

Dhananjayrao Gadgil Library



GIPE-PUNE-018790

THE EXTENSION  
OF  
JUTE CULTIVATION IN INDIA

**CALCUTTA :**  
**OF INDIA CENTRAL PRT**  
**8, HASTINGS STREET.**

Agricultural Research Institute, Pusa.

---

THE EXTENSION  
OF  
JUTE CULTIVATION IN INDIA

BY

R. S. FINLOW, B.Sc., F.C.S.,

Jute Specialist to the Government of Eastern Bengal and Assam.



CALCUTTA :

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF GOVERNMENT PRINTING, INDIA.

1906.

## APPENDIX.

### INDIAN DUTY ON SUGAR.

I.—Rates of Countervailing Duties levied on imported Bounty-fed Sugar under Acts VIII of 1894, XIV of 1899, VIII of 1902, XII of 1903, and XI of 1904.

Countervailing duties on sugar produced in, and exported from, the countries named below which grant bounties on export, were charged under section 8-A of the Indian Tariff Act, 1894 (added by Act XIV of 1899, and amended by Act XII of 1903), at the rates (being equivalent to the bounties) noted against each, in addition to the general import duty of 5 per cent.

Countries.	Kinds of sugar.	Bounties bestowed per 100 kilograms.	Rates of duty per cwt.	Duration.	Authority (Government of India Notification).	
Argentine Republic.	All kinds . . . . .	6 Centavos per kilog.	<i>R a. p.</i> 9 2 0	From 20 March 1899 to 30 June 1901.	No. 1327, dated 20 Mar. 1899.	
	" " excepting sugar exported without payment of internal revenue tax.	10 " "	15 3 10	From 1 July 1901 to 5 Sept. 1905.	" 3374, " 20 June 1901.	
	All kinds . . . . .	R10-15-8 per cwt.	10 15 8	From 6 Sept. 1905	" 4787-18-2, dated 6 Sept. 1905.	
Austria—Hungary.	Sugar under 93 and of at least 88 per cent polarisation.	1-37 florin .	0 11 8	From 20 Mar. to 31 July 1899.	" 4293, dated 24 Aug. 1900 superseding " 1327, dated 20 Mar. 1899.	
	Sugar under 99½ and of at least 93 per cent polarisation.	1-46 " .	0 12 6			
	Sugar of at least 99½ per cent polarisation.	2-10 " .	1 1 10	From 1 Aug. 1899 to 31 July 1900.	No. 521 dated 1 Feb. 1901 superseding " 3559, dated 3 Aug. 1899. " 1262, " 28 Feb. 1902 superseding " 3559, dated 3 Aug. 1899 and No. 523 dated 1 Feb. 1901. " 793, dated 13 Feb. 1903.	
	Sugar under 99-3 and of at least 90 per cent polarisation.	1-46 " .	0 12 5			
	Sugar of at least 99-3 per cent polarisation.	3-20 kronen	0 11 3	0 12 6	From 1 Aug. 1901 to 31 July 1901.	" 523, " 1 Feb. 1910. " 7252, dated 2 Dec. 1903.
				1 0 3	From 1 Aug. 1902 to 31 Aug. 1903.	" 523, " 1 Feb. 1910. " 7252, dated 2 Dec. 1903.
		2-10 florin. .	1 1 10	1 2 0	From 1 Aug. 1899 to 31 July 1900.	" 521, " 1 Feb. 1901 superseding " 3559, dated 3 Aug. 1899. " 1262, " 28 Feb. 1902 superseding " 3559, dated 3 Aug. 1899 and No. 523, dated 1 Feb. 1901. " 793, dated 13 Feb. 1903.
					From 1 Aug. 1900 to 31 July 1901.	" 523, " 1 Feb. 1901. " 7252, dated 2 Dec. 1903.
		4-60 kronen	1 0 2	1 7 4	From 1 Aug. 1901 to 31 July 1902.	" 523, " 1 Feb. 1901. " 7252, dated 2 Dec. 1903.
					From 1 Aug. 1902 to 31 Aug. 1903.	" 523, " 1 Feb. 1901. " 7252, dated 2 Dec. 1903.
Belgium	Raw sugar . . . . .	4-50 francs	1 6 0	From 20 Mar. 1899 to 31 May 1899.	" 1327, " 20 Mar. 1899.	
			1 4 0	From 1 June 1899 to 27 Dec. 1900.	" 2635, " 9 June 1899.	
			1 2 10	From 28 Dec. 1900 to 31 Aug. 1903.	" 6524, " 28 Dec. 1900. " 7252, " 2 Dec. 1903.	
	Refined sugar . . . . .	4-60 " .	1 6 0	1 5 3	} As above respectively.	
						5-36 " .

1.—Rates of Countervailing Duties levied on imported Bounty-fed Sugar under Acts VIII of 1894, XIV of 1899, VIII of 1902, XII of 1903 and XI of 1904—*continued.*

Countervailing duties on sugar produced in, and exported from, the countries named below which grant bounties on export, were charged under section 8-A of the Indian Tariff Act, 1894 (added by Act XIV of 1899, and amended by Act XII of 1903), at the rates (being equivalent to the bounties) noted against each, in addition to the general import duty of 5 per cent.

Countries.	Kinds of sugar.	Bounties bestowed per 100 kilograms.	Rates of duty per cwt.	Duration.	Authority (Government of India Notification).	
			<i>R a. p.</i>			
Denmark	Refined sugar	1.12 crowns	0 8 0	From 20 Mar. 1899	No. 1327, dated 20 Mar. 1899.	
France	Raw sugars from 65 to 98 per cent polarisation for beet sugar, or 65 to 97 per cent for French Colonial sugar.	10.82 francs	3 4 0	From 20 Mar. 1899 to 31 Aug. 1899.	„ 1327, dated 20 Mar. 1899.	
		11.16 „	3 5 11	From 1 Sept. 1899 to 31 Aug. 1900.	„ 4138, „ 6 Sept. 1899.	
		10.62 „	3 3 4	From 1 Sept. 1900 to 31 Aug. 1901.	„ 5324, „ 19 Oct. 1900.	
		9.96 „	3 0 1	From 1 Sept. 1901 to 31 Aug. 1902.	„ 5242, „ 10 „ 1901.	
		9.51 „	2 13 11	From 1 Sept. 1902 to 31 Aug. 1903.	„ 5502, „ 16 „ 1902. „ 7252, „ 2 Dec. 1903.	
	Sugar candies	11.51 „	3 7 6	} As above respectively.	} Ditto.	
		11.95 „	3 9 9			
	Refined sugars in loaf or crushed, clear, hard, and dry.	11.26 „	3 6 5			
		10.40 „	3 2 3			
		9.83 „	2 15 6			
Raw and refined sugars in grains or crystals of a minimum standard of 98 per cent polarisation.	11.17 „	3 6 0	} Ditto.			
	11.56 „	3 7 10				
	10.94 „	3 4 10				
	10.18 „	3 1 2				
Germany	Raw sugar of at least 90 per cent polarisation.	2.50 marks	0 15 3	From 20 Mar. 1899 to 22 Aug. 1899.	No. 1327, dated 20 Mar. 1899.	
		2.40 „	0 14 7	From 23 Aug. 1899 to 14 Dec. 1899.	„ 3934, „ 23 Aug. 1899.	
			0 14 4	From 15 Dec. 1899 to 31 Aug. 1903.	„ 5720, „ 15 Dec. 1899. „ 7252, „ 2 Dec. 1903.	
Refined sugar under 98 and of at least 90 per cent polarisation.	2.50 „	0 15 3	From 20 Mar. 1899 to 14 Dec. 1899.	„ 1327, „ 20 Mar. 1899.		
	2.40 „	0 14 4	From 15 Dec. 1899 to 31 Aug. 1903.	„ 5720, „ 15 Dec. 1899. „ 7252, „ 2 Dec. 1903.		
Candy and sugar in white, hard loaves, blocks, crystals, etc., of at least 99½ per cent polarisation.	3.55 „	1 6 0	} As above respectively.			
	3.44 „	1 4 7				
All other sugar of at least 98 per cent polarisation.	3 „	1 2 0	} Ditto.			
	2.89 „	1 1 3				

1.—Rates of Countervailing Duties levied on imported Bounty-fed Sugar under Acts VIII of 1894, XIV of 1899, VIII of 1902, XII of 1903, and XI of 1904—*concluded*

Countervailing duties on sugar produced in, and exported from, the countries named below which grant bounties on export, are charged under section 8-A of the Indian Tariff Act, 1894 (added by Act XIV of 1899, and amended by Act XII of 1903), at the rates (being equivalent to the bounties) noted against each, in addition to the general import duty of 5 per cent.

Countries.	Kinds of sugar.	Bounties bestowed per 100 kilograms	Rates of duty per cwt.	Duration.	Authority (Government of India Notification).
Holland	Raw beet sugar of less than 98 per cent polarisation.	2.2354 florins	1 7 0	From 20 Mar. 1899 to 31 May 1901.	No. 1327, dated 20 Mar. 1899.
	Raw sugar produced in Holland from beet roots.	1.50 "	0 15 3	From 1 June 1901 to 31 Aug. 1901.	" 2479, " 7 May 1901.
		1.16 "	0 11 9	From 1 Sept. 1901 to 31 Aug. 1902	" 3496, " 11 June 1903. " 7244, " 30 Nov. 1903 superseding " 817, " 7 Feb. 1902.
		1.75 "	1 1 9	From 1 Sept. 1902 to 31 Aug. 1903.	" 6480, " 5 Dec. 1902. " 3494, " 11 June 1903. " 7252, " 2 Dec. 1903.
	Raw beet sugar of at least 98 per cent polarisation.	1.7655 florins	1 2 0	As above respectively.	As above respectively.
	Sugar, refined from beet root raw sugar produced in Holland.	1.72 "	1 1 5		
		1.31 "	0 13 3		
	Refined sugar from materials other than beet root raw sugar.	1.94 "	1 3 1	Ditto	Ditto.
		0.2946 "	0 3 0*		
	Sugar, refined from imported raw sugar.	.22 "	0 2 3*	Ditto	Ditto.
		.15 "	0 1 6*		
		.19 "	0 1 4*		
	Refined beet root sugar	0.2949 "	0 3 0*	From 20 Mar. 1899 to 31 May 1901.	No. 1327, dated 20 Mar. 1899.
Russia	Sugar of at least 99 per cent polarisation.	0.50 rouble per pood (36.113lb. avoir).	3 11 0	From 20 Mar. 1899 to 5 Oct. 1899.	" 1327, dated 20 Mar. 1899.
			2 7 4	From 6 Oct. 1899 to 28 Feb. 1903.	" 4600, " 6 Oct. 1899. " 977, " 20 Feb. 1903.
	Sugar of less than 99 but not less than 88 per cent polarisation.	0.44 rouble per pood.	3 4 0	As above respectively.	As above respectively.
			2 2 7		
Sugar of less than 88 but not less than 75 per cent polarisation.	0.38 rouble per pood.	2 13 0	Ditto.	Ditto.	
		1 13 10			
Cnile	Raw sugar	2 centavos per kilog.	0 9 2	From 10 May 1900 to 10 Nov. 1909.	No. 2280, dated 10 May 1900. " 8351-46, dated 10 Nov. 1909.
Italy	Sugar below No. 20 Dutch standard. Sugar No. 20 and above Dutch standard.	5.60 francs 6.13 "	1 11 0	From 15 Aug. 1901 to 16 Jan. 1902.	No. 4110, dated 1 Aug. 1901. " 314, " 17 Jan. 1902.
			1 13 7		

\* In addition to countervailing duty, if any, on the raw sugar.

II—Rates of Additional Special Duties levied on imported Bounty-fed Sugar under Acts VIII of 1902, XI of 1903, and XI of 1904.

Special additional duties are charged under section 8-B of the Indian Tariff Act, 1894 (added by Act VIII of 1902 and continued in force by Acts XII of 1903, and XI of 1904), on sugar produced in, or exported from, the countries named below where the difference between the rate of duty or other taxation to which foreign sugars are subject and that imposed on home product exceeds 6 francs per 100 kilograms for refined sugar and 5 francs 50 centimes for other sugar, the duty being limited to half such excess.

Countries.	Duty per cwt.	Duration.	Authority (Government of India Notification).
	<i>R a. p.</i>		
Austria-Hungary . . . . .	3 3 9	From 6 June 1902 to 31 August 1903.	No. 3131, dated 6 June 1902. No. 7252, dated 2 December 1903.
Germany . . . . .	2 13 9	Ditto ditto	Ditto.
France—Refined sugar . . . . .	0 9 8	} From 1 March 1903 to 31 August 1903.	No. 975, dated 20 February 1903. No. 7252, dated 2 December 1903.
Unrefined „ . . . . .	0 8 5		
Denmark—Candy and sugar in whole or broken loaves, plates, cakes, etc., whatever the colour may be, and white pulverised sugar which is lighter than the Amsterdam standard sample No. 18	0 8 10	From 1 March 1903	No. 975, dated 20 February 1903, and No. 2026 dated 25 March 1904, as amended by No. 2081, dated 29 March 1904.
Argentine Republic—Refined . . . . .	5 7 5	} From 1 March 1903 to 5 September 1905.	Ditto ditto.
Unrefined . . . . .	4 0 8		
Refined sugar or sugar polarising 96° and more.	6 0 2	} From 6 Sept. 1905	No. 4794-18-3, dated 6 September 1905.
Unrefined sugar or sugar polarising less than 96°.	4 8 9		
Sugar candy . . . . .	3 2 9		
Russia—Refined . . . . .	9 10 2	} From 1 March 1903 to 31 August 1903.	No. 975, dated 20 February 1903, and No. 2026, dated 25 March 1904, as amended by No. 2081, dated 29 March 1904. No. 7991-7, dated 26 August 1908.
Unrefined . . . . .	5 15 10		

NOTE.—Duties leviable in pursuance of Sections 8-A and 8-B of the Indian Tariff Act, 1894, were remitted by Notifications Nos. 7249 and 7252, dated the 2nd December 1903, in respect of sugar produced after the 31 August 1903, in any country being a party to the Brussels Sugar Convention of 1903, namely, the United Kingdom, Belgium, Germany, France, the Netherlands, Austria-Hungary, Italy, Sweden and Norway, Peru, Luxemburg, Russia and Switzerland.



# INDEX.

	Page		Page
<b>A</b>			
<b>ACREAGE—</b>		<b>BEEF—</b>	
Comparison of — under sugarcane and potential sugar obtainable therefrom during the last ten years	35	Discovery of the presence of sugar in — . . . . .	1
Under sugarcane in British Provinces and Native States	26	Estimate of the quantity of — required for production of a given measure of sugar in Austria and Germany . . . . .	3
— in India, and variations . . . . .	30	<b>— INDUSTRY—</b>	
<b>ACTS under which countervailing and special duties were levied . . . . .</b>	<b>60, 63</b>	Effect of the liberation of slaves in the middle of the nineteenth century on the — in France . . . . .	2
<b>ANALYSIS—</b>		<b>— SUGAR—</b>	
Of out Java canes under different conditions . . . . .	37, 38	<i>See</i> sugar.	
Result of the — of Java cane and loss incurred in the Indian method of production of sugar . . . . .	38	<b>BELGIUM—</b>	
<b>ANTIGUA—</b>		Countervailing duty on sugar imported from — . . . . .	60
Yield of sugar in — . . . . .	39	Sugar production in — . . . . .	46
<b>— AND ST. KITTS —</b>		<b>BENGAL—</b>	
Sugar production in — . . . . .	46	Area, area under sugar and population of each district in — . . . . .	54
<b>AREA, area under sugar and population of each district in—</b>		<b>BERAR—</b>	
Assam . . . . .	53	Area, area under sugar and population of each district in — . . . . .	58
Bengal . . . . .	54	<b>BOMBAY—</b>	
Berar . . . . .	58	Area, area under sugar and population of each district in — . . . . .	57
Bombay and Sind . . . . .	57	<b>BOUNTIES—</b>	
Burma . . . . .	52	Countries according — on the production or exportation of sugar . . . . .	10
the Central Provinces . . . . .	58	Countries formerly according — but subsequently discontinued them on their adhering to the Brussels Convention . . . . .	10
Eastern Bengal . . . . .	53	Estimate of — paid by France, Germany and Austria in 1899-1900 . . . . .	8
Madras . . . . .	59	Legislations and — in Russia . . . . .	8
the North-West Frontier Province . . . . .	57	— in Austria . . . . .	7, 8
the Panjab . . . . .	56	— in Germany . . . . .	6, 7
the United Provinces . . . . .	55	International Convention relating to — on sugar of 1902, its objects and effects . . . . .	
<b>AREA UNDER SUGARCANE—</b>		<b>BOUNTY—</b>	
Decrease or increase in the — in certain provinces . . . . .	27	How the inadequate estimate of the quantity of beet required for production of a given measure of sugar, proved to be a — to a factory in Austria and Germany . . . . .	3
— in the main divisions of British India . . . . .	27	Origin of the — system and its leading features . . . . .	3
— and outturn of raw sugar in the main divisions of British India . . . . .	33	<b>— FED SUGAR—</b>	
<b>ARGENTINE REPUBLIC—</b>		Additional or special duty levied by the United States on continental— . . . . .	10
Countervailing duty on sugar imported from — . . . . .	60	<b>BRITISH GUIANA—</b>	
<b>ASSAM—</b>		Sugar production and average output per factory in — . . . . .	46
Area, area under sugar and population of each district in — . . . . .	53	<b>BRUSSELS CONVENTION—</b>	
<b>AUSTRIA—</b>		Countries formerly according bounties, but subsequently discontinued them on their adhering to the — . . . . .	10
Estimate of bounties paid by France, Germany and — in 1899-1900 . . . . .	8	<b>BURMA—</b>	
Legislations and bounties in — . . . . .	7, 8	Area, area under sugar and population of each district in — . . . . .	52
<b>AUSTRIA-HUNGARY—</b>			
Countervailing and special duty on sugar imported from — . . . . .	60, 63		
<b>B</b>			
<b>BARBADOS—</b>			
Sugar production in — . . . . .	46		

	Page		Page
<b>C</b>		<b>CONSUMPTION—</b>	
<b>CANE—</b>		Increase in the — of sugar imported into India during last 10 years . . . . .	35
Analysis of cut Java — under different conditions . . . . .	37, 38	Of sugar per head of population in India . . . . .	34
Description of the cultivation, irrigation arrangement and cost of transport of — from the field to the mill in Java . . . . .	42	Of sugar in Japan and supply thereto from mills in Formosa . . . . .	46
Deterioration of cut — . . . . .	37	<b>CONVENTION of November 8th, 1864; its object and effects . . . . .</b>	<b>3, 4</b>
High yield obtainable by means of improved — . . . . .	39	<b>COUNTRIES according to bounties on the production or exportation of sugar . . . . .</b>	<b>10</b>
Result of the analysis of Java — and loss incurred in the Indian method of production of sugar . . . . .	38	<b>CUBA—</b>	
Yield of sugar and cost of — in Hawaii . . . . .	49	Sugar production and average output per factory in — . . . . .	46
<b>— SUGAR—</b>		Yield of sugar in — . . . . .	32
See sugar.			
<b>CARTELS—</b>		<b>D</b>	
In Austria-Hungary and Germany . . . . .	9	<b>DEFECTS in the methods of cultivation of sugarcane and production of sugar in India . . . . .</b>	<b>36</b>
Effects of Austrian and German — on the Indian import markets . . . . .	23	<b>DEMEREASA—</b>	
<b>CENTRAL FACTORY—</b>		Yield of sugar in — . . . . .	32
Danger of delay in introducing — in India . . . . .	41	<b>DENMARK—</b>	
Discussion about loss attending the Indian method of production of sugar and how it can be avoided by adoption of central factory . . . . .	40	Countervailing and special duty on sugar imported from — . . . . .	61, 63
Government assistance in the establishment of — not applicable to India . . . . .	40	<b>DETERIORATION of cut canes . . . . .</b>	<b>37, 38</b>
High yield not essential for success of — . . . . .	39	<b>DIA NIPPON SEITO KAISHA—</b>	
<b>— SYSTEM—</b>		Working and production of — mill in Formosa . . . . .	45, 46
Adoption of — in West Indies and its advantages over the old methods . . . . .	47, 48	<b>DISCUSSIONS on the adoption of central factory in India . . . . .</b>	<b>38, 39</b>
— and the position of the small proprietors in West Indies . . . . .	48	<b>DUTIES—</b>	
Discussions on the adoption of — in India . . . . .	38, 39	Acts under which countervailing and special— were levied . . . . .	60, 63
Essentials of the — and the possibility of its adoption in India . . . . .	36, 39, 40	Countervailing — on sugar imported from Italy . . . . .	62
Subsidy allowed by the Formosan Sugar Bureau for encouraging adoption of — . . . . .	44	— Belgium . . . . .	60
Views of Sir Alexander McRobert on — in Java and Fiji . . . . .	42	— Chile . . . . .	62
<b>CENTRAL PROVINCES—</b>		— Holland . . . . .	62
Area, area under sugar and population of each district in the — . . . . .	58	Countervailing and special — on sugar imported from Argentine Republic . . . . .	60, 63
<b>CERTIFICATES—</b>		— Austria-Hungary . . . . .	60, 63
Great Britain to issue—of "non-bounty" to sugar refined therein . . . . .	8	— Denmark . . . . .	61, 63
<b>CHILE—</b>		— France . . . . .	61, 63
Countervailing duty on sugar imported from — . . . . .	62	— Germany . . . . .	61, 63
<b>COMMERCE—</b>		— Russia . . . . .	62, 63
Table showing general growth of India's — during 26 years, 1884-85 to 1909-10 . . . . .	11	Government of India Notifications for levy of countervailing and special — . . . . .	60, 63
<b>COMPARISON OF THE YIELD OF SUGARCANE, production of mills and the price of sugar under the old and central systems in Formosa . . . . .</b>	<b>44</b>	Import, internal taxes and refund of — on exportation of sugar in Germany . . . . .	6
<b>CONFERENCE—</b>		<b>DUTY—</b>	
Fifth — of 1873; its objects and effects . . . . .	4	On estimated beet consumption in factories in Austria, Russia and Germany and its refund on exportation of sugar produced . . . . .	3
Fourth — of 1872; its objects and effects . . . . .	4	On imported foreign sugar in France in the beginning of the nineteenth century . . . . .	2
Second — of 1868 . . . . .	4	On beet sugar in France in the beginning of the nineteenth century . . . . .	2
Sixth International sugar — held at Brussels in 1875; its objects and effects in France . . . . .	4	On sugar levied in England for the first time . . . . .	1
Third — of 1869 . . . . .	4	Levied by the United States Government on continental bounty-fed sugar . . . . .	10

	Page
<b>E</b>	
<b>EASTERN BENGAL—</b>	
Area, area under sugar and population of each district in — . . . . .	53
<b>ENSUIKO SEITO KAISHA—</b>	
Working of the — mill in Formosa, and yield . . . . .	45, 46
<b>ESTIMATE—</b>	
Of bounties paid by France, Germany and Austria in 1899-1900 . . . . .	8
— the quantity of beet required for production of a given measure of sugar in Austria and Germany . . . . .	3
<b>F</b>	
<b>FACTORIES—</b>	
Estimation of the number and capacity of— required for production of sugar required for consumption in India . . . . .	51
Instances of — in the sugar enterprise in Hawaii . . . . .	50
Production of the — in Mauritius . . . . .	47
<b>FACTORY—</b>	
Average output per — in Mauritius . . . . .	46
<b>FIJI—</b>	
Description of the working of Lautoka mill in — Sugar production in — . . . . .	42
Views of Sir Alexander McRobert on central factory system in Java and — . . . . .	42
Yield of sugar in Java and — . . . . .	42
<b>FORMOSA—</b>	
Consumption of sugar in Japan and supply thereto from mills in Formosa . . . . .	46
Expected production of the mills of Schinko Sugar Company, in Formosa in 1909-10 . . . . .	46
— Tokio Sugar Company, in — . . . . .	46
— Meichhi Sugar Company in — in 1909-10 . . . . .	46
— Taiwan Company in — in 1909-10 . . . . .	46
Premium paid by the Formosan Government for exports of sugar from — to Japan . . . . .	46
Progress of sugar industry in — . . . . .	45, 46
Regulations for the control of sugar mills in — and their effects . . . . .	43, 44
Revival of sugar industry in — . . . . .	43, 44
Subsidy allowed by the Sugar Bureau in — for encouraging adoption of the central factory system . . . . .	44
Sugar production and average output per factory in — . . . . .	46
Working and production of Dia Nippon Seito Kaisha mill in — . . . . .	45, 46
— Ensuike Seito Kaisha mill in — and yield . . . . .	45, 46
— Hokuto Sugar mill in — . . . . .	45
— Meiji Seito Kaisha in — . . . . .	45
— Nitakskaya Sugar Company in — . . . . .	46
— of the Toyo Seito Kaisha mill in — . . . . .	45
— Taiwan Seito Kaisha mill in — . . . . .	43, 44
<b>SUGAR DEVELOPMENT COMPANY—</b>	
Particulars of the working of the mills of the —, the yield and the price of sugar produced . . . . .	45

	Page
<b>FRANCE—</b>	
Countervailing and special duty on sugar imported from — . . . . .	61, 63
Estimate of bounties paid by —, Germany and Austria in 1899-1900 . . . . .	8
Sugar production and average output per factory in — . . . . .	46
<b>G</b>	
<b>GERMANY—</b>	
Countervailing and special duty on sugar imported from — . . . . .	61, 63
Estimate of bounties paid by France, — and Austria in 1899-1900 . . . . .	8
Legislations and bounties in — . . . . .	6, 7
Sugar production in — . . . . .	46
<b>GREAT BRITAIN to issue certificates of "non-bounty" to sugar refined therein . . . . .</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>GROWTH—</b>	
Table showing general — of India's commerce during 28 years, 1834-85 to 1909-10 . . . . .	11
<b>GUADALOUPE—</b>	
Sugar production in— . . . . .	46
<b>GUY—</b>	
Average annual prices of — and their variations . . . . .	23
<b>H</b>	
<b>HAWAII—</b>	
Sugar production and average output per factory in— . . . . .	46
Yield of sugar and cost of cane in— . . . . .	32, 49
Development of sugar industry in — . . . . .	49, 50
<b>HAWAIIAN COMMERCIAL AND SUGAR Co.—</b>	
Working of the factories of the— . . . . .	49
<b>HAYTI—</b>	
Sugar production and average output per factory in— . . . . .	46
<b>HOKUTO SUGAR MILL—</b>	
Working of the—in Formosa . . . . .	45
<b>HOLLAND—</b>	
Countervailing duty on sugar imported from— . . . . .	62
<b>I</b>	
<b>IMPORTS—</b>	
Of beet and cane sugar by sea from foreign countries into principal ports, quantity and value . . . . .	18, 19, 20, 21
Tables showing variations in the — by sea of beet and cane sugar into India, quantity and value . . . . .	13
— by sea of beet and cane sugar into India, quantity and value . . . . .	12
— imports by sea of beet and cane sugar from different foreign countries, quantity and value . . . . .	16, 17

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION for uniform methods of sugar analysis; its objects, the countries represented in it, and the places and time of the meetings . . . . .	Page 5
INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION— Countries forming parties to the— . . . . .	4
Relating to bounties on sugar of 1902; its objects and effects . . . . .	4
Signatories to the— . . . . .	4
INTERNATIONAL SUGAR CONFERENCE— The sixth — held at Brussels in 1875; its object and effects in France . . . . .	4
ITALY— Countervailing duty on sugar imported from— . . . . .	62
<b>J</b>	
JAGGERY— Price of Madras—in London . . . . .	34
JAMAICA— Sugar production in— . . . . .	46
JAPAN— Consumption of sugar in — and supply from mills in Formosa . . . . .	46
Premium paid by the Formosan Government for exports of sugar from Formosa to— . . . . .	46
JAVA— Sugar production and average output per factory in— . . . . .	46
Views of Sir Alexander McRobert on central factory system in — and Fiji . . . . .	42
Yield of sugar in — and Fiji . . . . .	32, 42
<b>L</b>	
LAUTOKA MILL— Description of the working of the — in Fiji . . . . .	42
LEADING FEATURES— Origin of the bounty system and its — . . . . .	3
LEGISLATIONS AND BOUNTIES— in Austria . . . . .	7, 8
Germany . . . . .	6, 7
Russia . . . . .	8
LIBERATION OF SLAVES— Effect of the — in the middle of the nineteenth century on the beet industry in France . . . . .	2
LOSS— Discussion about — attending the Indian method of production of sugar and how it can be avoided . . . . .	36, 37, 40
LOUISIANA— Sugar production and average output per factory in — . . . . .	46
Yield of sugar in — . . . . .	32
<b>M</b>	
MADRAS— Area, area under sugar and population of each district in— . . . . .	59

MARTINIQUE— Sugar production in — . . . . .	Page 46
MAURITIUS— Exports of sugar from — . . . . .	47
Production of the factories in — . . . . .	47
Sugar production in — and the various districts in it; also average output per factory . . . . .	46
Yield of sugar in— . . . . .	32
MCRROBERT, SIR ALEXANDER— Views of — on central factory system in Java and Fiji . . . . .	42
MEIDSHI SUGAR COMPANY— Expected production of the mills of—in Formosa in 1908-10 . . . . .	46
MEIJI SEITO KAISHA— Working of the — mill in Formosa . . . . .	45
<b>N</b>	
NATAL— Sugar production and average output per factory in— . . . . .	46
Yield of sugar in— . . . . .	32
NETHERLANDS— Sugar production in— . . . . .	46
NITAKSKAYA SUGAR COMPANY— Working of — in Formosa . . . . .	46
NORTH-WESTERN FRONTIER PROVINCE— Area, area under sugar and population of each district in the — . . . . .	57
NOTIFICATION— Government of India — for levy of countervailing and special duties . . . . .	60, 63
<b>O</b>	
OUTPUT— Average — per factory in British Guiana . . . . .	} 46
— in Cuba . . . . .	
— Java . . . . .	
— Louisiana . . . . .	
— Mauritius . . . . .	
— Porto Rico . . . . .	
— Queensland . . . . .	
— Spain . . . . .	
— Trinidad . . . . .	
OUTTURN— Area under sugarcane and — of raw sugar in the main divisions of British India . . . . .	33
— of sugar in India compared with that in other sugar-growing centres . . . . .	32, 34
<b>P</b>	
PALM SUGAR— Estimated yield of — in India . . . . .	34
PANJAB— Area, area under sugar and population of each district in the — . . . . .	54
PERMANENT BUREAU attached to the permanent commission; its duties . . . . .	

	Page		Page
<b>PERMANENT COMMISSION—</b>			
Established for supervising the execution of the International Convention of 1902; its duties, its duration, when first met and the usual time of meeting . . . . .	4		
<b>PHILIPPINES—</b>			
Sugar production and average output per factory in — . . . . .	46		
<b>POPULATION—</b>			
Area, area under sugar and — of each district in—			
Assam . . . . .	53		
Bengal . . . . .	54		
Berar . . . . .	58		
Bombay and Sind . . . . .	57		
Burma . . . . .	52		
the Central Provinces . . . . .	58		
Eastern Bengal . . . . .	53		
Madras . . . . .	59		
the North-West Frontier Province . . . . .	57		
the Panjab . . . . .	56		
the United Provinces . . . . .	55		
<b>PORTO RICO—</b>			
Sugar production and average output per factory in— . . . . .	46		
<b>PORTS—</b>			
Imports of beet and cane sugar, refined and unrefined, by sea from foreign countries into principal —, quantity and value . . . . .	18, 19, 20, 21		
<b>POTENTIAL SUGAR—</b>			
Comparison of acreage under sugar cane and — obtainable therefrom during the last ten years . . . . .	35		
<b>PREMIUM—</b>			
Paid by the Formosan Government for exports of sugar from Formosa to Japan . . . . .	46		
<b>PRICES—</b>			
And yield of sugar produced in the mills of the Formosan Sugar Development Company . . . . .	45		
Average annual — of gur and their variations . . . . .	23		
Average annual — of beet and Java sugar in London, Calcutta, Bombay and Karachi and of Mauritius sugar in Bombay . . . . .	23		
Comparison of the yield of sugar cane, production of mills and—of sugar under the old and central systems in Formosa . . . . .	44		
Comparison of the — of imported sugar with that of local raw sugar and discussion as to the reason for rise in the price of raw sugar . . . . .	35, 36		
Early — of sugar in Europe . . . . .	1		
Of Madras jaggery in London . . . . .	34		
Variations in the monthly — of beet and cane sugar in London, Calcutta, Bombay and Karachi and of Mauritius sugar in Bombay . . . . .	24, 25		
<b>PRODUCTION—</b>			
Comparison of the yield of sugarcane,—of mills and price of sugar under the old and central systems in Formosa . . . . .	44		
Expected — of the mills of Taiwan Company in Formosa in 1909-10 . . . . .	46		
— of the mills of Tokio Sugar Company in Formosa in 1909-10 . . . . .	46		
— Meidshi Sugar Company in Formosa in 1909-10 . . . . .	46		
— of the mills of Schinko Sugar Company in Formosa in 1909-10 . . . . .	46		
Of the Factories in Mauritius . . . . .	47		
		<b>QUEENSLAND—</b>	
		Yield of sugar in — . . . . .	32
		<b>R</b>	
		<b>REFUND—</b>	
		Allowed on exportation of sugar from France in the beginning of the nineteenth century . . . . .	2
		Import duties, internal taxes and — of duties on exportation of sugar in Germany . . . . .	6
		Of duty imposed on beet consumption in a factory in Austria, Russia and Germany on exportation of the sugar produced . . . . .	3
		<b>REGULATIONS for the control of sugar mills in Formosa and their effects . . . . .</b>	<b>43, 44</b>
		<b>REUNION—</b>	
		Sugar production in — . . . . .	46
		<b>RUSSIA—</b>	
		Legislation and bounties in — . . . . .	8
		Countervailing and special duty on sugar imported from — . . . . .	62, 63
		<b>RUSSIAN GOVERNMENT—</b>	
		Regulations of the — and their effects upon the production, exports and prices of sugar . . . . .	9
		<b>S</b>	
		<b>SCHINKO SUGAR COMPANY—</b>	
		Expected production of the mills of — in Formosa in 1909-10 . . . . .	46
		<b>SIND—</b>	
		Area, area under sugar and population of each district in — . . . . .	57
		<b>ST. DOMINGO—</b>	
		Sugar production and average output per factory in — . . . . .	46
		<b>SUBSIDY—</b>	
		Allowed by the Sugar Bureau in Formosa for encouraging adoption of the central factory system . . . . .	44
		<b>SUGAR—</b>	
		Alimentary value of — . . . . .	11
		Area, area under — and population of each district in —	
		Assam . . . . .	53
		Bengal . . . . .	54
		Berar . . . . .	58
		Bombay and Sind . . . . .	57
		Burma . . . . .	52
		the Central Provinces . . . . .	58
		Eastern Bengal . . . . .	53
		Madras . . . . .	59
		the North-West Frontier Province . . . . .	57
		the Panjab . . . . .	56
		the United Provinces . . . . .	55
		Average annual price of beet—in London, Calcutta, Bombay and Karachi . . . . .	23
		Average annual price of Java, — in London, Calcutta, Bombay and Karachi . . . . .	23
		Average annual price of Mauritius, — in Bombay . . . . .	23

	Page		Page
<b>SUGAR—contd.</b>		<b>SUGAR—contd.</b>	
Comparison of acreage under sugarcane and potential — obtainable therefrom during the last ten years . . . . .	35	Production and average output per factory in British Guiana, Cuba, Fiji, Formosa, France, Hawaii, Hayti, Jamaica, Louisiana, Natal, Philippines and St. Domingo . . . . .	46
Comparison of the yield of sugarcane, production of mills and price of — under the old and central system in Formosa — . . . . .	44	Quantity and value of — potential, raw, and commercial (including imports) in India . . . . .	34
— price of imported—with that of local raw — and discussion as to the reason for rise in the price of raw sugar . . . . .	35, 36	Regulations for the control of — mills, in Formosa and their effects . . . . .	43, 44
Consumption per head of population in India . . . . .	34	Result of the analysis of Java cane and loss incurred in the Indian method of production of — . . . . .	38
— in Japan and supply thereto from mills in Formosa . . . . .	46	Specific mention of — in European literature . . . . .	1
Countervailing duty on—imported from Belgium . . . . .	60	Statement of beet and cane —, refined and unrefined, by sea from foreign countries into principal ports, quantity and value . . . . .	18, 19, 20, 21
— Chile . . . . .	62	Table showing imports by sea of beet and cane — into India, quantity and value . . . . .	12
— Holland . . . . .	62	— quantity and value of beet and cane — imported from different foreign countries by sea . . . . .	16, 17
— Italy . . . . .	62	— variations in the imports by sea of beet and cane — into India, quantity and value . . . . .	13
Countervailing and special duties levied on — imported from Argentine Republic, Austria-Hungary, Denmark, France, Germany and Russia . . . . .	60, 61, 62, 63	— monthly prices of beet and Java — in London, Calcutta, Bombay and Karachi and of Mauritius sugar in Bombay . . . . .	24, 25
Defects in the methods of cultivation of sugarcane and production of — in India . . . . .	36	— variations in the average monthly arrivals of cane and beet — . . . . .	15
Discovery of the presence of — in beet . . . . .	1	<b>SUGAR BUREAU—</b>	
Discussion as to how Indian — may be made to compete with the imported sugar . . . . .	36	Subsidy allowed by the—in Formosa for encouraging adoption of the central factory system . . . . .	44
— about loss attending the Indian method of production of sugar and how it can be avoided by adoption of central factory . . . . .	40	<b>SUGARCANE—</b>	
Duty on—levied in England for the first time . . . . .	1	Acreage under — in British Provinces and Native States . . . . .	26
Early cultivation of—in Europe . . . . .	1	— India, and variations . . . . .	30
Early history of beet — industry in Germany . . . . .	1	Area under — and outturn of raw sugar in the main divisions of British India . . . . .	83
— prices of—in Europe . . . . .	1	Area under — in the main divisions of British India . . . . .	27
Encouragement of the French colonial — in France in the early days . . . . .	1	Comparison of acreage under — and potential sugar obtainable therefrom during the last ten years . . . . .	35
Estimated total potential raw — in India . . . . .	34	Comparison of the yield of —, production of mills and price of sugar under the old and central systems in Formosa . . . . .	44
Estimated yield of palm, — in India . . . . .	34	Decrease or increase in the area under — in certain provinces . . . . .	27
Exports of—from Mauritius . . . . .	47	Defects in the methods of cultivation of — and production of sugar in India . . . . .	36
First arrival of—in Europe . . . . .	1	Discussion about turning over of the abandoned area under — to other crops and the grounds for doing so . . . . .	30
Imports and exports of — by land in India . . . . .	22	Effects of the cheap imported sugar on the contraction of the area under — . . . . .	30
— into and exports from each province in India of — by sea and rail and the net quantity retained . . . . .	28, 29	Statement showing area under—net area cropped and area irrigated in the United Provinces . . . . .	31
Increase in the consumption of—imported into India during last ten years . . . . .	35	Yield of — Antigua . . . . .	39
— or decrease in the imports of—by sea and rail for each province . . . . .	28, 29	— in Java and Fiji . . . . .	42
Index numbers showing variations in the relative quantities of various descriptions of — imported by sea . . . . .	14	— Cuba, Demerara, Hawaii, Java, Louisiana, Mauritius, Natal, the United Provinces and West Indies . . . . .	32
Industry in France in the nineteenth century . . . . .	2	— and cost of cane in Hawaii . . . . .	49
Loss incurred in the manufacture of — in India and how this can be avoided . . . . .	36, 37	<b>SUGAR CONFERENCE—</b>	
Outturn of — in India compared with that in other cane-growing centres . . . . .	32	Calling of the first—in 1863 . . . . .	2 & 3
Period of largest importations of Java, Mauritius and beet — . . . . .	15	Countries participating in the first — of 1863 . . . . .	3
Premium paid by the Formosa Government for exports of — from Formosa to Japan . . . . .	46		
Price and yield of — produced in the mills of the Formosan Sugar Development Company . . . . .	45		
Production and encouragement of — in France by imposition of duties on foreign imports at the beginning of the nineteenth century . . . . .	2		
Production in Mauritius and the various districts in it, also average output per factory . . . . .	46, 47		
Production of — in Antigua and St. Kitts, Barbados, Belgium, Germany, Guadalupe, Java, Martinique, Netherlands, Porto Rico, Reunion and Trinidad . . . . .	46		