



TORTURE (MADRAS).

RETURN to an Order of the Honourable The House of Commons,
dated 23 July 1855;—for,

the REPORT
be Body of testimony
old before us.
lly,
our
heir
ing
sti
next
rro
of
ies
ed

COPY "of REPORT of the COMMISSION for the Investigation of Alleged
CASES of TORTURE at Madras."

Z 21, 5(2). M545

A 855
142-68

(Mr. Vernon Smith.)

Ordered, by The House of Commons, to be Printed,
24 July 1855.

Directors,
1826,
ure in
e.

INDEX TO PARAGRAPHS OF REPORT.

- Para. 1. Constitution of Commission.
 " 2. Inquiry at first confined to Revenue cases.
 " 3. Extension of Inquiry.
 " 4. Powers of Commission enlarged.
 " 5. Course of proceedings.
 " 6. Publication of a Notification.
 " 7. Notification generally circulated.
 " 8. Limit of Inquiry explained.
 " 9. False impression produced by Notification.
 " 10. Instances of cases of misconception.
 " 11. Result of cases.
 " 12. Oath.
 " 13. Body of Testimony before us described.
 " 14. First head:—Old Authorities.
 " 15. First, in Criminal cases.
 " 16. Foujdarie Udawlut Circular Orders from 1806—1832.
 " 17. Second, as to Torture in Revenue affairs; Minute of Revenue Board, 5th January 1818; Court of Directors' Letter, 11th April 1826.
 " 18. Minute of Board of Revenue, 27th November 1820.
 " 19. Second head: Modern Authorities.
 " 20. Mifute criticism unnecessary.
 " 21. Letters from Provincial Authorities especially noticed.
 " 22. References given.
 " 23. State of the Presidency town of Fort St. George.
 " 24. Third head:—Eye witnesses; Captain Nelson, and others.
 " 25. Effect of thin Testimony.
 " 26. Fourth head:—Evidence taken by Commission.
 " 27. Our course of proceeding explained.
 " 28. Strong argument in favour of truth of complaints.
 " 29. Numbers of cases from a particular district on criterion of the amount of violence in that district.
 " 30. Fourth head remarked on.
 " 31. Specimens of Depositions.
 " 32. Selected Cases from Appendix (E.).
 " 33. Letters of complaint addressed to our Commission; our course regarding them.
 " 34. Case of Luxmon Row, a Tahsildar of Masulipatam.
 " 35. Akkimiry Appanah's case.
 " 36. Complaints from Canara.
 " 37. Fifth head:—Native Officer's admissions.
 " 38. Nulla Mootoo Pillay's evidence.
 " 39. Remarks on Nulla Mootoo Pillay's testimony.
 " 40. Existence of a conspiracy not the subject of our inquiry.
 " 41. No new charge, Sir Thomas Munro's description quoted.
 " 42. Applicable to the present day.
 " 43. Numbers of Native officials of the same family in a district.
 " 44. Sixth head:—Calendars.
 " 45. Sub-judge of Rajahmundry quoted.
 " 46. Dismissal of cases no satisfactory proof of their falsity.
 " 47. Samples.
 " 48. Inadequacy of punishment in cases of concoction noticed.
 " 49. Calendars wanting in uniformity.
 " 50. Returns furnished by Foujdarie.
 " 51. Ditto ditto.
 " 52. Remarks upon the above table.
- Para. 53. Our opinions.
 " 54. What the proper designation of violence used.
 " 55. Exception in favour of Canara and Malabar, as to Torture for Revenue.
 " 56. Authorities entitled to credence.
 " 57. But this exception to be expected.
 " 58. The condition of these districts, and the character of the people, calculated to preclude torture.
 " 59. Opinion of the Civil and Session Judge of Salem considered.
 " 60. Torture as frequent for the exaction of illicit demands of Native Revenue Officers, as for Government Revenue.
 " 61. Description of Torture prevailing in Revenue matters.
 " 62. Stress laid on instruments, immaterial.
 " 63. Torture in Police cases.
 " 64. Comparatively few complaints have reached us.
 " 65. Reasons for this.
 " 66. Police Authority abused for private purposes.
 " 67. Description of Torture used in Police cases.
 " 68. Marks on person not frequent; reasons assigned for this.
 " 69. Difficulty of the parties aggrieved in obtaining redress.
 " 70. No imputation of connivance against European Officers.
 " 71. Apparent contradiction explained.
 " 72. Other duties of Revenue Officers tend to throw the investigation of complaints into the hands of the Tahsildar.
 " 73. Size of Collectorates.
 " 74. Necessary tendency of this.
 " 75. Suggestions of measures of a remedial character offered.
 " 76. State of the Law.
 " 77. General effects of education, &c.
 " 78. In what direction increased agency most usefully employed.
 " 79. Revenue and Police Authority at present vested in the same body.
 " 80. Probable result of such a system.
 " 81. Character of Police.
 " 82. Combination among the Native servants of Government.
 " 83. State of the country requires an immediate remedy.
 " 84. Separation of the Revenue and Police functions suggested.
 " 85. Authorities quoted.
 " 86. Redress from Tahsildars not to be expected.
 " 87. Opinions as to the present Police.
 " 88. Police to be placed under independent authority.
 " 89. Probable result of such a Police in criminal cases.
 " 90. Probable results in Revenue matters.
 " 91. This topic considered in 1815.
 " 92. Mr. Fullerton's views.
 " 93. Conclusion.
 " 94. Employment of Natives.
 " 95. This inquiry not without results.
 " 96. Effect of the measures taken by Government.
 " 97. Fear of consequences of inquiry groundless.
 " 98. Necessity of action.

For Index to the Appendices see page 49

For Special Index to Appendix (C) see page 66

Appendix (H.) is arranged in the same order as Appendix (C.) as to Collectorates, page 232