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# REVIEW OF THE TRADE OF INDIA IN 1936-37. 

## PART I-REPORT.

CHAPIER I


#### Abstract

General: ..- World Economic Conditions.-The year under review was marked by a distinct acceleration in the process of economic recovery which started in many countries from the middle of 1932. In the earlier stages, the improvement was confined to particular countries or industries, but in 1936, the world appeared to have definitely emerged from the paralysing conditions of the great depression. The recovery, however, is still national in character and the rate of growth, as well as the level attained, varies considerably from country to country and from one business activity to another. The upward movement began in what may be called the "sterling group" of countries soon after the United Kingdom went off the gold standard. The movement spread to Japan and the United States of America when these countries also devalued their currencies. In 1934 and 1935, stocks of primary commodities were being gradually worked off and restriction schemes for the regulation of production of various commodities twere adopted on a voluntary basis by some of the chief producers. In addition to these restrictive efforts, the droughts which occurred in North America and other important countries greatly helped to reduce stocks still further. As a result, the general level of commodity prices had begun to show an upward trend even before 1936. But in the latter half of that year, these tendencies received a fresh impetus by the collapse of the gold block under the leadership of France and the devaluation of the erstwhile gold eurrencies in September. The cumulative effect of these causes was a sharp rise in the prices of many commodities during 1936 and the first quarter of 1937. Super-imposed on these general forces tewards recovery was the influence of heavy Government expenditure on armaments in many countries which gave a great stimulus to the heavy industries. Prices of iron and steel manufactures soared high as a result, and the boom conditions thus created naturally led to increased investment in these industries which were precisely the industries in which employment and investment had been most depressed since 1929. This had an exhilarating effect on the general economic situation. The recovery, being however still mainly national in character, did not lead to a similar advance in international trade, which was 15 per cent less in volume in the year undar review as compared with 1929. Conditions of international trade were no freer than at the bottom of the depression when such devices as high import tariffs, quotas, clearing agreements, and other measures regulating trade were


## General.

adopted by several countries. In recent times, especially since the devalustion of Continental currencies, the movement.towards freer trade and the removal of artificial barriers has gained greater popularity and strength, especially in the three leading democracies of England, America and France, but still there have been fewt tangible results of this change in opinion.

The progress of economic recovery in the world as a whole may be clearly seen from the graph below which shows the movement of the following three series :-
(1) Industrial production.
(2) Stocks of commodities.
(3) International trade.


Industrial production in the world decreased almost without a break from the last quarter of 1929 up to the middle of 1932, the world index of indus-

## General.

trial activity recording a drop of over 30 par oent in that year. Thereafter proluction increased steadily and in 1936 it was 10 par cent more than it 1929. International trade, on the other hand, did not recover to the sami extent. Since 1929, the quantum of world trade shrank by a quarter in 1932 There was hardly any change in the year following but a slow recovery aet in from 1934. The level attained in 1936 was, however, still 15 per cent below that of 1929. The third series showing the stocks of commodities followed a complementary conrse to that of industrial production. Stocka continued to accumulate from 1929 till the middle of 1932 when they showed a rise of over 60 par cent. Thereafter, a process of gradual reduction set in. At first the rate was fairly slow, but after 1934, the pace quickened and stockr were rapidly liquidated, uatil by the end of 1936, they returned almost to the level of 1929.

It has been stated above that the recovery was national in character and that the rate of growth as well as the level attained in each country differed eonsiderably. This is brought out clearly in the graph below :

General Indices of Industrial Production.
[Bese: 1929 = 100.].


## General.

Industrial production in the world reached its lowest level. in 1932. Sincer then recovery started in many countries though in paxying degrees. Exclading U. S. S. R., the largest increase was in the case of Japan where production in 1936 was. aver 50 per cent more than in 1929. In the United Kingdom, industrial production, after dropping in 1932 to $83 \cdot 5$ per cent of that in 1929, rose to 116 per cent in 1936. In the first quarter of 1937, the index was 123, The fall in industrial activity in the United States of America in 1932 was much greater than in the United Kingdom, production dropping to nearly half of what it was in 1929. The decline was arrested after that year and in 1936, the index of activity was only 12 percent less than in 1929. By March, 1937, the level attained was almost the same as in the pre-depression year. The course of industrial production in France was substantially different from that in either the United Kingdom or the United States of America, As, apart from the differences in economic structure, France followed a different moretary policy and maintained the old gold parity of the currency till September, 1936. Production in France fell by nearly a third in 1932. There was a slight revival in the following year, but again a decline set in which took the index-back to 67 in 1935. After the devaluation of the franc, production slowly recovered, the index in March, 1937, being nearly 75. The recovery would have beer greater but for the strikes in many French industries in recent months. In the other countries also, production showed a general rise since 1932, noticeable among them being Germany where production in 1936 was 6 per cent more than in 1929.
$:$
The effect of rearmament on the progress of recovery can be seen, to some extent, from the marginal table which gives the world production of steel

| T'ofal production of Stesl and it Index Number. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1020=100$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ric tons millions) | Indey No. |
| 1020 | - |  | 117 | $100 \cdot 0$ |
| 1030 | * |  | $9 \pm$ | 78.8 |
| 1031 | - |  | 07 | 87.8 |
| 1932 |  |  | 49 | 41.3 |
| 1093. | , |  | 60 | 58.7 |
| 11984. | - | . | 80 | 08.8 |
| 1085. |  | . | 08 | $8 \mathrm{Sc}-1$ |
| 1080. | - | , | 118 (a) | $100 \cdot 0$ |

(a) Biden Japuary, 1036, exctadling Italy nud since July exeluding Spaln. (Ingots and Castings) since 1929. The total production of steel fell from 117 million metric tons in 1929 to 49 million metric tons in 1932 or a fall of nearly 58 per cent. Since then, production rose very considerably, especially in 1936, when it amounted to 118 million metric tons and was a little more than in 1929; as compared with 1932, the increase was nearly two and a half times. The enormous growth since that year, especially during 1936, shows the effect of rearmament and of the increased activity in the building and constructional industries.

The progress of industrial recovery may also be gauged by the rise in market values of industrial shares and by the growth of profits. Industrial profits fell to extremely low levels in most countries in 1932 as compared with 1929 and in some cases were actually negative, indicating a net loss in industrial enterprise. Since 1932, profits gradually increased, the recovery being more rapid than the rise in industrial output. This is a normal development in every business cycle; profits tend to fluctuate more widely between the bottom and peak years as compared with the physical output of industry. As profits fluctuate, so does the market value of industrial shares and here also the range of difference between the lighest and the lowest points is.

## General.

much wider than in industrial output. The graph below shows the trend of market values of industrial shares in the principal countries.


Fluctuations in share values were less violent in the United Kingdom as compared with other countries. From 100 in 1929, the index of industrial shares fell to 60 in 1932. Thereafter, recovery was rapid and consistent, the index in 1936 being 116 or 16 points more than in 1929; as against 1932, this mesnt almost a doubling of the market value of industrial shares. There was a slight recession in April, 1937, when the index dropped to 112. The course of prices of industrial shares was more violent in the United States of America where the index fell from 100 in 1929 to 24 in 1932. Thereafter, there was a great improvement which was particularly remarkable in 1936. From 24 in 1932, the index rose to 48 in 1935 and 67 in 1936. It is interesting to note that in August, 1935, the index was only 50 , whereas in March, 1937, it rose to 80 or an increase of 60 per cent in a year and a half. In the gold block

## General.

countries led by France, the recovery in industrial share values had hardly started before 1936. 'Prices of French industrial shares fell from 1929 to August, 1936, the index dropping from 100 to 25 in the latter month. Since then, there was a sharp rise, the index in March, 1937, being over 51, or more than double of that in August, 1936. The Netherlands followed almost a parallel course to that of France. Belgium carried out its devaluation in 1935 and, as a result, recovery started in that country earlier than in France. The Belgian index of share values fell from 100 in 1929 to 31 in 1934. Sincethen, it recovered, the rise being particularly noticeable in the last eighteen months when from 36, in September,. 1935, the index rose to 69 in February. 1937.

Comprehensive indices of industrial profits are compiled and published!. by very few countries and those available are not strictly comparable. A few samples of the materials available in certain countries may be briefly given. By far the most comprehensive index of profits published is that of the Economist for the United Kingdom. The marginal table gives the chain index of profits as published by that
 journal for the United Kingdom. It will be seén that profits decreased in 1932 to less than two-thirds of what they were in 1929. In 1933, there was hardly any recovery but profite began to expand after that year, the index in 1936 being over 96 or only 4 points less thanin 1929. Similar profit figures are not available for 1936 in most other countries. But in the United States of America, statistics published by the National City Bank of New York show that the rate of return on nominal capital which had fallen from $13 \cdot 5$ in 1929 to a negative figure in 1932 rose to $5 \cdot 1$ in 1935. In Belgium, the percentage retarn on paid-up capital fell from 20.2 in 1929 to 1.6 in 1933; since then, it rose to 3.7 in 1935 . These figures, incomplete as they are, show that profits have shown a marked rise aince 1932, especially in the United Kingdom and the United States of America.

World Prices.-One of the most important indications of business activity is the movement of wholesale prices. The catastrophic fall in the price level aince 1929 was the most visible expression of the trade depression, while its rapid rise during 1936 has been the most obvious indication of

## GeneraI.

returning prosperity. The coarse of prices since 1929 in the more important countries is shown in the graph below:

Indices of Wholesale Prices.
[Base: 1929=100.]


Wholesale Prices in the United Kingdom began their downward movement from the second quarter of 1929 and fell almost uninterruptedly till 1932 when the index was 75 per cent of that in 1929. In the next three years, prices rose very slowly, the average index for 1935 being only 78 and that for 1936, 83. Since the middle of 1936, the rate of increase has been considerably accelerated. From 81 in June, 1936, the index has risen to 97 in May, 1937, or an increase of nearly 20 per cent. In the United States of America, prices declined from the middle of 1929 to the first quarter of 1933. After the devaluation of the dollar, the price leval rose, the increase being greater than in the United Kingdom. From the middle of 1936, American prices have advanced rapidly, the index being 92 in April, 1937, as against 83 in June, 1936. The downward trend of prices in France was more prolonged than in either the United Kingdom or the United States and it was not till the middle of 1935 that prices took an opward turn. By that time, the index had fallen to nearly half of what it was in 1929. A small rise in prices oceurred up to the middle of 1936, but since the devaluation of the franc, a spectacular increase began, the index being 88 in April, 1937, as compared with 67 in September, 1936. In Germany, prices fell sharply till 1933. After that year, an upward movement started, but the increase was not so great as in other countries especially during 1936. The Japanese price level recerded a drop of nearly a third up to the end of 1931. But since her departure

## General.

from the gold standard, prices began to xise, the index in 1935 being 84. In the last year and a half, prices have advanced even more rapidly, the index in March, 1937, being 109. It will thus be seen that except in the gold block countries prices fell till 1932 or 1933 ; since then, they have steadily risen, the rate being considerably accelerated during 1936. In the gold block countries, the revival in prices is of very recent date.

An encouraging development in the price movements of recent years has heen the readjustment of the inequalities that had crept into the price structure during the period of depression. When the downward movement of prices started in 1929, its reaction on different classes of commodities showed marked divergences. In particular, the prices of agricultural commodities and raw materials fell much more than those of non-agricultural commodities and manufactured articles. This affected the purchasing power of the agricultural and the primary producing countries and deepened the depression in a large part of the world. Since 1933, particularly during the past year, this disparity between agricultural and non-agricultural prices has largely disappeared, resulting in a more equitable price structure. Prices of raw materials and agricultural commodities have risen gradually since 1933, and the increase has been greater than in the case of manufactured goods. As a result. the price indices of these two classes of goods have shown closer approximation to each other. This has had a beneficial effect on the economic conditions of agricultural and raw material producing countries and has helped economic recovery by the increase in purchasing power of these countries and consequently in their demand for industrial products. The rise in prices of primary commodities has been particularly marked since the second half of 1936. The rise has been fairly general and not confined to those commodities the supply of which was reduced by restrictive schemes or drought. Even commodities, the supply of which had actually increased, shared in this advance. In other words, the increase in prices was primarily due to an improvement in demand and was the result largely of the general economic recovery. This rise in the prices of agricultural commodities and raw materials is one of the most noteworthy features of the year under review.

World Monetary Conditions.-The greatest monetary change of the year was the collapse of the gold block and the consequent devaluation of the currencies of various continential countries. The French franc was devalued on the 25 th September, 1936, the limit fixed being $25 \cdot 2$ and $34 \cdot 3$ per cent below the old parity. The devaluation of the franc was followed by that of the Swiss franc. The Netherlands almost immediately placed an embargo on the export of gold and virtually left the gold standard. The Czech crown was further devalued and the Italian lira was placed on a new gold basis representing nearly 50 to 60 per cent of its old gold value. All these changes were carried out with a minimum of disturbance to the foreign commodity and capital markets and no perceptible setback was caused to the general trend of economic revival. Economic recovery, in fact, received a fresh impetas by the devaluation of these currencies.

Apart from the changes in foreign exchanges consequent upon the devaluation of the franc and other gold block currencies, there was little disturbance of the exchange market. In the sterling dollar cross rate, there was a difference of 4 per cent between the highest and lowest quotations during 1936-37. In other exchanges, the most noteworthy movement during 1936

## General.

was the growing strength of the currencies of the primary producing countries consequent on higher prices of raw materials and agricultural commodities.

Throughout the year under review, money was cheap and bank advances showed signs of expansion. Interest rates both on short-term and longterm loans were generally low in 1936 and the money market experienced easy conditions in most countries. During the year, the long-term interest rate moved downwards, but this tendency was corrected in the early months of 1937 both in the United Kingdom and the United States, where the prices of government-securities and bonds were marked down. Business on the stock exchanges was generally active and there was a considerable appreciation in share values.

Agricaltaral Conditions.-This brief survey of world conditions shows the slow progress of recovery since 1932 and its rapid advance from the middle of 1936. India followed the general world trend, although owing to the special conditions of the country, the course of recovery was somewhat different from that of other countries. The main feature to be remembered in the case of India is that, in spite of the rapid industrialization of recent years, she still remains predominantly an agricultural country and the economic welfare of the people depends largely on agricultural conditions in the country as well as abroad. The depression which started in 1929, hit agricultural countries with special severity. The unpreçedented fall in the prices of primary commodities was the most marked feature of the depression, and India, in common with other agricultural countries, suffered severely from this. Although the improvement in agricultural prices began some time previously, it was only in the year under revien that there was an appreciable advance in the prices of India's agricultural products. The trend of agricultural prices may be seen from the following graph which shows the index number of prices of India's staple agricultural comnodities.

Index Numbers of Agricultural Prices (India).
[Base-Average Quotation for 1028-20 $\Rightarrow 100$.]


## General:

Agricultural prices declined almost consistently from 1928-29 to 1933-34. Thereafter, there has been some improvement. The most striking rise has cecurred in the case of tea, due to the Tea Restriction Scheme which came into jperation in 1933, Prices of tea which had fallen by 54 per. cent in 1932-33. :ose steeply immediately after the scheme came into operation and though. this level was not maintained in the next two years, yet prices were generally high, and in 1936-37 a further increase took place which carried the quotation. to within 10 per cent of the pre-depression level. Prices of linseed have shown the next largest recovery. This has been due mainly to the successive short crops in the Argentine and North America. The price of linseed fell by nearly 45 per cent from 1928-29 to 1932-33. Since then it has recorded fair improvement, the index number during the year under review being 70. Raw cotton prices were nearly halved between 1928-29 and 1931-32. In the next two years, the rise was very slow and in 1936-37 prices were still 40 per cent below those of 1928-29. In the case of jute, prices fell by nearly 60 per cent and the rise was very meagre even in 1936-37, when, the average quotation for the jear was less than a half of that for 1928-29. In the last few months, prices. of raw jute have increased to some extent. Rice also fell sharply in the years up to 1933-34, showing a decline of over 60 per cent. Prices however rose in the last two or three years the average level in 1936-37 being only 45 per cent lower than in 1928-29. Wheat prices dropped by nearly two-thirds between 1928-29 and 1931-32. They rose rather abruptly the next year but fell off again in 1934-35. There was a rapid rise in wheat prices during 1936-37 the quotation in March 1937 recording an improvement of one rupee a maund or 42 per cent as compared with April 1936. In the case of groundnut, prices declined by 60 per cent in 1933-34. In the last three years, however, pricesadvanced and in 1936-37 they were nearly two-thirds of what they were in-1928-29. On the whole, therefore, agricultural prices registered increases of varying proportions in the last two or three years, the increase being particularly marked during 1936-37. Prices even in that year were however much lower in the case of most commodities than in 1928-29.

Apart from seasonal vicissitudes, agricultural production in India has shown no definite trend since 1928-29. This is to be expected as there has been no organised attempt to regulate production except in the case of rubber, tea and to some extent, jute. In the latter case, there has been some propagands to reduce the acreage under the crop, but this does not appear to have materially affected either the acreage or the output of raw jute. On the other hand, some incentive to increase the area under linseed was provided by the larger demand from the United Kingdom for this article as a result of preference, and this, coupled with the relatively better prices secured for it, resulted in an increase in production. The area sown under sugarcane also recorded an increaso in 1936-37 by over 75 per cent as compared with 1929-30 and this remarkable expansion is mainly accounted for by the rapid growth of sugar industry in Jndia during the last few years.

It has heen remarked above that agricultural prices improved in a marked manner during 1936-37. This was chiefly the result of a general recovery in the demand for primary commodities and raw materials. But the effects of this have been influenced in the case of each agricultural commodity by the special conditions ruling in that market in India as well as in other countiries.

## General.

- The following is a brief summary of the conditions prevailing in regard to India's staple commodities in 1936-37.

Raw Cotton.-The position of raw cotton in the world was generally favourable during 1936-37 and prices registered considerable improvement especially in the first quarter of 1937. In the first part of the year under review the reduction in the estimate announced by the American Bureau after a much larger outturn was predicted in the first forecast acted as a bullish factor in the market. In subsequent months this estimate was gradually raised to the original level and as a result prices sagged to some extent. Thafloods in the Ohio Valley also affected the prospects of the crop. Later in the year other factors materially helped to raise the price of raw cotton. The most important of these was the increased consumption of cotton throughout. the world. This was estimated at nearly one million bales more in 1935-36 season (ending July, 1936) ns compared with the previous yenr. The balfyearly figures for $1936-37$ indicate that the consumption during the current season will be considerably bigger than in 1935-36. This increased demand for raw cotton naturally led to a rise in its prices. This was helped also by the general recovery in commodity markets in the latter half of 1936-37. In sympathy with this general upward movement, raw cotton prices rose and attained a new high level in March, 1937. At the same time there was a considerable reduction in stocks of raw cotton, particularly those held by the United States Government which greatly stimulated the market. The increasein the demand for raw cotton was reflected in a great increase in India's export trade in this article. Other countries purchased from India 4.3 million bales during 1936-37 which was the highest level reached for many years and was: ahout a million bales more than the shipments during 1935-36. The favourable parity of Indian cotton as compared with the American further encouraged exports.

Raw Jute.-Conditions in the raw jute market deteriorated gonerally. in the year under review and it was only towards the end of the year that someimprovement became noticeable. The main cause of the unfavourable situntion was the breakdown of the Agreement regarding working hours in the jute mills which created considerable nervousness as regards the future of the industry. As a result, the prices of jute manufactures slumped heavily during the year and raw jute prices followed suit. Even the larger demand for the raw material consequent on the increased production in the induastry following the breakdown of the Agreement had little effect on the raw jute market. The favourable weather conditions at sowing time and the reports from the . districts that the area sown had increased in 1936 as compared with the previous. year were additional depressing factors. The outturn of jute in 1936 amounted to 9.6 million bales as against 7.2 million bales in 1935 . As a result of these causes, raw jute prices in the year under review were on a lower level than during 1935-36. In the last few months, however, a better tonc prevailed in the raw jute market and prices advanced at a fairly rapid rate after September. The cause of this rise was chiefly a better demand from foreign marketsaand also from the Indisn mills. Moreover, the mill industry wassettling down to the new conditions and the crisis appeared to have considers ably eased towards the close of the year. This better outlook in the industry yaturally encouraged the raw jute market. Further the continued propaganda.
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for the restriction of the crop and the consequent smaller acreage in the last few years helped to hring the production of raw jute into close relation with -the world's requirements. The cumulative effect of these forces begat to be felt as soon as the threatened crisis in the mill industry showed signs of relaxation. At the end of the year therefore the outlook for raw jute was generally hopeful bat the position was not entirely free from uncertainty.

A development of considerable importance to the trade occurred during the year under review. The Royal Commission on Agriculture had recommended the establishment of a Jute Committee to watch over the interests of all branches of the trade. No action had been taken for many years on this proposal. But in May, 1936, the Government of India announced their intention to set up a Central Jute Committee on which the interests of the jute growers and the manufacturers would be equally represented. The Committee started work in Calcutta at the end of 1936 and its duties are to promote all interests of the trade, undertake research work, improve crop, forecasts .and marketing and furnish information in regard to banking, transport facilities, etc.

Groundnuts.-Most of the oilseeds registered substantial inoreases in prices in 1936-37 as compared witli the first half of 1934 when the lowest point was reached although the level attained was still well below that of 1928. Supplies of oilseeds during 1936-37 were generally not so plentiful as in the previous year and as the demand improved prices all round were on a higher and more remunerative level. The reduction of heavy accumulated stocks and a better demand for oils and fats created a more hopeful outlook in the industry. The world position of groundnuts during 1936-37 was decidedly better than in the previous year. Although supplies were expected to - continue on a normal scale, it was anticipated that they would be readily absorbed in view of the decline in the visible world stock of oilseeds, oils and fats and that the increased consumption of these oilseeds within the producing countries would probably affect the total exportable surplus. Moreover, .at the prevailing level of prices; groundnuts were cheap as compared with palm kernels and copra and were expected to be taken in larger quantities by crushers.

The outturn of groundnuts in India in 1936-37 was estimated at 2.8 million tons as compared with $2 \cdot 3$ million tons in the preceding year and 1.9 million tons in 1934-35. Exports of groundnuts from India during the year under review showed a decided improvement over those in 1935-36. With the rise -in prices this meant a substantially larger value for shipments.

Linseed.-Linseed shared in the general improvenent of oilseed prices but to some extent the expectation of a large crop in the Argentine had a damping effect on the market and the rise in the earlier part of the year was not as great as had been expected. But later it was known that the prospect of a larger crop would not be realised fully and as a result prices rose and the market closed on a atrong tone at the end of the year. In the last five years, the vagaries of the Argentine crop have greatly affected the linseed market.

## General

Fromi 1932-33 the Plate crop failed badly in three out of the five years and only in one season 1934-35 it reached 2 million tons. This helped Indian linseed' greatly and in the United Kingdom market the preference granted on imports: of Indian linseed was of some value also. As a result of these factors, linseed ${ }^{\text {: }}$ prices in India never slumped to the same extent as those of other agricultural commodities and exports from India have been generally on a high level: -during the last four years. The outlook for linseed at the end of the yearunder review was decidedly hopeful. Increased industrial activity in most European countries and in the United States of America was expected to lead. to a greater consumption of linseed oil and at its present price it would continueto draw the attention of refiners also. It is not usual for linseed oil to beused for edible purposes but if for any length of time its price remains moreattractive than that of interchangeable oils, certain quantities move into the edible trade. During 1936 it was reported that this had happened and thisnew demand, if it expands, may lead to a rise in linseed prices.

The failure of the Argentine crop in three years and the preference on imports into the United Kingdom greatly. increased the exports from India except in 1935-36 when the large Plate crop of 1934-35 came into the world market. During 1936-37 the exports amounted to 296 thousand tons out of which 217 thousand tons went to the United Kingdom.

Wheat.-There was a remarkable increase in the world price of whent: in 1936-37. During the depression, wheat had probably suffered more than other cereals and even up to the 1935-36 season its price had not risen to the same extent as those of other foodstuffis. The price of No. A Manitobs in Liverpool which was 74s. 9id. per quarter ( 480 lbs .) in March 1925 had deolined to 20 s . 9 d . per quarter by September, 1931. Thereafter there was some increase but till the beginning of 1936 the rise was very slow. Since then wheat prices advanced rapidly, the increase between. March, 1936 and March, 1937, being 50 per cent. The causes of this big rise were briefly the reduction in the carry over of wheat and a succession of unfavourable crops in the larger producing countries coinciding. withan increase in demand. The world production of wheat decreased from 3,748 million bushels in 1932-33 to 3,358 million bushels in 1936-37. Ir the same period, the world's exportable surplus went down from $1 ; 253$ million bushels to 700 million bushels. As a result of shorter crops and less exportable surplus in this period, the end of the seasbin-stocks of wheat in the world decreased from 625 million bushels at the end of 1932-33 senson (July, 1933). to 190 million bnshels af the end of 1935-36. In July, 1937, that is, at the end of the 1936-37 season, the stocks were expected to be reduced to 120 million bushels. The removal of this incubus of heavy stocks eased the situation and with stocks at such a low level coinciding with a short crop again in North America in 1936, wheat prices rose to a much higher level during 1936-37. But it must be observed that this decrease jn stocks was brought about largely by a succession of crop failures in America. The good conditions in the wheat market therefore appear to be bnsed on a auccession of formutouscircumstances"and it is difficult to say what the position would be if the tide of crop failures was reversed, especially in the exporting countries.

## General.

The rise in the world price of wheat made India figure once again ns a wheat exporting country. During 1936-37 exports of wheat from India amounted to 232,000 tons as against the insignificant figure of $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ tons in 1935-36. This increase was made possible by the favourable turn in the parity of Indian wheat. Internal prices of wheat in India rose rapidly in sympathy with the upward movement of wheat prices in the world. The price in April, 1936, was only $\mathrm{\# 2}-6-0$ per maund at Lyallpur ; by March, 1937, it rose to $733-60$ or an increase of 42 per cent. This was not due to a shortage of supplies in India as the crop harvested in the summer of 1936 was of normal size and the published forecast of the new crop harvested in 1937 showed actually an increase of nearly 400,000 tons. In spite of this the price in April, 1937, appreciated by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ annas over the March level.

Rice.-After the separation of Burma from India rice would cease to figure in India's export trade as it is only Burma that has an exportable surplus. India proper is in fact a rice importing country on balance, in spite of the fact that the screage under rice in the country is the highest' under any crop accounting for nearly one-fourth the total area cultivated. Daring the year under ' Teview, however, Burma still formed a part of India and the rice trade and conditions of both countries bave to bo considered together.

The price of rice remained comparatively steady during 1936-37 and the average price for the year was almost the same as that for the preceding year. Even the variations from month tocuonth during the year under reviep were moderate. Except in December and January, the quotations varied between a range of 6 to 7 per cent. The remarkable steadiness in Burma rice prices was chiefly due to the improvement in internal demand in that country. The cultivators in Burms were better off during the last two years as a result of bigher prices all round, and this naturally increased the internal ronsumption of rice and reduced the exportable surplus. The increase in the price of wheat must have had some efiect on the rice market, especially as it was expected that increased wheat prices would encourage exports of wheat from India and the consumption of rice in the country would naturally increase. The very short rice crop in India proper in 1935-36 which came inta the market during the greater part of $1936-37$ also helped te maintain the demand for Burma rice. - Thess factors explain the steadiness in rice prices even though the foreign export demand was not very active in the year. The larger Indinn orop in 1936-37 led to'a fall in prices in the first quarter of 1937.

The conditions in Saigon and Siam were even better than in Burma. In Siam the exportable surplus was the lowest for many years and the market in that country was also supported by a good demand from the Strait Settlements and China. The Saigon market remained firm on the whole and in the latter half of 1936-37 it improved owing to an increased demand from France.

Industrial Conditions.-As in most countries which suffered from the effects of the great depression, particularly in the iterling group, the first definite signs of an upward movement. in industrial conditions made their
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appearance in India during or after 1932. The graph below shows the development of industrial production in India since 1928-29.

## Indices of Industrial Production (India).

[Base : 1928-29=100.]
[For Finished Steel Baso : 1929-30=100.]


Production in all industries except coal recorded considerable increases during the period. The largest increase was in the case of sugar, its production more than trebling itself." The next largest increase was in the case of cotton piecegoods where the yardage produced was nearly doubled in the period. In the case of cement there was an incrense of 80 per cent, and about 70 per cent- more steel was produced in 1936-37 than in 1928-29. Paper registered an increase of over 25 per cent. In the case of the jute industry, production figures for years before 1932-33 are not readily availables. Since that year the output in the industry increased by nearly 38 por cent. In the case of coal, however, production showed no increass and in 1936-37 it was slightly less than in 1928-29.

In contrast with the course of production, prices of industrial products pursued an almost consistently downward course in India since 1928-29. In. other countries also, in spite of the economic recovery, prices of manufactured
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goods ahowed relatively little tendency tolincrease, The reasons for this, however, are not the same in India as in the older manufacturing countries. In these countries, although output increased greatly since recovery began ${ }_{r}$ - it still remained within the limits of the existing unused productive resources and permitted a thinner spreading of overhead costs. Since no substantial addition to the capital equipment was involved, overhead cbarges became less per unit as output increased. In India also this factor has probably operated to somee extent. But during the last few years there have been other forces, more important, which have tended to reduce prices. The growth of production behind protective tariffs has in recent years reached an stage when the effects of internal competition have been acutely felt, leading in some cases to price-cutting. On the other hand, this competition has alsoencouraged greater efficiency in methods of production and helped to reduce the cost of production and this saving in cost has been passed on, at least partially, to consumers by way of reduced prices: That India has increased her capital equipment very considerably is obvious from the increased imports. of machinery in recent years. The increase is particnlarly noticeable in the imports of sugar and cotton textile machinery. Internal competition and increased efficiency in methods of production appear therefore to be the maincause of the almost consistent drop in prices of industrial products in India in recent years. The index numbers of prices of these industrial products ass available from the Calcutta and Bombay wholesale index numbers have been graphed below.

## Index Numbers of Prices of Prinoipal Industrial Commodities.

[Babe: 1028-20=100.]
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Prices of cotton mannfactures in Bombay fell from 1928-99 to 1936-37 by nearly 40 per cent. In the case of jute manufactures, the fall in the Calcutta market was even greater amounting to nearly 60 per cent. Iron and steel prices did not decline to the same extent as cotton or jute manufactures, the drop in this case being 22 per cent till 1935-36. In the last six months of the year under review prices of iron and steel goods increased very rapidlyin sympathy with a world rise on account of re-armament programmes. From 77 in November, 1936, the inder rose to 105 in March, 1937, or an increase of 36 per cent in four months. Prices of sugar fell by, nearly 30 per cent during the period, the largest fall being in 1936-37 of nearly 15 points. The index number of coal prices fell by nearly 40 per cent. But here again, as in the case of iron and steel, there was a pronounced upward movement since December, 1936, the increase since then being 16 points or nearly 27 per cent in three months. This was partly due to the strike on the B. N. Railway and the shortage of labour in the coal mines; but to some extent the boom in the heavy industries meant also a better demand for coal. On the whole, it will be seen that prices of most industrial artioles fell from 30 to 40 per cent; the fall in jute manufactures, however, was nearly 60 per cent. This fall in prices was, however, synchronous with a fair increase in the profits of these industries since 1932-33, indicating that the fall since that year was due largely to keener competition and a reduction in the cost of production. The movement of industrial profits and the index number of industrial securities since 1932-33 will illustrate this.

The following graph shows the index numbers of profits in the various industries.
$\cdots$ Index Numbers of Industrial Profits.
[Base: 1928- 100.]
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The profits in the various industries have been computed from the data available in the Investors' India Year Book. All the companies which have been in continued existence since 1928 up to the present have heen included under each industry and the total profits as declared by these companies added together. These statistics, though incomplete, are a fair index of the profite earned in these industries especially in view of the fact that during the period there have been no material variations in the capital of the companies selected. It may be remarked, however, that in some of the newer industries like sugar and cement, it has not been possible to include all the companies working at present as many of them were started after 1928. Another caveat may be entered here regarding the comparison of these profit statistics with the index number of industrial securities. In' the profit statement all companies for which statistics are available have been included, wheress in the industrial securities index only representative firms which have been in existence for a number of years have' been taken. As a result, the number of companies included in the profit statement is much larger than those in the industrial securities index. In spite of this difference in the basis of compilation, the trend of both graphs is similar except in the case of coal where some of the mines which were making consistent losses affected the profit figures whereas the representative companies of the coal industry included ir the security index showed a fair increase in profits in recent years:- - -

It will be seen from the grapl that taking the year 1928 as the base, the index number of profits in the cotton mill industry in 1930 fell sharply to 38 . In 1933 the index number registered a further decline of 4 points, though in 1931 and 1932 profit indices were as high as 53 and 83, respectively. This was probably due to the srudeshi movement which increased the profits of the cotton mills in these two years. Profits were generally on a much higher level in 1934 and 1935. The fluctuations in the profits of the jute industry were more violent. Profits declined from 1928 and were nearly one-twelfth in 1931. From then till 1935 they gradually increased, the inder in that year being 38. Conditions in the tea industry deteriorated ontil 1932 when the industry as a whole showed a net loss on balance. With the introduction of the restriction scheme profits spurted up and in 1933 were almost equal to those of 1928 . They receded by 46 points in 1934 but again in 1935 the index number of profits was 67. The sugar industry showed the largest increase in profits as was to be expected. Profits remained onchanged from 1928 to 1930 but in the neat two years they rose
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rapidly being nearly three times in 1932 as compared with the base year. There was a slight decrease in 1933 followed by a much larger decrease during the next two years which brought down the index number to 135 in 1935. This was largely due to the starting of many new factories and the consequent overproduction in the industry. Profits in the cement industry were almost at the same level between 1928 and 1932. In the next two years, however, the index number rose considerably until in 1935 it was 128 . Coal skowed Jittle or no increase. Profits in that industry rose from 1928 to 1930 by nearly 37 points. During the next three years, they fell to less than half, the index in 1933 being 65 only. There was a slight incease during the next two years, the index in 1935 heing 69.

Complete figures of profits for 1936 are not available at present. In order, however, to ahow the trend of profits during that year a compilation was made showing the profits of those companies for which statistics were available for 1936 and comparing them with the profits declared by the same companies in 1935. The marginal table gives the profits on the basis of the same companies during 1935 and 1936. The table suggests that profits in cotton, tea and sugar industries were probably more during 1936 as compared with the eatlier years. In cement the data available are tery meagre being only for one company, but diven there the profit ahowed some increase. On the other hand, profits in the jute industry for which almost complete figures are available for 1936 recorded a big fall. This was mainly the result of the crisis in the industry following the breakdown of the Agreement regarding working hours. In coal, the position was alightly worse than in 1935. On the whole, however, it appears that what complete data become available, 1936 will probably prove a better year than 1935 for most industries except j̣nte.

The trend of general indnstrial conditions could also be roughly measured by the grand total of the profits in the various industries. As the same companies are taken throughout the period, the result would give a fair indication of the trend of profits in recent years. The

| - | . Oraind todal of profth. ( $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}$ Lakhy). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\substack{1028 \\ 1920}}$ | : : : : | 10,90 |
| 1038 | , - | 4.0 |
| ${ }_{1}^{18381}$ | : $\quad$ : | 8,60 |
| 11838 | $\because$ | 4,59 |
| ${ }_{2935}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {b, }}^{6}$,00 | marginal table shows the total profits declared by the various companies for which statistics are continuously available from 1928. The total profits of these companies during that year amounted to $\mathrm{P} 10,90$ lakhs.. The actual depression atarted at the end of 1929 and profits during that year were therefore only slightly affected by it and amounted to R9,46 lakhe. In the next two years conditions were particularly acute and profits fell to f22,60 lakhs in 1931. From the early part of 1932 when the worst of the depression was over, profits gradually increased, the figure in 1935 being \# 5,90 lakhs or an increase of $\mathrm{Xl} 3,30$ lakhs over the 1931 figure. It may be noted, however, that profits were still considerably less than in 1928 and 1929.
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The position and prospects of Indian industries may also be roughly indicated by the movement of ordinary share prices. The following graph shows the index number of prices of industrial shares in the more important industries since 1928-29.

Index Numbers of Variable-Yield Securities.
[Bese: 1928-20 = 100.]


Prices of most industrial shares fell from 1928-29 to 1931-32. Since then, there has been some revival. The index number of cotton mill shares declined to 63 in 1931-32, but during the next three years it rose considerably, the level attained in 1934-35 being 82. There was a recession in pricas during 1935-36 which brought down the index to 74 but during 1936-97 the index' number recovered to 76. In the last few months of the year 1936-37, prices of cotton shares rose very rapidly, the index number in March 1997, being 96. The prices of jute shares fell by more than a half between 1928-29 and 1932-33. There wàs a revival in the next two years, the index in 1934-35 being 69. Conditions worsened in the next two years as a result of the breakdown in the Agreament regarding working hours and there was a drop of over 10 points in the index number in 1936-37. Coal shares rose in value from 1928-29 to 1929-30 in keeping with the increase in profits, the index in that year being 50 per cent more than in the earlier year. Since then conditions deteriorated espeoially after 1931-32, the index in 1932-33 being only 80 or nearly half of what it was in 1929-30. The prices of coal shares increased since then, the index during 1936-37 being almost the same as in 1929-30. During the last few months of 1936-37 prices showed a marked increase. Conditions in the tea industry ahowed almost a consistent deterioration till 1932-33 when prioes of tem shares dropped to 45 per cent of what they were in 1928-29. During
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the next two years there was a atriking increase following the Tea Restriction Scheme which raised the index number to 87 in 1934-35. Prices receded again during the two following years and there was a drop of over 15 points in the index number. - There was, however, a considerable revival in tea shares in the last five ồ six months of the year under review. The number of sugar companies included in the index number are not numerous but they are quite representative of the better type of sugar companies which have been in existence for a number of years. The index number of these shares remained round about $100^{\prime}$ till 1931-32. Thereafter there was a large increase which took the index number to 174 in 1933-34. There was a drop of 11 points in the next year but the index rose tor 180 idiuring 1936-37. Unlike most industries, the price of sugar shares declined very considerably daring the last seven or eight months, the index number in March 1937, being 159. This was probably due to the threatened overproduction in the industry. As compared with 1928-29, the price inder of shares of cement companies dropped to 53 in 1931-32. They rose steadily theresfter, the index in 1936-37 being 163. Prices of cement shares greatly appreciated during the last few months of 1936-37 and the index in March, 1937, was 194. This was due to the successful formation of the merger which in the opinion of the market greatly improved the prospects of the industry.

Prices in India.-There are two important indices of wholesale prices published in India; the one compiled by this Department refers to Calcutte While the other is compiled by the Labour Office, Bombay. Movements of these index numbers give a rough idea of the general trend of wholesale prices in India.

The following table shows the wholesale price indices in the two centres as sell as in the United Kingdom, United States, Japan, France and Australis :-

Index Numbers of Wholesale Prices.

| $\cdots{ }^{*}+$ | Cale |  | India ciambay |  | Ualted <br> King- <br> Board | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Bulted } \\ \text { Btate } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Amarles } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Canade | $\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c} \text { Australla } \\ \text { Mel } \\ \text { bourne } \end{array}\right.\right]$ | Japan | Frades |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July 1014 -100 | $\begin{aligned} & 1029 \\ & =100 \end{aligned}$ | Jaly 1014 -100 | $\begin{aligned} & 1820 \\ & =100 \end{aligned}$ | 1989=100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1020 Averuge | 141 | 100-0 | 145 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | $100 \cdot 0$ | 200.0 | 100-0 |
| 1080 " | 110 | 82.8 | 120 | 86.0 | 97.5 | 90.7 | 90.6 | 88.5 | 82.4 | 88.4 |
| 1981 " | 96 | 68.1 | 100 | 76.2 | 76.8 | 76.8 | 76.4 | 78.2 | 80.6 | 80.0 |
| 1988. | 01 | 64.5 | 109 | $75 \cdot 2$ | 74.9 | 68.0 | 89.8 | 78.3 | 78.8 | 68.2 |
| 1098 - | 87 | 61.7 | 09 | 67.6 | -75-0 | 69.8 | 70.2 | 78.2 | 81.8 | 68.4 |
| 1984 " | 89 | 08.1 | 05 | 05.5 | 7711 | 78.7 | 74.9 | 81.6 | $80 \times 8$ | 600 |
| 1085 | 91 | 64.5 | 09 | 08.8 | $77 \cdot 8$ | 88.9 | 75.4 | 81.5 | 84.4 | 64.0 |
| 1096 | 01 | 64.5 | 06 | 86.2 | 82.7 | 84.8 | 78.0 | 85.0 | 89-0 | 05.5 |
| 5 nn 1087 | 98 | 00.5 | 104 | 71.7 | 00.1 | 00.1 | 850 | 01.2 | 106-1 | 85.8 |
| Web. $\quad$ " | 90 | 70.2 | 103 | 71.0 | 010 | 90.6 | 86.7 | 80.7 | 104.8 | 85.0 |
| Mrar ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 100 | 70.9 | 110 | 76.0 | 04.0 | 92.1 | 80.4 | 91.6 | 109.1 | 87.7 |
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The Calcutta wholesale index number declined from 100 in 1929 to nearly 62 in 1933. There was an increase of only' 3 points in the index daring the last three years. Since the middle of 1936, however, prices increased considerably, the index number gaining about 7 points by March 1937. The Bombay index number followed more'or less a parallel course but the increase since the middle of 1936 was greater being "nearly 10 points. It rill be noticed. that prices in India have not risen to the same extent as in other countries.

The depression affected agricultural prices more than those of industrial products. The gradual rectification of the disparity between the two sete of prices to which reference has been already made, was particularly marked after the middle of 1936 when the prices of primary commodities recorded $a$ considerable appreciation. This is showh, by an examination of the price: txends of exported and imported axticles into which:the Calcutta index number may be roughly divided. Indis being an agricultural country mainly "exports' agricultural goods whereas her imports consist largely of industrial products. An examination of the trends of export and import prices would therefore: show roughly the movements of agricultural and industrial prices. The

the case of exported articles. whereas it was only 17 per cent in the case of imported axticles. In March, 1936, the level of export prices was 38 per cent below the pre-depression level and that of imported articles was lower by 30 per cent. Exported articles increased in value and by March, 1937, the fall was only 29 per cent as compared with September, 1929 . Imported articles, on the other hand, registered a fall of 25 per cent. Thus the difference between the two was reduced to 4 points. The position is more clearly brought out by the figures in the other two colnmns in the table. Since December, 1931 , when the disparity was the greatest, the prices of exported articles generally rose, the increase in March, 1937, being I7 per cent. On the other hand, prices of imported articles declined by nearly 15 per cent in December 1936 and though there was some increase since that date, yet in March 1937 imported articles were 9 per cent cheaper thain in December I931. "The same conclusion is suggested by the index numbers of declared values of
 exports and imports compiled by this Department. The marginal table gives the inder numbers of declared values for exports and imports since 1927-28. The prices of exported articles fell by 41 per cent in 1931-32 as com: pared with 1927-28 whereas in the same period the prices of imported articles fell by 28 per cent only, the difference between the two levels being 13 points. In later years. the difference has been steadily narrowing and during 1935-36 and 1936-37 it was 5 points only.

## General.

Index numbers. of the Cost of Living.-Indices of the cost of living are not available for any rural tracts in India and even as regards urban areas they are available for only a few cities: No Indices exist for Calcutta, Madras, Cawnpore, Delhi and Lahore A complete idea of the general change in the cost. of living in India cannot therefore be given. Some indication of the changes in the urban cost of living may be obtained from the following table:-: ${ }^{\prime}$
Statement stiowing the Cost of Living Index Numbers for Bombay, Ahmedabad, Jharia and Jamshedput Centres during ihe period 1928-29 to 1936-37.


* Avarago for thrie montha, Jenuary-Muroh.

The cost of living fell steadily in Bombay from 147 in 1928-29 to 98 in 1934-35 representing a fall of 33 per cent. In the last two years the index stood at 102 showing an appreciation of only 4 points. In Ahmedabad the index number declined from 96 to 71 in 1933-34 showing a fall of 26 per cent. In the last four years the index number was ateady round about 71. Index numbers for Jharia and Jamshedpur are available only from 1930-31 and a comparison therefore with the other index numbers is not possible. The cost of living since that year declined in Jharia to 94 and in Jamsbedpur to 105 in 1933-34. In the last three years, the index stood fairly steady at about 103 in Jharia and 107 in Jamshedpur. These figures show no ap: precisble increase in recent years in the cost of living.

Financial Conditions.-Money market.-Monetary conditions were easy alenost throughout the year nnder review. Towards the end of the year; however, trade demand revived with the rise in commodity prices and there was also increased activity in the capital market. This led to some hardening of rates at the close of the year But on the whole, call-money rates and the discount on treasury bills were on the low side during the greater part of the year. The average call-money rate during the year under review was approximately ${ }_{\text {TI }}^{f}$ per cent in Calcutta and $\frac{7}{T Y}$ per cent in Bombay as compared with $1_{\frac{7}{4 B}}$ per cent and $1 \frac{1}{8}$ per cent in the preceding year and $4 \frac{17}{48}$ per cent and $5 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in 1931-32. The call-money rate atood at $\frac{1}{3}$ per cent in both centrees in April, 1933 and remained unchanged in Bombay till the end of July, 1936. Thereafter, it dropped at that centre to $f$ per cent and continued at that level till October, 1936. From the following month, there
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was an upward tendency and the rate advanced to $1 \nmid$ per cent by the middle of February, 1937. At the close of the year under review, the money conditions became somewhat easier, the rate varying between $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent and $\frac{3}{4}$ per cent in March, 1937. In Calcatta, the rate declined to $\frac{1}{1}$ per cent in June, 1936 and continued unchanged till January, 1937. In the next, month, it rose to 1 per cent bat again declined to $\frac{8}{4}$ per cent in March, 1937.

The abundance of funds in the market can also be seen from the discount rate allowed on treasury bills issued during the year. The average rate was 12 as. 5 p. per cent in April, 1936. It dropped to 7 as. 7 p . per cent by August ; thereafter, it rose steadily till in January, 1937, the level attained was mi-1-9 per cent. In the last two months of the year the rate declined to some extent and at the close of the year it was 15 as. 8 p . per cent.

The Reserve Bank rate remsined unchanged at 3 per cent throughout the year. The interest rate allowed on fixed deposits by the joint-stock banks was reduced further in the year, especially as the low call-money rate meant less profits on the floating funds of the banks. The Post Office savings bank rate was also brought down from $2 \frac{1}{2}$ to 2 per cent in July, 1936.

Banking.-The last half of the year under review witnessed a marked increase in the demand for loans. The absorption of banks' funds in trade and industry was particularly felt in the first quarter of 1937 when the recovery both in commodity and share prices gathered force. But the movement was steadily proceeding throughout 1936 as can be seen from the fact that the advances of the scheduled banks in India and the bills discounted by them on the lst of January, 1937 increased by over Pl 17 crores or 20 per cent as compared with the same date last year. In the same period the balances of these banks with the Reserve Bank declined by over flll crores or by 35 per cent.

The improvement in trading and industrial conditions was reflected in the note circulation and cheque olearances during the year. The average circula-tion during 1935-36 was $\mathrm{Al} 1,92$ crores a month. It inoreased to $\mathrm{R2}, 02$ crores a month in 1936-37, an advance of El 10 erores. The note circulation in April, 1936 was $\# 1,96$ crores. It rose steadily to \#2,03 crores by Augnst and remained unchanged at this level till October. In November there was a slight set back, the circulation amounting to $\mathbf{\# 2 , 0 2}$ crores only. But again during December and January circulation rose to R2,04 arores and in the last two months of the year it was $\mathbf{R 2} 2,08$ crores, thus showing an increase of nearly 712 orores on balance during the year. Cheque clearances also registered a aimilar increase. The total cheques cleared in 1936-37 amounted to P19,17 crores as compared with $\mathrm{Al} 18,27$ crores in the preceding year and P15, 15 crores in 1931-32. Cheques cleared during the year under review were only alightly less than those in 1928-29. Both the notes in circulation and the cheques clearel show clearly the larger oirculation of money during the year under review and reflect the improved conditions in the market brought about by the recovory of commodity prices and of industrial enterprise. To some extent the larger circulation was also due to tho greatly incrensed activity on the atock exchanges.
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Exchange.-The rupee-sterling exchange remained steady during the year at $18,6 \frac{3}{8} d$. The great improvement in the balance of trade in merchandise and the still considerable exports of gold led to easy conditions in the exchange market and even the large imports of silver did not cause any strain on the market. The Reserve Bank was able to purchase sterling to the extent of $£ 53.50$ million as compared with $£ 34 \cdot 41$ million during the preceding year and Government were amply provided with funds in London to meet their remittance obligations. These included the amount required to repay the $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent India Bonds amounting to nearly $f 17$ million without having recourse to borrowing in London.

Share markets.-The rise in industrial share values has already been noticed in an earlier section. Here therefore only a brief discussion of the general conditions of the share and capital markets need be attempted. -

The following graph shows the movement of indices of Government securities, fixed yield securities and variable yield securities.

Index Numbers of Indian Securities.
[Base: 1928-29=100.]


Government Securities.-The index number of Government securities declined from 100 in 1928-29 to 83 in 1931-32. Since then it rose steadily, the average for the year under review being 127. Prices of Government securities rose steadily from the beginning of the year till December. Cheap money conditions and the absence of competing outlets for investments, especially in the first half of the year under review, led to an appreciation of gilt-edged prices. The occupation of the Rhineland by German troops in March and tho constitutional crisis in England during November and
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December and the generally disturbed European situation on account of the Spanish conflict had but a temporary effect on the price of Government securities. Even the general improvement in trade in the second half of the year and the considerable absorption of available funds in new capital issues did not depress gilt-edged values until the first quarter of 1937. By that time competition from these outlets had some effect and the price index of Government securities dropped by 6 points. The loan programme of the Government of India which was comparatively small and the ease with which Government were able to borrow the required amount in May 1936 helped in the rise of Government securities. The repayment of the sterling bonds in London without recourse to fresh borrowing strengthened the market in India which was helped also by the strong tone of India's sterling stock in London.

Fixed Yield Securities. The index number of these securities fell from 100 in 1928-29 to 91 in 1931-32. There has been a consistent increase after that year, the index in 1936-37 being nearly 134. The prices of these securities generally move in sympathy with those of Government securities. The index number in April 1936 was 130. It rose to 136 in September and remained round about 135 in the next four months. A decline set in February and the index dropped by 5 points.

Fariable Yield Securities.-This index shows the trend of industrial conditions. From 100 in 1928-29 the index dropped to 65 in 1931-32. It steadily rose after that year, the level attained in 1934-35 being 108. There was no change in the next year but during the year under review there was another rise of 10 points to 118 . ${ }^{-T h e}$ index showed a marked rise in the second half of 1936-37. The index number in April 1936 was 107. It rose to 115 by October showing an increase of 8 points. In the last six months of the year there was even a more remarkable advance which raised the figure to 138 in March, 1937. Except jute, almost all industries registered increases, the most remarkable being iron and steel and cement. There was great activity on the Bombay and Calcutta stock exchanges and speculators were particularly active in the second-half of the jear, especially in steel and cement shares.

The recovery in industrial prospects which was noticeable from the increase in industrial share values was also reflected in the market for new capital. Conditions in this market during 1936-37 showed the growing confidence of the investing public in the future of industrial enterprise in India. The fresh flotations during the year under review were almost comparable with those immediately following the war. The new Companies Act which came into force on the 15th of January 1937 probably accelerated the issues that were in contemplation. But the chief factors at work were the greater confidence in industrial enterprise and the abundance of uninvested money. It has been estimated that over R16 crores were subscribed towards new issues during the year 1936-37. In addition, converaion operations were carried on and new loans were raised by local bodies such as the Calcutta Port Commissioners and the Calcutta Improvement Trust.

Trading Conditions. The total value of -India's exports during 1936-37 amounted to $\mathrm{Rl} 1,96$ crores which was R 36 crores more than in the preceding year and 1864 crores more than the lowest point reached in 1932-33. As compared with 1928-29, the pre-depression year, exports in the year under review still showed a deficit of $\mathrm{Hl}, 3$ erores or 41 per cent. This enormous
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shrinkage is almost entirely due to the decline in prices of exported articles which amounted to 41 per cent in 1936-37 as compared with 1928-29. The quantum of exports was in all probability slightly higher than that in 1928-29. Imports into India were valued at $\mathrm{Pl}, 25$ crores in 1936-37 as compared with \#1,34 crores in the preceding year and $\mathbf{7 l}, 15$ crores in 1933-34, the lowest figure attained during the period. As against 1928-29, when the value of imports was R2,53 crores, the fall in the year under review amounts to 51 per cent. Only a part of this shrinkage, however, is due to the decline in prices. As compared with 1928-29, the decrease in import prices was only about 35 per cent and there is little doubt therefore that the quantum of imports has been reduced considerably, unlike exports, as compared with the pre-depression. level.

The main feature of India's foreign trade during 1936-37 was a remarkable growth in the export trade as compared with the preceding year, the advance being nearly. F 36 crores. The largest increase occurred in the case of raw cotton amounting to $\mathrm{Pl} 10 \frac{3}{4}$ crores; oil-seeds accounted for a rise of $\mathrm{P} 8 \frac{1}{4}$ crores and jute raw and manufactures for 751 crores. Thus, these three items between them accounted for $s$ rise in value of $724 \frac{1}{2}$ crores or over two-thirds of the total. Smaller increases of 73 crores in grain, pulse and flour, and \#18 crores under hides and skins and a large aggregate increase of 76 薷 croresin other miscellaneous items account for the remainder of the advance in value during 1936-37.

Most of the items under imports recorded decreases during the year under review as compared with the previous year, the largest being under cotton yarns and manufactures amounting to nearly 73 3 crores. Imports of iron and steel manufactures shrank in value by over Pl 4 crores and those of motor cars and other vehicles by $\mathrm{fl} \frac{1}{8}$ crore. These three items account for a falb of $\mathbf{7 5 4}$ crores out of a total of about $\mathbf{7 9} 9$ crores. Two items to show increasa in value were machinery and other yarns and textile fabrics (except cotton) which between them registered a rise of nearly a ctore. All the other items shrank in value to the extent of nearly $\mathbf{P} 4 \frac{9}{4}$ crores.

Balance of Trade.-The increase in India's balance of trade is shownin the table below :-

| + | 1028-20 | 1982-38 | 1033-84 | 1084-35 | 1985-30 | 1036-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{R}$ (CTores) | A (Crores |
| Eixport of Indian merchandiso (privato) | 3 <br> $+3,80$ | ${ }_{\text {i }}+1,38$ | N $+1,47$ | ${ }^{2}$ (Crarcs) | $\underline{+1,61}$ | + +1.06 |
| Imports of torelin marchandise (infins ro-exports) private. (a) | -9,44 | -1,20 | -1,18 | -1,90 | -1,30 | $-1,18$ |
| Balance of trade in marchandise (private) | $+86$ | +8 | +35 | + 28 | +31 | +78 |
| Goid (private) | -21 | +06 | $+57$ | +58 | +87 | +98 |
| Sllver (prisate) , * - | -18 | 1 | . | $\ldots$ | -1 | -14 |
| Balanco of tranmactions in treasure in- | -34 | +05 | - +57 | +58 | +'80 | +14 |
| cluding carreticy notes (private). | $+58$ | +88 | +02 | +70 | $+67$ | +80 |

(a) Exclualve of the value of rallway materinis Imported direet by State Bailwayn workiog under compeny management, which way not puld for in the ordintery way.

The balance of trade in merchandise, which was over A 86 crores in 1928-29, had dwindled to R 3 crores in 1932-33. In the next three years, the balance was on a somewhat higher level, but in the year under review, it increased to nearly R 78 crores as compared with $\mathbf{R 3 1}$ crores in the preceding year. Indis

## General.

imported gold to the extent of F 21 crores in 1928-29. In 1932-33, the tendenoy was reversed and India exported $\mathfrak{f 6 6}$ crores worth of gold. Since then, gold exports have been steadily going down and in 1936-37 they amounted to only f 28 crores. Another interesting fact in the year under review is the revival of the imports of silver which amounted in value to R14 crores. As a result of this, the net balance of transaction in treasure in favour of India was only $\mathrm{P14}$ crores in 1936-37 as compared with P 36 crores in the preceding year and $\not 765$ crores in 1932-33. In 1928-29, India had a net balance against her in treasure to the extent of $\mathbf{\# 3 4}$ crores. The total balance of trade in favour of India amounted to n 92 crores in $1936-37$ as compared with $\mathbf{~} 67$ crores in the preceding year and $\mathbf{7 5 2}$ crores in 1928-29. This is a substantial figure and was exceeded only in 1933-34, owing chiefly to the larger exports of gold in that year.

Shipping.-The recovery in India's foreign trade may also be gauged by the movement of shipping. The tonnage of vessels cleared with cargoes during 1928-29 was 8.4 million tons. This decreased to 7.2 million tons in 1932-33, or by 14 per cent. After that year, shipping recovered steadily and in 1936-37, the tonnage cleared was 8.7 million tons or slightly more than in 1928-29. The tonnage of vessels entered with cargoes declined from 8.2 million tons in 1928-29 to 6.9 million tons or by 16 per cent in 1932-33. It rose steadily till 1935-36, when it amounted to 8.3 million tons, or a little more than the base year. But in 1936-37, it receded to 8.2 million tons which is almost the same as in 1928-29. On the whole, the tonnage entered and cleared is now almost equal to that in the pre-depression period.

The Quantum of Trade of India.-It was stated in an earlier paragraph that the volume of India's exports in 1936-37 was probably slightly greater than in 1928-29, whereas that of imports was considerably smaller. This conclusion is borne out by the table given below. To illustrate the variations in the quantum of trade, the values of the imports and exports of merchandise have been compiled on the basis of the declared values per unit in 1927-28 and are shown below. Changes in the price-level of imports and exports in relation to 1927-28 are also indicated by means of index numbers obtained by comparing these figures with the actually recorded values each year. These statistios are necessarily approximate, but they afford a rough measure of the course of India's trade and prices.
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The quantum of India's exports increased by nearly 8 per cent between 1927-28 and 1929-30. When the depression started in October, 1929, tradenaturally declined, and the lowest point in the quantum of exports was reached in 1932-33, when it was 75 per cent of the level of 1927-28 and about 71 per cent of that of 1928-29. From 1933-34, it steadily rose, the increase being particularly remarkable in the year under review. In 1936-37, it was greater than in 1928-29 by 1 point and was only half a point less than in 1929-80. The quantum of imports rose by 5 points in 1928-29 as compared with the preceding year. In the next year, it was only slightly reduced, but in 1930-31, it declined by 18 per cent and in the following year, the quantum was 29 per cent less than in 1927-28. In 1932-33, there was some revival of the import trade, but in the next year, it again declined and was only slightly higher than the lowest point reached in 1931-32. During 1934-35 and 1935-36, the quantities imported into India were on a much higher level being 84 and 87 per cent of 1927-28. There was a set back in the year under review again and the quantum of imports was only 80 per cent of that in the base year.

Inland Trade.-Indis's internal trade has also recovered in recent years with the growth of foreign trade and the expansion of industrial activity in the country. The following table shows this growth since 1933-34 in the case of the main commodities of internal trade. Figures for earlier years are not available as the collection of inland trade statistics was only resumed in that year.

Inland Trade.
(FIgrwow in 000 mda)


Almost all the items showed an increase in volume during 1936-37 as compared with the earlier years. The increase in coal and coke, grain, cotton raw, iron and steel goods and sugar was particularly noticeable. Most of the other items also registered advances and the total volume of inland trade in the principal articles was considerably higher in the year under reviow as compared with the earlier years.
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The growth of inland trade may also be measured to some extent by the -statistics of railway earnings and traffic published by the Railway Board. The following table shows these figures from 1928-29:-

Statement showing gross earnings, total wagons loaded from 1928-29 to 1936-37.


- Approximate figures.
$\dagger$ Figures for Burma Railways are approximate only.
The gross earnings of State Railways amounted to Fl 105 crores in 1928-29. They declined to f 84 crores in $1932-33$ or by 20 per cent. Thexe has been a steady increase after that year which was particularly noticeable in the year under review when the earnings amounted to A 96 crores or $\mathrm{Pl2}$ crores more thian in 1932-33. Similarly, the total wagons loaded on Class I-Railwayi declined from 7 million to nearly 6 million in 1932-33, after which the tide turned, the wagons loaded in the year under review being 7.3 million or an inorease of over 21 per cent.

Tarif Changes.-The changes in the tariff made under the various Acts passed during the later part of 1935 and the earlier part of 1936 were dealt with in the preceding year's Review. Since then two Acts have been passed, introducing certain changes in the tariff.

The Indian Finance Aot, 1937, raised the exoise duty on Khandsari Sugar from 10 as. par cowt. to RI-5 per cowt. and on sugar, other than khandsari or palmyra, from Al1-5 per owt. to $\# 2$ per owt. . This change in the excise duty involved a change in the import duty on sugar. The protective duty on sugar was imposed, in accordance with the recommendation of the Tariff Board, in April, 1931, at R77-4 a owt., but in the emergeney Budget of September, 1931, a surcharge of 25 per cent was added which brought it to the present level of \#n9-1 a cwt. when, instead of the revenue surcharge being removed, the excise was introduced in 1934, it was thought desirable to maintain an additional margin of 8 as . a cwt. between the duty on domestic sugar, which was fixed at P1-5 a owt., and that on imported angar. This additional margin was based on a subsidiary recommendstion of the Tariff Board, which apprehended that in certain circumstances the price of imported sugar might fall below the
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figure which the Board estimated to be the fair selling price for the Indian product. In conditions prevailing at the time of the introduction of the Finance Bill in the Legislature, sugar was being sold at prices so far below the price of imported sugar that there was no necessity or justification for maintaining any addition to the substantive protection of $\nexists 7-4 \mathrm{a} \mathrm{cwt}$. to which the industry was entitled until the 31st March, 1938. The import duty was

- accordingly fixed at the rate at which excise duty is for the time being leviable on sugar, other than khandsari or palmyra, plus $\nexists 7-4$ a cwt . The Act also increased ${ }_{\lambda}$ as a revenue measure, the import duty and correspondingly also the exoise duty on silver from 2 as. an ounce to 3 as. an ounce. The Act was assented to by the Governor-General on the 31st March, 1937, but the changes mentioned above became effective from the 28th February, 1937, under the provisions of the Provisional Collection of Taxes Act, 1931.
-The existing protective duty of twelve annas per maund on broken rice was continued for another year in the interests of the Indian rice grower under the Indian Tariff (Amendment) Act, 1937. The Act received the assent of the Governor-General on the 14th April, 1937, but the duty imposed by it was actually brought into force on the 31st March, 1937, under the Provisional Collection of Taxes Act, 1931, and is to remain in force :till the 31st March, 1938.

The protective daty of. Zl par owt. on wheat and wheat flour expired on the 31st March, 1937.

Besides the statutory changes mentioned above the dimport duty on grey cotton piecegoods (excluding bordered grey chadars, dhuities,' saris and scarves and printed cotton piecegoods) of British manufacture wias reduced from 25 per cent ad valorem or $4 \frac{3}{8}$ as. par lb ., whichever is higher to 20 per cent ad valorem or $3 \frac{1}{1}$ as. per Ib ., whichever is higher and that on other kinds of cobton piecegoods and fabrics of British manufacture with the exception of printed cotton piecegoods and printed fabrics assessable under Item Nos. . $48(3)(b)(1)$ and $48(9)(a)$ of the Indian Customs Tariff from 25 per cent ad valorem to 20 per cent ad valorem under section 4(1) of the Indian Tariff Act, 1934, as it was found after enquiry that the existing duties had become excessive for the purpose of securing the protection intended to be afforded by them to similar articles of Indian manufacture. These changes came into effect on the 25th Jone, 1936. Under the provisions of section 4(1) of the Indian Tariff Act, 1934, the minimum specific import duty on non-British fabrics assessable under Item Nos. 48(1)(b) and 48(5)(b)(ii) of the Indian Customs Thariff was increased from 4 as. to 5 as. per square yard and that on fabrics dissessable under Item No. $48(5)(a)$ (ii) from 34 as. to 4 as. per square yard with effect from the lst April, 1937. The excise duty on khandsari sugar which was increased from 10 as. per cwt . to $\mathrm{Ell}-5$ per cwt . by the Indian Finance Act, 1937, as stated above was reduced with effect from the 28th February 1937, to Al per ewt. in pursuance of clause 10 of the Sugar (Excise Duty) Order, 1934.

## CHAPTER II.

## Imports. of Merchandise.

The following table shows the comparative importance of the principal articles imported into British. India:-

Imports.
(In thoutands of Rapeob)

|  | 198\%-88 | 1089-84 | 1984-85 | 1935-36 | 1096-37 | Percantage on total import of merchandise <br> In 1986-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cotton and cotton goods | 84,08,53 | 21,30,05 | 27,04,58 | 27,89,62 | 28,88,02 | 18.63 |
| Machinery and millwork | 10,64,24 | 12,76,98 | 12,68,20 | 13,67,66 | 14,18,04 | 11.29 |
| Yetalir and ares | 9,78,49 $8.00,01$ | $9,49,86$ $6,76,47$ | 11,87,74 | 12,03,92 | 9,68,70 | 7.78 |
| $\checkmark$ Velifeles* | 8,81,04 | - $4,76,88$ | 6,07,10 6,000 | 8,02,14 | 7,25,27 $0,57,78$ | ${ }_{5}^{5 \cdot 79}$ |
| Xnstruments, apparatus and appli- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| myosel | 8,84,77 | 4,08,04 | 4,72,62 | 5,17,68 | 8,19,14 | 415 |
| Artigcial allk | 4,15,85 | 2,74,15 | 3,59,29 | 8,16,78 | 3,85,00 | 8.08 |
| Proves ${ }^{\text {Prana }}$ | 2,02,67 | 2,71,58 $\mathbf{2 , 4 6 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 8 9 , 0 6}$ 880751 | 8,11,87 | 8,20,22 | $2 \cdot 60$ |
| Hardware | 2,90,22 | 2,87,88 | 8,05,80 | 8,26,76 | $3,01,34$ $8,80,36$ | 2.41 |
| Wool, raw and manufetured | 2,06,47 | 2,54,98 | 8,88,47 | 2,78,64 | 2,86,94 | $2 \cdot 80$ |
| Paper and pasteboard | 2,86,45 | 8,68,19 | \%,72,82 | 2,90,00 | 8,81, 88 | 2.25 |
| ghemicalr and manufaotured | 4,71,25 | 8,70,06 $\mathbf{9 8 , 6 0}$ | - | $8,11,88$ <br> $\mathbf{2 , 7 7} \mathbf{8}$ | 2,72,19 | $2 \cdot 17$ |
| Liquors - . | 2,25,70 | 2,26,98 | 2,35,50 | 2,17,50 | 2,39,61 | 1.91 |
| Rubber manufacturea | 1,08,95 | 1,87,58 | 2,05,88 | 2,00,85 | 2,11,81 | 1.69 |
| Druges and medicines | 1,85,88 | 1,98,69 | 1,01,00 | 2,11,17 | 2,07,08 | 1.65 |
| Eprotes and vegotabiea | $1,79,60$ $1,16,67$ | $1,86,67$ $1,00,14$ | $1,55,4$ $1,29,09$ | 1, $1,81,77$ | 1,87,75 | 1.50 |
| Glane and glecware | 1,48,47 | 1,20,18 | 1,82,60 | 1,88,40 | 1,27,92 | 1.18 |
| Preclour atones sad pearis, unsot | -85,64 | 74,89 | -60,10 | 1,48,06 | +97,62 | 0.02 |
| Paints axd painters' matarina | 92,19 | 92,19 | 96,89 | 1,02,05 | 96,88 | 0.77 |
| Tobacco | 80.94 | 72.16 | 61,82 | 61,50 | - 80,88 | 0.65 |
| hanures | 62,84 | 52,48 | 67,06 | 71,16 | ${ }^{80,08}$ | 0.64 |
| Appasel | 84,21 | \%60,62 | 88.42 | 77, 10 | 79,72 | 0.64 |
| Orain, pribe and sour | 70,08 | ${ }_{86,70}$ | 2,06,45 | -1,62,10 | - 76,17 | 0.87 |
| Bulding and englnoering matariais | 77,35 | 64,35 | 60,00 | 22,79 | 67,32 | 0.56 |
|  | 68,14 | S6,01. | 6,05 | 60,06 | 67,25 | 0.54 |
| atores ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4,14 | 48,97 | 48.72 | 48,81 | 60,09 | 0.65 |
| Haberduhery and ralunery | 67,80 | 54,67 | 67,58 | 50,05 | 0,53 | 0.51 |
|  | 78,98 | 49,79 | 62,08 | 56,74 | - 60.49 | 0.18 |
| Tea chesta | 66,77 | 80,38 | ${ }_{56,08}^{61,88}$ | 38,31 | 56,08 | 0.46 |
| Wood and timber : | 51,44 | 54,00 | 66,79 | 63,49 | 49.02 | ${ }_{0} .89$ |
| Earthenware and porcelaln | 49,58 | 48,15 | -4,24 | 45,97 | 46,62 | 0.38 |
| Bolting for machinery | 62,98 | 48.08 | 40,88 | - 53,51 | 45,85 | 0.37 |
| Toyy and requlatten for games | 47,38 | 58,35 | 80,55 | 47,51 | 44,53 | 0.35 |
| Clocke and watches and patts | 12,76 | 16,98 | 16,25 | 18,16 | 40,37 | 0.38 |
| Tallow and stearine | 24,65 | 19,05 | 22,92 | 28,86 | 35,71 | 0.29 |
| Cuthers | 24,27 | 25,50 | 27,08 | 28,70 | 29,58 |  |
| Sonp | 82,68 | 78,37 | 63,21 | 34,97 | 26,86 | 0.21 |
| ${ }_{\text {grgar }}^{\text {grama and ravina }}$ | 4,28,87 | 2,70,87 | 2,10,85 | 1,90,78 | 28,91 | 0.19 |
| Bobblina | -98,68 | 20,01 | 98,88 | 26,10 | 29,11 | $0 \cdot 18$ |
| Murniture and cabletwas | 17,05 | 29,81 | 28,75 | 31,03 | 22.4 | 0.18 |
| Hoote and shoes ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 61,77 | 47,51 | 84,77 | 28.78 | alis | $0 \cdot 17$ |
| Ombrelins mad attugs | 27,77 | 26,68 | 27,18 | 29,18 | 21,19 | 0.17 |
| Teat | 34,68 |  | 17,18 | 24,97 |  | 0.15 |
| Ftich (arevuding canned foh) | 18,66 | 15,05 | 16,67 | 24,97 | 18,10 1780 | 0.14 |
| jewellery, nlyo plate of mold mid | 16,75 | 16,04 | 17,68 | 17,98 | 17,02 | 0.14 |
| alver | 84,43 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antmals, ilvins | 14,70 | 28,12 | 21,20 | 26,43 | 16,17 | 0.18 |
| Coal and coke | 9.68 | 13,69 | 12,50 | 19,21 | 15,18 | 0.18 |
| 1 Inte and jute goodis | 22,09 | 27,10 | ${ }_{80}^{20.28}$ | 20.48 | 14,64 | 0.12 |
| Matches Aner aricies: | 18,69 | 0,84 | ${ }_{82}^{88}$ | 10,80 | 9,26 | 0.07 |
| All ouser articlen | 6,18,88 | 6,20,40 | 7,89,24 | 8,01,00 | 8,18,81 | 6.58 |
| Toral value or mipoxis | 152,58,43 | 115,65,70 | 132,28,06 | 184,42,72 | 125,24,28 | 100 |

## Cotton manufactures.

Cotton manuiactures (; 217,88 lakhs)-The total value of imports ofcotton manufactures into Indis dropped to nearly $\not$ R18 crores in the year under reviev from the preceding year's figure of $¥ 21 \frac{1}{2}$ crores. Both the yarns and piecegoods sections shared in the general decrease. A summary of the results of the year is shown in the following table which also gives figures for the past four jears and for 1913-14" ${ }^{2}$ nd, 1929-30 for purposes of comparison :-

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1016-14 \\ \text { (pro-war } \\ \text { Ytar) } \end{gathered}$ | 1029-80 | 2085-84 | 1934-35 | 1085-36 | 1988-8t |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trint and yan . . . |  | 日 (1atho) | (1) (hathin) |  | R(3)hay 8,71 | B (laths) |
| Plecegoode |  |  |  |  | * |  |
| Grey (ribleached) * - | 25,45 | 20,08 | 8,00 | 4,08 | 4,84 | 8,88 |
| Fhite (bleached) . . . | 14,90 | 18,27 | 4,78 | B,47 | 4,48 | 4,40 |
| Colloured; printed or dyed | 17,86 | 15,15 | 6, $\mathbf{2} 6$ | 7,48 | 0,16 | 6,80 |
| Toway meoraooms | 57,60 | 40,35 | 28,04 | 18,08 | 16.78 | 18,87 |
| Hostery ** | 1,20 | 1,44 | $\underline{7}$ | 58 | 50 | 88 |
| Handzerehtite and almwis | 89 | 17 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 0 |
| Thread . . - . | 89 | 81 | 51 | 71 | 05 | 70 |
| Otharegorta - | 1,32 | 88 | 15 | 40 | 46 | 46 |
| Gramb ropar (eveludion Praxs) | 05,76 | 89, $8^{0}$ | 17,2\% | 21,76 | 81,15 | 17.48 |

Imports of cotton twist and yarn during 1936-37 amounted to $28 \frac{1}{4}$ million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{Z} 2, \mathrm{b5}$ lakhs, a decrease of 16 million lbs, or 36 per cent in quantity and of 71,16 lakhs or 31 per cent in value, as compared with the preceding year. The imports during the year were lowest on record since 1919-20. The decrease was mostly in evidence in the imports of grey yarns, while those of white, coloured and mercerised yarns showed comparatively smaller decreases. The tables below show the imports of the various kinds of yarns arranged according to counts:-
$\because$ Grey.


## Imports.

A feature of the above table is the sharp decline in the imports under counts 31-40, while the demand for higher counts of yarns though somewhat restricted, was evenly distributed. Imports of the former grades from all the principal sources showed decreases, the decrease being most marked in the consiginments from China and Japan ${ }_{2}$ which fell from 6 million and 5 million. Ibs. in 1935-36 to half a million and 1 咅 million lbs., respectively, in the year under review. Imports from the United Kingdom amounted to $1,076,000$ lbs. as compared with $1,262,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1935-36. In the range above 50 s , Chins displaced the United Kingdom from her position as the largest supplier and sent 3.2 million lbs. in 1936-37 as compared with 2.6 million lbs. in 1935-36, while the imports from the. United Kingdom fell from 3.8 million lbs. to 2.5 million lbs. Imports from Japan, which are comparatively small, continued to show a steady increase. As regards twofolds, the principal trade was in Nos. 26-50, Japan and China supplying practically the whole of the imports in this range which totalled 2.1 million lbs. as against 5.6 million lbs. in 193536. Twofolds Nos. $51-80$ were also drawn principally from Japan ( 658,000 Ibs.), China ( $610,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.) and the United Kingdom ( $83,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.), their respective shares in the preceding year being $614,000 \mathrm{lbs} ., 1,424,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. and 51,000 lbs. The average declared value of all grey yarns imported rose from 12 as. per lb . in 1935-36 to 13 as . per lb . in the year under review, owing particularly to smaller imports of medium grade yarns. In 1934-35, the average declared value was 12 as. 9 p. per lb.

White and coloured.

| Counts | White |  |  | Coloured |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1834-85 | 1935-36 | 1936-87 | 1934.85 | 1935-36 | 1986:37 |
|  | lbs. (000) | 1bs. (000) | lbs. (000) | lbs. (000) | lbs. (000) | lbas. (000) |
| 1-30 | 58 | 155 | 03 | 451 | 290 | 318 |
| 11.40 $41-50$ | 556 | 705 | 882 | 810 | 302 | 284 |
| 41-50 | ${ }^{23}$ | 25 | 17 | 27 | 10 | 6 |
| Above 50. | 885 | 863 | 826 | 148 | 213 | 272 |
| 2wofolds | 2,078 | 1,668 | 1,185 | 335 | 252 | - 189 |
| Value (R Tricha) | 3,570 80 | 3,416 32 | 2,953 28 | - 1,271 14 | 1,067 12 | 1,043 12 |

With the exception of counts 31-40, all other descriptions of bleached yarns showed decreases. The United Kingdom continued to be the principal supplier of white yarns, singles, but in twofolds, which form the balk of these umports: Japan has-been a formidable competitor in recent years. Imports of $t_{\text {wofold }}$ yarns below 25 counts are very small. In counts 26-50, the total imports of twofolds at 854,000 lbs., were divided between Japan and the United Kingdom which supplied $697,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. and $157,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ as against $992,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. and 569,000 lbs., respectively, in 1935-36. Imports of twofolds Nos. 51-80 amounted to $256,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. as compared with $62,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in the preceding year and were drawn from Japan ( $250,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.) and the United Kingdom ( $6,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.).

## Cotton twist and yarn.

$\because$ Coloured yarns were in better demand in counts 1-30 and above 50s. The United Kingdom supplied practically the whole of coloured yarns, with the exception of $23,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in singles and $48,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in doubles, which were chiefy imported from Chins ( $11,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.) and Switzerland ( $8,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.) in the asse of singles and Japan ( $44,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.) in the case of doubles.

Mercerised yarns.


Imports of mercerised yarns, singles, practically the whole of which were above 50 s , amounted to $45,000 \mathrm{lbs}$, of which Japan supplied $42,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. as against $109,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1935-36, the remainder coming from the United Kingdom. As regards twofolds mercerised yarns, total imports fell from 11 million lbs. to 9 million lbs. in 1936-37. Japan enjoyed practically the whole of this trade which is mainly in counts above 50.

The table below gives the imports of cotton twist and yarn from the United Kingdom, Japan, China (including Hongkong) and other countries for the year 1929-30 and in the three years ended 1936-37. The figures in paranthesis indicate the relative percentage shares in the total imports :-

Imports of cotton, twist and yarn and percentage shares of the principal contries in the total imports.

|  | Q0¢imity |  |  |  | Tauti |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1029-80 | 1034-35 | 1035-88 | 1080-87 | 2020-30 | 1984-85 | 1095-86 | 1988-87 |
| Prom- | Ib. <br> (000) | Ibs. (000) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lbs. } \\ & \text { (000) } \end{aligned}$ | lba. <br> (000) |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{7} \\ \text { (lakht) } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mathbf{\#}}{\text { (lakh) }}$ | 3 (askh) |
| Unilted Kingdom. | 20,119 | 0,702 | 0,767 | 7,062 | 2,96 | 1,01 | 07 | 70 |
|  | (40\%) | (20\%) - | (22\%) | (27\%) | (40\%) | (83\%) | (26\%) | ( $81 \%$ ) |
| Japan - • | 10,870 | [1,840 | 21,807 | 15,8s0 | 1,64 | 1,16 | 1,70 | 1.87 |
|  | (25\%) | (88\%) | (48\%) | (55\%) | (27\%) | (87\%) | (48\%) | (54\%) |
| China (Inciudling | 10,675 | 12,768 | 18,49 | 4,098 | 1,11 | 92 | 04 | * |
|  |  | (88\%) | (80\%) ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | (18\%) | (10\%) | (30\%) | (20\%) | (15\%) |
| OMher ocuntitee | - 2,885 | 122 | 77 | 95 | 29 | $\cdots 1$ | 1 | $1{ }^{\circ}$ |
| - | (5\%) | - | ** | $\cdots$ | (6\%) | $\cdots$ | . |  |
| Torsis | 48,882 | 84,029 | 44,570 | 28,520 | 8,00 | 8,10 | 3, 1 | 2.65 |
|  |  |  |  | - | m.a.p. | \#-a.p. | tanp. | B.n.p. |
| 'Declared rime pee 1b. | - | - | - | - | 1+10 | - 0-14-7 | 0-18-4 | 0-14.4 |
|  |  |  | 35 |  | - | . 2 |  |  |

## Imports:

It will be seen that notwithstanding the decrease in quantities of yarns: imported from the United Kingdom and Japan, the percentage shares of both these countries advanced from 22 and 48 to 27 , and 55 , respectively, at the expense of China.

The following table shows the imports of twist and yarn and the produca tion thereof in Indian mills, classified according to counts, for the years stated :-

(a) Incladee whlte twith and yarn whith commenced to be hhown aepargtely by counts irom April, 1927.

Indian mill production, which had, reached a record total in 1935-36, did not show any appreciable variation in 1936-37. It is interesting to observe that the production of counts above No. 40 showed an increase. The increase in the production of these counts is largely accounted for by thesteady importations of Egyptian, African and American cotton which has been a regular feature in recent years. The following table illustrates theposition more clearly :-

| Yesrs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## $\therefore$ Cotton piecegoods.

The imports of cotton piecegoods into. British India totalled 764 million yards in 1936-37,f or 183 million yards less than in the preceding year. The value of these imports was $\mathrm{Al} 13,37$ lakhs "as compared with \#15,78 lakhs in 1935-36.' Supplies from Japan and the United Kingdom were on a reduced coale, and amounted to 41 million yards and 334 million yards as against 496 million yards and 440 million yards, respectively, in 1935-36. Imports of the three main descriptions of piecegoods, grey, white, and coloured, dyed or printed, during the last three years and in 1929-30, distinguishing the shares of the United Kingdom and Japan, together with the percentage variations of the imports in 1936-37 as compared with 1935-36 and 1929-30, are set forth in the table below:-


It will be observed that the fall in the imports of piecegoods was distributed over all the three varieties. This decrease was more marked in the importations from the United Kingdom than in those from-Japan, though not. to the same extent in the case of bleached goods which are mainly of the United Kingdom origin. Expressed in percentages, the imports from Japan of grey and coloured goods declined, as compared with 1935-36, by 15 and 16 per cent respectively; the corresponding decreases in the case of the United Kingdom were of 38 and 25 per cent. In the case of white goods, the United

- Kingdom suffered a loss of 18 per cent and Japan of 17 per cent.


## Imports. ${ }^{\circ}$

A study of the percentage wariations of the quantity' and value of the imports of each class in $1938-37$ as compared with the preceding year also reveals that prices, on the sverage, of grey goods remained steady, while in the case of white and coloured goods, there was an increase. This is more clearly brought out in the following table which gives the declared value par yard of the three main varieties of piecegoods during 1936-37 as compared with the values recorded in the preceding years:-


It may be noted that the general level of prices of imported grey and coloured goods was actually below that in 1913-14. In the case of white goods, however, it was higher than in any year since 1931-32 and also in 1913-* 14. The variations in prices of grey, white and coloured, printed or dyed goods imported from the United Kingdom and Japan since 1931-32 are shown in the following table:-

Avarage declared value of piecegoods imported from the United Kingdom and
Japan.
(Value par yard.)


It will be noticed that in the case of the United Kingdom, the average declared values per yard for all the three descriptions were higher than in the preceding year, the increase being greater in the case of grey goods than in others. The average declared value per yard of grey goods imported from Japan remained the same as in 1935-36, but in the case of white and coloured goods there were increases.

Of the total imports of grey goods in 1936-37, 168 million yards represented plain greys and 94 milion yards consisted of bordered varieties. Imports of
these two descriptions in the last three years and in 1929-30 are ahown in the


With the exception of only 12 million yards of plain grey oloth, consisting largely of jaconets, madapollams, malls, etc., imported during 1936-37 from the United Kingdom, the entire trade in this category was in the hands of Japan. In bordered goods also, Japan made a considerable headway and receipts from that source, amounting to 53 million yards, exceeded those from the United Kingdom which totalled 41 million yards in 1936-37. The correaponding imports in the preceding year were: Japan $56 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ million yards, and the United Kingdom 63 million yards. In bleached goods, the United Kingdom continued to maintain her predominant position and supplied 164 million yards or 75 per cent out of a total of 220 million yards in 1936-37, as compared with 199 million yards or 76 per cent out of the total imports of 263 million yards in the preceding year. Japan contributed 22 par cent as in 1935-36. Imports of coloured inaluding printed and dyed goods are analysed below :-

| -remen <br>  | 1029-30 |  | 1934-36 |  | 1935-36 |  | 1036-37 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Million yards | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { (lakha) } \end{gathered}$ | Million yards | $\stackrel{\text { (Iakha) }}{\text { (a) }}$ | Million yands | $\stackrel{\text { Hit }}{\text { (lakho) }}$ | Million yarda | $\begin{gathered} \text { A. } \\ \text { (lanha) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total printed goods. | 499.8 | 5,77 | $199 \%$ | 3,49 | 218.1 | 8,33 | 187.6 | 3,01 |
| Total dyed gooda. | 151.0 | 4,92 | 127•1 | 3,02 | 108.2 | 2,40 | $81 \cdot 2$ | 2,09 |
| Total woven ooloured goods. coloured gooda. | 132-5 | -4,47 | $34 \cdot 3$ | 93 | $80 \cdot 8$ | 73 | 18.8 | 40 |

Of the total imports of 188 million yards of printed goods, Japan supplied 138 million yards and the United Kingdom 50 million yards as against 150 million yards and 68 million yards, respectively, in the preceding year. In dyed goods, imports of which showed a decline by 22 million yards as compared with 1935-36, the United Kingdom maintained her position as the leading sapplier, although her consignments declined from 79 million yards to 63 million yards. Japan's share in dyed goods fell by 7 million yards to 13 million yards, while Switzerland increased her share from 2 million yards to nearly $\$$ million yards. Imports of woven coloured goods fell from 30 million

## Imports.

yards to nearly 14 mimilion yards and, concurrently, the shares of Japan and the United Kingdom decliried from 21 million and 9 million yards in 1935-36 to 10 million and 3.5 million yards, respectively, in 1936-37.

Imports of the principal classes of grey; white and coloured goods are detailed below. The figuxes are in million yards.


## Cotton piecegoods.

In greys, barring a amall improvement under sheetingsa all other descrip tions showed decreases, the decrease being more marked under longcloth anc shirtings, dhooties, saris and acarves, and jaconets, madapollams and mulls etc. Under bleached goods, the most important item is jaconets, madapol lams, mulls, etc., which showed the largest decrease. Imports of other des criptions of white goods also decreased in varying proportions. In the coloures section, drills and jeans, and cheaks, spota and stripes showed importan increases, while shirtings; which had hitherto been the most important item recorded a decline. Imports of all other descriptions decressed in compariso with the preceding year.:

The following table shows the percentage shares of the United Kingdon and Japan in the imports of piecegoods for a series of years. These countries between them, divide praotically the entire import trade.

Percentage shares in the total quantitics of piecegoods imported.

|  | 1018-14 | 1027-23 | 1929-20 | 1920-80 | 1080-81 | 1981-32 | 1082-38 | 1083-84 | 1094-86 | 108-88 | 1080-8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tnitod Kingdom Japana | 97.1 | 700 $10 \%$ | 75.9 | $86 \cdot 7$ 80.9 | 60.0 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \cdot 0 \\ 45-1 \end{gathered}$ | 4901 | 54.5 48.8 | 58.6 806 | 48.4. | 4877 |

"It will be noticed that the relative share of the United Kingdomin 1936 37 was the lowest on record, while that of Japan exceeded even the preceding year's record figure. . The further analysis given in the table belon shows the position of these two countries in each of the three main catego ries of the piecegooda trade.


In each of the three main classes, the United Kingdom sustained heavy losses in her relative position in the trade, although she still maintained her supremacy in bleached goods. Japan, on the other hand, made a substantial advance in grey and coloured goods. The percentage share of Japan in the imports of white goods was almost the same as in the preceding year.

## Imports．

The following table ahows side by side the imports of piecegoods classified according to countries of consignment and the production in India from 1913－14．

Imports by countries of consignment，and production in India，of cotton piece－ goods（exaluding fents）．
［In million yande．］

| － |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 艮 } \\ & \frac{1}{8} \\ & \stackrel{y}{8} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 惖 |  | 号 | －量 | 8 | 1． | 要 |  | \％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1018－1 | 8，068 | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{38}$ | $\bigcirc$ | B |  |  | 19 | 8，150 | 1，164 | 6，328 |
| 1016－15 | 2，854 | 21 | 10 |  | 8 |  | $\cdots$ | ， | 8，420 | 1，186 | 8，566． |
| 19 | 2，022 | 21 | 13 | 14 |  | 30 |  | 5 | 8，118 | 1，442 | 3，500 |
| 101 | 1，748 | 14 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 150 | 1 | 4 | 1，892 | 1，578 | 8，470 |
| 1017－1 | 1，104 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 95 | 1 | 3 | 1，1524 | 1，014 | 8，189 |
| 1018－19 | 851 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 238 |  | 8 | 1，097 | 1，451 | 2，548 |
| 191980 | 369 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 76. |  | 8 | 1，064 | 1，040 | 2，704． |
| 1020－21． | ，278 | ． 18 | 10 | \％ | － 4 | 170 | 1 | 7 | 1，492 | 1，581 | 8，078 |
| 192 | ＂967 | 12. | 2 | 21 | 1 | 90 | $\because 1$ | － | 1，080 | 1，798 | 2,818 |
| 102 | 1，40 | 18 | g | 5 | 8 | 109 |  | 8 | 1，677 | ，725 | 3，802 |
| 1988－2\％ | 1，806 | 11 | ． | 1 | 7 | 123 | 6 | 7 | 1，467 | 1，702 | 8，160 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 102 | 2，500 | ． 15 | 10 | s | $i$ | 155 | 3 | 10 | 1，801 | 1，070 | 8，27x |
| 1925－28 | 1.2 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 217 | 2 | － | 1，640 | 1，054 | 8，406 |
| 1028－27 | 1.1 | 20 | 17 |  | 12 | 244 |  | 10 | 1，767 | 2，260 | 4，086－ |
| 1077－28 | 1，58 | 20 | 26 | $\square^{\circ}$ | 35 | 828 | 1 | 10 | 1，480 | 2，867 | 4，288： |
| 1929－20 | 1，48 | 20 | 88 | 7 | 12 | 857 | 18 | 12 | 1，800 | 1，898 | 8，708 |
| 1020.30 | 1，230 | ${ }^{28}$ | 25 | － | 10 | 502 | 10 |  | 1，882 | 2，419 | 4，80\％ |
| $1010-81$ | 520 | 18 | 10 | － | B | 821 | \％ | － | 882 | 2，541 | 8，468 |
| 1981．38 | 876 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 840 | 4 | 4 | 753 | 2，960 | 8，748． |
| 1032－ds | 68e | 6 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 579 | 1 | 8 | 1，168 | 3，170 | 4，368 |
| 198 | ${ }^{16}$ | 2 |  | ．． | 1 | 341 |  | $\dot{+}$ |  | 8，465 | 3，7005 |
| 1084－85 | 558 | 2 |  | 2 | 8 | 574 | 1 | 4 | 044 | 8，897 | 4，967 |
| 1045－80 | 40 | ： 2 | ． 1 | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 466 |  | 1 | 047 | 8，5 | 4，518 |
| 1988－87 | $3 \mathrm{s4}$ | 2 | 1 |  | 8 | 417 |  | 2 | 704 | 3，572 | 4，856 |

The production of piecegoods in India slightly exceeded the recordi figure of 1935－36．The quantities of cotton piecegoods as well as the． quantity per capia available for consumption in India（including estimated handloom production）in each year since 1913－14 are shown in the following table．In calculating per capita consamption，variations in． population from year to year have been allowed for，but it has not been possible to take into account exports by land or stocks left over from year to year．It should also be remembered that the figures of handloom are

## ' jotton piecegoods.

only estimates based on the quantity of yarn available for consumption each year.

Consumption per capita of cotton piecegoods (including fents) and handloom products in India.


With effect from 1936-37, impórts of fents have been olassified under three categories, viz., of cotton, not exceeding 4 yds. in length; of silk, artificist silk, silk mixtures or artificial silk mixtures, not
Fenta (R 98 lalchs); exceeding 24 yds: in length; and of other materials, not exceeding 4 yds . in length. The imports under these three descriptions during 1936-37 amounted to $6 \frac{1}{3}$ million lbs., 7 million Ibs and $193,000 \mathrm{lbs}$., respectively. Corresponding figures for the preceding year are not available. Combined imports of these fents were valued at f 98 lakhs during 1936-37. This figare compares with 772 lakhs in the preceding year, being the value of imports of fents of all descriptions, not exceeding 4 yds. in length. Japan was by far the most important supplier of fents'and accounted for f 883 lakhs as compared with F 55 lakhs in 1935-36. The United Kingdom also increased

## Imports.

'her supplies which were valued at Zl 12 lakhs as against $\not \mathrm{Zl} 10^{\circ}$ lakhs in the preceding year, while the contribution from the United States of America fell from \#t6 lakhs to nearly P 3 lakhs.

The quantity of sewing. thread imported in the year under review was $-2 \frac{1}{2}$ million lbs. valued at $\mathbb{R} \boldsymbol{T}$ ( lakhs as against 2 million lbs. valued at $\mathbb{R 5 3}$ Sewing thread lakhs in the preceding gear. Supplies from the * ( Z 567 lakbs). United Kingdom rose from 1.6 million lbs. valued sat. Z 43 lakhs to $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{Z} 45{ }^{3}$ lakhs in 1936-37.

Imports of hosiery, including knitted fabrics, in 1936-37 were valued at .733 lakhs, or a decline of R17, lakhs as compared with the preceding year. Imports of underwear represented Z 191 lakhs and
Hosiery (\#33 lakhs). stockings and socks P7 lakhs, as against \#24 lakhs and \#8 lakhs, respectively, in 1935-36. Japan considerably reduced her supplies which were valued at 729 lakhs as compared with 746 lakhs in the preceding year. Imports from the United Kingdom were comparatively small, being valued at a little over Zt 1 lakh.

The total imports of haberdashery and millinery were valued at $\mathbb{7 6 4}$ lakhs, an increase of over $\mathrm{\# 5}$ lakhs in comparison with the preceding year. Lace and embroidery accounted for $\not 720$ lakhs,

Habordashery and milli-
nery (R64 lakhs). .' ribbons for $\mathrm{fz2}$ lakhs and towels not in the piece for 75 lakhs. Imports from Japan advanced from \#26 lakhs to 732 lakhs, while those from the United Kingdom fell from $\mathbb{Z 1 1}$ lakhs to R10 lakhs. Supplies from Germany were valued at $78 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and those from Switzerland at ¥5 lakhs.

Artificial silk ( $\mathrm{R} 3,88$ lalihs).-There was a notable expansion in the imports of artificial silk under all the three main descriptions. The total imports of artificial silk yarn amounted to $\mathbf{1 7 . 6}$ million lbs.
Yarn ( R 90 lakh ). valued at 799 lakhs as compared with 14.9 million Ibs. valued at $\mathrm{f84}$ lalhs in the preceding year. Japan continued to increase her supplies which totalled 15 million lbs., as against 10 million lbs. in 193536 and nearly 9 million lbs. in 1934-35. On the other hand, imports fromItaly and the United Kingdom steadily declined; in the case of the former from 4 million lbs. to 2 million lbs., and in the case of the latter from 522,000 lbs. to $242,000^{\circ}$. $\mathrm{lb}{ }^{3}$ ! The average declared value per lb : of imports from Japan, which had shown a marked decline from 11 as. I p. in 1934-35 to 8 as. 6 p . in 1935-36, recovered to 8 as. 11 p . in the year under review. Corresponding figures fot imports from Italy were 11 as. 5 p. for 1!34-35; 9 as. 8 p. for 1935-36 and 9 as. 10 p . for 1936-37.

Piecegoods made entirely of artificial silk also recorded a marked increase, imports during the year under review having amonuted to 102 million yds.

## Wiecegoods (R2,38 <br> lakhs).

 with a total value of $\mathbf{7 2}, 38$ lakhs as against $74 \cdot 5$ million yds. valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 88$ lakhs in the preceding year. Here also Japan dominated the supplies, imports from that source amounting to 101.4 million yds. as compared with 73.7 million yds. in 1935-36. . The declared value per yd. of imports from Japan ซas 3 as. 8 p. as compared with 4 as. in 1935-36 and 4 as. 3 p. in 1934-35.Imports from the United Kingdom were confined to certain specinl fabries: of higher prices and amounted' to 492,000 gds. valued'at $\mathfrak{f r} 4$ lakhs in. 1936-37.
Receipts of goods of artificial silk mixed with other materials also advanced from 8.3 million yds. to 11.9 million yds. in quantity and in value from. c.734 lakhs to P37t lakhs in 1936-97. Consigmments from Japan, which had fallen from 8.1 million yds. in 1934-35 to. $5 \cdot 7$ million yds. in 1935-36, advanced to 10.8 million yds, in the year under review. The United Kingdom supplied $620,000 \mathrm{yds}$. as against 1.9 million yds . in the preceding yearand 3.3 million yds. in 1934-35. Imports from Italy further deolined from. 458,000 yds. to 227,000 yds., while those from Germany adyanced to 172,000 : yds. from $77,000 \mathrm{yds}$. in 1935-36. With the exception of goods imported from the United Kingdom, prices of all other qualities were generally lower than in the preceding year. The average declared value of importa from Japan and Italy fell from 5 as. 8 p. and 11 as. 7 p. in 1935-36 to 4 as. 2 p. and. 9 as. 2 p., respectively, in 1936-37. Corresponding value of the imports from, the United Kingdom showed a marked increase from 6 as. 9 p. to 12 as .6 p .

Wool, raw and manufactured (R2,87 lalrhs). -The total imports of ${ }^{\text {P }}$ raw wool and woollen manufactures in the year under review were valued at $\mathbf{~} 2,87$ lakhs as compared with $\mathbf{7 2 , 7 9}$ lakhs in the
Raw wool (R69f lakhs). preceding year and 73,86 lalths in 1934-35. Imports of raw wool declined from 7.5 million lbs. to 6.8 million lbs., but higher prices accounted for an increase in value fromi ¥44 lakhs to nearly $\mathbf{Z 6 0}$ lakhs. Australien supplies were lower than in: 1935-36 owing to pressure of European demand for wool in that country which forced the prices well above the level of the preceding year: Imports from. Australia amounted to 3.8 million lbs. as against 4.5 million lbs. in 1935-36, while the value recorded an advance from $\mathbf{~} 27$ lakhs to $\mathbf{7} 35$ lakhs. Consignments from the United Kingdom increased from 1.7 million lbs. to nearly 2 million lbs. in quantity, and in value from $\# 13$ lakhs to $\nexists 21$ lakhs. Iran sent only $20,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. as against $301,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in the preceding year. Im-

## $\because$ Worated yam.

 ports of worsted yarn for weaving declined from $1,086,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $951,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. with s small increase in value, while those of knitting wool increased from 1 nillion lbs. to $1 \cdot 2$ million Ibs. in the year under review. In both these lines Japan is the leading - Knitting wool. - supplier. In 1936-37 she sent 924,000 $\mathrm{lbs}_{\text {s }}$ of worsted yarn and $933,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. of knitting wool as againat $956,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. and $717,000 \mathrm{lbs}$., respectively, in the preceding year. Imports of lnitting wool from the United Kingdom amounted to $226,000 \mathrm{jbs}$. as against 261,000 lbs. in 1935-36 and 619,000 lbs. in 1934-35. There was a small in crease in the imports of woollen and worsted piecegoods which totalled $5 \cdot 4$Piscegoods (\#84 lakcha). million yds. ( 2.8 million lbs.) valued at f 84 lakhs as againat $5 \cdot 3$ million yds. ( $2 \cdot 7$ million lbs .) valued at A81 lakhs in 1935-36. Receipts from the United Kingdom further declined from 1.9 million yds. valued at $\cap 43 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs to 1.7 million yds. valued at $\mathrm{P} 41 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Japan increased her supplies from 2.8 million yds. to 3.2 million $y$ ds. the value of the imports rising from \#30 lakhs to $¥ 734$ lakhs. Imports from Germany advanced from 266,000. yds. to 417,000 yds. and those from Belgiam from $10,000 \mathrm{yds}$. to $15,000 \mathrm{yds}$. .

## Imports.

There were, however, smaller imports from Italy and France which amounted to $56,000 \mathrm{yds}$. and $2,000 \mathrm{yds}$. as against 68,000 yds. and 11,000 yds., respectively, in 1935-36. Imports of woollen goods mixed with other materials were almost the same as in the preceding year at 2.3 million yds. valued at f 31 lakhs in 1936-37. Receipts from the United Kingdom totalled 1.8 million ydse as in the preceding year, but those from Japan advanced to $421,000 \mathrm{yds}$. from 210,000 yds. in 1935-36. Supplies from Italy sharply fell from $113,000 \mathrm{yds}$. to $15,000 \mathrm{yds}$. and those from Germany from $54,000 \mathrm{yds}$. to $48,000 \mathrm{yds}$.

There was an improvement in the imports of carpets and floor rugs which amounted to $213,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R 4 lakhs of which the United Kingdom

Carpets and floor rugs ( ${ }^{\text {( }} 4$ lakhs). supplied $138,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at m 3 lakhs. The remainder came chiefly from Iran, China and Belgium.

The number of shawls imported declined from 500,000 to 495,000 , the value, however, showing a rise from flll lakhs to Al 3 lakhs. The trade is

Shawls (\#13 lakhs). practically divided between Germany and Japan. The former sent 238,000 pieces with a total value of nearly f 8 lakiss as compared with 153,000 pieces valued at $\mathrm{ft} 5 \frac{3}{3}$ lakhs, and the iattor 240,000 pieces with a total value of nearly P 5 lakhs as against 248,000 pieces valued at nearly R 4 lakhs in 1935-36.

Imports of blankets and rugs, other than floor rugs, declined in quantity from 4.6 million lbs. to 3.5 million lbs. and in value from f 35 lakhs to $\mathrm{f} 25 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs. Concurrently, the share of Italy fell from

Blankets and rugs (f25t lakhs). 4.2 million lbs. valued at f 31 lakhs to 2.5 million * lbs. valued at A19 lalkhs. Among other countries; Japan contributed $\nexists 1 \frac{1}{\mathrm{y}}$ lakhs and Germany Inlit lakhs. Imports from the United Kingdom were insignificant, being valued at 롤 lakh.

Imports of hosiery fell from $\mathrm{Pl} 17 \frac{1}{1}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Zl} 14 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs of which woollen knitted apparel accounted for $\mathbb{Z 1 0}$ lakhs and other sorts of hosiery for Z 4

Hosiery ( P 144 Jakhs). lakhs, as against 711 lakhs and 76 lakhs, respectively, in 1935-36. Imports of knitted apparel from the United Kingdom were valued at Z 2 lakhs and those from Japan at $\not 77 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Japan's share in other sorts of woollen hosiery was $71 \neq$ lakhs and that of the United Kingdom 73 lakhs.

Silk, raw and manufactured ( $\mathbb{Z} 2,42$ lakhs). -The total imports of raw silk, including cocoons, in the year under review were valued at fit $4 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{P} 57{ }^{\frac{4}{4}}$ lakhs in the preceding year. Imports from China, which had deolined from R36 Ranw silk ( $\mathrm{P} \mathbf{0 4}$ l lakhs). lakhs in 1934-35 to only $\mathrm{Z15}$ lakhs in 1935-36, recovered to $\mathbb{7 2 8}$ lakhs in 1936-37. The share of Japan, which had nearly doubled in 1935-36 in comparison with the year preceding and reached a total of $\mathbf{R} 42$ lakhs, declined to P 36 lakhs in the year under review. Imports from other sources were insigdificant. The total weight of raw silk, including cocoons, imported during the year was 2.0 million lbs. as compared with 2.2 million lbs. in the

Silk.
preceding year. Detailed figures of imports of the various hinds of raw sill in 1936-3 are shown in the table below:-


It will be saen that China continued to dominate the supply of hand'Feeled silk añ' waste products; while the imports of other sorts, which include filature-reeled silk, are largely obtained from Japan.

Imports of silk yarn, the bulk of which consisted of yarn mäde from waste or noils, fell from 3.6 million lbs. with a total value of $¥ 84$ lakhs in 1935-36

Silk yern (7601 Jakchs). to 244 million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{f} 60 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1936-37.
Of this, imports of waste silk yarn alone amounted to $2 \cdot 3$ million lbs. in the year under review. The Ghare of Japan in the total imports of silk yarn fell from $2,377,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1935-36 to $1,657,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1936-37 and that of China from 483,000 lbs. to $468,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. Imports from the United Kingdom were very much reduced, . having amounted to $54,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. as against $389,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in $1935-36$ and 587,000 lbs. in 1934-35. There were also smaller imports from Italy. The average declared value per lb . of the imports of silk yarn of all kinds from China was fl2-4-3 in 1936-37 as compared with $\mathrm{f2} 2-9-6$ in 1935-36. The value of the supplies from Japan was somewhat higher than in the preceding year and averaged $\mathrm{F} 2-9-6$ as against $\not \mathrm{Z2}-6-1$ in 1935-36. The bulk of the imports of silk yarn made from silk, other than wastes and noils, came from Japan which supplied $173,900 \mathrm{lbs}$. out of a total of $176,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1936-37. Imports from other countries were insignificant.

Piecegoods of sills continued to show a decline, importa of which amounted to 21.6 million yards as compared with 27.4 million yards in 1935-36 and

Silk pieoegoods ( $\mathbf{x} 81$ lakha). 33.3 million yards in 1934-95, the decrease being most noticeable in the case of importa from Japan which supplied 16 million yds. as compared with 22 million yds. in 1935-36. Imports from China amounted to $\overline{5} 2$ million yds. as against $5 \cdot 3$ million $\dot{y} d s$. in 1935-36. The average declared value per lb. of imports from Chins was R4-2-3 in the year under review as against 7n3-12-9 in 1935-36. In the case of imports from Japan, there was also an inorease, the average declared value rising from $\mathbf{A 4 - 4 - 8}$ in 1935-36 to $74-12-6$

## Imports.

in 1936-37. The following table shows the imports of the various kinds of silk piecegoods, distinguishing the share of China including Hongkong and Japan in the trade :-


The average declared values per lb . of ponjee and faji, boseki, etc. imported from China were $\mathrm{P} 3-2-0$ and $\mathrm{P} 3-11-4$ as compared with $\neq 2-11-11$ and $\nexists 3-6-6$, respectively, for corresponding imports from Japan. In the case of orepes and georgettes, and satins and tafettas, the average declared value per lb. was higher for Japanese goods, being $75-15-8$ and $76-12-2$, respectively, as against $\nexists 5-4-0$ and $\nexists 4-8-10$ for similar imports from China.

Tmports of goods of silk mixed with other materials, which had declined from 13.4 million yards in 1934-35 to 8.8 million yards in 1935-36, further receded to 6.2 million yards in the year under review. The bulk of the imports came, as usual, from Japan which supplied $5 \cdot 1$ million yards as against 7.8 . million yards in the preceding year. Imports from China, however, recovered to 584,000 yards from 254,000 yds. in 1935-36. There were also increased supplies from Germany and the United Kingdom. The total value of the imports from all sources declined from $\mathbb{7} 43$ lakhs to $\mathrm{\#} 34$ lakhs. The average deolared value of imports from Japan advanced from $\pi 3-10-0$ per lb . to f3-15-0 per lb.

Metals and manofactures thereof ( $\mathrm{R9,67}$ lakhs).-The imports under this head declined by 20 per cent both in quantity and in value from 533,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Al2}, 03$ lakhs in 1935-36 to 424,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 9,67$ lakhsin 1936-37. Iron and steel manufactures accounted for 360,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{f5}, 93$ lakhs as compared with 446,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{P7} 7,20 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ lakhs in the preceding year. If such secondary items of iron and steel as machinery and millwork, hardware, cutlery, implements and instruments and vehicles are grouped together with metals and manufactures thereof under one head, the total value would aggregate to fi39 crores. The imports of yarns and

## Iron and steel.

textile fabrics, which constitute the most important single group in the import list, were, valued at f 27 crores in 1936-37.

Iron : and steel ( $\boldsymbol{7 5 , 9 4}$ lakhs). The iron and steel industry of the world has experienced a year of intense activity and the outlook for 1937 indicates the possibility of continued advance. The world's production of pig iron tose from 73 million tons in 1935 to 89 million tons in 1936, and of crude steel from 95 million tons to 116 million tons. These figures compare with 94 million tons of pig iron and 115 million tons of steel produced in 1929. Of the chief steel producing countries, the United Kingdom, Germany, the U. S. S. R. and Japan made remarkable progress since 1929, the production in each of these countries being relatively higher than in 1929. In the United Kingdomethe steel production amounted to approximately ilt million tons which is about $1 \frac{3}{4}$ million tons above the record figure of 1935. The output . of pig irons at tat million tons, was higher than in any year since 1920. This -exparsion has been entirely due to increased domestic requirements. The export-made of the United Kingdom has, however, contracted and is now strictly regulated by the agreement between the British Iron and Steel Federation and the International Steel Cartel which was concluded provisionally in July, 1935, and established on a regular basis in July, 1936. In India the production of pig iron-advanced from $1,541,000$ tons in 1935-36 to 1,552,000 tons in 1936-37, while that of steel ingots declined from 880,000 tons to 861,000 tons. The production of finished steel, which excludes the products of some re-rolling mills using either imported or Tata's semis, showed an increase from 677,000 tons to 692,000 tons, the improvement being most noticeable in the case of rails and galvanised aheets. The following table shows the details of production of pig iron, steel ingots and iron and steel manufactures for the last two years:-
Production of pig iron and iron and steel manufactures in India during 1935-36 and 1936-37.
(In thousand tona).

| * Dascription | 1035-36. | 1836-37 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increase ( }+ \text { ) } \\ & \text { Decrease (一) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pig iran | $-1,64{ }^{1}$ | -1,557 | $+11$ |
| Iron castings and manufietrares | 76 | 99 | +28 |
| Steel Ingots * | 880 | 881 | -19 |
| Finished Steel- |  |  |  |
| Angles - . | 40 | 48 | $+8$ |
| Tees . . . . | 18 | 7 | $\underline{0}$ |
| Sheets \{ Galvanised - . - . | 75 | 98 | +17 |
| Sheets (Blak . . . . . | 40 | 29 | -11 |
| Plates . . . . . . | 46 | 50 | +4 |
| Rataill . . . . - . . | 65 | 88 | +21 |
| Eteel fleopers. . . . . . | 16 | 15 | -1 |
| Fish Platea - * - | 8 | -3 |  |
| Bart, Beams and Channels (inoluding sheet | 304 | 289 | -15 |
| Others biceper bara and tin barn). . . | 75 | 73 | -2 |
| Total minchimb atmict | 677 | 698 | +15 |

## Imports.

Imports of pig iron, almost entirely from the United Kingdom, receded to 1,600 tons from $-1,700$ tons in 1935-36; likewise, those of ferro alloys fell from 3,100 tons to 1,300 tons. Manufactured iron and steel, excluding pig. iron and old iron and steel, showed a decline from 946,000 tons to $360 ; 000$ tons in quantity and from R7, 20 lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 5,93$ lakhs in value. The following table shows the quantities and values of the principal descriptions of irpn and steel imported into British India during the last three years :- -:

|  | Quantity Ton (000) |  |  | Value $n$ (laichs) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984-85 | 1096-30 | 1080-87 | 1088-85' | $1085-86$ | 1030-87 |
| Steel anglo add tes. | 18.8 | $10 \cdot 4$ | 14.9 | 142 | \% $10 \cdot 6$ | $15 \cdot 9$ |
| Steed bats (0ther than cast eleal) | $62.80^{\circ}$ | 64.5 | 278 | 68.8 | 61\% | 30.5 |
| Beamp, channelm, plllars, girdera and | 2985 | 28-7 | 9 | -28.6 | - 0192 | 20\% 4 |
| bridgewarc. | 10.2 | $12 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | - 48.6 | 81.9 | -28.4 |
| Teseing materints (inoluding tancing | 78 | $8 \cdot 8$ | $7 \cdot 8$ | 12\% | - ${ }^{801}$ | .$^{-169}$ |
| Hoope and eltipa. . . . . | \$4.5 | 40.9 | 41.2 | 15-8 | .672 | 88.0 |
| sfals, nvode apd waher . | 18.9 | $15 \cdot 9$ | 128 | 82.4 | $40 \cdot 0$ | $82 \cdot 5$ |
| Galvanised shette and plates:* | 88-8 | 78.2 | 04.6 | 1,10.9 | 1,29-7 | 1,10-0 |
| Tinned shoets and platal . . | 546 | $0 \cdot 6$ | 1-4 | 1740 | 20.43 | $6 \cdot 7$ |
| Sheeta and piates not gelvanised or | 81.4 | 87-6 | $\because 20.5$ | -42-6 | $4{ }^{4} 8$ | 36.0 |
| tlaned. <br> Eails, chats and fishplates | $5 \cdot 8$ | -709 | $\therefore-100$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | 7.8 | 0:1 |
| Tuben, plpea and fittoge, wroupht - | 84.9 | 62.2 | 40-4 | 88.8 | 1,11*8 | = 79.5 |
| Wire mall - . . | - 15*7 | 16-8 | 8-0 | 84 | 20.0 | 12.5 |
| Wire rope - . . - | 8.2 | 86 | $8 \cdot 4$ | 15.5 | 14-0 | $17 \cdot 9$ |
| Cast pipes and fitingat | 2.6 | 2.4 | $1 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 9$ | 0-8 | $0 \cdot 6$ |
| Bierpent and keys of ateel or fron tor Rallwasn. | $4 \cdot 3$ | 4.5 | $4 \cdot 6 \cdot$ | $-6.5$ | - 700 | 6.5 |

With the exception of rails, chairs and fishplates and wire rope, all the pripcipal descriptions showed decreases. Imports of galvanised sheets declin-

Galvanised sheetta. ed from 73,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 30$ lakhs to 65,000 tons with a total value of $\not \mathrm{Zl}, 10$ lakhs; corrugated sheets formed the bulk of these imports, receipts of which amounted to 53,000 tons, while imports of plaip-sheets accounted for 12,000 tons. Of the total imports of galvanised sheets, the United Kingdom supplied 41,000 tons or 63 per oent, as compared with 56,000 tons or 77 per cent in the preceding year. Imports from Japan, which had risen from 2,700 tons in 1934-35 to 6,600 tons in 1935-36, further advanced to 15,800 tons in 1936-37, while supplies from Belgium dropped from 10,000 tons to 7,000 tons. Imports

Tin platea.
R6 lakhs in value tons in quantity, and from fr20 lakhs to supflier, consignments from that, the United Kingdom was the largest as against 5,400 tons in the preceding year. Imports of sheets and plates,

Sheeta and plates, not galvanised. not galvanised or tinned, also dropped from 37,400 tons to 26,500 tons, the value of the consignments falling from $\mathrm{P} 48 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to A 36 lakhs. Imports from the two principal supplying countries, the United Kingdom and Belgium, declined, the former reducing her contribution from 26,600 tons to

## Iron and steel.

18,600 tons, and the latter from 7,300 tons to 5,400 tons. The bulk of the imports consisted of sheets up to $\frac{1}{8 \prime}$ thick, imports of which showed a decline, while those of over $\frac{1}{8}$ "thick were well maintained.
Imports of steel bars (other than cast steel) continued to show a decline and amounted to 27,500 tons valued at $\mathbf{R 3 6} \frac{1}{8}$ lakhs as compared with 54,500

* Steal barg. tons valued at P 62 lakhs in the preceding year.

Steel barg. - . There was a large decrease in the importa from the United Kingdom. and Belgium which amounted to 14,000 tous and 6,000 tons, as against 22,000 tons and 12,000 tons, respectively, in the preceding year. Consignments from other countries, such as Germany Luxemburg and France, were also much less than in the preceding year. Under iron bars and channels, imports fell from 1,045
: Bars and channelg. $\because$ tons to 690 tons. Arrivals from Sweden and the -Writed Kingdom totalled 309 and 273 tons, as against 606 and 399 tons, xespectively, in the preceding year. Imports of beams, channels, pillars, girders and bridgework declined from 28,700 tons
 valued at R31 lakhs to 24,900 tons with a total value of P 30 lakhs! Imports from the United Kingdom recorded a decrease in quantity from 16,000 tons to 15,000 tons with a slight increase in value amounting to \#21 lalhs. Consignments from Germany and Belgium declined from 6,300 and 4,900 tons to $: 2,900$ 'and 4,000 tons, respectively, while those from France increased from 1,200 tons to 3,000 tons. There was also a considerable decrease in the imports of wrought tubes, pipes and fittinga which amounted to 40,000 tons valued at R80 lakhs as compared with 62,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,12$ lakhs in the preceding year. The United Kingdom and Germany were the two principal suppliers, both of which reduced their contributions from 30,000 tons and 27,000 tons to 19,000 tons and 17,000 tons, respectively. Imports from other countries, e.g., Belgium, Japan"and the United States of Americs, which were comparatively small, also showed a decline. Imports of cast iron pipes and fittings, mostly from the Uhited Kingdom, increased in quantity from 1,357 tons to 1,420 tons but decreased in value from P 6.8 lakhs to F 6.6 lakhs.

Under hoops and strips; imports recorded a fall from 47,000 tons valued at Z 57 lakhs to 41,000 tons valued at R 54 lakhs. The share of the United Kingdom, which had remained fairly steady at 13,500
Hoopa and strips. tons in 1934-35 and 1935-36, improved to 15,200 tons in the year under review. Imports from Belgium and Germany, on the other hand, declined from 14,700 and 6,500 tons to 10,700 and 6,400 tons, respectively. Arrivals from other countries included 1,500 tons from Japan and 2,300 tons from France, their respective contribations in the preceding year being 2,800 tons and 5,200 tons.

The improvement recorded under bolts and nuts in the preceding year was not maintained, and imports fell from 12,600 tons valued at R 32 lakhs

Bolts and nute to 9,400 tons valued at 723 lakhs in 1936-37. With the exception of Japan which increased her share butions. Imports from Germany totalled 3,900 tons as against 5,200 tons

## Imports.

and those from the United Kingdom and Belgium amounted to 1,900 and 1,800 tons as against 2,400 and 3,800 tons, respectively, in the preceding year. The aggregate imports of nails, rivets and washers also declined from 15,900 tons valued at P 40 lakhs to 12,300 tons valued at f 32 lakhs. Imports from the United Kingdom fell
Nails, rivets and washers.
from 5,100 tons to 4,000 tons and those from Germany and Belgium from 4,200 tons and 2,400 tons to 3,400 tons and 1,200 tons; respectively. Sweden and Norway together supplied 2,700 tons as compared with 3,400 tons in 1935-36. Imports of wire nails further declined from 15,300 tons to 8,000 tons, the corresponding decline in value being from $\mathrm{Ft22}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Fl2} \frac{1}{2}$ lakhse . Belgium and Japan were the largest suppliers, both of which reduced their shares from 7,000 tons and 5,200 tons to 4,500 tons and 1,100 tons, respectively. Arrivals from other countries included 700 tons ( 1,300 tons) from Germany and 900 tons ( 1,100 tons) from Hungary, the figures for the preceding year being given in brackets.

Imports of rails, chairs and fishplates advanced from 7,900 tons valued at R 8 lakhs to 10,000 -tons valued at fl 10 lakhs in the year under review. The

Rails, chairs and fishplates. share of the United Kingdom recovered from 2,400 tons to 3,600 tons, while the imports from the United States of Amerios, which had shown a striking increase from 13 tons in 1934-35 to 3,900 tons in 1935-36, receded to 500 tons in the year under review. Among other countries, Germany increased her share from 1,200 tons to 1,600 tons and France which had supplied nothing in 1935-36 sent 1,500 tons in 1936-37. Imports of sleepers and keys of steel or iron for railways also showed an increase in quantity from 4,500 tons to 4,600 tons, but declined in value from R 7 lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 6 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Supplies came chiefly from the United Kingdom, but her share declined from 4,100 tons to 2,800 tons. There was, however, an increased participation of Belgium, imports from that country having amounted to 800 tons as against 200 tons in the preceding year. There was a decrease in the imports of fencing materials, including

Fencing materials.
\#18 lakhs in the preceding year. Imports from Germany remained fairly steady at 2,200 tons, while those from Belgium improved from 800 tons to 1,700 tons. Imports from Japan and the United Kingdom fell from 4,300 tons and 1,000 tons to 2,200 tons and 800 tons, respectively. Imports of wire, other than fencing wire, decreased by about 1,000 tons in quantity and by R11 1 lakhs in value to 8,600 tons with a total value of R14 lakhs. Supplies Wire, other than fen cing wire and wire sope. fencing wires, which amounted to 7,800 tons valued at. Pl 15 lakhs as compared with 8,800 tons valued at from Japan and the United Kingdom were on a smaller scale and amounted to 2,500 tons and 1,600 tons as compared with 3,300 tons and 2,100 tons, respectively. Imports from Germany and Belgium also declined from 2,300 tons and 1,400 tons to 2,000 tons and 1,300 tons, respectively. An increase was recorded in the imports of wire rope from 3,000 tons valued at A15 lakhs to 3,400 tons valued at Zl 18 lakhs. The share of the United Kingdom advanced from 2,100 tons to 2,800 tons, but supplies from Germany ( 400 tons), Japan ( 100 tons) and Belgium ( 50 tons) showed decreases. Imports of screws

[^0] lakhs). The United Kingdom reduced her share

## Other metals.

from 638 tons to 563 tons, Sweden from 897 tons to 439 tons and Belgium from 289 tons to 266 tons. Imports of steel angles and tees fell from 19,400 tons valued at f 20 lakhs to 14,900 tons valued at R16 lakhs, the Onited Kingdom supplying 8,700 tons and Belgium 3,400 tons as compared with 10,500 tons and 5,600 tons, respectively, in 1935-36. Imports of iron angle, tee, bolt and rod were, as in the preceding year, insignificant in the year mder review.

Table No. 19 appended to this Review shows the details of imports and declared values of different descriptions of iron and steel and Table No. 20 the principal sources of supply. The following table shows the percentage shares of the principal countries participating in the trade :-


There was a noticeable decrease in the aggregate imports of iron and steel from 449,000 . tons to 363,000 tons, and supplies from the principal countifios were considerably reduced. Of the total imports in 1936-37, 165,000 tons, or about 45 per cent, aame from the United Kingdom as compared with 215,000 tons, or 48 per cent, in the preceding year. The share of Germany also fell from 15 to 13 per cent. The percentage share of Belginm, however, advanced from 20 to 23, although the total imports from that country showed a decrease. Other countries showed relatively small variations in 1936-37 as compared with 1935-36, with the exception of Japan which increased her contribution from 5.7 to 7.4 per cent.

Other Metals ( $\mathrm{P} 3,73$ lakhs).-Imports of metals, other than iron and steel, declined from 84,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{At} 4,80$ lakhs in 1935-36 to 61,000 tons valued at fu3,73 lakhs in 1936-37. Lower stocks resulting from increased consumption of most of these metals abroad and rising prices cheoked their

## Aluminium.

 imports into India. Imports of aluminium fell from $63,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at f 45 lakhs to $63,200 \mathrm{owts}$. valued at $\mathbb{R 4 3}$ lakh3. This deorasse was in evidence in the importation
## Imports.

of wrought circles which amounted to 51,300 cwts. valued at $\not 733$ lakhs as compared with 52,000 owts, valued at, $\mathcal{2} 34$. Iakhis, while the comparatively small items, wrought sheets and other manufactures, recorded increases. Wrought circles were drawn chiefly from Japan and the United Kingdom, their contributions falling from 17,000 cwts. and $16,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $14,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 13,000 cwts., respectively.' Imports from Canada fell from 2,200 \% cwts. to 900 cwts., while German supplies, which had increased from 134 cwts. in 1934-35 to $16,300 \mathrm{cwts}$, in 1935-36, further advanced to $19,800 \mathrm{cwts}$. in the year under review: The United States of America was practically out of the market. Imports of wrought sheets, chiefly from the United Kingdom, increased from 2,700 cwts. to 3,000 cwts. and those of other manufactures showed a small increase in quantity with a decrease in value and amounted to 8,800 ewts. valued at $\mathbf{R 8} 8$ lakhs. Imports of unwrought aluminium were insignificant amounting to only 100 ewts. in the year under review.

There was a large decrease in the imports of brass which totalled 329,000 cwts. valued at $\mathrm{Zl}, 00$ lakhs, as compared with $509,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{Pl}, 34$

## Brass.

 lakhs in 1935-36. With the exception of brass tubes, all other descriptions recorded decreases. Mixed or yellow metal for sheathing, which accounted for 80 per cent of the total quantity of brass imported in 1936-37, showed a decline from $428,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R1,08 lakhs to $263,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R 75 lakhs. Germany, as usual, was the principal source of supply, but her supplies fell by 56,000 cwts. to $164,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Imports from the United Kingdom and Japan also declined from $93,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $114,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $54,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $45,000 \mathrm{cwts}$, respectively. Imports of other manufactures of brass taken together amounted to $63,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathbf{7 2 4}$ lakhs as compared with $79,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at ¥26 lakhs in the preceding year. Imports of unwrought brass advanced from 2,000 cwts. to 3,000 cwts. in quantity and from $\mathbb{R 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{R 7 6 , 0 0 0}$ in value.Copper imports fell from 417,000 cwts. valued at R1,17 lakhs in 1935-36 to 221,000 cwts. valued at $\not 780 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in the year under review. Wrought

Ooppor. copper, consisting chiefly of sheets, rods, tubes and wire, recorded a decrease from 376,000 cwts. to 201,000 ewts., and unwrought copper from 36,000 cwts. to 18,000 ewts. In - wrought copper, supplies from Germany and the United Kingdom fell from $152,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $104,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $65,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $47,000 \mathrm{cwts} .$, respectively, while Japan increased her share from 51,000 ewts. to $53,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Imports from the United States of America declined from 64,000 cwts. to 32,000 ewts. As regards unwrought copper, the United Kingdom and the United States of America contributed $2,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 3,400 cwts. as against $6,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 7,700 owts., respectively, in the preceding year. The supplies from the Union of South Africa, which had increased from $4,800 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1934-35 to 12,400 cwts. in 1935-36, receded to 3,600 cwts. in 1936-37.

Imports of lead declined from 27,600 cwts. to $25,900 \mathrm{cwts}$. , but higher prices accounted for an increase in value from $\mathbf{7 5} 51$ lakhs to $\mathbf{7 5} 5$ lakhs. The

## Lead.

 quantity of lead sheets imported declined from 10,100 cwts. to 9,700 cwts., the recorded value, however, showing a small improvement to $\mathrm{Pl} \frac{1}{2}$ Iakhs. Imports of pipes and tubes remained fairly steady at 10,000 cwts. with a total value of $\mathrm{ft} \cdot 4$ lakhs. Receipts of lead sheets for tea chests were comparatively small, amounting to 400 cwts . valued at $\mathrm{R} 9,000$ as against 200 cwts . valued at R3,000 in 1935-36.
## Machinery and millwork.

Imports of tirx, consisting mainly of blocks, ingota, eto., showed a decrease from $53,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $\mathbf{4 3 , 0 0 0} \mathrm{cwts}$ in quantity and from $\mathrm{A} 77 \mathrm{q}_{4}$ lakhs to P 591

- Tin. lakchs in value. The imports twere, as usual, derived mostly from the Straits Settlements.
- Arrivals of unwrought minc recorded a decrease in quantity from 4554,000 owts. to 444,000 owts. but due to higher prices, increased in value from R46 $\frac{1}{2}$
lakhs to $\mathbf{R 4 8}$ lakhs. Australia increased ber contribution from $231,000 \mathrm{cwte}$ to $267,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., but the shares of the United States of America and Germany fell from 66,000 cwts. and $64,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $14,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 19,000 . cwts., respectively. Imports from the United Kingdom were much smaller thisn in the preceding year and amounted to $17,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ as against 43,000 cwts. in 1935-36, while those from Belgium advanced from $28,900 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $89,600 \mathrm{owts}$. Imports of wrought zinc amounted to 30,000 cwts. as compared with 32,000 cwts. in the preceding year, the value remaining fairly steady at $\mathbf{~ I 5} 52$ lakhs.

Imports of German silver, including nickel silver, amounted to 27,000 cwta.; almost the same as in 1935-36, the value, however, showing a decline

## German silver.

 from R15 lakhs to P14 lakhs. The chief sources of supply were Germany ( fl 4 lakhs), the United Kingdom ( $\mathrm{\#} 3$ lakhs), Austria (RI $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs) and Japan ( R 5 lakhs).Imports of quicksilver, which had risen sharply from $192,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R4 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1934-35 to 421,000 lbs. valued at R9 lakhs in 1935-36, shrank

Quidksilver. to $75,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at A1 $\mathbf{z}$ lakhs in the year under review.
Machinery and millwork ( $\mathbf{R 1 4}, 78$ lakhs). -The following table analyses the imports of machinory according to classes in 1929-30 and during the past five years:-

|  | 1929-30 | 1932.33 | 1933-34 | 1934-35 | 1935-80 | 1036-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | m (lakhs) | En( (akha) | If (latha) | If (lakha) | R (lakhn) | \# (lakha) |
| Prime-movers | 4,12 | 1,00 | 1,21 | - 1,44 | 1,57 | 1;66 |
| Eleotriosl | 2,41 | 1,56 | 1,27 | - 1,69 | 2,05 | 2,65. |
| Boilers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,09 | 45 | 66 | $\times 14$ | - 76 | - 87 |
|  | 36 | 15 | 16 |  |  | ${ }^{+30}$ |
| Mining - . | 61 | ${ }^{38}$ | 32 | 52 | - 41 | - 74 |
| Oil orushing and refining | 43 | 10 | 27 | - 21 | - 22 | $\therefore 28$ |
| Paper mill . - | 7 |  | 11 | ${ }^{9}$ | 8 |  |
| Roifrgerating : | 20 |  | 9 | 11 | 15 | - 16 |
| Rioo and hour mill | 24 | 8 | 7 | 10 | ${ }^{9}$ |  |
| Baw mill ${ }^{\text {Soxing and } \text { knitting }}$ | 9 88 8 | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3 60 | 3 83 8 | ${ }^{5}$ | 61 |
| Sugar machinery | 0 | 1,63 | 8,36 | 1,05 | - 68 | 95 |
| Tea mehhinery . | -28 | 21 | 12 | 22 | 13 | 15 |
| Ootton mechinery | 2,10 | 2,08 | 2,03 | 2,41 | 2,00 | , 81 |
| Juto mill machinery | 1,44 | 36 | 32 | 54 | 1,15 | 74 |
| Wool maohinery . | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| Typerritera, including parta and acceseorion. | 20 | 7 | 10 | 18 | 19 | 19 |
| Printing and lithographing presses | 23 | 9 | 15 |  | 17 | 18 |
| Beltiug for machinery . | 90 | 83 | 48 | 65 | 54 | 46 |

## Imports.

As compared with 1935-36, the total value of imports of machinery and millwork in 1936-37, rose by R 40 lakhs to $\mathrm{R14}, 78$ lakhs. With the exception of textile machinery, sewing and knitting machines and rice and flour mill machinery, all the principal descriptions showed increases.

Imports of prime-movers in 1936-37 were valued at $\mathrm{Z1}, 65$ lakhs, or $\mathrm{P8}$ lakhs more than in 1935-36, While there was a decrease in the imports of railway locomotive engines and parts from $\mathbf{f 5 7}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{Z 4 6}$ lakhs, imports of oil engines and steam engines, including parts (other than locomotives) rose from $\mathrm{f69} 9$ lakhs and fl 10 lakhs to $\mathrm{Z81}$ lakhs and $\mathrm{f13}$ lakhs, respectively. The value of boilers imported further rose from $\mathbf{~} 76$ lakhs to f 87 lakhs, the United Kingdom's share inoreasing from $\mathbf{7 5 8}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{f77}$ lakhs. Imports from Germany also showed an increase from R2 $2 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to Pl 12 lakhs. Imports

## Electrical machinery.

 of electrical machinery advanced from $\mathbf{7 2 , 0 5}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{R 2 , 5 5}$ lakhs of which the United Kingdom supplied to the value of $\mathrm{Zl}, 79$ lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{R1}, 38$ lakhs in 1935-36. Imports from Germany and the United States of America, also rose from R229 lakhs and R16 lakhs to \#37. lakhs and P17 lakhs, respectively. Textile machinery was imported to the value of R2,75 lakhs in 1936-37, as compared with $\mathrm{R} 3,42$ lakhs in 1935-36, and 73,24 lakhs in 1934-35. Cotton machinery accounted for R1,81 lakhs of which A 95 lakhs represented spinning machinery, f 55 lakhs weaving machinery and R6 lakhs bleaching and dyeing machinery. Imports of cotton machinery from the United Kingdom fell from R1,63 lakhs to $\mathbf{7 1 , 2 5}$ lakhs, while German supplies advanced from R17 lakhs to 728 lakhs. Imports from Japan, consisting of spinning and weaving machinery in almost equal proportions, were valued at A 6 lakhs as compared with R 4 lakhs in. the preceding year. There was a decrease in the imports of jute mill machinery from $\mathrm{Rl}, 15$ lakhs to R74 lakhs. Supplies from the United Kingdom were valued at 770 lakhs as against $\mathrm{Z1} 1,02$ lakhs in 1935-36. Imports of wool machinery, which were comparatively small, also showed a decline from ft lakhs to fl3 lakhs. There was an expansion in the imports of sugar-machinery which were
## Sugar machinery.

 valued at R95 lakhs in 1936-37, as compared with \#66 lakhs in 1935-36. These figures compare with R1, 05 lakhs in 1934-35 and R33,36 lakhs in 1933-34. The United Kingdom and Cermany increased their contributions from R50 lakhs and RII lakhs to P68 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and $\mathrm{Al2}$ lakhs, respectively. Receipts from other countries also showed an increase and included f 4 lakhs from the Netherlands, R 6 lakhs from Java and $73 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs from the United States of America.The demand for metal-working machinery, including machine tools, which is indicative of industrial activity, has been growing in recent years. Imports were valued at R30 lakhs in 1936-37, as compared with R18 lakhs in 1935-36 and Pl4 lakhs in 1934-35. The United Kingdom accounted for F 20 lakhs or 68 per cent, Germany for R 4 lakhs or 14 per cent, and the United States of America for R2t lakhs or 8 per cent, as compared with 68 per cent, 20 per cent and 8 per cent, respectively in the preceding year. There was an expansion in the imports of mining machinery which rose from 741 lakhs to P 74 lakhs, the United Kingdom contributing R22 lakhs or 30 per cent, the United States of America R21 lakhs and Belgium R19 lakhs to this total. Imports of sewing and knitting machines declined from 84,755 valued

## Machinery and millwork.

at R66 lakhs to 64,791 valued at $\mathbf{7 5 1}$ lakhs. The United Kingdom supplied 42,098 machines or 18,153 less than tin the preceding year, and Germany 21,202 as against 21,889 in 1935-36. The number of typowriters, imported chiefly from the United States of'America, fell from 15,872 to 15,829, the corresponding deoline in value being from $\mathbb{Z 1 7}$ lakhs to Zl 16 lakhs. The trads in paper mill machinery showed no great elasticity, being valued at \#8 lakhs in 1936-37, almost the same as in the preceding year. The United Kingdom supplied 78 pet cent and Germany and the United States of America 7 per cent each of the total imports in 1936-37. There are only nine papor mills at work in India, which is the same as in the preceding year. Imports of oil-crushing and refining machinery were valued at nearly f 28 lakhs as compared with f 22 lakhs in the preceding year, the supplias from the Onited Kingdom accounting for R13 lakhs and those from Germany for R10 lakhs. Imports of rice and flour mill machinery were valued at P 8 lakhs or about R1 lakh less than in 1935-36, the United Kingdom contributing 42 per cent and Germany 28 per cent. Under tes machinery, imports had declined from R22 lakhs in 1934-35 to R13 lakhs in 1935-36, but recovered to R15 lakhs in the year under review, the United Kingdom acconnting for 714 lakhs. The total imports of agricultural machinery of all kinds were valued at R12 lakbs as against R10 lakhs in the preceding year. Of these the agricultural tractors and parts accounted for $\mathrm{A6} 6$ lakhs and ploughs and parts for 72 lakhs. The United States of America supplied 67 per cent of the total value of the tractors, the United Kingdom contributing 6 per cent and Germany 27 per cent. Of the plonghs and parts thereof, the supplies from the United Kingdom formed 50 per cent and the United States of America 28 per cent. Imports of pumping machinery, ohiefly from the United Kingdom ( $\mathrm{R} 21 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs) and the United States of America ( Rt 4 lakhs), remained fairly steady at A 31 lakhs. Imports of refrigerating machinery, which includes both cold storage equipment and domestic refrigerators, were valued at R16 lakhs as compared with R15 lakhs in 1935-36 and R1l lakhs in 1934-35. Imports were largely drawn from the United States of America (R11 lakhs) and the United Kingdom (R2 lakhs). Boot and shoe-making machinery, largely from Czechoslovakia, and saw-mill and wood-working machinery, chiefly from the United Kingdom, were imported to the value of R5 lakhs each.

Imports of beltings of all descriptions receded from R54 lakhs to R46 lakhs of which leather beltings accounted for F 19 lakhs, cotton beltings for $\mathrm{Z7} 7$ lakhs
Belting for machinery. and beltings of other descriptions for A20 lakhs. The United Kingdom supplied practically the whole of cotton beltings imported, while in the case of leather beltings, her supplies were valued at R12 lakhs and those from the United States of America at R41 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. The value of beltings of other descriptions imported from the United Kingdom was R13 lakhs and of those from Japan and Germany f3 lakhs and A 2 lakhs, respectively.

Imports of bobbins declined from " F 31 lakhs to R 22 lakhs of which the United Kingdom supplied to the value of El 14 lakhs, Japan R5 lakhs and Bobbins. Germany R3 lakhs.

## Imports.

The following table shows the relative shares of the principal countries in the import trade in machinery and millwork:-

Imports of machinery and mill-work (including belting for machinery and printing machinery).

| - | $\begin{gathered} 1918-14 \\ \text { (pre-war yoar) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1058-38 |  | 1038-84 |  | 1084-85 |  | 1085-86 |  | 1986.87 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { (falkh }}{\mathbf{7}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Par } \\ \text { cent } \end{gathered}$ |  | Per cont | (ankha) | $\underset{\text { cent }}{\text { Par }}$ | $\text { (askh }{ }^{\text {Z }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | (Iakhs) | Per cent | (latiny) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{gathered}$ |
| United Eing- | 7,42 | 00 | 8,28 | 78.7 | 9,11 | 68.1 | 9,55 | 71-9 | 9,69 | 67-8 | 9,64 | 65-9 |
| Unltad statel. | 27 |  | 90 | 8.1 | 184 | 7.0 | 1,28 | 0.6 | 1,81 | 01 | 1,80 | 9.8 |
| Gormany | 46 | 6 | 1,04 | $9 \cdot 8$ | 1,86 | 102 | 1,81 | $9 \cdot 0$ | 1,70 | 11.8 | 2,10 | $14 \cdot 2$ |
| Belgiom |  |  | 88 | 8.6 5.6 |  | 762 1145 | 17 | 178 | 1,98 1,40 | 109090 |  | $\stackrel{801}{9.8}$ |
| Othor tries. | 12 |  | 60 | 54 | 1,54 | 11.5 | 07 | 78 | 1,40. | 99 | 1,87 | $9 \cdot 8$ |
| T074 | 8,26 | 100 | 11,16 | 100 | 13,88 | 100 | 18,28 | 100 | 14,38 | 100 | 14,78 | 100 |

- The fall in the percentage share of the United Kingdom from 67 to 65 is partly due to a reduced demand for such items of machinery as textile machinery, tea machinery, sewing and knitting machinery, etc., which are the specialities of British manufactures, and partly to severe German competition in almost every item, particularly in oil engines, electrical machinery and cotton textile machinery. Germany further increased her participation from 12 per cent to 14 per cent, while some of the other countries, such as the United States of America and Belgium, also showed some improvement.

Mineral oils ( $\mathbf{P 5} 5,98$ lakhs).-The total value of the imports of mineral oils of all kinds showed a small increase from $\mathbf{7 5} 59$ lakhs in 1935-36 to $\mathbf{7 5} 593$ lakhs in the year under review. India's requirements of kerosene and motor spirit are largely met from the oilfields of Burma, Assam and the Punjab. The production of kerosene in India and Burma in 1936-37 was reported to be 173.8 million gallons as against $162 \cdot 4$ million gallons in 1935-36. The quantity of petrol produced slightly decreased from $91 \cdot 7$ million gallons to $91 \cdot 1$ million gallons. The following table shows the imports of each description of mineral oil by sea from abroad into British India :-

Imports of mineral oils into India by sea from foreign countries.

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1913-14 \\ \text { (pro-war } \\ \text { year) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1932-33 | 1933-34 | 1934-35 | 1935-36 | 1936-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fial oil | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Galg. }(000) \\ 7,766 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gals. }(000) \\ 10 t, 528 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gals, (000) } \\ 103,871 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gals }(000) \\ 111,263 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gala. (000) } \\ 138,527 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Galy. (000) } \\ 139,729 \end{gathered}$ |
| In bult | 52,976 | 63,203 | 66,628 | 67,217 | 63,497 | 61,821 |
| Intinn | 15,874 | 1,231 | 1,520 | 1,429 | 928 | 988 |
| Torat Kerobism | 68,850 | 69,494 | 58.148 | 68,646 | 64,423 | 62,209 |
| Lubricating cila . <br> Bensine, benzol, petrol, oto. | 14,953 97 | 17,274 6,117 | 18,166 1,606 | 10,248 1,483 | 19,342 2,078 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,222 \\ -\quad 9,747 \end{array}$ |
| Painta, solutions and oompositions. | 1 | 86 | 80 | 108 | 122 | 131 |
| Other kinde | 3.416 | 1,335 | 4,361 | 886 | 2,295 | 2,971 |
|  | 95,028 | 187,784 | 186,22\% | 201,332 | 226,787 | 234,009 |

Imports of kerosene declined from 64 million gallons valued at $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{Z 2}, 17$ lakhs to 62 million gallons valued at R1,96 lakhs. Practically the entire imports were obtained in bulk. With the expansion of bulk storage facilities, Soviet Russia is pushing her sales into all markets. Imports into India from that source, which had increased from $43-4$ million gallons in 1934-35 to 46.9 million gallons in $1935-36$, receded to 40.8 million gallons in the year under review. Imports from Iran also declined from 10.8 million gallons to 6.7 million gallons, while those from Netherlands Indies improved from 4.7 million gallons to 13.5 million gallons. Consignments from the United States of America, which consist of oil of special grades, are small and received in tins.

| Intports of Kerosene of | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { 1918-14 } \\ \text { (proverar } \\ \text { year) } \end{array}\right\|$ | 1983-84 | 1984-85 | 1935-80 | 1086-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Onitod Stanten of America | Gals $\substack{000) \\ 42,511}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Gala } \\ & \text { (000) } \\ & 2,265 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gals. } \\ (000) \\ 858 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gata } \\ & (0000) \\ & 831 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Galis. } \\ & (000) \\ & \hline 185 \end{aligned}$ |
| Union of Socialist Soviet depublicai |  | 38,284 |  |  |  |
| Iran ${ }^{\text {ar }}$, | 2,803 | ${ }^{208}$ | ${ }_{6,770}$ | 10,805 | 8,806 |
| Colebes. | 20,815 | 1,009 | 12,070 |  |  |
| Stralte 8etulementa | $2,20$ | 15,659 | ¢, 68 4,301 | 1,400 | 870 780 |
| TOTAL | 68,850 | 68,148 | 68,046. | 04,423 | 62,200 | The detailed imports are given in the marginal table. The average declared value per gallon of the imports from Soviet Russia and Iran was 4 as. 7 p. each and of those from Sumatra was 6 as. 3 p. Coastwise imports of kerosene from Burma into British India amounted to 120 million gallons in the fear under review as compared with 106 million gallons in 1935-36.

Imports of fuel oils increased in quantity from 139 million gallons to 140 . million gallons but declined in value from 72,20 lakhs to $\mathbf{7 2} 2,10$ lakhs. The

Fuel oils. bulk of these supplies came, as usual, from Iran which sent 107 million gallons as compared with 104 million gallons in 1935-36. Imports from Borneo declined from 31.5 million gallons to $21 . \dot{7}$ million gallons. Arrivals from other countries included 8.3 million gallons from the Straits Settlements and 2.3 million gallons from Soviet Russia.

Imports of batching oils continued to decline and amounted to $8-3$ million gallons valued at $\mathbb{R 1 9}$ lakhs as against 8.4 million gallons valued at R 26 lakhs

Lubricating oila. in 1935-36, and nearly 9 million gallons valued at fl40 lakhs in 1934-35. Receipts of other lubricating oils, on the other hand, showed a small increase and totalled 10.9 million gallons valued at $\mathrm{Zl}, 00$ lakhs in 1936-37. The trade in batching oils is practically divided between the United States of America and Borneo in the proportion of 6.6 million gallons and 1.7 million gallons as against 6.8 million gallons and 0.9 million gallons in the preceding year. Imports of other lubricating oils were drawn chicfly from the United States of America and the United Kingdom, the former reducing her supplies from 8.2 million gallons to 7.9 million gallons and the latter increasing her share from 2.3 million gallons to 2.8 million gallons.

Imports under the Customs classification " Petroleum, dangerous, flasbing below $76^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. including petrol, benzine and benzol," continued to advance and

> Petrol. totalled nearly 10 million gallons as against 2 million gallons in 1935-36, the corresponding increase in value being from R14 lakhs to Z 35 lakhs. The trade is in the haods of a few

## Imports.

controlling oil companies and fluctuates greatly from year to year. Soviet Russia which had supplied $1 \cdot 6$ million gallons out of a total of 2 million gallons in 1935-36, was practically out of the market in 1936-37. Iran controlled the supply with 8.4 million gallons in 1936-37, although imports from that source in the preceding year were insignificant. Arrivals from other countries included 681,000 gallons from Roumania and 698,000 gallons from Germany. The total consumption of petrol in India in 1936-37, is estimated at $\mathbf{1 0 1 . 6}$ million gallons as compared with 89.8 million gallons in 1935-36. The price of petrol in Calcutta was all-5-6 per gallon ex-pump till about the lst of May. Since then the rate has been reduced by 6 p. per gallon. In Bombay, petrol was sold at 15 as. per gallon ex-pump throughout the year under review.

Motor vehicles (R4,52 lakhs).-Since 1934-35, the trade in motor vehicles has developed a state of great activity. Imports of motor cars numbered 12,939 valued at $\mathbf{R 2} 2,43$ lakhs in 1936-37, as compared with 13,590 valued at $\mathrm{X} 2,51$ lakhs in the preceding year and 14,434 valued at $\mathbb{7 2 , 5 9}$ lakhs in 1934-35. The reduction of prices in recent years and the addition of fresh decorative and mechanical accessories provided an incentive to the frequent exchange of old for new cars, with the result that while there was a steady demand for new cars, an organised market for second-hand cars has also come into existence. The table below shows the number of motor cars imported during the last"ten years, indicating the principal sources of supply:-
$N u m b e r$ of motor cars imported.

|  |  | United Kingiom | United States of America | Canada | France | Italy | Other Countries | Torat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1927-28 |  | 8,800 | 6,031 | 3,400 | 638 | 1,867 | 186 | 15,122 |
| 1928-29 |  | 3,645 | 10,145 | 4,366 | 277 | 967 | 167 | 19,567 |
| 1929-30 |  | 3,758 | 0,620 | 2,318 | 364 | 1,150 | 189 | 17,399 |
| $1930-31$ | * | 2,885 | 6,098 | 3,250 | 261 | 917 | 190 | 12,601 |
| 1931-32 | * | 8,178 | 3,388 | -676 | 161 | 510 | 327 | 7,220 |
| 1932-33 | . | 3,968 | 1,201 | 296 | 84 | 228 | 436 | 8,201 |
| 103334 |  | 5,348 | 2,227 | 1,715 | 62 | 221 | 186 | 6,769 |
| 1834.35 $1935-38$ |  | 6,311 | 5,684 | 8,057 | 26 | 267 | 209 | 14,434 |
| $1036-38$ 183637 | * | 8,744 $\mathbf{6 , 3 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 5 1}$ $\mathbf{3 , 8 7 0}$ | 2,328 1,290 | 13 | 210 | 44 | 13,590 |
|  | - | 6,337 | 3,870 | 1,290 | 42 | 382 | 1,018 | 12,938 |

The rise in the number of cars imported from the United Kingdom noticed in 1935-36, was not maintained and the number of such cars fell from 6,744 to 6,337. There was a slight improvement in the consignments from the United States of America which totalled 3,870 as against 3,851 in the preceding year. As might be expected, imports from Canada showed a reduction from 2,328 to 1,290 , due chiefly to the decline in the number of "Ford "cars which are at present largely supplied from the British Works at Dagenham. Lower prices have helped to increase the competitive power of Germany and imports from that source numbered 813 as against 335 in 1935-36 and 120 in 1934-35. Imports from Italy also recorded an increase from 210 to 382 . Arrivals from other countries included 42 from France and 36 from Japan.

## Motor vehicles.

The number of motor omnibuses, vans and lorries imported during the year under review totalled 9,160 as compared with 8,470 in the preceding year, the value of the imports rising from $\not \approx 1,20$ lakhs to $\not \approx 1,31$ lakhs. The share of the United Kingdom rose from 982 to 1,217 , but that of Canads declined from 2,335 to 1,719 . Imports from the United States of America advanced from 5,051 to 6,012 . The average declared value of chassis imported from the United Kingdom in 1936-37, declined from $\mathbb{7 2 , 8 4 3}$ to $\mathbf{7 2} 2,207$. The average value for the imports from the United States of America and Canada were $\mathrm{Zl} 1,125$ and $\mathrm{fll}, 345$ as compared with $\mathrm{Zl}, 072$ and $\mathrm{fl} 1,312$, respectively in the preceding year. The following table shows the total number of motor omnibuses imported during the last ten years:-

Imports of motor omnibuses, vans, etc.


Imports of motor cycles showed a recovery and numbered 921 valued at 733 lakhs in 1936-37 as compared with 734 valued at 734 lakhs in the preceding year. As nsual, the largest number came from the United Kingdom which supplied 491 as compared with 554 in 1935-36, although she experienced a.severe competition from Germany which supplied 408 as against 154 in the preceding year. The following table shows the number of all classes of

## Imports.

motor vehicles running in the different provinces of British India at the end of March, 1937 :-

Number of motor vehicles ranning in British India at the end of March, 1937.


- An at 31at December, 1936, $\dagger$ Approximate. $\$$ Registered up to 31at Marohy 1037. - Progresaive figures from commencement of regiatration.

Imports of cycles and parts thereof in 1936-37 were valued at $\mathrm{Fl} 1,12$ lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{Fl}, 10$ lakhs in the preceding year and A 99 lakhs in 1934-35.

Cyclen ( $\mathbf{~ 1 , 1 2}$ lakhs). :The total number- of tycles imported entire or in 133,595 valued af f 39 lakhs in the preceding year. * The bulk of the supplies came, as usual, from the United Kingdom which sent 151,784 complete machines or 28,715 more than in the preceding year. Imports from Japan and Germany

## Rubber manufactures.

were comparatively small and numbered 12,508 and 979 in 1936-37 as against 9,573 and 796, respectively, in 1935-36. Competition from these countries is mostly confined to parts and accessories, imports of which fell from $\mathrm{Rll}^{1}$ lakhs to P 65 lakhs: Of the total value of these imports in 1936-37, the United Kingdom supplied 58 per cent, Japan 23 per cent and Germany 14 per cent as against 63 per cont, 21 per cent and lil per cent, respectively, in the preceding year.

The value of rubber manufactures showed a small improvement from \#2,07 lakhs to $\mathbf{~ 2 ~} 2,11$ lakhs in the year onder review. The following table

Rubber manufacturea ( $\mathrm{Z} 2,11$ lakhe).
shows the imports of the primicipal descriptions of rubber manufactures during the past three years :-

Imports of Rubber manufactures.


* By far the langest item"is pneumatic motor covers, imports of which represented about 63 per cent of the total value of rubber manufactures imported during 1936-37, and numbened 290,500 valued at $\mathrm{Pl}, 32$ lakhs as compared with 280,900 valued at $\mathrm{Al}, 26$ lakhs in the preceding year. The United Kingdont supplied 227,543 valued at $\mathbf{7 1 , 0 5}$ lakhs as against 216,123 valued at A 99 lakhs in 1935-36. Imports from Germany rose from 14,465 valued at A7 lakhs to 22,128 valued at Fl 10 lakhs, while those from the United States of America fell from 19;739 falued at Al 10 lakh to 16,020 valued at A 7 lakhs. The participation of other countries, such es France, Italy and Canada, is no longer important. Imporbs of pneumatic oycle covers were fairly steady at fis lakhs, the United Kingdom, however, increasing her bapplies from $\mathbf{\# 1 6}$ lakhs to \#18 lakhs, Imports of pneurnatic motar tubes increased in number from $2 \overline{93}, 865$ to 264,053 , but declined in value from R16 lakhs to R14 lakhs.


## Imports:

Imports of solid rubber tyres fell from 3,573 to 2,322 in 1936-37, the United Kingdom supplying 1,630 as against 2,218 in the preceding year. An event of outstanding importance is the establishment, near Calcutta, of a large factory for the manufacture of tyres and other rubber goods which commenced its operations in July, 1936. With the development of local production, it is possible that the imports of rubber manufactures will tend to decline in future.

Provisions ( $\mathrm{ir} 3,20$ lakhs).-Imports under this head advanced by f 8 lakhs to $\mathrm{ft} 3,20$ lakhs in the year under review, all the important items showing increases. Imports of biscuits and cakes increased from $54,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at A36 lakhs in 1935-36 to 62,800 cwts. valued at R391 lakhs in 1936-37. Arrivals from the United Kingdom alone accounted for R32 lakhs as against f 230 lakhs in 1935-36. Total imports of canned and bottled provisions were valued at Z 64 lakhs as compared with Z 65 lakhs in 1935-36. Under this head, tinned or canned fish, imported chiefly into Burma, recorded an increase from $64,100 \mathrm{cwts}$. to 83,500 ewts. in quantity and from Rl4 lakhs to $\mathrm{Rl} 16 \frac{1}{8}$ lakhs in value. Japan continued to increase her sales, her supplies being valued at Fl0 lakhs in 1936-37 as against $\mathrm{P} 7 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1935-36 and R 5 lakhs in 1934-35. The value of supplies from the United Kingdom remained fairly constant at R3 lakhs. Imports of canned and bottled fruits, at 44,900 cwtis., were larger than the preceding year's total of $43,300 \mathrm{cwts}$., but lower prices accounted for a decrease in value from All lakhs to $\mathrm{P10}$ lakhs. Receipts from the United States of Amerios were valued at R5 lakhs and those from the Straits Settle: ments and the United Kingdom at $\mathrm{P} 21 \frac{1}{8}$ lakhs and $\mathrm{fl} \frac{1}{8}$ lakhs, respectively. Imports of unspecified descriptions of canned and bottled provisions fell by R1 lakh to F 37 lakhs, imports from the United Kingdom amounting in value to P 24 lakhs as in the preceding year, and those from the United States of America to R 4 lakhs. Consignments from France and Italy were small, beirg valued at Rl 1 lakh and $\mathrm{P} \frac{1}{2}$ lakh, respectively. The trade in vegetable products (vegetable ghi, etc.), is no longer important, the total receipts being valued at R1 lakh in the year under review. Imports of farinaceous foods advanced from $329,000 \mathrm{cwts}$, valued at f 27 lakhs to $349,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at Z 29 lakhs. There were, however, smaller receipts of milk foods for infants and invalids which amounted to 8,700 owts. valued at $\mathrm{R} 15 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs, as compared with $10,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R16 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1935-36, while those of other patent foods advanced from $45,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at f 30 lakhs to $47,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at nearly fi33 lakhs. In both cases, supplies were derived mostly from the United Kingdom, imports of milk food from that source declining in value from \#14 lakhs to ㅋ12 lakhs, while those of other patent foods rose from $\mathbb{Z 2 4}$ lakhs to $\mathbb{7 2 6}$ lakhs. An improvement was recorded in the imports of condensed and preserved milk which amounted to $210,400 \mathrm{owts}$. valued at nearly $\mathrm{P} 54 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs as against $203,200 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathbb{H} 54$ lakhs in 1935-36. There were increased supplies from the Netherlands and Australia. amounting in value to $\mathrm{P21}$ lakhs and PR 2 lakhs, respectively, while the United Kingdom's contribution fell from $\mathbf{f 2 9}$ lakhs to f 26 lakhs. Receipts from Denmark were valued at R2 lakhs, almost the same as in the preceding year. Burma is the chief consuming province (f39 lakhs); next in importance are Madras ( 76 lakhs) and Bengal ( F 5 lakhs). Imports of butter also rose from 7,700 cwts. to $8,40 \mathrm{C}$ cwts. in quantity and from $\mathrm{R7} 7$, lakhs to

## Hardware.

78 lakhs in value. Imports from Australia and the United Kingdom were valued at $\mathrm{f} 3 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and f 2 lakhs, respectively, being almost the same as in the preceding year. There was also an improvement in the imports of jams and jellies which totalled 20,500 owts. valued at $\mathrm{P7} 7 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs as against 20,000 cwts. valued at P7 lakhs in 1935-36. Imports of confectionery, mainly from the United Kingdom, rose from $\mathrm{f} 21 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to f 23 lakhs. Pickles, chutnies, sauces, and condiments also imported mainly from the United Kingdom, recorded an increase from $10,800 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $11,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity, the value, -however, remaining fairly steady at P77 lakhs. Imports of bacon and hams smounted to $18,200 \mathrm{cwts}$. as against 17,100 owts. in 1935-36, the value of the imports improving from $\mathbb{Z} 12 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs to Zl 13 lakhs. Supplies from the United Kingdom and Denmark were valued at $\mathrm{m} 9 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and $\not \mathrm{F} 2$ lakhs, respectively. The variations in trade in some of the more important articles under provisions are indicated in.the table below:-

| - ! | - 1929-30. |  | 1033-34 |  | 1034-36 |  | 1935-36 |  | 1936-37 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Artic | Cwts, (000) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { R } \\ \text { (lathe } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Cwts. (000) | $\underset{\text { (lakhs) }}{\mathbf{Z}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C w t s \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{A} \\ \text { (lakhs } \end{array}\right\|$ | Owts. (000) | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{R} \\ \text { (lakhs) } \end{gathered}$ | Cimta. ! 000 ) | $\int \begin{gathered} \mathbf{R} \\ \text { (Iakhs) } \end{gathered}$ |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bacon and hams: | 15 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 16 | 11 | 17 | 12 | 18 | 18 |
| Bisouits and cakes, | 65 | 55 | 39 | 30 | 48 | 33 | 55 | 36 | 63 | $89^{-}$ |
| tinned or canned | - 81 | 26 | 27 | 9 | 47 | 11 | 64 | 14 | 83 | 10 |
| Chas. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cheese | 11 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 8 |
| Confeotionery | 29 | 26 | 23 | 17 | 27 | 18 | 34 | 22 | 37 | 23 |
| Butter | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Milk food for in- | 21 | 37 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 17 | 8 | 15 |
| fants and in- valids. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jama and Jollies . | 16 | 8 | 17 | 6 | 17 | 6. | 20 | -7 | 21 | 7 |
| Milk, condensed and | 243 | 88 | 172 | $>46$ | 181 | 48 | 209 | 64 | 210 | 54 |
| preserved (including milk aream). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other sorts . . . | - | 2,93 | - | 1,22 | - | 1,34 | - | 1,35 | - | 1,87 |

The total imports of provisions from the United Kingdom were yalued at R1,78 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs, being almost the same as in the preceding year, but her rolative share in the trade fell from 57.2 per cent to 55.8 per cent. Imports from the Straits Settlements, consisting largely of farinaceous foods in bulk and, to some extent, of goods in transit from Australia, rose from $\mathfrak{Z 2 7}$ lakhs to f 28 lakhs with a corresponding increase in her percentage share in the total trade from 8.5 to 8.8 per cent. The Netherlands was responsible for 9.1 per cent of the total imports and the United States of Americs for $5 \cdot 2$ per cent, the actual value of the imports from these sources being R29 lakhs and R17 lakhs as against fi25 lakhs and fl18 lakhs, respectively, in 1935-36. Australia and Japan had each more than 4 per cent of the trade, the supplies from these two countries being valued at $\mathbf{Z 1 4 \frac { 1 } { 2 }}$ lakhs and H15t lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{P} 12 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and $\mathrm{Pl} 1 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs, respectively, in the preceding year.

Hardware ( $\mathrm{P} 2,89$ lakhs).-Imports of hardware, which had improved from $\mathbf{R 3} 3,05$ lakhs in 1934-35 to $\mathrm{Pt} 3,27$ lakhs in 1935-36, receded to $\mathrm{R2} 2,89$

## Imports.

lakhs in-1936-37. The following table compares the values of the different classes of hardware imported during 1929-30 and in the last five years :-


With the exception of agricultural implements and domestic hardware, imports of all other deacriptions recorded decreases. Importe of agricultural implements showed an improvement from $\mathbb{Z 1} 7$ lakhs to $\mathbf{R 2} 24$ lakhs, the United Kingdom being, as usual, the principal source of supply. The value of the imports of other implements and tools, excluding machine tools, declined from $\mathbf{R 5 6}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{R} 49$ lakhs, the share of the United Kingdom rernaining fairly steady at 50 per cent as in the preceding year. The share of Germany decined from 22 per cent to 19 per cent, while the United States of America increased her participstion from 19 per cent to 22 per cent. Supplies from Japan were comparatively small being valued at f 2 lakhs. The number of metal lamps imported fell from $5,659,000$ to $4,666,000$, the corresponding decline in value being from 754 lakhs to F 44 lakhs. These importe were chiefly consigned from Germany, the United States of America and Japan, all of which reduced their shares. Imports from Germany declined from $4,007,000$ valued at P 38 lakhs to $3,973,000$ valued at R36 lakhs, and those from the United States of America from 1,178,000 valued at R9 lakhs to 293,000 valued at R3 lakhs. Japan supplied 213,000 metal lamps as compared with 294,000, the value of the consignment being R1 lakh as in 1935-36. The value of parts of metal lamps, imported mainly from Germany, also declined from $\neq 4 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{f} 3 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs. There was a decrease of about R 4 lakhs in the imports of enamelled ironware which were valued at nearly $\mathbb{F l} 15$ lakhs in 1936-37. Supplies from Japan were smaller than in the preceding year and were valued at $\mathrm{R9}$ lakhs as against fll lakhs in 1935-36. Imports from Czechoslovakia also fell from R3t lakhs to R ? lakhs. Imports from the United Kingdom, which were confined to

## Hardware.

high grade materials, as well as those from Germany remained fairly steady at $\mathfrak{R l}$ lakh each. Imports of domestic hardware, other than enamelled ironware, showed a further improvement from $\neq 10$ lakhs to nearly $\mathbb{F l l}$ lakhs. Arrivals from the United Kingdom in 1936-37 represented 39 per cent, the United States of America 20 per cent, Germany 13 per cent and Japan 12 per cent as compared with 25 per cent, 22 per cent, 22 per cont and 15 per cent, respectively, in the preceding year. Imports of builders ${ }^{2}$ hardware fell from f 28 lakhs to $\mathrm{fl2}$ lakhs. Germany, the principal source of supply, reduced her share from fil5 lakhs to $\mathbf{F 1 3}$ lakhs, but her percentage share rose from 54 to 55 . The United Kingdom maintained her position by supplying to the value of $\mathrm{f5}$ lakhs, or 22 per cent of the imports. Consignments from Sweden decreased from R6 lakhs to ft 4 lakhs. Imports from Japan which are in competition with local manufactures, are comparatively small, being valued at about $¥ 1$ lakh. Imports of stoves, mainly from Sweden, were valued at Ft 4 ? ${ }^{3}$ lakhs, almost the same as in the preceding year. Imports of gas mantles fell from $\mathbf{P 3} \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{~} 2 \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{8}$ lakhs, to which Germany contributed欩 2 lakhs in 1936-37. The following table shows the percentage shares of the principal conntries participating in the import trade in hardware :-

Imports of hardwoare.


Notwithstanding competition from Germany and Japan, the United Kingdom advanced her position from 32 per cent to 34 per cent, the rhare of the United States of America remaining steady at 9 per cent. Japanese products, however, compete more with Germany than with the higher grade imports from the United Kingdom.

The value of cutlery imported amounted to $\mathbf{7 2 8} \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs as against $\mathbf{7 2 9}$ lakhs in 1935-36. The share of Germany and the United Kingdom remained

> fairly steady at fl6 lakhs and $\not$ R9 lakhs, respectively. Catlery. Imports from Janan ware valned at $\neq 29$ lakhs. Imports from Japan were valued at 724 lakhs.
The value of electroplated ware imported remained at 72 lakhs as in the
Electrophated ware. preceding year, the United Kingdom supplying the bulk of the requirements.

## Imports.

Paper and pasteboards ( 72,82 lakhs).-There was a setback in the import trade in paper and pasteboard during the year under review, the aggregate imports being valued at $\mathrm{Z} 2,82$ lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{Z} 2,99$ lakhs in 1935-36. Imports of paper of all kinds declined from $2,836,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $2,718,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and from $\mathbf{7 2 , 6 2}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{~ R 2 , 4 6}$ lakhs in value. Printing paper recorded a decrease from $1,014,000$ cwts. valued at $¥ 96$ lakhs to $991,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at 787 lakhs. An analysis of the detailed figures would, however, reveal the steady advance in the receipts of newsprints and similar papers, while those needed for posters and display advertising were in smaller demand. Imports of newsprinting paper rose from $687,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at f 52 lakhs to $735,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R 53 lakhs, and those of other sorts of white printing paper advanced from $86,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R 12 lakhs to $107,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at ¥lo lakbs. On the other hand, there was a decrease in the imports of machine glazed poster paper and miscellaneous printing papers which were valued at $¥ 3 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and fl 15 lakhs as against $\mathrm{Z} 4 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs and m 27 lakhs, respectively, in 1935-36. Norway and Sweden, owing to the abundance of natural resources of raw material, were in a far better position to outbid all other countries, which generally depend on imported raw material in the supply of printing paper. The combined imports from Norway and Sweden amonnted to $276,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as compared with $331,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in the preceding year, while the supplies from Germany, which are, in the main, of Scandinavian origin, advanced from $321,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $431,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Arrivals 'from the United Kingdom and Austria fell from 43,000 cwts. and 93,000 cwts. to 33,000 cwts. and 32,000 owts., respectively. Imports from Belgium and Japan in 1936-37, at 2,000 cwts. and $7,600 \mathrm{cwts}$, respectively, were smaller than the corresponding totals of $5,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 8,900 cwts. in the preceding year. There was a small

## Writing paper.

 improvement in the imports of writing paper and onvelopes from 147,000 owts. to 150,000 cwts., the ahe, how, from Norway and Sweden declined from 56,000 cwts. and 14,000 cwts. to $48,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 12,000 cwts., respectively. Supplies from the United Kingdom also declined from $32,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $30,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., while those from Germany
## Packing paper.

 advanced from $12,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $31,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Imports of packing paper fell from $405,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R53 lalchs in 1935-36 to 364,000 cwts. valued at R 48 lakhs in the year under review. Sweden and Norway together supplied 185,000 ewts. or 51 per cent of the total quantity imported in 1936-37 as against $246,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. or 61 per cent in the preceding year. Arrivals from Germany increased from 72,000 cwts. to 85,000 cwts. and those from Austria from 12,000 cwts. to 17,000 cwts. Receipts from the United Kingdom, at $27,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., were smaller than the preceding year's figure of $\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}$ ewts.Imports of old newspapers in bales and bags declined in quantity frome 1,152,000 cwts. to $1,095,000$ cwts. and from R42 lakhs to $\mathbb{\text { P3 }} 39$ lakhs in value.

Old nowepspera, ote. Of these, the United Kingdom sent $1,084,000 \mathrm{owts}$. against $1,103,000$ cwts. and the United States of America, 10,400 cwts. as

## Paper and pasteboards.

share of the United Kingdom in the trade was 99 per cent, as against 96 per cent in the preceding year.

Imports of paper manufactures rose from $40,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{Z151}$ lakhs to $41,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued st $\mathbb{\mathrm { F }} 18$ lakhs. The United Kingdom was, as usual, the principal supplier, consignments from that source aggregating in value to \#il lakhs. Importa
Paper manofactures. of pasteboard, millboard and cardboard, including manufactures thereof, declined from 497,000 ewts. valued at $\mathbf{x 3 6} \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to $485,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ valued st P35 lakhs. Of this, strawboard accounted for \#11 lakhs as against R13 lakhs in 1935-36. The following table shows the percentage shares of the principal countries in the total value of paper and pasteboard imported into India:-

Percentage shares of principal countries in the total value of imports of paper and paeteboard.

| : | $\begin{gathered} 1918-14 \\ \text { (pit-wnt } \\ \text { yenty } \end{gathered}$ | 1929-80 | 1988-84 | -1984-96 | 1086-86 | 1036-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pat oent | Pex cast | Per cant | Par coab | Per cant | Par cent |
| Tuited Eingtom . | $60 \cdot 2$ | 828 | 385 | 34.6 | 20.7 | s0-5 |
| Hozway . | S\%1 | 1492 | 15:2 | 21.5 | 19.6 | 10.8 |
| Urited States of Americes | $0 \cdot 8$ | $2 \times 2$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | 2.2 | 1.6 | $1-1$ |
| Breden . | 85 | 10.8 | 12.7 | $18 \cdot 2$ | 18.8 | 11.5 |
| Sotheriandu | 205 | 70 | $4 \cdot 8$ | 4 | 80 | $8 \cdot 6$ |
| Japan - - | 140 | $2 \cdot 8$ | 47 | $8 \cdot 9$ | $8 \cdot 9$ | 41 |
| Germany | dres | 120 | 77 | $0 \cdot 6$ | 191 | 25.2 |
| Austrim - | 88 | 9.1 | 0.7 | 78 | $4 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 1$ |
| Othar countries | $5 \cdot 8$ | 0.8 | 08 | 128 | 10.8 | 0.8 |
| Toses | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

The improvement in the percentage share of the United Kingdom is noteworthy. German supplies were, in the main, of Scandinavian origin and the increase in German participation was chiefly the result of losses sustained by Sweden and Norway.

In 1936 there were altogether 11 paper mills at work in India; four each in Bengal and Bombay, and one each in the United Provinces, Madras and Travancore. One mill in Bombay and another in Madras did not, however, Fork during the jear. The aggregate production in all the reporting mills in India amounted to $971,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1936-37 as compared with 962,000

Wood pulp. cwts. in 1935-36 and 892,000 ewts. in 1934-35. Imports of wood pulp, mostly for the use of Indian paper mills, amounted to $221,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\nexists 15$ lakhs as compared with 309,000 cwts. valued at R201 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1935-36. Norway and Sweden together accounted for $169,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as against $216,000 \mathrm{ewts}$. in 1935-36, the remainder coming chiefly from the United States of America.

## tmports.

Chemicals (R2,72 lakhs).-There was a decrease in the imports of chemicals which had a total recorded value of R2,72 lakhs in. 1936-37 as against R3,12 lakhs in 1935-36 and $\mathbf{7 2}, 92$ lakhs in 1934-35. Sodium compounds

Sodiam compounds. represented 42 per cent of the total value of ohemicals imported during the year, the quantity received declining from $2,012,000$ owts. to $1,998,000$ ewts. with a corresponding decrease in value from $\mathrm{fl}, 38$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Rl}, 14$ lakhs. Imports of sodium carbonate fell from $1,253,000$ cwts. valued at 762 lakles to $1,251,000$ cwts. valued at R51 lakhs. The chief source of supply was, as usual, the United Kingdom. Imports of caustic soda continued to advance in quantity and amounted to $424,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as against $406,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1935-36, the value, howeverr, showing a decline from R41 $\frac{1}{3}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{F} 36 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. The United Kingdom supplied $355,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. while Japan and the United States of America sent $36,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 29,000 cwts., respectively. Imports of sodium bicarbonate fell from $129,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $121,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. but those of bichromate rose from $14,200 \mathrm{cwts}$. to 16,400 owts. Imports of borax receded and amounted to $25,500 \mathrm{ewts}$. as against $32,200 \mathrm{cwts}$ in 1935-36. There was also a decrease in the imports of sodium silicate and sulphide, which amounted to $43,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 43,900 cwts., respectively, while imports of sodium sulphate showed an increase from $4,900 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $16,600 \mathrm{cwts}$. Imports of sodium cyanide at $3,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. were smaller by $2,200 \mathrm{cwts}$. than in the preceding year.

The total imports of acids fell from $41,100 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at 712 lakhs to $31,600 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\boldsymbol{H}$ १ lakhs. Imports of acetic acid, remained fairly

## Acids.

steady at $5,100 \mathrm{cwts}$., while those of tartaric acid
declined from $3,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $2,600 \mathrm{cwts}$. There were also decreases in the imports of nitric and oxalic acids which amounted to $2,300 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $3,100 \mathrm{cwts}$. as compared with $4,300 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $4,200 \mathrm{cwts}$., respectively, in 1935-36. Imports of sulphuric acid, which had improved from $3,600 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1934-35 to $5,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1935-36, receded to $2,900 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1936-37. There were also decreases in the imports of carbolic, hydrochlorioand citric acids.

Imports of ammonium salts declined from $57,500 \mathrm{cwts}$ to $55,100 \mathrm{cwts}$. Bleaching materials also recorded a deorease, imports of which amounted to

## Other chemicals.

 $165,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at P 9 lakhs as against 204,000 ewts. valued at Ai2 lakhs in 1935-36: Imports of alum continued to decline and amounted to $4,100 \mathrm{cwts}$ as against $7,500 \mathrm{cwis}$. in 1935-36 and $11,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1934-95. There were smaller receipts of aluminous sulphates, supplies during the year totalling $34,400 \mathrm{cwts}$ as against $35,100 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1935-36. The increase in the supplies from Italy, accompanied by a decrease from Japan, characterised the imports of sulphur which amounted to $551,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as against $520,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in the preceding year. The value of imports improved from $\mathbb{7 2 0} 1$ lakhs to nearly R 22 lakhs, to which Japan contributed $\mathbf{7 7} 7.9$ lakhs and Italy $\mathbf{7 8} 82$ lakhs. Imports of carbide of calcium at 65,400 ewts. were smaller than the previous year's total of $66,700 \mathrm{ewts}$, the value, however, remsining fairly steady at $\mathbf{7 7}$ lakhs. Imports of copper sulphate fell from 35,200 ewts. valued at Fa 4 lakhs to $33,100 \mathrm{cwts}$ valued at A 3 lakhs. There were also decreases under potassium chlorate and zinc compounds, while imports of naphthalene and magnesium compounds
## Liquors.

increased. The following table gives the percentage shares of the prinoipal supplying countries in the total imports of ohemicals:-

Percentags shares of principal countries in the total value of ahemicals imported

| - | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 1913-14 \\ \text { (pre-war } \\ \text { year) } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { 1914-19 } \\ \text { (war } \\ \text { average) } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | 1932-33 | 1933-34 | 1934-85 | 1935-36 | 1930-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm$ - | Per cent | Por cont | Per cont | Per cent | Per cent | Por cent | Per cent |
| United Kingdom, | $\checkmark 74.7$ | 70.4 | 61.6 | 55.2 | 55.9 | 55.9 | 54.0 |
| Germany . - . . | 12.4 | $0 \cdot 7$ | 14.9 | 12.7 | 13.4 | $14 \cdot 3$ | 13.2 |
| United States of America | $0 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | 5.8 | 5.8 | $4 \cdot 5$ | 5.0 |
| Italy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | 28 | $5 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | 4.7 | $8 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 6$ |
| Konya Colony |  | 0.3 | $1 \cdot 6$ | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Norwey | 0.5 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 0.8 | 0.3 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Japan * . | 1.5 | 16.7 | $\begin{array}{r}4.9 \\ \hline 15.7\end{array}$ | - 8-4 | 10.3 | 11.5 | - 104 |
| Other countries . . | $5 \cdot 4$ | 8.1 | 16-7 | - $10 \cdot 2$ | 8.2 | 8.9 | 12.8 |
| Totar | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

All the principal participating countries, the United Kingdom, Germany and Japan, reduced their shares, while the comparatively unimportant suppliers like the United States of America, Italy and Norway increased their respective contributions.

Liquors ( $\mathrm{R} 2,40$ lakhs).-The total imports of liquors, returned at $5 \cdot 1$ million gallons, were almost the same as in 1935-36, the value, however, showing a decrease from $\mathrm{R} 2,48$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 2,40$ lakhs. Of the total quantity imported in 1936-37, ale, beer and porter accounted for 75 per cent as against 76 per cent in the preceding year, while the remainder consisted of spirit and wines in the proportion of 21 per cent and 3 per cent as compared with 20 per cent and 4 per cent, respectively, in 1935-36. Imports of ale, beer and porter were almost at the same Ievel as in the proceding year and amounted to 3.8 million gallons valued at R78 lakhs. Of this, 3.0 million gallons were imported in bottles during 1936-37 as against $2 \cdot 9$ million gallons in 1935-36. As in the preceding year, the United Kingdom supplied 44 per cent of the imports and Japan 20 per cent. The Netherlands increased her contribution from 17 per cent to 18 per cent, while German supplies fell from 15 per cent to 14 par cent. German beers are to some extent being displaced by the Dutch products, while Japan eqnters into competition with the products of Indian breweries. Of the beer imported in bulk, amounting to 830,000 gallons, the United Kingdom supplied 98 per cent, only very small quantities being drawn from the Netherlands and Belgium. The average value of imported German beer in 1936-37 was $\mathbf{7 2 - 9}$-3 per gallon, Dutch $\mathbf{R 2} 2-1-10$ per gallon, and Japanese fli-1-10 per gallon. These figures compare with $\mathbf{R 2}-1-3$ por gallon in the case of the United Kingdom. Imports of stout and porter; almost entirely from the United Kingdom, declined from 109,000 gallons to 107,000 gallons, the value of which remained almost :stationary at m 4 lakhs. Imports of spirits, all sorts, inoreased from $1,051,000$

## Imports.

galluns to $1,052,000$ gallons, with a decrease in value from $\mathrm{Pl}, 48$ lakhs to \#ll,42 lakhs. The imports of the different kinds of spirits are shown below :-


Importi of whisky were, as usual, drawn almost entirely from the United. Kingdom and amounted to 334,000 gallons valued at 757 lakhs as compared with 354,000 gallons valued at P 59 lakhs. France takes the lead in the supply of braidy and only small quantities are received from the United Kingdom and Germany. Imports of brandy declined from 129,000 gallons to 115,000 gallons in quantity, and from 720 lakhs to $\mathbb{Z l} 18$ hakhs in value. The United Kingdom, as usual, supplied the largest quantify of gin imported into India. The share of the United Kingdom in the total imports of spirits was f 93 lakhs or 66 per oent, almost the same as in the preceding year. Consignments from France were valued at $\not \mathrm{Z25}$ lakhs as in 1935-36. The United States of Americs reduced her contribution from R15 $\frac{3}{4}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Rl} 14 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Imports from Germany and Java were also lower than in the preceding year and were valued at $\mathrm{R} 3 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs and $\mathrm{R} 2 \frac{3}{4}$ lakhs, respeotively. Under wines, imports receded to 158,000 gallons valued at $\mathrm{fl} 19 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs from 188,000 gallons valued at $\mathbf{7 2 1}$ lakhs in 1935-36. Of the total imports, France supplied 51,000 gallons or 32 per cent, Spain 21,000 gallons or 13 per cent, Italy 10,000 gallons or 6 per cent and Portugal 7,000 gallons or 4 per cent. The contribution of the United Kingdom, including re-exports from the four principal producing countries, was 53,000 gallons or 34 per cent, as against 51,000 gallons or 27 per cent in 1935-36.

Drags and medicines ( $\mathrm{R} 2,07$ Lakhs). -The imports of drugs and medicines showed a decrease from R2,11 lakhs in 1935-36 to R2,07 lakhs in the ${ }^{-}$

Proprietary and patent medioinga,
year under review. The trade in proprietary and
patent medicines has recorded a marked expansion
in recent jears. The imports which were valued at \#39 lakhe in 1934-35, advanced to R64 lakhs in 1935-36 and further'to $\ddagger 268$ lakhs in 1936-37. Notwithstanding intense competition, the United Kingdomincreased her supplies from $\mathbb{Z 2 6}$ lalchs to $\mathbf{Z 2 9}$ lalkhs and her percentage share in the total trade rose from 41 to 43 . Imports from Germany also increased from $\mathbb{F 1 6}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{P18}$ lakhs, while those from the United States of America fell from R12 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to R11 $\frac{3}{4}$ lakhs. Receipts from other countries included $\mathbb{7 5} \frac{1}{2}$ lakchs from France, and $\mathrm{R} 27,000$ from Japan. There

## Quinine salta.

was a decrease in the imports of quinine salts which amounted to 99,000 lbs. valued at f 23 lakhs as compared with 104,000 lbs. valued at R26 lakhs in 1935-36. The principal suppliers were Germany and the United Kingdom. The former reduced

## Salt.

her share from $45,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $38,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. and from FII lakhs to $\mathrm{F} 8 \frac{1}{1}$ lakhs in value, while the supplies from the latter remained fairly stationary at 28,000 lbs. valued at R8 lakhs. Java sent quinine worth f3 lakhs as against f2 lakhs in 1935-36. Imports of cod liver oil showed a further improvement

## Camphor.

 from $106,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $196,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. Imports of camphor declined from 2.2 million lbs . to $1 \cdot 9$ million lbs. in quantity and from 722 lakhs to $\not \approx 21$ lakhs in value, Japan and Germany contributing flll lakhs and $\mathrm{A7}$ lakhs, respeotively. There was a noticeable decrease in the imports of sacchanin from $91,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at nearly ft lakhs to $23,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at mat lakh. Unspecified descriptions of drugs and medicines imported during the year were valued at F 91 lakhs, almost the same as in the preceding year.Sált (\#7. 60 lakhs).-Imports of salt declined in quantity from 389,000 tons in 1935-36 to 382,000 tons in the year under review, but the value of the imports rose from $\mathbb{Z 5 7}$ lakhs to P 60 lakhs. Aden, as usual, was the principal supplier, and receipts from that source amounted to 295,000 tons valued at R45 lakhs as against 296,000 tons valued at f 40 lakhs in 1935-36. There were smaller supplies from Germany which amounted to 78,000 tons valued at fl 13 lakhis as compared with 81,000 tons valued at Rl 4 lakhs in the preceding year. The United Kingdom sent 2,400 tons or 1,200 tons more than in the preceding year, while Egypt reduced her supplies from 11,300 tons to 6,600 tons. There were no imports from the Italian East Africa. The following table shows the percentage shares of the principal countries participating in the trade :-

- Percertage shares of the prinoipal conntries in the imports of salt.

| $\cdots$ - . | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { 1918-14 } \\ \text { (pre-war } \\ \text { year) } \end{gathered}\right.$ | 1929 -30 | 1932-83 | 1933-34 | 1934-35 | 1986-36 | 1086-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | Per cont | Fer cont | Rer oent | Per cont | Por cent | Por cent | Por enat |
| Aden and Dopendancies | 19-1 | 30.0 | 52.4 | 77.5 | 78.0 | 76.0 | $77 \cdot 2$ |
| Germany . . . | $8 \cdot 8$ | 988 | 105 | 15.1 | 160 | 20.7 | 20.4 |
| Esypt • . . - | 18.0 | $16 \cdot 3$ | 78 | 30 | 8.4 | $2 \cdot 9$ | $1 \cdot 7$ |
| United Kingdom - . | 22.5 | 12-0 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | $0 \cdot 8$ |
| 8pain * . . | $15 \%$ | $10-8$ | 6-1 | 0.6 | *' | ** | - |
| Italian Esat Afrion . . | 8.1 | 10-6 | 18.0 | 3.5 | 211 | $\bullet$ | * |
| Other countrine | $12 \cdot 6$ | 3-6 | $1 \cdot 2$ | 02 | 0.4 | 0.1 | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| Totaz | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 140 |
| Total qJantryt mpoiziol (15 TONH). | 607,300 | 648,860 | 644,124 | 372,708 | 877,670 | 388,974 | 382.177 |

## Imports.

Bengal and Burma are the principal consumers of foreign salt. The former tooks 300,000 tons or 79 per cent of the total quantity imported in $1936-37$, as against 328,000 tons or 84 per cent in the preceding year. Imports into Burma amounted to 82,000 tons or 21 per cent as compared with 61,000 tons or 16 per cent in 1935-36. The coastwise imports of Iridian salt into Bengal in 1936-37 amounted to 230,000 tons as compared with 239,000 tons in the preceding year. The following table shows the prices at Calcutta, per 100 maunds, of Liverpool, Hamburg (Vioz); Aden and Indian salts at about the end of each month during 1936-37:-

|  |  | * |  |  |  | InPortist | - | Indiam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - . | Hr |  |  |  | Xiverpool (ex-golah) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hamburg } \\ & \text { Vsoal } \\ & \text { (ex-golah) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Bombay (ex-golab) |
| 1836- |  |  |  |  | 7 | H | \# | \# |
| April . | - | : | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 50 | 41 |
| ห̇ı . | - | - | $\because$ |  | - | $\cdots$ | 48 | 10 |
| Junc . | - | - | - | - | 58 | $\cdots$ | 40 | $40^{\circ}$ |
| July . | : | - | - |  | 56 | 56 | 33 | 40 |
| Augast | - | - | - | - | 56 | 54 | 38 | . |
| September | - | - | - | - | 86 | 54 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Ootober | - | - |  |  | 56 | 54 | - | 40 |
| Notembier | - | ! | - |  | 56 | 54 | 47 | 40 |
| Deoumbor | - | - | - |  | - | 54 | 40 | 40 |
|  | - |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | 53 | .. | 42 |
| Bebruary | . | - | - |  | - | - | - | 48 |
| Maroh | * | - | : |  | ** | 57 | .. | 50 |

The above figures are exclusive of duty. It should be remembered that Liverpool and Hamburg salt were liable to an additional duty, not applicable to Aden or Bombay salt. This additional duty has, with effect from the 21st April 1936, been reduced to $1 \frac{1}{3}$ as. per md. (स9-6-0 per 100 mds .).

Sugar ( (R24 lakhs).-The world's sugar production in 1936-37 was estimated by Messrs. Willett and Gray at $30,978,330$ tons as compared with 28,663,117 tons in 1935-36. The area under sugareane in India in 1936-37 was $4,433,000$ acres as bompared with $4,022,000$ acres in $1935-36$. The acreage under improved varieties of cane in the different provinces continued to show an increase, being estimated at $3,071,000$ acres in 1935-36 as against 2,446,000 acres in 1934-35. The production in terms of gur (raw sugar) in 1936-37 is estimated at $6,719,000$ tons or 810,000 tons more than in the preceding


## Sugar.

during the season 1936-37 was 146 as against lí7 fuctories in operation in the season 1935-36. A few of these had, however, gur refineries attached. The number of factories reported to be èngaged in refining gur during the season 1936-37 was 9. The following table gives the produotion of sugar in Indian factories during the last ten seasons as estimated by the Director, Imparial Institufe of Sugar Technology:-

(a) Ratimbted.

* Rellates to the poriod from November to Oatober in the oase of tactories working with oane and from Janasry to December in the case of those refihing ger. Thi prinoipal orushing period is from November to May.

It will be noticed that there has been a steady inorease in production during the last few seasons. In 1936-37 it has reached a total of $1,105,000$ tons which compares with 962,000 tons in 1935-36, 617,000 tons in 1934-35 and 515,000 tons in 1933-34. According to the returns furnished under the Sugar Excise Duty Act of 1934, the production of sugar in the twelve months ending March, 1937, was $1,055,000$ tons, being in excess of 173,000 tons over the corresponding figure for $1935-36$. According to the Director, Imperial Institute of Sugar Technology, the quantity of sugar available for consumption in India was $1,010,000$ tons in 1935-36, as against $1,015,000$ tons in 193435 and 932,000 tons in 1933-34. As complete figures for stocks of sugar at the ports and upcountry centres are not obtainable, these estimates of consumption are necessarily approximate.

## Imports.

The progressive decline in the imports of sugar into Indis will be apparent from the table below:-

Imports of sugar, all kinds (excluding molasses).

| * 1 | $\begin{gathered} 1018-14 \\ \text { (pre-wny } \\ \text { yescr) } \end{gathered}$ | 1020-80 | 1981-88 | $1982-38$ | 1019-84 | 1984-85 | 1035-86 | 1089-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tọns: | Tont | Tons | Tons | Tons | Tons | Tons | Toni |
| linltod Einciom | 900 | 80,800 | 22,000 | 34,000 | 86,700 | 16,800 | 38,400 | 280 |
| Jeve | 683,000 | 781,100 | 368,800 | 294,800 | 104,400 | 175,000 | 150,400 | 15.080 |
| Maviditir | 139,600 | $\bullet$ |  | - | + | ** | - | - * |
|  | 2,000 | 600 | $\cdots 400$ | 200 | 200 | . 200 | 800 | 130 |
| Cblat mid Hongloag | 1,500 | 6,100 | 6,000 | 2.800 | 8,400 | 8,900 | 8,100 | B,010 |
| Oermany | : 700 | 25,200 | 16,400 | 800 | - | 200 | 1,000 | - |
| Anstria. . | 7 \% 000 | 1,400 | 400 |  | $\cdots$ | $\bullet *$ | ** | ** |
| Hungery | 3 ( | 30,500 |  |  | 1,700 | -* | $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ |
| Yethorlmade |  | 2,500 | 600 | 500 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,650 |
| Balgram: | ** | 1,800 | 200 | 2,500 | 1,900 | 2.100 | 300 | 50 |
| Brincos. |  | 11,900 |  | ** | -* | 1,600 | - $\quad$. | * |
| Ceashonlovetifa |  | 0.700 | 1,100 | 500 | - | 100 |  | $\cdots$ |
| Othar countries | 400 | 18,500 | 102,700 | 88,600 | 21,700 | 20,000 | 20,900 | 060 |
| Togin the 000minms | 808,000 | 989,600 | 516,100 | 389,500 | 201,800 | 222,900 | 201,200 | 29,100 |
| Volvo 3 (letha) | 14,20 | 15,51 | 6,01 | 4,12 | 270 | 2,11 | 1,91 | 24 |

Imports into British Indis in 1936-37 fell sharply from 201,000 tons valued at. $\mathrm{A1}, 91$ lakhs to 23,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Z24}$ lakhs. These imports consisted largely of sugar, 23 D . S. and above, which in 1936-37, totalled nearly 19,000 tons of which 15,000 tons came from Java and 3,000 tons from China and Hongkong. Of 2,300 tons of sugar below 23 D. S. received during the year, 1,700 tons were despatched from China and Hongkong and 500 tons from Japan. Imports of beet sugar, amounting to 1,900 tons as against 23,600 tons in 1935-36, were drawn chiefly from the Netherlands which supplied 1,600 tons or about 200 tons less than in the preceding year. Imports from the United Kingdom which had totalled 20,500 tons in 1935-36 practically dissppeared in the year under review. Imports of sugar into the Kathiawar ports mainly from Java, in 1936-37 amounted to 16,000 tons, raising the total for all-India to 39,100 tons as against the corresponding total of 289,200 tons in 1935-36. There were no imports of molasses into India in 1936-37 as in the preceding year. Re-exports of sugar inoreased from 3,000 tons to 9,000 tons. Exports abroad of Indian sugar were small amounting to only 500 tons in 1936-37. Exports of molesses rose from 1,000 tons to 24,000 tons in 1936-37 of which the United Kingdom absorbed 14,000 tons.

The price of sugar, Java, White granulated, T. M. O. quality, per cwt. exgodown, at Bombay was generally lower than in the preceding year and ranged between R12-14-0 and \#13-9-0 during 1936-37. In Calcutte, the quotations

## Instruments and apparatus.

of Java, White, 25 D. S. and/or higher, were higher in the first half of the year than in the corresponding period of the preceding year. During the second half, however, the position was reversed. The ex-factory price of a typical Indian Sugar (Crystal No. 1) at Cawnpore was $\mathrm{F} 8-10-0$ per maund at the beginning of April. The price thereafter displayed a declining tendency and touched R8-6-0 on August 21. The rate ranged between R8-6 and R8-8 up to the end of November. In December, when new sugar came into the market, the price was quoted at R7-14 on the 4th, declining further to P7-5 on the 31st day of the month. The rapid dealine that followed brought the price down to $\mathbb{7 6} 6$ on the 12th March. An improvement by two annas was noticed by the end of March.

Other articles.-The following table shows the course of the trade in some of the other articles of importance in imports :-

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1913.14 \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \text { pre-war } \\ \text { Year }) \end{array}\right. \end{gathered}$ | 1929-30 | 1933.34 | 1984-35 | 1835.36 | 1080.37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B (lakhs) | A (iskhe) | A (lakhs) | 2 (latha) | A (latha) | 8 (athbs) |
| Instrumenta, apparatus, otc. - | 1.82 | B,38 | 4,02 | 4,78 | 6,18 | 5,19 |
| Dyeing apd taming anbetanoed | 1.41 | 2,48 | 2,46 | 3,08 | 3,34 | 8,01 |
| 8pices . . | 1,78 | 3,26 | 1,68 | 1,65 | 1,62 | 1,88 |
| Glam and glamare - | 1,95 | 2,52 | 1,22 | 1,33 | 1,39 | 1,28 |
| Precious atonea and pearla, nnsat | -1,07 | 1,10 | 75 | 50 | 48 | 98 |
| Tobacoo . . . | 75 | 2,70 | 72 | 62 | 62 | 81 |
| Cement . . . | 88 | 64 | 22 | 24 | 92 | 19 |
| Conl and coke | 11 | 46 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 16 |

Imports of instruments and apparatus, which had risen from R4,73 lakha in 1934-35 to $\mathbf{R 5}, 18$ lakhs in 1935-36, were well maintained at $\mathrm{R} 5,19$ lakhs

Instruments and apparatus ( $\mathbf{R 5}, 19$ lakhs). in the year under review. There was, however, a decrease in the imports of electrical instruments and apparatus which were valued at $\mathbb{R 3}, 04$ lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{F3}, 08$ lakhs in the preceding year. . The United Kingdom, the chief source of supply, reduced her share from $\# 1,75$ lakhs to $\mathrm{\# t} 1,70$ lakhs, while Germany and Belgium increased their contributions from 737 lakhe and $\mathbb{A 3}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{7 4 3}$ lakhs and R5 lakhe, respectively. Imports from Japan fell by $\mathrm{P} \frac{1}{2}$ lakh to $\mathbf{A 1 7}$ lakhe, and those from the Netherlands declined to $\mathrm{H6} 6$ lakhs from $\mathbb{Z} 13$ lakhs in 1935-36. Imports from the United States of America and Italy were also lower than in the preceding year and were valued at R36 lakhs and $\mathrm{fl} 3 \frac{1}{2}$ latchs-as compared with $\mathrm{\#} 38$ lakhs and 76 lakhs, respeotively.

## Imports.

Details of imports under the various classes of electrical instruments and apparatus during the past three years are given in the table below :-

|  | 1934-35 | 1935-36 | 1036-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A (lachs) | 7. (lakhs) | \# (lakhs) |
| Electric fans and parta thensof | 25 | 33 | 35 |
| "* vires and oables. | 87 | 94 | 94 |
| Telegraph end telephons instraments | 15 | 18 | - 19 |
| Electric lampa and parts thereof - | 57 | 64 | 58 |
| Batterica . . . . . | 15 | 21 | 26 |
| Carbons, eloctrjo . . . . . | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Acoumulatora - . . . . | 8 | 8 | ${ }^{6}$ |
| Eleotric lighting accemsories and fittinga, inoloding awitohes. | 7 | 8 | 6 |
| Metera * . . . . . | 12 | 10 | 11 |
| Eleotro-medioal spparatus, including . X-ray | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| Switoh boards (othor than telegraph and telophone). <br> Unenumersted | 4 | 41 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 40 \end{array}$ |
| Toral | 2,81 | 3,08 | 3,04 |

With the development of the Indian film industry, imports of raw films continued to expand and totalled 67.8 million feet ( $\mathbf{~} 244$ lakhs), as compared with 60.7 million feet ( P 21 lakhs) in 1935-36, $60 \cdot 1$ million feet ( Z 21 lakhs) in 1934-35 and $\mathbf{3 6 . 9}$ million feet (ft15 lakhs) in 1933-34.. The totsl length of exposed films was 9.4 million feet with an aggregate value of $\mathbb{R 2 5}$ lakhs, as compared with 8.8 million feet valued at $\pm 26$ lakhs in 1935-36. Besides, A12 lakhs' worth of cinema talkie apparatus and equipment, which have been separately recorded from April 1936, were imported during the year 1936-37, chiefly from the United States of America and Germany. Imports of photographic appliances, not included in these figures, were valued at 729 lakhs. The imports of wireless apparatus in 1936-37, including wireless valves worth Pll lakhs which were for the first time recorded under this head, were valued at R35 lakhs. In 1935-36 the recorded imports were valued at R 28 lakhs and in 1934-35 at Zl 16 lakhs. Both transmitting and receiving apparatus are included in these figures, the share of complete receivers being P 25 lakhs in 1936-37. Even if wireless valves were left out, the figures would still show an increase in the imports of wireless apparatus in 1936-37 in comparison with the preceding two years. The increase in wireless apparatus was largely shared by the United States of America and the United Kingdom, whioh sent supplies to the value of $\mathbb{7 1 6}$ lakhs and Z 13 lakhs as against $\not \approx 12$ lakhs and $¥ 10$ lakhs, respectively, in 1935-36. Imports from the Netherlands showed a comparatively small increase and were valued at ft 4 lakhs. Of other descriptions of instruments and apparatus, scientific instruments dropped from \#16 lakhs to R14 lakhs, surgical from \#15 lakhs to P14 lakehs, musioal
 value of the total imports of instruments and apparatus from the United Kingdom declined from $\mathbf{7 2 , 7 0}$ lakchs in 1935-36 to R2,60 lakhs in 1936-37, and concurrently her relative share in the total trade dropped from 52 per cent to 50 per cent. Imports from the United States of America, on the other hand, which increased from $\mathbf{7 8 7}$ laklıs to Z 91 lakhs, represented 18 per

## Dyeing and tanning substances.

cent of the total imports as against 17 per cent in the preceding year. The value of German supplies also increased from F 74 lakhs to R 82 lakhs, representing 16 per cent of the total imports as compared with 14 per cent in 1935-36. Japan's share was fairly steady at f 31 lakhs as in the preceding year.

There was a decrease in the imports of dye stuffs from $\mathrm{P} 3,34$ lakhs to R3,01 lakhs, of which imports of coal-tar dyes were valued at $\mathbf{7 2}, 61$ lakhs

Dyeing and tanning subntances ( $\mathrm{R} 3,01$ lakhs). as against $\mathrm{P} 3,03$ lakhs in 1935-36. Imports of alizarine dyes, however, advanced from 2.3 million lbs. to 2.5 million lbs. in quantity, the value remaining fairly stationary at $\mathbf{Z 1 6}$ lakhs. Other coal-tar dyes fell from 18.1 million lbs. to $14 \cdot 4$ million lbs, in quantity and from $\mathbb{Z 2 , 8 7}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{R 2} 2,45$ lakhs in value. The bulk of the imports of coal-tar dyes came from Germany which sent 11.7 million lbs. as against 13.8 million lbs. in the preceding year. Imports from the United Kingdom also decreased from 2.2 million lbs. to 1.8 million lbs. Other countries participating in this trade also recorded a decrease in their respective contributions, the share of the United States of America dropping from 1.4 million lbs. to $791,000 \mathrm{lbs}$, that of Japan from $1-4$ million lbs. to 1.2 million lbs., and of Switzerland from $670,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $458,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. The following table shows the details of imports of coal-tar dyes during the past three years :-


## Imports.

Imports of barks for tanning, mostly from South Africa, rose from 262,900 owts. valued at Rl3 $\frac{1}{3}$ lakhs to $431,600 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{Rl} 19 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs in 1936-37. Importe of cutch and gambier, of which the Straits Settlements is the chief source of supply, showed a decline from I5 $\frac{2}{3}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{P5}$ lakhs. Imports of saffiron, mostly from Spain, registered a decline from $35,200 \mathrm{lbs}$. to 30,700 lbs., the value of the receipts in $1936-37$, being f 8 lakhs, or about Zl 1 lakh more than in the preceding year.

There was an increase in the imports of spices which amounted to $1,758,000$ cwts. valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,88$ lakhs in 1936-37, as compared with $1,634,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.

Spices ( $\mathrm{R} 1,88$ lakhs). valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 62$ lakhs in 1935-36. With the exception of pepper, all other descriptions showed an increase. Imports of betelnuts rose from $1,319,000$ owts. valued at P 97 lakhs to $1,502,000 \mathrm{owts}$. valued at $\mathrm{Pl} 1,25$ lakhs. The imports were, as usual, drawn chiefly from the Straits Settlements and, to a less extent, from Ceylon, both of which increased their supplies from $1,218,000 \mathrm{ewts}$. and $83,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $1,346,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ and $119,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., respectively. Imports of cloves improved from $74,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $98,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and from $\mathbf{7 2 3}$ lakhs to R38 lakhs in value. Kenya Colony and Zanzibar and Pemba together supplied $62,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., almost the same as in the preceding year, but larger supplies were available from Madagascar, imports from which source amounted to $22,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as against $3,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in the preceding year. Imports of pepper, which had shown a striking increase from $6,000^{\circ}$ cwts. in 1934-35 to 130,000 owts. in 1935-36, declined to $39,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in the year under review. The Straits Settlements and Java sent 9,000 cwts. and 29,000 cwts. as against $37,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $93,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., respectively, in 1935-36. Imports of ginger rose from 10,000 cwts. to nearly $17,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and of nutmegs from $9,300 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $9,400 \mathrm{cwts}$.

- The total value of imports of glass and glassware fell fromr 21,39 lakhs in 1935-36 to $\mathrm{Z1}, 28$ lakhs in 1936-37. Japan retained her. predominent posi-

Glass and glassware
( $\mathrm{E} 1,28$ lakha).
Cus share advanced from 47. per cent to 49 per cent. Gechoslovakia came next with $\not 720$ lakhs or 15 per eent, followed by Germany ( 12 per cent), Belgium ( 10 per cent) and the United Kingdom ( 8 per cent). Turning to different descriptions of glassware imported, bottles and phials, beads and false pearls, and sheets and plates showed noticeable decreases, Glass bangles, imports of which had declined from $\not 731$ lakhs in 1934-35 to P27 lakhs in 1935-36, recovered to $\mathrm{R28}$ lakhs in the year under review. Beads and false pearls imported showed a decrease from 22,000 cwts. valued at R15 lakhs to $19,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R12 lakhs. The principal sources of supply of both these classes were, as before, Japan and Czechoslovakia. The former is displacing the latter in the imports of bangles, while beads continue to be drawn chiefly from Czechoslovakia and, to a lesser extent, from Italy. The share of Japan in the combined imports of these two items was $\mathrm{R19}$ lakhs, as in the preceding year, while that of Czechoslovakia showed a decrease from R17 lakhs to R16 lakhs. Imports of sodawater bottles, chiefly from Japan, Germany and the United Kingdom, numbered 32,000 gross (R5 lakhs) as compared with 50,000 gross (R8 lakhs) in 1935-36. Bottles of other descriptions imported amounted to 813,000 gross ( R 18 lakhs)

## Tobacco.

as against 989,000 gross ( Z 22 lakhs) in the preceding year. The total value of bottles and phials imported declined by A7 lakhs to $\mathbb{\text { P } 2 3}$ lakhs. Imports of aheet and plate glass also declined from 31 million square feet in 1935-36 to 27 million square feet in 1936-37, the declared value showing a decrease from A 24 f lakhs to $\mathrm{Z} 21 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs. Supplies from Belgium were valued at f12 lakhs as against $\mathbb{1 3} 3$ lakhs in the preceding year, but those from the United Kingdom, representing chiefly the superior qualities, remained at fit lakhs as in 1935-36. Japan's contribution was worth ff lakhs. Among other descriptions included in this category, mention may be made of tableware and funnels, globes and glass parts of lamps, imports of both these items remaining fairly steady at fut lakhs and f 6 lakhs, respectively.

Imports of precious stones and pearls rose from fr48 lakhs in 1935-36 to F 98 lakhs in 1936-37. The increase was noticeable only in the case of diamonds imports of which advanced from R31
Precious stones and pearls (R98 lakhs). lakhs to f884 lakhs, while other precious stones and pearls unset recorded a decrease from F 6 lakhs and F10 lakhs to P 4 lakhs and RI lakhs, respectively. Belgium, as usual, was the chief source of supply of precious stones, the value of her contribution rising from R30 lakhs to R 75 lakhs. Supplies from the Netherlands amounted to $\mathrm{P} 5 \frac{1}{1}$ lakhs as against PII $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in the preceding year. The United Kingdom further increased her share in this trade to 76 lakhs from $\operatorname{It} 4$ lakhs in the preceding year: Consignments from the Bahrein Islands, Consisting mainly of pearls, showed a decrease from R5 lakhs to R4 lakhs, while imports from Arabia remained fairly steady at It5 lakhs.

In 1929-30, imports of cigarettes amounted to $5 \cdot 3$ million lbs.; since then these imports have progressively declined and amounted to $593,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. : ToÉçco ( r 81 lakhs). in 1933-34. From 1934-35, the imports continued in the year under review amounted to 919,000 lbs. valued at F 32 lakhs as against 831,000 lbs. valued at Z 28 lakhs in 1935-36 and 614,000 lbs. valued at R22 lakhs in 1934-35. The bulk of the imports was, as usual, from the United Kingdom which supplied $877,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at Z 30 lakhs as against $780,400 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at 727 lakhs in the preceding year. It may be mentioned that the British manufacturers have been supplying, for some years past, the popular brands of cigarettes from their Indian factories for local consumption. Arrivals from other countries included $22,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. from the United States of America, $6,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. from Chins, $3,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. from Egypt and 5,000 lbs. from Japan. Imports of unmanufactured tobacco, which had declined from 3 million lbs. in 1934-35 to 1.9 million lbs. in 1935-36, recovered to 3.3 million lbs. in the year under review. Imports of raw tobac o were obtained chiefly -from: the United States of America which supplied 3.1 million lbs. in 1936-37 as compared with 1.5 million lbs. in 1935-36 and 1.8 million lbs. in 1934-35. Imports from other countries included $170,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. from the United Kingdom, $26,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. from the Netherlands, $11,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. from Belgium and 7,000 lbs. from Egypt. Imports of tobacco for pipes increased from $51,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1935-36 to $58,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in the year under review. The United Kingdom supplied $37,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. and the United States, 11,500 lbs. as compared with $35,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ and 6,100 lbs., respectively, in 1935-36. Importa from Australia also showed an increase

## Imports.

from $8,400 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $8,800 \mathrm{lbs}$. Imports of cigars numbered 735,000 with a total weight of $13,900 \mathrm{lbs}$ as compared with 787,000 weighing $12,800 \mathrm{lbs}$.

Imports of cement continued to decline and amounted to 51,000 tons valued at P 19 lakhs as compared with 59,000 tons valued at f 22 lakbs in

Cement (fil9 lakhs). 1935-36 and 67,000 tons valued at R 24 lakhs in 1934-35. The Indian requirements are largely met from the local cement works which produce cement equal in quality to the imported material. The Indian cement industry has recently taken a great step forward with the grouping of the ten principal concerns under unified control. The new combine, known as Associated Cement Companies, is expected to show considerable economies in working, and it is doubtful whethet imports will show much expansion in future. Owing to remoteness of their situation from Indian cement works, the Madras Presidency and Burma remain the principal consuming markets for imported cement, although their requirements declined from 21,600 tons and 15,900 tons to 20,100 tons and 12,100 tons, respectively. The bulk of the consignments came, as usual, from the United Kingdom, supplies from that country amounting to 38,000 tons (\#15 lakhs) as against 47,000 tons (R19 lakhs) in 1935-36. Imports from- Japan declined from 10,000 tons to 8,000 tons. Arrivals from other countries included 2,500 tons from Yugoslavia and 500 tons from Germany. The total production of Portland cement in India amounted to 997,000 tons in 1936-37. $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}_{2}$ compared with 891,000 tons in 1935-36 and 781,000 tons in 1934-35.

Imports of foreign coal increased in quantity from 59,400 tons in 1935-36 to 74,900 tons in 1936-37 and in value from P9 lakhs to RII lakhs. With

Coal (\#ill lakhs). . the exception of Sind, imports into other provinces increased. Bombay took 26,700 tons as against 13,300 tons, and Burma and Bengal 9,800 tons and 2,600 tons as against 3,100 tons and 600 tons, respectively, in the preceding year. Imports into Sind fell from 42,000 tons to 36,000 tons. There were no imports into Madras. The following table shows the sources of the imports of foreign coal during 1929-30 and in the last five years:-

| - | 1929-30 | 1932-33 | 1083-34 | 1034-35 | 1935-38 | 1936-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tona | Tons | Tous | Tona | Tons | Tons |
| United Kingdom | 18,000 | 16,000 | 10,000 | 12,000 | 10,000 | 17,000: |
| Union of Sonth Africa (mainly Natal). | 187,000 | 14,000 | 88,000 | 32,000 | 18,000 | 87,000 |
| Japan . - | 1,000 | - | 300 | 2,000 | - | 3,000 |
| Australis | 2,000 | 8,000 | 0,000 | 4,000 | 5,000 | 9,0002 |

As will be seen from the above table, the imports from the Union of Soutli. Africa (mainly Natal) which had fallen from 32,000 tons in 1934-35 to 19,000, tons in 1935-36, recovered to 37,000 tons in the year under review. There. was also an increase in the receipts from the United Kingdom from 10,000 . tons to 17,000 tons, while supplies from Australia dropped from 5,000 tons: to 2,000 tons in the year under review. Japan, which had sent nothing in. 1935-36, re-entered the market with 3,000 tons in 1936-37.

## Imports.

## Dutiable baggage.

The imports of baggages which are dutiable büt not otherwise specified have been separately recorded from April, 1936. The total value of such articles, in the year under review, amounted to Fl 13 lakhs. The following classes of dutiable baggage are, however, recorded under thẹir respective heads and are necessarily excluded from this:-(i) Firearms, cartridges ard other arms and ammunitions, (ii) wireless sets and instruments, apparatus and appliances, (iii) liquors and spirits, (iv) furniture and carpets, (v) jewelery, silverware and plated ware, including silver and plated cutlery and (vi) vehicles, including motór cars.

## CHAPTER III.

## Exports of Merchandise.

The following table shows the comparative importance of the principal articles exported from British India :-

Exports.
(In thorsands of Rupess).

| $\because$ | 1082-8s | 1038-34 | 1084-85 | 1035-86 | 1086-97 3 | Percentage on total exports of merchandise is 1096-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \{ Cotton, raw and waste | 20,09,95 | 27,01,47 | 85,44,87 | S4,47,04 | 45,17,98 | 28.08 |
| \{Cotion manulacturea | 8,99,11 | 2,72,68 | 2,64,80 | 2, $2,02,72$ | 8,78,43 | 1.03 |
| \{ Jote, raw | -9,7,71,08 | 10,93,27 | 10,87,11 | 18,70,76 | 14,77,10 | 7-68 |
| Tes. | 17,15, 88 | 19,84,50 | 20,13,10 | 10,82,41 | 20,03,81 | -14-25 |
| Sceds - | 11,30,08 | 19,68,15 | 10,54,10 | 10,33,05 | 18,46,03 | * 9-40 |
| Craln, pulse and flour | 16,07,60 | 11,74,79 | 11,84,40 | 12,40,87 | 15,37,02 | 7.84 |
| Metals and ores . | 4,08,18 | 5,48,70 | 5,91,27 | 7,78,95 | 8,01,09 | 4.00 |
|  | 建, |  | \%, | 5,02,80 | 7,30,37 | 8.75 |
| Wool, raw and manulaco ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | 1,77,73 | 2,72,48 | $2,10,27$ | - 2,02,50 | 8,78,89 | 1-91 |
| Lec | 1,24,24 | 8,48,44 | 8,20,80 | 1,58,86 | 2,34, ${ }^{\text {ci }}$ | $1 \cdot 19$ |
| Olucakes | 1,06,51 | 1,04,72 | 1,06,09 | 1,81,70 | 9,20,93 | 1.16 |
| Parafin wax | 2,01,88 | 2,28,01 | 1,01,08 | 2,27,87 | 1,06,69 | 1.00 |
| Wood and timber | 68,18 | 84,24 | 1,10,27 | 1,34,57 | 1,77,47 | 0.91 |
| Frulte and vegotables. | ${ }_{80}^{00,52}$ | 90,08 | 1,07,78 | 1,64,66 | 1,60,89 | $0 \cdot 87$ |
| Rubber, raw bran and | 8,78 70,20 | 31,18 | 05,89 | 88,71 | 1,04,03 | 0.68 |
| Fodoler, bran and | 70,20 | 40,06 | 77,80 | 78,48 | 85,74 | 0.48 |
| Mica . - | 81,52 | 44,74 | 60,07 | 83,49 | 94,06 | $0 \cdot 18$ |
| Tobseco | 1,07, 71 | - 093,80 | 81,90 | 92,183 | 02,51 | 0.47 |
| Colr | 1,60,24 | 1,76,96 | 79,80 | 1,02, 81 | 88,67 7096 | 0.48 |
| 0 lls . | 68,79 | 67,94 | 55,36 | 63,65 | 69,97 | 0.36 |
|  | 89,16 75,49 | 86,09 | 30,08 | 60,84 | 89,27 | 0.35 |
| yejp <br> and | 75,43 | 78,60 | 71,61 | 70,85 | 64,48 | 0.38 |
| Splees - : | 79,38 | 72,20 | 77,34 | 54,08 | 85,41 | 0.28 |
| Monter for manufaetaring | 80,39 84,82 | 25,46 | 81,84 | 38,28 | 61,42 | 0.28 |
| purposca. |  | 24,88 | 81,06 | 32,19 | 6,45 | 0.24 |
| Fish excluding eanned fish). | 45,71 | 44,87 | 44,55 | 45,00 | 44,96 | $0 \cdot 28$ |
| Bristes ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 18,65 | 17,47 | 29,41 |  |  |  |
| Proviaions and oliman's thores. | 32,62 | 98,12 | 27,87 | 27,13 | 28,38 | 0.16 |
| Drups and mediclnes | 31,20 | 29,81 | 25,05 | 25,44 |  |  |
| Plos and for brouhin and | 44,10 | 37,35 | 20,22 | 17,98 | 20,41 | $0 \cdot 10$ |
| brooms. | 24,02 | 22,02 | 10,15 | 21,08 | 19,98 | $0 \cdot 10$ |
| APparel ${ }^{\text {Bud }}$ and Ergi- | 8,08 | 11,14 | 11,38 | 12,81 |  | 0.07 |
|  | 0,24 | P,84 | 9,75 | 10,46 | 12,60 | 0.06 |
| Salipetio | 12,20 | 15,20 |  |  |  |  |
| Cordamgo, Unding rope | 10,10 7,78 | 0,86 | 19,24 | 9,26 | E,91 | 0.04 |
|  | 3,18 | 6,55 | 7,36 4,60 | 6,85 6,50 | 7,51 | 0.04 |
| Eugar . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,74 | 5 | 2,48 | 8,39 5,31 | 8,68 | 0.03 |
| Tatlow, ntearino nid wax | $\frac{9,48}{1,07}$ | 3,92 1,08 | 8,47 | 2,82 | 4,37 | $0 \cdot 02$ |
| Allium other arieles ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2, ${ }^{11,05005}$ | 79.65 | ${ }^{1,19}$ | ${ }_{1}^{80}$ | ${ }^{06}$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | 2,70,06 | 2,47,05 | 2,02,27 | 3,48,91 | 8,51,42 | 2.81 |
| 20KTs. | 132,27,21 | 147,25,07 | 151,08,07 | 160,52,19 | 106,12,46 | 100 |

## Cotton.

Cotton ( $\mathrm{P} 44,41$ lakhs).*-The world's production of cotton which had risen sharply in 1935-36 is reported to have further advanced in the following season. The Indian cotton crop of the season 1936-37 has been estimated at $6,307,000$ bales of 400 lbs . each, as compared with $5,933,000$ bales in 1935-36 The American crop of 1936, estimated at $12,399,000$ bales of 500 lbs . each (equivalent to $15,499,000$ bales of 400 Ibs . each), was larger than the preceding year's crop of $10,638,000$ bales (or $13,297,000$ bales of 400 lbs . each). Increased production was also reported from the U. S. S. R., China and Egypt. The world's stocks at the end of the season 1935-36 rose slightiy than in the preceding year, but the consumption was higher than at any time since 1928-29. In the United States of Americas there was a considerahle reduction of Government holdings of raw cotton at the end of the 1935-36 season and the prices advanced. The increased world demand was the predominant factor in the xise of prices earlier in the year, but since July, 1936, the prices were greatly influenced by crop conditions. The first Government estimate of the area under cultivation in the United States of America issued on July 8, wha considerably below expectations. In August, the production was estimated at ; $12,481,000$ bales which was reduced to $11,121,000$ bales in September. It rose again in October and November and the final estimate in December, 1936, placed the crop at $12,407,000$ bales. On January 4, 1937, the U. S. A. Governnent announced the terms of further release of loan cotton in February and March, and this tended to accentuate the rise in prices of raws cotton which touched new high levels in Marchr 1937, being the highest sidge June, 1930.

In the Liverpool market, 'the quatation for Middling American cotton on the spot was 6.50 d . per lb . on the 3rd April and, except for a small recession in the first week of May, ruled higher until it reached 7.66 . on July 11. By the ead of August, the price fell to 6.70d. but firmed up again and stood at 7.02 d . on October 2. In the succeeding weeks there was an easier tendency but the price rose again towards the end of December and, with small fluctuations, advanced to its highest point 7.95d. by the end of March, 1937.

The Bombay market was generally featureless in the first two nonths of the year, Broach April-May 1936 contract moving from Al97 per candy of 784 lbs. on the 2nd April, the lowest quotation of the year, to A 200 on the 22nd May. Reports of unfavourable weather conditions in the United States of America, especially drought in the East, created some sensation and the prices for July-Angust contract, which commenced with 12204 on May 29, graduslly advanced to $\mathbf{R 2 2 4}$ on July 3, and spurted up to R238 on July 10, immediatoly after the publication of the Uuited States of America low crop report. A week later the market took a downward turn on receipt of advices of good crop in the United States of America which were supported by the Bureau Report issued on the 8th August. From the low level of f 211 (April-May dolivery) at the end of August, the prices showed some improvement till the end of October, and remained fairly steady in November owing to strong overseas demand. The quotations in December were defnitely on a higher level, as heavy purchases were reported to have been made by Japan and stood at R228 on the 30th December. In January, the prices remained steady, but lack of Japanese interest and a reduced demand from local mills brought

[^1]
## Exports. .

them down to R220 on the 19 th February. There, was, however, a renewed activity in- March and the rise of prices in New York and Liverpool led to a corresponding improvement in Bombay, and the price rose to its highest point of $¥ 245$ on the 19th March, there being very little change during the remainder of the year.

The following statement compares the prices (spot) of Indian cotton (Fine Broach) and American Middling at Liverpool during 1936-37. It will be seen from the accompanying chart illustrating the price parities that throughout the year under review, the parity was on a lower level and was therefore more favourable to India than in the preceding year. Earlier in the year the parity which stood at 83.5 on April 3, remained somewhat high. But after the first week of May it was qn a lower level and touched 78.4 on July 17. Subsequently, there was a rise, but towards the end of the year, the parity dropped to its lowest point 77 on the 12th March and stood at $78 \cdot 6$ on the 25 th March.

Prices of Indian and American collon at Liverpool with parities (per cent of Indian on American).

|  | Patas pag li. |  | $\|$PARITIES <br> (por cont <br> of Indinan <br> on Ameri. <br> oan) |  | Pruan pre Le. |  | PARITIRS <br> (par ont of Indlan on Amoelcan) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mydallog American | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tibe } \\ \text { Broand } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | shldaling Amerlanan | Fine ${ }^{-2}$ Bronah* |  |
| $1938^{\circ}-$ | A. 50 | d. |  | 1936-contais. | d. |  | - |
| April | 6. 50 | $5 \cdot 43$ | $83 \cdot 5$ | October 2 | 7.02 | 5188 | 88.5 |
| $\cdots \quad 9$ | 6.57 | 5.40 | $82 \cdot 2$ | $\cdots$ - 9 | . 6.86 | $5 \cdot 76$ | 84.0 |
| $\cdots \quad 17$ | $6 \cdot 58$ | $5 \cdot 43$ | 82.5 | - 16 | 6.89 | 5-79 | 82.8 |
| * 24 | $6 \cdot 62$ | 5:57 | 84.1 | \% 23 | $0 \cdot 86$ | $5 \cdot 66$ | $81 \cdot 3$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots 30$ | 6.81 | $5 \cdot 61$ | $82 \cdot 4$ |
| May 1 | 8.46 | $5 \cdot 44$ | $84 \cdot 2$ | November 6 | 8.92 | $5 \cdot 72$ | 82.7 |
| $\cdots 8$ | $6 \cdot 46$ | 6-12 | $79 \cdot 3$ | " 13 | 6-71 | $5 \cdot 64$ | 82.6 |
| 315 | 6-56 | 6-20 | 79.3 | $\cdots 20$ | $6 \cdot 78$ | - 5.81 | 83.0 |
| " 22 | 6.57 | 5-22 | 79.5 | " $\quad 27$ | 6-72. | ${ }^{4} 568$ | 83.0 |
| Tun 29 | 6.64 | $5-22$ | 78.6 | Deoember 4 | 6.81 | $5 \cdot 63$ | 82.7 |
| Juno ${ }_{18}$ | $6 \cdot 68$ | $5 \cdot 37$ | 80.4 | , 11 | 6.93 | 6.71 | $82 \cdot 4$ |
| - 12 | 6.82 | 5.61 | 80.8 | 18 | 6.88 | 5.62 | 81.7 |
| - 19 | 7.00 | 5.67 | 81.0 | 24 | 7.01 | 5.68 | 81.0 |
| \%. 26 | $7 \cdot 18$ | $5 \cdot 80$ | 80.8 | 31 | $7 \cdot 10$ | 5.89 | 83.0 |
| - Joly 3 | 7.18 | 6.87 | 81.8 | 1987- |  |  |  |
| 37.10 | 7.58 | $6 \cdot 19$ | 81.7 | January 8 | $7 \cdot 11$ | 5-89 | 82.8 |
| " . 17 | $7 \cdot 47$ | $5 \cdot 86$ | $78 \cdot 4$ | " 15 | 7.20 | $5-95$ | 820 |
| ".. 24 | 7.33 | $5 \cdot 82$ | $79 \cdot 4$ | 22 | $7 \cdot 16$ | $5 \cdot 91$ | 82.5 |
| A3Pre 31 | $7 \cdot 10$ | $5 \cdot 72$ | 80.6 | " 29 | 7.34 | 5.99 | 81.6 |
| August 7 | 7.02 | 5.64 | 80.3 | February 5 | 730 | 5.92 | 81.1 |
| $\because: \quad-14$ | ${ }_{6}^{6.82}$ | $5 \cdot 67$ | 80.5 | ", 12. | $7 \cdot 28$ | 5.88 | 80.8 |
| $\begin{array}{r}\prime \prime \\ \hline \sim\end{array}$ | 6.74 | $5 \cdot 44$ | 80.7 | " 19 | $7 \cdot 22$ | 5.74 | 79.5 |
| Soptember ${ }^{28}$ | $6 \cdot 70$ | $5 \cdot 48$ | 81.8 | - 26 | $7 \cdot 41$ | 5.77 | 77.9 |
| Soptombar ${ }^{4}$ | 670 6.89 | 5.58 | $82 \cdot 5$ | March ${ }^{-1}$ | $7 \cdot 70$ | 6.01 | 78.1 |
| - " $\quad 18$ | 6.99 6.98 | 5.78 | 82.7 | " 12 | 7.04 7.88 | ${ }_{6}^{6.11}$ | 77.0 79.7 |

- Sinco June, 1036, the quotations relate to good staple, sud are nominal.

The exports of Indian cotton in 1936-37 totalled 4,268,000 bales as compared with $3,397,000$ bales in the preceding year. Japan, as usual the best customer, took $2,426,000$ bales or 667,000 bales more than in 1935-36. It is interesting to observe that the share of India in the total imports of raw cotton into Japan, as recorded in the Japanese trade accounts, which had

Chart ahowing - the percentage parity of prices of Fine Broach Coiton to those of Middling Amorioan at Liverpool during 1934-35, 1935-36, and 1936-37.


## Cotton

- stood at nearly 32 par cent in 1934 prior to the Indo-Japanese Trado Agree-
- ment, sharply rose to nearly 43 ,per ceent in 1934 and further to 44 per cent in 1936, chielly st the expense of the United States of America from which Japan still continues tö draw the bulk of her requirements. Owing chiefly to the activitiog of the Lancashire Indian Cotton Committee, the exports to the United Kingdom steadily advanced from 342,000 bales in 1933-34 to 347,000 hales in 1934-35, 456,000 bales in 1935-36 and 601,000 bales in 1936-87. The fall in the exports to Germany from 264,000 bales to 215,000 bales was largely compensated by increased shipments to Belgium which amounted to 311,000 bales in 1936-37 as compared with 225,000 bales in $\mathbf{4 9 5 5}-36$. Exports to Italy were 11,000 bales more than in the preceding year and amounted to . 165,000 bales, while those to France were smaller by a like amount and totalled 155,000 bales. "Shipments to Spain and China declined from 68,000 bales and 109,000 bales to 26,000 bales and 72,000 bales, respectively, in 1936-37 while those to the United States of America and the Netherlands increased from 57,000 bales and 44,000 bales to 92,000 bales and 51,000 bales, respectively. It will be seen that on the whole Indian cotton was generally in better demand in foreign markets than in the preceding year due partly to the favoursble price parity for most of the months of the year 1936-37. The following statement gives the monthly exports of Indian cotton during the last five years with the pre-war average:-

Exports of Indian cotton in bales of 400 lbs .


Exports from Bombay amounted to 51 per cent of the totsl quantity of raw cotton exported from India in the year under review and those from Karachi to 39 per cent.

Imports of foreign cotton, mostly into Bombay, which had risen from 339,000 bales in 1934-35 to 429,000 bales in 1935-30, declined to 364,000 bales in 1936-37. British East Africa continued to be the principal source of supply and sent 224,000 bales as compared with 231,000 bales in the preceding year. There were larger supplies of Egyptian cotton which totalled 104,000 bales as compared with 87,000 bales in 1935-36. Imports from the United States of Anerica dropped to 5,000 bales from 58,000 bales in 1935-36. Importa of staple fibre, almost entirely into Bombay, in 1936-37 amounted to 1,865 owta.

## Exports.

as compared with $1,308 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1935-36. The quantity of foreign cotton re-exported from Bombay and Sind during the last five years is shown in the following statement:-

| -* | 1932-33 | 1933-84 | 1834-35 | 1036-36 | 1036-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bales | Bales | Bales | Bales | Bales |
| To Germany . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12 | 1,389 | 431 | 1,394 | 469 |
| * United Kingdom . | 342 | 1,848 | $\because 235$ | ${ }_{68}^{6}$ | 454 |
|  | 292. | ${ }_{1}^{22}$ |  | 633 78 | - |
| " Other countriea | 297. | 1,361 370 | - 3,192 | 78 28 | 45 |
| Total | 681 | 4,900 | 4,245 | 2,139 | 958 |

It will be seen that since 1933-34 the re-exports of foreign cotton have steadily declined.

During the cotton season 1936-37, over 3,129,000 bales of raw cotton were received in Bombay up to the end of August, 1937, as against 3,170,000 bales in the corresponding period in the preceding year. The estimated stock of raw cotton held by exporters, dealers and mills in Bombay, at the end of August, 1937, was 682,000 bales as compared with 701,000 bales in 1935-36. The consumption of Indian cotton by mills in India during 1936-37 was slightly higher than that of the preceding year, being estimated at $2,612,000$ bales, as compared with $2,609,000$ bales in 1935-36. The table below shows the quantity consumed in the different centres of the industry in the last five years:-

Consumption of Indian raw cotton in Indian mills.
(In bales of 400 lbs .)

|  | 1932-38 | 1033-34 | 1934-36 | 1935-36 | 1936-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bombey Island | 570,501 | 530,352 | 601,618 | 868,409 | 615,180 |
| Ahmedsbad | 335,640 | 353,090 | 378,458 | 304,685 | 301,595 |
| Bombay Preaidenay | 1,101,738 | 1,078,344 | 1,201,309 | 1,177,273 | 1,108,364 |
| Madrat | 289,430 | 273,852 | 296,330 | 338,493 | ${ }^{\text {2 }} 398,535$ |
| United Provinoes . | 265,538 | 269,642 | 289,061 | 807,493 | 316,833 |
| Central Provinces and Herar. | 118,517 | 108,298 | 121,300 | 122,900 | 124,050 |
| Beugel | 107,376 | 102,960 | 105,989 | 90,774 | 86,448 |
| Punjab and Dolhi | 88,852 | 71,835 | 78,137 | 87,832 | 93,673 |
| Rout of British India | 31,736 | 33,011 | 36,661 | 41,090 | 35,377 |
| Toral Brivisa India. | 2,008,187 | 1.937,042 | 2,128,787 | 2,176,861 | 2,164,280 |
| Toral Indiay Statis | 372,828 | 352,088 | 424,653 | 433,517 | 447,744 |
| Total India | 2,379,015 | 2,288,930 | 2,553,440 | 2,009,378 | 2,612,024 |

## Cotton manufactures.

Cotton manutactures (\#78,78 lakhs).-As noted in an earlier part of this Review, the total production of cotton piecegoods in Indian mills remained practically the same as in the preceding year and amounted to 3,572 million yards. In 1934-35 the production was. 3,397 million yards. The quantity of Indian cotton consumed in the mills in 1936-37, estimated at $2,612,000$ bales, was 3,000 bales more than in the preceding year, while there was a decrease of 65,000 bales in the quantity of foreign cotton imported: The following table shows the production of piecegoods in Indian mills, month by month, in Bombay Island, Ahmedabad and the rest of India during the last three years:-
(In thousunds of gardo)

| Month | Bombay Luland |  |  | Ahmodubed |  |  | Beat of Indin |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1034-85 | 1036-86 | 1096-87 | 1084-36 | 1085-88 | 1080-87 | $1096-85$ | 1035-86 | 1036-87 |
| 4 yrll | 65,880 | ¢7,545 | 08,524 | 82,487 | 79,480 | 81,810 | 98,236 | 106,888 | 120,938 |
| May | 20,283 | 101,804 | 109,059 | 06,000 | 84,448 | 81,652 | 107,891 | 14,818 | 124,274 |
| Jane | 47,810 | 101,884 | 101,981 | 00,088 | 74,040 | 77,078 | 107,792 | 107,880 | 124,372 |
| Joly | 82,237 | 108,429 | 100,080 | 84,881 | 73,716 | 73,614 | 110,957 | 115,838 | 184,509 |
| Augut | 84,031 | 107,884 | 01,253 | 80,676 | 73,405 | 08,608 | 114,082 | 117,044. | 110,194 |
| Beptember | 08,842 | 00,148 | 00,264 | 81,729 | 70,801 | 73,481 | 108,498 | 112,880 | 1t9,132 |
| Oetober | 106,194 | 105,444 | 90,082 | 90,44 | 82,600 | 76,888 | 118,650 | 112,653 | 118,015 |
| November | 101,518 | 107, 415 | 82,708 | 95,764 | 80,418 | 74,800 | 111,102 | 117,031 | 118,081 |
| Desember | 107,256 | 111,087 | 101,055 | 111,648 | 00,316 | 09,208 | 128,723 | 122,015 | 121,874 |
| dmanary | 101,847 | 108,028 | 101,50s | 83,848 | 78,004 | 90,086 | 114,484 | 118,067 | 195,818 |
| Febrasy | 07,011 | 07,400 | 98,704 | 77,614 | 75,974 | 70,008 | 107,089 | 110,474 | 118,210 |
| Mureds | 94,787 | 97,014 | 102,170 | 77,667 | 78,995 | 85,978 | 105,107 | 117,128 | 122,059 |
| TOTAE | 1,028,861 | 1,230,505 | 1,156,085 | 1,049,867 | 956,801 | 968,004 | 1,820,629 | 1,978,276 | 1,451,008 |


As compared with 1935-36 there was a decline of 80.1 million yards in production in the Bombay Island, but au increase of 7.0 million yards in Ahmedabad and 73.7 million yards at all other centres in India taken together. Stocks of piecegoods in Indian mills on the 1st April 1937 were lower than on the corresponding date in the preceding year and amounted to 540 million yarda of which Bombay Island accounted for 24.8 per cent and Ahmedabad 29.8 per cent. Exports of Indian piecegoods recorded an improvement in the year under review and totalled 102 willion yards as compared with 71 million yards in the preceding year. Only a small proportion of Indian production is exported. In the year under review exports formed 3 per cent of the total production, while in the preceding year the proportion was 2 per cent. The

## Exports.

following table sets forth the quantities of piecegoods exported from 1929-30 onwards and the average exports during the war years :-

|  | (In thousard yurds) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { War } \\ \text { average } \end{gathered}$ | 1020-80 | 1980-81 | 1981-32 | 1888-83 | 1088-84 | 1084-35 | 108E-86 | 1080-87 |
| Cotion pleczgoode- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grey | 74,576. | 15,043 | 9,798 | 8,585 | 4,482 | 4,185 | 6,850 | 8,867 | 23,290 |
| White | 1,476 | 1,109 | 899 | 267 | 958 | 298 | 584 | 2,528 | 4,644 |
| Coloured | 80,877 | 117,184 | 87,520 | 95,784 | 61,402 | 52,004 | 80,800 | 81,000 | 78,769 |
| Toras | 150,428 | 188,42a | 97,715 | 104,036 | 60,4.42 | 50,401 | 67,698 | 71,250 | 101,836 |

Exports of all the descriptions of cotton piecegoods showed marked increases. Ceylon continued to be the most important market for Indian piecegoods and took nearly 25 million yards in the year under review, or 6 million yards more than in the preceding year. Fran absorbed 12 million yards, or an increase of 3 million yards over 1935-36. Shipments to the Straits Settlements advanced from 7.7 million yards to 12.8 million yards. 'There were also smaller increases in the exports to other neighbouring territories such as Iraq, Aden and Dependencies, Arabia, Federated Malay States, and to Anglo-Egyptian Sudan and Portuguese East African Territories, while shipments to Mauritius and Dependencies fell from 5 million yards to 4 million yards. Exports to other countries included 13.3 million yards ( 0.4 million yards) to Egypt, 3.8 million yards ( $7 \cdot 2$ million yards) to Nigeria and 2.9 million yards ( 1.9 million yards) to Bahrein Islands, the corresponding figures for the preceding year being given in brackets.

The detailed figures of production and exports of the different classes of cotton piecegoods for the past three years and for 1913-14 are given below :Production in Indian Mills.


## COTTON PIECEGOODS.

Chart showing the production, imports and exports of cotton piecegoods during the years 1927 - 28 to 1936 -37 as compared with the averages of the pre-war, war and post-war periods.


## Cotton manufactures.

Exports.


The following table shows the average declared values per yard of exported piecegoods compared with the corresponding values for imported piecegoods in 1913-14 and the last five years:-

Inported piecejoods.


As compared with the preceding year, the average declared value of grey and coloured goods exported declined during the year under review, while thoee for white goods showed no variations. These values are still high in comparison with those of the couresponding descriptions of imported piecegoode.

## Exports.

Exports of handkerchiefs and shawls in the piece, consisting mostly of what are known in the trade as Madras handkerchiefs, were valued at 745 lakhs as compared with R 30 lakhs in the preceding year. These are mostly destined to West African territories, although a great part of the trade goesthrough the United Kingdom.

The figures of production of cotton twist and yarn in. Indian mills are given in the table on page 36. The exports of cotton.twist and yarn advanced from 9.7 million lbs. to 12.1 million lbs. in quantity and from 747 lakhs to 759 lakhs in value. The principal customer is Syria which took 3.6 million lbs., or over a million lbs. more than in the preceding year. The exporisto: Iraq and the Straits Settlements also rose from 0.9 million lbs. and 1.3 million lbs. to 1.0 million lbs. and 1.4 million lbs., respectively, while those to: Aden declined from 1.5 million lbs. to 1.1 million lbs. Among other cóuntries, there were small increases in the exports to Cyprus and Belgium but decreases in the case of Roumania and the United Kingdom. Asiatic Turkey which had taken nothing in 1935-36 required 80,000 lbsis in the year under review.

Jute and jute manufactures ( Z 这, 72 lakhs). The official estimate of the jute crop of 1936 placed the outturn at $9,636,000$ bales as against $7,240,000$ bales in 1935. Arrivals of raw jute in Calcutta and the neighbouring mill area in the year ending March, 1937, amounted to $10 \cdot 1 \cdot$ million bales' as compared with 8.2 million bales in the preceding year. During therseason ended June, 1937, arxivals totalled 10.3 million bales or 2.4 million bales-less than in the preceding season. Throughout the year the prices of raw jute were greatly influenced by the low prices of manufactured goods. Farly in the year the price of jute "Firsts" at Calcutts per bale of 400 lbs . was P34-12; later the prices declined, "Firsts" reaching $\boldsymbol{x} 29-0$ on the 21 st July. It became evident that the crop which was expected to be bigger than in the preceding season would not be sufficient for world requirements, with the result that the prices recovered to some extent and remained fairly,steady at about 7331 in August. In the latter half of September there was a-gethack. "In. October; the Government of Bengal announced that thay would continue their salieme of restriction of raw jute in 1937 by issuing instructions that an effort should be made to reduce the 1937 sowings by $3 / 16$ ths of the atea in the standard year 1934-35. Thereafter, the prices gradually firmed up to R35-12 on December 22, and, except for the two months January and February when there was a slight reaction, remained equally firm till the end of the year, the quotation on March 30, being $\mathrm{P} 36-8$.

In London, the price of jute "First Marks" per ton, which opened with £19-7-6 on the 3rd April, almost steadily declined to £16-7-6 on the 24th July. After a period of comparative steadiness in August and September, prices moved up and reached f19-1-3 on December 30 . There was a reaction ip January and February, 1937, but the prices firmed up again and on March19, the rate stood at f19-13-9.

The total exports of raw and manufactured jute during the year under review amounted to $1,792,000$ tons as compared with $1,523,000$ tons in the preceding year, an increase of 18 per cent. The value of these shipments also rose by 16 per cent, from $\mathbf{R 3 7}$ crores to R 43 crores. Both raw and manu-

Jute.
factured jute showed increases. The following table shows the exports of raw jute and manufactured goods during the past five years :-


The exports of raw jute at 821,000 tons were the highest since 1928-29 and were valued at $\mathbf{R 1 4 , 7 7}$ lakhs. These figures compare with 771,000 tons palued at $\mathrm{Pl} 13,71$ lakhs in the preceding year. The United Kingdom iuc̈reased her purchases from 166,000 tons in 1935-36 to 189,000 tons in 1936-37. Exports to Germany were very much reduced, having amounted to 134,000 tons as against 152,000 tons in the preceding year. This decline is partly due to the prohibition of pure jute yarn and textiles for domestic consumption in Germany as, a result of which jute products sold in Germany should contaiir'.a mixture of flax, hemp or paper. Shipments to the Netherlands; on the ,other hand, showed very little variation and amounted to 19,800 tons. Purchases by the United States of America, France and Belgium were on a larger seale and amounted to 88,000 tons, 86,000 tons and 70,000 toins as compared with 79,000 fons, 75,000 tons and 56,000 tons respectively, in 1935-36. Spain required only 17,000 tons or 34,000 tons less than in the precering year, her purchases in the latter half of the year being on a restricted scale. On the other hand, exports to Italy advanced from 49,000 tons to $76 ; 000$ tons. Shipments to the U.S.S. R., which had increased from 3,500 tans in 1934-35 to 15,000 tons in 1935-36, rose slightly to 16,000 tops iy the year under review. Among other countries, there were increased exports to Japan, Brazil and the Argentine Republic, while Egypt, China and Australia took less than in the preceding year.

Concurrently with the increased overseas demand, the Indian consumption of raw jute also showed an advance in 1936-37, when the restrictions on mill production were removed by the Indian Jute Mills Association. The following table shows the exports of raw jute and Indian consumption of raw jute in the last five seasons, July to June:-


[^2]
## Exports.

It will be observed that during all these years the Indian consumption exceeded the exports, although the excess was very much reduced in 1933-34 and in 1934-35. The Indian consumption in 1936-37 was 24 per cent more thian exports during the same season.

The monthly production of the principal kinds of jute manufactures in Indian mills is shown in the following table:-
(In millions)

|  |  | Hes | ian |  |  |  | king |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | th | Ba |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  | 1935-36 | 1936-37 | 1935-36 | 1936-37 | 1035-36 | 1936.37 | 1935-36 | 1936-37 |
|  | Yds. | Yds. | No. | No. | Yds. | Yds. | No. | No. |
| April ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 95 | 124 | 11 | 16 | 5 | 4 | 40 | 48 |
| May \% | 102 | 126 | 7 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 48 | 48 |
| June | 05 | 132 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 43 | 47 |
| Joly * . | 111 | 152 | 8 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 49 | 53 |
| Auguat . | 111 | 153 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 45 | 52 |
| September | 108 | 165 | 6 | 11 | 4 | $\delta$ | 45 | 55 |
| October | 110 | 160 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 48 | 53 |
| November | 104 | 154 | 8 | . 11 | 3 | 6 | - 45 | . 51 |
| December | 113 | 165 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 46 | 56 |
| January | 113 | 159 | 10 | 12 | 5 | 6 | - 47 | 56 |
| Febraary | 103 | 141 | 13 | 10 | 5 | - 6 | 43 | 50 |
| March | 106 | 132 | 16 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 43 | 50 |
| Totax | 1,271 | 1,763 | 108 | 141 | 56 | 08 | 547 | 017 |

As might be expected from the removal of restrictions by the Indian Jute Mills Association, there was an all-round increase in production, the advance being more marked in the case of hessians. The immediate result of increased production was a fall in prices of manufactured good to levels which have not been reached for many years. Lower prices, however, stimulated the demand in foreign countries and there was a slight appreciation in the prices towards

Jute.
the end of the year. The following table illustrates the prices of a typical grade of bags and two of the more important grades of hessians during 1936-37.

Prices of Jute manufactures during 1936-37.


## Exports.

The increased overseas demand tor jute goods alsu prevented heavy acewmulation of stocks as will be seen from the following table which shows the position at the end of each month during the past two years:-


Stocks of hessian cloth and bags at the end of April, 1936, remained practically the same as compared with the corresponding month of the preceding year, but continued to be lower up to the end of August. Thereafter, there was a steady increase, and the year ended with stocks which were in excess of the corresponding figure for the preceding year by about 27 per cent. In the heavy goods section the situation was more favourable. Throughout the year 1936-37 stocks of sacking cloth and bags were lower than on the corresponding dates of the preceding year. At the end of the year 1936-37 these stocks showed a decrease of 29 per cent in comparison with the preceding year. Taking the two items together the stocks showed a decrease of 7 per cent as compared with those at the end of 1935-36.

The exports of bags and cloth in 1913-14 and in the five years ending 1936-37 are shown below :-

| - | 1815-14 | 1982 -33 | 1933-34 | 1934-35 | 1935-36 | 1898.37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bags (in millions) | 369 | 415 | 402 | 423 | 459 | 567 |
| Oloth (in million yards) | 1,061 | 1,012 | 1,053 | 1,063 | 1,218 | - 1,710 |

The total exports of gunny bags in 1936-37 numbered 567 million as compared with 459 million in 1935-36, the value of the shipments rising from: ¥11,01 lakhs to $\mathrm{P} 12,09$ lakhs. Of the exports in 1936-37, sacking gunny bags accounted for 424 mijlion valued at R9,78 lakhs and hessian gunny bags for 143 million valued at $\mathrm{fl} 2,31$ lakhs. There was a noticcable increase in the shipments of both sacking and hessian bags to the United Kingdom which together numbered $70 \cdot 3$ niilion valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,34$ lakhs as compared with 51.3 million valued at $\mathrm{AR} 1,12$ lakhs in the preceding year. Shipments to other European countries taken together, totalled 40 million in number as compared with $25-5$ million in 1935-36 and 22.5 million in 1934-35. The best customer of Indian gunny bags is Australia which took $79 \cdot 6$ million bags in 1936-97 as

## Jute.

against $64 \cdot 9$ million in 1935-36 and 56.6 million in 1934-35. Java more than: doubled her requirements, shipments to that country baving numbered $26 \cdot T$ million in 1936-37 as against nearly 13 million in 1935-96. Exports to Siam fell from 31 million to 22 million, while those to French Indo-Chins and Japan advanced from 20 million each in 1935-36 to 26 and 30 million, respectively, in 1936-37. Shipments to African territories were on a larger scale than in the preceding year. The Union of South Arrica took about 29 nuillion baga, or 4 million bags more than in 1935-36, while East Africa, including Mauritius, required 34 million as compared with 28 million in the preceding year. Exports to the United States of America were almost the same as in the preceding year and numbered 16.4 million in 1936-37, while those to Cuba and West Indies, other than Cuba and Bahamas, rose from 18 million and 13 million in number to 19 million and 16 million, respeotively.. The Argentine Republic, which has considerably curtailed her requirements in recent years. took 3.2 million bags or nearly 500,000 bags Iess than in the preceding year, while Chile required 8.7 million or about 3 million more than in 1935-36. Shipments to New Zealand and Hawaii were larger than in 1935-36 and amounted in number to 13 million and 20 million as compared with 10 million and 15 million, respectively, in 1935-36.

The total quantity of gunny cloth exported increased from 1,218 million yards valued at $\mathrm{P} 12,24$ lakhs in 1935-36 to 1,710 million yards valued at $\mathrm{R} 15,47$

## Gunny eloth.

 lakhs in the year under review, of which hessian ${ }^{-}$ gunny eloth represented 1,671 million yards valued at $\mathbf{Z 1 5 , 0 0}$ lakhs' as compared with 1,182 million yards valued at $\nexists 11,74$ lakhs. in 1935-36. The United States of America, as usual the largest purchaser, increased her takings from 775 million yards to 1,040 million yards in the year under review. Shipments to the United Kingdom and to the Irish Free State also advanced from 86 million yards and 24 million yards to 133 million yards and 11 million yards, respectively, in 1936-37. Exports to Turkey (European and Asiatic combined), which had dropped from 9.8 million yards in 1934-35. to 2.9 million yards in 1935-36, recovered to 8.1 million fards in 1936-87. There were also increased exports to the Argentine Republic which amounted to 274 million yards as against 166 million yards in 1935-36. Shipments to other countries in South America during the year under review included $9 \cdot \mathbf{2}$ million yards ( 2.6 million yards) to Uruguay, $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ million vards ( 3.9 million: yards) to Peru and 1.6 million yards ( 1.5 million yards) to Ecuador, the corresponding figures for the preceding year being given in brackets. Canada required 100 million yards, or 25 million yards more than in the precerling year. Australia also increased her requirements from 261 million yards to30 million yards, while shipments to New Zealand were fairly steady at 47 million yards, as in 1935-36. The exports to the Straits Settlements and the Philippines advanced from 10 million yards and 17 million yards to nearly 16 nuilion yards and 26 million yards; respectively, while Egyptian requirements remained fairly steady at 10 million vards. The Union of South Africe continued to increase her requirements "which totalled 12 million yards in theyear under review as compared with 8.5 million yards in $1935-36$ and 6.9 million yards in 1934-35. Table No. 31-A, appended to this Review, shows the details of exports of jute gunny bags and oloth during the past two years, to which a reference may be made.
## Exports.

The search for a substitute for jute has not met with any marked suacess* While attempts have been made in some countries to eliminate jute goods for purposes of packing by using material manufactured from indigenous sonrces, there has been a gradual expansion of the jute industry in other countries and new uses have been found for jute. With a view to preventing any possible loss of inarkets, the Indian Central Jute Committee, which commenced their work at the end of 1936, decided to start a technological laboratory under expert management and to carry on continuous research work in jute and jute producte.

Tea ( $\mathrm{R} 20,04$ laths). - The world demand for tea showed very little improvement during the year under review. For 1936-37 the export quota under the international agreement was, as in the preceding year, fixed at $82 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent of the standard exports. Stocks in the United Kingdom were not much above the normal and amounted to 174 million lbs. at the end of March, 1937, as compared with 249 million lbs. at the end of March, 1936. With further improvement in stocks the International Tea Committee have modified their original decision and raised the quota for the next season which ends on the 31st March, 1938, to $87 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent of the standard exports. Stocks of Indian tea in London warehouses at the end of March, 1936, and 1937 were 148 million lbs. and 102 million lbs., respectively. The quantity of tea entered for home consumption in the United Kingdom increased by nearly 12 million lbs, to 463 million lbs. in the year under review of which Indian tea amounted to $265 \frac{1}{2}$ million lbs. as compared with $263 \frac{1}{2}$ million lbs. in 1935-36.

The total production of tea in India in 1936-37 has been estimated at 394 million lbs., almost the same as in the preceding season. To this the largest ahare, as usual, was contributed by Assam which produced 223 million lbs. or 57 per cent. Production in the rest of Northern India amounted to 107 million lbs., or 27 per cent. Southern India accounted for 64 million lbs., or 16 per cent. The acreage and production for a number of years are shown in the following tables:-


Production.

|  | 1806 | 1915 | 18 BL | 1032 | 1938 | 1034 | 1935 | 1996* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hent of Northem Indie Sonthern Indis | 1bs. (000) | 1be. (000) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ibs. } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | lbs. (000) | lbas. (000) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lbs, } \\ & \text { (000) } \end{aligned}$ | lbs. (000) | $1 \mathrm{lbg} .$ |
|  | 162,468 | 215,752 | 248,229 | 257,058 | 219,341 | 298,895 | 228,417 | 209, 169 |
|  | (4, 216 | 94,68- | 94,252 | 188,847 | 108,880 | 105,861 | 103,749 | $107,186$ |
|  | 14,281 | 31,610 | 56, 603 | 62,764 | -60,959 | -60,505 | 64,270 | $\begin{array}{r} 107,116 \\ 68,568 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL | 240,963 | 878,057 | 894,084 | 438,669 | 385,674 | 390, 251 | 394,499 | 798,947 |

[^3]Tea.
The exports for the corresponding official years are shown in the table below:-

Exports of tea by sea to foreign coundries.

|  | 1900-07 | 1015-16 | 1931-92 | 1982-89 | 1898-84 | 1984-95. | 11885-86 | 1936-17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lbn. } \\ \cdot(000) \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {( } \mathbf{l o v o}}$ | libe. (000) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lise } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lbs. } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | lbs. (000) | lba. (000) | lbo. (000) |
| From Northern India (Calcutte and Chitta: | 217,081 | 301,403 | 295,204 | 328,805 | 270,882 | 276,458 | 262,240 | 255,756 |
| Fromg Sonthern Indis (Madrat ports). | 18,980 | 25,840 | 45,001 | 54,897 | 46,799 | 48,139 | 50,370 | \$5,960 |
| From Bombay, Sind and | 1,743 | 11,227 | 823 | 175 | 198 | 241 | 95 | 121 |
| Total | 258,654 | 888,470 | 241,518 | 376,857 | 317,S16 | 324,838 | 812,705 | 301,887 |

The quota for overseas exports of tea from India for 1936-37 was fixed at 309 million lbs. as compared with 311 million lbs. in 1935-36 and 330 million liss. in 1934-35. Exports abroad during the year, calculated on the basis of the statistical month which generally ends on or about the 25th, amounted to 302 million lbs. as against nearly 313 million lbs. in the preceding vear, the value, however, showing an increase from R219,82 lakhs to $\mathbf{~} 20,04$ lakhs. The exports in the year under review represented 77 per cent of the total produotion, the balance left for domestic consumption being 92 million lbs. as compared with 82 million lbs. in the preceding year.

The auction sales for the 1936 season opened at Calcutta on the lst June and there were altogether 32 sales each for invoices with export rights and packages for internal consumption. The number of chests of sound leaf sold with export rights was 584,918 as against 527,340 in the .preceding season. Sales of sound leaf tea for internal consumption also increased from $\mathbf{2 9 0 , 3 3 6}$ packares in 1935-36 to 322,913 packages in 1936-37. In all, the total number of packnges of sound leaf sold was 907,831 as compared with 817,676 in the preceding season. There was also a good demand for tea dust for internal consumption, the number of packages sold being 273,796 or 27,527 packages more than in 1935-36. No packages of tea dust were sold for export, corresponding sales in the previous season having amounted to 76 packages. The average price realised for tea sold with export rights improved to 10 as. 1 p. per lb . from 9 as. 5 p . in the preceding season; but the average price of tea sold for internal consumption declined from 4 as. 10 p . per lb. to 4 as. 8 p . The average price of dust for internal consumption showed a slight increase from 5 as. 2 p. to 5 as. 4 p.

At the first auction sale on June 1, the average price for tea sold with export rights was 10 as. 6 p . per lb ., but this was not realised at later sales until July 20, when the average rate stood at 10 as. 7 p . Thereafter the valuea were generally on a lower level and ranged between 9 as. 8 p. and 10 as, until the 2nd November. In November and December, there was a slight appreciation in the average prices and on January 11, the average value agais reached 10 as. 6 p . With a slight setback in the succeeding sales, the average Tate at the last auction sale of the season, held on the 24th February, rose to 10 as. 9 p. per lb. As regards tea sold for internal consumption, while the

## Exports.

June sales realised fairly steady values around 5 as. per lb ., the tendency was in the main, downwards. From 5 as. per lb. realised at the first sale held on the 2nd June and again realised on July 7, the average rate dropped to 4 as. 4 p. on the 24th November. Better values were realised in December and January, the rate on the 26 th January being 5 as. per lb. The rates again declined and the average value realised at the last two sales was 4 as. 8 p. per 1b. In the diagram on the opposite page, the weekly district averages for tea sold with export rights are graphed along with the general average for such teas.

Of the total exports of 302 million lbs. in 1936-37, shipments to the United Kingdom accounted for 256 million lbs. In the preceding year, the United Kingdom took nearly 276 million lbs. out of a total of 313 million lbs. It may be noted that the import duty on tea in the United Kingdom has, with effect from the 22 nd April, 1936, been raised by $2 d$. per lb. as a result of which Indian tea is liable to a duty of $4 d$. per lbs. instead of $2 d$. per ${ }^{r} 1 \mathrm{~b}$., there being a corresponding rise in the full rate of duty from $4 d$. to $6 d$. per lb . The Irish Free State required 2 million lbs., or about 1 million lbs. less than in the preceding year. Direct exports to Canada from India rose from 12.8 million lhs. to 14.9 million Ibs. and those to the United States of America from 6.9 million lbs. to 7.9 million lbs. Exports to Australia and New Zealand were smaller than in the preceding year, and amounted to 1 million lbs. and 181,000 lbs. as against 1.9 million lbs. and $407,000 \mathrm{lbs}$, respectively, in 1935-36. Exports by sea to Iran advanced from $\mathbf{l - 8}$ million lbs. to 11 million lbs. in 1936-37. This large increase in the exports by sea to Iran is due to the fact that exports across the land frontier of India have been subjected to quots: restriction and are not permitted without licence. Exports to Ceylon and Iraq amounted to 2.6 million Ibs. and $462,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. as compared with 3.5 million lbs. and $641,000 \mathrm{lbs}$., respectively, in 1935-36. The U. S. S. R. continued to take larger quantities, shipments amounting to $1-7$ million lbs. as against 976,000 lbs. in 1935-36 and 40,000 lbs. in 1934-35. Among other countries, exports to France, the Union of South Africa, Italy, East Africa and Chile declined, while those to Germany and Turkey showed increases.

The share of Calcutta in the total exports of tea in 1936-37 was 60 per cent and of Chittagong 24 per cent, the remainder being shipped almost entirely from Madras. Shipments from Calcutta amounted to 182 million lbs. and from Chittagong to 74 million lhs., while exports from Madras totalled 46 million lbs. Coastwise exports of tea from Bengal amounted to 21.7 million lbs. as compared with 19.8 million lbs. in the preceding year.

Exports of Indian tea from the United Kingdom in 1936 totalled 35 million lbs. as compared with 33 million lbs. in 1935 and 30 million lbs. in 1934. Reexports to the Irish Free State declined from 14 million lbs, in 1935 to 13 million lbs. in 1936, while those to the Soviet Union advanced from 3.9 million lbs. to $5 \cdot 2$ million lbs. Germany and the United States of America also took larger quantities of Indian tea from the United Kingdom in 1936, their takings amounting to 4.3 million lbs. and $5 \cdot 2$ million lbs. as against 2.4 million lbs. and $4 \cdot 2$ million lbs., respectively, in the preceding year. There was, however, a decrease in the shipments to Canada which totalled 1.5 million lbs. as against 2 million lhs. in the preceding year.

Chart showing the weekly district average prices of tea sold with export rights at the auction sales in Calcutta.
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## Tea.

The following table shows the number of chests of sound leaf sold and the average prices realised at Calcutta auction sales sinoe 1932-33, the season, immediately preceding the introduction of the restriction scheme :-


Taking 1932-33 as the base, the variations in production in Northern India and in the total quantity of sound leaf sold at the Calcutts auction sales (including tea sold for internal oonsumption) and the sverage price realised at these sales are set forth below:-


While the production remained fairly steady, there was an increase in the sales of tea at Calcutta auctions and in the average price in 1996-37 as compared with the preceding year.

## Exports.

The following table shows, in millions of lbs., the exports of tea from the principal tea-growing countries during the past five years:-
(In millions of libs.)


It will be noticed that as compared with 1935-36, exports of Indian tea hawe fallen off. On the other hand, Cevlon, Java and Sumatra increased their exports, while the unrestricted tea from China, Japan and Formosa showed very little variations.

Imports of tea into the principal consuming countries from India, Ceylon, China, Japan and Java, as far as information is available, are ahown in table No. 63 appended to this Review. It will be seen from this table that exports from India were 55.6 per cent of the total quantity of tea imported into the United Kingdom in 1936 as compared with 56.7 per cent in 1935. The actual quantity of Indian tea imported declined from 270 millign lbs. in 1935 to267 million lbs. in 1936. Imports of Ceylon tea advanced from 142 million lbs. to 145 million lbs., while those of Java tea fell from 42 million lbs: to 38 million lbs. Receipts of China tea into the United Kingdom were practically the same as in 1935, and amounted to 8.5 million lbs. in 1936, but imports from Nyasaland increased from 5.9 million lbs. to 6.9 million lbs. The quantity of Ceylon tea entered for home consumption in the United Kingdom in 1936 was 135 million lbs. as against 251 million lhs. of Indian tea entered for domeatic consumption in the same year. Similar figures for 1935 for Indian and Ceylon teas were 259 million lbs. and 133 million lbs., respectively.

## Oilseeds.

Oilseeds ( $\mathrm{P} 18,44$ lakhs).-The total exports qi oilseeds showed an increase of 72 per cent in quantity and 79 per cent in value, and amounted to $1,155,000$ tons valued at R18,44 lakhs as compared with 673,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{El} 10,29$ lakhs in 1935-36. Despite growing internal consumption, all the principal varieties of oilseeds, with the exception of castor, recorded increases in exports. The oilseed crushing industry of the United Kingdom experienced, on the whole, a satisfactory year and placed greater reliance on the oil-bearing matorials from Empire sources for its requirements of raw materials. Prices were generally more favourable thin in the preceding year and afforded some inducement for larger exports.

The Indian linseed crop of the season 1935-36, which was mostly marketed in the year under review, was estimated at 388,000 tons, as against 420,000 Linseed ( $\mathbf{R 4}, 36 \mathrm{lakbs}$ ). tons in the preceding season. The Argentine crop of 1935-36, unlike ite predecessor, was also short, the official eatimate of the outturn being 1,402,000 tons. Shipments from India increased by 79 per cent in quantity from 165,000 tons in 1935-36 to 296,000 tons in 1936-37 and by 97 per cent in value from $\mathrm{F} 2,21$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Rt}, 36$ lakhs. Exports to the United Kingdom were more than double those in the preceding year and amounted to 218,000 tons as against 90,000 Cons. Continental countries, with the exception of Belgium, Italy and Spain, also took more and their total purchases aggregated 35,000 tons, or an increase of 14,000 tons over the preceding year. The United Kingdom crushers were mostly interested in Indian linseed which enjoys a preference of 10 per cent, while Continental buyers favoured the Argentine seed. According to the United Kingdom trade returns, India contributed 75 per cent to the total importo into that country during 1936-37 as compared with 29 per cent in 1935-36 and 58 per cent in 1934-35. Among other countries, Australis increased her takings from 9,700 tons to 18,300 tons, while shipments to the United States of America declined from 31,000 tons to 17,000 tons. The export trade in linseed is practically confined to Bengal and Bombay, the former being responsible for 40 per cent of the exports in 1936-37 and the latter for 57 per cent as compared with 50 per cent and 46 per cent, respectively, in the preceding year.

The price of linseed, bold, at Bombay, which opened at 777-3-6 par cwt. on the 2nd A pril, remained fairly constant until the middle of June, ranging between $\not \mathbf{7 - 0 - 9}$ and $\not \mathbf{7 - 4 - 6}$. Thereafter, the market responded to the rumours that cereal orops in the United States of America would be affected by drought. The price advanced to $\mathbf{1 8} 87-0$ on July 17, and reached $78-15-0$ on August 7. In September, October and November, the narket declined owing to poor demand for linseed in the consuming countries, the quotation being as low as $\mathrm{A7} 7-0-6$ in the first week of October. In December, however, the improvement in prices of other commodities benefited linseed to some extent, the latter being quoted at $77-11-0$ on the 30 th December. This improvement was, however, ahort-lived, and the price declined to $87-4-6$ at the end of February, but recovered again in March, the closing quotation on the 25th March being R8-5-0.

The production of groundnuts in the 1936-37 season has been estimated at $2,803,000$ tons of nuts in shell, as against $2,258,000$ tons in the preceding

## Exports:

season. Exports during the year under review advanced to 739,000 tons
from 413,000 tons in the preceding year and
Groundnuts ( $\mathrm{R} 12,29$ lakhs). 511,000 tons in 1934-35. The value of the exports
also rose from $\mathbf{R 6} 65$ lakhs in 1935-36 to $\mathbb{R 1 2 , 2 9}$
lakhs in 1936-37. The average price of Coromandel groundnuts in 1936 for near shipment to the United Kingdom and Northern Furope was £14-10 per ton, compared with £14-5 in 1935. The exports to the United Kingdom rose from 62,000 tons to 91,000 tons during the year noder review. There was also a noticeable expansion in the shipments to Continental countries, chiefly France, the Netherlands, Germany and Italy, which amounted to 451,000 tons as against 341,000 tons in the preceding year. It may be noted that at end of November, 1936; the French Government decreed that the duty on foreign groundnuts coming into France would be reduced by 50 per cent; the reduction being effective from the 1st December, 1936. As a result of this reduction of duty, France, which is normally the best customer of Indian groundnuts, became a more active buyer during the latter half of the yeai and absorbed 163,000 tons in 1936-37 as against 141,000 tons in 1935-36. Nearly 86 per cent of the total quantity shipped in 1936-37 was from the Madras Presidency, as compared with 80 per cent in the preceding year, the remainder being despatched almost entirely from Bombay.

The price of groundnuts, machine decorticated, in Madras, which was A33-Il per candy of 500 lbs . on April 2, remained fainly steady, with minor fluctizations, until the first week of June. Since then, prices gradually advanced to $\mathbf{7 t 4 0 - 1 2}$ on August 21. Thereafter, the prices began to ease and, with little buying support, steadily declined to $7344-2$ on September 25, and further to $\mathbb{R} 33-11$ on the 30th October. In November, the reports of a reduction of import duty on Indian groundnuts in France, which came into effect from December, created some activity and the prices appreciated to f39-14 on the 2nd January. February saw some weakness, but in March the price remained firm and was quoted at $\mathrm{ft36-12}$ at the end of the year.

- Exports of castor seed declined from 60,000 tons valued at P83 lakhs to 43,000 tons valued at R63 lakhs in 1936-37. The United Kingdom and France reduced their takings from 22,400 tons and Castor seed (R63 lakhs). 14,600 tons to 20,500 tons and 6,500 tons, respectively. Exports to Italy also fell from 6,700 tons to 1,500 tons. Shipments to Australia amounted to 2,000 tons, or only 100 tons more than in the preceeding year, while those to the United States of America stendily declined from 14,000 tons in 1934-35 to 4,000 tons in 1935-36 and further to 1,700 tons in the year under review.

The production of rape and mustard seeds in India in 1936-37 and 1935-36 Was estimated at 970,000 tons and 957,000 tons, respectively, as compared

Rapeseed (R54 lakhs). with 900,000 tons in 1934-35. Exports in 1936-37 advanced to 38,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{R 5 4} 4$ lakhs from 19,000 tons valued at R26 lakhs in the preceding year. With the exception of Germany, all the principal Furopean countries increased their purchases. Shipments to the United Kingdom improved from 2,000 tons to 9,000 tons and those to France from 3,000 tons to 7,000 tons. Exports to Italy amounted to 5,600 tons in 1936-37, the corresponding figure for the previous year being 156 tons. The Netherlands and Belgium also increased their

## Food grains.

demands from 2,500 tons and 1,000 tons to 5,700 tons and 2,600 tons, respeotively, while shipments to Germany fell from 4,700 tons to 800 tons. Of the total quantity exported from India in the year under revier, 37,000 tons, or 98 per cent, were shipped from Karachi.

Exports of sesamum, which had declined from 4,200 tons in 1934-35 to. 1,300 tons in 1935-36, rose to 14,000 tons in 1936-37. In 1935-36 Ceylon and other neighbouring territories were the only buyers,
Sessmum ( $\mathbf{R} 27$ lakhb). exports to European countries being insignificant. Shipments in 1936-37 included 4,300 tons to Italy, 2,500 tons to Denmark, 2,200 tons to the Netherlands and 1,700 tons to Ceylon.

With the improvement in the prices of Egyptian cotton seed, exports of Indian cotton seed, which had been well below 1,000 tons in the two preOther oilseeds ( P 35 ceding years, amounted to 9,000 tons in the yearlakhe). under review. The exports were mainly to the United Kingdom. There was also an improvement in the exports of essential oilseeds which totalled 9,700 tons or 700 tons more than in 1935-36, butthe value realised declined by R2 lakhs to R21 lakhs. The principal descriptions were Coriander ( $\mathbf{7 6} 6$ lakhs), Cummin ( P 4 lakhs), Fennel ( f 2 lakhs ). and Fenugreek ( $\mathrm{P3}$ lakhs).

Food grains and flour (R15,38 lakhs).-The total exports in this group. increased from $1,553,000$ tons valued at $\mathrm{R12,41}$ lakhs in 1935-36 to $1,877,000$. tons valued at $\mathbf{7 l} 5,38$ lakhs in the year under review. With the exception of jowar and bajra and maize, exports of the principal food grains recorded increases. The statement below shows the exports of food grains during the past five years compared with the average exports under each head in the. pre-war quinquennium :-

| - | Pre-wer avarago | 1932-88 | 1988-34 | 1934-85 | 1985-86 | 1986-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tont (000) | Tuns (000) | Tome (000) | Tome (000) | Tons (000) | Tona (000) |
| Ruce not in the hratz | 2,398 | 1,828 | 1,733 | 1,693 | 1,394 | 1,457 |
| * in the huak * * | 42 | 69 | 11 | 14 | 16 | 8 |
| Wbeat . . - | 1,308 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 239.: |
| * flour . . . | 55 | 21 | 13 | 12 | 18 | 24. |
| Pulse . . . | 291 | 111 | 104 | 112 | 99 | 187. |
| Barley . . . | 227 | 17 | - | 14 | 3 | 10. |
| Jowar and bajrs. | 41 | 16 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 7 |
| Maize - |  | -• | $\cdots$ | 3 | 2 | * |
| Other sorta | , | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Toric | 4.411 | 2,056 | 1,870 | 1,765 | 1,608 | 1,877 |
| Vacte m(lakht) | 45,81 | 16,08 | 11,75 | 11,84 | 12,41 | 16,88 |

## Exports.

Rice accounted for 78 per cent of the total quantity of food grains and GIour exported during the year under review, the corresponding percentage ast five years sponding figures fox 1913-14:-
(In tho reand tom.)


- Subject to revision.

Although the total production of rice in India is considerably higher than in Burma, the latter practically has a monopoly of the export trade. She also makes good any deficiency in the supply for local consumption in other -parts of India. The Indian crop for the 1935-36 season, like its predecessor, was below the average, in fact, the smallest since the War. The position was, however, eased by larger production in the following year. About 1,621,000 tons of rice (including paddy) were exported from Burma to India during 1936-37, as compared with $1,748,000$ tons in the preceding year and $2,200,000$ tons in 1934-35. The total exports from Burma to all destinations which had fallen from $3,599,000$ tons in 1934-35 to 2,966,000 tons in 1935-36, further declined to $2,852,000$ tons in the year under review. Exports of rice, including negligible quantitiea of paddy, from India and Burma together -amounted to $1,466,000$ tons as compared with $1,410,000$ tons in 1935-36 and 1,607,000 tons in 1934-35. Of the exports in 1936-37, Burms was responsible for 84 per cent and Bengal and Madras for 8 and 6 per cent, respectively.

The Rangoon market genacally remained quiet at the beginning of the year and the small response of prices to the low production in India in 193536 was not sustained in the absence of strong export demand. Later, the rise in whest prices influenced, to some extent, the prices of rice as well, and demand from India gave some support. But arrivals of new crop and the news of larger production in India resulted in a setback and prices weakened towards the end of the year. The quotation for rice "Big Mills Special" at Rangoon per 100 baskets of 75 lbs . each, was f 210 on the lst April. In the succeeding weeks, there was a rise in prices which were quoted at R222-8 on the 22nd April. Subsequently there was an easier tendency, and by the middle of July the prices fell again to R 210 , but advanced to $\mathbf{\# 2 2 5}$ by the

## Ritoe.

middle of August. Thereafter, in steady decline carried the pricos down to R212-8 or the alst October. November saw some appreciation and by the middle of December, the higheat quotation $\$ 245$ was reached. In the ynext three months there was a setback, "the closing quotation being $\mathbf{\$ 2} 23-8$ on March 31.

The following table shows the exports of rice to various foreiga countries from Burma and India separately during the last three years :-

Exports of rioe, not in the Wusk, to foreign coundries.
(In thousand tons.)

| * | From Burma |  |  | From India proper |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1934-35 | 1935-36 | ${ }^{\prime} 1986-37$ | 1934-36 | 1935-38 | 1936-87 |
| United Kingdom | 83 | 44 | 45 | 6 | 6 | 8 |
| Poland . | 40 | 83 | 30 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |
| Netherlandy | 67 | 67 | 38 | 6 | - 3 | 8 |
| Germuny | 167 | 79 | 58 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Bolgium | 20 | 7 | 8 |  | 1 | . |
| Italy and Fimmo, | 25 | , 18 | 12 | . | $\cdots$ | - |
| Rest of Europe | 39 | 24 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Arabia - : $=$ | 17 | -14 | 18 | 81 | 83 | 88 |
| -Ceyloa . | 317 | 352 | 844 | 76 | 72 | 84 |
| Straite Settlemente | 130 | 183 | 155 | 5 | 5 | - |
| Sumatra $\therefore$ : $\quad . \quad$ | 70 | 75 | 47 | . | .. | - |
| Java | 29 | 4 | 1 | $\cdots$ | $\bullet$ | * |
| -Foderatod Malay Statos | 61 | 86 | 70 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Japan | 4 | 9 | 48 |  | $\cdots$ | - |
| Whins (including Hoogkong) | 176 | 77 | 31 |  | is | 28 |
| Reat of Asia. | 418 | 11 | 21 | 13 | 19 | 26 |
| Eqypt ${ }^{\text {Manct }}$ | 80 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 8 |
| Manritius and Dopendencies | 33 | 41 | 24 | 29 | 14 | 28 |
| Union of South Africe | 8 | 7 | 10 | 18 | 19 | 23 |
| Rest of Afries | - 20 | 33 | - 18 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Cuha ${ }^{-}$ | 16 | . 23 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| West Indies (exoluding Cubs and Pahamas). | 34 | 42 | 27 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| United Statee of America | 1 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| Australia * . | 4 | 4 | 8 | - | ** | .. |
| New Zealsud : | 3 | - | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | -* | - | . |
| For ordors cargoes -*. | ** | $\cdots$ | 9 | - | $\cdots$ | - |

*,Incladea 77,000 tons abipped "for ordors" to the United Kingdom.
While the total shipments destined for the United Kingdom, at $53,000^{\circ}$ tons, were alightly higher than in 1935-36, rice ahipped to that country "for -orders" amounted to 77,000 tons during 1936-37, the exact distribation of which among the various European countries is not known at the time of writing. In the circumstances, the figares for exports to each of the Furopean countries are not atrietly comparable writh those for the preceding year. The total shipmente of rice to Europe, which had declined from 443,000 tons in 1934-35 to 298,000 tons in 1935-36, advanced to 331,000 tons in 1936-37. Ceylon, India's best customer of rice, slightly increased her takings from 424,000 tons to 428,000 tons. The Straits Settlements took 161,000 tons, -or 23,000 tons more than in the preceding year. Requirements of other

## Exports.

Asiatic countries were comparatively small. Of these, shipments to the Federated Malsy States and Japan increased from 68,000 tons and 9,000 tons to 72,000 tons and 48,000 tons, while those to Sumatra and China (including Hongkong) recorded decreases from 75,000 tons and 77,000 tons to 47,000 tons and 31,000 tons, respectively. Exports to African countries, which had declined from 147,000 tons in 1934-35 to 137,000 tons in 1935-36, recovered to 143,000 tons in the year under review. The Union of South Africe took 33,000 tons, or nearly 7,000 tons more than in the preceding year, while exports to Mauritius and Dependencies declined from 55,000 tons to 50,000 tons. Shipments to Cuba advanced from 25,000 tons to 31,000 tons, while those to the Weat Indies, excluding Cuba and Bahamas, fell from 43,000 tons to 29,000 tons.

As a result of the imposition of a protective duty of 12 as. per manud on broken rice effective till the end of March, 1938, imports of rice, chiefly from Siam, steadily declined from 283,000 tons in 1934-35 to 90,000 tons in 1935-36. and further to 18,000 tons in 1936-37. Imports of paddy, mostly from French Indo-China, also fell from 120,000 tons to 68,000 tons.

The world production of wheat during the 1936-37 season (excluding the U. S. S. R. and certain minor producing areas) has been the lowest since

Wheat ( $\mathrm{R} 2,10$ lakhis). 1924-25, and is placed at 3,358 million bushels, as: compared with 3,435 million bushels in the preceding season, or a decrease of 2 per cent. The European crop, exclusive of Russia, is estimated at 1,481 million bushels-a decline of 95 million bushels on the previous season. The total North American production also showed a reduction in comparison with the previous season. As a result of the succession of short crops, the United States of America, notwithstanding a slight increase in her production during the 1936-37 season, has practically disappeared from the export market. The course of the wheat market was governed bythe crop situation in Australia and Argentine. Although the crops of both these countries turned out to be larger than in 1935-36, the margin between: the increased world import requirements, estimated at 580 million bushels, and exportable supplies, at 700 million bushels, was narrowed down to 120 million bushels. Small stocks, shorter total production and greater demand from the importing countries all combined to send the wheat prices to a higher level.

The price of wheat No. 3 Northern Manitoba per 480 lbs . c.i.f. London (shipping ourrent month) stood at 28s. $10 \frac{1}{2} d$. on the 3rd April, 1936, and advanced to 29 s . $9 \frac{1}{2} d$. in the last week of the month. In May there was decline, but from June onwards, a firmer tone set in and carried the prices to 298. $7 \frac{1}{2} d$. on the 26 th June, 36s. $1 \frac{1}{2} d$. on the 31 st July, with a further rise to $38 s$. $1012 d$. in the first week of August. After a slight reaction, the price advanced again to 39 s . $3 d$. at the end of September and to 41 s . Gd . on the 16 th October. After small fluctuations in November, it reached 48s. 6d. on the 31st December. In January and February, there was some weakness, but in March, the news of the possible restriction of exports from Argentina has been the causeof a further rise in prices, the quotation for April shipments on the 25th March. being 5ls. $6 d$.

The Indian prices generally moved in sympathy with the trend in overseas markets. The quotation for wheat, white, 2 per cent barley, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per

## Wheat.

cent dirt, per candy of 656 lbs , at Karachi, stood at $724-4$ on the $2 n d$ April, 1936, and rose to $\mathbf{7 2 5 - 6}$ on the 24th April. In May there was a decline, this quotation on the 22nd May being (723-12. Prices firmed up in June and from the end of that month there was a steady increase until August 7, when the price was quoted at $\mathrm{f} 30-3$. In the following weeks there was a slight reaction; but the price rose to $\mathrm{F} 30-12$ on the 25 th September and further to \#31-6 on the 16th October. Since then prices somewhat weakened to R28-15-6 on the 20th November, but recovered again in December, the quotation in the first week of January being R33-14. There was no further improvement until the end of March, when the price advanced to R34-9, the highest quotation for the year.

The production of wheat in India in 1935-36 was estimated at $9 \cdot 4$ million tons, while in 1936-37 it has been put at 9.8 million tons. Owing to favourable price parity, there were appreciable exports of wheat from India during the year under review. The following table shows the production and exports of wheat during the past five years and in the pre-war year 1913-14 :-

Total production of wheat and total exports by sea to foreign countries.


* Subjeot to rorision.

Exports in 1936-37 amounted to 231,500 tons valued at $\mathbf{Z 2 , 0 9 1}$ lakhs as against 9,600 tons valued at $\boldsymbol{7 9 \frac { 1 } { 2 }}$ lakhs in the preceding year. The bulk of the exports were made from Karachi. The United Kingdom, as usual, was the principal buyer of Indian wheat and took 203,400 tons as against 7,000 tons. in 1935-36. Imports of wheat into India in 1936-37 were insignificant, amounting only to 100 tons as against 13,100 tons in 1935-36. The import duty on wheat and wheat flour, which was reduced from $71-8$ per cwt. to \#ll per cwt. on 9th April, 1936, has, owing to improved world prices, lapsed on the 31st March on the termination of the period for which that duty remained effective.

Exports of wheat flour from India advanced from 18,000 tons valued at \#22 lakhs to 24,000 tons valued at $\# 32 \frac{1}{2}$ lakbs, sbout 7,000 tons going to Arabia and 3,900 tons to the Straits Settlements as compared with 4,600 tons Wheet flowr ( $\mathrm{Z} 32 \dagger$ lakha). and 3,400 tons, respectively, in 1935-36. Exporta to Aden and Dependencies rose from 3,100 tons to 5,900 tons, while those to Kenys Colony fell from 3,500 tons to 1,400 tons. The following table shows for a number of years the production of wheat in India, the net imports or exports, and the balance available for consumption

Expoits.
in the country. In calculating the net imports and exports, the equivalent ip whest of flomr imported or exported has been'taken into account:-


* Production for 1908-08 to 1912-13.
$\dagger \quad 3 \quad$ "1918-14 to 1917-18.
Among other food grains, the exports of barley, which had declined from 14,000 tons in 1934-35 to 3,500 tons in 1935-36, rose to 9,800 tons in the year under review. The exports were, as usual, mainly

Other food graing (A133) lakbs). to the United Kingdom. Shipments of jowar and bayita fell from 8,500 tons valued at $\mathrm{P} 8 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs to 7,100 tons valued at $\mathrm{P} 7 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Arabia took 1,800 tons and Aden and Dependencies 3,200 tons as compared with 3,300 tons and 2,000 tons, respectively, in 1935-36. Exports of maize were small in 1936-37 and amounted only to 96 tons as against 2,400 tons in the preceding year. Exports of pulses recorded an improvement and amounted to 137,000 tons valued at $\mathbb{Z 1 , 1 6}$ lakhs as compared with 99,000 tons valued at 792 lakhs in 1935-36. Under this group, exports of beans rose from 31,000 tons valued it \#193 lakhs to 54,000 tons valued at Zr 304 lakhs, and those of gram advanced from 7,500 tons valoed at R 8 lakhs to 24,000 tons valued at $\nexists 22$ lakhs. The bulk of the exports of beans were to Japan, which took 44,000 tons as against 24,600 tons in the preceding year. Of the shipments of gram, 3,000 tons went to Ceylon and 1,500 tons to the Straits Settlements. Exports of lentils were also larger than in the preceding year and amounted to 18,000 tons valued at f21 lakhs, as compared with 13,000 tons valued at 715 lakhs in 1935-36. Exports of -other sorts of pulses together amounted to 41,600 tons valued at Pt43 lakhs as against 47,700 tons valued at $\mathbf{R 4 9 1}$ lakhs in the preceding year. Of these, Ceylon and the Straits Settlements accounted for $\nexists 19$ lakhs and 75 lakhs, respectively. Shipments to the United Kingdom were valued at $\mathbb{\#} 6 \frac{1}{4}$ lakhs, to Mauritius and Dependencies at $73 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and to the Union of South Africa at A 2 f lakbs.

## Hides and Skins.

Hides and, skins (\# 11,18 , lakhs).-Exports of ravk hides and akins increased by 5 per cent in quantity and by 7 per cent in valne, from 48,800 tons valued at $\mathbf{7 4}, 13$. lakhs to 51,200 tons valued at 74,43 lakhs. Raw hides represented, 47. per cent of the total quantity of raw, hides and skins exported in 1936-37 and recorded an increase from 22,700 tons, valued at R1, 19 lakhs to 24,200 tons valued at $\mathrm{Pl}, 33$. lakhs. Shipments in the year under reviewr consisted of 19,400 , tons of raw cow hides, 4,500 tons of buffalo hides and 300 tons of calf skins which are included under raw hides. The following table shows the details for the past three years and for 1913-14 :-


Exports of raw cow hides amounted to 19,400 tons, almost the same as in 1935-36, but higher prices accounted for an increase in value from 7498 lakhs to $\mathbf{~} 1,09$ lakhs. Nearly 33 per cent of the shipments in the year under review went to Germany which took 6,500 tons as against 5,900 tons in the preceding year. The United Kingdom also. increased her demand from 4,300 tons to 5,000 tons and Greece from 800 tons to 1,100 tons; while shipments to Italy fell from 2,200 tons to 1,600 tons. Purchases by Finland, France and Japan were almost on the same level as in the preceding year and totalled 1,000 tons, 800 tons and 400 tons, respectively, in 1936-37. There were smaller exports to the Netherlands amounting to 500 tons as against 700 tons in 1935-36. Norway and Sweden together required about 800 tons as against 1,000 tons in 1935-36. Shipments to Spain were very much reduced and amounted to only 70 tons as compared with 700 tons in the preceding year. For price quotations, reference may be made to table No. 50 appended to this Review. Shipments were, as usual, mostly from Bengal which accounted for 62 per cent of the total quantity exported in 1936-37, as compared with 61 per cent in 1935-36. Burma accounted for 23 per cent and Sind for 13 per cent as compared with 21 per cent and 9 per cent, respectively, in the preceding year.

Exports of buffalo hides increased from 2,700 tons valued at fll lakhs to 4,500 tons valued at $\mathrm{X2} 22$ lakhs in 1936-37. Germany was again the largest purchaser with 800 tons as against 500 tons in the preceding year. Shipments to the United States of America increased from 200 tons to over 600 , tons, and to Bulgaria and Greece from 200 tons each to 600 tons and 500 tons, respectively, in 1936-37. The United Kingdom, however, reduced her takings from 470 tons to 280 tons. Calf skins were in less demand than in the preceding year, exports of which amounted to 300 tons as against 500 tons in 1935-36. The shipments were mainly to Germsny, the United States of America and Italy.

## Exports.

Exports of raw skins, consisting largely of goat skins, declined in quantity from 21,200 tons to 18,800 tons, but increased in value from $\mathbf{R 2} 2,98$ lakhs to 73,01 lakhs. Goat skins showed a decrease of 11 per cent in quantity from 20,100 tons to 18,000 tons and were valued at \#2,78 lakhs, almost the same as in 1935-36. The United States of America was, as usual, the best castomer and was followed by the United Kingdom, both of which reduced their takings from 13,600 tons and 4,400 tons to 11,400 tons and 4,200 tons, respectively. France and Germany, however, increased their purchases from 300 tons each in 1935-36 to 700 tons and 400 tons, respectively, in 1936-37, while shipments to the Netheriands and Belgium declined from 600 tons and 300 tons to 500 tons and only 50 tons. Exports to Australia, at 500 tons, were practically the same as in the preceding year. Exports of aheep akins also declined from 900 tons to 600 tons in quantity, but increased in value from ¥ll4 lakhs to $\mathbb{Z 1 4} \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Germany took 145 tons, the United Kingdom 73 tons and France 50 tons. The average declared value per lb . of the exports was $\mathrm{R1} 1-1-3$ as against 11 as. in 1935-36. For price quotations, a reference is again invited to table No. 50. The share of Bengal in the total quantity of raw skins shipped in 1936-37 was 53 per cent and of Sind 26 per cent, as compared with 46 and 26 per cent, respectively, in the preceding year. Bombay had 16 per cent of the trade as against 20 per cent in 1935-36.

The revival of the trade in tanned hides and skins continued in the year under review, and the total exports increased from 20,300 tons valued at स5, 20 lakhs in 1935-36 to 25,400 tons valued at $\mathrm{F} 6,74$ lakhs in 1936-37. The following statement shows the details:-

|  | Qtantiry |  |  |  | Vabise |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1018-14 | 1034-85 | 1085-88 | 1086-87 | 1019.14 | 1984-85 | 1985-36 | 1986-57 |
|  | Tonis | Tons | Tora | Toss | naluhe) | 코(lakkha) | m(akht) | Efunchs) |
| Tannod cour hilemem: | 7,000 800 | 8,600 |  | ${ }_{1}^{14,000}$ | 1,17 | 1.688 | 1,87 |  |
| " brafrala hidee : |  | 1700 | 1,200 | 1,400 |  | 18 | 19 | ${ }_{36}^{24}$ |
| " R Rostratam: | , 7 ,700 | 1,1000 | 88,100 | 1,600 3,800 | 1,01 | 1,88 | 148 | +1.84 |
| " theof akins | 2,500 | 2,800 | 8,100 | 3,600 |  | 1,34 | 1.48 | 1,63 |
| " otber akine | 800 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 7 |  |  |  |
| toral hidma ard bighe, tamind | 15,200 | 17,500 | 20,300 | 25,400 | 4,28 | 8,22 | 5,20 | 6,74 |

Tanned cow hides showed the largest increase from 11,800 tons to 14,900 tons, representing a rise of 26 per cent in quantity and from $\mathrm{Pl}, 87$ lakhs to स2,57 lakhs, or an increase of 37 per cent in value. As usual, nearly the whole quantity was taken by the United Kingdom. Exports of tanned buffalo hides improved from 1,200 tons valued at 719 lakhs to 1,400 tons valned at R 24 lakhs. Shipments to the United Kingdom rose from 824 tons to 975 tons. The exports of calf skins, mostly to the United Kingdom, also advanced from 1,100 tons to 1,500 tons, the corresponding increase in value being from $\ddagger 23$ lakhs to 736 lakks.

Exports of tanned goat skins increased by 700 tons to 3,800 tons, the value rising from $\nexists 1,42$ lakhs to $\not 21,84$ lakhs. Shipments to the United Kingdom amounted to 3,550 tons and to the United States of America only 54 tons. The United Kingdom, as usual, took the largest quantity of tanned sheep akins exported, viz., 3,000 tons out of a total of 3,600 tons as against 2,500 tous oul of a total of $\mathbf{3 , 1 0 0}$ tons in 1935-36. The remainder went chiefly

## Metals and Ores.

to Japan which took 540 tons as against 470 tons in 1935-36. The average declared value per lb . of tanned goat skins and sheep skins was f2-2-7 and R2-1-8 as against $\mathrm{P} 2-1-1$ and $\mathrm{fl} 2-1-3$, respectively, in the preceding year.

Metals and ores ( $\mathbf{7 8 , 0 2}$ lakhs). -The total exports of ores amounted to 748,000 tons in $1936-37$, or 45,000 tons less than in the preceding year.

Manganese ore ( $\mathrm{R1,31}$ lakhs). Manganese ore represented 91 per cent of this total, exports of which declined from 729,000 tons in 1935-36 to 677,000 tons in the year under reviem. As in the preceding year, the United Kingdom was the best customer. As a result of the increased activity in her steel industry, she increased her requirements from 197,000 tons to 216,000 tons. Shipments to Belgium also advanced from 72,000 tons to 98,000 tons. On the other hand, Japan reduced her talings from 174,000 tons to 128,000 tons; France from 119,000 tons to 87,000 tons ; the United States of America from 106,000 tons to 87,000 tons, and Germany from 17,000 tons to 15,000 tons. The export trade was confined to Bengal, Bombsy and Madras, which accounted for 36 per cent, 16 per cent and 48 .per cent of the total in 1936-37 as compared with 32 per cent, 9 per cent and 59 per cent, respectively, in the preceding year. There were no exports of ferro-manganese, and those of ferruginous manganese ore were insiguificantly small in the year under review.

Exports of wolfram ore advanced from 7,800 tons to 9,000 tons, of which the United Kingdom took 7,000 tons, or about 100 tons more than in 1935-36. Smaller quantities were also exported to Germany and Belgium. As usual, the shipments were entirely from Burma.
Exports of chromite declined from 26,000 tons to 23,000 tons. Norway and the United Kingdom increased their purchases from 4,400 tons and 750 tons

Chromite ( A 7 lakha).

$$
0-1
$$

under review. Sweden required much smaller quan- tity, viz., 300 tons as against 5,100 tons in 1935-36. Exports to the United States of America also fell from 4,800 tons to 3,100 tons. Canada, which had obtained 4,000 tons in 1935-36, required nothing in the year under review.

Exports of tin ore, entirely to the Straits Settlements, declined by 1,200 tons to 3,000 tons in quantity and hy R29 lakbs to f(52 lakhs in value.

Shipments of pig lead advanced from $1,309,000$ cwts. valued at R1,78 lakhs in 1935-36 to $1,410,000$ ewts. valued at $\mathrm{P} 2,35$ lakhs in the vear under
Pig lead ( $\mathrm{R} 2,35$ lakha). review. Exports to the United Kingdom increased from $827,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $1,019,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Apart from the growth in the internal consumption of the United Kingdom, due particularly. to re-armament and also to activity in building and electrical industries, there were larger re-exports from that country, especially to France and Italy, which were largely dependent on the London market in the absence of supplies from Spanish sources. Direct shipments to Germany, Belgium and other Continental countries were insignificant in 1936-37, their aggregate purchases in 1935-36, being 38,000 ewts. Japan reduced her requirements from 393,000 cwts. to $313,000 \mathrm{cwts}$, while Ceylon increased her takings from $25,000 \mathrm{owts}$. - to $29,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.

## Exportst.

Since 1934-35, exports of pig iron continued to advance, and in the yeat under review totalled 574,000 tons valued at $\nexists 1,29$ lakhs as compared with

Pig fron ( $\mathrm{RH}_{\mathrm{r}} 29$ laklis). 538;000 tons valued at 71,24 lakhs in 1935-36 and was a marked expansion in the exports to the United Kingdom which amounted to 182,000 tons as against 66,000 tons in $1935-36$ and 98,000 tons in 1934-35. Japan, the principal customer of Indian pig iron; reduced her takings from 397,000 tons to 306,000 tons, but the United Statas of America required 56,000 tons or about 4,000 tons more than in the preceding year. Exports to China declined from 8,700 tons to 8,100 tons. The production of iron and steel in Indis has been dealt with in Chapter II of this Review.

The improvement in the exports of zinc or spelter noticed in 1935-36 was. fully maintained in the year under review, the exports amounting to 1.7 million cwts. or 5,000 ewts. more than in the
8polter ( Z 39 lakhs). preceding year. The value of these exports showed an increase of Z 4 lakhs to Z 39 lakhs. Belginm, as usual; took the largest quantity, viz., 1.2 million cwts. as against 1.4 million owts. in 1935-36. Shipments to Japan advanced from $239,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ : to 437,000 owts.

Exports of scrap iron or steel for remanufacture during the year under review were valued at R 29 lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{P} 13 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1935-36.
Scrap steel (T20 lakhs). and 714t lakhs in 1934-35. Japan is the prinoipal market.
Elaw wool (72,88 lakhs).-During the first lialf of the year the course of the trade-was somewhat featureless, but a keen demand for raw materials, particularly in the United Kingdom, and a considerable advance . in prices characterised the second half of the year 1936-37. Fxports of raw wool from India amounted to 51.9 million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,86$ lakhs as compared with$49 \cdot 4$ million lbs, valued at $\mathrm{Z} 2,10$ lakhs in 1935-36. Shipments to the United Kingdom advanced from 34.7 million lbs. to 39.1 million lbs. and those to Belgium from nearly 1.9 million lbs. to over 2 million lbs., while the United States of America reduced her takings from 11.7 million lbs. to 9.4 millionlbe. The United States of America was, however, a more active buyer of foreign wool imported by land across the frontier and shipped from India. These re-exports of wool also rose from 11.3 million lbs. to $13-2$ million lbs in the year under review, the corresponding increase in value being from f228 lakhe to R38 lakhs. As usual, the bulk of the re-exports was to the United States of Americs which totalled 12.1 million lbs. in 1936-37 as compared with 10.4 million lbs. in the preceding year. Shipments to the United Kingdom were comparatively small, amounting to nearly $800,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. The price of raw wool, Bikaner, at Bombay, which had advanced to 13 as. per lb. in March, 1936, remained at that level during April and May. In June, there was as slight appreciation to 13 as. 6 p ., but this was not maintained in the following two months. From October, there was a steady improvement and the price reached $\mathrm{Al} 1-3-0$ per lb . in February, and stood at Ml per lb. in March, 1937. At Karachi, the price of wool, Kandahar (loose, uncleaned, best quality, white) which was quoted at $\mathbf{R 2 1}$ per maund in March, 1936, rose to $\mathrm{f26}$ in April. Thereafter the rate varied between R 21 and P23 till October. There wis a marked improvement which carried the price to R30 in January and it remained at that level till the end of the year.

Raw wool.
The following table shows the exports of raw wool of Indian origin, theimports of foreign wool by land into India and the corresponding re-exports. by-sea during the last ten years compared with 1913-14:-

(a) Rajl-borns trado ats atationa adjecent to land trontior routen.

The table below shows the quantity of raw wool imported into the Uniteds Kingdorn from India and other countries during the last nine years compared with 1913 :-
Imports of shieep's and Lamb's wool into the United Kingdom (exoluding goat


## Exports.

The export trade in raw wool is confined chiefly to Sind and Bombay, the percentage shares of these Provinces in 1936-37 being 72 and 23 as compared with 65 and 31, respectively, in the preceding year. In the case of reexports, Sind had 28 per cent and Bengal 71 per cent of the trade as against ' 36 per cent and 64 per cent, respectively, in 1935-36.

Exports of carpets and rugs increased from 9.3 million lbs. to 9.9 million 3bs. in quantity and from $\mathbb{R 8 1}$ lakhs to $\mathbb{7 8 6}$ lakhs in value. As usual, the United Kingdom was the chief customer, taking 7.5 million lbs. with a total value of $\mathrm{F} 65 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs as against 7 million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{Z} 60 \frac{1}{5}$ lakhs in 1935-36. Exports to the United States of America valued at Pl 12 lakhs, to Canada at ¥4 $\frac{1}{3}$ lakhs and to Australia at R1 lakh, showed only very little changes in comparison with the preceding year. The bulk of the shipments were made from Bengal which was responsible for about $\mathbf{7 . 2}$ million lbs. valued at $\mathbf{P 6 2}$ 4akhs.

Lac (f2,34 lakhs).-Despite an improvement in demand and the consequent reduction in stocks held in London, the course of the shellac market was somewhat disappointing with prices constantly losing ground in the absence of sustained buying support in London. By the end of October, London stocks dropped to 124,952 cases, the lowest record of the year. Compared to the opening of the year, when stocks in London amounted to 142,656 -cases, the estimate of 137,365 cases at the end of March, 1937, showed a re--duction of 5,291 cases. At the beginning of April, the price of shellac T. N. -Orange, in London, stood at 57 s . per cwt. and after a decline to 55 s . 6 d . in the following week, rose to 59s. on April 24. Owing, however, to marked :shrinkage in deliveries from London warehouses, the market was in a depressed state during the next two months, but took a better turn by the end of June and remained firm at prices generally around 60 s , till August 7. There was an impression that the trade demand had been fully met by that time with the result that prices gradually weakened, and stood at 52 s . on October 9. In the following week, there was a recovery to $56 s$., but this rallying movement made little progress in the next two months. From December, the tendency wus again towards improvement and the highest quotation 618., was reached on December 30. During the remainder of the year, the prices were on the decline with slight reaction towards the end of the year, the quotation on 19th March being 58s.

In the Calcutta market, the price of T. N. Shellac per bazar maund, which opened at $\mathbb{R 2 2}$ on the 3rd April, advanced to $\mathbb{R 2 2 - 8}$ on the 17 th April and remained at that level till the 1st May. Thereafter, except for a sudden rise to Z 23 on July 10, the prices generally varied between $\mathbb{Z 2 1}$ and $\mathbb{7 2 2 - 8}$ till the middle of September, though lower levels were occasionally touched. Later the prices were on the decline, and were quoted at $\not 218-8$ on the 9 th - October, the lowest quotation for the year. This was followed by an improvement which was more marked in December and January. On the 22nd January, the price rose to its highest point R25, put declined again with slight reactions, to f222 on February 26. Towards the end of the year there was a secovery, the closing quotation being P 24 on the 25 th March.

Lac.
The following table shows the details of the exports of lao during the past two years as compared with the pre-war, war and post-war averages :-

Exports of lac.

| - | Pro-mat sverage |  | War sverage |  | Poth-mer aperage |  | 1038-36 |  | 1998-87 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Owts. | Port | Corte. | cent | Owt. | Pext | Orta, | cent | 0 0ts | Pent |
| Shellac and botton Iac. | \$01,100 | 90 | 810,200 | 00 | 870,000 | 88 | 818,90n | 64 | 530,200 | 88 |
| 各tiok lac and seed lac: | 16,100 | 4 | 18,400 | 5 | 7,800 | 8 | 188,100 | 27 | $28 \pi .800$ | 3 |
| Othera . | 28,100 | 8 | 18,800 | 5 | 88,900 | 10 | 10,800 | 9 | 87, 100 | 4 |
| Torat | 484,800 | 100 | 845,400 | 100 | 410,800 | 100 | 487,600 | 100 | 894,000 | 100 |

The total shipments of shellac amoanted to $497,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., as compared with 285,000 cwts. in the preceding year and 432,000 owts. in 1934-35. Exports to the United Kingdom totalled 145,000 ewts. as against 44,000 owts. in 1935-36 and 229,000 cwts. in 1934-35. The United States of America and Japan also increased their purchases from 87,000 ewts. and 54,000 owts. to 156,000 cwts. and 67,000 cwts., respectively, while Australia's requirements remained fairly steady at 5,000 cwts. Direct shipments to Germany and France rose from $28,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $9,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to 50,000 owts, and 13,000 cwts., respectively, while exports to Italy fell from $6,900 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $2,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. Purchases by Belgium were stationary at $4,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. There were also increased exports to Canada amounting to $6,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., as against $4,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1935-36, while Brazil required 4,400 cwts., or about 200 cwts . less than in the preceding year. Apart from shellac, larger quantities of seed lac, amounting to 262,000 cwts. as against $126,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1935-36, were exported from India. Shipments were mainly to the United States of America which took ahout $206,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., as compared with $80,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in the preceding year, while the United Kingdom required 17,000 owts., or about 2,000 owts. more than in the preceding year. Exports of button lac also rose from 29,000 cwts. to 33,000 cwts. of which the United Kingdom absorbed $20,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as against $18,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1935-36. There were also increased exports to Germany and the United States of America, but shipments to France showed a small decline. Exports of stick lac, however, declined from 7,300 ewts. to $4,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. The Netherlands reduced her purchases from $6,300 \mathrm{cwts}$ to 3,700 cwts. Exports of other kinds of lac totalled $37,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as compared with 41,000 ewts. in 1935-36. The principal destinations of these exports were, the Netherlands ( 16,000 ewts.), Germany ( 17,000 cwts.), Belgium ( 2,500 cwts.), and the United States of Americe ( $1,100 \mathrm{cwts}$.).

The following table shows the exports of stick lac from Bangkok and Singapore during the past four years:-

$$
\because
$$

| $\cdots *$ | 1033 | 1934 | 1935 | 1836 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To United Slaten of Amorica <br> "Germany . <br> © United Kingdorn <br> - Indie | 5 |  | 100 |  |
|  | 201 | 6,848 | 4,402 |  |
|  | 120 | 6,112 178.628 | 1,182 67,677 |  |
|  | 9,965 | 176,628 | 67,677 | 120,496 |
| Tonac (ans cotmtarsa) ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 11,524 | 183,826 | 75,520 | 131,897 |

## Exports.

There was a considerable improvement in the exports of Siamese stick lac from Bangkok and Singapore, chiefly to. India, in 1936 as comparect. with the preceding year.

The monthly exports of shellac; seed lac; button lac and stick lao from India during the year are shown in the following table:-
(In Cwte.)


Other Articles.-The following is a summary of the course of trade in the more important of the remaining articles of export :-

| - | $\begin{gathered} 1913-14 \\ \text { (pre-war } \\ \text { year) } \end{gathered}$ | 1932-33 | 1933-34 | 1034-35 | 1938-36 | 1086-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A (lakhs) | IT (lakhs) | A (laichas) | \# (lakhs) | 7 (lakha) | R (latchs), |
| Oilcaker | 1,38 | 1,98 | 1,65 | 1,97 | 1,82 | 2,27. |
| Parafin wax | 67 | 2,08 | 2,29 | 1,92 | 2,28 | 1,96 |
| Fruite and vegetables. | 4 | 69 | 99 | ,1,08. | 1,65 | 1,70 |
| Treakwood . . | 79 | 40 | 61 | 90 | 1,12 | 1,46, |
| Rubber, raw ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 79 | 9 | 31 | 68 | 89 | 1,04 |
| Fodder, bran and pollarda | 77 | 70 | 47 | 77 | - 78 | 96 |
| Mice. . - | 45 | 32 | 45 | 69 | 83 | 94 |
| Tobsceo, unmenafactured | 32 | 73 | 90 | 78 | 88 | 88 |
| Coffes : | 1,64. | 1,10 | 1,02. | 78 | $\begin{array}{r}1,08 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 88 |
| Coir manufactures | 89 | 69 | 77 | 80 | 1,87 | 71 |
| Oils ${ }^{\text {He}}$ | 99 | 51 | 57. | 55 | 64 | 70 |
| Hempr raw | 1,02 | 985 | 30 | 89. | 60 | 60 |
| $\underset{\text { Dre etuffe }}{\text { Bploes }}$ : | 1,04 | 75 | 79 | 78 | 70 | 64 |
| Bploen Mraurea : : | 91 91 | 72 | 72 | 77 | 56 38 | 55 |
| Bonea for manuianturing purposea | (c) | 20 35 | 20 | 32 | 38 | 51 |
|  | 3 | 48 | 45 | 45 | - 48 | 46 45 |
| Provitions and oilman's stores | 4 | 33 | 28 | 28 |  |  |
| Druge and Medicines : | 1 | 81 | 24 | 26 | 26 | 28 |
| Fibre for brushos, eto. . | 1 69 | 24 | 28 | 19 | 22 | 20 |
| Saltpetre - | 31 | 12 | 16 | 14 | 17 | 12 |

[^4]
## Paraffin waz.

'Exports of oilcakes advanced in quantity from 300,000 tons in 1935-36 to $\mathbf{3 3 6 , 0 0 0}$ tons in $1936-37$ and in value from $\mathrm{Z} 1,82$ lakhs to $\mathbf{Z 2 , 2 7}$ lakhs.

Oilcakes ( $\mathbf{R} 2,27$ lakha). All the articles coming under this group, except linseed cake, showed improvement in their share of exports, ns compared with the preceding year. Exports of groundnut cake, by far the largest single item, representing 71 per cent of the total quantity - of oilcakes exported, amounted to 238,000 tons valued at RR1,64 lakhs in 1936-37, as against i 194,000 tons valued at $\$ 1,16$ lakhs in 1935-36. The United Kingdom was the largest purchaser, taling 152,000 tons valued at ¥il, 08 lakhs, as against 148,000 tons valued at P 863 lakhs in 1935-36. There were also increased exports to other European countries. Shipments to Germsny advanced from 15,000 tons to 35,000 tons; to the Netherlands from 6,000 tons to 15,000 tons; and to Belgium from 16,000 tons to 17,000 tons. Exports of linseed cake declined from 72,000 tons valued at F 44 lakhs to 50,000 tons palued at $\mathrm{A} 34 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. The bulk of these exports went to the United Kingdom which in the year under review took 47,000 tons valued at R32 lakhs, as compared with 69,000 tons valued at about P 42 d lakhs in the preceding year. Shipments to other countries in 1936-37 included 1,700 tons to the Netherlands and 800 tons to Germany. Exports of rape and sesamum cake amounted to 30,000 tons valued at $\not 718$ lakhs in 1936-97 as . compared with 21,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Pl14}$ lakhs in 1935-36. Japan and Ceylon, the two best customers, took 13,000 tons each, their respective shares in the previous year being 8,000 tons and 13,000 tons. Arnong other descriptions of oilcakes, cotton cake accounted for 9,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Z5}$ lakhs in 1936-37 as against 6,000 tons valued at 73 lakbs a year ago, exports being destined mainly to the United Kingdom. Shipments of coconut cake amounted to 4,200 tons in quantity and $\not 73$ lakhs in value as compared with 3,800 tons valued at $\not \mathrm{f} 2 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs, practically the entire quantity being taken by Belgium. Exports of castor cake, mainly to Ceylon, amounted to 1,700 tons, almost the same as in 1935-36, but the value rose slightly from $\mathbf{7 7 2 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{7 8 3 , 0 0 0}$ in 1936-37.

Exports of paraffin wax, which had risen from 46,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{fl}, 92$ Jakhs in 1934-35 to 54,000 tons valued at 72,28 lakhs in 1935-36, declined

[^5] to 47,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Fl} 1,96$ lakhs in 1936-37. There was a falling off in the demand in the European market in the year under review. Exports to the United Kingdom declined from 19,000 tons in 1935-36 to 17,000 tons in 1936-37, and those to the Netherlands from 5,600 tons to 3,800 tons. Germany showed very little interest while the Italian share came down to 860 tons from 1,600 tons a year ago. Belgium also curtailed her requirements by 800 tons, her offtake in 1936-37 amounting to 2,400 tons. On the other hand, exports to Canada and the Union of South Africa advanced from 1,620 tons and 2,670 tons in 1935-36 to 2,460 tons and 2,840 tons, respectively, in 1936-37. Exports to the Portuguese East Africa, Columbia and Australia also showed increases while there were decreases in the shipments to the United States of America, Chile and Mexico. The share of China, however, remained almost stationary at 2,000 tons, but that of Japan advanced by 200 tons to 285 tons in 1936-37.

## Exports.

The total value of fruits and vegetables, all sorts, exported in 1936-37, amounted to $\mathrm{PI}, 70$ lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{Fl}, 65$ lakhs in 1935-36. Exports of fresh fruits and vegetables rose in value by- \#t2

## Fruits and vegetables

( $\mathrm{Al}, 70$ lakhb). lakhs to A 33 lakhs. Shipments of onions, which is the most important item, advanced in value from R24 lakhs to P26 lakhs. Of this, Ceglon and thẹ Straits Settlements accounted for Zl 14 lakhs and R9d lakhs as against about $\mathrm{Zl} 15 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and $\mathrm{Z} 6 \frac{\mathrm{a}}{8}$ lakhs, respectively, in the preceding year. Exports of coconuts also improved in value to $\mathrm{Z13}, 000$ from $\nexists 4,000$. Despatches of fruits and vegetables, dried, salted or preserved, showed a further advance and were valued at $\nexists 1,37$ lakhs in 1936-37 as against $\mathrm{Fl} 1,34$ lakhs in 1935-36. The share of the United States of America, the principsl consuming country in the total value of exports of all sorts of fruits and vegetables consisting largely of cashewnuts, however, declined to $\mathrm{Zl}, 03$ lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{Zt} 1,13$ lakhs. Exports to Ceylon were valued at $\mathbb{Z 1 9}$ lakhs as against F 22 lakhs. Shipments to the United Kingdom were valued at R181 lakhs, or an advance of R11 lakhs as compared with the previous year. Iraq and Egypt also increased their purchases in 1936-37.

The steady rise in the demand for Indian teakwood, noticed in the previous year's Review, continued in 1936-37, shipments advancing from 58,000 cubio tons valued at 71,12 lakhs to 69,000 cubic tons
Teakwood ( $\mathrm{R} 1,46$ lakhs). valned at $\mathrm{R} 1,46$ lakhs. Despatches were, as usual, mainly from Burms, which accounted for about 98 per cent of the trade. The share of the United Kingdom advanced from 39,000 cubic tons valued at \#77 lakhs to 46,000 cubic tons valued at $\mathrm{Z96}$ lakhs. Germany and South Africs also increased their takings from 5,000 cubic tons valued at $\mathrm{\# l} 10$ lakhs and 4,000 cubic tons valued at $R 7$ lakhs to 6,000 cubic tons each valued at R14 lakhs and R13 lakhs, respectively. There was also an improvement in demand from the United States of America, Ceylon and the Portuguese East Africa, while Belgium and Iraq curtailed their requirements slightly.

Under the restriction scheme, the permissible export quota for the exporting countries in 1936, was 60 per cent of the basic quota for the first half year Rubber, raw ( $\mathrm{Rl}, 04$ lakha). and 65 per cent for the second, thus averaging $62 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent for the whole year. For the first half of 1937, the exportable quota was originally fixed at 70 per cent, but owing to favourable stock position and stronger demand, it was later on increased to 75 per cent for the first quarter and 80 per cent for the second quarter. For the second half of 1937 the quota has been raised to 90 per cent. The average exportable percentage for the full year, therefore, is 83 . For 1936, the third control year, the antual allotment for India proper was 8,288 tons including a carry-over of 476 tons from the preceding year, and for Burma 5,621 tons including again a carry-over of 308 tons. Exports from India, in terms of raw rubber, debitable against the quota, were 8,624 tons and from Burma 5,819 tons, making excess shipments of 336 tons and 198 tons, respectively. For 1937, the allotment for India excluding the surplus is 10,469 tons and for Burma 7,537 tons, respectively.

Exports of raw rubber from British India, including Burma, during the fiscal year, as recorded in the sea-borne trade accounts, amounted to 28.9 million lbs. (fll,04 lakhs) as compared with 30.6 million lbs. (R899 lakhs) in 1935-36. Exports to the United Kingdom declined from 10.9 million lbs.

## Tobacco, unmanufactured.

in 1935-36 to 6.3 million lbs. in the year under review, those to Italy from. 2.5 million lbs. to 0.3 million lbs. and to Ceylon from 5.4 million lbs. to 3.9 million los. On the other hand, the United States of America and the Straits Settlements increased their purchases from 0.2 million lbs. and 7.7 million lbs. to 2.7 million lbs. and 9.5 million lbs., respectively. Shipments to Germany and Czechoslovakia amounted to 1.7 million lbs. esch as against 2.0 million lbs. and 1.4 million lbs., respectively. The share of Madras in the export trade was 51 per cent ( 59 per cent) and of Burma 49 per cent (41 per cent),-figures for previous year being given in brackets. The exports from Travancore in 1936-37 amounted to $4,781,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. (R21 lakhs) as compared with 4,016,000 lbs. (2110 $\frac{1}{3}$ lakhs) in 1935-36 and 2,155,000 lbs. (\#26. lakhs) in 1934-35. The average declared value per lb . of the exports, including exports from Travancore, improved to 5 as. 11 p. as against 4 as. 7 p. in 1935-36 and 4 as. 5 p. in 1934-35. The annual average price of standard ribbed smoked sheet in London in 1936 stood at $7 \frac{3}{1} d$. per lb. which is the highest since 1930.

Exports of fodder, bran and pollards improved from 258,000 tons valued: at $\mathbf{7 7 3}$ lakhs in 1935-36 to 277,000 tons valued at 796 lakhs in the year under-

Fodder, bran and pollards ( ( 96 lakhs). review. Rice bran which, as usual, constituted 97 per cent of the total exports, rose from 251,000 tons to 270,000 tons in quantity, and from R691 lakhs to $\not 792$ lakhs in value. The United Kingdom, the principal customer, took 246,000 tons as against 216,000 tons in the year preceding. On the other hand, there was a general decline in the exports to countries other than the United Kingdom. Germany continued to be out of market while the shares of the Straits Settlements and the Federated Malay States fell from 16,000 tons and 12,000 tons to 12,000 tons and 5,000 tons, respectively. Exports of other sorts of fodder, bran and pollard increased slightly in quantity from 7,200 tons to 7,700 tons but the value remained almost at the same level at $\mathbb{R} 4$ lakhs. Quantitatively, exports to Ceylon rose from 4,900 tons to 5,300 tons but the value declined from $\mathrm{R} 2 \cdot 8$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 2 \cdot 6$ lakhs.

The export trade in mica experienced a comparatively better year and the shipments consisting largely of splittings advanced to 180,000 ewts. valued

Mica (R94 lakhs). at $\mathbf{f 1 9 4}$ lakhs from 167,000 cwts. valued at $\mathbf{7 8 3}$ United States of America, the two principal customers for Indian mica, increased their purchases from $39,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 88,500 cwts. to $45,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 92,200 cwts., respectively. France and Germany also raised their purchases, which totalled 6,900 owts. and 18,000 owts. as compared with 4,300 . cwts. and 16,300 ewts., respectively.

Exports of unmanufactured tobacco in 1936-37 amounted to 28.5 million lbs. as compared with 28.7 million lbs. in 1935-36. The value of the ship-

Tobaceo, mmanufactured ( 1887 lakhs). ments also fell slightly. The most important outlet for Indian tobaceo was, as usual, the United Kingdom and her purchases advanced from 11.7 million lbs. valued at R453 lakhs to $13 \cdot 3$ million lbs. valued at P 50 t lakhs. Despatches to Aden and Dependencies increased in quantity from $7 \cdot 3$ million lbs. to $8 \cdot 3$ million lbs., but decreased in value from fl 22 lakhs to Pt 20 t lakhs. Fxports. to the Netherlands, on the other hand, declined slightly in quantity with a rise in value and amonnted to $1 \cdot 1$ million lbs. valued at R2 lakhs.

## Exports.

SSlipments to Japan fell off from $5 \cdot 6$ million lbs. valued at A11 'lakhs to $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{P} 6 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs.

The total reported production of cured coffee in India during the season 1935-36 was 41 million lbs., as compared with 33 million lbs. during the pre-

Coffee (R84 lakhs). vious season. Exports declined from 216,000 ewts. valued at $\mathrm{P} 1,02$ lakhs in 1935-36 to 211,000 ewts. valued at 784 lakhs in the year under review. The principal markets for Indian coffee were, as usual, the United Kingdom and France. The former reduced her takings from $73,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ( P 38 lakhs) to $35,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ( (R171 lakhs), while the latter increased her purchases from $83,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to 87,000 cwts. with a decrease in value from 736 lakhs to 731 lakhs. Shipments to the Netherlands and Belgium increased from 1,500 cwts. and 13,000 owts. to 5,700 cwts. and 20,000 cwts., respectively. Norway doubled her requirements from $17,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $34,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Germany and Italy, on the other hand, curtailed their requirements from $10,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $6,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. to 7,000 cwts. and 3,100 cwts., respectively. The estimated world production of - coffee in 1935-36 was comparatively low, but the price quotation for the superior quality of East Indian coffee in London in 1936-37 remained almost steady at the level of the previous year at 118s. per cwt.

Exports of coir manufactures, other than rope, from the British ports in India in 1936-37 declined from $684,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R87 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 550,000

Coir manufactutes (R71 lakhs). cwts. valued at R 71 lakhs. Of this, despatches of coir yarn accounted for 478,000 cwts. valued at P46 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs as compared with 602,500 owts. valued at $760 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs a year ago. Shipments of coir yarn to the United Kingdom in 1936-37 amounted to 75,000 ewts. valued at Zt 7 lakhs. There were also reduced shipments to Germany, the Netherlands, Belgium and Italy which took $97,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., $53,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., $55,000 \mathrm{owts}$ and $25,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. , respectively, but France increased her purchases from $44,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $56,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. The United States of America curtailed her requirements from 29,000 owts. to 26,000 cwts. Exports of coir matings further declined from 55,600 cwts. valued at R17 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 49,900 cwts. valued at R16 lakhs, of which the United Kingdom took $39,200 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at Fll lakhs. Exports of other descriptions of coir manufactures also fell from 26,300 cwts. to $21,800 \mathrm{cwts}$., the share - of the United Kingdom amounting to $19,600 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1936-37.

The total exports of oils consisting mostly of vegetable oils, were valued at R77 lakhs in 1936-37 as compared with 7264 lakhs in 1935-36. Shipments

Oils ( R 70 lakha). valued at R $44 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Gallons valued at $\mathrm{X3} 3 \mathrm{lakhs}$ to $2,899,000$ gallons valued at 744t lakhs. The table in the margin shows the details of exports

of these oils. Exports of castor oil which is the principal item in the group, further advanced from 1,408,000 gallons valued at f221t lakhs to $1,515,000$ gallons valued at fr23 lakhs. As usual, the United Kingdom consumed the largest quantity amounting to $1,024,000$ gallons as against 958,000 gallons in the preceding year. There.

## Dyeing and tanning substances.

Was a marked decline in the shipments to Germany which fell from 153,000 gallons to 23,000 gallons only. Exports to other countries included 85,000 gallons to Egypt and 74,000 gallons to the Union of South Africa. Fixports of groundnut oil advanced from 291,000 gallons to 428,000 gallons in quantity and from R 4 lakhs to $\mathrm{P} 5{ }^{2}$ lakhs in value. The United Kingdom took 154,000 gallons as against 180,000 gallons in 1935-36. Shipments to Iraq ( 60,000 gallons), Mauritius and Dependencies( 50,000 gallons), the Straits Settlements ( 46,000 gallons), and the Federated Malay States ( 40,000 gallons) showed increases. Exports of sesamum oil rose from 150,000 gallons. to 281,000 gallons. Kenya Colony took 166,000 gallons or 59 per cent. Shipments to Aden and Dependencies rose slightly; but Arabia and Mauritius curtailed their requirements in 1936-37. Exports of mustard or rapeseed oil increased from 237,000 gallons to 252,000 gallons, the principal destinations being Mauritius ( 174,000 gallons), Fiji ( 46,000 gallons) and the United Kingdom ( 3,000 gallons). Despatches of linseed oil recorded an improvement from 78,000 gallons to 135,000 gallons. The Philippines took 48,000 gallons as against 17,000 gallons in 1935-36. Exports to the Straits Settlements and Ceylon also advanced from 35,000 gallons and 15,000 gallons to 39,000 gallons and 17,000 gallons, respectively, in 1936-37. Shipments of coconut oil further shrank from 33,000 gallions to 14,000 gallons of which 7,000 gallons went to the United Kingdom and 4,000 gallons to Arabia. It may be mentioned in this connection that imports of coconut oil mostly from the Straits Settlements and Ceylon amounted to 7.8 million gallons valued at $791 \frac{1}{3}$ lakchs in 1936-37 as compared with 8.5 million gallons valued at 7790 lakhs in the preceding year.

* Among essential oils, "exports of sandalwood oil improved from $102,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R11 lakhs to $125,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R14 lakhs. Both the United Eingdom and Japan, the chief markets, increased their demand from 60,000 lbs. and $33,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $69,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. and $40,000 \mathrm{lbs}$., respectively. Exports of lemongrass oil, however, declined from 99,000 gallons to, 87,000 gallons, the principal destination being the United Kingdom (19,000 gallons), United States of America ( 23,000 gallons), France ( 21,000 gallons) and Germany ( 10,000 gallons). Exports of palmarosa oil also fell off from 10,000 gallons to 8,000 gallons.

There was a better demand for Indian hemp and exports advanced from. $643,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at Z 60 lakhs in $1935-36$ to 769,000 owts. valued at R69 lakhs, showing an increase of 20 per cent in quantity and 15 per cent in value. The United Kingdom
Hemp, raw ( Z B9 lachs). increased her purchases from 168,000 cwts. to $243,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and Belgium
from 200,000 cwts. to $236,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Exports to Italy and the United Statos of Americe also improved from $11,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $24,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $32,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $31,000 \mathrm{cwts}$, respectively. On the other hand, Germany and France reduced their takings to $47,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $54,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. from $68,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $67,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. , respectively, in the preceding year.

Exports of dyeing and tanning substances declined from 1.7 million cwts. valued at $\mathbb{Z 7 0}$ lakhs in $1935-36$ to 1.5 million cwts, valued at about R64
 lakhs in 1936-37. There were smaller shipments of myrobalans which formed about 85 per cent of the total quantity of dyeing and tanning substances exported in the year under reviev. Fixports of myrobalans fell from $1,482,000$

## Exports.

cwts. valued at P. 469 lakhs in 1935-36 to 1,261,000 cwts. valued at f 37 lakhs. The United Kingdom and Germany reduced their purchases from 742,000 cwts. and 173,000 cwts. to 600,000 owts. and 131,000 cwts., respectively. Shipments to the Netherlands and Belgium also declined from 81,000 ewts. and $56,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $31,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $36,000 \mathrm{cwts}$, respectively. On the other hand, despatches to the United States of America advanced from 267,000 cwts. to 302,000 cwts., and to France from 48,000 cwts. to $63,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Exports to Australia, however, fell by about $8,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $38,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. There was a substantial increase in the exports of myrobalan extracts which amounted to 60,000 cwts. valued at m 6 lakhs as against 42,000 owts. valued at Z 4 lakhs in the preceding year. Exports were destined chiefly to the United Kingdom. Shipments of cutch and gambier advanced slightly from 37,700 civts. valued at R5 lakhs to 38,600 crts. valued at $75 \frac{2}{3}$ lakhs. Exports to the

Cutch and gambier. United Kingdom further improved from $17,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. rose from 4,000 owts. to $20,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Despatches to the Netheriands also to 4,000 ewts. from 6,000 evts. in 1935-36. Exports of turmeric advanced in quantity from $86,600 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $92,200 \mathrm{crts}$., and in $\begin{aligned} & \text { alue from } \mathrm{Zl} 0^{2} \mathrm{a}\end{aligned}$ lakhs to R11] lakhs. Purchases by Iran totalled 21,700 cwts. in 1936-37 as against $14,100 \mathrm{cwts}$. in the preceding year. Exports to Ceylon and France also advanced from 13,500 cwts., and $6,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $13,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $7,000 \mathrm{cwts} . ;$ respectively, while those to the United Kingdom and the United States of America fell from 10,000 cwts. and 6,400 cwts. to 4,400 cwts. each. There were also larger shipments in the year under review to the Straits Settlements, Aden and Dependencies and Arabia. Exports of natural indigo improved slightly from 350 cwts . to 478 cwts . Of this, Greece took 239 cwts and

Indigo.
Egypt 107 cwts. as against 153 cwts . and 31 cwts., respectively, in 1935-36, the remainder went mostly to the United Kingdom, Yugoslavia, Japan aud Aden.

The total exports of spices declined in quantity from 252,000 evts. in $1935-36$ to $216,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in $1936-37$ but the value rose by nearly $\mathrm{ft} \frac{1}{2}$ lakh to

Spices (R55 Jakhs). P55 lakhs. Exports of chillies representing 69 per cent of the total in 1936-37 declined from 183,400 cwts. to 149,600 cwts., but higher prices accounted for an increase in the value from R191 lakhs to ZR 20 lakhs. Ceylon, the principal market, took $137,200 \mathrm{cwts}$, as against $174,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in the preceding year. Despatches to the Straits Settlements rose from 2,900 cwts. to $3,600 \mathrm{cwts}$. Exports of pepper further declined from 26,000 ewts. valued at $\mathbb{Z} 7{ }_{7}^{\circ}$ lakhs in 1935-36 to 25,000 ewts, valued at F 6 lakhs in 1936-37. Exports to Italy and the United States of America amounted to $17,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 3,400 cwts. as compared with 20,000 cwts. snd 1,600 owts, respectively. The share of the United Kingdom remained alfost the same at $1,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Exports of cardamoms fell off from 12,000 cwts. valued at \#19 lakhs to $9,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R18 lakhs. Shipments to Sweden, Arabia and the United States of America amounted to $2,600 \mathrm{cwta}$., 1,500 cwts. and 1,200 cwts., respectively. Despatches of betelnuts showed very little variation and stood at 4,000 cwts. Exports of ginger recorded an increase from 25,000 ewts. valued at $\mathrm{F} 7 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 27,000 owts. valued at स91 $\frac{1}{3}$ lakhs. The chief markets were Aden aud Dependencies ( $10,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.), Arabia ( 5,000 ewts.), Ceylon and Anglo-Egyptian Sudan (2,000 cwts. each).

## Drugs and medicines.

Exports of manures, other than oilcakes and saltpetre, further improved from 61,000 tons valued at 7238 lakhs in 1935-36 to 77,000 tons valued at 751 lakhs in 1936-37. 'Shipments of manurial bones, including bonemeal, representing 74 per cent of the total quantity of manures exported in 1936-37, amounted to 57,000 tons valued at R36 lakhs as compared with 43,000 tons valued at R24 lakhs in the preceding year. There was an appreciable increase in the purchases by Belgium which rose from 9,000 tons to 19,000 tons, while the United Kingdom curtailed her requirements from 13,500 tons to 11,300 tons. Exports to Ceylon rose by 1,000 tons to 12,800 tons in $1936-37$. The value of fish manures and guano exported rose from fit lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 5 \frac{1}{2}$ lalkh. The bulk of the shipments went to Ceylon (R4 lakhs). Exports of hornmesl nose from 640 tons to 2,400 tons. Despatches of sulphate of ammonia amounted to 2,600 tons as against 7,000 tons in 1935-36.

There was a noticeable improvement in the exports of bones for manufacturing purposes, which advanced from 53,000 tons valued at 732 lakhs in

Bones (R46 lakhs).
1935-36 to 74,000 tons valued at over R46 lakhs in the year under review. As usual, Belgium was the principal purchaser taking 56,000 tons followed by Germany and France with 12,000 tons and 1,000 tons, respectively.

The total exports of fish, excluding canned, advanced in quantity from 267,000 cwts. in 1935-36 to 280,000 cwts. in 1936-37, but the value declined

Fish ( P 4 t 5 lakhs ). slightly from $\mathbf{7 4} 4 \mathrm{f}$ lakhs to R45 lakhs. Exports of unsalted dry fish accounted for $\operatorname{R15} 4$ lakhs and of salted fish for about R232 lakhs, while fishmaws and shark fins were valued at \#6 lakhs. Ceylon, the principal market for Indian fish, took 266,000 cwts. valued at R37e lakhs. Exports to Hongkong were valued at P3 lakhs.

Exports of provisions and oilman's stores in 1936-37 totalled 69,700 cwtsvalued at R28 lakhs as compared with 64,400 cwts. valued at R27 lakhs in the preceding year. The principal item in this group is ghi which accounted for 27,000 cwts. valued at fil6 lakhs, shipments being destined mainly to the Straits Settlements (A88 lakhs), the Federated Malay States (RI $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs), Hongkong, Ceylon and Mauritius and Dependencies ( 71 lakh each). Exports of pickles, chutneys and condiments of which the United Kingdom was the chief customer declined in value from 73 labhs to 722 lakhs. Exports of butter also fell from $\boldsymbol{R} 2$ lakhs to $\mathrm{F} 1 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs.

The total value of the exports of drugs and medicines advanced from \#25 lakhs in 1935-36 to R 27 lakhs in the year under review. The principal single item included in this group is senna, despatches of which, however, declined from 67,100 ewts. valued at Z 10 lakhs to $65,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at fi 9 lakhs. Exports of nux vomica, on the other hand, rose from $17,000 \mathrm{cwta}$. valued at nearly Fl 1 lakh to $33,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathbf{\# 1} 12$ lakhs. The principal market for nux vomica was the United States of America' ( $\mathrm{R} 70,000$ ) and for senna, Germany (R3 $\frac{1}{6}$ lakhs), the United States of America (about $72 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs) and France ( $\mathrm{Pl} 1 \frac{1}{\delta}$ lakhs).

## Exports.

The value of fibre for brushes and brooms exported during 1936-37 amounted to f 20 lakhs as against f 22 lakhs in the preceding year. Shipments to

Fibre ( f 20 lakhs).
Jspan were valued at $75 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs, to the United
Kingdom at P3 $\frac{1}{3}$ lakhs, to Belgium at R3 lakhs, and to the Netherlands and the United States of America at R2 lakhs each.

Exports of coal improved from 198,000 tons valued at 717 lakhs in 1935-36 to 250,000 tons valued at R 20 lakhs in the year under review. India enjoys

Coal (in 20 lskhs). a very limited market for coal exports, Ceylon and for these exports. Shipments to Ceylon rose from 133,000 tons to 203,000 tons and those to the Straits Settlements from 16,600 tons to 18,300 tons. There were, however, no exports to Hongkong in 1936-37, the corresponding figures for 1935-36 were 41,000 tons and for 1934-35, 55,000 tons. Exports to the Philippines, Siam and the Federated Malay States amounted to 7,600 tons, 7,300 tons and 6,700 tons, respectively. These figures are exclusive of 507,900 tons of Indian coal shipped in 1936-37 and 547,400 tons in 1935-86 for the use of steamers engaged in the foreign trade. These were distributed as follows :-


The production of coal in India during 1936 was estimated at 22.6 million tons as compared with 23.0 million tons in the preceding year. Earlier in the year, the prices of coal were somewhat low, but towards the end of December, 1936, there was a rise, due to the output of coal not keeping pace with economic recovery. Later, partly owing to the B. N. Railway strike, there was a further appreciation of prices for all classes of coal and coke. The price of Desherghar coal per ton into wagon remained steady at R3 to R3-4 from April to December, 1936. By the beginning of January, 1937, the rate rose to $\mathrm{A} 3-8$ to R3-12 and by the end of that month to $\mathrm{A} 3-12$ to fit. It stood at this level till the middle of March when it reached ft 4.

Exports of saltpetre declined from $174,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R13 lakhs in 1935-36 to 167,000 cwts. valued at R11 $\frac{1}{2}$ lnkhs in 1936-37. Shipments to

Saltpetro (R11! lakba). the United Kingdom fell from 48,000 cwts. to 41,000 Ceglon, however, rose from 72,000 cwts. and 20,000 cwts. to 85,000 cwts. and 22,000 cwts., respectively.

> Postal Artiges.
> $* \quad$ Imports and Rxports.

The value of the imports' of "Postal articles, net specified" declined further from $\mathbf{R 2}, 42$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R1}, 99$ lakhs. Imports by post of the following

## Government stores.

articles are not included in the above figures but are recorded under the relevant heads in the import returns :--(a) jewellery, (b) precions stones, (c) gold and silver thread, ( $d$ ) cigarettes, (e) cinematograph films, and ( $f$ ) watches (from April, 1936). The share of the United Kingdom advanced from 55 per cent to 67 per cent and those of Germany and France from 3 per cent each to 6 per cent and 4 per cent, respectively. The percentage share of Switzerland, however, declined from 28 to 14, but this is largely due to the exclusion of watches imported by post from the figures of $1936-37$ referred to above. The value of the articles exported by post, on the other hand, advanced sharply from $\mathrm{Zl}, 91$ lalkhs to $\mathrm{P} 3,45$ lakhs. The principal destinations were the United Kingdom ( $\mathbf{R 2 , 5 3}$ lakhs or 73 per cent), the Straits Settlements ( $\mathbf{7} 33$ lakhs or 10 per cent), and Ceylon and France (5 per cent each).

## Re-exports.

The value of the re-export trade in foreigu merchandise showed a noticeable increase from R3,76 lakhs in 1935-36 to $\mathrm{F} 6,24$ laths in 1936-37. Reexports of skins, raw, advanced from $\mathrm{Z88}$ lakhs to $¥ 2,52$ lakhs. The United Kingdom, the principal consuming country, took 415 tons valued at $\mathrm{P} 2,37$ lakhs as compared with 246 tons valued at R 80 lakhs in the preceding year. Re-exports of raw wool improved from R28 lakhs to Z 38 lachs. The United Kingdom and the United States of America increased their requirements from R3 lakhs and $\mathbf{7 2 5}$ lakhs to R3t ladehs and $\mathbf{n} 33$ lakbs, respectively. ${ }^{\circ}$ The value of the woollen manufactures re-exported also rose from A77 lakhs to R15 lakhs, the United Kingdom's share amounting to about $\nexists 101$ lakhs in 1936-37. Exports of foreign silk manufactures (chiefly piecegoods) rose in value from \#20 lakhs to P 25 lakhs, the principal destinations being Aden and Dependencies A 6 lakhs ( Z 2 lakhs), Kenya Colony $\mathrm{\#} 4$ lakhs ( Zl 14 lakhs), Arabia At 4 lakhs ( P 4 lakhs) and Ceylon A 3 lakhs ( $\mathrm{P5}$ lakhs). The figures in bracket relate to 1935-36. Cotton piecegoods recorded an improvement of 4 per cent in quantity and of 20 per cent in value amounting to 10.8 million yards valued at $\not 725 \frac{3}{3}$ lakhs. Iran and Anglo-Egyptian Sudan increased their purchases from 0.7 million yards and 0.6 million yards to 4.2 million yards and 1.2 million yards, respectively. Re-exports of bugar advanced from 3,000 tons ( $\mathrm{P5} 5 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs) to 9,000 tons ( $\mathrm{Z} 14 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs). Increases were also noticeable under fruits and vegetables, metals and ores and machinery and millwork. On the other hand, shipments of foreign cotton further declined in quantity from 400 tons to 200 tons and in value from $\mathrm{F} 3 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to ¥lis lakhs. There were decreases under drugs and medicines, cotton twist and yarn and oils. The shares of the principal countries in the total trade were-United Kingdom 49 per cent, the United States of Americs 11 per cent, Ceylon and Aden and Dependencies 5 per cent each, Japan and Iraq 4 per cent each, Arabia, Iran and Kenya Colony 3 per cent each, and Germany, the Straits Settlements, the Anglo-Egyptian Sudan and Bahrein Islands 2 per cent each. As usual, the bulk of the trade passed through Bombay which accounted for 78 per cent, while the percentage share of Karachi amounted to 12 and that of Bengal to 8 .

Government stores.
Under this head, imports showed ain improvement of R14 lakhs over the preceding year's figures and amounted to $\nexists 2,48$ lakhs in 1986-37. The

## Exports.

noticeable increases were under vehicles ( + P18 lakhs), Arms, ammunition and military stores ( + R16 lakhs) and Instruments, apparatus, etc. ( + R3 lakhs). Decreases were, however, recorded under machinery and millwork (- H30 lakhs), metals and ores (-R3 lokhs) and paper and pasteboard and cotton manufactures ( - \#2 lakhs each). Exports of Indian produce on Government account declined from $\not \approx 21$ lalchs to $\mathbb{7 1 0}$ lakhs, owing mainly to a fall of $\mathbb{R 1 0}$ lakhs in the exports of opium which dwindled into insignificance in the year under review. Re-exports on Government account amounted to $\mathrm{F} 2 \frac{3}{3}$ lakhs in 1936-37 as against \#9 $9 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1935-36.

## CHAPTER IV.

## The Direction of Overseas Trade.

The main features of the direction of India's trade in the year ended the 31st March, 1937, are shown in the following tables. Tables A, B and C illustrate the shares of the various foreign countries in India's total trade, and tables D and E analyse the trade in particular commodities so as to show the shares of the chief countries interested in each.

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ABCI}} \mathrm{~A} .
$$
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## Direction of Trade.

Tabla B.
Imports.

| - | 1018-14 | 1833-34 | 1034-35 | 1985-86 | 1936-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent | Per oent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent- |
| Gormany | 64.2 6.9 | 417 7 | $40 \cdot 6$ | 38.5 0.2 | ${ }_{0.7}$ |
| Jeva. | 5.8 | $2 \cdot 1$ | 1.4 | $1 \cdot 8$ | 0.3 |
| Japan | $2 \cdot 6$ | 14.2 | 16.7 | $16 \cdot 3$ | $17 \cdot 0$ |
| United States of Amerios | $2 \cdot 6$ | 6.2 | $6 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 7$ | 6.5 |
| Belgiam - | 2.3 | 288 | $1 \cdot 6$ | $1 \cdot 8$ | 24 |
| Anntria and Enungary | 2.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 0.4 |
| Straits Sotilements | $1 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $8 \cdot 1$ |
| Iran, Arabia, Iraq, Asjatio Turkey and Sumatrs | $1 \cdot 5$ | 1-8 | $2 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | 2.9 |
| Frange | 1.5 | 13 | 142 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Italy | 1.8 | 2.5 |  | 1.5 | - |
| Chins | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.3 1.6 | 1.6 | -101 |
| Netherlands | 0.8 | $1 \cdot 6$ |  | 1.0 | $1 \cdot 1$ |
| Australis . | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Hongkong . | 0.5 | $0 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | 0.3 | - 0.4 |
| Dutch Borneo | $0 \cdot 4$ | 0.8 | $0 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 2$ |
| Coylon ${ }^{\text {Suitgerland }}$ | 0.4 | $1 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 4$ |
| Switzerland | 0.8 0.3 | 08 | 1.0 | 1 | 1-1 |
| Kenya and Zantibar | 03 | 21 | $2 \cdot 4$ | 208 | 28 |

## Tabib C.

Exports.


## Direction of Trade.

Table D.
Imports.
N. B.-In Tables D and E dots (. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ) indicate that the trade is sithar nil or insignificant.

| $\cdots$ - + - - | Itos amd Alcren |  |  | Macaminy |  |  | Handwas |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1018- | 1985- | $\frac{1088=}{87}$ | $1019$ | $\frac{1038}{86}$ | ${ }^{1096}$ | $1018$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1085 \\ 80 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{87}^{1986}$ |
|  | Per sent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cant } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Cerst }}{\text { Per }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cetin } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { canis } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cont } \end{aligned}$ | Por cont | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { atist } \end{aligned}$ | Per |
| United Kingdom | 89.9 | 66. | 150.\% | 808 |  | 65.5 | 57.2 | $8 \pm 18$ | 20:3 |
| Trited Etate of Ameriot - | 90 | 12 | 155 | $8 \cdot 8$ | 8.5 | $8 \cdot 9$ | $0 \cdot 7$ | 9.4 | 68 |
| Arrecten - . . . - | - ${ }^{-}$ | 2:2 | $1 \cdot 9$ | " | 12 | $1 \cdot 8$ | 0.0 | 8.7 | 58 |
| Cermany - | 14.5 | 18*7 | 1\%0 | 6.6 | 18.0 | 14'9 | 1808 | 88.2 | 124** |
| Belgium * | 18-5 | 1888 | 14.1 | ** | 80 | 2-2 | $\cdots$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | 10 |
| 3年咀: |  | 60 | $7 \cdot 0$ | ** | 1*8 | 1'2 | 1.6 | 10-8 | 11.8. |
| France and Lnxemburg ... a | * | 8.3 | 28 | $\bullet 4$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 0 | * | $0 \cdot 6$ | $0 \cdot 0$ |
| Parcontage of total trade sopreseated by | 985 | 98.4 | 88.0 | 087 | 681 | 98.8 | $87 \cdot 5$ | 024 | 04.1 |
| TOTAL FALUE Of TRADE A (GAEHE) | 10,01 | 728 | 8,94 | 7,76 | 18,48 | 14,14 | 8,98 | 3,27 | 2,89 |



## Direction of Trađ̈e.



- Zneinden Hongkong and Mneso.

- Incleden the stralts aettionnowts and Oalobes and cether Inlande.


## Direnction of Trade:

|  | Provitioar ard OIMAN'S BYOERS, <br> $\because E 20$ ? |  |  |  |  |  | Abincus sims* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1018-1$ | $1095$ | ${ }^{1086}{ }^{8}$ | $1818-$ | $\begin{array}{\|} 1985- \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\underset{37}{1086}$ | $\frac{1018}{14}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19,3-5 \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | $1096$ |
|  | For cent | Pur $\operatorname{cent}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { oent } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { ceant }}{\text { Par }}$ | Par cent | $\mathrm{P}_{\cos }$ | Par cont | $\underset{\substack{\text { Part } \\ \text { Bent }}}{ }$ | Tos Cont |
| United Kingdom - .* | 84.8 | 67.2 | 65-8 | 74.7 | 55-0 | 84-0 | - | 6.7 | 90 |
| Tulted 8taten of America | 20 | 8.8 | 6.2 | - | 45 | 6.0 | - | . | $\cdots$ |
| Netherlapda | $5 \cdot 6$ | 7.8 | 0.8 | $\cdots$ | 10 | 2.7 | - | 0-3 | 0.2 |
| 8 traits Setuloments | 11.6 | $8 \cdot 5$ | 8.8 | $\ldots$ | $\therefore$ | 0.1 | - | $0 \cdot 1$ | * |
| Tlongkong : - | 2.5 | 27 | $5 \cdot 1$ | - | $\cdots$ | 0.8 | - | $\cdots$ | * |
| Commmonwealth of Australle | 1-6 | -0 | 4.5 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ | - |
| Cermany * - ! - | 3.1 | $0-0$ | $0-3$ | 12.6 | 14.8 | 18.2 | - | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Solgitm: | 1.2 | $0-0$ | 0.8 | 28 | 12 | 1.0 | - | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Hranea : - |  | 0-9 | 0.7 | $\therefore$ | 18 | 1.4 | - | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Italy |  | 1.1 | 0.5 | $5 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 5$ | 8.6 | - | 9.1 | 3.5 |
| Japan - ¢ . . . | 0.8 | 8.7 | 48 | 1.5 | $12 \cdot 5$ | 10.4 | - | 85.5 | 92.5 |
| Percentagie of total trado represented by conntriea shown | 04.7 | 98.3 | 88.7 | 10.8 | 04-1 | 樶-7 | - | 00.4 | 00.5 |
|  | 2,47 | 3,12 | 3,20 | -1,01 | 8,12 | 2,72 | - | 8,1C | 8,86. |

- Figure for 1918-14 mot avallable.

Table e.
Exports.

| - | $\cdots$ | TH4, |  |  | Tuy (ed |  | \$0ㄷ. | yaxorad | [01888 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1918-14 | 198,5-86 | 1980.87 | 1918-16 | 1088-80 | 1080-87 | 1018-14 | 1998-86 | 1080-97 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { enut } \end{aligned}$ | Pur | $\underset{\text { Par }}{\text { Par }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Por } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cont } \end{gathered}$ | Por cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Por } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cont } \end{aligned}$ | Per |
| United KIngdom | 72.4 6.8 | 89.8 848 | $85 \cdot 4$ 8.7 | 880 | 9168 | 88.0 | 0.8 | $8 \cdot 8$ $8 \cdot 3$ | $9+7$ $8 \cdot 8$ |
| Auptraisa | $8 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 5$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | ** | $0 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 106 | 97 | $8 \cdot 5$ |
| Unlon of gooselles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savite Iepablles <br> Tran Aralia, Autatio | 12•1 | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 6$ |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | * | - | $\cdots$ |
| Turtoyand Yraq | $1 \cdot 8$ | 1.2 | 6.0 |  |  |  | ** | 0.5 | $0 \cdot 8$ |
| United Statos of |  |  |  |  | - | $\because$ |  |  |  |
| Amertes - | 0.7 | 120 | 8 | 11-9 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 10.8 | \$18 | $38 \cdot 1$ | 318 |
| Ceylan sio | $2 \cdot 6$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 9$ | $\cdots$ | ** | ** | -1. | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| Unlon of Sontb Afries | ** | 0.2 | $0 \cdot 1$ | * | - | $\cdots$ | 17 | $8 \cdot 1$ | $8 \cdot 9$ |
| Egypt : | 0.6 | $0 \cdot 8$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | * | $1 \cdot 5$ | $0 \cdot 8$ | ${ }^{\mathbf{2}} 1$ | 8 -2 | 24 |
| 雉pm - | * | $\bullet$ | - | \% 8 | 6.4 | $2 \cdot 0$ | -* | - |  |
| Gormany . . - | -* | $\cdots$ | -* | 21.8 | $10^{\circ}$ | 15.9 | * | $0 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| Prance - - | ** | * | ** | 9.9 | 10.0 | 107 | ** | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| Italy ${ }_{\text {a }}$. | - | $\bullet$ | . | $5 \cdot 5$ | 6.5 | 0.5 |  | 8.8 |  |
| Argentua : | ** | * | * |  | 0.7 | 0.9 | 10.4 | $8 \cdot 3$ | 10.6 |
| Japa* : | * | $\because$ | ** |  | 20 | -8.0 | 2.5 | $1 \cdot 9$ | 87 |
| Betgram | ** | $1 \cdot$ | * | $0 \cdot 5$ | $7 \times 8$ | $8 \cdot 4$ |  | 07 | 0.8 |
| Peroontag of lotal | - | . | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| by eountries ahown | 9*9 | 98. 4 | 9818 | 00-4 | 80.0 | 88.8 | $77 \cdot 8$ | 7048 | 780 |
|  | 14,98 | 10,82 | 20,03 | 90,83 | 38,71 | 14,77 | 28,97 | 23,40. | 87,05. |

Direction of "Trade

|  |  | troy ( |  |  |  |  | For | ODD ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | 4ns | (14\% | Drs And |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1018$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1098- \\ 36 \end{array}$ | $1030-$ | $1018$ |  | 198368 | $1918$ | ${ }^{19856}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1988 \\ 87 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1018 \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1995 \\ 88 \end{array}$ | $\underset{87}{1086}$ |
| Unitod KIngdom <br> Lras, Arabia, Anlatic <br> Turkey and Iraq <br> United gitates of <br> Amortom | $\begin{gathered} \text { Par } \\ \text { cent } \end{gathered}$ | Pet eenit | $\underset{\operatorname{cont}}{\substack{\operatorname{Per}}}$ | Pant | Per cont | Per eent | Per cent | $\underset{\text { Per }}{\text { Pert }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peg } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Por } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Por | Por |
|  | 36 | 18.4 | 14.2 | 29.2 | \$500 | 2800 | \$0.7 | 5\%2 | 16.2 | 85.9 | 61.7 | 07-1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 08 | 0.8 | 5\%\% | 4.4. | 60. |  | 2 | 088 |
|  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . | 1.8 | $5 \cdot 6$ |  |  |  |  | 2 tan | 7 | $15 \cdot 3$ |
| Caylon . . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ |
| Getinany | 14.8 | 77 | $5 \cdot 1$ | 160 | 12.7 | 8.7 | 78 | 4 | $3 \cdot 1$ | 20.8 | S. 1 | 50 |
| Fraree | $\bullet$ | 48 | $8 \cdot 6$ | 81.4 | 25-9 | 18.5 | - | is: | 10 | * | . 1-5 | 24 |
| Italy | 7*7 | 4 | 88 | 50 | 87 | 6.8 | $\bullet$ | 08 | $0 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 8$ | $1 \cdot 7$ | 11 |
| Japan | 47.2 | 58.1 | 67 |  |  | 0.8 | $8 \cdot 8$ | 50 | 0 |  | 85 | 2.4 |
| Helgrum | 10.8 |  | 78 | 16.0 | 2 | 20 | -* | - 0.4 | 0.5 |  | 0 | $0 \cdot 2$ |
| Uh) ${ }_{\text {ns }}$ * | $1 \cdot 7$ |  | $1 \cdot 6$ |  | -* | -* | ** | 4* | 14 | - |  |  |
| Hetherlands | ** | 21 | $1 \cdot 1$ | 100 | 157\% | $7 \times 8$ | $6 \cdot 8$ | 38 | $2-2$ | 8.8 |  | $4 \cdot 6$ |
| Huralta Settlements | $\because$ | - | * | $\cdots$ | 07 | 08 | 67 | 100 | 88 |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Apain | $\bullet$ | 14 | $0 \cdot 0$ | $\cdots$ | 0.8 | 08 |  |  |  | 208 | 0 |  |
| gumatre and Java | $\bullet$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\therefore$ |  | 10 | 48 | 24 |  |  |  |
| Yercentage of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| by countries ahown. | $85 \cdot 0$ | 08.4 | 98.6 | $92 \cdot 8$ | 04.7 | 78.8 | $60 \cdot 6$ | $70 \cdot 6$ | 68.9 | 81. | 95 | 040 |
| Total vales of TRADI IP (LARHB). | 41,04 | 83,77 | 4,41 | 20,65 | 10,20 | 18,44 | 45,14 | 12,41 | 16,88 | 15,95 | 9,80 | 11,09 |

- Inciadea Hongkong and Macho.



## Direction of Trade: ${ }^{\text {: }}$

Table A shows the total overseas trade of India in private merchandise in such a way as to indicate the trade balances with the United Kingdom, other British Possessions, European cointities, thie Uniteđ̃ Staites of America, Japan and other foreign countries." India's exports normally exceed her imports in the case of all these territorial units, except the United Kingdom where the reverse has been the case: - . This excess of imports over exports in the case of the United Kingdom, which has, since 1933-34, been reduced almost to insignificance, has been transformed in the year under review into a favourable balance of 718 enpres. C This change has been effected not only by an expansion of India's exports to the United Kingdom, but also by a reduction in her imports from that country.

Tables $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ show the percentage dietribution of India's import and export trade with the first twenty countries, in each case, arranged in order of their importance in 1913-14. The percentage share of the United Kingdom in the import trade, which had been steadily on the decline in recent years, fell from 38.8 in 1935-36 to $38-4$ in 1936-37, while her share in exports rose from 31.5 per cent to 32.2 per cent. Ceylon increased her participation in the import trade from 1.1 per cent to 1.4 per cent, but her share in exports dropped from 4.5 per cent to 3.8 per cent. Among other British Possessions, there were noticeable increases in the shares of Kenya and Zanzibar and of the Straits Settlements under imports, but their respective shares in exports showed very little variations. Japan's share in imports further advanced from 16.3 per cent in 1935-36 to 17 per cent in the year under review, and in exports from $13 \cdot 4$ per cent to 15 per cent, while the participation of the United States of America declined from 6.7 per cent to 6.5 per cent in imports, and from 10.1 par cent to 9.5 per cent in exports. Germany advanced her share in imports from 9.2 per cent to 9.7 per cent, but she had a amaller proportion of the export trade, viz., 4.7 per cent as compared with $5 \cdot 9$ per cent in 193536. Belgium accounted for 2.2 per cent in imports and 3.6 per cent in exports, as compared with 1.8 per cent and 3.4 per cent, respectively, in 1935-36. There was a decrease in the participation of France both under imports and exports, but the ahare of Italy recorded a decline under imports with a slight increase in exports. Apart from an increase in the relative share of the group of neighbouring territories, sach as Iran, Arabia, Iraq, Asiatic Turkey and Sumatra, all taken together, under imports and exports, no other feature of the trade is noteworthy.

Tables $\mathbf{D}$ and E show the direction of trade in respect of a few of the more important articles in both imports and exports. Taking imports first, the United Kingdom maintained a predominant position in iron and ateal and accounted for over 56 per cent of the total value of the trade, which is almost the same as in the preceding year. The decline in the shares of Germany, France and Luxemberg was accompanied by an increase in Belgian participation. In recent years, Japan has been growing in importance as a supplier of iron and steel materials, and steadily increased her contribution from 6 per cent in 1935-36 to nearly 8 per cent in 1936-37. In machinery, the increasein the share of Japan since 1934-35 was at the expense of the United Kingdom, which is still by far the Iargest supplier. Among other countries, the United States of America, Germany, Belgium and Sweden registered an improvement in their respective contributions as compared with the preceding year. In the

## ${ }^{\prime}$ Direction of Trade.

case of hardware, the United Kingdom gained ground in the year-monder reviewr her share having risen to $34 \cdot 1$ per cent from 31.8 per cent in 1935-36. Japan also increased her participation from 10.9 per cent to 11.8 per cent. On the other hand, the shares of Germany, the United States of America and Sweden déclined from 33.2 per cent, 9.4 per cent and 5.7 per cent to 32.4 per cent, 8.9 per cent and $5 \cdot 3$ per cent, respectively. In motor vehicles, the share of the United Kingdom rose from 41.7 per cent in 1935-36 to 41.9 per cent in 1936-37, and that of the United States of America from 35.5 per cent in 193536 to 38.0 per cent in the year under review. Germany also advanced her share from 3.1 per cent in $1935-36$ to 6 per cent, while the share of Canada dropped from 17 per cent in 1935-36 to 11.2 per cent in the year under review. Under instruments, the shares of the United Kingdom, the Netherlands and Italy fell from 52.1 per cent; 3.3 per cent and 1.4 per cent to 50.1 per cent, 2.1 per cent and 0.8 per cent, respectively. On the other hand, there were increases in the shares of Germany (from 14.3 per cent to 15.8 per cent), the United States of America (from 16.8 per cent to 17.6 per cent) and Belgium (from 1.2 per cent to 1.5 per cent). The share of Japan remajned stationary at 6 per cent. In cotton manufactures, the United Kingdom still enjoys the bulk of the trade, although her share has progressively declined owing to severe Japanese competition. In 1936-37, the United Kingdom had 50.7 per cent of the total trade as compared with 51.0 per cent in 1935-36 and 59.7 per cent in 1934-35. Japan, on the other hand, increased her share from $32 \cdot 4$ per cent in 1934-35 to 41.6 per cent in 1935-36 and further to 43.2 per cent in the year under review. There was a sharp decline in the share of China from $4 \cdot 5$ per cent in 1935-36 to $2 \cdot 1$ per cent in 1936-37. In artificial silk, Japan, the largest producer, supplies the bulk of Indian requirements, her percentage share in 1936-37 being 91.5 as compared with 83.5 in the preceding year. In the face of strong Japanese competition, both Italy and the United Kingdom lost considerable ground, their respective participation having declined from 9.1 per cent and 4.7 per cent to 3.5 per cent and 2.9 per cent. In silk manufactures, apart from an increase in China's share from 13.6 per cent to 17.3 per cent and the consequent reduction in the share of Japan from $74-4$ per cent to 73.4 per cent, no other feature is noteworthy. In the case of sugar, the imports are no longer considerable. Java continued to be the principal. source of supply. A feature of the trade is the large increase in the share recorded under Chine (including Hongkong), whence larger quantities of sugar, apparently of foreign origin, were consigned to India. Under mineral oils, Iran, the U.S.S. R. and the United States of America lost ground, while Borneo, Sumatra, etc., considerably improved their position. Larger receipts. of white oil from Germany accounted for an increase in her contribution from nearly 2 per cent in $1935-36$ to 3.4 per cent in 1936-37. In paper and pasteboard, Norway and Sweden together accounted for 22.3 per cent of the total trade in 1936-37 as compared with 26.4 per cent in 1935-36. The loss was, however, compensated by an jncrease in the share of Germany from 19 per cent to 25 per cent, whence large quantities of paper of Scandinavian origin were also consigned. The United Kingdom lost much ground again in the imports of provisions during 1936-37,

- her share having declined from 57.2 per cent to 55.8 per cent in the year under review. The United States of America, Germany, Belgium, France and Italy -also showed decreases, while the Netherlands, the Straits Settlements,


## Direction of Trade.

Hongkong and Australia slightly improved their respective shares. In chemicaIs, the United Kingdom's share receded from 56 per cent to 54 per cent. The shares of Germany and Japan also fell from 14.3 per cent and 11.5 per cent to $13 \cdot 2$ per cent and 10.4 per cent, respectively. The United States of America, the Netherlands, Hongkong, Belgiam, France and Italy slightly increased their respective shares.

Coming to India's exports, the United Kingdom's relative position in the tea trade declined from 89.6 per cent to 85.6 per cent. The share of the United States of America improved from 1.9 per cent to 2.1 per cent. Iran, Arabia, Asjatic Turkey and Iraq increased their combined share from 1.2 per cent to $\quad$ per cent. Ceylon has only a limited interest in Indian tea, and
${ }^{2}$.her share psually varies round about 1 per cent. In raw jute, the United Kingdom absorbed 23.6 per cent as compared with 21.6 per cent in the preceding year. Among other European countries, Germany and Spain, considerably reduced their participation, while the shares of France, Belgium and Italy increased and that of the U.S.S. R. showed no variation. The combined share of these six territories having fallen from 52.1 per cent in 1935-36 to 48.5 percent in 1936-37. The United States of America and Japan increased their shares from 9.9 per cent and 2.9 per cent to 10.8 per cent and 3.9 per cent, respectively. In the case of jute manufactures, the United States of America took 31.9 per cent as against 32.1 per cent in 1935-36. Both the United Kingdom and Argentina advanced their shares from 8.8 per cent and 8.3 per cent to 9.7 per cent and 10.4 per cent, respectively. The share of Australia, however, fell from 9.3 per cent. to 8.5 per cent: Among other countries, the shares of Java and Japan recorded slight increases, while those of the Union of South Africa, Siam and Egypt showed decreases. There was, however, very little change in the participation of Canada. In raw cotton, Japan by far the largest consumer of Indian raw cotton, accounted for 57.2 per cent of the total value of the exports as against 53.1 per cent in $1935-36$ and $61 \cdot 6$ per cent in 1934-35. The United Kingdom and Belgium increased their percentage shares from 13.4 and 6.5 to 14.2 and $7 \cdot 3$, respectively, while those of Germany, France and Italy declined from $7.7,4.8$ and 4.2 to $5 \cdot 1,3.6$ and 3.8 , respectively. In oilseeds, the United Kingdom increased her purchases considerably in 1934-35 when her percentage share stood at the high level of 32.9 . During the following year her share declined to 25 per cent, but recovered to 28 per cent in the year under review. The ahare of Italy improved from 4.7 per cent to 6.8 per cent and that of Belgium from 2.2 per cent to 2.9 per cent. On the other hand, the shares of France and Germany showed decreases. As regards food grains, the share of the United Kingdom advanced from 5.2 per cent in 1935-36 to $16-2$ per cent in 1936-37, due to larger shipments of wheat. An increase was also noticeable in the share of Japan which rose from 2.0 per cent to 4.0 per cent. On the other hand, there was a decline in the percentage shares of Ceylon, the Straits Settlements, Germany and the Netherlands from $31 \cdot 1,10.0,4.4$ and 3.6 to $24.8,8.8,3 \cdot 1$ and $2 \cdot 2$, respectively. In hides and skins, the United Kingdom's share rose from 61.7 per cent to 67.1 per cent, and of France from 1.5 per cent to $2 \cdot 4$ per cent. There was a very marked decrease in the share of the United States of America which fell from 19.7 per cent to $15 \cdot 3$ per cent. Under

## Direction of Trade.

metals and ores, the share of the United Kingdom rose from $38 \cdot 9$ per cent to $41 \cdot 1$ per cent and of Germany from 6.0 per cent to 6.7 per cent. Japan reduced her participation from 25.6 per cent to 23.4 per cent.

## The British Empire and Mandated Territories.

The imports from, and exports to, the United Kingdom and other British Possessions are shown in the following tables:-

Imports.

| Comestribs | 1913-14 | 1933-84 | 1834-88 | 1935-36 | 1936-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A (lakhs) | \#(lakhs) | n (labbs) | 7n (lakhs) | A (lalihs) |
| United Kingdom | 1,17,58 | 47,59 | 63,73 | '62,19' | 48,07 |
| - Oeylon ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 81 | 1,29 | 1,29 | . 1,49 | 1,76 |
| Straita Settlementa | 3,42 | 2,68 | - 8,11 | 3,66 | - 3,83 |
| Honglong : . . . | 98 | 45 | $\therefore \quad 39$ | 42 | 63 |
| South Afrios . . . | 23 | 28 | $\therefore 25$ | 31 | 34 |
| Marritins - ${ }^{-} \cdot{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,53 | - | - | - | - |
| Kenys Oolony, Zanzibar and Pemba | 39 | 2,48 | 8,20 | 3,50 | 3,47 |
| Ounsds - | 1 | 69 |  | 94 | 72 |
| Australia | 92 | 1,02 | 97 |  | 1,12 |
| Now Zoaland * |  | $\underset{\sim}{20}$ | , 3 | ( ${ }^{4}$ | . 13 |
| Other Countriea. | 1,20 | 1,28 | 1,46 | 1,77 | 1,70 |
| Torat Britugi Eyprirs | 1,28,07 | 57,71 | 65,35 | 65,61 | - 81,67 |

- 

E.. 1 Exports.

| - . Couatrizis | 1913-1: | 1833-84 | 1934-95 | 1935-30 | -1086-3is |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A (lakhs) | A (lakhs) | 7 (lakhs) | A (lakhs) | P (lakha) |
| - Dnited Kingdom. - | 68,35 | 48,08 | 48,08 | 61,80 | - 05,30 |
| - Adea and Depandendies | 1,40 | 73 | ${ }^{48}$ | 81,80 88 | - 1,13 |
|  | 8,04 8,78 | 6,24 | 6,84 | 7,46 | 7,62 |
| Frodorated Maloy States | 6,79 23 | 8,34 | 3,31 | 3,77 | 4,42 |
| . Honglong - . | 7.82 | 1,12 | 56 | 78 | 84 |
| Bouth Afrias : | 7,82 | 1,12 | - 60 | 53 | 66 |
| Manritins . . | 1,20 | 1,22 | 1,44 | 1,68 | 1,73 |
| Kenya Colony, Zanzibar and Pembe | 1,26 | ${ }_{69}^{68}$ | 87 | 89 | 87 |
| Oansda - - . | 1,02 | 69 1,88 | 68 1.72 | 69 | 83 |
| - Australia | 1,48 | 1,88 | 1,72 | 1.78 | 2,18 |
| Now Zoaland : | 4,10 | 2,98 63 | 2,72 | 2,87 | 3,23 |
|  | 2,201 | 1,88 1.88 | 50 $\mathbf{2 , 0 8}$ | 40 2,92 | 44 3,39 |
| "For orders " cargoes . . |  |  | 2,06 | .$^{2,82}$ | 3,39 |
| Torar Bratiot Ficpirie | 94.39 | 69,86 | 71,08 | 76,22 | 03,28 |
| - . | 13 |  |  | - | * |

## The British Empire. -

United Kingdom (Imports . $\mathrm{P} 48,07$ lakhs, Exports 765,30 lakhs).The value of the total trade of India with the United Kingdom in 1936-37 amounted to $\mathbf{R 1}, 13,37$ lakhs, an increase of 79,38 lakhs in comparison with 1935-36. This improvement is the result of a considerable expansion in exports which advanced from $\mathbf{R 5 1}, 80$ lakhs to $\mathbf{R 6 5 , 3 0}$ lakhs and a decrease in imports from $\mathbb{7 5 2}, 19$ lakhs to $\mathbb{R 4 8 , 0 7}$ lakhs. Among imported articles, cotton manufactures, forming 18 per cent of the total value of the imports from the United Kingdom in 1936-37, declined to $\mathrm{P8} 8,86$ lakhs from $\mathrm{Zl} 10,79$ lakhs in 1935-36. Imports of piecegoods totalled 334 million yards valued at R7,3I lakhs us against 440 million yards valued at P9,01 lakhs in 1935-36, a decrease of 106 million yards in quantity and $¥ 1,70$ lakhs in value. The decrease under piecegoods was shared by all descriptions, viz., grey, white and coloured, imports under these categories falling respectively from 85 million yards to 53 million yards, from 199 million yards to 164 million yards, and from 155 million yards to 117 million yards. Cotton twist and yarn also decreased from $\mathrm{f} 97 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to R 79 lakhs in value and from 10 million lbs. to about 8 million lbs. in quantity. The main features of India's trade in cotton manufactures have been discussed in detail in Chapter II. Decreases were also noticed under silk yarn (-R6 lakhs), woollen manufactures (-R4 lakhs), goods of artificial silk mixed with other materials (-713 lakhs) and artificial silk yarn (- $\mathbb{R} 2$ lakhs). Imports of wool, raw, hawever, showed an improvement ( + P8 lakhs): Imports of iron and steel from the United Eingdom fell from $\not \approx 4,07$ lakhs to $\not 73,34$ lakhs and those of copper and ${ }^{-b r a s s}$, bronze and similar elloys from R34 lakhs and 730 lakchs to \#22 lakhs and 724 lakhs, respectively. Arrivals of railway wagons, carriages and parts from the United Kingdorn declined in value from P 80 lakhs to Z 41 lakhs. Imports of machinery and millwork showed a slight improvement from 779,25 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{F} 9,26 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs, although there were decreases under cotton spinning and jute machinery, which were set off by increases in other descriptions. In chemicals, the United Kingdom had shown a progressive improvement in previous years, but this was not maintained in the year under review. Imports from that country declined by $\mathbf{R 2 7} 4$ lakhs from $\mathrm{R1}, 74 \frac{1}{4}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Rl}, 47$ lakhs. Under the head "Instruments and apparatus", a decrease of R10 lakhs was registered as compared with the preceding year, mainly due to amaller imports of electrical and musical instruments. Imports of the former fell. from $\mathrm{Al}, 75$ lakhs to $\mathrm{F} 1,70$ lakhs, and of the latter from $\mathrm{R14}$ lakhs to R11 lakhs. Imports of hardware and cutlery were valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,08$ lakhs as against $\mathrm{Fl}, 13$ lakhs in 1935-36. Receipts of soap declined from $42,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathbf{R 2 7}$ lakhs to 31,000 cwts. valued at स 20 lakhs. Imports of liquors fell from 2,796,000 gallons to 2,701,000 gallons in quantity, and from $\mathrm{Pl}, 51$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Fl}, 46 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in value. The number of motor cars imported during the year from the United Kingdom was 6,337 , as against 6,744 in 1935-36, while the number of omnibuses imported rose from 982 to 1,217 . The total value of motor cars and omnibuses, however, decreased from $\mathrm{Fl}, 58$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Fl}, 55$ lakhs. Larget receipts of pneumatic motor covers were responsible for an improvement of \#7 lakhs in the imports of rubber manufactures from the United Kingdom, which were valued at $\mathbf{~} 1,54$ lalkhs in $1936-37$ as compared with $\mathrm{Rl}, 47$ lakhs in 1935-36. Imports of paper and pasteboard deolined from 789 lakhs to. R86 lakhs. Imports of tobacco declined from 1.2 million lbs. to 1.1 million Ibs. in quantity, but the valne rose by about R $\frac{1}{2}$ lakh to $\mathbf{R 3 5}$ lakhs.

## Direction of Trade.

The principal articles exported to the United Kingdom were, as usual, tea ( $\mathrm{Rl} 7,15$ lakhs), hides and skins ( $\mathrm{PT} 7,44$ lakhs), raw cotton ( $\mathrm{R} 6,31$ lakhs), jute, raw and manufactured ( $\mathbf{7 R} 6,20$ lakhs), seeds ( $\mathbf{7 5} 515$ lakhs), metals and ores ( $\mathrm{R} 3,30$ lakhs), food grains ( $\mathrm{Z} 2,50$ lakhs), raw wool ( $\mathrm{R} 2,01$ lakhs), and oil cakes ( $\mathrm{Al}, 47$ lakhs). These articles taken together represented about 83 per cent of the total exports to the United Kingdom as in the preceding year. Exports of tea declined from 276 million lbs. to 256 million lbs. in quantity and from $\not 717,75$ lakhs to $\not 717,15$ lakhs in value. Despatches of raw jute increased from 166,100 tons to 188,600 tons in quantity and the declared value improved from $\mathrm{F2} 2,96$ lakhs to $\mathrm{F} 3,48$ lakhs. Shipments of jute bags rose from 51 million to 70 million in number and in value from $\mathrm{Rl}, 12$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Pl}, 34$ lakhs. The yardage recorded under gunny cloth also increased from 86 million to 138 million, and the value from R89 lakhs to $\mathrm{Al}, 34$ lakhs. Under hides and skins, the exports of tanned and dressed varieties increased in quantity from 19,200 tons to 23,900 tons and in value from R4,83 lakhs' to R6,28 lakhs. Raw hides and skins increased from 9,200 tons to 9,700 tons in quantity and from 791 lakhs to R1, 18 lakhs in value. Exports of raw skins of non-Indian, origin also advanced from 246 tons to 415 tons in quantity and from $\mathbf{7 8 0}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{R 2} 2,37$ lakhs in value. Despatches of raw cotton further improved from 81,000 tons ( 456,100 bales) valued at $¥ 4,51$ lakhs to 107,000 tons ( 600,600 bales) valued at $\mathrm{f} 6,31$ lakhs. There was an increase in the exports of raw wool from $34 \cdot 7$ million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{F} 1,38$ lakhs to $\mathbf{3 9 \cdot 1}$ million lbs. valued at R2,01 lakhs. Exports of oilseeds advanced from 179,000 tons valued at R2,57 lakhs to 348,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{R 5}, 15$ lakhs. Shipments of linseed rose from 90,100 tons valued at $\mathrm{Z1,21}$ lakhs to 217,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 3,18$ lakhs. The increase under this head was supplemented by larger exports of groundnuts and rapeseed, which amounted to 91,000 tons and 9,300 tons as against 62,400 tons and 2,000 tons, respectively, in 1935-36. Cotton seed, exports of which were insignificant in 1935-36, also accounted for an increase of 7,700 tons in quantity and of R4 $\frac{1}{3}$ lakhs in value. Exports of castor seed, however, registered a fall of 1,900 tons, and amounted to 20,500 tons. The total exports of food grains, consisting largely of wheat and rice, amounted to 288,000 tons valued at R2,50 lakhs in 1936-37 or an increase of 207,000 tons in quantity and of R1,86 lakhs in value over 1935-36. Under metals and ores, there was an increase of R29 lakhs in 1936-37 as compared with 1935-36. Exports of pig iron rose from 66,000 tons valued at R15 lakhs to 182,000 tons valued at Z 40 lakhs, of pig lead from $827,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R1,11 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to $1,044,000$ cwts. valued at R1,67 lakhs and of manganese ore from 197,000 cwts. valued at R39 lakhs to 216,000 cwts. valued at R44 lakhs. Smaller increases were also recorded in the exports of wolfram ore and of chromite. Exports of linseed cake fell from 69,400 tons valued at P 42 lakhs in 1935-36 to 47,100 tons valued at R 32 lakhs in 1986-37. Exports of groundnut cake increased in quantity from 148,000 tons to 152,000 tons and in value from R 87 lakhs to R1,08 lakhs. Shipmenta of paraffin wax showed a decline, and amounted to 16,900 tons (R72 lakhs) as against 19,300 tons (R82 lakhs) in 1935-36. There was a marked improvement in the exports of lac which amounted to 183,000 owts. valued at F 54 lakhs as compared with 80,000 cwts. valued at R29ł lakhs in 1935-36. Exports of fodder, bran and pollards also rose by R24 lakhs to R84 lakhs. Among other articles, raw rubber showed

## The Britisi Empire.

a decrease both in quantity and value, while raw tobacco, mica, teakwood and raw hemp showed increases.

Ceylon (Imports R1,76 lakhs, Exports $\mathrm{f7} 7,62$ lakhs). -The value of the total trade with Ceylon improved by $\mathbb{R 4 3}$ lakhs from $\mathbf{R 8}, 95$ lakhs to \#9,38 lakhs, due to increases both in imports and exports. Imports advanced from $\mathbb{Z 1 , 4 9}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{R 1 , 7 6}$ lakhs, and exports from $\mathbf{~ 7 7 , 4 6 ~ l a k h s ~ t o ~} \mathbb{Z 7 , 6 2}$ lakhs, These figures do not seem to represent correctly the transactions between India and Ceylon as the figures of both imports and exports include, to some extent, goods in transit through Ceylon which are left out in the Indian trade returns. Imports of Copra advanced from 35,100 tons (R63 lakhs) to 44,800 tons (R95 lakhs). There were, however, decreases in the imports of coconut oil which amounted to $2,204,000$ gallons valued at R 27 lakhs as compared with 3,347,000 gallons valued at 737 lakhs in 1935-36. Imports of tea fell from $563,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R 4 lakhs to $350,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R3 lakhs. Decreases were also noticed under imports of coconuts, hardware and rubber, while those of instruments, machinery and spices recorded increases.

On the export side, the main item was rice, not in the husk, shipments of which advanced from 424,000 tons to 428,000 tons in quantity and from 73,50 lakhs to R3,51 lakhs in value. Exports of raw rubber declined to 3.9 million lbs. valued at P 16 lakhs from $5 \cdot 4$ million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{Fl} 7 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1935-36. Exports of fish, excluding canned fish, increased in quantity from $253,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $266,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., the value of the shipments remaining fairly steady at R38 lakhs. Exports of manures amounted to 22,000 tons valued at 714 lakhs, a decrease of 2,000 tons in quantity and P3 lakhs in value, in comparison with the preceding year. Despatches of oil cakes, however, rose from 21,000 tons to 23,000 tons without any corresponding increase in value. Shipments of coal advanced from 133,000 tons (fil3 lakhs) to 203,000 tons ( P 17 lakhs), while those of tea fell from 3.5 million lbs. to 2.6 million lbs. in quantity and from $\mathbb{Z 2 3}$ lakhs to Fl 8 lakhs in value. Exports of chillies declined from $174,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to 137,000 owts., the value, however, remaining fairly constant at R18 lakhs. There were also decreases in fodder, bran and pollards, and fruits and vegetables, while oilseeds, building materials and cotton manufactures recorded slight increases.

The 8traits Settlements (Imports 73,83 lakhs, Exports 74,42 lakhs).Imports from the Straits Settlements advanced by $\mathbf{\# 1 7}$ lakhs to R3,83 lakhs and exports thereto also rose by $\mathbb{Z} 65$ lakhs to $\mathrm{ft} 4,42$ lakhs. Imports of betelnats recorded an improvement from $1,218,000 \mathrm{cwts}$, to $1,346,000$ cwts. in quantity and from R87 lakhs to $\mathrm{Pl}, 08$ lakhs in value. Imports of other kinds of spices amounted to 24,000 owts. valued at P 61 l lakhs as against $52,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at Pl 12 lakhs in the preceding year. There was an increase in the imports of coconut oil which amounted to 5.6 million gallons ( $\mathrm{P} 64 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs) as compared with 5.2 million gallons ( $\mathrm{P} 531 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs) in 1935-36. Consignments of mineral oils, chiefly kerosene and fuel oil, rose from 881,000 gallons ( R 51 lakhs) to $8,588,000$ gallons ( F 144 lakhs). Receipts of tin, unwrought, fell from $51,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ( 774 lakhs) to $41,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. (R57 lakhs). There were smaller receipts of fish, chiefly salted, while lac, provisions and starch (mostly sago flour) recorded alight increases.

On the export side, there was an increase in the shipments of rice, not in' the husk, from 138,000 tons to 161,000 tons in quantity and from $\mathrm{Rl}, 08$ lakhe

## Direction of Trade.

to RI,20 lakhs in value. Exports of cotton piecegoods (chiefly coloured) further advanced from 8 million yards to 13 million yards in quantity and from f 30 lakhs to P 44 lakhs in value. Shipments of gunny bags, which had increased from 4.5 million valued at R12 lakhs in 1934-35 to 5 million valued at Rl 4 lakhs in 1935-36 declined again to 4.6 million valued at fl 2 l lakhs. Despatches of raw rubber rose in quantity from 7.7 million lbs. to 9.5 million lbs. and in value from Z 20 lakhs to Z 36 lakhs. Exports of fruits and vegetables valued at R10 lakhs and of wolfram ore at F 23 lakhs recorded increases, while those of tin ore, chiefly from Burma, valued at 752 lakhs showed a decrease.

East Africa (Imports R3,85 lakhs, Exports $\mathrm{f1} 1010$ lakhs.). -In this group of territories are included Kenya Colony, Zanzibar and Pemba, the Protectorates of Uganda and Nyasaland, Tanganyika Territory and British Somaliland. The total value of the trade with all these territories, taker together, amounted to $\mathbb{7 4}, 95$ lakhs, as compared with $\not 74,82$ lakhs in 1935-36. On the import side there was a decrease of f19 lakhs ( $\mathrm{R} 3,94$ lakhs to $\mathrm{RB}, 85$ lakhs). This decline was more than set off by an increase of f 22 lakhs (from R88 latchs to R1, 10 lakhs) on the export side. Raw cotton and spices are the principal items in the import trade. There has been an enlarged demand for East African cotton in recent years, but arrivals of raw cotton which totalled 40,100 tons valued at 73,41 lakhs in 1936-37 showed a slight decline. Imports of spices (mainly cloves) increased from P 21 lakhs to R 26 lakhs. Under exports, shipments of jute gunny bags advanced from 7.6 million ( F 17.9 lakhs) to $9 \cdot 2$ million (A118.8 lakhs). Exports of cotton piecegoods increased from 3.2 million yards valued at $\mathrm{P8} 8$ lakhs to $5 \cdot 0$ million yards valued at ZlO lakhs. Food grains of various kinds exported also increased from 19,600 tons to 20,400 tons in quantity and in value from $\mathbb{Z 2 3}$ lakbs to $\mathbf{~} 224$ lakhs.

Australia (Imports $\mathrm{R1,12}$ lakhs, Exports 783,23 lakhs.). The total trade with Australia showed an increase of P 29 lakhs as compared with 193536. There was an expansion under exports which were valued at $\mathrm{R} 3,23$ lakhs as against $\mathrm{Al} 2,87$ lakhs in 1935-36, while imports declined by nt 7 lakhs to R1,12 lakhs. The number of horses imported from Australia was 1,555. valued at P 8 lakhs as compared with 2,128 valued at fils laklis in 1935-36. Imports of tallow and stearine declined in quantity from 101,000 cwts. to 85,000 ewts. and in value from $\mathbf{7 2 2}$ lakhs to Fl 17 l lakhs. Imports of einc rose from 230,000 owts. valued at $¥ 25 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 267,000 ewts. valuel at R281 lakhs, and those of provisions advanced from R12 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to about R14t lakhs. Receipts of raw wool declined in quantity from 4.5 million lbs. to 3.8 million lbs., while higher prices accounted for an increase in value from fl27 lakhs to R35 lakhs. In the case of exports, shipments of gonny bags improved from 65 million valued at A1,81 lakhs to 80 million valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,00$ lakhs, and those of gunny cloth. rose from 27 million yards valued at $\mathbf{7 3 7}$ lakhs to 30 million yards valued at R38 lakhs. There was a decline in the demand for tea, exports falling from 1.9 million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{Fg} \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 1.0 million lbs. valued at P 51 s lakhs. Exports of linseed increased from 10,000 tons valued at R13 lakhs to 18,000 tons valued at R27 lakhs. Among other articles of export, there were increases under raw hides and akins, chiefly goat skins, raw cotton and rice. Exports of coffee recorded a further decrease.

## The British Empire. .

Canads (Imports Bry lakhs, Exporta R2,18 lakhs).-Compared with the preceding year imports from Canada declined by 722 lakhs to 772 lakhs. Exports thereto, on the other hand, increased from R1,72 lakhs to $\mathrm{F} 2,18$ lakhs. The decline on the import side was due to a fall in the arrivals of motor vehicles, the total value of which during the year amounted to $\mathrm{R} 50{ }^{2}$ lakhs as compared with \#761 lakhs in 1935-36. The number of motor cars imported fell from 2,328 to 1,290 , and that of motor omnibuses from 2,335 to 1,719. Imports of aluminium fell from $2,000 \mathrm{cwta}$. valued at Ft 2 lakhs to $1,300 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at RI lakh. Imports of carbide of calcium increased from $21,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $22,400 \mathrm{cwts}$., but the recorded value at A 3 lakhs remained practically the same as in 1935-36. Receipts of rubber manufactures were valued at $\mathbb{Z 1}$ lakh as in the preceding year. The principal items of exports to Canada are jute manufactures and tea. The exports of gunny cloth improved by 25 million yards to 100 million yards in quantity, and in value by R14 lakhs to P 88 lakhs, and those of gunny bags, which are comparatively small, were valued at $\mathbf{7 3} \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs as against fl 2 lakhs in 1935-36. Direct shipments of tea improved from 13 million lbs. (ft64 lakhs) to 15 million lbs. (R75 lakhs). Exports of woollen carpets and rugs improved by R1 lakh to P4 lakhs. Canada did not show any interest in Indian linseed in the preceding year, but took 7,000 tons valued at P12 lakhs in the year under review.

The Union of South Africa (Imports R34 lakhs, Exports R1,78 lakhs), -The-exports improved by $\mathrm{Z15}$ lakhs to fl 173 lakhs owing mainly to better demand for rice and gunny cloth. Imports also advanced by R3 lakhs to f 34 lakhs. Under imports, coal recorded an increase from 19,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{\#} 3$ lakhs to 37,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{7 5} 5 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Receipts of barks for tanning increased from 262,000 cwits. to $431,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and from R13 lakhs to Pl 19 lakhs in value. 'On the export side, there was an increase in the despatches of jute bags, shipments totalling 29 million valued at R68 lakhs, as against 25 million valued at f67 lakhs in 1935-36. Shipments of gunny cloth also rose from $8-5$ million yards to 12.0 million yards with a corresponding increase in value from $\mathrm{Fl} 10 \frac{1}{4}$ lakchs to $\mathrm{Fl} 13 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs. Exporta of rice, not in the hosk, increased from 25,700 tons to 32,800 tons in quantity and in value from $\mathbb{7 2 8}$ lakhs to A 36 lakhs. Exports of paraffin wax also showed a small increase, while those of oilseeds declined.

Hongkong (Imports P53 lakhs, Exports fi66 lakhs). There was an improvement both in the imports from and exports to Hongkong during the year under review. Imports were valued at Pr53 lakhs as againat R42 lakhs in 1935-36 and exports thereto at A 66 lakhs, or an increase of P 13 lakhs over 1935-36. Imports of electrical instruments, valued at $\mathrm{R} 8 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs, accounted for an increase of R3 lakhs, and those of provisions at R10 lakhs and of silk piecegoods at Z 5 lakhs, recorded an increase of A 2 lakhs each. Imports of cotton twist and yarn which had totalled $497,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at $73 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1935-36, showed a sharp decline to 159,000 lbs. valued at Fl 1 t lakhs. On the export side, the number of gunny bags showed an increase from 9 million valued at R 21 lakhs to 12 million valued at f 24 lakhs. Exports of coal, which had been on the decline in recent years, disappeared altogether during the year under review. The total value of the exports of drugs and medicines, however, increased from R4t lakhs to R8 lakhs. There was an appreciable increase in the exports of rice, not in the husk, from 5,100 tons (R4 lakhs) to 14,000 tons (R10 lakhs), while those of raw jute and tobacco showed slight decreases.

Direction of Trade.
Formign Countries.
The following tables show the imports from and exports to the principal Furopean and other foreign countries:-

Imports.


Foreign Conntries.
Exporte:

| Cousatras | 1913-14 | 1884-35 | 1835-86 | 1036-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Europesn Conntries | A (lathat) | \# (iakha) | 7 (lakha) | A (lathe) |
| Union of Eocialist Boviet Republiou | 2,47 | 8 | 85 | 43 |
| 8weder - . . | 42 | 38 | 41 | 4 |
| Norway - | 5 | 86 | - 40 | 48 |
| Poland . - | $\cdots$ | 58 | 82 | 04 |
| Germany - - | 28,48 | 7,27 | 9,69 | 0,60 |
| Netherlands | 4,42 | 3,36 | 8,73 | 4,46 |
| Belgium | 12,10 | 4,41 | 5,64 | 7,39 |
| Franco : | 17.72 | 5,36 | 7,20 | 8,08 |
| Spain : | 8,23 | 1,39 | 1,78 | 62 |
| Italy: : | 7,88 | 5,87 | 8,64 | 4.08 |
| Hengary : : " | 10,03 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 4 |
| Greoce - |  | 51 | 48 | 48 |
| Tostey, European | 11 | 16 | 10 | 20 |
| Tartey, Asiatio. | 2,94 | 17 | 8 | 15 |
| Other Oountriee - | 04 | 74 | 86 | 1,49 |
| " For ordors" Cargoes. | - | * | $\cdots$ | 1,68 |
| Torat Euborial Coutimieg | 87.01 | 30,68 | 34,05 | 41,22 |
| Other Foreige Corestries- |  |  |  |  |
| Egypt . - | 2.27 | 1,28 | 1,28 | 1,39 |
| Arabis . . - | 1,69 | 98 | 1,01 | 1,12 |
| Itaq - . | $\cdots$ | 57 | 50 | 79 |
| Inen . - | 141 | 48 | 57 | 1;46 |
| Java : - | 1,95 | 80 | 47 | 74 |
| Biam - . | 98 | 1,15 | 82 | 62 |
| Chine - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6,74. | 2.04 | 1.88 | 1,26 |
| Japan. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 29,69 | 24.81 | 22,07 | 20,33 |
| Portuguese Rast Afros. | 62 | 79 | 84 | 94 |
| United States of Ameriom | 81,80 | 19,14 | 16,36 | 19.16 |
| Cabe : . | 56. | 86 | 78 | 81 |
| Argentiom - | 8.14 | 2,74 | 2,07 | 3,04 |
| Chile | 1.06 | 25 | 37 | 38 |
| Other Countries : | 8;08 | 8,54 | 8,71 | 4.28 |
| "Far anders" Cargoes | - | - | $\cdots$ | 1,53 |
| Torar onmis Formear Coumpres - | 66,98 | 63,58 | B8, 11 | 67,87 |

Japan (Imports R21,27 lakhs, Exports $\mathbf{7 3 0 , 3 3}$ lakhs).-In spite of a decrease of $\mathbf{P 5 7}$ lakhs in the value of imports from Japan, the total trade with that country advanced by P7, 69 lakhs owing to larger exporta of Indian cotton in 1936-37. Imports were distributed over a large variety of items of which the more important were cotton manufactures ( $\mathbf{~ 7 7}, 56$ lakhs), artificial silk ( $\mathrm{A} 3,53$ lakhs), silk manufactures (R1,30 lakhs), wool manufactures (R89 lakhs), glass and glassware (R62 lakhs), iron and steel (R47 lakhs), raw silk ( P 36 lakhs), hardware (R34 lakhs), chemicals ( f 28 lakhs), earthenware and porcelain ( P 27 lakhs), rubber manufactures (fic lakhs), machinery and millwork ( Fl 18 lakhs), electrical instruments and apparatua ( F 17 lakhs), copper wrought (R16 lakhs ), provisions and oilman's stores and stationery, excluding paper (fl5 lakhs each), mixed or yellow metal for sheathing ( Fl 13 lakhs), paper and pasteboard (\#12 lakhs), paints and painters' materials (R10 lakhs), and boots and shoes (R7 lakhs). These together represent 80 per cent of the total imports in 1936-37 as compared with 82 per cent in 1935-36. The value of imports of cotton manufactures recorded a decrease of R1,25 lakha.

## Direction of Trade.

Inports of cotton piecegoods amounted to $\mathbf{7 5} 5,58$ lakhs and cotton yarn $\mathbb{7 1 , 3 7}$ lakhs. It will be seen from the table on the margin that there were decreases under all descriptions of cotton piecegoods. Im-
(th thomands of yards)
 ports of cotton twist and yarn declined from 21.3 million lbs.: valued at \#1,79 lakhs to 15.8 million lbs. valued at R1,37 lakhs. Imports of cotton hosiery recorded a further decline of R17 lakhs and were valued at R 29 lakhs as against R 46 lakhs in 1935-36. The value of imports of silk manufactures also declined from $\mathrm{fl}, 64$ lakhs to $\not \mathbb{} 1,30$ lakhs, there being smaller receipts of both silk yarn and piecegoods. Imports of artificial silk yarn and manufactures, however; increased in value from R2,64 lakhs to R3,53 lakhs. The value of woollen manufactures, which had declined from $\mathrm{Rl}, 36$ lakhs in 1934-35 to R 78 lakhs in 1935-36, rose to 789 lakhs in 1936-37. Imports of boots and shoes were halved in the year under review, and totalled I million pairs valued at $\mathrm{F7}$ lakhs as compared with 2 million pairs valued at $\nexists 14$ lakhs in 1935-36. The value of apparel imported, however, advanced from $\mathbf{7 2 9}$ lakhs to 741 lakhs. Imports of toys and requisites for games, valued at $\nexists 24$ lakhs, recorded a fall of $\not \approx 2$ lakhs. Imports of glassware fell from $\mathbb{7 6 5}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{R} 62$ lakhs, of chemicals from \#36 lakhs to Z 28 lakhs, of hardware from P 36 lakhs to $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{7 3 4}$ lakhs, while those of provisions and oilman's stores and stationery advanced respectively from Fill lakhs and R13 lakhs to Pll5 lakhs each. Imports of mixed or yellow metal for sheathing dropped from $114,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $45,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and in value from R30 lakhs to R13 lakhs. Imports of paints and painters' materials were valued at $\mathrm{R10}$ lakhs, or a decrease of fll lakh as compared with the preceding year and those of earthenware and porcelain, at $\mathrm{Z27}$ lakhs recorded an increase of the like amount; while those of paper and pasteboard remained stationary at $\# 12$ lakhs. It is worth mentioning that Japan sent out 36 motor cars valued at $\mathrm{R} 37,000$ during the year ander review.

On the export side, cotton, by far the most important item, represented 84 per cent of the total exports to Japan in 1936-37, as compared with 81 per cent in 1935-36 and 87 per cent in 1934-35. Shipments during the year under review amounted to 433,000 tons valued at f25, 41 lakhs as against 314,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R17}, 94$ lakhs in the preceding year. Despatches of pig iron fell from 397,000 tons ( P 92 lakhs) to 306,000 tons ( F 69 lakhs) and those of pig lead from $392,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $312,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity with an increase in value from R54t lakhs to R59 lakhs. Shipments of raw jute increased from 23,500 tons to 35,400 tons in quantity, the corresponding inorease in value being from P 40 lakhs to R 58 lakhs. Exports of oil cakes which were comparatively small in $1935-36$, advanced from 8,000 tons to 13,000 tons in quantity and from R3 lakhs to R5 lakhs in value. Over 67,000 owts. of shellac valued at $\mathrm{A2l}$ lakhs were exported to Japan in 1936-37 as against 54,000 cwts. valued at 719 lakhs in the preceding year. Despatches

## Foreign Countries.

of gunny bags further advanced from 19.7 million ( F 48 lakhs) to 30.2 million (764 lakhs). There were also small increases in the exports of rice, hides and skins, sandslwood, pulses and mica while those of tobacco showed a decline.

The United States of America (Imports $\mathbf{7 8} 8,16$ lakhs, Exports $\mathbf{7 1 9 , 1 6}$ lakhs). -In the case of the United States of America also, exports advanced from ¥i6, 55 lakhs to $\mathbb{Z 1 9 , 1 6}$ lakhs, while imports declined from R8,94 lakhs to $\mathbb{7 8 , 1 6}$ lakhs, with the result that the total trade at $\mathbf{R 2 7 , 3 2}$ lakhs with the United States of America showed an increase of $\mathrm{Pl} 1,83$ lakhs. The fall in imports was due to smaller receipts of American cotton which in the year under review amounted only to 1,000 tons valued at 78 lakhs as against 10,000 tons valued at F 91 lakhs in the preceding year. Importe of machinery and millwork, however, rose in value from $\mathrm{Al}, 17$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Pl}, 25$ lakhs. Receipts of raw tobacco also advanced from 1.5 million lbs. valued at $\not 723$ lakhs in 1935-36 to $3-1$ million lbs. valued at 742 lakhs. The value of imports of motor vehicles rose from $\mathbf{7 1 , 6 0}$ lakhs to 71,72 lakhs. The number of cars imported increased from 3,851 to 3,870 , and that of omnibuses from 5,051 to 6,012 . Smaller increases were also noticeable under instruments and apparatus, building materials and stationery. There was, however, a decline of R4 lakhs in the imports of mineral oils. Imports of lubricating oils deelined in quantity from 15 million gallons to 14.5 million gallons and from fl88 lakhs to fr83 lakhs in value. Imports of kerosene oil were comparatively small, and accounted for a decline of Al lakh from X 3 lakhs to f 2 lakhs. There were no imports of petrol in 1935-36, but in the year under review, 15,000 gallons valued at ¥11,000 were received. Imports of cotton piecegoods further declined from 732,000 yards valued at Z 2 lakhs to 202,000 yards valued at $\mathrm{P} \frac{1}{2}$ lakh. Imports of copper wrought declined from 64,000 cwts. valued at $\mathrm{P17}$ lakhs to 32,000 cwts. valued at Pl 10 lakhs in 1936-37. There was also a decline of $\mathbf{7 5}$ lakhs in the imports of hardware and of 72 lakhs under rubber manufactures which were valued at $\mathbb{Z 2 6}$ lakhs and $\mathbb{R 1 4}$ lakhs, respectively, in the year under review.

On the export side, there was an improved demand for jute and jute cloth. Despatches of raw jute increased from 79,000 tons valued at R1,36 lakhs to - 88,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Z} 1,59$ lakhs. Shipments of jute cloth increased from 775 million yards valued at $\mathrm{R7}, 31$ lakhs to 1,040 million yards valued at 78,65 lakhs, while those of gunny bags exported nambered $16 \cdot 4$ million as in the preceding year, the value, however, recording a fall of Al 2 lakhs. Exports of lac advanced from $172,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $367,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and from $\mathbf{7 5 6}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{\# 1 , 0 2}$ lakhs in value. Under hides and skins, despatches of goat skins, representing the bulk of the shipments in this group, fell from 13,600 tons ( $\mathbf{~ 1 1 , 6 9}$ lakhs) to 11,400 tons ( $\mathrm{Rl}, 52$ lakhs). Exports of tanned and dressed hides and skins, however, increased from 351 tons (f8 lakhs) to 460 tons ( $\mathrm{Z1} 10$ lakhs). Exporte of linseed continued to decline and amounted to $\mathbf{1 7 , 0 0 0}$ tons valued at $\mathbf{Z} 25$ lakhs as against 31,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{7 4 2}$ lakhs in 1935-36. Exports of fruits and vegetables, consisting largely of cashewnuts, were valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 03$ lakhs in the year under review as against R1, 13 lakhs in the preceding year. Exports of raw wool were valued at f 65 lakhs, or an increase of R8 lakhs over 1935-36.

## Direction of Trade.

Germany (Imports R12,13 lalchs, Exports: R9,60, lakhs)--Imports from Germany received a set back in the year under review and declined by \#21 lakhs to $\mathbf{7 1 2 , 1 3}$ lakhs, but exports to that country advanced alightly by Rl lakh to $\mathrm{A} 9,60$ lakhs. The trade figures for the past four years as compared with the pre-war average are shown below:-

| - | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Pre-War } \\ \text { average } \end{array}\right.$ | 1988-34 | 1934-86 | 1935-36 | 1086-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Importa * . | 5 (1akht) | 7 (lakha) |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}(\text { lakh }) \\ 12,85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \pm(\text { lakha }) \\ 12,13 \end{gathered}$ |
| Exporta, inciuding ro-exports | 23,36 | 9,02 | 7.27 | 9,50 | 9,60 |

The trade in the principal srticles imported from Germany is shown in. the following table :-

|  | Onlte | Pre-7rar average (1909-10 to 1018-14 | 1034-45 | 1085-86 | 1060-a\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mrambe and ether coultar did | b. (000) | 11,071 | 18,634 | 18,768 | 11,666 |
|  | \% (000) | 77,20 | 1,00, 63 | 2,00,04 68 | 1,70, ${ }^{618}$ |
| Braname coppor * * * |  | $\begin{array}{r} 185,0 \mathrm{OI} \\ 188 \end{array}$ | 86,46 | ${ }_{880}{ }_{8}^{888}$ | 71,58: |
| Bramane coppor . . . \{ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & \text { ( } 000) \\ & (0006) \end{aligned}$ | 04,07 | $\begin{aligned} & 96,87 \\ & 95,59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90,84 \\ \mathbf{2 0 8}, 38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75,17 \\ & 98,72 \end{aligned}$ |
| Machloery and millmosk | (000) | 80,27. | 1;20,63 | 1,4,38 | 2,00,94 |
| Claminioglammage - . | H (000) | 28,69 | 18,04 | 18,49 | IS,7\% |
|  |  | 581 | 865 | 450 | 487. |
|  | 7 ${ }_{\text {7 }}$ | 18,14 | ${ }^{9,88}$ | 12,74 | 11,28 ${ }_{\text {18: }}$ |
|  | 7F (000) | 5,487 | 8.98 | ${ }^{8,78}$ | 8,985 |
| Paper and pasteboardPrinting papper |  | 19.41 | 14,983 | 10,06 826 | 15, $\mathbf{3 1}_{31}$ |
|  |  | 7.16 | 8.95 171 | ${ }^{29,87}$ | 98,09 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 童 }(0009 \\ \text { Toni }(0000) \end{gathered}$ | 91.88 008088 888 | 28,09 <br> 19,15 <br> 60 |  | 7,01 $\mathbf{1 7 8 8}$ 78 |
| 9. and stowe chather | \% (000) | 1,700 | 8,75 151 | $\begin{array}{r}13,98 \\ \hline 980\end{array}$ | 18,21. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ootron manufuctar**- | (000) | 28,10 | 8,020 | 8, 78 | ', SE |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 Id (000) (000) | 15,08 4,016 | 1,25 | 118 | 97 |
| Coloured plasemooda |  |  | 185 | 183 | 314 |
| Biankola . . . . | $\begin{gathered} \text { 吾 (000) } \\ \text { Lh. (000) } \end{gathered}$ | 29, 90.80 | 1.18 | 1,26 | 1,598 |
| Torat Cortos misitagriana, gno | 7 (000) | 88,28* | 44 | 14 | 74 |
| teriset and 7 ara | (000) | 88,71 | 489 | 6,18 |  |
| conat | $\begin{gathered} \text { I (000) } \\ \text { Xds. }(000) \end{gathered}$ | -1,514 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.81 \\ & 897 \end{aligned}$ | 240 210 | 8,788 |
|  | II (000) | 15,80 | 8.89 | 2,28 | 2,62 |

- Two yeary" averagoonly.
(a) Figure trona 1984-85 reprevent Bugar 28 D. 8. And above (inchudang beec).


## Foreign Countries.

The following table shows the principal articles exported to Germany as: recorded in the Indian Sea-Borne Trade Accounts :-

| Vaft | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cre War average } \\ & \text { (1000-10 } 10 \\ & 1018-14) \end{aligned}$ | 1984-76 | 1985-86 | 1080-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\int$ Tonn(000) | 104 | 187 | 152 | 184 |
|  | 4,90,40 | 2,00,05 | 2,78, ${ }^{\text {20 }}$ | \$85,87 |
| Grath, pulse and toerse ( Ton (000) | 845 | 158 | 39 | 54 |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { \# }(000) \\ \operatorname{Ton}(000) \end{array}\right.$ | 3,41.87 | 88,59 | 64,05 | 80,70. |
| $\cdots \cdots\} \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Zin}(000) \\ & \text { ToD }(000) \end{aligned}$ | 1787 | *150 | * 70 | 8, 09 |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 퓨 (000) } \\ \text { T0nd } \\ \text { (000) }\end{array}\right.$ | 4,49,80 | 89,78 87 | $\begin{array}{r}65,00 \\ \hline 87\end{array}$ | 47,04. |
|  | 4,45,05 | 1,80,81 70 | 2,60,71 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.98,16: \\ 106 \end{array}$ |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { \# (000) } \\ \operatorname{TOn~(000)~}\end{array}\right.$ | 8,45,80 | 89,40 | 1,81,26 | 1,60,8T |
| $\}$ (nt000) | 2,88,07 88 | 88, ${ }^{45}$ | 40,49 | 84,81 |
|  | 87,07 | 18, 8 | 14,96 | 28,04 |
|  | 89,55 | 10,60 | 15,76 | 0,23 |
|  | 7, ${ }_{57}$ | 8,18 | 888 | 76 |
|  | 8,48 | 4,09 | 8,23 | 4,07 |

- Bopreand fignre for bonen ertimed, uncruhed and bonemeal.

Comparing the figures for $1936-37$ with the preceding year, there was, inthe case of imports, an increase of A 38 lakhs under machinery and millwork, of $\mathrm{Fl4}$ lakhs under paper and pasteboard, of PS Jakhs under woollen manufactures, and of R13 lakhs under artificial silk manufactures. On the other hand, there were decreases under iron and steel (-I227 lakhs), brass and copper (-f223 lakhs), coal-tar dyes (-f22 lakhs) and glass and glassware (- A3 Iakhs). In the case of exports, oilseeds recorded an increase of $\mathbf{\text { R }} 30-$ Iakhs, wheat, raw hides and skins and lac of 78 lakhs each, while there were decreases under raw cotton and raw jute (-R38 lakhs each), rice (-R16. lakhs) and coir manufactures (-R7 lakhs).

Belgium (Imports R2,81 lakhs, Frports R7,39 lakhs). The total value of India's trade with Belgium amounted to $\mathrm{Rl} 0,20$ lakhs as compared with R7,98 lakhs in the preceding year. Both imports and exports showed improvement, the former increasing from $¥ 2,44$ lakhs to $¥ 2,81$ lakhs, and. the latter from $\mathbf{R 5}, 54$ lakhs to $\mathrm{A7}, 39$ lakhs. The variations under the principal articles imported from Belgium are shown in the following table :-


## Direction of Trade.

In the case of exports, shipments of raw jute at $70,000^{\circ}$ tons exceeded the previons year's total of 56,000 tons by 14,000 tons in quantity, with a corresponding increase in value from $\mathrm{El} 1,00$ lakhs to $\mathrm{E1}, 24$ lakhs. Exports of raw cotton showed a further improvement to 55,600 tons valued at $\mathrm{A} 3,20$ lakhs as against- 40,200 tons valued at $\mathbf{~} 2,20$ lakhs in 1935-36. Exports of raw hemp increased from 200,000 ewts. valued at tl 18 lakhs to $236,000 \mathrm{owts}$. valued at $\mathbf{7 2 2}$ lakhs. Exports of groundnuts during the year rose from 9,200 tons valued at fl 14 lakhs in 1935-36 to 26,500 tons valued at $\mathrm{ft43}$ Jakhs. Despatches of oil cakes also sdvanced from 22,000 tons ( R 14 lakhs) to 24,000 tons ( $\mathrm{tl15}$ lakhs). Among other articles of export, increases were noticeable under manures (from R7 lakhs to 718 lakhs) and wool raw (from R11 lakhs to R15 lakhs). The quantity of zinc or spelter shipped fell from 1,398,000 owts. to $1,205,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. without any appreciable fall in value. Exports of raw hides and skins and paraffin wax recorded a decline and were valued at \#t2 lakhs and fil lakhs as compared with f8 lakhs and f14 lakhs, respectively, in 1935-36. Exports of rice, not in the hask; did not show any variation and remained the same as in 1935-36, viz., 8,000 tons valued at m , lakhs.

France (Imports $\mathrm{fl} 1,14$ lakhs, Fxports $\mathbf{~ 2 8 , 0 8}$ lakhs). - The trade with France rose from $\mathbf{R 8}, 49$ lakhs to $\mathbf{7 9 , 2 2}$ lakhs. While imports fell by 715 lakhs to R1,14 lakhs, the exports improved from R7,20 lakhs to R8,08 lakhs. The principal articles imported from France were liquors, metals, drugs and medicines, machinery and millwork, dyeing and tanning substances, chemioals, oils and colton manufactures. The variations in the import trade are shown in the table below :-


On the export side, the principal commodities were, as usual, groundnuts, raw cotton, raw jute, coffee, manganese ore, linseed and hides and skins. Exports of groundnuts advanced in quantity from 141,000 tons to 163,000 tons and from $\mathrm{A} 2,29$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 2,72$ lakhs in value. There was also an improvement in the exports of raw jute which amounted to 86,000 tons ( $\mathrm{Rl}, 58$ lakhs) as against 75,000 tons ( $\mathrm{R1} 1,37$ lakhs) in 1935-36. Exports of coffee advanced from 83,000 owts. to 87,000 owts. in quantity with a decrease in value from $\mathrm{\# 36}$ lakhs to $\not \mathbf{} 31$ lakhs. Shipments of raw cotton at 28,000 tons valued at R1,58 lakhs were smaller than in $1935-36$ by 2,000 tons in quantity and by P 4 lakhs in value. Exports of manganese ore also declined from 119,000 tons valued at R21 lakhs in 1935-36 to 87,000 tons valued at R17 lakhs in the year under review. Shipments of linseed amounted to 8,000 tons as in the preceding year, the value, however, recording an increase of R1 lakh. Exports of raw hides and skins showed an improvement and were valued at $f$ f 21 lakhs

## Foreign Cọuntries．

as against Pr 11 l lakhs in 1935－36．Increases were also noticeable under rapeseed， lac and pulses，while there were decreases under castor seed and essential oils．

Italy（Imports $\mathrm{A1}, 21$ lakhs，Exports $\mathbf{~} 4,86$ lakhs）．The deadlock which had been brought about in the trade relations：of Italy as a result of the simultaneous application of＂sanctions＂against Italy and＂counter－sanc－ tions＂by her continued during part of the year under review．The applica－ tion of sanctions was withdrawn from the 15th July，1936．In spite of serious attempts on the part of Italy to enlarge her export trade，the general resump－ tion of ．trade to anything like the pre－sanctions level proved difficult to establish，The exchange situation which had emerged during the sanctions regime added to the difficulties．The total value of India＇s trade with Italy， however，advanced from Ei5，54 lakhs in 1935－36 to 76,07 lakhs in 1936－37． Imports further declined from $\mathrm{AR} 2,00$ lakhs to $\mathrm{P1}, 21$ lakhs，while exports advanced from 73,54 lakhs to $\not \approx 4,86$ lakhs．The value of woollen manu－ factures imported fell from $\mathbf{7 4 2}$ lakhs to 224 lakhs．Imports of woollen blankets declined from 4.2 million lbs．to 2.5 million lbs．，the decline in value being from \＃31 lakhs to fl19 lakhs．Receipts of woollen piecegoods were reduced to 56,000 yards valued at fll lakhs，imports in the preceding year being 68,000 yards valued at Plit lakhs．Imports of unspecified descriptions of woollen manufactures recorded a fall of $\nexists 3 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs．Cotton manufactures， however，showed a small increase from fi3t lakhs to 733 lakhs．Imports under this head，as in previous years，consisted mainly of coloured，printed and dyed goods，receipts of which alightly advanced from 0.6 million yards valued at P 2 lakhs to 0.7 million yards valued at P 21 lakhs．Under artificial silk yarn，there was a decrease of 2 million lbs．in quantity and of ml 12 lakhs in value，imports having totalled 1.9 million lbs．valued at R 12 lakhs in 1936－ 37．Under goods of artificial silk mixed with other materials，there was a reduction of 200,000 yards in quantity and of $\nexists 2$ lakhs in value．There
$\therefore$ were also decreases under machinery and millwork（－f9 lakhs），quicksilver （－ $\mathrm{Fl} 4 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhis），instruments，etc．（ $-\mathrm{Zl21}$ lakhs）and dyeing and tanning substances（－R1夅 lakhs），while fruits and vegetables and drugs and medicines recorded an increase of $\not \mathrm{fl4}$ lakhs and $\mathrm{Pl} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} \text { lakhs，respectively．}}$

On the export side，there was an increase of 2,000 tons（from 28,000 tons to 30,000 tons）under raw cotton，the corresponding increase in value being ¥28 lakhs（from $\mathrm{Fl}, 42$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Rl}, 70$ lakhs）．Shipments of oilseeds also advanced from 31,000 tons to 74,000 tons in quantity and from 748 lakhs to $\mathrm{fl} 1,27$ lakhs in value．Despatches of groundnats totalled 62,000 tons （R1，08 lakhs）as against a low record of 18，000 tons（R30 lakhs）in 1935－36． Exports of linseed，however，dropped from 6，700 tons（f99 lakhs）in 1935－36 to 700 tons（ Rl 1 lakh）in the year under review．Exports of jute，on the other hand，rose from 49,000 tons to 76,000 tons in quantity and in value from ff89 lakhs to $\mathrm{fl} 1,40$ lakhs．Exports of raw cow hides further declined and amounted to 1,600 tons（ R 9 lakhs）as against 2,200 tons（ R 12 lakhs）in 1935－ 36．Shipments of coffee declined from 6,500 owts．valued at $⿴ 囗 十$ 3，100 owts．valued at R 2 lakhs，while those of rice declined in quantity from 12,900 tons to 12,300 tons，the value，however，remaining steady at A10 lakhs．

The Netherlands（Imports $\mathrm{Fl}, 38$ lakhs，Exports PA 46 Lakhs）．The total value of the trade with the Netherlands amounted to R5，79 lakbs in 1936－37 as compared with 75,07 lakhs in the preceding year．Imports declined

## - Directipn of Trade

 सt 4,19 lakhs. "Import's puder thê' head "Instruments and rapparatus," consisting mainly of electric bulbs, decereased from fut lakis to fll lakhs and those of drugs and medicines from f77\% lakhs to fl3t hakhs: There wis an decrease of Rt lakh each under intports.of ártificial" silk and machimery which
 ale and beer, were valued at R13 lakhs, or Res lakh more than in 1935-36., There was also an increase in the imports of provisions which pere valued at
 cotton piecegoods showed a small increase in quantity fiom 1.7 millión yards to 1.9 million yards, but the value stood at A 5 lakhs, almost the same as in 1935-36. Imports of precious stones rose by, m 4 lakhs to Pa 直 lalyhs. On the export side, shipments of groundnuts increased from 95,000 tons to 129,000 tons in quantity and in value from $\mathrm{Pl} 1,54$ lakhs to $\mathrm{A2}, 13$ lakhis: The quantity of rice exported fell from 70,000 tons to 46,000 tons, the corresponding decrease in value being from $\mathbf{\# 4 5}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{\#} 33$ lakhs. Exports of raw cotton rose from 7,900 tons to 9,000 tons in quantity and from f 38 lakhs to $\neq 50$ lakhs in value. Exports of raw jute increased in quantity from 19;500 tons in 1935-36 to 19,800 tons without any corresponding gain in value which remained at \#36 lakhs as in the preceding year. Shipments of oil cakes increased in ${ }^{-3}$ value from R5 lakhs to Ril lakhs.

Java (Imports R44 lakhs, Exports A79 lakhs).-There was a , sharp decline of $\mathrm{El}, 30$ lakhs under imports and an increase of $¥ 32$ lakhs under exports during the year under review. Prior to 1936-37,.sugar was by far the most important item in the import trade, but with the development of the indigenous sugar industry it was possible for India to dispense with any large appplies from abroad. Imports of sugar from Java amounted to 15,000 tons ${ }^{\text {valulued }}$ at R13 lakhs in the year under review as against 150,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 40$ lakhs in 1935-36. These represented 30 per cent of the total value of imports in 1936-37 as compared with 80 per cent in the year precéeding. Another item of importance is denatured spirit, imports of which also steadily declined from $\mathrm{f} 5 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1934-35 to f 3 t lakhs in 1935-36 and to $\mathrm{\#} 2$ 年 lakhs in 793637. Arrivals of sugar machinery accounted for an increase in the imports of machinery and millwork which were valued at R6 lakhs as compared with R 2 lakhs in 1935-36. On the export side, jute gunny bags were in कetter demand, shipments of which rose from 13 million valued at $\# 36$ lakhs in 193536 to 27 million valued at $\mathbf{A 7}$ lakhs in 1936-37. Shipments of rice, however, declined from 4,000 tons valued at R3 lakhs to 600 tons valued at nearly $\mathrm{R} \frac{1}{3}$ lakh.

China (Imports $\mathrm{A1}, 30$ lakhs, Exports $\not \mathrm{fl}, 26$ lakhs). There was a further decline in India's trade with China owing mainly to a fall in the imports of cotton twist and yarns. The total imports from that country fell by R46 lakhs to $\mathrm{R1}, 39$ lakhs. Exports also declined from R1,88 lakhs to R1,26 lakhs. Imports of cotton twist and yarn amounted to 4.8 million lbs. valued at A 37 lakhs in $1936-37$ as compared with $12 \cdot 9$ million lbs. valued at R 90 lakhs, or a decrease of 63 per cent in quantity and of 59 per cent in value. There was, however, an increase in the imports of raw ailk accompanied by decreases under silk yarn and silk piecegoods. Imports of raw silk advanced from $500,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at f 14 lakhs in $1935-36$ to $800,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at

## Foreign Copuntries

Thatlakh in the year puder review. Recepts of sill yam fell from 480,000 Ihs. (RI23 lalhs) to $461,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. (\#107 lakhs), and those of silk piecegoods declined from 38 million yards valued at Pi 3 Iakh's to 3.3 million yards valued at $\mathrm{Al2} 2$ Takhs, Imports of tea, mostly' green, deoreased from 3.1 million lbs. to $2: 0$ pmillion lbs.in quantity and from Pl 15 lakhs tof fl 8 lakhs in value. Under exports, rice recorded a decrease: from 72,000 tons-valued at 750 lakhs to 17,000 tons tvalued at Z 12 lakhs. Exports of raw cottion continaed to decline and amounted to 13,000 tons valued at R72 lakbs as against 19,000 tons valued ate71,05 lakhs in 1935-36. - Despatchés of gunny bags advanced from 0.7 million pieces valued at $\mathrm{Fl} 1 \frac{8}{3}$ lakhs to 2.3 million pieces valued at $\mathrm{Z5}$ lakhs., Exports of gunny cloth also improved from 0.6 million yards valued at $\mathbb{R} \frac{\pi}{3}$ lakh to $2: 5$ million yards valued at $\mathrm{ft2}$ I lakhs. There were small increases in the exports of wood and lac while raw jute recorded a decrease.

Iraq (Inports, Z 54 lakhs, Exports 779 lakhs).-The value of the imports from Jraq improved from $\mathbf{R 5 0}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{R 5 4}$ lakhs, and that of exports thereto from $\mathbf{~} 59$ lakhs to $\mathbf{R 7 9}$ lakhs. Imports of dates rose in value from \# $35 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1935-86 to nearly fut lakhs in the year under review. Imports -of pulses declined from fist lakhs to $\mathrm{Fi} 4 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and those of barley from 72 lakhs to RII lakhs. The value of raw wool imported, however, advanced from R2 lakhs to $\mathrm{P} 21 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Thervariations in the exports of the principal commodities aree shown in the table below: :-


South America (Imports El 7 lakhs, Exports $\mathrm{PA} 4,39$ Iakhs). The value of imports from South American countries showed a heavy decline and amounted only to $\mathrm{P7} 7$ lakhs as against $\mathrm{fl33}$ lakhs in 1935-36. Exports, however, advanced, from $\nexists 3,26$ lakhs to $\nexists 4,39$ lakhs. Imports of raw cotton from Perr-and Brazil totalled 1,022 tons valued at 76 lakhs, as against 3,343 tons valued at R32 lakhs in 1935-36. Besides raw cotton, the principal items of imports were manures 253 tons ( $\mathrm{R} 24,000$ ) and canned provisions 1,161 cwts. ( $\mathrm{f} 28,000$ ). On the export side, the principal items were jute bags and cloth.. Argentina took 3.2 million gunny bags ( 3.7 million), Chile 8.7 million ( 5.8 million) and Peru 7.9 million ( 6.8 million) in the year under review: The figures in brackets represent the corresponding exports in the preceding year. Shipments of gunny cloth to Argentina amounted to 273.7 million yards, as compared with 166 million yards in 193536. Exports to Peru declined from 4 million yards to 3.6 million yards
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while those to Uruguay advanced from 2.6 million yards to 9.2 million yards: Brazil took 21,000 tons of raw jute as against 20,000 tons in 1935-36, while exports to Argentina advanced from 7,000 tons to 9,600 tons. As in the preseding year, there were no shipments of paraffin wax to Argentina in the year under review, while exports to Chile fell from 4,750 tons in 1935-36 to 1,750 tons. Exports of tea to Chile, which had amounted to $1,490,000$ lbs. in 1934-95 and $96,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1935-36, shrank to insignificant quantities in 1936-37.

## CHAPTER $V$.

## "Foreign Sea-borne Trade of the Plaritime Indian States.

The preceding chapters in this Review have dealt with the trade recorded at British Indian ports. A fairly large volume of trade, however, passes through the ports in the maritime States in Kathiawar, viz., Bhavnagar, Nawanagar, Morvi, Baroda, Porbandar, Junagadh and Jafarabad. Travancore with a sea-board has also a small foreign trade. The volume of the foreign trade of these States, however, bears only a small proportion to the volume of trade recorded at British Indian ports. The total imports into Kathiswar and Travancore taken together in 1936-37 were, on the basis of recorded values, 2.3 per cent of the imports in the same year into British Indian ports as against 3.8 per cent in the preceding year. The proportion of exports was also 2.3 per cent as compared with 2.4 per cent in 1935-36. Taking imports and exports together-the total value of the foreign trade of these States was 2.3 per cent of the total value of the trade recorded in the British Indian trade $\forall$ returns, as compared with 3 per cent in the preceding year. It should be noted that during the year under review important agreements were reached between the Government of India, on the one side, and the Darbars of Baroda, Junagadh, Nawanagar, Porbandar and Morvi, which together control about three-fourths of the sea-board of Kathiawar; on the other. In accordance with these agreements, arrangements have been made to ensure that all goods imported at the ports of these Indian States should be effectively subjected to customs duties at the full British Indian tarift rates.


## I.-Kathlawar Ports.

The trade statistics of the maritime Kathiawar States are available from 1931-32. The following table illustrates the course of the trade during the three years ending 1936-37 :-
(In thousande of Rapees)

|  | 1034-36 | 1035-86 | 1938-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total valus of importe of forcign mercheradive. | 6,10,12 | 4,70,06 | 2,53,46 |
| Totel ralio of arporta of Indien merohandive. | 1,49,25 | 2,90,86 | 2,77,78 |
| Total value of exports of foreign merchandine. |  | ${ }^{65}$ | 2,08 |

It will be observed that imports into the Kathiawar States exceeded exports till 1936-37, when the position was reversed. In 1934-35 the excess.of imports over exports, excluding re-exports, amounted to $\mathrm{Al}, 70$ lakchs which had been reduced to $\mathbf{7 2} 2,40$ lakhs in 1935-36. In 1936-37, however, exports exceeded importe by $\mathbb{Z 2 4}$ lakhs. Re-esports from Kathiawar ports are sunall. A large portion of the imports of foreign merchandise into these States ultimately finds its way into British India across the Viramgam-Dhanduka land customs line. While the total value of foreign imports into these States in 1935-35 was R4,71 lakhs, exports of foreign goods to British India across the land
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cuatoms line in the same year were valued at $\mathbf{7 3} 3,15$ lakhs. In 1936-37, imports of foreign merchandise into these States were valued at $\not \approx 2,63$ lakhs and imports into British India across the land customs line at $\mathbf{~ R 1 , 5 8}$ lakhs.

## Inports.

The following table shows the value of the principal articles of foreign merchandise imported by sea into the Kathiawar States during each of the three years ending 1936-37, with the percentage which the value of the imports under each head bears to the total value of the imports in that year:-

|  | (In thousands of Rupees) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\because$ | 1934:35 | 1935-36 | 1838.37 | Percentage on total value of imports of marahandipe in 1936-37 |
| ( Cotion, raw . | 1,14,25 | 66,49 | 14,09 | 5-6 |
| ¢ Cotton manufacturea | 62,70 | 70,81 | 43,37 | $17 \cdot 1$ |
| Metals and Ores | 87,04 | 95,13 | 49,54 | - 19.8 |
| Vehicles. | 10,71 | 19,68 | 20,31 | $8 \cdot 0$ |
| Chemioals | 16,03 | 10,57 | 16,81 | 6.6 |
| Bagar - | 98,58 | 77,28 | 13,28 | $5-2$ |
| Machinery and Millwork | 16,48 | 18,18 | 12,37 | $4 \cdot 9$ |
| Fruits and Vegetsbles | 10,25 | 8,82 | 11,18 | $4 \cdot 4$ |
| Paper and Pasteboard . - | 10,77 | 10,69 | 9,37 | - 3.7 |
| Instruments, spparatus and applianoes | 8,04 | 8,24 | 7,80 | $3 \cdot 1$ |
| Starch, Doxtrine and Farina | 6,72 | 8,35 | 8,94 | 2.7 |
| Hardware . . . | 7,53 | 8,13 | 6,64 | $2 \cdot 6$ |
| Oils ${ }^{\text {artifial Silk }}$ | 13,22 | 8,91 | 4,76 | 1.9 |
| Artificial Silk | 0,27 | 4,58 | 2,42 | 1.0 |
| Siliz manufactursa | 9,43 | 14,76 | 1,12 | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| All other articles | 42,09 | 42,42 | 33,46 | 13.2 |
| Total Imports | 6,19,11 | 4,70,96 | 2,53,46 | 100 |

There was a steady decrease in the imports of rate cotton which amounted to 1,700 tons valued at Fl 4 lakhs in 1936-37 as compared with 7,100 tons valued at $\mathrm{R56}$ lakhs in 1935-36 and 13,600 tons valued at R1,14 lakhs in 193435. This represented only 6 per cent of the total value of the imports in 1936-37 as against 12 per cent in 1935-36 and 22 per cent in 1934-35.

Cottcn manufactures accounted for 17 per cent of the total value of the imports in 1936-37 as against 15 per cent in the preceding year. Imports under this head, however, declined from 1271 lakhs to R 43 lakhs in the year under review. Piecegoods, excluding fents, accounted for R42 lakhs, the quantity imported being $26-5$ million yards. In the preceding year imports of piecegoods totalled $41 \cdot 3$ million yards valued at $767 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. All the principal descriptions of cotton piecegoods showed decreases. Grey goods fell from 11 million yards valued at $\nexists 12$ lakhs to 5 million yards valued at R 51 lakbs, white goods from 22 million yards valued at 739 lakhs to 17 million Jards valued at R 27 lakhs, and coloured goods from 8 million yards valued at R16 lakhs to 5 million yards valued at R9 lakhs. The principal sources
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of supply were the United Kingdom and Japan, their shares in the total import of piecegoods in 1936-37 being 24 million yards ( 737 lakhs) and 2.5 millio: yards ( $\mathbf{~} 4$ lakhs) as compared with 35 million yards ( $\mathbf{7 5 8}$ lakhs) and 6 million yards ( R 9 lakhs), respectively, in the preceding year.

Imports of fents of all descriptions decreased from 2.3 million yards valuer at about $\mathrm{f} 3 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 0.3 million yards valued at f 2 lakhs. The Unitec Kingdom and Japan are the principal suppliers of fents and their respectiv shares amounted to 64,000 yards and 198,000 yards as against $1,031,000$ yards and 1,171,000 yards in 1935-36.

Metals and ores accounted for $19 \cdot 6$ per cent of the total value of the imports The recorded value under this head in 1936-37 was R50 lakhs as against $\mathbf{7 9 5}$ lakhs in the preceding year. About 80 per cent of the importa in 1936-37 related to iron and steel materials. Compared with 1935-36, the imports of iron and steel materials fell from 61,000 tons to 30,600 tons in quantity and from P 78 lakhs to P 40 lakhs in value. Imports of galvanised sheets deolined from 16,200 tons (R26 ${ }_{3}$ lakhs) to 7,000 tons ( P 11 lakhs). Sheets and plates, not galvanised or tinned, dropped from 4,900 tons (P6 lakhs) to 2,000 tons (R2 lakhs). Imports of hoops and strips amonnted to 3,900 tons ( P 41 lakhs) as against 8,050 tons ( R 9 lakhs). There was a decline in the imports of ateel bars from 12,300 tons ( R 10 lakhs) to 5,300 tons (R4 lakhs). Imports of wrought tubes, pipes and fittings fell from 3,600 tons ( $\mathbf{7 5} 5$ lakhs) to 2,500 tons (R3d lakhs). Imports of rails, chairs and fish plates were valued at f2ot lakhs. Imports of beams, channels, pillars and bridgework and of nails, rivets and washers were valued at $\mathrm{Z2} 2 \mathrm{lakhs}$ each. The shares of the United Kingdom, Belgium and Germany in the imports of iron and steel materials in 1936-37 were 44.6 per cent, 24.5 per cent and 16.6 per cent, as against 49.8 per cent, 19.1 per cent and 16.0 per cent, respectively, in 1035-36. Imports of wrought brass (msinly sheets) dropped in value from $\not \mathrm{Al} 10$ lakhs to Fi 8 lakhs and of copper (also mainly in the form of sheeta) from R4, lakhs to R12 lakhs.

Sugar accounted for $5 \cdot 2$ per cent of the total value of imports in 1936-37 as against 16-4 per cent in 1935-36. The imports in 1936-37 totalled 16,000 tons ( $\mathrm{fl13} \frac{1}{4}$ lakhs) as compared with 88,000 tons ( $\mathrm{f77} 7 \mathrm{l}$ lakhs) in the preceding year. The bulk of the supplies consisted of cane sugar ( 23 D . S. and above) from Java. The receipts of beet sugar amounted to 137 tons only as against 1,800 tons in 1935-36.

All other imports together accounted for 52.5 per cent of the total value of the imports in 1936-37 and were of amaller importance individually. Of these, vehicles (R20 lakhs), chemicals (\#17 lakhs), machinery and millwork (R12 lakhs), fruits and vegetables (R11 lakchs), paper and pasteboard (R9 lakhs), instruments, apparatus and appliances (R8 lakhs), hardware and s arch, etc. (R7 lakhs each), oils, mainly mineral (R5 lakhs) and artificial silk (72 lakhs) deserve mention.

Under velicoles, imports of carriages and wagons and parts for railwaya (mainly from the United Kingdom) fell from $\mathrm{f7} 7$ lalchs in 1935-36 to R3 lakhs in 1936-37. The number of motor cars imported was 213 as against 315 in the preceding year, of which 137 were consigned from the United States of America and 63 from the United Kingdom; while the number of motor
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omnibuses, lorries, etc., reoeived was 73 as against 71 in the preceding year, receipts from the United States of America being 57 , from Germany 12 and from the United Kingdom 4. Under chemicals, imports of sodium compounds (f99 lakhs) and bleaching materials ( P 5 lakhs) may be noticed. The imports of chemicals were mostly from the United Kingdom (R12 lakhs) and Germany ( R 3 lakhs). Under inachinery and millivork, there were imports to the value of R2 lakhs of railway locomotive engines and tenders and parts thereof. Cotton machinery sccounted for Rl 1 f lakhs and electrical machinery RI lakh. Imports of dates were valued at R11 lakhs. Imports of paper were valued at fi8 lakhs (of which $\mathrm{Z2} 2$ lakhs related to old newspapers in bales and bags) and of pasteboard, millboand and cardboard, etc. at R1 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Imports of instruments, apparatus and appliances amounted to If 8 lakhs in value, electrical instruments accounting for A77 lakhs. Imports of kerosene and petrol mostly from Clermany and Roumania amounted to 293,000 gallons and 307,000 gallons, respectively. Imports of coconut oil amounted to 58,000 gallons ( $\mathrm{R} 60,000$ ) and of linseed oil to 47,000 gallons ( $\mathbf{( 9 9 8 , 0 0 0 \text { ). }}$

The following table shows the shares of the principal supplying countries in the total value of the imports during the three years ending 1936-37:-

|  |  | (In thousands of Rupees) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1934-35 | 1935-88 | 1938-37 | Percentage share in 1036-37 |
| United Kingdom | 1,44,59 | 1,65,24 | 1,13,08 | 446 |
| Germany . . . . | 30,64 | 48,03 | 35,07 | 13-8 |
| Japara * . . . . | 38,40 | 42,10 | 18,22 | $7 \cdot 6$ |
| Belghont . - | 28,75 | 18,88 | 12,20 | $4-8$ |
| Jave . . . | 91,05 | 75,71 | 12,18 | 4.8 |
| Britinh Rean Afrion. | 78,49 | 14,88 | 7,73 | 8.1 |
| Onited States of Ameriom. | 18,30 | 10,22 | 7,10 | 2.8 |
| Egypt - . | 38,70 | 40,51 | 6,89 | 2.7 |
| Other Comatrios | 55,10 | 85,40 | 39,09 | $15 \cdot 8$ |
| Toral | 6,10,11 | 4,70,96 | 2,53,46 | 100 |

The United Kingdom's share in the imports in the Kathiawar States was 35-1 per cent in 1935-36. In 1936-37 her share improved to 44.6 per cent. The relative share of Germany improved from 10.2 per cent to 13.8 per cent in 1936-37 while that of Japan declined from 8.9 per cent to 7.6 per cent. The share of Belgium recorded a slight improvement from 4.0 per cent to 4.8 per eent, while that of Java declined from 16.1 per cent to 4.8 per cent. The share of British East Africa showed no variation and stood at 3.1 per cent as in the preceding year. The share of the United States of America improved slightly while that of Egypt showed a fall.

## Trade of Kathiawar States.

The share of the different States in the total value of the imports during these years is given in the following table :-
(In thousand of Rapees)

| . | - . | - 1934-35 | 1936-36 | 1086-37 | 1'aroontage share in 1036-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bhaviagar | . . . . - | 2,95,71 | 2,43,17 | 83,87 | $33 \cdot 1$ |
| Nawanager | $\cdots: \quad$. | 72,06 | 1,04,84 | 67,12 | 22-5 |
| Morvi | - . . . - | 45,21 | 34,69 | 39,43 | $15 \cdot 6$ |
| Junagadh | - | 19,83 | 29,89 | 28,74 | 11.3 |
| Barods. | - . . . | 43,23 | 40.78 | 27,76 | 11.0 |
| Porbandar | $\bullet$ | 40,60 | 13,62 | 16,48 | $6 \cdot 6$ |
| Jafarabad | - - . . | 2,47 | 4,07 |  | - |
| . | Total | 6,19,11 | 4,70,98 | 2,53,46 | 100 n |

As stated already, the volume of the export trade exceeded the import trade in the year under review. *The total value of the exports in 1936-37 was $\mathrm{m} 2,78$ lakhs as against $\mathrm{fz} 2,31$ lakhs in 1935-36.

The principal articles of export were seeds, raw cotton, raw wool, groundnut cake, groundnut oil and ghi ; the remaining articles together anounted to only $6 \cdot 1$ per cent of the total exports in 1936-37. The following table shows the values of the principal articles exported in the three years ending, 1936-37 :--.
(In thousande of Rapees)

| - - | 1934-35 | 1935-36 | 1936 -37 | Percentage of the total value of axparts of merobandisa in 1930-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soeds. | 43,32 | 1,04,63 | 85,00 | 30.9 |
| Cotton ram. | 57,80 | 55,45 | 74,50 | 28.9 |
| Wool raw | , 15,35 | 33,33 | 62,86 | 22.6 |
| Groundinat calke | 22,61 | 14,38 | - $\mathbf{2 0 , 4 9}$ | $7 \cdot 4$ |
| Groundnut oil | 17 | 8,80 | 12,77 | 46 |
| Ghi $\quad$ : | 4,44 | 3,98 | 4,29 | 1.5 |
| All other articlea | 5,60 | 10,39 | 16,88 | 6.1 |
| Tomal exports | 1,49,26 | 2,30,96 | 2,77,78 | 100 |

Seeds accounted for $\mathbf{3 0 . 9}$ per cent of the total exports in 1936-37 as against $45-3$ per cent in 1935-36. The exports of seeds of all kinds in 1936-37 amounted to 55,000 tons valned at R86 lakhs as against 65,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 05$ lakhs in 1935-36. Exports of groundnuts declined from 61,300 tons valued at $\mathrm{Zl} 1,01$ lakhs to 51,900 tons valued at $\mathrm{fl83}$ lakhs. The slipments were mainly to France ( 13,000 tons), the United Kingdom ( 11,200 tons), the Netherlands ( 9,300 tons), Germany ( 8,800 tons) and Egypt ( 6,500 tons). Despatches of castor seed declined from 4,000 tons to 2,700 tons in quantity and from A3.7 lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 3 \cdot 2$ lakhs in value.
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Raw colton accounted for 26.9 per cent of the total value of exports in 1936-37 as compared with 24 per cent in 1935-36. Exports of cotton advanced from 9,900 tons valued at R $55 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 13,500 tons valued at $\mathrm{P} 74 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in the year under review. Belgium was the largest purchaser and took 5,600 tons ( $\mathrm{fl31}$ lakhs). Japan also took 5,600 tons ( P 301 lakhs). France took 1,000 tons ( F 51 l lakhs) and Germany 700 tons ( P 4 lakhs).

Raw uool accounted for $22-6$ per cent of the total exports in 1936-37 as against 14.5 per cent in the preceding year. The quantity and value of the exports were 9.5 million lbs. and 763 lakhs as against 6.4 million lbs. and f33 lakhs, respectively, in 1935-36. The shipments were almost entirely to the United Kingdom.

Groundnut cake exported in 1936-37 amounted to 31,400 tons valued at \#20 ${ }_{2}^{2}$ lakhs ( 7.4 per cent of the total value of exports during the year as against $6 \cdot 2$ per cent in 1935-36). Exports in the preceding year had amounted to $\mathbf{2 6 , 7 0 0}$ tons valued at $\mathrm{Pl} 4 \cdot 4$ lakhs. The United Kingdom, Germany and Belgium were the principal purchasers.

The other important items are grounduut oil and ghi (4.6 per cent and 1.5 per cent, respectivcly, of the total exports in 1936-37). The exports of ground$n u t$ ou advanced from 620,000 gallons valued at 79 lakhs to 803,000 gallons valued at fl 13 lakhs during the year under review. The principal purchaser was the United Kingdom ( 782,000 gallons valued at $\mathrm{F} 12 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs). Despatches of ghi during the year under review amounted to $6,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 4 \frac{1}{4}$ lakhs as against 5,700 ewts. valued at R4 lakhs in 1935-36. The countries of destination were chiefly the Portuguese East Africa, British East Africa and the Union of South Africa.

The following table shows the shares of the principal countries in the total value of the exports from the Kathiavar States during the three years ending 1936-37:-
(In thousands of Rupees)


## Trade of Travancore.

The United Kingdom's share in the exports rose from 36.0 per cent in 193536 to $42 \cdot 5$ pex cent in the year under review. The shares of Japan, Belgium,the Netherlands, France and Italy rose from 4.8 per cent, 9.7 per cent, 5.5 per cent, 9.0 per cent and 0.3 per cent in 1935-36 to 11.0 per cent, 13.8 per cent, 6.0 per cent, 9.8 per cent and 0.8 per cent, respectively, in 1936-37. On the other hand, the shares of Germany and Egypt declined from 16.3 per cent and 11.4 per cent to $8-8$ per cent and 3.8 per cent, respectively, in 1936-37.

The share of the different States in the total value of the exports from Kathiawar is given in the table below:-
(In thousande of Rupeea)


The total value of the exports and re-exports from and inporte into the Kathiawar ports in each of the three official years ending 1936-37 were 76,71 lakhs, $\mathbf{~ 7 7 , 0 2}$ lakhs and $\mathbf{R 5} 5,33$ lakhs, respectively. The total value of the trade of the Bombay port in these years were $\mathrm{P} 87,10$ lakhs, $\mathrm{P} 87,65$ lakhe and fi93,72 lakhs, respectively. The value of the Kathiawar trade was thus 7.7 per cent, 8.0 per cent and 5.7 per cent, respectively, of the valne of the trade of the Bombay port in each of the years ending 1936-37.

## II.-Trafancore.

In the case of the foreign trade of Travancore the export trade is of more importance than imports. Imports into Travancore ports were valuel at \#28 lakhs in 1934-35, f 38 lakhs in 1935-36 and fl 39 lakhs in 1936-37. The total exports from Travancore ports during these three years were valued
 Travancore in 1936-37 represented, on the basis of recorded values, only 0.3 per cent of the imports recorded in the same year in the British Indian trade returns, while the proportion in the case of exports.was 0.9 per cent.

On the import side, the principal articles were fruits and vegetables, dried, etc. (\#16 lakhs), tobacco, mainly unmanufactured ( $\mathrm{Z} 4 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs), machinery, mainly electrical ( f 32 lakhs ), cotton manufactures, instrumnents, chiefly electrical, building materials, mainly cement (R22 lakhs each) and paper and metals, chiefly iron and steel (R1 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs each).

## Trade of Travancore.

On the export side, coir munufactures; the principal item, accounted for R1,11 lakhs. Exports of coir mate and mattings were valued at $\mathrm{\#} 54$ lakhs; despatches to the United Kingdom.being valued at \#34 lakhs and to Australia at A8 lakhs. Exports of coir yarn had a total value of $\mathrm{AR1}$ lakhs, of which shipments to the United Kingdom accounted for $\mathrm{P} 5 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and those to Germany for P6 lakhs. Exports of other sorts of coir manufactures had a total value of $\mathrm{R} 36 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Exports of raw rubber amounted to Xt 2 l lakhs in value, "while in the "Metals" group, ilmenite accounted for \#18t lakhs and monazite for R4 lakhs. The bulk of the shipments of ilmenite went to the United States of America. Monazite was exported mainly to France, Germany and the United States of America. Dried fruits and vegetables, accounted for R19 lakhs, and tea for RE lakhs. Exports of spicos were valued at \#2t lakhs and of fibres for brushes and brooms at RT 2 laklus.

## CHAPTER VI.

## Frontier and Indo-Burma Trade.

## I.-Frontier Trade.

The frontier trade of India, excluding Burma, is registered at oertain railway stations adjacent to the more important trade routes across the frontier in respect of certain selocted commodities. Attention is invited to table No. 59 for details of the statistics of trade as recorded during the year 1936-37 compared with the two preceding years. The following tnble summarises the results:-me


Exports.


The figures do not afford any precise indication as to the proportion of India's foreign trade with outlying territories, such as Afghanistan, etc., to the total traffic recorded at the registering stations. Arrangements have recently

## Frontier Trade.

been made for separate registration of statistics of trade between India and Afghanistan at Torkham, Thal and Chaman with effect from the lst February, 1937. Under this arrangement, separate figures are available for (1) produce of Afghanistan, and (2) produce of countries other than Afghanistan in the case of imports into India. In the case of exports from India, statistics are separately recorded for (1) produce of India, (2) foreign goods not in transit, and (3) foreign goods in transit (a) State goods, and (b) trade goods. Countries of consignment are shown for foreign goods in transit, both State and trade goods. A special feature of these statistics is that values are recorded in all cases.

Burma.-Statistics of land frontier trade of Burma are registered at (i) Bhamo in the north which catches the trade entirely river-borne as well as the trade despatched from or to the rail head Katha; (ii) the rail heads Lashio, Heho and Shwenvaung in the Shan States, and (iii) Thingannyinaung in the South through which the bulk of the trade with Siam passes. Full details of the imports and exports in selected articles into and from Burms during 1934-35, 1935-36 and 1936-37 are shown in table No. 60. The following table shows the details of certain principal articles during the past three years :-


## Indo-Burma Trade.

II.-Coasting trade between Burma and the Provinces of India.

The trade in private merchandise between Burma and India proper (including non-British Indian ports) for the past three years as compared with pre-सar figures is shown in the table below:-

| Provincen |  |  |  |  | Brports mom muntu |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pro-war average | 1984-85 | 1935-86 | 1036-37 | Promar Artrage | 1084-35 | 1095-36 | 1086-87 |
| - 13 | \# (latha) | A (latha) | \#\# (Akbba) | In (atha) | m (istha) | \# (latha) | It (iakls) | 2 (athor) |
| Beagal . . | 0,02 | 5.71 | 5,47 | 6,81 | 8,00 | 11,81 | 8,81 | 10,0\% |
| Bombay | 82 | 2,48 | 2,08 | 2,81 | 8,04 | 7,04 | 7,86 | 9,75 |
| 8nd . | 4 | 24 | 22 | 26 | 2 | 2,18 | 2,88 | 247 |
| Madres . | 1,46 | 2,01 | 1,76 | 1,56 | 8,40 | 0,00 | 10,88 | 0,88 |
| Indan Porta | 7 | 28 | 41 | 50 | 88 | 1,80 | 4,29 | 5,95 |
| Torit | 8,48 | 10,61 | 0,94 | 10,98 | 12,10 | 88,32 | 34,09 | 84,02 |

Imports into Burma.-The coastwise imports of Indian coal into Burma clecreased from 311,000 tons valued at R 46 lakhs in 1935-36 to 288,000 tons valued at T 42 lakhs in the year under review, which was 125,000 tons less than the pre-war average of 419,000 tons. The imports of Indian cotton piecegoods increased in quantity from 69 million yards to 83 million yards and in value from $\mathrm{Rl} 1,50$ lakhs to $\mathrm{F} 1,68$ lakhs. Grey goods increased from 18 million yards to 21 million yards and white goods from 22 million yards to 29 million yards: Coloured goods of Indian origin also showed a rise from 30 million yards to 33 million yards. The imports of cotton twist and yarn of Indian manufacture showed an increase of $704,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in quantity and of P 3 labhe in valne, the total quantity received amounting to 11 nuilion lbs. valued at fat lakhs. Receipts of jute gunny bags recorded an increase in number from 53 million in 1935-36 to 54 million in 1936-37, though the value derlined from \#1,28 lakhs to $\# 1,20$ lnkhs. Imports of iron materials declared to be of Indian origin showed a marked improvement in value from R38 lakhs to $\mathbf{7 5 0}$ lakhe. Demand for wheat flour from India also improved from 24,000 tons valued at Z 33 lakhs to 26,000 tons valued at R 39 lalhs. Improvement was also marked in the imports of Indian raw tobacco. 13.1 million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{f23}$ lakhs were imported in 1936-37 as against 11.4 million lhs. valued at $\not \mathbf{~} 19$ lakhs in the preceding year. Both the quantity and value of Indian cigarettes imported showed improvement, the total value of the consignments rising by PI5 lakhs to R58 lakhs. Demand for groundnut oil showed a slight improvement from 1.4 million gallons valued at R22 2 lakhs to 1.7 million gallons with a total declared value of R $25 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs: Imports of dried fish, unsalted, fell from $124,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at over $\mathbf{7 3 7}$ lakhs to 98,000 cwts. valued at R 29 lakhs while those of dried fish, salted, improved from $6,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at nt 2 lakhs to $23,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R7 laikhs. Imports of coir manufactures were valued at 78 lakhs as against f 8 lakhs in the preceding year. The value of Indian betelnuts imported during the year increased from $\mathbf{\# 2 7}$ lakhs to R 31
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lakhs. Imports of tea advanced from $3,239,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $4,163,000 \mathrm{lbs}$, the value increasing from Fl 15 lakbs to 219 lakhs. Imports of butter and ghi were valued at R4 lakhs and R12 lakhs, respectively, and of other sorts of provisions at P 22 lakbs. Marked improvement is also to be noticed in the imports of sugar (including molasses) and coconut oil, the quantity and value in each cose rising from 2,000 tons valued at 75 lakhs and 41,000 gallons valued at Zl lakh, respectively, to 19,000 tons valued at F 49 lakhs and 114,000 gallons valued at f 2 lakhs. Groundnut seed fell from 4,000 tons valued at R7 lakhs to 800 tons valued at Zl lakh. Boots and shoes also declined from 1.4 million pairs valued at R9 lakhs to 1.2 million pairs valued at R7 lakhs. Imports of fresh vegetables were valued at R 18 lakhs and those of fruits and vegetables, dried or preserved, at Pl 10 lakhs is compared with Z 10 lakhs and $\mathrm{F9} 9$ lakhs, respectively, in the preceding year.

Besides the imports above-mentioned, imports of the following goods, declared to be of non-Indian origin, are also of interest. It may, however, be mentioned in this connection that the distinction between Indian and foreign goods in the coasting trade returns is based entirely on the declarations made by importers or exporters. Imports of foreign cotton piecegoods in 1936-37 amounted to 3.5 million yards with a total declared value of 78 lakhs as compared with $4 \cdot 1$ million yards valued at R 10 lakhs in the preceding year. Grey and coloured goods remained almost on the same level, being valued at \#3 lakhs and R4 lakhs, respectively, bat white goods further declined from 1-1 million yards to 0.5 million yards in quantity and from R22 lakhs to Pl lakh in value. Imports of textiles of material other than cotton or silk were valued at $\mathrm{A} 4 \cdot 6$ lakhs. The imports of iron and steel goods improved slightly in quantity from 1,063 tons to 1,088 tons but remained stationary in value at R2t lakhs. Imports of instruments, apparatus and appliances improved by \#3 lakhs to P12 lakhs. Imports of machinery and millwork, also recorded a rise from A77 lakhs to R8 lakhs. Imports of hardware were valued at R7 lakhs as against P 5 lakhs in the preceding year. Dates declined in value from PB lakbs to A2 lakhs.

Exporls from Burnua.-Exports of rice, not in the husk, to India decreased from $1,573,000$ tons valued at $\mathrm{R11} \frac{3}{4}$ crores in $1935-36$ to $1,534,000$ tons valued at R11 $\frac{1}{2}$ crores in the year under review, while exports of unhusked rice from Burma declined heavily and amounted to 87,000 tons valued at R49 lakhs as compared with 174,000 tons valued at fl96 lakhs in the preceding year. Despatches of kerosene oil from Burma to Indian ports improved from 115 million gallons in 1935-36 to 128 million gallons during the year under review. The value realised increased from R8,14 lakhs to $\mathrm{A} 9,18$ lakhs. Shipments of petroleum, dangerous, including petrol, benzine and benzol, advanced by 1 million gallons to 66 million gallons, the value of the shipments rising by R13 lakhs to $\mathrm{\# k}, 90$ lakhs. Exports of lubricating oil declined from 7.3 million gallons valued at $\mathrm{Z79}$ lakhs to $5 \cdot 5$ million gallons valued at f 66 lakhs. Shipments of othar mineral oils recorded an improvement from 8 million gallons valued at f 53 lakhs to 10 million gallons valued at f 60 lakhs. The value of lac (stick) exported also showed a slight improvement and amounted to $\mathrm{f} 6 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1936-37 as compared with R 5 lakhs in the preceding year. Shipments of teak wood declined from 180,000 cubie tons valued at $\mathbb{Z 2}, 09$ lakhs to 170,000 cubio tons valued at $\mathrm{H} 1,96 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Exports of matehes remained
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stationary at 0.9 million gross boxes with the same total declared value of P19 lakhs. Exports of gram to India showed a continued improvement and advanced from 24,500 tons valued at 215 lakhs to 30,100 tons valued at \#18 lakhs. Exports of pulses also improved from 74,000 tons to 82,000 tons, the recorded value advancing from $\mathbf{f 6 1}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{7 6 6}$ lakhs. Exports of fresh vegetables were valued at $\mathrm{X28}$ lakhs and of candles at R7.8 lakhs as against $\mathrm{F} 21 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and $\mathrm{FR} \cdot 4$ lakhs, respectively, during the preceding year. 7,000 ewts. of tin valued at P 10 lakhs were exported to India during the year under review.

The principal items of re-exports of foreign merchandise from Burma to India were cotton piecegoods, mostly coloured (advanced from 781 lakhs to A18 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs), hardware (from 75 lakhs to If 6 lakhs) and instrumente and apparatus (atationary at R4 lakhs).

## CHAPTER VII.

## Balance of Trade and Movement of Treasure.

## I.-Balance of Trade.

The surplus of Indis's exports over imports of private merchandise in 1936-37 amounted to $\mathrm{A77}$ 多 crores which was more than double the corresponding figure of $\mathrm{A} 30 \frac{1}{2}$ crores in the preceding year. This large favourable balance is the result of an increase of P 38 crores. in exports and a decrease of A 9 crores in imports. Before the depression, India in her trade relations with other countries normally enjoyed a large credit balance in merchandise which averaged $\mathbf{Z 7 8}$ croses in the five pre-war years, $\mathbf{Z 7 6}$ crores during the five war years, $\mathbf{7 5 3}$ crores in the post-war quinquennium and $\mathrm{Zl} 1,13$ crores in the next quinquennium ending 1928-29. Since then the credit balance was considexably reduced and declined to the low figure of fz 3 crores in 1932-33, but rose again to 736 crores in 1933-34. A moderate setback occurred in the following year when the favourable balance of trade in merchandise amounted to f23 $\frac{1}{2}$ crores.

The following table shows the imports and exports of private merchandise and the balance of trade in merchandise during each month of the jear 1936-37:-
(In lakhs of Rupeea)

|  |  | Privati marciamdisi |  | Exceas <br> Net exporte+ Net imports | Eroess in the corresponding month of -1935-36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Imports | Exporta |  |  |
| 1936 - |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | * | 10.02 | 15,33 | +6,31 | -48 |
| Hay | - | 9,98 | 14,50 | +4,52 | +3,46 |
| June | - | 9.71 | 13,49 | +3.78 | +2,96 |
| July - | - | 9,97 | 14.46 | +4.49 | +2,05 |
| ${ }^{\text {Augunt }}$. | - . | 8,25 | 14,22 | +4,07 | +84 |
| Septamber | - . | 9.52 | 15,19 | +5.67 | +1,85 |
| October | $\cdots$ | 10,65 10,59 | 16.61 | +5898 | +2,76 |
| Novembar |  | 10,69 9,94 | 17,45 | +6,86 | +2,02 |
| Doomber | - * | 9,94 | 17,88 | +7,94 | +3,13 |
| 1937- |  |  |  |  |  |
| January <br> Fabrunry <br> Mareh |  | 12,55 | 22,07 |  |  |
|  |  | 8,32 13,10 | 19,06 22,11 | +9.74 +9801 | +4,96 |
|  |  |  | 22,11 | +8,01 | +5,57 |
|  | Toras | 124,60 | 202,37 | +77,77 | +30,54 |
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In April, 1935, the imports of private merchandise exceeded exports, but in all the other months of the year there was an export surplus. In the year under review, favourable trade balance continued to be registered in all the months: In April, a favourable balance of 205 crores was recorded. It declined to \#3? crores in June, when exports were the lowest for any month of the ycar. Thereafter, as exports went on increasing without any corresponding gain in imports, the margin between the exports and imports gradually widened, and the largest surplus in any individual month, \#9 ${ }^{3}$ crores, was recorded in February, 1937. In the following month, both imports and exports were the highest recorded during any mónth of the year, and this resulted in a slight reduction in the credit balance which stood at 79 crores.

With the increase in the favourable balance of trade in merchandise there was a contraction in the exports of gold, which had been a regular feature of the trade since 1931-32. In the year under review, the net exports of gold Were valued at $\mathrm{Z} 27 \frac{3}{3}$ crores as compared with $\mathrm{F} 37 \frac{1}{3}$ crores in 1935-36 and 752 $\frac{1}{2}$ crores in 1934-35. On the other hand, the net imports of silver, which had risen from $\mathbf{R 3 7}$ lakhs in 1934-35 to $\mathbf{\# 1} 1,27$ lakhs in 1935-36, advanced to 713,59 lakhs in the year under review. Taking silver and also currency notes into account, the net exports of treasure during 1936-37 amounted to P14 $\frac{1}{2}$ crores as compared with $\mathrm{Al} 36 \frac{1}{3}$ crores in 1935-36 and $\mathrm{R} 52 \frac{1}{3}$ crores in 1934-35. In the first quarter of the year, the net exports of treasure amounted to Pl 4 crores , which advanced to $\mathrm{ZF} 5 \frac{9}{3}$ crores in the second, but deelined again to $\mathbf{P 4 4}$ crores. In the last quarter exports of gold fell off considerably and the total net exports of treasure amounted only to Al crore.
-The visible balance of trade, as measured by statistics of private merchandise and treasure, was in favour of India to the extent of P 92 crores in 193637 as compared with $\mathbb{7 6 7}$ crores in 1935-36 and $\mathrm{Z76}$ crores in 1934-35. The balance of remittances of funds in the year under review was minus $\mathrm{AT} 7 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{3}}$ crores as compared with minus $\operatorname{P4} 4 \frac{1}{2}$ crores in the preceding year. These figures relating to remittances include the more important Government transactions but do not take into account (a) net payments in India of British postal orders and of foreign money orders of countries which settle their accounts through London, and (b) Government transfers on account of Iraq and Mauritius. The transactions in respect of the former amounted to fl39 lakhs in 1936-37 as against Pi24 lakhs in the preceding year, while there were no transfers under the latter head in the year under review, the corresponding figure for 1935-36 being f15 lakhs. The following table shows in detail the items entering into the balance of trade. The invisible items that enter into

## Balance of Trade.

India's balance of accounts, such as shipping services, private remittanees and exports and imports of capital, insurances, tourists' expenditure, etc., are not inoluded in the table as these cannot be estimated accurately.
(In Lakhs of Bapeed)

| - | Pre-mis average | War - <br>  | 1982-83 | 1088-84 | 1834-35 | 1085-86 | 1886-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bxportanf Indian merchnndise (private). | +1810,60 | +218,07 | +188,27 | +147,25 | +161,07 | +160,52 | +196,18 |
| Te-erports of Torelga merehandite (pidvite). | +4,69 | +8,14 | $+8,28$ (a) | $+8,42$ (a) | $+8,68$ (a) | +8,76 | $+8,24$ (a) |
| Inporta of Forelga merchandise (privato). | -145,85 | $-167,00$ | -182, 27 | $-(110,00$ | -181,80 | -188,75 | $-124,00$ |
| Belance of trade in mexthmadien. | $+78,97$ | +76,31 | $\div 3.29$ | $+85,67$ | +28,42 | +80,68 | $+77,77$ |
| Gold (podvato) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -28,87 | -7,82 | +65,58 | $\div 87,05$ | +62,86 | +87, 86 | +97,88 |
| Bilver (private)* * | -7,21 | -2,99 | $-78$ | -1 | $-87$ | -1,87 | -18,69 |
| Carroney noten (privite) | - | $\cdots$ | $\div 14$ | $+19$ | 487 | +29 | +24 |
| Batance of trensactions in treasure (private). | $\rightarrow 36,08$ | $-10,80$ | +84,09 | +57,28 | +58,54 | $+84,87$ | $\div 14,80$ |
| Total viaibla balarie of trude | +48, | +65,8 | +68,15 | +92,00 | +75,96 | +88,90 | +92,2\% |
| Purchases of staring by the Beserva Bant of India. | - 41,85 | - (b) ${ }_{\text {- }}^{\text {- }}$ | $-48,18$ | $-59,97$ | -40,82 | -45,68 | (0) ${ }^{\text {¢ }} \mathbf{7 0 , 8 7}$ |
| 8alet of morilug by the Heeer re Bank of Indis. | +5 | - $+8,00$ | ** | * | ** | $\therefore 2$ | (d) |
| Trapofert of Govermineat - esoritien. | -87 | -88 | -18 | -11 | +82 | -86 | -16 |
| Intertea drafte on Indin in reapect of Goverament of India securtiten- | -4 | -30 | -se | -86 | -28 | -\$1 | -go |
| Bulapes of remittancel of Enerds. | - 星, | - 80,14 | -48;68 | -60,4 | -49,78 | - 46,45 | -71,34 |

- Exolwdes tranmestese which do mot enter Into the balanoe of trade.
(e) Bxolunive of the value of railway materiela Imported direat by Btate Rallwayn wording under compamy management, which was not pald for in the ordinary way and was not, therefore, talitotinto sccount in arrivins at the balance of trude.
(b) Inciudea 3 es lakha, belng the fande supplled by Gowernment to finance whent purchasee.
(9) Flgures for Years prior to 109s-9A represent Councl Bill and T. T. paid fo India. From $1025-26$

(d) Figurea for prevlous years grven against thinltom represent iterilng tranafereon Iondon oold In India.

The figures relating to the balance of trade in merchandise and treasure given above do not take into account the trade statistics of Kathiawar ports and of Travancore. If these statistics are included, the balance of trade in merchandise and treasure, and the total visible balance of trade for the past five years stand as follows :-
(In lakhe of Rapeen)

|  | 1032-33 | 1983-34 | 1034-35 | 1935-36 | 1838-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balanoe of trado in merchandiso | +87 | +35,17 | +20,79 | +20,32 | + 79,63 |
| Balance of trayeaotions in treamre (private). | +64,88 | +57,23 | +52,12 | +36,22 | +14,34 |
| Total visiblo balance of trade | +65,80 | +82,40 | +72,91 | +65,54 | +93,87 |

## Movement of Treasure. .

## II.-Movemrnts of Trrasure.

The following table shows the trapsactions in gold and silver on privati account during the last eight years as compared with the averages for the pre war, war and post-war periods :-
(In lathen of Bupoos)

|  | Gowd |  | Sistin |  | Gold and Bilvor. Not Importa exportant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports | Exporte | Importa | Exporta |  |
| Average of pro-war period : |  | 3,92 | 10,88 | 3,67 | -38,08 |
| \% $p^{\text {war pariod - . }}$ | 10,60 | 2,99 | 4,56 | 1,77 | -10,40 |
| - " poat-war period (101020 to 1923-84). | 21,57 | 0,03. | 14,18 | 2,68 | -24,08 |
| $\cdots \Rightarrow \text { five yeaze } 1924-25 \text { to }$ | 38,68 | - 18 | - 10,68 | 2,85* | $\underline{-60,27 .}$ |
| $" \Rightarrow \begin{gathered} \text { five yoara } \\ 1033-34 . \\ \hline 1029.30 \text { to } \end{gathered}$ | 6,54 | 37,26: | 6,74 | 1,86 | +28,34 |
| 1029-30 | 14,23 | 1 | 18,36 | 1,47 | -26,1] |
| 1030-81 . . .* * | 18,24 | 49 | 18,40 | 1,81 | $-24,40$ |
| 1931-82 | - 2,80 | 60,78 | . 8,48 | 1,83 | + $86,89^{\circ}$ |
| 1932-33 | 1,82 | 66,84 | 1,63 | 00 | +04,79. |
| 1838-44 | 1,10 | 56,15 | 81 | 80 | +57,04 |
| 1934-35 | 72 | 63,20 | 4,45 | 4,08 | +68,17 |
| 1835-36 . . . . | 06 | 38,31 | 4,40 | 8,10 | +36,09 |
| 1936-37 . . . . | 1,01 | 29,46 | 13,87 | 28. | +14,26. |

It will be seen from the above table that the imports of gole in the year under review were valued at $\mathrm{Al}, 61$ lakhs as compared with A 95 lakhs in 193536. Of this, 35 per cent was in the form of bullion, while sovereigns and other British gold coins accounted for $\mathrm{Al}, 03$ i iakks, or nearly 65 per cent of the total imports of gold. The United Kingdom contributed R1,021 lakhs as against E 121 lakhs in the preceding year and France A31 lakhs as against f4t lakhs in 1935-36. Receipts from the neighbouring countries, Aden, Arabia, Iraq, Bahrein Islands and Ceylon togethar amounted to 254 lakhs as compared with A75 lakhs in the preceding year.

- Exports of gold, on the other hand, declined from 7384 crores in 1935-36to $\mathrm{Z} 29 \frac{1}{2}$ crores in 1936-37, of which the United Kingdom sbsorbed $¥ 121$ crores as against $\nexists 24 \frac{1}{2}$ crores in 1935-36. Direct, exports to the United States -of Americe, however, rose from El 12 crores to nearly Pl 16 crores. Shipments to the Netherlands declined by 740 lakhs to x 27 lalchs in 1936-37. There were no exporta to France during the year under review. .The total exports
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of gold from India doring the period 1931-32 to 1936-37 amounted to $36,618,000$ fine oz. of the total value of $73,06 \frac{8}{4}$ crores. As in the preceding years, there were no transactions in gold on Government account.

In the beginning of the year the price of gold in London showed very little change, the variation during the month of April being between 141s. $\frac{1}{2} d$. and 140s. $7 d$., a difference of only $5 \frac{1}{2} d$. Thereafter, uncertainties arising from the currency situation on the Continent created some nervousness in the market and the price movements became somewhat wider with a tendency towards lower prices. The lowest price for the year, 137s. $21 d$., was however touched on September 23, just before the devalustion of the franc. Following the devaluation of the franc, the U. S. A. exchange recovered and the sterling price of gold moved sharply upwards, but the highest quotation, viz., $142 s$. $8 \frac{1}{2} d_{\text {., }}$ was not reached until November 9. During the remaining months of the year the price moved between 141s. $5 \frac{1}{2} d$. and 142 s . $7 \frac{1}{2} d$.

In Bombay, the price of gold which was quoted at $\mathrm{f} 34-11-6$ per tola ready on the 1st April followed generally the fluctuations in the Lrondon market. With small fluctuations the price in Bombay rose to fa34-14-9 on the 23rd April. Whefeafter, the price showed a declining tendency, the range between the highest and the lowest prices being somewhat greatar in May and June than" in the following two months. In September, the market developed distinotly a weak tendency, consequent upon the appreciation of sterling as against gold currencies, and the price of gold fell to P33-15-3 on September 9. Later, on the 26th September, the price of ready gold shot up to $\mathbf{\# 3 5}-8$ following the announcement of the devaluation of the franc. Thereafter, the fluctuations in the price of gold, which were in consonance with the aterling-dollar rate, were narrow. In October, the price ranged between \#34-12-6 and R35-3-9 and in subsequent months between 7334-15 and 735-7.

- Imports of silver on private account in the year under review were valued at $\mathrm{Z13}, 87$ lakhs as against 74,46 lakhs in 1935-3G. Silver to the value of ¥12,83 lakhs was received from the United Kingdom, while supplies from Australia and New Zealand together accounted for RTII lakhs. There were ${ }^{\circ}$ smaller imports from Cansda, Arabia, East Africa and the United States of Americai -Exports on private account during the yeaŕ amounted in value to \#28 laklis. in 1936-37 as compared with $\mathbf{7 3}$, 19 lakhs in the preceding year. Shipments to the United Kingdom ware valued at $\mathrm{f} 23 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs as against ¥l1,82 lakhs in the preceding year. -There were no experts to the United -States of America in the year under review, she having taken P 82 lakhs' worth of silver in 1935-36. There were no exports of silver on Government account during the year under review.

The absence of American parchase following the change in the buying policy of the United States Treasury as annonnced in December, 1935, the steady Indian demand and the equally steady pressure of sales, ehiefly from China, wers the three main factors that influenced the silver market. During the year the London market was practically free from the specalative element. The highest price during $1936-37$ for cash delivery was 2215 . d . per standard. oz. quated on November 102 and the lowest was 1918d. quoted on August 20. The range of 318 d. for the whole year was the smallest since 1929-30. The comparative steadiness of the market during the year under review is illustrated in chart Ne. 3 prefixed to this Review.
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In the beginning of April, 1936, the price of silver ready in Bombay w: 'quoted at 7449-7 per 100 tolas, but rumours of the possible agreement betwee the United States and the Chinese Government regarding the purchase of 'large block of silver by the former led to a temporary rise in the quotation 1 7252-8 on the 18th, which, however, dropped to $\mathrm{F} 50-10$ on the 20 th. Und pressure of heavy stocks and low offtake, the price, with minor flactuation declined to $\mathrm{P} 48-5$ in July and further to $747-0$ on the 20th August, the latth being the lowest quotation for the year. At lower levels there was an increase demand and stocks were reduced. In September, the reported purchase 1 silver in London by the United States Treasury on account of the Cubs Government imparted some confidence, and, as a result, the market generall remained steady. Towards the close of the month, owing to a sudden fall $;$ the sterling-dollar exchange rate, there was a temporary rise in the price whic was quoted at $\mathbf{7 5 0 - 7}$ on the 28th, but this was not maintained as the cros rate improved. During the second half of October; following an outbreak ( communal disorders, business in Bombay was greatly hampered and the pric of silver declined to $\mathbf{7 4 9}$ on the 20th, but firmed up again to, $\mathbf{R 5 0} 0$ - 1 towards th close of the month. Later, the resulter of the United States Presidential elec tion led to a belief that the United States silver buying poliey might be pur sued with more vigour, and this created a apeculative demand which force the price of ailver ap to $\mathbf{7 5 6 - 2}$ on November 10, the highest quotatiop for thi Year. Thare was little justification for this advance, and the rate decline to the level of 752 at the end of the month. In December, the prices remaine firm and the rates ranged between 7151-12 and 7553-3. There were smalle' fluctuations in January and February, but in March, an increase in the impor duty on silver from 2 as. to 3 as. per oz. led to a rise in prices which ranggd between P50-15 and 754 .

Imports of currency notes on private account during 1936-37 ware valuèd as 721 lakhs as compared with $\mathbf{7 4} 4$ lakhs in 1935-36. Exports thereof alsc

Gurrenoy notes. declined from $\mathbb{7} 33$ lakhs to 726 Iakhs. There were nc -mports on Government account in the year under review, but exports amounted to $\not 720$ lakhs. In 1935-36, however, there wert no transactions on this account.

## J. MATTHAI,

Director-General of Commercial Intelligence and Statistics.
The 23Fd August, 1937。

## PART II-TABLES

 be recived from Custom Houses. The finn figures will appear in the Annual Statement of the Sea-borne Trade of British India whloh will issue towards the ologe of the year






No. 3.-Montely Gea-borna Trade (paivate merghandise), Imports and Exporis mis 1936-87, ag oompared with the prioeding tear 1935-s6, and the pre-war, war and post-war averages, and exoess of exports over imports durde the bame period

| Hothes | Imponte |  |  |  |  | Bxponta (IMOLUDIMG Rn-Hxpogra) |  |  |  |  | Bzorss of Exporye ${ }^{\text {dina }}$ Imposts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Promar Avarage | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thar } \\ \text { avarage } \end{gathered}$ | Pont-war Ararige | 1985-88 | 1080-87 | Pro-war Average | Fiar avarige | Pot-war average | 1088-80 | 1036-87 | Provar averago | $\underset{\text { ©rerage }}{\text { War }}$ | Posb-way average | 1985-88 | 1980-37 |
| April | 11.77 | 11,77 | 20,16 | 11,01 | 10,22 | 19,84 | 17,22 | 24,70 | 11,05 | 16,88 | 8,07 | 5,45 | 4;54 | $-56$ | 6,21 |
| May. | 10,85 | 11,88 | 10,20 | 11,83 | 10,06 | 18,70 | 20,01 | 25,25 | 16,22 | 14,50 | 7,78 | 8,68 | 5,80 | 8,89 | 4,46 |
| Junt - | 0,76 | 11,81 | 18,20 | 0,01 | 0,80 | 17,51 | 19,18 | 21,80 | 12,81 | 18,49 | 7,78 | 7,32 | 8,59 | $\therefore$ 2,00 | 8,49 |
| July | 10,88 | 18,48 | 19,09 | 10,08 | 10,09 | 17,77 | 17,88 | 28,28 | 12,07 | 24,46 | 8,88 | 4,43 | 1,17 | , 2,01 | 4,88 |
| Augut | 12,05 | 18.08 | 21,21 | 11,19 | 0,90 | 16,90 | 17,50 | 28,10 | 12,00 | 14,920 | 8,86 | 4,58 | 1,05 | 87 | 4,08 |
| Septemiter | 12,09 | 18,11 | 20,60 | 10,21 | 0; 85 | 16,27 | 17,08 | 28,62 | 18,08 | 15,19 | 8,85 | 4,01 | 0,18 | 1,81 | 8,04 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
| October | 18,79 | 18,20 | 28,04 | 11,88 | 10,07 | 18,00 | 18,02 | 28,12 | 14,68 | 10,01 | 4,21 | B,72 | 8 | 2,70 | 6,04 |
| Yovembar | 18,74 | 18,88 | 23,48 | 18,78 | 30,62 | 17,71 | 18,91 | 25,21 | 16,71 | 17,45 | 8.87 | 4,88 | 1,78 | 1,06 | 8,88 |
| Dacariber | 12.00 | 10,71 | 21,85 | 10,09 | 0,08 | 18,67 | 17,09 | 25, $5^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | 18,72 | 17,88 | B,07 | 6,38 | 4,17 | \$,10 | 7,00 |
| Japacty | 14,47 | 18,87 | 25,50 | 38,07 | 12,89 | 21,04 | 19,10 | 27,80 | 24,88 | 22,07 | 0,67 | B,29 | 2,27 | 1,76 | 9,48 |
| Fotruary | 11,80 | 11,86 | 20,62 | 10,40 | 9,86 | 22,00 | 10,00 | 20,06 | 15,41 | 19,06 | 10,67 | 8,00 | 0,13 | 4,02 | 9,70 |
| yarch . | 14,53 | 11,83 | 21,50 | 10,85 | 18,12 | 22,05 | 21,96 | 80,30 | 16,56 | \%2,11 | 10,18 | 10,12 | 8,80 | 5,81 | 8,90 |
| Torat | 4,46,85 | 1,47, ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | ${ }_{4} \mathbf{6 4 , 0 5}$ | 1,44,43 | 1,25,54 | 2,24,12 | 2.24,11 | 8,00,98 | 1,64,29 | 2,02,87 | 78, 27 | 76,31 | 46,91 | 20,60 | 77,18 |

 THEREOF
(In thatandie of Ropeen)



No. b.-Valux of Exporte, Private Mibrohandise, according to five main olabseg and bub-difibions THEREOF


 IMPORTANOA



| Mation <br> Lronend ateel- |  | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ 41 \\ 81 \\ 80 \\ 11 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ 88 \\ 87 \\ 87 \\ 19 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ 88 \\ 88 \\ \hline 189 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 41 \\ 86 \\ \mathbf{8 9} \\ 19 \\ 16 \\ 85 \\ 10 \\ 98 \\ 25 \\ 95 \\ 10 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \hline 45 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Torah | 808 | 428 | 661 | 140 | 868 | 12,48,61 | 10,11,04 | 21,98,04 | 7,22,80 | $\underline{8,94,18}$ |
|  | - 88 | ${ }^{80} 118$ | 449 | 68 <br> 608 <br> 8 | - ${ }^{48}$ | 61,878 | 84,61 | $\begin{array}{r} 69,08 \\ \mathbf{9 , 7 6 , 6 7} \end{array}$ | 78,78 1,4i,20 | $\begin{aligned} & 60,50 \\ & 89,64 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered}\text { Coppor, wrought } \\ \text { anwroagh }\end{gathered} \quad: \quad: \quad: \quad: \quad$ : | $\begin{gathered} 838 \\ 61 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 191 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 876 <br> 41 | 201 20 | 2,78,97 | 52,44 18,27 | 1,41,84 | 1,00,50 | $\begin{array}{r}76,18 \\ 0,38 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Totar Copzis | 698 | 90 | 200 | 417 | 231 | 8,07,00 | 70,71 | 1,01,50 | 1,10,08 | 80,50 |
| Tand <br> rino $\square$ <br> Albofrilom German milver Others 4 | 128 <br> 108 <br> 81 <br> 29 <br> 91 <br> 18 | $\begin{array}{r}102 \\ 81 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \\ 101 \\ 58 \\ 5 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 28 478 48 88 27 | 18,41 <br> 92,11 <br> 89,16 <br> 17,67 <br> 0,74 <br> 0,74 | $\begin{aligned} & 80,18 \\ & 89.89 \\ & 10,77 \\ & 81,09 \\ & 17,11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,80 \\ & 80,10 \\ & 67,66 \\ & 0,48 \\ & 10,48 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 6,81 <br> 58,89 <br> 88,4 <br> 8,49 <br> 17,07 |
| TORAE OF Mifirs. N <br>  | 896 1 | 4.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 711 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 698 | 424 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,07,41 \\ 1,00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,85,08 \\ 1,77 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,21,21 \\ 4,85 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,08,66 \\ 60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0,07,00 \\ 1,06 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 68,009 \\ & 34,060 \\ & - \\ & \hline 712 \\ & 11 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 2,71,16 \\ 1,00,87 \\ = \\ \hline 8,42 \\ 10,00 \\ 6,48 \end{array}\right]$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 02,102 | 88,603 | 139,378 | - | - | 8,04,88 | 4,88,08 | ${ }^{8} 8,28,88$ | - 7, 2 , 6,5 | 7,95,97 |

- Average tor two years 1012-18 and 1018-16. $\uparrow$ Avaragolor four jeape 1010-11 tq 1018-16
 19,787 and 10,740 0wts. In 1085-80 and 1086-87 xaspectively.

No．8－continued

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ． | Premir avarage | $\begin{gathered} \text { War } \\ \text { average } \end{gathered}$ | Pontintar avarage | 1085－80 | 1080－87 | Pre－was varage | Wiar | Pont－war Averige | 1085－86 | 1086－97． |
| $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | Valicraitand parta ．．．．．． |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  | 0，01 |  |
|  | Carringenatur cata a | 二 | 二 |  | 二 |  | 24，20 | 2，81 | 46，28 | 0.04 $1,08.46$ | 9，88 |
|  |  | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 |  | 81，35 | 20，68 | 47，89 | － $4,000,70$ | 1，64，24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （b） 1 ，18，45 | 74.91 | 8，12，28 | 2，51，28 | 2，42，05 |
|  |  | （3） 1 |  |  |  | 1 | （b）10，888 | 6，88 | 10，43 | 8， 8,28 | ，8， 51 |
|  |  | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |  |  |  | （b）5，71 | －0，89 | 69，01 | 1，20，89 | 1，81，06 |
|  | Partand acomeries ：：：： | 二 | 二 | 二 | $\cdots$ | 二 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 1}, 80^{6} \\ & 18,64 \end{aligned}$ | 28,79 20，20 | 77,88 56,02 | 74，91 | $7 \%, 05$ 8,80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tomat | － | － | － | － | － | 1，74，92 | 1，62，18 | 6，26，89 | 6，08， 14 | － $8,57,78$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Elactrical | 二 | 二 | 二 | － | － | 70,27 82788 | 1，00，81 |  | $8,08,34$ 850 | ${ }^{3,008,81} 8{ }^{(1,68 .}$ |
|  |  | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 14，98 | 12，88 | 80，07 | 16，34 | ，14，21 |
|  |  |  |  | 二 | $=$ | 二 |  | 13,48 $\mathbf{1 7 , 9 8}$ | 36，92 $\mathbf{3 1 , 3 2}$ | 8180，85 | ¢ $\therefore 89,05$ $\therefore 80,14$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Artinctalat ．．．．．．．．． | － | － | － | － | － | 1，86，82 | 1，65，06 | 8，91，76 | 8，17，62 | 8，10，14 |
|  |  | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 71，94 | 8，16，76 | 8，85，60 |
| Provislomend ofluan＇s atores－ Canned and bottled proviajona Blacoltsand entee Confoctlopery <br> Furfisceous and pitent foods＂ Othar morts． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 65 | O8． | 87,08 | 27，72 | 881,79 | 86,02 | 64，80 |
|  |  | －80 | 2088 | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }_{884}^{88}$ | －37 | 24，04 | 18，14 | 288,58 | 21,5 | 22，90． |
|  |  |  |  |  | 209 | 80 | 38,10 82,57 | － 88.40000 | 68，84 | ${ }_{68,08} 88$ | 64，47 |
|  |  | － | － |  |  |  | 67，19 | 60,70 | 04，10 | 01，37 | C1，90 |
| Toris |  | － | － |  | $\cdots$ | － | 2，20，14 | 2，27，05 | 8，19，50 | $8,11,87$ | 8，20，22 |



No. 6-continued



* A vertaga for two Yeard, $1912-18$ and 1018-14.


No. 6-continued


(s) Tranaleared to " Irose or Stool" trom lotg-26.
(b) Dipooditiond from Aprll, 102g.

No．6－concluded
Government Stores－contd．

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Yalut（hat modgasda of rupans） |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| － | Pre－wax average | $\underset{\Delta v a r a g o}{\text { War }}$ | Post－rar Average | 1985－80 | 1056．87 | $\underset{\text { avorage }}{\text { Prowat }}$ | $\underset{\text { average }}{\text { TVar }}$ | Post－war averago | 1085－86 | 1986－37 |
|  | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | $\begin{array}{r}24,22 \\ 28,16 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1.05,58 \\ \mathbf{2 7 , 8 7} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}64,88 \\ 1,11,88 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 86，76 | 884，36 |
|  | 25 81 81 | 19 78 8 | $\begin{array}{r}28 \\ 48 \\ \mathbf{2} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 124 4 | 25 | 98， 10 10,40 10,60 | 46,50 86602 88,74 | 70,80 <br> 889 <br> $\mathbf{3 8 , 8 2}$ <br> 8 | 0,28 6，14 18,01 | 8,48 6,71 10,70 |
| －［oral is | 28 | 20 | 26 | 6 | 6 | 02，10 | 1，41，86 | 1，38，42 | 20，04 | 26，09 |
| Instrumenta，spparatur ame appllanoce | －． | － | － | － | － | 18，18 | 97，76 | 08，06 | 14，20 | 17.85 |
|  | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 4,78 4.00 6,50 | 51，34 48， $1,12,68$ | 92，08 <br> 1101 <br> $\mathbf{1 1 , 6 7}$ | 4,88 1,85 $\mathbf{2 , 7 0}$ | 8,76 8.06 8,29 |
| Tofat | － | － | － | － | － | 15，48 | 2，07，01 | 60，41 | 0，18 | 9，00． |
| Hardwaro and entlery ．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 二 | 二 | － | － | 二 | 29，39 |  | （10， | 0,26 0,11 8,82 818 |  |
| yrctionery medranes ：$\quad$ ：$\quad$ ：$\quad$ ： | 二 | 二 | 二 | － | － | 7，08 | 15，18， | 28,95 40,00 | 3,82 182 | 2,70 1,18 |
| Tosorraphanematarita for construotlon of ：： | － | 二 | 二 | ＝ | 二 | 11.82 | 17，05 | 43,51 | 124 | 1,8 8 |
|  |  |  | $]_{141}$ | － | $\cdots$ | 18,16 16,17 | 11，09 | 80,09 88,02 120 | ${ }_{8}^{89}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | － |  |  | 8，14，27 | 2，80，68 | －8，08 | 1，17，54 |
|  | － | － | － | － | － | 6，82，28 | 11，45，18 | 13，00，97 | 2，84，05 | 2，48，08 |

No. 7.-Quantify and value of ties Prinotpal artioles of Exports, arranoed in tbr order of tbeir importanot

(i) Eparataly roourded trom Decombar, 101 g

No: q-antinuei $^{--}$




No. 7-continued



No. 7-conchuded



- No, 8.-Quantity and value of ter Prinotpal Artioles Re-exported, arranged m ter order of their - tmportingoz


No. 8-continued -


No. 9.-Value of trade in aercbandige only with the Britise Empire and Foreign Countrigs


No: 9-comtinted


No. 10.-Percentage beare of the prindipil countries in tim yotal tbade in meroiandise only

| Covaruis | pan-whe arimaz |  |  | Wan arganap: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1080-9? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Importa |  | Totas | taspors |  | Torst | rmparia |  |  | Impatal |  | Toral | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Impaits } \\ \vdots \\ \hdashline \end{gathered}\right.$ | Exports, lnclud lag Re axporte | Tomal |
| Untleh Rmpree | 0.8 | 25-1 | . ${ }^{10.0}$ | 50.5 | 31.4 | 41.9 | 67.0. | ${ }^{2+2}$ |  | ${ }^{88 \cdot 6}{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 31.5 | 94.8 | -3354 | $8 \pm 1$ | 34,5 |
| ceyloa | 0.5 | 3.7 | 8 | 1.1 | 4 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 2-s, | 1-1 | 4.5 | $\%$ | 2.4 | ${ }^{3.8}$ | 20 |
| Strala Seltiemoate | 2.1 | 8.4 | $2 \cdot 9$ | 8.0 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 1.0 | $\because 9.7$ | 2.3 | , 77 | 2.8 | 25 | 3.1 | . ${ }^{6} 8{ }^{\circ}$ | 2.3 |
| 4 antralia | 0.7 | $1 \cdot 4$ | 1.1 | 0.8 | 20 | 1.7 | [ 1.8 | 1.7 | -1.5 | -09 | 1.7 | 14 | 0.0 | ${ }^{1} 10$ | $\therefore 1.3$ |
| Hongkobs . | 0.7 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 8.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 9.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Marritlas and Dependenclos | 1.8 | 0.6 | 1 | 11 | 0.0 | 0. 8 | 2.2 | $3 \cdot 1$ | 1 | \% $\quad \therefore$ | $0 \cdot 5$ | 0.3 | - | 04 | 14 |
| Bratian possestione). | 09.7 | 11.1 | 62.8 | 65.4 | 61.7 | ${ }^{57.1}$ | 63.8 | 414 | 58.8 | $88 \%$ | 104 | 47.5 | 49. | $10 \cdot 3$ | 47.4 |
|  | $2 \cdot 5$ | 7.5 | 8.5 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 10.9 | $0 \cdot 0$ | 18.8 | ,10.6 | $\bigcirc 10.8$ | 18.4 | 14.7 | 27.0* | $15 \cdot 0$ | 167 |
| $\cdots$ Onfled Stutem of Amerlea | 8.1 | 7.5 | . 6.8 | 7.0 | 11.9 | . $2 \cdot 9$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | 220 | 10:4 | B-1 | 10:1 | 88 | - $0 \cdot 6{ }^{\circ}$ | 9,5 | , 8.s, |
| Java . | 6.4 | 1.8 | 8.8 | 7.8 | $\cdot 1$ | 8.8 | 8,8 | 0 | . 8.7 | . 1.8 | 0.a | 00\% | gis, | 0.4 | . 0.4 |
| Praces | 1.5 | 6.0 | + 6 | 1.3 | -5 | a.2 | 0.0 | 4.8 | . | : 1.0 | . 4.4 | + $2.8=$ | - $0 \cdot 90$ | - 80 | : 88 |
| noly . . . | 1.0 | 3.8 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 8.0 | 2.8 | 100 | 8.2 | - 8 | 45 | '22 | $1 \cdot 2$ | 10. | 24 | 18 |
| Oalne (exclualve of Hongkong | $1 \cdot 1$ | 8.9 | $2 \cdot 8$ | 1's | 8.0 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 8.6 |  | 1.4 | 1.1 | ta | $1 \cdot 1$ |  | 0.8 |
| Itan Mal. | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | $0 \cdot 6$ | 1.8. | 1.9 | 0.7 | 2.3 | -, 76 | $\times 18$ | 0.8 | ${ }^{10}$ | - 17. | "0.7 | *1 |
| Unlon of Eoctalut . Boviot | 0.1 | 0.9 | 06 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 |  | , 0.1 | :1.8 | - 0.8 | 0.7 | - 1.0 |  | 0.5 |
| Reppablict, | $0 \cdot 9$ | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | $0 \cdot 3$ | .0.0 | 15 | 0, | - 40 | 8.8 |  | " $1 \mathrm{x}^{\prime}$ |  | 1.8 |
| Bolelam | 29 | 6.8 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | , 1.8 | 87 |  |  | $\therefore 8 \times$ | \% | - $0 \cdot 2$ | 8.6 | ${ }^{11}$ |
| cormasy. . | 6.4 | 0.8 | 8.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.8 | $\cdots$ | 40 | $\because 2$ | - 6.8 | 220, | -97 | 4 | $0 \cdot 8$ |
| Autria (0) . . | 2.4 | 8.5 | 9.9 | $0 \cdot 2$ | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.8 | -0.2 |  |  |  | ${ }^{-8} 8^{*}$ | $\therefore$ |  |
| Tofal (manomite otivit fotyme constitis) | \$0, | 88.9 | 477 | $8 \mathrm{c}-8$ | 10:8 | beg | 8i-8. | bst | aiv | 812 | $\%$ ¢8:0 | 528: | Sors | 7 | 8 |


 thr oalbndar years 1034, $193 \overline{3}$ and 1936 with respbotive pre-war averages*
(In milulone of $\&$ aterilof $)$

 $t$ Trleunifitiveraga

(c) Malaudang èlurer ba,lon
 (b) Kot ayallable.
(c) Ingluding eijver builion and gold bullion obtalned from minlug operations.
(t)


 utiable, have bean charged the duty bet which are mbeanently re-ervorted.

No. 12--Value of Trade with prinotral Countriss, distngeuisbina Prinotial Abtiches.

| Ahutubs | Pro-mar avarage | 1934-85 | 1085-96 | 1096-37 ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNITED EXPGDOM. |  |  |  |  |
| Imports thorefrom- |  |  |  |  |
| Animats, living-*: | 5,02 | 7,07 | 8,88 | 8,94 |
| Apparel | 50,29 | 14,78 | 10,10 | 28,03 |
|  | 80,60 | ${ }_{6}^{18,88}$ | 89,84 | 83,76 |
| \% Aspentos okher manufataria |  | 17,87 | 11,52 | 9,45 |
| - Asbentoin michinaty : |  | 10,68 | 9, 4 | 0.84 |
|  | 88,26 | 88,06 | 85,14 18,20 | 91,68 |
| Books and printot matter | (1,05 | 48,78 | 4,48 | 47,70. |
| Boots and shoes ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 61,38 | ${ }^{0} 11$ | 0, ${ }^{3}$ | 5,29 |
| Cailding materials, | 50,89 | 28.07 | 80,79 | 24.79 |
| Chrrarcs and carta | 19,27 |  | 6,02 | 6,33 |
| Culna diay ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 60,55 | 1,60,61 | 1,74,18 | 1,60,90 |
| Cosiland coko' | 41,98 | 8,02 | 2,82 | 3,60 |
| Cordage and ropo - | 4,39 | 8,13 | 2,04 | 4.21 |
| Raw. | 58,67 | 11,00 | 25 | 47 |
| Twist and yarn: | 0,85,18 | 1,00,62 | 97,46 | 78,87 |
| Gray | 90,78,55 |  | 1,82,00 |  |
| Whte ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | 10,87,38 | 4,61,62 | 8,80,88 | 8,44,78 |
| - Colorured | 11,20,78 | 6,06,70 | 8,77,67 | 2,94,07 |
| Cotiory manatactarem | 1,40,25 | 84,58 | 81,25 | 78.19 |
| Cyclos and parta | 20,40 | 80,38 | 88,798088 | ${ }^{9.18}$ |
| \% Drags and modicines | 64,03 | 71,00 | 74,79 | 76.78 |
| Dyeing and tanuing mabntances | 8,00 | 8t,40 | 88,17 | 38,54 |
| Earthanware and poreelain | 80.50 | 14,48 | 14,68 | 25.15 |
| $\underset{\text { Framimanufacturem: }}{\text { Fin }}$ | 28,98 10,84 | 10,78 | 17,985 | 11007 |
| Chasand glasomaró | 92, 26 | 12,85 | 19,80 | 10,01 |
| Misberdashery and milunery | 61,21 | 15.49 | 11,00 | 10,34 |
| Hardwart | 1,88,61 | 97.07 | 1,04,02 | 08,02 |
| insuryments, apparatas, aco. | 1,01,88 | 2,47,18 | 2,70,05 | 2,50,918 |
| Joweliory, etc. | 11,61 | 0.60 | 0.68 | 14,15 |
| Juta manatactures (matuding yern): | 10,09 | 1,79 | 2,85 | 1,00 |
| Ceather, including bides, tantied, ete. | \$8,59 | 25,80 | \% 4.48 | 25,60 |
| Alo, boor and porter | 45,64 | 18,42 | 47,08 | 45,81 |
| 8ptrit - | 69,47 | 9, 18 | 90,04 | 88,98 |
| Minen ${ }^{\text {Machinery and millwork: }}$ | 18,68 | 0.0.89 | ${ }^{8} 8,78$ | 7,01 |
| Manures | -1,97,69 | 0,15,99 | ${ }^{9,25,582}$ | -96,90 |
| Aluminlum *- |  |  |  |  |
| Alaminiam : : | 6,988 | 17,00 | 14,88 | 12,08 |
| Oopper : | 1,07,45 | 61,97 | 84,81 | 22,07 |
| iron or iteel | [83,88 | 8.17 | 2,88 | 2.21 |
| Lron or steel | 0,06,19 | 8, 29,97 | \% 5 50,46 | 2,03,02 |
| grats | 4202 | 89,54 | 48,36 | 39,28 |
| Yine. | 24,85 | 7,01 | 6.58 | 2,04 |
| Yrotor vehkelei and parta ibereof | 97, 68 | 180,14 | + 18,38 | 18,86 |
| Ostar mberral : | 92, | 2,88,58 | 1,87,65 | 1,89,38 |
| ${ }^{2}$ Fesstable, animai, etc. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 27,70 | 11,01 | 10,70 | 11.81 |
|  | 4,988 | \$, 21 | 8,18 | 3.60 |
| $\sqrt{\text { Painta and palntors' matariala }}$ | 88.00 | 65,65 | 86.14 | 62.76 |
| $\checkmark$ Papar and pastoboard | 73,44 |  |  | 85,87 |
| Pluch end tar : | 8,72 98 | 21,85 | \%3,84 | 1,47 |
| Etacloris monea - | 9,48 | 21,008 | 2,800 | 3,08 |
| Patating materialt . | 14,70 | ${ }_{1}^{176,58}$ | 1, 88.04 | 17,30 |
| Provialoms | 1,34,15 | 1,80,58 | 1,78,82 | 1,78,50 |
| Rubber romufactares, Inciading tyres, oto. | -16,45 | 2,59,70 | 1,40,00 | 1,54,01 |
| gatt ita 0. | 98,49 | . 78 | O | 1,10 |
|  | 18,00 19,07 | 2, ${ }^{2,56}$ | 8,75 | 3, 8.6 |
| Sosp e - | 50,59 | 59,00 | 97.67 | 20.80 |
| Btadorary : - : | 41,44 | 16,17 | 38,20 | 24,76 |

No. 12-continued
(In thousende of Bupees

'Figartill prior to 10q4-26 reprerent Hornmenla

No, 12-continued
(In thonsand of Rotpees)


No. 12-continued
An thousede of Bupees)



No. 12-oontinued
(Kin thomands of Eupeet)


* Menare podar to 1984-25 ropresani Hocumet,

No. 12-continued
(In thousands of B-npeea)


No. 12-conlinuted
(In thormafi of Buped)

| Artionin | -Pre-war a verage | 194845 | 1085-80 | 1086-37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SETRERLANBS-conld. |  |  |  |  |
| Cotr manataotarem | 731 | 12,88 | 10,08 | 8.09 |
| Cottod, raw | 7,88 | 88.00 | \$8,39 | 50,59 |
| Jute, rav | ${ }^{38} 88$ | 80.60 | 18,47 | 20,80 |
| Lac, : $\quad$ : | 6,06 | 4,72 | 9,09 | 8,81 |
| Ofleakee : | 14 | 88. | 4,98 | 10,88 |
| Parafinn wax: : |  | 10,37 | 29,09 | 15006 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Groundnata . . | 26 | 1,20,61 | 1,56,27 | 2,12,78 |
| $\underset{\text { Lloseed }}{\text { Laped }}$ | 20,08 | ,88 | 1,48 | 9,59 |
| Whape | ${ }^{4,09}$ | 7,00 | 8,36 | 7,89 |
| Otherartictes ${ }^{\text {en }}$ | 83, 31 | 20,s0 | -81,58 | 48,18 |
| "For orderser eargoos: | c, | , | - | 4,00 |
| Tos | 8,84,02 | 8,98,74 | 3,70,69 | 4,47,08 |
| Mriscollaneonal articlen | 91 | 2,04 | 2,80 | 1,75 |
| ITALI: |  |  |  |  |
| Importa theredrom- |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Apparel }}$ Art, works of ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0,98 | ${ }_{2} 208$ | 1,60 | ${ }_{60} 8$ |
| Buliding matocials : | 2,57 | 285 | 8.28 | 2,46 |
| Buttons - |  | 245 | 1,08 | 1,18 |
| Chemicale Dyeling and tanning sabatinoci***** | 4,78 | 18,79 | ${ }_{8}^{11,03}$ | 1, ${ }^{1,64}$ |
| Fruta and vegotablee . . | 8,48 | 18,29 | 15,70 | 20,05 |
| Glimatrare - | 4,28 | 2,95 | 2,54 | 1,48 |
| Instrumenta : | 1,70 | 876 | 7818 | 8,91 |
| Mquorn | 1,48. | 12,10 | 12, 10 | 8, 18 |
| Mratoral |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminium | 0 | 18 | 16 | 4 |
| Braman ellvar : | 1,09 | ${ }^{5}$ | 28 | 8 |
| German ilver : : |  | 8, 8 | 5,87 | ${ }_{1}^{1880}$ |
|  | 67 | 6,37 | 4,81, | 1,18 |
| Paporand pastoboard. | ${ }_{70}^{68}$ | 2,88 | 1,78 | ${ }_{56}$ |
| Rabbar manafactaram | Is | 1,67 | 68 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Toxtles- |  |  |  |  |
| Artharina sme yarn - |  | 4685 | 20,89 | 11,89 |
| Cotton, 限W | ${ }_{4}^{11}$ |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| ", manuactura | 45,92 | 8,00 | 8,80 | 3,78 |
| Maberiasbery sut millinery | 10,88 | 8,77 | 6,18 7,45 | 8.00 |
| - Goods of stildial sall mimet whit other | 10,88 | 8,08 | 7,81 | 181 |
|  | 87,80 | 71,41 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 4}, 28 \\ & 12,07 \end{aligned}$ |
| Exporta of Tidian produce- Torla | 1,44,84 | 8,01,72 | 4,00,29 | 1,21,14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8,18,88 | 2,84,78 | 1,41,78 | 1,70,18 |
| Yatermw | 1,14,9p | 1,27,4 | 80,57 | 1,40,0: |
|  | 8,97 | 16,70 | ${ }^{\text {0,78 }}$ | 9,58 |
| Boods-1. |  |  |  |  |
| to Groundmata : | 18,71 | 59,88 | 29,90 | 1,07,96 |
| 2- ${ }_{\text {Linoed }}^{\text {Lipe }}$ : | 54,86 | 18.07 | 8,86 | 920 |
|  | 27,80 |  | 1 | 7,76 |
|  | 1, 1,0 | ${ }^{1}$ | 1,68 | 1.46 |
| Whent : | 87,14 |  |  |  |
| Othar artaclea* <br> "For codese eargon: : : | 21,88 | -6,78 |  | "E1,28 |
| (ixporta of Fortign merohandfor- To | 7,01,61 | 6,83,00 | 1,61,80 | 8,46,65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6,09 | 8.29 | 2,11 | 73 |

No. 12-continued
(In thonasadie of Engees)


No. 12-cominued
(In thousande of Racmes)


No. 12-continued
(In thousantis of Rupeos)


No. 12-continued

| Anmowes |  |  | (In thouraqde of Rupees) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-w..... Aresagt | 1084-85 | 1086-86 | 1980-37 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { TTALUN EAST AFRIGA (EOMALHAND } \\ \text { AKD RRLCR (RA). } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Importa theratrom- <br> Galt <br> Other ariteles |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8,58 | 1,98 | -* 8 | $\cdots$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6,00 | 1, 58 | 8 | ** |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}8,4 \\ 7.08 \\ 78,20 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,84 8,28 6,78 | 87 8,18 0.10 | $\because \begin{gathered} 46 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| totas | 12,57 | 10,20 | 18,79 | 1,10 |
| Bifporta of Foreign merchandisoHilscaliancous artiolet Mavercius. | 1,79 | 41 | 8,25 | 1,00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,68,24 | * 47 | $\because 85$ | - 80 |
|  | 2,44,22 | 47 | 38 | 39 |
| Xiports of Indlan prodacoGrain, palec and four- |  |  |  |  |
| Miee (not in the hails) - . | 74,20 | 47,01 | 47,81 | 67,68 |
| $\underset{\text { Other sorte }}{\substack{\text { wheat } \\ \text { cose }}}$ | 8,74 | 700 | 78 | 8.89 |
| Jote manufactarea : | 0,28 8,18 | 7,50 | 7,95 | \%,19 |
| Olls, vegotatio | 8,18 | 3,78 | 8,60 | 6,18 |
| Otherasticios: | 18,08 |  | 21,02 | 10,82 |
| Tozar | 1,25,29 | 80,76 | 87,04 | 88,69 |
|  | 4,77 | 78 | 80 | 1,18 |
| USION OF SOUTH AFBIOA. |  |  |  |  |
| Inports therafromGarls for taming Conl and coke Other articite | 11,07 1,48 | 12,85 $\mathbf{7 , 1 2}$ $\mathbf{8 , 2 8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 8 , 2 0} \\ & \mathbf{5}, 50 \\ & \mathbf{1 2}, 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}10,28 \\ 8,48 \\ 8,4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Torac | 18,25 | 25,90 | 21,28 | 36.18 |
| Erporta of Indlan produco-- Jute, | 39,83 | A5,58 |  |  |
|  | 1,89 | 0, 11 | 10,60 | 13.38 |
|  | 8,16 | 0,0 | 11,08 | 11, 1 , 89 |
|  | 88, 8.88 | 24,88 | 97, 32 | 86,98 |
|  |  |  | 88,62 |  |
| TOPAL | 1,02,10 | 1,40,4 | 2,60,47 | 1,71,04 |
| Exporta of Forelga Mrerchandion17ncollinteous | 8,72 | 2,00 | 1,90 | 1,86 |
| 213 |  |  |  |  |

No. 12-continued
(In chousande of Inges)


No. 12-contrnued
(In thousade of Eupeci)


No. 12-continued
(In thoumade of Ruprea)


No. 12-continued
(In thogsanify of Eapens)


No. 12-continued
(In throutande of Enqpeos)


No. 12-oontinued.
(Is thotmande of Rupmas)


No. 12-continued
(In thorinande of Bupeos)

| ARHICHEA | Pro-war average | 1984-85 | 1985-86 | 1086-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OHENA (RXCLUSIY OP HONGKONG <br> AND Lacio -conta. <br> Bxporta of Forelma merchandilst- <br> Khedlaneove articlea | 1,80 | 1,07 | 67 | $75^{\circ}$ |
| FRBNCH INDO-CHINA: <br> Importa theratrom Slee Othere aticicles | 07 | $\begin{gathered} 60,88 \\ 8,77 \end{gathered}$ | 68,70 $.2,88$ | 87,15 8,56 |
| romaris | 1,01 | 68.80 | 68,06 | 40,71 |
| Rxporta of Tudian and Yorolkn produopCotton, raw Jote manufactures". <br> Opium <br> Other articies | 1,08 $\mathbf{8 2 , 6 7}$ 29,07 8,48 | 13,08 27,94 6,80 1,40 | $\begin{gathered} 11,50 \\ 4,88 \\ \cdot=1,88 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,28 \\ 54,74 \\ -1,18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | 6,76 | 49,62 | 67,14 | 88,15 |
| Importe theretrom JAPAN: |  |  |  |  |
| Ale, beer and porter | 3 | 6,20 | 6,18 | 6,01 |
| Anmindum: |  | 85,08 | ${ }_{28,10}^{11,58}$ | 16,09 |
| Aplinelal ailz : - | 0,17 | 2,70,68 | 2,68,98 | 8,6s, 07 |
| Robbins |  | 7,79 | ${ }^{78,71}$ | 7,12. |
| Breat, bronze, ete. | 18 | 18.809 | 18,68 | 20,85 |
| Brushes and broom: | 4 | 2.50 | 2,10 | 1.89. |
| Battons of all morts |  | 11,56 | 10,01 | 1788 |
| Camphar : | 11,49 | 18,18 | 22,09 | 11.86 |
| Carriage : : | 11 | 8,700 | 1,28 | 1,80 |
| Chomicals : | 93 | 8001 | 85,96 | 28,87 |
| Cocki nod Fintchai | 1,38 | 7.69 | 8,34 | 7,96 |
| Coal and coke | 7,09 | ${ }^{84}$ | -1408 | 5.5 |
| Copper ${ }^{\text {Cotton, }}$ : | 27,09 | 10, 57 | 16,06 | 16,5 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\cdots$ hosjary | 65.28 | 50,68 | 48,12 | 28,91 |
| \# plocegoods : : | 6,10 . | 8,10,68 | 0,88,26 | 5,67.98 |
|  | 8,12 | 2,16,10 | 2,70,84 | 1,37 31.2 |
| Orcion and paty edit |  | 18.90 | 28,32 | 10,22 |
| Drugn and dedicinen (other than enmphor) | 1,72 | 8,39 | 0,10. | 6,01 |
| Rarthanwareand porcelaln | 4,23 | 26.58 | 20,15 | 26,77 |
| Purnlture and cablnetware | 71 | 0 | 1,08 | 2,20 |
| Bundes ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | 17,71 | 18,58 | 14,88. |
| - Deady and fahe pearlm: | 7,61 | 4,04 | 6,18 | 18,882 |
| Bratios and phala Fupneis globeg eto : | 1,00 | 12,05 | 16,78 | 13,88 |
| Tablowira | 1,41 | 4,4 | 8.76 | 8,62 |
| Othert | 3,85 | 25,18 | 25,00 | 24,68 8188 |
| Eanduara | 10,88 8,60 | 82,15 | 85,62 | 81, 16 |
| Instrumente : | 85 | 81,29 | 31,43 | 81,27 |
|  |  | 23,06 | 48,16 | 48,60 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}88,40 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 11,25 | 17,04 | ${ }^{18,56}$ |
| $\sqrt{\text { Painta and pointerit malerinls: }}$ |  | 8.07 | 11,s8 | 10,24 |
|  | 65 1,57 | 10.51 8,06 | 11,68 | ${ }_{16,88} 12$. |
| Habber manitactares |  | 10.06 | 12,02 | 15,981 |
| Blik, raw minutu | -9,15 | 2147 | ${ }^{2} 245$ | 96, 28 |
| 8plon, singer | 1,21,45 | 2,09,50 | 1,68,58 | 1,80 24 |
| Stentonery (emchatug papor) | x, ${ }^{17}$ | 9,58 | 13,21 | 14,565 |
| Toilet reoutalies ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{87}$ | 1.48 | 66 | 1,62 |
| Toyiand requisiteaforgames: | 8,16 | 80.58 | 26,16 | 24,38 |
|  | 4,98 | 17891 | 18,99 | 11,84 |
|  |  | ${ }^{2} 88$ | ${ }_{685}$ | ${ }^{2,05}$ |
| Yoollem minafartarta Diberafticlas | 0, ${ }^{8}$ | 1,88.10 | 1,84,20 | 88,68 |
| Torsil | 3,44,85 | 20,70,68 | 81,84,82 | 21,27,26 |

No, 12-concinued.
(In thoransid of Rupon)

| Anriones | ${ }^{\text {Prentrar }}$ srace | 1044-35 | 1036-56 | 1036-47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JAPAN-conte |  |  |  |  |
| Exports of Indisn prodieo - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14,50,70 | 21,65,20 | 17,04.33 | 88,41,77 |
| lron and steel ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 15,24 | 67,86 | 1,05,10 | 25,71 |
| Jate, raw | 10,84 | 11,818 | 1, 89.60 | 87.05 |
|  | 10.93 | 48,01 | 81,00 | 00,69 |
|  | 287 | 28,70 0.76 | 18,78 64.50 | 880.98 |
| Mranares | 6,59 |  | ${ }^{45}$ | 14 |
| Olleakes | 15,19 | B,68 | 2,72 | 4,68 |
| Pparamin wax : | 6,70 | " 10 |  | ${ }^{1,14}$ |
| Prolse Plotiot the hrib) | \%,19 | 0.30 | 10,30 | 24. |
| Other articles | 1,88,99 | 2,78 50,87 | 76,88 | 86,18 76,70 |
| Toras | 18,84,68 | 26,50,18 | 81,88, $n$ | 80,07,18 |
| mrporta of Porelgn merohandies- |  |  |  |  |
| Yirceliansonsasticlet | 1,00 | 迷,19 | 10,72 | 25,06 |
| \%Av |  |  | - |  |
| Importe therstiome |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2,98 |  | ${ }^{*} 1,51$ |
| Itquers $\quad: \quad$ : | 2.65 | 6,50 | 88.8 | 2,67 |
| Sugar. : : | 0, 29,33 | 1,49,06 | 1,40,10 | 18,00 |
| Otherarifoles: | $7{ }^{7}$ | 18,40 | 20, 80 | 20,28 |
| Tonts | 0,85,00 | 1,00,03 | 1,74,40 | 4, 86 |
| Sxporta of Indian produce-- |  |  |  |  |
| Jato, gunay bagi : : |  | 80,00 | 35,60 | 60,50 |
| Rioo (not to thit huil) | 1,76.68 | 15,34 | -2,78 |  |
| Other articios | 15,69 | 7819 | 7,01 |  |
| Tosis | 3,88,74 | 40,54 | 48*8 | 70,0\% |
| Exporta of Foroign merchanalionMacelinmognaifticien | 1,12 | 1.41 | 1,24 | 1.68 |
| Importa theretrom- IRAF. |  |  |  |  |
| Grath and vegetabian drid, malted, ote. | 18,60 8,74 | 8,00 | 8,18 |  |
| Olts mineral. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4,09 | 1,86,47 | 2,12,08 | 3,06,50 |
| Proctounstanes, eto. | ${ }_{\text {8, }}^{8,28}$ | 1,11 | ${ }_{07} 1$ | - 8 |
| Other artiolea* : : | 189 | $11,<1$ |  | 0,84 |
| Tonas | 68,40 | 2,08,51 | 288, 48 | 2,17,07 |
| Teporteol Indlan prodireoCothan,twist and yam * manulacturea: | 18,05 | 8,8,200 | 14,4 | 18, |
|  | 10,85 | 28 | \% | g |
| Others - . | 8,38 | 3 |  |  |
| Indleo Ten, bisel : | 1,09 |  |  | Pe. 60 |
| Ohaterticlen* | 12,61 |  | $12,49$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 7 6}$ |
| Topar | BE, 18 | 85,40 | 43,08 | 1,40,45 |

No. 12-continued.
(In thousande of Rupeas)


## No. 12-oontinued,

(In thociandid of Engeen)



No. 12-continued.
(In thouminde of Rapees

(d) Lnoluded In *Turicoy in dida " prior to loensen
(b) Includten Iract prior to 1021-9

Na. 12-moncluded.
XIn thouscise of Ruptial)


No. 13.-Imports of סotton piedgaods.
(In thoumands of yated)


No. 13--continued
(In thopsands of yatds)


No. 13-A.- PROLUCTION, TMPORTS, MILL AND OTHER CONSUMPTION, RXPORTS AND RE-EXPORTB OF RAW OOTTON.
(In Iakhe of balen)


 than moce taken.
t Boproveng fgures complod by the Indlan Contral Cottom Committoen Bombey.

No. 14:-Production mis the indian amis of cotton pirobgocids.
(In thousande of yatita)


No. 15-A.-Imports of cotton twist and yarn from prinuipal countries.
(In thousands of lban)


No. 15-B.-Imports of cotton twist and yarn by counts.
(In thomands of lbis)


No. 15-0.-Importa of ootion twist and yabn fioy 1933-34'to 1936-37 by Olasseg brom the principal supflyisg oountries.
(Ia thomasds of tm )


No. 16,-Produotion in the indian milus of cotton twist and yarn by counts.
(In thonsente of Ibe.)


No. 17.-Tmports of raw silk, sili yarn and silik piegegoods.
(In throusade of Rapeen)


No. 18.-ImPORTS OF WOOLIEN PIEGEGOODS.
(In thousande of Rapsea)

|  | Cothrites |  | Fre-war sperage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wiff } \\ & \text { avarage } \end{aligned}$ | Post-mer average | 10935-96 | 1086-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\square$$\vdots$ | $: \quad:$ | 1,40,44 | 1,21,58 | 1,63,84 | 48,50 | 41,56 |
|  |  |  | 89,83 | 8.02 | 7,18 8,61 | 8.70 | 5,47 |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{\mathbf{1}, 78}$ | ${ }^{1,81}$ | 1,20 | 21 | 19 |
|  |  |  | 10,86 | 88.85 | 7,80 | $1{ }^{16}$ | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | 0,80 | 8,18 | 30,612 | 8, ${ }^{1,24}$ |
|  |  |  | 8,96 | 1,54 | 1,90 | 1,57 | 1,07 |
|  |  | Toras | 2,00,78 | 1,87,46 | 1,88,71 | 81,10 | 4,89 |

No. 19.-Imports and deol irld valoz or iron and stieki.
(Quantitien in hundrede of tons)

(a) Higurea for 1918-14 exolude ratia, phaira sud tabplates for tallway

No. 20--Imports of iron and steel.

(In lakha of Eqnees)

| (1i)) Ifantifnetured Ironand Steol (excluding ple-Iron and old tor re-manufacturlog)-. United Klugdom <br> Contifnent <br> United Staten of Americt <br> Other countries | 7,58 $\mathbf{2 , 9 1}$ $\mathbf{8 9}$ 80 | 8,60 $\mathbf{5 8}$ $\mathbf{8 , 8 5}$ $\mathbf{6 8}$ | 18,16 4,14 8,48 11 | 4,06 2761 85 4 | 8,88 $\mathbf{2}, 01$ 80 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Torax | 11,08 | D,57 | 20,84 | 7,20 | 5,93 |

No. 21.-Imports of machinery and midlwokx.

| , . |  |  |  | (Tithonamids of Fupees) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ondted Kingdom | - | 4,97,69 | 4,80,78 | 17,60, ${ }^{2}$ | 0,26,52 | 0.26 .80 |
| United States of Amerios | - - | 2f.55 | 66,81 | 3,10,76 | 2,17.18 | 1,25.85 |
| Japan *i. - | - . . | 68 | 18.47 | 0,01 | 12,04 | 18, 81 |
| Italy - | - . . - | 1,44 | 2,37 | 4,97 | 12,40 | 8.18 |
| Gorinany : | - $\quad$. | 50,27 | 9.40 | 98,89 | 1, 04,16 | 2,02,88 |
| Obber countetes | - - . | 8,65 | 8,37 | 4,4,05 | 1,80,6\% | 1,88,01. |
|  | Tome | 6, 61,14 | 5,18,87 | 21,64,94 | 18,07,00 | 14,18,94 |

Nó. 22.-Tmports of motor oars, oycles, wagons and accebsories,
(In thousinde of Rupesa)

t The Dgures reprement thone for 1018-14 ondr.

No. 22.-- Mmports of motor cars, oxoles, wagons and aocessobie 3-contd


No. 23.-Imports of hardware, exoluding Cutlery, etc.


- Average for two jears, 1912-18 and 1918-14.
(In thoonandy of $I$ upena).

|  | Prevar avarige | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yiar } \\ \text { average } \end{gathered}$ | Pond-war evorige | 1036-36 | 1988.87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1,87,45$ 87,009 18093 03,41 8,54 1,68 | $\begin{gathered} 24,78 \\ 50,21 \\ 5,57 \\ 1,44 \\ 80 \\ 5,25 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 29.07 16,50 18 25.87 10.20 16,16 |
| T07al | 8,07,00 | 70,71 | 1,01,40 | 1,16,02 | 80,30 |
|  | 4,54 $\mathbf{8 , 7 3}$ 1,00 | 40,58 2,78 1,35 | 48,18 <br> 8.18 <br> $\mathbf{2 , 7 3}$ | $\begin{array}{r}7,00 \\ 8,68 \\ \hline 1,16\end{array}$ | 57,07 1,89 84 |
|  | 51,87 | 46,61 | c0,03 | 77,79 | 59,80 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 14,85 \\ 8,75 \\ \ddot{3}_{3,28}^{28} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,34 \\ 12,24 \\ 24 \\ 5,04 \\ 8,04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,60 \\ & 6,81 \\ & 60 \\ & 1,88 \\ & \mathbf{1 , 7 8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,88 \\ 5,48 \\ 11 \\ 8,78 \\ 84,00 \end{array}$ |  |
| Toras | 22, 11 | 2280 | 80,16 | 51,90 | 33,80 |
| Ineap (exeludilog aro)United Ringdom Othar countiries | $\begin{array}{r} 18,99 \\ 4,42 \end{array}$ | 10,50 10,50 | 4,4,56 | 4,90 | 4,58 |
| Torar | 18,41 | 20,18 | 10,20 | 5,14 | 5,81 |
|  | 5,188 12,10 4,126 1,26 | $\mathbf{2}, 51$ 1,15 8,18 8,66 | 28,80 <br> 16,46 <br> 182 <br> 18,59 | $\begin{aligned} & 14,82 \\ & 1,8,08 \\ & 18 \\ & 15,00 \end{aligned}$ | 12,00 18,68 18,68 18.108 |
| Toras | 28,46 | 10,77 | 87,80 | 45,22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68,44 } \\ & \underline{=} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 87,99 11,74 89,50 | $\left.\begin{gathered} 1,95,42 \\ 85,14 \\ 4,04 \\ 4,04 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | 80,45 <br> 67,111 <br> 7,08 | 28,68 80.10 85,81 |
| Torat | 11,06 | 78,68 | 2,75,67 | 1,44,20 | 00,54 |
|  Other countrice | $\begin{aligned} & 20,54 \\ & 8,87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14,27 \\ 6,87 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.97 \\ & 10,38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,75 \\ & 3,45 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{11,97}$ |
| Toras | 24,41 | 20,14 | 29,65 | 40,20 | 81,28 |
| Foralimerais (oxoluding orom and Iron and steol). | 4,58,00 | 2,77,80 | 8,02,20 | 4,80,28 | 3,78,4 |

No, 25.-Imports of bugar (exioludne molasses and confectionery).
fin handrode of tons


No. 26.-Imports of mineral olls.
In thounands of Rupeen)


No. 27.--Imports of oement.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | hendre | of tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ooumpinis |  |  | Pro-war averige | War average | Poak-war Average | 10:35-86 | 1086-37 |
| Talted Kingdom |  |  | 108,0 | 69,7 | 94,2 | 474 | 88,4 |
| .Japar . - | . | . - . | $\cdots$ | 14,8 | 0,6 | 0,0 | 8,8 |
| Hongkang * | - | * - | 2,2 | 788 | 8,6 |  |  |
| iltaly . | - | - - - | 1,8 | 7 | - | 1 | 1 |
| . Other countrife | - | . | 18,8 | 2,9 | 18,6 | 1,7 | 8,8 |
|  |  | Toras | 180, ${ }^{2}$ | 95,4 | 118,9 | 88,8 | 80,6 |



No. 28.-Exports of raw jute.


No. 29.-Produotion, milli conatmption and exports of raw jute.
(In Jakbs of balen)


No, 30-A.-Exports of jute gunny bags and olote. Bage-Hessian.
(In lathe of bera)


No. 30-A.-Exports or jotid gunny bags and cloti-contd.

## Bags-Saoking.

(In lakhs of bags)

| Covirimia | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avarage } \\ & \text { of } 8 \text { mar } \\ & \text { Yeearit } \\ & 106-17 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { 1018-19 } \end{aligned}$ | Post-war average: | 1985-85 | 1080-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Onlted Kingdom Aumbrala Inpma Prandi Indo-chan |  | $\begin{array}{r}805 \\ 470 \\ 186 \\ 148 \\ 205 \\ 51 \\ 249 \\ 89 \\ 1,174 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}145 \\ \\ \\ -\quad 191 \\ -\quad 198 \\ \\ \hline 190 \\ \hline \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 291 \\ 297 \\ 287 \\ 289 \\ 266 \\ \mathbf{2 6 6} \\ 81 \\ 79 \\ 79 \\ \mathbf{8 , 1 0 2} \end{array}$ |
| Tonit (AIL Comsmaras) | 8,029 | 8,062 | 2,407 | 4,287 |
|  | fessian |  | (In | ha of yarde) |



-
-
(In Lakhs of yards)

| 1 | Comatrise |  | Average of 8 war 1916-17 so 2918-19 | Poat-mat sverage | 1098-86 | 1086-87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Galted KlagdomAutralla <br> Uplted gitatei of Americi Argentlin Repabifa Other countrles <br> TOTAE (AVE OOUNHETES) |  |  | $\begin{array}{c\|cc} : & - & 108 \\ : & - & 76 \\ : & & 80 \\ : & & 66 \end{array}$ |  |  | 98 <br> 98 <br> 18 <br> 68 <br> 198 |
|  |  |  | 68 | 87 |  |
|  |  |  | 128 | 10 |  |
|  |  |  | 66 | 68 |  |
|  |  |  | 46 | 189 |  |
|  |  |  | 808 | 505 | 859 | 380 |




No. 30-B.-Exports of juti conniz tiags and choth.


No. 31.-Exporta of raw cotton.
(In thomands of balea of 400 lbm )



No. 32-A.-Exports of cotton tritist and yarn,
(Th thoumands of the.)


No. 32-B.-Exports of cotton twist and yarn by counts.
(In thounsads of Iben)


No. 33.-Exports of indian arey and coloured cotton piegraoods.
(a) Grey (unbleacbed).
(In thounsads of yarda)

(b) Coloured, printed or dyed.


- firure prioc to 10en-i2 relato to Turivy, Arlatic.

No. 34.-Exports of Indian tea.
(In thomands of Ita.)


No. 35-A.-Exports of seeds.

## Iinaerd.

(fin bundrede of toms)


Rape.


(b) Figurea prior to iazl-gy inctorin Irag.


No, 35-A.-Exports of seedg-contd.
Sesamum (Til or Jinjili).
(In hundreds of tose)


Groundnut.


Cotron.


Castor.


No. 36-B.-Shares of prinotpal countries in tee total value OF KXPORTS OF SEEDS.
(In thoumands of Bupeea)

(e) Flyurea prior to 14x1-92 raprement Austria-Hungary.

No. 36,-Exports of RIOE NOT IN THE: HDSR.
(In thoremaile of tons)


No. 37.-Exports of Wheat.
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No. 38.-Exports of LaO.
(In owta)


No. 39.-Exports of Indigo.

(a) FIgruse petor to isel-29 tachude Iraq.
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No. 40.-Exports of Ofinm.
(In thountind or Bepeos)


Nonm.-This table exelades oplam exportod on Goverameat account.

No. 41--Exports of Hides and Seinga


## No. 41.-Exports of Hides and Skinj-contd.

(Tnthongande of Rapeen)


No. 42.-Exports of Manganese ore.
(In thonsands of tome)


No. 43.-Perofentage of exports of oertany prinofpal cobops to total PRODUCTION.

(a) KTot arailable.
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No. 44.-Impoits and Exports of Gohd, Sifver and Correnoy Notes.

ivo. 44.-Imports and Exfurts uf Gold, Saner and Cuhtenof Notes-contd.
(Xn thounande of Hapeow)







No. 46.-Absorption of Gold (boti coin and bullion) in India.


No. 47.-Baranoe of Trade of India*. -
(In Istle of Rupeai)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1888-84 | $1084-85$ | 198580 | 1036.87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 1888-89 | 1898-04 | Le08-00 | 100\%-04 | 1008-09 | 1018-14 | 1918-19 | 1923-24 | 1728.0y | 1983-44 |  |  |  |  |
| Exporth of Inding Merebnan deo (privito) | +84,90 | +1,00,50 | +1,08,26 | +1,2 L, 32 | +1,61,88 | +2,10,60 | +2,15,07 | +2.80,83 | +3,49,04 | +1.93,16 | +1,47, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | +1,51,67 | +1,60,62 | +1,96,18 |
| Ho-crports of Forolgn amorchandite (prjvate) | +8,50 | $+4,41$ | $+4,10$ | +8,20 | $+3,46$ | $+4,62$ | $+8,14$ | $+15,62$ | $+9,87$ | $+4,71$ | $+8,42$ | +8,55 | +8,77 | +0,24 |
| Import of Foralgu Mforchme dite (privato) | -88,52 | -67,75 | -60.70 | -78,48 | -1,11,85 | -1,45,05 | $-1,47,80$ | -2,48,81 | -2,89,11 | $-1,65,10$ | 1,15,00(0) | -1,81,80ic) | -1,88,25(6) | - $1,24,50$ (a) |
| Cianee of trade in Merchat- | +30,0\% | +37,16 | +57,64 | +48,09 | +53,43 | + 78.81 | +76,31 | + 53,14 | + $1,12,80$ | +49,76 | +35,61 | +23,42 | +30,54 | +77,77 |
| Gold (privito) Bllyer (private) Onrrency Notes (privato) | -8,08 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,10 \\ -19,21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2,25 \\ & -0,26 \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | 二 0.55 - 5,18 | $\begin{aligned} & -18,88 \\ & -8,11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -88,87 \\ -7,81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,81(A) \\ & -2,00(b) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -18,03(a) \\ -11,7 a(b) \\ -40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -89,60 \\ -10,76 \\ -18 \end{array}$ | $+30,78$ $-5,37$ +0 | + 57.05 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 1. } 68,64 \\ -37 \\ +87 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}+87,95 \\ -1,27 \\ +89 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +9786 \\ \mathbf{1 9 , 6 9} \\ +84 \end{array}$ |
| treanie (iftrale) - | -18,94 | -14,31 | $-6,59$ | -14,62 | -20,99 | -36,08 | -10,80 | -16,12 | -50,41 | +25,44 | +51,23 | +58,54 | + 36,37 | +14.56 |
| Tout phalde talmace al irade. | +18,0\% | +2x, 85 | +23,16 | +31,42 | +32,47 | +42,18 | $+85,51$ | + ${ }^{\text {m,02 }}$ | +68.38 | +68,28 | +92,90 | +76,96 | +66,91 | +98,27 |
| Purchase 6 acerllage by tho Beservo Bank of India | $-18,00$ | -21,81 | -28,07 | -27,70 | -85,60 | -41, ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | $\stackrel{(d)}{-d 4,00}$ | -15,45 | -89,84 | -87,77 | -69,04 | -41,89 | -43,58 | -70,87 |
|  | - | .. | ** | . | +2,41 | 46 | +5,50 | +0,48 | +881 | +5,84 |  | ** |  | (J) |
| Tragafort of Covernment Becp- <br> enterent drafte óp Indes ba | +17 | $+40$ | -58 | -88 | -50 | -87 | $-38$ | +88 | -87 | -11 | -11 | +88 | -5s. | -18 |
| reapo of of Government of Indim gecurt | -87 | -09 | -88 | $-70$ | $-56$ | -4 | 80 | -81 | -86 | -88 | -36 | -28 | -81 | -20 |
| Ealange of remaluaneet of faptu | -18.70 | -24,31 | -77, 43 | -20,34 | $-3485$ | -4, 61 | $-30,14$ | $-5,98$ | -40,09 | -84,87 | $-56,44$ | -J,78 | -46,45 | --7!,34 |


Norz-In these binlares plus ( + ) algalides net axport sad minus ( - not fmport
(a) Exchudos transactlons auch as gotd Imported or exported on bohslf of the Bank of England, whleh do not enter Lato India'e Balanes of Trade.
 sho oxcluded in 1918-19. The valuo of allver-othercoln' axported to Ceylon on pecount of the Geylon Government (as lakhs) and that to the stralt






(c) Exetuife of the value of rallpay matariats imported direct by 8 tate Raliways working under oompany managemon
() Includes got therofore takon into account in arriving et the Bitance of Trade,
(9) Includen R8S lakha betag the funda aupplicdhy Ginvernment to Gnance wheal parobagel,


No. 48.-Declared valus per untt of Prinotpal Imported artioles.


No. 48.-Drolared valul pir dint of Prinoipai Imported artioles-
continued


No. 49.-Deofarrd valide per unit of Pbinotpal Eifforted artiol re.




No. 50.-Wholesale Priges in India.


No. 50.-Wholesale Priges in India-continued.


No. bl:-Prices of comton, baw and Index Numbers of cotton, mat anc oloth in Liverpool.
(Compiled from F. W. Tattersall's Cotton Trade Review.)

-Basib-100 as Average prios, 1030, finoe Jmaugry 1937.
 Mabarinizs, Antwrar, Hamborg and Japang.



(a) Bata laid E:TMroch

- No. b3,-Impian Sla and Land Cubtomb Revgnur (bxoluding Sait Revinue).


1 a




|  | - | 1038-88. | 1988-84. | 1984-36, | 1085-86. | 1086-87. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{6}{\phi}$ |  |  | 2,80,68 $\therefore \cdots$ $\therefore \therefore$ $\therefore \quad \therefore$ $\cdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,07,89 \\ & \therefore \\ & 07,88 \\ & 1,64,84 \\ & 11,88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,84,06 \\ 61 \\ 1,88,61 \\ 2,89,04 \\ 86,06 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,04,94, \\ 5,08 \\ 2,58,77 \\ 2,88,56 \\ 83,75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +18,17 \\ +4,67 \\ +0,8,80 \\ +9,06 \\ -1,81 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 68,96,01 | 48,68,28 | 84,89,11 | 80,28,47 | 88,78,63 | - -388 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10,20 \\ 14,18 \end{array}$ | - 10,10 18,10 | $\begin{array}{r}10,02 \\ \hline 10,10\end{array}$ | 11,58 28,80 | 18,00 29,82 | $+1,88$ -67 |
|  | (a) Enclailve, up to December 1092, of duty collected on motor omnibusen, chasals, motor Fmi, lorries and parta thereof. <br> (b) Inclanive, op to Droamber 1098, of daty collected on motor omnibues, chasis, motor Fins, lorries and pirtis thervof. <br> (d) Inciadea fryuration for silk cocooní from 1084-85. <br> (d) Tranaferred to protective group with offeot from Int May, 1984; <br> (d) Include igures for athicial will fabricm from October to March. <br> (9) Eiguren not srallable. <br> (9) Bxclodes colleotlonis at Madras and Bombay for Aprid, 1094. <br> (i) Inclodes duty on hides, raw up to 1038 -94. Duty on aldns, raw was abolibhed under the Iodin Flannes Act, 1035, <br> (6) Relatan to "honlery excluging articios made of allk or artificia) ally" op to 1933-34. <br> (d) Figuras from October 1081 to statch, 1039, Included In Item No. 42. <br> (2) Includgs fabrics of ill mixed with other materis is ou to 1938-36. <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Theprint of the First and Second Sahedules appended to the Indian Tariff $\Delta$ ot, 1934 (as in operation on lat May, 1937) showing the rates" of Customs duties leviable on goods imported into and exported from British India.

The First Schedule.-Import Tarify.


Kio Ambmale and Producter of the Awnat Eingion.


Secrioz II.
Producle of the Vegetabls Ktredom.


 of the "Ladian Onatome Terif"


No. $54 .-T H E$ FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


Siction II-comed.
Producte of the Veadable Ktnoden-contd.


No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE--IMPORT TARIFF-continued.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { - Itom } \\ & \text { H0 } \end{aligned}$ | Sams of sutiole. <br> $t$ | Ifatare of daty. | Standind rate of duty. | Fraferential rete of duty It the article if the prodroo ar manvinctara of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | The Vnited Eingdom | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A Britinh } \\ & \text { Colong. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| groxion II-monclit |  |  |  |  |  |  |



8morion III.
 Animal or Vapatalis oripith


No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFE-continued.


8xorior IV.


| 14 | canned or bottiod bacon, ham and lard. | Reverua. | ${ }^{25}$ pelornm. | . .. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Le(1) | Ytab, ofmed . . | Froferenthal revenat. | ${ }^{80}$ par cont ad | 20 par cent | $20 \text { por pent }$ | $\cdots$ |
| 3 ct ) | Ingingaty canyed or | Revenue |  | $\cdots$ | - | - ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| 47 | Sagir, excluding oonter tionary. | Proteelite | The reto et whith escive duty fa for the thme beling leviable on sugar, other then mym sugar procuced in British Indis* plut ins. cmt. | $\bullet$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Moved, } \\ & \text { glot, } \\ & \text { Ioses, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 17(1) | Yoleman . . . | Revente | 814 per cent ad talorems. | * | ** | * |
| 17(2) | Conteetionaty . . | Preferential sevenac. | 50 parer cent ad | 40 per cont | - | ** |
| 17(8) | Anfar-easdy . - | Revenue | Ro. 10-8 per 0wt. | * | - | - |
| 18 | Cocos and ohocolsta, other thas oonfeationery. | Preferential revenue. | 30 par cest ad | 2i) per cent ad walortm. | .. | ... . |
| 10 | Blisenita, cakes, and fartmacoun and pateat roods, carned or | Rovenue | ${ }^{25}$ palorting. cent ad | - | ** | * |
| 20 | Vegelable producta, jams, jelles, plokcden, chatoles, macea and condiments, cenned or bottled. | Revenue | 25 per oent ad | $\cdots$ | -* | $\cdots$ |
| 2001) | Fruta juicos . . | Pretareatial sevenge revenue. | 80 per ceat ad | 20 per cant ad valorem. | 20 per ceant ad valosin. | $\cdots$ |
| 20(2) | Frults and Vegatables, cennod or botLed. | Praterential sevence. | ${ }^{80}$ valorem. Dent ot | 20 per ceant ad wionn. | 20 per vent ad malonem. | - |

[^7]No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.

gecrios IV-conti.



No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-TMPORT TARIFF-continued.

grocion IV-mend.



No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE--IMPORT TARIFF-continued.





Nó. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-MMORT TARIFF-continued.



| 48 | Tobaceo, thanufnetured, not otherwles apeoithod. | Revenua | 14. S-12 par lb. | * | ** | $\therefore *$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2t(1) | Clgare . . . . - | Revenue | 1124 per cent ad taloram. | ** | ** | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ |
| 21(8) | Cigarette $\quad . \quad *$ | Revenue | 25 per cent ad ratorem and in addstion elther Is. S.2 par thomand or fis. 8-4 per lb., whichover is higher. | ** | *** | ** |
| (8) | Tobecoo, unmapufaco tared. | Preforeattal revenue | Hs. $8-4$ per lb. $\quad$ : | ** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ho. } 212 \\ & \text { par } \mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{p}} \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ |

Bectios 7.
Mintral Proiucts.





No. 54.-THE FTRST SCRDDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.-


Mineral Producti-mated.


No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


Ohemical and Pharmoooubleal Productr; Colourt and Varnithas: Porfumary; Soag; Conalow and the thes: $-$


No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT' TARIFF-continued.




No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


BHOTION VI-contd.
1.

Ohamicel and Pharmacoutical Producte; Oolowrs and Farnfehes; Perfunery; Soap; Candise and the Liku; Gluat and Colations; Rxploticet; Rertilimers-contd.


No. $54 .-T H E$ FIRST SCHEDULE-TMPORT TARIFF-continued. .

 Chues and (adatimeti Explorioss; Feriticrere-contd.


No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-TMPORT TARIFF-continued.



No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued,


- The rate of axcho duty on the let Jmuary 2087, and until farthet notice fo ke, 1-8 par grow of boxee er moellets
 boonilota.
 artriction therenf.

No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-TMPORT TARIFF-continued.

groxiol VII-conts


| $30(1)$ | Fitdes and aldna. saw $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ antred. | -* | Free | ** | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80(2) | BKinh (other than For 8kins), tanned or dressed and unwroxght lasther. | Preferential revanue. | 90 par cant es | 20 per cent ad valorezs. | * | * |
| 87 | The following leather manufacturto, mamely, cranke and tags, | Bevenue | 25 per combld aqlormm. | ** | ** | ** |
| 87(1) | Isether cloth Incinding artificial lesther, and other mannfactures of leather not otherwiso - pecified. | Preferential roventro. | 80 per cent at | 20 per cont dad alontis. | $\because$ | -* |
| 88 | Fur Bkins, dreened | Preferential revemue. | so par ent ad | 20 par oant ad molorenn. | -* | - |

SEMEN YTIL.
Anuber and Articiet nacio of Eurberr,


Bration Ix



No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


Paper and to Applleatione


Nc. E4.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-MMPORT TARIFF-continued.


85criol $x$-amet
Paper and tes Applteations-antd.
45(1) Bookit, printed, inchailig oolan, printed, inctuding
covers for
booksinted
mand,
and pharts,
plans, and plans, proofs,
masio, manuscripte, and lifustrationi spectalty made for binding in books.

48(2)
Printe, Engravings and Phetures (includling phatographe and plotars poot carda) on


Searion XI.
Trectila Vateriala mat Toutile Geodis.

| 40 | Alls, tam (orelading allt Fante and malls), and sill exegons. | Proteotive | $25 \begin{gathered}\text { per cent ad } \\ \text { nalorem piut } \\ 14\end{gathered}$ annan per lb. | - | * | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mareb } \\ & \text { \$1at } \\ & 1989 . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40(1) | gille mate and noils . | Protective | 25 per cent ad andorem. | * | ** | Maroh 81st. 1980. |
| 40t) | Wool, raw, and wooltope. | $\cdots$ | Fre* * . | * | ** | ** |
| (00) | Cotton, Yav . . | Revenue | 8ix plea per lb. . | - $=$ * | * | * |
| 48(4) | Tertile materitha, the following:gaw fax hemp, juto and all othor mo mannfactured toxthlo minterials not otherwise mpectied. | Bevopua | Rew bemp-184 per cent ad valortm; all others-25 por cont ad palonam: | - |  | ** |
| 48(5) | glad and Aloo thre. | Profarentalal revenue. | 90 per cent ad | ** | 20 per cont ad baloren. | ** |
| 47 | Aple farn inolading thrown allk Farps and Farn pun from, allt Frate or nolle, bat oxctoding Eaving thraed. | Proteotive | 25 per copt ad matarers plup 14 anual per 16. | * | ** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maroh } \\ & 818{ }^{2}, \\ & 1098 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4Ti) | Silk sewing thrond | Protective | 25 per ount ad | 4- | * | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maroh } \\ & 810, \\ & 1050 \end{aligned}$ |
| 47ix | Artificial allk yarm nnd thread. | Rovenue | 25 per dent ad patorfin or 3 anma per lb., whicherar 4 thigber, | * | ** | ** |
| 47(3) | Woollen yarn not otherwisa spocilled. | Preferential rovenne. | As per cent ad a viloron | 25 per eesat ad tolorom. | ** | - |
| 474) | Woollen yard for wospIng and knilting wool. | Pralerentina sevennt. | tonper cent al | 20 par cent ed natorem. | ** | ** |
| 47 (8) | Cotton thread other than atwing or darting thres. | Hevenue | 25 per eont ad |  | * | ** |

No. 54.-THE EIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIEF-continued.


8ngrioz XI-conti.

Tratilo Matelate and Taxtle Goode-contd.


No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPOR'T TARIFF-continued.


Snotion XI-contd.
Tewtlle Mroteriale and Tertille Goodo-contd


284

No. 54.-THE FIRST SCEEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


Sequer xi-aent.
Tautle Meteriale and Textit Goodi-wante.


No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


Bronor XI-anud.
Tracili Materiale and ztentito Gopis-contd.


No. 54.-THE FLRST SCHEDULE,-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


SECTO: XIT.


| B4 | Bonta and shoes, nol otherwine epealitod. | Rovenes | 25 per eont at | * | - | ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E4(1) | Boota and Shoet compromither malnily of | Preferentis] готедия. | 30 per cent ad achorent or alz annas per palr, whichevar highor. | 20 per eent ad tutarem or 3 manas per palr, whichever La. blyhor. | * | ** |
| $54(8)$ | Dppers for boots and hoea unleat entirely made of leather. | Revemus | 25 part cont 4 malonsm or threa anans per paif, whichevar Migher. | ** | ** | - |
| E5 | Fats, caps, bonnets and battars' wart, not atherwlec mpealited. | Preferentlal revenut. $\qquad$ | 85 per cent ad natoram. | 96 per oent ad calarient | 25 per ent ad eatoram. | ** |
| 88 | Paramols and Equahadan and Ittinge for nm. hroilas, paracole and sunshaden. | Prefarmatial revsinue. | 80 par cent ad entorem. | 20 per eont ct malowin. | - | ** |

No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF continued.


Srurion XII-eontig
Featavar, Hat, Vmbrallat and Parasoly, Articion of Baohion-wontd.


Byomin IIIT.



No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


Bection X1I-sorth



No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE--IMPORT TARIFF-continued.




Smerion XIV.


-No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-TMPORT TARIFF-continued.


SEOHOE STY-anid



Bncrion xy.
Band Mrest and Articier mada dhovefrom.


[^8]No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued. ${ }^{\text {C }}$




No. 64.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tlom } \\ & \mathbf{4} 0 . \end{aligned}$ | Trme of axthota. | Nature of dintiy. | Standerdrate of daty. | Preferential it the articl dase or ma | be of duty the proanctare of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Duration } \\ \text { of } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | The United Kingdom. | $\frac{\text { Colongh }}{\text { Brition }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { retut of } \\ & \text { duty } \end{aligned}$ |

Becrion XV-acudt.




No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDOLE.-

- AFIFF-continued.


BEcrion KT-monti.
Bun Metalt snd 4roleies macis thengrom-eantis:


## No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-MPORT TARIFF-continued.


grounin XV-eonta.
Basp Mrale end 4 oticlen weite therefrom-eonth.

| (08(18) | Iron or Bteel expanded metal. | Preferential rovenue. | 20 per cent ad calonsin. | 10 per cent ad pateram | ** | ', |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0*(16) | Irow or Bteel hoope and stripa. | Preferenthal repante. | 20 per ceat as ealorars. | 10 per cont ad cutorins. | * | ** |
| $08(18)$ | Irop or Stoel ivatam |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (i) of Brtelah mant. ingeturt | Protective | 11 timen the exclse duty levinble for the time being on steel Iturotis prodiced in Brftiah Indlu* : or 10 per eent ad maloness. whichover hlgher. | ${ }^{* *}$ | - | Mrarch 8) At, 194. |
|  | (i5) not of Beitinh manviectares | Protective | 14 timen the excteo duty leviahle for the time keing on teel lagota produced in Britboh India ${ }^{\text {plata }}$ Re. 1-14-0 Per | ** | ** | Mareh sist, 1041. |
| extic) | Iron or gieol nalls and wanhers, all morts not otherwise specilied. | Proferentfal sevenue. | 20 per cent ad Raloninu | 10 per cent ad matornms. | - | ** |
| 6017) | Jron or Steel plpee and tuben and 解tinc: therefor, if rivoted or othermise hullt ep of plates or abeete- |  | - |  |  |  |
|  | (b) of Brithin minnainctures. | Proteotive | 13 timet the exclete duty laviahle for the stme being or ateel lngots prodaced is firiliah Indla ting Hg, 12 par too: or 10 per cent ad catorans whichever in hisher. | ** | ** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eraroh } \\ & \text { \$1st } \\ & \text { fust. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | (ii) not of Brithen manofactare. | Profeotive | 11 timen the ex.leo duty leviable fry the tinn belog on uteel tesote produeed to Brtthy India plan Res. 8 per ton. | ** | ** | Martir S14.4. |
| (18) | Iron or Btetl plpen and tobes; aloo ating therefor that in to ay. bends, boote, elbows, tect, wockrits, flapas, plopa, valver, cocke and the tita, excluding plpers, timben and attinits therefor otherwlet apectiled. | Proferestin: revenne. | 20 per cent ad | 10 per eent ed Ealorit. | ** | ** |

[^9] An Butimh drata in ble a par bon.

No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE--IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


SEDTOM XY-conds.



No. 64.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


8nomali xiv-could.


 th Bethinh Ledis is Ren 6 portons

No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


Bam Mralt and Aracice bade therfirom-bonta.


No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-TMPORT TARIFF-continued.


## 8sumion XV-soned:




No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE--IMPORT TARIFT-continued.


Byorwe XT-condx.
Bate Matelt and Artiotet gade thergfrem-eonid.


- No. 54.-THE FIRST 8CHEDULE:-TMPORT TARIEP-continued.

sixcmon XT-month


 le Bdthoh Judla fis He 4 per foo.

No. $54 .-T H E$ FIRST SCHEDULE,-IMPORT TARIFF-continued,


8ebrion EV-contls
Basp Mraty and Antelet made thergfrome-oontd.




No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


Ben Yutala and Artieles mado therafrosomonti.


No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continuad.


BETHOX XV—sonolis



- No, 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


Bngion XVI.
Machinery and Apperatust Inctioal Materinl.


No. 54.-THE FIRST SCEEDULE--IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


Browor IVI-00mil.



No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDU்E-GMPORT TARTFF-continued.


Maohinery and Apparafen: Binctrioal Material-contal.


No. 54.-THE FIRST SOHFDULE-TMPORT TARIFF-continued.


Nachinery and Apparuturs ; Elicodrieal Materiab-contd.


No. Б4.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE-TMPORT TA定IFF-continued,


Bincrom XVI-soned
Mackinery ant 4gparatue; Biccirioat Mresertal-contd.


No. 54.-THE 'FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


SErrion XVI-senth

 Intixumpдts, Apparatha and Appltances, mamely, telegraphio and tolophonic ingtrima tolophonic ingtri-
menta, mppazitu sad menta, sppazntus and
(ippisnces, not othore tppilances not othore
7iso apecified, flash Wisa apecified, fiash densers and ball moparatus, and switobs boardis designed for nea ln oirculta of lest than ten amperen and at a pressure not ef ceeding 260 volts.

73(3)

$\underset{\substack{\text { Telegraphilo } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Apparatraumentus and }}}{\substack{\text { and }}}$ and Apparatus and parts thercor tmportioa of, B Radian Adminlotratlon.

73(6)
WItreloas Reception Instrumenta and Apparatur and opmponent parte thereof, inclading inl alectrio valves, ampuliecras and vosd appakere FH hich are nat specimily dealgred tor parponea othher than wiraless recoptlon or ore not origidinal parta of and lmparted along win instruments or appuratus to deangrool.

7n(b)
Electrifen earthenntire
and porceltaln the following, namply: tha
(a) Tastutator, Shackio, Slaclair. deane or Cor type not other wift mpeallited-
(i) altod - .
(1i) nok atted
(b) Two-way dente



813

## No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.



SECETON XVI-concld.
Machinary and Apparatue; Eletrical Materich-conold.


Eritiah ladia is Rex. 4 per ton.

No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIPF-continued.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Itom } \\ \mathbf{M o .} \end{gathered}$ | Same of artiele. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nature of } \\ & \text { duty. } \end{aligned}$ | 8tandard rate of duty. | Preferential rate of daty If the articlo is the prodace or menufacture of |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Duration } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { protocetvo } \\ \text { rateo of } \\ \text { daty. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | The Unitel Klugedom. | 4 Brtish Colony. |  |


74 ances dealgned for neo on light ral track, If adapted to be worked by mannal or antmal matnily and it made and component parts thareot made of tron or foel-contd. (b) not of British mannisctare.
Protective
Revanue


[^10]: No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.


Brocsum 27I-woned.


316

No. 54.-THE FIRST ŚCHEDULE-TMPORT TARIFF-continued.




SEORON XYIIL.

77

| Inatrumenta, apparaton and applianoes other than alectrfeal, allinorta. not otherwisespecined, including photo <br>  antict. | Proforential vromas. | 80 par ount at satervin. | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { E0 per eent } \\ \text { ed gulorgin. } \end{array}\right.$ | " | $\bullet$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

No. 54.-THE FIRST SCEEDULE.-IMPORT TARLFT-continuel.


870170 XVIII-contio.
 contal.


BEGIOE KIK.
Aran fint Ampundion spoeflied all articies which are ermas or parta of erms Fithin the meening of the Indlan Arma Act, 1878 (exfor dir gint $)$, ell toolt feed tor oleaning or putting together the putting together the maving, loeding, closing or oepplog cartridyes for arms and all other sorth of -ammanition and mill. tarf atores, and any artioles whioh tho Governot General in teatlon so the orazetto of India, deciaresets be mmanition ormilitary rtores for the parpoese of this Act. No. BO(3)-Firotarms, Ineinding gat and sir gras, panand air ritos end qan and sir pistigis, not otberwinsapeciacd. and mosesmories thereot.

No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.

giondor XIX—contri:

80(t) I Subject to the axamptionn epecified in Item N0. 80 (3)-

(b) Mein apringe and magaine apring for frearms,
 and gis pintols.
(o) Gun tookn and breach blooke.
(d) Revolver oylhadert, foranch catitidgo thoy will carry.
(b) Actiont (Including akeloton. and Wastor), breech boite and their hoads, cocklag plocos, and lock: for murala loadlog armis
(f) Machine for maklog, loading, or closing catifidgea for rifled armit.
(b) Machinesfor (c) Ma a in in eif for

80(3)
The following Arme Ammunitioniand Min: tary stores:
(a) Arma torming part of tho rugular equipment of a
commbsolaned or commpsisponed or
 Sorvion entitiod to wear diplo matic milltart, noval, Royndils unform.
(b) A revalver and an sutomatic pistal and mmauritson for provolver and
pirtal up to platal up to

Arai and Ammandion-conta.


No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-TMPORT TARIFT-continued. -




No. 54.-THE FIRST.SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFF-continued.




No. 54.-THE FIRST SCHEDULE.-IMPORT TARIFE-concluded.



No. 54.-THE SECOND SCHED ULE.-EXPORT TARIFF.


Rates of exorse dury on cer tainarticles as on the 1st April, 1937.


- Thore ta no entry bearing Item NO. 8.
 Act, 1031.
(II) Fide Section B of the Indian Finanes Aot, I9S7.
(III) Io eecordance wikh Sugar (Ereino Dpty) Act, ins
(IV) In accond wno with Mitchen (Exelee Duty) Aat, 1084.
(V) In ascordance with Bection it of the Mateden (Exchee Puty) Ordor, 1034.
(VI) In eccordnace with Bection it of the Iron end bleal Dutle Ach, 1034 .

(VIII) Vide Seation 8 of she Indisn Finanoe Act, 1987 .
 Fisares Department.

No. bj.--Sbare of each provinoe in the total gntries and olearances of vegbils

 $\cdot$ ports in British India


- Only coo Arouriear vemel vith a tonange of 1,728 arfend ta the your 1012-18.
 ports in Britiey India-contd.


[^11]No. 67.-Quantity and value of the prinotpal armilizs of fmport into the Kathiaflar ports arbanged in the order of theie mport ancre


No. 57-continued


## No. 57-continuad



No. 57-continued


No. 57-continued


No. 57-concluded


No. b8.-Qdantity and value of the privoipal abtioure of Exports . prom the Kathiawar ports arbanged in the order of their nfpobt ancers.


No. 58-continued


## No. 59.-Rain-borne trade at Stations adjaotert to Land Frontier boutes of India peopre

## Regintration Stations

For compliatlon purposee the rectistration statlons have been divided Into three groupe;

Group (d) comprises the rall routo whinholfarcateen tato the Nuubkl-Dardap extontion had the trado towirde Kanduhar This groap iflil Incluge trade with Iran and Westam and Soukherm Atgbanlaten, Tho followise | This groap |
| :--- |
| neluded in it |

- Entiomeraraineluded in it:-

 eate included in thise group;

1. Ghartghat
-2.: Bhakkar, , Darya Khani,

- 17. Takuthint

1. Pexa.
2. Manal (formedy Cirni Road) -
3. Durgat
4. Ramajplade
5. Bannar
O. Thal.
6. Kahat Cantonment
7. Jamma (Tawi)
8. Rathankots.
9. Pabhawar Cantommont
10. Harloar Hanara.
11. Barna.
12. 8ucbatgarb

* $\quad$ - 11. Pabbl

27. Renbiringhpura.
28. Peethawar clity
29. Hiran sahib
30. Khalrsbad.
31. Mardan.
32. Jamma Custonment:

80, Parachlinar.

Cronp (e) comptisea the trade through the United Proviness, Hihar and Orisa, Bengal and Amam, with Thbeth Alopait, 8lazind and Bhatan. The following stationt are lincinded in thin group :-

12. Bhaptabl
18. Nautanwa.
14. Jogbanl.
16. Naksalbard.
10. Galgaltic
18. Ofolle Khole (formerly Killmpong Rood).
10. Kallimpong
20. Nagrakita.
21. Carron

2e. Banerbat.
28. Ballchowaghat.
[An quantillea in mamnds, woppt troesure.

IMPORTS


No. 59.-Rail-borne trade at Stationg adjaoznt to Land Frontiere routes of India proper-comed.'
[All quandtilen in mamids, oxcopt frearirol.
IMPORTS-conk*.


[^12]No. 59.-Rall-borne triade at Stations adjagent to Land Frontier routrs of Indi proper-concld.

EXPORTS


- Thene commoditien are aent in apprechablo quantitlei only to Nopal and ther are eanneqnently reginterod Only int etations in gioad (a)*

No. 60.-Tradz at Stations on the Important Land Frontibr rodiks of Burma
[ All quantitios in manouds, woopt treancre]
IMPORTS


No. 60.-Trade at Stations on tag Important Land Frontier rodize of Borma-contd.

EXPORTS
[All quantilles in marnds, exoopt trenerive]


Nort. - " (d)" means trado al BMnmo, apuard trator on the river.



No. 60.-Trade at Stations on the Important Ĺand Frontier route or Burma-concld.
[All quanditice in mannis, except troamara]
4. EXPORTS-conta.


No. 61.-Chief Imporfs into Burma phom India.

| Anticuss | QEantint (IN THOUSASDS) |  |  |  |  |  | Vavue (is laties of rupies) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pro.mnt Average | $\begin{gathered} \text { War } \\ \text { A vcrago } \end{gathered}$ | Poat-mat Average | 1094-86 | 1085.30 | 1080-87 | Pre-war Average | $\begin{gathered} \text { War } \\ \text { Average } \end{gathered}$ | Post-wat Avarage | 1034-85 | 1086-96 | 1930-57 |
| Indian Mcrotandiso- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coule . - . . .tont | 413 | 342 | 370 | 391 | 311 | 288 | 51 | 65 | 1,09 | 60 | 46 | 4. |
| Cotton-Twist amily ynfo . lbs. | 10,805 | 12,030 | 18,414 | 11,842 | 10,100 | 10,80t | 50 | 07 | 2,35 | 55 | 48 | 61 |
| Cutton Plecesocde- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grey (untleached) . . yds. | 7,850 | 8,570 | 8,049 | 10,078 | 17,633 | 20,631 | 14 | 22 | 37 | 83 | 32 | 85 |
| 边 Whte (theached) . . $"$ | 3,707 | 5,805 | 4,185 | 30,101 | 21,574 | 20,201 | 8 | 18 | 25 | 85 | 45 | 65 |
| Coloured, priblad or dyed . 20 | 12,109 | 21,312 | 13,12\% | 44,740 | 20,020 | 33,050 | 27 | 60 | 95 | 1,00 | 73 | 78 |
| Jute Sagn . . . . no. | 38,948 | 30,820 | 40,223 | 56,051 | 53,241 | 51,470 | 1,10 | 1,00 | 1,88 | 1,52 | 1,28 | 1,20 |
| Detelnute . . . . ewte. | 511 | 270 | 204 | 213 | 203 | 202 | 80 | 60 | 04 | 27 | 27 | 31 |
| Tolacso-Unimaufactured . Ibn | 21.180 | 10,432 | 10,087 | 12,400 | 11,441 | 13,132 | 68 | 43 | 51 | 22 | 10 | 23 |
| truse . - . - . tove | 14 | 12 | 15 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 20 | 20 | 36 | 0 | 8 | 6 |
| Wheat flour . - * - " | 10 | 15 | 18 |  | 24 | 2 C | 29 | 27 | 52 | 20 | 33 | 89 |
| Ironarantel . . . | 1 | - | 1 | 14 | 18 | 27 | 1 | - | 8 | 80 | 88 | 60 |
| Cligaretica . . . . Has. | 831 | - | 262 | 1,003 | 1,535 | 1,003 | 17 | - | 10 | 54 | 68 | 58 |
| Ohis-Groundiut . . . gaia, | 1,207 | 750 | 1,107 | 44 | 1,377 | 1,007 | 23 | 14 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 26 |
| Pra . . . . . luas | 097 | 1,279 | 1,053 | 3,137 | 3,430 | 4,163 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 10 |
| butte . . . . , | 4 | - | Ex | 501 | 631 | 401 | 4 | - | 7 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
| Ghi . . . . . ewta. | 39 | 30 | 31 | 16 | 15 | 17 | 25 | 22 | 34 | 12 | 11 | 12 |
| Buote arid thews . . palis | $\sqrt{3}$ | - | 210 | 0.40 | 1,370 | 1,223 | 1 | - | 8 | 6 | 9 | 7 |

No. 61.-Chiff Imponts into Burma from India-contel.

| Ahitchis | Quantity (in mhotenads) |  |  |  |  |  | Valet (in lakhs oy nupees) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pre-war } \\ & \text { Average } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { War } \\ & \text { Avorige } \end{aligned}$ | Post-war Avtrage | 1034.35 | 1035.36 | 1035-37 |  | $\underset{\text { Average }}{\text { War }}$ | Post-war | 1934-35 | 1036-36 | 1038-37 |
| Indtan Murchandizc-contd. <br> Frash Feretibles . . viluc | - | - | - | - | - | - | 21 | 10 | 19 | 0 | 10 | 18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { nried, saltell or pre. } \\ & \text { sersed. } . \end{aligned}$ | $\sigma$ | 4 | 1 | 7 | 7 | ${ }^{6}$ | 10 | 0 | 17 | 12 | 0 | 10 |
| Fort:gn 3ferchandive- <br> Carilagea and carts . . value | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | 14 | 23 | 32 | 20 |
|  | 3,009 | 3,116 | 5,400 | 1,072 | 1,409 | 1,424 | $\checkmark$ | 9 | 24 | $\dot{2}$ | 3 | 3 |
| Whita (blached) . . | 2,530 | 3.741 | 2,003 | 2302 | 1,058 | 542 | ${ }^{6}$ | 13 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| Coloured, prituted or dyed ," | 2,333 | 4,454 | 3,842 | 1,010 | 1,555 | 1,505 | 6 | 20 | 25 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Iostruments, apparatue, etc. value | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 2 | 1 | 7 | $\theta$ | 12 |
| Machtuny and Millwork . " | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 8 |  | 11 | 7 | 8 |
| Iron and stect . . . tons | 2 | 3 | . | .. | 1 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Dates * . . . , | - |  | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - |  | .. | 2 | 8 | 2 |
| Drugs and Medeines. . value | - | - | - | - | , | - | 2 | 2 | .. | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Coul tar dyes . . . Jbs. | - | 11 | - | 230 | 157 | 160 | - | 4 | - | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Hardware . . . value | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 7 |
| : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

No. 62.-Catef Expoits from Burja to India.


No. 63.-Tables illustrating competition experienced by Indian produots in certain Foreign markets.

Imports of Linseed into the United Kingdom, France, Italy and Australia.
(In thousand quintals)

| - | 2913 | 1014 | 1932 | 1933 | 1834 | 1935 | 1936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Umited Kngodom. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total - . | 6,550 | 4,950 | 3,682 | 2,526 | 1,871 | 2,610 | 2,771 |
| Argentine | 2,250 | 2,090 | 3,495 | 1,150 | 447 | 1,900 | 476 |
| India - - | 1,360 | 2,380 | 94 | 1,333 | 1,418 | 595 | 2,252 |
| India's pertentage | 21 | \$8 | 3 | 63 | ${ }^{2} 6$ | 23 | 82 |
| framoz. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 2,514 | 1,337 | 2,360 | 2,643 | 2,366 | 2,533 | 2,803 |
| Argentina | 1,143 | 546 | 1,834 | 2,049 | 2,055 | 2,320 | 2,499 |
| Indis . | 1,026 | 617 | 1,322 | - 462 | 2,086 | -36 | 117 |
| India's yercentage | 41 | 46 | 14 | 17 | 9 | 2 | 4 |
| Italy. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total - | 454 | 324 | 688 | 760 |  |  |  |
| Argentina * - | 133 | 11 | 514 | 537 | 462 |  |  |
| India (and Ceylon) ${ }^{\text {India' }}$ | 261 | 282 | 119 | 177 | 132 | $\gamma^{(a)}$ | (a) |
| India's percentage (including (eylon). | 67 | 87 | 17 | 24 | 20 | ) |  |
| Austinalia.* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total - . | 35 | 40 | 197 | 198 | 382 | 361 | 345 |
| Arpentius - | 97 | 98 | 111 | 90 | 167 | 68 | 56 |
| India ${ }_{\text {India's }}$ percenlage | ${ }_{77}^{27}$ | 88 | 84 | 102 | 214 | 85 | 105 |
| Irdia's perceniage | 77 | 82 | 43 | 62 | 66 | 24 | 67 |

Imports of Rapeseed into the United Kingdon, Belgium, Italy and France.
(In thousand quintals)


[^13]

Imports of Groundnut into France.
(In thongand quintala)

(a) Figarea not available.

- These figures have been anloulated on the bavia of 100 tom inabelled=75 tona nholled. Nore.-One quintal- 220046 lide

> . No. 63-concld.

Inipoits of Tea into certain coundries.
(In thuasands of lims)

| $\rightarrow$ | Pre-mat 4rapate | $\begin{gathered} \text { War } \\ \text { Avarage } \end{gathered}$ | 108\% | ${ }^{10388}$ | 1984 | 1085 | 1096 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uxited Kinadoy- |  |  | ソ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Indit | ${ }^{181}{ }^{18098}$ | 1898,488 | - 817.004 | - 2789.974 | - 976,877 | 870,108 | 267,060 <br> 145 |
| Coylor | $\begin{array}{r}1811.798 \\ 19 \\ \hline 17650\end{array}$ | -100,568 |  | -147685 | $\begin{array}{r}156,045 \\ \hline 21,480\end{array}$ | 141,941 | 145,266 8,539 |
| Jave | 17,900 | 18,509 | ( 79,476 | - $\cdot 6$ | 48,135 | -41,54 | 88,040 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAS | . 310,150 | 877,142, | 505,062 | 1605,082 | 607,747 | 476,540 | 470,010 |
| Percentape of Indicta whare of total. | $8 / 2$ | $\because{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} 9$ | 8817 | . 5 S. 4 | 848 | - 667 | 45-6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trixoz- } \\ & \text { Irdia } \\ & \text { Chlna } \end{aligned}$ | 7,615 | 8,7887 | 889 895 | 495 744 | - ${ }_{458}$ | - 282 | 198 |
|  |  | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | - |
| Total | 9,601 | 11,008 | 8,886 | 8,588 | 2,425 | 2,403 | 8,167 |
| * Peroentege of Imile's andre of tolal. | 28.8 | - 807\% | ${ }^{*} 980$ | 130 | 189 | $8 \cdot 5$ | 01 |
| Catrasa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Tadile | 18,250 | 18,010 | 26,788 10.788 | 24,009 $\mathbf{1 2 , 0 7 5}$ | 28,048 11,808 | 22,387 | 22,308 |
|  | 2,772 4,128 | 1,768 | 208 | - 154 | ${ }^{768}$ | 11,051 | ${ }_{686}$ |
| JJapan | 4,198 | 8,656 4,446 | 2,648 | 8,728 | 4,518 | 2,600 | 2,408 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Torat | 86,768 | 98,930 | 60,418 | 89,414 | 88,580 | 37,149 | 40,621 |
|  | 877 | 88.4 | $68 \cdot 1$ | 60.9 | $59 \% 8$ | $60 \cdot 3$ | 60.7 |
| Taifid sititis of Amirgal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| India | 6,640 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oesplon | :18,8898 <br> 28,130 | 14,247 18.002 | 20,767 | 22,605 | 18,278 | 22,797 | 91, ops. |
| Japan | -4,245 | 40,302 | 24,410 | 8,607 | -6,104 | 7,380 | 18,613. |
| Javi | 158 | 8,080 | - 8.936 | 27,909 | - $\mathbf{1 2 , 6 9 5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,848 \\ & 16,469 \end{aligned}$ | 18,704 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\because \quad$ Totaz $\because$ | 95,120 | 107,158 | 94,727 | 96,582 | 70,410 | 86,285 | 82,477 |
| Paromitegy of Indiats <br> - ahare of lotah | ${ }^{4} 8$ | 10.0 | 1001 | 173 | 15-8 | 10.5 | 14.9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aviruasis- } \\ & \text { Indta } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ceylon Ohln | $\begin{array}{r}10,748 \\ \mathbf{2}, 897 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}2,040 \\ \hline 10,468 \\ \hline 808\end{array}$ | -2,481 | 1,7646 | 2,008 18,080 | 8,880 11.025 |
| Jnvan | 8,897 |  | [888 | 1, 1,15 | 1,148 | 19,984 | 1,689 |
|  |  |  | - | 8186 | 80,016 | 27,158 | 82,8\% |
| $\cdots$ - Torat | 85,442 | 41,097 | 48,485 | 46,782 | 4,079 | 44,667 | 48,655 |
| -Panonlage of Indikn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hav | 4 | $20 \cdot 1$ | 4 | 8 | *7 | 4.5 | 8 |
| Iadia Contan Obling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| chlan <br> Java. | -. 30 | $\begin{array}{r} 2360 \\ 842 \\ 88 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | ${ }^{9185}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0,568 \\ 145 \end{array}$ | 7718 118 | 0,825 | 10,748 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ** | 1 |
| * Totar | 7,348 | 0,296 | 10,415 | 11,600 | 10,170 | 9,970 | 11,248 |
| Prosciepe of Indifi. | 2.6 | 9-2 | 11.6 | 165 | 2 Pd | $5 \cdot 6$ | 85 |
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|  |  | Tabciss |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\neq$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Roport } \\ \text { Page } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { rmport }_{\text {Page }} \end{gathered}$ | Export Page | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Miscel- } \\ \text { laneous } \\ \text { Page } \end{array}$ |
| Cotton piecogood coloured - | 40,90 | 182, 226 | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 191,197, \\ 238 \end{array}\right\|$ | 253, 255 |
| $\because$ ". $\quad$ prives of Indisn production : | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ |  | . |  |
| \#, raw (Gossypium Sps, ) • . . | 10,85 | 178, ${ }^{\text {i }}$ 22, | 180, 191, | 226, 255 |
|  |  | 228 | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 187, & 228 \\ 237 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |
| 0 seed ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 105 |  | 182, 240 | 255, 268 |
|  | $33,82$ | $\begin{array}{r} 182 \\ 182,228 \end{array}$ | 191, 197, |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{257}$ |
| " "\% by countu produotion of-(Indian) |  | 228 | 238 |  |
| ") Warto Production of(indian) |  | 178, 182 | 180, i81 |  |
| Grop, percentage of export to produotio |  |  |  | 244 |
| Ourrenoy notes . | 173 | 249 | 849 | 250 |
| Oratoms tarifi, Indian | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ | -323 |
| Oatoh and gambier - | 124 |  | $\because$ | -264 |
| Cutlery - . | 67 | 188 | $\because$ | $\because$ |
| Oyoles | 62 | 184. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Datea * . . . . . . |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| Doolared velag per unit of prinaipal artioles of fimport and export . |  |  |  | 266 |
| Direotion of Indian trado: : | 129 |  | $\because$ | 263-260 |
| Domestio hardware . |  |  |  | .. |
| Drage and medioinos | 72, 125 | 186, 100 | 188 |  |
| Daty, exaiso-on terroseno |  |  |  | 264 |
| " $\quad$ \% matohes | .. | . | - | 284 |
| " " $\quad$ " moto | $\because$ | .. | $\cdots$ | 263 |
| " ${ }^{\prime \prime} \quad \mathrm{n}$, stoel ingots: | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 284 |
| " export-on Engar | .. | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | 264 |
| 1\% oxporton jico | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | 263 |
|  | , | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | ${ }_{263}^{263}$ |
| Dyaing and taniming eubetances |  | .. |  | 261-268 |
| Dyes a | 79,123 ${ }^{79}$ |  | 195 |  |
| ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ and coloury | 79 | 185 | 181 | - |
| Farthenwreand poroelain | -. | 188 | 18 | $\because$ |
| East Afrios. | 142 | 188 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |
| Eoonomic conditions, wordd |  |  |  | $\because$ |
| Eloctrioai apparatua |  | 219 | 212 |  |
| $\cdots$ meohinery |  | 179 | 181 | - |
| Elootroplated ware. | 56 67 | 182 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |
| Exphange irymare - | 68 | 185 |  |  |
| Engines. ${ }^{\text {cooomotive }}$ | 25 |  | . | . |
| Exports duty on rioe, jate, eking | 58 | 89 | . | ${ }_{283}$ |
| ". of Poreiga M Marchandiso | 127 | $\because$ | 197 | 263 |
| ${ }^{*}{ }_{n}^{n}$ Indian morohensise | 127 | $\because$ | 100 | $\because$ |
| * axoses of-over importa | $\begin{array}{r}84 \\ 168 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - | 175, 191 | $\because$ |
| $\cdots$ notis pripal artiolen of | 1168 | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ |
| - priapal artiotes of | 84 | -. | 191 | $\because$ |
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## QUINQUENNLAL

30. Quinquennial Report on the average yield per acre of principal oropsin India for the period ending 1931-32. Al 1.14 or 3 e $3 d$. (2a.)
31. Live-stock Ststistics, Indie, 1935 . R1 or 1s. 9 d. (1a.)
32. Indox Numbers of Indian Prices, $1861-1931$ (3rd issue). \#1-2 or 28. ( 5 a.) Addende fins 1933, 1933, 1934 and 1935 are available. Price Anna 1 each or $1 \frac{1}{d}$. (la.)
33. Acoounts of the Trade of the Portuguese Possessions in Indis for the five calendar yeara 1921-25. (Supplement to Vol. II of the Annual Statement of the Sea-bome Trade of British India.) As. 12 or $1 e .3 d_{\text {. ( }}(2 a$.
34. Quinquenial Catalogue of the Commercial Library corrected up to 30th April, 1932. 78 or 13s. 8d. First Supplement to the Catalogue brought up to December, 1933. Al-6 or 2s. 3d. Second Supplement brought up to July, 1935. R1-8 or 2s. 6d.. Third supplement brought up to July, 1936. R2-8 or 4e. 6d.

## PERIODICAL

35. Crop Forecasts. (The due months are in brackets.) (Also published in the Indian Trade Journat vide item No. 1.)
Rice-lat (October), 2nd (December), Final (Februsry). As. 3 or $4 d$.
Whoat-lst (January), 2nd (March), 3rd (April), 4th (May), Final (Auguzt). As. 3 or $4 d$.
Cotton-1st (August), 2nd (October), 3rd (December), Final (February), Supplewentary (April). As. 3 or $4 d$.
Linseed, Rapo and Mustard (Winter oilseeds)-1st (January), 2nd (Mareb), Final (June): Ae. 4 or ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{d}$.
Sesamun (til or jinjili)-1st (September), 2nd (October), Final (Janyary), Supplementary (Agri) As. 3 or $4 d$.
Groundnut-lat (August), 2nd (October), Final (February). As. 2 or $3 d$.
Castorgeed-February. As. 3 or $4 d$.
Sugareane-lst (August), 2nd (October), Final (February). As, 2 or $3 d$.

## MISCELLANEOUS

36. Cohton Map of India, No. 1.-Showing the different varioties of cotton grown in the diferent districts and States in India. R1 or 1s. 9 d. (la.) [All commenications relatiag to the supply of Mlaps on payment should le addrcesed to the Officer-in-Charge, Map Record and Issue Office, IJ, Wood Sireet, Catczilla.]
37. Crop Atlas of India ( 1925 edition). M3-4 or 5 F .9 d . (6a.)
38. Alphabetical Index of the Commodities that enter inte the Foreign Sea-bonve Trade of British India. H7.8 or 12s. (Ba.)
39. Directory of Exportora of Indian produce and manufactures (8th editiou). As. 8 or 10d. (3a.)

* SPECIAL SUPTLEMENTS TO THE "Indian Trade Journal".

40. Roview of the Sugar Industry of India, 193i-36. Annas 6 or $8 d$. (Supplement to the issue of 6th May, 1937.)
41. Note on Tobncco. As. 2 or 3 d . (1a.) (Supplement to the issne of 24 th September, 1931.)
42. Glossary of Law Cotton Trade Terms. Ama 1 or $\frac{1}{2} d$. (la.) (Supplement to the isauc of 2lst Jume, 1028.)
43. Glossary of Trerms relating to Hand-made Cotton Fabrics. As. 2 or 3d. (la.) (Supphment to the issue of 3rd July, 1930.)
44. Glossary of Terms relating to Hides, Skins and Leather. As. 8 or lod. (la.) (Supplement to the issce of 12th January, 1933.)
45. Glosary of Terms relating to Cotton Mauufactures (Mill-mado). As. 4 or F . (lar) (Supplament to tho iseue of 11th July, 1935.)
46. Indian Custums Tariff (134h issuo). Repriat of the First and Second Schedules apperded to the Indian Tarif Aot, XXXII of 1934 (as in operation on 1st Jnuary, 1937), showing the rates of Customs duties levied on goods imported into aad eaported from, British India, together witn the tariff values fixed for certain articles under Government of India, Commerce Dopartment, Notification No. 73.T (3)/36, dated the 12th December, 1936, for the purperie of assessment of Customs dutics during the twelvo months January to December, 190ī. As. 3 or 4d. (2a.) (Supploment to the issue of 17th December, 1936.)
40(a). Amondment slip to the Indian Customs Tariff (13th issue). Anual or $1 \%$. (Supplement to the issue of 3nd June, 1937.)

## Publications issued by the Statistical Research Branch of the Department of Commercial Intelligence and Statistics, India.

47. Montlaly Survoy of Business Conditions in India, Jum, 1937. RI-10 or 2s. 9 d.
(All communications relating to the free supply of Statistical Resarch Branch publiations ajould le addressed direct to the Director. General of Commerical Intellijence and Statistict, Statistical Rescaret Branch, Simla or New Delhi (during cold weather).]
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Published by order of the Governor-General in Council

-Price Rs. 2-8 or 4s. 6d.


[^0]:    Serewn.
    fell from 2,300 tons ( $\mathrm{Z10}$ lakhs) to 1,900 tons ( Z 8

[^1]:    *Aoknowledgmenta are due to the Secretary. Indian Central Cotion Committee, for asbiatance in connection with these paragraphs.

[^2]:    * Figures refer to mille in the membership of the Indian Jute Mills Association.

[^3]:    - Babjeot to rovision.

[^4]:    (a) Inoludes "erusbed bones " which are ohielly required for iucustriel purposes.

[^5]:    Paraffin wax ( $\mathrm{R1} 1,96$ lakhs).

[^6]:    "Inclusive of values of "Hor orders" eargoes remalolag to be edjurted.

[^7]:    - The rate of a selse duty From the 2bth Fobruary, 188\%, and nintil further notice, on aupar, otver than
    
    
    

[^8]:     Buttill Ionlm in Re, 1 pur tool

[^9]:    

[^10]:    
    Britioh India is ins, 4 por ton.

[^11]:    - Only one Amblean Ferfel with a tonnege of 1,728 cleared in the year 2012-18.

[^12]:    
     and (c).
    
     matsontit

[^13]:    - Figures for Australia, except those for 1913, rolato to offisial years.
    (a) Figures not arailable.

    NOTE-One quintal $=220 \cdot 46$ lhs.

