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PREFACE.

A gnowledge of the History of the East is indispensable to the student of Classical Literature. In the earliest records, he meets with doubtful traditions-and further study reveals undoubted signs-of older forms of civili sation, which helped to determine those of Greece and Rome. Egypt and Phoenicia loom up, however vaguely, in what he learns of the origin of Greek society, arts, and letters. The earliest and noblest poetry of Greece and of the world, as well as the legend of Rome's original, bring him at once in contact with an Asiatic kingdom, of whose real existence, however, he is left in doubt. As his first read-: ing of Greek poetry excites his curiosity about Troy, so his earliest lessons in Greek prose plunge him into the midst of the history of Persia, and into the heart of the region of the great eastern empires. His first guide to the history of Greece is an author who-with a wise prescience of that method of study which we have only learnt of lato-carries him at once to Assyria and Babylon, Egypt and Libya, Lydia and Persia, that, in the light of the knowledge of the East, he may see the true meaning of the victories which form the glory of the history of Greece $\omega_{0}$ And, at every succeeding step, he finds himself in contact with Oriental forms of government and civilisation, and he learns that the victories of Alezander, Scipip, and Augustus - Eno. hist.
were the decisive steps in the great conflict between Eastern and Western principles of social life.

- Clearly, therefore, he has learnt but half the lesson of ancient history, so long as he sees the Oriental element only in that background which is all that can be allotted to it in the special histories of Greece and Rome. To present the other half is the object of the present Work, which is designed to be at once a necessary supplement to those histories, and a sketch of the Oriental states which deserve study for their own intrinsic interest.
That interest has been immeasurably increased, within the period of one generation, by those wonderful discoveries in hieroglyphic and cuneiform literature which-at least in the principles of interpretation and in a large mass of positive results - have outlived the stage of incredulity, und become a recognised branch of avcient learning. That the results thus gained may be made more clear and interesting, the present Work containg some account of the processes of discovery. How much the interest of these discoveries is enhanced by the light they throw upon Scripture history, will be apparent to every reader of the following pages.

The diversities of interpretation - though based on the same essential principles, and leading to results fur the most part wonderfully consistent-have given rise to what may be almost called two achools of cuneiform scholarship: the English, headed by Sir Menry C. Rakunson, and the French, headed by ML. Jules Oppkrt. The authorifies quoted in the following page will show the desire of the Writer to use the best results of the labours of bqth schools. The nature of these inquiries-so novel, and still in a state so progressive-hae made it necessary to give authorities and explanatory notesemore fully than in other volumes of this serieg. The advanced student,
for whom this Work is designed, will thus be aided to distinguish certain from doubtful results, and will see the lines along which his further studies should be directed. •

The work is based on an independent study of the ancient writers and a careful use of the best modern authorities. Great advantage has, of course, been derived from the invaluable materials collected in the Notes and Essays to Professor Rawinnson's Translation of Herodotus, by Sir Gardner Wibinson, Sir H. C. Rawlinson, and the Editor himself; and from Professor Rawlinson's 'Five Ancient Monarchies.' ${ }^{\prime}$ For Egypt, besides the works of ${ }^{*}$ Sir Gardner Wilkinson, Professor Kenrick's 'Ancient Egypt' has been constantly consulted; and so, also, has the sameauthor's scholarly work upon Phanicia. The book on Assyria and Babylonia could not have been written without the works of Mr. Layard, and some invaluable results of the latest researches are due to the writings of M. Oppert. Special acknowledgment has to be rendered of the use made throughout the work of M. Charles Lenormant's ' Histoire Ancienne de l'Orient.' ${ }^{2}$ How little the present Writer has alhered slavishly to that work, the merits of which marked it as a good general guide, how often he has maintained other views, and how constantly he has expressed his own judgment on the events related, will be best seen by a comparison of the two books. Moreover, the present Work is brought down to Alexander's conquest, the true epoch at which the East yielded to the West; whereas M. Lenormant stops, with a somewhat startling abruptness, at the beginning of the Persian wars witli Greece.

As the History of the Jews has been treated at length in the 'Stulent's Old Testament History,' the Writer has thereby acquired fuller space for the other branches of the subject. For the object bas not been to draw up a mere skeleton or epitome, but a narrative full and circumstantial enough to possess life and interest, and to leave that impression on the memory which mere outlines can never produce; since a summary can only be of real service as an index to knowledge already acquired. To this narrative only so much has been added in the way of discussion as the nature of the subject seemed actually to require. In fine, an earnest effort has, been made to produce a Manual, both for the student and the general reader, of the present state of our knowledge on a aubject the interest of which is daily growing, its bounds enlarging, and ita details becoming more definite and certain by the progress of enquiry.
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## INTRODUCTION

## THE NATIONS AND THEIR ABODES.

8 1. The province and limits of Secular History. § 2. Distingoished from Sacred History. § s. Antediluvian and Postdilavian civilization. Primitive Arts and Institutions. 4. Cradle of the Human Race. § 5. Geographical view of the Ancient World. Mountain-fystems of Asia, Europe, and Africa. § 6. The Great Desert Zone and its interruptions. The Nile, Enphrates, and Red Bea. The Orus ald Jaxartes. The outposts of ancient civilization. § 7. The Races of mankind, and their flrst migrations. The record in Genesis $x$. Four principles of classification :-race, language, country, and nation. §8. Physiological distinction of races. The Caucasian alone belongs to ancient history. § 9. Range of the ethnological table in Genesis. § 10. The Hamite Race, in Ethiopia and Arabia, Egypt, Libya, Palestine, and Babylonia. Cushite Kingdom of Nimrod. Characteristics of the race. § 11. The Japhe-- thite race in Asia and Europe. § 12. The Shemite Race, in S.W. Asia. § 13. Classification according to Language. § 14. Threefold division of Languages, the isolating, agghtinative, and inflecting; not perfect tests of race. The Twranian family, almost beyond the range of ancient history. § 15. The two families of inflectional languages. § 16. The Indo-European Family. § 17. The Semitic Pamily. Sub-Semitic branch. The Egyptian language. § 18. Correapondence of the families of languages with the classifleation of races. § 19. Distinction between the Eastern and Western Nations. Its physical and moral causes. § 20. Antagonism of the East and West. Importance of the history of the East.
§ 1. Secolar History treats of the human race as civilized, and as organized into political societies. It begins only when it can be based upon contemporary records. Mere indications of man's presence on the earth at some uncertain period are insufficient authorities. For the most part, they relate to the natural history of the species, not to the civil history of the race; and what further significance they may have belongs to historical hypothesis rather than to history. The fint implements and weapons'found in certain strata of the eartb's surface, and bearing the marks of human contrivance, -the piles covered by Swiss lakes, which have supported human habitations,-the human bones carefully hidden in sepulcyral

[^0]barrows, or rudely scattered amidat the remains of extinct animals,are of the deepest interest to the student of anthropological acience. Diffused over the surface of the world, both old and new, they may bear witncess to the almost universal existence at some primeval age, whether antediluvian or still earlier, of men whose civilization was of the lowest and their labour of the hardest; but whose implements, however rude, prove that they rose above and had dominion over the brutes; whose rough pictures shew some idea of art, while their care for sepulchral rites suggests their belicf in a future stath But such inferences form no materials for history, unless these remuins could be connected (like the monuments of Egypt) with races of which we have authentic records.
82. On the other hand, the authoritative accounts, derived ouly flom revelation, of the creation of man and the preparation of the earth for his abode; of his primeval innocence and his fall; of the entrance of sin and the promise of redemption; of his firat probation and his deatruction by the Flood; of the new patriarchal line that sprang from Noah, and their renewed declension ; of the choice of Abraham and his race to preserve religious truth and hope anidst a new moral deluge; and of the law given to them by Moses; in short, the wholo period till Israel, as a nation, comes jo contact with the other nations, is best treated scparately as Sacaid History.!
3. With the antediluvian age, therefore, we have now no concern, except in so far as the relics of its civilization, preserved by Noah, were revived in the new world. Marriage had been ordained from the creation; but polygamy was practised by Lamech, the seventh from Adam in the line of Cain. Material civilization received its stimulus from the curse which first made needful labour painful. The pursuits of the first two sons of Adam gave an example of the different occupations of the husbandman and the pastoral life. The Cainite race, in their apirit of proud independence, gathered themaelves into civic communities, and invented the industrial and some of the fine arts. Cain built the first city; and of Lamech's two pairs of children, Jabal and Jubal represent the nomad pastoral life and the invention of musical instruments ; while Tubalcain was the first worker in brase and iron, and (traditiou adds) hia sister, Naamah, invented spinning and weaving. Here are all the casential germs of material civilization, to which was added by Noah (if not before) the culture of the vine, and the art of wino-making. The use of animal food, perhaps already practised in the bloody banquets of the lawless antediluvians, was permitted to Noah, undor the restriction of abstinence from blood; and the new law againgt murder granted the power of life and death to tha civil magistrate. I
${ }^{2}$ This part of Ancient lifotory will be lound in the 'student's Old Teate-1 melut History,' boois at H1 and ili.

That authority belonged for the present to the patriarch, whose family embraced (so far as the only historic record gives us any information) the whole surviving race of man. The narrative of the Deluge itself, and the wide-spread traditions which preserve its memory over the earth, are best referred to Sacred History. ${ }^{2}$
§ 4. Neither the place nor the time of the second origin of our race can be determined with any certainty.

The latter rests on calculations, for which we have neither a fixed starting point nor undisputed methods. We have no trustworthy chronology till the time of the Babylonian empire. ${ }^{\text {s }}$

As to the former, there is more agreement. Nearly all interpreters of Scripture place the cradle of the Postdiluvian race in the highlands of Asia; and, while some contend for the Alpine plateau of Little Bokhars (the Belourtagh) as the Merou and Berezat or Albora of Indian and Persian tradition, the more general opinion adheres to the mountains of Armenia. If the former is the more natural centre for the Aryan race, which took possession of Iran and Northern India, the latter (which prevalent tradition identifies with Ararat) seems the appropriate starting-place for the peoples of Europe, Western Asia, and North Africa.
§5. The regions just named form the whole scene of Ancient History; for of India we only have an occasional glimpse, as it is touched by the conquerors of Western Asia. That portion of the tripartite continent of the Old World, which is the field of Ancient History, lies wholly within the northern temperate zone; for the tropic of Cancer passes just south of the Persian Gulf and the frontier of Egypt. It is divided by great mountain-chains and tablelands into three portions, both physically and historically distinct. The chief nucleus of its mountain system is in Armenia, whence ranges, prolonged to the west and east, sever the seats of ancient civilization from the great plain of Northern Europe and Asia, which slopes away to the Arctic Ocean.

The central Asiatic range, after sweeping round the southern margin of the Caspian Sea, pursues an easterly course to the Hindoo Koosh (the Indian Cancasus of the ancients), north of Afghanistan and the Punjab, where another great knot is formed. One system running to the north-east under the names of Moussour and Altai, and another, the Himalayas, to the east, enclose between them the great table-lands of Tibet and Mongolia, which the former chains divide from the great Siberian plain, and the latter from the two Indian Peninsulas; while a third range, prolonged from the Himalayas to the north-east, divides the plaveaux of Tibet and

[^1]Mongolia from the maritime plains of China and Manchouria From the central knot in Armenia, another chain runs to the southeast, along the edge of the Tigris and Euphrates valley, the Persian Gulf, and the northern shore of the Indian Ocean, to the Delte of the Indus, where it is linked to the Bindoo Koosh by the Soliman Mountains, running north and south along the western margin of the Indus valles. These three ranges enclose the table-land of Iran.

The two chief Asiatic ranges are extended westward from Armenia in the chains of the Taurus and Anti-Taurus, which support betwoen them the Peninsula of Asia Minor; while the Taurus throws off a southern branch, the Amanus, along the eastern shore of the Mediterranean, prolonged in the ranges of Lebanon, and culminating in the awful granite masses of the Peninsula of Sinai. The islands of the Algean convect, as by stepping-stones, the mountains of Asia Minor with those of Greece; while the northern chain of AntiTaurus (here called the Mysian Olympus) is only severed by the Bosporus from the Thracian system of Hæmus (the Balkan). Thence, prulonged to the north-west along the southern margin of the Danube valley, and thus linking itself to the Alps, and through them to the Pyrenees, thil chief range of Europe eerves as the northern barrier of the thrve fair peninsulas which are formed by its southern branches. Above this chain (in latitude, not in height) a second, like a vast arch with its ends resting also on the Pyrenees and the Black Sea, the Cevennes, the Jura, the Vosges, the mountains of South Gemmany, and the Carpathians, enclose the valleys of the Rhone and Danube. From this second range the great plain of Northern and Western Europe slopes away ; but along its northwest edge, though broken by the sea into severed links, a transverse chain runs through Scandinavia, the British Isles, Brittany, and the western aide of the Spanish Peninsula, exhibiting in its geological formation eome of the most ancient rocks of the earth's surface Crossing the straits to Africa, the chain of Atlas forms the southern wall of the Western Mediterranean, and looks-across to the mountains of Sicily from ite eastern termination at Cape Bon. A secondary and much lower chain runs off to the south-east, akirting the Syrtes and forming the Libyan shore, to the Delta of the Nile, except where the Cyrenaic Peninaula riges to a greater beight.
§6. South of the Atlas, the Syrtea, and the Libyan shore, the low land of the Great Libyan Desert (commonly, but ncarcely accurately, called the Sahara), interpose its rainlems waste of mand, broken only by an Oasis here and there, between the basin of the Mediterrapean and the reat of Africa, excluding the latter regiona from the sphere of ancient civilization. But this desert is ouly the western portion of a great belt, of the same physical character, which stretches in an east and northeranterly curve from the Atlantic coast
of Africa to the mountains of Manchouria; rising into the desert tablelands of Arabia and Syria, Iran and Turan, aud Gobí in Eastern Tartary. The valley of the Nile, the chasm filled by the Red Sea, and the basin through which the Tigris and Euphrates flow to the Persian Gulf, are breaks in this desert belt.

The valley of the Nile was the most ancient seat of a mighty kingdom, whose independent isolation was aided by its physical character, while its opening to the Mediterranean connected it with' the European world. The valley of the Tigris and Euphrates was the ground on which various races disputed the mastery of Western Asia, from the age of Nimrod to the Caliphs; while its possessors. came in contact with the West by extending their conquests to Syria and Asia Minor. The waters of the Red Sea, running up almost to the Mediterranean, have formed in all ages the highway of commerce between the countries of Europe and the shores of the Indian Ocean. So early was this commerce and that by way of the Persian Gulf opened, that we find the kings of Egypt and Assyria, as well as Solomon, supplied with the products of India; and, at a later period, the silk of China was used by the Asiatic Greeks and by imperial Rome.

On the north, the furthest part of Central Asia known to the ancients was the table-land of Turan, which, sloping westward to the Sea of Aral, is traversed by the Oxus (Amou or Jyhun), and the Jaxartes (Syr-deria). Their upper streams watered the fertile districts of Bactriana and Sogdiana, which formed the outposts of civilization, both under the Fersians and the successors of Alexander; and through their passes commercial routes were established with China

1. §7. Of the several races of mankind which peopled the ancient world; their first movements from their primitive seats; their successive displacements by conquest or voluntary migration; and the positions they occupied at each period;-our information depends chiefly upon the science of ethnology, and still more on the comparison of languages, aided by tradition. But of the first steps in these movements we have one trustworthy record, clear in many points, though difficult in some, which is more and more confirmed by every conclusion to which science comes.

The Book of Genesis affirms the unity of the human race, while it distinguishes the three families which sprang from the three sons of Noah; and describes their first diffusion from their primeval centre.4 That ancient record distinguishes the four principles of classification, which, to this day, are constantly confounded. The component members of the three races are described "after their families, after theirtongues, in their lands, and in their nations:" and all sound research must still have regard to race, and languaye,
geographical position, and political nationality;' though each of these elements is more or less mixed up with the others. Nor must we forget the complex nature of the enquiry. We have to seek, not for any single movement from a common centre, nor even for successive impulses at intervals of time; but we must allow for the frequent flux and reflux of the tides of population.
58. The most obvious test of race is physiological formation, as seen in the stature and proportions of the body, the complexion of the skin, the colour and set of the bair, and, above all, the size and shape of the skull. Four races are thus distinguished, the White, or Caucasian; the Yellow, or Mongolian; the Black, Negro, or Nigritian; and the Red, or American. The first was the sole possessor of ancient civilization; the eecond appeara only occasionally on the scene of ancient history, when it nomad hordes come down from their homes in the plateaux of Central Asia, over which they have always wandered; the third is only represented by the slavea depicted on Egyptian monuments ; the fourth docs not yet appear at all. The three last are excluded from the families enumerated in Oenesis x. ; not as negativing their descent from Noah, but because they lay beyond the geographical range embraced by the writer.
89. That range is limited to the primary pettlements of tho Caucasian race. It seems to lie entirely within the $20 t h$ and 60 th meridians of east longitude, and the 10th and 50th parallels of north latitude; extending from the peninsula of Greece to the table-land of Iran, and from the northern shores of the Black Ses to the mouth of the Red Sea. Without discussing the several names in detail, we may be tolerably sure of these general results.
810. 1. The Hamite Race, which eeems first to haveleft the common home, is located in Africa and South Arabia, in four branches :1. The Cushites, in Ethiopia and the South part of Arabia, separated only by the Straits of Bp.b-el-Mandeb. 2. The Egyptians, under their historic name of Mizraim; with the kindred Philistines on the one side, and (probably) North African tribes on the other. 3. The Libyang (probably) deaignated by the name of Phut. 4. The Canaanites, whose tribes are particularly enumerated. The mention of Sidon among these indicates that the first settlers in Pboenicia were Hamite; though the Phoenicians of history were undoubtedly Semitic. The like displacement clearly bappened in Arabia, where the same names (Havilah and Sheba) occur among the sons of Cush, and again among those of the Shemite Joktan.

[^2]Besides these-nations, the record mentions a personal name among the sous of Cush, Nimrod, the founder of a kingdom, with four cities, in the plain of Babylonia; ${ }^{7}$ and there are later traces of Cushites in the East. They seem, in fact, to have spread over India and the islands of the Eastern Archipelago.

In all the countries of their abode, the Hamite race seem to have been the pioneers of material civilization, and the founders of states based on mere force. Their enduring monuments are gigantic buildings, the sculptures upon which attest the grossness of their worship of nature. Every where except in Egypt (and there also at last) they gave way before the races of Shem and Japheth, fulfilling Noah's prophetic curse, that Ham should be the servant of his brethren. Material grandeur yielded to spiritual power and the active energy of political life.
§ 11. II. The Japhethite Race extends from the Caucasian region to the south-east over the table-land of Iran; to the west over the peninsula of Asia Minor and the neighbouring islands, as far as Greece,(the "Isles of the Gentiles"); and to the north-westall round the shores of the Blacls Sea. That the tribes enumerated in the record were the parents of those which overspread all Europe on the one hand, and became masters of Northern India on the other, admits of no reasonable doubt.
§ 12. III. Between the other two, the Shemite Race remained• nearer its primeval seats, as the destined guardian of the primeval religion and traditions. Its nucleus in Armenia (probably represented by the name Arphascad) forms the apex of a triangle, resting on the Arabian peninsula; along the east side of which we have the Assyrians (Asshur) and Elymæans (Elam), the latter of whom gave way to the Japhethite Persians; and on its west side the Arameran race (Aram, denoting highland) of Northern Mesopotamia-and Syria, whose Hebrew descendants (Eber) afterwards possessed the land of Canaan. The middle space of the Syrian Desert and the whole peninsula of Arabia is the seat of the Arab tribes denoted by Joktan, the son of Eber, with whom were afterwards mingled other Semitic descendants of Abraham.
§ 13. These general results are in striking agreement with the conclusions derived from the science of Comparative Language, which is now universally regarded as the best test of national affinity. As thought is the most characteristic function of man, so language, the organ of thought, is his most characteristic and permanent possession -permanent in its modifications as well as in its substance. Some caution is, indeed, necessary in applying the prisiciple." That language is not always, and of itself alone, a sufficient test of race, we gee in the English-speaking Celts of our own islands, whose native
dialects are only partially retained, and still more in the nations of South-western Europe, absurdly called "the Latin races" because of the language which they adopted from their Roman conquerors. Such acquired languages may generally, but not always, be distinguished by direct sources of historical informatiou.

8 14. Languages are divided, according to their form, into the three classes of isolating, agglutinative, and inflecting. Those of the first class consist of monosyllabic roots, entirely destitute of composition and grammatical inflection. In the second, grammatical changes are denoted by the mere juxta-position of different roots. In the third, the prefixes and terminations which modify the meaning and relations of the principal root are welded with it into one word, having loat their radical character. But we cannot regard these 6ifferent forms of speech as tests of different races: they seem rather to be stages through which all languagen have passed. They run into each other by imperceptible gradations; from which we may safely conclude that every inflecting language must once have been agglutinative, and every agglutinative language onco isclating. The great type of an isolating language is the Chinese. The agglutinative dialecte are spoken chiefly by the nomad tribes of Asia and Northern Europe, and by some of those of Southern India, the Malay peninsula, and the Indian and Pacific archipelagos. Modern ethnologiste regard them as characteristio of what they call the Turanian family. As this family lies almost entirely without the range of ancient history, we are under no necessity to discuss the questiona involved in this attempted classification.
615. The inflectional languages are divided into two families, distinguished with great clearness, and comprehending those of all the nations with whose history we are now concerned. With sufficient resemblance in some of their most important roots to justify belief in their ultimate commonorigin, these two families exhibit the most striking diversities from one another and resemblances among their respective members. These diversitien and resemblances are neen, not only in the roota, but chiefly in the grammatical inflectioneclements necessarily developed by processes of change which make accidental coincidences on a large scale impossible. The two families are known by the names of Indo-E゙urcpean and Semitic.
816. I. The Indo-European or Indo-Germanic languages are so named from the two extremities of the chain in which they atretch from south-east to north-west across Asia and Europe. They are sofnetimes also called Aryan, from the races which peopled Eastern Persis and Nortliern India. The sacred language of Indis, the Sanskrit, stands first in the series. The latter iq aloo, organically, the most complete in its fonns; but it is too much to affirm that it in aiways the nearest to the common pareut tongue, to which
all the languages of the family point back. Next come the ancient and modern languages of Persia and the other countries on the table-land of Irans then those of Armenia and the Caucasian isthmus; whence the family spreads out over all Europe, to the shores of the North Sea and the Atlantic. ${ }^{8}$
§ 17. II. The Semitic Languages are so called, not as implying necessarily the common descent of the nations speaking them from Shem-for the linguistic classification is independent of, though co-ordinate with, the classification by race-but because the most conspicuous members of the family are those whose Shemite descent is affirmed in Scripture: the Hebrews and Arabs, Syrians and Assyrians. These nations occupied, and for the most part still occupy, the south-west corner of Asia, to the left of the IndoGermanic zone; pent in between the highlands of Armenia and Iran on the east, the Mediterranean and Red Sea on the west, and she Gulf of Arabia on the south.

But some languages are included in the family, which have by no means the same marked affinity with the rest as that which unites the Indo-European tongues. Some authorities, guided by theories respecting the early relations of the Shemite and Hamite. races, consider the Semitic family as originally Hamitic. But, as yet, comparative philology has not succeeded in establishing a. distinct family of languages corresponding to the Hamitic race; and the languages of the latter are meanwhile classed as SubSemitic. Hence we have the division into (1) Semitic Proper, including Aramæan, Hebrew, Arabic, and Ethiopic; and (2) the Sub-Semitic, including the Egyptian or Coptic, and, perhaps, the languages of the ancient Libyans, still preserved by the Kabyles and Touargs of North Africa, and by some tribes of the Upper Nile. ${ }^{\text {g }}$ The affinities of the Egyptian language, howèver, are still an open question. It has elements in common with the-Indo-European as well as the Semitic families, which may perhaps aid in guiding us a step nearer to the common original of human speech.
§ 18. The classification of nations by their languages has the great advantage of enabling us to construct an ethnological picture for any period at which the languages are known, and to follow the migrations of the peoples speaking the several tongues. Thus, for example, the common evidence of a Low German tongue enables us to trace back our own ancestors to their homes on the other side of the German Ocean. Language is a living fact, while the recorded or traditional history of the movements of paces are "in many points most doubtful.

[^3]Still, what has now been said will shew the striking general agreement of the record in Genesis with the results of comparative philology. The Indo-European family corresponds to the Japhethite races, not only as far as the range included in the biblical record, but the extensions of the former are what might be expectod from the latter. The range of the Semitic family proper is precisely that assigned to the Shemite races, with the addition of Ethiopia, where, as in neighbouring parts of Arabia, they displaced the Cushites; while the more complicated relations of the sub-Semitic languages are what we might have expected from the movementa of the Hamites and Shemites. The whole result is to divide the nations of the ancient world into two great groups, of which the one expanded, and made more free and powerful, the civilization begun by the other. The very names of Shem (exaltation) and Tapheth (enlargement) are symbolical of those destinies of the races which were foretold in Noah's prophecy:-" God shall enlarge Japheth, and he shall dwell in the tabernacles (inherit the power and high privileges) of Shem."
§ 19. The course of history establishes another broad division of the ancient nations into the Eastern and the Weatern. The latter represents the free energy of the Indo-European raoes; the former, not uninfluenced by the same element, as contributed by the Aryan stock, absorbed it into its own mass of immobility and despotism. Thus the Median and Persian conquerors of the Babylonian Empire, and long afterwards the Greek rulers of Egypt and Syria, conformed to the Oriental type. The causes of this were both physical and moral. In those early ages, when men saw that
"The world was all Before them, where to choove,"
the virgin basins of great rivers like the Euphrates and the Nile, teeming beneath a subtropical sun, became the first seate of civilization. An agricultural population, wedded to the eoil, easily submitted to the royal claims which were the exaggeration of patriarchal power, and consoled themselves by admiring the pomp and luxury of their kings. The principle of obedience to authority, which preserved the true religion among the chosen people of God, was else where debased into a religious reverence for despota. The same causes, which at first atimulated civilization, gave it a fixed and immobile character. The vast river "basins, with only a narrow opening to the sea, were excluded from the vivifying infuences which wete ever mpving on the indented shores of the Mediterrancan, and on the varied surface of its great peninsulas ; and the climate of the East admitted not the free life of European energy

5 20. From these causea, quite as much as from difference of race, sprisgs that great distinction which marks the two different streams,
and the two antagonistic principles, of ancient history : the eastern and the western; the civilization of the Nile and the Euphrates with the fixed principles of their great-monarchies, and the higher civilization and nobler political, literary, and artistic life which grew up on the shores of the Mediterranean, and was destined to cover the whole world. Our early study of, and sympathy with the latter, is, however, left imperfect, unless we are familiar with what the former did to prepare its way, so as to understand the full significance of the ultimate triumph of the West.

The permanent character of Asiatic civilization enables us still to study its principles in their ancient abodes; and though the old Asiatic empires have long since vanished before the energy of conquering races, dissolving as easily as they were formed, leaving but fragmentary notices in ancient literature, the time has come when the newly deciphered records of Egypt and Assyria supply materials for the authentic ancient history of the East.

NOTES AND ILLUSTRATIONS.
$\bullet$.
(A). Table of the Indo-European Famip of Languages. ${ }^{10}$

| Classes. | Branches. | Dead Languages. | Living Language |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ndic .. | .. | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Prakrit and Pali, Modern } \\ \text { and Vedic Sanskrit. }\end{array}\right\}$ | Dialects of :India. The gipsies. |
| lramio ." | .. $\quad .$. | $\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { Parsi, Pehlevi, Zend .. } & . . \\ . . & . & . . & . . & . \\ \ddot{.} & . & . . & . . & . \\ \text { Old } & . . \\ \text { Ormenian } & . . & . . & . . \\ . . & . . & . . & . . & . . \\ . .\end{array}$ | Persia, <br> Afghanistan. Kurdistan. Bokhara. Armenia. Ossethi. |
|  | Cymr | $\begin{array}{lllll} \text { Cormish } & \text {.. } & . . & \text {.. } & \text {.. } \end{array}$ | Wales. Brittany. |
| Celitid .. $\{$ | Gadhelic |  | Scotland. Ireland. Isle of Man |
| Italio .. | - -. |  | Spain. <br> Provence. <br> ${ }^{\circ}$ France. Italy. |

[^4]Table of the Indo-European Family of Languages-continued.

(B). Table of the Semitio Family of Languagrs.
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## BOOK I.

## EGYPT AND ETHIOPIA.

## CHAPTER I.

## THE COUNTRY, THE RIVER, AND THE PEOPLE.

§ 1. The Egyptians were the first civilized state. § 8. Egypt formed by the valley of the Nile. Its boundaries. § s. Description of the Nile. The Blue and White Rivers. Sources of the Nile. § 4. Course of the Nile: (i.) to its junction with the Tacasze. The Island of Meroe. § 5. (ii.) Through Nubis to Syẽne. The Cataracts. 1slands of Philm and Elephantine. Legend related by Herodotus. Proximity to the tropio. $\$ 6$. (iii.) To the aper of the Delta. The Fyim. The Pyramids. § 7. (iv.) The Delta. Distinction of Lower and Upper Egypt. Mouths of the Nilfin ancient and modern times. Lakes and Canals. Extent of the Delta. Its formation. S.8. Annual inundation of the Nile. Its regularity and bemeficial effect. Its canse and season. Fertility of Egypt. § 9. Causes of the early prosperity of Egypt. (i.) Its inaccessibility to forejgn invasion. § 10. (ii.) Its abundant supply of food. § 11. (iii.) Means of communication afforded by the Nile. § 12. The Nile a stimulus to mental effort and the cultivation of the sciences. Astronomy, Geometry, Engineering. § 13. Influence of the Nile upon the ideas and religion of the Egyptians. The Nile' and the Desert: Life and Death : Osiris and Typhon. Burial of the Dead. Belief in a future state. § 14. The geological formation of Egypt supplied abundant materials for the workman. Limestone, granite, marble, perphyry, basalt, \&c. Iron and other mines in Sinal worked by the early Kings. § 15. Origin of the Egyptisns. Hygotheses of their Ethiopian and Indian origin untenable. § 160 , Physiological evidence. The Egyptian mummies and portraits shew an Asiatic type. § 17. The Egyptian language is intermediate between the Asiatic and African dialeots. § 18. Sames of Egypt : native: Hebrew and Arabio: and Greek.
ì 1 . In the earliest dawn of history the Egyptians appear as a
highly civilized and powarful people. Many centuries before any empire had been established on the banks of the Euphrates and the Tigris, and while the Hebrew patriarchs were wandering with their flocks and hends on the plains of Mesopotamia, ${ }^{1}$ the valley of the Nile was governed by a great and mighty sovereign, whose country was the granary of the surrounding nations, ${ }^{9}$ and whose people cultivated the arts which refine and embellish life. But even then the pyramids were old, and the tombs at their base reveal a high degree of civilization. The inquisitive Greeks, who visited Egypt in the fourth and fifth centuries before the Christian era, gazed with wonder upon the stupendous monuments which we still behold, and were powerfully impressed with the immamorial antiquity of the people." In short, there can be no doubt, from the cuncurrent testimony of Fiebrew and Greek literature, and from the evidence afforded by the monuments of the country, that the Egyptians formed a great and civilized community long anterior toany other people, and consequently that they deserve the earliest place in the history of the ancient world.

5 2. The history of all nations has been influenced by their rivers; and the course of civilization has usually followed, whether upwards or downwards, the course of the streams. But the influence exercised by the Euphrates, the Tigris, and the Ganges, upon the inhabitants of their plains, has been small comprared with the influence of the Nile upon the people of its valley. To the Nile the Egyptians owed, not only their civilization and their peculiar institutions, but the very existence of their country. Egypt has been emphatically called "the gift of the Nile," ${ }^{4}$ without whome fertilizing waters it would have been only a rocky desert. It is a long narrow valley, shut in by two ranges of mountains, through which flows the deep and mighty river, leaving on either side a slip of fertile land created by the deposits of its inundation. The average breadth of this valley is about seven miles; but the mountain-ranges sometimes approach so near as almost to touch the river, and in no place are they more than eleven miles apart.

The boundaries of Egypt are marked by nature, and have been in

- all ages the same. On the east and weat the Arabian and Libyan bills accompany the Nile, till the valley expands into the broad plain of the Delta upon the Mediterrancan Sea, where the Arabian Desert separates it from Palestine upon the east, and the Libyan Desert forms its western boundary. On the south, Egypt was divided from Ethiopia by the rapids (or "firnt cataract") between the islands of Elephantine and Phila. An ancient oracle of Ammon defined the

[^5]Egyptians to be the people who dwelt below the cataracts, and drank of the waters of the Nile. ${ }^{5}$ Under the Romans these rapids were the southern boundary, not only of Egypt, but of their own empire; ${ }^{6}$ and at the present day they separate the Egyptians and the Arabic language, to the north, from the Nubians and the Berber language to the south. ${ }^{7}$ But the Egyptian monarchy, in its palmy days, extended far beyond the First Cataract. I'he course of civilization and empire has always followed the course of the Nile, either upwards or downwards; and this mysterious river is so closely interwoven with the history and iustitutions of the Egyptians, that a brief description of its course and its physical phenomena is an essential preliminary to the history of the country.
§ 3. The Nile ${ }^{8}$ is formed by the junction of two rivers, which meet in the latitude of $15^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ north and longitude $33^{\circ}$ east of Greenwicir, near the modern village of Khartüm, where it is above two miles broad., From the colour of their waters these streams have received the names of the White and the Blue rivers. The White River flows from the south-west, and brings down the larger volume of water; the Blue River comes from the south-east, and is much the more rapid. The latter, and the Black River, Atbarah or Tacazzé (the ancient Astaboras), which joins the Nile from the east, both flow down from the bighlands of Abyssinia with a moderate volume, except at the season of the summer rains, when their swollen and turbid waters wash down the earthy matters from which they derive their colour and their names. The clear perennial stream of the White River has always been recognised as the true Nile; and its sources have been from the remotest times a mystery, and have given rise to various conjectures. ${ }^{2}$ Herodotus supposed that the river, which the Nasamones, after crossing the Great Desert, found flowing eastward, was really the Nile. ${ }^{10}$ Under the Roman empire, it was believed by many that the Nile rose in Mauritania, and, after flowing through the centre of Africa as the Niger, at lass entered Ethiopia as the Nile. ${ }^{[1}$ Ptolemy, with that wonderful amount of information

[^6]which he derived from adventurous traders, for later agos to lose and re-discover, marks the Nile as rising from oume lakes or swamps, the "Paludes Nili," south of the Equator, which are in their turn fed by streams flowing from a range which he calls the "Mountains of the Moon." His view had keen discredited for centurics, when the discoveries of Speke and Grant (in 1862), and Baker (in 1864), proved that the Nile issues, in lat. $2^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ north and long. $31^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$ east from the reservoir of the lake Albert Nyanza, which recciven, near the outlet of the river, a secondary stream from the lake Victoria Nyanza; these two lakes covering a vast space under and on both sides of the Equator. ${ }^{18}$ Still, in strict geographical science, the problem is not finally solved, till the sources which feed these lakes, and especially the Albert Nyanza, shall have been discovered.
'§4. From the Albert Nyanza the Nile flows to the north and nurth-east, increased by numerous tributaries, for about 1000 miles, to its junction with the Blue River at Khartan, and thence 170 miles further, till, in latitude $17^{\circ} 40^{\circ}$ north and longitude $34^{\circ}$ east, it receives the Black River, its last confluent. The vast plain enclosed between these two chief tributaries was called the island of Meroe, ${ }^{13}$ and was the seat of the great sacerdotal kingdom of Ethiopia, connected by kindred and customs with Egypt, over which it once ruled for a time. In thin part of its course the river flows by ruined temples and pyramids which clearly indicate the connection.
85. From the Astaboram to Syene, a distance of about 700 miles through Nubia, the navigation of the Nile is interrupted by various rapids, or, as the Greeka called them, cataracts. They are seven in number, and are formed by shelves of granite lying across the bed of the river. For a long distance the Nile traverses almost a desert till a little below the fourth cataract, ${ }^{4}$ where pyramide and temples, and other traces of ancient civilization again appear. Between the second, or Great Cataract, and the First Cataract at Syene, the remains of ancient art are still more numerous; but the two ranges of hille almost ahut in the river, and leave little space for cultivation.

Immediately above the First Cataract lies the sacred island of Phile, the burial-place of the god Osiris, still covered with numerous

[^7]temples and colonnades. The falls extend from Philm to Syene ${ }^{16}$ and the island of Elephantine, aldistance of five miles. Throughout this space the river is broken by fantastic masses of black porphyry and granite, which rise to the height of forty feet, and between which the waters force their way in violent eddies and currents. According to a tale which Herodotus heard from the treasurer at Saïs, in Lower Egypt, the Nile rose at this point between two peaked mountains, called Crophi and Mophi, from which it ran down northwards into Egypt, and southwards into Ethiopia. ${ }^{16}$ It is not difficult to imagine that an inhabitant of Lower Egypt, who had been accustomed to the calm unbroken flow of his majestic river, would be astonished at the strange convulsion of the water, and would endeavour to account for it by supposing that the river here burst forth from unfathomable caverns. Marvellous tales, reached the West of the deafening sound with which the water descended from lofty precipices; ${ }^{17}$ whereas, in reality, the entire descent is only eighty feet in a space of five miles. .

The statement of the ancient geographers, that the sun passed vertically over Syene at the summer solstice-his image being reflected perpendicularly in a well, and an upright stick casting no shadow, at noon-though not precisely accurate, may serve to remind us that the southern limit of Egypt is only just outside of the tropic of Cancer. The true latitude of Syene is $24^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$, and the least shadow of a vertical stick is only $\frac{1}{900}$ th of its length.
§ 6. From its entrance into Egypt at Syene, the Nile flows in one unbroken stream for upwards of 600 miles, as far as the apex of the Delta. The two chains of mountains, which enclose its valley, press unequally upon its banks. The western range recedes further from the river, and hence most of the Egyptian cities were on its western side. The breadth of the valley varies from ten miles at the most to as little as two miles in some parts of Upper Egypt: the river itself is from 2000 to 4000 feet wide. For about fifty miles north of Syene, the valley is contracted and sterile, since the inundation is checked by the rocks which approach the banks on either side; but at Apollinopolis the Great ( $E d f o u$, in $25^{\circ}$ north lat.) the valley begins to expand, and becomes still wider at Latopolis (Esneh). Below this, it again contracts so closely, as barely to leave space for the passage of the river; but almost immediately afterwards it opens out into a still wider plain, in which stood the royal city of Thebes. Here the western hills attain their greatest elevation, rising precipitously from the plain to the height of 1200 feet above the level of the river. The plain of Thebes is shut in on the porth, by another

[^8]approsch of the hills; but they soon rerede again, and henceforth the Nile flows through a valley of nonsiderable width. Near Diospolis Parva, on the left bank, bugins the canal, called the Bahr- Yusouf (Canal of Joseph ${ }^{\text {18 }}$ ), which is, however, more probably an ancient branch of the Nile. It runs in a direction nearly parallel to the river, at a distanco varying from three to six miles.

About eighty miles before reaching Memphis, the Libyan hills take a wide sweep to the north-west, and, again approaching the river, enclose a considerable space, known in ancient times as the district (nome) of Arsinoß, and now called the Fyûm. This district, which was one of the most fertile in Egypt, contained the Lake of Mceris and the Labyrinth. Before reaching Memphis, the capital of Lower Egypt, and eometimes of the whole land, we see the gigantic Pyramids standing upon a natural terrace of rock on the borders of the Libyan Desert. In that vast level, as they grow and grow upon the approaching traveller, they bear a nearer resemblance to artificial mountaing than could have seemed within the compass of human art.
57. A little below Memphis, the hills, which have $\boldsymbol{c o}^{\text {long accom- }}$ panied the river, turn off on either side, leaving a flat alluvial plain, called from its triangular shape the Delta (4), through which the Nile finds its way into the sea by eeveral sluggish streams. The Delta was also called Lower Egrpt, while the valley of the Nile, from above the Delta to Syene, received the name of Uppea Eaypt. ${ }^{10}$ The apex of the Delta, or the point where the Nile divides, was in the time of Herodotus at the city of Cercasorus, about ten milce below Memphis; but it is now aix or soven miles lower down the river.

The ancients reckoned seven branches of the Nile, of which five were natural and two artificial; but the main arms were the Pelusiac, which formed the eastern boundary of the Delta; the Canopic, which formed ethe western; and the Sebennytic, which continued in the direction of the river before its division. The bifurcation of the western branch made the Bolbitine mouth, east of the Canopic; and three branchea from the middle atream made the Phatnitic, the Mendesian, and the Tanitic or Saitic mouths, between the Sebennytic and Pelusiac. The navigable arme are now reduced to two, that of Rosetta, the ancient Bolbitine, and that of Damiat, the ancient Phatnitic; and a vast tract between thia and the old Pelusiac mouth is converted into the lake of Menzaleh, which comnunicates with the eea by the old Mendesian and Tanitic mouths. In fact, thre Delta has alwaye been fringed by lakes ; such as that of
${ }^{40}$ so amaded, sot from the patriarch, bat from an Arab ruler who tmproved it.
${ }^{20}$ The term Midpla Eomritin of lave origin. As Mr. Mearick truly obeerves, at ite diatinction of Upper and Lower Enypl exists in geological aractare, in baggage, in religion, sad in bistorical tredition."

- Mareotis (now a mere lagoon), on the bank between which and the sea Alexandria was built; Buto (Bourlos), through which the Sebennytic mouth flowed; and, half-way between Pelusium and the frontier of Palestine, the lake or morass of Serbonis, celebjated for the disaster of the army of Darius Ochus in b.c. 350 :-

ts That Serbonian bog, Betwixt Damiata and Mount Casius old, Where armies whole have sunt."-Mumon.

Besides the mouths of the Nile, the Delta was intersected by numerous cauals, said to have been dug by the hosts of prisoners whom Sesostris brought home after his victorious expeditions. ${ }^{30}$ Of the canal designed to unite the Mediterranean and the Red Sea we shall have to speak in another place.

The alluvial plain of the Delta forms a vast expanse, unbroken by a single elevation, except where mounds of earth mark the site of ruined cities, or raise the towns and villages above the inundation. Its length in a straight line, from north to south, is nearly 100 miles; the breadth of its base, following the line of the coast from the Canopic to the Pelusiac mouth, is more than 200 miles; but the name of Delta is now applied only to the space between the Rosetta and Damiat branches, which is about 90 miles in extent.

Geological science shows that the Delta was once a deep bay and the valley of Dpper Egypt an arm of the sea, from the bottom of which it has been raised, together with the adjoining isthmus of Suez. But during the whole course of human history, the country has shown the same chief features; and the moderate rate of deposit of the soil, within the period measured by the existing monuments, leaves no ground for the speculations of Herodotus on the myriads of years which the Nile must have taken in filling up a gulf which once resembled the Red Sea. The alluvium is only a superficial deposit on a bed of limestone, and the seq-shore of the Delta has rather receded than advanced within the memory of man.
§8. The most wonderful occurrence in Egypt, the event upon which the very existence of the people depends, is the annual inundation of the Nile. In all hot countries, an abundant supply of water is indispensable to agriculture; and as Egypt possesses no natural springs, and rain rarely falls in the upper country, ${ }^{81}$ the inhabitants can rely upon nothing but the waters of the Nile. The inundations of other rivers are capricious and uncertain, and carry with them desolation and destruction of life and property; but the overflow of the Nile occurs at a regular and certain period, and spreads fertility and opulence over the land. 'The reasons of this

[^9]periodical overfiow early excited the curiosity of observers; and various theories were invented to account for it.

The true cause, the periodical rains which fall in Ethiopia, was first pointed out by Agatharcides of Cnidus, ${ }^{29}$ who wrote in the second century before the Christian era. The periodio storms which, as in all tropical countries, follow the course of the vertical sun, descend in torrents of rain on the lofty mountains of Abyssinia. The White and Blue rivers are filled in May; but it is not till after the summer solstice that the Nile begins to rise in Egypt. At the beginning of July the rise becomes clearly visible, and the water mounts higher and higher every day. About the middle of August, the dams arg cut, and the flood is drawn off by numerous canals; but the waters still continue to rise, and attain their great"est height in the last week of September. The level of the flood remains stationary for about a fortnight, and then beging gradually to decline. During the inundation, the land bears the aspect of a vast lake, out of which the towns rise like islands.e

When the waters subside, they leave behind a thick black mud, which is superior to the richest manure, and produces crops of extraordinary fertility with hardly any cultivation. The ground requires the labour neither of the plough nor of the spade to prepare it for the seed, which, after being scattered upon the wil, and trodden in by cattle, springs up rapidly under the warm sun of Egypt." It was this which made Egypt the granary of the ancient world from the time of the Jewish patriarchs to the downfal of the Roman empire.

Sometimes, however, the Nile fails to reach its usual hoight; large districts are left beyond its reach ; the harvest is scanty, and much misery is the consequence. For this reason intense anxiety prevails throughout Egypt, when the Nile begins to increase; and from the 3rd of July its rise is proclaimed daily in the streets of Cairo. ${ }^{2 s}$ In ancient timee also it rise was carefully noted at Memphis, and messengers were went to different parts of Egypt to inform the inhabitants of its increase or decline.* There were Nilometers in different parts of Egypt: that at Elephantlne, remains of which still exist, was in the form of a staircase. The height of a good inundation is now about 24 feet, which appears to have been the usual quantity in ancient times. ${ }^{23}$ If it falis below 18 feet dreadful famines ensue, and the wretched population perishes by thousands.

[^10][^11]So terrible have been their sufferings upon these occasions, that instances have occurred, both in ancient and modern times, when they have been driven to feed on human flesh. ${ }^{20}$ On the other hand, an excessive inundation overflows the villages, and causes much destruction. ${ }^{29}$
§ 9. The physical features of Egypt enable us easily to account for the early prosperity of the country. In the first place, its inhabitants were shut off from the rest of the world in a rockbound valley, and had little to apprehend from foreign intruders. On its western side, it stood in little fear of the barbarous tribes of the desert; while, on the only open part of its eastern side, over the isthmus of Suez, the broad sandy desert which separated it from Asia presented obstacles to an invading army, which even Cambyses, wielding the whole power of the Persian empire, found it difficult to surmount. Hence, while other lands were constantly changing their inhabitants, and one nomad tribe was chasing another nomad tribe, the Egyptians remained stationary in the valley where they originally settled, cultivating the arts of agriculture and peace, and retaining the civilization which they early acquired. We shall see, as we proceed, the contrast presented by the revolutions thatofollowed one another in the more open valley of the Tigris and Euphrates, surrounded by the homes of warlike and conquering races.
§ 10. Two other causes contributed to the rapid growth of the nation, an abundant supply of food, and easy means of communication between different parts of the country. The increase of population in every country depends mainly upon the food which it produces; and, till there is a surplus quantity of food, and a part of the population is relieved from the necessity of tilling the ground for its subsistence, a nation can make no progress in the cultivation of the arts and sciences. In Egypt, the annual inundation of the Nile made a nomad life impossible; and the abundant crops, which the rich deposits yielded, stimulated population, 'and required the labour of only a small portion of the community.
§ 11. The other cause which favoured the growth of the nation was the easy and uninterrupted communication afforded to the inhabitants by the Nile. One of the great difficulties, with which an. infant state has to struggle, is the absence of roads; and, till these are made, each part of the community must remain isolated, and dependent upon itself for the supply of its wants. It has taken
cabits; and the statue of the Nile, which Veapasian placed in the te:Tple of Peace, at Rome, was surrounded by 16 diminutive figures emblemstic of these measurea (Plin. xxxvi. 9 § 14) This atatue is preserved in the Vatican (Visconti, 'Musea Pio Clement.' vol. i. p. 291). See Kenrick's 'Ancient Egypt,' vol. i. p. 84.
${ }^{28}$ Diod. i. 84 ; Abdallatiph'a 'History of Egypt,' p. 197, ed. White.
${ }^{20}$ For example, in Jamary, 1870 , the Nile has risen higher than within living memory, causing a damage estimated at $8,000,000 l$. sterling.
powerful nations many centuries before they have been able to establish safe and easy means of communication between distant parts of their dominions. But the Egyptians possessed from the beginning a natural highway,-broad, level, and uninterrupted. In Ethiopin, the cataracts of the river and the intervening deserts provented intercourse between neighbouring tribes, and confined each to its own district : whereas in Egypt the river flows on, without any impodiments to navigation, from Syens to the Mediterranean.
;There is another remarkable provision of nature, which renders the Nile a still easier means of communication. While the force of the current carries vessels downwards, the northerly winds, which blow nearly nine months in the year, enable them to ascend ethe river. Moreover, these winds blow the most teadily during the time of the floods, when the stream is strongest, and when navigation upwards would otherwise be impossible. These winds were called by the Greeks Etesian, or yearly winds. ${ }^{50}$
512. While the Nile conferred so many material blessinga upon the inhabitants of its valley, it also stimulated their rational faculties, and taught them to exercise forethought and prudence. Though it yielded an abundant supply of food with little labour, yet it did not cherish habits of idleness. The Egyptians did not find, like the South Sea islanders, a continuous supply of food growing upon the trees over their heads, and were not able to neglect provision for the future. The annual inundation of the Nile compelled them to secure their dwellings and their property from the violence of the floods, and to collect a sufficient supply of food to last while the land was covered with water.

As the inundation occurred at a stated period of the year, it became neceseary to calculate the time of its recurrence, which could only be done by observing the coursen of the heavenly bodics. Hence the Egyptians divide with the Chaldæans the honour of having laid the foundations of Astronomy; and Herodotus tells us that they discovesed the colar year, that is, the circuit of the sun among the stars, and divided it into twelve months and 365 days. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ As the inundation swept away all natural landmarks, it was necessary, when the floods subsided, to make an accurate division of the land, and to assign to each proprietor his proper fields. Hence aroee the science of Geometry. With an increasing population, and

[^12]with a territory limited by the sands of the desert, it became necessary to extend the inundation by artificial means to spots which it did not naturally reach. Experience taught that the fields were the most productive where the flood remained the longest, and had most time to deposit its fertilizing mud. Hence engineering science was early called into existence. Canals were aug to conduct the water where it was wanted, and its course was controlled by sluices, dykes, and similar works.
§ 13. But this was not all. This beneficent river, regarded as a god by the ancient Egyptians, ${ }^{38}$ exercised a powerful influence upon their ideas, and especially upon their whole system of religion. Alongside of the Nile, the giver of every blessing, there was a potent enemy, the Desert, whose wasting sands were continually driving through the ravines of the mountains, and threatening to destroy the life-giving powers of the river. Hence there was ever before the eyes of the Egyptians a struggle between Life and Death. The Nile, never growing old, renewing its life every year, and calling forth nature into new and vigorous existence, was the symbol of Life. The Desert, with its sombre hues, its unchanging appearance, its deadening and desolating influence, was the symbol of Death. The Nile, representing Life, became the Good Power, or Osiris; the Desert, representing. Death, the Evil Power, or Typhon.

The nature of their country also determined the Egyptians respecting the disposal of their dead. They could not inter them in the valley, where the remains would be disturbed by the inundation; they could not consign them to the river, which was too sacred to he polluted by any mortal body. But above the valley was the long line of rocks, in which caves could easily be excavated for the reception of the dead. The dryness of the climate was favourable to their preservation; and the practice of embalming still further secured them from corruption and decay.

After a few generations the number of the dead in these receptacles far exceeded the number of the living. Hence the idea of death was brought prominently before the Egyptians. The contest, which was ever going on for the very existence of their land, gave a more present reality to the conflict of humanity itself; and while, on the margin of their valley, they were disputing the means of their existence with the devouring sand, they were also disputing with corruption their own persons and immortality. The present life seemed only a small moment in time; while the other world appeared vast, unlimited, and eternal. Aocordingly, fhe present life was regarded by the Egyptians as only a preparation for a highez and better state of existence. ${ }^{34}$

[^13]§14. No nation of antiquity possessed tuch a vast variety of monuments as the Egyptians. They studded the whole valley of the Nile in one long series. Of this, again, a reason is to be found in the physical formation of the country. The rocks on either side of the river yielded an unlimited aupply of stone, of almost every variety, for the Egyptian workman; while the Nile efforded the ready means of conveying the largest masses from one part of the country to the other. In ascending the Nile from the Delta, two parallel courses of limestone accompany the traveller for a long distance. A little above Thebes begins the red sandstone, of which most of the Egyptian temples were built. In the neighbourhood of Syene the particular kind of granite appears, to which the name of syenite has been given; and on the eastern bank of the river are the granite quarries, from which the obeliska and colossal statuea have been hewn. One obelisk still remaina there, cut out but never removed from its native rock. In the mountainous district between the Nile and the Red Sea there is a atill greater variety. Here are found quarries of white marble, of porphyry, of basalt, and of the fine green breccia, which is known by the name of Verde d'Egitto. The same district was rich in other mineral treasures; in gold, emerald, iron, copper, and lead. The Egyptians hust have possessed iron at an early period, aince without it they could not have worked the hard rocks of the granite quarries. Accordingly we find on the western flank of Mount Sinai heaps of ecoria, produced by the ancient amelting of the copper, mixed with iron ore, which atill exist in this locality; and bieroglyphic inscriptions atill attest the working of the mines of the peninsula by the same early kings of the Fourth Dynasty who built the Great Pyramid.
\& 15. The origin of the inhabitants of this singular country has been, from the earliest times, a favourite subject of speculation. The Egyptians themmelves, like many other nations of antiquity, believed that they were sprung from the soil. ${ }^{5}$ Diodorus, who had conversed with Ethiopian envoy: in Egypt, held that the tide of civilization had deacended the Nile, and that the Egyptians were a colony from the Ethiopians of Meroë.s This bypothesis has been revived in modern times, with much ingenuity, by Heeren; but it resta upon no historical facta, is improbable in itself, and is almost disproved by the absence of all ancient monuments in Upper Nubia, where nothing is found earlier than the times of the Ptolemies and the Romans. Even where the evidence of inscriptions is wanting, the monumente, reveąl, in their more careless workmanship and debased forms and decorations, not the primitive efforts of a ruder age, bus obe decay of the more perfect Egyptian art.
the ideas and religions aystern of the Efyptiana in Mis Martinean's ' Rantere Lithe


When the Greeks became acquainted with Western India by the conquests of Alexander, they were struck with certain similarities between the Egyptians and Hindoos, and were induced to assign a common origin to both. ${ }^{57}$ This hypothesis, likewise, has been received with much favour by some modern scholars, who have pointed out the striking resemblance between the system of castes, the religious doctrines, and the temple-architecture of the two nations. But the points of difference are very striking, even in many of their institutions. The rite of circumcision was practised from time immemorial by the Egyptians, but was unknown to the Hindoos till the Mohammedan conquest. The system of hieroglyphic writing, which is peculiarly characteristic of Egypt, never existed in India; and it is impossible to believe that an Egyptian, colony would have settled in India without bringing with them their hieroglyphics, or that the Hindoos would have colonised Egypt without introducing their alphabetic writing and their religious books (the 'Vedas'). Lastly, the languages spoken by the two nations are so different, that we may safely dismiss the hypothesis of a common origin of the Egyptians and Hindoos. ${ }^{38}$
§ 16. As we have seen in the Introduction, the only sure means of ascertaining the origin of any people is a knowledge of their physical features and their language. No people bas bequeathed to the so many memorials of its form, complexion, and physiognomy, as the Egyptians. From the countless mummies preserved by the dryness of the climate we can ascertain their crania and osteology. From the numerous paintings úpon the tombs, which have been preserved through the same cause, we also obtain a vivid idea of their forms and appearance. If we were left to form an opinion upon the subject by the description of the Egyptians left by the Greek writers, we should conclude that they were, if not negroes, at least closely akin to the negro race. That- they were much darker in colour than the neighbouring Asiatics; that they had hair frizzled either by nature or by art; that their lips were thick and projecting, and their limbs slender, rests upon the authority of eye-witnesses, who had travelled in the country, and who could have had no motive to deceive. But, on the other hand, the mummies and the paintings

[^14]clearly prove that the Egyptians were not negroes; and, even if nu mummies or paintings had been preserved, there are other circumstances which would make us hesitate before ascribing to the Egyptians the true negro character. If they had resembled the inhabitants of the coast of Guinea, the striking difference betweeu their appearance and that of all the other aation of antiquity would have been distinctly stated; and their intermarriagea with fairer races would have excited remark. So far was this from being the case, that Joseph's brethren, when they asw him in Egypt, took him for an Egyptian ; 0 that the Jewish legislator permitted intermarriages with the Egyptians, and that Solomon married an Egyptian princess. It is also worthy of remark that no part of Africa, situated in the latitude of Egypt, is the native country of a genuine negro race.

The existing mummies are of various ages, going back at least as far as the time of the patriarch Joseph, and coming down to the time of St. Augustine. During this long period Egypt was repeatedly conquered and overrun. Various racee took up their permanent abode in the valley of the Nile; and natives as well as foreigners were alike embalmed according to the Egyptian fashion. But the vast majority of the mummies are bose of the native Egyptians, and their osteological character proves that they belonged to the Caucasian and not to the African race. The monuments and paintings, bowever, show that the Egyptians possessed a peculiar physiognomy, differing from both these races, approaching more nearly to the negro type than to any of the other Caucasian races. ${ }^{6}$ The fulness of the lips, seen in the Sphinx of the Pyramids and in the portraits of the kings, is characteristic of the negro, and the elongation of the eye ia a Nubian peculiarity.

New light has recently been thrown upon the whole subjoct by M. Mariette's discovery, in the north-easternmost part of Egypt, of a race of men of a type quite different from the Egyptians, both ancient and modern, who eeem not improbably to represent a more ancient population. 'l'he distinct separation of classes, though it be incorrect to term them castes, is an indication that the dominant Egyptians had overcome a previous population; and it now appears that there was such a population, more nearly approaching to the African type, but decidedly not negroes. Whether this aboriginal
plexion and with curling hair," but not "woolly," an Prichard translates it. See e'so Eucian, "Navigium.' c. 2, and Ammianos Marcelltuus, $\mathbf{x}$ aid. 16. $\$ 23$.


* Prichard, vol. ii. p. 230. The American writers, Nott and Gliddon ('Typet of Mankind,' Philadelphia, 1854, p. 216) are of acurse grposed to the negra origin of the Egyptians; but they have stated the argoment fairly and, it seetne to un, conclnaively againat this hypotheris.

population entered Egypt from the south of Arabia and down the Nile, is an hypothess which awaits further discussion.
§ 17. The intermediate position of the Egyptians between the Asiatic and African races is also proved by an examination of their language. This language is preserved in the Coptic, ${ }^{44}$ which was the native tongue of the Christian population in Egypt, and which, though it has now ceased to be spoken, ${ }^{45}$ is still preserved in the translation of the Scriptures and in other ecclesiastical works. Many of the words and grammatical forms of the Coptic are akin to those found in the Semitic languages; but the peculiarities of its grammatical structure have a still stronger resemblance to those of several of the native idioms of Africa. ${ }^{46}$
§ 18. The Egyptians themselves called their land Chem, ${ }^{47}$ or-the, Black, in opposition to the blinding whiteness of the adjacent desert. In the Hebrew Scriptures it is usually called Mizraim, ${ }^{48}$ the name of the second son of Ham in the genealogical table in Genesis x. But

[^15]this name, although employed as a singular, is a dual in form, and is appropriately applied to a country which is divided by nature into the upper and lower provinces. By the Arabs it is called Misr, which is only the singular of the Hebrew Mizraim, and which signifies in Arabic red, or reddish brown. Hence the ordinary Hebrew and Arabic name of Egypt has the same signification as the native name. Moreover, in the Hebrew records, Egypt is frequently called the Land of Ham; ${ }^{40}$ and it is merely our faulty orthography that conceals the identity of the name of Noah's son, Cham, with the Egyptian Cherr. According to the strictly geographical interpretation of Genesis x., we may suppose the original name of Cham, for tice whole land, to have been superseded by the dual Mizraim, when the two divisions were fully recognised.

The origin of the Greek name, ${ }^{80}$ by which the country is known throughout Western Europe, is uncertain; but the most plausible conjecture connects it with the name of the Copts. ${ }^{\text {at }}$

[^16]

Boat of the Milla.


## CHAPTER II.

## AUTHORITIES FOR THE HISTORY OF EGYPT.

§ 1. The earliest historical records are Egyptian. The Scriptare notices of Egypt not a history of the country. § 2. Greek Writers on Egypt. Herodotve, - Eratosthenes. Diodorus. Strabo. Pliny. § s. Manktyc. His Egyptian History lost. His List of Dynasties. Its defects and value. § 4. The real history of Egypt is in her own monuments and books. Testimony of Bunsen and Lepsius. Multitude and permanence of the records. Constant nse of hieroglyphics. Private documents. § 5. Order of the monuments along the Valley of the Nile. Extant Books, § 6. Monuments of special historical value. Class I., for the general history of Egypt. (i.) Turin Papyrus. (ii.) Chamber of Ancestors. (iii.) Old and Netv Tables of Abydos. (iv.) Table of Sakkara. (v.) The Apis-Stelæ. § 6. Class II., relating to particular reigns. A book of the time of Rameses II. Historical value of the private monuments. Method of studying the Fistory of Egypt. § 7. Fabulous antiquity of the nation. Divine rulers: Phthah; Ra; Agathodæmon; Seb and Netpe; Osiris and Isis; Typhon and Horus. § 8. Mense the first man who reigned over Egypt; perhaps a mythical impersonation. § 9. Egyptian History of Herodotus. 330 kings from Menes to Mceris. Nitocris, Sesostris, Pheron, Proteus, and Rhampsinitus. Cheops, Cephren, Mycerinus, Asychis, and Anysis. The Ethiopian conquest by Sabacos. His story first becomes histo rical with Psammetichus. § 10. The Lists of Manetho. Are they consecutive or, in part, contemporaneous ! Periods of Egyptian History.
§ 1. This most ancient of the nations offers to us the most ancient of contemporary records ; and in this sense, also, history begins with Egypt. If the sacred story of the patriarchs embodies documents of an earlier age than that of the Pentateuch itself, they preserve the narrative of individual lives, for a moral and religious purpose, not the history of a nation. While the Hebrew patriarchs had as yet no possession in their promised land, they had dealings' with powerfyl kings of Egypt; and the Exodus, which first made Isriel a nation, falls under an advanced period of the Egyptian monarchy. Thest relations, as well as the part afterwards taken by Egypt in conflic1 with Assyria and Babylon over the dying body of the Hebrew
monarchy, add a peculiar interest to Egyptian history. "Egypt, in fact, appears as the instrument of Providence for furthering its eternal purpose, but only as forming the background and contrast to that free spiritual and moral element which was to arise out of "grael."1 But it is not the design of Scripture to satisfy the curiosity thus stimulated. Its scenes of Egyptian events and of Egyptian life are most real and most truthful; but they supply no history of Egypt. The kings who received Abraham and Isaac, Joseph and Jacob; the new ruler; who " knew not Joseph;" and he whose " heart was hardened;" are all merely "Pharaohs," whose own names are unrecorded, and of whom we have no chronology.
§ 2. The Greeks took an interest in Egypt similar to our own; but the relation which excited it was even more direct. Egyptian - kings were among the mythical founders of their own nation; in Egypt they sought the chief source of their religion and civilization, their philosophy and art; and even Egyptian jealousy of foreigners did not forbid them a footing in the land as traders and mercenary soldiers. The Persian conquest of Egypt was a prelude to the like attack on their own liberty; and they allied themselves with Egyptian insurgents to oppose the common enemy. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

It was, then, most natural that the inquisitive Greek traveller, who conceived the design of gathering up all he could learn of the East into a focus, which should throw light on the great conflict of his age, allotted the largest spsce in his preliminary work to Egypt, of which he tells us all be could learn down to ite conquest by Cambysen. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ The testimony of Herodotue to what he himself aw of Egyptian life and manners is in the highest degree trustworthy and valuable; but all the information that he given at second hand needs to be tested by other lights. Precioun, indeed, would have been his testimony, had he known the native tongue, and could he have read those bieroglyphics which he saw in their freshness, and of which he has only given one trivial translation, to the effect that the radishes, ouions, and garlick, consumed by the labourers who built the Great Pyramid, cost 1600 talente of silver! ${ }^{4}$

Much wasted labour might have been spared, had critics been content to heed the historian's own warning :-" Such as think the tales told by the Egyptians credible, are free to accept them for bistory. For my own part, I propose to myself, throughout my whole work, faithfully to record the traditions of the several nations." ${ }^{\text {" }}$

The information doled out to him by the pricsta was such as suited their purpose and their traditions, and it was of course frequently mis-

[^17]understood; nor did he attempt to weave it into a consecutive history of Egypt. He relates such anecdotes as seemed to him interesting or amusing; but his chronological order is in complete confusion. He avowedly repeats just what he was told. His own ingenuous statement marks the reign of Psammetichus (b.c. 664) as the epoch at which his account begins to be historical. "Thus far," he says, "my narrative rests on the account given by the Egyptians:" 6 and then he resumes, "In what follows I have the authority, not of the Egyptians only, but of others also who agree with them. I shall speak likewise in part from my own observation." ${ }^{7}$

The new means of knowledge acquired under the Ptolemies bore little fruit in the Greek and Roman literature. Eratosthenes, who lived in Egypt under Ptolemy II. Philadelphus, ${ }^{8}$ drew up for that king, in Greek, a list of the "Theban kings" (meaning kings of all Egypt), whose names he received from the priests or hierogrammatists of Thebes : its chief use is for comparison with Manetho. Diodorus ${ }^{9}$ increases darkness, rather than light, by his additions to the anecdotes of Herodotus, whose ingenuous care he entirely lacked ; nor do Strabo ${ }^{10}$ and Pliny ${ }^{11}$ yield much further information, except quite incidentally.
§ 3. There remains one writer, who alone professed to give a complete history of Egypt. This was Manetho, an Egyptian priest, of Sebennytus in the Delta, who lived in the reign of Ptolemy Philadelphus (b.c. 285-247), and was the first Egyptian who wrote the history of his country in Greek, from information preserved in the records of the temples. Of the body of his work we have only a few fragments; but the chronographers, Julius Africanus and Eusebius, who wrote in the third and fourth centuries after Christ, have preserved the list of "Dynasties," which was appended to Manetho's history. This list has come down to us with many obvious imperfections, and with the distortions due to ignorance of Egyptian names on the part of the Greek copyists. lts early stages are manifestly fabulous; and, like every other document of a similar origin, it reflects the tendency of priests to give their own version of history, in the interest of the ruling classes. But it unquestionably embodies a large amount of real information; and the statements of Manetho

[^18]are continually being confirmed by the monuments, as an index to the study of which the list has real value. But there is danger in feeling bound to Manetho's arrangement, which is probably his own; and the lengths of the reigns, often doubtless mere computations of the chronographers, are frequently contradicted by the monuments. .While professed Egyptologers are more and more disposed to believe in Manetho, Sir George Cornewall Lewis regards his list as "his own invention; aided, doubtless, by some traditionary names and atories derived from his predecessors."
§ 4. The real records of Egypt's history are to be found in her own monuments and her own books. The nation which stands first in history was also the first to write it, and the record has been preserved by a concurrence of favourable circumstances. Bunsen says, c. "No nation of the earth has shown so much zeal and ingenuity, so much method and regularity, in recording the details of private life, as the Egyptians. No country in the world afforded greater facilitics for indulging such a propensity than Egypt, with its limestone and its granite, its dry climate, and the protection afforded by its desert against the overpowering force of nature in southern zones. Such a country was adapted, not only for securing its monuments against dilapidation, both above and below ground, for thousands of years, but even for preserving them as perfect as the dhy they were erected. In the North, rain and frost corrode; in the South, the luxuriant vegetation cracks or obliterates the monuments of time. China has no architecture to bid defiance to thousands of years; Babylon had but bricks; in India the rocks can barely resist the wanton power of nature. Egypt is the monumental land of the earth, as the Egyptians are the monumental people of history. Their contemporary recorta, therefore, are at once the earliest and most certain source of all Egyptian research."

Let us add the testimony of Lepsius to the nature and multiplicity of these records:-"An intense desire after posthumous fame and a place in bistory seems to have been universal in ancient Egypt. This exhibits itself in the incredible multitude of monumenta of all descriptions which bave been found in the valley of the Nile. All the principal cities of Egypt were adorned with temples and palaces. Towns of lesser note, and even villages, were always distinguished by one temple at least-oftener more. These temples were filled with the statues of gods and kings, generally colosaal, and hewn from costly stones. Their walls, also, within and without, were covered ,with coloured reliefs. To adorn and maintain these public buildings was at occe the duty and pride of the kings of Egypt. But even these were rivalled by the more opulent classes of the people in their care for the dead, and in the hewing and docutation of epulchral chambers. In these things the Egyptians very far
surpassed the Greeks and Romans, as well as other known nations of antiquity.
"Still further to enhance to after times the value of these everduring monuments of ancient Egypt, it was universal with the inhabitants to cover their works of art of every description with hieroglyphics, the purport of which related strictly to the monuments on which they were inscribed. No nation that ever lived on the earth has made so much use of its written system, or applied it to a purpose so strictly historical, as ancient Egypt. There was not a wall, a platform, a pillar, an architrave, a frieze, or even a door-post, in an Egyptian temple, which was not carved, within, without, and on every available surface, with pictures in relief. There is not one of these reliefs that is not history; some of them representing the conquests of foreign nations; others the offerings and devotional exercises of the monarch by whom the temple, or portion of the temple, on which the relief stood, had been constructed. Widely different from the temples of Greece and Rome, on which inscriptions were evidently regarded as unwelcome additions, forming no part of the original architectural design, but, on the contrary, interfering with and marring it-the hieroglyphic writings were absolutely essential and indispensable to the decoration of a perfect Egyptian temple.
"This writing, moreover, was by no means confined to constructions of a public nature, such as temples or tombs, but was also inscribed on objects of art of every other conceivable description. Nothing, even down to the palette of a scribe, the style with which a. lady painted her eyelashes with powdered antimony, or even a walking-stick, was deemed too insignificant to be inscribed with the name of the owner, and a votive dedication of the object itself to his patron divinity. Inscriptions. with the names of the artists or owners, so rare on the remains of Greece and Rome, are the universal rule in Egyptian art. There was no colossus too great, and no amulet too small, to be inscribed with the name of its owner, and some account of the occasion on which it was executed." ${ }^{12}$

The vast variety of these inscriptions supplies a check on their trustworthiness. In those of a public character, we may suspect a fictitious history composed by priests, or displayed for their own glory by despotic monarchs; but we can turn to the private records of tombs which have been sealed up since the day when they were closed.
§5. It has already been said that these monuments stud the, whole valley of the Nile, with one interruption, from tile Delta, through Upper Egypt and Nubia, to tho island of Meroë. Their

$$
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antiquity and perfection corresponds very nearly with their onder along the river, the best and oldest being the lowest-one striking proof that the civilization which they represent asconded the courso of the river. They may be grouped in the following series : ${ }^{2 l}$-(i.) About Meyphis-The Pyramids and tombs at Abou-Roash, Jizeh, Abou-Seir, Sakkara, and Dashoor. These are the monuments of the Old Monarchy, chiefly of the Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Dynasties of Manetho. (ii.) Contemporary with the oldest of these are the monuments in the peninsula of Sinat, at Wady-Feiran (Paran), Wady-el-Magharah, and Sarbut-el-Kadem. (iii.) In Midnle Eaypt. -The monuments, partly perhaps of the kings of Manetho's Ninth and Tenth Dynasties, but chiefly of those of the Twelfth, at Meidun, Illahûn, and thẹ Fyúm. (iv.) Returning to Sais, Tanis, and Heliopolis, we find monuments which break the geographical series, owing to the power which the New Monarchy, of Theban Kings, held also over Lower Egypt. (v.) But in their own proper district the series contirfues upwards, in the sculptured tombs of Beni-hassan, opposite Hermopolis the Great, and at Tel-Amarna. (vi.) At This and Abydon (about Arabat-el-Madfouneh), the old seat of Manetho's First and Second Thinite Dynasties (but none of the monuments are theirs). (vii.) The stupendous remains of Thebes about the villages of Medinet-Abou, Lnuxor, and Kamak. (viii) The remains at Eonch (Latopolis), El-Kab and El-Hillaal (Eileithyia), Edfou (A pollinopolis), Hadjar-Selseleh (Silsilis), with its quarries. (ix.) The quarries of Syene, and the rock-hewn temple\# of Elephantine and Philm. (x.) Above Egypt itaelf; the monuments at Abou Simbel, Soleb, and Barkal. (xi.) And lastly, those of Meroiz, at Sofra, Naga, scc. Theme last are the smallest, the poorest in style, and the most decayed, though the most modern. 'To these monuments must be added the innumerable extant books, chiefly of religious ritual and moral precepte, which the Egyptians wrote, from time immemorial, upon the delicate membrene prepared from the reed called papyrus, which anciently fringed the banks of the Nile, and which gave its name to paper.
§6. Among these records, there are some which deserve especial mention for their historical value. They may be divided into two classes, according as they relate to the history of Egypt in general, or to particular reigns. Of the first clase, the following are the most important. (i.) The Turin Papyrus, if perfect, would'give us as anthoritative Egyptian counterpart of the Lists of Manetho, down to the most flourishing period of the monarchy. It is a list drawn up under'; and 'apparently by order of, the great Rameses 1I. (of the

[^19]19th dynasty), of all the personages, whether mythological or hig torical, who were believed to have reigned in Egypt from the carliest age. Unfortunately it only exists in 164 small fragments, which it is often impossible to piece together. (ii.) The Chamber of Ancestor: was found at Karnak, and is now in the Imperial Library at Paris. It is a sort of shrine, on the walls of which is depicted Thothmes III., the greatest king of the 18th dynasty, making offerings before the images of 61 of his predecessors, whose names, as asual, are inscribed in hieroglyphics. Besides, however, some unfortunate mutilations, the ancestors form a selection, not a complete list. (iii.) The Table of Abydos, now in the British Museum, represents a similar adoration of ancestors by Rameses II.; but in a sadly mutilated condition. Of 50 names, only 30 remain more or less legible. Happily, however, nearly all the lacunce have been supplied by the New Table of Abydos, of Seti I., the father of Rameses II., recently discovered by M. Mariette. (iv.) The Tuble of Saktrara, another discovery of M. Mariette, and now in the Museum at Cairo, was found in the tomb of a priest named Tounari, who lived under Rameses II. In accordance with the belief of the Egyptians, it represents the pious deceased as admitted, in the other world, to the spciety of the kings, of whom 58 are represented on the monument. These are doubtless the kings most honoured at Memphis; and the selection corresponds very nearly with that on the Table of Abydos, but with a few interesting differences. It must not be forgotten that, while these lists are, beyond all reasonable doubt, the authentic memoriads of the historical belief of the priests and scribes who compiled tbem, they are no more conclusive evidence that an the kings they represent ever lived and reigned, than are the picturcs of the Scottish sovereigns at Holyrood; and that their conformity with the lists of Manetho carries us back no further than the same priestly tradition. But they are invaluable aids.in determining the succossion of the kings whose names we find on contemporary documents. (v.) For the Apissteloe, or Apis-tablets, we are also indebted to. M. Mariette's discovery of the sepulchre of the sacred bulls at Memphis. We have to speak, in the proper place, of that celebrated article of the Egyptian faith, that Osiris was periodically revealed in the form of a bull, known by certain marks, and named Apis at Memphis, and Mnevis at Heliopolis. When an Apis died, he was buried with a pomp that sometimes ruined his curator. The sepulchre is an arched gallery, hewn in the rock, about 20 feet in width and height,' to the length of 2000 feet, besides a lateral branch. "On both sides of the gallery arg hewn recesses; or, as Sir Gardner Wilkinson calls them, stalls, each containing a sarcophagus of granite, 15 feet oy 8 , on only a few of which is a cartouche of the name of tha
enclosed Apis. But on the walls at the entrance of the cavern, as well as scattered on the floor beneath, tablets were found, recording the visits paid to the sepulchre by kinge and other persons. These "Apio-stele" are contemporary documents.
§6. Of the second class of monuments-those referring to particular reigns-the most important will require potice as we proceed. They are of two descriptions, papyrus MSS. and monumental inscripticns. Among the former are panegyrics on the deeds of kings, official correspondence and accounts, and literary compositions of a more gencral nature. We may mention one intereating example. At the brilliant court of Rameses II. there were, nine principal men of learning attached to the person of the king; and at their head one whom we may venture to style Pharaoh's Master of the Rolls, This officer, named Kagabu, who is described as unrivalled in elegance of style, wrote a work for the use of the crown prince, Scti Menephtha (who is now identified with the Pharaioh of the Exodus), the moral of which resembles that of the etory of Joseph and Potiphar's wife. ${ }^{14}$

The monumental inscriptions bf this class are both public and privato. The former are engraven on obelisks or tablets, or on the walls of temples, where they often ecrve as the written exposition of scenes presented more vividly to the eye by immense coloured basreliefs, depicting the military exploite of the kings, or their triumphs after battle. The inscriptions and paintings relating to privato persons throw flood of light on the daily life of the prople, the condition of their familien and alaves, the economy of their estatea, the construction of their houses and gardens, their banquets and recreations, within and out of doons, and aometimes oven on their individual history and character. Besides all this, they give most important data for history and chronology ; when, for instanco, we find it recorded that the occupant of the tomb was born on a particular day and month and year of the reign of one king, and died at such an age on a particular day and month and year of another.

This mase of records, however, was sealed up in an unknown character till the present century; when, among the fruita of the French expedition to Egypt, the famous "Rosetta Stone" was brought to our Museum. This trilingual inscription, in the hieroglyphic, demotic (or ordinary Egyptian) and Greek characters, supplied the key by which the ingenuity of Young and Champollion independently unlocked the secret of bieroglyphic writing, and gave a living voice to ancient Egypt. The resulte of this discovery have

[^20]prescribed the course of all inquiries into Egyptian history. We must rest upon the native records as our only sure foundation, but of course submitting them to the laws of criticism. The scanty. accounts of ancient writers are generally to be inters preted by the monuments; but sometimes they supply other facts. The Lists of Manetho may serve to some extent as a guide to the order of the whole.
§ 7. As in India and China, so in Egypt, a fabulous antiquity whs claimed for the beginning of the nation. The reign of the gods, for ages before that of human kings, is supposed to indicate a primeval hierarchy. Manetho prefixes to his list of purely human dynasties, reckoned from Menes, a period of about 25,000 years for the reigns of Gods, Demigods, Heroes, and Manes (the souls of the departed). The series of the seven divine rulers looks like a religious allegory of the'creative energy and conflicts of nature, by which the land was prepared for human habitation: The first is the creative Phita, the worker by the energy of Fire. Next comes Ra, the Sun, who was worshipped from time immemorial at On (Heliopolis). The third is Agathodemon, the Greek translation of an Egyptian name, which is supposed to represent the vital principle gencrated from the waters. Whe middle place is filled by Sex (Cronos or Saturn), the personification of Time, standing between the creative powers and those by which the world is governed. The latter are the children of Seb and Netpe; and among them are Osiris and Isis. Of these, Osiris appeared in human form, as the fifth divine ruler; who, after working all manner of good for men, is put to death by the malice of Typhon, the evil principle, but is restored to life and made the judge of souls. Typron, the usurper, is slain by Isis, with the assistance of her son Horus, who fills the seventh and last place (as a demigod) among the divine kings of Egypt, and, as the type of youthful energy perpetually renewed (like Apollo), he is the source of succeeding dynasties and the special leader of the Egyptians. The demiyods of Manetho-(on the authority of Syncellus) were eight: Mars, Anubis, Hercules, Apollo, Ammon, Tithoës, Zosos, Jupiter. ${ }^{16}$ This mythological age is called on the inscriptions "the times of the Hor-sheson" (servants of Horus).
§ 8. The Lists of Manetho, the statements of the priests to Herodotus and Diodorus, and the inscriptions, all agree in making Mas or Menes the first man who reigned in Egypt; and the very name suggests a mythical impersonation of the human race, like the Indian Menu, the Greek Minyas and Minos, the Etruscan Menerfa, and " the German Mannus. His claim to historical existence fails before the only proper tegt; for the hieroglyphs of his name are not con-

[^21]Semponary. ${ }^{\text {I }}$ The priestly tradition connected him with the widest range of Egypt's dominion, placing his birth and early kingdom at This, in Upper Egypt, his great works at Memphis, and his conquests and death in Ethiopia, where he was killed by a hippopotamus. The significance of the legends respecting Menes will be seen better when we gain some sure basis of genuine history.
§ 9. The priests read to Herodotus, from a papyrus, the names of 330 kings, the successors of Menes, among whom were eighteen Ethiopian kings and one native queen, Nitocris; all the rest were kings and Egyptians. The last of them was Marers, the constructor cf the great lake in the Fyim, who had not been dead 900 years when Herodotus visited Egypt. Meris, as we shall see, represents probably one or more kings of Manetho's 12th dynasty. Herodotus then passes on to Sesostris, ${ }^{19}$ the great conqueror, and his son Preron, ${ }^{20}$ who was struck blind; names which, like Mceris, are disguised under their Greek form, but point to the great exploits of the 18th and 19th dynasties, though the name of Sesostris may possibly come from the 12th. The Memphian Proteus, the successor of Pheron, ${ }^{2}$ is made contemporary with the Trojan war, a pseudo-chronological inference from the Homeric fable of Proteus; while the amusing anecdote abont his successor Rhampsinitus, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ and the thief, puts all chronology at defiance by placing a Rhamses (as the name seems to imply) before the Pyramid-kings. It would seem, in fact, that Herodotus had before him two lists of lings, the one belonging to Upper and the other to Lower Egypt; and, having told all that he found interesting about the Thinites and Thebans, from the 1st dynasty to the 19th, he passes to the carliest Memphiang of the 4th, unaware of his chronological disorder. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ We shall bave to notice in their proper place his statements about the pyramid-builders, Cheops, Cefpirets, and Mycerinus, ${ }^{\text {m }}$ names now perfectly identified. That of Asychis, the builder of a brick pyramid, is more doubtful ; ${ }^{8 f}$


MENES.
${ }^{25}$ His hieroglyph reads kna or Monal, ti Herod, H1. 101 and 18. 15 Herod. Ii. 102, eaq. $\quad$ Herod. ii. 111. n Herod. Li. 1is, seq. The "enccesor," in them ancodotes, Is simply the kint whom Herodotus pleases to mention nent. 2 Herod ti 121 , sep.
${ }^{20} 8$ ee sir O. Wilkinson's note to Rerodotus, Ii. 124 (Rawlinmon): The two following eets of Ave eomprise all the kinge seleoted by Herodotus from the 830 read out to him by the griente:-

Thinites and Thebanes Memphles.
Menel Cheops.
coris. Cephren.
Senostris. Mycerinus.
Pheron. Asychis. Bhamprinitng Anyois.

[^22]and so is Anysis, the blind king, who was driven into the marshes, while Egypt was conquered by a vast army of Ethiopians, led by Sabacos; who ruled for fifty years. ${ }^{26}$ This conquest corresponds to the 25th (Ethiopian) dynasty of Manetho, which we find synchronising with Assyrian and Hebrew. history about-the time of the downfal of the kingdom of Israel; and the restoration of Anysis may be probably connected with the revolution by which the native princes who had preserved their independence in the Delta, expelled the Ethiopians. ${ }^{37}$

With the completion of that revolution by the establishment of Psammetichios on the throne (about b.c. 664), the notes of Herodotus fall into historical order. We have now collected into one view the outline of his contributions to the earlier history of Egypt. His order, or rather disorder, is followed by Diodorus, with the addition of a few facts of some importance, of which, however, no separate statement need be made at present. ${ }^{28}$
§ 10. Turning to the Lists of Manetho, we find the whole succession of kings, from Menes to the final conquest of Egypt by the Persians, divided into 30 dynasties, to which is added a 31st, composed of the Persian kings till the conquest by Alexander. The 30 dynasties are distinguished by the seats of the royal power, except the three dynasties of Shepherd Kings (15-17), ${ }^{29}$ the Ethiopians (25), and the Persians (27) of the first Persian conquest. These capitals were, in Upper Egypt, This, Elephantine; and Thebes; in Middle Egypt, Heracleopolis; and in Lower Egypt, Memphis, Xoïs, Tanis, Bubastis, Sais, Mendes, and Sebennytus. The years assigned by Manetho to the respęctive dynasties make up a total of 5462 years; but his own' statement at the end gives a period of 3555 years. ${ }^{30}$

This discrepancy seems almost decisive of the question, whether the dynasties of Manetho are successive and continuous, or in part contemporaneous. ${ }^{31}$, The former alternative seems quite incredible ${ }_{2}$ with reference both to the times and places; and, if not irreconcilable with the monuments, it is certainly not confirmed by them. The latter view is adopted by the best modern authorities, with a few distinguished exceptions; ${ }^{38}$ nor is the difficulty of arranging the contemporaneous dynasties in an exact scheme a sufficient objection

[^23]to the principle. Neither is the attempt of much consequence; for the whole history of Egypt may easily be grouped under the follow. ing broad divisions:-(i.) The Old Monarchy, which had its capital at Memphis, in Lower Eegypt, but probably ruled over the whole land (ii.) The Middle Monarchy, end the foreign domination of the Shepherd Kings. (iii.) The New Monarchy of Thebes, under which Egypt was reunited and raised to the acme of its power. (iv.) A period during which power was held by various princes of Lower Egypt, till the establishment of a second forciga domination -the Ethiopian. (v.) The later Saite Monarchy, which reunited Egypt till it was conquered by-Cambyses. (vi.) The Persian Domination, with one episode of recovered independence, down to the conquest by Alezander. (vii) The Hellenist Kingdom of the Ptolemies, till Egypt became a Roman province. (viii.) The Roman Province of Egypt, till the conquest of the couniry by the Arabs.

NOTE.
Contemporaneotbinges of Difabtieb.
The following is the arrangement proposed by Mr. Lane and Mr. Stuart Poole for the Dynastio down to the New Theban Monarchy.



Sphinx and Pyramids.

## CHAPTER III.

## THE OLD MEMPHIAN MONARCHY.

§ 1. Memphis the first seat of the Egyptian Monarchy. What is meant by the origin of Menes fron This! 8 2. The First and Second (Thinite) Dymasties of Manetho. Introduction of animal-worship. Sutcession of women to the crown. § 3. The Third Dynasty (Memphian). The Libyans subdued. § 4. Contemporary History hegins with the Fourth Dynasty (Memphian), and the Pyramids. Names of Krufu and his brother in the Great Pyramid :-the Cheops of Herodotus. § 5. The Second. Pyramid of Cbetragn or Shafrby His temple and statue. $\$ 6$. The Third Pyramid of Myckennus or Men= kare. His coffin and mummy. Soris and the Pyramid of Abon-Seir. § 7. The Pyramide in general. Motives for their construction. § 8. Their. testimony to the power and art of the Memphian kings. Absence of all figured decorations and inscriptions. They are the temple-tombs of deified kings. § 9. The colossal Sphinx : probably of the time of Shafre. Symbo Iical meaning of the figure, § 10. Tombs of the Pyramid-periad. Their vivid pictures of life under the Old Monarchy. Physical appearance and dress, Social and economical condition. Wealth and oppression of the landowners. Pastoral and agricultural operations. Amusements. Domesticated - animale. Absence of the horse. Mechanical arts. Writing. High state of art. Moral philosophy of the age. § 11. It was a period of peace and pros. perity. Sudden appearance of this high civilization. \$ 12. Traditions of earlier works. Menes turned the course of the Nile. § 183 The city of Mrмpirs. Its precedence over Thebes and Heliopolis. $\$ 14$. Neoropolis of Memphis. Archifecture of the tombs: \& 15. The Memphian Dynasties: Ird, 4th, 6th, 7th, 8th. Connection of the Fifth (Elephantine) Dymasty with Memphia, Relations betyeen Upper and Lower, Egypt. § 16. Religioas
conelicts ander the Poarth Dynasty. Impiety and opprealoa of Cheope and Ceptrea. Piety and deifeation of Myeerinus. Conflmations from the monumonts § 17. Bnmsen's vietr of the religious and political anion of Upper and Lower Bgypt. 8 18. The 8icth Dymaty : difficultice aboot ite origin. PeptMaire and Pepl Neferkera. Nrrocers, Her conneetion with the Third Pyramid. § 19. Secenth ard Eighth Dymactiot. Fell of the Memphian Monarely. Nimeh and Tenth Dymative at Herscleopolis. 5 20. Absence of a chronolosy thus far. Verious hypothemet.
61. Mxyphis was the earliest seat of the Egyptian kingdom. There are the oldest monuments, atd its foundation is ascribed to Menes. If the origin of Menes from This ${ }^{1}$ indicates a still older local kingdom in Upper Egypt, that kingdom has disappeared, leaving no contemporary records, but only the traditions recorded in the List of Manetho. The removal of Menes from This to Memphis implies the subjection of the former to the latter; and the New Table of Abydos and the Table of Sakkara appear to make the two contemporaneous. The traditions seem to indicate a rivalry between the priesta of Upper and Lower Exypt for the first honours of national civilization. While both rendered equal reverence to Menes, Necherophes, the head of the Third (the first Memphite) Dynssty was regarded as his contemporary; and to $\Delta$ thothis, the son of Menes, and Tceorthns, the son of Necherophes (who seem indeed to be identical) are ascribed in common the possession of great medical knowledge, the patronage of letters, and the first use of hewn stonea in building a temple at Memphis.

5 2. Manetho assigns to his First (Thinite) Dynasty seven kings daring a period of 250 years. The fifth king, Heeep-ti (Usaphaidos, M.') is often mentioned in the Funereal Ritual (an extant papyrus) as the author of tome ancred books. The Second Dynasty, also of Thinites, consisting of nine kings in 302 years, is signalised as the period of the introduction of animal-worship, which is thus marked as an innovation. In the reign of Caiechos ( $\overline{\text { ekeou}}$ ), the second king of this dynasty, the bulle A pis and Mnevis were worshipped at Memphis and Heliopolis respectively, and the goat at Mendes; all, be it observed, in Lower Egypt. His ancoeseor, Binothris (Ba-meter-en) is said to have legalized the succession of women to the crown; and the eighth king, Sesochria, is deacribed as a giant.

5 3. The Third Dynasty of Manetho consiata of nine or eleven Memphian kings, for a space of 214 years. The first king, Necherophes, the contemporary of Menes, subdued a revolt of the Libyans, the rebels being panic-atricken at a sudden increase of the moon; so onrly did tradition place the subjugation of the tribes of the Weatern Desert.

[^24]$\therefore$ 4. These notices are culled by Manetho from the traditions of the priests; but now we approach the confines of that real history which is attested by contemporary records. . The ovals ${ }^{3}$ of the first and second dynasties are certainly none of them contemporary ; they are votive or traditional inscriptions on buildings, tablets, or writings of a much later date: Some are ascribed to the Third Dynasty; but the only three legible names, which are clearly contemporary, are assigned by the highest authority, Lepsius, to the Fourth and Fifth Dynasties. The most important of these is on a bas-relief carved on the rocks of the Sinai group, representing King Snofru (commonly identified with Sephuris of Manetho's Third Dynasty), as subduing the Arabs of the peninsula.

It is with the Fourth Dynasty of Memphian kings that we first find monumental records coinciding with historical tradition; and with them the real history of Egypt begins. Their names are recorded alike in the pages of the father of history, and on the stones of the oldest and most majestic monuments of the world, the Pyramids of Jizeh, north-west of Memphis. If the mound of the Birs-Nimroud be indeed the remains of the Tower of Babel, ${ }^{4}$ it has been for ages a shapeless ruin, while the oldest Pyramids, preserving their first form, and not entirely stript even of the outermost stones, still rise like everlasting mountains over the vast level plain, challenging, from the beginning of recorded history, research into the mystery of their meaning,

Hidden during all those ages in the very centre of the mass of the Great Pyramid, safe from defacement and mutilation, and so placed as to be beyond all suspicion of their genuineness, ${ }^{5}$ General Howard Vyse discovered, as lately as the year 1837, the hieroglyphic characters which the workmen painted, for their own mechanical uses, on the huge stones before they left the quarry; and those characters have been deciphered as Khuru or Shofo and Num-Khofu or Nu-Shoro (the brother of Khufu or Shofo, and doubtless co-regent with him). ${ }^{4}$ In these kings we at once recognise the Suphis I, and II. of Manetho ${ }^{7}$ and the royal tablets, and in the former the Cheops

[^25]to whom Herodotus expressly ancribes the Great Pyramid. Justly, therefore, does Lepsius deacribe this work as "the Pyramid of Cheops, to which the first link of our monumental history is fastened immovably, not only for Egyptian, but for Universal History."
55. The Second Pyramid of Jizeh is doubtless that which


Plan of the Pyramids of Jiseh.
Herodotus says was built by Cephren, the successor of Cheops, close to the former, and of nearly the same nize, but nomewhat lower. ${ }^{s}$

[^26]This king is probably identified with Shafres the Sephres of Manetho's Fith Dynasty, but, according to Lepsius, of the Fourth. His name has not, indeed, been found on the Pyramid, bat it appears on several tombs and tablets, often with the addition "of the Lesser Pyramid." It is also distingaished, in the tablets of kings, like that of Cheops, by a pyramid among its component hieroglyphics.

A most interesting monoment of this king is the great temple, close to the Sphinx, only lately uncovered by M. Mariette, who found in it a lifesized portrait-statue of the kings sculptured in the hard trap-rock called diorite, and inscribed with his name y 8 ; besides fragments of other statues with thespme inseription.
§6. The Thind Pyramid, much inferior in size to the other two, but excelling them in beanty, as it was cased halfway up with Ethiopian granite, is ascribed by Herodotus to Mycespnose, whom he makes the successor of Cephren; ${ }^{2}$ and, in Manetho, Suphis II. is followed by Mencheres. ${ }^{10}$ In this case, the identification is even more striking than jn that of the Pyramid of Cheops. The Thind Pyramid still retairs some courses of its granite facing, bevelled at the edges; and when Belmoni entered the edifice, he found indeed that Arab spoilers had been there before him; the coffin had been taken from the sarcophagos, and broken open; but there lay the coffin-lid, inscribed with the name of Mentea-re and, in the neighbouring passage were the withered relies of a body, supposed to be that of the king himself; though some say that it is the corpse of an Arab, who perished in the Pyramid when it was entered by Othman. The human relies and the fragments of the case may woth be seen in the British Museum; and the hieroglyphics of the name are repeated on the tablets of kings, in one of the small pyramids which are grouped about the great ones, and elsewhere.

The Middle Pyramid of Abow-Seir, to the south of those of Jiseh, has been claimed, on the authority of a name inscribed as a quarrymark, for Soris, the first king of the Fourth Dynasty; but Lepsius refers it to Usercheres, of the Fifth.
§ 7. These Pyramids are bat the chief and the most ancient of a series extending along the rocky platform, which raises them beyond reach of the inundation, to the west of Memphis, along a space of about twenty miles, from Jiseh on the north to Dashour on the south

Such was the extent of the vast cemetery, where' the minriads of the Memphinn dead reposed in their mek-hewn sepulchres, high over which the femple-tombs of their sovereigns pointed to the

[^27]sky: Monuments of haughty grandeur and despotic power as they are, common sense suggests the higher artistic motive for their size and form; a motive which is felt as soon as they are seen. Like the cathedral spires of the middle ager, they are the landmarks of a vast, space which sets them before the eyo in their sacred dignity, while their huge mass is in harmony with all the objects that surround them, and with the very atmosphere through which they are sean. The emotions excited in a thousand generations are the justification of their builders.
: §8. It is a misleading generality to speak of the Pyramide simply as. Egyptian. They are the characteristic monumente of the Old Memphian Monarcby, just as the vast temples of Luxor and Karnak, with their pillared naves and towering propylæa, are of the New Theban Monarchy. The practice of pyramid-building cannot be traced beyond the Twelfth Dynasty, for the pyramids of Nubia are later and very inferior resuscitations of the form. Equally distinct is the religiousides of the Pyramids from that of the palaces and temples of after ages. While the walls of the latter display immense reliefs and paintings, and are covered with hieroglyphica, to the glory of the kings and their patron deities, the former are almost, and in the best and oldest example, the Great Pyramid, quite bare of even structural decoration. Not for want of akill and art, as is abundantly shown by the contemporary tombe around them, and by the perfoction of their own workmanship. Had we no other monuments of the age, the mechanical ekill required to remove the huge stones from the opposite side of the Nile, and to raise them to the height of nearly 500 feet; to quarry, and polish, and transport the granite used in the linings and sarcophagi; to preserve every form and angle with geometrical exactitude, and to fit the masonry with jointe as shin as writing paper (not to insist on the supposed evidencem of bigh astronomical and other science)-all this would, of itself, display the work of a highly civilized people, governed by a power which, in the security of peace, could command uplimited resources of labour, and was ready to expend the human material with the unsparing eelfishness of a despot. The priestes told Herodotus ${ }^{11}$ that -Cheope closed the temples and forbad the Egyptians to macrifice, compeling them instead to labour, one and all, in his ecrvice. A hundred thousand men laboured constantly, and were relicved every three months by a fresh lot. It took ten years' oppression of the people to make the causeway for the conveyance of the stones. The ?yramid itself was twenty years in building."

- The fairsat conclusion from the sbsence of those decorations which were lavished on private tombs, is that the Pyramids were
regatded as temples; as well as tombs, in an age and ration which had not yet adopted image worship; and when, as we have seezt, the pantheistic symbolism of animal worship was new. Tombs, in general, were sacred to the deities of Amenti, the Egyptian Hades; but the pyramid-kings seem. themselves to have aspired to divine honours after death, and among the epitaphs of their subjects we find such titles as "priest of Khufu," "priest of Shafre "; nay; the Great Pyramid is called the "Temple of King Khufu." 'Ihe absence cf slecoration is equally remarkable in the great temple of Shafre near the Pyramids. The temple-towers of Babylonia, though in many respects of a. different type, ${ }^{22}$ have a sufficient resemblance to the pyramids to suggest a common derivation of the idea from the Tower of Babel $_{i}$ a suggestion quite consistent with the Cushite origin of the Egyptians, and the position of the Pyramids in time as the earliest extant of human works. Their perfection shows that they were no first rude essays in architecture.
§9, In front of the Pyramids, on the edge of the platform of rock on which they stand, but lower down and looking eastward over the Nile; stands the colossal Sphinax (at e on the Plan). A man's head rises above the sands which leave visible only the back of the body of a lion, both hewn out of the solid rock, the strata of which are not ouly clearly seen, but "the figure appears all cruelly cut into by the weathering of its rock." ${ }^{18}$." The head and face are reddish, the neck and line of the back white, on the yellow sand." ${ }^{14}$ "About the face and head, though nowhere else, there is much of the original statuary surface still, occasionally painted dull red; and the curvature of the cheeks and cheek-bones shows a certain degree of high sculpture, especially when we observe the scale on which it is wrought." ${ }^{15}$. The temporary clearance of the sand effected by Captain Caviglia, in 1818, showed that the length of the body is 140 feet; the fore-paws, which are constructed in masonry, project 50 feet further; and the height from the platform between the paws to the top of the head is 62 faet, the original elevation of the native rock. ${ }^{16}$
The rock is not, however, levelled ta this depth, but the platform is approached from the side of the Nile by a sloping descent cut in the rock for 135 feet, and ending in a flight of 13 steps; from the platform there is another descent of 30 steps to the space between the Sphinx's feet. . Like the Pyramids, it is free from hieroglyphics; but, on the side of a little temple between ìts paws, Caviglia discovered tablets representing Thothmes 1V., of the 18th dynasty, and Rameses the Great, of the 19th;

[^28]worshipping the figure of ${ }^{\omega}$ the Sphinx, Bar-Haf, the giver of lifa, \&c, the ruler of the upper and lowar world, \&c., like the eun for ever and ever." These tablets only prove it to be older than the kings who set them up; its real age is probably, from many indications, that of the Pyramids themselves.

Ita meaning has no connection with the classic fable of CEdipuan The Greek Sphinx was female; ${ }^{17}$ the Egyptian was male,-the sym. bolical statue of a god or king, uniting the attributes of power and intelligence in the lion's body and the man's head, crowned with the royal fillet. ${ }^{10}$ From the proximity of the Sphinx to the building called Shafre's temple, and some other indications, it is thought by some to be the statue of that king, by others a divine image which be consecrated. If the former, it wae doubtless a portrait; but the weathering of the strata has worn the essentially Egyptian featuren into what some have mistaken for the negro type In the later agee of Egypt, we find sphinxes used in the decoration of temples; and the human head is often replaced by thoee of animale symbolical of divine attributes, such as the ram sud hawk.

8 10. The silence of the Pyramids respecting the life of the Egyptians under the Old Monarchy is made up for by the surrounding tomba. Their internal walls are covered with hieroglyphice and with the more universally intelligible language of picturen, which show us the subjects of the Old Memphian kingdom in the midst of their daily business, banquets, and recreations, "Here we see the regular physical type of the Egyptians; a reddish-brown complexion, with the noee long, and either straight or slightly equiline, the lipe rather full, and the forehead not high; but the shape of the head is hidden by the already univernal wig. ${ }^{\text {² }}$ Other clothing is meanty; a short kilt, mandala, a necklace; and in come casee a leopard's skin over the shoulders, the distinctive dress of the priests. The complexion of the women is a yellowish pale olive; they wear a single, cloeefitting, elastic dress of a brilliant ecarlet, sapported under the breasta by ahoulder-atraps, and coming down, without a fold or wrinkle; to the ankles, where it is wide enough to allow of the eeparation of the feet in walking or dancing. The wig is larger than that of the men; and princesses are only distinguished from servants by their necklaces, bracelets, and anklets of blue and white glass beada."

The social atate ia that of an aristocracy of landowners, using with harsh oppression the labour of a mervile peasantry and of

[^29]domestic slaves. "Throughout the whole of the pictured scenes, there is not a single instance of a peasant enjoying, or working for, himself under his own vine and his own fig-tree; no independent thought, or look, or action, on the part of the poor men is allowed but they are all in official training to serve the prince of the time being ; and administration is the order of the day." ${ }^{20}$ According to a constant convention in Egyptian pictures, the owner of the tomb is represented by a colossal figure, armed with a baton, and standing the whole height of the wall, which is divided, in front of him, into horizontal compartments, in which his servants are at their various occupations. The taskmaster is always present, and the bastinado at work : not even the cripples are exempt from labour; and over them we often find the words "Slaves born in the house (registered) in the books of the house for ever."

The estates were large, as many as ten or fifteen belonging to one owner, who receives from his overseers accounts of the produce, which a scribe records, each with its distinctive name. Everything seems done on a scale of vastness and profusion: the droves of oxen are numbered by thousands; two or three rows of cows are milked at once; long trains of servants come in laden with provisions; whole droves of oxen are slqughtered before the master; and his table is piled up with slices of bread, pyramids of fruit, joints of meat, and the favourite dishes of roast geese. Pastoral operations are on a larger scale than agricultural. The seed is sown broadcast, and beaten in by driving sheep ${ }^{21}$ and goats over the newly-inundated land; reaping is performed with a sickle; thrashing by driving herds of donkeys about a floor; and winnowing with spades.

The amusements of the field are eagerly pursued: hunting, fishing, and fowling. We see the fowler, in his papyrus boat, approaching the reeds that then fringed the banks of the Nile, to strike the birds which fly into the clap-nets spread by his servants. The chief in-door amusements are concerts and the performances of dancing-girls, witnessed by the master and by ladies, who sit on chairs of an elegant form.

One curious feature of these scenes is the number and variety of the domestic animals: donkeys, dogs, apes, antelopes, gazelles, geese, ducks, tame storks, and pigeons : but others, familiar to a later age of Egypt, are never seen, as fowls, camels, giraffes, elephants, and

[^30]ANO. HUST.
horses. The absence of the horse is peculiarly interesting, as shewing that we have not reached the period of that Pharaoh who made Joseph to ride in the second chariot that be had. ${ }^{2 a}$ It was to their Semitic neighbours, and probably to the invasion of the Shepherd Kings, that the Egyptians were indebted for the horse.

Among the mechanical arts depicted are cabinet-making, and what has been interpreted as glass-blowing; but the bandleless hammers of the carpenters shew an age in which human labour was unrelieved by even the simplest machinery. Writing with a reed on papyrus is in constant use; and the cursive characters of the quarry-marks in the Great Pyramid prove that it had passed out of its earliest stage. In short, the civilization represented is in every respect aa high as that of any later period of the Egyptian monarehy; and the art is even higher. The ignorance of perspective, common to every period of Egyptian art, and the absence of any idealizing power, must not lead us to undervalue the perfect truth to nature with which the animala and other objects are depicted, or the freedom of form and motion in the human figure, not yet trammelled by the sacred conventionalism of later agea. This free style of art is thought to shew a period when the sacerdotal power was not dominant; and the inscriptipns, which tell us of the social position and offices of these long-buried dead, confirm the view that the country had reached that political stage, in which the government bad passed from the pricstly to the military class.

Nor are we without testimony to the moral views of these oldest Egyptians. In the Imperial Library at Paris thers is a papyrus written by Phtha-hotep, an old man of the royal blood, in the reign of Assa-Tatkera (probably the Tancheres of Manctho's 5th dynasty), and containing thirty-five moral precepts addreased to his son; in which filial obedience is made the basis of morality, and its principle is extended to the duties of a subject to his kingthe sign of an age of patriarchal despotism. It contains such precepts as the following:-"The son who reccives the words of his father shall grow old thereby. The obedience of a son to his father is happiness. He is dear to his father, and his renown is on the tongues of the living who walk upon the earth. The rebellious see knowledge in ignorance, virtue in vice, each day he audaciously perpetrates frauds of every kind; and so he lives as one already dead. That which the wise know to be death, is his daily life; he goes on in his way, loaded with maledictions." ${ }^{21}$

The conclusion is interesting as an example of longevity, and breathes the spirit of self-satisfaction which characterised the religion and morality of the old Egyptians:-"I pave become one of

[^31]the old men of the land; I have accomplished one hundred and ten years, with the grace of the king and the approbation of the elders, fulfiling my duty towards the king in the place of favour."
§ 11. The monuments, inscriptions, and pictured scenes of this period, all testify to a period of prosperity and peace.

No soldiers appear on the monuments; and none of the great men carry arms. The only sign of war is the coercion of troublesome Arab tribes in the peninsula of Sinai, where the Memphian kings, as we have seen, worked copper mines." The country is at a high pitch of wealth under a powerful government.' That such should be the earliest scene presented to us in the ancient world, fills every student of history with amazement. "When we think of this civilization," says M. Renan, "that it had no known infancy; that this art, of which there remain innumerable monuments, had no archaic epoch ; that the Egypt of Cheops and Cephren is superior, in a sense, to all that followed, on est pris de vertige."

Of the ruder labours which prepared the country for this high condition, we have no other indication than the traditions preserved by Herodotus about Menes.
§ 12. Before the time of Menes, he says, the Nile flowed close under the sandy range of hills which skirts Egypt on the side of Lybia. By raising a dyke at the bend which the river forms about a. hundred furlongs south of Memphis, Menes turned the river into a new course halfway between the two lines of hills; and on the site thus reclaimed on the left bank he built Memphis. He also built the temple of Hephæstus (Phtha) within the city. ${ }^{25}$ Herodotus testifies to the care with which the dyke was preserved by the Persians in his time, lest the inundation should burst upon Memphis. ${ }^{2 d}$ There seems no reason to reject this tradition of some great engineering works connected with the first establishment of Memphis ; but their nature may have been misunderstood.

It is not improbable that the true object was to confine the Nile to its clayey bed, and to prevent the percolation of its waters through the sand-hills of the Libyan Desert, and behind the pyramid-hills, into the chain of the lower Natron Lakes on the west of the Delta, which wasted its fertilizing waters and caused its lower arms to be lost in marshes, which, in the earliest age of Egypt, were probably uninhabitable, so that the population was confined to the narrow valley. The bifurcation of the river appears to have been at one time some 14 miles above Memphis, at Kasr-el-Syat, whence an

[^32]ancient bed may be traced to the Libyan hills. Here is the elbow of which Herodotus speaks; and the dyke of Menes (of which all trace is obliterated by the rise of the soil), may have stopped up this western branch, and diverted the rest of its water into the lake which, Herodotus says, Menes constructed on the west of Memphis. ${ }^{2}$
§ 13. This securing of the site of Memphis was the first pressing labour of its founders. Of the city itself our knowledge is sadly emall. Its position " in the narrow part of Egypt" ${ }^{\text {na }}$-just below the expansion of the valley towards the Fyum, and above the opening to the Delta-commanded the passage between Upper and Lower Egypt, and fitted it to be capital of the whole country. ${ }^{\text {an }}$

It seems to have occupied the whole space of about three miles between the river and the hills. Its circuit is said by Diodorus to have been 150 stadia, or 15 geographical miles. Ite walls contained three enclosures, of which the innermost, or citadel, was called "the White Wall; ${ }^{30}$ and one of its hieroglyphic names is "the white building." It is also called " the land of the pyramid " and "the abode of Phtha," its great patron deity. ${ }^{\text {n }}$ The worship of that oldest of the gods marks its religious precedence before both Heliopolis and 'l'bebes, whose patron deity was Ra, the Sun. As is asual in the old lands of castes, the priestly Memphis preceded the warlike Theben. The substructions of the temple of Phtha, and of other buildings, as well as the colossal statues and stela of Rameses II, and a broken statue bearing the name of Sabaco, identify its site with the plain covered with palm-trees, in which stands the village of Mitrahenny or Mitranieh, about 10 miles south of Cairo. (This modern capital, however, is on the opposite, or right, bank of the river.) The mounde which mark the ancient aite extend over a circumference of 8 leagues. ${ }^{38}$
§ 14. To the west, on the foot-terraces of the Libyan range of

[^33]hills, the great Plain of the Pyramids extends from Abou-Roash, a little to the north-west of Cairo, to Meyduom, about 40 miles to the south, and thence in a south-westerly direction about 25 miles further, to the pyramids of Howarà and Biahmu; containing about 60 pyramids great and small. But the proper Memphite Necropolis is comprised within a length of about 15 miles from Jizeh to Saklkara, and contains, probably, 30 tombs of the sovereigns of Memphis. ${ }^{35}$ There are no tombs on the eastern side of the Nile: the West was regarded as the land of darkness and of death.

The internal architecture of these tombs is instructive. The sepulchral abodes of the dead, who only slept, would naturally be modelled after the homes of the living. Partaking of that simplicity which we have seen in the Pyramids and in the temple of Shafre, their only decoration consists in bands, both vertical and horizontal, with rounded surfaces, as if reproducing in stone the trunks of the trees most common in Egypt, the palm and sycamore. It may be inferred that the primitive Egyptians were no dwellers in caves (troglodytce), as some have supposed, but that their habitations were wooden houses, in which the-natural trunks served for pillars and mouldings.
§ 15. Memphis was unquestionably the seat of the Third, Fourth, Sixth, Seventh, and Eighth Dynasties of Manetho. He styles his Fifth Dynasty Elephantine; and assigns to it 31- kings ${ }^{34}$ and nearly 600 years. Their names are associated in Memphian tombs with those of the Fourth Dynasty; and some are identical in both lists. No facts are recorded of these kings. They seem to have been a contemporary branch of the royal house of Memphis, ruling at Elephantine on the southern border of Egypt; the two governments being sometimes united under the sovereign reigning at Memphis.

But, in truth, the relation of the Memphian Monarchy to Upper Egypt is altogether obscure. "No mention is even incidentally made of Thebes; a city may have existed there, but not of sufficient importance to be a rival power to Memphis. Hitherto no trace of the dominion of the Memphian kings has been found at Thebes or elsewhere in Upper Egypt, except some alabaster vases from Abydos, bearing the standard of Chufu; and portable antiquities afford no decisive evidence. But this is no proof of Theban independence, since the fixed monuments of this age are entirely sepulchral; and the Memphian kings and their great officers would be buried near their own capital. If Thebes has no monuments of Memphian

[^34]dominion, peither has it any of its own, and it appears probable that, till the T'welfth Dynasty of Manetho, it continued to be a place of little account." ${ }^{25}$
§ 16. The period of these great Memphian kinge of the Fourth Dynasty seems to have been one of religious strife and convulsiou. I'heir memory had an ill-savour with the sacerdotal colloges. The priests told Herodotus that Egypt was well governed till the reign of Cheops, who closed the temples and forbad the Egyptians to offer sacrifice; a statement contradicted by the evidence of contemporary tombs. ${ }^{* 6}$ Manetho only says that Suphis I. (Cheops) was arrogant towards the gods, but, repenting, wrote the sacred book; but Diodorus declares that Chembes (i.e. Cheops) was excluded after death from his own pyramid, and buried in a secret place to save his body from the ingults of the oppressed people. ${ }^{37}$ The period of oppression, Herodotus adds, lasted for 106 years, the united reigns of Cheops and Cephren, whose names the Egyptians so detested that they chose rather to call the Pyramids after Philition, a shepherd who at that time fed his flocke about the place. ${ }^{26}$

Mycerinus at length opened the temples, and allowed the peopie to return to their occupations and to resume the rites of eacrifice. He surpassed all former kings in justice; and, if any man was dissatisfied with his decision, he paid the penalty he had awarded out of his own purse. Yet another story made him die of grief from a passion for his own daughter, and another ahewn forth the opposition between ling and priest in his grotesque device for proving the oracle of Buto a liar. The fatalism of the Egyptian religion is shewn in the sentence on Mycerinus for his very virtues towards his people, because he had not fulfilled the destined term of their oppression for 150 years. ${ }^{10}$

These traditions of a religious conflict are not unconfirmed by the monuments. In the temple of Shaire is a well, containing broken fragmente of statues of that king, made of the most costly

[^35]stones, and evidently flung in by violence; a token, so far as it goes for anything, of an outburst of revolutionary hatred. Whe respect of the priests for the memory of Mycerinus looks like their tribute to the author of a new establishment, which secured the sway they afterwards exercised over the whole life of the Egyptians. We have many proofs of his deification. On the coffin-lid found in the Great Pyramid, Menkera is identified with Osiris. In the Tablet of Abydos, his shield contains .the sign denoting "god." In the "Ritual of the Dead" he appears as a deceased and deified king; and his name is often found on the carved beetles (scarabsei), which were used as amulets, of a date (as their workmanship proves) long subsequent to his death, ${ }^{40}$
§ 17. According to the view of Bunsen, "The amalgamation of the religions of Upper and Lower Egypt had already united the two provinces, before the power of the race of This in the Thebaid extended itself to Memphis; and before the giant work of Menes converted the Delta from a desert, chequered over with lakes and morasses, into a blooming garden." After this, the political union of the two divisions was effected by the builder of Memphis, "Menes founded the Empire of Egypt by raising the people who inhabited the valley of the Nile from a little provincial station to that of an historical nation." "' The process of consolidating this power would not unnaturally lead to conflicts with the priests of the local deities that were revered in every part of Egypt. At all events, it seems certain that the main elements of the Egyptian religion had received their permanent form under the old Memphian kings. M. Mariette has found the names of Osiris, Isis, and Nephthys, the great deities common to all Egypt, on monuments at Sakkara, which be regards as contemporary with Cheops.
§ 18. The Sixth Dynasty, of six kings in 203 years, is styled by Manetho Memphian. Some hold that this Sixth Dynasty succeeded the Fourth at Memphis, while the Fifth continued to reign at Elephantine, even as late as the domination of the Shepherd-kings in Lower Egypt. ${ }^{12}$ In the absence of Manetho's History, his mere List fails to show the ground of distinction between the dynasties, or the causes which banded down, or handed over, the power from each to its successor. But he tells us that the first king of the Sixth Dynasty, Othoës, was killed by his guards, after a reign of thirty

[^36]years. ${ }^{4}$ Now, if the critics are right who identify this Othoës with the Onnos who closes the Fifth Dynasty, we have the not imprue bable inference that the original Memphian monarchy was sup planted by a revolution, which had its beginning with the guande stationed on the frontier at Elephantine.

But, be the cause what it might, the second king of the Sixth Dynasty, Pepi-Mairy or Pepi-Kemai (Pbios, M.), ${ }^{4}$ ruled over the whole country, with a power attested by the number and variety of his monuments, from Syene at the cataracte to Tanis in the Delta.

The monument which gives us his titular name indicates that he constructed or improved the roed to the port of Kasseir on the Red Sea, and so raises the presumption of a commerce between Egypt and the seas of Arabia, and perhaps India. The military prowens of Pepi is attested by his monuments to the east and south of Egypt. We see him warring against the Arabs of the peninsula of Sinai (like the kings of the Fourth Dynasty); against other Arab tribes between Upper Egypt and the Red Sea; and in Ethiopia, above the fecond cataract, against the $\mathrm{Wa}-\mathrm{Fa}$, a people of a decidedly negro type. ${ }^{*}$ a second Pepi, surnamed Neferkera (Phiops, M.), is distiuguished by Manetho for the phenomenon of a centenarian reign. He came to the throne at aix years of age, and reigned for 100 years all bat a month; * but nothing else is recorded of him; only his monuments confirm the length of his reign by the festivals which he celebrated at the completion of its several perioda.

The successor of Phiops reigned but one year, and then we come to che one queen, whose name was read to Herodotus among the 330 lings, the " rosy-cheeked" Nitocria" of Manetho, who also calls her "the

4s The monomenta show two eompetitore againet this king, whose anme appeare 48 Ati.
" Either reading has the same meaning "beloved of Re (the 8un)." The fut form of the name is Papl-mert-re. The title in derived from a monument on he road to Koepeir on the Red Sea, exhibiting two kingt, named Pepi, and Kairt $\pi$ Remai, meated on throne side by side, one wearing the crown of Upper, the sher that of Lower Exypt At frat sight we should take them for contemporary noverigns; but, the theomd mame appears nowhere else, and at ite meaning - perfectly analogone se the tittes which the Thotan linge prefised in a eeparute shield to that contatning the pbonetie charactert of their own namea, it seems soot probabie that this raa anothet mode of signifying the eame thing. If mo Pepi's is the Arot ezmple of a titular promomen among the Egyptian linga. The sings of the Fourth and other early dynasties hare but one ableld, containing cheir mames in phonetie characters.
ss It is enough to mention, without diseusaing, the inference, that Nabla was at this time eceapied by a negro popolation, previoss to the entrance of the Cumite - Ethiopiane from B. Arsbis merom the Btraite of Beb-el-Mandeb. (See Lenormant, Histoire 4 reienne,' vol. i. p. ${ }^{209 .)}$

* Eractod henes wasigne 100 yeart to Apappne; and the nerre Pepl may be reed Apap. The Tarin pappus gives 90 years to a namelene ling; and that this ras Pepi to contrraed by the a year aud 1 month amigned a -hio ruceomor.
${ }^{67}$ In Eryptian Neitatri, Le. "Neth (Minerva) the Vietoriona." Het nama a in the Tarin papyrios. There is anotber Nitocis of the 26th Dyeaty, Viving
most spirited and most beautiful woman of her time." The cha:acter is justified, and the shortness of her predecessor's reigu accounted for, by the legend which the priests related to Herodotus, that she succeeded her brother, who had been put to death by his subjects; and, having invited the principal murderers to a banquet in a subterranean chamber, she let in the river upon them as they were feasting. Then, to escape the vengeance of their friends, she threw herself into an apartment full of ashes. ${ }^{8}$

Manetho assigns 12 years to her reign, and says that she built the Third Pyramid, that, namely, of Mycerinus. Now it is remarkable that this pyramid has been at some time enlarged, the original entrance having been built over by the new masonry, and a second entrance constructed, as if to receive a second occupant. Even the story, which Herodotus himself rejects, of, the building of the Third Pyramid by the courtesan Rhodope, is an undesigned corroboration of its connection with Nitocris, for the Greek word Rhodope has the same meaning as the "rosy-cheeked" queen of Manetho. 49
§ 19. With Nitocris ends the splendour of the Old Memphian Monarchy; and the result of the preceding troubles is traced in the eclipse that settles over Egyptian history from the Sixth Dynasty to the Eleventh. For this interval the monuments are dumb; or rather, there are no monuments to speak. 50 The Seventh Dynasty, of 70 kings in as many days, looks like an interregnum of a senate or a priestly college. ${ }^{31}$ To the Eighth Dynasty Manetho assigns 28 , kings in 146 years, ${ }^{68}$ and that is all we know. On the hypothesis that
about the same time as the celebrated Babylonian queen of the same name, who (Sir G. Wilkinson conjectures) may have been an Egyptian princess, demanded in marriage by the King of Babylon on his invasion of Egypt. The wife of Psammetichus III. was also named. Neitakri. See Rawlinson's 'Herodotus,' Note to ii. 100 .
${ }^{49}$ Herod. ii. 100. The last part of the story, at all events, seems of foreign origin. Smothering in ashes was a Persian punishment, but unknown to the Egyptians.

49 Herod. ii. 134. The historical Rhodope, whose proper name was Doricha (as Sappho calls her) lived in Egypt in the reign of Amasis. The story of her marriage to Psammetichus, under circumstances resembling the tale of Cinderella, and of her burial in the Third Pyramid, seems to have arisen from a double confusion with the two Neitakris, the ancient queen and the wife of Psammetichus III. (Eilian. 'Var. Hist.' xiii. 33; Strabo, xvii. p. 800.)
${ }^{50}$ The hypothesis of a foreign invasion has been suggested, on the ground that the comparison of the glulls found in the tombs prior to the 6th dynasty with those subsequent to the 1Ith, shows the introduction of a new element of race. But this is confessedly very doubtful. See Lenormant, 'Histoire Ancienne,' vol. i. p. 211.
${ }_{52}$ The reading of Eusebins (Armenian Version), 5 kings in 7 ' ${ }^{\prime}$ daysis seems an

- arbitrary correction. Mr. Poole regards the 7th and 8th as native dynasties who temporarily recovered power at Memphis, at the end of the FIVteenth Dynasty, the first of the Shepherd Kings.
* Or 5 kings in 100 years,-Euseb, "Chron, Arm."

Manetho's dynasties are in part contemporary, these shadowy dynasties seem the remnants left at Memphis of a divided empire, on the ruins of which new kingdoms were founded in Middle and Upper Egypt, probably during the troublous times of the Sixth Dynasty. ${ }^{\text {as }}$ The seat of the former was at Heracleopolis; ;4 that of the latter was at the new capital of Upper Egypt, which the Greeks called Taeber, and of which we have soon to speak more fully.

The double conflict, which Heracleopolis must have had to maintain against Thebes on the one side, and the Shepherd invaders on the other, will account for the darkness of ita history. Of the 4 kings of the Ninth Dynasty in 100 years, ${ }^{\text {so }}$ and the 19 of the Tenth in 185 years, we are only told that the first, Achthoës, was the most atrocious of all who preceded him, and having done much mischief to the people of all Egypt, he went mad, and was killed by a crocodile. His fate looks like a local tradition, to account for the permanent hostility of the Heracleopolites to the crocodile, which was worshipped by their neighbours of Arsinoei in the Fyam.

Considering the position of Heracleopolis, and the number of years assigned to its two dynastiea, it meeme not improbable that the great engineering works by which the Lpke Morris was made a reservoir for regulating the inundation of the Nile, were at least commenced during this period. "If the Fyam was rendered habitable and fertile by the kings of the Heracleopolitan dynasties, it will be explained how it becomes of so much importance under the Twelfth." ${ }^{\circ}$

820 . In this account of the Old Memphian Monarchy, we have not attempted to give a single date. There is, thus far, and long after, no established Egyptian chronology; and, if data exist from which it might be constructed, the results an yet obtained are purely hypothetical. Various Schools of Egyptologers place the ers of Menes as high as B.c. 5725 , and as low as B.c. 2429 , and that of the Great Pyramid at the begianing of the fifth or the second chiliad

[^37]B.C. All the stronger for this diversity is that body of testimony to the antiquity of Egyptian civilization which places the lowest ilate, not of its beginning, but of its' perfection, in all essential elements, at least 4000 years ago!

The chief principles on which the construction of a chronology has been attempted are the following:-(i.) First, the simple expedient of adding together the numbers assigned by Manetho to his dynasties, leads us back to the sixth chiliad B.c. ${ }^{57}$ But, besides that the various numbers in the different texts make even this method inexact, it falls to the ground if any of the dynasties were contemporary. (ii.) A more refined and more probable system is based on calculations derived from the various epochs and periods which are known to have been used by the Egyptians, but which are too technical to bs explained here. Following this method, authorities such as Sir Gardner Wilkinson, Mr. Lane, and Mr. Stuart Poole, place the Era of Menes at or about B.o. 2700, and that of the Fourth Dynasty about b.c. 2440. ${ }^{68}$ (iii.) Partly in conjunction with the preceding method, and partly by itself, the Great Pyramid has been made, by astronomical calculations, to tell the date of its own erection. This method is too interesting to be passed over in silence; but its very ingenuity is a ground of suspicion. It has been mixed up with certain extraordinary theories about the origin and object of the Pyramid, which lie quite beyond our province. ${ }^{s 9}$ The three chief pyramids are all accurately placed with their four faces to the four points of the compass, a fact itself suggestive of the astronomical knowledge of their builders. Their entrance is always on the northern face, by a long sloping passage, the angle of which with the horizon differs but slightly from $30^{\circ}$, which is just the latitude of Jizeh. Moreover, this difference is almost uniform in the three pyramids, and its mear

[^38]gives $26^{\circ} 16^{\prime}$ for the inclination of the passage. If the angle wre exactly $30^{\circ}$, the passage would point to the true North Pole of the hearens. But this is an invisible point, though at present marked very nearly by what we therefore call the Yolar Star, a in Ursa Minor. Owing, however, to the precession of the equinoxes, the true Pole, though fixed in our celestial hemisphere, is always changing its place among the stars; and about 4000 years ago the star a Draconis was the only conspicuous star near the Pole, its distance from which was then just $3^{\circ}$ 44'. Consequently, ite lower culmination on the meridian would be $26^{\circ} 16^{\prime}$ above the horizon. Astronomy enables us to calculate the exact date when these conditions were fulfilled, and that (it is argued) must have been the date of the Great Pyramid.

By an elaborate comparison with various other data, the Astronomer Royal for Scotland has fixed this date within narrower limita than preceding inquirers-at 2170 B.c.

The reasoning is beautiful ; and, to those who know how many scientific discoveries have been based on the mutual coherence of observed facts, it is not improbable. But the sterner spirit of criticism hesitates to accept it in the absence of some independent evidence that its assumed principle is true, $\rightarrow$ that the inclination of the entrance-passage was intended to point to the Polar star. ${ }^{60}$ On the whole, however, we may venture so far as to may that there is a concurrence of probability in favour of a date, for the Fourth Dynasty and the Great-Pyramids, not exceeding b.c. 2000. But this is hypothesis, not chronology.

The chronology of Scripture, even if thoroughly eatablished, would only aid us with a maximum limit of time; for it is agreed on all hands that we have not yet reached the epoch of Abraham's visit to Egypt.

[^39]

CHAPTER IV.

## THE MIDDLE MONARCHY AND THE SHEPHERD KINGS.

§ 1. Summary of the Period. Dynasties XI. to XVII, The Thebans, Shepherd, and Koine Kingdoms. § 2. The Eleventh Dynasty. Infancy of the Theban Monarchy. S. Monuments of the Enentefs and Mruntotps. Amenemes I. § 4. Order of the Kings of the Twelfth Dynasty. § 5. Their recovery of Egypt and Sinai. Monuments of Sesortasen I. § 6, Amenembs II., killed by his eunuchs. Arabian conquests. § 7. Sesorsaben III. Prototype of serosiris. His Conquests and fortresses in Ethiopia. His deification. State of Ethiopia at this time. His brick pyramid at Dashoor, § 8. Amenemes III., builder of the Labyrinth. \$9. The Lake Metis, as described by Herodotus. The natural lake, Birket-el-Kerin, not the lake Morris. Discovery of the latter by M. Linant. § 10 . Use of the Lake Mceris. Change in the Nile by the breaking of the rocky barrier at Silsilis. § 11. The Art of the Twelfth Dynasty. § 12. Sepulchral grottoes of Beni-hassan. Scenes of life under the Middle Monarchy. Great lords : their possessions and functions. $\$ 13$. Tomb of Ament : its pictures and epitaph. § 14. First appearance of military exploits and captives. Group of Jebusites, formerly taken for the Family of Jacob. § 15. The Thirteenth (Theban), and Fourteenth (Xoïte) Dynasties: their relations to each other and to the Shepherd Kings. § 16. The Hyksos, or Shepherd Kings. Their story as quoted from Manetho by Josephus. Absurcity of their identification with the Hebrews. §17. Real meaning of the narrative. Race of the Shepherd Kings. § 18, Progress of the conquest. Their relations to the kingdom of Upper Egypt. § 19. Monumental Discovaries. Saïtes or Set-aa-pehti Noubti their chief King. Worship of the Hittite god, Set, or Soutekh. Indications of time and place. Importance of Tamis, Style of the Shepherd Monuments. § 20. Adoption of Egyptian customs. Time of Josef. § 21. Expulsion of the Hyksos. Interesting contemporary narrative. § 22. Relations of Egypt with Phoenicia and Greece.
§1. As a key. to the difficulties of the ensuing period, it may be well to prefix the general results which seem to be established. During the decline and fall of the Memphian Monarchy, a new king-, dom arose in Upper Egypt; new, at least, in its extensive power, though perhaps developed from an old local monarchy or viceroyalty. This kingdom is ailed by Manethp Diospolitan (that is, Theban) • but that capital was only as yet in the infancy of its power. Begin-,
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ning with the obscure Eleventh Dynasty, this monarchy, in the Twelfth Dynasly, extended its power over all Egypt, and gave a presage of the brilliant period of the New Theban Monarchy of the 18th and 19th Dynasties.

About or just after the time of this dynasty, nomad hordes, probably of Semitic race (or of Hamite and Semitic intermingled), who are included under the general name of Hyksos, or Shepherd Lings, entered the Delta from the East, whether in mere rapacity for the country's wealth, or pressed forward by other conquerors, or invited by the decayed princes of Lower Egypt to aid them against their Southern masters; or from a combination of these motives. Becoming masters of the lower country, and fixing their capital at Memphis-where they appear at length to have respected the religion and adopted the usages, as well as the name, of the Egyptians-they waged long wars with the kingdom of the Thebaid. The Hyksos were, ultimately successful; but the continuity of the Theban Monarchy was never entirely broken. Sometimes, as under a part of the Thirteenth Dynasty, its kings took refuge in Ethiopia, and used the military resources of that country against the invaders; sometimes they seem to have become tributary to the Hyksos; and so intricate were their relations that, in the various copies of Manetho's Lists, the 15th, 16th, and 17th dynastien figure both as Shepherd and Theban.

At the same time another native dynasty, the 14th, survived at Xoïs, in Lower Egypt, perhaps protected by the Shepherds, or even coalescing with them in rivalry against Thebes. At length, by a great national movement, the people of Upper Egypt rallied their force under Amosis (or Aahmes), who expelled the Shepherds, and reunited all Egypt under the Eighteenth Dynasty, with ita capital at Thebes.'
\$2. A line of demarcation is drawn by Manetho, or bis copyists, at the end of his Eleventh Dynasty:-"'Thus far Manetho brought hin first volume, altogether 192 kings, 2300 years, 70 days." To this eleventh dynasty he assigns 16 Diospolitan kings in 43 years, "after whom Ammenemes," the immediate ancestor of the Twelfth Dynasty. The monuments confirm the view that the 12 th Dynasty sprang from the 11th; and the line of demarcation is best drawn at the beginning of the Eleventh Dynarty, as the true commencement of the dominion of Upper Egypt. Such a line is justified by the monuments:-"When," says M. Mariette, "with the Eleventh Dynasty we Egypt awake from her long aleep, the old traditions ure forgotten. The proper names used in the old families, the titles given to tipe futctionaries, the writing itself, and everything, even to the religion, seems to be new. Thinis, Elephantine, Memphis, are
${ }^{1}$ The description of Thebes belongs more properly $k$ :be next chaptef.
no lorger the chosen capitals : it is Thebes which becomes, for the first time, the seat of the sovereign power. Egypt is, besides, dispossessed of a notable part of her territory, and the authority of the legitimate kings no longer extends beyond a limited district of the Thebaid. The study of the monuments confirms these general views. They are rude, primitive, sometimes clumsy; and, from their appearance, we might believe that Egypt, under the Eleventh Dynasty, was recommencing the period of infancy through which it had passed under the Third."
§ 3. Very few monuments, however, of the Middle. Monarchy are found at Thebes. Those of the Eleventh are chiefly at Hermonthis, and the most remarkable of the Twelfth are about Lake Mceris (in the Fyûm) and in the rock-hewn tombs of Benihassan, opposite to Hermopolis the Great, just where the line was afterwards drawn between Upper and Middle Egypt. At Hermonthis (Erment), a great seat of the worship of Osiris; Isis, and Horus, we find the monuments of several kings, all of whom have the same name, Nentef or Enentef, except two, who are called Mandopt or MIuntotp, from Mandoo or Munt, the patron god of Hermonthis. ${ }^{2}$ It was to Muntotp I., probably the founder of this dynasty, that the later Theban kings, traced back their origin; for in the List of Rameses II. his name alone occurs between that of Menes and that of Aahmes, the founder of the 18th dynasty; and he is repeatedly mentioned as an ancestor on the monuments of other kings of the 18th and 19th dynasties. On a monument at Silsilis we see an Enentef doing homage to Muntotp I. Muntotp II. is mentioned on 2. tablet on the road to Kosseir, with Amenemes I., ${ }^{5}$ whom he may have established in the kingdom during his own lifetime. The Turin papyrus shows that Amenemes was twice deposed by other kings; and several other synchronisms, too intricate for discussion here, confirm Manetho's mention of "Iheban and other kings." In the name of Amenemes, compounded as it is of Amen or Amun, the patron god of Thebes, we at length see a decisive proof of the supremacy of that city; and his name is the earliest found upon its monuments.
§ 4. In the Twelfth Dynasty the name of Amenemes alternates with that of Osirtasen, or (for the first syllable is doubtful) Sesortasen or Sesertesen, in which we may trace the Sesostris of the Greeks,

[^40]at least as far as the name only is concerned. ${ }^{4}$ The series of kings has been made out satisfactorily through the correction of Manetho's list by the monuments:-

Manetho.

1. Sesonchosis.
2. Ammenemes.
3. Sesostris.
4. Lachares.
5. Ameres.
6. Ammenemes.
7. Skemiophris (his sister).

## Monuments.

1. Sesortasen I.
2. Amenembe II.
3. Sesortasen II,
4. Sesortasen III.
5. Amenemhe III.
6. Amenemhe IV.
7. Ra-Sebeknofru.

The names are found in their due succession, partly in the table of Abydos, and partly in the Turin papyrus.
85. From the beginning of this dynasty the monarchy of Egypt has recovered its widest ancient limits." The monuments of Sesortasen I. (son of Amenemes I.)' are found, not only from the Delta to Syene, but upwards in Nubis as far as the second cataract, on the tablet of Wady-halfa; while his name, inscribed on the rocks of Sinai, proves the re-conquest of that peninsula and the renewed working of ite mines. So far as the monumente are concerned, he may claim to rank as the founder of Thebes, for his name is seen on the oldest portion of the great temple of Karnak, and on a broken statue. Sepulchral tablets bearing his name are found in the necropolis of A bydos and in that of Memphis. In Lower Egypt an obelisk of his is still erect at Heliopolis, and a fallen one in the Fyam is the first sign of the great works of his dynasty in that district.

8 6. Of Amenemes IL. Manetho only saye that he was killed by his own eunuchs; ${ }^{7}$ but a monument of hia 28th year records his conquesta over the people of Pount, while its position at a wateringplace on the road to Kosseir attests commercial intercourse with the Arabian Gulf: This monument even indicates Egyptian conquests in Arabia; for " the Pount, with whom the kings of the 18th and 10th dynastics were afterwards at war, were a northern race, being placed, on monumente at Soleb and elsewhere, with the Asiatic tribes. They appear to bave lived in Arabia, probably in the southern as well as nurthern part ; and their tribute at Thebes, in the time of Thothmes IIL., consisted of ivory, ebony, apes, and other southern productions ; partly, perhaps, obtained by commerce."

[^41]§7. The next king, Sesortasen II., was the greatest of this dynasty. In. his 8th year he completed the conquests of his two predecessors in Ethiopia, and built the fortress of Semneh, some distance above the second cataract. Here a temple was erected to him, as a deified king, by his descendant, Thothmes III., and he was also worshipped as a god by Thothmes IV. at Amada, in Lower Ethiopia; and one variation of his name has the epithet good. T'bese divine honours were probably paid to Sesortasen II. on account of the vast importance of his Ethiopian conquests, in respect of which also he was the prototype of the Greek Sesostris, a personage, however, made up of several kings of different dynasties and epochs. ${ }^{10}$

On these conquests Lenormant observes: "At this epoch a state extended beyond the First Cataract almost to the extremity of Abyssinia, which was to ancient Egypt what Soudan is to modern Egypt ; this was the Land of Cush (Kesh), or Ethiopia. Without well-defined limits, without unity of organisation or territory, Ethiopia supported numerous tribes, differing in origin and in race; but the bulk of the nation was formed by the Cushites of the race of Ham, who had lately established themselves there since the time of the Sixth Egyptian Dynasty. These Cushites appear to have been, under the Twelfth Dynasty, the real enemies of Egypt. It was towards Ethiopia that the forces of the nation were then turned; against the tribes of Cush were raised, on both banks of the Nile above the second cataract, the fortresses of Khumneh and of Semneh, which mark the southern limit at which the empire of the Pharaohs then stopped." In The testimony

[^42]ANO. BIST.
of an inscription at Semneh, that the frontier was thus fixed by Sesortasen 1I., accords with the statement of Herodotus, that Sesostris was the only (he should rather have said the first) Egyptian monarch that ever ruled over Ethiopia. ${ }^{18}$

The monuments on the Kosseir road may justify our repeating hers also the story which the priests told Herodotus, that. Sesostris was the first of all who proceeded in a fleet of ships of war from the Arabian Gulf along the shores of the Erythrean Sca (i.e. from the Red Sea to the Arabian Sea and Indian Ocaan) until he finally reached a sea which could not be navigated by reason of the shoals. ${ }^{18}$ All else that Herodotus relates of Sesostris seems to belong to Seti I. and Rameses II., of the Nineteenth Dynasty. An evideuce that the I'welfth Dypasty recovered the power of the old monarchy in the burial of Sesortasen II. (or perhaps III.) in the pyramid of Dashoor, the southernmost of the Memphian pyramids, remarkable as the first example of a building constructed of bricks. (It was, however, faced with stone.) This might connect him with the Asychis of Herodotus, the sage legislator, who left a brick pyramid as his peculiar monument; but there are several pyramids of brick. ${ }^{14}$
8. The name of Amenemes III. is associated with his father's in the records of their victories in Ethiopia and aver the negroes, but it shines with a higher splendour in those of art and civilization. The monuments have now cleared up the riddle hidden in the words of Manetho: "Labaris (or Lacheres), who prepared the Labyrinth in the Arsinoite nome" (the Fyûm) "as a tomb for bimself." The false name, Labaris, perpetuated by the copyists for the sake of an etymology of Labyrinth, and written Lamaris by Eusebius, probably conceals the Moeris, whom Herodotus makes the greatest king after Menes, and to whom he ascribes the formation of the great lake named after him ; but, since meri is the Egyptian for lake, it would rather oeem that the name of the king was invented from bis work of engineering. ${ }^{4}$ But, in fact, both Labaris of the labyrinth, and Mceris of the neere, may now be disentangled and merged in the historic name of Amenemhe III., discovered by Lepsius on the ruing of that great palace, which the Greek traveller, bewildcred as be was led in darkness through its countless balls and corriders, called a labyrinth. ${ }^{16}$ This discovery proves, what the style of the building

[^43]attests, the great mistake of Herodotus in assigning the edifice to the much later age of the Dodecarchy. From his own observation he declares that the Pyramids surpass description, and are severally equal to a number of the greatest works of the Greeks; buit the Labyrinth surpasses the Pyramids. ${ }^{17}$
§ 9. "Wonderful as is the Labyrinth," Herodotus goes on to say, "the work called the Lake of Mœris, which is close by the Labyrinth, is yet more astonishing." ${ }^{18}$ And with good reason; for in utility it excelled the Labyrinth as much as the works on the channel of the Nile, ascribed to Menes, excelled the Pyramids. He gives its circuit as 60 schøeni, or 3600 stadia ( 360 geographical miles), equal to the entire length of Egypt along the sea-coast. ${ }^{19}$ Its longest direction was from north to south, and its greatest depth 50 fathoms. "It is manifestly," he adds, "an artificial excavation, for nearly in the centre there stand two pyramids, rising to the height of 50 fathoms above the surface of the water, and extending as far beneath, crowned each of them with a colossal statue sitting upon a throne. Thus the whole height is 600 feet" (which is one fourth higher than the Great Pyramid). "The water of the lake does not come out of the ground, which is here excessively dry, ${ }^{20}$ but is introduced by a canal from the Nile: The current sets for six months from the lake into the river, and for the next six months from the river into the lake"-that is, evidently, according to the rise and ebb of the inundation. Till very recently, this account was as great a puzzle as the origin of the lake itself was to the ancients.

In describing the country of Egypt, we have mentioned the position of the great valley, or basin, called in the Ptolemaic age the Nome of Arsinoë, and in modern times the Fyûm. It is formed by a depression in the limestone plateau which here intersects the valley of the Nile transversely, and is enclosed on the North and South by ridges of natural rocks. The bottom of the valley sinks on the north-western side; and this depression is filled up by the lake called Birket-el-Kerûn, the water of which is supplied partly by springs, and partly by an artificial branch of the Yusuf canal, which connects it with the Nile. This lake is now 30 miles long and 7 broad; its greatest depth is only 24 feet, and it is gradually becoming shallower from the mud brought into it by the canals. Its level is

[^44]inconsistent with Herodotus's account of the influx and efflux of the Nile, the bed of which was then much lower. In short this natural lake (for such it unquestionably is) was not the Lake Mceris, which had vanished even in Pliny's time. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The site of the artificial lake has been recently discovered by M. Linant, on the limestone plateau between the Birket-el-Keruin and the river, near Medinet-el-Fyäm, the ancient Crocodilopolis. It has long formed part of the cultivated plain of the Fyûm, which is still irrigated from "a small reservoir at the modern town, a very humble imitation of the Lake Mœris." ${ }^{\text {a }}$
§ 10. The function of the ancient lake, however, was far more extensive; it evidently formed a reservoir for regulating the inundation over a considerable part of the valley of the Nile, and rocent discoveries on this point have added a strong argument for its dato to the presumption raised by its connection with the labyrinth. In remote ages, the hills which border the valley of the Nile approached so close to one another at some points, as either to form lakes, or at least to dam up the waters of the inundation in certain parts, till the river forced its way through the barrier of rocka. Such a barrier once existed at Silsilis (Hadjar Selseleh), some 40 miles below the first cataract. The effect of this, in spreading the water of the inundation over the now barren plains of Nubia, is still seen in ancient alluvial deposits, which reach northwards as far as Silsilis, and in water-worn rocks at a considerable distance from the river. But this is not all: we can determine the historic period within which the barrier was broken down. On the rocks at Semneh, inscriptions of Amenemes 1II. and other kings of the Twelfth Dynasty, shew that the inundation then reached 27 feet above its present height; while on the other hand, the foundations of buildings on the old deposit, and the cave in the rocks near he Nile, prove that the lower level was permanently established by the time of the Eighteenth Dynasty. What period, then, could be so suited for the construction of the Lake Mceris as that in which these mighty changes were affecting the regularity of the inundation, and what kinga no likely to do the work as thone who were then erecting gigantic buildinga in the neighbourhoed of the

[^45]lake? These were Amenemes III. and his successors. But it mrast be observed that the name of this king gives us only an upward limit; and among the inscriptions at Semneh, some are now said to bring down the period of the river's higher rise into the Thirteenth Dynasty. ${ }^{24}$

The want of any particulars concerning Amenemes IV. and his sister Sxemiopiris (or Sebeknofru, whom some make a king) is perhaps a sign that the dynasty was beginning to suffer from the attacks of the Shepherds.
§11. Besides the ruins of the Labyrinth, the principal remains of art of the 12th dynasty are the two obelisks of Osirtasen I. at Heliopolis and in the Fyûm, and some fine fragments of colossal statues; among them one of the same king found at Thebes. The style of the sculpture is scarcely inferior to the finest works of the 18th and 19th dynasties. The realistic freedom of the primitive school has gielded to the hieratic canons which henceforth prevail ; but traces of it are seen in the powerful rendering of the muscles of the arms and legs. The distinctive excellences of this period are harmony of proportions and delicacy of execution in the most refractory materials. The mode in which the colossal statues were transported on a sledge is represented in a tomb near El-Bershehr.
§ 12. In architecture we have the remarkable phenomenon of columns, which seem to furnish the prototype of the Doric order. ${ }^{25}$ This occurs in the rock-hewn frontispiece to the sepulchral grottoes at Beni-iassan (the ancient Speos Artemidos, Cave of Artemis or Diana) on the east side of the Nile, opposite to Hermopolis Magna. ${ }^{28}$ Within those caverns are preserved pictures of life under the Middle Monarchy, as vivid and instructive as those of the Old Munarchy which we have seen in the Memphian tombs:-" Egypt caught in the fact," says Renan. "The actors therein are still, - in their leading characteristics, the same people as under the fourth dynasty, or at least their literal descendants. All the occupations, manners, or customs, represented of old in the tombs around the Great Pyramid, are represented in those; of Beni-hassan; there are the same toiling multitude, the same official system of scribes, overseers, and taskmasters, and the same feasting according to order. Something, indeed, of the gloomy sameness is gone; manufactures now compete with agricultural operations; the plough drawn by oxen dispenses with many sheep treading the seed into the soft -mud; the cultivation of the vine, and the process of wine-making,

[^46]diversify the scenes; flax may be traced through its several stages,men reaping it in the fields, and women weaving its fibres indoors. But there sits the great man still in colossal grandeur and unbending severity, overlooking the busy hive, every one of whose human bees is working for his benefit. And he still eujoys his field-sports much as his ancestors did before him, but with a variation; for now the ropes of the clap-nets are led by ingenious devices to his hands, as he sits far away on an easy-chair, so that he may bave the honour, by giving a little pull to the trigger, of appearing to have caught all the birds himself. Or, if his designs are against four-footed game, as the graceful antelopes of the desert-no longer content with taking them alive and taming them-he pursuct them now cruelly, both tearing them with dogs and transfixing them with long arrows; whence some most touching pictures of a poor gazelle turning round in pain to lick the place where one of these darts is sticking in its flesh, and even protruding through the opposite side of its body; or another that has fallen lifeless on its tender offspring.
"Very great lords are still the many chiefs who ruled over the people, under the king; one of them records his estatem and privileges; first, the range of the eastern desertand its oasis, for his antelope-hunting; and of the hinder and nether pools for his birdeatching; second, the land of Raophis, or a track near the mouth of the Fyam, and a sluice in the eastern bank of the canal to water it; third, the land of the Hawk mountain, and another sluice from the canal of the Fyom; fourth, the land of the two streams, or a narrow slip of ground between the canal and the Nile, together with s license for enlarging the sluices from bolh, so as to irrigate the fields to the extent prescribed in the sacred book for the growth of the plant asut; and the fifth, the land of the hare, with a permit to construct two sluices on the Nile. ${ }^{n}$ But this chief is described as holding honourable office both in church and state; being, first, the custos of the divine stable of the sacred bull; second, the constable of the palace of the King Amenemes; and, third, steward of the land-tax for the support of the schools of the ans of the kings of Lower Egypt." ${ }^{\text {s }}$
813. Thus it is, as M. Kenan observes, that, in these tombs, "the dead lifts up his voice and relates his life." Perhaps the most interesting of these two-fold utterances is that which we both see and read on the tomb of another great functionary of this highly-administerg monarchy, whose name was Ameni. On one wall we sac

[^47]Char. IV. FIRST APPEARANIS OF THE MILITARY ELEMENT. 71
the fat oxen grazing, and the sheaves of wheat carried in carts of the very model still used by the Fellahs of Egypt, and threshed out by the feet of oxen; on another is depicted the navigation of the Nile; the building and lading of large ships; the fashioning of elegant furniture from costly woods; and the preparation of garments : in a word, the scenes of busy husbandry and navigation, commerce and handicrafts. These pictures are interpreted by Ameni himself in a long inscription. As a general, he made a campaign in Ethiopia, and was charged with the protection of the carnvans, which transported the gold of Jebel-Atoky across the desert to Coptos. As the governor of a province, he recites the praises of his administration:-"All the lands under me were ploughed and sown from north to south. Thanks were given to me on hehalf of the royal house for the tribute of fat cattle which I collected. Nothing was ever stolen out of my workshops; I worked myself, and kept the whole province at work. Never was a child afflicted, never a widow ill-treated by me; never did I disturb the fisberman, or molest the shepherd. Famine never occurred in my time, nor did I let any one hunger in years of short produce. I have given equally to the widow and the married woman; and I have not preferred the great to the small in the judgments I have given."
§14. Now, for the first time too, the military element begins to appear upon the tombs; "and in vaults beneath some of them, and not yet discovered, are deposited the mummies (so the hieroglyphics tell us) of many hundred soldiers who had fallen in the wars of King Sesortosis against the black Cushites in Nubia. Prisoners, moreover, are brought back from these campaigns, and account for the negro slaves now occasionally seen in the great man's household; while under previous dynasties, we had met with no closer acquaintance with southern lands thau the unpacking of a box containing elephants' tusks. At the same time, however, other personages now appear on the scene, sometimes singly, sometimes in groups; men of aquiline features, brighter colour than, and different dress from, the Egyptians; immigrants from Arabia and Palestine." ${ }^{29}$

One such picture at Beni-hassan startled the world some years back by its supposed discovery of the arrival of Jacob and his family in Egypt, and their presentation to Pharaoh. It is on the tomb of a man of the military caste named Neoofth; and depicts the presentation of a procession of foreigners to a standing figure, whom some make the son of Neoofth, and others the King Sesortasen II.' They are preceded by a royal scribe, holding forth a scroll inscribed with the 6th yegr of Sesortasen II., and declaring that they are 37
vanquished foreigners; though only 12 adults and 3 children are seen, all unbound. The king of the strangers advances, bowing revereatly, and leading an ibex by the homs; he wears a tunic of bright colours and elaborate pattern, and carries a curved staff resembling that of Osiris. A man of humbler rank leads another ibex. Then, preceded by four armed men, comes an ass, carrying two children in a pannier; next, a boy on foot, armed with a lance, precedes four females, who are followed by another ass with panniers; and the procession is closed by two men, one of whom carries a lyre and plectrum, the other a bow and club. Their light complexion and aquiline noses shew a Semitic race from a more northern climate than Egypt ; and the gift of the ibex implies a pastoral tribe from Arabia or Palestine. ${ }^{s o}$ The inscription has been read by Mr. Osburn, as a group of 37 Jebusites, purchased for slaves by one of their petty kings, and presented by the chief Neoofth to King Sesortasen II. in the 6th year of his reign, ou account of their skill in preparing stibium, a black powder produced from antimony, and used profusely throughout ancient Egypt as a cosmetic. ${ }^{32}$. It is scarcely, perhaps, necessary to remind the student of Scripture that the Jebusites, or Canaanite people of Jerusalem, were a race alien to that of the Hebrew patriarchs.

8 15. After the Twelfth Dynasty comes a period of great obscurity, the darkness of the Middle Age of Egypt, preceding the splendid dawn of the New Theban Monarchy under the Eighteenth Dynasty. At this time, it is confessed on all hands, the dynasties of Manetho become contemporary; but very different interpretations are given of their names, localities, and relations to each other.

The Thirteenth Dynasty, of 60 Diospolitan kings, reigned 453 years, and the Fourteenth Dynasty, of 76 Xoito kings (that is, of Xois, in the Delta), reigned 184 years: ${ }^{32}$ this is all we learn from Manetho, but we find numerous monuments in Ethiopis, which are ascribed to the former dynasty; and the generally received view is that, under the domination of the Hykeos, the native Theban line took refuge in Etbiopia, which the preceding dynasty had conquered; while the rival dynasty of Lower Egypt, which had never abandoned its pretensions, held some local power at Xols, either in defiance, or under the protection, of the Hyksos. But there is another opinion, that the earlier kings of the 13 th dynasty retained the power of the

[^48]12th over all Egypt; but that the Xoïte Dynasty was set up against ihem in the Delta, and that the invasion of the Hyksos was brought about by these dissensions.

It is argued on the one hand, that the monuments found at Tanis, as well as at Abydos, of several kings who all bear the names of Sevekhotep or Nofrehotep, belong to this dyuasty; and on the other, the name Sevekhotep (Sabaco), which characterises the Ethiopian kings of the 25th Dyansty, is pleaded as a sign of the Ethiopian seat of the 13th. ${ }^{33}$. At all events the principal monuments of this dynasty are in Ethiopia, where a colossus at the island of Argo, in Dongola, shows that their power reached far beyond the old frontier at Semneh, and above the Third Cataract; and there are no monuments whatever of the later kings, whose names are only known from the royal lists. It may be safely concluded that the conquest of the Thebaid by the so-called "Hyksos" or "Shepherd Kings" was completed in the course of the 13th dynasty, if not at its beginning. Of the Xoite kings we have no monuments whatever; and even the locality of Xoïs is uncertain. ${ }^{34}$
§ 16. The great catastrophe of the kingdom of Egypt, brought about by the invasion of the Hyksos, is related in one of the few extant fragments of the History of Manetho, a fragment preserved by the strange ambition of the Jewish historian, Josephus, to-glorify his nation by identifying the conquering hordes, whom the Egyptians at length expelled, with the chosen people who were led forth in triumph by the power of God and the hand of Moses! It is the answer of Josephus to the taunt of his antagonist Philo on the mean origin of the Jews; and the narrative of Manetho has evidently been tampered with in some points to suit this purpose. As it stands, the following is the passage cited by Josephus from the Second Book of Manetho's '尼gyptiaca': ${ }^{35}$ " We had once a king named Timæus (or Amintimæus), under whom, from some cause unknown to me, the Deity was unfavourable to us; and there came unexpectedly,

[^49]from the eastern parts, a race of obscure extraction, who boldly invaded the country and easily took forcible possession of it withous a battle. Having subdued those who commanded in it, they proceeded savagely to burn the cities, and razed the temples of the gods; inhumanly treating all the natives; murdering some, and carrying the wives and children of others into slavery. In the end they also established one of themselves as a king, whose name was Salatis (Saïtes in the list); and he took up his abode at Memphis, exacting tribute from both the upper and the lower country, and leaving garrisons in the most suitable places. He especially strengthened the parts towards the east, foreseeing that on the part of the Assyrians, who vere then powerful, there would be a desire to invade their kingdom. Finding therefore in the Sethroite nome a city very conveniently placed, lying eastward of the Bubastic river, and called from some old religious reason Avaris (or Abaris), he built it up and made it very strong with walls, settling there also a great number of heavyarmed soldiers, to the amount of 240,000 men, for a guard. Hither he used to come in the summer season, partly to distribute the rations of corn and pay the troops, partly to exercise them carefully by musters and reviews, in order to inspire fear into foreign nations." After enumerating the five succeseors of this first king, he procords: "Their whole nation was called Hyreon, that is, Dhepherd Kings; for $H y k$ in the aacred language denotes King, and Sos is a shepherd in the common dialect. ${ }^{36}$ The kefore-named kings, be aays, and their deacendants, were masters of Egypt for 511 years. After this, he says that a revolt of the kings of the Thebaid and the rest of Egypt took place against the Shepherds, and a great and prolonged epar was carried on with them. Under a king whose name was Misphragmuthosis," he says that the Shepherds were expelled by him from the rest of Egypt after a defeat, and shut up in a place having a circuit of 10,000 arurse. This place was called Avaris.

[^50]Manetho says that the Shepherds surrounded it entirely with a large and strong wall, in order that they might have a secure deposit for all their possessions and all their plunder. Thuthmosis, the son of Misphragmuthosis, endeavoured to take the place by siege, attacking the walls with 480,000 men. Despairing of taking it by siege, he made a treaty with them, that they should leave Egypt, and withdraw without injury whithersoever they pleased; and, in virtue of this agreement, they withdrew from Egypt, with all their families and possessions, to the number of not fewer than 240,000, and traversed the desert into Syria. Fearing the power of the Assyrians, who were at that time masters of Asia, they built a city in that which is now called Judaea, which should suffice for so many myriads of men, and called it Jerusalem."

It will be observed that, in the words quoted from Manetho, there is nothing to identify, or even to connect, the Hyksos with the Hebrews; for the words "our forefathers" are put in by Josephus. They come indeed from the East, and they retreat into Palestine; but every other circumstance of their entrance into Egypt, their conduct and condition there, and their final retreat, is totally opposite to the true biblical history of "Israel in Egypt." Even the startling mention of Jerusalem is an argument against the identity, for that city belonged to the Canaanite Jebusites for some time after the entrance of Israel into the Holy Land.
§ 17. The only likeness of the Hyksos to the Hebrews is their occupation as shepherds, and (probably) their Semitic race. They were a nomad pastoral horde, like those which have ever been descending upon the rich settled countries of the East for the sake of plunder. They ravage all before them, with religious hatred. as is attested by the ruins of Memphis and the demolished monuments of the twelfth dynasty at I'hebes; ${ }^{38}$ and they collect their plunder into a great fortified city. That fortress, moreover, is established near the eastern frontier, against the constantly threatened attacks of a powerful enemy, who is expressly named. That enemy, Assyria, is the master of Asia, both when the shepherds enter Egypt, and when they depart; and the inference seems almost irresistible, that, as most great movements of nomad tribes are due to pressure from behind, the Shepherd invasion of Egypt was due to the growth of the Assyrian empire. But which Assyrian empire?for the term Assyrian, in Greek writers, includes the old obscure Chaldæan monarchy, and the Assyrian properly so called. An answer to this question has been sought in the name Phenician, ${ }^{\text {s }}$, which is applied in the List of Manetho to the same kings who are

[^51]enumerated in his text, as quoted by Josephns ; and the entrance of the Hyksos into Egypt has boen connected with that great Phosnician migration of which we have to speak in its proper place. The latest view derived from recent monumental discoveries is that the Hamite Canaanites, who had recently entered the land of Canaan, ${ }^{40}$ as a part of the great migration referred to, pressed forward into Egypt at the head of a mixed horde of nomads, of whom the chief tribe appears to have been the Kheta so oftep named on the Theban monuments, the IIttites of the Bible.
§ 18. Entering the country from the side of Arabia and Palestine, they first subdued Lower Egypt, and fixed their capital at Memphis, The statement, that this was effected without a battle, is best explained by a confederacy with the native powers of Lower Egypt, who had risen against the Theban Dynasty. ${ }^{41}$ The latter was unable to resist the coalition of its enemies, and the Shepherd King who consolidated the power of his dynasty received tribute from Upper as well as Lower Egypt. But, when we come to details, the difficulty of tracing the relations between the several parties may be judged from Manctho's lists of the 15th, 16th, and 17th dynastice, which fall within the period of the Hyksos. A comparison of the ordinary text (of Africanus and Syncellus) with that of Eusebius gives the following curious results :-


Moreover, the names and remarks given in the 15th dynasty of the ordinary text are the same (as far as they go) as those of the 17th in Eusebius, whom Syncellus censures for the transposition.

[^52]Of the other dynasties no names are given; and the exact correspondence of "43 Shepherd Kings," and " 43 Theban Diospolites," in the same dynasty, is manifestly artificial. Thus much, however, we may safely infer : that the continuity of the Theban Monarchy was never entirely broken during the Shepherd rule, though it was probably reduced to a tributary condition in Upper Egypt, while Lower and Middle Egypt were ruled by the Shepherd Kings in person.
§ 19. It is only of late that light has been thrown on this period by the monuments; and very important light it is. The first Shepherd king, Saites, or, in Egyptian, Set-aa-pehti Noubti, is mentioned on a tablet of Rameses II., found at Tanis, as having, 400 years before, rebuilt the city, and reared in it the temple of Set or Soutekh, the national god of the Khetas (Hittites). This is invaluable testimony in respect to time, place, nationality, and religion. The fabulous length of the Shepherd domination is reduced within reasouable limits; ${ }^{43}$ for, by a very probable computation, 400 years before Rameses II. would leave only about 200 years for the whole Shepherd rule, and would bring the date. of King Saites to about the 18th century. ${ }^{4}$

Next, as to the palace. The Avaris of the Shepherds has been usually identified with Pelusium, on the eastern side of the Pelusiac mouth of the Nile, which was the frontier fortress of later times; but the discoveries of M. Mariette have proved it to be Tanis (San). The inscription says that the Shepherd King rebuilt Tanis; Manetho says that the Shepherds found Avaris an old town and built up its walls; we have the testimony of Scripture to the high autiquity of Zoan (the Greek Tanis and the Coptic San): at this city the Pharaoh of the Exodus held his court, when "God wrought his wonders in the field of Zoan;"45 and this city, not Memphis, is the seat of the dynasty that succeeded the great Theban Empire (the 21st), as well as of the 23rd. All these indications point to the elevation of Tanis by the Shepherd Kings to a rank above Memphis, which seems never to have recovered from their devastation. Now. it is also at Tanis that we find the chief monuments of the Shepherd Kings; and those monuments are as thoroughly Egyptian as are

## Shepherd Kings.

(Manetho in Josephus).

1. Salatis ... 19
2. Bnon (? Anon) .. 44
3. Apachnas .. .. 36
4. Apophis .. .. 61
5. Jannas .. .. 51
6. Asses ... .. .. 49

1'5th Dym. of Shepherds.
(Manetha's List).

1. Saïtes ... .. 19
2. Bnon ( 8 Anon). . 44
3. Pachnan .. .. 61
4. Staan .. .. 50
5. Archles .. .. 49
6. Aphobis $\because . .61$

17th Dyn. of Phoen. Sheph.
(Ensebins).

1. Saîtes .. .. .. . 19
2. Bnon .. .. .. 40
3. Aphophis :. .. 14;
4. Archjes .. .. 30

48 This particular example throws a strong light on the general chronological exaggeration of the Egyptian traditions. 44 See chapter vi.
${ }^{45}$ Psalm lxxviii. 4s. On tive identity of Tanis and Avaris, and the meaning of the latter name, see further in chap. vii. $\oint 2$.
those of the Ptolemies of later times. Nay, their art is finer, their workmanship more delicate and more perfect, than in the contemporary monument of Thebes; and they are in perfect accordance with the Egyptian religion. It seems from the discoveries made at Tanis that the Shepherd Kings eet up again the statues of former ages, belonging to the temples overthrown in the first violence of their invasion, only carving their own names upon them as dedicators. Their monuments are entirely of sculpture, none of architecture: all yet found are in the museum at Cairo. There is a splendid group in granite, representing two persons in Egyptian costume, but with the thick beard and large locks of hair foreign to Egyptian use. There are four sphinxes in diorite, hearing the name of Apepi ${ }^{46}$ (the Aphophis of Manetho); but with the lion's mane in place of the regular Egyptian head-dress. In a word, these sculptures represent the type of a Semitic race.
§ 20. The monuments prove how completely the Shepherd Kings became true Pharaohs. As is usual when a wilder race subdues a more civilised people, without exterminating them wholly or in part, they and their followers were assimilated to the conquered nation. Though they intruded their god, Set or Soutekh (the Egyptian namo of Baal), into the Egyptian Pantheon, and built his temple beside the temples of the old gods, they gave the latter the supreme place. They and their followers adopted the manners of their new country, mixed with some Semitic usages.

Now this ts precisely the state in which the narrative of Genesis depicts Egypt under the Pharaoh whom Joseph served. The King and his people are "Egyptians," both in name and customs, and yet they have some characters of a foreign race. Such are their cordial reception of strangers, whom the Egyptians hated and despised; and the pure despotism of Joseph's Pharaoh, whose will is absolute, and who reduces the Egyptians to serfdom, whereas the native monarchs were restrained by law, and set a high value on the attachment of their subjects. A semitic ruler would be much more likely than a native king to make a Hebrew slave prime minister, in contempt of the objections which the people dared not utter; and the policy of Joseph would be more easily enforced on a conquered country.

And here the contemporary monuments reveal a most striking coincidence. The only names of the contemporary Theban kings, as yet made out, are those of the last two before the founder of the

- Eighteenth Dypasty.' They are Tiaaken and Kamès; and the last bears thertitle of "nourisher of the world," written in the very

[^53] did the Theban king adopt the title in rivalry whe the Memphian government, or does he assume the merit of the pollawhevhenidd to administer? That policy would, at all events, be sur fo aggravate the hatred of the subject Thebans; and the oppression onsider may have been, in part at least, a retaliation, when the power was recovered by the "King who knew not Joseph." All these things, as well as the indications of time and place already pointed out, tend to confirm the express statement made in a fragment of Manetho, that Joseph was brought into Egypt under the Shepherd King Apyopiss, -the Apepi, whose monuments are by far the most numerous of this dynasty. ${ }^{48}$ The invitation of Semitic settlers was a natural act of policy on the part of the Shepherds, to strengthen themselves against a native rising. On this point there is now a general consent among Egyptologers ; and thus we find what has generally been esteemed the "Egyptian darkness" of the country's early history, emerging into the light and life of Scripture; and in its turn helping to weave the fragmentary allusions of Scripture into the web of general history.
§ 21. The expulsion of the Hyksos is related, not only in the passage quoted fromo Manetho by Josephus, but in contemporary Egyptian records. An invaluable papyrus in the British Museum begins with a description of the vassalage of the Theban Dynasty : "Now it came to pass that the land of Egypt fell into the hands of enemies; and there was no longer any king (i.e. of the whole country) at the time when this happened. And it was so, that the king Tiaaken was only a hak (vassal prince) of Upper Egypt. The enemies were in Heliopolis, and their chief Apepi (Aphophis, M.) in Avaris." ${ }^{49}$ Here, the document tells us, Apepi received the news of a virtual renunciation of subjection by the Theban Tiaaken, who refused to worship Soutekh, the god to whom Apepi had built "an everlasting temple." To the formal demand now made by

[^54]Apepi, Tiaaken sent a contemptuous rejoinder, and both kings prepared for war. This account shows that the Hyksos, residing in Lower Egypt, and occupied with the military care of the eastera frontier, had allowed the native dynasty to consolidate itself in the Thebaid, till it had strength to begin a religious revolt.

Manetho, as quoted by Josephus, says that "the kings of the rest of Egypt" joined those of the Thebaid in this revolt; and he agrees with the papyrus in representing the ensuing war as long and bloody. It occupied the remainder of Tiaaken's time, the short reign of his successor, Kames, and the greater part of that of Aahmes, who brought it to an end. ${ }^{00}$ The soil of Egypt seems to have been disputed foot by foot between the insurgent patriots, animated with religious enthusiasm, and the disciplined hordes of the Semitic invaders, till the latter were shut up in their great fortress of Avaris. We have already quoted the account of Manetho, in Josephus, how they withdrew from Egypt, under a convention, to the number of 240,000 , and crossing the desert into Syria, built Jerusalem. ${ }^{51}$

It is one very striking result of recent Egyptian discoverics, that we are able to quote, if not exactly the despatch of the admiral who commanded Pharaoh's fleet, its equivalent in his epitaph. This officet, who bore the same name as the king, Aahmes, says :-" When I was born in the fortress of Ilithyia [in Upper Egypt], my father was lieutenant of the late king Tiaaken. . . . I acted as lieutenant in turn with him on board the vessel named the Calf, in the time of the late King Aahmes ${ }^{10}$. . . . I went to the floct of the north to fight. It was my duty to accompany the sovereign when he mounted his chariot. They were besieging the fortress of Tanis, ${ }^{63}$ and I fought on my legs before His Majesty. This is what followed on board the vessel named the Enthronisas tion of Memphis. 54 A naval battle was fought on the Water of Tanis (Lake Menzaleh). . . . The praise of the king was bestowed on me, and I received a collar of gold for my bravery. . . . The (decisive) combat took place at the southern part of the fortress. . . . They took the fortress of Tanis; and I carried off a man and two

[^55]women, three heads in all, whom His Majesty granted me as slaves." ${ }^{55}$ l'his very moderate booty, while it shews the veracity of the narrator, seems to indicate the very partial success of the assault, and so far confirms the account of Manetho, that the fortress was evacuated under a capitulation.

It must not, however, be supposed that the whole mass of the invaders were driven, with their warriors, from the soil of Egypt. Many were permitted to remain as cultivators of the lands on which they had long been settled, in a condition very similar to that of the Hebrews. In fact, the more the condition of ancient Egypt unfolds itself to our researches, the more clearly do we see that the Delta was largely peopled (at all events in the east) by Semitic races, forming a nationality distinct from that of the true Egyptians, and becoming at last, under the tyrants of the XIXth dynasty, the Poland of the New Monarchy. The descendants of some of these Shemites, perhaps of the Hyksos themselves, are supposed to have been discovered by M. Mariette in the strong-limbed people, with long faces and a grave expression, who live at the present day on the borders of the Lake Menzaleb. ${ }^{58}$
§ 22. This episode of Egyptian history has some very interesting relations to other countries. "The account given by Apollodorus, ${ }^{\text {or }}$ that Agyptus, the son of Belus, brother of Agenor, king of Phoenicia, came from Arabia and conquered Egypt, unhistorical as it is, may have had its origin in the invasion of the Hyksos, who are called both Phoenicians and Arabians, and who settled in Palestine on their expulsion from Egypt. The connection of the myth of Isis, Osiris, and Typhon, with Phoenicia, of the Tyrian with the Egyptian Hercules, ${ }^{58}$ and generally of Phenician with Egyptian civilization, will be best explained by the supposition that the nomad tribes of Palestine were masters of Egypt for several generations, and subsequently returned to the same country, carrying with them the knowledge of letters and the arts, whioh they were the instruments ' of diffusing over Asia Minor and Greece. Phoenicia has evidently been the connecting link between these countries and Egypt, which directly can have exercised only a very slight and transient influ-. ence upon them." ${ }^{\text {59 }}$

[^56]ANC. HIST.
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82. The conqueror of the Hyksns, Aanmes, Ahmes, or Ames (i. e., the Moon: Ames or Amosis in Manetho), ${ }^{1}$ was the founder of the New Theban Monarchy, which raised Egypt to the climax of her power under the XVIIIth dynasty; maintained her empire with splendour, but not without many struggles, under the XIXth; and lost it, after some flashes of dying glory (as kings use the word) under the XXth; when the supremacy passed finally from Thebes. The monarchy lasted; according to Manetho, nearly 600 years; but more probable calculations limit its duration to about 430 years, from b.c. 1530 to about b. ©. 1100.
§ 2. The seat of this power was the great city of Upper Egypt, which the Greeks called I'rixbes ( $\Theta \hat{\eta} \beta a i$ ) not by any perversion, but by one of those curious coincidences which are often found in names that have no connection. It represents the form AP-T or T-AP, which is the usual name of the city in the hieroglyphic inscriptions. ${ }^{9}$ But the resemblance of name led to a confusion of the legends relating to the Egyptian and Boeotian Thebes. The fame of the former city, and of the war-chariots of its kings, was well-known to Homer, who speaks of "Egyptian Thebes, where are vast treasures laid up in the houses; where are a hundred gates, and from each two hundred mep go forth with horses and chariots;" that is, 10,000 chariots, with two men for each. The numbers are of course poetical, but the epithet of Hecatompylos endured. ${ }^{3}$

All traces of the city wall had already disappeared in the time of Diodorus, and the absence of any vestige of a wall goes far to show that there never was one." As Pliny describes Thebes as "a hanging city," built upon arches, so that an army could be led forth from bencath it, without the knowledge of the inhabitants, it has been suggested that there may have been near the river-line

[^57]arched buildings used as barracks, from whose gateways 10,000 war-chariots may have issued forth.
§ 3. The site of Thebes seems marked by nature for the capital city of Upper Egypt. In about $25^{\circ} 40^{\circ}$ of north latitude, the two chains of hills, which hem in the valley of the Nile, sweep away on both sides, and return again on the north, leaving a circular plain of about 10 miles in diameter, divided almost equally by the river, and protected by a narrow entrance against a force ascending the Nile. In the days of its magnificence, the city, with its necropolis, seems to have covered the whole plain; but our earliest accounts date from a thousand years after the days of its glory, and five bundred years from the time when it was devastated by Cambyses. ${ }^{\text {© }}$ Diodorus givee it a circuit of 140 stadia ( 14 geographical miles); and states that.some of its private houses were four or five storcys high. But these houses, which were chiefly on the castern side of the river, occupied a small space as compared with the temples, palaces, and tombs, which atill remain to attest its grandeur, and to reveal its history. Strabo, just at the Christian era, writes:"Vestiges of its magnitude still-exist, which extend 80 stadis (8 geographical miles) in length.' . . . The spot is at present occupied by villages."

And so it is at this day: the site is marked by the villagee of Karnak and Luacor (or EL-Ugsor) on the east, or Arabian side, and Kurneh and Medinet-Abou on the west, or Libyan side, of the Nile. The river averages about half a mile in width; but at the inundation it overflows the plain, especially on the western side, over a breadth of two miles or more: in ancient times it may have been embanked, perhape by the arched constructions mentioned by Pliny. The alluvial deposit has, in about 32 centuries, raised the surface to the height of seven feet round the bases of the twin culossi of Amunoph III., which stand several hundred yards from the bed of the low Nile. The four villages named mark the angles of a quadrangle, measuring two miles from north to south, and four from east to west, which forms the site of the present monumental city, and probably defines that of the ancient royal and sacred quarters. At these four angles are the ruins of four great templen, ${ }^{9}$ each of which seems to bave been connected with those facing it on two sides by grand avenues (dromoi) lined with sphinxes and other colossal figures. Upon the western bank there was an almost con-

- Herodotive gives no particular account of It; and some eritice oven question his otaktenent that he visited the city. (Herod. IL 8, 9.)
- This gives a circuil mach greater than that meigned by Dlodorus.

TThe student should beas in mind, when the temples, tcc., of Earnak, Luaor, Irweh, and Medinet-Sbow are referred to, that they tre all monumente of Trienss itself.
tinuous line of temples and public edifices for a distance of two miles, from Kurneh to Medinet-Abou; and Wilkinson conjectures that from a point near the latter, perhaps in the line of the colossi, the "Royal Street" ran down to the river, which was crossed by a ferry terminating at Luxor on the eastern side.
§ 4. The principal edifices, which we have frequent occasion to mention for their historical testimony, are the following: (1) At the north-west corner, the Menephtheion, or palace-temple of Seti I, of the 19th dynasty, at the deserted village of Old Kwrneh: (2) Nearly a mile to the south is the so-called Memnonium (now also called the Rameseion), the palace-temple of Rameses II., Miamun, ${ }^{8}$ the son of Seti $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}}$, with its marvellous shattered colossus of the king; and, about a third of a mile further south, the twin colossi above named, one of which is the famed "vocal Memnon." Further south, at Medinet-Abou, are-(3) A temple built by Thothmes I., and (4) The magnificent southern Rameseion, or palace-temple of Rameses III., of the 20th dynasty, with its splendid battle-scenes from that king's history. (5) On the same (west) side of the river is the vast Necropolis, excavated to a depth of several hundred feet in the Libyan hills, over a length of five miles. The extent of the tombs may be imagined from the example of one of them, which has an area of 22,217 square feet. A retired valley in the mountains, the Biban-el-Melook, "Gates of the Kings," contains the sepulchres of the kings. These tombs, like those of Memphis, preserve treasures of the knowledge of ancient Egypt, which explorers have only begun to gather up. The whole western quarter bore the distinctive name of Pathyris, ${ }^{9}$ or the abode of Atur (Athor), the goddess who was believed to receive the sun in her arms as he sank behind the Libyan hills. It was divided into separate quarters, as the Memnoneia, and the Thynabunum, where the priests of Osiris were interred.

On the eastern side, the monuments of Karnak and Iuxor are far too numerous to mention. The site of Karnak (probably the original city of Amun), at the north-east angle of the quadrangle, forms a city of temples. Its grandest edifice is a temple, covering a space of nearly 1800 feet square, with its courts and propylæa, the work of nearly every age of Egypt (except that of the OId Memphian Monarchy), from the Twelfth Dynasty to the Ptolemics. Here are the oldest monuments of Thebes, belonging to Sesortasen I. ${ }^{10}$

[^58]§ 5. The power and prosperity of Thebes arose from three sourcus -trade, manufactures, and religion. Its position on the Nile, near the great avenues through the Arabian hills to the Red Sea, and to the interior of Libya through the Western Desert-rendering it a common entrepôt for the Indian trade, on the one side, and the caravan trade with the gold, ivory, and aromatic diatricts, on the other-and its comparative vicinity to the mines which intersect the limestone borders of the Red Sea, combined to make Theben the greatest emporium in Eastern Africa, until the foundation of Alexandria turned the stream of commerce into another channel.

It was also celebrated for its linen manufacture-an important fabric in a country where a numerous priesthood was interdicted from the use of woollen garments." The glass, pottery, and intaglion of Thebes were in high repute; and, generally, the number and magnitude of its edifices, sacred and secular, must have attracted to the city a multitude of artisans, who were employed in constructing, decorating, or repairing them. The priests alone and their attendants doubtless constituted an enormous population; for, as regarded Egypt, and for centuries Ethiopia also, Tbebes atood in the relation occupied by Rome to medieval Christendom-it was the sacerdotal capital of all who worshipped Ammon, from Pelusium to Axume, and from the Oases of Libys to the Red Sea.
86. We have meen that Thebee disputed the palm of antiquity with Memphis; but ite political importance dates from the Twelfth Dynasty, and its supremacy from the Eighteenth to the Twentieth. But its continued importance under the succeeding dynasties, whether sprung from the Delta or from Ethiopia, is attested by their pictures and inscriptions on its walls. The first great blow that fell upon it from a foreign conqueror was struck by the Asayrian Asshur-banipal, and repeated more severely by Nebuchadnezzar; ${ }^{19}$ and "the Persian invader completed the deatruction that the Babylonian had begun. The hammer of Cambyas levelled the proud statue of Rameses, and his torch consumed the temples and palaces of the city of the hundred gates. No-Ammon, the shrine of the Egyptian Jupiter, ${ }^{4}$ that was situate among the rivers, and whose rampart was the sea,' ank from its metropolitan splendour to the position of a mere provincial town; and, not withstanding the spasmodic efforts of the Ptolemies to revive its ancient glory, ${ }^{38}$ became at last only the desolate snd ruined ecpulchre of the empire it had once embodied. It lies to-day a nest of Arab hovels amid crumbling columns and drifting mands." 14 'But on those crumbling stones, and preserved while hidden by those drifting sands,
ii Plin., in. 1, a $4 .^{2}$
as gee below: chap. Nif. and vili.
3 Ite trade with Arabia and Ethiopis was at thin time Qiverted to Coptos and Apolinopolis.
${ }_{4}$ Dr. J. P. Thompeon, ta the 'Diet of the 'dible;' vol. Iil. p. 1475.
are the pictorial scenes and the inscriptions, which enable us to reproduce the history of the Theban Monarchy as if from authentio books.
§ 7. With the Eighteenth Dynasty begins a continuous monumental history of Egypt, which reveals the confusion that has been introduced into the lists of Manetho. For example, his copyists have tacked on the first three kings of the XIXth dynasty to the XVIIIth, and have repeated them in the XIXth dynasty. The succession of kings determined from the monuments is as follows:-
(1) Aahmes or Anes: (2) Amenhoter In: (3) Thothmes I.:
(4) Thothmes II. and the queen-regent Hatasou: (5). Thothmes III. : (6) Амеnhotep II.: (7) Thothmes IV.: (8) Amenhotep III.: (9) Amenhoter IV.: (10) Har-em-hsbi, the Hords of Manetho.

It is surprising how rapidly Egypt seems to have recovered from the effects of the Shepherd invasion; perhaps we should rather say that their conformity to Egyptian manners fostered the revival. Agriculture, commerce, art, are all in full vigour at the beginning of the new era The perfection of the jeweller's art is shown in the ornaments (now in the Cairo Museum) discovered by M. Mariette on the mummy of Queen Aah-hotep, the widow of Kames and mother of Aahmes. The care of the new king in restoring the temples destroyed by the Hyksos, especially at Memphis and Thebes, is proved by an inscription, of his 22nd year, in the quarries of Jebel Mokattern, oppasite to Cairo; which slso shows that Lower Egypt was then under his sway. Aahmes quelled a revolt in Nubia, and married an Ethiopian princess, Nofre-t-ari, whom the nonuments represent with regular Caucasian features, but a black skin. This marriage appears to have been the ground of the claims raised by his successors to the throne of Ethiopia.
§ 8. On the other side, Aahmes, going to attack the Hyksos in their new abodes, began those wars in Western Asia, which his descendants carried on even beyond the Euphrates. The chief populations of that region, with whom the Egyptians thus came into contact, were the following: (1) The Arab tribes (called Shasou on the monuments), in the deserts on the north-eastern frontier, including the Midianites and Edomites (or Idumeans), besides the Amalekites, who were the chief of these tribes. (2) Palestine was cccupied, as at the time of the conquest under Joshus, by the numerous tribes of the Canaanites, under their petty kings who often ruled over only a single city -a condition which made conquest easy, but favoured insurrection. The great maritime plain along the Mediterranean, afterwards the seat of the Philistine confederacy, was early taken into the military occupation of Egypt, as the highway into Asion (3) North of Canasn, in Cole-Syria and the valley of the Orontes, was the great nattion of the Kheta or Hittites, the wars with whom form so conspicuous a pagt of the history of the XIXth dynasty.
(4) Eastward through the whole of Aram, as far as and beyond the Euphrates, was the great confederacy of the Rot- $\hat{A}-n 0$, or Rot-en-nou, or Huten, whose name is constantly re-appearing on the monuments. Marked by no well-defined territory or unity of race, it embraced all Mesopotamia, ${ }^{15}$ and possessed the cities of Nineveh aud Babylon, where the Old Chaldeean Monarchy had probably lost its atrength, and the Assyrian empire had not yet risen. The Semitic Assyrio-Chaldæans, then under petty kinga, seem to have formed the kernel of the confederacy, which, perhaps, derived its name from Resen, ons of the oldest and greatest cities of Assyria; ${ }^{16}$ but it included also all the Aramæan tribes on both sides of the Euphrates. (5) The furthest people reached by the Egyptian arms were the Japhetic races in the mountains of Armenia; for the conquest of Sesostris beyond the Caucasus seem to be wholly fabulous.
§ 9. The war in Asia was pursued by Amen-hoter I. (i.e. Serenity of Ammon), the son and successor of Aahmes, who is otherwise called Amunoph, or, in Greek, Amenophis. ${ }^{17}$ He chastised the Bedouin Shasou, and made progress in the reduction of Palestine. In dealing with the petty principalities of Asia, the policy of the Egyptian lings was the same that was afterwards followed by the Assyrians and Persians, as well as by the Turks to this day. The little royalties were rendered tributary without being suppressed. So long as his sovereigaty was acknowledged, the tribute paid, and the military contingents furnished, the Pbaraoh viewed the quarrels of the petty princes rather as a security for the maintenance of his power. The wars of this king in Ethiopia are attested by a passage of the above-quoted inscription of the mariner Aahmes:-- I conducted the ship of King Amenhotep, when he made an expedition against Ethiopia to enlarge the boundaries of Egypt. The king took the mountain-chief prisoner in the midst of his warriors."

From a sepulchral box and a mummy-case bearing this king's name, it is evident that the Egyptians had already adopted the five intercalary days to complete the year of 365 days, as well as the division of day and night into 12 hours each. His name is also found on arches of crude brick at Thebes. But there is reason to believe that all theae inventions had been made long before the time at which these proofs occur. ${ }^{18}$ Amenophis was deified after his death.
810. Thothmes I. ${ }^{10}$ has left the proof of his progress in Ethiopia

[^59]by an inscription, belonging to his second year, on the rocks opposito to the Isle of Tombos, recording his victories over the Nahsi, or Negroes. But his great exploits were in Asia. Having finished the conquest of the Canaanites, he gained a great victory over the Rotennou, near Damascus, and pressed on to the Euphrates, which he crossed at Carchemish. ${ }^{20^{\prime}}$ Tablets commemorating his passage were set up on the banks of the river, as well as of the Upper Nile; and the same mariner, who has been twice cited, records his service under Thothmes I. when he captured 21 men, a horse, and a chariot in the land of Naharain. This is the first appearance of the horse (nnder its Semitic name of Sus) in the Egyptian records; and henceforth we find the Theban kings using war-chariots; but the chariots of Joseph's Pharaoh afford a proof that the horse and the warchariot had already been introduced by the Hyksos. Thothmes I. also leads the way in the great architectural works which distinguished this and the following dynasties. He seems to have begun the great palace of Karnak, in the central court of which stood two obelisks bearing his name. One of these records a victory over the nation of the Nine Bows, who are supposed to be the Libyans.
§ 11. The final submission of Ethiopia is all that marks the reign of Thothmes II. We now, first find, on the rocks of Syene, the title of "Royal Son of Cush," which appears to denote a viceroy of Ethiopia, of the royal blood.

After a very short reign, Thothmes II. was succeeded by his brother Thotrmes III., who was still a child. His eldest sister, Hatasod (also called Nemt-Amen), who seems to have had a large share in the government during the preceding reign, now assumed the full style and functions of royalty for seventeen years. She has left a monument of her splendour in the two great obelisks in the central court of the palace of Karnak, one of which is still erect. It is of rose-coloured granite, 90 feet high, and carved with figures and hieroglyphics of such fine and free workmanship that, as Rosellini says, "every figure seems rather to have been impressed with a seal than graven with a chisel." .From the inscription on the base we learn that the obelisk was a monument to her father, Thothmes $I_{\text {, }}$ that seven months were occupied in cutting it out from the rocks at Syene and transporting it to Thebes, and that the pyramidion on its summit was made of gold taken from enemies.

Thoutmonis. It is derived from Thoth (the Egyptian Fermes), the god of letters and of the moon.
${ }^{20}$ This city, so often mentioned on the Egyptian monuments, and also in the Bible, as a chief key to the line of the Euphrates, is usually identifird with the classical Crressium (Karkisia) at the junction of the Chaboras (Khabur) with the Euphrates; but some place it, on the authority of the Assyrian inscriptions, much higher up the river, at or near the site of the later Mabog or Hierapolis. The word means the fort of Chemosh, the well-known deity of the Moabites. At shout s.a. 1000 it was in the p (xsession of the Hittites.

On the walls of the temple of Deir-el-Bahari; at Thebee, Hatasous has recorded, in splendid reliefs, her conquest of Pount, or Arabia Felix. Her name has been cut out of many of her monuments, probably to brand her royal style as an usurpation. Her power seems to have lasted till her death, even after the young king attained his majority, for her name is found on an inscription at Wudy Magharah in the sixteenth year of the reign of Thothmes III., whose first military expedition was made in his twenty second year.
\$12. It is the reign of Thothmes III., not that of Rameses II., that forms the true climax of the power of Egypt, who now boasted that "s she fixed her frontiers where she would." She now attained a real Empire, embracing on the south Abyssinia, Soudan, and Nubia; on the west a part of Libys; on the east the peninsula of Sinai, and Yemen ; and on the north Syria, Mesopotamia, and Irak-Araby to the mountains of Armenis and Kurdistan; and her internal organization was never more complete. On the greatest of his architectural works, the Temple of Karnak, Thothmen has left the record of his chief exploits in a magnificent bas-relief, which is known, from its atatistica of booty and of prisoners, an the "Numerical Wall of Karnak," or the "Annals of Thothmes III.""

In the twenty-second year of the king's reign, probably soon after the death of Hataoou, the Rotennou had refused to pay tribute and had stirred up an insurrection in Canaan. Gaza, one of the few strong places left, was chosen by Thothmes as bis base of operations. Here, in the following apring, he learned that the confederated Syrians and Canaanites, under the King of Kadesh (on the Orontes), had posted themselves in the valley of Megiddo. Reject. ing more cautious counsels, he marched straight against them, and gained a decisive victory on the field of battle where Necho, long afterwarda, slew Josiah. No less than 2132 horses and 014 warchariota were the prize of the victory, though the enemy lost only 83 killed and 340 prisoners. Perhapa the neighbouring mountains saved the fugitives. Megiddo, where the bostile chiefs had taken refuge, was soon reduced by famine, and Thothmes marched in trinmph to the Euphrates.

Returning the next year, be crossed the river at Carchemish, where he built a fortress, and the Rotennou submitted without a battle. Among the kings who paid tribute were those of Resen and of Asshur, or Elassar (Kalah-Shergat). It ahould here be rememhered that, acconding to the custom of those days, chiefs "often agreed to thake this acknowledgment of their defeat without yielding up their country to the victorious enemy as a conguered province;
an The moderation of many of shem numbert givee a mtrong prosamption of - verscity.
and, in some cases, a country may have been called conquered (by the Egyptians, Assyrians, or others), when in fact a victory had only been gained over its army; perhaps even when that army was beyond its own frontier." ${ }^{29}$
§ 13. Four years of peace were followed, in the 29th year of the king's reign, by the conquest of Coele-Syria, whose people are seen bringing their tribute of wine, wheat, caltle, honey, and iron. Aradus, which was taken in this campaign, had to be retaken in the following year, when also Kadesh, on the Orontes, fell for the first time before the arms of Egypt. ${ }^{23}$ The Assyrian princes beyond the Euphrates now renewed their submission, giving their sons and brothers as hostages to be brought up in Egypt, and agreeing that, in case of death, their successors should be appointed by Pharaoh, doubtless from the Egyptianized princes. This campaign in his 30th year is called his sixth expedition.

In his 31st year Thothmes repaired in person to Mesopotamia to receive tribute; and in his 33rd he appears to have completed the conquest of the country, for the inscription says that " he stopped at Nineveh (Ninieu), where he set up his stela in Nabaraïn, having enlarged the frontiers of Egypt." Singar and Babylon also are represented as belơnging to his empire; and, in Syria beyond the Jordan, Heshbon and Rabbath-Ammon appear first as tributaries. Carrying on his conquests to their furthest limits, he received tribute from the Remenen, who are supposed to be the people of Armenia, "where," says a hieroglyphic inscription, "beaven rests upon its four pillars."
§ 14. Meanwhile the maritime power of Thothmes III. gave a promise of supremacy in the Mediterranean, which Egypt was not however destined to acquire. As in later ages, her fleet was manned by the Phoenicians, who seem to have submitted to Thothmes on favourable terms, and (except some cities, as Aradus) remained for ages the faithful allies of Egypt. A monumental stela, discovered at Thebes by M. Mariette, and translated by M. de Rougé, describes, in a Biblical style of poetry, the conquest of Cyprus, Crete, and the southern isles of the Atgean, the neighbouring shores of Asia Minor and of Greece, and perhaps the southern extremity of Italy. It has even been conjectured; from the mention of the Asi among the northern nations who paid tribute to the fleet of Thothmes, that his maritime expeditions reached the shores of the Black Sea, where the Colchians were believed by Herodotus to have been a colony founded by the Egyptians to work the mines. Monuments of the power of Thothmes along the northern shore of Africa bave been found at Zershell, in Algeria, the Cæsarea Julia of the Mauretanian kings.

2e Wilkinson, 'App. to Herod. II.,' in Rawlinson's ' Herod.' ii. p. 357. ${ }^{23}$ The ruins of this city exdt a little above Emesa.
§ 15. Ethispia was still peaceably subject to the Egyptian viceroy, " the royal son of Cush," who is seen in the grotto of Ibrim, in Lower Nubia, bringing to Thothmes the tribute of gold, silver, and grain. At Amada he dedicated a temple to the sun, which was completed by Amenhotep II. and Thothmes IV.; and at Semneh, as already mentioned, he restored that of the deified Sesortasen. Besides other monuments between the first and second cataracts, records of his power are found at Kumneh, opposite to Semneh, which seems still to have been the frontier fortress, and at the isle of Sai, higher up the river. Frequent expeditions were made into the negro country; and a bas-relief at Karnak shews no lass than 115 conquered African tribes, each represented, as is usual, by a single figure with the name of his tribe.
§ 16. The following general view of the nations and tributes repre sented on the monuments of Thothmes III. is given by Sir Gardner Wilkinson :-"The successes obtained by Thothmes over the Pount (a nation of Arabia), the Kufa (supposed to be the people of Cyprus), the Rot-n-no, and the southern Ethiopians, are commemorated on the monuments of Thebes. . . . The elephant and bear, horses, rare woods, bitumen, and the rich gold and silver vases brought by the Rot-in-no; the ebony, ivory, and precious metals, by those of Pount; the gold and silver vases of the Kufa; and the camelcopards, apes, ostrich-feathers, ebony, ivory, and gold (in dust, ingots, and rings), from Ethiopia, show the distance from which they were brought, as well as the richness of the tribute. The tight dresses, the long gloves, the red hair and blue eyes of the Rot-n-no, also proclaim them to be of a colder climate than Syria, though the jars of bitumen appear to place them in the neighbourhood of the Euphrates or the Tigris. The beauty of their silver, gold and porcelain vases, at all events point them out as a people far advanced in luxury and taste." ${ }^{2}$
§17. The monuments of this king, which are found through the whole valley of the Nile, from the Delta to above the Second Cataract, exhibit almost the perfection of Egyptian art. The most important of them, besides those already mentioned, are at Memphis, Heliopolis, Coptos, Ombos, and Thebes. The extent of his buildings at the capital is proved by the enclosures of crude brick that surrounded them. "There are, indeed, more bricks bearing his name than that of any other king; and it is on the tomb where the tribute before mentioned is recorded that the curious process of brick-making is represented, which tallies so exactly with that described in Exodus. In these pictures we see the reprisals of Egypt on their Shemite oppressors of the time of the Hyksos. 'l'housands of Semitic priwoners are represented on the temple-walls in the act of carrying

[^60]water to knead the mortar, forming bricks in wooden frames, spreading them out to dry in the sun, carrying them to the buildings in course of erection, and the like; all this being done under the eye of Egyptian officials, lounging about armed with weighty sticks, while different inscriptions inform us of the nature of the special work done by these 'prisoners whom the king has taken, that they might build temples to his gods.' ${ }^{25}$ The British Museum contains the head and arm of his huge colossal statue in red, granite at Karnak. His ovals also appear far more commonly on the smaller scarabæi than those of any other Pharaoh, and he is remarkable for the great variety in the mode of writing his name, of which we have more than thirty variations." ${ }^{26}$ Manetho assigns him (under the name of Misphragmuthosis) only 26 years; but his 47th year is found on the monuments. The difference may be accounted for in part by the time of his sister's regency.
§ 18. During the short reigns of Amenhoter II. (who is omitted by Manetho) and Thothmes IV., the condition and boundaries of the empire remained much the same. The former repressed an insurrection of Mesopotamia, and sent the dead bodies of seven kings to be hung, six under the walls of Thebes and the seventh at Napata, the capital of Ethiopia, " that the blacks might see that the king's victories went on for ever, in all lands and all peoples of the world, since he at once held possession of the nations of the south, and chastised the nations of the north." ${ }^{\prime 2}$ Thothmes IV. is represented in his 7th year as conquering the negroes and receiving tribute from Assyria. Manetho assigns him 9 years. His name is found on the Great Sphinx. ${ }^{2 a}$

His som, Amenhoter IIT., rivalled the fame of Thothmes III, as a conqueror and a builder; and, adds Manetho, "he is thought to be Memnon and the Speaking Statue." The list assigns him 31 years, but his 36th is found on the monuments. On the columns of his beautiful temple at Soleb, in Nubia, he records the names of the nations conquered by him in Asia and in Africa; the former including the Pount, Carchemish, the fort of Atesh (Kadesh ?), Naharaïn (i.e. Mesopotamia), and many others. His arms were carried above Napata (Jebel Berkel), the capital of Ethiopia, and an inscription on one of the large scaraboei, which he frequently used as records, boasts that his empire extended from Mesopotamia to Kiliee or Karo, in Abyssinia. ${ }^{20}$ He appears to have carried on those great slavehunting raids into the negro-land, which have disgraced the rulers of Egypt down to recent times, for ou an inscription at Semneh wo
${ }^{25}$ Brugseh, "Aus dem Orient,' quoted in the 'Saturday Review, ${ }^{\text {D Dec. }} 9$, 1865.
26 Sir G, Wilkinson, l. c., p. 859.
${ }^{27}$ From an ingeription at the temple of Amada in Nubia. ${ }^{28}$ See p. 47.
39 This place is supposed to be the same as Coloë, about 100 miles E. or E.N.E. of Axum.
read of 740 and 1052 "living head" of negroes, many of them children, as among his captives.

His buildings in Egypt are at Syene, Elephantino, Silsilis, Ilithyia, the Serapeum at Momphis, and especially at Thebes, where he added to the temple of Karnak and erected a chief part of that of Luxor. The dedication of this temple is worth quoting, as an example of the style and titles arrogated to themselves by the Egyptian kinga :"He is Horus, the potent bull, who governs by the sword and destroys all the barbarians; he is the King of Upper and Lower Egypt, the absolute master, the son of the Sun; he amites the chiefs of all countries; he marches on and gathere victory, like Horus, son of Isis, like the Sun in the heaven; he overthrows their fortresses; he obtains for Egypt the tribute of all nations by his valour, he, the lord of the two worlds, the son of the Sun."

6 19. It was in this last character that the Greeks and Romans identified Amenophis IIl. with Memnos, ${ }^{20}$ aon of Aurora, whom Homer represents as coming from Ethiopia to the aid of Troy. His colossal statue on the plain of Thebes was heard, at sunrise, to emit wounds, which were taken to be his morning salutation to his father. This celebrated statue, hence called the Vocal Memnon, is one of two seated colossi, of breccia, 47 feet high, or 53 feet with their bases, which Amenophis set up in front of a temple which be erected in the western quarter of 'Thebes. It was broken in half (some said by Cambyses, others by an earthquake under Tiberius) and repaired with reveral layers of mandstone in the time of Septimius Severus, On its back is the name of Amenhotep IIL, with the title "Phra (the.Sun), tbe Lord of Truth;" and on its lega are numerous attestan tions in Greek and Latin, by visitors in the time of the Roman empire, who heard it emit a cound like a harp-atring, or, an Strabo says, like a slight blow. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

The last atatement tends to confirm the explanation of Sir Gardner Wiltineon, who found in the lap of the coloseus (where, he euggests, a priest or servant may have been concealed) a atone which, on being struck with s bammer, emitted a metallic sound, such that the peasants, whom he had placed to listen below, said, "You are striking

[^61]brass." Another modern traveller says, "Not at sumrise, but in the glaring noon, the statue emitted a sharp clear sound, like the ringing of a disc of brass under a sudden concussion. This was produced by a ragged urchin, who, for a few piastres, clambered up the knees of the 'vocal Memnon,' and there, effectually concealing himself from observation, struck with a hammer a sonorous stone in the lap of the statue." ${ }^{32}$
§ 20. The death of Amenhotep III. was followed by an attempted religious revolution, of which the records are obscure. Both the Lists of Manetho and the monuments give the name of several occupants of the throne, some of whom are designated "Stranger Kings." The chief of these, Amenhotep IV., claims to be the son of Amenhotep III., but his features are essentially un-Egyptian. ${ }^{39}$. It is supposed to have been under the influence of his mother Taia, whose portraits show her to have been a foreigner, that he discarded the old gods of Egypt for the direct worship of the Sun, under the Syrian name of Aten; cbanged his own name to Chou-en-Aten (brilliancy of the solar disc); and set up a new capital, in the ruins of which, at Tel-Amarna, he is seen presiding over the new cult.

Among his obscure successors, the monuments furnish the names of Amontowonkh and Har-em-hebi, sons of Amenophis III. To the latter of these, under the name of Hords, Manetho assigns 36 to 38 years; ${ }^{34}$ but the only date upon the monuments is that of his 2nd year, when an inscriptionand relief at Silsilis represent his triumphant return from a campaign in Ethiopia. The features of Horus are remarkable for their likeness to Amenophis III. There are traces of a violent reaction against the religious innovations of Amenophis IV., whose buildings have been overthrown, and his capital at TelAmarna systematically devastated; and the names of the "Stranger Kings" are effaced from their monuments. Amidst these troubles the Eighteenth Dyrasty came to an end, having lasted about 200 years, from the middle of the 16 th to the middle of the 14th century B.c.

[^62]

Parilion of Rameses III.
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5 1. Ter Nineteenth Dynasty is often regarded, jn the light of tho splendid records of Ramese II., as having reached a climas
above its predecessor. But the true difference has been well put by M. Lenormant:-"Egypt, so threatening under the Eighteenth Dynasty, becomes now almost always threatened." RHamses, or Rameses I., the founder of the dynasty, was either the grandson of Horus by the female line, or, according to those who believe Amenophis III. to have been of foreign race, the pedigree of Rameses is to be traced from Amenophis I. and his queen Ames-nofri-aré. At all events, he represented the legitimate line of the Theban kings. His position as the head of a new dynasty is marked by his tomb at Thebes being the first that was made in the valley of Biban-elMolook. His reign was short, and his monuments are few. His only recorded expedition was against the Kheta (Hittites) of the Orontes, who seem to have taken advantage of the recent troubles in Egypt to acquire the power which now makes them conspicuous.
§ 2. The glories of the XIXth dynasty begin with Setr I., surnamed Merenphtha, or Menephtha (dear to Phtha), whose exploits, however, are often confounded with those of his son Rameses II. For this there seems to have been a reason. M. Mariette has discovered inscriptions in which Rameses says that he was king before his birth, and that his father Seti only goverued for him. The probable explanation is, that Seti, though called the son, was really the son-in-law of Rameses I., whose rights were transmitted direct to Rameses II. as soon as he was born, or rather conceived; and that the lutter was associated with his father in the kingdom. This will account for the ascription by Manetho of 51 or 55 years to Sethos, and 61 or 68 to Rameses II. It even appears that Seti was not of pure Egyptian race, but had a share of Hyksos blood. Foreign features have been traced in his portrait and his son's ; and, what is most remarkable, an inscription, discovered at Tanis by M. Mariette, exhibits Rameses II. as restoring the worship of the god Soutekh in the ancient capital of the Shepherds, and calling the founder of their dynasty, Set-aa-pehti Noubti, his ancestor. In that name too, the resemblance to Seti is worth noting.
§ 3. Seti and his son were the most magnificent builders among the Egyptian kings; and the latter finished many works begun by the former, Among the monuments of Seti are the grand temple of Osiris at Abydos, recently brought to light, the palace of Kurneh at Thebes, and his tomb, which, by its sculptures and coloured decorations, and its alabaster sarcophagus, excels all the other sepulchres of the Theban kings; but all these are surpassed in majesty by the hypostyle hall, or "Hall of Columns," in the palace of Karnak, the triumph of Egyptian architecture. ${ }^{1}$ This grand hall is a forrest of

[^63]ANC. HIET.
sculptured columns: in the central avenue are twelve, measuring each 66 feet in height by 12 in diameter, which formorly supported the most elevated portion of the roof, answering to the clereatory in Gothic architecture; on either side of these are seven rows, each column nearly 42 feet high by 9 in diameter, making a total of 134 pillars in an area measuring 170 feet by 330 . Most of the pillars are yet standing in their original site, though in many places the roof has fallen in. A moonlight view of this hall is the most weird and impressive scene to be witnessed among all the ruins of antiquity -the Coliseum of Rome not excepted.
§4. The walls of this vast hall are covered with the exploits of itg founder, in the most powerfully executed reliefs, accompanied by inscriptions, the whole forming what has been well called "an epic of war, a real Setheid." In one picture, the king attacks the Shasou of the Arabian Desert; in another the Assyrians are partly cut in pieces, and partly bringing tribute. In Armenia, the Remenen are felling trees to open the conqueror a passage through their forests; in Syria, great victories are gained over the Kheta. Another pisture shews Seti's triumphant return to Egypt with hosts of captives. Among the vanquished nations are the Shasou, the Pount, the Rotennous Naharain, Singar, and about forty more, including the Cushites and other Africang. In short, the empire of Egypt in Asia and Africs recovered the extent won for it by Thothmes III. On the side of Ethiopia there seem to have been only slave-bunting expeditions. The Libyans were kept down, and the fleet commanded the Red Sea; but the total absence of maritime exploits in the Mcditerranean has been accounted for by the mastery of the seas acquired by the Pelasgo-Tyrrhenians. More peaceful works were the sinking of an artesian well to aid in working the gold-mines of the south; and, if we may trust Brugsch's interpretation of a picture, Seti began the canal uniting the Nile to the Red Sea, which appears to have been completed by his successor, whose monuments are found along its course. No monument has been discovered later than Seti's 30th year.
55. Rambsea IL, surnamed Meriamun or Miayum (beloved of Amun), ${ }^{\text {a }}$ has long been invested with a fictitious glory by the aplendour of the works executed during bis long reign, and covered with poetical records of his exploits; and, above all, through their exaggeration by the Greeks in the legend of Seactris, -a legend which bears the same relation to his real deeds that the Lays of Charlemagne bear to the history of Charles the Great. Even the real facts which it embodies are combined, as we have already neen, from the exphits of different Kinge and dynasties.

[^64]His education and training to martial exercises, with the youths born on the same day, reads like a chapter of the Cyropoedia; but we have evidence of the care with which Egyptian princes were trained, in the extant lessons prepared for his son, Merenphtha, by a royal scribe, as well as in the case of Muses. His first conquests were in Ethiopia and the Arabian Gulf, where he maintained a fleet of 400 ships of war, the first that the Egyptians had seen! Meanwhile he led his conquering army through Syria, Mesopotamia, Assyria, Media, Persia, Bactria, and India, even beyond the Ganges! Thence, turning northward, he subdued the Scythian tribes as far as the Clanaïs, placed a colony in Colchis, and traversed Asia Minor, where he set up steloe as monuments of his victories, carved with male or female emblems, according as he had been met with courage or cowardice. Crossing the Bosporus, he was at length stopped by famine and by the rugged land and inhospitable climate of Thrace; and so he led back his army to Egypt; after nine years' absencen laden with booty, and dragging after him hosts of captives. ${ }^{4}$

On the very face of this legend we see that it was framed so as to include all the countries known to its inventors. The evidence of his own monuments confines the victories of Rameses almost entirely to the northern part of Syria. Though a great warrior, he was not a conqueror. His campaigns were essentially defensive; and it was only by prodigious efforts that he maintained the limits of the empire. For the rest, he was a cruel headstrong despot. We may venture to call him the Louis XIV. of the Egyptian monarchy; and "after him came the deluge."
§ 6. Rameses II. first appears in the later wars of his father, with whom, as we have seen, he was probably associated in the throne. But his regual years are counted from the death of Seti I., when his age was about 28. His accession was attended by a revolt of southern Ethiopia, which was only subdued by the viceroys after long wars, ${ }^{5}$ in which Rameses took part in person in his second or third jear. But the great scene of his own exploits was in Syria; and we have the record of them, not only on the walls of the Rameseum, but in a remarkable epic poem by the scribe Pentaour, which has been justly called the Rameseïd.

It was in his fifth year that he was called to meet a great uprising of the Kheta, who seem to have seized the opportunity of the

[^65]troubles in Ethiopia to attack Palestine, and to threaten Egypt itself, at the head of a great confederacy of Western Asia, Among the twelve nations leagued together, besides the Kheta, the Aramæans, the Rotennou, the Phcenicians of Aradus, and the Canaanites, some interpreters have found the principal peoples of Asia Minor, and Troy itself! The chicf theatre of the war was the valley of the Orontes, where wan a stronghold of the Kheta, protected by the river and a double ditch, bridged with planks. The sculptures exhibit the whole aystem of attack and defence: here are the acalingladder and the testudo, with its wicker roof covering the terebra or boring-rike; there the pioneers attack the gatee with axes, while the archers clear the wall of its defenders. "Nor bave tho aculptures failed to shew the strength of the enemy in the attack made upon them by Rameses, or the skill with which they drew up their army to oppose him; and the tale of their defeat ia graphically told by the death of their chief, drowned as he endeavoured to pass the river, and by the disperaion of their numerous chariota." "
§7. To these general scenes of the war the epic of Pentaour adds a personal exploit of Rameses, told in a true Homeric spirit, even to the vow which the king makes in the moment of extremest danger. By the fault of his generale and acouts Rameses bad fallen into an ambush, where, disdaining to fly, and deserted by his followera, he rushes with his charioteer alone into the midst of the enemy, and cuts his way through their 2500 chariots of war. The passage is too long to quote, but the following version of a few lines may aerve to give some rough idea of it:-

[^66]The acene ends with an Homeric reproof to his warriors and praise of his horses, who alone have saved him, in reward whereof they are

[^67]to be served each day with grain in his palace, before the god Ra. After the final victory, we have his return to Eipypt, and his welcome by Amun :-" Health to thee, Rameses, our cherished son. We grant thee terms of years innumerable. Sit for ever on the throne of thy father Amun, and let the barbarians be crushed beneath thy sandals."
§ 8. Notwithstanding all this glorification, the war was renewed two years later, and lasted fourteen years. At one time Palestine is nearly lost, and Rameses has- to retake Ascalon to save the military road; at another he advances to the very north of Syria. At length, in his 21st jear, he makes peace with the Hittite king, on terms of remarkable equality, and in language which raises a smile from its likeness to the phraseology of modern treaties-perpetual amitysurrender of doserters-equality of commercial privileges-and so forth. These terms set in a clear light the contrast between Rameses and the conqueror Sesostris! An interesting article is the provision for the restoration of the worship of Soutekh at Tanis; while the Hittite king, Khetasar, engages on his part to pay like honour to the gods of Egypt. This peace was followed by the submission of Mesopotamia; the limits of the empire of Thothmes III. were once more recovered; and the rest of the reign of Rameses II. was tranquil. In a stela set up at Abou-simbel, in his 35th year, he represents the god Phtha-Sokari as granting to him that the whole world should obey him like the Kheta.
§9. Of his internal administration, the more the monuments reveal, the more do we see that the epithet "Great" is, as usual in history, but the tribute rendered by the weak judgment of men to arrogant despotism and barbaric pomp. He shewed it in his enormous harem : 170 children were born to him during the 67 years of his reign ; and one of his wives was his own daughter, Bent Anat. A papyrus at Turin, containing the notes of a criminal process, shews the cruelty with which he punished a conspiracy of the harem. The sentences pronounced being too mild to please him, he commuted them all into death, and beheaded the judges themselves.
§ 10. The splendour of his court, and the magnificence of the buildings with which he covered all Egypt, were purchased by that cruel oppression, not only of the Hebrews, but of the subject populations of the Delta, of which we have the true picture in the Book of Exodus.

It appears now-as we shall presently see-placed beyond as doubt that the great individual oppressor of the Israelites was Rarneses II.; and it is generally agreed by the best modern authorities that the persecuting dyninty-" the new king that arose over Egypt" and
"that knew not Joseph "—was the XIXth rather than the XVIIIth." Secure in their conquests abroad, the Thothmeses and Amunophs woem to have cherished the Shemites of the Delta as useful subjecta; though they doubtless exacted from them the full tribute of their fertile lands; for the extreme harshness of the field labour was a feature of the subsequent oppression. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

During this period, the children of Israel multiplied so as to excite the jealous fears of the Egyptians, lest, seizing the occasion of the great Hittite war, they might join the enemy of kindred race, and, while adding to the dangers of Egypt, deprive her of a useful peasantry. They were therefore organized into gangs under taskmasters, as we see in the vivid picturea of the monuments, ${ }^{10}$ to work upon the public edifices, and especially in building two troasure cities, one of which was called by the name of their oppressor. "But the more they afflicted them, the more they multiplied and grew," and 00 grew the jealousy of the Egyptians. ${ }^{11}$

The oppression was now redoubled. "And the Egyptians made the children of Israel to serve with rigour. And they made their lives bitter with hard bondage, in mortar and in brick, and in all manner of service in the field." ${ }^{18}$ These means still failing, the diabolical expedient of infanticide was attempted, which stampe the character of the tyrant, and which prepared its retribution in the training up at his own court of the deliverer, ${ }^{18}$ who at length led out larael, while Egypt was plagued in her turn and her first-born were slain. ${ }^{16}$

Critics who distrust the "unerring instinct," by which any reader of the Bible would identify Rameses II. (or at least some great Rameses) with the "Pbaraoh" for whom " the children of Israel built treasure cities, Pithom and Raamsea," ${ }^{5}$ have wasted much ingenuity in

[^68]explaining away the coincidence of the names; but the question is now set at rest by the distinct testimony of Egyptian literature. Papyri of the time of Rameses II. give a glowing description of the chain of fortified cities which the hieroglyphics tell us that Per-äa for Pherā-0 ${ }^{16}$ erected from Pelusium to Heliopolis, and of which the principal two bore the names of Rhamses and Pachtum; both situated in the present Wady-Tumeilat, near the sweet-water canal that joined the Nile with the Red Sea, along the course of which we still, find monuments bearing the name of Rameses II. One of these documents describes the reception of the king at the city of Rameses, in the tenth year of his reign. ${ }^{17}$ But this is not all. The very name of the Hebrews is officially recorded by their persecutors as the builders of the city. In a papyrus preserved in the Museum of Leyden, the scribe Kautsir reports to his superior, the scribe Baken-phtha, that in compliance with his instructions he has "distributed the rations among the soldiers, and likewise among the Hebrews (Aberiou or Apuru), who carry the stones to the great city of King Rameses Miamun, the lover of truth, and who are under the orders of the captain of the police-soldiers, Ameneman. I distribute the food among them monthly, according to the excellent instructions which my lord has given me." Similar distinct indications of the people and their state of serfdom are found in another Leyden papyrus, and also in the long rock inscription of Hamamât. ${ }^{18}$
§ 11. Nor was the condition of the native peasantry much better. Among the precious relics of Egyptian literature is a papyrus containing a correspondence between Ameneman, the chief librarian of Rameses II, and his pupil, the poet Pentaour. "Have you ever figured to yourself," says one of these letters, "what is the life of the peasant who tills the land? Even before he has reaped, the insects destroy a portion of his crop; there are multitudes of rats in the fields; then come the flights of locusts, the beasts that ravage his harrest, the sparrows that settle in flocks upon his sheaves. If he is slow to get in what he has reaped, thieves come and take it from him : so his horse dies with fatigue in dragging the cart. The tax-gatherer arrives at the storehouse of the district, haring with him officers armed with sticks, and negroes armed with palm-branches. All cry, ' Give us your corn,' and he has no means of repelling their extortions. Then the wretch is scized, bound, and

[^69]carried off to forced labour at the canals: his wife is bound: his children are stripped of their all. During all this time bis neighbours are each at his own work, unable to help, and fearing for hin own turn." The Egyptian peasant under "the great" Ramesea was no better off than the fellah under the Mameluke or Turk.

The mania of Rameses for building could not find an adequatu supply of labour in Egypt, even in' the myriads of captives that worked under the stick, bedewing every brick and stone with sweat and blood. So the system of slave-hunting was carried on to a vaster extent than ever; and nearly every year we find records of razzias into Soudan, bringing back thousands of negroes. Rameses II. appears also to have been the first king of Egypt who practised the system, afterwards so common with the Assyrian and Babylonian conquerors, of deporting whole tribes from one part of his dominions to another, settling negroes in Asia and Asiatics in Nubia
§ 12. The works of Rameses in architecture and aculpture are found along the course of the Nile, from Tanis in the Delta to Napata, the capital of Ethiopia. There is acarcely a ruin or a colossal fragment that does not bear his mark ; but, with characteristic arrogance, he often erased the name of his predecessori to substitute his own. Among his greatest buildings are the wonderful rock-hewn templea of $A$ bou-simbel in Nubia; at Thebes the Rameseum ${ }^{10}$ (or Memnonium) at Kurneh, on the walls of which are the sculptured records of his reign; and a large portion of the temple-palaces of Karnak and Luxor; a small temple at Abydos; besides several works in the $F y \mathbb{M m}$, and at Memphis, where he beautified the temple of Phtha, and at Tanis, which was a favourite residence of his family.

But the most characteristic of all his works are bis colossal statues, for the most part portraite of himself. Such are the four seated colossi, the largeat of all in Egypt except the Sphinx, carved in the rock as the frontispiece to the great temple of Abou-simbel. Next in size was the colossus, of which the fallen fragments atill mark the site of the temple of Phthe at Memphis. ${ }^{20}$ The most beautiful was the statue, about 60 feet high, which adorned the great court of the Rameseum, and the bust of which was brought to England by Belzoni. Every visitor to the Britiah Museum may admire the features so finely chiselled, though of so huge a size, marked by an expression of dignity, with a quiet smile about the lips characteristic of the self-satisfed despot. As a portrait, it carries ite own

[^70]evidence, and strikingly resembles a small *wooden statue of Rameses in the same room.
§ 13. In these works, the art of Egypt reached its climax, and began to shew the first symptoms of decline. And so was it also with her power. The weakness produced by 60 years of despotism shewed itself in the old age of Rameses II. The command of the Mediterranean had passed into the hands of the Pelasgo-Tyrrhenians, who were allied with a race of Japhetic settlers on the norih coast of Africa, who had displaced the Hamite race of Phut. These were the Lebus or Rebu (Libyans) and Mashuash (Maxyes) of the Egyptian monuments, which also designate the confederates as Tamahou (men of the north) and Tahennou (men of the mists). With them were also joined the people of Crete, Sicily, and Sardinia. Having begun to threaten the coasts of Egypt as early as the time of Seti I., their assaults had been repulsed by Rameses $\mathrm{II}_{\text {, }}$ whose armies were recruited by prisoners taken from them; but in his last years they renewed their attacks, and effected settlements in the west of the Delta. Under his successor we have the most vivid accounts of their ravages, as surpassing anything that Egypt had suffered even in the time of the Shepherd Kings.
§ 14. This state of things, at the accession of Mrerenpitia or Menepetha, ${ }^{21}$ the 13th son of Rameses II. together with his conflict. with Moses, will account for the fact that nearly all his monuments are found at Memphis; a fact which tends to identify him with the Pharaoh of the Exodus. At first, indeed, the progress of the invaders, who took Heliopolis and Memphis, and advanced as far as a town called Paari, in Middle Egypt, drove him for refuge to the Thebaid. Thence he despatched an army under the generals of his father, which defeated the Libyans and their allies at Paari. An inscription records the losses of the several contingents. The mass of the invaders was driven out of Egypt; but lands were assigned to some bodies of them in the Delta.

The result of this campaign would naturally lead Menephtha to take up his residence in Lower Egypt, chiefly at Memphis, but sometimes also at Tanis, which, from its proximity to the land of Goshen, is the probsble scene of his contest with Moses, when "Jehovah did wondrous things in the field of Zoan." ${ }^{29}$ It is, however, a mistake to suppose that. Pharaoh himself perished in the Red

[^71]Sea: the Scripture narrative declares only the destruction of bil army. Menephtha survived the Exodus, the date of which is probably early in his reign, for many years, and was buried in his royal tomb, which is one of the most magnificent at Thebes. His reign, to which Manetho assigns 20 or (in Euseb.) 40 years, is known from the monuments to have lasted at least 30 years. But the state ot Egypt in his later years, and after his death, confirms one striking expression in the Scripture :-"Knowest thou not yet that Egypt is destroyed $8^{\prime \prime}$. The part of the land left vacant by the Israelites appears to have been occupied by a new invarion from the side of Palestine, the details of which, as quoted from Manetho by Josephus, are again obscured (like the story of the Shepherd Kings) by an attempt (this time on the part of his antagonist Philo) to connect it with the Exodus.
815. The story is that King Menophis, or Amenophis (but Menephtha, the mon of Rameses, is evidently meant) resolved to propitiate the gods by purging the land of all lepers and unclean persons, whom he banished to the eastern hills; but he afterwards gave them the city of Avaris, from which the Shepherds had been expelled. They numbered 80,000; and, from the leprous priests among them, they chose as their leader an apostate priest of Heliopolis, whose name of Osarseph was changed to Moses. He gave them new laws, bidding them to disregard the gods and sacrifice the sacred animals, and forbidding all intercourse with the Egyptians. He fortified Avaris, and callell in the aid of the expelled Shepherds, who had settled at Jerusalem, and who advanced to Avaris with an army of 200,000 men. The king of Egypt marched against them with 300,000 men, but returned to Memphis through fear of an ancient prophecy. He then fled to Ethiopia, whence he returned after an absence of 13 years, drove the rebels out of Egypt, and pursued them to the confines of Syria,
The ley to the story seems to lie in the confusion, already mentioned, between Jerusalem (Kodesh, or Kadusha, the Holy), and the holy city of the Hittites, Kadeah on the Oronter. The truth seems to be that, the calamities attending the Exodus having left Lower Egypt in a state of confusion and of partial revolt, the Kheta seized the opportunity for an invaaion, before which Menephtha fled to Thebes, sending his infant son, Seti, for mafety to Ethiopia.

8 16. The monuments do not mention the invasion, any more than the Exodus; nor in it the custom of any nation to make monumental records of its disastrous defeata. But we learn from them that, on the death of Menephtha, and while his young son was still in Ethiopia, a prince of the royal family, named Amenmieses (Ammenemnes, M.) assumed the erown at Chew (Apbroditopolis) in the Fyûm, and toon recovered most of Egypt
from the invaders. His son, wha assumed the name of Mrerinpatian Sipitia, ${ }^{2 /}$ gought to legitimate his power by marriage with the princess Taosiri, daughter of the late king Merenphtha; and hes rights were formally acknowledged, so that on the monuments she takes precedenco of her husband. The prince Seti was at first content with the rank of viceroy of Ethiopia (Royal Son of Cush), but, as soon as he found himself strong enough, he marched down the Nile, took Thebes and Memphis, and regained the throne as Setr II. The kings of Chev were now regarded as usurpers, and their names erased from the monuments; but Amenmnesses and Taosiri have a place in tho lists of Manetho, the latter under the disguise of a king Thuoris, whom the Greek copyists identify with the Polybus of Homer, at the epoch of the fall of Troy.

Amidst these internal troubles, Egypt was manifestly in no state to interfere with Israel's conquest of Cansan, though a land which she reganded as her territory. On the contrary, some of the tribes that once obeyed her rose up, in their turn, to oppress Israel in the time of the judges. But Egypt had not lost her hold on Syria and Mesopotamia, so long as she commanded the route along the maritime plain of Palestine; and this was the very portion of the Promised Land that Joshua was not strong enough to attack. The Nineteenth Dynasty ends with Seti II., having lasted, according to Manetho, 174 years.
§ 17. Of the Twentieth Dynasty the List of Manetho only says that it consisted of twelve Diospolitan (i.e. Theban) kings, who reigned 135 years, or, in the Armenian version of Eusebius, 172. Their names, now recovered from the monuments, show that they claimed descent from the great Rameses of the XIXth Dynasty, and adopted his name as an appellation of rcyalty, like that of Casar. The first of the line, Nekht-Set (whom some call Semr LIL.), is followed by a series of kings, who are all called Rameses, as far as Rameses XII., and perhaps even further. The line was ended by a sacerdotal usurpation.

The one great king of this dynasty was Rameses III, whose exploits threw a dying lustre over the last years in which Egypt had an empire; but his campaigns, like those of the great Roman emperors, were essentially defensive. Their memorial is preserved in some of the most splendid of the Egyptian has-reliefs, in the palace-ternple of Medinet-Abou, called the sonthern Rameseum.

Sir Gardner Wilkinson describes this edifice as "one of the must interesting monuments in Thebes, the battle-scenes most spirited, and the history of his campaigns most important, and if the' style of the sculptures is not quite equal $\omega$ those of Sethi I. and his son,

[^72]their designs are full of spirit; . . . . but the change he made in the mode of sculpturing the figures and hieroglyphics seems to have been the prelude to the decadence of art." ${ }^{24}$

Having been Viceroy of Lower Egypt at Heliopolis under his father, Rameses was still young when he came to the throne. In his fifth year, Egypt was attacked on the north-western side by the Libyans, in league with the Tokari or Zakkaro, apparently a maritime people, but of doubtful locality. Their repulse is the aubject of three great pictures at Medinet-Abou; but the hieroglyphic text is obscure.
§18. A long and more intelligible inscription relates the most important of the king's campaigns, in which he recovered the domiuions of Thothmes III, and Seti I. in Weatern Asia. The maritime peoples of the Mediterranean, who had been repulsed from the western side of the Delta, seem to have chosen a now point of asmault on the coast of Syria, and to have allied themselvea to the Kheta. The leaders of the maritime invasion were the Zakkaro and the Khairetana or Shairetana, who are supposed to be the same as the Cherethin or Cretana, a race allied to the Philistines.

Rameses anticipated their attack by assailing them in detail, and the ensuing war occupies several large pictures. In the first, his departure from Thebes is accompanied by a grandiloquent description :-" The king start for the country of Tsahi (Cocle-Syria), like an image of the god Month, to trample under foot the nation that have violated his frontiers. Hin soldiers are like bulls charging flocke of sheep, his horses like hawke in a flock of amall birds."

In the second scene, Rameses marches thmugh several friendly countries; and in one place he traverses a mountainous and woody country, abounding in lions, probably a apur or advanced range of Lebanon. In Cale-Syria he finds the Kheta and their allies in force; among the latter are the Phcenicians of Aradus, the people of Carchemish and the Kalti; but the Mesopotamians seem to have kept to their loyalty. He takes by escalade several fortified towns, come of them aurrounded by water, and defended by double walls; and finally defeat the enemy in a great battle in the valley of the Orontes. "I have blotted out," he says, "these nations and their country, as if they had never been."

He now turns to meet the maritime invaders, who had already disembarked, and are seen advancing along the coast in the guise ot a migrating nation, their women and children carried in waggons drawn by oxen. They are composed of the Shairetana and the Lebu (or Rebu), the Mashuash or Maxyes of Libya Their utter defeat is followed hy a calculation of the slain, represented by

[^73]several heaps of hands, 12,500 in all, while the prisoners are drawn up in two lines, each of 1000 men. On the scene of his victory, the king erected a fort called "the Tower of Rameses;" and here, joined by his fieet, which "appeared upon the waters like a strong wall," he awaited the arrival of the next body of the foes by sea. These consisted principally of the Zakkari, with whom were joined Libyans, Sicilians, Sardinians, Tyrrhenians, and (if we may trust the interpreters) Greeks from the Peloponnesus, called no longer dchreans (as in the time of Menephtha) but Danai. The sea-fight off the tower of Rameses forms one of the grandest bas-reliefs on the Egyptian monuments. The ships of Rameses, ornamented with a lion's head upon each prow, have shut in the enemy's fleet between themselves and the lofty shore, whence the soldiers, commanded by the king himself, hurl showers of missiles. In a long inscription Rameses vaunts the prowess of his soldiers; and especially his own : as for his enemies, "they will reap no more harvests in this world; the time of their soul is counted in eternity."\$

But the war was followed by an arrangement disastrous for the power of Egypt. The prisoners taken in the first victory, chiefly of Philistine race, were settled in the maritime plain of Palestine, where this new population aided the rise of the confederacy which soon gained power as the Egyptians lost theirs. The bas-reliefs of Medinet-Abou represent other campaigns of Rameses in Asia and Africa, and an inseription records the tribute brought to him by the people of the south and other regions; vessels of gold and silver, bags of gold-dust, objects made of various metals, lapis-lazuli, and all sorts of precious stones. The deposit of all this wealth in his treasury at Thebes reminds us of the curious story of Herodotus about the treasury of Rhampsinitus and the cleverest of all thieves. ${ }^{\%}$ The vast subterranean tomb of Rameses III. is one of the finest in the Biban-el-Molook at Thebes.
§19. Rameses IV. seems to have succeeded to the full power of his father, and to have died without leaving a son. Then follow at least three younger sons of Rameses III., all bearing the same name, not without indications of rivalry and of partitions of the kingdom.

Rameses $V \Pi_{1}$, whose descent is traced by a different line from Amunoph I., appears to have restored the unity of Egypt, and to have maintained her foreign empire. He made some additions to the great temple at Karnak, and we have historical papyri of his reign. His face, conspicuous for the high bridge of the nose, fur-

[^74]nishes one of the most decisive proofs that the effigies of the Egyptian kings are real portraits.

He is followed by a suocession of other Rameses (some say sir or even more), of whom we know little more than of the long evanescent line of kings shown in vision to Macbeth; and with them the empirs of Egypt recedes to a vanishing point. She succumbed to the inherent weakness of all despotisms, and even her foreign conquesta hastened her decay. Asia revenged herself by inroads upon that exclusive nationality which was Egypt's strength. Semitio words had appeared in her language, foreign gods in her inaccessible sanctuaries. And now the eacerdotal power attempted to restore itself on the ruins of the royal authority that had held it in check. Strong in their corporate character and their hereditary functions, the high-priests of Ammon, after assuming all the civil and military offices of the kingdom, ended by usurping the crown. But the process was long and gradual. As late as the time of Rameses XII. we find Mesopotamia atill tributary to Egypt, as is seen by a curious tale recorded on a stela found at Thebes, some incidenta of which have a resemblance to points of Scripture history.

While passing through Mesopotamis to collect his tribute, the king was captivated by the beauty of a chief's daughter, and married her. Some time afterwards, in the fifteenth year of Rameses, the chieftain came to Thebes, to ask the aervices of one of the king's physicians for his younger daughter, who was possessed by an evil spirit. The spirit proved atronger than the phyaician; and eleven years later the father made another journey to Thebes, to seek more effectual aid from the gods of Egypt. The king granted him the use of the ark of the god Chone, which reached Mesopotamis after a journey of eighteen months, and the desired cure was at once wrought But the Mesopotamian prince was unwilling to part with so potent a talisman ; till, aftor three years and three quarters, a dream, in which he saw the god fly back to Egypt in the form of a golden hawk, showed that he could not retain him against his will. So the ark was sent back to Egypt, in the thirty-third year of the reign of Rameses. The whole tenor of the story shows how loosely the authority of Rameses sat upon his Mesopotamian vassal.
§20. In fact, we bave now reached the period when the Assyrian monarchy of Nineveh, established since the beginning of the fourteenth century b.c., was consolidating itself behind the Euphrates, though not yet strong enough to pass that boundary ; while, nearer home, the Philistines had barred the great military rfad to Asia, and for $\&$ time obtained the mastery which Egypt had once hold in Canasn. It was at this epoch, when Egypt was thrown back within her natural limits, that the high-priest of Ammon at Thebes, HkaHoz, "the supreme Horus," assumed the crown of the Pharains.

To establish his power at home, it seems that the new ruler gave up all claim to dominion in Asia, as the price of an alliance with the power now ruling at Nineveh. Hence probably the Assyrian names which we find in his family and the following dynasties. After his death, the old line of Thebes appears to have regained power for a time; and Piankh (or Pionkh), the son of Her-Hor, bears only the title of high-priest. But the royal title revives with his son, Pinetsem I (or Pisham), and is continued through several generations of priest-kings, who also appear as the heads of the military class, by the title of "Commander of the Soldiers" (or "Archers"). The power of the new line was legitimated by a marriage with the princess Isi-em-Chev, a descendant of the competitors of Seti II., and the house and name of the Rameses finally disappears.

It has been doubted whether these priest-kings formed the Twenty-first (Tanite) Dynasty of Manetho, or whether the latter was one of the old rival houses of Lower Egynt, which seized the opportunity of the troubles attending the fall of the Theban line to establish itself at Tanis. In favour of the former hypothesis is the resemblance of the names of Her-Hon, Piankh, and Pinetsem, to Osochor, Psinaches, and Psouennes, who stand in Manetho's list as the last three of the seven kings of the twenty-first dynasty. Perhaps we may reconcile the two views by supposing that the priest-kings obtained a place in the Tanite dynasty by marriage; and this adoption of the claims of a monarchy in Lower Egypt, together with their Assyrian alliance, would confirm their power against the legitimate Theban line.



## CHAPTER VII.

## NEW KIṄGDOMS IN THE DELTA AND THE ETHIOPIAN DYNASTY - DYNASTIES XXI.-XXV,-B.o. 1100 (About) -664.

51. Twenty-firat Dynaty. Trannfer of the capital from Thebey to Tanis. Convergence of Egyptian, Assyrian, and Jewish history. Alliance of o Tanite king with solomon. Commerce between Egypt and Judsea. 8. Orlgin of Tanis or Zoan, the Avaris of the Bhepherds. Connection of Zoan and Hebron. § 3. Site of Tanis. The "Aeld of Zoan." Its value as a fortress. 64. Tanis as a readdence of the Theban Hings. The capital of the XXIst and

- XXIIIrd dynasties. Decline. 6. The ruine and plain of sán. Researches
fi M. Mariette. f 6. The Twoenly-second (Bubastite) Dynasty. Military adventurers of Assyrian origin. If Bubastis, the seored eity of Pasht.
, Temple and fertival of Bubastis. 88. Its ruins at Tel-Basta. 89. 8н sonow I., the 8rgakim of scriptare. Protects Jeroboam. Conquers Rehoboam and makee Judah tributary. Name of Judah on his monumente. Narrow limite of his conģuests. Onorgom L. Question Involved in the defeat of Zerah the Ethiopian by Aes King of Jodah. Kingdom of Napata. Prieste of the Bubastite house. \& 10. Twoenty-shird (Tanite) Dymasty. Rival Kingy of Lower and Mid/le Egypt. Invarion of the Ethiopian Plankh. Tnephachthos, of Sais. His curve on Menes. \&\% 11. Boezprant or Bocorgens, mole ting of the Troenty-fourti (Saite) Dynasfy. Oreek traditions of his charecter. He it con'quered and burst alive by Aabaoo the Ethiopian. \$ 12. The Twonty-A/h (Ethropian) Dynapty. Account of Ethiopia. Meroe. Napata. Ita wealth. Ruins of Jebel-Berkes. 18. Ethiopie under the Efyptian rule. Kingdon of Napata. Affinity of the two staten. Limited eftert of the Ethioplas conquest 14. The zinge of the XXVth dynesty. BaBaco I. aide Hosben,

King of Israel. Capture of Samaria by Sargon. Conquest of Syria clainned by Sabaco. Assyrian account; Sargon's victory at Raphia; defeat and fight of Sabaco. § 15. Sabaco II. Sargon's mention of a "Pharaoh." War of Ashdod. The "King of Ethiopis" makes peace with Sargon. § 16. Sennachetib's Jewish campaign. His victory at Altaku. State of Egypt at this time. Destruction of Sennacherib's army. Egyptian version of the miracle: The priest-king Serios of Herodotus. § 17. Tar-haka or Themakae. His conquests compared with those of Sssosrims. Long and fluctuating conflict with Assyria. New light from the Assyrian annals. § 18. His son Rotmes driven out by Asshur-bani-pal. Disastrous invasion of Egypt. Sack of Thebes. § 19. Prophecies of Isaiah and Nahum. § 20. New invasion and retirement of the Ethiopian Amen-meri-Nout. Retirement both of the Assyrians and the Ethiopians.
§ 1. The transfer of the sceptre, under the Twenty-first Dynasty, from Thebes to Tanis, the new capital of Lower Egypt, forms an epoch of great importance. The separate currents of the Egyptian, Assyrian, and Jewish annals now converge into the stream of universal history; and we at length obtain a basis of chronology.

During the decline of Egypt, and before Assyrian conquests were carried west of the Euphrates, the newly-founded kingdom of Israel had fought out its hard conflict with the Philislines; and David, having subdued his enemies on every side, left to his son, Solomon (the "peaceful"), a real empire, the greatest at this time in Western Asia, occupying the region promised to Abraham

> "from the bordering flood
> Or old Euphrates to the stream that parts Egypt from Syrian ground."

The building of Solomon's temple, on the hill of Jerusalem, recovered by David from the Jebusites, marks a fixed epoch in chronology, -the millennium before the birth of Christ. I Now, in the early part of his reign, Solomon made affinity with Pharaoh, king of Egypt, and married his daughter, ${ }^{2}$ and since we shall presently find, by the double testimony of Scripture and the monuments, Shishak, the first King of the 22nd dynasty, harbouring the enemies of Solomon and invading Judah under Renoboam, it follows, almost to demonstration, that the ally of Solomon was one of the last kings of the 21st dynasty. The presentation by Pharach to his daughter of the site of Gezar, between Jaffa and Jerusalem, which he had taken from the Canaanites and destroyed, and which Solom mon rebuilt and fortified, ${ }^{8}$ seems to indicate, first that the kings of

[^75]Egypt had recovered their hold upon the route to Asia by the maritime plain, and, secondly, that this last remnant of their sovereignty over Palestine and its neighbourhood was now surrendered as the price of Solomon's alliance.

The protection involved in that sovereignty had been exercised during the reign of David, in the case of Hadad, an Edomite prince, who had been carried as an infant to Egypt, after escaping from the massacre of Joab, and had received in marriage the sister of Tahpenes, the queen of Yharaoh." The total silence of Scripture about the history and state of Egypt, from the Exodus to the time of Solomon, proves at least the absence of active hostility ; and Solomon carried on a steady commerce with Egypt in linen yarn, and in horses and chariots: the latter he not only imported for his own use, but sold them to the kings of the Hittites and of Syria. The price of a chariot, as it came from Egypt, was 600 silver shekels, and of each horse 150 shekels. ${ }^{5}$ We may well pause to notice the change from the time when the Theban kings fought against the chariots of the Hittites and their Syrian allies, to that when these nations were aupplied with chariots from Egypt through the medium of a great commercial empire, founded by a people once her alaves. The old maritime power of Egypt, both in the Mediterranean and the Red Sea, which bad long declined or ceased, was now superseded by the commerce carried on by the fleete of Solomon, in conjunction with thooe of Tyre, from the porte of Joppe on the one side, and of Elath and Ezion-Geber on the other.
52. The revival of a monarchy of Lower Egypt at Tanis, rather than at Memphis, may be easily accounted for by the importance which the former city had acquired under the Shepherds and the kinge or the XVIIIth and XIXth Dynasties. Taxis is the Greek form of the Semitic name Zoar (in modern Arabic Sann), which aignifie! a place of removal, doubtless as being the point of departure for caravans on the eastern frontier. This sense is confirmed by the Egyptian name HA-AWAR or PA-AWAR (house of going forth or departure), the Avaris (Oṽapts) of Manetho's story of the Bhepherd Kings. The Scripture has assigned ite date with a precision such as few of the oldest cities of the world can claim:-" Hebron was built eeven years before Zoan in Egypt."" This statement shows a knowledge of the origin of both cities, which was most probably

[^76]Chap. VII, TANIS-ITS SITE AND VALUE AS ASORTRESSOCIE derived from the residence of Abraham at Hebron then KirjathArba, the City of Arba, a name curiously like Awar); andin cities would hardly have been thus compared had there not been some connection in their origin. Now Hebron was under the rule of the Anakim, who were of the old wartike Palestinian race that long dominated over the southern Canaanites. The Shepherds who built Avaris were apparently of the Phoenician stock, which was referred to the same race. Hebron was already built in Abraham's time, and the Shepherd invasion may be dated about the same period. Hence, whether or not, as Manetho states, some older village or city was succeeded by Avaris, its building and fortification by the Shepherd Kings forms the true beginning of the history of the city of Tanis.
§ 3. Its site was admirably chosen for their great fortress. ${ }^{7}$ Like the other principal cities of this tract,-Pelusium, Bubastis, and Heliopolis,-it lay on the east bank of the river, towards Syria. Its ruins are situate in $31^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. latitude and $31^{\circ} 5^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$. longitude, on the eastern bank of the canal which was formerly the Tanitic branch of the Nile. Anciently a rich plain extended due east as far as Pelusium, about 30 miles distant, gradually narrowing towards the east, so that in a direction S.E. from Tanis it was not more than half this breadth. The whole of this plain was known as the fields or plains, the marshes or pasture-lands (Bucolia). Anciently, it was rich marsh-land, watered by four of the seven branches of the Nile, and swept by the cool breezes of the Mediterranean; but, through the subsidence of the coast, it is now almost covered by the great lake Menzaleh.

The city, lying outside of the main line of defence along the Nile, afforded a protection to the cultivated lands to the east, and an obstacle to an invader; while to retreat from it was always possible, so long as the Egyptians held the river. But Tanis was too far inland to be properly the frontier fortress. It was near enough to be the place of departure for caravans-perhaps it was the last town in the Shepherd-period-but not near enough to command the entrance of Egypt. Pelusium lay upon the great road to Palestine -it has been, until lately, placed too far north-and the plain was here narrow from north to south, so that no invader could safely pass the fortress; but it soon became broader, and, by turning in a

[^77]south-westerly direction, an advancing enemy would leave Tanis far to the northward, and a bold general would detach a force to keep its garrison in check, and march upon Heliopolis and Memphis. An enormous standing militia, settled in the Bucolia, as the Egyptian militia afterwards was in the neighbouring tracts of the Delta, and with its head-quarters at Tanis, would overawe Egypt, and secure a relreat in case of disaster, besides maintaining hold of some of the most productive land in the country; and mainly for the two former objects we believe Avaris to have been fortified.
§4. After the expulsion of the Shepherds, Tanis would naturally continue of importance to the kings of the XVIIIth and XIXth dynasties, both for their maritime operations in the Mediterranean and for their expeditions into Asia. "Although Thebea continued to be the place in which the splendour of the monarchy was chiefly displayed, and where the sovereigns held their court during intervals of peace, they must have needed a residence in that part of Lower Egypt which was nearest to the scene of their most important operations. That it should be at the same time not very distant from the sea was also necessary. . . . . And, as the eastern branches of the Nile one after another became silted up, it is probable that even in this age the Yelusiac mouth may have been too shallow to admit ships of war." ${ }^{\text {s }}$

We have seen that Tanis received the special care of Rameses II., and that "the field of Zoan" was the scens of his son'e contest with God'u prophet. It is well worthy of remark that the seasun of the plagnes and Ezodus (the beginning of harvest, et the vernal equinox) was the very time of the year at which the Shepherd Kings were wont to visit their armies at Avaris. The custom may have been kept up; and thus Menephtha would have had his frontier militia ready for the pursuit of the Israelites. The position of Tanis would be alike valuable in the naval and Asiatic wars of Ramescs LII., and for the commerce carried on with Solomon by the XXIst dynasty, which at length made it the capital of Egypt.
That dignity was transferred to Bubastie under the XXIInd dynasty, whose abolition of the worship of Set or Soutekh must have given a great blow to Tanis ; and it may have been a religious war that re-established the latter as the capital of the XXIIIrd dynasty. In this position it appears in the contemporary Hebrew prophocies. "The princes of Zoan, the wise counsellors of l'haraoh," are named by Isaiah before "the princes of Noph" (Memphis). ${ }^{\text {n }}$ At a later

[^78]time Ezekiel predicts the destruction of Zoan by fire as a consequence of the invasion of Egypt by Nebuchadnezzar; ${ }^{11}$ but long before this blow the capital had been transferred to Sais under the XXIVth dynasty. In the time of Strabo I'anis was still a large town, the capital of a nome; ${ }^{12}$ in the age of Titus it was a small place. ${ }^{18}$
§5. The site of this ancient capital is described by Sir Gardner Wilkinson as "remarkable for the height and extent of its mounds, which are upwards of a mile from N. to S., and nearly three-quarters of a mile from E. to W. The area, in which the sacred enclosure of the temple stood, is about 1500 feet by 1250 , surrounded by mounds of fallen houses. The temple was adorned by Rameses II. with numerous obelisks and most of its sculptures. It is very ruinous, but its remains prove its former grandeur. The number of its obelisks, ten or twelve, all now fallen, is unequalled, and the labour of transporting them from Syene shows the lavish magnificence of the Egyptian kings. The oldest name found here is that of Seserteson III. of the XIIth dynasty; the latest that of Tirhakah: The plain of Sân is very extensive, but thinly inhabited: no village exists in the immediate vicinity of the ancient Tanis; and, when looking from the mounds of this once splendid city towards the distant palms of indistinct villages, we perceive the desolation spread around it. 'The field of Zoan' is now a barren waste: a canal passes through it without being able to fertilize the soil; 'fire' has been 'set in Zoan;' and one of the principal capitals or royal abodes of the Pharaohs is now the habitation of fishermen, the resort of wild beasts, and infested with reptiles and malignant fevers." Its desolation and unhealthiness caused it to be neglected by explorers, till the task was undertaken by. M. Mariette, whose researches have already thrown immense light on the bistory of the $\cdot$ Shepherd Kings.
§ 6. The same indefatigable explorer has recovered, from the Apis-stelm and the Serapeum at Memphis, the true order of the nine kings whom Manetho assigns to the Twenty-Second Dynasty, of Bubastis. With one exception (Her-sha-seb), they all bear the distinctly Assyrian names of Sheshonk, Osorchon (the same as Sargon), and Tiklat or Tiglath or Takeloth (Tigulti in pure Assyrian). ${ }^{14}$. They were a military dynasty, sprung (like the Mamelukes) from the king's body-guard; and the history of their accession is now known from the monuments. A certain officer named Sargon, who was posted at Bubastis, being already allied by marriage to the royal sacerdotal line of Her-Hor, appears to have married the daughter of the last king of the XXIst dynasty. Their son,

[^79]Sheshonk, having been adopted by his grandfather, became at first regent, and afterwards king.
§ 7. Bubastis (or Bubastus), the seat of the new dynasty, was tne sacred city of the goddess by whose name simply it is usually denoted in the hieroglyphics, BA-HEST or BAST. ${ }^{15}$ This goddess was the same as Pasht, the goddess of fire. The cat was sacred to her, and she is represented by a lion-headed figure: cats were buried at Bubastis, The Greeks identified her with Artemis, ${ }^{28}$ whence her rock-hewn temple near Beni-hassan was called Speos Artemidos (the Cave of Artemis); and her oracle at Bubastia was very popular with the Greek visitors to Egypt. Though the city was so ancient, that Manetho mentions it as the scene of a most destructive earthquake in the time of Boëthus, or Bochus, the first king of the Second Dynasty, it does not appear in history till the accession of the Twenty-second Dynasty, whose foreign origin and policy accounts for their choice of it as their capital.

Bubastis was situate about half way up the Pelusiac or Bubastite branch of the Nile, on the route of an invader marching from the East against Heliopolis and Memphis, and a little below the mouth of the Red Sea canal. ${ }^{17}$ The city seems to have reached the height of its prosperity shortly before the Persian Invasion; and Herodotus takes pains to describe it. ${ }^{18}$ It was raised, he says, more than any other city above the inundation by the embankments constructed, first by those who dug the canals in the time of Sesostris, and afterwards by the criminals whom the Ethiopian Sabaco condemned to this sort of labour. Of the temple of "Bubastig" as he calls the goddess, he says, "Other templea may be grander, and may have cost more in the building, but there is none so pleasant to the eye as this of Bubastis. . . . Excepting the entranco, the whole forms an island. Two artificial channels from the Nile, one on either side of the temple, encompass the building, leaving only a narrow passage by which it is approached. These channels are each a hundred foet wide, and are thickly shaded with treen. The gateway is sixty feet in height, and is ornamented with figures cut upon the stóne, six cubits high and well worthy of notice. The temple stands in the middle of the city, and is visible on all sides as one walks round it; for, as the city has been raised up by embankment, while the temple has been left untouched in its original condition, you look

[^80]down upon it wheresoever you are, A low wall runs round the enclosure, having figures engraved upon it, and inside there is a grove of beautiful tall trees growing round the shrine which contains the image of the goddess. The enclosure is a furlong in length and the same in breadth. The entrance to it is by a road paved with stone for a distance of about three furlongs, which passes straight through the market-place, with an easterly direction, and is 400 feet in width. Trees of an extraordinary height grow on each side the road, which conducts from the temple of Bubastis to that of Hermes."

In another passage ${ }^{19}$ he describes the festival of Bubastis as the best attended of all the yearly local feasts of Egypt; the proceedings being as follows:-"Men and women come sailing all together, vast numbers in each boat, many of the women with castanets, which they strike, while some of the men pipe during the whole time of the voyage; the remainder of the voyagers, male and female, sing the while, and make a clapping with their hands. When they arrive opposite any of the towns upon the banks of the stream, they approach the shore, and, while some of the women continue to play and sing, others call aloud to the females of the place and load them with abuse, while a certain number dance, and some standing up uncover themselves. After proceeding in this way all along the river course, they reach Bubastis, where they celebrate the feast with abundant sacrifices. More grape-wine ${ }^{20}$ is consumed at this festival than in all the rest of the year besides. The number of those who attend, counting only the men and women, and omitting the children, amounts according to the native reports to 700,000."
§ 8. The great mounds of Tel-Basta (the hill of Pasht) confirm the description of Herodotus:-"The height of the mound, the site of the temple in a low space beneath the houses, from which you look down upon it, are the very peculiarities any one would remark on visiting the remains at Tel-Basta. The street, which Herodotus mentions as leading to the temple of Mercury, is quite apparent, and his length of three stadia falls short of its real length, which is 2250 feet. On the way is the square he speaks of, 900 feet from the temple of Pasht, and apparently 200 feet broad, though now much reduced in size by the fallen materials of the houses that surrounded it. Some fallen blocks mark the position of the temple of Mercury; but the remains of that of Pasht are rather more extensive, and show that it measured about 500 feet in length. We may readily credit the assertion of Herodotus respecting its beauty, since the whole was of the finest red granite, and was surrounded by a sacred enclosure about 600 feet square (agreeing with the stadium of Herodotus), beyond which was a larger circuit, mea-

[^81]suring 940 feet by 1200 , containing the minor one and the canai he mentions, and once planted, like the other, with a grove of trees. In this perhaps was the usual lake belonging to the temple. Among the sculptures are the names of a goddess (who may bo either Pasht or Buto), aud of Kemeses II., of Osorkon 1., and of Amyrtaus (?); and as the two first kings reigned long before the viait of Herodotus, we know that the temple was the one he saw. 'Ihe columns of the vestibule had capitals representing the buds of water-plants, but near the old branch of the river (the modern canal of Moëz) is another column with a palm-tree capital, said to have been taken from this temple, which has the names of Remeses II, and Osorkon I. Amidst the houses on the north-west side are the thick walle of a fort, which protected the temple below; and to the east of the town is a large opeu space, enclosed by a wall now converted into mounds." al The two royal names found upon these remains afford another proof of the care of Rameses II. for the cities of Lower Egypt, and also connect the temple of Bubastis with the Twenty-second Dynasty.
89. We now meet with one of the most important synchronisms between sacred and secular history. Shesioni I., the firat Pharaoh who is mentioned in Scripture by his personal name, is also the first on whose monuments we read the name of the Jewish kingdom. A new military dynasty of Asiatic origin would naturally revive the claim of Egypt to suzerainty over Palestine; and opportunities were offered by the declining power of Solomon and the weakness of his headstrong son. First we find Pharaoh permitting the return of the Edomite prince, Hadad, to reclaim his birth-right. ${ }^{99}$ Next Jeroboam, flying for his life from Solomon, is received by the king of Egypt, whose name Shishax (i.e. Sheshonk) is now expressly mentioned; ${ }^{20}$ and he starts from Egypt at the invitation of the ten tribes." That he returned as a vassal of Egypt, is a fact implied in his being allowed to depart, and confirmed by his setting up the worship of the Egyptian gods at the two ends of his kingdom. ${ }^{2}$ This by no means involved hostilitiem between Egypt and Judah, except, perhaps, in the case of the latter attacking Israel,-an attempt contemplated by the headstrong Rehobuam, but forbidden by a prophet. ${ }^{6}$

It was not till Rehoboam proved his resolution to reject the friendship as well as the suzerainty of Egypt by fortifying and garrixoning the cities of mouthern Judah, and even of the maritime

[^82]plain, ${ }^{27}$ that Shishak marched against him, in the fifth year of his reign, ${ }^{28}$ with 1200 war-chariots, 60,000 cavalry, and an immense pody of infantry, composed of Libyans (Lubim), Sukkiim, and Ethiopians. ${ }^{20}$ After reducing the newly fortified places, Shishak advanced to Jerusalem, where, under the direction of the prophet; Rehoboam and the princes of Judah made unreserved submission ; ${ }^{50}$ and Shishak, entering the city, carried off the treasures of the temple, and the golden shields dedicated by Solomon. It is quite in accordance with the policy of Egypt towards her vassals that Rehoboam, having made this submission, "strengthened himself in Jerusalem, and reigned," while "in Judah things went well;" and ' that Pharaoh abstained from interference during his unceasing war with Jeroboam. ${ }^{\text {al }}$ Such is the history in the Jewish records: now let us turn to the Egyptian.

In a great bas-relief on the outer wall of the hypostyle hall of Karnak, a Pharaoh, with his name appended-Amunmai (or Miamun) Sheshonk ${ }^{52}$-depicted, as usual, of gigantic size, stands before the god Amun-re, who with one hand holds out to him a scimitar, and with the other leads up, by cords passed round their necks, five rows of bound figures, emblematic of conquered cities: for each figure is covered (except the head) by an embattled shield, inscribed with its name. There are thirteen shields in each row, making 65; and on the same wall a goddess holds, in like manner, four cords, with 17 shields attached to each; in all 113 shields. The first of the rows is distinguished by the lotus, the symbol of the south; the second by the papyrus, the symbol of the north. Several of the shields refer to Ethiopia and Libya, countries of which Shishak was master, since their people marched with him against Rehoboam. Among the rest are a large number of cities of Judah, well known from Scripture; confirming the statement, that Shishak "took the fenced cities which pertained to Judah." ${ }^{33}$ The most important figure bears the inscription "Jehodada-Maner," with the usual character for land. The identification is equally clear, whether we read the phrase, with some, "the Land of the King of Judah," or, with others "Judah the royal (city) of the land."

[^83]There is no reason to believe that Sheshonk's expedition extended beyond Judah. The Assyrian kingdom was now fully establishod; and tha smaller but powerful Syrian kingdom had lately been established by Rezon at Damascus. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ In spite of the parade he has made of his conquests "in the long list, of places, amounting to more than thirty times the number of those previously recorded by the great Egyptian conquerors, they have not," as Wilkinson observes, "the same importance, from the mention of large districts, as the Oder lists ; and none of these conquests, on which the older Pharaohs justly prided themselves, are here mentioned. We look in vain for Carchemish, Naharaym, or the Rot-in-no." ${ }^{\text {so }}$ Manetho assigns 21 years to Sesonchis; and a stele of his 21st year records his excavations in the quarries at Silsilis for buildings at Thebea, Bunsen suggests his identification with the Asychis (Sasychis in Diodorus), whom Herodotus celebrates as a wise legislator, as well as con-queror,-the author of the law by which a debtor could pledge his father's body and his family sepulchre, as a eecurity certain to be redeemed.
The obscure reign of Osobchor I. (Sargon in Assyrian), son of Sheshonk I., whose 11th year is found on the monuments, involves one point of much interest. From the Second Book of Chronicles we find that, for the apace of a generation after the conquest ty Shishak, the kingdom of Judah waxed stronger and stronger, and inflicted severe defeats on Israel, under Rehoboam, Abij:h, and especially under Ass, who restored the fortresses of Judah, and maintained an army (according to the received text) of 580,000 men-all without any interference from Egypt. But now "there came out against them Zerah (Zerach) the Cushite (or Ethiopian), with an host of a million, and 300 chariots;" and over him Asa gained a most complete victory in the valley of Zapathah at Mareshah, Dear the later Eleutheropolis." This was in, or immediately before, the 15th year of Ass (b.c. 041, received chronology), ${ }^{3}$ exactly 30 years after the invasion of Shishak, and consequently, by an easy calculation from the years assigned to Shishak and Osorchon, about the end of the reign of the latter.

Considering the absence of any sign of an invasion of Egypt from Ethiopia at this time, and the fact that Zerab's army was composed, like that of Shishak, of "Ethiopians and Lubim,"

[^84]whence he himself also might be called an Ethiopian, especially at the late period when the Chronicles were written,-on these grounds, and a sufficient likeness in the names, Ewald and some Egyptologers identify Zerach with Osorchon I. Others believe that there was at this time a real invasion of Egypt by Azerch-Amen, ruler of the Ethiopian kingdom of Napata, whose overthrow by Asa involved also the loss of Egypt and his retreat into his own country. ${ }^{40}$ The question requires further light. Thus much, however, seems clear, that while the Tanite and Bubastite dynasties established their power over Egypt, the priests of the line of Her-Hor retired to Ethiopia, and founded the purely sacerdotal kingdom of Napata, with an oracle of Ammon in rivalry with that of Thebes. While, however, they claimed to have transferred the legitimate rights of the priesthood to their new capital, we find its functions exercised by members of the royal house of Bubastis, named Sheshonk and Osorchon, and bearing the old title of "captain of the archers" besides that of "priest."
§ 10. The sacerdotal monarchy of Napata would of course watch every opportunity for recovering Egypt; and recent discoveries have shewn that they had a party in Thebes. The later years of the 22nd dynasty, and the time of the Twenty-third (Tanite) Dynasty which succeeded it, appear to have been a time of constant trouble and internal division. "The princes of Zoan (Tanis) have become fools, the princes of Noph (Memphis) are deceived," says Isaiah, in his prophecy of the destruction coming upon Egyptthereby testifying to the existence of rival dynasties; and three Memphite kings of this age have been discovered from the inscriptions of the Serapeum. It must be remembered that Manetho only registers the kings and dyuasties which were ultimately admitted as legitimate in the archives of the priests. But we have now the Ethiopian version of this period, on a stela discovered at Napata by M. Mariette. It appears that Lower and Middle Egypt were divided among no less than thirteen petty states, when the Ethiopian king, Piankik, marched from Napata; and, having been welcomed at Thebes as a deliverer, took Memphis by force, and gained 'several battles against the princes of the Delta. Among these princes, several of whom were military adventurers of the Libyan race, five only are called kings. The most powerful

[^85]were Osorchon (or Sargon) and Pefaa-bast (or Pet-se-Pasht), " both of whom are placed by Manetho in the 23rd Tanite Dynasty; and Tafnekht, of Sais, the Tnephachthus of Diodorus Siculus. The curse said to have been pronounced by this Tinephachthus upon Menes, observes Wilkinson, "is consistent with the fact of his seeing the decline of Egyptian power, and with the common habit of attributing to some irrelevant cause (such as the innovations of an early king) the gradual fall of a nation; and is only worth noticing as illustrating the declining condition of Egypt during the age of Tnephachthus and his son." ${ }^{42}$
§ 11. Under that eon, Borenzanf, the Boccrobis of Manetho and the Greeks, ${ }^{41}$ who etands alone as forming the Twenty-fourth Dynasty, the capital was transferred to Sails (S $\hat{a}$-el-Hagar), which afterwards became the seat of a race of kings, who raised Egypt to revived splendour before the final extinction of the monarchy. The Greeks had many traditions about Bocchoris, as of all the kings of Sais, the city which they frequented more than any other in Egypt. These traditions are consistent only in representing him as an able administrator and judge. Though eminent for the wisdom of his decisions, and especially for his laws regulating commercial contracta, and the royal prengatives and dutics, he is charged with meanness and severity, and even with wanton cruelty and ascrilege-a composite portrait which may reflect the prejudicas excited by his reforms. He reigned for 6 years, according to the Greek colyists of Manetho; but the Armenian version of Eusebius assigns him 44.4 No details of his reign are found on the monuments; and it is doubtful whether, as some say, he expelled the Ethiopians for a time, or whether he reigned as their vassal. If the latter, we may account for the statement that be was burnt alive by Sabaco, as the punishment of an attempt at rebellion. At all eventa, he was overthrown by that conqueror. Sals continued, howevef, the eeat of a native line of princes, one of many which reigned over the cities of the Delta, a country earsy of defence, during the rule of the Ethiopians, on whose retirement they regained power as the twenty-sixth dynasty. There seems reason to belicve, from the annals of the Asayrian kinga, that the Saite princea were distinguished from the rest by being the line especially reoognisea by Assyria.
612. Meanwhile the Ethiopians, who had figured for so many ages

[^86]on the monuments of the great Egyptian dynasties as "the vile race of Cush," came in their turn to rule Egypt as the Twentyfifth Dynasty. It is time to speak more precisely of these Ethiopians and their country. The Greek word Ethiopian (AiAio burnt-faced), like the Semitio Cusis, is a generic term for the dark races. ${ }^{45}$ In this wide sense it included, not only the people of Central Africa, from the Atlantic to the Red and Arabian Seas, but also the black and swarthy. races of Asia. ${ }^{46}$ In a narrower sense, like the Cush of the Eggptian monuments, there was an "Ethiopia above Egypt," which may be described generally as the country watered by the Nile and its tributaries above the first cataract, so far as it was known, and answering pretty nearly to the modern Nubia and Sennoar, with the neighbouring regions of northern Abyssinia and Kordofan. As a geographical term, it may have included so"much as was known of negro-land; and we have seen that there were probably mutual displacements of the negro and the Cushite races; but the two must not be confounded. The Ethiopians or Cushites of Egyptian history-the probable ancestors of the Bisharies and Shangallas-were a straight-haired race, having the Egyptian physiognomy, but with those features that border on the negro type somewhat more pronounced, and darker, but not jet-black. The Nubian eye, more elongated than the Egyptian, is. still seen in the Shangallas.

But still more definite limits may be assigned to "Ethiopia above Egypt" in the political sense, in which it coincides with the kingdoms of Napata and of Meroë, and very nearly with Nubia and Sennaar. The southern boundary, indeed, cannot be precisely fised; but it seems not to have been higher than the junction of the Blue and White Rivers at the village of Khartûm. The Astaboras (Atbarah or Tacazzé) formed the eastern boundary both of the kingdom and of the island of Meroë : below its junction with the Nile, the deserts bordering the river assigned natural limits on both sides. The northern region, for about a degree and a quarter of latitude above the first cataract, hence called the Dodecaschoenus ( 80 miles' space) or AEthiopid $A$ Egypti, was a debatable land, reckoned sometimes to Egypt, though properly in Ethiopia.

A natural division of the whole country is formed by the great desert and the range of hills, which cross the valley of the Nile between the Fourth Cataract and the conduence of the Asta-

[^87]boras; and there is an equally marked division in its political history, between the old Ethiopian kingdom of Napata and the later kingdom of Meroe. Of the latter we know little till the time of the Ptolemies and the Roman empire; though it is mentionod by Herodotus as the capital of Upper Ethiopia. ${ }^{47}$ Napata, ${ }^{64}$ the capital of the older kingdom, is a place whose position has been much disputed, and some have even supposed the name to denote simply the royal city, which might have occupied difforent positions at different times. But it is now generally identified with the extensive ruins at Jebel-Berkel, a little below the Fourth Cataract, the highest point on the Nile at which we find any considerable monuments of the Pharaohs. It was also the furthest point reached by the Roman expedition, which was sent under Petronius in the time of Augustus, egainst Candace, queen of the Echiopians (8.0. 22). ${ }^{\infty 0}$ Candace was the title of a race of queens who reigned at Napata, which was probably at this time a dependency of Meroë.

Napata owed much of its wealth and importance to ita being the terminus of two considerable carsvan routes: one crossing the desert of Bahioula S.E. to Meroë; the other running in the opposite direction to the island of Gagaudes (Argo) in the Nile. Its commercs consisted in an interchange of the products of Libya and Arabia, and it was near enough to the marshes of the Nile to enjoy a share of the profitable trade in the hiden and ivory which were

[^88]obtained from the chase of the hippopotamus and elephant. The ruins at Jebel Berkel denate a city well deserving the epithet of golden, which was given to Napata as well as to Meroë. On the western bank of the Nile are found two temples and a considerable necropolis. The former were dedicated to Osiris and Amun, and the sculptures, representing the worship of those deities, are inferior to none of the Nubian monuments in design and execution. Avenues of sphinxes lead up to the Ammonium, which exhibits the plan of the great temples of Egypt. On the walls of the Osirian temple are represented Amun=Re and his usual attendants. The intaglios exhibit Amun or Osiris receiving gifts of fruit, cattle, and other articles, or offering sacrifice; strings of captives taken in war are kneeling before their conqueror. On the gateway leading to the court of the necropolis, Osiris was carved in the act of receiving gifts as lord of the lower world. The pyramids are of considerable magnitude; but, having been built of the sandstone of Mount Berkel, they have suffered greatly from the periodical rains, and have been still more injured by man." "There are some curiously-fortified lines on the hills about five or six miles below Jebel Berkel, commanding the approaches to that place by the river and on the shore, apparently of Ethiopian origin." ${ }^{\text {ws }}$
§ 13. Of the political state of Ethiopia, before its conquest by the kings of the XIIth and XVIIIth and following dynasties, we know next to nothing. We have seen that it became a vice-royalty under a prince of the reigning family, "the royal son of Kush," and occasionally the refuge of the Pharaohs from invasion and revolution. At length, when the capital of Egypt was finally fixed in the Delta, under the XXIst dyinasty, the expelled family of the priest-king, Her-Hor, set up a sacerdotal kingdom at Napata, the institutions of which were doubtless perpetuated in those of Meroë, as described by the Greek and Roman writers. The latter resembled those of Egypt, except that the priests had supreme power over the king. "In Ethiopia," says Diodorus, "the priests send a sentence of death to the king, when they think he has lived long enough. The order to die is a mandate of the gods." ${ }^{54}$ The Ethiopians of the 8th

Herodotas (ii. 29) saya that great honours were paid at Meroè, the capital of the Ethiopians, to Jove and Dionysus, i.s. Amun and Osiris. By the former he means the ram-headed god (Nou, Noub, Noum, or Kneph), who was the chief deity of Ethiopia; but the Theban Amun was also worshipped in Ethiopia, as well as most of the Egyptian gods. There were also gods peculiar to Ethiopia, and of uncommon forms. "At Wady Owatayb is one with three lions' heads and four arms, more like an Indian than an Egyptian god, though he wears a bead-dress common to gods and kings, eapecially in Ptolemaic and Roman times." Wilkinson'a Note to Herod. Ii. 29, Rawlinson.
${ }^{68}$ Hoskins, "Travels in Ethiopia,' pp. 161, 288 ; Calliaud, 'L'Isle de Meroë.'
38 Wilkinson's Note to Herod, ii. 29 , Rawlinson.
${ }^{5}$ Diod. iii. 6 In the time of Ptolemy Philadelphus, the influence of Greek culture led the King Ergamenes to throw off the goke of the priests and put thers, to death.
contury, therefore, were kindred to the Egyptians in race, religion, and institutions; nor were they inferior in civilization, and they used the same system of hieroglyphics. ${ }^{55}$ "Both the historical and prophetic books of the Jews afford evidence of their military power. They bear a part in the invasions of Palestine; they are joined by Isaiah with the Egyptians when he endeavours to dissuade his countrymen from relying on their aid to resist Assyria. In the 87th Psalm, Ethiopia is mentioned, along with Egypt, Babylon, Tyre, and Philistia, as one of the most illustrious nations. Throughout the prophetic writings the Ethiopians are very generally conjoined with Egypt, so as to show that the union between them, produced sometimes by the ascendancy of one country, sometimes of the other, was so close that their foreign policy was usually the same. ${ }^{56}$ We are not, therefore, to consider the subjugation of Egypt by the Ethiopians as if they had fallen under the dominion of a horde of Arabs or Scythians. . . . . The dynasty was changed, but the order of government appears to have suffered little change. No difference of religion or manners embittered the animosity of the two nations; they had been connected by royal intermarriages . . . . and to the inhabitants of Upper Egypt the Ethiopians would seem hardly so foreign as the people of Sais." ${ }^{57}$ In fact, we now know that their power was thoroughly established in the Thebaid before, and during the greater part of, the time when they were struggling for ascendancy in the DeIta. Politically, Egypt seems now to be divided between the Semitized states of the Delta, leaning more or less upon Assyria, and Upper Erypt and Ethiopia as the stronghold of the old and genuine Egyptians.
§14. The Ethiopian conqueror of Egypt is called Sabacos by Herodotus, who says that, after a rule of fifty years, he quitted Egypt of his own free-will, moved by religious scruples. ${ }^{58}$ But the historian, by including two kings of the same name in one, and omitting a third, has confounded the duration of the Twenty-fifth Dynasty with the reign of its founder. Manetho's three Ethiopian kings, Sabaco, Sebichos or Sevechos, his son, and Tarkus or Tarakus, correspond to the Shabaka or Shebek 1., Shabatoka or Shebek IT., and Tar-haka of the monuments. ${ }^{\text {b9 }}$ Under them Egypt again comes
${ }^{85}$ Being applied, however, to a different and less known language, this syso tem has been sound more difficult to decipher.
${ }^{68}$ Is. xxx. 5 ; Nahum iii. 9 ; Ezek. xxx. 4.
${ }^{57}$ Kenrick, 'Aneient Egypt,' vol. ii. pp. 365, 366.
ss Herod. ii. 137, 139. We have already had occasion to refer to what Hero-

- dotus says of his having substituted for the punishment of death the labour of emban king the cities, so as to raise them above the inundation. Diodorus say" that he surpassed all his predecessors in piety and clemency.

B9 The syllable ka, in which all these names end; was the article in the Cushite language, and the Semitic forms seem to drop the peculiar Ethionic guttural. The Ethiopian origin of the name of Sabaco is confirmed by its ccurrence on the - monuments of private persons, calling themselvee" natives of Cush." Thus, the
into contact with Judæa and Assyria, and we have reached the decisive period "when Egypt with Assyria strove" for the mastery of Western Asia. The warlike Ethiopian, after conquering Egypt, carried his arms into Asia, on the opportunity afforded by Hoshea, king of Samaria, who asked the support of Sabaco I. in his rebellion against Assyria Shalmaneser invested Samaria before aid came from Egypt, and his successor, Sargon, took the city after a three years' siege. ${ }^{60}$ Meanwhile Sabaco seems to have undertaken some operations, on the strength of which he indulged himself in the flattery of claiming Syria as his tributary in an inscription at Karnak.

But now for the Assyrian version. In the great inscription on his palace at Khorsabad, Sargon tells us that after the capture of Samaria Hanon, king of Gazs, and Sab's, sultan of Egypt, met the king of Assyria in battle at Rapih (Raphia), and were defeated. Sabaco disappeared, but Hanon was captured ${ }^{\text {ai }}$ (about b.c. 718). The flight of the Ethiopian sultan may have some connection with the statement of Herodotus, that Sabaco withdrew from Egypt; but we shall presently see that the Ethiopians were driven back more than once into the upper country. Of course we do not expect a record of his flight on the monuments of Sabaco; but his name is found, with the full titles of Egyptian sovereignty, on the internal face of the propylea at Luxor, built by Rameses $\mathrm{I}_{\text {., }}$ whose name he has exased. Among others of his monuments, there is a fragment inscribed with bis 12th Jear, his last according to Eusebius. ${ }^{22}$
§15. Sabaco II. (Shebetek, Shabatoka, or, in Assyrian, Sabti) is now considered by the best authorities to be identified with the priest-king Sethos, whom Herodotus places immediately after the retirement of Sabaco $\mathrm{I}^{\text {. }}$. Further light is thrown on the state of

[^89]Egypt in his time by the annals of Sargon and Sennacherib, with both of whom he was contemporary.

Four years after the battle of Raphia (in 8.0. 714), Sargon records the receipt of tribute from "Pharaoh (Pir'u), king of Egypt," as well as from a queen of Arabia and a Sabæan king. Here we have a sovereign of Egypt, recoguised both by the old royal name, and by the title which Sargon withholds from the "sultan" who had fought at Raphia. In his great inscription at Khorsabad, this "Pharaoh" is mentioned immediately after the record of that battle.

Four years later still (in 8.c. 710), Sargon was again on the confines of Egypt, chastising a revolt of Ashdod. Yaman, the rebel king of that city, had fled, at Sargon's approach, ".beyond Egypt, on the side of Ethiopia." But now, instead of marching out to resist the Assyrian, "the king of Ethiopia, dwelling in a remote sountry, whose fathers had never from the remotest days sent ambassadors to the kings, my ancestors, to demand peace and friendship," sends an embassy to sue for peace. "The immense terror inspired by my royalty took possession of him, and fear changed his purpose. He threw Yaman into chains and fete ters of iron, sent him to Assyria, and had him brought before me." 4
\& 16. The distinction between the kings of Egypt and of Etbiopia appears still more clearly, ten years later, in the Jewish campaign of Sennacherib, both from his own annals and from the Bible (b.o. 700). After subduing Phopicia and Philistia he was on his march to chastise Migron, ${ }^{\text {ab }}$ the revolt of which had been encouraged by "Hezekiah, king of Judah." But he found his way barred, precisely as his father's had been in the campaign of Raphia, by the united forces of Egypt and Ethiopia.

He tells us that "the men of Migron had called to their aid the kings of Egypt and the archers, the chariota, and the horses of the king of Ethiopia; and they came to their help, an innumerable host. Near the town of Altaku their line of battle confronted me, and they tried their arms. In the adoration of my lord Aushur I fought with them and put them to flight. My hands scized the charioteers and sons of the king of Egypt, together with the

[^90]charioteers of the king of Ethiopia. The town of Altaku and the town of Tamna I besieged, I took, I spoiled their spoils." ${ }^{\text {bs }}$
Here, besides a "king of Ethiopia" (probably the great Tirhakah), who was not yet king of Egypt in B.c. 700, ${ }^{07}$ we have, first, "kings of Egypt," and then one who seems to be recognised as "the king of Egypt" in some special sense. The latter is supposed to have been Sabaco II. (or Sethos): the full meaning of the plural will presently be made apparent. ${ }^{88}$ The sequel of this campaign, in its relation to Judah and Hezekiah, will be related in the history of Sennacherib. Meanwhile we have to notice the distinct mention, in the scriptural narrative also, of a "king of Egypt" and a "king of Ethiopia," the former by the usual title of Pharaoh, the latter by his name, Tirhakah.

In the course of his operations against "the fenced cities of Judah," after the battle of Altaku, Sennacherib had laid siege to Lachish; and thence he sent a summons to Jerusalem. Our knowledge of his recent victory sets in a new light the taunt of the Assyrian envoys, "Behold thou trustest upon the staff of this bruised reed, upon Egypt, on which, if a man lean, it will go into his hand and pierce it : so is Pharaoh, king of Egypt, unto all that trust on him." ${ }^{69}$ Presently afterwards we find the movement of Sennacherib from Lachish to Libnab connected with a report, which had reached him, that Tirhakah, king of Ethiopia, had come out to fight with him. ${ }^{70}$

Such is the concurrence of testimony to the fact that, both when Sargon gained the victory of Raphia, and when Sennacherib made war on Egypt and Judah, there were distinct but allied kingdoms of Egypt and Ethiopia. It is in, as well as after, this interval that the reign of Sabaco Il. seems to fall (about b.c. 717-693). If this king was the Sethos of Herodotus, his destitution of an army may

[^91]pernaps be explained by the flight of the warriors with TYrhakah to the upper country after their great defeat. There Tirhakah may have rallied his forces for another struggle with Senoacherib, while he was occupied with the siege of Lachish; and the movement of the Assyrian to Libnah may have been designed to crush that "bruised reed," the destitute king of Egypt, before his powerful ally could retura to belp him. ${ }^{\text {n }}$

The reader of the Scripture narrative, whose attention is fixed on what was going on at Jerusalem, is apt to think that Sennacherib's army perished befors that city. But ordinary attention to the narrative shows that the real scene of the catastrophe was near the confines of Egypt ; and the Egyptians gave their gods the honour of the miracle. There was, Herodotus tells us, a priest of Hephastus (Phtha), named Sethos, who reigned soon after the retirement of Sabsco. ${ }^{72}$ Having neglected and despoiled the warrior class, he was reduced to great.straits by their refusal to eerve, when "Sanacearib, king of the Arabians ${ }^{73}$ and Assyrians," marched his vast army into Egypt. Encouraged, however, by the god, Sethos gathered an army of traders, artisans, and market people, and marched to Pelusium, which commands the entrance into Egypt, and there pitched his camp. "Here, as the two armies lay opposite one another, there came an army of field-mice, which devoured all the quivers and bowstrings of the enemy, and ate the thongs by which they managed their shields. Next morning they commenced their flight, and great multitudes fell, as they had no arms with which to defend themselves. The historian saw in the temple of Phtha a stone statue of Sethos, with a mouse in his hand, ${ }^{76}$ and an inscription to this effect, ' Look on me, and learn to reverence the gods.'"
\$17. Besides the mention thus made of him In Scripture, Tafsaxa (Tirhakah), the Tarkus or Tarakus of Manetho, appears on his monuments and in the Greek writers as one of the most famous kings in the later history of Egypt. Strabo ${ }^{78}$ speaks of him, by the name of Tearko, as rivalling Sesostris by carrying his foreign expo-

[^92]ditions as far as the Pillars of Hercules; and a bas-relief at MedinetAbou represents him as about to cut off the heads of a mass of captives whom he holds by the hair-the usual symbol of a number of conquered tribes. But his most interesting relations are those with Assyria, against which empire he maintained a constant struggle, with alternate successes and reverses. The particulars are learnt chiefly from the Assyrian monuments; but some light is thrown on the Ethiopian version by stelce at the capital of Napata.

We have already distinguished, by aid of the records of Sargon and Sennacherib, the actual sovereignty of the Ethiopians in Egypt from the state of things in which there was not only a "king of Egypt," but more than one in alliance-though doubtless subordinate alliance-with a "king of Ethiopia." Instead of Tirhakah's simply succeeding Sabsco II, as the third Ethiopian king of Egypt, his first appearance (by his name) has been made in s.c. 700; when there appear with him "kings of Egypt," and a "Pharaoh, king of Egypt."

These relations come out fur more clearly in the records before us, which for the first time explain the state of Egypt just before the well-known period of the Saïte dynasty. From their comparison it seems clear that Esar-haddon, who was the first Assyrian that invaded Egypt, made his campaign in that land near the very end of his reign (b.c. 670, or even later). The success, which gave him the title of "King of Egypt and Ethiopia," was gained (as we learn from his son's annals) against Tirhakah; but the Ethiopian king is now recognised in the character of "King of Egypt and Ethiopia;"76 and we are expressly told that, when Esar-haddon conquered Tirhakah, he did not deprive him of the sovereignty of the country. If the dates on the Apis-stelæ are rightly calculated, the reign of Tirhakah over Egypt began in b.o. 693, by his succession (as we may suppose) to Sabaco II. or Sethos. But the petty
as the best known, is obtained by a transposition of the $R$; the $i$ comes from the Masoretic punctuation.

To In the Anmals of Eas-haddon, Egypt is only mentioned in one doubtful passage; and what we know of his conquests there is from the records of his son. Bul, in big other inacriptions, Esar-haddon bas repeated the above title (which he bore first and last of the Aspyrian kings) in a variety of very interesting forms: (1.) He is a "King of the Kinge of Egypt and conqueror of ththiopia;" thowing the plurallty of native princes in Egypt. (2.) Not only in different inseriptlons, but in the same (at Nimrud), the last country is called both_Kusi (the more usual name in his records) and Miuhhi. (3.) In two cases a word intervenes between "Egypt" and "Ethiopia." In one the copy is doubtful ; in the other, though the third element is uncertain, the reading appears to be Pa-tu[ru]-si; from which M. Oppert deduces a strong conflrmation of the view that Pathrot (Isaiah xi. 11; Jerem. xliv, 1, 15 ; Bzek. xxix. 14) and Patrusim (Gen x. 13, 14) denote Upper Egypt, and eapecially the Thebaid. (See Oppert, 'L'Egypte et WAsayrie, pp. 41, ; and Dr. Smith's 'Dict. of the Bible' a. v. Pateros). On the Whole of these Aseyrian recosds, compic. xiv
kings of the several citiea were always attempting to regain their independence; and it was by their aid that Esar-haddon forced Tirhakah to retire to the upper country, under an engagement to remain there. It seems that Upper Egypt and Ethiopis were left to him, while Esar-Laddon set up Assyrian officers beside the vassal petty princes.

It is his son Asshur-bani-pal who gives us the above information by way of preface to his own first campaign in Egypt (b.c. 667-666)." On the departure of Esar-haddon, or at least on his death, Tirhakah had returned, retaken Memphis, where he established his capital, and killed, imprisoned, or carried away as hostages many of the officers set up by the Assyrian. The rest sent to Nineveh to implore aid, and Asshur-bani-pal led his whole army to a place called Karbanit, probably the new Assyrian name given by Esar-haddon to some border fortress of the Delta. Tirhakah marched out from Memphis to meet bim there; and, being defeated in a great battle, fled in his ships, leaving his tent as a spoil, but carrying away his captives of the Assyrian party as hostages to Thebes, which is described as "the city of the empire of Tirhakah, king of Ethiopia." After a difficult march of forty days, Asshur-bani-pal reached Thebes, whence Tirhakah had fled at his approach, and took the city with a great slaughter.

But the vassal kings, who had sided with Tirhakah on his return, did not submif till they were defeated in another great battle. ${ }^{3}$ And here it is that these annsls throw their great light on the political state of Egypt. The names of these kings and of their cities are mentioned, to the number of twenty, including citiea of Upper Egypt, as well as of the Delta; not only Sais, Tanis, Sebennytus, Mendes, Bubastis, \&c., but Chemmis, This, aud Thebes itself, the name of whose king contains the second element (ankh), which occurs in the priestly line of Her-Hor." A Sheshonk is still reigning at Bubastis. Necro (doubtless the father of Pammetichus) is king of Memphis as well as Sals, and leader of the confederacy. This marks the "hegemony" of Sais, which was established by Bocchoris, and doubtlese confirmed by Esar-haddon, and helps to explain the jealousy which Herodotus ascribes to the

[^93]princes of the so-called "dodecarchy," lest one of them, and especially Psammetichus, should gain the supremacy.

Hence, too, it is that Necho, fearing special punishment for his rebellion, flies to Thebes, leaving his gods at Memphis, which the Assyrian takes by storm. Presently, however, we find him submitting, with the other kings, whom the Assyrian restores "to the place suitable to their subjection;" while he "places Egypt and Ethiopia under a new government." He then returns to Nineveh, "laden with a great booty and splendid spoils;" after strengthening the garrisons and fortifications of the cities, a very needful precaution against Tirhakah's return.

For the annals here explain, with an amusing frankness, the dilemma in which the Egyptian kings were left between the rival sovereigns, and the motives which drew them to the nearer. "They said among themselves, Tirhakah will never renounce his designs on Egypt; it is him we have to fear.". So they sent ambassadors to "the king of Ethiopia," to make a treaty of peace and friendship, promising not to desert him any more. They also tried to corrupt the Assyrian army; but the officers discovered their plots, intercepted their messengers, and bound the kings themselves hand and foot in fetters and chains of iron. Asshur-bani-pal came back in person to exact vengeance. Memphis, Sais, Mendes, Tanis, and the other rebel cities, were taken, and their people massacred: "I left not one," boasts the conqueror. The captive kings appear to have been carried to Nineveh; whence Necho was sent back to his throne at Sais (the name of which was changed to Kar-belmate), ${ }^{80}$ to hold Lower Egypt against Tirhakah, who had again retired to Thebes, if indeed he had left it.

The end of this campaign is unfortunately wanting in the annals, which are resumed after the death of Tirhakah. But we have a curious piece of evidence that the Ethiopian regained his power over all Egypt. For a stela in the Serapeum records that an Apis, born in the 26th year of Tirhakah, died in the 21st year of Psamatik, aged 21 years. ${ }^{81}$ It follows that Tirhakah was the king recognised at Memphis in the 26th and last year of his reign;

[^94]a monumental testimony all the more important from the silence of Herodotus and Diodorus concerning this great conqueror. ${ }^{\text {as }}$ The Egyptian priests in the interest of the Saite dynasty would have all the more reason to suppress his name if it be true that he put Necho to death. ${ }^{83}$ Be this as it may, the removal of Necho might he the occasion for the final recognition of Tirhakah in the royal lists, as the immediate predecessor of the restored Sayta line.
§ 18. Both from the monuments of Napata, and from the Assyrian annals, we learn that Tirbakah was succeeded, as king of Ethiopia, by his son Rut-amze, or Rot-men, or, as the Assyrian texts say, by his wife' son, Urdamané, which is evidently the same name. The absence of any recognition of bim as king of Egypt seems to imply that he was in Ethiopis when Tirhakah died, and that the petty kings of Egypt seized the opportunity to cast off the Ethiopian yoke, under the protection of Assyria.s But Rot-men resolved to strike a blow for his inheritance in Fgypt. Having first recovered the Thebaid (if he did not possess it already), he invaded Lower Egypt. The Assyrian annals are resumed with an allusion to the death of Tirbakah, and to thic invasion by Urdamane, who was totally defeated by Asshur-banipal, and "escaped alone to Thobes, the city of hie royalty." The pursuit of the Assyrians occupied, as before, 40 days, through difficult roads; and like Tirbakah, Urdamane fled, st their approach, to Kip-kip, evidently a place in Ethiopis.

The second capture of Thebes by Agshur-bani-pal was far more terrible than the first. "They took possession," mays the king, " of the whole city, and sacked it to its foundations. They carried off in this city the gold, the silver, the metala, the precious atones, all the treasures of his palace" (another copy has "all the treasures of the country"), "dyed stuffs of berom and linen, great horses (elephants?), huge apes, natives of their hills-the whole not to be computed by accountants; and they treated it as a captured city. They brought this booty safe to Nineveh, and they kiased my feet." In another copy the king mentions the captives, "men male and female, great and small," as well as the works in basalt and in

[^95]marble, and the palace-gates, which he tore off and carried to Assyria. ${ }^{\text {b }}$
§ 19. Till the discovery of this record we knew of no Assyrian invasion and captivity of Egypt and Ethiopia, and particularly of Thebes, which could correspond to the warning which Isaiah uttered to the Egyptian party in Judah at the time of the siege of Ashdod, or to the still more striking prophcey (or, rather, the historical allusion) of Nahum. But here at length we see "the king of Assyria leading away the Egyptians prisoners and the Ethiopians captives, young and old, naked and barefoot, to the shame of Egypt." ${ }^{86}$ In the very hour of her triumph, Nahum denounces on "Nineveh, the city of bloods"-we have seen how well she earned the title!-the very fate she had inflicted upon Thebes: "Art thou better than populous No, that was situate among the rivers" (on both sides of the Nile); "that had the waters round about her; whose rampart was the sea, and her wall was from the sea? Ethiopia and Egypt were her strength, and it was infinite; Put and Iubim were thy helpers. Yet was she carried away, she went into captivity; her joung children also were dashed in pieces at the top of all the streets; and they cast lots for her honourable men, and all her great men were bound in chains. ${ }^{n 7}$
§ 20. This is the last notice of Egypt in the Assyrian annals; and we may assume that the country was now left to its native princes under the suzerainty of Assyria, which her rapid decline soon made an empty name. The sack and captivity of Thebes must have broken the power of Ethiopia in Upper Egypt, and the

[^96]princes of the Delta were now strong enough to repel her last attempt. The curious record of that attempt, lately discovered by M. Mariette on a stela at Napata, evidently conceals a decisivo repulse.

Rotmen, the son of Tirhakah, having died without heirs, the crown of Ethiopia was assumed by a certain Amen-meri Nout, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ in consequence of a prophetic-dream, which had also promised him the two crowns of Egypt. Marching down the Nile, he was received at Thebes with acclamations; but he only gained Memphis after a bloody battle with the chicfs of the Delta, whom he drove into the Marshes." But he was unable to take their towns, and the inundation soon forced him to withdraw from Memphis. While preparing for a new attack, he received a large tribute from the chiefs, content with which he retired finally into Upper Egypt.

In the long struggle which was thus ended, we cannot fail to see how essentially there was involved a contest between Upper Egypt, which sided with the old priestly party, and Lower Egypt, where a number of rival claimants were more or less influenced by connections with Assyria ${ }^{00}$ and ideas derived from intercourss with forcign countries. The triumph of these influences wan the spirit of the new era, in which Egypt at last connecte herself with Europe. She now presents the aspect of a stage, from which the chief actors have just retired, and, after a last scene of confusion, the curtain rises again amidst the full light of well-known history.

[^97]

Dress of an Egyptian King.

## CHAPTER VIII.

## THE LATER SAYTE MONARCHY-TWENTY-SIXTH

 DYNASTY - B.C. 665-527 or 525.5 1. The Dodecarchy. Oracles of the Bronse Cup and Brazen Men. Psammetichus, son of Nechao I. becomes king. § 2. Psamatir or Pgammetichue I. His name Libyan. Marries an Ethiopian princess, and reanites Egypt. Dates his reign from the death of Tirhakah. Chronological Epoch. § 3. Position of Sal's, the sacred eity of Neith (Athena). Remains at Sa-el-Hagar. § 4. Feast of Lamps at Sails. § 5. Connection of Saĭs with the Greeks, especially Athens. § 6. Psammetichus encourages Greek commerce. His Greek and other mercenaries, and Phcenician sailors. Siege of Axotus. § 7. Desertion of the Egyptian military caste. Their settlement in Ethiopia. Greek inscription. § 8. Works of Psammetichus. Renaissance of Egyptian art. § 9. Nechao II., Neco, or Prarade-Necho, invades Asia. Battle of Megiddo and death of Josiah. Neco advances to Carchemish on the Euphrates. Deposea Jehoahaz and sets up Jehoiakin as tributary King of Judah. § 10. Neco's power in Asia extinguished by Nebuchadneazar. Prophecies against Egypt. §11. Partial reopening of the Red Ses Canal. § 12. Maritime enterprises of Neoo. Story of the ciroumnavigation of Africa. Growth of Hellenic influence. Psamayik II., or Psamasa. Aubbassadors from Elis: the Olympic games. § 13. Reign of Wah-pra-hat, Pharaof-Hopera or Aprigs, as related by Herodotas. Successes against Sidon and Tyre. War with Cyrene. Mutiny of the Egyptian aray. Rlevation of Amasis. Death of Apries. § 44. The scriptural eccount of Pharaoh-Hoptrin. Fis allianoe

With Zedekiah. Prophetio teetimonies to the destructive Invasion of Egypt by Nebuchadnezzar. § 15. Auaire or Achams II. His early life and character. Union of buainess and pleasure. § 18. Prosperity of Egypt. Law aguinat idleness. § 17. Encouragement of foreign oommerce. Greeks allowed to reside at Naucratis, and to build cemples. The Hellmion. \& 18. Ftourishing state of Egyptian art. Worke of Amasis. His gifte to Greek temples. Friendship with Polycraten. Alliance with Cyrene. § 19. League with Lydia and Babylon agaimst Cyrus. Pbaymeritun. Conquest of Egypt by Cambyeen. Dynasty XXVII. of Persians. 5 20. Revolts againat Persia. Dymanties XXVIII. (Saite), XXIX. (Mendesian), XXX. (Sebennyte). Final eonquent by Ochus. XXXIft Persian Dynasty: Conquest by Alexander.
§ 1. "In what follows," says Herodotus at this point, "I have the authority, not of the Egyptians only, but of others also who agree with them."' The republican historian sarcastically remarks that the liberated Egyptians were unable to continue any longer without a king, and so they divided Egypt into twelve districts, and aet twelve kinga over them, who ruled in peace, bound to each other by intermarriages and by the most solemn engagements. This Dodecarchy, as it is called, neems to have been a union of the petty princes of the Delta against the Ethiopian power in Upper Egypt. Of course it could not last; and its end, after 15 years, is related by Herodotus in the spirit of the age.

The voice of oracles had great weight in public affairs, but ambitious men had learnt how to bribe the oracles or to contrive the fulfilment of their ambiguous responses. The twelve chiefs had been the stricter in making their mutual engagements, as an oracle had predicted "that be among them who should pour in the temple of Phtha a libation from a cup of bronze would become monarch of the whole land of Egypt." They were wont to worship together in all the chief temples; and they had thus met in the temple of Phtha, when the high-priest (of course by accident) brought out only eleven golden goblets for the libations of the twelve kings. The one who stood last was Peammeticeus, the son of that Nechao who had been put to death by Sabaco (or by Tirbakah). He forthwith took off his helmet of bronze, stretched it out to receive the liquor, and so made his libation. His colleagues remembered the oracle, and banishei Psammetichus to the marshes. Meditating revenge, he sent to the oracle of Buto, the most veracious of all the Egyptian oracles, and received with incredulity the answer that "Vengeance would come from the sea, when brazen men mhould appear." Shortly afterwards, certain Carian and Ionian adventurere in search of plunder, being driven by stress of weather to Egypt, disembarked in their brazen armour; and a terrified native carried the tidings to Psammetichus that brazen men had come from the

[^98]sea, and were plundering the plain. Psammeticnus engaged the strangers in his service; and by their aid, and that of the Egyptians who sided with him, he vanquished the eleven and made himself king of Egypt. ${ }^{3}$
§ 2. Such is the picturesque dress of the bare fact that Psamatik I., the son of Nechao, or Necho I., and consequently the representative of the Sailte and Memphian monarchy, regained the throne of Egypt by the aid of Greek mercenaries, whose regular employment dates from his reign. His apparently Libyan name is thought by some to mark his origin from the Maxyan militia. We have seen the part played by his father in the late contests, ${ }^{4}$ and the son had taken refuge in the marshes when Necho was put to death. ${ }^{5}$ But now the politic chief formed a matrimonial alliance with the Ethiopians, whether after a successful campaign, or to avoid war, does not appear; and thus he reunited the whole of Egypt under the Twentysixth Dynasty, of Sais." He asserted his legitimate claim to the throne by ignoring the 17 years of the anarchy and dodecarchy, and dating his reign from the death of Tirhakah.'

The chronology of the Saite kings is now pretty well fixed within a limit of doubt not exceeding two years; the accession of Psammetichus being from b.o. 666 to 664, and the Persian conquest in b.c. 527 or 525 . The succession of kings is as follows :-

| 1. Psammetichus I. | Tgars. | aceession b.c. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Neco (Pharaoh-Nechoh). | 16 | 612 or 610 |
| 3. Psammetichus II. | - 6 | 596 or 594 |
| 4. Apries (Pharaoh-Hophra) | 19 | 590 or 588 |
| 6. Amasis (Aahmes 11.) | 44 | 571 or 569 |
| 6. Psammenitus. | 6 m | 62\% or $525{ }^{8}$ |

[^99]§3. The very position of Saïs, the last capital of independent Egypt, is significant of the foreign relations which now begin to be conspicuous. It was situate in $31^{\circ} 4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., on the right bank of the Canopic, the most westerly branch of the Nile, more than 40 miles from the sea. The great embankmeut, which raised it above the inundation, made the city conspicuous to voyagers ascending tbe river; and its site is still nurked by the great mounds to the north of Sa-el-Hagar (Sa of the stone), ${ }^{9}$ the village which preserves the old Egyptian name of Ssa, the sacred city of Neith, whom the Greeks identified with Athena. The splendid temple of the goddess, which Amasis ccocated with great works of art, besides building its magnificent propyleea, ${ }^{20}$ contained the tombs of the Saïte kings, ${ }^{11}$ and the burial-place of Osiris, whose mysteries were celebrated in a lake near the temple.
"The remains are now confined to a few broken blocks, some ruins of houses, and a large enclosure surrounded by massive crudebrick walls. These last are about 70 feet thick, and of very solid construction. Between the courses "of bricks are layers of reeds, intended to serve as binders. . . . The walls enclose a space measuring 2325 feet long by 1960; the north side of which is occupied by the lake mentioned by Herodotus. As he says it was of circular form, and it is now long and irregular, we may conclude that it has since encroached on part of the temenos, or sacred enclosures, where the temple of Minerva and the tombe of the Saile kings stood. The site of the temple appears to have been in the low open space to the west, and parts of the wall of its temenus may be traced on two sides: it was about 720 feet in breadth, or a little more than that around the temple of Tanis. To the east of it are mounds, with remains of crude-brick houses, the walls of which are partially standing, and here and there bear evident signs of having been burnt. This part has received the name of 'el Kala' (the citadel), from its being higher than the rest, and from the appearance of two massive buildings at the upper aud lower end,
Herodotos, and the Asayrian and Jewlah annals. We have seen how the annals of Asabur-bani-pal bear on the beginning of the pertod: its end depends on the date of the Persian conquest, which is uanally piaced in the sth yeat of Cambyses (e.c. 325); but some of the highet enthrrities (as M. de Rouge) refer It to that king's ard year (B.c. 527). The important teatimony of a stela, which mentione e man as born in the 3rd year of Neco, and dying in the 26th of Amasin, seems to prove that the shorter of the iwo lengths asoigned to the reign of Apries ( 19 years and 25 years) is to be preferred. Herodotas placen the accession of Psammetichum 145t years before the Invasion of Cambysct, which carrlem is back to about ac. 670. The difference to slight; and these tong periods are eeldom exact. The total would probably be lengtiened by the overiapping of reigas

- so called from the broken blocke of etone that belonged to the ancient clty.
${ }^{6}$ Herod. ii. 175.
in Herodotus (ii. 169) particularly mentions those of Amasig, and of Aprien and hle family, and describes the later.
which seem to have been intended for defence. It is not impossible that this was the royal palace."12
§ 4. At Sais was celebrated the "Feast of Lamps" in honour of Neith, which Herodotus ranks third in honour among the annual festivals of Egypt; and it must have been among the most beautiful. "At Sais, when the assembly takes place for the sacrifices, there is one night on which the inhabitants all burn a multitude of lights round their houses in the open air. They use lamps, which are flat saucers filled with a mixture of oil and salt, on the top of which the wick floats. These burn the whole night, and give to the festival the name of the Feast of Lamps. The Egyptians who are absent from the festival observe the night of the sacrifice, no less than the rest, by a general lighting of lamps; so that the illumination is not confined to the city of Sais; but extends over the whole of Egypt." ${ }^{\text {² }}$
- §5. Lying on that branch of the Nile along which was the direct route of the Greeks into Egypt, and a little above Naucratis, which was assigned for their abode, Saïs was especially interesting to the Athenians from the identification of its patron goddess with their own. ${ }^{14}$ Their civic hero, Cecrops, was said to be a native of Sais; and another tradition even made Sais a colony of Athens, ${ }^{15}$ so strong was the Hellenic element in the Egyptian city. How early the connection began it is impossible to say. Eusebius ${ }^{16}$ says that, in the reign of Bocchoris, the Milesians became powerful at sea, and built the city of Naucratis; but the reign of Psammetichus was certainly the epoch at which the Chinese-like exclusiveness of Egypt was broken through by the admission of foreigners to that harbour, whence they would procsed to the neighbouring capital. Pythagoras is said to have visited Sais in the reign of Amasis; ${ }^{17}$ and there, about the same time, Solon conversed with a Sailte priest, ${ }^{\text {ls }}$ from whom he learnt the fable of Atlantis and the primeral renown of Athens. ${ }^{15}$ Diodorus mentions a number of instances, which shew the anxiety of the priests of Sais to ingratiate themselves with the Athenians, by discovering resemblances between Attic and Egyptian institutions. ${ }^{20}$ Manetho says that the Greek population of Sais was governed by their own laws and magistrates, and had a separate quarter of the city assigned to them.
§ 6. Diodorus thus describes the Hellenizing policy of Psam-metichus:-"He received with hospitality the strangers who came

[^100]to visit Egypt; he loved Greece so much that he caused his children to be taught its language. ${ }^{n}$ He was the first of the Egyptian kings who opened to other nations emporia for their merchandise, and gave security to voyagers; for his predecessors had rendered Egypt inaccessible to foreigners by putting some to death, and condemning others to slavery." He kept on foot a large body of mercenaries, lonians ${ }^{23}$ and Carians, as well as Arabians, and assigned to his Greek soldiers two "camps" (as the abodes of foreign settlers were called) on the two banks of the Pelusiac branch, a little below Bubastis, evidently as a garrison for the eastern frontier. ${ }^{\text {st }}$
"From the date of the original settlement of these persons in Egypt," says Herodotus, " we Greeks, through our intercourse with them, have acquired an accurate knowledge of the several events of Egyptian history, from the reign of Psammetichue downwards; but before his time no foreigners had ever taken up their residence in that land."

Pesides these Greeks, Psammetichus engaged Phoenician anilors; and, with such forces at his command, he aspired to recover the empire of Western Asia, where the power of Assyria was in the last stage of its decline. But his enterprise was stopped on the very threshold by the resistance of the Philistine city of Azotua (Ashdod), the key to the great military route, which he only took after a siege of twenty-nine years. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
§7. Meanwhile an event occurred which proved that the "new wine" of Hellenism, instead of infusing new life-blood into Egypt, would "burst the old bottles" of her rigid institutions, and cause both to perish together. The favours heaped by Psammetichus upon his mercenaries roused the jealousy of the native military clans, which broke out into open muting when, in his Syrian expedition, he gave the foreigners the post of honour on the right wing. Upon this the whole class of warriors, to the number of 200,000 (Herodotus asay 240,000 ) deserted in a body, and marched away into Ethiopia. This is the account of Diodorus, which is not only more probable than the motive assigned by Herodotus for the desertion, but is confirmed by Herodutus's own statement, that

[^101]these Automoli (deserters) bore the name of Asmach, meaning "the men on the left hand of the king" (or rather, the left wing of the army). ${ }^{\text {as }}$ Herodotus adds that Psammetichus pursued and overtools them; but his entreaties that they would return were insolently repelled; and they received from the King of Ethiopia' the grant of the lands of certain Ethiopians with whom he was at feud. "From the time that this settlement was formed, their acquaintance with Egyptian manners has tended to civilize the Ethiopians," 26 is a remark which, however inaccurate, proves that Herodotus did not believe that the course of civilization was down the Nile.

From a curious Greek inscription at Abou-Simbel, it appears that Psammetichus himself did not follow the deserters higher than Elephantine, but that the pursuit was continued to a considerable distance up the river, by his Greek soldiers, who, on their return, left this record of the adventure. ${ }^{27}$ The part of Ethiopia in which these deserters settled is hard to determine. Herodotus makes it as far above Meroë as Meroë is above Elephantine, which would be in Abyssinia. ${ }^{28}$ Diodorus says that they settled in the most fertile part of Ethiopia, which would answer to the neighbourhood of Meroë; and the geographers mention a people called Euconymitce (those on the left hand, equivalent to the Asmach of Herodutus), to the north-west of Meroë. ${ }^{29}$
§ 8. The desertion of the military caste was a reason why Psammetichus should show the more favour to the priests. He erected propylea to the great temple of Phtha at Memphis, and built or enlarged the edifice where the bull Apis was kept. The sacred books, and especially the Ritual of the Dead, appear to have been revised in his reign. In fact, the whole period of the twenty-sixth dynasty may be justly called the renaissance of the religious art of

[^102]ANC. HIST.

Egypt. Manetho assigns fifty-four years to his reign; and his fiftyfourth year is found on the monuments.
§ 9. Under Neet or Nechao 11. $\boldsymbol{y}^{30}$ the Necos of Herodotus, and the Pharaoh-Necho of the Bible, the Saïte monarchy reached its acmé, only to receive a decisive blow from the new power of Babylon. The capture of Ashdod had opened the road to Asia, and the fall of Nineveh, whether accomplished or impending, left the empire of Western Asia once more, as a Greek would have said, "in the midst," as the prize of a contest between Egypt and Babylon. ${ }^{3}$ Neco set out for the Euphrates along the well-worn road through the maritime plain and the valley of Esdraëlon. Here, however, he encountered an unexpected obstacle. Josiah, the reforming King of Judah, faithful to his liege, and ardent in the anti-Egyptian policy prescribed by the prophets to his house, marched out to withstand him. Disregarding the friendly remonstrance of Neco, except mo far as to disguise his own person, the King of Judah marched down from the highlands of Manasseh by the pass which issues near Megiddo, only to be carried off in his chariot, mortally wounded by the Egyptian archers. ${ }^{2 a}$

Having won this last of Egypt's victories in Asia on the old battle-figld of Thothmes III., Neco advanced to Carchemish, the object of his expedition, and once more posted an Egyptian

[^103]garrison in that key to the line of the Euphrates. Returning through Coele-Syria (Hamath), Neco sent for Jehoahaz, whom the people had made king at Jerusalem, and put him in bonds, making his brother Eliakim (who was now called Jehoiakim) king in his place; and imposed a heary tribute on Judah. He then returned to Egypt, taking with him Jehoahaz, who died there. ${ }^{\text {M }}$
§ 10. The recovery of the boundary of the Euphrates was but a dying gleam of military glory for the Saitte Pharaohs. Four years later (b.c.604) Nebuchadnezzar ascended the throne of Babylon; ${ }^{95}$ having, in the previous year, before his father's death, crushed the Egyptian army at Carchemish, ${ }^{36}$ marched on to Jerusalem, received the submission of Jehoiakim, and at one blow stripped Egypt of all power in Asia. In the emphatic words of the sacred annalist,-" The king of Egypt came not again any more out of his land; for the king of Babylon had taken, from the river of Egypt unto the river Euphrates, all that pertained to the king of Egypt." ${ }^{5 /}$ The brief warlike enterprise of Neco was out of date, and left-nothing but its fame. "Pharaoh king of Egypt is but a noise; he hath passed the time appointed," says Jeremial, ${ }^{59}$ in the great prophecies delivered while the armies were marshalled at Carchemish for the "sacrifice to the Lord of Hosts in the north country ly the river Euphrates;" in which he predicts the invasion of Egypt by Nebrchadnezzar, and her destruction like one of her own sacred heifers; the fall of Memphis, and the punishment of Thebes and Pharaoh and Egypt, with their gods and all that trust in Pharaoh. ${ }^{30}$ The prophecy was fulfilled in the time of Pharaoh-Hophra or Apries, the second from Neco.
§11. In the works of Neco at home we trace those new movements of foreign intercourse, which give to the Saite dynasty its peculiar character. Foremost among them was his attempt to reopen and complete the canal connecting the Mediterranean and the Red Sea, which had been begun and perhaps completed by Seti I. and Rameses II.* The canal, which was four days' journey in

[^104]lergth, and wide enough to admit of two triremes being rowed abreast, left the Pelusiac branch of the Nile a little above Bubastis, and was carried by a circuitous route, first eastwards and then southwards, to the head of the Gulf of Suez. ${ }^{[1}$ It cost the lives of a hundred and twenty thousand of the Egyptians during the reigu of Neco, who at length desisted on account of an oracle, which warned nim tbat he was labouring for the barbarians : *a sign of the growth of foreign commerce, and probably of the obstructive power of the old Egyptian party.
§12. Neco maintained fleets both in the Mediterranean and the Erythræan Seas; and Herodotus says that the docks on the Red Sea for the latter fleet were visible in his time. ${ }^{45}$ To his Red Sea fleet Herodotus ascribes the most signal achievement of ancient maritime discovery-the circumnavigation of Africa." The story in that Neco, when dissppointed of connecting the Mediterranean and Eastern Seas by his cannl, sent to sea a fleet manned by Phœenicians, with orders to make for the Pillars of Hercules, and return to Egypt through them and by the Northern Sea (i.e. the Mediterranean). They sailed through the Erythrean Sca into the Southern Ocean. When autumn came, they went on shore, wherever they might be, and, having sown a tract of land with corn, waited until the grain was fit to cut.s Having reaped it, they again set sail ; and thus it came to pass that two whole years went by, and it was not till the third year that they doubled the Pillars of Hercules and made good their voyage home. True to his principle of honestly reporting even

[^105]what he deemed incredible, the historian has added the very circumstance which affords the strongest argument against his own incredulity: " on their return they declared-for my part, I don't believe them-that in sailing round Libya they had the sun upon their right hand "-which would be a simple astronomical fact. ${ }^{46}$ It is remarkable that the king, who is said to have been so fully occupied with his wars and maritime expeditions, has left no great buildings : but his 16th year appears upon an Apis-stela; and this is the length assigned by Manetho to his reign.

The growing influence of Greek ideas is shewn by the statement of Herodotus, that Neco dedicated the dress, which he wore in the campaign of Megiddo, to Apollo at Branchidz near Miletus. His son, Psammis, is represented as discussing with an embassy from Elis the fairness of the rules for the Olympic games. ${ }^{47}$ This king, the Psamatik II. of the monuments, and the Psammuthis of Manetho, reigned only 6 years, and died soon after his return from an expedition against Ethiopia. ${ }^{48}$ He made several additions to the temples at Thebes (at Karnak) and in Lower Egypt.
§ 13. His son and successor was WaH-Pra-hat (the Sun enlarges his heart), the Pharaoh-Hophra of Scripture, the Vaphris of Manetho, and the Apries of Herodotus, who esteemed him as, exceping Psammetichus, his great-grandfather, the most prosperous of all the kings that ever ruled over Egypt. ${ }^{49}$ He marched an army to attack Sidon, and fought a battle with the king of Tyre at sea. ${ }^{50}$ At length he came in conflict with the Greek colony of Cyrene, on the northern shore of Libya. His protection was sought by the natives, who had been driven out by the rapid growth of the colony; and he levied a vast army of Egyptians, and sent them against Cyrene. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ The

[^106]native warrior class once more found themselves in arms, far from the seat of royal power, and the old jealousy burst forth on the first cocasion. Despising their unknown enemy, they suffered a severe defeat from the Greeks; and, like so many beaten armies since, they cried that they were betrayed-the king had, of malice prepense, sent them into the jaws of destruction. "They believed he had wished a vast number of them to be slain, in order that he might reign with more security over the rest of the Egyptians." They returned in open revolt, and were joined by the friends of the slain. ${ }^{\text {ss }}$

They were met by an envoy of the king, who happened to bear the name of the founder of the XVIIIth dynasty, Amasis (i. e. Aahmes). As he was haranguing the mutineers, a soldier, coming behind him, placed a crown upon his helmet and proclaimed him king. Amasis, not displeased, led the army against Apries, and dismissed with insult a second envoy, Patarbemis, who was sent to bring him alive to the king. The cruelty with which Apries wreaked his rage on Patarbemis drove the loyal Egyptians over to the rebels, and the king was left at Sals with his 30,000 Greek and Carian mercenaries. ${ }^{68}$ He led them out to meet the rastly superior numbers of Amasis at Momemphis (on the edge of the desert), where he was utterly defeated, and brought back a prisoner to the palace at Sais. Amasie treated him kindly at first; but, fielding to the remonstrances of the Egyptians, he gave Apries into their hands. "Then the Egyptians took him and strangled him, but, having so done, they buried him in the sepulchre of his fathers." 4
§ 14. On the story thus told by Herodotus, Scripture throws a new light. The successful expedition against Sidon and Tyre ${ }^{06}$ was part of an effort to recover the supremsacy of Western Asia, in which Pharaoh-Hophra ventured to measure himself against Nebuchadnezzar. He espoused the cause of Zedekiah in the Jewish king's rebellion against Nebuchadnezzar; ${ }^{*}$ and, when Jerusalem was invested, the approach of an Egyptian army under Pharaoh-Hophra forced the Chaldmeans to raise the siege. ${ }^{37}$ But the relief was momentary; ${ }^{50}$ the king of Egypt did not venture to meet the army of Nebuchad-

[^107]nezzar in the field, and the only further help he gave was to receivi the remnant who took refuge in Egypt after the fall of Jerusalem. ${ }^{\text {so }}$

He had done enough to draw upon him the chastisement which is described by the Jewish prophets. ${ }^{60}$ The arrogance of PharaohHophra, in the time of his prosperity, is denounced in language precisely answering to that of the Greek historian. Herodotus tells us " that Apries believed that there was not a god who could cast him down from his eminence, so firmly did he think he had established himself in his kingdom; "or but Ezekiel speaks in the name of the God who declares himself against " Pharaoh, king of Egypt, the great crocodile that lieth in the midst of his rivers, which hath said, My river is mine own, and I have made it for myself." al It is cxpressly declared that the land and spoil and people of Egypt, with Aman in Thebes, and all their gods, should be giver into the hand of Nebuchadnezzar, king of Babylon, as a reward for his fruitless service against Tyre; ${ }^{63}$ and the king's own fate is thus predicted :" Behold, I will give Pharaoh-Hophra, king of Egypt, into the hand of his enemies, and into the hand of them that seek his life;"ct and, after the land of Egypt had been desolated "from Migdol to Syene and the border of Ethiopia," it was to be restored as "the basest of the kingdoms"-that is, a subject and tributary state-fever more to "exalt itself to rule over the nations." ${ }^{\text {w }}$

These and several other passages in the prophecies clearly attest the fact that Egypt was invaded, conquered, and devastated by Nebuchadnezzar, ${ }^{06}$ who probably seized the opportunity offered by the disastrous campaign against Cyrene and the civil war between - Apries and Amasis, ${ }^{\text {nen }}$ and confirmed the latter in the kingdom as his

[^108]vassal. That the connection of the two kingdoms was drawu closer by marriage is shown by the famous Babylonian queen, who bears the Saite name of Nitocris (Neit-akri, i.e. "Neith the Victorious"). With Apries, to whom Herodotus assigns 25 jears, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ ended the direct line of the Saite house, just about a century after the accession of Psammetichus I. (b.c. 569).
$\oint 15$. Amasis, or aahmes II., ends "the long majestio line of Egypt's kings," with the name of the great founder of the Theban menarchy-a coincidence which may have soothed the old Egyptian party who had raised lim to the throne, though the name was borne by a vassal to Babylon. His place in the Saite dynasty was confirmed by his marriage with Ankhs-en-Ranofrehet, the daughter of Paammetichus II., ${ }^{\omega}$ and beadopted the title of Neit-se (son of Neith). He was a native of Siouph, in the Saite nome, and belonged to a house of no high distinction. Finding that this lessened his consideration with his subjects, he caused (says Herodotus) a golden footpan to be made into the image of a god, and when the Egyptians flocked to worship the image, he called them to an assembly, and, by comparing its change of condition to his own, won the respect which was due, at all events, to his cleverness.

In his youth he had been fond of pleasure, and had roamed about to rob people when his resources failed him. When charged with such an offence, his denial was brought to the test before the nearest oracle; and, when he became king, in the same spirit which we see in Croesus, he honoured or neglected the temples of the gods according as they had succeeded or failed in detecting his crimes. He carried his love of pleasure to the throne; but did not permit it to interfere with business, nor his business with his pleasure. From early dawn to the busy time of the forencon-the " full market," as the Greeks called the third hour after sunrise-he sedulously transacted all the business that was brought before him: during the remainder of the day he drank and joked with his guests, often beyond the limits of propriety. To the friends who would have had the Egyptians always eee him in royal dignity upon his throne, he replied by the celebrated metaphor of the mischief of keeping a bow always bent.

6 16. Such a spirit suited the subject state of Egypt ; and, firstas an unambitious rassal, afterwands favoured by the declining power of Babylon, Amasis raised the country to a very high state of material

[^109]prosperity, and adomed the temples with admirable works of art. Herodotus reports the saying, "that the reign of Amasis was the most prosperous time that Erypt ever saw-the river was more liberal to the land, and the land brought forth more abundantly for the service of man, than had ever been known before, while the number of inhabited cities was not less than 20,000."to However this prosperity may have been exaggerated by the priests, who dwelt with fond regret on the period just before the Persian conquest, we have abundant evidence of Egypt's wealth, both from the tombs of private persons at Thebes, and from the vast booty carried off by the army of Cambyses.

The rule of Amasis was as postile to idleness as that of any of the old Pharaohs. Herodotus ascribes to him the law (which Solon ailopted) requiring all Egyptians to present themselves once a year before the governor of their nome, and to show their means of living, on pain of death; but the monuments exhibit such registra-tion-scenes at a much earlier date. ${ }^{7}$
§ 17. A main source of this prosperity, besides the irrepressible fertility of Egypt, was the full development which Amasis gave to the commercial policy begun by Psammetichus. He permitted the Greeks to settle at Naucratis, below Sais, on the Canopic branch of the Nile, to which channel their commerce was restricted. ${ }^{77}$ As was. usual with the ancient nations, the concession of a residence to foreigners involved the free exercise of their worship; but Amasis also granted sites for temples to those who wished only to trade upon the coast, without taking up their residence in Egypt. The most famous and most frequented of such temples was the Hellenion, built conjointly by the Ionians, Dorians, and \&olians of Asia Minor, and the contributing cities had the right of appointing the governons of the factory with which the temple was connected. Separate temples were erected by the AEginetans to Jove $;$ by the Samians to Hera; and by the Milesians to Apollo. ${ }^{73}$
§ 18. Such works, executed at a time when Grecian art was approaching its acmé, must have had some influence on the art of Egypt, and thus Greece repaid a part of an ancient debt. The Egyptian monuments of this age, while retaining their national style and conventional forms, are distinguished by a new freedom and grace, especially in those figures which were unfettered by

[^110]hieratic rules. Nor did the Egyptian artist want for occupation under Amasis, who emulated the old kings in the colossal sizo of his works. At Memphis he built a vast temple to Jsis, and adorned the temple of Phtha with colossal statues. ${ }^{76}$ At Sals, h.e built the propyles of the temple of Neith; "an astonishing work, far surpassing all other buildings of the same kind buth in extent and height, and built with stones of rare size and excellency" (Herod.). He also repaired the temple with stones of a most extraordinary size, some of limestone from the quarriea opposite Memphis, but the largest were granite blocks from Elephantine. Of these huge masses the most wonderful was a monolith chamber, the conveyance of which from Elephuntine to Sais (commonly a voyage of twenty days) occupied 2000 labourers three years, and after all an omen prevented its being placed in the temple. ${ }^{76}$ Amasis also placed there several immense andro-sphinxes, and other colossal statues, among which was a recumbent colossus of the same size as that at Memphis. ${ }^{76}$

While thus adorning the sanctuaries of his native gods, he gave 100 talents (about $25,000 \mathrm{l}$.) towarde the rebuilding of the temple at Delphi, which was burnt in B.c. 548, and he dedicated statues and other works of art to various Greek deities :-to Athena at Lindus, in regard for the tradition that the temple was built by the daughters of Danaiis, when they touched there on their flight from the sons of Agyptus;-to Hers at Samos, in memory of his friende ship for the ill-fated Polycraten, an episode in ancient history made famous by Herodotus and Schiller; ${ }^{71}$-and to Athena at Cyrene, with which state he formed a close alliance, marrying Ladice, the daughter of the king or of a Cyrenaic noble, "either as a sign of friendly feeling, or because he had a fancy to marry a Greek woman." ${ }^{3}$
519. But his foreign policy was not entirely pacific. He used the navy, which Neco had founded, to take Cyprus, which was a

[^111]dependency of Phœnicia, and to reduce it to tribute. ${ }^{79}$ In the final effort to rosist the Persian conqueror Cyrus, Amasis appears as the ally of the Lydian Croesus and the Babylonian Nabonidus, the latter being still probably his nominal suzerain. If we may believe Xenophon, Amasis sent to the aid of Croesus a force of 120,000 . Egyptians, who, after a very brave resistance, were admitted to an honourable capitulation, and settled in Larissa and Cyllene. Amasis seems afterwards to have been on friendly terms with Cyrus, to whose aid he sent one of the famous Egyptian eye-doctors. ${ }^{80}$ But this man's resentment is said to have suggested the pretext which the ambition of Cambyses found for the attack which he meditated from the beginning of his reign. Amasis died just as the invasion began (b.c. 527 or 525), leaving the inheritance of a lost throne to his son Psammenitus, who was defeated at Pelusium, and put to death with every indignity, after a nominal reign of six months.
§ 20 . The story of the conquest, and of the renewed attempts of Egypt to throw off the yoke, belong to the history of Persia. The Persian kings, from Cambyses to Darius II. Nothus, are enrolled as the Twenty-seventh Dynasty of Manetho. The ensuing revolts are recognised in the Twenty-eighth (Saïte) Dynasty, consisting only of Amyrtaus, who restored the independence of Egypt (B.0. 414-408), and the Twenty-ninth (Mendesian) and Thirtieth (Sebennyte) Dynasties (about B.c. 408-353), ${ }^{81}$ of whose intricate history we need only here say that they ruled with great prosperity and have left beautiful monuments of art. ${ }^{\text {se }}$ The last king of independent Egypt was Nectanebo II., who succumbed to the invasion of Artaxerxes Ochus, and fled to Ethiopia (b.c. 353). The last three kings of Persia, Ochus, Arses, and Darius Codomannus, form the Thirty-first Dynasty of Manetho, ending with the submission of Egypt to Alexander the Great (b.c. 332).

His foundation of Alexandria prepared the three centuries of prosperity which Egypt enjoyed under the Ptolemies (b.c. 323 to b.c. 30); till Mark Antony bartered the chance of a new Eastern Empire, with its seat in Egypt, for the charms of Cleopatra at the battle of Actium; which made Egypt a Roman province, and decided the victory of European progress over the despotic spirit and barbarian immobility of the East.

[^112]
" Funeral Boat, or Baris."
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## Section I.-Soclal Institutions-Classes of the Peophe.

§1. A people who lived for more than two thousand years, at the least, under a despotic government, amidst all the dynastic changes of which we never meet with a popular revolution, must have had the strongest elements of permanence both in their character and their institutions. The Egyptians were serious, as became believers in an immortal life and the sabjects of a supreme ruler, living under a fixed system of laws, and inhabiting a climate whose very changes show its regularity. But the sombre style of their monuments, and the composed features given to their statues by conventional rules of art, perhaps even the very preservation of so many of their dead, have produced an exaggerated impression of their gravity. They have left scenes of feasting and amusement enough to prove that they could be cheerful, and something more.
§ 2. The assertion constantly made, on the authority of the ancients, that Egyptian society was founded on the immutable law of caste, has been called in question by Rossellini and Ampère. In the strict sense of the term, the three conditions of caste-devotion to the profession of the caste, abstinence from all other professions, and from intermarriage with other castes-were not fulfilled by the Egyptians. From the monuments we find the sacerdotal and military fuuctions borne ly the same persons, and combined with civil offices:
priests and soldiers intermarry with each others' daughters; and members of the same family follow these two several professions. For example, a monument, in the museum at Naples, to one who was himself a general of infantry, records that his elder brother was a chief of public works and at the same time a priest. ${ }^{2}$ The nobility of an Egyptian, moreover, consisted in his high functions; and high birth is never put forward in the laudatory epitaphs. Except the royal race, who claimed a divine descent-whether as a fact or a figure is not quite clear-all Egyptians were equally well born.'

But there was a tendency, as in some modern aristocracies, for the higher services of religion and the state to become hereditary in certain families of the nobles, to whom such functions were strictly confined. The line of division was clear and broad between these privileged classer and those who were occupied with the wants of daily life; and among the latter it was customary, if not established by law, that the same occupations were handed down from father to son. Such, indeed, is the natural result of a state of society in which, the land and the government being in the bands of the upper classes, they can preacribe to the lower the conditions under which they shall earn their daily bread. The general rule, at all events, in Egypt was that every man should be limited to his hereditary business.: The monuments show clearly the distinct line between the privileged classes of the priests and warriors, who also held the higher administrative offices, and the reat of the population; but, for that very reamon, they give no indications of any fixed distribution of employments among the lower classes. "Priests, warriors, judges, architecte, chiefs of districts and provinces, are nearly the only ranks or classes that appear in the inscriptions. We do not find the labourer, the aqriculturist, the artist, or the physician, receiving those funereal honours which consist in the representation of the deceased as offering to the gods and praying for their protection in another world." 4
§8. Of such clasees, then, rather than castes, Herodotus enumerates seven, Diodorus five; but neither account is exact. Both agree in making the priests and soldiers the two highest classes: the rest, forming the common people, are divided by Diodorus into shepherds (or herdsmen), agriculturiats, and artisans; by Herodotus into herdsmen, swineherds, trudesmen, interpreters, and stecremen (or piluts). The last two classes (as Herodotus expressly tells us of the interpreters) would naturally be formed into distinct corporations

1 Ampere, in the 'Revae det Denz Mondes' 1848, p. $410 . \quad$ Diod. 1. 92.
 EXuF. Schol. to Ap. Rhod. Iv. p. 272-27t.

- Ampire, as quoted by Eemrick, 'Ancient Egypt,' vol H. ※. 24.
under the Saite kings, who encouraged foreigners and their commerce; ${ }^{8}$ and it must be constantly remembered that Herodotus describes Egypt (and chiefly Lower Egypt) as the Saïte kings had left it to their Persian conquerors. The separation of the unclean swineherds from the other pastoral people is a mere subdivision, or vice versa; and the remarkable omission of the agriculturists may be explained by the fact that they were virtually serfs, adscripti gleboe, not recognised as following a calling of their own. All the land of Egypt being owned by the king, priests, and soldiers, the preasants tilled it for their masters, paying a full and rigidly-exacted rent of the produce. Their condition was much like that of the fellahs of this day. ${ }^{6}$ No class seem to have been social outcasts like the Indian pariahs, except perhaps the swineherds, who (Herodotus tells us) were not permitted to enter a temple. As to the supposed hatred and contempt for shepherds and herdsmen in general-" every shepherd is an abomination to the Egyptians" ${ }^{7}$-it seems probable that some distinction should be drawn between the Semitic nomad races, the detested kinsmen of the Hyksos, and the native Egyptians who tended their lords' flocks and herds. But the antipathy to the former class would naturally include all the subject pastoral races of the Delta, the marshes of which were the greatest pasture-ground of Egypt.
§ 4. The vast variety of the occupations followed by the several classes of artisans, who are seen on the monuments in the actual work of their several callings, has been partly described in our account of the life of Egypt under the . Old Monarchy. A full account lies quite beyond our limits, and it has been already given by Sir Gardner Wilkinson; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ in whose descriptions and plates the reader will find the old Egyptians engaged in all the operations of agriculture, gardening, hunting, and boating; in the manufactures of glass; pottery, metal-work, and textile fabrics; in the handicrafts of shoemaking and carpentry, masonry and building, polishing pillars and colossal statues; in the occupations of shop-keepers, public weighers and notaries, fowlers, fishermen, brick-

[^113]makers, and common labourers; besides other soenes too many to enumerate.

The classification attempted by the Greek writers could not, from the nature of the case, be complete. "In a country so fertile as Egypt, in which manufactures, art, and internal commerce were carried on to such an extent, wealth must have accumulated amongst those engaged in civil life, and have given rise to a class of independent proprietors not included in any of the genea. On the other hand, we find that in large cities a populace forms itself, depending on casual expedients for subsistence, and, as baving no definite occupation, equally excluded from the list. Such a clase in later times existed in Egypt; Sethos employed it in support of his usurpation;" Amasis endeavoured to check its growth by compelling every man to declare his occupation before the magistrate." 10
§ 5. The highest class was that of the Pbiests; and their office was strictly hereditary. The priests of Amun at Thebes, and of Phtha at Memphis, boasted to Hecatæus and Herodotus their descent from father to son for 345 and 340 generations respectively. ${ }^{u}$ They were the great hereditary nobility of Egypt; and they shared with the king and the warrior-clase the ownership of all the land. They claimed their possessions as the gift of Isis, who had granted one-third of the soil of Egypt to the priests; and in fact they held the greatest part of it, though we do not know the exact proportion. When Joseph accomplished his new policy of land tenuro, the land of the priests was exempted from the paramount ownership of the king, and from the tax of one-fifth of the produce; and the exemption remained permanent. ${ }^{19}$ The lands were let out to tenanta, whose rents were carried into the treasury of the temples, of which the cultivators were considered as the servants. Henco were defrayed the expenses of the temples, their pompous ritual, and their numerous hierarchy of ministers; but the priests received, besides, daily rations of cooked food, sad contributions of oxen, sheep, and wine: fish was forbidden to them. So abundant were these resources that they had no need to expend their private property. ${ }^{[1}$ They lived in wealth and luxury; and the minute ritual observances of their lives, in a climate like that of Egyph, were agreeable rather than ascetic. They shaved the head and body every other day, washed in

[^114]cold water twice every day and twice every night, and wore robes of linen and shoes of papyrus, wool and leather being forbidden them. ${ }^{14}$ The endless variety of their services filled up the time for which there was no other occupation (for the sciences, of which the priests held the key, could only be mastered by the few); and even amusement might be found in ritual observances. They were bound by no law of celibacy; but they were the only class to whom polygamy was forbidden. ${ }^{15}$ Women could not hold the priesthood, even to female deities; ${ }^{16}$ but they might minister in the temples. ${ }^{17}$ For each deity there was a high-priest, whose dignity was hereditary, at the head of a numerous hierarchy of priests, scribes, and attendants of all sorts. The most famous sacerdotal colleges were those of the three religious capitals, Memphis, Heliopolis, and Thebes.
§ 6. The Mulitary Class ranked second. None of them practised any trade; and the son succeeded to the profession of the father. ${ }^{18}$ Herodotus divides them into the two bodies called Hermotybians and Calosirians. ${ }^{10}$ Each body consisted of the forces of different nomes; the Hermotybians belonging to five nomes of Lower Egypt and one of Upper Egypt, namely, Chemmis; the Calasirians to eleven nomes of Lower Egypt and one of Upper, namely, Thebes. ${ }^{20}$ As Kenrick observes, "It was on the side of Asia that the country was most exposed to attack; . . . . and the abundance and fertility of land in the Delta pointed out this as the part most suitable for the settlement of the soldiery." Here, also, the foreign auxiliaries were stationed in their separate "camps." ' To the native soldiery, as we have seen, were entrusted the three great frontier garrisons of Elephantine towards Ethiopia, Pelusium towards Syria, and Marea towards Libya.

The military class shared the soil of Egypt with the king and the priests; and an expression of Diodorus seems to imply that they employed their leisure in cultivating their lands: ${ }^{2 n}$ but they were interdicted from all handicrafts. Herodotus says that each soldier had 12 arurre (about 3 roods) exempt from all imposts. There was no privileged corps, like our Guards; but the king's body-guard was furnished every year by 1000 men from each of the two bodies; and, during this service, each man received, as daily allowance,

[^115]5 mince ${ }^{2 n}$ of baked head or parched corn, 2 mince of beef, and about a quart of wine. Their peculiar arms, clothing, and ensigns, are seen on the monuments.

From all this it is clear that the Egyptian army had no resemblance to forces of paid soldiers enlisted from the lower classes, and commanded by privileged officers. The whole profession was privileged; and, in the flourishing times of the monarchy, it was strictly national. The foreign auxiliaries were. kept in a thoroughly subordinate position; till, in the course of generations, they became Egyptian citizens, like the Matoi, under the Middle Monarchy, and the Libyan Maxyans, under the New. The reliance of Psammetichus on his Greek and Carian mercenaries broke up this system, and caused, first, the secession of the bulk of the native soldiers, and afterwards those intestine struggles of the two forces which left Egypt an easy prey to Persia

## Section II.-Political Institutions,

§ 7. The government of Egypt was an absolute monarchy, only qualified by a definite system of laws, and by the strong influence of religion on the conscience of the king and of rules imposed by the priests upon his daily life. He held unlimited power over a people who were unquestioning believers in the divine right of kings, on the only sure ground of a real belief in their divine origin. "The Egyptians," says Diodorus Siculua, "adore their kings as equal to the gods;" and the monuments confirm him. In the earliest age of the monarchy we find the king invested with the sacerdotal character; and the priesta are in a state of absolute dependence on him as their head. As the prieste gained more independent power, the king added to his rank as sovereign pontiff the character of a visible god upon the earth. Hence the sublime epithet of Pharooh, son of the Sur-God, Ra, which was prefixed to the name of every king, in an oval surmounted by a crowned hawk, the symbol of Ra. ${ }^{23}$ "The king is the image of Ra among men," says an inscrijtion. Hence the constant identification of the king with Horus, and his titles of "the great god," "the good god," "the sun, the lord of justice;" for he ruled the lower world as the sun rules the order of the universe. In short, as a modern writer puts it, in the act of mounting the throne, he was transfigured before the cyes of his aubjects, and enjoyed an apotheosis during his life besidea his apotheosis after death. The divine and regal emblems are so interchanged on the monuments, the god and king are so associated, that it is often difficuit to say which is which : and the king is even seen in the act of worshipping his own image. After death, the long ine

[^116]of kings are worshipped by their successors, as we have seen in the "Chamber of Ancestors" and the "Tables of Abydos." But during life, also, they had their own priests and altars.

The distance was immeasurable between the king and the highest of his subjects. He might not be ministered to by slaves; but priests and military nobles were his domestics; and their epitaphs record exemption from abject reverence as the most distinguished favour. One rejoices in being allowed to touch the king's knees in place of prostration before him; another is even permitted to wear his sandals in the palace. This system endured even under the Ptolemies; who, we must remember, were not free Greeks, but semi-barbarians, prone to adopt Oriental forms and Oriental vices. Such a view of the royal person, as one to whom reverence and obedience was a religious duty even in the highest subject, excluded that personal dignity and independence which are essential to a true nobility, and left no separate power or rank between the divine Pharaoh on the throne and the people at his footstool. Such was the full theory of Egyptian royalty, however modified in practice by the power of the priests and soldiers
§8. One class of restrictions arose from the very dignity of the royal nature. The divine Pharaoh must himself observe an etiquette of order worthy of a god; and of this the priests made themselves the interpreters and ministers. His food and the quantity of his wine, bis exercises and his pleasures, were all prescribed by a ceremonial contained in one of the books of Hermes (i.e. Thoth)." "It was his duty," says Diodorus, "when he rose in the early morning, first of all to read the letters sent from all parts, that he might transact all business with accurate knowledge of what was being done everywhere in his kingdom. Having bathed, and arrayed himself in splendid robes and the insignia of sovereignty, he sacrificed to the gods. ${ }^{*}$. The victims being placed beside the altar, the high-priest, standing near the king, prayed with a loud voice (the people standing round) that the gods would give health and all other blessings to the king, he observing justice towards his subjects. It was the priest's office, also, to declare the king's several virtues, saying that he showed piety towards the gods and clemency towards men; that he was temperate and magnanimous, truthful and liberal, and master of all his passions; that he inflicted on offenders punishments lighter than their misdeeds deserved, and repaid benefits with more than a proportionate return. After many similar prayers, the wriest pronounced an imprecation respecting things done in iguorance,

[^117]exempting the king from all accusation, and fixing the injury and the penalty on those who had been his ministers and who had wrongfully instructed him." So early in the history of the world do we find the doctrine of ministerial responsibility brought to support the maxim that " the king can do no wrong."
it is, indeed, affirmed that his own responsibility was enforced by a form of posthumous judgment, to which he was subjected in the person of his mummy. Any one who had an accusation to bring against him was heard; and, after the priests had pleaded his merits, the honours of sepulture were granted or refused by the applause or murmurs of the assembled people. ${ }^{\text {* }}$ But this singular statement receives no confirmation from the monuments; and when we find the memorials of a deceased king defaced, it is generally by some rival who wished, to brand him as a usurper.
§ 9. The succession to the crown was hereditary ; and the princen of the royal family were distinguished by appropriate titles and insignia ${ }^{77}$ These princes generally followed the military profession, to which most of the Egyptian kings belonged: we find them mentioned as generals of the cavalry, archers, and other corys, and admirals of the fleet. Many held honourable offices in the royal household, such as fan-bearers on the right of their father, royal acribes, superintendents of the granaries or of the land, and treasurers of the king. That "the king never dies" was a fundamental maxim of the monarchy; and, amidst all the dynastic revolutions, the priestly registers (as we see from Manetho) were made to show an unbroken succession from Menes to Pammenitus.

The ceremouies of election, spoken of by some late writers, seem to have been only formal, the people, as at modern coronations, welcoming the new king by their acclamations. In the case of a real or formal election, owing to a dynastic revolution or the failure of the royal line, the new king must be either a priest or eoldier ; and, if the latter, he was admitted to the acerdotal order and initiated in the hidden wisdorn of the priests. In every case, the king was diligently instructed by the scribes in the moral precepts, and in the histories of eminent and virtuous men, contained in the sacred books. He was bound to use his power according to the law, and nothing was left

[^118]to caprice or passion; ${ }^{20}$ and, amidst some striking cases of tyranny, ${ }^{30}$ the absence of popular revolutions is a strong argument that the rulers generally respected the laws and revered their religious sanctions.
"The union of priestly sanctity, military power, and monarchical authority, in one person, gave the government a degree of stability not belonging to forms of polity in which these powers were dissociated or hostile. At the same time the influence of the sacerdutal. order, who were almost the sole possessors of knowledge, stamped it with a character of mildness and humanity; as in the Middle. Ages the influence of the Church tempered the rigours of feudalism. It substituted religious awe for constitutional checks and sanctions in the mind of the monarch, and by this sentiment more effectually controlled him as long as religion and its ministers were respected." al
§ 10. Legislative power seems to have been vested in the sovcreign alone; and among the kings famous as lawgivers are Menes, Sasychis, Bocchoris, and Amesis. But it is impossible to doubt that they consulted the learning of the priests and the wishes of the higher classes generally in making new laws. The Greeks regarded the laws of Egypt as the expression of the highest wisdom and the fountain of inspiration to their own great legislators and philosophers, Lycurgus, Solon, Pythagoras, and Plato; and the likeness between the Egyptian aud Jewish codes is a decisive testimony alike to the merit of the former and to the purpose for which Moses was led to acquire his Egyptian learning.

Unfortunately, both the monuments and the papyri, so rich in historical facts and religious lore, are almost silent about the laws; but Diodorus gives the outline of the criminal code.s ${ }^{s i}$ First of all, perjury was punished by death, as combining the two greatest crimes that can be committed against God and against man. The false accuser was subject to the penalty of the offence charged. The wilful murder, whether of a free man or of a slave, was alike punished by death; and the same penalty was inflicted on the bystander who refused to assist a man attacked by an assassin. li; being really unable to give effectual belp, he failed to denounce the cuiprit before the tribunals, he received a certain number of stripes, and was kept without food for three days. A parent who killed his child was compelled to sit three days and three nights embracing its body, under the guard of a public officer. The exposure of infants was forbidden, nor was a mother allowed to be executed with an unborn child; for it was held supremely unjust to make an innocent being share the penalty of the guilty, and to take two lives

[^119]in expiation of the crime of one. A thousand stripes were inflicted on an adulterer, and mutilation of the nose on the adulteress, to spoil her beauty. Makers of false weights and measures, counterfeiters of money and seals, forgers of documents, and those who altered public acts, had both hands cut off: Desertion was punished, not by death, but by infamy, in order that the soldier might fear shame more than death, and also to incite him to valiant efforts to regain his rank; while, if put to death, he would have been useless to the state. The spy who betrayed secret plans to an enemy had his tongue cut out.

There were other forms of punishment. We have seen that Herodotus mentions the substitution, by Sabaco, for the punishment of death, of forced labour in embanking the cities of the Delta. It is probable that, in the times of the Pharaohs, as well as those of the Ptolemies, the working of the gold mines of Nubia, and of the mines in the Arabian Desert, was one of the punishments of criminals. The labour was cruelly severe, and was exacted by the scourge; in the low and winding passages in which they wrought, the miners were compelled to assume painful and unnatural postures in order to carty on their work.s Their complaints could excite no sympathy, for guards were placed over them who did not understand their language. Children, women, and old men were employed in different operations, and neither infirmity nor disease procured a respite while there remained any strength which blows could compel them to exert. ${ }^{25}$. The law of theft was very curious. The "habitual criminals " of this class (if criminals they could be called under such a law) were organized under a chief, who kept a register of their names, and acted as their "receiver-general." On application to him, a person who had been robbed could recover his property by paying one-fourth of ite value; and probably nowbere, an Kenrick observes, ham stolen property been so cheaply recovered. ${ }^{38}$. Unless the law referred to some peculiar cases, it would have amountedas some later writers represent it-to s general permission of theft in Fgypt."

- Of the civil law-benides the general statement that Bocchoris legislated for commerce, the only detaila given by the ancients relate to debtors and creditors. Where no written acknowledgment could be produced, a claim might be rebutted by the oath of the alleged debtor; and in no case was interest allowed to exceed twice the principal. A debtor was answerable to the extent of his property,

A A grave was found at Sakkara oontaining bodies, the hande and feet of which had been mutilated at the jointa.
${ }^{26}$ "Distorting their bodies in many wayn to salt the pecolisities of the rocks:"

m Diod. L. 80.
${ }^{2}$ Aulus Oelliua, si. 18
but not in his person, for the latter was held to be at the disposal of the state. We have already mentioned the pledging of the mummy of a debtor's father, and of his family tomb. The numerous existing papyri, containing contracts of sale and lease of lands and housesfound among other family papers in the tombs-show the strict forms and guarantees by which property was secured.
§ 11. Egypt had the blessing of a judicial administration almost independent of the crown. The kings reserved for the last resort (except probably in political cases) those judicial functions which, as in all the ancient monarchies, were the prerogative of royalty. There was a supreme court of Thirty (or rather thirty-one) persons, ten from each of the cities of Memphis, Heliopolis, and Thebes; they chose their president, who was replaced by another representative from the same city. As these were the three great seats of priestly colleges, it is inferred, and it is probable on other grounds, that the judges were of the sacerdotal order, which alone possessed the necessary knowledge of the law.
all cases were conducted in writing, that the decision might be uninfluenced either by eloquence or supplication. "A collection of the laws, in eight volumes, lay before the judges: the plaintiff, or accuser, declared in writing how he had been injured, cited the portion of the law on which he relied, and laid the amount of his damages, or clained the penalty which, in his view, the law awarded. The defendant, or culprit, replied in writing, point by point, denying the fact alleged, or showing that his act had not been unlawful, or that the penalty claimed was excessive. The plaintiff having rejoined, and the defendant replied again; the judges deliberated among themselves. A chain of gold and precious stones was worn by the president, to which was attached an image of Thmei (or $M a$ ), the goddess of truth; and he pronounced sentence by touching with this image the plaintiff's or defendant's pleadings. We are not told how the facts were established, and indeed the whole account suggests the idea of a Court of Appeal, rather than of primary jurisdiction." ${ }^{38}$ Ordinary suits were probably judged by the Nomarchs and Toparchs on the spot. We possess papyri containing the official records of two criminal trials. The one, under Rameses II., has been already mentioned. ${ }^{39}$ The other, under Rameses IV., relates to the trial of a band of thieves, who had carried on a systematic pillage of the Theban tombs. We have no similar record of any civil process.
§ 12. The Administration was conducted by an army of officials, unsurpassed in number and organization by the most bureaucratic of modern governments. It was entrusted to the great corporation
of Scribes-a branch of the sacerdotal order-and was carried on by means of written orders and reports passing between the superior and inferior officers. "Papyrus," in ancient Egypt, might have furnished the same by-word as our "red-tape." Many of these reports, and fragments of public accounts, are extant. We heve already given an example, relating to the captive Hebrews. The elaborate phrases of respect, and the general style of these statepapers, bear a resemblance to those of the Chinese.

The chief departments were those of public works, war, and .finance. As coined money appears to have been unknown, all taxes and dues were collected in kind; and for this purpose the land was divided into three categories, the arable lands (ouou), the marshes (pehou), and the canals (maou), which paid their respective imposts in corn, cattle, and fish. As one-third of the whole land of Egypt belonged to the king, and the tenants of the royal demesne paid him one-fifth of the produce; and as the land of the priests, and a part at least of that of the warriors, was exempt from taxation; it would appear that the taxes spoken of by ancient writers were for the most part the same thing as the rent (or double-tithe) of the crown lands. Such a revenue might well support the splendid state in which the Pharaohs held their court, and their vast outlay on building and sculptures, especially with the aid of forced labour. The enormous expenses of their foreign wars were defrayed, according to ancient custom, by plunder and exaction during the campaign, and by the tributes of conquered countries.
$\rho 13$. The whole territory of Egypt was divided, for administrative purposes, into nomes; of which some of the most important, at least, seem to have been originally independent states. To the latest time they were the seats of what we may call a cantonal worship, each nome having ite own local deity, whose temple marked the chief city of the nome. The number of nomes under the Pharaohs, Ptolemies, and early Cæesars was 36 : 10 in Upper Egypt, 16 in Middle Egypt, ${ }^{4}$ and 10 in Lower Egypt : but these number were greatly increased by the later Roman emperora, till in the time of Arcadius there were 58.4 Each nome had a governor, whom the Greeks call nomarch, and under him were local magistratem called toparchs. ${ }^{23}$ There was (according to Strabo) a central organization of these nomes for common purposes, by delegations composed of persons of atation and character frome each nome, accom-

[^120]
" Funeral Boat, or Baris."
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panied by the priests of its chief temple. The delegiacs were lodged in the Labyrinth, the 27 halls of which corresponded to the number of the nomes; they made offerings to the gods, and settled questions of doubtful jurisdiction. ${ }^{18}$

The whole of this system was in the hands of the two privileged orders. "The great lbody of the Egyptian people appear to have had no public duties whatever, neither political, judicial, nor military; the idea of a citizen was unknown among them. This exclusion of all but priests and soldiers from political functions would ensure revolution in any modern governnuent; but the privileged orders were so firmly established by the threefold monopoly of knowledge, sacred and secular, arms, and landed property, that we do not read even of an attempt to disturb them, on the part of the excluded millions, till the last century of the history of the Pharaohs." ${ }^{4}$

## Section III.-Religious Institutions.

§ 14. The great bond of this thoroughly organized system was Religion. Herodotus says that the Egyptians are religious to excess, far beyond any other race of men; ${ }^{45}$ and even when the gross excesses of a degenerate superstition provoked the ridicule of the Greeks and Romans, the Greek philosopher, who makes Momus express his surprise that so many persons were allowed to share divine honours, his indignation at the Egyptian crew of apes, ibises, bulls and other ridiculous creatures who intruded themselves into heaven, and his wonder how Jove could allow himself to be caricatured with the horns of a ram-the same philosopher makes Jove reply, that these were mysteries, not to be derided by the uninitiated. ${ }^{18}$

Egypt had in fact two religions: one, which Herodotus saw captivating the eyes of the people with pompous ceremonies, and governing their lives by minute observances; the other, of which the priests barely allowed him to catch a glimpse, and even that glimpse he was too reverent to repeat. ${ }^{47}$ It may be that some portions of the esoteric doctrine were revealed to Pythagoras and Plato, and afterwards in those mysteries of Isis, so popular under the Roman empire, the meaning of which has been discussed by Plutarch; ${ }^{40}$ but all that we could learn with certainty from these sources has been either lost in antiquity, or inextricably involved with the speculations of the Greeks themselves. At length, however, modern sciense has, in the language of the ancients, " lifted the veil of Isis;" axd in the Egyptian papyri we read the secrets of Egyptian theology.

[^121]The first revelation is somewhat startling. Even Herodotus had learnt that, amidst their system of polytheism, the Egyptians of Thebes recognised one supreme Goh, who had no beginuing, and would have no end; and Jamblichus quotes from the old Hermetic books the statement-" Before all the things that actually exist, and before all beginnings, there is one God, prior even to the first god and king, remaining unmoved in the singleness of his own Unity." 40 And now if, like the prophet on his mission to Egypt, we ask by what name we shall announce this God, the sacred books of Egypt give the very same answer-an answer which the initiated took with them to the grave, inscribed on a scroll as their confession of faith :-"Nux pu Nox"-" $I$ am that I am." ${ }^{\infty}$ Other papyri tell us "That He is the sole generator in heaven and on earth, and that He is not engendered-That He is verily the sole living God who has engendered Himself-He who is from the beginning-IIe who created all, but is Himself uncreated." ${ }^{\text {ol }}$
That the original worship of Egypt was in accordance with this theology is indicated by at least one ancient monument, the temple of King Shafre, in its freedom not only from idols but even from symbolic decorations, and perhaps by the oldest pyramids. ${ }^{59}$
515. Whence then the outrageous polytheism-the gross super-stition-which

> " With monatrous shapen and eorceries abused Panatic Egypt and her priesta, to eeek Their wandering tods dietinguished in brutioh forms Rather than humanLikening their Maker to the gresed ox, Jehovah, who in one night, when he pased Prow Egypt marching, equalled with one otroke Both her firatborn and all her bleating gods !"

The answer is not difficult; and it shews one origin of polytheism and idolatry. The unity of Cod was lost in the plurality of His manifestations. Each of these, cmbodied in a personal form, became a god; while the allegorical reprementations of the divine qualities gave birth to the monstrous combinations of animal and human forms, and to the worahip of animals themselves. All these were -so to apeak-religious masks, grotesque allegorical embodiments of the originally pure dogma communicated to the initiated at the mysteries. When once invested with a distinct personality, and

[^122]with attributes which were regarded as their own, the gods became secondary agents, taking their part in the organization of the world and the preservation of its creatures; and this polytheism was extended to embrace all nature.

The principle of anthropomorphism was carried out, as in all systems of polytheism, to the length of ascribing to the deities the distinction of sex, and the ordinary family relations. 'Hence, at all the chief religious centres, we find, not one god alone, but a triad, consisting of father, mother, and son. From the involved character of this system, from the numerous centres of worship, and from the many forms of symbolism used to embody the same idea, we find in these triads an extraordinary mixture and repetition, not only of attributes, but even of personalities.
§ 16. Throughout the whole system there is a constant reference to the dogma which, next to the divine unity, is the one most characteristic of the Egyptian religion, the immortality of the soul and a future state of existence after death. Of this truth a thousand symbols and promises were recognised in the natural world, and embodied in the conceptions of the gods. The prevailing emblem was furnished by the Sun's daily course, as it passed alternately through the abodes of darkness-or death, and of light-or life; for, with the Egyptians, as with the Hebrews, the evening and morning were the day. But the Sun was the source as well as the sigu of life, the vivifier of the world, the universal father; and, as it shines in the firmament above, superior to all the other lights of heaven, it is the universal lord. These conceptions were embodied in different names-Ra, the Sun in his meridian splendour; Atoum, in his nocturnal course; Kheper, as the giver and sustainer of life;-and we may perhaps go so far as to say that, in all the varied combinations of the Egyptian Pantheun, the supreme god has, at least, some connection with the Sun. Correlative to this living, active, vivifying principle was inert matter, the universal mother (Maut)-one form under many names, as Жschylus says of the earth-nay, in -one aspect, as Neith, the mother of the Sun himself, as well as of all the gods ; and itself a creation of the god Noum (or Knuphis), the divine breath which animates matter, and the first creator, or demiurgus, whose symbol is the ram. Thus, in the Egyptian doctrine, inert matter-the receptacle of all life-was not coëternal with God, but was created by his breath : and here we have again a close resemblance to. the cosmogony of Moses.

Another set of symbols was suggested by the general idea of the solar course. The lower hemisphere, or more vaguels the Western region, into which the Sun sinks to rest, was personified in Athor (or Atur), ${ }^{50}$ the mother of Ra , whose symbol is the cow. As springas The Greeks identified this goddess with $A$ phrodito.
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ing from her, when be resumes his daily course, the Sun becomes the youthful Horus; and the same cow, appearing to welcome him in the upper world, is again deified under the name of Noub.

In accordance with the usual mode of travelling in Egypt, the mystic journey of the Sun is made in a boat or bark; and this givea rise to a new set of personifications. This voyager through the shades, with the twelve hours of the night for his companion deities, was distinguished from the other personifications of the Sun by the famous name of Osrare. This god, and his wife Isis (who unites the characters of Maut and Neith and Athor), were the children of the god Seb, another personification of the earth, and of the goddess Nout, the firmament of heaven. Their son, the ever youthful Horun, the chief of the twelve companions of his father, and the lord of the hour of dawn, personified the rising Sun, piercing with his dart the serpent Apap, or Apophis, who represents the vapours of the dawn. This contest was generalized into the whole conflict between good and evil, in which the serpent, or evil principle, in embodied in a special deity, Set or Soutelch, the Egyptian name for the Baal of the Syrians and Shepherds, whom the Greeks confounded with Typhon. ${ }^{04}$ The fable, which became the most popular article of faith among all the Egyptians, and the most mysterious of their tenets in the oyea of their Greek visitors, ${ }^{\text {se }}$ related how Osiris manifested himself among men, and ruled Egypt with beneficent sway; ${ }^{\text {" }}$ how he was killed in combat with the serpent Typhon, and raised to life again through the prayers and invocations of Isis; and how his eon Horus took vengeance upon Typhon. The substance of the legend appeara in all the eastern aystems of nature-worship, and eapecially in the myths of Cybele and Atys, and of Venue and Adonis.
§ 17. Osiris, Xsis, and Horus formed the most popular, though the last in order, of the Egyptian triads. Their worship was common to all Egypt; but the other chief triads had local centres.
(i) The first in rank was that of Thebes, headed by Amun, the supreme god of Egypt, at least from the time when Thebes was made the capital by the twelifh dynamty. Amun, whone name means hidden, was the highest personsl embodiment of the invisible and inconceivable god, the creator and governor, not only of the world, but of all the other gods, who personify his attributes: thus the Ritual of the Dead says, "Amun creates his members, and they become his associate gods." Hence the Greeks identified him with their Jove, "the father of gode and men." He was worshipped at Thebes as Amun-Ra (Ammon the Sun), in conjunction with Maud,

[^123]("the Mother," par excellence), and Chons, who is at once the son oi Amun, and another form of him. Indeed in all these triads, the son is another impersonation of the attributes of the father.
(ii.) The Triad of ALemphis consisted of Phtha, Pasht, and Month. In the time of Lower Egypt's supremacy, Phtha might dispute with Amun the first place among the Egyptian gods. He seems, in fact, to represent a somewhat different system of physico-theology, based on the secret working of the powers of nature. Phtha is the personification, not of the sun, but of the all-working power of fire; ${ }^{57}$ the second demiuryus, an emanation from the first creative principle, Nouph or Knuphis. His sponse was Pasht, the lion-headed goddess of Bubastis, the oniversal mother (like Maut), and specially the avenger of crimes. From them sprang the Sun-god, whose most brilliant and terrible form, as he darts abroad his piercing and sometimes pestilential rays, like sharp arrows, is embodied in Month, with the symbol of the hawk.
(iii.) Munth himself, with his consart Ritho, and their son Harphré (Horus the Sun), formed the Triad of Hermonthis.
(iv.) The triad of Osiris, Isis, and Horus was, as we have just said, nevered thrunghont all Egypt.

Herodotus was perhaps guided by the system of triads in his division of the Egyptian gods into three orders:-" the cight," who existed before the rest, and of whom Pas (i.e. Khem) was one; "the twelve ${ }^{5}$ of the second order, one of whom was Hercules (ouder whose name he seems to confound Khons and Mour, the god of Sebennytus); and the gods of the third order, whom "the twelve" produced, among whom was Dionysus (i.e. Osiris). Ancient and modern writers have framed very different theories to illustrate or confirm or refute this statement; and we must abstain here from any attempt to complete the Egyptian Pantheon. ${ }^{(18)}$
§ 18. The spirit of symbolism ran through the whole religion of Egypt; and never was there a stronger case of the abnses to which that fascinating principle may sink, than in the animal worship of the Egyptians. Many fanciful theories have been devised to account for this strange religions aberration. Herodotus, after stating that Egypt does not abound in wild animais, but that its animals

[^124]Kewrick
Amun and Mant. Pthah and Pasht. Kneph and Neith. Kikem and Athor.

Fibkimano
Amun and Mant. Pthah and Neith. Noum (Kneph) and Sale. Khera and Pasht.
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(whether domesticated or not) are all regarded as sacred, adds,"If I were to explain why they are consecrated to the sevcral gods, I should be led to speak of religious matters, which I particularly shrink from mentioning." ${ }^{\text {si }}$ Diodorus quotes three reasous which were commonly given by the Egyptians. ${ }^{80}$ The first is a fable which tells how the original gods, being few in number, and no match for the iniquities and violence of men, took the shape of animals, in order to escape from them; and afterwards, when they became masters of the whole world, they consecrated and appropriated these animals to themselves, as an act of gratitude ${ }^{\text {as }}$ The second atory ascribed the custom to victories obtained by the army under standards bearing the heads of animals;-an obvious inversion of the natural order; nor are such standards seen on the monuments.

The third reason is plausible enough to have been gencrally accepted by the ancient writers, ${ }^{69}$ as well as by modern utilitarians -that the animals were consecrated on account of the benefite which mankind derived from them; ${ }^{\text {ce }}$ the bull and cow, from their services in agriculture and in supplying man with nourishment; the sheep, from its rapid multiplication and the utility of its fleece, its milk, and its cheese; the dog, for its use in hunting ; the cat, because it destroys asps and other venomous reptiles; the ichneumon, because it sucks the eggs of the crocodile, and even destroys the animal itself by creeping into its mouth and gnawing its intestines; the ibis and bawk, because they destroy snakes and vermin.

This theory may contain a germ of truth : the general practice being once established, some animals may have been consecrated through gratitude, as the ichneumon and the ibis; but even in these cases a better reason might perhape be found. Beaides, the theory is inadequate: as Kenrick well asko-"If the ichneumon or the hawk were worshipped because they destroyed crocodiles and serpents, why the serpent and the crocodile? Or if the ibis was worshipped because it devours snakes and vermin, why was it specially consecrated to Thoth, the god of letters?" Nur were the want of the Egyptians so opposite in various nomes, as to account for their extirpating as noxious, in one, the very snimala that were consecrated as useful in the next!

5 19. Without naming many other reasons which are manifest inventions, or discussing mere philosophic theories-such as those which connect the practice with a Pautheistic creed, or with the doctrine of metempsychoris-there remains the one explanation

[^125]from the universal tendency of mankiud to find in the peculiar qualities of animals figures of the characters of rational beings,- $\mathbf{a}$ tendency which survives in poetry and heraldry, and which may be traced in the symbolisms of other religions, though no people have carried it to the same length as the Egyptians. The application of this principle is admirably stated by Mr. Kenrick :-" What those analogies were, which the Egyptians found or fancied, between the attributes of the gods and the specific qualities of the animals consecrated to them, we can in general only guess. The lordly bull, as a type at once of power and of production, seems a natural symbol of the mighty god Osiris, who-whether he represented originally the Earth, the Sun, or the Nile-was certainly revered as the great source of life. The god of Mendes, for a similar reason, was fitly represented by a goat. The bright and piercing eye of the hawk made it an appropriate emblem of Horus, who was also the Sun; the crocodile might naturally be adopted as a symbol of the Nile which it inhabits, ${ }^{64}$ or, from its voracious habits and hostility to man, might, on the other hand, symbolise Typhon, the principle of evil. We may fancy that the Cynocephalus was chosen to represent Thoth, the god of letters and science, from the near approach which this animal makes to human reason." But we cannot expect to explain every example; and it is probably from our limited acquaintance with the Egyptian mythology that we have to leave some questions unanswered, as "Why was the zbis appropriated to Osiris? or the cat to Pasht? or the ram to Kneph ? or the vulture to Isis? or what made the scarcubreus one of the most sacred of all the animal types of Egypt?"

We may trace three stages of this symbolism. First, the placing the head of the animal on the human form of the god, the almost universal type of the Egyptian idols. ${ }^{56}$ Next, the consecration of living animals as types of the deities: a symbolism which degenerated into actual worship. Lastly, the animal was believed to be the positive incarnation of the god in three cases only: the bull Apis, who was worshipped at Memphis as the incarnation of Phtha; the bull Mnevis, at Heliopolis, the incarnation of Osiris; and the goat at Mendes, the incarnation of $K$ hem. The most revered was Apis (in Egyptian, Hapi), who was revealed by certain marks : his colour was black, with a white triangular spot on the forehead, a half-moon upon the back, and a swelling in the shape of a scarabæus on the tongue. He was kept in great pomp, in a splendid

[^126]
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building, and it was esteemed the highest honour to be one of his ministering prieste. When he died, all Egypt went into mourning : and when a new Apis was manifested, the land gave itself up to rejoicing. His term of life was limited: if he did not then die naturally, the priests killed him, and then mourned for him. His i ody was embalmed, and buried in the sepulchre which we have already mentioned as discovered by M. Mariette with its invaluable lecords. ${ }^{6 /}$ The Greeks called the temple of Apis the Serapeum, a curious misnomer, which originated as follows. The soul of the deceased Apis was supposed to become assimilated, in the lower world, to another manifestation of Osiris, and was worshipped under the name of Osir-Hapi, which the Greeks made Serapis: and, in the time of the Ptolemies, the worship of Serapis became the religious bond between the old Egyptians and the Greek colonists.
§ 20. The other sacred animala had likewise their temples, where they were splendidly maintained. Besides the land asaigned to them, they received the produce of vows, especially those made by parents for the recovery of their children, and at death they were embalmed. Some, that were held in peculiar honour, had their sprecial burial-places, as the cat at Bubastia, the hawk at Buto, the ibis at Hermopolis. The reverence paid to eome was purely local: thus the hippopotamus was worshipped only at Papremis; the sheep in the Theban and Saitic nomes; the wolf at Lycopolis; the lion at Leontopolis; and others in other places: the crocodile was held sacred in the Thebaid, but was hunted down elsewhere. The killing of a sacred animal was a sacrilege punished with death, if wilful; if involuntary, by such a fine as the priests might impose : but the slayer of an ibis or hawt was in all cases put to death. It is said that when Cambyses invaded Egypt, he placed sacred animals in his front line, and the Egyptians suffered defeat rather than harm them. The same conqueror shewed a Persian's indignation for idolatry by elaying an Apis, over whose discovery the Egyptians were rejoicing; and his madness was held to be the renalty of the outrage. Even under one of the last Ptolemies, when the fate of Egypt hung on the friendship or anger of Rome, the intercession of the king himself failed to save a Roman soldier, who had killed a cat, from the bands of the enraged people. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
The superstition lasted till it gradually yielded to Christianity, and Clemens Alexandrinus describes it in astriking passage :"Among the Egyptians, the temples are surrounded with groves and consecrated pastures; they are furnished with propylsea, and their courts are encircled with an infinite number of columns; their walls glitter with foreign marbles and paintinge of the highest art;

[^127]the naos is resplendent with gold and silver and electrum and variegated stones from India and Ethiopia; the adytum is veiled by a curtain wrought with gold: But if you pass beyond, into the remotest part of the enclosure, hastening to behold something yet more excellent, and seek for the image which dwells in the temple, a pastophorus, or some one else of those who minister in sacred things, with a pompous air, singing a pæan in the Egyptian tongue, draws aside a small portion of the curtain, as if about to show us the god, and makes us burst into a laugh. For no god is found within, but a cat, or a crocodile, or a serpent sprung from the soil, or some such brute animal ; the Egyptian deity appears a beast, rolling himself on a purple coverlet!" 88
§ 21. It is unnecessary to describe the sacrifices and ceremonial worship of the Egyptians, which differed in no important respect from those of other nations; but it should be mentioned that they had the rite of circumcision. Their practice of embalmment, the various forms of which are fully described by Herodotus, arose from their belief in a future life and in the resurrection of the body. So long as the body was preserved from corruption, it was believed to retain a germ of life, and mystic formulæ were used for the preservation of the vital spark. The future life and resurrection are often depicted on the coffins by symbols connected with the course of the sun. The soul is represented by a hawk (the symbol of Ra) with a human head, holding in its claws the two rings of eternity, and surmounted by the rising sun, with Isis and Nephthys for its attendants. Such a hawk is seen in a vignette of the Ritual of the Dead, carrying the ring-handled cross (crux ansata)--the emblem of life-to a mummy lying on its bier. When its subterranean pilgrimage is fulfilled, the soul arrives at the bark of the sun, and is received by Ra under the emblem of a scarabæus.

But this was not the portion of all souls. The doctrine of rewards and punishments was inseparably linked with that of a future life. all the deceased went down to Ker-neter (the Egyptian Hades); but resurrection was the portion of those only who had committed no mortal sin, either in action or in thought. The judyment of the dead is often represented on coffins and in the Ritual, under the figure of weighing the souls (psychostasy). ${ }^{68}$ This awful ceremony is conducted by Osiris and his forty-four assessors in the "hall of two-fold justice :" the balances are held by Horus and Anubis: a figure, or sometimes the heart, of the deceased is placed in one scale, to be weighed against an image of Thoth, the god of justice, in the other, and the same deity registers the result. The reprobate is condemned to annihilation : he is beheaded by Horus or by Smou

[^128](another form of Set) on the nemma, or infernal scaffold, and dovoured by a monster with the head of a hippopotamus. But before his annihilation he is subjected to a long course of torments, and returns to act as an evil genius upon earth, where his abode is in the bodies of unclean animals.

The just, on the contrary, purified by a fire glarded by four apeheaded genii, shares the bliss of Osiris, the "good being" (Ounnofro), and feasts with him on delicious food. But he has first to expiate his venial sins by a long series of trisls, which occupy several chapters in the Ritual of the Dead. On his descent into Ker-neter he has to pass through fifteen gates, guarded by genii with swords, at each of which he has to prove his good deeds and his knowledge of divine things : this constitutes his initiation. He has then to work hard in tilling the vast fields intersected with rivers and canals-an Egypt in the world below: the harvest he reapa is knowledge. Next, he sustains terrible combats with monsters of fantastic shapes, amongst which the great serpent Refrof or Apap is the one most bent on his destruction; and his triumph depends on the use of a long series of exorcisms or on the last resource of assimilating each of his members to those of different deities. At length his whole being is absorbed in that of Osiris, who has himself borne the same trials and accompanies the soul through all. The god who was the giver of life becomes its redeemer and saviour: having himself been raised from death, he conducts the just to resurrection. The final state of identification with this deity is signified by prefixing the name of Osiris to that of the deceased.

## Section IV-EGyptian Art.

§22. Egypt, as we began by saying, not only possessed, but hat handed down in forms as lasting as the world, the oldest monuments of building and sculpture, the oldest pictures, the oldest writing, literature, and science. In the formative arts ahe has had nosuperior except her pupil, Greece, and in majcstic grandeur no rival : there is even a delicate beauty in her beat colossi, partly concealed by their vast size and their attitudes of repose; and it has besn said by no mean judge, "Give motion to these rocke, and Greek art would be surpassed."
The art of Egypt was consecrated to the service of her religion, and bears the impress of its character. In Architecture, taking hittle care for the abodes of the living, the buildera lavished toil and skill on the tombe of the dead and the temples of the gods. The great palaces of the Theben kings, indeed, were the ostentatious works of despots; but these also partoot of the character of temples. All their edifices look like the work of men who, believing in the immortality of the woul and of the body too, sought to give eternity to smatter. Their
endurance for periods reaching up to 4000 years is the result, not so much of their materials, as of their form and structure. The pyramid, in itself the most stable of all forms, has its stability enhanced, in the best examples, by a breadth greater than the height; and yet the Great Pyramid is the highest building in the world. The walls of the propylcea of the temples, besides their enormous thickness, have a pyramidal form. The columns have a great diameter in proportion to their height; the intercolumniations are close; and, in all cases, the immense width of base gives the impression of imperishable stability. Nor does this grandeur exclude grace: many of the columns have capitals as beautiful in their style as the Greek " orders" in theirs; and all travellers agree that the architecture of Egypt has that peculiar adaptation to its vertical sun, its clear atmosphere, and its wide plains, which stamps it as perfect in its kind.
§ 23. The buildings may be divided into four great classes: the Pyramids, characteristic of the early age, from the IVth (perhaps the Ist) to the XIIth dynasty; the Temples, belonging chiefly to the Theban and later monarchies, from the XIIth dynasty downwards, though we have an earlier example, of a peculiar type, in the temple of Shafre, near the pyramids; the Palaces, belonging chiefly to the Theban kings, but with one great example of earlier times in the Labyrinth of the XIth dynasty; and the rock-hewn or subterranean Tombs, belonging to all periods. The detailed description of these buildings, so far as they have not been already mentioned, must be left to the special works on Egyptian antiquities. ${ }^{70}$. Of the general character of the pyramids and tombs we have had occasion to speak; and of the palaces it will be enough to add here that they consist of vast courts, halls, and corridors, the walls being adorned with paintings or coloured bas-reliefs of the exploits of the kings, whose colossal statues were placed in the courts.

The temples are of two classes; those hewn in the living rock, and those erected on the plain. The former are usually considered the oldest; but the true distinction seems rather one of place than of time-the rock-hewn temples belonging almost entirely to the narrow valley of Upper Egypt and Nubia, Certainly none of them is so old as the temple of Shafre; and the whole style of Egyptian architseture, in its clustered columns and other details, points back to an original structure of wood: besides, the construction of the rock-hewn temples, in their internal columns, architra res, \&c., and their external porticoes, is assimilated to that of an indopendent edifice. The general form of an Egyptian temple ${ }^{71}$ consists of a large oblong area, enclosed on the sides and back by a massive wall, faced with gigantie

[^129]propylaa (literally front-gateway), which not only fill up the front but project beyond it on the two sidea. The edifice thus named by the Greeks consists of a gateway, flanked by a pair of wide and lofty masses (not towers, for they are of solid masoury or brick work, faced with stone), in the form of tall truncated pyramids, covered on all their outward faces with three or more rows of gigantio figures in relief, painted with bright colours, and hieroglyphic inscriptions. The propylaza of Edfou (which is an excellent type of a temple) are each above 104 fect wide and 37 deep at the base, diminishing to an area of 84 feet $\times 20$ feet at the summit, which is about 114 feet high, the total width of frontage being a little over 226 feet (the gateway occupying above 17 feet clear). The area was divided, about equally, into a front court, surrounded by a colonnade, and the temple itself, the latter being enclosed by its own wall, distinct from the outer wall of the area. Within this were three chief parts: in front the pronaos, a portico, or rather columnar hall, with the intercolumniations of the front row built up to a certain height, to form a screen on each side of the entrance; then the naos, sekos, or cell, forming the first sanctuary, which is also columnar; and behind thin, but with some smaller chambers between, the adytum, or most holy place, in which was the image of the god. The gateway of the adytum was covered with a curtain. ${ }^{72}$ The naos was amaller than the pronaos, and the adytum much smaller still, each having its distinct wall, and the last (at least at Edfou) having two; so that there was ample space for treasuries, vestries, and other chambers for the priests, as well as ambulatories between the walls, from which staircases led up to the roof; for the whole aanctuary was roofed in, and there were no windows. In spite of the darkness, the inner as well as outer walls of the eanctuary were painted in brilliant colours. How these chambers were lighted up we are not told.

IThis, which may be considered the complete form of an Efyptian temple, at least in its essential parts, was an aggregation of partu round the central sanctuary; and we know that most of the great temples, like our own cathedrals, were the work of age after age. The comparison may be extended; for, just as most of our cathedrals and minsters are or were surrounded by a mase of conventual or other buildings, so, in connection with an Egyptian temple, there would be buildings required for all the purposes of the colleges of priests. There were also sonue exterior appendages, which seem to have been essential to the temple-sphinzes, generally arranged in avenues; obelisks, which were memorial pillars; and colossal statues.

[^130]§ 24. The Sculpture of Egypt is as entirely the product of religion as its architecture, of which it is essentially the development. Its origin was in the temple, the plain walls of which furnished surfaces for the delineation, at first in mere outline, of subjects connected with religion or the exploits of the builders of the edifice. The figures were made more effective and permanent by being sculptured in relief or sunk into the surface, the former being more usual on the exterior, the latter on the interior walls. The relief became higher and bolder, till the figures were isolated, or nearly so ; for sculptures absolutely detached are rare; even when they stand alone there is generally a sort of pilaster down the back.

The whole spirit of Egyptian sculpture is symbolism, rather than the direct imitation of nature; and an attitude of repose, expressive of religious peace. ${ }^{73}$ In these two principles we have the simple answer to many faults ignorantly charged upon the knowledge and power of the artists. The absence of anatomical display is not due to the want of that knowledge of the human figure which the Greeks acquired in the palæstra; for in Egypt the 'common people went all but, and often absolutely, naked. Details were designedly suppressed for the sake of simple majesty. Both in architecture and sculpture, the Egyptian artist had learnt that great lesson-the ignorance or neglect of which is the ruin of the best technical skill, and never more so than in our own day-when to let things alone. He also adapted his workmanship to his material; and knew better than to make mouldings of hard stone like cabinet work, or a granite colossus like a figure carved in wood or cast in metal. All the curves are gentle; the features broadly moulded; the arms (in a sitting statue) hang down from the shoulders, with the hands resting on the thighs, or supporting some shrine or sacred image on the knees-or (when the statue is erect) they are generally crossed over the breast, except when either hand has to hold out the emblem which is nearly always placed in it, as a sceptre or whip, a ring-handled cross or a lotus-flower; the legs are generally joined, or, if one is advanced, the body rests upon the other, and both are often attached to supporting pilasters, the feet being parallel and fully resting on the groundindicating rather an attitude than a forward motion.

But, where detail is appropriate, the execution is often most perfect, as in figures of animals, where the artist was not bound by hieratic rules; and even the hieroglyphics, in which we might have expected mere indications of the objects, are often carved with the exactest truth. But also in the hugest works of the best ages there is an exquisite delicacy of work, besides the wonderful finish which
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must have cost untold labour ; ${ }^{74}$ and perhaps the greatest triumph of Egyptian art is in the wonderful expression given to the hugest colossi, in spite of-unless we rather say because of-the abstinence from effects gained by detail or (if the phrase is permitted) oy "sensational" action. If we miss the variety of real life, which pleases by its truthful rendering of what is familiar and by its appeal to human sympathies, we have in its place an appeal to what the Egyptian artist considered the far higher enotions of religious reverence in the symmetrical arrangement of all the members of the same figure, the general likeness of attitude in all, and a sort of harmonious rhythm of like postures where several figures are combined in one composition. In the same spirit the head is finished more carefully than the body. The power of portraiture is conspicuous in the physiognomies of the foreigners constantly represented in the bas-reliefs; we may venture to say, with literal etymological truth, that the Egyptian artist was an ethnographer.
§ 25. These general principles are common to all Egyptian sculpture; but there are differences of style, which mark out five different periods of the art. First, the grand simplicity of the earlicst age, as seen in the Memphite tombs of the pyramid period, keeps nearer to nature than was permitted by the hieratic canon of the human figure, which makes its appearance about the Twelfth Dynasty. The grand climax of the Eighteenth and Nineteenth Dynasties, as seen in the works of the Thothmes, the Amunophs, Seti, and Rameses IL., is followed by a sudden decline, some of the later works of the last-named great patron of art being extremely rude and carelens. The fifth and last age is that of the renaissance under the Saite kings, in which we have already truced the influence of the Greeks.
526. Puinting was chiefly used by the Egyptians as a decorative art, and very little for ideal compositions. They coloured the columns and the architectural details of their buildings, and the basreliefs upon their walls. The plane surfaces, eapecially in the interior of the tombs, were covered with those painted scenes from which we derive such abundant knowledge of their life. On the wrappings of the mummies they painted effigies of the deceased, and the coffins were lined with painted hieroglyphica. They used primary colours almost exclusively, and, among the secondary, green only; never attempting to componind colours mo as to produce a variety of tintm. Their pigments, come mineral and mome vegetable, were mostly the natural products of the conntry; ${ }^{76}$ and the list is pretty well

[^132]exhiusted by these six:-white, black, red, blue, yellow, and greenremarkable for their purity and permanence. The colours are laid on in distinct patches, as a child paints a picture, especially in human figures; in those of animals there is some little attempt at blending and softening the contiguous parts. Red is their fiesh colour; but in the representation of conquered races they evidently used colours as conventional distinctions. Thus, in one picture, the people have ycllow bodies and black beards : in another the men are red and the women yellow. ${ }^{78}$

Of their use of painting for other than merely decorative purposes we have examples in a few tablets of wood; and the Ritual of the Dead is illustrated with vignettes drawn by the pen with a freedom, firmness, and purity, not far short of the Greek painted vases. One striking peculiarity of their pictures, in our eyes, is the total absence of perspective, as well as the curious substitutes for it in the mode of placing files of soldiers, or captives, or labourers, over one another's heads, rows of trees around a rectangular tank, and so forth. In some of the pictures of entertainments the seated figures overlap one another in such a manner as to suggest a receding line, though the heads and feet range in horizontal lines; and pairs of horses or rows of cattle are indicated by a portion of the outline of the further figure or figures projecting beyond that of the forwarder with sometimes a different colour or shading.

Section V.-Writing, Literature, and Science.
§ 27. As the pictorial art of the Egyptians, in its symbolical expression of ideas, approached to the significance of writing, so, on the other hand, their writing was founded on a pictorial representation of the ideas to be expressed, though it went far beyond a mere system of picture-writing. The antiquity of the art in Egypt is attested by the symbol of the scribe's implements-the ink-pot, reed, and palette-on the monuments of the pyramid period; its universal employment by the registration scenes, the method of legal procedure, the official correspondence, and the multitude of written-documents, to which we have had frequent occasion to refer.

It would almost seem as if nature had assigned to Egypt the invention of writing by the gift of the papyrus reed (cyperus papyrus). ${ }^{77}$ Unlike the paper named after it, which is a manufactured tissue, the inner pellicles of the reed were used in their

[^133]
## 184 THE LNSTITUTIONS, RELIGION, AND ARTS OF EGYPT. Book I

natural state, being spread out flat, and the slips joined together (Pliny says) with Nile water, ${ }^{78}$ but probably also with some gluten. The breadth of the pellicle determined that of the leaf of paper, which reaches about 13 fingers' breadth; but it might bo made of any length by.joining pieces together; and the book so formed could, and still can, from the toughness of the thin substance, be rolled up and unrolled without cracks or creasing. Writing was performed with a reed or goose-quill, and a carbonaceous ink, which has remained unchanged for centuries. The lines were in the direction of the length of the leaf, from right to left, in columns of convenient width (generally about six or eight inches), which also succeeded each other from right to left." The writing engraved on the monuments is sometimes in horizontal lines, either from right to leit or vice versá; but more frequently the characters are arranged in vertical columns.
$\S 28$. The Greeks distinguished three forms of Egyptian writing, which they called the hieroglyphic (sacred carving), hieratic (priestly), and demotic (popular) or enchorial (of the country). The first two names are apt to convey a wrong impression, as if the knowledge of these characters had been confined to the sacerdotal class; whereas, in fact, they were employed in public monuments and in ordinary documents intended for universal reading, and on objects of every-day use. The last form is distinguished from the other two, not by its origin and its more popular use, but simply in respect of time. The hieroglyphic is an uncial, or fully-formed character, particularly suited to monumental inscriptions: the hieratic is a cursive, or more abbreviated form of the mame characters, adapted to the flowing movement of the pen: the demotic in a further simplification of the bieroglyphic writing, which was introduced, about the beginning of the 7th century b.c., for civil documents in the vulgar dialect, which bad by that time departed considerably from the ancient language. The continued use of the older forms in the monuments and in the books of thecpriests gave the Greeks occasion to describe them by namea implying sacredness.
829. All three forms were alike unintelligible to the Greek travellers in Egypt, but they had the priests for interpreters. This key lost, the treasurea of Egyptian learning-" a library of stonew and papyri in myriade of volumen "-appeared to be mealed for ever, till, early in the 19th century, the key was found by Dr. Young, and successfully applied by M. Champolion-Figeac.0 The diss

[^134]covery was first made from the " Rosetta Stone," one of the gatherings of Napoleon's expedition to Egypt, and now in the British Museum. It is a piece of black basalt, engraved with a trilingual inscription in honour of King Ptolemy V. Epiphanes, about the beginning of the second century b.c. The same text (as was first assumed, and then proved by the result) is repeated, first in bieroglyphics, secondly in enchorial characters, lastly in Greek: but the stone is so mutilated at the corners and one edge that the first part of the hieroylyphic text and the last part of the Greek are lost, as well as the beginning of several lines of the enchorial. The first comparison made was that of certain names and titles, which occur frequently in the Greek text, with groups of characters similarly repeated in the corresponding parts of the enchorial. Conspicuous among these was the name of Ptolemy, which Dr. Young next found in the hieroglyphic text, guided by a suggestion, previously made, that the oval rings, or cartouches, constantly seen in hieroglyphic inscriptions, formed the enclosure or setting of royal names. Hence he determined the phonetic or alphabetic value of the characters which he supposed to spell Ptolemaios, or Ptolemeos, and then those of Berenice. ${ }^{\text {an }}$ In 1822, the publication of the bilingual inscription on the obelisk at Philæ enabled Champollion (who was now a convert to Dr. Young's phonetic method) to decipher the name of Cleopatra. The subsequent discovery of many other Greek and Roman names led him on to the deciphering of the letters of common words.

Thus far, it will be observed, nothing had been made out of the meanings of the words whose letters were beginning to be identified. This step was taken by aid of the principle, that the old Egyptian language was kindred to the Coptic. At length, Champollion succeeded in constructing an Egyptian grammar and vocabulary, which has been since continually enlarged by the labours of Lepsius and Brugsch, Ampàre, Mariette, De Rouge and Lenormant, Gliddon, Birch, Osburn, and others. Notwithstanding the ultra-scepticism of such a critic as Sir George Cornewall Lewis, we may safely say with Brugsch that "the rules of hieroglyphio grammar have now become the common property of science." De Rouge, one of the most successful decipherers, affirms that we can now translate three-

[^135]quarters of the longest documents, sometimes more and sometimes less, according to the difficulty of the subject. It is evident, for instance, that a text on mythological mysteries, or the metaphors of poetry, will be far more obscure than a simple narrative or a genealogy ; ${ }^{19}$ and yet many of the former kinde have been satisfactorily translated.
§ 30 . The hieroglyphic characters (using the word now for all three kinds of writing) are partly phonetic and partly ideographic. the former representing alphabetic letters or syllabic sounds; the latter standing for the actual objects signified. The latter are probably the oldest, but the former are by far the most numerous, and the two are intermixed in all Egyptian texts. Both are pictoriul in their origin. The picture which makes e phonetic character is that of an object whose name begina with the letter, or forms the syllable, to be represented ; as if, for example, we made a lion y, atand for the letter $L$, or the pictures of a nan 15 and a drake for the two syllables of the word mundrake.s

The idegrraphic characters are of two classes, figurative and symbolic. In the first, the name of the object is expressed by its own figure, either real or conventional, as (-) for sun, for moon, Hz for ox, Hy for road, for house : all of this class are necessarily nouns. The characters are sometimes abbreviated, as when the head of an ox is put for the whole, ${ }^{\boldsymbol{e}}$ or a pair of dots ( $-d$ ) representing the pupils, for the eyes. In the second class, the concrete figure stands for a noun or verb of abstract meaning; and the variations of these symbolic forms show a wonderful fertility. The following are the chief heads:(1) By synuedoche,-a figurative abbreviation, in which a part is put for the whole, as two arms holding weapons for a batlle. (2) By metonomy,-the cause for the effect, and vice versh, or the instru-

[^136]ment for the work, as the sun $\mathbf{O}$ for day, the moon for month, a pair of eyes $(a 0)$ or pupils ( $\quad$ ) for secing, and the set of materials formerly mentioned (华) for writing. (3) By metaphor,as a bee for a king, from the mouarchical constitution of the hive; the anterior members of a lion, for priority or pre-eminence, and its head for valour and vigilance, as it was believed to sleep with open eyes. (4) By enigma, where the olject depicted has only some remote or lanciful connection with the idea to be expressed. Thus, an ostrich-feather signifies justice, ${ }^{88}$ a palm-frond typified the year, from the belief that the tree bore twelve fronds, one for each month. Another important symbol of this class is the serpent urveus, for divinity and royalty, as which it appears also in the head-dress of gods and kings. ${ }^{6}$
§ 31. The wide field of Egyptian Literature laid open by these discoveries is as yet but very partially explored; and the treasures we possess are but a gleaning of those that are lost. The Books of Egypt are spoken of by the classical authors; and the "sacred library" which Diodorus mentions at Thebes, with the inscription "Dispensary of the Soul," ${ }^{\text {al }}$ has been discovered in the Rameseum at Karnak. 'The jambs of the doorway, leading from the great hall to a suite of nine small rooms, are sculptured with figures of Thoth, the great god of letters, and bis companion goddess Suf-the former with the emblem of sight, the latter with that of hearing-and witn the titles of "Lady of Letters" and "President of the Hacl or Boors." We can hardly doubt that libraries were attached to all the principal temples, especially to those of the three great colleges of priests.

The contents of these Pharaonic Libraries anticipated the fate of the treasures of Greek learning which the Ptolemies long after accumulated at Alexandria; and the later Egyptian books shared that fate. The papyri that remain have been for thie most part preserved in the closed tombs and mummy-cases of the dead. As might have, been expected, their subjects are mainly religious, and by far the most-imporiant of this class is the often mentioned Ritual of the Dead, or more properly the Book of Manifestation to the Light, which we may venture to call the Egyptian Bible. Like the Jewish Scriptures, it is the product of every age of the national

[^137]religion. To say nothing of the traditions which ascribed its oldeat parts to such kings as Hesepti of the Ist Dynasty, and Menkera of the IVth, chapters of it are found on monuments earlier than the Hyksos; but its final form was settled by an authoritative revision under the Saite kings of the XXVIth Dynasty. It contains a complete account of the Egyptian doctrine of the Future Life; the pilgrimages of the soul through the infernal hemisphere; and the hymns, prayers, and manifold formularies and ceremonies, belonging to funerals and the worship of the dead. Incidentally to its main subject, it supplies a code of Egyptian morals, in the declarations mads by the soul before its judges of the sins it bas abstained from, and the good deeds it has done. It is striking to read among the latter, 一" I have given food to the hungry; I have given the thirsty to drink; I have furnished clothing to the naked:"-but the parallel is not complete till we remember that what the judge will say, to the surprise of those on His right hand, is said by the self-righteoun Egyptian of himself. Of the same class, a short treatise on the Migrations of the Soul is sometimes found in tombs of a late age; and we have also copies of a picture-book on the voyazes of the Sun through the lower world, and many fragments of religious hymns, which are often highly poetical.

The priests traced up the origin of all this religious literature to the first or celestial Thoth, the Hermes Trismegistus of the Greeks, who was inspired to write his books by the supreme god. He was, in fact, a personification of the divine intelligence. His earthly counterpart, the Second Thoth, was the author of all the social institutions of the land. It was he that organized the Egyptian nation; established religion, and regulated worship; taught men all the sciences-astronomy, geometry, arithmetic, weights and measures, language, writing, and the fine arts; in a word, all the elements of civilization. This knowledge was embodied in the fortytwo sacred "hermetic books," of which the prieste were the custodians, and the contents of which they were bound to master, in whole or in part, according to their rank in the sacerdotal hierarchy. In fact, their exclusive possession of this knowlodge was guarded by the name of Thoth, who was the institutor of the priesthood, and the personified type of the learned class, just as Osiris typified the king.

We have spoken sufficiently of the historical literature engraved. upon the monnments : of that written in books, though doubtless very extensive, the Turin paryrus of the Kings is our chief extan!, specimen. The Turin Museum also contains a fragment of a maf of the time of Seti I., representing the region of the Nubian gold mines. Of metrical chronicles, or epic pocms, we have cited al example from the account of the way of Hameser IL against th:

Kheta by Pentaour. Our own Museum is very rich in works composed by scribes in the form of letters as models of style, like the declamations of the Greek and Roman rhetoricians, or the Makamat of the Arabian poets. One written during the wars of the XIXth dynasty describes, in a series of verses in accentuated prose, the hardships of the soldier's life. The oldest Romances in the world are found among these Egyptian books: but they all have a moral and religious bearing. We have already had occasion to mention one such-the oldest fairy-tale in the world-composed for the use of Menephtha, the son of Rameses II. ${ }^{\text {8 }}$
§ 32. We possess but few fragments of the great mass of scientific literature accumulated by the priests. Two treatises on medicine in the Berlin Museum shew that the remedies used were altogether empirical and often very absurd. With some good points of diagnosis, and a certain knowledge of anatomy, they combine the most fanciful theories of physiology. The exact position of Egyptian physicians is obscure; but most probably they belonged to the sacerdotal order. Herodotus tells us that there were special physicians for the diseases of each member of the human body.

The Greek historian reckons geometry among the sciences invented by the Egyptians from the necessity of marking out the boundaries of their lands afresh every year after the inundation. A papyrus in the British Museum contains a dozen theorems in practical geometry.

The Egyptian knowledge of astronomy has been exagrerated. The priests were diligent observers and recorders of phenomena; and they applied their observations to the practical purpose of settling the sacred calendar with the same degree of accuracy which was long after attained by the Julian Reformation. But neither in this, nor in any other branch of physical-science, did they generalize facts into laws, or establish them by proof. Of their addiction to astrology we have an example in the British Museum,-a calendar of the time of the XIXth dynasty, specifying for each day the acts which were rendered lucky or unlucky by the infuence of the stars. There is a papyrus containing some observations on the planets : but these are difficult to interpret, from our ignorance of the Egyptian names for the stars. The received system of constellations was first introduced into Egypt by the Greeks; and the famous Zodiac on the ceiling of the temple of Tentyra (Dendera) is now well kuown to belong to the time of the Ptolemies.

Their system of numerals resembled the Roman in the expression of units by strokes, and of tens, and powers of 10 , by new symbols.

[^138]
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They placed the units to the left, that is, last, according to their mode of writing; so as to read (as we do in our system) from the highest denomination to the lowest. In the demotic and hieratic characters, the strokes for the units are sometimes combined, so as to look curiously like the Indian (or, as we call them, Arabic) numerals. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

* For further Information on the ecience and calendar of the Egyptiana, nee Kenrick's 'Ancient Egypt.' vol. 1. chap, xx.; and Wilkinson's Appendis to Book II. of Herodotus, chaps. ii, and vih. We have not thought it necessary to enter into those details of manners and oustoms which ere fully dencribed by Bir G. Wilkinson, and which would require much more apace than we can afford, and a large namber of pictorial illutrations. The student who wiahes to pursue the whole subject must not omit to frequent the Egyptian depsrtment of the British Mueeum, with Mir. Birch's descriptions for his guide.
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89. The earliest monuments of Babylonis. Evidences of civilisation. Astrom nomy, and worship of the beavenly bodiet. Cuneiform Writing. \& 10. The earliest cities of Babylonia. The northern tetrapolit,-Babel, Borsippa, Cutha, and Sippara: and the southern,-Erech, Calneh, Larsa, end Hur. Greater antiquity of the latter. \$11. Their relation to the arigina! Babel. Probable interval of a \&cytho-Aryan dominion, the Second Dynasty of Manptho. \& 12. The Third (Chaldaan) Dynasty of Berowus, probably represented by the Cuahito kingdom of Nimrod. Its capital at Hur. Inecriptions of Urukh and Klgi. 5 18. The Fourth Dynasty of Berosus, probably Cushite conquerors from Susians. Khudur-mabuk. Chedorlaomer-his ellies, indicating the different races of Babylonia. The "Four Races." 8 14. Extension of Babylonian power over Assyria. Immidagon and hissons. Naramsin. Merodach-Namana, "King of Babylon." Succeeding kings. Canal of Khammarubi. \& 15. Egsptian conquests in Mesopotamia. Asayria independent of the Babylonian kingdom. Its overthrow. The Fifth or Arabian Dynasty of Beronus. Power returns to the Bemitic race. $\$ 16$. The name Chaldaan never uacd on the monuments of these early kinga. Its earlieat application to Babylonia. Used by Berosus as a geographical term.


§ 1. Following the curve of the great desert zone, from its interruption by the valley of the Nile and its second break at the Red Sea, across the deserts of Arabia and Syria, we come to the wide valley watered by the Euphrates and the Tigris, and ending in the great bay of the Persian Gulf. Beyond this the desert region, which in Africa is a low plain, sometimes even below the level ol the sea, rises into the table-land of Iran. The division is formed by the mountains of Kurdistan and Luristan, whose chains run in a south-easterly direction from the great bighland region of Armenia. This central knot gives birth to the two great rivers, which, with their confluents from the eastern range, after watering the undulating region of foot-hills (the pied-mont of Western Asia) flow down into the plain, and redeem a large portion of it from the degert, before they pour their united stream into the Persian Gulf.
The formation of this region has a certain resemblance to the valley of the Nile; but it offers still more striking contrasts, the effects of which are marked in history. In both cases, rich alluvial plains, fertilized by great rivers, which formed at the same time a highway of intercourse, presented the fittest field for early civilization. But while the narrow chasm of Egypt was shut in by its bordering bills and the deserts beyond, and peopled by a homogeneous race, whose fixed institutions endured for millennium after millennium;-the broad valley of the Tigris and Euphrates, greatly varied in its own surface, was overhung on the north and east by hills, whence hardy races were ever ready to pour upon its fertile plains, which lay open on the weat to the predatory tribes of the Desert; besides the great highway through Syria, which exposed its unconsolidated tribes to the attacks of the great Egyptian monarchy. The foot-hills, which divided it from Upper Asia, marked also roughly the division between the Hamitic and Semitic races on tho one side, and the Argan and Turanian races on the other; and foum
the earliest times we find a remarkable intermixture of populations, especially on the lower course of the two rivers.

We have seen that the political stability of Egypt was not altogether uninterrupted; and that considerable foreign populations were always settled in the Delta. But the monarchy retained a permanent character, under all dynastic changes; and those changes were as nothing compared with the waves of conquest which bave swept like alternating tides both across and up and down the valley of the Tigris and Euphrates. The region of Mesopotamia was the field onwhich all the races of the ancient world, from Nimrod to the successors of Mohammed, contended for the empire of Western Asia. It was subject in turn to Cushites, Aryans, and Semites,-Chaldæans, Arabs and Egyptians,-Assyrians, and Chaldreans again,Medes, Persians, and Greeks,-Parthians, and restored Persians, Mohammedan Arabs and Turks, and Persians again. The old rivalry of Egypt and Assyria was renewed in the Middle Ages, when Saladin marched from Cairo to the conquest of Western Asia; and, in our time, the renewal of Egypt's empire on the Euphrates has been prevented only by European intervention. The great capitals have been as transitory as the empires themselves. While the stonebuilt pyramids and tombs, palaces and temples, of Memphis and Thebes are still the wonder of the world, and Alexandria remains the great port of the Levant, the brick towers and walls and palaces of Nineveh, Babylon, and Susa, and even the later capitals of Seleucia and Ctesiphon, are formless mounds, the vague landmarks of vanished empires. But here comes in another happy resemblance to Egypt; for those mounds have begun in our time to yield up their long-hidden contributions to the history of the East.
§ 2. This whole region is included for convenience under the general name of Mesopotamia $;^{1}$ and in the most important periods of its history it formed the single empire, first of Assyria and afterwards of Babylon. But it was not thus united in the earliest times, and its political divisions correspond to marked physical diversities, From the great mass of Asia, its south-western portion is cut off, as a sort of peninsula, first by the Caucasian istbmus between the Caspian and the Black Sea. From the southern part of this isthmus, the Armenian mountains-which the valley of the Cyrus (Kur). divides from the chain of Cancasus-throw out, on the one side, the ranges which form the peninsula of Asia Minor, with a siuthern branch down the sea-board of Syria,-and, on the other, the abovenamed chains of Kurdistan and Luristan, reaching to the Persian
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Gulf. Thus, between this Gulf and the Mediterranean a smaller peninsula is cut off, consisting chiefly of the desert of Arabia, which is prolonged northwards in a wedge-shaped form between Syria on the west and the north-eastern portion which forms the region of Mesupotamia.
§3. The two great rivers of this country take their rise in the mountains of Armenia; but they start on very different courses.
The Euphrates ${ }^{9}$ (Frat) is at first formed by two branches, ${ }^{8}$ both of which rise in the oentral knot of the Armenian highlands, and flow westward through distinct valleys, till the united stream-already 120 feet wide, and very deep-turns the western end of the chain of Mount Niphates (Nebad, the Snowy range), and flows southward, first between the chains of Taurus and Masius (Karja Baglar), in s swift course, with many rapids, to Samosata, where it begine to be navigable; and then past the foot-hills of Upper Meropotamia, till (at $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. lat.) it reaches the level of the Great Syrian Desert, through which it flows to the south-east. Above the latitude of $35^{\circ}$ it receives the Chaboras (Khabur), which flows monthwards from Mount Masius : at the junction atood the celebrated city of Circesium. From this point to its junction with the Tigris, the Euphrates flows in a slow and winding stream for 800 miles, without receiving another tributary; and much of its water loses itself in the deaert, or passes off into the Tigris. It is widest below ite junction with the Khabur ( 700 or 800 milen above ite mouth), being about 400 yards across: at Lemloon, some 100 miles below Bahylon, its width has diminished to 120 yards, and its depth from 18 feet to 12 . The same cause that diminishes its volume is continually changing its lower course.

The Trasis (the Hiddekel of Eden) ${ }^{4}$ rises on the south side of Mount

[^140]Niphates, its chief source being a small lake, called Göljik, which is separated by an intervening hill from one of the bends of the Euphrates, at a distance of only 2 or 3 miles. It skirts the southern foot of Mount Niphates, as the infant Enphrates its northern foot, but in the opposite direction; flowing to the east through the valley of Diarbekr between that chain and Mount Masius, till the mountains of Kurdistan turn it in a direction varying between S.E. and S., along the foot of the chain anciently called Zagrus, Its waters, increased by many tributaries from these mountains, pour through a deep gorge of the secondary chain near Jeaireh down to the upper undulating plain of Assyria Proper, and flow past the ruins of Nineveh opposite Mosul. Emerging on to the alluvial plain at Samara, the Tigris flows S.E., and then bends south towards the Euphrates till the rivers are less than 20 miles apart at Bagdad. A little lower, the two rivers are connected by the Nahr Malcha, or Royal Canal; and just at its junction with the Tigris stood the Greek and Parthian capitals, Selencia and Ctesiphon, on the opposite banks of the river. After a parallel course for many miles, the rivers again diverge; and, about halfway towards their final junction, the Tigris pours a large portion of its waters due south into the Euphrates by a branch called the Shat-el-Hie; while the main river, keeping its south-easterly direction, joins the Euphrates in the same latitude ( $31^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$.) as the Shat-el-Hie. The united stream (now called the Shat-el-Arab) kept the name of Tigris, though this was the narrower and shorter of the two rivers; baving a length of 1146 miles, while that of the Euphrates was about 1780 miles.
. Both rivers are subject to inundations, caused by the melting of the snow on the Armenian mountains. The Tigris, having its sources on the southern slope of Mount Niphates, begins to rise earlier; but nearly the whole inundation of the Babylonian plain is due to the Euphrates, whose immense alluvial deposits are said to advance the exit of the united stream into the Persian Gulf at the rate of a mile in from 30 to 70 years. The mouth, now in $30^{\circ}$ North latitude, is estimated to have been, in the earliest historic age, as high as $31^{\circ}$, so that the two rivers flowed separately into the Gulf. In ancient history the Euphrates is pre-eminent as "the bordering 'flood" which has generally divided the rival combatants for the empire of Western Asia. It was also the usual course of communication between the Mediterranean and the Persian Gulf. The Tigris

[^141]was used for little more than local navigation, from the force of the stream and its natural obstructions, to which the Persians added dams, probably to regulate the inundation,
§4. The region watered by these great rivers is divided into two parta, which are physically very distinct, by a line drawn diagonally across the 34th parallel of latitude, from Hit on the Euphrates to Samara on the Tigris, and separating Upper Mesopotamia, or Assyria in the wider sense, from Lower Mesopotamia or Babylonia. The former is an undulating country, of the secondary geological formation, sloping down from the mountains on the north and east to the Euphrates and the desert on the south-west; and suddenly falling, at the boundary-line named, into the great alluvial plain of Babylonia.

The latter is a vast flat, about 100 miles in width, and extending about 400 miles along the rivers; merging on the west and south into the Arabian desert, whose tertiary eands and gravel reach generally within 20 or 30 miles of the Euphrates, and sometimes cross it; while on the east it reaches beyond the Tigris to the foot-hille of Elam (Elymais) or Susiana. This alluvial plain was again subdivided into Upper Babylonia, the country around and above Babylon, and Lower BabyLonia, or (as the Greek geographers call it) Chaldoa-a name which we only uee, for the present, as a purely geographical term. The name of Chaldes is sometimes applied to the whole plain, which is also designated in Scripture as "the land of Shinar," a term which includes "Babel" in Upper Babylonia, as well as "Erech, Calneh, and Accad," in Lower Bahylonia.

Upper Mesopotamis was tar more diversified, both in ite physical character and its geographical subdivisions. Mesopotamis Proper (Aram-Naharaïm, Heb.; Naharain, Egypt.; now El-Jezireh, i.e. the Island), between the two rivers, as far mouth as the beginning of the alluvial plain, was divided into an upper and lower part by the Sinjar Hills (Singaran Mons.), ${ }^{\dagger}$ which reach from the Khabur to the Tigris below Nineveh. The Khabur again subdivides the upper part into the hilly region about the foot of Mount Masius (the aucient Mygdonia or Gauzanitic), and the high undulating plain of PadanAram ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ or Osroëne, surrounded by the upper course of the Euphrates. The latter is intersected from N. to B. by the river Belias, Balissus,

[^142]or Belichus, which falls into the Euphrstes near Callinicum : on its banks the town of Charran retains the name of Haran (the restingplace of Abraham and the abode of Nahor and his family), and the memory of the defeat of Crassus by the Parthians. Lastly, Assyria Proper (the land of Asshur both in the vernacular and in Scripture) lay between the Tigris and the mountains of Kurdistan, as far S. as the river Gyndes (Diala), which divided it from Elam or Susiana. In its northern and eastern parts, the fertile foot-hills, well watered oy the tributaries of the Tigris, rise to the rich pastures and wooded heights of the mountains of Zagrus.

From above Nineveh downwards, the country becomes a plain, of the same character as the general surface of Mesopotamia-a beautiful pasture-ground, enamelled with flowers during the spring and early summer, but afterwards burned up except along the courses of the rivers. In ancient times its fertility and verdure were better preserved by artificial irrigation. Wood was abundant, as it still is on the higher hills; for Trajan and. Severus built fleets on the Euphrates. Among its mineral products were naphtha, ammomum, and a kind of anthracite coal called gangitis. The chief animals are the gazelle, the wild ass, and the lion, which has greatly multiplied in the neglected wastes. Along the course of the Euphrates, the Arabian desert seems always to have encroached on Mesopotamia Proper, and its sands now occupy a large district on its left bank. ${ }^{9}$

- §5. Descending into the plain of Babylonia, we are in 2 part of the " rainless district;" and the rich alluvium depends for its fertility upon the rivers and canals. Babylonia, like Egypt, is "the gift of its rivers;" which have inundations, but not with the periodic regularity of the Nile. Hence the waters require still more careful distribution; a work which engaged the best care of the ancient kings, and in a lesser degree of the Arab Caliphs; but which has been totally neglected under the Turks. The waters of the Euphrates run to waste in the desert, forming pestilential swamps, and the canals are little cared for. In ancient times, besides innumerable cuts for irrigation, there were three chief canals connecting the Tigris and Euphrates: the original "royal river" (Ar-Malcha of Berosus), in the line of the modern Salelawayeh Canal, which falls into the Tigris at Bagdad; the later "royal river" (Nahr Malcha of the Arabs), whioh fell into the Tigris at Seleucia; and the Nahr Kutha, which joined the Tigris 20 miles lower. A smaller canal, the Pallacopas of Arrian, supplied the artificial lake of Borsippa, from which the land south-west of Babylon was irrigated. But the greatest of these works

[^143]was the canal from the Euphrates at Hit to the Porsian Gulf, passing along the line dividing the alluvium from the desert; and, while regulating the inundation, preserving the fertility of a large extent of debateable land, on which the desert now encroaches even beyond the river. South of Babylon and Borsipps lies the great inland freshwater sea of Nedjef, 40 miles in length and 35 in width, and about 20 miles from the Euphrates. Part of the water of the river flows through it at the time of the inundation; but it doces not owe its origin to this cause: it is a permanent lake of considerable depth, surrounded by cliffs of a reddish sandstone, in places 40 feet high. A bove and below this lake, from Birs-Nimrud to Kufa, and from the south-eastern extremity of the lake to Samava, extend the famous "Chaldæan marshes," where Alezander was nearly lost: ${ }^{30}$ but they are entirely distinct from the lake, depending on the state of the Hindiyeh canal, and disappearing when it is closed.

The climate of this vast rainless plain, lying under a burning sun, and with an atmosphere moistened by the rivens and marshes, if intolerably hot in summer, but mild and pleasant in winter. The ancient writers celebrate its unsurpassed fertility; and it is the only country where wheat is known to be indigenous. The native historian Berosus notices this production, and alse the epontaneous growth of barley, sesame, ochrys, palms, apples, and many kiuds of shelled fruit. Herodotus ${ }^{14}$ declares that grain commonly returned two hundredfold to the eower, and occamionally three hundredfold, Strabo ${ }^{18}$ makes nearly the same assertion, and Pliny ${ }^{15}$ mays that the wheat was cut twice, and was afterwards good keep for beasts. The date-palm was one of the principal objects of cultivation. According to Strabo, it furnished the natives with bread, wine, vinegar, honey, porridge, and ropes; with a fuel equal to charcoal, and with a means of fattening cattle sad meep. A Persian poem celebraten its 360 uses. Herodotus says that the whole of the flat country was planted with palms, and Ammianus Marcellinus ${ }^{4}$ observes that, from the point reached by Julian's army to the shores of the Persian Gulf, there was one continuous forest of verdure, At present palms are almost confined to the vicinity of the rivers, and even there they do not grow thickly except about the villages, whose inhabitantm, neglecting the rich virgin soil, subsist chiefly upon dates.

The contrast between the ancient and present atate of Babylonia is thus described by a modern traveller:-"The wants of a teeming population were supplied by a rich moil, not less bountiful than that on the banks of the Egyptian Nile. Like islands rising from a golden sea of waving corn, stond frequent groven of palm-trees and pleasant gardens, affording to the idler or traveller their grateful and
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highly valued shade. Crowds of passengers hurried along the dusty road to and from the busy city. The land was rich in corn and wine. How changed is the aspect of that region at the present day! Eong lines of mounds, it is true, mark the courses of those main arteries which formerly diffused life and vegetation along their banks; but their channels are now bereft of moisture and choked with drifted sand, the smaller offshoots are wholly effaced. All that remains of that ancient civilisation-that 'glory of kingdoms,' "the praise of the whole earth'-is recognisable in the numerous mouldering heaps of brick rubbish which overspread the surface of the plain. Instead of the luxuriant fields, the groves and gardens, nothing now meets the eye but an arid waste-the dense population of the former times has vanished, and no man dwells there." ${ }^{15}$ The soil is still rich, but more than half the country is left dry and waste from the want of a proper system of irrigation; while the remaining half is to a great extent covered with marshes owing to the same neglect. Thus the prophecies, which to an ignorant reader might seem contradictory, are literally fulfilled :-". A drought is upon her waters, and they are dried up :"-"The sea is come up upon Babylon, and she is covered with the waves thereof." ${ }^{16}$ She is made "a possession for the bittern, and pools of water:" she is "wholly deso-late"-" the hindermost of the nations, a wilderness, a dry land, and a desert." ${ }^{17}$
§ 6. This alluvial plain is entirely destitute of rocks and minerals, and yet it was the site of the earliest, and, among these, the one most famous, of the buildings of the post-diluvian world. "And it came to pass, as they journeyed from the east, that they found a plain in the land of Shinar; and they dwelt there. And they said to one another, Go to, let us make brick, and burn them thoroughly. And they had brick for stone, and slime bad they for mortar. And they said, Go to, let us build us a city and a tower, whose top may reach unto heaven; and let us make us a name, lest we be scattered abroad upon the face of the whole earth:" and then, in consequence of the confusion of their speech, "they left off to build the city," " That this city of Babel ${ }^{19}$ was the origin of the famous capital of the

[^144]same name, which the Greeks called Babylon, is now generally agreed.

Respecting the tower, a curious testimony has been discovered. One of the most conspicuous mounds about the site of Bahylon is that to which tradition has given the name of Bire-Nimrêd (the Citadel of Nimrod). ${ }^{\text {so }}$ • The ruins covered by this mound are now certainly identified, by their inscriptions, with the temple of BelMerodach, built by Nebuchadnezzar at Borsippa, about seven miles south-west of Babylon, which Herodotus describes as the temple of Jupiter Belus. It consisted of a large aubstructure, a stade ( 600 feet) in breadth, and 75 feet in height, over which were built seven other stages of 25 feet each. ${ }^{\mathbf{n}}$ Among its ruins has been found an inscription, which M. Oppert explains as Nebuchadnezzar's own account of the building, or rather the rebuilding, of this "Temple of the Seven Lights of the Earth" (the Sun, Muon, and planets). The inscription is well worth quoting entire, both for its bistoric value, and as a specimen of the style of similar documents:-
"Nabuonodonosor, king of Babylon, shepherd of peoples, who atteata the immutable affection of Merodach, the mighty ruler-exalting Nebo; ${ }^{2 z}$ the saviour; the wise man, wholende his eare to the orders of the bighest god; the lieutenant without reproach, the repairer of the Pyramid and the Tower, eldest son of Nabopolassar, king of Baby-lon:-We say :-
"Merodach, the great master, has created me: he has impored on me to reconstruct his building. Nebo, the guardian over the legions of the heaven and the earth, hat charged my hands with the aceptre of justice.
"The Pyramid in the temple of the heaven and the earth, the meat of Merodach. the chief of the gods: the place of the oracles, the spot of his reat, 1 have adorned it in the form of a cupola with shining gold. ${ }^{23}$

[^145]"The Tower, the eternal house, which I founded and built, ${ }^{24}$ I have completed its magnificence with silver, gold, other metals, stone, enamelled bricks, fir, and pine.
"The first, which is the house of the earth's base, the most ancient monument of Babylon, I built and finished it: I have highly exalted its head with bricks covered with copper. ${ }^{25}$
"We say for the other, that is, this edifice, the House of the Seven Lights of the Earth, the most. ancient monument of Borsippa:-A former king buill it (they reckon 42 ages), but he did not complete its head. Since a remote time people had abandoned it, without ordeb exppressing therr words. Since that time the earthquake and the thunder had dispersed its sun-dried clay; the bricks of the casing had been split; and the earth of the interior had been scattered in heaps. ${ }^{25}$ Merodach, the great lord, excited my mind to repair this building. I did not change the site, nor did I take away the foundation-stone. In a fortunate month, an auspicious day, ${ }^{27}$ I undertook to build porticoes around the crude brick masses and the casing of burnt bricks. I pat the inscription of my name in the Kitir of the porticoes. I set my hand to finish it, and to exalt its head. As it had been in former times, ${ }^{29}$ so I founded, I made it ; as it had been in ancient days, so I exalted its summit.
" Nebo, son of himself, ruler who exaltest Merodach, be propitious to my works, to maintain my authority. Grant me a life until the remotest time, a sevenfold progeny, the stability of my throne, the victory of my sword, the pacification of foes, ${ }^{29}$ the triumph over the lands! In the columns of thy eternal table, that fixes the destinies of the heaven and earth, bless the course of my days, inscribe the fecundity of my race.
"Imitate, 0 Merodach, king of heaven and earth, the father who begot thee: bless my buildings, strengthen my authority. May Nebuchadnezzar, the king repairer, remain before thy face."

If this inscription is properly translated, and if the tradition preserved by the Chaldæan priests of Nebuchadnezzar's age was true, the inference seems irresistible, that the Talmudists were right in placing the Tower of Babel at Borsippa, and, moreover, that the ruins of Birs-Nimrûd are on its original foundation. The distance of Borsippa from Babylon is no valid objection; for Borsippa was a detached suburb of Babylon, ${ }^{30}$ the sacred seat of the priests; and

[^146]a suburban citadel also, where Nabonidus, the last king of Babylon, held out when the city was taken by Cyrus. If the objection has any force, it would incline us to claim Borsippa as the original site of the city of Babel; which, like so many other great cities, may have been transferred to a neighbouring site. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ At all events, there is a great historic gap between the city of the Babel-builders and the capital of Babylon:-" They left off to build the city." ${ }^{20}$
87. There is nothing in the Scripture narrative to prove the common assumption, that the Babel-builders were of the Hamite or Cushite race; and to connect the building of Babel (in Genesis xi.) with the kingdom of Nimrod (in Genesis x .) is an arbitrary assumption, tending to confound events which were probably separated by a wide interval. The former narrative rather geems to describe s migration of mankind from their primeval seats before the distinctions of race were clearly established: and this is one mode of accounting for the great mixture of races in that region from the earliest times. ${ }^{4}$ That the prevalent race was originally Semitic, has been argued from the remarkable passage which gives ue the first account of the eatablishment of a kingdom on the face of the earth:-"And Cusi begat Nimsod: he first was a mighty one in the earth. He was a mighty hunfer before Jehovah. . . . And the beginning (or capital) of his kingdom was Babel, and Erech, and Aecad, and Calneh, in the land of Shinar. Out of that land went forth Asshus, and builded Nineveh, and the city Rehoboth, and Calah, and Resen, between Nineveh and Calah: the same is a great city." ${ }^{\text {ws }}$
Here we have the mention of two states, each forming a tetrapolis;
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They placed the units to the left, that is, last, according to their mode of writing; so as to read (as we do in our system) from the highest denomination to the lowest. In the demotic and hieratic characters, the strokes for the units are sometimes combined, so as to look curiously like the Indian (or, as we call them, Arabic) numerals. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

* For further Information on the ecience and calendar of the Egyptiana, nee Kenrick's 'Ancient Egypt.' vol. 1. chap, xx.; and Wilkinson's Appendis to Book II. of Herodotus, chaps. ii, and vih. We have not thought it necessary to enter into those details of manners and oustoms which ere fully dencribed by Bir G. Wilkinson, and which would require much more apace than we can afford, and a large namber of pictorial illutrations. The student who wiahes to pursue the whole subject must not omit to frequent the Egyptian depsrtment of the British Mueeum, with Mir. Birch's descriptions for his guide.
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and enough is known of the other cities named (besides Babel and Nineveh) to place the one in Lower Babylonia, the other in Assyria Proper. The founder of the one was a Cushite king; and the other is distinctly marked by the name of Asshur as Semitic. The latter was in some way the offshoot of the former : but how? One theory is that Asshur went forth out of that land (Shinar), driven out by Nimrod, who certainly has all the appearance of a conqueror: in other words, that the original Semitic population of Shinar'was overpowered and, in part at least, driven northwards by a Cushite conquest. Another view-based upon the translation in the margin of our version, "Out of that land he went out into Assyria"-makes Nimrod the founder of the Assyrian as well as the Babylonian state. There can, indeed, be little doubt that, in a very early period of history, Nineveh and the neighbouring cities were subject to a kingdom which had its seat in Babylonia ; and this accords with the tradition which makes Belus king of Nineveh before Ninus. But there is no evidence that the population of Assyria was ever other than Semitic; and the prevalence of Semitic dialects throughout the whole of Mesopotamia shows what was its prevalent population. If the Cushite race, the presence of which is attested not only by what is said of Nimrod, but also by the Turanian element in the language of the earliest inscriptions of Babylonia, was really intrusive in that country, its entrance may be not improbably connected with the establishment of another great branch of the Hamite family in Egypt; and civilisation may have had a kindred origin, both in source and time, on the banks of the Nile and the Euphrates. ${ }^{36}$
§ 8. In the latter case, as in the former, we look for native traditional records, and still more for contemporary monuments, of the first establishment of an organized political society. Of the traditions, which in both countries were preserved by a learned sacerdotal class, we find in Babylonia also a recorder such as the Egyptian Manetho. This was Berosos, a priest of Belus, at Babylon, in the reign of Antiochus II. (B.c. 261-246), who compiled, from the archives in the temple of the god, a "History of Babylon" or "Chaldæa." Of this work, as of Manetho's, we possess only some fragments, which have been preserved by Josephus, Polyhistor, \&c., by Eusebius and the other chroniclers, and by the Christian fathers.

[^148]Their value must be tried by the same standards which have been applied to Manetho - confirmation by contemporary records or monuments, and agreement with other historic testimony of proved authenticity. ${ }^{\text {an }}$ Berosus furnishes no such list of kinge as Manctho; but he gives us a compendious statement of the dynasties that had reigned in Babylonia. Like Manetho, he begins with a mythical period, but one far surpassing the Egyptian in the extravagance of its chronology, which is manifestly adapted to a conventional system of arithmetic. From the destruction of Chaos by Bel, the god of light and air, to the Deluge, from which Xisuthrus was aaved in an ark, he reckons 432,000 years. ${ }^{28}$ The only tradition of this period worth mentioning is that which ascribes the origin of civilization to Oannes, ${ }^{30}$ a being with the upper part of a man and the tail of a fish, who came up from the Indian Sea, and to six other similar fish-men-a tradition which, if worth anything, indicates the belief of the priests of Babylon that their civilization began on the shores of the Persian Gulf.

From the Deluge of Xisuthrus to the capture of Babylon by Cyrus and the fall of the Babylonian empire, Berosus reckons Eight Dynasties, which, though the numbers of years assigned to them are imperfect, were evidently intended to fill up the cycle of 10 sars, or 36,000 years. The First Dynasty is obviously mythical, consisting of 86 demigods, whom he calls Chaldarans, and who reigned at Babylon for 34,080 years; a number doubtless assigned so as to complete, with the length of the period which Berosus regarded as historical, the above total of 36,000 years. Thus the so-called historical period would consist of 1920 years; and, reckoning back wards from the fall of Babylon, it would begin in 8.0. 2458. Using this computation to supply some of the missing figures, Dr. Gutschmidt has framed the following scheme of the Dynastics of Berosus ${ }^{20}$ :-

[^149]Chap. X. EARLIEST MONUMENTS OF BABYLONIA.

§ 9. The first five of these dynasties represent a period respecting which our information is very scanty and doubtful, in spite of the light recently acquired from the inscriptions exhumed from the mounds that cover the ruined cities of Babylonia. Those ruins are believed to be the monuments of that passion for great buildings which characterized the race of Ham; and which, while raising the overlasting stones of the pyramids in Egypt, found materials for edifices of a similar type even in the alluvial plain of Chaldæa. ${ }^{4}$ "They had brick for stone, and slime had they for mortar." The argillaceous plain supplied the material for bricks, which the fierce sun hardened sufficiently for the construction of the massive stages of the towers and walls of the palaces, while, for the protection of the outer surfaces, they " burnt them throughly." ${ }^{48}$ It is disputed whether the "slime" means the tenacious mud, or the bitumen which is one of the most characteristic mineral products of Chaldæa; but the existing ruins shew that both were used for cement.

The objects found in the ruins prove a knowledge of the art of working metals for ornament as well as use, and of pottery, which is used not only for drinking-vessels, ornamental vases, and lamps, but also for coffins; and there are articles of foreign importation which seem to indicate a commerce by way of the Persian Gulf. Of their textile fabrics, the only remains are some fragments of linen adhering to the skeletons in the tombs, and the tasselled cushions on which their heads are laid; but the delicately striped and fringed dresses shewn on the most ancient signet-cylinders remind us of the "goodly

[^150]

The Mound of Birs-Nimrud.


## CHAPTER X.

## TEE REGION OF THE EUPHRATES AND TIGRIS.PRIMITIVE KINGDOMS.

§1. The Valley of the Euphrates and Tigris. Points of resemblance and contrast with Egypt. Mixture of races; and instability of political power. § 2. Mesopotamia in the widest sense. Its position in Western Asia. § 3. The Euphrates and the Tigris. § 4. Divisions of Mesopotamia. The alluvial plain of Babylonia, Chaldaa, or Shinar. Upper Mesopotamia. Padan-Aram. Assyria. Physical character, climate and productions of Mesopotamia. § 5. Canals of Babylonia. Sea of Nedjef. Chaldæan Marshes. Climate, fertility and productions of Babylonia. Its present desolation. § 6. The City and Tower of Babel. Inscription of Nebuchadnezzar which seems to identify it with the site of his temple to Bel-Merodach at Borsippa. Historic gap after its building. § 7. The early ethnography of Mesopotamia. Mixture of populations. The kingdoms of $N$ imrod and Asshur. Evidence of a Semitic. population and a dominant Cushite race. § 8. Native traditions and monuman+a. Berosus and his scherge of dynastiea. Hin First Dynasty nythical,

Habylonish garments" which were imported into Canaan before its sonquest by the Israelites. ${ }^{\text {cs }}$ The whole structure of the towers, and their emplacement towards the four quarters of the compass, can only be explained on the supposition that they had from the first that connection with astronomy which is distinctly affirmed, in Nebuchadnezzar's inscription, of the later towers raised on the same model. This implies the beginning of that astronomical science for which the Chaldxan priests of Babylonis were alwaya famous, favoured by their cloudless sky and unbroken borizon, and moved to its cultivation by their religious system-the so-called "Sabean" worship of the beavenly bodies. Last but not least among these proofs of civilization, the characters impressed upon the bricks, and upon the tablets and signet-cylinders found in the ruins, attest the knowledge of the art of writing. And these contemporary inscriptions, though comparatively few, furnish monumental testimony concerning this early age, which is in some cases confirmed by the records of later kings, representing, of course, only the traditions of their time.

5 10. The names of the earliest cities of Babylonia are recorded in the Scriptural notice of Nimrod. Of the cities forming the southern tetrapolis (besides Babel), Erech and Calneh seem to be the Huruk and Nipur of the cuneiform inscriptions, which are identified almost cortainly with the ruins at Warka and Niffer: Accad soems rather to be the name of a region than a city, and is sometimes used like the general name of the kingdom. ${ }^{4}$ The testimony found in the ruins seems, however, to indicate the existence of two tetrapoleis, corresponding to the twofold division of the Babylonian plaiu already mentioned-the upper, consisting of Babel, Borsippa, Cuths (now Ibrahim, N.E. of Babylon), and Sippara (the Sepharvalm ${ }^{46}$ of Scripture, now Bura, on the Euphrates, 20 miles above Babylon); the lower comprising (besides Erech and Calneh) Larse or Larancha (the Eulasar of Scripture, ${ }^{4}$ and now Senkereh), and Hur (now called Mugheir, i.e. the mother of bitumen, from the vast quantity of bituminons cement found in its ruins). Each of the cities was under the special tutelage of one of the heavenly bodies: the Sun was worshipped at Larsa, the Moon at Hur; Bel (Bilu-Nipru) and his consort Beltis (or Mylitta) at Calneh ${ }^{47}$ and Erech; Bel-Merodach

[^151]and his consort Anuni at Babylon; the Sun at Sippara; Nergal at Cutha; and so forth. The superior antiquity of the cities of the southern tetrapolis (excepting of course the original Babel) has been inferred from the more ancient type of their ruined temple-towers, and from the character of their inscriptions.
§ 11. This seems, at first sight, to be a somewhat startling contradiction to the testimony of Scripture concerning the building of Bubel. But this appearance of discrepancy rests solely on the improbable assumption of continuity in the political existence of the original Babel. When we are expressly told, not only that "they left off to build the city," but also that they were "scattered abroad upon the face of the whole earth," ${ }^{88}$-what state could survive such a catastrophe? Nor is it unreasonable to suppose that a secondary agency was employed in this "scattering abroad;" and the conquering race, who would be the appropriate instruments of such a work, may very possibly be represented by the Second or Median Dynasty of Berosus. The tradition preserved by that historian, that Zoroaster reigned as a conqueror at Babylon, seems to indicate an early stage of the great conflict between the elemental worship, which in the historic age characterized the Median Magians, and the Sabæism which seems to have had its origin in Babylonia; and the zeal always shown by the former against the latter may have been one, agent in the overthrow of the original Babel. It does not follow from the name of "Median" that these conquerors were of the Aryan race, to which the latter Medes undoubtedly belonged; for at a very early period, Scythian hordes overran the table-land of Asia; and the very name of Media seems to be a Turanian word, signifying the country. Besides, elemental worship seems to have originated with the Turanians. On the other hand, there is clear evidence of an Aryan element in the early population of Babylonia; and the most recent philological enquiries tend to an approximation between the Turanian and Aryan dialects. In the absence of clearer tests and better information, the safest conclusion seems to be that the country was conquered by a mixed Scytho-Aryan race, who were called "Medians" in the old traditions of Babylonia, simply because they came from Iran. Obscure as is the part played by this race in the revolutions of Babylonia, it has left there the most durable monument of its power, at least if some of the best authorities are right in believing that cuneiform writing originated with the Turanians.
§ 12. The recovery of dominion in the country by a native race, and the final prevalence of Sabæism over the Magian elemental worship, appears to be represented by the Third Dynasty of Berosus; ${ }^{49}$

[^152]to which (and the succeeding dynasty) alone can we refer the most ancient monuments of the Babylonian cities. The names of those cities connect them, on the other hand, with the monarchy of the Cushite Nimrod, whose own name seems to be preserved in the title of Bilu-Nipru, the god of the chase, and in that of the city of Nipru (Calnel, now Niffer, S.E. of Babylon), which was the special seat of the worship of that deity. ${ }^{* 0}$

The seat of this Cushite monarchy-the first which its monue ments enable us to regard as properly historical-is placed by those monuments (as we have seen) in the southern tetrapolis of Babje lonia. In that quarter, also, the oldest traditions make civilization enter from the sea. Accordingly the city, which the oldest extant inscriptions seem to mark as the capital, was Bur (now Mugheir), the furthest to the south of all the cities of Chaldea, Ite site (a little below $31^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. latitude) was no doubt originally on the shore of the Persian Gulf; and its ships are mentioned in connection with those of Ethiopia. It was, in later times, the greater southern seat, as Borsippa was the northern, of the sacred learning of the Chaldæans. ${ }^{n}$

The bricks of the basement story ${ }^{62}$ of the chief temple-towers in the southern tetrapolis are stamped with the name of Uauki, or Urkham, ${ }^{68}$ who is described as "King of Hur and Kingi-Accad;" ${ }^{\text {ct }}$ and his seal-cylinder is engraved with figures showing considerable

[^153]art. ${ }^{5 s}$ His temples are dedicated to Belus and Beltis, and to the Sun and Monn. His son Ilar is recorded as the finisher of some of his father's buildings at Hur, particularly the temple of the moon-goddess (Sin). These inscriptions, in a rough, bold chav racter, on the buildings whose rude workmanship and sun-dried bricks, with the absence of lime-mortar, show them to be the oldest in the Babylonian plain, remind us of the quarry-marks of Khufu and Nu-Khufu on their far more perfect pyramid. The contrast not only marks the vast superiority of the earliest architecture of Egypt to that of Chaldæa, but it reminds us of the want, in the latter case, of those treasures of information which are preserved in the pictures of the Memphian tombs.
§ 13. The next names on the monuments, in point of antiquity, are those of Kudur-mabuk (or Kudur-mapula) and his father, Sinti-shil-Khak, in which the highest authorities recognise an Elymoean character. ${ }^{56}$ Kudur-mabuk is designated by the title of "Ravager of the West" (Apda Martu). Now Berosus marks a distinction between the Third Dynasty of 11 kings and the Fourth of 49 ; and the earliest Biblical record of a conquering king (at least after Nimrod) is that of Chedorlaomer, king of Elam, ${ }^{37}$ who-with his three associate kings, Amraphel, king of Shinar, Arioch, king of Ellasar, and Tidal, king of nations-made an expedition against the cities of Canaan on the Dead Sea, over which he had already ruled for twelve years, and defeated them and the neighbouring Amalekites and Amorites, but was overtaken and defeated on his march home by Abraham and his Amorite allies, in the neighbourhood of Damascus. ${ }^{59}$ The Scripture narrative clearly shows that, as early as the 19th century b.c., a king, who was at the head of a confederacy of several states (large or small), with its seat in the lower valley of the Euphrates, made conquests to the west of

[^154]aNC. HIST.
that river, as far as the banks of the Jordan, but, was finally repulsed. Elam, the kingdom of Chedorlaomer, has but one meaning, the country beyond the Tigris, to the east of the Babylonian plain, which was peopled in the earliest times by a Cusbite race. Shinar, the kingdom of Amraphel, is Babylonia itself, especially in the narrower sense; and the people of Amraphel may have been the original Semitic population, whose chief seat was Babylon. The name of Arioch, king of Ellasar, seems to point to the Aryan element, of whose presence in Babylonia we have other evidence. The "nations" which owned Tidal for their king were most probably the Scythian nomad tribes, whom tradition represents as spreading over all Western Asia in the earliest times, and whose influence has been traced in the Turanian element of the old Babylonian language. Such a combination of the four great races, Hamitic, Semitic, Aryan, and Turanian, is confirmed by the name of Kiprath-arbat (four tongues or nations), given to the people of Babylonia in the cuneiform inscriptions. The mixture lasted (with the usual change of the merging of the Hamitic element in the Semitic) under all the succeeding empires, so that the MedoPersian kings found it necessary to publish their edicts in three distinct languages: their own, which was Aryan; the Assyrian, which was Semitic; and the Scythian or Turanian. ${ }^{69}$ From all this we may draw the conclusion that, about the time of Abraham, a new line of conquerora-but still, like the former dynasty, of Cushite race-passed the Tigris from Elam into Babylonia, and pushed on across the Euphrates to the banks of the Jordan, where, however, their conquests were but temporary. ${ }^{60}$
§ 14. The extension of the Babylonian dominion over Askyria had probably been effected under the previous dynasty; " but we have distinct evidence of that dominion about the middle of the 19th century e.o., under Ismi-Dagon (i.e. Dayon hears him), whose son, Shamasiva (or Shamas-Vul), is named, in a celebrated inecription of Tiglath-pileser I., as the builder of the temple of Anu at Kileh-Sherghat, on the Upper Tigris, 701 yeari before the temple was restored by the Assyrian king. ${ }^{\omega 3}$ Shamas-iva appears to have

[^155]been a viceroy of Assyria, ${ }^{69}$ while another son of Ismi-1)agon (read doubtfully Ibil-anu-duma) is styled "governor of Hur." The latter built the public cemeteries, which are the most conspicuous, and the most remarkable for their construction, of the ruins at Mugheir. Nipru (Calneh, now Niffer), the city of Bel-Nipru, and apparently the capital of the northern tetrapolis of Babylonia, is mentioned in the titles of Ismi-Dagon. But the first king of whom records have been found at Babylon itself is Naram-sin, whose name is inscribed on an alabaster vase, ${ }^{\text {es }}$ and who is named in an inscription of Nabonidus, the last king of Babylon, as the builder of the great temple at Sippara (Sepharvaïm, now Mosaib), another city of the northern tetrapolis, which Berosus makes the place where Xisuthrus (on the eve of the Deluge) hid the tables containing the sacred law. ${ }^{\text {b6 }}$ These memorials tend to show that the seat of power had been transferred to the northern tetrapolis about the middle of the 18 th century b.c. The earliest use of the title of "King of Babylon" is by Merodach-namana (but the reading is doubtful), on the bricks of a pavement at the great Bowarieh mound at Warka (the ancient Erech), which contains the ruins of the temple built by Urukh to Beltis. From the titles of Sin-shada, on the upper bricks of the same temple, it appears that Erech was the capital of Lower Babylonia about b.0. 1700. Among several other kings, whose names are compounds of Sin (the Moon), Tur$\sin$ is distinguished as the founder of a remarkable city of unknown name, the ruins of which are now called Abu-Sharein. Purnapuriyas repaired Urukh's temple of the Sun at Senkereh (Larsa); and his son, Durri-galazu (or Kouri-galzu), built a fortress on the Assyrian frontier (Hisr-Durrigalazu), which is mentioned long after on an inscription of Sargon, and the site of which is marked 'by the great ruins of the Tel-Nimrud, at Akkerkuf, ${ }^{67}$ N.W. of Bagdad: while his very name is still preserved by the ruined city of Zergul, near the confluence of the Shat-el-Hie with the Euphrates. The close of this important dynasty seems to be marked by Khammarubi and his son Shamsi-luna, many of whose clay tablets have been found at Tel-Sifr and Babylon. ${ }^{88}$ The

[^156]former was conspicuous for the greatness of his works. Besides repairing the temple of the Sun at Senkereh, and building a palace at Kalwadha, ${ }^{\infty}$ near Bagdad, it has been recently discorered that Khammarubi was the constructor of the Old Royal Canal, or Canal of Khammaruli, as be calls it in an inscription, which records how he carried the waters to the desert plains and dry ditches, and gathered the people of Sumir and Accad (the two chie! races in Babylonia) into cities. A tablet in the British Museum has the names of twenty-two kings after Kbammarubi ; and the whole number of royal names discovered is nearly 50 , a near correspondence with the 60 kings of the Third and Fourth Dynasties of Berosus.
§ 15. The end of the latter dynasty, a little before s.0. 1500 , according to the chronological scheme given above, correspouds very nearly with the most probable epoch of the expulsion of the Shepherds from Egypt and the beginning of the Asiatic couquests of the Egyptian kings of the XVIIIth dynasty. We have seen that those conquests extended into Mesopotamis and Assyria, and that both Nineveh and Babylon paid tribute to the Pharaohs. We have also seen that the Upper country, at least, was held by a nuriber of tribes, comprised under the general name of Rotennou, each ruled by the king of its chief city, who again and again made aubmission to Egypt. All this indicates that Aasyria kad become independent of the southern kingdom, but was not yet organized into a kingdom of her own, and that the southern kingdom itself had correspondingly declined. Now it is just during this period of Egyptian supremacy in Western Asia, from the conquests of Thothmen L. to the last victories of Rameses III., that Berosus represents 9 "Arabian" kings as ruling at Babyion for 245 years. ${ }^{70}$ This indicates the overthrow of the old "Chaldzan" monarchy by a new Semitic conquest or revolution; but whether the new rulers were' the kings of an organized atate; or tribes that poured over the land as the sands of the desert encruach beyond tho boundary of the Euphates; or the Semitic population of Babylonia itself, shaking off the yoke of their masters; and whether the change was connected with the Egyptian conquesta as cause or as effect-all thewe are questions awaiting solution.

The theory, that these "Arabians" represent the growing power of the Hittites, anticipates the epoch of that power, and seems contradicted by the Egyptian monuments, which never place the Kheta, but always the Rotennou, in Mesopotamia. A more

[^157]plausible opinion connects them with a great wave of Semitic pressure towards the East, set in motion by the expulsion of the Shepherds from Egypt. A curious tradition is preserved in a book on " Nabathæan Agriculture," written at Babylon about the beginning of the Christian era, and translated into Arabic in the 10th century, that a dynasty of Canaanite lings succeeded, after long conflicts, in supplanting the Chaldæan dynasty in Babylonia. The chronographer, George Syncellus, gives the names of six lings of the Arab dynasty; but it is remarkable that their forms are distinctly Babylonian. One of them, Nabius, may be identified with Nabou, which is stamped on the bricss both of Erech and of Babylon. ${ }^{7}$ The end of this Arab dynasty appears to be connected with that great uprising of Mesopotamia which led to the campaigns of Rameses III. 'It was followed by the establishment of an independent kingdom at Nineveh, beside which that of Babylon continued for about six centuries and a half, sometimes in subjection, and oftener at war, till she recovered the supremacy under the new Chaldæan dynasty of Nabopolassar.
§ 16. Throughout this summary of the earliest history of Babylonia, we have been careful to avoid, as far as possible, the use of the words Chaldoea and Chaldoean, except in the strictly geographical sense attached to them by the classical writers. Recent writers, ${ }^{72}$ chiefly on the authority of Berosus, speak of the early Babylonian kingdom as the Chaldoean Monarchy, just as if the name were indisputably a native one. But the fact is, that the word is neither used in any original history nor in any contemporary inscription. In Scripture, the land is Shinar, and neither Nimrod nor Chedorlaomer is called a Chaldoean (either in that form.

[^158]or in the Hebrew form of Chasdim). As to the inscriptions, let us hear one of the bighest authorities in cuneiform literature:-
"It is particularly worthy of remark that, throughout the series of legends" (i.e. inscriptions, not fables) "which remain to us of the kings of Hur and Accad, the name of Chaldsa never once occure in a single sentence. It would be hazardous to assert, on the strength of this negative evidence, that the Chaldæans had no existence in the country during the age in question; but thus much is certain, that they could not have been the dominant race at the time, and that Berosus therefore, in naming the dynasty Chuldaran must have used. that term in a geographical, rather than in an ethnological, sense. The name of Kaldai (or Kaldi) for the ruling tribes on the Lower Euphrates, is first met with in the Assyrian inscriptions which date from the early part of the 9 th century b.c. ${ }^{\text {" }} 8$

This mention of the name, however, is valuable as shewing that it was a distinctive appellation of Lower Mesopotamia long before its well-known use under the later Babylonian empire; and the continuity of the religious system, then known as Chaldoean, with that represented by the earliest temple-towers is an argument for the continuity of the name in titis connection. Who the Chaldreans were, and whence they derived their origin, will be best considered when their name appears unmistakably in history. ${ }^{\prime 4}$
may quite as well denote a country as a city (Gen. IL. 28 ; xv. 7 ; Nehem. is. 7). The Ur-Chasdim of these passages is represented Dy "the land of the Cheldees" In Acte vii. 4 ; and in Gen. xV. 7 it le contrasted with the land given by God to Abraham ; and it is never called expresely a oity.

Is sir H. C. Kawlinson, 'Appendix to Herod.' Book 1., Esaay VI., in Prof. Rawlinson's 'Herodotas,' vol. i. p. 449. Bee Notes and Illustrations (B).
${ }^{14}$ The Hebrew Chasdim, which the LXX, and following tranalatora render Chaldees and Chuldeans, never occurs before the time of the later Babylonian empire-when it is constantly applied to the ling and people, as welf on th the learned clase (as in Daniel)-except in one passape, where the "bands of Chasdim " join the "Sabcone" in harrying the property of Job (Job 4. 15-17). This pasmage in a good proof that the name denotes atribe, and not morelys alase ; but the scene of the book of Job is not certain enough to give an argument for the locality of this tribe. The question is very much that of Or over again.

## NOTES AND ILLUSTRATIONS.

## (A). EARLY BABYLONIAN CHRONOLOGY.

It mast not be supposed that the date of s.a. 2458 (given at p. 204) to to be taken as an ascertained chronological epoch; but it is desirable to show the resulte which would be obtained by cecepting the orstem of Berosus, which scoeptance can only be made when they are confirmed, as in the 7th and 8th (and to sompe extent in the 6th) tynasties by positive historical infor.
mation. Beyond that IImlt the degree of their probability depends on the value we may assign to the astronomical compotations which we know to have been kept by the Chaldman priente mach more perfectly than by the Enyptians. But there can be ittile doubt that, in both caren, the alleged observations are simply computations backwarde aceording to an artifictal aystern. The statement that Cailiothenes, Wh accompanied Alevander to Baby.
lon, was able to send thence to Aristotle a series of astronomical observan tions taken by the Chaldmans for an unbroken period of 1903 years, rests on a false reading : the true reading, 31,000 years, proves the artificial nature of the ehronology.*

Sir Henry Rawlinson gives other computations of the traditional date of the Chaldman kingdom. $\dagger$

Years.
Greek Era of Phoroneus
(see Clinton, ' F. H.,' \} b.c. 1753 vol. i. p. 189).
Observations at Babjlon $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { before that time accord- } \\ \text { ing to Berosus }\end{array}\right\} \quad 480$



It will be observed that these numbers lead up to the beginning of the Third Dynasty of Berosus, the flrst of the two which he calls "Chaldsan," i.a. native dynasties of Babylonia, to the erclnsion of his "Median " dynasty. The probable reasons for considering the overthrow of the last-named dynasty, or rather domination, as the proper beginning of the earliest Babylonian kingdom are given in the text (p. 209).

Another remarkable sequence of numbers || leads up to the accession of

[^159]one of the Kings named on the very early inscriptions, by putting together the data furnished by the inscriptions of certain Asayrian kings : the summary being as follows:-

| Date of Bavian inscription (10th year of Sennacherib) | $\text { B.c. } 692$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Defeat of Tiglath- } \\ \text { pileser I. by Mero- } \\ \text { dach-adan-akhi ... } \end{array}\right\}$ | $418$ <br> years before |
| $\left.\begin{array}{cc}\begin{array}{c}\text { Interval between } \\ \text { defeat and } \\ \text { bnilding of } \\ \text { the } \\ \text { temple (sey) }\end{array} & \text { the }\end{array}\right\}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Demolition of } & \text { of } \\ \text { temple } . . . & \text {... } & \text {... }\end{array}\right\}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { years before } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Period during } & \text { which } \\ \text { the temple had } \\ \text { stood } & \ldots . . . & \ldots\end{array}$ | $64:$ years |
| Allow for two genera- tions (Shamas-Val and Ismi-Dagon)... | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ \text { years } \end{array}$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Date of Ismi-Dagon's } \\ \text { accession } & \text {... } & \text {... }\end{array}\right\}$ B.c. $1861 ~$
The monaments mention several kings who were almost certainly before Ismi-Dagon.

## (B). ON THE CHALDARANS AND THE AKKAD.

The following quotation from Sir Henry Rawhinson gives a fair view of the opinions now generally entertained by cuneiform scholars (with some not very important modifications) on this importans bat difficult question:-" It is only recently that the darkneas which has so long enveloped the history of the Chaldesans has been cleared np, but we are now able to present a colerably clear account of them. The Chaldanns, then, appear to have been a branch of the great Hamite race of Alkead, which inhabited Babylonia from the earliest times. With this race originated the art of writing, the bullding of citiea, the institution of a religious system, and the cultivation of all science, and of astronomy in particular. The langrage of these $A k k$ oud presents afinitites with the African dialects on the one side, and with the Turanian, or those of High Asia, un the other. It stands somewhat in the same relation as the Egyptian to the Semitic languages, belonging as if

[^160]would soem to the great parent atook from which the trunk-stream of the Semitic tongues also sprung, before there was a ramification of Semittic dialects, and before Semitition even bad become aubject to its peculiar organization and developments. In this primitive Akkedian tongue (which I have been accustomed generally to denominate Scythic, from its near connection with the Scythic dialect of Perals), were preserved all the acientific treatisen known to the Babyionians, long after the Semitic element baid become predominant In the land-it was, in fuct, the language of science in the East, as the Latin wat in Europe during the Middle Agea.
"When Semitic tribes established an emplre in Asayria in the 13th century s.c., they adopted the alphabet of the Akkoad, and with certain modification applied it to their own language; but during the seven centarlea which followed of Semitic dominion at Nineveh and Babylon, this Asbyrian lenguage waa merely used for historical recorda and official documents. The mythological, astronomical, and other actentific tableta found at Nineveh are exclusively in the Akkadian language, and are thus shown to belong to a priest-class, exactly anamering to the Chaldzans of profane history and of the book of Danlel.
" We thus see how it is that the Chaldeang (taken generally for the Alckead) ere oppoken of in the prophetical booky of Scripture as compooing the armien of the Semitic Elage of Babyion, and as the general Inhabitanta of the country, while In other anthoritiet they are distinguished se philosophers, astronomers, and magt-cians,-as, in fact the special depositarien of ecience.

- If is further very interesting to find that parties of these Chaldesan Akload wore eranaplanted by the Asoyrian kings from the plains of Babylon to the Armentan mountalas in the 3th and 7th centaries E.C. and that this transiation took place to mich an extent, that to the inacriptions of Sargon the grographlcal name of Akkad bs eometimes applied to the mountalas, Instead of the vernacular tiule of Nararat or Ararat-an excellemit illustration being thus afforded of the notices of Chaldeans In this quarter by wo many of the (treek bietorians and geographers It is probable Chat boch the Georgtan and Armentan lancuages at the present day retain many traces of the old Chaldrean epeech, that wes thus introtuced finto the country 2500 yeare aza."

Further light in thrown on the Akload and their literature by the fullowing re marks of a more recent writer (ln the ' British and Foreign Review:' No. 102, January, 1870, vol. IL. p. 805):-The valley of the Euphrates was the eeat of a very early clvilization, and the birth-place of many of the arts and aclences known to the classical natlons of antiquity. Babylonia wat inhabled at an early period by a race of pooplo entirely different from the Bemitic population known in bietorio times. This people had an abundant literature; and they were the inventora of a syatem of writing which was at frrst hierogiyphic, but gradualty changed into what is called the cunelform or arrowheaded character. . . . . Of the people who invented this asetem of wriung very Ittle is known with cortainty; and even their name la a matter of doubt. In the early Semitic period we find Babylonia inhabited by two reses, who were aulled the Sumint or Kash, and the Aksadt. The Sumiry or Eacel were a forelgn tribe, called by the Babyloniane Liean-Kalbi of the dogtongued,' e probably in alluadon to theis strange language. They were most probably a branch of the tribes called Cossefi, Cubell, and Ciacil, by claseloal writers. 4 These tribes lived to the eant of Babylonia; and their domintion in that country ta probably alluded to in the book of Gencais $z$ A-12. As the $8 u m i r t$ appeas to have been forelgnerm, it is natoral to muppose that the other tribe, the Akloadh reprementa the original inhabitants of Babylouts ; and we find that in early Inscriptions the country to called Elingl-alkiad and Hat-akkach " the country of Alskad." "
${ }^{*}$ The language of the $A k$ kadt, who orighnally veed the cunelform slgns, was different from any known to have existat in thecountry in hirtoric times." some of ite pecallarities are described, and the writer proceede:- These and uimilar peculiarlthes in its structure mark the Akkad as decidedly atferent from any bemitle tongue. The earlleat cunelform texte are written in the Akkad language, and well exhiblt the pecultaritien of ite vocabulary and grammar." Ameng the examplet from Rawlition and Nortis's 'Cunelform Inscriptions of Western Astis' mamped on the bricka of Babylonian tetpples, that of
 how the Alitad prople promounosed the Fotid
 Heonm.



Urakh is cited, and the writer proceeds :-- But the bulk of the Akkad literature consists of a large number of inscriptions, chiefly mythological, whtch were originally preserved in the libraries of Babylonia, and afterwards copied in Assyria, and accompanied by interlinear transla tions, to explain the Akkad to the Assyrians. Their subject matter, as a general rule, consiste of lists of gods, hymns and prayers to the gods, accunnts of the influence of various evil spirits to whom diseases were attributed, and prayers against them. . . . Real bistorical matter is very scarce in these early tablets; but we have part of an inscription of one early Babylonian king, with an Assyrian translation,"
"Such is the character of the earliest literary collections of Babylonia; and the Akkad languag, in which they were written, probalily continued in use in that
country fown to the close of the 16 th century $\boldsymbol{b}$ C., and, for some official doenmenta, even to a much later period. At some time anterior to the 19th centary B.c., the valley of the Euphrates was conquered by a Semitic race. Of the origln of this race we at present know nothing; it is possible that they may have been the same as the Sumiri or Kassi, at one time the leading tribe in Babylonia. . . . The Semitic conquerors, whoever they were gradually imposed their own language on the country; but, on the otber hand, they borrowed the system of writing in use there. From the time of the Semitic conquest the decline of the Akkad language began, and a period of mixed texts (part Akkad and part Semitic) commenced. It is rare that we find a text of any length purely Semitic."


Figures from the Signet Cylinder of King Uruk.


The Mesopotamian Ylam.
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## EARLY HISTORY OF ASSYRIA. THE MYTHICAL LEGENDS: AND THE EARLIER KINGS OF THE OLD MONARCHY.
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## CHAPTER XL

## EARLY HISTORY OF ASSYRIA. THE MYTHICAL LEGENDS: aND THE EARLIER KINGS OF THE OLD MONARCHY.

§ 1. sources of Ascyrian History. Vagee notions of the Oreeke. 52. The mythical legend of Ctesias-of Persian origin. 58. Nimus, the hero-spomymen of Nineveb. 5 4. 8xwramis-her divine birth-her works at Babylon and throughout Asia-her conqueste, defeat in India, and apotheosia. Nature of the myth. 5. Nimpas, and his succemore, cown to Samoapapalte, types of the Achwmenid kinge of Persim. 6. Duration of the Abeyrian Eropire, according to Herodotas and Beroena, Two distinct periods. The Upper and Lowor Dynacties. 8. Evidences in the canefform inscriptiohs of an early Aegyrian kingdom. Different clseses and authority of tboee incoriptions. \% \% Interpretation of the Asyyriam Royd Names. \& 9. The original territory of Amsyria. Its ancient tetrapolis. Its four capitals at Ehoracbad, Mosul, Nimrud, and Kileh-Sherghat. Ruins of Calan at Nimired, and of Aspava at Kileh-Sherghat. Question of aite of Resen. Pull extent of Nineveb. Other cities of Aspyris, 8 10. The Auryrians a semitie people. Their derivation from Babylonta, Early Ecriptaral notices of Aseyria. Ite relatione to Mesopotemis. of 11. Classical aca ants of ith early biatory. Thefr little falue. The Canon of Ptolemy. S 12. Babylonian insocriptions relating to Aseyris. Beginning of an independent kingdom. © 18. Oldent Asyriam Inveriptions at Xileh-Sherghat. First seriee of oix kinge. Bhalmanderg I. the founder of Calob, at Nimrud, and the firat known conqueror. 8 14. Trolatili-Nim, the conqueror of Babylonia. state of that eountry during the Amyrian Empire. Firat date in the cuneiform recorte. f15. Becond cerise of sic kings. Tiolatm-ptimake i. His eylinders at Kileh-Sherghat. His predecemors. fin. Conqueste recorded in his annals. His mode of warfare-cruelties. His hunting explatis. 3.7. State of Aengria at this period. f 18. His defeat in Babylonia. $\% 19$. His effigy and inseription \& 20 . Gap in the Asajrian History.
§ 1. Assxrra is best known to classical students in connection with some of the most famous fictions which the Greek writers have handed down to us concerning the East. The accurate notices of the Scriptures are so few and detached, that they only served but very partially to.correct the classic fables; till the excavations made by Mr. Layard and M. Botta, and the cuneiform inscriptions translated by Sir Henry Rawlinson, Dr. Hincks, M. Oppert, and others, brought the whole series of native Assyrian annals within the range of history. Even the name has no definite meaning in the classical authors; the most painstaking of whom, while pointing out the confusion made by the Greeks of Assyria with Syria, on the one hand, ${ }^{1}$ includes in it Babylonia on the other; ${ }^{2}$ and he shows his vague use of the word by the distinctive mention of "those of the Assyrians who possessed Nineveh." ${ }^{8}$ Contrast with this the exactness of the primeral Scripture notices of Assyria, as the land into which the Tigris flows eastward, ${ }^{4}$ and as quite distinct from the land of Shinar. ${ }^{5}$

The political Assyria of the Greek historians is, in fact, a general name for the whole series of kingdoms and empires which succeeded one another in the valley of the Tigris and Euphrates, from a mythical antiquity to the time of Cyrus; but with some idea, more or less clear in the various writers, of the distinction between the last Babylonian empire and its predecessors. Of the succession and duration of those empires, Herodotus alone, as we shall presently see, had some idea.
§2. The stories which were repeated for above two thousand years, down to our time, as the early history of Assyria, are legends of heroes and a heroine, conceived in an Oriental spirit, and dressed up in the Greek mythical vein. Such facts as they may embrace are-as in the parallel, but less exaggerated, legend of Sesostrisgathered up from various periods into a single picture, and coloured from pure imagination. Their great source is betrayed by the chief Greek writer who repeats them, Ctesias; who, while exalting his own authority above Herodotus, is a most untrustworthy witness on Oriental history. His very opportunities of information, at the

[^161]court of Artaxerxes, were his greatest snare, for in every age the Persians have been singularly wanting in what has been called the historio sense. Their only modern historian is a poet, whose cbronicles of the kings are mere romance; and similar poets seem to have decorated the legends of Assyria and Babylon, for the sake of enhancing the fame of the conqueror Cyrus." The poetic character and moral of these legends were such as the Greeks loved; representing as they do the rapid rise of a great conquering power under a mighty king and a mightier queen, who derive their lineage from the goda, and whose degenerate successors grow feebler and feebler, till the last of them perishes as in the catastrophe of an Attic tragedy.

8 3. The four beroes of the legend are Ninus and Semirayis, their son Ninyas, and the last king, Sardanapalus. The founder of the monarchy is not one of its real kinge at all, but simply the hero-eponymus of Nineveh (in Greek, Nivos); ${ }^{7}$ to whom are ascribed all the conquests of the Assyrian empire, and others that it never made. This Assyrian chieftain, says the legend, undertcok the conquest of Babylonia, which had been overrun by the Arabs. He first formed a band of youthr, whom he trained to bear all fatigues and dangers; and then, having formed an alliance with an Arabian chief, he invaded Babylonia. The inhabitants of the populous cities, unused to war, were easily conquered, and the King of Babylon and his children were taken prisoners and put to death. Ninus now marched against Armenia, whose king, Barzanes, propitiated him with presents, and furnished auxiliaries to his army. The resistance of the King of Media, on the other hand, was punished by crucifixion; and, in the course of aeventeen years, Ninus made himself master of all the lands from the Indus to the Tanais and the Mediterranean. He now rebuilt Nineveh, and called it after his own name; and, by attracting foreigners as well as natives to his capital, he made it the greatest and most flourishing city of the world.
64. It was in the conrse of a war againet Bactria that Seviranis*

[^162]altracted his attention. She was the daughter of the great goldess of Ascalon, Derceto, who had exposed this fruit of دer love for a mortal youth to perish; but, being saved and brought up by the shepherd Simas, she became the wife of Oannes, ${ }^{9}$ governor of Syria, and went with him to the Bactrian war. In the disguise of a soldier she scaled the wall of the capital, which Ninus had failed to take. The King, in admiration of the exploit, took her for his wife, and, on his death soon afterwards, she became sole queen.

In emulation of her husband's creation of Nineveh, Semiramis built a new capital in Babylonia; and the legend ascribes to her the walls and bridges, quays and gates, 'temples, fortresses, and reservoirs at Babylon, which belong chiefly to Nebuchadnezzar and his successors. ${ }^{10}$ Nay more, in connection with a campaign against the rebellious Medes, she is made the builder of Ecbatana, the capital of Dejoces, and its great canal, and of the palace at mount Bagistan (now Behistûn). The rock-built city and palace of Van, the inscriptions on whose ruins atill preserve the memory of a race of Armenian kings, are ascribed to her.

Extending the empire at both extremities, she conquered Egypt and a great part of Ethiopia, and resolved to be mistress of the wealth of India. Informed of her preparations, the Indian king, Stabobrates (or Stratobatis), ${ }^{11}$ sent her a letter of defiance, reproaching her with her debaucheries, and threatening to crucify her. His elephants gave him the victory, and Semiramis only escaped with the loss of twothirds of her army. This defeat was the term of her warlike expeditions, and the rest of her reign was occupied with her prodigious works; so that (as Strabo says) nearly every great work in every part of Asia was ascribed to her. Her edifices found their limit only at the bounds of the habitable world, on the frontiers of Scythia; and there it was said that Alexander saw her own record of her deeds, in the inscription which is preserved by Polyænus: "Nature gave me the form of a woman, but my deeds have equalled those of the bravest men. I ruled the empire of Ninus, which on the East touches the river Hinaman (Indus), on the South the land of frankincense and myrrh (Arabia Felix), on the North the Sacm and the Sogdians. Before me no Assyrian beheld the seas: I looked upon four so remote that none had reached them. I forced rivers to Hlow where I wished, and I only wished it in places where they were useful. I made the barren soil fruitful,

[^163]by watering it with my rivers. I raised impregnable fortresses; I pierced roads with iron across impracticable rocks. My charicto have rollen on roads where the wild beasts had found no path. And in tho midst of all my labours, I found time for pleasure and for love."

At last, hearing that her son, Ninyas, was plotting against her, instead of punishing his treason, she resigned the crown to him, and, after commanding all the governors to obey their new king, she disappeared in the form of a dove, and was worshipped as a goddess. Her mythical character is clear at every step from her birth to her apotheosis. Sbe is the ideal of a female demigod, according to the Oriental standard, which is reproduced in Astarte, Derceto, and Dido. The stories of her amours are doubtless connected with the licentious rites of Oriental worship, which we know to have been practised at Babylon; and, in later times, many of the mounds which covered ruined cities were called the graves of her lovers. Ninus, the warrior and founder, with his wife, Semiramis, the conqueror and builder, and their son Ninyas, the politic and self-indulgent ruler, represent on earth the supreme triad of the Babylonian and Assyrian religion. The Babylonian origin of the myth is seen in the parentage of Ninus, as the son of Belus, and in the connection of Semiramis with Babylon; and, in every land once a seat of the Cushite race, from India to Mesopotamia, the primitive dynasties are headed by a similar triad.
§ 5. But the Persian colouring is most clear in the representation of Ninyas, a very pattern of the later Achæmenid kings; withdrawn like a god from the eyes of his subjects amidst the pleasures of his palace, but yet securing their obedience by profuund policy. He kept on foot an immense army, which was levied annually from all the provinces, over each of which he set a governor devoted to his person. The army was assembled at Nineveh, and was renewed at the end of every year; so that no close relations could be formed between the soldiers and their officers, and military plots were hard to concoct. This system continued under all his successors, down to Sardasapalus; ${ }^{13}$ and even that degenerate sovercign has a divine prototype in the androgynous deity Sandon, and a sort of apotheosis. His fate is brought on, not by his luxurious effeminacy, but by his neglect of the policy which his predecessors had combined with their pleasures. When Arbaces, the satrap of Media, and Belesyn, the chief of the Chaldxan priests of Babylon, march against him in rebellion, he suddenly take the field, and performs prodigies of valour before be is defeated. After bolding out in Nineveh for two years, be collects all bis treasurcs, with his wives and concubines, on a vast funeral pile; ascending which, and applying the torch with
te Sardanapalus is the Greek form of one or more Aneyrian rogal namen; and the mory of min fate ( $m$ far as it containe any himtorical elemente) appears to eombine two different revolutions at distant tmes. (See the following chapters.)
his own hand, he perishes in the conflagration of his palace. "Let who will make the history of the people; only let me make their ballads," might well have been the maxim of the poets who set before the subjects of a Xerxes such patterns of the lives and deaths of kings. Even the thirteen centuries, which Ctesias assigns to the empire of Nineveh, have a meaning from this point of view; for they represent this monarchy as lasting undisturbed through the whole period which the chronology of Berosus assigns to all the dynasties that preceded the fall of Nineveh.
§6. Herodotus evidently had some good authority for his far more modest statement, that "the Assyrians had held the empire of Upper Asia ${ }^{19}$ for 520 years, when the Medes first set the example of revolt from their authority. ${ }^{14}$. . . Upon their success. the other nations also revolted, and regained their independence." These words mark an epoch which-though itself doubtful and probably (as we shall. hereafter see) misplaced-is clearly anterior to the final fall of Nineveh; and the chronology of Herodotus assigns upwards of 600 years for the whole duration of the empire, ${ }^{15}$ down to the destruction of that city; an event now fixed, with great probability, to B.c. 625 or 606. Now the chronological scheme of Berosus ${ }^{18}$ gives us two Assyrian dynasties (the sixth and seventh) of 526 years and 122 years respectively; the former number corresponding to the round 520 years of Herodotus; and the latter carrying us back to B.o. 747 ( $=$ в.о. $625+122$ years). This year is the date marked in the Canon of Ptolemy (a table unquestionably derived from the Babylonian chronology) as the Era of Nabonassar. What the change was that caused this date to be made an era, is unfortunately obscure; but some suppose that it was the setting up of an independent dynasty at Babylon. ${ }^{17}$ At all events, there seems to be sufficient authority for making this the division between two Assyrian dynasties, which modern writers called the Upper and the Lower; the former beginning in the middle of the 13th century b.c. ${ }^{18}$

[^164]67. It must be remembered that Berosus represents his Sixth Dynasty, like all the rest, as the dominant power in the whole region of Mesopotamia, particularly in Babylonia. The attainment of this supremacy implies, almost necessarily, a previous independent kingdom; and of such a kingdom wo have clear traces in the cuneiform inscriptions. Here, however, it is vecessary to observe an important distinction between three classes of those inscriptious. They are by no means all native and contemporary records. Besides those which possess this highest degree of authenticity, there are others which are contemporary but not native, as the records of Babylonian kings concerning the contemporary princes of Assyria; and others which are native but not contemporary, as the records of later kings concerning their predecessors. Some of the most considerable inscriptions are of the last class; and corresponding caution is necessary ia usiug them. It must also be borne in mind that there are uncertainties in the reading of many of the royal names, from the doubt whether the force of the characters employed is phonetic or ideogruphic. But in either case we have equaily a real name, and the significance of its component elements is generally the same oo either interpretation, the sound only being left in doubt.
§8. Most of these Assyrian royal names are so "ontlandish" to modern ears, that it may aid the memory, and make the wh le subject more interesting, to have some idea of their significance. For all of them bave a distinct meaning, and by far the greater part have a religious meaning. The name of Asshur especially is an clement as prevalent as Jeho or Jah (for Jehovah) and El (God) in Hebrew, or Theo (God) in Greek names. Like those aignificant names with which we are familiar in the Hebrew prophets (as Immanuel $=$ God $[$ is] with us), the Assyrian namee usually form complete sentences (full or elliptical), consisting either of aubject and predicate (the copula being understood), or of aubject, verb, and object. In the few in which we neem to have only a subject and adjective, the latter has probably a predicative force: ${ }^{\text {il }}$ thus Sar-gina (the proper form of Bargon)-from sar (or sarru) =king, and gin (or kin), to establish-should be read, not simply the established king, but (I am) the extablished king, or the king (is) established.

- The names are made up of two, three, or (very rarely) four elements. The above example is of the first form : another, containing the same verbal root in a participial form, is Saill-mugina $=$ Saiul (is the) establisher: another, Shamas-Iva = the servant of Iva, is interesting from the frequency of the first element, and the appearance of its equivalent in Hebrew and Arabic compounds, an

[^165]Obad-iah (the servant of Jehovah), Abdiel and Abdablah (the servant of God). Sometimes the first element, instead of denoting the subject himself, is expressive of his homage to the deity whose name follows: as Tiglathi-Nin $=$ Worship (be to) Nin (Hercules), and Mutaggil ${ }^{20}$-Nebo $=$ confiding in, or worshipping Nebo, which has its precise parallel in the name of the Caliph, Motawakkil-billah (trusting in Allah). The most interesting name of this class is that which we read in the Bible as Tiglath-pileser, where the substitution of a patronymic for the divine name gives the whole a trielemental appearance. For pal (in Assyrian) is son=bal (in Babylonian), and bar in Syriac; ${ }^{21}$ and the god Nin is called Pab-zira (the second element being of doubtful meauing, perhaps Lord); and hence Tiylath-pal-zira = Worslhip (be paid to) the son of Zira. The form may be compared with the Arabic Abd-er-Rachman (the servant-of the-Merciful).

In the names of three elements, the subject, which stands first, is usually a god, to whom some titles of praise are given, or some mark of whose favour to the king is embodied in the name. Of the former class is Asshur-ris-elim =Asshur-(is the)head-of the gods: of the latter, Asshur-akh-iddina=Asshur-a brother-has given, the Esar-haddon of Scripture, and his more famous father, Sennacherib, properly Sin-akhi-irib = Sin (the Moon) has multiplied brethren, ${ }^{2 / 2}$ a name almust ironical, considering his fate. We have only two royal names of four elements, and those of no great importance: an interesting Hebrew example is the biblical Maher-shalal-hash-baz, the son of Isaiah. ${ }^{23}$ Besides the greater reality which is given to Assyrian history by some understanding of the kings' and other names, a most important result is their thoroughly Semitic character (absolutely identical in some elements with Hebrew and Arabic names),

[^166]thus furnishing one of the many proofs of the Semitic origin of the nation.
§ 9. The proper home of the Assyrians is marked by the four cities which are connected with the name of Asshur in the Book of Genesis - Nineveh, Rehoboth, Calah, and the "great city" of Resen "between Nineveh and Calah."r Of these, Rehoboth is unknown; ${ }^{55}$ Calah is very probably identified with the large ruins at Nimrud, and Resen with those at Selamiyeh; but the cortain identification of Nineveh with the mounds opposite Mosul is enough to indicate the region, which, down to the latest period of ancient bistory, preserved the name of Aturia. ${ }^{98}$ That region is marked by very distinct plysical features. Its chief part forma a triangle, enclosed bs the Tigris and the Great Zab, or Zab Ala (the ancient Zabatas or Lycus), with its base (or northern side) resting on the hills of Jebel Judi, between which and the Great Zab a smaller confluent (the Khabour $)^{\boldsymbol{2}}$ flows into the Tigris. The confluence of the Great Zab with the Tigris is also the point at which the Sinjar range marks the descent from the foot-hills of Zagrus to the comparatively plain country in latitude $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. About three-quarters of a degree further south, the Lesser Zab, or Zab Asfal (the ancient Caprus), joins the Tigris, like the Great Zab, from the east; and the country between these confluents (the Adiabene of the classical geographers) ${ }^{=}$must be added to make up the original Assyria, which also included a strip of land between the right bank of the Tigris and the sterile plain of Mesopotamia. It is on this side, and a little above the Lesser $Z a b$, that the mounds of Kileh-Sherghat mark the great city, anciently Asshur.

Thus, as Professor Rawlinson observes, "the true heart of Assyria was the country close along the Tigris, from lat. $85^{\circ}$ to $36^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$. Within these linits were the four great cities ${ }^{\circ}$ marked by the mounds at Khorsabad, (opposite to) Mosul, Nimrud, and Kileh-Sherghat, besides a multitude of places of inferior consequence. It has been generally supposed that the lefi bank of the river was more properly Assyria than the right; ${ }^{\text {so }}$ and the idea ia 50 far correct an that the left bank was in truth of primary value and

24 Gemesio x. 11, 12. It is important to remember that this enumeration does sot necesearily pat the eities in the order of antiquity, but givee the list as known to the woriter.
${ }^{25}$ Very probably the name aigniftes, not acity at all, bat (an in the margin of our version) "the streete of the city," i.a. Nineveh If eo, the original tetrapolls may be made up by incinding Asshur (Xileh-Sherghat).
$\geqslant$ The interchange of $t$ with $s$ and an it very common in thowe regions, Cons versely 7 yrum in now Bher.
${ }^{37}$ Not to be confounded with the great tributary of the Euphratew.
me Pliny expresely inclades Adisbene in Asoria ('H. N.' v. 12), as ald the prophet Nahum, st least if his "Huczab" ts rightly interpreted as "the Zas country." A-diab-ene appears to have a eimilar etymology.
" Fot precisely the four of Genenia x. 11, 12. See next page

- Ptolemy boonds Ansyria by the Tigris.
importance, whence it naturally happened that three out of the four capitals were built on that side of the river. Still the very fact that one early capital was on the right bank is enough to show that both shores of the stream were alike occupied by the race from the first; and this conclusion is abundantly confirmed by other indications throughout the region. Assyrian ruins, the remains of considerable towns, strew the whole country between the Tigris and the Khabour, both north and south of the Sinjar range. ${ }^{31}$ On the banks of the lower Khabour (at Arban) are the remains of a royal palace, besides many other traces of the tract through which it runs having been permanently cccupied by the Assyrian people. Mounds, probably Assyrian, are known to exist along the course of the Khabour's great western affluent; and even near Seruj; in the country ketween Harran and the Euphrates, some evidence bas been found not only of conquest but of occupation. Remains are perhaps more frequent on the opposite side of the Tigris; at any rate, they are more striking and more important. Bavian, Khorsabad, Shereef:Khan, Nebbi-Yunus, Koyunjik, and Nimorud, which have furnished by far the most valuable and interesting of the Assyrian monuments, all lie east of the Tigris; while, on the west, two places only have yielded relics worthy to be compared with these, Arban and KilehSherghat." ${ }^{38}$

Conspicuous amongst these ruins are the four which have been mentioned as capitals-Nineveh; Nimrud (Calah), lower down the river; Eileh-Sherghat (Asshur), lower still; and Khorsabad or Dur-Sargina, north of Nineveh, on the little river Khosr-su, which joins the Tigris at Nineveh. The very name of the last, the "City of Sargon," excludes it from the original tetrapolis; it was, in fact, a new royal city supplemental to Nineveh. The largest ruins in Assyria are the mounds of Nebbi-Yunus and Koyunjik, on the left bank of the Tigris, opposite Mosul on the right bank, in lat. $36^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$ N., which mark the traditional site of the original Ninever, and contain the palaces of Sennacherib and his successors. ${ }^{\text {s3 }}$ About 20 miles further south, or 30 along the Tigris, and five or six miles above its confluence with the Great $\mathbb{Z} a b$, are the ruins called Nimrud, the inscriptions of which preserve the ancient name of Cavar. "These ruins at present occupy an area somewhat short of a thousand English acres, which is little more than one-half of the ruins of Nineveh; but it is thought that the place was in ancient times considerably larger, and that the nuited action of the Tigris and some winter streams has swept away no small portion of the

[^167]ruins. They form at present an irregular quadrangle, the sided of which face the four cardinal pointe On the north and east the rampart may still be diatinctly traced. It was flanked with towers along its whole course, and pierced at uncertain intervale by gates, but was nowhere of very great strength or dimensions. On the south side it must have been especially weak, for there it has disappeared altogether. Here, however, it seems probable that the Tigris and the Thor Derreh stream, to which the obliteration of the wall may be ascribed, formed in ancient times a sufficient protection. Towards the west, it eeems to be certain that the Tigris (which is now a mile off) anciently flowed close to the city. On this side, direclly facing the river, and extending along it a distance of 600 yards, or more than a third of a mile, was the royal quarter, or portion of the city occupied by the palaces of the kings. It consisted of a raised platforn, forty feet above the level of the plain, composed in some parte of rubbish, in others of regular layers of sun-dried bricka, and cased on every sida with solid stone masonry, containing an area of sixty English acres, and in shape almost a regular rectangle, 560 yarde long, and from $\mathbf{8 5 0}$ to 450 broad. The greater part of its area is cocupied by the rumaine of palaces constructed by various native kinge. It contains also the ruins of two small temples, and abuts at its north-western angle on the most singular structure which has yet been discovered among the remains of the Assyrian cities. This is the famous tower or pyramid, which looms so conspicuously over the Assyrian plains, and which has always attracted the special notice of the traveller. It appears, from the inseriptions on its bricks, to have been commenced by one of the carly kings, and completed by another. Its internal structure bas led to the supposition that it was designed to be a place of burial for one or other of these monarchs." ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Xenophon's notice of this pyramid identifies the ruins of Nimrud with the city whose name he has transformed into identity with the Thessalian Larissa,", and which he describes an "A vast denerted city, formerly inhabited by the Medes," and as "surrounded by a wall, 25 feet broed, 100 feet high, and nearly 7 mile in circumference, built of baked brick, with a stone basement to the height of 20 feet.' $m$

The rains of the third capital city, at Kileh-Sherghat, forty miles below Nimrud, but on the right bank of the Tigris, are acarcely inferior in extent to those of Calah. "Long lines of low mounds mark the position of the old walls, and show that the shape of the city was quadrangular. The chief object is a large square mound $m$

[^168]Crap. XI. SITES OF CALAH, ASSHUR, AND RESEN.
platform, two and a half miles in circumference, and in places a dundred feet above the level of the plain, composed in part of sundried bricks, in part of natural eminences, and exhibiting occasionally remains of a casing of hewn stone, which may once have encircled the whole structure. About midway on the north side of the platform, and close upon its edge, is a high cone or pyramid. The rest of the platform is covered with the remains of walls and with heaps of rubbish, but does not show much trace of important buildings." ${ }^{87}$. Here, as we have already seen, Tiglath-pileser I. records that works were executed by some of the early kings of Babylonia in the 19th century b.c.; and far more ancient inscriptions raise a strong presumption that it was the first capital of the independent Assyrian kingdom. ${ }^{38}$ This seems confirmed by the native name of the city, which appears to be inscribed on its bricks as Asshur.

Two of the Targums explain "Resen" by Tel-Assar, i.e. the Mound of Asshur ; but this identification cannot be reconciled with the position of Resen "between Nineveh and Calah." ${ }^{30}$ If the position of Calah is fixed at Nimurud (for of that of Nineveh there is no doubt), Resen must be represented by the ruins near Selamiyeh. It is objected that these inconsiderable ruins can hardly represent the city of which it is so emphatically said "t the same is a great city ; " and indeed that the distance of twenty miles between Nineveh and Nimrud hardly allows the intervention of a city of the first inportance. As it is probable that the seat of Assyrian royalty was moved upwards along the Tigris, it has been conjectured that "the city of Asshur" may have been the original Calah (a name actually preserved in Kileh-Sherghat,, ${ }^{40}$ and that Resen may have been at Nimrud: afterwards, when the royal residence was moved northwards from the former place to the latter, the name of Calah may have been transferred to the new capital; a kind of transfer by no means unfrequent. In this case, the Selamiyeh rnins might have a title to represent the Rehoboth of Genesis, or at least the southern portion of those "streets" or "suburbs" which, joining the main city to the older capital at Nimrud, made Nineveh, when at the height of its glory, "an exceeding great city, of three days' journey." il

We have thns, for the better understanding of the history, laid down the positions, and indicated the present state, both of the cities composing the original tetrapolis of Genesis, and also of the four great capitals: that of Sargon, at Khorsabad, will be described more fully ir its proper place. But there remains one city of Assyria Proper, wo famous in later history to be passed over;-Arbela, which is
${ }^{27}$ Ravlineon, 1. a pp. 254, 255.
m That is, after the recovery of its independence from Babylon. As to the superioi entiquity of Nineveh itself, see Notee and Inbstrations ; A ).
is Gen. x. 12. ${ }^{2}$ Mr. Layard spells the name Kalah-Sherghas.
41 Jonah iii. 3. See Notes and,Illustrations (A).
atill represented by Arbul, several miles from the left bank of the Great Zab, between the latitudes of Nineveh and Nimrud. Many other Assyrian cities, which we need not particularly mention, aro still found in the wide region of Upper Mesopotamia, to which the name of Assyria was extended with the extension of the kingdom. In this wider sense, Aseyria was bounded on the east by Media, on the north by Armenia, on the west by the Euphrates "and the Arabian Desert, and on the south by Babylonia.

The locus classicus in Genesis x. distinctly teaches that, though the Assyrians were of the Semitic race, the original civilization, if not the original population of the country, advanced northwards from the plain of Babylonia. ${ }^{43}$ And of this we have abundant confirmation. In the Perso-Greek legend, Ninus, the mythic founder of Nineveh, is the son of Belus, the mythic founder of Babylon. . The religions of Assyria and Babylon are essentially the same; but their common type is not Semitic, but the Cushite Sabeism, which was first developed, and always had its principal seat, in the plain of Bebylonia. The art of the former country is evidently an advanca upon the earliest art of the latter; and the syatem of cuneiform writing, which appears in a rude form on the earliest Babylonian ruins and gradually improves in the later ones, is in Assyria uniformly of an advanced type, arguing its introduction there in a perfect state. Perhaps the atrongest proof ia the nature of the cuneiform writing itself, which is sapidly punched with a very simple instrument upon moist clay, but is only with much labour and trouble inscribed by the chisel npon rock. Such a character must needs have been invented in a country where "they had brick for atone," and from such a country only could it have been imported into one where the monumental material was less suited for such writing.

5 10. Assyria was already known by that name to the author (or authors) of the earliest records in the book of Genenis," and the four cities mentioned there were probably as many separate states The absence of any mention of a King of Assyria, or of any of its cities, among the allies of Chedorlaomer, seems to prove its insignificance in the time of Abrabam. The place assigned to it as a conquering power in the prophecy of Balaam 4 indicates that it had risen into greater importance at the close of the life of Mowes. This was just the time when Egypt, weakened by her disasters under the later kings of the Nineteenth Dynasty, was losing her hold of Mesopritamia; and the prophecy of the westward extension of the

[^169]Assyrian power derives the more force from the fact that Balaam is sent for out of Aram. Its whole tenor seems suited to a time when the Midianites and Moabites were in close alliance with the tribes of Mesopotamia, before the Assyrian kingdom had acquired the force that was destined to subdue them. The independence of Mesopotamia seems still indicated by the oppression of Israel by Chushan-Rishathaim, a "King of Aram," in the generation after Joshua.

After the repulse of this conqueror from Palestine by Othniel, we read no more of Mesopotamia as an aggressive power; and, in the earliest Assyrian inscriptions (which date from about b.o. 1100), we find no centralized monarchy in this country, the proper Aram, between the Khabour and the Euphrates. It appears to be quite distinct from Assyria, and is inhabited by a people called Naïri, who are divided into a vast number of petty tribes, and offer but little resistance to the Assyrian armies. In the wars by which David extended his power to the Euphrates, we find Hadarezer, king of Zobah, calling to his help "the Syrians beyond the rivor," who are defeated by David in a great battle. ${ }^{46}$ Excepting this notice, there is a great gap in the Scriptural notices from the period of the Judges till the Assyrian power, now at its height, begins to be felt by the kings of Israel. We learn from the cuneiform inscriptions that the lately consolidated Assyrian empire was engaged at this time in establishing its power within the Euphrates.
§ 11. Thus much concerning the light which the Bible throws on the earllest history of Assyria. The information furnished by classical authors looks far more abundant, but the bulk of it is worthless. The long list of Assyrian kings, which has come down to us in two or three forms, only slightly varied, ${ }^{47}$ and which is almost certainly derived from Ctesias, must of necessity be discarded, together with his date for the kingdom. It covers a space of above 1200 years, and bears marks besides of audacious fraud, being composed of names snatched from all quarters, Aryan, Semitic, and Greek-names of gods, names of towns, names of rivers. Its estimate of time presents the impossible average of 34 or 35 years to a reign; while the prevalence of round numbers betrays the artificial character of the list. Berosus gave the names of the 45 kings of his sixth dynasty; but unfortunately they are all lost: they might bave been a guide for comparison with the inscriptions,

[^170]like tlat furnished by Manetho's lists of the Egypcian kings. Moses of Chorene, an Armenian historian, who often preserven valuable traditions, names the first kinga of Assyris in the following order:-Ninus, Chalaos, Arbelus, Anebus, Babius. These are evidently geographical names, the first two representing the capitals of Nineveh and Chale (Calah), the third Arbela, and the other two probably Nipur and Babylon. If the list is worth anything, it implies the early conquest by Assyria of two of the capitals of Babylonia, There remains the famous Canon, or Catalogue of Assyrian, Persian, Greek, and Roman kings, compiled by the astronomer and geographer, Claudius Ptolemæus, in the time of the Antonines. The "Assyrian" portion-which is chiefly Babylonian, but throws much incidental light upon Assyria-owes its value to the probability that it was derived from Babylonian sources; and its authenticity is remarkably confirmed by an Assyrian cunciform Canon, or list of kings from the 10th century B.o. This dues not, however, give the names of the earliest Aasyrian kings, for which we are wholly dependent on the cuneiform ingcriptions.
812. The earliest of these, relating to Assyria, are Babylonian. The remote time at which the Assyrians settled on the part of Upper Tigris between the two Zabs may be inferred from the record of Tiglath-pileser at Kileh-Sherghat, that a templo of the god Anu was built at that place by Shamas-ivs, the mon of Ismi-dagon, both of whom he atyles "high-priests of Asshur." Here we find the lowest (along the Tigris) of the great Asayrian capitals the seat of the worship of the chief Assyrian god, and the residence of the Babylonian viceroy; and here also other tablets of Babylonian governors have been found.

We have no statement of the time when a aeparate kingdom was first eatablished in Aasyria; but evidence of its existence in and about the time of the Babylonian Purna-puriyas is furnished by the names and actions of three Assyrian kinge on a synchronistic tablet in the British Museum. ${ }^{-6}$ The first of these, Asbhur-bel-nisio, makes a treaty with a Babylonian king; the necond, Buzur-Asshur, makes a treaty with Purna-puriyas, who marries the daughter of the third, Asshur-vatila. The con of Purna-puriyas having been killed in a rebellion, Asahur-vatila makee a successful war against the usurper, and places (probably) Kur-galazu upon the Dabylonian throne.

[^171]These transactions, which show that Assyria was not only independent but powerful, are followed by a blank of about 200 years, in which it has been very doubtfully proposed to place Bel-sumilikapi, a king who must bave been famous in Assyrian tradition; for a genealogical tablet, of uncertain date, names him as having "established the authority" of the later kings, "of whom, from that time, Assbur proclaimed the glory "-phrases which appear to mark the reputed founder of a dynasty.
§ 13. The oldest contemporary records of Assyria yet found are on the bricks of Kileh-Sherghat, which thuy seem to mark as the first capital of the kingdom; and, as the Assyrians proceeded from Babylonia, and had at first to maintain their independence against her, it is natural that their first capital should be the lowest on the course of the Tigris. We find a series of six kings, in direct descent from father to son;-Bel-lush (perhaps the Belochus of the Greeks), Pud-il, Iva-lush 1., Shalmaneser 1., Tiglathi-Nin, and Iva-lush II.; ${ }^{\text {s0 }}$ of whom the first four stamped their names and royal titles (which are such as to prove their independence) on the bricks of the buildings which they raised or repaired at their capital city of Asshur (Kileh-Sherghat). The last three are also named in the genealogical tablet referred to above; and Tiglathi-Nin in a very important inscription of Sennacherib.

Shalmaneser $I$. is named in the "standard inscription" at Nimrud as the founder of the city of Calah on that site; -a step which transferred the capital from its more exposed and less fertile site on the right bank of the Tigris to the rich and well protected ground between the Tigris and the Great Zab. Later inscriptions recond his expeditions against the tribes on the Upper Tigris, where he built cities and began the policy of colonizing them from a distance. He is the first knowon Assyrian conqueror.
§ 14. The subjection of the upper country by Shalmaneser I. seems to have enabled his son Tiglathi-Nin ${ }^{\text {an }}$ to dispute with Babylon the supremacy of Mesopotamia. Not only is be called, in the genealogical tablet mentioned above, "King of the Sumir and Accad" (i, e. of Babylonia), but a most interesting record of Sennacherib mentions that king's recovery of a signet-ring which this ancient predecessor had left at Babylon, and which bore the inscription, "Tiglathi-Nin, King of Assyria, son of Shalmaneser, King of Assyria, and conqueror of Kar-Dunis" (i. e. Babylomiu): a testimony, not only to his power, but his presence at Babylon. Such an

[^172]event seems the fittest to mark the epoch at which, according to Berosus, the first Assyrian dynasty began to reign at Babylon; ${ }^{\text {an }}$ signifying probably the establishment of a branch of the Assyrian royal bouse on the throne of Babylonia.
"We must not, however, suppose," observes Professor Rawlinson, "that Babylonis was from this time really subject continuously to the court of Nineveh. The subjection may have been maintained for a little more than a century; but about that time we find evidence that the yoke of Assyria had been shaken off, and that the Babylonian monarchs, who have Semitic names, and are probably Assyrians by descent, had become hostile to the Ninevite kings, and were engaged in frequent wars with them, No real permanent subjection of the Lower country to the Upper was effected till the time of Sargon; ${ }^{38}$ and even under the Sargonid dynasty revolts were frequent; nor were the Babylunians reconciled to the Assyrian sway till Esar-haddon united the two crowns in his own person, and reigned alternakely at the two capitals. Still it is probable that, from the time of Tiglathi-Nin, the Upper country was recognised as the superior of the two; it bad shown its might by a conquest and the imposition of a dynasty-proofs of power which were far frum counterbalanced by a few retaliatory raids adventured upon under favourable circumstances by the Babylonian princes. Its influence was therefore felt, even while its yoke was refused; and the Semitising of the Chaldæans, commenced under the Arabs, continued during the whole time of Assyrian preponderance." ${ }^{\text {M }}$

Tiglathi-Nin seems also to bave extended his father's conquest to the north; for the great Asshur-nasir-pal, of whom we have pree sently to speak, mentions a tablet set up by him near the sources of the Tsupnat, or Eastern Tigris. His son, Ivalush $11_{4}$ appears, from the genealogical tablet on which alone his name occurs, to have extended the Assyrian dominions atill further.

Tiglathi-Nin is the first Assyrian king for whom the cuneiform records give a date; for Sennacherib placea him 600 years before his own capture of Babylon, which was in B.c. 702. This carrics bis reign back to about B.c. 1300 , a date near enough to the epoch of the Sixth Dynasty of Berosus (B.c. 1270). ${ }^{\text {as }}$
15. The next great name in the Assyrian annals bappens to be one having the same meaning, Tiglath-pileser (Tiglath-palzira) 1.s4

[^173]He has left us the earliest of that most interesting class of records, which may truly be called Assyrian books-tablets, cylinders, or prisms of clay, covered with cuneiform inscriptions in a fine character, and then baked. Like books too, they were multiplied for use and preservation; and thus our museum possesses two perfect copies, besides fragments of others, of the cylinders inscribed with the annals of the first five jears of Tiglath-pileser's reign. ${ }^{57}$

The genuineness of the inscription is attested by the statement it contains: "The list of my victories, \&c., I have inscribed on my tablets and cylinders, and I have placed it [to remain] to the last days, in the temple of my lords, Ann and Iva." Its completeness is testified by the concluding invocation and curse on any who should destroy the records. The inscription gives the names and deeds of the king's four predecessors; and his own name occurs again, with that of his father and son, in the often-quoted synchronistic tablet.

Thus we have a second series of six kings in succession from father and son, and only separated from the former series by about 20 years: ${ }^{\text {s8 }}$ speaking roughly, they fill up the 12th century b.c. The first of these, Nin-pal-zira, is mentioned with a phrase which soems to mark the head of a dynasty. Asshur-dah-il and Mutagyil:Nebo reigned prosperously, but not without rebellions; and Asshur-ris-ilim is styled "the powerful king, the subduer of rebellious countries, he who has reduced all the accursed." Among his enemies was the first Babylonian king who bore the name of Nabuchodonosor. 50
§ 16. The Annals of Tiglath-pileser I. himself record the exten:sion of the Assyrian power over the whole region of Upper Mesopotamia and a large part of the mountains on its north. After invoking, as the guardians of his kingdom, the "great gods who rule over heaven .and earth," Bel, Sin, Shamas, Iva, Nin, and Ishtar, "the source of .the gods, the queen of victory," and after a grandiloquent recital of his own royal titles ${ }^{60}$-he relates the five campaigns in which he defeated the Muskai or Moschians, a mountain race in the Taurus of

[^174]Niphates, and subdued Qummukh (Commagene), which they bad over:un; repulsed the Khatti or Hittites from the Assyrian territory; carried his arms, on the one side into the mountains of Zagrus, and on the other, gained a great victory over the numerous tribes of the Nairi, taking 120 chariots, and driving them and their sllies as far as the "Upper Sea," which can ouly be the Mediterranean. The coincidence of the name of the Nairi with the Aramnaharain of Scripture and the Naharayn of the Egyptian monuments marks this as the decisive subjugation of the Mesopotsmians west of the Khabour, together with their allies of Upper Syria, as far as the mouth of the Orontes.

Turning next to the middle course of the Euphrates, he attacked the Aramzans, who occupied both banks of the river for some 250 miles below Circesium, as far as the Tsukhi, the Shuhites of Scripture, whose country was between Anah and Hit. He emote them "at one blow," crossing the river on sking, and returned laden with plunder. This account sets in their true light a large proportion of the so-called conquests of the Assyrians-predatory excursions on a vast scale, to atrike terror into hostile tribes, and to carry off slaves and booty to enhance the monarch's state at home.
In the story of his last campaign, Tiglath-pileser bas been thought by some to claim the conquest of Egypt; but the name used, Musr or Muori, has two senses; and it seems here to denote the forward ranges of Zagrus, between the Great $\mathrm{Zab}_{\text {a }}$ and the Eastern Khabour, the mountaineers of which had hitherto maintained their independence, but were now subjected to tributa ${ }^{\text {a }}$

The whole result of the five campaigns is summed up an follows: "Thus fell into my hands altogether, between the commencement of my reign and my fifth year, forty-t wo countries, with their lings, from the banks of the river Zab to the banks of the river Euphrates, the country of the Khatti, and the upper ocean of the setting aun. I brought them under one government; I took hostagee from them, and I imposed on them tribute and offeringa." These phrases seem to warrant the assigning to Tiglath-pileser I. the first organization of Assyria as an empire; and the record of his great works, as a builder and restorer of temples, prove his care for the national

[^175]religion. The details given of his mode of warfare agree exactly with those vivid pictures in bas-relief with which the later kings delighted to line their palace halls, and which may now be perused by all like an open book, on the walls of the British and French museums. Rivers are crossed on skins, strongholds stormed, citios burnt, lands laid waste, a vast booty in cattle and treasure carried off; and, as for the people-we must not spoil the king's own words-" The ranks of their warriors, fighting in the battle, were beaten down as if by the tempest. Their carcases covered the valleys and the tops of the mountains. I cut off their heads. Of the battlements of their cities I made heaps ${ }^{62}$ like mounds of earth. Their movables, their wealth, and their valuables I plundered to a countless amount. Six thousand of their common soldiers, who fled before my servants and accepted my yoke, I took and gave over to the men of my own territory as slaves."

Another set of representations in the royal pictures is illustrated by this narrative. The Assyrian kings had always a passion for the chase; they were literally "mighty hunters;" and Tiglath-pileser records his sporting achievements, just as his sucressors depicted theirs. "In the country of the Hittites, he boasts of having slain 'four wild bulls, strong and fierce,' with his arrows; while in the ueighbourhood of Harran, on the banks of the Khabour, he had killed ten large wild buffaloes, and taken four alive. These captured animals he had carried with him on his return to Asshur, his capital city, together with the horns and skins of the slain beasts. The lions which he had destroyed in his various journeys he estimates at 9201 All these successes he ascribes to the powerful protection of Nin and Nergal." ${ }^{\text {os }}$ This veligious spirit pervades the whole inscription, The exactness of its date is tantalizing, from our ignorance of the way in which the year is marked. "In the month Kuzalla (Chisleu), on the 29th day in the year, presided over by Ino-iliya-pallih, the Rabbi-Turi. ${ }^{\text {n }}{ }^{4}$
§ 17. But far more important than its exact date is the insight which this self-drawn full-length portrait of one of its earliest kings gives us into the character of the A'ssyrian empire, and her position among her neighbours about the end of the 12th century s.a. "She was a compact and powerful kingdom, centralized under a single monarch, and with a single great capital, in the midst of wild tribes, which clung to a separate independence, each in its own valley or village. At the approach of a great danger, these tribes might consent to coalesce and to form alliances, or even confederations; but

[^176]the federal tis, never one of much tenacity, and rarely capable of bolding its ground in the presence of monarchic vigour, was here especially weak. After one defeat of their joint forces by the Assyrian troops the confederates commonly dispersed, each flying to the defencs of his own city or territory, with a short-sighted selfishness which doserved and ensured defeat, In one direction only was Assyria confronted by a rival state possessing a power and organization in chas racter not unlike her own, though scarcely of equal strength. On her southern frontier the kingdom of Babylon was still existing; it Semitic kings, though originally established upon the throne by Assyrian influence, had dissolved all connection with their old protectors, and asserted their thorough independence." $\omega$
§ 18. The silence of the cylinder respecting Babylonia is partly compensated by two later records. The aynchronistic tablet relates that he invaded the country in two successive years, wasting the "upper" or vorthern districta, taking the frontier fort of Kur-galazu (Akkerkuf), Sippara, and Babylon itaelf, and returning down the Euphrates, where he took several citios of the Tsukhi. It appears to have been during this retreat that he was overtaken by the King Mero-dach-idin-akhi, who inflicted upon him some serious blow; ${ }^{\text {cs }}$ for Sennacherib records, in his celebrated rock inscription at Bavian, near Khorsabad, his recovery of certain idols which had been carried to Babylon by Merodach-idin-akhi, who had taken them from Tiglathpileser at Hekalin (probably near Tekrit). These idols had doubto less been carried with the army (as the Hebrews took the ark against the Philistines) as a mecurity for victory." The fact that auch objects of veneration and trophies of victory were not recovered for above 400 years is significant of the atrength of Babylon; while the monuments of successive Aseyrian kings teatify their repeated efforts to subdue her. "A hostile and jealous spirit appears henceforth in the relations between Assyria and Babylon; wo find no more intermarriages of the one royal house with the other ; wars are frequent, almost constant-nearly every Assyrian monarch whose history is known to us in detail conducting at least one expedition into Babylonia." em

5 19. Tiglath-pileser L. has atill one more claim to be regarded as the typical king of the old monarchy. The earliest specimen of Assyrian eculpture is a figure of this king in bas-relief, on the face

[^177]of the native rocks in a cavern near the eastern source of the Tigris -the memorial, probably, of the extent of his conquests in that direc- . tion. It represents the king in his sacerdotal dress, with the right arm extended, and the left hand grasping the sacrificial mace, and the rock bears the following inscription: "By the grace of Asshur, Shamas, and Iva, the Great Gods, I, Tiglath-pileser, King of Assyria, son of Asshur-ris-ilim, King of Assyria, who was the snn of Mutaggil-Nebo, King of Assyria, marching from the great sea of Akhiri, to the sea of Nairi, for the third time have invaded the country of the Naïri." ${ }^{\circ}$. The fact that this monument was sought for and found, in consequence of the record of its existence in this very locality in an inscription of a later king (see p. 249), is one of the experimenta crucis of cuneiform science. Another early specimen of sculpture, the mutilated statue in our museum of the goddess Ishtar or Astarte, dates probably from the reign of Asshur-bil-kala, the son and suc-. cessor of Tiglath-pileser I.
§ 20. At the close of what is called "the Tiglath-pileser series" of six kings, the leading English autho-


Figure of Tiglath-pileser 1. (Frome rock tablet near Korkhar.) rities find a great gap of a century and a half, broken by only one uncertain name. ${ }^{70}$ But M. Oppert and the French writers place here the king who has been mentioned above as having " established the authority of the later kings." They read his name Belkatirassou, and identify him with the Belitaras, governor of the royal gardens, who (according to the Greek writers) formed a conspiracy against his sovereign, and became the head of the new dynasty, which lasted in an unbroken line to the end of the Old or Upper Empire. Whichever may be the correct view,

[^178]it is remarkable that this break in the Assyrian dynasty, indicating s diminution of its power, occurs at the very time when the wars of David and the splendid government of Solomon established a real empire of Israel up to the Euphrates itself; and when, alsotowards the close of the interval-Rezon founded the Syrian lingdom of Damascus, which maintained a onnstant conflict against Assyria till the final triumph of the latter. ${ }^{\text {n }}$ It is also remarkable that, just when the power of Assyria was thus circumscribed on the west, we begin to find apparent traces of Assyrian influenoe in Egypt in the namis of the kings of the 22nd Dynasty. ${ }^{72}$ And we can now see how the conquests of that dynasty in Palestine were facilitated by the internal troubles which weakened Assyria.

Both sets of authorities come into agreement at the reign of Asshur-idin-akhi, from whom the list of kings is complete (with ouly two or three cases of doubt) down to the end of the Upper Monarchy. ${ }^{78}$ But the first great name in this new series is that of a king who vies with Tiglath-pileser I. in his conquests, and the fulness of his annals, and far surpasses him in his architectural monuments. We suspend, till the next chaptor, the mention of his name, as it is read in different ways.

[^179]
## NOTES AND ILLUSTRATIONS.

## ON THE GITE AND EXTENT OF NINEVEFL

The treditlonal site of Nineoch to marted by the mounds of Toyunjik and Nebob Yunts, opposite Moenl. This was eertedaly the Alnevel of Sennacherib; and it ts the only one of the royal citien on the 'Sigrie to which we have as yet found the mame distinctly applied by the Asayriana themselves. But we sontit not ruoh to the conclusion that this was elther the ori* ginal or the only Ninereh. It may even be posedble to recondle the viewe of thoes who regard all the other royal citien an distinct from Ninevel and from each othor, and of thooe (eapecially Mr. Layard) who tnclude all the ralns from Nimrud to Eoyungit: and Nebll Tumut under that name.

Edel-Phergiat lies tno for worth to be meluded; and thereabad is expresely dis
tinguished by the founder, flargon, from Nineveh, to which it stood (as a royal meidences) momewhat in the relation of Windeor to London.
(1) The primeval antiquity of Nineveh (by that marne) io sttetted both by Bcripture, and by the Egyptien recorde of the 18th, 19 th, end 201 c dynaettee ; that fa , as early an the 15th century e.c., long before the age of the Aseyrian Einga whe bad thetr capitals at Acshar (Kileh-Sherghat) and Calab (Ntmruc). Mr. Layard obeervee that "there are now rescons for conJecturing that the mound of Koyunjtik covers the remaling of editices erected thy come of the earlieat A woytian klnga. (' Emalier Ninevel and Babyion,' Introd, p. nxxp.)
(2) Kinga of the Old A agrian Monarchy. teeiding at Caish (Nimrud), mention Minevel. Kapecially the great "Nimirud King." Asehur-nadr-pal, wenke of carry. tug materlats to his palaes at IVImeter.

Thls may mean Nimand (according to Mr. Layard's theory); but M. Place found a tablet of this same king at Koyunjik,the only monament yet found there of a date earlier than Sennacherib. But it seems from the inscriptiona that palaces and temples were bulit at Nimrud at least two or three centurle: before the north-west palace of this king, which is the most ancient edifice yet explored in that mound; and the perfection of artes and manufactures found in that edifice points clearly to a long preceding progress.
(3) Sennacherib records his restoration of Nineveh to be his royal city; and describes it as having a circuit of between 30 and 40 milles. The site of his Nineveh is undoubtedly marked by the mounds oppoaite to Mosul; but the extent of the remains of strong fortifications, which are still to be traced, is only $7 \frac{1}{2}$ miles in circuit. This is quite large enough for the primitive city, which probably became the royal quarter. After this period, we still find the Absyrian kings, as Esar-haddon and the supposed last king (Asshur-tmid-ilin), building palaces at Nimrud
(4) All the mounds yet explored contain the rains solely of the royal palaces. Among the adjacent enclosures, defined by the remains of walls, and strewn with fragments of bricks and pottery, though large enough to mark fair-sized towns, such as would grow up round a royal residence, none approaches to the description given by Sennacherib, nor to the statement that "Nineveh was an exceeding great city of three days' journey "-nor to that of - Nineveh, that great city, wherein ars more than sisscore thousand persons that cannot discern between their right hand and thair left hand "-which, interpreted as childirem, argues a population of 600,000 -"and also much cattle." (Jonah iil. 3; iv. 11). The last statement is important as indicating that Nineveh, like the eastern cities both of anclent and modern times, comprised vast open spaces. It is no lmprobable inference, that the whole space from Nimoned to the mounds opposite Mosul was occupied by scattered buildings which connected the old towns and the new royal towns and residences, and were included in Nineveh in the widest sense.
(5) But we must neither insist that the true specific meaning of Nineveh was this great assemblage of palaces, fortresses, lowns, and scattered honses, nor confine it to the enclosed epace opposite Mosul; thnogh probably the latter may have been
ance hiter
its original sense. It is rather surprising that the disputants have not made more of the analogy of our own capital the name of Lospons has been extended from the British village which crowned the hill of St. Paul's to the Roman Londinium, which did not pase the Fleet valley; thence to the "City," which is about equal in ares to Hyde Paris; and lastly to the vast aggregate of town and suburbs which grows year by year, and which (for some purposes) has a radius of 15 millea

Whether the name of Nineveh spread thos, or whether it was applied to the capital for the time being; where was its original site, and how large its full extent; are questions too nice to be determined till further records are recovered from the ruins.
(6) The city, which appears in one of the earliess chapters of the Bible, had disappeared before the time of the earliest Greek historians. Herodotas speaks of the Tigris as "the river apon which the city of Ninus (i.e Nineveh) formerly stood" (1. 193); nor does he affect to describe the long since perished city, Later writers with more or less accuracy, mention ita position on the east bank of the Tigris, is Atturis, above the Lycus (Great 2ab) though Divadirus, professing to follow Ctesias, places it on the Euphrates! The same writer gives a description of the city whlch, being merely traditional (and also in part doubtless imaginative) is of little value. It formed an obloug quadrangle of 150 stadia by 00 ( $15 \times 9$ geographical miles), which far exceeds the measures given by Sennacherib, and makes an area about twice as large as London and its cuburbs. Its walls were 100 feet high. and thick enough to allow three chariots to pass upon them; with 1500 towers, 240 feet in height. These statements are the less incredible when we remember that the walls were huge earthen embankments, faced only with masonry, such as we see in good preservation especially at Khorsabad. strabo simply bays that the city was larger than Babylon.
(7) Traditions hung about the neighbourhood for ages after the destruction of the city. The monnds which cover the ruined palaces were pointed out in encient times as the tombs of Ninus and Sardauspalus; and we have to notice the storied told to Mr. Layard abont Nimarod and Asshur under the anadow of Nimrud. But the very name of Nineveh survived. Tacitus mentions the capture (in tha

Parthian eivil war in the time of Claudius) of " urbe Ninos, veturtissima seden AsayFise "in Adiabene (Ann. xil. 13): Amwianus Marcellinus (xiv. 8), under Julian, mentions "vetus Ninus" in the same district: and coins exist of the relgna of Claudiun, Trajan, Maximin, and Gordianua Pius, with the legend, Narrya Claudiopolis. Thus there seems to have been a specific Roman Nineveh; but the name, like that of Babylon, appears to have wandered about the neighbourhood according to the importacice of the city which clasimed it for the time. Philostratus (Vit. spoll, Tyan. i. 19) speaks of a Ninus west of the Euphrates; and Eusebius appliea the name to Nuebla
(8) The prevaling traditions of the Mohammedan age ultimately fixed on the site opposite yosul. Thus, Ibn Athir spenks of the forts of Ninawi to the east, and of sfosul to the west, of the Tigris, in
the campatgns of Abdallah Iba Mo'etewes A.E. 26 (4.D. 634), and of Otbeh lbo Farkad, a.E. 20 (4.b. v41), gloting frow Beladnerf, in the annals of thove years (Rawlineon, ' Ae. Journal', 1850). In the 12th century, Benjamin of Itrdels speake of Nineveh as oppostite to Monul (' Travels.' p. 91, ed. Asher, 1840); and Abulfaral notioes it under the name of Ninue (' Hist, Dynagt' pp. 404-441); eee aleo his 'Chronicon,' p. 464). Lastiy, Asemannt, in his account of the aiteaton of Salukah, the patriarch of the Cbaldmana, to Kome, in 4.D. 1652, when describing Mosuh, says, " a que'ex alters Mpo parte abest Ninive bis mille passus." ('Bibl Orlent.' vol 1. p. 624). In the mame wort of Asscmannt are many notices of Nineveh as a Chistian bishopric, firat under the metropolitan of Mosul, and aubsequantly under the btahop of Aesyr!a and Adiabane (' Bibl. Ortent.' vol. 11. p. 459 ; vol. ill. pp. 104, 268, 844, \&c.).



The Mound of Nimrad.

## OHAPTER XII.

THE OLD ASSYRIAN EMPIRE. в.c. 886-746.

1. The two series of seven kings of the Old and New Empire. Asshur-nabir-pais § 2. Account of the recent Assyrian discoveries. M. Botta's Discoveries at Khorsabad. § 8. Mr. Layard's disooveries at Nimrud, Calah. Description of the North-West Palace of Asshur-nasir-pal. § 4. Plan of the polace. Inscriptions, with the king's annals. § 5. His titles on his statue. Records of his conquests. His hunting exploits. § 6. His bas-reliefs in the British Museum. Witnesses to the cruel despotism of Assyria. § 7. Excellent art of the sculptures. Use of colour. Other objects-bowls-ivory-tabletg-weights. Signs of Egyptian and Phamician work. §8. The temples and siggurat of Nimrud. Canal and Tunnel of Negoub. § 9. Description of his capital of Calaf. His works at Klleh-Sherghat. § 10. Shalmaneske II., the "Black Obelisk King." His "Central Palace" at Nimrud. Description of his Obelisks. § 11. Relations of Assyria to Syria and Israel. Mention of Benhadad and Ahab, Hazasl and Jehu, on Shalmaneser's monoments. § 12. His other campaigns. § 18. Rebellion of his elder son; put down by Shamas-Iva. Campaigns of this king. § 14. Iva-Lusi IV. His palace at Nineveh (in the Nebbi-Funts mound). Extent of his dominion. § 15. His power in Babylonia. His queen Sammuramit (Semiramis). 8 16. Donbtful period of about 40 years. Shalmanegre III. and his two buccessors. § 17. Signs of disturbance and revolt. Probable independence of Babylon at the Era of Nabonassar. § 18. Question concerning the PuL of Scriptare.
§ 1. We have seen the kingdom of Assyria grow into an empire; and we have reached the point from which we can follow both its history and chronology with tolerable certainty. The greatness of the empire may be divided into two nearly equal periods of less than
a 2
a century and a half, each comprising seven kinge-the first seven belonging to the old empire, the last to the new.

The records of the first of these kings, named (as we shall presently see) Assuus-masia-Pat, have only been revealed within the last quarter of a century ; and their interest, and that of the whole history of Assyria, is enhanced by their connection with one of the most startling of modern historical discoveries.
§2. Among the eastern travellers who had been possessed with the desire to explore those vast mound upon and near the Tigris -in the neighbourhood where Nineveh was known to have stoodwhich local tradition set down as the works of Nimrod, Mr. (now the Right Hon.) Austen Henry Layard had been especially fascinated by those within the angle formed by the Tigris and Great Zab, to which the name of Nimrud was specifically given. While seeking for means to explore them, his zeal was quickened by the success of M. Botta, who, after some mere gleanings at Koyun$\ddot{r} k$ (which afterwards proved to be on the site of Nineveh itself) in 1842, had turned his attention to "Khorsabad, and had there discovered an Assyrian edifice, "the first, probably, which had been exposed to the view of man since the fall of the Assyrian empire." ${ }^{2}$

The impression made by this first discovery ought not to be obliterated by the flood of knowledge since acquired. "He (M. Botta) soon found that he had opened a chamber, which was connected with others, and constructed of slabs of gypsum,' covered with sculptured representations of battles, sieges, and similar events. His wonder may easily be imagined. A new history had been suddenly opened upon him-the records of an unknown people were before him. He was equally at a loss to account for the age and the nature of the monument. The art shown in the sculpturem-the dresses of the figurestheir arms, and the objects which accompanied them-were all new to him, and afforded no clue to the epoch of the erection of the edifice, and to the people who were its founders. Numerous inscriptions were cut between the bas-reliefs, and evidently contained the explanation of the events thus recorded in sculpture. The nature of

[^180]these inscriptions afforded, at least, evidence that the building was of a period proceding the conquest of Alexander; for it was generally admitted that, after the subjugation of the west of Asia by the Macedonians, the cuneiform writing ceased to be employed. But too little was then known of this character to enable M. Botta to draw any inference from the peculiar arrangement of the wedges, which distinguishes the varieties used in different countries. However, it was evident that the monument appertained to a very ancient and very civilized people; and it was natural, from its position, to refer it to the inhabitants of Nineveh, a city which, although it could not have occupied a site so distant from the Tigris, must have been in the vicinity of the place." It turned out that Mr. Layard's attention was fixed as much too far south as M. Botta's was too far north, but with the happy result, not only of converging upon the true Nineveh, but discovering two others of the great capitals of Assyria.
§ 3. It was in 1845 that Mr. Layard was at length enabled ${ }^{4}$ to begin his explorations at Nimurud; and the Arab Sheikh, who first received the traveller into his hut, gave a curious foretaste of his success. "The palace," said he, "was built by Athur, the kiayah, or lieutenant, of Numrod :"-that very Nimrod, "out of whose land weṇt forth Asshur, and builded . . . Calah." ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Such is the wondrous tenacity of tradition, for the mounds of Nimrud were soon found to contain the ruins of Calar.

Those who love to see results enlivened by the processes which unfold them can read in Mr. Layard's first book ${ }^{6}$ the steps by which he realized-and has enabled us to realize, not only by description, but by the objects in our Museum-those " visions of palaces underground, of gigantic monsters, of sculptured figures, and endless inscriptions," which "floated before his excited brain" that night. Our present concern is with one building which he discovered-that one of the four palaces built on the platform already mentioned ${ }^{7}$ as marking the royal quarter of Calah, which is called the "NorthWestern Palace." First, to see its present state, as an example of the royal ruins of Assyria, let us follow the explorer, abridging as

[^181]we go. "I would wish"-says Mr. Layard, in recapitulating bis discoveries-" before leaving Nimrud and re-burying "its palaces, I


Pien of the Eowed of Ntrarca
would wish to lead the reader once more through the ruins of the principal edifice, and to convey as distinct an ides as I am able of
 again with the rablish of tho exeevatione to prowerve them from the atanophers and from the Arab iecnoclants. At Kharabad, the gypeam slabs frot uncotered by M. Botis erambled facter than they oonld be eopied.
the excavated halls and chambers, as they appeared when fully explored. On approaching the mound, not a trace of building can be perceived," and so forth of the external appearance of the mounds. "By a flight of steps rudely cut into the earth, near the western face of the mound, we descend about twenty feet, and suddenly find ourselves between a pair of colossal lions, winged and human-headed, forming a portal. Before those wonderful forms Ezekiel, Jonah, and others of the prophets stood, and Sennacherib bowed. Leaving behind us a small chamber, in which the sculptures are distinguished by a want of finish in the execution and considerable rudeness in the design of the ornaments, we issue from between the winged lions and enter the remains of the principal hall. On both sides of us are sculptured gigantic winged figures, some with the heads of eagles, others entirely human, and carrying mysterious symbols in their hands. To the left is another portal, also formed by winged lions, One of them has, however, fallen across the entrance, and there is just room to creep beneath it. Beyond this portal is a winged figure, and two slabs with bas-reliefs; but they have been so much injured that we can scarcely trace the subject upon them. Further on there are no traces of walls, although a deep trench has been opened. The opposite side of the hall has also disappeared, and we only see a high wall of earth. On examining it attentively, we can detect the marks of masonry, and we soon find that it is a solid structure, built of bricks of unbaked clay, now of the same colour as the surrounding soil, and scarcely to be distinguished from it. The slabs of alabaster, fallen from their original position, have, however, been raised, and we tread in the midst of a maze of small bas-reliefs, representing chariots, horsemen, battles, and sieges.
"Having walked about one hundred feet amidst these scattered monuments of ancient history and art, we reach another doorway, formed by gigantic winged bulls in yellow limestone. One is still entire, but its companion has fallen and is broken into several pieces; the great human head is at our feet. We pass on, without turning into the part of the building to which this portal leads. Beyond it we see another winged figure, holding a graceful flower in its band, and apparently presenting it as an offering to the winged bull. Adjoining this sculpture we find eight fine bas-reliefs. There is the king, hunting and triumphing over the lion and wild bull; and the siege of the castle, with the battering-ram. We have now reached the end of the hall, and find before us an elaborate and beautiful aculpture; representing two kings, standing beneath the emblem of the supreme deity, and attended by winged figures; between them is the sacred tree. In front of this bes-relief is the great stone platform upon which, in days of old, may have been placed the throne of the Aseyrian monarch, when he received his captive enemies or his
courtiers. To the left of us is a fourth outlet from the hall, formed by another pair of lions. We issue from between them and find ourselves on the edge of a deep ravine, to the north of which rises, high above us, the lofty pyramid. Figures of captives bearing objects of tribute-ear-rings, bracelets, and monkeys-may be seen on walls near this ravine; and two enormous bulls, and two winged figures above fourtoen feet high, are lying on its very edge.
"As the ravine bounds the ruins on this side, we must return to the yellow bulls. Passing through the entrance formed by them, we enter a large chamber, surrounded by eagle-headed figurem: at one end of it is a doorway, guarded by two priests or divinities, and in the centre another portal with winged bulls. Whichever way we turn, we find ourselven in the midst of a nest of rooms; and without an acquaintance with the intricacies of the place, we should soon lose ourselves in this labyrinth. The accumulated rubbish being generally left in the centre of the chambers, the whole excavation consists of a number of narrow passages, panelled on one side with slabs of alabaster, and shut in on the other by a high wall of earth, half buried in which may here and there be seen a broken vase, or a brick painted with brilliant colours. We may wander through these galleries for an hour or two, examining the marvellous sculptures, or the numerous inscriptions, that surround us. Here, we meet long rows of kings, attended by their eunuch and priests; there, lines of winged figures, carrying fir-cones and religious emblems, and eeemingly in adoration before the myatio tree. Other entrances, formed by winged lions and bulls, lead us into new chambers: in every one of them are fresh objecte of curiosity and surprise. At length, wearied, we issue from the buried edifice by a trench on the opposite side to that by which we entered, and find ourselves again upon the naked platform. We look around, in vain, for any tracea of the wonderful remains we have just seen, and are half inclinel to believe that we bave dreamed a dream, or have been listening to aome tale of Eastorn romance. Some who may hereafter tread on the apot where the grass again grows over the ruins of the Assyrian palaces may indeed suspect that I have been relating a vision." "
84. The ground-plan of the palace may be described in a word an consisting of a great central court, open to the sky (about 130 ft . by 100 ft .), surrounded by six large galleries and many small aquare rooms, opening into one another; the gallerie being remarkable for the length and narrownese of their proportions: the largest, which appears to bave beeu the throne room, is more than 170 ft . long by less than 35 ft . wide. The whole building was 360 ft long by

[^182]300 ft . wide. The one of the smaller chambers, which was first discovered, was lined with slabs of alabaster, about 8 ft . high, and from 6 to 4 ft in breadth, unsculptured, but with the same inscription of about 20 lines on the middle of each slab; and even the slabs of the pavement were similarly inscribed, not only on their upper but on their under sarfaces, which had also transferred a cast of the writing to the asphalt bedding of the floor. From its repetition in various parts of the building, this inscription is called "the


Plan of Palace of Asahur-nasir-pal.
Standard Inscription of Nimrud." Another remarkable inscription is engraved (according to the Assyrian fashion) across a figure of the monarch, which is sculptured in low relief within an arched recess, on one side of the entrance to the temple of Nin, which he built at Nimrud. The divine emblems over his head, and the triangular altar in front of the figure, shew that the king was worshipped. ${ }^{10}$ It is in this inscription that he mentions his building of the palace now described, which had been founded by Shalmaneser I., but allowed to go to ruin. A thirdimportant inscription is on an obelisk

[^183]in white stone, also found at Nimrud, and now in the British Museum. It is twelve or thirteen feet high, on a base of 2 feet by less than 14 inches, and in shape similar to the black obelisk which has given to this king's son the name of the "Black Obelisk King." It is covered with a detailed record of his exploits in war and the chase, which are also related in bis other inscriptions. The fulles: of all these annals is that on an immense monolith alab, which formed the threshold of the temple just mentioned.
§ 5. The king's name was at first read Asshur-idanni-pal; a form which seemed to give the startling result that the original Sardanapalus (or at least one of the kings who bore that name) was the mightiest and most splendid monarch of the Old Dynasty: the Sardanapalus whom the Greeks called, by way of distinction, "Sardanapalus the Conqueror." But we are now told that the true name is Asshur-masid-Pal, that is, Asshur protects (my or his) son. ${ }^{11}$ Across the breast of his statue we read his style and titles, and the extent of his empire:-"Asshur-nasir-pal, the great king, the powerful king, king of bosts, king of Assyria;--the son of Tiglath-pileser, the great king, the powerful king, king of hosts, king of Assyria;-the son of Iva-lush, the great king, the powerful king, king of Assyria. ${ }^{19}$ He possessed the countries from the banks of the Tigris to Lebanon: he subjected to his power the great seas, and all the lards from the rising to the setting of the sun."

This comprehensive claim is definitely explained by the narrative of the ten campaigns which he made in his first six years, and which it is the less necessary to describe as they extend nearly over the same ground as those of Tiglath-pileser I.; though they were somewhat wider and probably more complete. Thus, to the northeast, in the mountains of Kurdistan and Armenia, he claims to have penetrated to a region "never approached by the kings, his fathers." Several expeditions were made into the mountains of Armenia and of Zagrus. Mesopotamia had to be reconquered, and the boundary along the middle Euphratee recovered. Here he built two cities, naming that on the right bank after the god Asshur, and that on the left bank after himself. ${ }^{11}$ From Northern Mesopotamia he made an invasion of Syria, the account of which is extremely interesting.

[^184]Carchemish, on the Euphrates, once the stronghold of Egypt, was taken from the Hittites; and the king, having traversed the skirts of Lebanon and the valley of Orontes, and offered sacrifice on the shore of the Mediterranean, received the submission of the chief cities of Phoenicia-Tyre, Sidon, Byblus, and Aradus are distinctly named-and reached the Amanus, where he set up a sculptured memorial, and cut timber, which was conveyed to Nineveh. The white obelisk already mentioned appears to have been set up on his return from this expedition; and the visitor to our Museum sees at this day the beautiful grain of the cedar used in the Assyrian palaces. ${ }^{14}$ As in the annals of Tiglath-pileser, the records of the chase are given with as much minuteness as those of war; and the king had a park, stocked with wild animals (like the "paradise" of a Persian prince,, ${ }^{15}$ the supply of which was kept up by tributes and presents. ${ }^{16}$
§ 6. Both sets of exploits are illustrated by that wonderful series of bas-reliefs-wonderful for their artistic execution, their exact details, and their vivid reality-which Mr. Layard has brought, partly from the principal gallery of the North-West Palace of Nim$r u d$, and partly from the two adjacent temples. Wonderful, most of all, is the impression which is received from a perusal of the scenes on the walls of the "Nimrud Gallery," and the accompanying "Koyunjik Gallery" (of the age of Sennacherib and his successor) in the British Museum, concerning the true character of this type of Oriental despotisms. All breathes the spirit of Nimrod, the " mighty hunter," both of men and heasts; and all-if we may be allowed so to tarn the Hebrew intensive phrase-is done "before the Lord"-by the help and to the greater glory of those gods whose name-whether true or false-has ever been invoked to sanctify the excesses of a despot's cruel will. Everywhere the king, with the emblem of divinity often hovering above him, rides down his foes; bends his bow against their battlements, or receives their abject submission, which is rewarded with torture and death. No detail is spared, of the carnage of the battle-field, or the cruelties inflicted on the prisoners. In one place, headless corpses, or convulsed wretches pierced with spears and arrows, are floated down the stream (for most of the battle-scenes and sieges are upon the

[^185]banks of a river): $:^{17}$ in another the scribes are counting the heads as they are laid before the king.

And these pictures are the faithful illustrations of his annals, In his first campaign a captive chief of the Kirkhi, on the Upper Tigris, was carried to Arbela, and there flayed and bung up upon the town wall. In the second, a rebellious city on the Euphrates was given up to plunder; and some of the ringleaders were burnt, others crucified, and the rest mutilated of their ears and noses; proceedings summed up in a phrase-"while the king was arranging these matters"-which reminds us of Ceesar's "his rebus compositis." The king's own words are needed to do justice to his treatment of another revolted city:-"Their men, young and old, I took prisoners. Of some I cut off the feet and bands; of others I cut off the noses, eara, and lips; of the young men's ears I made a heap; of the old men's beads I built a minaret. I exposed their heads as a trophy in front of their city. The male children and the female children I burnt in the flames. The city I destroyed and consumed and burnt with fire." Such boasts, illustrated by auch pictures, reveal the self-confessed character of the Assyrian empire: and, if the first feeling excited by these monuments is admiration at the recovery of a lost chapter in the history of nations, the next is a renewed sympathy with the prophets who denounced such an empire, and a confirmation of that unmitigated hatred of all despotism which is one of the best lessons taught by history.
§7. These sculptures from the North-West Palace of Nimrud are in the best style of Assyrian art, which-as in the case of Egyptis most truthful and vigorous in its earliest examples. In the human figures the profiles are aharply outlined and moat expressive, the limbe are delineated with peculiar accuracy, and the muscles and, bones are faithfully, though somewhat too strongly, marked. The composition, though mometime grotesque through the want of per-apective-for which, indeed, bas-relief does not give much scopeis very expressive and animated; the pictures clearly tell their own story. The scenes of battle and niege, with all the appliances of moveable towers and battering-rams, the testudo and terebra, seem in real action; and there is a lion-hunt, which is pronounced, by so good a judge as Mr. Layard, to be-" from the knowledge of art displayed in the treatment and composition, the correct and effective delineation of the men and animals, the spirit of the grouping, and its extrsordinary prenervation-probably the finest epecimen of Assyrian art in existence." These earlier bat-reliefs thow few traces of

[^186]colour, and those entirely local and distinctive, as on the hair, beard, and eyes, on the sandals and bows, on the tongues of the eagle-headed figures, and very faintly on a garland round the head of a winged priest, and on the representation of fire in the bss-relief of a siege.

But the colours as well as forms of the painted bricks and fresco ornaments on the walls are perfect models of good taste; as are also the patterns on the robes of the figures; and the engravings, both geometrical and of men and animals, on a large number of bronze bowls; and the carvings on tablets of ivory; from this N.W. Palace. Many of the ivories are gilt, and quantities of gold leaf were found among the ruins. The bowls and ivories are also remarkable for their unmistakably Egyptian patterns; and there are other Egyptian objects, as the scaraboeus and the crus ansata (or ring-handled cross). There is also a collection of bronze weights, inscribed with their values, both in cuneiform and in Phoenician characters-" $2,3,5$, \&c., manahs of the country," " 2 shekels," " one-fifth," and so forth; which seem to indicate commercial dealings with Phoenicia.

These, with many minor objects of art and luxury, as well as those depicted on the sculptures, prove the great progress already made by the Assyrians in manufactures:-such as "the metallurgy which produced the swords, sword-sheaths, daggers, ear-rings, necklaces, armlets, and bracelets of this period; the coach-building which constructed the chariots, the saddlery which made the harness of the horses, the embroidery which ornamented the robes:"-all, in short, proves that "the Assyrians were already a great and luxurious people, that most of the useful arts not only existed among them but were cultivated to the highest pitch, and that in dress, furniture, jewellery, \&c., they were not very much behind the moderns." ${ }^{18}$
§ 8. Resides the North-West Palace, Asshur-nasir-pal built the two temples (already incidentally referred to) at the north-west cormer of the platform. Adjoining to one of these, and standing out from the angle of the platform, was the high tower (or ziggurat), the rvins of which form the celebrated pyramid (or rather conical mound) of Nimrud. ${ }^{19}$ It appears to have been a royal mausoleum, begun by Asshur-nasir-pal, and finished by his son, 'Shalmaneser II. "Its basement," says Mr. Layard, "was encased with massive masonry of stone, relieved by recesses and other architectural ornameats. The upper part, built of brick, was most probably painted, like the palaces of Babylon, with figures and mythic emblems. Its summit I conjecture to have consisted of several receding gradines, like the top of the black obelisk, and I have ventured to crown it with an altar, on which may

[^187]have burnt the eterual fire." ${ }^{20}$ To these works of state and religion may be added one of utility, the Canal, which not only supplied the city with water, but appears to have irrigated the whole country in the angle between the Tigris and the Great Zab. It is named as the work of Asshur-nasir-pal, both in his annals and on the tablet set up in the tunnel of Negoub (the hole), through which it was originally supplied from the Zab. ${ }^{21}$
§9. All these works indicate the establishment or renewal by Asshur-nasir-pal of a new royal residence at Nimrud, which the inscribed bricks and the king's own record of its building identify with Calah. "Here, in a strong and healthy position, on a low spur from the Jebel Maklub, protected on either side by a deep river, the new capital grew to greatness. Palace after palace rose on its lofty platform, rich with carved wood work, gilding, painting, sculpture, and enamel, each aiming to outshine its predecessors; while stone lions, sphinxes, obelisks, shrines, and temple-towers embellished the scene, breaking its monotonous sameness hy variety. The lofty ziggurat attached to the temple of Nin (or Hercules), dominating over the whole, gave unity to the vast mass of palatial and sacred edifices. The

- Tigris, skirting the entire western base of the mound, glassed it in its waves, and, doubling the apparent height, rendered less observable the chief weakness of the architecture. When the metting sun lighted up the whole with the gorgeous huen seen only under an Eastern sky, Calah must have seemed to the traveller who beheld it for the first time like a vision from fairy land." ${ }^{29}$ The old residence of Asshur wan not, however, deserted by this king and his successors. Besides various notices of it in his annals, ite repairs are mentioned on the truncated obelisk which records his hunting exploita in Syria; ${ }^{29}$ and the remarkable statue of his son, Shalmaneser II., seated on a throne covered with inscriptions- monolith in black basalt, now in the British Museum-was found at Kileh-Sherghat.
§ 10. This Shalmareber II., the "Black Obelisk King," is conspicuous in the Assyrian annals for the length of his 35 yeare' reign
${ }^{30}$ Layard, 'Nineveh and Babylon,' p. 658.
${ }^{21}$ This atone was unfortunately broken before the Inscription could be properiy copied. For a full deacription of the canal see Layard, 'Nineveh,' vol. i. pp. Bo, 81; Rewlinson, ' Five Monarchies,' vol. H. p. 195, 196.
${ }^{27}$ Rawlinson, ' Five Monarchies,' vol. H. p. 857. Mr. Fergusson has ventured on a reataration of the river front of the palaces of Calah. (See the frontiapiece to Layard's 'Monuments of Nineveh.') Even to the present day the pyramid gives a pictareaque unity to the long line of the Nimrud mounds. (See the rignette to this chapter.) It is worthy of particular notice that this king speake of conveying materials to Nineteh-a atrong argument for either extending that name so se to include Calah, or regarding it as the name of the Asayrian capltal for the time being. See note A to chapter ii.
${ }^{3}$ This is the obelisk of which we have only the upper part (in the Bittibh Museum). Both this and the frapments of his other broken obelink were found at Koyumiti, having anquestionably been removed thither from Aileh-Sherghat. ecoording to the practice of the later kinga.
(B.c. 858-823), ${ }^{24}$ the interesting nature of his principal monuments, and the mention en them, for the first time, of kings of Israel and Syria, whose names occur in Scripture, The chronicles of Israel and Judah, according to their plan, mention no king of Assyria till one exacts a tribute, and another makes a conquest, in the land itself; about a century later; but the annals of Shalmaneser show the beginning of the process by which the conquest of the great Syrian kingdom of Damascus prepared the way for the first captivity of the Israelites.

This king, not content with his father's palace, built another in .the centre of the Nimrud platform; and it was afterwards rebuilt almost entirely by a later king, probably Tiglath-pileser II. But the edifice'was so utterly destroyed by Esar-baddon, who used the materials in the construction of the S.W. Nimrud palace, that even the plan can no longer be traced. Amidst a few gleanings of slabs Mr. Layard found two gigantic winged bulls-gatekeepers, like those in the older palace-and one of the most precious monuments of Assyria. I'his is the celebrated Obelisk, in black marble, smaller than the white obelisk of the king's father, but of finer material and workmanship. ${ }^{25}$ This obelisk was found on its side, 10 feet below the surface, and now stands erect in the middle of the "Nimrud Saloon" of our Museum. It may be called an illustrated history of the twenty-seven campaigns of Shalmaneser; the upper half being occupied by twenty bas-reliefs in sunken compartments, five on each face; and the lower half, as well as the spaces between the reliefs, and the gradines at the top, being covered with the cuneiform text. The minute letters of the inscription are sharply cut, and the whole is in the best state of preservation. The bas-reliefs represent the king receiving the tribute of five nations, each nation filling the four compartments in one horizontal row. ${ }^{26}$ "The gifts brought are, in part,

[^188]objects carried in the hand-gold, silver, copper in bars and cubea, goblets, elephants' tusks, tissues, and the liko-in part, animala, such as horses, camels, monkeys and baboons of different kinds, stags, lions, wild bulls, antelopes, and-strangest of all-the rhinoceros and the elephant." ${ }^{27}$ The first impression produced by the sight of theme

animals and of the two-humpel Bactrian camel-that there may, after, all, be some truth in the Bactrian and Indian wars of Ninus and Elemiramis-is corrected by the enumeration of the five nations. The first of these is Israkl, of whom more presently; the second are the people of Kirzan, on the borders of Armenia, which still retaing the namo; the central row represente the Muzri, in northern Kurea

57 Reslinsoth ' Five Monarchies,' vol. H. p. 867.
distan; ${ }^{28}$ the fourth, the Tsukhi, or Shuhites, from the Euphrates; and the last, the Patena, from the Orontes.
§ 11. The interest which this obelisk excited was enhanced by the discovery that the king, who is seen, in the highest row, prostrating himself before the Assyrian monarch, and whose followers bring a tribute of gold and silver in various forms, is styled in the inscription


Prisoners presented by the Chief Eunuch (Nimrud Obelisk).
"Jero, son of Omri," a patronymic derived from the founden of the capital city of Samaria. ${ }^{29}$ When the full inscription was deciphered, there was found a still earlier point of contact between Assyria and the kingdom of Israel, and one most strikingly confirmative-as, we may observe in passing, every new Assyrian discovery is more and more confirmative ${ }^{30}$-of the Scripture history.

To explain this, we must glance at the position now occupied by

[^189]Sybia between Assyria, on the one side, and Larael and Pbœonicia on the other. The valley of the Orontes was still occupied by the Hittites, the old foes of Egypt, who extended eastward to the Euphrates; but the conquest of their eastern tribes by Asshur-nasirpal appears to have been permanent. South of them, towards CoeleSyria, was the kingdom of Hamath; and the part of Syria between the eastern chain of Lebanon and the desert was occupied by a powerful kingdom-

> "Whose delightful seat
> Was fair Danascus, on the fertile banks Of Abane and Pharphat-lucid streama."

In that city-one of the oldest in the world, which the native tredition made the resting-place of Abraham on his journey from Charran into Canaan, ${ }^{31}$ and which David reduced in bis war with Hadadezer, king of Zobah, then a great Syrian kingdom further north ${ }^{28}$-a certain Rezon, who seems to have been outlawed by Hadadezer, had established himself at the head of an irregular band, in the declining days of Solomon. "And he was an adversary to Ierael all the days of Solomon ; . . . . and he abhorred Israel, and reigned over Syris." ${ }^{\text {a }}$

According to the native historian, Nicolas of Damascus-an eminent rhetorician in the service of Herod the Great-the former king of Damascus was named Hadad; ${ }^{4}$ and either his descendants recovered the throne, or the line of Rezon affected descent from him; for all the kings we know of, down to the usurpation of Hazacl, bear the name of Ben-madad (the con of Hadad). The kingdom, thus hostile from its origin, appears in constant conflict with one branch or the other of the Hebrew monarchy. Ben-hadad L. of Scripture (probably the Hadad III. of Nicolaiis Damascenus)-after taking ' part, in turn, with each kingdom against the other, and so weakening both ${ }^{s 5}$-availed himelf of the civil war at the accession of Omri to add several cities of lsreel to his dominion, and seems even to havo exercised rights of suzerainty in the new capital of Israel.." But the attempt of his successor, Ben-hadad II. (or Hadad IV.)-who appears at the head of 32 confederate kings-to take Samaria and crush Israel altogether, led to his utter defeat by Ahab, and to s new alliance, in which the former relations of dependence were reversed :-" And Ben-hadad said unto him (Ahab), the cities which my father took from thy father I will restore; and thou shalt make atreets for thee

[^190]in Damascus, as my father made in Samaria. . . . . So he made a covenant with him, and sent him away." ${ }^{3 r}$

Now, among the campaigns of Shalmaneser II., no less than five $^{\text {n }}$ were directed against Syria; and the express mention of "Khazail (Hazael) of Damascus" in the last two leaves no doubt that the "__ of Damascus," mentioned in the first three, was no other than Ben-hadad.ss It was in the ninth year of Shalmaneser that the king of Damascus, alarmed, doubtless, by the growing power of Assyria, anticipated her attack at the head of a great confederacy, among whom were the kings of the Hittites, and those of the Phoenicians, the king of Hamath, and Aㅍab of Jeareel, ${ }^{\text {so }}$ who contributed 10,000 men and 20 chariots, out of the whole army of 77,900 men, 1940 chariots, and 1000 camels. Ben-hadad's own force was 20,000 men and 1200 chariots; and it is interesting, as bearing on the relations between Egyrt and Assyria, to find 1000 men sent by the king of Egypt. ${ }^{40}$ The allies were defeated, with the loss ol $20,000 \mathrm{men}$; but the Assyrian king mentions no conquest of territory, nor even imposition of tribute; and another campaign, after five years, ends with another claim of barren victory.

Three years later, Shalmaneser collected his forces for a decisive blow, and led 102,000 men across the Euphrates. The allies were put to flight, and the confederacy was dissolved; and Ben-hadad, sick and depressed after such a blow, ${ }^{11}$ incurred the fate which has befallen many a defeated king, from the treachery of his servant Hazael. ${ }^{28}$ Accordingly, it is against "Khazail of Damascus" that Shalmaneser pursues his advantage in the following year, and defeats him in the strong position he bad taken up in the passes of Antilibanus. On the return of the Assyrian king, three years later, Hazael seems to have made no resistance to the plunder of his cities by the invader, who passed on to receive the tribute of Tyre, Sidon, and Byblus. In this state of things we can readily understand that, the frontier of Israel being uncovered on the east and north, Jehu would offer his submission to Assyria; but, as there was no actual invasion of the kingdom, the event is not recorded in the chronicles of Israel. The mention of Ahab is said to be repeated on the monolith set up by Shalmaneser by the side of his father's, at Korkhar, near Diarbekr, on the Tsupnat, or eastern branch of the Tigris. The only other

[^191]campaign which requires notice is that of bis eighth jear against Bubylonia. Taking advantage of a civil war between king Merodachsumedin and his younger brother, Shalmaneser overran the country as far as the south of Chaldæa, at that time under its separate kings, whom be reduced to tribute. "The power of his army," he aays, "struck terror as far as the see."
§ 12. The other campaigns would be only wearisome to describe, even if we had the space. They are related in a much drier stylo than those of the preceding king, and extend, for the most part, over the same regions; the novelty, besides the wars with Damascus, being the receipt of tribute from the Bartsu or Partsu, who are supposed by some to be the Persians, or rather their T'uranian predecessors. I'wenty-three campaigns were made by Shalmaneser in person, and three or four others by a nobleman named Dayn-Asshur, whose exploits are, of course, regarded as the king's; and the result is an amusing mixture of the first and third persons in the annals. Of the truly Assyrian spirit in which the wars were conducted, one specimen may suffice:-"I slew his fighting men, and carried away his spoil; I overthrew, beat to pieces, and consumed with fire towns without number; I swept the country with my troops, and impressed on the inhabitants the fear of my presence."

This and the preceding reign had established the true Empire of Assyria, which now extended on the west to the Mediterrancan, embracing the whole coast of Syria and Phoenicia, as far south an Mount Carmel, or ratber Joppa, for Israel must be regarded as a vassal kingdom. As the border of the Euphrates bad thus been passed to the west, so had the range of Zagrus to the east, and the Semitio yoke was imposed upon the Aryans of the table-land of Iran. But thess people, afterwards so mighty, were as yet but scattered triben, dispersed in unfortified towns and villages, and neither united under a king nor possessing a capital. The weakness of the tribes on her frontiers explaing the rapid growth of Assyria.
§13. The last years of Shalmaneser were troubled by a rebellion of his eldest son, Asshur-danin-pal, who was acknowledged as king by no less than twenty-seven of the most important cities of Assyria, including Asshur, Arbela, and Amida (Diarbekr). The dominion of Shalmaneser appears to bave been confined to Calah and Nineveh during the last five years of his reign, which are assigned in the Assyrian Canon to Asphur-danin-pal. ${ }^{40}$ The rebellion was at length put down by a younger son, Bramas-Iva, ${ }^{\text {,4 }}$ who succeeded his father, and reigned 13 years (b.c. 823-810). We owe the account of the

[^192]rebellion to a square arch-beaded stela of this king, with his effigy in bas-relief, and an inscription in the hieratic character, containing the annals only of his first four years, found at the central palace of Nimrud.ts He relates expeditions against the Nairi, Media, and (the most important) against Babylonia, where he gained a great victory over the king, Merodorach-belatru-ikbi, and his Chaldæan, Susianian, and Aramman allies, and forced that king to flee into the desert. A newly discovered fragment shews that he was still occupied, during his last three years, with expeditions against Babylonia and elsewhere. ${ }^{46}$
§ 14. Iva-Lush (or Vul-lusa) IV.," son of Shamas-Iva, was another enterprising warrior. Of the 29 years of his reign (b.c. 310 to 781), 26 were occupied by military expeditions, seven of which were against Media, three into the central regions of Zagrus, and three into Palestine, indicating an extension of the empire both to the east and to the south-west. We possess no detailed annals of his campaigns, like those of the former kings; but his few monuments are very interesting. From inscribed bricks at Nimrud, we find that he added some rooms to the palaces at Calah, and other bricks, found in the mound of $N e b b i-Y u n u s$, mark him as the first Assyrian king who is known to bave built a palace at Nineveh. ${ }^{38}$ He calls himself " the restorer of noble buildings that had gone to decay."

His chief monuments are a genealogical tablet, found at Nimrud, and a pair of statues of the god Nebo. On the former, he describes bimself as ruling from the conntry of Silema, on the east, over lands sxtending from the foot of the Caucasus to the Persian Gulf, ${ }^{50}$ and embracing (besides many other names) Elam, and parts of Persia and Media; and on the west, bejond the Euphrates, over Syria, Phoenicia (Tyre and Sidon), the "city of Omri" (Samaria), Edom, and the country of the Philistines, to "the sea of the setting sun," chat is, the Mediterranean. He says that he took a king of Syria (whose name is doubtfully read Marih) in his capital of Damascus.
§ 15. In Babylonia he appears to have exercised a sort of regal power, receiving homage from the Chaldæans, and offering sacrifices

[^193]to the chief gods of the country-Bel, Nebo, and Nergal, in the



Febo (from a statue to the British Museum). sippa, and Cutha. And here arises a most interesting question, connected with the two statues of Nebo, which were found by Mr. Rassam in a temple of the god dodicated by this king, adjoining to the S.E. palace of Nimrul. ${ }^{\text {b1 }}$ They are nearly alike, and of a form so constrained and disproportioned, and workmanship so rude and inferior to contemporary sculptures, as evidently to show a conventional model. The inscription across the middle of both fogures records that they were dedicated to Nebo by an officer, who was governor of Calah (and other places), as a votive offering for the life of his lord, Iva-lush, and of his lady, Sammuramit. Here then, at length, we have an historical Semisamis, at a time, and of a character, totally different from the legend, but under circumstancea of great interest. As it was never the custom of the East thus to associate a queen consort with the king ${ }^{12}$-in fact, Sammuramit is the only princess mentioned in the Assy-

[^194]rian annals-we may safely infer that this queen had a royal dignity in her own right; and what that was may be inferred from the legendary connection of Semiramis with Babylon. She may very probably have been the daughter and heiress of that king of Babylon who was conquered by Shamas-Iva, or, at all events, a princess married to Iva-lush. to legitimate his acts of sovereignty in Babylonia. It is quite in accordance with Eastern custom that, while worshipping the native gods in their own country in right of his wife, be should build their temples, in her honour, in his own capital. ${ }^{6 s}$. Herodotus, whose omission of the mythical legend of Semiramis adds an historical value to his account of her connection with Babylon (at least as to the maip fact), ${ }^{54}$ places her a century and a half before Nitocris, the wife of Nabopolassar-not a bad approximation to the probable date of the real queen. In sbort-as M. Lenormant puts the case with Brench felicity-Iva-lush and Sammuramit were "the Ferdinand and Isabella of Mesopotamia." Hence the peculiar significance of the style adopted by Iva-lush, as "the king to whose son (not to himself), Asshur, the chief of the gods, has granted the kingdom of Babylon." The result of the union, however, seems to have been very different from the modern parallel; and it is not improbable that the son, thus established on the throne of Babylon, founded there a rival branch of the royal family, which was ready to claim, if it did not actually overturn, the kingdom of Assyria itself.

That the latter catastrophe, involving the utter destruction of Nineveh, actually happened, within about 40 years, by the conspiracy of Arbaces, the satrap of Media, and Belesys, a Chaldæain priest of Babylon-as related by Ctesias-is a story beset by improbabilities, contradictions, and anticipations of facts and names; but it seems that some revolution did occur about that time, which gave to Babylon a momentary supremacy.
§ 16. The entire absence (so far as we yet know) ${ }^{55}$ of new buildings, or any other monuments, of itself marks this period of about forty years as one of decline, and probably of internal disturbance. Still the Assyrian Canon fills up this interval with the names of three kings, the first of whom, Sualmaneser III., is now

In this connection. It is one great vice of the Oriental despotisms that the queen for the time being means only the most favoured lady of the harem.

- sa This argument must not be pressed too far, as Nebo was a god of both countries; but, of the two, how much more he was bonoured in Babylonia is at once seen by a mere comparison of the Assyrian and Babylonian royal names.
${ }^{4}$ The Babylonian annals, from which the Chaldæan priests gave information to Herodotus, would naturally record the name of Sammuramit alone.
ss What records of this period may be hidden in the mound of Nebbi-Yunesfrom a brick of which we have just seen a sign of the period when the Assyrian monarcha began to reside at Nineveh-is a question whose solution is postpened by the fanatical opposition of the Arabs to any meddling with the mound which
fonnd to have been an active warrior. ${ }^{66}$ Every one of his ten years (b.c. 781-771) had its military expedition, mainly in Eastern Armenia; and two were against the Syrians of Damascus. In his successor, Asshur-danin-il II., we trace the decline of the military spirit, for he remains quietly at home 9 years of his 18 (в.c. 771753); while the last king of this series, Asshur-Luah, gives only 2 years out of 7 or 8 (b.c. 753-746) to as war in the mountains of Zagrus, which, as his only one, was most probably defensive. This is the king whom some make the Sardanapalus of Ctesias.
§ 17. The Assyrian empire was, as we have already ahown, from its very constitution, ever liable to a sudden collapse. Its conquests were mere raids, attended by slaughter, plunder, and the imposition of tribute; and followed by no attempt to unite the conquered provinces with the central power, or to gain the good will of the subject populations. The empire had no internal cobesion; and each successive king had to master it anew by his own exploits. The first attempt to lead a quiet life at home would give the signal for a general revolt; and, from all that we can gather of the condition of Babylon, that kingdom stood up beside Assyria, ready to seize the abandoned empire, or at least to resume its independence.

In the absence of distinct information from the monuments, it is only a probable conjecture that some such revolution is marked by the Babylonian Eia of Nabonasear, 8.o. 747, which coincides (within a year or two) with the end of the reign of the last-named Assyrian king, according to Ptolemy and the Assyrian Canon, and with the close of the Sixth Dynasty of Berosus. But as this ers also corresponds nearly with the accession of an Assyrian king, who began a new course of foreign conquest, we may suppose it to mark, not the beginning of a revolt, bul the recognition of the independence which Babylonia had gained under the weak kings who closed the old Assyrian dynasty."

[^195]Char. XIL. QUESTION CONCERNING THE PUL OF SCRIPTURE. 265
§ 18. And here we have a probable solution of the greatest, indeed almost the only serious, difficulty in harmonizing the Assyrian annals with the clironicles of the Hebrew monarchy. In the reign of Menolem, King of Israel; we read that, "Pol, ${ }^{58}$ the King of Assyria, came up against the land; and Menahem gave Pul 1000 talents of silver, \&c."; and, content with this tribute, " the King of Assyria turned back, and stayed not there in the land." "0 Presently afterwards, in the reign of the usurper, Pekak, who had murdered Pekahiah, the son of Menahem, we are told of the expedition in which (as we shall presently see in the proper place) Tiglath-pileser II. carried the Israelites on the east of Jordan into captivity.

This latter expedition is duly recorded in the annals of Tiglathpileser; but, before it, he mentions the reduction of Samaria, and the receipt of tribute from Menahem. Now, as the Assyrian annals give a series of kings' names, none of which at all resemble Pul, after Ivo-lush IV. (or Phalukha), who is excluded on chronological grounds, ${ }^{00}$ the first and simplest alternative is to identify Pul and Tiglath-pileser, and for this there are some arguments worth notice. ${ }^{61}$ But it is quite evident that the Jewish chroniclers meant two different kings by Pul and Tiglath-pileser ; ${ }^{62}$ and, if they were one, it is quite incredible that the writer, who gives the full name of Tiglath-pileser so accurately, should just before corrupt it into Pul. ${ }^{\text {es }}$ There remains the ingenious hypothesis of Professor Rawlinson, that Pul was a king of the branch of the royal family reigning in Babylonia, and not improbably over Assyria also as suzerain. He might be a predecessor of Nabonassar; and if, as a descendant of Iva-lush and Semi. ramis, he bore the same name as the former, the identification which chronology forbids in the case of the ancestor may be applied to the descendant. Perbaps we may even trace the name of this Babylonian king in the legendary Belesys of Ctesiàs. After all, we can only hope that future discoveries will give a satisfactory explanation.

[^196]Capture of Ashdod. Submission of the King of Ethiopia. § 9. Great war with Merodach-Baladan and the Elamites, and conquest of Babylonia. Transplantations of conquered peoples. § $\mathbf{1 0}$. Embassies from an island in the Persian Gulf, and from Cyprus. § 11. His town and palace at Hisr-sargon (Khorsabad) ; and buildings at Calah and Nineveh.
§ 1. Tee Ne'w or Lower Assyrian Eirpine was governed in its duration of 120 or 139 years (в.c. 745-625 or 606) by a succession of Geven known kings, ${ }^{1}$ among whom we recognise the well known Scriptural nanes of Tiglath-pileser, Shalmaneser, Sargon, Sennacherib, and $E s u r-h a d d o n$ : while in the sixth, Asshur-bani-pal, we at length find the name of the mythic Sardanapalus, though the final catastrophe of Nineveh befel under his son, Asshur-emid-ilin, the Assaracus of the Greeks, or perhaps under one more successor. Except the last one or two, respecting whom there is much uncertainty, we are now at length free from senious doubts about their names, their order of succession, their chronology, and the principal events of their reigns; while, as to some of them (the celebrated Sennacherib, for instance), our chief embarrassment arises from the abundance of their records.

We bave also reached a sure chronological epoch; for the modern authorities, who have differed up to this point, are all agreed in placing the new foundation of the empire by Tiglath-pileser II. within a year or two of b.c. 747, the Era of Nabonassar. It is worth while to observe that this epoch is just 6 years later than that commonly accepted for the foundation of home (b.c. 753), and one generation after the chronology of Greece becomes fixed by the first recorded Olympic victory (b.c. 776); and that it agrees almost exactly with the time when Pheidon of Argos is said to have first coined money in Greece (b.c. 748).
§ 2. Tiglath-pileser II. either first became the king or, at all events, the independent king of Assyria, in b.c. 745, ${ }^{2}$ and reigned 18 or 19 years, to b.c. 727. Without attaching any weight to the story repeated by some later Greek writers, that he was originally a vine-dresser in the royal gardens, we may infer that he was an adventurer of obscure origin from his never mentioning his father's name in his inscriptions, which speak in general terms of "the kings his fathers" and the "palaces of his fathers" at Calah, which

[^197]continued to be the capital. There, besides repairing the central edifice of Shalmaneser II., he built a new palace at the southeastern angle of the Nimrud platform.

Both were barbarously torn to pieces by Esar-haddon, when, wishing to emulate former kings as a builder, he obtained the materials for decorating bis own palace by stripping those of his predecessors of their bas-reliefs. The south-east palace was almost completely destroyed, whether in war or revolution, and the last king of Nineveh built a new palace over its remains. Amidst the ruins of the central edifice Mr. Layard found many of the alabaster slabs, with which its walls bad been lined, removed and heaped on the pavement. They were placed as the spoiler had left them above 2500 years before, "in rows one against the other, like tho leaves of a gigantic book. Every slab was sculptured; and as they followed each other according to the subjects upon them, it was evident that they had been moved, in the order in which they stood, from their original positions, and had been left as they were found, preparatory to their removal elsewhere. That they had not been thus collected prior to their arrangement against the walls was evident from the fact that the Assyrian sculptors carved the bas-relief, though not the great bulls and lions, after the slabs had been placed. The backs of the slabs had also been cut away, in order to reduce their dimensions, and to make the work of transport more easy. The bas-reliefs resembled, in many respects, some of those discovered in the S.W. Palace, in which the sculptured faces of the slabs were turned towards the walls of un-
'baked brick. It would appear, therefore, that the one building had been destroyed, to supply materials for the construction of the other." This conclusion is placed beyond doubt by the occurrence, arnong the sculpturee in the South-West Nimrud palace of Esar-haddon, of some which their subjects and inscriptions identify as belonging to T'iglath-pileser II.

Among these is the important monument referred to above, in which the king is represented in his war-chariot, with an inscription recording the receipt of tribute from several princes, among whom is the name of Menahem, king of Samaria. Some of the unremoved sculptures contain remarkable pictures of sieges. One represents a testudo on wheels, protecting a pair of boring spearn, on an artificial mound raised against a tower of a city, which is also (like those of the Assyrians) built on an embankment: the king, whose beight is equal to that of mound and tower together, beads his bow against the city, under cover of a buge wicker ehield held before him by an attendant: while, besides a

[^198]Chap. XIII.
corpse lying at the foot of the mound, another fining and apegsoff apparently in an imploring attitude on the tur et Smpune cifect is
 rian despots loved to have before their eyes in their alaces, hepgeyfes down to us to illustrate many passages in which the sorophets speak of enemies "building forts" (these are often seen in the sentptares) "casting mounds," and "setting battering-rams" against Jerusalem; and the relief now described exactly illustrates the passage in Isaiah :-"Thus saith the Lord concerning the king of Assyria, Ile shall not come into this city, nor shoot an arrow there, nor come before it with shields, nor cast a bank against it." ${ }^{8}$
§ 3. Through the destruction of his palaces, the records of Tiglathpileser have come down to us in a very fragmentary form; but enough remains to show that he was engaged in constant wars for the re-establishment of the empire. His first enterprise was against Babylonia, which had now fallen into confusion. There is no mention, in his annals, of Nabonassar, whom Ptolemy's Canon represents as now reigning at Babylon; but he names several princes of the upper country, whom he attacked and defeated, taking Kur-Galazu and Sippara; while, in the maritime region of Chaldæa, he received the submission of Merodach-Baladan, the son of Yakin, whose capital was the city of Bit-Yakin. ${ }^{6}$
§ 4. Thus secured against the rival kingdom, Tiglath-pileser was able to turn his attention to that great object of policy with the later Assyrian kings, the reduction of Syria and Palestine: countries which were already regarded as tributary. ${ }^{7}$ The newly discovered canon shews that he was engaged for three years (b.c. 742-740) in the conquest of Arpad, ${ }^{8}$ near Damascus, and his own annals relate a series of campaigns,-apparently from his fourth year to his eighth (b.c. 742-736), 一in which he reduced Damascus, Samaria, and Tyre (whose kings are mentioned by the familiar names of Rezin, Menahem, and Hiram), and the Arabs on the frontier of Egypt, who were governed by a queen named Khahiba. ${ }^{\circ}$ But these conquests did not reach Judæa, Philistia, or Idumæa. His second attack

[^199]on the lingdom of Israel may have been provcied by the usurpation of Pekah, and his murder of Menahem's son, Pekahiah, the vassal of Assyria; and it was on this occasion that Tiglath-pileser, king of Assyria, came and took Ijon, and Abel-beth-maachah, and Janoah, and Kedesh, and Hazor, and Gilead, and Galilee, all the land of Naphthali, and carried them captive to Assyris. ${ }^{20}$ This captivity included that part of the Israelites east of Jordan who dwelt in the land of Gilead, and a portion of the tribes of Zebulon and Naphthali in the northern part of Galilee, a population so affected by the neighbourhood of Phoenicia as to have acquired already the name of "Galilee of the Gentiles." ${ }^{4}$ But, to use the words of Isaiah, in the same passage, these tribes were but "lightly afflicted," in comparison with "a more grievous affliction" which was to befal them, in connection with the utter destruction and dreadful carnage, which he describes in some of the grandest passages of his prophecien, as about to fall upon the kingdom of Damascus; while the devastating triumph of Assyria would spread from Coele-Syria to Arabia and Fipypt. ${ }^{19}$
§ 5. The cause of this catastrophe was an alliance between lezin king of Syria, and Pekah, king of Isrwel, to dethrone A haz, the new king of Judah, and to set up in his place a creature of their own, who is called "the son of Tabeal;" ${ }^{3}$ with the manifest object of organizing a powerful rosistance to the progress of Assyria. The exact order of events is ohscure; but it seems that the confederates invaded Judah from different quarters, and, while Rezin defeated the Jews and carried away a great multitude of captives to Damascus, Pekah gained a still more decisive victory, in which "he slew, in Judah,

[^200]Chap. XIII. DESTRUCTION OF THE KINGDOM OF DAMASCUS
120,000 men in one day, which were all valiant men"-among them the king's son and other princes; and "the children of Israel carried away captive of their brethren 200,000 women, sons, and daughters, and took also away much spoil from them, and brought the spoil to Samaria.", ${ }^{14}$ Jerusalem was besieged; but Ahaz was moved by the encouragement of Isaiah to a vigorous resistance, ${ }^{25}$ and the siege was doubtless raised the sooner from the eagerness of both kings to carry off their prisoners and spoil:

But this was only a respite. The operations of Rezin on the southeastern frontier deprived Judah of Elath (A્lana), her great port on the Red Sea, and raised the Edomites against her, while the Philistines invaded her on the west and south. In this extremity Ahaz appealed to I'iglath-pileser, with the most unreserved admission of his yassal-age-" I am thy servant and thy son"-supported by a tribute from the treasures of the temple. ${ }^{16}$ The Assyrian ling first attacked Rezin, ${ }^{17}$ who was defeated and slain-either in battle, or by one of those barbarous executions which we see in the Assyrian monuments inflicted on rebellious kings.

At all events, the scenes on those monuments and the boasts in their inscriptions furnish an ample comment on the prophetic warning of the horrors which this conquest was to bring on Israel, as well as Syria :-" For every battle of the warrior is with confused noise and garments rolled in blood; but this shall be with burning and fuel of fire." "Through the wrath of the Lord of Hosts is the land darkened, and the people shall be as the fuel of the fire; no man shall spare his brother; . . . they shall eat every man the flesh of his own arm. For all this his anger is not turned away, but his hand is stretched out still. Thou, 0 Assyrian, art the rod of mine anger, and the staff in their hand is mine indignation."

Other neighbouring nations are alluded to by Isaiah as feeling the scourge of this great conquest; and the prophet Amos speaks particularly, not only of the people of northern Israel and Damascus, but also of the Philistines of Gaza, Ashdod, Ashkelon, and Ekron; the Phœenicians of Tyre; the Edomites, the Ammonites of Rabbah, and the Moabites of Kirioth. ${ }^{18}$ From the annals of Tiglath-pileser we find that he chastised the Arabs of the peninsula of Sinai, and

[^201]received the submission of Mit'enna, ${ }^{19}$ king of Tyre, of Khanun, king of Gaza, of Mitinti, king of Ascalon, and of the people of Aradus, the Moabites, the Ammonites, and the Idumasans. The king of Judah, at whose entreaty the war had been made, was summoned to Damascus to fay his homage to the conqueror, ${ }^{\text {so }}$ whose exactions appear to have reduced Judah to great misery. "Ahaz made Judah naked," says the chronicler, and "Tiglath-pilneser"-for so he writes the king's name-" distressed him, but strengthened him not. For Ahaz took away a portion out of the Lord's bouse, and out of the house of the king, and of the princes, and gave it to the king of Assyria; but he helped him not:" ${ }^{21}$ which may mean that he left him unprotected against the wild tribes around him. In the annals of the Assyrian king we find a record of his receipt of tribute from a king of Judah, whom he calls Yahu-lkhazi, which seems to stand for Jehoahaz. ${ }^{2}$ We also learn from his annals that on his return to Damascus Tiglath-pileser had another encounter with a son of Rezin, whose capital be took and destroyed.

It was in these campaigns against Syris and Israel that Tighathpileser set the example of that far-sighted but cruel policy, which attempted to eradicate the feeling of local patriotiam by transporting conquered peoples in mass to distant parts of his empire-a policy steadily pursued afterwards by the Assyrian and Babylonian kings. The Syrians of Damascus were removed to Kir, the very place whence the prophet Anos traces their original migration; but its position is very uncertain. The whole Israelite population east of the Jordan, comprising the triben of Reuben, Gad, and half Manasseh, were reinoved to Halah, and Habor, and Hara (i. e. Harran), and to the river Gozan; names which have been clearly proved to denote the land of Mesopotamia Proper, upon and west of the Khabrur-the very country from which Abrabam started, at all events on the final stage of his migration to Palestine. Waa it altogetber without design that both populations were deported to their anceatral homes? In Galilee the territory occupied by Tiglath-pileser seems to have reached

[^202]as far south as the plain of Esdraëlon, where Megiddo (Magidu) is named as a frontior fortress, in connection with Manasseh (Manatsuah) and the city of Dur or Dora (Duru) upon the sea-coast.

These campaigns appear to be placed by the newly discovered Assyrian Canon in the years b.c. 734, 733, and 732; and, on the same authority, the last year of Tiglath-pileser II. is घ.c. 728-\%.
§ 6. Tiglath-pileser II. was succeeded by a king whose name, omitted from the Assyrian Canon, and not found on any monuments, is supplied both by the Book of Kings and by the historian Menander. ${ }^{24}$ This was Shalmaneser IV., who is familiar to us in Scripture as the destroyer of the kingdom of Samaria, though it seems that he did not live to complete the conquest. He reigned seven years (b.o. 727-721). In connection with the fall of the kingdom of Israel, his reign is memorable for the first collision between the Assyrian and Egyptian empires.

An attentive reader of the Scripture narrative will observe three stages in his transactions with Hoshea, the last king of Israel, who had obtained the throne by murdering the usurper Pekah. From the character given of him by the sacred writer, and from other indications, ${ }^{25}$ it is probable that Hoshea had, at least, a patriotic sympathy with that movement for reform in Israel which breathes in the earnest exbortations of the prophet his namesake, and which was fostered by Hezekiah, who succeeded to the throne of Judah in Hoshea's third year (b.c. 726). It was probably about this time that Hoshea seized the occasion of a new reign in Assyria to refuse the payment of tribute; but he submitted on Shalmaneser's marching against him, ${ }^{35}$ not, however, till at least one of his cities had been treated after the true Assyrian fashion-" as Shalman spoiled Betharbel in the day of battle: the mother was dashed to pieces upon her children." ${ }^{17}$.This was the first campaign.

It was not long before Hoshea ventured again to refuse the tribute, in reliance on the support promised by the warlike Sabaco, king of Egypt. ${ }^{98}$ But, before his ally could march to his support, he was seized by Shalmaneser-perhaps on a summons to the court to plead his excuse-and thrown into prison; "cut off"-says the prophet-"as the foam upon the water." ${ }^{29}$ This second blow was followed up by an invasion, in which "the king of Assyria came up throughout all the land," and laid siege to Samaria, in the fourth year of Hezekiah and

[^203]the aeventh of Hoshea (b.c. 723). The city was besiegrd for throt years, till the 6th of Hezekiah and the 9th of Hoshos, ${ }^{00}$ when it was taken (Josephus says, by storm ${ }^{31}$ ), and the whole remaining people of Israel were carried captive, partly to join their brethren of the former captivity "in Halah and Habor by the river of Gozan," and partly in the far remoter "cities of the Medes." (The mention of "the king of Assyria"-no longer by the name of Sbalmaneserin the latter part of this narrative, is in remarkable agreement with the fact that.Shalmaneser died before Samaria was taken.)
It may have been during the progreas of the siege that be undertook a maritime campaign against Tyre with aixty ships manned by 800 rowers from the Phoenician cities of Sidon, Old Tyre, and Acco. ${ }^{\text {as }}$ Tho Tyrians, under their king Elulæus, with only twelve ships, gained a mea-fight and took 500 prisoners. The Assyrians then blockaded the city and cut off its aqueducts; but the Tyrians dug pita and held out for five years. Here the fragment breaks off; but the failure of the blockade may be probably inferred from the absence of the "gods of Tyre" in Rabshakeh's list of Assyrian conquesta.s ${ }^{\text {s }}$

8 7. Shalmaneser died during the last year of the siege of Samaria, leaving only an infant son, Ninip-iluya (i. e. Ninip is my god). The king's long absence may have prepared the way for a dynastic revolution, ${ }^{35}$ especially if he himself had been originally an adventurer. The throne was seized by the Tartan, or general in chief, a man of obscure birth, who assumed a royal name significant of his elevation, Sargon, or, more properly, Sabein or Sab-yukin (the king [is] eatablished). ${ }^{\text {si }}$ The one solitary mention of his name in Scripture, and that but incidentally in a prophecy, ${ }^{57}$ and the confusion in our present text between him and his son Sennacherib, had brought his very existence into doubt, till the discovery of his annals in his magnificent palace at Khorsabad revealed him as one of the most splendid

[^204]kings and most successful warriors of Assyria. ${ }^{\text {s8 }}$ He came to the throne, as he tells us, in the same year in which Merodach-Baladan became king of Babylon, that is, according to the Canon of Ptolemy, in March B.o. 721; and this date is confirmed by the capture of Samaria: His reign lasted seventeen years, till August b.c. 704, of which his annals embrace fifteen. They open with the following statements:-
"This is what I have done from the beginning of my reign to my fifteenth campaign. I defeated, in the plains of Chaldæa (Kalou) Khumbanigas, king of Elam." It will be remembered that Lower Chaldæa had been made tributary to Tiglath-pileser II., while native princes ruled in Upper Babylonia. He goes on :-"I besieged, took, and occupied the city of Samaria, and carried away 27,280 persons who dwelt in it. I changed the former establishments of the country and set oter them my lieutenants." This was in the first year of his reign. The small number of captives, so precisely stated, proves the straits to which the city had been reduced. The people of the country had probably been carried into captivity by Shalmaneser;" when "he came up throughout all the land." s9 The new constitution of the country is emphatically mentioned, as it was contrary to the usual Assyrian policy of setting up dependent kings. It was required by the occupation of Samaria by deported settlers from Upper Babylonia and Hamath, for it is an error to suppose that the country was left desolate till Esar-haddon colonized it from Lower Babylonia. ${ }^{40}$

Sargon's next campaign was against Yahu-bid, an usurping king of Hamath, above Cole-Syria, at the head of a rebellion of several Syrian towns; among which it is strange to find Damascus and Samaria reappear so soon. Kar-kar, their stronghold, was stormed and burned; the insurgent was taken and flayed; the other rebel chiefs were killed; and their towns destroyed. Sargon, bent on pupishing Sabaco for the aid given to Hoshea, marched against Gaza, which belonged to Egypt. We have already had occasion to mention his great victory at Raphia over "Hanun, king of Gaza, and Sabaco (Sab'é), sultan ${ }^{41}$ of Egypt;" of whom the former was carried

[^205]prisoner to Assyria, while the latter fled. "They came into my presence: I routed them "-are the words of the king. (b,0. 718-17.)
68. The next four years were occupied with wars to the north and east of Assyria. To this period chiefly, but partly to his later years, belong his conquests to the north and east, over the Armeniang, the Albanians, the Syrians of Commagene, the people of the Taurus and Cilicia, the Medes, ${ }^{43}$ Parthians, and the mountaineers of Zagrus, and his defeat of Sutuk-Nakhunta, the king of Elam, who had his capital at Susa." Sargon records that he "subdued the uncultivated plains of the remote Arabia which had never before given tribute to Assyria." On this occasion he transported some Arabs to Samaria, where Nehemiah mentions an Arabian element in the population." He adds:-" I imposed tribute on Pharaoh (Pir'u) of Egypt, on Taamsi, queen of Arabia, on Ithamar the Sabsan, in gold, spices, horses, and camels." (B.c. 714-713.)

Three years later, a rebellion of Ashdod led-after some putting down and setting up of kings, which it is needless to recount-to the capture of that city, ${ }^{\text {cs }}$ which gave Sargon the command of the maritime route into Egypt; and be peopled thia important post with captives taken in his eastern wars: "I set over them my lieutenant to govern them, and I treated them as Assyrians :"— phrase which always implies the complete subjugation of a country, as opposed to mere vassalage. This stroke of policy explains the ease with which succeeding Assyrian kings enter Egypt, and the obstinate resistance of Ashdod to Pammetichus. There is no mention in the ennals of Sargon of that invasion of Egypt, which some writers suppose him to have made. It would rather seem that he was content with the tribute and submission brought to him in order to avert invasion. He represente the kings at resorting to him in consequence of "the immense terror which my majesty inspired." an This campaign of Ashdod, in Sargon's eleventh year (B.c. 711-710), was Sargon's last expedition to the west.4

[^206]§ 9. The remainder of this reign was fully occupied with affairs nearer home. The chief of these was the conquest of Babylonia, where Merodach-Baladan had been on the throne twelve years." This " king of Chaldæa," says Sargon, "called to his aid Khumbanigas, king of Elam, and raised against me all the nomad tribes" -the Aramæans of Irak-Araby, whom we have seen repeatedly in arms against the kings of Assyria. The extent to which Mero-dach-Baladan intrigued among the vassals of Assyria is proved by his embassy to congratulate Hezekiah on his miraculous recovery from his mortal illness. But the promptness of Sargon left the king of Judah no opportunity to declare openly for his ally; and kis ostentatious display of his resources to the ambassadors of Babylon called forth the prophecy of Isaiah, that this -and not Assyria -was the power to which Judah was destined to succumb, though not in his days. ${ }^{\text {so }}$

Sargon marched against Babylon with all his forces; and MerodachBaladan, retreating into Chaldra, took up a well fortified post in front of Bit-Yakin, or Dur-Yakin, ${ }^{\text {ED }}$ on the Euphrates, near its mouth. Defeated there, he threw himself into the city, and was taken prisoner at its capture. His life was spared, but his kingdom was placed under an Assyrian viceroy, Nabu-pakilidi. ${ }^{52}$ Following that policy of transplantation, of which no Assyrian king made more constant use, Sargon settled his captives from Commagene in Lower Chaldæa and Susiana, and we can have little doubt that it was after the conquest of Babylon that he sent to Samaria those colonists from "Babylon, and Cathah, and Sepharvaim," whose struggles form an interesting episode in the Scripture history. ${ }^{63}$

[^207]Among the spoils of Merodach-Raladan's camp are mentioned his golden tiara, sceptre, throne, and parasol, and his silver chariot.
§ 10. At Babylon, Sargon received two embassies, bringing the tribute sent by islanders who dwelt, he says, "in the midst of the seas" that washed the two extremites of his empire. The one was from Upir, king of Asmun, supposed to be an island of the Persiar Gulf: the other from "the seven kings of the country of Iatnar (Cyprus), who," he says, "have fixed their abode at seven days voyage ${ }^{54}$ in the middle of the ses of the setting sun, and whose name was never pronounced by any one of the kings my fathers, in Assyria and in Chaldasa." But his glory-he adds-had reached them, even in the midst of the sea, and, abasing their pride, they preseuted thernselves at Babylon with their tribute of works in metal, gold, silver, vases, and ebony. The fact that he sent an expedition thither is confirmed by a stela found at Larnaca, the ancient Citium, in Cyprus, similar to some already noticed, bearing the effigy and titles of Sargon. ${ }^{\text {bs }}$ These embassies are assigned by an inscription to the year 708 b.c. If the supposition be correct, that Sargon conducted the maritime campaign against T'yre, which Josephus ascribes to Shalmaneser, that war may be reckoned a failure amidst so many successes;-a fact rather confirmed than contradicted by the brief conclusion of the following boast:-" Arbiter of combats, I traversed the sea of Jamnia like a fish I annexed Koui and 'Tyre."

But more serious reverses beset his closing years, especially from a new insurrection in Babylonia, where Merodach-Baladan recovered the throne. Sargon, perhaps too aged to take the field, entrusted the suppression of this rebellion to his son Sennacherib; and a tablet has been discovered at Koyunjik, containing a report from the son to the father of his ill success. 'This seems to belong to the interval after the cessation of Sargon's manals in b.o. 706. These reverses may have provoked the conspiracy which effected his assassination in August, b.c. 704.
§ 11. By a curious fate, this king, whose very existence was so long doubted, was the first whose monuments were discovered, when his palace at Khorsubad revealed itself to the researches of M. Botta in 1842.4 It is from the walle of that palace, and the various tablets on gold, silver, and other materials, and from the clay cylinders diecovered in the ruins, that Nargon's annale have been obtained. At the beginning of his reign, his residence was at Calah (Nimrud), where two inscriptions record his repairs of the

[^208]north-west palace,--that of Asshur-nasir-wal. ${ }^{57}$ He also rebuilt the walls of Nineveh; but it was his ambition to replace that capital by a new city and royal residence, which the inscriptions at Khorsabad prove to have been entirely his work, neither preparea by former nor improved or mutilated by later kings. The fidelity of tradition preserved the builder's name centuries after his work had become a shapeless mound; for an Arab geographer calls that mound "the old ruined city of Sarghun."

The site chosen, about 10 miles N.N.E. of Nineveh, was at the foot of the Makloub hills, watered by streams which now make it a pestilential waste ; and we have-what is rare indeed in the history of great cities-the king's own account of its foundation :-" At the foot of the Musri hills, to replace Nineveh, I raised, after the divine will and the wishes of my heart, a city which I called Hisr-Sargina," ${ }^{58}$ the splendid marvels and superb streets of which, he adds, were blessed by great gods and goddesses whom he names. Describing the "palace of incomparable splendour," which he built in this city, "for the abode of his royalty," he recounts the choice kinds of timber; the beams cased with enamelled tiles; the spiral staircase imitated from a Syrian temple; the stones from the mountain sculptured with art; the decorations of the lintels and jambs of the gates. Of ifs ornamentation and treasures he says:-"My palace contains gold, silver, and vessels of both these metals; colours; iron; the productions of many mines; stuffs dyed with satiron, blue and purple robes, amber, skins of sea-calves, pearl, sandal-wood, and ebony; Egyptian horses; asses, mules, camels; booty of every kind." These magnificent boasts are sustained even by the ruins that survive after twenty-five centuries. "Compared with the later, and even with the earlier buildings of a similar kind erected by other kings, it was not remarkable for its size. But its ornamentation was unsurpassed by that of any Assyrian edifice, with the single exception of the great palace of Asshur-bani-pal at Koyunjit. Covered with sculptures, both internally and externally, generally in two lines, one over the other, and, above this, adorned with enamelled bricks, arranged in elegant and tasteful patterns; approached by noble flights of steps and through splendid propylæa; having the advantage, moreover, of standing by itself, and of not being interfered with by any other edifice, it had peculiar beauties of its own, and may be pronounced in many respects the

[^209]most interesting of the Assyrian buildings. United to this palaces was a town, enclosed by strong walls, which formed a square two


Chas Vase, bearing the name of Bargon, from Nimrud. thousand yards each way. Allowing fifty square yards to each individual, this apace would have been capable of accommodating eighty thousand persons.
"The progress of mimetic art under Sargon is not striking; but there are indications of an advance in several branches of industry, and of an improved taste in design and ornamentation. Transparent glass seems now to have been first brought into use, ${ }^{50}$ and intaglioe to have been first cut upon hard stones. The furniture of the period is generally superior in dosign to any previously represented, and the modelling of sword-hilts, maces, armlets, and other ornaments, is peculiarly good. The enamelling of bricke was carried, under Sargon, to its greatest perfection; and the shape of vasea, goblets, and boats, shows a marked improvement upon the worke of former times. The advance in animal forms, traceable in the sculptures of Tiglath-pileser, continues; and the drawing of horses' heads, in particular, leaves little to desire." ${ }^{\infty}$

[^210]

King panletnag Proonern (Khomebad).


Assyriaus flaying their Prisoners.

## CHAPTER XIV.

## THE NEW ASSYRIAN EMPIRE (concluded). SENNACHERIB AND HIS SUCCESSORS. в. . . 704-625.

| 1. Srantacherts. Coincldence of eacred becular, and monamental history. His volu-- minous annals. 0 2. Probable troubles in Sargon's later years. Hevolt of Babylonia. Its conquest by Sennacherib. 13. Reconquest of Phcentcia, \&c. Great victory of Altalar over the Egyptians and Ethiopians \$4. His first attack on Hezeliah. Devastation of Judah. Siege and defence of Jerusalem. Hezekiah submits, by payment of a tribute, but saves his city and people. Destruction of Sennacherib's army. 65. His other campaigns. Wars with Babylon. Maritime invasion of Susiana, Nep: revolt of Babylonia. Victory at Khalull. The king's description. © 6. Babylon probably revolts again. Signs of distorbance. Sennacherib murdered by his sons, His character as drawn by himself. His palace at Nineveh (Koyunjik). § t. EsazHadDon, ting both of Aesyria and Babylon. His annals. War against Sidon. Captivity and release of Hanassch. New colonization of Samaria §8. First appearance of the Cimmerians. Wars in Cilicia, Idumea, Arabia, Media, \&a. 89 . His wars with Egypt. 610. His great buildings. Palaces at Calah, Babylon, and Nineveh (Nebbi-Yunus). His character and place in history. \$11. Assidur-bant-palm His invasions of Egypt. Wars in Phoentcia and Cilicia, Contact with Gyges, King of Lydia. 9 12. Great wars with Susians and Babylon-represented on his bas-reliefs in the British Museum. Cruelties to the captives. 613 . His palace at Koyunjik -beantiful sculptures of hunting scenes, sto. \$14. His relation to Sardanapalos. 615. Asehur-mid-ilist. Hia palace at Nimprud. Epidenees of the decline and destruction of Ninevel. 9 16. Fragmentary stories of Herodotus and other writers. Attacks of the Medes. Cyaxares takes Nineveh. © 17. Share of Babyton and Nabopolasear in the event. Saracus, the last king, burns himself with his palace. § 18. Causes of the fall of Aseyria in the nature of her empire. \$ 19. Vivid descriptions of the prophets Erekiel, Nabum, and Zephaniah. $\$$ 20. Epoch of the Fail of Nineveh: Dfferent views.
§ 1. In the reign of Sennacherib, the son and successor of Sargon, we have the most definite results of the recent Assyrian discoveries. In most cases the names recovered from the monuments of Egypt and Assyria are either.strange to history, or they are variously read, or it requires some ingenuity and perhaps faith to identify them with known persons. But here is a name familiar to our childhood, from its occurrence in one of the most striking scenes of Jewish history the more familiar, perhaps, from its uncouth sound; occurring in Herodotus with the slightest difference of orthography, and now plainly deciphered in the king's own inscriptions. ${ }^{3}$ More than this, the great enemy of Judah and Hezekiah, whose conquests are boasted 'by "railing Rabshakeh" just before

> "He melted like mow at the breath of the Lord,"
has left us his own records in the longest of Assyrian annals; and his palace at Koyunjik, perhapa the grandest yet displayed, was the first discovered on the site of Nineveh itself, and the one from which our Museum possesses the richest gleanings, even exceeding those from the N.W. palace of Nimrud.

His reign lasted 24 years (b.c. 704-680)," for all but three of which (at least) ${ }^{6}$ we possess his annals in the remarkable ducument called the "Taylor Cylinder," a six-sided prism of terra-cotta, inscribed with 480 lines of writing in an exceedingly fine and minute character.' Besidea this and some other monumental records, Eusebius gives some fragments from Polyhistor, which are the sole suthority for the last few years of Sennacherib's reign, except the Scriptural notice of his death.

[^211]- §2. The troubles of the latter part of Sargon's reign left his son master of little beyond Assyria Proper. We find Babylon in open revolt, and Sennacherib does not attempt its reconquest till the third year of his reign. The Canon of Ptolemy marks a period of anarchy for the two years between the death of A rceanus (Sargon) and the accession of Belibus in b.c. 702. The annals of Sennacherib begin with a victory over Merodach-Baladan and his Elamite allies, at Kis, in Chaldæa, followed by the capture of Babylon, where he sets up a vassal king, named Bel-ipri (Belibus). Merodach-Baladan once more escaped. We pass over the vast items of captured cities, prisoners, and plunder. In his second campaign (8.c. 701) he restored, and perhaps extended, his power in Media, Parthia, Armenia, Albania, and Commagene.
§ 3. The third campaign of Sennacherib, in b.c. 700, brings his annals into contact with the Scripture history; and the results are as wonderful for the light gained from the apparent discrepancies, as for their striking agreement in all essential points. The evidence is the stronger, as we possess two or three repetitions of the story in different inscriptions. ${ }^{7}$

He first marched against Phœenicia, which had revolted, like Babylon at the other extremity of the empire-under Elouli or Luliya (Elulæus), king of the Sidonians; and the revolt extended to "the Great and Little Sidon, Betzitti, Sarepta, Ecdippa, and Akko." The Assyrian-who strikes this key-note of his annals, "I have reduced beneath my power all who lifted up the head "-relates neither the circumstances of the insurrection nor the details of his conquest. "Terrified at the reputation of his majesty," Elouli flies across the sea, and Toubaal is made king in his room. The rebel cities submit, and tribute is brought by the kings of Sidon, Aradus, Azotus, Ammon, Moab, and Edom, all of whom are named. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Sidka, of Ascalon, who alone resisted, was carried captive to Assyria, with his family and his gods.

Sennacherib advanced south to Migron (which some suppose to be Eliron) where the (Assyrian) lieutenants and dignitaries had joined

[^212]with the people in expelling Padi, a king "inspired with friendship and real for Assyria," and had given him ap to "Hezekiah, king of Judah." Sennacherib's great victory at Altaku over the forces of Egypt and Etbiopia, which the men of Migron had called to their aid, has been related, and the light it thrown on the state of Egypt explained, in the proper place.' It now remains to show the part of Judah in the campaign.

In relating the prosperity which rewarded the piety of Hezekiah, the sacred bistorian says,-"And the Lord was with him, and he prospered whithersoever he went forth : and he rebelled against tho King of Assyria, and served him not. He smote the Philistines even unto Gaza and the borders thereof," ${ }^{10}$ \&c. Hence it appearm that Hezekiah,-taking advantage probably of the weakness of Ekypt and Ethiopia after the battle of Raphia on the one band, and of the troubles of Sargon's later years on the other, had extendod bis power as far as the maritinse plain of Philistia,s, and declared his independence of Aswyria; for the words " he eerved him not" imply no modified form of disobedience. To chastise this revolt would be the first object of Sennacherib after the submission of Migron, where the "lieutenants and dignitaries" were killed and their bodies crucified, as traitors, and Padi was restored.
54. "Hezekiah of Judah" made no attempt to retain the Ekronite king, but "did not submit himself" The ensuing sccount of the capture of " 44 walled citics and an infinite number of towns, by the force of fire, massacre, battles, and besieging-towers," with the captivity of 200,150 persons, and innumerable cattle, forms a truly Assyrian comment on the text, "Now in the fourtoenth year of king Hezekiah did Sennacherib, king of Asayria, come up against all the fenced citics of Judah and took them." ${ }^{14}$

The agreement in what followa is even more striking. The Book' of Chronicles records the vigorous preparations of Hezekiah to defend Jerusalem against the siege which Sennacherib appears to

[^213]have formed." "As for him"-sav the annals, after describing the devastation of Judæa-" I shut him up in Jerusalem, the city of his power"-a sort of apology for not taking it-"c like a bird in its cage. I built towers round the city to hem him in, and raised. banks of earth against the gates, so as to prevent escape. Those who came out of the great gate of the city were seized and made prisoners" -perhaps impaled, as we see in a picture of a siege on the walls of Sennacherib's palace. "The towns which I had spoiled I severed from his country, and gave them to Mitinti, king of Azotus, to Padi, king of Migron, and to Ismihil, king of Gaza, so as to make his country small. Then the immense fear of my majesty terrified this Hezekiah of Judah;" whose real spirit, however, is recorded on better testimony-how " he gathered the people together in the street of the gate of the city, and spake comfortably unto them, saying, Be strong and courageous, be not afraid nor dismayed for the king of Assyria, nor for all the multitude that is with him: for there be more with us than with him: with him is an arm of flesh; but with us is the Lord our God, to help us, and to fight our battles. And the people rested themselves upon the words of Hezekiah, king of Judah." ${ }^{1 s}$

At first sight it might seem that-to quote a famous saying in a connection which brings its profanity to light-" providence was on the side of strong battalions." For not only does Sennacherib proceed to tell us that "Hezekiah," moved by the fear imputed to him, "dismissed the garrison which he had assembled for the defence of Jerusalem, ${ }^{\text {w }}$ and sent after me to Nineveh, the city of my sovereignty, with 30 talents of gold and 800 talents of silver," and other gifts which he enumerates;-but we read in the Book of Kings that "Hezekiah, king of Judah, sent to the king of Assyria, saying, I have offended : return from me: that which thou puttest on me will I bear. And the king of Assyria appointed unto Hezekiah 300 talents of silver and 30 talents of gold." ${ }^{15}$ Studied in connection with

[^214]the attendant circumstances, this is the record of a treaty of submission, at the cost of a heavy tribute, instead of the utter destruction which the Assyrian kings were wont to inflict on rebellious cities and their kings. The firm resistance of Hezekiah saved his capital, his own life, and his people from captivity, and reserved them for that deliverance from the conqueror in which we see the final issue of his trust in God.

During these proceedings, Sennacherib was besieging Lachish with his full force. ${ }^{\text {tr }}$ He seems to have counted on the submission of Jerusalem, while he himself was clearing the way to Egypt. The victory of Altaku may have been less complete than his annals represent it; and the sequel proves that there was good reason to expect a renewed attack from Tirhakah. Meanwhile, having stripped Hezekiah of his wealth and strength, he designed to follow up his exactions by extermination. Three of his chief officers were sent with a great host against Jerusalem, to defy the helpless king, and to invite the people to accept a complete transplantation, recommended by the pictures which despots and their admirers are fond of drawing of the material blessings attendant on political servitude." The tone of this celebrated address so strikingly resembles the Assyrian annals, as to leave little doribt that at least the king's own message was couched (as on the next occasion) in a letter, of which we have the substance. The opening, "Thus saith the great king, the king of Assyria," repeats a constant title; and the boast of the power of his gods over those of the conquered peoples agrees with the frequent statement, that "the immense fear of Asshur fell upon the nations." The piety of Hezekiah obtained the promise that Jehovah would accept the challenge; and no answer was given to the envoys.

Meanwhile Sennacherib had advanced to the place where that promise was fulfilled; not, as the careless reader of the Scripture narrative thinks, and as even Josephus says, before Jerusalem, but on the frontier of the Jewish territory towards Egypt. This is quite

[^215]clear :-"So Rab-shakeh returned, and found the king of Assyria warring against Libnah; for he had heard that he uws departed from Lachish. And when he heard say of Tirhakah, king of Ethiopia, Behold he is come out to fight against thee, he sent messengers to Hezekiah." ${ }^{19}$ This new message, which was accompanied by a letter of open defiance to the God of Israel, called forth the final promise of the destruction of the Assyrian and the salvation of Jerusalem. It was in the same night, and (as it seems) before the warlike Ethiopian came upon the field, that a miraculous destruction swept away a vast number of the Assyrian, host, and Sennacherib himself returned to Nineveh. ${ }^{19}$

On that great catastrophe the monuments of Sennacherib are silent, as might have been expected. Even the siege of Lachish is not mentioned in the annals; but it forms the subject of a bas-relief at Koyunjik, now in our Museum, with the inscription, "Sennacherib, the mighty king, king of the country of Assyria, sitting on the throne of judgment before the city of Lakhisha. I give permission for its slaughter." This was the last attempt of Assyria upon Judæa; and it is refreshing, in the long annals of her despotism, to mark the triumph of a purer polity and religion. The promise of the complete liberation from Assyria was fulfilled, "That I will break the Assyrian in my land, and upon my mountains tread him under foot; then shall his yoke depart from off them, and his burthen depart from their shoulders." 20
§ 5. Of Sennacherib's other campaigns, the most important are those connected with the frequent revolutions of Babylon. In B.c. 699 he had again to encounter the irrepressible MerodachBaladan, who was once more defeated in Chaldrea and driven to an island in the Persian Gulf, where he died. Sennacherib deposed Belibus, and placed on the throne his own eldest son, Asshur-inadi-su, the Assaranadius of Ptolemy's Canon. But the Babylonian insurgents, instead of submitting, took refuge in Susiana with Kudur-Nakhunta, the ally of Merodach-Baladan; and Sennacherib

[^216]conceived the novel project of invading that country from the seis For this purpose he transported shipwrights and mariners from Tyre aud Sidon to the Tigris, where a fleet was built on Phoenician models; for the warfare of the Mediterranean had created a class of ships far fitter for service than the merchantmen in which the Chaldxans had long navigated their peaceful Gulf. "The masts and sails, the double tiers of oars, the sharp beaks of the Phoenician ships, were (it is probable) novelties to the nations of those parts, who saw now, for the first time, alleet debouch from the Tigris with which their own vessels were quite incapeble of contending." ${ }^{\text {a }}$

This attack from the sea seems to have taken the refugees by surprise; and Sennacherib, after destroying their new city and several Elamite towns, sailed back to crush a new revolt of Babylonia, which had risen in his rear under Susub, an old ally of Mero-dach-Baladan. The king gained two battles against the insurgents and the Susianians, who afterwards came to their aid; and Susub was carried a prisoner to Assyria, with a host of captive Babylonians and Elymaeans. These campaigns, which occupied three years (8.c. 699-696), were followed by another invasion of Susiana, for the recovery of certain cities which Sutruk-Nakhunta, the father of Kudur-Nakhunta, bad taken from Sargon. ${ }^{98}$ Having soon accomplished this, Sennacherib pursued his auccess, taking, razing, and burning thirty-four large towns and many villages. On his approach to Vadakat, ${ }^{23}$ the second city of Susiana, Kudur-Nakhunta flod to Khidalu, at the foot of the mountains; and Sennacherib, having taken Badaca, returned bome with a great booty. The king of Elam seems to have survived his defeat only three months.
86. After a few yeara of peace, Bennacherib was called to meet a still more formidable insurrection of Babylonia, which broke out on the death of Asshur-inadi-su, under Nabobalarisikn (or Nebosumiskun), son of Merodach-Baladan, and Susub, who had recaped from prison. The insurgents were supported by the new king of Elam, Umman-minan, whom Susub bribed with the treasures of the temple of Bel, and by the Aramaan tribes on the middle Euphrates. This time the insurgents took the offcnsive, and adrancal to the Tigris, where, after a long and bloody battle, they were defeated at Khaluli. The general of the Elymsean king had been bribed by Sennacherib, who thus exults over the horrors of a victory as deciaive as that of Altaku had been:-"On the aodden battle-field, the arms and armour floated in the blood of the enemies as in a river; for the war-chariots, bearing down men and horses,

[^217]had crushed their bleeding bodies and limbs. I heaped up the bodies of their soldiers as trophies, and cut off their extremities. I mutilated those whom I took alive, like stalks of straw; and for punishment, I cut off their hands." Susub ${ }^{24}$ and the Elamite king escaped, and the son of Merodach was taken prisoner. Babylon was now placed under two successive viceroys, Regibelus and Mesesimordachus, whom the Canon of Ptolemy places in the 12th and 13th years of Sennacherib, b.c. 693 and 692.

That Babylon again threw off the yoke of Assyria, may be inferred from the Canon's marking an interregnum ${ }^{25}$ from s.c. 688 to the accession of Esar-haddon, in B.c. 680. Thrice during this period Sennacherib records successful rebellions by Susub (b.c. 688, 685 , and 684-3), and though he boasts of the sack of Bahylon on the last occasion, the silence of his annals for the last three years raises a presumption of disaster, or at least disorder. It is such periods of reverse that conspirators, especially in the royal family, choose for their attempts on a king's life. It may have been after some great defeat (though long since the catastrophe in Palestine) that, "as Sennacherib was worshipping in the house of Nisroch his god, Adrammelech and Sharezer, his sons, smote him with the sword; and they escaped into the land of Armenia. And Esar-haddon, his son, reigned in his stead." ${ }^{26}$

Such was the end of the first of those two mighty kings who stand forth in Scripture history as the chief types of Oriental despotism; and if in Nebuchadnezzar we trace some redeeming features of the character, Sennacherib presents it in its unmitigated ferocity. His arrogant defiance of Jehovah, by the mouth of Rabshakeh, is well matched by the titles assumed in his own annals:-"The great king, the powerful king, the king of nations, the king of Assyria, the king of the four regions, the diligent ruler, the favourite of the great gods, the observer of sworn faith, the guardian of the law, the embellisher of public buildings, the noble hero, the strong warrior, the first of kings, the punisher of unbelievers, ${ }^{27}$ the destroyer of wicked men."

Besides the graver lessons of his reign, he has left us a striking example of that irony which history is ever casting over the utterances of men about the future, in the words inscribed on his "palace of alabaster and cedar" at Nineveh :-" This palace will grow old and fall in ruins in the lapse of time. Let my successor

[^218]raise up its ruins; let him restore the lines which contain the writing of my name. Let him renovate the paintings and clean the bas-reliefs, and replace them on the walls. Then will Asshur and Ishtar hear his prayer. But whoever should deface the writing of my name, may Asshur, the great god, the father of the gods, treat him as a rebel; may he take away his sceptre and his throne; may he break his sword 1" Two or three generations only passed away, before the palace and Nineveh were buried under their own ruins: and, twenty-five centuries later, the bas-reliefs wers "cleaned and replaced on the walls" of our Museum, and "the writing of his name" and his annals were deciphered, to confirm a free peoplo, who inherit the faith against which he warred, in.our belief of the sacred records, and our abhorrence of all despotism.

After the details already given of the North-West Palace of Nimrud and its sculptures, it is needless to describe those of Sennacherib at Koyunjik. ${ }^{38}$ The edifice formed part of a grand acheme for the restoration of Nineveh, which had been neglected by former kings for Calah, and by his father for his new city cf Dur-Sargina (Khorsabad). An inscription of Sennacherib says:-"I have raised again all the edifices of Nineveh, my royal city. I have reconstructed its old streets, and have widened those which were too narrow. I have made the whole town a city shining like the sun."
87. Esar-haddon," the fourth mon of Sennacherib, appears to have already reconquered Babylon at the time of his father's murder, and to have used the forces of that kingdom, first to compel his traitor brothers to fly to Armenia, and next to resist the attempt of the elder to regaim the crown. Adrammelech, leading into Assyria an army of mercenaries, probably levied in Armenia, was taker prisoner and put to death. ${ }^{30}$ He alone, of all the Absyrian kings, reigned at Babylon during his whole reign at Ninevehperhapa even longer, for there is reason to suppose that the crown of Assyris was delegated to one of his sons towards the end of his reign. He not only reigned over, but at Babylon, as we shall see presently. His reign over Babylon is fixed, by the Canon of Ptolemy, from b.c. 680 to B.c. 667. During these thirteen years, his annals, which we possess in duplicate on two cylinders in the

[^219]British Museum, contain the records of nine campaigns; and those of his son add some important details of his later years. ${ }^{31}$
'The full subjection of Babylonia left him at liberty to restore the power of Assyria in the West, and to carry her arms for the first time into Egypt. His first campaign was against Phæonicia; where a revolt of Sidon was supported by one of the sheikhs of Lebanon. He says:-"I attacked the city of Sidon, in the midst of the sea: I put to death all its chief men : I razed its walls and houses, and threw them into the sea: I tore up the foundations of its altars. Abdi-Milkut, the king of the city, had fled from my power to the middle of the sea. Like a fish, I traversed the waves, and beat down his pride. I carried away all that- I could of his treasures, gold, silver, precious stones, amber, seal-skins, sandal-wood and ebony, stuffs dyed with purple and blue; all that his house contained. ${ }^{32}$ I carried away into Assyria the men and the women in vast numbers, oxen, sheep, and beasts of burthen. I distributed the inhabitants of Syria and of the sea-coast all in foreign countries. I built in Syria a fortress (or city) which I called Hisr-Esarhaddon; and there I settled the men conquered by my bow in the mountains and near the sea of the rising sun" (the Persian Gulf).s The last passage points to the continued resistance of Chaldæa and Susiana, where we find Esar-haddon engaged in a war (probably in his 6th year), which ended in the establishment of two princes favourable to Assyria over different parts of Lower Babylonia.

This campaign in the West seems the natural occasion for that shastisemont of Manasseh and Judah, which furnishes another striking point of contact with the sacred history. Manasseh, having succeeded his father at the age of twelve, three years after the great deliverance from Sennacherib (b.c. 697), had reigned seventeen years at the accession of Esar-haddon in b.c. 680. Besides adopting as his own the inolatries, cruelties, and vices of the reactionary party in Judah, which had gained strength during his minority, he seems to have rebelled against Assyria, very probably in reliance on Egypt. "Wherefore the Lord brought upon them the captains of the host of the king of Assyria, which took Manasseh among the thorns, and bound him with fetters, and carried him to

[^220]Bebylon." ${ }^{4}$ The apparent discrepancy of the officers of a king of Assyria carrying the captive king to Babylon is turned into a striking confirmation by the fact, not only that Esar-haddon was the one Assyrian king who reigned in person over both countries, but that he resided at Babylon as well as Ninevel. Bricks, stamped with his name, testify to his erection of a palace at Babylon.

The restoration of Manasseh, when thoroughly humbled by his severe captivity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ to the position of a subject ally on the fronticr of Egypt, seems a part of the same policy which led Esar-haddon to reinforce the population of Samaria from the conquered peoples chiefly of Chaldæa and Susiana. For the people of heathen origin, who opposed the restored Jews nearly a century and a half later, traced their settlement expressly to Esar-haddon; ${ }^{\infty}$ and among them are the Susanchites and the Elamites, and other nations not included among the settlers at first placed there by Sargonst The adoption by these people of the worship of Jehovah, in conjunction with that of the several gods of their own localities, is an intereating fact in the history of the Assyrian transplantations.
§8. In the second campaign of Esar-haddon, which seems to have been in Armenia or Mt. Zagrus, wo first meet with the name of a people famous in history. If the reading be correct, he received the submission of Tiuspa, the Cimmerian; and we are now very near the time at which Herodotus places the great Cimmerian invasion of Asia. Of his remaining campaigns, the most interesting are those against the Cilicians and their allies, the Tibareni; against the Edomites; and against certain Arab tribes, when he seems to have performod the hitherto unexampled feat of leading an army through a large portion of the great desort of Arabia. Like his predecessors, he had to engage in war with the ' Aramsan nomads on the Euphrates, and with the mountaingers of Armenia; and his last recorded expedition reached a remute region of Media, perhaps Azerbijan.
59. It was towards the end of his reign that he resumed that great contest with the Ethiopian dynasty in Egypt, which Sargon

[^221]had begun, and in which Sennacherib received his disastrous check. It now seems clear that Esar-haddon was the first Assyrian king who actually invaded Egypt; and be was the first and last whe bore the title, "King of the kings of Egypt, and conqueror of Ethiopia." He adorned his palace with sphinxes and other Egyptian ornaments, ${ }^{28}$ and a bronze lion, dug up by the I'arks at Nebbi-Yurue (now in the Museum at Constantinople), bears the inscription, "The property of Esar-haddon, king of hosts, king of Assyria, the spoil of Egypt and Ethiopia." Though such titles occur several times in his inscriptions, his own annals only mention Egypt in one doubtful passage; and all we know of his deeds there is from his son's account of the sequel of the war with Tirhakah and the Egyptian princes. ${ }^{39}$
§ 10. Of his great'works as a builder Esar-haddon has left us descriptions so minute as to be only tantalising, for the technical terms employed have as yet baffled the interpreters. He tells us that he reared three palaces and above thirty temples. ${ }^{10}$ Traces of the three palaces have been discovered, at Nineveh, Calah, and Babylon. Of the last, there only remain a few inscribed bricks to prove the name of its builder: the exploration of the first, in the mound of Nebbi-Yunus, is still hindered by local fanaticism. He describes it as a splendid edifice, erected on the site of a former palace of the Assyrian kings. He names 22 kings, chiefly of Syria, Phœenicia, and Cyprus, who furnished the materials. In this list we find the name of "Minasi (Manasseh), king of Judah."

The palace at Calah, which occupied the S.W. corner of the great platform of Nimrud, was never finished; and it is chiefly remarkable for the bas-reliefs removed from other edifices, mostly from the central and S.E. palaces, and set up with their sculptures inwards against the wall of sun-dried bricks, and the back surfaces smoothed preparatory to being carved anew. ${ }^{1}$ Of such sculptures as had been completed, many were split to fragments or calcined to crumbling lime by a fierce conflagration that had destroyed the building. Among these were the sphinxes already mentioned. Besides these palaces, a far inferior edifice was built at Nineveh for his eldest son; its ruins are at ShereefKhan, on the bank of the Tigris, where Sargon had previously built a fort and a temple of Nergal.
§11. The name of Esar-haddon's son and successor, Asshur-bani-pal (Asshur create a son), occurring almost at the end of the

[^222]list of Assyrian kings, so irresistibly suggests that of Sardanapalue, that the mind pre-occupied with the legend of Ctesias is astounded when the monuments reveal one of the greatest conquerors and most magnificent monarchs of the whole series, and the only one who has left proofs of a systematic care for literature. His accession is fixed by the Canon to s.c. 667 ; but the darkness into which Assyrian history falls back towards the end of his reign makes its length uncertain. His annals only embrace the seven or eight years to b.c. $660 .{ }^{\text {ss }}$ His great contest with Tirhakah and Rotmen for the possession of Egypt-the most important results gained from the Assyrian records-has been related in the history of that country. ${ }^{48}$

Amidst and after these wars, he conducted operations in Phonicia and Cilicia; and he was the first Assyrian king who crossed the Taurus into the interior of Asia, Minor, and came in contact with the great Lydian monarchy. 'These are his own words, if rightly read :- "Gyges, king of Lydia, a country on the aea-coast, a remote place, of which the kinge my ancestors had never even heard the name, learned in a dream (?) the fame of my empire, and the same day sent officers to my presence to perform homage on his behalf." Gyges further sent to Asshur-bani-pal, at Nineveh, some Cimmerian chiefs, who had been taken alive in a battle; and mention is also made of another Cimmerian chief, with whom the Assyrian himself came in contact. ${ }^{4}$
§ 12. Like his predecessors, he made campaigns in Armenia and Media; but the most interesting of his wars were those in Susiana and Babylonia, the incidents of which are depicted in the reliefs which he added to the palace of Sennacherib at Nineveh. The connection which Esar-haddon had established between Arsyria and Babylon was dissolved, perhaps before his death, by that king's re-partition of Mesopotamia between his sons. Babylon fell to the lot of Saül-Mugina, the Saosduchinus of the Canon, and the Sam; mughes, whom the compilers from Berosus have converted into a king of Assyria. The relations between Assyria, Babylon, and Susiana are obscure; but instead of involving the reader in thess difficulties, we notice the four years' war, in which Asshur-bani-pral conquered Susiana, chiefly to call attention to some of the scenes which every one can behold to this day on the walls of our Museum. On one slab we see the capture of a city at the confluence of two rivers ; probably Susa, which the annals record to have been taken, with the express mention of its position on the Hulai (Eulæus).4

[^223]On anotber are vividly depicted scenes of horrible cruelty, the meaning of which is plainly stated in the annals:-" Temin-Uınman (the king of Susiana) was taken prisoner, decapitated, and his head exposed over one of the gates of Nineveh. A son of Temin-Umman was executed with his father:" and, whether in this or another case, the sculptures show one prisoner brought to execution with the head of another hung about his neck. "Several grandees of Mero-dach-Baladan suffered mutilation; a Chaldrean prince and one of the chieftains of the Gambalu had their tongues torn out by the roots; two of Temin-Umman's principal officers were chained and flayed:" -and there are both operations before our eyes, in the alabaster which has perpetuated them for twenty-five centuries. On other slabs we see the scourgers in attendance upon the king, carrying their whips in their girdles, and the executioner striking a bound prisoner with his fist before he puts him to death. Well might the prophet, probably at this very time, call Nineveh "the city of blcods." ${ }^{48}$
$\S 13$. The like pictures of war, and of what his annals boast as justice, were repeated, side by side with an immense variety of bunting scenes, on the walls of another palace, which Asshur-bani-pal built at Koyunjik, within a few hundred yards of his grandfather's. The palace is remarkable for its peculiar ground-plan, in the form of a I, and for the beauty of its elaborate ornamentation. Both the battle and the hunting scenes excel all previous bas-reliefs in the variety, grace, and freedom of the figures; but in simple dignity they fall as far short of those of Asshur-nasir-pal as the spirit of the sport-in which the lions are let out of cages-is below that monarch's famous lion-hunt. Among them is almost the only strictly domestic scene yet known in Assyrian art-and one only too significant-a banquet at which the king is reclining on his couch with the queen sitting at his feet."

Never, in the whole history of Assyria, have we stronger evidence than under this king of that prosperity which the prophet describes in his celebrated parable:-
"The Assyrian was a cedar in Lebanon, with fair branches, and with a shadowing shroud, and of an high stature ; . . . . . . under his shadow dwelt all great nations; . . . . . . nor was any tree in the garden of God like unto him in his beauty." ${ }^{4}{ }^{4}$
§ 14. If this Asshur-bani-pal furnished the Greeks with the name of Sardanapalus, we may now perhaps account for the two-

[^224]fold charscter of that king. ${ }^{40}$ As the last famous king of Assyria, he may have been confounded, in Ctesias'n legend of the fall of Nineveh, with a degenerate son or grandson, whose name was better known to other authors. But there are Greek writers who preserve a truer memory of is Sardanapalus, whom they distinguish from the other by the title of "the warlike Sardanapalus ;" ${ }^{\text {so }}$ but under whose name (as in the case of Sesostris) they include the achievements of different kings, as, for example, the building of Tarsus, which others assign to Sennacherib. Near that city was a lofty monument, which they called the tomb of Sardanapalus, crowned with a statue of the king, having on its base this inscription in Assyrian characters :"Sardanapalus, son of Anacyndaraxes, built Tarsus and Anchialus in one day," \&c. ${ }^{51}$. The monument was probably one of those stelce with an arched head, of the type which we have more than once mentioned; and it may have represented either Sennacherib or his grandson.
§ 15. Most cuneiform authorities agree that after the reign of Asshur-bani-pal came that of his son, whose name is variously read Asshur-emit-ilin, or Asshur-idililan, or Asshur-kinatili-kain, and who is identified with the Saracus of Abydenus and Polyhistor, or with the Chiniladanue or Cinneladanus of Ptolemy's Canon, or with both. ${ }^{69}$ The only native recorde of this king are a few inecribed bricks, which identify him as the builder of the south-east palace at Nimrud, and a stela found there, with his effigy and a genealogical inscription. ${ }^{6}$ The palace, built upon the ruins of a former edifice, ${ }^{\text {st }}$ bears atriking witness to the decline and probably the sudden cessation of the monarchy, by its vastly inferior style, its small and misshapen chambers, its unfinished state, and its unsculptured walls.ss Decisive evidence is borne to the violent overthrow

[^225]of the kingdom, and the utter destruction of its capital cities by the heaps of charcoal, and other signs of devouring fire, which are found in all the palaces, alike at Nimrud, Koyunjik, and Khorsabad.
§16. It is in vain to attempt to recover the true history of the fall of Nineveh by piecing together the fow extant fragments of writers who lived long after the event. It is better simply to place their statements upon record, and await the light of further criticism and future discoveries.

That the story of Ctesias, respecting the carlier overthrow of Nineveh by Arbaces and Belesys, may preserve some details of its final fall, is the more probable from the resemblance in oriental revolutions caused by tho likeness of eastern states and wars: but still this is mere conjecture. Our really historical authorities are, on the one hand, the incidental notices by Herodotus, in his story of the Medes, evidently after Persian accounts; and a few fragments, chiefly of Abydenus and Polyhistor, which derive their value from being founded on the high authority of Berosus. ${ }^{56}$ As is natural, the latter class of writers lay the greater stress on the part taken by Babylon in the achievement, which Herodotus assigns wholly to the Medes. ${ }^{17}$

He recognises three distinct attacks of the Medes upon Assyria. First, Phraortes, having subjected the Persians, "proceeded to subdue Asia, nation after nation, till he marched against the Assyrians -those of the Assyrians, I mean, who held Nineveh, and formerly ruled over all [the rest]; but then they stood alone, being deserted by their allies; but, in other respects, their internal condition was flourishing. Phraortes attacked them, but perished himself with the greater part of his army." ${ }^{50}$

He then tells us how Cyaxares, the son of Phraortes, devoted his efforts to organise the Median forces; and, baving mentioned (not necessarily in order of time) this king's contest with Lydia, and his conquest of all Asia beyond the River Halys, he goes on:-"Now collecting all who were under his rule, he marched against the city of Ninus, both to avenge his father and wishing to take the oity. And when, after defeating the Assyrians in a battle, he had formed the siege of Ninus, there came upon him a great army of Scythians." ${ }^{50}$

[^226]Here he algresses to the Scythian invasion, and their domination over Asia for twenty-eight years, till Cyaxares drovo them out, and the Medes recovered their former enipire."0 "And they took Ninus-but how they took it I will ahow in other books (or another history)-and made the Assyrians their subjects, except the part belonging to Babylon" (literally "the portion of Babylon "). ${ }^{61}$

The last phrase is merely geographical; but, in another place, Herodotus refers to Babylon as not only in an independent, but even a hostile, attitude towards the victorious Medes. Speaking of Nitocris, he says:-" Seeing the great and restless power of the Medes, who had taken both other cities, and among them also Ninus, she procaeded to guard against them as much as possible" by her works of defence at Babylon. ${ }^{6}$ But we shall see that this really refers to a much later period; and Herodotus himself makes the king of Babylon an ally of Cyaxares in his Lydian War."

8 17. That Babylon had a real and important share in the overthrow of Nineveh seems established by the second set of authorities above mentioned. The locus classicus on this subject is the passage quoted by Kusebius from Abydenus, who follows the 'Chaldzan History' of Berosus. Having spoken of Sennacherib, Axerdis (Enarhaddon), and Sardanapalus (Asshur-bani-pal), he proceeds:-"After him Saracus reigned over the Assyrians: and, having received tidings that a very great band of barbarians had come up from the sea to attack him, he quickly sent the general Busalossor (unquestionably Nabopolassar, as in Syncellus) to Babylon. But he, plotting a rebellion, arranged the betrothal of Amuhia (called by others Aroitis and Amyitis), s daughter of Asdahages (Astyages), the Mede, a prince (or the bead) of the (royal) family, to Nabuchodrossor, his son. Thereupon, setting out forthwith, he hastens to attack Ninus, that is, the city of Ninive. When Saracus the
chronolingical difficuitien whether the Lydian war preceded or followed the capiore of Nineveh. It ta enough to potnt out the incidental charncter of the alluston to the former. The words which (coming after this mention of the Lydish war) might seem to tmply that Cyazaree led against Xineveh all the forcee of the Median empire, after Ite exrenation to the Halys, need not be co interpreted. They are simply, ouMúkap de rois
 able dominiou in Asia before the attaciz on Nineveh-of the dominion of which they were teprived by the Bcy thiana, rins dixiv, carriciengar (chap. 104), and which they recovered when they got rid of the Bcythians, and Vefore the final attack on Nineveh (avicnivauro
 - Observe in prastigg that $V$ che 28 years of Herodotus be correct, B.0. $33-28$ yearn $=\mathrm{sc} 60 \mathrm{~s}$.
a Herod 1. 106. The question tas been long discased whether the words in
 м to writa. The future seems to tomply this; and certatnly none of his other elght books surwer to the tille. The pasage adduced from Aristotle to prove the exitence of zoch a work is not decisive.

- Hero4. Li 136 : comp. chap. 2v. 9 18. Merod. 1 is.
king was informed of all this, he burat his royal palace at Evowritus." ${ }^{\text {bs }}$ The last word is confessed by all the interpreters to be quite unintelligible in the Armenian text; and the Greek of Syncellus gives "fearing whose, [Nabopolassar's] attack, Saracus burnt himself with his palace; and Nabopolasarus, the father of Nabuchodonosor, received the government of the Chaldæans and of Babylon." ${ }^{60}$
§ 18. In some very important features these accounts agree with one another, and with the well-known character of the Assyrian empire. As we have seen before, there was no organised administration, held together by the central power. The cases in which conquered cities or countries were placed under Assyrian governorsor, in the language of the annals, "treated as Assyrians"-were exceptional. Generally they were left under their own kings, as vassals of Assyria; and she only asked submission and tribute; but she punished open rebellion with a ferocity which utterly alienated her subjects.

While all were thus destitute of any bond of willing union, some of those nearest to the seat of government were animated with the spirit, and possessed the power, of perpetual resistance. Even at the rare times when the rival kingdom of Babylon was really subdued, the Chaldmans and Elamites were ever ready to renew the contest in their marshes. Almost every Assyrian king had to fight again and again with the Aramæans on the middle Euphrates, and with the mountaineers of Armenia and Zagrus. And, beyond the latter range, the victories which are qlaimed over the Medes may often but attest the increasing pressure of the Aryan tribes that were gathering on this frontier of Assyria.

A king who indulged in luxury, to the neglect of military expeditions, at once invited rebellion id the provinces and invasion on the frontiers ; and it was quite possible, as Herodotus puts it, that, at the very height of apparent prosperity, he might find himself standing alone, deserted by his allies, and left bare before his enemies. The crisis, which so soon followed the splendid reign of Asshur-bani-pal, appears to have been hastened by a fresh Aryan migration into Media; and their attack on the eastern frontier perhaps found Assyria weakened by the inroads of those very Scythians who interrupted the progress of the Medes.

The renewed assault of the latter appears to have coincided with

[^227]a new uprising of all the mingled races of Chaldas and Susiana (for thus only can we understand "the troops of barbarians who came up from the sea"). The treason, or patriotism, ${ }^{08}$ of the offioer sent to quell the revolt in Babylonia has been often paralleled by the servants of a falling king ${ }^{67}$ and the self-immolation of Saracus in the flames of his own palace-whether it be a fact or the adornment of a tale-has an exact precedent in the death of the laraelite king at Tirzah :-" And it came to pass, when Zimri saw that the city was taken, that he went into the palace of the king's house, and burnt the king's house over him with fire, and died." ${ }^{\text {es }}$
§ 19. But, after all, the real picture of the fall of Assyria (as of Babylon) and of the utter destruction of Nineveh, never to rise again, is drawn with the most literal truth, as well as poetic colouring, by the Jewish prophets, one of whom (Ezekiel) is, in fact, writing the history of Nineveh's fall as the type of Babylon's. The following passages are quoted only to attract attention to the whole prophecies of which they form a part.

We have seen how Ezekiel's figure of the Assyrian as a codar in Lebanon was realised under Asshur-bani-pal ; but now "the multitude of waters that nourished him," that is, the subject nations, not only withdrew their tributary streams, but swelled up to help his destruction, as (in the phrase of Herodotus) be "stood alone" to undergo the sentence: "I have delivered him into the hand of the mighty one of the beathen; in dealing be shall deal with bim. . . . . And strangers, the terrible of the nationg, have cut him off, and have left him: upon the mountaing and in all the valleys his branches are fallen, and his boughs are broken by all the rivere of the land; and all the people of the earth bave gone down from his chadow and have left him."

The prophetic warning, which Nahum gives to Nineveh from the fate iuflicted by her own king on Thebes, ${ }^{70}$ contains a powerful description of the easy capture of the fortresses and the siege of the city itself :-" All thy strong holds shall be like fig-trees with the first ripe figs: if they be shaken, they shall even fall into the mouth: of the eater. Behold, thy people in the midst of thee are women: the gates of thy land shall be set wide open unto thine enemies: the fire shall devour thy bars. Draw thee watera for the siege, fortify thy strong holds: go into clay, and tread the mortar, make strong the brick-kiln. There shall the fire devour thee; the sword shall cut thee off." ${ }^{71}$

The utter and final nature of the destruction is pointed by

[^228]Zephaniah in words rendered doubly emphatic by the recent discoveries beneath the mounds among which nomad tribes have pitched their tents, and wild beasts and birds have had their haunts, for five-and-twenty centuries. "He will stretch out his hand against the north, and destroy Assyria; and will make Nineveh a desolation and dry like a wilderness. And flocks shall lie down in the midst of her, all the beasts of the nations: both the cormorant and the bittern shall lodge in the upper lintels of it; their voice shall sing in the windows; desolation shall be in the thresholds: for he shall uncover the cedar-work. This is the rejoicing city that dwelt carelessly, that said in her heart, $I$ am, and there is none beside me: how is she become a desolation, a place for beasts to lie down in! Every one ihat passeth by her shall hiss, and wag his hand." ${ }^{72}$
§ 20. The precise epoch of the fall of Nineveh is still unsettled, and the question is complicated with another, coneerning the date of the great battle between Cyaxares and the Lydians. ${ }^{73}$ Thus much is pretty well agreed, that the choice lies between b.o. 625 and b.c. 606. The older writers give the latter date, which rests on a distinct statement in the chronicle of Eusebius, and is supported by the high authority of Clinton. ${ }^{74}$

The English school of Assyriologers, represented by Sir Henry. and Professor Rawlinson, adopt the date of b.c. 625, which is ixed by the Canon as that of Nabopolassar's accession at Babylon. They regard his predecessor, Chiniladanus, whose accession is placed by the Canon in b.c. 647, as the last king of Assyria, the Asshur-emit-ilin of the monuments, and the Saracus of Berosus and his followers, but with the admission that Saracus may perhaps represent a king who followed Asshur-emit-ilin. These views seem to rest too much on the dependence of Babylon upon Assyria up to the moment not only of Nabopolassar's revolt, but of the actual capture of Nineveh.
M. Opnert and the French school return to the date of в.c. 606, and make the accession of Nabopolassar at Babylon, and his league with the Medes, synchronise with that first attack of Cyaxares upon Nineveh which was interrupted by the Scythians. M. Oppert ends the reign of Asshur-bani-pal at the close of his annals in b.c. 660, and assigns the thirteen years to в.c. 647 to his brother Tiglath-pileser. 'Ihen comes Asshur-emit-ilin (Chiniladanus) down to the first attack by Cyaxares in в.c. 625. The remaining nineteen or twenty years, to the fall of Nineveh, in b.c. 606, are assigned to a king (the son

[^229]or younger brother of his predecessor), whose name is conjectured tn have been either Esar-haddon, or some such form, which would be represented by the Greek Saracus ( $=$ Asshumakh-3rd element wanting), or one of those names beginning with Asshur and ending with pal, which the Greeks made Sardanapalus. It is to be observed, however, that the writers who give us the name of Saracus for the last king know no other Sardanapalus but him who answers to Asshur-bani-pal, and whom they make the father of Saracus. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 8
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## CHAPTER XV.

# THE BABYLONIAN OR CHALDAAN EMPIRE. B.c. 625-538. 

1. Babylon during the Old Assyrian Empire. Destraction of native records by Nabonassar. § 2. List of Kinge from the Era of Nabonassar. Babylon under the New Assyrian Empire. § s. Brief duration, but great importance, of the Babylonian Empire. Nebuchadnezzar its one great monarch. Its six eings. § 4. The monarchy Chaldean, with its capital at Babylon. §5. Nabopolabsar. His origin. Revolt from Assyria and alliance with Cyazares. Distinction between the Assyrian and Babylonian Empires. Nabopolassar mediates between Cyazares and Alyattes. § 6. War with Egypt. The defeat of Neco at Carchemish gives Babylon all Asia West of the Euphrates. Death of Nabopolasear. His works at Babylon. § 7. Nemecrannezzar. His name. His place in history. §8. Revolt of Phoenicia and Judah. Chronological difficulty about the siege of Tyre. First capture of Jerusalem, and first captivity, including Daniel. Rebellion of Jehoiakim. Second capture of Jernealem. § 9. Rebellion and deposition of Jehoiachin. Third eapture of Terusalem. The Great Claptivity. Zedekiah made king. Probable motive for sparing Jerusalem. Vision of the imperial colossus. § 10 . Zedekiah's league with Pharaoh-Hophra, and rebellion. Siege of Jernsalem, and retreat of Pharaoh. Fourth capture and destruction of Jerusalem. Final captivity. Exemption of Judsh from colonization. Fate of the remnant left. § 11. Siege of Tyre and conquest of Phoenicia, and of the Ammonites, Moabites, and Edomited, and all Syria. § 12. Invasion of Egypt-probably twice. Egypt really oonquered by Nebuckadnegzar. § 13. Peace during his last years. Means furnished by his wars for his great works at Babylon. § 14. Pride engendered by prosperity. His Lycanthropy, restoration, and death. § 15. Causes of the immediate decline of the Empire. § 16. Evil-Mbrodaci. His favour to Jehoiachin. Put to death by a conspiracy. \& 17. Nemolissar, the Rab-Mag, and his son Lasobosoarciod. End of the dynasty of Nabo-
polasear. § 18. Nabonadius. Hie works for the defence of Babylon. Nitooris. § 19. Alliance with Crcesus. Defeat by Cyrus. Flight to Borsippa. $8 \mathbf{8 0}$. Belshazear in Babylon. Capture of the eity. Aurrender of Nabonadius.
§ 1. Durina the whole course of the Assyrian history, we have seen Babylon constantly appearing, nominally as a subject state, but frequently in successful revolt; and sometimes recognized as a co-ordinate kingdom. Her subordination to Assyria has been unquestionably exaggerated, especially by the Greek writers, who merged her whole history in that of the Assyrian empire. This mistake may have been owing chiefly to the deed which Berosus ascribes to Nabonassar, who "collected and destroyed the acte of the kings before him, in order that the serios of the Chaldaan kings should begin from him, ${ }^{1}$ Before his time, therefore, we are do pendent on Assyrian accounts for the history of Babylon; with the exception of some fragmentary inscriptions, recording chiefly private transactions, in which the name of the reigning king is mentioned. Yet even the Assyrian, accounts bear out the statement that "during the whole time of the Upper Dynasty in Assyria, Babylon was clearly the most powerful of all thoso kingdoms by which the Assyrian Empire was surrounded."
§2. From the Era of Nabonassar (Feb. 27, B.c. 747)' both the Canon of Ptolemy and the fragments of Berosus furnish a continuous list of kings, to the fall of Babylon in B.c. 538; but for two-thirds of this period we have little more than their mere names. For Eunebius, who preserves a few details from Berosus, hurries carelessly over the whole time that precedes the accession of Nebuchadnezzar (B.c. 604), in order to reach the point at which Jewish history comes in contact with the Babylonian empire. We are again dependent, therefore, chiefly on Assyrian equrces of information for the history of Babylon under the Lower Assyrian Empire; when, if ite conquest was more thoroughly effected than before, its fits of resistance are attested by the boast made of the victoriea that overpowered them. The brief independence won by Nabonassar, and again by Merodach-Baladan, gave a foretaste of the empire secared by Nabopolassar.
§ 3. The brief duration of that empire may account in part for its confusion with the Assyrian by the Greek writers, who had not our knowledge of its true importance. The greatness of Babylon took a powerful hold on their imagination, principally on account of its marveilous conquest by Cyrus; for their whole interest in Griental history centred in the growth of the Persian power:

[^231]and this greatness was that of the city which they regarded as the second capital of the Assyrian empire. But to us the magnificence of Babylon is eclipsed by the important part assigned to the empire in the scheme of the providential government of the world; and especially to its one great monarch, the most complete type of an Oriental despot, who is himself controlled by a still higher power. Of the 88 years, which form the duration of the empire (b.0. 625-538), just half ( 43 years) are filled up by the reign of Nebuchadnezzar; and, with the exception of the fall of Babylon itself, the whole interest of the story centres in him. Of the six kings who form the Eighth (Chaldoean) Dynasty of Berosus, three (the third, fourth, and fifth) are of the slightest possible importance, their united reigns only just reaching six years; and the first and last bear no comparison with Nebuchadnezzar. The chronology of the whole serieg is fixed, with almost absolute certainty, as follows :-


Belshazzar, associated with his father towards the end c! his reign.
§4. These kings are not only called Chaldceans by Berosus and several of the classical writers; but in contemporary Jewish history and prophecy this epithet ${ }^{\text {s }}$ is regularly applied to them, their kingdom, and their armies. Whatever its origin, it is now clearly no longer a mere geographical expression. There can be little doubt that these sovereigns belonged to the sacred caste; ${ }^{6}$ and, after all the discussions about their origin, the series of royal names obtained from the cuneiform inscriptions makes it probable that they represented (whether in fact or by a genealogical fiction) the ancient native dynasties. In this respect, the revolution which overthrew the Assyrian monarchy, and gave Babylon the supremacy under Nabopolassar, seems to have resembled that by which Ardshir long after wards wrested the dominion of Persia from the Parthians.

[^232]While in this senss Chaldean, and perhaps partly for that very reason, the monarchy was more strictly Dabylonian than ever before. During the Assyrian supremacy, we have seen Babylonia divided among different princes; and the centre of resistance, as was natural for strategic reasons, is generally in the lower country, or Chaldæa. Nabonassar was reigning at Babylon, apparently unmolested by Tiglath-pileser II., while the latter was conquering Chaldæa; and the weight of the wars of Sargon, Sennacherib, and Asshur-bani-pal, fell upon the lower country. While the southern cities thus suffered-as is attested by the early date of the memorials found in their ruins-Babylon grew into importance as the seat of the Assyrian government, and the centre of the national worship. In the time of Sennacherib (or even earlier) Isaiah describes it as "the golden city," "the glory of kingdoms, the beauty of the Chaldees' excellency." " Esar-haddon's residence and building of a palace there mark its undisputed rank as the capital; and such it remained under its new kings.
§ 5. Nabopolassar ${ }^{8}$ (b.c. 625-604) first appears as an Asbyrian officer, who was sent by the last king of Assyria to Babylon, as wo have seen, against the insurrectionary bands of the Babylonians and Susianians. In choosing a Babylonian for this mission-as we may suppose Nabopolassar to have been, from his name, and still more from his being called a Chaldæan-the king of Assyria would naturally seek to conciliate his southern subjects, and to use the local influence of Nabopolassar. But, whether from ambition, or patriotism, or necessity, that influence was thrown into the opposite scale, and we have seen how Nabopolassar caused himself to be proclaimed king of Babylon, and joined with Cyaxares in overthrowing the Assyrian empire.'

We must here guard against the mistake, that the new Babylonian kingdom succeeded to the empire of Assyria, After the fall of Nineveh, all that had been most properly Assyrian-the districta on the upper and middle Tigri--fell to the share of the Medes; what Babylon gained was the independence of her own country, enlarged by a union with Susiana, and the part of the Assyrian empire which lay along and to the west of the Euphrates. This division marks at once the new part she had to play in Western Asia. Bepa-

[^233]rated from the regions of Zagrus and Armenia, on which the Assyrians had only kept their hold by incessant wars, she was at liberty to seek expansion towards the west, where she would naturally be brought into conflict with Judæa and Egypt. But, for nearly the whole of his reign, Nabopolassar appears to have found occupation in organizing his new kingdom, and in aiding-probably under the terms of their treaty-his Median ally in his course of conquest in Asia Minor. While he was thus engaged and co-operating in the great war of Cyaxares against Alyattes, king of Lydia, he availed himself of the terror caused in both armies by an eclipse of the sun in the very crisis of a great battle, and negotiated the peace which fixed the boundary of the Median and Lydian empires at the river Halys. ${ }^{10}$
§6. Just about this time, the politic old king Psammetichus was succeeded on the throne of Egypt by his enterprising son, Neco, who forced Nabopolassar to a defensive war upon the Euphrates. We have seen how Neco's first success was turned into disaster by the defeat which he suffered at Carchemish from Nebuchadnezzar, the son of Nabopolassar. This victory at once transferred to Babylon all the territory west of the Euphrates once belonging to Egypt, then to the kingdom of Israel, afterwards to Assyria, and lately reconquered by Neco, and gave her at one blow the empire of Western Asia. "And the king of Egypt came not again any more out of his land; for the king of Babylon had taken from the river of Egypt, unto the river Euphrates, all that pertained to the king of Egypt" (в.c. 605). ${ }^{11}$

Nebuchadnezzar had pursued the Egyptian to his own frontier, when news was brought to him of his father's death. Entrusting his army, and his booty, and his droves of captives, to chosen officers, to lead them home by the usual route, he sped across the desert with a small escort, to secure bis rights. Arriving at Babylon, he quietly received the crown from the chief of the Chaldæan priests, who bad kept it for him, and acted as regent in his absence. ${ }^{\text {L }}$

We learn, from the testimony of his son, that Nabopolassar commenced those great works of fortification and engineering at Babylon,

[^234]x 2
which Nebuchadnezzar completed, and which appear to have been strengthened when the last king of Babylon was expecting the attack of Cyrus. ${ }^{14}$
§ 7. Nrbuchadnezzar, or Nebuchadrezear, or Nabuchodososor, ${ }^{16}$ came to the throne, according to Ptolemy's Canon, on the 21st of January, B.c. 604, and died about the beginning of B.c. 561 ; by far the longest reign of any in the whole eeries of Assyrian and Babylonian kings. Of his position in the annale of the Babylonian empire, it has been truly said that " its military glory is due chiety to him; while the constructive energy, which conatitutes its especial characteristic, belongs to it still more markedly through bis character and genius. It is scarcely too much to say that, but for Nebuchadnezzar, the Babylonians would have had no place in history." ${ }^{\text {s }}$

If he left annals like those of the great Assyrian kings, they have perished in the utter destruction of Babylon; but, for the true lessons of his history, their place is more than aupplied by the sacred writings. No lung and boastful detaila of countries overrun and subjected to tributa, of cities stormed and razed, and prisoners and spoil carried away to Babylon, would have had half the value of the brief record of the part he played as the instrument of Providence in the captivity of the Jews, or of the dramatio plcturea in the Book of Daniel of his humiliation before the God of the conqueted people; while all the poetry to which history has given birth, whether of the tragic mume or the patriotic song, is surpassed by the sublime prophecies of the fate reserved for proud Babylon and her mighty king. ${ }^{20}$
58. We have seen how Nebuchadnezzar, just before his accession

[^235]to the throne, created the empire of Babylon at one struke by the victory of Carchemish. But within the region west of the Euphrates, formerly ruled by Assyria, there remained two powers, almost contemptible in magnitude, but yet mighty-the one in its commercial wealth and colonial empire, the other in its exclusive spinit of religious patriotism.

Tybe, now at the height of her prosperity, drew the rest of Phonicia into resistance; and Judsa, which religious declension and political weakness had left as helpless between Babylon and Egypt as a ship on which two fields of ice are closing, assumed that couraje of despair which was wont to be most tenacions when her religion was at its lowest ebb. Unfortunately, the campaign of Nebuchadnezzar against Tyre is involved in so much obscurity, that the question is still disputed whether it was simultaneous with or whether it succeeded the Jewish wars.

When Nebuchadnezzar pursued Pharaoh Neco from the Euphrates to the border of Egypt, Jehoiakim, who had recently been placed by Neco on the Jewish throne; ventured to withstand the conqueror. Jerusalem was taken after a brief siege; and, among the spoil and captives left by Nebuchadnezzar to be brought after him to Babylon, were some of the vessels of the temple, and certain chosen youths of the royal and princely families, including Danner and his three companions. Jehoiakim hinself, though destined at first to share their captivity, was however restored to his throne ${ }^{17}$

He had now to make his choice between the loyal acceptance of his position as a vassal, or reliance on the aid of Egypt. In spite of the lesson of Carchemish, and the essentially anti-Egyptian principles of the Hebrew monarchy, which were earnestly enforved by Jeremiah, Jehoiakim chose the latter policy, to which the princes of Judah always inclined.is After being "the servant ol Nebuchadnezzar for three years, he turned and rebelled against him," ${ }^{18}$ in the seventh year of his reign (b.c. 602). His reliance on Egypt, which Josephus expressly assigns as a motive, ${ }^{20}$ is implied in what seems to be the statement that he was disappointed of such

[^236]aid, for-in consequence of the blow received at Carchemish-"The King of Eçypt came not again any more out of bis land." ${ }^{21}$ But there were other circumstances that favoured the attempt, though what they were is doubtful, from the uncertainty about Nebuchadnezzar's movements at this time. ${ }^{98}$

Here the Scripture narrative becomes ao brief that we are dependent on Josephus and a fragment of Alexander Polyhistor ${ }^{29}$ for what followed. In his seventh year (b.o. 698), according to Josephus, Nebuchadnezzar marched against Tyre and Jerusalem, investing the former city, and advancing in person against the latter. At all events, the date of his attack on Jerusalem (8.0. 597) is fixed by the eleven years of Jehoiakim's reign. ${ }^{94}$ Polyhistor, who speaks only of the expedition against Judæa, says that Nebuchaduezzar was aided by his Median ally, ${ }^{25}$ and that the united armies made up 10,000 chariots, 120,000 horse and 180,000 foot. ${ }^{90}$ Having overrun Galilee, Samaria, and Gilead, and taken Scythopolis, he invested Jerusalem. As no belp came from Egypt, Jehoiakim surrendered; and Nebuchadnezzar not only put him to death, but treated his dead body with indignity. This fact, stated by Josephus only, is confirmed by the repeated prophecies of Jeremiah -"They shall not lament for him, maying, Ah lord! or, Ah his glory! He shall be buried with the burial of an ass, drawn and cast forth beyond the gates of Jerusalem :"-" His dead body shall be cast out in the day to the heat, and in the night to the frost." ${ }^{27}$

[^237]§ 9. Equally emphatic is the ensuing denunciation of his son and successor, Jehoiachin, or Jeconiah, whom Nebuchadnezzar set upon the vacant throne:-"As I live, saith the Lord, though Coniah, the son of Jehoiakim, king of Judah, were the signet apon my right hand, yet would I pluck thee thence; and I will give thee into the band of them that seek thy life, and into the hand of them whose face thou fearest, even into the hand of Nebuchadrezzar, king of Babylon, and into the hand of the Chaldeans. And I will cast thee out, and thy mother that bare thee, into another country, where ye were not born; and there shall ye die. But to the land whereunto they desire to return, thither shall they not return. . . . . 0 earth, earth, earth, hear the word of the Lord! Thus saith the Lord, Write ye this man childless, a man that shall not prosper in his days: for no man of his seed shall prosper, sitting upon the throne of David, and ruling any more in Judah." ${ }^{28}$ Such was the final denunciation of the Great Captivity of Judah, and the extinction of the Jewish temporal monarchy, handed down from Solomon, in the person of Jehoiachin.

The former event was brought about in three months and ten days ${ }^{20}$ from the accession of the young king; probably through renewed intrigues with Egypt by his mother Nehnshta and the princes of Judah, who governed in his name; for it appears to have been chiefly in this sense that the young king " did evil in the sight of the Lord, according to all that his father had done." so

Nebuchadnezzar (who, according to Josephus, had returned to the siege of Tyre) first sent an army to form the siege of Jerusalem, ${ }^{31}$ and then came in person to receive the surrender of the city. "And Jehoiachin, the king of Judah, went out to the king of Babylon, he, and his mother, and his servants, and his princes, and bis officers (or eunuchs) : and the king of Babylon took him in the eighth year of his reign" ${ }^{\text {s2 }}$ (в.c.597). The temple was stripped of all its remaining sacred vessels. The king was carried captive to Babylon, with

[^238]his mother, his wives, his officers, and all the princes, to the number of 2000; "all the mighty men of valour," "all that were strong and apt for war," reduced as they were to 7000 by previous captivities and losses; with all the craftsmen and amithes, to the uumber of 1000 , that those left behind might be helpless. The captives amounted in all to 10,000 , and "none remained, save the poorest sort of the people of the land." ${ }^{\text {na }}$ Over this miserable remnant, Mattaniah, the youngeat eon of Josiah, and the uncle of the late king, was sat up to reign under the new name of Zedekiah, and bound to fidelity by a solemn oath. ${ }^{20}$ To this oath, and the whole policy now pursued by Nebuchadnezzar towards Judah, Ezekiel alludes in a very striking passage:-"The king of Babylon hath taken of the king's seed, and made a covensnt with him, and hath taken an oath of him : be hath sleo taken the mighty of the land: that the kingdom might be base, and might not lift itself $u_{p}$, but that by keeping of his covenant it might atand." ${ }^{m}$

The surprising part of this transaction is that, after the provocation he had received now for the third time, Nebuchadnezzar did not utterly destroy the rebellious city. Such a wretched phantom of a kingdom, deprived of every man fit for war and even of the craftamen to forge their weapons, could be of no uee as a frontier garrison against Eyypt. Some higher motive to forbearance seems to be implied in the passage quoted from Ezekiel; and such a motive may be found in those wonderful revelatious, recorded in the Book of Daniel, which surround the great figure of Nebuchadneszar with a light reflected from a source above all eartbly splendour. For it was as early as the mocond year of his reign ${ }^{[10}$ (B.c. 603) that the young king, lately returned from his conquesta beyond the Euphrates, his mind filled with the great prospect before him, and prepared by his initiation into the mysteries of the Chaldmans to believe in prophetic visions-"dreamed dreams wherewith his epirit was troubled, and his sleep brake from him." We need not give the details of that most faacinating chapter, which tella bow a captive Hebrew youth, who had just completed the training that fitted him to stand before the ling, revealed the mystery of that coloseal image of the empires of the world, with the king himeelf for ita golden head, which he saw dashed to pieces by a heavenly power: our present concern is with the Eing's confession of the supreme deity

[^239]and royalty of Daniel's God. It is not strange that the monarch should spare the sacred city of the God whose power he thus confessed. A similar feeling urged Titus to untiring efforts to save the remple: and, in both cases, it was the obstinacy of the Jews that frustrated the forbearance of their heathen conquerors.
§ 10. Such was now the course of the infatuated Zedekiah. For eight or nine years he remained in helpless submission. Of the occupations of Nebuchadnezzar during that interval we are not informed. According to Josephus the thirteen years' siege of Tyrs was still in progress; but this would not prevent his residence at Babylon during at least parts of every year; and he was probably proceeding with his great works at that capital.: His watchfulness over the condition of Jerusalem (and the need for it) is proved by the example he made of two of the false prophets, men of prolligate lives, who kept promising a speedy return from the captivity, and ${ }^{4}$ whom the king of Babylon roasted in the fire :"se an example to which the escape of Shadrach, Meshach, and Abednego, gave peculiar emphasis. We find Zedekiah himself going to Babylon, in the fourth year of his reign (b.c. 594-3). ${ }^{0}$

If he was summoned thither to clear himself from doubts cast on his loyalty, he soon justified the suspicion. Neco, king of Egypt, had received too severe a lesson to "venture any more out of his land," where we have seen him engaged in far more useful enterprises. ${ }^{11}$ But the accession of the rash and arrogant PharaohHophra (to call him by his Scripture name) ronsed Zedekiah to the cournge of despair. The intrigues, which the prophecies of Jeremiah and Ezekiel " prove to have gone on during the whole reign of Zedekiah, now ripened into a conspiracy for the aid of Egypt and into open rebellion. The Hebrew annalist distinctly marks that it was from no spirit of patriotism, but in proud resistance to "Jeremiah, the prophet, speaking from the mouth of the Lord," that "he rebelled against king Nebuchadnezzar, who had made him swear by God;" and Ezekiel names the very terms of the treaty;" "He

[^240]rebelled agsinst him, in sending his ambassadore into Egypt, that they might give him horses and much people:"a-cavalry and infantry. His treachery was punished just as the prophet goes on to foretel, and as the aunalist relates:-"It came to pass, in the ninth jear of his reign, in the tenth month, in the tenth day of the month, that Nebuchadnezzar, king of Babylon, came, he and all his host, against Jerusalem, and pitched against it ; and they built forts against it round about $;{ }^{* \mu}$ and on the very same day Ezekiel uttered to the exiles at Babylon a prophecy of its destruction. The army of Nebuchadnezzar, comprising ${ }^{*}$ all the kingdoms of the earth of his dominion, ${ }^{1 / 4}$ had first overrun the whole country, ${ }^{46}$ and taken all the fortified cities, except Lachish and Asekah, which were still invested. Zedekiah, while reinforcing his wrak garrison by manumitting all Hebrew slaves, imprisoned the prophet whom he could not silence; and Jeremiah, in debouncing the failure of the defence, even from his prison, gave a pledge of the future restoration which he now prophesied, by an act which was repeated nearly four centuries later by the Roman who bought for its full value the field on which Hannibal had pitched his camp before Rome. It is full time that the patriotism of God's people should be placed as bigh as that of heathens in the page of bistory. ${ }^{\text {at }}$

The siege of Jerusalem continued for two years and a half, to the eleventh year of Zedekiah: ${ }^{\infty}$-but not witbout interraption. Phan raoh-Hophrs marched to its relief with $\varepsilon$ great army, and took Gaza.. Jeremiah's prophecy, that the Egyptian himself was doomed to perish, was regarded as treason amidst the joy which filled the city, "when the army of the Chaldeans was broken up from Jcrusalem for fear of Pharaoh's army." a Josephus says that the Egyptians were defeated in a battle; but the prophet eeems rather to imply that they retreated before the overwhelming forces of Nebuchadnezzar :-" Behold, Pharaoh's army, which is come forth to help you, shall return to Egypt into their own land." ${ }^{* 0}$ At

[^241]all events the Chaldæans returned, as the prophet had foretold; and Jerusalem was again invested (according to Josephus for 18 months) ${ }^{69}$ and reduced to the last extremity of famine. ${ }^{54}$

On the 9th day of the 4th month, in the 11th year of Zedekiah and the 19th of Nebuchadmezzar (b.c. 586), ${ }^{\text {br }}$ a breach was made in the wall; and the graat officers of Nebuchadnezzar entered the city; while Zedekiah and his men of war fled by the garden gate of the palace. ${ }^{56}$ They were pursued to the plain of Jericho, where the little army was dispersed, and the king was taken and brought to Nebuchadnezzar, who had retired to Riblah in Hamath (according to Josephus, to watch'the progress of the siege of Tyre). There "they gave judgment upon Zedekiah." His eyes were put out after he had seen his sons slain before his face; and he was carried in fetters of brass to Babylon, where he died; ${ }^{57}$ exactly as the prophet had foretold :-" yet shall he not see it, though he shall die there." "8

The systematic destruction of Jerusalem was begun by Nebuzaradaa, the captain of the guard, on the 7th day of the 5th month ( $\mathrm{Ab}=$ July-August). ${ }^{50}$ The Temple was given to the flames, with all the palaces and private houses; its brass-work having been broken up, and carried away with the sacred vessels. The scanty gleanings of its population, with those who had deserted to the Chaldæans during the siege, were carried into captivity; only the poorest being left to till the ground and dress the vines, with a few merr of consideration, who, like Jeremiah, were held to deserve special favour. On the other hand, the high-priest, the second priest, and several other officers, with sixty of the citizens, were chosen for examples of the conqueror's vengeance, and put to death at Riblah. The small number of these victims and the sparing of Zedekiah's life, after so many rebellions and such signal treachery, not only seems mercy

[^242]itself compared with the massacres recorded of the Assyrian kings, but places the Babylonian despot in favourable contrast with 'litus, that atrange "delicim humani generis." Wu cannot but trace the motive already referred to, in this conduct, in the respectful treatmont of Jeremiah, and more especially in the singular exemption of Judees from the usual system of colonization, which had been carried out in northern Israel; leaving the land ready for the promisod return of its chastened people, after it had rested for the abbatic yoars of which their avarice had deprived it.00 The remnant left behind were committed to the care of a Jewish governor, Gedaliah, who was soon after murdered by Ishmael, a prince of the royal blood; and the remnant of the people were led or forced into Egypt.et
§ 11. The residence of Nebuchadnezzar at Riblah, in Ceele-Syria (probably a fortress which had succeeded to the rank of Hamath), points clearly to operations in that quarter; and, if the dates of Josephus are right, ${ }^{68}$ the thirteen years' siege of T'yre ended the year after the fall of Jerusalem, namely, in B.o. 585. Those who make the wars consecutive place the fall of Tyre in 8.0. 574. There are passages of the Hebrew prophets which would go far to settle the question, if we could be sure whet her they refer to a siege actually in progress or ouly to an imminent attack. At all events, they furnish a most striking picturs of the wealth and power of Tyre, as the commercial capital of the world, with all its nations enumerated as pouring their riches into har lap, and their astonishment and desolation at her fall.es In their fullest sense, these prophecies seem to look forward to the later deatruction of I'yre by Alezander; and it has even been questioned-from a passage in which Ezekiel intimates that Nebuchadnezzar and hin army lost the fruit of their labour ${ }^{n}$-whether he really took the ieland city, or only "Old Tyre" on the mainland.

At all events, he became master of all Phonicie and Syria, ${ }^{\text {as }}$ and followed up their conquent by that of the Ammonites, Moabites, and Edomitea, whose batred had led them to serve willingly in the war against the Jews, and who now felt the cruelties over which

[^243]they then exulted. ${ }^{6}$ The fabulous accounts, which make Nebuchatnexzar adrance to the Pillars of Hercules, and conquer the Iberians of Spain, settling his captives on the shores of Colchis, ${ }^{\text {® }}$ are perhaps founded on a claim to sovereignty over the Tyrian colonies, as involved in the conquest of the mother city. There is not the least reason to suppose that such a claim was acknowledged by tribute or in any other way. The result of these campaigns was the submission of all the countries of Western Asia, from the Euphrates to the frontier of Egypt, to the Babylonian yoke, with a completeness of conquest never attained by Assyria.
§ 12. Next came the turn of Egypt, with which the Babylonian had a long accuunt to settle. Josephus ${ }^{68}$ says that, within four years of the fall of Tyre, Nebuchadnezzar led an army into Egypt to punish Vaphres (Pharaoh-Hophra) for the aid he had given to Zedekiah; but (according to his own date, s.0. 581) he is clearly wrong in adding that (on this occasion at least) Vaphres was put to death, and a vassal king set up by Nebuchadnezsar. The element of truth, however, in the latter statement, combincd with the passage cited above from Ezekiel, suggests the possible explanation that Nebuchadnezsar invaded Egypt twice, about b.c. 581 and again about 570.

At the former time, there was a sufficient motive, not only ir the aid which Apries had given to Zedekiah, but in the shelter granted to the Jewish rebels who had murdered Gedaliab. The degree of chastisament then inflicted depends on the question whether the prophetio description of the devastation and shameful captivity of Thebes refers to this or to the later invasion, which appears to have been a serious war of conquest, and-though the Egyptian version of the story conceals the fact-a conquest actually effected by the elevation of Amasis to the throne." Having resard to the same system of concealment, it is by no means impossible that Apries may have been put to death by Nebuchadnezzar, In In the loug series of wars between Egypt and the powers of Mesopotamia much as she soffered from the invasions of Esar-haddon and his son -this was the only occasion on which she was really conquered.
§13. Thus the wars of Nebuchadnexzar caroe to an end, probably about his 35 th year (b.c. 570), leaving him some nine years of peace so secure that it was not even disturbed by the loss of reason

[^244]which clouded (according to the popular reckoning) more than twothirds of that period. During his thirty-four years of war his great works at Babylon not only went on, but his conquests furnished the means for their erection. As we have seen in the Assyrian records, the spoils of war supplied an abundance of costly materials; and from his mode of dealing with the conquered nations, " he obtained that enormous command of naked human atrength which enabled him, without undue oppression of his own people, to carry out on the grandest scale his schemes for at once beautifying and benefitting his kingdom. From the time when he first took the field at the head of an army, he adopted the Assyrian system of forcibly removing almost the whole yopulation of a conquered cuuntry and planting it in a distant part of his dominions. Crowds of captives, the produce of his various wars-Jews, Egyptians, Phoenicians, Syrians, Ammonites, Moabites-were settled in various parts of Mesopotamia, ${ }^{n}$ more especially about Babylon. From these unfortunates forced labour was, as a matter of course, required; ${ }^{72}$ and it seems to bave been chiefly, if not solely, by their exertions that the magnificent series of great works was accomplished, which formed the special glory of the Babylonian monarchy.
"'The chief works expressly ascribed to Nebuchadnezzar by the ancient writers are the following. He built the great wall of Babylon, which, according to the lowest estimate, must have contained $500,000,000$ cubic feet of solid masonry, and must have required three or four times that number of bricks. ${ }^{78}$ He constructed a new and magnificent palace in the neighbourhood of the ancient residence of the kings. He made the celebrated Hanging Garden for the gratification of his wife Amyitis. He repaired and beautified the great Temple of Belus at Babylon. ${ }^{74}$ He dug the huge reservoir near Sippara, said to have been 140 miles in circumference and 180 feet deep, furnishing it with flood-gates, through which its waters could be drawn off for purpose of irrigation. He constructed a number of canals, among them the Nahr Mulcha, or "Royal Hiver," a. lroad and deep channel, which connects the Euphrates with the Tigris. ${ }^{73}$ He built quays and breakwaters along the shores of the Persian Gulf, and be at the same time founded the city of Diridotia, or Teredon, in the vicinity of that sea.
"To these constructions may be added, on the authority either of Nebuchadnezzar's own inscriptions or of the existing remains, the

[^245]Birs-i-Nimrıd, or great Temple of Nebo at Borsippe anst reservoir in Babylon itself, called the Yapur-Shapu; an exiensive embankment along the course of the Tigris near Baghdad; ${ }^{76}$ and almost innumerable temples, walls, and other public buildings at Cutha, Sippara, Borsippa, Babylon, Chilmad, Bit-Digla, \&c. The indefatigable monarch seems to have either. rebuilt or at least repaired almost every city and temple throughout the entire country. There are said to be at least a hundred sites in the tract immediately about Babylon which give evidence, by inscribed bricks bearing his legend, of the marvellous activity and energy of this king." 7
§ 14. It is not surprising that the praise which his inscriptions give to his deities, for the ability to execute such works, should have been mingled with his own glorification. But his pride was chastised by the Power before whom "Bel boweth down: Nebo stoopeth": -a Power whom the "servant" of those gods, nay, their " son," as he ventures to style himself, had learned to reverence. For it is the point most noteworthy in his whole history, that this greatest type of the Oriental despot was himself taught-and became, unlike others, the conscious instrument of teaching the world-to give glory where only it is due. The Book of Daniel records the three greal lessons, which form a series, coming home closer and closer to the king's own person. First, as we have seen, in the beginning of his reign, his youthful dreams of ambition were turned to the only universai empire which the King of Kings will suffer to be set up over the earth. ${ }^{78}$ Next, at a time not specified, but when-as it would seemhis conquests were completed, he celebrated them by the dedication of the colossal golden image of his patron deity ${ }^{79}$ on the plain of Dura, and called on the representatives of "every people, nation, and lauguage," whom he had brought together at Babylon, to adore the god by whose power they had been conquered: but the salvation of the three Hebrew youths from the flames which slew their persecutors drew from him a formal decree, confessing that "no other god can deliver after this sort," and securing toleration for those who would not "serve nor worship any god except their own god." ${ }^{80}$ Thus Bel was humbled; but it needed a third lesson to humble the king himself : nor let it be forgotten that that lesson is recorded by himself in a form not the less authentic because it is preserved for us in the Bible, and not in a cuneiform inscription. ${ }^{8 a}$

[^246]It was when "he was at rest in his house, and flourishing in his palace" 83-amidst the empire he had won and the capital he had finished -that, as the whole narrative most clearly implies, the temptation gained upon him to give the glory of his greatness to himself. As at the beginning of his reign, the thought shaped itself into a dream, and the dream was made a warning revelation. It is needless to explain the image (used on more than one other occasion) of the stately tree which gave a home to all the birds of heaven, shelter to the beasts of the earth, and food to the inhabitants of the world ; or of its fate as expounded by Daniel. One year of grace was granted to him," to break off his sins by righteousness, and his iniquities by showing mercy to the poor, if it might be a lengthening of his tranquillity." ${ }^{\text {es }}$ But ths prosperity and magnificence around him were too captivating. "At the end of twelve months he walked in the palace of the kingdom of Babylon. The king spake and said, Is not this great Babylon, that I have built for the house of the kingdom, by the might of my power, and for the honour of my majesty ? ${ }^{\text {as }}$ While the word was in the king's mouth, there fell a voice from heaven, 0 King Nebuchadnezzar, to thee it is spoken; The kingdom is departed from thee: and they shall drive thee from men, and thy dwelling shall be with the beasta of the field: they shall make thee to eat grase as oxen, and neven times shall pass over thee, until thou know that the Most High ruleth in the kingdom of men, and giveth it to whomsoever He will. The eame hour was the thing fulfilled upon Nebuchadnezzar: and he was driven from men, and did eat grass as oxen, and bis body was wet with the dew of heaven, till bis bairs grew like eagle's feathera, and his nails like bird's claws." ${ }^{0}$

In fact, Nebuchadnezzar fell a victim to that mental aberration which has often proved the penalty of despotism, but in the strange and degrading form to which phyaicians have given the name of Lycanthropy;" in which the patient, fancying bimself a beast, rejects clothing and ordinary food, and even (as in this case) the sheiter of a roof, disuses articulate apeech, and sometimes persists in going on all fours. We may assume that Nebuchadnezzar was allowed the range of the private gardens of his palace, and that his

[^247]condition was concealed from his subjects; to whom, however, he himself formally proclaimed it on his recovery, to teach the lesson he had learnt, "that the heavens do rule," se and to "praise and extol and honour the King of heaven, all whose works are truth, and Kis ways judginent; and those that walk in pride $H e$ is able to abase." ${ }^{11}$

It seems, from an inscription, that the government was carried on by the father of the king's son-in-law, who was probably the RabArag or chief of the order of Chaldzeans ${ }^{\text {s0 }}$ Though of course only regent, he assumed the title of "King," like "Darius the Median" under Cyrus. We are not sure whether to infer undisturbed loyalty or disconcerted intrigues from the readiness with which Nebuchadneazar's "counsellors and his lords sought unto him; and he was established in his kingdom," when "his reason returned to him," apparently as suddenly as he had lost it, and, with it, "for the glory of his kingdom, his honour and brightness returned unto him, and excellent majesty was added unto him." ${ }^{\text {al }}$

How long this greater brightness of his closing days lasted, depends apon the meaning of the "seven times" appointed for his humiliation, which are commonly interpreted, with Josephus, seven years; though some understand but seven months. The former supposition would leave but two or three years before this great king-to use the simple language of Berosns-fell ill and departed this life, ${ }^{82}$ after a reign of just 43 years (b.c. 561).
§ 15. The real greatness of the Babylonian empire ended, as it had begun, with Nebuchadneazar. The apocryphal prophecy, which a Greek writer ascribes to the dying monarch, had been indicated in his dream of the colossal image, and was soon plainly revealed in Daniel's counterpart vision of the four beasts; ${ }^{\text {sen }}$ and the germs of its fulfilment were working within and without the empire. Within一the golden head of the colossus was borne up on feet of clay, and its fall was sure to be as sudden as its rise. It passessed no military strength like that with which the Assyrians had for so many centuries conquered and reconquered the warlike tribes around them. Its chief force consisted in the fiery cavalry of Irak-Araby and Lower

[^248]Chaldæa, well described by the prophet as "terrible and dreadful, swifter than leopards, and sharper than evening wolves "-a "bitter and hasty nation, to possess the dwelling-places that are not theirs " ${ }^{\boldsymbol{p}}$ -an admirabis instrument of rapid conquest, but not of lasting dominion. Without-the better-organised power of the Medes was not likely to remain content with the partition made between Cyaxares and Nabopolassar; and that power was at this very moment passing iuto the stronger hands of the kindred Persians. The revolt of Cyrus against Astyages, within three years of the death of Nebuchadnezzar, was the prelude to his conguest of Western Asia.
§16. Court intrigues and dynastic revolutions came to hasten on the end. Among the three successors of Nebuchadnezzar, not only is there none to compare with him in personal distinction, but their brief history of only 21 years is full of obscuritiea and difficulties. The following is the most probable account.

Of Evil-Merodaci, son of Nebuchadnezzar, but one act is recorded. Soon after his accession be released Jehoiachin, the captive king of Judah, from his 37 years' imprisonment, and gave him a daily allowance, and a place at his own table above all the other kings that were in captivity at Babylon.* After reigning, according to Berosus, lawlessly and profligately for two years (B.0. 561-559), ${ }^{\text {wh }}$ he fell the victim of a conspiracy headed by his brother-in-law, Neriglissar, the chief of the Chaldæan order. ${ }^{\text {º }}$
817. Nrbiglissar ${ }^{97}$ styles himself Rab-Mag, and son of "King Bel-sum-iskin," on the bricks of the "amaller palace" of Babylon, which he built on the western bank of the Euphrates, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Diodorus describes this as a splendid edifice, having ite walls covered with fine battle and hunting scenes, and adorned with numerous bronze statucs, which were believed to represent Belus and Ninus and Semiramis with their officers. ${ }^{\text {© }}$ He also placed atatuew of molid silver in the several storeys of the temple of Belus. After a reign of less than four years (b.o. 559-056), ${ }^{100}$ he died quietly in his palace,

[^249]according to the prevailing account, or, as others say, in a battle which

- he fought with Cyrus for the possession of Media.

His gon, Laborosoarchod, ${ }^{101}$ a mere boy, was in nine months put to death with tortures, on the plea that he gave signs of a vicious disposition, by a conspiracy of his near connections, ${ }^{102}$ probably the chiefs of the Chaldæan order, who conferred the crown on one of their own number. Thus ended the house of Nabopolassar; if, as we are expressly told, the new king was in no way related to his predecessor. ${ }^{109}$
§ 18. Nabonadids, ${ }^{104}$ the last king of Babylon (b.c. 555-538), and Nitocris (probably his queen) are celebrated by the Greek historians for the magnificence of works which really testify to the dangers that were now closing in upon the doomed kingdom. The chief of these was the construction or repair of the quays along the Euphrates within the city, with their walls and gates, the neglect of which by his rash son admitted the army of the Persians. The bricks of the retaining walls still bear his name. ${ }^{105}$ At some distance to the north of Babylon he made certain cuttings, reservoirs, and sluices, to oppose the march of an invader. A curious testimony to the hopeless condition of his kingdom is given by an inscription of his last year, discovered by Mr. Loftus at Calneh, in which he confesses his neglect of the worship of the gods, and undertakes the restoration of the temple of $\operatorname{Sin}$ (the Moon) to obtain their protection.
§ 19. At the beginning of his reign he relied on more sublunary means of resisting the progress of the Persian conqueror. Cyrus was now engaged in his attack on the Lydian empire-the old rival of Media-which had grown to its height under Croesus; and the latter sought to strengthen himself by alliances with the kings of $\nu$ Egypt and Babylon. ${ }^{108}$ After his defeat at Pteria, Croesus summoned his allies to his aid, but we are not informed whether any Babylonian

101 Under this strange Greek form M. Oppert sees the name of Bellabarisronk, which had been borne by the young king's grandfather.
 -Beros. Fr. 14.

108 IIpoońkoura oi oưdév,-Abyden. Fr. 9. Berosus calls him "a certain Baby-lonian."- Fr. 14.

104 "The real name is Nabu-nahid (i.e. Nebo, make prosperous) in Assyrian (Semitic), and Nabu-induk in Hamitic Babylonian. The former is the groundwork of Nabonnedus (Berosus), Nabonadius (Astr. Can.), and Labynetus (Herod.); the latter of Nabannidoohus (Abyden.), and Naboandelus, which should probably be Naboandaohus (Josephus)."-Rawlinson, vol. iii. p. 507, note. That he was of the Chaldsan order is shewn by the inscriptions in which he calls himself "son of Nabu-**-dirba (or Nabu-bala-tirib) the Rab-Mag." M. Oppert stands alone in distinguishing Nabu-nahid and Nabu-induk. Herodotus (i. 188) applies the name of Labynetus both to the last king of Babylon and to his father (whom he calls the son of Nitocris). But whether he regards the father as the Labynetus of Chap, 74 does not appear.

10 Berosus (Fr. 14) expressly says that he built this wall of baked brick and asphalt. Herodotme ascribes it to Nitocris. 108 Herod. i. 77.
contingent reached him before his decisive overthrow in front c Sardis. ${ }^{107}$

Even without this provocation, Cyrus would have taken th earliest convenient opportunity of assailing Babylon. In the six teenth year of Nabonadius (b.o. 539) he marched from Ecbatana and, having wintered on the banks of the Gyndes, crossed the Tigris and overran all the country as far as Babylon, where Nabonadiu had concentrated his defence. The whole Chaldæan army, whicl was posted in front of the city under the king in person, was router in a single battle, and Nabonadius threw himself into the fortress o Borsippa. The defence of Babylon was left to his son Belseazzas who is proved by the inscriptions of his father to have been asso ciated in the kingdom, ${ }^{108}$ and whose youth was aided by the mature: counsels of the queen-mother. ${ }^{100}$
820. For some time the defence was so well conducted as to drivi Cyrus almost to despair. ${ }^{10}$ As a last effort, he diverted the cours of the Euphrates above the city, either into the reservoir o Nitocris ${ }^{11}$ or by a canal returning to the river lower down. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{Hi}$ opportunity soon came with that festival ${ }^{15}$ and its attendant licence of which the vivid drams is so familiar to us in the Book of Daviel. ${ }^{\text {ni }}$ That night's revelry in the palace was imitated throughout the city. ${ }^{115}$ The Persians, marching along the dried bed of the Euphrates, entered the neglected river gates: had these been closed, they would have been caught, as Herodotus says, "in a trap." "is Then followed the scene of hurry, confusion, fire, and mansacre, which Jeremiah had foretold in one of those marvellous prophecies which only differ

[^250]from minute history by their vivid poetic colouring. ${ }^{17}$ Caught in the midst of dance and revelry, ${ }^{188}$ "the mighty men of Babylon forbore to fight: they became as women." ${ }^{19}$ In vain did "one post run to meet another, and one messenger to meet another, to show the king of Babylon that his city was taken at one end, and that the passages were stopped:" "her princes were made drunk, her wise men, her captains, her rulers, and her mighty men: they slept a perpetual sleep.". "The broad walls of Babylon were utterly broken, and her high gates were burnt with fire; the people laboured in vain and the folk in the fire." ${ }^{120}$ "In that night was Belshazzar the king of the Chaldeans slain " ${ }^{121}$ (b.c. 538).

Nabonadius, having no further power or motive of resistance, surrendered on the approach of Cyrus, who admitted him not, only to mercy but to his favour, and assigned him an abode in Carmania. ${ }^{122}$ Only'the outer wall of Babylon was dismantled; and the city, though fearfully injured by the storm, became the second capital of the Persian kings, and was destined by Alexander for his eastern seat of empire. The transference of its population to Seleucia, on the Tigris, by the Greek kings of Syria, began that long decay which has fulfilled the most awfully sublime picture of desolation that was ever drawn even by an inspired pen, ${ }^{128}$ and has left "Babylon-the glory of kingdoms, the beauty of the Chaldees' excellency-as when God overthrew Sodom and Gomorrah:"-a type of the doom reserved for every scheme of universal empire.

[^251]
## NOTES AND ILLUSTRATIONS.


#### Abstract

In his "Standard Inscription" Nebuchadnezzar says of the works executed at Babyion by his father:-"The double enclosure, which Nabopolassar my father had made but not completed, I finished. Nabopolassar made its ditch. With two long embankments of brick and mortar he bound its bed. He made the embankment of the Arakha. He lined the other side of the Euphrates with brick. He made a bridge over the Euphrates, but did not filish its buttresses. From * * * [some place] he made with bricks burnt as hard as stones, by the help of the great lord, Merodach, a way for the branch of the Shimat to the waters of the Yapur-


Shapu, the great reservoir of Babylon, opposite to the gate of Nin."

Then follows Nebuchadnezzar's acm count of the works added by himself to the city:-" The Ingur-Bel and the Nimiti-Bel-the great double wall of Babylon-I flnished. With two long embankments of brick and mortar, 1 bailt the side of its ditch. I joined it on with that which my father had made. I strengthened the city. Across the river to the weat I built the wa.l of Babylon with brick. The Yapur-Shapu-the reservoir of Babylon-by the grace of Merodach I. filled completely full of water. With bricks burnt as hard as stones, and with
bricke in huge massee like mountains, the Yapur-Shapu, from the gate of Mula as far as Nana, who is the protectress of her votaries, by the grase of hie godship (i.e. Merodach) I strengthened. With that which my father had made I foined it. I made the way of Nana, the protectrobs of her votaries. The great gates of the IngurBel and the Nimiti-Bel-the reservoir of Babylon at the time of the flood inundated them. These gatee I rained. Against the waters their foundationa with brick and mortar I buill. [Here followe a description of the gaten with various architectural details, and an account of the decorations, henginga, te.] For the delight of mankind, I flled the reservair. Behold! beaides the Ingur-Bel, the impregnable fortifcation of Babylon, I constructed inside Babylon, on the eantern side of the river, a fortification such as no king had ever made before me, namely, a long rampart, 4000 ammas aquare, as an extra defence. + I excavated the ditch : with brick and mortar I bound ils bed; a long rampart at its head I strongly bnilt. I adorned ite gates. The folding-doors and pillarm I plated with oopper Against presumptaou: enemies, who were hostile to the men of Babylon, great waters, like the water of the ocean, 1 made use of abundently. Their depthe were like the deptha of the vast ocean. I did not allow the waters to overflow, but the fulness of their foods I caused to fow on, restraining them with a brick embankment. Thus I completely made etrong the defences of Babylon. May it lest for ever !" Atter a dmilar account of works at Borsippe, he pro-ceeds:-"In Babylon-the oity which ia the delight of my eyea, and which I have glorified-when the waters were in thood, they inundated the foundan tions of the great palace called Tapratisici, or "the Wonder of Mankind;' (a palace) with many chambere and lofty towers ; the high place of Boyalty ; in the lapd of Babjion, and in the middle of Bebylon; otretching from the Ingur-Biel to the bed of the aheobil, the eastorn anash, and from the bank of the sippare Biver to the water of the Yaper-Shapw; which Nabopolasear my father built with brick and raised up; Whes the reservoir of Bebylon was
full, the gates of this palace were flooded. I raieed the mound of brick on which it was built, and made mooth Ite platform. 1 out off the tloods of the water, and the foundations (of the palace) I protectod against the water ,with brioks and mortar; and I finished It completely. Long beame I set up to support it: with pllare and beams plated with oopper and etrengthened with Iron I built up ite gates. Silver and gold, and precioum atone whose name: were almost unknown, so., $I$ storsd up inside, and placed there the treanure house of my kingdom. . . . . In all my dominions I did not build a high place of power; the precious treasures of my kingdom I did not lay up. In Babylon, buildings for myself and the honour of my kingdom I did not lay out. In the worship of Merodach my lord, the joy of my heart, in Babylon, the olty of ble covereignty and the seat of my emplre, I did not sing his praises (i), and 1 did ant furnith his eltare (with victime), nor did I ciear out the aanals. . . ."
"As a further defenoe in war, at the Ingur-Bist, the impregnable outer wall, the rampart of the Babylonianswith two atrong lines of brick and mortar I made a strong fort, 400 ammas equare. Inside the Nimiti-Bel, the fnner dufonce of the Babylonians, I constractel masonry of brick within thers (the linen). In a happy month and on an auspitious day I lald the foundations in the earth. . . . I oompletely finished its top. In fifteen daya I completed it, and made it the high place of my kingdotn. (Here followe a description of the ornamentation of the palace.] A strong fort of brick and mortar in strength I conatructed. Inslde the brick fortification I made another great fortification of long atones, of the alze of great monntaln. Lhe Shedim I raloed up its heed. And this building I ratsed for a wonder; for the defence of the people 1 cone etrueted it" ${ }^{\prime \prime} \uparrow$
 In thate quoted, the act enome to bave the forte

 mot, foce. ${ }^{7}$
( Dewlinwon, "Fre vomerehieg" Tol tis, Ap pendily A Fot an socoant of the topry Frepis




## OHAPTER XVI.

## the art and civilization of babylonia AND ASSYRIA.

1. Present state of our knowledge. What remains to be done Results gained thas far. 62 . Architecture. Its various remains. \$3. Building materials, General use of brick. Partial use of stone. 8 . The Babylonian temple-towers. Their astronomical character. (5. Description of the temple at Borsippa (the Birs Nimrud). Colours and arrangement of its seven stages. Compared with the Egyptian pyramids. 86. Simpler ancient forms. The Babil at Babylon. The Chaldman towers of two or three storeys. Temple of the Moon at Mugheir. © 7. Internal decorations. of. Remains of domestic architecture. Modes of decoration. 19. The Tombe of Lower Babylonla Their vast numbers. Three modes of burial Arched vaulta. Dishcover shaped tombs. Double bell-jars. Drainage of the sepaichral mounds. 10. Objects found in the tombs. Use of metals. Bas-reliefs and seal-cylinders. Seal of King Urukh. 0 11. Later Babylonian sculpture. Ita rude and statlonary character. \$12. Later Babylonian architecture, painting, and decoration. © 13. Assyrian archi-tecture-chfefly palatial. Probable derivation of the art from Babylonia. § 14. The use of earthern platforms and embankments. Double platform at Khorsabad. Platform of Nimrud. 15 . Continued use of brick and walla of rammed earth. Cases in which the Assyrians used atone. General arrangement of the palaces, 16. Asayrian siggurats and templea. Type different from the Babylonian. Their internal and external decorations. Resemblance to Greek forme-"Ionic" capital. Other capitale and bases. Wooden columns, 917. Forts, cities, and villages. \$18. Use of the arch \$19. Assyrian sculpture. Inferiorty of single atatues, Characteristica of the bas-reliefs. Their three epochs. \$20. Painting and other arts,
§ 1. The foregoing chapters give an outline of the present state of our knowledge of the history of Assyria and Babylonia. That much still remains to be discovered is a truth most evident to those who have already discovered most. It is less than half a century since all
the bricks and fragments gathered by Mr. Rich at Hillah (Babylon), Nimrud, and the mounds opposite Mosul, were exhibited in a case scarcely three feet square; and imaginary restorations of the temple of Belus, after the description of Herodotus, did duty in our picture Biblea for the Tower of Babel. It is not likely that another balf century will throw our present knowledge into the shade in any similar degree; but a vast work remains in adding to it and setting its results in a clearer light. Mr. Layard himself observes that "those extensive and systematic excavations which are absolutely necessary before we can determine the exact period and nature of the numerous ruins existing in Assyria, and before we can deal with confidence with the materials at our disposal, have yet to be carried on. . . . The vast mounds of earth which cover the Assyrian ruins will bave to be explored to their very foundations, and tunnels or trenches carried through them in every direction; for it is impossible to conjecture what may yet remain beneath the edifices hitherto explored at Nimrud, Koyunjik, and elsewhere. . . . Until this is done, it cannot be said that we have obtained the materials which are necessary to enable us to restore the history and to illustrate the arts and manners of the ancient Assyrians." Meanwhile, however, "although our knowledge is far from complete, yet the sculptures and inscriptions have enabled us to put together a purt of the skeleton of Assyrian history, and to illustrate to a certain extent the manners, arts, sciences, and literature of the Assyrian people. . . . The discoveries in Assyria and Babylonia have enabled us to reach one of the remotest sources of that mighty stream of human progress which has been developed, through Greece and Rume, into our present civilization." ${ }^{1}$
8 2. The works of Building, whose ruins have yielded all the other discoveries, claim notice first. Theg consist of temples, palaces, and tombs, with some very scanty remains of private houses; and a distinction is to be observed between the buildings which belong to different ages and different parts of the auntry. The temple-towers -which seem to be a primitive type of Cushite architecture-are characteristic of Babylonia. The most ancient examples are found in the mounds of the great plain of Chaldæa and Susians, especially at Warka, Mugheir, Senkereh, and Abu-Shahrein. The latest are at Babylon, the mounds of which contain no monuments which are certainly older than the time of Nebuchadnezzar; but, as we have seen from bis own records, his temple-towers were restorations or imitations of much more ancient buildings. The palaces are the characteristic buildinge of the mounds at and about Nineveh; but it atill remains to be seen what older types are hidden among the ruina

- Layard, ' Niscovet and Bolylon'' Introd to the alridged edition of 1867.
of the primeval city. . The same remark applies to the sepulchral buildings; for, in most striking contrast with the vast cemeteries of the Egyptian cities, not a single old Assyrian tomb has been discovered; while, in Chaldæa, on the other hand, the oldest cities are begirt with a broad belt of tombs-a suburb of the dead.
§ 3. The material common to nearly all the edifices, not only in the alluvial plain, but in Assyria-where it was not a case of neces-sity-is brick, in its two forms, sun-dried and hard-burnt. The bricks differed greatly from ours both in size and shape, and they had also more variety among themselves. They approached more nearly to the square and thin Roman pattern, though they were

smaller and thicker. The oldest baked bricks of Chaldæa are about 114 inches square and 24 inches thick; the later Babylonian are about 13 inches square and 3 inches thick; so that we might roughly describe them all as about a foot square and from 2 to 3 inches thick. In the sun-dried bricks greater difference was allowed: their size varies from 16 to 6 inches square, and from 7 to 2 inches in thickness. The baked bricks differ much in colour and quality. "The best quality of baked brick is of a yellowish tinge, and very much resembles our Stourbridge or fire-brick; another kind, extremely hard, but brittle, is of a blackish blue; a third, the coarsest of all, is slack-dried, and of a pale red. The earliest baked bricks are of this last colour." ${ }^{2}$ Besides the regular shapes, some were triangular, for the corners of walls; others wedge-shaped, for the construction of the arch, the use of which in Assyria we have presently to describe.

[^252]The sun-dried bricks are rarely used alone; as they are !n the Bowariyeh ruin at Warka (probably the ancient Erech). They generally form the interior mass, protected from the weather by a casing of burnt bricks, which is often as much as ten feet thick. In both cases the crude brick wall was strengthened by the reede with which the

manshes of Babylonia abounded-not in mere atripa, like our bonds of timber or hoop-iron-but in the form of thick layers of reed-
matting, steeped in bitumen, which are laid in along the whole building at every four or five feet of its height, and project beyond the surface of the wall. Thus the reeds served not only as a bond, but a protection from the weather, and they present a curious appearance. "They stripe the whole building with continuous horizontal lines, having at a distance somewhat the effect of the courses of dark marble in an Italian structure of the Byzantine period." ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Hence it is that the chief mound at Warka derives its name of Bowariyeh

(i. e. reed-mats). Reeds are never found in walls of burnt brick. Another method of obtaining strength was to use the crude and burnt bricks in alternate layers, each of several feet in thickness. The cement employed was either mud (or clay), sometimes mixed with chopped straw, or the bitumen which is a characteristic production of Babylonia-the crude bricks being laid in the former and the burnt bricks in the latter. In the earliest buildings the walls, especially when of crude brick, were strengthened by massive buttresses of burnt brick.

In a few cases, use has been made of the limestone and sandstone obtained from the hills on the margin of the Arabian desert. Thus at Abu-Shahrein-the most southern considerable mound on the Euphrates, and the nearest to the Arabian hills-the platform of the temple, which is of beaten clay, is cased with a stone wall, in some places 20 feet thick; and the stairs leading up to the first starey are made of blocks of polished marble, fastened by copper-bolts above the steps of sun-dried bricks. This edifice also shows the peculiarity of a pair of columns, flanking the foot of the staircase, and of curious construc-

[^253]tion. "A circular nucleus, composed of saudstone slabs and smar cylincrical pieces of marble, disposed in alternate layers, was cuated externally with coarse lime, mixed with stones and pebbles." In Assyria, where there was no such absence of stone as in the alluvial plain of Chaldæa, bricks-generslly sun-dried-were still preferred for the body of the walls, which were faced externally with blocks of stone and architectural decorations in the same material, and internally with the sculptured slabs of alabaster and gynsum, so frequently mentioned already, and with patterns in enamelled brick, plates of metal, and panels of choice woods; while in other parts the bare walls were covered with costly hangings.
§ 4. The oldest type of building is the temple-tower, or ziggurat, which the Tower of Bubel has made familiar to us in name. Numerous examples have been discovered in the mounds which, in fact, owe their peculiar appearance to the form of the edifice. It was a tower built up of storeys on a massive substructure or platform; and as the upper storeys have fallen about the lower, the latter have been preserved as the core of the conical heaps. The mounds of Mugheir, Senkereh, and Niffer, are about 70 foct high, and the Bowariyeh mound at Warka reaches 100 feet; the great mound of Babil, at Babylon, is 130 or 140 foet high; and the famous Birs-i-Nimrud, the latest and probably the most perfect example of these buildings, rises 153\} feet above the plain, having lost (as is supposed) only three feet of its original height.

The account of the last-named edifice by its builder, Nebuchadnezzar, leaves no doubt that its stages were in some way connected with the several planets; ${ }^{4}$ and we know that the temples of the Chaldean cities were sacred to the deitics who impersonated the heavienly bodies. Add to these facts the exact "orientation" of the buildings, and the astronomical fame of the Chaldxan priests ; and there can remsin fittle doubt that all theme buildings were used as observatories as well as temples. Elevated on their stages above the mists of the plain below, the priest tracked through the cloudless sky the mysterious movements of the heavenly borlics which he served:

> Theit wandering conma, now high, now low, then hid, Progreasive, retrograde, of standing stilk"
85. In the completest form-" the Temple of the Seven Lights of Heaven" at Borsippa (the Bire-i-Nimrud)-there was one stage for each of the chief heavenly bodics, arranged in the order of the so-called "Ptolemaic system," and distinguishod by the appropriate colour of its facing of enamelled bricks or metal plates.' The

[^254]highest storey (silver ?) was that of the Moon, as at once the nearest to the earth, and one of the chief objects of old Chaldaan worship: then, counting downwards, came Mercury (blue); Venus (yellow), the Sun (gold !), Mars (red), Jupiter (orange), and Saturn (black). The whole was raised a few feet above the plain on a platform of crude brick, and was surmounted by the shrine or chapel of the god, which was richly ornamented within and without.

The proportions of the building are very curious. Each stare is an exact square, with the angles (not the faces) to the cardinal points; and each is less than the one below; thus forming an ascent of seven huge steps from the platform to the shrine: but, whereas the first three of these steps rose 26 feet each, the last four rose 15 feet each; and this seems also to have been the height of the chapel. Each stage wess smaller than the one below by the same absolute quantity, namely 42 feet, of the side, thas diminishing from a square of 272 feet, at the base, to one of 20 feet at the summit; but the stages were not placed centrically upon each other. On the N.W. and S.E. sides, the recess of the steps was equal; but on the N.E., which may be considered as the front, each stage receded 30 feet, leaving only 12 feet at the back or the S.W. sideThus the axis of the building-that is, the line joining the centres of the stages-was inclined to the horizon; and if we imagine the building enclosed by lines joining the corresponding corners of the steps, the figure so formed would be an oblique pyramid.

This last observation is not a mere matter of curiosity; it points to an interesting relation bebween the Babylonian temple-towers and the Egyptian pyramids. As the former might be completed to pyramids by filling up their steps to a sloping surface between their edges; so the latter, by a converse process, might be converted into a graduated tower, or ziggurat; and this-certainly in some cases, and probably in all-was the actual form of the pyramid at a certain stage of its construction-a form at which it has stopped in one remarkable case, the "pyramid of degrees" at Sakhara. ${ }^{8}$ But, though the analogy between these two primetal forms is thus shown to be more than a geometrical fancy, the two marked distinctions remain-that the Egyptian pyramid is always right (its axis is perpendicular), and its faces (not its angles) front the cardinal points.
§ 6. The form now described is the most finished type of the cdifice: the earlier examples are much simpler. In the mound

[^255]of Babil, within the ruins of Babyion-with its almost perpendicular sides and fiat top, upon a base forming an irregular square of about 200 feet-some antiquarians see an example of a single gigantic basement, on which they suppose the chapel to have been placed, without intervening atages. But if-as seems from its position-this was the temple of Belus, which Herodotus describes as (like the Birs-i-Nimrud at Borsippa) an edifice of eight stages, ${ }^{10}$ its present form must be accounted for by the spoliation of ages preceding its final ruin.

It is in the mounds of the Chaldæan plain that we find the oldest existing types, with two or at the most three storeys; the lowest being of crude, and the upper of baked bricks; and, in the chicf of these, the style of construction confirms what the names on the inscribed bricks prove, that the present superstructure has been added or repaired at a much later age. We have seen the Babylonian kings boasting their piety as restorers of temples; and we have found the last king of Babylon expressly stating that he renovated the very edifice which is still the most perfect, and is supposed to be the oldest, example of the ancient temples, that of the Moon at Mugheir.

This building is raised on a platform about 20 foet above the plain, and consists at present of two storeys: the Arabs told the explorer, Mr. Taylor, that remains existed half a century ago of a third storey, in the form of a chamber, which appears to have been the shrine of the god. ${ }^{11}$ A number of bricks or tiles glazed with a blue enamel, and many of the large copper nails that fastened them to the walls, were found about the ruins at such a distance that they might very well have fallen from the chapel on the summit. The plan of the building is not a square, but a rectangle of 198 feet by 133 feet, the longer side (or front) facing the S.E.; and the upper storey, a rectangle of 119 feet by 75 feet, is so placed upon the lower that its S.E. face recedes 47 feet, and the opposite (N.W.) face only 30 feet; the recess of the two other sides being about equal, namely 28 feet.

The lower storey is a mass of small crude bricks, faced with a wall of burnt bricks 10 feet thick, againat which are built a number of shallow buttresses, about 8 feet wide and 1 foot in projection, 9 on the longer faces and 6 on the ahorter, counting in those at the angles. The effect is curiously like a medieval keep or donjon. Both walls and buttresses have an inward slope of about 9 degrees, giving the ame atable pyramidal appearance which

[^256]characterizes Egyptian architecture. On the north-eastern sidf, there is an external staircase, 9 feet wide, with sides or balustrades 3 feet wide; but it is conjectured that the grand staircase was on the S.E. face, and equal in width to the whole of the upper storey. ${ }^{12}$ The brickwork of this storey is laid entirely in bitumen; ${ }^{\text {ia }}$ and the whole mass is ventilated by a number of narrow air-holes, pierced from side to side, through walls and buttresses. The upper storey is similarly constructed, except that the bricks of the inner mass are partly burnt, of a light red colour, and laid in a cement of lime and ashes, and the burnt bricks of the facing are laid in excellent lime-mortar, except on the N.W. face, where bitumen is used. This storey had no buttresses. The height of the lower storey, at present only 27 feet, is calculated to have been 40 feet ; the upper storey evidently much exceeded its present height of 19 feet. The probable appearance of the building is shown in the cut.

§ 7. Neither this nor any of the simailar remains exhibit any appearance of external ornament, beyond the variety of surface given by the buttresses. Like the Egyptian pyramids, these edifices depended for their effect upon the mass seen far and wide over the level plain; and, unlike them, with a striking quaintness from being built in stages. The signs of internal ornament, already noticed at Mugheir, are still more conspicuous at AbuShahrein, where "the ground about the basement of the second storey was covered with small pieces of agate, alabaster, and marble, finely cut and polished, from half an inch to two inches long, and half an inch (or somewhat less), in breadth, each with a hole drilled through its back, containing often a fraginent of a copper bolt. It was also strewn less thickly with small plates of pure gold, and with a number of gold-headed or gilt-headed nails, used apparently to attach the gold plates to the internal plaster or woodwurk. These fragmerits seem to attest the high ormamentation of the shrine in this instance, which we have no reason to regard as singular or in gny way exceptional."1e

[^257]§ 8. The plain of Chaldxa has furnished one or two remains of domestic architecture, which may or may not belong to the most ancient period. These also are built of sun-dried brick, and are raised on a platform of the same material, paved with burnt brick. The chambers have the same long and narrow proportions which we see on a much larger scale in the Assyrian palaces, probably for the better support of a flat roof of the wood of the date-palm; for much charred wood was found among the ruins. There are two arched door-ways-the arch being a real one, constructed of wedgeshaped bricks made for the purpose. The external walls are in part flat, covered with a diapered pattern of coloured bricks, in part musulded into half-columns, ornamented with a variety of scaly, zigzag, and wavy patterns, apparently in imitation of the trunk of the date-palm, and suggesting an original form of building, in which the walls were made of such trunks set up side by side. Internally the chipmbers are lined with smooth plaster, painted with coloured bands, and, in one case, with a rude picture of a man holding a liird on his wrist, with a smaller figure near him, in red paint. The inlaid patterns on the walls were often made by a curious and ingenious process. Coloured cones of terra-cotta were embedded in the plaster, so as to show either their bases, or their points, or a portion of their sides, arranged in a great variety of combinations.
§9. Among the most curious remains found in the lower plain are the Tombs, which encircle the old cities in such numbers as-combined with the non-discovery of tombs in Upper Babylonia and Assyria-to suggest the theory that both the Babylonians and the Assyrians may have made the sacred land of Chaldase the general depository of their dead.1" "At Warka, for instance, excepting the triangular space between the three principal ruins, the whole remainder of the platform, the whole space within the walls, and an unknown extent of desert beyond them, are every where filled with human bones and sepulchres. In places coffins are piled upon cuffins, certainly to the depth of 30 , probably to the depth of 60 feet; and for miles on each side of the ruins the traveller walks upon a soil teeming with the relics of ancient and now probably extinct races." ${ }^{16}$ In some cases the remains of very different times are evidently mingled; in others there are thought to be eigns restricting them to particular limits of time. ${ }^{17}$

The tombs which seem to be the most ancient are of three kinds. The first are vaults, about 7 feet long, 3 feet 7 inches broad, and 5 feet high; the pavement, walls, and roof being of sun-dried bricks, laid in mud. The walls slope slightly outwards, as far an the spring

- Ikswlinson, vol in p. 107; Loftus, p. 190. Of octurs this is, at prevent only e

of the roof, which is a fulse arch, formed by layers of bricks, each projecting inwards over the next below, and closed at the top by a single brick. A similar construction is seen in the Scythian tombs; ${ }^{18}$ and, on a larger scale, in Egyptian architecture. These vaults appear to have been family sepulchres, the number of skeletons contained in them being often three or four, and in one case as many as eleven.

The second form resembles a huge dish-cover-or, to use a likeness rather inconcruous to a subject so grave, the crust of a raised pie-in one piece of terra-cotta, covering the body, which lies on a platform of sun-dried brick. No more than two skeletons-and, when two, always male and female - ure found beneath these covers: children were buried separately under smaller covers. In both these forms of burial the skeleton is laid upon a reed-mat, generally upon its left side, with the right arm across the body, its fingers resting on the edge of a copper bowl, which lies on the palm of the left hand. The head is pillowed on a sun-dried brick, on which may sometimes be seen the remains of a tasselled cushion of tapestry-work. Besides the copper bowl, the tombs contain a variety of articles, among which are always vessels for the food and drink, which the deceased was supposed to need upon his long journey.

In the third form of burial a single corpse was laid in an earthenware coffin, formed by two bell-jars placed mouth to mouth, and sealed at the joint with bitumen, an opening being left at one end for the escape of the gases resulting from decomposition. Another precaution, which shows the care bestowed on the remains, was an elaborate system of drainage by earthenware pipes, from top to bottom of the mounds in which the coffins were deposited. ${ }^{10}$

Another form of coffin-found in large numbers by Mr. Loftus at Warka ${ }^{20}$-is a single piece of earthenware, coated with a blue vitreous glaze, nearly in the shape of our coffins, only largest at the head, where the body was inserted through a hole in the upper surface. ${ }^{21}$
§ 10. The objects of utility found in these tombs, and elsewhere among the ruins, are vessels and lamps of pottery-a manufacture in which the construction of the tombs themselves shews considerable skill; knives, hatchets, arrow-heads, and other implements both of flint and bronze-the former seeming to bear witness of a time when the latter was still scarce; and chains, nails, fish-hooks, \&c., of the same metal; and some leaden pipes and jars-but this metal is rare. Iron appears only in articles of ornament, such as coarse armlets, bracelets, and finger-rings; and similar articles are found in bronze.

[^258]ANC. HIDTR

The gulden ear-rings are of doubtful age, and silver is "conepicuous by its absence."

The fine arts are represented by a few rude bas-reliefs on clay tablets, and more particularly by the curious cylinders which were used as seals. "It is clearly established that the cylinders in queation, which are generally of serpentine, meteoric stone, jasper, chalcodony, or other similar substance, were the seals or signets of their possessors, who impressed them upon the moist clay which formed the ordinary material for writing. They are round or nearly sosometimes slightly concave, as in the figure-and measure from half an inch to three inches in length; ordinarily they are about onethird of their length in diameter. A hole is bored through the stone from end to end, so that it could be worn upon a string ; and cylinders are found in some of the earliest tombs which have been worn round the wrist in this way. In early times they may have been impressed


Seal-Cylinder on metal axis. by the hand, but afterwards it was common to place them upon a bronze or copper axis attached to a handie, by neans of which they were rolled across the clay from one end to the other. ${ }^{99}$ The cylinders are most frequently unengraved, and this is most commonly their condition in the primitive tombs; but there is some very curious evidence, from which it appears that the art of engraving them was really known and practised (though doubtless in rare instances) at a very early date. The signet-cylinder of the monarch who foundod the most ancient of the buildings at Mugheir, Warka, Senkereh, and Niffer, and who thus stands at the head of the monumental kings, was in the possession of Sir R. Porter; and, though it is now lost, an engraving made from it is preserved in his 'Travels.' From this representation it would appear that the art had already made considerable progress. The letters of the inscription, which gives the name of the king and his titlea, are somewhat rudely formed, as they are on the stamped bricks of the period; but the figures appear to have been as well cut, and as flowingly traced, as those of a much later date." ${ }^{23}$ The British Museum has e fragment of a statue in black basalt, which is thought to represent the same king.

511 . It is a fact strangely in contrast with the progress made in.

[^259]Assyria, that in Babylonia the plastic art scarcely shews any advance from the remote antiquity of Urukh to the time of Nebuchadnezzar. The cylinders and other engraved stones, and the enamelled bricks which represent religious subjects, shew the same lank proportions of the human figure, the same clumsy attitudes and stiffness of composition, the same want of life and freedom, in the latest as in the earliest age. M. Etienne Quatremère has ventured to apply the canon of proportion to Daniel's description of Nebuchadnezzar's golden image, and has found the same fault as in the above worksthe height is ten times the breadth. But we may take the sole existing specimen of Babylonian sculpture which has come down to us-the celebrated group in black basalt of a lion devouring a man, on the summit of the mound of Kasr, the ancient palace of Babylonas a decisive proof of the rudeness of plastic art. The striking difference between the proportions of the human figure in the Assyrian and Babylonian sculptures-the former, at least in the hieratic examples, being thick and short, while the latter are elongated and slender-appears to shew, not only the independence of the two styles, but that they took different races for their modeis.
§ 12. The architecture of later Babylon seems to have been, for the most part, a mere development of the most ancient forms, with more ornamental details. Such was ceriainly the case with the temple-towers; and the famous nanging gardens-which Nebuchadnezzar is said to have created in onder to gratify the longing of his Median queen for the park-scenery of her native uplands-may have been an immense ziggurat, with planted terraces.
The palace architecture of Babylon appears to have been of the same type as, and probably borrowed from, that of Assyria We possess an inscription in which Nebuchadnezzar describes several of his edifices. "Minute details are given of the various ornaments used in some of the temples and palaces, and these decorations appear to have been very rich. If the tablets could be completely deciphered, and the meaning of many doubtful words accurately ascertained, much information would be obtained relating to Babylonian architecture. The walls were built of burnt bricks and bitumen, lined with gypsum and other materials. Some seem to have been wainscoted. Over these walls was woodwork, and on the top an awning sustained by poles, like 'the white, green, and blue hangings, fastened with cords of fine linen and purple to silver rings and pillars of marble' in Ahasuerus's palace at Shushan. ${ }^{4}$ Some of the woodwork is said to have been gilt, other parts silvered : and a large portion of it was brought from Lebanon." ${ }^{25}$ One particular, recorded by Strabo, seems to point to a feature by which Babyloniau

[^260]z 2
architecture bore witness of its origin. He says that the Dabylonians, being unable to procure other wood, made their beams and columns of the trunks of palm-trees, binding them together with twisted reeds, and then painting the whole with colours. ${ }^{98}$

The chief distinctive feature of Babylonian architecture was the profuse employment of coloured decorations. Ctesian describes the palace of Semiramis (in reality, of Nebuchadnezzar), at Babylon, as having its walls adorned with scenes of war and hunting, such as we possess from the Assyrian palaces. Berosus gives some details of the subjects of religion and cosmogony painted on the walls of the temple of Bel. These decorations are referred to in two striking passages of Ezekiel. In the one, the prophet, in vision, enters the temple of Jerusalem, as modern explorers have made their way into the Assyrian edifices-" when I had digged in the. wall, behold a door"-and sees the "chambers of imagery" desecrated with scenes borrowed from Babylon;-"So I went in and saw; and behold, every furm of creeping things and abominable bcasts; and all the idols of the house of Israel, pourtraya. upon the wall round about." ${ }^{77}$ In the other, Aholibah-the perscich cation of Jerusalem-is said to have been enticed "when she lay men pourtrayed upon the walls, the images of the Chaldeans pote. trayed with vermilion, girded with girdles upon their loins, $\mathbf{e x}_{x}$ ceeding in dyed attire upon their heads, all of them princes to locks to, after the manter of the Babylonians of Chaldora, the land uf their nativity." ${ }^{20}$ Similar paintings, executed in enamelled brick. work, covered the outer walls of the buildings, together with cuneis form inscriptions in large painted characters, which seem never to have been used by the Assyrians.

The ruins of eome Babylonian edificen-especially of the palace in the mound of Kasr-furnish abundant specimens of a curious sort of coloured bas-reliefs in enamelled brick, quite different from anything Assyrian. The process appears to have been something of this kind. The subject was modelled on a shect of clay of aufficient size, which was then cut up into bricks, star,sped with guidemarks. These bricks were coated with the desired colours, which were vitrified by firing; and the aculpture was then put in its, place according to the guide-marks. The colours chiefly used are a brilliant blue, red, a deep yellow, white, and black. $\mathbf{A}$ frag-1 ment of a limestone frieze, with two figures of deitics, was found in the same ruins. ${ }^{30}$
\$13. If the general truth, that architecture springs from religion, was at first applicable to Assyria, the art had passed beyond that

[^261]early stage, and had become the handmaid of royal pomp, at the time to which the earliest edifices belong. It may, however, be from the accidents of modern discovery, rather than from the ancient practice of the nation, that the few temples yet found - seem to be only appendages to the royal palaces. What we have had occasion to say of those palaces and their sculptures, as illustrating the history of their builders, leaves only the necessity for a brief review of their general structure and arrangements.

Nor need we discuss in full the question already touched upon, whether Assyria owed her art to Babylon, or-as some have contended. -the contrary. The most probable opinion is that, while the art of building great edifices was brought from the plain of Shinar to the banks of the Tigris, the Assyrian kings gave it a new development, and that the sculpture which decorated their palaces was of native growth.
§ 14. The first conspicuous feature of Assyrian building-derived from the Babylonian plain, and carried out on a greater scale-was the elevation, not only of their temples and palaces, but of the chief parts of their cities, on artificial mounds of earth. This explains the Greek accounts of the enormous thickness of the walls of Nineveh. We learn from an inscription of Sennacherib, that the city walls had a circuit of between thirty and forty miles, faced throughout with brick, but backed up on the inner side by a great embankment of earth. Hence it happened that, when the outer facing of bricks gave way, the ${ }_{5}^{-1}$ led-up earth poured over its ruins, and was confounded with the soil.

In some of the separate mounds formed by the ruins of the palaces, we still find the containing wall, which is either of brick, ur-in the best examples, as at Khorsabad-of massive stone masonry, rising from the surface of the ground to a height somewhat above the level of the platform, to which it formed a plain or battlemented parapet. The platform was paved, either with very large kiln-dried bricks or with slabs of stone, which were sometimes covered with inscriptions, and sometimes ornamented with elegant patterns. The platform always abutted, on one side, upon the city wall-at Nineveh overhanging the river-thus gaining fresh air and a view over the surrounding country; and the stairs which gave access to it were on the inner side, towards the city.

Sometimes one platform rose above another, as at Khorsabad, where the lower terrace forms a long rectangle placed like the head of a Tacross the foot of the upper terrace, which is square. This edifice is remarkable for its unity; having been built by a single king, Sargon, in a moderate time. In most other cases, the additions made by successive kings, who built palace after palace on the same platform, gave the monnd a very irregular shape. The
mound of Nimrud furnishes, as we have seen, the most fully explored case of several palaces on the same platform.
§ 15. If this use of platforms was borrowed $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rom }}$ Babylonis (where it was a necessity), a still more striking instaince of adherence to tradition is furnished by the continued ent ployment of crude brick in a country which abounded in excellent building stone, and where we see the transport of huge blocks of at one on rafts of inflated skins represented on the monuments. The Assyrians did in fact substitute this material in many places whers the Babylonians used burnt brick, "as in the facings of platforms and of temples, in dams across streams, in pavements sometimes, an ad universally in the ornamentation of the lower portions of palace and temple walls." si But all inner masses were either formed of sun-dried brick, or, as a convenient substitute, the walls were made of earth rammed into a wooden mould, and then allowed to dry. This construction was adopted even for the roofs; though whether in the form of an arch, or of a flat roof of wood covered with rammed earth, is a point still in dispute. ${ }^{83}$ These thick earthen walls and ceilings must have secured a grateful coolness.

Of the general arrangements of courts, halls, calleries, and chambers, and the decoration of the interior with bas-reliefs, enamelled tiles, and other ornaments, we have already said as much as our space allows. The reader can pursue the subject in the full description, given by the leading authorities, of the palace of Sargon at Khorsabad; the only one which has been so systematically explored as to make its plan completely intelligible. ${ }^{\text {an }}$
§ 16. The Assyrian temples hitherto discovered are remarkable for their difference from the Babylonian type. The aiggurat appears, indeed, at Kileh-Sherghat, at Khorsabad, and at Nimrud, where it forms a conspicuous object on the palace platform; ${ }^{\text {at }}$ but so little is it the entire temple, that some writers regard it as a

[^262]mere appendage to the palace, kept up for the astrological ohservations to which the Assyrian kings attached supreme importance. ${ }^{35}$ But this use of the ziggurats would be quasi-religious; and perhaps we may be allowed the homely illustration, that they bore to the Assyrian temples somewhat of the relation of a steeple to a church.

The true Assyrian temple, at all events, had a plan more like the Egyptian and the Jewish. A long quadrangular chamber formed the sacred cell, with a niche at the upper end for the statue of the god. Sometimes there was a smaller ante-chamber (a pronaos or vestibule), sometimes not. In the former case, the entrance to the sacred cell was at the lower end, as in the Egyptian and Jewish temples; in the latter case, the entrance was at the side, so that the sacred image was not exposed to a passer-by when the door was open. The cell was surrounded by small chambers for the use of the priests. The inner walls were covered with bas-reliefs of religious subjects; and the pavement was either enriched with patterns or covered with inscriptions : for example, as above stated, the great inscription of Asshur-nasir-pal was found on a single slab which paved the doorway of one of the small temples at Nimrud. The doorway was flanked by colossal figures, generally of man-bulls;-a compound which some regard as the emb'?m of Ninip or Bel-Merodach; others as a more general symbol of the divine power, like the Egyptian sphinx, representing the union of material force and intelligence by the combination of the human. head upon the body of the most vigorous of animals. ${ }^{36}$

The outer walls of the temple were covered with enamelled bricks; and this is all we know from their remains. But further information of the greatest interest is afforded from representations on the bas-reliefs of buildings which the attendant objects prove almost certainly to be temples. A description of these would be of little use without the pictures, which may be seen in the works of Layard and Rawlinson; but the one great point of interest is thisthey show a columnar façade not unlike the oldest examples of the architecture of Greece and Western Asia: in fact, in one case, we have the distinct type of the Tonic capital. ${ }^{57}$ There are other capitals and bases of very varied forms: among them are figures of lions and griffins, forming bases (as in the Gothic of Northern Italy); and figures of the ibex, not' as capitals, but as finials to columns or pilasters prolonged above the roof. Of the former use of animal figures-literally as supporters-M. Place found a very curious

[^263]example in the city gate of K horsabad, the arch of which springe from the back of the man-bulls, which usually only Hank tine entranco.

That the use of columns was not confined to temples, but that they were also employed in colonnodes round the palace courts and elsewhere, is clear from the inscriptions. The surprising absence of any columns from the ruins is explained, on the same authority, by the fact that they were usually of wood - snother tradition derived from Babylonia. Mr. Layard found at Koyunjik some curious globular stone bases-exactly like those of a temple figured at the same place-which, when complete, had formed a double line from the edge of the platform to an entrance of the palace, probably supporting the wooden pillars of a corridor. Besides the columns, with their bases and capitals, the temples figured on the reliefs show an entablature; which-in the more archaic pattern, from Khorsabad-projects as a simple massive cornice; while-in the more elaborate work of Asshur-bani-pal, at Koyunjik, we have architrave, frieze, and cornice: in both cases, the aky line is H댄 finished with a row of tiles or bricks in the form of gradines, the favourite form of Assyrian terminal, which is seen also in the obelisks.
517. Besides the palaces and temples, the sculptures show the walls of forts and cities, with all the appliances of turrets and loopholes, parapets and battlements, singularly like a medieval castle. These are generally the fortifications of enemies, but in some cases of the Assyrians themselves; and the system of fortification seems to have been common to the peoples of Western Asia. We have had occasion to allude to the vivid acenes of the attack and defence of these walls by all the methods afterwards known to the Romana, the agger, testudo, and moveable tower, the battering ram and terebra, the catapult or balista, the wicker shield covering the archer who clears the walls or the pioncer who works at their foundation with his pick-axe; and the lines of circumvallation with their towers-all illustrating the wonds of the prophet:-
"I will camp against thee round about, and will lay siege against thee with a mount, and I will raise forta against thee."

Of domestic buiddings we have a single and very curious example in one of the sculptures, which seems to represent an unfortified Assyrian village:-"It is observable bere, in the first place, that the house have no windows, and are, therefore, probably lighted from the roof; next, that the roofs are very. curious, since, slthough flat in some instances, they consist more often either of hernispherical domes, such as are still so common in the East, or of steep and high cones, such as are but seldom seen anywhere. Mr. Layard finds a parallel for these last in certain villages of Northern

[^264]Syria, where all the houses have conical roofs, built of mud, which present a very singular appearance. Both the domes and the cones of the Assyrian example have evidently an opening at the top, which may have admitted as much light into the houses as was thought necessary. The doors are of two kinds, square at the top, and arched; they are placed commonly towards the sides of the houses. The houses themselves seem to stand separate, though'in close juxtaposition."-Rawlinson.
§ 18. It only remains to mention more particularly the use of the arch, which we have met with before in the oldest structures of lower Babylonia, and which is found in Egypt at a time as remote as the 15th century b.0. What is most remarkable in the Assyrian examples is that they show the three stages in the progress of the arch, subsequent to the mere overlapping courses of masonry or brickwork. First, these overlapping courses are curved off so as to form a false pointed arch. This construction, which is not uncommon in very old Greek architecture, seems to be shown in a viaduct leading to one of the temples noticed above (that on the sculpture of $\Delta$ sshur-bani-pal). That it was used for convenience, and not from ignorance, is proved by its being much later than the examples of the true arch. Next (in order of simplicity, but intermediate in time) is an arched drain beneath the S.E. palace at Nimrud, built of plain bricks (not wedge-shaped), which rise in two segments of a circle-like the sides of a Saracenic arch, the curve being given by wedges of mortar-till the lower edges of the topmost bricks meet, when they are wedged apart by a flat brick laid horizontally between them-thus forming a curious parody on the pointed arch. ${ }^{39}$ Earliest of all, in the golden age of art under Asshur-nasir-pal, we have an arched drain beneath the N.W. palace at Nimrud, and an arched gallery in the ziggurat of the same place, in which a true semicircular arch is formed of bricks moulded expressly for the purpose, in the shape of a wedge, with a convex top and a concave bottom to fit the curve of the arch. The greatest span of the arches yet discovered is 15 feet. ${ }^{40}$
§ 19. The plastic art of the Assyrians is seen in its perfection in those bas-reliefs, the subjects of which have occupied somuch of our attention. The few isolated statues are so inferior, that we might be tempted to refer them to quite a different age and school, were it not for the names inscribed upon them, and for the fact that their faults are common to the works of every age. They are clumsy and ill-proportioned, with features so flat as to be scarcely visible in profile. The fetters imposed by conventional forms furnish no adequate explanation; for the Egyptian sculptors knew how to wear

[^265]those fetters with dignity and even grace. It would seem as if the Assyrian artist, accustomed to work in the soft materials of the basreliefs, had not the patience to deal with the hard black basalt which is the usual material of the single statues, and contented himself with a coarse imitation of the rude archaic forms.

In the bas-reliefs, on the contrary, he expended his strength in details; and in this respect Assyrian art contrasts strikingly with Ecyptian. The embroidery of the robes, the locks of the hair and beard, the muscles of the arms and legs, the manes and trappings of the horses, and the accessories in general, are executed with a care so great as even to give secondary matters a primary importance, and to injure the general effect. The breadth and dignity, the roligious and monumental repose, of Egyptian art are altogether absent; but, in place of them, we have life, energy, and motion. This difference gives a striking illustration of the different national characters of the two peoples.

We may trace three distinct periods and styles of Assyrian art. The first is the golden age of the North-West palace of Nimrud; wanting, indeed, in technical skill and freedom, but distinguished by strength and firmness, spirit and variety. The composition is of de simplest kind; the figures, with one or two exceptions, are always shewn in profile, and with an entire absence of perspective, which leads to confasion when-as in some siege-more than a very few figures are introduced. The sculptures of the second agethat of Sargon and Sennacherib-aim at a greater multiplicity of detail, and succeed to a certain extent by cleverness of arrangement, though still with an entire absence of perspective. The dragging of a colossal bull by several lines of captives, flanked by soldiers and by attendants with various appliances, and some of the battle scenes, are triumphs of ingenuity. Effects of landscape motnery are attempted as backgrounds : such as a mountainous country ; foreate, with their various denizens; rivers and marshes, with their reeds and tishes-the lat ter sometimes as large as the boats. (See cut on p. 330.) As a whole, the sculpture has the fault of invading the province of nainting; but, from the realistic point of view, it telle its atory well.

In the last age-that of Asshur-bani-pal-we might fancy that some new influence bad come in to correct the faults of composition, while keeping closer than ever to the imitation of nature. There is s return to the true principles of bas-relief, in the absence of backgrounds of scenery or of attempts to represent objects on different planes. The accessories of the battle and hunting scenes are merely iudicated by the outline of a fortress, or by a tree or two, most faithfully represented; and the power of delineating plants is conspicuous in scenes where they form the principal objects and where the human figures are only the accessories, as in a slab represcnting a garden. But
it is chiefly in their animal forms that the artists have shown a truth and freedom, a variety and energy, worthy of at least the later age of Greek art. "Lions, wild apes, dogs, deer, wild goats, are represented in profusion; and we scarcely find a single form that is repeated." Among the best examples are a dog held in a leash, a wild ass pulled down by hounds, and several wounded lions in their last agonies. But the human forms are as stiff, and their faces as inexpressive, as in the older sculptures; while "in that which constitutes the highest quality of art, in variety of detail and ornament, in attempts at composition, in severity of style, and purity of outline, they are inferior to the earliest-Assyrian monuments with which we are acquainted-those from the North-West palace at Nimrud. They bear, indeed, the same relation to them as the later Egyptian monuments do to the earlier." 11
§20. Of Assyrian paiyting little need be said, as it was almost entirely decorative, displaying great skill in the choice of colours and the arrangement of patterns. Whether the bas-reliefs dere fully coloured, like those of the Egyptians, is still a disputed point. Those in our museums are now free from colour; but when first discovered; both at Nimrud and Khorsabari, they showed traces of local colouring. Rawlinson sums up the case as follows:-"All leads to the conclusion that in Assyrian, as in classical sculpture, colour was sparingly applied, being confined to such parts as the hair, eyes, and beards of men, to the fringes of dresses, to horse-trappings, and other accessory parts. In this the lower part of the walls was made to harmonize sufficiently with the upper portion, which was wholly coloured, but chiefly with pale hues. At the same time a greater distinctness was given to the scenes represented upon the sculptured slabs, the colour being judiciously applied to disentangle human - from animal figures, dress from flesh, or human figures from one another." ${ }^{42}$ In the arts of gem-engraving, especially of signetcylinders, intaglio-work, and ivory cutting, engraving upon metals, and casting a vast variety of ornaments, the excellence attained by the Assyrians can be best seen by inspecting the objects in our Museum.

[^266]
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## CHAPTER XVIL.

## THE CUNEIFORM WRITING AND LITERATURE, THE SCIENCE AND RELIGION, OF THE BABYLONIANS AND ASSYRIANS.

61. Antiquity of lettere both in Raypt and Mespotania. The three otagee of Aicroplyphic. hiaratic, and coneiform writing. An erempla. \& 2. Three stages of canelform writing-archalc, moders, and curribe. 98. Aryan and Anarian wriung. Malects of tho latier. 14 . Origin of the Perden trilingual and bilinguad Inmeriptions. The key to cunetborm toterpretation. \& 5. Progreas of the discovery. Royal namet decipbered. Help from eognate dislects. The Behistum Inscription. Persian coneiform inccrictions conqueted. 4. Progrew of Anartan interpretacion. 67. Dfficulties of the Anarian texts. Vartety of charncters. Their kieographic and phowetic power. LAmits of the uncertainfy. 68. Materials Esed in writing. Claycylinders, tableta, tic. Evidenoe of the tive of paper (or wone ach moterial) from exigting meata. 19. Asarian Itteratara. The librery of Acebur-bons-pal 10 . The great wort on Aagrian grammar. Bowk of bishory, chronology, statistik, lam, rellghon, the. 911 . Machematical and atronomical ecience-derived from Babylonis of 12. The Chaldina cacte, the poosemore of chis aclence-the ruling order in the state. Tbelt appearamoe in the Book of Dautel 4 18. Acourant of the Chadmans by Dicacras. Tbeir chief colleges. Their name becomes ab-word 14. Kxtent of Chaldiath
 chas of time. Montha-Day of the week-Hume of the day. Ban-dial ard water:
 suns A Beloykrian Zodies The planets Chaldoen actrology. Prophetic efmanects. Inficence of the etrologers Cawe of Mebochedneager ard Benmacherit.
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and sacred tree. 819. The other deities. First triad: Ana, Bil, and Hoa; commo gonic. Second triad : Sin, Shamas, Iva, the Sun Moon, and Atmusphere; cosmic The five planetary deities, Ninip (Saturn), Aferodach (Jupiter), Nergal (Mars), Ishtar (Venus), Nebo (Mercury). Their relations to the superior gods. \$20. Genil and Inferior deities, General remarks.
§ 1. The two great nations of Mesopotamia were the only people of antiquity who could dispute with the Egyptians the first development of the elements of knowledge. It would be a profitless quest to decide the order of precedence, or to determine how far the science of Mesopotamia was independent of that of the Nile valley; but it can hardly be doubted that both derived much from the primeval civilization of the Hamite and Cushite race.

The art of uriting-the instrument of all the sciences-is of immemorial antiquity at both these centres. Alike on the quarry stones of the Great Pyramid, and on the bricks of the oldest Chaldæan cities, we find letters in use, and that not in their first stage: the Egyptian bieroglyphics have already assumed the cursive form, and the Babylonian writing has passed beyond the hieroglyphic stage. For that it was originally hieroglyphic, is a fact beyond dispute. Some combinations still recal the images of the original objects; and the hieroglyphic stage is still preserved by a complete inscription at Susa, which has not yet, however, been properly examined.

The first departure from strict picture writing was to represent the objects by conventional groups of straight lines (for this form of writing admits no curves), sometimes retaining much of the former
 (in place of O ), and 青 which is clearly some object, though what, is a disputed point. In this form, the writing is called hieratic, simply as being in its second stage, like the so-called Egyptian hieratic, and not from any peculiarly sacred use. It wras evidently produced by the scratch of a pointed instrument on soft clay, for that was the sole material at first used by these people, instead of pen and ink, papyrus or parchment.

But a more expeditious mode came to be invented by simple pressure of the style (many specimens of which are found among the ruins) upon the soft clay, which produced a mark like a nail or wedge $\dagger$, whence the writing is called cuneiform. ${ }^{1}$ Be it remembered that this form-whether perpendicular, horizontal, or oblique;

[^267]whether elongated, as above, or short, $\boldsymbol{Y}$; or forming (for convenience) a solid triangle, large or small, as in the combination
 -that this, we say, is but another form of the straight stroke of the so-called hieratic writing, and the one element, by the repetition of which, in various combinations, all the letters of the alphabet are made. Were further illustration of this primary point needed, it would be easy to construct an English alphabet of cuneiform elements, $\mathcal{A}$ for $A, E$ for $E$, \&c.

The hieratic and cuneiform characters may be seen in some of their earliest combinations, and their essential identity may be at once traced, by comparing the inscriptions on two bricks found at Warka, and bearing the name of the (supposed) most ancietit king mentioned on the monuments :-

Canelform Characters

N.B.-Compare the Hieratic form on p. 351.

Thiś inscription has been read as follows:-" Beltis, his lady, has caused Urukh (or Urkham), the pions chief, King of Hur, and King of the land (?) of Akkad, to build a temple to her."
§2. The cuneiform writing itself assumes three distinct types the original, or archaic, the modern, and the cursive. The first only is found on all the monuments of the Chaldsan plain, except such as can be clearly traced to the later Pabylonian kings. The second, which is a simplification of the first, is used in most of the older Assyriau inscriptions down to B.c. 1000 . The last, which is a still more abbreviated form, for the sake of quicker writing, is the common type of the later Assyrian inscriptions on clay, fronn
the 10th to the 7th century b.o. $:^{2}$ those on stone were either in the archaic or modern character, apparently at the mere choice of the engraver, just as we carve.inscriptions either in Roman or Gothic letters. The cuneiform writing is always from left to right; the cursive from right to left. Further, the archaic is of one uniform type; the modern and cursive are varied in the different dialects that employed them.
§3. For the cuneiform writing is not confined to the Assyrians and Babylonians: it was used by all the nations that held dominion in the plain of Mesopotamia, down to the time of Alexander. Some few inscriptions are even found later than the Macedonian conquest; but from that epoch it rapidly died out. There axe, however, remarkable differences in the cuneiform writing of the Persians and of the other nations who employed it. The Persian type

Hieratic Characters.

N.B.-Compare the cut on p. 350. being Aryan, the others are called collectively Anarian. These are: (1) The Assyrian, which includes the Babylonian, for the slight differences between these two are merely graphic, that is, in the mode of arranging the same combinations of strokes. (2) The Armenian, an Aryan language expressed in the Anarian type of writing, in the inscriptions from the 9 th to the 7 th centuries b.c. on the rocks about the city and lake of Van. (3) The Susian, a Turanian dialect used in all the inscriptions of Elam or Susiana. (4) The Medo-Scythic, also a Turanian dialect, established in Media before the Aryan conquest, and surviving there as the language of the common people. (5) The Casdo-Srythic, or Chaldoean, another Turanian dialect, the proper tongue of the dominant Chaldæans of Babylonia, who preserved it among themselves as a sacred language.
§4. When the Persians became masters of the whole region of these languages, they wrote their decrees and public records in the three chief dialects spoken by their subjects, the Persian, Medo-

[^268]Scythic (or, as it is called for brevity, Median), and the Assyrian: sometimes in only two. These three dialects represent the Aiyan, Turanian, and Semitic families of language. Hence the perpetuation of those bilingual and trilingual inscriptions, which have at length furnished, in our own day, a key to cunciform interpretation, like that which the Rosetta stone supplied for the hieroglyphics; but with this most important difference, that, whereas in the Rosetta stone one of the three versions is in a well known language (Greek), in the trilingual cuneiform inscriptions the characters and languages were all alike unknown. Of these inscriptions, before the great Assyrian discoveries, the principal were those which had long excited wonder at the ruins of Persepolis and Ecbatana (Hamadan); and a few bricks inscribed with cuneiform characters, which had been brought from Babylon.
§ 5. With such materials, the German scholar Grotefend undertook the task of decipherment in the same year in which the Rosetta stone was brought from Egypt. Like Young, he sought first for the royal names; but there was no cartouche to guide him. He found, however, a clue of a different kind. In the Persian column, the elementary wedge was constantly appearing by itself in an oblique position . This had already been conjectured to mark the ends of sentences; just as, in fact, the shorthand writer uses / for .

Next Grotefend observed that, on comparing different inscriptions, there were groups of signs constantly appearing in one, close to other prevalent groups; but in another, while one of these connected groups kept its place, the other had disappeared, and was replaced by a totally different group. Now this was just what would happen in the inscriptions of successive kings, each recording his father's name with his own ; as when one inscription is of "Darius, mon of Hystaspes," another of "Xerxes, son of Darius." This happy conjecture (and conjecture is the beginning of all discovery) supplied the missing key. The royal names, once found, could be compared with their Greek forms, not indeed (as in the Rosetta stone) on the same inscription, but in the paget of history; their forms being few and well marked. There are differences of orthography indeed, but not such as to make it difficult to discover the name of "Xeraes (the son of) Darius, the Achoemenid" as "Khshayarsha (the son of) Daryavahush Hakhamanishiya."

A certain number of alphabetic characters being thus determined with probability, other words of frequent occurrence could be spelt. True, they were in an unknown language; but the ancient Persian was known to be of the Aryan family; and words soon came out which had their fellows in the Zend, in the modern Persian, and in
the cognate tongues. For example, the word which we have represented by (the son), and which stood where that meaning was required, came out as putra, a well-known Sanscrit word; nor was it difficult to render the title Khshayathiya, which constantly preceded and followed the royal names, as king. By such a process the phrase from which our examples have been taken came out in full as "Khshayarsha khshayathiya wazarka, khshayathiya khshayathiyanam, Daryavahush khshayathiyahya putra, Hakhamanishiya," meaning, "Xerxes the king great, the king of kings, of Darius the king the son, the Achremenid." An examination of the phrase will show some examples of grammatical inflexion.

In 1815, Grotefend published a complete translation of some of the inscriptions; and the subsequent labours of Sanscrit scholars confirmed the general truth of this method all the more for the correction of some errors of detail.

The next great step was made by the transcription of the famous trilingual rock inscription of Behistun, on the western frontier of Persia. This had been difficult, from its inaccessible position; but it was.effected by Sir Henry Rawlinson in 1835, and more perfectly in 1844 ; and in 1846, this great pioneer of recent cuneiform discovery published a translation of the Persian column, which proved to be the record by Darius the son of Hystaspes (whose effigy is sculptured on the tablets) of the leading events of his reign." "This translation has been subjected to the most rigorous examination and criticism by Sanscrit scholars; and those who have taken the trouble to acquaint themselves with the subject, and are competent to form an opinion upon it, do not hesitate to admait that the interpretation of the Persian cuneiform is placed beyond a doubt." " This result was achieved at the very time that Botta and Layard were opening up the buried treasures of Nineveh and Nimrud; and thus, as so often happens, the key of a new knowledge was obtained just when it was most wanted.
§ 6. Its application, however, to the Anarian dialects still presented immense difficulties; which, let it be at once confessed, are still only imperfectly overcome. That the three columns of the Behistun and other trilingual inscriptions contained the same matter could scarcely be doubted, and was proved by the recurrence of groups of characters in positions corresponding to the names of persons, places, and so forth, in the Persian text. Where these names

[^269]differed from the latter in form, as they often did, classical and Biblical literature came in to aid; and it was proved that the solumn presumed to be Assyrian was really in a Semitic dialect. This point once established, the affinities of the Semitic languages helped to determine the meanings of the worde and the grammatical inflections. By the continued labours of Sir Henry Rawlinson, the late Dr. Hincks, Mr. Norris, Mr. Fox Talbot, M. Oppert, and others, a system of cuneiform interpretation has been definitely established; the general meaning of almost any text can now be deciphered; and the last-named acholar has published a Cuneiform Grammar.
57. The difficultics of the Anarian texte consist partly in the vast multiplicity and variety of the forms, and partly (as with hieroglyphics) in the mixture of ideographic and phonetic characters. The Persian cuneiform alphsbet coutains only 36 characters, and these are alphabetic; in the Asoyrian the characters are syllabic, and seem to admit of an almost eadless variety, thas reembling the structure of the Chinese rather than of Europeen alphabets: one mark, by the way, of a Turanian origin. The characters are of three kinds: letters, monograms, and determinatives. The second (like the arbitrary signs of short hand) are an abbrevisted mode of expressing proper name and other worls of frequent racurrence: thus the simple element $\boldsymbol{\text { stands for }}$ fog dsehur, as the primal courco of all being. The third are signs prefixed to words to indicate the clase to which they belong: thus an eight-rayed star $(\rightarrow+\infty$. hieratic, with its corresponding cuneiform indicates that the following word is the name of a god. The difficulty from the mixture of idtographic and phonetic counds has been explained in speaking of the EEyptian hieroglyphics; but in the Assgrian character it is greater in degree.

It should be remembered, however, that this difficulty affecta the sound rather than the moaning of the words ; and this is the answer to those sceptice who, instead of investigating the subject, point to the immense discrepancy in the readings of proper names, especially those of kings. For these are the very names which are compounded : of ideographic elementa; and it is only in eome few cases (an that of Sennacherib) that their phonetic value has been fully tetermined. But this does not affect our knowledge of the person and bis deeds, as recorded in his annala and depicted on his monuments. Take, for instance, the builder of the North-West Palace of Nimrud: we explore his edifices; we see in our own Museum his sculptured effigy and the pictures of his battles and huntings, with all their
accessories; we read his annals.in the reiterated copies of the standard inscription of Nimrud; and through all we trace a certain group of characters which identify his name. Not to be quite sure of the reading of that name, is certainly annoying ; but what does it matter to his history? Whether the king, of whom we have so much certain knowledge, was really called Asshur-idanni-pal, or Asshur-izir-pal, or Asshur-nasir-pal, or something else, is of no more moment than whether we record the deeds of our own greatest king under the name of "Edward," or of "Longshanks."
§8. One word more as to the materials of Assyrio-Babylonian writing. We have had occasion to speak again and again of the impressed bricks; of the clay cylinders and tablets, which were the books of these ancient people, and of which we now possess an extensive library; of the inscriptions on stone; and the innumerable legends on small objects, such as metals, gems, and even glass. In their intercourse with other nations, and especially with Egypt, it is incredible that they should not have used parchment or paper; and the fact of their having done so is made clear, notwithstanding that nearly all researches thus far have been in palaces where fire has destroyed everything combustible: for, in the great Assyrian library, of which we are about to speak, there "were discovered a number of pieces of fine clay, bearing the impressions of seals, which had evidently been attached, like modern official seals of wax, to documents written on leather, papyrus, or parchment. The documents themselves had perished. In the clay seals may still be seen holes for the string or strips of skin, by which the seal was fastened to them. In some instances the very ashes remained, and the marks of the thumb and finger which had been used to mould the clay can still be traced." ${ }^{5}$ Among them is a piece of clay bearing the impress of two seals, one Assyrian and the other Egyptian, suggesting a treaty between kings of the two countries. The Assyrian signet is unfortunately illegible, but the Egyptian bears the effigy and name of Sabaco, the contemporary of Sargon. This and other seals of the sort described may be seen in the British Museum,
§ 9. Connected with the system of cuneiform writing, there is a mass of Assyrian grammatical literature such as was possessed by no other people of antiquity, except the Sanscritic Aryans of India, and the Greeks. Our wonder at the difficulties of modern cuneiform scholars ceases, and our admiration of their degree of success grows, when we see the pains imposed on the Assyrians themselves by the complication of their writing and the varieties of the Anarian dialects. These books-and, in fact, the greater part of the whole mass of Assyrian literature, besides that inscribed upon the monuments-

[^270]were found in two rooms of the palace of Asshur-bani-pal at Ninevet, to which Mr. Layard gave the name of the "Chambers of liecords." The discovery is so much the more interestitg than that of the library in the Ramesseum, ${ }^{6}$ as that was empty, while this retained its multitudinous treasures, most of which are now in our Museum. Like the other, it was dedicated to the god and goddess of learning; and (probably unlike the other) it was a public library; for one of its most important books bears the following inscription :-" Palace of Asshur-bani-pal, king of the world, king of Assyria, to whotn the god Nebo and the goddess Tasmit (the goddess of knowledge) havo given the ears to hear and opened the eyes to see what is the true foundation of government. They revealed to the kings, my predecessors, this cuneiform writing, the manifestation of the god Nebo, the god of supreme intelligence: I wrote it upon tablets, I signed and arranged them, and I placed them in my palace for the instruction of my subjects."

Thus far the founder of the library: now let us hear its discoverer. "The doorway guarded by the fish-gods led into two small chambers opening into each other, and once panelled with bas-reliefs, the greater part of which have been destroyed. I shall call these chambers 'the chambers of reourds,' for they appear to have contained the decrees of the Assyrian kings, and the archives of the empire"-(how much more various were their contents, we shall see presently). "To the height of a foot or more from the floor they were entirely filled with them-some entire, but the greater part broken into fragments. They were of different sizes; the largest tablets were flat, and measured about 9 inches by 61 inches; the smaller were slightly convex, and some were not more than an inch long, with but one or two lines of writing. The cuneiform characters on most of them were singularly sharp and well-defined, but so minute in some instances as to be almost illegible without a magnifying glass. They had been impressed by an instrument on the moist clay, which had been afterwards baked.
"These documents appear to be of various kinds, principally historical records of wars and distant expeditions undertaken by the Assyrians; royal decrees stamped with the king's name; lists of the gods, and probably a register of offerings made in their temples; prayers; tables of the value of certain cuneiform letters, expressed by different alphabetical signs; trilingual and bilingual vocabularica of the Assyrian and of an ancient language once apoken in the country [the Accadian]; grammatical phrases ; calendars; lists of sacred days; astronomical calculations; lists of animals, birds, and various ohjects, sc. \&es. Many are sealed with meals, and prove to be legal ccutracts,
or conveyances of land. Others bear impressions of engraved cylinders. On some tablets are found Phoenician or cursive Assyrian characters, and other signs. The adjoining chambers contained similar relics, but in far smaller numbers. Many cases were filled with these tablets, which are deposited in the British Museum. We cannot overrate their value. They furnish us with materials for the complete decipherment of the cuneiform character, for restoring the language and history of Assyria, and for inquiring into the customs, sciences, and, it may perhaps even be added, literature of its people. The documents that have thus been discovered at Nineveh probably exceed all that have jet been afforded by the monuments of Egypt." ${ }^{9}$
§ 10. Some progress has been already made in deciphering these documents. The one which bears the inscription above quoted proves to be nothing less than a vast Encyclopedia of Assyrio-Babylonian Grammar, explaining the difficulties both of the writing and the language, and consisting of the following five parts:-(1) A Lexicon of the Accadian (Casdo-Scythic or Chaldwan) Language, with the meanings of the words in Assyrian. This work removes any remaining doubt about the fact that the Chaldsan order had a peculiarlanguage, in which their sacred and scientific treatises were composed, and opens the way for the full understanding of that language. (2) A Dictionary of Assyrian Synonyms: (3) An Assyrian Grammar, containing the conjugations of verbs: (4) A Dictionary of the Characters of the Anarian Cuneiform Writing, with their ideographic meanings and their phonetic values : (5) Another Dictionary of the same Characters, compared with the primitive hieroglyphics from which they were derived. The mere enumeration of these titles is enough to raise the highest expectations of light to be gained from their complete decipherment. The several tablets which form (so to speak) the leaves or folios of this great work, as well as those of the other books in the library-often written on both sides-are carefully numbered, and they were doubtless arranged in cases in the order of this paging.

Among the other treasures of this "Royal Library of Nineveh," roughly enumerated above by Mr. Layand, the most important are the following:-For history and chronology we have only fragments -but invaluable fragments-of the Table of Eponymous Officers, complete for almost three centuries (b.c. 911 to 660), which, like the lists of Athenian Archons and the Roman Fasti Consulares, constantly assigns the events recorded in the royal annals to their proper years, and fixes the suocession of the kings themselves. A single fragment, unhappily, is all that remains of a Synchronical

History of Assyria and Babylon, in parallel columns. There are the fragments of a Geographical Dictionary, containing an enumeration of the countries, cities, mountains, and rivers, known to the Assyrians; and those of a List of the Proper Names used in the country: as -well as a vast mass of statistical documents relating to the hierarchy oi administrative officers, and the different provinces of the empire, their productions and revenues. Law is represented by the fragments of a treatise on private rights; and Religion by a vast number of mythological fragments, not yet deciphered, and by the remains of a collection of Hymns, the style of which often resembles the Hebrew Psalms. The taste thus shown for these compositions throws light on the call made upon the captive Jews, so familiar to us in the pathetic language of their own Psalmody:-"By the rivers of Babylon, there we sat down :' yea, we wept when we remembered Zion. We hanged our harps upon the willows in the midst thereof. For there they that carried us away captive required of us a-song, and they that wasted us, mirth; saying, Sing us of the songs of Zion." ${ }^{\prime}$
§ 11. Next to grammar, however, the collection appears to be richest in that mathematical and astronomical acience, which there can be little doubt that the Assyrians learnt from the Babylonians. This science-consisting chiefly of arithmetic, and of astronomy, with its perversions in astrology, magic, and divination-seems to have sprung up, like the art of building, among the primeval Cushite race. The universal tradition of antiquity divided the invention of these sciences between Egypt and Babylonia; and modern enquiries tend to show that their priority and superiority was in the latter country. The exact emplacement of their earliest temple towers, the Sabæan character of their religion, the astronomical symbols found on their earliest monuments, ${ }^{\text {, concur to indicate that the Baby- }}$ lonians observed the heavens from remote antiquity. The elaborste chronological computations of Berosus, and the stories of astronomical observations going back to 2 fabulous antiquity, ${ }^{10}$ prove, at least, that they possessed a science, the origin of which was forgotten, even by themselvea.
§ 12. This science was in the hands of a priestly caste, called the Chaldanse. They were a true caste, for their learning was both exclusive and hereditary. We call them priestly, because a certain religious character was attached to the whole body, though all did not necessarily fulfil sacerdotal functions. Every priest must be a Chaldzan; but not every Chaldæan was in practice a priest. At Babylon they were in all respects the ruling order in the body politic,

[^271]uniting in themselves the characters of the Egyptian sacerdotal and military classes. They filled all the highest offices of state under the king, who himself belonged to the order. In the Jewish campaigns, both of Sennacherib and Nebuchadnezzar, we find the Rabu-Enuga or Rab Mag (that; is the Archimagus) of the Chaldæans one of the principal generals; and we have seen the same functionary acting as regent twice in the reign of Nebuchadnezzar. The mention of a Rab Mag under Sennacherib, combined with the sacerdotal character clearly assumed by the Assyrian kings, seems to show that a common religion gave to the Chaldman caste a similar influence in Assyria as in Babylon, and that the Assyrian kings were initiated into the order. ${ }^{11}$

It is in the Book of Daniel that the Chaldman caste make their appearance most distinctly, as the possessors not only of a special "learning," but of a peculiar "tongue." They are associated with the magicians, astrologers, sorcerers, and soothsayers-probably classes of the order. ${ }^{18}$ They are applied to by Nebuchadnezzar to expound his dreams, and by Belshazzar in their character of "interpreters" of oracles in an unknown tongue. The jealousy characteristic of a privileged religious order is seen in their readiness to accuse Shadrach, Meshach, and Abednego before Nebuchadnezzar. ${ }^{14}$ We have examples of initiation into their order in the case of these tbree Jews and Daniel; ${ }^{15}$ and the latter was made by. Nebuchadnezzar the "master of the magicians, astrologers, Chaldæans, and soothsayers." ${ }^{16}$ Herodotus and Ctesias both conversed with the Chaldæan priests at Babylon; and the account given of them by the latter is preserved by Diodorus Siculus.
§ 13. This writer says that the Chaldæans were the most ancient of the Babylonians-a most important testimony in reference to the vexed question of their origin-and that they formed in the state a class like the priests of Egypt. Established to practise the worship of the gods, they passed their whole lives in meditating questions of philosophy, and acquired a great reputation for their astrology. ${ }^{17}$ They were addicted especially to the art of divination, and framed predictions of the future. They sought to avert evil and to ensure good by purifications, sacrifices, and enchantments. They were versed in the arts of prophesying by means of the flight of birds, and of explaining dreams and prodigies, and the omens furnished by the entrails of victims offered in sacrifice. The writer adds that

[^272]this knowledge was not acquired in the same manner as among the Greeks; for the learning of the Chaldæans was a family tradition. The son who inherited it from his father was exempt from all publio imposts. Having their parents for instructors, they had the double advantage of being taught everything without reserve, and of giving more implicit credit to their teachers. Trained to the study from their infancy, they made great progress in astrology-both from the facility with which the young learn and from the long period of their instruction. The Chaldæans, always resting at the same fixed stage of learning, receive their traditions unaltered; while the Greeks (says Diodorus), thinking only of gain, are always forming new sects, contradicting one another about the most important doctrines, and thus disturbing the minds of their disciples, who, tossed about in a continual uncertainty, end by believing nothing. Divested of the cynical way of putting the motives and results, we have here a valuable allusion to the difference between the stereotyped learning of an authoritative caste and the vigorous spirit of free enquiry.

The Chaldwans were settled throughout the whole country, but there were some special places where they had regular colleges. The chief of these were Borsippa, near Babylon, and Ur (Orchoë), in the lower country; whence Strabo recognizes two schools of the Chaldæans, the Borsippeni and Orchoëni. ${ }^{18}$ Their next seats in importance were Babylon itself, and the twin cities uf Sippara (Sepharvaim). ${ }^{10}$ Under the supremacy of Rome, their contributions to acience were still remembered with honour ; ${ }^{30}$ but more generally their name had besome a byword for the arts of prophetic and magical imposture. ${ }^{2}$.Just as the fortune-tellers of modern times have been called Egyptians (Gypsies), so were astrologers and conjurors in general styled Babylonians and Chaldseans; their occult science was the Ars Chaldoorum; their genethliacal calculations, Babylonii numeri and rationes Chaldaicar; their replies to enquirers into the future, Chaldooorum monita, Chaldooorum natalitia proedicta.
§ 14. The real science on which this mixed reputation was based was, as we have said, chiefly astronomical and arithmetical ; involving also a regular calendar, an elaborate scheme of astronomical chronology, and the system of weights and measures which has been handed down, through Phoenicia and Greece, to all the nations of Europe. ${ }^{23}$

[^273]'l'here can be no doubt that the Babylonian astronomy was more truly scientific than the Egyptian, ${ }^{24}$ and that it reached the highest perfection attainable without the aid of optical instruments. The Chaldæans knew the synodic period of the moon, the equinoctial and solstitial points, the true length of the year, as dependent on the annual course of the sun (within a narrow limit of error), and even the precession of the equinoxes. But, as might have been expected from their want of accurate instruments, they made a mistake in the amount of the precession, and calculated it at 30 seconds instead of 50. Hence their great cosmical year-that is, one complete revolution of the equinoctial points among the fixed stars-was made too long in the like proportion, namely, 43,200 solar years instead of 26,000 (to use round numbers). This period of 43,200 years was the basis both of their arithmetical and chronological computations: and we have already seen that the antediluvian age of Berosus contained 10 such cosmic years ( 432,000 solar years). If we consider this as a greater cosmical year, his so-called historical period of 36,000 years (including the mythical first dynasty) would be the month, or twelfth part, of such a year; and this, again, is. 10 times the period of 3600 years, which the Babylonians called the sar. Berosus tells us that their chronological computations were based on these three denominations-the soss ( $\sigma \omega \sigma \sigma o s$ ) of 60 years, the ner ( $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\eta} p o s$ ) of 600 years, and the sar (oápos) of 3600 years; and his antediluvian period of 432,000 years is composed of 120 sars. ${ }^{25}$

With regard to the more prevalent divisions of time, they appear
Museum,' and the articles on Weights and Measures in the 'Dictionary of Antiquities,' 2nd edition. It is enough here to say that the system is based on the only really natural and scientific foundations, of the dimensions of the human body for smaller measures and the sexagesimal subdivision of a large circle of the earth for the larger: the former being corrected by the latter; and the measures of surface, solid capacity, and weight, being derived from these. Hence it appears that the modern French metric system is as much at variance with history as it is with nature (in its abandonment of the measures of the haman body), and with science (in its basis on the centesimal division of the quadrant, which was rejected by mathematicians and astronomers almost as soon as it was invented by the fanatical decimalists of the Revolution. , It is not even properly decimal; for then the crcle would have to be divided into 100 or 1000 degrees, not 400). ${ }^{24}$ See above, chap. Ix. 12.

* Beros. 'Chaldaica,' ap. Sýncell. p. 17, A., Euseb. 'Chron. Arm.' Pars i. c. 1, 65 1, 2. The statement of Apollodorus, that Berosus represented Alorus, the first Chaldsean King of Babylon, as reigning 10 sars ( 36,000 years) is a very valuable testimony that Berosus recognised the period; but, Moses of Chorene pointed out that the King's name arose from the tendency of ancient writers to personify periods of time. It is also to be observed that Berosus says nothing of the mode by which the sar was derived from the ner and the ner from the soss. It is obvious, arithmetically that, as the sost $=60$ yeare, the ner $=10$ sossi $=600$ (i.e. $60 \times 10$ ) years, and the sar $=6$ neri $=\mathbf{6 0}$ gosst $=3600$ (i.e. elther $60 \times 10 \times 6$, or at once $60 \times 60$ ) years. Professor Rawlinson considers that the system went on by alternate multiples of 6 and 10 : thus $6 \times 10=60$, the eass; $60 \times 10=600$ the ner; $600 \times 60=3600$ the sar; $3600 \times 10=36,000$, the "period of Alorus;" but the next multiple is not 6 but 12, giving the antediluvian period of 412,000, which Berosus, however, at once derived from the sar, as 120 sarg.
to have used the month of 30 dsys, and the year of 12 months, from immemorial antiquity; and also the week of 7 days, the nomenclature of which, from the 7 chief heavenly bodies, coincides with the 7 stages of their temple-towers, and seems on other grounds also to have been invented by them. The system is well worth a few words of explanation, especially as it is often derived from mistaken data. The Latin names of the days will best show the planets from which they are derived : (1) Dies Solis; (2) D. Lunee ; (3) D. Martis ; (4) D. Mercurii ; (5) D. Jovis; (6) D. Veneris ; (7) D. Saturni.

The curious point here is the want of any astronomical sequence, whether on the Ptolemaic, or Copernican, or any conceivable aystem. One simple solution is that each hour was under planetary government, and the influence ruling the day was that presiding over its first hour. As the dsy contains $24(=3 \times 7+3)$ hours, the ruler of the second day is the $3 r d$ in order after the ruler of the first day, and so on. Beginning the 1st day with Saturn, the chief Babylonian planetary god, and counting invurds according to the most ancient (the so-called Ptolemaic) solar system, the 25th hour, or the first of the 2nd day, falls to the Sun; the first of the 3rd day to the Mion; of the 4th to Mercury; of the 5th to Mars; of the 6th to Jupiter; of the 7th to Venus.

This explanation is furnished by Dion Cassius ; but Sir Henry Rawlinson prefers a scheme based on the sexagesimal division of the day (into 60 hours) which he maintains that the Babylonians had in common with the Hindoos. Beginning with the planet nearest to the earth, the first hour belongs to the Moon, and the first day is Monday; the 61st hour falls to Mars, and the day is Tues-(Tuisco'o-) day; the 121st to Mercury, Wednes-(Woden's-) duy, the 181st to Jupiter, Thurs-(Thor't-) duy; the 241st to Venus F'ri-(Friga's-) day; the 301st to Saturn, Satur-day ; athe 361st to the Sun, Sun-day.

Herodotus tells us that the Greeks learned from the Babylonians the division of the day into 12 hours (i.e. of the day and night into 24), as well as the sun-dial and the gnomon; ${ }^{20}$ a testimony the more important, as it occurs incidentally in a passage recounting Egyptian contributions to science. But their hours were the true equinoctial hours, whereas those of the Greeks were of variable length, according to the time of sunrise and sunset. They also measured time by the water-clock-the clepsydra of the Greeks.
§ 15. The report of their famous series of observations, going back to 1903 years before Alexander's conquest of Babylon, has now been proved to be a mistake; but Pliny quotes the testimony of Epigenes, that they had similar records for 720 years, inscribod on tablets of

[^274]burnt brick. ${ }^{\text {² }}$ Berosus states that these-observations reached back to the time of Nabonassar, who destroyed the records of previous kings; and this, therefore, is not to be considered the limit of their observations. Ptolemy specifies the same limit (of b.c. 747) in speaking of their accurate observation of eclipses; and among those he quotes are five of the moon, which have been verified as falling in the years b.c. 721, 720, 621, 523. The first (on March 10, B.c. 721) is especially noteworthy, as having been total at Babylon. They ascribed solar eclipses to their true cause; but, according to Diodorus, their skill only extended to the prediction of lunar eclipses, and they were content with observing the solar. Among recent discoveries is a tablet containing the record of a solar eclipse in the reign of Asshur-danin-il II., June 15, B.c. 763, which, with the help of the Canons, fixes Assyrian chronology as far back as b.c. 900. This power of calculating eclipses implies a knowledge of the "Metonic" or "golden cycle" of 223 lunations, after which the eclipses recur in the same order; and we are expressly told that they reckoned this cycle at 18 years 10 days. ${ }^{28}$

Their observations of the apparent motions of the sun, moon, and planets, imply a careful identification of the fixed stars; and there is little, if any, doubt that they invented the system of constellations, of which mention is made as early as the Book of Job. ${ }^{29}$ We have in the British Museum a conical black stone, carved with figures, which seem evidently to represent some of the signs of the Zodiac and other constellations. The Sun, in its twofold form-male and female-and the Moon, are grouped as a triad in the centre; and among the surrounding figures are clearly the Ram, the Bull, the Serpent, the Scorpion, the Dog, the Eagle, and the Arrow. There are also quadrangular figures (like a house or altar), surmounted by emblems, which may perhaps represent the "Houses" of the Sun and the positions of the planets at the time of engraving the stone. ${ }^{30}$ The Babylonians appear to have divided the Zodiac in two ways, according to the paths of the Sun and the Moon; the one set of divisions being called the "Houses of the Sun," the other the "Houses of the Moon;" but the nature of the distinction is not understood. The existing records of planetary observations are said

[^275]to contain notices of the satellites of Jupiter and even of Saturn. Of the former, at least one has been seen with the naked eye even in our own climate; ${ }^{81}$ but tho latter can hardly have been visible, even to the most practised eyes and in a Chaldæan atmosphere, without telescopic aid. The possibility of this is suggested by the discovery of a convex lens, which is now in the British Museum.

The Astrology of the Chaldæans-so constantly referred to in Scripture and in classical literature-was the exact prototype of all the later forms of that gigantic but seductive imposture. Its leading character was genethliucal-the system, namely, which foretold the fortune that would follow the "native" through life, and especially at certain epochs, from the configuration of the heavenly bodies at the moment of his birth, or (as some astrologers preferred to reckon) of his conception. It was believed (as Diodorus tells us) ${ }^{39}$ that every human being was born under the influence of some star-benignant or malignant; but this influence might be crossed, opposed, or intensified, by various others; so that, to tell the fortune of any "native," it was necessary to reproduce by calculation the exact figure of the heavenly bodies at his natal hour : and this was his "horoscope."

But Diodorus ${ }^{83}$ also informs us-and existing tablets confirm his testimony-that the Babylonian astrology had a wider range. "The Chaldreans professed to predict from the stars such things as the changes of the weather, high winds and storms, great heats, the appearance of comets, eclipses, earthquakes, and the like. They published lists of lucky and unlucky days, and tables showing what aspects of the heavens portended good or evil to particular countries. Lists of these two kinds have been found by Sir Henry Rawlinson among the tablets. . . . . The great majority of the tablets are of an astrological character, recording the supposed influence of the heavenly bodies, singly, in conjunction, or in opposition, upon all sublunary affairs, from the fate of empires to the washing of hands or the paring of nails." ${ }^{\text {a }}$ They also ventured to predict the weather which would occur on particular days of the year. ${ }^{s 5}$ Thus it appears that these Chaldanan almanacks were the veritable prototypes of our own ' Moore's,' ' Murphy's,' and 'Zadkiel's,' in short, of the utterly abominable class of astrological almanacks, with their predictions about kings and states, and their fortunate.or unfortunate influences attached to the several days, by

[^276]means of which even now a few knares or crazy enthusiasts prey upon ignorance or sillier curiosity.

But in those days the faith was real; and, whether as scribes and interpreters, as framers of horoscopes or utterers of magic formularies, or exoreists of evil spirits, the Chaldæans were in a great measure the masters of public and private life: if they could not control destiny, they directed the steps which brought it on. The picture drawn by Ezekiel of Nebuchadnezzar's mode of deciding whether to march against Rabbah or Jerusalem :-" For the king of Babylon stood at the parting of the way, at the head of the two ways, to use divination: he made his arrows bright, he consulted with images, he looked in the liver" ${ }^{96}$-receives the fullest confirmation from Sennacherib's records of his own faith in astrology. On. one occasion this king refused to give a decisive battle, and on another he kept back from a promising campaign, because the conjunctions of the stars were unfavourable. ${ }^{57}$
§16. The astronomical and astrological calculations imply a considerable knowledge of geometrical constructions; and Strabo says that the Greek geometers often quoted the works of certain Chaldæans, as Ciden, Naburianus, and Sudinus. ${ }^{38}$ But of the system of Arithmetic which was used in Babylonia from a very high antiquity, we know something from existing tablets, and from the occurrence of numerals in inscriptions. Their system of decimal notation had a remarkable likeness to the Roman. The simple wedge $Y$ stands for 1 ; and there are new signs for $10,\langle$; for 50 , the simple wedge again, $Y$ and for $100, \eta=$. From 1 to 9 , the units are merely accumulated with a peculiar grouping (the Roman system of subtracting units by prefixing them to $X$ being unknown). From 11 to 19 , we have the unit groups with the sign of $10(<)$ prefixed, just like the Roman XI. \&c. So $20,30,40$ are expressed by two, three, and four of the signs for 10 , just like XX. \&c., and from 60 to 90 by the proper number of 10 's with the sign of 50 prefixed, like LX. \&c. ${ }^{30}$ Not so, however, with the hundreds, which are expressed by prefixing the proper number of units to the'sign for 100 , just as we say one-hundred, two-hundred, \&c., up to 1000

[^277]which is one－ten－hundred．The system will now be easily scen in the following table（from Professor Rawlinson）：－

| 1 \} | 11 ＜ | $100 \quad Y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Y | 12 ＜YY | 200 YY Y |
| 3 MY | 20 ＜ | 300 YYYY |
| $4 \%$ | $30 \lll$ | 400 W |
| 5 FH | 40 ＜＜ | 500 YYY Y |
| 6 Im | 50 | 600 YYY Y－ |
| 7 W | $60 \quad 1<$ | 700 淑Y |
| 8 翟 | 70 Y |  |
| 9 \％ Yry | 80 Y $\lll$ | 900 狀Y－ |
| 10 ＜ | 90 「くく | $1000 \quad \Gamma\langle \%$ |

The same notation was employed for the sosses and sars，by which large numbers were expressed．Thus a single wedge represents， besides the simple unit 1 ，the unit of the soss， 60 ，and the unit of the sar， 3600 ；and the arrowhead＜represents，not only 10 ，but also 10 sosses（i．e． $600=1$ ner），and 10 sars，or 36,000 ．Thus the group $\lll Y Y|\ll|$ means 45 sosses and 21 units $=$ $43 \times 60+21=2601$ ．This example is taken from a curious table of squares of all numbers from 1 to 60 ；in which it stands as equal to $7 \lll \ll\}$ ，i．e．the square of 51 ．This table．was found at Senkereh，and is supposed to be of high antiquity．The numbers are accurate throughout．The very fact of such a table being compiled implics the constant practice of considerable arith－ metical operations，in which it would be of use．The library of Asshur－bani－pal at Nineveh，which has furnished us with the astronomical tables above referred to，contained also several treatises on arithmetic，among the fragments of which seem to be those of a multiplication table，like that which has become famons under
the name of Pythagoras. Such discoveries-with others. that we have noticed from time to time-have a double importance, not only as revealing the actual state of anoient Oriental civilization, but as throwing new light on the Eastern contributions to European civilization, which are attested by the uniform tradition of those "quick-witted Greeks" whom some moderns believe to have learnt nothing from the dull stagnation of the Asiatic mind!
317. The Religion of Assyria and Babylon was essentially the same. With the exception of a difference in the name of the supreme deity, and in a few minor particulars, they had the same Pantheon, the same symbols, the same connection of their divinities with the heavenly bodies, the same forms of worship, and the same system of sacred learning in the hands of an exclusive caste. The chief differences are in the peculiar identification of certain deities with the interests and honour of the two nations, and of particular kings and dynasties; and in certain developments which shew the Cushite or Semitic character respectively.

Few now contest the statement that the religion had its primitive seat in Babylonia, where the Chaldæans were its chief ministers to the latest age of its existence. It is in Babylonia that we find most developed its character of a Pantheistic Sabæism, side by side with those grosser forms of popular religion which have always prevailed among the Hamite race; while, in Assyria, the Semitic mind gave to the same original conceptions all the spiritual elevation of which they were susceptible. It was from their connection with Babylon that Israel learnt to worship and burn incense to the sun, the moon, and the heavenly host, ${ }^{40}$ or-in the prophet's comprehensive phrase-" the frame of heaven;" ${ }^{41}$ and it was in imitation of a Babylonian custom that the kings of Judah dedicated horses to the sun. ${ }^{42}$ The corruption of a purer natureworship into idolatry took place in both nations; but the immense number and variety of the Babylonian idols, in particular, is proved by the languages alike of Hebrew prophets and classical historians, and by the existing monuments, cylinders, and engraved stones. Up to the very hour of the city's fall, "they praised the gods of gold, and of silver, of brass, of iron, of wood, and of stone." ${ }^{3 s}$
§ 18. From the prominence given to its astronomical emblems, the religion is often called Sabceism. But this is not strictly correct. Pure Sabæism, in ascribing divine intelligence to the heavenly bodies, excludes every other personal conception of them, and especially all anthropomorphism and all idolatry. But the gods of

[^278]Babylon and Assyria are distinctly persons; they are represented in human and animal forms, and by other symbols besides those of the heavenly host: in fact, the highest deities of all were not those represented by the san, moon, and planets. In the compendious summary of Berosus-" they (the Chaldæans) worshipped Belus, and the stars, and the sun, and the moon, and the five planets "-Bel takes precedence of the heavenly host.

The same author seems to recognize an original element of monotheism in the fabulous account of the origin of Babylonian civilization. Without entering into a wide controversy, it is enough here to record the opinion, that the primeval idea of one god is indicated in the supreme deity who is placed above all the other divinitics of the Assyrio-Babylonian Pantheon. The very name of this deity $I l$ (or $1 l o u$ ) seems to attest a connection with the Hebrew $E l_{\text {, }}$ while his other name, Ra, fits in as strikingly with the Egyptian religion. In Babylonia-where there was a marked preference for local deities, and where the partialities of kings and dynasties gave the supreme place variously to Bel-Merodach or to Nebo-we find few traces of the worship of II, and no temple seems to have been raised to him after that first which-according to the native etymology of Bab-il-was called simply the Gate or House of God.4
The Assyrians attached a much more definite and permanent conception to this supreme deity, to whom they gave the national name of Asshur. ${ }^{45}$ As this name is introduced in the list of Genesis x., without explanation of its meaning-and as no significant etymology of it seems to have been discovered-we are left in doubt as to the precedence of the divine or ethnic name-whether the nation was called Assyrian as being the people of Asshur, or the deity Asshur as being the god of the Abryrians." The latter seems the more probable, and in this case we may perhaps regard the name, not as the proper name of the deity, but as an ellipsis for "the god of $\Delta$ sshur." ${ }^{47}$

[^279]At all events, the national character of this deity is conspicuous. He is regarded throughout all the Assyrian inscriptions as the special tutelary deity both of the kings and of the country. "He places the monarchs upon their throne, firmly establishes them in the government, lengthens the years of their reigns, preserves their power, protects their forts and armies, makes their names celebrated, and the like. To him they look to give them victory over their enemies, to grant them all the wishes of their heart, and to allow them to be succeeded on their thrones by their sons and their sons' sons, to a remote posterity. Their usual phrase when speaking of him is Asshur, my lord. They represent themselves as passing their lives in his service. It is to spread his worship that they carry on their wars. They fight, ravage, destroy, in his name. Finally, when they subdue a country, they are careful to 'set up the emblems of Asshur,' and teach the people his laws and worship." ${ }^{49}$ We have seen how the kings at once glorify and honour his name, and claim his special protection, by the formation of their own names from his. The people are described as "the servants of Asshur;" their encmies as "the enemies of Asshur;" and the Assyrian religion as " the worship of Asshur."

His supremacy above all the other gods is shewn by the precedence given to his name in all invocations, and by his titles"the great god"-" the king of all the gods"-" he who rules supreme over the gods." We cannot but trace in all this a certain degree of Semitic tenacity of the highest conception of a personal deity. "It is indicative of the (comparatively speaking) elevated character of Assyrian polytheism, that this exalted and awful deity continued from first to last the main object of worship, and was not superseded in the thoughts of men by the lower and more intelligible divinities, such as Shamas and Sin, the Sun and Moon, Nergal, the god of war, Nin, the god of hunting, or Iva, the wielder of the thunderbolt." ${ }^{49}$ The same supremacy is shewn by the universal worship of Asshur throughout all Assyria; though the great temple at Asshur seems to indicate that he was peculiarly honoured at the city which bore his name. ${ }^{60}$ This is, however, the only temple, yet discovered, that was specially dedicated to him; and it has been supposed that, instead of separate temples, he had a first place in the fanes of all the other gods.

[^280]ANC. HIST.

Asshur is represented by a curious emblem, which is soen on the sculptures of the kings-often hovering over their heads in battle-and on their signet-cylinders: the emblem was also used by the Persians, under the name of Ferouher, as the symbol of deity. It is a winged circle, from which issues a small human figure, with the horned cap, generally holding a ring, and often a bow, the latter sometimes bent and with the arrow on the string.

The symbol is explained as denoting eternity by the circle, omnipresence by the wings, and in-

timblems of Asshur (after Iapard). telligence by the human figure. That this figure, however, was not essential, appears from the frequent form of the emblem as a simple winged circle, closely resembling the Egyptian winged globe. It appears in one very curious form on the signet-cylinder of Sennacherib, where-besides the principal human figure-the wings of the circle support two other heads: but what triad this indicates is unknown.

Here the symbol is seen in one of its frequent positions, over the sacred tree, which is another constant emblem of Asshur, and which

liuyal Cylinder of Sennscherib. is often placed, as here, between two worshipping figures, one of them being the king. "Like the winged circle; this embler has various forms. The simplest consists of a short pillar springing from a single pair of rams' horns, and surmounted by a capital composed of two pairs of rams' horns separated by one, two, or three horizontal bands; above which there is, first, a scroll resembling that which commonly surmounts the winged circle, and then a flower, very much like the 'honeysuckle' ornament of the Greeks. More advanced specimens show the pillar elongated, with a capital in the middle in addition to the capital at the top, while the blossom above the upper capital, and generally the stem likewise, throw out a number of similar smaller blossoms, which are sometimes replaced by fir-cones or pomegranstes. Where the tree is most elaborately portrayed, we see, besides the stem and the blossoms, a complicated net-work of branches, which, after interlacing with
'one another, form a sort of arch, surrounding the tree itself as with a frame."51
§ 19. After this supreme god, the mysterious source of all being, come a series of external manifestations, in an order indicating the connection of cosmogony with religion. They are arranged in Triads; not composed-like those of Egypt-of father, mother, and son, but of three male deities, each of whom is accompanied by a goddess, 'The First Triad consists of Ana or Anu, Bil or Bel or Belus, and Hea or Hoa, whose attributes resemble those of Hades (Pluto), Jupiter, and Neptune, in the classical mythology. The attendant female deities-in the language of the inscriptions, the reflection of those attributes-are Anat (Anaitis), Bilit (Beltis) or Mylitta, and Daokina. This triad has a cosmogonic character; Anu representing the primordial chaos; Bel (or, more specifically, Bel-Nipru), ${ }^{52}$ the power that reduces it to order; and Hea or Hoa, the intelligent spirit of the universe - the fish-god Oannes of Berosus, who brought in the earliest civilization. ${ }^{53}$

The Second Triad consists of Sin or Hurki, Shamas, San, or Sansi, and Iva ${ }^{54}$-the Moon, Sun, and the Atmosphere or BEther, with their consorts " the great lady," ${ }^{55}$ Gula or Anuit, and Shala or Tala. The cosmic character of this triad forms a transition to the sidereal group of inferior divinities, representing the five planets known from the earliest times-Ninip (Saturn), Merodach (Jupiter), Nergal (Mars), Ishtar (Venus), and Nebo (Mercury). Though inferior to the old deities of the triads, these deities became especially popular. Merodach was the supreme deity of BabyTon; Ninip, the Assyrian Hercules-also personified as the fish-god-was a favourite deity at Nineveh : and in both countries Nebo (like Hermes and Mercury) was the patron of learning, the inspiring genius of prophecy and eloquence, and of royal authority. These sidereal deities reproduce to some extent the characters of the first

[^281]triad; Ninip of Anu; Merodach of Bel; Nebo of Ao; Ishtar of Beltis. The last was the great goddess of nature; and her serious and voluptuous characters were embodied in the two-fold form of Taauth and Zarpanit (or Nana), like the celestial and popular Venus of the Greeks and Romans. The grossly licentious worship of the latter at Babylon is described by Herodotus. ${ }^{\text {sB }}$
§ 20. The supreme deity; $\Pi$ or $A 88 h u r$, with the two triads and the five planets, appear to make up the "twelve great gods." Below these there were a host of genii and inferior deities; such as Nisroch or Salman, the eagle-headed and winged deity of the Assyrian sculptures, "the king of fluids," and "governor of the course of human destiny;" and Adrammelech and Anammelech, the gods of the two Sipparas (Sepharvaim), whose people made their children pass through the fire to these deities. ${ }^{\text {bo }}$ But to enumerate these minor deities, and even to specify the titles, attributes, genealogical relations, temples, and other important particulars relating to the greater gods, and to describe the system of cosmogony which was connected with the Babylonian religion, would far exceed our limits. This is the less to be regretted as the whole subject is beset with complications and difficulties, the solution of which awaits the light to be gained from the immense mass of undeciphered caneiform literature.

[^282]

Kmbleme of the Principal Goas. (From an Obelisk to the Britioh Museum.)


Persepolus

## BOOK III.

## THE MEDO-PERSIAN EMPIRE, AND ITS SUBJECT COUNTRIES IN ASIA.

## CHAPTER XVIII.

## THE PRIMITIVE ARYANS AND THE RELIGION OH' ZOROASTER.

61. Place of the Medo-Persians in history. 8 2. Both were branches of the Aryan race. 83. Its country the table-land of Iran. Its two branches-the Aryas and Yavanas. 64. Testimony of language to their primitive condition o5. Their social life, moral and political condition. 9 6. The primitive Aryan religion. Its corruption into dualism and pantheistic nature-worship. Cosmogony. Tradition of the Deluge. \& 7. Westward migration of the Yavanas. Mythical legends of the Iranian Aryans-Jemahid-Zohak-Caneh-Feridin. The sacred leather standard, of. Conflict of the Iramians and the Turanians, Social organization at this period. 89. The religious reform ascribed to Zonoastar. His persouad history unknown. Antiquity of the Zoroastrian religion. \$10. Its origin in Bactrla Marvels about Zoroaster, only found in later writers. 11 . The gacred books called Zendavesta. High antiquity of the GAthas, \&c. \$12, Nature of the Zoroastrian religion, or Maxdeism. Its reaction from pantheistic paturalism. The Ahuras (good spirls) and Daévas (evil spirits). © 13. Doctrine of Ahuramazda (Ormazd), the one supreme god. His attributes. His symbol Light. \$ 14. His creative work by the creative Word. \$15. The doctrine of Dualism. Question of its origin. Angromainyus (Ahriman) the opponent of Aharamazda. His ultimate destruction. Later opintons. § 10. The antagonistic apittual herarchies, \$17. The doctrine of creation. The
tcmptation and fall of man. \$18. Future rewarde and punisbmenta, \$19. Zoroas trian morality. $\$ \mathbf{2 0}$. The Zorosatrian Worship. $\$ 21$. Opposition to the Zoroastrian reform. Separation of the Iranian and Indian Aryans. © 22. Settlement of the Iranians in Medis and Persia, 9 2s, Adoption of Magism in Medta
§1. Tan nations whose history we have thus far followed were of the Hamitic and Semitic races; but now we see the third family of mankind entering on the dominion assigned to it by Providence and prophecy. The Japhetic race, "enlarged" by increase and by conquest, begins to make Ham his "servant," and to "dwell in the tents of Shem." The former races, settling in the two great fertile plains which were ready to nourish the earliest civilization, have built up kingdoms on a vast scale of despotic power and rude magnificence, and cultivated the arts and sciences which minister to the material wants of man ; but their despotisms have grown effete, and their science has been prostituted to superstition. Even the nation, chosen out of the rest to preserve a pure religion and a simple commonwealth, has proved unfaithful to its trust, and been doomed, for a time, to learn its errors by the discipline of captivity and servitude. At this juncture the third race-the hardy natives of the ruder climate and the freer air of highlands; trained to war by conflicts with the nomad Turanian tribes; and animated by a religion based on pure and apiritual prin-ciples-takes possession of the fruits of civilization prepared for it, and reorganizes an empire which is deatined in its turn to succumb before the more vigorous spirit of Western freedom.
§ 2. The united Medes and Pergians, to whom this part in history was assigned, belonged to the great race which ancient usage and modern science concur in denoting by the name of Aryan. ${ }^{1}$ This has never been doubted in the case of the Persians; but as to the Medes, some confusion has arisen from the fact that the land, always called Media by the ancient writers, had in early times a Turanian (or Scythic) population. Hence we have seen that the so-called "Median" column of the trilingual inscriptions of tho Persian kinga is really in a Turanian dialect, which we call for convenience Medo-Scythic. But the Medes of history-those who founded the empire to which the Persians succeeded-were indubitably Aryans. "The Medes were anciently called by all people Arians," says Herodotus; ${ }^{2}$ and they are always so called by the Armenian writera. The ethnic affinity is moreover implied in that inseparable connection of the "Medes and Persians," which was

[^283]already a proverb in the time of Cyrus, and of which indeed some find traces much earlier in the Assyrian inscriptions. They had the same language and religion, the same customs and dress; and Herodotus, in mentioning the identity of their equipments, observes that the dress common to both was rather Median than Persian. Their common institutions are áttested by their own celebrated formula, "Ihe law of the Medes and Persians, which altereth not." 8 Their affinity with the races of Northern India on the one hand, and, on the 'other hand, with the European races which follow them in the course of history, calls for some general notice of the great family to which they belonged.
§ 3. Let us first glance at the region of which we have now to speak. Repeated mention has been made of the great table-land of Iran, which reaches in longitude from the mountains of Kurdistan and Luristan, which form the eastern boundary of the Tigris and Euphrates valley, to those of Suleiman, which skirt the Indus valley on the west. On the south it rises from the shores of the Indian Ocean by the desert steppes of Beloochistan (the ancient Gedrosia); and it is backed up on the north by the chain of the Indian Caucasus. The northern slopes of this chain, as we follow it from west to east, look down first upon the burning strip oi land along the shore of the Caspian; then over the vast desert of Khiva, which extends to the Sea of Aral and the Oxus; and lastly upon the fair region of mountains and valleys watered by the upper course and tributaries of this river, and lying in the angle between the Hindoo Koosh (Paropamisus.M.) and the great range of Bolor Tagh, which runs to the north. To this region, anciently called Bactria, or one not far from it, the traditions of the chief nations of the Aryan family point as the primeval cradle of the race; and they recognize a distinction, even in that primitive abode, between the Aryas, or "elder" branch, who dwelt to the east, and the Yavarsas, or "younger" stock, who dwelt to the west. The former were the ancestors of those who remained in Asia, and peopled India and the table-land of Iran; while the latter migrated to the west, and spread in successive waves over Europe. Their original name is preserved in the Javan of Genesis x., in the Greek Ionians, and in the words signifying young in the several languages of the IndoEuropean Germanic family.
§ 4. The evidence of those languages throws a flood of light on the primitive condition of the Aryan race. It is a self-evident principle of comparative philology, that wor us identical in several cognate languages-or, what is more decisive still, differing only by the changes characteristic of the several languages-belong to the

[^284]common stock of the original mother tongue; and from their identity we infer the existence among the undivided race of the objects, customs, and institutions which they denote. When, for example, we find the leading terms relating to the life of the shepherd and the herdsman, and the names of the chief domestic animals-the ox, sheep, goat, swine, horse, dog, goose-common tc the Aryan languages, we infer that the primitive Aryans were a pastoral people, and that they possessed and tended these animals. On similar evidence, we conclude that they harnessed horses and oxen to carriages, but that riding on horseback was unknown, as indeed we find it still rare among the Greeks and Trojans of the Homeric age. They had acquired the art of working in gold, silver, and bronze, but not yet in iron: their arms were furbished, and not rude masses; and they made ornaments of metal. Though a pastoral people, they were not nomad dwellers in tents, but had fixed abodes, and built themselves houses. They tilled the soil, but only by the rudest methods; and it was in the course of their subsequent migrations that they learned, from races more advanced in agricalture, the use of the plough, the growing of various kinds of grains and vegetables, and the production of wine and oil. Still they raised corn enough to form the staple of their diet, and to distinguish them, as they advanced westward and northward, from the aborigines who fed on acorns and berries. They also ate meat, and seasuned it with salt. They had begun to venture on rivers and lakes in skiffs; but masts and sails were as yet unknown.
§ 5 . Still more important is the evidence borne by language to their social life, morals, and religion. Marriage was not only known, but was contracted with solemn ceremonies, and by the sign which still forms its chief symbol and frequent name, the union of hands. They were uncorrupted by polygamy; and the wife was treated with the honour which has been transmitted to modern times by that Teutonic branch of the race, which preserved its primitive simplicity the longest. The happiness of possessing children, their mutual help and love, and the reward reaped from their industry, shine forth in most expressive terms. A boy is the "giver of joy," the "increaser of happiness," the "dispeller of vexation;" a girl is "she that causes rejoicing." The brother is "he who supports," and the sister is "the good," "the friendly:" the son is the "protector" and "nourisher" of the family; the daughter is "the keeper of the flocks," "the tender of the cows."
'I 'he family constitution formed the basis of that wider union of the tribe, the gens, the brotherhood (фparpia), the clan, which has survived to the present day at the eastern and western extremitie* of the chain of Aryan nations, among the Persians and our own Celts The authority of the patriarch, chief, or paterfamilias, rested on a
law of nature, but was kept from arbitrary abuse by a council of elders, generally consisting of seven heads of families. The chiet of these patriarchs was the King, who was chosen for his wisdom and courage. The mode of his installation, by being placed upon a stone, is probably referred to in the Greek name Baocincús; and many memorials of the custom, ancient as well as modern, might be added to the example of the ancient Scottish coronation stone, upon which our kings are still crowned at Westminster.

The king's chief function was to lead in war; for the early Aryans were a martial race: and the same evidence of language proves their knowledge of weapons and of some defensive armourthe sword and pike, the javelin and arrow, the bow and quiver, the helmet, shield, and breastplate. Towns and villages were already fortified, though but rudely. The prisoner taken in battle was made a slave. The king was also the chief judge; but from his limited discernment there was an appeal to the judgment of God in the very forms familiar to us as a Teutonic custom, and in our own early history. The old Indian laws of Manu, which are doubtless based on primitive traditions, and the Ramayana, the most ancient Sanscrit epic, refer to the ordeals by fire and by hot and cold water.
§6. Concerning the primitive Aryan religion, the recent science of comparative mythology has added much to the information derived from the sacred books of the old Indians and Persians, the Vedas and the Zendavesta; but the subject is too large for full exposition here, especially as we shall have to speak more particularly of the Median and Persian developments of religion. Its monotheistic basis is preserved in the name of the supreme being, Dewâ, Deus, Ecós, God; and in the titles which attest His spiritual essence: " the living"-Ashura of the Indians, Ahura of the Iranians, Fisar of the Etruscans, Esus of the Celts: "the spirit"-Manyu in the Vedas, Mainyu among the Iranians:' and Nara, " the divine and eternal spirit which pervades the universe." The tone of the Hebrew Psalms is recalled to mind by the language of a hymn of the Rig-Veda :-" He is the only master of the world: he fills heaven and earth: he gives life; he gives strength : all the other gods seek for his blessing: death and immortality are but his shadow: the mountains covered with frost, the ocean with its waves, the vast regions of heaven, proclaim his power. By him the heaven and earth, space and the firmament, have been solidly founded: he spread abroad the light in the atmosphere. Heaven and earth tremble for fear before him. He is God above all the gods."

The usual first step in the corruption of monotheism can be seen, in this case, in another hymn of the Rig-Veda, which says that "the wise men give many names to the Being who is one," accord-
ing to the ways in which He manifests himself or is regarded by His worshippers. The pantheistic polytheism of the Aryans assumed a more teirestrial form than that of the Egyptians or of the Babylonians. Besides the Sun and Moon, the Earth and the visible Heaven, they deified the powers of earth, air and water, trees and forests, fountains, rivers, and seas, winds, rain, clouds, and lightning. Their imagination was strongly attracted, as we see in the Vedas, to the perpetual conflict between the forces of the physical world-the day contending with tine night, the solar rays struggling with the mista covering the earth, the lightning striking the cloud and setting free its fertilizing showers; in all of which they saw types of the warfare between good and evil in the moral world. Wanting the science which teaches the balance of physical forces, and the faith in moral order inspired by revelation, and yet believing in a divine government, they were early led by all these antagonisms to that dualistic doctrine of two opposite divine principles, which received its full development in the religion of Zoroaster. Of their worship, the most important element was sacrifice, usually resembling the " meatoffering" and "drink-offering" of the Hebrew ritual ; but the most solemn occasions demanded the blood of a victim, which was generally a horse. The material sacrifice was accompanied with the spiritual offerings of prayers and hymns, taught-it was held-by the holy Word (Váe, i. e. vox), the organ of all wiadom both for gods and men, and the inspiring spirit, breathing like the winds through all the worlds - "My greatness," mays a hymn of the Kig-Veda, "exalts itself above this earth, above the heaven itself."

From this pantheistic religion sprang the cosmogony which we have already learnt from Hesiod and Ovid; in which the universe springs from Chaos, not by the process of creation, but of emanation. Among the oldest traditions of the Aryan race, that of the Deluge and the $A r k$ appears in a great variety of forms. These cannot be recounted here; but it is worth while to observe that the Indian legend eays nothing of that moral reason for the catastrophe-the corruption of the human race-which is conspicuous in the Greek story of Deucalion's deluge, as well as in the sacred narrative of Noah's flood.

8 7. It is beyond the scope of our work to discuss the movements which impelled the Yavanas on their migrations westward, and which caused the Aryas, who were left behind, to spread from Bactria northwards to Sogdians, between the Oxus and Jaxartes, and southwards over the table-land of Iran, the Ariana of classical geography. The mythical mign of Jemahid, in Firdusi's 'Book of the Persian Kings,' represents the time when, being settled in this region, they advanced in eocial organization, improved their agri-
culture, began to build great towns, and gave their religion a more polytheistic development; for the legend, animated with the Zeroastrian spirit, reproaches Jemshid with tarnishing his glory by establishing idolatry.

This period is followed by the tyranny of the "Arabian" Zohak, in which some have seen traces of a Cushite conquest; but the legend has better claims to notice from its connection with the later history of Persia. This Zohak was a ferocious tyrant, who outraged morality, and practised an obscene and monstrous religion. Among the victims whom he seized daily, to feed two serpents which twined about his shoulders, were two of the fairest youths of Isfahan-for the scene of the legend is transferred, in its existing Mohammedan version, to the later capital of Persia. The father of these youths, a smith named Caveh, was at work at his forge when the news of his children's fate was brought to him. Rushing out just as he was, in his working dress, he raised his leather apron on a stick, and the people, rallying round this strange standard, helped him to slay the tyrant, and to place Feridunn, the son of Jemshid, on the throne. In memory of this national tradition, the Sassanids, who, in the third century of our era, overthrew the Parthian dynasty and re-established the religion of Zoroaster, adopted a sacred standard of leather emblazoned with gems. It was regarded as the palladium of the monarchy and religion, only to be unfurled in a great crisis, when the king took the field in person, and its loss at the battle of Kadesieh was the signal of the triumph of Islamism in Persia.
§ 8. The next stage in Iranian tradition relates to that interesting conflict of races, one phase of which we have seen in the traditions of Mesopotamia. The Turyas or Turanians-the great family now represented by the Tatar and Finnish tribes-the Asiatic Scythians . of the Greek writers-had wandered or been forced back into the inhospitable regions of Central Asia, north of the Jaxartes, whence they made repeated descents upon the more fertile countries to the south. 4 The ancient writers preserve a constant tradition of a Scythian domination in Western Asia-Justin says for 1500 years. Their first movements brought them into conflict with the Aryans, who represent the war as one of kindred races. This tradition agrees with modern ethnographical researches, which tend to the conclusion that the Turanians were a Japhetic race, who had separated themselves very early from the main stock. They had attained to a high degree of material culture; but their moral state was degraded, and their religion was a mixture of the grossest forms

[^285]of Sabæism with serpent-worship. Their chief deity was the great serpent, called apparently by themselves Farroursarrabba and by the Iranians Afrosiab, whom Zoroaster chose for the emblem of the evil principle, Ahriman.

In their conflict with the Aryans, the animosity of a religious war was added to the collision of nations which were already neighbours, and were struggling for the possession of lands contiguous to both. While, to the east, the Turanians tried to drive the Aryans from the fertile valleys of Bactriana and Sogdiana, another portion of their tribes advanced through Margiana upon the highlands of Media and Kurdistan, to which the Aryans were spreading as their increasing numbers overflowed from the east. The ascendancy which the Turanians at first obtained in this western part of the table-land of Iran explains the Scythic character of the early population of Media.

The most ancient Vedas-which belong to this interval between the western migration of the Yavanas and the division of the Aryans into their two great branches, the Iranian and the Indian - exhibit a further development of the social atate deacribed above. With the growth of population, large cities are multiplied, agriculture is improved, and the occupation of the husbandman becomes more important than the shepherd's. The organization of society tends to the formation of classes in which occupations are hereditary, though not yet of castes separated by impassable limits The classes are those of priests, warriors, and countrymen-the last sometimes divided into shepherds and labourers. These are the three classes which the Avesta recognizes among the Iranians, and into which Herodotus describes the Persians as divided. It was in India, under the influence of the brahminical religion and the circumstances of the conquest, that these three classes became the three superior castes, while the conquered Hamites were distributed. into the lower and despised castes.
89. It is to this period also that the preponderance both of ancient tradition and of modern opinion ascribes the grest religions reform which is personified under the famous name of Zoroasted. Some writers, indeed, of high authority-influenced by an idea that the reformation suits a period when the old Aryan faith had been corrupted by the Median development of Magism-catch at the one piece of seeming evidence offered by the name of Vistacpa, the Per$\operatorname{sian}$ Gushtasp, to make Zoroaster contemporary with Hystaspes, the father of Darius 1., in the 6th century b.c. Had this been the case, we cannot doubt that Zoroaster would have been presented to us in the pages of Herodotus in his clear personal identity, instead of only looming as he does through the mists of traditions so legendary as to have led Niebuhr to pronounce him a mere myth.

The records of Medo-Persian history in the ancient writers leave a clear impression that the national religion was settled long before the time even of Cyrus; and we now find its essential elements in the Zendavesta. Royal names are so constantly repeated, that a name alone proves nothing ; and, except the name, the Gushtasp of Zoroaster has not one point in common with the father of Darius. The former is king of Bactria (not of Persia) and son of king Auravataçpa or Lohrasp, of the dynasty of the Kayanians: the latter is the son of Arshama (in Greek Arsames), of the family of the Achæmenids, and neither he nor his father was a king.

All ancient writers agree in giving Zoroaster a very remote date; and some assign hima a fabulons antiquity. Hermippus, the Greek translator of his reputed works, places him 5000 years before the taking of Troy; Eudoxus, 6000 years before the death of Plato. Among more moderate dates, the lowest is that assigned by Xanthus of Lydia, six centuries before Darius I. (i.e. about 1100 b.c.) ; while Pliny, placing him 1000 years before Moses' (that is, about the middle of the 25 th century b.c.), falls into a curious agreement with the tradition of Berosus, making Zoroaster the leader of the Median dynasty in Chaldæa. M. Spiegel and M. Oppert accept this as the true date; but, without attempting to decide this question, or even that of the personal existence of Zoroaster, we may be content with the probability, that the system embodied under his name belongs to the remote traditional times of the united Aryan race. The legends of his personal history are, of course, only valuable for the light they throw on the development of the system. These legends are found in the Zendavesta, the later classical writers, and Oriental works of the Mohammedan period.
§ 10. All agree in placing the scene of his mission in Bactriana, which some make his native land; ${ }^{5}$ and the title of "t the happy Bakhdi (Bactria) with the lofty banner" (in one of the earliest sections of the Zendavesta) ${ }^{6}$ seems to mark the land as then the chief seat of the Aryan race.

The Zendavesta simply records the appearance of Zarathrustra ${ }^{7}$ in Bactriana, then ruled by the king Vistacpa (the Gushtasp of the Persians and Hystaspes of the Greeks), the son of Auravatacpa (the
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Lohrasp of the later Persians), son of Kava Ougrava (Kaï-Khosrou), son of Kava Ous (Kai-Kaous), son of Kava Khavata (Kas-Kobad), founder of the dynasty of the Kavja (in modern Persian, Kayanians). It knows nothing of the marvels recorded by late Greek and Latin writers-from traditions of various countries and agesas attendant upon his birth and career. Thus it is said that he laughed on the day of his birth, and that his brain palpitated so violently as to heave up the hand that was placed on his head; that he retired into the desert at the age of ten, and lived there for twenty years on cheese, and was thus preserved from feeling old age; that during this seclusion, which the later Median legend places in a cave of Mt. Elburz, he received from Ahuramazda and his attendant spirits the revelations which be recorded in the Zendavesta ; that, coming forth from his retirement, he appeared at the court of Hystaspes at Bactra, and by the power of his miracles converted the king to the new faith, which was soon adopted by al: Bactria, though a part of the Aryans refused to accept it.

From this point the legend assumes two different characters. According to one story, the Turanians, who were hostile to the new religion, invaded Bactria, took the capital by storm, profaned its temples with fire, and killed Zoroaster. According to another, the reformer appears in a character compounded of Moses and Mohammed, a religious and political legislator, who becomes king of Bactris, and leads forth his armies to impose his new religion on the rest of the Aryans, and even (according to Berosus) on the Hamites of Babylonia.

Of all this, as we have said, the Zendavesta knows nothing. In it Zoroaster appears only as the recipient of the revelationg made to him by Ahuramazda in the formula "Ahuramazda said to the holy Zoroaster." While this absence of fabulous embellishments is, on the one hand, an argument against regarding Zoroaster as merely mythical, it leaves so little of his distinct personality, that we can only use his name as a convenient embodiment of the doctrine which formed a reaction from the pantheistic naturalism and polytheism which had corrupted the early Aryan faith.
§11. This doctrine is contained in the remains of the sacred books usually called the Zend-avesta, but more properly Avesta-zend, a contraction of Avesta-u-zend " ("Avesta and Zend "), that is, "Text

[^287]and Comment," or, as some interpret, "Law and Reform;" for the Zoroastrian religion always claims to be no new doctrine, but a restoration of the old Aryan faith before its corruption by the tyrant Zohak. The fragments which bave come down to us belong, in their present form, to the age of the Persian dynasty of the Sassanids, who overthrew the Parthians in A.D. 226, and re-established the Zoroastrian religion in Pexsia. The books were then transcribed in the existing alphabet, and subjected to a revision, which has been compared to that of the Old Testament by Ezra. But the ancient language was preserved; and that language (hence called Zend), which bears a close resemblance to that of the Achæmenian inscriptions, is proved by its affinity to Sanscrit to be one of the oldest forms of Aryan speech.

At the time of its collection under the Sassanids, the Zendavesta comprised 21 books (naçkas), of which the greater part have perished, not so much by lapse of time as by Mussulman fanaticism after the conquest of Persia in A.d. 651. The only book which has come down to us entire is the Vidaé-vadâta (in Persian Vendidad), that is "the law against demons." The Yacna and Vispered are collections of fragments. The former, or book of "sacrifice," contains some of the most precious parts of the collection in the Gâthâs or "hymns," which were used, with the prayers, in the sacrificial rites. These three-the Vendidad, Yagna, and Vispered-form the collection called Vendidad-Sadé. There is another collection, called the Yesht-Sade. These comprise all that remains in the Zend language: but we have also a portion of the sacred books, treating of Cosmogony, and called Bundekesh, translated into Pehlevi, the ordinary language of Persia under the Sassanidæ.

Even those who maintain that Zoroaster' himself lived under Hystaspes, the father of Darius, admit that its internal evidence shews the first section (F'argard) of the Vendidad to lave been written before the migration of the Aryans into Media; and that the Gâthâs, which tradition specially assigns to Zoroaster himself, are of higher antiquity still, and belong to "a time when the Aryan race was not yet separated into two branches; and when the Easterns and Westerns, the Indians and Iranians, had not yet adopted the conflicting creeds of Zoroastrianism and Brahminism." ${ }^{*}$ These Gâthâs are distinguished from the other fragments by a more archaic style and a much greater simplicity. M. Hang places them as high as the time of Moses.

Zendavesta. An excellent account of the Zoroastrian doctrine is given in Milman's 'History of Christianity,' vol. L. pp. 65, foll.
Bawlinson, vol. tii. p. 94. In our complete daikness as th the permonal life of Zoroaster, the question of his age resolves itgelf, after all, into that of the date of the oldest Zoroastrian Iiterature.

## Sb4 PRIMITIVE ARYANS AND RELIGION OF ZONOASTER. Booz LII.'

§ 12. The Zendavesta claims to be the revelation of Mazdeism ("universal knowledge"), made by "the excellent Word, the pure and active," to Zoroaster, and through him to all mankind as "the good law." This religious law is essentinlly a reaction from pantheistic naturalism, sensuous worship, and polytheism; and from that of emanation in cosmogony. One result of this reaction is a curious confusion of divine names: the gods (daévas) of the old system become the devils of the new; and thus the very deity of light (Indra), whose conflict with the spirit of darkness (Vritra) shews the original germ of Aryan dualism, becomes a principle of evil. The process is analogous to that by which the early Christians identified the beathen deities with the followers of Satanas worked out in Milton's catalogue of the fallen angels-and by which the Greek doemon has come down to us in the sense of devil. In contrast with these daévas (in Persian devs), or evil spirita, the old word Ahura ${ }^{10}$ (which signified living or spiritual being) is appropriated to the good spirits. But some of the old daévas are ranked exceptionally with the ahuras, under the name of izeld, or angels.
\& 13. Thus the doctrine of superior beings is personal, as opposed to pantheism; but it is equally remote from polytheism. The Ahuras are created beings, all inferior to the supreme Ahurónd Mazdâo ${ }^{11}$ or Ahuramazda (the Persian Ormazd or Ormuzd, atical the Greek Oromasdes). Notwithstanding a mixture of phya'may conceptions, such as the ascription to him of health-which $/$-this perhape be likened to the anthropomorphism of our Scripturesy., and supreme being is really a spiritual god, self-existent, uncreatedaci and eternal, of a nature easentially good, the creator, preserver, $n$ ie is governor of the universe, and the proper object of adoration. $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 e}}$ by called the " holy spirit" (epento mainyus), and is symbolized er the sun, and the fire, which is called his son. t'the
A long collection of titles might be culled, ascribing to him dith, creation of all good things, and the attributes of goodness, try norpurity, holiness, happiness, health, wealth, virtue, wisdom, imr ient tality; but a clearer conception may be formed from a very ancbrator invocation in the Yagna :-"I invoke and celebrate the cre most Ahuramazda, luminous, resplendent, most great and good,uling in perfect and energetic, most intelligent and beautiful, ex of pleasure, purity, the possessor of all good knowledge, the courcept of intelligent who created, formed, and nourished us, the most perfec', be attributed to beings." The special quality of light, which seems tof:

[^288]him in no mere metaphorical sense, is thus expressed :-" He ie true, lucid, shining, the originator of the best things, of the spirit in nature, and of the growth in nature, of the luminaries, and of the self-shining brightness which is in the luminaries:"-words which irresistibly suggest the invocation drawn by Milton from a more sacred source:-

> "Hail, holy Light! offgpring of beaven first-born, Or of the Eternal co-ternal beem May I express thee unblamed? Since God is light, And never butin unapproached light Dwelt from eternty, dwelt then in thee, Bright effuence of bright essence increate."

The last line exactly expresses the teaching of the Yaçna, which makes Ahuramazda the source of light, which most resembles him, and calls him gâthró, that is, " having his own light."
§ 14. Equally pure and near to revealed truth is the conception of his creative work, by means of " the creative Word which existed before all things." On this point the Yaçna contains the following most remarkable conversation :-
" Zoroaster asks of Ahuramazda:-0 Ahuramazda, most holy spirit, creator of existent worlds, truth-telling! What, 0 Ahuramazda, was the Speech which existed before the heaven, before the water, before the cow, before the tree, before the fire, the son of Ahuramazda, before the truthful man, before the Daévas and the carnivorous animals, before all the existent universe, before all the good created by Mazda, and having its germ in truth?
"Then Anuramazda replies :-I will tell thee, most holy Zoroaster, what was the whole of the creative Word. It existed before the heaven, \&c. (as above). Such is the whole of the creative Word, which, even when unpronounced and unrecited, outweighs a thousand breathed prayers, which are not pronounced, nor uttered, nor. recited, nor sung. And he who, in this existent world, 0 most holy Zoroaster, remembers the whole of the creative Word, or utters it when he remembers it, or sings it when he utters it, I will lead his soul thrice across the bridge of the better world, to the better existence, to the better truth, to the better days. . . . I pronounced this Speech which contains the Word and its working to accomplish the creation of this heaven; before the creation of the water, of the earth, of the tree, of the four-footed cow, before the birth of the truthful man who walks upon two feet."

This Word appears to be the utterance of that spirit of Truth, which is a chief attribute of Aburamazda, and which formed the glory of Persian morality. The celebrated "prayer of 21 words," which is ascribed to Zoroaster himself, and which his followers were commanded to repeat a hundred times a day, is in the following ANC. BIST.
terms:-"As the Word from the supreme Will, so the effect only exists beciuse it proceeds from the truth. The creation of what is good in thought or action belongs in the world to Mazda, and the kingdom is Ahura's, who is constituted by his own Word the destroyer of the wicked."
§ 15. Thus far the ancient Zoroastrianism of the undivided Iranian race appears as a pure monotheistic religion, opposed alike to pantheism and pulytheism. It is distinguished by the spiritual and philosophic character, which seems a natural gift of the Aryan intellect. But this very intellectual refinement tempted to its great corruption. The mystery of evil ever working in the worldseeming to "labour to pervert that end" for which the earth and heavens, living beings and men, were created-turning light into darkness, genial warmth into biting cold, fertility into desolation, pleasure into pain, life into death, and, in the world of mind and spirit, joy into sorrow, and virtue into vice-led this thoughtful race to confront the great problem of the origin of evil. Raised above the pantheism which, in Egypt, and in some of the later philosophies of Greece, was content to accept good and evil as parts of the exiating state of things, opposite only in appearance;-and destitute, on the other hand, of the special revelation which, without satisfying our curiosity as to the source of pvil, and the reason for itpermission, assures our faith that it does but enhance the fin triumph of good;-the Iranians were driven to the solution $\mathrm{kr}^{\text { }}$ by the name of Dualism, the doctrine of two independent ar ${ }^{\text {' }}$ ordinste principles, one the source of all good, the other of all

It is still disputed how early this doctrine assumed its developed form, in which the principles of good and evil are persons; and whether it was an original part of the Zoro is system. Its germs are confessedly to be found in the oldest by faith; and the picture of the antagoniem of the two princip.e the oldest portions of the Zendavesta is recogoized even by; t] who contend that Ahriman is not yet acknowledged as a per "The contrast between good nad evil ia strongly marked in Gatbas; the writers contimually harp upon it; their mihda evideutly struck with this sad antitheeis, which colours the mc world to them. They see everywhere s struggle between right a wrong, truth and falsehood, purity and impurity. Apparently the are blind to the evidencee of harmony and agreement in the an : verse, discerning nothing anywhers but strife, conflict, antagoniss * Nor is this all. They go a step further, and personify the two parties to the struggle. One is a 'white' or holy 'spirit' (çpentô mainyus), and the other a 'dark spirit' (angrô mainyus)." ${ }^{\text {s }}$ But

[^289]it is contended that "this personification is merely poetical or metaphorical, not real. The 'white spirit' is not Ahuramazda, and the 'dark spirit' is not a hostile intelligence. Both resolve themselves, on examination, into mere figures of sperch-phantoms of poetic imagery-abstract notions, clothed by language with an apparent, not a real, personality." And the final descent to dualism is ascribed to that principle by which " language exercises a tyranny over thought, and abstractions in the ancient world were ever becoming persons." ${ }^{1 s}$ The other view regards the primitive germs of dualism as distinctly. adopted, and developed into a personal form, in the original Zoroastrian theology, as the logical solution of the difficulty presented by the apparent limits of and opposition to Ahuramazda's power for good. At all events it is agreed that this full development appears in the First Fargard of the Vendidad, which is next in antiquity to the Gathâs, and before the settlement of the Iranians in Media.

Ahuramazda is perpetually, and from all past' eternity has been, opposed in all his works of creation, of goodness, and of truth, by a principle like to him in nature, and equal in power, the "dark" or "evil spirit," Angro-mainyus (in Persian Ahriman), the author of all moral and material evil, and of death itself. The creation came from the hands of Ahuramazda, pure and perfect as himself. Ahriman corrupts and turns it upside down, and labours to destroy it: for he is emphatically "the destroyer," as well as the spirit of evil. In the First Fargard of the Vendidad we have an enumeration, doubly interesting from its geographical character, of the fair regions, which Ahuramazda created successively for the habitation of the Aryan race; but which Ahriman forthwith set himself to blast by creating "q mighty serpent," deep snow, hail, and earthquake, pestilence, war, and pillage, buzzing insects and poisonous plants, poverty and devastation, sickness, unknown (" Un-aryan ") plagues, and fevers; and, besides these physical evils, unbelief, unnatural vices, inexpiable crimes, witchcraft, and the power of evil spirits: thus ever striving, like Milton's Satan,

4 To waste the whole creation and possess All as his own: ${ }^{20}$
and, in relation to intelligent creatures, having, like him,

> "So deep a malice, to confound the race
> Of mankind in one root, and earth with hell To mingle and involve, done all to spite The great Creator. But this spite still serves Its glory to augment: "-
and of this conclusion Zoroaster seems to have been not altogethe , "4 without some idea. His system was not at first pushed to the bron-
consistency of making the two principles eternally equal, and their conflict everlasting. In the past they are coëqual and coëternal : in the present, the balance of victory inclines to neither side:-and yet, even here, a sort of precedence is given to Ormazd, whoss good work is done before Ahriman comes to mar it; and in that precedence, as well as in the sympathy of the whole system with the good power, we seem to see the issue to which the whole is tending: -but as to the future, Zoroaster appears to have been inspired by a better hope, or at least to have shrunk from an eternity of evil. Though Ahriman is without, beginning, he will have an end. The time will come, at the end of the ages, when three prophets, sprung from Zoroaster, Ckhsyad-éréta (the "increasing truth"), Ukhsyadérémâs (the "increasing light"), and Aftvad-éréta (the "existing truth ") will bring into the world the three last books of the Zendavesta, and will convert all mankind to Mazdeism : evil will be conquered and annihilated : creation will return to its pristine purity : and Ahriman will vanish for ever.

It was reserved for later sects to pervert the Zoroastrian doctrine into that essential and eternal conflict of good and evil, so necessary and so equal as to exclude a moral preference for either, which has become famous under the name of Manichaeism. The morality of primitive Zorosstrianism is preserved at the expense of its metaphysics. It abstains from any attempt to reconcile the principles of Dualism ; and, in so abstaining, confounds the essential distinction of eternity and time. Its past eternity is but an indefinite extension backward of present time. But a new sect arose long afterwards, apparently about the age of Alexander-the Zarvanians (who are represented by the modern Guebres and Parsees), who held that time itself was eternal, at least in the only sense in which they conceived eternity. "Time," they said, "existed hefore all else: to conceive of its beginning would be impossible: hence it is in it and by it that Ormazd himself was produced." This conception was personified as Zarvânakarana ("Time without bounds"), whose essence seems to be confounded with the material universe. From him both Ormazd and Ahriman proceeded by emanation, and in him they will be absorbed again. Of this essentially pantheistic conception-which substitutes emanation for creation, confounds the moral distinction between good and evil by making both alike the offspring of one principle, and reduces Ormazd from the supreme creator to the demiurgus, who merely organizes the pre-existent matter into which he will be again absorbed-no trace appears in 'he Zendavesta. It is essentially opposed to the spirit of Zoro${ }^{1}$ mianiam; and appears to apring from an infusion of the gross erial pantheism of the Chaldæean system.
in of. Bot: Ahuramazda and Angromainyus rule over a hierarchy
of spirits, strictly personal, but as strictly created beings ; in no sense deities, but angels and demons, who counsel and serve them-
*And works of love or enmity fulfl."
The first creatures of Ahuramazda were his six Councillors, called Ameshao Spentao, "Immortal Saints" (in Persian, Amshashpands): -Vôhu-manô (Bahman), "the good mind," who maintained life in animals and goodness in man: Ashôvahistó (Ardibehesht), "the brightest truth " or "best purity," who was the light of the universe, maintaining the splendour of the heavenly luminaries, and preserving all the forms of being that depend on light: Khshathsô-vairyó or Khshathra-vairya (Shahravar), the "powerful" or "wealthy king," presiding over metals and dispensing riches : Çpenta-armâiti (Isfandarmat) the "white" or "holy earth," at once the genius of the earth and the goddess of piety, for agriculture was a sacred duty with the Iranians : Hauroatât (Ehordâd), explained by some "the universe," by others " health": and Ameretât (Amerdât) "Immortality": the two last had the care of the vegetable world.

In opposition to these Amshashpands Ahriman created his six Darvands: Akâ-manó, the "bad mind," or, more exactly, the "naughty mind," who prompts men to evil thoughts, words, and deeds: Ander (the ancient god of fire, and the Indra of the Sanscritic Aryans), the wielder of the thunderbolt, and the demon of storm, war, and all violent destruction : Çaurva, whose identification with the Indian Siva is doubtful: Naonhaitya, a single demon, corresponding to the Vedic Nasatyas or two Aswins, the Dioscuri of the Indian mythology : and lastly Taric and Zaric, the personifications of "-Darkness" and "Poison." The truecharacter of the whole system, as spiritual rather than physical, is seen in the precedence given in each council to the "good mind" and the "bad mind."

After the six councillors, in each of the kingdoms of good and evil, come hosts of other spirits in a graduated hierarchy. On the side of Ahuramazda are the Yazatas (in Persian Yzeds), good spirits distributed throughout the universe, watching over the preservation of its several parts, and resisting the destructive attempts of the evil spirits. "At the head of Ahuramazda's army is the angel Sraosha (Serosh), 'the sincere, the beautiful, the victorious, the true, the master of truth.' He protects the territories of the Iranians, wounds and sometimes even slays the demons, and is engaged in a perpetual struggle against them, never slumbering day not night, but guarding the world with his drawn sword, more particularly after sunset, when the demons have the greatest power." ${ }^{14}$ Below the Yazatas were the Fervers, elemental spirits, not con-

[^290]founded witn, but corresponding to, the terrestrial and other objects, of which they are the immortal types. Every created being,-stars, animals, men, even angels,-had its Ferver, an invisible and ever watchful protector, to be honoured and propitiated by prayer and sacrifce. When a man died, his Ferver remained in heaven, and prayers for the dead were offered to their Fervers. Funeral ceremonies were instituted in their honour, and the last ten days of the year were sacred to them. The higher a man's character for nobleness and justice during life, the more powerful was his Ferver after death.
To this angelic hierarchy Ahriman opposed his Daévas (in Persian Devs), "devils" or "demons," with attributes directly contrary. They seem to have no leader corresponding to Serosh; but high rank is given to Drulkhs, "destruction," Aểhemb, "rapine," Daivis, "deceit," Driwis, "poverty," and others. They are the tempters of mankind, and by them the first man was enticed into the fallen state from which the revelation of the Zendavesta is to raise him up. But his restoration can only be accomplished by a mediator, who partakes of the divine essence: and this character is not assumed by Zoroaster, as it was later in the Indian system by Çakya-Mouni (Buddha). Zoroaster is but the inspired prophet, to whom Ahuramazda addresses his revelation: the true mediator is Mithra, who appears to proceed from Ahuramazda, and to be con-substantial with him. ${ }^{18}$ The development of the Mithraic worship, in its more material form, in which Mithra personifies the Sun, belongs to the later Persian religion; but the worship itself is common both to the Iranian and Indian systems; and it clearly belonged, in its elements at least, to the old Zoroastrian faith. Though Mithra cis not mentioned in the Gâthâs, we find in the next oldest portions of the Zendavesta his name; his title of "the victorious," who drove Ahriman from heaven in the form of the two-footed serpent; and his supreme rank as the guardian of men during life, and their judge after death. He also has his antagonist in the kingdom of Ahriman, called Mithra Daradj, "Mithra the Bad," who is ever laiouring to destroy the other's works of goodness.
§ 17. The great work of Ahuramazda in creating the world and man, and the corruption of this work by Ahriman as the tempter, is related in a form only differing in details from the account in the book of Genesis. The simple idea of creation distinguishes Mazdeism from the elaborate cosmogonies of the Chaldsean and other systeme. ${ }^{10}$ Ahuramazda, with the aid of the Amshashpands as his ministers,

[^291]created the universe out of nothing in six periods, each of which is called Gahanbâr (a "union of the times"), and has also its appropriate name, appended to the story of each period's work, as in the following formula:-"In 45 days, I Ormazd, with the Amshashpands, wrought well; I gave the heaven: then I celebrated the Gahanbâr, and gave it the name of Gah-Mediozerem." In the GahMedioshem, of 65 days, water was given : in the Gah-Peteshem, of 75 days, the earth: in the Gah-Eiathrem, of 30 days, the trees: in the Gah-Mediareh, of 80 days, the animals: finally, in the GahHamespthmedeon, of 75 days, man. Each of these epochs is celebrated by a sacrifice; and the last is called "that of the long sacrifice, of the perpetual sacrifice." The sum of these periods, 370 days, seems to point to a cosmic year; especially if there be somewhere an error of 5 days in excess.

The temptation and fall of man is related in the Pehlevi version of the Bundehesh :-"Ormazd speaks of Meshia and Meshiané (the first man and woman). Man, the father of the world, existed. His destiny was heaven, on condition that he was humble in heart, and that he bore with humility the work of the law, that he was pure in his thoughts, pure in his words, and that he did not invoke the Devs. . . . At first they spoke these words-' It is Ormazd who has given the water, the earth, the trees, the animals, the stars, the moon, the san, and all the blessings which come from a pure root and a pure fruit.' Then the Lie (the Dev of falsehood) invaded their thoughts : he subverted their dispositions, and said to them' It is Amriman who has given the water, the earth, the trees, the animals, and all that has been named above.' It is thus that in the beginning Ahriman deceived them in what related to the Devs; and to the end this cruel being has sought only to seduce them. By believing this lie, they both became Darvands, and their souls will be in hell till the renewal of their bodies. . . . The Dev who uttered the lie, becoming bolder, presented himself a second time, and brought them fruits which they ate, whereby of a thousand blessings they enjoyed there remained not one."

The Deluge is not mentioned in the Zendavesta; but we bave already found it in the oldest traditions of the Aryan race.
§ 18. The doctrine of future rewards and punishments is clearly, though briefiy, taught in the Zendavesta; the lost books of which probably contained further details. Here we have the original of Mohammed's famous "way, extended over the middle of Hell, which is sharper than a sword and finer than a hair, over which all must pass." In the Zendavesta, this passage is called chinvat peretu, "the bridge of the gatherer;" and there the souls of all who died are assembled on the day following the third night from their death. The wicked fall into the gulf below, into
the darkness of the kingdom of Angromainyus; where they are condemned to feed' on poisoned banquets. The good, upheld by the Yazatas and especially by the angel Serosh, and aided by the prayers of their surviving friends, are received on the other side by the archangel Vohumano, who rises from his throne to give them the greeting-"How happy art thou who hast come here to us, from mortality to immortality." Thence they are conducted to Paradise, where Ahuramazda and the Amshashpands sit on golden thrones; and their glorified spirits at once join the conflict against evil, and become formidable antagonists to the Dávas. Whether the resurrection of the body, which was held in the Magian creed, ${ }^{17}$ and is found in some ancient portions of the Zendavesta, was an article of the original Zoroastrian faith, is still disputed.
§ 19. The morality, which was thus rewarded by an eternal abode in Paradise, was at once simple and pure, practical and spiritual. The one great duty of the faithful was to work with Ormazd in combatting all forms of evil, both within and withuut. Truth and purity, piety and industry, were the highest virtues: lying is regarded with profound horror; and agriculture is the most honnurable work. "Evil was traced up to ite root in the heart of man; and it was distinctly taught that no virtue desorved the name, but such as was coëxtensive with the whole sphere of human activity, including the thought, as well as the word and dced." ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Of its practice the Zendavesta speaks as follows:-"He is a holy man, says Ahuramazda, who constructs upon the earth a habitation, in which he maintains fire, cattle, his wife, his children, and good flocks. He who makes the earth produce corn, who cultivates the fruits of the fields, he maintains purity; he promotes the lawrof Ahuramazda as much as if he offered a hundred aacrifices." For such a course aided in preserving the good creation, and combatted the work of Angromainyus, who had brought thorns, weeds, and barrenness upon the earth. In fact, the earth itself, the genius of which we have seen to be one of the Amshashpands, waa an object of even superstitious reverence: which must not be defiled by the burial of the dead. For a like reason, they must not be burned, for fire was too pure to be brought in contact with corruption; and it only remained to leave them to be devoured by birds of prey in enclosures set apart for the purpose. On a similar principle, all the objects of creation were divided into two classes, as belozging to the respective empires of Ormazd or of Ahriman. Useful animals, corn, pasture, water, fire, are sacred things, as

[^292]being the work of the good principle: while noxious animals are regarded as the creatures and instruments of the evil principle. But, by a curious inference, the condition of each creature in this respect is changed by death; for Ahriman, in putting an end to the life, which was received from Ormazd, remains master of the dead body, which is therefore impure: and the contrary happens when Ormazd kills a creature of Ahriman. On this principle, bloody sacrifices were interdicted in the pure Mazdean worship; for the creatures of Ormazd might not be destroyed (except from necessity, for food), and the creatures of Ahriman would pollute the altars of Ormazd.
§ 20. The pure Zoroastrian worship consisted of prayers and hymns (such as the Gâthâs), both to Ahuramazda and to his councillors and angels. For, though the former was the only object of supreme adoration, a sort of inferior worship was rendered to the Amshashpands and Yazatas, and to all creatures superiot to man; among the rest to the heavenly bodies, the worship of whick received a great development under the Achæmenids, perhaps through Chaldæan influence. - With these prayers and hymas were combined the maintenance of the sacred and sacrificial fire, and the curious ceremony, derived from the highest Aryan antiquity, of offering the juice of the plant called Homa (the Soma of the Vedas, where the rite is much more developed, and Soma becomes the Moon-god, in association with Mithra as the Sun-god). "The ceremony consisted in the extraction of the juice of the Homa plant by the priests during the recitation of prayers, the formal presentation of the liquid extracted to the sacrificial fire, ${ }^{19}$ the consumption of a small portion of it by one of the officiating priests, and the division of the remainder among the worshippers. As the juice was drunk immediately after extraction, and before fermentation had set in, it was not intoxicating." ${ }^{20}$ Such was the compromise, so to speak, under which the Zoroastrian system retained a rite which in the old nature-worship had been one of gross intoxication.

The utter abhorrence of all idolatry, by which Zoroastrianism was distinguished, is testified by Herodotus in his interesting account of the religion of the Persians. ${ }^{21}$ "They have no images of the gods, no temples nor altars, and consider the use of theon a

[^293]sign of folly. This comes, I think, from their not believing the gods to have the same nature with men, as the Greeks imagine. Their wont, however, is to ascend the summits of the loftiest mountains, and there to offer sacrifice to Jove, which is the name they give to the whole circuit of the firmament. They likewise offer to the sun and moon, to the earth, to fire, to water, and to the winds. These are the only gods whose worship has come down to them from ancient times. At a later period they began the worship of Urania, which they borrowed from the Arabians and Assyrians. Mylitta is the name by which the Assyrians know this goddess, whom the Arabians call Alitta, and the Persians Mitra. ${ }^{22}$ To these gods the Persians offer sacrifice in the following manner: they raise no altar, light no fire, pour no libations; there is no sound of the flute, no putting on of chaplets, no consecrated barley-cake; but the man who wishes to sacrifice brings his victim to a spot of ground which is pure from pollution, and there calls upon the name of the god to whom he intends to offer. It is usual to have the turban encircled with a wreath, most commonly of myrtle. The sacrificer is not allowed to pray for blessings on himself alone, but he prays for the welfare of the king, and of the whole Persian people, among whom he is of necessity included. He cuts the victim in pieces, and, having boiled the flesh, he lays it out upon the tenderest herbage that he can find, trefoil esper cially. When all is ready, one of the Magi comes forward and chants a hymn, which they say recounts the origin of the gods. It is not lawful to sacrifice unless there is a Magus present. After waiting a short time the sacrificer carries the flesh of the victim away with him, and makes whatever use of it he may pleasef ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{a}$ In this description of ceremonies, to which Herodotus was doubtless often an eye-witness during his travels, we see elements strange to primitive Zoroastrianism-nature-worship, animal sacrifices, and the Magian priesthood-the origin of which is a most interesting question in the history of the Iranians.
§ 21. The Iranian traditions represent the reformation of Zoroaster as encountered by vehement opposition, leading to long and bloody religious wars among the Aryans. Such an opposition would be certain on the part of the adherents to the pantheistic natureworship which had corrupted the ancient Aryan faith, and its natural leaders would be the priests. Accordingly, the Persian traditions of Zoroaster mention as his chief antagonists a portion of the Aryan priesthood. The very anachronism, by which these are called Brahmans, tells us where to soek their successors; and when

[^294]we find the hymns of the Rig-Veda heaping maledictions upor Zoroaster (Djaradáshti), we can scarcely doubt that the two parties in this religious war were those represented by the doctrines of the Zendavesta and the Vedas, and that it caused the separation of the Iranian and Indian branches of the Aryan race. The latter appear to have been worsted in the struggle, and to have been driven out of the common home in Bactria. Crossing the Hindoo Koosh, they occupied successively the regions of Paropamisus, Drangiana, Arachosia, and finally the valley of the Indus and its tributaries (Scinde and the Punjab). Here their religion was developed into Brahminism, which still retains the gross naturalism which they had defended against the reforms of Zoroaster. After a struggle, which lasted for centuries, they conquered the Cushite aborigines of the Indian peninsula, and reduced them to the position of inferior castes. It does not lie within our plan to follow further, at present, the history of the Indian branch.
§ 22. The Iranian branch kept possession of Bactriana, Sogdiana, and Margiana, on the northern side of the Indian Caucasus. As their numbers increased, they passed that range into the western part of the table-land of Iran, and overran Media, eastern Susiada, Persia, and the fertile parts of Carmania; expelling from those countries or reducing the old Cushite inhabitants, whom the Iranian legends describe as men of a black complexion, with short and woolly hair. Thus the power of the Aryans was established throughout the highlands bordering the Tigris and Euphrates valley on the east; and we have seen indications of their dominion for a time in that valley itself. But the degree of their power was very different in different parte of these regions. In Persia and Carmania they scarcely encountered a serious resistance; and those countries became the great seats of the pure Zoroastrian faith. In Susiana the Cushite population held their ground in the congenial lowlands; while in the adjacent hills the names of the Cossæi and Elymæi ${ }^{24}$ shew the presence of a mixed Cushite and Semitic population.

In Media the Turanians, who bad long been established in the country, and given it the name it has since borne, ${ }^{96}$ renewed the old conflict of race and religion with the Aryan invaders. The contest seems to have lasted for about a thousand years, and only to have been decided at last by the aid which the Persians gave to their brethren in Media. It is to these great wars of Iran and 'furan that the Persian legends, in Firdousi's poem of 'The Book of Kings,' refer the greatest exploits of their national heroes, Rustem, KaïKhosru, Farrukhzad.
§ 23. When the Aryans at last prevailed in Media, it was as a

[^295]conquering minority among a conquered people, who retained their own language and corrupted the religion of their masters. We have seen that the Achæmenid kings addressed edicts to their Median subjects in a Turanian dialect; and it was from the Turanians of Media that the Iranian religion derived that Mayian character which has often been mistaken for the real nature of Zoroastrianism. The confusion dates from Herodotus, who saw the worship in its Median form, but did uot vistt lersia Proper ; and it was confirmed by the proneness of the later Achamenids to adopt foreign forms of worship. Thus Artaxerxes Mnemon, who was a chief corruptor of the old religion, introduced the worship of Anaitis, and gave prominence to that of the stars. The old Turanian religion was essentially elemental, and the Magi were its priests. The chicf points of their worship, when it was engrafted upon that of the Aryans, are enumerated in the above extract from Herodotus.

It is still in dispute whether the fire-worship, which is so conspicuous a feature in the later Persian religion, was derived from the Magi, or whether they only gave a grosser form to an old Zoroastrian adoration of light and fire as the symbols of Ahuramazda. The pyrcethra, or fire-towers, the only Medo-Persian temples, are found along the mountain heights of Armenia, Azerbijan, Kurdistan, and Luristan, which would naturally be the native strongholds, and where we also find inscriptions in the T'uranian dialect; and it was here that tradition placed the primitive seat of the Magian worship. It seems more certain that demon-worship was a corruption which arose from the Turanian cult of the serpent Afrasiab. Identifying him with Ahriman, they adopted the heresy which made the latter in all respects co-equal with Ormazd; and, contrary to the spirit of apure Zoroastrianism, they worshipped the evil power as much as the good. Hence, not improbably, the origin of the sect of Yczidis, or "devilworshippers," which still exists in Irak-Ajemy and Northern Mesopotamia. From the fusion of Zorosstrianism with Magism in Media, while it retained its purity in Persia, arose a distinction between the two nations which had political consequencer of great im. portance.


The Persian "Ferouher."


## CHAPTER XIX.

## - RISE OF THE MEDIAN KINGDOM.

11. Relation of Media and Persls to Ariana. General sketcb of Mrdia. $\$ 2$. And of Persia. 6 3. Extent of Media. Atropatene and Media Magna. The Caspian shores. 84 . Physical character of Media The sterile highlands. Lake Urumigeh. The rivers. Irrigation of the desert. The great horse pastures. © 5. Cities of Median Ecbatana. Rhagm. Bagistan (Behistun), and its monumental rock. Aspadana (Isfahan). 66. Origin of the Median people. 6 7. Assyrian notices of the Medes. Conquesta by Sargon, Sennnacherib, and Esar-haddon. Imperfect subjection to Assyria. §8. Classical accounts of Media-inconsistent and in great part fabolous. The scheme of Ctesias. His chronology artificial; 9. Account of Herodotus Elevation of Deroges to the kingdom. The story conceived in a Greek apirit. His name a representative title. \$10. The six tribes of the Medians. 611 . The capital of Ecbatana, as described by Herodotus. Traces of Sabaism. Hypothesis of two Ecbatanas. The historic capital. \$12. The Admainistration of Deioces-typical of an Oriental despotism. §13. Phraortre, Amavartish, probably derived in parl from a personage of later times. First collision of the Medes with Assyria, perhaps led by Phraortes and his son Cyaxares. The Medes repulsed and Phraortes slain. Cyaxares organizes the Median army. § 14. Cyaxaras, the true founder of the Median kingdom. 15. Beglaning of the Medo-Persian Empire.
§ 1. Thr preceding chapter followed that great branch of the Aryan race, which was destined to possess the empire of Western Asia, to their settlements in Media and Persia. Those countries
may be roughly described as formed by the mountain belt included by the ancients under the general name of Zagrus, which, running in a south-easterly direction from Armenia to the eastern side of the Persian Gulf, separates the valley of the Euphrates and Tigris from the higher table-land of Iran; to which we must add a portion of the table-land itself. The eastern limit was determined by the physical character of the region. The Iranian plateau, which nowhere rises so much as 3000 feet above the sea, is for the most part a sandy desert. On the north and north-east, indeed, the rivers flowing from the Indian Caucasus and the Paropamisus redeem from the deseit regions of more or less fertility, forming the districts of Parthia, Aria, Drangiana, and Arachosia But these streams, like those flowing from the eastern slopes of Zagrus, form an exception to the law,
"As to the sea returning rivers roll,"
and are lost in the rainless desert which occupies the central portion of the table-land, down to the shore of the Indian Ocean. The desert resembles a vast parallelogram standing on this shore as its base, and extending obliquely with a north-westerly slope upward to the mountains south of the Caspian.
The whole table-land, exclusive of Media and Persia-but inclusive (in the widest sense of the term) of the eastern slopes of the mountains down to the Indus, and the Aryan regions of Bactriana, Sogdiana, and Margiana, on the northern side of the Indian Cau-casus-was included by the geographers of the Moman Empire ${ }^{1}$ under the general name of Abiana, which answers to the later Persian Iran, and the land of the Airya in the Vendidad, the Ariya in the Achæmenian inscriptions.' The Airyanem vaejo ("source" or "native land of the Aryans") of the Vendidad, which some suppose to denote this region, designates evidently the primeval abode of the race.

The mountains, which divide this great table-land on the west from Mesopotamia and the Persian Gulf, consist of no less than six or seven parallel ranges, all converging, at their northern extremity, in the great central knot of the Armenian highlands, where they join the chain which skirts the south and south-western margin of the Caspian. In this latter chain, now called Mfount Elburz (anciently designated by the general name of Caspii Montes), and overlooking the modern Persian capital of Teheran, is the snowy peak of Demavend (Jasonius M.), the highest mountain of Asia west of the Himalayas. A line drawn somewhat to the east of the middle

[^296]of the Caspiat Sea and of this peak, nearly along the meridian of $521^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. long., may be allowed to mark the rather indefinite limit at - which Media merged on the east into Parthia and the great salt desert of Khorassan. The former country included the mountainous regions formed by the western part of the Caspian chain and the northern part of the Zagrus range, with the portion of the plateau lying in the angle between them, and the strip of coast on the S. and S.W. of the Caspian : the S.E. part of this slip belonged to Hyrcania Following the course of Mt . Zagrus to the sonth-eas., the Medians bordered upon the kindred Persians," who occupied the highlands along the eastern side of the Persian Gulf, and a portion of the adjoining table-land, merging in the desert of Carmania.
§ 2. The last-named region-Persila Proper or Pergis, corresponding to the modern provinces of Farsistân (which preserves the ancient name), ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Laristân, and Kerman-had a homogeneous character, adapted to preserve the pure nuclens of the Iranian race which was ultimately to wield the empire of Asia. The mountain ranges, while following the bend of the coast, expand into a highland territory 200 miles in width, defended nearly on all sides by the sea and desert. The great plain of Khuzistan (Susiana), whose proximity had so momentous an influence on the history of the Elamites and Medes, narrows along the eastern shore of the Persian Gulf into an arid strip of sand and gravel, from 10 to 50 miles in width, almost uninhabitable from its extreme hear, and in extent only about oneseventh of the highland region. In the latter "lay the bulk of the ancient Persia, consisting of alternate mountain, plain, and narrow valley, curiously intermixed, and as yet very incompletely mapped. This region is of varied character. In places richly fertile, picturesque, and romantic almost beyond imagination, with lovely wooded dells, green mountain-sides, and broad plains suited for the production of almost any crops, it has yet on the whole a predominant character of sterility and barrenness, especially towards its more northern and eastern portions. The supply of water is everywhere scanty. Scarcely any of the streams are strong enough to reach the sea. After short courses, they are either absorbed by the sand or end in small saltlakes, from which the superfluous water is evaporated." 6 It has only two rivers of importance: one, the Arotis or Oroatis (now the Tab) falling into the Persian Gulf on the borders of Susiana (in $30^{\circ}$,

[^297]N. lat.): the other, the Araxes (Bendamir), which flows eastward through the beautiful valley of Merdasht into the desert, and is lost in the salt lake of Baktegan towards the borders of Carmania. At . the spot where the Araxes receives its tributary, the Cyrus (Kur) or Puhlvar), stood the Achæmenid capital, Persepolis, and about 30 miles higher up on the Cyrus was the older capital of Pasargada, with the tomb of Cyrus.

So effectually did these secluded bighlands separate the Persians from the rest of the world, that their name is not mentioned in the ethnical list of Genesis $\mathbf{x}$. : perhaps, however, at that period they were not a separate nation. If the Bartsu or Partsu of the Assyrian monuments were the Persians-which is not certain-they are first found in the 9th and 8th centuries B.O. in the S.E. of Armenia, in close contact with, but independent of, the Medes; and again, in the time of Sennacherib, in the mountains N. and N.E. of Susiana, close upon, if not within, the limits of Persia Proper. From these notices it has been inferred that the Persians at first accompanied the migrations of the Medes, and did not settle in their own proper country till near the end of the Assyrian empire, which, in fact, appears, from the records of Darius, to have been about the time of the traditional origin of the Achæmenid dynasty. But this late separation from the Medes seems scarcely consistent with the preservation of the pure Zoroastrian faith by the Persians; nor must the date of a dynasty be confounded with the origin of a nation which seeme to have been long a sort of patriarchal republic.

At all events, it is not till the time of Cyrus that the Persians begin to play their part in history; and then the name of their country is merged in that of the empire which Cyrus founded. Rut, while the empire was called Persia, the proper country of the original Persians was always distinguished by the name of Persis, which is perpetuated to the present day in that of Farsistân. We may here observe that the modern kingdom of Persia corresponds very nearly to the western and larger half of the Iranian plateau, including the ancient Media, Susiana, Persis, and Carmania, with the central desert of Khorassan, and the mountainous region on the north (the ancient Parthia and Hyrcania). The eastern part of the plateau forms the countries of Afghanistan, Seistan, and Belonchistan.
§3. The physical character of Media was much more varied. The ancient writers recognize the two great divisions of Media Atropatene and Media Magna, corresponding nearly, the one to Azerbijan, the other to Irak-Ajemi, with the mountains of Eur=

[^298]distan and Luristan, down to the boundary of Persia. The former (Atropatene) seems to have been the country in which the Medes first settled on their migration from the east (though they would also occupy on their way the part of Media Magna directly $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}$ of the- Caspian). It was a mass of mountains, between Armenia on the north, Assyria on the west, and the Caspian on the east. It was divided from Armenia by a mountain chain and by the lower course of the Araxes.

On the side of the Caspian, the proper boundary seems to have reached only to the mountains bordering the sea. The slip of coast extending round the south-west and southern shores, with the overhanging slopes of Talishin, Elburz, and Demavend or Karun (now forming the districts of Ghilan and Mazanderan), though claimed as a part of Media, seems really to have been held by independent tribes, the Cadusii and others. ${ }^{9}$ This fertile region is scarcely equalled on the surface of the earth for its rich woods and abundant fruits; but the intense heats of summer and the frequent inundations mako it most pestilential. It is connected with Media Atropatene on the-west by the valley of the Kizil-Uzen or Sefid-, $R u d$, and with Great Media on the south by a pass some 80 or 90 miles E. of Teheran, the Caspiæ Pylæ of the aucients.
§ 4. Most of the surface, both of Atropatene and Media Magna, is covered with bare rocky ranges, sterile downs and sandy valleys; having a climate of keen severity in winter and intense heat in summer; as is natural in a highland region, the valleys of which are from 4000 to 5000 feet above the sea level, lying between the parallels of $30^{\circ}$ and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude, and scantily supplied with watar. On the plateau bordering upon the sandy desert, the sterility is of course greater; the summers are still hotter, and the winters colder. But the two spring months of April and May form a delicious exception to this rigour and sterility. "In the worst parts of the regirio, there is a time, after the spring rains, when Nature puts on a holiday dress, and the country becomes gay and cheerful. 'l'he slopes at the base of the rocky ranges are tinged with an emerald green; a richer vegetation springs up over the plains, which are covered with a fine herbage, or with a variety of crops. The orchards are a mass of blossoms; the rose gardens come into bloom; the cultivated lands are covered with springing crops; the desert itself wears a light livery of green. Every sense is gratified : the nightingale bursts out into a full gush of song; the air plays softly upon the cheek, and comes loaded with fragrance." 10

[^299]Scme favoured spots, however, enjoy constant ferti.ity and beauty; especially the basin of the great lake Urumigeh (the ancient Spauta or Martiana) in Azerbijan, and the valleys of its tributary streams, the Aji-Su (on which stands the royal summer residence of Tabriz), and the Jaghetu on the south of the lake. The lake itself is a large shallow sluggish piece of water, intensely blue, and so deeply impregnated with salt that no fish can live in it ; in short, a Median Dead Sea. The other fertile regions are the plain of the lower Arazes, where the Persians say the grass is tall enough to hide an army in its camp; the valley of the Kizil-Cren (the ancient Amardus), which flows through Azerbijan into the Caspian Sea; and, in the south of Medis Magna, the Zenderud waters the valley of Iffahan, and is not lost in the desert till it has redeemed from sterility a considerable tract of country by means of the curious underground canals called Kanats. ${ }^{4}$ Under this system of irrigation, large crops of graiu and vegetables are grown; and fruit and forest trees abound on the slopes and in the valleys of Zagrus, and in the more sheltered parts of Azerbijan. The upland plains among the western chains of Zagrus, in the southern part of Media Magna, near Bagistan, furnished pasturage to the thousands of horses which, so careful a writer as Polybius says, supplied almost all Asia, ${ }^{18}$ and especially to the celebrated Nissan breed, which the Medes seem to have brought from Parthia on their westward migration. ${ }^{13}$
§ 5. The most important cities of Media were Ecbatans (or the two Ecbatanas), of which we have presently to speak; and Rhaga or Rhages, on the south side of Elburz, near the Caspian Gates, the chief city of Rhagiana, the north-easternmost district of Nesdia. This was probably one of the most ancient foundations of the Iranians on their migration westward; for in the First Fargard of the Vendidad, Rhaga is their tweifth settlement, in which the faithful were mingled with unbelievers. Traditions of its importance in Assyrian times are familiar to readers of the Apocrypha." The first Darius mentions it as the scene of the final struggle in the

[^300]great Median revolt; ${ }^{18}$ and it is connected with the fall of the last Darius. ${ }^{18}$ It was rebuilt by Seleucus Nicator under the name of Europus, which was changed to Arsacia under the Parthians.

Another most interesting site was Bagistan (called Bagistana or Bastana by the Greeks), which Isidore of Charax describes as "a city situated on a hill, where there was a pillar and statue of Semiramis." ${ }^{17}$ The hill is the Mons Bagistanus of Diodorus, ${ }^{18}$ who relates how Semiramis, having finished her works in Babylon, and proceeding to make war upon Media, encamped near it on her march to Ecbatana. At the foot of the precipitous rock, 17 stades in height, ${ }^{18}$ which was sacred to Jove, ${ }^{20}$ she made a paradiso (a park or pleasure ground) of 12 stadia in circumference, which, being in the plain, had a great spring from which all the plants could be watered. Having cut away the lower part of the rock, she caused her own portrait to be sculptured there, together with thuse of 100 attendant guards. She engraved also the following inscription in Syrian (he means, of course, Assyrian) letters : "Semiramis, having piled up one upon another the pack-saddles of the beasts of burthen which accompanied her, ascended by this means from the plain to the top of the rock." Such is the account of Diodorus, who elsewhere states that Alexander, on his march from Susa to Ecbatana, turned a little out of his course to see the fruitful and delightful district of Bagistana, ${ }^{\text {gI }}$ where he marched through the great horse pastures already mentioned.

All these indications clearly identify the place with the rock of Behistun, which lies in the direct route from Babylon to Hamadan, the site of Ecbatana, and "where the plain, the fountain, the precipitous rock, and the scarped surface are still to be seen." ${ }^{22}$

[^301]The spot seems marked out by nature for records to be "graven with an iron pen and lead in the rock for ever: "mand the traces of four seta of carvings are thus perpetuated on the face of the cliff. (i) On the upper part of the principal mass of rock, the whole surfuse of which has been scarped away, are the remains of the heads of three colossal figures, apparently of very early workmanship, and above them are traces of characters. ${ }^{24}$ (ii) At the north extremity of the mountain, in a nook or retiring angle of the hill, high upon the rock and almost inaccessible, is the famous record of Darius, the son of Hystaspes, known as The Behistun Inscription, of which we shall have to speak again ${ }^{25}$ (iii.) Still farther to the north, and of much later workmanship, is a group, composed originally of five or six figures, but now much mutilated, representing a person trampling on a prostrate enemy, while Victory presents him with a wreath. The inscription is in Greek and much defaced; but, from the occurrence of the name of Cotarzes twice, it is supposed to record the great victory gained in a neighbocring plain by Gotarzes over his rival Meherdates in the time of the Emperor Claudius. ${ }^{\text {an }}$ (iv.) Besides these historic records, there is a comparatively modern inscription in Arabic, recording a grant of land as an endowment of the adjacent caravanserai.
The only other city that claims notice is Aspadana, so famous as the modern capital, Isfahan." ${ }^{7 \pi}$ But, in ancient geography, it is only mentioned by Ptolemy.
§6. How and when the country thus described first acquired the name of Medis, is one of the doubtful problems of ethnography. That at least the dominant race in historic times-the Mada of the Achamenid inscriptions-were an Aryan people, is unquestionstole; and it seems equally certain that they conquered and, to a great extent, displaced an older Turanian population. As the Zend- -

[^302]avesta does not mention' the Medes in its list of the Aryan nigrations, it is natural to infer that the name was adopted from the country in which they settled; and a Turanian etymology has been found for it. On the other hand, the name of Madai occurs among the Japhetic races in Genesis $x$.; and arguments are urged, both from language and tradition, to shew the existence of the Aryan race and the Median name both in Western Asia and in Eastern Europe in the earliest ages; and to suggest the inference that the Aryan migration from the east was the second settlement of the Japhetic race in Media. ${ }^{\circ}$
§ 7. The first historical notices of the Medes occur in the annals of Shalmaneser II., the "Black Obelisk King" of Assyria, about the middle of the 9 th century b.c. They appear to be a tribe of no great strength, occupying the district of Media Magna now called Ardelan. Shalmaneser and his son make raids into their country, and the next ling reduces them to tribute; but this probably applies only to the tribes in and near Zagrus, and there is no evidence that these campaigns extended far into the country. Tiglath-pileser II. (b.c. 745 and onward) made campaigns in Media, exacted tribute, and even sent an officer to exercise authority in the country. A. more considerable conquest was made about B.c. 710 by Sargon, who not only annexed several Median cities to Assyria, and established fortified posts in the country, but colonised some parts of it with his captives from Samaris. $2{ }^{20}$ The tribute of horses which he exacted shews his power over the country in which the great pastures lay. The spread of the Assyrian arms to the east is attested by the boast of Sennacherib (about b.c. 701) thist he had received an embassy of submission from remote parts of Media, "of which the kings his fathers had not oven heard." Esar-haddon, in his tenth year (b.o. 670) applies the same formula to his invasion of Bikni or Bikan (apparently Azerbijan), which appears to have been a real conquest. He represents the country as held by a number of independent chiefs, whose Aryan names deserve notice. "The condition of Media during this period, like that of the other countries upon the borders of the great Assyrian kingdom, seems one which cannot properly be termed either subjection or independence. The Assyrian monarchs claimed a species of sovereignty, and regarded a tribute as due to them; but the Medes, whenever they dared, withheld the tribute, and it was probably seldom paid unless enforced by the presence of an army. Media was throughout governed by her own princes, no single chief exercising any paramount rule, but each tribe or district

[^303]acknowledging its own prince or chieftain." ${ }^{30}$ These distinct records agree with the traditional history in so far as the latter makes Media at one time subject to the Assyrian Empire; but the divergence in other respects is extraordinary.
§ 8. The classical writers give us two different schemes of Median history. As in the case of Assyria, Ctesias and Herodotus are quite at variance; and both seem to have been misled-but the former in the far greater degree-by the same causes which have been explained before. ${ }^{\text {si }}$ The two accounts only converge (at first sight) at the accession of Astyages, whom Ctesias calls Aspadas, the last king of Media, in b.c. 594. Before him Ctesias (followed by Diodorus, the chronographers, and other writers) places a series of eight kings, whose united reigns make up 282 years; thus carrying back the foundation of the Median Monarchy to b.c. 876. ${ }^{89}$ Herodotus enumerates only four kings, including Astyages; whose three predecessors fill up 115 years; and thus the foundation of the monarchy is placed in B.O. 709 or (in round numbers) 710. On comparing these statements with the Assyrian records, we obtain the curious results, that, of the two epochs at which the Medes are represented as consolidated into a kingdom, the former-when also, according to the same authority, they razed Nineveh to the groundcoincides very nearly with the time when the powerful "Black Obelisk King" is making his first inroaas into Media; and the latter coincides exactly with the date of Sargon's conquests in that country ${ }^{\text {sa }}$

The chronology of Ctesias betrays its artificial character by the prevalence of round numbers, and still more by the repetition of the same periods for the lengths of the kings' reigns; and a very ingenious suggestion has been made, that the longer chronology ${ }^{\text {was }}$ derived from the shorter by a reduplication of the same reigns under different names. ${ }^{3}$ It will be observed that, in this scheme, the
so Rawlinson's " Herodotus,' vol. I. p. 405.
It See chap. yi. 13 .
*The modern witters who accept the story of Ctesias and Diodorus-that Arbaces, the governor of Media under Asgyid, leagued with the Babylonian prlest Beleng: to overthrow the effeminate tyrant Sardanapalas and dentroy Nineveh-evade the chronological difficulty by brifging down the date nearly a centary, to B.c. 788.
${ }^{4}$ The following table shews the comparison suggested by Profemor Rawlinson ('Herod' voh. L. p. 400 ; ' Five Monarchies,' vol. IIi. p. 173).


Cyaxares of Herodotus (with whom we shall presently see that the real history of the Median kingdom begins) has his duplicate representatives in the Artæus and Astibaras of Ctesias; and the only details which the latter gives of any of his kings, after Arbaces, consist in wars of Artreus and Artynes with the Cadusii and Saces, which may very well correspond to the Scythian war of Cyaxares. In short, the Median history of Ctesias is now generally regarded as founded on the exaggerated legends of national pride repeated to him at the court of Artaxerxes, in which dates were exaggerated, and names and events misplaced and misunderstood.
§ 9. Nor is the more circumstantial story of Herodotus free from the like fabulous ingredients ; but it is worth repeating as a whole. The Assyrians, he tells us, ${ }^{35}$ had held the empire of Upper Asia for the space of 520 years, ${ }^{36}$ when the Medes set the example of revolt from their authority. They took arms for the recovery of then freedom, and fought a battle with the Assyrians, in which they behaved with such gallantry as to shake off the yoke of servitude, and to become a free people. For a time they enjoyed self-government in their scattered villages ; but the lawlessness resulting from the absence of any central authority enabled Derocrs, the son of Phraortes, to bring them again under the kingly yoke, through the reputation he acquired as a just judge. The historical value of the story of his election to the crown will be better understood from the comments of Mr. Grote than from the bare narrative of Herodotus :-" Of the real history of Deioces we cannot be said to know anything, for the interesting narrative of Herodotus presents to us in all points Grecian society and ideas, not Oriental. . . The story of Deioces describes what may be called the despot's progress,' first as candidate, and afterwards as fully established. . . . Deioces begins like a clever Greek among other Greeks, equal, free, and disorderly; he is athirst for despotism from the beginning, and is forward in manifesting his rectitude and justice, 'as beseems a candidate for command;' he passes into a despot by the public vote, and receives, what to the Greeks was the great symbol and instrument of such transition, a personal body-guard; he ends by organising both the machinery and the etiquette of a despotism in the Oriental fashion, like the Cyrus of Xenophon; only that both these authors maintain the superiority of their Grecian ideal over Oriental reality by ascribing both to Deioces and Cyrus a just, systematic, and laborious administration, such as their own experience did not present to them in Asia." ${ }^{77}$

The very name of Deioces is scarcely more substantial than the

[^304]Jetails of his elevation to the throne. The Median and Persian royal names were as significant as the Assyrian, and form, like them, a sort of recurring list, in which none like Deioces appears. But the name does resemble a title, which is an element of one Median royal name, Dahak, " the biting," the Zohak of the old Aryan traditions, the serpent worshipped by the Turanians, and probably adopted as an emblem by their Median conquerors. ${ }^{28}$ Thus Deioces may be regarded as the hero-eponymus of these conquerors. ${ }^{\text {to }}$
§ 10. The chief traditions of early Median history, which Herodotus refers to the reign of Deioces, are the gathering of the tribes into one political body, and the building of the capital and royal palace. Some light is thrown on the national constitution by the names of the six tribes. These were the Busm, Paretaceni, Struchates, Arizanti, Budii, and Magi. ${ }^{40}$ In four of these we recognize the four original Aryan classes; the Magi taking the place of the priests; the Arizantes being the Aryan warriors (Ariyazantu, "those of the race of the Aryans"); the Busa, the agriculturists (the Sanscrit bouja, "indigenous") ; the Struchates, the nomad shepherds (the Persian satrauvat, " living under tents"). As to the other two, the Budii may possibly be another form of bouja, epplied to the Turanian natives; ${ }^{41}$ and the Paretaceni, ${ }^{12}$ (a name applied also to the border province, which is variously assigned to Media and to Persia), are perbaps mountaineers (from the Persian paruta and the Sanscrit parvata, "a mountain").
§ 11. Herodotus says further that, when Deioces was settled upon the throne, he required the people, neglecting their petty towns, to build the single great city of Agbatans, or Ecbatana. ${ }^{43}$ It consisted of a great citadel enclosing the royal palace, the dwellings of the people being outside of the walls: a plan which appears to bave been usual with the Median and Persian cities." He describes the

[^305]walls as "of great size and strength, rising in circles one within the other. The fortification is so plamned that each of the circles should out-top the one beyond it by the battlements only (the nature of the ground, which is a gentle hill, favours this arrangement in some degree, but it was mainly effected by art), ${ }^{45}$ the whole number of the circles being seven : within the last are contained the royal palace and the treasuries. The greatest of the walls is very nearly the same in size as the enclosure of Athens. Of the first circle, the battlements are white; of the second, black; of the third circle, scarlet; of the fourth, blue; of the fifth, orange:-of all these circles the battlements are coloured with pigments :--but the battlements of the two last are coated, the one with silver, and the other with gold," ${ }^{46}$

Now, in all except the order of the colours (which Herodotus may easily have transposed, from not knowing the principle of the arrangement), this description answers to the seven stages of the Chaldæan ziggurats. ${ }^{47}$ It clearly points to a similar system of sidereal worship; and if there really was such a building at the capital of Media, it confirms the corruption of Zoroastrianisrn by that system. Nay, more, the description furmishes some evidence of the old Sabæan religion of the country, even if Herodotus be only repeating a tradition, with which his informants amused him, like those of similar edifices found in the Persian writers. ${ }^{48}$

In this case, it would be the less necessary to seek for a site for the Agbatana of Herodotus distinct from the well-known capital of Media Magna. But Moses of Chorene positively identifies "the second Ecbatana, the seven-wallen city," with Garazac Shabasdan, in -Azerbijan; and Sir Henry Rawlinson has adduced strong argu-

[^306]ments in favour of this site (now called Takhti-Soleimán) for a northern Ecbatana, the special capital of Media Atropatele. ${ }^{4}$

The native name of the historical capital, the Ecbatana of all writers later than Herodotus, is still preserved in the modern Hamadan. Its situation in a grassy and wooded plain, watered by streams flowing from Mt. Elwend, corresponds to the site of Ecbatana as described by the ancients, at the foot of Mt. Orontes, a little to the east of the Zagrus range, in the southern part of Medis Magna. ${ }^{60}$ It appears to have been an unwalled city-for it yielded without resistance to Cyrus, to Alexander, and to Antiochus the Great-with a citadel, and a magnificent palace, which tradition (as usual) ascribed to Semiramis. ${ }^{\text {² }}$ Polybius states the circumference of the palace at 7 stadia, or rather more than four-fifths of an English mile. ${ }^{\text {ba }}$
§ 12. Herodotus carries out his ideal picture of the Median despot in a mode of life and government such as Diodorus ascribes to Ninyas and his successors. "Deioces allowed no one to have direct access to the person of the king, but made all communication pass through the hands of messengers, and forbad the king to be seen by any of his subjects. This ceremonial, of which he was the first inventor, Deioces established for his own security, fearing that his compeers, who were brought up together with him, and were of as good family as he, and no whit inferior to him in manly qualities, would be pained at the sight, and would therefore be likely to conspire against him; whereas, if they did not see him, they would think him quite a different sort of being from themselves." so In the seclusion of his palace, however, he continued to administer justice with the same strictness that had won his crown; the causes being stated, and his decisions given, in writing; and a constant surveillance being kept up thronghout his dominions by spies and eavesdroppers." Not only is this great organizer of a new kingdom unknown to the Assyrian annals, but in the very midst of his alleged reign (b.c. 709-656) we find Esar-haddon (about b.c. 670) reducing the "more distant Medes," who are under the government of their petty chiefs.
§ 13. After reign of 53 yearg-Herodotus proceeds-Deioces was succeeded by his son Phraortes, who began to extend the

[^307]Median dominion by conquering the Persians, and then overran Asia, province after province. At last he attacked the Assyrians of Nineveh, who were now left alone by the revolt and desertion of their allies, though their internal condition was as flourishing as ever. Phraortes perished in this expedition, with the greatest part of his army, after reigning over the Medes 22 years. ${ }^{56}$

Phraortes is a genuine proper name, in old Persian Fravartish, signifying a guardian or protector; ${ }^{50}$ a sense which might well suit the traditional founder of the nation's greatness. But we shall see in a moment that that honour rather belongs to Cyaxares : and it has been suggested that the alleged conquest of the Persians by Phraortes, and his violent death, may have been transposed by the vanity of a national annalist from the attempt of a Mede of the same name, who headed a rebellion against Darius the son of Hystaspes, which that king thus describes:-"A man named Phraortes, a Mede, rose up. To the state of Media thus he said- I am Xathrites, of the race of Cyaxares.' Then the Median troops who were at home revolted from me. They went over to that Phraortes. He became king of Media." ${ }^{57}$ In subsequent paragraphs, Darius relates the victories gained first by his general, ${ }^{58}$ and then by himself, ${ }^{59}$ over the pretender "who was called king of Media", ${ }^{\text {,0 }}$ and the flight of Phraortes to Rhages, where he was taken prisoner, and, says Darius, "broaght before me/ I cut off his nose and his ears and his tongue, and I led him away captive. He was kept chained at my door : all the kingdom beheld him. Afterwards I crucified him at Agbatana. And the men who were his chief followers, I slew within the oitadel of Agbatana." An Among the countries which declared in favour of Phraortes were Parthia and Hyrcania, which are included in the conquests ascribed to the Phraortes of Herodotus.

Such a transposition would be the more easily made if Phraortes was also the name of the father of Cyaxares; and this seems highly probable, from the custom of announcing the vame of a king's father in public documents. In this case, though Phraortes were not a king of Media, his Assatic conquests and collision with Assyria

[^308]might represent actual events. The historical empire of Media starts into such sudden existence under Cyaxares as to give great countenance to the theory of a fresh migration of Aryans into the Zagrus region, displacing the Scythian inhabitants, and conquering, as Herodotus says, "nation after nation," till they came in contact with Assyria.

The splendid and warlike Asshur-bani-pal had been succeeded by his son, the last king of Nineveh (b.c. 647). ${ }^{62}$ But tradition makes even this feeble prince shew courage when attacked, and, as Herodotus says, the resources of his empire were still great. His disciplined troops and war-chariots proved too powerful for the mountaineers when they came dqwn into the plains: the Medes were repulsed, with the loss of one of their leaders, Phraortes : and his son, Cyaxares, withdrew into Media, and there pursued the work, ascribed to him by Herodotus, of converting his warlike hordes into a disciplined army:-"Of him it is reported that he was etill more warlike than any of his ancestors, and that he was the first who gave organisation to an Asiatic army, dividing the troops into companies, and forming distinct bodies of the spearmen, the archers, and the cavalry, who before his time had been mingled in one mass, and confused together." ©s Such an organisation of his army would naturally involve the full establishment of his royal authority, for the Median kingdom was essentially military.
$\$ 14$. That Cyaxares ('Uvakhshatara) ${ }^{64}$ was the true founder of the Median kingdom, may be inferred from his being claimed as the ancestor of the royal race, not only (as we have seen) by the pretender Phraortes, but also by Chitratakhma, who led a rebellion of Sagartia against Darius, saying, "I am the king of Sagartia, of the race of Cyaxares." As And even the Greek writers confirm this view, notwithstanding their lists of carlier kings. The oldest and most remarkable testimony is that of Eschylus, who may have received from Persian or Median prisoners, during the invasion of Greuce, the statement which, he puts into the mouth of Darius, that-" The first leader of our host was a Mede; but another, his son, completed this work; but the third from him was Cyrus ${ }^{n}$ :the other two being manifestly Astyages, the predecessor of Cyrus,

[^309]
## Chap. IIX. BEGINNING OF THE MEDO-PERSIAN KINGDOM.

and Cyaxares the father of Astyages. ${ }^{66}$ Perhaps even Diodorus is not altogether blundering when he says that, "according to Hérodotus, Cyaxares founded the dynasty of Median kings; "or for we have seen that Herodotus does ascribe to Cyaxares the organization of the nomad host of Media into a military array.
§ 15. At all events, Cyaxares, whose accession is placed by Herodotus in B.c. 634, was the first Median king whose history is really known, and the real founder (as is implied in the statement quoted from Æschylus) of the Medo-Persian Kingdom. We say "Medo-Persian," rather than "Median," because, from the history of the following reign, there can be no dopbt that the Persians were already closely connected with the Medes. Whether their secondary position in the alliance was due to a conquest, such as Herodotus ascribes to Phraortes, or simply the result of their numerical inferiority, is a question hardly to be decided. All that we really know on this point is summed up in the prophet Daniel's impersonation of the Medo-Persian kingdom as a powerful "ram which had two horns: and the two horns were high, but the one was higher than the other, and the higher came up last. I saw the ram pushing westward, and northward, and southward; so that no beasts might stand before him, neither was there any that could deliver out of his hand; but he did according to his will and became great." es

In order to follow the course of these conquests, we must now look "westward" and "northward" to the nations with which the Medes first came into contact under Cyazares.

[^310]


## CHAPTER XX

## THE NATIONS OF ASIA MINOR - THE TABLELAND AND NORTH COAST.

| 1. Importance of Asia Minor in ancient history. 1 2. Its geographical atructura. If Connection of its mountaln system with Asia and Europe. The central table-latid. The Tauras. The northern range. The Anti-Taarve. The eactern boundary. $\$ 4$. Lakes and rivers. \$6. Climate and prodactions. 6. Dimensions of Aala Minor. Cyprus. $\mathbf{1}^{7}$. Great mixture of populations. Turaniana: the Moschi and Tibarenl. 98. The Carpadoclans. Why called Syrians. Probably an Aryan race. of 0. Extent of Cappandocia. Other nations within its limita. The Chalybes. The Matiens Clicians in Cappadocla according to Herodotus 10. The Pustoiams. Their great antiquity. Likeneas of thetr language to Oreek. \$11. They probably belonged to on early Aryan migration from the eact. Connection with the ofd Inhaltianta of Thrace, the \$12. Greek traditions about the Phrygiana if. The Palangians of Asia Minor: thetr comnection with thove of Europe. 6 14. Remaine of Phryatan architecture. 15. The Phrygian religion. 16. The Pbrygians premed back into Aefa Minor by the Thraciang $\$ 1$. Theaclawg in Ads Miner. The Thyni and Bithyol. 8 18. The Papalagomiano-probably akio to the Cappadocians. 619. Narrow limits of Phrygis to historic times. Its cettes 6 20. The Mricars-probably connected with the Purygiana,
51. Asia Mrnor, ${ }^{1}$ or Lesser Asia, is the great peninsula which runs out westward between the Black Sea and the Mediterranean, as if to

[^311]form a bridge between Asia and Europe, along which the teeming races of the one continent might find a passage to the other, and, when prepared by the civilization brought to them by the same route, might return to reconquer their primeval seats. It was by this way that the largest portion of the races which peopled the south of Europe made entrance to their new abodes. From the splendid harbours of the western coast, and by the steppingstones of the Archipelago, they received the commerce of Asia, with its wealth and civilizing power. When, by a reflex movement, large bodies of the Greeks settled on those western shores, it was there that they first cultivated, under Asiatic influences, commerce and art, philosophy and literature. The Asiatic Greeks of Miletus and Phocæa traded and founded colonies in the west of the Mediterranean. The model of all epic poetry took its subject from a city in the north-western corner of the peninsula, and was sung by an Asiatic Greek. ${ }^{2}$ The heroic and tender poetry of the lyre and flute sprang up in the islands of 巴̈olis and Ionia ; and its music was borrowed, in great part, from Lydia and Phrygia. The earliest Greek annalists were natives of Asia Minor, and the "father of history" united the vigorous blood of her Dorian settlers with the sweetness of the Ionian tongue. The earliest school of Greek philosophy, and some of the earliest triumphs of Greek architecture, had their home on the same shores. And, while the western coast was thus linked with Greece, the southern looks across the waters of the Levant to Syria and Egypt ; and the northern across the Euxine to the plains of Southern Russia, receiving various influences from the former, and conveying commerce and colonies to the latter; while the three narrow straits, whish on this side divide Europe from Asia, were, as the name of two of them imports, but fords or ferries, easily crossed by migrating or warlike hosts. ${ }^{8}$
§ 2. Thus placed between the two continents, Asia Minor is, in some respects, a miniature of both. Its structure, like that of A sia, is a central table-land, sinking down to the sen on the north and south, and throwing out other peninsulas to the west. These projections, with the continuous sea that washes its northern, western, and southern shores, constitute its likeness to Europe: while it resembles both continents in that general formation, by which the highest mountain ranges skirt the southern shores, and the surface has a general slope towards the north. Hence its largest rivers, as

[^312]the Halys and Sangarius, rising in the central table-land, traverse a large portion of its surface to reach the Euxine; while those in the south, except the few that find a passage through the chain of Taurus, run in a brief and rapid course from its southern chain.
§ 3. The skeleton of the whole peninsula is formed by a westerly prolongation of the highlands and mountain chains of Armenia, which are again continued eastward in the table-land of Iran. This fact has an historical importance, as shewing the continuity of the highland belt from Media by Arınenis to Asia Minor, surrounding the plain of Mesopotamia; so that tribes might migrate and armies march over the former, without descending into the latter. The great Greek geographer, Strabo, goes so far as to connect the mountainchains which skirt the northern and southern shores of the peninsula with those which form the north and south edges of the tableland of Iran. ${ }^{4}$

This connection is perfectly clear in the southern range-the famous chain of Taurus ${ }^{\text {b }}$ - which passes westward from its junction with Mount Zagrus, in Armenia, through the Syrian district of Commagene, to the south-western promontories of Caria. It reaches a general elevation of 10,000 feet. Its course is pretty well represented by the waving line of the southern coast, the mountains retiring, nore or less, to leave the rich plain of Eastern Cilicia and the narrower riviera of Pamphylia, and again throwing out bold terraces to form the convex shore of Tracheia (the "rough land") in Western Cilicia, and the great projection of Lycia. Beyond its termination on the continent, the chain may be traced to the southwest by the islands of Rhodes, Carpathus, and Crete, even to the south-eastern headland of Laconis, and to the west by the Spofides and Cyclades to Attica and Eubcea. Just above (and in fact forming) the angle between Cilicia and Syria, the T'aurus throws off the chain of Amanus to the south, round the Gulf of Issus, which runs far up into the fork. The passes or "Gates" of "Issus" and "Amanus" furnish a passage from Syria to Asia Minor, which was doubtless ap important in primeval migrations as it was famous for the march of later armies. Hence it is that the prevailing population of the southern coast was Semitic.
The northern range proceeds from that part of the Armenian mountains at which they are connected with the central part of the Caucasus; and, sweeping round the south-eastern curve of the Black Sea (the ancient Euxine, or simply Pontus, "sea"), where it was called the Moschici Montes, skirts its southern shore in a series of parallel ranges, called Paryadres in the east, Olgassys, in the centre,

[^313]and the Mysian Olympus in the west. The last forks into two chains, enclosing the Propontis (Sea of Marmora) on the north and south; the northern severed only by the Bosporus from the chain of Hæmus, the latter ending with Ida in the Troad, and prolonged across the Hellespont and the Ж犬gean by the Chersonese and the islands of the Thracian Sea.

The central table-land, supported by these two chains, breaks into the ranges which form the bold promontories and long peninsulas of the western coasts, with the neighbouring large islands of Lesbos, Chios, and Samos; and between these ranges lie the rich valleys of the rivers which flow westward from the table-land, the Hermus and Mæander, and between them the smaller Caÿster. The eastern part of the table-land is intersected diagonally by the Anti-Taurus mountains, which first strike off from the Taurus between $35^{\circ}$ and $36^{\circ}$ E. long. nearly northwards as far as Mons Argæus (the "white mountain," now Argish Dagh). This volcanic mountain, which stands detached to the west of the chain, forms the culminating point of the whole peninsula. From its snow-capped summit, which is 13,000 feet high, Strabo states that both the Euxine and the Bay of Issus could be seen on a clear day. Hamilton ${ }^{6}$-who reached the highest attainable point, a ridge, " above which is a mass of rock, with steep perpendicular sides, rising to the height of 20 or 25 feet" -was not able, from the state of the weather, to put Strabo's statement to the proof; but he doubts if the two seas can be seen, on account of the high mountains which intervene to the N. and S. At its northern foot stood Mazaca, the capital of Cappadocia, famous in history under its later name of Cæsarea. From about this point the chain branches into two: the Anti-Taurus, turning eastward to the Euphrates, which severs it from the Armenian mountains of Sophene; while the northern branch, under the name of Scydisses, pursues a north-easterly course to join the mountain-chain of the north coast in north-western Armenia.

The country enclosed between the two chains of the Anti-Taurus system, though sometimes reckoned to Cappadocia, was properly called Armenia Minor; and under that name it is famous in the wars of Rome with Mithridates and Tigranes. The boundary of Asia Minor on this side is, in fact, somewhat indefinite : that usually accepted begins where Amanus comes close to the eastern shore of the Gulf of Issus (at the pass of the "Syrian Gates"), and follows the crests of Amanus and Taurus to the Euphrates, which forms the boundary on the side of Armenia, from about $30^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ to nearly $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. lat., whence the boundary continued along Anti-Taurus and the Moschici Mountains to the river Phasis (Rion), which divided Asia

- 'Researches ia Asia Minor,' vol. ii. p. 274.

ANC. HIST.

Minor from Colchis. It is important to observe that at this northeastern extremity (as at the south-eastern, round the Gulf of Issus), the mountains leave a passage round the coast of the Euxine, by which the tribes beyond its northern shores could make their way iuto Asia Minor.
§ 4. Besides the three great ranges which thus support the tableland on the north, the south, and the east, it is intersected by many others; and the drainage of the extensive plains between them gathers into large lakes, for the most part strongly impregnatud with salt. The 'latta Palus (Tuz Gol), in the centre of the plateau, on the borders of Phrygia and Cappudocia, is 75 miles in circumference, and 2500 feet above the level of the sea The slope of the tableland from east to west, as well as from vorth to south, combines with the varied course of its intersecting ranges to make its rivers singularly circuitous. Thus the Halxs (Kizil Irmak)-which demands our especial notice as a great ethnic and historic boun-dary-rises in the N.E. of the peninsula, on the N. side of the M. Scydisses, aud flows W.S.W. parallel to the chain of Anti-Taurus and past the northern foot of M. Argeus, as far south as $39^{\circ}$ lat., and goes on as if to fall into the Tatta Palus; but here a crosschain turns it to the N., as far as the southern slopes of Olympus, which again guide it to the north-east, till, finding a circuitous passage through this (the Kush Dayh), and the parallel chsin of M. Orgassys ( Al Goz Dagh), it falls into the Euxine in $36^{\circ}$ E. long. having risen about the longitude of $40^{\circ}$, and reached as far west as $341^{\circ}$. The part of the table-laud, which it first intersecte and then encircles, forms the modern province of Rumili. The western part of the peninsula, with the whole northern sea-board, is now cralled Anadoli (from the later Greek Anatolia, "the East"); and the south-eastern part forms the province of Karaman.
85. The climate and productions of Asia Minor have the variety to be expected from its physical character. The alluvial plains of the rivers are very fertile, especially those of Cilicia, and of the western valleys which open to the Etgean. These extensive plains are remarkable for their flatness, and for the suddenness with which the mountains rise up from their surface, "like islands out of the scean." ${ }^{7}$ They are sheltered from the severe cold of the upper regions, and are for the most part well watered. The most extensive of these alluvial plains is in the eastern part of Cilicis, hence designated Campestris, which is formed by the rivers Cydnus, Sarus, and Pyramus. Of a similar character are the lauds which purround many of the lakes in the interior. These having at one period occupied larger busins than at present, the dry margins are

[^314]consequently beds of rich alluvial soil. Fertile plains of a different class are found occasionally on the sea-coast: of these, that of Attalia, on the southern coast, was the most extensive. The hills of the western district are clothed with shrubs and wood, and in some cases cultivated to their very summits. The climate of the maritime region is fine; but the heat is sometimes excessive.

The western portion of the central plateau consists of extensive barren plains, traversed by deep gullies, which the streams have worked out for themselves. The southern part is subdivided into numerous portions by ranges of considerable height: in the northern part the hillsare of less height, and consequently the plains present a more unbroken appearance. The same peculiarity, which we have already noted in regard to the alluvial plains, also characterizes the upper plains: "they extend without any previous slope to the foot of the mountains, which rise from them like lofty islands out of the surface of the ocean." ${ }^{8}$ The climate of the central district is severe, the loftier hills being tipped with snow during the greater part of the year. But it is almost a champaign country when compared with the ruggedness of Armenia. Its summer climate was delightful; and its broad and well-watered plains furnished the best possible pasturage for sheep, and bore excellent crops of wheat.

The northern district along the shores of the Euxine, from the Iris to the Sangarius, is fertile, the hills being of no great elevation; on either side of these limits the country is too mountainous to admit of much cultivation. These shores were remarkable for their fine timber, including the noble Oriental plane; among their numerous fruits, the cherry still preserves the name of Cerasus in Pontus; and the pheasant bears witness to its native home upon the Phasis.
$\S .6^{\circ}$ The average length of the peninsula may be estimated at 600 miles; the southern coast being just 500 , and the northern moro ' than 800 : it lies between the meridian of $26^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. long. and those of $36^{\circ}$, on the S. coast, and $411_{2}^{\circ}$ on the N. coast. Its greatest breadth, from the promontory of Septe ( $W$. of Sinope) to that of Anemurium (opposite to Cyprus), lies almost exactly between the parallels of $36^{\circ}$ and $42^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. lat.smand is therefore 360 geographical miles : ${ }^{9}$ the average breadth may be estimated at 300 miles. The whole forms an irregular rectangle, except that the eastern side has a northeastern slope from the Gulf of Issus to the S.E. corner of the Euxine.

Mention should here be made of Cypros, which lies nearly equidistant from the coast of Cilicia and Syria ( 45 m . and 65 m . respectively

[^315]betweel the aearest promontories, being 140 m . in length, and 60 in its greatest breadth. It was rich in wood, wine, corn, and oil, and its name preserves the memory of its productive mines of copper.
§ 7. Forming a sort of bridge between Europe and Asia, and connected by the central highlands, and by the passages round the Gulf of Issus and the Euxine, with parts of Asia inhabited by all the races of mankind, Asia Minor presents a most remarkable misture of populations. In the ethnic table of Genesis x. a general diatribution of the peninsula between Japhetic and Semitic races, entering from the east and south respectively, seems to be indicated by certain names among the sons of Japheth and of Shem. Among the former are Tubal and Meschech (the Tibareni and Moschi of known geography, in the eastern part of the northern coast) ; Ashkenaz, whom the best ethnographers place along the north coast, west of the Halys; and Dodanim, a name representing the Pelasgian race, of whose presence in the peninsula we have other proofs : while among the sons of Shem, Lud (the brother of Elam, Asshur, Arphaxad, and Aram,) is supposed to be the ethnic progenitor of the Lydians. According to the test of language, it would seem that the table-land and the northern coast were originally occupied by a Turanian ${ }^{10}$ or mixed Scytho-Aryan race, which partly held ita ground (like primitive races in general) in the more inaccessible regions, and was partly overpowered by fresh migrations of Aryans from the east and Semites from the south.

The regions in which the Turanians chiefly held their ground were the eastern part of the great plateau, and the portion of the north coast to the east of the river Halys. In the latter district we still find, in the times of the classical writers, the Moschi (Meshech), always csupled with the Tibareni (Tubal), just as the Assyrian inscrfptions couple the Mfuskai and Tuphui as the inhabitants of the Cappadocian table-land, where their memory seems preserved by the name' of the ancient capital Mazaca." From that region, where the inscriptions constantly mention them from the 12th to as late as the 7 th century b.c., they were driven by the Cappadocians northwards into Georgia and round the Black Sea coast into Southern Russia, where the names of Moscow (Muskan) and Muscony attest their presence. An ancient Scholiast distinctly calls them Scythians (that is, Turanians), and Professor Max Miiller regards the Georgian and other Caucasian dialects as "one of the outstanding and degenerated colonies of the Turanian family of speech." ${ }^{1 s}$

[^316]§8. It is still a disputed point whether the Cappadocians, who displaced the Turanian Moschi, were Aryans who entered the country from the east, or Semites who crossed the Taurus from the south. The chief argument adduced for the latter view is the statement of Herodotus, confirmed by several writers, that "the Cappadocians are known to the Greeks as Syrians. ${ }^{31}$ is Elsewhere he tells us that these Syrians were called Cappadocians by the Persians; and their name appeais as Katapatuka in the Persian Inscriptions. ${ }^{14}$ But the name of Syrians may merely indicate the route by which they were believed to have entered the country, and that of White Syrians ${ }^{15}$ implies a difference of race by one test, that of colour. In favour of their Aryan origin, we have their late entrance into the country, which, moreover, coincides precisely with the time of that new migration of Aryans to which some ascribe the foundation of the Median kingdom under Cyaxares. Strabo states that the Cappadocians worshipped Persian deities; and he mentions (besides Anaïtis), Omanus and Amandates, who are evidently the Zoroastrian Vahman (Vohumano) and Amerdad (in Pehlevi, Amendat). These Aryan immigrants seem to have mingled with the old Turanian inbabitants, so that the population of Cappadocia may be regarded as ScythoAryan ; distinct from the pure Aryans west of the Halys, and the Semites south of the Taurus.
§ 9. In following what has now been said of Cappadocia (and the same remark applies to other parts of Asia Minor), the reader must not be misled by the divisions marked on theordinary maps, which belong to the period (in some cases to a very late period) of the Roman Empire. In the Persian Inscriptions no couúlries are named between Armenia and Ionia but Cappadocia and Saparda, which together fill up the whole of Asia Minor, except the western coast. The Cappadocians are expressly named by Herodotus as inhabitants of the later Pontus. The historian also makes some interesting allusions to other tribes within the limits of Cappadocia. Such are the Chalybes, ${ }^{16}$ who are also mentioned by

[^317]Eschylus, ${ }^{17}$ Xenophon, and other writers, as workers of the iron mines in the mountains, and whose name became the Greek appellation of steel. ${ }^{18}$ On the right bank of the Halys, in the later province of Galatia, Herodotus places the Matieni. ${ }^{18}$ The identity of this name with the Matieni in the north-west of Media and the probability that it contains the same root as the name of the Medes themselves ${ }^{30}$ confirm the argument for the Aryan population of Cappadocia.

On the other hand, Herodotus at least appears to place the Cilicians, a people undoubtedly Semitic, bo far within Cappadocia as the north-eastern part of the table-land; for he describes the Halys as rising in the mountain country of Armenia, and running first through Cilicia. Unless, therefore, he mistoot the upper course of the river (which seems unlikely, as he notices its great bend to the north), we must infer that the Semitic population of Cilicia spread beyond the Taurus over the eastern part of the table-land; which would thus be peopled with representatives of the three great families of mankind.
§10. The other great nation, who inhabited the western part of the table-land, and spread beyond it to the west and north-west, were the Phrygians, a pecple unquestionably of Aryan or Japhetic origin. The amusing story of Herodotus, of the experiment by which Psammetichus proved that the Phrygians were the oldest people of the world-even before the Egyptians, who despised the late origin of the Greeks ${ }^{21}$-may have an ethnical value after all, for Békos, the Phrygian for bread, cuntains the aame root as the whole class of Indo-Germanic words signifying to bake, ${ }^{28}$ Nor does this case stand alone: the Greeks noticed the likeness-of the Phygian names for fire, water, dog, and other common objects, to their own, and modern philology has supplied a long list of similar instances." We still possess examples of the Phrygian language in inscriptions, of which the characters, the words, and the grammatical forms, closely resemble the Greek, with variations approsching to the Latin

[^318]and more ancient Italian dialects; all proving that this language represents the older stock from which both Greek and Latin sprang.
§11. All these facts point to the conclusion that the Phrygians formed part of a very early migration of the Japhetic race, and that the later migration of the Cappadocians drove them from the eastern to the western part of the table-land. According to the more probable hypothesis, which places the original cradle of the human race in Armenia, we should look to that region for the source of the Phrygian migration; as is natural from the contiguity of the highlands. When Herodotus says that the Armenians are Phrygian colonists, he confirms the connection, though he bas doubtless inverted the order of derivation. ${ }^{25}$ He seems to have been misled by what he calls the Macedonian account, that the Phrygians had formerly dwelt in Macedonia under the name of Brigians, ${ }^{28}$ but on their removal into Asia they changed their designation at the same time with their dwelling-place. ${ }^{27}$ A migration of the Phrygians from Thrace into Asia is mentioned by other Greek writers; Xanthus, ${ }^{23}$ the old historian of Lydia, places it after the Trojan war, and says that they conquered Troy and settled in its territory: Conon makes them enter Asia under their king Midas, ninety years before the war. If there be any literal truth in these statements, they must refer to the return of a portion of the Phrygians from Europe to their former seats in Asia: the main fact to be inferred from them is that the migration of the Phrygians extended to Europe, after they had covered nearly the whole of the western part of Asis Minor.

- §12. This conclusion is supported by many facts derived from ancient writers. Independently of several Greek and Trojan legends referring to the southern coasts of Asia Minor, the name of the Phrygian mountain Olympus occurs also in the south of the plateau. To the north of Phrygia, a part of Bithynia was called in early times Bebrycia. The Trojan Thebe bore the name of Mygdonia, which is synonymous with Phrygia. The Mysians and Phrygians were so intermingled, that their frontiers could scarcely be distinguished; and the Mysian language is said to have been a mixture of the Phrygian and Lydian. As to the western maritime region (after-

[^319]wards Ionia), we find Mygdonians in the neighbourhood of Miletus, and Bebryces assisting the Phoceans in a war.

From these and other like indications we may infer that Trojans, Mysians, Mygdonians, and other western tribes, were branches of the great Phrygian race. In the Lliad, the Trojans and Phrygiant appear in the closest relation. Priam is the ally of the Phrygians against the Amazons: his wife Hecubs is a Phrygian princess: Hector, Paris, and Scamandrius are said to be Phrygian names; the two latter being equivalent to the Greek forms, Alexander and Astyanax. On the other hand, the Trojans appear, throughout the Homeric poems, as a people related to the Greeks ; and this relationship would extend to the Phrygians.

It may be said that Homer assumed this relationship as a point of poetical convenience; but we bave abundant evidence that he wa following a uniform tradition, which preserved an ethnic fact. The whole region to the north of the Hellenic peninsula, from the Euxine to the Adriatic, is full of names which are also found in the west of Asia Minor, among which the Brygians occur in several places; and the Danubian provinces of Morsia and Pannonia seem only other forms of the names Mysia and Pronia. In short, the Phrygians at one time constituted the bulk of the population of the greater part of Thrace, Macedonia, and Illyricum.
§ 13. Of their relationship to the early population of Greece itseld we have traditional evidence, in addition to the affinities of language already mentioned; and this evidence is highly interesting. A midst all the obscurity that hangs about the name of the Pelasgians, it is admitted that they were the earliest known inhabitanta both of Greece and Southern Italy-at least of the Indo-Germanic stock; for throughout Europe, as well as Asia, there appears to bave been a still earlier. Turanian population. Now we are distinctly told that the whole sea-board of Ionia and the neighbouring islands were formerly peopled by Pelasgians. ${ }^{7}$ They are enumerated by Homer among the allies of the Trojans: ${ }^{20}$ Herodotus found traces of them on the Propontis, ${ }^{31}$ and Agathias in Caria: ${ }^{32}$ and the name of Magnesia, which occurs twice in Lydia, as well as in Thessaly, seems to be certainly Pelasgic. They were found in the islands of the SEean, from Samothrace, Imbros, and Lemnos, in the north, to Crete, in the south, as well as in the Cyclades, which form the natural stepping-stones from Asia Minor to the Peloponnesus. Hence they seem to bave passed from one continent to the otker both round the head of the $\boldsymbol{E}$ gean and across its islands; and accordingly, the chief remnants of the race, after they were over-

[^320]porrerad by the Hellenes, are found in Thessaly, in Epirus, in Attica, and in the heart of Arcadia. From Greece, they crossed over to Southern Italy; where perhaps the "golden age of Saturn" is a tradition of the peaceful agricultural character which is everywhere attributed to the Pelasgians, in contrast to the piratical babits of the Carians and Leleges. It remains, however, a question whether the Pelasgi were a branch of the Phrygian migration, or a still earlier movement of the Indo-European race from their primeval seats. Tho latter seems highly probable; but at all eveuts the two races were very nearly akin, and it is bardly practicable to distinguish their migrations.
§ 14. The whole argument is illustrated by the remains of Phrygian architecture. Vitruvius remarks that the Phrygians hollowed out the natural hills of their country, and formed in them passages and rooms for habitation, so far as the nature of the hills permitted. This statement is fully confirmed by modern travellers, who have found such habitations cut into the rocks in almost all parts of the peninsula. M. Texier describes an immense town thus cut out of the natural rock near Boghagkieni, between the Halys and the Iris. ${ }^{33}$ On some of these mountains are the inscriptions referred to above; the Phrygian origin of which is attested by such proper names as Midas, Ates, Aregastes, and others, though some have unsuccessfully attempted to make out that they are Greek. ${ }^{\text {an }}$ The impression which these stupendous works, and above all the rock-city, make upon the beholder, is that he has before him works executed by human hands at a most remote period; not, as Vitruvius intimates, because there was a want of timber, but because the first robust inhabitants thought it safest and most convenient to construct such habitations for themselves. They display a striking resemblance to those structures which in Greece we are in the habit of calling Pelasgian or Cyclopean, whence Texier designates the above-mentioned rock-city by the name of a Pelasgian city. Even the lion gate of Mycenæ appears in several places.s. These facts throw a surprising light upon the legend about the migration of the Phrygian Pelops into Argolis, and the so-called tombs of the Phrygians

[^321]in Peloponnesus. ${ }^{36}$ Much remains to be done by a more systematic exploration of the monuments of Asia Minor.
§ 15. The religious systems of the two countries also display a manifest connection. Many a mysterious tradition and legend among the Greeks is to be traced to Phrygia, and especially the worship of the "Great Mother of the Gods"-Cybele, Rhea, or Agdistis-and of Sabazius, the Phrygian name of Dionysus. ${ }^{\text {st }}$ These deities were worshipped with orgiastic rites, accompanied by wild music and dances, in which the early religion of the Phrygians seems to have been corrupted by the practices either of the Turanians or of the Syro-Phoenician tribes. From Phrygis these rites were introduced into Greece, especially by the way of Thrace.
§ 16. We have already hinted that the tradition, which ascriben the origin of the Phrygians to Macedonia and Thrace, may preserve the memory of a reflux migration from Europe into Asia. Such a movement seems, in fact, to have been caused by the pressure of the Trracians, descending from the north of the Danube into the country which afterwards bore their name. Of these Thracians we shall have to speak again: for the present, it is enough to say that they appear to have been a rude and warlike branch of that part of the great Aryan migration which had entered Europe by the northern aide of the Euxine; and that they were akin to the Teutonic family.

Their displacement of the more civilised inhabitants of the country -Phrygians, or Pelasgians, or both-affords an explanation of the paradoxical fact, that the Greeks traced the origin of a large part of their poetic culture to a land whose people, through the whole course of classical history, were regarded as rude warriors and brawling revellers. Thrace was the mythic home of Orpheus; and Dieria -the sacred land of Apollo and the Muses-was within its limits. By these legends, again, Thrace is connected with Phrygia, one of the earliest homes of music; and Phrygia is the scene of that mythical conflict of Aprollo with Marsyas, which symbolises the preference of the Greeks for the dignified music of the lyre above the wilder orgiastic strains of the flute.
\& 17. The Thracians not only drove back the Phrygians ont of Europe (leaving only some detached remnants), but pressed across the Hellespont and Bospurus, and occupied the northern coast of Asia Minor as far as the promontory on which Heracles Pontica afterwards stood. Thracians form a part of the mixed population of Mysia. Thie Phrygians, however, held their ground on the Hellespont and in the Troad; and the whole north-western part of Mysia retained, in historic times, the proper name of "Lesser Phrygia" or "Phrygia on the Hellespont." The country after-
wards called Bithynia is assigned by Herodotus to the Thracians in their two tribes of the Thyni nnd Bithyni, with the kindred tribe of the Mariandyni. ${ }^{38}$ Scattered remnants only of the Phrygians were left upon this coast-such as the Caucones, in the east of Bithynia. The contests between the ancient Phrygians and the Thracians are alluded to in several legends. Thus, King Midas killed himself when the Treres ravaged Asia Minor as far as Paphlagonia and Cilicia; ${ }^{50}$ and the Mariandyni are described as engaged in a war against the Mysians and Bebryces, in which Mygdon, the king of the latter people, and a Pbrygian hero, was slain. ${ }^{40}$ The brief period during which the Phrygians are said to have exercised the supremacy at sea-for twenty-five, or, according to others, for only five yearsand which is assigned to the beginning of the 9 th century B.o., is probably connected with that age in which the Phrygians were engaged in perpetual wars; ${ }^{12}$ and it may have been about the same time that the Phrygians from the Scamander and from Troy migrated to Sicily. ${ }^{21}$
§ 18. The remaining part of the north coast, for 230 miles from the Parthenius (Chati Su) to the Iris, was occupied in historic times by "the brave shield-bearing Paphlagminans" of Homer.4s Situated to the west of the Halys, and wearing a dress closely resembling the Phrygian, ${ }^{4}$ they may have been connected politically with that people; but the likeness of their equipments to those of the Matieni and Cappadocians, ${ }^{45}$ and the general characteristics assigned to them by the ancient writers, seem to imply an ethnic affinity with the Cappadocians. ${ }^{46}$ If so, that race, which had already severed the Phrygians from the kindred Armenians, cut them off from the rematining portion of the northern coast.
§ 19. Driven into narrower limits also on the south by the pressure of the Semitic tribes across the Taurus, and on the west by the Lydians and the Greek colonists, the Phrygians were restricted to an inland position in the west of the plateau. Their severance from the sea deprived them of the commerce which they seem to have possessed in early times; and it is remarkable that all " the wellbuilt towns" for which they are celebrated in Homer-Pessinus,

[^322]Gordium, Celænæ, and Apamea-date their origin from the mythic ages. Their peaceful disposition and entire devotion to agriculture made them an easy prey to conquerors; till at length these Franks " of the ancient world became a servile by word, and the names of their mythic kings and heroes-Midas and Manes-were among the commonest appellations of slaves. ${ }^{48}$
§ 20. The Mxsians, in the north-western corner of the peninsula, were undoubtedly, as we have already implied, connected with the Phrygians. They are mentioned in the Iliad, ${ }^{6}$ and they seem to be conceived by the poet as dwelling on the Hellespont. Thenoe they appear to have extended themselves, in the period subsequent to the Trojan war, both westward and southward as far as Pergamum, and to the south-east as far as the region of Catacetaumene, on the borders of Lydia and Phrygia About the time of the migration of the ※olians to their shores, the Mysian Teuthrus is said to have founded -the kingdom which, though soon destroyed by the Greeks, gave the name of Teuthrania to the country about Pergamum. Strabo regards the Mysians as immigrants from Europe into Asia; ${ }^{50}$ and it seems most probable that they were a part of the reflux migration from -Thrace and from the region on the Lower Danube, which retained their name under the form of Mossia. ${ }^{\text {al }}$ The opinion of Herodotus, that the Mysians were colonists of the Lydians, with whom they served in the army of Xerxes, ${ }^{\text {ss }}$ seems to have no other foundation than the close alliance of the Mysians, Lydians, and Carians, which those nations probably formed to strengthen themselves against the Greek colonists.

[^323]

## CHAPTER XXI.

## THE NATIONS OF ASIA MINOR - THE SOUTH AND WEST COASTS.

i. Semitic nations on the south coast. © 2. The Criclams. Signs of an earlier Aryan population. Assyrian conquests in Cilicia Persian rule. Greek settlements in Cilicla Cilicis under the Romans. Remains of the old population. '9 3. The Sohkn in Lycia. Conflict with new settlers. Legend of Bellerophon. Signification of the Chimsera. The Solyni s Semitic people. © 4. The Pisidians akin to the Solymi. 65. The Isauriass. Their naion with the Cilicians. Their long independence. 6. The Pamplilians. A mised race. Predominance of the Greak element. Its origin: fables about it. Habits of the Pamphyliaus. Extent of Pamphylta at different times. © 7. The Lrcuns, Recent discoveries. §8. Greek legends of their origin. \$9. Probably a very early Indo-Germanic race. The Lycian inscriptions and language. The Leka of Egyptian records. The Termilm. \$10. Greek influence on the Lycians. Lycian scolptare and architecture. The monaments in the British Museum. Tomb of Paiafa. Harpy Tomb. "Xanthian trophy." "Inscribed monument." Hemains of Lycian cities. Religion of Lycia. 9 11. The Lyciar confederacy. Character of the Lycians. 8 12. The Cat-siams-probably a Lycian people Account of them by Heroiotus. A story of Caunian figs. \$13. The Cariaks. Notice of them in the 'Iliad.' Extent of their country. \& 14. Two accounts of their origin. Their customs and inventions. \& 15. Not originally immigrants from the islands. Probably the oldest people of Asia Minor. Thelr connection with the Lelngess. © 16. Character of the Carians. Their marihime power. Carian mercenaries. Their federntion. Kingdom of Halicarnassus \$17. Lidia. Plain of Sardis. The Menonians. $\$ 18$. Fispelled by the Lidians. Mythical genealogies: Lydians and Torrbebians. Poetical use of the name Mrenia. \$19. The Mreniaus an Aryan race. Tbeir alleged colonivation of Etr- ria. $\$ 20$. Origin and ethnic affinties of the Lydians: geverally regarded as a Semitic race. Their manners and character.
§ 1. Tas nations mentioned by Herodotus on the south cuast, and in the overhanging chain of T'aurus, are the Cilicians, Pamphylians, Lycians, and Caunians; besides the Solymi and Milyans, who were ancient inhabitants of Lycia. To these must be added the Pisidians and Isaurians, who were famous in later times. The Carians belong both to the southern and the western coast, but are usually reckoned to the latter. Of these, the Cilicians and Solymi, as well as the kindred Pisidians and Isaurians, were peoples of the Semitic race; who, entering Asia Minor by the pass round the Gulf of Issus, overspread the seaboard beneath the chain of Taurus, and occupied its slopes and heights.
§ 2. This coast also lay open to invasion by sea from the shores of Syria ; and it is not improbable that the maritime predominance of the Phœenicians was the cause of the decidedly Phœnician character which is ascribed to the population of Cilicia. The fact is attested by their own traditions; which, however varied in details, were on this point unanimous. ${ }^{1}$ In the navy of Xerxes, they appeared with nearly the same equipment as the Phoenicians:-" The crewa wore upon their heads the helmet of their country, and carried instead of nhields light targes made of raw hide; they were clad in woollen tunics, and were armed each with two javelins, and a sword closely resembling the cutlass of the Egyptians." ${ }^{2}$ The connection is confirmed by a long list of common names and customs, ${ }^{5}$ and by the Phouician legends on the coins of Cilicia. Herodotus expresses the Phcenician origin of the Cilicians by the legend, that "the people bore anciently the name of Hypachoeans; but took their present title from Cilix, the son of Agenor, a Phœenician. ${ }^{4 \prime}$

The idea suggested by the ancient name of the people (which no other author mentions), of a relationship to the Achmans, might be dismissed as a Greek fancy, were it not for another met of tradition placing Cilicians in the north-west of Asia Minor. Thus, in the ' Iliad,' Eëtion, the father of Andromache, whose chief city was Thebe Hypopiacix in the Troad, was king of the Cilices, whom, as Strabo observes, Homer places on the bordera of the Pelasgi. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Strabo makes the country of these Cilicians comprehend the territorics of Adramyttium and neighbouring cities, and extend to the mouth of the Caïcus. Respecting their connection with the historical Cilicians, Strabo obsersea: "They aay that in the tract between Phaselus in Lycia and Attalia "-that is, not in Cilicia, but in the extreme west of Pamphylia, on the borders of Lycia-" there are pointed out a Thebe and Lyrnessus; a part of the Troic Cilices, who were ejected

[^324]from the plain of Thebe, having gone to Pamphylia, as Callistheves has said." ${ }^{6}$ There was a tradition that these Troic Cilicians drove the Syrians from the country afterwards called Cilicia; but it was a disputed question, which of the two Cilices were the parent stock. If any weight is to be attached to these traditions, they would seem to imply an early occupation of the southern coast by an Aryan (or Scytho-Aryan) race, akin to those of the table-land, who were driven out by the Semitic invaders, but left their name to the country.. No Semitic etymology has been found for the name of Cilicia. We have seen that Herodotus extends the Cilicians over the eastern part of the table-land, as far north as the upper course of the Halys; and he makes the Euphrates the boundary between Cilicia and Armenia. ${ }^{7}$

The great Assyrian kings of the later empire extended their conquests to Cilicia; and the foundation of Tarsus-the capital of the country, and the birthplace of St. Paul-which Greek tradition uniformls ascribed to "Sardanapalus," is more specifically assigned to Sennacherib by Polyhistor and Abydenus. ${ }^{8}$ In the great war of the Median Cyaxares against the Lydian Alyattes, the Cilician king Syennesis appears as an ally of the former; but independent and powerful enough to join with Labynetus, king of Babylon, in mediating a peace. His line continued to reign under the Persian Empire, down to the time of the younger Cyrus (b.c. 401), and probably to the end of the empire. ${ }^{9}$ The country, however, formed one of the satrapies of Darius, and it paid the king a yearly tribute of 360 white horses and 500 talents of silver; of which sum 140 talents were expended on the cavalry duty in Cilicia, and the rest came into the king's treasury. ${ }^{10}$ The Cilicians maintained the maritime habits of their Phoenician kinsmen and neighbours, and furnished 100 ships to the fleet of Xerxes for the invasion of Greece. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 1}}$

I'here were various traditions of ancient Greek colonies in Cilicia; such as the settlement of Amphilochus, the son of Amphiaraus, at Posidium, on the borders of the Cilicians and the Syrians ${ }^{12}$-a tradition which again points to a gradual displacement of aboriginal Cilicians by Semites advancing from the east; for Posidium was on the promontory just east of Anemurium, the southernmost headland both of Cilicia and of Asia Minor. The same Amphilochus is said to have gone from Troy with Mopsus, the son of Apollo, and to have founded Mallus, on the more easterly promontory of Megarsus, near the river Pyramus; and here the heroes' tombs were shown in the time of Strabo. But, if we look to historical evidence, the Greeks do not appear to have settled in Cilicia before the time of Alexander, except in a few places on :no

[^325]coast. SoL' (afterwands Pompeiopolis) is said to have been colonized by Achæans and Rhodians from Lindus. Under the successors of Alexander, the Greck kings of Syria, in whose dominions Cilicia was. included, the country was gradually Hellenized, and Tarsus became one of the greatest schools of Greek literature and acience. The native Cilicians probably disappeared from the plain-country, or were mingled first with Greeks and other foreigners; but they beld the mountains, even to Cicero's time, under the name of Eleutherocilices (Free Cilicians). Cicero, who was proconsul of Cilicia, describes them as a fierce and warlike race; and he took their strong town, Pindenissus. ${ }^{18}$ Strabo says that the Amanus, which lies above Cilicia on the east, was always governed by several kings, or chiefs, who had strong places; and in his time a man of mark was set over all of them, and styled king by the Romans for his merits: his name was Tarcondimotus, doubtless a free Cilician. In the western division of the country-" the rugged Cilicia" (Cilicia Tracheia, or Aspera), the proximity of the mountains to the sea afforded opportunities for an organized system of slave-dealing. The Cilicians were encouraged to man-stealing by the great demand for slaves among the Romans after the destruction of Carthage and Corinth, and they found a ready sale at Delos for all the slaves they took to that central market. Pirates acon started up, pretending to be slave-dealers; and Cilicia became the nest of all the pirates of the Levant, till Pompey rooted them out, and brought Cilicia I'racheia under the dominion of Rome (b.c. 67).
§ 3. That the Semitic population extended westward along the coast, as far as the peninsula of Lycia, may be inferred from the ancient habitation of that country by the Solymi, who left their name in Mount Nolyma. "Milyas"-says Herodotug-" was the ancient name of the country now inbabited by the Lycians: the Milyce of the present day were, in those times, called Solymi." ${ }^{14}$ The name of Milyas survived to late times as that of the northern highlands on the borders of Lycia, Pamphylia, and Pisilia, to an indefinite extent. Strabo regards both the Milyans and Cabalians -another mountain-tribe of Northern Lycia-as Solymi ; and he considers that a people of this name had once held the heights of Taurus from Lycia to Pisidia. ${ }^{16}$ The Pisidians are also represented by other writers as beipg Solymi. ${ }^{1 s}$ It is clear that the Solymi were driven back into the mountains by the entrance of a new race, whose long and arduous struggles with the old inlabitants are indicated by the conflicts of Bellerophon and other mythical heroes with the Solymi. ${ }^{17}$

The fire-breathing monster Chimxra in these legends is said by

[^326]some to represent the valour and agility of the mountaineers, while others view it as a personification of the volcano of the same name near Phaselis in Lycia; ${ }^{18}$ but both the matter of fact and the physical explanations of such creations are always to be distrusted, and they are to be explained more probably as religious symbols. According to Homer, the Chimæra was of divine origin : the forepart of her body was that of a lion, the binder part that of a dragon, the middle that of a goat ${ }^{19}$-a description reminding us of the monsters or demons whom the Assyrian kings are represented on their bas-reliefs as slaying; while her birth from Typhon and Echidna ${ }^{20}$ seems to connect her with the widespread symbolisation of the evil principle in the form of a serpent. That she was no mere creature of the imagination of the Greek poets, but a symbolic form accepted by the nation-like the sphinx and gryphon of Egypt, and the Assyrian balls, lions, and other such figures-is proved by the frequent occurrence of the type on the Lycian monuments.

All this agrees with the theory that the Solymi were a Semitic people, perhaps of that ancient type which is blended with Hamitic characters. The chief direct testimony to this effect is that of Choerilus of Samos, the contemporary of Herodotus, who wrote a poem on the Persian War, in which he mentions the Solymi as serving in the army of Xerxes, and says that their language was Phonician. This statement is confirmed by their habit of shaving the head with the exception of a tuft \%2-a custom ascribed by Hertdotus to the Alabians, ${ }^{23}$ and mentioned in Scripture as practised by the Edomites, Moabites, and Ammonites, ${ }^{24}$ who were all Semitic peoples,-hy their special worship of Saturn; ${ }^{25}$ and by the occurrence of a number of Phoenician names in their country. ${ }^{26}$ Sir H. Rawlinson derives their name from a Semitic word, signifying the West. ${ }^{27}$
§4. The highlands of Pisidia,-forming tbe part of the upper chain of Taurus between Mount Cadmus, on the borders of Lycia and Phrygia, and the mountains of Cilicia Tracheia-were a principal stronghold of the Solymi, whose descendants may, perhaps, be

[^327]anc. Hist.
recognised to the present day in the wild and rapacious Karamanians. But the Solymi of these mountains were mingled with Phrygian tribes; and the greater part of the country belonged to Phrygia (the rest being included in Pamphylia), till Pisidia was first made a province under Constantine the Great. Their rugged mountains and deep ravines preserved the Pisidians from subjection either by the Persians or the Greek kings of Syria, and eaabled them to harass the neighbouring countries with predatory inroads. The Romans curbed and nominally conquered them; but they never established a garrison nor planted a colony in the interior : and even one submission of the towns seems to have consisted mainly in paying tribute to their rulers. Among those towns we must refer, in passing, to the fame of Antioch (distinguished from the capital ot Syria by the title of Antiochia Pisidiar) as the scene of St. Paul's first preaching in Asia Minor. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{E}}$ Pisidia is remarkable for its chain of large lakes, between the northern slopes of 'laurus and the mountains of Phrygia.
§ 5. In the castern part of Pisidia-more properly regarded as a distinct region, under the name of Isauria-dwelt the kindred race of the Isauri or Isaurica gens, who obtained a famous name in history. More formidable as banditti than even the Pisidians, they also leagued themselves with the Cilician pirates; and, in spite of the blows intlicted on them by Publius Servilius Isauricus (b.c. 78, seq.), they continued to defy the power of Rome. Even when the Romans attempted to hem them in with a ring of fortresses, the Isaurians constantly broke through the cordon. In the third century of our era, they had become so powerful as to unite the kindred highlanders of Cilicia with themselves to form the famous Isaurian pation, which not only furnished a pretender to the purple, Trebellianus, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ but'a famous emperor of the East, Zeno the Isaurian (4.D. 474-491)., The Isaurians of Cilicia were especially formidable to the Greek emperors, cutting to pieces whole armien that were sent against them; but they were at length greatly reduced by Anastasius, the successor of Zeno (a.d. 491-518), so that, under Justinian (A.d. 527-565), they had ceased to be formidable. In the accounts of these wars the laaurians are described as an ugly race, of low stature-characteristics which suggest a considerable mixture of Turanian blood. They were imperfectly armed, and formed bad soldiers in the open field, but were irresistible in irregular warfare. Traditions originating in the favourite pursuits of the ancient Isaurians are still current among the present inhabitanta of the country, and an interesting apecimen is related by Hamilton. ${ }^{\infty}$

[^328]§ 6. We have thus followed the settlements of the Semitic races of Asia Minor (including probably a strong infusion of the older Hamitic and Turanian inhabitants) along the chain of Taurus, and the southern seaboard, with the exception of the coast round the deep bay between Lycia and Cilicia, which formed the country of Pampiylia.

This purely Greek name, ${ }^{\text {an }}$ which the country already bore as early as the time of Herodotus, indicates the mixture of races which formed its population, and which naturally resulted from the formation of the region. The parallel ranges running down from the chain of Taurus to the coast leave valleys open to invasion from the sea, but adapted to preserve their inhabitants from intermixture with each other. It cannot be doubted that the Semites, whom we have found both in Cilicia and Lycia, and in the connecting mountains, spread also over this cọast, where they were mingled with the aboriginal inhabitants.

In historic times, the chief element of the population was considered to be Hellenic. Herodotus says that the Pamphylians in the navy of Xerxes were armed exactly like the Greeks. ${ }^{32}$ Their language is described as a mixture of Greek and some barbarous tongues, so that it could scarcely be recognised as a Greek dialect. ${ }^{34}$ Their coins bear witness to an intimate acquaintance with the Greek gymnastic contests, and with the Greek deities, among whom Zeus, Artemis, and Dionysus are often represented.

The origin of this Hellenic element may be traced, in part at least, to the natural exposure of the country to invasion from the sea : and in this way kindred elements probably entered the country still earlier from the north-west of Asia Minor, perhaps during the time of the maritime ascendancy of the Phrygians. Theopompus says, in general terms, that Pamphylia was colonised by the Greeks; ${ }^{34}$ but the more specific traditions refer their first settlements to that great movement of maritime enterprise, which is mythically connected with the adventures of the Greek chiefs on their return from Troy-a mode of confessing their unknown antiquity. Thus Herodotus says that the nation is descended from those who, on the return from Troy, were dispersed with Archilochus and Calchas; and Pliny repeats a tradition that the country was originally called Mopsopsia, from a leader of one of those bands of Greeks who settled, after the Trojan war, along the coasts of Pamphylia, Cilicia, and Syria.s. The known Greek colonies on the Pamphylian bay were

[^329]numerous and important, and some of them (as Side and Aspendus) retained their independence under the Persians.

In their manners and social habits, the Pamphylians strongly resembled the Cilicians, ${ }^{30}$ and they took part with them in their piratical procoedings : their maritime towns were, in fact, the great marts where the spoils of the Cilician pirates were disposed of. Navigation seems to have been their principal occupation, as is evident from the coins of several of their towns. They furnished thirty ships to the armament of Xerxes for the invasion of Greece. ${ }^{\text {al }}$

On the inland side, the limits of the country varied at different times. The Romans reckoned to it all Pisidia, on both sides of Taurus; so that Polybius even doubts whether to include Pamphylia among the countries within or without Taurus. ${ }^{\text {s8 }}$ Ultimately the formation of the new province of Pisidia under Constantine confined Pamphylia to a narrow strip along the coast. Its length, from Olbia to Ptolemais, is reckoned by Strabo at 640 stadia, or 64 geographical miles. ${ }^{*}$

5 7. Lycia had already acquired its historic name, und the Lycians had overpowered the older Solymi and Milyang, in the time of Homer, who seems well acquainted with the country. He knows the River Xanthus and Cape Chimæra; and hia chief heroes, on the Trojan side, after Hector and Eneas, are the Lycians, Sarpedon and Glaucus, and the archer Pandarus. ${ }^{40}$ The ethnic relations of this people present a curious problem, which has been rendered doubly intereating through the recent disooveries of Sir Charles Fellows (in 1838 and 1840), and by the remains of Lycian art with which our national collection has been enriched by expeditions sent out under his conduct (in 1842 and 1846). It must be remembered, however, that the earliest of these sculptures (which are nearly all from the city of Xanthus) belong to a period when Lycia had come very decidedly under Hellenic influence. Their dater range from (probably) the sixth century B.a-that is, about the time of the Persian conquest-down to the period of the Byzantine Empire. Among them are several inscriptions in the Lycian language, and some bilingual inscriptions in Lycian and Greek.

5 8. "The Lycians," says Herodotus," are in good truth anciently from Crete; which island, in former dayn, was wholly occupied by barbarians. A quarrel arising there between the two sons of Eurora, Sarpedon ${ }^{41}$ and Minos, as to which of them should

[^330]be king, Minos, whose party prevailed, drove Sarpedon and his followers into banishment. The exiles sailed to Asia, and landed on the Milyan territory. Milyas was the ancient name of the country now inhabited by the Lycians: the Milyæ of the present day were in those times called Solymi. So long as Sarpedon reigned, his followers kept the name which they brought with them from Crete, and were called Termiloe, as the Lycians still are by those who live in their neighbourbood. But after Lycus, the son of Pandion, banished from Athens by his brother Ageus, had found a refuge with Sarpedon in the country of these Termilæ, they came, in course of time, to be called, from him, Lycians. ${ }^{43}$ Their customs are partly Cretan, partly Carian. They have, however, one singular custom, in which they differ from every other nation in the world: they take the mother's and not the father's name. Ask'a Lycian who he is, and he answers by giving his own name and that of his mother, and so on in the female line. Moreover, if a free woman marry a man who is a slave, their children are full citizens; but if a free man marry a foreign woman, or live with a concubine, even though he be the first person in the State, the children forfeit all the rights of citizenship."

Another form of the legend connects Sarpedon with Cilicia as well as Lycia Having quarrelled with his brother Minos about their common love for Lycus, he takes refuge with Cilix, assists him against the Lycians, and ultimately becomus king of Lycia. ${ }^{4}$ If the myth seems to trace the common origin of the Cretans and the Lycians to Europe, by making Minos and Sarpedon sons of Europa, it must be remembered, on the other hand, that Europa herself was carried over from Asia, and was the daughter of the Phœenician king Agenor. Here also the legend seems again to connect the Lycians with the Asiatic settlers in Cilicia, for Cilix, the heroeponymus of that country, is a son of Agenor.

On the whole, the legends are far from favouring the theory of any close original connection (we are not now speaking of later influence) between the Lycians and the Greeks. Nor do the remains of Lycian art and language, when properly examined, favour that theory. To a cursory observer of the Lycian romains, indeed, the points of likeness to Grecian art are so striking that he ought to pause and inquire whether his first impressions are correct. ${ }^{45}$ In proportion as we ascend in antiquity, the likeness becomes less and less; and the earliest sculptures are considered by good judges to be more like

[^331]the Persepolitan than the Athenian. ${ }^{40}$ Of course the resemblance in the alphabets merely proves their common derivation from the Phonician letters; but the peculiarity of some of the Lycian characters sufficiently distinguishes their alphabet from the Greek. The Lycian inscriptions have now been so far deciphered as to enable us to refer their language to the Aryan family, but of a type nearer to the Zend than to the Thraco-Pelasgian or Hellenio-and, moreover, so ancient as to stand to Zend rather in the relation of a sister than a daughter. ${ }^{47}$
§ 9. All this points to the conclusion, that the Lycians belonged to one of the carliest western migrations of the Iranian branch of the Japhetic race-a migration which extended far and wide over Asia Minor, the Archipelago, and Greece; and the remaing of which, when overpowered by other waves, set in motion from the east, would naturally find refuge in such remote and rugged regiong as the peninsula of Lycia and the island of Crete. Egyptologers suppose that they find memorials of the wide extension and maritime power of this people in the mention of the Leka, who appear, in the reigns of Menephlia sad. Rameses III., among the most formidable enemies of Egypt "coming from the isles and the coasts of the northern sea." But the very likeness of the name raises a difficulty ; for the statement of Herodotus about the hero-eponymus Lycus (however worthless as an historic fact), seems to imply that the name Lycians was of late origin, and rather the Greek than the native appellation. Of course, Herodotus might easily be mistaken about the antiquity of the name; but the name of Termila, by which he says that the Lycians were known to their neighbours, appears in the inscriptions as their only name. ${ }^{\text {to }}$ Lycia

[^332]and $I_{\text {ycians }}$ appear in the Greek portion of the inscriptions, ${ }^{49}$ but there is no similar name in the Lycian. One explanation is that Lycian was a widely-extended generic term, which ultimately got fixed on the people whose own more proper name, or that of their principal tribe, was Tremilce. ${ }^{30}$
§ 10. The great influence exerted upon the Lycians by the Greeks from a very early time is proved by their inscriptions, their works of art, and their religion; and Herodotus tells us that the Lycians gave kings to the neighbouring Greek colonies (i. 147). The mere fact, that many of their inscriptions are engraved in Greek as well as Lycian, shews that the former language had become so familiar to the people, as to make it desirable, or even necessary, to employ it along with the vernacular in public decrees and laws about and after the time of the Persian wars. The influence of Greek literature is also attested by the theatres which existed in almost every town, and in which Greek plays must have been performed, and have been understood and admired by the people.

In the arts of sculpture and architecture, the Lycians attained a degree of perfection but little inferior to the Greeks. Their temples and tombs abound in the finest sculptures, representing mythological subjects and the events of their military history. Among the former class, we find the local legends of the rape of the daughters of Pandarus by the Harpies, and the fight of Bellerophon with the Chimara, side by side with subjects from the Greek mythology; among the latter class, the capture of Xanthus by Harpagus, the general of Cyrus, and other monuments in his honour and that of succeeding satraps, shew the use made of the native artists by their Persian conquerors. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Their architecture, especially that of their tombs and sarcophagi, has quite a peculiar chatacter, enabling travellers to distinguish whether any particular monument is Lycian or Greek. The sarcophagi are surmounted by a roof in the form of a pointed arch surmounted with a ridge, and richly decorated with sculptures-as may be seen in the complete specimen set up in the British Museum. It is the tomb of a satrap of Lydia named Paiufa, whom the basreliefs on the lower part represent as sitting amidst other figures of men and gods, and warriors engaged in'combat, with inscriptions. The roof bears the name of its artist, Itimse: on each of its sides is ar armed figure, perhaps Glaucus or Sarpedon, in a four-horsed chariot; and along the ridge a combat of warriors on horseback,

[^333]with a Lycian inscription. The pointed arch, which gives tle root of this structure its characteristic form, appears also over the entrances of numerous tombs cut in the faces of lofty rocks throughout the country.

Another interesting monument is the "Harpy Tomb," which stood on the Acropolis of Xanthus, and the style of which indicates a date probably not later than s.c. 500 . It is a rectangular solid sbaft, about 17 feet high, surmounted by a small chamber, the door of which is visible on the west side of the monument. ${ }^{\text {se }}$

The finest of all-but bearing very decided marks of Greek influence-is an Ionic peristyle building, with fourteen columns ruaning round a solid cella, and statues in the inter-columniations, the whole elevated on a base, which stands upon two steps. The sculptures in our Museum,-representing scenes of battle, siege. bunting, sacrifice, and feasting-belong to various friezes, which encircled the building and its base: among them we see Greek warriurs in conflict with Asiatics. The building is supposed by some to have been a trophy in meurory of the conquest of Lycia by the Persians under Harpagus (b.o. 545), though it was probably not erected till some time in the following century. Another conjecture is that the bas-reliefs represent the suppression by the Persian satrap of Lycia of the revolt of the Cilicians in b.c. 387.

Still more important, for its bearing on the Lycian language, is the "Inscribed Monument"-a square atela, covered with an inscription in the Lycian language, in which there is mention of the son of Harpagus, and of several Lycian towns and states. On the north side is a Greek inscription, commencing with a line of the poet Simonides, who flourished in b.o. 556, and recording the expoits of the son of Harpagus, in whose honour the monument was erecter in the Market-place of the Twelve Gods. ${ }^{\text {E/ }}$

These monuments are all from Xanthus, the chief city of Lycia: an inspection of the remains of other towns, as figured in the works of Sir Charles Fellows, Furbes and Epratt, and Texier, shews that in all the arts of civilized life the Lycians, though alwaya accounted barbarians in the Hellenic sense, were little inferior to the Greeks themselves. The Greek influence on their religion has been traced in their worship of Apollo, especially at Patars; but though the legend of Patarus raises a presumption that this was the Greek deity, the point is not certain.
§11. The 'Iliad' exhibits the Lycians as a leading member of that great confederacy of the Aryan states of Asis Midor which contended with the Greeks in the war of Troy; and the branch of the

[^334]nation of which Pandarus was prince is represented as settled on the River 在sepus, in the Troad. ${ }^{54}$ They do not appear again in history, till Lerodotus mentions them as exempt, with the Cilicians, from subjugation by Croesus. The exterminating character of their conquest by Cyrus must have left the more room for that Greek influence which begins thenceforth to be conspicuous. But they still retained their own peculiar constitution, which is often held up as one of the wisest in all antiquity. Lycia was a confederacy of free cities; and the political unity among its towns seems to have been the source of that strength which enabled it to resist Croesus, and which earned a large amount of freedom under its subsequent masters.

In consequence mainly of their strong federal government, the Lycians were a peaceable and well-conducted people, who took no part in the piracy of their maritime neighbours, but remained faithful to their ancient institutions; and on this account they were allowed by the Romans the enjoyment of their free constitution. Strabo, who saw its working under the supremacy of Rome, describes the confederacy as consisting of 23 towns, whose deputies met at a place fixed upon each time by cominon consent. The six largest toivns-Xanthus, Patara, Pinara, Olympus, Myra, and Tlos-had each three votes in the diet: the towns of more moderate size had two, and the remaining small places one vote each. The executive of the confederacy was in the hands of a magistrate called Lysiarch, whose election was the first business of the congress, and afterwhom the other officers of the confederacy were chosen. The judges also, as well as the magistrates, were elected frum each city, according to the number of its votes: tazation and other public duties were regulated on the same principle. In former times, the deputies constituting the congress had also decided ulon peace, war, and alliances; but this, of course, ceased when Lycia acknowledged the supremacy of Rome. This happy constitution lasted till the time of the Emperor Claudius, when Lycia became a Roman province.

The maritime habits of the Lycians are attested by their serving with 50 ships in the navy of Xerxes, when (Herodotus tells us) "their crews wore greaves and breastplates, while for arms they had bows of cornel-wood, reed-arrows without feathers, and javelins. Their outer garment was the skin of a goat, which hung from their sboulders-their head-dress a hat encircled with plumes; and, besides their other weapons, they carried daggers and falchions." ${ }^{56}$
§ 12. The Caunlans, whom Herodotus alone mentions as a distinct people, ${ }^{\text {ss }}$ are now reganded as Lycians, on the evidence of their

[^335]coins and architecture. They resisted Harpagus precisely in the same manner as the Lycians, and Cauuus had precisely the fate of Xanthus. They inhabited a small territory to the west of Lycia, between the Gulf of Glaucus and Port Panormus, on the coast of Caria; ${ }^{\text {r }}$ their city, Caunus, has been identified by an inscription, with some extensive ruins, including walls of cyclopean masonry, on the right bank of a small strean (now called Koi-gez), which carries off the water of a large lake about 10 miles inland. ${ }^{50}$

Herodotus gives the following account of the people:-" The Caunians, in my judgment, are aboriginals, but by their own account they came from Crete. In their language either they have approximated to the Carians, or the Carians to them: on this point I cannot speak with certainty. In their customs, however, they differ greatly from the Carians, and not only so, but from all other men. They think it a most honourable practice for friends, or persons of the same age, whether they be men, women, or children, to meet together in large companies, for the purpose of drinking wine. Again, on one occasion they determined that they would no longer make use of the foreign temples, which had long been established among them, but would worship their own old ancestral gods alone. Then their whole youth took arms, and, striking the air with their spears, marched to the Calyndic frontier, ${ }^{50}$ declaring that they were driving out the foreign gods." ${ }^{60}$ Caunus possessed an excellent defensible harbour and dockyards. ${ }^{\text {ct }}$ Under the Romana it was a place of considerable trade, and was famous for its dried figs, ${ }^{62}$ which have acquired lasting celebrity through an incident related by Cicero. ${ }^{\text {se }}$. When Crassus was embarking his army at Brundisium, to assume that proconsulate of Syria which ended in his Parthian disaster, a seller of dried figs imported from Caunus kept crying on the quay "Cauneas!" (sc. ficus), ${ }^{\text {en }}$ which was interpreted, after the event, as Cave ne eas, "Beware of going!"
\$13. The south-western corner of Asia Minor was occupied by the Camians, one of the oldest and most important nations of the peninsula. In the time of Homer, who gives then the epithet of "strange-speaking," ${ }^{\text {es }}$ they dwelt between the Lycians and Mreonians

[^336](the old inhabitants of Lydia), and extended along the western coast as far north as "Miletus and Mount Ptheira (a spur of Latmus), and the streams of Mæander, and the lofty summits of Mycale." Thus it appears that they possessed the valley of the Mæander. ${ }^{66}$ On the north-east, the range of Cadmus formed a natural division of Caria from the table-land of Phrygia. The eastern boundary is chiefly the range westward of the River Indus; but on the coast Strabo carries it to the eastern side of the Gulf of Glaucus. The country is formed by mountain-ranges running. far into the sea, which penetrates far into the intervening valleys, as in the firths of Scotland; the deepest being the Ceramic Gulf, with the long and narrow peninsula of Cnidus on the south. Hence the country, whicb might be included in a rectangle about 110 miles long by 90 wide, has on its two maritime sides a coast-line estimated by Strabo at 4900 stadia, or 490 geographical miles.
§ 14. Herodotus gives an interesting account of the Carians, which has the higher value from the fact that he was a native of the country :-"The Carians are a race who came into the mainland from the islands. In ancient times they were the subjects of King Minos, and went by the name of Leleges, dwelling among the isles, and, so far as I have been able to push my inquiries, never liable to give tribute to any man. They served on board the ships of King Minos whenever he required; and thus,' as he was a great conqueror, and prospered in his wars, the Carians were in his day the most famous by far of all the nations of the earth. They likewise.were the inventors of three things, the use of which was borrowed from them by the Greeks: they were the first to fasten crests on helmets, and to put devices on shields, and they also invented handles for shields. . . . . Long after the time of Minos, the Carians were driven from the islands by the Ionians and Dorians, and so settled upon the mainland.
"The above is the account which the Cretans give of the Carians: the Carians themselves say very differently. They maintain that they are the aboriginal inhabitants of the part of the mainland in

[^337]Which they now dwell, and never had any other name than that which they still bear. And in proof of this they show an ancient temple of Carian Jove in the country of the Mylasians, ${ }^{67}$ in which the Mysians and Lydians have the right of worshipping, as brother races to the Carians: for Lydus and Mysus, they say, were brothers of Car. These nations, therefore, have the aforesaid right; but such as are of a different race, even though they have come to use the Carian tongue, are excluded from the temple." ${ }^{\omega}$ This would seem especially to apply to the Caunians, for he adds, as we have seen, that the Carians and the Caunians spoke the same language. ${ }^{* 0}$

Strabo follows what Herodotus calls the Cretan account, that the Carians were driven from the islands to the mainland by the Ionians and Dorians; aud he specifies the people whon they displaced as Leleges and Pelasgi; ${ }^{\text {0 }}$ in fact, every writer but Herodotus distinguishes the Leleges from the Carians. The account of Thucydides differs in the details. He says that the early inhabitants of the Ægean were pirates, and that they were Phoenicians and Carians; and that Minos expelled the Carians from the Cyclades. ${ }^{7}$ In proof of their babitation of that group, he mentions that when the Atheniaus purified Delos (during the Peluponnesian war), above one-balf of the dead bodies that were removed appeared to be Carians, who were recognised by their arms, which were buried with them, and by the manner of their interment, which was the same that they used in his time. ${ }^{78}$
$\S 15$. Of the two accounts of the origin of the Carians, there can be little doubt that their own sbould be preferred. That they had an affiuity with the people of the islands which coutinue their mountain-system-the Cyclades to the west, and Rhodes, Carpathus, and Crete to the south-west-can hardly be questioned. The Cretans would naturally regard themselves as the parent-stock; and, an in the parallel case of the Phrygians, there may have been a backward wave of Carian migration from the islands to the continent, caused by the great colonizing movement of the Greeks. But their presence on the mainland date from a period before that which the Greek traditions assign to the Ionian and Dorian colonies.

The Homeric "catalogue of the ships" is too much adapted to later geographical idcas to furnish any decisive argument; but in another passage, Homer mentions the Carians in close connection with the Pæonians, Leleges, Caucunes, and Pelangi-races which bave this in common, that they were all among the earliest reputed inhabitants

[^338]both of Asia Minor and the Grecian peninsula. ${ }^{\text {Ts }}$ Besides, to derive the Carians originally from Europe is to invert the general couise of early migration, to which we have no ground for supposing that they formed an exception. On the contrary, their position, in one of, those corners of countries into which primitive races are so often driven, ${ }^{\mathbf{7 4}}$ argues them the remnant of a very ancient population of the southern coast, forced into this position by the Semitic Cilicians adyancing along the shore, and by the Aryan Lycians descending from the table-land, or entering by the sea. When thus'pent-up in the extreme corner of the peninsula, the Carians would naturally pass over into the islands; and, being a numerous people, they would overspread them far and wide. Some regard them as the last remnant of the old Hamitic population of the whole peninsula; but there is not sufficient evidence to decide this point. The mythic genealogy, which made Car; Lydus, and Mysus brothers, is doubtless a Greek invention; and the close connection with the lydians and Mysians, which Herodotus regards as a proof of affinity, was probably an alliance against the common danger from the Greek settlers. It is important to observe that, besides the commor temple of the three nations at Mylasa, the Carians had a special temple for the assembly of their own people.

As to their connection with the Leleges, Herodotus seems to be clearly mistaken in making this an older name of the Carians. 'The two nations are distinguished by all other writers, and the Leloges are closely connected with the Pelasgians: the two seem to have been sister races, which, at a very early period, overspread the western coast of Asia Minor, the islands of the Archipelago, and Greece. But, though the Leleges are thus connected by affinity with the Pelasgians, their abodes in Asia Minor are constantly near those of the Carians. Strabo says that the Leleges and Carians once occupied the whole of Ionia, and that in the Milesian territory, and in all Caria, tombs of the Leleges, and forts and vestiges of buildings, were shewn. He adds that the two were so intermingled as to be frequently regarded as one people. ${ }^{75}$ He even makes the original inhabitants of Ephesus to have been Carians and Leleges; and the Leleges were believed to have been the earliest-known inhabitants of Samos. ${ }^{78}$ In Greece the two peoples were connected by the tradition that, in the twelfth generation

[^339]after Car, Lelex came over from Egypt to Megara, and gave his name to the people. ${ }^{77}$

The Lacedænonian traditions made Lelex the first native king of Laconia, the aborigines of which were called, after him, Leleges, and the land Lelegia. ${ }^{\text {m }}$ Other traditions made the Leleges the aborigines of Messenia and Elis. In Northera Greece, Lelex is represented as the first autochthon of Acarnsnia and the Ionian Islands; and the Locrians, Phocians, Boeotians, and other tribes, are sometimes described as Leleges-because the Leleges were the people who sprang from the stones with which Deucalion repeopled the earth after the deluge. ${ }^{79}$ In short, the Leleges are found from the western shores of Greece to Lycia: but Caria seems to bave been the last region in which they held their ground as a distinct people. Here they were represented by one writer as serfs to the Cariang-just as the Helots were to the Lacedxmonians, and the Penesta to the Thessalians, ${ }^{\text {so }}$ Among the theories framed to explain these statements, special attention seems due to that which holds that the Leleges were a part of that very early Japhetic migration before which the Hamite Carians had to yield, while both peoples again were driven forward by the advance of the Pbrygians in the upper, and the Cilicians in the lower part of the peninsula :-that the Leleges, like the kindred Pelasgians, adoj,ting peaceful agricultural habits, were overcome by more powerful tribes (such as the Phrygians, Mysians, and Lydians), except in the remote south-western corner of the peninsula; till the Carians, driven back from the islands by the pressure of the Greeks, fixed their final abode in the part of the country which thenceforth bore their name, and reduced to subjection the Leleges who remained in it. ${ }^{\circ}$
§16. The Carians are always represented as a warlike race. The legend of their service in the fleet of Minos seems to point to their maritime supremacy during the time when they formed the chief population of the islands. When, afterwards, they were driven back upon Caria, and even that narrow region was invaded by the Dorian settlers, they took to the trade of mercenary soldiers. A scholiast on Plato says that they were the first to adopt this profession, for which their name is used as a byword by the poet Archilochus. In this capacity they served in Egypt under Psammetichnas, and they fought desperately for Psammenitus in the deciaive battle with Cambyses. Another practice, to which the Carians appear

[^340]to have resorted in consequence of their confined territcry, was the sale of their children to slave-merchants, whence the name of Carian is sometimes used synonymously with slave.

When the whole western coast of Caria was taken possession of by the Ionians to the north of the Mæander, and by the Dorians to the south of that river, the Carians became subject, as we have seen, in a large degree to Greek influence; but they preserved their own language-though with a large admixture of Greek words-and their own political institutions. They lived in small towns and villages, and were united in a kind of federation. Their place of meeting was a spot in the interior, where the Macedonians, after the time of Alexander, founded the colony of Stratonicea. They met, for sacrifice and deliberation on their common interests, at the temple of Zeus Chrysaoreus ("Jove with the golden sword "), whence the federation was called Chrysaoreum. This confederation, which may probably have been formed after the Carians were driven into the interior by the Ionians and Dorians, still existed after the Macedonian conquest. The extent to which their power survived the Greek colonization, as well as the continuance of their maritime halits, is indicated by the fact that the Carians furnished seventy ships to the navy of Xerxes, while all the Dorians of Asia furrished but thirty. ${ }^{8}$ It is reasonable to assume that the Hellenizing of the Carians added vigour to the nationality which they preserved.

Meanwhile, however, one of the Greek cities of Caria had become the seat of a famous monarchy, which afterwards extended its power over the country. The Argive colony of Halicarnassus (Budrum), having been excluded from the confederacy of the six Dorian cities, stood alone when both the Carians and Greels submitted to Harpague, the general of Cyrus. A certain Lygdamis seized the opportunity to obtain kingly power in Halicarnassus, and Artemisia, his daughter by a Cretan mother, gave the kingdom strength and lustre by qualities which put to shame the men who followed Xerxes to Greece. Her wisdom in council and bravery in battle are dwelt upon by Herodotus with a manifest fervour of patriotism, which does him the more honour when we remember that he joined in expelling from his native city her grandson, the tyrant Lygdamis. The successive kings continued to be most faithful vassals to Yersia, which thus possessed in Halicarnassus its best stronghold on the coast of Asia Minor. The kingdom reached its height under Mausolus and his sister-wife Artemisia, who built for her husband's remains the celebrated Mausoleum (b.c. 377-350). The details of this kingdom belong to the history of Greece.
§ 17. We have now gone through the list of the chief nations of

Asia Minor (exclusive of the Greek colonies), with the exception of the Lidians. This people are historically the most important, and ethnically one of the most difficult, of the whole. They were not the first-known inhabitants of the country which bore their name.

The great plain at the northern foot of Mount Tmolus, in the very centre of the western maritime region-watered by the Hermus and its southern tributary, Pactolus with the golden sands, on the right bank of which stood the famous capital of $S \triangle$ rdis ${ }^{* 5}$ was formerly possessed by the Meonians, whose name was preserved to after-times by the city of Mæonia, now Megné, among the hills east. of the valley. ${ }^{\text {ba }}$ They are mentioned by Homer with local circumstances which are unmistakable. The Mronians, whose native land is at the foot of Tmolus, are led to the war by two brothers born of the Gygoean lake. ${ }^{87}$ This name points to Gyges, the founder of the later Lydian dynasty; and the lake-which Homer elsewhere mentions in connection with the Hermus and its tributary the Hyllus ${ }^{\text {sen }}$-is always identified with that afterwards called Coloë (now Mermere, on the northern side of the Hermus), near which was the Necropolis of Sardia, and the tomb of Alyattes. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ In the Trojan camp the Mæonians are placed near the Lyciaus and Mysians and Phrygians ; and the epithets describing the common mode of warfare, of
"The Phrygians Aghting on horseback end Mroons with horseas equipth,"
seem to give a mark of affinity. ${ }^{00}$ While thus speaking of the Maronians Homer nowhere mentions the Lydians.

[^341]Chap. XXI .

> LYDIA - THE MEONLANS.
§ 18. Herodotus observes that "this whole peo ile, SERIANTS Mcoonian, was called Lydian from Lydus, the st of AGj, if the Lydians were the same people as the Mroninc Bupsud change of name is a sure sign of the coming in of anotegrace; and Strabo is more correct in supposing the Mronians to bave veen subdued or expelled by the Lydians.a When once the name of Lydian hed been established, it was applied indiscriminately to thewhole nation, before as well as after the conquest; and bence it happens that later writers use the name Lydian even when speaking of a time when there were no Lydians in the country, but only Mronians.

The coexxistence of the two races in the country, after the conquest, seems to be indicated by the mythical genealogy preserved by the native historian, Xanthus of Sardis, one of the most important Greek writers of history before Herodotus. ${ }^{\text {º }}$ He says that Atys had two sons, Lydus and 'Torrbiebus, who, having divided their father's kingdom, remained both in Asia. Their names, Xanthus says, were given to the nations they ruled: "From Lydus are descended the Lydians, but from Torrhebus the Torrhebians: their language differs but little from one another, and to the present day thoy still take from one another not a few words, just like the Ionians and Dorians. ${ }^{*} 9$

Now, when we find Lydia divided, from a very ancient time, into Lydia Proper, in the western plain, and Torrhebia, in the eastern hills; and when we also find the Mronians maintaining their ground in the latter quarter, on the upper Hermus, and giving their name to the district and city of Mronia; ${ }^{95}$-it is natural to connegt the Torrhebians of Xanthus with the Mronisns of other writers. The Latin poets were glad to preserve the cuphonious name of Moconia, and the epithet of Mreonius, which they apply not only

[^342]to Lydia but to Ionia; and hence that well kuown name of Hower, which has been consecrated in Milton's pathetic recollection of -

> "Those other two equalled with me in fate, So I were equalled with them in renown, Blind Thamaris, and blind uocomides." sa
§ 19. The Mæonians unquestionably belonged to the Indo-European family of nations. Either they were of that Pelasgian stock which is said to have once inhabited the whole coast of Ionia and of出olis, ${ }^{n}$ or they were the first Aryan conquerors of the Pelasgians. The latter view seems prohable, from Homer's description of them as warriors fighting on borseback, as well as from their being strong enough to maintain themselves in the upper country after their conquest by the Lydians. Naturally, however, a portion of the conquered race would be pushed out of the country; and there was a well-known tradition, that 'Tyrrhenia (that is Etruria) was colonized from Lydia. ${ }^{84}$

As Herodotus tells the tale, there was a great famine in all Lydia in the days of Atys, the son of Manes, who had two sons, Lydus and Tyrrhenus (or, in other dialects, Tyrsenus). For eighteen years the people bore it patiently, by help of various games (as dice, huckle-bones, and ball), the invention of which was claimed by the Lydians. ${ }^{00}$ At length the king determined to divide the nation in half, and to decide hy lot for one part to stay, and for the other to leave the land under his son Tyrrhenus. Those on whom the lot fell to depart built ships in Smyrna, and sailed to Umbria. ${ }^{100}$ Here they fixed their residence, and, laying aside the name of Lydians, called themselves Tyrrhenians, after their leader.

That, at least in one form of the tradition, the emigratien was represented as that of the Mcconians, rather than the Lydians proper, appears from the atatement of Xanthus, quoted above; but that historian rejected it even in that form. The scholars who accept it regard the Tyrrbenian settlers, not as the body of the Etrurian nation, but as a conquering race, who imposed their rule on the former Pelasgian inhabitants, and became the aristocracy of Etruria. Such appears to have been the view of Horace, when he aldressed Mrgenas, the descendant of a long line of Etruscan kings,

[^343]as among the noblest of all the Lydians that inhabited the country. Few modern scholars accept the tradition in any other sense than as a vague testimony to the unity of the race that once dwelt from the western shores of Italy to the foot of the table-land of Asia Minor. The discussion of the question, however, belongs rather to the history of Italy than to that of the East.
§ 20. As to the origin and affinities of the Lydian race, which supplanted the primitive Mronians, opinions are widely divided. The majority of the best authorities maintain their Semitic origin, chiefly from the few remains of their language that have come down to us, and from the genealogical legends which we have to $m \in n t i o n$ in the next chapter. The chief arguments for their Aryan origin are the testimony of Herodotus to the close resemblance of their customs to the Greek-which, however, may be explained by Ionian influence - and the mythical genealogy of the brothers Lydus, Mysus, and Car, ${ }^{101}$ of which we have suggested the true explanation.

Herodotus describes the Lydians as a warlike equestrian race. "In all Asia," he says, "there was not at that time (the time of Crcesus) a braver or more warlike people. Their manner of fighting was on horseback ; they carried long lances, and were clever in the management of their steeds." ${ }^{200}$ It was not till after they had lost their liberty, and very much through the policy of their Persian conquerors, ${ }^{108}$ that they sank into the effeminate luxury which made their name a byword. ${ }^{104}$ But their civilisation and corruption will be more properly considered in connection with the history of the Lydian kingdom.

10I This would prove too much, for the original Carians were certainly of a very lifferent race.
${ }^{105}$ Eschylus ('Pers.' 40) calls them $\alpha \beta$ pasianros. See Mr. Grote's remarks on the contrast hetween the earlier and later national character of the Lydians and Pbyglana. ("Hist of Greece,' vol. iil. pp. 289-291.)
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## EARLY IIISTORY OF LYDIA.
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5 1. Tere nations of Asia Minor were only politically nnited when Lydia attained an empire over the rest, which became powerful enough to check the whole force of Media, and to wage a doubtful conflict with the Persian conqueror. Before the rise of the Lydian dynasty which ended with Croesus, the history of the peninsula in a blank, except for a few vague traditions, one glorious poetical episode, end notices in the records of Egypt and Arayria, which await further examination.

On the sound principle which forbids us to spoil good poetry, oulp to turn it into bad history, the Trojan War and the Empire of Priam might be left as the sacred domain of Homer-but for the certainty, on the one hand, that the simple realistic bard followed a national tradition, and, on the other hand, for the notices of the Dardanian empire, and (as some read) of Troy itself, in the annals of Egypt and Assyria.

Ctesias and Moses of Chorene, indeed, affirm that the Assyrian annals mention an expedition to the Troad to give aid against the Achæans; and some Orientalists of high repute hold that the Ethiopian Memnon, at the head of his eastern Cushites, was sent by an Assyrian monarch to help his Trojan vassal! The more sober statement of Herodotus limits the Assyrian empire to the country east of the Halys; ${ }^{2}$ and the earliest conquests in the peninsula, recorded by the monuments themselves, are those of Sargon and Sennacherib in Cilicia. The Egyptian monuments seem to speak of the Dardanians and Leka as dividing the dominion of the peninsula, while the Carians are powerful on the coast; and it is said that the Pisidians, Lycians, Dardanians, and Mysians are found confederated with the Hittites of Syria and the Ruten (or Rotennou) of Mesopotamia, against Rameses III. But the identification of these names is still doubtful.
§ 2. The Phryglan traditions of a line of native kings receive support from the monuments and other marks of civilization, which, as we have already seen, indicate a powerful and wealthy state. Such a state would naturally obtain a fuller development after the fall of Troy, to which it appears in the ' liad ' as a subordinate ally. But all the details recorded of the Phrygian kingdom are purely mythical-a mere Gordian knot of genealogy and legend.

The origin of the kingdom is represented by the tale of the peasant Gordius, who dedicated at Gordium the yoke of the car in which he was riding, when the people saluted him as the king promised them by an oracle. The same oracle declared that the empire of Asia was destined for him who should untie the knot of the yoke; and Alexander proved his claim to the prize by solving the problem with his sword.

Midas, ${ }^{8}$ the son of Gordius, typifies the growth of the kingdom; its wealth, luxury, and effeminacy; the introduction of the Dionysiac worship, and the cultivation of music in Phrygia. It seems as if the Greek fabulists chose him (on the principle, omne ignotum pro mirifico) to personify their vague conceptions of the early wonders of Western Asia. We need only glance at the well-known legends.

## Herod. i. 95.

"The name is spels Mydas in Euseb. ('Chron.' Pars. Ii. s. a. Ab. 1278), and in the Armenlan Version Kindur (s. a. Ab. 707), which seems the genuine old form, the n haplug been dropped (as frequently) before the d $\mu$ ntal.

While he was yet a child, ants carried grains of wheat to his mouth, foretelling the abundant resources that would flow in to him. But he lived to learn that pold may be a "precious bane;" for, Dionysus baving granted him bis wish, that every object he touched should be turned into gold, he was fain to pray for the recal of the gift before he perished with hunger. The god broke the spell by oriering Midas to bathe in the source of the Pactolus, the sands of which were thenceforth mixed with grains of gold.

The connection of Phrygia with the orgiastic and Dionysiac worship is denoted by the stories which made Midas a son of Cybele, ${ }^{3}$ and a sharer in the blood of the Satyrs; ${ }^{6}$ and by those which tell how, on one occasion, the intoxicated Silenus was made his captive, and, after being forced to answer various questions, ${ }^{6}$ was restored by him to Dionysus; and how, at another time, he caught a satyr by mixing wine with a well, which was shewn by mome near Thymbrium and Tyreum, ${ }^{6}$ by others at Ancyra.' The traditional scene of the capture of Silenus bas more than a fabulous interest. The Macedonians placed it at the so-called "Gardens of Midas," at the foot of Mount Bermius, probably near Bercea, in the district of the Bryges, who are thus connected by the legend, as well as by their name, with the Asiatic Phrygians.s

As the type of the early cultivation of music among the Phrygians, Midas is made the an of Orpheus; and the contest between the Greek and Phrygian modes is aymbolized by his decision against Apollo in the musical contest with Pan, or, as others said, with Marsyas. The penalty incurred by this decision is one among several instances of the retributive spirit which enters into the fables of Midas. He is the type, not only of the weslth and prosperity, but of the degenerate effeminacy, of the Pbrygians ;* and at last he kills himself by drinking bull's blood. ${ }^{10}$
53. Amidst these legendary stories, it is not improbable that we have signs of a line of Phrygian kings, who bore the names of Gordius and Midas, perhaps alternately. ${ }^{\text {.1 }}$ Herodotus evidently

[^344]believed in the historical character of the "Midas, son of Gordius, King of Phrygia," whom he names as the only exception to the statement that Gyges was the first of the barbarians known to have sent offerings to Delphi. "Midas dedicated the royal throne, whereon he was accustomed to/sit and administer justice, an object well worth looking at." ${ }^{\text {e }}$ In another passage he seems to imply that this royal line continued down to, or even after, the conquest of Phrygia by Croesus; for, in the celebrated story of Adrastis, the Phrygian refngee announces himself as "the son of Gordius, son of Midas." ${ }^{13}$ It is unsafe to argue from the incidental details of a story, of which the main part is mythical; but the conclusion is probable in itself.

Midas is twice mentioned, as King of the Phrygians, in the Chronicle of Eusebius: first, as the contemporary of Rameses II., and two years after the foundation of Ilium; ${ }^{14}$ again, as the contemporary of Bocchoris ; ${ }^{15}$ and his death by drinking bull's blood is placed in the reign of 'Tirhakah. ${ }^{16}$ But the most decisive proof of the historical reality of this line of kings is an inscription on a tomb, commonly called the "Tomb of Midas," at Doganbe, near Kutaya, the ancient Cotyæum, in Phrygia. The inscription has been read thus:-"Ates Arciaefas, the Acenanogafus, built (this) to Midas, the warrior-king." ${ }^{17}$
§4. There are curions notices in Eusebius (on the authoriiy of Diodorus) of the order in which the nations of Asia Minor held the supremacy of the sea, during a period of 304 years after the Trojan war, from в.о. 1183 to в.о. 880. The result of these statements is repeated for what it may be worth; but it is beyond our present scope to discuss its value. ${ }^{18}$

[^345]§5. The kingdom of Lrdia, which finally obtamed the empire of Asis Minor, claimed-or the Greeks claimed for it-a higher antiquity than either the Dardanian or the Phrygian monarchies; and the second of its three dynasties is made contemporary with the Greek heroic age. These dynasties are the Atyadar, the Heraclida, and the Mermnadue. The first is purely mythical : the second partakes, to say the least, of the same character: the real history of Lydia begina with the third, but even through this there runs a legendary vein. Nearly all our information is derived from Herodotus, the few fragments of Xanthus, and the miscellaneous details of Ctesias, Diodorus, some minor historians, and the chronographers. Herodotus writen with the manifest view of holding up Croesus, the first barbarian who made war upon the Greeks, as an example of judicial infatuation, and of the ruin to which it leads; and this poetic view colours his history of Lydia throughout. Xanthus, amidst many signs of intimate acquaintance with the annals of his country, spoils his credit by the marvels he indulges in.
§6. Herodotus derives the first line of kings from Lydus, the son of Atys, the son of Manes; ${ }^{\text {² }}$ and Diodorus gives the full genealogy as follows :-


Not only is the mythical nature of the genealogy obvious on ite face, but it, as well as the statements of Herodotus and Xanthus, have (as Rawlinson observes) "the appearance, with which the early Greek annals make us so familiar, of artificial arrangements of

[^346][^347]the heroes-eponymi of the nation. The Manes, Atys, Lydus, Asies, Tyrsenus, of Herodotus and- Diodorus, and even the Torgbus (or Torrhebus) and Adramytes of Xanthus Lydus, stand in Lydian bistory where Pelasgus, Hellen, Ion, Dorus, Achæus, Eolus, stand in Greek." ${ }^{20}$ It seems also that this first dynasty represents the Moeonian period of Lydian history. Its computed end falls about the clase of the 13th century z.O.
§ 7. The Second Dynasty, or' Heraclides, are said by Herodotus to have been entrusted with the government by the Atyadx, and to have obtained the kingdom by an oracle. ${ }^{\text {at }}$ Supposing this account to be bistorical, it would make the relation of the new kings to the old that of usurping maires du palais, like the Carlings to the Merovingians. But, at all events at first sight, their origin appears not only mythical, but presents a heterogeneous mixture of Greek and Oriental names. Herodotus traces their origin to Alcceus, the son of Hercules and the slave-girl of Jardanus. 92 Alcoens was the father of Belus, he of Ninus, and he of Agron, the founder of the dynasty. From Agron the crown descended in a direct line, from father to son, through twenty-two generations, a space of 505 years, to Candaules, the last king.23 As the end of the dynasty is fixed (as we shall presently see) to within a few years before b.c. 700, the date of its commencement would fall in the last few years of the 13th century. I'be different computations place it between 1229 and 1208 b.c.
§ 8. The first impression naturally made by this genealogy is that expressed by Professor Rawlinson :-"Among the wide range of fabulous descents with which ancient authors have delighted to fill their pages, it would be difficult to find a transition so abrupt and startling as that from Alcæus, son of Hercules, to Belus; father of Ninus. It seems necessary absolutely to reject one portion of the genealogy or the other, for the elements refuse to amalgamate." But, in fact, the very grossness of the apparent inconsistency is a

[^348]strong sign that the genealogy is no invention of a Greek, but that Herodotus is following some native tradition, only translating (as is his wont) Oriental names into their supposed equivalents in Greek. The historian of the Eastern Empires seems to have forgotten, for the moment, that there was an Assyrian god, whom the Greeks called Hercules, and whose introduction into the genealogy is consistent witt the appearance of Belus and Ninus. That god, Ninip, has an epithet Samdan, "the strong," which answers very fairly to Alcæus; and M. Oppert considers the triad of names, B $\bar{\eta} \lambda o s$ ' $A \lambda k a i o s ~ " H \rho a k \lambda \bar{\eta} s$, to represent the full title of the deity, Bel-Ninip-Samdan (" Lord Ninip the Mighty"), who stands, according to custom, at the head of an Assyrian royal line. In Ninus the same Orientalist discovers, not merely the hero eponymus, who marks the Assyrian origin of the dynasty, but the very king, Ninip-pal-zira, who was reigning at Nineveh about the time at which its beginning is calculated, a little before 1200 в.c., and who is sometimes regarded as the first historical founder of the real greatness of Assyria itself.s In Agrons he recognises a Semitic word, signifying fugitive; and from the elements thus ingeniously brought together, he frames the theory, that this Agron, the true founder of the Lydian kingdom, was a younger son of Ninip-pal-zira, whom one of the conflicts, so frequent in Oriental royal families, drove to seek his fortune beyond the region of Armenia, which his father had already conquered. ${ }^{26}$ Traversing the table-land, in search of a permanent settlement, he fell upon the rich plain occupied by the Mreoniann, and imposed $u_{1}$ on them the new dynasty of "the sons of Ninip," whom the Greek: called Heraclidæ.
§9. This ingenious scheme has, at all events, the merit of giving a more definite form to the theory of the Semitic origin of the Lydians, which appears to have been the native tradition, ${ }^{9}$ and which is now generally adopted by the best aathorities; ${ }^{97}$ and the objections to that theory are diminished by regarding the Lydians rather as a conquering race than mainly as a migrating people. In

[^349]the ethnic table of Genesis $\mathbf{x}$., the position of Lud, as the fourth son of Shem, seems to mark the Lydians as a branch of the Semitic family distinct from the Aramæans; ${ }^{28}$ for all biblical authorities, from Josephus ${ }^{29}$ downwards, regard this Lud as the progenitor of the Lydians.

The very objection, that Lydia lies beyond the range of the ethnic table, turns in favour of the theory; for it points to the original abode of the Lydians in Upper Assyria, between Arphaxad (probably Kurdistan) and Aram (Mesopotamia Proper). Quite independently of the historic theory of M. Oppert, a distinguished Orientalist has suggested the connection of the Ludim with the Ruten (or Rotennou), whom the Egyptian records constantly mention in this very region. ${ }^{30}$ These tribes, which so pertinaciously resisted the arms of a. Thothmes and a Rameses, are not likely to have submitted quietly to the kings of Nineveh, and the progress of the Assyrian empire may have driven a part of them to seek new abodes in Asia Minor. The remains of ancient Lydian art-such as the rock-sculptures of Nymphi, near Smyrna, and those of Giaour-Kalé-are of a decidedly Assyrian type.

The arguments on the other side, so far as they have any force, may be explained, partly (perhaps) by the remains of the old Pelasgian population in the country, and certainly to a great extent by Hellenic influence from Ionia. Thus we can understand the resemblance of the Lydians to the Greeks in manners, customs, and arms; ${ }^{\text {al }}$ their habit of consulting the Greek oracles; ${ }^{s i}$ and the curious mixture of Semitic and Aryan etymologies, which high authorities have proposed for their proper names. ${ }^{38}$
§ 13. All the interest belonging to the Heraclide dynasty is exhausted by this ethnical question about their origin. Of the ' twenty kings between Agron and Candaules, we have only a few

$$
\text { E6 Gen. x. } 22 \text {; comp. } 1 \text { Chron. i. } 17 \text {. } 23 \text { Joseph. Ant. i. 8, } \$ 4 .
$$

50 Mr . Stuart Poole's art. Lud in the ' Dict. of the Bible.' The letters $r$ and $l$ are constantly interchanged in the hieroglyphic writing, as in Lebu and Rebu for the Iibyans. On the relation of the eupposed African Ludim of Gen. $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{1 3}$ to the whole question, see Mr. Poole's art, Ludim.

81 Herod. $1.35,94$; vii. t4. $\quad$ Bs Herod. i. 14, 19, 46, \&ce.
${ }^{23}$ Thus, for example (excluding Belus, Ninus, and Agron, which the advocates of the Aryan theory regard as purely mythical), we have, on the one side, in the royal names Sadyaltes =" potens per Attidem," and Alyattes="elevatus per Attidem," not only a Semitic origin, bot an exact analogy to the form of Assyrian royal names. (P. Boetticher, 'Rudimenta Mythologie Semitice': Rawlinson's objection, that Attis was the Phrygian form of the god's name, while the Lydian was Atys, is too minute.) On the other hand, Cavidaules is said to be compounded of the Sanscrit equivalent for кúwv, canis, and hund (a dog ), and dri (" to tear "=dli), a derivation referred to by the poet. Hipponax (Fr. 1) and Tzetzes (Chil. vi. Hist. 54). Sardis is said to have meant, in Lydian, year=sarat or sard in Sanskrit and Armenian, and Thrada in Old Persian (Lydus 'de Menslbus,' iii. 14)., See Sir H. Rawlinson's Note to Herod. i. 7, and Prof. Rawlinson's 'Essay i. to Herod. bookill 3.
doubtful names, ${ }^{4}$ and one or two fabulous stories, ${ }^{26}$ before the interesting legends relating to the end of the dynasty. Herodotus connects the fortification of Sardis with one such story of a King Meles, whom Eusebius makes the predecessor of Candaules. ${ }^{\text {s6 }}$ "One conclusion may be drawn alike from the silence of the foreign and the fictions of the native historian-that the Lydians of the fifth century b.c. possessed no authentic information concerning their ancestors further back than the time of Gyges, the first king of the race called Mermnadæ. From this we may derive, as a corollary, the further consequence of the insignificance of Lydis in times anterior to his date. Previously to the accession of the last dynasty, Lydia was, it is probable, but one out of the many petty states or kingdoms into which Lower Asia was parcelled out. Lyoia, which gave kings to the Greek colonies upon the coast, " and maintained its independence even against Crcesus, must have been at least as powerful ; and the really predominant state was the central kingdom of the Phrygians, who exercised a greater influence over the Greeks of the coast than any other of the Asiatic peoples with whom they came in contact, and whose kinge were the first of all foreigners to send offerings to the oracle at Delphi. Lydia, until the time of Gyges, was a petty state, which made no conquests, and exercised but little influence beyond its borders." ${ }^{s e}$ It was only under the third dynasty of five kings, whose united reigns amounted to above 170 years, that Lydia acquired the supremacy which won for it a place in history among the foremost of the nations.

[^350]


Rnius of Miletas.

## CHAPTER XXIII.

## LYDIA AND MEDIA.-FROM GYGES TO CYAXARES AND ALYATTES.-ABOUT B.C. 716 TO B.C. 560.-THE CIMMERIAN AND SCTTTHIAN INVASIONS OF ASIA.

61. Transfer of the Lydian crown from the Hevaclides to the Mermuadas. Three forms of the legend of Candatise and Gxges. In Heroriotus, In Plato: the "Ring of Gyges." The thind form: a contest of factions. Presents of Gyges to Delphi. is. The five Mermnad Kings. Chronology. Relations of Lydis to the Ionian colonies. Grars begins to attack the Greek cities. His presents to Asshur-bani-pal, King of Assyria \$ 3. Aedys. The Cimmerian invasion of Asla Minor. Country of the Oimmerians. 84. The Scythian conquest and expulsion of the Cimmerians, and their invasion of Asia Minor, according to Herodotus. \$5. Criticism of the story. Westward migrations of the Cimmerians, who were probably Cymry, or Celis. 68. Their eariy invasions of Asia Minor. Alluslons of the Ionian poets. Extent of their devastationc. 9 . Reign of Sadratigs. His war against Miletus, continued byAlyaties Its curlous history. Peace with Miletus. Offerings at Delphi. 18. Alyattes drives the Cimmerians out of Asia. Culision with Cyarares, King of Media. 69. Herodotus's summary of the reign of Cyaxares, Invasion of Media by the Scrimans. Their domination in Western Asia. 10. Different senses of the name Scythian. \$11. The Scythians of the Greek poets and of Herodotus. Origin of the name. $\$ 12$. The Asiatic Scythians (Sace), the Saka of the Persinn cuneiform inscriptions. Their two classes and habitations. © 13. Different interpretations of the Scythian invaston. Scriptural allusions. 14. Median and Lydian war and alliance. The "Eclipse of Tbales." Nineveh taken by Cyazares. 15. Deaths of Cyazarss and Alyattes. The Tomb of Alyattes.
§ 1. Every classical student is familiar with the story related by Herodutus of the transfer of the crown of Lydia from the Heraclidae to the Mermnadre through the revenge which the queen of Candaules, the last Heraclid, compelled Gyges to take upon her husband, for the insult to her modesty contrived by the king in his
foolish admiration of her beauty. ${ }^{1}$ But this story, which the historian derived from the iambic poet Archilochus of Paros, ${ }^{8}$ is but one of the three forms of the legend.

In Plato" we have it with the embellishment of the magic "Ring of Gyges." This story is best told by Mr. Grote: "According to the legend in Plato, Gyges is a mere herdsman of the King of Lydia. After a terrible storm and earthquake, he sees near him a chasm in the earth, into which he descends, and finds a vast horse of brass, hollow and partly open, wherein there lies a gigantic corpse with a golden ring. This ring he carries away, and discovers, unexpectedly, that it possesses the miraculous property of rendering him invisible at pleasure. Being sent on a message to the king, he makes the magic ring available to his ambition : he first possesses himself of the person of the queen, then with her aid assassinates the king, and finally seizes the sceptre." ${ }^{4}$

The third form of the legend, as given by Nicolaus Damascenus " (not improbably from Xanthus), makes the revolution the final issue of a long feud between the houses of the Heraclidm and the Mermnadx, and represents the latter as a Lydian family of distinction.' Some struggle between the two parties is also implied by Herodotus: "Gyges then seized the kingdom, and was confirmed in it by the Delphic oracle. For when the Lydians were enraged at the fate of Candaules, and had taken up arms, an agreement was come to by the partisans of Gyges and the rest of the Lydians, that if the oracle should pronounce him to be king of the Lydians, be should be king; but if not, he should give back the rule to the Heraclidx. But the oracle answered ; and so Gyges reigned. Thus much, however, the Pythian priestess said, that vengeance for the Hepaclida should fall upon the fifth descendant of Gyges."1 Meanwhile Gyges paid the price of the decision, in the rich presents of gold and silver which were preserved at Delphi as "the Gygean offering."

[^351]§ 2. The five generations of kings referred to by the oracle, with the length of their respective reigns, are these :-


From the first accession of the new dynasty, the kingdom of Lydia comes into close contact with the Greeks. Its coast was occupied by the Ionians, the most wealthy and refined of the Hellenic colonists, whose great cities-such as Miletus, Ephesus, Colophon, Smyrna, Phocea, and many others-enriched the neighbouring countries, as well as themselves, by the commerce which they carried on between Asia and all the shores of the Mediterranean. Sharing the benefits of that commerce, and bound by many ties of affinity, the Asiatics appear to have cultivated friendly relations with the Greek colonists, after the effects of the first collisions had subsided. ${ }^{10}$

These relations continued under the supremacy of the peaceful Phrygians, whose great influence on the Greeks has been already noticed. ${ }^{11}$ But the third Lydian dynasty was aggressive from the first. The great Ionian cities were too close to Lydia not to be coveted by the ambition of the new kings; and their wealth had brought with it the curse of luxurious indulgence, inviting the attacks which were now begun by Gyges. Herodotus says that, as soon as Gyges became king, he made an inroad on Miletus and Smyrna, and took

[^352]the city of Colophon, but he performed no other great deed during his reign of 38 years. ${ }^{11}$ The presents which he sent to Asshur-banipal imply friendly relations with Assyria.

5 3. The reign of Ardys, the son of Gyges, which Herodotus relates in two shcit sentences, brings a new nation into the field of Asiatic history :-"Ardys took Priéné, and made war upon Miletus. In his reign the Cimmerlans, driven from their homes by the nomads of Scythia, entered Asia, and captured Sardis, all but the citadel." ${ }^{18}$ Elsewhere the historian says that "the Cimmerian attack upon Ionia, which was earlier than Croesus, was not a conquest of the cities, but only. an inroad for plundering." ${ }^{14}$ His account of this great movement-apart from the statements of other writers, and the very interesting questions thence arising-is extremely clear and simple.

The native land of the Cimmerians was in Europe; ${ }^{15}$ and it was the country afterwards called Scythia ${ }^{16}$-a country most carefully defined by Herodotus as the region round the northern side of the Euxine and Palus Mrotis (Sea of Azov); from the Ister or Danube to the Tanaïs or Don; and extending indefinitely to the north. ${ }^{11}$ At a much later period, another invasion of Asiatic tribes gave the country the name of Sarmatio. The student has to guard against innumerable sources of confusion from the application of these three names-Cimmeria, Scythia, and Sarmatia-to the same region. In modern geography it corresponds (speaking very generally) to the steppes of Southern Russia, and the term Ukraine may be conveniently, though vaguely, used as its compendious name.

This remote and inhospitable country, on an almost unknown

[^353]Grap. XXIII. THE CIMMERIANS AND SCXTHIANS.
shore, may well answer to Homer's "people and city of the Cimmerians, covered in mist and cloud, at the bounds of the deepflowing Ocean." ${ }^{18}$ Aschylus knows the Cimmerian Isthnius and Bosporus at the Lake Mrotis; ${ }^{19}$ and Herodotus traces the former presence of the Cimmerians in Scythia by "Cimmerian castles, a tract called Cimmeria, and a Cimmerian Bosporus." ${ }^{20}$ The name survives to the present day in the Crimea, or Crim-Tartary, and in Eski Crim (Old Crim) the site of the town of Cimmerium. It must be remembered that, remote as this region was from Greece and Ionia it was well known through the Greek colonies on its shore-such as Tiras at the mouth of the Tiras or Danastus (Dniester), Olbia or Borysthenis, at the mouth of the Hypanis (Dnieper), and others; and Herodotus himself visited the country between those rivers. ${ }^{21}$
§4. The historian's account of the conquest of the country by the Scythians implies, amidst details tbat appear fabulons, a complete extirpation of the old inhabitants. The barrow on the bank of the Dniester, which was shewn to Herodotus as the tomb of the Royal Tribe-who chose to fall in battle against the rest of the nation, who preferred exile-was more probably the monument of the last sanguinary conflicts with the invaders. ${ }^{28}$ The survivors, be says, fled before the Scythians by the coast of the Euxine along the foot of the Caucasus, and so, entering Asia Minor from the northeast, settled in the peninsula where the Greek city of Sinope was afterwards built. ${ }^{23}$ Advancing thence, as seems to be implied, still along the coast, they ravaged Lydia and Ionia, and were only driven out by Alyattes, the grandson of Ardys. ${ }^{24}$
$\S \S$ The improbabilities of this story, and the statements of other writers, suggest that Herodotus confined his attention to that one out of a series of Cimmerian invasions, which was connected with his main subject for the time-the history of the Mermnad kings of Lydia, and this only as a preface to the story of Croesus

[^354]sud Cyrus. It is unlikely that the whole Cimmerian nation should have been expelled by the Scythians at one blow : such a displacement is effected by the nomad hordes coming down wave upon wave. Even more unlikely is the route pursued by the displaced nation. As Niebuhr observes,-"All the wandering tribes which have successively occupied Scythia, when overpuwered by new swarms from the east, have retired to the open country to the west, and towards the Danube." ${ }^{25}$

That the great mass of the Cimmerian natiou really pursued that course, and spread over Europe, on the western shores of which they still exist, and in one case under their own name-the Cumres or Cymry of Wales: in a word, that their movement to the west formed at least one wave of the great Celtic migration: is the opinion now generally held by the best ethnologers; but its discussion lies beyond the scope of the present work. If this opinion be correct; the Cimmerians' capture of Sardis was effected by the same race-as it certainly was of the same character-as the Gallic sack of Rome; and the invaders who occupied and gave their name to Galatia, in the third century b.c., formed a reflux of the tide which poured upon Lydia in the seventh. We may add-as a point of curiosity-that if, as some think, the Chalybes of the northern cosst were a settlement of this people, ${ }^{28}$ the first ironworkers celebrated by the Greek poets were of the same race as those who now extract the metal from the Welsh mines. ${ }^{29}$
§ 6. That some part of this westward migration would pass the Danube, and then the Bosporus and Hellespont, to plunder Asia Minor, is a probability confirmed by abundant testimony. In these ibroads they are found (as might have been expected) mingled, with Thracian tribes, especially the Treres. Strabo (apparently con-

[^355]founding the two races) says that "the Cimmerians, who are also named Trerones, or some- tribe of them, frequently overran the right-hand shores of the Pontus and the parts adjacent-invading sometimes the Paphlagonians, sometimes the Phrygians." ${ }^{28}$ In. other passages-in which he ventures to place their invasions of㢈olis and Ionia about, or a little before, the time of Homer-he distinctly states that they entered by the Bosporus; ${ }^{29}$ and Eusebius places an incursion of the Cimmerians (with the Amazons!) into Asia in the reign of Codrus, King of Attica, 300 years before the first Olympiad. ${ }^{30}$ Orosius assigns this irruption of the Cimmerians and Amazons to B.c. 782 ; $^{31}$ and. the Cimmerians are affirmed, on the authority of Aristotle, to have held Antandrus in Mysia for a hundred years. ${ }^{32}$.

These accounts are probably exaggerated; but we have the evidence of the Ionian poet, Callinus of Ephesus, to the ravages which he witnessed with his own eyes, when the waggons of the barbarians stood on the plain of the Cayster. One of the noblest remains of Greek elegiac poetry is that in which he tries to rouse the soft and dejected Ionians to face the danger and hurl each his last javelin at the foe; for war was upon them while they sat in peace; not even the descendant of demigods can escape his fate; and a whole nation mourns for the brave who falls in fight. ${ }^{38}$ The testimony of other writers to the extent of their devastations is thus summed up by Rawlinson :- "Like the bands of Gauls, which, at a later date, ravaged these same regions in the same ruthless way, ${ }^{, 4}$ the Cimmerian invaders carried ruin and devastation over all the fairest regions of Lower Asia. Paphlagonia, Bithynia, Ionia, Phrygia, even Cilicia-as well as Lydia-were plundered and laid waste. In Phrygia, Midas, the king, despairing of any effectual resistance, on the approach of the dreaded foe, is said to have committed suicide. ${ }^{36}$ in Lydia as we know from Herodotus, they took the capital city, all but. the acropolis. In Ionia they ravaged the valley of the

[^356]Cayster, besieged Ephesus, and, according to some accounts, burnt the temple of Artemis in its vicinity; ${ }^{\text {so }}$ after which they are thought to have proceeded southward into the plain of the Mæander, and to have sacked the city of Magnesia. ${ }^{87}$ One body, under a leader whom the Greeks call Lygdamis, even petetrated as far as Cilicia, and there sustained a terrible reverse at the hands of the hardy mountaineers. The Greeks regarded this as the vengeance of Artemis, for Lygdamis had been the leader in the attack on Ephesus." ${ }^{39}$

Whether all these devastations belong to the inroad mentioned by Herodotus, can hardly be determined. At all events, this, which he seems to consider the only invasion of the Cimmerians, appoars to have been the last. Its peculiar direction may be accounted for by supposing that the invaders were the last portion of the nation displaced by the Scythians, who, hemming them in upon all sides left them no exit but through the passes of the Caucasus.
§ 7. The Cimmerian invasion lasted during the twelve years of Sadyattes, the son of Ardys; ${ }^{\text {se }}$ but its force must have been apent in the first half of his reign, for he "kindled the flame of war" (to use the phrase of Herodotus) against Miletus, and made incursions into its territory during six years. ${ }^{40}$ The war was left as an inheritance to his son Alyattes, and occupied the first Gve of the fifty-seven years that his long reign lasted. In the course of the war, the Milesians sustained two great defeato-one in their own territory, in the district of Limeneium, the other in the plain of the Mmander, ${ }^{41}$ But, in spite of these blows, and though they received no aid from any of the Ionians-except the islanders of Chios, who sent them troops, in requital of a like service rendered by Miletus in their war with Erythra-the city held out for eleven years, and obtained an honourable peace at last.

How this bappened is best told in the graphic words of Herodotus, which illustrate a mode of Asiatic warfare:-"Inheriting from his father a war with the Milesians, Alyattes pressed the siege against the city, by attacking it in the following manner. When the harvest was ripe on the ground, he marcbed in his army to the sound of pipes and harps, and the male and female flute. The buildings that were scattered over the country he neither pulled down nor hurnt, nor did be even tear away the doors, but left them standing as they were. He cut down, however, and utterly destroyed all the

[^357]trees and all the corn throughout the land, and then retired back again. For the Milesians were masters of the sea; so that there was nothing for his army to do in the way of a blockade. The reason that the Lydians did not destroy the houses was this, that the Milesians might have them to use as homesteads, from which they might go forth to sow and till their lands; and sa, each time that he invaded the country, he might have something to plunder. In this way be carried on the war with the Milesians for eleven years. . . . . But in the twelfth year of the war the following mischance occurred from the firing of the harvest-fields. Scarcely had the corn been set alight, when a violent wind carried the flames against the temple of Athena, surnamed Assesia, which canght fire and was burnt to the ground. At the time, no one made any account of the circumstance; bat afterwards, on the return of the army to Sardis, Aljattes fell sick. His illness continuing, . . . . he sent messengers to Delphi, to inquire of the god concerning his malady. On their arrival, the Pythoness refused to give them a response till they should have rebuilt the temple of Athena, which they had burnt at Assesus, in the Milesian territory." ${ }^{\text {m }}$

He goes on to relate how Thrasybulus, the tyrant of Miletus, informed of the oracle by the friendship of Periander, and expecting a message from the Lydian king, had all the corn in the city brought into the market-place, and ordered the people to be ready, the moment he should give the signal, to fall to drinking and revelry. The herald, whom Alyattes sent to demand a truce for the time necessary to reboild the temple, carried back word to Sardis that he had found all Miletus engaged in feasting. Thereapon the king, who had hoped to hear that the city was in the last stage of famine, was glad to make a treaty of close alliance with the Milesians, "He then built at Assesus two temples to Athens, instead of one, and shortly after recovered from his malady." ${ }^{44}$

On his recovery, he imitated the example of Gyges by sending offerings to the shrines at Delphi;-a silver bowl on an iron base, the latter chased with small figures of animals, insects, and plants; which remained famous through all antiquity as the work of a Chian artist, named Glancus, who invented the art of joining metals by a solder, or cement, without nails, clamps, or similar fastenings. This Glaucus seems to have lived about a century before Alyattes. ${ }^{45}$
§ 8. Alyattes was consoled for his disappointment at Miletus by the captare of Smyrna; but he suffered a severe defeat in an invacion of the territory of Clazomenz. ${ }^{46}$ The enterprise of driving the Cim-

[^358]merians out of Asia Minor ${ }^{4}$ seems to have interrupted the attempts upon the Greek cities, in which his success had been so imperfect. The Cimmerian settlement, which remained at Sinope, indicates the direction in which the Cimmerians retired; and it was probably in pushing on the war against them that Alyattes was led to extend his conquests towards the Halys, and was brought into contact with Cyaxares, who was advancing westward perhaps through a similar cause, the pursuit of the expelled Scythians. ${ }^{\text {so }}$
§ 9. Craxares, as we have seen, ${ }^{6}$ succeeded to the throne of Media after the death of his father Phrsortes in the attack on Media; or rather, as is more probable, he founded the Median kingdom itself, about b.c. 634. This was seventein years before the accession of Alyattes in Lydia, B.O. 617.50 After telling how Cyaxares organized the Median army, which would of course be his first business, Herodotus says: "I'his is he who fought with the Lydians, when the night was turned into day as they fought, and who brought under his dominion the whole of Asia above the river Halys." ${ }^{\text {as }}$

The form of this sentence looks like a general notice of the king's chief exploit; and this is not necessarily meant to be-as, in fact, it could hardly have been-previous to the evente which Herodotur goes on to relate: "But having collected all who were subject to him, he marched against Nineveh, to take vengeance for his father, and wishing to destroy this city. And when he had defeated the Assyrians in an engagement, there came against him a great army of the Scythians, led by Madyes, King of the Scythians, son of Prothyes. These invaded Asia, having driven the Cimmerians out of Europe, and following them in their flight till they reached the Madian territory." How these Scythians reached Media, while pursuing the Cimmerians who fled into Asia Minor, he explains as follows. "While the Cimmerians kept the line by the seashore, the Scythians missed their road, and etruck inland, keeping the Cau-

[^359]casus on their right, and so poured into Media; ${ }^{62}$ which must mean that they came down through Daghestan and the Pass of Derbend, between the eastern extremity of Caucasus and the western shore of the Caspian. ${ }^{5 s}$ The Medes gave them battle, but were defeated and lost their empire, and the Scythians became masters of Asia. They marched forward with the design of invading Egypt; but were met in Palestine by Psammetichus, who prevailed on them, by gifts and prayers, to advance no farther." ${ }^{54}$

After telling how some of the Scythians, on their return, plundered the temple of the celestial Aphrodité ${ }^{55}$ at Ascalon, and how the goddess visited their sacrilege with a perpetual punishment, he adds that " the dominion of the Scythians over Asia lasted twentyeight years, ${ }^{56}$ during which time their insolence and oppression spread ruin on every side. For, besides the regular tribute, ${ }^{57}$ they exacted from the several nations additional imposts, which they fixed at pleasure; and, further, they scoured the country, and plundered every one of whatever they could. At length Cyaxares and the Medes invited the greater part of them to a banquet, and made them drunk with wine, after which they were all massacred. The Medes then recovered their empire, and had the same extent of dominion as before. They took Nineveh, and conquered all Assyria, except the district of Babylonia. After this Cyaxares died, having reigned over the Medes, if we include the time of the Scythian rule, forty years." ${ }^{\text {bs }}$

[^360]§ 10. This story forms one of the puzzles of ancient Asiatic history. The precise nationality of these "Scythinns," the nature and time of their dominion, and its relation to the history of Mediaall these are problems awaiting their full solution. For, first, when we read of Scythians at this period, we must not rush to the conclusion that they belonged to that great Turanian or Tartar race of Central Asia, which is generally known by that name in ancient geography. This is only one of three significations of the name. It is applied also to the remains, which existed in all the countries of Western Asia, of that primitive Turanian race which was once the prevailing population, and of which the Tartars of Central Asia were but one family, if indeed they belonged to it at all. ${ }^{50}$ Lastly, there are the Scyths of Europe-so called by Herodotus, Hippocrates, and other Greeks-whose generally admitted relation to the Mongolian race has been questioned, but not on very strong grounds. ${ }^{00}$
§ 11. There seems, indeed, reason to doubt whether Scythian was originally an ethnic name, and not rather, as we now use Nomad, a generic designation of certain wandering or pastoral tribes-Tartars in habit, but not necessarily in race. Such was evidently the ides attached to the name on its first introduction into the Greek language ; for Hesiod applies it to the Hippemolgi (" milkers of marea") whom Homer had already described, by this as well as the names of Galactophagi ("milk-eaters") and Abii ("abstainers from violence"), ${ }^{\text {s1 }}$ as a pastoral race of primitive simplicity and justice; and Eschylus had a similar idea of "the Scythians living according to just laws, eaters of mares'-milk cheese." ${ }^{02}$ Elsewhere, however, following less poetical sources, and referring to the region called Scythia by Herodotus, he describes "the nomad Scythians, who inhabit houses of wicker-work mounted on wheeled cars, with far-darting bows slung to them," as a people to be avoided. ${ }^{\text {as }}$ Thus

[^361]the name, which seems to have come into the Greek language between the times of Homer and of Hesiod, has with Fschylus, besides the old poetical sense, the more definite meaning which is fully worked out in Herodotus. Both name and information doublless came from the same source-Greek intercourse with the shores of the Euxine, at first through the nearer nations, the 'Ihracians and the tribes between the Danube. For the name is not Greek, and neither is it native. There is not a trace of it among any of the nations whom the Greeks described by it; and the European Scythians, the first people to whom it was definitely applied, are distinctly said to have called themselves by a different name. "Collectively they are named Scoloti, after one of their kings ; the Greeks, however, call them Scythians." ${ }^{54}$
§12. In the Persian inscriptions the name appears as Saka; and the Sacce of Greek writers on Persian affairs are simply Asiatic Scyths. Herodotus says that Sacce is the name which the Persians give to all Scytnians. ${ }^{65}$ Now, it is remarkable tbat, in the Babylonian transcript of the Achæmenid inscriptions, the word answering to the Saka of the Persian and Scytho-Median columns is Gimiri, a term which elsewhere, in Babylonian, always means the tribes. ${ }^{68}$ If this word had originally an ethnic sense, its form would point to the Cimmerians as the first nomad race known to (at least) the Semitic inhabitants of Western Asia. The Persian inscriptions distinguish between the Saka Tigrakhuda and the Saka Humawarga. The former-whose name appears, from the Babylonian transcript, to mean Scythian bowmen-were doubtless the remains of the old nomad population (generally called Turanian), which maintained itself within the bounds of the Persian empire. ${ }^{67}$

[^362]The Saka Humawarga are at once identified with the Amyrgian Scythians of Herodotus ${ }^{88}$ and of Hellanicus, who states that their ${ }^{-}$ name was geographical." Herodotus describes then in the army of Xerses:-"The Sacæ, or Scyths, were clad in trousers, and had on their beads tall stiff caps, rising to a point. ${ }^{70}$ They bore the bow of their country, and the dagger ; besides which they carried the battle-axe, or sagaris." Their position in the army, in the same corps with the Bactrians, agrees with their geographical locality. They were neighbours of the Bectrians, and both nations were snbdued by Cyrus in the same war. The Sacm were, in fact, the numad race whom the Persians found on their northern frontier, along which they extended from Asterabad to Balkh, in the ares, and probably as the ancestors, of the present Turcomans and Uzbeks. The Sacm appear to have belonged to the Turkish stock, perhaps with a Mongolian intermisture. It has been thought that the Amyrgian Sace may have been Ugrians, their name being derived from the Ugrian root, $m-r-d=$ man. The researches of Mr. Norris on the ScythoMedian column of the cuneiform inseriptions have led him to the opinion that there was at least one invasion of Media effected by members of the Ugrian stock-probably from Orenburg or Kazan. History gives us no time when the Turks of the Persian frontier, the Sace, were not pressing southwards."
§ 13. From all this it will be seen that very different meanings may be found in the story of a Scythian domination in Western Asia. It might be a temporary recovery of ascendancy by the conquered Turanian population-an hypothesis beset with improbabilities too many to be fully stated here; and those who resort to it feel bound to suppose a reinforcement by a new invasion from the north. Or it might be a real inroad from the cuuntry of the Asiatio Scyths, or Sace, whom Herodotus might easily confound with those European Scyths, to whom his attention was more particularly directed; especially as he would be led by the common name to try to reconcile the accounts which he picked up in Media, and in Lydia, and from the Pontic Greeks. Or, if we suppose him to have been well informed in his very definite statemente about thcir entrance into Asia by the pass of Derbend, and their falling upon Media from that side, it may atill be doubted from what part of the region north of Caucasus they came, and to which of the northern

[^363]( $r$ eastern) nomad races they belonged. ${ }^{97}$ The near coincidence of tneir inroad, both as to time and probable duration, is very remarkable; and we cannot but suppose that Herodotus followed some definite authority in naming the exact period of 28 years.

It is not impossible, after all, that both the Cimmerian invasion of Asia Minor and the Scythian invasion of Media may have been but parts of that great irruption of which the memory is preserved by Herodotus, by the lyric poets of Ionia, and, as some suppose, even by Hebrew prophecy. ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Such are the repeated allusions in the earlier chapters of Jeremiah-which fall within Josiah's reign-to an invasion symbolized by a seething caldron with its face towards the north, and explained by the words: "Out of the north an evil shall break forth upon all the inhabitants of the land (or the earth). For, lo, I will call all the families of the kingdoms of the north, saith the Lord." ${ }^{46}$. . . . "I will bring evil from the north, and a great destruction, \&c. . . . . The whole city shall flee for the nowe of the horsemen and bowmen," \&cc." . . . . "Lo, I will bring a nation upon you from far . . . . it is a mighty nation, it is an ancient nstion, a nation whose language thou knowest not. .-. . . Their quiver is as an open sepulchre, they are all mighty men. And they shall eat up thine harvest, and thy bread, which thy sons and thy daughters should eat: they shall eat up thy flocks and thine herds; they shall eat up thy vines and thy fig-trees : they shall impoverish thy fenced cities, wherein thou trustedst, with the sword."76 . . . . "They shall lay hold on bow and spear; they are cruel, and have no mercy; their voice roareth like the sea; and they ride upon horses, set in array," \&c." In every point these poetic descriptions agree with the Asiatic nomads described by Herodotus, and with the Calmucks in our own times.
§ 14. The war between Media and Lydia is connected by Herodotus with the presence of the Scythians in Asia, in a way which seems to shew that he followed different accounts in different parts of his history. "A band of Scythian nomads, who had left their own land on occasion of some disturbance, had taken refuge in Media." They were received as suppliants by Cyaxares, who employed them as archers and huntsmen. At length their native ferocity broke out in resentment at the king's anger at their ill-success one day in hunting. So, in place of game, they served up to

[^364]him the flesh of one of the Median boys who had been entrusted to them to learn their language and the use of the bow, and fled with all speed to the court of Alyattes at Sardis. The refusal of the Lydian king to give them up caused a war between the Lydians and Medes, which lasted for five years, with various success. The Medes gained many victories over the Lydians, und the Lydiansalso gained many victories over the Medes. Among other battles there was one night engagement. As, however, the balance had not inclined in favour of either nation, another combat took place in the sixth year, in the course of which, just as the battle was growing warm, day was on a sudden changed into night. This event had been foretold by Thales the Milesian, who forewarned the Ionians of it, fixing for it the very year in which it actually took place. The Medes and Lydians, when they observed the change, ceased fighting, and were alike anxious to have terms of peace agreed on. But those who brought them to an agreement were Syennesis the Cilician and Labynctus the Babylonian. ${ }^{7 s}$ In their eagerness to bind the rival kings, the mediators regarded oaths as insufficient-(political haman nature never changes)- $\rightarrow 0$ they arranged the marriage of Aryênis, the daughter of Alyattes, to Astyages, the son of Cyaxares. ${ }^{70}$ It seems to be implied that this treaty fixed the Halys as the boundary between the Median and Lydian empires. ${ }^{\text {.os }}$

The trivial occasion alleged for the war does not need much discussion; but it serves to suggest the probability that the Median and Lydian kings, each pressing forward in the like enterprise of driving out the nomad invaders, might come into collision on the frontiers of Armenia and Cappadocia. Far more important is the date of the war, and its relation to the fall of Nineveh. The "Edipse of Thales," as it is called, is, unfortunately, far from decisive of the question; and it is only till we obtain the further light, which may be expected from the Assyrian records, that we can accept provisionally the date, towards which the best modern authorities proponderate, of B.c. 610 for the peace of Cyaxares with Alyattes. ${ }^{\text {n }}$

[^365]§ 15. Cyazares died in b.c. 594 ; but the reign of Alyattes was prolonged nearly to the fall of the Median empire under Astyages ${ }^{\text {m }}$ The history of the last kings of Media and Lydia is inseparable from that of Cyrus and the rise of Persia. Meanwhile, it only remains to be recorded of Alyattes that, after spending his remaining years, most probably, in his Ionian wars, he was buried in a tomb which Herodotus describes as the one noticeable structure in all Lydia, and only inferior to the monuments of Egypt and Babylon.

Alyattes was twice married, to a Carian woman, who was the mother of Croesus, and to an Ionian, the daughter of Pantaleonanother sign of Greek influence in Lydia. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
though bosed on such astronomical authorities as the Astronomer Royal and Mr. Hind, would alter the whole story of Herodotus by bringing the eclipse into the reigns of Astyages and Croesus.
a This happening b.c. 559. The death of Alyattes is placed by Clinton in a.c. 660, by Rawlinson in B.c. 668, by Lenormant in a.c. 558.
${ }^{85}$ Herod. L. 93-For a full description of the monament in its present state, see Rawlinson's Note, ad loc.
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CHAPTER XXIV.

## the median empire overthrown by cyrus. B.0. 594-558.
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§ 1. The peacr made between Cyazares and Alyattes lasted for fifty years, according to the commonly-received chronology (B.c. 610-560). This period was ended by one of the most marked revolutionary epochs in all history. At the very time when the Median empire was transferred to the Persians under Cyrus, the throne of Lydia was ascended by Croesus, who precipitated the conflict which brought the power of Persia to the shores of the EIgean. ${ }^{1}$

[^366]It was, moreover, in the year b.c. 560 that the usurpation of Pisis-. tratus set in motion a chain of causes, which prepared Athens for the noble and decisive part that she bad to play in the ensuing conflict.

During this half-century, as Professor Rawlinson observes, "the naticns of the Asiatic continent, about to suffer cruelly from one of those fearful convulsions which periodically shake the East, seem to have been allowed an interval of profound repose. The three great monarchies of the East-the Lydian, the Median, and the Baby-lonian-connected together by treaties and royal intermarriages, respected each other's independence, and levied war only against the lesser powers in their neighbourhood, which were absorbed without mucn difficulty." ${ }^{2}$ Nor was there any tendency in these minor wars to bring the three great powers into collision. While the Lydian king found probably full occupation in organizing his power within the Halys, and repairing the effects of the Cimmerian inroad, the enterprises of the Kings of Babylon and Media led them to the very opposite extremities of their dominions. The wars of Nebuchadnezzar with Judæa, Egypt, and Tyre, were succeeded by the peaceful splendour of his later years; and the only foreign relations of the last unwarlike King of Media recal our attention to a most interesting country, of which frequent but only incidental mention has occurred in the histories of Assyria and Media. One sign of the intimate relations between Babylon and Media is furnished by the statement of Polyhistor, that Cyaxares sent a Median contingent to aid Nebuchadnezzar in the war against Jehoiakim (в. c. 597). ${ }^{3}$
§ 2. Astyages, or Asdahages, ${ }^{4}$ or (as Ctesias calls him) Aspadas, ${ }^{5}$ succeeded his father Cyazares about b.c. 594-3, and had reigned 35 years when he was deposed by Cyrus, in b.o. 559-8. The empire won by the father was lost by the son in the short space of 70 years. Nor is this surprising. The conquest of Cyazares was purely military; and the inheritor of his power sat down quietly to enjoy the pomp and luxury of an Eastern throue. Scanty as is our information about the events of his reign, the character of Astyages and the ceremonial of his court at Ecbatana have been depicted for us with a minuteness which we could fain wish were most trustworthy. But it is impossible to doubt that many of the details given by Herodotus, Xenophon, and Nicolas of Damascus, are drawn from the court of the Persian kings ; though the full descriptions of Xenophon, in his romance of the Cyropordia, are the more suspicious, from his avowed purpose of contrasting the luxury

[^367]of Astyages with the 'hardy discipline in which Cyrus had been trained. Still the generic likeness among all these Oriental courts, and the especial resemblance to that of Assyria, are reasons for accepting the broad outline which Rawlinson has combined from Chese writers.
"The monarch lived secluded, and could only be seen by those who asked and obtained an audience. He was surrounded by guards and eunuchs, the latter of whom held most of the offices near the royal person. The court was magnificent in its apparel, in its banquets, and in the number and organization of its attendants. The courtiers wore long flowing robes of many different colours, amongst which red and purple predominated; and adorned their necks with chains or collars of gold, and their wrists with bracelets of the same precious metal. Even the borses on which they rode had sometimes golden bits to their bridles. One officer of the court was especially called 'the King's Eye;' another had the privilege of introducing strangers to him; a third was his cupbearer, a fourth his messenger. Guards, torch-bearers, serving-men, ushers, and sweepers, were among the orders into which the lower sort of attendants were divided; while among the courtiers of the highest rank was a privileged class, known as 'the king's table companions.' The chief pastime in which the court indulged was henting. Generally this took place in a park or 'paradise' near the capital; but sometimes the king and court went out on a grand hunt into the open country, where lions, leopards, bears, wild boars, wild asses, antelopes, stags, and wild sheep abounded; and, when the becasts bad been driven by beaters into a confined space, despatched them with arrows and javelins. Prominent af the court, according to Herodotus, was the priestly caste of the Magi. Held in the highest honour by both king and people, they were in cunstant attendance, ready to expound omens or dreams, and to give their advice on all matters of state policy. The religious ceremonial was, as a matter of course, under their charge; and it is probable that high state offices were often conferred upon them. Of all classes of the people, they were the only one that could feel they had a real influence over the monarch, and might claim to share in bis sovereignty." ${ }^{6}$

Astyages himself is described as remarkably handsome, ${ }^{7}$ cautious in policy, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and of a noble spirit.' His keen and dignified rebuke of the insults of Harpagus upon his fall would be a good illustration of both the latter qualities, did not the speech look rather like the reflections of a Greek on a betrayer of his own country. ${ }^{10}$ An example of his policy is given in the story, told by Nicolas of

[^368]Damascus, of his peaceful subjection of the wild and powerful Cadusii, on the shores of the Caspian (in Talish and Ghilan)." The legend of his fall, as related by Herodotus, conveys the impression of a self-indulgent king, secure in his despotic power, but wantonly cruel when his suspicion was aroused and in avenging disobedience. Herodotus distinctly specifies his cruelty as the cause of the subjection of the Medes to the Persians; ${ }^{12}$ and Aristotle says that Cyrus was encouraged to attack him through contempt of his luxurious life and the weakness of his rule.
§ 3. The whole history of the reign of Astyages would be included in the story of his fall, were it not for the curious account of his relations with Armenia, preserved by Moses of Chorene, the historian of that country. Though confirmed by no other testimony, and directly at variance with Herodotus, this account is too plainly a native tradition to be altogether rejected. At all events, it throws some light on the condition of Armenia at the time of the establishment of the Persian empire.

The great table-land, which rises abruptly from the Mesopotamian valley, and descends by a more gradual slope on the north-western side to the plains which sever it from the chain of Caucasus, has borne the name of Armenia, from the time when the Pharaohs of the 18th dynasty made war with the Remenen, to the present day; but there are traces of older names and populations in the land. The native traditions give Haiasdan as the first name of the country, and make its earliest inhabitants a race (apparently Hamite) who migrated under Haig from the Plain of Babel immediately after the confusion of tongues. The superposition of a Japhetic race is indicated by the Togarmah of Scripture, ${ }^{13}$ a name which is clearly identified with Armenia. "The house of Togarmah of the north quarters" is connected by Ezekiel with Gomer, Meschech, and Tubal; and its "horses, with horsemen and mules," " correspond to the tribute of 20,000 young horses of a fine breed, which the Persian king received from the satrap of Armenia at the yearly feast of Mithra. ${ }^{15}$ The national traditions speak of Togarmah as the common progenitor of the whole nation; and they connect Armenag-the hero-eponymus of the Japhetic Armenians, and the second colonizer of the land-with Haïg, the first colonizer, by a fictitious genealogy. The predominance of a Iuranian population in Armenia, during the period of the Assyrian empire, is attested by the dialect of the cuneiform inscriptions in the region of Lake Van, as well as by the names of the native rulers whom they comnemo-

[^369]rate. The Aryan race, whose supremacy is attested by the language of the country down to the present day, appears to have gained the preponderance during the seventh century s.c.-perbaps in consequence of the same great westward movement of the Iranians in which the Medes took part.

The political relations of Armenia are intimately connected with its physical character. The table-land is intersected by parallel ranges of lofty mountains, with gently-sloping lower hills. The intervening valleys are in part narrow and isolated glens, in part broad and fertile plains, like that of the Araxes. Such a formation almost necessarily forbids the establishment of a strong central government of the whole country, and makes its severed valleys the homes of independent tribes, strong against each otber, but exposed to be attacked in detail by a powerful neighbour. The masters of Mesopotamia had a special reason for making auch attacks, as the upper courses both of the Tigris and the Euphrates lay within the mountains of Armenia. But these ranges, running east and west, present their steepest side to the south, unlike the chains of Zagrus, which, with an axis almost at right angles to the other, slope gently to the basin of the Tigris. "It follows from this contrast that, while Zagrus invites the inbabitants of the Mesopotamian plain to penetrate its recesses-which are at first readily accessible, and only grow wild and savage towards the interior-the Armenian mountains repel by presenting their greatest difficulties and most barren aspect at once, seeming, with their rocky sides and snowclad summits, to form an almost insurmountable obstacle to an invading host. Assyrian history bears traces of this difference; for while the mountain region to the east is gradually subdued and occupipd by the people of the plain, that on the north continues to the last in a state of hostility and semi-independence." ${ }^{16}$
§4. In this respect, bowever, a difference is to be remarked between two sections of the land. The western valleye were more approachable by an enemy ascending the Tigris, and especially the Euphrates; and inroads into these regions gave the earliest rulern of Mesopotamia a sort of claim to the conquest of Armenia. If faith were given to the lists of kings preserved by Moses of Chorene, we should not only reckon Armenia among the dominions of the old Babylonian monarchy under Ismidagon and Khammarubi, but carry back its conquest to the defeat of an Armenian king, Anushavan, in the eightcenth century b.c. More substantial is the testimony of the Egyptian records, which represent Thothmes III. as following up his conquest of the Mesopotamian Buten by pursuing the Remenen or Armenen into their mountains. When the Egyptian supremacy $\pi$
in Mesopotamia yielded to that of Assyria, the conquest of Armenia appears to have been effected by Ninip-pal-zira; and the Assyrian religion obtained a footing which it held to historic times. The worship of the goddess Anahid, or Anaitis, was a conspicuous feature of the Armedian religion.
§ 5. In the south-eastern part of Armenia, however, was a district specially defended by nature. The triangular basin of Lake Van (the ancient Arsissa Palus), lies at the intersection of the Armenian ranges with those of Zagrus, which forms the nucleus of both mountain systems. Protected on the south by the chain of Niphates, and by high ranges on every other side, it is "an isolated region, a sort of natural citadel, where a strong military power would be likely to establish itself. Accordingly, it is here, and here alone in all Armenia, that we find signs of the existence of a great organized monarchy, during the Assyrian and Median periods. The. Van inscriptions indicate to us a line of kings who bore sway in the eastern Armenia-the true Ararat-and who were, both in civilization and military strength, far in advance of any of the other princes who divided among them the Armenian territory. The Van monarchs may have been at times formidable enemies of the Medes. They have left traces of their dominion, not only on the tops of the mountain-passes which lead into the basin of Lake Urumiyeh, but even in the comparatively low plain of Miyandab, on the southern shore of that inland sea. - It is probable from this that they were at one time masters of a large portion of Media A tropatene." ${ }^{17}$
§ 6. In Ctesias's legend of the first capture of Nineveh under Sardanapalus, Arbaces and Belesys are aided by one of these kings of Asarat, named Barouir, who became sovereign of all Armenia. The Assyrian kings of the lower dynasty constantly record their Armenian campaigas, and claim the subjection of the southern part of the country at least; but it may be doubted whether they effecter any permanent conquest. Sargon has recorded in the inscriptions at Khorsabad the internecine war which he waged with Orsa (the Hartchea of Moses of Chorene), King of all Armenia, and bis vassals, amongst whom was Cllusun of Van. It was about the same time that Argistis (the Gornhag of Moses) executed those great works in the rocks of the acropolis of Van (where his name is still to be read) which popular tradition ascribed to Semiramis.
§ 7. The conquest of Armenia is claimed for the Median Phraortes ; but it seems more probable that the Armenian kings made an alliance with the kindred Medes against their common Assyrian and Scythian enemiss, in which a nominal supremacy was accorded to the stronger power. Such seems to be the relation borne to Astyages

[^370]by the Armenian king who figures in the story told by Moses of Chorene. Tigranes (Dikranu), the first of that name, which became famous five hundred years later in the wars with Lucullus and Pompey, is one of the great popular heroes of Armenia. His portrait is drawn by Moses of Chorene, evidently from the native poets: "A hero with fair hair, tipped with silver, with a ruddy face, and a look sweet as honey : his limbs robust, his shoulders broad, his legs nimble, his foot well-moulded : always sober in repast, and regulated in his pleasures. Our ancestors celebrated to the sound of the pampirn (a sort of lute with metal chords) his moderation in the pleasures of the senses, his magnanimity, bis eloquence, his heneficent qualities in all that affected his fellow-men. Always just in his judgments, and the friend of equity, he held the balance in his hand, and weighed each one's actions. He neither envied those greater than himself, nor despised his inferiors: his only ambition was to cover all with the mantle of his care."
\$8. The sister of this king was the second wife of Astyages. ${ }^{18}$ Moses of Chorene-whose whole narrative is coloured by the manifest purpose of transferring the fame of the conquest of Media from the Persians to the Armenians-represents this marriage as the first step in a plot devised by the jealous fears excited in Autyages by an alliance formed between Cyrus and Tigranes, both of whom the story makes independent kings, able to bring large forces into the field. "His fears were increased by a dream, in which be thought he saw the Armenian monarch riding upon a dragon, and coming through the air to attack him in his own palace, where he was quietly worshipping his gods. Regarding this vision as certainly portending an invasion of his empire by the Armenian prinre, he resolved to anticipate his designs by subtlety, and, as the first step, demanded in marriage the sister of Tigranes, who bore the pame of I'igrania (in Armenian, Dikranuhr). Tigranes consented, and the wedding was celebrated, Tigrania becoming the chief or favourite wife of the Median king, in lieu of a cortain Anusia, who had previously held that honourable position. At first, attempts were made to induce Tigrania to lend herself to a conspiracy by which her brother was to be entrapped, and his person secured; but, this plan failing through her sayacity, the mask wan thrown off, and preparations made for war. The Armenian prince, anticipating his enemy, collected a vast army and invaded Media, where he was met by Astyages in person. For some months the war languished, since Tigranes feared that bis pressing it would endanger the life of his sister ; but at last she succeeded in effecting her eacape, and he

[^371]found himself free to act. Hereupon he brought about a decisive engagement, and, after a conflict which for a long time was doubtful, the Median army was completely defeated, and Astyages fell by the hand of his brother-in-law. Cyrus is not represented as taking any part in this war, though afterwards he is mentioned as aiding Tigranes in the conquest of Media and Persia, which are regarded as forming a part of the dominions of the Armenian king." 19
§ 9. It is impossible to accept this story, in so far as it contradicts the otherwise universal testimony which ascribes the overtbrow of the Median empire to the Persians under Cyrus. But the exaggerations of national vanity are rather the parasites of historic truth than the self-sown growth of sheer falsehood; and the Persians may, on their part, have concealed some substantial aid derived from an Armenian revolt against Astyages. It may have been as his share of the common booty that Tigranes carried back, as Moses tells us, the first wife of Astyages, with 10,000 Medes, whom he settled in the plain of the Araxes, where their descendants, as late as the second century of our era, formed the separate government of Murazian. A whole cycle of traditions and legends gathered about this Median colony. We are further told by Moses of Chorene that Tigranes became the vassal of Cyrus, and not only embraced the Zoroastrian faith, but zealously propagated it in his kingdom. Thus much is certain, that from the very beginning of the Persian empire we find Armenia one of its most faithful provinces, and Zoroastrianism the prevalent religion, though corrupted by remnants of Assyrian polytheism. To this day the Armenian words for god, holiness, fire funeral pile, worship, and similar ideas, are pure Iranian. But all this may have resulted rather from a distinct Iranian migration than direct Persian influence; and the alliance of the two nations against Media may bave been the effect, rather than the cause, of their common faith. The descendants of Tigranes continued to govern Armenia under the Persians without a single revolt; and the last of the dynasty, Vahe the son of Van, fell in defending the cause of Darius Codomannus against Alexander.
§ 10. The true nature of the revolution which transferred the supremacy from the Medes to the Persians, and placed the Achæmenid dynasty on the throne of Cyaxares and Astyages, is obscured by the legends which glorified the person of its leader-Cyrus. Nor, indeed, have we any very clear account of the relation of the Persians to the Medes before the revolution; but it seems to have been a close

[^372]alliance, based on blood, language, and religion, in which the precedence belonged to Media. Had Persia been a conquered nation, which in its rurn conquered its oppressor, we should not have heard of "the law of the Medes and Persians, which changeth not," nor would the two naines have been used almost indifferently from the beginuing of the "Medo-Persian empire" to the latest times. It would seem that while, in the common brotherhood, precedence naturally belonged to the more powerful people, the hardy Persians preserved with their simplicity of life a virtual independence amongst their highlands; growing in vigour as the Medes yielded to luxury, and equally disposed and prepared to resist the outrages of despotic power. The precise nature of the provocation is inextricably mixed up with fable in the legend which Herodotus repeats as the most sober and probable of the stories related about Cyrus.
§ 11. The Persians of this age were still, partially at least, in the nomad state. They were divided into ten tribes, ${ }^{20}$ forming three social classes. The aristocracy of warriors was formed by the three tribes of the Pasargadm, the Maraphians, and the Maspiangon whom, says Herodotus, all the others were dependent. Three more, the Panthialæans, the Derusiæans, and the Germanians (whose name has an evident connection with Carmania), were engaged in agriculture. The remaining four-the Daans, the Mardians, the Dropicans, and the Sagartians-were nomads. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Pasargadx were the noblest of all, and formed not improbably the nucleus of the original Iranian migration which gave name to the country. Their name, which seems to be a Greek corruption of Parsagadm ${ }^{92}$ (in old Persian, Parcauvádí), is really that of the old Persian capital, and is rightly explained by a Greek geographer as " the encampment of the Persians." ${ }^{3}$

[^373]§ 12. "Among the Pasargadæ," adds Herodotus, "the Actsmenider are a clan ${ }^{2}$ from which the Persian kings have sprung." In numerous extant inscriptions those kings boast the title (Hakhámanishiya), and their descent from Achamenes (Hakhámanish), whom Herodotus also names as the founder of the royal line. He makes Xerxes boast his descent, on the mother's side, from "Cyrus the son of Cambyses, the son of Teïspes, the son of Achoemenes," and, on the father's side, from "Darius, the son of Eystaspes, the son of Arsames, the son of Ariaramnes, the sou of Teispes." ${ }^{25}$ Elsewhere he names another Cyrus as the grandfather of the great Cyrus; ${ }^{28}$ and to that older Cyrus other writers give a father, Cambyses, whose sister Atossa married Pharnaces, King of Cappadocia. ${ }^{27}$ The full genealogy of Xerzes, therefore, would stand thus : ${ }^{28}$


All that formerly puzzled the critics in these statements has now been made clear by the Behistun inscription. To use the words of its decipherer: ${ }^{29}$ "Darius, in the first paragraph, styles himself an Achamenian: in the second, he shews bis right to this title by tracing his paternal ancestry to Achæmenes : ${ }^{30}$ in the third, he goes on to glorify the Achæmenian family, by describing the antiquity of their descent and the fact of their having for a long time past furnished kings to the Persian nation ${ }^{31}$ and in the fourth paragraph

[^374]he further explains that eight of the Achomenian family have thus already filled the throne of Persia, and that be is the ninth of the line who is called to rule over his countrymen." ${ }^{2}$

The distinctness with which Darius qualifies the whole line in general, and his eight predecessors in particular, as kings, derives double force from his withholding that title from his own paternal ancestors, ${ }^{20}$ and leaves no doubt that they were a native dynasty, who ruled in Persia during the Median supremacy. Nor can we, in a genealogy so minutely stated, make Achæmenes a mere heroeponymus." Whether (as has been supposed of Cyazares among the Medes) he was the leader of a new Iranian migration, which reinforced the vigour of the Persians; or whether be first gathered their separate tribes into a compact state; or whether he united both these characters; -are matters of conjecture.

Thus much is clear, that he was the real founder of the long line of Persian kings, who gloried in his name as long as the dynasty lasted. When, therefore, Herodotus speaks of Camlysees, the father of Cyrus, as "a Persian of good family indeed, but of a quiet temper, whom Astyages looked on as much inferior to a Mede of even middle condition," ${ }^{\infty}$ he is led into error by consistency with the story he had to tell-unless, indeed, he meant to shew the overweening arrogance of the Mediac's estimate even of a Persian king. Xenophon-whose romance often preserves genuine fragments of tradition which Herodotus has missed, and who would naturally hear the royal traditions in the camp of the younger Cyrusexpressly calls Cambyses "King of the Persians;" ${ }^{2}$ and the quc-

[^375]Chap. XXIV. LEGENDARY STORY OF CYRUS.
tion has been set at rest by an inscription on a brick at Senkereh, in Chaldæa, in which Cyrus styles himself "the son of Cambyses, the powerful kiny."
§ 13. The marriage of Cambyses to Mandane, the daughter of Astyages, and the consequent position of Cyrus as heir to his grand-father-for it seems that Astyages had no son ${ }^{38}$-look like points invented to suit the spirit of the popular legend. Nothing is more common than for a dynasty established by conquest or revolution to trace a descent from the displaced family. On the other hand, there is nothing improbable in the marriage of the King of Persia to the daughter of his Median suzerain. ${ }^{39}$ The marvellous legend, preserved by Herodotus, of the superstitious motive for that marriage; the exposure and preservation of the young Cyrus; his recogaition by his grandfather; the cruel vengeance which Astyages takes upon Harpagus for preserving the boy, whom nevertheless, lulled into security by the Magi, he brings up at his own court; and the plot by which Harpagus at once gluts his own revenge, and leads Cyrus to seize the crown;-all this, which is too well known to need repeating, and is spoilt by telling in any other than the words of Herodotus, must be dismissed to the realm of poetry, with the legend of Romulus and Remus. ${ }^{40}$

But we may the more readily enter into the spirit of poetic patriotism, which invented such marvels to mark the destiny of the founder of the Persian empire, when we remember that his name shines conspicuous in the higher poetry, which reveals his true calling in the scheme of Divine Providence, on His authority "that saith of Cyrus, He is my shepherd, and shall perform all my pleasure: even saying to Jerusalem, Thou shalt be built; and to the temple, Thy founda-
so The distinct statement of Herodotus (1. 109) and Justin (i. 4) to this effect is confirmed Indirectly by the Behistun inscription, where a Median pretender traces his deseent, not from Astyages, but from Cyaxares. It has long been decided that the Cyaxares II.-whom Xenophon makes the son of Astyages, and the last King of Media, and to whom Cyrus quietly succeeds by right of birth-is an imaginary person, introduced into the 'Cyropædia' as a foil to Cyrus, and not (as used to be supposed) the " Darius the Mede" of the Book of Danfel (See the 'Student's O. T. History;' chap. xxyL) Ctesias, however, names Parmises as a son of Astyages (Pers. Exc. § 3) ; and Moses of $^{\text {3 }}$ Chorene gives him several sons by Anusia who are among the captives settled in Armenia by Tigranes (Hist. Arm. i. 29).

30 Ctesias and Nicolas of Damascus say that Gyrus was in no way related to Astyages.
to Read Herod. i. 10t-130, with the Notes of Prof. Rawlinson and the comments of Mr. Grote. The attempt at rationaliving a poetical legend (thus, to use Professor Malden's happy phrase, "spoiling a good poem without making a good history") peeps out in the explanation given of the name of Cyms's fostermother, Spaco (or, in Greek, Cyno), which really meant that the child was suckled by a bitch (Herod. i. 110, 122), exactly as Livy (i. 4) attempts to explain the "ohe-wolf" of Romulus and Kemus. The "other name" under which Cyrus was brought up, is said by Strabo to have been Agradates, which seems to be a mere corruption of Atradates, the name of bis reputed father. In the story preserved by Nicolas of Damascus, thin pame is given, instead of Canbyser, to the father of Cyrus.
tion shall be laid: "a_" Thus saith Jehorah to his anointed, to Cyrus, whose right hand I have strengthened, to subdue nations befure him; and I will loose the loins of kings, to open before him the two-leaved gates; and the gates shall not be shut: I will go before thee, and make the crooked places straight: I will break in pieces the gates of brass, and cut in sunder the bars of iron : and I will give thee the treasures of darkness, and hidden riches of secret places, that thou mayest know that 1, Jehovah, which oall thee by thy name, am the God of Israel. For Jacob my servant's sake, and Israel mine elect, I have even called thee by thy name: I have surnamed thee, though thou hast not known me. I am Jebovah, and there is none else, there is no God beside me: I girded thee, thovar thod hast not known me." as

The last phrase, so emphatically repeated, should serve to correct what we may call the religious fondness, which, in sympathy with the philosophic fiction of Xenophon, has thrown a halo of sanctity about the king who, with all his real greatness, was but the best type of the true Asiatic conqueror, and the leader of a rude military people; to whom it was given, in the happy words of Eschylus, to fulfil the destiny that "one man should rule over all Asia nourishet of flocks, holding the sceptre of government ;" ${ }^{(4)}$ or, as a modern ethnologist would say, to bring the Semitic nations under the new and invigorating influence of Aryan rule.
§ 14. Of the true history of the revolution little certain can be told. Herodotus and Xenophon both agree (though assigning different causes) that Cyrus was brought up as a youth at the court of Astyages. It was a frequent custom, both in Egypt and in Asiatic monarchies, for the sovereign to keep the sons of vassal kings about him-partly as hostages, and partly to be trained to govern in his interests. The general testimony to the weaknese of Astyagen, and the story of an Armenian revolt, supply those probable motiven for rebellion which may perhapa have been superfluous to the energy aud ambition so conspicuous in the character of Cyrus ; and Harpagus may very likely represent a malcontent party among the Medes. But the "sufficient reason" is perbaps best eought in the religious seal inspired by the purer Mazdeism which had been preserved in Persia, and which was afterwards the animating spirit of the revolution effected by Darius." "To earnest Zoroastrians, such as the Achæmenians are shewn to have been by their inscriptions, the yoke of a power which had so greatly corrupted, if it had not wholly laid aside, the worship of Ormazh, must have been extremely distasteful; and Cyrus may have wished, by his rebellion, as much to vindicate the honour of his religion as to

[^376]obtain a loftier position for his nation. If the Magi really recnpied the position at the Median court which Herodotus assigns to them, 一 if they 'were held in high honour by the king, and shared in his sovereignty, ${ }^{6}$-if the priest-ridden monarch was perpetually dreaming, and perpetually referring his dreams to the Magian seers for exposition, and then guiding his actions by the advice they tendered him, ${ }^{46}$-the religious zeal of the young Zoroastrian may very naturally have been aroused; and the contest into which he plunged may have been, in his eyes, not so much a national struggle as a crúsade against the infidels." ${ }^{47}$
§ 15. As to the manner in which the revolution was accomplished, the ancient writers are quite at variance. Heredotus represents the injured Median noble, Harpagus, as secretly inviting Cyrus from Persia, to head the plot which he had prepared; and Astyages as deserted in the first battle by the greater part of his army, and utterly defeated and made prisoner in a second battle. ${ }^{\text {as }}$ Xenophon, when writing as an historian, and not as a novelist, gives testimony to a prolonged resistance, the more valuable from its being incidental. On the occasion of the Ten Thousand passing the ruined cities of Larissa and Mespila on the Tigris (at or near the site of Nineveh), he observes that both resisted the attempts of the Persian king to take them by storm, and that the latter afforded a refuge to the Median queen, when the Medes were deprived of their supremacy by the Persians. ${ }^{99}$ But this may refer to a last stand made in Assyria after the defeat and capture of Astyages in Media or Persia.

Another story, preserved by Nicolas of Damascus (either from Ctesjas or Dino, or both), relates, with circumstantial fulness, how Cyrus escaped from the court of Eclatana, to raise the standard of revolt in concert with his father : how Astyages marched against the rebels with a vast host, and defeated them after two days' battle, in which the father of Cyrus was killed, and the routed Persians were forced back to a position in front of Pasargadæ, where another furious fight of two days ended in favour of the Persians, who slew 60,000 Medes; and how Astyages, utterly routed in a final attack, was taken prisoner in the pursuit, and the insignia of royalty fell into the hands of Cyrus, who was saluted by his army as "King of Media and Persia." 50

[^377]5 16. That title describes the true nature of the empire which-in whatever manner-was certainly transferred from Astyages to Cyrus. It was not a conquest by a foreign power, but the transfer of supremacy from one to the other of two nations already closely uniteda transfer which has been well described as "but slightly galling to the subjected power, and a matier of complete indifference to the dependent countries. Except in so far as religion was concerned, the change from one Iranic race to the other would make scaroely a perceptible difference to the subjeots of either kingdom. The law of the state would still be the law of the Medes and Persians.' ${ }^{\text {at }}$ Official employments would still be open to the people of both countries. ${ }^{31}$ Even the fame and glory of empire would attach, in the minds of men, almost as much to the one nation as the other. If Media descended from her pre-eminent rank, it was to occupy a station only a little below the highest, and one which left ber a very distinct superiority over all the subject races." se

8 17. An earnest of this united government was at once given by the generosity with which, as all the authorities agree, Astyages was treated by his conqueror. Herodotus anys that Cyrus kept Astyages at his court, during the remainder of his life, without doing him any further injury. ${ }^{54}$ According to Ctesias, Astyages was made satrap of the Barcanii, a Parthian people on the borders of Hyrcania, and, having perished in a desert region through the treachery of a courtier, he was honourably buried by Cyrus. It bas been inferred, from the supposed date of the great battle between Cyazares and Alyattes, that Astyages was seventy years old at his deposition; but this is very uncertain. ${ }^{56}$

[^378]

## CYRUS THE GREAT AND CROESUS-OVERTHROW OF LYDIA AND BABYLON.-B.C. 560-529.

『1. Creve the Gefat. Accession of Crgesua in Lydia, His conquest of Asia Minor within the Halys. Poetic view of his career in Herodotus. 8 2. Groesus resolves to oppose Cyrus 5 3. His consultations of the Grecian oracles. \$4. His alliances with Sparta, Egyph, and Babylon. Precipitate commencement of the war. 95 Preparations of Cyrus. Overtunes to the Astatic Greeks He marches into Cappsdocia 9 6. Passage of the Haiys by Crcesus. Battle of Pteria. 9 \%. Retreat of Croesus, and advance of Cyrus. Battle in front of Sardis. \$8. Slege and capture of the city. Legends in Herodotas. Treatment of Croesus. His later history. 69. Conquest of the Greek colonies. Departure of Cyrus Hts achemes of conquest. Reduction of the Iranian countriea. Capture of Babylon. \$10. Legends of the death of Cyrus. His tomb at Pasargada. 9 11. Character of Cyrus,

## § 1. Cyrve the Great (in Old Persian, Kurush) ${ }^{1}$ is said by Dino ${ }^{2}$ to have been exactly forty years old when he succeeded to the dominion of Astyages, over all the tribes from the Halys to the

[^379]desert of Khorassan (b.c. 558). In the same year, or just before, Crases succeeded his father Alyattes on the throne of Lydia, in the thirty-fifth year of his age, ${ }^{4}$ and at once began the career of conquest, which brought under his sway all the nations of Asia Minor within the Halys, except the Lycians and Cilicians. Herodotus, treating the partial attacks of previous kings on the Ionian colonies as of little permanent consequence, says of Croesus: "So far as our knowledge goes, he was the first of the barbarians who held relations with the Greeks; forcing some of them to become his tributaries, and entering into alliance with others. He conquered the Жolians, Ionians, and Dorians of Asia, and made a treaty with the Lacedæmonians. Up to that time all the Greeks bad beon free." ${ }^{8}$

He first attacked Ephesus, and afterwards found some substantial complaint-or, failing that, any poor excuse-for making war successively on all the states of Ionia and AFolis. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The ingenious apologue, by which Bias of Priene, one of the Seven Sages, diverted him from the scheme of attacking the islanders, is evidently introduced by Herodotus to illustrate the growing influence of Greek ideas on Lydia; ${ }^{7}$ but a palpable anachronism is involved in the exquisitely beautiful episode of Solon's preaching to the king, who had shewn him all his wealth, the lesson which is the keynote to the story of Croesus as related by Herodotus: " He who unites the greatest number of advantages, and, retaining them to the day of his death, then dies peaceably-that man alone is entitled to the name of happy. But in every; matter it hehoven us to mark well the end; for oftentimes God gives men a gleam of happiness, and then plunges them into ruin." "
\$2. To this fate, incurred in the eyen of the Greek by the king's aggression upon his countrymen,' Croesus was hurried on by his ambition to measure his strength with Cyrus, and to check the growing power of the Persians before it came to a head. ${ }^{10}$ His first object was to add Cappadocia to his dominions; and be claimed to be the avenger of the wrong done to his brother-in-law Astyages. ${ }^{11}$ The immense resources obtained from his command of the fertile regions of Asia Minor, the gold-yielding streams of Lydia, ${ }^{12}$ and the

[^380]commence of the Ionian states-which made the riches of Croesus a provesb in all antiquity ${ }^{13}$-might well seem adequate to the enterprise, to which the Delphic oracle had given, though with characteristic ambiguity, the divine sanction. ${ }^{4}$ He also made an alliance with the Lacedæmonians. ${ }^{15}$
§ 3. The curious chapter in the history of superstition, which tells how Croesus first shrewdly tested, and then blindly trusted, the oracle which finally lured him to his fate, should be read at length in the charming story of Herodotus. ${ }^{16}$ It is a sign of the intercourse that was now carried on among the states of the Levant, that the Lydian messengers were sent, not only to the Milesian Branchidæ, to the Boeotian oracles of Amphiaraius and Trophonius, to that of the Delphians at Pytho, and the only less famous oracle of Abse in Phocis, to Dodona in Epirus, the most ancient of all the oracies of Greece; but even to the oracle of Ammon in the Libyan desert. ${ }^{17}$ The Pythian oracle alone-mindful, doubtless, of former gifts from Lydia, and not grudging to scatter among the envoys the seed of future golden harvests-was able to tell the grotesque and improbable occupation which was the test fixed by Cresus, who declared, with an amusing mixture of credulity and scepticism, "that the Delphic was the only real oracular shrine." ${ }^{18}$ The offerings which attested his faith make the page of Herodotus glitter with gold ; ${ }^{10}$ and seem to deserve a better reward than the twofold assurance, that "if Croesus attacked the Persians, he would destroy a mighty empire," ${ }^{20}$ and that " when a mule should be King of Media" he need not be ashamed to fiy like a- coward to the pebbles of Hermus. ${ }^{21}$
54. Confident in the promise of the first response and the impossibility of the second, the fated Lydian resolved to be the first to cross the Halys-thus measuring himself against the "mule" of mixed Persian and Median birth, and bringing destruction on his

[^381]own wighty empire. Before the Lacedsmouian allianoe, which be formed at the advice of the Pythian oracle, Crcesus had made a league with Amasis, King of Egypt; which was now strengthened by the accession of "Labynetus," King of Babylon; ${ }^{*}$ but he was two eager to give these powerful allies time to send their contingents to his aid. It was in vain that Sandanis, a Lydian of high repute for wisdom, gave such counsal as the following :-"Thou art about, 0 King, to make war against men who wear leathern trousers, and have all their other garments of leather; who feed not on what they like, but on what they can get from a soil that is aterile and unkindly; who do not indulge in wine, but drink water; who possess no figs, nor anything else that is good to eat. If, then, thou conquerest them, what canst thou get from them, seeing that they have nothing at all? But if they conquer thee, consider how much that is precious thou wilt lose: if they once get a laste of our pleasant things, they will keep auch hold of them that we shall uever be able to make them loose their grasp. For my part, I am thankful to the gods that they have not put it into the bearts of the Persians to invade Lydis." ${ }^{24}$
5 5. Cyrus, in fact, was by no means indisposed to take th: course. It appears, from his character and his whole career, that he had from the first led forth his hardy horsemen from their native hills in the spirit which was afterwards avowed as a fixed maxim of Persian policy :-" For Asia, with all the various tribes of barbarians that iuhabit it, is regarded by the Persians as their own; but Europe and the Greek race they look on as distinct and separate. ${ }^{24}$ But the ambition of the conqueror was tempered by the prudence of the consummate general and statesman. Heq did not rush to the conflict without first sounding what would naturally seem his enemy's most vulperable point. "Before beginning his march, he sent heralds to the Ionians, with an invitation to them to revolt from the Lyydian king: they, however, refused compliance." ${ }^{\circ}$ Those rich commercial cities, fostered by Crosus as inlets of wealth,

[^382]doubtless feared the ruder and unknown conqueror. Meazwhile Cyrus had collected his army and begun his march, increasing his numbers at every step by the forces of the nations that lay in his way. ${ }^{2 d}$ For this purpose he appears to have taken the more circuitous route through the friendly country of Armenia (along the valley where is now Erzerum), which brought him, not into the Cappadocian table-land, but into the maritime region, called Pontus in the Roman times.
§6. Croesus directed his march to the same quarter-having crossed the Halys, either, as Herodotus thought, by the bridges which still existed in his time; or, as the Greeks generally believed, by the aid of Thales the Milesian, who diverted a part of the stream into a new channel behind the camp, thus making the natural bed easier to ford. ${ }^{27}$ He entered the district of Pteria, near Sinope, and began to ravage the country of the unoffending "Syrians," taking their chiet city, and reducing the inhabitants to slavery. While thus occupied, he seems to have been surprised by the approach of Cyrus, who encamped opposite to him in Pteria. A long and bloody battle, in which both armies fought valiantly, with great slaughter on both sides, was ended by the fall of night; the Lydians, though overmatched in numbers, sustaining the reputation, that "in all Asia there was not at that time a braver or more warlike people." ${ }^{\text {mo }}$.
§ 7. Crcesus now saw his mistake in precipitating the war with his inferior ionce; and, as Cyrus did not renew the attack next day, he retreated to Sardis, disbanded his army, and sent messengers to summon the promised succours from Egypt, Babylon, and Sparta, against the fifth month, intending to resume the offengive in the spring. But Cyrus, conceiving his adversary's purpose, broke up his camp, and pursued with such speed, that he was himself the first to announce his coming to the Lydian king. In this emergency Croesus led out from Sardis his native Lydian lancers,-then the best cavalry of Asia,-to meet the enemy in the valley of the Hermus. By the advice of Harpagus, Cyrus placed his baggage-camels, with riders accoutred as horsemen, in front of his ine, " because the horse has a natural dread of the camel, and cannot abide either the sight or the smell of that animal." ${ }^{29}$ And so it proved: but the rout of the horses was partly repaired by the courage of the riders, who leaped out of their

[^383]naddles and engaged the Persians on foot. The combat was long, but numbers prevailed; and, after great slaughter on both sides, thie Lydinns fled back behind the walls of Sardis.
§ 8. The siege of the capital was now formed; and Crossus, trusting to its strength, sent to hasten his allies. Herodotus accounts for the delay of the Lacedæmonians, and we hear nothing of the Babylonian succours; but we have already seen that a laree Egyptian contingent probably invaded the Persian dominions.wo But, in any case, there was no time for the arrival of help; for, to the surprise of both parties, the siege was ended in a fortnight. The citadel of Sardis was built upon a precipitous rock in the broad valley of the Hermus, at a point where the hills approach each other closely ; and here its name is still preserved by the village of Sart. Its natural strength was said to have been converted into absolute impregnability by a charm-when the old King Meles carried round the walls the lion that his leman bore to him-except at one part, where the cliff seemed quite inaccessible. On the fourteenth day of the siege Cyrus proclaimed a reward to the man who ahould first mount the wall, and then delivered an assault. The troops were beaten back; but a certain Mardian, named Hyrocadea, remembered having seen a Lydian soldier descend the precipitous and comparatively unguarded part of the rock to fetch his helmet, which bad rolled down, and which he picked up and carried back. Climbing the rock at the same place, Hyrceades was followed by other Persians, and Sardis was thus taken, and given up to pillage. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

We need not repeat the romantic tales, of the cacape of Crosus from slaughter by his dumb son's recovery of his speech; ${ }^{\text {sa }}$ or of his being saved from sacrifice by fire by invoking the name of the sage whose warning bad now come true; ${ }^{\mathbf{m}}$ or of his winning the regard of Cyrus by his sage advice; ${ }^{44}$ or of the Pythoness's vindication of her orgcles. ${ }^{36}$ It is sufficient to know, both from Herodotus and Ctesias, that, after some severe treatment, Croesus was received, like Astyages, into the favour of Cyrus, who assigned him a territory for his maintenance, and gave him an honourable position at court, where we find the Lydian, more than twenty years later, giving his prudent but ineffectual counsel to Cambyses. ${ }^{\text {so }}$

[^384]§ 9. The fall of Sardis involved the submission of the whole Lydian empire, with the exseption of the Greek colonies. They hastened, indeed, to send ambassadors to Cyrus at Sardis, piaying to become his lieges on the footing which they had occupied under Crosus; but the conqueror expressed, by the fable of the piper and the fish, his resentment at their refusal of his former offers. ${ }^{37}$ Miletus alone was admitted to an alliance on the terms proposed: the rest were devoted to complete conquest. The story of how that ccnquest was afterwards effected by Harpagus, and the scenes of hewoic self-sacrifice enacted especially by the Phocæans, belong to the history of Greece.

Deeming it sufficient to depute this enterprise to one of his generals, Cyrus himself, after a residence of a few weeks at Sardis, returned to Ecbatana, bent on larger schemes, which are clearly defined by Herodotus :-" He wished to make war in person against Babylon, the Bactrians, the Sacæ, and Egypt." so The last of these designs was bequeathed to his son Cambyses; and the interval before he executed the first was no doubt occupied by the conquest of the still independent nations of the table-land of Iran, and in the region of the Caspian and Oxus. Herodotus, hastening to the story of the fall of Babylcn, dismisses these campaigns in a single sentence:-"While the lower parts of Asia were in this way brought under by Harpagus, Cyrus in person subjected the upper regions, conquering every nation, and not suffering one to escape." ${ }^{30}$ These conquests appear to have embraced Hyrcania, Parthia, Chorasmia, Bactriana, Sogdiana, Aria (Herat), Drangiana, Arachosia, Sattagydia, and Gandaria. ${ }^{40}$ At length, in b.c. 539 , Cyrus found himself free to effect the conquest of Babylon; and the fall of that city, in the following year, extended his dominion to the frontier of Egypt. ${ }^{11}$ From this epoch (Jan. 5, b.c. 538) may be dated the full establishment of the Persian empire. It was not till two years later, that Cyrus fixed his usual residence at Babylon; and hence the Hebrews date his reign from b.c. 536, which was also the end of their captivity. ${ }^{43}$
§ 10. The last seven years of the reign of Cyrus, and the manner of his death-except the simple fact that he fell in battle with a

[^385]Scythian tribe of Central Asia-are lost in the obscurity of legends. The romantic story of his attack on the Massagetes, beyond the Arazes (meaning probably the Jaxartes), his first successful stratagem, and the full vengeance wreaked on him by the Queen Tomyris, are avowedly selected by Herodotus-like the legend of his early years-from among different accounts; and the historian seems almost to have wished to complete the historic irouy, taught by the fall of Crossus, in his conqueror's fate. Ctesias refers the catastrophe to a campaign against the Derbices, a people of the Indian frontier. The germ of historic truth enveloped in these legends is probably to be sought in the necessity of protecting the north-eastern frontier of the empire against the assaults of Turanian tribes.

All accounts agree that the body of Cyrus was recovered, and buried at Pasargadæ, where the building, which exactly corresponds to Arrian's description of the tomb of Cyrus in the time of Alexander, has now been certainly identified by its inscriptions: "On a square base, composed of immense blocks of beautiful white marble, stands a quadrangular house, or rather chamber, built of huge blocks of marble 5 feet thick, which are shaped at the top into a sloping roof. Internally the chamber is 10 feet long, 7 wide, and 8 high. There are holes in the marble floor, which seem to have admitted the fastenings of a sarcophagus. The tomb stands in an ares marked out by pillars, whereon occurs, repeatedly, the inscription (written both in Persian and in the so-called Median), 'I am Cyades the King, the Aceibuenlar.' ${ }^{n}$ en

5 11. Cyrus has always been a favourite hero, both of historiuns and romance-writers; and the spirit of the latter has too often tinged the portrait drawn of him by the former. But, after rejecting the false estimate founded on the ideal picture of the Cyropadia, or on the misunderstanding of his place in the prophecies of Isaiah, his character displays very noble qualities. So calm and sound a judge as Mr. Grote observes: "In what we read respecting him there scems, amidst constant fighting, very little cruelty. His extraordinary activity and conquests admit of no doubt. He left the Persian empire extending from Sogdiana and the rivers Jaxartes and Indus, eastward, to the Hellespont and the Syrian coast, westward; and bis successors made no permanent addition to it, except that of Egypt." ${ }^{\circ}$ The fuller sketch of Professor Rawlin-

[^386]son may be adopted as a fair estimate: ${ }^{46}$ —" The character of Cyrus, as represented to us by the Greeks, is the most favourable that we possess of any early Oriental monarch. Active, energetic, brave, fertile in stratagems, ${ }^{47}$ he has all the qualities required to form a successful military chief. He conciliates his people by friendly and familiar treatment, ${ }^{48}$ but declines to spoil them by yielding to their inclinations when they are adverse to their true interests. ${ }^{99}$ He has a ready humour, which shows itself in smart sayings or repartees, that take occasionally the favourite Oriental turn of parable or apologue. ${ }^{50}$ He is mild in his treatment of the prisoners that fall into his hands, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and ready to forgive even the beinous crime of rebellion. ${ }^{62}$ He has none of the pride of the ordinary Eastern despot, but converses on terms of equality with those about him. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ We cannot be surprised that the Persians, contrasting him with their later monarchs, held his memory in the highest veneration, ${ }^{56}$ and were even led by their affection for his person to make his type of countenance their standard of physical beauty." ${ }^{55}$
soldiers plunder and license without limit; and, while tempting them with all the laxuries of the conquered countries, for which they soon abandoned their old simplicity, opening the prospect of a career of unbounded conquest, into which the successors of Cyrus at once plunged. The result was to roll back the tide of conquest upon an empire enfeebled by luxury, divided by the jealousies and conteats of provincial rulers, and with a central power too weak to prevent its falling to pieces.

48 'Five Monarchies,' vol. iv. p. 380.
${ }^{4}$ Herod. i. 80, 186, 211; Nic. Damasc. Fr. 66. , ${ }^{48}$ Herod. I. 126; iii. 89.
${ }^{4}$ Herod. ix. 122. ${ }^{50}$ Herod. i. 126, 127, 141, 153, \&c.; Plut ' Apophth.' p. 172, E. F',
51 Beros. Fr. 14, fin.; Herod. i. 130, 208, 213; Ctes. 'Pers. Exc.' 02.
${ }^{53}$ Herod. i. 155, $156 . \quad$ ss Herod. i. 87-90, 155, 209.
54 Herod. ili. 89 ; Xen. 'Cyrop.' i. 2, 81 ; Arrlan. 'Exp. Alex.' vi. 29, icc.
st But. 'Apophth.' p. 172 E., 'Polit.' p. 821, E.
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## CHAPTER XXVI.

## CAMBYSES.-'IHE MAGIAN USURPATION.-RESTORATION OF THE MONARCHY BY DARIUS.-B.C. 529-522.

11. The family of Cyrus. Cambyees and Bmerdis (Bardea). His daughters. of. Reign of Cambrske (be. 629-522). Murder of Smerdia. 3. Bubjection of the I'benicians. Thelr fleet becomes the chief naval force of Persia. © 4. Expedition against Esypt. Phanes. The "King of Arsbia." 65. Defeat and treatment of Paammenitus. Capture of Memphia. Submiseton of Libya, Barca, and Cyrene, io. Cambyses at Sais. His behsviour as ting of Esypt. He plans three great expedt. tions. The Phcenlelans refuse to serve against Carthage. Embasny to the Exbloplan Fing : bls deflance. Destruction of the force aent against the Ammonians. March of Cambyees into Ethiopia. Falture of the expedition. It. Cambyeen elays the Apis. \$8. His alleged madnese His various outragen His addiction to drunkenness. 99 . He leaves Egypt completely mbdued. Apostasy of the Persians and Medes to Magism. Revolution boder the Magian Gomatros, called the PrexdoSyerdia. Account giren tn the Behistun Inscription. Death of Cambynea in Byria, probably by suicide. $\%$ 10. Popular measures of the usurper. His policy towarda the Jews. $\$ 11$. His detection as related by Herodotus. \$12. 8lory of the Seven Conspirators. Remarkable agreement of Herviotus and the Behlatun Inscription. 6 13. The clear cialm of Darins to the crown in right of his Acbsemenld demoent Privileges secured by the conspirators. 614. Their debate, in Herodotus, a fiction expressive of Greet ideag. 916 . Dariug, with "his faluhfol men," Blays the Mngian and takes the kingdom.
§ 1. Cyrce left two sons and three daughters, by his sole wife, ${ }^{\text {t }}$ Cassandane, the daughter of Pharnaspes, an Achæmenian, who had died before her husband, and had been greatly lamented by him.' The sons were Kabujiya and Bardiya, names which were trans-

I This seema implied by Herodotis, in his contradiction of the Fgyptian atory, that Camiyses was the ern (and not the busband) of Nitetia, the danghter of A pries (iit, 2). Both the bietorian (iit. 30) and the Behistun tnscription (col, i, par. 10) apeak of Cambyeee and 8merdis as "both of the mame father and mother." Cuesias, in making Cyrus the soo-la-law of Astyages (' Pers. Exc.' 9 10), ta probably repeating one of the storien so oflen invented to add legitimacy to a new dynanty; and the name of this princem, Amytis, resembles that of the Median wife of Nebuchadpezzar. (Bee Kawlinion, Note it Hers: itl 8.)
: Herod. Ii. 1, til. 2. Behistun Inocription.
formed by Greek organs into Cambyses and Smerdis. ${ }^{4}$ Of the daughters, Atossa is well known in history ${ }^{8}$ as the wife, first of Cambyses, next of the Magian who personated Smerdis, and last of Darius ; and as the mother of Xerxes, who is said by one writer to have killed her in a fit of passion. ${ }^{6}$ Another, whose name is not mentioned, was also married by Cambyses, the royal judges giving the opinion, which Herodotus humorously calls " at once true and safe, - they did not find any law allowing a brother to take his sister to wife, but they found a law that the King of the Persians might do whatever he pleased.' And so they neither warped the law through fear of Cambyses, nor ruined themselves by over-stiffy maintaining the law; but they brought another quite distinct law to the king's help, which allowed him to have his wish." This sister-wife was put to death by Cambyses in Egypt, in resentment of her suggested reproaches for his murder of Smerdis. ${ }^{8}$ The remaining and, as it seems, the youngest daughter of Cyrus, Artystoné, became the favourite wife of Darius, the son of Hystaspes. ${ }^{9}$ It appears to be from the reign of Cambyses that the polygamy and incestuous marriages of the Persian kings began.
§ 2. Cambyses (b.c. 529-522), having been appointed by Cyrus as his successor, was sent back by him with Croesus into Persia from the country of the Massagetre, before the final catastrophe. Such is the simple statement of Herodotus; ${ }^{10}$ but the less trustworthy writers say that, while Cyrus left the empire to Cambyses, he declared it to be his will that Smerdis should have the government of several important provinces ; ${ }^{\text {nl }}$ and so he prepared the catastrophe that ensued.

[^387]The murder of Smerdis is re.ated in the Behistun inscription as the only important event in the reign of Cambyses before his invasion of Egypt, acd as performed with the secresy of which advantage was afterwards taken by the impostor Gomates. "Afterwards Cambyses slew that Bardes (Bardiya). When Cambyses had slain Bardes, it was not known to the people that Bardes had been slain. Afterwards Cambyses proceeded to Eqypt." ${ }^{18}$ Herodotus transposes the crime to the period of the Egyptian campaign, so as to make it the first of the outrages that indicated the madness which his Egyptian informants regarded as the penalty of the king's sacrilege. ${ }^{14}$
§ 3. Another interesting question arises out of the interval of four years which elapsed before Cambyses invaded Egypt. ${ }^{16}$ During this time it is not improbable that he received the submission of the Phoenicians, who now for the first time appear as forming the great maritime force of the Persian empire. Herodotus relates that the courtiers of Cambyses extolled him above his father, inasmuch as "he was lord of all that Cyrus ever ruled, and, further, had made himself master of Egypt and the sea." ${ }^{1 s}$ Even as flattery, this must have had a foundation ; and we find Herodotus distinctly asserting that, in the time of Cyrus, "Phœnicia was still independent of Persia, and the Persians themselves were not a seafaring people." ${ }^{10}$ But, under Cambyses, we are told that "the Phoenicians had yielded themselves to the Persians, and upon them all his sea-service dependel." 17 Phoenicia would probably be regarded as won to the empire of Cyrus by the conquest of Babylon; but its actual submission was another matter, and this appears to bave taken place under Cambyses. Henceforth the Phoenician navy became the great maritime force of Persia. For want of it Cfrus had been unable to follow up his conquest of AOlis and Ionia into the islands; ${ }^{\text {it }}$ its possession gave Cambyses the command of the coast and Egypt, and of the Nile, ${ }^{10}$ without which Memphis could

[^388]-hardly have been taken, and afterwards made the conquest of Greece itself seem practicable to Darius and Xerxes.
§ 4. Meanwhile the subjugated Ionians and Ztiolians ${ }^{20}$ swelled the forces which Cambyses collected for the conquest of Egypt,—an enterprise bequeathed to him by his father. ${ }^{21}$ While the opportunity for the attack was delayed, the prudent Amasis seems to have conciliated Cyrus by some acknowledgment of his suzerainty; and he sent the best Egyptian eye-doctor to the Persian court at the request of Cyrus. In resentment at being torn from his wife and children, this physician is said to have stirred up Cambyses to demand in marriage the daughter of Amasis, whose substitution of a Jaughter of the dethroned Apries gave mortal offence to the deceived Persian. ${ }^{22}$

While Cambyses was meditating the attack, there arrived a certain Pbanes of Halicarnassus, a deserter from among the Carian mercenaries of Amasis, whose secrets he revealed to the Persian king. By his advice, also, Cambyses obtained the safe-conduct of the most powerful Bedouin sheikh of those parts ${ }^{28}$ for his passage through the desert of Gaza. The Arab kept his oath with the wonted fidelity of his race, and sent supplies of water on camels to three different stages. ${ }^{24}$
§5. When the march was made, Amasis had just died, and Cambyses found his son Psammenitus encamped at the Pelusiac mouth of the Nile. In presence of both armies, the Greek and Carian mercenaries of Psammenitus led out the sons of Phanes before their father's eyes, and slew them over a bowl, in which their blood was mixed with water and wine. In this horrid jraught each soldier pledged himself to the fight that followed; but the Egyptians turned, and fled in complete disorder to Memphis. ${ }^{25}$

[^389]I'hither Cambyees sent a Persian herald on board a Mytilenaan ship; but crew and envoy were torn limb from limb by the Egyptians, Memphis surrendered after a siege; and here Cambyses received embassies from the Libyans who bordered upon Egypt, and from the Greek colonists of Cyrene and Barca The Libyans were received as tributaries, but the presents of the Cyrenæans and Barcæans were contemptuously rejected as inadequate. ${ }^{26}$

The comantic story of the behaviour by which Psammenitus roused the compassion of Cambyses, and stayed the course of his ignominious vengeance, is in spirit a repetition of the tale of Crcesus and Cyrus. ${ }^{7 n}$ The remark of Herodotus seems bere more trustworthy than his facts: "Could Psammenitus have kept from intermeddling with affairs, he might have recovered Egypt, and ruled it as governor. For it is the Persian custom to treat the sons of kings with honour, and even to give their fathers' kingdoms to the cliildren of such as revolt from them." ${ }^{28}$ But, being detected in stirring up revolt, he was compelled to drink bull's blood, and so he died.
§ 6. From Memphis Cambyses went to Sais, which was then the capital of Egypt; bere it appears, from a monument in the Vatican, that he assumed the full style of an Egyptian king, as " Kam-bath-Remesot, Lord of Upper and Lower Egypt;" that he confirmed the Egyptian dignitaries in their offices, and, "like the kings who ruled before him," made offerings "to the divine mother of the gods (i.e. Neith) at Sais, and performed the usual libations in her temple to the Lord of Ages." Thus far there is no sign of the mad fanaticism which stamps his cbaracter in history. ${ }^{00}$ He now planned three expeditions-one by sea against Cabthage, the name of which now first appears in the stream of general history; on the second, against the Ammonians, he resolved to send a detachment of his army; while he prepared for the third, which he designed to conduct in person, by sending spies into the country of the Macrobian (or long-lived) Ethiopians, who were reputed the tallest and bandkimest men in the whole world, and who lived "in the uttermost parts of the earth." ${ }^{n}$

[^390]The Carthaginian project miscarried through the refusal of the Phonicians to sail on such a service, "since they were bound to the Carthaginians by solemn oaths, and, besides, it would be wicked in them to make war on their own children." ${ }^{39}$ The envoys sent to the Ethiopian king brought back an unstrung bow, with the advice not to attempt the invasion till the Persians could bend it easily. ${ }^{33}$ On receiving this defiance, Cambyses began his march. At Thebes he detached 50,000 men, with orders to burn the oracle of Ammon, and to carry captive the Ammonians. ${ }^{\text {s4 }}$ Their march was traced as far as "the city Oasis," so seven days' journey across the sand, after which they were never heard of more. The Ammonians; however, related that the army, while at their midday meal, were suddenly and entirely covered by columns of sand raised by a south wind, strong and deadly. ${ }^{\text {se }}$

- The main army under Cambyses narrowly escaped an equal destruction. The provisions were exhausted before one-fifth of the march was accomplished : the sumpter-beasts were next eaten, and then the army was reduced to sustain life on the grass and herbs; but still Cambyses pushed obstinately forward. At last they came to the bare sand; ${ }^{37}$ and here the soldiers began to cast lots for every tenth man to be eaten by the rest. On hearing of this horrid decimation, Cambyses at length relinquished the attempt, and returned to "Thebes, "atter he had lost vast numbers of his soldiers." Thence he marched to Memphis, ready to wreak his double disappointment on the Egyptians. ${ }^{38}$ The expedition, however, had one

[^391]permanent result, in the annexation of the old Egyptian province of "Ethiopia above Egypt" to the Persian empire.
§ 7. It happened just at this time that a new Apis had been discovered; and the rejoicings common on the occasion were, not unnaturally, taken by Cambyses as a triumph over his defeat. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. When the native officers of Memphis told him the real cause, he put them to death for liars. Nert he summoned the priests; and, on receiving the same answer, he told then "he would soon find out whether a tame god had come to dwell in Egypt," and sent them to fetch the Apis. No sooner was the sacred ox brought in, than the king drew his short Persian sword, and struck in such baste that, missing his aim at the vitals, he wounded it in the thigh. Then, upbraiding the priests for believing that gods became flesh and blood and sensible to steel, he ordered them to be bastinadoed, and any of the Egyptians found keeping the festival to be put to death. The Apis languished for some time in the temple, and then died, and was buried secretly by the priests. ${ }^{\text {to }}$ According to Plutarch, Cambyses slew the Apis outright, and gave his fiesh to the dogs. ${ }^{.1}$
§ 8. Tu this act of sacrilege the Egyptians ascribed the judicial madness, which Cambyses now began to display without control The murder of Smerdis, alleged by Herodotus as the first "overt act," has been supposed to have been perpetrated long before; and the murder of his sister, which was the next, has beeu related above. ${ }^{4}$ The well-told stories of his convincing Prexaspes of his sobriety by shooting through the heart the mon of that courtier, who was fain to compliment the king on his aim, and the narrow escape of Crosus

[^392]from the same fate, at which the king rejoiced, but put to death the men who had saved the Lydian-are among those to be read only in the words of Herodotus. ${ }^{44}$ They illustrate the addiction of Cambyses to drunkenness, a common vice of the Persian kings; and if, as Herodotus says, he was also subject to epilepsy from his birth, ${ }^{\text {³ }}$ we scarcely need any judicial explanation of his madness, except the Nemesis which visits that greatest of all political wrongs, the possession of despotic power. ${ }^{48}$ For, after all the fallacious arguments urged in defence of a "beneficent despotism "-a thing so rare that the epithet sounds like irony-and after all the just horror excited by the rare excesses of revolutionary frenzy, a horror due equally to the tyranny which provoked them-no lesson should be more strenuously impressed by the historian than this : that despotic power is the greatest misfortune for all who inherit, the greatest crime in all who seize it.
§ 9. Whether inspired by madness, or by calculating severity, the harsh measures of Cambyses effectually secured the submission of Egypt, and he heads the 27th Dynasty (of Persian kings). In B.c. 522 he left the country; and was returning home through Syria, when news reached him that his native dominions were lost to him. The story of this revolt, as told by Herodotus, ${ }^{47}$ and obscared by unauthorised conjectures, is now made clear from the Behistun inscription, which distinguishes two stages in the revo-lution,-the religious defection to Magism, and the usurpation of the Magian impostor. "When Cambyses had proceeded to Egypt, then the state became wicked. Then the ure became abounding in the land, both in Persia and in Media, and in the other provinces." ${ }^{48}$ Darius proceeds in a separate paragraph :-
"Afterwards there arose a certain man, a Magian (Magush), named Gomates (Gaumata), ${ }^{4}$ from Pissiachada, the mountain called Aracadres. He thus lied to the state:-'I am Bardes (Bardiya), the son of Cyrus, the brother of Cambyses.' ${ }^{50}$ Then the whole

[^393]510 Cámbyses and the maglan usurpation. Boor ill. state became rebellious. From Cambyses it went over to him bath Persia, and Media, and the other provinces. He seized the empire. Afterwards Cambyses, unable to endure (or self-wishing to die), died." al

It is at once clear that this was no mere Median revolt-a conjecture unsupported even by Herodotus; ${ }^{\text {se }}$ though 'the clief strength of the usurper would naturally be in the more Magianized province of Media, aud there was the fortress in which he was slain. ${ }^{\text {s4 }}$ The whole tenor of the inscription shews that the "lie" of the first paragraph is not the false pretence of the usurper (as in paragraph 1.1), but the religions corruption which prevailed first, and which he established fully after his accession. For Darius, relating his restoration of the empire " as it was before," says :-"The temples which Gomates the Magian had destroyed, I rebuilt. The sacred offices of the state, both the religious chaunts and the worship (I restored to the people), of which Gomates the Magian had deprived them. . . . As (it was) before, so I restored what (had been) taken away." ${ }^{\text {s }}$

But how came "the lie" to prevail " both in Persia and Media, and all the provinces," so soon after Cambyses set out for Egypt? A very probable answer is that Cambyses had already favoured the Magian corruption, which had long been complete in Media, and which afterwards prevailed in Persia, notwithstanding the zealous reformation of Darius. For Herodotus tells us that Cambyses left in Persia, as comptroller of his household, a Magian named Patizeithes, ${ }^{55}$ who, struck with the likeness of his brother to the murdered Smerdia, set him on the throne, and began the revolt. The likeness is represented by Herodotus an not close enough to dispense with the necessity of concealment; ${ }^{0 \prime \prime}$ and this is exactly confifmed by the inscription :-" He slew many people who had known the old Bardes : for that reason he slew them, lest they should recognise me, that I am not Bardes, the son of Cyrus." ${ }^{\text {se }}$ The usurpation seems to have been unopposed: "Says Darius the king-There was not a man, neither Persian nor Median, nor any one of our family, who would dispossess that Gomates the Magian of the crown. The

[^394]state feared him exceedingly.":" "He did according to his desire." "0 He tad effectually "dispossessed Cambyses both of Persia and Media; " ${ }^{61}$ and the king seems, in despair, to have committed snicide in Syria. ${ }^{2}$ 'Ihe place where he died, Echatana (Agbatana), has not been satisfactorily identified; and perhaps the name was invented to suit the prophecy to which Shakespeare gives us an exact parallel in the death of Henry IV. "in Jerusalem." ${ }^{63}$ His reign had lasted seven years and five months (b.c. 529-522). During his whole reign, as well as that of Cyrus, the nations brought their several gifts to the king ; and fixed tributes were first imposed by Darius. ${ }^{64}$
§ 10. The Magian usurper, Gomates, or (as he is usually called) the Psedodo-Smerdis, kept possession of the throne during the seven months wanting to make up the reign of Cambyses to eight years (b.c.522). ${ }^{65}$ "The state feared him exceedingly," says Darius. ${ }^{66}$ So Herodotus: "The Magian now reigned in security. . . His subjects, while his reign lasted, received great benefits from him, insomuch that, when he died, all the dwellers in Asia mourned his loss exceedingly, except only the Persians. For no sooner did he come to the throne, than forthwith he sent round to every nation under his rule, and granted them freedom from war-service and from taxes for the space of three years." of The Persians were already exempt from taxation; and though they at first adhered to the usurper, supposing him to be the more worthy son of Cyrus, for this very reason their indignation would be the greater when the imposture was discovered. We have already referred to his establishment of the Magian systero and priesthood, and his overthrow of the Zoroastrian temples and worship. Another interesting example' of his reversal of the religious policy of his two predecessors is furnished by bis edict to stop the rebuflding of the temple at Jerusalem. ${ }^{68}$

[^395]§ 11. The silence of the Behistun inscription as to the detection of the false Smerdis is no reason for rejecting the main outlines of the story as told by Herodotus. Cambyses, who had at first believed himself tricked by the agent to whom he bad committed the murder of Smerdis, was soon convinced of the truth; but his dying warning to the Persians, and especially to the Achæmenids, was set down to hatred of his brother. ${ }^{99}$ But the religious measures of the Magian must have excited disaffection among the Zoroastrians; and his continued seclusion must have roused suspicion. According to Oriental custom, he had taken the harem of his predecessor; ${ }^{70}$ but one of his precautions was to keep his wives from associating with each other. ${ }^{71}$ This confirmed the doubts of one of the noblest Persians, named Otanes, who had been the first to suspect the cheat ; ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ and the fina discovery was made by his daughter Phsedima, one of the king's wives. She detected the false Smerdis by his want of ears, for the Magian had suffered that mutilation for some great crime in the reign of Cyrus. ${ }^{7}$
5 12. The steps taken upon the discovery are differently related. The Behistun record is as follows: "Says Darius the king-There was not a man, neither Persian nor Median, nor any one of our family (i.e. the Achwmenids), who would dispossess that Gomatea the Magian of the crown. . . . No one dared to eay anything concerning Gomates the Magian until I arrived. Then I prayed to Ormazd: Ormazd brought help to me. On the tenth day of the month Bagayadish, then it was, with my faithful men, I slew that Gomates the Magian, and those who were his chief followers. The fort named Sictachotes, in the district of Media called Nisæa, there I slew him. ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ I dispossessed him of the empire. By the grace of Ormazd I became king: Ormazd granted me the sceptre." ${ }^{76}$ *
The important part taken by these "faithful men" is recognised by a special paragraph in the concluding part of the inscription: "Says Darius the king-These are the men who alone were there, when I slew Gomates the Magian, who was called Bardes;" and he adds the names of six, all Perians-Vidafrana, Utana, Gaubaruva, Vidarna, Bagabukhsha, Ardumanish; ${ }^{\text {t6 }}$ corresponding precisely, with one exception, to the names of the six conspirators as given by Herodotus (Darius himself being the seventh)-Intaphernes, Otanes,

[^396]Chap. XXVI. STORY OF THL SEVEN CONSPIRATORS.
Gobryas, Hybarnes, Megabyzus and (not Ardomanes but) Aspathines." ${ }^{T \pi}$ The slight discrepancy, however, is one of those which rather confirm than invalidate testimony, by shewing its independence; and the mistake is easily accounted for, since Aspathines actually appears as the quiver-bearer of Darius in the inscription on that king's tomb as Nahsh-i-Rustam. ${ }^{78}$
§ 13. In the face of so striking an agreement, there is little need to discuss the minor question, whether the conspiracy was set on foot by Darius, and whether his claim to the crown was at once admitted. Herodotus describes the plot as concocted by Otanes; but he agrees with the inscription, that nothing was actually, dune till Darius arrived at Susa, ${ }^{72}$ whither he is made to say that he had hastened, with the intent of killing the Magian; and even then Darius forces the other conspirators into action against their will. ${ }^{30}$. Heeren and Niebuhr suppose, on good grounds, that the conspiraters were the heads of the seven Persian clans, or families, and that they met in secret conclave to take measures for the deliverance of Persia. In such a body there could be no question of the right of Darius, now that the male line of Cyrus was extinct; ${ }^{81}$ and the other six would naturally rank as "his faithful men," or dutiful confederates. The sign which, according to Herodotus, determined the choice, may easily have been contrived so as to give the sanction of an omen to an existing right. ${ }^{\text {ma }}$

I'here is no improbability in the statement that the six, while they had yet the power to do so, exacted a price for the recognition of their leader's claim. Whether as a new grant, or as a confirmation

[^397]of olc rights, they obtained the following privileges:- It was to be free to each, whenever he pleased, to enter the palace unannounced, unless the king were in the company of one of his wives; and the king was to be bound to marry into no family excepting those of the conspirators. The still higher privileges said to have been obtained by Otanes, as the price of abstainigy from the compo-tition-the freedom of his race for ever, and the annual present of a Median robe and other gifts of honour (the Kaftan)-may have heen granted to him as an Achamenid.*
§ 14. It must be assumed that all this was settled before the attack was made, and not, as Herodotus represents, after the five days of confusion which followed its success. "It would have been madness to allow an interval of anarchy ; " ${ }^{\text {ot }}$ and such an interval aeems to be imagined by Herodotus only to introduce that set debate among the chieftains, which has long been recognised as a purely Greek conception-one of those essays in which the ancient historians are wont to express their own ideas, or rather, perbaps, thuse agitated among their countrymen, through the persons of the narrative. We are much mistaken if there be not a dash of sly humour in the sentence-" At this meeting speaches were made, to which many of the Greeks give no credence, but they were made nevertheless" ${ }^{\text {es }}$ - that is, they ought to have been made. We know not what credit to attach to the story that Prexaspes now atoned for the crime of having been the agent in the murder of Smerdis, by sacrificing his life in proclaiming the truth to the people, and so preparing them for what followed.*
815. In the execution of the plot, at all events, Darius tiok the lead. He gained access to the palaco (or rather, as appears from the inscription, to the fort in Media, where the Magian had shut himself up), as the bearer of a despatch from his father Hystaspes, who was the governor of Persia. The six "faithful men " rushed in with h/m, and two of them were wounded in the degperate conflict which ensued. The Magian usurper was slain by the band of Darius, his brother having been killed before him; and the victors rushed out to shew the heads of the two impostors to the people. The deception was forthwith avenged by a general

[^398]massacre of ${ }^{\text {' }}$ the Magians, which only ended with the fall of night ; and the event was commemorated by the great festival called Magophonia, which the Persians kept as the strictest in all the year, when no Magian might stir abroad, during the whole day of the feast, on pain of death. ${ }^{5}$
"Here for once" (observes Rawlinson) "Ctesias and our author are of accord. Both speak of the festival as continuing in their own day. It is certainly strange that, after the Magian religion was combined with the Persian, and while the Magi constituted the priest-caste of the Persian nation, this custom should have been maintained. If, however, we remember that the reign of the Pseudo-Smerdis was not only the triumph of a religion, but also the domination for a time of the priests over the warriors, we may conceive the possibility of such a custom being still retained. It would be a perpetual warning to the priests against going beyond the line of their own functions, and trenching on the civil power." The massacre of the Magians both illustrates and is illustrated by that of the Jews planned by Haman, and that executed by the Jews upon their assailants (Esther, ce. iii., viii., ix.).



## CHAPTER XXVII.

## CLIMAX OF THE PERSIAN EMPIRE-DARIUS, THE SON OF HYSTASPES.-B.C. 521-486.
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Chap, XXYy. TITLES ON THE TOMB OF DARIUS.
§ 1. Darios $I_{1}{ }^{2}$ the son of Hegstaspes, is rightly regarded as the second founder of the Persian empire. His reign is dated from the first day of the year answering to B.c. 521; and it lasted thirtysix years, to Dec. 23, b.c. 486. He was scarcely twenty years of age when Cyrus in a dream, is said to have seen him, with wings upon his shoulders, overshadowing Asia with the one wing, and Europe with the other (b.c. 530$)^{2}$ He would, therefore, be in his twenty-eighth year at his accession, and in his sixty-fourth when he died. His descent has already been described. In the only example of an epitaph inscribed by a Persian king npon his own tomb, he calls himself : " Darius, the Great King, the King of kings ; the King of all inhabited countries; the King of this great carth, far and near; the son of Hystaspes, an Achæmenian; a Persian, the son of a Persian ; an Aryan, of Aryan descent." ${ }^{2}$

Upon his accession, he connected himself with the elder branch of the Achæmenids by marrying Atossa and Artystone, the two surviving daughters of Cyrus: the former came to him with the harem of Gomates ; the latter was still a virgin. He also married Parmys, the daughter of Smerdis, son of Cyrus, and connected himself with the third Achæmenid branch by marrying the daughter of Otanes. ${ }^{4}$

Throughout the Behistun inscription Darius represents himself as the hereditary champion of the Achæmenids, against Gomates and all other rebels: "The empire, of which Gomates the Magian dispossessed Cambyses-that empire, from the olden time, had been in our family." ${ }^{\circ}$ "As it was before, so I arranged it, by the grace of Ormazd, so that Gomates the Magian should nut supersede our family." "It is "by the grace of Ormazd" that he does everything. His epitaph begins with this sentence-"The great god Ormazd, he gave this earth, he gave that heaven, he gave life to

[^399]mankind; he made Darius king, as well the king of the people as the lawgiver of the people:" 1 and in the same spirit it closes"That which has been done, all of it I have accomplished by the grace of Ormazd. Ormazd brought help to me, so that I accomplished the work. May Ormazd protect fiom injury me and my house, and this pfovincel That I commit to Ormazd-that may Ormazd accomplish for mel Oh, people I the law of Ormazd-that having returned to you, let it not perish. Beware lest ye abandon the true doctrine!" ${ }^{3}$

6 2. This restoration of the Zurosstrian worship, and the putting down of several rebellions, are the mattere recorded in the great trilingual inscription at Behistun, which Sir Henry Rawlinson dates, from internal evidence, in the sixth year of Dariua (b.c. 616). The king expressly'says that much had been done by him besides, that was not recorded in this tablet; ${ }^{9}$ and what he has recorded he himself sums up, in the conquest and capture of nine "kings," leaders of rebellions, and the winning of nineteen battles. ${ }^{10}$ His treatment of the defeated kings sternly illustratem the profession"He who has laboured for my family, him well cherished I have cherished; he who has been hostile to me, him well destroyed I have destroyed." u All the rebel kinga, except one who was killed by his own followers, were put to death when captured, three at least by crucifixion; and two of these were first exposed at the gates of the king's palace, after their ears and noses had been cut off.
§3. A comparison of the summary of these revolts with the list of provinces over which Darius became king, shews the formidable extent of the spirit of disaffection. Such a result alwaya followed a change of government in the loosely-organised Oriental empires, especially in the form of attempts to revive the native dynastien, as was now the case in Babylonia, Media, Armenia, snd other proviaces; and even Persia was ready to rise again at the name of a

[^400]son of Cyrus. The empire of which Darius became king embraced, as he says, the following provinces :-" Persia, Susiana, Babylonia, Assyria, Arabia, ${ }^{18}$ Egypt; those which are of the sea (the islands), Saparda, ${ }^{18}$ lonia, Media, Armenia, Cappadocia, Parthia, Zarangia, Aria, Chorasmia, Bactria, Sogdiana, Gandaria, the Sace, Sattagydia, Arachotia, and Mecia: in all twenty-three provigces." ${ }^{14}$
§ 4. Of these, he had to quell revolts, during his first six years, in Persia, Susiana, Babylonia, Assyria, Media, Armenia, Parthia, Sagartia, Arachotia, and Sacia (besides Margiana, which seems to be reckoned as belonging to Bactria). All the central provinces constituting the original empire, from the mountains of Armenia to the head of the Persian Gulf, as well as several of those of the Iranian table-land, had to be recouquered. The only important provinces wanting to complete the list are Lydia and Egypt ; and even in them, as we learn from Herodotus, the satraps seized the opportunity of these troubles to assume an insolent air of independence, which only stopped short of rebellion through the swift vengeance taken on them by Darius. ${ }^{15}$ The king's constant reiteration of what he had done to suppress "lying," and his adjuration of his succassors to destroy it everywhere, indicate that most of these rebellions were connected with religion. There can, especially, be little doubt that Magism was at the bcttom ol the great Median revolt.
§ 5. The first of the insurrections, however, in Susiana and Babylonia, were simply movements for national independence, taking advantage of the dynastic troubles in Persia. "During all the time that the Magus was king, and while the seven were conspiring, the Babylonians had profited by the troubles, and had made themselves ready against a siege." ${ }^{16}$

[^401]In Susiana Atrines declared himself king, calling himself Imanes, that is, the old royal name Umman; while in Babylonia a certain Nidintabelus assumed the crown, as being Nebuchadnerzar (Nabus kudrachara), son of Nabonidus; and the whole state went over to him. Atrines was taken prisoner by a force sent against him, and was put to death by Darius. The king marched in persor. against the Babylonians, who held the Tigris with an army and vessels. Darius forced the passage, ${ }^{11}$ and gained a second battle, on his march towards Babylon, at Zazana on the Euphrates. ${ }^{18}$

In his brief official style, Darius adds that he pursued the pretender, who had fled with his faithful borsemen to Babylon, took the city, and slew Nidintabelus there. ${ }^{19}$ But it appears from Herodotus that the Babylonians made a long and desperate resistance. They bad reduced the mouths to be fed by strangling all the females, except their mothers, and one other woman for each household; and these were employed in making bread. Contemptuously confident, as in the time of Cyrus, in the strength of their defences, they were also watchful enough to baffle the means by which the city had then been taken; and for twenty monthg ${ }^{80}$ they held out against the whole power of the empire, which Darius had drawn together for the siege. ${ }^{91}$ Under the story of the stratagem of Zopyrus there may perhaps lurk the fact of a treacherous admission of the Persian army. The capture of the city was followed by that of the temple of Belus, where some of the insurgents had found refuge for a time. ${ }^{28}$

The story of the vengeance taken by Darius seems better suited to the repression of the second revolt of Babylon, some three years later, when a certain Aracus, an Armenian reaident of Babylonia, again personated Nebuchadnezzar, son of Nabonidue, and wai defeated and taken by the general Intaphres. Darius would naturally

[^402]be the more incensed at the opportunity taken for this second revolt, when he was occupied with the formidable rebellions of Media and Persia. ${ }^{23}$ On the first occasion he only mentions the execution of the rebel king Nidintabelus; ${ }^{24}$ but on the second the record-" I gave orders that they should crucify both Aracus and the chief men who were with Bim" ${ }^{25}$-agrees with the account of Herodotus, that nearly 3000 of the leading citizens were selected for crucifixion. ${ }^{28}$ The statement, that Darius destroyed the wall and tore down the gates, which had not been done by Cyrus, is probably to be accepted in a modified sense; for parts of the enormous walls were standing long after. ${ }^{27}$
§6. The occupation of Darius in Babylonia with this long and critical war was seized as the opportunity for a general revolt of the central, northern, and eastern provinces. "While I was at Babylon, these are the countries which revolted against me: Persia, Susiana, Media, Assyria, Armenia, Parthia, Margiana, Sattagydia, Sacia." ${ }^{28}$ Susiana, whose indomitable spirit of independence we have seen under the Assyrian empire, rose under a Persian named Martes (Martiya), who gave himself out as Imanes (Imanish), ${ }^{29}$ of the old royal line of Susiana. But Darius no sooner turned towards Susiana than the people themselves put the pretender to death.

The most serious of all these troubles was the revolt-apparently in concert-of Media, Assyria, and Armenia, drawing after them some of the eastern Iranian provinces. The insurrection of the Medes was a movement to recover their independence and supremacy under Phraortes (Fravartish), who assumed the name of "Xathrites (Khshathrita), ${ }^{30}$ of the race of Cyaxares :" and Armenia, with Assyria as a helper, seems to have struck for its old independent alliance with Media. Before Darius was ready to leave Babylon, the pretender was recognised as king throughout all Media; and Darius thinks it worthy of special record, that "the army of Persians and Medes that was with me, that remained faithful to me." Darius sent Hydarnes, one of his six "faithful men," with the truly impesial order,-" Go forth and smite that Median state, which does not call itself mine." ${ }^{\text {al }}$ Of course Hydarnes did so-according to the inscrip-

[^403]tion; but the sequel shows that " he waited for (Darius's) arrival in Media," by Lo means as a complete victor.

Another army, despatched against Armenis, under an Armenian named Dadarses, gained in like manner three victoriea; and ho also waited for Darius, but in such a position that the Armeniaus were able to makets descent upon Assyria. Hers they were encountered by a second army, which Darius had detached for the Armenian war, under Vomises, a Persian ; who defeated them, first in Assyria and afterwards in Armenia. Vomises also waited in Armenia till Darius arrived in Media. ${ }^{93}$
§ 7. At length, apparently in the summer of B.0. 518, the king marched from Babylon into Media. Phraortes marched to meet him, and gave battle at a place called Kudrus; where the rebel's utter defeat made Darius master of Ecbatana. Phraortos fled with his horsemen as far as Rhages, probably hoping to make head in Parthia and Hyrcania, which had risen in his cause; but a force sent by Darius trok him prisoner, and brought him beck to Ecbatana. Here, mutilated of bis nose, cars, and tongue, he was kept chained at the palace-door long enough for "all the kingdom to know to him"-a precaution against future permonation-and finally crucified. His chief followers were put to death in the citadel of Ecbatana. ${ }^{3}$

The same punishment of mutilation, exposure at the palace-gates, and crucifixion, was inflicted on a Sagartian named Sitrantachmes, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ who, after the example of Phraortes, had claimed to be "the king of Sagartia, of the race of Cyaxares." ${ }^{\text {on }}$ He was executed at Arbela, whither we may suppose that Darius hed advanced on his wey to Parthia and Hyrcania, which had embraced the cause of Phraortes.
"Hystaspes, my father"-mays the inscription "n_" was in Pauthis (as governor): the people revolted and forsook him;" and they seem to have invaded Media in aupport of Phraorten, for it is there that Hystaspes is said to have defeated them. ${ }^{\text {an }}$ Reinforced by Darius, who had now advanced as far as Rhagea, Hyataspes gained a second and decisive battle in Parthia, and the province was recovered. ${ }^{08}$ The revolt of Margiana, under a native leader, Phraates-a name

[^404]long afterwards famous in the line of Parthian kings-was subdued by Dadarses, the satrap of Bactria. ${ }^{40}$
§ 8. While Darius was thus engaged in the north-eastern provinces, another Pseudo-Smerdis, named Veisdates, arose in Persia itself, and the fondness of the Persians for the house of Cyrus, or jealousy towards "Darius, gained the pretender the crown:-"Then the Persian people who were at home, being at a distance (from me), revolted from me: they went over to that Veissdates: he became king "f Persia." ${ }^{41}$ But again the Persian and Median army remained faithful to Darius; and he seems to have sent forward the main body of them, under Artabardes, ${ }^{42}$ a Persian, while he followed with his own select force of Persians. After an obstinate conflict, in which Artabardes gained two victories, ${ }^{48}$ Veisdates was taken, with his chief adherents, and Darius crucified them in Persia. ${ }^{44}$
'the province of Arachotia, into which the pretender had sent an army, was successfully defended, or perhaps rather regained, by its satrap Vibanus (Vivana), who took the insurgent leader prisoner, and slew him with his chief adherents. ${ }^{45}$
§9. This Persian insurrection created an opportunity for the second revolt of Babylon, under the Armenian Aracus, the suppression and punishment of which has been related above: the officer who put it down was a Mede, named Intaphres. This is the last of the revolts recorded in the first three columns of the Behistun Inscription: the fourth is a summary, the tone of its final words marking the conclusion of the record. A fifth column, added as a kind of supplement, mentions a third revolt of Susiana, which was put down by Gobryas, and one of Sacia, which was suppressed by Darius himself.

Such are the contents of this invaluable official document.
§10. The cessation of these pressing dangers at the heart of the empire left Darius at liberty to deal with the insolent assumptions of the satraps of Lydia and Egypt. Oroetes, the governor of Sardis, who, during the last illness of Cambyses, had dared to put to death

[^405]his master's ally, Polycrates of Samos, ${ }^{48}$ not only abstained from aiding Darius against the Magian," but took advantage of "the troubles of the season" to slay his private enemy, Mitrobates, the satrap of Dascylium, and his son, and to add his satrapy of Phrygia to those of Lydia and Ionia. He kept a thousand Persians as his body-guard, and when Darius sent him a mandate'bf recal, he caused the courier to be waylaid on his return, and neither man nor horse was heard of again. ${ }^{46}$ Not wishing, in the unsettled state of the empire, to make war on so strong a vassal, Darius appealed to the chief of the Persians to accomplish the affair by skill withont furce or tumult. One, chosen by lot from among thirty who offered themselves, set out for Sardis with a budget of despatches sealed with the king's eignet. Delivering them one by one to the royal necretary in the atrap's full court, he tested the temper of the guards by the reverence they shewed for the king's letters; and then he handed the two decisive mandates to the secretary, who read-"Persians, King Darius forbids you to guard Orcetes:" and the soldiers laid down their spears:-" King Darius commands the Persians who are in Sardis to kill Orcetes :" and the guards drew their awords and slew him on the spot. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Thus early was the principle established, which in later times has been embodied in the fatal missive of the bowatring. The punishment of Aryandes, the governor of Egypt, with death, for daring to issue a silver coinage of his own in imitation of the king's gold, is referred by Herodotus to a later period. ${ }^{\infty}$
$\delta$ 11. Having thus restored the empire, Darius pursued new military expeditions and conquests in the true spirit of its founder. ${ }^{\text {al }}$ To the energy of youth was added the fear that quiet might breed new

[^406]revolts; and"by such motives, if we may believe Herodotus, he was urged by queen Atossa-at the instigation of the Greek physician Democedes-to the conquest of Greece; while he himself was minded to construct a bridge which should join Asia to Lurope, and so to carry war into Scythia. ${ }^{59}$ - It seems to have been according to an Oriental idea of right, and not as a mere pretext, that he claimed to punish the Scythians for their invasion of Media in the time of Cyaxares. ${ }^{\text {³ }}$ So he contented himself, for the present, with sending spies to Greece under the guidance of Democedes, ${ }^{54}$ and with the reduction of Samos. ${ }^{\text {bs }}$
§ 12. The Scythian expedition, however, appears to have been preceded by the extension of the empire eastward from the mountains of Afghanistan-the limit reached by Cyrus-over the valley of the Indus. ${ }^{\text {se }}$ The process of this conquest is only mentioned by Herodotus incidentally, and as if its motive were geographical curiosity respecting the course of the Indus and the crocodiles which were found in no other river, save the Nile. ${ }^{[r}$ His account would seem, indeed, to imply that the Persians had already sufficient power on the banks of the Indus to effect a voyage down it in safety. The voyage was conducted by a Greek navigator, Scylax, of Caryanda, on the Carian coast, who, starting from a city called Caspatyrus sailed down the Indus to its mouth, crossed the Indian Ocean, and reached the head of the Red Sea after a voyage of thirty months. "After this voyage was completed, Darius conquered the Indians, and made use of the sea in those parts." ${ }^{\text {bs }}$ The part of Indis thus added to the empire, including the Punjab and apparently Scinde, yielded a tribute exceeding that of any other province, namely,

[^407]360 tai ents of gold-dust, ${ }^{\text {en }}$ and added a body of brave soidiers to the army. These troops from the farthest East-beyond which all wes believed to be an uninhabited desert of sand ${ }^{00}$-appeared in the army of Xerxes in their cotton dresses, with their bows of cane and arrows of cane tipped with iron, and so met the Greeks on the field of Platea. ${ }^{6 n}$
§ 13. The Scythian Expedition of Darius occopies the greater part of the Fourth Book of Herodotus, whose curious accounts of the people furnish matter rather for the disquisitions of the ethnologist than for the narrative of the historian. The great result of the expedition, in which the king and his army narrowly escaped destruction, was the gaining of a permanent footing in Europe by the conquest of Thrace and the submission of Macedonia. Enough has been said above of the ethnic character of the licythic tribes, who led a life partly agricultural, but chiefly nomad, in the great steppes of Southern Russia, beyond the Euxine and the Palus Mrotis (Sea of Azov). We have stated the alleged motive of Darius for attempting their subjugation. The idea that, while contemplating the invasion of Greece, he felt the importance of securing his communications through Thrace against inroads from beyond the Danube, seems rather far-fetched.

It was probably in b.c. 508 co that Darius, having collected a fleet of 600 ships from the Greeks of Asia, and an army of 700,000 or 800,000 men from all the nations of his empire, crossed the Hellespont by a bridge of boats, and marched to the Danube, conquering on his way the Thracians within, and the Getme beyond, the Great Balkan. The Danube was crossed by a bridge formed of the vessels of the Ionians, just above the apex of its Delta. The confusion in the geography of Herodotus makes it as difficult as it is unprofitable to trace the direction and extent of the masch, which Herodotus carrice beyond the Tanais (Don), and probably as far north as $50^{\circ}$ lat. The Scythians retreated before Darins, avoiding a pitched battle, and using every stratagem to detain the

[^408]Persians in the country till they should perish from famine. Wher Darias seemed inextricably involved, a herald arrived in his camp with a strange present from the Scythian princes-a bird, a mouse, a frog, and five arrows. The king saw in this a surrender, signified by the symbols of earth, water, the means of motion, and the weapons of war is but Gobryas, the former conspirator, gave a truer interpretation-" Unless, Persians, ye can turn into birds and fly up into the sky, or make yourselves frogs and take refuge in the fens, ye will never make escape from this land, but die pierced by our arrows." Darius saw that it was full time to use the surer means of escape supplied by his own military genius. Leaving his sick behind, with the camp-fires lighted and the asses tethered, to make the enemy believe that he was still in their front, he retreated in the night.

The pursuing Scythians missed his line of march, and came first to the place where the Ionian ships bridged the Danabe. Failing to persuade the Greek generals to break by the same act both the bridge and the yoke of Darius, ${ }^{64}$ they marched back to encounter the Persian army. But their own previous destruction of the wells led them into a different route; and Darius got safe, but with diffculty, to the Danube. In the darkness of night, the army was struck with terror at the supposed desertion of the Ionians, who had withdrawn the nearest ships, at once to prevent an attack from the Scythians and make them believe that the bridge was broken. But a certain Egyptian, who had a louder voice than any man in the world, shouted across the gap to Histiæus, the Milesian general : the bridge was.restored, and the army passed to the southern bank. ${ }^{\text {es }}$ The contrast between the adventures of Darius and Napoleon in Russia is one of the most striking parallels in history.
§14. The Hellespont was crossed by means of the fleet with which the strait had been guarded by Megabazus, or, more probably, Megabyzus; and the second opportunity was barred against a rising of the Greek colonies. Darius knew how to discern between the policy of Histiæus and the loyalty of Megabazus; for, being asked, as he broke a pomegranate, "what he would like to have in as great plenty as the seeds of the pomegranate ?" he answered, "Had I as many men like Megabazus as there are seeds here, it would please me better than to be lord of Greece." On this enterprise his mind was now set, and to prepare the way, he left Megabazus in Europe with 80,000 troops to complete the reduction of all Thrace. ${ }^{60}$

[^409]After effecting, beyond this commission, the reduction of Macedonia to a vassal kingdom, Megabazus rejoined Darius at Sardis (8.c. 506) ; and the king returned to his capital to gloss over his failure by adding to the list of his subjects, on his tomb, "the Scythians beyond the Sea." of It is very unlikely that he renounced his designs on Greece; but he seems do have enjoyed some years of repose at Susa, which was henceforth the chief capital of the Persian Empire.
§ 15. How that repose was broken by the Junian Revolt, in the first year of the fifth century B.c.-the epoch of the great struggle which transferred the dominion of the world from the despotism of the East to the free spirit of the West-is written in the pages of Greek history. From the repulse of the army of the first Darius at Marathon, ${ }^{\text {ch }}$ to the day when the victor of Issun and Arbela threw his cloak in pity over the corpse of Darius Codomannus, ${ }^{\text {a0 }}$ the chief interest of Persian history centres in her relations towards Greece. The "Persian Wars" mark the epoch when Oriental civilization had prepared the harvest which European liberty was to reap; and the great events of general history, even when acted in the East, are henceforth to be looked at from the West.
§ 16. In fact, though the Persian Empire survived the battle of Marathon for 160 years, and even dictated terms of peace to the rival Hellenic republics, ${ }^{70}$ the collision with Greece gave it its death-blow from the very hand which had founded and organized it anew. After devoting three years to collecting all the resources of his empire, in order to avenge in person the disaster of his generals at Marathon, Darius found bis enterprise interrupted by the revolt of Egypt (b.c. 487), and he died at the end of the following year (Dec. 23, b.c. 486), having, as required by the Persian law, appoigted his son Xerxes as his successor. ${ }^{71}$

[^410]

## OHAPTER XXVIIL

## THE DECLINE AND FALL OF THE PERSIAN EMPIRE.XERXES I. TO DARIUS III., в.о. 486-330.

; 1. Reign of Xerxes 1. The Greek Wars. Revolt of Babylon. Assassination of Xerxes. Continued disorders in the royal house. \$2. Usurpation of Artaranos. Acceselon of Abtaxerxes I. Lonomanes. © 3. Rebellion of Egypt mader loarus and Amyrteus. Second Athenian expedition to Egypt and Cyprus. Peace between Persia and Greece. 6 4. Revolts of Megabyzus and Zopyrus, Death of Artaxerses. Cormmisslons of Eara and Nehemlah. $\$$ 5. Short reigas of Xraxes IL. and Soaditnos. 66. Darios II. Nothus. His wife Parysatis, and his bons, Arsaces (Artaxerxes) and Cyrus. Claim of Cyrus. It. Cruelties of Parysatis. Satrapial rebellions. Tissaphernes and the Greeks. Egypt reoovers her independence. of. Accession of Artaxerxes 11. Mnemon. Expedition and desth of "Cyrus the Younger." Death of Tissaphernes. Agesilatis. Peace of Antalcidas. \$9. Revolt of Evagoras in Cyprus. War with the Cadusil. Failary of the attempt to recover Egypt. 8 10. Horrible scenes in the royal house. Death and character of Artazerzea 11. Ochus; or Artaxerxes III. His cruel nature. Murder of the royal princes. Hie ministers, Bagoas and Mentor. \$12. Rebellion of Artabazns. §13. Fallure of the first attack on Egypt. Revolts of Cyprus and Phcentcla bubdued. Fate of Sidon. 914. Final conquest of Egypt. \$ J5. Threats of invasion from Greece. Battle of Cheronea. Murders of Ochus and of Phillp. \$16. Darios IIL. Codomanndes. His character. 6 17. Puolshment of Bagoas. Alexander's conquest of the Persian Empire. $\$ 18$. Reasons for Its rapidty in the Constitution of the Persian Emplre. \$ 29. Satraps. Checks on them. Judges. $\$ 20$. Absolnte power of the King.
§ 1. Xerxes $I^{2}$ (b.o. 486-465) is said to have been averse to renewing the Greek war; but the reconquest of Egypt, which he

[^411]effected in person in his second year，and the persuasions of Mar－ donius and of the exiled Pisistratidm of Athens，led him on to the enterprise which ended at Salamis，Platra，and Mycale．＂The Greek historians differ as to whether it was before or after his return from Greece，that Xerxes provoked by hispacts of impiety a new revolt of Babylon，which was put down by Megabyzus，the son of Zopyrus，when the temple of Belus and other shrines were plundered of their most sacred objects．${ }^{\text {a }}$

While the disastrous issue of the attempt against Greece stript Persia of her European provinces，and of the strength of all the rest，and rolled back the tide of war to the shores of Asia Minor， Xerxes retired to his seraglio；and the Book of Esther furnishes an interesting picture of the domestic and political intrigues of bis court at Susa．The Jewish queen must not be confounded with Amestris，the chief wife of Xerses，whose savage and jealoun temper caused horrible scenes of licence and barbarity；till the king was murdered in his bedchamber by Artabanus，the chie！ of his guard，and the eunuch Aspamitres，his chamberlain ${ }^{4}$（8．c． 465）．He left the empire exhausted and depopulated；and from his reign began＂those internal disorders of the seraglio which made the court during more than a hundred and forty years the perpetual ecene of intrigues，assassinations，executions and conspiracies．＂s
§ 2．The conspirator Abtabanus is represented by some writera as having seized the throne and reigned for seven months；${ }^{6}$ but his rule rather seems to have been exercised in the name of Arta－ xerxes（the youngest of the three sons of Xerxes），whom he induced to murder his eldest brother Darius；the second brother，Hy－ taspes，being absent in his satrapy of Bactria．${ }^{7}$

At the end of the seven months，Artabanus and Aspamitres were both put to death by the young prince，who reigned for 40 years as Artazeries I．，and is surnamed by the Greeks＂the Long－

[^412]handed" (Maxpóxeєp), Longmanos (Dec. 7, b.c. 465, to Dec. 17, b.c. 425). ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Hystaspes, meanwhile, set up his claim to the crown, but was defeated by Artaxerxes, and Bactria submitted.
§ 3. Five years later a formidable rebellion broke out in Egypt, under Inarus, a Libyan, and Amyrtæus, an Egyptian. The satrap, Achæmenes, was killed in battle, and his army shut up in Memphis, which the Egyptians captured with the aid of an Athenian fleet, except the old citadel, called "White Wall." ${ }^{\text {" The }}$ whole levy of the empire was now called out and sent to Egypt under Megabyzus, who gained a great battle, retook Memphis, destroyed the Athenian force, and crushed the revolt, except in the marshes of the Delta, where Amyrtæus found refuge, while Inarus was carried prisoner to Persia, and there crucified (b.c. 455).

Six years later a seoond attempt of, the Athenians, under Cimon, to succour Egypt and take Cyprus, led to the twofold victory of Anaxicrates, by sea and land, at Salamis ia Cyprus; and Artaxerxes is said to have been glad to accept a peace which, while leaving him in undisturbed possession of Cyprus and Egypt, secured the independence of the Greek colonies of Asia Minor (b.c. 449). ${ }^{10}$ Whether or not this treaty was actually concluded, such was practically the state of things at the end of the first series of wars between Persia and Greece, after a duration of just half a century from the Ionian revolt in B.c. 500 .
§ 4. This event was soon followed by the revolt of Megabyzus in Syria, on the ground that his promise of life to Inarus had been violated by the king. His successful resistance, and his final reconciliation to Artaxerxes on easy terms, furnished other satraps with a dangerous precedent, which his son Zopyrus attempted to follow inoLycia and Caria towards the close of this reign; but the rebellion was frustrated by the firm loyalty of the Caunians. Artaxerxes is memorable in Jewish history as the king who gave Ezra and Nehemiah their commissions. ${ }^{\mu}$
§ 5. The intrigues of the harem, which were ever tending to the destruction of the royal house, broke out in full force on the death of Artaxerxes Longimanus. The only legitimate heir among his eighteen sons, Xerxes II., was murdered in his

[^413]drunkenness, after a reign of only forty-five days, by his nalfbrother Sogdiantis, or Secydianus. Anuther half-brother, Ochus, ${ }^{12}$ the satrap of Hyrcania, whose claim to the crown was strengthened oy his marriage with his aunt, Parysatis, the daughter of Xerxes I., declared war against the usurper, and was joined by the satraps of Egypt and Armenia and by the commander of the royal cavalry. Weeing the contest hopeless, Sogdianus surrendered, and was put to death, after a reign of six months and a half. Ochus assumed with the crown the name of Darius, to which the Greeks added the appellation of Nothus (bastard).
§ 6. Darius II. Nothus (b.c. 424-405), his wife Parysatis, and - their two sons, Artaxerxes and Cyrus, are names familiar to our earliest Greek studies, in the first words of Xenophon's 'Anabasis.' ${ }^{18}$ Artaxerxes, whose proper name, before he succeeded to the crown was Arsaces, ${ }^{14}$ was born before, but Cyrus after, his father's acceasion to the throne. The name of the younger prince seems to show the desire, which we have seen several times before in cases of irregular succession, to strengthen the reigning house by reviving the memory of the founder of the dynasty; and the claim of "royal birth" had already been urged in the case of Xerxes I ${ }^{16}$ The childhood of Cyrus, however, postponed all question of thu succession till the last illness of Darius.
\& 7. Meanwhile the king abandoned himself to the influence of three favourite eunuchs, and of his wife, who surpassed her mother Amestris in wickedness and cruelty. The reign was marked by one series of rebellions, which pushed on the empire towards its fate. The king's full brother, Arsites, whose revolt, at first successful, was frustrated by the corruption of his Greek mercenaries, capitulated, and was perfidiously put to death at the instigation of Parysatis; and Pissuthnes, the satrap of Lydia, was betrayed by the like bribery, and executed in violation of the promise of Tissaphernes, who was rewarded with the satrapy (b.c. 414). ${ }^{16}$ The policy of this crafty satrap in playing off the Greek states against each other gave the empire a new lease of life; and the revolt which broke out at its

[^414]heart, in Media, was suppressed. ${ }^{17}$ The rebellion of Terituchmes, the king's son-in-law, illustrates the horrible state of the court and the unbounded appetite of Parysatis for cruelty. ${ }^{18}$ But the greatest blow that befel Darius was the complete loss of Egypt, which regained its independence, and maintained it for another halfcentury. ${ }^{\text {l }}$
§ 8. Amidst these troubles Darius died, having for once resisted. the desire of Parysatis, that he would confer the succession on his younger son, whom he had made satrap of Lydia, Phrygia, and Cappadocia, and commander of the western. coast of Asia Minor. The elder son succeeded to the throne by the name of Artaxerxes II., surnamed in Greek Mnemon, from his retentive memory, and held it for the long period of forty-six years (b.c. 405-359). How his reign was almost cut short at its beginning by the rebellion, to which Cyrus was urged by his own ambition and the enmity of Tissaphernes, is fully related in Greek history, of which the part played by Xenophon and the "Ten Thousand" makes the campaign an essential chapter. ${ }^{20}$

The fall of Cyrus at Cunaxa gave his. coveted satrapy to Tissaphernes (b.c. 401); but the crafty satrap was at last sacrificed to the revenge of Parysatis for the death of Cyrus, just as Agesilaius seemed about to rescue the Asiatic Greeks from bondage (b.c. 396). ${ }^{21}$ The jealousy of the other Greek states towards Sparta delivered Persia from this pressing danger; but Sparta took revenge for the recal of Agesilaius and the alliance of Athens with the common enemy, by enabling Persia to dictate the disgraceful peace of Antalcidas, which restored the Greek colonies to the empire (B.0. 387). ${ }^{2}$
\& 9. The proud position in which Artaxerxes thus appeared, as the arbiter of Greece, threw a false lustre over his utter weakness wherever his authority was withstood. Evagoras, the Greek tyrant of Salamis in Cyprus, in alliance with the kings of Egypt and Caria, maintained a powerful fleet, took Tyre, and, when at last defeated and shut up in Salamis by the naval power of l'ersia, and compelled to surrender after a six years' siege, he obtained a confirmation in his government as a tributary king (b.c. 380 or 379). Tiribazus, the same general who defeated Evagoras, extricated the king from a threatened disaster in a campaign which

[^415]Artaxerxes made in person against the Cadusii, on the south shore of the Caspian.

A mighty effort to recover Egypt, with the aid of an Athenian fleet under Iphicrates, miscarried through the delays of the Persian general Pharnabazus (b.c. 375); and some years later, Tachos, king of Egypt, assumedethe offensive in Syria and Phenicia, with the aid of Agesilaiis and a fleet under Chabrias; but the rise of two pretenders called him back to defend his throne. This attempt had boen encouraged by a general rising, after various separate revolts of the satraps and native princes of Asia Minor and Phcenicia, which Persia, unable to subdue, frustrated by bribing Orontes, the satrap of Phrygia, to desert the common cause.
§ 10. To this confusion in his empire were added domestic horrors, which brought the long reign of Artaxerses to a most tragic end. His mother Parysatis, who might well have been called "she-wolf of Persia," and whose evil influence long survived her, had poisoned his first wife, Statira, whom he fondly loved. After a short banishment to Babylon, Parysatis was recalled to Susa by the weak good-natured king ; and she used her restored influence to promote his marriage with his daughter Atossa. Such incestuous connections are usually attended by fatal family intrigues. The new queen leagued with Ochus, the youngest son of Artaxerxes and Statira, to get rid of the two brothers who stood between him and the succession. Darius, the eldest, was persuaded to conspire against his father, and was executed for his treason. Ariaspes, the second, was induced to commit suicide by the suggestion that he had offended his father. A dreaded rival still remained in Arsames, the king's favourite bastard; and he was removed by assassination. His death plunged Artaxerxes into an illness, of which he died, at the age of ninety-four. ${ }^{23}$. His character is drawn as mild, affable, and kind; but his weakness hastened the dissolution of the empire: fte aggrandisement by hia policy towards the Greeks was only permitted by their disunion.
\& 11. Ocnus, who is less known by his assumed name of Artaxerxes III., presents the greatest contrast to his father, by the "cruelty and bloodthirstiness" in which "he aurpassed all the other Persian kings." ${ }^{24}$ Having added the last climax to the domestic horrors of the court by murdering all the royal princes within his reach ${ }^{28}$-a precedent so often followed by modern kings of Persia-he made a vigorous use of the power thus secured, during his reign of twenty-one years (в.c. 359-338). Much of his success must doubtless be ascribed to his able and unscrupulous minister, the eunuch Bayoas, and to the Rhodian general Mentor; but that

[^416]Ochus was a puppet in their hands is disproved by the incidental statements of the very writers who make that representation. ${ }^{26}$ Thus Bagoas is subjected to the censure of Ochus, and finds it necessary at last to remove him by assassination.
§ 12. The first important event in the reign of Ochus was the rebellion of Artabazus, the satrap of Western Asia Minor, supported first by the Athenians, and afterwards by the Thebans. The wife of Artabazus was the sister of two Rhodian brothers, Mentor and Memnon, who play a conspicuous part in the closing drama of the Persian Empire. After a long resistance to the neighbouring satraps, Artabazus and Memnon fled for refuge to Prilip, king of Macedonia, who received them in his character of the destined avenger of the invasions of Darius and Xerxes. ${ }^{27}$ Mentor found a new field for his hostility to Persia in the service of Nectanebo II., the last king of Egypt, who by the aid of Agesilails had wrested the throne from his uncle Tachos.
§ 13. Ochus had set his heart upon recovering that country to the crown of Persia. Perhaps while Asia Minor was still in revolt, he marched in person against Egypt, but was repulsed by the Greek mercenaries of Nectanebo. ${ }^{28}$. Upon this Phoenicia and Cyprus rebelled, and formed a league with Egypt; and Nectanebo sent Mentor with 4000 Greek mercenaries to the aid of Sidon, which led the Phœnician revolt. Cyprus was reduced by Idrieus, the prince of Caria, under whom Phocion, the Athenian, served with a mercenary force. ${ }^{29}$ Tennes, King of Sidon, with the aid of Mentor defeated the satraps of Syria and Cilicia; but when Ochus advancec against him with an army of 300,000 foot and 30,000 horse, th6 king turned traitor to his people without saving his own life; and the ${ }^{0}$ Sidonians, after a foretaste of the cruelty of Ochus in his butchery of some hundreds of the citizens, whom Tennes had betrayed into the Persians' hands, chose a voluntary death in the conflagration of their own city.

The ruins of Sidon were sold to a company of adventurers, who hoped to find quantities of gold and silver in the ashes; but Ochus gained a greater treasure in the transfer of Mentor's services to Persia. To Mentor's 4000 Greeks were added 6000 from the Ionian

[^417]cities, 3000 from Argos, and 1000 from Thebes. These 14,000 anxiliaries were placed under three Greek generals, and the 330,000 Asiatics under three Persians, for the conquest of Egypt. The chief command was shared between Bagoas and Mentor.
§ 14. Nectanebo's army numbered scarcely a thind of this immense force, but bis Greek auxiliaries were onesihird more than those of Ochus-20,000 out of his whole 100,000: 20,000 were Libyans, and 60,000 were native Egyptians fighting for their country. His powerful navy occupied the Nile and the canals; and these waters formed, with the vast number of fortified towns, a strong system of internal defence. But Nectanebo was no match for a general like Mentor. At once rash and timid, he lost his outer line of defence, and then fell back on Memphis. The jealousies between Egyptians and Greeks paralysed the defence of the fortified towns, which fell one after another. When the invaders approached Memphis, Nectanebo fled to Ethiopia; and thus disgracefully ended the last native dynasty of Egypt. In his triumphal progress through the country, Ochus imitated the outrages of Cambyses against the national religion; destroying the temples, carrying off the sacred books, and, according to one doubtful statement, stabbing the Apis. "The re-conquest of Egypt"Mr. Grote observes-" must have been one of the most impressive events of the age." ${ }^{10}$
§ 15. But far more impressive events were in preparation from the growing power of Philip of Macedon; nor was Persia insensible to the danger. Ochus, or the able ministers, Bagoas and Mentor, who governed in his name, sent letters of warning to the satraps of Western Asis Minor; and it appears, from a subsequent allusion, ${ }^{11}$ that a force was even despatched into Thrace to caid Cersobleptes against Philip. All speculation on the change which might have been made in the course of history, if Greece and Persia had combined against the common enemy, was set at rest by the Battle of Charonea, which was fought just after Ochus bad been poisoned by Bagoas (b.c. 338).

The minister, who had been urged to this crime by the king's unbridled cruelty, and doubtless by fear for his own safety, murdered also the other sons of Ochus except the youngest, Arses, whom he set npon the throne. But, as the young king began to feel his power, he was heard to utter threats

[^418]against the exterminator of his father's house; and Baguas murdered him, with his infant children, in the third year of his reign (B.0. 336). The vengeance due to so many crimes was already on the way, and was hastened by another murder, which seemed likely to postpone it. Philip, appointed after the battle of Chæronea general of all the Greeks for the war with Persia, had completed his preparations, and had sent over a body of troops under l'armenio to rouse the Asiatic Greeks, when he was assassinated at his daughter's wedding festival at ALgæ, shortly after the death of Arses (July, b.c. 336).
§ 16. Meanwhile Bagoas had raised to the doubly dangerous eminence of the Persian throne his friend Codomannus, who assumed the name of Darius, and is known in history as Darits III. Codomannos, the last king of Persia (b.o. 336-330). There seems no sufficient reason for the doubts thrown upon his princely birth ${ }^{34}$ and his bravery in the war against the Cadusii, when he killed a gigantic warrior in single combat, had been rewarded by Ochus with the satrapy of Armenia. But his flight from Issus and Arbela proved the lack of that higher courage which can uphold, or perish beneath, a falling cause; and his few acts of good generalship are insufficient to reverse the censure passed by Arrian on his whole military career. ${ }^{\text {sb }}$ His tall and singularly beautiful person, and his amiable disposition, befitted the hero of one of the most tragic catastrophes in the drama of man's history.
§ 17. Scarcely had his reign begun, when Bagoas was detected in another plot to remove the king he had set up; but this time the king-maker and king-slayer was compelled to drink the poison he had mixed for Codomannus. While thus ridding himself of the negrer danger, Darius trusted that fate had averted the greater by the death of Philip and the difficulties which seemed to rise up round Alexander. How soon he was undeceived, and how "the great Emathian conqueror "-like his prophetic symbol ${ }^{36}$-overran the Persian Empire from the Hellespont to the Indus, and from the Oasis of Ammon to the deserts beyond the Jaxartes; and how, in the midst of these conquests, Darius, overthrown in the two great

[^419]battles of Issus and Arbela, was murdered by the treacherous satraps who had carried him away, a prisoner bound with golden chains, into Hyrcania :-sll this is related in Greek history. The story of the Persian Empire, virtually ended at Arbela in the sutumn of b.c. 331 , closes with the pathetic scene in which Alexander threw his owf cloak over the body of Dariuc (8.c. 330).
§ 18. The marvellous rapidity with which the conqueror led his small band of warriors through the almost unresisting body of the Persian Empire-"led them as a boat cuts through the waves, or an eagle cleaves the air "-demands another explanation over and above the genius of Alexander, the disciplined valour of his phalanx, and the resistless shock of his "Companions," or the decrepitude of Persia. The organization of the empire under the first Darius-though probably the best that could have been devised for such a conglomerate of Asiatic nations-prepared for its collapse under Codomannus.
§ 19. The Persian Empire presents the chief type of that form of government which we still see in Turkey-a power whose dominions are not far from corresponding to those of the Great King west of the table-land of Iran-and in modern Persia, which answers very nearly to ancient Media and Persia Proper, with part of Iran. The many nations which dwelt from the Indus to the Ister, and from the Sea of Aral to the shores of the Greater Syrtis, retained their own languages, laws, manners, and religion. In some lands the native princes held the honour, and part of the power, of royalty. The cities of Asia Minor administered their own internal government; but the tyrants who rose to power in them were generally favourable to Persia. The old boundarien of the nations marked wut for the most part the new provinces, or Satrapies, ${ }^{87}$ as they were called from the officer who ruled each as the royal lieutenant. When the levy of the empire was called out, the soldiers of each satrapy appeared in their own national equipment. But this was only when a great effort was required: the ordinary iofence and restraint of the province were committed to garrisons of Persian and Median soldiers.

That sentiment of common nationality and religion, which makes the great majority of the subjects of "Holy Russia" look to

[^420]the Czar as a father, was unknown in such an empire as Persia, The sovereign was equally supreme and irresponsible; but it wus as the owner of the whole teritiory, and the absolute master of its inhabitants. In theory, the king delegated as much of his authority as he pleased to the satrap, whom he appointed from any nation or rank, and degtaded or put to death at his widl. A check was provided on the power of the satrap by placing the command of the forces in separate hands; while, sometimes at least, the commandants of garrisons were independent of both. The satrap, however, was often the military commander, especially in the frontier provinces.

The administration of justice, toa, was committed to officers independent of the satraps-the Royal Judges. They were appointed by the king, who called them most rigorously to account for any corruption in their office. Cambyses had one such offender put to death and flayed, and his skin made a covering for the judgment seat. ${ }^{38}$ The proverbial unchangeableness of the Medo-Persian laws must have added no small security against judicial oppression; but ingenuity could reconcile the literal adherence to this rule with its practical evasion. We have seen how the royal judges (like those of other countries in more recent times) discovered a sort of "dispensing power" to gratify the illegal desires of Cambyses: and under Xerxes, the decree for the massacre of the Jews, which could not be recalled, was nullified by another authorizing them to slay their assailants. ${ }^{3}$

In reference to one of the most important of the satrap's functions, and the one most tempting to provincial tyranny, it was some safeguard to the people that each province was assessed to $m$ regular amount of tribute, and not expected, as in the modern Persian and Turkish kingdoms, to furnish whatever the governor can extort. The satrap might indeed levy for his own use as much as his power or prudence permitted; but there was a check upon his extortion in the interest which the king had in preventing the impoverishment of the provinces.

All these checks, however, could not prevent gross abuse of the enormous power entrusted to the satraps; and there are glaring cases, not only of extortion, but even of personal outrage upon Persians of the highest rank. So long, in fact, as the province was orderly and flourishing, the tribute regularly remitted, and no suspicion of the satrap's fidelity excited by his own conduct or by the machinations of his rivals, he enjoyed the state and much

[^421]of the power of an independent sovereign. This sedms to bave been especially the case in the satrapies of Asia Minor, which, besides being remote from the capital, were involved in the restless activities of Greek politics. Here we find embassies received and sent, and alliances and wars made, not only without reference to the king, but by the different satraps taking different sides. Each enlisted his own body of Greek mercenaries, with whose aid they made war upon one another.

Such a system involved the constant danger of rebellion; and various means were taken to guard against the risk. The satrapies were assigned, as far as possible, to members of the royal family, and to nobles connected with it by marriage. Watch was kept upon the satrap by a "Royal Secretary," who reported all his proceedings to the king, and received despatches and edicts from the capital, by means of "posts on horseback, and riders on mules, camels, and young dromedaries." © Sometimes, as we have seen in the case of Orcetes, the Secretary was the organ of a royal decree for the satrap's deposition, or even his death. Xenophon tolls us that special commissioners, also, were sent every year to make enquiries into the condition of each satrapy.

Upon the whole, these precautions seem not to have been ineffective. Excluding the rebellions against the new power of Darius, and the revolts which were purely national--such as those of Babylonia and Egypt-the attempt of the younger Cyrus is almost the only case of dangerous rebellion; and this was a question of succession to the throne, not of provincial revolt. In process of time, however, some of the more distant or less accessible provinces seem to have fallen off quietly from the empire, which was certainly of less extent under the last Darius than under the firat.
§20. The position of the Grear King, as the Greeke called him, biffered in no material respect from that of an Asiatic despot at the present day, such as the Shah of modern Persia. We have already had occasion to describe the etate in which he held his court, in the spring at Susa, in the summer at Ecbatana, and in the winter at Babylon; as well as at Persepolis, which aeveral kings adorned with splendid palaces. He appears to have governed without a council, except when of his mere motion he summoned the nobles to aid him with their advice, which even then he was under no obligation to follow. If bis courtiers ventured to appeal to the unchanging laws of the Medes and Persians, the royal judges were ready to declare that the first of those laws, and one which overrode all others, was that the king might do whatever he pleased. The only effective check on his despotism was assassination, the fate of Xerxes I., Xerxes II., and Ochus.
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5 1. Ons of the smallest provinces of the Persian Empire demands our special notice, from its very ancient civilization, its extensive colonizing energy, and the vast development of its commerce, which on the one side enriched the great empires of Asis, and, on the other, carried the civilization of Asia to the shores of Europe; and, lastly, from the part played in bistory by ite great colony of Carthage. In the oldest biblical records, and in the earliest monuments of Assyria, Pecenicla appears as the seat of trade; the mythical history of Greece looks to that shore for her earlieat civilization; and, whatever may be the value of those legenda, whether Cedmus ever lived or not, the very forms of the letters in which we now write atteat the truth of the tradition that they were brought from Phoenicia.

8 2. The very position of the region determined ita relations to the continent of which it formed a part, and to the shores to whiph it looked out westward across the Mediterranean. Phœenicia is nothing more than a narrow strip of coast, partly level and partly hilly, a sort of shelf or "riviera," among the foot hills of the great cbain of Lebanon, the projecting headlands of which, with the detached islands, form some excellent harbours. The narrowness of the slip of coast, and the height of the continuous chain by which it is pent in, distinguish the coast of Phoenicia from that of Syria to the north and that of Palestine to the south.

The average width of the undulating plain between the sea and the mountains is only about a mile, increasing at Sidon to two miles, and near Tyre to five; the whole breadth of the land, inclusive of the slopes of Lebanon, nowhere exceede 20 miles, the average being about 12. It natural length, as determined by the chain of Lebanon, would be from the break between that chain and Mount Bargylus, below $35^{\circ}$ N. lat.-where the valley of Hamath (or of Emesis forms an opening to the Syrian Desert and Coele-Syria-as

Chap. XXIX. DESCRIPTION OF PHENICIA.
far south as the mouth of the Leontes and Tyre. The northern limit is usually fixed at the island of Aradus and the city of Antaradus, nearly opposite on the mainland ; the southern at the "White Cape" (Prom. Album, Ras el Abiàd), about 6 miles south of Tyre. This coast line is about 120 miles in length. Originally, however, the name of Phcenioia denoted a much smaller portion of the coast, the territory of Sidon and Tyre, from the river Bostrenus (Nahr-el-Auly), two miles north of Sidon, to the Ras el Abiad, a length of only 28 miles. It is in this sense that Josephus describes Phoenicia as "the great plain of Sidon." ${ }^{1}$ On tho other hand, the southern limit is often carried as far as Mount Carmel ; for Acco (afterwards Ptolemais, and the modern St. Jean dad Acre) was an old Phoenician settlement. Nay, the name is sometimes applied, as by Herodotus, ${ }^{2}$ to the whole, or nearly the whole, of the eastern seaboard of the Mediterranean, from the bay of Myriandrus down to Carmel at least, and perhaps to Gaza.
§ 3. This narrow region had abundant resources within itself, beIsides its advantageous position for commerce. Its varied surface is watered by the numerous streams, short but copious, which run down across it from Lebanon to the kea , and some of these have interesting associations. The largest of them is the river now called Nahr-elKasimieh or Nahr-el-Litany, and supposed to be the ancient Leontes, which drains the great valley of Coele-Syria ("Hollow Syria") between the two ranges of Lebanon, and falls into the sea north of Tyre. At the northern part of the country in like manner, though on a much smaller scale, the valley between Mounts Bargylus and Lebanon is drained by the "Great River" (Nahr-el-Kebir), the ancient Eleutherus, which falls into the large bay between Aradus and Tripolis. Of the rivers having their sources on the western slope of Lebanon, the most important is the Bostrenus (Nahr-elAuly), which watered the plain of Sidon. Proceeding to the north, across the Tamyras (Nahr-el-Damur) and the Magoras (NahrBeyrut) just beyond Berytus, we come to the Lycus (Nahr-el-Kelb), famous for the stelæe, or sculptured tablets, of Rameses II. (or, as the Greeks said, Sesastris), and of several Assyrian kings, on the face of the rocks which overhang its stream. A more poetical celebrity belongs to the stream just south of Byblus, from the legend (derived perbaps from the blood-red colour of the water in flood time) which gave the river its name of Adonis (Nahr lbrahim), and as the seat of the elemental worship of Thammuz-

[^423][^424]The last river deserving to be mentioned (for the lesser acreams and mountain torrents are innumerable) is that of Tripolis (the Nahro Kadisha, or "Holy River"), which has its chief souroe just opposite that of the Orontes on the other slope of Lebanon.

A coast road was carried across these rivers by many bridges, and over the intervening promontories by means of sigzags or, as the Greeks called thom, climaces (stairs or ladders), the most remarkable of which was the Climax Tyriorum, across the White Cape, which rises to the height of 300 feet. But in earlier times the valleys must bave been severed in a way which goes far to account for the independence of the original states among themselves. Their general freedom from war, though too weak to resist subjection, and the efforts which they repeatedly made to throw off a foreign yoke, were due in a great measure to the fact that their land lay out of the great highways trodden by the oriental armien. The military road from Egypt to the Euphrates atruck inland from the maritime plain of Palestine south of Damascus; while that which led to Hameth and the valley of the Orontes-the land of the martial Hittites--and in later ages to Antioch, passed through Coele-Syria behind Lebanon, the great rampart which severed the Phcenician coast from that constantly disputed region of Syria,
§4. Lying in the fairest part of the temperate zone, between the breezes of the Mediterranean and the heights of Lebanon, which are snow-clad for the greater part of the year, and with a surface varying from level plains, through undulating bills, to higb and rugged mountains, Phonnicia possesses a climate and productions equally remarkable for excellence and diversity. It exposure to the west gives it. a high temperature, especially on the aea-level. At Berytus (Beyrut), which lies just in the middle of the coast and at the foot of the highest peak of Lebanon, the usual summer heat is $90^{\circ}$ of Fahrenheit, the winter rarely below $50^{\circ}$.

The prevailing winds are from the west, north-west, and couthwest, bringing rain in the winter, and violent storms in October and November, from the very quarter (N.W.) to which the harbours are most exposed. The winter rains fall in November and December. In January and February, if the winter be at all severe, these rains become snow, and there is frost enough to cover the atanding waters with a thin coat of ice, but not to harden the ground. I'he winter rains are preceded and followed by lighter ahowers, the "early and latter rain" of Scripture. The former, about the end of October, prepare the soil for autumn sowing; the latter, in March, bring forward the crops, which ripen in the delightful months of April and May.

The four summer months are rainless and almost cloudleas; with. winds which follow the daily course of the sun, and a land breeze

[^425]in the eveniag on the coast and about three miles out to sea. The violent and parching east wind from the desert is felt, even across the barrier of Lebanon, from March to June, and the south wind, which blows in March, has the enervating effect of a sirocco. When the heat is excessive, a few hours' journey affords a delightful retreat in the coolness and verdure of Lebanon, with its grand and beautiful scenery. In these mountains the winter is severe from November to March; the snow usually falling heavily and lying deep. The summit of Lebanon retains the snow during the summer only in its ravines, giving the effect (as Phocas loug ago observed) of white wreaths amidst the less brilliant white of the jagged points of limestone which mask its naked ridge. ${ }^{4}$ Both these circumstances may have contributed to give the range its name of Lebanon, that is "White," the Mount Blanc of Palestine. In the higher chain of Antilibanus (which, however, is quite separate from Phœnicia), the culminating summit of Hermon, 10,000 feet high, is clad with perpetual snow. The climate is usually healthy, and the fevers, which prevail on the coast in the heat of summer, might probably be prevented. The whole region is subject to earthquakes.
§ 5. The country thus described must needs have a great abundance and variety of vegetable products. The soil is fertile, although now generally ill-cultivated. In the rich gardens and orchards about Sidon may be seen oranges, lemons, figs, almonds, plums, apricots, peaches, pomegranates, pears, and bananas, all growing luxuriantly, and forming a forest of finely-tinted foliage. The fertile lowlands bore abundant crops of corn; and the olive, vine, and figtree, were proverbial products of Phœnicia as well as of Palestine, where the inhabitant could "dip his feet in oil," and "sit under his own, vine and under his own fig-tree." The former abundance of the date-palm is attested, as some think, by the very name of Pucenicia, which is the Latin form of the Greek Phoenice (\$owiкŋ from фoivı乡); just as Brasil is named from its famous wood. ${ }^{5}$ (Comp. § 6, fin.)
§ 6. All readers are familiar with the proverbial fame of the forests which clothe the jagged sides of Lebanon and of the spurs which it throws out to form the bold headlands of the coast. "Lebanon is not sufficient to burn, nor the beasts thereof for a burnt offering." ${ }^{\circ}$ The average height of the chain of Lebanon is from 6000 to 8000

[^426]feet, and the upper line of vegetation runs along at abdut 6000 feet. The forests, which furnished timber not only for the Phœnician navy, but for the Asssyrian palaces, as well as for the temple and palacea of Solomon, consist of pine, fir, cypress, and evergreen oak, as well as the famous "cedar of Lebanon." As far as is at prosent known, the cedar of Lebaron is confined to one valley of the range, that of the Kadisha, or river of Tripoli. The grove stands quite alone in a depression, at the upper rt of the valley, about 15 mile from the sea, and 6172 feet above ita level, beyond the elevation reached by all the other trees of this mountain range. There are about $40^{\circ}$ trees, of which eleven or twelve are very large and old, fifty of middle size, and the rest younger and smaller. The older trees have each several trunke and spread themselves widely round, but most of the others are cone-like in form, and do not send out wide lateral branches. They are still regarded with as great reverence, as in ancient times, when one of them was affirmed to be as old as the creation, ${ }^{\text { }}$ or at least as the time of Abraham. ${ }^{6}$

The ravines and caverns in the rugged sides of the limestone range give shelter to many wild beasts-jackals, hyenas, wolves, bears and panthers. "The beasts, thereof," mentioned by Isaiah, must have been cattle fed upon the lower hills. Antilibanus, which is now more thinly peopled, is more abundantly stocked with wild beasls; and it was the scene of many of the hunting exploits commemorated in the Assyrian annals and sculptures.

The lower formation of sandstone contains iron ore in mufficient abundance to have been worked in some parts, when wood was more plentiful than now ; but Phoenicia appears to have obtained her metals chiefly from abroad. Such a coast, of course, supplied important fisheries; and a very probable etymology deriver the name of its oldest city, Sidon, from its being a fishing-station, like Beth-saida (the "house of fish") on the Lake of Galilee. Moat famous of all was the fishery for the murex, the mollusk which supplied the famous "Tyrian purple ${ }^{*}$ from which, indeed, some derive the very name of Phoenicia. The writings of the Assyrian kinge often mention the skins of sea-calves which they obtained from the Phoenician coast, to use as hangings and coverings in their palaces. Nor, in this connection, ought we to overlook the worship of the Fish-God, which prevailed slong this whole coast.

5 7. Whether as "the land of the date-palm," or as "the land of purple," Phenicia is known, like so many other countrice of the ancient and modern world, by a foreign appellation; an appellation which recals its primeval connection with Greece. The question of its ancient name is mixed up with another, of very great interest, concepping the origin of its population. It must be observed that

[^427]- Euveb, ' Prop. Eva' v. ${ }^{-}$
there is no thustworthy native history of Phœnicia; and, in place of such monuments as those of Egypt and Assyria, we have only a few lately discovered inscriptions. We are dependent, therefore, on the traditions preserved by the ancient writers, compared and tested by the light of comparative philology and similar methods of research. ${ }^{9}$
§ 8. The Phoeniulans, though regarded by the ancients as one nation, never formed a complete political union. From the earliest known times, each city was a separate state: though Sidon, at one period, and Tyre at another, obtained supremacy over the rest. In the ethnic table of Genesis $X$., we are told that "Canaan begat Sidon, his first-born," the oldest and long the most important of the Phœ-nician states; and among the other Canaanites, the Arkite, the Sinite, the Arvadite, the Zemarite, and the Hamathite, clearly represent the cities of Arca, Sinna, Aradus, Simyra, and Hamath (the later Epiphania) ; the last being beyond the north limit of Phoenicia. The border of the Canaanites is further defined as from Sidon (along the maritime plain) to Gerar and Gaza, and thence to the lowlands of the Jordan, at Sodom, Gomorrah, Admah,- and Zeboim, as far as Lasha ${ }^{10}$ (probably Callirroë on the river Zerka). Since Canaan is made a son of Ham ${ }^{4}$ —and that so emphatically as to be the special inheriton of the curse which marked him as the servant of Shem ${ }^{12}$ -it follows that the peoples bere named were regarded as belonging to the Hamitic race, in strong contrast with the Semitic Israelites, to whom their land was given as a possession. Thus far there is evidence of a Canaanite and Hamite population in some of the chief cities of Phœenicia; among which, observe, Tyre is not yet mentioned, nor does its name occur in Scripture till a much later age.
§ 9. As a geographical term, Canaandenotes the whole land promised to Abbrabam. It is the only name used for that land in the book of Genesis: and "the land of Canaan" occurs in an inscription of Menephtha, the Pharaoh of the Exodus. In the ethnic sense, however, the peoples of the land are sometimes included under the general name of Cancuanites; but that term sometimes denotes "a special portion of the population, joined with Hittites or Hethites, Amorites, Girgasites, Perizzites, Hivites, and Jebusites, but distinguished from them." ${ }^{13}$ It is so used in the Books of Numbers and Joshua; and it seems to be in this sense that the borders of the Canaanites are

[^428]drawn (in the passage quoted sbove) along the lorslands, corresponding with the most probable etymology of the name Canaan. Thus there were eastern and western Canaanites; the former in the low valley of the Jordan and Dead Sea, the lattor in the maritime plain, which a prophet expressly names as "Canaan, the land of the Philistines." ${ }^{14}$.

The tribes thus distinguished are all alike included, in Genesis $\mathbf{x}$. among the sons of Canaan and the race of Ham. The special application of the name of Cancuanites may be explained as a case of the very frequent retention of an old ethnic name in those parts of a country where a primitive population has held its ground. After the eastern Canaanites of the Dead Sea valley were partly destroyed in the catastrophe of the "cities of the plain," and partly displaced by successive conquests; and after those of the lower maritime plain were overpowered by the Philistines; those of the opper maritime plain, from Carmel along the foot of Lebanon, were left as the representatives of the Canaanitish race. Their land was, indeed, partly included within the bounds assigned to Isracl-another confirmation of their being regarded as Canaanites: but the tribe of Asher, to whom Acco and the territory as far as Sidon were allotted, preferred the "royal dainties" furnished by their commerce, and failed to drive them out; and so "the Asherites dwelt among the Canaanites, the inhabitants of the land." ${ }^{15}$ We can now understand the consent of all ancient testimonies to the fact that the Phoenicians called themselves, in their own tongue, by the name of Canasscres ; ${ }^{16}$ and we see that their primeval history is involved in that or the whole race of Canaan.
§ 10. In the earliest history of the chosen race, we bave distinct evidence, first, of the fact that the Canaanites were immigranta into the land of Canaan, and further of the very time when they made their entrance. When Abraham returned from his sojourn in Egypt, ${ }^{46}$ the Canaanite and the Perizzite dwelt then in the land; ${ }^{117}$ a statement which hardly need have been made, had they been long settled as its permanent inhabitants. There are allusions, both at this and later times, to the old races they had displaced, under the very names by which tradition invests primeval and extinct races with vague attributes of stature, strength, and violence.

Such were the Rephaim, a name which the Phoenicians applied to the "Manes" of the dead, ${ }^{18}$ who were overpowered in Bashan by the Amorites: the Emim, or "terrible ones," in the land afterwards possessed by Moab: ${ }^{19}$ the Zuzim, in the unknown region called

[^429]Ham; ${ }^{20}$ the ${ }^{4}$ Zamzummim, who were supplanted by the chidren of Ammon. ${ }^{21}$ West of the Jordan, the Anakim, of whom the Nephilim were a branch, held their ground against the Hittites in the southern hills, about the city of Kirjath-Arba (Hebron) till the Israelites entered the land; ${ }^{29}$ the Avim occupied the maritime plain as low as Gaza; ${ }^{23}$ and further to the south, towards Arakia Petraa, were the Kenites, Kenizzites, and Kadmonites. ${ }^{24}$ All these, with others doubtless, whom the history had no occasion to mention, seem to have been included under the general name of Rephaim; whose character as a nearly extinct aboriginal race is marked by the twofold application of the name, on the one hand to such remnants of them as survived in the south-west of Palestine, ${ }^{\text {as }}$ on the other to the spirits of the departed, who peopled Sheol, the Hebrew Hades. ${ }^{96}$ As to their race, the opinion which seems most probable is that they were a branch of the Aramæan Semites, who were spread over the highlands on both sides of the Euphrates. They were still powerful enough in the time of Abraham, to be attacked by Chedorlaomer ; ${ }^{97}$ and that this was about the time of the entrance of the Canaanites, is indicated by the mention of the foundation of Hebron (the great city of the southern Hittites), as a recent event, the date of.which could be precisely assigned. ${ }^{28}$
§ 11. The indications thus gleaned from Scripture have received a curious confirmation from Egyptian literature. "We now possess a document of undisputed authority, giving a date below which we must necessarily place the establishment of the Canaanites in Palestine. This document is an hieratic papyrus, now in the Berlin Museum, translated in great part by M. Chabas, ${ }^{29}$ containing the report of an Egyptian officer, sent during the reign of Amenembe I., of the XIIth dynasty, into the countries of Edom and Tennu, situated to the north, towards the basin of the Dead Sea, both countries being then vassal principalities of Egypt, like the kingdom of Gerar, where Abraham and Isaac resided. His mission was to examine into the state of these two countries, and also to report the situation of the neighbouring nations, with whom Egypt and her vassals were often at war. In his report there is no trace of the existence of Canaanitish tribes in Palestine. The only inhabitants of the whole country are the Sati, some remnants of whom we find mentioned during the XVIIIth dynasty, as also are the remnants of the Hephaim in the Book of Joshua. Now the Sati, on all the Egyptian

20 Ibld. $\quad 22$ Dent. if. 20.
${ }_{28}$ Numb. xill. 24, 33; Deut. 1. 28; xy. 13, 14; Joeh. xI. 21, xy. 13, 54.
${ }^{23}$ Deut. il. 23. 24 Gen, Xv. 19 ; Numb. xxiv. 21; 1 Sam, xv. 6; Xxii. 10 .
252 Sam. v. 18 ; Xxi. 18, 19 ; 1 Chron, xi. 15 ; xx. 4 ; Is. xvil. 5.
${ }^{2}$ Ps. Ixxuviiil. 10 ; Prov. ii. 18, ix. 18, xyi, 16 ; Is, xxpi. 14, 19. . 27 Gen, xiv. 5
58 Comp. Gen zlii. 18, with Numb. xiii. 22. On the connection of the foppration of Hebron with that of Zoan, see above, ch. vii. 82.

* 'Les Papyrus hiëratiques de Berlin.' Chalons, 1863
monuments where they are represented, have a perfectly characterized Semitic type. Other texts, also dated under the old empire and the twelfth dynasty, expressly state that the only neigbbours the Egyptians had at this time, on the Syriau side, were the nations of the race of the Aamu, that is Semites, whom the sons of Mizraim generally designated by this name, derived from the Semitic word, am, that is, people." ${ }^{\infty}$
§ 12. The immigration of the Canaanites being thus establisbed, we can scarcely withhold our belief from the Phœenicisn traditions of the quarter whence they came; traditions uniformly reported by the classical writers from the time of Herodotus downwards. The father of history gives both the native tradition and the Persian repetition of it. "These Phœnicians, as they themselves say, anciently dwelt upon the Erythracan Sea; and croseing over thence they inhabit the sea-coast of Syria; and this region of Syria, and the whole as far as Egypt, is called Paleatine." "t "The Persian account was that the Phoenicians, coming from the sea called Erythrs to this sea," that is, the Mediterranean, "and having settled in the country which they now occupy, immediately undertook distant voyages; and carrying cargoes, both of Egyptian and Assyrian goods, visited, among other places, Argos." ${ }^{\text {m }}$

The "Erythræan Sea" of Herodotus is not the Red Sea, which he calls the "Arabian Gulf," but the sea (into which he supposed that gulf to open much sooner than it does) which washed the shores of Arabia, Babylonia, and Persia, and of which the Persian Gulf was a part. The latter-the same quarter from which the Babylonian legends traced their earliest civilization-is more distinctly marked as the source of the migration by Strabo. He speaks of the islands of Tyre and Aradus (the Bahrein Islands), as well as of Dornall three localities in the Persian Gulf, with names found also on the Phœenician coast-as having temples similar to the Pboenicisn; and be adds, "if we may believe the inhabitants, the islands and the town of the same name in Phœnicia are their own colonies." ${ }^{2}$ Pliny and Ptolemy mention the island of Tylus ( $=$ Tyrus); and the former speaks of a Canaan in the same quarter.a Justin, following Trogas Pompeius, attempts to assign both the cause and the course of the migration :-" The Tyrian nation was founded by the Phoenicians, who, being disturbed by an earthquake, and leaving their native land, settled first of all on the Assyrian Lake "-which can hardly mean any bat the Dead Sea or the Lake of Gennesareth"and subsequently on the shore near the sea, founding there a city

[^430]which they called Sidon, from the abundance of fish; for the Phoenicians call a fish Sidon." ${ }^{35}$

The Arabian historians, and the book of 'Nabathæan Agriculture,' which belongs in its present form to the early part of our era, preserves a Babylonian tradition, that the Phœnicians were expelled in consequence of zquarrel with the Cushite monarchs of Babylon of the dynasty of Nimrod. This tradition falls in with the legends of the Talmudists about Abraham's encounters with Nimrod. We have seen more trustworthy evidence that the migration fell about. the time of Abraham; and the concurrence points to some common cause, which set in motion a migration from Mesopotamia to the shores of the Mediterranean. We cannot stay to trace the probable route by which the movement might have been effected, which is marked by a series of oases from the Lower Euphrates to Damascus, whence the road lay open to every part of Palestine.
§ 13. There is another coincidence, too interesting to be passed over. The migration of a race, which the Book of Genesis represents as comprising no less than eleven tribes, ${ }^{36}$ must have had various ramifications, as the sacred text in fact affirms, "and afterward were the families of the Canaanites spread abroad." So, while "Sidon, the firstborn of Canaan," with the other tribes that colonized Phonicia, passed on to their secure stations on the coast at the foot of Lebanon, and other tribes, settled in the hills and valleys of Palestine, it is very natural that others, mingled with the displaced inhabitants, should pass still further onward and overflow the rich land of Egypt. Arabian traditions confirm the view stated in the proper place, ${ }^{\text {st }}$ that such was the nature of the invasions of the Hyksos or Shepherds, whom Manetho expressly calls Phoenicians, that is, Canaanites. It now appears to be highly probable that, on their expulsion from Egypt, they brought with them, besides other elements of Egyptian civilization, a mode of writing, in which certain hieratic characters, adapted to their own language, formed the alphabetic system, which was soon adopted throughout Palestine, and was thence carried by Phœenician commerce to the shores of Europe.
§ 14. It appears to be very much, if not chiefly, by the test of colour, that the ethnic table of Genesis $X$. groups the children of Ham (i. e. Cham, "the swarthy"). By this test, the Canaanites of Palestine and Phomicia, with the Syro-phcenicians and other dark Syrians further north, would be distinguished, on the one hand, from the lighter immigrants of the Hebrew race from Upper Mesopotamia, and, on the other, from the "White Syrians" of

[^431]Cappadocia And this distinction confirms their migration from the native land of a dark race, such as Lower Mesopotamia." This helps to explain, what seems at first sight an anomaly, that the Phcenicians, whose language was indubitably Semitic, are classed as a Hamite race. We bave seen; from the first, how difficult it is to draw any perfectly clear distinction between therHamites and the Shemites; and the position of Canaan, as Ham's youngest son, in the ethnic table, seems to imply that the Canaanites were on the border-line of affinity between the races.

That the Phoenician language was distinctly Semitio is abundantly proved by its remaining fragments and proper names, both in Phcenicia and the colonies, especially Carthaga. To nay that it had a near affinity with the Hebrew, is understating the case; for the two differed merely es dislects. In fact, the Hebrew immigrants from Mesopotamio, being at first but a wandering family among the surrounding Canaanites, adopted the language of their new country in place of their own Syriac tongue; and their speech is called the "language of Canaan." The most recent discoveries have elearly shown that the language of the Cushite (i.e. Hamite) races of Babylonia and southern Arabia was also Semitic. Indeed the tendency of enquiry is to replace the linguistic name Semitic by Hamitic, in very many cases.

The story of Sanchoniathon, that the Phomicians were autochthons, whose race was deduced from Chaos, through s succession of gods, to Chra, the first Phœenician, is of course a baselese assumption of national pride. "As the entire progress of society is, according to this account, included in the history of a single country, it is evident that the whole is fictitious, like the fables of the Greeks, who refer all art and science to their own progenitorn." ${ }^{40}$
§ 15. Equally fictitious is the claim of Tyre to a very high antiquity, and to the title of "Mother of the Phoenicians."4 The Tyrian priesta of Hercules (Meloarth) told Herodotus that the temple and city had then existed 2300 years, which would carry back their building to about 2750 b.o. Some modern writers see in the close approximation to the time of the Third (Chaldman)

[^432]Dynasty of Berosus another mark of the traditional date of the great Phoenician migration, with which the city that was ultimately supreme would naturally claim to be coeval. To such a claim the' want of any monumental or other historical evidence is fatal.: There is no sign that it was sustained by the Phoenician annals, which are quoted by Josephus, Eusebius, and others. Tyre is not: mentioned in. Scripture till the entrance of the Israelites into Canaan; ${ }^{42}$ nor does the name occur in Homer, though he speaks of the Phœenicians in general, and the Sidonians in particular, and calls Phonicia Sidonia; ${ }^{43}$ and the older and higher authority of Scripture uses "Sidonians" and " all the Sidonians" for the Phoenicians in general. ${ }^{44}$

There can, in fact, be little doubt that this name truly represents the original Phœenicia as the territory of Sidon, its most ancient city. As such we have seen Sidon named in the ethnic table, as the firstborn of Canaan, and it appears again in Genesis in the dying blessing of Jacob, as already famous for its maritime enterprise :-" Zebulun shall dwell at the haven of the sea; and he shall be for an haven of ships; and his border shall be unto Zidon." ${ }^{45}$ The maritime importance here promised depends wholly on the proximity of Sidon; for the Jews were never great sailors, nor did Asher, to whom this coast was assigned, ever conquer his inheritance in Phœnicia. On the contrary, the. Phœnicians planted their colony of Dora above 10 miles $S$. of Carmel ; and the account which an old historian gives of its growth may stand for the supposed origin of the Phœnician cities in general. "The rocky nature of the coast, which abounded with the purple-fish, brought the Phoenicians together here. They built themselves huts, which they surrounded with a fosse, and, as their industry prospered, they hewed stones from the rock, surrounded themselves with a wal, and made their harbour safe and commodious." ${ }^{48}$ Doubtless this description is more from imagination than from knowledge; but the very name of Sidon makes it probable that fishing industry preceded the commerce which is the first phase of her known history. ${ }^{47}$ It is, however, worthy of notice that in Homer there is a constant distinction between the beautiful works in metal and embroidery from Sidon, and the Phoenician commerce which brought them to

[^433]Greece and Troy, as if that commerce had then its seat at other cities. In the books of Joshea and Judges, Sidon has the epithet of "Great" or "The Capital" (Tsidon-Rabbah). It stood in $33^{\circ}$ 34' N. lat., 2 miles S. of the Bostrenus, in the most fertile plain of Phoenicia, which is prolonged 8 miles southward to Sarepta (O. T. Zarephath). The pity was built on the N.W. slope of a small promontory, and had a harbour formed by three low ridges of rock, on which massive substructions are still seen.
816. The settlements of "the sons of Canaan," mentioned in the ethnic table of Genesis in connection with Sidon, lie at and near the northern part of the Phoenician coast, and some of them beyond the proper limits of Phœenicia. They are the Arkite, Sinite, Arvadite, Zemarite, and Hamathite. ${ }^{\text {as }}$

Abca (now Tel-Arka), also called "Arcs in Lebanon"40 stood about 12 miles N. of Tripoli and 2 or $2 \frac{1}{2}$ hours from the shore, on the summit of a northern spur of Lebanon, which here sinks abruptly to the valley of the Eleutherus. As the birthplace of Alexander Severus, it obtained the name of Ccesarea Libani; and it was famous in the crusading wars. Its inland site seems to have caused the Arkite capital to be transferred to Onthosia, as the Greeks called the port which appears in Assyrian documents by the name of Simron.

The Sinites, also, had their original cities in the mountain, namely Sinna, and Aphek (Afka), ${ }^{\text {so }}$ the chief sanctuary of Ashtoreth. Their capital, however, was the great sea-port of Gebal, the Byblus of the Greek writers (now Jebell), north of the river Adonis. ${ }^{51}$ This was one of the most ancient religious cities of Phoenicia; the ourial-place of Adonis, and the seat of his mysteries. The Giblites, ${ }^{58}$ or Byblians, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ were famous artificers, and aided in preparing the trees and stone-work for the temple of Solomon. They founded the great city of Berytus, i, e., "wells" or "cisterns" (now Beyrút), south of the Lycus, on the border of the Sidonians.
The other three peoples of this group had their abodes north of the Eleutherus ; and they seem in the oldest times to have been connected, politically, rather with Syria than with Phoenicia. Accordingly the Arvadite and Zemarite appear with the Hittites of the Orontes (on which Hamath stood), in the great wars of the Pharaohs of the XVIIIth and XIXth dynasties, whose monuments make no mention of Sidon among the confederates. Abadis was in later times a member of the Phonician league, its king being a

[^434]vassin of the King of Sidon. The town occupied the whole islond of Aradus (Ruad), lying in the same latitude as Citium, the southern point of Cyprus. It was surrounded by a wall, serving also as a dyke, in the remains of which are stones of 5 and 6 yards in letigth. It possessed on the mainland the two towns of Antsradus (Tartus) with the necropolis of the island city, and Marathus (Amrit) the site of some important monuments of Phœnician architecture. Very near to them, and further imland, was Simyra (Shumra), the cbief city of the Zemarites, who appear never to have joined the Phœnician league.

Last named, because at the extreme north of the Canaanite settlements, was Hamati, the Epiphania of the Greeks, which still retains the name of Hamah, and has a population of between forty and fifty thousand. Lying in the valley of the Orontes, at the junction of all the routes from Antioch, Phœenicia, and Cole-Syria, on the one side, and to Damascus, Palmyra, Northern Syria, and Mesopotamia, on the other, Hamath was a great centre of the commerce of Phoenicia with Syria, Assyria, and Babylonia. Its situation gave it the command of the valley of the Orontes, from the defile of Daphne below Antioch to the watershed between it and the Leontes. This valley, which includes the northern half of ColeSyria, appears to have formed the region and (usually) the kingdom of Hamath; and the watershed formed "the entrance of Hamath," ${ }^{54}$ which was the northern limit of the promised land.

The political connections of Hamath appear always to have been with Syria rather than with Phcenicia; and the Hamathites formed a part of the Hittite confederacy, with which the great Theban Pharaohs made war. In the time of David it was the seat of an independent kingdom, ${ }^{35}$ which sought Darid's protection against the King of Zobah. It was included in the kingdom of Solomon, and its commercial importance, especially for the traffic by way of Palmyra, is attested by his foundation of " Iadmor in the wilderness, and all the store-cities which he built in Hamath." ${ }^{56}$ On the disruption of Israel, Hamath seems to have regained its independence. In the Assyrian inscriptions of the time of Abab (s.c. 900), it appears as a separate power in alliance with the Syrians of Damascus, the Hittites, and the Phomicians. About three-quarters of a century later, Jeroboam II. recovered Hamath; ${ }^{07}$ he seems to have dismantled the place, whence the prophet Amos couples "Hamath the Great" with Gath, as an instance of desolation. ${ }^{58}$ Its importance ceased with its conquest by Sargon, who transplanted its inhabitants to Samaria. ${ }^{59}$ The city received

[^435]the Greek name of Epiphania from Antiochus Epiphahes. I'hese notices of the Syrian states bordering Phoenicia on the north are important in themselves, and serve to define the limits of Phoenicia.

It remains to speak of the city which ultimately acquired the supremacy. Trrop is the Greek and Latin form ef the Phoenician and Hebrew Tsur, or Tzôr (that is, "a rock"), now softened into Sur. ${ }^{60}$ The general opinion of the ancients made Tyre a colony of Sidon: ${ }^{\text {al }}$ and it certainly lies within the original territory of Sidon. It 18 worthy of notice that, in Scripture, the Tyrians are sometimea called Zidonians, but the Zidonians are never called Tyrians. . The usual mention of "Tyre and Sidon," in that order, belongs to a time when the greater importance of the former was established; and it is reversed at the period of Sidon's supremacy under the Persians. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Tyre is first mentioned in Scripture as "the strong city Tyre; ${ }^{p e}$ and its position made it one of the strongest in the world. The "rock," from which it had its name, was an island about half a mile from the shore and nearly a mile in length, in lat. $33^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$., just 20 miles south of Sidon. On the shore of the mainland, about 30 stadia ( 3 geographical miles) to the south, there stood in Greek times a city called "Old Tyre" (Palætyrus); and this name has caused a profitless dispute about the relative antiquity of the two cities. As early as the time of Rameses Ll., we find a clear notice both of the island city of Tyre, and of Sarra on the mainland, a little further to the south. ${ }^{\circ}$

It is impossible to decide whether the island was first occupied as the citadel and docks of an earlier settlement on the coast ; but it is quite clear, from the scriptural allusions and from other evidense, that the island city was the Tyre of the flourishing period down to Alexander-the "rock," to which we find none to answer on the mainland site. Its narrow space would, of course, be insufficient when its population was greatest, and "Old 'Iyre," whether existing previously or not, would be occupied as a suburb, like Antaradus and Marathus in relation to Aradus. What more has to be said of Tyre will appear in the course of its history.

- The 8 h also ween In obe of the forms adopted by latin writera, slara or Barro (Plant 'Truc.' LL .6 , 58 ; Virg. 'Georg.' 11. 606); and probably in the name Syria, the land being named from its commercial eapilat. The form with the $\mathbf{T}$ comes frum, or at least agrees with, the Aramete Tura. The form Barra to also found in an Eikyptina document of the age of Rameses LL., at the name of the efty on the mainland, the Pakctyrus of the Greeta. (See below, 9 17.)
an Surabo, pp 40, 76e; Juote Evili 3: Virgll more than once ealis the Tyrian colony of Carthage "Sidionian." The cuaster clalm is made on a coin of the Ume of Antiochus Fiptphanes, on which Tyre calle berself "Mother of the Bidontans." (Oewn. "Mon Pboan.' L 28r-. Kenrick, 'Pheenicia,' p. 68.) a Expa Itic 7.


The last founded of the great Phoenician cities was Tripous (Tripoli or Tarabulus), the name of which points to its origin. It was not only a common foundation of the three cities of Tyre, Sidon, and Aradus; but the respective colonies formed three distinct quarters (which the old geographer calls cities), at distances of a stadium ( 600 Greek feet), each having its own wall, though united in a common government. The city occupied \& splendid site, on apromontory about half a mile broad, jutting out about a mile into the sea, in $34^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. latitude. The harbour is sheltered from the violent north-west winds by a chain of seven small islands, extending 10 miles out to sea. The city stood on what is now called the "Holy River" (El Kadisha), in one of whose upper valleys are the famous cedars. Among its remains is an aqueduct, which brings down the water from Lebanon.

To sum up. The chief cities of Phoenicia, in their order from north to south, were these ten : Aradus, Simyra, Orthosia, Tripolis, Gebal or Byblus, Berytus, Sidon, Sarepta, Tyre, and Acco (afterwards Ptolemais). Their varying relations to each other, as members of the Phoenician confederacy, will appear from the ensuing history.
'§17. The whole history of the Phœenicians may be divided, speaking generally, into the periods of Sidonian and Tyrian supremacy. The traditions already noticed seem to place their first settlements on the Syrian coast about the age of Abraham and the Shepherd Kings of Egypt. ${ }^{\text {e5 }}$. Their condition under the domination of the great Theban kings confirms the statement of Herodotus, that they soon began to apply themselves to distant voyages. The conquests of the XVIIIth and XIXth dynasties in Syria and NortHern Phœenicia are attested both by their inscriptions and by the stelce set up by Rameses II. at the Nahr-el-Kelb, and at Adlun, near Tyre. In these records the Sidonians never appear as enemies, but they seem to have purchased peace by placing their maritime enterprise and manufacturing industry at the service of the Pharaohs. The tributes, the arts, and the riches of Phoenicia are often mentioned in the hieroglypbic inscriptions of this age.

We possess a more particular account of Phœenicia under the great Rameses, and consequently in the age before the Exodus. A papyrus in the British Museum contains the description of an imaginary journey made into Syria by an Egyptian functionary, at the end of the reign of Rameses II., after the conclusion of the flnal peace with the Hittites. ${ }^{68}$ Though only a work of fiction, it gives us an idea of the state of the country at the period when it was

[^436]written, and on this account is of great historical interest. The hero is supposed to have been in the country of the Hittites, and to have travelled at far as Helbon, the present Aleppo. On his return, before entering Palestine, which he does by way of Hazor, and where he describes the Canaanitish cities, be is supposed to pass through Phœenicia. The narrative describes him ascfirst stopping at Gebal: he records the religious importance of the city, and the mysteriss celebrated there; he then visits Berytus, Sidon, Sarepta, and Avatha (Adlun). He is then supposed to arrive at "Trare the maritime," and describes it as a little toun situated on a rock in the midst of the waves. "They carry water there in boats," says he, "and it is very rich in fish." Close to Tyre, a little further south on the mainland, the Egyptian traveller arrives at Seraa, the Sarra of classical geographers, and his account contains a pur on the name of Seraa (in the Phoenician language, "the wasp"); he speaks of the bad lodgings found there, and adds "the sting is very sharp." After traversing this part of the country, he visits Caïcna (now Um-el-Awamid), then Achzib, where he quits the mea-coast, and enters the mountain region to reach Hazor. The traveller has been on Egyptian ground all this time, travelling with as much freedom and security as if hé had been in the Nile valley, and even, by virtue of his functions, exercising some authority.
"From these statements," observes M. Lenormant, " it seems to us clearly proved that, from the date of the establishment of Egyptian dominion in Syria, the Sidonians and the Sinites of Gebal had completely separated their interests from those of the other Canaanite nations, and pursued quite a different line of action. Instead of seeking to recover a full independence, they became perfectly submissive to the Pharaonic supremacy, and remained faithful to Egypt under all circumstances. Doubtless the kings of Egypt, whose people were neither merchants nor seamen, needed and used the services of the Phonicians, and thercfore treated them with more favour than other nations of the sams race, and granted them great privileges in order to secure their fidelity. They themselves, with true mercantile spirit, preferred to reap the material advantages arising from the protection of a great empire, rather than to indulge their pride by an empty assertion of independence, with its contingent disadvantagee and dangers from foreign invasion. . . . Trade flourished and was profitable; and, contented with this result, the Pbernicians submitted to 2 state of vassalage with scarcely any opposition, provided always that the foreign suzerain did not interfere with their local selfgovernment, and permitted them to preserve their own laws, and their own traditional worship, manners, and customs." It is just at this periof ${ }^{\prime}$ f the subjection of Phoenicia to Egypt, that we find the
latter powefful at sea, under Thothmes III. and other Phsraohs; and the inference is highly probable, that this maritime power rested, as in later times, on the command of the Phonician fleet. ${ }^{\text {.r }}$
§ 18. The policy of Egypt towards her subject-states made her suzerainty quite compatible with the existence of a native dynasty of Sidonian kings, who themselves exercised sovereignty over the other Phoenician cities, except Gebal, which had its own kings. The highest commercial prosperity of Sidon belongs to this very period of the supremacy of the Pharaohs. She carried on trade in the eastern part of the Mediterranean, the Archipelago, and the Black Sea, where no rival navy as yet existed.

During this period the Sidonians seem to have planted colonies at Citium in Cyprus, at Itatum in Crete, and along the southern shores of Asia Minor, where we have seen that a large part of the Semitic population claimed a Phœenician origin. ${ }^{68}$ In the south of the Ægean, they formed naval stations at Rhodes, Thera, and Cythera; and the famous worship of Aphrodite in the latter island, as in Crete, was at first that of the Phœenician Ashtoreth. In the Cyclades, they may be traced at Antiparus, Ius, and Syrus : and to them is ascribed the first working of the silver mines of Siphnus and Cimolus, and of the gold mines of Thasos, where Herodotus saw the remains of their immense works. 9 They also visited the neighbouring shores of Thrace, and bartered with the natives for the gold of Mount Pangæus. Entering the Euxine, they obtained the gold washed down by the rivers of Colchis; the tin of the Caucasus, which all the nations of that age required for their bronze implements, weapons, and armour ; ${ }^{70}$ iron from the mines worked by the Chalybes, and, it seems, steel also; besides lead and silver. For these and other products of their voyages, which extended as far west along the shores of Europe as Epirus, southern Italy, and Sicily, they found markets on their own coast-whence caravans traded with Syria and the region beyond the Euphrates, -and aiso in Egypt.

Along the northern coast of Lybia, they pursued their voyages as far as the shore about Cape Bon (the Africa Proper of later times); and there they founded the famous colony of Hippo (that is, "a walled city"), and Cambe, on the site afterwards occupied by Carthage. Berytus shared with Sidon in this colonizing work; but Gebal founded its own settlements, some of which were perhaps earlier than those of Sidon, as Paphos in Cyprus, and Melos in the Kgean.

[^437]§ 19. The attacks from the sea, which we have seen made from the north and west upon Egypt and the Syrian coast, under Rameses 11. and his successors, seem to imply a decline of the maritime power of Sidon about the 14th century b.c. It appears to have been about this time that the Pelasgo-'Tyrrhenians began to acquire their naval supremacy in the Mediterranean, while comperce was assailed by that piracy which is one of the earliest Greek traditions. ${ }^{\text {² }}$ The same revolution may be implied in the two fables of the Argonautio expedition to Colchis, and of Greek voyages to the lake Triton, at the bottom of the Great Syrtis-the very shores which formed the north-eastern and south-western limits of Phœenician commerce.

To the same region of fable-at least so far as our present knowledge extends-we must leave the settlements asid to have been formed on the shores of Greece and Africa by the redundant population of Canaan, which, displaced by the Israelitish conquest, found a temporary and insufficient refuge on the Phoenician coast, and thence overflowed in a new wave of colonization. ${ }^{72}$ But, obscure as are the causes, we know, as certain facts, that letters were carried from Phoenicia into Greece, and that Phoenician colonies were thickly planted on the shores of Zeugitana and Byzacium, long before the foundation of Carthage.

For the rest, it is quite clear that the conquest of Canaan by the Israelites atopped at the Phoenician border; and that its only direct effect was the more complete isolation of Phoenicis from the country beyond Lebayon. So far from being subdued by the Israelites, the Sidonians are named among their oppressors; ${ }^{\text {r3 }}$ but their generally peaceful policy, the fruit of commercial prosperity, $i_{s}$ indicated by the mention of the men of Laish, "how they dwelt careless, after the manner of the Zidonians, quiet and secure." ${ }^{84}$ About the sagme

[^438]tume, the southern part of the maritime region was occupied by a new and large settlement of the Philistines, who in about a century grew strong enough to impose their yoke upon the Israelites, and not only to deprive the Phonicians of much of the land traffic with Egypt, Assyria, and Arabia, of which Azotus and Gaza became great emporia, but even to vie with them at sea. According to a tradition preserved by Justin, the Philistines, ullder the leadership of Ascalon, sent a.fleet against Sidon, which was taken by storm and razed to the ground, about the end of the 13th century B.c. ${ }^{78}$ It is added that the inhabitants of Sidon withdrew to Tyre, to which city the supremacy was now transferred. The Philistines did not pursue their success, and the Sidonians recovered from the blow' ; and henceforth the names of Tyre and Sidon constantly appear together in the history of Phœnicia. Under the supremacy of Tyre, the people were still called Sidonian ; and on inscriptions, referred to this early period, the King of Tyre styles himself "King of the Sidonians," while "the King of Sidon" is his vassal." ${ }^{76}$
§20. For the century and a half down to the distinct appearance of Tyre in history as a powerful kingdom, in alliance with David and Solomon, we have only fragmentary traditions of the state of Phonicia. The isolation in which the people were left by the conquests of the Israelites and the Philistines, on the south, and of the Aramran Syrians on the north and east, appears to have caused them to unite in a league of common defence, which embraced the cities from Simyra to Acco. Each town preserved its ancient form of government, which was a monarchy, controlled by general assemblies of the wealthiest and most influential citizens,' and by councils of priests and magistrates, who were on an equality with the king in all public cercmonies. The institutions of Gebal (Byblus) were considered the most perfect type of these govern-ments,-partly monarchical, but pre-erninently aristocratic. The kings of the various cities were all subject to the King of Tyre as their suzerain. He decided all business respecting the general interests of Phcenicia, its commerce, and its colonies. He concluded treaties with foreign states, and disposed of the military and naval forces of the confederation. He was assisted by deputies from the other towns; and the annual embassies to the temple of Melcarth henceforth assumed a political character.

The Arvadites alone remained isolated. Doubtless they were in close alliance with the other Phœenicians, and shared in their commerce and their maritime expeditions; but there are reasons to

[^439]believe that they were not subject to the authority of the kings of Tyre. They served as sailors on board the ships of Tyre, whose population was inadequate to man her fleets, and as soldiers in her armies, which were composed entirely of mercenaries. A body of Arvadites formed the garrison of Tyre itself. The other recruits were drawn chiefly from the Liby-Phœenicians and other Africans. There wero also in her service hardy mountaineers from Persis; Lydians, whether from Asia Minor, or a branch of the people from the Armenian highlands; and Ethiopians, obtained probably through her commerce with Egypt. 7

This was also the period in which Tyre began her more distant voyages to the West, for the Carians and Tyrrhenians held the supremacy in the seas of Asia Minor, Greece, and Italy. From Utica, the chief of their new settlements on the African coast, ${ }^{70}$ they proceeded westward along the coasts of Numidia and Mauretania (Algeria and Marocco); till, as their traditions say, after twice failing in the attempt to pass beyond the Straits, they founded the famous colony of Gades (Cadiz-in Phœenician, Gadir-" a fortified enclosure"), few years after Utica. This was the great emporium for their commerce with the south of Spain, the Tarshish of Scripture, where they obtained the gold, silver, iron, lead, copper, tin, and cinnabar of the Andalusian mines, besides honey, wax, and pitch. "Tarshish was thy merchant by reason of the multitude of riches ; with silver, iron, tin, and lead they traded in thy fairs." ${ }^{70}$ Besides Gades, they founded Calpe and Carteia (Gibraltar and Algesiras) on the Straits, and numerous settlements on the southern coast of Spain, of which Malaca (Malaga) and Abdera were the chief. These remote colonies were connected with the mothercountry by the midway station of Melita (Malta), with Gaplos (Gozo), where are found the only remains of Phenician temples. In Sardinia a splendid harbour invited them to found Caralis (Cagliari); and at Nora (near Pula), which bore the name of an old city in Phoenicia, ${ }^{\text {er }}$ Phoenician inscriptions have been found. They established commercial factories on the coast of Sicily, which were connected with Africa by a station on tho little island of Cossyra (Pantellaria). It will be seen that these settlements commandod the whole shores of the Western Mediterranean, except the great vay between Spain and Italy, of which the Tyrrhenians were masters: The naval power of the latter was not broken till both Carthage and the Sicilian Greeka were strong enough to encounter them with success.

[^440]

CHAPTER XXX.

## THE HISTORY OF PHEENICIA

Part II--from the age of david and hiram to the
taking of tyre by alexander.
ABOUR B.C. 1050 to b.c. 332.
\$1. Tras as a powerful kingdom. Menander's list of kings, i2. Alliance of Hiray with David and Solomon, based on common interests. Palestine the pranart of Phoenicia Their friendship permanent Hiram not a vassal. 1 3. Tyrian mannfactures Great works of Hiram at Tyre. His correspondence with Solomon. Their jolnt maritime adventures. 94. Period of internal troubles in Phoenlcia and Israel ending with Ergraaz, and Omit. Jezebel married to Ahab. 65. New dynastic troubles. Prgmalion and Elisa (Dildo). Democratic revolution and aristocratic secesston. Foundation of Cabteagr 6 6. Supremacy of the Old Assyrian Monarchy in Phoenicia. Tiglath-pileser 1. Asshur-nasir-pal. Phoenictan weights, evc, found at Nimartu. Shalmaneser II. Lva-lush IV. Phcanician supremacy of the seas $\$ 7$. New Assyrias Monarchy. Phcenicia submits to Tiglath-pileser II. Loes of Sicily

1 This Vignette, thongh not a Phosnician subject, is introduced bere to exhibit a city of the greatest fimportance in the ancient history of the East, and often mentioned in the preoeding magea.
exoept three stations. Elulena, Fing of Tyre, recovers Citumm in Cyprus. Sargon'e fruitiea alege of Tyre. Naval victory of Einlems. 8, kind of the Tyrian oupremacy. Loss of Thasos and its gold-mines. Sargon conquers Cyprus 00. Revolt of Phoentia, and reconquest by Sennacherlb. His stela at the Nahr-ab-Kelb. Revoll of Sidon: ith capture hy Eisar-haddon. ©10. Revolt In concert with Tirbakeh; suppressed by Asebur-bani-pal. Reaistance and capture of Araitum Recovery of sue Egyptian supremacy by Necon Service of the I'hoeniclant in Lis fleet. 81 it . Victory of Nebuchadnezsar. Tyre and her resouroes as described by Reckiel 19. Enmity of Tyre to GJernalem. Slege of Tyre by Nebudiadnearar. Ite reoult donbtful. A vassal king set up. Actact of Pharaoh Hophre on Phonicta, His uaval victory and plander of the cities; but no conquent 913 . Inseription of Esmonazaz, King of Sidon. Supremacy of Sidon from this time. © 14. Polltical troubles at Tyre Government of Judgen and Piteata. Royalty reshored 4 is . The Phoeniclans submit to Perala. Their fleet werves nuder Cambyses. Hecovery of prosperity. Yavour shown to Sidon. The Sldonian In the fleet of Xerzen I 16. Trre taken by Evagorse. Revolt of Cyprus and Phoentids. Destraction of sudon by Ochus 0 17. Alexander the Great in Phoenicta. His capture of Tyre, O 18. Stale of Pbcenticla under the Seleucidea and Romans. Final capture of Tyro by the Saracena. \$19. Her subsequent desolation and present state. Prevent alate of Sidon its mepulchral remains. Other atiea of Phoenicis: Tripoll, Reyrut, and Acre. $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 .}$ The bistory of Carthage belonge to that of Rome. Her fall dectdes the ountict between Eastarn and Weatern civilisation
81. Tyar first appears distinctly on the page of recorded history, as a powerful kingdom, at the epoch of the great Jewish monarchy under David, in the middle of the eleventh century B.c.; end, from the same period, Menander of Ephesus, in a fragment preserved by Josephus, traces the succession of the kings of Tyre for about 200 years as follows :-

1. Abibalal: from about b.c. 1050.
2. Hiram, his son: from about b.o. 1025 ; reigned 34 years.
3. Baleazar, his bon: $\quad 991$ n 7
4. Abdabtarjus, his son: $n \quad 984$ to 975 ; murdered by a conspiracy.
5. One of the Conspirators reigned about b.0. 975-963.
6. Astartus: reigned about b.o. 963 to 951.
7. Ascaymos (his brother): about b.c. 951 to 042 ; murdered by his brother,
8. Phales, who reigned only 8 months, and was murdered by the priest of Astarte.
9. Ethball or Itrobalub: b.c. 941-909, whobe daughter Jezebed was the wife of $A h a b$; and in whose reign there was a great drought.
i0. Badezon, his aon : about b.c. 909 to 903.
10. Mataen, his son: " 903 to 871.
11. Prgualion, his son : about b.c. 871 to 824 ; was the brother of Elisa or Dido. Carthage founded.
[^441]This list, compiled from unknown sources, is of course only to be trusted when its statements are confirmed by other authorities; but its agreoment with these, as in the cases of Hiram and Ethbeal, gives a certain degree of probability to the whole. The legendary use made of Pygmalion and Dido no more makes them mere mythical persotages than it makes Carthage a merely mythical place; nor must it be forgotten that Virgil was a learned antiquary. Meanwhile it remains to gather up what is known to be historical.
§ 2. In the first historical mention of Tyre as a kingdom, we find Hiram in close alliance with David, to whom the King of Tyre sent cedar-trees and carpenters and masons to build his palace. It is emphatically stated that "Hiram was ever a lover of David." 4 This alliance, the perpetuation of which under Solomon is familiar to us from the Scripture history, was based on the natural principle of common interests and common dangers.

The Philistines on the south and the Syrians on the east were the enemies alike of Israel and Phonicia, and both countries were protected by the conquests of David. While the Jewish kings enjoyed the fruits of Phoenician commerce, the ventures of which were shared by Solomon from his ports on the Red Sea, Phoenicia depended on the agricultural wealth of Palestine, alike in the time when Solomon fed the servants of Hiram at their work in Lebanon, ${ }^{5}$ and when Herod Agrippa could bring "them of Tyre and Sidon" to their senses "because their country was nourished by the king's country." ${ }^{6}$ In the prophet's invaluable picture of the sources of Tyrian wealth we read, "Judah and the land of Israel . . . they traded in thy market wheat of Minnith and pannag (either some ceyeal or some aromatic product), and honey, and oil, and balm."7 The value of Palestine to Tyre as a wheat country was greatly enhanced by its proximity, as there was scarcely a part of the kingdom of Israel, west of Jordan, which was distant more thar a hundred miles from that great commercial city. The fact that Palestine was the granary of Phœnicia helps to account for the peace between the two countries, of which there is no recorded interruption, notwithstanding Hiram's anger at Solomon's ingratitude, ${ }^{8}$ and the provocation given to Ethbaal by the slaughter of his daughter's Phonician priests at Carmel, almost on his own frontier. ${ }^{\text {g }}$ It was, indeed, affirmed, in the "Jewish history" of Eupolemus, that David defeated Hiram in war, and reduced him to a tributary

[^442]condition ; ${ }^{20}$ and this might seem confirmed by the statement, that the pfficers who numbered the people came" about to Zidon and to the stronghold of Tyre." But it is quite clear that Sidon and T'yre, though included in the promised and allotted land of Israel, were never subdued; nor are the relations of Hiram to Solomon those of a vassal. Their alliance is made as betriveen equals, and Hiram does not hesitate to stigmatise the cities given him by Solomon as Cabul ("dirt")."

5 3. In the aid rendered by Hiram to Solomon, Tyre appearsas the seat, not only of commerce, but of manufacturing art, eapecially for works in metal, for which the Sidonians are equally conspicuous in the Homeric poems. ${ }^{18}$ In the fragments of the Phoenician historians, the reign of Hiram is represented as the great epoch when Tyre reached the climax of her power, and was etrengthened and adorned anew. He is said to have quelled in person a revolt of Citium, in Cyprus. He undertook great works at Tyre in the beginning of his reign, and entirely altered the sppearance of the city. ${ }^{14}$ He rebuilt, with unexampled splendour, the great temple of Melcarth and the adjacent temple of Ashtoreth. The little arm of the sea, which had hitherto separated the sacred islet of Melcarth from insular Tyre itself, was filled up, so as to form one island; the extent of which was more than doubled southwards by the formation of an artificial embankment, on which was built a new quarter of the city, called by the Greeks Eurychoron, "the spacious." Insular Tyre, thas transformed, was protected on all sides by dyken, and surrounded by a strongly fortified enclosure. Quays bordered the whole of the ancient harbour, and a second port was formed on the south side of the island, and thus thelter was obtained for more than double the number of ships that could have been accomsiodated before. Hiram also built a royal palace in the insular city, which henceforth became the true Tyre, while Palsetyrus on the mainland gradually declined.
The completion of these works, about the time of David's death, set Hiram and his trained artificers at liberty to sid Bolomon in those great works at Jerusalem, of which the account belonge to Scripture History. ${ }^{15}$ Copies of the letters, which passed between

[^443]the two kings on this occasion, ${ }^{16}$ were shown in the Tyrian archives, as being authentic, in the time of Josephus, who gives translations. ${ }^{17}$ Solomon married a " Zidonian ". princess,, ${ }^{18}$ a daughter of Hiram, by whom the worship of Ashtoreth was set up at Jerusalem. ${ }^{10}$ His joint maritime adventures with the fleet of Hiram, described in Scripture history, ${ }^{20}$ attest both the distant voyages of the Tyrians from the Red Sea ports belonging to Israel, ard the policy of Solomon in having his own sailors trained by the Phoenician mariners. When, however, on the partition of Solomon's kingdom, Phœenicia, maintaining her alliance with the northern kingdom, was shut out from those ports, the attempt of Jehoshaphat to re open the Red Sea pavigation proved too much for the skill of the Jewish mariners, ${ }^{21}$ and the ships were wrecked.
§ 4. The death of Hiram was soon followed by dynastic troubles at 'lyre; and his grandson was murdered through a conspiracy formed by the four sons of his nurse in the very year of the death of Solomon and the partition of his kingdom (b.c. 975). It is thought that Phoenicia, as well as Judat, may have felt the hostility of the Egyptian king Shishak; and the foundation of the kingdom of. Damascus cannot but have affected the power of Tyre. The 30 or 40 succeeding years of disturbance and revolution coincide remarkably with the like troubles in Israel; and both kingdoms, obtaining settled governments about the same time, formed a new alliance. Ethbaal, the priest of Ashtoreth, established a new dynasty at Tyre, and married his daughter, Jezebel, to Ahab, son of Omri, with disastrous results to both the Hebrew kingdoms. ${ }^{22}$
§5. It was under Ethbaal's fourth successor that new dynastic troubles are said to have produced that great event, which determined a large part of the course of ancient history, but which has come down to us in the garb of the most favourite poetical legend of antiquity. The following is the historical version (real or supposed) which the classical writers gathered from the fragments of native tradition. The Tyrian king Matgen died, leaving two children-a son, aged eleven years, named Piomeliun (Pygmanion), and a daughter, some years older, named Elissar (Elisa). His last wish was that the two should reign conjointly. But the populace, desirous of changing the aristocratic form of government, proclaimed Pygmalion sole monarch, and surrounded him with councillors of

[^444]the democratic party. ${ }^{23}$ Elisa, excluded from the thrope, married Zicharbaal, ${ }^{24}$ the high-priest of Melcarth, whose position placed him at the head of the aristocratic party.

Some years later, Pygmalion caused his rival Zicharbaal to be assassinatel; and Elisa formed a conspiracy with 300 senators, the heads of the patrician families, to avenge her huspand and restore the aristocratic government. The democracy was too vigilant to give the conspirators any hope of success in Tyre; so they resolved upon a great secession. Seizing by surprise some ships, which lay in the port ready for sea, they embarked to the number of several thousands, and departed to found a new Tyra beneath other skies, under the guidance of Elisa, who, from this emigration, received the name of DiDo, "the fugitive." Disembarking, among the settlements of their conntrymen at the north-eastern point of Zeugitana, they bought from the Libyan king the site of the old Sidonian colony of Cambe, which had long since fallen into ruins; and, whether in contrast with this older town or with the mother city, their settlement was called Kiryath-Hadéshath (that is, the "New City"), which became in Greek Carchedon, and in Latin Carthago. The migration of Dido is placed in the meventh year of Pygmalion's reign, or в.c. 872 or 865.
§ 6. From the time of Ethbaal, the great kings of the Old Assyrinn Monarchy, whose monuments are found at Nimrud, began to extend their power as far as Phcenicia, About two centuries earlier, indeed, Tiglath-pileser 1. ${ }^{26}$ had reached as far as the northern end of Lebanon and Aradus, where his Annals state that he went on board a ship and killed a dolphin with his own hand I But it is not till now that we find conquests claimed in Phœnicia.

The great Nimrud king, Asshur-nasir-pal, records on his obelisk : $\rightarrow$ "At this time I took possession of all around Mount Lebanon. I proceeded towards the great sea of Phoenicis. On the summits of the mountains I sang the praises of the great gods, and I offered sacrifices. I received tribute from the kings of the countries around the mountains, from Tyre, Sidon, Gebal (Byblus) . . . . . from Phoenicia, and from Aradus in the sea: these tributes consisted of silver, gold, tin, bronze, instruments of iron, stuffs dyed purple and saffron, sandal-wood, ebony, and seal-skins. They humbled themselves before me." In our Museum we still bebold the cedar-wood, which this king himself tells us that he cut in Lebanon and carried to Nineveh, as well as the weight inscribed with their values in Phœenician terms (manah and shekel), both in Phoenician and cunciform letters (see opposite page).94

[^445]

Bronze lion, from Nimrud.
This king's son, Shalmaneser II., the " Black Obelisk king," after' his great campaign against Hazael, king of Syria (his 21st campaign), advanced into Phœenicia, and received the tribute of Tyre, Sidon, and Byblus; ${ }^{27}$ and his grandson, Iva-lush (or Houli-Khus) IV. enumerates, among the countries paying him regular tributes, "the whole of Phoenicia, the lands of Tyre and of Sidon." ${ }^{99}$ Even taking these claims at their fullest meaning, the loose hold of Assyria on her tributary provinces, especially at so great/a distance, would not interfere with their maritime power; and it is precisely at this period that a Greek tradition ascribes to them a Thalassocracy, or dominion of the seas, from b.о. 824 to 786.
§ 7. The founder of the New Assyrian Monarchy began, as we have seen, from his very accession, to reconquer the western provinces, which the fall of the Old Monarchy had restored to independence. Now also we find the relations of Phœenicia to Assyria continually referred to in Scripture and in the fragments of the old historians. The prophet Amos denounces Tyre among the nations which were to feel the weight of Assyrian conquest; ${ }^{29}$ and Tiglathpileser II. mentions Hiram, king of Tyre, and Sibitbaal, king of Gebal, in the list of kings who submitted to him in the campaign of b.c. 742. ${ }^{30}$ The destruction of the kingdom of Damascus, the captivity of northern Israel, and the conquest of Hamath and the Philistines, must have left Phcenicia completely exposed ${ }^{\text {si }}$ and Sibitbaal of Gebal again appears among the twenty-three vassal kings, who brought their tribute and homage to the conqueror at Damascus (b.o. 731). In the following year, Muthon or Mit'enna, king of Tyre, leagued with Pekah, king of Israel, in refusing to pay tribute. The approach of an army, sent by Tiglath-pileser, appears to heve been the occasion of the murder of Pekah by Hoshea, who made his submission, and Muthon followed the example.

[^446]About the same time, the Greek colonisation of Sicijy displaced the Pheenicians from their settlements on the island, with three important exceptions. Their retention of Motya, "the muddy," Kepher, "the town" (Soluntum), and Machanath, "the camp" (Panormus), at the western end of the island, nearest to Carthage, secured them the powerful support of their greasolony in maintaining their trade with the interior : and these same cities afterwards gave the Carthaginians a footing in Sicily. This loss in Sicily was partly compensated by the reduction of a rebellion of Citium, in Cyprus, by Eluli (Elulæus), who became king of Tyre about b.c. 726, at the time of the final effort of Hoshes to throw off the Assyrian yoke. We have eeen the issue in the destruction of Samaria, and the decisive campaign of Sargon against the kings of Egypt and Ethiopia on the nouthern frontier of Palestine. ${ }^{*}$

From the victory of Raphia, Sargon returned to exact the tribute of Phonicia, and received the submission of Sidon, Acco, and the other cities, including Palaetyrus on the mainland. The island city of Tyre alone, confident in its strength, defied a power which had no navy, and stood the first of its three memorable sieges." The Assyrian pressed into his servics the fleets of his Phoenician vassals; and the Tyrians were attacked by 60 ships, manned by 800 rowera, of their late confederates, Sidon, Acco, and Old Tyre. Putting to sea with only 12 vessels, they gained a complete victory, sank many ships, and took 500 prisoners. Sargon left his generals to reduce Tyre by blockade. They cut the aqueduct built by Hiram to bring water from the mainland; but the Tyrians sank wells in the rock till they reached springs. After five yeara the siege was abandoned (b.c. $715^{\circ}$ ).
\& 8. Tyre emerged from this contest with asfety and glory; but that was all, for her supremacy was gone. The desertion of her confederates-nay, their appearance in the field against her-are facts of terrible import. She had, doubtless, reached that inevitable stage in the supremacy of a great city over others with common interests, when the power yielded for the good of all was abused for the aggrandisement of the nne, which reserved for herself the chief profits of the commerce in which her confederates had the share rather of mervants than of partners. Sidon, in particular, had the memory of old supremacy to inflame her jealousy; and we shall soon see her appearing as a separate centre of the resistance of Phoenicia to her foreign masters.

While thus deprived of her hegemony at home, Tyre was

[^447]stripped of her last and most valuable possession in the AgeanThasos, with its gold mines,-which was seized by the people of Paros during the siege of Tyre. The famous iambic poet, Archilochus of Paros, served in this expedition. ${ }^{36}$ Some years later, Sargon used the Phoenician and Philistine fleets for an expedition. against Cyprust which was thus lost to Tyre (b.o. 708). The conquest was commemorated by a stela, which Sargon set up in Citium; ${ }^{36}$ and it was probably with reference to this exploit that he boasts, " Arbiter of combats, I traversed the sea of Jamnia like a fish. I annexed Koui and Tyre."
§ 9. The loose yoke of Assyria was again cast off during the troubles of Sargon's later years, and Sennacherib had to reconquer Phonicia with the other western provinces. We have already seen his own account of the conquest, ${ }^{37}$ which this time included 'Tyre, whence Elulæus fled, and was replaced by Ethbaal, or Touboal, as a vassal of the Assyrian. We may assume that this result was brought about by internal dissension. Sennacherib commemorated his conquest of Phœenicia by the stela which he set up at the mouth of the Nahr-el-Kelb, beside those of Rameses II.

So complete for the time was the subjection of Tyre, that it is Sidon, under her king, Abdi-Milkut, that heads the next rebellion on the opportunity of the murder of Sennacherib (в.c. 680). We have seen how Esar-haddon, in his first campaign, quelled the revolt, sacked the city, and transpurted many of his Phoenician captives to Babylonia. ${ }^{33}$ Some years later, he enumerates, among the kings who were his vassals, Baal, king of Tyre; Idiosahat, king of Gebal (Byblus); Kulubaal, king of Aradus; and Abibual, king of Simron.
§ 10. The next transfer of the Assyrian crown presented a special oyportunity for revolt, in concert with Tirbakah's recovery of supremacy in Egypt; and the Phœenician cities, always ready to return to their ancient alliance, rose in rebellion (b.o. 667). But Assbur-bani-pal's complete victory in Egypt left him free to reduce Phonicia in the following year. He first took Acco; then Baal, king of Tyre, earned his pardon by submission; and this time it was the island city of Aradus that made a desperate resistance. When it could hold out no longer, the king, Yakindu, son of Kulubaal, put himself to death; seven of his sons were killed by Asshur-bani-pal, who set the eighth, $A z b a a l$, upon the throne.

His conquest of Phœnicia seems to have been as thorough as that of Egypt; but the decline and fall of the Assyrian empire restored

[^448]the country to a virtual independence, which was rather confirmed than annulled by Egypt's temporary recovery of her dominion in Western Asia under Neco (b.c.610). The Phoenician cities welcomed this vigorous Pharaoh as a deliverer from the Assyrian yokse; and their fleet, placed as of old at the service of Egypt, was employed in the maritime adventures which have begn related in the reign of Neco.
§ 11. But the decisive victory of Carchemish restored the lands west of the Euphrates to a harder yoke than that of Asayria; and, in the emphatic description several times referred to already, "the king of Egypt came not any more out of his land" to help his sallies. It was only, however, after some delay and a terrible struggle, - that Nebuchadnezzar gained possession of Tyre, if, indeed, he really took the island city. Meanwhile the impending fate of the proud city gave occasion to those wonderful prophecies, which paint to the life the prosperity which her loss of political power had not interrupted, and which forms the mystic type of some future state, that should attain the like height only to have as terrible a fall. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

In this historical picture of T'yre's resources (for such the passage really is), the prophet Ezekiel gives some most interesting details of the trade of "Tyre, the crowning city, whose merchants were princes, whose traffickers were the honourable of the earth "- " the isle whom the merchants of Zidon, that pass over the sea, had replenished." ${ }^{40}$ Her gold came from Arabia by the Persian Gulf, just as in the time of Solomon it came from Arabia (Ophir), by the Red Sea. Whether the Arabian merchants obtained their gold by traffic with Africe or India, or whether it was the product of their own country, is uncertain. The eilver, iron, lead, and tin of Tyre came from a very different quarter of the world, namely from their settlement of Tarshish, in the south of Spain. ${ }^{41}$ Her

[^449]copper is mentioned, not as coming from Cyprus/(as we shaudd have expected), but in connection with Javan. (hber ${ }^{2}$ ad Meschech, in the neighbourhood of Armenia and tha southermes Cof the Caucasus; and from this quarter slaves were Circassia and Georgia in later times. From Palestin as whate seen, Tyre obtained oil, honey, and balm, but apparently which was imported from Damascus, as was also white wool. This city was the emporium for " a multitude of wares of Tyre's making, and for the multitude of all riches." The Bedouin Arabs supplied Tyre with lambs, and rams, and goats. Egypt furnished linen for sails, and doubtless for other purposes; and the dyes from shellfish, which afterwards became such a source of profit to the Tyrians, were imported from the Peloponnesus. Lastly, from Dedan in the Persian Gulf, an island occupied possibly by a Phoenician colony, horas of ivory and ebony were imported, which must originally have been obtained from India. ${ }^{42}$ Let the reader turn to the prophecy itself for the rest of the picture of "the renowned city, inhabited of seafaring men, that was strong in the sea, she and her inhabitants, which caused their terror to be on all that haunted it;" "3 that said, "I am of perfect beauty," whose "borders were in the midst of the seas, her builders had perfected her beauty;" " whose prince said, in the pride of his aplifted heart, "Behold, I am God, I sit in the seat of God, in the midst of the seas," who claimed to be "wiser than Daniel," and boasted as much of the "great wisdom and traffick by which his riches were increased," as of that wealth itself; ${ }^{45}$ though the vices of a commercial people, ${ }^{46}$ and their unbounded indulgence in luxury and sensual pleasure, cried to heaven for the coming vengeance which the prophet denounces in the most vivid poetic language.
§ 12. The first of these three prophecies (which are clearly continuous), is dated on the first day of the month in the 11th year of the Great Captivity ; ${ }^{47}$ its occasion is specified, as arising out of the exultation of Tyre over the fall of Jerusalem, "I shall be replenished now she is laid waste :" and Nebuchadnezzar, king of Babylon, is named as about to besiege and destroy the city. ${ }^{48}$ The exultation and malevolence of the Tyrians, apparently inconsistent with interest and traditional policy, are to be explained by Josiah's religious reformation, when he uprooted the Phenician worship in Judæa, slew its priests upon their altars, ${ }^{10}$ burnt the images of their gods, -and destroyed their high places-not excepting that near Jerusalem, which Solomon, the friend of Hiram, had built to Ashtoreth, the Queen of Heaven. We can scarcely doubt that the death

[^450]in battle of Josiah at Megiddo, and the subsequent deatruction of the city and temple of Jerusalem, were hailed by them with triumphant joy as instances of divine retribution in human affairs

- The prophet warned them that this calastrophe was the prelude to thair own; and it seems indeed to have been brought on by the same causes. It is still a disputed question whethgr the thirtoen years' siege of Tyre, 8 f which Josephus speaks, ${ }^{50}$ began when Nebuchadnezzar marched to chastise the rebellion of Jerusalem (B.a 598), or, as seems more consistent with the date of the above prophecy, about the time of the final capture of Jerusalem. Nothing is more likely than that Tyre, the ancient ally of Egypt, would join in the league formed by Pharaoh-Hophra, which brought down this final ruin apon Jades; and the siege of Tyre would probably be formed at the same time as that of Jerusalem (8.c. 688). ${ }^{n}$ And this agrees with the date of that remarkable prophecy of Ezekiel, which leaves it doubtful whether Tyre was actually taken by Nebuchadnetzar: " "Son of man, Nebuchadrezzar, king of Babylon, caused his army to serve a great service against Tyrus: every head was made bald, and every shoulder was peeled " (doubtless in "casting the mount against the city" "); "yet had he no uages, nor his army, for Tyrus, for the service that he served against it :" -and therefore the land and spoil of Egypt are assigned as his reward. The natural inference-that Nebuchadnezzar, like Sargon, failed to take the island city, though he took and destroyed Old Tyre on the mainlend-is confirmed by the silence of Josephas, who relates the siege from the Tyrian annala, and of all other Greek and Roman writers, as to the capture of Tyres It eeems moot probable that the firm resistance of the city eecured a capitnLation on moderate terme. This view is not inconsistent with the account that a part of the population aailed away at the labt moment to Carthage, and that the king, Ethbach, wan led captivo to Bebylon, with all the most noted familiea, and that Nebuchadnezzar installed a new king, Basl, an his vassal.

The king is presently found, with the King of Sidon, fighting for

[^451]his new sovereign against the attempts of Apries (Pharaoh-Hophra) to recover Phœenicia to Egypt. The power, which had, once relied wholly on Phœnicia for its marine service, now gathered a great fleet by the aid of its Ionian and Carian mercenaries. They defeated the united Phoenician and Cyprian fleets, which perhaps fought with litcle zeal for Nebuchadnezzar, in a great battle off Cyprus. The fledt of Pharaoh levied contributfons along the Phoenician coast, and took Sidon by storm; but retired with their plunder. Aradus alone was held for a time by an Egyptian garrison, as we learn from an inscription of Apries lately discovered there; but, as M. Lenormant observes, " this expedition to Thoenicia was rather a maritime raid on a large scale, without political results, than a serious attempt to recover the country from Nebuchadnezzar."
§ 13. The same writer places immediately after this war of Apries the inscription of Esmunazar, king of Sidon, the longesi yet discovered, on his sarcophagus in the Museum of the Louvre. It is as follows:-"I am Esmunazar, king of Sidon, son of Tabnith, king of Sidon, grandson of Esmunazar, king of Sidon; and my mother was Amashtoreth, priestess of our lady Ashtoreth, the queen, daughter of the king Esmunazar of Sidon. We have built the temple of the Alonim (the great gods) at Sidon on the sea-shore, and all-powerful Heaven has made Ashtoreth favourable to us. We also have built on the mountain a temple to Esmun, whose hand rests on a serpent. Lastly, we also built the temples of the Alonim. of Sidon at Sidon, of the Baal of Sidon, and of Ashtoreth, the glory of Baal. May the master of the kings always grant us possession of Dor, Japha, and the magnificent corn-lands in the valley of Sharon, as a recompence for the great things I have done."

The last sentence seems to imply that Sidon had been specially favoured by Nebuchadnezzar, "the master of kings," probably as the reward of her ready submission; and that her territory was enlarged by the rich lands named in Palestine. .From this time to her destruction by Artaxerxes Ochus, it is Sidon, not Tyre, that is found at the head of Phonicia: ${ }^{55}$ and this appears to have been the time of Sidon's greatest prosperity.
§ 14. Tyre, however, has still a separate history. In a fragment preserved from Menander, ${ }^{5 B}$ she appears divided by factions, and restlessly snatching at opportunities for change. Such an opportunity would be presented by the madness of Nebuchadnezzar; and in B.c. 563 we find his vassal, Baal, deposed in a popular tumult monarchy abolished, and the king replaced by a republican magis-

[^452]trate, afterwards increased to two, with the title of Suffetes (Shafotim, "Judges"), as at Carthage. After a period of anarchy, a king, Baalaton, was set up again, but dethroned in one year, and Nabonadius, among his measures for reorganizing the empire, sent Meherbaal, a member of the old royal house, to Tyre as vassal king (B.c. 555). After four years, he was succeeded by his son Hiram (b.c. 551), whose rtign extended into the period of the Persian Empire, and who died in e.c. 531, lesving the crown to his son Muthon, who was king of Tyre when Xerxee gathered his forces against Greece. ${ }^{\text {II }}$
§ 15. We have had occasion already to notice the voluntary submigsion of the Phœenicians to Persia, probably under Cambyses, and that rather as allies than subjects; and we have seen that the Phœenician fleet rendered powerful aid in the conquest of Egypt, but refused to
 they were bound by oatho. Henceforth the sea service of Persia mainly depended on the Phoenicians; ${ }^{\text {se }}$ but a glance over the list of the navy of Xerxes will suffice to correct the error that they formed the only feet of Persia. The restoration of friendly relations with the restored Jews is indicated by the service rendered agaiu by " them of Zidon and Tyre," in bringing cedar-trees from Lebanon (and, it is implied, hewn stones) for the rebuilding of the temple. As in the time of Solomon, the Jews paid the wages of the masons and carpenters, and supplied their provisions, "meat. drink, and oil," and the materials were brought round by sea to Joppa."0

The policy of Persia towards her provinces was eminently suited to foster the prosperity of Phoenicia, whose commerce still connected the whole empire with the Mediterranean. Tyre regained the prosperity which it possessed when visited by Herodotus ; ${ }^{01}$ but Sidon enjoyed the special favour of the Persian kings as the chief seatiof their naval power. This comes out clearly in the expedition against Greece. When, from a hill near Abydos, Xerxes witnessed a boatrace in his fleet, the prize was gained by the Sidonians. ${ }^{\text {en }}$ When he reviewed his fleet, he sat on the deck of a Sidonian ship, beneath a golden canopy. ${ }^{\text {es }}$ When he wished to examine the mouths of the river Peneus, he entrusted himself to a Sidonian galley, as was his wont on similar occasions ; es and the king of the Sidonians eat first

[^453]among the ${ }^{2}$ vassal sovereigns, tyrants, and officers. ${ }^{65}$ Herodotus states that the Phœenicians supplied the best vessels of the whole fleet, and of the Phœnicians, the Sidonians; ${ }^{64}$ and the highest commendation he can give to the vessels of Artemisia is by saying. that they were the most renowned in the whole fleet after the Sidoniáns. ${ }^{67}$
§ 16. The breaking up of the Persian Empire was felt in Phoenicia all the more, as her cities were drawn into the revolts of Asia Minor and Syria, on the one side, and of Egypt on the other. We have already noticed the capture of Tyre by Evagoras of Cyprus, the share of Phœenicia in the general revolt of the western satraps against Artaxerxes Mnemon, ${ }^{68}$ and the great rebellion of Cyprus and Phœenicia, in conjunction with Nectanebo, the last independent king of Egypt, which led to the utter destruction of Sidon by Artaxerxes Ochus (about b.c. 350). ${ }^{69}$
§ 17. The cruel revenge taken for this revolt had a disastrous effect upon the Persian cause-in the ensuing conflict with Alexander. Sidon, recovering with that marvellous rapidity which we see in these commercial cities, opened her gates to the conqueror after the battle of Issus, from the avowed motive of hatred to the Persians (B.c. 333); ${ }^{70}$ and her fleet, thus placed at the disposal of Alexander, was a main element of his success in the siege of Tyre. . The possession of Phoenicia was doubly essential to the invader's plans; since the naval force, which it was most important for him to acquire for his own use, might have been the means, in the hands of Persia, of cutting off his communications with Macedonia and Greece. After rejecting the overtures of Darius, which reached him at Marathus (opposite to Aradus), Alexander advanced southwards through Phœenicia, receiving the submission of Aradus, Byblus, and the other cities; Sidon, as we have just seen, hailed him as a deliverer; and the seamen of these cities, serving in the Persian fleet, obeyed the summons to bring away their ships to join him. But Tyre, which had now regained the supremacy since the fall of Sidon, seems to have hoped to rally those ships to her defence. While offering a nominal submission, and sending him a crown of gold and provisions for his army, they resolved not to admit him into the island city. Alexander, on his part, accepted their surrender as unconditional, and informed them of his intention to sacrifice to Hercules (Melcarth) in his ancient temple. The Tyrians pleaded their law forbidding the admission of strangers withip their walls, and invited him to sacrifice in a still more ancient shrine of

[^454]the god unon the mainland. Upon this he dismissed their ambase sadors and prepared for the siege, which is one of the most famous in history. ${ }^{n}$
1-By constructing a mole, which to this day forms an isthmus, he joined the island to the main; and using the Cyprian navy on the north side, and the Sjdonian on the south, to blockpfie the harbours and protect his works from the incessant attacks of the I'yrian fleet, he at length succeeded in bringing up his newly invented engines and effecting a breach. The city was taken in July, b.c. 332, after the siege had lasted seven months; and the Macedonians, exasperated by their long and immense labours, put 8000 of the people to the sword. The remainder, with the exception of the king and some of the chief citizens, who had taken refuge in the temple ot Melcarth, were sold into slavery to the number of 30,000 , including women, children, and slaves.
§ 18. It lies beyond our subject to trace the later history of the Phoenician cities. It is enough to say that they flourished again, and enjoyed their municipal privileges, under the Seleucida, the Romans, and the Mahometans; and both Tyre and Sidon were flourishing seate of learning, as well as of commerce and manufacture. And it is worthy of note that Tyre was still famous in the 12th century for the glass, which the Greeks believed to have been a Phœnician invention. ${ }^{79}$ Their final decline daten from the time of the Crusades, in which Sidon suffered from several sieges; while Tyre, after being held by the Christians for more than a century and \& half, was utterly ruined by the secession of its inhabitants, to avoid the fate inflicted upon Acre by the sultan of Egypt and Damascus (March, 1291). The atory is thus told by a contemporary: "On the same day on which Ptolemais (Acre) way taken, the Tyrians, at vespers, leaving the city empty, without the stroke of a sword, without the tumult of war, embarked on board their vessels, and abandoned the city to be occnpied freely by their conquerors. Un the morrow the Saracens entered, no one attempting to prevent them, and they did what they pleased. ${ }^{n \prime}$

5 19. From that time every traveller might well ask, "Is this your joyous city, whose antiquity is of ancient days ?" ${ }^{74}$ Here is oue of many answers (in 1751): "None of these cities, which formerly wers famous, are so totally ruined as this, except Troy. Zur now scarcely can be called a miserable village, though it was cormerly Tyre, the queen of the sea. Here are about ten inhabitanto,

[^455]Turks and Christians, who live by fishing." ${ }^{7 b}$ Compare this with the prophecy uttered just 2340 years before:-"I will make thee like the top of a rock"-as bare as the sea-girt rock from which the proud name was first taken-" thou shalt be a place to spreadt, nets upon; thou shalt be built no more." ${ }^{\text {re }}$ In spite of some revival since, the site wears an aspect of desolation. "On approaching it we come first to a low sandy isthmus, the remains. of Alexander's causeway, which converts what was once an island into a peninsula. The ruins of old walls and towers, formed of still older materials, are here seen . . . The island (that was), on which the city stood, is a ledge of rock parallel to the shore, three: quarters of a mile long, half a mile broad, and about half a mile distant from the coast-line. It was low and flat, not more than from 10 to 15 feet above the sea; but the accumulation of rubbish has rendered it uneven, and has given it in places a greater elevation. The isthmus, when first formed, was probably narrow; the united action of the winds and waves, dashing up the loose sands, has gradually increased it to the breadth of nearly half a mile. . . . The harbour, now nearly filled up with sand and rubbish, is on the north side of the isthmus, where the ruins of old moles are yet visible. The present town is beside the harbour, occupying a smallsection of the north-western part of the peninsula. Along its western side is a broad strip of land cut up into little gardens; and the whole southern section of the peninsula is without a habitation. Here are modern burying-grounds, there patches of gardens; but the greater part is covered with rubbish heaps, intersected by deep pits and gullies, from which building-stones have been carried off to Beyrout and 'Akka. The modern town, or rather village, con$r$ tains from 3000 to 4000 inhabitants, about one-half being Metâwheh, and the other Christians. Most of the houses are mere. hovels; the streets are unusually narrow, crooked, and filthy; and the walls, and a few houses of a superior class, are so shattered by repeated shocks of earthquakes, that they look as if about to fall to pieces. The palm and Pride of India trees, scattered among the houses and gardens, relieve in some degree the aspect of desolation,
, and contribute to hide Tyre's fallen glory. The ancient Mistress of the Seas can at the present day only boast the possession of a few crazy fishing-boats; and her whole trade consists in the yearly export of a few bales of cotton and tobacco; and a few boat-loads of mill-stones and charcoal. There is but one gate, and the numerous breaches in the old wall render others unnecessary. One is reminded at every footstep, and by every glance, of the prophecies uttered against this city: 'And they sball make a spoil, of thy riches, and make a prey of thy merchandise; and they shall break

[^456]down thy walls, and destroy thy pleasant houses. . . . They shall lament over thee, saying, What city is like Trrus, like the destroyod in the midst of the sea f' (Ezek. xxvi. 12, xxvii, 32)." ${ }^{71}$

Sidon (Sayda) never sank so low. It is still a place of considerable traffic, and important enough to have been bombarded in the Syrian war of 1840. Its architectural remains aroffew and insig-nificant-some marble and granite columns, with here and there a sculptured frieze, and some fragments of Mosaic pavement-but even these are more than exist at Tyre. In the neighbouring billside, however, and scattered over the plain, are tombs, with many sarcophagi, which are among the most interesting monuments of old Phonicia. Among these the sarcophagus of King Esmunazar (already mentioned) was discovered in January, 1855, by the accidental opening of one of the sepulchral caves, and is now in the Louvre at Paris. The sarcophagus is of black syenite, and the lid is hewn in the form of a mummy with the face bare. The material, the form, and the decidedly Egyptian cast of the features, make it probable that it was executed in Egypt for the Sidonian king. The inscription of 22 lines is on the upper part of the lid.

Of the present state of the other Phcenician cities, a bare reference must suffice to the commercial importance still enjoyed by some, as Tripoli, and especially Beyrut, and to the bistoric fame, which has clung to Acco (now 'Akka, or in the Frank tongue, St. Jean d'Acre) from the days of Richard Cœur de Lion to those of Napoleon and Sir Sidney Smith.
§ 20. Eighty years after the siege of Tyre by Nebuchadnezzar, her chief daugbter, Cabtiage, appeara in history as a great maritime power, making a treaty of commerce with the infant republic of Rome (b.c. 509). Her deatiny, as the rival of her old ally, attracts her bistory to that of Rome, rather than of the East. That rivalry made the West the new scene of the great struggle between the Semitic and Aryan races, in which the interest of oriental history culminates. I'he contest was finally decided by the fall of Carthage in b.c. 146; when the saddened victor repeated over the burning city the prophecy, which had foretold the issue of the first mythic act in the same long drama, and which may still be applied to every work of human policy and human power:-
*The day chall curely come, when eacred Troy will fall, And Priann, and the people of the warrior Priam all."
" Porter, ' Bandbook of Byria,' pp. 301, 392.

## I N D E X.

## ARCHITECTURE

## A

A, hieroglyphical origin of the letter aleph, 186.
Aamu, the race which preceded the Canaanites in Phoenicia, 550.
Aahmes, conqueror of the Shepherd kings and founder of the Theban monarchy, 83. His marriage with an Ethiopian princess, the ground of claims made by his successors to Ethiopia, 87. His Asiatic wars, 87 .
Abou-simbel, rock-hewn temples of, 99 .
Accadian lang'tage, 356.
Achamenes, meaning of the name, 488.
Achæmenides, the, 487.
Adulterer and adulteress, Egyptian punishment of, 166.

Afrasiab, Turanian cult of the serpent, $380,396$.
Africa, circumnavigation of, uyder Neco, 448. The most signal acbievement of anclent maritime discovery, $44^{8}$.
Agglutinative dialects, 8.
Ahab marries Jezebel, daughter of Ethbaal, 567.
Ahaz, King of Judah, made tributary, 272.
Abriman, the evil princtple, the serpent the emblem of,
, 380. Angro-mainyus, the author of evil, $38 \%$. His slx Larvands, 389. His antagonists to Ormazd's angelic hieranchy, 390. The author of the temptation and fall of man, 391.
Ahuramazda or Ormazd, the good spirit and supreme God, titles of, 384-His six Councillors, 389.
Ahuras and Daévas, 384
Akkad (the), and thelr Iterature, 216.
Alezander the Great's con-
quest of the Persian empire, 538 . Its rapidity accomnted for, 538. His silege of Tyre, 578.
Alexandria, site of, 19. Founded, 155.
Almanacks, prophetic Chaldxean, 364
Alphabet, its probable Egyp: tian origin, 55n. Brought to Phoenicia by the return of the Hyksos, 55 .
Alphabets, source of all, 542.
Alysttes, his long reign, 468 . War with the Milesians, 468. Drives the Cimmerians out of Asis Minor, 470. Tomb of, 477.

Amasia, 143. Ends the royal line of Egypt, 152. Anecdote illustrating his change of condition, 152. Prosperity of Egypt pnder him, 153. His law for suppressing idleness, 153. Architectural moriss, 154, The ally of Crossus against Cyrus, 155. Deceives Cambyses, 505.
Amemenes II $_{\text {, }}$, killed by his eunuchs, 64.
III. builder of the Labyrinth, 66.
Amenhotep III., conquests and monuments of, 93. His titles, 94. Identified with the Memnon of Homer, 94 His statue, the vocal Memnon, described, 94.
Ameni, plctures and epltaph on the tomb of, 70 .
Amestris, chief wife of Xerxes, 530.
Ammeris's invasion of Egypt, 138.

Amun, the supreme god of Egypt, 172
Anaitis, her worshlp confounded by Herodotus with that of Mithra, 394
Anarlan caneiform writing, 351. Difficulttes of the Aharian texte, 354
Anatolis, now Anadoll, 418.

Angro-mainyus, or Ahriman the author of moral and material evil, and of death, 387.

Animal worship, Egyptian, 173.

Antalcidas, pence of, 533.
Antedlluvians, their ponsession of all the essential germs of material civilisation, 2.
Antbropomorphism of the Egyptian deities, 17 I .
Anti-Taurus mountains, 417 .
Anu, the supreme god of the Rabylonians and Assyrians, 208.
Anysis, the blind king, 138.
Apis, Egyptian worship of the bull, 115 . The incarnation of Pbtha, 175. Wounded by Cambyses, 508.

Apollinopolis and Latopolis, the Nile at, 17.
Apries, King of Egypt, 149. Hts expedition against Cyrene, 149. The Pba-rauh-Hophra of Scriptnre, 151.

Arabs, governed by queens, 269.

A radus, island and cityof, 554. Ararat, Mount, the cradle of the post-diluvian race, 3.
Arbela, city of, 229. The Persian empire ends at the battle of, 538 .
Arca or Cassarea Libani, 5:44
Arch, ite use in Assyrian architecture, 345 .
Archers, Egyptian infantry chiefly, 16 x .
Archilocbus of Paros, the Iambic poet, 57 I .
Architecture of ancient Egypt, 179 Four great classes of buildings: pyramids. tombs, palaces, and temples, 179 Description of an Egyptian temple, 180. The relation of architecture to religion 340 . Distinctipe features of Habylonjan, 34 a

Ardys, son of Gyges, reigu of. 464.
Apectot (the) of Eerodotas, 398.

Argeus (Mons), now Argish Dash, 417.
Ariana $=$ the later Persian Iran, 398.
Arioch, King of Ellasar, 2 204
Apcot of Herodotus, Why called aryans instead of A rians, 374
Arithmetical notation, Chatdeap aystem of, 365 .
Armenis, description of, 481. Minor, $41 \%$.
Armenian campalgus of the Asayrian Kinge, 48j. Alliance with Persia against Media, 485 .
Arrow-handed charaoters, a comblnation of two cuuelform elcments, 349.
Arses, King of Persia, 536. murdered by Bagoas, 537.
Arsinoé, now called the Fytm, 18.
Art, contrast between Asoyrian and Egyptian, 346 . Periods and atyles of Acesrisn, 346.
Artabauma uanrpation of, $5 \%$.
Artabasua's rebelition in the reign of Ochus, 535.
Artazerzes L (or Armacen) Longimanus, 5 zo. Leasont of the nickname, 533 , th. His commissions to kira and Nehemiah, 53I. Explanation of the name artax. erxes, 531, an.

- IL, Knemon, s33. Marries his daughter A.towsa, 514
Artemtaia builds the mausolentis, 447.
Aryan language, 8. Aryen sype of cancifirm wrtting. 351. Buctria the atacient horne of tbe race, 375. Inolded into the elider branch the Aryas, and the younger the Yovanas, 375. The primiuve $\Delta$ ryans a pastoral people, 376. Tbeir acial life, morals and religion, 376. Primizive rellgion, 177. Ita tmunatheistic basis, 377. Corruption inw dualism and pantheietic natoreworship, 376. Csntlict of Aryans and Turanians. 179. Iraniea and Iddian branches of the race, 395. Thetr rellgion developed fotw Brabwintom, 395.
Aryandes bantala i by Drrine, $\boldsymbol{y}_{24}$

Ascalon takes and destroye Bidon, 56r.
Ashdod, war of. 130, 144 See Azotus.
Asia Minor, its Importance in ancient history, 415. Geographical atructure, 415. Climate and producthons, 418. Dimensions of the peainsula, 419. Remarlable mixture of populations, 420. Nations of It holding eucomively the supremacy of the aca, 456 . Upper and Lower, 223. Aspadana $=$ Ikfahan, 404.
Asebur, the hero-eponymus and bupreme deity of Ascyria, 220. Inquiry respecting the name, 368. The supreme god, 360. Kxplansiton of a curtous emblem or, 370 .
Asabur - beni-pal's capture and mark of Egyptian The bes, 1 36. His name sugkestive of Sardanapalus, 294. A great conqueror and magnificent monarch, 294 His systematio care for IIterature, 294. Homage to him by Gyaes King of Lydia, 294 Ware in 8uslana and Babylon, 294. Horrible craelty, 295. Pa lace at Koyunjlic, 295. Domestic ecene in bit bece reliefs, 295. Corresponde to the "warlike SardadapaIns " of the Greeks, 296. His Library, 356. Mr. Layard's eccount of its diexovery and valun 356 .
Assbur-nasir-pah. King of A palace, 249. Conquerts of, 2gn. His great inscription, 343. Recelves uribute from Yberolcia, 968.
A coyria, like beypt, yielding up long-hidden biskory. 19\%. Its population bemilic, 203. The Aurytia of the Greek histortans, 219. The Semilic Acehur, 219. The four beroes of the Greek legend of, 220 . The erue heart of Aseyria, 226. Rains of lte four capitale, 237. Lhet of kings, 232. Its oldeat contem. purary reconds, 213. O14 and tew empire, 244 kis tent of the empire, 260.
A esyrian conquest of Eegpt, 135. Of Ethiopita, 1 17. Upper and Lower dynat (lien 213. Elemente of rojal names, 324 Tbetr gemitic characier, 22g.

Crueltestry the kinge, 251. A luxurions people who cultivated the useful arts to the higheet pitch, 85 . In drem, furnture and jewellery not muoh beblind the moderns, 253. New disadiveries in the antiquitify more and more confirmative of Scripture hittory, 257. Soven kinge of the New or Lower Emplre, 267. Canon, lately discovered, 282. The Empire reached an far Weat an the Halym, 294. Ite character. 299. Bacerdotal character of Aneytian and Baliylonian kingk, 305, 359. What remaina to be done th Annyrian exploration, 728. Difference between Angyrian end Babylonian sculpturea, 139 External Pear tures of Ameyrian pelaces, 342. Plan of the true Amyriaa tempte, 343. Uramratical itterature, 35 . Great cunelform worle on grammar, 357. Twelve great goda, 171. Genil and inferior dicties, 372.
Astarte or Ashwrech the chief goddene of the I'hasmiclann, 504.
'Aorpoioria, primerly as tronomy, 399.
Autroloky, Eigyptian 189, Chaldman, 364.
Astronomy, Egyptian tnow. Irdge of, exaggerated, 189. Chaldmeat or Babylonian, 3tr.
Aotyages, a title rather than the proper pame of the lant king of Media, 408. $H$ is reign, 479. livacripo timn of his courth 48a
Anychia, law of, 122.
Athor or Atur, the Egyptias Aphrudite, 19 s.
Atoman daugheer of Cyrus. 503. Urgat Dertus to nodertake the conquent of Greese, 529.
$\triangle$ turia, ite phyilcal featoren, 326. Name -Astyria, to.

Atye and bin sont Lydu. and Torthebus, 449. Hero dotur's poecical ireatment of the atory of Aty: and Adreatus, 456.
Avarte of the shepherd Eliggen 'the Zoan of scripture, 79. Unually sdentufied with Peluaium, but proved to be Tants, 77.
Azotus (A shdod) taken after - miege of 29 years, the longeat oo recoud 144

## 3

Baal, the chies god of Phonicia, 564
Babel, city and tower of, 199. Origin of the word, 199 Babel and Babylon, local and not ethnic names, 200. Tower of label at Borsippa, and the ruins of Birs-Nimrtad on its original foundation, 201
Bab-ll, the gate or house of God, 368.
Bebylon, earliest use of the title of king of, 211. lits rivalry to the emplre of Aseyria, 238 . Fall of, 325. It buildings, 328. Extremities resorted to during Darius's siege of, 520.

Eabylonia, contrast between its ancient and present state, 198. Southern letrapolis of, 206. Indications of the eristence of two tetrapoleis, 206. Its four great races, 210. Three classes of inscriptions in, 224.

Babylonian ruins, objects found in, 205. Note on the early chronology of the Babylonians, 214 Internal ormament of temples, 335.
Bagistan, city of, stood at the foot of the rock of Behistau, 403.
Bagoas, the eunach, minister of Ochus, 534. Poisons Ochus, 536. Mnrders Arses,
537. Compelled to drink the poison he had mired for Codomannus, 537.
Bahr-Yussuf, the canal of Јоseph, 18.
Bardes or Smerdis, som of Cyrus, 503.
Barulev́s, mode of installation of an ancient, $37 \%$.
Behistun, transcription of the trilingual rock-Inscription of, 353. The famous record of Darius, 404. Its account of the revolution of the peeudo - Smerdis, 509 . Its contents relating to Darius, 523.
Bellerophon, legend of, 412.
Belshazzar's delence of Babylon, 324 Hie festivel, 324. Slain, 325.
Belas, the hero-eponymus of Babylon, 208.
Heni - hassam, tombe of 69.

Berosus, his history of Baby-

Ion or Chaldea, 203. Dynasties of, 204
Berytus, city ot, 554
Bias of Priene, apologue of to Croesus, 494
Bible, Egyptian origin of the word, 183.
Birs, the prefly, 200.
Birs - Nimrad, monnd of, 200. Identifled with the temple of Bel-Merodach or Nebo at Borsippa, 200, 319. Inscription found among Its rains, 200.
Bitumen, a characteristic production of Babylonia, 331 .
Black obelisk King, the, 250.
Bocchoris transfers the Egyptian capital to Sais, 124 Burnt alive by Subaco, 124
Borxippa, the northern seat of tie sacred learning of the Cbaldseans, 208. Great temple of Nebo at, 319. Description of the temple at, 332. Curious proporthons of the building, 333.
Borsippeni and Orchoèni, two soloots of the Chaldreans, 360.

Bosporins (corrapted into Busphorus), the etymological equivatent of $0 x$-ford, 415."

Botta's (M.), discoveries at Khorsubad, 244
Bricks, the pyramid of Dashoor the first example of a building construcled - of, 66. Brick prramids, 66. Brick - making by Egyptian captives, 92. Description of Babylonian bricks 329.
Bubastis, capital of Eeppt, 116. The sacred cety of the goddess Pasht, 118. Described by Herodotus, 118. Temple of the goddess Bubustla, 1x8. Her festival; 1 IT9.
Byblus or Gebal, an anctent religlous city of Phcentcia, 554.

## 0

Caducif, war of Artaxerzes against the, 534
Calall (Nimrud), ruins of, 227.

Calendar, the Egyptian 88.
Callinus of kphesus, the lonian poet, $46 \%$.
Cambe, the sits afterwards occupied by Carthage, 549. Cambyees, father of Cyrus,
and king of the Permans; 488.

Cambyses, son of Cyrus, bue. Puts to death his sister wife, 503. Formation 4 his name from the rursian original, , 503. His attack on Egypt, 155. Obtains the exfe-conduct of the "King of the Arabs," 505, Takes Memphis, 506. Defeats Psammenitus, 506. His sacrilege in killing the A pis, 176. Assumes the full style of an Egyptian king, 506. Defiauce by the Ethiopian ling in reply to his embassy, 50\%. He marches into Eihiopla, 507. Destruction in the desert of a detachment of bis army sent against the Ammonians, 50\%. Returns to 'l'hebes, 507. Hie nuadness ascribed to his sacrilege, 508. Opinions on his alieged madness, 508. Addiction to druakenness, 509 . Secures the submission of Egypt, 509. Hissaicide, 511. Canaanttes, their boundaries, 547. Hieratic Egyptian Papyras firing the date of their establishment in Pas. lestine, 549. their settlements in Yboenicia. 554.
Candace, queen of the Ethioplans, 126.
Candaules and Gyges, three forms of the legend of, 462 .
Cappaducians, why called Syrians, 421. Their Aryan origin, 421.
Carchemish, elty of, 89. Victory of Nebuchadnessar over Newo 8t, 307.
Cariang, the, 442. Two accounts of their origin, 443. Their connection with the Leleges, 445. Thelr trade of mercenary soldiers, $44^{6}$. The kingdom of Caria, 447. Carian used synonymously with slave, 447. Among the most anclent inhabitants of Asia Minor and the Grecian peninsula 445.

Carthinge, its first appearance in general history, 506 Foundation of, 568. Iu treaty of commerce with the infant mpublic of Rome, 580. Its fall decides the conflict between Eastern and Western civlisation, 58 a
Cassiterides (the Scilly Islands), Phmaician vugagesto the, 972.

| Caste | INDEX. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Caste, three woulitions of, 157. Caucusian race limits of their primary setulements, 6. Huw the term Caucasian is to be anderstuod, 6. | 430. Thelr I'boenician origin. 43 a <br> Cimmerian invasion of Asia, the great 292. The Clmmerians invade Asis |
| Causians, account of them hy Herndotus, 44'. | Minor, 464 Their nadive land in Europe altarwarde |
| aveb, Aryan legend of, | called Scythias 464 |
| Centenarian reign of Yhiops 56 | meria, Scythia, and Sarmatia applied to the mame |
| Charronea, battle of, 536 | region, 464 The |
| haldees, the land of shinar, 196. Restrictlon in using the name, 213. | survives in the Crimee or Crim-Tartary, 465. Conqueat of the country by |
| Chaldean marehes (the), 198. | the Scythinas 465 . The |
| tronomical ecience of | Cimmeriane probably pro- |
| priests, 206. Northern | genitors of the cy |
| southern seats of their | Wales and even of all the |
| cred learning, 208. Nute a the Chaldaans and the | Qeluc races, 4 (th. First named as the Gomer of |
| Akkad, 215. The Chal- |  |
|  |  |
| 5. The kinge of |  |
| abylon Chaldeans, 305. | Circumcialon, Egyptian rite |
| scendancy of the Chalpan caste, 305. Oidest | of, 177. <br> Climax Tyrior |
| 315. Domestic chitecture. 136. Three | Commerce between turope and India, highway of, g. |
| ands of tombe and modes burial 33 . The Chat | Coptic language, 27. Etymologies of the tood 27 |
| ans a priexily caste, 358. | Prabably the anctent form |
| The Rab Mag (Archi- | of the word kgyph, |
| mapus) of the order, 359. | Complete dimppearance of |
| Initiation into it of the |  |
| Aseyrian kings, 359. The | Coronution stone, Scottiab, |
| caste in the boot of |  |
| andent of the Babylonlang, |  |
|  | Conmogenly of Mones ita |
| for propbetic and ma |  |
| potture, 360. Astrum | dod |
| fuenc |  |
|  |  |
|  | or |
| alybes, the, 421 . Itho first |  |
| Iron-workers, 466. | Crasesa and "Cave ne ens," |
| Champoltion-Figeac and | 442 Helation of the a |
| Lr. Yıang, their rexpe | be pronunciation of |
| tive claims as dincovere |  |
| glyphices, 184 | Criminal ade, rigsptian, 169. |
| Cedorlamer, conqueror of | codile, aymbulism of |
| Baby ronia, 209. Hiw kingdom Elatm, 110. | 175. <br> Cruesos sucoeeds Alyetteen |
| beops and Cephren, |  |
| deterted by the | , |
| Egyprinns 54 | 95. A corloras chapter in |
| vev, priest-kinges | the history of sup |
| Cbimerre, aignification of the |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Irith gerealoty of, 315. | vesind': bistory |
| jith, Greek colonies | 204. Mythleat lag |
| 1. The neat of all the ates in the Levant | 219. An intractwortay witness an Oriental bistory, |
| 452. |  |
| clictane of the Semilas n | Cubeifurm and blerat |

the Massageten, 499. His tomb at Pasargadxe identified, 500 . Ideal picture of the Cyropsedis, 500. His noble qualities, soo. Fast change effected in the Persian nation by his conquests, 500 . His tup sons and three daughters, $\mathrm{foz}^{2}$.
Cyrus the younger, his daim to the throne frum "royal birch," 532. Falld at Cunaxa, 533 .

## D

Dagon, the fish-god, 551 .
Damascus, destruction of the kingdom of, 27.
Daniel the prophet's imper-- gonation of the MedoPersian kingdom, 413.
Dardanlans of Troy, 452.
Darius, the head of seven consplrators, slays the pseudoSmerdis, 512 . Names of his associates in the enterprise, 512. Remarkable agreement of Herodotus and the Bebistan inscription, 512. His right to the crown by descenth 513 . Privileges granted to bis confederates, 514 . Debate among the chieftains, 514 Massacre of the Magians, 515. The second founder of the Persian empire, 51\%. His marriages, 517 . In the Behistun inscription rer presents himself as the bereditary champion of the Achsmenids, $51 \%$ Restores the Zoroastrian Worship, 518. Eixtent of his kingdom, 519. Revolts during bis first six gears, 519 List of the countries conquered by him, 519. Takes Bebylon atter a siege of twenty months, 520. Defeats, mutilutes, and crucifles Phraurtes, 522. His Zoroastrian zeal, 523. Conquest of the Indians, 525. Approximate date of his Indian expedition, 525. His Scythian expedition, 526. Crusses the Hellespont by a bridge of boats 526. Present from the Scythian princes to the invader, 527 . His escape from the Scythians, 527 . Contrust between the adventures of Darius and Napoleun in Kussia, one of the must atriking paralels in his
tory, 527. Death of Darios, 528.

Daring 1I., Nothas 532.

- ILI, Codomannus, the last king of Persis, 537. His flight from Issus and Arbela, 537 . Alexander throws his cloak over the corpse of, 528, 538 .
Date-palm, its 360 uses, 198.
Days of the week, origin of the names of, 362 .
Dead (the), Egjptian judgment of, 177.
Delocres, king of Media, $40 \%$. The hero-eponymas of the Meder, 408. The despoi's mode of life and government, sccording to Herodotus's ideal picture, 410.
Deities, three orders of Egyptlan, 173. Fable accouning for their animal shapes, 174 . Theory that the animals were consecrated for benefita derived from them, 174 Sopposed analogies between the attributes of the gods and the rpecific qualities of the animals, 175.

Delta of the Nile, 18. Its dimenstons, 19.
Deluge, among the oldest traditions of the Aryan race, 378.
Democedes, eent by Darius to Greese as a spy, 525.
Derceto, the great godiess of Ascalon, 221.
Desert sone (the great) and its interruptious, 4
Despotic power a misfortmne for all who inberit, a crime in all who seize it, 509 .
Dewầ $=\theta$ eós, the Aryan name of the Supreme Being 377.
Dido $=$ the fugitire, 568 .
Diodorus on Egyptianhistory, $3 E$.
Dodecarchy of Egypt, 340
Dominion of the world transferred from the despotism of the East to the free sphrit of the West, 528 .
Dualism the religion of the Iranians, 386.
Daplication of events or persong to get over a diniculty, 283.

## $\mathbf{E}$

Ecbatana, building of the capital city, 408. The modern Mamadan, 410. Erclipse of Thules 476. Figypt, Upper add Lower, 2 .

The granary of the ancient world, 20. Causes of its early prosperity, 2r. Duficulty of luvasion, 21 . Abundant supply of ford, 21. Facility of communication, 21. Etymolony of the word, 28. Histury begins with Egypt, 29. The real records of Eyyptinn bistory her own monuments and books, 32. Classification of Egyplian monamente 34 Two classes of records of especial historical valae, 34 The soveu divine ralers of Egypt, 37. Divisiou between Upper and Middle Eyypt, 168 . Difficulties of the Egyptian language, 9
Egypliuns civilised before any other people, 14 Their astronomical and geometrical discoveries, 22. Symbols of life and death the Nile or Osiris, and the evil power or Typhon, 23. Speculations on the origin of the Egyptians, 24 Belonged to the Caucastan, not the African, race, 26. Their names Chem and Mirtaim, 27. Succession of kings according to He ndotus, 38. Lists of M8netho, 39. Question of whether hts dynastiee were succes-ive or contemporaneous, 39. Table of contemporaneousness of dynasites, 40. Eight broad divisions of the whule history of Egyptr 40. Introduction of auimal worsmp, 42. First three dynasties, 42. The real history begins with the fourth dynasty, 43. The life of the Egyptians rypresented on the tombs surronnding the pyramids, 48. Mechanical arts and moral views of the oldest Egyptians, 50. Pulicy towards aubject states, 88. Wretched condition of the native peasantry, 103. Razzias to kidnap negrope, 104. Decline of power, 105. 1'0litical division of Egypt, 128. Submits to Alerauder the Great, 155. Becomes a Roman province, 155. Permanence of the Egyptian character, $15 \%$ seven clusses enomerated by He rudolus five by Modorug 158. Tast variety a
clanees of ertisans reen on the monoments, 159. Priest the higbeat clasg. 16a. The military clase, 16i. The government an abeolute monarchy quallfled by lawa, 162 . Tbeory of the king's royalty, 163. His divinity and rules of dally life, 163. Dnaties, 16 ).
His insignia, 163. Mistake about his poothumous Judgment, 164 The succession hereditary, 164 Judicial administrution, 167. Gevernment in the hands of the ewo privileged orders, priests and moldiers, 169. Egypt revolte from Darius, 528. Kegaine ite independence, 533. S* Arebitecture. Sculpture, Palating, Hieroglyphics.
Elephantine, island of, 17.
Eleutheria, Smyrmean feetival of the, 404.
Fmbalmment, from belief in the resurrection of the body, 177.
Snentets and Mantotpe, monumentes of the, 63 .
Era of Nabonacear nearly coineldent with the foundation of Rome, and the first recorded Olympian Fictory, 267.
Eratorthenes on Eappth 31.
Eirythracan see of Herodoting 550.

Eear-Haddon, king of Aneyris and Babylonia, 290. Cyilndera in the British Museum, contalining records of his nine carnpatges, 290. Dentroys Sidod, 291. Invades Egyph 291. His palacee, 293.

Eemunatar, tring of stidon, inseription of, 575.
Esther, the Jewist queen of Хегх्य. 530.
Exhisal forms a dew dyandy at Tyre, 56\%.
"Etr ¿ßacúhoura, 289.
Elemina wioda of EgJpt 22.

Echiopta, great macerdotal kingdom of, 16. The prieste rend a mentence of drath to the ling whrn they thinis be thas Hived lors enough, 127. "The vise race of Cusb," 124 Name. deseription, end fimite of tichlapia, 125. Eayptian derivation of the ward, 12s. The unsirang bow a mymbol of, go7.
annexed to the Persian empire, 508.
Etbnology and comparimon of languages, light thrown by them on ancient hite tory, 5.
Euphrates courte of the, 194 Derivation of the word, 194 Anclent canala connecting it with the Tigris, 197.
Evagoras'n rebellion in Cyprus 53 .
Evil-Merodach, reign of, 322.
Exndua from Egypl, calamities attending it, 106.
Eyedoctorn, Eigyptian, 159.

## F

Fairy-tale, the oldeet in the world, 189 .
Feridan, ting of Persia, 379.

- Ferouber, the aymbol of detty, 379, 393. Eingraving of the emblem, 396.
Fervert and Yacatam, 389.
Fire-worship, 396.
Fish-god, names of the, 371 .
Fyem (the) or nome of Aralnoex, 67.


## $G$

Gades founded by the Phosnicians, 962.
Gashfe of the Zendaverta, 383.

Genests, Its four principles of clamification of racen, 4. Agreemebt of tits records. with the resulte of comperative phllolugy, 10.
Gengraphical mile, the only natural measure of the cartb's surface. 419 . Its commenaurability with the btadiam, 419.
Germetry, origin of 22. Esypilan practical, :89, Cbaldman gevinetry and srithmetic, 364.
Glmirt ( - Cimmertans), meaning of the term, 473 .
Olasa, Asyrian, 2ea. Tyrian, 598
Glancas, © Chlan ertist, bla eliver bowi at lelpht, 459 . God, Frypitan doctrine of one ell-existing. z 7 a . The name in the macred boukt of Eagpe " I am that I ami' ${ }^{172}$
(tomatcen, the etre name of the Prebdo-Amerdis, sog. Usurpe the exown of Persian sto.
Gondian thot, the, 453.
Grotefend'e decipberment of

## the cunelform character

 352.Gyga, ling of lydis, 294 His ring. 461. Oracle that vengeance for the Hers. clide would fall on bis fith descendant, 461. Dafes of the five genera-. tinas, 4hs.

## ت

Hadad (Edomite prince) protected by Pbaraub, 114 Keturns to reclaim his birthright, $12 a$.
Halicarnasesu, kingdom of 447.

Halye (the), the boundary of the Median and Lydian empiree, 307, 474. A great ethuic and bistorical brun dary. 418.
Ham, fulfiment of Noab's propletic curse m, 7 .
Hamath or Epiphania, clty and kingdo m of, 559 .
Hamite race, the four branches, 6.
Hanflng gardens of Bebylon, 318, 359.
Harparusis conquest of the Ablatic $G$ reeke, 499.
Harpy tomb, the, 440
Hatason (queen), menument of her spleniour in the palace of Karnak, 8q.
Eebrewn end of their capltvity. 499.
Hebron, building of, wig.
Hellientoin, a temple tor the Greeks in tigyot, 85 .
Hermette booke of the jgyp-
 rians, 161.
Herodotus's acconnt of Egyp. tinn life and manners, 10.
Heroes-ponymi of natlots artifctal artangemente of, $4 \times 7$.
Findekel of Edra, the Tigris, 194.

Hieratte writing, examples of. 349.
Hieroglyphica, their inter. pretation diecovered tondependently by If. Young and Champoillon.jh. Three forms of beyptian writing. bictorlypble hieratic, and demotic ur enchorial 184 insorvery of the liey io hienoglyphica, 184 Parib culars of the dincovery. iss. The cbaracters parliy phunetic and partiy tideo graphic, $\mathbf{1 9 6}$. Hustrationa of the ajotem, 186.

Firam, king of Tyre, hig alliance with Solomon, 565. Hia great works at Tyre, 556. Letters between him and Solomon, 566.
Histieus, the Milesian General, 527.
History, when seentre begins, 1. Sacred, 2. The field of ancient history divided bv mountain-chajns and tablelands into three portions, 3. - (ancieut), its two different stremms and two antagonist principlea, Ir. New materials for the authentic bistory of the Hast, It. lmportant synchronisms between sacred and secular, 120. Coincicidence of sacred, secular, and monumental, 282.
Homs, ceremony of offering the juice of the plant, 393.
Hopbra (Phargoh) of Scripture, the apries of Herodutus, 149.
Horses, Nisman breed of, 402.
Hur, the supposed capital of Chaldeen, 208.
Hyksos or Shephend kings invade Egyp $, 62,73$. False identilication with the Hebrews, 75. Their race Semitic, 75. Conquer Exypt, 76. Their expulsion. 79.
Hyroeades discovers the means of taking Sardis, 498.
Hystaspes (- Viçtaspa and Gushtasp) father of Darius, 381,487,513,522.

## I

I or Ilon, the supreme god of the Assyrio-Babylonian pantheon, 368.
Inarus and Amyrtans, rebellion in Egypt under, 5 ;1.
Incarnation of the Egyptian gods in the bull Apis, the bull Mnevis, and the goat at Mendes, 175.
Indo-European or Indo-Germanic languages, 8. Table of the Indo-Earopean family of languages, II.
Inflectional languages, two families of, the Indo-kuropean and the Semi to 8.
Inceriptions, Perslan trilingrail and blilngual, 35 r.
Insigaia of the Eing of Egyph 153, 164
Ionlaus the Exyptian name for the Gretks in general. 144, Ionian colonies In Asta Minor. 463. Revole egainst Darius, 528

Ionic capital, type of, in an Assyrian temple, 343.
Iran, the table-land of, 375.
Irony of history, example of the, 289.
Isaurians, their long indepeudence, 434.
Israel under Solomon, empire of, 240. Captivity of the Israelltes east of Jordan, $17 a$ The whole population removed to Mesnpotamia, 272. The remainder carried 2way captive, 274
Issus, passea or R tem of, 416.
Iva-Lush or Vul-lush, reign of, 261.

## $J$

Jacob, group of Jebusites formerly taken for the family of, 7x.
Japhetic race, 374
Jehoiakim put to death hy Nebachadnezrear, 3 ra Jehu, king of Jsrael, 257. Jemshid, mythical reign of, 378.

Jeroboam's rebellion, $12 a$
Jeruselem, acconding to Manethe quoted by Josephus, built by the Shepherd kings of Egypt, 80, The date of its investment by Nebuchadnezzar a Jewish fast 314. Epoch of the destruction of, 315 . Besieged by Sennacherlb and defended by Hesekiab, 285.
Jewish kingdom named on the monuments of Sheshonk, 120. Likeness between the Jewish and Egyptian codes, 165.
Jews, sympathy of Cyras and Darius for their pure monetheism, 518.
Jospphus's acoonnt of the Invasion of Egypt by the Shepherd kings, 74
Joseph's Pharaoh. 78. Joseph brought into kgypt under the Shepherd-king Aphophis, 79.
Jostah, death of, 146.'
Judes, promise of its complete liberatinn from Assyris fultilied, $28 \%$.
Judah, the great capuivity of, 3 II.
Judith (book of) one of the earliest examplea of historical fiction, 408. Its aubstratum of historical truth, 522.

Jupiter Belus, temple of, 200.

## F

Kanats or underground canals for irrigation, 402.
Karnak and Luxor, monuments of 85 . The numerical wall of Karmak, 90. Desoription of the Hall of Colnmns, the triumph of Esyptian archliecture, $9 \%$.
Khorsabad, M. Botta's discovery of inscriptions at, 278. Mounds and platforms, 341. Palace of Sargon, 342
Kileh - Sheryhat (Asshur). mins of, 228.
Knuphis or Noum $=$ the Creator, 171.

## I

Labyretas, king of Babylon, 496.

Labyrinth, the Fgyptian, 66.
Lachish, site of, $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$.
Lamps, fenst of, in bonour of the goddess Neith, 143.
Language comparative, the best test of national affinity. \%. Three classes of the form of langrages 8.
Layand's (Rt. Hon A. H.) discoverles at Nitmrud, 244.
Leather Standard, the sacred, 379.

Lebanon, cedars of, 546 .
Leleges and Pelaspians sister races, 445. The earliest known inhabitants of Samos, 445. Sprung from the atones of Deucalion, 446.

Lelex the first native king of Laconia, 44a
Letters of the alphabet brought from Phoenicia into Greece by Cadmus, 560 .
Аеumórupot, 421.
Libyans and the militia of Egypt, 123.
Lunar ecifpees alone predicted by the Chaldeans, 363.
Luxor and Karnak, moonments of, 85.
Lycian art remains of discovered by Sir C. Fellows, 436. Greek legends of the origin of the Lycians, $4 ; 6$ Languare and inscriptions, 438. Three tribes 418 . Tremilas the name of their principal tribe, 439. Sculpture and architecture, 439. Tomb of Paiafa in the British Museum, 4\% "Inscribed Monument," 440. Federal government 41.

Lydia, gold of, 494. Iydia Proper and Torrhebla, 449. Lydians, the, 448. Their colonisation of Etrurls, 450. Origin and antiquities of the race, 45I. Mythical genealogy of the brothers Lydus, Mysua, and Car, 451. Kingdom of Lydia, 456. lis three dynasties, 456. Genealogy of the kinge of the first dynarty, the Atyade, 456. The eccond dyunaty, the Heraolide, 457. Theory of the Assyrian origin of the dynasty, 458. Bemitic origin of the Lydlans, 458. Tre third dynaty, the Mermpads, 460. War between Lydia and Media, 475.
Lygdamia leader of the Clmmerians, tilled, 468.

## M

Mronis, poetical use of the word, 449.
Mreonians, the, 448 . Expelled by the Lydians, 449.
Magian religiod mistaken for Zoroastrianism, $\mathbf{3} 96$.
Magophonia, festival of, $\mathbf{5 1 g}$.
Malikin's Hestorical Parallele, 509.

Mau-bull a symbol of the divine power, 343 .
Manameh's captivity, 29r. Restoration, 192
Manetho's history of Espyph 31. Lut of Dy masties, 31.

Manichasign a perversion of Zorossirian doctrine, 388.
Manu, Indian lewe of, 377 -
Marathon, battle of. 528 .
Marsyes, mythical conflict of Apollo with, 426.
Mathematical and astronomical discoveries due to Babylonia rather than to Exype, 358.
Matieni, the, 42.
Mant, the unlvoreal mother, representing inert matter, 171.

Mataca or Cresarea, capteal of Cappadocies 417.
Maxdelam the reltgion of Zoromert, 3 R4.
Media, elgnification of the name, 20\%. Limits of 394 Media Atroyetene, and Meria Magna, 400. Its imperfect rubjection to Angyric, 408.
Medes, thetr thrie dintinct altexctes on 4 seyria, 297. And Persians both brancbet of the Aryan ract 374 Their aftintity with races
of northern Indie and with European racre, 375. Origin of the Medea, 40 . Foundation of the Median monarchy, 406. Thetr alx tribes, 408. War with the Lydians, 476.
Medicine (Egyptian) empirical and often absurd, 189.
Medo-Persian empire, tita neture, 492.
Megabaris's conquent of Thrace and Macedonia, 528.

Megabyzus retakes Memphit, 531. Revolts, 531 .

Melcarth, the Phaeniclan Herculea 576.
Meles, charm of king. 498.
Memnon, the vocat, 85 . Description of the statue, 94 Explanation of the eound emitted from it, 94 .
Memphia, the earilest neat of the Egyptian ixingdom, 42. The IMemphian monarchy - pertod of civilisation which had no known infadcy, 5 . The alte Identified, 52 . The Memplite necropolif, 53. The Mrmphian dyuastics, 53. Fall of the monarchy, 57 .
Menephthe, the Pharaoh of the Exodus, sos.
Menes, first (human) king of EkJpt. 31. Affinties of the word, 37. His enginecring wurike, 51. Tuma the oounse of the Nile, 51. Builds Mempbta, 51 . Founder of the empire of Egypt, ss. Era of Menes,59.
Mentor transfers bls services from sidon to Persia, 515 . Defeate Nectanebo, king of Egypt $3 ; 6$.
Mencaleh, the Pelusiac mouth of the Nule, 28. The great lake, 115.
Mermnad Eings of Lydia, 6 g.
Merodach and Miel, the tute. Lary deitien of Bebylon and Borsippa, 213.

- Bataden conquered by Alargon, 277.
Meroe, Island of, r6. Capital of Upper Ethiopia, 126. Infirrent ofidons on tis origia. 116. Honours to Amun and, Oetris at, 119.
Meerpotamta compared with Ekypt, 192. The empira, first of Aesyria and aiterwarde of Batrylon. 191. Mesopotamia Proper, rgG. Firity etbnology of, 202.
Metonic or sulden cycle kown to the Cbaldsang, 36). Its exact perlod, 36 ).

Metric aystam (French) at vartance with history, mature, and acleace, 30 r .
Midas, Eing of Pbrygla, 46? A type of the wealth and fall of the kingdom of Purygla,453. Legenderof, 454 As som of Orpheus a type of the cultivation of mumla among the Paryglans. 484 . Histarical elemente in the legende, 454 Inacription on his toml. 455. His suicide, 427, 467.

Milk,intoxicating drink frum, 473.

Mina, valuen of the Attic and Eginetan, 162.
Mithra (the Bun) and his antagonist Mithra the Bad, 190.

Mithraic worshlp, 390
Misraim the Bemitic name of the Egyptians, 6 .
Moabite inseription, newiy discovered, 281.
Marts, lake, described, 6\%. ite use, 68 .
Mohammed'a way extended over the middle of Hell, 391.

Monarchies, connection between Lydian, Median, and Hebylonian, 479.
Mouollth chamber, trans. portation of an Esegtian, 194
Monogamy of Eegption prieats 16 r .
Munth, the 8un-God, ryI.
Moon, temple of the, at Mughelr, 134.
Mowehici Montet, 416.
Wines, bis name fifyptian, 202. Indtation into tre wisdom of the Eigyptian priesta, 164.
Mouse (the), ancred emp blem, 132.
Mycerem, hion gate of, 429.
Myoerinug plety and detacative of. 14.
Mylitia, Ailita, of mitre, mode of nacrificing to, 394
Myedan language a mixtore of Phrygtan and Lydian, 423.

* Nahathean Archilecture." a book written at Bebylon, 213.
Nabonaesar, ers of, 22 3. Its exect epoch, 264, Ientroy: the acte of the kinge belore bim, 304 Litt of kinge of Babion from the era of 104
Fibonadias or ETabualding
last king of Rabyion, 202, 323. His alliance with Croesus, 202, 323. Defeated by Cyrus, 324 His flight. to Borsippa, 324 Motive for associating Belshazzar in the sovereignty, 324. Surrenders to altis is fa voured by Cyrus, 325.
Nabopolassar causes limself to be proclained king of Babyion and overthrows the Assyrian empire, 306. His great engineering works at Babylon, 307. End of the dynasty of, 323.

Nahum, striking prophecy of, 177.

Nairi, country of the, 239. Their tribes, 23I.
Napata, sacerdotal kingdom of, 123. City of, 126. Its commerce, 126.
Natlons of the ancient world, two great groups of, 10. Eastern and Western distinguished by immobility and energy, $1 a$.
Neho, chicf of the gods, 225. His statue, 262.
Nebuchadnezzar, king of Babylon, 147. The one great monarch of the Babylonian empire, 305. Without him the Babylonians would have bad no place in history, 308. Created the empire of Babylon by the victory of Carchemish, 308. Signiflcation of his name, 308. Campaign against Tyre, 309. Takes Jerusalem, 309. Destroys the temple, ©II3. His vision of the colossal image of the empires of the world, 312. Destroys Jerusalem, 315. Contrasted with Titus, 316. Invades Egypt twice, 317 . Conquers Egypt, 317. His great works at Babylon, 318. Built the great wall, 318. His madness, 319. Marvellous activity and energy, 319. Tke greatest type of the Oriental despot, 3ry His lycanthropy, 320. Recovery and death, 321. Decline of the Babylonian empire after his death, 321. Translation of his inscription, 201. "Standard Inscription," 325. His account of his works at Babylon, 325. Description of his edifices, 339 .
Neco, the Pharaoh-Necho of
the Bible, 146. His attempt to complete the canal connecting the Mcditerranean and the Red Sea, 147. His fleets in the two seas, 448.
Nectanebo, the last ting of independent Egypt, 155,
Neith identified with Athena or Minerva, 143.
Nedjef, inland sea of, 198.
Nicolas of Damascus, the historian, 258.
Nile, Egypt the gift of the Nile," 14. Cataracts. 15, 16. Physical phenomena, 15, 16. Formed by the junction of the White and Blue rivers, 35, 16. Junction with the Black River, 15, 16. Paludes Nili, 16 . Branches of, at the Delta, 18. Periodical inandation, 19. Its carse, 20.

Nilometers, 20.
Nimrod, Cushite kingdom of, 7. Kinedoms of Nimrod and Asshor, 202. Etymological connection of Nimrod and Nipru, 208.
Nimarud, mounds of, 245 . Plan of the mound, 246. Mr. Layard's description of the North-West palace at, 247. Standard inscription of, 249. Pyramid of, 253. Nimrud Identified with Calab, 254
Nin, the Assyrian Hercules, after whom Nineveh was named, 220. Ninus and Ninyss impersonations of, 220.

Nineveh or Niniveh, site of, 227. Note on the site and extent of, 240. Its fall and evidence of its destruction, 263, 296, 297. Its destruction described by the Jewish prophets, 300. The epoch of its fall, 146, 223, Still unsettled, 30I. Contents of the Royal Library of, 357. Nineveh taken by Cyaxares, 476 .
Niniva Claudiopolis, 212.
Ninip, the Assyrian Hercules, 371 .
Ninus, the hero-eponymus of Nineveh, 22a
Ninyas, son of Semiramis, 222. A politic and selfindulgent ruler, 222, His profound policy, 222.
Nitocris, queen of Babylod, 152, 323. Regarded as a queen regnant by Herodotue, 308. Her vengeance and sulcide. 56.
Nomes, division of Egypt
into, 168. Their nambers increased under Roman emperors, 168 . Their nomarchs and toparchs, 168. Delegates of the nomes lodged in the labyrtuth. 169.

Nubian eye, elongated, 125 .
Numerals, Egyptian, 189.
Nyanza, Albert and Victoria, 16.

## 0

Oannes and other fish-men, 204. The fish-god, worabipped in Phllistia, 221.
Oasis of Ammon, 168. And the Great Oasis, $50 \%$.
Obelisk (the Black) of Shalmaneser II.
Obeliskes, dimensions of Egyptian and Assyrian, 255.

Ochus or Artaxerzes III, 534. His cruelty and bloodthirstiness, 534- His ministers Bagoas and Mentor, 534. Poisoned by Bagoas, 536.

Ophthalmis always one of the plagues of Egypt, 155 .
Oppert's (M.) Cunelform grammar, 354.
Ormazd or Ahuramazda, the Greek Oromasdes, titles of, 384. His creation of man and the corruption of the work by Ahriman little different from the similar account in Genesis, 390.
Orceest, treason and punishment of, 524 .
Osirls and Typhon, the good and evil principles, 37 . Osiris, Isis, and their scn Horus, 172. Buat of Osiris, 172.

## $P$

Pacifcation, Roman idea of, 201.

I'adan-Aram or Osroëne, 146. Painting, Egyptian chlefly a decorative art, 182 . Lllustrations of the Ritual of the Dead, 183. Assyrian painting, 347 .
Pamphylians, their name indicative of their mixed race, 435. Origin of their Hellenic element, 435 .
Panthelstic Saloeism of Baby' lonia, 367.
Paphlagonians, their etbnlc affinity to the Cappaido cians, 427 .

Papyrue med, description of writing on it, 113 .
Peryeatis. wife of Darius, II. 512. Her wickednees, 532. Unbouruded appetice 10 r cruelty, 533. The ahewolf of Persia, 534
Pasargades the Peraian caplial of both Cyrus and Cambysen, 486.
Panbs the goddess of Ere, id-ntified with Artemis, 118.

Pelanglans, the earliest known inhabitant of Greeoe and Southern Italy, 424. Connection of the Pelasglans in Asia Minor with those of Kurope, 424 Their peacefal agricultura character, 425.
Periods of time personified by anclent writers. 361.
Persia Proper or Persis, 399.
Persians divided into three classet, 380. The national heroes, Rustem, KulKhosru, and Farrukhead, 395. Position of Persia under the Median supremacy. 485. Tbeir ten uribes and threa acial clames, 486. Conquest of Ligyp $;$;36. Constitution of the empire, 539 . Royal judges of Persia, 539 . Derpoticm of the Great King, 540.

Phanes of Halicarnasenk, his edvice to Cambyees, 505. His sons killed before their father's eyen, 505.
Plareob of the kxodas (eot Merrephths), 10 .
Phadima diecovers the improlure of the pecudosmerdia, 912.
Philm, the burisl-place of the god Osirisa 16.
Philip of Macedon, death of, 537.

Pbecticia, dearripdion of, 543. Climate, 545. Etymology of the word, 545 . No native bistory of, 547 . It popolation be fore une migration of the Canem thes, 54. Cbief clices, 557. Its bietory divided tolo periode of 8idenian and Tyriad expremacy, $55 \%$. Pboenticta before the Exodue deecribed th an Eroptiso papyras, 557.
Pbosacitan civilization ombmected with Eagyptian, 81. The contrecting link between Greece and Fgytit 8. The great marilure forve of the Persin ewn-
pire 504 The Phoenlcians refuee to eerve against Carthage, 50\%. The chief remnant of the Canaeaites, 948 . Pheminician league onder the supremacy of Tyre, 5.2. The seat of trade in the oldest biblical reconde, 542. The Hyksos or Shepherda called Phoenicians, 541. The Phoenictana semitic, 552. Their language and the Hebrew differed only as dialects, 552 Settle ments on the southern coast of Spain, 962 In Africa, Sardinia, and Sictly, 562. Relations to Asayria, 569: conquered by Asshur-badi-pal, 571.
Phraortee atteck Asayria, 297. Succeede leioces, 410. A Mede of the game name heads a rebellion against Larius, 4 II. 4 pretender to the crown of Darius, s21. His panishment, 415.
Phrygen etymology of the name, 428.
Pbrygis Greck
legends traced to, 426.
Phrygians of Aryan or Japhetic origin, 422. Their language ropresenta the older atock from which both Greelz and Latin sprank. 429. Thefr relaHonship to the early population of Greece. 444 Remaine of architecture, 425 . Keducod to narrow limith, 427. Bupretmacy at mea, 427 . Phtha, the Egyptian deliy, the worker by the energy of fire 17. His kemple, 51. The patron delty of Memphts, 52 The allworking power of íre, 17 . Identified whth Hepheestul, 173.

Plankh, the Ethloplan king. invedes Egyph 123.
Plece (M.), his diccoveries at Koyonjit, 42
Dolycrases of Samoa, histofical episode of, 154. Tepend of bia Priendship wih Amenia 524 Put to desth, 524 schiller's ballad 'the tuing of Polycrates 54
Polygany forbiden to Ekypilian priesta 161. and incestnous tramiage of the Persian kinge 503.
Polyblewr
(Alezender),
worta of, 31a
Polytheism, origin of Egyptinn, 87.

Presteasen in Fiaypt, $\mathbf{r 6 r}$.
Prients of Ebyplisa rempleat 180.

Propyleat of Egypilan temb pleat ito.
Pasmmentitus defeated and put to drath by Camf bysemys.
Pbennmetichus, his fulfiment of ©1 ortacta, 140 Makes bimelf ting of Fixyph 14t. His Hellentaing po Hey, 143. Favours beaped on his meroenariph 144. Deserted by the Figyptian clame of warriore in number 200,000, 144
Psendo-Smerdis, the, $\mathbf{g 1}$. Katabllahes the Maxian systrm, overthrowing the forcostrian worship. git. His imponture dimpovered. 512. Blain by Darius 512 (see Gomates).
(-, 1 mocond, 523.
Paychootasy of the Esyptian dead, 177.
Pteria, batile of, 497.
Ptolemien, E'gypt under the, 159.

Ptolemy, canon of, 232 .
Pul of Scripture (the), queb tion concerning, 265.
Punjab conquered by Darlus, 525.

Pygnallon and Elice (Dido), 569.

Pyrsethrs uf fire-towers, $\mathbf{3 9 6}$.
Pyramid, the Great the bighest building to the world 174
Pyramide of Jizeh, 4\%. D2oovery of workmen's bleruglyplica in the centre of the mase of the kroat pyramid, 4). The pri"e cuid of Cheope the first monumental link to not only Exypitan bui onfverach biswry, it. Plan of the pyramide $4 t$ Pyramids of Cephiren and Mgorticua 49. series of pyramide from Jizh w Panhuur, 45. Artinite motivee for thalr alize aud form, 4t. The trmpletombe of leibed tinge, 40 . Skill sod art in buitiling the pyramids evidence of ulgh evilitation, 46. The proat pladn of the pyrasides g . A At ronountcal -alculations to determitio the date of the great pyrt mhl, 59. The lyrantd of Legrevel at Sakkera, Bil.


R
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$, the mertian Sun, $\mathbf{1 7 r}$.
Races of mankind, four phystologically distinguished, 6.
Rava, the Egyptian symbol of the Creator, Ifi.
Ramaykna, the most aycient Senscrit eqic, 377.
Rameses, the city of, papyri recording the Hebrews by name as its builders, $10 z$.

- $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ or Rhamases, 97 .
II., bon of Setill 97. Hbs exploits recorded in the Greek legend of Sesoetris, 98. Conquests, 99 The Louis XIV. of the Exyptian monarchy, g9. The Rameseid, an epic by Pentaour, 99. Personal explott of Rameses told in a trre. Homenc spiric, 1 an Treaty with the Hitite kinf, 10x. His enormous harem, ror. One of his wives his own daughter, 101. The great oppressor of the Israelites, 101. His great buildings, 104 His collossal statues, portraits of himself, 104 Buat of one of them in the British Musetm, 104
- IIL, restores the Egyptinn empire, 107. His campaignis, $\mathbf{1 0 8}$. Victurg of his fleet, 109.
- XII, collecting tribate in Mesoputamia marries a chief's daughter, Ita.
Rawlinson's (Sir H.), transcription of the trilingual yper-inscription of Behsitun, 353.
Rehoboam's submission to bigyptan sovereignty under Sheshont, 121 .
Religion (Egyptian), next to the divine unity, the 1 m znortallty of the soun, the dogme most characteristic of, 17I. Three orders of detties, 173. The eight grat gods, 173. Twelve of the second order, 173. Two systems of religion, 169. Secrets of Eyyptian turulogy, 169.
- of Assyria and Babylon, 367.

Resen. site of,
Rhags or Rhages, the chief ctty of Rhagiana, 402.
Rhagiana, district of, 403.
Rig-Vedis, hymins of the, 37\%, 388.

Eitual of the dead, Egrptian,
145. 178. The Egyptian bible, 18\%. Contains a complete acconnt of the Egyptian doctrine of the futare life, 188.
Rock-city, a town cut out of the natural rock near the Halys, 425.
Rock-hewn temples of Edypt, 179.

Rosetta stone, the, $36,185$.
Hotennou in Meospotamis, the general name of, 212.

Sabaco II., identifed with the priest-kiog Sethos of Herodotus, 129.
Sabacos the Ethiopian conqueror of Egypt, 128.
Sabsan worship of the heaventy bodies, 206.
Subeism explained, 367.
Sabasius, the Phrygian name of Dionysus, 426.
Sace, the Persion name for all Scythiang, 473. The Saks Tigrakbude and Saka Humawarga, 473.
Sadyattes, relgo of, 468
Saysartia revolts against harias, 522.
Sais, the last capital of the Pharaohs, $11 \%, 142$. Its remains, riy, 142. Connextion with Athens, 143. Greek population, 143. Solon's visit to, 143.
Saite Eings of Egypt, 14r. The monarchy reached its acmé under Pharoah Necho, 146.
Salices, the tirst of the shepherd kings, 77.
Samaria, the kingdom of, destroyed, 273.
Sán, plain and mine of, 117. The site of the ancient Tanis, 117.
Sanchoniathon's Pboeniclan history a forgery, 547.
Sandanis's expostulation to Croesus, 496.
Sangarius and Halys, the rivens, 416.
Sanscrit, the sacred language of $\ln$ dia, 8.
Saracus burns himself with his palace, 299
Sardanapalus collects his treasures, constructs a funeral pile, and perishes with his wives and concubines, 222.
Sardis, capital of Syria, $44^{8}$. Pronunciation of the word, 448. siege of, 498. Capured by cyrus, 324.

Sargon, founder of the $\mathbf{d y}$ Dasty of Bubasta, 1 iry.
Sargon, or Sarkin, a millitary adventurer, 274 . His campaigne, 275. One of the most splendid kings and suocessful warriors of Assyria, 275* His ammals exist in two forms, 275. Victory over the Egyitiams at Raphia,275. Expeditions agtinst the Medes, Parthians, and other nations, 276. Gapture of Ashdod, 276. Embassies to him from Cyprus and Asman. 278. Asssssinated, 278 . His description of his palace at Khorsabad, 279 Fruitless siege of TyT:

- 570 Expedition against Cypras, 571.
Saseanids, their sacred leather standard, 379.
Satellite of Jupiter seen with the naked eye, 364.
Satrapies, Persian, 538. Explanation of the word satrap, 538.
Scribes, Egyptian corporation of, 168.
Sculpture, Egyptian style nf, 69. The product of religion, 182. Its spirit symbolism and repose, 18 . Harmonious ribythm of lite postures of several tigures, 182. Five different periods of the art, 182.
Scylax's voyagerdown the Indus, 525.
Scytbian dumination over - Asia, 298. Their dominion lasted for twenty-eight years, 471. Thnee significations of the name, 472. How it is applied by Hesiod and Eichylus, 472. Derivation of the word 473. Asistic and Earopean Scythas, 464,474
Seals, anclent Assyrian, 355.
Seal-cylinders 338 .
Semiramis, legend of, 220. Her divine birth, 220. Exploit for which Ninue married ber, 22I. Becomes sole queen, 2:1. Her prodigious edifices, 221. Keprasched for her debaucherles and thrcatened with crucifixion by the Indian king 221. Her own record of her deeds, 22I. Stories of her amours, 222. Apothersils of, 222 an hi-torical Semiramis, 262.
Semitic languages, 9 1/vided into Semitic proper and Sob-Semitic, 9. Taile
of the Semitic tamily of tanguages, 12.
Sennacberib, reiga of, 282. His recovery of asmetring of an ancient prede cessor, 233. Reconquers Bebylon, 283. And Phemicla, 130, 223, 571 : Victory at Altaku over Egypt and Ethiopia, 131, 286. Besiegea Jerusakm, 285. And Lachish, 286. His army destroyed by a miracle, 132 , 287. His fleet bullt on Pbeeniclan models, 288. Murdered by his two sonic, 225, 289. A type of oriental despolism in ite unmita gated feroctis, 225, 289. His faith in astrology, 365 His royal cylinder. 370 a Reck-Inacription al Bavian, 238. Lesson of bis relgn, 290. Hin palace at Koyunjik ( N Ineveh), 290.
Seruglio, disorder of the Persian, 530.
Serapeum the templa of Ap(s), a misiooter, 176
Serapls, worship of, 176.
Serbunis, morase of, the serbonian bog, 19
Sesortapen 1., founder of, Esyptian Thebea, 64 II., prototype of the Greek Benostris, hil deifcation, 65. His brick pyramid of Dathoor, 66.
Sesostris of the Greeks traced in Sesortasen, 63; and in Ramence the Great 98. Various accoumtaif him, 65. A pernonage made up of several kings of different epochs, 65. Greek legrod

 Téx $2 \eta \nu, 158$.
Set or Sontekh (the Egyptian name of Baal), the God of the Hittites 78 .
Setbon, the prient-king of Herciotus, 132.
Seti L, king of Erypt. 97. His maknifiocnt bultd.ags, 97. Reliefs and tuscriptions in the Hall of Columns, in the palace of Karnak, a Setieid of his explotit, 98. Began the canal oniting the Nille to the Red See 9a.
- II. (son of Menephtha), regains the throde frum the kings of Cbev, $10 \%$.
Shalmaneser I., the firt known Aesyrian conqueror, 213.
1L., statue of, 254 The Black Obelisk ITye 254

His campaikna, 259 . Fiebellion of bis eldest mon subdued by a younger, 2fo.
Shalmaneter IIL., expeditions of, 26.

- IV. deatroye the kingdom of Samaria, 273. His maritime campalgn againut Tyre, 274.
Shekel, Ites value, 114
Shemite rece, 7
Sheshont $I$, the firat Pharaoh mentioned in scripture hy his personal name (Sblishak), $12 a$
Sbluar, lend of, 2r3. The kingdom of A mraphei, 210.
Shishak or Sheshinik, i2a.
Sicily, Greak culontation of, 570
Sidereal and Cosmle dettiea, 37 I.
Sidon, the most anclent elty of Pboenicia, 553 . Its colonies and commerce, 559. Destroyed by Hearhaddon, 29t. By Artazerxes Ochus 577. Still a place of conalderable traffic, 580.
Sidonian, generlc use of the name for Pbcoulctian, syI. Superiority of the ficet, 377. The Sidominne burn ibetr own etiy. 5 35.
Slsilise breaking of the rocky bar of the Nile at. 68.
Sippara, huge reervoir near, 318.

Skutla, Persian and Egyptian compared, 505.
Smendich formation of his name from the Penian original, soj. Murdend by Cambyses, 504
Sondianus, retgo of, 532.
Soli in Cicilia. 412
Solotnon's afinity with Phasraoh, ili. His great commercis! empire, 114
Solon's visit to Beis, E43. His preaching to Croesus an anachronsism, 494.
Bolyml (the) in Lycia of Scmitic race, 4 \%. Conflicts of Bellerophon and other my thical beroes with them, 432. Origth of the name, 433. Their deeondante alris to the Karamaniana 433.
Sose, ner, and mar of Chaldean estrontiony, 361 .
Sparethra's (Queen) army of wotnen. 499.
Sorhic and rulgar (Eegptian) years, 22
Bphisc, the colnemal, $47^{\circ}$. Symbolical of power united with Intelligence, 48.
Stranger lings of Egyph os.

Stratford de Redclytur ( Lom) the colleation of Askyrlan monuments in the Britusb Museum mainly due ro, 245.

Snef canal, Incription atating that it was completed by Doripa 148. Route of the canal of M. de Lemeps 14.

Sufferet st Carthage and Tyre, 5:6.
Sultun denotes mank below that of a king, 278.
Sun (the) Hzyptian perwinlficatione of, 275.
Bondilal and Itsmmomon, 362.
Supreme Being, vartors names of the 379.
Sum chlef capital of the Peralan empire, 528.
Byene, the eun vertical at the summer molstioe at, 19 .
Symbotiam in the whole reHipton of Kigyph 171 Three otages of, 175.
Bymbola, Higyptian, suggested by the solint courat, 17t. Degenerating into the actual wornhlp of living enimals, 175.
Byria, derivation of, 259.

## $T$

Tants, the Graek form of 2oan. the Avaris of the Sbepherd kinpas 114 the ruins, isg. Becomen the capital of Veypt, 116 . INe ecription of sta adte, 15 .
Tareun, foundation of, 431.
Tatta Palus, the, 418.
Taurum chain of, 416 .
Taxation of latd, three categories of Eigyptjan, 168
Taylor cyinder in the Britioh Museum, 282.
Tel-Hasta (the bill of Paht), great mounth of, 119.
Temple (Higyptian), complete form of an, 18a. Extertor appendages of aphlnxew, obeliakn, and colonen, 197.
Temple of Jerumalem bornt. 3I5. Dates of ite bullding and dentruction, ils.
Templo-towein (Babylonian). cheir astronutnicas chas racher, 112. I he BltotNimrua the most perfert example, 132.
Termile, a name of the Lycians, $4^{\text {b }}$.
Teuthrapia and Teuthrae, 428.

Thanom gold mines of, 975 .
Thetree (byyptian), 17. Ithfancy of the monarchy of. 6). It epithet hecatome

| TIMSTS | INDEX. | ZENO 593 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| los, 83. Gates and war- |  |  |
| ariots, 8\%. Various | creating division among | W |
| names, 83. Site marked by the villages of Karnat | the Greek States, 532. Sacrificed to the revenge | Babylunian forta |
| uror, sec., 84 . Principal | of Parysatis, 533, | Buby |
| icee, 85. Vast neero- | Tnephachthus, curse on | Weights and measures |
| is, 85, Trade, manutares, and relgion, 86. | Menes pronounced by, 124. | nicia and Greeoe, 360. |
| Linen fabric th The | on Cyrus, 500. | West (the), the land of |
| sacendotal capital of all Tho worship Ammon, 86 | Transplantation of populations, Asyrian policy of | darkness and death, 59. <br> Wheat indigenous in Meso- |
| ho worship Ammosm, 80. | tions, astytian policy.01, | potamia, 198. |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | Triads of Egyptian deities, | White Syrians, 42I. |
| Theft, curions Egyptian law of, 166. | 173. The universal triad of Osiris, Isis, and Horus, | $\qquad$ wall of Memphis 53 r . Word (the Creative), dialogu: |
| Chirty, Egyptian supreme court of, 167. | 173. Each triad consisting in the worship of | of Zoroester with Ahuramazda respecting, 385. |
| hothmes I. begins the temple of Karnak, 89. | father, mother, and son, 171. The triad of Thebes, | Writing of immemorial antiquity in Egypt and Meeo- |
| III, his reign the | 172. Of Memphis, 173. |  |
| climax of the power of |  | originaily hieroglyphic, |
| Egypt, go Extent of her empire under him, go. | Tripolis, the threefold Phoonician colony of, 557. | 349 First departure from strict pictare writing, 349. |
| The Nomerical wall of | Turautau family of lan- |  |
| Karnak the record of bis | guages, 8. Turyas or | X |
| exploits, go His victory | Turamians represented by |  |
| over the Assyrians at | the Tatar and Finnish. | Xanthian trophy, 440. |
| Megiddo, 90. Conquest of | tribes, 379. A Japhetic | Xanthas, defence and cap- |
| Coele-Syria in his sixth ex- | race, 379. The Moschi | ture of, dis. ${ }^{\text {cerxes }}$ derivation of the |
| pedition, 91. Conquest of Nineveh and Babyion, or. | and Tibareni, 420. | Xerxes, derivation of the name, 522. Genealogy of |
| Marltime power, 91. | exta | rrand |
| cord of his conque | tian historical literature, | rother by the influ- |
| (115) African tribes, 92. | 188. | of his mother Atossa, |
| General view of the na- | Tyre, its antiquity inferior | Murdered by Arta- |
| tions subdued by him, 92. | to that of Sidon, 552. Old | S, 530 |
| Head and arm of his colossal statue in the | Tyre, 556. Connection of its form Sarra with Syria, | , murdere |
| British Museum, 93. | 556. Distant voy | Xoite kings, dynasty of, |
| Thrace, much of Greek. poetic culture traced to, $\mathbf{2 6}$. | the West, 562 . Successio of kings, 564 . Three sleg | Y |
| Thracians akin to the Teutonic family, 426. | by Sargon, Nebuchad nezzar, and Alezande | avanas, the branch of the |
| Thrasybulug, tyrant of Miletus, 469. | 570. Evekiel's historicail picture of its resources, | Aryan family which spread over Europe 375. |
| Thynt and Bithyn | Its exultation ove | heir name preserved in |
| tal king of nations, 21 | salem | nd |
| Tiglath-pileser, meaning of | Wealth and power befo | the Greek Ionians, 375. |
| - I., his cylinders in- |  |  |
| bed with | ptured by the | Yazatas and |
| characters, 235. Annals of, 235. Five campalgns, 236 . | 578 . Its present 8 tate, 579 yrian parple, 546 . | Yezidis or devil-worshippers, 396. |
| First organised Assyria as |  | Young'a (Dr.), discovery of |
| an empire, 236. <br> - II. an obscare | U | the phonetio nature of hieroglyphics 184. The |
| turer, 267. Recorde of his | kh and Ilgi , lnsc |  |
| wars, 269. Rednction of | $f, 208 .$ |  |
| Syria and Palest | - real of king, 338. | pollion, 194. |
| Tigranes of Armenia, | Urumlyeh, Lake, 402. |  |
| Story of his conquest of Astyages, 484 . |  | $Z$ |
| igris, |  | vanians, the (represented |
| mology identifying it th the Hiddekel of Eden | lake and kingdom of, | by the Guebrea and Par- |
| 4 Itajunction with the | eisdates, the second Psend | Zedekiah's rebellion against |
| Euphrates, 195. | Smerdia, 523. | Nebuchadneszar, 313. Hia |
| rhakah, |  | league with Phareak- |
| his power over all 135. |  | Hophra, 314 no thelsaurian |
| ANC. HIST. |  | $20$ |

Zend language, one of the oldest forms of Aryan speech, 388 . Its remains, 388.

Zend-aveata, the easential elements of the MedoPersian religion in the, 381. Its contents, 382 . The revelation of Mav deism made to Zoroaster, 384. Its doctrine of rewards and punishments, 391.

Zicharbas, the Sichmona of Virgil, 568.
Ziggurats or templo-lowern, Absyrian, 25 3, 332 . Relation between the Babylo-
lonian temple-towera and the kaypuian pyramids, 33). The siggurate quasireligions, 34 .
Zopyrug eelf-mutilation of, 519.

Arebellion of, againet Artaxerzes, 531.
Zorcaster, meaning of the tradition that he relpned an conqueror at Elabylon, 207. His dualistic doctrine of opposite divine principles, 378. Great religious reform, 380. Rernote data, 381. Legends of his perconal history, 381. The soene of hia misalion Beo-
triank 38t. Marvele recorded as attendant on his birth and career, 182. His doctrine a reaction from polytheiran and panthetatic naturalism, 382. Dialogue with Ormaed, 389 . Zoroestrianifn a pure mgnotheistic religion, 386 . Its peryersion into dualism, 186. Morality of the Zorosastrian falth almple and pure, 392. Ite abborrence of all idolatry, 39 .
Zur (the ancient Tyre), now a misarable rillage,

## MURRAY'S STUDENT'S MANUALS.


Formina a Chain of History from the Earlest Aoes down to Modern Times.

## English History and Literature.

"The great foundation for all useful knowledge we hold, without any doubt, to be the knowledige of the history and literature of our own country. On this ground Mr. Murray is especially strong. We are acquainted with many admirable books on these subjects, issued by various firms of high standing, some of which, such as Mr. Green's and Mr. Bright's, have universally recognized merits; but for the utility and completeness of the course we give the first place to Mr. Murray's series,"-Literary CAwrchman.
THE STUDENT'S HUME: A HISTORY OF ENGLAND, from the Earliest Times to the Revolution in $\mathbf{x 6 8 8}$. By DAVID HUME. Incorporating the Researches of recent Historians. New Edition, revised, corrected, and continued to the Treaty of Berkin in $\mathbf{1 8 7 8}$, by J. S. BREWFR, M.A. With Notes, Illustrations, and 7 Coloured Maps and Woodcuts. ( 830 pp .) Post 8 vo . 7s. $6 d$ -
** Also in Three Parts. 2s. $6 d$. each.

1. From g.c. 55 to the Death of Richard IIf., a.d. 1485
II. Hinnry VII. to the Revoletion, $\mathbf{x} 688$.

1II. The Kevolution to the Treaty of Berlin, 1878.
*. Questions on the "Student's Hume." 12mo. 2s.
THE STUDENT'S CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY OF mingland. From the Accession of Henry VII. to the Death of George II. By HENRY HALLAM, LL.D. ( 680 pp .) Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.
THE STUDENT'S MANUAT OF THE ENGLISE JANGUAGF. By GEORGE P. MARSH. (538 pp.) Post 8vo. 7s. $6 \mathrm{~d}^{2}$.

## THE STUDENT'S MANUAL OF ENGLISE LITE-

 RATURE , By T. B. SHAW, M.A. ( 5 ro pp.) Post 8vo. 7s. $6 d$.THE STUDENT'S SPECIMENS OF ENGLISE LITE. RATURRE. Selected from the Best Writers, and arranged Chronologically. By THOS. B. SHAW, M.A. (560 pp.) Post 8ro. 75. 64

## Scripture and Church History.

THE STUDENT'G OLD TESTAMENT HISTORY. From the Ckeation of the Wurld to the Return of the Jews from Captivity. With an Introduction to the Books of the Old Testament. By PHILIP SMITH, B.A. With oo Maps and Woodcuts. ( 630 pp .) Post 8vo. 70. $6 d$.
TEE STUDENT'S NEW TESTAMENT HISTORY. With an Inthoduction, containing the Connection of the Old and New Trstambnts. By PHILIP SMITH, B.A. With 30 Maps and Woodcuts. ( 680 pp .) Post 8 vo . 7r. $6 \boldsymbol{d}$.
TEE STUDENT'S MANUAL OF ECOLESIASTICAL HISTORI. A Histery of the Christian Church from the Times of the Apostles to the Reformation. By PHILIP SMITH, B.A. a vola Post 8vo. 7r. 6d. each.
Part I.-A.d. 30-roos. ( 654 pp .) With Woodcuts.
Part II.-A.d. $1003-1614$. ( 744 pp .) With Woodcuts.
TEF STUDENT'S MANUAL OF ENGIISE CHUROE HIBTORY. By G. G. PERKY, M.A., Canon of Líncoln. 3 Vole 75. 6d. eacty.

1st Period. From the Planting of the Church in Britain to the Accession of Henry VIII. A.D. $\mathbf{5 9 6 - 1} 509$. ( 576 pp .)
2nd Period. From the Accession of Henky VIII. to the Silencing of Convocation in the Eight renth Century. (a.b. 19091717.) ( 635 pp. )
$3^{3 \text { rd }}$ Perion. From the Accession of the House of Hanover to the

THE STUDENT'G MANUAL OF THR EVIDENOES OF CERISTIANITY. BY HENRY WACE, D.D. Pont Bro. [Is Prepanation.

## Ancient History.

LHR BTUDENT'G ANCIENT EISTORY OF TEE EABTL. From the Earliest Timen to the Conqueste of Alexander the Great, including Egypt, Assyria, Babylonia, Media, Persia, Asia Minor, and Phericia. By PHILIP SMITH, B.A. With 70 Woodcuts. (Go8 pp.) Post 8vo, 92. 6d.
THE STUDENT'S HISTORY OF GREECR. FROM the Earligst Times to the Roman Conqi:met. With Chapters on the History of Literature and Art. By WM. SMITH, D.C.L. With Coloured Maps and Woodcuts.' ( 640 pp .) Post 8vo. 78. $6 d$. ". Questions on the "Student's Greece." z2ma. 28.
TEE STUDENT'S HISTORY OF ROME. FROM THR Earliest Times to the Establishment of thr Empire. With Chapters on the History of Literature and Art. By Dean LiDDELL. With Coloured Map and Wondcuts. ( 686 pp .) Post 8 vo . 7s. Kd.
TEE GTUDENT'S HISTORT OF THR ROMAN EMPLRE. FROM TUE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE EMPIRE TO THE Accession op Commodus, A.d. 18o. Post 8vo. - [/n Prefaration. ETUDENT'S GIBBON: AK EPITOMR OP THE History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empirg By EDW ARD GIBBON. Incorporating the Researches of Recent Historians, With 100 Woodaks. (700 pp.) Pott 8vo. 7t. 6 d

## Europe.

- THE STUDENTYS EISTORY OF MODERN EUROPE. From the Capture of Constantinople yy the Turks, was3 To the Treaty of Berlin, 1878. By RICHARD LODGE, M.A., Fellow of B.N.C., Oxford. ( 800 pp .) Post $8 \mathrm{vo} . \mathrm{Ts}^{2} 6 \mathrm{~d}$
"Mr. Lodge has treated this wide subject in a broad and intelligent spirit. While his pages abound in facts, he has not been content to give a mere crowded summary of events: he presents us with many sound and thought. fut remarks on the tendencies of each of his periods. His grasp is firm and he never loses his way amidst a multitude of details . . above all, we believe that we are justifiedia sayiag that it is minutely accurate."-Yourmal of Edmation.
THE STUDENT'S FISTORT OF EUROPE DURING THIR MIDDLE AGES. By HENRY HALLAM, LLD. ( 650 pp .) Post 8va, 7s. 6 d .

> France.

THE STUDENT'S FISTORT OF ERANCE. FROM THE Earhest Times. to thi Fall of the Second Empire With Notes and Illustrations on the Institutions of the Country. By W. H. JERVIS, M.A. 4 Calosured Maps, and Woodcuts (760 pp.) 75. 6d.

## Geography and Geology.

THE STUDENT'S MANUAT OF ANCIENT GEOGRAPHY. By CANON BEVAN, M.A. 150 Woodcuts (710pp) ys.6d. TEE STUDENT'S MANUAL OF MODERN GEOgraphy, Mathematical, Physical, and Descriptive, By Canon BEVAN, M.A. With 120 Woodcuts. ( 684 pp. ) Post 8 va 75. $6 \mathrm{~N}^{2}$ THE STUDENT'S GEOGRAPEY OF BRITISF tindia, Political and Physical. By GEORGe SMITH, LLd. With Maps. Post 8vo. 75. 6d.
THE STUDENT'S ELEMENTS OF GEOLOGY: By SIR CHARLES LYELL A New Edition, thoroughly revised by Prof. P. MARTIN DUNCAN. With 600 Woodcuts. gs.
PHYSICAI. GEOGRAPHY. By MARY SOMERVILLE. 7th Edition, corrected and revised. Post 8vo. gs.
A DICNIONARY OF PIACE NAMES. Giving their Derivations. By C BLACKIE. With an Introduction by JOHN STUART BLACKIE. Crown 8vo. 7s.

> Law and Philosophy.

FHE STUDENT'S MANUAI OF MORAL PHILOSO. PHY. With Quotations and References By WILLLAM FLEMING, D.D. (440 pp.) Past 8vo. 7s. 6 d
THE STUDENT'S EDITION OF AUSTIN'S JURIS PRUDFNGE Compiled from the larger work. By ROBERT CAMPBELL ( 544 pp.) Post 8vo. 125.
AN ANALYSIS OF AUSTIN'S JURISPRUDENCE By GORDON CAMPBELL (214 pp.) Post 8va Gs.

## Dr. Wm. Smith's Smaller Manyals.

These Worke heve been drewn up for the Lower Yorme, at the request of
 EISTORICAL EANDALS
A SMALLER SCRIPTURE HISTORY OF THE OLD and the new testament. In Three Divisions:-I. Old Testament History. 11, Connection of Old and New Testamenta III. New Testament History to A.D. \%o. Edited by WM. SMITH. With Coloured Maps and 40 Illustrations. ( 370 pp .) 26 mon . $38.6 d$. This book is intended to be used with, and not in the place or, the Bible. "Students well know the value of Dr. Wm. Smith's larger Sctipture Hintory. This abridgment omits nothing of imponance, and is presented in zuch a handy form that it cannot firi to become a valuable aid to the less learned Bible Studens."-Paopli't Magauine.

A SMALLER ANCIENT HISTORY OF THE EAST. from the Earliest Timps to the Conquest of Alexandez the Great. By Philip Smith, b.A. With 70 Woodcuta ( 310 pp .) $\mathbf{1 6 m a}$ 3r. $6 d$.
"This book is desizned to aid the study of the Scriptures, by placing in their true historical relations those alluyiong to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{xypt}}$ Assyria, Gabylonia, Phernicia, and the Medo-Persian Empire, which Iorm the background of the history of Israel from Abraliam to Nehemiah The present work io an in-- dispensable adjunct of the 'Smaller Scripture History;' and the two have been writuen expressly to be used together."
A SMALLER HISTORY OF GREECE. From the Earliest Timps to the Roman Conqurst. By WM. SMITH. With Coloured Maps and 74 Woodcuts. ( 268 pp .) 26 mo . 3f. 6 d .
This history has been drawn up at the request of several teachern, for the usc of lower lorms, elementary pupils The table of contenss presents a full analysis of the work, and has bren so arranged, that the teachor can frame from it ocestions por the examixation or' his class, the anwwers to which will be lound in the correaponding pages of the volume
A SMALLER HISTORY OF ROME. From the Earliest Tiugs to tue Establishment of tue Emifre. By WM. SMITH, D.C.L. With Coloured Map and 90 Woodcuts. ( 324 pp .) 16 moo 3c. $6 d$.
The "Smalier History of Rome" has been written and arranged an the same plan, and with the same object, as the "Smaller Hietory of Greece" "Like That work it comprises separate chapters on the institutions and literalure of the countrica with which it deale
A SMALLER CLASSICAL MYTHOLOGY. With Translations from the Ancient Poets, and Questions on the Work By H. R. LOCKWOOD. With go Woodeute, ( 300 pp .) 26 ma 3. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~d}$.

This work has been prepared by a lady for the use of schools and youns persons of both sexes. In common with many other teachers, whe has lons: lelt the wapt of a consecutive eocount of the heathen deities, which mixhi aafely be placed in the hasds of the young, and yet contain all that io gene. sally necestary to enable thems to underutard the claspical allunions they may meet with in prose or poetry, and to appreciate the meanings of worki of art
A carefuly prepared set of quxertions is appended, the anawers to which -ill be fouzd in the correaponding paget of the volutina

## A SMALLER MANUAL OF ANCIENT GEOGRAPHY. By Cakion BEVAN, M.A. (2ヶpp.) With Woodcuts. n6ma 3r.6d

"This work has been drawn up chiefly for the lower forms in schools, at the reques of several teachers who require for thd pupils a more elementary work than the "Student's Manual of Ancient Geography." The arrangement of the two works is substantially the same. The more important zowns alone are mentioned ; the bistorical notices are curtailed; modern names are introduced only in special cases, either for the purpose of identification or where any noriceable change has occurred; and the quotations from classical works are confined for the most part to such expressions as are illustrative ol local peculiarities. A very ample Index is supplied, so that the work may supply the place of a diccionary for occasional reference".

## A SMALLER MANUAL OF MODERN GEOGRAPHY. By JOHN RICHARDSON, MA. x6mo. (290 pp.) 2s. 6 d.

This "Smaller Manval" has been compiled for pupils who are acquiring for the first time the chief facts of General Geography, and no pains have been spared to render the learner's task as easy and as pleasant as the nature of the subject admits of. Accuracy as to details has been striven

- after, in order thar the young student may have a solid and safe foundation for his future studies in the advanced branches of the Sciences
"Great pains appear to have been spent on the verification of facts, and the arrangement is a model of grod method. Throughout the book there ate unmisiakable indications of solid, conscientious work, sound judgment, and practical acquaintance with teaching - ${ }^{\circ}$-School Guardian

A SMALLER HISTORY OF ENGLAND. From the Earlibst Times to the Year i88a By Philip SMith. k.A. With Coloured Maps and 68 Woodcuts ( 400 pp ) 16 ma . 3 r. $6 d$.
"The most recent authorities have been consulted, and it is confidently believed that the Work will be found to present a carefuil and trustworthy eccount of English History for the lower forms io schools, for whose use it is chiclly intended."-Preface
"This little volume is so pregnant with valuable information, that it with enable anyone who reads it antentively to answer such questions as are set forth in the English History Papers in the Indian Civil Service Examinatians ${ }^{n}-$ Reader.

A SMALLER HISTORY OF ENGLISH LITERATURE: Giving a Sketch of the lives of our Chief Writers. By JAMES KOWLEY. ( 276 pp ) 16 mo . 35.6 d ,
The important position which the study of English literature is now taking in education has led to the publication of this work, and of the accompanying volume of specimens. Both books have been undertaken at the request of many eminent teachers, and no pains have boen spared to patapt them to the purpose for which they are designed-as elementary works so be used in schouls.

SHORT SPECIMENS OF ENGLISH LITERATURE. Selected from the chief authors and arranged chronologically. By JAMES ROWLEY. With Notes ( 368 pp ) $\mathbf{x} 6 \mathrm{ma}$ 3r. $6 \alpha^{\circ}$.
While the "Smaller History of English Literature" sopplies a rapld beat trastworthy sketch of the lives of our chief writers, and of the successive influences which imparted to their writings their peculiar character, the present work supplies choice examples of the works themselves, accompanied by all the expianations required for their perfect explanation The iwo works are thus especially desizned to be used together.

## Dr.'Wm. Smith's Biblical Dictionaries.

A DICTIONARY OF THE BIBLE, cómprising its
Antiquities, Biography, Geography, and Natural History. By Various Writers. With Illustrations.' 3 vols. (3r 58 pp .) Mediunt 8va. X5 5s.
"The most complete, learned, and truatworthy work of the kind hitherto produced."-Achenanm,
A CONCISE DICTIONARY OF THE BIBLE. Condensed from the larger Work. For Families and Students. With Maps and 300 Illustrations, (io39 pp.) Bvo. als.

A Dictionary of the Bible, in mome form or another, le indispensable for every family. Ta students in the Universitien, and fin the Upper Forme et Sohools, to private farnilies, and to that numerous class of peraons who desire to arrive at resylts eimply, thim Conctse Dictionary will, it is belicved, suppiy all that is necessary for the elucidation and explanation of the Bible
A SMALLER DICTIONARY OF THE BIBLE. Abridged from the larger Work. For Schools and Young Persons. With Maps and Illustrations. ( 620 pp .) Crown 8vo. 74. 6d.
"' An invaluable service has been rendered to studente in the condensation of Dr. Wm. Smith's Bible Dictionary. The work has been done af only a careful and intelligent scholar could do it, which preserves to us the ensential echolarship and value of each article."--Britiok Qmerterty Kevious.

The two following Works are intended to fumish a complete account. of the Leading personages, the Institutions, Art, Social Life, Writings, and Controversies of the Christian Church from the time of the Apostles to the Age of Charilemagne. They commence at the period at which the "Dictionary of the Bible" kaves off. and form a continuation of it.
A DICTIONARY OF CHRISTLAN ANTIQUITIES. The History, Institutions, and Antiquitiee of the Christian Church. Edited by WM. SMITH, D.C.L., and Archdracon CHEETHAM, D.D. With Illustrations. 2 vols. Medium $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{v o}$. $\chi_{3} \times 3$. $6 d$.

[^457]A DICTIONARY OF CHRISTIAN BIOGRAPHY, LITERATURE, BECTB, AND DOOTRINRE. Edited by WM. SMITH. D.C.L, and HENRY WACE, D.D. 4 Vola Medium Bro. $66166.60^{2}$
"The value of the work arises, in the firs place, from the fact that the cantributors to these volumes have dilisently eachewed mere compilation. In the so volumes we welcome the moot important addition that has becen made for a century to the bistorical library of the kinglish theological uudent"-Times

## Dr. "tm. Smith's Classical Dictionariés.

AN ENOYCLOPAEDIA OF CLASSICAIE ANTIQUTTY. By Various Writers. Edited by WM. SMITH, D.C.I. and LI.D. ${ }^{4}$ It is an honour to this College to have presented to the world so distinguished a scholar as Dr. Wm. Srnith, who has, by his valuable manuals of classical antiquity, and classical history and biography, done as much as any man living to promote the accurate knowledige of the Greek and Romat world among the students of this agen"-Mr. Grote at the Lomdoss Univeraik.

S' DIGNIONAFY OF GRXPI AND ROMAT ANTIQUYTIER Including the Lows, Institutions, Domestic Usages, Painting, Sculpture, Music. the Drama, \&c. (r300 pp) With 900 Illustrations Medium 800. 9ic.
II. DICTIONARY OF BIOGRAPHY AND MYTHOLOGY. Containing a History of the Ancient World, Civil. Iiterary, and Ecclesiastical. (3800 ppi) With 560 Illustrations, 9 vols, Medium $8 \times 0$ : 84,
III. DIOTIONARY OP GREME AND ROMAN GPOGRAPEY. Including the Pofitical History of both Countries and Cities, as well as their Geograplyy. (2goo ph) With 550 Illustrations a vols: Medium Evon 50 .

FOR SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES.
A CLASSICAL DICTIONARY OF BIOGRAPHY, MYTHOLOGY, AND GEOGRAPHY: For the Higher Forms
© in Schools Condensed from the larger Dictionariesi . With 750 Woodcuts 8 vo I8s.
A SMATLER CLASSICAL DICTIONARY. For Junior Classes. Abridged from the above Work With 200 Woodcuts Crown 8vo. 7s. $6 \times 2$
A SMALLIER DICTIONARY OF ANTIQUTTIES, For Junior Classes Abridged from the larger Work. With ${ }^{20}$ Woodcuts: Crown 8vo. 7s. $6 d^{2}$


## Dr. Wm. Smith's Ancient-Atlas.

AN ATLAS OF ANCIENT GEOGRAPHY, BIBLICAL and Classical. Intended to illustrate the "Dictionary of the Bible" and the "Classical Dictionaries," Compiled under the superintendence of WM. SMITH, D.C.I. and SIR GEORGE GROVE, LL.D. With Descriptive Text, Indices, \&c. With 43 Maps, Folio, halfbound. Price Six Guineas.
"The students of Dr. Smith's admirable Dictionaries must bave felt themselves in want of an Atlas constructed on the same scale of precise and minute information with the article they were reading. This want has at length been supplied by the superb work before us. The indices are full, the engraving is exquisite, and the delineation of the natural features very minute and beautiful. It may safely be pronounced-and higher praise can parcely be bestowed-to be a worthy companion of the volumes which it is intended to ilkstrate "-Gwandias.

## Dr. Wm. Smith's Latin Dictionories.

"I consider Dr. Wh. SI ith'y Dletionaries to have conforred a frent and Iatint errice on the carbe of cliacrion loarning in shis country."-Dean dipDEL工
"I have fomp Dr. Wme. 8mith's Ietin Dictionary a great convenience to me. I
 hes inaertod"- Dr. ECOTI

## A COMPLETE LATIN-ENGLISH DICTIONARY. BASED

 on the Works of Forcellini and Freund. With Tables of the Roman Calendar, Measures, Weights, Money, and a Dictionaby of Proper Names. By WM. SMITH, D.C.L. and LL.D. (ta00 pp.) Medium 8vo. soth and Cheaper Edition. 168.This work aims at performing the mame service for the Latin language as Liddell and Scott's Iexicon has done for the Greek. Great attention han been paid to Etymology, in which department especially this work is admitted to maintain a auperiority over all exieting Lakin Dictionaries,

## A SMALLER LATIN-ENGLISH DICTIONARY. WITr a Separate Dictionary of Proprr names, Table op Romak Monevs, \&cc 3 sst Edition. Thoroughly revired and in great part re-written. Edited by Dr. WM. SMITH and T. D. HALL M.A. The Etymological portion by JOHN K. INGRAM, LL.D. Square 12ma. 7s. $6 d$. <br> This edition of Dr. Smith's 'Smaller L.atlo-English Dictionary' ie to a great extens a new and original Work, Every article has been carclully revised. <br> A COPIOUS AND CRITICAL ENGLISH-LATIN DICTIONARY. Compiled from Original Sources. By WM. SMITH, D.C.L. and T. D. HALL, M.A. (97o pp.) Medium gvo. 5 th and Cheaper Edition. 165 . <br> It has been the object of the Authors of this Work to produce a more comb plete and more perfect Emclish-Latim inctiomary than yet exista, and every ariciele has been the result of orikinal and independent tecearch. <br> Each meaning is iilustrated by examples from the claseical writers ; and those phrases are as a general rule given in both English and Latin.

A SMALLER ENGLISH-LATIN DICTIONARY. Abridged from the above Work, by Dr. WM. SMITH and T. D. HALL, M.A., for the use of Juvior Clanees s4th Edition. ( 330 pp .) Square 2 zmo. 7. $6 d$.
"An English-1atin Dictionary worthy of the acholashitip of our age and country. It will take aboolutely the first rank, and be the atandard Enylisth Latio Đictionary as lung an either tongue enduree Even a general exami. nation or the parcs will serve to reved the the minute pains taken to ensure its Julnesm and phitological value, end the ' work io to a larke extent a diction' ory of the English language, en well an an English-Lacin Dictionary. "ancrich Churchman.
A NEW GRADUS AD PARNASSUM.

AN ENGLISH-LATIN GRADUS, OR VERSE DICTIONARY, on a new plan, with carefuly, selected Epithets and Synonyms, intended to Simplify the Componition of Latin Verses. By A. C. AINGER, M.A., Trinity Coll., Cambrdge, and the late H. G. Winile, M.A., Christ Church, Oxord. Cruwn Bva,

## Dr. Wm. Smith's Educational Seriés.

"The general ercellence of tho books inchaded in Mr. Turray'E edacetiosal series it so universally acknowhodged as to give in a great degree the stann of merit to the works of which it consists, -SCHOOLTASTKn,

INTRODUCTION TO THE LATIN COURSE
THE TOUNG BEGINNER'S FIRST TAATIN BOOE: containing the Rudiments of Grammar, Easy Grammatical Questions and Exercises, with Vocabularies. Being a Stepping Stone to "Principia Latina." Part L., for Young Children. (irs pp.) 1 mma is.
TER YOUNG BEGINNER'S SECOND IAATIT BOOK: containing an easy Latin Reading Book, with an Analysis of the Sentences, Notes, and a Dictionary. Being a Stepping Stone to "Principin Latina," Part 11., for Young Children ( $9^{8}$ pp) z2ma as

## Latin Course.

PRINCIPLA TLATINA, Part I. FIRST LATIN COURSE. Grammar, Delectus, Exercises, and Vocabularies, (ar6pp.) rama 35.62 The main object of this work is to enable a Beginner to fix the Declensiony and Conjugations thoroughly in his memory, to learn their asage by construct ing simple sentences as soon as he commences the study of the language, and to accumulate gradiually a stock of oseful words
The Cases of the Nouns, Adjectives, and Pronouns are so shranged that

- the work can be ased with equal advantage by those who prefer either the Public School Primer or the older arrangement
APPENDIX TO PRINCIPIA LAATINA, Part I. Containing Additional Exercises, with Eramination Papers. ( $\mathbf{2 r} 5 \mathrm{pp}$ ) 12 mo as $6 \mathbf{2}$
PBINCIPIA IAATINA, Part II. READING BOOK:'An Introduction to Ancient Aythology, Geography, Romsn Antiquities, and History. With Notes and a Dictionary. ( 268 pp ) 19ma. 35.62
PRINCIPLA LATINA, Part III. PoETRY. 1. Easy Hexameters and Pentameters. \& Ecloge Ovidianza 3 Prosody and Merre. 4 First Latin Verse Book. (r6o pp.) rama 3c 64
PRINCIPIA IAATINA, Part IV. Prose COMPOSITION. Rules of Syntax, with Examples, Explanations of Synonyms, and Exercises on the Syntax. ( $x 94 \mathrm{pp}$.) rama 3s. 6 d
PRINCIPIA IAATINA, Part V. SHORT TALES AND Anbedotes from Ancient History for Translation into Latin Prose ( soz pp ) yma 38 .
IATIN-ENGLISH VOCABUILARY. Arranged according to Subjecrs and Erymology : with a Latin-English Dictionary to Phsedrus, Cornelius Nepos, and Cessar's "Gallic War." (1go pp.) 1amo. 3s. 62
THE STUDENT'S LATLN GRAMMAR. FOR THE Use of Colleges and the Highri Forms in Schools. By WM. SMITH, LL.D. and T. D. HALL. Thirtoenth Editiong theronghly revised and jartly movitton. ( 450 pp .) Post 8 va . 6 a .
SMATLER IATIN GRAMMAR. FOR THE MIDDLE AND
V LOWEE FORMS New and thoroughis revised Editiom (360pa) r2ma. 3r. 6al
tacitids, Germania, Agricola, and First Boor of the Annals. With Eaglish Notes. 1mma. 3s. 62 ** Mor may bo had by Autimentrated Trachiks en afficaliont


## Grbek Course:

INITIA GRABCA, Part I." A First Grefk Course; containing Grammar, Delectus, Exercise Book, and Vocabularien ( 284 pp ). 2amo. 3e. 6 d .

- 7he fresent Edition hat boow pery thoroughly proised, and many additionis and imfrevomenter have bows introdsored
The great object of this work, as of the "Principis Latins," is to make - the etudy of the language meary and aimple as possible, by giving the grammatical forms only as they are wanted, and by enabling the pupil to translate from Greek into English and from English into Greek an soon at he has learnt the Greek charactery and the Firat Declension. For the convenience of teachers the camee of the nouna, ation are given according to tho ordinary grammars as well as according to the arrangement of the Public Schools Latin Primer.
APPENDIX TO INITIA GRZCA, Part.I. Containing Additional Exercises, with Examination Papers and Easy Reading Lessons with the Sentences Analysed, cerving en an Introduction to Initia Graca, Part II. (ito pp.) ıamo. ef. 6 d
initia greca, Part II. A Reading Book. Containing short Tales, Anecdotes, Fableh, Mythology, and Grecian History. With a Lexicon. (220 pp.) 2 man. 3n. 6 d .
INITIA GRZECA, Part III. Prose Composition: Containing the Rules of Syntax, with Copious Examples and Exen cises. ( 302 pp .) 12ma. 3r. 6 d.
THE BHUDENT'S GREER GRAMMAE, FOR THR Higher Forms. By- Prognsmor CURTIUS: Edited by WM. SMITH, D.C.L. (386 pp.) Pont Bvo. 6 .
The Greek Grammar of Dr. Curtiua in acknowledged by the mont come petent scholars to be the best representative of the rresent advanced stata of Greek scholarship It ls, indeed, simost the only Grammar which exhibits the inflexione of the language th a really scientific form ; while ite extexsive use in achools, and the high cornmendations it has recelved from practical teachers, are a sufficient proof of its excelience as a school-book. It is surprising to find that many of the public and private schoots in this country continue to use Grammars which ignore all the improvemente and discoveries of modern philology.
a smaxher Greer Grammar. For the Middle and Lowir Fokme. Abridged from the above Work (a20 pp.) sama. 3n. 6 d.
THE GREER ACCIDENCE Extracted from the above Work ( r 25 pp .) samon 20.6 d
phato: The Afology of Socrates, the Crito, and Part of the Phedo: with Notea in English from Stallbaung Schleszrmacher's introductions. ( 242 pp .) sama. 3.. 6 d .



## French:Courso.

FRENCH PRINCIPIA, Part $\dot{x}$ A FIRST FRENCH
Courser, containing Grammar, Delèctus and Exercises; with VocabuLaries and Materials for French Conversation. (zón ppr) xzmor 3s. 6 d.
This work has been compiled at the repeated request of numerous teachers who, finding the "Principia Latina" and "Initia Graca" the sasiest books for learming Latin and Greak, are anxious to obtain equally elementary French books on the same plan. There is an obvious gain in studying a new tanguage on the plan with which the learner is already familiar., The main object is to enable a beginner to acquire an accurate knowledge of che chief grammatical forms; to learn their usage by constructing simple sentences as soon as he commences the study of the language, and to accumulate gradually a stock of words useful in conversation as well as in.reading.

APPENDIX TO FRENCH PRINCIPIA, Part I. Containing Additional Exercises and Examination Papers. (xio pp.) 12m0. 25. $6 d$.
FRENCH PRINCIPIA, Part İ. A Reading Book. Containing Fables, Stories, and Anecdotes, Natural History, and Scenes from the History of France. With Grammatical Questions, Notes, and copious Etymological Dictionary. ( 376 pp .) zamo. 45.6 d
FRENCE PRINCIPIA, Part III. PROSE COMPOSITION. Containing a Systematic Course of Exercises on the Syntax, with the Principal Rules of Syntax. rema ns. 6d!

FELE STUDENT'S FRENCF GRAMMAR: PracTICAL and Historicale Foarhe Higher Forms; By C.iHERON-WALL, with Istroduction by M. Littref. ( 490 pp. ) : Post 8vo.: 6 s.

This Grammar is the work of a practical teacher of twenty years' experience in teachink English boys. It has been his speciad aim to produce a book which would work well in schools where Latin and Greck form the principad subjects of study.
"This book as a whale is quite a monument of French Grammar, and cannot fail to become a standard work in high-class teaching."-School Board Chronicle.
"It weuld be difficult to point more clearly to the value of Mr. Wall's work, which is intended for the use of Colleges and Upper Forms in schools, than by quoting what M. Littré says of it in an introductory letter:- $I$ have carefully fested the principal parts of your work, and have been completely satisficd with the accuracy and correctness which I found there. "Saiturday Repiew.

A SMATLER FRENCE GRAMMAR FOR THE MIDDLE AND LowER FORMS, Abridged from the above Work. ( 230 pp ) 12mo. 3s: 6d.
*. Keys may be had by Authenticated Trachers on application.

## German Course.

GERTAAN PBINGLPLA, Part I. A First German Courst Containing Grammar, Delectus, Exercises, Vocabularies and materials for German Conversation. ( 244 pp .) zama gr. 6 d
$\because$ 7he frownt edition has modersone a wery cerrefint morision, and merlowl improvements and addilions have beew introduced
This work is on the sarne plan as the "French Principia," and therefore requiree no further description, except in one point Differing from the ordinary grammars, all German words are printed in Roman, and not in the old German charactera. The Roman lettera are uted by many modern German writers, and also in Grimm'o great Dictionary and Grammar ; and it is believed that this alteration will facilitate, more than at frat might be supposed, the ecquisition of the language. Rut at the same time, at many German books continue to be printed in the (ierman characters, the exercises are printed in both German and Koman letters
GERMAN PRENCIPIA, Part II. A READING BOOK. Containing Fables, Stories, and Anecdotes, Natural History, and Scenes from the History of Germany. With Grammatical Questions, Notes, and Dictionary. (a72 pp.) iama 3s. 6 K

Fractical german Grammar. With a Sketch of the Historical Development of the Language and its Principal Dialects. ( 240 pp .) Post 8vo. 3r. 6 d .
-0* Kicyr may be had by Auturwiticated Teachers an afplicaliom

## Italian Course.

TraLIAN PRENCIPTA, Part I. A First Italian Course. Containing a Grammar, Delectuk, Exereise Book, with Yocabularies, \&c By Signom RICCI, Profeasor of Italian at the City of London School ( 288 pp ) zamo. 38.6d
ITALIAN PRINCIPIA, Part II. A First Italian Reading-Book, containing Fables, Anecdotes, History, and Pasuages from the best Italian Authors, with Questiona, Notes, and an Etymological Dictionary. By Sicnon RICCI. sama 3e, 64 "o Kign may by had by Authewticated Tenchera ew aftication

## English Course.

a KEADABLE ENGLISH DICTIONARY. Etymologically arranged. By DAVID MILNE, M.A. Crown 8va ? 7g. of "Ap excellent book. . . We gladly alliow that lis contents Jutity the tile. .. It is sound matier yery skiftlly arranged."-Pall Mall Gactle Mile. Miritise has we think, in Yis new Rerdable English Dictionery, hit the right nail om the head. . . We have no hesication in pronouncing hie Lictionary to be one of the mosit entertaniong and inatructive and readable bouks of ith secacon"-Booche eller.

A PRIMARY ENGLISH GRAMMAR for Elementary Schools. With 134 Exercises and carefully graduated parsing lessons. Ey T. I. HALL, M.A. (120 pp.) 16 mo . ${ }^{\text {h. }}$
This Work aims at the ciearest and simplest statement possible of the first principles of English Grammar for the use of children from about eighat to twelve years of age
"We dquist whether any grammar of equal size could give an introdustion to the English languare more clear, concise, and full than this "-Watchman.
A SCHOOL MANUAL OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR. With 194 Exercises. By WM. SMITH, D.C.L., and T. D. HALL. M. A. With Appendices. Eighth Edition, carefully revised. (270 pp.) Post 8 vo . ${ }^{35 .} 6 d$.
This Work has been prepared with a special view to the requirements of Schools in which English, as a tiving Langwage, is systematically taught, and differs from most modern grammars in its thoroughly practical character. A distinguishing feature of the book is the constant appeal for every usage to the authority of Standard English Authors
"An admirable English Grammar. We cannot give it highier praise than to say that as a school grammar it is the best in this coyntry. There is a more complete and systematic treatment of Syntax than in any other works of the kind. It is a work thoroughly weil done" -English Churchman. "\&* Kays may be had by Authenticated Tenchers on afplication.
A MANUAL OF ENGLISH COMPOSITION. With Copious Illustrations and Practical Exercises. Suited equally for Schools and for Private Students of English . By T. D. HALL, M.A. Fourth Edition. (210 pp.) 12mo. 3s. $6 d$
" Mr. Hall's 'Manual' is certainly the most sensible and practical book upon English composition that we have lately seen, The great variety of subjects which it suggests as themes for exercising the imagination as well as the literary powers of young students will be found a great assistance to reachers, who must often be sorely puzzed to hit upon subjects sufficiently diversified without being ridiculously beyond the scope of youthful experi-ence"-Saturday Revicw.
A PRIMARY HISTORY OF BRITAIN for Elementary Schools. Edited by WM. SMITH, D.C.L. and LL.D. With a Map. (430 pp.) x2mo. 2s. 6 d.
A New and thoroughly revised Edition, continued down to I880, with a new chapter on the British Empinve and Constitution.
Without at all breaking up the continuity of the narrative the paragraphs are now supplied with headings in distinctive type, serving at once to catch the pupit's eye and impress bis memory, and to suggest to the teacher questions for Examination.
A SCHOOL MANUAL OF MODERN GEOGRAPHY, Physical and Political By John Richardson, m.a. ( 400 pp .) Post 8 vo .55.
This work has been drawn up for Middle Forms in Public Schools, Ladies' Colleges, Training Colleges, Assistant and Pupil Teachers, Middle Class and Commercial Schools, and Civil Service Examinations
"It fully sustains the high reputation of Mr. Murray's series of school manuals, and we venture to predict for it a wide pogularity. Bearing in mind its high character, it is a model of cheapnese " m Shool Giuandiam
A SMALLER MANUAL OF MODERN GEOGRAPHY. For Schools and Young Prrsons. By JOHN Richardson; M.A. ( 290 pp .) 16 mo . $25.6 d$.
"Great pains appear to have been spent on the verification of facts, and the arrangement is a model of good method. Throughout the book there are unmistakable indications of solid, conscientious work, sound judymeat and practical acquaintance with teaching."-School Gmardiank

## Eton College Books.

FEE ETON TATIN GRAMMAB, An eptirely New Work. For use ${ }^{\mathcal{C}_{i n}}$ the Higher Forms. By FRANCIS HAY RAWLINS, M.A., and WILLIAM RALPH INGE, M.A., Fellowa of King's College, Cambridga, and Assistant-Masters at Eton College. ( 396 pp .) Crown 8 vo . 6 .
[Just out.
TEE ETON ELEMENTART LATIN GRAMMER. For Use in the Lower Forms. Compiled with the sanction of the Headmaster. By C. A. AINGER, M.A., Trinity College, Cambridge, and H. G. WINTLE, M.A., Christ Chunch, Oxford, Assistant Masters at Eton College. ( 327 pp .) Crown 8vo. 3n. 68.
THE ETON PREPARATORT GRAMMEAR. Abridged from the above Work. ( rob pp .) Post 8va, af.
THER ETON FIRST LATIN EXERCISE BOOK. Adapted to the Eton Latin Grammar. (is2 pp.) Crown 8vo. 2t. 6 d .
THE ETON FOURTE FORM OVID. Being Easy Passages telected from the Elzgiac Poums of Ovid and Tibullus. With Explangtory Notes By H. G. WINTLE. ( 155 pp .) Post 8 vo . 25. 6d.

TEE ETON FORACE. The Odes, Epodes, and Carmen Serculane. With Notes. By F. W. CORNISH, M.A., AssistantMaster at Eton College. With Mapa. (goo pp.) Crown 8vo. 6r.

THE ETON MATHEMATICAL SERIES.
I. ETON EXEROISES IN ALGEBRA. ByE.P. ROUSE and A. COCKSHOTT. Crown 8vo, 3.
IL. ETON EXERCISES IN ARIREMETIC. By REv. T. DALTON, M.A. Assistant Master at Eton College. Crown 8vo. 3f.

- Keys may be purchased by awthenticated leachers os wrilten applica. tion to the Publisher.


## Natural Philosophy and Science.

WORKS BY GAMUEL NEWTEF, M.A., D.D.
Specially adapted to the Repulationt of the Examinations of the University of Lomdom
FIRST BOOK OF NATURAL PHILOSOPHY, an Introduction to the Study of Statics, Dynamics, Hydrostatics, Light, Heat, and Sound, with Examples ( 200 pp .) 3. $6 d$.
ELEMENTS OF MECHANICS, INCLUDING HYDRO8TATIC8. Wish mumerous Examples. (362 pp.) Be. 6d.
MATHEMATICAL EXAMPLE8. A Graduated Series of Elementary Examplee in Arithmetic, Algebra, Logarithme, Trigonometry, and mechanice. ( $37^{8} \mathrm{pp}$ ) Be. 6 .

## Tha University Extension Manuals.

EDITFD BY PROFESSOR WM. KNIGET, Of St. Andrews University;
A Series of Manuals dealing with
LITERATURE, SCIENCE, PHÍLOSOPHY, HISTORY, ART, ETC.
Thongh these volumes are intended in the first instance as textbooks for the University Extension Lectures, they are also designed for the use of general readers, and of those whose education has been hitherto somewhat miscellaneous or fragmentary, and who are - desirous of pursuing more systematic study.
** A. Prospectus containing full particulars will be forwarded on application to Mr. Murray.

## Standard School Books.

A CHILD'S FIRST LATIN BOOK. COMPRISING - Nouns, Pronouns, and adjectives, with the Verbs. With ample and varied Practice of the easiest kind. Both old and new order of Cases given. By T. D. HALL, M.A. (r24 pp.) New and Enlarged Edition, including the Passive Verb. 16mo. ${ }_{2}$ s.
The speciality of this book lies in its presenting a great variety of
, wiva voce work for class-room practice, designed to render the young beginner thoroughly familiar with the use of the Grammatical forms.
KING EDWARD VL.'s LATIN GRAMMAR; or, An Introduction to the Latin Tongue. (321)pp.) xamo. 3s. $6 d$.
KING EDWARD VI.'s FIRST LATIN BOOK. Accidence, Syntax and Prosody. (220 pp.) 12mo. 2s. 6 d . OXENHAM'S ENGLISH NOTES FOR LATIN ELEGIACS. ( 156 pp .) 12mo. 35. 6 d .
A READABLE ENGLISH DICTIONARY. ETYmologically arranged. With a Complete Alphabetical Index. By DAVID MILNE, M.A. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6 d.
"Mr. Millue has, we think, hit the right nail on the head. © We have no hesitation in pronouncing his sictionary to be one of the most entertaining and instructive and readable books of the season." - Bookseller.
HUTTON'S PRINCIPLA GRACA. AN INTROduction to the Study of Griek. A Grammar, Delectus and Exercise Book, with Vocabularies. ( 544 pp .) xmmo. ${ }^{3}$ r. 6 d.
A PRACTICAL AND CONVERSATIONAL DIC. TIONARY OF THE ENGLISH, FRENCH, AND GERMAN LANGUAGES, containing numerous colloguial' phrases and idions. By G. F. CHAMBERS. ( 730 pp .) 16 mo . 6 .
LEATHES' HEBREW GRAMMAR. With the Hebrew Text of Genesis i.—vi., and Psalms i.-vi. Grammatical Analysis and Vocabulary. (252 pp.) Post 8vo. ${ }^{2}$ s. 6 d.

## Mrs. Markham's Histories.

"ghe Marthem's Bimorien are conitracsed on a plas which is nowt and we think whell choson. and we are gisd to gnd that ther ars deacruediy popuiter, tor thoy ennot be tep etrongl reeqramended "-JOURVA, OF BDUOATKO
EISTORE OF ENGLAND, FROM THE FIRST Invasion ey the Romans. New and revised edition, Continued down to 1880. With Conversations at the end of each Chapter. With too Woodcuts ( 528 pp .) samo. 3s, 6 d .
. "CMrs. Markham's 'History of England ' is the bent history for the young that ever appeared, and is far superior to many works of much higher pretensions. It is well written, well informed, and marked by mound judgment and good sense, and is moreover extremely interesting. I know of no history used in any of our public echoole at all comparable to it."-QQ in Nots and Qumies
EISTORT OF FRANCE, FROM THR CONQURST OF Gaul by Julius Casar. Now and repised editiom Continued down to 1878. With Conversations at the end of each Chapter. With 70 Woodcuts. ( 550 pp .) 12 mo . 30. 6d.
"Mrs. Markharn's Histories ere well known to all those engaged in the instruction of youth. They are deservedly very popular; and we have been given to understand, in proof of this assertion, that of her Historics suany thowsand cofies have been mold. "-Ball: Mesrawger.
EISTORY OF GERMANY, FROM TTS INVASION BY Marius. New and revised edision. Continued down to a88o. With so Woodcuts ( 460 pp .) z2mo. 35.6 d .
"A very raluable compendium of all that is most important in German History. The facts have been accurately and laboriously collected froun euthentic sources, and they are lucidly arranged to as to invest them with the interest which naturally pertains to them."-Evangelical Magasina.
ITMTLE ARTEUR'S EISTORY OF ENGLAND:' Hy Lady CallCOTT. New and retised edition. Continued down is z878. 500th thowsard. With $3^{6}$ Woodcuth 16mos 15. $6 d$.

- "I never met with a history 90 well adapted to the capacities of chillren or their entertainment, so philosophical, and written with such aimpiacty: or Mre Marcett
INTLLE ARTEUR'S EISTORY OF ERANCR. FROM the Earliest Times to thi Fall of the Second Emifig With Map and Illustrations 16mo. 20, 6d.
${ }^{4}$ The jaded schoolboy, surfeited with tales and the 'over-pressure' arising from long attention to liver and adventures, will, towards the latter part of his holiday, turn with some relief to this book, and begin feasting presh. Those who know what 'Liule Arthur's England' did to popularise the subject amorg litte folks, will know what to expect in this 'Frarce. The book is capitally illostratod, and very wisely the ompiter doce not reject the exciting and legendary $;$ arts of the subject "-Schoolmather.
yesor's FABLEs. A NEW Version. Chiefly from the Origial Sourcen. By Rev. THOMAS JAMES. With 200 Woodcuth ( 168 pp .) Post 8 vo . 24.6 d .
"Of Agsorts PaBies there ought to be in every echood many copien, full of priutes. "- Frater EMagazino.
THE BIBLE IN THE HOLZ LAND. Extracted from Dean Stanley's work on Sinai and Paleatina. With Woodcuts. (210 pp.) Crown \&ve 3a. 6d.
SERMONS FOR CHILDREN PREACEED IX WESTMINSTER, ABBEY. By Dean STANLEY. Port 8vo. je. $6 d$.

JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLK STREET.


[^0]:    $\cdots 2 \mathrm{zrc}$ Hist.

[^1]:    8'Student's Old TEstament History,' chap. iv.
    Bee the note on Seripture Chronology in the 'Student's Old Testament History;' chap. iii., note A.

[^2]:    $\cdots$ é
    3 The tendency of oar own age to confound the fird and inst of these elements leads to remarkeble eomplieations.

    - This name does not prejodge the quention of the primitive abode of the ract ; but it is given becaose the mont perfect phyaical typet are regularly fount monong the natives of the Cacuatan isthmos. $a$

[^3]:    * Bee Notes and Illustrationg-(A.) "Table of the Indo-European Ianguages." .
    'See Notes and Illustrations-(B.) "Table of Semitic Languages,"

[^4]:    ${ }^{10}$ From Professor Max Müller's ' Lectures on the Science of Language,' P. 380

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ The histoify of the wars of the petty princes of 要esopotamia, recordod in Genesis siv., pruves that no powerful kingdom exiated in that country in the
    
    ${ }^{3}$ See eapecially the striking words of Plato, 'De Leg.' ii. 8, p. 656.
    *Herod it.

[^6]:    5 Herod. ii. $18 . \quad$ Tac. ${ }^{\text {B Ann.' ii. } 61 . ~}$
    7 Parthey, ' De Philis Insula,' Berlin, 1830.
    8 The name of the Nile (Neinos, Nilus) comes to us from the Greeks, who probably derived it from the Phonicians. By Homer the river is called Egyptus (Od. iii. 300, iv. 477) ; but in Hesiod (Theog. 338) the name of Nile appears, and this designation is uniformly used by succeeding Greek writers. In hieroglyphic inscriptions the Nile is termed Hapimus, or "the abyss of waters," and in Coptic Pero, or "The, River." The Hebrews entitied it Nahal-Mwraim or "River of Egypt" (Genesis xv. 18), and Bometimes Sihor or "The Black" (Isaiah x siii. 8; Jerem. ii. 18).

    - The sources of the Blue River were discovered by the traveller Bruce (A.d. 1770); but they had_been visited before by the Jesuit missionary Paëz.

    10 Herod. ii. 33.
    4 This was stated by Juba, who lived in the reign of Augustur, on the authority of Carthaginian writers (Pling. v. 9, § 10). It is repeaied by Dion Cassius (1xxp. 23 ).

[^7]:     Wyana is reported by the natiret to be known as $\operatorname{tar}$ as $2^{\circ}$ 自, end thenoe to trend awny $W$. to an anknown dictance. It is in this quarter that mome consider. at'e affluent may perhape be looked for.
    the and' at gengraphers frequentiy applied the name of bland to enpace included betweet two or more confluent rivert. The modern name of Bencaar, denoting the ebantry betwees the Whice and Blue Bivers, is probebly identical With that of Shin er, in Menopotamia, both being semitic termo dignifying Thoo Hivers.
    ${ }^{46}$ The enterm: to are numbered tis the order of the cocent of the river.

[^8]:    15 The frontier city of Syene (Assouan) thood on the right bank of the river juat opposite to Elephantine. 16 Herod. ii. 28.
    ${ }^{17}$ Cicero, ' Somn. Bcip.' 5 ; Seneca, 'Nat. Querst.' iv. 2.
    ANC. HIST.

[^9]:    20 Herod. ii. 108.
    21 Herodotus says, not at all (iii. 10); but, in fact, rain falls about four or five times a year in Upper Egypt.

[^10]:    EDiodorus, i 41.
    min Herodotus (ii. 97) compares them to the Islands Fising out of the Egrean Bea.
    24 The intermixtere of the black mad and bright green, with which the land is covered at this season in happily alluded to by the poet (Virg. 'Georg.' iv. 201):

[^11]:    \$ Lene, Lfod. Egyptians, vol. II. p. 25T. Diod. i. 86.
    , In the time of, Herodotus (il. 18) the heifht of a good trile wae 13 of is

[^12]:    0 Herod. H. 20. Bome enpposed that they careed the trundation of the Nile by holding back ito waters from entering the sem.

    - Herod, i. 9. He adde that their method of mding avery year 5 days to their 12 mortbe of's0 daye each made the circoit of the mesons to return with uniformity; which it would not do, unlews they almo interealsted the odd quarter of a day which belongs to every gear. This was in fact des.e, ehoogh Herodoton did not understand it, by the Sothic (or Dog-Etar) period of the priente, th which 1460 Aothio yeers of 3654 day" were equal to 1461 "volfrar " or "vagre" gears of
    
    m Hercd. if 109.

[^13]:    * Herodotus (ii. 90) speaks of "the priests uf the Nile."
    - at There are some strikidg remarks respecting the influence of the Nile'on

[^14]:    ${ }^{37}$ Arrian, 'Indica,' c. 6.
    53 One of the most learned supporters of this hypothesis was the late Von Bohlen, in his work entitled 'Das alte Indien, mit besonderer Rücksicht auf Aegypten;" but the author subsequently abandoned the hypothesis as untenable. The arguments, both for and against the theory, are fairly stated by Prichard ${ }^{4}$ ' Researches into the Physical History of Mankind,' vol. ii. p. 217) who', howe (er, attributes more importance to the similarity between the institutions of the two peoples, than is perhaps warranteling the facts of the case.

    20 Herodotus, in proof that the Colchians were an Egyptian colony, says ii. 104) that they were mèáyxpoés re sai oùdótpıyes, or "black in oom-

[^15]:    44 Many Egyptian words, preserved by Greek writers, are clearly Coptic. The following examples, among others, are quoted by Kenrick, "Ancient Egypt,' vol. i. p. 102. Herodotus (ii. 69) says that the crocodile was called $\chi^{\alpha} \mu \psi \overline{\text { a }}$ : in hieroglyphica it is hamso; in Coptic amsah. Instruction was called by the Egyptians Sbo (Horapollo, i. 38), which is the Coptic word for learning. Erpis was an Egyptian word for wine (Eustath. ad Od. i. p. 1633); removing the Greek termination, we bave the Coptic erp. The origin of the word Coptic is doubtful. Some derive it from the city Coptos; but this is only a guess from the similarity of the names, Others connect it with the Christian sect of Jacobites ('Jaxwßital), to which the Egyptians belonged. But it is perhaps the ancient form of the name Egypt, by which the Greeks designated the country (Gypt, Kypt, Kopt). See Prichard, 'Researches, \&c.,' who decides, however; in favour of the second of the above etymologies.

    45 It is usually stated that the last person who conla speak Coptic died in 1663 ; but it is said, on credible authority, that it was spoken as recently as 90 years ago. See Nott and Gliddon's 'Types of Mankind,' p. 234. A recent writer in the 'Quarterly Review' (July 1869, vol. xyvii. p. 40) says:-"The sncient Coptic language is, indeed, atill maintained in church rituals and the like; bat though all among the clergy can read, we have never found any one of them who could understand the meaning of its characters. Coptic was, however, till within recent memory spoken by the peasantry in some towns of Upper Egypt, at Achmim in particular; but want of school inetruction has allowed this curious remnant of the past to fade away and ultimately disappear altogether."

    4 This question is fully discussed by Prichard ('Researches,' vol. ii. p. 218, seq.). The arguments of this writer are more convincing than those of Bunsen, whe maintains that the Coptic stands clearly between the Semitic and Indo-Earopean, since its forms and roots cannot be explained by either of these singly, but are evidently a combination of the two. (See 'Egypt's Plase in Universal History,' Preface, p. x. trans. ; and 'Outlines of the Philosophy of Universal History,' vol. i. p. 185, seq.).

    47 Chem or Khem is the name of Egypt in hieroglyphic inscriptions: in Coptric it is written Chemi. Plutarch says that the Egyptians called their land Chemis on account of the blackness of the earth ('De Iside et Osiride,' 6. 33). This name is apparently preserved in that of Chemmis, a large city in the Thebaid, which the Greeks called Pintiopolis (Herod. ii. 91).

    6 Genesis x. 6. In the Assyrian cuneiform inscriptions Egypt is called Wisir,及《usur, Mrusuri, and Mfu-us-ri; in the Persian inscriptions Mudraim.

[^16]:    - Psalm cv. 28, 27, cri. 22. so - A Aipurtoes.
    st Bome writers have connected the flrst half of Ai-yurros, with aia (land), so that the word would mean "the land of the Copts," but this interprotation of the fret ayllable ts doubtful.

[^17]:    ${ }^{2}$ Bunsen, "Esypt's Place in Unversan Hietory; val. Iv. p. 104,
    3 gee below, chapters vil. and Ixviii.

    - Heroditus, book ii., and tbe earlier gart of book iil. Herodotua wrote hio history sbout 445 s.c. Herod. 14.125.

[^18]:    6 Herod. ii. 146, fin. - 7bid. 0. 147, init.

    - B B.C. $285-247$, Eratosthenes was born in 275 y.c. His List is preserved by Georgius Syncellus. See the criticism on Eratosthenes by Kenrick, 'Ancient Egypt,' vol ii. pp. 97, seq.
    - About b.c. 58. It is very important to observe one distinction betweex Herodotus and Diodorus, as to their sources of information, which ispwell put by Mr. Kenrick :-"The history of Herodorvs turns about Memphis as a centre: he mentions Thebes only incidentally, and does not describe or allude to one of ite monuments. Dionolisa, on the contrary, is full in his description of Thebes, and says little of Mempnis," $\quad 20$ About A.d. $18 . \quad$ Aboat b.c 70.

[^19]:    29 Lepeive: "Denkmiler:" This grewt wort bee the advantage of depicting the Enyptian monnments in ehromological order.

[^20]:    4 This papyrus, equired by Mrs D'OrHney in 1852, ard now ta the Britiat Mumeum, he translaved among other docomenth, by Bragsch, 'Ane dem Oricat,' Itsis. $u$ Bee cbic. ix. nect. B,

[^21]:    24 See Sir G. Wilkingon's Note on Herod, ii. 44, Ramingon,

[^22]:    * Herod. H. 124, sep.
    \$ Herod.itis8. Ait C. Wilkinson supposea him to have been Shishak, of the 22nd dynarty (called Acocbeas by Joeephas), perhape partly confounded with oome 'Aber kiag. In Rawlinson's 'Herodotas,' l. f. s

[^23]:    ${ }^{28}$ Herod. ii. 187. See further in chap. vii.
    27 Herod, ii. 139, 140. The legend of the priest-king Sertios (c. 141) seems to be a confusion of various atories belonging to different times.
    ${ }^{28}$ Diod. i. 45-68. But in some copies these are Theban.
    so Reckoning back from about b.c. 850, the former date woild carry us to s.c. 5812, the latter to s.c. 3905 . But the numbers vary in different copies.
    ${ }^{31}$ Manetho himsei speaks of contemporary " kings of Thebaïs and of the other provinces of Egypt."

    32 Bunsen and Renan are the most eminent advocates of the long chronology.

[^24]:     Abydon (Aroket-i/Medfomah) Finich mpplanted it.

    - This ablerviation todieatel the mane givem by Mapethou

[^25]:    is In hieroglyphic writing the name of a king is always enclosed in an oval or eartouche, as the name of Menas on p. 38 ,
    ${ }^{4}$ See below, chap. x.
    ${ }^{3}$ On the rough surfaces of stones built into the mass.

    - On Horace's principle, "Segnias irritant animos, sc.," we give coples of
    

    7 That these two reigned tggether, in part at least, is confirmed by the lengthe

[^26]:    O their reimps as etated by Manetho, elther 50 and 56 geara, or 83 and 66 ; for even the smaly pr pair could hardly have been alled ap by two brothers ancsesoively.

    - Herod. ii. 127. In calling Cephren the brother of Cheopa, Herodotus seems to have eonfused him with Kam-Kbufu or suphis II. Dioda $20(\mathbf{1} .64)$ mentions $a$ tradition, thas thits king wan the son (not the brother) of Cheops, and that his true name was Chabryit, a muck nearer approach to shafro.

[^27]:    - Elerod. ii. 129, 134. ( The name also ocours in the Eifth Dyangy.

[^28]:    12 See below, chap. $x$.
    13 Piazzi Smyth, Life and Wors at the Great Pyramid,' vol. i. P. 322.
    c 14 lbid., vol. i. p. 58.
    ${ }^{15}$ Ibidi; vol. i. p. 323. -
    t 25 Howard Vyse; 'Pyramids of Gizel,' vol. iii. • Appendix, pp. 109-110:

[^29]:     misled st to he sez by the wig and head-dreas. It is romarkabie that the ephins to act meaticned by Herodotas, nor by may Greek or Latim author earlier thas Pling.
     - owíf. An EgJptin wig tay be men ta the Britich Kureans

[^30]:    20 Piazzi Smyth, 'Life and Work, \&c.,' vol. iii. p. 380.
    ${ }^{2 l}$ M. Renan (in his valuable article in the 'Revue des Deax Mondes,' April, 1865) denies that there are any sheep; but Professor Piazzi smyth ( $q$ 381) distinguishes the sheep, " long-legged thinge, with horizontal and mutually-diverging horns, and the goats with venerable beards and lyre-shaped retreating horns." But neither are nunfrous, compared with the oxen, "of magnificent quality, und of a portliness which shown them rather intended for the butcher than the farmer."

[^31]:    $\pm$ Genemis xll. 43. Comp. cbap. v. 10.
    z. Lenormant, ' Histoire Ancicare,' vol. l. p. 208.

[^32]:    ${ }^{4}$ See chap. i. § 14.
    25 The Temple was enlarged by successive kings at distant periods : See Herod. ii. $99,101,108-110,11,136,158,176$; Diod. i. 45, 51, 62, 67. Its grand arenue (dromos) was used for bull-fights, which are represented on the tombs; though the bull Apis was the sacred animal of Memphis.

    - ${ }^{29}$ Herod, ii. 99 .

    E 2

[^33]:    *7 was across this lake the dead were ferried to their eepulchres. Boe Piagal Smyth, vol. tii. p. 886, eeq. ; and Kenrick, 'Ancient Egypt' vol. L. pp. 112, 118.
    \% Herod. ii. 99, comp. ii. 8.
    ${ }^{20}$ Diod. 1. 50. 20 Thucyd. I. 108 ; Herod. Iii. 18, 91.
    3) Memphis is the Greek form of the Egyptian name, which is compounded of the hieroglsphics, "Mg"" = foundation, or station, and "Nofre" ax good, variousiy Interpreted as "the place or haven of good men" or "the gate of the blessed," and "the tomb of the good man," i.c, Osirin, Plutarch (' De Ieid. et Osir.' 20) explaing it by dpuos dyafìv or tados 'Ooupidos. Both senses, Gesenius remarks, are applicable to Memphis, as the sepulchre of Oairis, the Necropolis of the Egyptians, and hence alco the haven of the blessed, since the rigbt of burial was conceded only to the good. The name seems also connected with that
     of Hen-es, the hero eponymus of the city. In Hebrew, it was Noph (Isaiah yix. 18 ; Jeremiah ii. 16, zlvL. 14. 19 ; Erekiel 2xx. 18, 16), or Moph (Hoee ix. 6). The name Is premerved in the Coptio Meph, Menphi, Menofre, Moph, and Panouf: and I the modern Manouf of the Delta. see Bir G. Wilrinoon's Note to Herod. it 91, Rawlineon. $n$ Kenrick, "Apcient Egypt; vol. L. p. 111

[^34]:    83 Bunsen, 'Egypt's Place, Be.,' vol. it. p. 88.
    4t According to the better reading in the Armenian Chronicle of Eusebius: the Greek text has only 9 in 218 years. The hypotheais that they reigned at ame unknown Elephantine in Lower Egypt violates a sound canon of criticibm.

[^35]:    ${ }^{24}$ Kenrick, 'Anclent Egypt,' vol. IL. p. 142, 148. The removal of the dead to their family mepulchres, however distant, was a sacred custom of the Egyptians.
    ${ }^{20}$ Herod. il. 124 : comp. the absurd tale in 0.126 . Observe the historian's own cantion ( (0. 123), slready quoted. Bee ohap. Ii, \% 2 .
    ${ }^{27}$ Diod. i. 64. The argument has been urged, that the traditional character of Cheops but ill accorde with the prosperity shown on the monuments of bis reign. But this prosperity of the landed aristocracy is quite consistent with the oppression of the common people; and of thefr happigene, so we have mecn, the monuments give no proof.

    3 Herod, ii. 126. In this curious and obmenre tradition there may posalbly be

    - an allusion to the inroad of the Shepherd Kinge from the side of Paleatine, and enetr opprlpaion fiay have been confornded with that of the Pyramid kinge.
    ${ }^{32}$ Herod. ii. 129-133. Two kingt of the mame name are peahape mized up it these etorics. Lepains surpects that the sceptical Psammete hus, on whome shteld we find the name Menkerc ats "eugmentution" may have beon oonfounded with the pious Pyramid-king.

[^36]:    40 Kenrick, 'Ancient Egypt,' vol. ii. p. 138.
    41 Bunsen, 'Egypt's Place, \&o.,' vol. i. p. 441 ; vol, ii. p. 409.
    42 The evidence for this is an inscription, making Unas, the last king of the Fifth Dynarty (Onnos in Manetho) contemporary with Assa, the fift king of the Fifteenth Dynasty (of Shepherds) at Memphis ; but the reading is very doubtful, Lepsius considers ng only the 5 th dynasty (whose seat at Elephantine bordered on Ethiopia) but the 6th aldo, as Ethiopian; their 15 kings, with the 3 of the 25th dynasty, making up the 18 Ethiopian kings of Eerodotus.

[^37]:    E Even M. Lenormant, who seen no reason to quention the continulty of Manetbo'e dynasties, apeake of an energetic straggle of the Theban kingt of the 11 th dynasty against the exparatiste of the Delta, represented by the 9 th and 10 th Heracleopolite djnaties.
    un Heracleopolis the Great in doubtless meant, ofnoe Heracleopolis Parva, in tho Delta, to only mentioned in later timee. The former (so named by the Greeke ifter ite patron deity, whom they identifled with Hercolee) otood et the mouth of the opening frore the valley of the Nile into the Fyom, on an inland formed by the Xile, the Bahr Yumff, and a aanal, in a ponition well voited for a capital both of Cpper end Jower Eqypt. its site is marked by the mounde aborat the village of Anasich or Anacel-Medineh, the Coptic Ince. There is, however, a doubt both m to the name and numbers of these two dynasties. See chy n. Iv. $\delta \mathrm{f}$.
    ${ }^{4} 80$ in Eusebina, 'Chron. Arm.' Africanus has 19 kingo in $4 C 8$ jeart

    * Eearich, "Ancient Egypt,' vol, i. p. 136.

[^38]:    ${ }^{31}$ The priests told Herodotus that there had been 841 generations, both of kings and high-priests, from Menes to Sethos ; and this be calculates at II,340 years. The 'Long Chronology' has been adopted with various modiftcations, by the most distinguished continental Egyptologers, as Bunsen, Lepsius, and Renan. Lepsius, in his 'Letters from Egspt' (1852) makes the Era of Menes, b.c. 4800, and that of the Fourth Dynasty, b.c. 4000; but in his 'Königsbuch' he brings down the same dates about 900 years lower, namely, s.c. 8892 and p.c. 3124. Bunsen puts them at b.c. 3623 and b.c. 3209 respectively.
    ${ }^{53}$ See Mr. Poole's 'Horm Egyptiacæ,' and art. Earpt in the "Encyolopredia Britannica,' 9th edition.
    ${ }^{69}$ The curious in such matters are referred to the late Mr. John Taylor's work on 'The Great Pyramid' ( 1859 and 1864), which is at all events worthy of the ingenious nuthor of 'Junius Identified;' and to Professor Piazzi Smyth's two books, "Our Inheritance in the Great Pyramid' (1864), and 'Life and Work at the ${ }^{3}$ Great Pyramid in 1865' ( 3 vols. 1867). The leading idea of these authors is that the Great Pyramid is (whether with any other purpose or not) a mmument of metrological standards. Put the pains-taking measurements and sciendifto authority of the Astronomer Royal for Scotland give his work a value, which is quite independent of his theory.

[^39]:    $\omega$ Bir Henry James-in his valuable tract (' Notes on the Great Pyramid of Egypt and the Cabite used in its Design' 1869, giving the results of the measurements of the Great Pyramid by the Ordnance survejors in the winter of 1868-9potnta out that the slope of the entrance pasaage (a little over 260) is juit the "angle of rett" for such materials as the atone of the Pyramida, and therefore the proper inclination for enabling the sarcophagus to be earily moved, without letting it descend of itself. This ts jurt as good a "auflicient reason" as the entronomical theory, and equally aceonats for the aear agreement of the alope in both of the pasenpea, and in all the chief pyramide. The exact sk pe in the Groen Pyrmond it $20^{\circ} \mathbf{2 3}$.

[^40]:    ${ }^{2}$ Sir Gardner Wilkinson refers these kings to the IXth Dynasty; the title of which (as well as of the Xth), Heracleopolite, he supposes to be an error for, Hermonthite, avising from the circumstance that the names of the Enentefs begin with the hieroglyphic characters which constitute the title Hercules. (App. to Herod. $1 I_{\text {., }}$ ch. viii. § 12 : Rawlinson).
    ${ }^{3}$ We use this, the Greek form of the name, for convenience of pronunciation. The hieroglyphic name is read Amenemhe or Amun-m-he. Manetho's copyisis spell it Ammenemes

[^41]:    - Lepaias, Bunnen, Ece., read the Ec: 8ir O. Wilkinoon adheres to the 0.
    - This fact eeems to contradict the theory which places the frruption of the Bhepherdsat or Yefore this epoch. Manetho.
    "Kenritt tranilates uivouxor literally "paside of the bed-chamber " on the fround, maintained by Wilkinson, that the Egyptiana had no euachs. On this question see 'Dict of the Bible,' ert. Eunuch.
    - There is $\%$ tablet of sesortasen II. at the satre place.
    - Stir G. Wilkinggn's Note to Herod. ii. 102, Hewinson.

[^42]:    ${ }^{10}$ In the List of Manetho, Sesortasen II. is expressly identified with Sesostris, who "was esteemed by the Egyptians the first after Osiris." "The exploits added are evidently copied from Herodotus by the Greek editors. Sesostris may also include Sesortasen I.; whose name in Manetho, Sesonchosis, seems even to point backwards to Sesochris, the 8th king of the 2nd dynasty, and downwards to Sesonchis (Sheshonk) of the 22nd. The former was a giant (Manetho); and such both Herodotus and Manetho make Sesostris. The name Sesonchosis is also found in the 'Scholiast to Apollonius Rhodius' (iv. 272), as " King of all Egypt after Horus, son of Isis and Osiris: he conquered all Asia and the greater part of Europe: Herodotus calls him Sesostris." Here is a confusion of the mythical age with both the 19 th dynasty and 22nd dynasty; for the wider conquests of Sesostris answer to those of Rameses IL. and his father Seti I., who was the son of Horus, the last of the 18th dynasty; and the true Sesonchis (Sheshonl) was really a great foreign conqueror, and inseribed the palace of Karnak with the representations of numerous sovereigns whom he had led captive. In the same spirit, "Dicmarchus, whom the Scholiast appears to follow, ascribes to Sesonckosis the institution of castes and of the use of horses for riding-a fresh illustration of the propensity to refer the origin of cnstoms lost in immemorial antiquify to some eminent name."-Kenrick's 'Ancient Egypt,' vol. ii. p. 163. On Scstetris as the. representative of Rameges II., see the reign of that king, chap, vi. §5.'
    ${ }_{21}$ Lenormant, 'Elistoire Ancienne,' vol, i. p. 215. Besides the evidence of tae ingcription referred to in the text, the water-gates of both fortresses are on the Egyptian side of the works. (Wisinson's note to Herod. ii. 102.)

[^43]:    15 Merod. ii. 110. See Bir O. Wilkinson's Note on the power of Egypt in Ethlopla.
    4 Herod. ii. 102. "This is perhaps an indication that the Egyptiana, in the time of Herodotus, were aware of the difficulties of the navigation cowarde the mouthe of the Indus."-sir G. Wilkinaon, who, however, regards "the conquente of sesostrt in this direction" (Herodotus only speaks of a eoyage) as pure fables.

    4 Herod. ti. 136. see sir O. Wilkineon's Note, in Rawlinoon's tranalatiou.
    to The other Egyptian name of the lake, piom (he cel,, is preserved in the modern Pyum, the province in which it liea.
    *This passage of Herodotus affords the eavient known example of that of

[^44]:    the word dapúputos, but it is clearly not an Egyptian word. It is probably cona nected etymologically with dajpes, an alley.
    ${ }^{17}$ Herod. ii. 148. Comp. c. ix. § $18 . \quad 18$ Herod. it. 149.
    19 The manifest exaggeration may be explained, at least in part, by the supposition that the visit of Herodotus was at the time of the inundation, when the Whole valley was under water, and the natural lake was united with the artificial excavation.
    ${ }_{20}$ The whole valley of the Nile is almost destitute of springs; but there ane sore in the Birket-el-Keruin.

[^45]:    ${ }^{2}$ As is prored by the word fuif. Plin. v. 9, a. 9. Of conrma, howevor, from the nature of the case, the natural lake would have come connection with the artiacial basin, and would be ased as a second reservolr.
    z Bir G. Wilkinson's Note to Herod. 11. 148, Rawinson.
    2a By a eoincidence not unusual in names, sildili to the Arable for a chain ; and there is 4 tradfion that a king at one time threw a chain acrose the channel, Which here only 109 feet broad. Wilkinson thinks that the ancient name represente the Coptic Golgel, an earrhquake, as the cupposed canse of the antantrophe, or Golgol, alluding to the meny channele of the cataracte, ot to the brearing anay of the rocits at the time of the fall of the barrier. (Appendix to Herod. H. chap. 4, 64; Ramlinson.)'

[^46]:    * We can only just allude to the ingenions auggeation which connects the catastrophe at silsilis with the, seven years' plenty and seven years' famine in the time of Joseph. (See Piazzi Smyth, 'Life and Work,' Ac.; vol. iii. pp. 410-413.)
    ${ }^{25}$ The prototype of the Ionic has been found in Assyria.
    $s$ Also in a similar position at Kalabache in Nubia.

[^47]:    7" All these "water-privileges" suggest the age of the lake Morin.
    m Piaczi Emyth, 'Life and Work,' \&ec., vol. ith. pp. 4us S. Since it in clear that the 12th dynasty were not "Kings of Lower Egypt," exeluaively, it would seem to follow that there were auch kings ander cheir protection.

[^48]:    to Mr. Kenrick, whose description we follow in the main, comparey lmaiab - Ix. 7. "The rams of Nebaioth shall minister anto thee." - "Ancient EgJpti' rel. ti. p. Y39.
    ${ }^{3}$ Osbart, "Egypt, her Testimony to the Truth of the Bible,' pp. 38, 30. The taboure of this painstaking autbor have not been aufleiently recognimed by the Eypptologers.
    ${ }^{2}$ Or 484 years: the Armenian 'Chronicle' of Eusebine hat 434; oridenty - makiag the 13 th and 14th Drnanties searly conter.porary.

[^49]:    ${ }^{3 s}$ Sir Gardner Wilkinson finds in the Sabacos of the 13th dynasty the " 18 Ethiopian kings" of the list which the priests read to Herodotus (Herod. ii. 100: see note by G. W. in Rawlinson). He also makes their flight into Ethiopia the origin of Manetho's story of the similar flight of Amenophis III. of the Eighteenth Dynasty. The colossus of that king, in rose-coloured granite, now in the Lourre, is referred by some Egyptian antiquaries, from its style, to the 13:h dynasty, and supposed to have been adopted by Amenophis as his own. Such eppropriations are not uncommon in all ages.
    s Champollion placed it at Sakkra or Sakha, the Arabic synonym of the Coptic Xeos and the old Aegyptian Skhoo: its position, on an isiand formed by the Sebennytic and Phatnitic branches of the Nile, defended by the marshes, would enable it to hod out long against the Hyksos, or to come to terms by paying them tribute. So, in later times, Anysis and Inarus long held out in the marshes againat the Ethiopiap and Persian masters of Egypt.
    as Joseph. contra 'Apion,' i. 14. We mark some of the most important pointe in italics. The translation is, in the main, Mr. Kenrick's.

[^50]:    \# Jooephus here interpolate a atatement, which he prewently repeati, from another copy, or another book, of Manetho, evidently to get rid of the objoction, that the Hebrews were not kinga, but oloces. He says that Hyk or Hak, with the aspirate, means Captiven, and so Hykeos is captiveoshepherde; adding, "And he (Manetho) ways rightly; for the keeping of sheep was the ancient lahit of our forefathers; and they were not unnaturally described as captives by the Egyptians, since our forefather Joseph declarea himself to the King of tho Egyptians to a eaptive." As to the trwe meaning, Wikinson maye that hyk is the common t'tie, fignifying king or ruler, given even to the Pharaohs on the monumenta, and ahos sigrifies ohepherd. But thaso means Araba, and hyk seems cognate to oheik; to that the name may perhape eignify Arnb kings or thoike. This Fiew becomee more probable if, et eome way, hak denotes, on the monumenta, the chiefs of Gemitic trabea, The invaders ste derignated on the monamenta Mena or dons, i.e. "ahepherds of oxen," and Aadx, "deteated."

    - Thin name, which occors agrain in the lint of the 184k dynenty, seems to be for Miphre Thosthmasia, i.s. "Thothmes beloved of Ph Y-'or Ra)." The troe fonnder of the 18th dynanty was not a Thothmes but immole; but, as the iwr wos low, Thotmed $I$. (the srd hing) may have fipishet it

[^51]:    *s Of all the temples prior to this time, but one is left standing.
    89 But it is possible that the name may be only used in ita Greel meaning of rei, as opposed to the awarthy Eggptians.

[^52]:    ** "The Canaanite was then (already, recently) in the land." Genesif, xil. 6. Among the synchroniems now generally received is that of Abraham with the time of the Twelth Dynasty.

    4 Osburn and some otbers go so far as to reject a Shepherd Kingdom altogether ; mating the immigrants the axiliary allies, and not the conquerors, of the native Dynasty of Lower Egypt, on which the ultimately victorious Thebans fantened, from this alliance, the hateful name of shepherds." But this view can hardly be pressed into consistency with Manetho and the monuments.

    * The following comparison in instructive as showing what diatortions the lista of Manetho have suffered, and consequently how little dependence can be placed on - them when unconfirmed by the monumente:-
    [Shephard Eingl.
    *"Every shepherd is an abomination to the Egyptians" (Gen. ylvi. 84 ; comp. xliil. 82), a feeling of cante, we think, mach older than the Ghephord King. If derived from hatred of them, it would surely not have been felt by them $;$ but, if older, tita being felt by the Figyptianized nomadstowarde strangers whose ectual ocerapation was pastoral, is a proof (as is every fart of Joseph's story) of their thorough adoption of Egyptian ideas and usages.

[^53]:    $\omega$ The Taria papyrus bas the name of Anoub, which correrponds to the Anon of Manetho (an emendation for Bron), followed by a name beginning $\Delta p . .$. . . - Which mas be Manefio's Apacknce.

[^54]:    47 Genesis xli. 45.
    45 Mr . Stuart Poole, who, even before the most important discoveries from the monoments, argued convincingly that the Pharaoh of Joseph was a Shepherd King, identifles him with Asses, the last of the first series of 6 kings mentioned in Josephus's extract from Manetho, and the Assa of the monuments. But it is very doubtful if this Assa is the same as Asses. In Manetho's List of the 15 th dynasty the sixth place is occupied by Aphobis, of course the Aphophis of the fragment.

    49 It will be observed that the royal title is here withheld from the chief of the Hyksos: but an inscription, comparing a new invasion in the time of Menephtha, son of Rameses II., with the calamities inflicted by the Shepherds, uses some , remarkable ex uressions :-" Nothing was seen the like of this egen in the time of the Kings of Lover Egypt, when this land of Egypt woas in their pover, and the calamity lasted, at the time when the Kings of Opper Egypt had not the strength to repulse the forciyners:"-expressions which countenance the view that the war was as much one for the supremacy of Upper Egypt, as for the liberation of the whole country.

[^55]:    ${ }^{*}$ - This in sceording to the Fgyptian scocunts; but Manetho (ap. Joseph.) placea the event under Miephragmnthowis and his con Thathmowis (as erown prince), who seem (from a comparison of the lists and zonuments) to correapond to Thothmes III. and IV. : for the former is probably for Mi-phra-Touthmosis (Thotmes belooed of Phra). There may, however, be a confution between the namen of Amonis and Tethmosis.
    ${ }_{6} 4$ The lant statement, which looke like a wilfol glome of the Jewish hatorian, may have, arisen from a confuxion between the sacred name of Jerusalem (Kodesh; (i.e. holy) with the other Kadesh, or ancred city, of the Hittites on the Oronten Which is often mentioned in the ware of the XVIIIth and XIXth dynamties.
    $*$ The ship wat doubtlems so natsed in bonour of A pis.

    * Thim leaves littie coubt of the identity of Avaris and Tanis.
    a Perhaps in bupour of the coronation of Ashges as ting of Lower Egrpt.

[^56]:    ss From the translation of M. le Viscomte de Rouge, in Lenormant's 'Histoire Ancienne,' vol. i. p. 231.
    ${ }^{56}$ It will be sufficient merely to refer to the speenlations of Dr. Beke on the Shepherd Kings, and on the distinction which he imagines, between the Semitio Mixraïm of the Delta, and the true Cushite Rgyptians. (See Reke's 'Priginen Biblicæ,' and the 'Athenærm,' June 12th, 19th, and 26th, 1869.) -
    ${ }^{5}$ Apollod. II. 1, § $3 . \quad$ B8 Herod. ii. 44.
    s9 Kemrick, 'Ancient Egypt,' vol. ii. pp. 192, 193.

[^57]:    ${ }^{2}$ He is sometimes called Aahmes $I_{\text {. }}$ in contradistinction to Aahmes II. the Amasis of the Greek writers.
    \& The name is Ap or Ape (head, i.e. capital), with the feminine article $T$. Tape was pronounced, in the Memphite dialect of Coptio, Thaba, whence the Greek Thebra, and the Latin Thebe, as Pliny and Jovenal write it. Tise city was also called $Z a^{\prime} \mathrm{m}$, the name of its nome, the fourth in order proseeding northwards from the cataracts : this mame was applied in later times to a particular locality on the western side of Thebes, It had, besides, the sacred ramo of $P$-amen or Anun-e (the abode of Amun), from its patron deity, whom the Greeks identified with their Jove, ander the special title of Zeus Ammon (Jupiter Ammon, Lat.); and hence they called the aity also Diospolis the Great, in contradistinction to Dioapolis the Lesu near Abydos. The Hebrew name of No-Amon (Jer, xlvi. 25; Nah. iii. 8), or simply No (Exelc. 1xx. 14, 16), has a similar origin, though the force of the $N o$ is dieputed : it is commonly interpreted "the portion of Amun." (See 'Dict. of the Bible,' arts. No-Amos and Thebes).
    ' Hom. ' 1 .' ix. 381-885. The explanation of Diodorns (i. 45,'§ 7) trat the " 100 gates" refer to the propyleas of the temples is as decidedly unpoetical.

    - Sir G. Wilkineon holds that it was not the oustom of the Egyptians to wall in their cities, see his atount of their fortifications in Rawlinson's "Herodotug," Fol. ii. p. 257.

[^58]:    B One deripation of the Greek names Memnon and Memnonium is from thia surname of Rameses.

    2 The Greek form of the word is Pathros (comp. Is. xi. 11 ; Fgek. xrix. 14, xxx. 13-18). The Pathros of Jeremiah (xliv. 81) may be another city of Athor in the Delta.
    ${ }^{10}$ Excepting the feys fragments of a building on the W. side, where Wilkinson has discovered the name of Amenemes I. The non-appearance of earlier names, and the dilapidated state of the oldest part of the building, are, doubtiess due ta the revages of revolution and invasion, and especially to the Hyksos.

[^59]:    ts The Ename Waharain (twoo rioers) is found on the monuments, and seeme identical wilh the Aram-Naharaim of the Bible. $\quad 10$ gee Genesis m, 12.
    th Chebrom, whom Manetho places seoond in the dpasity, is not Damed on the monuments.
    ts Wilkineon's 'A pp. to Berod. Book It.,' In Rawlinson's ' Herod.' vol. II. p. 855.

    - The name is calse writtion Thowthmet and Thoutmes, and, by Menetho,

[^60]:    

[^61]:    mo How earlly these fancied rememblancea of namen led to donfucton, we have eaen in the probable derivation of the Momnonium at Thebea from the surname of Rameses II. Micmuns. There is no connection betweer the Memnonium and the Tocel Memnon. Pacsanian (L. 42, \%8) preserves the true name of the statue slightly altered :-"The Thebans eay this is not a atatue of Memnon, but of Phamenoph, a native of the coantry.

    - Btrabo Evil. 46. It tarth while to notice the great geographer's caution in describing even omarvel witnosmed by himmelf: "When I was at those places, with Elias GRilus, and numerous friends and soldiera abotit him, I heard a noise et the firat hour of the day, but whether proceeding from the base, of from the colownac, or produced on parpoee by come of those otanding antund the bene, I capnot eonfidently emerth"

[^62]:    s? Dr. J. P. Thompson, in the 'Dict. of the Bible,' art. Tarbes, vol. ini. p. 1472. Letronne, however, explained the sounds as produced by a crepitation of the stone under the heat of the sun, when impregnated with the morning dew. It is urged that all the attestations of the sounds belong to the time during which the upper part of the statue lay upon the ground, and the broken surface of the seated part exposed its veins to the action of the dew. We have little doubt that Wilkinson's solution is right.
    ${ }^{83}$ Wilkineon regards the features of Amunoph III. himself as un-Egyptian, and observes that his tomb at Thebes is placed apart from those of the other Pharaohs, and in company with that of one of the "Stranger Kings."

    3 Sir Gardner Wilkinson supposes the 36 to 38 years to have covered the' Whole period of the Stranger Kings. M. Mariette found on an Apis-stola the name of a successor of Horus, Resi-toti or Resitot, who would be the Rathds of Manetho,

[^63]:    1 The reader may be aided in perceiving the design, but must not imagine that he at all sees the effect, of this edifice from the miniature reproduction in the Crystal Palacé.

[^64]:    - Rannemes IIL. bore the cume title, but oniy ase prenomen, not a part of his mams.
    - One of the many ettempts to connect the name slasopris tith the knowe tringe of Exypt derives it frum atitle actually torne by Rameses IL., Saulactow or Orsoon $+8 \operatorname{lan}$ (thersun).

[^65]:    4 Compare the remarkable paseage in which Tacitus ('Ann.' ii. 60) relates the interpretation which the priesta gave to Germanicns of the inscriptions at Theben relating to the exploits of Rkasses, the extent of his empire, tand hisisributes. Tacitus does not call the king Sesostris, but he speaks of Sesosis in hio account of the Phanix ('Ann.' vi. 28).
    ${ }^{5}$ These wars areglepieted on the walle of the rock-hewn templos of Abousimadal and Beit-Wally.

[^66]:    * Nor foot nor horse could make a stand : against the warlike foe, Who oa Orontes further bank: held Kadesh' citadel. Then forth in glorious health and strength : came Rameses the Ring: Like Month the god he roused himself: and donned hie drese of war: Clad in resplendent arms he shone: like Baal in hia might. Right on he urged bie chariot wheele: amidet the Hittite toes: All by himself alone was be: none other by bim atood. The chariote compassed him about: by hundrede twenty-five; The ewiftest of the Bittites flung themelves across his path. And round bim eurged the annumbered hosts: that followed them to war. Each chariot held three warriors: but with him there was none, Captain, nor general of the care: nor of the archer bend."

[^67]:    'Sir G. Wilkinson, in Rawlinaon's 'Herodotus,' vol. IL. p. 869. The warn of Bameses IL. in syria were donbtless the occasion of his carving the three tableta which bery hie mr.me in the living rock at the mouth of the Lycus (Nahrol-Kelb), north of Bfyrout. According to Lepsius the three refer to different eampaigas: one in his foorth year, the other in his second or tenth. Thene are doubtioes the mele snentioned by Herodotus, though he mistook their chapeter. Healde the an ase aiz ochers of Amyrian kiogs.

[^68]:    - Perhapi sufficient notioe haf not been taken of the distinction between the generality of the language in Exodus I. 9, 11, 12, 14 (" he " and "his people" "they" "the Egyptiane"), and the individuality of the "Phareoh " for تhorn "they boilt Pithom and Hamenes," (v. 11), of the Infantioide "King of Egypt" (ver. 15, 17, 18), and again of "Pharaoh" (ver. 19, 22). Erodise t. 14.
    - Exodua 1. 7-11. We see atriking confirmation of this In the treaty of Ramesee with the Hittite King ( $\xi \mathbf{s}$, above), which provides that-i" If the aubjecte of King Ramesea should come to the King of the Hittites, the King of the Hittites In not to receive them, but to force them to return to Ramesen, the King of Egypt"-an the knew that the one deaire of the Semitile population wan to escape from Efypt and Join their brethren at home in their wara against the Pharaoha, or rather now to renew thome wars.
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Dr. Brugsch bolds that Mones was born about the 0th year of Hameses It. He considers the name to be Egyptian, from mat or mach (child).
    - The viow that the oppression Ineleded the forelen populations of the Deite generally Fill Lelp to eccount for the "mixed multitade," or literally "great mixture," "that went op out of Eyypt with the Israelites, and proved cotronblesome in the wilderness (Exod. rii. 88 ; Numbere it. 4).
    ${ }^{3}$ Exod. 1. 11. Let the reader remerbber that Rhamea Iepthe Eypptian form 8

[^69]:    we have only adopted the more common Greek form Rameses for the sake of accentual euphony.

    4 This title, which is usually derived from (PA)ra (the Sumi), is explained by Brugach as meaning high house. It is at all events an equivalent of "king."

    17 This.was 11 years before the end of his long war with the Hittites; whence we may infer the goject of these fortresses.
    ${ }^{18}$ Brugsoh : 'Aus dem Orient,' as quoted above.

[^70]:    25 This is the exifice which Diodorns demoribes an the tomb of Oaytuandyas.

    * Ite vad proportions meny be extimated from the Jut, in the Britich Muceum. Whieb mearares 82 fnehes in length from the writt to the knuckte of the middie Anger, and $30+$ inches th breadth. A east of the head is atmo tn the sritiab Muserm: it is leas effective an a portrati than that from the Bemespume

[^71]:    ${ }^{2}$ He is also called Seti Menephtha 71 . in contradistinction to his grandfather. Other readings of his mame are Menphtha and Phthamen. In Manetho's list he is Ammenephthes, a form which passes into Amenophis in an extjact quyted from Manctho by Jowephus, thus making a confusion with the Amen-hoteps of Dyn, X VIII.
    2. Paalm 1xxviii. 12, 48. All the circumstances of the narrative, and especially the point of departure of the Israelites, make it certain that the scene was in Lower Egypt. Fuf the story of the contest itself, and of the Exodug, the reader is referred to the 'Student's O. T. History,' chap. xi.

[^72]:    * Also written Phohamen-se-Phtha.

[^73]:    © In Rewlinson's 'Elerod,' vol. i. pp. 872-8.

[^74]:    2 The naval battle, which is thus depicted before our eyes, must be dated between 500 and 600 years earlier than the sea-fight between the Corinthians and Corcyræans which the Grtex historians considered as the first on record.

    98 Herod. ii. 121.

[^75]:    1 The Epoch of the Destruction of the Temple by Nebuchadnezzar is flxed so accurately, by a concurrence of proofs from sacred and secular history, that the limits of donbt lie within two years, between B.c. 588 and 586; and the Babylonian Canon decides for the latter date. Reekoning backwards by the Jewish annals, we have a margin of only ffteen years of doubt in the period from the bipiding of the Temple to its destruction. The highest date for the former is 8.c. 1027; the received dates are s.c. 1005 for its completion, s.c. 1012 for its commencement, and b.c. 1015 for thy accession of Solomon.
    I Kinga iii. 1 ;-vii. 8 ; ix. 24. 1 Kings ix. 15-17.
    ANO, HIST.

[^76]:    - IKings xi. 14-22. An the name of Tahperes hat not been found on the monuments, we cannot identify this Pharaoh. The reluctance with which Pharaoh allowed Hadad to retorn to Ednm may have been a tribute to the obligatione of the alliaqce wita Bolomon; but it is not clear whether this Pharaoh was the last of the Tanites, or Bhishak, the first of the 22nd dynarty, who protected derobumm egainst solomon. see further in the ' wict. of the Bible,' s. ©. Tahpencs.
    '1 Kings x. 28, 29 . At the value of 8s. 50 the pheted each chariot would eot 901., and each horse 221. 10\%. © Numbers sili. 22.

[^77]:    7 Mr . Poole, whose account of Tanis we mainly follow (' Dict. of the Bible,' art. ZoAs), points out the caution with which Manetho's statement of the policy of the Shepherds must be received :-"Throughout, we trace the infuence of the pride that made the Egyptians hate, and affect to despise, the Shephercls above all their conquerors, except the Persians. The motive of Salatis (in buiding Avaris) is not to overawe Egypt, but to keep ont the Assyrians; not to terrify the natives, but these for 1 gners, who, if other history be correct, did not then form an important state."

[^78]:    - Kenrick. ${ }^{[1}$ Ancient Erypt, vol. th. p. 841.
    - Pealm kxviih 12, $48:$ where the word feld may mean kerilory, mome, of even kingdon.

    4, Lsaiah, Iix. 11, 18., comp. ExE. 4, where Mr. Poole takes Homer for Tahe gandes (Daphnse) not Heracheopolis.

[^79]:    11 Ezek. xxx. 14. 29 Strabo, xvii. p. 802. ${ }^{18}$ Joseph. 'Bell. Jud.' iv. 11.
    14 This is said to be identical with the old Assyrian name of the river Tigris,

[^80]:    15 Also with the prefix HA-BAHEST, which appears to have been the mered V.rm. It seems to have been by prefixing the mascuiline definite article thet the name pecame PA-BAHEST the (city) of Pash, whence the Hebrew Pi-bereth (Ezek. xxi. If: Bowflactor LXX.), the Coptic Pi-Bart, Poubatt, Pouasti, Bowartl, and the Greek and Latin Buhattie (Boíßacous; Herod.), or Bubustue (Boißacros, sirabo, Diod., Plin., Ptol.). There in a similar variety in the name of HA-HBSAB, the Coptic Bousiri and Poubiri, and the Greek and Latin Bofropu, Buairis.
    ${ }^{6}$ Herod. ii. 187. IF Herod. Li. 136. . Herod. H. 187, 183.

[^81]:    ${ }^{10}$ Herod. ti. $59,60$.
    20 In contradistinction to barley-wine, whioh was largely made in Egypt..

[^82]:    ${ }^{15}$ sir G. Wikinson's Note to Herod. II. 188, Rawlinton.
    21 Kings xi. 14-22. 1 Kings 2 i. 40.
    311 Kings rif 2, 8 ; 2 Chrot. x. 2, 8. Hence it appeare that Jeroboam'a rebellion involved the guitt so constantly denounced by the prophete as st looking back to Egypt," "going down for aid to Egypt." and wo forth; and thas the echimatic kingdom of Iarael was tainted from ita origin with vamalage to Eyppto
    \$ 1 Kinge mil. 28, 29 ; 2 Chroth. ni. 15.
    

[^83]:    ${ }^{27} 2$ Chron. xi. 5-12.
    28 1 Kings xiv. 25, 26. b.c. 971 of the received chronology.
    ${ }^{99} 2$ Chron. xii. 2, seq. The Sukkiim seem to have been the Troglodyta (caven divellers) on the W. shore of the Red Sea, where there was a town ealled Suche, probably the modern Suakin (Plin. 'H. N.' vi. 34). They were skilful slingers, and very useful as light troops (Heliod. 'Ath.' viii. 16). Kenrick, 'Ancient Egypt,' vol. ii. p. 348, note.
    ${ }_{i 0}$ The words in 4 Chron. xii. 8 clearly imply a state of Fagsalage- "Nevertheless they shall be his servants; that they may know (the differenod between) my service and the service of the kingdoms of the countries."
    ${ }^{2} 1$ Kinge xiv. 30 ; xv. 6.
    n Here we se Sheshonk using the burname of Rameses MI., "beloved of Ammon," but only as a prennreen.

[^84]:    91 Kings Ed. 28-25.
    an Append. to Herod., Book II., in Rawhinton, vol. II. p. 377.
    0 Manetho gires him fifterz years. The name, Oworthom, is repented in tho 23 rd dyndity in the more correct form, Ooorchon.
    ${ }^{97} 2$ Chron. xiv. 9-18. The nunbers of the reoeived text are not to be truated.
    m 2 Chron. xv. 10, flxes the date, as the convocation whas the immediate resul of the victory over Zcrah.
    the Fictory over Zerah.
    2 Chron. ari. B. Oe the other hand, these nations would of cource appesp

[^85]:    in the army of an Ethiopian king who had conquered Egypt. The important place occupied by the Lybians in the militia of Egypt is in itself an interesting " fact, and disposes of the theory that Zerah was an eastern Cucbite, and any other than an invader from Egypt, as is shown also by his retreat by way of Gerar. In fact, there was at this time no great eastern Cushite monarchy.

    40 "The Ethiopians were overthrown, that they could not recover themstlves;" 2 Chron. xiv. 10.

[^86]:    4 This name contains that of the poddess Pash. Oppert explaine it an "the man of Pasht"" But the king was of a different race from the Oworchons and Bheshonke of the Babaltite and Tanite lines.

    - Appead. to Herod., Book II. in Rawlineon, vol. H. p. 879.
    * Diod. t. 45. For a deseription of Sais, see chap. viil.
     .very probable date for the time of his being put to death by sabaco.

[^87]:    45 The name of Ethiopia has also been traced to the Egyptian name of the country Ethaush or Ethosh. If this is the true derivation; we fave another example of the practice, so common with the Greeks, of assimilating a foreign name to a significant form in their own language. The Arabs have followed the same practice ; and so have all nations, more or less.
    th Herod iii. 94 ; trii. 70.

[^88]:    47 Berod. fi. 29. There are very different opinions about the origin of Meros. The story mentioned by Diodoras and Btrabo, that it was built by Cambyees, is aimply absurd. Some modern writers trace its origin to the Deserters from Pasmmetichus (see the next chapter); but others hold it to have been the seat of an Independent kingdom as early as Napata; arguing its entiquity from the appearance of its pyramida at Dankalah. Though M. Oppert oan hardly be wrong in regarding the Miluhha or MiluhM of the Assyrian inscriptione (which sorne read Mirukh) as the etymological equivalent of Meroe, it does not follow that the mame denoten apecifically the inland of Monot or a kingdom coith tto ount there. On the contrary, ite most definite use is for the kingdom of Tirhakah; and his monum mental recorda are found, not at Meroe, but at Napata. Esar-haddon, in styling himself" King of Egypt and Ethiopia," neen both MiluhM and Kuci (Cualh) for the latter name; and that in the same set of inscriptions. Sometimes, Indeed, there ceems to be a distinction, as if Miluhhi weve the more general term for the whole valley of the Nile. In any case, it seems in vain at this early period to meek for any more speciflc sente of Miluhhi than ata a peneral name for Bthiopia. It seems not enitikely that, in what Herodotus sape of Meroy, he may cometimes mean Napata, Which be doee not name.
    "s sir G. Wilkinson way that the name "in-apet" seems to signify " of Ape-s or Taps" Le. Thebes, if if were derived from Thebes: and that it was not unusual to give the names of Igyptian cities to those of Ethiopia, as was often - done in Nabial Note to Herod. ii. 29, Rawlinaon.

    - The tyo Hons of red granite now in the British Museum, bearing the names of Amenhotep III. and Amantuonkh, which wome have suppoeed to marit the furtheat limit of the dominions of the XVIIIth dynasty, were originaly at soleb, 0 the inseription on them showay and were removed by Tirhakah to adorn his Ethiopian eapital. sir O. Wilkinsom, in Bawlineon'a "Herodotus' vol. th s. $\mathbf{3 6 2}$. - ${ }^{6}$ 8trabo, x TiL p. 820 ; Plin. 'H. N.' vL. 85.

[^89]:    name which stands in the Egyptian monuments and the list of Manetho as Shabaka, with the article, becomes in the Bible Seba or Seva or Sua (with the Masoretic points, So, 2 Kings xvii. 4; Xivap in the LXX.), and Sab's in Assyrian (the' marking an hiatus). The seoond Sabaco is always distinguished on the monuments from the flrst by the $t$ in the final syllable of his name. So in Assyrian he is Sabti'. This is a strong argument for his identification with the Nethos of Herodotus (ii. 141). See 115.
    $s 0$ 8.c. 721 tn the received chronology, conftrmed by the canon. Seea xiii. 88 6, 7.
    ${ }^{4}$ Oppert, 'Les Inscriptions Assyriennes des Sargonides,' \&e., p. 22.

    * It seems that his flight marked, or very shortly preceded, the end of his reign, which M. Oppert places in s.c. 716. If his reign ended between B.0. 718 and 716, it began between a. 0.730 and 728 ; possibly earlier, for it may have exceeded 12 yeara. Comparing the close of his reign with another computation, we have the evidence of an Apis-stela for placing the nocession of 'Iirhakah in m.0. 693. Adding to this the 12 years assigned by Manetho to Sabaco II. (or rather 14, 38 In Eusebius) we reach B.a. 707; but if 14 it an error for 24, we come to ac. 717, the very year after the battle of Raphja and the flight of Sabace I. This result is highly probable on other grounds.
    *The identification, which is maintained by M. de Rouge and M. Oppert is said to be now clearly established by Dr. Brugech. The modes of reconciling the characters ascribed to the king-as an Ethiopian (Manetho, \&c.), as a priest-king reigning atter the withdrawal of the Ethiopian (for Herodotua knows of but one), and as a Pharaoh-

    ANO. HIST.

[^90]:    cannot be conventenily discumed here. The story told of him by Herodotua La given below (4 16).

    - Oppert. ' L'Egypte et 1'Assyrie.' p. 18. Of course, on the shew ntated above, thle " ling of Ethlopja" was not Slabaco 11. who wes now reigniog in Egypt as Pharach. M. Oppert thinks be may have been the father of Tirbatab; for it monly by a gratuitoua eastumption that Tirhalesh is mede the zon of Babsco 11.
    *The Migron mentioned to Isatich $\mathbf{x . 2 8}$, among the cities attacked by the Assyriav, was neat Al and Mictumash, on the westert edxe of the Jewlah L/ablanda, towards the
    

[^91]:    6 Oppert, 'L'Egypte et l'Assyrie,' pp. 25-27. AltaKus is evidently the Levitical city of Eltekeh (Joshus xix, 44; xxi. 23) ; and ramna is Timnath, famous in the story of Samson (Judgeb xiv. 1, 2, 5). Both were in the border of Dan, in, or on the edge of, the maritime plain.
    ${ }^{67}$ Respecting the time of Tirhakah's accession, see above, note 62.
    *. M. Oppert considers the "kings of Eyypt" to have been those of the Upper and Lower country respectively: bnt this is not in accordance with the subsequent mention of many more in both parts; and Upper Egypt seems to have been now subject to Ethiopla.
    © 2 Kings xyili. 21 ; Issiah xaxvi. 6. The figure, which is repeated in Ezekiel xxix. 6, 7, becomes doubly expressive when we find a bent reed as the initial prefixed to the comrion hieroglyphic for the Egyptian word suten, "king." The annals of Sennacherib shew that his attack on the Jewish fortresses, and consequently the summons to Jerusalem, was immediately after the battle of Altaku. M. Oppert well saye, "La victoire seale a pu dicter ces hautaines paroles." Observe that the king of Ethiopta is not mentioned here; as if no more were to be hoped from him since his flight from Altaku.
    ${ }^{7} 2$ Kinge xix. 8,9 ; Isaiah xxxvii. 9 . It is not said that Tirkakah came into conflict with Sennacherib: on the contrary, it seems to be implied that he had not arrived before the miraculous overiturow of the Assyrian host.

[^92]:    ${ }^{71}$ The Libnak of the Scripture narrative agrees fariy with the place of that name in or neer the maritime plain, near Lachich (Joohue $x .31 ; \mathbf{x v}, 42$ ); bat M. Oppert argues very ingenionsly that bere tit mothing else than a Hebrew rendering of the name of Pelusium ('L'ERypte ot 1'Asegrie,' pp 24, 35).
    ns Herod. 11.141.
    Tn It is quite natural that the Arablans bordering on Meeopotamin ahould have served in the army of Beanacherib.
    ${ }^{24}$ This mouse was, of corarse, a sacred emblem, perhapt of the generative prins ciple; and prophetic power mas aseribed to mics. The people of Troas are said to hare revered mice "becanee they gnawed the bow-strings of their enemies and the leathern' part of their arms." (Eustath. ad Hom. II. 189 ; Strab. xili. p. 416), and their Apollo slanintheme was represented with e motse in his hand. Wilineon's Nate to Herod. 6. e.
    76 9trabo L p. 67; xp. p. 687. M Oppert conalders Tearkg to be nearent to the true form of the name, which he reade Tierge. The Beriptural form, which we adopt

[^93]:    $\pi$ These annals have come down to mas in a very mathated condition. The fragmente found in his palace at Calah reached our Museum thorougbly thuffied, and the utmost ingenuity of Mr. Cox and M. Oppert has only produced a conjectural reetritation. Fortanately, there are separate copies on fortr decagonal primems (but all broken to pfeces), beasides other copies on fragments of tubleta.
    : He expressly says that they had rendered boroage to his father; brat "on coe occmsion of Tirhakab's lifting up hie bucklers" they had torgotiten their duty and had revofted.

    TFor a full diacusion of the names in that list, and the many prierenting quentiona they tavolva, eee Oppert, 'L'Eyypte at I'Amyric;' p. pa toll

[^94]:    80 M. de Rouge interpreta thla as "lord of the two regions "-a title which marks Sals as the capital of Upper and Lower Egypt. The restoration of Necho may be compared to that of Mantasseh by Esar-haddon.
    81 Manetho also assigas Tirhakah 26 years, and we have here the elements for a settlement of the chron $\delta \log y$ within a very slight limit of error. For, as aiready stated, an Apis-stela places the accession of TYrhakah in b.c. 693 (say 693-2). His death, therefore, would fall (allowing him 26 full years) in b.c. 667 or 666 . Now, nic. 667-666 is the first year of Asshur-bani-pal, and Tirhakah appears to have died between that king's first and second years, which would be in b.c. 666. On quite distinct grounds, the Egyptologers place the accesslon of Psammetichus (whose years, as we see from this record, are dated a.ponce from the death of Tirhakah), in the year b.c. 685 to 664,

[^95]:    - Herodotus appearis to preeerve the name of Tirhatah (Targu, Taracua, Tercua) to his incidental mention of Etearchma, atyg of the Ammonites (ii. 32). But whetber this was the great Tirhakah, of another Eibiopian king of the emie namo, or a king of the Ethiopian bouse reigning meparately at the Oati of Ammon, we have no meane of seciding.
    EHerodotus ( $\mathbf{H}$ 152) mays that Necto was put to death by Sabaco, who died about 50 years earliter I Jat as Sabeco to the only Elatopian conqueror known to Herodotns, the error ray be eniy tn the name. it 4 poseible, bowever, thas Necbo may have beed pres to death ty Aschar-bani-pal. Of conres, the priestesuppresed every ellasical to the Anyyian conquest of Eroph.
    it Here, probably, begins that periud of trearition which in martited bet tha Dodeourchy and asarchy of Hercdotre and Dhodoris.

[^96]:    is The former version preserves the third person throughont; but the latter has the - first, ending with "I returned in safety to Nineveh, the city of my dominion." We may suppose the king to have led his army into Egypt (as, in fact, he says), bat not to have marched in person against Thebes.
    se Isaiah yx. 1. The prophecy, nttered at a time when the forces of Egypt and Ethiopia were united against Sargon, is peculiarly appropriate to a conquest gained over - Thebes as the capital of an Ethiopian king, many of whose best soldiers, who were led away as captives, were of course Ethiopians. The express mention of "the Assyrian" excludes the idea that this prophecy was first fulfilled by the invasion of Nebuchadnezzar (see chap. viii. $\$ 14$ ). The three years, during which the prophet went naked and barefoot for a sign, and which had probably a primary reference to the duration of the war of Ashdod, may also denote the three separate campaigns made in Egypt (very likely in three successive years), one by Esar-haddon, and two by Asshur-bani-pal.
    ${ }^{67}$ Nahum iii. 8-10. This important passage is fully discussed in Dr. Smith's 'Dict. of the Bible,' art. No Ammon, and Oppert's 'L'Egypte et l'Assyrie.' Besides the clear allusions to the aid which the Arabs and Libyans on the borders of Egypt (Put and Lubim) gave to Assyria in the war against Thebes, M. Oppert has an ingenious argument to shew that Carthage (named as Karbanit in the annals) joined with Assyria to avenge the attacks of Tirhakah on the northern coasts of Africa; or at least that there were Carthaginian auxillartes in the Assyrian army. In this event he sees the origin of a tradttion preserved by Aymianns Marcellinus, that Thebes had once been taken and sacked by the Carthaginians.

[^97]:    * Evidently the Ethiopian 4 mmeris, whom Manetho (Eueb.) placee at the head of the XXVIth (Saïte) Dynanty.
    * Herodotus's story of the blind King, Anysia a native of Anysis (perhapa Ei-indi, city of Isit, or Hanes, if Hanes be Daphnw) - who was oonquered by Sabaco, and took refuge in the marabea, where the natives brought him food unbeknown to the Ethiopians, and whence he came forth and was restored after the forty yeara of Ethiopian domination-may perbapa reter to one of the minor princes of the Delta. At all events it is a teatimony both to the perpetaation of the native royal bouses in the Delta, and to the sympathy of the people with them during the Ethiopian rale. The information we have obtained from the Assyrian annale at to the atate of Egypt givee a caution againgt haatily rejecting the notices in Herodotus and Diodorus of kings otherwise anknown. The monamente, also, are constantly giving royal names which are not in the lists of Manetho.

    00 We have traced auch connections for at least 300 years, from the time of the 3hesbinka. At the time before us eeveral of the petty kinge vere clcarly wet up by Alsyrif.

[^98]:    1 Ferod. Hi. 147.
    2 Wilkinson capposes thene to be the twelve nomes of the Delts. M. Lenormant supposes the twelve rulers to theve been military chieft of the Libyan (Maryan) militia. They would rather seem to have been tue chtef local princes.

[^99]:    ${ }^{3}$ Herod. ii. 147, 151, 152. Respecting the obvious inconsistencies and improbabilities of the story, and the whole question of the previous employment of foreign auxiliaries, and mercenaries by the Kings of Egypt, see Wilkinson's note on the passage, in Rawlinson's 'Herodotus.'

    See chap. vii. § 17.
    3 Herod. ii. 152. We have no positive information of a relationship between the Saites of the XXVIth dynasty and Bocehoris of the XXIVth ; but it seems now quite clear that the monarchy of Psammetichus was a revival of that founded by Bocchoria at Sais. Manetho places Nechao next before Pasmmetichus in hid XXVIth Dynasty; the name being probably inserted to recognize his right rather than in order of time. So also before him stand Nechepsos and Stephinates, who may have been princes of the Dodecarchy. Before them Ensebius places as the first King of the Dynasty "Ammeris, the Ethiopian," who is evidently the Ethiopian invader Amen-meri-nout.

    - We learn from the monuments of Thebes that, during the Dodearchy, Upper Egypt was governed by the Ethiopian Piankh II., who relgnedsconjointly with his wife, Ameniritis (or Amunatis), sister of Shabaka, a woman of high intelligence, who had been geveral times regent of Upper Egypt under the Ethiopian dynasty. It was their daughter and heir, Shap-en-ap, (or Tapesutapes), that Psarhatile I. married. $\quad{ }^{7}$ See chap. vii. § 17. - The compatation depends on the Apis-stela, the numbers given by blanctho ard

[^100]:    is Wilkinson's "Handbook to Egypt," 1. 102. is Herod. fi. 6\%.
    14 It has been observed that the essential letters of Noith and AOqva, are the same in the inverse order. 15 Compare Diod. $i .28,8 \$$, and $7,57, \$ 45$

    1t Chron. Canon, under Olymp, fi. 17 Plin. xsxvi. $9,514$.
    上 Plut. Solon. 26. Herodotus (ii. 177) speaks of his adopting the law of Amasis, that all Fho could show no visible means of subsistence should be put to death.

    Plato, "Timezus" III. p. 85.
    ${ }^{5}$ Diod. i. 88.

[^101]:    an Herodotas (boe. inf. def.) eays that he entrusted aertain Egyptian children to his Greek soldiers to. learn Greek; and that those wo taght became the parento of the elase of "interpreters."
    singians was now the Egyptian name for the Grecks in general.
    23 Herod ii. 154. He adds that Amasis remored the Greeks to Memphis, to grard him against the native Egyptians.
    ${ }^{94}$ Herod. ii.'157. He adde that this wha the longent niege known. The capture and colonisation of the city by Eargon ecoounts for its long resistence. Anbdod (which, like the Arabie aheded, means atrong) was the great atronghold of the Philimines (1 \&arn. v. 2), and continued the mein fortrem on this froniler. If wae repeatedly taken and retaken in the wars between Egypt and A wis.

[^102]:    -25 Herod. ii. 30. The motive which he assigns for the desertion is the nonrelief for three years of the frontier garrisons, which were kept in Elephantine against the Ethiopians, in the Pelusiac Daphnw against the Syrians and Arabians, and in Marea against the Libyans; who, he says, consulted together, and having determined by common consent to revolt, marched away towards Ethiopia;-B highly improbable combination.

    86 Herod. l. e.
    ${ }^{27}$ For the inscription, see Wilkinson's Note to Herod. ii. 30, Rawlinson. There is no reasonable doubt that it refers to the occasion in question. The king's name is spelt Psamatichos, a form nearer the Egyptian than that of Heradotus. The names of "Psumatichus, the son of Theocles," the leader of the force, as well as of "Amasis" indicate that Egyptian names of honour were given to the Greek commanders, as in the case of Joseph. No inference can be drawn as to any connection of this "Amasis" with the family of the later king of that name. The words describing the furthest point reached by the goldiers are unfortunately obseare.
    ${ }^{20}$ It is possible that Herodotus may have confused Meroë with Napata, which he does not mention. (See chap, vii. $\$ .13$ note 47.)
    ${ }^{20}$ Strabo, xvii. p. 786 ; Plin. vi. 30. These writers, however, place the Aubumoll above Meraé. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

[^103]:    * Herodotus calls him the son of Psammetichus; bnt he sppears from the monuments to have been his son-in-law, at he married Neit-akri (Nitooris), the daugbter of Pammetichas. But it ta quite ponaible that he may have married bit half-aister. We adopt the dimplest apelling of the name.
    a The text is eo worded an not to involve a decision of the doubt respecting the epoch of the Call of Nineveb. Those who adopt the date of a.c. 625 regard Nabopo. lacgar as too much engaged with the consolidation of bif new power, and with the aid he rendered to Cyamarea in the Lydian war, to soncern himself with the proo vinoes weat of the Eiaptratees. On the other hand, the express atatement th the book of Einga, that "Phannol Nechoh went up against the king of Aeryria," in a atrong argument for the later date of the fall of Nineveh (B.c. 606): tor the date of Joslah'e deach fin fired both by Egyptian and Blblical chronology (ee nute 30). The Jewich writers do not confound Aseyria and Babylon. (2 Kinge zxill 29 ; in 2 Cbron, zxxv, 20, Neatio goes up "to Aght against Carchensich" Deither Assyita nor Babyton being mentioned) It meems probable that Neco would have used the opportunity for joining in the general attack on Asuris, when, as Herodotan says, "the itood alone, deserted by her allies " (Herou, L. 102). Comp. chap. xiv. $\$ 20$.
    = 2 Kinge xifi. 29, 80 ; 2 Chron. xxrv. 20-24. The letter pasage is remarkable for givisg the name of the king without the title of Pharooh. Herodotus (ii. 159) saye that Neaos made war try land opon the Byriant, end defeated them in - pitched battle at Magdolue (evidently not here, an elsewhere, Migdoh, In $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g} j \mathrm{pt}}$ ), after which be made himself master of Cadytio, a large city of Byria. This is commonly eappoted to mean Jerusalem (Codesh or Kaduaha, the Holy); bot some take it for Kadesh on the Oronter, the old capital of the Hittites. It may have been worth Keco's while to complete the conquest of Eyris; but ti seems more probable that he would not delay his mareh to the Eaphrateb. He may, however, have taken Eadenh on his retarn through Coele-Byris (eee what follows in the text). In the other passage where Herodotus mentions Cadjtil (iii. 5), Gara in generally oupposed to be meant,

    52 Caron Kinv. 20.

[^104]:    42 Kings xxiii. $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$; 2 Chron. xxxi. 1-4. There is nothing to show that Neco visited Jerusalem. From this time to the captivity the course of events in Judas was mainly influenced by the struggles between the Egyptian and Babylonian parties, as before between the Egyptian and Assyrian parties, at Jerusalem. Jeremiah is now, as Isaiah was before, the great opponent of the Egyptianizing priests and princes.
    as In Jereng. Exy. 1-3, the fourth year of Jehoiakim is reckoned as the first of Nebuchadnexzar, and also as the 23rd year from the 13 th year of Josiah. Supposing the fourth of Jehoiakim to be current at Nebuchadnezzar's aceession (Jan. e.c. 604), it follows that the flrst of Jehoiakim was s.c. 608-607; and, adding the three months of Jehoahas, we have the beginning of s.c. 60W) or the very end of a.c. 609 as the earliest possible date for Josiah's death.
    

    - Jerem, xivi. 1-27.
    * Herod ii. $\mathbf{5 0 8}$; iv. 39. The mistake of Herodotus, in saying that Neco man

[^105]:    the frat to construct the canal, arose from its being filled up by the aandy coil, 00 that the attempt to open it was virtually a new work. Aristotle, 8trabo, and Pliny, ascribe ite commencement to Henostris, and monuments of Ramenes 11. mark its course. Its completion by Darius is atill a disputed quention. There is on the Suez stone, near ita ancient month, a cuneiform inacription with the name of "Daryaoush nàga wazarka" (Darius the Great King), etnting that he completed it, but filled np a part of it again ; which may be mode of ovading a confeasjon of failure. For an account of the course and history of the canals, see Wilkimbon's Note to Herod. 1i. 158, and 'Handbook for Egypt,' pp. 104-106.
    ${ }^{11}$ The modern canal of M. de Lesseps, opened in November, 1869, proceede, not from the Nile, but sonthwards from Lake Mensaleh to join the course of the old canal where it bends to the S. near the Bitter Lakes, between which and Buen it is waid to have been still open as late ge the time of Mohammed AH. Tho ancient canal was of fresh water.

    4 Herod. ii. 15s. Diodorus ascribes the cessation of the work to the discovery that the level of the Red See was higher than the coil of Egypt; and Pling repeats the statement in connection with ita reaumption by Ptolemy Philadelphus, an imaginary reason for a donbtful fact. Herodotus in the one cave and strabo in the other, sosert that both kinge did open the canal to the Hed ses: nor would the difference of level (if real) have been an obstacle, for wo learn, fromu Diodorve himself, as well as from strabo, that there were oluices at the mouth of the canal, prubably to keep out the sea-water and to sult the ohange of level ot the time of the inundation.

    4 Herod. ti. $159 . \quad 4$ Herod. iv. 42.
    4 Wilhineon observes that this is lese arprising in an African climate, where marlej, doora, peas, te, we resped in from 8 months to 100 dajo after sowing.

[^106]:    *6 We must not, however, lay too much stress on the argument, that such statements could hardly have been invented had they not been true. An Egyptian mariner, accustomed to the Red Sea, the greater part of which lies within the tropics, would know that the sun was sometimes to the north of the zenith, and might infer that it was always so to an observer sufficiently far south. After all that has been written by Major Rennell and others, respecting the aid derived from the currents round the African coast, and so forth, the great argument-unless the story be an entire fabrication-is the statement that the fleet did get round to the mouth of the Nile. (See further in the 'Dict. of Greek and Roman Geography,' art. Lisya.)
    ${ }^{47}$ Herod. ii. 160.
    48 Herod. 1i. 161. His name frequently occurs at Syene, as well as those of Psamatik I. and Amasis.
    ${ }^{99}$ Herod. ii. 161. Here, as also in his account of the unexampled prosperity of Egypt under Amasis, it would seem that Herodotus, having once fixed his limit for the trustworthy history of Egypt at the accession of Psammetichus, tacitly ignores all the older traditions of the priests. He could not have meant to imply, for example, that these Saite kings were more prosperous than Sesostris, had he really believed his own story of Sesostris.
    ${ }^{s o}$ He also appears to have attacked Cyprus, which was an old dependency of Egypt.
    ${ }^{\text {in }}$ Herod. 1v. 159. Here we' see that a new native army had been formed, pro- ${ }^{3}$

[^107]:    bably from the chlldren whom the deserters are expressily said to have left behind them; and Apries would naturally eend them, rather than hin Oreek mercenaries, egainst a Greelk etate. EA Herod. 11. 161; Iv. 159.

    - Herod. it. 162, 168. $\omega$ Herod. H. 169.
    st The sea-fight with the King of Tyre is connected with the question of Kebse chadnezcar's 18 geard slege of Tyre and its elleged capture in m.0. 585. It meerns to imply that Tyre ined submitted to Nebachadnezear an Facoal, and that Apries attacked ite theet as being a powerfal auxiliary to the King of Babylon.
     rebelled againat him in aending the ambessedors into Efypt, that they might give him bortes and much people." It is doubtfal, on chronologicel grounde, whetbet the first teague of Zedeliah With EgJpt does not fall in the reign of Pantre metichus II.
    

[^108]:    30 Jerem. nliii. 5-7. Jerem. nliii., xliv., xlvi. ; Ezek. xxix.-xxxii.
    6 Herod. ii. 169. 6t Ezek. xix. 8.
    63 Jerem. xlvi. 25, 26 ; Exek. xiix. 18, 19. The latter passage is important for the question whether Tyre was taken by Nebuchadnezzar. (Comp. below c. Iv. § 11.) This prophecy seems also to clearly mention Lydia (Lud) as the. ally of Egypt (Ezek. xxx. 5).

    64 Jerem. zliv. 30.
    Es Ezek. xxix. 13-16. Difficultied arise from the 40 years assigned as the period of desolation, and from the strong language in which that desolation is described, especially when compared with Herodotus's account of the prosperity of Euypt under Amasis. But the historian is describing the internal state of the country, while the prophet refers mainly to her political subjection; and the former epeaks of a time when the long reign of Amasis, corresponding very nearly to the 40 years of the propheay, had healed the wounds of Nebuchadnezsar's invasion with a completeness only attainable in such a country as Egypt. As to the date of the invasion, we only know, from Ezek. xxix. 17, that the prophecy was still unfulfilled in the 27 th year of the Great Captivity, s.c. 571 , that is, about two yeare before the accession of Amasis,
    se This invasion is mentioned by Berosus, who bays that Nebuchadnezrar conquered Egypt and pot Apries to death. Comp. ©. IV. § 12.
    of Another theory is that the Babylonian invasion was the cause of the dis uffextion of the Egyptians towgrds Apries.

[^109]:    * We prefer this date to Manetho's 19 years, both from ite better afreement with the Bcripture elaronology, and from the constant corruption of Manetho's numbers.
    - Aceording to some athorities, this princese was, the daughter of \& King Panyerrcers III., whose name is found on some monuments at Thebea. Hin place in the series-whether before. or after, or sontemporary fith Apries-is very dopbtial.

[^110]:    * Herod. ii. 177.
    n Wilkinson suggests that Aahmes I. (Amosis) may have been the author of the law; but we have seen that the Old Monarchy of Memphisvwas equally intolerant of idleness.
    * Herod. $\mathbf{i} .178,179$. Willineon observes that this restriction, which resembles the policy of the Chinese towards Europeane, was also 4 wise precaution egainst the Greek pipgtes who infested the Mediterranean. The exacl position o. Naucratis is unknown.

[^111]:    74 Herod. iL 176. One of these was e reoumbent colomas 75 faet long, in front of the temple-an attitude 00 unamal that (as Wikinson anggenta) the monolith was probably left on the ground on account of the troubles which soon befel Efypt, e ream which the prieste would not oonfeas to Herodotus. The others were twe pairs of twin onlosit on the same baso, 20 leet high, carved in the atone of Pthiopis, on each side of the temple.

    * 80 Herodotos was told ; but the true reason was probably that mentloned is the preceding note. A similar monolith of the same king at Thmals or Leonto polis (TeLatMai), tneasures 21 feet 9 inches high, 18 feet broad, and 11 feet 7 inches deep, externally. The dimenstons given by Herodotas are equal to 81 feet 0 inches higk, 22 feet broad, and 12 feet deep, outride, and inside 28 teet 8 Inches, In feet, and $7 \frac{1}{2}$ feet. What he calls the length was the height, when the chamber btond erect. $\quad 91$ Berod. II. 178.
    'F Merod. fil. 89-48; Gehiller, 'Dat Ring dea Polykrates;' see Lurd Egttos's srenelations of Scbilier's tallads.
    - Lierod. i. 100

[^112]:    7 Herod. ii. 182.
    to Herod. iii. 1. Ophthalmia has always been one of the plagues of Egypth Wilkinson ascribes it to the transition from excesaive dryness to damp.
    ${ }^{11}$ See Book III. chap. xxviii.
    n The Britich Museum is particularly rich ia their monumenta.

[^113]:    5 The large class of ordinary sailors, especially boatmen navigating the Nile, would be included in Diodorns's class of artificers or, as we may say, craftsmen,

    - It would seem, however, from Genesis rlvii. 18-21, that there was once a class of independent proprietors, who, on their extinction as landowners, wers added to the urban population.

    F Genesis xlvi. 34. Sir G. Wilkinson adds to the text the evidence of the monuments:-" as if to prove how much they despised every order of pastors, the artista, both of Dpper and Lower Egypt, delighted on all occasions in caricaturing their appearance." ('Anc. Egyptians,' vol. ï. p. 169, popukr edit.) Dr. Beke has attempted to show that the word translated "abomination" really means "an object of reverence." (See 'Athensum,' June, 1869.)
    s 'The Ancient Egyptians,' 6 vols. 8 vo.; and 'A Popular Account of the A acient Egyptiansp 2 rols. Cr. 8 so.

[^114]:    - Herod. 1i. 14. ${ }^{4}$ Kenrick, "Anclent Egypt," vol. I. p. 48.
    in Herod. ii. 142, 148. Taken literally, the otatement is of course incredible, and tis artificial character is furthet shown by the number of generations of the tings being the ame an that of the prieste. But tt is a good argument for the law of hereditory succesion in both casea. A similar case of hereditary puccesoion in the ciril setvice to cited by Lepsian from an inscription In the Binaitio peninsula, in which ehief of the mining works declares that twenty-three of his anceators had flled the samu office before hin

    E Genesis Ilvii. 22; Diod i 73. But it sppeart from the. Ronetta otone that the Ptolemiet received a tan from the prieats. $f$ Herod. it. 87.

[^115]:    14 Herod. ii. 87. 15 Diod, i. 80 : comp. Ferod. ii, 92 . 10 Herod. ii. 35.
    ${ }^{15}$ Herod. ii. 55 ; confirmed by the monuments. But the Rosetta stone shows that the deified Ptolemies had their priestesses as well as their priests.
    ${ }^{18}$ Herod. ii. 165, 166. Priests also, as we have already seen, held military commands; and there is no proof that men of daring and promise were not received from other classes into the military.

    10 The latter aame is found on the monuments as Klashr, followed by the figure of an archer or a soldier, the Egyptian infantry being chiefly archers. Wilkinaon, note to Herod. ii. 164.

    20 Here again it should be observed that the information of Herodotus relates to the state of Egybt under the Saife kings.

[^116]:    - The Attic mince was about 11 lb avoirdupois, the Eginetan about 1).
    © This is the earliest use of creaf, when prette bad a real meaning.

[^117]:    m Clem. Aler, 'Strom.' vi. 4, p. 757, ed. Potter, Concerning these books, see below, § $\mathbf{s 0}$.
    ${ }^{2 s}$ The monuments constantly show the king offering sacrifices in person. For a representation of the royal robes and apron, see Wilkinson, 'Popular dccount,' reng vol. ì. p. s28.

[^118]:    24 Diod. L. 72.
    "7 Their regular dietinction was a badge, hanging from the side of the head, which enclosed, or represented, the loik of hair emblematio of a "son," in inaltetion of the youthful god, Horna, who was the type of royal virtue and the model for all princes. (See this head-dress in Wilkineon, vol. t1. D. 812.)

    38 Plato, 'Pplit.' H. p. 290; Pluk. 'Ls. et Osir.' p. 354, B. It seeme also that a royal prince (whether by birth or adoption) wan nimilariy initiated; and thut it Wre that "Moses was learned in all the wisdom of the Egyptians." (Acto vil. 22.) What bas been anid of the cocapation of the royal princes. will illustrate the further statement that be " was trighty in worde and deedn." and the military exploits ascribed to bim by Jowephus, though wieh detalle evidently fabulous.

[^119]:    29 Diod. i. 94. $\quad{ }^{20}$ Notably the Pharaoh of the Erodus.
    

[^120]:    ${ }^{0}$ The division between Dpper and Middle Egyp was drawn differently at different perioda; and at one time (Btrabo eaye originally) the lattor only oontained 7 nomes, whence It Greek name of Beptanomic. Afterwards the Fyim was added at min eighth, ander the name of Nownos Arsinotites.
    ${ }^{4}$ In thite division the Oanis of Ammon was reckoned at one of the 85 nomet of the Delta. *The eorreaponding Egyptian titles ar unknown.

[^121]:    ${ }^{4}$ Strabo, $\mathbf{1}$ vii. p. $811 . \quad$. 4 Kenrick, 'Ancient Egypt,' voi. ii. p. 49

    ```
    47 See Herod. \i.962, 132, 171.
    ```

    ${ }^{4}$ Herod. ii. ${ }^{37}$. ${ }^{\text {E }}$ Lucian, ' Deor. Conc.' 10.

    * Lucian, "Deor. Conc.' 10. 4 ' De Iside et Osiride.'

[^122]:    - Cory's 'Ane. Frag.' p. 288.
    © Brugsch, "Avs dem Orient.' It to evflient what a new light this discovery throws on the sablime parage in Ezodus tit. 14; where Moes, whom we may suppose to have been initiated into this formala, ts acnt both to his peoplo and to Pharaoh, to proclaim the trae God by this very title, and to teclare that the God of the bigheot Egyptian theolong whe also the God of Abraham, of laaco, and of Jacob. The eave is paralial to that of Paul at Athens.
    © Lemormant, ' Bist, Ancienne;' vol. L. D. 861.
    - 80 chap. UII. 8 8.

[^123]:    * As Bal wasalmo a mo-god, the fable may have ignifled, in part, the triompl of the gids of Egypt over thowe of her enemiea.
    s Herodotus makes it a rale getherally to mappress the name of Odris.
    * This was one reacon of hil identilication pilh Dionysus. idee Herod, 11. 48.?

[^124]:    57 Hence the Greeks identiffed him with Hephsestus-
    3 For further information see Kearick's "Ancient Egypt's vol. i. chap. xxi., and Wilkinson's Appendix to Book II. of 'Herodotus, shap. iii. (in Rawlinson's " Herodotus'). Both agree in making up the list of the "eight" by 4 deities of each sex; but with slight differences:

[^125]:    $\omega$ Herod. iI, $65 . \quad \omega$ Diod, 1. 85, 86.
    a Herodotos relates a momewhat similar fable to sccount both for the ram's head of Armmon, and for his name of "t the hidden one." Herod. il. Tr.
    \# Comp. Cie. ' N. D.' i. 29, 88, 'Tuse. Quent.' v. 27 ; Porphyr. 'De Sacrifcile.'

    * some writers add that it was a wise measure of police to preatrve the animala which, at Herodotas aya, were tew.

[^126]:    * We have this very symbolism in the Bible (Ezek. xxix. 8 ; isaiah xxvii. 1) as well as in the hieroglyphics, from which indeed many other confirmatory examples might be drawn.
    as The converse symbolism represents a king by a human head on the body of the animal whose ${ }^{3}$ qualities are argribed to him.

[^127]:    ${ }^{\omega}$ see cbap. u .56.

    - Diodoruà relates this at an eyewitnews.

[^128]:    as Clem. Alex. 'Padag.' iii. 2, p. 253, Potter.
    "Compare Daid v. 27 ; "Thouart weighed in the balances and found wanting." ASC. HIST.

[^129]:    50 See, besides the worls of Wilkinson, and the larger collections of plates, the admirable popular summary hy Mr. George Long, 'Egjptian Antiquities,' 2 vole,
    n See Frontis nece.

[^130]:    Thee the paesage quoted above from Clemens Alexandrinas, which illumtrates the ase of the two chambers. No traces have been found of gatew or thebr supports.

[^131]:    72 The prevalence of aymbolism is especially seen in those compound figurea, of which we have litely spoken. See § 19.

[^132]:    70 A mung the reprementations of their various Forkn, we have the proceng of polishing a granite colomens, and also its tranaport on a sledpe.
    *They manufactared indiso by a proeets the imperfection of whieh it abova 7 the aand which glitteis on the painued surface

[^133]:    ${ }^{26}$ In some cases the colours may be those with which the people used to paint themselves; as Herodotus (vii, 69) describes certain Ethiopian tribes as having one-half of their bodics painted with gypsum, and the other half with vermilion.
    ${ }^{77}$ The Egyptian name was (in its Greek form) byblus (Herod. ii. 93), Whence the Greek $\beta_{i} \beta^{\prime}$ cov (book); so that the very name of our Bible points to the cuuntry where Moses, an? perhaps Abraham before him, learnt the art of writing.

[^134]:    ${ }^{26}$ Plin. 'H. N.' niti. 11, 12.
    TM The fuct that the Egyptinne wrote from right to left is distinctiy stated by Herodotas, and abomdantiy proved by the papyri.
    © We believe that this sormethat agurative phram fatriy deturibet the reapee-

[^135]:    tive claims of the English and French discoverers. It is true that Dr. Young's discoveries were only published in the Supplement to the 'Encyclopadia Britannica' in 1819, whereas Champollion's essay 'De l'Ecriture hiératique des Anciens Egyptiens' appeared in 1812; but this work was based on the fundamental error, that the hieratic characters are entirely ideographic and not phonetic, signs of things and not of sotunds. Still Champollion had already $f$ at hold of two important truths, that some of the characters are ideographic, and that the hierstic character is an abridgment of the hieroglyphics.
    ${ }^{\text {al }}$ From so narrow an induction the result could of coarse be but imperfect; but it is wonderfal how nearly this first attempt gave the true value of the characters.

[^136]:    E De Rouge, 'Notice dee Monaments Estptiens du Muse du Louvre,' Parts, 1869: a work invaluable for the amount of information in a very amall compacs. It is perhape hardly necesary to observe that great use has to be made of the principle, that satisfactory results are an argument (we don't aay more) for the trath of the metbod that led to them. The argumentum in ciroulo ts often the very reverse of a fallacy; Just ase every brick in a circular tunnel helps to support every other.
    *We are quite familiar, at thls day, with similar comblanationa in the riddlo called a robus, and in "panning or canting heraldry."
    on Ao in our letter $A, \$$ pasoing into $\boldsymbol{V}^{6}$, 友, or A, the initiol of the nebrew and Phonician Aleph, an on

[^137]:    ws The reason alleged, that all the feathers of the bird were believed to be equal, seems hardly satisfactory.
    at We are necessarily content to indicate the general principles of hieroglyphic interpretation. For further detaile, see the works of Cbampolion and Gliddon on Hieroglyphice, Sir G. Wilkinson's Appendix to Book II. of ' Herodotus,' chap. V. : and Mr. Foole's irticle Fienoglynhies in the 9 h edition of the "Fncyclopædis Britannica.'

    37 turis iatpeioy :eDiod. i. 49.

[^138]:    ${ }^{6}$ See chap. ii. § $\%$.
    therod. ii. 82. We have already explained their Fague Year of 365 days, and their Sothic Year of 365t, and the Sothic Period of 1461 jeary, which reconciled the two.

[^139]:    1 This Greek word aignifies the comatry betwoeas the rivert ; and is used loosely for the region of the two rivers (Tigris and Eaphrates). It is the exact etymological equivalent of the Semitic dual, Naharaina (or in), which in found on the Efyptian monuments, and in the Aram-Naharais of Seripture.

[^140]:    - The word is probebly of Aryen orlfin, the Greek prefix of having the mame force as the ganslrit ons the Zend has and the Teutonic gul, good; and the recond element being fra, the particle of abandance; the whole thus signifying " ${ }^{\text {w }}$ the good and abounding river." The Fiebrew is Juat like the modern name; but it is generally denoted in the Bible by ian-nehor, i.c. "the river," in grand oontrant to the short-lived torrents of Paleatina, and perhaps aleo as the boundary of the promised lead-" the bordering flood of old Euphrate" (Milton). In Gen. 2v. 18, both terms are used "the great river, the river Euphrates."
    - The northern brameli, which rises near Me. Ararat and fiow pant fiserown. fo ealled Fras and aleo Kara-Du (the Black Biver); the southern, which rises to the north of the great lake Fan and flowe elong the northern foot of M. Niphation, ts called Murad-Chai; but the latter is the principal atream.
    - The aame of this river, under forms only apparently diferent, hut been se permanent ae that of the Euphrateo. Perhapa, the oldeet forts was Digla, the Diglath of the Targums, ke., and the Diplit of Pliny (' H. M.' N. 27); whenoe Fiddeld wa formed by tine Bemitte prefiz HCh olgnifying linely (used of running water in Gen. xxth. 19). This name ocears in the Babylonian cunciform InseripMons, side by tide with the Aasyrian form Thgare or Tigre (in Greelk and Latis
     Fin. 2.a.). It eeema, therefore, probable that there was in eariy Babyionian en row dik or dig, equivalert to the Aryan tif or tiv; and that from these two rocte

[^141]:    were formed independently the two names, Dekel, Dikla, or Digla, and Tiggar, Tigra, or Tigris. The Arab conquerors of Mesopotamia revived the true Semitic title in the modern native form of Digleh. The name (if rightly explained by Strabo and Pling) would signify the nature of its rapid course, so much shorter and straighter, and therefore swifter, than the Euphrates; as Byron speaks of "the arrowy Rhone." But what seems the same word in the royal name of Tiglathe pileser is explained by anneiform scholars as adoration: and thas the Tigris misht be the sacred river.

[^142]:    - This natne is applied by the Greel and Latin geographers to a part of BabyIonia, near the head of the Persian Galf, and on the aonfines of Arabis (Btrabo, 17i. pp. 789, 767 ; Plin. VL. 87 ; Ptol. v. 20, $\boldsymbol{f}$ 8).
    - Probebly thin-'ar, the eountry of the two rivera, from the Bemitte thand (tvoo) and 'ar, the Babylonian equivalent of nahr (e riowr). We have already obwerved that the Ethiopian Bennoor has the aame meaning. The LXX, runder Ahin'or by Hennaar in Gen. Ii. s, and by Babylonis in Isaitib IL_ 11, and Zook. V. 1 L.
    'This name ts derived from the town of Aingaran a frontior fortress of tha Roman emperors againot Persia, and seems to bave a connection with Bhiner.
    - That is, either the table-lond of $\langle r a m$, or the Jeld of dram, or upland fieh or pastwe grownd (for Arown mewn "high"?

[^143]:    - Hence Xenophon mentions a part of Arabia as along the left bank of the Euphrates; and, at the present day, the prevalence of an Arab population, at sroublesome as in old times, gives to the country round Babylon the name of lrak-Arabi.

[^144]:    13 Loftus, 'Chaldwa and Susana,' pp. 14, 15. - 16 Jerem. I. 38 ; li. 42.
    ${ }^{17}$ Isaiah xiv. 12, 13, 23.
    1s Genesis xi. 2-4, 8. The commun way of speaking only of the totoer of Babel is apt to put out of sight the city and the nume, which maris the real object of the scheme as the first attempt to found so great political power. (See further, on this point, the 'Student's O. T. History,' chap. V. § 5.)

    19 Genesis xi. 9. The Chaldman prieste of Babylon preserved the tradition of the confusion of tongues, but they found an etymology for Babel in their ewn tongue, Bab-il, i.e. the gate of $I l$ (the god whom the Greeks identifled with Kronos or Saturn). Either etymology may have arisen from the other by the universal tendency for each race to find a meaning for a proper name in its own language. But, in the case before us, the soripture etymology is so authoritative, snd so

[^145]:    Inseparably connected with the eventa rocorded, that it seems safer to conaider the Bemitic meaning the original, and the Chaldaid the adaptation. In thit "view we have an argument for the original Bemitio population of the plain of Bhinar. It in of the utmoot importance to obeerve that Babel and Babylon are distinctly local and not ethicic names. Babel does not occur in the ethnio table of Geneais x. ; and the Babyioniane of history are simply the people whowe capital was Babjion. The question of their true ethnio name will be consldered presently.
    ot The prefix Bira, which has mo meaning to Arabio, is explalned by the local mame of Bourra, which points to the Bemitic form meen in the Idumseas Bowah and the Ponio Byran (a citadel). It meeme to retain ihe Arst oylinble of the eacient mame, Borsippa, in the Babylonian form Barsip or Barnipa, which M. Oppert explains as "Tower of Tongues." The Talmodists declare that the true aite of the Tower of Babel wat at Borrif, the Oreek Borsippm.
    nt The general form of the Chaldman templa cowers is deveribed below (mes chap. xivi).
    © The king's name eontains that of Mebo, his patron delty.
     deweribed.

[^146]:    24 This seems a proof that Nebuchadnezzar rebuilt it from the old foundation.
    15 This is expressly mentioned, as a mode of Babylonian building, by Philostratus (Apoll. Tyan. i. 25).

    36 Here is the clearest allusion to the mode of huilding : successive stages of sun-dried bricks, round an earthern tnound as core, and faced with highly-burnt bricks: nor could any words describe more vividly the exast state which the ruins again present after another 2000 years.
    ${ }^{27}$ An alluaion to the Chaldman astrology.
    ${ }^{2}$ That is, in design, for he has said that it was not finished.
    20 It seems that the Babylonian conqueror had the Roman idea of pacification.
    30 Mr. Layard has observed thatathe name of Borsippa occars in every mention

[^147]:    Of Bebyion on the tnscriptions, from the earliest time to the latect. ('Asiatle Journal,' vol. Tif. part 41. pp. 486, 487).
    an reason for the change may have been that the banks of che river were not suited for a eity till prepared by engineering works. We sre not ercuing that the ehange we ectually made, but only maggesting is at an anawer to the objection of distance.

    - Geneals $x$ s.
    (2) Compare Genesis yI. 1, 6, and 9.
    * Berverse records the fact, which is proved by modera researehes:- There were at firit at Bebyion a great namber of men of different races, who eolonised Chaldara."

    0 Genesf x. 8-12. The pagase is almoat eertainly an interpolation th the genealogical table of the ons of Noah. Beniden the net of the name Jehooah (which, by the bye, is here only an intensive, an in Jonah iil. 8), the panage stands alone in the genealogy in its dietinctly personal character; it has no eone section with what precedes and follows; and the proverbial expresion quoted tn It teems to mark ite fragrestary character. This later date would eccount for the precedence given to Babylon and Nineveh in each letrapolit, oven If they were not the origisal capitals. Thas the terms "mighty one" and "very mighty
     eot an oppresor, seems the only adequate sense, and in confrmed by the mention of Nimrod's kinpdom. The only other mention of Nimpod is in Mical 7 . 6, where ${ }^{*}$ the land of Nimrod" acems to be Babylonia, bat may pomibly be Acerria (See the art. Niniod in the 'Dict of the Bible.')

[^148]:    *5 That the ruling race of Babylonia, in the earliest historic times, was Cushite, and connected with the Hamite populations of Egypt and Southern Arabia, is argued (1) From the Biblical genealogy : (2) From the resemblance between the cunclform and hieroglyphic (or, more exactly, the hieratic) systems of writing: (3) From the language of some of the Babylonian inscriptions, of which the gremmart seems "Turanian," but the vocabulary Hamite or "Sub-Semitic:" (4) Frum the traditions of Babylonia and Agsyria (and also some Greek traditions), which point to a connection of Babylonia with Ethiopis and Southern Arabia. (Sea Sir H. Rawlinson's ' Eseay VI. to Herod.' Book i. in p. 442.)

[^149]:    If Among the clasoical writers, bealden Herodotus, whose earis mooounth, both of Babylonia and Amgria, are manifestly fabulous, the only authority of eny great weight is Ctesiag of Cnidus in Caria, who was physician to Artaxerzes II. Mnemon, and was with him during his war against his brother Cfrus the Younger (b.c. 401), and wrote a history of Persia in 28 books. His statemente are generally at variance both with Herodotus and Beronus. The tendency of eunciform diecovery, thas tar, has been to confirm Berosus rather than Ctesias. The tradi. cions followed by the Greet writers reprenent the continuous exintence, from the earliest timell, of as Aseyrian empire, to which Babylonia wae eubject till lis come paratively late revolt. The error of thit will be seen an we proceed.
    m That is, 120 sars of $\mathbf{8 6 0 0}$ jears each, in the Babylonian eyutem of computation ( $e$ below, chap. xvii.).
    $\omega^{*}$ As to the deity represented by the name Oannes, tee chap. Ivil.

    - The yeare of the 7th and sth dynanties are from the Canon of Eusebiun, tre. The 258 years of the 8 rd Dynanty are obtained from the total. Bee Nreen and Hluctrations-(A). Early Bebjlonian Chronology.

[^150]:    42 The aimilarity of type, of which we have co speare oenow, is an argumens for the cognate origin of the raoss that built the Egyptian pyramids and the Chaldman temple-towers.

[^151]:    45 Joshus vil. 12.
    4 (See below, §12). We read tn the inseriptions of Sarbon, B.c. 720, seq. of the semoval of Accadian colonies from Babylonis to Armenis.
    ${ }^{43}$ The dual form denotes ite position on both sfdee of the river.
    ${ }^{*}$ In Gen. xiv. 1, it is the capital of Arioch, one of the allien of Chedorlaomer.
    4 The name of this city ts said to mean " the fort of the god Ann." Its name of Nopher in the Talmud egreve with the modern Niffr, which Arab tradtion makes the site of the original Babylon, and also the place whence Nimrod endee voured to mount on eagle's wings to hesven. The LXX. (Is. I. 9) make Calneh the seat of the tower of Bebel see further ee the Bebylentay Beligion, in chap. Evil.

[^152]:    48 Gen. xi. 8, 3.
    49 Respecting its chronological coincidence with the traditional beginning of the Assyro-Babylonian kingdom, Asee Notes and Illustrationg (A).

[^153]:    50 This city exems to be the Biapm of Ptolemy. The etymological connection of Nimrod and Nipru, by the naual tnterchange of the labinls $m$ and $p$ before $r$, is obrious. Bir H. Rawlinoon finds the root-meaning in the Byriao napar (to purcue); and a tro-fold light is thrown on Nimrod's own character, as a "hunter "and as the hero-eponymue of the Babyionians, by inscriptions of more than one Asbyrian king, who are deseribed as "hunting (or purcuing) the people of Butu-Niprt" (Remlinson, 'Essay X. to Herod. Book I.' p. 507). It is to be observed that Nimrod need not be absolutely taken as a percon In Gen. I., where a poteor may be deseribed by the name of the national divine bero. An Arab tradition identifea Nimrod with the constellation of the "giant" (EI Cjansa) which we call, aftez the Greeks, Orion.
    ${ }^{31}$ Though Hur eppears, in extant inscriptions, as the seat of the worship of the Moon (Sin or Hurki), there is evidence of a more anclent worship of Ans, the supreme god of the Babylonians and Asgyrianc. The traditions mentioned above would seem rather to point to Calneh (Nipru) es the capital; but, in ell proo babillty, the four cities were originally independent, and cominsted over one enother in turn. The opinton chat Hutr wes the Or-Chasdim, or Ur of the Chaldees, of Scripture, whence the family of Terah and Abrabam migrated (which cannot be fully diseused here) is noticed inctdentally below (\$ 17 , note).
    © The opper storeys are stamped with other namea, some well known and of s ate period;-s proof of the higher antiquity of the namea below.

    * His name (which in interpreted "light of the sun ") seems to have been preeerved by $a$ tradition which turns up , carlously enough, as late as the time of Ovid, who, in the fable of Clytia and Leucothes, mentions Orchamus as the seventh in arsecension from Belua ('Metam.' Iv. 212, '218). It it almout maperfinous to recosark that the claserical Belte is only the mythical tempereonation of Bel, and the hero-eponymus of Babylon.

    0 This seems to be the territortal designation of the Hamiter of Chaldes.

[^154]:    ss It is now unfortunately loot, but Sir R. K. Porter, who had it, has left an engraving of it in his 'Travela,' which is copiedin Rawlinson's ' Five Monarchies,' vol. i. p. 118 (first edition).
    so This element is seen in the preflx Kruder and in the termination Khak, which appears again on the bricks of Suss in the name Twrhak, the identity of which with the name of the celebrated Ethiopian Tirhakah confirms the Cushite nationality. Ak is said by Josephus to mean king in the sacred language of Egypt, and the same element aurvives in the Turkish Khakan. Several other names on the Chaldæan monuments, of forms clearly Turanian, are also found on those of Snsiana. Besides these points of agreement, the characters of the Susianian inseriptions bear a close resemblance to the hieratic writing of Babylonia. On the state of Susiane at this period, see Sir H. Rawlinson, 'Essay VI.' \&ec, p. 448.
    ${ }^{51}$ This name, given in the Septuagint version in the form Chodollogomor, is explained by Sir H. Rawlinson as Kudur-lagamer, i.e. the aervant of Lagamer, a deity of Elam or Susiana. Sir Henry at first identified Chedorlaomer with Khudermapula; but he now regards the former as the original Susianian conqueror who established his dominion over Babylonia, and the latter as a descendant, of far inferior consequence. The date of the 4 th dynasty of Berosus agrees admirablo with the received date of Abraham. [See Prof. Rawlineon's 'Five Great Monasthies,' vol, i. p. 206.)

[^155]:    * At the preaent day, the Turkish government of the conntry issuee proclamstions in ita own Turanian langrage, in the semite Arabia, and in the Aryan Persian.
    * Those who identify "Ur of the Chadim" with the Hur of Babylonle regard the migration of Terah's tamily as part of a great movement of Bemitio coloniza tion, of which the migration of the Phoenictans was another wave. Nay, as Bir H. Rawlinson obverves, the expedition of Chedorlaomer, at the head of four tribes, over 2000 miles of country, looke itself like a movernent of colonization. Mr. Poole nuggeste a connection between this grest westward displacement of semiten and the invasion of Egypt by the Hykson.
    a Ropecially aceording to the marginal reading of Cenesis $\mathbf{z}$. 11 : neo above, $\$ 7$.
    - Gee Notes and Iluetrations (A).

[^156]:    63 Sir Henry Rawlinson observes that Assyria seems at this time to have been weak and insignificant, administered ordinarily by Babylonian eatraps, whose office was one of no great rank or dignity. The titles of three or four of them, on a tablet discovered at Kileh-Sherghat, belong to the most humble class of dignities. The name of Assyria never once occurs on the old Babylonian monuments.

    * See above, p. 208, note 50.

    68 Some anthorities hold this inscription to be one of the most ancient in Babylonia.
    *6 A nother reading aecribes this to Sagaraktiyas, the father of Naram-ain.
    af The ruins themselves are of the Parthian period.
    ss There is also in the British Museum a stone tablet, baid to have been broughi from Babylon, engraved with the name and titlea of Khammarubi.

[^157]:    - This, the traditional eity of Hermes, is intereeting both ae the cource trom which come writers have traced the name of Chaldaran, and as the apot where the ark of the covenant was beldeved to bave been buried during the Babyionian : eaptivity of the Jews. (See Sir H. Rawlineon, 'Esaay VI.' He., p. 440, note.)
    ${ }^{7 *}$ The mamber of kings is acesely adequate to the anmber of jeara, onlest ibey indicate the supremacy of tribet

[^158]:    n Two others of these names are Iferodach and Bel, the tutelary deities of Babylon and Borsippa; and the position of the whole six, in immediate succession to the seven primitive Chaldæans, seems to break their connection with the Arabian dynasty of Berosus.
    ${ }^{2}$ Eapecially Professor Rawlinson, in the First Book of his "Five Great Monarchies of the Ancient Eastern World.' The phrase in the text is not meant to imply that Berosus is the only authority for this use of the word. But the other arguments cannot be considered as more than confirmatory; and the chief of them-the mention in Scripture of " Ur of the Chaldees"-is, to a great extent, a petitio principii : rather amusingly so when (for instance) it is said that "Casdim hae been derived from Chesed, the son of Nahor (Gen. xxii. 22) ; but, if cr was already a city of the Casdim before Abraham quitted it, the name of Casdim cannot possibly have been derived from his nephew." ('Dict. of Bible,' 日. v.) Not to stand upon the previons question, concerning the correctness of the rendering of "Ur Chasdim" by Ur of the Chaldees, we must remember that it ${ }^{*}$ merely a translation, and that the identification of the names rests therefore on the authority of the LXX.; so that the question is-" What did they understand by the Chaldees ?" Unless both Or and Chaldaea could be shown to have a single and defini'e sense (the contrary of which is the fact), and unless it could be provedthat the people of Babylonia were Chasdim, the distinctive epithet Chasdim might be an argument as much against, as for, the $O r$ on the Euphrates. M. Oppert maintaine that Ur-Chasdim is simply the Babylonian for "Land of the Two Rivers" = Meropotamia. In the three passages of S8., wherealone it occurs, it.

[^159]:    - Bimpleing "Ad Aristot de Cola, it. p. 192. Bee Oppert, Histalre do Ghaldes ef d'Adayrie," 7.
    
    i Ges Plin. 'H. X.' Fit. B3.
    Bteph, ByE a 7 . Bopu入óv.
    

[^160]:     dobis. val. 1. g. S18

[^161]:    1 Herod. vii. 63. For instances of the confusion in classical writers-as Xenophon, \&c., down to Pliny and Mela-and for the essential difference between the names, see Rawlinoon's note, $l$. c. Syria is probably (by a softening of $s$ for $t s$ ) the Greek name for the land of Tyre (Tser); while Assyria is the Semitic Asshor. If we look in the Old Testament for the Semitic name of Syria, we always find Aram, i.e., the Fighlands (as distinguished from the valley of the Tigris and Euphrates, and perhaps from the comparatively low lands of Canaan).

    I Herod. i. 178. He calls Babylon "the most renowned and strongest city of Assyria" (in the time of Cyrus), "whither, after the fall of Nineveh, the seat of government had been removed "-as if he considered the Assyrian and Babylonian empires essentially one.
    ${ }^{2}$ Herod. i. 102.
    4 Gen. ii. 14. This is the correct rendering.
    5 Gen. x. 11.

[^162]:    - The allution of Herodotus to " thowe of the Permiang who winhed to dipniry tbe exploits of Cyrus" (rauovis rà mai Eippov, i. 95) is remarkably illuetrated by the highly legendary etory whieh he repeats as the moot truthful of the fout sceounts of the comqueror's life. Herodotne knows nothing of Ninua, Nisyas, or Sardanapales, and onily 00 moch of Semiramis ats is eonnected with het great worke at Bebyios. Diodorve Biculus repests the atory of Ctealan with some variationa.
    - Here fon anoof of the latenem of the legend; for the true hero-eponymuld of the mation what Aether (Gen. x. 11), the mopreme delty of the Ancyrians. (Set chap. xvii.) Ninva and Ningas are both impersonations of the god Nin or Ninif (the Asarian Herealen), efter whond Nineveh was mamed. Ninus to mo more to be identifted with Nin-pala-sire than with any orbers of the lings in Fhove mame Nin is a component.
    - We shall presently see that the name Bammeramit was actually borne, is the older Aistorical kingdom of Aeryria, by a queen tho appeare like the mythical semiramia, to have had a npecial ocnnection with Babjlon.

[^163]:    - We have already seen that this was the fish-god of the legend preserved by Berosus, and worshipped in Philistia. Derceto is also common $w$ Philistia and Babylonia. (See chap. x vii.)
    io Another proof of the lateuess of the legend.
    11 This name appears to be the Sanskrit Stavarapatis, that is, Lord of the Terra Firma. This, like other parts of the legend, may probably belong to the province ar comparative mythology.

[^164]:    ${ }^{13}$ As distinguished from Lower Asia, i.e. Asia Minor.
    4 Herod. i. 95. As Herodotus distinctly tells us that he received information from the Chaldman priests at Babylon (i. 181, 183 bis), we may venture (in accordance with his declared principle of reporting) to apply to this case his own statement (with a play upon one word) :-"I did not myself see these figures," but I relate what the Chaldmans report concerning them" (i. 188). We cannot doubt that he gives the very number which Berosus has preserved from the sacred records; while Ctesias is only repeating the Persian legends.
    ${ }^{15}$ For the full details of the compatation, see fiawlinson's 'Five Monarchies,' vol. ii. pp. 287, seq. $\quad 16$ See above, chap. x., § $8 . \quad 17$ See chap. xii. § 17.
    ${ }^{18}$ That is, в.o. $747+526=1278$. But, as we observed before, these numbers represent a chronological scheme, highly convenient for reference, and probably not far from the trath; but not absolute dates, like those based on the repeated concurrence of historical facts with chronological computations. M. Oppert and others give m.c. 1314 for the beginning of the empire, snd adopt a different division of the two dynasties, as is explained below.

[^165]:    Be But in fittes the adjecttre may bave an attributice force, is in Aarra-domu= the powerful king (rather than, the king is poweerful), a otandard expreaton to all the royal inecriptions

[^166]:    90 This a participial form of tiglath.
    .21 E. g. Bar-tholometo, Bar-nabas, Bar-jesus, in the N. T. The element which Sir H. Rawlinson reads pal; is read by M. Oppert bahal. We keep the shorter form as more convenient.

    22 Akhi here is the plural of akh above. The names of the two brothers, who murdered their father Sennacherib, are thus explained :-Adram-melech-the king (is) glorious (or, ayranges), and Shar-ezer (if genuine) =the king protects, or (as in the Armenian version) San-asar=Sin (the Moon) grotects. Babylonian names are formed on precisely the same principles, and Nebo, Merodach, Bel, and Nergal prevail in them just like Asshur, Sin, and Shamas in the Assyrian. Besides those which will be explained in their places, we may here mention Abed-nego (for nebo), "the servant of Nebo," Merodach-idin-akhi, "Merodach, give bro* thers." See Rawlinson's 'Five Monarchies,' vol. ii. Appendix A; vol, iii. Appendix B. M. Oppert points out that, in a tablet containing above 500 proper names (Rawlinson's 'Cun. Inscr.' vol. ii. p. 6), nearly 170 begin with Nabus: of these 18 end with rexur, the imperative of naxir "to protect," like Nabonassar, i.e. Nabunazir, "Let Nebo protect;" 25 end in imperatives, with the suffix ni. "me," like Nabu-seaibanni, "Nebo deliver me;" and 18 in ilani, "the gods" Uke Nabreedil-ilanni, "Nebo is the chief of the gods."
    ${ }^{93}$ Isaiah viii. 8. The exact force of the four elements is disputed; the synn bolical names of Hebrew prophecy are more obscure than personal namas.

    ANC. HIsT.

[^167]:    ${ }^{n}$ They are less numerous north of the Sinjar. See Layard, "Nineveh and Baby.on'; pp. 252, 834, 335. The Khabour here means the tributary of the Euphrates ${ }^{2}$ Rawlingon, 'Five Monarchies,' vol, ii. pp. 246-948. 38 sec Not is and Illustrations ( A ) on the Site and Extent of Nineveh.

[^168]:    m Rawlineon, ' Five Monarchies', vol. 41 pp. 252-254. Bee Fhan, p. 246.
    Mspomibly RL-Asmer, i.e. "the Asgyrian (eity)," a traditional local name given by the Arabe, like the Nimrud of to-day. M. Oppert and othere tee the namo of Ellasar instead of Asphorr for the ancient name of Eileh Shen, thet.
    

[^169]:    - Assyrian towns are found even went of the Khabour, ha Padan-Aram.
    * This follows equally from eilher reading of Genesis x . 11 .
    $\leftrightarrow$ Genesis ii. 14; $\mathbf{x}$. 11. The latter presape, though later than the "Book of che generations of the sons of Moah," in thich it ceerry, is undorbtediy ancient.
    

[^170]:    452 Sam. x. 16 ; 1 Chron. xix. 16 ; comp. title w Paalm 1x., " When David strove with Aram-naharaim and with Aram-zobah." In the Aran-naharaim ("Aram of the two rivers") of Scriptare we see the Naharayn of the Egyptian records; but the Nairi of the Assyrian annals had either a double meaning or a wider extent; for some of he campaigns against them are clearly in the valier of the Upper Tigris in Armenis.
    ' Clinton ${ }^{\text {' }}$ Fasti Hellenici,' vol. i. p. 267.

[^171]:    $\omega$ Bee chap. I. 514 ; where it has been show that the time referred to is probably about the middie of the 19 th century s.0. It is to be observed that doukur doee not oceur tn the inseription as the name of the eity.

    * Ramlinson pleces them between s.e. 1650 and 1550 . As the tablet to mutio lated at to beginaing, and the fint aame in come way down, there would secm to have been other kings before bim. The dato of the tablet to at loaet as late as Shalmaneser IL (B.a. 858 828), to whoee wars it alindet

[^172]:    so Rawlinson places them approximately hetween B.c. 1350 and 1230, assigning 20 years to each as the average derived from the known reigns of two series of later kings in direct descent.
    ${ }^{11}$ It is a curious coincidence that his name is one of thuse compounded from that of Ninus, tha mythic conqueror of Babylon.

[^173]:    22 It must be remembered that the dynastien of Beronus are those of Kinge of Babylonia.
    as In the leat twenty years of the sth oentury B.0.
    ${ }^{4}$ Rawlinson, "Five Monarchies," voi. H. pp. 805, 806.
    ss Rawlinson geta over the difference by oupposing that sennaeberit noed a ound number; others take s.e. 1300 literally; but, remembering that the epoels lerived from Berosus is a part of a ehromological scheme, we ought to be content -ith an approximation of 80 yeare.
    ${ }^{6}$ stee above, fis.

[^174]:    ${ }^{57}$ This was the inscription which the Royal Asiatic Society proposed to Major (now Sir Henry) Rawlinson, Dr. Hincks, Mr. Fox Talbot, and M. Oppert, as a test of the principles of cuneiform interpretation; and their agreement was sufficient to prove the general soundness of their methods.
    se Rawlinson places them between b.c. 1210 and 1090.
    ${ }^{69}$ some details of this war are given by Rawlinson, 'Five Monarchies,' von. ii. p. 310. It is thonght that there are indications of his having made war in Gouthern Syria and Palestine; but the attempt to identify him with the Chushanrishathaim of Judges iii. 8, seems to-involve a misconception of the relations between Absyria and Mesopotamia. It is perhaps more likely that Mesopotamia was tributary to Egypt, though little more than nominally. (See chap. vi. § 19.)
    00 It is worth notice in connection with points mentioned before that he desoribes himself as "king of the peopla of various tongues; king of the four regions;" "the exalted covereign, whose servanta Asshur has appointed to the government of the four regions." Possibly this may mean all the lands to the north, south, east, and west.

[^175]:    a That this Muri wran not E8ypt is clear from the amme of the eapital Arin, and, besiden, it in deseribed as a mountainons coontry. The prubabilities of an atteck on Erypt by Asuyris at this time would involve an interenting bat eormeWhat intricase diserasion. It was jut at this time that the Philiatines and tho Hittites were at the beight of their power, thas barring the great militers roed; and a sonflict with theve tribee, which must have oeerpied at leact a whole eampaign, would not have been pamed over in mominute ifrecord. Bealden, the Whote object of these eampaigns was elearly to evtablish the Asgyian power -ithin ift gatural himita, the oary limits ansigned to the ting's conquests in the final ecramary. The Eeyptima reeords aeen to show an allinace with Ampria shout this time see chap oi $\$ 20$."

[^176]:    * Comp. Isajah IET. 8 ; Micah 1. 6.
    * Rawlinson, 'Five Monarchies,' vol. ii. pp. 817, 318. On Asoyrian hunting: scenes in general, see Layard's ' Nineveh.' vol, ii. p. 431.
    * This is one of the eponymi, whose names mark enoh jear.

[^177]:    * Rawlineon, " Pive Monarchles,' vof. H. p. 828.
    $*$ The lisbility of an Oriental army, when retreating carelesaly, oncombered with tis eaptives and plonder, to such an attack from a resoluto puruzer is illustrated by Abraham's partuit and defeat of Chedorlaomer, which, in its turno receives light from the ease before ws.
    ef This expplies one of the leadin chronological data. The Bavian Inseription was eet up in senracherib's 10 th year, s.c. 692, and he maye that the rbeto were captured 41 yeart previoasiy, whicis bringe ua to s.c. 1110, probably dut 4 the elone of Tiglath-pilaweres reign.

[^178]:    69 The interpreters explain the Sea of Akhiri as the Mediterranean, and the Sea of Naïri as Lake Van, It is clear that the country of the Naïri includes the loeality of the monument, showing that Professor Rawlinson is right in giving these people a wider range than Mesopotamia.

    To "The single name of Asshur-Mazur, which has been assigned to this period, Is recovered from an inseription of Shalmaneser II. (the Black Obelisk King), who speaks of a city Muddinu, on the right bank of the Euphrates. which had been taken, before his time, by Tiglath-pileser and Asshur-Mazur, Kings of Assyria." -Rawlinson, ' Five Monarchies,' vol. ii. p. 334, note.

[^179]:    $n$ These remarks are lounded on the chronology calculated by the English authorities, who place the whole series of kings, from Aetherridin-akh to AsthwrIush, betwcen B.c. 850 and 747. But the French writers (Oppert, Lenormant, ©o.) place the same seriss just 40 years higher. $\quad T 8$ see abova, ebsp. vil. 6.
    ${ }^{73}$ Bee the list in Rawlinson.

[^180]:    1 Namely, from s.a. 896 to a.o. 749, 140 yeare; and from b.o. 746 to 625, 121 years. But if we were to take the date of s.c. 606 for the fall of Ninoveh, both periods would be exactly equal, namely, 140 years.

    EThe xtifice was completely unoovered In 1845. See Layard, 'Nineveh and its Remaans' vol. L. pp. 10, seq. We atill apeak of the aite of Gargon't capital as Khorsabad; bat the village of that nama wae purchased and removed by M. Botte, in order to excavate the mound on which te stood. The name le probably from Khorsas-abad (the abode of Khowroes), one of thone Persian namee which many of the villages in this part of Assyria bave obtained from their vicinity 5 the mountains of Kurdistan.
    : The remder should bear in mind that the ban-relieft in the Asmytian buildings are for the moat part of the gypsom and alabaster found in the nelghbourhood, some are of limestone.

[^181]:    4 Writing these paragraphs under the conviction that a history of ancient Assyria would be wanting in completeness and interest without some account of the history of these discoveries, we feel equally bound to repeat Mr. Layard's grateful acknowledgment to the one man whb first supplied the means. "It is to Sir Stratpozd Canning" (Lord Stratford de Redclyffe) "we are mainly indebted for the collection of Assyrian monuments with which the British Museum will be (is now) enriched; without his liberality and public spirit the treasures of Nimrud would have been reserved for the enterprise of those who have appreciated the Filne and importance of the discoveries at Khorsabad."

    - Genesis x. 11, 12: Here we see the name of Asshur preserved in the same form as the Greer name of the province, Aturia.

    E'Nineveh and its Remains,' 2 vols. 1849. Abridged edition in 1 vol., 1867.

    - Gee above, chap. xi. 89.

[^182]:    - Layard, "Nineveh and Ita Remaina," vol. It. pp. 100-114.

[^183]:    10 A similar stels of this king was found noar Diarbekr, and is now in our Museum. It was the mention in his annals of the erection of this monument near that of Tiglath-pileser that led to the discovery of both, as above stated.

[^184]:    il M. Oppert prefers this form, on grammatical grounds, to sir H. Rawlineon'e latest reading, Aashow-deir-pol; but both agree in the wion.
    ts There ts mothing etrange in finding the father of the king oulled by a game which we have seen to be equivalent in meaping to Kighath -Nin, and indeed the reading of the laot oyllable in the latter form of the name la doubtful. In his great historical ingeription, the ying etyles himself the con of Thplathi-Ninto i= Tiglathi-Nin), aon of Iva-lush (or Val-iwhh), con of Aesher-dan-il.

    30 One of these cities may have been the "Tel-Awhur (Telassar or Thelasar)," in Which "dwelt the children of Edon," when they wert conquered by Bennacherib (2 Kings xix. 12; Inaish Exil. 12); for we ind the people Beth-Adina amond thooe on the Eaphrates anbdued by Asohut-nasir-pal.

[^185]:    14 The section of the wood has been recently polished to show its gran and its soundness. The "cedar worl" of the Assyrian palaces is mentioned by Zephaniah (ii. 14).
    ${ }^{15}$ Xenoph. 'Anab.' i. 4, 10 ; 'Cyr.' i, 8, § 14, \&e.
    ${ }^{16}$ Thus the Pheenicians sent animals called pagats, supposed by some to be elephants; and the elephant is presented to the life on the "Black Obelisk" of this Ling's son. There are specisl records of this king's hunting exploits on 'he broken obelisk, and on the altar in front of his divine effigy. The mention of the crocodila on the broken obelisk does not prove the gift to be from Egypt.

[^186]:    4 In meveral caces, this fiver is donbiless meant int the Euphrates, In others for the Upper Tigris. One of the most earioss soenes reprenents fogitives owimming s river on inflated aldich to gain their fortrete on the turther bank.

[^187]:    ${ }^{13}$ Rawlineon, ' Five Monarchies,' vol. ii. p. 353.
    10 Respecting the Assyrian siggurata in general, see chap. xid. § 16.

[^188]:    24 This is the longest reign of any Astyrian king, and is only exceeded by the 48 years of the Babylonion Nebuchadnezzar. Iva-lush IV., Shalmaneser's grandson, reigned 29 years; but no other monarch in Ptolemy's list much exceeds 20 years. (Rawlinson, 'Five Monarchies,' vol. ii. p. 857, note.) The neme of this king has been variously read as Dinanubar or Divanubra, and Shalmanubar; but the best authorities are now agreed on Shalmaneser. M. Oppert makes him the 5 th (instead of the 2 nd ) of the name.
    ${ }^{25}$ The Black Obelisk is about 7 feet high; and 22 inches wide on the broader side of the base: the other is 12 or 13 feet high and 2 feet wide at the base. The shattered obelisk of Asshur-nasir-pal (not the one merely broken in half) must have been larger still, for its area at top was 2 feet 8 inches by nearly 2 feet, implying a height of from 15 to 20 feet. Both obelisks taper slightly, and are terminated at top by 3 steps, or gradines, instead of the pyramidion of the Egyptian obelisks. By this difference, and that of the section (the Egyptian being square, the Assyrian oblong), the Assyrian obelisk seems to be marked as a native form. The truncated obelisk has 2 gradines; the termination of the other broken one is donbtful.
    3* To this' there is one exception. The flrat compartment of the bottom row seems to belong not to the fifth nation, but to the first or second.

[^189]:    29 These are the people who bring the Bactrian eamel, the Indian rhinoeeros and elephant (which is depicted so an to be clearly distinguished from the African), and other animals almost certainly Indian, among them a sacred ox :-all pointing to a trafice with India. The proud Asbyrian may have demanded these gifts, at whatever labour and risk to his Eastern subjects: The idea that the sculptor invented them, to extend the range of the king's conquests, is excluded by the absence of any such claim in the insaription. The Egyptian monuments show that the Indian elephant was also brought to the Pharaohs as a tribute from some people of Western Asia. (Wilkinson, 'Ancient Egyptians,' vol. v. p. 176; vol. i. plate iv.)

    59 1 Kinge xvi. 24. The Assyrians were familiar with Samaria under the name of Beth-Khumri (the house or city of Omri). Besides, Jehu would probably seek to legitimate his usurpation by claiming descent from the founder of the djnasty he overthrew, as well of the capital; and for aught we know, the claim may have' had some ground.
    so So striking has this agreement been, from the very beginnings of ouneiform science, that the present writer remembers when his own acepticiam took the form of a doubt whether the concord of interpreters might not be explained by their use (to an extent of which they were unconscious) of the common key they possessed in Scripture history; but the results obtained have :ong since outgrown any possibility of being thus explained.

    ANO. BIST.

[^190]:    ${ }^{35}$ Micolstis Damase. Fr. 80 ; eomp. Geneais $\mathbf{x v}$. 2.
    ${ }^{3} 8$ sam. viii. 5, 6; 1 Chron. sviil. 6.
    m 1 Kings 24. 28-15.
    $\cdots$ He makea the dewcendents of Haded reign tor ten generstions, omitting
    Rezom altogether. 101 Kinge $x \mathrm{v} .19,20 ; 2$ Chron. ITh. 8 .
    =1 Kings In. 84 ; eomp. Mie. Datn. Fr. 81 , ad fan.

[^191]:    51 Kings xx. 1-34.
    ${ }^{3}$ The oharacters need will not make Ben-hadad, though some read Ben-idri. It is not tmprobable that Benhadad is used as the regular titts of the Syrian king, like Pharaoh and Ckear, and that, like them, each king had a proper name besides.
    to This, which has lately been determined as the reading of a phrase formerly doubtful, corresponds precisely to the fact that Ahab's favourite nesidence was at his summer palaoe at Jesreel.
    *s This, $f f$ the reading be correct, is the one solitary indication of any houtile relations between Egypt and Assyria under the Old Monarchy.

    412 Kings viil. 7.

    - 2 Itings vili. 15.

[^192]:    4s The annals of Shalmanewer sito end in the $\mathbf{3 t h}$ year before hin death.
    44 This name is aloo read Shamae-(or Somsi-) Ful, and by M. Opper Bamol-Roun The mecond element, the aame of 8 god, which entert aleo into wereral other royal names, is one of which the phoretis value is very uncertain.

[^193]:    $\|^{\text {Es Sir H. Rawlinson's ' Inscriptions,' plates } 29 \text { to } 34 .}$

    * See Rawlinson, ' Five Nonarchies,' Appendix to vol. iv. note B.
    ${ }^{67}$ Both the elements of this name are of uncertain phonetic value. M. Oppert reads it Howlikhous; and on the atatues of Nebo mentioned below it has been read Phalukthe, which is merely another form of Vul-lush. On the strength of the distant resemblance in thia form of the name, he has been identified with the Pvi of Soripture ; but this is contradicted by the chronology.

    4 The eity of Nineveh itself had existed from :ntnown antiquity, originally under its own kings. It is often mentioned before this time, especially in Egyptian records.
    ${ }^{6}$ M. Lenormant ascribes to him the broken obelisk (mentioned above, $\frac{1}{8}$ ), Whieb records the restoration of the capital Asshur.

    * ${ }^{4}$ The sea of the rising bun : "-which some take for the Cespian.

[^194]:    4 The statues are in the Britioh Mumeam. Bis other atatues were found with them: four were coloseal, and two resembled thove in the Menetum.
    ${ }^{\omega}$ Indeed it is almost a minnomer to nee the honourable name of quess eoneort

[^195]:    sooal tradition sanctifled by the name of the prophet at whowe preaching Nineveh repented. The quention of Jonah's own age is too difflcult to be dircuswed here; bat it woald add much to the interent of this period of the history if the opinion of Mr. Drake could be establisbed- that the prophet preached at Nineveh under Iba-lush IV. (formerly called Adram-melech II.)-the very time when the empire was at the beight of ite glory, and on the ove of the decline. (8ee 'Noten on the Propheries of Hosea and Jonah,' by the Fev. W. Drake, Cambridge, 1858.) The period of "forty days" ellotted by the prophet (Jonah iil. 4) has a etriking eotrespondence witk the forty years of weaknes tndicated by the history; and the grace granted on the repentance of the king and people might well consist in the mitigation of the erisis prepared oy the faulte of the rulern, and in the pertod of greater promperity enjojed under the new dynasty.
    ${ }^{*}$ From Bir H. Rewlinton'e newly diseovered tablet. (Rawlinoon's 'Pive Monarchies, vol. iv. Appendir B.)
    © The exact epooh of the ers of Nabonseser correaponds to the 15th of Febraary, a.e. 747, of our calendar. M. Oppert and others deny that the epooh hap any political significance; and this areation must be regarded at atill "aub Jualice."

[^196]:    ${ }^{53}$ The LXX. render the name Phaloch ( (\$aגox), which is identical with the Phalukha read by some on the statues of Nebo. Various readings of the LXX. are Фa入ćs, Фou入á, and Фouá. Pul is certainly an abbreviation, for no Assyrian name consists of a single element.

    30 2 Kings $\mathrm{IT} .19,20$.
    60 For $M$ enahem reigned only 10 years, and the interval between Iva-iush and Tiglath-pileser II. is 35 years (B.c. 781-746). The apparently decisive argument trom the names of the intervening kings is, however, qualified by the confessed doubt about their phonetic reading; and we have lost their annals, except the brief chronological notices of the newly discovered Canon. Still, that Canon would surely have found room for so important an expedition, which must have fallen either in the reign of the unparlike Asshur-lush, or at the close of that of his predecessor, just when a less important expedition against the Syrians of Hadrach is duly chronicled.
    ${ }^{61}$ See the statement of them by Rawlinson ('Five Monarchies,' vol. ii. p. 388, note), who, however, rejeots the identification. The middle element of Tiglathi-pal-zirs might possibly give the name $I$ rul.

    E2 See especially 1 Chron. v. $26 . \quad 0$ Comp. 2 Kings av. 29, with ver. 19, 20.

[^197]:    1 M. Oppert adds an eighth or even a ninth : see end of this chapter.
    2 This date is flxed by the Assyrian Canon and the Canon of Ptolemy, in which it is consentive with the reign of Abshur-lush. But M. Oppert-who, as we have seen, puts all the Old Assyrian kings higher up-infers, from an elaborate comparison of the Scripture chronology with the Askyrian monuments, that Tiglath-pileser came to the throne in B.c. 769, and achieved his independence of Babylon in $\mathbf{~ b . c . ~} 747$.
    ${ }^{5}$ That is, if he is the king meant by Belitaras, a name apparently formed frons the latter part of his name, Pal-Tsira. But we have aeen that M. Oppert places Belitaras manch earlier.

[^198]:    - Enough hat been lef, towever, to enable Mr. Loftus to make ont ita gronnd plan, which may be woen in the Aesyrian basement roore at the Britiak Museum.

[^199]:    5 Isaiah xxxpil. 33 ; comp. 2 Kings xix. 32; Jerem. xxxii. 24; xxxiii. 4 ; Ezel. xvii. 17 : see the woodent in Layard's 'Nineveh,' p. 279, smaller ed.

    - Probably the father of the celebrated Merodach-Baladan. (See below, § 9.)

    7 We have seen that this was the position of the kingdoms of Damascus and Samaria. With regard to that of Judah, though the treaty of Ahaz with Tiglathpileser is the first connection recorded in'the annals of both countries, Professor Rawlinson has conjectured that the suzerainty of Assyria had been admitted as early as the reign of Amazish, because "the kingdom was confirmed in his hand" (2 Kings xiv. 5), the very expression used of Menahem's confirmation by Pul ( 2 Kings Xv. 19). But historical fucts cannot safely be inferred from such mere verbal coincidences. This mention of Arpad illustrates lsaiah x. 9.

    - "The Arabs of the tract bordering on Egypt seem to have been regularly go-erned by queens. Three such are mentioned in the inscriptions." (Hawlinson, vol. ii. p. 396, note.)

[^200]:    102 Kinge xv. 25-29. This event, 00 important in the history of Israel, is only slightly mentioned in the annala of Tiglath-pileser; and it is not elear to Which year of his reign it ehould be referred. Perhaps it formed the last of the four campaigns named above. At all events, the annale of Tiglath-pilener seem to mention two separate expeditions agalnst Pekah : and two meparate captivitie -the former less extencive and severe than the latter-appear to be indicated, not only in Isaiah ix. 1 (see the following note), but by the comparison of 2 Kinge xv. 29, with 1 Chron. v. 26. The former paseage mentions only a few places in the extreme north of Galilee, and Gilead alone of the Tranajordanio countries; while the latter specifies the whole Transjordanic region, and cay nothing of Gatilee. The regions to which the captives are carried tn the two camen would be different only if Asoyria ta to be taken in It marrower aense; nor can any argument be drawn from the order of 2 Kings IV. 29 before 2 King" xil, as the former is a mere summary of the reign of Pekah, down to his death (ver. 80).

    11 Isaiah Ix. 1. This pasage is best explained by the well-known interchange of the Hebrew preterite and future. On this first occasion "he Wightly afficted the land of Zebulon and the land of Naphthah ; " but "afterwards he would more grievously amict" (them, or Isracl at large), elther in the inel captivity, or rather in connection with the destruction of Syria. For the wholn proe phecy ceems to imply, what the nature of the came suggeata, that Israel was again severely ehastised for Pekah's confederacy with Rezin. ${ }^{19}$ Isaiah vil.-xil.
    ${ }^{20}$ Lsaiah vit. 6; for the whole narrative we 2 Kinge I-t 10 ; 2 Chron. ExTiil. 1-27.

[^201]:    142 Chron. xxviii. 5-8. The release of these captives, at the command of the prophet Oded, is a redeeming incident of this war, too touching to be passed over.
    ${ }^{15} 2$ Kings xvi, 5
    ${ }^{16}$ This language, viewed in connection with the attack of the confederates and the exemption of Judah in previous Assyrian invasions, goes far to prove a fermer admission of vassalage to Assyria. But the want of any previous mention of tribute from Judah on the Assyrian monuments tells the other way.
    17. \% Kings xvi. 9. A mutilated inscription in the British Museum is said to contain an imperfect notice of his defeat and death.

    18 Amos i. ii.

[^202]:    10 Profesoot Rawlinsoa pointa out the resemblance of this name to the Matgomus who ta mentioned by Menander (Ft. 1) as the father of Lido and Pygmalion.

    * \& Kinga xix. 10.

    92 Chron. Exvif. 20, 21.
    3 The kinge of this aame in scriptore are much too remote from this period to be meant; and there can be no doubt but that the nams atands for Ahas. One plansible conjeetare is that Jehochas was his real name, but the offtial chroniclers of Judah ex preseed their abhorrence for his memory by striking off the sacred preflx, junt as be had been refaced barial in the royal sepulchre (2 Chron. xyvili. 27).

    عat 2 Kings mil. 9 ; Amon i. 5 ; is. 7. Kir is joined with Elam in Isaiah xxil. 6 ; and this conjunction ts used in eupport of the theory which derives the semstic population of Eyria, as well as of Palestine and Phonicia, from the great plain at the head of the Persian Golf. The more prevalent opinion makes Kir the valley of the Ker or Cyrwo ; but we have no proof that the Aneyrian eropire extended to the north of the mountaias of Armenis. (See the 'Dict. of the Rible,' art. Kir.)

[^203]:    94 His monuments may probsbly have been destroyed by the usurper Sargon, who"tucceeded him. Some see in the omission of his name from the royal lists a sign that he himself was a unurper : but this is mere conjecture.
    ${ }^{25} 2$ Kinge $x$ vii. 2 : see the 'Student's O. T. History,' e. xxiv. $\S \S 9,10$.
    962 Kings $x$ vi, 3.
    .n Hosea x. 14. Here is a precedent for the retribution invoked in Patm exxyvii. 9 ; for the spirit of Asryrian and Babylonian wanfare was the same.
    $\Rightarrow 1$ Kings $\mathbf{x}$ vii. 4. See chap. vii. $\S 14$.
    ANO, HIST.

[^204]:    * 2 Kinge mii. 5; xvili. 9, 10.
    ${ }^{3}$ Joseph. 'Ant.' Ix. 8 ; compare the highiy poetteal description in Imaiah xxviii. 1-4. 2 Kings $x$ vil. 8 ; $\mathbf{x}$ vili. 11 .
    * Menander, ap. Joseph. 'Ant.' Ix. 18. It is probable, however, that Jomephunhere at elsewhere-has confonnded ghalmanener with gargon, and thist thit Tyrian war belonge to the latter king. 24 Kinge 2 vili. 38, 84.

    35 gee the remarke of Rawlineon, ' Five Monarchies,' vol. li. pp. 406-7.

    * M. Oppert, who prefers the form Aarkin, makes his original name Belpathsassour. - His obscure (that is, at all eventa, not royel) demeent Ia inferred, as in the ease of Tiglath-pileser II., from hia merely general mention of former kingn, of Babylonia sa well se Asayria, at bis ancentors. Prom this, and hia name, be may probably have been a Babylonian; an Idea supported by his repairs of the temples of the Babylonian tetrapolin. It appeare from the Canon of Bponymone Officers that Bargon reigned daring his first three years in the name of the infant non of Bhalmaneser, and only asoumed aole authority in s.c. 716. But if, ae there soems little doubt, his annals aate from his actual aocendion in a.c. 721, et his firn yeor, the fall of samaria would be brought down to the mathe cata.
    - Isaiah xi. 1.

[^205]:    ${ }^{38}$ The records of Sargon and his successors are edited and translated in M. Oppert's 'Inscriptions des Sargonides.' An equally important work is his recent ' Mémoire sur les Rapports de l'Egypte et de l'Assyrie dans l'Antiquite,' 1869. Sargon's annals exist in two forms-on a cylinder, and in an inscription on the wall of the great hall of Khorsabad.

    301 kinge xvii. $5 . \quad 40$ See below, § 9.
    42. There is some dispute about this title, which Sir H. Rawlinson reads Tar-dants (explaining it as a title of honour, high in rank), while M. Oppert makes it Sil-tan, and considers it equivalent to the Hebrew Shilton and the Arabie Syltan. Either term denotes a rank below that of king. That Sargon did not regard Shebel as king of Egypt is clear from the great inscription of Khorsabad, where mention is made in the very next paragraph of a "Pharaoh of Egypt" who paid tribute to Assyria (comp. c. vii.). Raphia (still called Refah) lay

[^206]:    between Gara and Rhinocururs, the frontier town of Egyph, about a day's march from eack.

    42 The eompletion of the conquet of Medis explains the eettlement of the eaptive Inraelites "in the atice of the Medes."
    ${ }^{4}$ The inseriptions of this king have been found at Buen.
    $\omega$ Nebem. Iv. 7; comp. H. 10 .
    4 It is on this oceasion that we have the oniy mention of Bargon tn the Boriptore (1s. Ex. 1). The miscion of the "Tartan" (i.c. chief general) muct bave preceded that of the king, probably 20 ingtal the vaceal, whoee rejection afterwarda provoked Sargon to mareh apainst the eity. Probably the "three jears," during which leaiah gave osign to the Efyptianizing party at Jerusalem, mark the whole daration of the war of Ashdod (m.c. 718-710, incluedre). In s.e. 718 sarron hirseetr was redceing Milid (probably Melitene).
    $\omega$ see chap. viii. 56.
    64 Reppeeting the aubmianion of the Ring of Ethiopia, which sargon here cialma, see rhap. vii. 516.

    - If the dace sesigns: to the events notioed in 1 Kinge x viil. 18 and lraish

[^207]:    rxaxi. 1, were correct, we must infer an attack on Judah at the same time that the Tartan was sent to Ashdod, and we must then (as some have rashly proposed) read Sargon for Sernacherib; for the "14th year of Hezekiah" is b.e. 713-712, nine years before the accession of Sennacherib. But we shall presently see how perfectly the whole narrative hangs together with Sennacherib's account of his Syrian expedition (see the following chapter).

    It is the mention of this, in Sargon's 12th year, that gives us the syachronism of the two kings.
     the embsssy is said to have been "to enquire of the wonder done in the land; "an enquiry most natural in a people so devoted to astronomy as the Babylonians; and a good pretcri for the other objects of the embassy.
    ${ }^{11}$ That is, the house or town of Yakin, the grandfather of Merodach-Baladan. The names of Merodach-Baladam mean "Merodsch has given us a son." He is the Mardocempalus of Ptolemy.
    at The Canon of Plolemy places here a king of Babylon named Arceanus, whom M. Oppert supposes to be Bargon himself: Sarkina $=(S)$ arceanthe.

    32 Kings 1 vii. 24, seq. The colonists from Hamath (above Coele-Syria) were probably sent in after his devastation of that land in his second campaign. As to the distinction between this settlement and that under Esar-haddon, see belows chap. Iiv. § 9.

[^208]:    * The real distance of Cyprus from the coast of Ayria is 65 milet.
    

[^209]:    57 One of these contains the name of Judah (Jahouda). It is convenient to mention here Sargon's restoration of the great sanctuaries of the Babylonian tetrapolie,--at Sippara, Nipur, Babylon, and Borsippa.
    sf Other forms of the name are Bit-Sarging and Dur-Sargina (the house or fort of Sargon)

[^210]:    * At all events, the earlient known apedimens are of thif retgn. Among them is the celebrated giaen vace, now in the Brittsh Museum, inscribed with the name of 8argon. Reapecting the Aserfian glass in general, and especially Ita Iridescent colours, due to partial decomposition, eee Bir David Brewster's" Noten on Aseyrian Glam," in the Appendir to Layard's 'Nineveh and Babylon.'
    * Ravlinson, 'Five Monarchies,' vol. 1i. pp. 424-426. For a full desoription of the palace, and of the remains of the towe and ite temples, wee the mame work, vol. L. Pp. 255-4, 858-885, 407-408; vol. H. pp. 241, 257 ; and Mr. Layard'g works.

[^211]:    1 In Angrian, Sin-atek-irtb, Le. Bin (the Moon God) has multiplied (my) brethrom.

    - Eavaxapufos, Hetod. 1i. 141.
    : His pame is one of the few about the phonetic value of which there is so little donbe that, amidst the varted apellinga (differing chiefly tu consequence of the asages of the modern lenguges employed by the interpreters) the aound is essontially the ame; While all are agreed upon the moaning.

    A After all the paine taken to eetije the Eynchronimas of Acayrlan, Jowish, and Esyptian bistory, there is atill a slight difference among the beas authoritlea, briween the years 704 and 702 Bc.; bat the lately disonvered Aseyrian Canon seems to fix Benne cherib's moxemban to the former year.
    s The campaigme, however, are interropted by unknown mitervals, and are not alwaye aselgned to thetr respective years.

    - The date of the Taylor Cylinder (wich may be aeen in the British Museam) in in the year of ofilice of Bel-Sioniant, who stands ta the rable of Eponyme both for the 16 th and 310t geart of semnecberib. Sir H. Rawlinson asigins the former date to the cylideder, M. Oppert the latter. An abriract of the docnment frat appeared in Bir H. Rawlineon's Outlines of Asejrian History,' 1852; and full translatlons bave been made by Mr. For Taltor ('Journal of the Asiatte floctety!' vol yis. pp. 135-181) and by M. Oppert ('Inseriptions des Bargonides,' pp. 41-53). Por the king'e tirst four ytars, we have also in the Brictish Museum the 'Bellimi Cylinder'; inceribed \#ith an mocount of his Iret two exmpaigna and of his earlier buildings at Nibeveh. It is tranalated in Mr. Foz Talbot's Acoyrian Texta; pp 1-9. The ennale of his first six yeare tre reosrded in two inacripHong one on the pair of colowel brilus flarking the entrance to blo palace at Eoyunjith, sod the ofber (ta doplicate) to the two paire of balls on the tapede at each ade of the

[^212]:    entrance. The other original materials for Sennacherib's history are the inscriptions on the walls of his palace, on detached slabs, on tablets of clay, and on the monuments carred by him on the rocks at Bavian, at the mouth of the Nahr-el-Eelb in Syria, and in other parte of his dominions.

    7 After much consideration, we feel pretty certain that M. Oppert is right in rejeoting Sir H. Reawlinson's saggestion of two campaigns. No form of bistorlcal bypothesis is more suspicious than the duplication of events or persons to get over a difficulty. The points in the Bible, which have been thought to require it, may be explained otherwise; and the anuals of Sennacherlb appear to leave no room for the second expedition.
    6 It is interesting, especially with reference to the newly discovered Muabite inscripHon, in which the national god Chemosh ts so often mentioned, and Mesha, king of Moab, culls himself son of a king in whose name "Chemosh" is an element, to find the Moabite king of Sennacherlb's inser!ption named Kammush-unadbi,

[^213]:    - Chep, Fit 616
     the begioning of Hesekiab's retgn, as a aummery of bis prosperity, not in order of time His retigions reformecion nust have occupied some jears; and ecoordingly. in the fuller scocunt of 1 Chron. xxis.-xxxi, the next event recorded, "after these things aod the establisbroent thereof," ts the Inveatoo of Judah by Bennecherib. (Here aloo the margia of cur Veraion gives the mistaken date of the 14th year of Hesekish.)
     Zaviah, which eannot possibly apply to thits oecnaion (eet chap, xill \% 9), ts pot given to Chromidie. On the contray, the invedion to pleced after the "entablimiment" of Heseo kiab's rellgions reformation, for the completion of which the yetri of peace envoting upon Sergun's lat Syrten campaigns would aford tree acopa The error of the date may have arisen, partly from the displecement of the scocumt of Hexektan's ulinexs,
    
    

[^214]:    12 The oplnion that Sennacherib appeared in person before Jerusalem on this occaston, meems contradicted by 2 Chron. xxxil. 2, 9, and 2 Kings xix. 32. That the siege and the occupation of Judæa were so strict as to suspend all cultivation of the land, appears from 2 Kings xix. 29.

    132 Chron. xxrii. 7, 9.
    14 It will be observed that the king's narrative confirms the account of the defence given in Chronicles. On the other hand, the submission of Hezeliah, omitted in the chronicles-like other calamitous events in the history of Judab-lis duly recorded in Kinga.

    152 Kings ivili. 14-16. The agreement in the amount of the gold is very striking; and the difference in the amount of the silver (to say nothing of a possible error in the Assyrian or Hebrew text) may be explained by tue metal in bars and vessels included in the 800 talents, but not in the 300 ; perhape as a propitlatory present in addition to the stipulated aum. There is, however, one of those apparent discrepancies, which turn out to be more instructive than literal agreement. Sennacherib says that the gifts were sent to him at Ninevih; but the Scripture narrative expressly says that they were cent to him at Lachish. The explanation seems to be that the treasures, tcc, wouid te

[^215]:    eent on to Assyrts; and when Sennacherib retomed, after the overthrow of his army, -(perhaps, even, overtaling tbe convoy in hin hasty filght)-be would claim these apoils of the campaign as evidence of victory. We have ample proof that the Aasyrian amnala could " lie like a builetin"

    102 Chron. suxil. 9. This paseage seems deelotve of the continuity of the campalgn on the frontier towards Egypt. The question, whether the investment of Jenimalem, and the partial conbmisction of Hesekiah preceded the mission of the thres officers (as it stands in Eings), may perbape be solvad by muppoting that thefr force formed the airge, and continued before the city, while aummonses and answers pused and rapased beo tweet the bead-quartere at lachish and Jeruaslem. The importance of the slege of Lachish is mantfeat from the rotices of the city tri Scripture, as otre of the strengeat on the frontier of Judah towards the mannme plain (see esp. Jmah. x. 3. 5, 26, 31-33, 35.).

    172 Kings avill. 7-xi. 7; 2 Cbron, xxill. 9-16; Ibaiah mxivi. 2-xxxvit. 7. The three ofluers are apecthed by thetr titla; namely, the Tartan, or "chief general" (an in Lsaleh 5.. 1), Rab-saris, the "chietennuch." and Rab-haket, the "chlef cop-bearer."

[^216]:    152 Kings xix. 8, 9; Isaiah xxxvi. \& 9. We have had occasion to speat of the site of Libnah in noticing the striking confirmation of the scriptural account furnished (thoukb in a distorted form) by the story wold by the Egyptian priests to Herodotus. Whether Lachish was actually taken, does not appear from the Scripture narraive; and the stlence of Sennacherib's annals incresses the probabllity that the monument, referred to preseutly, was a boast to gloss over a disaster. It seems most likely that he broke up the siege and advanced to Libnah to crush Pbaraoh, the " bruised reed " before the artival of Tirbakah. (See chap. vii. § 16.)

    19 \& Kings xix. 35, 36 ; 2 Chron Ixxii. 21 ; Isaiah xxxvii. 36, 31. Tho number of those who perished is stated at 185,000 ; which may be exaggerated, like se many other numbers in the ordinary Hebrew text. We are not to suppose that the wholn army was destroyed; and the Chronicles specifies all the mighty men of valour and the leaders and captains." The pecondary agency is usnally supposed to be a pestilence, caused (if the event cocurred at or near Pelusium) by the malarta of the Delia marshes.

    20 Ispiah xip. 25.

[^217]:    ERawinnson, Plve Monarchies; vol H. p. 449.

    - Here ts an inmidental confecoion of some of Bargon's reversen.
     Viintana

[^218]:    © His relgn, though omitted by Ptolemy, is proved by the date of a contract for the sale of some land on a tablet in the British Museum.
    ${ }^{25}$ The words éry áßaciגever in the Canon always fndicate "perfods of extreme dibturbance, when pretender succeeded to prelender, or when the country was split up into a number of petty kingdoms" (Rawlinson, 'Five Monarchies,' vol. ii. p. 455).
    ${ }^{96} 2$ Kings xix. 37 ; lsaiah xxxvit. 38.
    \# Blasphemers are represented on his monuments having their tongues torn out
    ANC. HIST.

[^219]:    - A minale dencription is the less nocesary we the ccalpturet in the British Museom oo clearly tell thetr own alory-for the art of Beanecterib ts pecolimely malistia. Full descriptions of the pelace and its ermamenta, and the history of ith discovery, are accesadible to every reader 加Mr. Layard's two maller books, on 'Ninoveh and th Remaina,' and - Nineveh and Babylona' each toraing one volume, 1867.
    $\omega$ This the Hebrew formo (as given in our veriloa, but denur-hadion would be betier) of the Acorriag name, suhur-akh-idim (or iddina), lie. dechur give (or hat
     Cheroicle of Eusebus gives Acordanes and Axerdia
    * A Lisiranas, ap Boneb. 'Clarva'

[^220]:    31 The date of this record is fixed by M. Oppert at B.0. 672-672. The name of Esarhaddon is also found in a matilated inseription on one of the six stele, or tablets, of Assyrian kings which are sculptured in the living rock, beside the three of Rameses IL., near the month of the river Lycus (Nahr-el-Kelb) north of Beirut, on the Phomician const of Syria. There are some important recorls of his titles on the slabs of his own palace at Nimrud, and of that which he built for his son at Tarbisi (the mound of Shereef-Khan), N.N.W. of Khorsabach.
    sa Observe the correspondence of some of thess materials with those used by the Assyrian kings for their palaces, sbowing whence, and how, they obtainsd them; eepeclally in Sargon's account of his palace. (See above, chap. xiii. 6 11.)
    ${ }^{2 s}$ But interpreted by M. Oppert, here as before, the Cuspian Sea.

[^221]:    * 1 Clron XXxill 11.

    3 Wben be was in afliction: 2 Chron. Exxili 12. 13. Tbough thewe evento are mot mentioned in the annals of Esar-hadion, the name of Marasach (Minami) oceura, as a tributary, in one of his inscriptions. Heseklab had died a.c. 697.
     by some to be Faar-hadion bimgelf; but it seems more probable that bo was the Aseyrian offiser who led the colonty.
    = Erra Iv. 9, compared with 2 Kings yvi. 24. The former settlen were all trom Cpper fabylonia. Babylou alone is common to the two fists, and in the second the wond " Babyionians" may be generic. The abeence of the other names In the firat liwt from the second suggeets that the original colonies were reduced to imeignifieance by the hardshipe treferred to to 2 Kinge 玉vil. 2s. In the second list, the Apharstlet are thought to be Pervians, and the Archeviter fruth Erech (Orcbot).

[^222]:    ${ }^{38}$ Layard, 'Nineveh and its Remains,' vol. 1. p. 348.
    se See Chap. Vi. 6 10. The matilated inscription on his stela at the month of the Nahr-el-Kelb (a cast of which is in the British Museum) is sald to record his victory over Tirhakah (Torqu), his captare of Memphis, and other conquests in Africa. His conquest of Eyypt ts also mentioned by Abydenus (ap. Euseb. 'Chroo.' pars ic c. ix.).

    40 According to M. Oppert; but Sir H. Rawlinson reads the passage that "te repaired 10 of the strong-holds of Assyria and Babylonin"
    ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Layard, "Ninevelh and its Remains,' vol. L pp. 59, seq., s47-352, Rawinnson, "Five Monarchles,' vol. li. pp. 478-483. This proves that (sometimes at least, and probably always) the alabs were carved after being fixed to the wallse

[^223]:    - IL Oppert makee thta the end of his reign, which Sir Henry and Profeseor Rawlimeun extend to Bal e47. See below, $\$ 20$.
    *Coap. vil. 9 7, where the present etate of this intag's ammala is described.
    * If is important to observe the exprese statement of Herodotus, that the Amariso enplre reached as far weat as the Halya. (Herod. i. 95.)
    - Comp. Dan. vill L. I wis at Shuthan, in the province of Elam, by the river of Olai."

[^224]:    46 Nahum iil. 1. On this prophecy comp. chap. vii. $\$ 18$.
    4 This splendid series of sculptures, obtained chiefly by Mr. Hormazd Rassam and Mr. Loftus from Hoyunjik, may be seen (for the present, 1870) in the basemerit (!) of our Museum.

    4aseriel xxxI , 3-8; the whole of this very striking paswage should be read here.

[^225]:    "Hellanicus expressly mentioned "two tringe called Bardanapalus," Pr. 158.

    * Callisthenes, in Buldias, s. D. Zapbavámatos. We have already meen that the Identificatlon tormerly mede of Aether-nasir-pal with Sardanapalua I. (es Bir H. Rawllnwon and Mr. Layard call him) rested on a wrong reading of the name, at Auhur-idanni-pal.
    in We do not thint it necessary to edd the somewhat trivial details, which have led to a discrasion that may be eeen fully in Profescor Rawlinmon's work (vol. it. p. 500). He addocse the varied readinge of the latter part of the Inscriptivn as a proof that it wan not understood-which meems most probable. Bat M. Lenormant holde, on the contrary, that nome of the learned Greekn had mastered the cuneiform writing, a feat which none of rhem had performed for.the Egyptian bieroglyphics ; and of this be finds an indication evea in their errors. For instance, in the bame Anacyndarames be treces the royal tille
     ann. Ab. 1184) applies the words of the alleged tascription to the Bardanapalas of the Old Monarchy, who was overthrown by Arbers and Beleaya. Polybintor and abydenua (ap. Euseb. Chrom, pars i.ec. v. tr.) eny that Beonacherb defented a Greet fleet off the coast of Clicicia, and buill Tartus after the model of Babylon, and set up his own monuwent There is very probably a confuston of names. $\quad$ see below, it.
    
    36 Soe Layard, ' Nineveh and the Remaina,' vol. ft. pp. 38, 89 ; ' Nineveh and Babylon,'
    

[^226]:    tion about the Assyrian capital. But it does not follow that Calah was a part of the crue Nineveh All the royal residences would perish in a conquest of extermination.
    $s 0$ The pasbaycs are collected by Miller, ' Frag. Hist. Grec.' vol. il. p. 505.
    ${ }^{57}$ Eusebius albo, who mentions the destruction of Nineveh in two passages of hfe - Chronicle' (s.an. Ab. 1397 and 1408), ascribes it in both to Cyaxares the Mede, with jut mentloning the Babylonians. Of the dates we have to speak presently.
    se Herod. 1. 102. The position of Phraortes in Median history will be noticed in the proper place. The Median chronology (as interpreted by Clinton and most autiorities) places this event in b.c. b34.

    * Herod i. 103. Without entering here on the question, which is one of the great

[^227]:    at Euseb. 'Chron. Arm.' pars I. c. ix. (ed. Mai), but the better version is given by Aucher. There is the less difficulty about the substitution of Astyages for Cyaxares, as the former appears to have been a title of the Median kings (see chap. xix. § 9). Respecting the name of Busalossor for Nabopolassar, see chap. xy. $\% 5$.
    6S + Syncell.' p. 210, s; but the passage is both confused and interpolated. He calla Astyages satrap of Media, which seems borrowed from the Arbaces of Clesias.

[^228]:    - See chap. $x$ ㅈ. 42.
    - It ts enough is mention the almost contemporary exemple of Amaste and Apries
    

    29 Comp. chaph rit $919 . \quad \geqslant$ Nehum ili 12-10.

[^229]:    72 Zephantah 1i. 13-15. $\quad 78$ See below, chap. xv. 87 , and chap. xxiii. $\$ 14$.
    34 Fuat. Hellen. vol. i, zub ann. His arguments are open to much discussion. Eusebius gives two dates, $01.40,2$ (b.c. 619-18), and Ol. 43.1 (b.c. 608-7); the former seems to be for the first attack of Cyazares, the latter for the destruction of the city, Jerome's version brisgs each date one yoar lower; so that the latter would come down to b.c. 608.

[^230]:    ${ }^{55}$ It will be meen that $\mathbf{M}$. Oppert cutadown the 28 yeara, asaigned by Herodutus to the Scythian domination, to 18 or 19 years, and for this there seams to be sume authority In the daten given by Eusebius (see note ${ }^{\text {44 }}$ ). M. Oppert seems also open to the objeoton of arranglng the Assyrian reignis too much by the Babylonian chronology. Thua the authore who mention Saracus anslga him 23 or 24 years, and there neems no neces sity to cat thin down to 19 or 20 , In order to make It agree with the end of the reign of Cainiladanus at Babylor. The Canon plaoss Nabopolansar Immediately after Chintladanus at 8.0. 825.

[^231]:    ${ }^{1}$ Syncell. p. 207, B. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
    2 Rawlinson, Appendiz to Book I. of Berodotuc, Esaay VIII,
    'see Clinton, 'P. H.' vol. ini. p. Ivil.

    - TE, is the key-note of the bistory of Herodotus.

[^232]:    ${ }^{5}$ That is, in the Hebrew form of Chavdim.
    6 Among other indications, observe in the Book of Damiel the ascendancy of the Chaldsean oaste at the court of Nebuchednezzar. The sacred elements in their names are some sign of that sacerdotal oharacter which we know to have belonged both to the Assyrian and Babylonian kings. Whatever be the origin of the name, the idea that it now first arose, with the descent of a conquering rice from the region of Zagrus, is quite exploded. The name of Kaldi has oceurred long before this in the Assyrian annals for a people in Babylonia.

    ANC, HIST.

[^233]:    1 Ianlah miii. 19 ; xiv. 14. Of onarse eome allowance must be made here for prophetic anticipation.

    - Nabu-pal-esour, i.e. Nebo, protect (thy or my) son. All our information ebout Nabopolacmar is obtained from the fragments of Berosus, Polyhistor, Abydenus, fec., chiefy through Eusebias and the other chronographers. Bome of theae writers abbreviste his name into Busahsesor (more probably, Bapolusuor) by the same process by which the modern Arabe convert Nebuchadnewzar into Bokht-i-nazar. His eccersion is fired by the estronomical Canon to Jan. 27, s.c. 625, whatever may be the date of his alliance with the Medee see chap. ITr. 17 .

[^234]:    10 Herod. i. 74. Comp. chap. xxiii. § 14. But if the fall of Nineveh be placed in b.c. 606, this war would fall in the latter part of this reign. It is worthy of notice that Abydenus mentigns the eccession of Nebuchadneazar directly after the taking of Nineveh, which indeed the Book of Tobit (xiv. 15) ascribes to Nebuchadnezzar himself in conjunction with Assuerus (i.e. Cyaxares). If b.c. 606 be the true date, Nebuchadnezzar, whom we find the next year commanding fc. his father on the Euphrates, may very well have had a share in the campaign. Herodotus calls the king of Babylon Labynetua, a name quite unlike Nabopolassar, but afterwards applied to Nabonadius, the last king of Babylon (Nabumahid=Labymet): M. Oppert supposes that Herodotus used this name for all the kings whose names began with Nebo, viz, Nebopolassar, Nebuchadnezsar, and Nubonadius, just as Sardanapalus represents all the Assyrian names formed from Asshur-( . .)-pal. The $L$ may perhaps be an Ionic softening. The same ahange teems to have taken place in the name Labo-ro-soarchod.
    ${ }^{11} 2$ Kings xxiv. 7 ; comp. c. viii. § $9 . \quad 12$ Berosus, Fr. 14.

[^235]:    ra see Notes and Imostrations (A). Herodotus (1. 185) asoribes these work to Nitocria, whom he clearly regards as a queen ropnant, and whom he maken the mother of "Labynetus" (i.e. Nabonadiuf) the lant king of Babylon (i. 188). She executed them, he telle na, through fear of an atteck from the Meder, "who had taken a large number of citiea, and among them Nineveh; " but the attack apprehended te plainly that of Cyrus, which he proceeds to relate as taking plaoe under Labynetus. There meems, therefore, no aufflient groand for the riew of thowe writers who make Nitocris the wife of Nabopolamar. Bee Lenormant 'Histoire Ancienne,' vol. ti. pp. 7-9.
    ${ }^{4}$ Of the Greek forms (in which the penult is thort), -Naßouxodowbomp (LXX.), Nafouxabowbopor (Beros.), Nafomaldiapor (Ptol. Can.), Naßorobpócopos (Strab.), and Napownedporapos (Abyd. and Mefoth.)-the last comes nearest to the crue neme, Naho-kudurra-mever, which M. Oppert expleins " Nebo, proteot my race (or, the gouth)," but gir Heary Bawhinson, "Nebo to ithe protector of landmarke" (the middle element, inceler, boing of doubtral meaning). Hence, of the Hebrew forma, the exceptional one with the F (Nebwhadrasear), which is thed by deremiah and Esetiel, is elearly proferable to the astal form in Eimgt, Chrowiclos, and Davidt; but the latter in too fired in our anage to be changed. Perhapa the difference may be aceounted for by a Semitic reeding of the middle element, the Kudur being Hamitia, an tn Chedorlaomer, te. Tha Persian eunefform tneeriptiots bene Nabukudrechera (Bab. Inser.),
    ${ }^{2}$ R Rawlinson, ' Five Monarchiea,' vol. Hi. p. 489.
    ${ }^{3}$ Beaides the noticen of Acriptare, our chief sonreen for the whole history of Rabylon are the tragmentr eiready mentioned as preserved by the ehronograptera.

[^236]:    Ir 2 Kinge İiv. 1; 2 Chron. mxin 6, 7; Dan. i. 1, 2. The last passage places Nebuchadnearar's adoance against Jerubalem in the 3rd year of Jehoiakim (p.c. 605); and one of the most important synchronisms of this period is that of the Ist year of Nebuchadneasar with the 4 th of Jehoiakim (Jerem. rxi. 1). The apparent discrepancy is in trath a confirmation, as the eapture of Jerusalem was before his acceesion; and the date is confirmed by comparing Dan. i. 5 with ii. 1. Of eorarse, there is no difficulty in his being styled king. Some writers (apparenily on no ofber ground than the title) masert that he was associated by his father in the throne aboat ace 607. But thie seems improbable from his haste to go home and seeare the crown.

    3 For a fulier account of the state of parties at Jernsalem, and especially of the restimony barne by Jeremiah, and his persecation by the king and prinees of Judah, see the 'Student's Old Testament History,' chap. xiv. § 9.

    13 I Kinge Ixiv. 1.
    $\%$ 'Ant. x. 6, 52 .

[^237]:    212 Kinge xxiv. 7.
    27 This could hardly have been the war with Phoenicta, olnce, socording to the earliest date, Nebuchadnezzar did not march against Tyre till his 7th year (Joreph. 'c. Ap.' i. 21), at the mame time that he marched againat Jehoiakim. Nor could it aave been the war of Media against Lydia, if the date of s.c. 610 for the peace between those empires be correet. But there may have been eome other enterprise in which Nebuchadnezzar was bound to ald his ally Cyazares, or he may have waited for his ald.
    ${ }^{23}$ Fr. 24, Muller. This writer, whom we have had occaelon to quote before, Fis a native of Miletns, where he wia kaken prisoner by the Romans and became - freedman of the celebrated Suila, whence hil full name, Coamshivs Ahexandig Polfilistos. Among other works, he wrote 'Histories of Asnyria' and of - Babylonia or Chaldea, in which he followed Berosuac chiefy, and a work 'On the Jews, for which one of bis chief snthorities was Eapolemas, the author of a worts 'On the Kings of Judeta,' who lived abont s.c. 140-100. It is from thit Eupoletuus that the account now eited is derived. The fragments of Eupolemus are collected in Muller': 'Pragmenta Historioorum Oreecorum,' vol. til. pp. 206, fol. (ed. Didot). $\quad 2$ Chron. xexvi s, 6.
    \$ He calls the King Astibares Instead of Oyarares.
    *TFrom 2 Kinge xxiv. 2, it appears that "bands of Syrians, and Moabiten, and Ammonites" were joined in the army of Nebuchadnezzar with hls own "bands of Chaidsans;" for there meems no sufficient reaton for regarding the attacks of these banda, which "Jehovah ment egainot Judah to dentroy it," as minor predatory Incarnions, preceding Nebuchadnezzar's own invanion. It may have been so ; but such wa inference cannot be drawn with certainty from a penage which briffly epitomises the whole process of the demeruction of Judah under Jehotakim. It is dangerous to piece ost history by making principal facts of these incidental entices.
    

[^238]:    \$ Jerem. xxii. 24-30. The prophecy proceeds with the prediction or the spiritual restoration of the monarchy in the reign of the Messiah. The line of Solomon, to whom the temporal kingdom had been promised, ending with Jehoiachin ; and the genealogy of Jesus Christ is traced from David through Nathan (Luke iii.). The genealogy in Matthew i.-which appears to make Jesus the descendant of Solomon and the line of Jewish kings, through Salathiel, a son born to Jehoiachin during the captivity-is really the technical expression of his claim to the throne through Salathiel, the heir of Jehoiachin, who stands in the other genealogy as the soh of Neri. (See the 'Dict. of the Bible,' art. "Genealogy of Jesus Christ.")
    ${ }^{99}$ March to June, B.c. 597.

    * 2 Kings xxiv. 8, 9; 2 Chron. xxxi. 9. The age of the king is 18 in the former passage, but 8 in the latter. He appears, at all events, to have been under his mother's tutelage.
    an 2 Kings xxiv. 10.
    32 Kings xaiv 12. From this epoch are dated the 70 years of the raptivity, and also the proshecies of Exekiel.

[^239]:    E ETinge Exiv. 13-16; © Chrobe sxivi 10. Amont the eaptivee wore the prophet Exetiel and the crandhather of Mondeeal. Jeremiat remajned as derco mem. 99 Chrom. 8 IITV. 18.
    EEpek. TVii. 18, 14. See the repested alluatons to the ecth is this ehapter.

    - Dant Hi. 1.
    - An incidental confirmation of the data. Comp. Dan. I. I and 18 : the "three youre" would, by Hebrew reckoaing, witend tromeny pert of sed sob to any pert of ece 508.

[^240]:    3 The way in which his standard inseription speaks of these works as began by his father and continued by himself, and of the pressing neeesaity for guanding tha eity against inundation, would be safficient to show that they went on trom the beginning of his reign. (See Notes and Mlustrations-A.)

    - Jerem. xix. 22, 23. Concerning the opposition of these false prophets to Jeremiah; his exhortations to the Jews at home and at Babylon; and the general state of parties at Jerusalem; see the 'Stulent's O. T. History,' ohap. Ixv. $\delta 11$.
    © Jer. L 51. It was on thit oceasion that Jeremiah sent to the eaptive Jews, by the hand of Seraiah, that wonderful propheey of the fall of Babyloan in which the cablime poetry is not more striking than the dramatio details of the captare of the eity, and the exact description of its desolation to the present day. Jerem. 1 . li.

    4 Chap. viii. § 18 : for the elaracter of Apriee, or Pharaoh-Hoptara, tee in. $\S 14$.

    * For the details see the 'Student's O. T. History,' i. . .

[^241]:    4* Exez, 5 vii. 15.
    $\omega$ E Kinge ExT. 1; Jerem. Exili. 1; IIL. 1. The date of the laventment wae the 10th of Thebet, aboat Dea 20, 2.0. 389, wn smin versary still hept as a fant by the Jewa. What datee wre gives to the dog, it mate be remerabered that thetr coavernion into days of our caleadar haoly approzimata. The Jewiah calendar Whe (mad k) etrietly hooer ; and the year bepan with e mew moon: tho macred gear (that now in quection) with the nev moon mearet the rernal equinoz: the civil year trita the bev moen beareet the autumal equincr. Insteed of attempte ing (exeept where great exnetnete in required) to ecompute astronomically the precise correapondence of the enjendere for eech perticular yeer, it is convenient to give th min a nemal year, vis. ose 组 which the new moon of the trat month falls proviely ef tbe vernm equisos. ${ }^{4}$ Jerem. Exily. 1.
    
    
    
    a dersen nixivil.
    a Jerom xxyril. 7.

[^242]:    ss Joseph. 'Ant.' $x, 7$, § 4. This would place the retreat of Pharaoh at the end of B.c. 588.

    42 Kings xxv. 3 ; Jerem. xxxyii. 21 ; xīxviii. 9 . Respecting the state of things in the city, and especially the dealings of the king and princes with Jeremiah, see the 'Student's O. T. History,' chap. xxv. \& 12.
    os The 9th of Thammuz, about 26 th June.
    562 Kings xxv. 4 ; Jerem. xxxix. 8, 18.
    572 Kinge xxp. 4-7. 58 Ezek. xii. 18.
    s9 2 Kings xiv. 8 : where the 19 th year of Nebuchadnozsar is expressly named, the previous dates having been given by the years of Zedekiah. In comparing them, it should be remembered that the years of Nebuchadneazar date from Jantuary, m.o. 804 ; those of Zedekiah from Midsummer, в.c. 597 ; and that the months are not those of the years of either king, but of the Jewish sacred year. The epoch of the destruction of Jerusalem, on which the whole system of sacred and (to a great extent) of Oriental chronology may be asid to hang is now fixed with certainty to s.c. 586 , if the date of the Canon for Nebuobadnezzar's accession is right. (The received chronology of Archbp. Ussher gives b.c. 588; Clinton b.c. 587.) The great Fast of the Jews for the twofold Destruction of the Temple (for that by Titns is fixed by them to the same day) is held on the loth of Ab (about $26: \mathrm{h}$ of July in a normal year):

[^243]:    © 2 Chron. ExxTl. 21.
    al Por a fuller scoount of chle remanant, who formed an Important colony in
    
     phecies of Ecekiel (xivi, Exvii., zzvilh.), which, in their turn, (urnish the type of the epocalyptie prophecy of the fall of the myotio Bebyion (Hev. בrili.). We have to recur to the eplject under the history of Phoenicia.
    a Esek. nxix. 18. This prophecy ts deted on the Arat day of the 27th year of the great eaptivity, that 14, B.c. 371 (the epoch boing Bee. 697), the very year of the end of the Tyrian war, ecoording to the leter deve. Bot this is not quite decialve; for the reference to Tyre in only introductory to the mention of the reward which the king was to have in Kgypt fill it it an argument for the inter date.
    os Beroush ap. Jomeph. ' a. Ap.' i. 20.

[^244]:    © See the repeated allusions in Jeremioh and Nrekiel, and Psalm oxxyvii. 7.

    - Megasthenes, quoted by Abydenus (Euseb. 'Prepp Ev.' ix. 41; "Chron.' i. 10,5 3); Moses Choren. "Hist. Armen.' ii. 7. Thesestaries have a suspicious resemblance to those aboat Sesostris, by whom perhaps is was not thought fit that Nebuchednestar should be surpessed. cs 'Antiq.' $x, 9,87$.
    © Berosus made a direct staternent that Nebuchadnezzar conquared Eygpt (ap. Joeeph. "ar Ap.' i. 19).

    To For the story of this revolution, as told by Herodotus, see chap, viii. E 14.

[^245]:    ${ }^{n}$ Beros. Fr. 14 ; and the pasoages of SS. already citcd.
    7 Polybistor, Pr. 24.
    2 Babylouian bricks are about foot equare, and from $\%$ to 4 inches thick.
    14 "All the inseribed bricks hitherto discovered in the Babil mound bear Nebuchadnezear's legend."
    " "Tbis is perhaps the Chebar of Erekiel." This was a rentoration : the canal had been dag ages before by Khammurabi. See chap. ․ 814 .

[^246]:    76 "This embankment is entirely composed of bricks which have never been disturbed, and which bear Nebuchadnezzar's name."

    7 Rawlingon, ' Five Monarohies,' vol. iii. pp, 496-498. 18 Daniel ii.
    79 This may be assumed from the worship demanded; though it is not expressly stated. so Daniel iii.
    ${ }^{11}$ Daniel iv. is a simple translation of the king's own prociamation, nade when there was no doubt about the interpretation of cuneiform writing. Or rather, it

[^247]:    has the forve of an original; for we may be aure that, eocording to cuntom, and like the previous decree, it was published tn versions intelligible to "all the peoples, nations, and languages "to whom It ts addressed (verse I).
    © Danjel iv. f. © see verses 30, $80 . \quad$ Den. Iv. 27.
    ss Compare these phrases with thowe of the "Etandand Inseription," in Notee and Illastrations (A). ${ }^{\omega}$ Dan. 17. 20-83.
    67 The word is not a modern coinage, but menuine Greek, dvanopomia, fr. Auséceporros, the were soolf. See the Eesay in Welcker's 'Kleine Bchriften' (vol. it. p. 157), entitled "Die Lycanthropie ein Aberglaube und eine Krankbelt;" and Pesey's ' Lectures on Daniel,' pp. 425-430.

[^248]:    ${ }^{4}$ Dan. iv. 25, 26.
    E Ver. 37.
    se His name is read Bol-smm-dskin or Bel-my-ingar or Bellabarisrouk ITs dignity is inferred from the fact that his son Neriglissar was a Rub-Mag (or in Babylonian, Rabu-amga).
    ${ }^{21}$ Daniel iv. 36.

    * Berosug, Mr. 14. "This sober acoonnt of the Chaldisan historian"observes Professor Rawlinson-" contrasts favoarably with the marvellous narrative of Abydenus, who makea Nebnchadnenzar first prophesy the destruction of Babylon by the Medea and Persians, and then vanish away out of the eight of men (Euseb. "Prop. Ev.'. ix. 41, p. 456, D)." The same historian calculates the age of Neuuchadnextrir es follows:- 4 If we suppose him 15, when he was contracted to the daughter of Cyaxares (b.c. 685), he would have been 36 at his mocession, and 79 at his death, in a.c. S61." "Daniel rii.

    ANC. HIST.

[^249]:    * Habakkuł 1. 6-10,
    * 2 Kings xxv. 27-30; Jerem. 11. 81, 82. It aeems to be implied that the other captive linge wero released, and their royal rank reoogniced. The date ts three days before the end of the 37 th year of the eaptivity, Midsummer, s.c. 860 .
    ase This is the date of Berones end the Astronomical Canon; Polyhistor given him 12 yearn, and Jonephas 18.

    We natarally euspect that this was the acoomplishment of a denign first formed by his father when regent during Nebuchadnezzare madness.
    " Properly Nergahear-easur, i.e. "Nergal, proteat the king." We have the neme in "Mergal-shareser, the Rab-Mag," who wae one of the princes left by Nebrachadneszar to finish the siege of Jerncalem (Jerem. Exxix. 8, 18). This was not improbably the usurper't grandfather.

    * An inecribed eylinder of his was aleo found among the ruins. (See the Brit. Mus. Series, Plate 67.)
    $\omega$ Diod. Bic. 11. 8, $\boldsymbol{g}^{\circ} 7$.
    100 As the amonths of Laborowasthod are not reckoned in the Canon, they have to be al'swed for in the time ascigned to Neriglimar and Nabonadias.

[^250]:    107 Herod. L. 80. The date of the capture of Bardis la a point in dispute. The ordinary date is 548. See chap. xxiil. 52.
    ton "The proof of this easociation is contained in the cylindere of Nabonadlua Pound at Mugheir, where the protection of the gods is asked for Nabu-nahid and his son BiL-shor-utur (i.e. 'Bel, protect the king'), who are coupled together in ${ }^{\text {a }}$ way that implies the co-sovereignty of the latter. (Brit. Mus. Beries, Pl. 68, No. 1.) The date of the aneociation was at the latest b.c. 640, Nabonadius's fifteenth year, since the third year of Belshaszar is mentioned in Daniel (vili. 1)." Rawlinson (vol. if. p. 515) ; who also eaggente the following motive for the asmociation :-that the Nitooris of Herodotus (whose name is purely Egyptian, and to found among the contemporary saite princesses) was the danghter of Nebuchadnezzar by en Egyptian wife, and was married by Nabonadiun, to aid in legitimating bis usurpation :-in which cace Belshacgar would be really the grandson of Nebue chadnezzar, and his legitimate representative. Nebuchadnezzar is seven times called his father by Daniel, by the ling himeclf, and by the queen (Dan. v. 2, 11, $13,18,22$ ). Nitocris may aloo have been previously the wife of Neriglinaar. The appointment of Daniel, as "third (not eecond) ruler in the kingdom" (ver. 7, 20), furnishes atriking proof of the genuineness of the narrative from the absence of any mention of Nabonadius.

    100 Dan. v. 10-12. That such was her dignity seems clear from the previous mention of Belahagar's wive (ver. 2), and is consistent with the tone ble mammen $\quad 116$ Herod. t. $190 . \quad 111$ Herod, i. 191.
    
    14 Daniel 7.
    ilf Herod., Xen., Il. ca. 116 Herod L 191.

[^251]:    ${ }^{117}$ Jerem. li.; comp. Herod., Xen., $l_{\text {l }}$. cc.
    118 Xopev́etv кai èv єv̇na日cipat eivain-Herod. i, 191. 118 Jer. s. 30.
    120 Jerem, li. 58.
    191 Dan, v. 31.
    182 Berosus, Fr. 14 : Abydenus says that he made him governor of Carmania.
    123 Lsaiah xiii. 19-22 : comp. Jerem. I. li.; and the descriptions of its present state by Layard, 'Nin, and Bab.' p. 484 ; and Loftus, :Chaldea and Susiana,' p. 20.

[^252]:    ${ }^{3}$ Bawlinson, vol. 1. p. 91; Loftus, 'Chaldera and Susiana,' p. 130

[^253]:    - Rawlinson, vol i. pp. 92-s.
    - See the deacription of Mr. Loftus 'Chaldma and Susiana,' pp. 167-170.

[^254]:    3 Rawlingon, vol. L. p. 101 . gee above, chap. I. 4 .

    - The miver and grid ansing of the highrst and middte storegs (which we mart en coubtful) have been loat; bos they may be inferred from Ifebobadnessar's inecription.

[^255]:    *The distinction must, however, be observed-that the steps of the "pyramid of degrees' are much more nomerous and smaller than they would be in a temple-tower of the same sise. There is no sufficlent proof of the opiaion that this is the oldest of the pyramids.

[^256]:    - Thls wa formeriy mistaked for the remalns of the Tower of Babel.
    ne Herod L 181. The 7 otages and the platiorm would make eight.
    11 Hee Mr. Taylor's account of the raine in the 'Joumal of the Antatie Soctety;' vol sv. p. 264.

[^257]:    Is Ferodotus mentions the external staircases of the temple of Belus at Babylon.
    ${ }^{15}$ Hence the name of Mugheir, which Sir H. Rawlinson explains as $\boldsymbol{U}$ w-qir (mother of bitumen); but Professor Ravlinson as a participial form, the britumenod.

    1t Ramlinson, ' Five Monarchies,' vol 1. 1p. 103.

[^258]:    ${ }^{18}$ See Rawlinson's ' Herodotus,' vol. iil. p. 61.
    ${ }^{10}$ For a full description see Rawlinson, vol. i. p. 113. 50 A specimen may be seen in the British Museum.
    ${ }^{21}$ This form may perhaps belong to the Parthian period.

[^259]:    mar. Layard found remains of the bronze in one spectmen (' Nineveb and Babylon,' p. 609). The above representation shewe the probable form of the brobse cetting.

    * Rawlinsotis ' Five Mourchiea' vol. L pp. 117-119. See tell-piece to chap. z

[^260]:    * Eather i. 6. : Layard. 'Nineveh and Babylon' p. 530.

[^261]:    

    - For the metallic constituents of these colourt, cea Layard, ' Nineveh and Babylom,'
    p. 10, sote, and Appeodis, p. 678
    - Layard, i. e p. sot.

[^262]:    In Bawilinson, ' Five Monarchies,' vol it. p. 422.
    On the whole of the conteated quentions abont the roofing and lighting of the Aseyrian painces, and the extatence of an opper surrey, we muat be content to refer to the works of Mr. Layard, Mr. Fergasaon, and Prutesaor Rawlinson.

    - Speaking of the lateat dimcoverles of M. Place at Kojunjlic, Mr. Layard observen that "a carefal examination of the rutns, aus the discovery of a varlety of architectaral details, have enahled bitn to restore many extemal features of the Aagyrian palacen, and to settle neverad intereating questions of construction which had previously been undeo terwined." ('Nin and Bab.' sbridged edition, Introduction, p. $\mathbf{x x x i v}$.)
    *The discoveries of M. Place bave shown that the Kbormbad tower had seven etages, like the Birt Ntmrut at Buraippa, and probably colonred afver the amme fablon. That of Nimrud only sbews the remains of one lofty stage, plerced with a curtous arched gallert, 100 feet long. 12 feet high, and 4 feet wide: but it probably had other atagra ( Ee L Layard ' 'Nia. and Bab.' p. 129 ; Rawlinson, vol. L. pp, 294-399). A bab-relief fonnd at foryunfik has an interesting representation of a rigourat of four stages (and probably more, the slab being broken), on a mound : for the detalia; which are very curions, see Rawlinson, vot L. p. 392

[^263]:    ${ }^{25}$ See below, chap. xvii. $\$ 15$.
    ${ }^{3}$ In the temple at Nimrud, just mentloned, the flanking Ggures are lions, not manlions. The lion appears to have been the symbol of Nergal.
    II We purposely avoid saying, "the prototype; " for, as the figure occurs in a bas-reliet. of Sargon at Khorsabad, it may have been borrowed from Western Asia. We have seen that Sargon's palace contained a staircase imitated from a Syrian temple (chap, zili. 1 11)

[^264]:    

[^265]:    3 See the wood-cut in the 'Student's Ancient Geugraphy.' : 218.
    40 Fergiseon, ' Handbook of Architecture,' vol. 1. p. 173.

[^266]:    ${ }^{41}$ Layard, 'Nin. and Bab.' abridged edit. Introd. p. xxiii ; where, as well as in Rawlinson (vol L. a vi.) will be found a description of these sculptures for which we have not space.

    - 'Five Monarchles,' vol. i. pp. 450, 451.

[^267]:    1 The term arrowheaded has also been used; bat euneiform is now quite established. The other term is also ambiguous, as there is a combination of two cuneiform element
    $\rangle$, which may ba more properiy described as an arrow-head.

[^268]:    ${ }^{2}$ The cursive characters sometimes approach so near to the Phoenician as to suggest that the source of the latter, and consequently of all the Semitic and European alphabets, may have been from the cuneiform writing. (See the engraving in Layard, 'Nis. and Bib.' p. 171, abridged edition.) As is natural, the strokes of the cursive writing approack the stralght lines of the hieratic, This form also admits some curvea,

[^269]:    8 'Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society,' 1846. Comp. chap. xix. ${ }^{6} 5$.

    - Layard, introduction to the abridged edition of 'Nizeveh and Babylon'' p. xliv. "A Het, in the three cuneiform characters, of the vartous satrapies included within the dominions of the king of Persla, had previously been discovered at Persepolis, and had enabled Burnouf and Lassen to determine the value of several letters of the Persian cuneiform alphabet." (Ibid)

[^270]:    s Layard ' Nia and Bab' pp. 171-2, abridged edition. The curions permanence of official forms is sho in in the manner of affixing the seals.

[^271]:    - Pualm exrivil. 1-3. See note B to chapter 8.
    - As the moon on the signet-cylinder of Urakh. $\quad$ ba see above chap. 2 note 1.

[^272]:    11 The continuance of this royal coōptation, even when Babylon was under the Greek kings of Syria, may perkaps be indicated by the fact that Strabo calla Seleucus a Chaldean (xvi. 1, \$6); but this may mean only "King of Chaldæa."
    18 Daniel L. 4.
    is Daniel il. 2,10 ; iv. 7 ; $7.7,11$.
    14 Dan. iil. $8 . \quad 15$ Dan. i . 16 Dan. v. 11.
    ${ }^{17}$ The dotoodoyia of Diodorus in primarily asironomy, including also astrology.

[^273]:    20 gtrab. xN. p. $739 . \quad 10$ Pitn. ' H. N.' H. 26.

    - Cic. ' Le Divi' i. 4l-n Chalded cognitione astroram eoileritiaque Ingeniorum antecellant:" compp. Strab. xv. p. 208 ; Dlod. it. 29.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cic. 'Dlv.' Le. ; Hor, 'Od' $1.11,2$; Juv. *1. 652, x. 94 ; Applan. 8 gr. c. 58 ; Curt. 1. 10, V. 1; Cato, 'R. R.' v. 4 ; Joseph. 'B. J.' IL. 7. 4 3.
    
    3 For the exposition of this system, which would be out of place here, see Bückh
    Metrologlache Untersuchungen,' Mr. Grote' discusetion of thet work in the 'Clavical

[^274]:    3 Herod ii. 109. The gmomon vas the atyle or other cuge which easta the shadow on the dial-Gee ' Dict of Anta' art. Polobs.

[^275]:    ${ }^{27}$ Plin. 'H. N.' vit. 56. "Epigenes apad Babylonias DCCXX. annoram observationes siderum coctlibus laterculis inscriptas docet."
    ${ }^{98}$ Geminus 815 . The exact perlod is 18 years, 10 days, 7 hours, 43 minutes. The Greek astronomer Meton, in the time of the Peloponnesian War, reckoned it at 19 years inclusive, which is really 18 years.

    20 Job Kixvifi. 31, 32.
    30 For views of the stone and the figures apon it, see Rawlinson, "Five Monarchies," vol. iil. pp. 418, 419. The date is said to be of the 12th century s.o. Over one of the socalled "Houses" is the exact symbol now usedf or l'enus, $q$ and an arrow-head 4. which is still the symbol of Mars $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\prime}$, looking singularky like a coujanction of the two planete: but this may be mere fancy.

[^276]:    m This ntatement is made from personal knowledge, confirmed by eeveral obeervers.

    * Diod, Sic. Ii. 31, 61. $\$$ Dtod if. 30, 6 6.
    * Rawlinson, ' Five Mmarchies;' vol. 1if. pp. 425, 426. The examination of the whole eries of tableta, on wbich Slr Henry Ilawlinson is now engaged, may be expected to throw moch further light on the astronomical knowledge of the Babylonians.
    $\approx$ Colum xic $1, \$ 3$.

[^277]:    ${ }^{36}$ Ezek. xxi. 21; compare Isaiah xivii. 13.
    ${ }^{37}$ See further, respecting the Chaldæan astrology. Clitarchus, ap. Dlog. Lärth Proom. § 6 ; 'Theophrastus, ap. Procl. ' Comment. in Plat. 'Tim.' p. 2s5, F.
    ${ }^{36}$ Strab. XVI. 1, 96.
    ${ }^{30}$ But sometimes the arrow-heads are accumulated (like the wedges for units) from 10 to 90 , giving $\lll \ll$ for 50 , and so on.

[^278]:    s0 2 Kings xvil. 16; xxi. 3, 5; 2 Chr. xxxiii. 5; Jer. viii. 2; xix. 13.
    t. Jer. vii. 18 ; xliv. 17, 18, 19, 25.

    42 Kings Exiii. 11.
    4 Drin. V. 4.

[^279]:    *s The Grecks found an analogy in thie deity to the original conceptlon of Cronns.
    is It may be bere observed, whith regard to the whole rellgion of the nation, that "In Aseyria ampler evidetice existe of what wat material in the religions system, more aboudant representations of the objects and modes of worsbip, so that it is ponsible to give, by means of illmatrations, a more graphic portraitare of the externals of the religion of the Angrians than the scantinene of the rematns permits in the case of the primitive Cbuldaans (or even of the later Babylomians)."-Rawlinson ' Five Monarchtes,' vol. It chap. viti. p. 229. For the graphic dilustrationa, which we have not space to give, and for a fuller account of the Abeyrian religion, the reader it referred to the chapler clted.
    ${ }^{*}$ It mast be remembered that both in the A soyrian language and in Hebrew the names of the convibry and the peopic are identical with that of the god, Aushur. The only difference (commm to all three senses) is that between the forms $\Delta-\boldsymbol{s} h u r$ and $d e-s h u r$. In Aspyrian inscriptions the meaninge are distinguighed by the determinative prefie. See above, 87 . The pame is also abbreviated to $\Delta t$.
    *We have a similar ellipsis fn at least one paseage of the Bfble:-" Thia is the generation of them that meek him, that seek thy face, 0 Jucob." (Phalm xxiv. 6.)

[^280]:    4 Rawlinson, vol. i1. pp. 229, $230 . \quad$ Rawilnson, l. c. p. 231.
    ${ }^{50}$ The bricks of this temple, at Kileh-Sherghat, bear the name of Ashit, which Bit Henry Rawlinson supposes to be an archaic form of Ashur (Essay X. "On the Religics of the Babylonians and Aspyrians," in the Appendix to Rawlinson's 'Herodotus,' vol. I, p. 588).

[^281]:    ${ }^{51}$ Rawlinson, vol. ii. p. 236. On the curious question of a probable connection of the Assyrian sacred tree with the Asherah ("grove" in our version), which was an object of idolatry with the Jewish kings, see the ensuing remariss of Rawlinson, and the article Grove in the 'Dictionary of the Bible,' where also a representation of the sacred tree will be found.
    59 This title (in which some see a deiflcation of Nimrod) distinguishes the older Bel from Beb-Merodach, i.e. Lord Merodach, the great god of the later Babylonian kings.
    ${ }^{5 s}$ Some identify Oannes with Anu; but Oa seems to be the older name of the fishgod ('O $\eta$ in Helladlus, 'Aós in Damascius) ; and one title of Eoa is "the intelligent fish." His consort Dawtina also points to the same character under the name of Dagan or Dagon.
    ${ }^{54}$ This is one of the cases where the phonetic power is quite uncertain. Other readings' are Yul and Ao. The uncertainty of course extends to the royal names compounded of this element.
    ${ }^{25}$ The proper name of the Moon-goddess has noi been found: but she is often conCounded with Belils.

[^282]:    ${ }^{6}$ Herod. 1. 109.
    5f Otherwise, exclading Asehur as sbove all the reat, the twatve are made up by introIncing Beltis. There are also other ways of reckoning them,

    * 2 Kings Ivil. 81.
    - For a full ecoung of all that is at preaent known on the mbject (to say nothing of what is only conjectured) see Sir EL Rewlinmon' Feagy X. to Hercdotut, Book I. $;$ and Profesor Rawlinson's 'Five Monarchies'' vol, i. c. vil.; vol. iL. c. viti.; vol. iti. pt. 14. \& FII.; and Lenormant, "Histolre anclenne," chap. vifl. is ; chap. Fill. 89 7. 8.

[^283]:    I It was nsual, tull recently, to sdopt the Greek orthography Arian (Apıah Herod. vi. 62; 8teph Bys. s. v. te.); brit bealden the inconventent identity of this form with sterm of totally difierent meaning (e follower of Arias), the y reprements the original bative orthography. The twofold parallels between the ethnic Aryan and Apmonian and the theological Arias and Arminian are iltustrations of the frequency of ctartome sotncidences.
    ${ }^{2}$ Herod. viL 62,

[^284]:    ${ }^{8}$ Dan, vi. 8, 12, 15. ${ }^{\prime}$

[^285]:    4 It is an interesting question for future research, to what extent the migrations of mankind may have been affected by changes of climate within the history of our race; and eapecially whether the northern regions, which certainly had once a milder climate than now, may not at first have invited the settlers whom they afterwards repelled,

[^286]:    s Cephalion, Fr. 1; Arnob, "Adv. Gent.' i. 52. Ammianus Marcellinus (xiiil. 6, 6 2), Who must have obtained his information from the Persians during the campaign of Jultan, makes Zoroaster a Bactrian; Ctesias (pp. 79, 91, ed. Lion.), copied by Justin (i. 1), calls him a king of Bactria, and so does Moses of Chorene (i. 6). The statements which make him a Median (Clem. Alex. 'Strom.' i. p. 399), a Perso-Median (Suidas, s. v.), a Pers'an (Diog. Laërt. ' Præfi'), en Armenian, a Pamphylian (Arnob. i. 12), and even a native of Proconnesus (Plin. 'H. N.' xxx. 1, $\oint$ (2), seem to have arisen as the Zoroastrian rellgion spread westward. ${ }^{6}$ First Fargard of the Vendidad, 87.
    ${ }^{7}$ The name is explained as "splendour of gold," evidentiy denoting the purity and lustre of the religion. The later Persian form is Zerdusht,

[^287]:    ETbis to the form always used in the Pehlevi booke, avents (ava-shot) meana 'text': 'scripture'; its Peblevi form to apietak, and it to cognate with the Late Eanacrit and Mabratis grutak, 'book.' Zend (zand) Is 'explanation,' comment' (Bee Haug's - Eseaye on the sacred Ianguage, Writings, and Beligion of the Parseet' Bombay, 1862, pp. 120-122)."-Rawlinson, vol tif. p. 93. The Zend-avests has been printed by Westergand (1852-64) and Spiegel (1851-58). The latter has trinalated it into Germana and the former is anderstood to be engaged on a tranaiation into English. Partial translations have beed made, of the 1at and gih chapters of the Yogaa ty Bornonf ('Commeataire smr ie Yagna,' Parla, 1033), and of the Gsth be by Dr. Martin Havg (2 volis Leipsic, 1859-80), whose ' Fesays' above quoted form the beat souroe of information on the

[^288]:    - In Senscrts Amera.

    The Itving ereator." at the
    II This name is varionaly interpreted as "the Vving wige;" mpt. givet of Ufe." Both its divine much-kowiog," *the divine much-fiving," wthe great ${ }^{2}$ "Fen nacd apart, the lactet dements are nsed to expreat the sense of "god"; but, virt the rupreme god. (Maralao or Mada) eserns to be toore epecilically the name pes

[^289]:    

[^290]:    14 "See the Scroah Yashs, or hymn in praise of Serosh (Yaça, Ivii. 2)."-Rawlinson vol. iii. p. 112.

[^291]:    is The origin of Mithra is not clearly net forth in the Zendavesta
    1t Thoee who epeak of the "Mosaic coamogony" and the "Zoruastrian cosmogony" use a term totally inspplicable to aystems which refect the emential ides implied in the word "cosmo-pony."

[^292]:     g. 77. See Haug, 'Eseays,' pp. 143, 266; and Rawlinoot, vol. 141. pp. 116, 117.
    
    

[^293]:    19 It was shewn to the fire, not poured upon it (Haug, 'Essays,' p. 239).
    20 Rawlinson, vol. iii. p. 114.
    21 The only approach to a representation of the deity (in a symbolic, not personal, form) is the emblem called Ferouher, which is umiversally associated on Persian inseryptions with the efflgy of the king as early as the time of Darius I., and which we know to have been of Assyrian origin (see the picture on p. 370). There seem also to be signa of the adoption of Egyptian religious emblems in sculptires of the time of Cyrus at Pasargade (yurghaub). See Rawlinson's 'Herodotus,' note to Book I. c. 131.

[^294]:    s Fierodotus here confounds Mithra with Anattis, whow worship appears in the A:bementan frocriptions an late as Artazerice Mnemon in conjunction with that of Mithre $\quad$ Herod LL L31, 132

[^295]:    ${ }^{4}$ In Gen. I. 82, Elam is the eldeat son of Shem.
    ${ }^{2} 5$ See chap. xis. $\$ 6$.

[^296]:    I In particolar Strabo (xv.) and Pliny ( H I. D.' vi. 23).
    2 This form is fornd on the coins of the Easeanlder,
    a The old Persian records dintinpuiah between Ariand in the whe enge and the province of Aria (ibe country of the "Apecen of Herod. t14. 93). The latter bes an aspirate, which is preserved in the modern Brat, being Haroyn to the Vendidsd, apd Iariva is the Achementen tnecriptionst Herodotas, in another part of his work, naes "Apuet by it th the grneric and apecible sense (vil. 62, 66),

[^297]:    - The exact boundary is natarally doubtful, being described by the ancient geographers at a time when the distuction between the two nations was indefintte. The later writers place it at the chain of jarachoathras (Elwend), a branch of Mt. Zagrus. But the important province of Paratacene (now Isfohan), which is thus given to Persia, is assigned by Herodotus to Media (1. 101); which would place the boundary about the parallel of $32^{\circ}$, corresponding with the present division between Irak-Ajemi and Farsistan.

    5 In modern Persian $f$ represents the $p$ of the ancient names. Thus Farsistan $=$ " the place or land (stan, in old Persian çtana) of the Parsa'"; for such was the old native form which is preserved almost unchanged in Parses. Some interpret the name as "igern."

    - Rawlinson, ' Five Monarchies,' vol iv. p. $\overline{\text {. }}$

[^298]:    - The name Kur ts sonnetimes applied to the Bendamir.
    - The Greeks derived this name from the setrap Atropates, who was allowed by Alezander to retain the government of the province, where he made himself tndependent. But it seems to contain the old Median Atra or Adan (the Sinn) = the Peratan Milara (Sir H. Rawilnson's note to Herod. L. 110 )

[^299]:    - Cteslas mentions their wars with, and bitter hostility to, the Medians.

    10 Rawlinson, vol, ili. pp. ?, 8, 46, from the descriptions of Ker Porter, Kinneir. Morier, Fraser, \&c.

[^300]:    a For a description of thia mode of Irrigation, eee Rawlinson, vol ili. p. 64 .
    [2 Polyb. ․ 27. 1 2. Viodorus says that the number of horsea annually fed on these pastures wat at one time 180,000 (xvif. 110. \$6). The annaal tribute of Medle to the Persian tings included 3000 horses (Strab. 5i. 13, 6 s).
    ${ }^{13}$ Herod vil. 40; Serab. xi 13, 4 ; Arrian 'Eip. Alez' vil. 13; Amm. Manc. zxiil. 6; Suid. 2. v. Niracov. Thene Writers obeerve the peculiar shape, odze, speed, and ruatness of the Nispean borves and thedr rememblance so the Parthan: thefr colour wes generaliy, if not ad ways, white. They were probably of the same etock an the horses of the Turcoman breed, now derived from Khoracsan, the old Parthlan ecruntry. Arrian transfent the wame of the Niscan Plains to the southem pastures.
     ting of Nineveh ( $)$. makee war upon Arphaxad, king of Medin, "in the great plain. Witch is the plyin on the borders of Rhagan." and takes and titts him "to the mocarialns cf Ehagan." Om the probable meaning of this, mee chop $\mathbf{~ E x v i l . ~} \mathrm{f}$.

[^301]:    ${ }^{14}$ Behiston Inseription, col. ii. par, 13.
    ${ }^{16}$ Arrian, ' Exp. Alex.' iil, 19. The district of Rhagiana is the strip of tertile territory between MC. Elbure and the Deaert, and the city was near its eastern extremity; but its exact site is doubtful, It is usually identified with Rhei; but Professor Rawlinson ghows reasons for placing it much nearer the Caspian Gates, probably at Kaleh Erui (Erij being perhaps corrupted from the ancient name).-' Five Monarchies,' vol. iii. pp. 29, 30.
    ${ }_{17}$ Mans. Parth. p. 6. The text has Bárrava, perhaps a corruption of Bagrava. In Steph. Byz. It is Bayiorava. 18 Diod, ii. 13 : ópos Bayírzavov.
    29 That is $17 \times 600$ Greek feet; more than 6 times too much; the real height is only about 1700 English feet. See the views of the rock and inscription at the beginning and end of this chapter.

    20 Professor Rawlinson interprets Bagistan as "the place of God" (from Baga, "god," and çtana "place"). Others explain it (from the analogy of modern Persian) as "the place of gardens," derived from the "paradise" which Diodorus aseribes to Semiramis. Both may be right, according to the well known principle of asaiwniating names to the different interpretations which forms aceidentally alike will bear in different languagea Thus also the modern form Behistum (according to Sir Henry Rawlinson), which represents the ancient name, is read as Behistan, "the place of paradise, or delight," by Mr. Masson, who says that the local form of the name is Bistitu, and of the sculptures Boston. (' Journal of R. Ag. Soc.' vol. xil. pt. 1, p. 108.) 21 Diod. Sle. xvii. 110.
    ${ }^{2}$ R Rawlinson, ' Five Munarchies,' vol. iii. pp. 31, 32 ; Sir H. Rawlinson, 'Journal of Geog. Soc.' vol. is. pp. 12d, 113; Ker Porter, 'Travels,' vol. il. pp. 160, 151.

[^302]:    ; 3ob xix 24
    24 From the acoount of Mr. Masson, the only traveller who has described these aculptures, they do not seem perfect enough to convey any information. They may be the remains of the sculptures and tnscription which Jlodoras and Isidore ascribe to Semb ramis; but the silence of those authors about the great tnseription of Darius would fincline us to believe that it was this latter which they ascribed to semiramie, tollowing the common tradition respecting mont of the great monumente of Western Asta. Mr. Rawlinson suggesta that the sculpturea of sempramis may have been destroyed by Chosice Parvis, when he prepared to batid a palace on the ofle.
    ** Respecting the relation of this inseription to the history of cunelform interpretation see chap. mivi. 95.
    ${ }^{24}$ Josephas, 'Ant' zx. 3, 14 ; Tac 'Ann.' Ed 8, zif 13; Bir E. Rawlinson, In 'Grog. Journal,' vol ix. pp. 114-116.
    $\$ 7$ The name preserves the memory of the famow Median hortes, and probably belonged originally to the province which contained the great pastures. Aapa the the old Persian agpa, "horse," and appears also to the Median towis of Pharaepa, Phanaepa, .Veaspa, named by Ptolemy. The dana may be elther from ctanca "place" (as in Eloma-dan from Hapma-plan), or from danhs or dainkus, "a province" (Bawliseons Five Mosarchies,' vol ini. p. 144.)

[^303]:    m These argoments may be found in Rawlinson, 'Five Monarchies,' vol. Hi. a vh pp. 157, foll. The question is too epeculative to be pursued here.
    \$2 Kings xvi. 6 ; Evill. 11.

[^304]:    8 Herod. i. 96, seq.
    ${ }^{36}$ Correaponding to the 526 years of Berorus. See above, chap. x. $\$ 8$
    st Grote, 'Hlat. of Greece,' vol. iil. pp. 307, s08.

[^305]:    zt Antyages, which seems rather a tulle than the proper name of the last king of Media, is in the native tongue dj-dahak, "the biting enake." Moves of Chorene confirms this fntergretation (i. 29): "- Quippe vor Artyagea in nostra lingua draconem aignificat."

    3 Herod. i. 101.

    * The mention of the Magi last, in elose connection with the Budit, who probably represent the Turamian natives, has been thought to indicate the addition of these two tribes after the nation was constituted (Rawlingon, vol. III. p. 12t, note).

    4t The meaning of the name is, however, very doubtful. We have Budim in Eastern Earope.
    ais This name is spelt with e and a to the 2nd aylable almoot indifierently.
    4 Herod. i. 98. His iAyßáraya ls nearer than the 'Expárava of later writers to the Hagmationg or Hagmalion of the cuneiform fnacriptions, a name which Sir Henry Rawlinson regards as parely Aryan, and as aignifying "the place of assemblage." (Frmm ham, "with;" gam," to go;" and ctan, "a place," the whole = Lat. com-a-lium.) Dropping tbe final $n$, we get the Chaldee form "Achmetha, the paince that is in the provinoe of the Medes " (Ezra vi. 2). The detalls of the building of the walls of ticbatana by "Arpharad," In the Book of Judth (L. 1-4), weem to have been derlved merely frum the writer's probably written by an Alexandrian Jew fn the 2nd century B.a.
    ${ }^{4}$ Herod.i. 99 (tnil.). This correcte the frequent misapprehenstion of the dencription to the

[^306]:    preceding chapter, as referring to the city, instcad of the fortifications (reixca, c. 98) around the palace ( $\pi$ epì тà éwuroû oiкia, c. 99).
    45 This remark seems to exclude the necessity of seeking for a conical hill as the site, which must, bowever, have been a hlll of some sort. The bearing of tbis observation on the question of a twofold Fcbatana will be presently apparent.
    *S Herod. i. 98. The words may mean either silvered and gilt. or covered with plates of the precious metals, as was the case with the temple at Borsippa. "The sober Polyblus relates that at the southern Agbalana, the capital of Media Magna, the entire woodnork of the royal palace, including beams, ceilings, and piliars, was covered with plates either of gold or silver, and that the whole building was roofed with silver tiles. The temple of Analtis was adorned in a similar way (Polyb. x. 27, © 10-12). Consequently, though Darius, when he retreated before Alexander, carried off from Media gold and silver to the amount of 7000 talents imore than $1,700,0002$.), and thougl the town was largely plundered by the soldiers of Alexander and Seleucus Nicatcr, there still remained tiles and plating enough to produce to Antiochus the Great on his occupation of the place a sum of very nearly 4000 talents, or $975,000 \mathrm{~L}$. sterling! (See Arrian, 'Exp. Alex.' 1ii, 19; Polyb. l. c.)."-Rawlinson, note to 'Herod.' b. c. 41 See chap. xvi. if 5.
    ss "I'hus Nizami, in his poem of the 'Heft Peiher,' describes a seven-bodied palace, built by Bahram Guír, nearly in the came terms as Herodotus. The palace dedicated to Saturn, he says, was black; that of Jupiter, orange, or, more strictly, sandal-wood colopr (Sandali); of Mars, scarlet; of the Sun, golden; of Venus, white; of Mercury, cazure, and of the Moon, green-a hue which is still applied by the Orientals to silver." (Journal of Geog. Suc.' vol. x. pt. i. p. 127.)

[^307]:    - 'Joumal of the Geog. Boc' vol. I. pt. f. ark. 1 ; Rawlinson's ' Herod.' ad loce; and 'Five Monarchies, vol Hii. pp. 25-28 (where the wite and the rains on it are fally deacribed). For a plan of the mite, ree 'Student's Anc. Geog.' p. 239.
    © Polyb. x. 27; Diod. Sic ti 13, 416 : this writer gives a circoit of 250 staden $=\mathbf{2 5}$ geographtcal miles (probably a considerable exaggeration): comp. Erator th. ap. Atrab. H. p. 72 ; Artian. ' Exp. Alex.' Hi, 19, 20; Plin. 'H. N.' T1. 14 and 25; Istd. ' Mans. Parth.' p. 6, in Hadson's 'Geog Yin.' For a description of the stre (which has not yet been explored), and what tittle in known of the city, ece Rawlinson, vol. ith. pp. 16-24.
    

[^308]:    ss r.c. 656-634, according to the cbronology of Herodotus.

    * Professor Rawlinson (following Hang) states that the name "seems to be a mers variant of the word which appears in the Zendavesta as frawashi, and designates each man's tutelary genius. (These genil are called fravardin in the Pehlevi, and frohars in the modern Persian.) The derivation is certainly from fra $=$ Greek mpo-, and probably from a root akin to the German wahren, French garder, Euglish watch, wart, \&e." -'Five Monarchies,' vol. iii. p. 144. The whole of his "Analysis of Median Names" is worthy of attentive permsal.
    ${ }^{5} 7$ Behistan Inscription, col. ii. par. 5, 6. The circumstances, that this Phraortes ohanged his name to Xathrites, and claimed descent from Cyamares, are strong argumenta that the royal line of Medis began from Cyaxares, and that there had not been a king named Phraortes. 58 Par. $6 . \quad 50$ Par. 12. 60 lbid. 61 Par. 13.

[^309]:    © Comp: e xiv. 94 15, $16 . \quad$ Herod. L. 103.
    $\omega$ "Cyazares, the Pensian form of which was 'Uvakhahatara (Behiatun Inser. col. 1. par. $5, \$ 4$ ), seems to be formed from the two elemente, 'ts or hu ( $\mathbf{O r k}$. © ), ' well,' 'good', and akhsha (Zynd arma). ' the eye.' which is the final element of the name Cyararma in the Zondavesta-Cyavarma in 'dark-eyed '; 'Ce-akheha ( $=$ Zend Huvarma) worid be 'beautiful-eyed' ' 'vakehatara appears to be the comparative of this adfective, and would mean ' more-beautiful-eyed ' (than olhere)."-Rawhinson, "Hive Monarchice,' vol. til p. 144.

    Ebehistun Inser. col IL par. 14. Rawlinson considers this te arme Indication that Enqartis (in Shorcesan) wan the original country of C.jazarea.

[^310]:    

[^311]:    I Beopecting the origin and application of the name, tee the "Btadent's Anclent theography.' p. 84. The older mame was Louver Asta, es distinguished from Epper Aria, the boundary being the Halye German writert call it foreasta (Forderabien), and the reat of the continent Einder-Atia (Einleracien).

[^312]:    2 Not to trouble ourselves with saving clauses abont the origin of the Homeric poems, the statement is certainly true of thetr chief author, whose name is not likely to 'be changed till the study of classical literature is abandoned, Let us hope, in spite of certain tendencles of our age, that the latter event may not be the first to happen !
    3 The Greek Bosporus (abominably corrupted into the ever-to-be-avoided form of Bosphorus) is the precise etymological equivalent of $0 x-f o r d$, and was probably derived from the custom of ferrying over cattle from one shore to the other, when both were pusersed by the same tribes, according to the state of the pastures

[^313]:    - Bee the Skejeton Map of the Moontain Ranger, Plateaus, and Flains of Asia, at known to the Ancients, in the 'Strident's Ancient Geography.' p. 73.
    *The name is prohably derived from the Aramaic IUr, "height"

[^314]:    

[^315]:    - Leake's • Asiq Minor,' p. 95.

    2 It is to be regretted that geographers and historians reoognize any other standand than the geographical mile; which, besides being the only naturab measure of the earth's surface (as being the minute of a degree of a great circle), has the historical advantage of commensurability with the Greekr stadium, which was also derived from the degree: for 10 stadia $=1$ geog. mile.

[^316]:    10 It must be remembered that the earliest development of the Japhetic race to suppoeed to have been Teranian

    11 - Josephus ('AnL Jud'' 1 6) apeaks of thle town as founded by Dethech, the con of Japheth, whom be make the prigenitor of the Moaschens or Nowch; and he expresily aserte that this people came afterwards to be called Cappadocians." (Rawlinenn, 'Fseay XI. to Herod. Bock I.,' vol. i. p. 653.) The Moscblan tinge of the Inseripticas have Taranian names. st 'Languages of the Seat of War,' p. 113.

[^317]:    ${ }^{13}$ Herod. 1. 72; Strab. xil. p. 788; Dionys. Perieg. 772, and Enstath. ad loc.; Scylax p. 80 ; Apoll Rhod. ii. 946 ; Ptol. v. 6.

    14 Herod. vii. 72; Sir H. Rawlinson's 'Memoir on the Behiston Inscription,' vol. ii. p. 95. The Greek blem Karradon exactly represents the Persian Katapatuk, allowing for the well-known principle of contracting two like syllables into one. .
    16 Aevsócupol. This name is often used by Greek writers for the people, even while they call the country Cappadocia. It is more specifically applied to the inhabitants of the coast, between the rivers Halys and Iris; and by Ptolemy only to those about the latter river, whose country he regards as a part of Cappadocia. It is worth while to observe that the cosst region east of the Halys was not distinguished in historical geography by any new ethnic name, bat is aimply called Pontus, equivalent to "the province of the Sea," like "See-land." This name.irst appears in Xenophon ('Anab * 6," 615).

    16 Herod. 1. 28. Strictly interpreted, the statement of Herodotus includes them among the nations west of the Halys; bat, as all other writers place them some distance to the

[^318]:    east of that tiver, we moan cuppone ather that they bad a much wider extension in the time of Herodotus, or that he names with the nations west of the Halys gome eribes farther along the coset to whow the conquests of Croesus may have reached. In the poem of Apollonius thodiua on the Argonauts, the Chalybes are placed beyond Themiscyra and the River Thermodion (the Therma, eath of the Iris), and they are deacribed as "digging tinto the Irorrbearing hard earthi" and "enduring grievous labour with the black enut and anoke" 17 Fisch. •Prom. Vinct. ' 714.
    ${ }^{16}$ Xen. 'Anab.' v. B, 4 . 1 CatulL Ixv. 4月; Virg.' EEn.' vili. 48.
    1 Herodic 72 Mad-a or Mad-at; Mat-ieni.
    m Herod. It. 2. The clasaical writers generally regard Phryglant as the oldest jobe
     Metam.' $\mathbf{x i}$ p. 762).
    

    - Plst. 'Cratyl.' p. 410, a.
    

[^319]:    *5 Herod. vii, 73. Stephanus Byzantinus makes the same statement (s. v. 'Appevia) and notices a connection between the languages, saying of the Armenians min $\phi \omega \hat{n}$ no $\lambda_{1}$ фpvyirsuct. In the army of Xerxes, the Phrygians and Armenians were armed in the came manner, and were under the same commander (Herod. l. c.). Both were believed to have been originally troglodytes (dwellers in caves); and their names are even used as synonymous (Xen. 'Anab.' iv. 5, $8 \mathbf{8 5}$; Diod. xiv. 28 ; Vitruv. ii. 1; Cramer, 'Anecd. Grec.' iv. p. 257). The Phrygian traditions of the Deluge bear the stamp both of a very high antiquity and of a connection with Armenla.
    ${ }^{30}$ In the Macedonian dialect, B held the place of the Greek $\Phi$.
    27 Herod. l.c. $\quad 28$ Ap. Strab. xII. p. 572, xiv. p. 680; Fr. 5, 8, ed. Muluer.

[^320]:    
    5 Herod 157 . 5 Agatulas, IL p. 84.

[^321]:    ss Hamilton, 'Researches,' vol. ii. pp. 250, 288; Texier, 'Description de l'Asie mineure,' vol. 1. p. 210.
    st Texier and Steuart's • Description of some Ancient Monuments, with Inseriptions, still existing in Lydia and Phrygia,' Lond. 1842.

    35 Hamilton, 'Researches,' vol. i. pp. 48, 490 ; vol. i1. pp. 226, $\mathbf{e q q}$; Leake, 'Asia Minor;' p. 28; Ainsworth, 'Travels and Researches,' vol. ii. p. 58 . It must be remembered that the word Pelasgian, as applied to these remains, is as truly arhitrary as the Cyclopes themselves are fabulous. We know pretty well that the one-eyed monstera did not build them; we do noi know that the Pelasgi did build them. As is now generally believed in the parallel case of the remains called Druidicul and Celtic, so those called Pelasgian may have been the works of some still earlier builders. Buteven if so, they indicate the direction in which the successive waves of population rolled.

[^322]:    38 Herod. 1.28 ; vii. 75 ; comp. Strab. vii. p. 427.
    ${ }^{30}$ Strabo, i. p. 61. See chap. xxiii. $¢ 6$.
    40 Apollod. i. 9,923 ; if. 5, 99 ; Apollon. Rhod. ii. 752, 780, 786.
    41 Diod. Vil. 13; Syncell. p. 181. Paus. v. 25, 66.

    * 'IL' v. 5 放. *Herod. vii. 73.4 Herod. vii. te.

    45 When Herodotus (ii. 104) speaks of "the Syrians (i.e. Cappadocians) who dwell about the rivers Thermodon and Parthenius," he seems to extend the Cappadocians to the western limits of Paphlagonia, But more probably (from the context) the Parthenius means some other river, near the Thermodun. Elsewhere he always places the Cappadocians east of the Halys, and which be expressly makes the boundary between them and the Paphlagonians (i. 72)

[^323]:    - The name Brygi or Briges, which we have seen to be equivalent to Phrygeace sald by Hesychius to siguify freemen. This is etymologically probable; for, taking the stems$\beta_{p o r y}=\phi_{p \nu y}=$ frey or frei (German); and the resemblance is the closer when we remember the thin sonnd of the Greek v--pory, with the guttural woflemed, would be pronounced, in the greater part of Germany, exactly like fra
    © Cic. 'pro Flacc.' 27; Curt. 7L. 11 ; Strab. Fi. p. 304.
    
    al It is etill doubtrul whetber the mame originated in Europe or in Asia, If the etymology be correct which derives Masia from a Celtic word algnifying marah, the Mysiana would eeem to have brought the name back with them from tarope. Lacee frequently receive new names from geographical oircumstancea
    EHerod. vi. 74. On the supposed connection of the Mysich, Lydians, and Carians mee further in chap. xad. 务 14, 16.

[^324]:    ${ }^{1}$ Apollod. Hi. 1, 1 1; 14. 6 s

    - Herod. चII. 91 : ©cmp. e 89 for the Phicnictan equipment.
    * Bochart, ' Phaleg' part H. book I. c. 5.

    4 Hercel vil 91.
    © 'IL' T. 395, 415 ; 4i. 840 ; Strabo, p. 221.

[^325]:    © Strabo, p. 667. 7 Herod. ©. $52 . \quad 1$ Euseb. 'Chron.' pars 1. ce. 5, 9.
    2 Herod. v. 118; Xen. ' Anab.' i 2, 126.
    11 Herod. vii. 73.

    10 Herode v. 52.
    12 Herod. iii, 91.

[^326]:    
    
    ${ }^{17}$ Hom. 'IL' VL. 184, 204; OLI' $\nabla .288$.

[^327]:    
    19 Hom. ' Il.' ${ }^{2} 1.180$; xvi. 328 : comp. Ov. "Metam.' ix. 646.
    20 Hesiod. 'Theog.', $319 . \quad{ }^{21}$ Euseb. 'Prep. Evang.' ix. 9; Joseph. 'c. Apion.' t.
    2 Tzetzes (Chil. vii. Hist. 149) calls them $\tau \rho 0$ xoxovpádes, " shorn all round their neuds."
    23 Herod. ili. 8. $\quad 2$ Jerem. ix. 26. - 25 Plut. 'De Def. Orac.' ii. p. 421, D.
    ${ }_{26}$ Professor Rawlinson, who points out these Semitic characters, gives as examples of Phuenician irames in Lycia "the monntains Solyma, Phoenix, nad Massicytus (Heb. Melzuka); the district Cabalia (i.e. mountainous : Heb. Gebal, as in Psalm Ixxxiii, 7, Arabic Gebel.")-' Essay XI. to Herod.' Book I. (vol. i. p. 658): "On the Ethaic Affinities of the Nations of W. Asia."
    27 "'Ihe term Shalamu was nsed by the Assyrfans for the West, in allusion to the Sun's retiring to rest-and this may be the origin of the name of the Solymi.,"-Sir H. Rawlinsoa, in Rawlinson's ' Herod.' i. p. 659. If this view be correct the resemblancs of the uprae to that of Salem or Jerusalem (Sulyma, Hierosolyma) is accounted for; and á, all ervots the resemblance tends to shew that the very name of the Solymt i: Semitic.

[^328]:    - Acts ifL 14, seq.

    One of the "Thiriy Tyrants" in the third oentury. He was defeated and killed ty - general of Gallierru.

[^329]:    31 Há $\mu \phi \lambda \boldsymbol{\prime}$, "a collection of all races," a name equivalent to the "Allemanni' of Germany.

    * Herod. vii, 91. $\$$ Artian. 'Anab.' $1.26 . \quad$ F Frag. 111.
     263-besides other passages in the historians and geographers,

[^330]:    strabe xifi. p. 870; giv. pp. es4, 87 a.

    * Herod. vit. 1.

    Proiyth citit 27.

    - Etrabo, yiv. P ets.
     belongs to the Lycian of the Troed; bat their affinity with the oouthern Lycians cat bardily be soubted.
    - Herod. 1173.
    - The matermal grandfilber, acoorting to the mythic gememiogiet, of Homer's \&arpedun who wits aloos mon of Jove.

[^331]:    45 Comp. Herod. vil. 92
    4 Apollod. iii. 1, 6 2: comp. Paus, vii. 3, 84 ; Strabo, xil, p. 573.
    4s This is not the place to discuss whether the characters which make this resemblance were derived by the one pation directly from the other (and by which from which), or by both from a common source. The same remaris applies to those subjecta of the sculpturem which appear also in the Greek mythology, such as Pandarus and his daughters, and the Harples.

[^332]:    -Sec Pellowesf' ' Lycta, p. 173.
    0 m Profesar Lassen of Bonn, has recently published accounts of these Inseriptions ('Ueber dia Lykischen Inschiftem;' and 'Die altea Eprachen Klelnaslena', in the Zeitschrift $\nabla$. Morgenland ), in which be has proved more scientifically than former writers the Indo-European charactor of the language. This, bowever, bad long boen sufficiently apparent from the laboure of SIr C. Bellowa aud Mr. Danlel Sharpe. Bilingual spectiptions, in Greek and Lycian, upon combe rendered the work of dectpherment comparatively eary." (Rawlinson, ' Ramay XI. to Herodotus' Book I. ; to which are appended several spectmens of the inscriptions.)
    *The form on the Leycian mecriptions ts TPXMEAA, Pramelf, like the Tpealhan of Hecaterus, Fr. 384, and the Tpepineís of Srephanus Byzantinus. "Tramele ts a nsme of frequent occurrence, and even lingers in the country at the present day. There to a village called Tremill in the mountains tat the extreme north of snctent Lycla, not fer. from the lake of Ghieut Eisuar. (Pee 'Geograph. Journal'; vol, Ill. p. 168 ; Bpratt and Forben's 'Lycin' vol i. p. 208.) Bir Charles Feilows thinke that the Lyctans, whose reat ethnic title is unknown to us, were divided Into three tribes-ithe Tramela, the Trois, and the rekkefa, whom he sdenultes with the Carminne of Herodotus. The Trdmales were the moot important tribe, occupying all aonthern Lycia from the golf of Adalia to the valiey of the Xanthus. Above them, on the east, were the districts called Milyaus and Cibyratid, tubabited by tribes not Lycian; while the apper part of the valley of the Xanthus, and the mosntain tract to the westward, as far as the rango which bound on the eact the valky of the Calbit, was inhabited by the Troila; and the regton weut of that, to the borders of Carks by the Tekiefa (tee the ' Eiseay on the Chine of Lecia, London, 1955)."-Hawlinson, ' Note to Hercud. L. 173;' vol, L. p. 309.

[^333]:    - The Greek spelling of the inscriptions is AIKIA, AIKIOI.
    some writers, who adopt this view, find in the Leloa of the Egyptlan inseriptions not onis the Lycians, but also the Leleges, and even the Laconians.
    51 Concerning tha desperate defence and raptare of Xanthus, see the 'Stadent's Greece,'s chap IV. \$10. There seema reason to infer from the monaments that the atrapy of Lucia was for some time hereditary in the family of Harpagua

[^334]:    - The scolptares from thite and the edifice next noticed, in the Brtteb Maseash are
    cervmpantad hy modela, elewing ibeir orisinal position opun the atructure.
    - Our Museum contalia, a cast of thit monument

[^335]:    
    ss Herod. vii. 92. In c. 7 he speaks of "Lycian bows" as carried by the Milyans.
    50 Tbucydides, however, specaks of the expedition of Pericles "towards Carta and Cannus" ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ es if he did not consider Caunus to be included in Caria Proper (i. 116).

[^336]:    -7 Acylax, 'Periplus.' p. 92; 8trabo, s1v. p. 932. © 'Geog. Journal;' vol. zill. p. 168.

    - That ia, to the city of Calyada, on the bordere of Lycis and Caris
    $\omega$ Hetod. $1172 . \quad$ Thacyd. vill sp; 8trabo, p. 651.
    - Strabo mentions the abmanance of frait about Caunus as one reason for the place beting unhoalthy in summer and atamn-a very likely result if the people ate too much of the fruil. The truer cause wal marab-malaria. - ' D e $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{v}$.' $\mathrm{Il} .40,84$.
    et Just as our orange-gellers cry St. Michoel's, of as (thanks to the excellent street government of London), one of the varions diatractung notees, ataidst which these Innes are written, is "Farmouth / fine Farmouth !" The iutereat of the story lies in the evidence it affords of the contraction of short byllabies in pronvnciation, crave=Caw-s princtple which belped Dr. Bendley and Mr, Key to make out the metres of Plautus and Terence.
    - 'IL.' ti. ect-e. The ept chet $\beta$ appapodionevy ts understood by Btrabo as implying that

[^337]:    the Carlans were so nearly related to the Greeks as to attempt to use the Greek language, their imperfect command of which was more offensive to a Greek ear than an absolutely foreign tongue. Though this interpretation is admitted by Lassen (' Ueber die Sprache Kleinasiens', p. 381)-who, however, maintains the Semitic character of the Carians-it is a forced construction of the epithet, which properly applies to those who spoke a language nnintelligible to Greeks; and it was probably suggested by that later adoption of the Greek language, which was the natural result of the Dorian colonization of Caria. In historlc times, we are expressly told, by a Carian historian, that the language of the Carians was mixed with a very great number of Greck words-Philip of Theangeta, Fr. 2, in Miller's ' Frag. Hist. Grec.' vol. 1v. p. 475.

    * In bistoric timesalso, the proper boundary of Carla was Mount Messogis, the northern margin of the valley of the Mæander, thougb some maps place the boundary at the river itself.

[^338]:    - Mylava (Mchasco) was an foland town of Carts, aboat 20 miles from the aes, and the
     - Herod 1.171.
    - Ibid, es 172 In Buok V. c. 88. Herodorus abserves, fucidentslly, that the so-called - Movian temale drean, consistarg of a Hoen tante which aid not require fatening by bruochea, wan criginaly Curtan.
    

[^339]:    7 Hom. ' 11. ' x. 428-9.-The passage is the less likely to be corrupt, as the settlementa of these peoples in historic times were widely apart. An interpolater would have bad more regard for geographical symmetry. It bardly needs proof that the Carians meant are those of the continent. The Grecks are represented as masters of the Higean, and the Gretans in particular are their allies.
    7 Like the Celts in Wales, Cornwall, Brttany, and the Algarve, the Cimmeriuns in the Crimea, dec.
    73 Stralo, vii. p. 321 ; xili. p. $611 . \quad$ IC Athenmens 50 p. 672.

[^340]:    7 Pacs. i. 39, 4 6.-This tradition, whatever many be Its ralue, makes the Carians a
     7 Straho. vil. pp. 321, 322 : comp. Nion. Hat. 1. 17. Athen. Fi. p. 271. - Strabo, L. c.; Pbilip of Thrangela, Fr. 1.

    - Some find an aliusion to the practice as cariy as Homer'a time, in the phrase h Kaphy (aisp IL' Ix. 778); whlte otbern oven see Carvan mercenaries in the Chercthites ond Pelethites who formed Iavid's bodygoard at Jeruealem.
    

[^341]:    © The stodent abould remember that the last eyllable of thit word fa long, and fnould form the habit of pronouncing it so. The name Eaposs is an Ionic plural contracted from Eápotes (in common Greek Zapoens, in Latin sardes). The Hitie viliage of Nart atill preserves the old name among its extensive ratas, which consiot of the remaina of a stadium, a theatre, and the triple walls of the acropolis, with lonty towera. It was destroyed by Tamerlane in the 13 th centary. As to the origin of the city, Strabo remarks that it was very anclent, bot leter than the Trofian war (Strabo, ill. p. 625); but its acropulle was anpposed to be mentioned by Homer under the name of Hyde, "beneath the snowy Tmolue" (Ham. ' Il.' $\mathbf{2 X}$. 355 ; Strabo, L. c. p. 628 ; Piin. 7. 80 ; Enatath. ad Dion. Perieg. 830). Sardia ta firt named by Hischylus (' Pers.' 45).
     vol. ii. p. 139-The original meonla and Lydia must be carefully diatlugutahed from the district called Lydia under the Romans (end so marked on our mape), which extented westward to the sea, so as to embrace all Ionia, and eastward to the Miver Lycus, inclading part of the Pbrygian table-land. On the gorth it was mparated from MyNa by Mount Temans, on the south from Caria by Moant Memogte, thus embracing the valley of the Cayatrr. Strabo cirrtes the gonthern boundary as low as the course of the Mimander (xil. p. 577), and other writers make the Carian ctiles of Trallea, Nysia, and Magnesia on the Mmauder, Lydian Hom. 'IL' ti. 864-6: comp. V. 43 Hora. 'IL' zx. 391-2.

    - Fernd 1. 93; Btrab. xilt. p. 825 ; Plin. v. 80.
     r anneoesary to remark that the $力$ used by Homer and Herodotus is merely the Ionic form of the dipltiong at, were it not that the name to somelimes barbarously ipelt Heomiams

[^342]:    91 Herod. i t.-Elsewhere, as we have seen, he makes Lydus, Mysus, and Car, brothers. The genealogical position of Atys will be seen more clearly when we come to the liydian history. See chap. xzil. 16.
    ${ }^{20}$ Strabo, xiL p. 572; xiv. p. 679.
    9 Dionysios of Halicnrnassus, in introducing the very quotation now referred to, describes $X$ anthos as "skilled in auclent history, if any other ever was so." (Dion. i. 28.) Unfortanately, we possens oniy a few fragments of his 'Lydian History' (Lydicca). The fables in which Xanthus indulges detract somewhat trom the high authority assigned to him. On this genealogy mee further in chap. Irii. 96.
    \$1 Xanth. Fr. 1, ed. Mitler, from Dionys. Hal. (l. a), who quotes the passage marted above as the express words of Xenthus. It is to be observed that Dionysius cites the passage for tts bearing on the question of the colonization of Etruria by the Lydiant or Mmonlans. He says that Xanthus " nowhere names Tyritenus as a raler of the Lydians, nor does he know of any Mesonian colony having reached Italy, nor has he enywhere mentioned Tyrrbenia as a colony of the Lydians;" and then he adds the above genealogy, in which Torrichus appears in the place (or its equivalent) assigned by other writers to Pyrrherus.
    ${ }_{96}$ Plin. (v. 30) mentions the Masmiif and Ptolemy (v. 2.921 ) reckons yarania ns a part of Lydia.

    ANO. HIET.

[^343]:    *The title is applied to Homer by the Latio poets, with referebce to Smyrna as bis aligged birthplece. ( 0 v. 'Trist.' $\mathrm{Iv}, 10,22$ : the.)
    $\approx$ See above, chap. xx. $913 . \quad$ Herod. 1.94

    - However little historical value we may attach to this matement, it seems to indle ante that the Greeke received these gamee through the louians from the Lydians; and ne similar gamea ane found in Fgypt at very remute timea, we may have bere a sigp of that connection between Egypl and Asia Minor, to which the monumente bear tealimong. fee Sir $\mathbf{G}$. Wilkinson's Note un the pasage, in Rawlineon's ' Herodotus.
    The Ombria of Herodutin, as Niebuhr observes, "is of large and Indefinite catent," tnclading apparently almost the whole of Northera lialy. ('History of Rome;' vol. i P. 142, English trupslation.)

[^344]:    a Hygin. ' Pab.' 274 -Tbe authort who believed they were writing history made his mocber a girl of Telmessna, possessed of prophetic powern, who explained to Gordius the prodigy which anvounced bla future grealness, and became his wife-

    - The late that he had satyr'a ears in probably derived from some symbolical work of art. How they were changed Into meneriears, at a punishment for his deciding againat Apolio in the mastical contest with Pan or Marryas,-how Midas btd his ears beneath e Purggian cap, -and how the barber who disoovered the secret whispernd it into a bole of the eartb and buried th, only to have it spread abroad by every ruatle of the reed which sprang up on the spot-all this ts among the cbolce fairy-tales of Greece.
    ${ }^{5}$ For these questions, see Theopoupp. Fr. 76; Aristot ap. Plut vol. il. p. 118; Cle. Tusc.'i. 48 - Xen. 'A nab.' L 2413.
    ${ }^{7}$ Pava. f. 4. 85 : comp. Athen. H. 45; Plut. 'de Fluv.' $10 . \quad$ Herod vili. 138.
    T. Pbilostrat. ' Icon.' 1 22; A then. xil. p. 616

    10 Strabo, i. p. 61 ; Plut. ' de Supersthi' 7 ; Euseb. 'Coron.' anb. ann. Ab. 1321.
    II Profeseot Rawlinson (Yote to Herod. I. 14) comparea this Pbry gian dynasty to the siterastion of a Battus and an A roesilatis in the royal line of Cyrene. He quotes Bothla

[^345]:    ( ${ }^{\prime}$ Dissertations,' ch. viii.) as reckoning fuur kings of Pbrygia named Midas, each the son of a Gordius, and adds, "Three of these are mentioned by Herodotus (i. 14, 35, viii. 138)." But there is clearly no ground for aeserting that, in these three detached notices, Herodotus was consciously speaking of three different kings each of whom is to be regarded as a distinct historic personage. 12 Herod. i. 165.
    ${ }^{13}$ Herod. i. 35.-Rawlinson observes, in a note: "Here the legend has forgotten thnt Phrygian independence was at an end. We might, indeed, get over the difficulty of a Phrygian royal house and a King Gordius at this time, by supposing, with Larcher, that Phrygia had become tributary, while retaining her kings; but the language of Croesus is not suitable to sach a supposition. Equality appears in the phrase, "Thou art the offspring of a house friendly to mine, and thou art come to friends; 'and the independence of Phrygia seems clearly implied in the proviso, 'Thou shalt want for nothing as long as thou abidest in my dominions.' Phrygia is not under Croesus." But this is surely a far-fetched inference from language which, after all, is that not of the king, but of the historian, who does not himself percelve the inconsistency. Such language might well be used in courtesy to the son of a vassal king.
    14 Euseb. 'Chron.' Pars. it. Ann. Ab. 707, corresponding to z.c. 1810.
    15 An. Ab. 1278, OL. $\mathrm{x} 2=$ в.c. 739. $\quad 16$ An. Ab. 1321, 01. $\mathbf{x x}$. $1=$ B.c. 696.
    17 See Texier's 'Asie Mineure' (vol i. p. 165), where a view of the tomb is given, with a facsimile of the inscription; and Rawlinson's 'Herodotus' (vol. i. pp. 165, 166), where this and another inscription on the tomb, and an older Phrygian Inscription, written in the order called Boustrophedion, are copied and explained.
    is The following are the statements, in a tabular form, with the dates calculated by Clinton (' F. H.' vol. i. p. 23) : -

[^346]:    - Maris imperiam post Trojenum tmperium exerenerant.

    1. Lydil et Mmones ammis $92 ; 8.01183$ to 1091
    2. Pelaget . . . . 85; .. 1091-1006
    3. Thraces . . . 79; 1008-927
    4. Rhodii . . . 23; . 027 - 004
    c. Phryges . . . . 25 ; 004 - 880."
[^347]:    - Fierod L 7,94 -We have already had occasion to refer to ble etatements in theme : wo passages, about the cbange of name from Maontant to Lydians, after Lydua and the partition of the nation into Lydians and Tyrrbeniana, nonder Atys. The recorrence of the name of Atys (the oin of Crasins) at the ead of the tast dynasty, it historical, wopld evidently be mark of honour pald to the traditional founder of the monarchy. But shave copasher that in flerodotass purely portical treatmedt of the story of Aiy and Adrastas (Her. 1. 24-46), the former is a mignificotot Greek name, as certalaly the Latter is: Aty: being " the jodictaliy blind and fated" (from árn), as Adrastut ly " the tneviuable "
     tinfare of Greece;' vol. iv. p. 3n9

[^348]:    Rawlinson, 'Essay i. to Herod.' Book i, © 4.-Wanes is regarded by some as the heroeponymus of the Mmonians (Freret, ' Mémoires de I'Académie des Inscriptions,' tom. 7 . p. 308); by others as the first man who ruled in the land, like the Egyptian Menes, de. (See chap. ii. 6 8). Asies, whom Herodotus also makes the grandson of Manes, In righly placed in the genealogy as the hero-eponymus of Asia; for that name was at first applied, at least by the Greeks (Hom. '1l.' ii. 461) to a small district on the river Cayster, in Lydia. (See 'Dict. of Grk and Rom. Geog.' art. Asia.)

    21 Herod. 1. 7. -This statement has been used as an argument for the affinity of the Lydians with the Greeks, since the Asiatics seem to have had no proper oracles of their own, but consulted the Greek oracles (comp. Herod. i. 14, 19, 46, dec. ; Rawlinson, 'Note to Herod.' l. c.).
    \& This girl was Malis, the slave of Omphale (the wife or daughter of Jardanus) whom Hercules served, according to the well-known legend.

    23 Herod. i. 7.-The historian's departure from his usual reckoning of ithree generations to a century (see Buok ii. c. 142) is an indication that he is here not computing, but reo peating definite statements both as to the number of lings in the dynasty, and the number of years that it lasted.

[^349]:    * See chap. xi. 615. Profeseor Rawlinson bimself, while refecting the Semitic origin of the Lydians, remarks the cloee coincidence in time between tha foundation of the Upper Aseyrian dynasty and of the Lydian dynasty of the Herncitiae.
    $\$$ The succeasfal campaigne of Ninip-pal-atra to Armenla ere reconded th the Aesprian annala. Cterian ascribee to Ninus the conquect of Ljola and all Asia Minor: but this is a part of a otatement which is in other reapects manifesty extravagant and fabulens.
    $\geqslant$ Both from the above genealory, and from the atalement that the Lydian had nothing in common with the Pelasgians (IHod. I 30).
    \# Niebrhr, 'Lectares on Anclent Histury!' vol. L p. 81; and 'Philompiny of Univ. Hist.' vol. if. p. 10; O. MHller, 'Sandon und Berdanapal'' p. 2s; Mövers, 'Die Phenteter;' vol. \&. p. 476 ; Prichard. 'Phys Hict. of Menland;' vol. Iv. p. 602 ; Lamen, Ueber dis Sprachen Kleinasiens' pp. 292-3. Niebulis, however, bringe down the conquext of the Meomians by the Lydians to the sccemalon of the third dynasty, the derminadia, near the ena of the sth century ac.

[^350]:     parsita $a x$.
    © Bee eqpecimens from Xanthus in Rawlinson, 'Eesay I. to Herod.' $1.9 \geqslant$.
    EHerod 1. 84; Euseb. 'Chron.' b. c., and s. c. Ab. 1289, Oil. 18.2=8 c. 127.
    $=$ Herod. L 14\%. ERawlinson, ' Kisay L to Herod.' Book I. f io.

[^351]:    - Herod \& 8-12.
    * Hemod. Le. fin. A line is extant, in the metre mentioned by Herodotun (the Iamble
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Reprab. II $3 . \quad$ 'Hiat. of Greece,' vol ilil p. 298.
     refecta the complicity of the queen in the marder of Candaules, pee Rawlingon, Ebeay 1 . to Herod.' Bo it $\mathrm{I}_{7}$ vol. I. pp. 364-5, notea.
    - It is remarkable that no authority explaine the name of the Merronadas. Lenormant ('Historre Anclenae,' wor. ii. p. 146) regarda the revolation as a reaction on the part of the of Pelasgian or Mreonian element againat the Bemittc or Lydian; and benca be explaing the devotion of the Mermanade to the Dalphic oracia. It its aume objection to thite vitw, that both partien agreed to ormanit the oracia. He also amys (but we do not know on what anthority) that the Carians gave en active support to the new dynaty againgt the Lydian malcontenca.
    ' Herod. I. 13.
    - Tuyádac. Herod. L 14. We have bad occaslon already to mention the atatemenic, that these were the first offesings preseuted at Deipht by any forelgoer, except Midan

[^352]:    King of Phrygian Some ancient writers say thst they were the first gold and silver offerings made to the shrine. (Theopomp. Fr. 219; Phanias Eres. Fr. 12.) As to the bribery of the oracle, see Herod. v. 63, vi. 66.

    - The dates given are those of Clinton; but there is some doubt as to the exact time of the ead of the monarchy. Rawinnson places it at b.c. 554, and consequently carries back the accession of Gyges to b.c. 724. Lenormant puta the fall of Crossus two years iater than Glinton, at a.c. 544; but, by assigning one year less to Alyattes and ten years less to Ardys (to whom Eusebius gives only is years), he brings down the accession of Gyges to z.c. 703, in order to adapt his date to the mention of him in the annals of Asehur-hani-pal, as that king records his receipt of presents from Gougou, King of the Ludim, in rc. 667 or 666. It is too soon yet to take the Assyrian chronology for an absolute gaide; but such approximations are very valuable.
    ${ }^{10}$ That such collisions must have taken place is obvious, and we have direct testimony to their occurrence, as at Miletus and Colophon (Mimnerm. ap. Strab. xiv. p. 634). Hat even from them there ensued a mixture of the Greelss and Asiatics, as when the Ioniana from Athens married the Carian girls whose thathers they had slain at Miletns (Herod. 4. 146). Herodotus adds that these same Mileslans set over them Lycian kings of the blood of Glaucus (c. 147). The Greeks shewed a grest readiness to unite with the Asiatic tribes, and most of their citles appear to have had a mixed population: auch was especially the case at Teos (Paus, vii. 3, 1 3; Boeckh, 'Corp. Inscr.' No. 3064; Pawlinson, ' Essay i. to Herod.' i. vol. i. pp. 366-7).
    11 As to this influence, see Grote, ' Hist, of Greece,' vol. ii. pp. 284-291.

[^353]:    12 Herod. 1. 14. *To thia war belonge, apparently, the narrative which Ptatarch quoteo from Dostbeus, who wrote a Lydian history (Dosith. Mr. 8). The Bmymanas seem to have been hard-pressed, but by a atratagem, which they commemorated ever afterwards by the festival of the Eleutheria, they deetfoged the army which had been sent againat them. Accordlug to one account, Oygea and ble Lydians had actually seized the ctty, when the 8 mymmeans soee up and expelled them (Pail. 1v. 21, 4 3). Mimnermus, the elegiac poet, celebrated the event in one of his pleces (Ib. ix. 29, 62)." Rawingon's Note to Herod. l. a Respecting the war apon and capture of Manneaie for the aake of Magnea, which Nicolas of Damascus (p. 52, Orell) weribes to Gyges, aee Grote, 'Hith of Greeca;' vol. til. p. 300, and Ramilinson. 'Esay I. to Herod.' 1.912 note. strabo (xili p. 590) ascribes the eonqueat of the Troad to Gyget, but thit appeare from Heroiotus to be an anticipation.

    18 Herodit. 16.14 Herodit. 6. 15 Herod. 1.103.
    Is Herod. fv. 11.-When Herodotus eare that the wanderink Bcythians pamed from Asia into the land of CImmeria acrose the Aracea, it esems clear that he can oniy mean the Volga. (See Heeren, 'As. Nat.' vol. it. p. 2b8.) Not only ts it certain that the volya was eometimes called by the Greeks $\Delta$ raxes (Arritot. 'Meteor:' L 13; 8cympus Chiua, p. 128; 'Pertplus,' p. 132); bat the names mem to have bad the same meantug. " Ars and Aras signified, in primitive \&cythic, the game aq Folga in Aryan Slavonio, ols, great'; and the wame wac thris applied to any great river." (Sir H. Kawlinson's Note to Herod. La)

    - Herod. tv. paspim,Thla Enropean scythia must be carefully dialinguished troa the Aeiatic 8cythia, beyow' the Caucnsus, the Campian, and the Oxut and Jaxartee

[^354]:    18 Hom. 'Od.' xi. 13, seq.; of. Eustath, ad loc. 18 'Prom. Vinch' ' 29, seq.
    \$0 Herod. iv. 12. Other such names are preserved by Hecataus (Fr. 2) and Strabo (vil. p. 447, xi. p. 721).
    ${ }^{2}$ Herod. 1v. 81 . 2 Herod. iv. 11; Niebuhr, 'Scythia,' p. 52.
    ${ }^{2}$ Herod. iv. 12. It would вeem that Herodotus, finding Cimmerians at Sinoper, near the point, and on the route, by which he conceives them to have entered Asia Minor, assumed that they settled there at once. It rather appears that thls was s position at which a remnant maintained themselves when the maln body were driven out. '

    On another point Herodotus needs correction. Assuming that the invaders entered Asia Minor from the north-east, they could not have come from their original home by the sea-cosst route round the western edge of the Caucasus, for thls route is quite impracticable. But they may have come through the Caucsslan Gates (Pass of Dariel), and so westward into Colchls and down to the cosst.
    ${ }^{2}$ Herod. i. 16. The duration of the invasion is very doubtful, as Herntotus does not say at what part of the reigns of Ardys and Alyattes the Cimmerians entered and were expelled.

[^355]:    \% 'Scythla,' p. 60, Eng. trant.
     (Sepe. c. Theb. 725).
    $\$ 7$ The Cimmerians are supposed to be first named an the Comer of Gen. x. 2, 3, the eldest son of Japheth, and the father of Aehbenas, Riphath, and Mogarmah, who reappears in Exek xuxvil. 6, as the subject or ally of the Scythian Gog; and in the Gimirt of the Persian cuneiform recorda. (See Sir H. Rawlinson, in 'Jourual of As. Soc.' vol. Eiv. pt.i. p. $\mathbf{x x 1}$, aud to Rewlineon's 'Herod.' voli. p. 183, note.) These notices connect them to sompe extent with Armenia (the mupposed centre of ethnicdiffufton), and the Armenian historians make Gomir the ancsutor of their Haichlan race of kings. (Mos. Chor, i. 1i, sub jim.) Thetr ethnic porition, as the progenitors of the Cymry, and oven of all the Celtic racea,-who bave a uniform tradition of their enctern origin-is maintalned by Nitebrhr, Prichard, and many otherts. A very good smmmary of the whole question is given in Prof. Ramlinson's 'Esens i. to Herod.' Book IV.," On the Cimmerians of Herodotas and the Migrations of the Cymific Race." After showing the early tmportance of the Cimmeriang, and describing their geographical eztent, be arguea their identity with the Cymry from the close rescmblance of the two names; from the history of the - sarly migrations of the Cimmerians, and the later movements of the Cimbrt and the Gauls-compararive philology being eilent, but not adverse. An eccount is added of the migratione of the race-first from east to west, and in later agges back fromn west to east,

[^356]:    ${ }^{29}$ Strabo, 1. p. 61.
    ${ }^{29}$ 'Strabo, i. p. 6, iil. p. 149 ; the Thracian Bosporus is clearly meant.
    ${ }^{20}$ Euseb. 'Chron.' 8. a. $46.939=$ b.c. 1078 ; Syncell. p. 142, C. It is worth noting that the Armenian version has Gimmerians. ${ }^{31}$ Oros. 1. 21,

    32 Steph. Byz. 8. v. "Avravסpos. See Cinton (' F. H.' ${ }^{2}$ vol. i. 8. cau. 635, 616), who, reckonIng Strabo's highest date at 100 years before the first 0lympiad, makes the interval from the first appearance of the Cimmerians in Asia Minor to their final expulsion at least 260 years (b.c. 876-616).
    ${ }_{33}$ Callin. Fr. 2.-The poet mentioned both the Cimmerians and Treres as concerned In the invasion.(Strabo, xiv. pp. 633-64.7), which is an argument for Niebuhr's opinion that they passed through Thrace, and not by the eastern route. But former invasions by way of Thrace may have led the Greeks then, like Strabo in a later age, to confound the two peoples. Some suppose Callinus to refer to an eariler invasion than that mentioned by Herodotus; but it is most probable that he was contemporary with the"capture of Sardis.
    at Liv, xaxviii. 16, speaking of the Galatiana. Es Eustath, ad Hom. 'Od.' xi. 14

[^357]:    \# Hesych. s. v. Aúroantis. Was his Celtic name Lloydf
    EF Eustath. l. c.-Bat the dentruction of Magneala seems, from Btrabo, to have bees later than the fnvasion to which Bardis wha taken. (Gee Rawlinson's Note.)
    © Callimach. 'Hymin ad Disn.' 248-260; Rawlinsom, 'Eamay i. to Herod.' book I. © 14.

    - Herodit 15. $\quad$ Herod. i. $18 . \quad$ Herod. i. 18.
    - Larcher seems right in explaining this of a dovble flate, one obrill and the othe grave (trcble and base), like the male and female volca

[^358]:    $\approx$ Herod. i 17-19. 44 Eerod. is 82

    * Herod. 125 ; Paus 工 16.61 ; Athen, v. p. 210, b, $c$; Plutarch. 'de Def. Orac' 4t;
    

[^359]:    4 Herod. L 16.

    - Lenormant sags that Alyattes, turning from his Ionian ware to the Interior of Asio Minor, subjugated in few yeare not only Pbrygia, but Cappadocia, and was thus bronght into that confict with Cyazares, which reauted in confining the Lydlan power within the Halys, and ajving Cappadocia to Media. Yor this wa can find no authority, and Herodotus beems to tmply that Phrygia was conquered by Croesus. But, conniderling the length of the reign of Alyaties, which lasted (acoording to the cbronology geneo rally received) nearly 60 years after the Median war, it is very likely that he began to reduce the countries within the limis asaigned by the treaty, and that Crosus only completed the work. Eee chap. zix. fin.
    $\pm 0$ That is, according to Cinton's chronology. According to Rawinneon, who places the accesalon of Alyattes in i.c. 625, the difference would be oniy nine years. The latter date places the accematon of Alyaties to the very jear to which the same euthor mesigns the taking of Nineveh by Cyacares.
    r Herod. 1.103,-Even admitting that Herodotas meant hia order for that of the eventa, lis iden of their onder to of eourve subject to critiction

[^360]:    52 Herod. i. 104; iv. 12-53:-Herodotas adds that this account is common both to the Greeks and the barbarians:-a statement of more than usual importance here, as suggesting that he may have been misled by supposing that the names used by the Orientals referred to the sanne people whom the Greeks called Scythians.
    ${ }^{59}$ ithis, which the ancients called the Caspite or Albanice Pylee is the only practicable pass of the Caucasus, besides the Pass of Dariel (Caucasias Pyla) in the middle of the chain, which has been already mentioned as that by which the Cimmerians must have entered Asia; at least if Herodotus is right in bringing them from the east at all.

    5* Herod. i. 105. Psammetichus would be engaged at this time in the siege of Azotus (Herod. ii. 157).
    ${ }_{55}$ Atergatis or Derceto, the female deity associated with Dagon.
    56 Herod. i. 160.-He clearly means (from the last words of the chapter) these 28 years to be included $\mathrm{in}_{\text {, }}$ and to be reckoned a part of, the 40 years of Cyaxares (b.0. 634-594); and it is equally clear that he puts the capture of Nineveh after (in fact as a result of) the expulsion of the Scythians. If we could feel bound by these statements in their exact numerical details, they would furnish a strong argument for s.c. 606 as the date of the capture of Nineveh. The 28 years of Scythian domination would then begin at the accession of Cyaxares, in n.c. 634; and this must be the date of his first attack on Nineveh; and room must be found before if for his previous organization and conquests. Those who accept the date of b.c. 605 (as MM. Oppert and Lenormant) feel compelled to make the arbitrary alteration of 28 into 18 , in order to bring the first attack on Nineveh to m.c. 625, the epoch of Nabopolassar's independent reign at Babylon. It is, nowever, remarkable that Herodotus knows nothing of the Babylonian alliance, and ascribes the attack on Nineveh to the Medes alone.

    57 This deems to imply a full usurpation of the functions of government, and not a mere predatory inroad.

[^361]:    - This qualification has respect to the Indicatione-which seetn to come out more in proportion as the wubject is pursued forther-of that close connection betwean these primitive Turanians and the Aryan type, which ts nometimee expressed by calling them Scytho-Aryant, as if they were a mixed population, and aometimes by regardling them sa an anclens type of the Japhetic rece, before Ita decided bifurcation into the Aryan and Turarian families. There seems now to be eatablished a close connection between the Turanian and Aryan races, on the one hand, at between the Hamitic and semitic on the other.
    © See Rawinnon, ' Eesay ti. to Herodotas,' book Iv.
    6 ' IL.' $\mathbf{x i l l}$ 5, 6 ; ' Hestod,' Fr. 63-64. The word 'ABinv, in'the former passage, may be derived either from a privative and $\beta$ ia (as in the text), or from \& and pios, "with scanty means of life." Homer's "Abil, Justeat of men," clearly reappear to the Gabtl of Eischylas:-" Yon will come to a people the mont just of all mortals and most hospitable to strangers, the Gabil, where neither plough nor earth-catting apade cota the furrowe, bet the self-sown fielde bear abundant food to mortaln." ('Prom. Sol.' Pr. 184.) Hormer connecta bla Hippemolgi, Galactophagi, and Abll with the Tbracians and Mgalans (ia. of Earope), as if speaking in general of the pastoral tribes north of Tbrace (cf. Btrabo, Fir in 90 7, 8). © Prometh. Solut.' Pr. 189, ed. IMndort
    - Aisch 'Prom Vinct' 709-711 -Thelr locality ie array, bot not far from a seacond

[^362]:    evidently that of the Euxine, and their habitations answer to the "houses on waggons" spoken of by Herodotus (iv. 46). Hesiod also describes his'milk-eaters as " having their houses upon carts." It may be observed, In passing, that this milk-diet, bowever innocent au naturel, or in the form of cheese (Hippocrates. vol. i. p. 556, ed. Kühn), probably served also the parpose of procuring an intoxicating drink, like that called Kumiss at the present day among the Bashkirs and the Kalmucks. (See Grote, 'Hist. of Greece,' vol. iii. p. 323.)
    of Herod. iv, 6.-The Greek word Exút ns is probsbly the same as the Asiatic Saca, with ons as an ethnic termination, equivalent to the more nsual ins. Some have imagined a connection with the old Norse skyta, German schütser, English shoot; and It is remarkable that the Scythians within the Persian empire were kuown specifically as "archers;" but resemblances of this sort must not be much relied on. The same caution applies to Dr. Donaldson's explanation of Scoloti as= $=18 a-$ Galatae, 1.e. Celts of isia, a name which the people are very unlikely to have used for themselves. After all, the forms Exut and Scolot are not so very unlike, and Herodotù may have meant that the former was a Greek modification of the latter. es Herod. iv. 64.
    ${ }^{6 s}$ Sir H. Rawlinson, in Rawlinson's 'Herodotas,' vol. iv. p. 210. Gımiri is equivalent to the term $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ó $\phi \nu \lambda o c$, which Greek writers apply to the Scythic element in the pppulation of Western Asia Its resembiance to the scriptural Gomer, and to Cimnierii and Cymry, has been already noticed.

    - They rappear occasionally as attendants on the Persian kingb.

[^363]:    - Herod. Iv. 64
    - He derives it from an amyrgian plain:--'A ${ }^{-1}$ ZaúOacs (Hellan. Fr. 171; ap. Bteph. Byz. 2. v. 'Apúpyou).
    ** See two representations of auch caps from the Behistun ecolptares, and from a very ancient tablet in Cappadocia, in Rawlinson's 'Herod' ad loc.
    r, ${ }^{\mathbf{n}}$ Their mame appears in Sacastene (=Segestan): the Parthlans were of tho Bcythlan stock, as the resembiatice of the name suggesta that the origtnal occupants of ferais were also; thooe of Carmanis too seem to have been saces.

[^364]:    7 Of course no authority can be attached to the story of their parsuing the Cimmerians and missing their way; which is a manifest device to bring them from the region on the north of the Eurine, which was known to Herodotus as Scythla.
    ${ }^{13}$ See Mare's ' Hist of Greek Lit.' vol tii. p. 133, foll.
    74 Jerem. i. 15-16: see Ewald, 'Propheten' ad loc T Jerem. iv. 8-31.
    ne Jerem. V . 15-17. The ensuing words, " In those days I will not make a full pad whin your" prove that the destruction of Jerusalem by the Babylonians is not meanis

    77 Jer. vi 22.25; see also £. 22

[^365]:     though not necessarily, the Kings of Cilicis and Babylonia. We have already noticed the difficulty tovolved in the name of Latymetue, when the King of Babylon was Nabopo-lasoar-that is, accepting aco, 810 for the date of the battle (ese chap. XF. $\%$ 6). The difflculty is not lesoened by the later date (8.c. 897), when Nobuchadneszar was king. In either case there is the hypothetical explanstion, that this Labymetre (a name prubably representing the Babyloniall Nabumit) was wotoe pricoe of the rojal blood; but this is hardiy satiofactory. (Comp. above, chap. xv. If.)

    7 Herod i. 73, 74 ; ef. chap. 103. © Herod. 1. 72, cf. 108.
    mi Tuose who are in fevour of sc. 606 fur the fall of Ntineveh give s.c. 607 for the peace; and the combination of BC. 810 for the peace, and Ba. 006 for the captare of Nineveb, th werth considering. We had much to my upon the probabilities of the whole eepies of eventa in relation to the statements of Herodotas; but there is not apece for an argorient, which would will be inconclualve in the abwence of further date. The dete of s.a. sus for the eclipme, adopted by Mr. Bocanquet ('Fall of Nineveb,' pi4)

[^366]:    1 Q be soceasion of Crosgus is placed by Clinton in sc. 560. by Lenormant in 8.0. 658 : the overtbrow of Astrages by Cyrus belongs to sc. 559 or 658.

[^367]:    2.     - Essay ili. to Herod.' 1. 610.
    ${ }^{3}$ Polyhistor, ap. Euseb. 'Præp. Ev.'; Miller, 'Frag. Hist, Grec.' vol. iil. p. 299. Cyaxares is here called Astibaras, as he is by Ctesias.

    - Euseb. 'Chron.'

    5 These are Greek forms of the Median name djdahak, or djtahaga, "the blting enake," which was perhaps an old Scythlc royal title. (Compr chap. xix. \% 9, note.)

[^368]:    S'Rawlineon, ' Five Monarchies' vol. Hi. pp. 217-8.

    - Each. 'Pera' 763. - Nlc. Damace. Fr. 64, p. 305.
    to Herod. 1. 129.

[^369]:    11 Nicol. Damesa pp. 399, 400.12 Hered. 1.130.
    ${ }^{12}$ Gen. X .3 ; 1 Chron, i. 6 -Tugarmah is the son of Gomer, mon of Japheth, and the brother of Ashkenas and Riphath.

    14 Erek. Xxox plif. 6, Ixvil. ith.
    13 Strabo, p. 829.

[^370]:    17 Rawinson, 'Five Monarchies, ' vol. iii. p. 38.

[^371]:    rf Rawlinson say: the Chird wife, making Anugin the ascond; but it is more probable that the Amuria of mieet bo other than the Aryemi of Herodatus-the doughter of Alyatha, thom Astyaget married at the end of the wer between Lydie and Media.

[^372]:    20 a Mos. Cbor. 1. 23-30.-The story resta on the authority of a certain Maribas (MarIbas or Mar-Ahas) of Catina, a Syrian writer of the second century before our era, who professed to have fuund it in the royal library of Nineveh, where it was containedin a Grenk book purporting to be a translation made by order of Alezander from a Chaldee original. (lbid. c. 8)."-Rawlinson, ' Eesay iii. to Herod.' i. Note A.

[^373]:    \# Herod. i. 125.-Xenopbon to probably less accurate in making the number of tribes twelve ('Gyop.' I. 2, \& $^{5}$ ).
    al Respecting the meaning of these names, and other points, see Sir Henry Rawtineonis 'On the Teu Tribes of the Perstann' (' Pseay Iv. to Herodotus,' 1.) He regards the Maraphil and Maspif me racen cognate with the Pasargeden, whom they accompanied in their original migration. Reapecting the nomad tribes, Professor Rawlineon ubserves that " nomadic bordes must always be an important element in the popolation of Persis. Large portions of the country are only habitable at certala measons of the yeer. Becently the wandering tribes (llyàts) have been calculated at one-half, or at the least one-fourth, of the entire population." (Note to Herod. b.c.)
    
    ESteph. Bys. s. v. Marrapyäau. "According to Anasimenes (ap. Steph. Bye.l.c.) Cyras founded Pasargadm; but Ctestas appears to harve represented it es alreedy a place of timportance st the time when Cyrus revolted. (See the newly-discovered fragment of Mic. Demsac. in the ' Frag. Hist. Gr.' voL Iti. pp. 405-8, ed. Didot.) There meems to be mo doubt that it was the Peralan capital of boib Cyris and Cambysers, Pernepolia bring
     *. 29: Btrabo, XV. p. 1035). Nutghaub (the site of tie rains) to the only place in Persfe at widch Inscriptimas of the age of Cyras bave beon discovered. The ruined butldingy bant the following legend: 'Adam Kurash, Khahryathiya, Hukhamaniohiya' -' I [am] Cyrus the King, the Achermentan*" (Bawlinoon, Note to Herod i.c.)

[^374]:    
    25 Herod, vii. 11.-The most satisfactory way of accounting for the apparent gap in this genealogy (see what follows in the text above) ts the supposition that some transcriber omitted the double mention of the names Cyrus and Cambyses, because he did not understand It. $\quad 26$ Herod. i. $111 . \quad 27$ Diod. Sic. ap. Phot. ' Bibl.' p. 1158.
    \$8 We take the table from Rawlinson's note, bat distingaishing the well-known bistoric names by capitals. For a full genealogical table of the whole house, and what is tnown of each member, see Rawlinson's Appendix to Herod. vii. Note B.

    29 Sir H. Rawlinson's Note to Herod. i. 125.
    80 The names here are the same as in Herodotas: Zakhdmanish (Achoemenes); Chishpaïsh (Teispes); Ariyérd́mana (Arlaramnes); Arsháma (Arsames); Vishtáspa (Hystaspes).
    al Par. 3. "Says Darius the king: 'On that acconnt we have been called Achemenians: from antiquity we have descended: from antiquity our family hartmbear kinge' ${ }^{*}$

[^375]:    - 

    E Par. 4. "gays Darius the king: "(There are) ofght of my race who have been kings before (me): I (sm) the ninth: nine of us have been kings in a double Une.' "The one wanting in the genealugy to make up this number may perhapu be Bmerdit, of possibly come original divine or beroic reputed ancestor, prior to Achermenes.

    * On this point Bir Henry Rawlinson observes:-" Darlus seems to pat forwand no claim whatever to include bis tmmediate ancestry among the Peratan kings: Whey are merely enumerated in order to eatabilsh bis claim to Achamenian descent, and are in to case distinguished by the tille of Thehtyathiya, ' King? So clear, Indeed, and fired was the tradition of the royal tamily in this respect, that both Artaxerzes Mnemon and Artaxerxes Ochas may be observed, in tracing their pealgree, to qualify each ancentor by the title of "King' up to Darive, but from that time to drop the royal title, and to apeak of Hystaspes and Arsamea as mere private maividuale. (Note to Herod, h. c.)
    ${ }^{3}$ The iden of heroze-eponymi belonge, not to the Orlentala, but to the Greeke, who, quite constetently, mada Perses or Perscus, not Achsmenes, the hero-eponymue of the Perdena (Herod vil 61 ; Xeh 'Cyrop.' L 2 ; 1 ; Plaw, 'Aldb.' i. p. 120, E; Apolked. Hi. 4. 9 5)
    $=$ The name Achomenes, though occupying $\infty 0$ prominent a pooition th autbentle Pervias historg, is unknown etther in the antique traditions of the Vendidad, ar in the romantic legenda of the so-alled Kayanian dynasty-probably because Achermenea lived after the coxppilation of the Vendidad, but eo long belore the invention of the romancee that his name wra forgotien. The name elgnifien 'friendly;' or 'powesutng friende' being formed of a Perotan word, hakha, corresponding to the Sanskrit aakhd, and an atcrit ulive afis equivalent to the gansitit mat, whirb forms the nominative in man
    

[^376]:    
    

[^377]:    © Herod. i. $120 . \quad 46$ Herod. i. 107, 108, 121.
    47 Rawlinson, 'Five Monarchies,' vol. iil. p. 225. Nicolas of Damascus seems to hint at this religlous motive for the insurrection (pp. 402, 404). ti Herod. i. 127-8. © "Xen. 'Auab.' iii. 4, \$5 7-12. This entirely disposes of the quiet succestion as represented in the 'Cyrupmedia.' On the identity of Larissa with Nimrud bee chap $\mathbf{x i} .99$.

    - 50 The details of this story are given fully by Professor Rawlinson (' Five Monard hjes,? vol. iii. pp. 925-230), who forms a higher estimate of its authority than we are disposed to admit

[^378]:    4 Dan vi. 8; Father Li 19.
     Par. 14, 86.
    4 Rawlinson, ' Five Monarchies' vol ill p. 231-This relstion between the two component branches of the Medo-Perrian empire explains how the kingdom of Babylon Wauseid to be "given to the Mroke and Perviant" (Dan. v. 28) $\rightarrow$ phrase cometimes mistaken for an alliance of the two powers; and the employment of Median offliala in the bighcst places fo illustrated by the vioeregal government of Babyloa by "Darius the Mede," whoever he may have been. The constant we by the Greeks of euch phrawe
     already noticed

    4 Herod L 130 : comp. ac 78.
    4s The peace made on thte coccanion wes eemented by the marriage of Aatyagee to Aryends, danghter of Alyattee. Assuming Astynges to have been at least 16 or 16 in s.c. 610, he would be bearly 70 in $\mathbf{s c} .558$. But the dite of the battie cannot be considered certain, and the marriage may have been merely $a$ oontract The calcolation, Cherefore, bay bo means conctuadre againat the identification of Astyages with - Darins the Mede," who was 62 yeanm old at the captare of Babyton, in wa. 838 ; but it would rewait from the idenufication that Axtyages, who reigned 35 years, was oniy 7 yeare old at ble socemion, and 48 at his derposition. (The arguments on buth adeu are falriy atated by Rav'inson, ' Ereny itit to Heroc.' 4. \$11.y

[^379]:    1 "This wond was generally supposed by the Greeks to mean 'the Sun' (see Cteas
     with the Sanscrit Surya, Zend hwoare, modern Persian Khur. It Is now suspected that this identification was a mistake, as the Old Persian it never replaces the Sanscrit s. The name is more properly compared with the Sanscrit Kuru, which was a popular title among the Aryan race before the separation of the Median and Persian branches, of which the etymqlogy is nnknown." (Rawlinson, App. to Herod. vi. Note A. 'On ths Proper Names of Medes and Pensigna.')
    " Ap. Cic 'De Div.' i. 23

[^380]:    * me. 560, Clinton ; ac. 55s, Lenormant
    
    w Merod. 1. 46.-The ctatement that Crosens alearnt that Cyrus had destroynd the empAre of Astyages, and tbat the Persians were becoming daily more powerful," may give a hintof the occupations of Cyrus during the first ten years or so of bis reign, accerd. ing to the asual chrondigy. The dates of Prof. Kawlineon, however, place the acocemion of Crosaus ten years before that of Cyrus, ond leave only four yeats to the fall of the I.ydian tiris. 11 Henod. 1. 73.
    "Besidea the well-known golden sands of Pactolus, and the "molder legend" of Midas, Herodotos tells as that, when the lacerdgmonimin wanted gota for a watue th.y seut to purchase it in lydia, and Cromas gave it them ts at preselut (Herod. i. 69).

[^381]:    13 The splendid offerings at Delphi, which Herodotus saw with mas own eyes, prove that the wealth of Croesus was no mere fable. Western Asia Minor also yielded unbounded riches to ted masters, down to the time of "dives Attalus " and the proconsular planderers of the province of Asia.

    14 Herod. 1. 73. 15 Herod. t. 69, 70. 16 Herod. 1. 46, seq. 17 Hemod. i. 46.
    ${ }^{18}$ Herod. i. 48.-Dismissing all the grave nonsense with which this storg has been discuseed, it is enough to state the alternative : either, as Cloero thought ( 'De Div.' ii). the story is a pure fabrication; or Croesus entrusted bia secret to some of the envoys, who betrayed it for a consideration. It is urged that common sense would forbid the latter conrse; but Crossus must have arranged with the envoys the time of the experiment, and the superstitious curiosity which devised the test was just the state of mind to drop a hint of its nature. But Cicero's oplnion is just as likely to have been right. Herodutus states afterwands that the oracle of Amphiariaus also eurued the faith and offerings of Creesus (i. 52).

    15 Herod. i. 60-1.
    20 Herod. i. 53.
    II Harod. L. 65 . -This sort of irony, which tempts the doomed man to believe himselt safe, tifl an impoesible event ahould come to pass, might oocupy a commentator in illetrating it, "till Birnam wood shall come to Dunsinane."

[^382]:    - Herod. i. 77 -A Amaming, what meems almost certaln, that the labynetus of thit pamage to Nabonadias, we have here a definite limit of time; for the accomand of this Batylonian king ta fixed by the atronomical canon at sa, s5s.
     the Presians of that day, and theit subsequent change of character. Herodotus adds that the apeech, thougt it fifled to perguade Crasata mas quite true; for before the eonquest of Lydia, the Pendens powessed none of the laxuries or delights of life."
    * Herod. 1. 4-Ramilinaon well observes (ad loc.) that, *The claim made by the Perstars to the natural lordebip of Awla whe conventent en furniobing them wilh pretexts for anch ware a it sulted their policy to engage in with nos-Adatle nationa The mont remartable occuation on which they avalied themeelven of auch a ples was when Deriaa Invaded Scythin According to Herodotus, be assertied, and the 8cyiblani believed, that his iquasion was dealgned to pantab tberp for baving attarked the Medee and beld poo-
    
    
    - Herad Lis.

[^383]:    5 Herod, i. 76.
    77 Herod, 1, 75.-Both the story about Thales and the plural " bridges" geem to point to a place where the river is parted naturally into two channels, as at Bafra, between Samsun and sinope. The Halys is fordable not far above its moath, but it is also crosed by rude plank bridgea. There are remains of bridgea with stone piers, probably of the Roman age. (See Rawlinson's Note ad loc. and Hamilton's 'Asia Minor,' vol. i. p. 327.) ${ }^{28}$ Herod. i. 79.

    29 Herod. i. 80 ; Xen. 'Cyrop.' vii. 1, $\mathbf{y}^{47}$. -See Rawlinson's note for a modern instance in which the same stratagem is said to have been contemplated.

    ANC. HIsT.

[^384]:    - See chap. vill 819.
    ${ }^{1}$ Herod. i st. -Polysenus ('Surateg' vil 6, 10) gives a different version of the curprise, besides repeating another and very absurd accoont from Chealas Rawilinan (note ad loc.) points out that Sardia was taken a eecond time th aimoet exactly the sarne Way by lagoras, one of the generals of Antiochus the Great (Polyb. Vil. 4-7). Perbap compe readers may call to mind bow the cantle of Tillietadlem soouhd haw bems eurprised, If Cuddie Headrigs had not found "his brose too hot." = Herod. it B6.
     What eeems the insaperable objection, that the borning of homan behnas was forbiddet by ie lew of Zoroaster. Ctesias ascribes the kind treatment of Crcesus by Cyrus to quite a different mitacle ('Excerpt' 4) 4).
    u Ibick 68-90.
    - Ibid. 90-91.
    * Herod ili. 36. Thie wee daring the Egyplian expedition, sc. 623. The capture of

[^385]:    Sardis is placed by Clinton in B.C. 646, by Lenormant in B.0. 544, and by Rawlinson as high as m.c. 554.
    ${ }^{37}$ Herod. 1. 141.
    ss Herod. i. 153.-The suppression of the revolt of Sardis under Pactyas, and the conquest of the Carians and Lycians by Harpagus, may be read in Herodotus,

    30 Herod. i, 177.-Some details are supplied by the few extant fragments of this part of the history of Ctesias. One of the most interesting in the contest with the Same, of whose army of half-a-million two-thirds were women, and the defeat of Cyrus hy their queen, Sparethra. (Cteslas, 'Pers. Exce.' 69 2, 3.)
    40 Rawlinson, 'Five Monarchtes,' vol. iv. p. 371. - at See chap. xv. $\$ 19.20$.
    4s For the edict of Cyrus and the return of the Jews, see the Student's O. T. . ist.' chap. XXYIV. 81.

[^386]:    43 Herod. L. 201, seq--Bee the closiog words of . 214 The poetical sptift of the story is further seen la Cyrugis drean of the fatare greatness of Dariua, the son of Hystanpet (c. 209). 4 Rawlingon, Rote to Herod. L 214.
    41 ' Ilist. of Greece,' von tv. p. 288.-Eppectal attention sbould be given to Mr, Grute'a ensuing remarts on the way tin which Cyrue fized the habits of the eucceeding kingo of Petica, and on the vast change which bis conquests effected on the Perslan nationbolding out to thelr nobles satrapien at lucrative and powertul a kingdoms, and to the

[^387]:    4 Kabujiya is thought to be from the Sanscrit Kab, 'to praise,' and uji, 'a speaker;' its signification, according to this view, is 'a bard.' (Sir H. Rawlinson's 'Ancient Persian Vocabulary,' quoted in Rawlinson's ' Herodotus,' vol. iii. p. 654. But may not the name rather signify "praised by those who speak of him"?) "Bardiya is prohably the Zend berezya (comp. Vedic barhya), "elevated,' "glorious'" (Oppert, ap. Rawlinson, l.c. p. 561). The Greek forms of both names arise from the common insertion (or substitution) of $m$ before (or for) b, as in such pairs of words as Bגás and padaxós, Bporos,
     conspirator with Darius) for Bagabukhsha, and several other cases of Mega (Grk.) for Baga (Pers.). Cambyses for Kabujiya is exactly paralleled by the modern Greek фа́ $\mu \pi \rho \iota k$ for fabrica. So Bardiya, which should have been Bardis or Bardes, becomes Mardus (Assch. 'Pers'' 780) or Mferdis (Nic. Damasc. and Justin), and then Smerdis, by the well-known interchange of $m$ and $s m$ as in $\mu$ uкpós and $\sigma \mu$ Lкpós, do. Ctesias cails Smerdis Tanyoxarces, which M. Oppert (ap. Rawlinson, l. c. p. 662) interprets "strong of body " (fr. tanr, "body," and vazarka, "great," "mighty "). This looks like an epithet derived from the physical airength which excited his brother's envy (Hercd. 1ii. 30).
    ${ }^{5}$ Herod. iii. 31, 68, 88, 133-4, vii. 4 ; AEsch. 'Pers.' 157, seq.; she is not mentioned by Ctesias, nor in any inscription. 6 Aspas. ad ' Aristot. Eth.' p. 171.
    7 Herod. ill. 31. $\quad$ Herod, l. c. $\quad$ Herod. iii. 88 ; vii. $69 . \quad{ }^{10}$ Herod. l. 208.
     to the provinces committed to Smerdis.

[^388]:    18 Bebletun Inscr. col. L par. 10.
    13 Herod. ill. 20.-It is therefore needless to dincuss the circumstances under which Herodotus alleges the murder to have been committed, or the motive of jealousy which is said to have arisen while Smerdita was in Egypt with Camingees.
    14 That is, according to the date of the ffth year of Cambyres, 3c. 325 , whtch reats on the anthority of Manetho, as quoted in the Armenlan 'Chronicon' of Eusebiua, and which Diodoras also gives (1. ex). Byncellus, however, gives Manetho'e date as two years carlier, tn the third year of Cumbyeen, b.c. B27, and thits date its adopted very dectdedly by 1. de Rouge.
    is Herod. IIL. 84.
    10 Herod. L. 143.-Xenopton in the sole authority for the conquest of Pbrentcla by Cyrus, to whom be akso ascribee that of Egypt ! ('Cyrop.' L 1, 9 4).
    ${ }^{17}$ Herod ili. 10.-Herodutus adde that -the Crprians had also Jolned the Peralana of athetr oren accord," probably in connection with the voluntary submienton of the Pheenle clana, Inamuct as the Cyprians, thetr old depradente, bad lately been conguered by Amasia. ${ }^{14}$ herod i. 143 .

    90 Ktrod HL 13, 25.-Cambyee recelved also the ald of 40 Samian triremet ftum Polycrales (ibid © 44).

[^389]:    20 Herodotus twice lays stress on this (il. 1, iii. 1). The latter passage, in fact sesumes the former after the long digression upon Egypt. $\quad{ }^{21}$ Herodi. i. 153.
    29 Herod. iii. 1.-Dahlmann has observed that while a suffictent ground of quarrel was siven by the part taker. by Amasis in the great league with Lydia and Babylon against He growing power of Persia, "the spirit of the time, framing ite policy upon the indiuence of persons rather than of things, required a more individual motive." ('Lire of Herod.' chap. vii. (3.) Herodotus's account of the conquest is coloured throughout by als Egyptian sources of information.

    * Herodotus (iii, 4) calls this person "the king of the Arabs."

    24 Herod. iii. 7-9.-Mr. Kinglake says of the Arabs of the same desert at this day : "It is not of the Bedouins that travellers are afraid, for the safe-conduct granted by thr chief of the ruling tribe is never, I believe, violated." ('Eothen,' p. 191.)
    :5 Herod. iii. 11, 13.-See the curious observation of the historian, who himself risited the battlefield, on the thinness of the Persian and the thickress of the Egyptian skulls (chap. xiii.). "The thlekness of the Egyptian skull" (says Sir Gardner Wilkinson)" is observable in the mummies; and those of the modern Egyptians fortunately possess the same property of hardness, to judge from the blows they bear from the Turks, and in their combats among themselves " (Note in Rawlinson's 'Herod.' ad loc.). Cteslas makea the loss of the Egyptians in this batile 50,000, that of the Persians only 7000. Exc.' ${ }^{19 .)}$

[^390]:    29 Herod. HL 13; bat from Iv. 165 we learn that the sobmisulon wae completed by Arcesilalis, and the rate of tribute agreed upoth. IMutorue ( z .14 ) says that both the Lingens and Cyrenseans bad fougbt on the Figyptian side agalnet Casuligees.
    F Herod. iii. 14.
    \$ Herod. 1ii. 16. To the examples which he adduces othere are anded in the Notet of Rawlineon and Whltinson, ad loc.

    - Herod. 111.16.
    - The story of bis ootrage on the corper of A maats, which Herodotas-who reprosenta
     titie credti. (See Ferod. thi. 16.)
    at Herod. 11t 17, 18, 19. 20, 25,-It to the lean meedful to enquire what gmoe, of what pert of Alrics, may be here tintended, as the acoount of the people is evidently to great
     that of Merok, the only greel power which dirided with Eigypt the posesalon of the

[^391]:    valley of the Nile. The story is, however, well worth perasing in Herodotas. There in something in the rude frankness of the Ethiopian king, which recals to mind the too-fomous Theodure; and if the country is to be identified at all, there is much to be sald for its beling Abyssinia. Among the points mentioned incidentally, we are told that the oldest of the Perstans reached 80 years of age, the Macrubians, 120.
    an Herod. ill. 19,-Here is a stgo of the terms of semi-independence on whtch the Pluenicians submitted to Persia
    ${ }^{5}$ Herod. iil 21.-The unstrung bow is a hieroglyphic symbol of Etblopla. It was by bending this bow that Smerdis, according to Herodotas, roused his brother's jeslousy.
    34 Herod. iil. 25.-This altack may be ascribed to the rellgious fanaticlsm of the Zorvastrian.
    ${ }^{35}$ Herod. ill. 26.- In all probablity, the modern El Khargeh, the chief city of the 80 called "Great Oasis," where are the remains of a temple bearing the names of Darius and of some later kings. The Oasts of Ammon is the roodern Siuah.
     "wind itself," the Simoom, for the sanditorms of the desert do not cover up objects of any size. (See Wilkinson's Note in Rawlason's 'Herodotus,' ad loc.)
    ${ }^{37}$ Cambyses seems to have followed the ordinary caravan ronte, and to have reached as far as Woaly Omgat, in $22^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. lat., where the sands become quite barren. (Burckhardt, as quoted in Rawlinson's Note, ad loc.)
    ${ }^{* s}$ Herod. iil. 25.- It seems not an improbable conjeeture that this was the occasion seized by Piammenttus for the intrigues which caused his death, and which may have been In part the cause of the change in the conduct of Cambyses towards the Egyptians. Rawlinson justly obeerves that the losses of the army could not have been ruluores as it was still strong enough to subdue the disaffection of the Egyptians.

[^392]:    IThls may have beea really the begtruing of as attrmpt to revoll, as the priests could declare an inctrnation of Apia when they pleamed. The execution of the 1eamphinn officers is thas more ressonably explatred. © Herod. ill. 27, 29.

    4i As SIr Gardiner Wilitinoon observes, thls story is the more probable, end the Egyptian priests wruld be Ukely to conceal so great a calamity from Herodetas. The truent story bs no means elways comes out nearest the ume of the event.
    4 Ferod. iti. 30. The apparent inconststency of Herodotus, who hat elready mald of the march cgatnat Ethtopla, "senclices madman that be was," in rather a proof that bis bethef in the medneta of Oumbywes doee not depend wholly on the Figyptian Fiew. The remart of Bishop Tbirlwali-a the actons ascribed to bim are not more axtravagant than those reconded of ciher despote"-beart a iwofold tmirepretation to those well versed th the style of a writer, whose trony is sometimee almont too refined to be desectel ; mor are the graver arguments of Heeren and Rawlinaon of much wetght. If Ebyptan borror exaggerated bls ontrages, there mast have been pecullar catragee to provotre it. The ellence of the Behtatan Inscription tia ecconnted for by its brief notioe of Cambyees, and Acbrabentd recorde do not befoul the mempory of an Achementh. The earse remart (considering hits sources) will appiy to the eflence of Ctestan, which is cartonaly adduced by one who arually disowns ble anchonty. If "the Protiana knew noiltiog of the pritended medaese of this Eing." at least they entirely distrustiod bim (Herod. m. 66), and willingly went over to hit suppreed brotber, and they branded bis memory ma that of a syrant; for, anys Herodotua, "the Perdians my that Durtus was a mincteter, Cambywa a mater (burwórw), and Cyrus a facher : for Dartue looked to mato a gastis everychtng ; Cambywa was harah and recklese; while Cyros mee gentle, and prxared them all mancer of grod" (Herod in 89).

    - Herod. 组 20, 3 L

[^393]:    44 Herod iil. 34-36. For other cases of religious outrage see a. 37, and the admirabie reflections on national usages in C. 38. 45 Herod. Hi. 33.
    ts See the Illustration of this by the comparison drawn between Cambyses, Caligula, and the Caar Paul, in Mr. Malkin's admirable ' Historical Parallels.'

    * Herod. iii. 61, seq. Behistun Inscription, col. i. par. 10.
    to The name sigaifes "possessing herds," from gao ( $=$ Germ. Kuh, Eng. cow), and mat, "with" or "possessing." (Sir H. Rawlinson's "Old Pers. Vocab.') 'Ihe only ancient writer who preserves the Magian's true name is Trogus Pompelus (ap. Justin, i. 9), in the form Cometes, which, however, he assigns to the wrong brother. It'is important to observe that the Magian was a Persian, not a Mede. His birthplace, Pissiachada, was near Parga (Fahraj), in the country between Shiraz and Kerman. The Magi were spread over the whole proper territory of Media and Persia, from Cappadocla (Strabo, Iv. 3) to the borders of Kerman. (Rawlinson, 'Flve Monarchtes,' vol, iv. p. 399 , n.)
    so This is as open a proclamalion of revolt as that of Cyrus the Younger agatint his brother Artazerxes.

[^394]:    ${ }^{51}$ Behistan Inscription, col. L. par. 11, with unimportant abbreviatlona
    merodotus knowe of only one Median revolt, that under Darium (L. 130).

    * Bebistun Inscription, coll L per. 14.

    4 lbid. par. 14-The matter is placed beyond all doubt by the general slaughter of the Magi, which ensued on the death of the usurper.
    "That ta, "powerfal lord," frum palk, " lord;" and the Zend syat, "powerful."

    * Herodotus at rengthens the coincidence by making the Magian's true name Smerdis,
    - very natural mistatre, or assumption, if be did not know of the name of Oomates. The sitence of the Behistun Inscription th no decteive evidence agalost there beiog two Magian brotes.an

    7 Bee Herod fil 68. Behlatun tngcription, col. 1. par. 13.

[^395]:    St Behistan Inscription, col. i. par. $13 . \quad 60$ Ibid. par. 12.
    62 This seems the only reasonable interpretation of the concluding words of par. 11 of the inscription, quoted above. The story of Herodotus-that the batton slipped off the king's sword-sheath as he vaulted on his horse to march against the usurper, and the aword pierced his thigh just where he had smitten Apis-ts precisely the compromise we should expect between the Egyptian view of a divine judgment and the Persian desire to soften away a suiclde, which is carried a step further in the account of Ctesias -that Cambyses wounded himself mortally with a knife, with which be was carving wood for his amusement ('Pers, Exc.' 910 ). For the other embellishments of the story, see Herodotus, iii. 61-66.
    © Heary IV. Pb. ii. Act iv. Sc. 4.-Stephanus Byzantinus identifies Ecbatana with the region of Batanea (Bashan); Pliny makes ita town on Mount Carmel ( H. N.' v. 19). This wonld lie in the route of Camlyses, but we have no other meution of such a place.

    64 Herod. iii. 89. 55 Herodi. iii. 6\%. 68 Behistun Inscription, col, i. par, 13.
    67 Herod. tii. 67.
    ${ }^{68}$ Erra iv. 7-24.-The order of the narrative in Eura seems to require the identification of Gomatea with "Artaxerxes," a title which he may very probably have assumed as it stmply mease "ring" with the intensive prefix "Arta." The "Abasuerus" of Erra iv. 8 is evidently Cambyses, who seems to huve inclined to a pulicy of sispiion towards the Jews, perhaps under Magian influence.

[^396]:    - Herod 1. 66. Nas Abenom did: 2 Bam. yvi. 20-22 $n$ Herod. 1. 68.
    ${ }^{n}$ In chap. 70, Darius te made to may that be thought he alone knew of the impooture, which agrees better with the tracitition.
    $t z$ Soe Herod $t$, c. and chap e9-The cuiting-ofl the eary and now was no nowsoal puntsbment in Persta_The atory of Zopyrus (ili. 154, aeq.), whether credible or not in itself, ta founded on the custom; and Dariue records bis infliction of this pantshment on the rebels Phreortes and Bitrantachmes (Belotstan Inseription, col. it. pars. 12, 14). In modern times it hes been practised by che fepoys in the matiny of 1857 , as well as by Fintid and the Etar Chatnoer.

    74 Herodotas placen eblis erent as Suma.
    3 Behlstan Inseription, col I. par. 12
    ts Ibdd. col iv. par. is.

[^397]:    77 The identity of Otames and Gebryas with Vtana and Gaubaruva Is obvions: that of Hegabysus with Bagabukhsha has been explained already (chap. xxy. if 1, note); and, on the santo princlple of nasalisation, Vidajrana becomes Intaphernes (Just as Kabujiya becomes Cambyses); but Eydarnes is formed from Fiularna, like Eystaspes from Vishtasp. We have omitted the fathers' names for brevity, but one requires notice: Gobryas was the son of Mardonius (Marduniya), and the father of the celebrated Mardonius. It is remarkable that Intaphernes, who atands first in the inscription, appears in Aischylus (Who calls him Artaphrenes) as the actual slayer of the Magian, and he seems even to he regarded as klag before Dartus (平sch. ' Pers.' 781-3). The story of bls execution by Darius looks very much Hike the removal of a dangerous rival, who had presumed upon bis indispensable services. (Herod. ili, 118.)

    7s Ctesias has only one name right, Hydarnes - besides Darlus himself.
    to Herod. ili. 70.
    ${ }^{80}$ Ibid. c. 71.
    en Hystaspes (Vithtaspa, i.e. "the posseasor of horses ") was grandson, in the male line, of Arlaramnes, who was the second son of Telspes, and younger brother of Cambyses, the great-grandfather of Cyrus. Otanes was also an Achemenid, tbrough Atossa, the daughter of Teispes, a descent which could not of course be brought into competition with that of Dartus. The story of Cyrus's dream seems to recognise the position of Darlus as nert heir to the crown after the reigaing family (Herod. i. 209). We may suppose that Hystaspes, like Cambyses in the revolt from Astyages, devolved his claim upon his son. At all events, he was still alive during the relgn of Darius, and commanded it the war whith the rebel Phraortes (Behlstun Inscription, col. II. par. 16; col. ili. par. 1), Otesias has a curlous story about the manner of his death. ('Pera. Exc.' 15.)
    © Herod. iii. 84, fim, 87.
    ANC. HIST.

[^398]:    H Herod. 1il. 83, 84. A Rawilnson.
    4 Herod Hi, 80 (comp. VL 43, where we seem to detect the like bumour). let any one read the speeches in Herodotus-(and, once for all, it to the objret of our manual to encourage, not to supersede, such reading)-and judge for himself. Only imagine a Penstan noble gravely argulng-and Herodotas gravely writling down his argument -for the Greek ioonopia (c. 80): 8arely the conl of Otanes muta, to that case, have passed by metempaychoais tito tbe person of the great living historian of Oreece !
    wo Herod. ill 75.-Cuestas telis the atory, with differemt details, of a certain Irahates, a eunuch who bad been in the confidence of Cambries, but had not been the actual nigsm of Smerdts.

[^399]:    1 The name, in old Persian Daryavush (closely represented in the Old Testament by Daryavesh), comes probably from the root dar, "to hold," which may answer to Herodotua's interpretation (v. 98, ip $\xi$ eins, "the restrainer," fr. eipyw, rather thap "the doer," fr. rt, ipy). Other Greek writers interpret it фроуц $\mu$ os and rode $\mu$ iкós.
    2 Herod. 1. 209. Ctesias makes Darius live 72 years and relga 31 (' Pers. Exc.' 6 19).
    s Naksh-i-Rustam Inscription, par. 2.-The translation of this inscription, by Sir Henry Rawlinson, will be found in Rawlinson's Herodotus, Appendix to Book VII., Note A. For a full description of the tomb of Darius, and of the others at Naksh-i-Rustam, between Persepolis and Pasargades, as well as of the Persian royal tombs in general, bes

    - Rawlinson's 'Five Monarchles,' vol. iv. pp. 188, 290, \&a. We are told by Ctesias that

    Darius constructed his own sepulchre while his father and mother were still Hving (Ctes.

    - Pers Exc. 9 16).
    - He had previously married a danghter of Gobryas (vii. 2); and he also married Phratagune the daughter of his brother Artames,
    - Behistun Inscription, col. 1. par. 12.

    6 Ibid. col. I. par. 14.-We hardily need contrast this with the Herodotean picture of the conspirators first deciding on a monarchy, and then competing for the crewn by in anpeal to an omen:

[^400]:    F Nak-h-L-Rustam Inscription, par. $1 . \quad$ Ibda, par. B, 6.

    - lbid. col.jv. par. 8. Yrubably the most important of the acta omitted is the edict lesued in his second year (sc. 520) for the resumption of the boilding of the temple at Jerusalem (Eura iv. $\mathbf{B}, 24 ; \mathrm{v}$; wi.), which the Maglan had interripted. Besldes its sound policy, this act may be viewed as a part of the restoration of the religtuas insittu tlune annulled by the nsurper; and the conduct both of Cyrus and Darius geems to thew the sympathy of those zealons Zarosetrians for the pare monotheism of the Jews.

    IO Ibid. par. 2,-All tha battlee recorded are, of cotrree, victorles, as in mompe national monements of later days. All the rebel leaders are "kings," a dignity which enhances the glory of their defeat and capture: to that we muet be cautious of inferring the complete establishment of thetr royal authority in the rebelltous provinces. The record carefully distinguishes between the campalgns ounducted by Darius in person and thoxe committed to ble generals, who recelve due honour hy the mention of their names. But, as the same tume, all thetr acts are ancribed to Darius. As one example out of many: when the satrap Vibarus defeats the A rachosian rebel, we read, "There hatook bime, tic. Theffate province mbroitted to me. This is what was done by me in Aracbe tha"

    II ilid. par. 3 Observe the intenaive repetition in in Habrow

[^401]:    12 Herodotus expreasly excepta arabia, which he says had a frlendly league with Persla (iil. 88). is lydia seems to be included under this name.

    14 Behistun Inscription, col. 1. par 8.-It is worth while to compare this wita the Anal list, in the Naksh-i-Rustam epltaph, of the countries "which $I$ have acquised besides Persia : Media, Susiana, Parthia, Aria, Bactria, Sogdiana, Chorasmia, Zarungia, Arachotla, Sattagydia, Gandaria, India, the Sace Amyrgil, the Sacan bonmen, Babylonia, Assyria, Arabia, Egypt, Armenis, Saparda, Ionia, the Saces beyond the sea (1. e. Scythians north of the Euxine), the Ionians who uear helmets (Eiropean Greeks), the Budians, the Cosscaans, the Masians, and the Characeni ( $($ )." The additiona to the former list are denoted by ftalices.
    ${ }^{15}$ Herod. ill. 126 ; iv. 166.-Herodotus seems too much oceopled with his main subject (the Persian invasion of Greece) to notice the rebellions recorded in the inscription, except the great Medtan revolt, and (apparently the two confused together) of Babylonia, which belong naturally to his account of those countries From the accession of Darius be passes on at once to the constitation of the satrapies (iil. 89); and he onis glanoes incidentally at " the troubles of the season" (iii. 126).

    10 Herod. iH. 150.-Besides its romantic details (such as the self-mutilation of Zopyrus, In order to execute his plut for betraying the elty) there are difficulties in Iduntifying the story of Herodotas with elther of the two revolts of the inseription. Ctesias ascribes the siege to Xerxes, and tells the story of Zupyrus differently ('Pers. Exc.' $\mathbf{y}^{22}$ ). Herow dotus also seems to allude to a capture of Babjlon (or at all events a bostile.ystit) by Xerzes (i. 183).

[^402]:    17 Behistan Inscription, $\infty$ L. L. par. 10-19.
    ${ }^{18}$ December, probably, of s.c. 520. The events are dated by the Persian month, but the years are not given. Those conversant with the Persian calendar, however, have been able, by following the order of the months, to make out the years with fair proban Mitty. This ts, in fact, the titernal evidence which determines the period embraced by the fineciption. Ibid. col il. par. 1. Probably Jan. s.c. 819, to Bept s.o. 518.
    in Herod uil. 151, 158 --Tbla flege appears to be that meationed Arst in the inscripo tion, from the ctrcometance that it was conducted by Darias in perworn. Read chapters 151-160 for the romantic bat very improbable atory of the atratagem by whtch Zopyrus galned the conildence of the Babylouians in order to betray the eity, of which we have the counterpart in Roman hitiory (Liv. L 54 ; Ovid. ' Fast.' II. 691, the.), and the origin of which it traced by Sir Heary Rawlingon to a certain standard Oriental tale, applied, In diferent agen, by the Persian barde and traditionints to Firuz and the Higathelah, by Abo Rihan to Kanisbke and the Indians, and by the histortans of Cashmere to thetr famons king Lalltaditya (Nute to Behistun Inscription, p. xvi; Kawlinson's Herod., Note ad loc.). Zopyrua wae for many jears eatrap of Babyloola, as the reward (accordlng to Herodotus) of bis self-devotion, which Cresias escribes to his con Megabyzua, who
     Petciarse in E\&Gpt (Hii. 160).
    = Comp. Herod. H. 158 with 1183.

[^403]:    * Behistun Inscription, col. 1ii. par. $13 . \quad$ \& Ibdd. col. is. par. 1.
    ${ }^{25}$ lbid. col. iii. par. 14, in the Scythic version.
    ${ }^{26}$ Herod, tii. 159.
    ${ }^{27}$ Herod. iii. 159. See Rawlinson's note.
    ${ }^{28}$ Behistun Inscription, col. ii par 2.-This is a summary, not necessarlly Implying that all these provinces rose at once, nor that they are named in the order of their rising. It shonld be observed too, in reading the inscription, that it is by no means in exact chronological order. Consecutive, paragraphs often refer to simultaneous events; and a later paragraph sometimes takes up events antecedent to those in former paragraphs.
    ${ }^{20}$ Evidently the old royal name Omman, which often occurs in the Assyrian records.
    so Probably meaning "emperor," from Khshtram, "empire." Sir H. Rayginson's Vocab:'

[^404]:    - May to October, probubly bo. $519 . \quad$ January and May, 8.0. 618.
    * Behittun Inscription, col. it. par. 12. Profemor Rawlinson observes, that, "Bo far as any mubstratum of histortcal truth to to be discerned in the Book of Judith, the allucon would be to thts rebellich, its suppreasion, and Ita farther consequences. Arphazad Who dweit as Ecbatana, and was taken at Rbaget, represents Xatbrited, whoee real name was Phraortes; Nabrachodonosor ts Darius. The noten of time (iv. I and 3) autt thls period." (' Five Monarchies,' vol Iv. p. 410, note.) It seems perfectly clear that Herodotus allides to this Median revolt in the pastage (1. 130) ;-n" Arerwards the Medes repented of thetr subniselon, and revolted from Dartus, bnt soere defrated th baitle, and again reduced to sut,ectlon." (See Rawlimen's note ad loc., and Grotes "Greere,' vol. tv. p. 304, note.) "The mitrong leopard," evidenty e Turanian namp.
    
    

[^405]:    40 October, s.c. 517 ; ibid. pars. 3, 4. Whether Darfus himself proceeded from Rhages into Parthia and Bactria cannot be determoined from the customary phrase-" This is what wus done by me jn Bactria;" but the phrase in the next paragraph-" the other Persian forces accompanied me to Media "-implies that he had adyanced beyond that province.
    ${ }^{41}$ Beh. Inscr. par. 5. The phrase-" he rose up a second time"-probably refers back to the first personation of Smerdis by Gomates-and it may allude to a simllar religious element in the insurrection. "It is possible that the second Psendo-Smerdis, like the first, favoured Magism. There was undoubtedly a party among the Persians themselves to whom the Zoroastrian zeal of Darius was distasteful." (Rawlinson, 'Five Monarchles,' vol. iv. p. 412, note.) 4 In Perslan Artavardiya, "very celebrated."
    ${ }^{4}$ May and July; в.c. 617. $\quad 41$ Beh. Inser. pars. 5-8.
    45 April, вc. 616 ; ibld. part. 9-12. Though all the three battles are claimed as victories, it looks very much as if a first success of the insurgent leader in Arachotia were reiled under the commission of the l'seudo-Smerdis-n" Go forth and smite Vibers, and the state which acknowledges king Daring " (par. 9).

[^406]:    * Herod. ill $120-125$; comp. c. 4. The romantic and tragie story of Polycrates belongs to the history of Greece. The legend of hie filendship with Ameale, and tis valn secrifice.to avert the fate threatened by hie aninterrupted good fortane (Herod. 1il. 40-43) forms the theme of one of Schiller's floest ballade-工"The Bing of Polycrates."

    07 The words of Herodotns (III. 126)-سer Daring at the time that the Maglan ast opon tbe throne, Orotes remalned at Sardis, and brought mo help to the Penstans, whom tha Mades had robbod of the coveroignty" - form the aole anthority for making the Magian usurpation a Median prole. Afler the clear account givea in the Behistun Incription It ts enough to may that if Herodotns meant this, he made a mistake.

    - Herod ili. 128. The 'Minstrelay of the Scotisis Bordar' furnithes a parallal in the fate of the meenanger rent by the tlag to wern Lord Soulls-
    - By treacherous sletght they aetred the knight Before be rode or ran;
    And through the keystone of the anch
    They planged hlur, horse and man."
    - Herod ili 127-a.
    - Herod Iv. 166. Some extant medals are sapposed to belong to this "Aryandic' silver colnage. (See 8tr Gardner Wilkinoon'o bote to Herced ad loc, and Rawlineon, - Five Monarchiea' vol Iv. p i1t, note.) In connection with thls story Herodotus meathans the extreme parity of the gold colange of Darlua, which Aryanden tmitated in equally pure siloer. The gold "stater of lmarias" or "Darte" wee a celebrated cotn;
     Herodi: v. 25.)
    at see chap. xiry. $\boldsymbol{y}^{5}$.

[^407]:    58 Herod. ill. 134. - ${ }^{53}$ Herod. iv. 1.
    54 Hergd. ili. 135-138. On the amonnt of credit due to this story, which Herodotus doubtlessly derived from the descendants of Democedes, compare Rawlinson, note, ad loo, and 'Five Monarchies,' vol, Iv. 435; Grote, 'Hist. of Greece,' vol iv. pp. 347-351; and Duhlmann, ' Life of Herod.' vii. $\$ 4$.
    ${ }^{5 s}$ Herod. ili. 139-149. The statement that this was "the first clty, Greek or barbarian, that Darias conquered," if of any weight, must refer to new conquests. Herodotus places the reduction of Samos before the siege of Babylon, referring probably to the second Babylontan insurrection.
    ss "The approximate date of the Indian expedition is gathered from a comparison of the three lists of Persian provinces contained in the inscriptions of Darius. In the earliest, that of Behistun, India does not appear at all. It was not therefore conquered by b.c. 516. In the second, that of Persepolis, India appears a solitary addition to the earlier list. In the third, that of NaEsh-j-Rustam, India is mentioned, together with a number of new provinces, among which is Scythia beyond the sea. We see by this that the Indian preceded the Scythian expedition. If that took place b.c. 508 , the Indian must have falleu between r.0. 515 and b.c. 509." (Rawlinson, 'Five Monarchies,'vol. iv. note on pp. 433-4.) $\quad 57$ Herod. iv. 44.
    s* Herod. iv. 44. The last phrase is connected with the argument of the whole passage -that Asia, like Africa, was Burrounded by the sea. The position of Caspatyrus (comp. Herod. iii. 102) is much disputed; but it seems to have been quite on the northern part of the course of the Indus through the Punjab, or perhaps on one of its tributarieg, Rospecting the sparious Periphus of Scylax, and the fragments of the genoine work, see

[^408]:    the 'Dict of Greek and Rom. Blog.' a. 0. The vojage of Bcylax was repeated by Nearchug, the admiral of Alexander the Great except that be returned home up the Pertian, inatead of the Arabtan, Goll. $\quad$ Herod. 14.94.

    - Herod itl $98 ;$ tv. 40. This seems to refer to the great sandy trect whizh extende north of the Himalaya for 2000 miles. The India of Herodotis is the true ancient India (the Hapta Hendu of the Vendidad), the region about the Upprt Indos, best known to we at present under the name of the Punjab. Herodotus knowe nothing of the great southern peninmale." (Rawlinson'a note ad loc.)
    an Herod. vil. 65 ; vill. 113 ; 1x. 31. The stadent shmald read the carlous accorant of the Indians in Herodotas (III. 97-106). He marks the lizaited extent of the conquests of Darius by apeakiog of certaln tribee of Indians whoed "country is a l mg way from Persis towards the couth: nor had king Darius ever any autharity over them " (c. 101). The sotion of come writers, that the conquesta of Dartue extended to the valley of the Ganges, arises from aconfurton of the Gandarians of Herodotus and the Inacriptions with the Gangaride of later writern. The former, te well at the Sallapydians, belong to 4 giticidan.
    * Clinton.

[^409]:    EHerod, iv. 13t, 182.
    64 The interesting account of the debate among the chiefs of Ionla and the Hellespont -In which Mirmadis, the tyrant of the Chersonesus, supported the Scytbian proposal, and Histimas of Miletus procured its rejection-belongs to the history of Greece (Herod. iv. 136-139). For the discussion of its genuineness see Thirlwall (vol. IL p. 486) and Grote (vol. iv. p. 368).
    ${ }^{06}$ Herod. iv. 140, 141.
    se Herod. Iv. 143. The account (which occupies the remainder of the Fourtit Book

[^410]:    of Herodotus) of the temporary reduction of Cyrenaica, which Darfus annexed to the eatrapy of Egypt, belongs to the history of the Greek colonies. The Perrian guke was thrown off at the time of the great Eggpilin revolh
    © Lascription at Naksh-i-Rustam. © Eeptember, B.c. $490 . \quad$ a. 230.

    * By the peace of Antalctias, under Artacerxee Mnemon, Bc. 387.

    II For the groands on which Xerxes, the eldeat on of Atosea, was preferred to bls older half-brother Artabazanen the eldest son of the fanghter of Gobryas, eee Herod. vii. 2. 3. His real clatm eestas to have conaited in bia being the grandson of Cyrua As It was a Peratan law that the ling must not go out of the country on a milltary expodition witbont debignating a scocemor (comp. Herod L 208) and mi Xerses was a mere boy at the time of the 8cythian expedition, Artabacanes would naturally be appointed theu; but, when the contemplated invasion of Greece rendered a new designation necessary, the all-powerfal influenoe of Atossa (Herod. L c. 2, fin.) seems to have procared it for her aon Xersee. As Dartas did not marry Atosee till after bis scceasion it
     seath.

[^411]:    in Old Perslan Khshayarshd, and in Hebrew Achashveroth or Ahasuarun (in the Book of Esther: see 'Dict. of the Biblo,' s. 0.), The etymology is disputed. Syr H. Rawlinson derives it from Ehshaya, "king" (a aupposed shorter form of Ehshayathiya, whence thah in modern Persian), and arsha (Sanscrit, arshya), "vencrable;" ANO. HisT.

    2 M

[^412]:    but Bentray and Oppert make aroha $=$＂eye＂＂and render the name＂Klng Seer，＂or ＂Ruling Eye．＂Rawlinson，Appendix to Herod．V1．Note 4 ．The epoch of the aoo cemion of Xerces is Dec，23，za， 486 ；but his relgn la sometimee reckoded from the fint day of acc． 486.
    EHerod．vil 1．7．The mocount of the army and navy of Xermee 加 Herodotue（vis s1－99）gives a very instructive new of the several natione which composed the Persian emptre at thin time．For the whole wertes of Persiau wart，see the bistortes of Greece．
    ＇Henod．L 183 ；Ctee．＇Pers．Exc．＇ 88 21， 22 ；Arrian，＇Kxp．Alex．＇ 71.17 ；Strabo， avi．1． 98 ；Elian，＇Var．Hint．＇玉ili．a．
    ＂Herod 女z 109－118；Diod Sic．zL 60 ；Plut＇ThemisL＇a 27.
    －Rawlimotn，＇Five Monarcbiea，＇vol．iv．p．483．
    －Viselb．＇Clison．＇pt．H．p． 238 ；8yncell p．162，C．
    －The autboritice for the ensoing period are chlefly Ctestac，whose value increaces as we approach bis own time，Diodorus Bicalua，Plutarch，and Justin，with mome paomgee in Herodotus and Thucydides．It does not seetr necemary（except on Important potnis） $\omega$ grve＇we special references，which will be found，for the moot part in kewlinoon＇s＇Five Mumencies，＇vol．tv．chap Th．

[^413]:    s The reason alleged for the nickname is that his right hand was longer than his left (Plut. 'Artax.'). Herodotus ( 71.98 ), taking the mame as Xerxes with the intensive prefix Arta, explains Xerxes as "warrior" (apṕtos), and Artaxerxes "great warrior" ( $\mu$ éyos dipýios). But the second element is not the same. Artaxerxes is in Old Persian Artalchshairá, where the second element is the Zend K7shatro and Sanserit Kshatra, "king" or "warrior." Khshatram occars frequently in the Bebistun Inscription for "crown" or "empire." (Sir H. Rawlinson's ' Vocab.')
    © Thucyd. i. 108 ; comp. Herod. iil. 13, 91.
    10 On the disputed question of the genuineness of this treaty, see Thirlwall (vol ili, pp. 37, 38) and Grote (vol. iv. pp. 85-90).
    ${ }^{11}$ B.c. 458 and 444. See 'Student's O. T. History'' chap. $\mathbf{x x y l}$.

[^414]:    12 This name, which was also borne by the pext king trat one, is interpreted by Bopp "good-tempered"; but Oppert sees in to the Zend vohu, "rich." In the 'Chronicon' of Eneetrius, Darías IL. is called Dartus Ochus.
    ${ }^{3}$ There wers two other sons of Darius and Paryatis, Ostanes and Oxendras (or Oxathrea), and two duughters, Amestris and Artoman.
    ${ }^{14}$ This name, afterwarde made famons by the Parthisa dynasty of the Arsackic, appears before as that of a Peraion killed to the expedition of Xeries (Stisch. ' Pers.' 257). It is derived from arsa or archa (Sancr, ardya), "venerable," with the oufiliz ac, which is probably Scythic, beving the force of the detinite article. (Rawlinson, A jpendis to Herod. vi. Note A: see also above, p. 128, note.)

    16 Herod vii 2, a.
    10. On the imporiant relations of Timaphsernea, Phernabazus, and Cyrus to the Greek: and bowstes ald gtrea by the latear to Eparta decided the event of the Pelopontenting War, the blatoried of Greece.

[^415]:    77 b.c. 409-8; Xen. "Hellen.' L. 2, § $19 . \quad 18$ Ctes. 'Pers. Exc.' $\$ \$ 5257$.
    19 s.c. 411-361, nuder the 28th, 29th, and 30th dynasties. This is according to Euseblus, who places the revolt in B.c. 411, under Amyrtens, to whom Manetho assigns a reign of six years, forming the 28th dynasty. But it seems more probable that the revolt was begun in the last year of Darius (B.0. 405) by Nepherites (Nefaorot), the hcad of Manetho's 29th dynasty, of Mendesians (Rawlinson's 'Herodotus,' vol. ii. p. 342, note (6) 2nd edilion). The cartoon at Sais, which was at first thought to contain the name of dmyrtceus, is now read Bocchorts.' 20 'Student's History of Greece,' chep. xxxvi.

    - 1 'Student's Greece,' chap. xxxvi
    ${ }_{2} 2$ Ibid. chap, xxxviti.

[^416]:    3 Plat 'Artac.' $30 . \quad$ * Plut. 'Artax.' ad Am
     faclating even the pr meeness of the royal bouse

[^417]:    ${ }^{2}$ Chiefly Diodorus.
    ${ }^{27}$ This was about s.c. 353, or perhaps a little later.
    2 The chronology here is obscure, and Diodorus evidentily misplaces the events. It seems that the first attack and repulse of Ochus occurred in s.o. 351, the revolts of Phoenicia and Cyprus in 8.c. 350, and the second and successfal Invasion of Egypt in m.c 346. (See Grote, 'Hist. of Greece,' vol. viil. p. 173, ed. of 1862; and Rawlinson ' Five Monarchies,' vol. iv. p. 535.)
    ${ }^{29}$ The very interesting questions respecting the policy of the different parties at Athens, and throughout Greece, between their old Aslatic enemy and the new tyrant, Who was preparing to avenge them upon Persia at the price of flrst extingulshing Greelk Iiberty, belong to the history of Greece.

[^418]:    -     - Hist of Greece,' vol wil. p. 172.
    a In the letter of Alexander to Dartiss Codomannus (Amisn, 'Exp. Alez' ifi. 14).
    - The Perstan aeme is argha, " venerable," which appeart se the Arst syllabie in Arsaces. Other forms of the name are Mazara and Oarama.
    ane brother appears to bave eacaped; for Arrian calls Bisthsaes, who Informed Alexandey of the filight of Datua Cudomanum trom Ecbatana, san of Ochus ('Exp. Alez' in 10)

[^419]:    st Strabo says that Darius was not of the royal house, and one story made bim a mere courier (Plut. 'Vit. Alex.' c. 18); but Diodorus states that he was the son of Arsames, and grandson of Ostanes, the brother of Artaxerzes Mnemon, and that his mother Sisygambis was that king's daughter (Diod. xiii. 5).

    3 Arrian, iil. 22.
    30 Daniel vill. 5-7:-"And as I was considering, behold an he-goat came from the west on the face of the whole earth and touched not the ground "-a striking image of the rapidity of Alexander's conquest.-" And he came to the ram that had two horns, which I had seen standing before the river, and ran unto him in the fury of his power. And I eaw him come close nuto the ram, and he was moved with choler against him, and smote the ram and brake his two horns: and there was no pouer in the ram to stand before him, but he cast him doun to the ground and stamped upon himeand thre was none that could deilver the ram out of his hand."

[^420]:    If " The word Satrap-In Persian Fhehatraph or Fhehatrapata (Aplegel)- Is older than the aatrapial organigation of Darius; for it lo uaed twice to the Behistun Inscripiton to fienote the governor of a province. Indeed the falr inference meems to be that thit mort of vice-regal government wal introduced from the baginning of the empire, and pere sected by Dartus. The derivation of the word hanch dispated ; but Sir H. Rawlineon egues that, at Ehehatram if need throughnat the ingcriptiona for 'crown ' or 'empire.' we can acarcely be mistaken to regarding Ehehatrapd as formed of the two rooke Khehatram and pa. The latter sigaifee in Sanscrit 'to premerve, upbold,' whence it appesse that a Satrap is 'one whe upholde the crown.' " (Rawlinson's 'Herodutea' note © 0 L. 192.) ${ }^{\text {fit }}$

[^421]:    38 Herod. v. 25.
    ED Esther viii. On the identity of Xerxes with the Ahasuerus of this book, and the elear distinction betweek Esthgr and Amentris, see the 'Student's O. T. Hisfo' cbap expli. 84.

[^422]:    - Ether vill 10.

[^423]:    "Whose annual wound in Lebanon allured The Syrian damsels to lament his fate In amorons ditties all a summer's day; While smooth Adonis from his native rock Ran purple to the sea, supposed with blood Of Thammux yearly wounded.".

[^424]:    1 Joeph. 'Ante' $3,01 . \quad 2$ vil 89 ; iv 38; HL. 4

[^425]:    - Ruameger, quoted by Kenrick, 'Phceotcts,' p. $\boldsymbol{5 0}$.

[^426]:    * Jeremish (xviil. 14) apeaks of "the snow of Lebanon."
    s This etymology is confirmed by the appearance of the palm-tree as an emblem on the coins of Araing, Tyre, and Stion. The palm may well have been mare abundant in Phcenicia in ancient times than now. It is still found at varions places along the coast, and especially in the neighboarbood of Tyre. The name also of the Malum Punicum (Punic or Phcenician apple) points to this as an ancient home of the pomegranate, the native name of which, Rimmon is'frequent in the geography of Palestine. The pistachio nut is another characteristic froit of both countries, as in the days of Jacob (Gem xliii. 11) and of Pliny (' H. N.,' riii. 10).

    6 Isalah, xl. 16 ; lx, 13 : comp. Ps. lxull. 16; Hos. xiv. 5 ; Zech. xi. 1.
    ANO. HIST.

[^427]:    t Joorpb, 'Bell. Sod,' tv. ©. 7.

[^428]:    - The ' Phoenician History' of Saschonkation of Berytus-once regarded as an anthority even higher than that of Manetho for Egypt or Berosus for Babylon-is now generally acknowledged to be the forgery of its professed Greek translator, Philo Byblins, a grammarian of the 2nd century after Chirist. It is even probable that "Sanchoniathon" was not the name of a person, but the title of the sacred books of the Pbcenicians, San Choniath, "the entire law of Chon," that is, the god Bel, whom the Greeks called the Tyrian Hercules. The existing fragments, therefore, are only of valne as they may preserve Phoenician traditions, the worth of which must be tested by other spurces of information. ${ }^{10}$ Gen. X. 15-19.

    11 Gen. x. 6.
    28 Gen. ix. 25, 26. • ${ }^{23}$ Kenrick, 'Phonicia,' p. 40.

[^429]:    14 Zephantab, ii. 6.
    
    34 Forthe prooft of this, we Kenrlck, 'Pboenticia,' Pp. 42-3.
    

[^430]:    

    - Herod i. 2 The moamenta and writiogs of Egypt and Asegris give ample erb *ence of the commerce of the Pboentisiss with both.
    

    6) Plin. H. K.' vi 28.
[^431]:    35 Juatin, xvili. 3, $\$ 2$. The common worship of the fish-god, Dagon, on the shores of the Persian Gulf and in the valley of its great rivers, as well as on the coast of Syria, is a strong confirmatory argament. The maritlme habits of the earliest Phomiciana tend in the same direction; but these may bave seen acquired in their neabode.
    ${ }^{26}$ Gen. x . 15-18.
    ${ }^{3}$ See chap. iv.

[^432]:    © On the alluelom to the dark races of the Byrian const in Homer and other cinado
    

    - Ladah xic 18. The tie of thonioion (Le. Canaanite)lettert it the oldent Eebrew writing the pro tanto, an argroment for the adoption of the languape though not dedidve in itself. The asee ie very difereat from the unportation of abe letters by Pbosikita commerce to the comparatively unctivitued recee of Burope, whow lenguage was alreedy gived. Of the latter process we have examples to the adapration of the Greek alphabet to the Mrao-Gotbic and Remilan languages, of the Boman to the languagres of thetr berberima subfecta, and tin the moniding of Polynetina languagas toto a Writuan form or modera misolomertes
    - Xemrick, 'Pbosalcia' p. B8. Eee Ibid. p. 68, on the diatinction between the Plimmicions ent rialistince. The former practised drenmelation (Herod it 104)
    4 Meleager of Tyre, to the 'Anthol, Urico.' vili. 428, 12

[^433]:    4 Josh. xix. 29: "t the strong city Tyre."
    ${ }^{53}$ Hom. ' IL.' 5', 290, $\psi$ ', 743; 'Od.' $\delta$ ', 615, $\nu$ ', 285, o', 117 ; Strab. xpi. p. 766.
    4t Joah. xlii. 4, 6; Judgee aviii. 7: the latter passage clearly testifles to the supremacy of the Zidonians at this time, as well as to their prosperity; "they dwelt careless, quiet, and secare."
    ts Gen. xlix 13. The form Zidon, obual in our version of the O. T. (except in Gen. $工$. 15, 19), represents the Phoenician Tsidon, which becomes in Greek Sidon, the urual form In the Apocrypha and N. T., as well us in the Greek and Latin author
    ${ }^{4}$ Claudius Jullus, ' Phamn. Hist.' ap. Steph. Byz., s.' v. $\Delta \hat{\text { âpos. }}$
    ${ }^{57}$ Comp. Herod. 1. 3, as quoted above

[^434]:    menesis $\pm$ 17, 18 : comp. 1 Chron. L. 18. Jooeph. 'Ant.'L 8, 92.
    
    
    1 Josh riil s 1 Kinge v. is, in the Alex Cudex of the LXX.

[^435]:    54 Numbers xxyiv. 8; Josh. xiif. 6, \&c.
    662 Cbron, viii. 4 ; comp. 1 Kings ix. 17, 18.
    562 Same vill 9.
    $6{ }^{6} 2$ Kings xiver.
    5 Amos vi. 2.
    592 Kings xvil. 24, xvill. 34, xix. 13.

[^436]:    *6 On the relations between Egypt and Phonicia in the age of the Hyksos, see chap. [v. 122.
    so Chabas, ' Voyage d'un Egyptien.' Chalons, 1866. The account in the text is trom Lenormant, 'Histoire Anctenne,' vol. ii. p. 266.

[^437]:    67 See chap. v. $\oint 13 . \quad{ }^{6}$ Comp. chap. xxi. 88 2, 3, 8. $\quad 60$ Herod. vi. 47.
    70 Some writers make this demand for tin, in order to make bronze, the great molive of the earliest Phœnician commerce.

[^438]:    7 If we may believe the Greek traditiona, the Phoeniciann themselves were among the esilteat pirates tis the Efgean, as well as the Carians. (Thuc, 2. 4.) It must not be forgotten that the Greek word pirate sigolites an adventurer ; and, in their traditions of these carly agea, the Greeks scarcely distinguish the two clacwes of teamen. We need go bacts to further thas to our own glortous Elicabethan age, to see how closely they have been connected in modern hiscory.
    72 Some traditions made this the cource of be Pbosalctan colonization of Greese, which Ls represented by the arrivil of Cadmus in Bosotia, bringing with him the Pbenician letters, as well at of the foundation of a great sumber of cettlemepte on the conath of Zeugitana and Byzacens (now the serritory of Twnif) in Africa. In treatigg euch trudr tions as mythical, it it not mennt that twey are mere poetical inventions, bat that the clements of fact which they may pomibly contato are too mach mized up with their poetic form, for them to bo used as bistorical evidence by themschea. For ezample, the claying of the dragon, and the springing ap of ermed men from his cown teeth, glves mythical character to a legead which contain also the certatn fact that the Greek alphabetic characters came from Phosicta; and we canoot get at the true dory of the letuer by etripping away what eeems inpossible or improbebie tn tis mythteal formp
    73 Judges x. 12.
    75 Jodges wilii. 7,This was to the early trmes of the Judges, about the fourteenth century. The ensulug statement, "that they were far from the zidontans, and bad no
    

[^439]:    Ts The date is differently calculated at about b. $\mathbf{0} .1252$ or 1209.
    ro lu 1 Kings v. 6, Solomon requeats Hiram, king of Tyre, to command bis servants ro hew cedar-trees out of Lebanon, because "there is not among us any that can skill te haw timber lize the Sidonians."

    ANC. HIST.

[^440]:    T Erets. Exal. 8, 10, 11; xxylil, s.
    T3 The traditional date of to foundation is a.c. $1169 . \quad$ Thek zivil. 12

    - The Naarath or Draaran of Sork xvi 7 and 1 Chron. FiL. 28.

[^441]:    3 Most of the pamee are formed of atmilas raligiout elementa, and th the ame Bemituc langrage, an those of the Asoyrian kings. The provalence it Baal and detarth (Ashtorath), the chiet god and goddem of the Phomictanc, is obriona Thus Abltaal
     - the tervedi of Aptarto."

[^442]:    s 2 Sam. zxiv. 7.-If this statament be taken strictly where it stands, at the beginning of David's reign, it would seem to refer to a Hiram, who may have been the father of Abibaal, and grandfather of Solomon's Hiram ; and some writers accordingly distinguish them as Hiram I. and Hiram II.
    .41 Kinge, 7.11.
    51 Kinge v. 11; 2 Chron. 1i. 10.

    - Acts xil. 20. 7 Erekn 2xvit. 17.
    - 1 Kinge ix. 13.
    - 1 Kings $x$ viil.

[^443]:    ${ }^{10}$ Enceb. ' Prap. Eri' Lx. 30.
    II I Sam. xxiv. T,This seems rather to mart the northern Ilmit of the territory on Isracl, at the fidionian conntry, with the caplial Tyme Or, if the enumerabre actually vistited those cities, it might be to numbser the Fienrew residento, of whom there were alwaye many in Pbosulcia.

    141 Kingi is. 12.
    ts The Tyrian acouls place the taking of Truy just at the begianing of Hiram'e reigh, 2.c. 1023.
    *1 Dias, ap. Joneph. 'a Apton'. L 17.-The erection of the temple at Jerumalem, with the ald of Tyrian ertiste, fuet after the rebuilding of the temple of Melameth, given a elear premint aton of the Phoenician archttectare of the former.
    to slee the 'studeat's O. T. Hist.' chap. zetil.

[^444]:    162 Chron ti.-See 7. 11, "Then Huram the king of Tyre answered in writing, which he sent to Solumon,"
    ${ }^{17}$ Joseph. 'Ant.' viii. 2, \$86, $7 . \quad 181$ Kings xi. $1 . \quad 19$ Ibid, verse 5.
    901 Kings x. 11, 22; 2 Cbron. xx. 36.-The phrase "ships of Tarshish" describes the large vessels employed for these voyages, as of the same class as those used for the western Mediterranean, just as our ship-owners send "East-Indlamen" to Australia,
    

[^445]:    
    
    

[^446]:    ${ }^{27}$ See chap. xil. © 11, p. 259.
    25 Ibd. 814.
    $39 \mathrm{~A}=0 \operatorname{i,9} 9,10$.
    y See chap xill. 14
    31 Ibid. 85.

[^447]:    - Chap zill 68 8, 7.
    - The otber two were thome by Nebachednemers and Alezander ble Orvas.
     - Shalmenecer!

[^448]:    s Clem. Alex. 'Strom.' 1. 21, p. $360 . \quad$ at Now in the Berlin Museum.
    7 Chap. xlv. \$ 2.-Here again we have the generic use of the name Sidonians. The king of Tyre is "king of the Sidonians."
    os Chap. xiv. 8 7.-Besides what is there quoted from bis annals, Esar-haddon says, in an tuscription, "I put all its grandees to death. I destroyed tts wally and houst : threw them into the seas. I destroyed the site of ite femples."

[^449]:    moEret xxpl xavil. xivill: comp. Rev. xvill-It was an obiter dictum of the grest Dr. Chalmers, that many points in tho deacription of this mystic Babylon seem more like London than Rome Perhape the prophecy refere rather to a condition of aoctety than to any eppecific and local enta. The prophecy In Isalah xxill. furnishee other tonches to add to the foller pictare of Erekiel; and it may be tued to illustrate the state in which Tyre doabtiem existed for many centartes, whether it is rightly placed or not smong the writinge of Inathh. If it be hile-ses there meeme no suffictent reason to deny -ifte cocasion would naturally be the alege by Sargon in sa. 720. If it be later, it would refer to the atege by Nebuchadneztar, and so be a precise parsiliel to Exerilel's prophecy. Probably the actisl and commercial state of Tyre was moch the rame during and before the whole interval of nearly a centry and a half from Leatab to Eeekicl.

    * Lealah xxiii. 2, 8.-The phrase "daughter of Ziton" (ver. 12) bat been quoted at an argument for the colonimation of Tyre from sidon. Bat th seeme rather to bea Hebrew Idiom for the falr city of the Zidonians (t. e. Phcenldans). At vence 10, Tyre is called the "daughter of Tarsbish," as belng vourished from that region.
    at There seems reason to belleve that this was the period when the diminishing produce of the Apanieb enn-mpes carased the Phoratciens to ventare on the distant voyage to the Casciterides ("tin-lslands') the Scilly Icles and the edjecent somite of Cornwall Bat chis question cicnot be discused here.

[^450]:    (2nek. xxviL H, 10-13, 15, 17, 18, 21, 22.
    43 Ezek. xxyl. 17. 4 Ibid. xxvil. 3, 4. ${ }^{5}$, Chap. xxplli, 1-5. 45 Ibld. Fy, 16-18.
    47 B.C. 688.

[^451]:    = Joerpth 'e Aploa.'' 121.
    
     ac. 507.
     Oreat Cuptivity, Ba. 571. Mow me. $888-13$ yeart in me sys. The freerral between chis date, and the expedidion of Nebochmotnemiat egianet Egyph which if the trabject of the prophecy-many be cocounted kit by Phersob-Hophri's attect upoo Pbozicis, which copplies the prowocastoa for the trivicat. * Ench IEv:

    4 The caly exoppition is Sh. Jervea, who many have anoumed the reanlt frow the pro-
     proptecy looke tormard to the Anal destrection of the etty by Alexander. and thenb
     TYes

[^452]:    * Besides aboundant other evidence, it is at this period that we find the usual neriptura order of naming "Tyre and Sidon" together Inverted. Ezra ili. 1

    50 Joseph, 'c. Ap.,' i. 21.

[^453]:    

    - Ears iti 7.-The grant of Cyrus, meationed here, to evidence of at leat the nominal
     ceercise by Cursbyses, whet be cummosed the Phoolcien fleet to call sgalnat Egypt.
    al Herod. 11. 44.-The historian's notice of Tyre ls, however, omly fncidental to a queedion, on which be wished Information, reapecting the worship of Rerculee (Heloasth); and is confined to the ancient temple of that delty, and its rich offertags, among whets were two pillars, abe of gold and one of emerald, wirich Gtr Gardicer Wilstowon con-
    

[^454]:    ${ }^{65}$ Herod. vili. 67. 66 Ibid. vii. 96.
    67 Herod. vii. 9.-ln some of the instances quoted, bowever, the name "Sidonian" tasy probably be talsen in the generic sense, for "Phoenician."

    6 Cbap. xxviii. 69.9 Ibid. $\$ 13 . \quad{ }^{70}$ Arrian, Anab.' fi.
    ANO. HIST. $2 P$

[^455]:    n See the cetalls to the 'Startent's Greece,' chap. Iliv. p. 836.
    7 see the socomas of Tyre ty Benpamia of Tudela, in Purchas's 'Pligrims ' (IL. 1443), apoted is the 'Dict. of the Bibla,' at a Trai,

    73 Marines Smantua, 'Llber Secretoram idellum Crucls.' Lb. ill. eap. 22; quoled to the ' Duct of eff Bible' ert. Tris.

[^456]:    35 Haseelquist, ' Voyages and Travels in the Levant.'

[^457]:    a The work before us is unusually well done. A more scceptable present for a candidate for holy orders, or a more valuable book for any library, than the 'Dictionary © Christian Antiquitien' could not easily be found."Saturdas Roviru.

