Hills' (Lieut.) gallant conduct brought to notice by—p. 317.
Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services of the late—during, p. 428.
Tombs' (Maj.) noble conduct brought to notice by—p. 317.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 381.
Wounded severely on 2nd July. p. 487, app. 152.
- Macnabb, Lieut. J. C. E., 3rd L. C.**
Murdered by mutineers at Meerut. pp. 249, 255.
- Mactier, Asst.-Surgn. W. F., on staff of late C.-in-C.**
Need's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 382.
- Madar Bukah, Sepoy, 19th N. I.**
N. I. (19th). Deposition regarding the outbreak of the, p. 71.
- Madho, Hav., Sappers & Miners.**
Home's (Lieut.) notice of the bravery of—p. 395.
- Madho, Hav., Sappers & Miners.—**
(*Contd.*)
Wounded while laying the powder bag on 14th Sep. p. 394.
- Madho Sing. Pay-Hav., Grdr. Co., 70th N. I.**
Gunnar's Tewary's (Sepoy) statement regarding thatching huts confirmed by—app. 95.
- Madras.**
European troops expected from—p. 281.
Regt. sent for from—p. 252.
- Madras Fusiliers (1st).**
Left for Calcutta. p. 257.
Mad. Govt. despatched the—p. 269.
- Magazine.**
Stormed on the 16th Sep. p. 479.
- Main Picquet.**
Casualties among the—on 10th June. p. 291.
- Maisey, Capt. F. C., Dy. Judge Adv.-Gl.**
Badli-ki-Serai. Services at, p. 291.
Reel's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—330.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 380.
- Major-Generals.**
Rewards for acts of loyalty and gallantry.—empowered to confer, p. 271.
- Manning, Capt. H. D., 19th N. I.**
General parade. Deposition regarding, app. 9.
Grease. Deposition regarding purchase of, app. 9.
Mitchell (Lieut.-Col.). Examination of—in *re*, app. 9.
- Martial Law.**
Lieut.-Govr., N.-W. P. authorized to proclaim—p. 251.
Meerut Dist.—proclaimed in the, pp. 252, 263, 269.
- Martin, Mr. H., Asst. to Chief Engr.**
Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) notice of the services of—p. 396.

- Matheson, Capt. J. B. Y., 52nd N. I.**
Misconduct of the 19th N. I. Member of the European Court of Inquiry on, p. 48.
- Mattadeen, Havdr., 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
Defence made on behalf of self and prisoners by—app. 144.
- Matthews, Maj. H. W., 43rd N. I.**
Cartridge paper. Member of the Court of Inquiry regarding objections to the use of, p. 7.
Kosid sent to Dinapore reported by—p. 29.
Mitchell (Lieut.-Col.) Member of the Special Court of Inquiry *in re*, app. 4 N. I. (34th). President of the Court to enquire into proceedings in lines of the, p. 132.
N. I. (43rd). Native officers warned of their responsibility by—p. 32.
Trustworthiness of 34th N. I. Member of the Court of Inquiry in regard to, p. 161.
- Maukun Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Maukun Sing, Rajah.**
Durrion Sing's (Jemdr., 34th N. I.), deposition regarding a letter addressed to—p. 156.
Gunness Lalla (Jemdr., 34th N. I.) concerned in letter addressed to—p. 156.
Lalla Gopal (Subdr., 34th N. I.) concerned in letter addressed to—p. 156.
Muddeh Khan (Subdr., 34th N. I.), concerned in letter addressed to—p. 156.
Rau Lall (Subdr.-Maj., 34th N. I.), concerned in letter addressed to—p. 156.
- Maunsell, Lieut. F. R., Engrs.**
Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) notice of the services of—as directing field engineer. p. 394.
Column of Assault (No. 4).—accompanied, pp. 393, 471.
Hinden. Services in action at the, p. 288.
Wounded on 12th Aug. pp. 350, 461.
- Maunsell, Lieut. F. R., Engrs.—**
(Contd.)
Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 366, 375, 394, 415, 474, 487, & app. 153.
- McBarnet, Capt. G. G., 55th N. I., attached to 1st Fusiliers.**
Killed during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 413, 417, 486, & app. 150.
- McDowell, Lieut.**
Hodson's (Lieut.) notice of the services of—p. 355.
- McGill, Lieut. J. S. D., H. M.'s 60th Rifles.**
Wounded in repelling rear attack on 19th June. pp. 306, 445, 488, & app. 154.
- McKinnon, Surgn. C., 1st Bde., H.A.**
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 382.
- McNamara, Dr.**
Cartridge paper. Report on analysis of, p. 83.
- McQueen, Ensign and Actg. Adjt., 4th Punjab Infy.**
Sellingarh Palace first entered by—p. 406.
- Medical Department.**
G. O. noticing the services of regtl. and staff officers of the—pp. 419, 425.
Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to officers of the—p. 330.
Unpreparedness of the—p. 278.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of the—p. 382.
- Medley, Lieut. J. G., Engrs.**
Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) notice of the services of—p. 395.
Cashmere Bastion breach examined and reported practicable by—pp. 392, 470.
Column of Assault (No. 1).—accompanied, pp. 393, 470.
Water Bastion breach examined by—p. 392.
Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 366, 375, 395, 415, 474, 487 & app. 153.

- Meer Hoosain Bux, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Meer Imdad Ally, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Meer Khan Sahib, Sirdar Bahadur.**
G. O. noticing the services rendered by—p. 426.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 383.
- Meer Mosun Ally, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Meer Tarub Ally, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Cartridges. Statement regarding, p. 234.
- Meerahib Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Meerut.**
Bungalows burnt down at—p. 249.
———south of the nullah fired by mutineers at—p. 255.
Casualties at—p. 255.
———Enquiry regarding, p. 253.
Civil lines partly destroyed at—p. 255.
Commissariat officer's house and office burnt down at—p. 249.
Commissioner's bungalow burnt down at—p. 249.
Delhi mutineers' expected attack on—p. 257.
Defences being erected at—p. 431.
Det. of 4th Irr. Cavy. ordered to—p. 276.
———sent to—p. 282.
Discontent of Native arty. recruits at—p. 241.
Dunlop (Mr.) posted down to—p. 296.
European troops at—on occurrence of the outbreak. Strength of, p. 260.
———kept under arms for protecting—255.
European men, women and children murdered by mutineers at—p. 249.
Fortified place of safety at—p. 255.
H. M.'s (75th) ordered to—p. 256.
———requisitioned for—p. 270.
- Meerut.—(Contd.)**
Hewitt (Maj.-Genl.) asked to report regarding occurrences at—p. 253.
———removal from command at—p. 259.
Incendiary fires at—p. 282.
Irr. Cavy. (4th) requisitioned for—p. 270.
———ordered to—p. 255.
Jhind Sowars to be employed between Kurnaul and—p. 290.
L. C. (3rd). Native Court of Enquiry held on conduct of men of the, p. 230.
———Native Genl. Court-Martial ordered for trial of men of, p. 243.
-Martial law proclaimed in the district of—pp. 252, 268, 269.
Measures taken for the protection of—p. 250.
Matiny at—Hewitt's (Maj.-Genl.) report of the outbreak of the, pp. 249, 255.
Mutineers retired from—p. 246.
Native Arty. recruits dismissed at—p. 242.
Native Infy. corps at—Insubordination not spread to, p. 247.
Native troops mutinied at—p. 245.
Narrative of events relating to the outbreak at—p. 268.
N.-W.P. Lieut.-Govr.'s endeavours to open communication with—p. 251.
Officers shot down by mutineers at—p. 255.
Penny (Maj.-Genl.) appointed to the command of the—Division. pp. 259, 260.
Place of safety at—pp. 253, 269.
Prisoners liberated by mutineers at—pp. 249, 241.
Road open to—p. 276.
Sappers and Miners from Roorkee arrived at—p. 253.
———Hd.-Qrs. ordered to—p. 430.
———lines destroyed by mutineers at—p. 250.
———mutinied at—pp. 253, 254, 256, 269.
Sirmoor Batta. arrived at—269.
———ordered to—pp. 256, 430.
Synds and Jats well disposed towards Govt. pp. 257, 270.

Meerut.—(Contd.)

- Telegraphic communication restored with
—pp. 254, 269.
- Telegraph lines destroyed by mutineers
at—pp. 250.
- Office closed at—p. 255.
- Villages burnt round about—p. 257.
- Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) explanation of
the inaction during the outbreak at—
p. 260.
- explanation in re-
gard to the protection of—p. 260.
- Zillah police deserted—p. 250.

Meerut Brigade.

- Native regts. composing the—p. 269.

Meerut Force.

- Anson's (Genl.) requisition for—p. 275.
- Carriage. Movement of—prevented from
want of, p. 256.

Meerut Mutineers.

- Proceedings of the—p. 268.
- Troops at Delhi fraternized with the—
p. 268.

Meerut Mutiny.

- Army Hd.-Qrs. received intelligence of—
p. 430.
- Barnard (Capt.) conveyed news to Army
Hd.-Qrs. of—p. 429.
- Umbala Postmaster conveyed to Simla
news of—p. 278.

Meerwan Sing, Subdr., 70th N. I.

- Issues Pandy (Jemdr., 34th N.I.).
Member of the Court for the trial of,
p. 177.
- Mungul Pandy (Sepoy, 34th N.I.)
Member of the Court for the trial of,
p. 113.
- Salickram Sing (Jemdr., 70th N.I.)
Member of the Court for the trial of,
app. 57.

**Mehee Lall (Sepoy) Priser., 34th
N. I.**

- Atma Sing's (Sepoy) recognition of—
p. 154.
- Issues Pandy (Jemdr.). Deposition
regarding conduct of, while in com-
mand of the qr.-guard. p. 199.

**Mehee Lall (Sepoy) Priser., 34th
N. I.—(Contd.)**

- Jemadar's conduct while in command of
the qr.-guard, p. 155.
- Mungul Pandy (Sepoy). Deposition re-
garding mutinous conduct of, p. 199.
- , Jemadar prevented
seizure of, p. 154.
- Peacock (Hon'ble Sir B.) objects to ex-
empt—from dismissal. pp. 216, 218.
- Sepoys of the qr.-guard prevented by
the Jemadar from going to the rescue
of their officers. p. 200.
- Services retained on disbandment of his
corps. pp. 213, 216, 224.
- Sobha Sing's (Sepoy) recognition of—
p. 154.
- Wheler (Col.) on correctness of the state-
ment of—154.

Metcalfe House.

- Mutineers' attacks on picquet in advance
of—p. 358.
- Picquet established at—p. 440.

Metcalfe Picquet.

- Mutineers' arty. fire directed against—
p. 442.
- attacks on—repulsed. pp. 310,
443, 447, 458.
- Showers' (Brigr.) column surprised
insurgents attacking—p. 461.
- Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) arrangement for
preventing insurgents annoying the—
p. 346.

Metcalfe, Sir Theophilus.

- Campbell's (Col.) notice of the services
of—p. 403.
- Column of Assault (No. III) conducted
by—p. 497.
- G. O. noticing the services of—p. 425.
- Nicholson (Brigr.-Genl.) notices services
of—in action at Najafgarh. p. 363.
- Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the ser-
vices of—p. 381.

**Metge, Lieut. W. F., H. M.'s 8th
Regt.**

- Wounded during the assault on Delhi,
pp. 376, 416, 468, & app. 151.

Mint Guard.

Sepoys of the 2nd Grenadiers detected in tampering with the— p. 81.

Minutes.

Mitchell's (Lieut.-Col.) conduct on outbreak of the 19th N. I.—in regard to, app. 16.

Mutinous proceedings of 19th N. I.—on, p. 87.

"Passive mutiny" of sepoy of 63rd N. I.—regarding, app. 22.

Mirza Moghal, Delhi Prince.

Hodson (Lieut.) captured and shot—pp. 367, 369, 378, 480.

Mitchell, Lt. H., Asst. Comy. of Ordn.

Cartridge paper. Deposition regarding, app. 66.

Mitchell, Lt.-Col. W. St. L., Comdg. 19th N. I.

Arty. and cav'y.'s withdrawal explained by—app. 2.

Biting cartridges. Denies statement regarding, p. 62.

Causes which led to the outbreak of the 19th N. I. reported by—p. 61.

Court of Inquiry to investigate conduct of—p. 93, app. 3.

Defence of—app. 11.

Doolam Sing's (Pay-Havdr.) statement contradicted by—p. 62.

Employment of—C.-in-C. to be instructed in regard to future, app. 17, 18.

Expressions in petition denied by—app. 1.

Frawley (Sergt.-Maj.)—proposals on behalf of, p. 51.

G.-G. in Council. Minute on conduct of on outbreak of the 19th N. I.—app. 16.

Kossid sent to 19th N.I. Report regarding, p. 39.

Kurreea Bux's Shaik (Subdr.) statement regarding "imprisoned and transported" denied by—p. 72.

Mitchell, Lt.-Col. W. St. L., Comdg. 19th N. I.—(Contd.)

MacGregor's (Lieut.-Col.) letter on conduct of—app. 15.

Misstatements in depositions before Special Court of Inquiry pointed out by—p. 12.

Mutinous conduct of the 19th N. I. Detailed report of the, p. 42.

—proceedings in regard to, p. 42.

Mutiny of the 19th N.I. Reviewing conduct of—in *re*, p. 89.

Petition of the men of 19th N.I. complaining of the conduct of—p. 45.

—Explanations submitted by—in regard to the, p. 84, and app. 1.

Preparation of a petition.—explanation regarding the, app. 15.

Outbreak of the 19th N. I. Minutes in regard to conduct of—on, app. 16.

Proceedings of—p. 83.

Regtl. command.—debarred in future from, app. 17, 18.

Shaikh Fultoo's (Havdr., 34th N.I.) claim to Order of Merit. President of the Board to inquire into, p. 128.

Special Court of Inquiry ordered to investigate conduct of—p. 100.

Threats used by—p. 46.

Mohan Sing, Chupprasseo.

Campbell's (Col.) notice of the services of—p. 402.

Dareeba Gate opened by—p. 402.

Mohan Sing, Jemadar, 65th N. I.

Issuree Pandey (Jemdr., 34th N.I.). Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 172.

Mangul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N. I.). Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 113.

Salickram Sing (Jemdr., 70th N.I.). Member of the Court for the trial of, app. 37.

Mohun Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.

Charge preferred against—p. 240.

- Mohun Sookul, Sepoy, 7th Co., 34th N. I.**
 Sepoy delegates' meeting. Durriow Sing (Jemdr.) recognised—at, p. 21.
 Sepoy delegates' meeting. Durriow Sing (Jemdr.) taken by—to the, p. 158.
- Money, Capt. E. K., Horse Arty.**
 Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 304.
 Wounded severely on the 23rd July. pp. 342, 450, 487, & app. 152.
- Mookta Persaud Pandey, Drill-Havr., 34th N. I.**
 Baugh's (Lieut.) deposition regarding conduct of—142.
 Durriow Sing's (Jemdr.) complaint of the ill-feeling of—p. 157.
 Drury's (Capt.) orders regarding Sepoy Mungul Pandey conveyed by—p. 183.
 ————remark in regard to influence of—p. 168.
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy). Deposition regarding murderous proceedings of, p. 131.
 N. I. (34th). Deposition regarding occurrences on parade ground of, p. 137.
 Sepoy delegates' meeting. Durriow Sing (Jemdr.) recognised—at, p. 21.
 ————Durriow Sing's (Jemdr.) statement regarding presence of—at the, p. 159.
 Sobha Sing's (Sepoy) statement regarding—p. 153.
- Mookta Persaud Sookul, Sepoy, 2nd Co., 63rd N. I.**
 Furlo'. Reluctant at first to proceed on, app. 21.
- Mooltan.**
 Beloch Batta, ordered from Sind to—p. 325.
 European regt. ordered from Karachi to—pp. 257, 270, 326.
- Moore, Asst.-Surgn. S., H. M.'s 6th Dragoon Guards.**
 Wounded at the Hindun. p. 289.
 Diod of wound. p. 484 & app. 149.
- Moore, Lieut. T. M., H. M.'s 61st Regt.**
 Wounded during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 416, 488, & app. 155.
- Moortuzah Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Mootie Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Morad Shere Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Moradabad.**
 29th N. I. mutinied at—p. 282.
 Quietness prevailing at—pp. 256, 270.
- Moree Bastion.**
 Battery (No. I) right portion to silenced—p. 468.
 ————silenced—p. 468.
 Front attack on—p. 468.
 Heavy guns opened on the—p. 295.
 Ordnance captured at the—p. 420.
 Siege Battery (No. I.) destroyed—p. 392.
 ————employed against—p. 391.
 Siege Battery (No. III) employed to destroy defences of—p. 391.
- Mosque.**
 Mutineers' arty. fire directed against Ficquet at the—p. 442.
 Ficquet established at the—p. 437.
- Mosque Ficquet.**
 Casualties among—in repelling attack on—p. 465.
 Insurgents' attacks on—pp. 458, 465.
- Moullah Bux, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
 Cartridges. Statement regarding, p. 236.
- Moulmein.**
 "Oriental" despatched to bring up the 35th N. I. from—p. 257.
- Mounted Police.**
 Casualties among the—during the assault on Delhi. p. 412.
 Dwyer's (Capt.) notice of the services of the—p. 412.

Mountsteven, Ens. W. H., H. M.'s 8th Regt.

Wounded dangerously on 9th July (since dead). pp. 320, 454, 484 & app. 150.

Moveable Column.

Nicholson (Genl.) placed in command of— p. 358.

Mozuffernuggur.

Beresford (Mr.) at—p. 256.
Detachment of 4th Irr. Cavy. posted at— p. 276.

_____ sent to— p. 282.
Intelligence from—p. 296.

Muddoom Buccus, Mess Abdar, 70th N. I.

Salickram Sing's (Jemdr.) presence at the mess-house. Statement regarding, app. 115.

Muddeh Khan, Subdr., 34th N. I.

Boodhelall Tewary, (Sepoy, 2nd Gdrs.) cross-examined—app. 30.

Bahadoor Sing and Boodhelall Tewary (Sepoy, 2nd Gdrs.)	}	Examination of— in regard to the mutinous con- duct of, app. 27.
---	---	---

Canning's (Earl) Minute in regard to the fidelity of—p. 218.

C-in-C.'s favorable notice of the conduct of—app. 53.

Darriow Sing (Jemdr.) complains of the enmity of—p. 156.

_____s deposition regard-
ing treasonable proceedings of—p. 156.
_____s statement re-
garding word sent by—p. 156.

Dorin's (Hon'ble J.) minute in regard to the fidelity of—p. 219.

Grant's (Hon'ble Mr.) minute in regard to the fidelity of—p. 220.

Low's (Maj.-Genl.) minute in regard to the fidelity of—p. 219.

Maun Singh (Rajah) written to by— p. 156.

Peacock (Hon'ble B.) doubts in regard to fidelity of—p. 216.

Muddeh Khan, Subdr., 34th N. I.— (Contd.)

Peacock (Hon'ble B.) objects to exemp-
tion of—from dismissal. p. 216.
Services retained on disbandment of his
corps. pp. 213, 216, 224.

Mudun Bux Shaik (Tindal), 19th N. I.

Mutinous conduct of the 19th N.I.
Deposition regarding, p. 60.

Mungul Pandey, Sepoy, 34th N. I.

Age of—p. 126.
Atma Sing (Sikh Sepoy) prevented by
Jemadar from seizing—p. 153.

_____Deposition re-
garding mutinous conduct of—p. 197.
Baugh (Lieut.)—murderous assault on—
p. 118.

_____s account of his conflict
with—pp. 106, 188.

_____s account of the mutinous
conduct of—p. 187.

_____ Deposition regarding his
scuffle with—p. 142.

_____ wounded by—pp. 121,
145, 189.

Bhang taken by—pp. 112, 146.

Capital sentence passed on—p. 107.

Character of—p. 126.

Charges preferred against—pp. 112, 114.

Cooke's (Maj.) questions to—p. 108.

Court for the trial of—p. 113.

Drugs taken by—p. 108.

Defence of—p. 126.

Drury (Capt.) ordered arrest of—p. 183.

_____s opinion regarding feeling
of the men of the regiment in regard
to—p. 150.

_____s statement regarding muti-
nous proceedings of—p. 149.

Execution of sentence passed on—p. 107.

Finding of the Court against—p. 126.

General Court-Martial's sentence on—
p. 107.

Gunness Lall (Jemdr.) addressed—p. 186.

_____ conversation
with—p. 118.

Mungul Pandy, Sepoy, 34th N. I.—
(*Contd.*)

- Gunness Lall (Jemdr.) remonstrated with—p. 134.
 Harangue to the sepoy's of his regiment, p. 185.
 Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) detailed report of the mutinous proceedings of—p. 109.
 Hewson (Sergt.-Maj.)—Murderous assault on, p. 118.
 _____'s account of his conflict with—pp. 106, 184.
 _____'s account of the mutinous conduct of—pp. 117, 182.
 _____ wounded by—p. 145.
 Isuree Pandy's (Jemdr.) apathy to seize—p. 193.
 _____ called on to seize—p. 130.
 _____ refused to seize—p. 133.
 Judge Adv.'s charge to—p. 114.
 Lewis' (Drummer) deposition regarding murderous proceedings of—p. 122.
 Murderous intent of—p. 108.
 Mehee Lall (Sepoy) prevented by the Jemadar from seizing—p. 154.
 _____'s deposition regarding mutinous conduct of—p. 199.
 Medl. reports on the health of—p. 113.
 Mookta Persaud Pandy's (Havr.) deposition regarding murderous proceedings of—p. 137.
 Period of service of—p. 127.
 Pleads not guilty, pp. 112, 115.
 Proceedings of the Native Genl. Court-Martial in the case of—p. 113.
 Qr.-Guard (34th N.I.) reluctant to advance on—p. 147.
 Sentence passed on—p. 127.
 Sepoy's harangue by—pp. 119, 185, 187, 194, 199.
 _____ of 34th N. I. Address regarding murderous proceedings of—p. 112.
 Shaik Paltoo's (Havr.) account of the mutinous conduct of—pp. 124, 132, 190.

Mungul Pandy, Sepoy, 34th N. I.—
(*Contd.*)

- Shaik Paltoo's (Havr.'s) statement regarding conflict with—p. 129.
 Suicide attempted by—p. 112.
 Sewbuccus Tewary (Sepoy) prevented by the Jemadar from seizing—p. 154.
 _____ statement regarding mutinous proceedings of—p. 154.
 Sobha Sing (Sepoy) prevented by Jemadar from seizing—p. 153.
 Trial of—Proceedings of the Native General Court-Martial for the, p. 113.
 Wheler (Col.) prosecutor of—p. 112.
 _____ Deposition in regard to murderous proceedings of—pp. 115, 180.
Munnyram, Drummer, 19th N. I.
 Oath. Statement regarding men taking an, p. 71.
Munood Ally Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.
 Charge preferred against—p. 240.
Murad Bux Shaik, Sub.-Maj., 19th N. I.
 Cartridges. Statement concerning, p. 63.
 Deposition regarding mutinous conduct of 19th N. I. p. 62.
 Oath. Statement concerning an, taken by the men, p. 66.
Murray, Lieut. A. W., 42nd N. I., attached to the Guides.
 Killed during the assault on a mosque on 14th Sep.—pp. 375, 413, 417, 485, & app. 151.
 Wounded severely in action of 22nd June, pp. 310, 447.
Murray, Lieut. P., Engrs.
 Column of assault (No. 11)—accompanied, p. 393.
 Wounded during operations in the City of Delhi, pp. 419, 487, & 153.
Mussulman Sepoys, 34th N. I.
 Loyalty of—Court's opinion on, pp. 169, 174.
 Wheler's (Col.) opinion in regard to the loyalty of—p. 136.

Muter, Capt. D. D., H. M.'s 60th Rifles.

Command of the advance assumed by—
on fall of Maj. Reid. p. 478.

—————IVth column of assault
assumed by—p. 412.

Operations of the IVth column of assault
reported by—p. 412.

Mutiny.

Barrackpore troops first originated the—
p. 94.

Bahadoor Sing (Sepoy, 2nd Grds.) tried
and convicted of—p. 25.

Boodhelall Tewary (Sepoy, 2nd Grds.)
tried and convicted of—p. 25.

C.-in-C.'s measures for concentrating
troops on outbreak of the—430.

Salickram Sing (Jemdr., 70th N. I.)
arraigned on charges of—p. 59.

C.-in-C.'s proceedings on learning of the—
p. 255.

Hewitt's (Maj.-Genl.) report of the
outbreak of the—p. 249.

Muttra Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.

Charge preferred against— p. 239.

Mycco Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.

Charge preferred against— p. 245.

N**Najafgarh.**

Casualties at—. Roll of, pp. 364, 464.

Delhi Field Force orders regarding ac-
tion fought at—p. 359.

Guns and stores captured at—. Return
of, pp. 361, 464, 465.

Insurgents' position at—p. 464.

Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) victory at—
p. 464.

————— report of his
operations at—p. 360.

Ordn. captured at—pp. 361, 464, 465.

Shute's (Capt.) sketch of the ground of—
p. 363.

Troops engaged in action at—p. 360.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) report of the action
on 25th Aug. at—p. 359.

Najafgarh Bridge.

Goneste (Lieut.) destroyed the—p. 362.
Sappers destroyed the—p. 464.

Najafgarh Jheel Cut.

Coke's (Maj.) column watching insur-
gents' attempt to bridge the—p. 459.

Napier, Ensg. W. H., H. M.'s 60th Rifles.

Died of wound. p. 484 & app. 150.

Wounded (leg amputated) at the Hindun.
p. 289.

Narain Singh, Trooper, 3rd L. C.

Charge preferred against—p. 239.

Nasirabad Brigade.

Delhi mutineers reinforced by the—
p. 444.

Losses inflicted on the—p. 445.

Troops composing the—p. 444.

Nasiri Battalion.

Briggs (Capt.) to enquire into cause of
discontent of—p. 279.

Kasauli treasury plundered by a party of
the—p. 431.

March ordered of the—from Jatogh. p. 278.

Misconduct of the—p. 431.

Mutiny of the—p. 279.

Ordered to Phillour. p. 430.

Return to duty of the—p. 280.

Services rendered by—p. 431.

Siege train to be escorted from Phillour?
by—p. 430.

Native Army.

Circular issued to allay excited feelings
of the—p. 430.

Religious prejudices.—dread interference
with their, p. 268.

————— of the—. G. O.
regarding non-interference with the,
p. 225.

Religious prejudices of the—. Proclama-
tion issued in regard to non-interference
with the, p. 271.

Uneasy feeling in the—. Head-Quarters
prepared for unpleasant intelligence in
regard to, p. 429.

Native Arty.

- Casualties in the—in the assault on Delhi. p. 414.
 ———— from 15th to 20th Sep.—p. 418.
 Strength of the—before Delhi. p. 463.

Native Arty. Recruits.

- Campbell's (Bt.-Maj.) dismissal of—242.
 ———— explanation regard-
 ing discharge of—p. 248.
 Cartridges refused by—p. 242.
 Discount among—p. 240.
 Dismissal of—242.
 Hewitt's (Maj.-Genl.) report in regard to
 the discharge of—p. 248.
 Wilson's (Brigr.) approval of the dis-
 missal of—p. 244.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) report on condi-
 tion of—p. 260.

Native Cavy.

- Strength of the—before Delhi. p. 463.

Native Comd. Officers.

- Sentence of death on—. Powers in regard
 to confirming. p. 209.

Native Contingents.

- Badli-ki-Seral. Good service in action at,
 p. 290.

Native Court of Inquiry.

- Cartridge question in 3rd L. C. Opinion
 of—in regard to, p. 237.
 L.C. (3rd). Hewitt (Maj.-Genl.) for-
 wards proceedings of—regarding,
 p. 229.

Native Genl. Court-Martial.

- Bahadoor Sing (Sepoy, 2nd Grds.).
 Proceedings relating to the trial and
 conviction of, p. 25.
 Boodhelall Tewary (2nd Grds.). Pro-
 ceedings relating to the trial and
 conviction of, p. 25.
 Isuree Pandey (Jemdr., 34th N.I.),
 arraigned before a—p. 17E.
 L.C. (3rd). Native officers composing
 the—app. 142.
 ———— Trial ordered of men of, by—
 p. 243.

**Native Genl. Court-Martial.—
(Contd.)**

- Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N. I.), ar-
 raigned before a—112.
 ———— Composition of
 the—for trial of, p. 113.
 ———— Proceedings of the
 —in the case of, p. 113.
 Salickram Sing (Jemdr., 70th N.I.)
 Proceedings relating to the trial of
 p. 57.

Native Gunners.

- Loyalty of—on 9th July. p. 315.
 Mutineer horsemen invite—to join them
 rejected. p. 315.

Native Horse Arty.

- Admirable behaviour of the—p. 453.
 Desertion of young soldiers from—p. 455.
 Guns taken from troop of—p. 455.
 ———— restored to—after the capture of
 Delhi, p. 455.

N. I., 2nd Regt. Grds.

- Cartridge paper. Men object to use the,
 p. 7.
 Boswell's (Capt.) report on satisfactory
 state of the left wing of the—p. 31.
 G. O. disbanding 19th N. I. read to the—
 p. 101.
 Hoarsey's (Maj.-Genl.) report regarding
 disaffection of the—p. 16.
 ———— report on present
 state of the—p. 6.
 Mint guard. Sepoys of the—seized in
 tampering with the, p. 82.
 Wing at Ranseegunge to be withdrawn,
 p. 6.

N. I., 5th Regt.

- Anson's (Genl.) report on conduct of—
 p. 276.
 Desertions from—pp. 276, 281.
 Fidelity doubted of the—p. 278.
 Maitland's (Maj.) report on state of the—
 p. 279.
 Montgomery (Mr.) recommends the dis-
 arming of the—279.

N. I., 8th Regt.

Mutiny of four companies of the—p. 258.
 Treasure plundered by mutinous companies of the—p. 258.

N. I., 11th Regt.

Delhi mutineers.—partially joined the, p. 271.
 Mutiny of the—pp. 249, 255.
 Native officers and men of the—who remained with their officers. pp. 250, 255.
 Officers protected by their men. p. 270.
 ————sentiments in regard to feeling of the men of the—p. 429.
 ————spared by men of the—p. 271.

N. I., 19th Regt.

Arms and ammunition seized by men of the—p. 42.
 Barrackpore troops informed of the mutiny of the—p. 82.
 Beharee Sing's (Jemdr.) deposition regarding mutinous conduct of the—p. 58.
 Bijoo Sing's (Havr.-Maj.) deposition regarding mutinous conduct of the—p. 57.
 Caps refused by men of the—p. 51.
 Cartridges. Doubts about, p. 45.
 ————Men assured regarding, p. 39.
 ————Men petition to be permitted to prepare and grease their, p. 61.
 ————Native officers failed to explain orders regarding, p. 42.
 Cartridge paper. Objections in regard to, p. 43.
 Causes which led to the outbreak of the—Mitchell's (Lieut.-Col.) report on, pp. 46, 61.
 Cavy. and Arty. ordered out against the—p. 41.
 Christian's (Drummer Peter) deposition regarding mutinous conduct of the—p. 60.
 C.-in-C.'s orders in regard to the—p. 44.
 Concessions to the disbanded—p. 101.
 Disbandment of the—. Troops to witness, p. 82.
 ————ordered of the—pp. 93, 94.

N. I., 19th Regt.—(Contd.)

Doolam Sing's (Pay-Havr.) deposition regarding mutinous conduct of the—p. 56.
 European Court of Inquiry to investigate misconduct of the—pp. 42, 48.
 Frawley's (Sergt.-Maj.) deposition regarding mutinous conduct of the—p. 51.
 G. O. disbanding the—p. 94.
 Glazed paper objected to by the—p. 43.
 ————tested by the—p. 43.
 Guard of the 34th N.I. blamed by—p. 104.
 Harsey's (Maj.-Genl.) detailed report of the disbandment of the—p. 100.
 ————instructed to disband the—p. 97.
 ————thanked for services on occasion of the disbandment of the—p. 105.
 Heeralall's (Sepoy Musician) deposition regarding mutinous conduct of the—p. 60.
 Investigators' names of the mutiny required from men of the—p. 103.
 Kossid sent to—. Report regarding, p. 29.
 Kurreen Bux Shaik's (Subdr.) deposition regarding mutinous conduct of the—p. 53.
 MacAndrew's (Lieut.) deposition regarding misconduct of the—p. 48.
 March of the—p. 86.
 Men asleep near their bells-of-arms. p. 43.
 Minutes on mutinous proceedings of the—p. 87.
 Mitchell's (Lieut.-Col.) conduct. Explanation in regard to complaint of men of the—as to, app. 1.
 ————conduct. Complaint of the men of the—regarding, p. 45.
 ————Explanation in regard to petition of men of the—p. 84.
 ————explanation of statements in petition of the—p. 84.
 ————Proceedings in regard to the mutinous conduct of the—p. 42.

N. I., 19th Regt.—(Contd.)

- Mitchell's (Lieut.-Col.) Report on satisfactory conduct of the—p. 40.
- sentiments in regard to the outbreak of the—app. 3.
- Movements of the—en route to Barrackpore. p. 84.
- Mudun Bux Shaik's (Tindal) deposition regarding mutinous conduct of the—p. 60.
- Murad Bux's (Subdr.-Maj.) deposition regarding mutinous conduct of the—p. 62.
- Mutinous conduct of the—p. 40.
- Barrackpore Native troops addressed regarding, p. 82.
- Mutiny of the—.Native troops' feelings in regard to the, p. 429.
- Report regarding, p. 41.
- Native officers warned of their responsibility. p. 40.
- Newhouse's (Bt.-Capt.) deposition with regard to the misconduct of the—p. 50.
- Oath taken by men of the Light Co. of the—pp. 52, 58, 60, 66, 67, 68, 69, 71, 76, 79.
- Petition of men for pardon of the disbanded—p. 103.
- of the men in explanation of their conduct. p. 45.
- Procedure to be observed in disbanding the—p. 98.
- Renny's (Drum-Maj. J.) deposition regarding mutinous conduct of the—p. 58.
- Resumé of the mutinous proceedings of the—p. 88.
- Ringleaders of the outbreak of the—Papers circulated for tracing, app. 14.
- Route marches of the—p. 87.
- Subsook Sing's (Jemdr.) deposition regarding mutinous conduct of the—p. 59.
- Threats of Col. Mitchell to—p. 46.
- N. I., 20th Regt.**
- Guards from the—remained at their post. p. 250.

N. I. 20th Regt.—(Contd.)

- Mutiny of the—pp. 249, 255.
- Officers' sentiments in regard to feeling of the men of the—p. 429.
- N. I., 29th Regt.**
- Loyal to Govt. p. 258.
- Mutiny of the—p. 282.
- N. I., 34th Regt.**
- Ajodeah Persaud's (Jemdr., 19th N.I.) statement regarding information given by guard of the—p. 78.
- Atma Sing's (Sepoy) services to be retained on disbandment of the—pp. 213, 224.
- Brahmins in the—. Numerical strength of, pp. 165, 177.
- Brahminical influence predominate in the—pp. 150, 165.
- Canning's (Earl) minute reviewing the conduct of the—p. 212.
- Caste return of the—. p. 176.
- Christians in the—. Numerical strength of, p. 177.
- Chuttees in the—. Numerical strength of, p. 177.
- Companies at Chittagong exempt from disbandment. p. 214.
- Court of Inquiry to examine guard of the—p. 61.
- Conspiracy. Native officers concerned in, p. 156.
- Disbandment of the—carried out. p. 225.
- Doria's (Hon'ble J.) minute on proposed disbandment of the—p. 214.
- Durriow Sing's (Jemdr.) services retained on disbandment of the—pp. 213, 224.
- Enfield Rifle Cartridges. Native officers' enquiry regarding, p. 5.
- European Officers. Disrespect shown by sepoy's of the—to their, p. 165.
- European Special Court of Enquiry convened to enquire into conduct of the guard of—p. 131.
- Exceptions made on disbandment of the—pp. 213, 224.
- G. O. disbanding the—p. 222.
- 19th N.I. read to the—p. 101.

N. I., 34th Regt.—(Contd.)

- Grant's (Hon'ble J. P.) minute on proposed disbandment of the—p. 215.
- Greased cartridges. Wheeler's (Col.) assurance to men of the—regarding, p. 5.
- Goorbucess Sing's (Havr.) services retained on disbandment of the—pp. 213, 224.
- Guard of the—started for Barrackpore. p. 84.
- the—at Berhampore. Detention of the, pp. 43, 61.
- Hearsey (Maj.-Genl.) instructed to disband the—p. 221.
- Hindus of inferior description in the—. Numerical strength of, p. 177.
- Issuree Pandy (Jemdr.) hanged for treason and disloyalty. p. 211.
- Jewrakhun Tewary's (Havr.) services retained on disbandment of the—pp. 213, 224.
- Lalla Dohchore's (Jemdr.) statement regarding guard of the—p. 76.
- Low's (Maj.-Genl. J.) minute on proposed disbandment of the—p. 214.
- Mehee Lall's (Sepoy) services retained on disbandment of the—pp. 213, 224.
- Mookta Persaud Pandy's (Havr.-Maj.) influence in the—p. 168.
- Muddeh Khan's (Subdr.) services retained on disbandment of the—pp. 213, 224.
- Mungul Pandy's (Sepoy) harangue to the men of the—p. 133.
- Murad Bux's (Subdr.-Maj., 19th N.I.) statement regarding the guard of the—p. 66.
- Mussulmans in the—. Numerical strength of, p. 177.
- Mutiny of the—. Native troops' feelings in regard to the, p. 429.
- N. I. (19th) blame guard of—p. 104.
- Native officers of the—Wheeler's (Col.) warning to, of their responsibility. p. 32.
- Numerical return of castes in the—p. 177.

N. I., 34th Regt.—(Contd.)

- Pescocock's (Hon'ble B.) minute on proposed disbandment of the—p. 126.
- Petition from det. of—serving in Chittagong expressive of loyalty and devotion to Govt. p. 175.
- Ramsahai Lalla's (Sepoy) services retained on disbandment of the—pp. 213, 224.
- Religious prejudices. Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) address to—respecting their, p. 112.
- Sewbucess Tewary's (Subdr.) services retained on disbandment of the—pp. 213, 224.
- Sewamber Pandy's (Subdr.) services retained on disbandment of the—pp. 213, 224.
- Shaik Pultoo's (Havr.) services retained on disbandment of the—pp. 213, 224.
- Sikhs in the—. Numerical strength of, pp. 151, 177.
- Sobha Sing's (Sepoy) services retained on disbandment of the—pp. 213, 224.
- Treasonable correspondence. Native officers concerned in, p. 156.
- Treason hatched by certain Native officers of the—. Deposition regarding, p. 156.
- Trustworthiness of the Court of Inquiry in regard to—p. 161.

N. I., 37th Regt.

- Chunar garrisoned by det. of the—p. 253.
- Excited state of the—p. 269.
- Reported to be quiet. p. 270.

N. I., 38th Regt.

- Delhi King tampered with the fidelity of the—p. 266.
- Delhi mutineers. Nucleus of the, p. 271.
- L.C. (3rd). Guards' refusal to fire on attacking party of the, p. 263.
- N.I. (54th) won over by the—p. 266.
- N.I. (74th) gained over by the—p. 267.
- Officers murdered by men of the—p. 265.

N. I., 43rd Regt.

- G. O. disbanding the 19th N. I. read to the—p. 101.

N. I., 43rd Regt.—(Contd.)

Native officers of the—**Matthew's** (Maj.) warning to, p. 32.

Religious prejudices. **Hearsey's** (Maj.-Genl.) address to—respecting their, p. 112.

N. I., 45th Regt.

Disbandment recommended of the—p. 281.

Patala Rajah engages to account for the—p. 281.

N. I., 54th Regt.

Delhi mutineers.—joined the, p. 271.

L. C. (3rd). Wing refused to fire on attacking party of the, p. 263.

N. I. (38th) tampered with the fidelity of the—p. 267.

N. I., 57th Regt.

Disbandment recommended of the—p. 281.

N. I., 60th Regt.

Disbandment recommended of the—p. 281.

Fidelity doubted of the—p. 278.

Montgomery (Mr.) recommends the disarming of the—p. 279.

Mutiny of the—p. 410.

Reasons for detaching the—from Field Force. p. 433.

Seaton's (Lieut.-Col.) report on state of the—p. 279.

N. I., 63rd Regt.

Berhampore. March of—towards, app. 188.

Burney's (Col.) report on misconduct of the—app. 180.

Furlo'—men refuse to proceed on, app. 19.

—willing to proceed on, app. 22.

—granted to the—app. 23.

—refused by men of—, Minute regarding, app. 21.

Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) suggestion for punishing ringleaders for refusing to take furlo', app. 188.

Minute on conduct of the men of the—in refusing to take furlo', app. 22.

N. I., 63rd Regt.—(Contd.)

Misconduct of the—app. 188.

Raneegunge. Two companies of the—to remain at, app. 188.

Raneegunge duty to be undertaken by wing of the—p. 6.

Sepoys refusing to take furlo' pardoned, app. 22.

Sooria. Two companies of the—to remain at, app. 188.

N. I., 70th Regt.

Cartridges. Men satisfied in regard to, app. 77, 78, 80, 81, 82, and 83.

Cartridge and paper. **Kennedy's** (Col.) report that the men of the—satisfied with explanation regarding, p. 80.

G. O. disbanding the 19th **N. I.** read to the—p. 101.

Salickram Sing (Jemdr.) dismissed the service for mutiny. app. 135.

Thatching of huts in the lines of the—, Orders in regard to, app. 83.

N. I., 74th Regt.

Delhi mutineers.—forced to join the, p. 271.

N. I. (38th) tampered with the fidelity of the—p. 267.

Wallace (Capt.) sent to cantonments to bring up the—p. 264.

Nat. Infy. Regts.

Barrackpore. Commanding officers report satisfactory state of men of—at, p. 5.

Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) opinion regarding causes of ill-feeling among the—at **Barrackpore**. p. 4.

Disarming of—, **Punjab Chief Comsr.** recommends the, p. 281.

Meerut. Insubordination not spread to—at, p. 247.

Strength of the—before **Delhi**. p. 463.

Native Officers.

Beng. N. I. Standing Orders. Section relating to, app. 96.

Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) opinion on the conduct of—p. 27.

Killed during siege operations at **Delhi**. p. 492.

Native Officers.—(Contd.)

Orders in regard to responsibility of—
p. 28.

Order of Merit.—to be admitted to the,
p. 271.

Wounded during siege operations at
Delhi. p. 492.

Native Officers, 19th N. I. Regt.

Mitchell's (Col.) warning to—in regard
to their responsibility. p. 40.

Native Troops.

Badli-ki-Serai. Praiseworthy conduct of—
at, p. 289.

Barrackpore. Salikram Sing's (Jemdr.
70th N.I.) sentence interpreted to the—
at, app. 55.

British soldiers distrust of—p. 433.

Casualties among—on day of assault on
Delhi. p. 375.

Durruiw Sing's (Jemdr.) statement as
to the cause of bad feeling among—
p. 158.

Natives.

Killed, wounded, missing, during siege
operations at Delhi. p. 492.

Nawab Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.

Charge preferred against—p. 239.

**Newhouse, Bt.-Capt. L. R., 19th
N. I.**

Guna. Deposition regarding withdrawal
of, app. 11.

Lodgment of arms. Deposition regard-
ing, app. 10.

Misconduct of the 19th N. I. Deposition
in regard to the, p. 50.

Mitchell (Lieut.-Col.). Examination of—
in re, app. 10.

Subadar of the Co. reported absent to—
p. 81.

Nicholson, Brigr.-Genl. J.

Arrival in British camp before Delhi of
troops under command of—p. 333.

Cashmere Bastion's left face escaladed by
column under—p. 399.

Nicholson, Brigr.-Genl. J.—(Contd.)

Casualties on 25th Aug. in the column
under—p. 464.

Column of Assault (No. I) under com-
mand of—pp. 371, 470.

Command of a moveable column confer-
red on—pp. 326, 327.

Condition of—p. 366.

Delhi Field Force. Column under—joined
the, pp. 350, 357, 461.

Died of wound received on 14th Sep.
p. 484.

G. O. noticing the services of the late—
p. 424.

Moveable column formed and placed under
—p. 352.

Movements of the column under—p. 372.

Najafgarh. Insurgents defeated at,
p. 464.

—Report of his operations at,
p. 360.

Troops composing column detached under
—pp. 360, 463.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) reports on services
of—pp. 369, 379.

Wounded dangerously during the assault
on Delhi. pp. 366, 372, 376, 399,
400, 416, 473, 477, 484, & app. 149.

Nicholson's (Br.-Gt.) Column.

Troops composing—p. 399.

Nicholson, Lieut. C. J., 31st N. I.,

Comdg. 1st Punjab Infy.

Campbell's (Col.) notice of the services
of—p. 403.

Column of Assault (No. IV). Com-
manded det. in the, p. 471.

Wounded severely during the assault on
Delhi. pp. 376, 407, 417, 486, &
app. 157.

**Nicoll, Capt. H., 50th N. I., Brig.-
Maj., Delhi.**

Escape of—from Delhi. p. 266.

Longfield's (Brigr.) notice of the services
of—p. 405.

Non-Com. Officers.

Army Standing Orders regarding—
app. 96.

Non-Com. Officers.—(Contd.)

- Killed, }
 Missing, } Number of, during siege
 and } operations at Delhi. p. 492.
 Wounded }
- Norman, Capt. W. H.**
 Adj.-Genl's Dept. Entrusted with the
 duties of the, p. 321.
 Badli-ki-Serai. Services at, p. 290.
 Delhi Field Force. Connection ceased
 with the, p. 481.
 G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
 Mutiny of the Bengl. Army narrated by—
 p. 429.
 Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) favorable notice of
 the services of—p. 317.
 —————thanks to—p. 330.
 Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services render-
 ed by—during, p. 428.
 —————Narrative of the, by—
 p. 429.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the
 services of—p. 380.
- Norman's Narrative.**
 Casualty return attached to—p. 463.
 Resumption of—p. 474.
- Noorpore.**
 Natives Art. Co. ordered down from—
 p. 430.
- N.-W. Provs.**
 Martial law to be proclaimed in—p. 251.
- Nubby Bux Khan, Trooper, 3rd
 L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Nudjoo Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Numerical Return.**
 N. I. (34th).—of different castes in the,
 p. 177.
- Nusoorollah Beg, Trooper, 3rd
 L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Nuthall, Ensign, Engrs.**
 Column of Assault (No. III).—accom-
 panied, p. 393.

O**Oath.**

N. I. (19th) Light Co. men having taken
 an—, pp. 52, 53, 60, 66, 67, 68, 69, 71,
 76, 79.

Ochteriony Gardens.

Ellis (Lieut.) lead a troop of Carabineers
 in action on left of the—p. 303.
 Grant's (Brigr.) report of the defeat of the
 munitiers in rear of the—p. 303.
 Guide Corps' services in action in rear of
 the—p. 304.
 Lancers (H. M.'s 9th) services in action
 in rear of the—p. 304.
 Troops engaged in action in rear of the—
 p. 303.

Officers.

Number of—killed and wounded during
 siege operations at Delhi. p. 492.

Officers Comdg. Stations.

Rewards for acts of loyalty and gallantry.
 —empowered to confer, p. 271.

Olpherts, Maj. Horse Art.

Instructions issued to—p. 446.
 Rhye detachment under—p. 446.

Oosman Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.

Charge preferred against—p. 240.

Order of Merit.

Native officers and soldiers. Local author-
 ities empowered to admit, to the—
 p. 271.
 Rooper Khan (Sowar, 4th Irr.) re-
 warded with the—p. 302.
 Shaik Paltoo (Havdr., 34th N.I.) re-
 commended for the—pp. 106, 131.

Ordnance.

Delhi Field Force captured—p. 419.
 Shots from the enemy used in captured—
 p. 442.

Ordnance Dept.

G. O. noticing the services of the officers
 of the—during the siege of Delhi.
 p. 423.

- " Oriental."**
N. I. (35th) from Moulmein to be brought up by the—p. 257.
- Oude King.**
Discontent in Native corps suspected to be caused by followers of—p. 40.
Fort William to be seized with the assistance of the—p. 158.
- Oude Rebels.**
Gwalior insurgents meditate junction with the—p. 333.
- Ouvry, Maj. H. A., on staff of Maj.-Genl. Wilson.**
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 381.
- Owen, Lieut. A. G., 55th N.I., attached to 1st Fusiliers.**
Wounded on the 12th Aug. pp. 350, 461.
—severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 417, 489, & app. 156.
- P**
- Packe, Lieut. C. F., 4th N. I., attached to 4th Sikh Infy.**
Wounded severely on 30th June. pp. 312, 448, 489, & app. 157.
- Paharipore.**
Barnard's (Maj.-Genl.) report of the destruction of the mutineers' baty. at—p. 298.
Casualties in the column under Maj. Tombs at—p. 299.
Columns detached to destroy mutineers' baty. at—p. 298.
Frith's (Lieut.) services at—p. 299.
Gun captured by Maj. Tombs' column at—p. 299.
Jacob's (Maj.) services at—p. 299.
Khanan Khan's (Ressaldar, Guide Cary.) services at—p. 299.
Mutineers at—. Measures for driving, p. 373.
Perkins' (Lieut.) services at—p. 299.
Phillips' (Lieut.) services at—p. 299.
- Paharipore.—(Contd.)**
Reid (Maj.) detached to destroy mutineers' baty. at—p. 298.
—s' attack on mutineers' position at—p. 373.
Tombs (Maj.) detached to destroy mutineers' baty. at—p. 298.
—had horses killed under him at—p. 298.
—s' manoeuvres at—. Report of, p. 298.
—s' operations at—p. 387.
Troops composing column under Maj. Tombs at—p. 298.
Williams' (Capt.) services at—p. 299.
Wilson's (Capt.) services at—p. 299.
- Palace.**
Battery opened on—p. 479.
Capture of the—p. 480.
Head-quarters established at the—p. 480.
Mortar battery contributed to the evacuation of the—p. 480.
- Panah Ally Khan, Trooper, 3rd L.C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Paniput.**
Field Battery ordered to—p. 271.
Force detached to—p. 282.
Irr. det. posted on road beyond—p. 276.
Troops pushed on to—p. 432.
- Paterson, Maj. F. S., 54th N. I.**
Cashmere Gate command assumed by—p. 264.
- Patiala.**
Anson (Genl.) visited Rajah of—p. 280.
Force from—to assemble at Kurnaal. pp. 254, 269.
G. O. noticing the loyal co-operation of the Mahareja of—p. 426.
Jullander mutineers' movements through territory of—p. 325.
Rajah's co-operation to be invited. p. 252.
—invited to join the rebels. p. 252.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of the Rajah of—p. 383.
- Patiala Troops.**
Kurnaal.—to rendezvous at, p. 252.

Patiala Troops.—(Contd.)

MacAndrew (Capt) with—271.

Umballa Cants.—brought into, p. 432.

Patodee.

Delhi king disavowed acts of mutineers at—p. 358.

Delhi mutineers driven away by inhabitants of—p. 358.

Pattoun, Lieut. W. H. W., H. M.'s 61st Regt.

Wounded severely on 18th July. pp. 337, 457, 488, & app. 155.

Peacock, Hon'ble Sir Barnes.

Mutiny of 19th N. I. Minute on, p. 87.

Untrustworthiness of 34th N.I. Minute on, p. 216.

Peer Ali, Havdr., 34th N. I.

Durriow Sing's (Jemdr.) deposition regarding message brought by—p. 156.

Durriow Sing's (Jemdr.) informant—p. 157.

Peer Buksh, Havdr., 34th N. I.

Barrackpore incidents communicated to men of the 19th N. I. Statement regarding, p. 79.

Oath taken by men of 19th N. I. Statement regarding, p. 79.

Peer Khan (1st), Trooper, 3rd L.C.

Charge preferred against—p. 239.

Pemberton, Lieut., Engrs.

Column of Assault (No. 11).—attached to, p. 471.

Wounded during the assault on Delhi. pp. 366, 375, 415, 487, & app. 153.

Penny, Maj.-Genl. N.

Meerut Command. Appointment of—to the, pp. 259, 260.

Perkins, Lieut., Engrs.

Tombs' (Maj.) mention of the services of—p. 299.

Wounded on 17th June. p. 487, app. 153.

Perkins, Lieut. H. G., 2nd Trp., 1st Brig., Horse Arty.

Killed at the Hindan. pp. 288, 289, 484, & app. 149.

Persia.

European regts. return from—urged on Govt. p. 254.

—troops expected from—p. 257.

—returning from—ordered to Calcutta. p. 270.

Peace ratified with—pp. 252, 254.

Troops expected from—p. 252.

Peshawar.

European regts. called for from force at—p. 327.

Native troops well guarded at—p. 319.

Peshawar Valley.

Troops in the—. Improved position of the, p. 325.

Petum Sing, Sepoy, 2nd Grds.

Cartridge. Objections to bite, p. 36.

Phillips, Vety.-Surgn. J., 3rd L. C.

Murdered by mutineers at Meerut. pp. 249, 255.

Phillipps, Ensign E. A. L., 11th N. I., attached to 60th Rifles.

Killed during operations in the City of Delhi. pp. 419, 485, & app. 150.

Wounded in repelling rear attack on 19th June. pp. 306, 445.

Phillipps, Lieut. C. J., H. M.'s 60th Rifles.

Tombs' (Maj.) mention of the services of—p. 299.

Phillour.

Nasiri Battn. to escort siege train from—p. 430.

Siege train ordered from—p. 430.

Small-arms ammunition requisitioned from—p. 430.

Spare wagons for horse arty. ordered from—p. 430.

Phillour Fort.

European det. to be thrown into—p. 430.

Phoolchudder Aqueduct.

Destruction of the—p. 451.

Pioneers.

Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) notice of the services of the—p. 396.

Pioneers.—(Contd.)

Casualties on 9th July among the—
p. 319.

———14th July among the—p. 321.

———during siege operations at
Delhi among the—p. 492.

Siege batteries. Exertions of—to com-
plete the, p. 475.

**Plowden, Bt.-Maj. A. W. C., 3rd
L. C.**

Arrest.—placed in, p. 246.

G. O. G. Explanation of not having
read the, p. 247.

———Failure to read, to the men
of his regt. p. 246.

Wilson's (Brigr.) report regarding ex-
planation of—p. 247.

**Pogson, Lieut. W. W., H. M.'s 8th
Regt.**

Wounded on the 23rd July. p. 342.

———dangerously (since dead) during
the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 416,
484, & app. 150.

**Pollock, Lieut. H. T., 35th N. I.,
attached to 1st Punj. Infy.**

Wounded severely on 14th July. pp. 323,
456, 491, & app. 157.

Polwhele, Brigr.

Agra Divn.—removed from command of
the, p. 332.

Poorun Roy, Havdr., 70th N. I.

Thatching huts. Statement regarding
order for, app. 87, 111.

Post Office, Delhi.

Mutineers destroyed the—p. 267.

Prag Misir, Sepoy, L. Co., 63rd N. I.

Furlo'. Reluctant at first to proceed on,
app. 21.

**Prior, Local Ensign C., 1st Punj.
Infy.**

Wounded during the assault on Delhi.
pp. 376, 417, 486, & app. 157.

**Probyn, Lieut., Comdg. 2nd Punj.
Cavy.**

Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—
p. 398.

Proclamation.

Religious prejudices of the Native Army.
—regarding non-interference with the,
p. 271.

**Pullan, Lieut. A., 36th N. I., at-
tached to 4th Sikh Infy.**

Wounded severely on 9th July. pp. 320,
490, & app. 157.

Punchayets.

Ajoodesh Persaud's (Jemdr.) statement
of sepoy being able to hold—p. 78.

Amarun Sing's (Subdr., 19th N.I.)
statement of sepoy being able to hold
—p. 74.

Doolum Sing's (Pay-Havdr., 19th N.I.)
statement regarding sepoy being able
to hold—p. 68.

Gungabisun Chowbey's (Subdr.) state-
ment regarding sepoy being able to
hold—p. 77.

Lalla Dokebore's (Jemdr., 19th N.I.)
statement regarding sepoy being able
to hold—p. 75.

Mured Bux's (Subdr.-Maj., 19th N.I.)
statement regarding sepoy being able
to hold—p. 66.

Punjab.

Bengal Infy. regts. retaining their arms
in the—, Number of, p. 326.

Cavalry corps maintained in the—p. 326.

Chief Comr.'s exertions recognised in re-
inforcing the forces at Delhi. p. 327.

Communication secured with the—p. 442.

Corps raised in the—. Strength of, p. 326.

Intelligence from the—p. 369.

Irr. cavy. dets. sent back to the—p. 454.

Regts. of infy. and cavy. Number of,
maintained in the—p. 326.

Reinforcements sent to Delhi from the—
p. 325.

Sappers' mutiny at Meerut intimated to
Ch. Commr.—p. 280.

Tranquillity maintained in the—p. 325.

Troops ordered down from the—p. 280.

Punjab Cavy.

Doubts as to the fidelity of the—p. 326.

Punjab Cavy.—(Contd.)

Hindustanis compose half the corps of the—p. 326.

Strength of the—sent to reinforce the Delhi Field Force. p. 325.

Punjab Cavy., 1st Regt.

Casualties among the—during the assault on Delhi. p. 414.

—during siege operations at Delhi. p. 492.

Squadron composed of Sikhs and Punjabis joined camp. p. 455.

Punjab Cavy., 2nd Regt.

Casualties among the—during the assault on Delhi. p. 414.

—at Najafgarh. p. 364.

—during siege operations at Delhi. p. 492.

Mutineer horsemen's further progress into British camp prevented by—p. 316.

Punjab Cavy., 5th Regt.

Casualties among the—during the assault on Delhi. p. 414.

—during siege operations at Delhi. p. 492.

Punjab Force.

Detachment from Infy. regts. of the—to Rugsore. p. 269.

Punjab Infy., 1st Regt.

Battery (No. II) protected by a portion of the—p. 476.

Casualties at Najafgarh among the—p. 364.

—on 4th July among the—p. 314.

—14th July among the—p. 322.

—18th July among the—p. 336.

—21st July among the—p. 338.

—23rd July among the—p. 241.

—1st and 2nd Aug. among the—p. 345.

—12th Aug. among the—pp. 349, 461.

—during the assault on Delhi among the—p. 414.

—15th to 20th Sep. at Delhi. p. 418.

Punjab Infy., 1st Regt.—(Contd.)

Casualties among the—during siege operations at Delhi. p. 492.

Reid's (Maj.) notice of the services of the—p. 346.

Punjab Infy., 2nd Regt.

Casualties at Najafgarh among the—p. 364.

—during the assault on Delhi. p. 414.

—from 15th to 20th Sep. at Delhi. p. 418.

—during siege operations at Delhi. p. 492.

Punjab Infy., 4th Regt.

Casualties among the—during the assault on Delhi. pp. 406, 414.

—from 15th to 20th Sep. at Delhi. p. 418.

—in storming the fort of Sellimguh among the—p. 407.

—during siege operations at Delhi among the—p. 492.

Part taken in operations of the 14th Sep. p. 405.

Punjab Police.

Chief Comar., Punjab, to send down the—p. 269.

Punjab Regts.

Lawrence (Sir John) to be asked to send down—p. 252.

Punjab Rifles, 1st Regt.

Estimate of the—p. 326.

Strength of the—sent to reinforce the Delhi Field Force. p. 325.

Punjab Sappers & Miners.

Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) notice of the services of—p. 396.

Explosion party. Ten men from—were with the, p. 394.

Punjab Troops.

Ardour of—for employment. p. 325.

Fidelity of—, Continuance of the, p. 326.

Parcell, Pte. J., 9th Lancers.

Victoria Cross proposed for—p. 30.

Purnode Pandey, Sepoy, 34th N.I.

Bahadoor Sing and Boodhellall Tewary	}	Sepoys, 2nd Grds.	{	Deposition regarding mutinous conduct of, app. 37.
---	---	----------------------	---	--

Prosecutor's examination of—app. 50.

Pursaud Sing, Havdr., 3rd L.C.

Cartridges. Statement regarding preparation of, p. 233.

Cartridge received by—pp. 228, 231.

Pym, Ensign C. M., H. M.'s 75th Regt.

Wounded at Badli-ki-Seral. pp. 293, 468, & app. 155.

Pynbarie Bridge.

Cavy. videttes to watch the—p. 451.

Retention of the—p. 451.

Q

Quarter-guard, 34th N. I.

Mungul Pandey (Sepoy). Conduct of the—in regard to the seizure of, p. 115.

Qmr.-Genl.'s Dept.

Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by officers of the—during, p. 428.

R

Rajab Ali, Moulvi.

Delhi King captured through the instrumentality of—p. 480.

Rambuksh Sing, Havdr., 2nd Grds.

Bahadoor Sing and Boodhellall Tewary	}	Sepoys, 2nd Grds.	{	Deposition regarding absence from the fort of— app. 41.
---	---	----------------------	---	--

Ram Churn, Subdr., 3rd L.C.

L.C. (3rd). Member of the Court of Inquiry to investigate the conduct of men of, p. 23.

Trooper, 3rd L. C. Member of the Court of Inquiry for the trial of, app. 140.

Ramchurn Pattack, Sepoy, L. Co., 63rd N. I.

Furio'. Reluctant at first to proceed on, app. 21.

Ramchurn Sing, Havr., 4th Co., 70th N. I.

Adhar Sing (Sepoy) recognised—in the assembly. app. 110.

Dhowany Bux Sing (Sepoy) recognised—as being present in the assembly. app. 104.

Sewchurn Miasr (Havdr.-Maj.) recognised—in the assembly. app. 99.

Ramchurn Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.

Charge preferred against—p. 240.

Ram Heth, Sepoy, Sap. & Miners.

Killed while endeavouring to fire the charge on 14th Sep. p. 394.

Ramjan Khan, Nat. Doctor, 43rd N. I.

Kossid sent to *Ung-ka-Pattan* (19th N. I.) Statement regarding, p. 30.

Ram Lall, Subdr.-Maj., 34th N. I.

Durriow Sing's (Jemdr. deposition regarding treasonable proceedings of—p. 156.

Fort William. Intention of—to seize, p. 157.

Moun Sing (Bajah) written to by—p. 156. Treasonable proceedings of—p. 158.

Rampersaud Ditchit, Lance-Naick, 3rd Co., 70th N. I.

Assembly in the hut of Jemdr. Salickram Sing. Deposition relating to, app. 102.

Harris (Lieut.) found—in the bungalow. app. 119.

Hyat Bux Khan (Pay-Havdr.) recognised by—in the assembly. app. 102.

Jhoomuck Sing (Drill Lance-Naick) recognised by—as being in the assembly. app. 102.

Kootub Ally Khan (Drill Naick) recognised by—as being in the assembly. app. 102.

Salickram Sing (Jemdr., 70th N. I.) cross-examined—app. 104.

- Ram Sahai Lalla, Sepoy, 8th Co., 34th N. I.**
 Descriptive roll of—p. 19.
 Sepoy delegates' meeting, Allen's (Lieut.) report on statement made by—regarding, p. 17.
 ————— Statement regarding, pp. 22, 159.
 Services retained on disbandment of his corps. pp. 213, 224.
- Ramsahai Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Ramsawarun Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Ramsay, Capt., Comdg. Kumaon Battn.**
 Campbell's (Col.) notice of the services of—p. 403.
 Column of Assault (No. IV).—commanded det. in the, p. 471.
- Ram Sing, Jemdr., 9th Co., 2nd Grds.**
 Cartridge paper. Objections about, p. 11.
- Ram Sing, Mounted Police Orderly.**
 Dwyer's (Capt.) notice of the services of—p. 412.
 Wounded during the assault on Delhi, p. 412.
- Ram Sing, Subdr., 9th Battn., Arty.**
 Issues Pandey (Jemdr., 34th N.I.) Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 177.
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N.I.) Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 113.
 Salickram Sing, (Jemdr., 70th N.I.) Member of the Court for the trial of, app. 57.
- Ramtaroy, Havr., Sap. & Miners.**
 Services of—with the firing party, p. 395.
- Raneegunge.**
 Hearsay's (Maj.-Genl.) reasons for withdrawing wing of 2nd Grds. from—p. 6.
- Raneegunge.—(Contd.)**
 N. I. (63rd) to leave two companies at—app. 188.
 Outpost duty at—. Two companies sufficient for, p. 6.
 Sergeants' bungalow burnt down at—p. 4.
 Wing of 2nd N.I. to be withdrawn from—p. 6.
 —————63rd N. I. proposed for duty at—p. 6.
- Rangur Horsemen.**
 Hodson's (Lieut.) account of his action with—p. 353.
- Rank and File.**
 Killed, } Number of—during siege
 Wounded, } operations at Delhi,
 Missing, } p. 493.
- Raynor, Lieut.**
 Delhi magazine.—services acknowledged in defending the, p. 272.
 Escape of—from Delhi, p. 274.
- Recruits, 19th N. I. Regt.**
 Cartridges fired by—p. 66.
- Reed, Capt., A. D. C.**
 Reeda's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 380.
- Reed, Maj.-Genl. T., Provl. C.-in-C.**
 Appointments made by—pp. 326, 327.
 Arrival at Alipur of—p. 439.
 Badli-ki-Serai. Despatch relating to the engagement at, p. 282.
 Badli-ki-Serai. No part taken by—in action at, p. 439.
 Barnard's (Maj.-Genl. Sir Henry) demise reported by—p. 314.
 Casualties during operations of the 9th July under—p. 313.
 Chamberlain's (Brigr.-Genl.) services favorably noticed by—p. 317.
 Command of the Dulbi Field Force resigned by—p. 456.
 Congreve's (Col.) services favorably noticed by—p. 317.
 Curran's (Lieut.-Col. the Hon'ble) services favorably noticed by—p. 317.

- Reed, Maj.-Genl. T., Provl. C.-in-C.**
 —(Contd.)
 Delhi. Hopes to strike a decisive blow against, p. 324.
 — Field Force. Arrival of—in camp of, p. 283.
 — Intention of joining the, p. 277.
 — command assumed by—p. 314.
 — persevering gallantry on 23rd June commended by—p. 307.
 Garstin's (Capt.) services favorably noticed by—p. 317.
 G. O. on resigning command of the Delhi Field Force. p. 329.
 Hills' (Lieut.) conduct brought to notice by—p. 316.
 Ill health the cause of his resignation. p. 328.
 Illness of—pp. 283, 296.
 Lawrence (Sir John) informed of the departure of—p. 331.
 Military operations. No part taken by—in, p. 295.
 Mutineers' attack on the 9th July on the right of the position of the British force reported by—p. 315.
 — 14th July on picquet of the Delhi Field Force reported by—p. 320.
 Norman's (Capt.) services favorably noticed by—p. 317.
 Resignation of the command of the Delhi Field Force. p. 326.
 Senior officer in the Bengal Presidency. p. 277.
 Tombs' (Maj.) heroic conduct brought to notice by—p. 316.
 Young's (Lieut.-Col.) services favorably noticed by—p. 317.
- Reid, Asst.-Surgn. T. B., H. M.'s. 53rd Regt.**
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N.I.) Health certificate of, p. 113.
- Reid, Bt.-Lt.-Col. C.S., 9th Battn., Beng. Arty.**
 Trustworthiness of 34th N.I. Member of the Court of Inquiry in regard to, p. 161.
- Reid, Maj. C., Comdg. Sirmoor Battn.**
 Barnard (Maj.-Genl. Sir Henry) mentions services of—p. 298.
 Battery and magazine destroyed by column under—p. 443.
 Casualties on 17th June in the column under—p. 444.
 Column of Assault (No. IV) under command of—p. 471.
 — formed under—p. 373.
 "Crows Nest."—repulsed mutineers' attacks on 1st and 2nd Aug. on, p. 344.
 G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
 Hindu Rao's House defended during 24 separate attacks by—pp. 343, 442, 443.
 Kiasengunge.—reports destruction of the mutineers' batteries at, p. 300.
 Lawrence (Maj.) succeeded—in command of the IV column of assault. p. 478.
 Main picquet, Delhi Field Force.—Report of the repulse of an attack on the p. 293.
 Manoeuvres at Kiasengunge. Report of p. 300.
 Mutineers driven from enclosures on right of British camp by picquet under—p. 316.
 Paharpore.—detached to destroy mutineers batty. at, p. 293.
 Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.
 "Sammy House".—reports repulse of the mutineers' attacks on 1st and 2nd Aug. on, p. 343.
 Services of—at Hindu Rao's picquet, p. 443.
 Showers (Brigr.) assisted by—in driving mutineers from the suburbs. p. 320.
 Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by—during, p. 420.
 Troops composing the left column of attack on the Edgah under—p. 443.
 Wilson's (Brigr.-Genl.) notice of the valuable services of—in defending his position. pp. 342, 379.
 Wounded severely on 14th Sep. in attack on mutineers' batteries at Kiasengunge. pp. 366, 373, 376, 379, 408, 412, 416, 478, 486, & spp. 156.

Reid's (Maj.) Column of Assault.

Operations of—p. 412.

Troops composing—p. 412.

Reinforcements.

Arrival on 6th Sep. of further—p. 455.

Troops composing the—arrived at Delhi.
pp. 446, 461, 465.**Remington, Capt.**Gaitskell's (Maj.) notice of the services
of—pp. 386, 389.

Light Batty. under command of—p. 384.

Light Gun Batty. near Sammy House
commanded by—p. 475.Nicholson's (Brig.-Genl.) notice of the
services of—in action at Najafgarh.
p. 363.Showers' (Brig.) notice of the services of
—p. 348.Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered
by—during, p. 428.**Renny, Drum-Maj. J., 19th N. I.**

Mutinous conduct of the 19th N.I.

Deposition regarding, p. 58.

Oath. Statement concerning, taken by
the men. p. 67.**Reserve Column.**

Beluch Batta. rejoined—p. 479.

Corps composing the—p. 472.

Engr. officers attached to the—pp. 393,
472.Longfield (Brig.) in command of—
pp. 372, 462.

Movements of the—p. 477.

Operations of the—p. 404.

Reinforcements sent from—p. 404.

Troops composing the—pp. 372, 404.

Reveley, Lieut., 74th N. I.

Killed by mutineers at Delhi. p. 266.

Reward.Lacendaries at Barrackpore.—offered for
conviction of, p. 16.Loyalty and gallantry.—to be conferred
for acts of, p. 271.**Rhotuck.**Casualties during operations of the 17th
and 18th Aug. at—p. 356.**Rhotuck.—(Contd.)**Hodson's (Lieut.) account of his operations
at—p. 353.

————— move towards—p. 462.

————— report of the results of
his expedition to—p. 352.

Insurgents evacuated—p. 462.

Mutineers' intention to seize treasury at
—p. 282.————— obtained contribution from
Nawab of—p. 358.

N. I. (60th) detached to—p. 433.

————— mutinied at—p. 440.

Rhya.

Alipar det. retired to—p. 450.

Force stationed at—p. 446.

Olpherts (Maj.) in command of det. at—
p. 446.

Troops pushed on to—p. 432.

Ridge Batteries.Jones' (Lieut.-Col.) column drove muti-
neers on 18th July from the—p. 457.Mutineers' attack on 27th June repulsed
by—p. 447.————— 18th July on—
p. 457.————— 23rd July on—
p. 458.————— 26th Aug. on—
p. 465.**Ripley, Lieut.-Col., Comdg. 54th
N. I.**Mortally wounded by mutineers at Delhi.
p. 263.**Rivers, Lieut. C. E., H. M.'s 75th
Regt.**

Wounded at Badli-ki-Sera. p. 293.

————— on 14th July. pp. 323, 456,
488, & app. 155.**Roberts, Lieut. F. S., Dy. Asst.
Qrmr.-Genl., attached to Arty.
Brig.**

G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the
services of—p. 380.Wounded on 14th July. pp. 323, 456,
486, & app. 152.

- Robertson, Capt. A. C., H.M.'s 8th Regt.**
Showers' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 348.
- Rohilkund Mutineers.**
Troops composing the—p. 449.
- Roods, Mr., Portrait Painter.**
Killed by mutineers. p. 268.
- Roopur.**
Det. from 5th N. I. sent to—p. 276.
- Roopur Khan, Sowar, 4th Irr. Cavy.**
Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 303.
Order of Merit conferred on—p. 302.
- Ross, Lieut. S., 9th N. I., attached to Sirmoor Battn.**
Wounded on 4th July. pp. 323, 456, 489, & app. 157.
- Ross, Maj. A. H., Asst. Adjt.-Genl.**
Services of—acknowledged. p. 105.
- Rosser, Capt. C. F., H. M.'s 6th Dra. Guards.**
Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 398.
Wounded mortally during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 399, 415, 488, & app. 153.
- Rothney, Capt., Comdg. 4th Sikhs.**
Column of Assault (No. 11)—commanded det. in the—p. 471.
Jones' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 401.
- Round Tower between Moree and Cabul Gates.**
Ordnance captured at—p. 419.
- Rugbeer Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Rujjub Ali, Sepoy, 34th N. I.**
Barrackpore incident related to men of the 19th N. I. Statement regarding. p. 81.
- Runbir Sing, Mahajarah of Cashmere.**
S.O. noticing the cordial assistance rendered by—p. 426.
- Runghee Sing, Sepoy, Gr. Co., 63rd N. I.**
Furlo'. Eloquent at first to proceed on, app. 21.
- Russell, Capt. C. W., 54th N. I., Ord. Officer.**
Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggur. Services in action at, p. 285.
Killed at Badli-ki-Serai. pp. 293, 435, 484, & app. 153.

S

- Saharanpur.**
Force detached to—p. 276.
— saved pillage of—p. 276.
Nasiri Battn. rendered good service at—p. 431.
Quietness prevailing at—pp. 256, 270.
- Sahbedad Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Sahab Deen Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Cartridges. Statement regarding, p. 236.
- Sahab Mahomed.**
Sikhs to be enlisted by—p. 280.
- Salarbux Khan, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Salickram Sing, Jemd., 70th N. I.**
Adhar Sing (Sepoy) heard conversation of—app. 110.
Anson's (Genl.) remarks on sentence passed on—app. 137.
Assembly in hut of—Deposition relating to, app. 97, 99, 102, 104, 105, 110.
"Bharosa" the word used by—app. 74.
Bhowany Bux (Sepoy) cross-examined by—app. 105.
Baldeo Sing's (Sepoy) statement regarding report having been made against—app. 103.
Character of—app. 120.
Charges preferred against—app. 59.
Defence of—app. 125.
Dismissal of—from the service, app. 135.

- Salickram Sing, Jemdr., 70th N.I.—**
(*Contd.*)
Disturbance. Kennedy's (Col.) statement regarding no report of apprehended, made by—app. 111.
Durrion Sing's (Subdr.-Maj.) deposition regarding seditious language used by—app. 76.
——— statement regarding report of threat of—app. 109.
Finding of the Court against—app. 135.
Grierson's (Lieut.) statement regarding character of—app. 129.
Guinness Pandey (Sepoy) threatened by—app. 107.
Hornail Sing's (Subdr.) deposition regarding report of seditious language used by—app. 75.
Isuree Sing (Havr.) cross-examined by—app. 75.
———'s statement in regard to seditious language of—app. 72.
——— statement regarding—app. 107.
Kennedy (Col.) placed—in arrest. app. 111.
Mudoom Bux (Mess Abdar) statement regarding—calling at the mess-house. app. 115.
Native troops at Barrackpore. Sentence passed on—interpreted to, app. 55.
Pleading of—app. 60.
Prosecutor's reply to defence of—app. 130.
Rampersaud Ditchit (Lance-Naick,) cross-examined by—app. 104.
Sentence of the Court on—app. 135.
Sewbuccus Sing's (Jemdr.) deposition regarding seditious language used by—app. 68.
Bhaik Bujjub's (Khit.) statement regarding—having called at the mess-house. app. 117.
Thatching of huts prevented by—app. 90, 92, 95.
Witness for the defence of—app. 56.
——— prosecution of—app. 55.
- Salkeld, Lieut. P., Beng. Engrs.**
Baird Smith (Lieut.-Col.) recommends— for reward. p. 395.
- Salkeld, Lieut. P., Beng. Engrs.—**
(*Contd.*)
Campbell's (Col.) notice of the services of—p. 403.
Column of Assault (No. III).—accompanied, pp. 393, 471.
Died of wounds. p. 484.
Explosion party led by—p. 394.
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 426.
Hawthorne's (Bugler) humane conduct towards—p. 395.
Victoria Cross bestowed on—p. 473.
Wounded dangerously (since dead) while endeavouring to fire the charge at the Cashmere Gate on 14th Sep. pp. 366, 375, 394, 403, 415, 473; 494, & app. 153.
- “Sammy House.”**
Battery constructed at the left of—p. 465.
Casualties during the operations on 1st and 2nd Aug. in defence of—p. 345.
Guide Infy.'s defence of—p. 456.
Guide Infy. killed mutineers round—p. 456.
Insurgents kept in check by Light Gun Battery near—p. 475.
——— killed on 1st Aug. in front of—p. 460.
Position of—p. 456.
Remington (Capt.) commanded Light Gun Battery near—p. 475.
Reid's (Maj.) report of the repulse of mutineers' repeated attacks on 1st and 2nd Aug. on—p. 343.
Strengthened. p. 457.
Travers (Lieut.) wounded severely on 1st Aug. while defending—pp. 343, 345.
- Sampat Sing, Sepoy, Grdr. Co., 63rd N. I.**
Furio'. Reluctant at first to proceed on, app. 21.
- Sanctuary, Capt., Beluch Battn.**
Wilde's (Capt.) notice of the services of.—p. 407.
- Sandilands, Lieut. E. N., H. M.'s 8th Regt.**
Wounded during the assault on Delhi, pp. 376, 416, 468, & app. 154.

Sanford, Capt. E. A., 3rd L. C.

- Anson (Genl.) reports arrival of—p. 276.
 Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of
 —with the Guide Cavy. p. 398.
 Shower's (Brigr.) notice of the services of
 —with the Guide Cavy. p. 348.

Sankey, Lieut. M. C., Adjt., H. Arty.

- Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—
 p. 398.
 Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) notice of the
 services of—at Najafgarh. p. 363.

Sappers and Miners.

- Arrival of—at Meerut. p. 253.
 Badli-ki-Berai. Praiseworthy conduct in
 action at, p. 298.
 Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) notice of the
 services of the—p. 396.
 Campbell (Col.) recommended non-
 comad. officers of—for reward who
 formed the explosion party. p. 404.
 Carabineers shot fifty-six mutineers of the
 —p. 256.
 Casualties on 19th June among the—
 p. 305.
 ————23rd June among the—
 p. 309.
 ————18th July among the—
 p. 336.
 ————opposite the Hindun
 among the—p. 288.
 ————during siege operations at Delhi
 among the—492.
 Comdg. Officer killed by mutineers of
 the—pp. 253, 254, 256.
 Disarmed—working at Meerut. p. 369.
 Explosion party. Fourteen Natives from
 —were with the, p. 394.
 G. O. noticing the services of the—p. 426.
 Hd.-qrs. of—ordered to Meerut. p. 430.
 Hewitt (Maj.-Genl.) requisitioned for—
 p. 276.
 Hindun. Services at the, p. 288.
 Meerut mutineers destroyed vacant lines
 of—p. 250.
 Mutiny of the—pp. 253, 254, 256, 269.
 Punjab Chief Comar. informed of the
 mutiny of the—at Meerut. p. 280.

Sappers and Miners.—(Contd.)

- Pursuit and destruction of—p. 269.
 Service before Delhi of the remnant of
 the corps of—p. 482.
 Siege Batteries. Exertions of—to com-
 plete, p. 475.
 Strength of the—before Delhi. p. 463.
 Two companies laid down their arms.
 p. 256.
- Sarel, Capt. H. A., H. M.'s 9th
 Lancers.**
 Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services
 of—p. 398.
 Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) notice of the
 services of—at Najafgarh. p. 363.
- Saunders, Mr. C. B., Civil Service.**
 G. O. noticing the services of—p. 425.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the ser-
 vices of—p. 381.

Scindia.

- Military assistance tendered by—p. 268.
 Offer of—, accepted. p. 252.
- Scott, Maj. E. W. S., Arty.**
 Battery (No. III) worked by—p. 469.
 Gaitkell's (Maj.) notice of the services
 of—pp. 386, 389.
 Ghazi-ud-din-Nagpur. Services at, p. 285.
 Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—
 p. 304.
 Siege Battery (No. III) under command
 of—p. 385.
 Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services render-
 ed by—during, p. 428.
- Scott's (Maj.) Batty.**
 Feat performed in arming of—p. 481.
- Scott, Surgn. D., Medl. Store-
 keeper.**
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the
 services of—p. 382.
- Scully, Conductor.**
 Delhi magazine. Services acknowledged
 in defending the, p. 272.
 ————train fired by—p. 273.
 Forrest's (Lieut.) report on services
 rendered by—p. 274.
 Provision promised to the family of the
 late—p. 272.

- Seaton, Lieut.-Col. T., C.B., 35th N.I., attached to 1st Infy. Bde.**
Casualties on 20th July in the column under—p. 458.
Column formed under command of—pp. 357, 458.
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 425.
Hodson's (Lieut.) services in operations of 21st July noticed by—p. 338.
Reconnaissance on 20th July of the column under—p. 458.
Showera' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 340.
Siege of Delhi, G. O. on services rendered by—during, p. 427.
Subzee Munde Village. Report of operations on 21st July thro' the—p. 337.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—pp. 334, 381.
Wounded severely on the 23rd July. pp. 342, 459, 490, & app. 152.
- Sebastopol.**
Siege of Delhi compared to that of—p. 474.
- Seetul Sing, Rough Rider, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Seetul Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Selimgurh.**
Battery opened on—p. 479.
Casualties among the 4th Panjab Infy. in storming—p. 407.
Custom House Battery fired on from—p. 476.
McQueen (Ensign) entered first the palace of—p. 406.
Ordnance captured at—p. 422.
Siege Batty. (No. II) annoyed by fire from—p. 476.
Wilde's (Capt.) report of the capture of the palace and fort of—p. 406.
- Sentences.**
General Courts-Martial—Powers in regard to confirmation and execution of, p. 270.
- Sentences of Death.**
Hearsey (Maj.-Genl.) empowered to confirm—p. 211.
Powers in regard to passing—on Native comd. officers. p. 203.
- Sepoys.**
Biting cartridges evaded by—p. 37.
Calcutta treasury's seizure contemplated, by—p. 17.
Christians.—apprehensive of being forcibly made, p. 17.
Barackpore. Mutinous designs of—of, p. 17.
Fort William's seizure contemplated by—p. 17.
Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) opinion that—are being tampered with. p. 16.
Order of Merit.—to be admitted to the, p. 271.
Religion.—apprehensive of their, being tampered with. p. 17.
- Sepoy Delegates' Meetings.**
Allen's (Lieut.) statement regarding—p. 17.
Darriou Sing's (Jemdr.) deposition regarding—p. 20.
Grant's (Brig.) report regarding—p. 19.
Hearsey's (Maj.-Genl.) report regarding—p. 15.
Ram Sahai Lalla's (Sepoy, 34th N.I.) statement regarding—pp. 22, 159.
- Sringapatam.**
Siege of Delhi compared with that of—p. 474.
- Sewah Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Sew Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Sewbucoos Sing, Jemdr., 70th N.I.**
Cartridges. Statement regarding, app. 81.
Salickram Sing (Jemdr.) Deposition regarding seditious language used by—app. 58.
——— conversation with—app. 114.

- Sewbuccus Tewary, Sepoy, 34th N. I.**
 Atma Sing's (Sepoy) recognition of— p. 154.
 Adjutant's rescue, Jemadar prevented— from going to the, p. 154.
 Jemadar's conduct while in command of the gr.-guard. p. 154.
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy). Jemadar prevented—from seizing, p. 154.
 _____ Statement regarding mutinous proceedings of— p. 154.
 Peacock (Hon'ble B.) objects to exempt—from dismissal. pp. 217, 218.
 Services retained on disbandment of his corps. pp. 213, 216, 224.
 Sobha Sing's (Sepoy) recognition. of— p. 153.
- Sewbux Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Sewchurn Missar, Hav.-Maj., 70th N. I.**
 Assembly in the hut of Jemadar Salickram Sing. Deposition relating to, app. 93.
 Harris (Lieut.) found—at his bungalow. app. 119.
 Hyat Box Khan (Pay-Havr.) recognised by—in the assembly. app. 99.
 Jhoomuck Sing (Drill Lance-Naick) recognised by—in the assembly. app. 99.
 Kootub Ali Khan (Drill-Naick) recognised by—in the assembly. app. 99.
 Native officers at the Colonel's bungalow. Statement regarding, app. 79.
 Ramchurn Sing (Havr.) recognised by—in the assembly. app. 99.
- Sewdeen Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Sewsahai Pattack, Sepoy, Gr. Co., 63rd N. I.**
 Furlio. Reluctant at first to proceed on, app. 21.
- Sewsahoy, Pay-Havr., 9th Battn., Arty.**
 Barrackpore incidents related to men of 19th N. I. Statement regarding, p. 80.
- Sewumber Pandey, Subdr., 34th N. I.**
 Issuree Pandey (Jemdr.). Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 177.
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy). Member of the Court for the trial of, p. 113.
 Salickram Sing (Jemdr.). Member of the Court for the trial of, app. 57.
 Services retained on disbandment of his regt. pp. 213, 224.
- Shah Bastion.**
 Light Battery employed in keeping ground clear between—and Kissengunge. p. 384.
 Siege Battery (No. I) employed against— p. 391.
 —Batty.'s (No. I) operations against— p. 384.
- Shaik Aram Ally, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Shaik Bucksh Ally, Hav.-Maj., 3rd L. C.**
 Carbine loaded and fired by—p. 231.
- Shaik Emambux, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 210.
- Shaik Ezad Ally, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Shaik Fazul Emaum, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Shaik Ghazeebux, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Shaik Gholam Jullani, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
 Cartridges. Statement regarding, p. 235.
- Shaik Gholam Mohamed, Naick, 3rd L. C.**
 Cartridge received by—pp. 229, 231.
- Shaik Gholam Mahomed, Sub.-Maj., 2nd N. I.**
 Shaik Paltoo's (Havr., 34th N. I.) claim to Order of Merit. Member of the Board to enquire into, p. 128.
- Shaik Hoossain Bux, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
 Charge preferred against—p. 239.

- Shaik Hussomooddeen, Naick, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Shaik Kassim Ally, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Shaik Mahomed Ewas, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Shaik Noor Mahomed, Naick, 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Shaik Nundoo, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Shaik Omaid Ally, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Shaik Peer Ally, Naick, 3rd L. C.**
Cartridge refused by—p. 231.
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Shaik Pultoo, Sepoy (Hav.) 34th N. I.**
Baugh (Lieut.) assisted by—p. 121.
——— deposition regarding assistance rendered by—pp. 143, 188.
——— statement regarding conduct of—p. 128.
Gallant conduct of—p. 106.
Havildarship conferred on—p. 106.
Hearsey (Maj.-Genl.) recommends "Order of Merit" for—p. 127.
Hewson's (Mrs.) statement regarding conduct of—p. 129.
——— (Sergt.-Maj.) statement regarding conduct of—p. 129.
Issuree Pandey's (Jemdr.) spathy to render assistance to—p. 193.
Jemadar of the qr-guard of the 34th N. I. Deposition regarding conduct of the, p. 126.
——— asked to render assistance by—p. 197.
Mungul Pandey (Sepoy). Deposition regarding mutinous conduct of, p. 124.
——— wounded—pp. 125, 138.
——— conflict with—Statement regarding, p. 129.
- Shaik Pultoo, Sepoy (Hav.) 34th N. I.—(Contd.)**
Occurrences on 29th March in lines of 34th N. I. Statement regarding, p. 132.
"Order of Merit"—recommended for, pp. 106, 131.
——— Special Board to enquire into claims of—to the, p. 128.
Promotion to the rank of Havildar confirmed, p. 209.
Services retained on disbandment of his corps, pp. 213, 224.
Sepoys of 34th N. I. assaulted—p. 133.
——— the qr-guard threatened—p. 193.
Shot fired from qr-guard of 34th N. I. deposed to by—pp. 125, 194.
- Shaik Ramjan Ally, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Shaik Ruhut Ally, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Shaik Rujjub, Khidmatgar.**
Salickram Sing's (Jemdr.) call at the mess-house. Statement regarding, app. 117.
- Shaik Rustum, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 240.
- Shaik Sadoolah, Trp., 3rd L. C.**
Charge preferred against—p. 239.
- Shaw, Condr.**
Delhi magazine. Services acknowledged in defending the, p. 272.
- Shaw, Ensign W. D., 2nd Grdrs.**
Bahadoor }
Sing } Sepoys, 2nd } Statement regard-
and } Grdrs. } ing character of,
Boodheelall } app. 51.
Tewary. }
- Shebbeare, Lieut. R. H., 60th N. I., attached to the Guides.**
Muter's (Capt.) notice of the services of—p. 413.
Reid's (Maj.) notice of the services of—p. 346.
Wounded during the assault on Kissengunge Serai, p. 413.
——— three times while on picquet duty, pp. 346, 490, & app. 159.

- Shelley, Lieut. T. M., 11th N.I.,** attached to 1st Punjab Infy.
Wounded during the assault on Delhi.
pp. 376, 417, 489, & app. 157.
- Sherriff, Lieut. D. T., 2nd Fus.**
Wounded mortally (since dead) on 12th Aug. pp. 350, 461, 485, & app. 151.
- Showers, Brigr. St. G. D., Comdg. 1st Infy. Brig.**
Badli-ki-Serai. Right column of attack under—p. 290.
———— Troops under—in action at, p. 435.
Chamberlain (Brigr.-Genl.) accompanied column under—on 14th July. p. 456.
Cashmere Gate. Report of operations on 23rd July opposite the, p. 339.
———— Report of having dislodged the mutineers in front of the, p. 339.
Casualties on 23rd July in the column under—pp. 341, 459.
———— 24th July in the column under—p. 456.
———— 12th Aug. in the column under—p. 461.
Column formed under command of—p. 339.
———— on 23rd July under—p. 459.
———— under—for operations on 12th Aug. p. 461.
———— under—for operations against Ludlow Castle. p. 347.
———— under—to drive out mutineers from suburbs of Subzee Munde. p. 320.
Edgah reconnoitred by—p. 448.
Engineering materials captured by column under—p. 448.
Force placed under—to reconnoitre the Edgah. p. 448.
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 425.
Greatest (Lieut.-Col.) assumed command of the column under—when wounded. p. 461.
Guns captured on 12th Aug. by the column under—pp. 348, 461.
Ludlow Castle. Insurgents dispersed on 23rd July by column under—p. 459.
- Showers, Brigr. St. G. D., Comdg. 1st Infy. Brig.—(Contd.)**
Mutineers defeated on 22nd June by column under—p. 447.
Reconnaissance of the Edgah by—p. 311.
Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.
Reid (Maj.) co-operated with—in driving mutineers from the suburbs. p. 320.
Siege of Delhi. G.O. on services rendered by—during, p. 427.
Subzee Munde cleared of mutineers on 14th July by column under—p. 455.
Troops composing column under—on 14th July. p. 455.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—pp. 334, 347, 381.
Wounded severely on 12th Aug. pp. 347, 350, 461, 486, & app. 152.
- Shute, Capt. D. C., Asst. Qrmr.-G1.**
Badli-ki-Serai. Services at, p. 291.
G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) notice of the services of—at Najafgarh. p. 363.
Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 380.
- Sibley, Lieut. T. H., P. Exe. Officer.**
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 382.
- Siege Batteries.**
Arming of—p. 474.
Carabineer volunteers worked in the—p. 475.
Casualties among the—p. 387.
Engineer officers' exertions to complete the—p. 475.
Field (two) battery men not employed in the—p. 474.
Infantry guarded—p. 476.
Lancers (9th) volunteers worked in the—p. 475.
Officers of the Line worked in the—p. 474.
Pioneers' exertions to complete the—p. 475.
Sappers' exertions to complete the—p. 475.

Siege Battery No. I.

Brind (Maj.) in command of right of—
p. 384.

Composition of the—pp. 384, 391.

Insurgents fire on—p. 475.

Kaye (Maj.) in command of left of—
p. 384.

Left portion of—destroyed by fire. p. 385.

Operations of the—p. 384.

Turner (Maj.) compelled from illness to
retire from command of left of—p. 384.

Taleewarra suburbs.—enfiladed by enemy's
guns in, p. 476.

Siege Battery No. II.

Composition of—pp. 385, 391.

Insurgents' sorties on—p. 475.

Johnson (Capt.) in command of left of—
p. 385.

Kaye (Maj.) in command of right of—
p. 385.

Operations of—p. 385.

Selimgurh's fire on—p. 476.

Taleewarra suburbs.—enfiladed by enemy's
guns in, p. 476.

Siege Battery No. III.

Blunt (Capt.) in command of mortars in—
p. 385.

Composition of—p. 385.

Operations of—p. 385.

Scott (Maj.) in command of—p. 385.

Siege Battery No. IV.

Composition of—pp. 386, 392.

Operations of—p. 386.

Taylor's (Capt.) reconnaissance for con-
structing—p. 391.

Tombs (Maj.) in command of—p. 386.

Siege of Delhi.

Baird Smith (Lieut.-Col.) credited with the
chief success attending the—p. 474.

Bhartpore.—compared with that of,
p. 474.

Felix's comparisons in regard to the—
p. 470.

—narrative ceases of the—p. 473.

—narrative of the—p. 466.

Norman's (Capt.) narrative of the—p. 429.

Sebastopol.—compared to that of, p. 474.

Siege of Delhi.—(Contd.)

Seringapatam.—compared to that of,
p. 474.

Taylor's (Capt.) services in prosecuting
the—p. 474.

Troops employed in the—. Eulogy on
services of the, p. 461.

Siege Operations.

Advance Batty.'s (No. 1) position in—
p. 468.

British troops effective strength employed
in—p. 465.

Effective strength of the force employed
in—p. 466.

Felix's account of—p. 466.

Front attack consisted of certain bastions.
p. 468.

Siege Train.

Arrived on 6th Sep. before Delhi. p. 465.

Arty. men for— Paucity of, pp. 432, 443.

Insurgents' movements to intercept—
p. 463.

Sikhs.

Drury's (Capt.) opinion of the—p. 151.

Sikh Artillery.

Casualties among—on 9th July. p. 319.

—1st and 2nd Aug.
p. 345.

—12th Aug. p. 349.

Sikh Artillerymen.

Scott's batty. worked by—p. 475.

Worked two guns in Scott's batty. under
constant musketry fire. p. 474.

Sikh Battalions.

Delhi mutineers formed two—p. 358.

Sikh (Local Infy.,) 4th Regt.

Casualties among the—on 30th June
pp. 311, 448.

—9th July.
p. 319.

—14th July.
p. 322.

—18th July.
p. 336.

—23rd July.
p. 341.

—1st and
2nd Aug. p. 345.

—during assault on
Delhi. p. 414.

Sikh (Local) Infy., 4th Regt.—*(Contd.)*

Casualties among the—from 15th to 20th Sep. at Delhi. p. 418.

—during siege operations at Delhi. p. 492.

Reid's (Maj.) notice of the services of the—p. 346.

Strength of the—sent to reinforce the Delhi Field Force. p. 325.

Sikh Police Battalion.

Dinapore.—ordered to, p. 270.

Sikh Regiments.

Ordered down to Kurnaul. p. 280.

Reliance placed on—p. 266.

Reported staunch. p. 270.

Sikh Sepoys, 34th N. I.

Loyalty of—. Court's opinion on, pp. 69, 174.

Wheler's (C. I.) opinion in regard to loyalty of—p. 163.

Simla.

Alarm at—p. 279.

Massacre at Delhi. Barnard (Capt.) conveyed news of, to—p. 277.

Meerut mutiny. Postmaster, Umballa, conveyed news of, to—p. 278.

Simpson, Capt. C. F., Brig.-Maj.

Showers' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—pp. 341, 348.

Simpson, Ensg. T., H.M.'s 52nd

L.I.

Wounded on 12th. Aug. p. 488 & app. 154.

Sind.

Beluch Batn. ordered from—p. 326.

European regt. ordered from—p. 325.

Sirdar Khan, Sepoy, 34th N.I.

Bahadoor Sing and Bhoodheelal Tewary,	}	Sepoys, 2nd Grds.	Deposition regarding mutinous conduct of, app. 34.
---	---	-------------------------	---

Sirmoor Battalion.

Arrival of—at Meerut. p. 269.

Boolundshahur occupied by the—p. 258.

Casualties among the—p. 482.

Sirmoor Battalion.—(Contd.)

Casualties at Badli-ki-Seral. p. 292.

—Kissengunge. p. 301.

—in defending the main picquet. p. 294.

—during the assault on Delhi. p. 414.

—8th June. p. 438.

—19th June. p. 305.

—23rd June. p. 309.

—30th June. p. 311.

—2nd July. p. 312.

—9th July. p. 319.

—14th July. p. 322.

—18th July. p. 336.

—21st July. p. 338.

—23rd July. p. 341.

—1st and 2nd Aug

p. 345.

—from 15th to 20th

Sep. at Delhi. p. 418.

—during siege operations at Delhi. p. 492.

Daily engaged in combats. p. 482.

Hewitt's (Maj.-Gen.) notice of the services of the—p. 258.

Jemadar recommended for promotion. p. 294.

March of—from Dehra. p. 269.

Meerut.—expected at, p. 252.

Movements of—p. 271.

Mutineers driven from enclosures on right of the British camp by—p. 316.

—invite—to join them. p. 294.

Ordered from Dehra. pp. 253, 256.

—to Meerut. p. 430.

Punjab Chief Comr. informed of movements of the—p. 280.

Skinner's House.

Kumaon Batn. placed in—p. 477.

Fanjub Infy. (4th) No. 1 Co. occupied—p. 405.

Reserve column held—p. 477.

Siege Batty.'s incessant fire against—p. 386.

Sloan, Lieut., H. M.'s 61st Regt.

Jones' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—as orderly officer. p. 401.

Smith, Capt. B. A., 19th N. I.

Durriou Sing (Jemdr.)
 and
 Ram Sahai Lalla (Sepoy)

} Member of the
 Court ap-
 pointed to
 take the de-
 positions of,
 p. 155.

Shaik Pultoo's (Havr., 34th N.I.)
 claim to Order of Merit. Member of
 the Board to enquire into, p. 128.

Smith, Capt. R. M., 54th N. I.

Killed by mutineers at Delhi. p. 263.

Smith, Lance-Corpl. H., H.M.'s 52nd L. I.

Campbell's (Col.) notice of the services
of—p. 404.

Smith, Sergt. J., Sep. and Miners.

Faird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) notice of the
services of—p. 395.

Escaped from Cashmere Gate explosion,
p. 473.

Victoria Cross bestowed on—p. 473.

Smyth, Col. G. M. C., Comdg. 3rd L. C.

Cartridges refused by men under his
command. p. 227.

Judge-Advocate's examination of—is re
troopers, 3rd L. C. app. 142.

Koodrut Ally (Naick) cross-examined—
app. 143.

Sobha Sing, Sikh Sepoy, 34th N. I.

Adjutant's rescue. Jemadar prevented—
from going to, p. 153.

Hawson (Sergt.-Maj.) struck by a sepoy.
Statement regarding, p. 153.

Jemadar prevented—from going to the
rescue of his officers. p. 194.

Mehoo Lall (Sepoy) recognised by—p. 154.

Mookta Persaud Pandey (Pay-Havr.)
—statement regarding, p. 153.

Mungal Pandey (Sepoy). Deposition re-
garding mutinous conduct of, p. 194.

—————, Jemadar pre-
vented—from seizing, p. 153.

Peacock (Hon'ble B.) objects to exemp-
tion of—from dismissal. pp. 216, 218.

**Sobha Sing, Sikh Sepoy, 34th N. I.—
(Contd.)**

Gr-guard. Deposition in regard to the
conduct of the Jemadar in command of
the, p. 194.

————— halted by order of Jemadar.
p. 196.

Services retained on disbandment of his
corp. pp. 213, 216, 224.

Sewboccus Tewarry (Sepoy) recognised
by—p. 154.

**Soobdhan Doobey, Sepoy, 1st Co.,
70th N. I.**

Thatching huts. Statement regarding order
for, app. 123.

Sooklall Mir, Subdr., 43rd N. I.

Issuree Pandey (Jemdr., 34th N. I.). Mem-
ber of the Court for the trial of, p. 177.

Mungal Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N. I.). Mem-
ber of the Court for the trial of,
p. 113.

Salickram Sing (Jemdr., 70th N. I.)
Member of the Court for the trial of,
app. 57.

**Sook Lall Puchowrie, Sepoy, 63rd
N. I.**

Furlo'. Reluctant at first to proceed on,
app. 21.

Sook Lall Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.

Cartridges. Statement regarding, p. 234.

**Soondur Sing, Sepoy, 2nd Co., 63rd
N. I.**

Furlo. Reluctant at first to proceed on.
app. 21.

Soorse.

N. I. (63rd) to leave two companies at—
app. 184.

Special Court of Inquiry.

Cartridge question. Proceedings of the—
in regard to the, p. 7.

Mitchell's (Lieut.-Col.) conduct—to in-
vestigate, p. 100.

Mitchell's (Lieut.-Col.) conduct. Officers
composing the—to enquire into, app. 4.

- Special Court of Inquiry.**—(Contd.)
Trustworthiness of 34th N. I.—to report on, p. 161.
- Speke, Lieut. E., 65th N.I., attached to 1st Fusiliers.**
Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 417, 486, & spp. 151.
- Staff.**
Casualties during the assault on Delhi among the—p. 414.
———during siege operations at Delhi among the—p. 492.
- Staff, Infantry Brigades.**
Casualties among the—during the assault on Delhi. p. 414.
- Staff, 1st Infy. Brigade.**
Casualties during operations of the 12th Aug. among the—p. 349.
- Staff of the Delhi Field Force.**
Casualties on 8th June among the—p. 438.
- Stewart, Capt. D. M., Dy. Asst. Adj.-Genl.**
Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 380.
- Stewart, Sergt.**
Delhi magazine. Services acknowledged in defending the, p. 27.
- Storming of Delhi.**
Soldiers' heroism in—p. 481.
- Stotesbury, Mr. J., Asst. Comy. of Ordn.**
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 379.
- Streets, Sergt.-Maj., H. M.'s 52nd L. I.**
Campbell's (Col.) notice of the services of—p. 404.
Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. p. 404.
- Stroud, Sergt.-Maj., 3rd Brig., Horse Arty., Provost-Marshal.**
Wilson (Maj.-Genl.) recommends—for a commission. p. 382.
- Subadar, Light Co., 19th N.I.**
Family and property removed from the lines by—p. 51.
Gobind Tewary's (Sepoy) remark regarding absence of—p. 56.
Jhoolan Sing's (Pay-Havr.) remark of the absence from the lines of—p. 51.
- Subsook Sing, Jem., L. Co., 19th N.I.**
Mutinous conduct of the 19th N.I., Deposition regarding, p. 59.
- Subzee Munde.**
Advanced picquet established in the—p. 447.
Column formed to drive mutineers from the enclosure and garden in the suburbs of—p. 453.
Engineers cleared the ground around the—p. 457.
Fusiliers (1st) drove out mutineers from the—p. 297.
Jones' (Brigr.) column to clear mutineers from enclosures and gardens in the—p. 453.
———(Lieut.-Col.) drove out mutineers on 18th July from the—p. 457.
———report of the dislodgment of the mutineers from their position opposite the—p. 335.
Mutineers' attack on 18th July on—p. 457.
Mutineers driven out of the garden in the—on 30th June. p. 310.
———occupied—p. 446.
Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) report of the mutineers' attack on 14th July on picquet at the—p. 320.
Showers' (Brigr.) column drove out mutineers on 14th July from suburbs of the—pp. 321, 445.
Troops forming picquet on 14th July at the—p. 320.
Wilson's (Brigr.) column's route thro'—p. 436.
- Subzee Munde Garden.**
Casualties in operations on 18th July in the—p. 336.

Subsee Munde Picquets.

Mutineers' attack on 27th June repulsed by the—p. 447.

—————30th June repulsed by—p. 448.

—————irregular engagement with the—p. 310.

Reid's (Maj.) notice of the services of the dets. employed at the—p. 346.

Subsee Munde Suburba.

Wilson's (Brigr.) route thro'—p. 436.

Subsee Munde Village.

Casualty return during operations of 21st July thro' the—p. 338.

Hodson's (Lieut.) services in operations on 21st July thro' the—p. 338.

Seaton's (Lieut.-Col.) report of operations on 21st July thro' the—p. 337.

Sungut, Sirdar Bearer of the Town Major.

Town Major's guard at Fort William. Deposition regarding two sepoy's absence from, app. 43.

Syngé, Capt., H. M.'s 52nd L. Infy.

Campbell's (Col.) notice of the services of—p. 403.

Synd.

British officers (three) of Delhi force brought in by a—p. 270.

T**Taleewarra.**

Insurgers' strong position at—p. 479.

Taleewarra Battery.

Silencing of the—p. 358.

Taleewarra Guns.

Capture of three—p. 472.
Grant's (Brigr.) column suffered from—p. 472.

Taleewarra Suburba.

Annoyance from enemy's guns at—p. 476.

Tandy, 2nd-Lieut. F. L., Engrs.

Column of Assault (No. III).—accompanied, pp. 393, 471.

Killed during the assault on Delhi, pp. 375, 396, 415, 484, & app. 149.

Taylor, Capt. A., Dir. of Trenches.

Baird Smith's (Lieut.-Col.) notice of the valuable services of—p. 393.

Column of Assault (No. I).—accompanied, p. 393.

G. O. noticing the services of—during the siege of Delhi, p. 424.

Operations directed by—p. 366.

Reconnaissance made by—p. 391.

Siege of Delhi. Success attending, due to—p. 474.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 371.

Taylor, Capt. J. H. G., 20th N. I.

Murdered by mutineers at Meerut, pp. 249, 255.

Taylor, Lance-Corpl. W., H. M.'s 52nd L. Infy.

Campbell's (Col.) notice of the services of—p. 404.

Telegraph Lines.

Meerut mutineers destroyed—p. 250.

Telegraph Office.

Barrackpore.—burnt down at, p. 4.

Burning down—. Reasons for, p. 18.

Telegraph Office, Delhi.

Mutineers destroyed the—p. 267.

Temple, Lieut. A. B., 49th N. I., attached to Kumaon Battn.

Wounded on 6th Augt. p. 490 & app. 457.

Tennant, Lieut., Engrs.

Column of Assault (No. IV).—accompanied, p. 393.

Thackeray, Lieut., Engrs.

Reserve Column.—accompanied, pp. 393, 472.

Thakoor Arvustee, Sub-Maj., 20th N. I.

L.C. (3rd). President of the Court of Inquiry to investigate the conduct of men of the, p. 230 and app. 140.

Thakoor Sing, Qrmr.-Hav., 3rd L. C.

Cartridges. Statement regarding preparation of, p. 232.

Thakoor Sing, Trooper, 3rd L. C.

Cartridges. Statement regarding, p. 236.

Thatching Huts.

Harris' (Lieut.) statement regarding—of No. 1 Co., 70th N. I. app. 84.
N. I. (70th). Orders for—of the, app. 83.
Salickram Sing (Jemdr., 70th N. I.) prevented— app. 90, 92, 95.

Thompson, Lieut. F., 3rd Brig., H. A.

Wounded severely on 14th July. pp. 323, 456, 487, & app. 152.

Thomson, Lieut. G. C., 51st N. I., attached to Kumaon Battn.

Showers' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 348.

Thomson, Lieut.-Col. W. B., Dy. Comy.-G1.

G. O. noticing the services of—p. 425.
Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.
Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by—during, p. 428.
Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 382.

Tiuk Ram, Hav., Sap. & Miners.

Services of—with the firing party p. 395.
Wounded while endeavouring to fire the charge on 14th Sep. p. 394.

Tombs, Maj. H., Beng. Horse Arty.

Barnard's (Maj.-Genl.) mention of the services of—p. 298.
Battery. (No. IV) under charge of—p. 459.
Bourchier's (Capt.) battery sent to the aid of—p. 479.
Casualties on 17th June in the column under—p. 443.
Force composing right column of attack on the Eedgah under—p. 443.
Gaitakell's (Maj.) notice of the services of—pp. 386, 389.
Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggur. Services in action at, p. 285.
Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—pp. 304, 397, 398.
Gun captured by column under—p. 443.
Guns spiked by—p. 397.

Tombs, Maj. H., Beng. Horse Arty.

—(Contd.)

Hills (Lieut.) rescued by—p. 458.
Horse Arty. during assault on Delhi commanded by—p. 375.
Horses shot under—p. 444.
Mackenzie's (Lieut.-Col.) report on noble conduct of—p. 317.
Manœuvres at Paharipore. Report of, p. 298.
Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) notice of the services of—in action at Najafgarh. p. 363.
Paharipore. Detached to destroy muniteneers' battery at—p. 298.
Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) report on heroic conduct of—p. 316.
Siege Batty. (No. IV) under command of—p. 386.
Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by—during, p. 428.
Wilson's (Brigr.) recommendation on behalf of—p. 317.
Wounded at Paharipore. p. 298.
Wounded on 17th June. p. 443.
Wounded during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 415, 487, & app. 152.

Toola, Subadar, Sap. & Miners.

Services of—with the firing party. p. 395.

Traill, Lieut. G. B., Arty.

Gaitakell's (Maj.) notice of the services of—p. 389.
Heavy guns (two) spiked by—p. 388.

Travers, Lieut. E., 2nd-in-Comd., 1st Punjab Infy.

Wounded on 20th July. p. 458.
Wounded on 21st July. p. 339.
—severely (since dead) while defending Sammy House on 1st Aug. pp. 343, 345, 460, 485, & app. 151.

Treasonable Correspondence.

Native officers of 34th N. I. concerned in—p. 156.

Treasury in Calcutta.

Sepoys contemplate seizure of the—p. 17.

Tregear, Mr., Educ. Dept.

Murder reported of—p. 251.

Trench, Capt., 35th N. I., Orderly Officer, Guide Cavy.

Horse shot under—at Najafgarh. p. 364.
 Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) notice of the services of—at Najafgarh. p. 363.

Trevelyanunge.

Guide Infantry attacked insurgents rallying out of—p. 458.

Tritton, Supdg. Surgn. E.

G. O. noticing the services of—p. 425.
 Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 382.

Tulloch, Lieut. A., 20th N. I., attached to Sirmoor Battn.

Wounded severely on 14th July. pp. 323, 456, 490, & app. 157.

Turcoman Gate and Bastion.

Ordnance captured at the—p. 422.

Turle, Ensg. W. G., H. M.'s 60th Rifles.

Wounded severely on 10th Aug. p. 488 & app. 154.

Turnbull, Lieut. J. R., on staff of Maj.-Genl. Wilson.

Bedli-ki-Seral. 'Services at, p. 289.
 G. O. noticing the services of—p. 424.
 Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) thanks to—p. 330.
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 381.

Turner, Maj. F., Arty.

Gaitkell's (Maj.) notice of the services of—pp. 386, 389.
 Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 304.
 Illness of—p. 384.
 Showers' (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 340.

Tytler, Capt. R. C., 38th N. I.

Escape of—from Delhi. p. 266.

U**Umballa.**

Church compound enclosed for protection of inhabitants of—p. 280.
 Entrenched position constructed at—p. 432.

Umballa.—(Contd.)

Force assembled at—p. 431.
 —left for the protection of—p. 276.
 Fusiliers (1st) ordered to—p. 256.
 H. M.'s 75th arrived at—p. 278.
 —ordered to—p. 256.
 Meerut mutineers' entry into Delhi wired to—p. 429.
 Native regts. at—. Doubts regarding, p. 431.
 —troops detached to the districts to punish insurgents. p. 432.
 Patiala troops cantoned at—p. 432.
 Troops detached for safety of—p. 432.
 —to concentrate at—p. 256.

Umballa Force.

Brigades formed of the—p. 275.
 Troops composing the—p. 275.

Ussurruff Ally Khan, Trp., 3rd L. C.

Charge preferred against—p. 240.

V**Vallings, Lieut. J., 19th N. I.**

Disrespect shown to—p. 70.
 Issuree Pandey (Jemdr, 34th N. I.) Interpreter to the Court for the trial of, p. 178.
 Misconduct of the 19th N. I. Interpreter to European Court of Enquiry on, p. 48.
 Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N. I.) Interpreter to the Court for the trial of, p. 113.
 Stores. Statement regarding arrival of, p. 70.

Velaist Ally Khan, Trp., 3rd L. C.

Charge preferred against—p. 240.

Venables, Mr.

Azimgarh occupied by—p. 307.

Vic. Act VII, Chap. XVIII.

Capital sentence. C.-in-C.'s warrant in regard to, p. 209.

"Victoria Cross."

Hancock (Pte., 9th Lancers), recommended for the—p. 302.

"Victoria Cross."—(Contd.)

Hawthorne (Bugler)	} —bestowed on, p. 473.
Horne (Lieut.)	
Salkeld (Lieut.)	
Smith (Sergt.)	

Purcell (Pte., 9th Lancers), recommended for the—p. 302.

Vigors, Maj. J. A., H. M.'s 52nd L.I.

Campbell's (Col.) notice of the services of— p. 403.
Column of Assault (No. IV).—commanded det. in the, p. 471.

W**Wadeson, Ensign B., H. M.'s 75th Regt.**

Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 415, 488, & app. 156.

Walker, Lieut. E., Engrs.

Wounded during the assault on Delhi. p. 487, & app. 153.

Walker, Lieut. G. F., H. M.'s 8th Regt.

Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 416, 488, & app. 154.

Walker, Lieut. J. T., Engrs., Bom.

Wounded severely on 14th July. pp. 323, 456, 487, & app. 153.

Walker, Lieut. T. N., 80th N. I., attached to 2nd Fusiliers.

Wounded during the assault on Delhi. pp. 375, 415, 490, & app. 156.

Wallace, Capt. W. F. N., 74th N. I.

Abbott (Maj.) picked up by—p. 264.
Elton (Ensign) picked up by—p. 266.
Escape of—from Delhi. p. 266.

N.I. (38th) guard at Cashmere Gate refused to obey orders of—p. 293.

N.I. (74th).—sent to cantonments to bring up the, p. 264.

Walter, Eng. O. C., 45th N. I., attached to 2nd Fus.

Died of sun-stroke while in action on 18th July. p. 486 & app. 151.

Ward, Capt.

Hodson's (Lieut.) notice of the services of—p. 355.

Ward, Lieut., Engrs.

Reserve Column.—accompanied, pp. 393, 472.

Warrand, Lieut., Engrs.

Arm lost by—while constructing a battery. pp. 465, 487, & app. 153.

Water Bastion.

Batty. (No. III) established near—p. 469.
————— to make a second breach in—p. 469.

Breach made to the left of the—p. 371.

Column of Assault (No. II) to storm breach in the—p. 471.

Engineers examined breach in—p. 392.

Greathed (Lieut.) examined breach in—p. 470.

Horne (Lieut.) examined breach in—p. 470.

Jones' (Brigr.) column captured—p. 371.
Ordnance captured at the—p. 419.

Reserve Column held—p. 477.

Siege Batty. (No. III) destroyed defences of—pp. 391, 392.

Storming party formed from Hind Column of Assault on—p. 400.

Waterfield, Lieut. W., 54th N. I.

Killed by mutineers at Delhi. p. 263.

Waterfield, Lieut. W. G., Comst. Dept., Meerut Field Force.

Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggur. Services in action at, p. 285.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 382.

Water Gate.

Siege Battery's incessant fire against—p. 386.

Waters, Capt. G. C. H., H.M.'s 80th Rifles.

Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 416, 488, & app. 154.

Watson, Lieut., 28th Bom. N. I., Comdg. 1st Punjab Cavy.

Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 399.

- Watson, Lieut. 28th Bom. N. I., Comdg. 1st Punjab Cavy.—**
(Contd.)
Wounded during the assault on Delhi. p. 491 & app. 153.
- Webb, Lieut. W. R., H.M.'s 8th Regt.**
Wounded mortally during the assault on Delhi (since dead). pp. 375, 416, 484, & app. 150.
- Welchman, Col. J., 1st Fusiliers.**
Siege of Delhi, G.O. on services rendered by—during, p. 427.
Wounded severely at the head of his corps. pp. 308, 310, 427, 446, 489, & app. 156.
- Wellesley Bastion.**
Ordnance captured at the—p. 422.
- Wemyss, Lieut. H. M., 55th N. I., attached to 1st Fusiliers.**
Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 414, 489, & app. 156.
- Wheatley, Ensign, 54th N.I., attached to Birmoor Battn.**
Killed on the 17th June at Hindu Rao's picquet. pp. 433, 486, & app. 151.
- Wheler, Lt.-Col. S. G., Comdt., 34th N. I.**
Cartridge paper. Enquiry entrusted to—regarding objections to, p. 13.
———President of the Court of Inquiry regarding objections to the use of, p. 7.
Dorin's (Hon'ble Mr. J.) minute on conduct of—p. 214.
Durriou Sing's (Jemdr.) statement—refused to listen to, p. 157.
Greased cartridges. N.I. (34th) men assured by—regarding, p. 5.
Issuree Pandey (Jemdr., 34th N.I.). Deposition of—in regard to the conduct of, while in command of the qr-guard—p. 180.
Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N.I.) Pro. secutor at the trial of, p. 114.
- Wheler, Lt.-Col. S. G., Comdt., 34th N. I.—(Contd.)**
Mungul Pandey (Sepoy, 34th N. I.). Deposition regarding mutinous proceedings of, p. 115.
Musulman sepoy's of the 34th N.I. Reliance on loyalty of, p. 163.
N.I. (34th) Native officers warned of their responsibility by—p. 32.
Occurrences in the lines of the 34th N.I. Evidence produced by—regarding, p. 132.
Occurrence on parade ground of 34th N.I. Statement regarding, p. 147.
Quarter-guard. Deposition in regard to the conduct of the, p. 113.
———Jemadar unwilling to order the advance. p. 147.
Sikh sepoy's of 34th N.I. Reliance on loyalty of, p. 163.
Trustworthiness of 34th N.I. Opinion in regard to, p. 158.
- Wilde, Capt. A., Comdg. 4th Punj. Rifles.**
Indus crossed by—p. 325.
Longfold's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 405.
Operations of the regt. under his command. p. 405.
Reserve Column.—In command of the in the, p. 472.
- Williams, Capt. H. F., H. M.'s 60th Rifles.**
Tombs' (Maj.) mention of the services of—p. 299.
Wounded severely in repelling rear attack on 19th June. pp. 306, 445, 488, & app. 154.
- Willoughby, Lieut. G. D., Comy. of Ordn.**
Delhi magazine blown up by order of—, pp. 264, 268, 274.
Delhi magazine defended by—p. 272.
Killed by mutineers on fight from Delhi. p. 272.

- Wilson, Capt. H. M., 8th N. I., Dy. Judge Adv.-Genl.**
 Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 390.
- Wilson, Lieut., Horse Arty.**
 Cashmere Gate cleared of rebels by—p. 264.
 Gaitskell's (Maj.) notice of the services of—p. 889.
 Grant's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—p. 398.
 Nicholson's (Brigr.) notice of the services of—at Najafgarh. p. 363.
 Tombs' (Maj.) mention of the services of—p. 299.
- Wilson, Maj.-Genl. (Brigr.) A.**
 Attack on Delhi.—indisposed to commence, p. 295.
 Assault on Delhi. Report of, p. 371.
 Badli-ki-Serai. Services in action at, p. 289.
 Barnard (Maj.-Genl. Sir Henry) assisted by—p. 295.
 Brigadier. Representation regarding status of a, p. 262.
 Brigadier-Generalship conferred on—p. 329.
 Campbell's (Sir Colin) despatch regarding the services of—p. 370.
 Cashmere Gate. Mutineers driven from front of the, p. 346.
 Capture of Delhi due to the resolution and perseverance of—p. 483.
 Casualties estimated by—p. 374.
 Casualty returns from 7th to 17th Aug. forwarded by—p. 351.
 Delhi. Determination to hold position to the last before—p. 331.
 —Right column under—in taking up a position before, p. 281.
 —Field Force command assumed by—pp. 329, 456.
 ————— conferred on—pp. 329, 332.
 —————inadequate for the task before it. p. 331.
 —Force's services commended by—p. 378.
- Wilson, Maj.-Genl. (Brigr.) A.— (Contd.)**
 Dewan Khas occupied as Hd.-Qrs. of—p. 367.
 Dismissal of Native Arty. recruits approved of by—p. 243.
 G.O. commending the services of—pp. 423, 427.
 — publishing despatch from—p. 370.
 Ghazi-ud-din-Nuggur. Actions gained by the force under—at, p. 433.
 —————.Report of action fought at, p. 284.
 —————to be occupied by the force under—p. 258.
 Gun captured by column under—p. 437.
 Gurkhas Sirmoor Estn. form personal guard of—p. 369.
 Hewitt's (Maj.-Genl.) statement that military arrangements were confided to—p. 262.
 Hills (Lieut.) recommended for reward by—p. 317.
 Hindon. Report of his action with the mutineers posted on the opposite side of the, p. 287.
 Hodson's (Lieut.) expedition to Rhotuck. Report of, p. 351.
 Ludlow Castle. Mutineers driven from, p. 346.
 Maj.-Genl.—granted the rank of, p. 332.
 Meerut cantonments. Explanation in regard to the protection of the, p. 260.
 Movements of the column under—p. 436.
 Mutineers' attacks on 18th, 20th, and 23rd July. Report of, p. 334.
 —————desultory attacks reported by—p. 350.
 Nicholson's (Brigr.-Genl.) services brought to notice by—p. 359.
 Najafgarh. Report of the action fought on 25th Aug. at, p. 359.
 Operations of the force under.—Progress report of, p. 377.
 Outbreak at Meerut. Explanation in regard to inaction of European troops on occurrence of the, p. 260.
 Rank of Brigr.-Genl. conferred on—p. 457.

Wilson, Maj.-Genl. (Brigr.) A.—
(Contd.)

Reasons for calling for an explanation from—p. 260.

Reid's (Maj.) services in defence of Hindu Rao's House picquet brought to notice by—p. 312.

Reinforcements called for by—pp. 285, 331.

—expected by—p. 357.

Route on advance towards City of Delhi taken by—p. 436.

Tombs (Maj.) recommended for reward by—p. 317.

Troops under—on taking up a position before Delhi. p. 436.

Wilton, Capt. J. R., H. M.'s 60th Rifles.

Reid's (Maj.) notice of the services of—p. 346.

Wintle, Capt. G. H. C., 61st N.I.

Misconduct of the 19th N.I. Member of European Court of Inquiry on, p. 48.

Wise, Lieut.

Hodson's (Lieut.) notice of the services of—p. 355.

Woodcock, Lieut. E. H., 55th N.I., attached to 1st Fusiliers.

Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 417, 490, & app. 156.

Wriford, Capt.

Kissengange Serai stormed by party led by—p. 412.

Muter's (Capt.) notice of the services of—p. 412.

Wright, Bt.-Capt. J. A.

Cartridges. Report regarding suspicion of Dum-Dum Depot men about, p. 3.

Wuseer Khan, Jemdr., 7th Co., 2nd Grds.

Cartridge paper. Objections about, p. 11.

Y**Yorke, Lieut. J., 3rd N. I., attached to 4th Sikhs.**

Wounded mortally on 30th June. pp. 312, 448, 485, & app. 151.

Young, Capt. J., Arty.

Wounded on the 18th June. p. 487 & app. 152.

Young, Capt. J., Dy. Comy. of Ordn.

G. O. noticing the services of—p. 423.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 379.

Young, Col. Keith, Judge Adv.-Genl.

Badli-ki-Serai. Services at, p. 290.

Disaffection in 3rd L. C. Memo. in regard to, p. 237.

—among Native troops at Barrackpore. Memo. regarding, p. 33.

G.O. noticing the services of—p. 424.

Reed's (Maj.-Genl.) favorable notice of the services of—p. 317.

—thanks to—p. 330.

Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by—during, p. 428.

Wilson's (Maj.-Genl.) notice of the services of—p. 380.

Young, Lieut. A. C., H. M.'s 61st Regt.

Wounded severely during the assault on Delhi. pp. 376, 416, 488, & app. 155.

Younghusband, Lieut.,

5th Punjab Cavy.

Grant's (Brigr.) notice of app. 155.
—p. 398.

Yule, Bt.-Lt.-Col., H.M.'s

Lancers.

Charge of the 9th Lancers led by—p. 444.

Killed in repelling the rear attack on the 19th June. pp. 302, 304, 306, 445, 484, & app. 150.

Siege of Delhi. G. O. on services rendered by the late—during, p. 427.

Z**Zeenut Mehal Begum.**

Prisoner. p. 368.