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# REVIEW OF THE TRADE OF INDIA IN 1932-33. 

## PART I-REPORT.

## CHAPTER I.

## General.

The monsoon of 1932 was fairly normal and gave, on the whole, welldistributed rains in spite of a rather weak start and a prolonged break in

Agricultural conditions in India.* August. Averaged over the plains of India, the total rainfall during the monsoon period was only 3 per cent below the normal. During the retreating period of the monsoon the rainfall was in excess in Bengal, Bombay, Mysore, Assam, Bihar and Orissa, Punjab, Hyderabad and Madras, but defective elsewhere. Taking the year as a whole, the total rainfall was within 20 per cent of the normal over most of the country. The season was generally favourable, and crops fairly good. The outturn of rice, though it fell short of last year's plentiful harvest by: 7 per cent, was quite good, being almost equal to the average of the preceding five years. A very good yield was obtained for the sugarcane crop of 1932-33 and the total production exceeded the previous year's record yield by 17 per cent. The outturns of cotton and sesamum increased by 12 and 14 per cent respectively, and those of groundnut and castor seed by 25 and 1 per cent respectively as compared with the preceding year. Under restricted cultivation the production of jute in 1932 amounted only to 58 million bales, $\dagger$ which, though slightly greater than the previous year's yield, was still about half the average production during the preceding five years. The wheat crop of 1931-32, which moved mostly during the year under review, was 3 per cent less than that of the preceding season, but was slightly above the average of the preceding five years. The production of rape, mustard and linseed (winter oilseeds) crops for 1931-32 also showed increases of 4 and 10 per cent respectively as compared with the preceding season.

Industrial situation in India.-The year 1932 must be considered as satisfactory so far as industrial disputes are concerned, for the numbers of

[^0]
## General.

strikes and of workers involved during the year were the lowest recorded for any year since 1920. Such strikes as did occur during 1932 mostly affected the railways and jute mills, the only serious cases being the strikes in the workshops of the Madras and Southern Mahratta Railways at Perambur. Arkonum and Hubli and in the Howrah, Kinnison, Kelvin and Standard Jute Mills in Bengal. The first quarter of the year 1933, however, has already been marked by disputes in the Bombay cotton mills.

The-hopes generated by the Lausanne Conference were not realised during the year under review. In fact the depression appeared to be getting worse

## Economie situation nbroad.

 than it had been in the previous years. In 1931-32 there started the financial blizzard, which first affected Austria and Germany and Central Europe. In the autumn of that year England felt its full effects. This year it was the turn of the United States of America to go through the same difficulties. The beginning of the financial year 1932-33, however, was full of promise. The Lausanne Conference had ended apparently successfully and it was hoped that the vexed question of Reparations and War Dehts would be settled once for all. Further, the successful conversion operation of the British Government with its consequent lowering effect on the rate of interest liad ereated a fecling of optimism in the market and, as a result, there was renewerl activity in all the speculative markets in the summer of 1932 and prices showed some tendency to rise. But as the year advanced these hopes receded further and furthri. In the first place, without the co-operation of America the War Debt question could not be satisfactorily solved and as America's attitude on this question was not accommodating in view of her own domestic difficulties, the question had to be left over in an undecided state. Further, the failure of the Disarmament Conference also added to the prevailing gloom. In the meanwhile each country was trying to protect its own markets for its nationals by raising tariffs, by prohibiting imports or by other devices. The result was a deepening of the depression as the year advanced. At the close of the year under review the American financial crisis started and as a result America went off the gold stand $\ldots$. and the dollar began to depreciate. The year 1932-33, therefore, was most inconclusive so far as economic and financial decisions were concerned and the eges of the world were turned to the World Economic Conference which was expected by some to offer hopes of a solution of most of the difficulties from which the world was suffering. At the time of writing, however, the progress of the Conference has not been such as to raise any very high hopes of a successful conclusion.Index numbers of prices.-The calamitous decline in commodity prices, which started towards the last quarter of 1929 and resulted in so much economic distress, has not yet been arrested and one of the main tasks facing the World Economic Conference in London was to devise. means for the restoration of prices to the pre-depression level. When England and some other countries suspended the gold standard in September 1931, the immediate result was a slight improvement in prices. This improvement, however. could not be maintained. In March 1933 the United states of America was forced to declare an embargo on the exportation of gold, a procedure virtually equivalent to the suspension of the gold standard. The table which follows :hows the extent

## General.

to which prices bave declined in the more important countries of the world from September, 1929. It will be seen from the index numbers of prices given that the countries with deprecial.ed currencies-with the sole exception of Japan-and those that retained the gold standard both suffered irom teclining prices.

| , |  | Unlted Kingdom $(1918-100)$ | United States of Amerlca $(1020=100)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ (10: 20=100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Australin } \\ (1911=100) \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Japan } \\ \text { (October, } \\ 1200=100) \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ (1913=100 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1020- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September | 143 | 135.8 | 90.1 | 97.3 | 185.8 | 217.5 | 608 |
| October | 140 | $130 \cdot 1$ | $95 \cdot 1$ | 98.7 | 183.2 | 216.2 | (a) |
| November | 137 | 134.0 | 93.5 | 95.8 | 180.4 | 211.1 | (a) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 1930- \end{aligned}$ | 194 | $132 \cdot 5$ | $93 \cdot 3$ | 96.9 | 1760 | 205.0 | 506 |
| January | 131 | 131.0 | 92.5 | 05.6 | 171.0 | 201.4 | 676 |
| February | 126 | 127.8 | 01.4 | 94.0 | 107.4 | 190.8 | 687 |
| March . | 125 | 124.5 | 90-2 | 91.9 | 1647 | 195.9 | 558 |
| April | 123 | 123.7 | $00 \cdot 0$ | $01 \cdot 7$ | $166 \cdot 2$ | 12 E 8 | 548 |
| May . | 121 | 122.0 | 88.8 | 89.9 | $168 \cdot 3$ | 199.4 | 548 |
| June - | 110 | $120 \cdot 7$ | $80 \cdot 8$ | 88.0 | 165.7 | ${ }^{+81.2}$ | 5411 |
| July . | 115 | 119.2 | 84.4 | $85 \cdot 8$ | 164.4 | 176.8 | 558 |
| August | 114 | 117.8 | 88.3 | 84.1 | 1020 | 175.6 | 560 |
| Septermber | 111 | 115.5 | 84.4 | y9.5 | 153.2 | 171.5 | 558 |
| October | 107 | 113.0 | 83.0 | 81-4 | 147.9 | 164.6 | 552 |
| November | 103 | 112.0 | $81 \cdot 3$ | 79.8 | 1437 | 162.2 | 551 |
| December | 100 | 108.9 | $70 \cdot 6$ | 77.8 | $139 \cdot 0$ | 160.8 | 541 |
| Junuary | 98 | 106.9 | $78 \cdot 2$ | 76.7 | 145.4 | $158 \cdot 5$ | 641 |
| February | 69 | 100.2 | 76.8 | 76.0 | 14.8 | 158.6 | 538 |
| March. | 100 | 105.9 | 76.0 | $75 \cdot 1$ | 145.6 | 158.3 | 539 |
| April . | 08 | 105.7 | $74-3$ | $74 \cdot 5$ | 1+4.7 | 157.9 | 540 |
| May - | 97 | 104.4 | 73.2 | 73.0 | 144.0 | 154.0 | 620 |
| June. | 03 | 103.9 | 72.1 | 72.9 | $142 \cdot 5$ | 150.7 | 518 |
| July ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 93 | 102.2 | $72 \cdot 0$ | 71.3* | 14.8 | 152.8 | 500 |
| Augurt | 92 | 99.5 | 72.1 | 70.5* | $135 \cdot 9$ | 151.8 | 488 |
| September | 91 | 09.2 | 71.2 | 60.7. | $130 \cdot 1$ | 149.6 | 473 |
| October | 96 | 104.5* | $70 \cdot 3$ | 00.90 | $140 \cdot 2$ | 146.9 | 457 |
| November | 07 | 106.5* | $70 \cdot 2$ | $70.7{ }^{\circ}$ | $142 \cdot 8$ | $147 \cdot 0$ | 447 |
| December | 08 | 105.9* | 68.6 | 70-4* | $142 \cdot 5$ | 151.0 | 442 |
| 103:- | 97 | 100.0* | 07.3 | 09-4 | 141.4 | 150-5 | 430 |
| February | 97 | $105 \cdot{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 06.3 | $6 \mathrm{O} \cdot 2$ | 14.9 | 101.4 | 446 |
| March. | 94 | 104.7* | 66.0 | 69.1 | $143 \cdot 8$ | 158.5 | 444 |
| April | 92 | 102.4 | 05.5 | $68 \cdot 4$ | $143 \cdot 1$ | $164 \cdot 1$ | 439 |
| Blay . | 80 | $100 \cdot 7$ | $64 \cdot 1$ | B7.7 | $140 \cdot 8$ | 150.3 | 438 |
| June . | 80 | 98.0* | B3-9 | 86.6 | 18970 | 146.4 | 425 |
| July. | 87 | 97.7 | 04.5 | 88.6 | $139 \cdot 7$ | 147.7 | 430 |
| August | 91 | 90.6* | $65 \cdot 2$ | 68.8 | 1415 | 155.8 | 415 |
| September | 91 | $109 \cdot 1$ | $65 \cdot 3$ | 68.9 | 14.1 | $167 \cdot 4$ | 413 |
| October | 91 | 101.1 | $04 \cdot 4$ | - 05.0 | $140 \cdot 4$ | 169-1 | 412 |
| November | 90 | $101 \cdot 1$ | 63.9 | 64.8 | 188.9 | 177.0 | 413 |
| December | 88 | 101.0 | 82-6 | 64-0 | 136.7 | $184 \cdot 6$ | 418 |
| 1033- <br> Janury | 88 | $100 \cdot 8$ | 01.0 | 63.9 | 134.4 | $185 \cdot 0$ | 411 |
| February | 80 | 108.9 | 60.8 | $63 \cdot 6$ | $133 \cdot 0$ | 179.6 | 404 |
| March. | 82 | $97 \cdot 6$ | 016.2 | 64.4 | $133 \cdot 3$ | 177.4 | 990 |
| April . | 84 | $97 \cdot 2$ | 60.4 | 65.4 | 136.0 | 176.2 | 987 |
| May | 87 | 00.2 | 6 $2 \cdot 7$ | 60.9 | .. | 170.8 | 383 |
| Juno - | 89 | -• | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | 403 |

- Revised.
(a) Not avallable.

The index number for Calcutta wholesale prices fell by 43 per cent from September, 1929 to March, 1933. The index in September, 1931 was 91 as against 143 in the same month in 1929. For the five months October, 1931 to February, 1932 the index number was steady, ranging between 96 to 98 , owing to the disassociation of the sterling from gold, but from March, 1932 the decline started again. Similarly, in the case of the United Kingdom, the index in September, 1929 was 135.8 and in March, 193397.6 which means a fall of over 28 per cent. By September, 1931 the index fell to $99 \cdot 2$, while from October, 1931 to March, 1932 it ranged between $104 \cdot 5$ and $106 \cdot 5$. The Bureau of Labour index number for the United States of America was $96 \cdot 1$ in Septem-
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ber, 1929. By March, 1933 it fell to 60.2 or a fall of over 37 per cent. In this case there was no break in the decline as noticed elsewhere towards the close of 1931, as the United States of America retained its gold standard up till March, 1933. The lowest point touched during the year under review was in February, 1933 when the index was 59.8 . France recently revised its index number series, and according to the new series, the index dropped from 608 in September, 1929 to 390 in March, 1933 or a fall of about 36 per cent. As in the case of the United States of America, the decline in prices has been more or less continuous in France too. In Canada the fall from September, 1929 ( $97 \cdot 3$ ) to March, 1933 (64-4) was one of about 34 per cent. The index in February, 1933 was $63 \cdot 6$, the lowest reached during the year under review. The fall in the Australian index number was 25 per cent up to September, 1931. The slight improvement that followed was maintained up till April, 1932 after which prices declined again with a break in September of that year when the fall over Septemher, 1929 was about $22 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. In February, 1933 the index was 133.0 or a fall of over 28 per cent. In Japan, as a consequence of the depreciation of the yen, commodity prices internally have maintained a comparatively firmer tone. The index dropped from 217.5 in September, 1929 to $146 \cdot 9$ in October, 1931 -a fall of 32 per cent. Thereafter the index gradually rose to $161 \cdot 4$ in February, 1932. By June of that year it fell again to $146 \cdot 4$ but improved steadily thereafter, reaching 185.0 in January, 1933 or a fall of only about 15 per cent. The index in March, 1933 was 177-4. Recently there has been a slight tendency generally to a rise in prices and the Indian Calcutta index number also records an increase from 82 in March, 1933 to 89 in June, 1933 which is a hopeful sign. Apart from the tendency, there were few indications, however, that the turning point in the world depression had been rearhed. The index numbers of international gold prices (Economist), which are shown in the table below with the Econcmist sterling index numbers as also the Calcutta index numbers converted to a gold basis by allowing for the fluctuations in the rupee-dollar exchange, also showed some increase in gold prices after March, 1933.
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> Amorican dollar
> $\dagger$ Based on rupee-dollar exchange

The comparative falls in prices in the case of raw materials and in the case of manufactured articles in India as given by the prices of exported and imported articles respectively are shown in the two tables below :-

## Table I.

Indian Index Number Series (1873).
Exported Articles.
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Table I-contd.
Indian Index Number Scries (1873)-contd.
$\left.\begin{array}{llllllll} & & & & & & & \\ \text { Exported } \\ \text { Articles. }\end{array}\right)$

Table II.
Calcutta Index Number Series (1914).
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Table II-contd.
Calcutta Index Number Series (1914)-contd.

|  | Exported Articles. | Imported Articles. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:13:- |  |  |
| January . | 70 | 115 |
| February | 69 | 111 |
| March | 65 | 110 |
| April | 66 | 111 |
| Mry | 71 | 111 |
| Fall in December $1931^{\circ}$ as conpared with Septen | 75 | 113 |
| 1920 . . . | 39\% | 17\% |
| Fall in September 1932 as compared with September |  |  |
| 1929 - $\cdot$ - - | 45\% | 22\% |
| Fall in December 1032 as compared with September 1929 | 48\% | 23\% |
| Fall in December 1932 as conpared with December |  |  |
| 1931 - ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11.8\% | 7.2\% |
| Fall in March 10.33 as compared with September | 51\% | 27\% |
| Fall in March 1933 as compared with December |  |  |
| 1931 . . . . . . | 19.8\% | 11-3\% |

'Tialde I gives the Indian Price Index Number series for exported articles and imported articles, month by month, from January, 1932 and also gives, for purposes of comparison, index numbers for September, 1929, December, 1930 and December, 1931. Table II is based on a rough division of the Calcutta index number series into articles of export and articles of import. It will be noticed from both the tables that up to December, 1931, the decline in the export price level was much heavier than that in the import price level. But according to the Indian Index Number series the position was reversed thereafter. According to this series, the fall on the export side was 43 per cent in December, 1931, as compared with September, 1929, while that on the import side was only 10 per cent. The fall in March, 1933 on the export side on the same basss was 47 per cent, while that on the import side was 22 per cent. Compared with December, 1931, the fall in the export price level was 6.5 per cent in March, 1933 and that in the import price level was $13 \cdot 9$ in the same month. In the next month, however, the export index number fell by 4 points; though the import index number underwent no change. According to the Calcutta Index Number series, the fall in export price level from September, 1929 to December, 1931 was one of 39 per cent and in import price level one of 17 per cent. From 81 in December, 1931, the export index number dropped to 65 in March, 1933 or a fall of 19.8 per cent as compared with December, 1931. The drop on the import side was from 124 to 110 , or $11 \cdot 3$ per cent as compared with December, 1931. The export price level improved thereafter, though the import price level remained more or less steady, the respective index numbers being 66 in April and 71 in May on the export side and 111 in both the months on the import side.

The table below gives the Calcutta Index Numbers for the various groups of articles for September, 1929, December, 1930 and 1931 and for each month from January, 1932 to June, 1933. It also gives the percentage decline in December, 1930 and 1931, September and December, 1932 and March and June 1933, as compared with September, 1929.
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An examination of the above table brings out the main characteristic of the Indian price index numbers during the past few years, viz., the larger fall in agricultural prices as compared with industrial prices. Another noticeable feature is that the distinct improvement in prices of most of the staple commodities, which marked the close of the year 1931 and the beginning of the year 1932, was not in evidence later. Coming to details, it is noticed that the heaviest declines in December, 1930, were in cotton raw, jute raw, wheat and oilseeds ; in December, 1931 in oilseeds, tea, hides and skins and rice ; in December, 1932 in jute raw, oilseeds and tea, rice, and hides and skins; in March, 1933 in oilseeds, rice and jute raw, hides and skins and tea; and in June, 1933 in oilseeds, jute raw, rice, cotton raw, and hides and skins. It will be thus seen that the heaviest declines have invariably been in raw materials. Among manufactured articles, the slump in prices of jute manufactures was consistently heavier than in the prices of any other manufactured article, cotton manufactures and metals following in order. Of agricultural products, sugar suffered least, largely as a result of the increased import duties on this article.

The table below gives the values of the imports into, and exports from, British India of private merchandise and gold and silver during the three years 1930-31, 1931-32 and 1932-33.

Imports.
(In lakhs of rupees)

| - |  | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private merchandise | - • - | 1,64,79 | 1,26,37 | 1,32,68 |
| Gold and silver | - . . | 20,71 | 7,22 | 2,95 |
|  | Total imports | 1,91,50 | 1,33,59 | 1,35,53 |

Exports.
(In lakhs of rupees)

|  | - | 1930.31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private merchandise | - . - | 2,25,64 | 1,60,65 | 1,35,63 |
| Gold and silver | - . - | 2,31 | 62,61 | 67,74 |
|  | Total exports | -2,27,95 | 2,23,16 | 2,03,37 |

From these figures it will be seen that, compared with 1931-32, there was a slight improvement-viz., one of $\mathrm{R6}, 21$ lakhs in the imports of private merchandise during 1932-33. The political excitement in the country had practi-
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cally died down during the year and the boycott movement was gradually ebbing out and this may explain the slight improvement. But it must be noted that any large improvement in India's demand for imported articles is possible only when the purchasing power of the ryot has increased considerably and of such an increase there were no signs in the year under review. On the other hand, the position in this respect was greatly aggravated, as can be seen from the continued fall in prices and the contraction of the export trade in Indian produce.

India, it may ouce again be mentioned, is mainly an agricultural country and it has been shown above that the prices of agricultural commodities have fallen to a much larger extent than those of manufactured goods. This great fall in the prices of agricultural goods has affected India's national income which has shrunk considerably as a result. The fall has not been uniform in the case of the various agricultural commodities, as can be seen from the tables given above, and, therefore, the agricultural income of the various provinces has been affected differently. This is illustrated roughly by the following tables in which the main crops of each of the provinces are taken for the agricultural year 1928-29. the year just before the depression startedand for 1931-32, the latest agricultural year. The crops have been evaluated in most cases by taking the average harvest price for each of the crops in the different provinces. Thus the money which the cultivator gets for his produce, i.e., more or less the real agricultural income, not including transport and other charges, has been taken as far as possible. The following tables give the results of the computations :-

TABLE I.
Value of total production of the principal crops considered in each Province in 19:88-29 and 1931-32.
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TABLE II.
Value of some principal crops in Madras in 1928-29 and 1931-32.

| Crops. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## TABLE III.

Value of snave principal crops in Bombay in 1928-29 and 1931-32.

|  | Crops. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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TABLE IV.
Value of some principal crops in Bengal in 1928-29 and 1931-32.


## TABLE V.

Value of some principal crops in the United Provinces in 1928-29 and 1931-32.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Crops. |  |  |  |
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TABLE VI.
Value of some principal crops in the Punjab in 1928-29 and 1931-32.


TABLE VII.
Value of some principal crops in Burma in 1928-29 and 1931-32.
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## TABLE VIII.

Value of some principal crops in Bihar and Orissa in 1929-29 and 1931-32.

| Crops. |  |  |  | 1928-29 | 1031-32 | Change in ralue in lakhs of rupees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Values in | Values in |  |
| 1. Rico. | - • - | - • | - | 84,62 | 48,75 | -45,87 |
| 2. Wheat | . . . | . . | . | 8,38 | 4,17 | -3,91 |
| 3. Barley | - . | - . | . | 5,92 | 3,15 | -2,77 |
| 4. Gram | - . - | - . | - | 6,62 | 3,77 | -2,85 |
| 5. Sugar | - . - | . . | - | 5,49 | 3,00 | -2,49 |
| 6. Raposeed | . . . | . - | - | 3,79 | 1,62 | -2,17 |
| 7. Linsced | . . . | - . | . | 1,97 | 89 | -1,08 |
| 8. Maiza | - . . | . . | . | 5,11 | 3,20 | $-1,91$ |
| 3. Toburo | . . . | . . | . | 3,05 | 2,08 | -97 |
| 10. Cotion | . . . | - - | - | 22 | 12 | $-10$ |
|  |  | Total. | - | 1,35,17 | 71,05 | -64,12 |

## TABLE IX.

Value of some principal crops in Central Provinces in 1928-29 and 1931-32.


It will be seen that the largest decrease in the agricultural income occurs in the case of Bengal and amounts to over 54 per cent in 1931-32 as compared with 1928-29. Since the close of the agricultural year in 1932 prices of jute have fallen still further and the percentage decrease will be even greater than what is shown above. Burma shows a decline almost as great as Beugal and this is chiefly due to the disastrous fall in rice prices ; the fall in the case of Burma is only just under 54 per cent. The fall in the case of the Central Provinces is a little under 53 per cent and that in the case of the Punjab a little over 51 per cent. In Bihar and Orissa the fall is 47 per cent and in Madras and Bombay the fall amounts to 44 and 45 per cent
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respectively. The United Provinces seems to have suffered least of all the provinces, the decline in this case being only a little under 35 per cent. The production of sugarcane which has been increasing rapidly in India in the last two or three years and the prices of which are comparatively fairly remunerative is responsible. to some extent, for the smallness of the decline in the case of the United Provinces. Taking these eight provinces together, the fall in the agricultural income amounts to a little over 47 per cent. Thus it can be said that the income of the cultivators in India has been reduced by nearly a half in 1931-32 as compared with 1928-29. As the bulk of the population in India lives on agriculture, this has a very important bearing on the purchasing power and the economic welfare of India as a whole. It may be remarked, however. that, as far as the foodcrops are concerned, the agriculturist consumes a certain portion of the crop which he grows and. to that extent. it may be held that the fall in prices of foodstuffs does not affect him. The proceeds which he obtains from his surplus are, however, reduced by the fall in prices and it is from such reduced proceeds that he has to meet his cash payments which are more or less fixed, e.g., rent, interests on debts, etc. In the end, therefore, the balance left after meeting his fixed cash payments is severely contracted and ipso facto his ability to buy industrial goods is considerably reduced.

Imports and exports.-The total value of the imports of merchandise into British India during 1932-33 amounted to R133 crores and that of exports to R 136 crores. Compared with the preceding year, there was an improvement of IR7 crores or 5 per cent in the case of imports, while there was a decline of R 25 crores or 15 per cent under exports. On the import side there was an improvement noticeable in India's demand for foreign textiles. The increase recorded under this head amounted to one of R12 crores on a total of R35 crores recorded in 1931-32. Expressed in percentuges, this meant an advance of 34 per cent over the figures for 1931-32 and of 13 per cent over those of $1930-$ 31. The advance under the textile group was primarily the result of larger imports of cotton piecegoods, the total receipts of which amounted to 1,225 million yards valued at $\mathrm{P} 21,26$ lakhs as compared with 776 million yards valued at $\mathrm{R} 14,67$ lakhs in 1931-32. All the principal descriptions of cotton piccegoods participated in this improvement, grey goods increasing by 107 milliou yards, whites by 133 million yards and coloured by 202 million yards. Imports from the United Kingdom as well as Japan recorded advances under all the descriptions. Arrivals of cotton twist and yarn also rose from $31 \cdot 6$ million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,99$ lakils to $45 \cdot 1$ million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{R} 3,79$ lakhs. There were concurrent advances under some of the other important items included in the textile group-notably an increase of R1,59 lakhs urder silk raw and manufactured, of R1,34 lakhs under wool and woollens and of R72 lakhs under artificial silk (including yarn and goods of artificial silk mixedi with other materials). Owing to increased finer spinning in the local mills the imports of raw eotton rose still further from 79,000 tons to 85,000 tons. Under the metals group there was a deeline of 125 lakhs. Inyorts of iron and steel fell from 371,000 tons to $\mathbf{3} 26,000$ tons in quantity and from R6,32 lakhs to R5, 30 lakhs, in value. Under machinery and mill work there was a decline of R38 lakhs, although sugar and textile machinery recorded increases. The value of hardware imported advanced from $R 2,61$ lakhs to $R 2,99$ lakhs,
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The year witnessed a further diminution in the imports of motor vehicles from $\mathrm{A} 2,89$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 2,43$ lakhs, the number of motor cars imported having fallen frem 7,220 to 6,201 and that of omnibuses from 4,302 to 2,676 . Concomitantly with the reduction under motor vehicles, the value of the imports of rubber manufactures also declined from R2,21 lakhs to R1,98 lakhs. Mainly as a result of the increased local production under the shelter of the protective duty, India's requirements of foreign sugar of all kinds fell from $556, \mathrm{CCO}$ tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 6,16 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 401,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 4,23$ lakhs. Arrivals of mineral oils also declined from 217 million gallons to 188 million gallons and in value from R9,04 lakhs to $\mathrm{R6}, 70$ lakhs. Imports of kerosene oil declined from 85.7 million gallons to 59.5 million gallons, while those of fuel vils advanced from 100.8 million gallons to $104 \cdot 5$ million gallons. Consignments of provisions also contracted from $\mathrm{f} 3,41$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 2,93$ lakhs, chiefly due to a falling off in the value on vegetable products, condensed milk and farinaceous foods. Imports of paper and pasteboard recorded an improvement of $449,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and of A 36 lakhs in value. Arrivals of wheat fell away from 111,300 tons to 33,500 tons in quantity and from R 73 lakhs to f 29 lakhs in value.

On the export side, the outstanding feature was a further slump in the raw cotton trade due to comparatively high prices of the Indian staples. Despatches of raw cotton fell from $2,369,000$ bales valued at 723 crores to $2,063,000$ bales valued at R 20 crores. Cotton manufactures (including twist and yarn) recorded a decline of R1t crores and amounted to R3 crores. Exports of twist and yarn receded from 22 million lbs. to 15 million 1 lbs . in quantity and from $\mathrm{Rl}, 28$ lakhs to R 79 lakhs in value. Owing to severe competition from Japan in practically all the usual markets abroad shipments of Indian cotton piecegoods dropped from 104.6 million yards worth R3,24 lakhs to $66 \cdot 4$ million yards worth $\mathrm{f} 2,09$ lakhs. The downward movement in the export trade in jute continued during the year and the decline in the value of raw and manufactured jute exported amounted to one of about R11 $\frac{1}{2}$ crores. Shipments of raw jute declined from $3,285,000$ bales to $3,153,000$ bales in quantity and from R11,19 lakhs to R9,73 lakhs in value. Exports of gunny bags, however, advanced from 389 millions valued at R10,94 lakhs to 415 millions valued at R11,16 lakhs, while those of gunny cloth shrank from 1,021 million yards worth $\mathrm{P} 10,45$ lakhs to 1,012 million yards worth $\mathrm{R} 10,24$ lakhs. Under foodgrains the value of the shipments declined from P20,37 lalhs to R16,08 lakhs and the quantity from $2,614,000$ tons to $2,056,000$ tons. Exports of wheat which had amounted to 20,000 tons in 1931-32 fell away to 2,000 tons only in 1932-33. Despatches of rice dropped from 2,372,000 tons to $1,887,000$ tons in quantity and from $\mathrm{Al} 18,14$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Al} 14,46$ lakhs in value. Shipments of tea improved in quantity from 342 million lbs. to 379 million lbs., but on account of the low level of prices the value declined from R19,44 lakhs to P17,15 lakhs. Exports of oilseeds amounted to 733,000 tons valued at Rll, 31 lakhs, which meant a decline of 26 per cent in quantity and of 22 per cent in value in comparison with the exports of the preceding year. The decline was chiefly due to a falling off in the demands for groundnuts from 672,000 tons to 433,000 tons, for linseed from 120,000 tons to 72,000 tons, and for castor seed from 104,000 tons to 86,000 tons. Rapeseed, however, recorded an improvement of 61,000 tons in quantity and of P 80 lakhs in
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value. Despatches of metals and ores declined from 829,000 tons worth R5, 47 lakhs to 695,000 tons worth $\mathrm{R} 4,68$ lakhs. There was a decline in the shipments of hides and skins from 49,300 tons valued at R8,92 lakhs to 41,700 tons valued at R7,43 lakhs. Exports of lac amounted to $418,300 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,24$ lakhs which represented a decline of 10 per cent in quantity and of 33 per cent in value in comparison with the corresponding figures for 1931-32. Exports of coffee rose by 18,000 ewts. in quantity and by fl 15 lakhs in value.

The total value of re-exports amounted to $\mathrm{R} 3,22$ lakhs which represented a decline of $\mathrm{Rl}, 44$ lakhs in comparison with the value recorded in 1931-32 and of $\mathrm{Pl} 1,92$ lakhs on the basis of that for 1930-31. There were larger reexports of cotton manufactures (chiefly piecegoods) which rose from P 44 lakhs to R57 lakhs. Shipments of raw skins, which normally constitute one of the major items in the re-export trade of India, dropped in quantity from 365 tons to 226 tons and in value from R 86 lakhs to R28 lakhs. Reexports of sugar also decreased from 5,600 tons to 4,000 tons. Shipments of raw wool fell away from 9.1 million lbs. valued at R37 lakhs to 3.9 million lbs. valued at R13 lakhs. As was to be expected, this decline in the volume of the re-export trade particularly affected Bombay through which the bulk of the entrepôt trade of India passes. Of the total trade Bombay accounted for $\mathrm{Zl} 1,97$ lakhs which meant a decline of R87 lakhs as compared with 1931-32, her percentage share continuing stationary at 61 as in 1931-32.

The following figures have been compiled to show the values of imports and exports of merchandise on the basis of the declared values in 1913-14. These statistics are necessarily approximate, but they are sufficiently accurate to afford a fairly reliable measure of the course of trade :-

| (In crores of Bupess) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 1013-16 | 1024-25 | 1025-26 | 1926-27 | 1027-28 | 1028-29 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1981-32 | 1032-33 |
| ${ }_{\text {Imports }}^{\text {Exporta }}$ | 183 244 | 1387 | $\begin{aligned} & 143 \\ & 246 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 156 \\ 228 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1818 \\ & 248 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 190 \\ & 260 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 180 \\ & 263 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 235 \\ & 257 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 143 \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{178}^{162}$ |
| total trade in arbrchandise, <br>  | 427 | 387 | 389 | 384 | 429 | 180 | 458 | 302 | 343 | 338 |

The table above shows a further retrogression from the level of 1931-32, indicating as it does a decline of $\mathrm{P5}$ crores, on the basis of 1913-14 prices, in the total trade in merchandise (excluding re-exports). It is significant that the decline was confined to the export side, the imports having shown an increase of R19 crores. The extent to which the general level of prices for the imported articles adjusted itself to the general level for the exported articles may be seen from the following index numbers, which have been obtained by comparing the values of imports and exports, as shown in the preceding table, with the values actually recorded for each.

| - | 1018-14 | 1024-25 | 1025-2t | 1026-27 | 1087-28 | 1989.29 | 2920-30 | 1036-31 | 1981-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Importe | 100 | 180 | 168 | 148 | 136 | 133 | 128 | 125 | $\varepsilon 8$ | 82 |
| Exporta | 100 | 154 | 159 | 189 | 180 | 127 | 118 | 04 | 78 | 75 |

## Generai.

. It will appear from this that prices declined at a higher rate on the import than on the oxport side in comparison with the preceding year. The margin between the index numbers for imports and exports which was 10 points in 1931-32 further contracted during the year to 7 points. The index numbers of prices of imported articles and exported articles separately, which have been given earlier in this report, refer to the internal prices. The prices which are considered here are external prices and a comparison with the figures given earlier will only be valid, if due allowance is made for the difference between the rates of import duties in the base year 1913-14 and in the year 1932-33. It may be stated, however, that the index numbers under consideration in this paragraph give only a rough idea of the movements involved.

Balance of trade.-The visible balance of trade in merchandise and treasure for the year 1932-33 was in favour of India to the extent of R 68 crores as compared with R90 crores in 1931-32, P38 crores in 1930-31 and the record figure of P 109 crores in 1925-26. The transactions in treasure on private account resulted in a net export of treasure, amounting to R65 crores as against R55 $\frac{2}{3}$ crores in the preceding year. Gold showed a net export of $\mathfrak{R 6 5} \frac{1}{2}$ crores and silver a net import of P 73 lakhs. Net exports of currency notes amounted to R13 lakhs.

Rupee sterling exchange.-The year opened with a sluggish movement in the export trade in gold and the Government tenders for the purchase of sterling were small. The opening rate for the year, $18_{3 s_{2}^{\prime}} d$., ruled with minor fluctuations for a month and half and fell on the 13th May to $17 \frac{1}{8} d$. , the lowest rate for the year. The market remained easy till the end of June when the gold exports improved. Owing to large funds being repatriated from London to India through the sale of Indian sterling stocks, the rate advanced to $18 \frac{1}{18} d$. on the 15th July. In the beginning of August T. T. reached $18^{\frac{3}{3}} \mathbf{d} d$. and Goverament began sterling purchases which had been suspended for three months. The rate remained almost steady for some time till it reached $18 \frac{1}{8} d$. on 9 th September. Government received large tenders for sterling and the rate spurted up to $188_{3_{2}^{5}}^{5} d$. on the 26th September, the highest rate for the year, which, however, was followed by a fall of ${ }^{1} d$. after a week. The rate stood at this level for five weeks. Pronounced weakness in the London-New York cross rate in November caused appreciation in the price of gold, resulting in larger exports thereof. This stimulated the exchange market and it recorded $18_{3{ }_{35}^{\circ}}{ }^{\circ} d$. on the 8th November, a figure which was maintained till the 12th January. Thereafter the rate, bowever, steadily declined. President Roosevelt's proclamation of the 6th March imposing an embargo on exports of gold and silver from the United States of America had a reactionary effect on the Exchange market and there was a precipitate fall to $177_{8} d$. on that day. The market showed a remarkable degree of uncertainty and the unsteadiness continued for about two weeks. Gradually, however, confidence in the Rupee-Sterling exchange was' restored and the rate rose to $188_{31}^{\frac{3}{2}} d$. on the 22 nd March. The average rate for the year was $18 \frac{1}{10} d$.

Gold exports.-The year witnessed a further development of the export trade in gold, the net exports during the year having amounted to 1265,52 lakhs as compared with R57,98 lakhs in tho preceding year. The outward fiow of gold on such a heavy scale has been a novel feature of India's external
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## General.

trade, the exports having begun to assume importance only from October 1931. The table below gives the average monthly price of gold and tha quantity and value of gold exported each month :-
Table showing monthly average price of gold at Bombay and quantity and value of gold exported from British India by sea.


It will be seen from the above table that there was, comparatively speaking, a lull in the export movement at the beginning of the year and that by May shipments had dwindled down to $\mathrm{P} 3,30$ lakhs. But the subsequent months witnessed a gradual stimulation of the export movement, which again came to a peak in December with shipments valued at $\mathrm{R} 10,08$ lakhs. Latterly, consignments fell off, the value of the exports in any month up till May 1933 having been in the neighbourhood of R 5 crores. The causes and implication of these gold exports were discussed in last year's Review. But as the continuance of the gold movement has given rise to a certain amount of controversy it may be worth while in this connection to quote the following from the latest Report of the Controller of the Currency on the subject :-
"There has been considerable controversy as to whether the gold exported since October 1931 has been mostly ' distress' gold, that is to say, gold which had to be sold by necessitous holders to meet current expenses, or whether it has been parted with as a business proposition to realise the profit from the prevailing premium. While it is probably true that a certain proportion of the gold exported in recent months has come out because holders had to realise their savings to meet current expenditure owing to the very low prices obtained for primary commodities, it is equally certain that a large proportion, possibly the larger proportion, has been sold as a purely business proposition to realise the premium. It is well-known that bullion dealers all over the country have been very active in collecting gold for sale to the export markets, and in some districts special purchasing agencies were opened by exporters. It is reasonable to infer that many holders who parted with their gold would not have done so but for the allurement of an immediate profit which was pressed on their notice persistently."

## General.

Imperial Bank of India rate.-Bank rates of most of the important countries of the world were at low levels. The opening rate of the Imperial Bank of India for the year-viz., 6 per cent-continued till the 27 th April when it came down to 5 per cent. Slackness in trade and decline in the export of gold gave the market an abundant supply of money. Following the reduction in the discount rates of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and the Bank of England, the Imperial Bank of India rate was reduced to 4 per cent on the 7 th July at which level it remained steady till the middle of February, 1933. On the 16 th February the rate was further reduced to 3 d per cent which is the lowest point reached since the inception of the Imperial Bank of India in 1921.

Government of India Paper.-The following figures show the prices and yields of $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent Government of India paper on or about the 1st April during the past ten years :-


Freight rates.-Compared with the previous year, freight rates during the year under review reached further low levels. The rates were more or less steady at the beginning of the year, but there was a sharp decline from May to July. Even the seasonal improvement that followed was less pronounced than in the previous year. According to the Economist, the index number of whole-cargo charter rates, calculated with the year 1913 as base, was 71.7 in March, 1933 , while it was 78.4 and 81.3 in the corresponding month of 1932 and 1931 respectively.

Tariff changes.-The changes in the tariff made under the various Acts passed during the latter part of 1931 and the earlier part of 1932 were dealt with in the preceding year's Review. Since then seven Acts have been passed, introducing numerous changes in the tariff.

The most important of these Acts is the Indian Tariff (Ottawa Trade Agreement) Amendment Act, 1932, which gave effect to the tariff changes necessitated by the Trade Agreement made by the Government of Iurlia aud His Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom at the Imperial Economio Conference held at Ottawa during July-August, 1939. Hitherto the Inclian tariff was a single-decker one and did not differentiate betwoen imports from different countries, except in the case of certain protected classes of iron and steel goods and cotton piecegoods where higher rates of duty on goods manufactured in countries other than the United Kingrlom were imposed. Under the Ottawa Trade Agreement India for the first time departed from the singledecker tariff policy and adopted, on terms of reciprocity, tariff preference for certain classes of goods produced or manufactured in the United Kingdom.

## General.

The Agreement also provided for the exchange of preference with the non self-govorning Colonies and Protectorates.

On the part of India this Ayreement involved the grant to the United Kingdom of a $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent tariff preference on certain classes of motor vehicles (motor cars and motor omnibuses, chasis for motor omnibuses, motor vans and motor lorries and parts and accessories thereof) and a 10 per cent tariff preference on the following classes of goods:-

Apparel (exeluding hosiery and arlicles made of silk or artifioial silk), certain arms and ammunition, asbestos manufactures, boots and shoes of leather, brushes and broomg, certain buiding and enginecring materinls, buttons, certain chemicals and chomical preparations oxeluding manures, cocor and chocolate, confectionery, cordage und rope other than of jute and cotton, cork manafactures, entlery, drugs and modicines except nareotics, earthen ware and porcelain. furniture and cabinet-ware, glue, hardware exeluding electro-plated ware, instrumenta, apparatis and appliances and parts thereof (electrical. musical, photographic, scientific and philosophical, surgical, wircless and miscellanmous), leather and certan manufactures thereof liquors (ale and beer, spirit in drigs, ete., and porfumed spirit), certain machinery and millwork, metals (aluminiam, brass, bronze and similar alloys, copper, German silver, certain classes of iron and stecl, lead wroght and gins wrought or mandactured), oils (hish oil, certain essential oils, mineral lubricating oil, petroleum in paints, etc., aud vozetable oils other than coconnt, groumbut and linseed), oil cloth and foor cloth, ongine and boiler packing, certain paiuts and painters' matorials, certain classes of paper and pasteboard, cerlain kinds of provisions and oilman's stores, rubber manufactures, smokers' requisites, toilet soap, stationery, textiles (haberdashery and millinery), woollen manufactures other than blankets and rugs, toilet requisites, toys and requisites for games and sports, umbrellas and umbrella fittings, vehieles not mochanically propelled and cyoles.

In most of these classes of gooils the preference was subject to certain specified exceptions and also to the general reservation that it did not extend to-
(r) commodities to which protective duties are applicable:
(b) commodities which were free of duty at that time ; or
(c) commodities on which on groumbs of uational policy a spocially low rate of duty had been imposed.
In the class of iron and steel goods, the preference extended only to those commodities which were not subject to protective duties and in the class of machinery only to those articles which paid ordinary revenue rate of 25 per cent ad valorem and not to those which in the interests of agriculture and industries were free of duty or were subject only to the temporary duty of 10 per cent ad valorem. In the class of textiles it extended only to articles of apparel, haberdashery and millinery which were dutiable at 25 per cent ad valorem and to woollen manufactures, with specified exceptions in each case. As regards goods made of cotton, silk or artificial silk, it was agreed that a 10 per eent preference would be extended to these goods with the exception of certain cottnn manufactures (twist and yarn, piecegoods, thread for sewing, blankets, handkerchiefs in the piece, hosiery, rope and towels in the piece), silk and artificial silk yarn, piecegoods and thread for sewing, certain goods of silk and artificial silk mixed with other materials (twist and yarn. piecegoods and thread for sewing) and articles on which protective duties might be imposed as a result of the Indian Tariff Board's enquiry which was being conducted at that time.

In the case of Colonies and Protectorates, the Agreument provided for the grant by Iudia of preference to certain staple exports of the Colonial Empire including-

Specified gums and resins, oil-seeds, vegotable and essential oils, unground spic es, coconuts and cooonut products, fish, frnits and vegotablos, sago and tapioos, tea, colfee rum and unmanufactured tobacco.

## General.

A Supplementary Agreement regarding iron and steel was ontered into between the two Governments in the September following, which provided for the adjustment of the Indian import duty on galvanised sheets as shown below:-

> R30 per on on shet made in the Unitod Kingdom from Indin shoet bar.
> Ris3 per ton on sheet mado in the United Kingdom from othor sheet lant. R83 per on on sheet not made in the United Kingdom.
> Theso revised dutiea will remain in foreo till the 31st March 1934

The Tariff Amendment Act mentioned above made necessary changes in Sehedule II to the Indian Tariff Act, 1894 with effect from 1st January, 193:3. The articles subject to the preferential rates of duty are included in two new parts, VIII and IX, to Schedule II. Part V1II contains all the articles which were dutiable under Part $V$ at the general revenue duty of 25 per cent ad valorem and which are now liable to the standard rate of 30 per cent and the preferential rate of 20 per cent for British goods. Part IX contains all the articles on the preferential list which were dutiable at special rates, that is, at rates either higher than or lower than the general rovenue rate. In these cases the necessary preference has been provided for either by entirely raising the previous rate or partly by raising and partly by lowering it, the standard rate having in no case gone beyond 50 per cent ad valorem.

The next Act is the Cotton Textile Industry Protection (Amendment) Act, 1933, which extended the operation of the protective duties imposed under the Cotton Textile Industry (Protection) Act, 1930. These duties were to expire on the 3lst March, 1933 but the Amendment Act extended their operation up to 31st October, 1933, pending the consideration by the Government of India of the Tariff Board's Report on the Indian cotton textile industry.

The wheat Import Duty (Extending) Act, 1933, extended the operation of the temporary customs duty on wheat and wheat flour to 31st March 1934.

The Salt Additional Import Duty (Extending) Act, 1933, extended for another year the operation of the 1931 Act, subject to certain modifications, as recommended by the Salt Industry Committee of the Legislative Assmbly. It reduced the additional duty from $4 \frac{1}{2}$ as. to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ as. per maund and extended its operation to 31st March, 1934.

The Indian Finance Act, 1933 fixed (i) a minimum specific duty of 2 as. (excluding surcharge) on uppers of boots and shoes not entirely made of leather and (ii) minimum specific duties of 4 as. per square yard and $2 a s .3 p$ per square yard respectively, with no surcharge, on artificial silk piecegoods and silk or artificial silk mixtures. It also rounded off the ad valorem duty of $31 \frac{3}{8}$ per cent (including surcharge) on these mixtures to 35 per cent with no surcharge. This Act was passed on the 31st March, 1933, but under the provisions of the Provisional Collection of Taxes Act, 1931, the tariff changes mentioned above came into force on the lst of that month.

The Indian Tariff (Ottawa Trade Agreoment) Supplementary Amendment Act, 1933 corrected, with effect from the 8th April 1933, a few inaccuracies and discrepancies in the 1932 Act which had been brought to light by a further scrutiny of the schedules to that Act and by practical experience of the new tariffs. The reference to ferrous sulphate was deleted from item No. 88 as it had already been specified olsewhere as green copperas. Alum, the protective duty on which lapsed on the 31st Mareh, was included in tho non-proferential descriptions of chemicals. The preforence inadvertently given to British
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manufactures in respect of moist white lead and newsprinting paper was withdrawn. Copper braziers were grouped with copper sheets liable to preferential rate. Tea chests and parts and fittings thereof were specified separately andi were made liable to the ordinary non-preferential rate. The preference to British incandescent mantles was made clear, while. on administrative grounds, the lubricating oil item was re-drafted to include oils other than mineral, pure and mixed, which are not ordinarily used for any purpose other than lubrication. The preferential rate for colonial products was withdrawn in the case of certain glass-making chemicals. Gold and gold-plated pen nibs were specified separately with the United Kingdom preference, while, in the interest of the Indian industry, two glass-making materials, liquid gold and covered crucibles, were given a specially low preferential rate of duty.

The last of the seven Acts is the Indian Tariff (Amendment) Act, 1933, which amended sub-items (i) and (ii) in item No. 148A relating to galvanized iron or steel sheets of British manufacture and made it clear that the preferential rate is applicable only to sheets manufactured from Indian sheet bar imported into the United Kingdom after the ratification of the Ottawa Trade Agreement.

The protective dutios imposed under the Heavy Chemical Industry (Protection) Act, 1930, on hydrochloric, nitric and sulphuric acids, alum, aluminium sulphate, copper sulphate, magnesium sulphate, sodium sulphate, sodium sulphide aud zinc chloride lapsed on the 31st March, 1933, and these became liable to the ordinary duty with or without preference to the United Kingdom manufacture. Magnesium chloride, however, continues to be liable to the protective duty.

Besides the statutory changes mentioned above; the period of operation of the additional protection accorded to iron or steel galvanized sheets, fabricated, and pipes and tubes made therefrom has been extended to 31st March, 1934, under section 3 (4) of the Indian Tariff Act, 1894. Similarly, under section 3 (5) of the above Act, the import duty on Non-British cotton piecegoods was increased to 50 per cent ad valorem with a minimum specific duty of $5 \frac{1}{4}$ as. per lb . in the case of plain grey with effiect from 30th August, 1932. With effect from 7th June, 1933, these rates have been further increased to 75 per cent ad valorem and $6 \frac{3}{4} a s$. per lb . respectively.

## CHAPTER II.

## Imports of Merchandise.

The following table shows the comparative importance of the principal articles imported into British India:-

Imports.
(In thousands of Ropees)

| - | 1028-29 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 | Percentageon total Imports of merchaidise in 1032-93 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cotton and cotenn goods | 67,15,16 | 62.90,88 | S1,64,57 | 26,18,81 | 34,08,53 | 25.71 |
| Machlaery and millwork | 18,31,04 | 18.21,55 | 14,34,78 | 10,42,34 | 10,54,24 | $7 \cdot 06$ |
| Metals and Ores . | 26,98,84 | 23.61.91 | 15,92,26 | 9,74,85 | 0,73,40 | 7.34 |
| 0118 . | 11,63,93 | 11.08.6. | 10,92,25 | 9,72,26 | 8,00,01 | 0.03 |
| Sllk rav and manufactures | 5,00, 37 | 4,58,48 | 2,09,02 | 2,73,56 | 4,33,37 | 3. $\% 7$ |
| Sugar . | 10,08,05 | 15,77,65 | 10.96,47 | 6,1t5,53 | 4,22,85 | $3 \cdot 19$ |
| Instruments, apparatua and sppliances. | 4,91,71 | 5,38,20 | 4,77,47 | 3,69,20 | 3, 54,77 | 2.90 |
| Vehicles | 11,00,611 | 10,84.73 | 7,30,53 | 4,48,47 | 3,81,94 | 2*83 |
| Hardwape | 3,23,28 | 5,06,65 | 3, 414,28 | 2,60,91 | 2,94,22 | $2 \cdot 25$ |
| Wool raw and manufactures | 5,01,87 | 4.28.45 | 2,31,11 | 1,62,00 | -2,40,4 | 2.23 |
| Provislona and ollman'd stores | C,21,24 | $5.63,61$ | 4,87,79 | 3,41.26 | $2,92.87$ | $2 \cdot 1$ |
| Paper and yastoboard | 3,29,95 | 8,72,31 | $\underline{2} \mathbf{8 1}, 74$ | 2,50,24 | 2,30,45 | \% 16 |
| Chemicals - . | 2,47,91 | 2,78,74 | 2,61,22 | $\underline{-60,97}$ | 2,71,25 | $2 \cdot 04$ |
| Tyos. | 2,83,31 | 2,48,31 | 2,59,00 | 2,67,85 | 2,511,43 | 1.818 |
| Liquors | 3.57,16 | 3,76.63 | 3,31,76 | $\because 64,86$ | :2, 5 5,70 | 1.70 |
| Eubber | - $2,80,18$ | 3,42.67 | 2,58,24 | 2,20,28 | 1,609.05 | $1 \cdot 51$ |
| Drace and medtchaes | - 0 ,02,13 | 2.26.25 | 1,03,94 | 1,91,11 | 1,85,83 | $1 \cdot 41$ |
| Splces | 2,94,03 | 3.25,75 | 2,54,94 | 2,08,22 | 1,72,50 | $1 \cdot 30$ |
| Glase nid giassware | 2,37,4, | 2,51,93 | 1,04,78 | 1,21,97 | 1,42,47 | 107 |
| Fruits and vasetablen | 1,68,39 | 1,82,87 | 1,46,59 | 1,34,47 | 1,16,37 | -88 |
| Tobacco . . | 2,74,60 | 2,019,71 | 1,51,16 | 94.34 | U6, 94 | -73 |
| Paints and palnters' materials | 1,44,00 | 1,46,35 | 1,12,00 | 87.63 | 92,19 | -69 |
| Apparel . . | 1,82,99 | 1,71,24 | 1,11.13 | 81,70 | 84, 21 | -63 |
| Preclous stones and penrls, unset | 1,16,83 | 1,09.65 | 50,74 | 45,00 | 83,44 | -63 |
| Soap - - | 1,58,10 | 1,60,68 | 1,11,08 | 88.72 | 82,03 | -03 |
| Salt | 1,40,82 | 1.30 .39 | 1,14,97 | 71,99 | 78,00 | -60 |
| Bullding and enginearing materisis | 1,21,96 | 1,34,44 | 1,09,88 | 83,78 | 77,33 | -58 |
| Statlonery . | 1,01,59 | 1,05,06 | 81,25 | 08,03 | 72,30 | -54 |
| Gran, pulse and flour ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 10,72,81 | 6,42.05 | 2,81,63 | 1,17,61 | 70,48 | -54 |
| Haberdashery and millinery . | 1,34,07 | 1,04,28 | 72,08 | 54.29 | 67,80 | - 51 |
| Tollet requilites. - | 64.61 | 72,68 | 53,87 | 47.80 | 58.14 | -44 |
| Belting for machinery . | 83,11 | 90,21 | 03,62 | 60.11 | 52.86 | -40 |
| Manures . . | 73,57 | 98,65 | 67.48 | 30,01 | 52,89 | -40 |
| Boots and shoes | 68,12 | 87.81 | 88,05 | 04,93 | 51,77 | -39 |
| Wood and timber | 83,48 | 1,03.54 | 89,82 | 60,60 | 61.44 | -38 |
| Earthenviare and porcelaln | 73.09 | 72,34 | 48,18 | 38,36 | 40,50 | -38 |
| Tes chests . . | 67.47 | 80.24 | 63.53 | 60,32 | 47,77 | - 36 |
| Toys and requialtes for game | 68,69 | 64,84 | 40,178 | \$7,04 | 47,33 | -36 |
| liooks, printed, cto. | 68,28 | 71,82 | 00,01 | 53,38 | 46,38 | -35 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{Im}_{3}$, ammunition and millitary atore. | 76,64 | 65,44 | 64,02 | 68,48 | 4t,14 | -33 |
|  | 74,22 | 68,00 | 45,68 | 43,67 | 34,63 | $\cdot 27$ |
| Jewellery, also plate of gold and silver. | 15,02 | 20,25 | 30,34 | 10,18 | 34,43 | -20 |
| Bobblna | 35,98 | 99,88 | 42,99 | 81,01 | 28,57 | -22 |
| Umbrellas and fittlogn . | 67,19 | 43,60 | 31,09 | 30,16 | 27,77 | -21 |
| Tallow and stearine * | 24,03 | 31,02 | 27,23 | 20,70 | 24,06 | -119 |
| Catlery | 96,37 | 41.41 | 26,05 | 20,60 | 2.4,27 | -18 |
| Gums and reslos | 38,95 | 41,00 | 91,07 | 24,25 | 23,03 | -18 |
| Paper makiog materials | 41,51 | 44,95 | 42,07 | 35,99 | 23, 29 | -17 |
| Furnituro and cablint ware | 36,98 | 37,66 | 27,73 | 20,11 | 17,65 | -14 |
| Flax raw and manofactares | 35,45 | 33,38 | 21,69 | 17,75 | 16,75 | -13 |
| Antmals, 11 lng l . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 36.71 | 32,42 | 20.86 | 41,00 | 14,79 | -11 |
| Figh (exeluding canned fish). | 26,76 | 20,31 | 23,86 | 13,42 | 13,00 | -10 |
| Fute and juto goods ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 20,58 | 24,20 | 18,37 | 12,78 | 13,49 | -10 |
| Clocks and watches and parts | 27,61 | 23,47 | 16,88 | 11,21 | 12,75 | -10 |
| Coal and coke | 39,10 | 45,65 | 34,60 | 14,28 | 13,03 | -108 |
| Matches All other articles. | 17,22 $15,26,01$ | 10,89 $14,33,69$ | 10,53,76 | 9,04,96 | 10,31,73 | 7.78 |
| Tutas value of Imports | 253,30,00 | 240,70,60 | 164,70,37 | 126,37,14 | 132,68,43 | 100 |

## Cotton Manufactures.

Cotton Manufactures (R26,83 lakhs). -The total value of the imports of cotton manufactures in the year under review amounted to R26,83 lakhs as against R19,15 lakhs in the preceding year and R25,26 lakhs in 1930-31. Thus it will be seen that the imports under this head revived considerably as compared with the preceding year and even exceeded the figure of 1930-31. As compared with $1929-30$, however, there was still much leeway to be made up, the value of the imports in that year having amounted to R59,49 lakhs. Imports of cotton twist and yarn amounted to $45 \cdot 1$ million lbs. valued at R3,79 lakhs as against $31 \cdot 6$ million lbs. valued at R2,99 lakhs in 1931-32. Thus there was an increase of 1312 million lbs. or 43 per cent in the imports under this head. Imports of piecegoods in the year under review were $1,22 \overline{5}$ million yards valued at $\mathrm{R} \geqslant 1,26$ lakhs as compared with 776 million yards valued at R14.67 lakhs in 1931-32 and 890 million yards valued at R20,05 lakhs in 1930-31. The increase in yardage as compared with the preceding year was, therefore, 450 million yards and even compared with 1930-31 that was 335 million yards. Compared with the last normal ycar, 1929-30, however, the imports were 694 million yards less.

It will be seen from the above figures that the import trade in cotton manufactures showed a distinct improvement as compared with the preceding year or even with 1930-31. Compared with 1929-30, the last normal year, howerer, the trade was still considerably smaller. The improvement in the year under review was of considerable magnitude and it will be worth while examining the causes of this upward movement. The first cause which suggests itself is a probable revival in the demand for piecegoods, the consumption of which had fallen off considerably in the previous two years. It will be seen from the table on page 34 that the amount available for consumption was 333 and 364 crores of yards in 1930-31 and 1931-32 respectively as compared. with 419 crores of yards in 1929-30, 365 crores of yards in 1928- 29 and 413 crores of yards in 1927-28. Thus the postponement of demand which was the result of the peculiar conditions of the two previous years may have led to a better demand in the year under review. A second cause which also improved the demand was the considerably lower prices of piecegoods which ruled in the year under review. The declared values of all classes of piecegoods touched lower levels, the fall being greater in the cases of white and coloured goods. The Calcutta index number in the case of cotton manufactures, which is an index of internal prices, fell much further than in the previous years. The index was 127 in April, 1931, and in spite of a very slight fall in the end of the year 1931-32 it remained at 127 in April, 1932. By April, 1933, however, the index had fallen to 112 . This fall in the prices of cotton manufactures certainly encouraged their consumption, especially as this fall tended to reduce, to some extent, the wide disparity between the fall in the agricultural incomes of the masses and the fall in the cost of the imported goods which they bought. The chief cause of the fall in prices of cotton piecegoods was the selling of cotton piecegoods in India by Japan at very low rates. The depreciating yen exchange helped Japan in this respect and even the additional duty placed on these imports in the middle of the year did not stem the tide, as the Japanese manufacturers' advantage increased with the further depreciation of the rupee-yen exchange. The very low prices at which Japanese goods were offered greatly increased their consumption and the

## Imports.

prices of competing goods of other than Japancse origin had also to be lowered to keep pace. These lower prices created a better effective demand for piecegoods and consequently the consumption as well as imports improved considerably. This has been one of the most important causes of the improvement in piecegoods imports. A third cause of the increase in imports is to be found in the comparative weakness of the boycott agitation in the year under review. Owing to the measures adopted by Government the agitation had been considerably weakened and the trade in imported piecegoods became profitable again. These causes led to an increase in imports both from Japan and the United Kingdom; but owing to the low prices which Japan would take, her share increased far more than that of the United Kingdom. The effects of these causes on the shares of the United Kingdom and Japan may be studied in the first instance. The table below gives the imports of cotton twist and yarn for the four years 1929-30 to 1932-33 from the United Kingdom, Japan and other countries.

Imports of cotton twist and yarn.

| Yrom- | Quantity |  |  |  | VALUB |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 102\%-30 | 1080-81 | 1081.32 | 1032-33 | 1029.30 | 1030-31 | 1031-32 | 1039-33 |
|  | $\xrightarrow[(1,000)]{\text { Jbs. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs. } \\ (1,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{l \mathrm{lbt}}{(1,000)}$ | $\underset{(1,000)}{\text { lisg. }}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{khs})}{\mathrm{R}}$ | $\underset{(\operatorname{la} \div h s)}{f}$ | $\underset{\text { (lakhs) }}{\mathrm{P}}$ | $\stackrel{f}{\mathbf{f t}}$ |
| United Kingdom . | 20,112 | 10,316 | 11,013 | 13,357 | 2,06 | 1,27 | 1,22 | 1,32 |
| Japan - | 10,870 | 0,895 | 0,200 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 18,140 | 1,64 | 84 | 83 | 1,01 |
| Other countries | 12,000 | 11,030 | 13,456 | 13,59\% | 1,40 | 97 | 04 | 86 |
| Total | 43,882 | 29,140 | 31,575 | 45.103 | 0.00 1 | 3,08 | 2,90 | 3,79 |
| Declared value per lb. | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.a.p. } \\ & 1-5-10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{p} . \\ & 1-0.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { R.n. } p_{0} \\ 0-15-2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.a.p. } \\ & 0-1 \leq-6 \end{aligned}$ |

From this table it will be seen that the imports of cotton twist and yarn increased very considerably in the year under review. amounting to $45 \cdot 1$ million lbs. as compared with 31.6 million lbs. in the preceding year and $29 \cdot 1$ million lbs. in 1930-31, and these exceeded even the imports during 1529-30, the last of the normal years, by 1.2 million lbs. The value of the imports, however, though higher than in 1931-32 and 1930-31, was considerably less than that of 1929-30 owing to the great fall in the declared value per lb., which amounted to 13 as. 5 p . in 1932-33 as compared with $15 a s .2 p$. in the preceding year and Re. 1-5-10 in 1929-30. The increase in the quantity of imports shows that the trade under this item had more or less regained its old position and that the rigour of the boycott against foreign yarn had weakened considerably. The table further shows that the increase in impurts was the largest in the case of Japan, the share of which country increased from $6 \cdot 2$ million lbs. in 1931-32 to 18.1 million lbs in 1932-33, an increase of nearly 200 per cent. Imports from the United Kingdom did not show such a striking increase and amounted to only 13.4 million lbs. as compared with 11.9 million lbs. in the preceding year, while imports from other countries were almost of the same dimensions as in the preceding year. Japan had penetrated the market in this trade to a larger degree in the year under review, and the increase in the total imports of cotton manufactures as com.
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pared with the preceding year can be attributed very largely to the incease of imports from Japan.

In spite of the large imports of foreign yarn the home production did not show any signs of falling off. The total production of yarn during 1932-33 amounted to 1,016 million lbs. as compared with 966 million lbs. in the preceding year. It will be noticed that the figure of yarn production is creating a new record every year, the figures for the last three or four years being all records on the previous figures.

Imports of cotton piecegoods, including fents, increased from 776 million yards in 1931-32 to 1,225 million yards in 1932-33, an increase of 58 per cent. Compared with 1929-30, however, the imports were still 36 per cent lower. The table below gives the imports of cotton piecegoods under the three main heads, grey, white and coloured for the four years from 1929-30:-
[In millions of yards]


These figures yield the following percentage variations. Imports of grey goods in 193\%-33 iucreased by 43 per cent as compared with 1931-32 but were 62 per cent lower whin compared with 1929-30. Imports of white goods in the year under review increased by 48 per cent as compared with the preceding year but were 13 per cent below the figure in 1929-30. The increase in the case of coloured goods as compared with 1931-32 was 91 per cent, but as compared with 1929-30 the figure had fallen by 12 per cent. The total increase in the quantity of imports of piecegoods in the year under review as compared with the preceding year amounted to 449 million yards. As compared with the year 19:9-30, however, the imports fell short by $69 \pm$ million yards.

The table below shows that the imports of grey goods from the United Kingdom were 521 million yards in 1929-30. By 1931-32 they had fallen to 60 million yards, a decline of 461 million yards. There was some revival of the trade with the United Kingdom which in the year under review amounted to 111 million yards. These imports, though considerable as compared with the preceding year, are extremely small as compared with those in 1929-30. lmports from Japan which were 394 million yards in 1929-30 had dropped to 185 million yards in 1931-32. In the year under review, however, they increased to 244 million yards or by nearly a third as compared with the preceding year. From these figures it will be seen that Japan has improved her position in relation to the United Kingdom and now has a predominant share in this trade.

As regards white goods the imports from the United Kingdom in the year under review amounted to 281 million yards as compared with 207 million yards in the preceding year and 436 million yards in 1929-30. Thus there
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has been some revival of the trade in this line as far as the United Kingdom is coucerned. Japan, however, has been consistently increasing her trade under this head since 1929-30. Imports from that country amounted to only 14 million yards in 1929-30. In 1931-32 they had risen to 60 million yards and in the year under review they reached the figure of 120 million yards or exactly double the imports of the preceding year. It will be seen, therefore that from an absolutely insignificant position in this trade Japan now holds an important share.

Under coloured goods imports from the United Kingdom increased from 110 million yards in 1931-32 to 194 million yards in 1932-33. Compared with 1929-30, however, there is still a big gap. Japan increased her share enormously under this head and imports from that country for the first time exceeded those from the United Kingdom. Japan sent 214 million yards in the year under review as compared with 95 million yards in the preceding year and 154 million yards in 1929-30. Thus Japan has, to a great extent, ousted the United Kingdom from her position as the chief supplier of coloured goods. It may be mentioned in this connection that the duty on white and coloured goods of British manufacture was lower than the duty on similar goods from other countries by 25 per cent, the duty on the latter being 50 per cent.
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India is essentially a price market and with the lower purchasing power of the consumer this characteristic of the market had been still further accentuated. In examining the course of the relative shares of the United Kingdom and Japan in the import trade, it is of importance to compare the prices of similar qualities from the two sources. The table which follows gives the declared values of cotton piecegoods under grey, white and coloured, as imported from these two countries. It is, of course, appreciated that these figures do not necessarily represent prices for similar qualities, particularly under white and coloured; but, in the case of grey it is probable that the difference in quality is not very great.

Declared valucs of imported piecegoods.
(Ropee per yard)


It will be seen from this table that at the beginning of the year the difference in the declared values for grey goods from the United Kingdom and Japan was 2 points only. By July, however, the difference had increased to 21 points as there was an increase of 6 points in the price of imports from the United Kingdom and a fall of 13 points in the price of Japanese imports. The difference was lessened to 12 points in August, but in September again the difference amounted to 35 points. Next month the difference narrowed down to 23 points, but in the subsequent months the difference ranged about 30 points. It will be observed that from nearly equal prices at the beginning of the year the gap had widenrd to 30 points in the subsequent months of the year. This difierence is noteworthy in view of the fact that the rate of duty on non-British plain grey goods imported from Japan was inereased from 314 per cent ad valurem or 43 as. per lb . to 50 per cent or 5 as., whichever is greater from September. As regards white goods, the difference was 57 points in April 1932 in Japan's favour. The gap became slightly less

## Imports.

in the subsequent four months and ranged about $47-48$ points. By September the gap had increased to 61 points. In the three subsequent months the gap was round about 50 points and it remained more or less at this level, except in January when it amounted to 59 points. As regards coloured goods, the gap was 106 points in April 1932. It remained about this level for the subsequent five months. In October, however, it widened to 126 points. There was a slight narrowing down of the difference in the subsequent months, but the difference remained about 118 to 120 points throughout the period. These relative prices of goods from various countries have a most important bearing on the consideration of the transfer of trade from one country to another even in normal times and their importance is greatly enhanced during a period when the purchasing power of the consumer has fallen in a marked degree, as has been the case in India in the last three or four years.

The fall in the imports of piecegoods as compared with the year 1929-30 was to some extent counterbalanced in several ways. The production of Indian mills in 1932-33 increased even over the large figure of the preceding year. The table below shows the production of Indian mills, month by month, from April 1929.
(In thousand yards)


From this table it will be seen that the production of Indian mills in 1932-33 was 3,170 million yards as compared with 2,990 million yards in 1931-32 and 2,561 million yards in $1930-31$, thus showing an increase of 180 million yards and 609 million yards respectively. This larger production filled the gap"due
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to the fall in the imports as compared with the year 1929-30. The stocks of piecegoods with the Indian mills. however, on the 1st April 1933 were about 601 million yards, an increase of 219 million yards over those on the corresponding date of the preceding year.

It may be remarked that the mills outside Bombay Island accounted for the whole of the increase in total production. As far as Bombay Island was concerned, there was a slight decrease of 6 million yards in the production of the year as compared with the preceding year. This may have been due to the long drawn out communal riots in the City from May to July of the year under review. The table given below shows the production of cotton piecegoods in Bombay Island, Ahmedabad and the rest of India separately.


It will be observed from the above table that, except in the middle of the year, the mills in Bombay Island were manufacturing goods at a lower rate than in the preceding year. The production for 1932-33 in the Bombay Island was 1,211 million yards as against 1,217 million yards in the preceding year, showing a small decline of 6 million yards. On the other hand, the Ahmedabad mills produced 860 million yards in the year under review as compared with 781 million yards in the preceding year and 692 million yards in 1930-31. Thus, in two years the production in Ahmedabad increased by 168 million yards or 24 per cent. Production in 1932-33 for the rest of India amounted to 1,099 million yards as compared with 992 million yards in the preceding year and 902 million yards in 1930-31. In two years the production for these mills increased by 197 million yards or 22 per cent. Apart from Bombay Island. therefore, there was an increase in production in all the other centres.

The details given below show that the figures of imports of piecegoods throughout the year under review were larger than those of the year 1931-32. The imports were heavy for the four months from June to September. There

## Imports.

was a setback, however, from October to February, but imports seem to have revived in the last month of the year. The table below shows the monthly imports of piecegoods. excluding fents. in millions of yards.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

There were some hartals during the year due to the resumption of the civil disobedience movement at the beginning of 1932. There were also further troubles in Bombay as regards selling in one or two markets. The civil disobedience movement, however, was not so strong even in Bombay as in preceding years and except in the first half of the year, there was little or no disturbance of trade in the year under review. The communal riots from May to July, however, affected the production in Bombay City and led, to restricted dealings on the markets in that City.

The lower purchasing power of the consumers in India was still an important factor in discouraging the consumption of cotton piecegoods on as large a scale as would have been justified by the low prices ruling in the market. As has been pointed out in Chapter I, the fall in the prices of India's staple products continued almost throughout the year under review and very seriously affected the purchasing power of the iyol, thereby, to a great extent, reducing the demand for such imports as are more of the nature of comforts and luxuries than of absolute necessities. As explained in Chapter I, the value of India's exports which go to pay for her imports was reduced considerably in the year under review. Thus the decline in the value in the case of exports of raw cotton was $R 3$ crores as compared with the lean year 1931-32 and R45 crores as compared with the last normal year $1!29-30$. Similarly, raw jute showed a decline of nearly R17 $\frac{1}{2}$ crores as compared with 1929-30, though only a decrease of R1立 crores as compared with 1931-32. Exports of jute
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manufactures were down by over R30 crores as compared with 1929-30, though as compared with 1931-32 the fall was only 722 lakhs. Exports of grains, pulse and flour showed a decline of $\mathrm{Al} 1 \frac{1}{2}$ crores as compared with 1929-30, and even compared with 1931-32 the decline was over Rt 4 crores. Tea showed a decline of a little under R 9 crores as compared with 1929-30, oilseeds of over Zl 5 crores, raw hides and shins R 5 crores and lac nearly R 6 crores. The total decline in the values of exports of these articles, therefore, amounts to over R145 crores as compared with 1929-30. Without any shadow of doulst this vital change was bound to affect the purchasing power of the ryol most seriously and he had, therefore, no alternative but to reduce his purchases as compared with those in 1929-30, among which cotton piecegoods occupy an important position.

Tariff changes affected the imports of cotton pirce goods only to a small exthent, the only change effected during the year under review being in the rates of ciuty applicable to cotton piecegoods not of British manufacture. These duties were introduced in the middle of the year and were applicable to the imports from Japan and other countries. In the case of Japan, however, the effect of the tariff change was :ompletely nullified by a further depreciation of the yen; and it may be concluded that the tariff changes caused little actual reduction in the imports of the year under review.

The uncertainty about the prices of raw cotton affected the cotton industry both in England and in India. From the middle of April 1932 till the beginning of June prices of American middling were generally on the decline and fell from 5d. per ll. on the 15th April to 4.09 d . on June loth. From then till the first week oi September prices were generally on the increase and on the 2nd of September the highest quotation of the year, $6.57 d$. , was recorded. From that time onwards till the first week of March 1933 prices were generally on the decline and this long spell of falling prices was not favourable to the cotton industry. The price recorded on 3rd March was $4 \cdot 79 d$., but there was a rally during the month and the year closed with $5 \cdot 15 d$.

As is generally the case cotton prices in India followed prices in England. As in the case of Liverpool prices, from the first week of April till the beginning of June prices in India generally were on the decline. From then till the begiming of September they were increasing, the highest quotation of the year, I2e44-8-0, being recorded on September 2 . From that date till the beginning of Mareh prices declined and on March 3 the quotation was R175-4. On March 17 the quotation had gone up to P187-8. but by March 31 it again receded to $\mathrm{¥2} 174-8$. These falling prices for the greater portion of the year made buyers of cotton piecegoods unwilling to place orders as naturally they expected the price of piecegoods to come down with falling raw cotton prices.

A factor, which was referred to in last year's Review in explaining the smaller dimensions of imports under cotton piecegoods. was that the coloured. printed and dyed goods were being replaced, to some extent, by piecegoods of artificial silk. It was remarked that Japan had been exporting to India very large quantities of artificial silk piecegoods at phenomenally low prices. This factor was also in operation during the year under review and Japan increased the imports under artificial silk by a considerable extent in 1932-33. Imports under this head from Japan amounted to 115 million yards as com-
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pared with 75 million yards in the preceding year and 38 million yards in 1930-31. These imports. to some extent, replaced coloured cotton piecegoods and this factor has, therefore to be taken into account in explaining the lower figure of imports of cotton piecegoods as compared with the normal year, 1929-30.

The table below gives the amual quantity of mill-made cotton piecegoods available for consumption in each of the last 30 years and also the annual per capita consumption in yards (allowing for the variation in population) from year to year.

Consumption per capita of mill-made cotton peecegoods in India.


It will be seen that the net imports of piecegoods increased from 76 crores of yards in 1931-32 to 120 crores of yards in 1932-33. The net available mill production also increased from 288 crores of yards in the previous year to 310 crores of yards in 1932-33. The total yardage available for consumption in 1932-33 amounted to 430 crores which compares favourably with the peak years 1912-13 and 1913-14 as well as 1927-28 and 1929-30. The consumption per capita amounted to 11.94 yards which, though not a record figure, compares quite favourahly with earlier figures of high consumption. It must be remarked, however, that the above calculation neglects the position of stocks and, as has been remarked above, stocks of Indian mill-made goods have been piling up in the year under review and, to this extent, some part of the rise in consumption is more apparent than real.
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The following table shows the gross imports by countries of consignment, and the production in India of cotton piecegoods in millions of yards.

Gross imports by countries of consignment, and production in India, of coiton piecegoods in millions of yards.


The table shows that in 1932-33 imports from the United Kingdom increased as compared with the preceding year and were higher than in 1930-31. Compared with pre-depression' years, however, the imports show a very considerable decline. On the other hand, imports from Japan are a record
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figure for that country and exceed the earlier record figure of 562 million yards in 1929-30. Indian mill production is also a record figure.

The values of the different classes of cotton manufactures imported during the past five years and the pre-War year, 1913-14, are set forth below.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1018.14 \\ & \text { (prewar } \\ & \text { ycar) } \end{aligned}$ | 1020-29 | 10:9-30 | 19:0-31 | 1031-32 | 1034-43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (taklis) | R (lakilis) | 1 H (lakha) | R (lakis) | f(lakhe) | n (laklis) |
| Twist and yarn | 4.10 | 6,29 | 0,00 | 3.08 | 2.90 | 3.70 |
| Pirergoode- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grey (unblezelied) | 25.15 | 20,19 | 20.03 | 6, 8 \% | 3.02 | 5.07 |
| White (blrached) | 11.20 | 15.33 | 13.27 | 0.20 | 6.33 | 7.36 |
| Coloured, printed or dyed | 17,86 | 17,35 | 15.15 | 6,82 | 5,05 | 8,34 |
| Fents of all deserlptions | 54 | 04 | 90 | 10 | 37 | 52 |
| Toral fircygoods | 58,14 | 58,81 | 50.25 | 20,03 | 14,87 | 21.20 |
| Hogiery . | 1,20 | 1,45 | 1,4t | 88 | 18 | 67 |
| Handkerehiefs and shanls | 69 | 16 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Thread . | 39 | \$1 | 81 | 60 | 54 | 50 |
| Other sorts . . | 1,52 | 82 | 82 | 59 | 45 | $4 J$ |
| Graxi total | 60,30 | 63,24 | 69.40 | 25,25 | 10.15 | 20.8: |

The imports of cotton twist and yarn amounted to $45 \cdot 1$ million 1 lls . in guantity and 733.79 lakhs in value in $1932-33$ as compared with 31.6 million lbs. and R2,99 lakhs in 1931-32. The quantity of yarn imported in the yrar under review increased by 135 million lhs. as compared with the previous year, the increase in value being 1280 lakhs. As compared with $1909-30$, the last normal year, there was an increase in the quantity of imports in the year under review by $1 \cdot 2$ million lbs . In valuc, however, there was a decline of $\mathrm{J} 2,21$ lakhs. The average declared value per lb . of yarn imported during the year was $13 a s .5 p$. as compared with 15 as. $2 p$. in the previous year, IR1-0-11 in 1930-31 and P2-5-10 in 1929-30. Of the total imports, $18 \cdot 1$ million lbs. came from Japan, the largest supplier, $13 \cdot 4$ million lbs. from the United Kingdom and $13 \cdot 3$ million lbs. from China. Imports from these countries in 19:29-30 were $10 \cdot 9$ million lbs., $20 \cdot 1$ million lbs. and $10 \cdot 6$ million lbs. respectively. Thus, as compared with 1929-30, the imports from the United Kingdom had shrunk by 6.7 million lbs., whereas imports from Japan and China had gone up by $7 \cdot 2$ million 1 l s. and $2 \cdot 7$ million lls.s, respectively. It is obvious therefore that Japin had considerably improved her position in this trade as compared with the preceding year or with $19 ? 3-30$. The position of Japan is even stronger than is shown merely by the imports: from Japan, as the mills in Chinat are largely owned by dipatuese interests
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and imports from China have also shown considerable increase since 1929-30. Other sources of imports of yarn into India included Switzerland (66.000 lbs.) and Italy ( 5.000 lbs.). Imports from other countries amounted to $201,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.

The following table gives the imports of foreign yarn and the production of yarn in Indian mills for a series of years.


The production of yarn in Indian mills reached another record figure in the year under review amounting to 1,016 million lbs. This surpassed the preceding year's record figure of 966 million lbs. by 50 million lbs. This continuous increase in the production of yarn has been due to two causes. First-
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ly, tie Swadeshi movement was directed even against piecegoods produced in India from foreign yarn. This induced the Indian mills to increase their yarn production in order to meet the increased demand. Secondly, owing to the same movement, imports of piecegoods of finer counts coming from foreign countries were considerably reduced and in order to meet the demand the mills in India increased their production of yarn of higher counts. This will be seen from the following table which gives the imports and production under various counts for a number of years.

(a) Includes white twist and yarn which commenced to be shown acparately by counte from Apri, 1087.

Comparing 1932-33 with the previous year, imports of counts 1-20 increased from $308,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $901,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. The production of yarn of the same counts in the Indian mills increased from 562 million lbs. to 599 million lbs., which is a record figure and surpasses the previous record of $1926-27$ by nearly 83 million lbs. In counts $21-25$ imports amounted to $311,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. as compared with $227,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in the preceding year. Production of these counts, however, was reduced from 186 million lbs. to 177 million lbs. In counts $26-30$ the imports showed an increase from $523,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $757,000 \mathrm{lbs}$., the increase in the case of production being from 108 million lbs. to 120 million lbs. Under counts 31-40 imports had gone up from 14 million lbs. to 21 million lbs. and production rose frem 71 million lbs. to 77 million lbs. Similarly, in counts above 40 the increase in production was from 34 million to nearly 37 million lbs., whereas imports incrensed by a million lbs. to 6 million lbs. It may be remarked that most of the production figures are record figures. As regards two-folds (doubles) the imports increased from 11 million lbs. to a little under 16 million lbs. Table No. $15-\mathrm{C}$ summarises the position of the countries from
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which imports are derived in the four main classes, viz. 1-20, 31-40, above 40 and two-folds. The figures are given for three years.

The share of the United Kingdom in the total trade in cotton twist and yarn decreased from 38 per cent in 1931-32 to 30 per cent in 1932-33. Compared with the share of 46 per cent in 1929-30 the decline was very considerable. On the other hand, Japan's share in the year under review amounted to 40 per cent as compared with 20 per cent in the preceding year. China's share decreased from 42 per cent to 30 per cent. As was remarked in the previous Reviews, most of the imports from China are from mills under Japanese management. If the surmise is correct, imports from sources controlled by Japan would amount in the year under review to 70 per cent, which is 8 per cent more than the corresponding figures of the preceding year and is higher than the record figure of 65 per cent in 1925-26. The shares of the important countries in the imports of cotton twist and yarn for a series of years are given below :-

Percentage shares of the United Kingdom, Japan and China in the imports of cotton twist and yarn.

| - | $1918-1024-$  <br> 14 25 | $\begin{gathered} 1925 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1896- \\ 27 \end{gathered}$ | 1087- | $\begin{gathered} 1098- \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ | $1029-$ | $\begin{gathered} 1930- \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | 1981. 32 | $\begin{gathered} 1032- \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unltod Klngdom . . . . | 86 37 | 31 | 41 | 39 | 63 | 46 | 35 | 38 | S0 |
| Japan - . . | 2 57 | 05 | 54 | 32 | 17 | 25 | 24 | 20 | 40 |
| China (Including Hongkong) . | * | $\cdots$ | 2 | 25 | 26 | 24 | 40 | 42 | 30 |

The quantities of the different descriptions of cotton twist and yarn imported are set forth below :-

| - | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1018-14 } \\ \text { (pre-war } \\ \text { year) } \end{gathered}$ | 1025-26 | 1926-27 | 1927-28 | 1028-29 | 1920-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 | 1,000 168. | 1,000 Ins. | 1,000 | 1,000 ibs. | 1,000 | 1,000 liss. | 1,000 Ibs. | 1,000 |
| Cotton twlat and yarn- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Groy(unbleached) |  | (37,058 | 36,765 | 30,144 | 30,704 | 28,521 | 10,808 | 21,458 | 51,676 |
| Whito (bleached) | 10,087 | ( $\mathbf{3 , 7 5 1}$ | 4,082 | 4,813 | 5,068 | 6,270 | 3,97; | 3,640 | 4,054 |
| Coloured | 18,266 | 7,107 | 5,370 | B,076 | 9,801 | 4,326 | 1.732 | 1,403 | 1,008 |
| Mercerised. |  | 2,845 | 4,109 | 5,305 | 4,077 | 6,722 | 3,524 | 5,056 | 7,774 |

The imports of cotton piecegoods. including fents, increased from 776 million yards in 1931-32 to 1,225 million yards in 1932-33, an increase of

Cotton piecegonds ( $\mathrm{H} 2,126$ lakhs). 449 million yards or 58 per cent. As compared with 1929-30, however, there was still a deficit of 694 million yards in yardage. The value of the imports increased from 1414.7 crores to $1221 \cdot 3$ crores, an increase of R6.6

## Imports.

crores. The value figure in the year under review is, however, considerably less than in 1929-30 when it amounted to R50 crores. Compared with the pre-war year 1913-14, the imports of 1932-33 were less by 1,973 million yards. The figures for the three important classes of cotton piecegnods from 1913-14 onwards are given in the following table:-


It appears from the above table that in the year under review imports of grey goods increased from the low figure of the previous year and amounted to 356 million yards as compared with 249 million yards in 1931-32. Compared, however, with 1929-30 the imports are seen to be of relatively small dimensions. The imports of plain grey goods amounted to 218 million yards in 1932-33 as compared with 166 million yards in 1931-32 and 423 million yards in 1929-30. Imports of bordered grey goods amounted to 138 million yards as compared with 83 million yards in the preceding year and 502 million yards in 1929-30. These figures show that the trade under this item, though showing some signs of revival as compared with the preceding year, was still far behind the figure of 1929-30. Imports of white goods increased considerably in the year under review from 280 million yards in 1931-32 to 413 million yards, an increase of 133 million yards. Even compared with 1929-30, the imports of the year under review were only less by 61 million yards. Coloured goods increased from 223 million yards in 1931-32 to 425 million yards in 1932-33, an increase of 91 per cent. Compared with 1929-30 the imports fell short by only 49 million yards. As far as values are concerned. the imports oi grey goods showed an increase of R1,15 lakhs as compared with the preceding year and amounted to a little over R5 crores. The value of the imports in 1929-30 was, however, f 21 crores. The value of white goods iucreased from R5, 33 lakhs in 1931-32 to $\mathrm{f7} 7,33$ lakhs, an increase of 122,00 lakhs. Compared with 1929-30, however, the fall in value in the year under review amounted to R5,95 lakhs. Imports of coloured goods showed an increase of
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R3,29 lakhs from R5,05 lakhs to R8,34 lakhs. Compared with 1929-30, however, the fall in value was of $\mathrm{R} 6,81$ lakhs. The declared value of grey goods declined from 3 as. 7 p . in 1929-30 to 2 as. $6 p$. in 1931-32 and to 2 as. $3 p$. in 1932-33. The decline in the case of white goods was from 4 as. $6 p$. in 1929-30 to $2 a s .11 p$. in the year under review, whereas for the same period, coloured goods fell from 5 as. to 3 as. 2 p . The following talle shows the declared value per yard of the three classes of goods for a number of years :-


The imports of coloured, printed and dyed goods from 1925-26 are set forth below :-


Imports in the year under review in all the three lines increased considerably as compared with the preceding year, the increase being largest in the case of printed goods. Even as compared with 1929-30, the figures showed ia considerable decline only in the case of woven coloured goods, whereas in the case of printed goods it actually showed an increase. Under printed goods the quantity imported was 237 million yards as compared with 105 million yards in the previous year and 200 million yards in 1929-30. Imports of dyed goods amounted to 148 million yards as compared with 93 million yards in 1931-32 and 151 million yards in 1929-30. Thus. the imports of the year under review were ouly 3 million yards less than in 1929-30. Imports of

## Imports.

woven coloured goods rose from 25 million yards in 1931-32 to 40 million yards in 1932-33; but compared with 1929-30. there was a decrease of over 92 million yards. The detailed figures relating to the imported piecegoods are given below in millions of yards:-

| Grey (unbleached) | $\begin{gathered} \text { (pre-war } \\ \text { year) } \end{gathered}$ | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1831-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dhatis, saris and scarves | $808 \cdot 1$ | $501 \cdot 1$ | 171.0 | $83 \cdot 6$ | 138.8 |
| Jaconets, madapolisms, muls, ete. | $150 \cdot 4$ | 53.0 | $19 \cdot 3$ | 23:7 | 26.8 |
| Longcloth and shirtings - | $545 \cdot 4$ | $340 \cdot 1$ | 168.3 | $133 \cdot 8$ | 182.8 |
| Sheetings - . | $\cdot 2$ | $1+7$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 1$ |
| Drils and jeans | $21 \cdot 3$ | 13.4 | 2.4 | $2 \cdot 9$ | $1 \cdot 3$ |
| Other sorts . | $10 \cdot 8$ | 3.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 12 |
| Total | 1,534 2 | 925.5 | 365.0 | $249 \cdot 4$ | 350 |
| White (bleaohed) | $\begin{gathered} \text { (pre-war } \\ \text { year) } \end{gathered}$ | 1029.30 | 1930-31 | 1831-32 | 1932-33 |
| Dhutis, saris and scarves . | $104 \cdot 3$ | $45 \cdot 5$ | 15.1 | 1.9 | 3.6 |
| Jaconets, madapollams, mulls, etc. | $307 \cdot 9$ | 219.7 | 135.2 | $155 \%$ | $229 \cdot 2$ |
| Longoloth and shirtiaga - | $115 \cdot 3$ | $104 \cdot 1$ | 71.9 | 798 | 109.7 |
| Nainsooks - | $204 \cdot 7$ | 53.1 | $25 \cdot 9$ | 21.5 | 30.9 |
| Drills and jeans . . | 5.7 | 6.6 | $3 \cdot 8$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 4$ |
| Checks, spote and stripes | $16 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 0$ | 3.7 | $3 \cdot 8$ | $7 \cdot 9$ |
| Twills - | $8 \cdot 3$ | 16.8 | $7 \cdot 7$ | 3.7 | $11 \cdot 4$ |
| Other sorts . | 31.0 | 15.8 | 8.0 | 9.7 | 15.6 |
| Totas | $793 \cdot 3$ | 473.6 | 271.6 | 2797 | 412.7 |
| Coloured, printed or dyed. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1913-14 } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { (pre-war } \\ \text { year) } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | 1929-30 | 1930.31 | 1031-32 | 1932-33 |
| Dhatis, saris and goarves | 116.2 | 33.0 | 19•1 | 8.7 | 18.2 |
| Cambrics, etc. - | $113 \cdot 6$ | $43 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 5$ | $19 \cdot 7$ | $40 \cdot 6$ |
| Shirtings - . | 152.6 | $105 \cdot 6$ | 54.7 | 62.9 | 115.6 |
| Prints and chintz | 209.7 | 61.3 | 33.7 | 23.0 | $50 \cdot 5$ |
| Drilla and jeans . . | 30.0 | 86.6 | $33 \cdot 3$ | $32 \cdot 9$ | $71 \cdot 4$ |
| Checks, spots and stripes | $19 \cdot 7$ | 26.2 | $12 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 1$ | 12.8 |
| ${ }_{\text {Twills }}$ Other sorts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 31.4 159.6 | 36.6 90.7 | 16.0 5.0 | 17.8 | $22 \cdot 6$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 831.8 | 483.5 | 245.7 | $223 \cdot 2$ | 424.8 |

Under greys nearly every item showed increases as compared with the preceding year, though there were big gaps to be made up as compared with 1929-30. Imports under dhutis went up from 84 million yards to 139 million yards. In 1929-30, however, imports under this head were 501 million yards. Similarly, under longcloth and shirtings there was an increase of 49 million yards to 183 million yards as compared with the preceding year, but compared with 1929-30 there was a decreese of 157 million yards. Jaconets also showed a small increase from 24 million yards to 27 million yards. In 1929-30 the figure under this head was 53 million yards. Under white goods all the items showed increases as compared with the preceding year,

## Cotton Piecegoods．

but compared with 1929－30 only jaconets and longcloths showed increases． Imports of jaconets amounted to $\mathbf{t e 9}$ million yards as compared with 15 ： million yards in the previous year aud $2: 20$ million yards in 1929－30．Similarly， imports under longcloth amounted to 110 million yards as compared with 80 million yards in 1931－32 and 104 million yards in 1929－30．The only other item of importance under white goods which showed an increase was nain－ sooks which amounted to nearly 31 million yards as compared with 21.5 million yards in 1931－32 and a little over 53 million yards in 1929－30．The other items showed slight increases as compared with the preceding year， though the figures fell short of the level attained in 1929－30．In coloured goods there were considerable increases under all the items as compared with the preceding year，but as compared with 1929－30 only shirtings showed an increase．Imports under shirtings increased from 63 million yards in 1931－ 32 to 116 million yards，the figure of 1929－30 being 106 million yards．Drills and jeans increased from 33 million yards in 1931－32 to 71 million yards in 1932－33．Imports in 1929－30 were 87 million yards．Imports under cam－ brics，prints and chintz increased from 20 and 23 million yards in 1931－32 to 41 and 50 million yards in 1932－33，the imports in 1929－30 being 43 and 61 million yards respectively．The other items also showed increases as com－ pared with the preceding year．Thus，it will be seen that under white and coloured goods the trade had regained to some extent the position which it had occupied in 1929－30．

The percentage shares in 1913－14 and the past five years of the United Kingdom and Japan，the two principal competitors for the Indian piece－ goods import trade，in each of the three important classes of piecegoods are set forth below ：－

## Percentage shares of the United Kingdom and Japan in the imports of cotton piecegoods．

|  | 1013－14 |  | ${ }^{1026-30}$ |  | ${ }^{1020.30}$ |  |  | 1030－31 |  | 1931－32 |  | 1032－33 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| － | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䀂 } \\ & \text { 㽞名 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 槀 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 它 | 言 | \％ |  |  |  | 鹄 |  | 5 |
| Cotton plecegoods－ Groy White <br> Coloured | 98：8 | ${ }_{-}{ }^{-1}$ |  | 33：8 |  |  |  |  | 50．8 | 23：0 |  |  |  |

The share of the United Kingdom increased to some extent under greys as compared with the preceding year．There was a consequential drop in the share of Japan．The share of the United Kingdom in the year under review amounted to 31 per cent as compared with only 24 per cent in the pre－ ceding year and 56 per cent in 1929－30．Japan＇s share in the year under review was 68.5 per cent as compared with 74 per cent in the preceding year and $42 \cdot 5$ per cent in 1929－30．The decrease in Japan＇s share in the year under review is of a very small magnitude．The increase in her share in the past few years has been largely due to the attractively low prices at which Japan has offered her goods．On the other hand，the share of the United Kingdom which had been falling off cousiderably for 4 or 5 years up to 1931－32 show

## Imports.

some signs of revival in the year under review. As regards white goods, Japan has been making considerable progress in the last four years. In 1999-30 her share in white gonds was under 3 per cent. By 1930-31 it had risen to over 10 per cent. In 1931-32 it had gone up to 21 per cent and in the year under review it was a little over 29 per cent. The share of the Luited Kingdom, on the other hand, declined from 92 per cent in 1929-30 to 68 per cent in 1933--33. In coloured goods also Japan is fast capturing the Indian market from the Cnited Kingdom and in the year under review Japan has ousted the United Kingdom from the position of the largest supplier of these goods. The share of the United Kingdom dropped from 58 per cent in 1929-30 to 46 per cent in 1932-33, whereas Japan's share went up from 32 per cent in 1929-30 to over 50 per cent in 1933-33. The percentage shares of the principal sources in the total imports of piecegoods into India are shown below:

Percentage shares in the total quantities of piecegoods imported.


The outstanding feature of the table, as was noted in the Reviews for the last three years, is the definite and contimuous trends, in opposite directions, of the percentage shares of the United Kingdom and of Japan during the past nine years. Japan increased her share from 44 per cent in 1931-32 to 47 per cent in 1932-33. The share of the United Kingdom, on the other hand, further declined from $49 \cdot 4$ per cent to 48.7 per cent. Thus, the share of the linited Kingdom was reduced from 97 per cent in 1913-14 to a little under 49 per cent in 1932-33, whereas Japan from a position of no importance whatever increased her share enormously, and is now responsible for almost half the total quantity of piecegoods imported into India.

Of the total quantity of piecegoods imported 27 per cent was received in Bombay as compared with 22 per cent in the previous year. The slares of Bengal and Madras continued stationary at 29 and 8 per cent respectively, that of Sind, which amounted to 28 per cent in 1931-32, stood at 25 per cent in the year under review. Similarly, there was a decrease in the share of Burma to 11 per cent in 1932-33 as compared with 13 per cent in the preceding year.

The total quantity of fents imported into India inereased from 23 million yards in 1931-32 to a little under 32 million yards in 193\%-33. The

Fente (R. 52 tulh\%). figure was, however, smaller by nearly ; million yards as compared with 1929-30. The value of the imports in the year under review amounted to ti5y lakhs as compared with R33 lakhs in the preceding year and R90 lakhs in 1929-30. The United Kingdom supplied 10.7 million yards or about one-third of the total imports, whereas the United States of America sent $19 \cdot 4$ million yards or a little under two-thirds of the imports.

## Silk.

The quantity of sewing thread imported in the year under review was $2,073,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at f 56 lakhs as compared with $1,871,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued

Sewing thread (Rs. 50 lakha). at R54 lakhs in the preceding year. As usual, the United Kingdom supplied the major portion, amounting to $1,794,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R49 lakhs.
Silk, raw and manufactured (R4,33 lakhs).--The imports of raw silk increased from 1.6 million yards valued at $\mathbf{R} 62$ lakhs in 1931-32 to $3 \cdot 2$ million yards valued at 121,17 lakhs in 1932-33. The predominant supplier of raw silk was China, including Hongkong, which supplied $2 \cdot 9$ million llbs. or 92 per cent of the total imports into India. Imports from Japan. the only other source worth mentioning, increased from $34,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $165,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. Imports of silk yarns, noils and warps increased from 1.7 million lbs. valued at Pal lakhs in 1931-32 to 3 million lbs. valued at R88 lakhs in 1932-33.- Japan's increase in this trade was phenomenal, her share increasing from 116;010 llss. valued at R5 lakhs in the preceding year to $1,187,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R40 lakhs in the year under review. Italy was the second largest supplier, her share amounting to $862,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R 24 lakhs as compared with 622,000 lbs. valued at R19 lakhs. Imports from China and the United Kingdom increased from 343,000 and $62,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to 418.000 and $260,0100 \mathrm{lbs}$. respectively, but the imports from Switzerland declined from 289.000 lbs . to $96,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.

Imports of silk piecegoods iucreased very greatly in quantity from 20 million yards to 35 million yards. This figure is better by 12 million yards as compared with even 1929-30. The value of the imports in the year under review amounted to $\mathrm{Rl}, 81$ lakls as compared with $\mathrm{RI}, 26$ lakhs in the previous year. As in the case of cotton and artificial silk, the rise of Japan in this trade was the feature of the year, her share increasing from 11.7 million yards valued at R 72 lakhs in 1931-32 to 26.8 million yards valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 33$ lakhs in 1932-33. China's share remained almost at the same level as in the preceding year, amounting to 7.9 million yards valued at X $\mathbf{4 5}$ lakhs as compared with 7.8 million yards valued at R50 lakhs. Thus these two countries breween them supplied nearly the whole of the imports under this head. The shares of other countries which were insignificant showed decreases in the year under review as compared with the preceding year.

The imports of goods of silk-mixed with other materials showed a great. increase in the year under review and amounted to 10.1 million yards valued at R432 lakhs as compared with $5 \cdot 1$ million yards valued at R30 lakhs in the preceding year. Imports in 1929-30 were a little under 3 million yards. Thus, in three years the imports had increased by 7 million yards. As in the case of silk piecegoods, Japan was the largest single supplier and her position as compared with the previous year improved considerably. Imports from Japan amounted to $8-4$ million yards valued at $12: 8$ lakils as compared with $4 \cdot 1$ million yards valued at K18 lakhs in 1931-32. The imports in 192930 amounted to 2 million yards valued at R 19 lakhs. It is clear, therefore, that Japan had reduced her prices very considerably as, although the quantity imported from that source hat more than quadrupled as compared with 1929-30, the total declared value had gone up by 50 per cent only. Imports from the United Kingdom, Germany and Italy increased from 185, 0000, 170. 100 and 31,000 yards in $1931-32$ to $250,000,955,000$ and 85,900 yards respectively

## Imports.

in 1932-33. On the other hand, the share of France fell slightly from 54,000 to 47.000 yards.

Artificial Silk (R4,16 lekhs). The trade under this head continued to increase, both in quantity and value, as compared with the preceding two years ; but, as compared with 1929-30. there was only an increase in quantity but not in value. It may be mentioned that the increase in quantity has been of much greater magnitude than that in value, as the average declared value of piecegoods has been falling consistently since 1929-30 when it was 8 as. $11 p$. per yard to 4 as. $9 p$. in 1931-32 and 3 as. $11 p$. in the year under review. Imports of artificial silk yarn in the year under review amounted to 11 million lbs. valued at Rs. 93 lakhs as compared with 8 million lbs. valued at Rs. 82 lakhs in the preceding year. The largest increase in imports has been from Italy, whose share increased from 3.9 million lbs. to 5.6 million lbs. Japan also sent 1.8 million lbs.. as compared with 0.4 million lbs. in the preceding year. The share of the United Kingdom went up from nearly a million lbs. to 1.7 million lbs. On the other hand, imports from the Netherlands, France and Switzerland showed some decline.

As regards piecegoods of cotton and artificial silk, the outstanding feature, as noted in the previous Reviews, was the enormous growth of the imports from Japan under this head. The total import of such piecegoods in the year under review was 125 million yards valued at R3,10 lakhs as compared with 85 million yards valued at R2,52 lakhs in the previous year. The share of Japan in the total imports was 115 million yards or 92 per cent. Japan's share in the previous year was 75 million yards and in 1930-31 only 38 million yards.

As has been remarked in the last year's Review, Japan was exporting to India cloth made entirely of artificial silk in bright colours and attractive designs at prices as low as 3 as. to 4 as. per yard. At such prices artificial silk piecegoods were replacing the better type of printed and dyed cotton goods. It may be interesting to note that the declared value per yard of Japanese piecegoods of cotton and artificial silk mixed was 3 as. $6 p$. in the year under review as compared with $4 a s .6 p$. in the preceding year, 6 as. $4 p$. in 1930-31 and 8 as. $11 p$. in 1929-30. As against this the declared value of the Italian imports under the same head was 7 as. $9 p$. in 1932-33, 4 as. $11 p$. in the preceding year and 7 as. $2 p$. in 1929-30. Similarly, the declared value of the imports from the United Kingdom was 7 as. $7 p$. in the year under review as compared with 9 as. $4 p$. in the preceding year and 8 as. $6 p$. in 1930-31. As a result of the low and attractive prices of imports from Japan, the other countries were more or less ousted from the market. The share of Italy declined from $5 \cdot 2$ million yards to 4.5 million yards and the share of Switzerland from nearly 2 million yards to a little under a million yards. The United Kingdom, however increased her share from 1.6 million yards to 3.6 million yards.

Wool, raw end manufactured (R2,96 lakhs.)-There has been a very considerable increase in the value of imports under this head as compared with the preceding year. The increase has been general and was shared by all the sub-heads under this head, except carpets and rugs. Imports of raw wool increased from 6.7 million lbs. valued at H 31 lakhs to 7.2 million lbs. valued at H 42 lakhs in 1932-33. Australia was the largest supplier with

## Wool.

3 million lbs. valued at $R 18$ lakhs in the year under review as compared with $2 \cdot 9$ million lbs. valued at IR15 lakhs in the preceding year. The United Kingdom increased her share very considerably from a little under a million lbs. valued at nearly P 9 lakhs in 1931-32 to $2 \cdot 1$ million lbs, valued at 1218 lakhs in 1932-33. Thus, the United Kingdom ousted Persia as the sccond largest supplier of raw wool to India. The share of the latter country amounted to 1.3 million lbs. valued at R 3 lakhs as compared with nearly 2 million lbs. valued at R5 lakhs in the preceding year. Imports of worsted yarns for weaving increased from $568,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R9 lakhs in 1931-32 to 912,000 lbs. valued at R15 lakhs in 1932-33. Imports of knitting wool went up from $739,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R14 lakhs to $996,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R18 lakhs.

Imports of woollen piecegoods in 1932-33 increased by over 8 million yards as compared with the preceding year and even exceeded the imports of 1929-30 by about a million yards. Imports in the year under review amounted to 13.9 million yards as compared with 5.5 million yards in the preceding year and 12.6 million yards in 1929-30. The value of the imports of 1932-33 amounted to $\mathrm{Rl}, 61$ lakhs as compared with R 69 lakhs in 1931-32 and $\mathrm{P} 2,33$ lakhs in 1929-30. The countries which accounted for the great rise in imports under this head in the year under review were France, Italy, Japan and the United Kingdom. The shares of the first three countries amounted to $4 \cdot 6,3 \cdot 6$ and 1.4 million yards as compared with $2,1.4$ and 0.1 million yards respectively in the preceding year. The share of the United Kingdom also went up from 1.3 million yards valued at X 25 lakhs to 2.8 million yards valued at P 52 lakhs. The other countries, except Netherlands, also showed increases in their shares. The average declared value per yard of the French, Italian and Japanese supplies were $\mathrm{R} 0-12-0, \mathrm{Rl}-2-8$ and $\mathrm{R} 0-8-9$, whereas that of the imports from the United Kingdom was R1-13-11.

There was a considerable increase in the number of shawls imported. These amounted to 338,000 pieces valued at Zll lakhs as compared with 164.000 pieces valued at R 6 lakhs in the preceding year. The imports in 1929-30, however, were 658.000 pieces valued at R 27 lakhs. As usual, Germany was the largest single source of supply, her share going up from 114,000 pieces to 186,000 pieces. Imports from the United Kingdom and from other countries also showed considerable increases.

Imports of carpets and floor rugs declined from 267.000 lbs . valued at PR5 lakhs in 1931-32 to 188.000 lbs . valued at R 4 lakhs in 1932-33. In 1929-30 the imports were 604,000 lbs. valued at R10 lakhs. Imports from the United Kingdom were the highest, amounting to 81,000 lbs. valued at R1.8 lakhs as compared with 76.000 lbs . valued at R 2 lakhs in the preceding year. The share of Persia receded considerably from 146,000 lbs. valued at f 2 lakhs in 1931-32 to $78,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R 80.000 in 1932-33. The declared value per lb. of the United Kingdom supplies was 122-2-10 and those from Persia R1-0-4. Imports under the head 'blankets and rugs other than floor rugs' increased from 2.4 million lbs. valued at R19 lakhs in 1931-32 to 4.2 million lbs. valued at R34 lakhs in 1932-33.

Metals and Manufactures thereof ( $\mathrm{R} 8,73$ lakhs). The imports of metals and manufactures thereof declined by 23,000 tons or 5 per cent in

## Imports.

quantity from 418,000 tons in 1931-32 to 395,000 tons in 1932-33 and by R4 lakhs or less than 1 per cent in value from R9,77 lakhs to 129,73 lakhs. Iron and steel represented $125 \frac{1}{3}$ crores of this total as compared with R Rl ? crores in 1931-32 and, as in the preceding year, occupied the fifth place in order of importance among India's imports, the first four being cotton manufactures, machinery and millwork, mineral oils and raw cotton. If such items as machinery and millwork, hardware, cutlery, implements and instruments and velicles are grouped with metals and manufactures thercof under one head, the total value would aggregate 1232 crores, while the value of yarn and textile fabrics, usually the most important group among India's imports, amounted to R38 crores in the year under review. In the preceding year the metals group accounted for $\mathrm{R} 3 \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ crores, while the textile head totalled $\mathbf{~} 27$ crores.

Iron and steel ( 185,30 lakhs).-The world's production of pig iron in 1932 , estimated at $38 \frac{3}{4}$ million tons, was 30 per cent less than in 1931 and steel output, estimated at 49 million tons, was 28 per cent less. The biggest declines were registered in the United States, Germany and France, while the decrease in the case of Belgium and Luxemberg was comparatively small. In the United Kingdom the production of pig iron fell by only 5 per cent from 3.77 million tons in 1931 to $3 \cdot 57$ million tons in 1932, but steel output recorded a small increase of 1 per cent from $5 \cdot 20$ million tons to $5 \cdot 26$ million tons. Considering the prevailing world depression, it is surprising that the British manufacturers maintained their output to this remarkable extent in spite of the fact that the export trade, particularly with India, suffered from the severe competition from Continental producers.

In India, the imports of all classes of iron and steel, including pig iron and old iron or steel, in 1932-33 were even lower by 12 per cent than the poor record of the preceding year and amounted to 3206,000 tons as compared with 371,000 tons in 1931-32 and 614,000 tons in 1930-31. The following tables show the monthly imports of pig iron and manufactured iron and steel into British India from the principal sources of supply:-

Piy irun.
(In twns.)

|  | Month |  | United Kingdom | Belgiun | Germany | Italy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1932- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April . | - | - • - | 150 | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ |
| May - | - | - . - | 20 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . |
| Juno - | - | - |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . |
| ${ }_{\text {August }}$ | - : | $: \quad$. | ${ }_{6}^{5}$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ |
| Septomber | . | . . . | 100 | $\ldots$ | $\because$ | $\because$ |
| October | . $\cdot$ | - . | 130 | . | . |  |
| November | - | - . - | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 1933- ${ }^{\text {193 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| January | - . | - . - | 100 | .. |  |  |
| February Mlarch. | - . | - . | 105 | .. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | - . | - . | 80 | . | . | . |
|  | Toral | . $\begin{array}{r}1832-33 \\ . \\ 1831-32\end{array}$ | 746 331 |  | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |
|  |  | $\bigcirc 1030.31$ |  | 1 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |

## Iron and Steel.

Manufactured iron and steel (excluding pig and old iron).
(In thousands of Rupees.)


Imports of pig iron advanced from 400 tons in 1931-32 to 750 tons in 1932-33, the entire quantity being consigned from the United Kingdom, while

Pig Iron. those of ferro-alloys, drawn chiefly from Germany, declined from 1,400 tons to 500 tons. The price of pig iron, Cleveland No. 3 Foundry G. M. B., which was quoted at £2-18-6 in January 1931, remained unchanged till about the end of January 1933, when the price quoted rose to $£ 3-2-6$. For years past the Cleveland iron makers had fixed their prices by agreement, but during the year under review they found themselves compelled to quote below the official prices in order to meet the formidable competition in this market which was once considered to be their own. As a result, there has been a wider gulf between the official market quotation and the average net realised price of No. 3 Cleveland G. M. B. The figure for 1932 works out at $52-12-2$, or about $6 s$. per ton less than the price officially quoted.

The total imports of manufactured iron and steel (excluding pig and old iron) further declined by 12 per cent in quantity from 369,000 tons in 1931-32

Galvanised sheets. to 324,000 tons in $1932-33$ and by 16 per cent in value from R6,31 lalihs to R5,29 lakhs. Galvanised eheets represented 23 per cent of the total value of manufactured iron and
steel imported in 1932-33 as compared with 26 per cent in the preceding year and showed a decline from 84,000 tons valued at R1,66 lakhs to 73,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{fl} 1,23$ lakhs. In 1929-30 and 1930-31 the imports had been much higher, amounting to 258,000 and 147,000 tons respectively. Not only has there been a lack of purchasing power in India consequent on the sharp fall in prices of primary commodities during the past two years, but locally produced malerials are being substituted, thus reducing the demand for the imported articles to very small dimensions. Of the total imports in 1932-33 the United Kingdom supplied 50,000 tons or 69 per cent and Belgium 21,000 tons or 29 per cent as compared with 55,000 tons ( 65 per cent) and 27,000 tons ( 32 per cent) respectively in the preceding year. Imports of tin plates fell from 7,600 tons valued at R20 lakhs

Tin plates. to 7,000 tons valued at R191 lakhs, of which the United Kingdom supplied 6,900 tons to the value of R19 lakhs as against 7,400 tons valued at R19 $\frac{1}{3}$ lakhs in 1931-32. It may be remarked that the United Kingdom practically acquired a monopoly in this line, as the only competitor, viz., the United States of America, was out of the market owing to the high dollar exchange with the result that the imports from that country ceased altogether from August 1931. Imports of sheets and plates, not galvanised or tinned, were well maintained and amounted to 22,000 tons as in 1931-32, but the value showed a small

> Sheets and plates not galvanised. decline from R281 lakhs to R27 lakhs. There were larger arrivals from the United Kingdom amounting to 19,000 tons as against 17,000 tons in 1931-32, while those from Belgium dropped from 5,000 tons to 3,000 tons. Steel bars, other than cast steel; recorded a decrease from 72,500 tons valued at R65 lakhs to 69,200 tons valued at R 57 lakhs. Belgium and Luxemberg together supplied 49,000 tons and France 4,800 tons as compared with 54,000 tons and 5,000 tons respectively in 1931-32. Receipts from the United Kingdom, which had fallen from 20,000 tons in 1930-31 to 11,000 tons in 1931-32, improved to 11,500 tons in 1932-33. German supplies were also better by 1,400 tons than in the preceding year and amounted to 2,800 tons. Imports of iron bars and channels declined slightly from 1,300 tons to 1,200 tons,

> Bars and channels. of which Sweden sent 600 tons, the United Kingdom 350 tons and Belgium 230 tons as compared with 500,430 and 300 tons respectively in 1931-32. Imports of rails, chairs and fishplates receded from 4,600 tons valued at R10 lakhs to 1,700 tons valued

> Rails, chairs and fishplates. at $\mathrm{fl} 4 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs, there being smaller importations from the United Kingdom and Belgium. Smaller arrivals from the United Kingdom also accounted for a drop from 5,600 tons to 3,400 tons in the imports of sleepers and keys of iron or steel. Consignments from Belgium showed an improvement from 340 tons to 1,400 tons, while those from the United Kingdom shrank from 5,000 tons to 2,000 tons. Beams, channeis, pillars, girders and bridgework showed a decline from 36,000 tons to 16,000 tons. The shares of the United Kingdom

[^1] and Belgium fell to 6,000 tons in each case from 14,000 and 9,000 tons respectively in 1931-32. France and Germany also reduced their supplies and sent 3,000 tons and 1,000 tons rospectively as against 6,000 tons each in 1931-32. Imports

## Iron and Steel.

of cast iron pipes and fittings advanced from 1,700 tons to 2,100 tons. There was a decrease in the receipts from the United King-
Tubos, pipes and fittings. dom from 1,600 tons to 1,300 tons. Japan, assisted by the depreciation in her currency, entered the market and sent 700 tons in 1932-33. Imports of wrought tubes, pipes and fittings declined in quantity from 23,800 tons to 23,500 tons and in value from R71 lakhs to R62 lakhs. The share of the United Kingdom decreased from 11,600 tons to 9,800 tons. All the Continental countries together supplied 10,500 tons or 2,700 tons more than in 1931-32. Imports from Japan amounted to 500 tons as compared with 600 tons in 1931-32 and 300 tons in 1930-31. In hoops and strips, imports of which were well maintained

Bolts and nuts, hoops and strips. at 24,000 tons valued at R 28 lakhs, the share of the United Kingdom fell from 11,000 tons to 8,000 tons, while that of Belgium increased from 7,500 tons to 10,100 tons. Imports of bolts and nuts showed a decline from 7,000 tons to 6,500 tons to which Belgium contributed 3,300 tons or 400 tons less than in 1931-32 and the United Kingdom 1,000 tons as against 2,000 tons in the preceding year. Among other items nails, rivets and washers and wire rope showed decreases, while wire nails, iron angle, tee, bolt and rod and wire, other than fencing wire, recorded increases.

Table No. 19 shows the details of the imports and declared values of the different descriptions of iron and steel and Table 20 the principal sources of supply. The following table shows the chief sources of supply of iron and steel (including pig and old iron) with the percentage share of each principal country:-


It will be observed that the year of maximum importation was. 1927-28 when $1,197,000$ tons of manufactured iron and steel were received. Since then the imports have been steadily declining with a corresponding decrease in the share of the United Kingdom except in 1931-32, when as a result of

## Imports.

her departure from the gold standard, the portion of the United Kingdom in the total trade was slightly higher than in the preceding year. In 193\%-33, however, there was a set-back and the share of the United Kingdom stood at 43 per cent as compared with $44 \cdot 2$ per cent in 1931-32 and $43 \cdot 8$ per cent in 1930-31. There were also similar decreases in the case of France and the United States of America. On the other hand, the shares of Belgium and Germany were well maintained, being approximately 32 and 7 per cent respectively as in 1931-32. The efforts made by these two Continental countries to retain the ground in the Indian market led them to cut prices to an extent sufficient to overcome not only the effect of the depreciation of sterling but also the additional duties levied on certain classes of iron and steel materials of Non-British origin.

Other metals (R4,42 lakhs). -Imports of metals, other than iron and steel, rose from 47,000 tons valued at R3,4t lakhs in 1931-32 to 69,000 tons valued at $\AA 4,42$ lakhs in 1932-33, there being an increase under each description of non-ferrous metals with the exception of aluminium and lead. During the past few years the demand for aluminium has been on the decline and imports fell from 128,300 ewts. valued at R1,01 lakhs in 1930-31 to 39,400 ewts. valued at f 30 lakhs in 1931-32 and $24,600 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R 22 lakhs

## Aluminium.

 in 1932-33. Wrought circles, which form the bulk of these imports, recorded a decline from 119,000 cwts. in 1930-31 and $33,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1931-32 to $16,900 \mathrm{cwts}$ in the year under review with a corresponding decrease in value from R92 lakhs and R24 lakhs to fllt lakhs in 1932-33. Apart from the general trade depression, the competition of brass utensils has seriously affiected the Indian aluminium hollowware industry with the result that consumption of aluminium circles, the main raw material for the industry, has greatly declined. Of the total guantity imported in 1932-33, 8,000 cwts. came from the United Kingdom as compared with $9,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in the preceding year and $23,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in $1930-$ 31. Prior to 1931-32 the United States of America had been the foremost supplier to India but she is at present unable to compete in the Indian market at prices offered by the British and Continental manufacturers forming the European Aluminium Cartel. In 1931, the Cartel was re-organised and after the adherence of Canada its position was strengthened considerably. Imports from the United States of America which is outside the Cartel had declined from $53,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1930-31 to $4,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1931-32 and to 550 cwts . only in 1932-33. Imports from Japan which had dwindled down to only 22 ewts. in 1931-32 from 9,000 cwts. in 1930-31, however, improved to 6,700 cwts. in 1932-33. Wrought sheets, imported chiefly from the United Kingdom, recorded an increase from $1,200 \mathrm{owts}$. to $1,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. and other manufactures, consisting chiefly of wire and utensils, advanced from 5,000 cwts. valued at R5 lakhs to $6,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R7} 7$ lalhs. Imports of unwrought aluminium were insignificant, amounting to 164 cwts . valued at R5,600 in 1932-33.Imports of brass advanced from $343,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $571,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and from $\nexists 1,26$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R1}, 80$ lakhs in value. Mixed or yellow metal for

## Brass,

 increase from 248,000 quantity of brass imported in 1932-33 recorded an increase from $248,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,06$ lakhs to $483,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ valued at R1,49 lakhs. Germany continued to be the principal source of supply,
## Other Metals.

her consignments rising from $179,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $237,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Imports from the United Kingdom and Japan advanced from $72,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $40,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $150,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $96,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively. Imports of other brass mannfactures also rose from $44,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at f 20 lakhs to $85,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R30 lakhs owing to larger receipts of rods, tubes and wire; brass sheets, however, registered a decrease. Imports of unwrought brass were small, being valued at $\mathrm{R} 77,000$ in 1932-33.

Imports of copper rose from $204,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at f 85 lakhs in 1931-32 to 325,000 ewts. valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 15$ lakhs in 1932-33. Both wrought copper consisting chiefly of sheets, rods, etc., and unwrought copper, i.e., ingots, blocks, etc., recorded increases, the former from $195,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $316,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and the latter from $3,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. to 5,600 owts. Of the total quantity of wrought copper imported in 1932-33 Germany supplied 192,000 cwts. and the United Kingdom 72,000 cwts. as compared with $67,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $64,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively in 1931-32. Imports from France and the United States of America, however, declined from $31,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $18,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $3,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $13,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively. Imports of unwrought copper were chiefly drawn from the United Kingdom and, to a less extent. from the United States of America, their respective contributions in 1932-33 being 4,800 cwts. and 500 cwts . as against $3,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 700 cwts . in the preceding year.

Imports of lead further declined from 30,000 cwts. to 27,000 cwts. in quantity and from R5 lakhs to R4 lakhs in value. Both wrought sheets and

Lead. sheets for lining tea-chests were less in demand, imports having amounted to $8,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 900 cwts . in 1932-33 as compared with $12,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $3,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively in the preceding year. Lead pipes and tubes, however, showed an increase from 6,600 cwts. to $6,800 \mathrm{cwts}$.

The scheme for a curtailment of production by the principal producers of tin which had begun in March, 1931, continued and was intensified during the

Tin. year under review, as it had so far failed to achieve the desired object of maintaining the prices. Imports of tin, consisting mainly of blocks, ingots, etc., which had fallen from 54,800 cwts. valued at $\mathrm{Z} 5 \mathrm{t}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ lakhs in $1930-31$ to $45,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{f} 41 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1931-32, however, improved to 48,400 cwts. valued at P 47 lakhs in 1932-33. The imports were, as usual, mostly from the Straits Settlements.

The demand for unwrought zinc from the Indian galvanising industry continued to be strong and imports rapidly increased during the past few

Zinc. years. In 1932-33 the imports totalled 299,000 cwts. as compared with $180,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1931-32, 170,000 cwts. in 1930-31 and 143,000 cwts. in 1929-30. All the principal supplying countries, viz., the United Kingdom, Germany, Australia, Canada and the United States of America increased their contributions. Imports of wrought zinc also improved from $26,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $27,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.

Imports of German silver, including nickel silver, increased from 13,600 cwts. valued at $129 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 17,500 cwts. valued at $\mathrm{Zl} 2 \frac{3}{4}$ lakhs. To the im-

## German silver.

 ports in 1932-33 Italy contributed 75 lakhs, the United Kingdom $\mathrm{f} 2 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and Austria and Switzerland R2 lakhs each.
## Imports.

Imports of quicksilver rose from $218,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R9 lakhs to 302,000 lbs. valued at flo lakhs. Arrivals in 1932-33 included $256,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued

## Quicksilver.

 at R8s lakhs from Italy and $27,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R 1 lakh from the United Kingdom.Machinery and millwork (R11,16 lakss). The value of the imports of machinery and millwork declined by 4 per cent from R1l,57 lakhs in 1931-32 to R11,16 lakhs in 1932-33. The trade, however, showed a noticeable expansion in some directions, notably under sugar, textile and tea machinery, while in most other branches depressed conditions prevailed. The following table analyses the imports of machinery and millwork according to classes during the last five years :-

| - | $\begin{aligned} & 1928.29 \\ & \text { R (laths) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1929-30 \\ & \text { ( (takh }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1930-31 \\ & \text { (lakhs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1931-32 \\ & \text { f (lakhs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1932.33 \\ & \mathrm{f} \text { (lakhs) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prime-movers | 3,04 | 4,12 | 2,74 | 1,66 | 1,00 |
| Electrical . | 2,37 | 2,41 | 2,39 | 2,16 | 1,56 |
| Boilers | 1,15 | 1,09 | 97 | 56 | 45 |
| 3letal working (chiefly machine tools) | 33 | 36 | 30 | 19 | 15 |
| Brining - . . . | 80 | 61 | 74 | 66 | 38 |
| Oil crushing and refining . - | 40 | 43 | 40 | 35 | 19 |
| Paper mill. . . . | 35 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| Refrigerating . . . | 23 | - 20 | 22 | 10 | 9 |
| Rice and flour mill . . . | 21 | 24 | 22 | 10 | 9 |
| Saw mill - . . | 7 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| Sewing and knitting | 89 | 85 | 59 | 51 | 45 |
| Sugar machinery . . | 18 | 9 | 14 | 30 | 1,53 |
| Tea machinery . . . | 40 | 28 | 17 | 11 | 21 |
| Cotton machinery | 2,18 | 2,10 | 1,78 | 1,93 | 2,03 |
| Jate mill machinery . | 1,30 | 1,44 | 81 | 32 | 36 |
| Wool maihinery . . . | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Typewriters, including parts and accessories. | 32 | 26 | 25 | 13 | 7 |
| Printing and lithographing preses Belting for machinery | 24 83 | ${ }_{60}^{23}$ | 14 | 15 50 | 9 53 |

Imports of prime-movers fell by fi56 lakhs from R1,56 lakhs to R1,00 lakhs, there being decreases of R36 lakhs and R 4 lakbs under railway locomotive

Electrical muchinery. engines, and oil engines, including parts, imports of which were valued at R32 lakhs and R50 lakhs respectively in 1932-33. Imports of electrical machinery receded from $\mathrm{P} 2,16$ lakhs to R1,56 lakhs, although there was an improvement in the demand for transformers, and turbo-generating sets. The United Kingdom supplied to the value of P1, 21 lakhs, the United States of America R16 lakhs and Germany R10 lakhs as against R1,50 lakhs, R36 lakhs and R15 lakhs respectively in 1931-32. Imports of textile machinery which had fallen from Ris, 83 lakhs in 1930-31 to $\mathrm{F} 2,48$ lakhs in 1931-32 improved to $\mathrm{R} 2,68$ lakhs in 1932-33. All branches of the trade experienced a better year. Cotton machinery recorded

## Textile machinery.

 an increase from $\mathrm{Rl}, 93$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R2}, 08$ lakhs, to which the United Kingdom contributed R1,84 lakhs or 88 per cent as compared with R1,67 lakhs or 87 per cent in 1931-32. Imports of jute machinery advanced from R 32 lakhs to Z 36 lakhs and those of wool machinery from $\mathbb{R 1} 1$ lakhs to nearly Z 3 lakhs. Imports of sugar machinery
## Motor Vehicies.

showed a remarkable expansion and were valued at $\mathbb{\text { R1,53 lakhs in 1932-33 }}$

## Sugar machinory.

 as compared with f 30 lakhs in the preceding year and R14 lakhs in 1930-31. Of the total imports in 1932-33, the United Kingdom supplied $\mathrm{P91} \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs or 59 per cent as against R26 lakhs or 87 per cent in 1931-32, while the remainder came from Germany, Belgium and the Netherlands. A great impetus has been given to Indian sugar production by the passing of the Sugar Industry (Protection) Act, 1932, and the number of new factories erected during the year under review totalled 27 with an estimated annual output of 100,000 tons. As the present tariff is guaranteed for 7 years and there is a provisional undertaking to extend it for another 8 years, the erection of further factories to increase the output is anticipated. There was a substantial increase in the imports of tea machinery which rose from R11 lakhs to R21 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Imports of other classes of machinery showed decreases. For instance, boilers declined from $\mathbb{R} 6$ lakhs to R45 lakhs, metal working machinery (chiefly machine tools) from P19 lakhs to R15 lakhs, mining machinery from R66 lakhs to R38 lakhs, oil crushing and refining machinery from R35 lakhs to R19 lakhs, refrigerating, and rice and flour mill machinery from Zl 10 lakhs to Z 9 lakhs each. The number of sewing and knitting machines, imported chiefly from the United Kingdom and Germany, declined from 45,300 to 39,100 and their value from R 46 lakhs to R41 lakhs. Imports of typewriters in 1932-33 were also smaller than in the preceding year, numbering 3,900 valued at $\mathrm{f6} 6$ lakhs as against 7,300 valued at R10 lakhs in 1931-32.Imports of belting of all descriptions rose by Z 3 lakhs to R53 lakhs. The share of the United Kingdom in this particular trade advanced from R 32

## Belting for maohinery. lakhs or 65 per cent to 735 lakhs or 67 per cent in 1932-33.

The following table shows the relative shares of the principal countries in the total import trade in machinery and mill-work:-
Imports of machinery and millwork, including belting for machinery and printing machinery.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1018-16. } \\ \text { (pro-war ycar) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1020-30 |  | 1930-81 |  | 1031.32 |  | 1032-38 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United King- | $\begin{gathered} f t \\ (\operatorname{lnkhs}) \\ 7, \leqslant 1 \end{gathered}$ | Per cent 90 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Par } \\ \text { cent } \\ 74 \cdot y \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{f} \\ (18 \mathrm{shs}) \\ 11,21 \end{gathered}$ | Per cent 74.4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { H } \\ (\mathrm{lakhs}) \\ 8,12 \end{array}$ | Pet cent $70 \cdot 2$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7 } \\ (1 \mathrm{nkh} 1 \mathrm{~s}) \\ 8,43 \end{gathered}$ | Per cent $73 \cdot 7$ |
| United Statcs | 27 |  | 1,91 | $9 \cdot 0$ | 1,76 | 11.0 | 1,37 | 11.8 | 90 | $8 \cdot 1$ |
| Germaty | 46 | 6 | 1,84 | $9 \cdot 5$ | 1,24 | $8 \cdot 2$ | 1,18 | $10 \cdot 2$ | 1,04 | $0 \cdot 3$ |
| Belgham : | 12 |  | 10 | 20 | 17 | $1 \cdot 1$ | 27 | $2 \cdot 3$ | +39 | $8 \cdot 5$ |
| $\underset{\text { trles. }}{0}$ ther Coun- | 12 |  |  | 47 | 75 | $4 \cdot 7$ | 63 | $5 \cdot 5$ | 80 | 5. ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ |
| TOTAL | 8.26 | 10. | 19,35 | 100 | 15,13 | 100 | 11,7 ${ }^{\text {, }}$ | 100 | 11,16 | 100 |

The United Kingdom continued to enjoy supremacy in this trade, her share having increased from 70 per cent to 74 per cent. There was, on the other hand, a decline in the share of the United States of America which fell from 12 per cent to 8 per cent. The combined share of Germany and Belgium rose slightly from 12.5 per cent to 12.8 per cent.

Motor vehicles ( 2,43 lakhs).-As might be expected from the state of general trade and the income of the people, the use of motor vehicles was greatly restricted during the year. There was, thereMotor cars. fore, a continuation of the decrease in the imports of motor vehicles into India, but of these reduced imports, the percentage share

## Imports.

of the United Kingdom showed a noticeable expansion. The improvement in the British proportion in the total importation, which was in evidence since the suspension of the gold standard by Great Britain in September 1931, was greatly accentuated during 1932-33, especially in the latter half of the year. The total imports of motor cars in 1932-33 numbered 6,201 valued at R1, 29 lakhs as compared with 7,220 valued at X21,48 lakhs in 1931-32 and 12,601 valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,58$ lakhs in $1930-31$. The number of British cars advanced from 2,178 or 30 per cent (valued at $1250 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs) in 1931-32 to 3,958 or 64 per cent (valued at R80 lakhs) in 1932-33, of which no fewer than 3,076 cars were imported during the second half of the year. The number of cars imported from the United States of America declined from 3,368, valued at R65 lakhs to 1,201 valued at $R 28 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and of those from Canada fell from 676 valued at R 10 lakhs to 296 valued at RG lakhs. The combined imports from these two countries represented only 24 per cent of the total number of cars imported in 1932-33 as compared with 56 per cent in 1931-32 and 66 per cent in 1930-31. This decrease in the purchases of American cars was due to the exchange handicap and to the growing insistence of buyers for greater economy in the cost of maintenance and operation. The average declared value of cars imported from the United Kingdom in 1932-33 was $\mathrm{R} 2,023$, from the United States of America $\mathrm{R} 2,374$ and from Canada R2,080 as compared with R2,312 for the British, R1,939 for the American and R1,538 for the Cansdian made car in the preceding year. Italy sent 226 cars, Germany 146 and France 84 as against 510, 212 and 161 respectively in 1931-32. Arrivals from other countries included 76 cars from Java, 46 from the Straits Settlements, 35 cars from the Netherlands, 15 from Belgium and 37 cars from the Union of South Africa. The following table shows the imports of motor cars during each year since 1919-20 compared with those for the pre-War year and the War averages :-

Number of motor cars imported.

|  | United Kingdom | United States(a) | Canada | France | Italy | Other Countrios | Totac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year 1913-14 (preWar). | 1.669 | 868 | $\cdots$ | 111 | 7 | 225 | 2,880 |
| War average (191415 to 1918-10). | 537 | 1,681 | $\cdots$ | 49 | 28 | 22 | 2,317 |
| Year 1919-20 . | 448 | 9.353 | 20 | 3 | 17 | 84 | 0,925 |
| -, 1920-21 | 2,541 | 10,120 | 1,938 | 192 | 218 | 423 | 15,432 |
| " 1921-22 | 790 | 802 | 578 | 158 | 222 | 347 | 2,805 |
| " 192.03 | 449 | 1,386 | 1,816 | 61 | 131 | 450 | 4,323 |
| " 1923-24 | 1,005 | 2,865 | 3,290 | 153 | 370 | 3i) 1 | 7,984 |
| - 1924-25 | 1,682 | 3,106 | 3,956 | 215 | 235 | 180 | 9,380 |
| " 1925-26 | 2,399 | 4,143 | 4,775 | 367 | 860 | 213 | 12,757 |
| - 1926-27 | 2,546 | 4,030 | 4,476 | 607 | 1,416 | 122 | 13,197 |
| , 1927-28 | 3,600 | 6,031 | 3,400 | 538 | 1,387 | 188 | 15,122 |
| " 1928-29 | 3,645 | 10,14.5 | 4.366 | 277 | 967 | 167 | 10,567 |
| " 1929.30 | 3,753 | 9,620 | 2,318 | 364 | 1,150 | 189 | 17,399 |
| * 1930.31 | 2,885 | 5,098 | 3,250 | 261 | 917 | 190 | 12,601 |
| - 1931-32 | 2,178 | 3,368 | 676 | 161 | 516 | 327 | 7,220 |
| " 1932-33 | 3,953 | 1,201 | 290 | 84 | 228 | 430 | 6,201 |

(a) The country of origin of many of the cars imported from the United States of America during the years previous to $1920-21$ is Canada.

## Motor Vehicles.

Of the total number of cars imported during the year under review, 2,525 cars (3,325)' were received in Bombay, 1,634 ( 1,801 ) in Bengal, 1,004 (860) in Madras, 642 (824) in Sind and 396 (410) in Burma, the corresponding figures for the preceding year being given in brackets.

The number of motor cycles imported declined by 16 per cent from 926 in 1931-32 to 782 in 1932-33. As usual, there was a preponderance of British

> Motor eycles. motor cycles which numbered 699 and represented 89 per cent of the total as compared with 808 or 87 per cent in 1931-32. The remainder came chiefly from Germany and Ceylon (probably re-exported) which supplied 47 and 10 as compared with 66 and 5 respectively in 1931-32. Only 1 motor cycle was imported from the United States of America in 1932-33 as against 8 in the preceding year and 53 in 1930-31.

The number of motor omnibuses, vans, lorries, etc. imported which had receded from 8,913 valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 42$ lakhs in $1930-31$ to 4,302 valued at f67 lakhs in 1931-32 further declined to $\mathbf{2 , 6 7 6}$

Motor omnibuscs, rans, lorries. valued at R 41 lakhs in the year under review. Of the total imports in 1932-33, 93 per cent or 2,484 represented chassis with a total value of R38 lakhs as against 62 per cent or 2,685 valued at Rs. 47 lakhs in 1931-32. Here again the share of the United Kingdom improved at the expense of the United States of America and Canada. Imports from the United States of America fell from 3,236 valued at R42 lakhs to 1,793 valued at R 25 lakhs and those from Canada from 598 valued at R 9 lakhs to 338 valued at R 6 lakhs. The combined share of these two countries in the total trade dropped from 89 per cent in 1931-32 to 80 per cent in 1932-33. On the other hand, the United Kingdom increased her share from 435 or 10 per cent to 517 or 19 per cent with a decline in value from R14 lakhs to R10 lakhs. The average declared value of chassis imported from the United Kingdom in 1932-33 was R1,984 as compared with RI, 381 for the American and R1,728 for the Canadian make. The corresponding figures for the preceding year were $\mathrm{R} 3,158$ for the British, $\mathrm{R} 1,454$ for the American and R1,503 for the Canadian chassis. The following table shows the number of all classes of motor vehicles registered in the different provinces of British India up to the end of March 1933 :-
Number of motor vehicles registered in British India up to 31st March 1933.

| Provinces | Motor cars, including taxl-cabs | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { Notor cycles, } \\ \text { Including } \\ \text { scooters } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { auto wheels } \end{array}$ | Heavy motor vehlcles" (lorries, buses, etc.) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bengal Including Calcutta | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number. } \\ & \mathbf{3 0 , 8 0 1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Number. } \\ 5,168 \end{array}$ | Number. $4,7 \div 4$ | Number. 46,753 |
| Bombay City (a) . | 8,559 | 496 | 032 | 9,087 |
| Bombay Presidency (excluding Bomloy clty and sind). (a) | 10,208 | 775 | 40 | 11,020 |
|  | 13,600 | 3.108 | 2,094 | 18,808 |
| Madras Presldency (excluding Madras Clty) (a) | 7,251 | 1,493 | 5,601 | 14,435 |
| United Irovinces (b) | 12,117 | 2,041 | 4,831 | 18,039 |
| Punjab * | 6,415 | 1,058 | 4.741 | 11,214 |
| Burma (a) 'b) | 9,849 | 1,105 | 5,738 | 18,745 |
| bitararad Orissa | 11,085 | 1,495 | 2,080 | 15,200 |
| Gentral Provinces (a) | 3,077 | 623 | 1,858 | 6,358 |
| Slad | 2,040 | 403 | 403 | 2,017 |
| Delh | 0,811 | 1,241 | 1,057 | 9,709 |
| North-Weat Vrontler Province | 3, 849 | 1,509 | 2,700 | 7.867 |
| Ajmer-Mfervara . - | 742 | 195 | 202 | 1,139 |
| Asarm (b) - . | 1,947 | 108 | 1,600 | 3,751 |
| Total | 183,210 | 21,033 | 30,772 | 194,021 |

(a) Actually runnlag.
(b) Figures relato to the year ending 31st December 1992

## Imports.

The chart on the opposite page illustrates the imports of motor vehcles together with the course of prices and estimated consumption of petrol each year from 1923-24. It will be observed that there has been a steady decline in the trade in motor vehicles since the peak year, 1929-30.

Likewise, the imports of rubber manufactures which had attained the record value of $\mathrm{R} 3,30$ lakhs in 1929-30 were steadily on the decline since that

Rubber manufactures. year. The value of the imports of rubber manufactures amounted to $\mathrm{P} 1,98$ lakhs in 1932-33 as compared with $\mathrm{R} 2,21$ lakhs in 1931-32 and R2,57 lakhs in 1930-31: All the descriptions of rubber manufactures showed decreases with the exception of pneumatic cycle tubes which recorded a small increase in quantity with a decrease in value. The following table shows the imports of each description of rubber manufactures during the last three years :-

Imports of rubber manufactures.

| Pneqmatio motor covers covers " oycla Pheamatle cycle covers | 1980.31 |  | 1031-32 |  | 1032-38 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | I | Number | 7 | Number | R |
|  | 370 | 1,07,98 | 348 | 1,34,83 | 202 | 1,26,73 |
|  | 1.090 ${ }^{9}$ | 1,02 18,12 | 1,684 ${ }^{9}$ | 1.08 24,73 | 1,809 ${ }^{6}$ | 81 18,81 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \because \quad \text { motor tabes } \\ & \because \quad \text { cycla } \end{aligned}$ | 368 | 28,40 | 330 | 20,18 | 275 | 17,93 |
| tubes Pneumatic cycle tubes | 16 1,307 | 11,80 | 1,781 | 95 18,24 | 1,770 | 28 0,60 |
| Solld rubber tyres for motor vehiclea | 4 | 4,04 | 8 | 8,76 | 8 | 8,14 |

The number of motor covers imported, the biggest single item under rubber normally representing over 60 per cent of the total value of all rubber manufactures, declined by 16 per cent from 348,000 in 1931-32 to 292,000 in 1932-33. Imports from the United Kingdom rose in number from 87,000 to 108,000 while those from the United States of America and Canada fell from 159,000 and 24,000 to 87,000 and 12,000 respectively. It may be observed that the competitive power of Great Britain was greatly improved during the year by the high dollar exchange which placed the American manufacturers in an unfavourable position in the Indian market. Germany and France supplied 16,000 and 15,000 covers as against 18,000 and 23,000 in 1931-32, while consignments from Italy advanced from 30,000 to 32,000 . In pneumatic motor cycle covers, imports of which numbered 5,300 in 1932-33 as against 8,900 in the preceding year, the United Kingdom curtailed her supplies from 4,600 to 3,200 . There were also smaller receipts from the United States of America, France and Canada, while those from Italy showed a small increase. Both pneumatic motor tubes and motor cycle tubes were less in demand, the imports falling from 339,000 and 14,000 to 275,000 and 10,000 respectively. The former is supplied mostly by the United Kingdom and the United States of America and, to a less extent, by France, Canada and Germany. With the exception of the United Kingdom, consignments from which rose from 93,000 to 107,000 , all the other countries reduced their supplies. In pneumatic motor cycle tubes the share of the United Kingdom receded from 7,700 ( $5 \cdot 4$ per cent) to 5,400 ( 52 per cent), while the share of the United States of America increased

Chart (on logarithmic scale) showing the imports of motor vehicles, consumption of petrol and prices of petrol in India during the ten years ending $1932-33$.


## Hardware.

from 1,200 ( 9 per cent) to 1,500 ( 15 per cent). Imports of pheumatic eyve covers declined in number from $1,634,000$ to $1,369,000$ of which the United Kingdom supplied 774,000 as against 956,000 in 1931-32. Consignments̀ from France and Germany also fell from 172,000 and 240,000 to 86,000 and 45,000 respectively. Arrivals in $1932-33$ also included 367,000 pneumatio cycle covers from Japan which had supplied only 20,000 covers in 193 k 32. The increasing competition of Japan was also a noticeable feature in tie imports of pneumatic cycle tubes which recorded a small increase in number from $1,731,000$ to $1,779,000$ with a decrease in value from R13 lakhs to R10 lakhs. Japan supplied the largest number, viz., 858,000 ( 48 per cent) at prices which defied all competition, as compared with 308,000 or 18 per cent in 1931-32 and 57,000 or 4 per cent in 1930-31. On the other hand, the share of the United Kingdom which had risen to 878,000 or 51 per cent in 1931-32 from 537,000 or 41 per cent in 1930-31 shrank to 685,000 or 39 per cent in 1932-33. The participation of Germany and of France was also on a reduced scale, the receipts from those countries numbering 30,000 and 75,000 as against 215,000 and 237,000 respectively in 1931-32. The number of solid tyres for motor vehicles imported declined from 3,500 to 2,900. The United Kingdom maintained her supplies at 1,800 as in "the preceding year while consignments from the United States of America and Germany fell from 839 and 600 to 778 and 300 respectively.

Hardware (R2,99 lakhs). -The value of the total imports of hardware which had fallen from R3,60 lakhs in 1930-31 to R2,61 lakhs in 1931-32 improved, in 1932-33, to $\mathrm{f} 2,99$ lakhs which was still below the pre-War average of $\mathrm{R} 3,17$ lakhs. The following table compares the values of the different items included in hardware during the last five years :-

| ـ |  |  | 1928-89 | 1020-30 | 1980-31 | 1031-32 | $193 ;-98$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# (akhy) | 2 (lakhs) | 7 (lakhs) | 7 (lakhe) | 7 (lakhs) |
| Agricultural implemento |  |  | 14 | 14 | ${ }_{4}^{9}$ | 6 | 36 |
| Other Implements and machine tools). | tools | (0xcept | 77 | 71 | 40 | 35 | 35 |
| Bullders* hardwnre . | - | - - | 95 | 40 | 33 | 25 | 27 |
| Domestic hardware | - | - | 18 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 9 |
| Eanmelted tronware | . | - . | 42 | 32 | 24 | 15 | 28 |
| Metal lamps | - | - - | 88 | 78 | 58 | 91 | $41^{-}$ |
| Metal lamps, parts | - | - - | 9 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Stoves . | * |  | 9 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| bafes, etc. Gas manties | - | - ! | 7 | - 8 | 6 | - 4 | $\cdots$ |
| Cther sorts * | . | - | 2,35 | 2,20 | 1.65 | 1,27 | 1,10 |

It will be seen that not only in the aggregate but in most individual items (with the exception of stoves, safes and strong boxes) there was an increase in 1932-33 over the preceding year, the increase being more substantial, in the case of metal lamps, enamelled ironware, builders' hardware. and gasmantles. The imports of agricultural implements, mostly from the United Kingdom, were valued at R6 $\frac{1}{3}$ lakhs as compared with R $5 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1931-32, while those of other implements and tools were valued at R35 lakhs, as in the preceding year, to which the United Kingdom contributed R18 lakhs and the United States of America and Germany R 7 lakhs each. The number of metal lamps imported advanced from 2,459,000 valued at R31 lakhs to $3,083,000$ valued at R41 lakhs. Imports were, as usual, mostly from

## Imports.

Germany which sent 2,787,000 valued at R 36 lakhs as against $1,922,000$ valued at $R \geqslant 3$ lakhs in 1931-32. The remainder came chiefly from Austria and the United Kingdom both of which increased their supplies; the United States of America sent less. The value of parts of metal lamps, imported mainly from Germany, also increased from R4? lakhs to R5를 lakhs. Imports of enamelled ironware which had declined from R24 lakhs in 1930-31 to R15 lakhs in 1931-32 rose to R23 -lakhs in 1932-33. Japan supplied to the value of R16 lakhs as against R9 Jakhs in 1931-32 and R13 lakhs in 1930-31. Imports from Germany and the United Kingdom were small, being valued at R 2 lakhs and $\mathrm{R} 1 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs respectively. Domestic hardware (other than enamelled ironware), which is imported chiefly from Germany and the United Kingdom, showed a small increase from Rs $\frac{1}{4}$ lakhs to $R 8 \frac{2}{3}$ lakhs. In builders' hardware there was an improvement of $\mathrm{R} \boldsymbol{2}$ lakhs from R 25 lakhs to R 27 lakhs, the imports being mainly drawn from Germany ( 56 per cent), Sweden ( 21 per cent) and the United Kingdom ( 18 per cent). Among other articles included in this group gas mantles (chiefly drawn from Germany, the United States of America and the United Kingdom) recorded an increase of R5 lakhs from R4 lakhs to R9 lakhs, while stoves and safes and strong boxes registered a small decline. The following table shows the percentage shares of the principal countries participating in the trade :-

Imports of harduare

| $\therefore$ - | $\begin{gathered} 1013-14 \\ (\mathrm{pre-War} \\ \text { year) } \end{gathered}$ | 1927-28 | 1928-29 | 1920-30 | 1930-81 | 1031-32 | 1032-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | Per cent | Porcont | Por cont | Per cent | Percent | Per cent | Per ceat |
| United Klogdom | 57 | 39 | 36 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 32 |
| Gerimany - | 18 | 31 | 22 | 33 | 30 | 29 | so |
| Cnlted Stater . | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 6 |
| Japan | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 10 |
| Other countrics | 14 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 18 | 10 |
| Toisl | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

It will be observed that there has been a remarkable expansion in the shares of Germany and Japan at the expense of the United Kingdom and the Uuited States of America.

The value of cutlery imported amounted to R24 lakhs as against R21 lakhs in 1931-32 and R26 lakhs in 1930-31. The shares of Germany and the United Kingdom rose by R1 lakh each to R14
Cutlery (R24 lakhs).

Electro-platod ware (R2 lakhs). lakhs and R7 lakhs respectively.

Electro-plated ware, indented chiefly from the United Kingdom, showed a further decline from R 3 lakhs in 1931-32 to R2 lakhs during the year under
review.
Mineral oils (R6,70 laklis). -The world's output of crude oil in 1932 was lower than in the preceding year. For the sccond year in succession the United States of America followed the policy of restriction effectively enough to reduce her production by as much as $7 \cdot 5$ per cent. No great change was recorded in other producing fields, with the exception of Russia, which for

## Mineral Oils.

the first time since the Five-year Plan began showed a slight decline in output, and Roumania, which was able to increase her production by 7 per cent. Imports of all kinds of mineral oils into India declined from 216.6 million gallons valued at R9,04 lakhs in 1931-32 to $187 \cdot 8$ million gallons valued at $\mathrm{R} 6,7 \mathrm{C}$ lakhs in 1932-33. This represented a fall of 13 per cent in quantity and of 26 per cent in value. The following table shows the imports of each descrip: tion of mineral oil by sea from abroad into British India :

Imports of mineral oils into India by sea from foreign countries.

|  | 1913.14 <br> (pre-war year) | 1928-29 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932.33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gals. } \\ (1,040) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gals. } \\ (1,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gals. } \\ (1,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gals. } \\ (1,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gals. } \\ (1,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Galg. } \\ & (1,000) \end{aligned}$ |
| Fuel oil | 7,766 | 103,695 | 110,203 | 106,350 | 100,829 | 104,528 |
| Kerosene- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In bulk . | 52,976 | 99,772 | 101,756 | 88,745 | 77,081 | 58,263 |
| In tins | 15,874 | 4,888 | 4,701 | 10,148 | 8,609 | 1,231 |
| Total Kerosene | 68,850 | 104,660 | 106,457 | 98,893 | 85,690 | 59,494 |
| Lubricating oils - | 14,953 | 27,323 | 26,700 | 25,681 | 16,047 | 17,274. |
| Benzine, benzol, petrol, eto. | 37 | 168 | 4,655 | 8,836 | 12,742 | 5,117 |
| Paints, solutions and compositions. | 1 | 23 | 27 | 18 | 34 | 36 |
| Other kinds | 3,416 | 6,030 | 4,720 | 2,711 | 1,289 | 1,335 |
| Tutal Mingral Ous. | 95,023 | 241,905 | 252,768 | 242,489 | 216,631 | 187,784 |

Imports of kerosene oil decreased by 31 per cent in quantity from $85 \cdot 7$ million gallons to 59.5 million gallons and by 41 per cent in value from $\mathrm{R} 4,32$ lakhs to $\mathrm{n} 2,54$ lakhs. It may be observed that during the year under review an agreement was reached among the various oil interests-American, British Dutch and Roumanian-for limitation of exports of the contracting parties to the requirements of their markets on an approved quota basis. Russia stands outside this agreement and imports of kerosene from Russia. including Georgia and Azerhaijan, were well maintained and amounted to 40.9 millior

Kerosenc oil. gallons as compared with $41 \cdot 4$ million gallons in 1931-32. Roumania, owing to her freedom from restriction in the earlier months of the year, was able to increase her share to 6.3 million gallons in 1932-33. In the preceding year imports from that coun-

## Imports.

try bad been small, amounting only to 883,000 gallons. Consignments from
 other countries, however, declined, the United States of America showing a decrease from $20 \cdot 4$ million gallons to 3.7 million gallons, Persia from 18.6 to 6.6 million gallons and Netherlands India from 4.4 to $2 \cdot 1$ million gallons. The details are given in the margin. The coastwise imports of kerosene oil from Burma to India proper advanced from 126 million gallons in 1931-32 to 128 million gallons in 1932-33. In regard to petrol also the imports from foreign countries showed a sharp decline. Over 5 million

Petrol. gallons of petroleum, dangerous, flashing below $76^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, including petrol, benzine and benzol, valued at R37 lakhs were imported, chiefly from Sumatra and. to a less extent, from Dutch New Guinea into British India during 1932-33 as compared with nearly 13 million gallons valued at R1,05 lakhs in 1931-32 and 9 million gallons valued at R73 lakhs in 1930-31. Imports of fuel oils registered an increase from

> Fuel oils. $100 \cdot 8$ million gallons to $104 \cdot 5$ million gallons in quanlaths to pepal tity, but the value showed a slight fall from ke, 04
 her consignments declined from $69 \cdot 2$ million gallons or 68 per cent of the total quantity imported in 1931-32 to $65 \cdot 6$ million gallons or 63 per cent in 1932-33. Supplies from Borneo and Roumania, however, increased from 24 and 1 million gallons to 26 and 5.5 million gallons respectively.

Imports of batching oils advanced from 7 million gallons valued at R37 lakhs to 9 million gallons valued at R $\overline{0} 0$ lakhs, while those of other lubricating

Lubricating oils. oils recorded a decrease from 9 million gallons valued at R1,11 lakhs'to 8 million gallons valued at nearly R1, 10 lakhs. The trade in batching oils is practically divided between the United States of America and Borneo which supplied $5 \cdot 3$ million gallons and $3 \cdot 4$ million gallons in 1932-33 as against $3 \cdot 4$ million gallons and $3 \cdot 5$ million gallons respectively in the preceding year. As regards other lubricating oils the United States of America contributed 6 million gallons or 72 per cent of the total quantity imported in 1932-33 as compared with 7 million gallons or 78 per cent in 1931-32, while the remainder came chiefly from the United Kingdom.

Sugar (R4,23 lakhs).-The statistical position of the sugar industry at the beginning of the year under review was distinctly promising. In the first place, the visible stocks of sugar in the world were slowly but steadily falling and the invisible stocks were also believed to be at a low level. At the end of January 1932, for the first time since the year 1927, the world's total visible sugar stocks showed a decrease from those on the corresponding date of the year before. This engendered the hope that the stocks would be reduced sooner or later and that this would lead to an improvement in prices. Thus a turning point was reached in the upward trend of stocks from year to year. The situation was rendered even more hopeful by the estimates of production

## Sugar.

and consumption made for the year. Sugar outturn for the year 1932-33 was estimated by Dr. Mikusch at $26^{\circ} 1$ million metric tons against an estimated consumption of not less than 26.5 million metric tons which was thus nearly half a million tons in excess of production. Thus 1932-33 was the first year since $1926-27$ in which the current supply was expected to be less than the world's requirements for consumption. This was another significant factor in the sugar situation, since it created a hope that a radical change in the relation between production and consumption would be visualised as a result of the prospective reduction of the accumulated surplus stocks which had been depressing the market very considerably. The statistical position was thus full of promise. These hopes, however, were not fully realised during the year under review. In the first place, world consumption of sugar was affected by a variety of causes, chief among them being the deepening of the world's economic depression. Political disturbance in China and a freer use of glucose in the United States also affected consumption. As a result, while the stocks of sugar in Europe declined as compared with 1931, those in Java and Cuba became heavier. Prices under pressure of the accumulated stocks in the two chief sugar produoing countries took a downward turn. During the year under review the International Sugar Council pursued its task of trying to smooth out one new difficulty after another. The Chadbourne Plan (which was fully explained in last year's Review) was intended to bring about a resuscitation of the sugar market through a gradual elimination of the accumulated stocks. As a means thereto, provision was made for a limitation of the exports of the participients during the existence of the Agreement. When the contract was drawn up it was not anticipated that the world consumption would decrease to such an extent that the export quotas established could not be disposed of. Cuba claimed to increase her export quota to non-American destination during the remaining years of the Plan. The reason advanced for this was that the consumption of sugar in the United States, which took most of the Cuban sugar, decreased considerably. Apprehensions were also entertained regarding the possible defection of Cuba and this was naturally a further disturbing factor. The difficulty was got over by conceding to Cuba increased quotas in respect of her exports to countries other than the United States. Certain changes were made as regards the quotas of other countries also and in this mauner the original agreement was patched up to some extent. But the large stocks in Cuba and Java constituted a source of danger to the fundamental position of the industry. So far as the import trade of India in sugar was concerned the outstanding feature has been the continuous reduction of the volume of that trade in the last two years, the reason being the growth of the Indian sugar industry which has been increasing its production behind a high protective tariff. Foreign sugar is being rapidly replaced by Indian produced sugar and consequently imports have been declining. In 1930-31 imports of foreign sugar amounted to over 1 million tons. In 1931-32 they amounted to only 556,000 tons and in the year under review they dropped to 401,000 tons only. The reduction in the imports was chiefly due to the growth of Indian produc-

## Imports.

tion which ousted the foreign imported sugar. Apart from this factor, the ceonomic depression had affected the purchasing power of the Indian ryot and even at low prices the ryot could not afford to buy more sugar. The piling up of stocks in Java and the vagaries of the exchange also upset the market for foreign sugar in India. It was estimated that on April 1, 1932 stocks in Java amounted to $1,600,000$ tons against 702,000 tons on the same date in 1931. All these factors affected prices considerably and, moreover, during the closing months of the year prices were further adversely affected by the offers of European beet sugar at rates much lower than the direct Java quotations.

These adverse conditions in the sugar market were clearly reflected in the course of prices in India. The improvement in the tone of the market that was visible in the closing months of 1931-32 disappeared very early in 1932-33. In the Bombay market the quotation for Java, white granulated, T. M. O. quality opened at R14-15-6 per cwt. For the first three weeks of April there was hardly any business, forward buying being deferred pending receipt of definite information concerning Java's new crop and the way in which the Trust was going to deal with its large holdings of old crop sugar. Throughout May also the situation was unchanged. At the beginning of June bullish advices from New York regarding Cuban raws led to a sharp advance in prices to R15-1-6 on the 2nd June. After a short set-back in the next week prices firmed up again to R15-2-0 owing to a good demand and small stocks. There was an easier tendency in July, but as a result of the sound stock position and absence of heavy arrivals, prices firmed up again to fll5-2-6 in the middle of August. With minor fluctuations prices were continually on the increase until the peak was reached on the 14 th October when the quotation stood at R15-7-6. Thereafter a reaction set in and by the first week of November the quotation dropped to R15. The market, after a short rally for three or four weeks, again pursued its receding course in anticipation of a reduction in price, as a result of the adoption of a new SingleSeller Plan in Java. By the 6th of January the price had dropped to R14-11-6. Prices improved in course of the subsequent wecks of January and in the first two weeks of February owing to a better stock position. Again there was a set-back owing to rumours that the existing surcharge on sugar in the Indian import tariff would be abolished on March 1 ; but when it became definitely known that the surcharge would not be removed the prices firmed up again, the closing quotation of the year being R14-13-6.

The Calcutta market moved practically in sympathy with Bombay. The price of Java white D. S. 25 and above per maund was fluctuating round R10-9-0 during the month of April 1932 and throughout May it remained steady at about R10-10-0. This was due to the low stock position. The next six weeks saw prices at a slightly lower level round about fl10-9-0. As it was felt that Java had heavy stocks and was contemplating a reduction in prices, the dealers adopted a policy of "wait and see", which caused a further decline in price during July, bringing it down to $\mathrm{fl10-7-0}$ on the 29th. August, however, saiv a change for the better and prices began to rise until the quotation reached ¥10-12-3 on September 2. Later in September, however, owing to poor demand a set-back in prices ensued. October and November saw prices rise with occasional set-backs and in the first week of December they reached

Chart showing the average monthly prices of Cuban raw sugar at New York and of sugar Java white 25 D. S. and/or higher at Calcutta from January 1932 to March 1933.
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the highest quotation of the year, viz. R11-1-0, mainly as a result of the extremely low stock position. From the middle of December prices again began to fall and by the middle of February they dropped down to $\mathrm{Al} 10-5-6$. The principal cause of this decline is ascribed to the pressure of sales of large quantities of Indian sugar and gur at cheap rates.

In the chart on the opposite page the price of Cuban raw sugar at New York and the course of prices in Calcutta of sugar, all cane, equal in colour to 25 D. S. and above, are compared. At the beginning of April 1932 Cuban raw sugar was quoted at 0.60 cent per lb . With slight fluctuations, the prices dropped down to 0.57 cent at the beginning of June. By the middle of the month, however, prices had risen to 0.80 cent, at which level they remained till the end of the month. In the first three weeks of July prices were increasing sharply and on the 21 st of July the quotation recorded was $1 \cdot 10$ cents per lb . With a slight setback in the next week, prices went on increasing till by the 18th of August the quotation had risen to $1 \cdot 18$ cents. It remained at this level for four weeks, but on the 15th of September it receded to $1 \cdot 10$ cents. By the end of the month it had again gone up to $\mathrm{l} \cdot 18$ cents. The first week of October saw a slight relapse, but on the 13th of the month the highest quotation of the year viz. $1 \cdot 20$ cents was recorded. From that time, however, prices were more or less continually on the decline till the beginning of February when the quotation dropped to 0.66 cent. Prices increased, to some extent, in February and March and by the 16th of March the quotation had gone up to 1 cent.

Imports of sugar all sorts, excluding molasses, decreased from 516,000 tons in 1931-32 to 370,000 tons in 1932-33, thus showing a decline of 146,000 tons or 28 per cent. The decline in value was from R6,01 lakhs to R4, 12 lakhs or 31 per cent. The imports in the year 1929-30 were 940,000 tons valued at R15,52 lakhs. Imports of sugar $23 \mathrm{D} . \mathrm{S}$. and above decreased from 365,000 tons valued at R4,42 lakhs in 1931-32 to 327,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 3,67$ lakhs in 1932-33. The main fall was in the imports from Java, which amounted to 295,000 tons as compared with 336,000 tons in the preceding year. Imports from the United Kingdom went up from about 4,000 tons to 12,000 tons.

Imports of Java sugar into the different maritime provinces during 1932-33 were as follows:-


Previous year's figures are shown in brackets.
The total amount of beet sugar imported during the year was 41,000 tons valued at Rs. 42 lakhs as compared with 118,000 tons valued at R1,25 lakhs in the preceding year. Imports from the United Kingdom increased from 19,000 tons valued at R23 lakhs in 1931-32 to 23.000 tons valued at R24 lakhs in 1932-33. Imports from Russia, on the other hand, went down from 68,000 tons valued at P 66 lakhs to 9.000 tons valued at f19 lakhs. Imports from Poland dried up completely and those from Germany amounted to 249 tons only as compared with 15,000 tons and 11,000 tons in the preceding year. The bulk of the imports of beet sugar

## Imports.

during the year was received in Sind and Bombay. The following table gives the imports of sugar from the principal sources for a series of years:-

Imports of sugar, all kinds (excluding molasses)

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1918-14 \\ \text { (pro-war } \\ \text { year) } \end{gathered}$ | 1087-28 | 1028-29 | 1922-50 | 1030-31 | 1081-32 | 1038-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United Kingdom | Tons 900 | Tons 400 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tons } \\ & 4,800 \end{aligned}$ | Tons $50,300$ | Ton: 8,400 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tons } \\ & \mathbf{8 2}, 900 \end{aligned}$ | Tons $\mathbf{9 4 , 9 0 0}$ |
| Ceylon . | 100 | 2.8150 | 4.000 | 8,600 | 6,700 | 1.100 | 100 |
| Java | 583.000 | 692,200 | 850,800 | 781,100 | 804,700 | 866,800 | 294,800 |
| Manritlus | 130,600 |  |  |  | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| Stralts Sottiements | 8.900 | 1,200 | 900 | 600 | 800 | 400 | 200 |
| Chins and Hongkoag | 1.500 | 8,100 | 2,100 | 6,10J | 6,100 | 5,000 | 2,200 |
| Fgypt : | 100 | -10 | 800 | 560 | $\cdots$ | ${ }^{-1} 100$ | 4.000 |
| Germany: | 700 | 100 1.600 | 800 300 | 15,8.10 | 11,700 | 15,400 | -800 |
| Austria | - 4000 | - 1,800 |  | 1,400 |  | 400 | . |
| Hangary | 14,000 | ( 2,840 | 2,100 | 38,500 | 18,800 | 600 |  |
| Netherlands | \% | - 700 | 1,600 | 9,500 | coo | 600 | ${ }^{600}$ |
| Belgtum - |  | 400 | 300 | 1,800 | 800 | 200 | 2,500 |
| Franco | $\because$ |  | 800 | 11,900 | ${ }^{*} 600$ |  | ${ }^{+\cdots} 500$ |
| Czochoslorakds : | . | 1,100 200 | 400 | 9,700 | 600 | 1,100 | 500 |
| Unlted States | . ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 500 | * 200 | ${ }^{*} 200$ | $\bullet 290$ | - 300 | . |
| Othor coantriss | 100 | 18,900 | 200 | 9,200 | 41,600 | 101,200 | 29,500 |
| Total all coditries | 803,000 | 725,800 | 803,800 | 939,800 | 901,200 | \$16,100 | 360,500 |
| Vajue fir (akhs). | 14,89 | 14,50 | 15,86 | 15,51 | 10,51 | 6,01 | 4,12 |

The import of molasses, almost wholly from Java, declined from 40,000 tons valued at fll lakhs in 1931-32 to 32,000 tons valued at RIl lakhs in 1932-33.

Re-exports of sugar from India decreased from 5,600 tons valued at R 101 lakhs in 1931-32 to 4,000 tons valued at R5 lakhs in 1932-33. The share of Arabia went up from 1,300 tons to nearly 1,450 tons, whereas the share of Kenya Colony, including Zanzibar and Pemba, came down to under 400 tons as compared with 1,050 tons in the preceding year. There were no exports to Persia in 1932-33. The share of other countries was almost the same as in the preceding year, amounting to nearly 2,200 tons.

The total area under sugar cane in India was 3,305,000 acres in 1932-33 as against $2,972,000$ acres in 1931-32 or an increase of 11 per cent. The total production of raw sugar (gur) was estimated at $4,651,000$ tons, the highest figure on record, as compared with $3,970,000$ tons in 1931-32 or an increase of 17 per cent. The production of sugar by modern factories and refineries in the season 1931-32 amounted to 228,000 tons as compared with 152.000 tons in 1930-31. Exports of Indian sugar during the year under review slightly increased as compared with the preceding year and amounted to 1,250 tons as compared to a little under 1,000 tons in the preceding year. This included 349 tons of unrefined sugar. There was an increase in the export of molasses (including palmyra and cane jaggery) from 740 tons to 819 tons. Of this, 91 per cent were despatched from Madras. Shipments to Ceylon amounted to a little over 700 tons.

Provisions ( $\AA 2,93$ lakhs).-The value of imported provisions declined by R48 lakhs from R3,41 lakhs in 1931-32 to f2, 93 lakhs in 1932-33. A part of the decline was due to the fall in prices and many items included under this head e.g., bacon and hams, confectionery, butter, farinaceous foods in bulk, etc., recorded increases in quantity with decreases in value. Canned
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and bottled provisions, representing about 28 per cent of the value of tota provisions imported in 1932-33, showed a decline from R1,12 lakhs in 1931-3 to R 82 lakhs in the year under review. To this decline, vegetable product alone, mostly drawn from the Netherlands, contributed P29 lakhs the imports having amounted to $37,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at fl 13 lakhs in 1932-33 as against $117,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R42 lakhs in the preceding year. Both tinned fish and canned fruits, imports of which were valued at A9 lakhs and A 8 lakhs respectively registered increases of 21 per cent and 13 per cent respectively in comparison with 1931-32, while other canned and bottled provisions increased in quantity from 112,000 ewts. to 119,000 cwts. but declined in value from R56 lakhs to R 52 lakhs. Similarly, farinaceous and patent foods registered an increase in quantity from $337,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $343,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. with a decline in value from A 72 lakhs to R 63 lakhs. Of these, farinaceous foods in bulk (chiefly, sago, tapioca, etc., imported from the Straits Settlements and, to a small extent, from Hongkong and Java) were received to the value of $\mathbf{7 2 6}$ lakhs and milk foods for infants and invalids, imported mostly from the United Kingdom were valued at R 19 lakhs as compared with Z 30 lakhs and R 23 lakhs respectively in 1931-32. Imports of condensed milk amounted to $172,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at A49 lakhs in 1932-33 as compared with $186,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at A57 lakhs in the preceding year. Arrivals during the year included $72,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. from the Netherlands and $21,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. from the United Kingdom as against $88,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $20,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively in 1931-32. The other sources of supply were Norway ( $20,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ), Italy ( $16,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ), Denmark ( 11,000 cwts.) and Switzerland ( $10,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.). Imports of biscuits and cakes amounting to $36,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued, at f 28 lakhs registered a small decrease both in quantity and value, while those of confectionery amounting to 20,000 cwts. valued at Rl5 lakhs recorded a slight increase in quantity with a decrease in value. These were consigned chiefly from the United Kingdom. Imports of cocoa and chocolate declined from $4,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 3 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 3,300 cwts. valued at $\mathrm{f} 2 \frac{\mathrm{~g}}{3}$ lakhs, while those of cheese, pickles and chutneys and jams and jellies showed slight increases in value. Among other articles bacon and hams and butter showed increases in quantity with decreases in value, while vinegar and ghee decreased, both in quantity and value.

The share of the United Kingdom in the total value of imported provisions advanced from 44 per cent in 1931-32 to 48 per cent in 1932-33, although the actual value recorded dropped from $\mathrm{R} 1,51$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 1,40$ lakhs. Imports from the Netherlands shrank from R57 lakhs to R35 lakhs and correspondingly there was a decrease in her share from 17 per cent to 12 per cent. Imports from the Straits Settlements, consisting largely of farinaceous food and to a less extent of goods in transit from Australia, further declined from R24 lakhs to R 20 lakhs while direct supplies from Australia rose from R13 lakhs to R18 lakhs. Imports from the United States of America and China were valued at R181 lakhs and R8 lakhs as compared with R22 lakhs and R10 lakhs respectively in 1931-32.

Chemicals ( $\mathrm{R} 2,71$ lakhs).-The total imports of chemicals (excluding chemical manures and medicines) in 1932-33 were valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,71$ lakhs, an increase

[^2] of R14 lakhs in comparison with 1931-32. Sodium compounds accounted for 47 per cent of the total

## Imports.

imports of chemicals as in 1931-32 and amounted to $1,639,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R1,28 lakhs as compared with $1,515,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,21$ lakhs in the preceding year. Imports of sodium carbonate amounted to $1,103,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. (R65 lakhs) as compared with $1,016,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ( R 62 lakhs), the United Kingdom, as usual, remaining the chief source of supply. The total consignments of caustic soda, drawn chiefly from the United Kingdom, Russia and the United States of Amcrica, rose from $261,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R 32 lakhs to $285,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R34 lakhs. Among other descriptions of sodium compounds, the quantities of sodium bichromate, sodium sulphide and borax showed increases part of which was counterbalanced by decreases under sodium silicate, sodium cyanide and sodium bicarbonate. Imports of acids further declined from $29,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $26,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and from about R $8 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{P} 7 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in value. There was a considerable reduction in the imports of nitric acid which fell from 10,800 cwts. to $2,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. Imports of sulphuric acid expanded from $5,600 \mathrm{cwts}$. in $1931-32$ to $6,800 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1932-33. Among other descriptions of acids, acetic acid showed a decline of $1,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. and oxalic acid an increase of $1,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., the actual

> Acids. quantities imported in 1932-33 having been 2,800 and $2,100 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively. Under ammonia and ammonium salts there Ammonia and ammonium was an improvement from $33,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $40,600 \mathrm{cwts}$. salts. Bleaching materials showed a further increase in quantity from $131,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $151,100 \mathrm{cwts}$. but without any corresponding gain in value, which remained fairly steady at over R10 lakhs. Imports of both alum, and aluminous sulphates showed a further decline from $26,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $48,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1931-32 to $21,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ and 29,300 cwts. respectively in the year under review. Consignments of sulphur received during the year amounted to $364,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R20 lakhs as against $311,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at Z 16 lakhs in 1931-32. Supplies from Italy fell from

Others.
$233,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $206,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. but those from Germany rose from $21,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $38,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. There was an increase under glycerine from $7,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R23 lakhs to 10,000 cwts. valued at R3 lakhs in 1932-33. Imports of calcium carbide, lead compounds, potassium compounds, copperas and copper sulphate showed increases in quantity in comparison with the preceding year, but magnesium and zinc compounds showed decreases. The following table gives the percentage shares of the principal countries in the total imports of chemicals:-
Percentage shares of principal countries in the total value of chemicals imported.

| - | 1913-14 <br> (pre-war year) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1914-193 } \\ \text { (war } \\ \text { average) } \end{gathered}$ | 1927-28 | 1928-29 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United Kingdom . | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pgr cent } \\ 74 \cdot 7 \end{array}$ | Per cent | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent } \\ 55 \cdot 4 \end{array}$ | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | cent |
| Germany . | $12 \cdot 4$ | ${ }_{\cdot} \cdot 7$ | 21.5 | 16.7 |  | 54.0 | $54 \cdot 8$ | 51.6 |
| Onited States | $\cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | 4.7 | 157 | 18.3 | $18 \cdot 1$ | $14 \cdot 9$ |
| Italy. | $5 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | 6.7 | $5 \cdot 8$ | 7.2 | 5.2 7.3 | 4.6 | 6.4 |
| Kenya Colony |  | $\cdot 3$ | 1.8 |  |  | $7 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 9$ | $5 \cdot 6$ |
| Norway - | $\cdot 5$ | $\cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | $\underline{1.7}$ | 2.6 | $\stackrel{2.3}{1.6}$ | $1 \cdot 5$ | $1 \cdot 6$ |
| Japan | 1.5 | 16.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 2.0 1.8 | 1.6 | .8 9.3 | $\cdot 3$ |
| Other countries | $5 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 1$ | 7.5 | $7 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | $9 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 3$ 12.0 | 4.9 15.7 |
| Totas | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## Paper and Pasteboard.

As will appear from the above table, Japan and the United States of America improved their positions at the expense of the United Kingdom and Germany.

Drugs and medtcines (R1,86 likks).-The total value of drugs and medicines imported recorded a fall of 3 per cent from RI,91 lakhs in 1931-32

> Camphor. to R1,86 lakhs in 1932-33. Imports of camphor fell from $1,933,000$ lbs. valued at R 29 lakhs to $1,753,000$ lbs. valued at 1225 lakhs. Supplies from Germany amounted to $452,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. as compared with 568,000 lbs. in the preceding year. Switzerland and Italy reduced their supplies from $325,300 \mathrm{lbs}$. and $479,100 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $154,500 \mathrm{lbs}$. and 377,700 lbs. respectively, while receipts of natural camphor, mostly from Japan, amounted to $748,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. as compared with $451,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1931-32. Arrivals in 1932-33 also included $15,600 \mathrm{lbs}$. from the Straits Settlements and $2,600 \mathrm{lbs}$. from the United Kingdom. About $103,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. of quinine salts were imported as compared with $111,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in the preceding year.

## Quinine salts.

 The value recorded under proprietary and patent medicines decreased from R46 lakhs to R38 lakhs, to which the United Kingdom contributed R15 lakhs, Germany R7 lakhs, France R6 lakhs and the United States of America R5 lakhs. Imports of codliver oil advanced from $70,900 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1931-32 to $127,600 \mathrm{lbs}$. during the year under review. The United Kingdom supplied only 392 ounces of cocaine out of a total of 1,159 ounces and 471 ounces of morphia out of a total of 598 ounces, the remainder coming mostly from Germany. Preparations of opium and morphia, imported chiefly from the United Kingdom, were valued at R93,000 as compared with $\mathrm{R} 70,000$ in the preceding year.Paper and pasteboard (R2,86 lakhs).-The total imports of paper and pasteboard increased from 2,191,000 owts. valued at $\mathrm{fz} 2,50$ lakhs in 1931-32

## Printing paper.

 to $2,640,000$ cwts. valued at $R 2,86$ lakhs in 1932-33, paper of all kinds as against $1,915,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ( $\mathrm{R} 2,24 \mathrm{lakhs}$ ) in the preceding year. Imports of printing paper amounted to $679,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R 83 lakhs as compared with 616,000 ewts. valued at R80 lakhs in 1931-32. Both newsprinting and other kinds of paper recorded increases the former rising from 399,000 cwts. valued at f 45 lakhs to $457,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 47 \frac{7}{2}$ lakhs and the latter from $217,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R35 lakhs to $223,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R36 lakhs. Norway regained her predominant position in the Indian market by increasing her supplies of printing paper from $131,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ( f 15 lakhs) to 230,000 ewts. (R24 lakhs), while Austria reduced her contribution from 200,000 cwts. (R23 lakhs) to 152,000 cwts. (R17 lakhs). The share of the United Kingdom fell from $50,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at Rl10 lakhs to 44,000 ewts. valued at n8 $8 \frac{3}{4}$ lakhs. Consignments from Germany, the Netherlands and Belgium also decreased, these having totalled 102,000 cwts. in 1932-33 as against $121,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in the preceding year. There were increased supplies from Sweden, Finland and Japan amounting to $62,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., $66,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 3,000 cwts. respectively as compared with 56,000 ewts., $31,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 400 ewts. in 1931-32.Imports of writing paper and envelopes declined from $159,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at f 44 lakhs to 150,000 cwts. valued at R39 lakhs. The principal countries

## İmports.

$15,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $12,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.) and Germany (from $12,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ to $11,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ). On the other hand, Norway and Sweden increased their supplies from 28,000 cwts . and $10,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $39,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $10,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively. Imports from Japan also advanced from 4,000 cwts. to $12,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.

Imports of packing paper increased from $205,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $325,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and from R31 lakhs to R45 lakhs in value. Sweden's position, as

## Packing paper.

 the principal supplier, remained unassailed, and imports from that country rose from 91,000 cwts. valued at R13 lakhs to 173,000 cwts. valued at $\mathrm{R} 23 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Norway raised her supplies from $8,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $26,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. There were also increased supplies from Austria and Czechoslovakia amounting to 21,000 cwts. and $22,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as against $16,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $14,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively in 1931-32. Imports from Germany and the Netherlands together amounted to 42,000 cwts. and those from the United Kingdom to 19,000 cwts. as compared with $43,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $20,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively in 1931-32.Imports of old newspapers showed an advance from 837,000 cwts. to 947.000 cwts. of which the United Kingdom supplied $824,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as against 666,000

Old newspapors, otc. $\quad$ Kwts. in 1931-32. The percentage share of the United Kingdom in the trade rose from 80 to 87 , while that of the United States of America receded from 20 to 13.

Imports of paper manufactures rose from 19,000 cwts. valued at R 10 lakhs to 25,000 cwts. valued at R11 lakhs. The United Kingdom, as usual, was the principal supplier and sent consignments having an aggregate value of R 5 lakhs in 1932-33. Imports of pasteboard, millboard and cardboard of all kinds increased from 276,000 cwts. valued at P 26 lakhs to 420,000 cwts. valued at R37 lakhs. Of these strawboard accounted for $300,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at fl 18 lakhs.

The following table shows the percentage shares of the principal countries in the total value of paper and pasteboard imported into British India :-

Percentage shares of principal countries in the total imports of paper and pasteboard.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} 1913-11 \\ \text { (prowar } \\ \text { Year) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1028-29 | 1080-80 | 1030-81 | 1081-98 | 1932.33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Por cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cont | Per cent. |  |
| Vnited Klngdom - | u6.2 | Por 37.3 | Per $92 \cdot 8$ | Por ${ }^{31.2}$ | Per cent. | Por cent |
| United States | ${ }^{5} \cdot 1$ | $11 \cdot 3$ | 14.2 | 16.0 | $10 \cdot 3$ | 14:3 |
| Sueden | $8 \cdot 3$ | 7.5 | $2 \cdot 2$ 1109 | 4.5 | $4 \cdot 5$ | 2.8 |
| Netherlsinds | $2 \cdot 5$ | 7.6 | 1175 | 10.4 | $11 \cdot 3$ | 14.5 |
| Japan . | 1.0 | $8 \cdot 9$ | 78 | 7.0 | $0 \cdot 4$ | $4 \cdot 9$ |
| Germiny - | 17.3 | $13 \cdot 2$ | 12.0 | 8.9 9.7 | $8 \cdot 9$ | $5 \cdot 0$ |
| Austria | 8.8 | $9 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | 97 10.3 | 11.0 | $10 \cdot 5$ |
| Other countries | $5 \cdot 6$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | 9.6 | 10.3 8.0 | 14.3 8.1 | 10.1 0.6 |
| Topar | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Norway practically regained the ground she had lost as a result of her labour troubles in 1931-32. Sweden and Japan also advanced their respective positions. But the participations of the United Kingdom and Central European countries such as Germany, Austria and the Netherlands showed declines.

## Liquors.

In 1932 there were altogether ten paper mills in India, viz., four in Bengal, three in Bombay and one each in the United Provinces, Madras and Travancore

Pulp of rood.
State. The aggregate production of the Indian mills in 1932 amounted to 40,606 tons as compared with 40,714 tons in 1931 and 39,817 tons in 1930. Imports of wood pulp, mostly for the use of Indian paper mills, in 1932-33 amounted to 15,600 tons valued at Z 22 lakhs as compared with 22,100 tons valued at R36 lakhs in 1931-32. Norway and Sweden together accounted for 10,700 tons as against 11,000 tons in 1931-32, the remainder coming chiefly from the United States of America, the United Kingdom, Finland and Austria.

Liquors (R2,26 lakhs).-Imports of liquors in 1932-33 did not show any great variation in comparison with the preceding year and totalled $5 \cdot 4$ million gallons valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,26$ lakhs as against $5 \cdot 7$ million gallons valued at $\mathfrak{Z 2 , 2 7}$ lakhs in 1931-32. Compared with 1930-31, the imports showed a much greater decline, being less by 25 per cent in quantity and by 32 per cent in value. As in the preceding year, Bombay took the largest quantity, viz., 1.8 million gallons valued at f 70 lakhs, Bengal coming next with 1.5 million gallons valued at IR65 lakhs. Imports into Sind, Madras and Burma were comparatively small, being valued at $\mathrm{Z} 38 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs, Z 25 lakhs and f 28 lakhs respectively.

Of the total quantity of liquors imported, ale, beer and porter accounted for 69 per cent, spirit for 28 per cent and wines for only 3 per cent. Imports of ale, beer and porter remained almost unchanged at
Ale, beer and porter.
$3,718,000$ gallons. Of these, beer imported in bulk (mainly for the use of troops) amounted to $1,189,000$ gallons as against 1,352,000 gallons in 1931-32 and was drawn mainly from the United Kingdom which supplied $1,160,000$ gallons or 98 per cent. Bottled beer, imports of which totalled 2,403,000 gallons in 1932-33 as compared with 2,245,000 gallons in the preceding year came largely from the United Kingdom ( 48 per cent), Germany ( 21 per cent), Japan ( 17 per cent) and the Netherlands (11 per cent). Imports of stout and porter in 1932-33 amounted to 127,000 gallons as in the preceding year and were, as usual, consigned chiefly from the United Kingdom.

Imports of spirit, all sorts, totalled $1,524,000$ gallons valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 33$ lakhs as compared with $1,769,000$ gallons valued at $\mathrm{Z} 1,35$ lakhs in 1931-32.

Spirit.
The details of the principal kinds of spirit imported into British India are shown below:-

| - |  | 1931.32 |  | 1932-33 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gallons | f (lakh\%) | Gallons | ( (lakhs) |
| Brandy | - - - | 153,100 | 10 | 113,900 | 17 |
| Gin | . . . | 80,900 | 7 | 86,100 | 7 |
| Rum | . . . | 22,400 | 1 | 2,100 | . |
| Liqueurs | - . . | 12,500 | 2 | 7,700 | 2 |
| Whisky * - | - . | 315,400 | 53 | 331,400 | 55 |
| Spirit, present in draga | . . . | 102,900 | 31 | 102,500 | 33 |
| , perfumed | - . . | 7,800 | 6 | 5,000 | 5 |
| " denatured | - . - | 1,052,400 | 10 | 856,800 | 8 |
| \% other sorts | - | 22,000 | 7 | 18,800 | 7 |

Quantitatively the most important single item included in the above table is denatured spirit, which is almost entirely received from Java, while judging from the point of view of the declared value, the most important line is whisky, mostly the product of Scottish distilleries, which is consigned from the United

## Imports.

Kingdom. Gin is imported chicfly from this latter source, although supplies are drawn, to a far less extent from the Netherlands as well. Brandy is almost entirely imported from France with the exception of small quantities received from the United Kingdom and Germany. The share of the United Kingdom in the total imports of spirit rose from 463,000 gallons valued at 1283 lakhs to 476,000 gallons valued at F 84 lakhs in 1932-33. The supplies from France decreased from 157,000 gallons to 122,000 gallons in quantity and from 222 lakhs to R21 lakhs in value. Imports from Java amounted to 857,000 gallons in quantity valued at R8 lakhs against $1,069,000$ gallons valued at RIO lakhs in 1931-32. The receipts from the United States of America amounted to 48,000 gallons valued at R16 lakhs as compared with 46,000 gallons valued at R14 lakhs in the preceding year. German supplies declined to 11,000 gallons.

The total imports of wines in 1932-33 stood almost on the same level as in the previous year and amounted to 165,000 gallons, valued at R16 lakhs. Wines. As usual, the supplies came mainly from France ( 58,000 gallons valued at R5 lakhs), the United Kingdom ( 45,000 gallons valued at R 6 lakhs) and Italy ( 28,000 gallons valued at R2 lakhs).

Salt (A"79 lakhs).-The imports of foreign salt by sea into British India advanced by 21 per cent in quantity from 451,000 tons in 1931-32 to 544,000 tons in 1932-33 and by 10 per cent in value from R72 lakhs to F 79 lakhs. With the exception of Aden, the principal source of supply, almost all the other countries sent more than in the preceding year. Of the total quantity imported in 1932-33 nearly 285,000 tons or 52 per cent came from Aden as compared with 314,000 tons or 70 per cent of the total in the preceding year. It may be mentioned that salt manufactured outside India continued to be liable to an additional custom duty of 4 as. 6 ps . per maund during the year under review. The Salt Additional Import Duty (Extending) Act, 1933 further extended the date of operation of the temporary additional custom duty on non-Indian salts, but reduced the rate from 4 as. 6 ps . to $2 a s .6$ ps. per maund. Germany raised her supplies from 26,000 tons to 57,000 tons, Spain from 4,000 tons to 28,000 tons and Italian East Africa from 67,000 tons to 103,000 tons. There were also larger receipts from Egypt which amounted to 40,000 tons as against i5,000 tons in 1931-32, while the United Kingdom slightly reduced her supplies from 26,000 tons to 25,000 tons. The following table shows the percentage shares of the principal countries of consignment:-

Percentage shares of principal countries in the imports of salls.

| - | $\begin{gathered} 1913.14 \\ \text { (pre-war } \\ \text { year) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1928-29 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aden and Dependencies | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | $\widehat{\text { Por cent }}$ | Per cent | $\overline{\text { Per cent }}$ |
| Egypt. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 13.9 | $33 \cdot 6$ 18.6 | 36.0 16.3 | $26 \cdot 3$ | 69.5 | $52 \cdot 4$ |
| United Kingdom . | $22 \cdot 5$ | 18.6 11.2 | 16.3 12.0 | 19.1 | $3 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 3$ |
| Spain . . . | 15.0 | 11.8 9.8 | 12.0 | $5 \cdot 9$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 5$ |
| Germany . . | 8.8 | $10 \cdot 4$ | 108 9.8 | 9.1 13.8 | 68 6.7 | ${ }^{5 \cdot 1}$ |
| Itslikn Wast Africa | $8 \cdot 1$ | 104 8.5 | 10.8 10.6 | 13.8 21.9 | 6.7 14.9 | 10.5 19.0 |
| Other countries | $12 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | 319 | 14. | 1.2 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total quantity mported (in tons) | 607,300 | 614,700 | 643,850 | $\overline{703,043}$ | 451,080 | 544,124 |

## Other Articles.

Foreign salt is largely consumed in Bengal and, to a less extent, in Burma. The former took 462,000 tons or 85 per cent of the total quantity imported in 1932-33 and the latter 82,000 tons or 15 per cent as compared with 89 and 11 per cent respectively in 1931-32. A fair quantity of Indian sea salt is also imported, chiefly from Bombay and Karachi, for consumption in Bengal. The coastwise imports of Indian salt into Calcutta amounted to 115,300 tons in 1932-33 as compared with 97,500 tons in 1931-32. The following table shows the prices per 100 maunds of Liverpool, Rashafun (Italian East Africa), Aden and Indian salt for 1932-33, month by month, at Calcutta :-


- Ex-ghip price.

Otber articles.-The table below shows the important items comprised in this group:-

| - | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 1913-14 \\ \text { (pre-war } \\ \text { year) } \end{gathered}\right.$ | 1929-29 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R (lakhs) | If (lakhs) | fl (lakhs) | R (lakhs) | R (lakhs) | R (lakhs) |
| Instruments, apparatus, etc. . | 1.82 | 4,92 | 5,38 | 4,77 | 3,69 | 3,55 |
| Dyeing and tanning substancos | 1,41 | 2,83 | 2,43 | $\stackrel{2,59}{ }$ | 2,68 | 2,50 |
| Spices . . - . | 1,73 | 2,94 | 3,26 | 2.55 | 2,08 | 1,72 |
| Glass and glassware | 1,95 | 2,37 | 2,52 | 1,65 | 1,22 | 1,42 |
| Tobacco . . | 75 | 2,75 | 2,70 | 1,51 | 94 | 97 |
| Precious stones and pearls, unset | 1,07 | 1,17 | 1,10 | 60 | 45 | 84 |
| Cement . . . . | 66 | 68 | 64 | 55 | 41 | 29 |
| Coal and coke . | 11 | 39 | 46 | 35 | 14 | 10 |

Imports of instruments and apparatus showed an improvement, the total value recorded having risen from R3, 69 lakhs in 1931-32 to $\mathfrak{R 3} 3,85$ lakhs. The

Instruments and apparatus ( $\mathrm{R} 3,85$ Iakhs). value of electrical instruments and apparatus rose by R11 lakhs to R2,34 lakhs. The United Kingdom maintained her usual position as the principal source

## Imports.

of supply for electrical apparatus for the Indian market and the value of her total consignments rose from the previous year's low record of $\mathrm{R1}, 15$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Rl}, 22$ lakhs. There was a marked expansion in the supplies from Japan, viz., from R5 lakhs in 1931-32 to R17 lakhs. Germany and Italy also slightly increased their shares which were valued at R34 lakhs and R6 lakhs respectively. But there were decreases in the contributions of the other principal supplying countries, notably of the United States of America which lost R3: lakhs' worth of trade in comparison with the preceding year. The following statement shows the values of the different classes of electrical apparatus imported during the last three years:-

| - | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | f (lakhs) | R (ıakbs) | f( ${ }^{\text {lakhs }}$ ) |
| Electric fans and parts thereof | ${ }_{1}^{26}$ | 19 | 22 |
| Telegrsph and telephone instruments | 1,05 | ${ }_{5}^{60}$ | 59 |
| Electric lamps and parts thereof. | 59 | 51 | 55 |
| Batteries . . . . . | 13 | 11 | 13 |
| Carbons, electrio . . . . . . | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Aocumulators. . . . . . | 18 | 9 | 9 |
| Eleotrio lighting accessories and fittings, including switohes. | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| Meters . . . . . | 7 | 7 | 10 |
| Electro-medical apparatus, including X-ray | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Switch boards (other than telegraph and telephone) . | 3 00 | 3 41 | 45 |
| Total | 3,11 | 2,23 | 2,34 |

All other descriptions of instruments and apparatus, e.g., musical, optical, scientific instruments and cinematographic films recorded increases. Musical instruments showed a slight recovery, viz., from R22 lakhs to R24 lakhs. Imports of optical instruments were valued at R7 lakhs as against R6 lakhs in the preceding year. Scientific instruments imported were valued at R15 lakhs as against R14 lakhs in 1931-32. Cinematographic films, both raw and exposed, showed increases. Imports of raw films (i.e., those on which no pictures have been impressed) totalled $25 \cdot 6$ million feet (R11 lakhs) as compared with $22 \cdot 3$ million feet (R9 lakhs) in 1931-32. Foreign made exposed films were imported to the extent of 9.5 million feet valued at R19 lakhs as compared with 9 million feet valued at R17 lakhs in 1931-32. The imports of photographic appliances, however, did not show any appreciable variation and were valued at R 31 lakhs as compared with R31 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1931-32. Imports of surgical instruments remained stationary at R14 lakhs. Imports of wireless apparatus, consisting mainly of products of United Kingdom origin, were valued at R10 lakhs as against R9 lakhs in the preceding year. The share of the United Kingdom in the total trade in instruments and apparatus in 1932-33 was valued at R1,94 lakhs ( 50 per cent) as compared with R11,83 lakhs ( 50 per cent) in 1931-32 and those of the United States of America

## Other Articles.

and Germany were valued at R53 lakhs (14 per cent) and R 65 lakhs ( 17 per cent) respectively during the year under review.

Imports of dyeing and tanning substances showed a decline, the total value amounting in 1932-33 to R2,50 lakhs, a decrease of R18 lakhs in comparison

> Dyeing and tanning substances ( $\mathrm{R} 2, \overline{50}$ lakhs). with 1931-32 and of R9 lakhs in comparison with 1930-31. Coal-tar dyes, representing the bulk of the imports under this head, showed a decrease from 17.9 million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,33$ lakhs to 13.0 million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,17$ lakhs. This set-back was due almost entirely to a decrease in the imports of dyes other than alizarine, from 15 million lbs. to $10 \cdot 6$ million lbs. in quantity and from $\mathrm{R} 2,15$ lakhs to R1,99 lakhs in value. Imports under this head represented 84 per cent of the total imports of coal-tar dyes as against 83 per cent in 1931-32. Imports of alizarine dyes showed a decline of about $910,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in comparison with the imports of the previous year, which had been returned at $3 \cdot 2$ million lbs. but the value recorded declined slightly from R18 lakhs to R17 lakhs. The following statement shows the details of the imports of coal-tar dyes during the past three years :-

| - | Quantity in thousamids OF LBS. |  |  | Value te lakis of Rupees. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 | 1930.31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| Imports of Alizarine DyeoFrom United Kingdom | 724 | 858 | 635 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| \% Germany | 2,360 | 2,354 | 1,728 | 12 | 13 | 13 |
| " United States . | 107 | - | . | . $\cdot$ | . | .. |
| , Belgium . . | -• | * | - | . | . | - |
| , Netherlands | 1 | -• | -• | $\cdots$ | -• | .. |
| " Switzerland - | 40 | $\cdots$ | 34 | . | -• | . |
| " Other oountries. | .. | $\cdots$ | 5 | . | . | . |
| Total | 3,241 | 3,212 | 2,302 | 16 | 18 | 18 |
| Imports of other Coal-tar DyesFrom United Kingdom | 833 | 1,587 | 1,565 | 12 | 20 | 28 |
| , Germany | 9,947 | 10,741 | 6,831 | 1,48 | 1,57 | 1,23 |
| " United States - | 1,156 | 985 | 697 | 14 | 11 | 8 |
| , Belgium . | 59 | 138 | 49 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| . Netherlands | 28 | 57 | $\infty$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| " Switzerland . | 503 | 617 | 576 | 20 | 12 | 17 |
| , Other countries. | 794 | 539 | 877 | 11 | 8 | 21 |
| Total | 13,3:0 | 14,064 | 10,655 | 2,07 | 2,15 | 1,99 |

## Imports.

It will be seen from the above table that the decline in the case of imports of alizarine dyes was due to a heavy falling off in receipts from Germany from $2,354,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $1,728,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. The share of the United Kinglom also declined from $858,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $535,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. Under coal-tar dyes other than alizarine there was a heavy decline both in the quantity and the value of the consignments received from Germany, her share amounting to $6,831,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at $\mathrm{R1} 1,23$ lakhs as compared with $10,741,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R1,57 lakhs in 1931-32. Imports from the United Kingdom showed a decline from 1,587,000 lbs. to $1,565,000 \mathrm{lbs}$., but the value of the shipments increased from $\mathrm{P}: 20$ lakhs to R 28 lakhs.

There was a slight increase in the imports of spices, the quantity of which in 1939-33 amounted to $1,272,000$ cwts. as against $1,270,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ in 1931-32.

Spices (R1,72 laklıs). The value. however, showed a further decline from $\mathrm{R} 2,08$ lakhs to R1,72 lakhs. Betelnuts showed an increase in quantity from $1,101,000$ cwts. to $1,117,000$ ewts. but the value fell off from R1,45 lakhs to R1,19 lakhs. Receipts from the Straits Settlements amounted to $995,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and those from Ceylon to $100,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ as compared with $989,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $98,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively in 1931-32. Imports of pepper also improved from $10,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $13,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity, but declined in value from M 6 lakhs to $\mathrm{A} 5 \frac{3}{3}$ lakhs, the Straits Settlements alone contributing 10,000 cwts. (R5 lakhs). The improvement noticed in 1931-32 in the imports of cloves proved to be short-lived and receipts during the year under review fell off from $84,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R42 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to $69,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R35 lakhs. Of the total imports ander the head Kenya Colony, Zanzibar and Pemba together supplied 61,000 cwts. (R34 lakhs) as against $67,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. (R41 lakhs) in 1931-32.

The total value of the imports of glass and glassware amounted to R1,42 lakhs as compared with R1,22 lakhs in 1931-32. Almost all the important

Glass and glassware ( $\mathrm{It} 1,42$ lakhs). descriptions under this head recorded improvements. Of the principal countries participating in this trade Japan retained the foremost position and the value of her supplies advanced to R65 lakhs in 1932-33 from P42 lakhs in 1931-32 and R55 lakhs in 1930-31. Belgium and Austria also improved their respective positions, the value of their shares being R15 and R2 lakhs as against R13 lakhs and RI lakh a year ago. Consignments from the United Kingdom, Germany and Czechoslovakia were valued at R12, R18 and f23 lakhs as compared with R13, R20 and R231 lakhs respectively in 1931-32. Imports of glass bangles showed an increase from R34 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to R40 lakhs and those of beads and false pearls from $15,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at f91 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to $22,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R12 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. The principal sources of supply for both these classes of articles were, as usual, Czechoslovakia and Japan, the share of the former being R16 and R4 lakhs and of the latter R22 and R6 lakhs under the two respective heads. Imports of soda water bottles received, as usual, chiefly from Germany and the United Kingdom, numbered 29,000 gross (R6 lakhs) as compared with 38,000 gross (R9 lakhs) in 1931-32, while bottles of other descriptions amounted to 781,000 gross (R18 laklis) as against 423,000 gross (R15 lakhs) in the preceding year. Glass sheet and plate imported measured 19 million square feet and were valued at R23 lakhs as compared with 16 million square feet valued at f 20 lakhs in 1931-32. Supplies from Belgium,

## Other Articles.

consisting largely of cheap window glass, were valued at R14 lakhs as against $\mathrm{Al2} 2$ lakhs in the preceding year, but those from the United Kingdom, representing mostly the finer qualities of goods, remained almost stationary, being valued at In3 lakhs. Among other descriptions included in this category, mention may be made of tableware and of funnels, globes, etc., each of which recorded a decrease.

As anticipated in the preceding year's Review, the Indian tobacco industry was able to recover from the crisis of 1930-31 under conditions which proved

Tobaceo (R97 lakhs). to be more favourable than a year ago. Imports of unmanufactured tobacco which had improved from 1.6 million Ibs. in 1930-31 to 2.8 million lbs. in 1931-32 advanced further to $5 \cdot 1$ million lbs. in the year under review and exceeded those in $1929-30$ by half a million lbs. Supplies from the United States of America accounted for 91 per cent of the total quantity imported in 1932-33 as against 87 per cent in the preceding year and totalled 4.7 million lbs. as compared with 2.5 million lbs. in 1931-32. Consignments from the United Kingdom also increased to $350,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. from $147,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in $1931-32$ and $17,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ in $1930-31$. Foreign cigarettes continued to be imported in smaller quantities, the receipts falling from 1.4 million lbs. valued at R53 lakhs to about 1 million lbs. valued at

Cigarettes.
R29 lakhs. As usual, the United Kingdom was the largest supplier but the imports from that country declined from $1,190,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to 721,000 lbs. Receipts from China and the United States of America also fell from 204,000 lbs. and $16,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to 57,000 lbs. and 12,000 lbs. respectively. Of other descriptions of tobacco, cigars and tobacco for pipes and cigarettes recorded decreases, imports having amounted to $15,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. and $49,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. as against $21,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. and $129,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. respectively in 1931-32

The trade in precious stones and pearls showed signs of a slight recovery, the value of the consignments having risen from the abnormally low level

## Precious stones and

 pearls ( IB 4 lakhs ). viz., P 45 lakhs recorded in 1931-32 to P 84 lakhs in 1932-33. Of these, diamonds accounted for R71 lakhs and pearls, unset, for $\mathrm{Pl} 10 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs as compared with R 32 lakhs and R103 lakhs respectively in 1931-32. The imports of other precious stones were comparatively small, being valued at $\mathrm{P} 2 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs in 1932-33 as against Z 2 lakhs in the preceding year. This improvement was chiefly in the consignments from Belgium, the shares of other countries having been more or less stationary at the level touched in the preceding year. Belgium, which constitutes the principal source of supply for precious stones, had her contribution increased from f 29 lakhs to R 69 lakhs. The Netherlands curtailed her supplies to a little below Al lakh, which was less than a quarter of what she had realised in 1930-31. The share of the United Kingdom which had dropped from R10 lakhs in 1929-30 to R 2 lakhs in 1930-3l showed no improvement in 1932-33. The value of the consignments from the Babrein Islands, consisting mainly of pearls, remained almost unchanged at R5s lakhs in 1932-33 and of those from Arabia at R5 lakhs.Imports of cement showed a further decline from 88,000 tons to 83,000 tons in quantity and from R41 lakhs to R29 lakhs in value. The largest share

[^3] in the imports went to the Madras Presidency; the requirements of this province, however, decreased

## Imports.

from 32,400 tons in 1931-32 to 29,300 tons in 1932-33. Burma, which prior to 1931-32 held the foremost place among the importing provinces, reduced her off-take from 30,000 tons to 22,000 tons during $1932-33$. The bulk of the consignments during the year came from Japan. supplies from which source amounted to 39,000 tons (R8 lakhs) as against 29,000 tons (R912 lakhs) in 1931-32. There was a further falling off in the contribution of the United Kingdom from 45,000 tons valued at R 25 lakhs to 34,000 tons valued at R 17 lakhs. Among other countries Denmark, Italy and Germany also showed noticeable decreases. The following table gives the details for the past three years:-


Imports of foreign coal declined by 37 per cent in quantity from 56,000 tons in 1931-32 to 35,000 tons in 1932-33 and by 42 per cent in value from

Coal ( E 0 d lakha). R11 4 lakhs to R6 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Bombay, the largest consumer of imported coal, reduced her takings from 28,000 tons to 11,000 tons. Sind and Madras also curtailed their requirements, the former from 13,000 tons in 1931-32 to 12,000 tons in 1932-33 and the latter from 8,000 tons to 3,000 tons. Burma received 8,000 tons as against 5,000 tons in the preceding year. The following table shows the sources of the imports of foreign coal during the past five years :-


As will be seen from the above table, the imports from Natal were considerably reduced with the result that the United Kingdom had the largest share in the total importations for the past two years.

## CHAPTER III. <br> Exports of Merchandise.

The following table shows the comparative importance of the principal articles exported from British India :-

Exports.
(In thousande of Bupees)

| - | $1038 \cdot 30$ | 1029-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-33 | 1033-33 | Percentage on total exports of merchandia in 1932-39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SJute, ravy . | 82,34.63 | 27,17,38 | 12,89,47 | 11.18,81 | 0,73.03 | $7 \cdot 35$ |
| Sute manafactares | 60, 60.49 | -61,03,63 | 31,69.44 | 21,9t,12 | 21,71,18 | 16.40 |
| $\{$ Ootton, raw and waste | $66,60,10$ | 05.60. 35 | 40, 32,05 | 33,7×,18 | 30,60,95 | 15.69 |
| $\{$ Cotton manafactures | 7,75,50 | 7.18, 67 | 5,31.54 | 4,81,83 | 3.20 .11 | 2.49 |
| Tom * | 36,00.44 | 30,00,64 | 23,55 03 | 10,43,74 | 17,16,38 | 12.98 |
| Grain, pulse and flour | 33,69, 22 | 34.79,18 | 29,68,10 | 30,37,18 | 16,07,00 | $12 \cdot 14$ |
| Seeds . . . | 39,63, ${ }^{\text {n2 }}$ | 28,46,70 | 17, 2li, 18 | 14,88,83 | 11,80,08 | 8.54 |
| Neatter | 0,44,32 | $8,10,31$ | 6,39,11 | 5,35,20 | 4,76,43 | $3 \cdot 60$ |
| Mctals and ores. | 8,01,03 | 10,33.00 | 7.01.0.4 | 6,47,10 | 4,08,18 | $3 \cdot 54$ |
| Hides and sking, raw | 0,55,93 | 7.98,37 | 6.40,03 | 3,65,71 | 3,75,67 | $2 \cdot 09$ |
| Paration wax. | 2,45,51 | 3,17,69 | 2,81,53 | 2,31,74 | 3.01,88 | 1.62 |
| Oilcales . | 3.84, 18 | 3,11,92 | 3,48,05 | 2,00,08 | 1,90,51 | 1.48 |
| Wool, raw and mannfactures | 5,00,71 | 6,33,54 | 3,23,25 | 3,38,73 | 1,91,10 | $1 \cdot 4$ |
| Lac. . . | 8,04,30 | 6.90.73 | 3,13,74 | 1,83,94 | 1,34,24 | - 04 |
| Coffee | 1.69,35 | 1,45.4) | 1,01,86 | -94,50 | 1,09,81 | -83 |
| Tobicca | 1,34,47 | 1,00.43 | 1,08.06 | 85.43 | 77,11 | - 58 |
| Djasing and tanning sabstances | 1,18,05 | 1,11,57 | 1,08.23 | 85,115 | 75.43 | -97 |
| Spiecs . . | 1,53,80 | 1,90,30 | 1,37.19 | 97,25 | 73,33 | '55 |
| Fodder, hran and poliards | 1,44,93 | 1,18,03 | 70,76 | 75,14 | 70, 29 | -59 |
| Fraits and vegotables | 00, 15 | 00.62 | 79.75 | 9n, 32 | 60,63 | $\because 3$ |
| Coir | 1,00. 37 | 1,01, 63 | 6s, 66 | 75,53 | Qil, 31 | -45 |
| Wood and timber | 1,70,60 | 1,80,07 | 1,4].47 | 78,47 | 56,18 | $\cdot 49$ |
| Olle. . | 80, 63 | 73,33 | 4i, 24 | 67,21 | 53, 18 | 41 |
| Fish (excluding cannod lish) | 78,24 | 73,81 | 49.33 | 54,24 | $4 \mathrm{4}, 71$ | -35 |
| Cosl and coke | 71,83 | 71,06 | 49,35 | 51,01 | 41,19 | -33 |
| Bones for mandacturling parpes: | 02,33 | 78,27 | 71,25 | 45,14 | 31.83 | -90 |
| Provisions and ollman's stores . | 04,43 | 60,40 | 19,05 | 39,65 | 33,03 | -25 |
| Hemp, rav : | 87,53 | 68,33 | 30,30 | 24,90 | 32,16 | -31 |
| Mica . | 00,47 | 1,03,08 | 67.59 | 99,30 | 31.52 | -21 |
| Drags and medicines . | 41.61 | 48,46 | 20.02 | 23,10 | 31, 30 | -24 |
| Fibre for brashes and brooms | 25.93 | 28,16 | 25.51 | 20,48 | 34,02 | -18 |
| Manures . | 50.8 .1 | 49.08 | 51,30 | 3s.30 | 20,30 | -15 |
| Bristles . . . | 15,01 | 14,36 | 10.98 | 11.60 | 13,65 | ${ }^{10}$ |
| Saitpetre . | 9,40 | , $\mathrm{N}, 87$ | 7,58 | 10,58 | 19.26 | '09 |
| Oplum . | 1,57,43 | 1,49,00 | 1,92,07 | 84.93 | 11,25 | -08 |
| Animala, living - | 30,05 | 30, 80 | 26,03 | 14,09 | 10,10 | -03 |
| Bullding and Eingineorlng materials other than of irons, steol or wood | 15,15 | 14,00 | 10,39 | 7,47 | 9,34 | -07 |
| Apparel * . . | 17,63 | 34,59 | 10,13 | 10.39 | 8.03 | $\cdot 07$ |
| Rubuer, raw . . | 1,90,85 | 1,7^,48 | 1,20,76 | 44,63 | 8,78 | $\cdot 07$ |
| Cordage and rope | 16,03 | 14, 13 | 10,45 | 8.54 | 7,73 | -06 |
| Candles . | 0.33 | 10,91 | 0,40 | 4,05 | 4,74 | . 04 |
| Silk, raw and manaluotures | 33.17 | 32,31 | 10.06 | 3,3.4 | 3,18 | $\cdot 02$ |
| Horme, tips, cto. . . | 7.96 | 7,63 | 3,54 | 1,38 | 3,48 | -03 |
| sugar ${ }^{\text {sat }}$ | 5,49 | 3.68 | 2,61 | 1,02 | 3,10 | - 02 |
| Taljow, gtearine and wax | 7,177 | 7,95 | 7,38 | 3,70 | 1,07 | . 01 |
| All other articles . | 4,67,83 | 4,64, 23 | 3,71,77 | 2,94,85 | 3,70,05 | 8.04 |
| Total valde of Exporis | 3,30,13,70 | 3,10,8v,65 | 3,20,49,30 | 1,65,88,86 | 1,32,40,57 | 100 |

Jute and jute manufactures (R31,44 lakhs). -The total urea under jute in 1932 was estimated at 2,143,000 acres as compared with $1,862.000$ acres in the preceding year. The yield for the 1932 crop was estimated to be $5,845,000$ bales* of 400 lbs. each as against $5,567,000$ bales

[^4]
## Exports.

in the preceding year. Apart from the increase in acreage, the main cause of the larger outturn in the year under review was the favourable weather condition in Bengal. It may be noted that the area under jute increased in spite of the propaganda for restriction of cultivation. The larger crop of the year under review aggravated the unhealthy conditions through which the jute trade was passing. There was already a big carry-over of raw jute in the mofussil in the hands of cultivators and middlemen. Added to this, there were the stocks with dealers in Calcutta who, encouraged by the short-lived boom of November and December 1931 after the abandonment of the gold standard by Britain and the subsequent linking of the rupee with sterling, had obtained large stocks. This excessive supply weighed heavily on the market and depressed prices which were already at a low level. Further, the position was made much worse, because the dealers had not the strength to hold their stocks off the market till a better demand arose. On the other hand, they adopted a policy of selling at very low prices and this demoralised the market completely. At the end of the year it was estimated that the supply of raw jute in 1932-33 was $7,317,000$ bales against $6,211,000$ bales in 1931-32. In other centres of the world also there were large stocks of raw jute. As far as raw jute was concerned, therefore, the statistical position was definitely unfavourable. Consequently, prices were on a distinctly low level throughout the year under review. In Calcutta the year opened under the gloom of protracted negotiations between the Indian Jute Mills' Association and the non-Association mills over the question of working hours. On the 5th April 1932 jute "Firsts" per bale of 400 lbs . were selling at R31-8.0 in the Calcutta market. The heavy pressure of large stocks of old jute, remaining with the producers and middlemen, affected the prices which slumped to R28-8-0 in the third week of April. Reports of unfavourable weather conditions slightly raised prices to R29 in the first two weeks of May ; but this upward tendency was very short-lived and prices fell precipitously in the third week of May when the quotation dropped to $227-4-0$. After that, for nearly a month prices fell very rapidly and on June 14 R24 was recorded. From the beginning of July a slow improvement set in and from that time onward till the first week of September prices were generally on the increase. The chief cause of this improved position was the optimistic fecling ruling throughout the world as a result of the Lausanne Conference. The success of the conversion operation in England also united in the same direction. The lowering of the rate of interest by the Imperial Bank of India at this time made holding easier to some extent. Throughout July prices remained steady round about Re55-4-0 for the old crop, with a premium of about R1-8-0 for the new crop. August saw fresh increases and R27-12-0 (old crop) and R29 (new crop) were quoted on the 2nd. This was due, to some extent, to the reported damage to the standing crop. Except for a short break in the third week of that month, prices went on increasing continuously until on the 6th of September the quotation had risen to R 38 for the new crop. This was the highest quotation for the year. In the next week, however, the price receded to R33-4-0, a sharp fall of R5-12-0. The roason for this was the publication of the final jute forecast for 1932 indicating an outturn considerably in excess of the expectation of the trade with a resultant bearish effect on the market throughout the rest of the year under review. Demand also was very weak and this,

## Jute.

combined with the increased crop and the heavy stocks, led to falling prices throughout the next six months. By the end of February 1933 the quotation had fallen to R23-8-0, a fall of R14-8-0 as compared with the first week of September. In March 1933, however, there was a slight improvement up to the third week when the price rose to P 27 , but it again receded in the last week to R24-8-0. The slight improvement in March was due to the report of want of rain for the new crop and the expectation of better business on account of the American embargo on the export of gold and silver.
'The London price per ton, c.i.f., for ' First Marks 'stood at £17-17-6 on the lst of April. From then the price fell gradually until by the 22 nd April the
 this increase was short-lived and throughout May the price was round about £15-12-0. This was due to the bearish sentiment prevailing in Calcutta on account of the protracted mill negotiations. In sympathy with the Calcutta market the quotation came down to £14-7-6 in the second week of June. During the next fow weeks the market was irregular until on July 29 the new crop was quoted at £15-7-6. Throughout August and the beginning of September prices rose in sympathy with those in Calcutta. The quotation on the 2nd of September was $£ 19-2-6$, the highest for the year. From that date till the beginning of March prices were more or less continually on the decline as in the Calcutta market, and the quotation dropped to $£ 14$ on the 3 rd of March. There was a rally in March which took prices up to $£ 14-15-0$, but the last week again saw a relapse to £14-12-6.

Arrivals of raw jute in Calcutta and the neighbouring mill area during the fiscal year 1932-33 amounted to 7.7 million bales as compared with 7.3 million bales in the preceding year. Exports abroad from Calcutta of raw jute during the same period were 3 million bales. Arrivals during the period from 1st July 1932 to 30 th June 1933 were 8.6 million bales as against 6.7 million bales during the corresponding period of 1931-32.

The year under review marked a period of slight improvement in the jute mill industry as compared with the gloom of the previous two years. At the beginning of the year, however, the outlook for the industry was bad. The policy of internal competition between the Association and non-Association mills created a very difficult position in the industry. If this competition had continued in the face of excessive stocks and dwindling demand, the end would have been an unenviable one; but owing to the compromise arrived at through the intervention of the Government of Bengal, the crisis was averted and measures were taken to restrict production. The main features of the agreement which was executed in May 1932 have been given in the last year's Review and need not be repeated here. It may be stated, however, that the agreement placed the manufacturing side of the industry on a fairly stable basis and eliminated unreasonable internal competition. As a result of this, the position of the mill3 improved during the year under review. Stocks of manufactured goods were considerably reduced in 1932-33 and were brought down to fairly reasonable dimensions. In April 1932 the stocks of hessian cloth and bags amounted to 16 crores of yards. By December of the year they had been reduced to 8.8 crores of yards. There was a slight increase in the stocis in January and February, but by March the stocks were again down to 8.95 crores of yards. Stocks of sacking cloth and bags amounted to 6.9 crores of

## Exports.

yards in April 1932. By August these stocks had grown to $12 \cdot 3$ crores of yards. In the next three months, however, they were considerably reduced and by November they amounted to only 6.3 crores of yards. The subsequent months saw an increase in stocks which amounted to 10.0 crores of yards in March 1933. On the whole, however, it may be said that the stock position had improved to a fair extent, as a result of the restriction policy followed by the Association. With decreasing stocks, prices of hessians and twills showed a decidedly satisfactory trend in comparison with the preceding season.

The total weight of raw and manufactured jute exported during the year amounted to $1,243,000$ tons, or 7,000 tons less than in the preceding year. The total value declined from a little over R33 crores in 1931-32 to nearly f231 $\frac{1}{2}$ crores in 1932-33, a drop of Rll $\frac{1}{2}$ crores. Raw jute accounted for 31 per cent of the value and jute manufactures for 69 per cent as compared with 34 per cent and 66 per cent respectively in the preceding year. The following statement compares the quantities exported during the year 1913-14 and each of the past three years :-


The quantity of raw jute exported was 4 per cent less than in the preceding year and 27 per cent less than in the pre-War year 1913-14. Exports of gunny hags increased in number from 389 millions to 415 millions, but those of gunny cloth declined slightly from 1,021 million yards to 1,012 million yards. The production, Indian mill consumption and exports of raw jute for the last 20 years are given in table No. 29 and detailed figures of exports of manufactures are given in tables Nos. 30 A and 30 B .

The total exports of raw jute declined from 587,000 tons valued at Fll crores in 1931-32 to 563,000 tons valued at P93 crores in 1932-33. Exports to

Raw jute. Germany amounted to 123,000 tons valued at f2, 14 lakhs in 1932-33 as compared with 131,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{P} 2,44$ lakhs in 1931-32. The share of the United Kingdom in the year under review decreased to 130,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,24$ lakhs from 154,000 tons valued at R3,11 lakhs. Exports to France amounted to 69,000 tons valued at R1,16 lakhs in 1932-33 as compared with 52,000 tons valued at R99 lakhs in 1931-32. Spain took 42,000 tons as compared with 36,000 tons in the preceding year, whereas the United States of America, Italy and Belgium reduced their shares from $49,000,44,000$ and 46,000 tons to $36,000,37,000$ and 39,000 tons respectively.

The total exports of gunny bags increased in number from 389 millions to 415 millions. The value of the exports also increased from $\mathrm{R} 10,94$ lakhs

Gunny bage. . to R11,16 lakhs. Sacking gunny bags increased in number from 305 millions to 326 millions, ${ }^{*}$ the increase in value being from R9,36 lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 9,53$ lakhs. Hessian gunny

Jute.
bags also showed a slight increase from 84 millions valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,58$ Jakhs to 89 millions valued at R1,63 lakhs. Of the total exports of gunny bags the United Kingdom took nearly $46 \frac{1}{2}$ millions as compared with $47 \frac{1}{2}$ millions in the preceding year. Australia, as usual, remained the best market for gunny bags, her share also going up considerably from 68 millions to 99 millions. Exports to Java declined from 30 millions to 14 millions and to China from 28 millions to 25 millions. Exports to Siam and Indo-China rose from 6 millions and 5 millions to 12 millions and 9 millions respectively and to Japan from 5 millions to 9 millions. The shares of other countries showed slight variations.

Exports of gunny cloth declined from 1,021 million yards in 1931-32 to 1,012 million yards in 1932-33, the decline in value being from R10,45 lakhs Gunny cloth. to $\mathrm{Rl} 10,24$ lakhs. Hessian gunny cloth decreased from 996 million yards valued at $\mathrm{Rl} 10,05$ lakhs in 1931-32 to 986 million yards valued at R9,86 lakhs in 1932-33. Sacking gunny cloth was almost the same in yardage as in the preceding year, being 25 million yards, but the value showed a decline of f 2 lakhs from R 40 lakhs to R38 lakhs. Of the total exports the largest share went, as usual, to the United States of America, whose share however, declined from 692 million yards valued at R6,57 lakhs in 1931-32 to 549 million yarcs valued at R5,10 lakhs in 1932-33. On the other hand, the second largest customer of India for gunny cloth, the Argentine Republic, increased her demand from 105 million yards valued at $\mathrm{R1} 19$ lakhs in the preceding year to 238 million yards valued at R2,47 lakhs in the year under review. Exports to Canada showed a slight increase from 63 million yards to 65 million yards, but the United Kingdom took 5 million yards less than in the preceding year, her share amounting to 57 million yards only. Consiguments to the Philippine Islands and Guam and Australia increased from 16 million yards and 20 million yards in 1931-32 to 21 million yards and 25 million yards respectively in 1932-33. The shares of most other countries showed slight variations. The following table shows the prices of a typical grade of bags and of two of the more important grades of hessians.

Prices of Jute manufactures during 1932-33.


## Exports.

Prices of Jute mannfachures during 1!З:-33-contrl.


Cotton (R20,37 lakhs)*.-The Indian cotton crop of the season 193\%-33 was estimatod at $4,516,000$ bales of 400 lbs . each as compared with $4,025,000$ bales in the preceding year. The American crop of 1932 was estimated at $13,002,000$ bales of 500 lbs . gross weight (equivalent to $16,252.000$ bales of 400 lbs . each) as compared with $17,096,000$ bales of 500 lbs . for 1931. The outturn of Egyptian cotton for $1932-33$ was $1,200,000$ bales of 400 lbs. each, as compared with $1,574,000$ bales in 1931-32.

The prices of American cotton touched the lowest level in history during the year under review. New York cotton futures were quoted at 4.85 cents on the 9 th June as compared with the previous low record of 4.98 cents in the first week of November 1898. The spot quotation in Liverpool on the 10th June was 4.09 d . Except in the third quarter of 1932 , prices were more or less continually on the decline. There was a period of rising prices between the second woek of June and the end of August, but this rise was short-lived and prices fell precipitously after this period. From the point of view of prices of American cotton the financial year may be divided into three periods.

[^5]
## Cotton.

From the beginning of the year till the 10th June prices were more or less continually on the decline. From Junc till the beginning of September prices showed an upward tendency. From this time to almost the end of the year prices were again more or less continually decreasing. The causes for these various movements may be briefly discussed.

The fall in the first two months of the financial year may be attributed to the following causes. Firstly, the deadweight of heavy stocks combined with the steady falling off in American consumption created a poor statistical position which depressed the market. Further, at the opening of the year there was an unhealthy atmosphere in the market, as contidence was rudely shaken by rumours that the American Farm Board intended to commence an active selling policy abroad on easy credit terms. These reports, though subsequently denied, had the effect of unsettling the markets and causing prices to decline. The comparatively bullish sentiment in the market in the second of the two periods was due to reports of unfavourable weather conditions and of weevil damage to the crop. Added to this, the Lausanne Agreement created a feeling of confidence in all markets, especially the stock and wheat markets and this had a bracing effect on all the other markets, including cotton. These two factors coupled with the low estimate of crop given out by the Bureau at the beginning helped to raise prices to a large extent. In the last period from September onwards to the close of the tinancial year prices declined, because, in the first place, the Bureau went on continually increasing its crop estimate, upsetting the market greatly, as the latter was expecting a considerably smaller crop. Further the hopes engendered by the Lausanne Agreement were, to a certain extent, diminished because of the subsequent complications regarding the settlement of War Debts and other important economic factors. The uncertainties of the Presidential election activities added their quota in the unsettling of the markets. The financial and banking crisis in the United States of America also tended to depress all the markets towards the close of the year. All these factors combined to depress prices in the six months from September onwards. Towards the close of the financial year, however, the publication of the International Cotton Spinners' Federation giving half yearly figures of consumption of raw cotton strengthened the market, as the estimate indicated an increase in the consumption of American cotton in the world as a whole.

Coming to a detailed analysis of the price quotations as has been remarked above, when the year opened the market was demoralised by the rumours that the American Farm Board intended to commence an active selling policy abroad on easy credit terms. Further, the failure of the American Congress to balance the budget was responsible to a large degree for the appreciation of sterling in relation to the dollar and the sharp rise in exchange had also a further disturbing effect on the Liverpool market. The year opened with a quotation of $4 \cdot 81 d$. per lb . for spot middling American in Liverpool and after a short rally to $\overline{0} d$. on the l5th of the month prices continued their downward course. Conditions in May were hardly better; and this was due to very poor cousumption in the United States bringing prices to lower levels until the quotation dropped to $4.09 d$. on the 10th June. lirom that date onwards there was a steadying tendency due to unfavourable weather reports and also the possibility of weevil damage. There was,

## Exports.

further, an anticipation of smaller acreage. The strong upward movement during June culminated on the 8th July in a quotation of $4.87 d$.; but subsequently there was a sharp reaction and prices declined to some extent. In the last week of the month American prices made a good recovery due mainly to the better tone in the New York stock exchange as a result of the successful completion of the Lausaune Agreement and the success of the British conversion operation. This optimism was felt in other markets also such as for wheat, and coupled with unfavourable reports regarding weather conditions and weevil, prices of cotton showed an upward tendency. The August Bureau report, which gave the first official estimate of the outturn, forecast a crop considerably less than the crop in the previous year which was what the market was expecting. This gave an especial stimulus to prices and, further, reports of unfavourable weather conditions and weevil infestation, ospecially in the Eastern and Central Belts, strengthened the tendency. The result was that prices went on increasing from week to week until the highest price of the year, $6 \cdot 57$ d.. was quoted on September 2. The Bureau report published at the end of the first week of September forecast a crop of $11 \cdot 3$ million bales which was considerably above what the market expected. The unfavourable weathor conditions had given rise to an expectation of lower yield, but as this had been falsified, prices began to decline very sharply. The Bureau report of October 8 further increased the crop estimate against the general expectation of trade and this produced a further decline in prices. By the end of October prices had dropped to $5 \cdot 6 \cdot d$. The beginning of November saw a fresh weakening of prices which touched $5 \cdot 39 d$. on the 4th. Subsequently the quotation took an upward turn and rose to $5.61 d$. on the 18th of November. The improvement, however, was short-lived and prices again showed a declining tendency towards the end of the month. Continued discussions of the various proposals for farm relief legislation, combined with the uncertaintics of the trend of exchange in December and January, produced a chaos in the market, resulting in erratic quotations. By the begiming of February prices had fallen to 4.94d. During the next week prices rallied to $5 \cdot 09 \mathrm{~d}$., but the banking crisis in the United States soon after this brought down prices and by the beginning of March the quotation fell to $4 \cdot 79 \mathrm{~d}$. The publication of the half yearly figures of consumption of Amerieau cotton by the International Federation of Spinners created a hopeful outlook in the market, as it showed an increase in the consumption of American cotton as compared with tho previous six months. This put fresh life into the market and prices rose in two weeks to $5 \cdot 26 d$. In the last two weeks of the year, howover, they deelined by over 10 points.

As in the previous year, the interesting feature regarding Indian cotton during the year under review was the higher parity for Indian staple cotton when compared with American cotton. The chief cause for this high parity was probably the expectation of a strong demand for home consumption as the mills were expected to produce a very heavy yardage of oloth. Moreover, the crop of the year under review was not a large one and coupling this with the short crops of the two preceding seasons, the statistical position may be said to have been a favourable one, which made for comparatively good prices. The consumption of Indian cotton in the home market has been
onsiderable in recent years. Moreover, the import duty on foreign cotton clped to raise the price of Indian cotton to some extent. All these actors supported the parity of Indian cotton, though at a slightly lower svel than in the previous year. This is brought out in the statement below thich compares the prices at Liverpool of middling American and fine Broach ad gives the percentage parity of Broach as compared with American cotton.
'rices of Indian and American colton at Liverpool with parities (per cent of Indian on American).

|  | Pajob per lim. |  | PARITIES (per cent of Indian on Amerlcant). | - | Prior per lb. |  | paritiles <br> (per cent of Indinn on Amorloan). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MiddLing American. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flno } \\ & \text { Broach. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Midding Amerlcan. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fine } \\ \text { Broach. } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | $d$. | . d. |  | 2 | d. | d. |  |
| April 1 | 4.81 | 4.53 | 94-2 | October 7 | $5 \cdot 84$ | 5.51 | 94-3 |
| , 8 | $4 \cdot 73$ | $4 \cdot 48$ | 94.7 | 14 | $5 \cdot 43$ | $5 \cdot 03$ | 92-6; |
| " 15 | $5 \cdot 00$ | 4.71 | $94 \cdot 2$ | 21 | $5 \cdot 46$ | $5 \cdot 07$ | $92 \cdot 9$ |
| " 22 | 4.95 | $4 \cdot 64$ | $93 \cdot 7$ | " 28 | $5 \cdot 62$ | $5 \cdot 27$ | $93 \cdot 8$ |
| \% 29 | $4 \cdot 82$ | $4 \cdot 54$ | 94-2 | November 4 | $5 \cdot 39$ | 5.08 | $94 \cdot 2$ |
| May 6 | $4 \cdot 53$ | $4 \cdot 21$ | 02.9 | " 11 | $5 \cdot 60$ | $5 \cdot 30$ | $94 \cdot 6$ |
| ., 13 | 4.58 | $4 \cdot 24$ | 92.6 | 18 | $5 \cdot 61$ | 5-31 | 94.7 |
| " 20 | $4 \cdot 53$ | 4-19 | $92 \cdot 5$ | , 25 | $5 \cdot 44$ | $5 \cdot 16$ | $94 \cdot 9$ |
| " 27 | $4 \cdot 45$ | 4-10 | 02.1 | December 2 | $5 \cdot 30$ | $5 \cdot 01$ | $94 \cdot 5$ |
| June 3 | $4 \cdot 10$ | $3 \cdot 81$ | 91.4 | " 9 | $5 \cdot 04$ | $4 \cdot 76$ | $94 \cdot 4$ |
| , 10 | $4 \cdot 09$ | $3 \cdot 74$ | 91.4 | " 16 | $5 \cdot 26$ | $4 \cdot 97$ | 94-5 |
| " 17 | $4 \cdot 31$ | 3.94 | 92.5 | " 23 | $5 \cdot 07$ | $4 \cdot 80$ | 94-7 |
| , 24 | $4 \cdot 41$ | 4.08 | $92 \cdot 5$ | " 30 | $5 \cdot 29$ | 5.01 | 94.7 |
| July I | $4 \cdot 65$ | $4 \cdot 30$ | 92.5 | 1033- |  |  |  |
| " 8 | $4 \cdot 87$ | 4.49 | 02.2 | January 6 | $5 \cdot 33$ | 5.07 | $95 \cdot 1$ |
| " 16 | $4 \cdot 66$ | $4 \cdot 27$ | 91.6 | , 13 | $5 \cdot 30$ | 5.03 | 94.9 |
| " 22 | $4 \cdot 60$ | $4 \cdot 32$ | $04 \cdot 7$ | 90 | $5 \cdot 25$ | 4.09 | 95.0 |
| " 29 | $4 \cdot 67$ | 4.42 | 94-6 | 27 | $5 \cdot 15$ | $4 \cdot 89$ | $95 \cdot 1$ |
| Angust 5 | $4 \cdot 69$ | $4 \cdot 37$ | $03 \cdot 2$ | Felruary 3 | $4 \cdot 94$ | $4 \cdot 67$ | 94.5 |
| , 12 | $5 \cdot 51$ | $5 \cdot 22$ | $94 \cdot 7$ | " 10 | 5.09 | $4 \cdot 81$ | $94 \cdot 5$ |
| " 19 | 5.76 | $5 \cdot 45$ | 114-6 | 17 | $4 \cdot 95$ | $4 \cdot 67$ | $94 \cdot 3$ |
| " 26 | (i.45) | 6.60 | 94-4 | 24 | 4.05 | $4 \cdot 71$ | 05.2 |
| Suptember 2 | 6-57 | 15.2] | 84.5 | March 3 | 4.79 | $4 \cdot 37$ | 01.2 |
| " 9 | 6.38 | 6.07 | 95.1 | " 10 | $5 \cdot 17$ | $4 \cdot 75$ | 91-6 |
| " 16 | $5 \cdot 88$ | $5 \cdot 60$ | $95 \cdot 2$ | " 17 | 5.26 | $4 \cdot 75$ | $90 \cdot 3$ |
| " 23 | 6.07 | $5 \cdot 80$ | 05.6 | $\because \quad 24$ | $5 \cdot 13$ | $4 \cdot 56$ | 88.9 |
| $\cdots 30$ | $2 \cdot 73$ | $5 \cdot 39$ | $0.4 \cdot 1$ | 31 | $5 \cdot 15$ | $4 \cdot 42$ | 85.8 |

From this statement it will be seen that the parity stood at 94 per cent on he 1st April. With a slight rise in the next week it went on decreasing slowly ill on the 3rd of June it reached $91 \cdot 4$. From then onwards, with slight ups ad downs, the parity rose to $95 \cdot 6$ on the 23 rd of September. There nas again . decline up to the middle of October when the parity fell to $92 \cdot 6$, but rom the beginning of November till the end of February the parity figure emained about 94 to 95 . In March, however, there was a sharp decline nd on the 31st March the figure dropped to $85 \cdot 8$. For the greater part of he year, therefore, it will be seen that the parity was round about 94 .

Coming to a detailed analysis, the price of Broach M. G. Fully Good t Bombay stood at R187 per candy of 784 lbs . on the 1st of April 1932 The

## Exports.

unsold stocks at the ports were gradually increasing as a result of the absence of demand from abroad arising out of the high parity of Indian cotton and as this combined with the lessened demand for local consumption. prices tended to fall. There were a few rallies on occasions due mostly to speoulative buying by bazar operators; but these were of very brief duration. The position became worse on the receipt of reports that the mill situation had been deteriorating in America, Japan and also in Europe. All these factors combined to depress prices and these dropped to R150 on June 9. About this time, in sympathy with the prices of American cotton, prices took an upward turn in the Bombay market also and by the middle of the month they had reached R157-8-0. The improved economic outlook created by the Lausanne Conference, which had acted as a stimulant to the world markets, had its effect on Indian prices also and in sympathy with American cotton the prices of Broach cotton went on increasing till by the 2nd of September the quotation had reached R244-8-0. The decision of the Government of India to refer to the Tariff. Board the question of granting additional protection to the Indian mill industry on account of the Japanese competition had an additional bullish effect on the market. Further, the Japanese shippers increased their purchases as there was a fear of a further lowering of the rupee-yen exchange. From September to February, however, in sympathy with the American prices Indian prices also declived considerably. From R244-8-0 on the 2nd Scptember the prices dropped to fl199 on the 14th of October. There was a slight rally which took prices back to A 213 on the 27 th October and they remained near about this level till the middle of November. From that time onwards. however, prices showed a continually sagging tendency and by the 3rd of March the quotation had dropped to $\mathrm{Xl75-4-0}$. The rise in American prices at the beginning of March was also reflected in Indian prices which rose to R187-8 on the 17th March. By 31st March, however, prices had again fallen to R174-8.

The comparatively high parity of Indian cotton led to its gradual replacement by American cotton in Japan and in the Orient in general. The world consumption of Indian cotton for the 12 months ending January 31, 1933 amounted to just over 4 million bales and was far short of the normal annual consumption of $5 \frac{1}{2}$ million bales. The high parity thus discouraged the consumption of Indian cotton abroad. Consequently, exports of Indian cotton naturally decreased in the year under review as compared with the preceding year. Exports of Indian cotton in 1932-33 amounted to $2,063,000$ bales as compared with $2,369.000$ bales in 1931-32 and 4,070,000 bales in 19:99-30; and 1932-33 gave the lowest figure of export in the post-War period. The value of the exports amounted to $\mathrm{R} 20,37$ lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{f} 23,45$ lakhs in the preceding year. Japan, as usual, was India's biggest customer and her share amounted to $1,085,001$ bales valued at fl1, 12 lakhs as compared with $1,080,0 \% 0$ bales valued at R11,05 lakhs in the preceding year. China took only 134,000 bales valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,33$ lakhs as compared with 437,000 bales valued at R4,52 lakhs. The United Kingdom slightly increased her takings to 167,000 bales valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,61$ lakhs as compared with 166,000 bales valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,54$ lakhs in the preceding year. Exports to Italy declined from 183,000 bales valued at R1,62 lakhs in 1931-32 to 150,000 bales valued at R1,44

## Cotton.

lakhs in 1932-33. Exports to Belgium, France and Spain rose from 121,000, 81,000 and 45,000 bales to $129,000,124,000$ and 52,000 bales respectively. The following statement gives the monthly exports of Indian cotton during the last five years together with the pre-War average.

Exports of Indian cotton in bales of 400 lbs .

|  | Pre-war average 1900-14 | 1928-29 | 18:9-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April . | 303,600 | 323,600 | 386,300 | 424,700 | 307,300 | 95,000 |
| May | 248,800 | 372,860 | 404,900 | 341,700 | 283,400 | 135,400 |
| Jane | 218,900 | 304,900 | :182,200 | 244,500 | 200.600 | 121,800 |
| July | 190,100 | 285,200 | 318,700 | 258,900 | 212,300 | 100,400 |
| August | 110,300 | 216,000 | 231,300 | 210,700 | 259,900 | 83,300 |
| September | 75,300 | 191,200 | 211,400 | 296,800 | 111,200 | 163,100 |
| October | 66,800 | 254,000 | 176,160 | 2:3,700 | 111,300 | 120,500 |
| November | 101,400 | 175,700 | 207, 200 | 226,900 | 135,900 | 121,500 |
| December | 158,200 | 272,300 | 297, i00 | 357,000 | 191,400 | 164,600 |
| January | 319,800 | 400,200 | 452,700 | 438,900 | 168,000 | 267,200 |
| February | 318,300 | 356,300 | 493,500 | 433,300 | 159,700 | 373,800 |
| March | 295,800 | 559,500 | 508,500 | 438,900 | 168,200 | 316,100 |
| Total | 2,407,300 | 3,711,700 | 4,070,400 | 3,90,000 | 2,369,200 | 2,002,600 |

Exports from Bombay amounted to 56 per cent of the total quantity of raw cotton exported from India and those from Karachi were 35 per cent and from Madras 3 per cent as compared with 64, 30 and 3 per cent respectively in 1931-32.

Imports of raw cotton into India during 1933-33 increased to some extent as compared with the preceding year. As was remarked in the previous issues of the Review, this continuous increase in the last three years was due to the policy of the Indian mills to spin finer yarn in order to replace imports of yarns of higher counts and of finer cloth from abroad. Imports of raw cotton into India amounted to 85,000 tons valued at R7,26 lakhs as compared with 79.000 tons valued at R7,03 lakhs in 1931-30. This increase was due to larger imports from the United States of America which sent 46,000 tons valued at R3, 85 lakhs in the year under review as compared with 29,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,24$ lakhs in the preceding year. Consignments from Kenya Colony (mostly Uganda cotton) and Egypt showed considerable declines from 32,000 tons and 15.000 tons in 1931-32 to 21,000 tons and 9.000 tons respectively in 193\%-33. The quantity of foreign cotton re-exported from Bombay during the last five years is shown in the following statement.

|  | From Bombsy |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1928-29 | 1829-30 | 1:30.31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| To Jspan <br> " United Kingdom <br> " Other countrics | $\dot{B}_{3} \mathrm{Jcg}$ | Brales | 13ales | Bales | [3nlos |
|  | 1,120 | 4,480 | $\cdots$ |  | 208 |
|  | 1,120 | 3,360 | 220 | 152 | 344 |
|  | 560 | .. | 310 | 638 | 25 |
| Total | 2,800 | 7,840 | 530 | 780 | 8.37 |

## Exports.

It will be seen that re-exports of foreign cotton from India amounted to 667 bales in the year under review as compared with 790 bales in the preceding year and 7,840 bales in 1929-30. This shows that the trade under this article is showing no signs of revival.

During the cotton season 1932-33, 2,478,000 bales of raw cotton were received in Bombay up to the end of July 1933 as against 1,994,000 bales in the corresponding period of the previous season. The estimated stock of raw cotton held by exporters, dealers and mills in Bombay on the 31st August 1932 was 698,000 bales as compared with 685,000 bales on the 31st August 1931. Stocks on 6th April 1933 were 826,000 bales as compared with 635,000 bales on the corresponding date of the preceding year. According to the Indian Central Cotton Committee, the mill consumption of Indian cotton during the past four fiscal years was as follows :-

## Consumption of Indian taso cotton in Indian mills.

(In bales of 400 lbs .)

| $\cdots$ | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bombay Island | 682,598 | 672,249 | 060,632 | 670,501 |
| Ahmedabad . | 345,549 | 317,229 | 326,710 | 335,6411 |
| Bombay Presidency | 1,222,745 | 1,174,666 | 1,187,254 | 1,104,738 |
| Madras . - | 210.517 | 213,243 | 235,929 | -189,430 |
| United Provinces | 214,374 | 236,834 | $\because 49,673$ | 205,538 |
| Central Provinces and Berar | 123,349 | 120,818 | -16,186 | 118,517 |
| Bengal - . | 93,987 | 96,135 | 96,453 | 107,327 |
| Panjab and Delhi . | 62,217 | 69,146 | 82,060 | 88,852 |
| Rest of British India | 23,633 | 26,058 | -9,302 | 31,736 |
| Total British India | 1,051,157 | 1,936,9 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.996.857 | 2,006,138 |
| Total Indian States | :96,075( $\sigma$ ) | 329,419(a) | 344,855 | 374,828 |
| 'Total India | $2,248,132$ | 2,260,310 | 2,341,712 | 2,378,966 |

(a) Part calculated from yarn production, rest actual rive cotton consumption reportad.

Cotton manufactures (R3,29 lakhs). -The outstanding feature of tho year under review for the Indian cotton mill industry was the very severe competition of imports from Japan and the depressing effect it had on the industry as a whole. The extensive depreciation of the rupee-yen exchange enabled Japan to sell its goods at very lov prices. Moreover, the continuance of the economic depression made price the predominant factor in the purchases of the ryot in India. As a result, the Indian mills had to cut their prices, and some of them had to reduce production. The Government of India who were alive to the situation resulting from the pressure of Japanese competition referred the matter to the Tariff Board for special enquiry; and, as a result of the Board's findings, the import duty on cotton piecegouds unt of British manufacture was raised to 50 per cent ad valorem with a minimum splecific duty of $5 \frac{3}{4}$ as. per pound in the case of plain grey goods. In the meanwhile the statutory enquiry by the I'ariff Board
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regarding the continuance of protection to the cotton textile industry was completed and the Board's report is under the consideration of the Government of India. The increased duties on Japanese imports were not, however, entirely effective as in the meantime the yen depreciated still further. The year, therefore, was not in any way distinctly favourable for the cotton mill industry. Prices of rav cotton were for the major portion of the year on the downward trend and this depreciated the value of the stocks of piecegoods aud made buyers unwilling to place large orders. As a result of all thesefnctors the offtake of the Indian mill products suffered considerably and stocks were being piled up from month to month. The stocks at the beginning of April 1932 were 382 million yards; by April 1933 they had grown to 601 million yards. In spite of all these factors the production of Indian mills increased considerably as compared with the preceding year and, in fact, the production touched the highest figure ever reached in India. But it can be seen from the table given on pago 31 that the production of mills in the Bombay Island decreased. The increase was chicfly in the case of Ahmedabad and, to some extent, in the case of the rust of India. The financial results of the increased production were to some extent disappointing, because prices had to be cut to a minimum owing mainly to severe Japanese competition, to economic depression and to over-production. On the whole, therefore, the year was a difficuit one for the Iudian cotton mill industry, though it can be safely said that the mill industry did not suffer to the same extent as agriculture and in some places it was indeed doing fairly well. Outside the Bombay Island many of the mills were making profits and especially in the case of Ahmedabad, the fact that new mills were being started leads to the in ference that the industry there was in a comparatively healthy state.

The production of yarn in the mills in India in 1932-33 amounted to 1,016 million lbs. and constitutes a new record, the previous record being a figure of 966 million lbs. The details of production by counts are given below in millions of lbs. :-

| Counts | 1913.14 | 1926-27 | 1927.28 | 1928-29 | 1929.30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nos. 1-10 | 131 | 115 | 1 co | 79 | 106 | 113 | 117 | 115 |
| , 11-20 | 362 | 401 | 388 | 303 | 388 | 400 | 445 | 4 4 |
| " ${ }^{21-30}$ | 167 | 248 | 263 | 213 | 272 | 200 | 20. | 297 |
| , ${ }^{31-40}$ | 20) | 28 | 34 | 37 | 46 | 61 | 71 | 77 |
| Alove 40 | 3 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 27 | 34 | 37 |
| Wastos | .. | 4 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 6 |

The production of counts 1-10 slightly decreased as compared with the preceding year, but that of $11-20$ showed an increase of 39 million lbs. The Cotton yarn (R79 lakhs). production of counts 21-30 went up from 294 million lbs. in 1931-32 to 297 million lbs. in 1932-33, and for the same period the production of counts 31-40 increased by 6 million lbs. to 77 million lbs. Production of counts above 40 showed a slight increase of 3 million lbs. from 34 million to 37 million Ibs. Exports of yarn

## Exports.

amounted to 15 million lbs. as compared with 22 million lbs. in the preced ${ }^{-}$ ing year, showing a decrease of 7 million lls. The average exports in the five years ending 1913-1t were 193 million lbs., while the average of the War period was 130 million lbs. and of the post-War quinquennium 82 million lhs. The value of yarn exported declined from R1,28 lakhs in 1931-32 to R79 lakhs in 1932-33. Exports to Syria inereased from $2 \cdot 2$ million lbs. to $2 \cdot 3$ million Ibs ., whereas exports to Persia, Egypt, Aden and Dependencies and Iraq showed considerable decreases. Tables No. $32-\mathrm{A}$ and $32-\mathrm{B}$ give further details of the exports of Indian yarn.

The production of cotton piecegoods in Indian mills in 1932-33 increased by 6 per cent as compared with the preceding year and constituted a new record. The proportion of the exports of piecegoods to the total production was $2 \cdot 1$ per cent as compared with $3 \cdot 5$ per cent in $1931-32$ and $5 \cdot 5$ per cent in 1929-30. The actual quantity exported declined from 105 million yards in 1931-32 to 66 million yards in 1932-33, a decline of 39 million yards. The following table sets forth the quantities of piecegoods, grey, white and coloured, exported from $1925-26$ onwards as well as the average exports during the War period.

| . - | (In thousand yards) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | War average | 1025-26 | 1920-27 | 1927-2M | 10:28-20 | 1920-30 | 1030-31 | 1031-39 | 1932-33 |
| Cotton piecesumis - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tirey . | 74,576 | 37,395 | 19,9830 | 17,989 | 10,385 | 15,043 | 0,700 | 8.585 | 4,68: |
| White. | 1,475 |  | 12,550 | 1,355 | 1,379 |  |  | - 203 | 315. |
| Coloured ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80,377 | 120,540 | 175,90: | 149,250 | 131,455 | 117,184 | 87,520 | 05,784 | 01,402 |
| Total | 156,4\%8 | 104,334 | 107.441 | 163,624 | 149,210 | 133,420 | 97,15 | 104,036 | 60,442 |

lixports of white goods showed an increase from 267,000 yards in 1931-32 to 358,000 yards in 1932-33. Exports of coloured goods as well as of grey goods declined in the year under review to 61 and 5 million yards from 96 and 9 million yarls respectively in the preceding year. The progressive decline in the exports of grey goods noted in the previous issues of the Review continued in $193 \div-33$ also. The detailed figures of production and exports for the past three years and for 1913-14 are given below :-

Production in Indian mills.

| - | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1013.14 } \\ \text { (pre.War yea1) } \end{gathered}$ | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grey and bleached piecegoods- | Million yards $292 \cdot 5$ | Million yards $1543 \cdot 2$ | Million yards $790 \cdot 9$ | Million yards $748 \cdot 0$ |
| Shirtings and longeloth |  |  |  | 748.0 |
| Chadars . . . | $68 \cdot 9$ | $54 \cdot 0$ | 55.7 | 58.0 |
| Dhutis . . | 284.8 | \$31.4 | $964 \cdot 6$ | 1,006 8 |
| 'T. cloth domustics and sheetings . | 128.9 | 121.9 | 154.4 | $1+3.7$ |
| Drills and jeans . . . . | 27.8 | $80 \cdot 7$ | 103.2 | 112.9 |
| Other sorts | 68.5 | $273 \cdot 3$ | 242.3 | $263 \cdot 0$ |
| Culoured piocegoods . Total | 872.4 2919 | $2,003 \cdot 5$ $557 \cdot 6$ | $2,311 \cdot 1$ $078 \cdot 8$ | $2,423 \cdot 0$ 746.9 |
| 'Tutal pigugooods | 1,104*3 | 2,561-1 | 2,080• 9 | 3,164.9 |

Chart showing the production, imports and exports of cotton piecegoods during the years 1923-24 to 1932-33 as compared with the averages of the pre-war, war and post-war periods.


## Cotton.

Exports.

| - | $\begin{gathered} 1913-14 \\ \text { (pre-War } \\ \text { yeat) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grey and bleaohed piocegoods- | Million yards | Million yards | Million yards | Million yards |
| Sbirtings - ${ }^{\circ}$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | 2.9 | $4 \cdot 1$ | 1.7 |
| Chadars and dhutiea . | $7 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | 1.9 |
| T. oloth and domeatics . | 21.6 | $1 \cdot 3$ | - 6 | $\cdot 4$ |
| Drills and jeans . . | $\cdot 6$ | $\cdot 1$ | $\cdot 1$ | $\cdot 2$ |
| Other eorts . . . | $12 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | 1.7 | -8 |
| Total | 44-2 | 10.2 | $8 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 0$ |
| Coloured piecegoods . | 45.0 | 87.5 | 95.8 | $61 \cdot 4$ |
| Total piegrgoods | $80 \cdot 2$ | 97.7 | $101 \cdot 6$ | 66-1 |

The exports of Indian piecegoods declined from l05 million yards to 66 million yards in quantity and from $\mathrm{A} 3,24$ lakhs to R2,09 lakhs in value. Exports to most of the countries showed considerable decreases. Persia took only 8 million yards in the year under review as compared with 20 million yards in the preceding year. Similarly, exports to Ceylon amounted to 13 million yards in 1932-33 as compared with 17 million yards in 1931-32. The shares of Iraq, Tanganyika Territory and Aralia declined from 13, 11 and 6 million yards in 1931-32 to 5,6 and 4 million yards respectively in 1932-33. The shares of most other countries also showed declines.

The total value of the piecegoods exported in 1932-33 declined from 123,24 lakhs to $\mathrm{X2} 2,09$ lakhs. Grey goods accounted for R13 lakhs, coloured goods R1,95 lakhs and white goods for a little over Fl 1 lakh.

The following tahles show the average declared values per yard of the different classes of imported and exported piecegoods in 1913-14 and the last five years-

Imponted piecegoods.


Indian piecegoods exported.

|  |  |  | 1913-14 | 1 4228.29 | 1929-30 | 1930.31 | 1931-32 | 1032-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 7 A. P. | R A. P. | f. A. P. | R A. P. | f. A. P. | f 4.1. |
| Grey | - $\quad$ | - . | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 2 & 7\end{array}$ | 0 | $0{ }^{0} 488$ | 0 4.4 | 0 | 0 O 4 |
| White | - | - . | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 5 & 10 \\ 0 & 5 & 11\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 5 & 11 \\ 0 & 5 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 6 & 4\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 5 & 9\end{array}$ | 0 0 50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 057 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 5 & 0\end{array}$ | 051 |

## Exports.

As in previous years, the declared values of exported piecegoods were higher than those of imported piecegoods and this indicates the principal cause of the retrogression of the Indian piccegoods trade in overseas markets in recent years. It may be noted that the declared value of Indian piecegoods exported decreased in the case of white goods only, which form a relatively small proportion of the total exports of cotton piecegoods, whereas it remained at the same level in the case of grey goods and actually showed an increase of one pie per yard in the case of coloured goods.

- In the following table a rough estimate is made of the quantity of mill made cloth which was available for consumption in India during the year 1913-14 and the last five years. Owing to the existence of numerous markets and scattered demands it is impossible in this table to take stocks into calculation.

|  | $\qquad$ | 1928-29 | 1929-30 | 1030-31 | 1031-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indian mill production | Million yards 1,164•3 | Million yards 1,893.3 | Miilion yardz 2,419:0 | Million <br> yards <br> 2,56i•1 | Million yards 2,989.9 | Million yards 3,160. 9 |
| Imported goods . | 3,197•1 | 1,936.8 | 1,919 3 | $800 \cdot 0$ | $775 \cdot 6$ | 1,2253 |
| Total phoduction and Jmports - | 4,361-4 | 3,830-1 | 4,338•3 | 3,451•1 | 3,765•5 | 4,306*2 |
| Exported, Indian " Foreign | $89 \cdot 2$ 62.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 149 \cdot 2 \\ 24 \cdot 2 \end{array}$ | 133.4 22.2 | 97.7 174 | $\begin{array}{r} 104 \cdot 6 \\ 15 \cdot 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \cdot 4 \\ & 21 \cdot 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Expmrts | $151 \cdot 3$ | $173 \cdot 4$ | 155.6 | $115 \cdot 1$ | 120.3 | 88.0 |
| Balanot ayailable | 4,210'1 | 3,656 7 | 4,182.7 | $3,336 \cdot 0$ | 3,645•2 | $4,307 \cdot 2$ |

Tea (R17,15 lakhs).-The tea industry in the year under review has passed through one of the worst years. In the first place, the deepening of the economic depression meant a much reduced effective demand for tea. But in addition, the industry had its own peculiar problems brought about by over-production. As a result, the producers of tea all the world over were faced with declining prices and an ever-increasing accumulation of stocks. The demand for tea was becoming weaker and weaker and consumption was dwindling. As a result, there was even a more catastrophic slump in tea prices than in the previous year. The average price of tea per lb. realised at the Calcutta auction sales during 1932-33 was $5 \mathrm{as} .2 p$. as against 6 as .5 p . in 1931-32 and 9 as. 4 p. in 1930-31. Prices in the London market were also phenomenally less; the average price of Indian teas for the year had declined from 11.77d. in 1931 to $9 \cdot 29$. This great fall is to be accounted for by the accumulation of huge stocks of Indian tea in London and also a very weak demand for such teas. On the 30th October 1932 stocks of tea in London stood at 239 million lbs. as compared with 207 million lbs. on the same date in 1931. The preference granted to Empire teas did not prove sufficiently effective to check the consumption of cheap Java teas, as the price factor has generally a decisive pull in times of great economic depression. Apart from these factors of over-production and weaker demand, another feature of the year also adversely affected the trade in India. Throughout the year there was only a small difference between the prices

## Tea.

realised in the Calcutta auctions for medium and comnion teas and this had a most injurious effect on the trade. No inducement was forthcoming to make good teas and the result was an excessive production of teas of indifferent quality, both as to leaf and liquor. These heavy stocks of poor quality tea were bound to affect the consumption of Indian tea and thus to make revival more difficult. To add to the troubles, the production of Indian tea in the year under review considerably exceeded that of the preceding year. This, coupled with over-production all the world over, heavy stocks and weak demand, made the position of the industry very critical. It became obvious that unless some drastic remedies were applied in time, a large section of the industry would be faced with ruin. As a result of negotiations between the three interests mainly concerned, namely, the Indian, Ceylon, and Netherlands East Indies producers a scheme was drawn up to restrict the exports of the principal producing countries for a period of five years, beginning with 1933 during which period extensions of cultivation were not to be permitted beyond $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of the present planted area and the expot of tea seed was also to be prohibited. The parties agreed not to export more than a given quantity in any year, the figure to be reviewed annually. The reduction proposed for the first year was 15 per cent on the quantity exported during any of the years 1929 to 1931, while the question of internal consumption was left to each individual country to decide. Selecting their years of largest exports, India and Ceylon exercised their option on the season 1929, and Java and Sumatra on 1931. On this basis, the following are the quantities available for export under the scheme for 1933 compared with the total exports for the past five years:-
(Million lber.)

|  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

It may be mentioned that control in India is confined to overseas exports ouly for which the proportionate allotment is approximately as shown in the table above. The scheme has given rise to new hopes in the tea industry. With the control of exports which is expected to lead to some reduction of production later, it is hoped that the industry will again start on a period of prosperity. Further, with restricted crops for the next five years it may be hoped that the quality of the tea prolluced will improve and this will help it in competition with other drinds, such as coffee, etc. The prospect for the industry for the new year seems, therefore, to be one of subdued optimism if all the interests concerned work the restriction schemo with all its implications in the right spirit.

Coming to the production of tea in India, the total outturn for the season 1932-33 amounted to 433 million lbs. as compared with 394 million lbs. in

## Exports.

the preceding scason. The increase was primarily due to the exceptionally favourable climatic conditions in the Dooars and, to a less extent, in Sylhet and to the definite policy of quite a number of large producers of common teas to pluck coarse in order to reduce the cost of production. In April and the first half of May a drought was experienced in all districts, except Sylhet and Cachar, but good rains in the second half of May more than made up for the damage done. The production of these two months was slightly more than in the corresponding period of the previous year. In general, there was an increase of $9 \frac{3}{4}$ million lbs., whereas July showed a decrease of 1 million lbs. on the previous year. Considering the fact that there was a considerable reduction in expenditure on manuring, ete., it was expected that the 1932 crop would be of reasonable dimensions. These hopes were, however, completely frustrated in the month of August. The climatic conditions were favourable all round and a few of the interests concerned decided to adopt the policy of increasing outturn to reduce costs. The result was an inerease of no less than $14 \frac{1}{4}$ million lbs. for the month, the outturn being one of 71 million lbs. as compared with the record monthly crop of 711 million lbs. in August 1929. September again proved favourable in the Dooars and October was also favourable for production. Heavy rains early in November definitely set the seal to a large crop. The general standard of quality was on the low side. The quality of Darjeeling tea was below the average, as the weather there was unfavourable. Stalk had been too much in evidence and the quantity of really black leaf tea had been extremely small. When prices are low there is always a tendency to neglect quality; but it may be remarked that, when all things are considered, the quality of the crop in the year under review maintained a fairly reasonable level. It may be stated, however, that in no other year has quality ever been so little appreciated, nor has there ever been so little inducement to make good teas as in the year under review.

The total production of tea in India in 1932 was estimated, as remarked above, at 433 million lhs. as compared with 394 million lbs. in 1931, 391 million lls. in 1930 and 433 million lbs. in 1929 . As usual, Assam contributed the largest share, 1 iz., 257 million lbs. or 60 per cent of the total output and Southern India 62 million lbs. or 14 per cent. Production in Assam increased by 13.8 million lbs. and in the rest of Northern India by about $19 \cdot 6$ million lbs. The total area under tea in 1932 was 807,500 acres as against 806,700 acres in 1931. The progress of the inclustry in recent years can be seen from the figures given below:-

Acreage.

|  | 1906 | 1915 | 1927 | 19928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acres | Acres | Acres | Acrex | Acres | Acrep | Acres | Acres |
| Agram | 339,200 | $3 \times 2.8146$ | 42.4100 | 427,200 | 424. 500 | 4:20,9419 | 431,000 | 428.100 |
| Heat of Northern India | 153,940 | 181.310 | 214,3.0 | 220,100 | 222,8 0 | 227,200 | $22 \cdot 606$ | 226,600 |
| Southern India | 37,600 | 68,030 | 117,700 | 127.800 | 135,7(m) | 1.13,400 | 149,100 | 152,800 |
| Totat | 530,700 | 632,100 | 756,000 | 775,900 | 788,600 | 803,500 | 806,700 | 807,500 |

[^6]Chart showing the weekly district average prices of tea realised at the auction sales in Calcutta.


Tea.
Production.

| - - | 1906 | 1915 | 1927 | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | lbs. | 1 be. | lbe. | 1 bs . | lbs. | lbs. | lbs. | lbs. |
|  | (1,000) | $(1,000)$ | ( 1,000 ) | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | (1,000! | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ |
| Ageam | 162,468 | 215,752 | 235,888 | 246,018 | 258,9.12 | 233,416 | 243,229 | 257,058 |
| Kest of Northern India | 64,216 | 94,695 | 101,923 | 100,864 | 115,6\% | 102,769 | 94,252 | 113,847 |
| Southern India | 14,251 | 31,610 | 53,109 | 57,271 | 58,273 | 54,896 | 56,603 | 62,509 |
| Total | 240,965 | 372,057 | 390,920 | 404,153 | 432,842 | 391,081 | 394,084 | 433,414 |

- Subject to revision.

The variations in exports are given in the table below :-
Exports of tea by sea to foreign countries.


In 193 $2-33,87$ per cent of the total quantity of tea produced in India was exported overseas.

The auction sales of tea at Calcutta commenced on the 6th June 1932 and altogether there were 34 sales during the season, the same number as in the preceding season. The number of packages of tea sold during the 193:-33 season (excluding dust, second hand and damaged teas) amounted to 773,000 as compared with 746,000 in 1931-32. The average price of tea per lb. for the season, as already stated, was 5 as. $2 p$. as compared with 6 as. $5 p$. in 1931-32 and 9 as. $4 p$. in 1930-31. The number of packages of dust sold during $1932-33$ was 263,000 , a record figure, as against 215,000 in 1931-32. The average price for dust, however, was the lowest since 1921-22, being only $4 a s .1 p$. per lb., but it was above the average price for dust in 1920-21 when it had come down to 3 as. $6 p$. per lb.

The average weekly prices per lb. realised at Calcutta auction sales during the season are graphed in chart No. 9 and also in the chart on the opposite page where the district weekly averages are exhibited along with the general average. In the first sale of the year under review held on the 6th June the average price realised was $6 a s .6 p$. against 5 as. $1 p$., the closing average

## Exports.

of the preceding season. This fair advance was to be accounted for by the temporary small supply of common tea in face of a strong market. At the next sale, which took place on the 20th June, prices fell by an anna to 5 as. $6 p$. and remained steady at that level until July 4, when a further setback brought it down by another pie. This downward movement for qbout a month was the result of a weak and consistently falling market in London, where huge stocke had been acting as a deadweight. On the 11th July an improvement, though short-lived, raised the price level by $7 p$. to $6 a s$. due to more invoices of good tea from Darjeeling, but soon it came down to 5 as. $10 p$. and showed a downward tendency which continued until the price came to as low a level as 4 as. $3 p$. on the 20th Septemher. This was the lowest price recorded for the rear under review. The chief reasons for this collapse were the ever-increasing supplies and large offers of bad teas. The first three weeks of Octoher sare a slight improvement and prices went up to 5 as. $5 p$. The slight recovery was due to the news of a definite scheme for regulation of output during the next year being adopted by the principal producing countries. The fact that by that time the quality of tea also recorded a distinct improvement partly affected the price. The pressure of ever-increasing unsold stocks re-asserted itself and prices slumped continually, the range of fall varying from $3 p$. to $1 p$. at each sale until the price came down to 4 as. $7 p$. on the 19th December. Subsequently, it remained stationary at that level till the 10th of January, 1933. From the next week, however, there was an upward tendency and 5 as. $10 p$. was quoted on the 6th February. The reason for this advance was the restoration of confidence due to the proposed scheme of restriction of exports. But the end of the season again witnessed a fresh set-back and the sales closed on February 28 with an average price of 5 as. The drop appeared to he due to offerings being largely composed of the end-of-the-season invoices and second-hand tea.

The total shipments of tea during the year recorded an advance of 11 per cent in quantity, but the value fell by 12 per cent. There were no exports of green tea during the year and the entire quantity of 379 million lbs. consisted of black tea. Exports to the United Kingdom improved from 291 million lbs. to 331 million lbs., hut the value recorded a decline from P17 crores to R15 crores. The share of the United Kingdom was 87 per cent of India's total exports as compared with 85 per cent in the preceding year. Re-exports of Indian tea from the United Kingdom amounted to 40 million lbs. in 1932-33 as against 50 million lbs. in 1931-32. Stocks in London amounted to 187 million lbs. at the end of the year as compared with 139 million lbs. at the corresponding date of the preceding year. Re-exports of Indian tea from the United Kingdom to the Irish Free State were 14.6 million lbs. as against 17.8 million lbs. a year ago. Re-exports to Russia amounted to 6.3 million lbs. in the year under review as compared with 3.8 million lbs. in the preceding year. Direct shipments to Russia recorded a slight decline from $3 \cdot 5$ million lbs. to $2 \cdot 9$ million lbs. in 1932-33. Thus the total exports of Indian tea to Russia advanced from 7.3 million lbs. in 1931-32 to 9.2 million lbs. in 1932-33. From the London market other European countries took Indian tea to the extent of 8.7 million lbs. as compared with 10.8 million lbs. in the preceding year. Re-exports from the United Kingdom to the

## Tea.

United States of America contracted from 7.9 million lbs. in 1931-32 to 6 million lbs. in 1932-33. Direct shipments to the United States of America, however, advanced from 9.8 million lhs. to 11.1 million lbs. Thus the total exports to the United States of America declined slightly from 17.7 million lbs. to $17 \cdot 1$ million lbs. Re-exports from the United Kingdom to Canada and Newfoundland fell from 6.9 million lhs. in 1931-32 to 3.2 million lbs. in the year under review. Direct shipments to Canada, however, showed an increase from $14 \cdot 1$ million lbs. to 16.7 million lbs. Exports to China fell away from $1 \cdot 2$ million lbs. to 4,500 lbs. only. Exports to Australia, including New Zealand also dropped from 3.5 million lbs. to 2.8 million lbs. and to Egypt from 3.3 million lbs. to 2 million lbs. Exports to Persia declined from 2 million 1 bs . to 1.5 million lbs.

The share of Calcutta in the export trade was 62 per cent, of Chittagong 24 per cent and of Madras 14 per cent. Shipments from Chittagong amounted to 90 million lbs. Exports from Madras totalled 55 million lbs. The coastwise exports of tea from Bengal advanced from 17.7 million lbs. to 21.9 million lbs.
EThe following table shows in millions of lbs. the exports of tea from the principal producing centres during the past five years :-
(In millions of lbe.)

| - | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern India | 310 | 326 | 300 | 296 | 315 |
| Southern India | 46 | 54 | 48 | 47 | 55 |
| Ceylon | 236 | 261 | 241 | 247 | 253 |
| Java . | 134 | 137 | 135 | 145 | 141 |
| Sumatira | 19 | 23 | 23 | 27 | 29 |
| China | 123 | 126 | 91 | 96 | 87 |
| Jupan | 24 | 24 | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| Formosa . | 20 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 15 |
| Total | 912 | 959 | 386 | 901 | 923 |

Imports of tea into the principal consuming countries from India, Ceylon, China, Japan and Java, as far as information is available, are shown in table No. 61. A reference to the table will show that the percentage of Indian tea included in the total imports of tea into the United Kingdom during 1932 stood at 55 per cent as compared with $51 \cdot 7$ in 1931. The actual quantity of Indian tea imported rose from 277 million lbs. to 312 million lbs. Importo of Ceylon tea into the United Kingdom also advanced from 159 million lbs. to 172 million lbs., while those of Java tea dropped from 86 million lbs. to 74 million lbs. In the case of the United States of America the quantity of Indian tea imported recorded an improvement from 17 million lbs. to 18 million lbs., the percentage share of India, however, declined from 20.1 to $19 \cdot 1$. In Canada there was a revival of the demand for Indian tea and the imports thereof rose from 20 million lbs. ( 60 per cent) to 27 million lbs. ( 66 per cent). In Australia there was a further decline in the imports of

## Exports.

Indian tea from 2.7 milion lbs. to 2.0 million lbs., bringing down the percentage share of India from $6 \cdot 1$ to $4 \cdot 2$.

Imports of foreign tea by sea into British India declined from 7 million lbs. valued at R 44 lakhs to $5 \cdot 8$ million lbs. valued at R35 lakhs. Of the imports 3.4 million lbs. consisted of green tea and the remainder was black tea. Ceylon supplied 1.9 million lbs., Java $202,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. , China $96,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. and the Straits Settlements $79,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. of black tea. Arrivals of green tea from China improved in quantity from 2.7 million lbs. to 2.9 million lbs., but the value stood unchanged at R18 lakhs.

The quantity of tea waste exported for the manufacture of caffeine increased from 2.7 million lbs. to 29 million lbs.. but the value recorded a decline from R2 laklis to about R1t lakhs. Of this the

## Tea waste.

Foodgrains and flour (R16,08 leths).-The exports under this head declined considerably in the year under review, both in quantity and value, as compared with the preceding year. The total quantity of foodgrains and flour exported amounted to $2,056.000$ tons valued at R16,08 lakhs as compared with $2,614,000$ tons valued at R20, 37 lakbs in the preceding year. Exports of rice declined from $2,372.000$ tons to $1,887,000$ tons. Consignments of wheat dwindled to the paltry figure of 2,000 tons as compared with 20,000 tons in the preceding year and 197.000 tons in 1930-31. Decreases were also noticeable in the shipments of wheat flour, barley, and jowar and bajra from $43,000,27.000$ and 59.000 tons in 1931-32 to 21,000 , 17,000 and 16.000 tons respectively in 1932-33. The only increase in exports was in the case of pulses from 93.000 tons to 111,000 tons. There were no exports of maize as in the preceding year. The detailed exports during the past four years, compared with the average exports under each head in the pre-War quinquennium, are given below:--

| - | Pre-war a verage | 1928.29 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1032-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tons (000) | Tons (000) | Tons (000) | Tons (000) | Tons (000 | Tuns (000) |
| Rice not in the hask | 2,398 | 1,765 | 2,298 | 2,254 | 2.301 | 1,828 |
| ') in the husk. | 42 | 51 | 28 | 25 | 70 | 59 |
| Whest - | 1,308 | 115 | 13 | 197 | 20 | 2 |
| P flowr. . | 65 | 54 | -1 | 47 | 43 | 21 |
| Pulse. . | 291 | 114 | 97 | 83 | 93 | 111 |
| Barlay ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 227 | 138 | 6 | 1 | 27 | 17 |
| Jowar and bajrs. | 41 | 42 | 15 | 7 | 59 | 16 |
| Maize - . | ) | 17 | .. | .. | .. | . |
| Other corts. | ( | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Total - Tons (000) | $4.411$ | :3,300 | 2,510 | 2,614 |  | 2,056 |
| Value R(lakhs) | 45,81 | 33,69 | 34,70 | 20,88 | 20,37 | 16,08 |

Rice accounted for 91.8 per cent of the total quantity of foodgrains and flour exported as compared with 90.7 per cent in the preceding year. Wheat and wheat flour contributed 1.1 per cent as against 2.4 per cent, barley 0.8 per cent as against 1 per cent, and pulse $5 \cdot 4$ per cent as against $3 \cdot 6$ per cent in 1931-32.

## Foodgrains.

The statement below compares the total production of rice in India and Burma during the past six years and the pre-War year with the total exports during the same periods:-
Total outurn of rice in India and Burma and total exports by sea to foreign countries.
[In thousand tons]


- Subject to revis:on.

The production of cleaned rice in $1932-33$ was $2,333,000$ tons less than in the preceding year. Exports of rice and paddy showed a decline of 484,000 tons as compared with 1931-32. Of the important rice growng provinces, Burma alone possesses a large exportable surplus. The total exports from Burma in 1932-33 were $1,657,000$ tons as compared with $2,134,000$ tons in the preceding year and her share of the total foreign exports of rice from India was 88 per cent in 1932-33 as compared with 90 per cent in 193132. Bengal contributed 6 per cent and Madras 4 per cent as against 5 and 3 per cent respectively in the preceding year. Exports from India, including Burma, formed 6 per cent of the total estimated production as compared with 7 per cent in the preceding year.

Prices of rice during the year 1932-33 reached a new low level as compared even with the disastrously low level of the preceding year. This low level was largely due to the general depression which affected the prices of agricultural commodities very seriously, but the rice trade had its own peculiar difficulties affecting prices at different periods during the year. These causes may be studied in some detail.

Prices of rice in 1932-33 were on the average very low and the steady decline throughout the last six months of the year is specially noteworthy. In the first half of the year prices were comparatively higher and the market generally firm. The chief reasons for this favourable position in that period were a crop shortage in Japan, floods in China and comparatively smaller exportable surpluses available from the $1931-32$ crops in the principal rice growing countries, viz., Burma, Siam and Indo-China. The Japanese crop for the year 1931-32 showed a considerable deficit. Although a very heavy carry-over compensated this deficiency to some extent, it was anticipated by the trade that the Japanese demand would expand. The Chinese crop of 1931 was 15 to 20 per cent below the average for all China as a result of floods and, though she had heavy stocks from the preceding year's crop, they were not sufficient to make up for the current deficit. The combined exportable surplus

## Exports.

of Burma, Indo-China and Siam was also less than in the preceding year. The exportable surplus of Burma was $2,433.000$ tons of cleaned rice from the 1931 crop which was chiefly exported in 1932-33 as compared with $3,153.000$ tons from the 1930 crop. The position, so far as supply during the first half of the year went, was, therefore, sound. But demand was very weal and prices did not, therefore, rise to any considerable extent. The chief rensons for this were that the principal consuming countries such as the East Indies, Malaya and Java had been very hard hit by the depression in their staple exports, viz., tin, rubber and tea, and could not, therefore, purchase rice in large quantities even at the comparatively low prices of the year. During the second half of the year prices collapsed completcly. This was chiefly due to the fact that the prospects of the new crop were favourable in all the chief producing countries, threatening a heavy production of rice. Reports from Japan and China indicated good crops and the crop forccasts of almost all the rice-growing countries in the East showed definite increases. Before the estimates were out weather reports had the usual effect upon prices. Throughout the period weather report had been favourable and prices, therefore, tended to fall. The other factors which helped in depressing the prices were the gradual weakening of the Chinese demand and a decline in the prices of silver. Another factor which also affected demand to a certain extent was the efiort on the part of certain importing countries to divert activities towards the production of fooderops in place of unremunerative cash crops. This was specially obvious in the import situation in Netherlands India. Rice growing within the country gradually increased and was naturally followed by a decline in the imports from outside. Added to all this, the fall in the price of wheat had also a very depressing effect on rice prices. These factors explain, to a great extent, the broad movements of prices in the two halves of the year.

Coming to a detailed description of the movement of prices, it may be noted that the year 1932-33 opened with a quotation of R2:32-8-0 per 100 baskets of 75 lbs . cach in the Rangoon market on the 6th of April 1932. Throughout the month a bullish sentiment prevailed and prices gradually rose, until on the 27 th the quotation had gone up to R 265 . This shortlived improvement was due, in the first place, to a sudden curtailuent of paddy supplies and, secondly, to an expectation of revival of demand from Japan which was expected to import rice owing to a shortage of her own supplies. But the underlying weakness on the demand side, the result of the general world depression, soon caused a set-back and in the first week of May the price came down to R247-8-0. There was a slight recovery of about R3 in the next week but throughout the remainder of the month prices continued to decline till on the lst of June the quotation had dropped to R¥30. For two weeks after that prices slightly increased and on the 15th R237-8-0 was quoted. Owing to a falling off in the Indian demand, however, in the second half of June prices fell considerably and ou the 29th June the price recorded was R222-8-0. On the 6th of July the quotation spurted up first to R227-8-0 and further to R230 in the following week. As before, however, this improvement was short-lived, having been caused by bad weather reports from India proper and news of floods in China. The next two weeks saw prices stationary at R225. Reports of favourable
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weather conditions in India and Japan depressed the market in the first half of August, but scarcity of rains in Burma at this time again raised prices to R225 on the 31st August. Throughout September, however, prices were continually on the decline and from this period a downward trend of prices began. This was due chiefly to the news of favourable crop prospects in China and Japan. Added to this, the Indian weather conditions were also generally satisfactory. From P 225 about the end of August prices fell to R200 on the 5th of October. There was a rally next week when prices rose to R210, but from that date onwards prices went on falling almost continuously till the close of the year. By the end of December the quotation had reached R175. With slight variations the quotation went on further receding till on the 29th March it fell to R145, the lowest for the year. The causes of this continuous decline in the last six months have been explained above.

Exports of rice, not in the husk showed a decline of nearly 473,000 tons in the year under review as compared with the preceding year. The quantity exported amounted to $1,828,000$ tons in $1932-33$ as compared with $2,301,000$ tons in 1931-32. Nearly all the countries reduced their demand for Indian rice. the main exceptions being the United Kingdom and Japan which considerably increased their takings. On the other hand, demand from the Netherlands, Germany, the Straits Settlements, Java and China fell off considerably in the year under review. The main increases and decreases in the export trade with the various foreign countries are given in the table below:-

Exports of rice, not in the husk to foreign countries.
[In thousand tons]


## Exports.

It will be seen from the table that China, which was India's best customer in the preceding year, reduced her demand by 155.000 tons to 262,000 tons. Similarly, the Straits Settlements and Sumatra took only 224.000 tons in the year under review as compared with 329,000 tons in the preceding year. The Netherlands and Germany bought only 54,000 and 168,000 tons in 1932-33 as compared with 158,000 and 262,000 tons in 1931-32. The shares of Java and Malaya as well as Poland also declined considerably. On the other hand, Ceylon took only a slightly smaller quantity than in the preceding year, whereas the United Kingdom and Japan increased their purchases by 126,000 and 74,000 tons respectively. It is interesting to note also that the coastwise exports of rice, not in the husk. from Burma to India proper during $1932-33$ amounted to 936,000 tons as compared with $1,086,000$ tons in the preceding year, thus showing a decrease of 150,000 tons.

The world wheat trade had a set-back in the year under review and the high hopes entertained at the close of the last year for the long-looked-for re-

Wheat (R4 lakbs). covery were not realised. The chief cause for this state of affairs was the tendency of most of the countries to put heavy tariffs on imports of wheat or to reduce its importation by quotas, etc. By this process they protected their own agriculturists to some extent and partially or completely isolated their markets. The depression in the world market has deepened considerably and if this process continues indefinitely, adjustment between demand and supply will be very difficult to achieve. In the meantime stocks have been accumulating all the world over. The stocks on August 1, 1932, were considerably larger than at the same date in the previous year, when they were already at an excessive figure. It has been estimated by the trade that the stocks on August 1, 1932, in the chief countries amounted to 82 million bushels as compared with 76 million bushels on the same date in the preceding year. The chief centre where the stocks had accumulated greatly was the United States of America, where they had increased from 40 million bushels to 45 million bushels. The United States of America, however, was not actively engaged in the export trade in wheat and comparatively speaking, these figures had not, therefore, quite the same effect on international trade. These heavy world stocks were not, however, the result of a bumper crop. The world's wheat crop of 1932, excluding the crops of Russia and China, was practically the same as in 1931, but, owing to the stiffening of tariffs, tho effect of this crop had been more depressing than in the preceding year. Further, the geographical distribution of the crop was such as to decrease the effective demand and increase the available supply. The best yields of the season were obtained in Canada, Argentina and Australia, which countries grow wheat principally for export, and in the deficiency countries of Europe. The result was that the exportable surplus, and consequently the world's stocks, increased considerably. The outlook for the world trade in whent does not, thercfore, appear to be sufficiently hopeful for the coming year.

Coming to a detailed description of the movement of prices, it may be noted that the opening quotation for the year under review for Manitoba
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No. 3 at Liverpool for a quarter of 480 lbs . was 26 s . 6 d . on April 1, 1932. Reports of scarcity in France, of unfavourable weather conditions in the Western region of Canada and the backwardness of the Russian spring crop led to an advance of $4 \frac{1}{2} d$. in the quotation in the course of the next week. The April report of the U. S. Department of Agriculture, which appeared at this time, also estimated the American winter wheat crop at the low figure of 458 million bushels as against 787 million bushels in the preceding season. This was well below expectation. Prices, therefore, went up and the quotation of April 15 was 28 s . 9 d . This was the highest quotation for the year. In the following week, however, the quotation fell to $28 s .3 d$. and in the last week of April it dropped further to 26s. $7 \frac{1}{2} d$. The reason for this was the report of favourable weather in the growing districts and the pressure of the huge stocks in North America. The downward movement was, however, counteracted by the issue on May 10 of the report of the U. S. Department of Agriculture, indicating a reduction of 17 million bushels over the previous month's estimate. This, in conjunction with the reported Russian purchases of Canadian and Australian wheat, buoyed up the market considerably and prices rose to $26 \mathrm{~s} .10 \frac{1}{2} d$. on the 13 th of May, increasing further to 27 s . $1 \frac{1}{2} d$. by the last week of the month. Relapse followed, however, fairly quickly. The official report of the Canadian crop gave the condition as 96 per cent of the average compared with 80 per cent a year ago ; and this, coupled with the heavy stocks which were always acting as a deadweight on the market, brought down prices considerably and on June 3 the quotation had dropped to $25 s$. $9 d$. , receding still further during the following week to 23 s .3 d . It remained round about this level till the middle of July. Reports of severe rust damage to the Danubian crops, which it was apprehended would affect both the quality and the quantity of the crop, strengthened prices to some extent and by the last week of July the quotation was forced up to 2fis. 9d. The estimates of the crop outturns in the United States and Canada in August were larger than were anticipated by the market and as a result the price slumped to 25 s . 9 d . on the 5 th of the month. The quotation went up again to $26 s$. $101_{2} d$. in the following week as a result of reports of unfavourable weather conditions. With small variations, prices remained near 265 s. till the second week of September. There was a slight relapse to $25 s$. $1012 d$. on the 16 th, but in the following week again the quotation rose to $26 s$. From the end of the month till the beginning of December prices were steady about 25 s . to 25 s . $6 d$. From the beginning of this month, however, a fresh weakness started which carried prices down to 22 s . $6 d$., the lowest point reached during the year. This was partly due to the absence of expansion of demand at a time when the new crops of Argentina and Australia were about to be marketed. There was a recovery, however, and at the beginning of January the quotation recorded was 24 s . $7 \frac{1}{2}$ d. With the coming in of the Argentina crop, prices weakened again and on the 3rd of March the quotation had dropped to 22s. 6d. The extension of the Bank Moratorium of the United States coupled with reports of short supplies in Austria, Italy and the Balkans produced a bullish effect on the market in the first two weeks of March and on the 17th the price quoted was $24 s .6 d$. Prices, however, receded again and the year closed with a quotation of $23 s$. In the following table the

## Exports.

prices of No. 3 Manitoba and of Karachi choice white wheat, as far as available, are compared:-

Prices of wheat, c.i.f. Liverpool and London.


Indian wheat behind a high tariff wall, on the one side, and with a production about equal to her own requirements, on the other, was cut off from the international markets during the year under review. The isolation was so complete that the movement of wheat prices in India remained more or less unaffected during the greater part of the year by movements in world prices. This is best illustrated by the fact that while at Liverpool prices of Manitoba wheat declined from a high to a low level, those of Indian white wheat at Karachi moved in a diametrically opposite direction with continually increasing prices. This position appears to have been the outcome of a fairly moderate supply which prevented prices from sagging. Prices in the Karachi market per candy of 656 lbs . stood at $\mathrm{R} 21-2-0$ on April 5. This was the lowest price recorded for the year. After an advance to $\mathrm{f} 21-15-0$ in the third week of the month prices dropped to the opening level in the first two weeks of May under pressure of the large old crop. The crop reports of the principal producing areas, especially the Punjab, the United Provinces and Sind, issued about the end of the month, were below expectation and the market improved as a result. With minor fluctuations, the price rose to 1229-10-0 on October 11. During the next two weeks the market ruled rather quiet, but partly owing to lack of demand and partly to some bearish foreign advices, the quotation on November 1 dropped down to $\mathrm{R} 26-13-0$ from R29 a week before. From this time onwards the insulficiency of supplies as compared with the demand, combined with rumours of a smaller acreage as also unfavourable crop reports from America, gradually sent prices upwards throughout the period from November to the middle of February. On the l4th of the latier month the quotation recorded was R31-7-0, the highest of the year. At this price Australian wheat could be imported even after paying the import duty. As prices were fairly steady for the remainder of the year, this encouraged considerable imports from Australia in these two months. The year closed with the quotation of R30-10-0 on March 29.

The total area under wheat in India during 1931-32 was 34 million acres as compared with 32 million acres in the preceding year. The outturn for the year was, however, just 9 million tons as compared with $9 \cdot 3$ million tons
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in 1930-31. The following table shows the balance of wheat available for consumption in India for a number of years :-

$*$ Production for $1908-09$ to 1912-13.
$\dagger \quad n \quad$ 1913-14 to 1917-18
It will be seen that the balance available for consumption decreased in 1932-33 as compared with the preceding year by nearly one-third of a million tons. The exports of wheat almost reached the vanishing point in the year under review. In the following table production and exports during the past five years and the pre-War year 1913-14 are set forth :-

Total proluction of wheat and tolal exports by sea to forcign countries.

$\ddagger$ Subjeot to revision.
Exports of wheat during the year amounted to 2,000 tons valued at a little under R4 lakhs as compared with 20,000 tons valued at Rl5 lakhs in the preceding year and 197,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 95$ lakhs in 1930-31. It will thus be seen that the exports in the year under review dwindled almost completely.

The imports of wheat into India during the year under review amounted to 33,000 tons valued at 1229 lakhs as compared with 111,000 tons valued at

## Exports.

R73 lakhs in the preceding year. It was only in the last two months of the year that as a result of the continued rise in Indian wheat prices the imports of Australian wheat were encouraged to some extent and the imports of the year were really those for February and March 1933. The bulk of the supplies imported were received from Australia. Foreign supplies were received mainly in Bengal and Bombay, their respective shares being 28,000 and 5,000 tons.

Shipments of wheat flour contracted from 43,000 tons valued at R58 lakhs in 1931-32 to 21.000 tons valued at R28 lakhs in 1932-33. As in previous years, the largest single market for wheat flour was Arabia, though her purchases during the year under review declined considerably from 14,000 tons in 1931-32 to under
7,000 tons in 1932-33. The demand from Aden and Dependencies, Kenya Colony and the Anglo-Egyptian Sudan was reduced from 6,000, 6,000 and 5,000 tons in 1931-32 to $3,000,3,000$ and 2,000 tons respectively in 1932-33. The purchases of the Straits Settlements also declined from 3,000 tons to a little under 2,000 tons.

The quantity of other foodgrains exported declined in the year under review to $1.46,000$ tons valued at R1,30 lakbs as compared with 180,000

[^7] tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,50$ lakhs in the preceding year. Exports of barley dropped to 17,000 tons valued at Rll lakhs as compared with 27,000 tons valued at R16 lakhs in 1931-32. As in the two preceding years, most of the exports went to the United Kingdom which purchased nearly 13,000 tons valued at R8 lakhs as compared with 22,000 tons valued at RI3 lakhs in the preceding year. Exports of jowar and bajra fell considerably in the year under review and amounted only to 16,000 tons valued at R 13 lakhs as compared with 59,000 tons valued at R42 lakhs in 1931-32. Shipments of beans showed a much smaller decrease from 34,000 tons to 31,000 tons, the decline in value being only R2 lakhs. Exports of gram nearly doubled as compared with the preceding year and amounted to 30,000 tons valued at R29 lakhs as compared with 16,000 tons valued at R17 lakhs in 1931-32. Exports of lentils increased from 7,000 tons valued at $129 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 12,000 tons valued at fl 15 lakhs. Consignments of other sorts of foodgrains showed a slight increase from 36.000 tons to 39,000 tons. The value, however, showed a decline from R44 likhs to R43 lakhs.

Oilseeds (RI1,31 lakhs).-The total exports of Indian oilseeds declined by 26 per cent in quantity from 988,000 tons in 1931-32 to 733,000 tons in

| Pre-war average | 1030-31 1031-32 1932-ss <br> (Thousands of tons) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 379 | 257 | 120 | 72 |
| 273 | 33 | 54 | 115 |
| 912 | 601 | 072 | 433 |
| 114 | 91 | 104 | 80 |
| 240 | 41 | 12 | , |
| - 119 | 1 | 12 | 10 |
| - $\quad 31$ | is | 14 | 15 |
| 1,463 | 1,037 | 988 | 733 | 1932-33 and by 22 per cent in value from fil4,59 lakhs to R11,31 lakhs. An examination of the detailed figures given on the margin shows that there has been a substantial decline in the exports of all the principal oil-bearing seeds with the oxception of rapeseed. On account of the unsatisfactory
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prices of oils during the year, the European oil crushers were somewhat indifferent purchasers of oilseeds producing the higher percentages of oils and concentrated chiefly on seeds containing the maximum amount of cattle feed.

The Indian linseed orop of 1931-32 was better than in the preceding year, being estimated at 416,000 tons compared with 377,000 tons in 1930-31. Ship-

Linseod ( R 91 lakhs). ments, however, showed a considerable reduction and amounted to 72,000 tons in 1932-33 as compared with 120,000 tons in 1931-32 and 257,000 tons in 1930-31. The decrease was chiefly caused by large supplies of Plate linseed. For two years in succession Argentina, the chief linseed producing country in the world, had a very good crop. The $1930-31$ crop of $1,957,000$ tons was followed by a still higher production of $2,227,000$ tons in 1931-32. It is not, therefore, surprising that Indian linseed which was relatively dearer than the Plate seed met with a poor demand from the overseas markets. Exports to the United Kingdom, which had fallen from 58,000 tons in 1930-31 to 14,000 tons in 1931-32, showed a slight improvement and amounted to 14,300 tons in 1932-33. It may be mentioned that foreign linseed imported into the United Kingdom was exempt from the operation of the British Import Duties Act until January 1933, when, as the result of the Ottawa Agreements, a duty of 10 per cent ad valorem was imposed in the United Kingdom on all imports of linseed of non-Empire origin. Purchases by France were very much reduced and amounted to 21,000 tons as against 44,000 tons in 1931-32. Germany, the Netherlands and Belgium together took 10,000 tons and Italy 10,600 tons as against 11,000 tons and 14,600 tons respectively in the preceding year. Shipments to Japan fell away to only 150 tons from 6,000 tons in 1931-32, but those to Australia were maintained at 9,400 tons against 10,000 tons in the preceding year. The trade in Indian linseed was, as usual, confined to Bengal and Bombay in the proportion of 65 and 35 per cent as compared with 63 and 37 per cent respectively in 1931-32. Table No. 61 shows the relative importance of the sources from which linseed is imported into the United Kingdom, France, Italy and Australia.

The price of linseed, small grains, opened at 73-15-0 per maund at Calcutta on April 1. It gradually fell to R2-8-0 on June 3. It remained steady at that level for three weeks after which prices improved steadily. R3-15-0 was reached on August 5 and R4-8-0, the lighest quotation of the year, was touched on September 9. The improvement was not, however, maintained and the price declined to R4-1-0 by the end of November. Prices firmed up again on receipt of reports of a much reduced yield expected from the new season's crop in Argentina and by January 13, 1933 4-6-0 was touched. In the absence of any active overseas demand there was another setback which lasted till the close of the year and the quotation of April 7 was R3-8-6.

The production of groundnuts in India in 1931-32 was $2,276,000$ tons, being 28 per cent lower than the previous season's plentiful crop of $3 \cdot 2$ million Groundnuts (R7,12 lakhs). tons. The crop of 1932-33 is, according to the latest report, estimated at $2,836,000$ tons. Exports of groundnuts, which had risen from 601,000 tons in 1930-31 to 672,000 tons in 1931-32 declined to 433,000 tons in 1932-33. The smaller shipments were no doubt caused by the low level of prices in the importing markets. All the principal countries took ${ }_{\mathrm{d}}$ less than in the preceding year. France,
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by far the largest purchaser of Indian groundnuts, took 219,000 tons as against 223,000 tons in 1931-32. Exports to Germany declined from 122,000 tons to 48,000 tons and those to the Netherlands fell from 147,000 tons to 87,000 tons. The United Kingdom took only 32,000 tons against 78,000 tons in the preceding year. Exports to Italy also fell from 80,000 tons to 28,000 tons, while Belgium took 8,600 tons or 4,200 tons more than in the preceding year. About 81 per cent of the total quantity exported in 1932-33 went from the Madras Presidency as compared with 74 per cent in 1931-32, the remainder having been shipped almost entirely from Bombay.

The price of groundnuts (machine decorticated) at Madras opened at R42-8.0 per candy of 500 lbs . on April 1, and though R 36 was touched on May 6 , it did not fall below $\mathrm{R} 38-8-0$ before the second week of June. Towards the end of that month $\mathrm{P} 33-4-0$ was recorded. July 9 saw a considerable improvement in prices and $\mathrm{P} 39-8-0$ was touched by the first week of August. From August onward prices fell steadily and f29-4-0 was touched on November 25 . This was followed by some improvement and prices ranged between R29 and R32-8-0 till February 17, 1933. There was a reaction thereafter and the lowest quotation of the year, viz., R 24 was touched on March 31.

The production of rape and mustard seeds in 1931-32 was estimated at $1,025,000$ tons, being 4 per cent higher than in the preceding season, while

Rape seed ( $\mathrm{Rl}, 54$ lakhs). the crop of 1932-33 was reported to have yielded $1,047,000$ tons or 22,000 tons more than that of 193132. Exports of rape seed continued to advance and amounted to 115,000 tons in 1932-33 as compared with 54,000 tons in 1931-32 and 33,000 tons in 1930-31. With the exception of the United Kingdom, all other countries increased their purchases. Italy took the largest quantity, viz., 68,000 tons against 18,000 tons in 1931-32 and only 1,700 tons in 1930-31. There were also increased shipments to the Netherlands and Germany which amounted to 11,000 tons and 9,000 tons as compared with 9,000 tons and 4,000 tons respectively in 1931-32. Exports to the United Kingdom declined from 14,000 tons to 11,000 tons, while those to France and Belgium advanced from 5,600 tons and 1,200 tons to 8,300 tons and 2,500 tons respectively in 1932-33. Over 111,000 tons or 97 per cent of the total quantity shipped in 1932-33 went from Karachi and 3,000 tons from Bombay as compared with 52,800 tons and 900 tons respectively in the preceding year.

Exports of castor seed which had advanced from 91,000 tons in 1930-31 to 104,000 tons in 1931-32 declined to 86,000 tons in 1932-33. Both the United

States of America and France reduced their takings from 35,000 tons and 20,000 tons in 1931-32 to 28,000 tons and 17,000 tons respectively in the year under reviedr. Italy also took less than in the preceding year, shipments having amounted to 6,000 tons as against 12,000 tons in 1931-32. Purchases by the United Kingdom and Belgium were well maintained at 23,000 tons and 4,000 tons respectively as in the preceding year.

India produces about half a million tons of sesamum annually, a large proportion of which is used for local consumption. Exports amounted to

[^8] 10,000 tons in 1932-33 as compared with 12,000 tons in 1931-32 and only 1,000 tons in 1930-31. These

## Hides and Skins.

were destined chiefly to Italy ( 4,600 tons), Germany ( 200 tons) and the Netherlands ( 600 tons).

The demand for cotton seed either for oil or for cakes was very much restrioted and prices were low throughout the year. The export trade in
Cotton seed (R1g lakhs). Indian cotton seed was neglected, as it did not pay the shippers to export their seed at the low level of prices obtaining during the year. Barring small shipments amounting to 2,400 tons (of which 1,500 tons went to the United Kingdom). no other shipment was made during the year under review. In 1930-31 and 1931-32 exports totalled 41,000 tons and 12,000 tons respectively.

Hides and skins ( $\mathrm{fl} 7,43$ lakhs). -The trade under this head has been progressively smaller in the last two or three years. The total value of the trade has fallen from R8,92 lakhs in 1931-32 to R7, 43 lakhs in the year under review, a fall of 16 per cent. The main reason for this decline, as explained in earlier issues of this Review, is the trade depression, which led to a reduction in the demand for hides and skins. The average declared value for raw hides and skins declined from $7 a s .9 p$. to 7 as. $2 p$., whereas in the case of tanned hides and skins, the decline was from R1-7-10 per lb. to R1-7-0 per lb. Shipments of raw hides and skins during the year amounted to 27,300 tons valued at $\mathrm{F} 2,77$ lakhs as compared with 33,600 tons valued at $\mathrm{F} 3,66$ lakhs in the preceding year. Exports of raw hides declined from 16,600 tons valued at f291 lakhs in 1931-32 to 13,300 tons valued at Z 63 lakhs in 1932-33. Exports of raw skins declined in weight from 16,500 tons to 13,300 tons. The total value of the consignments declined from R2,74 laliss in 1931-32 to R2,14 lakhs in 1932-33. Exports of tanned or dressed hides or skins decreased from 15,700 tons valued at $\mathbf{P} 5,26$ lakhs in 1931-32 to 14,500 tons valued at $\mathbf{~} 74,66$ lakhs in 1932-33. Exports of tanned hides amounted to 9,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,62$ lakhs in the year under review as compared with 10,300 tons valued at $\mathrm{F} 2,13$ lakhs in the preceding year. There was a slight increase in the exports of tanned skins from 5,400 to 5,500 tons, but the value showed a decline from R33,14 lakhs in 1931-32 to $\mathrm{P} 3,04$ lakhs in 1932-33.

In the following table the quantities and values of raw hides and skins of various descriptions exported in 1913-14 and each of the last three years are set forth :-


Exports of raw hides continued to decline as in the preceding two years. Germany took 3,700 tons valued at f20 lakhs in the year under review as compared with 5,100 tons valued at F 32 lakhs in the preceding year, thus showing a decline of 27 per cent in quantity and 37 per cent in value. The United Kingdom took under 800 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 2 \frac{2}{3}$ lakhs as compared with 1,000 tons valued at R4 $4 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in the preceding year, Exports to the United States
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of America were eliminated altogether. Italy took only 2,700 tons valued at R12 lakhs in 1932-33 as compared with 3,400 tons valued at R16 lakhs in 1931-32.

Exports of raw cow hides decreased from 13,600 tons valued at R76 lakhs in 1931-32 to 11,400 tons valued at R54 lakhs in 1932-33. Germany's share was the largest, her takings amounting to 3,400 tons valued at R18 lakhs as compared with 4,400 tons valued at R27 lakhs in the preceding year. The share of the United Kingdom amounted to only 700 tons as compared with a little over 1,000 tons in the preceding year. Shipments to Italy also showed a decline from 3,200 tons valued at R15 1 lakhs to 2,600 tons valued at R11 lakhs in 1932-33. Exports to most other countries showed declines, except in the case of the Netherlands and Greece, which took 610 and 730 tons in the year under review as compared with 490 and 570 tons in the preceding year. Exports of cow hides were, as usual, chiefly from Bengal which accounted for 63 per cent of the total shipments, while Burma's share was 24 per cent, the respective shares of these provinces in the preceding year being 67 and 22 per cent. Sind had 9 per cent and Bombay 4 per cent during the year under review.

Exports of buffalo hides declined from 2,700 tons valued at R13 lakhs to 1,500 tons valued at R6 $6 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs in 1932-33. Germany took 230 tons valued at $\mathrm{Rl} \frac{1}{4}$ lakhs as compared with 660 tons valued at R4 $\frac{3}{3}$ lakhs in the preceding year. The share of Greece considerably increased from 140 tons to 390 tons, the increase in value being from under a lakh of rupees to nearly fi2 lakhs. Bulgaria, on the other hand, reduced her purchases considerably from 540 tons in 1931-32 to 120 tons. The share of other countries also showed declines.

As usual, the United States of America took the major portion of the exports of goat skins, her share amounting to 7,600 tons valued at R87 lakhs in the year under review as compared with 11,600 tons valued at R1,77 lakhs in the preceding year. The United Kingdom increased her takings slightly from 2,400 tons valued at R24 lakhs in 1931-32 to 2,600 tons valued at a little under P50 lakhs in 193\%-33. The demand of the Netherlands and Australia increased from 370 and 280 tons in 1931-32 to 500 and 400 tons respectively in 1932-33. The shares of Germany and Belgium were almost the same as in the preceding year, whereas the share of France showed a slight decline. The different maritime provinces shared in the exports of raw skins as follows:Bengal 52 per ceut ( 52 per cent), Bombay 13 per cent ( 12 per cent), Sind 29 per cent ( 28 per cent) and Madras 6 per cent ( 8 per cent). The preceding year'spercentages are given in brackets.

The detailed figures of the exports of tanned or dressed hides and skins are given below :-

|  | Quantity |  |  |  | Valde |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1013-14 | 1930-81 | 1931-32 | 1032-33 | 1013-14 | 1030-81 | 1031.32 | 1032-33 |
| Tanned cow lides | Tan- | 2,000 | Tong | ${ }_{\text {Tuns }}^{\text {7, } 90}$ | (tutithas | (1)(Liskhs) |  | H(1ukhs) |
| .. Lulfalo hides: | \%ivo | 740 | 600 | 7,900 400 | 1,47 | 2.22 | 1.83 | 1.40 6 |
| * other hidus |  | 900 | 800 | 700 |  | 2. | 19 | 16 |
| ", kost skins | 8,700 | 8,100 | 2,600 | 2,900 | 1,61 | 1,78 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1,48 | 1,89 |
| " bheep aklns | 2,500 | 3,100 | 2,800 | 2,500 | 00 | 1,77 | 1,36 | 1,20 |
| .. othersklus | 300 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 7 | 18 | 14 | 15 |
| Total gides and Sking, taxned | 15,200 | 17,700 | 15,800 | 14.500 | 4,22 ${ }^{1}$ | 6,27 | 5,26 | 4,66 |

## Lac.

Shipments of tanned cow hides declined from 8,900 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,83$ lakhs in 1931-32 to 7,900 tons valued at R1,41 lakhs in 1932-33. Exports of tanned buffalo hides also contracted from 620 tons valued at R10 ${ }^{3}$ lakhs to 420 tons valued at P 6 lakhs. Shipments of tanned hides were, as usual, mostly to the United Kingdom, which bought 7,700 tons of cow hides valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,39$ lakhs and 375 tons of buffalo hides valued at R5 lakhs and 730 tons of calf skins valued at R16 lakhs or a total of 8,800 thousand tons valued at R1,59 lakhs as against 10,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,08$ lakhs in the preceding year. The United States of America ceased to be interested in these exports in the year under review.

Of the total exports of 5,500 tons of tanned skins, Madras shipped 92 per cent. Exports of tanned goat skins to the United Kingdom totalled 2,900 tons, which were about 400 tons more than in the preceding year. The value of the shipments to the United Kingdom increased from $\mathrm{Pl} 1,57$ lakhs in 1931-32 to $\mathrm{Rl}, 68$ lakhs in 1932-33. The share of the United States of America was reduced to the negligible figure of 7 tons as compared with 56 tons in the preceding year. Of the exports of sheep skins, the United Kingdom tnok 1,900 tons valued at R95 lakhs in the year under review as compared with 2,100 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,07$ lakhs in the preceding year. The share of Japan was 460 tons as compared with 500 tons in the preceding year. The United States of America showed no interest whatever in this line of trade during the year. Of the total quantity of tanned skins exported, the United Kingdom took 88 per cent and Japan 8 per cent as compared with 87 per cent and 9 per cent respectively in the preceding year.

Lac (R1,24 lakhs).-Business in the lac markets abroad continuod to decline, the depressed conditions in the large consuming industries being pronounced throughout the year. The total exports of lac fell by 10 per cent in quantity from $464,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1931-32 to $418,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in $1932-33$ and by 33 per cent in value from R1, 84 lakhs to R1, 24 lakhs, the decrease being noticeable in the case of shellac, button lac and stick lac. The following table shows the details of the exports during the last two years compared with the preWar, War and post-War averages :-

Exports of lac.

| - | PTC-war average |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { War } \\ & \text { average } \end{aligned}$ |  | Post-war average |  | 1031-32 |  | 1939-33 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Owts. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cont } \end{aligned}$ | Onts. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cont } \end{aligned}$ | Cwta. | Pcr cont | Cris. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cont } \end{aligned}$ | Owts. | $\underset{\text { Per }}{\text { Pont }}$ |
| Shellac and bntton lac. | 391,100 15,100 | ${ }_{4} 9$ | \$10,200 18,400 | 00 6 | 370,000 7,300 | 88 | 315.200 117,600 31,00 | 68 25 | 279,200 125,000 | 37 30 |
| 0 thers | 23,100 | 6 | 16,800 | 6 | 38,900 | 10 | 31,000 | 7 | 16,100 | 9 |
| Total | 434,300 | 100 | 345,400 | 100 | 416,200 | 100 | 468,700 | 100 | 418,300 | 100 |

Exports of shellac declined from 297,000 cwts. valued at R1,30 lakhs in 1931-32 to $262,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R 83 lakhs in 1932-33, representing a

Shellac.
decrease of 12 per cent in quantity and of 36 per cent in value. The United States of America, normally the best customer of Indian shellac, reduced her purchases from 101,000 cwts. to 66,000 cwts. Apart from the shrinkage in demand from the consuming industries, the American importers had many difficulties to contend
with, the chief among which were the fluctuations in exchange. Shipments to the United Kingdom also declined from $83,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $81,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and those to Japan fell from $34,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $27,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Germany took $34,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as in the preceding year, while exports to France and Austzalia increased from 10,000 and $2,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to 11,000 and $4,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively.

The price of T. N. shellac at Calcutta was consistently low during the year under review, being at times considered to be close to the production cost, if not below it. The price opened at $\mathrm{R} 24-8-0$ on April 1 and gradually fell to R16-8-0 on May 20. After a slight recovery in the following two weeks the price shrank to Fl 16 by the middle of June. This was the lowest quotation recorded during the year. Some improvement followed and the highest quotation of the year, $\mathrm{R} 25-8-0$, was reached on August 12. The price fell to R 22 on August 26 but recovered to R 25 on September 2. The improvement was not maintained and prices declined steadily until November 4 when R19-8-0 was quoted. During the remaining months the prices ranged between R18 and A 22 .

Exports of button lac fell from 18,000 cwts. valued at R91 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1931-32 to $17,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at Ri7 lakhs in 1932-33. Of the total quantity exported

Button lao. in 1932-33 the United Kingdom took 12,000 cwts. or 70 per cent as compared with $13,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. or 72 per cent in the preceding year. The United States of America required 700 cwts., or 200 cwts. less than what she had taken in 1931-32.

Exports to both Germany and France were also on smaller scales and amounted to $1,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in each case.

Exports of stick lac, which had advanced from 4,700 cwts. in 1930-31 to $12,800 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1931-32, fell to $4,200 \mathrm{cwts}$. in the year under review. About

Stick lac.
$1,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. were sent to Germany and 300 cwts . to
Belgium as compared with $3,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $8,300 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively in 1931-32. The remainder went to the United Kingdom and the Netherlands. The following table shows the exports of stick lac from Bangkok and Singapore during the past four years:-

Exports, in coots., of stick lac from Bangkok and Singapore.

|  | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To United States of America <br> , Germany <br> ", United Kingdom <br> , India <br> Total (all ooumpries) | 11,210 | 2,878 | 1,852 |  |
|  | 10.066 | 4,176 | 1,750 | 992 |
|  | 3,590 | 2,426 | 128 | 40 |
|  | 76,460 | 37,611 | 11,277 | 4,711 |
|  | 103,071 | 49,322 | 16,591 | 5,863 |

Shipments of seed lac amounted to 121,000 cwts. valued at R32 lakhs in $1932-33$ as compared with $105,000 \mathrm{crts}$. valued at R37 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in the preceding

## Seed lao.

 year. As usual, the United States of America was the principal purchaser and she increased her takings from $77,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $84,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Exports to the United Kingdom also increased from 10,000 cwts. to 12,000 ewts. Shipments in 1932-33 also included 2,000 cwts. to Belgium and $9,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to Germany, these two countries having taken $11,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $5,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively in the preceding year.
## Wool.

The Netherlands, which had made no purchases in 1931-32, re-appeared on the market and took $12,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1932-33. Exports of other kinds of lac, representing mostly refuse lac, declined from 31,000 ewts. to $14,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. of which Germany took 10,000 ewts. and Belgium 1,000 cwts. as compared with 17,000 cwts. and $13,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively in 1931-32.

Raw wool (R1,23 lakhs). -The trade in Indian raw wool received a setback, the exports falling from 41 million lbs. to 32 million lbs. in quantity and from $\mathrm{R} 2,77$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 1,23$ lakhs in value. Of the total quantity shipped the United Kingdom took 28 million lbs. or 87 per cent as compared with 35 million lbs. or 85 per cent in the preceding year, while the remainder went mainly to the United States of America and, to a less extent, to Germany and other Continental countries. Besides Indian wool, a fairly large quantity of foreign wool of Tibetan and Central Asian origin is imported across the frontier and then re-exported from India. The following table shows the comparative figures for raw wool exports and re-exports by sea and imports by land.


Re-exports of wool also declined from 9 million lbs. valued at R37 lakhs in 1931-32 to 4 million lbs. valued at R13 laklss in 1932-33. The United Kingdom and the United States of America were the principal customers, the former taking over 2 million lbs. and the latter 1.7 million lbs . as against $3 \frac{1}{2}$ million lbs. and $5 \frac{1}{2}$ million lbs. in the preceding year. About 62 per cent of the exports of Indian raw wool passed through Sind and 35 per rent through Bombay, while the re-export trade was divided between Sind and Bengal in the proportions of 66 and 33 per cent respectively, the remainder

[^9]
## Exports.

being shipped from Bombay. The following table shows the quantities of raw wool imported into the United Kindgom from India and other countries :-

Imports of sheep's and lamb's wool into the United Kingdom (excluding goat hair).
(In million Ibs.)

| - | 1013 | 1234 | 1835 | 1036 | 1027 | 1033 | 1030 | 1930 | 1091 | 1038 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auntralis | 305 | 339 | 935 | 304 | 938 | 223 | 270 | 257 | 200 | 305 |
| New Zesland | 181 | 179 | 173 | 164 | 199 | 183 | 104 | 175 | 188 | 301 |
| South africa . | 133 | 137 | 14.0) | 148 | 369 | 163 | 167 | 158 | 131 | 313 |
| Argentina . | 55 | 54 | 80 | 54 | 73 | 49 | 81 | 00 | 94 | 90 |
| India . | 65 | 60 | 69 | 41 | 65 | 85 | 54 | 31 | so | 3. |
| Toral, iscludimg otner coumtajes. | 801 | 760 | 737 | 811 | 833 | 770 | 814 | 782 | 848 | 691 |
| Peropstagiz op india'e 8EAR2. | 7 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 7 |  | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

Exports of carpets and ruys rose from 4.8 million lbs. to 6 million lbs. in quantity and from R57 lakhs to R64 lakhs in value. The United Kingdom

Carpets and ruge. increased her takings from 3.5 million lbs. valued at R41 lakhs to 4.3 million lbs. valued at R 45 lakhs. There were also larger shipments to the United States of America which amounted to $928,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at RI3 lakhs as compared with $554,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at PII lakhs in 193I-32. Exports to Ceylon and Australia also recorded an increase from $443,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. and $18,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $468,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. and 66,000 lbs. respectively.

Oils (A54 lakhs).-The total exports of oils, consisting mostly of vegetable oils, were valued at fī4 lakhs in 1932-33 as compared with

## Castor oil.

 R57 lakhs in 1931-32. Shipments of vegetable oils increased from $1,900,000$ gallons valued at R30 lakhs to $2,444,000$ gallons valued at P 38 lakhs. Exports of castor oil continued to increase and amounted to $1,125,000$ gallons valued at P 17 lakhs as compared with 982,000 gallons valued at R15 lakhs in the preceding year and 477,000 gallons valued at $R 9$ lakhs in 1930-31. As usual, the United Kingdom took the largest quantity, viz., 767,000 gallons as against 685,000 gallons in 1931-32. Germany and New Zealand also raised their requirements from 131,000 gallons and 22,000 gallons to 159,000 gallons and 35,000 gallons respectively. Of the other countries Belgium, Australia and the Straits Settlements took less than in the preceding year, while the Union of South Africa took 27,000 gallons, or 1,200 gallons more than in 1931-32. Exports of groundnut oil showed a remarkable expansion and totalled 917,000 gallonsGroundnut oil. in 1932-33 as compared with 455,000 gallons in 193132 and 166,000 gallons in 1930-31. Of the total shipments, the United Kingdom took 664,000 gallons or 72 per cent and Mauritius and Dependencies 53,000 gallons or 6 per cent, while the remainder went mainly to the Netherlands ( 61,000 gallons), Germany ( 53,000 gallons), Belgium ( 34,000 gallons) and Arabia ( 6,000 gallons). Despatches of coconut

## Other Articles.

11,000 gallons and 13,000 gallons respectively in 1931-32. Exports of mus-
Mustard oil. la gallons in 1531 32 to 226,000 gallons, the principal destiuations being Mauritius ( 93,000 gallons), Fiji ( 63,000 gallons), Natal ( 9,000 gallons) and the United Kingdom ( 34,000 gallons).

Shipments of sesamum oil fell from 96,000 gallons to 75,000 gallons, of which Arabia took 45,000 gallons, Aden and Dependencies 9,000 gallons and Mauritius 5,000 gallons. Exports of linseed oil rose from 38,000 gallons to 44,000 gallons. The Straits Settlements took 18,000 gallons and the Philippine Islands 16,000 gallons. Under essential oils, the exports of sandalwood oil declined from $114,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $56,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in quantity and from R18 lakhs to R7 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in value, all the importing countrics taking less than in the preceding year. The United Kingdom and Japan reduced their purchases from $41,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ and $38,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to 27,000 lbs. and $23,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. respectively, while France which had taken nearly 24,000 lbs. in 1931-32 made no purchases in the year under review. Exports of lemongrass oil also fell from 51,000 gallons to 43,000 gallons, the principal consumers being the United Kingdom ( 11,000 gallons), the United States of America ( 17,000 gallons) and France ( 3,000 gallons).

Other Articles.-The following is a summary of the more important of the remaining articles of export:-

| $\square$ | 1913-14 <br> (pre-war year) | 1928.29 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I2 (lakha) | R (iakhs) | R (lakhs) | 7 (lakha) | It (lakhs) | It (lakhs) |
| Metals and oresPig lead. | 9 | 2,15 | 2,45 | 2,17 | 1,73 | 1,47 |
| Pig iron. | 42 | 2,11 | 2,59 | 1,70 | 1,23 | 74 |
| Manganase ore | 1,21 | 1,97 | 2,29 | 1,39 | 57 | 48 |
| Others . | 47 | 2,68 | 3,01 | 2,68 | 1,94 | 1,98 |
| Parafin wax | 67 | 2,46 | 3,18 | 2,82 | 2,32 | 2,03 |
| Oilcates | 1,38 | 3,84 | 3,12 | 2,08 | 2,01 | 1,96 |
| Coffee | 1,54 | 1,69 | 1,45 | 1,92 | 95 | 1,10 |
| Tobacco | 43 | 1,29 | 1,06 | 1,04 | 88 | 77 |
| Dye atuff . . | 1,04 | 1,18 | 1,12 | 1,08 | 87 | 75 |
| Spicea - - . | 91 | 1,59 | 1,96 | 1,27 | 87 | 72 |
| Fodder, brap and pollards. | 77 | 1,45 | 1,19 | 77 | 75 | 70 |
| Coir manufaotures | 89 | 1,05 | 1,04 | 89 | 76 | (1) |
| Coal and coke | 69 | 72 | 72 | 49 | 65 | 44 |
| Teakwood. | 79 | 1,47 | 1,48 | 1,17 | 57 | 40 |
| Hemp, raw | 1,02 | 88 | 68 | 39 | 27 | 32 |
| Mioa. . | 45 | 90 | 1,03 | 68 | 39 | 32 |
| Manures | (a) 91 | 60 | 50 | 51 | 38 | 20 |
| Saltpetre | 31 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 12 |
| Opium | 3,42 | 1,57 | 1,42 | 1,22 | 87 | 11 |
| Rubber . | 79 | 2,00 | 1,79 | 1,30 | 45 | 9 |

(a) Includes crushed boncs which are ohiefly required for industrial purposes.

## Exports.

Metals and ores (R4,68 lakhs). -The total exports of ores amounted to 227,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,36$ lakhs in 1932-33 as compared with 235,000 tons valued at R1,47 lalhs in 1931-32. Exports of

Manganese
lakhs). manganese ore which represent about 87 per cent of the total quantity of ores exported were even lower than the poor record of the preceding year and totalled 198,000 tons as compared with 212,000 tons in 1931-32 and 486,000 tons in 1930-31. As usual, France was the largest purchaser, but she reduced her takings from 80,000 tons to 75,000 tons. Shipments to Belgium also declined from 35,000 tons to 32,000 tons. Exports to the United Kingdom, which had fallen from 114,000 tons in 1930-31 to 54,000 tons in 1931-32, improved to 55,000 tons in the year under review. A noticeable feature of the trade was the increased participation of Japan, which took 31,000 tons in 1932-33 as against 6,000 tons in the preceding year. There were smaller shipments to Germany and the Netherlands, amounting to 1,300 tons and 2,000 tons respectively. Exports to the United States of America, which had declined from 49,000 tons in 1930-31 to 28,000 tons in 1931-32, ceased altogether in the year under review. The export trade in manganese ore was largely confined to Bengal and Bombay, the former accounting for 69 per cent and the latter 23 per cent as compared with 70 and 30 per cent respectively in the preceding year, the remainder being shipped from Madras. There were no exports of ferro-manganese and ferruginous manganese ore during 1932-33.

Exports of pig iron further declined by 38 per cent in quantity from 351,000 tons in 1931-32 to 218,000 tons in 1932-33 and by 40 per cent in value from R21,23 lakhs to R74 lakhs. Normally, Japan is
Pig iron ( $\mathrm{Hz4}$ lakhe). the best purchaser of Indian pig iron, but her takings were considerably reduced from 188,000 tons in 1931-32 to 72,000 tons in the year under review. It may be pointed out that in June 1932 Japan raised the import duty on pig iron from 1.70 yen to 6.00 yen per ton. India has been the principal exporter of this material to Japan and the enbancement of the duty has led to a substantial reduction of exports to that country. Exports to the United States of America also declined from 108,000 tons in 1930-31 to 51,000 tons in 1931-32 and further to 33,000 tons in 1932-33. These losses were to some extent compensated by increased shipments to the United Kingdom, which amounted to 76,000 tons in 1932-33 as against 69,000 tons in the preceding year. Exports to China also showed an advance from 15,000 tons to 17,000 tons, while those to Germany declined from 13,000 tons to 8,000 tons. The following table shows the production of pig iron and stecl in India during the past three yeais :-
(In thousand tons)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Metals and Ores.

Shipments of wolfram from British India showed a decline and amounted to 3,400 tons valued at R51 1 lalks in 1932-33 as compared with 4,400 tons
valued at R621 $\frac{1}{3}$ lakhs in 1931-32. As usual, the
Wolfram $\begin{gathered}\text { ore } \\ \text { Iakhg). }\end{gathered} \quad$ ( $\mathrm{P} 51 \frac{1}{2}$ shipments were entirely from Burma and were chiefly destined to the United Kingdom and, to a less extent, to Germany, both of which reduced their takings from 3,400 tons and 700 tons to 3,100 tons and 200 tons respectively.

Shipments of pig lead declined from $1,295,000$ cwts. valued at R1,73 lakhs in 1931-32 to $1,217,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,48$ lakhs in 1932-33. There were

Pig lead (Rl,48 lakhs). decreases in the exports to most of the consuming countries, but these losses were made up for by increased purchases by the United Kingdom. Exports to the United Kingdom rose from $783,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $961,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. , an increase of 23 per cent over the preceding year. On the other hand, Japan eurtailed her requirements from 286,000 cwts. to 179,000 cwts., Ceylon from 44,000 cwts. to 33,000 cwts., China from $29,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $26,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and Italy from $66,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to 12,000 owts. Germany and Belgium which had taken 52,000 cwts. and 32,000 owts. respectively in 1931-32 required nothing in 1932-33.

Exports of chromite or chrome iron ore which had fallen from 21,000 tons in 1930-31 to 8,000 tons in 1931-32 recovered to 18,000 tons in 1932-33. The United Kingdom and France increased their respective takings from 3,800 tons and 400 tons
Cliromite ( R 6 lakhs ). to 6,300 tons and 1,400 tons in the year under review, while Germany took 3,100 tons, or 500 tons less than in 1931-32. The United States of Amerioa which had dispensed with the Indian supplics in 1931-32 took 1,100 tons in 1932-33.

Exports of paraffin wax declined from 52,000 tons valued at R2,32 lakhs to 45,000 tons valued at R2,02 lalihs in 1932-33. Shipments to both the United Kingdom and the United States $\quad$ Parafin max ( $\mathrm{R} 2,02$ were reduced to half of those in the preceding year
lanhs). $\begin{aligned} & \text { and amounted to } 8,000 \text { tons and } 3,000 \text { tons respec- }\end{aligned}$
tively. On the other hand, the Continental countries made larger purchases, $\quad$ Parafin wax (R2,02 were reduced to half of those in the preceding year
$\begin{aligned} & \text { lakhs). } \\ & \text { and amounted to } 8,000 \text { tons and } 3,000 \text { tons respec- }\end{aligned}$
tively. On the other hand, the Continental countries made larger purchases, the Netherlands increasing her share from 3,200 tons to 5,500 tons, Belgium from 2,500 tons to 4,200 tons, Italy from 2,900 tons to 4,500 tons and Germany from 1,000 tons to 1,400 tons. Exports to China fell from 5,000 tons to 3,000 tons, while those to Japan improved from 1,000 tons to 1,400 tons. The Union of South Africa took 2,500 tons, or 200 tons less than in 1931-32, while Portuguese East Africa and Mexico increased their respective takings from 3,600 tons and 1,500 tons to 4,500 tons and 3,200 tons.

Exports of oilcakes amounted to 287,000 tons valued at R1,96 lakhs in 1932-33 as compared with 291,000 tons valued at R2,01 lakhs in 1931-32.

> Oilcakes ( R1,96 lakhs).

Groundnut cake represented 55 per cent of the total quantity of oilcakes exported in 1932-33 as against 63 per cent in the preceding year and showed a decline from 184,000 tons to 159,000 tons in quantity and from $\mathrm{R1}, 23$ lakhs to $\mathrm{¥l} 1,10$ lakhs in value. Both the United Kingdom and Ceylon reduced their purchases from 69,000 tons and 20,000 tons to 43,000 tons and 8,000 tons respectively, while Germany showed an increased interest, taking 66,000 tons as against 52,000 tons in 1931-32. Exports to Belgium and the Netherlands were almost on the level

## Exports.

of the preceding year and amounted to 12,000 tons and 26,000 tons respectively in 1932-33. There was a noticeable increase in the exports of linseed oake which amounted to 82,000 tons valued at Z 59 lakhs as compared with 47,000 tons valued at R38 lakhs in 1931-32. Of the total exports, the United Kingdom took 55,000 tons as against 34,000 tons in the preceding year and Belgium aud the Netherlands 11,000 tons each as against 3,000 tons and 8,000 tons respectively in 1931-32. Shipments of rape and sesamum cake declined from 50,000 tons in 1931-32 to 35,000 tons in 1932-33, of which Japan and Ceylon, usually the two best customers, absorbed 20,000 tons and 12,000 tons as compared with 38,000 tons and 12,000 tons respectively in the preceding year. Of other oilcakes, cotton cake was exported to the extent of 5,000 tons as against 2,600 tons in 1931-32 and was destined entirely to the United Kingdom. Exports of coconut cake amounted to nearly 3,000 tons as in the preceding year; of this Belgium took 2,000 tons. Despatches of castor cake, mainly to Ceylon, were small and amounted to 1,000 tons as against nearly 2,000 tons in 1931-32.

The total reported production of cured coffee in India during the season 1931-32 was nearly 34 million lbs. as compared with 33 million lbs. reported

Coffee (R1, 10 laths). during the previous season. Exports advanced in quantity from 156,000 cwts. in 1931-32 to 173,000 cwts. in 1932-33 and in value from R94 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to R1,10 lakhs. The United Kingdom and France, the two principal customers of Indian coffee, increased their requirements, taking $52,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $54,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as against $44,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $43,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively in 1931-32. Norway took $17,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., or 1,000 cwts. more than in the preceding year, while purchases by Germany fell from $16,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $13,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Belgium and the Netherlands together took 16,000 cwts., as in the preceding year. Shipments to Iraq and Australia increased to $6,100 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $5,600 \mathrm{cw}$ ts., while those to Italy declined from 5,500 cwts. to 4,500 cwts. Exports to Arabia and the Bahrein Islands also declined and amounted to only 300 cwts . in each case in 1932-33.

Shipments of unmanufactured tobacco declined by 16 per cent in quantity from 25 million Ibs. in 1931-32 to 21 million lbs. in 1932-33 and by 10

Tobacco, unmanufactured
( iz 3 la la kh ). per cent in value from R81 lakhs to R73 lakhs. The United Kingdom continued to be the best market for Indian tobacco which enjoys a preference in that country, although she curtailed her requirements from $10 \frac{1}{2}$ million lbs. to 9 million lbs. Exports to Aden were maintained at 4.4 million lbs., as in 1931-

- 32, but those to the Netherlands increased from nearly 1 million lbs. to $1 \frac{2}{3}$ million lbs. Japan took $3 \cdot 1$ million lbs. and the Straits Settlements and the Federated Malay States together required $1-2$ million lbs. as compared with 3.7 million lbs. and 2.3 million lbs. respectively in 1930-31 and 1931-32. The steady increase in the purchases by China was arrested during the year under review and exports to that country fell off from nearly 3 million lbs. to only half a million lb .

The exports of dyeing and tanning substances declined in quantity from $1,407,000$ cwts. in 1931-32 to $1,205,000$ cwts. in 1932-33 and in value from

[^10] R87 lakhs to $\mathbb{R 7 5}$ lakhs. All the important items recorded under this head showed decreases. Myrobalans formed about 85 per cent of the total quan-

## Spices.

tity of dyeing and tanning materials exported in 1932-33 as compared with 90 per cent in the previous year. Exports decreased in quantity from $1,271,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $1,032,000$ cwts. and in value from R66 lakhs to R54 lakhs. Both the United Kingdom and the United States of America reduced their respective purchases from $691,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $203,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $483,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $191,000 \mathrm{cwts}$, while Germany increased her takings from 100,000 cwts. to 110,000 cwts. Shipments to the Netherlands and Belgium increased from $50,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ and 38,000 cwts. to 66,000 cwts. and 41,000 cwts. respectively, while those to France fell from $109,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $63,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. There was a substantial increase in the exports of myrobalan extracts which amounted to $50,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 3{ }_{3}^{2}$ lakhs as against $4,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 42,000$ in 1931-32. Exports were destined chiefly to the United Kingdom and the United States of America.

Shipments of cutch and gambier dropped from 35,000 cwts. to 29,000 cwts. in quantity and from R 4 lakhs to R 3 lakhs in value. The United Kingdom curtailed her demand from 18,000 ewts. to 13,000 cwts., while purchases by France increased from $3,800 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $4,500 \mathrm{cwts}$.
Exports of turmeric declined in quantity from 68,000 cwts. to 63,000 cwts. and in value from R11 lakhs to R10 lakhs. Ceylon took 12,000 cwts.

Turmerio (R10 lakhs). as against 11,000 cwts. in 1931-32. Shipments to the United Kingdom, Persia and the Straits Settlements fell to 6,000 cwts., 4,000 cwts. and 6,300 cwts. respectively, while Germany and France increased their respective requirements, taking 900 cwts. and $7,600 \mathrm{cwts}$.

There was a poor demand for natural indigo, exports of which fell to 300 cwts. from 800 cwts. in 1931-32. Of the total exports Greece took nearly 200 cwts. as against 450 cwts . in 1931-32, while the
Indigo ( Pl lakh). remainder went chiefly to the United Kingdom and Egypt.

The total exports of spices in 1932-33 amounted to $299,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R72 lakhs as compared with $321,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at f 87 lakhs in 1931-32 and $342,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R1}, 27$ lakhs in 1930-31. Chillies and pepper represented 57 and 20 per cent respectively of this total and the balance was made up chiefly of ginger, betelnuts and cardamoms. Exports of chillies rose from 163,000 cwts. to 170,000 cwts. in quantity, but the value realised declined from R23 lakhs to R22 lakhs. Ceylon and the Straits Settlements raised their respective requirements from $147,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ and $9,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $151,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ and $12,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively. Exports of pepper fell by $34,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. or 36 per cent to 60,000 cwts. in quantity and by R14 lakhs or 38 per cent to R23 lakhs in value. Italy maintained her position as the largest purchaser and took 31,000 cwts., or 1,000 cwts, less than in the preceding year. Exports to the United Kingdom and the United States of America fell considerably and amounted to 5,000 cwts. in cach case, the respective shipments in the proceding year being 10,000 cwts. and 26,000 cwts. Both ginger and cardamoms were in better demand, shipments having amounted to $57,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $8,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in $1932-33$ as against $55,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 6,000 cwts. respectively in the preceding year. Exports of betelnuts also recorded an increase from $2,600 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $3,300 \mathrm{cwts}$.

## Exports.

The total exports of fodder, bran and pollards declined from 282,000 tons valued at R75 lakhs in 1931-32 to 225,000 tons valued at R70 lalks in 1932-33. Rice bran, which constituted about

Fodder, bran and pollards (R70 lakhs). 96 per cent of the trade in 1932-33, showed a decline from 273,000 tons to 216,000 tons in quantity and from R70 lakhs to R 65 lakhs in value; other sorts of fodder, bran and pollards recorded a smaller decrease from 8,900 tons to 8,500 tons in quantity, the value remaining at over R 5 lakhs as in the preceding year. The United Kingdom, the principal customer for rice bran, took 181,000 tons, almost the same as in the preceding year, while Germany, the Straits Settlements and the Federated Malay States reduced their purchases from 44,000 tons, 17,000 tons and 9,000 tons to 18,000 tons, 7,000 tons and 1,000 tons respectively in 1932-33.

Exports of coir manufactures (other than rope) from British India showed a small increase in quantity from 26,500 tons in 1931-32 to 26,900 tons in 1932-33, but lower prices accounted for a decline in value from R 76 lakhs to R 60 lakhs. The United Kingdom and Belgium reduced their takings from 6,000 tons and 4,000 tons to 5,000 tons and 3,000 tons respectively in 193233. Shipments to Germany, the Netherlands, France and Italy showed small increases and amounted to 5,000 tons, 5,200 tons, 3,700 tons and 2,000 tons respectively. Exports to the United States of America were small, amounting to only 200 tons as against 300 tons in the preceding year. These figures are exclusive of the shipments from the ports of Travancore, whence also fairly large quantities of manufactured coir, consisting chiefly of yarn, mats and matting, are shipped to foreign countries.

India enjoys a very limited market for coal exports. Ceylon and the Far Eastern countries are the only destinations to which somewhat large

Coal (R44 lakhs). quantities are usually exported. And even in these countries the outlook does not seem to be quite satisfactory. Exports of Indian coal declined from 515,000 tons valued at R54 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1931-32 to 452,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{R} 44$ lakhs in 1932-33, representing a decrease of 12 per cent in quantity and of 18 per cent in value. There was a considerable reduction in the shipments to Ceylon, which amounted to 169,000 tons as compared with 273,000 tons in the preceding year. On the other hand, exports to Hongkong continued to increase and totalled 189,000 tons as against 162,000 tons in 1931-32 and 56,000 tons in 1930-31. Both the Straits Settlements and the Philippine Islands reduced their takings from 23,000 tons and 37,000 tons to 21,000 tons and 8,000 tons respectively in 1932-33. Exports in 1932-33 also included 32,000 tons to the United Kingdom and 27,000 tons to China (exclusive of Hongkong and Macâo). These figures are exclusive of 559,000 tons of Indian cosl shipped for the use of steamers engaged in the foreign trade which were distributed as follows :-

## Tons.



## Manures．

The production of coal in India during 1932 was estimated at $20 \cdot 2$ million tons as compared with 21.7 million tons in the preceding year．The price of Desherghur coal at Calcutta was R4－12－0 per ton into wagon up to the beginning of July．Thereafter the rate remained steady at $84-8-0$ till the first week of January 1933．From the middle of January to the middle of March the price remained steady at a lower level，viz．，R4－4－0．This was followed by a further decline of 4 as．per ton during the next week and the quotation at the end of the year under review was only f3－12－0．＇

Owing to the continued depression in the world＇s ship－building industry， the demand for teak wood was very much restricted．Prices began to fall，but

> Teak wood (f40 lakhas). despite this，cheaper substitutes were being tried in some countries．Exports of teak wood from India steadily declined from 40,000 cubic tons valued at R1， 17 lakhs in 1930－31 to 22,000 cubic tons valued at P 57 lakhs in 1931－32 and 17,000 cubic tons valued at R 40 lakhs in $1932-33$ ．About 12,000 cubic tons valued at R 28 lakhs were exported to the United Kingdom as against 13,000 cubic tons valued at R34 lakhs in 1931－32．Exports to the Union of South Africa，Ceylon， the Netherlands and the United States of America also declined from 1，900， $1,100,900$ and 1,700 cubic tons to $700,1,000,500$ and 200 cubic tons respectively during the year under review．

There was a better demand for Indian hemp and exports advanced from $224,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．valued at R 27 lakhs in 1931－32 to $281,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．valued at Z 32
Hemp，raw（ f 32 lakhs）． lakhs in 1932－33，showing an increase of 25 per cent in quantity and of 19 per cent in value．The United Kingdom increased her purchases from 32,000 cwts．to nearly 80,000 cwts． Italy also increased her takings considerably from $8,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．to $25,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．， as，owing to the failure of the North Italian crop，the available supplies proved to be inadequate to meet her own requirements．Exports to Germany and France also increased and amounted to 56,000 cwts．and $10,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ． as against $15,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．and $3,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．respectively in 1931－32．On the other hand，Belgium and the United States of America displayed smaller interest and took $42,000 \mathrm{cwis}$ ．and $7,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．as against $117,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．and $14,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．respectively in the preceding year．

Exports of mica，consisting largely of splittings，continued to decline and amounted to 40,000 cwts．valued at R31 lakhs in 1932－33 as compared with

Mica（R31y lakLs）．$\quad 53,000$ cwts．valued at R39⿳⺈⿴囗十一（lakhs in 1931－32 and United Kingdom and the United States of America，the two principal customers for Indian mica，reduced their purchases from 24,000 ewts．and 13,000 cwts．to 18,000 cwts．and 8,000 cwts．respectively．France also curtailed her requirements from $3,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．to $1,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．，while Germany increased her demand from $4,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．to $6,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．

Exports of manures totalled 31,000 tons valued at R 20 lakhs in 1932－33 as compared with 53,000 tons valued at R 38 lakhs in 1931－32．These figures

Manures（ 220 lakhs）．are exclusive of oil cakes and saltpetre，which are dealt with separately．Shipments of manurial bones， including bonemeal，represented about 69 per cent of the total quantity of

## Exports.

manures exported in 1932-33 and amounted to 22,000 tons valued at R13 lakhs as compared with 38,000 tons valued at R 23 lakhs in the preceding year. Ceylon curtailed her requirements from 11,000 tons to 10,000 tons, while the United Kingdom inereased her purchases to 6,000 tons. or 1,000 tons more than in 1931-32. There were smaller shipments to the United States of America and Belgium, amounting to only 500 tons and 900 tons as against 8,000 tons and 3,000 tons respectively in 1931-32. The value of fish manures and guano exported fell from R5 lakhs to R21 lakhs, of which Ceylon took nearly R1 lakh's worth. Exports of hornmeal fell from 3,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 2 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 1,000 tons valued at R1 lakh. Shipments of sulphate of ammonia amounted to only 300 tons as against 3,000 tons in 193132.

Shipments of saltpetre continued to improve and amounted to 159,000 cwts. valued at $\mathrm{Rl} 12 \frac{1}{4}$ lakhs in $1932-33$ as compared with $134,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued

$$
\text { Saltpetre ( } \mathrm{R} 12 \downarrow \mathrm{lakhs} \text { ). at } \mathrm{R} 10 \frac{1}{2} \text { lakhs in the preceding year. There was }
$$ a noticeable increase in the shipments to the United Kingdom and Mauritius and Dependencies which totalled 64,000 cwts. and 48,000 cwts. as against 25,000 cwts. and 46,000 cwts. in $1931-32$ and 23,000 cwts. and 4,000 cwts. respectively in 1930-31. The Straits Settlements required $3,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as in 1931-32.

In pursuance of the declared policy of the Government of India for the gradual reduction in the exports of opium to the Far East with a view to

> Opium (Rllf lahhs). their ultimate extinction in 1935, shipments of opium continued on a restricted scale. Only 1,161 chests or 1,451 cwts. of opium were exported in $1932-33$ as compared with 3,583 chests or $4,473 \mathrm{cwts}$. in the preceding year. Of these, 281 chests only were recorded under the head of private merchandise as compared with 2,173 chests in 1931-32 and the whole of these consignments were destined to IndoChina. The remainder was actually shipped through Government agency and was recorded under the head of 'Government Stores'. Exports on Government account to Hongkong, the Straits Settlements and other East Indian Governments showed a decline from 1,410 chests to 880 chests. There were no exports to any other country.

The prices of rubber during the past year fell below all expectations. The price generally ranged round $2 \hat{d}$. per lb . and proved to be unremunera-

[^11] tive. Attempts were, therefore, made to curtail production and there was a considerable reduction in the shipments from all the producing countries with the exception of IndoChina which enjoys the French Government's subsidy. Exports from India fell by over 8 million lbs. in quantity and by about R36 lakis in value from 15 million lbs. valued at R441 lakhs to 7 million lbs. valued at nearly R9 lakhs. There was a substantial decrease in the exports to the United Kingdom which amounted to 1.2 million lbs. as against 7.3 million lbs. in 193132. Exports to the Straits Settlements and Ceylon also declined from 5 million lbs. and 2 million lbs. to $4 \cdot 6$ million lbs. and nearly 1 million lbs. respectively. There were no direct shipments to the United States of America during the year under review, she having taken 231,000 lbs. in 1931-32 and $466,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1930-31. The average declared value of the Indian shipments declined from 4 as. $9 p$. per lb. to 2 as. per Ib. in 1932-33. Burma and

## Re-exports.

Madras had between them the entire trade in the proportions of 84 per cent and 16 per cent as compared with 59 per cent and 41 per cent respectively in 1931-32.

## Postal Articles.

## Imports and Exports.

The value of the imports of "Postal articles not specified" declined further from $\mathrm{R2} 2,96$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 2,59$ lakhs. Imports by post of ( $a$ ) jewellery, (b) precious stones, (c) gold and silver thread, (d) cigarettes and (e) cinematograph films are not included in the above figures, these being recorded under the relevant heads in the import returns. The share of the United Kingdom in the imports of postal articles fell from 64 per cent to 61 per cent. The shares of Italy, Germany and France also declined from 15, 4 and 4 per cent to 13,3 and 3 per cent respectively. The value of articles exported by post also fell from $\mathrm{R1} 1,80$ lakhs to R1,59 lakhs, of which the United Kingdom absorbed R45 lakhs or 28 per cent, the Straits Settlements R 52 lakhs or 33 per cent, Ceylon 9 per cent, France 8 per cent, and Hongkong and Iraq 3 per cent each.

## Re-exports.

The re-export trade in foreign merchandise declined further from R5 crores to R3 crores. Re-exports of raw wool contracted from 9 million lbs. valued at R37 lakhs to 4 million lbs. valued at R13 lakhs. The United Kingdom and the United States of America reduced their respective requirements to 2.2 and 1.7 million Ibs. from 3.6 and 5.4 million lbs. in the preceding year. Woollen manufactures also fell from R31 lakhs to R20 lakhs. Cotton piecegoods recorded an improvement of 38 per cent in quantity and of 22 per cent in value and amounted to 22 million yards valued at P 46 labls as against 16 million yards valued at R37 $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{s}}$ lakhs in 1931-32. Iraq, Persia, Ceylon and Portuguese East Africa increased their purchases and took 6, 6, 1•3 and $\cdot 3$ million yards as against $4,2, \cdot 3$ and $\cdot 2$ million yards respectively in 1931-32, while the Bahrein Islands, Straits Settlements, Mauritius and Dependencies, Kenya Colony and Zanzibar and Pemba took less. Shipments of foreign cotton dropped from 160 tons valued at R 2 lakhs to 118 tons valued at nearly R1 lakh. Re-exports of sugar shrank from 5,600 tons (R10 lakhs) to 4,000 tons (R5 lakhs). Gums and resins declined from $42,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ to $33,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and from R10 lakhs to R7 lakhs in value. Decreases were also noticeable under fruits and vegetables, rubber manufactures, hardware, instruments and apparatus, tea and provisions and oilman's stores, while there were increases under spices and silk manufactures. The shares of the principal countries in the total trade were : the United Kingdom 31 per cent, Ceylon and Persia 9 per cent each, Iraq 8 per cent, the United States of America 7 per cent, Arabia 5 per cent, Bahrein Islands and Japan 3 per cent each and Germany and the Straits Settlements 2 per cent each. As usual, the bulk of the trade passed through Bombay, which accounted for 61 per cent ; Karachi had 19 per cent and Bengal 10 per cent.

## Exports.

Government Stores.
Under this head, imports showed a decrease of R1,84 lakhs over the preceding year's figure and amounted to R2,43 lakhs in 1932-33. The noticeable decreases were those under machinery and millwork (-R85 lakhs), vehicles (-Rt40 lakhs), metals and ores (chiefly, iron and steel) (-R14 lakhs), paper and paste board (-R7 lakhs), instruments, apparatus and appliances (-R15 lakhs and hardware and cutlery (-R5 lakhs). Arms, ammunition and military stores recorded an increase of R15 lakhs. Exports of Indian produce on Government account declined from R61 lakhs to R41 lakhs owing to a fall of R21 lakhs in the exports of opium which were valued at R35 lakhs in 1932-33. Re-exports on Government account amounted to fl 4 lakhs in 1932-33 as against m 5 lakhs in 1931-32.

## CHAPTER IV．

## －The Direction of Overseas Trade．

The following tables illustrate the direction of India＇s trade in two different aspects．Tables A，B and C show the shares of the various foreign countries in India＇s total trade and Tables D and E analyse the trade in selected commo－ dities or groups of commodities in order to indicate the shares of the countries chiefly interested in each．The values given in these tables are based on actual declared values which represent unadjusted money values，i．e．， values on which no allowance has been made for the changing price levels． An adjustment of this nature has been carried out in respect of the total trade under imports and exports for a number of years on the basis of the average declared values in 1913－14 and the results are exhibited in the table on page 17 of this Review．Similar computations，however，have not been worked out for trade with separate countries．The main features of these tables have also been illustrated in Chart No． 8 prefixed to this Review．

Table A．

|  | 1913－14 |  |  | 1830－31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| － | Exporta | Importa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net Importa } \\ & \text { (一) or } \\ & \text { Exports ( } \end{aligned}$ | Exports | Imports | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net Importe } \\ & \text { (一立tor } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | \＃（Crores） | 7（Crorea） | f（Crores） | \＃（Crorea） | \＃（Crores） | \＃（Crares |
| Onlted Kingdom Other British Possessions | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -59 \\ & +25 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{85}^{54}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\underline{+20}$ |
| Total beitish Empirb | 84 | 128 | －34 | 89 | 76 | ＋18 |
|  |  | 30 | $+65$ | 58 | ${ }^{36}$ | $+17$ |
| Japan States of Amorica ： | ${ }_{23}^{29}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | +17 +18 | ${ }_{20}^{2}$ | 15 | $+8$ |
| Other Forelgn Conntries： | 23 25 | 15 | +18 +10 | ${ }_{39}^{24}$ | 15 23 | +9 +16 |
| total forbien Coontribs | 155 | 65 | $+100$ | 137 | 89 | $+48$ |
| GRAND TOTAL | 249 | 183 | $+60$ | 228 | 168 | $+61$ |


|  | 1031－32 |  |  | 1989－98 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exports | Improrth | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not Imports } \\ & \text { (一) or } \\ & \text { Exports }(+) \end{aligned}$ | Exporta | Imports | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net Importa } \\ & \text { ( }- \text { ) or } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Pr（Crorea） | 표（Crors3） | 7（Ororas） | \＃\＃（Crores） | E（Crort） | 3n（Croret） |
| United Kingdom Other British＇ossasslons | 45 26 | 45 <br> 12 | $\cdots+14$ | 38 24 | 49 | -11 +14 |
| Toral Dritish Empibr | 71 | 37 | ＋14 | 62 | 59 | ＋3 |
| Europe State of America | 37 | $\stackrel{28}{28}$ | ＋9 | 32 | $\underline{9}$ | $+3$ |
| Japan State of Amarica | 14 | 18 | $+1$ | 10 | 11 | $-1$ |
| Other Forejen Countries | 14 25 | 15 | +1 +10 | 14 | 12 13 | －6 |
| Toral Forejon Countries | 90 | 69 | ＋21 | 74 | 78 | ＋1 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 101 | 126 | ．+85 | 136 | 182 | ＋6 |

## Direction of Trade.

Table B.
Imports.

| - | 1913-14 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent |
| United Kingdom | $64 \cdot 1$ | $42 \cdot 3$ | 37-2 | 35.5 | $36 \cdot 8$ |
| Germany . | $6 \cdot 9$ | 6.6 | $7 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 1$ | $7 \cdot 8$ |
| Java. . | $5 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 8$ |
| Japan . | $2 \cdot 6$ | 98 | $8 \cdot 8$ | $10 \cdot 6$ | $15 \cdot 4$ |
| United States of America | $2 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | $10 \cdot 2$ | 8.5 |
| Belginm - | $2 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | 2.4 | $\therefore 26$ |
| Austria and Hungary | $2 \cdot 3$ | 8 | $\cdot 6$ | $\cdot 6$ | - 5 |
| Straits Settlements - . | 1.8 | $2 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | . $2 \cdot 1$ |
| Persia, Arabia, Iraq, Asiatio Turkey, eto. | 1.5 | $2 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | . $2 \cdot 0$ |
| France - | 1-5 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| Mauritius | $1 \cdot 3$ | - |  |  |  |
| Italy | $1 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | 2.7 | 2.8 | $3 \cdot 0$ |
| China | $\cdot 9$ | 1.7 | $2 \cdot 0$ | $\underline{9} 2$ | $2 \cdot 2$ |
| Netherlands | -8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | $1 \cdot 6$ | 1.3 |
| Australia . | -5 | $2 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 5$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | -8 |
| Hongkong . . | $\cdot 5$ | -3 | $\cdot 5$ | $\cdot 4$ | -4 |
| Dutch Borneo . | $\cdot 4$ | $\cdot 4$ | $\cdot 3$ | $\cdot 5$ | $\cdot 3$ |
| Ceylon ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | $\cdot 4$ | $\cdot 7$ | 9 | 1-1 | 1.3 |
| Switzerland | -3 | $\cdot 9$ | -8 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Kenya and Zanxibar - . . | $\cdot 3$ | 1-4 | 1-8 | $2-9$ | 1.7 |

Table C.
Exporls.

|  | 1913-14 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent |
| United Kingdom | $23 \cdot 4$ | 21.8 | $23 \cdot 8$ | 27.9 | 28-4 |
| Germany | $10 \cdot 6$ | 8.4 | 6.4 | $6 \cdot 3$ | 6.1 |
| Japan - - | $9 \cdot 1$ | $10 \cdot 2$ | $10 \cdot 6$ | 8.7 | $10 \cdot 3$ |
| United States of America | 8.7 | $11 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 9$ | .a. 7 -4 |
| France | $7 \cdot 1$ | 5-3 | $4 \cdot 9$ | $4 \cdot 8$ | - ${ }^{-1} 60$ |
| Belgium - . | 48 | $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | 2.8 | . 30 |
| Austris and Hungary - . . | $4 \cdot 0$ | - |  |  |  |
| Ceylon - - - | 3.6 | $4 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 0$ |
| Persia, Arabia, Iraq, Asiatic Turkey, etc. | 3.2 | $2 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 2$ |
| Italy - | $3 \cdot 1$ | 3.6 | 3.5 | $3 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 5$ |
| Hongkong . | $3 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | $\cdot 7$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | $\square 11$. |
| Straits Settlements | $2 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 7$ |
| Chins - - | $2 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 9$ | $4 \cdot 9$ | - , $2 \cdot 6$ |
| Central and South America | $2 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | 1.5 | - 2.8 |
| Netheriands | $1 \cdot 7$ | 2.8 | $3 \cdot 4$ | 3.0 | - 2.9 |
| Australia ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $1 \cdot 6$ | 1.8 | $2 \cdot 0$ | 1.8 | $2 \cdot 9$ |
| Kenya and Zanzibar | 1.0 | $\cdot 5$ | $\cdot 5$ | -5 | $\cdot 5$ |
| Rusgia | $\cdot 9$ | $\cdot 1$ | $\cdot 2$ | $\cdot 3$ | $\cdot 3$ |
| Spain | -8 | 1-1 | $1 \cdot 1$ | $\cdot 8$ | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| Java - | $\bullet 8$ | $1 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 1$ | ${ }^{-5}$ |

## Direction of Trade.

Table D.
Imports.



## Direction of Trade.

Table D-contd.
Imports-contd.


- Inclodes Hongkong and Mac\&o.
$\dagger$ Incindes the Straltu Settlements.

|  | Lequers |  |  | minmeral oils |  |  | Paper |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1918 \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1081- \\ 82 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{33}{1032}$ | $\underset{14}{1913}$ | ${ }_{82}^{1081-}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1032- \\ \hline 88 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1018- \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{32}{1091-}$ | $\underset{38}{1932-}$ |
|  | $\underset{\text { Per }}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{gathered}$ | Per cent | $\underset{\text { ecnt }}{\text { Per }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Per cent | $\underset{\text { cont }}{\text { Per }}$ | Per cont | Per cent |
| United Kingdom America ${ }^{\text {U }}$ | 62.9 2.7 | ${ }_{6}^{60.8}$ | 62.1 | 5 |  | $4 \cdot 1$ | 56.0 | 50.4 | 27.8 |
| Germany or | 10.7 | 6.1 78 | 78 | ${ }_{7}^{56.8}$ | ${ }^{34.08}$ | $12 \cdot 8$ | $37 \%$ | 10.8 | 10.6 |
| France | 18.8 | 11.8 | 11.6 | .. | .. | .. |  | 4 | $\cdot 6$ |
| Netherlands | $\because$ | 8.5 | 8.0 | $\because \cdot 7$ | $\because \cdot 1$ |  | 2.5 | 0.4 | $4 \cdot 8$ |
| Borreo, Sumatrs, etc.* | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | 8.7 26.1 | 28.3 | $24 \cdot 9$ 14.1 | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ |
| Norway . - | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\stackrel{\square}{ }$ | $\cdots$ | 14. | $\because{ }_{5} 0$ | 10.4 | $18 \cdot 2$ |
| Swedsa - | $\because$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | $\because$ |  | S-I | 11.2 | 16.5 |
|  | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $25 \cdot 8$ | $\ddot{8}$ | 14.4 | $10 \cdot 1$ |
| Percontage of total trado ropresented by condriea thown | $95 \cdot 1$ | 80.0 | $01 \cdot 2$ | 98.8 | 97.5 | 00.8 | 91.0 | 88.4 | $85 \cdot 4$ |
| Total valug of tradg if (laths) | 2,24 | 2,27 | $2 \cdot 28$ | 4,12 | 0,04 | 6,70 | 1,59 | 2,50 | 2,86 |

- Includes the Straite Eettlementa and Celebeg and other Talands.

4 Includes Georgla and Azerba!jan.
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T'able E.
Exports.

|  | Tes |  |  | JUTB (EAW) |  |  | Jutr mantuatiores |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1913-14 | 1031-32 | 1038-33 | 1013-14 | 1031-32 | 1032-33 | 1913-14 | 1831-92 | 1082-33 |
| Oulted Fingdom | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ 72 \cdot 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Por } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & 87 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & 86 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ 38.0 \end{gathered}$ | Per oent $27 \cdot 8$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Por } \\ \text { cent } \\ 23.0}}{ }$ | Per cont | $\underset{\substack{\text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ 8.5}}{ }$ | Per oent 8.0 |
| Canada - | $4 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | .. | .. |  |  | 3.0 | 3. 1 |
| Australia - | 3.1 | $\cdot 7$ | $\cdot 4$ | .. | . | $\cdot 8$ | 10.8 | 51.0 | 15.3 |
| Persia, Arabia, Astatio | 11.1 | -8 | 1.6 | . | - | .. | -. | 1.4 | - |
| Turkey and Iraq | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | . | -' | -• | - | $\cdot 8$ | $\cdot 9$ |
| America. . | $\cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | 3.3 | $11 \cdot 9$ | $8 \cdot 1$ | $7 \cdot 1$ | 41.5 | 81.7 | 25.0 |
| Oeglon - . | $1 \cdot 6$ | 1.0 | $1 \cdot 8$ |  |  |  |  | $\cdot 4$ | $\cdot 2$ |
| Germany - | . | -. | $\cdots \cdot 1$ | 21.8 | 21.7 | 81.7 | $\cdots$ | -3 | $\cdot 4$ |
| Prance : | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\cdot 1$ | $9 \cdot 9$ 5.8 | 8.8 7.7 | 11.8 6.7 | $\because$ | $\because$ | - |
| Argontina. | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | - | 1.0 | 1.0 | 10.4 | $6 \cdot 3$ | 12.8 |
| Java. - | - | . | .. | .. |  |  | 2.5 | 4.5 | $1 \cdot 9$ |
|  | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | 1.6 7.6 | $9 \cdot 8$ $7 \cdot 2$ | $\cdot 5$ | .$^{.} 7$ | 1.1. |
| Percentago of totai |  | - | $\cdots$ |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| by countries showd. | 94.4 | 06.5 | 90.4 | 87.6 | 84.1 | $81 \cdot 1$ | 71.8 | 69.2 | 68.7 |
| total falue of TRADE II (LAKHS) | 14,08 | 19,44 | 17,15 | 30,83 | 11,10 | 0,73 | 28,27 | 11,02 | 21-71 |


| United SIngdom | 3.6 | 0.6 | 7-8 | $22 \cdot 2$ | 13.0 | 10.9 | 20.7 | $6 \cdot 1$ | 6.9 | 25.0 | 59.0 | 60.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Persla, Arabla, Aslatic Turkey and Iraq | . | $\cdots$ | * |  | . | $\cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | 3.0 | . | . |  |
| Unfted America States of | . | 1.2 | $\cdot 3$ | 1.2 | $4 \cdot 2$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | ** | $\cdots$ | - | $24 \cdot 8$ | 20:3 | 12.4 |
| Coylon | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdot 1$ | - | $\cdot 6$ | $\cdot 5$ | 11.5 | 19.7 | 23.0 | - | - | $\cdot 2$ |
| Germany . . | 14.6 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 16.0 | 18.7 | $9 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 8$ | 8.6 | $8 \cdot 1$ | $20 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | 3.9 |
| France | - | 8.4 | 6.7 | 31.4 | 80.9 | $38 \cdot 1$ | - | $\cdot 3$ | $\cdot 9$ | $\cdots$ | 1.0 | $2 \cdot 9$ |
| Italy | 7.7 | 0.0 | 76 | 5.0 | 13.8 | $15 \cdot 1$ | - | $\cdot 5$ | 7 | $5 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 4$ |
| Japan . | $47 \cdot 2$ | $47 \cdot 1$ | 54.6 | - | - 9 | - 3 | 9.8 | - 6 | 4.4 | $\cdots$ | 3.0 | $2 \cdot 8$ |
| Belglum . | $10 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 1$ | $6 \cdot 3$ | 16.0 | $1 \cdot 2$ | $\because 2$ | - | 2.5 | 1.0 | - | $\cdot 9$ | -8 |
| Oblna* | 177 | 18.8 | $6 \cdot 5$ | - | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | 15.0 | 12.2 | - | -3 | -2 |
| Notherlands | - | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 15.4 | 13.9 | 0.8 | $5 \cdot 2$ | 2.6 | $8 \cdot 3$ | 1.8 | 8.0 |
| Stralte Settlements | -* | - | - | - | .5 | $\cdot 4$ | 6.7 | $9 \cdot 0$ | 7.8 | - | -8 | 4 |
| Bpaln - | - | 1.8 | $2 \cdot 3$ | . | .$^{5}$ | $\cdot 0$ | - | -* | - | $2 \cdot 8$ | 1.0 | $\cdot 6$ |
| 8umatra and Java | -• | - | . | - | $\cdot 3$ | $\cdots$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | 3.4 | - | - | - |
| Porcentage of totnt trade represented by countries shown. | 85.0 | $90 \cdot 0$ | 09.2 | 92.8 | $95 \cdot 0$ | 95.7 | 60.6 | 75.9 | $77 \cdot 0$ | $81 \cdot 9$ | 95'7 | 054 |
| Total palez op thade it (LATH日). | 41,04 | 23,45 | 20,37 | 25,65 | 14,59 | 11,81 | 45,14 | 20,27 | 16,08 | 15,95 | 8,02 | 7,43 |

- Includes Hongkong and mecso.


## Direction of Trade.

Table A shows the total overseas trade of British India in private merchandise in such a way as to indicate separately the balance of trade with the United Kingdom, other parts of the British Empire, Europe, the United States of America, Japan and other Foreign countries. As will be seen from the table, the balance is usually in favour of India in all cases, excepting that of trade with the United Kingdom. In the case of the United Kingdom, the normal excess of imports over exports which had completely been wiped out in 1931-32 was resumed during the year under review, the import balance having amounted to R11 crores. Trade with other parts of the British Empire showed a balance in favour of India to the extent of R14 crores, as in the preceding year; but the balance. in the case of the British Empire taken as a whole, was reduced from R14 crores in 1931-32 to R3 crores in favour of India. Taking the foreign countries together, the balance which, as usual, was in favour of India showed a further heavy decline from R21 crores in 1931-32 to Fl 1 crore, the year's transactions with Furope having resulted in a much smaller balance in favour of India, and those with the United States of America and Japan yielding balances adverse to her.

In Table B have been listed the principal countries supplying India's import requirements (arranged in order of their importance in 1913-14) with their respective percentage shares in the total import trade, while Table C shows the corresponding details in regard to exports. The share of the United Kingdom, as will appear from these tables, showed an improvement and rose from $35 \cdot 5$ per cent in 1931-32 to 36.8 per cent in the case of imports and from 27.9 per cent to 28.4 per cent in the case of exports. The share of the British Empire, considered as a whole, dropped from $45 \cdot 2$ per cent to $44 \cdot 7$ per cent under imports, but it further advanced from 44.1 per cent to 45.5 per cent in the case of exports. Under imports. the United States of America had her share reduced from 10.2 per cent in 1931-32 to 8.5 per cent and under exports, from 8.9 per cent to 7.4 per cent. Japan, on the other hand, improved her position, both in the import and export trades, her contributions being $15 \cdot 4$ per cent in the former and 10.3 per cent in the latter as against 10.6 per cent and 8.7 . per cent respectively in 1931-32; Germany's share amounted to 7.8 per cent under imports and 6.1 per cent under exports as compared with 8.1 per cent and 6.3 per cent respectively in 1931-32. On the import side, the other noticeable variations were a reduction from 3.8 per cent to 2.8 per cent in the case of Java and from 2.9 to 1.7 per cent in the case of Kenya and Zanzibar. On the export side, mention may be made of the decreases in the shares of China (from 4.9 to 2.6 per cent) and of the Netherlands (from 3.0 to $2 \cdot 9$ per cent).

Turning to the main groups of commodities in the import trade (Table D), there is to be noted an increase in the shares of the United Kingdom under cotton manufactures, machinery, motor cars, instruments and mineral oils. In the case of cotton manufactures, her share, which in 1931-32 had dropped to 51.6 per cent from 58.0 per cent in 1930-31, improved to 53.0 per cent in 1932-33. Concurrently, there was a further increase in the contribution of Japan under this head froin $35 \cdot 5$ to 38.0 per cent. China (imports from which source consisted largely of twist and yarn), however, reduced her share from $5 \cdot 3$ per cent to 3.3 per cent. Under machinery, the proportion coming from
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the United Kingdom rose from 70.8 per cent to 74.1 per cent, but the share of Germany was reduced from $10 \cdot 3$ to $9 \cdot 3$ per cent and that of the United States of America from $11 \cdot 1$ to 7.7 per cent. In instruments, the United Kingdom which had 49.6 per cent of the trade in 1931-32 improved her share to 50.5 per cent, but the contribution of the United States of America was reduced from 17.0 per cent to 13.9 per cent, the gap being filled up by an increase in the supplies from Japan. Under iron and steel, there was a drop in the percentage shares of the United Kingdom (from 53.8 to $51 \cdot 1$ ), France and Luxemburg (from 5.4 to 4.8 ) and the United States of America (from 2.2 to $1 \cdot 2$ ), but Germany made a further advance from 7.3 to 8.6 per cent. Under motor vehicles, the proportion of the total imports credited to the United Kingdom further increased from 31.1 to 47.6 per cent at the expense of the United States of America, Canada and Italy, the respective shares of which dropped from $48 \cdot 4,8 \cdot 3$ and 4.8 to $36 \cdot 5,5 \cdot 7$ and $3 \cdot 2$ per cent. Under mineral oils, there was a further increase in the share of Russia from $20 \cdot 4$ to $25 \cdot 8$ per cent which was accompanied by a falling off in the contribution of the United States of America from $34 \cdot 9$ to $19 \cdot 6$ per cent and of Persia from 26.1 to 24.9 per cent; the share of Borneo and Sumatra, etc., showed a slight improvement from $12 \cdot 3$ to $14 \cdot 1$ per cent. In liquors, the share of the United Kingdom further advanced (from 60.8 to $62 \cdot 1$ per cent) but that of France remained steady at about 12 per cent. In silk manufactures, Japan continued to encroach on the share of China and in paper both Norway and Sweden improved their respective positions chiefly at the expense of the United Kingdom and Austria. Under sugar, receipts from Java formed 80.6 per cent of the total imports under this head as compared with 73.5 per cent in 1931-32.

On the export side (Table E) the outstanding feature was an improvement in the proportion taken by the United Kingdom of hides and skins. Shipments of hides and skins to the United Kingdom amounted to $66 \cdot 3$ per cent of the total exports recorded under this head as against $59 \cdot 9$ per cent in 193132, but the share of the United States of America was reduced from 20.5 per cent to $12 \cdot 4$ per cent. In raw jute, the share of the United Kingdom receded from 27.8 per cent to 23.0 per cent, while that of Germany remained stationary at 21.7 per cent. France increased her share from 8.8 per cent to 11.8 per cent. Of the exports of tea 86.1 per cent went to the United Kingdom as compared with 87.1 per cent in the preceding year. In jute manufactures the proportion taken by the United States of America further dropped from 31.7 to 25.0 per cent but the shares of the Argentine and Australia improved from 6.3 and 11.0 to 12.2 and 15.3 per cent respectively. Under raw cotton, Japan and the United Kingdom increased their shares from $47 \cdot 1$ and 6.6 per cent to 54.5 and 7.8 per cent respectively, but China had her share reduced from 19.3 to 6.5 per cent. Under oilseeds, there was a further expansion of the proportion received by France (from 30.9 to 38.1 . per cent), but the United Kingdom and the Netherlands both had their respective shares reduced (from $13 \cdot 0$ and $15 \cdot 4$ to $10 \cdot 9$ and $13 \cdot 9$ per cent). Italy's share improved from 13.8 per cent to 15.1 per cent. In foodgrains the only features worth mentioning were increases in the percentage shares of Ceylon and the United Kingdom.
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## The British Empire and Mandated Territories.

The imports from, and exports to, the United Kingdom and other British Possessions are shown in the following tables :-

Imports.

| Countries | 1913-14 | 1020-30 | 19:0-31 | 1831-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R (lakhs) | f(lakhs) | 7 (Jakbs) | f( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (has) | R (Inkha) |
| United Kingdom | 1,17,58 | 1,03,10 | - 61,29 | 44,81 | 48,80 |
| Ceylon - | 81 | 1,80 | 1,43 | 1,37 | 1,76 |
| Straits Settlements | 3,42 | - 6,16 | 3,97 | 2,87 | 2,79 |
| Hongkong. | 98 | 74 | 87 | 63 | 52 |
| South Africa | 23 | 61 | 43 | 22 | 10 |
| Mauritius - . - | 2,53 |  | $\cdots$ | . |  |
| Kenya Colony, Zanzibar and Pemba | 39 | 3.44 | 3,36 | 3,188 | 2,22 |
| Canade | 1 | 1,91 | 1,33 | 42 | 34 |
| Australia | 92 | 5,54 | 2,42 | 1,68 | 1,07 |
| New Zealand |  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| Other countries | 1,20 | 1,13 | 89 | 1,15 | 1,66 |
| 'Total Britisn Empire | 1,28,07 | 1,24,46 | 76,02 | 56,07 | 60,38 |

Exports.

| Countries | 1913-14 | 1029-30 | 1930-31 | 1931 -32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R (lakhs) | f( (lakhs) | f (lakhs) | R (lakhs) | R (lakhs) |
| Uaited Kingdom . | 58,35 | 69,18 | 53,87 | 44,80 | 38,49 |
| Ceylon . | 9,04 | 13,22 | 11,38 | 7,96 | 6,82 |
| Straits Settlements | 6,79 | 8,02 | 6,30 | 4,74 | 3,197 |
| Hongtong - | 7,82 | 3,04 | 1,69 | 1,88 | 1,46 |
| Sonth Afriou | 1,20 | 2,28 | 2,00 | 1,46 | 1,26 |
| Mauritius - . . | 1,26 | 1,62 | 1,12 | 90 | 76 |
| Kenya Colony, Zanzibar and Pemba | 1,62 | 1,72 | 1,16 | 87 | 73 |
| Canada | 1,43 | 2,41 | 1,72 | 1,48 | 1,63 |
| Australia - | 4,10 | 6,80 | 4,49 | 2,97 | 3,90 |
| New Zealaud | 56 | 78 | 63 | 35 | 39 |
| Other Countries | 2,82 | 6,10 | 4,74 | 3,5\% | 2,08 |
| Total British Earpire | 94,39 | 1,14,17 | 89,10 | 70,98 | 62,00 |

United Kingdom (Imports R48,80 lakhs, Exports R38,49 lakhs).-The value of the total trade of India with the United Kingdom amounted to R87,29 lakhs, a decline of $\mathrm{R} 2,32$ lakhs in comparison with 1931-32. This decline was due to a heavy fall on the export side; the import trade showed a relative improvement. The reduction on the export side was one of $\not \approx 6,31$ lakhs (from R 44,80 lakhs to R38,49 lakhs), while in the case of imports there was an improvement of R3,99 lakhs (from R44,81 lakhs to R48,80 lakhs). Among the imported articles, cotton manufactures, forming 29 per cent (as against 22 per cent in 1931-32) of the total imports, showed the largest increase, the recorded value for 1932-33 having been R14,22 lakhs as compared with R 9,88 lakhs in the preceding ycar. The bulk of the increase under this head occurred under piccegoods, the receipts of which amounted to 597 million yards valued at R11,90 lakhs as against 383 million yards valued at $\mathbb{R 7}, 96$
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lakhs in 1931-32. Cotton twist and yarn showed a relatively small increase. viz., from $\mathrm{Rl} 1,22$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Rl}, 32$ lakhs in value and from $11 \cdot 9$ million lbs. to 13.4 million lbs in quantity. The increase under piecegoods was noticeable under all the descriptions, viz., grey, white and coloured, imports of which advanced respectively from 60 million yards to 111 million yards, from 207 million yards to 281 million yards and from 110 million yards to 194 million yards. The main features of India's trade in cotton manufactures have been discussed in detail in Chapter II. In other descriptions of textiles improvements, although individually of much smaller significance, were noticeable under silk yarn, goods of silk mixed with other materials, artificial silk yarn, piecegoods of cotton and artificial silk and woollen manufactures. The slump in the trade in iron and steel, which continued into the year under review, was responsible for a further decline in the imports in this line from the United Kingdom from $\mathfrak{Z 3} 3,40$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 2,71$ lakhs. Imports of machinery and millwork advanced from R7,73 lakhs to R7,81 lakhs, owing mainly to improvements under sugar and cotton machinery. Imports of hardware were valued at P91 lakhs as against R 96 lakhs in 1931-32. There was an increase of fl25 lakhs (from f 91 lakhs to $\mathrm{Rl}, 16$ lakhs) under motor vehicles. This inorease was due mainly to larger importation of motor cars, the number of which amounted to 3,958 as against 2,178 imported in 1931-32; the number of omnibuses imported rose from 435 to 517 , but the value dropped from R14 lakhs to Rl10 lakhs. Imports of instruments and apparatus were valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,94$ lakhs, an increase of R 11 lakhs in comparison with the preceding year. Imports of paper and pasteboard advanced from 814,000 cwts. valued at P 76 lakhs to $962,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at f 80 lakhs, whilethose of provisions declined by RII lakhs to R1, 40 lakhs. Imports of sugar increased by 12,000 tons in quantity and by R10 lakhs in value, the consignments having amounted to 35,000 tons valued at f 38 lakhs. There was a heavy drop in the imports of tobacco, viz., from 3 million lbs. valued at R1,30 lakhs in 1930-31 and 1.5 million lbs. valued at P 62 lakhs in 1931-32 to $1 \cdot 1$ million lbs. valued at P 34 lakhs in the year under review.

The principal articles exported to the United Kingdom were, as usual, tea (RI4,78 lakhs), hides and skins (R4,93 lakhs), jute rav and manufactured ( $\mathrm{R} 3,99$ lakhs), raw cotton ( $\mathrm{Rl} 1,61$ lakhs), foodgrains ( $\mathrm{Rl} 1,44$ lakhs), seeds ( $\mathrm{Rl} 1,24$ lakhs), and raw wool ( $\mathrm{Zl} 1,06$ lakhs). These articles, taken together, represented about 75 per cent of the total exports to the United Kingdom during 1932-33, as compared with 80 per cent in 1931-32. Exports of tea increased from 291 million lbs. to 331 million lbs. in quanity, but the value decreased from fil 6,93 lakhs to $\mathfrak{P l} 14,78$ lakhs. The total weight of raw and manufactured jute exported declined by 26,000 tons in quantity and by R 98 lakhs in value. Despatches of raw jute declined from 154,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 3,11$ lakhs to 130,000 tons valued at $R 2,24$ lakhs and those of jute bags from 48 millions to 46 millions in number and in value from RI, 14 lakhs to $\mathrm{Fl}, 07$ lakhs. The yardage recorded under gunny cloth also declined from 62 millions to 57 millions and the value from R70 lakhs to R63 lakhs. Under hides and skins, there was a decline in the exports of the tanned or dressed varieties from 14,800 tons valued at $\mathbf{R 4} 4,85$ lakhs to 13,700 tons valued at $\mathbf{R 4} 46$ lakhs; the quantity of the raw descriptions declined from 3,900 tons to 3,500 tons but the value showed an increase of Z 5 lakhs from R5I lakhs to R 56 lakhs.
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Exports of raw skins of non-Indian origin declined in quantity from 334 tons to 207 tons and in value from R81 lakhs to R26 lakhs. Shipments of raw cotton slightly advanced from 29,700 tons ( 166,000 bales) valued at R1,54 lakhs to 29,800 tons ( 167,000 bales) valued at $\mathrm{fl} 1,61$ lakhs. Despatches of raw wool dropped from $35 \cdot 3$ million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{f} 2,50$ lakhs to 27.8 million lbs. valued at R1,06 lakhs. Exports of oilseeds contracted from 141,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 90$ lakhs to 82,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,24$ lakhs. The reduction occurred mainly under groundnuts, shipments of which fell off from 78,000 tons valued at $\mathbb{R 1 , 1 5}$ lakhs to 32,000 tons valued at 758 lakhs. The decrease under this head was supplemented by reductions under cotton and of rape seed, of which the quantity shipped amounted to 1,500 tons and 10,700 tons as against 10,700 tons and 14,400 tons respectively in 1931-32. Castor seed also accounted for a decrease of 500 tons, viz., from 23,200 tons to 22,700 tons. There was, however, a slight increase in the exports of linseed which rose from 14,100 tons to 14,300 tons. Exports of foodgrains advanced from 107,000 tons (R75 lakhs) to 224,000 tons (R1, 44 lakhs), mainly as a result of an increase under rice from 51,000 tons ( P 40 lakhs) to 177,000 tons ( $\mathrm{Al} 1,10$ lajhs). There were no exports of wheat during the year. Mention has also to be made of an increase of 30,000 tons in quantity and of $\mathbb{Z 1 5}$ lakhs in value under metals and ores. The increase was particularly noticeable under pig lead, the shipments of which advanced from 39,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Zl} 1,04$ lakhs to 48,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Zl} 1,18$ lakhs. Chromite (chrome iron ore) also showed an increase from 3,800 tons ( Rl lakh) to 6,300 tons ( fl 2 lakhs) and pig iron from 69,000 tons ( Z 24 lakhs) to 76,000 tons ( R 26 lakhs). The quantity of manganese ore exported advanced from 54,000 tons to 55,000 tons, but the value dropped from $\mathbb{R 1 6}$ lakhs to F 14 lakhs. There was a decrease under wolfram ore from 3,400 tons (R56 lakhs) to 3,100 tons ( R 50 lakhs). Shipments of paraffin wax amounted to 8,000 tons valued at Z 37 lakhs as against 17,000 tons valued at P 76 lakhs in 1931-32. Exports of lac fell from $106,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ( P 45 lakhs) to $105,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. (f33 lakhs). Among other articles, spices showed an increase in quantity with a decrease in value. Raw rubber, mica, teakwood and raw tobacco showed decreases, while woollen carpets and raw hemp showed increases.

Ceylon (Impcrts R1,76 lakhs, Exports P6,82 lakbs). There was a further decline in the trade with Ceylon from $\nexists 9,33$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 8,58$ lakhs. Though the import trade increased from R1,37 lakhs to $\mathrm{Rl}, 76$ lakhs, exports decreased from $\not \approx 7,96$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 6,82$ lakhs. On the import side, increases occurred mainly under coconut oil and copra seed. Imports of coconut oil which had amounted to $3,298,000$ gallons valued at R 42 lakhs in 1931-32 increased considerably and amounted to $6,619,000$ gallons valued at R79 lakhs. Imports of copra increased from 1,200 tons (f2 lakhs) to 12,600 tons ( R 23 lakhs). Tea recorded a decrease from 2.7 million lbs. valued at R 18 lakhs to 2 million lbs. valued at R 12 lakhs. Decreases were also noticeable under jute gunny bags, grain, pulse and flour, raw hides and skins and rubber. On the export side, the main item was rice not in the husk, shipments of which declined from 410,000 tons to 394,000 tons in quantity and from $\mathrm{R} 3,67$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 3,40$ lakhs in value. Exports of raw rubber declined from 1.8 million lbs. valued at R7 lakhs to 0.8 million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{R} 2 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Exports of fish (excluding canned fish) decreased from $232,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.
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valued at Z 42 lakhs to 206,000 cwts. valued at Z 36 lakhs. There was also a decline of 6,300 tons in quantity and of $\mathrm{R7}$ lakhs in value under manures, shipments of which amounted to 12,100 tons valued at R8 lakhs. This was supplemented by a decline of R9 lakhs under oilcakes, exports amounting to 22,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Z17}$ lakhs. Despatches of chillies amounted to 151,000 cwts. as against 147,000 cwts. but the improvement on the quantity side was accompanied by a decrease in value, from R 21 lakhs to R19 lakhs. Shipments of coal receded from 273,000 tons (R33 lakhs) to 169,000 tons (R20 lakhs). Exports of tea, on the other hand, advanced from 2.9 million lbs. valued at f 20 lakhs to 3.5 million lbs. valued at R 23 lakhs. There were decreases under cotton manufactures, provisions and oilman's stores and under living animals and increases under fruits and vegetables and fodder, bran and pollards.

Straits Settlements (Imports R2,79 lakhs, Exports R8,67 lakhs).-The transactions with this country resulted in a decline of R 8 lakhs (from R2,87 lakhs to $\mathrm{A} 2,79$ lakhs) under imports and of $\mathrm{Rl}, 07$ lakhs (from $\mathrm{R} 4,74$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 3,67$ lakhs) under exports. On the import side, spices accounted for a decline of R 24 lakhs. Imports of betelnuts amounted to $995,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 99 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs as against 989,000 cwts. valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,22$ lakhs in 1931-32 and those of other kinds of spices to $20,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at Z 10 lakhs as against $19,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R11 lakhs. Receipts of tin unwrought advanced from 44,000 cwts. valued at R41 lakhs to 47,000 cwts. valued at R46 lakhs. There was a decrease of about R 5 lakhs under mineral oils, the total imports of which amounted to 81,000 gallons valued at $\mathrm{R} 29,000$ as compared with $2 \cdot 3$ million gallons valued at R5 lakhs in 1931-32. Under this head consignments of fuel oils declined from $2 \cdot 2$ million gallons ( $\mathrm{R} 4 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs) to 77,000 gallons ( $\mathrm{R} 21,000$ ) ; the import trade in kerosene oil disappeared altogether during the year. There were also smaller receipts of provisions, lac, and drugs and medicines, while fish (chiefly salted) and fruits and vegetables recorded increases. On the export side, there was a large reduction under rice not in the husk, shipments of which declined from 233,000 tons valued at R1,67 lakhs to 161,000 tons valued at IR1,14 lakhs. Exports of cotton piecegoods (principally coloured) also declined from 9 million yards to 8 million yards in quantity and from fl48 lakhs to fl 40 lakhs in value. Shipments of gunny bags amounted to 14.8 millions valued at R39 lakhs as compared with 15.6 millions valued at f47 lakhs in 1931-32. Despatches of rubber, raw declined in quantity from $5 \cdot 0$ million lbs. to $4 \cdot 6$ million lbs. and in value from R8 lakhs to R 4 lakhs. There were also smaller shipments of coal, fodder, bran and pollards, provisions and tobacco. There was, however, an increase in the shipments of tin ore from R23 lakhs to R28 lakhs.

Australia (lmports R1,07 lakhs, Exports R3,90 lakbs).-The total trade with Australia showed an increase of P 42 lakhs as compared with the value recorded in 1931-32. Imports showed a decline of R5I lakhs but exports showed an increase of R 93 lakhs. The dccline in the imports was mainly due to a reduction under wheat from 110,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R7} 7$ lakhs to 33,000 tons valued at R 29 lakhs. Imports of horses declined considerably from R29 lakhs to R5 lakhs. Imports of tallow and stearine increased from 97,500 cwts. valued at P 16 lakhs in 1931-32 to $113,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R 20 lakhs in 1932-33. Imports of raw wool showed an improvement from

## Direction of Trade.

$2 \cdot 9$ million lbs. valued at R15 lakhs to $3 \cdot 1$ million lbs. valued at Pl 18 lakhs, Imports of provisions also increased from R13 lakhs to R18 lakhs. On the export side, the bulk of the increase occurred under jute manufactures. Gunny bags advanced from ( 88 millions valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,16$ lakhs to 99 millions valued at R2,96 lakhs. Shipments of gunny cloth improved in quantity from 20 million yards to 25 million yards and in value from R 28 lakhs to P 36 lakhs. Shipments of tea declined from 2.5 million lbs. valued at R13 lakhs to 1.6 million lbs. valued at R8 lakhs. Exports of linseed fell from 10,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R11} 1_{2}^{1}$ lakhs to 9,000 tons valued at R10 lakhs. There was a slight increase under raw hides and skins (chiefly goat skins), the quantity rising from 304 tous to 429 tons and the value from R 7 lakhs to f 9 lakhs.

East Africa (Imports R2,33 Iakhs, Exports 1295 lakhs).-In this group of territories are included Kenya, Zanzibar and Pemba, Uganda Protectorate, Nyasaland Protectorate, Tanganyika Territory and British Somaliland. The total value of the trade with this group of territories amounted to R23,28 lakhs as compared with R4,98 lakhs in 1931-32. On the import side, there was a decline to the extent of R1,41 lakhs-viz., from R3,74 lakhs to R2,33 lakhs, and in the case of exports, the total value fell from $R 1,24$ lakhs to R95 lakhs. The decrease in the case of imports was due mainly to smaller arrivals of raw cotton which totalled 21,700 tons valued at $\nexists 1,86$ lakhs in 1932-33 as compared with 32,700 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 3,21$ lakhs in 1931-32 and of spices (mainly cloves), consignments of which were valued at R34 lakhs as against R4l lakhs in the preceding year. Under exports, the shipments of jute gunny bags increased from 4 millions valued at Rll lakhs to 6 millions valued at R17 lakhs. Exports of piecegoods fell from 17 million yards valued at R37 lakhs to 10 million yards valued at R21 lakhs and those of foodgrains from 27,500 tons valued at P 37 laklıs to 20,500 tous valued at R 26 lakhs.

Canada (Imports $¥ 34$ lakhs, Exports 71,63 lakhs). -Compared with the preceding year, imports from Canada decreased by f 8 lakhs to R34 lakhs. Exports, however, showed an improvement from $\mathrm{Zl} 1,48$ lalkhs to $\mathrm{Zl}, 63$ lakhs. The decline noticed on the import side was due to a reduction in imports of motor vehicles and of rubber manufactures. The total value of motor vehicles imported during the year amounted to R14 lakhs as compared with R23 lakhs in the preceding year. The number of motor cars imported decreased from 676 valued at about $\mathrm{Rl} 10 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 296 valued at a little over R6 lakhs and that of motor omnibuses from 598 valued at R 9 lakhs to 338 valued at P 6 lakhs. Imports of rubber manufactures showed a further decline from $\mathbf{R 9}$ lakiss to R6 lakhs. Coming to exports, the major item, as usual, was jute gunny cloth. Shipments under this head increased in quantity by 2 million yards to 65 million yards, but the value remained the same, viz., R65 lakhs. Despatches of tea direct to Canada amounted to 17 million lbs. valued at. R 80 lakhs as compared with 14 million lbs. valued at R67 lakhs in 1931-32.

Hongkong (Imports R52 lakhs, Exports R1,46 lakhs).-Imports from this country showed a nominal decline of PI lakh, in comparison with the preceding year and the value of the exports fell off from $\mathrm{Rl}, 88$ lakhs to R1,46 lakhs The number of gunny bags exported declined from 27 millions valued at R74 lakhs to 25 millions valued at R64 lakhs. Exports of coal showed an increase from 162,000 tons to 189,000 tons, but the value remained almost the same as in the preceding year, viz., ㄱ14 lakhs. There was also a

## Foreign Countries.

noticeable increase under drugs and medicines, the total value of which rose from R8 lakhs in the previous year to Rl 14 lakhs in the year under review, but rice showed a sharp fall from 63,000 tons ( F 40 lakhs) to 27,000 tons (R16 lakhs).

Union of South Africa (Imports R19 lakhs, Exports R1,25 lakhs). -The total trade with the Union of South Africa fell by R24 lakhs to R1,44 lakhs, imports showing a decrease from R22 lakhs to R19 lakhs and exports from R1,46 lakhs to R1,25 lakhs. On the import side coal showed a decline from 23,000 tons valued at R 4 lakhs to 14,000 tons valued at R 2 lakhs. Imports of barks for tanning declined in value from fl3 lakhs to R12 lakhs and in quantity from $214,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $193,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Under exports the bulk of the decline occurred under rice. Shipments of rice declined from 27,000 tons valued at R30 lakhs to 25,000 tons valued at R 26 lakhs. Despatches of gunny bags and of gunny cloth remained stationary at 18 millions and 4.4 million yards but the value recorded declined from R $61 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to R57 lakhs and from R7 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to R7 lakhs respectively. Exports of vegetable oils and provisions also showed decreases.

Foreign Countries.
The following tables show the imports from and exports to the principal European and other foreign countries :-

Imports.

| Countrates | 1913-14 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| European Countries- | f (lakhs) | f( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (akhs) | fr (lakhs) | f (lakhs) |
| Russin | 6 | 1,01 | 95 | 37 |
| Sweden | 52 | 98 | 87 | 96 |
| Norway . . | 23 | \$6 | 48 | 66 |
| Germany . | 12,67 | 12,3s | 10,20 | 10,39 |
| Netherlands. | 1,55 | 3,22 | 2,04 | 1.69 |
| Beigium | 4,26 | 4,67 | 3,02 | 3,42 |
| France | 2,69 | 2.89 | 2,17 | 2,04 |
| Spain | 19 | 27 | 19 | 25 |
| switzerland. | 69 | 1,27 | 1,2: | 1,2! |
| Italy . | 2,20 | 4,51 | 8,i9 | 3,95 |
| Austria | 4,29! | 82 | 71 | 65 |
| Hungary . . | 4,20 ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | 22 | 3 | 5 |
| Turbey, European Other Countries. | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | - 3 ,25 | 2,99 | 3,11 |
| Total Eunoplan Countres | 29,51 | 36,38 | 28,46 | 28,83 |
| Other Foreign Countries-- |  |  |  |  |
| Egypt . . - | 30 | 2,54 | 1,47 | 06 |
| Turkey, Asiatio . . | 57 |  |  |  |
| Iraq - . . . - | - | 1,01 | 58 | 43 |
| Persia. . . . . | 71 | 2,72 | 2,76 | 1,93 |
| Java. | 10,75 | 10,34 | 4,85 | 3,74 |
| China . . | 1,71 | 3,33 | 2,79 | 2,95 |
| Japan. | 4,78 | 14,61 | 13,34 | 20,48 |
| United States of America | 4,79 | 15,15 | 12,84 | 11,25 |
| Argentina - | - |  | - | .. |
| Ohile ${ }_{\text {Other }}$ Countries . . . . | ${ }^{\mathbf{2}, 06}$ | 5 2,75 | $\stackrel{*}{ } \mathbf{+ 6 1}$ | - 2,63 |
| Total other Foreign Countries . | 25,67 | 52,40 | 41,24 | 44,37 |
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Exporls.

| Countries | 1913-14 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1832-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| European Countries - | R (lakhs) | f (lakhs) | R (lachas) | fr (lakhs) |
| Russia . . | 2,47 | 53 | 55 | 34 |
| Sweden | 42 | 37 | 21 | 24 |
| Norway - | 5 | 57 | 44 | 32 |
| Germany . . | 26,42 | 14,42 | 10,35 | 8,32 |
| Netherlands | 4,42 | 6,73 | 5,13 | 3,93 |
| Belgiom . . . | 12,10 | 7,57 | 4,49 | 4,04 |
| France - | 17,72 | 11,17 | 7,72 | 8,13 |
| Spain . . . - | 2,23 | 2,37 | 1,34 | 1,37 |
| Switzerland. . | . | 1 | . | 1 |
| Italy . . . . | 7,89 | 7,93 | 5.51 | 4,73 |
| Austria . . - | 10,01 | " | . | - |
| Hangary . . . | 30,01 | 3 | - | - |
| Tursey, European . | 11 | 18 | 16 | 18 |
| Other Countries . | 73 | 1,54 | 1,47 | 88 |
| Total Europear Countries | 84,57 | 63,42 | 37,37 | 32,49 |
| Other Foreign CountrieaEgypt | 2,27 | 3,56 | 1,95 | 1,24 |
| Tarkey, Asistic - . | 2,94 | 11 | 11 | 18 |
| Ireq . . . . | - | 1,19 | 1,15 | 79 |
| Persia . | 1,41 | 1,54 | 1,33 | 83 |
| Java . . . . | 1,95 | 2,63 | 1,75 | 74 |
| China. | 5,71 | 13,25 | 7,82 | 3,59 |
| Japan . - . | 22,69 | 23,87 | 14,03 | 14,05 |
| United States of Amerios | 21,85 | 21,14 | 14,29 | 9,98 |
| Caba . | 56 | 2,11 | 1,06 | 75 |
| Argentina | 3,14 | 3,63 | 1,54 | 2,83 |
| Chile . . | 1,00 | 63 | 18 | 17 |
| Other Countries | 6,34 | 9.46 | 6,99 | 5,90 |
| Total other Forkion Countribs . | 69,92 | 83,12 | 52,20 | - 41,05 |

Japan (Imports f20,48 lakhs, Exports R14,05 lakhs!.—The total trade with Japan showerl an increase of $\mathrm{A} 7,16$ lakhs as compared with the value recorded in 1931-32. The bulk of the increase occurred under imports, the increase in the case of exports having been one of R2 lakhs as against R7,14

## Foreign Countries.

lakhs under imports. On the import side, the principal items were cotton manufactures (R10,19 lakhs), artificial silk (R2,71 lakhs), silk manufactures (R2,02 lakhs), boots and shoes (R32 lakhs), glass and glassware (R65 lakhs), carthenware and porcelain (R32 lakhs), hardware (R30 lakhs), and mixed or yellow metal for sheathing (R30 lakhs). These together represented 82 per cent of the total imports from Japan as against 85 per cent in the preceding year. Imports of cotton manufactures increased in value from R6,79 lakhs to R10,19 lakhs. It will be seen from the
[in thodsands op yands] $1913-14$ 1920-30 $1030-31 \quad 1931-32 \quad 1032-33$
 table on the margin that all the three descriptions of cotton piecegoods recorded considerable inereases. Imports of twist and yarn also increased from 6.2 million 1 bs. valued at R 83 lakhs to 18.1 million lbs. valued at R1,61 lakhs. There was also an increase of R20 lakhs under cotton hosiery. Coming to the other descriptions of textiles the value of the imports of silk manufactures showed a remarkable spurt from R96 lakhs to 122,02 lakhs. Imports of artificial silk also rose from R2,16 lakhs to $\mathrm{RL} 2,71$ lakhs. Imports of piecegoods of cotton and artificial silk increased from 74.6 million yards valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,09$ lakhs to 114.5 million yards valued at $\mathrm{X} 2,52$ lakhs. Imports of boots and shoes further receded from 9 million pairs valued at R49 lakhs to 7 million pairs valued at R32 lakhs. Imports of glass and glassware increased from P42 lakhs to R65 lakhs and those of earthenware and porcelain from R17 lakhs to R32 lakhs. There was also an increase of R14 lakhs under hardware, viz., from R16 lakls to R30 lakhs. Imports of mixed or yellow metal for sheathing increased from $40,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R15 lakhs to 96,000 ewts. valued at R30 lakhs.

Of the articles exported to Japan, the most important is raw cotton, exports of which represented 80 per cent of the total exports to Japan in 1932-33 as against 79 per cent in 1931-32. The shipments recorded during the year under review amounted to 193,700 tons valued at H11,13 lakhs as compared with 192,800 tons valued at R11,05 lakhs in the preceding year. Despatches of pig iron fell from 188,100 tons (R66 lakhs) to 71,700 tons (R24 lakhs) and those of pig lead from $285,800 \mathrm{cwts}$. (R39 lakhs) to $179,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. ( P 21 lakhs). Raw jute accounted for an increase of f 5 lakhs in value corresponding to an increase of 4,600 tons in quantity, the actual shipments having been 14,500 tons valued at R23 lakhs. Oilcakes showed a decrease from 38,300 tons valued at R23 lakhs to 19,500 tons valued at fll lakhs. Exports of paraffin wax showed an improvement from $\mathbf{l , 0 0 0}$ tons (R4 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs) to 1,400 tons ( $\mathrm{P} 6 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs) and those of gunny bags from $4 \cdot 6$ millions (R122 lakhs) to $9 \cdot 4$ millions (R24 lakhs). Decreases were noticeable in the values of hides and skins, lac, tobacco, pulse (mainly beans), and manures (chiefly bonemeal). On the other hand, the exports of sandalwood showed an improvement.

United States of America (Imports R11,25 Iakhs, Exports R9,99 lekhs).The value of the total trade with this country further declined from R 27,13 lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 21,24$ lakhs. The decline, in the case of imports, was relatively small, amounting to R1,59 lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{P} 4,30$ lakhs in the case of exports. On the import side the outstanding event was a further heary increase in the imports of raw cotton which amounted to 45,800
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tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 3,85$ lakhs as against 28,600 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,24$ lakhs in 1931－32．This improvement，however，was more than set of by a decrease of R1，85 lakhs in the imports of mineral oils．Imports of kerosene oil decreased from 20.4 million gallons valued at R1，27⿺⿸⿻𠃋丿又丶 lakhs to 3.7 million gallons valued at R18 lakhs but those of lubricating oils increased from $10 \cdot 6$ million gallons valued at R 98 lakhs to $11 \cdot 4$ million gallons valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,06$ Jakhs．The imports of petrol showed a further heavy fall from 9.9 million gallons valued at R79 Iakhs to 40,000 gallons valued at R43，000．The value of the imports of motor vehicles declined from R1，07 lakhs to R53 lakhs．The number of cars imported fell from 3,368 to 1,201 and that of omnibuses from 3,236 to 1，793．Imports of machinery and millwork contracted from R1，22 lakhs to 881 lakbs，mainly owing to smaller arrivals of mining machinery and type－ writers．Receipts of tobacco leaf improved from 2.5 million lbs．valued at R24 lakhs to 4.7 million lbs．valued at R56 lakhs．There was an increase in the consignments of cotton piecegoods from $19 \cdot 2$ million yards valued at R30 lakhs to 20.3 million yards valued at R33 lakhs．Imports of copper，wrought， fell away from $18,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．valued at R7 lakhs to $13,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．valued at R4 lakhs，owing mainly to smaller arrivals of sheets．There was also a decrease in the value of rubber manufactures from R83 lakhs to R52 lakhs．There were noticeable decreases under hardware，provisions，aluminium，instruments and apparel while toilet requisites，building materials and chemicals showed increases．

On the export side，there was a decline of $\not \approx 4,30$ lakhs on a total of RI4，29 lakhs recorded in 1931－32．The most important among the articles exported to the United States of America is jute，raw and manufactured．The total value recorded under this head amounted to $\mathbf{R 6}, 12$ lakhs as against R7， 88 lakhs in the preceding year．Shipments of jute cloth fell from 692 million yards valued at R6，56 lakhs to 549 million yards valued at R5， 10 lakhs，but jute gunny bags showed an increase from 8.4 millions valued at Pl 14 lakhs to 12.8 millions valued at R25 lakhs．Despatches of raw jute declined from 49,000 tons valued at R91 lakhs to 36,000 tons valued at R 69 lakhs．Exports of lac also declined from $179,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．valued at R72 lakbs to $151,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ． valued at R 44 lakhs，mainly as a result of a drop in the off－take of shelliac（from $101,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ to $66,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ）．The exports of seed lac，however，showed an increase from $77,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．to $84,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ．There was a noticeable decrease under raw hides and skins；despatches of goat skins，representing the bulk of the shipments，showed a reduction from 11,600 tons（R1，77 lakhs）to 7,600 tons（R86 lakhs）．The demand for tanned or dressed hides and skins fell off to very negligible proportions．

Germany（Imports R10，39 lakhs，Exports R8，32 lakhs）．－Imports from Germany increased by R19 lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 10,39$ lakhs while exports thereto declined by R2，03 lakhs to R8，32 lakhs．The extent of the transactions during the past four years as compared with the pre－war average is shown below ：－

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Foreign Countries．

The trade in the principal articles imported from Germany is shown in the following table：－

|  | Unita | Pre－war average （1909－10 to 1918－14） | 1080．31 | 1031－32 | 1032－38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allzarlite and other coaltar dyes $\cdot\{$ | lbs．（000） | 11，871 | 11.884 | 11，044 | 6，787 |
|  | 78（000） | 77，80 | 1，30，56 | 1，40，70 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 , 2 8}$ |
|  | Tons | 136，000 | 48，700 | $: 6,600$ | ，22，000 |
| Ironsud steel ．．．$\{$ | \＃（000） | 1，55，02 | 75，98 | 44，81 | 45，41 |
| Bracs and copper | Owts． | 188，000 | 294，100 | 206，800 | 448，800 |
|  | 7ir（000） | 94，97 | 1，27，36 | 20，26 | 1，47，26 |
| －Hardwape ．．．．．． | \＃（000） | 57，24 | 1，07，72 | 75，85 | 1，07，97 |
| Mschinery and millwork＊． | I（000） | \＄0，27 | 1，18，06 | 1，12，27 | 08，00 |
| Glam and glagmare ．．．． | \＃（000） | 28，69 | 28.60 | 20，84 | 17，72 |
| Liquors－Ale，beer and porter | Oallons（000） | 521 | 898 | 555 | 607 |
|  | 3．（000） | 13，14 | 26，22 | 14，82 | 14，81 |
|  | Gallons（000） | 148 | 86 | 16 | 11 |
| Spirit－ | 夙（000） | 5，48 | 4，76 | 8，28 | 2，46 |
| Total Liquors | Gallong（000） | 687 | 942 | 574 | 523 |
|  | \＃（000） | 10，41 | 80，73 | 18，48 | 17，84 |
| Paper and pagteboard－ <br> Printing papar | Cwes． | 66，700 | 64，900 | 74，800 | 00，900 |
|  | If（000） | 7，15 | 8，73 | 9.77 | 8，68 |
|  | 7（000） | 21，82 | 27，68 | 27，49 | 30,12 |
| Wroollen manufactures | \＃（000） | 00，62 | 81，78 | 10，99 | 24，87 |
| galt ．．．．． 2 | T0n： | 67，800 | 97，000 | 26，000 | 57，400 |
|  | P（000） | 9，16 | 20，25 | 4，82 | 9，70 |
| Bugar 10 D．S．and above（inciuding beet）$\{$ | Tons | 1，700 | 11，700 | 15，400 | 800 |
|  | （7）（000） | 8，12 | 14，95 | 17，74 | 88 |
| Habardashery and milinery ．．． | F（000） | 22，10 | 15，55 | 11，05 | 18，16 |
| Cotion manufactureo－ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hoalery ．．．． | 目（000） | 15，06 | 9，80 | 1，25 | 1，48 |
|  | Y ds．（000） | 4,016 | 583 | 821 | 587 |
| Coloured plecegoods | \＃（000） | 22，80 | 8，69 | 8，08 | 4，09 |
| － | lbs．（000） | 9，849＊ | 251 | 86 | 27 |
| Blanxeta ．．．．$\{$ | 3（000） | 58，28 | 08 | 21 | 9 |
| Total Cotton mundraotoris，including twiat and yarn． | 㺲（000） | 88，71 | 11，01 | 8，25 | 8，02 |
| Articialal alle manufacturen，including yarn． | If（000） | － | 9，21 | 8，92 | 11，60 |
|  | Yds．（000） | 1，614 | 149 | 170 | 209 |
| thala．：－ | f（000） | 15，80 | 2，87 | 8，57 | 8，77 |

－Two yeara＇avarage only．
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The following table shows the principal articles exported to Germany :-

|  | Unita | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pre-war averago } \\ & \text { (1009-10 to } \\ & 1913-14) \end{aligned}$ | 1890-92 | 1031-52 | 1032-93 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tons | 164,400 | 100,000 | 180,900 | 121,700 |
| Graln, pulse and flour | 7 (000) Tona | $4,00,40$ 344,000 | $\mathbf{3 , 5 0 , 4 6}$ $\mathbf{1 6 2 , 2 0 0}$ | $2,18,69$ 201,900 | $2,11,71$ 107,800 |
| Hicu. - . | 架(000) | $3,41,37$ 16,300 | $1,68,14$ 100 | 1,78,10 | 1,22,80 |
| Wheat |  |  |  | * | .. |
| Wheat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n (000) } \\ & \text { Tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,37 \\ 403,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 163,800 \end{array}$ | 308,200 | 214,500 |
| Toral (including other sorts) . |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ( ${ }_{\text {R (000) }}^{\text {Tons }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,43,3 \mathrm{n} \\ 62,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,54,09 \\ 55,200 \end{array}$ | $2,00,95$ 29,600 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,47,17 \\ 27,200 \end{array}$ |
| Cotton, rav . . . . . |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4,45,00 177,600 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.20 .81 \\ & 135,700 \end{aligned}$ | 1.47 .53 138.700 | $1,33,64$ 70,600 |
| Feeds |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% (000) | $3,45,50$ 18,600 | $2,3,3,36$ 7,400 | $1,00,55$ 5,200 | $1,07,00$ $\mathbf{3 , 9 0 0}$ |
| Hides and sklns, raw . . . | R (000) | $2,53,97$ 83,200 | $\begin{gathered} 67,80 \\ 90,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,70 \\ 61,700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,28 \\ 55,600 \end{array}$ |
| Lane | fi (000) Tons | 37,67 10,500 | 48,49 4,800 | $\mathbf{2 0 , 7 2}$ $\mathbf{4 , 6 0 0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,41 \\ & 6,100 \end{aligned}$ |
| Colr manufectures - . - . |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | R(000) | 22,55 10,460 | $\mathbf{1 5 , 6 4}$ $\mathbf{3 , 7 0 0}$ | 11,69 2,700 | $\begin{aligned} & 10,61 \\ & 3,800 \end{aligned}$ |
| Bones (for manufacturing purposes) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% (000) | $\begin{gathered} 7,5 \rho \bullet \\ 68,800 \end{gathered}$ | 20,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,72 \\ 15,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,07 \\ 50,500 \end{array}$ |
| Hemp, raw - • | f( $\mathbf{0 0 0 )}$ | 8,48 | 2,00 | 1,70 | 0,08 |

Belgiam (Imparts R3,42 lakhs, Experts R4,04 lakhs).-The total value of India's trade with Belgium amounted to $¥ 27,46$ lakhs as compared with R7,51 lakhs in the preceding year. The decline was due to a falling off in the value of the exports from $\mathrm{R} 4,49$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 4,04$ lakhs, imports having shown a slight recovery from $\mathrm{R} 3,02$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 3,4 \mathrm{y}$ lakhs. The variations under the principal articles imported from Belgium are shown in the following table-


On the export side, raw jute showed a decline from 46,000 tons to 41,000 tons in quantity and from H84 lakhs to R7l lakhs in value. Exports of raw cotton which had fallen off to 21,600 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,19$ lakhs in 1931-32 showed a slight recovery, the shipments of the year amounting to 22,900 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,29$ lakhs. Shipments of raw hemp declined from $117,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R15 lakhs to 42,000 cwts. valued at R5 lakhs. Exports of rice not' in the husk decreased from 49,000 tons valued at R28 Iakhs to 28,000 tons valued at P 15 lakhs. Decreases were also noticeable under lac, barley, raw hides and skins and zinc or spelter.

France (Imports R2,04 lakhs, Exports R8,12 lakhs). -The trade with France advanced from $\mathrm{R} 9,89$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 10,16$ lakhs. The increase was due to a rise of R 40 lakhs in the value of exports from R7,79 lakhs to R8,12 lakhs while there was a fall of R 13 lakhs in the case of imports, viz., from
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## Foreign Countries.

R2,17 lakhs to R2,04 lakhs. The principal articles imported from France were liquors, metals, rubber manufactures, woollen manufactures, drugs and medicines and dyeing and tanning substances. The variations in the trade under these heads are shown in the table below-


On the export side, the principal commodities were, as usual, coffee, groundnuts, linseed, raw cotton, raw jute, hides and skins and manganese ore. Exports of groundnuts declined from 223,000 tous to 219,000 tons but the value rose from R3,53 lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 3,68$ lakhs. Despatches of linseed fell from 44,000 tons valued at R 55 lakhs to 21,000 tons valued at R25 lakhs. The decreases noticed were more than counterbalanced by an improvements under raw cotton, shipments of which showed an advance from 14,000 tons valued at R79 lakhs to 22,000 tons valued at R1,17 lakhs. Shipments of raw jute also increased from 52,000 tons valued at R99 lakhs to 69,000 tons valued at R1,16 lakhs. Despatches of raw hides and skins were valued at R21 lakhs as against R16 lakhs in 1931-32. Shipments of coffee advanced from $43,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R26 lakhs to $54,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R32 lakhs. The quantity of manganese ore exported fell off from 80,000 tons to 75,000 tons and the value thercof from R18 lakhs to R17 lakhs. Decreases were also noticed under rice, not in the husk, manures, castor seed and essential oils.

Italy (Imporis R3,95 lakhs, Exports R4,73 lakss). The total value of the trade with Italy declined further from R9,10 lakhs to R8,68 lakhs. The imports showed an increase of P 36 lakhs which was more than counterbalanced by a decline of R78 lakhs under export. On the import side, the bulk of the improvement occurred under woollen manufactures. The value of woollen manufactures imported rose from R32 lakhs to R75 lakhs. Imports of woollen blankets advanced to 4 million lhs. valned at P 31 lakhs from 2 million lbs. valued at H16 lakhs in 1931-32 and those of woollen piecegoods to 3.6 million yards valued at R42 lakbs from $1 \cdot 4$ million yards valued at R15 lakbs in the preceding year. Cotton manufactures showed a decline from R33 lakhs to R22 lakhs. Imports under this head, as usual, consisted mainly of cotton piecegoods, coloured, printed or dyed, consignments of which declined from 9.9 million yards valued at R26 lakhs to 7.2 million yards valued at R19 lakhs. Under artificial silk yarn, there was an increase of a little more than 1 million lbs. in quantity and of about R7 lakhs in value. But there was a reduction of 1 million yards under piecegoods of cotton and artificial silk, although the value increased by P 6 lakhs. There were also noticeable increases under fruits and vegetables and chemicals. On the export side there was a further decline of 6,000 tons (from 33,000 tons to 27,000 tons) under raw cotton the corresponding decline in value having been one of Pl 18 lakhs (from $\mathrm{Rl} 1,62$ lakhs to $\mathrm{M} 1,44$ lakhs). Shipments of oilseeds fell off from 128,000 tons to 118,000 tons in quantity and from R2,02 lakhs to $\mathrm{P} 1,71$ lakhs in value. Consignments of groundnats totalled 28 ,0mi tons (R44 lakhar) as

## Direction of Trade.

against 80,000 tons ( $\mathrm{Rl}, 29$ lakhs) in 1931-32 and those of linseed amounted to 11,000 tons ( Fl 18 lakhs) as compared with 15,000 tous ( F 24 lakhs) in the preceding year. Exports of raw jute fell from 44,000 tons to 37,000 tons in quantity and in value from $\# 86$ lakhs to R66 lakhs. Despatches of raw cow hides declined slightly in quantity from 3,200 tons to 2,600 tons and in value from R16 lakhs to R11 lakhs. Shipments of rice rose from 14,500 tons to 16,900 tons in quantity and in value from R11 lakhs to R12 lakbs. There was a smaller off-take of coffee during the year.

Netherlands (Imports $\mathrm{F1} 1,69$ lakhs, Exports $\mathrm{A} 3,93$ lakhs).-The total value of the trade with the Netherlands amounted to R5,62 lakhs in 1932-33 as compnred with R7,17 lakhs in the preceding year. Imports fell by H35 lakhs to R1,69 lakhs and exports by R1,20 lakhs to R3,93 lakhs. The demand for provisions from this source continued to decline, imports during the year having been valued at R35 lakhs as against R57 lakhs in 1931-32. Inports of vegetable product amounted to $2 \overline{5}, 000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R 210 lakhs as compared with 79,000 ewts. valued at R29 lakhs in 1931-32 and 232,000 ewts. valued at H85 lakhs in 1929-30. Imports of cotton piecegoods declined from 7 million yards valued at Rel lakhs to 5 million yards valued at R 14 lakhs. The receipts of precious stones were almost the same as in the preceding year, the imports having been valued at R1 lakh. There was a reduction of Re lakhs under paper and pasteboard. On the export side, there were consilerable decreases under grounduuts and rice. Shipments of groundnuts declined from 147,00); tons to 87,000 tons in quantity and from R2, 11 lakhs to R1,38 lakhs in value. The quantity of rice exported fell from $15 \pi, 800$ tons to $\overline{5} 4,400$ tons and the value from $\mathrm{P} 1,09$ lakhs to R38 lakhs. The value of the shipments of oilcakes improved by R3 lakhs to R28 lakhs and those of raw cotton and raw jute by R3 lakts and R15 lakhs to R33 lakhs and R37 lakhs respectively.

Java (Imports R3,74 lakhs, Exports $\mathrm{R74}$ lakrs).-Compared with 1931-32, there was a decine of $\mathrm{Kl}, 11$ lakhs under imports and of RI,01 lakhs under exports during the year under review. As far as the import side is concerned, this recession was due to a falling off in receipts of sugar (which represented 91 per cent of the total imports) from 406,900 tons (R4,52 lakls) to 326,800 tons (R3,40 lakhs) of which 294,800 tons represented sugar 23 D. S. and above as against 336, 1' 0 tons in 1931-32. Imports of molasses declined from 40,000 tons to 32,000 tons. There were also smaller receipts of denatured spirit which were valued at R8 lakhs as against R10 lakhs in 1931-32. On the export side, the bulk of the decline oceurred under jute gunny bags, despatches of which fell off from 30 millions (R96 lakhs) to 14 millions (R4l lakhs). Exports of opium on private account which had amounted to R8 lakhs in 1931-32 altogether disappeared. Shipments of rice declined from 63,000 tons (R43 lakhs) to 18,000 tons (R11 lakhs).

China (Imports R2955 lakhs, Exports Ha,59 lakhs).- Imports from China slightly improved by R16 lakhs to R2, 95 lakhs, but exports declined by R4, 23 lukhs to R3,59 lakhs. The improvement on the import side was due principally to an increase from R 55 lakhs to R97 lakhs in the value of raw silk cuncurrently with an increase in quantity from $1 \cdot 3$ million lhs. to $\because(6$ million lbs. Imports of silk yarn, noils and warp rose from 343,(160 lhs. (R9 laklis) to $413,100 \mathrm{lhs}$. (RII lakhs), while those of silk piecegoods improsed slightly

## Foreign Countries.

in quantity from 7.7 million yards to 7.8 million yards, the value showing a decline from R49 lakhs to R44 lakhs. Imports of cotton trist and yarn advanced in quantity from 13.2 million lls. to $13 \cdot 3$ million lbs., although the value fell from R92 lakhs to R84 lakhs. Cotton piecegoods (mainly grey) showed a decrease from 4 million vards valued at R6 lalihs to 747,000 yards valued at Pl lakh. The quantity of tea imported (principally green tea) advanced in quantity from 2.8 million lbs. to 3 million lbs., butiowing to lower prices the value declined from R 19 lakhs to R18 lakhs. On the export side, there was a reduction in the shipments of rice from 353,600 tons valued at $R 2,65$ lakhs to 234,700 tons valued at R1,80 lakhs. Exports of raw cotton fell off from 77,800 tons valued at R4,50 lalhs to 23,900 tons valued at R1,33 lakhs. There were also decreases of R6 lakhs under tea, of R9 lakhs under paraffin wax and of R 2 lakhs under raw jute. Shipments of gunny bags also decreased from $1 \cdot 7$ millions valued at R5 lakhs to about half a million valued at Rl 1 lakh. Exports of gunny cloth receded in quantity from 4 million yards to 3.7 million yards.

Iraq (Imports R 43 lakhs, Exports 1279 lakhs).- The value of the imports from this country declined from R58 lakhs to R43 lakhs and that of the exports from R1, 15 lakhs to R79 lakhs. The decline, in the case of imports, was due mainly to a falling off in the value of consignments of dates (from R40 lakhs to R33 lakhs) and of pulse (from R7 lakhs to R3 lakhs).

The principal commodities exported to Iraq are shown in the table below:-

|  |  |  |  | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}(000)$. | P(000). | $\mathrm{R}(000)$. |
| Cotton goods | manufactures | (maninly | piece- | 35,07 | 44,36 | 17,87 |
| Jute $\mathrm{m}_{8}$ | nufactures | - |  | 7,14 | 6,31 | 3,74 |
| Tea | . . . | . | . $\cdot$ | 7,25 | 4,78 | 2,40 |
| Pepper | - - | - | - - | 1,33 | 42 | 04 |

South America (lmporis K6 lakhs, Expoits R3,71 lakhs).-Due to the cessation of wheat imports from Argentina and the disappearance of the trade in nitrate of soda with Chile, South America had already in 1931-32 ceased to have much importance in the import trade of India. In the year under review, however, imports from South America spurted up from $\not \mathrm{Rl} 14,000$ to R6 lakhs as a result of importations of 5,400 tons of beet-sugar valued at R 5 lakhs from Ecuador. With the exception of these shipments, there was hardly any feature worth mentioning on the import side of the trade with South America. On the export side the principal commodity shipped was, as usual, jute manufactures. Of the total shipments of gunny bags, the Argentine took $3 \cdot 4$ millions ( 3 millions), Chile $4 \cdot 4$ millions, ( $2 \cdot 2$ millions) and Peru $5 \cdot 4$ millions ( $4 \cdot 6$ millions), the figures in brackets representing the corresponding exports for the preceding year. Under gunny cloth, total shipments to the Argentine amounted to 237 million yards and those to Peru to 2 million yards as compared with 105 million and 1.7 million yards respectively in 193132. Purchases of gunny cloth by Uruguay remained stationary at 10 million yards. Exports of raw jute to Brazil showed a decrease from 15,700 tons to 13,300 tons, and those to the Argentine showed a slight improvement from 7,000 tons to 7,100 tons. Shipments of rice almost ceased in the year under review.

## CHAPTER V.

## Frontier and Indo-Burma Trade.

I.-Frontier Trade.

India Proper.-Under the existing system of registration of land fronticr trade of India (excluding Burma) the traffic in selected articles at certain railway stations adjacent to the more important trade routes across the frontier is recorded. It is estimated that the bulk of the inward traffic at these stations is intended to be transported beyond the frontier and the bulk of the outward traffic consists of goods which have come from beyond the frontier, but ordinarily it is impracticable to specify the exact proportion of the actual frontier trade to the total trade registered at these stations. A reference is invited to Table No. 57 for the details of the statistics of trade as recorded during the year 1932-33 compared with the two preceding years. The following table summarises the results :-


## Frontier Trade.

Burmu.-The principle followed in the registration of the land frontier trade of India, namely, that of registering only the traffic in selected commodities at the chief centres, through which the bulk of the frontier trade passes, has also been extended to Burma. The stations selected for purposes of registration are (i) Bhamo in the north which catches the trade entirely river-borne as well as the trade despatched from or to the rail-head Katha; (ii) the rail-heads Lashio, Heho and Shwenyaung in the Shan States and (iii) Thingannyinaung in the South, through which the bulk of the trade with Siam passes. As the commodities selected for Burma differ in some respect from those recorded in the case of India proper, the figures of imports and exports of the selected articles as recorded under the new system during 19311 31, 1931-32 and 1932-33 are shown separately in Table No. 58. The following table shows the imports and exports of certain principal articles into and from Burma during the past three years :-


## Coasting Trade.

II.-Coasting Trade between Burma and other phovinces of India.

The trade in private merchandise between Burma and India proper for the past three years as compared with pre-War figures is shown in the table below :-

| Provinces | InPORTS INTO BURy/ |  |  |  | EXPORTA FROM Bubya |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-War sverage | 12:0.31 | 1981-32 | 1932-33 | Pre-War average | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1038-33 |
|  | 7 (lakha) | Af (lakhe) | R (lakha) | 為 (lakhs) | If (akhs) | A (Lakhs) | R (lakhs) | 7f (lakhs) |
| Bengal. | (i,02 | 7,8p | 6.87 | 5.90 | 6.09 | 7.24 | 7.03 | 7,62 |
| Bombey | 88 | 1,58 | 2,04 | 1,82 | 3.04 | 5,45 | 5,30 | 7,87 |
| Slnd - | 4 | 10 | 30 | 19 | 84 | 77 | 1,05 | 1,84 |
| Madras . | 1.48 | 2,02 | 1,06 | 1,47 | 3,40 | 7.03 | 7.80 | 8.29 |
| Total | 8,86 | 11,68 | 10,55 | 9,38 | 11,77 | 21,30 | 20,82 | 25,02 |

Imports iulo Burma.-The coastwise imports of coal into Burma decreased from 427,000 tons in 1931-32 to 341,000 tons in 1932-33, the pre-War average being 413,1100 tons. The imports of Indian cotton piecegoods recorded a decline of 1 million yards from 44 million yards to 43 million rards in quantity and of Rl lakh in value from R1,13 lakhs to R1,12 lakis. The imports of cotton twist and yarn from India proper also showed a decrease of $300,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in quantity and of R 3 lakhs in value. The total quantity imported amounted to 12.6 million lbs. valued at R 65 lakhs. Receipts of fute gunny bags numbered 41 millions as against 53 millions in the preceding year, the value declining to R1,11 lakhs from R1,66 lakhs.

Exports from Burma.- Exports of rice, not in the husk, declined from $1,086,000$ tons in 1931-32 to 936,000 tons in 1932-33. The despatches of kerosene oil from Burma to Indian ports increased from $1: 20$ million gallons in 1931-32 to 126 million gallons in 1932-33. Shipments of petroleum, dangerous, including petrol, benzine and benzol, also advanced by 1.3 million gallons to 46.7 million gallons in the year under review. The value of lae exported to India, consisting chiefly of stick-lace shipped to Calcutta, amounted to R2 lakhs in 1932-33 as compared with R5 lakhs in 1931-32. Shipments of both teak wood and other kinds of timber advanced, the former from 104,000 cubic tons valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 52$ lakhs to 126,000 cubic tons valued at R1,63 lakhs and the latter from 16,000 cubic tons valued at R14 lakhs to 17,000 cubic tons valued at R16 lakhs.

## CHAPTER VI.

## Balance of Trade and Movements of Treasure.

I.-Balance of Trade.

India normally enjoys a favourable balance of trade, the value of the exports of merchandise being in excess of that of her imports. In the five pre-War years the average surplus of exports over imports of private merchandise amounted to f 78 crores. In the five post-War years ended 1923-24 the surplus was f 53 crores. It rose to $\mathrm{Rl13}$ crores in the next quinquennium. In 1931-32, concurrently with the fall in the exports of merchandise, the favourable balance was very much reduced and amounted to R35 crores, which, except for the two years 1920-21 and 1921-22 in both of which India was faced with an adverse balance, was the lowest for over 20 years. The position during the year under review was even worse. The trade figures for the year show that exports further declined, while the imports were a little better than in the preceding year. As a result, the surplus of India's exports over imports of private merchandise dropped down to R3 crores only. The following table shows the imports and exports of private morchandise and the balance of trade in merchaudise during each month of the year 1932-33:-
(In laths of Rupees)

|  |  |  |  | Private merchandise |  | Excess <br> Net exporta + Net importo- | Fincess in the corresponding month of 1931-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Imports | Exports |  |  |
| 1932- |  |  |  |  |  |  | .. |
| April | - | - | . | 13.18 | 10,72 | -2,46 | $+1.62$ |
| May | - | - | - | 11,81 | 9,80 | -2,01 | $+2.10$ |
| Juno | . | - | - | 12,08 | 9,60 | -2,48 | $+50$ |
| July | - | - | - | 11,20 | 9,21 | -1,89 | +1,88 |
| August . | - | - | - | 11,12 | 10,25 | -87 | +3,67 |
| September | - | - | - | 11,40 | 12,62 | $+1,28$ | - 2,28 |
| October | - | - | - | 9,94 | 11,76 | +1,62 | 广 ${ }^{\mathbf{3}, 12}$ |
| November | - | - | - | 11,11 | 12,38 | +1,27 | +8,27 |
| December | - | - | - | 9,78 | 12,000 | +2,72 | +6,70 |

## Balance of Trade.

- (In lakhs of Rupees.)

N.B.- Rallway storea Imported by State Rallways are not pald for in the ordinary way and do not affect the monthly balance of trade Igures. The cost of these storts hat, theroforo, boon ex eladed from the import slde.

In each of the first five months of the year under review exports were smaller than the imports and there was thus an unfavourable balance of nearly R10 crores during this period. From September exports were up and the normal position was restored. The credit balance in September amounted to $\mathrm{Rl} \ddagger$ crores and it rose to nearly R 2 crores in the following month and to $\mathrm{R}: 3$ crores in December, although there was a set-back in November. In January, a reduction in exports accompanied by an increase in imports produced the smallest export surplus, but in the next month the credit balance increased to R31 crores, declining once again to R13 crores in March, 1933. It is intcresting to note that in the first half of the year there was a debit balance to the extent of R8 $\frac{1}{2}$ crores, while in the second half the credit balance amounted to R113 crores, thus resulting in a net favourable balance of only R33 $\frac{1}{4}$ crores for the whole year.

As mentioned in the preceding year's Reyiew, India, instead of importing gold and silver, has practically stopped buying these metals and has actually been exporting gold on a large scalc. This movement, which began in September, 1931, continued throughout the year under review. During 1932-33 the net exports of gold reached a total of R $65 \frac{1}{2}$ crores as compared with R 58 croves in the preceding year. The net imports of silver amounted to only R73 lakhs in 1932-33; in 1930-31 and 1931-32 the net imports were valued at nearly R12 crores and R3 crores respectively. Thus the transactions in private treasure resulted in a net export of nearly 1265 crores during the year under review as compared with R55 ${ }_{3}^{2}$ crores in the preceding year. In the first quarter the net exports amounted to R12 crores which rose to Rl 7 crores in the second and further to R 22 crores in the third quarter. In the fourth quarter the net exports of treasure declined to R14 crores. Chart No. 5 shows the net exports of treasure and also of gold and silver separately on private account during the past two years.

The visible balance of trade, as measured by the statistics of private merchandise and treasure, was in favour of India to the extent of R68 crores in 1932-33 as compared with R90 crores in 1931-32 and R38 orores in 1930-31.

## Balance of Trade.

In the five pre-War years the visible balance of trade averaged at R 42 crores. The balance of remittances of funds in the year under review was minus P 49 crores as against minus R 34 crores in 1931-32. These figures include the more important Government remittances, but do not take into account (a) net payment in India of British postal orders and of foreign money orders of countries which settle their accounts through London and (b) Government transfers on account of Iraq and Mauritius, which together amounted to R1,02 lakhs in 1932-33 as against $\mathrm{Rl} 1,45$ lalkhs in 1931-32. The following table shows the details :-
(In lakhs of nupees)

| $\cdots$ | Pre-War <br> a verago | War average | 1928-29 | 1029-30 | 1980-31 | 1981-88 | 1032-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fxperts of Indian merchandtse (private). | +910,50 | +216,97 | +350,18 | +310,80 | +220,40 | +155,89 | +132,41 |
| Fe-exports of Foreign intrchandise (private). | $+4,62$ | $\pm 8,14$ | +7,83 | +7,13 | +5,14 | +4.68 | +3,22 |
| Imports of Forelgn merchandise (pri7ate). | $-145,85$ | -147,80 | $-\underset{(a)}{-251,40}$ | $\underset{(a)}{298,95}$ | $\operatorname{-in}_{(a)}^{108,58}$ | $\underset{(a)}{-125,72}$ | $-\underset{(a)}{-132,27}$ |
| Balsuce of trade in merohandise. | +78,27 | +76,31 | +86,47 | +:8,98 | +62,05 | +34,83 | +3,36 |
| Ocld (private)* . . | -28,87 | -7,81 | -21,20 | -14,22 | -12,75 | +57,98 | $\pm 86,32$ |
| Silver (private)*. . | -7,21 | -2,99 | -18,04 | -11,89 | -11,65 | -2,59 | -73 |
| Currency notes (private). | - | - | $-19$ | -9 | -8 | +26 | +18 |
| Balanee of transactions in tatastre (private). | -66,08 | -10,80 | -84,86 | -26,20 | -24.43 | $+55,65$ | +64,98 |
| Total visible balance of trade. | +42,10 | +65,51 | +52,11 | +52,78 | +87,82 | +90,48 | +68,28 |
| Councll Bille, purchase of sterilng and other Government remit tsinces to the Unlted Kingdom (c). | -41,35 | $-34,98$ | -41,02 | -20,39 | -7,20 | -53,04 | -48,18 |
| Sterllng Transfers on London sold in Indle. | $\div 5$ | +5,60 | ** | - | +7,75 | +18,08 | ** |
| Tranafers of Government securitles. | -87 | -88 | $-1$ | -29 | -8 | 46 | -13 |
| Interest drafte on Indla ta resy oct of Government of Indla securttice. | -4 | -30 | -36 | -33 | -33 | -39 | -32 |
| Balance of remittances of tunds. | -42,01 | -30,14 | -41,39 | -21,01 | $\pm 8$ | -84,82 | -48,63 |
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## Movement of Treasure.

It should be noted that the "invisible" items that enter into India's balance of accounts, such as shipping services, private remittances and exports and imports of capital, insurances, tourists' expenditure, etc., are not included in the above table, as these cannot be estimated accurately.

## II.-Movements of Treasure.

The following table shows the transactions in gold and silver on private account during the last five years as compared with the averages for the preWar, War and post-War periods :-
(In lakhs of Rupees)

| - | Goud |  | Silver |  | Gold and Silver. Net importsNet exporta+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports | Exports | Imports | Exports |  |
| A verage of pre-War period | 32.79 | 3,92 | 10,88 | 3.67 | $-36,08$ |
| , , War period. | 10,60 | 2,99 | 4,56 | 1,77 | $-10,40$ |
| ; ,, post-War period (191920 to 1923-24). | 21,57 | 9,03 | 14,18 | 2,66 | -24,08 |
| ,", five years 1924.25 to 19:3.29 | 33,68 | 18 | 19,62 | 2,85 | $-50,27$ |
| 1929-30 . . . . | 14,23 | 1 | 13,36 | 1,47 | -26,11 |
| 1930.31 | 13,24 | 49 | 13,46 | 1,81 | -24,40 |
| 1931-32 | 2,80 | 60,78 | 4,42 | 1,83 | +55,39 |
| 1932-33 - . | 1,32 | 66,84 | 1,63 | 90 | +64,78 |

It will be seen that only $\mathrm{Pl} 1 \frac{1}{3}$ crores worth of gold were imported in 1932-33 as compared with nearly R 3 crores in 1931-32, R134 crores in 1930-31 and Gold. the pre-War average of $\mathbf{R} 33$ crores. Of the total imports in 1932-33 about 67 per cent or f 88 lakhs was in the form of bullion and R41 lakhs or 31 per cent represented sovereigns and other British gold coins. Imports were mostly from the neighbouring countries. Aden, Arabia, the Bahrein Islands and Iraq together supplied to the value of R 64 lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{R} 1,21$ lakhs in 1931-32. The supplies from Ceylon remained practically unchanged at $\mathrm{A} 51 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs, while those from the Union of South Africa dropped from R30 lakhs to R6 lakhs. The consignments from the United Kingdom fell considerably, being valued at only R4,000 as against R25 lakhs in 1931-32.

Exports of gold advanced from R61 crores to R67 crores, of which the United Kingdom took to the value of R 39 crores, the United States of America R 23 crores and the Netherlands R4 crores as compared with R 48 crores, $\mathrm{X7} 7$ crores and R 3 crores respectively in 1931-32. As in the preceding two years, there were no transactions in gold on Government account.

The price of gold ready at Bombay remained quiet at about R26-12-0 per tola during the first three weeks of April. From the end of that month, following on the depreciation of the pound sterling, a gradual improvement took place with slight re-actions and the price advanced to R32-1-6 per tola on November 29, when the pound depreoiated to the lowest level of $\$ 3.15 \frac{1}{8}$.

## Movement of Treasure.

The cross rate improved after the Britsh Government's decision to make the War Debt payments in gold and the price of gold fell sharply, touching f $30-3$ on the 22 nd December. During the remaining months of the year the price varied from R29-6-6 to R30-6-3.

Silver on private account was imported to the value of $\mathrm{Rl}, 63$ lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{R} 4,42$ lakhs in 1931-32 and $\mathrm{Zl} 13,46$ lakhs in 1930-31. In

## Silver.

 the five pre-War years the imports of silver averaged at $\mathrm{R} 10,88$ lakhs. The principal sources of supply during the year under review were Iraq and the United Kingdom which contributed R71 lakhs and R43 lakhs as against R14 and R2,38 lakhs respectively in 1931-32. Among other countries, Arabia and the Bahrein Islands increased their contributions, each supplying about P 7 lakhs' worth of silver ; imports from Mauritius and China declined from ㄱ18 lakhs and Z12 lakhs to Z10 lakhs and Pl 1 dakhs respectively in 1932-33. American supplies fell off considerably from Z 49 lakhs to only $\mathbf{R 7 4 , 0 0 0}$. Imports on Government account were insignificant, being valued at $\mathrm{R} 8,000$ as against $\mathrm{R} 74,000$ in 193132 and 771,000 in 1930-31.Exports of silver on private account were valued at R90 lakhs, of which the United Kingdom took 775 lakhs' worth and the Bahrein Islands F 10 lakhs, and those on Government account, which were destined to the United Kingdom, were valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,74$ lakhs, the corresponding figures in the preceding year being $\mathrm{R} 1,83$ lakhs and $\mathrm{R} 3,02$ lakhs.

In the beginning of April, 1932 the price of silver in London ras quoted at about $17 \frac{1}{2} d$. per ounce. Owing to lack of demand, the price dropped to $15 \frac{5}{8} d$. on 13th April, this being the lowest since October, 1931. Throughout the remainder of the month and during May, June and July price fluctuations were confined to the neighbourhood of $17 d$., but in the following month a sharp recovery took place, raising the rate to $18 \frac{15}{6} d$. on the 11 th August. After re-acting to $17 \frac{13}{10} \mathrm{~d}$. on the 13 th August the price gradually improved to $18 \frac{13}{16} \mathrm{~d}$. on the 6th September. This level was not maintained and for the remainder of the month and during October and November the prices ranged around 18d. A fresh weakness marked the prices in December and rumours of the possibility of a reduction in the import duty by the Indian Government carried the prices down to 1638 . on the 29 th December. Toward the end of the year, the talks of a rehabilitation of silver as a currency basis and suggestions that a portion of the War Debt payments might be accepted in terms of silver brought about an improvement in prices which rose to $18 \frac{7}{16} d$. on 9 th March, weakening down to $17 \frac{3}{8} d$. on the 31st March.

Imports of currency notes on private account were valued at PA lakhs, almost the same as in the preceding year, while exports declined from R30

## Currency notes.

year under review. lakhs to Fl 17 lakhs. There were no transactions in currency notes on Government account during the

D. B. MEEK,<br>Director-General of Commercial<br>Intelligence and Statistics.

Simla;

## PART II-TABLES.

NOTE-(1) The figures given in these tables are subjeot to revision as subsequent corrections are likely to be received from Custom Houses. The fival figures will appear in the Annual Statement of the Sea-borne Trade of Britisn India which will issue towards the close of the year.
, (2) Throughout these tables "pre-war average" means the average of the five years 1909-10 to 1913. 14, "war average" the average of the five years 1914-15.to 1918-19, and " post-war average" the average of the five years 1919-20 to 1923-24.

No. 1.-Value of total Foreign Sea-borne Trade.


[^14]No. 2.-Valub of Merohandise and 'Trgabure, and Gold separately, during the last pive yearg with quinquennial averages for the past sixty-five years
(In lakhs of Rupees)


No. 3.-Monthly sea-borne trade (private merchandise) Imports and Exports in 1932-33, as compared with the preceding year 1931-32, and the pre-war, war and post-war averages, and excess of exports over imports during the same period.
(In lakhs of Rupees)


No. 4.--Value of Imports, Private Merohandise according to five main clasieg and sub-diviaions thereof
(In thousands of Rapees)



No. 5.--Value of Exports, Private Merchandise, according to five main olasses and Sub-divibions: thereof



No．6．－Quantity and value of the prinoipal articles of Imponts，arranged in the orled of their importanoe

| $\cdots$ | Quantity（biouresin thousands） |  |  |  |  | Value（in thoubands of repreg） |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre－tarar average | $\begin{gathered} \text { War } \\ \text { average } \end{gathered}$ | Post－spar average | 1091－32 | 1032．38 | Pre－whr average | $\begin{gathered} \text { War } \\ \text { averago } \end{gathered}$ | Post－war average | 1031－32 | 1932－33 |
|  | 12 | 58 2 | 12 | 70 | 85 | 1，02，22 ${ }_{\text {，}}$ | 44,44 40 | 2，01，10 | 7，03，29 <br> .. | 7，25，73 |
|  | 1，981，017 | 004,874 618,890 | 670,828 373,46 | 210,400 | 356，02 | ${ }^{21,08,56}$ | 10，05，42 | 25，02，60 | 3，02，44 | 5，07，33 |
| Tulsitand coloured：：：：＂ | －031，552 | 6188800 <br> 880,277 <br> 84 | ${ }_{28,423}^{373,462}$ | － | 412,744 424,700 | $11,20,33$ $12,94,80$ | 12，33，42 | $16,10,60$ $17,03,05$ | 5 | 7．32．5s |
| $\underset{\text { Hosilery }}{\text { Tudy yara }} \quad: \quad: \quad: \quad$ ib． | 41，704 | －34，003 | 44，081 |  | $\mathbf{4 2 4 , 7 9 0}$ $\mathbf{4 5 , 1 0 3}$ | $\underset{\substack{12,77,18}}{12}$ | $\xrightarrow{12,41,46} 4$ | $17,03,05$ $0,32,51$ | 5， 5 | ${ }_{8,78,82}^{8,34}$ |
| Handrerchlefs and shawls $\quad: \quad \therefore$ No． | 24，014 |  |  |  |  | 92，${ }^{\text {，} 6}$ | （04，83 | 1，14，72 | 2，48，19 |  |
|  | 37，127＊ | 30，018 | 10，058 | 23， 2180 | 31，710 | ${ }_{21,60}$ | 22,92 00,78 | 22.58 <br> 55.02 | 2.58 <br> 36.70 | 5 5，94 |
|  | $\underline{1,588}$ | 1，722 | 1，208 | 1，871 | 2,078 | 90，10 | 40，65 | 70，93 | 53，09 | 51 |
| Othersta－．－．．． |  |  |  |  |  | 1，13，07 | 65，83 | 02，06 | 46，15 | 48.61 |
| Toxat | － | － | － | － | －． | 63，20，51 | 62，88，00 | 73，10，23 | 20，18，81 | 34，08，63 |
| Machinary and millwork－ <br> Metal working machincry（inciuding machlnc tools） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 二 | － | 二 | 二 | 二 | 1,40 $1,40,10$ | 88 $1,90.32$ | $\begin{array}{r}35,08 \\ 6.34 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 10.24 | 14.82 |
| \＃， $\begin{gathered}\text { Jute } \\ \text { Other jorts }\end{gathered} \quad: \quad: \quad: \quad 0$ | ＝ | 二 | － | － | － | 1，15，16 | 1，88，05 | － $2,34,71$ | 1，02，69 | 2，08，45 |
| Prlme－movers ．！：！：！ | 二 | 二 | － | － | － | 9,95 7920 | 3，78 | 37，00 | $\underline{22.78}$ | $\underline{-4.12}$ |
|  | 二 | 二 | 二 | － | － | 79,20 39,30 | 40， 45 | －1，09，59 | 1，58．32 | 1，00，17 |
|  | 二 | －－ | － | － | － | 3，06 | 4，29 | 2，19，15 | 2，${ }_{0}^{16.32}$ | 1， 5 5，31 |
| Bollers and a $\quad$ ： | － | － |  |  |  | 28，35 | 40,20 | 151，40 | 50， 69 | 45，21 |
|  | 二 | － | － | － | 二 | 10，57 | 20,34 10,05 | 1，37，09 | 66,16 10,10 | 45.13 |
| $\underset{\text { Typewriters }}{\text { Mining }}$－$\quad . \quad: \quad 0$. | 5 | － | － | － |  | 11，51 | 13， 20 | 53，27 | 65，52 | 21，47 |
| Ricceand flour mill machinery ：：$\quad$ ：No． | 5 | $B$ | 10 |  | $4$ | －${ }^{2}$ | 10,92 | 18,97 | 9，86 | 6，61 |
|  | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 |  | 3，23 | $\mathbf{6 , 2 7}$ $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{;} \mathbf{4} \mathbf{8}$ | 34,13 <br> 29,00 <br> 2 | ${ }_{30.14}^{10.05}$ | r $\begin{array}{r}8,81 \\ 1,5: 12\end{array}$ |
| Otherkluds－－－．－．． |  |  |  |  | － | 1，02，32 | 00，61 | 5，01，88 | 2，13，09 | 1，92，94 |
| Total ． | － | － | － | － | － | 5，81，14 | 5，13，87 | 21，64，84 | 10，02，84 | 10，54，24 |



| - Averake for two yoare $1012 \times 18$ and 1018-14. |
| :--- |
| A verago for tour years 1010-11 to 1018-14. |

No. G-continued



[^15](b) For figurea prior to Average for two yenrs $1028-20 \mathrm{sec}$ onder Railway plart, eto.
(c) Include Rs. 1,600 reprcecting imperts of glucose powder.

No. (6-continued



No. 6-contimued



No 6-continuea


(a) Trandecred to "Iron or Stool" from 1025-28.
and $1918-14$.

No. 6-oonciuded
Government Stores-contd.


No. 7.-Quantity and value of the Principal Articles of Exports, arrangid in the order of taeir Importance

(a) Separately recorded from 1016-17 and waraverage representa the averspe for threo gears 1010-17 to 1018-19.
(b) 8eyarately recorded fiom Decomber, 1919.

No. 1-continued


(a) Detailed Ugnren not avallable.

No. 7-contınued



No. 7-contınued



No. 7-concluded

(a) Includes figures for " Fibre for brushee and brooma."

No. 8.- Quantity and value of the Principal Articles Re-exported, arranged in the order of theik Importance


No. 8-continued


No. 9.-Value of trade in merchandiee only with tae Britifh Fafire and Foreign Countries
(In lakhs of Eupees)

(a) Egypt Inclnded in Britiah Eoplro from 1015-16 to 1022-23.
(b) Average for 4 yoarl.

No. 9-continued
(In lakhs of Rupecs)


Notb, Exports jnciude re-exporto
(a) Figurea phor to 1021-22 jelate to Austria.Hudgary.
(b) Egypt lucluded in Artish Empire from 1915-16 to 1922-28;
(c) Average for 8 yenrs.
(d) One ycar'a Bgure.

No. 10.-Percentage share of the principal countries in the total Trade in merchandise only

| Countrips | Pre-war ateraga |  |  | War aybraos |  |  | Pobt-war aterage |  |  | 1091-82 |  |  | 1092.93 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports | $\left\|\begin{array}{l}\text { Explorts } \\ \text { lnctud } \\ \text { lng Re- } \\ \text { exports }\end{array}\right\|$ | total | Imports | Exports, includ- Ing Re- cxports | total | Imports | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exports, } \\ & \text { Snclud- } \\ & \text { lng Re- } \\ & \text { exports } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Imports | Exports including lirts <br> exports | total | Imports | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Exports } \\ \text { includ } \\ \text { ing he- } \\ \text { exporte } \\ \text { ex } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Total. |
| British Finplre- <br> Unlled Kingdom . | 62.8 | $25 \cdot 1$ | 40.0 | 50.5 | $81 \cdot 1$ | 41.2 | 57.6 | 24.2 | 80.5 | 95.6 | 27.9 | $81 \cdot 3$ | 36.8 | 28.4 | 32.2 |
| Ceylon . | $\cdot 5$ | 3.7 | $2 \cdot 4$ | 1.1 | $4 \cdot 3$ | 8.0 | $\cdot 7$ | 4.8 | $2 \cdot 5$ | 1.1 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 1.3 | $5 \cdot 0$ | 3.2 |
| Straita Setticments | $2 \cdot 1$ | $8 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | 8.0 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 2.7 | $2 \cdot 3$ | 2.8 | 8.0 | 27 | $8 \cdot 1$ | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| Australla . . . | .7 | 1.4 | 1.1 | $\cdot 8$ | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1-3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | $\cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | 1.8 |
| Hongkong . . | $\cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | 2.7 | $\cdot 0$ | 2.0 | 1.6 | 7 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 4 | 1.2 | $\cdot 8$ | $\cdot 4$ | 1.1 | $\cdot 7$ |
| Mauritus and Dependencles | 1.8 | - 6 | 1.1 | $1 \cdot 1$ | -6 | $\cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | 8.1 | 2.7 | $\cdots$ | $\cdot 6$ | -8 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdot 6$ | -3 |
| Brtish Posersions). | 69.7 | $41 \cdot 1$ | $62 \cdot 3$ | 65.4 | 51.7 | 57.1 | 65.2 | 41.4 | 52.3 | 448 | 44.2 | 44.5 | 44.8 | $45 \cdot 8$ | 45.8 |
| $\underset{\infty}{\sim} \underset{\text { Japan }}{\text { Forelan }} \text { Countries }$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | 7.5 | 5.5 | 10.4 | 12.2 | 10.9 | 8.9 | 13.3 | $10 \cdot 4$ | 10.0 | 8.7 | 9.5 | 15.4 | 10.4 | 12.9 |
| United States of Amerlca | 8.1 | 7.5 | $5 \cdot 8$ | 7.0 | 11.9 | $\theta \cdot \theta$ | 8.5 | 12.0 | 10.4 | 10.2 | $8 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 4$ | 85 | 7.4 | $7 \cdot 1$ |
| Java . - . . | 6.4 | 1.3 | 8.3 | 7.8 | 1.1 | 3.8 | 6.8 | 1.0 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 1.1 | $2 \cdot 4$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $\cdot 5$ | 1.7 |
| France - . . | 1.5 | 0.8 | 4.8 | $1 \cdot 3$ | 4.5 | 8.2 | $\cdot 9$ | 4.8 | 8.0 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 8.4 | 1.5 | 6.0 | 8.8. |
| 1taly . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( | 1.0 | 8.2 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 8.2 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 3.2 | $2 \cdot 2$ | 2.8 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 8.0 | $3 \cdot 5$ | 8.2 |
| Chitra (exelusive of Hongkong nnd Mactao) | $1 \cdot 1$ | 8.9 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 8.6 | $2 \cdot 5$ | 2.2 | $4 \cdot 9$ | 8.7 | 2.2 | 2.7 | $2 \cdot 4$ |
| Persla . . . | $\cdot 4$ | $\cdot 5$ | $\cdot 5$ | $\cdot 6$ | 1.6 | 1.2 | $\cdot 7$ | 1.8 | 1.0 | 2-2 | 8 | 2.4 | $1 \cdot 5$ | $\cdot 6$ | 1.0 |
| Rubsla | $\cdot 1$ | - 0 | $\cdot 6$ | $\cdot 1$ | 1.2 | 8 | .05 | . | . 06 | . 8 | 3 | $\cdot 5$ | . 3 | $\cdot 2$ | - |
| Metherlands - . - | $\cdot 9$ | 1.5 | 1.3 | -6 | $\cdot 2$ | :3 | $\cdot 9$ | 1.6 | $\cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 6$ | 8.2 | $2 \cdot 5$ | $1 \cdot 9$ | -0 | 2.1 |
| Bolglum . . . - | $1 \cdot 0$ | 6.3 | 8.9 | . 8 | $\cdot 5$ | $\cdot 4$ | 1.8 | 8.7 | $2 \cdot 9$ | 2.4 | $2 \cdot 8$ | 2.6 | $2 \cdot 6$ | 8.0 | 2.8 |
| Garmany . . . . | 6.4 | 0.8 | 8.5 | $\cdot 7$ | $\cdot 9$ | $\cdot 8$ | 2.8 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 8.1 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 7.8 | $6 \cdot 2$ | 7.0 |
| Austria (a) | $2 \cdot 2$ | 3.6 | $2 \cdot 9$ | $\cdot 2$ | $\cdot 4$ | . 3 | $\cdot 2$ | $\cdot 2$ | $\cdot 2$ | $\cdot 8$ | .. | . 2 | $\cdot 6$ | . |  |
| Tofal (inoludina otere Fobsion codataies) | $80 \cdot 3$ | ${ }^{38 \cdot 8}$ | 477 | 85.6 | $48 \cdot 3$ | 42.9 | 34.8 | 58.6 | 477 | $65 \cdot 2$ | 55.8 | 55.6 | 55.2 | 64.2 | 64.7 |

(a) Figures prior to $1921-42$ represent Austra-Hongary

No. 11.-Total Imports and Exports of Merceandise of certain principal Countries and British India during: the calendar yfars 1930, 1931 and 1932 with respective pre-war averages*
(In milllons of $£$ aterling).

*Complled from the ${ }^{\text {© }}$ Accounte relating to the Trade and Commerce of certain Forelgn Contrifes and Britistipossessions" published quarterly by the Board of 'Trade, London' †Trienglal average.

[^16](a) From 1ot Aprll 1023, the particulars elown Include tho trade of Great Britaln and Northerd 102, tho parta the Northerd Ireland with the Irish Free State. From the

Norf.-In tho cases of Germany, the Netherlands, the Belpo-I uxemburg Economle Unlon, France, Swltzerinnd, Italy, Ctechoslovakis, the Dominion of Canada and United Kingdom the lmport deures given in the abovestimmary represent imports for home consumption. in all cages the export dqures are latended to represent expcrts ol demostio produce. In most cases, hopever, they faclude a certala amount of "natlonalised" qocds, i.e., goods originally luported which, if dutlatle, bave been charged the duty bat phich are anbognently re-exported.
(In thousands of Rupers)

| Articles | Pre-wat average | 1980-31 | 1031-32 | 1932-s3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNITED KINGDOM. |  |  |  |  |
| Imports therefrom- |  |  |  |  |
| Animalf, living | 5,02 | 7,45 | 9,14 | 0.77 |
| Apparel ${ }_{\text {Arsma }}$ | 50.20 30.00 | 38.57 44.79 | 23.58 | 23.71 37 |
| Artlîclal sllk yarn. | 3,0 | 11,118 | 10,5 | 14,35 |
| Arbestos ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 17,51 | 11,16 | 0,46 |
| Relting for machincry | 36,26 | 46,34 | 32,61 | 35,50 |
| Bobbins |  | 34,49 | 28,6C | 19.10 |
| Books and printed matter Roots and shoes | 41,05 | 51,61 | 48.59 | 39,90 |
| Building materiais: | 50.80 | 48,26 | 84,19 | 25.64 |
| Carringes and carts | 19,11 | 5,73 | 3,74 | 3,45 |
| Chemicals - | 89,55 | 1,11,08 | 1,40,:8 | 1,40,08 |
| China clay | 4139 | 7,06 | 9.05 | 8.04 |
| Coal and coke | 41,39 | 6,30 4,00 | 6,17 8,88 | 3,94 |
| Cotton- |  |  |  | 2,00 |
| Raw . . | 58.07 | 27,42 | 4,16 | 4,60 |
| Twlat and yarn - | 3,35,18 | 1,20,57 | 1,21,82 | 1,81,80 |
| $\underset{\text { Grey }}{\text { Plecgoods- }}$ | 20,73,55 | 2,81,28 | 05,54 | 1,75,95 |
| White : | 10,87,38 | $5,29,04$ | 4,02, 02 | 5,26,79 |
| Coloured | 11,29,72 | 1,47,01 | 2,85,36 | 4,87,08 |
| Other manulactnres | 1,40.25 | 85,97 | 83,01 | 90,78 |
| Cutlery | 10,53 | 8.30 | 5,87 | 0.51 |
| Cycies and parts | 29,40 | 47.62 | 43,38 | 51.96 |
| Druss and medicines ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 54,03 | - $\begin{array}{r}61,37 \\ -1588\end{array}$ | 0.68 | 01,16 |
| Dyelng and tanning substances Earthenware and porcelain | 6,90 $\mathbf{3 0 , 5 0}$ | 18,68 <br> $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 0}$ | 25,31 | 33,45 12,26 |
| FJax manufactures. | 28,83 | 20,67 | 16,79 | 16.01 |
| Furniture | 10.84 | 11,75 | 8.39 | 6,26 |
| Glass and glassware ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 29.20 | 16,32 | 13,21 | 12,01 |
| Maberdashery and millinery | 61,21 | 18,46 | 13,51 | 13,96 |
| Hardware | 1,88,61 | 1,31,40 | 96,16 | 91.44 |
| Instruments, apparatas, etc. | 1,04,88 | 2,55,04 | 1,83,26 | 1,04.81 |
| Ivory ${ }^{\text {Jowellery, ete }}$ | 11,33 | 6,78 36,77 | 5,56 16.68 | 8.06 |
| Jewellery, ete. ${ }^{\text {Jute manalares }}$ | 14,51 10,09 | 36,77 | 16,68 | 8.2 .91 1.00 |
| Leather, including hides, tanned, ete. | 38,59 | 25,49 | 25,07 | 23,03 |
| Llauors - |  |  |  |  |
| Ale, beer and porter | 45,04 | 63.09 | 48,81 | 40,78 |
| Splrit - - | 69,47 | 1,25,13 | 83,08 | 84,44 |
| Machinery and milimork: | 13,62 $4,97,00$ | 10,72.13 | 7,73.18 | 7,81.22 |
| Manurcs - . | 1,68 | 85,03 | 19,74 | 89,07 |
| Metals- |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminlum | 5,38 | 21.38 | 7.15 | 9,45 |
| Brass | 6,11 | 88,17 | 93.08 | 55,56 |
| Copper - | 1,67,45 | 29,15 6,28 | 28, ${ }_{1} 9$ | ${ }_{\text {2, }}^{29} \mathbf{8 1}$ |
| Iron iron or steel | -2,98,88 | 5,18,38 | 8, $\begin{array}{r}11.06 \\ \hline 1.58\end{array}$ | 2,42,05 |
| Lend. | 13,00 | 3,42 | -9.96 | 2.90 |
| Steel. | 42.02 | 60,23 | 26,10 | 25,08 |
| $\underset{\text { Oinc. }}{\text { Othurs }}$ - | 14,85 $\mathbf{2 F}, 43$ | 6,25 20.91 | ${ }^{6.65}$ | +8.28 |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Others }}$ Sotor cars and motor cycles, etc. | 27,43 74,50 | 1,20,03 | 14.67 81,17 | 1,15,08 |
| Ofls, mineral. | 22,31 | -25,67 | 29,22 | -27,87 |
| - vegetable, animal, etc. | 17,76 | 11,87 | 10.59 | 10,54 |
| Packing engine and boller | 80,98 | 3,96 74.28 | 2.18 58 | ${ }^{\mathbf{2}, 26}$ |
| Pants and painters materials . | 56,00 73,44 | 74,28 80,36 | 58,95 76.64 | ${ }_{78,83}$ |
| Priecesoods of cotton and artlecial silk | 73,44 | 12,74 | 8,61 | 21.85 |
| Pitch and tar - . - | 8,72 | 1,49 | 11.96 | 1,75 |
| Polishes - | 0,48 | 19.58 | 18,15 | 18.80 |
| Precioits stoncs | 14,74 | 1,94 10.99 | 14,76 | 14,25 |
| Provisions ${ }^{\text {Pr }}$ | 1,34,15 | 1,04,36 | 1,51:20 | 1,30,67 |
| Rallway plant, ett. | 8,54,48 | $73 \mathrm{B0}$ | 60.34 |  |
| Rubber manufactures, inoluding tyres, otc. | 16,44 <br> 18,44 | 8.86 | 6.36 5.63 | 80,16 |
| Shlps, parts of in | 18,00 | 12,39 | ${ }_{6}^{9,28}$ | 4,13 |
| Sik manuiactures, inclading yarn | 19,07 56.52 | 07,88 | 66.08 | 11,719 |
| Statonery : | 41,44 | 43,76 | 35,95 | 32,84 |

(In thoussande of Rapoes)

| Articles | Pre-war average | 1080-81 | 1031-32 | 1032.33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INITED KINGDOM-contd. |  |  |  |  |
| Sngar | 25,37 | 10,14 | 28,17 | 38,13 |
| Tallow and stearine | 10.43 | 4,45 | 1.05 | 1,76 |
| Ten-chests | 41,39 | 47.77 | 86.05 | 34.37 |
| Tobacco | 51,83 10.35 | 1,20,08 | 61.54 | 84,44 |
| Toijet requistes ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | 10,35 | 19,37 | 18.18 | 20,73 |
| Tous and reguisites for anmes . - | 10,75 | 12,20 | 10.65 | 10.46 |
|  | 1,35,24 | 5.73 67.32 | 6,00 40.53 | $\mathbf{3 , 6 8}$ $\mathbf{7 1 , 7 8}$ |
| Otherartleles . . . . | 1,50,99 | 4,18,95 | 8,04,33 | 2,53,61 |
| Total | 91,58,01 | 01,28,71 | 44,81,44 | 48,70,80 |
| Exports of Indlan produce- |  |  |  |  |
| Bristles and fibres : | 12,74 | 9,32 | 10,54 | 18,26 |
| Coffee | 50,86 | 52,19 | 27.05 | 35,18 |
| Colr and manutactures | - 9295 | 18.44 | 20.27 | 14.15 |
| Cotton, raw - - | 1,72,30 | 3,00,07 | 1,63,35 | 1,00.85 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2,81 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}18,07 \\ \hline 88\end{array}$ | 16,36 | 14,23 |
| ", mannfactures | 11,13 | 18,87 | 16.75 | 29.47 |
| Drugs, mediclacs, etc. | 5,47 | 6,47 | 2,37 | 3,74 |
| Dyelng and tanning substadees- Cutch and gambler | 0,87 | 5.57 | 2,18 | 9 |
| Indigo ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0,52 | 37 | '50 | 10 |
| Myrohalans ${ }^{\circ}$ - | 23,40 | 38,40 | 37.76 | 97,16 |
| Fodder, Bran and Pollards | -45,19 | 40,45 | 40.04 | 65,11 |
|  | 38,47 | 4,71 | 3,60 | 0,47 |
| Hides and skins- | 56.46 | 30,69 | 40,09 | 66,21 |
| Dressed or tanned | 3,67,93 | 5,74;26 | 4,85,03 | 4,36,29 |
| Horn, tips and places of horn* | $\begin{array}{r}5,73 \\ 9,005 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1, 1,29 | 0.01 | 64 |
| Jate, rav . . . | 9,96,52 | -2,23,37 | 8,11.09 | 2.24,20 |
| $\because$ gunny base | 65,57 | 1,20,99 | 1,13.73 | 1,07.20 |
| Läc . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 56,67 | 70,31 | 44.07 | 32.75 |
| Lend : | 17,05 | 1,06,67 | 1,00,63 | 1,12,02 |
| Manures | 10,39 | 3,69 | 3,44 | 6,82 |
| Mangancse ora | 30.68 | 34,23 | 10,08 | 16.18 |
| Other metals and ores | 7.13 | 1,08,97 | 0131 | 04,78 |
| mies - | 20,80 | 34.63 | 21.65 | 18,07 |
| Oils | 18,34 80,75 | 9,17 91,56 | 23.06 | 28,42 |
| Paratin wax | 17,38 | 47,41 | 72.11 | 30,08 |
| Provislons and ollman's stores . | 5,02 | 7,20 | 3,42 | 6,47 |
| Pulse- ${ }_{\text {Brana }}$. |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\text { Bram }}{\text { Brang }}$ : $\quad: \quad!$ | 86,21 | 2,93 | 8,06 | 6,49 |
| Lentils ${ }^{\text {L }}$ - - | 80,21 | ${ }_{7}^{9,02}$ | 8,46 | 6,76 |
| Other prises |  | 7.00 | 8, 63 | 9,14 |
| Rice (not in the husk) | 1,56,99 | 61.51 | 87,72 | 1,09,56 |
| Saltpetre : | 6,55 | Q,23 | 1,80 | 5,04 |
| $\underset{\text { Captor }}{\text { Seeds- }}$ | 78,39 |  |  |  |
| cotton | 1,74,02 | 21,93 | 3, 6,04 | 31,51 |
| Groundnut | 1, 2,00 | 71,52 | 1,14,71 | 65,24 |
| Elnseed - | 2,65,01 | 1,24,09 | 10,47 | 16,55 |
| Rape | 35,17 3,82 | 14,86 | 19,02 | 18,91 |
| Otbers | 7,50 | 1,89 |  | $\because 150$ |
| silk, raw | 5,03 | 1,69 | 1.89 | -1,56 |
| Spices . | 10,68 | 10,83 | 6,54 | 5,93 |
| Sugar | 9,51,37 | 10.04 .59 |  | - 4.78 |
| Tobacoo | 0, 1,48 | 18,38,45 | 10,80,53 | $14,70.30$ 36,72 |
| Wheat ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 10,50,04 | 1,70,68 | 10.03 |  |
| Wood (malnly teak) Wool, raw | 2,61,11 | 2,74,12 $\mathbf{2 , 2 7 , 3 5}$ | 42.39 $\mathbf{2 , 4 9 . 5 4}$ | $\stackrel{39,65}{1,05,87}$ |
| manufactures | 18.71 | 2, 38,49 | 2,40.68 | 1,05,87 |
| Ctherarticles | 1,18,02 | 96,05 | 87,05 | 93,41 |
| Total | 55,04,00 | 61,77,34 | 43,40,27 | 87,40,20 |

[^17]No. 12-continued
(In thousands of Rupes)


* Figures prior to 1021-22 represent Austria-Bungary

(In thousauls of IIupees)

- Flgurea prior to $1924-26$ rapreaent (ommmi.
(In thousands of Rupces)

| Articles |  | Pre-war average | 1930-81 | 1081-32 | 1932-88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GERMANY-contd. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inupurte therefrom-oontd. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stationery - . |  | 6,04 | 10.49 | 16,00 | 20,82 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Artiaclal silk |  | - | 9,21 | 8.92 | 11,60 |
| Cotton manufactures |  | 83,71 | 10.76 | 8.04 | 8,82 |
| Hsberdashery | . | 22,10 | 15.55 | 11.05 | 13,16 |
| Silk manufactarea |  | 16,31 | 6,60 | 4.21 | 4,04 |
| Wool mantifactures | - | 90,02 | 31,78 | 19.99 | 24,36 |
| $\underset{\text { Ondbrellias : }}{\text { Toys }}$ | - | 11,10 | \%,29 14.36 | 6.43 11.19 | 7,28 |
| Other articles* | - : | 57,03 | 86,80 | 75,34 | 78,10 |
|  | Total | 0,35,56 | 12,38,42 | 10,18,84 | 10,88,72 |
| Exports of Indian produce- $\quad 1000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colfee |  | 4,72 | 18,77 | 0.95 | 7,62 |
| Colr and manufactures |  | 22,06 | 15,68 | 11.56 | 10,45 |
| Cotton, raw | - | 4,45,08 | 3,20,81 | 1,47.63 | 1,33,50 |
| Dy'ing saste. | : | 14,49 | 8,80 | 6,09 | 7,03 |
| Forder, bran, etc. | - | 22,84 | 2,00 | 8,88 | 5.88 |
| Frults and vegetables | - | 2,87 | 98 | 53 | 41 |
| Hemp, rsw | - | 8,48 | 2,90 | 1.70 | 6,08 |
| Hidea and skins, raw |  | 2,53,97 | 67,80 | 34.79 | 88,28 |
| Jute, raw - | - | 4,80.10 | 3,50,46 | 2,43.69 | 2,14,44 |
| ") gunny bags | - | 15,38 | 6,52 2880 | 6.00 1.60 | 8,49 |
| La" ${ }^{\text {a }}$ cloth |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { e, } \\ \mathbf{8 7}, 67 \\ \hline 8.68\end{array}$ | - 48,40 | 20,76 | 14,41 |
| Manores : |  | 8,30 | 8,02 | 2,07 | 66 |
| Metals and ares- |  |  |  |  | 24,76 |
| Lopad . : | : | . 4 | 6,61 | 20,13 |  |
| Othan | : | -8,99 | 22,62 | 17,79 | 13,71 |
| Oils | - | 12,29 | 2,09 | 3,67 | 3,73 |
| Ollcskex | - | 17,58 | S0,82 | 37.64 | 45,94 |
| Pice (notin the husk) : |  | 3,41,37 | 1,53,16 | 1,65,63 | 1,22,80 |
| Beeds-- |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\because$ Copra or coconnt kern | . | 80,25 12.42 | 2,00.62 | 1,76,00 | $\cdots 49,01$ |
| ") Linseed ${ }^{\circ}$ | : | 70,14 | 23,33 | 13,64 | 13,15 |
| " Mowa or Mowra | - | 29,01 |  |  |  |
| " Poppy - | - . | 8,43 |  |  | ${ }^{36}$ |
| - Rapo - ${ }^{\text {Pr }}$ | , | 1,04,02 | 3,90 | 5.25 | 12,28 |
|  | : | 24,02 27,84 | ${ }^{*} 4,01$ | 1,54 | 4,48 |
| Tos | : | 3,09 | 1,10 | 81 | 68 |
| Wood (chlefly teak) |  | 15,96 | 3,06 | 30 | 88 |
| Other articles | - . | 06,35 | 40,08 | 37,72 | 53,02 |
|  | Total | 22,30,95 | 14,26,89 | 10,27,86 | 7,96,79 |
| Exports of Foreign merchandise - |  |  | 16,68 | 7,62 | 6,72 |
| Im ports therefrom- ${ }_{\text {NRTHERANDS }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Artitcial ailk ${ }^{\text {Buidiag materials }}$ : | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | - | 9,54 | 10,35 | 724 |
| Cotton manufacture |  | 93,45 | 4,39 61,55 | 50,07 $\mathbf{2 0 , 7 4}$ | 1.10 $\mathbf{2 1}, 28$ |
| Dyding twist and yara |  | 11,14 | 29 |  |  |
| Dyeing and tannlag anbsta | , | 30 | 08 1061 | 69 1649 | 1,32 |
| Instruments : : | : | 1,54 | 16,61 9,60 | 16,49 7,98 | 12,05 6,87 |
| Xetals | : | 1,44 | 11,31 | 7.62 | 7,27 |
| Paper and pasteboard |  | 2,81 | 20,18 | 15,90 | 14,08 |
| Practour stones, eto. |  |  | 4,40 | 1.20 | ${ }^{95}$ |
| Provisions 8 ata : |  | 8,85 | 1,26,01 | 87.02 | 34,67 $\mathbf{1 2 8 5}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {Braxah and fatins }}$ |  | 26 10 | 7,81 84 | 11,44 | $\begin{array}{r}12,85 \\ \hline 67\end{array}$ |
| Wool mannfactures* |  | 3,20 | 1,84 3,80 | 2,47 | 1,67 |
| Other artleles | - | 14,57 | 44,78 | 88,62 | 47,27 |
|  | Total. | 1,20,01 | 8,22,34 | 2,04,27 | 1,69,14 |



(i) Soparintely socorind from 1028.24.

No. 12-continued
(In thousulde of Rupese)

| Articles | Pre-war average | 1930.31 | 108182 | 1098-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPAIN-contd. |  |  |  |  |
| Exgorta of Indian and Forciga produce- |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton, raw | 72,63 | 1,15,70 | 42,14 | 48,71 |
| Ollseeds. akims, raw : : | 48,23 | 16,08 | 8,80 | ${ }_{\text {4, }}^{4,67}$ |
| Jute, raw $\quad$ : $\quad$ : | 4, 4 ,61 | 20,25 | 68,81 | 71,85 |
| Other articles | 8,89 | 12,63 | 5,60 | 6,28 |
| Total | 1,90,40 | 2,87,36 | 1,93,85 | 1,86,05 |
| Siveden. |  |  |  |  |
| Iraports therefrom- |  |  |  |  |
| hardmare ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | 2,57 | 18,10 | 12,20 | 14,04 |
| Iron and steel milwork : | ${ }^{-9,53}$ | 12,67 | ${ }_{14,22}$ | 6,55 |
| Matches | 28,08 | 3,60 | ${ }^{14,01}$ | ${ }^{11,69}$ |
| Psper and pasteboard - | 3,00 | 29,94 | 28,36 | 61,62 |
| Puper-making puaterials . | 4,21 | 15,28 | 10,29 |  |
| Wood and tiniber . |  | 2,44 | 3,52 | 3,01 |
| Other articles | 2,98 | 11,04 | 0,82 | 8,77 |
| total | 61,31 | 97,75 | 87,96 | 95,60 |
| Exports of Indlan and Forelgn produce- |  |  |  |  |
| cotton, max . . | 7,07 | 2,31 | 1,13 | 83 |
| Rides, ravi Rlce (notin the husis) : | 12,00 | 8,55 7,64 | 3,61 1,57 | 2,37 8,95 |
| Whost . |  |  |  |  |
| Other articles | 4,23 | 18,97 | i4,61 | 17.81 |
| Total | 32,56 | 36,88 | 20,62 | 24,20 |
| TURKEY IN EOROPE. |  |  |  |  |
| Exports of Indian produce- |  |  |  |  |
| Wool manufactures. $\quad$ \% : | 7,53 8 | 4,83 | $\begin{array}{r}6,28 \\ \hline 20\end{array}$ | 10,80 |
| Other artteles : | 8,23 | 11,60 | 7,65 | 6,67 |
| Total | 15,84 | 16,60 | 14,01 | 16,45 |
| Export of Forelgn produceMiscellaceous artjcles | 10 | 1,25 | 2,10 | 1,58 |
| EENYA COLONY AND ZANZIBAR AND PEMBA. |  |  |  |  |
| Imports therefrom- |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton, raw ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 2,87,90 | 8.16,82 | 1,77,04 |
| Mides and elina, raw . . | 7 |  |  | 24 |
| Ivory, unmanufactured | 4,08 | 1,81 | 1,72 | 1,88 |
| Soda compounds ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 6,07 | 3,88 | 4,42 |
| Splces (matnly claves) | 26,06 | 85,15 | 40,60 | 38,84 |
| Other articles - | 4,76 | 4,62 | 4,05 | 8,77 |
| Tofal | 35,07 | 3,85,67 | 3,08,18 | 2,22,02 |
|  |  |  | 10,78 | 11,04 |
| Grain, pulsa and tour- |  |  |  |  |
| Bloc (not la the htuk). | 30,79 | 94,79 | 10,68 | 12,30 |
| $\underset{\text { Jute manulactures }}{\text { Others }}$ - | 8,08 | 17,58 | 15,82 | 0,38 |
|  | 18,47 | 20,86 | 15,42 | 12,87 |
| Toral | 09,08 | 1,04,01 | 70,70 | 03,94 |

No. 12-continued
(In thousands of Rupees)

| Artioles | Pre-war averago | 1030-31 | 1031-82 | $1038-88$ . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KERYA COLONY AND ZANZIBAR AND PEMBA-conta. |  |  |  |  |
| Bxporze of Forelgn merchandiseCotton manafactures | 8,24 | 2,34 | 2,12 | 09 |
| Otber artleles | 11,57 | 0.80 | 8,47 | 8,00 |
| Total | 19,81 | 12,14 | 10,59 | 8,09 |
| EAYPT. |  |  |  |  |
| Importatherefrom- |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Building materlala }}^{\text {Clarettes }}$. $\quad: \quad: \quad$. |  | 8,09 | 8,83 | 5,72 |
| Cotton, raw : $\quad$ : $\quad$ : | 4,60 | 2,16,72 | 1,31,02 | 77,91 |
| Salt | 9,17 | 21.03 | 2, 28 | 5,80 |
| Otherarticles | 7,86 |  | 4,20 | 5,87 |
| Total | 26,17 | 2,53,85 | 1,47,02 | 95,65 |
| Bxports of Indian produce- |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton, twist and yarn | 10,12 | 26,58 | 13,36 | 2,68 |
| Indigo prala, paise and fiour-* . | 3,96 |  |  |  |
| Rico (not lut the hask) . . . | 53,81 | 21,78 | 57,63 | 84,40 |
| Whent . . . . | 3,83 | 3,48 | 18 |  |
| others flour | 16,41 | 10,00 | 98 8.08 | [ $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 10\end{array}$ |
| Jute, raw $\quad . \quad$ : | 11,88 | 81,19 | 1e,71 | 8,77 |
| Jate, gaany lisgs : | 48,40 | 63,31 | 45,75 | 34,77 |
| geẻds $\quad$ oloth . | 88 | 5, 25 | 8,83 | 8.23 |
| Other arincles* | 24,41 | 1,38.10 | 19,30 28,83 | $1,74,20$ $\mathbf{2 4 , 1 8}$ |
| Total | 1,85,70 | 3,51,67 | 1,92,58 | 2,87,48 |
| Exiforts of Foreign merchandisoillacellaneons articles | 4,84 | 4,21 | 4,24 | 2,76 |
| TAKGANYIEA TRRBITORY. |  |  |  |  |
| Imports therefromMiscellaneous articlea - | 41 | 11,07 | 6,04 | 11,29 |
| Exports of Inaisn produce- |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton manatactures | 12,46 | 21,09 | 22, 58 |  |
| Grain, palseand flour | 19,90 | 2,89 | 1,82 | 1,37 |
| Otherartictea | 5,10 | 8,35 | 4,57 | 8,78 |
| Total | 37,52 | 32,33 | 28,07 | 16,09 |
| Exports of Forelgd merchandiso- <br> Cotton manufactares |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6,27 9,95 | ${ }_{31} 1$ | 77 | 45 |
| Totas | 10,22 | 8,81 | 2,58 | 1,87 |

No. 12-continued
(In thousands of Rupen)


No. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ 12-continued.
(In thousands of Rapeos)

| Abtioles | Pre-war average | 1930-81 | 1031-82 | 1938-s8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POHTUGUGSE RAST AFRICA. |  |  |  |  |
| Thaports therofromCoal | 4,80 | 00 |  |  |
|  | +1,10 | 0,41 3,70 | 10,07 10,00 | $\begin{aligned} & 6,30 \\ & 18,64 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 5,97 | 11,07 | 12,03 | 18,94 |
| Rxports of Indian producaCoston manufactures |  | 17,46 |  |  |
| Grain, pulae and floar : | 14,86 | 16,50 | 11,46 | 10.08 |
| Jutie, gunny baga - : | 14,31 | 37,15 | 32,48 | 28,31 |
| Olls ${ }_{\text {Ofler artloiten }}$ | 186 9,17 | 44,78 | 28,08 | 38.18 |
| Totat | 47,77 | 1,15,50 | 86,89 | 85,29 |
| Bxports of Foreign merchandiseCottoa manalactures, Inclading twist and |  |  |  |  |
| Other artlcles ${ }^{\text {Jara }}$ : $\quad: ~: ~$ | 8,02 $\mathbf{3 , 5 7}$ | 1,00 2,27 | 1,64 | 1,11 $\mathbf{2 , 0 8}$ |
| Total | 12,10 | 3,27 | 2,47 | 8,14 |
| REUNION. |  |  |  |  |
| Imports therefrom- Miscollancous articles | 5 | - | . | . |
| Exporta of Indinn and Forelgn prodacehale (not In the hnsk) Other nrticies | 7,71 59 | $\cdots 1,04$ | - 17 | 1,78 34 |
| total | 8,30 | 1,04 | 17 | 2,12 |
| CANADA. |  |  |  |  |
| Imports thercfrom- |  |  |  |  |
| Motor vehicles and parts | - | 04,09 | 22,89 | 13,83 |
| Ratlway plant : : |  |  | 13 |  |
| Rubber manifactares . . |  | $2 \mathrm{2}, 97$ | 8,99 |  |
| Otherarticles | 30 | 12,40 | 0,65 | (18,88 |
| Tosaz | 1,09 | 1,32,67 | 41,08 | 33,54 |
| Exports of Indlan and Fordgn prodace- |  |  |  |  |
| Jute, grnny cloth . : . | 40,29 |  |  |  |
| Tea ${ }_{\text {Other }}$ | 64,91 | 61,87 | $6 \mathrm{~F}, 40$ | 80,14 |
| Other articles | 14,00 | 19,11 | 18,67 | 17,48 |
| Total | 1,00,20 | 1,71,71 | 1,47,86 | 1,62,00 |
| UNITED STATES. |  |  |  |  |
| Ioports therefromalamintam |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel . |  | 42,11 10,86 | 3,40 10,21 | 65 780 |
| Arma ${ }^{\text {a }}$ : : | 80 | 2,89 | 10,61 | 7,80 |
| Belting for machlncry Boots and shoes | 1,11 | 0,41 | 10,48 | 8,43 |
| Boots and shoes Bollding matcrinls . | 54 88 | 1,53 14,28 | 19 | 10 |
| Ballag matarals . | 88 | 14,28 | 7,17 | 11,18 |

No. 12-comtinued.
(Jathodsan la of Aspocs)


No. 12-continued.
(In thousands of linpecs)


No. 12-continued.
(In thousinds of Rupeos)


No. 12-continued.
(In thourands of Rujecs)

| Anticles |  | l're-wat syerage | 1080-82 | 1981.32 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BAIIREIN ISTAANS-contd. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports of Indian produce - |  | 4.48 | 9,60 | 1.9,71 |  |
| Rice (nor in the hnsk) | - | 25,42 | -8,31 | 29,46 | 21,34 |
| Otherarticles | - . | 12,34 | 28,88 | 10,88 | 7,10 |
| Totas |  | 42,24 | 60,25 | 49,05 | 37,73 |
| Exports of Forelgn merchandise- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cetton manaiactures |  | 18,18 | 4,70 | 0.43 | 4,43 |
| Other articles |  | 9,07 | 8,10 | 3,92 | 6.85 |
| . | Totas | 27,25 | 10,86 | 12,85 | 11,28 |
| nORNEO. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imports therefromOlle, minersi. Otherarticles | - | 53,70 | 81,79 | 01,50 2 | 60.03 |
|  | Total | 53,78 | 81,70 | 91,52 | 09,07 |
| Exports of Iodlan produce- <br> Miscollancous articled | * * | 62 | 5,69 | 2,90 | 2,89 |
| CEYLON. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imports thercfrom- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | - - | 1 | 5,00 | 1 |  |
| Graln, pulse and four | - . | 19 | 3,07 | 1,36 | 47 |
| Hides and skins, raw | . - | 3,43 | 8,10 | 4,47 | 2,86 |
| Jute, gunny bsgs | - . | 7,02 | 12.04 | 0.74 | 8.89 |
| Machinery . | - | 1,30 | 2,19 | 9,17 | 2.01 |
| Metals . | - | 1,41 | 9,73 | 1,52 | 1.31 |
| Olla . | - - | - 45 | 10,36 | 43,35 | 80,10 |
| Rubber - | - | 10 | 1,44 | 1,653 | 84 |
| Seeds * . . | . | 01 | 50 | 4,25 | $\underline{23.48}$ |
| Splices . | - * | 20,01 | 36,09 | 21.89 | 17,82 |
| Tea ${ }^{\text {ather articles* }}$ | - * | 7,10 | 15,41 | 18.09 | 11,83 |
| Other articies | - | 20,11 | 38,91 | 28,78 | 26,80 |
|  | Total | 72,53 | 1,48,47 | 1,36,78 | 1,76,50 |
| Exports of Indian produce- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anlmals,living * | - - | 18,40 | 10,00 | 12.40 |  |
| Coaland coke | . | 48,39 | 38.26 | 33,01 | 20,18 |
| Coffoe ${ }^{\text {cos }}$ | - - | 5,76 | . 27 | 19 | 16 |
| Cotton manntactures ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | 30.03 | 75,45 | 70.91 | 51,88 |
| Flsh (excluding canned fist) | - . | 24,39 | 53.93 | 42.19 | 35,09 |
| Frodder, bran, ctc. | - | 1,78 13,65 | 4.00 | 3.86 18.87 | 4,27 |
| Frata and vegetables ${ }^{\text {(irin, }}$ palse and flour- | - . | 13,55 | 27,63 | 18,87 | 20,07 |
| Rise (notin the hnsk). Other sorts. | - | 4,20,72 | 5,01,91 | 3,60,61 | 3,40,20 |
| Other sorta | - | 48,80 | 30,70 | , 35,60 | $3.10,10$ 30 |
| Manares . | $\cdots$ | 5,41 0.87 | 8,80 | 8,47 | 3.84 |
| lilleakes | $\bullet \quad$. | 88,54 | 888.58 | 14,09 20.23 | 8.11 |
| Provisions and ollmin's sto | * | 18,54 5,45 | 8.68 7.70 | 20,23 5,54 | 10.84 |
| Pabber, taw. . | - | 14,15 | 42,21 | 7,80 | 4,81 8,51 |
| Pueds - - | - | 12,01 | 7,88 | 8.22 | 6.21 |
| Tos - | - | 15,31 | 31.80 | 22,00 | 19.83 |
| Woud and tmiber | - : | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 0}$ $\mathbf{2 , 3 2}$ | 37,07 | 20.91 | 23.23 |
| Other articies | $\bullet \quad$. | 52,37 | 95,91 | 2,07 05,20 | 1.69 58.43 |
|  | Total. | 8,02,35 | 11,08,86 | 7,68,88 | 6,52,07 |
| Exporta of Forelga merchandie- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dottin manufactures | * | 8,20 | 2,60 | 1,00 |  |
| Othatariticles * | , | 13,89 | 3,65 | 3,41 | 2,08 2,00 |
| Oftar articies |  | 13,82 | 23,11 | 27,82 | 23,79 |
|  | Total | 22,08 | 20,26 | 32,23 | 20,48 |

No. 12-continued
(In thousands of Rupens)

| Articles | Pre-war average | 2030-31 | 1981-88 | 1032-38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HoNGKONe. |  |  |  |  |
| Inports therefromipparel | 1.21 | 62 | 66 | 77 |
| Bulding materisls: | 183 |  |  |  |
| Cotton manufactures | 1,94 | 0,48 | 3,67 | 2,98 |
| Cordago. ${ }_{\text {Drese }}$ | 3,10 | 2,06 | 1,78 | 45 1.55 |
| Direworks medicines | 6,48 5,00 | 2,78 | ${ }^{1,18}$ | 1,65 |
| Graln, pulse and flour | 4,58 | 13,30 | 4.21 | 235 |
| Provistons . - | 3,85 | 12.26 | 7,60 | 6.70 |
| Sllk, raw | -23,69 | 12.15 | 0,08 | 18.73 |
| Sugar, manufactures including yarn, ete. | 21,01 10,94 | 1,50 | 0,96 | $\stackrel{1.25}{2,85}$ |
| Otherarticles : | 15,59 | 29,22 | 21,69 | 18,57 |
| Total | 98,31 | 80,78 | 62,09 | 61,80 |
| Exports of Indian produce- |  |  |  |  |
| Chemicals | 11,14 | 0 | 14.14 | 1,56 |
| Cral and cooke | .. | 5,39 | 14,14 | 13,94 |
| ${ }_{\text {Cotton- }}^{\text {Raw }}$. | 32,85 |  | 1,50 | 1 |
| Twist and yarn . | 3,86,49 | 4,21 | 45 | 2 |
| Druge and modictnes | 4,92 | 91 | 7.58 | 14,16 |
| Grain, pulse and flour : . . | 12,70 | 67,46 | 40.88 | 16.42 |
| Judestone manufactures : | $\mathbf{5 , 4 5}$ 7,42 | 1,45 00,90 | 3,38 70,49 | 347 05,70 |
| Oplum . | 4,15,52 |  |  |  |
| Other articles | 86,17 | 33,23 | 32,73 | 26,17 |
| Total | 9,12,88 | 1,63,64 | 1,80,08 | 1,41,45 |
| Exports of Foreign morchandiso-Fish- |  |  |  |  |
| Fishmaws and sharkfing | 4,67 | 8,00 | 8,96 | 2,05 |
| Fruits and vegetableg- Dried, saltod or preserved |  |  |  |  |
| Other artletes or preserved | 6,68 | 1,77 | 8,26 | 2,04 |
| Total | 13,42 | 5,96 | 8,21 | 4,80 |
| cilina (bNULUSIVE of hongkong AND MACAO). |  |  |  |  |
| Juportsthercfron-- |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton yarn aids : |  | 90.68 | 8,58 | 84,14 |
| silk, praw ecgoods : | 88.44 | 76,35 | 54.64 | 96,52 |
| Sis, manufactures, iucluding yarn | 36,67 | 74,05 | 63.97 | 61,52 |
|  | 10,70 | 20,27 | 18,72 | 18,40 |
| Other articles | 27,02 | 62,18 | 42,92 | 33, 25 |
| Total | 1,57,98 | 3,83,18 | 2,78,61 | 2,04,01 |
| Exports of Indian produce- |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton- |  | 7,40,8¢ | 4,50,25 |  |
| Tawlatand yarn : | 4,10,64 |  |  | 1,2, 2 |
| Pleresoods. | , ${ }^{\text {9,70 }}$ | ${ }^{20}$ |  | 1.80 ${ }^{48}$ |
| Grain, pulse and flour | 19,32 10,84 | 4,01,98 | 2,65.23 | $1,80,50$ 9,06 |
| Inte, raviral | +10,54 | 10.10 | 10,19 | ${ }_{6,73}$ |
| Päremin max | 1, 1 O2 | 36,57 | 23,45 | 14,51 |
| TManat | 35, 3 | 12.64 | 8,41 1167 |  |
| Wther articles | 3,00,43 | 10,00 | 11,67 | 13,16 |
| Total | 8,72,39 | 13,21,30 | 7,70,72 | 3,67,08 |

No. 12-oontinued
(In thousands of Eufeen)

| Articles | Pro-war average | 1980-81 | 1981-82 | 1832-83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHINA (EXCLUSIYE OF HONGKONG AND MLACAO)-contd. |  |  |  |  |
| Exports of Forelgn merchandlsoMiscellaneous articles | 1,30 | 3,46 | 2,69 | 1,63 |
| INDO-CHINA. <br> Imports therolrons- <br> RJe <br> Other articles | $\stackrel{9}{4}$ | 4,04 | 16,50 2,30 | 18,30 1,47 |
| Total | 1,01 | 5,49 | 18,80 | 19,86 |
| Exports of Indian and Forelga produco- |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton, raw . . . | 1,63 | 8,29 | 14,39 | 2,86 |
| Jutemanufactures . | 32,57 | 17,86 | 1882 | ${ }^{22,76}$ |
|  | 29,07 3,48 | 52,84 1,91 | 14.04 1,85 | 11,24 84 |
| Torir | 68,75 | 80,88 | 78,60 | 37,70 |
| JAPAN. |  |  |  |  |
| Ale, beer and porter - . . | 3 | 4,60 | 3,88 | 4,41 |
| Apparel . . . . . | 0,17 | 18,60 | 20,78 | 25,48 |
| - Artificial sllk. . . . . |  | 1,84,12 | 2,16,20 | 2,70,63 |
| ${ }_{\text {- Bobblins }}^{\text {Boota and aboes }}$ : $\quad: \quad: \quad:$ |  | 67,92 | $\begin{array}{r}3.64 \\ 48,72 \\ \hline 6.4\end{array}$ | 4,75 81,57 |
| Brass, bronze, etc.: : | 7 | 24,70 | 16.47 | 40,38 |
| Brushes and brooms | 48 | 1,10 | 90 | 1,99 |
| Buttons of all sorts |  | 5,30 | 4,24 | 7.24 |
| Camphor . . . . . | 11,48 | 9,38 | 8.40 | 11,77 |
|  | 11 | [2,85 | ${ }_{9}^{8,76}$ | 68 8.10 |
| Chemicals : | 93 | 4,37 | 6,37 | 13,26 |
| Cosl and coke | 7,02 | 19 | 16 |  |
| Copper . . . . . | 27,99 | 53 | 3,55 | 6,72 |
| -Cotton, raw | 9 05,29 | 6.28 $\mathbf{7 6 , 5 1}$ | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2,63 } \\ \hline 1150\end{array}$ | 85 61.23 |
| ", plecegoods ${ }^{\text {\% }}$, : : | 68,23 6,10 | 5,92,80 | 5,45:20 | 7,85,39 |
| ", Yarn | 6,16 212 | 83,60 1270 | 69.83 | 1,60,71 |
| Oil other manufactures ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,12 | 12,70 | 9.40 | 11,59 |
| Drugs and medicines (other thad camphor) Earthenware and porcelan | 1,72 4,23 | 61,71 $\mathbf{8 1}$ | 0,09 16,74 | 7.54 31.69 |
| Furnitere and cablnetware | ${ }_{71}$ | 1,00 | $1{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,08 |
| Glass and glassware - |  |  |  |  |
| Bancles ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | 5 | 10,84 | 15,03 | 22,33 |
| Beads and false pearls. | 7,61 | 5,06 | 3,37 | 5.45 |
| Bottics and phats - | 1,00 | 11,92 | 8,49 | 11,95 |
| Funneles, zlobes, etc. | 58 | 1,35 | ${ }^{60}$ | ${ }^{98}$ |
| Table O Ware . - | 1,41 | 5,74 10,81 | 3.69 10.00 | 20.18 |
| Haberdashery and millinery | 10,88 | 18,30 | 10.42 | 15.09 |
| Hardware - . - | 3,60 | 20,01 | 15.77 | 30.11 |
| Instruments : : : : | 85 | ¢, 0.05 | 8.47 8.78 | 2:2,99 |
| Machinery and miliwork*. | 63 | 4,85 | 8,74 | 14,14 |
| Matches ${ }^{\text {Paints and paintersimaterials }}$. | 28,40 | 110 |  | $\bigcirc 5$ |
| Paints and painters materials : |  | 4,30 <br> 11,08 | 4,13 0,78 | 7,45 |
| Prcvislons and oilman's stores: | 1,67 | 11,08 2,09 | 1,68 | ${ }_{16,62}$ |
| Rubbar majufactures |  | 2,19 | 3,04 | 18,04 |
| Sllk, ralp | 1,15 | 06 | 1.63 | 4,71 |
| ${ }_{\text {Spices }}$ ginger manufactures $\quad$ - | 1,31,45 | 89,01 | 05,9\% | 2,01,72 |
|  | 3,40 2,17 | 618 4,74 | 194 | 18 0,47 |
|  | 87 | 76 | 3,04 | ${ }^{98}$ |
| Toilet requisites ${ }^{\text {Toys and requistes for games: }}$ | ${ }_{3,16}^{1,31}$ | 13,33 | 3,39 986 | 8,14 |
| Urubrollas mad umbrella littlora | 1,16 4,91 | 13,10 8,63 | 8.88 | 22,86 |
| Wood-timber | 32 | 24,06 | 0.45 | 2,37 |
| (Föllen manufarturos | 71 8 | 6.84 3.19 | 3, 178 | 5,05 |
| Otherarticles | 10,54 | 66,19 66,19 | 80,45 | 14,16 81,32 |
| Totat | 8,64,35 | 14,51,10 | 18,88,07 | 20,47,74 |

No. 12-continued
(In thousands of Ropees)

| Artioles | I're-war average | 1050-31 | 1931-82 | 1092-3s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JAPAN-conld. |  |  |  |  |
| Exports of Indian produce- |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton, raw Hides and skins, taninod or dressed | 14,50,70 | 20,00,31 20,15 | $11,04.66$ 25,72 | 11,12,31 |
| Iron and steel . . . | 15,24 | 78.59 | 85,30 | 51.09 |
| Jute, raw | 10.84 | 11,04 | 17,47 | 22,-3 |
| " manufactures | 10,93 | 178.81 | 12.88 | 24,84 |
| LaO - : | 2,17 | 18,0: | 16,40 38,69 | 8,05 20.01 |
| $\underset{\text { Manures }}{\text { Led }}$ : $\quad: \quad:$ | 8,59 | 18,74 | 88.69 | ${ }_{2}^{20.71}$ |
| Oflcakes | 15,19 | 10.87 | 23,60 | 10,70 |
| Oplum ${ }^{\text {Parafin wax }}$ : | 9,79 8,90 | 27,35 | 4,40 | 6,56 |
| Parafin wax | 6,90 4.10 | 10.47 | 11,73 | $0, \div 8$ |
| Rice (not in the husk) | 1,35,59 | 1.19 | 46 | 62,27 |
| Other artlelez . | 6,37 | 44,37 | 47,50 | 42,00 |
| Totar | 10,84,06 | 28,73,40 | 13,04,28 | 13,05,10 |
| Bxporta n Poreign merchandiso-- Miscellaneous articles | 1,66 | 13.62 | 8,65 | 10,18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Imports thereirom- |  |  |  |  |
| Farinaceoun tocds : | 50 | 2,54 | 4,75 | 4.20 |
| Luquors - | 2,59 | 18.02 | 10.11 |  |
| Sugar . : | 9,23,33 | 0,85,94 | 4,51,09 | 3,40,38 |
| Tea ${ }_{\text {Ofther arileces }}$ | 7,70 | 7,96 14,00 | 11,79 | 27,01 |
| Totas | 9,35,03 | 10,34,17 | 4,84,72 | 3,73,50 |
| Bxports of Indian producoJute, gunny bags | 45,20 | 1,50,2y |  | 41,11 |
| Oplum | 52,28 | 25,72 | $\begin{array}{r}8.12 \\ 48.94 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
| Rice (not in the busk) Other articles | $1,78,58$ 15,59 |  | 23,84 | 18.79 |
| Total | 2,80,74 | 2,5S,69 | 1,70,00 | 70,70 |
| Exports of Forelgn merchandliseMiscellaneons articlea | 1,12 | 4,70 | 3,08 | 3.11 |
| persia. <br> Importa therefrom |  |  |  |  |
| Fruits and vegetahies : dried, salted, etc. Gumand resina | 18,68 3,74 | 18,61 6,4 | 16,83 2,64 | 1,31 |
| Olls, mineral. - . | 4,09 | 2,80,41 | 2,80,57 | 1,67,85 |
| Precinus stones, etc. - . | ${ }_{8}^{8,23}$ |  | $\cdots 4.02$ | 3,02 |
| Wool, raw ${ }_{\text {Other articles }}$ | 18,04 <br> 188 | 13,43 | 14,08 | 12,07 |
| Totaz | 63,40 | 2,71,65 | 2,76,94 | 1,03,27 |
| Exports of Indian produceCotton, twist and yarn | 12,06 13,73 | 34,29 | $\begin{array}{r}88,20 \\ \hline 88.31\end{array}$ | 20,41 |
| Grali ${ }^{\text {matinufactures }}$ pulse and flour- |  |  |  |  |
| Rico (not In tho husk) . | 10, ${ }^{15}$ | 4,11 |  | $\stackrel{1,80}{8}$ |
| Others - . . | 3.33 1.90 | 1,00 |  |  |
| Indigo | 1,99 | 23,71 | 964 | " ${ }_{6,5}$ |
| Other articles: | 11,51 | 23,94 | 12,22 | 8,20 |
| Totas | 65,13 | 1,29.55 | 1,08,84 | 65,36 |

No. 12-aontinued
(Jn thousands of liupees)


No. 12-continued.
(In thousands of liulees)

(a) Included in Turiey in Aris prior io 1u21-82.

No. 12-continued.
(In thousands Ropees)

(a) Included in rurkey In Asin prior to 1021-22.
(b) Includes Iraq prior to 1021-2\%

No. 12-concluded.
(In thousands of Rur e08)


No. 13.-Imports of cotton preggoods.
(In thousande of yards)

(In thoussnds of yards)


No. 13A.-Production, mports, mill and other consumption, exports AND RE-EXPORTS OF RAW OOTTON.
(In lakhe of bales)


[^18]No. 14.-Production in the inidan mills of ootton pieobgoods.
(In thousandis of yards)

| Antioles |  | Pre-war aversge | Wer average | Post-war average | 1981-32 | 1982-38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ooloured plocegoods |  | 854,141 | 1,085,855 | 1,200,684 | 2,311,104 | 2,422.907 |
|  |  | 251,353 | 878,187 | 466,182 | 678,787 | 746,901 |
|  | Total | 1,105,404 | 1,444,042 | 1,875,860 | 2,089,891 | 3,109,898 |

No. 15-A.-Imports of cotton twist and yarn from principal dountries.


No. 15-B.-Imports of cotton twist and yarn by counts.
(In thousande of lbs.)

| Articles |  | Premar average | War sverage | Post-wne average | 1981-82 | 1032-33 | Percentage of total In |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nos. 1 to 20. | - - | 1,576 | 2,191 | 0.087 | 808 | 901 | 20 |
| ? 21 to 30 . |  | 4,874 | 8,108 | 3,526 | 750 | 1,068 | 24 |
| * 81 to 40 . |  | 23,213 | 17,580 | 20,580 | 14,308 | 20,908 | $40 \cdot 3$ |
| Above No. 40 |  | 7,602 | 5,945 | 0,291 | 6,252 | 6,420 | 14.9 |
| Two-folds (doubles) | - | - | - | 9,973 | 10,088 | 15,768 | 15.0 |
| Dnspoclfled descriptlons | - | . 5,230 | 5,100 | - 3,384 | 19 | 31 | -1 |
|  | Totay | 41,794 | 34,063 | 44,481 | 81,575 | 45,108 | 100 |

No. $15-0$. - Imports of dotton twist and yarn in 1930-31, 1931-32, and 1932-33 by classes from the prinoipal supplyng countries.

16.-Production in the indian mills of cotton twist and yarn by counts.
(In thousands of lbs.)


No. 17.-Imports of raw silk, silk yarn and silk piecegoods.
(In Chousends of Rupees)


No. 18.-Imports of woollen piecegoods.
(In thousands of Rupees)

|  | Countries |  | Pre-war average | $\begin{gathered} \text { War } \\ \text { average } \end{gathered}$ | Post-war average | 1081-32 | 1982.83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Onited Klogdom Germany Netherlands <br> Belglum Franco Italy Other counirles | - • - | - - | J,46,44 | 1,21,68 | 1,53,84 | 24,78 | 52,48 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{1}^{3,02}$ | 7,19 | 4,15 | 8,76 |
|  | - : | - | ${ }_{1} 178$ | 1,26 | 8,61 4,20 | 2,39 | 1,60 8,88 |
|  | : : |  | 10,85 | 8,35 | 7,80 | 1e, 10 | 84.30 |
|  | $\div: ~: ~$ |  | 83 | 18 | 2,07 | 15,16 | 42.14 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 6,30 | 8,16 | 78 | 7,78 |
|  | - . - | - - | 3,94 | 1,54 | 1,90 | 8,96 | 8,2] |
|  |  | TORAL | 2,00,78 | 1,87,64 | 1,88,71 | 68,76 | 1.01,13 |

No. 19.-Imports and declared value of pron and steel.
(Quantitiosin hundreds of tonis)

| Abticleb | 1013-14 (PRE-War year) |  |  | 1030.81 |  |  | 1881-32 |  |  | 1032-93 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Vnlue per ton | Quantity | Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } \\ & \text { per ton } \end{aligned}$ | Qnantlty | Value | Valuo perton | Quantlty | Value | Value per ton |
|  |  | R(lakht) | 7 |  | n(lakhs) | 12 |  | If(lakha) | 7 |  | A (lakhs) | \# |
| Galvanlsed . . . . | 277,0 | 8,38 | 104 | 147,4 | 8,89 | 106 | 84,0 | 1,60 | 198 | 72,7 | 1,28 | 170 |
| Tinned . . . . | 62,8 | 1,25 | 237 | 17,8 | 55 | 317 | 7,6 | 20 | 263 | 7,0 | 19 | 278 |
| Not Gaivanisod or Tinned | 100,0 | 1,23 | 123 | 89,8 | 63 | 185 | 22,1 | 20 | 131 | 22,1 | 27 | 123 |
| Jron Bara and channels . . | 26,7 | 35 | 130 | 4,1 | 6 | 156 | 1,8 | 8 | 281 | 1,2 | 8 | 233 |
| Bart (Bteel) - . . . | 204,6 | 2,07 | 101 | 87.4 | 01 | 104 | 72,5 | 65 | 00 | 69,2 | 57 | 82 |
| Beaine, channels, plltars,glrders and bridgemork. | 89,0 | 1,10 | 120 | 80,8 | 1,05 | 121 | 36,1 | 40 | 111 | 15,7 | 15 | 97 |
| Cast plpes and fittings . . | 56,2 | 01 | 101 | 8,0 | 10 | 841 | 1,7 | 6 | 853 | 2,1 | 6 | 288 |
| Steel, angle and spring . . | 44,2 | 47 | 100 | 23,2 | 29 | 104 | 19,0 | 18 | 94 | 12,8 | 11 | 89 |
| Wrought tubes, plpes and Attlings . | 18,4 | 40 | 253 | 87,8 | 1,15 | 805 | 29,8 | 71 | 298 | 28,5 | 62 | 268 |
| Boops and strips . . . | 80,8 | 48 | 140 | 83,8 | 4 | 181 | 23,8 | 28 | 118 | 24,9 | 28 | 118 |
| Nalls, rivets and washers . | 25,1 | 60 | 200 | 18,0 | 88 | 278 | 10,9 | 81 | 284 | 10,5 | 81 | 202 |
| Rafls, chalrs and fishplates (fncludIng those for ralluajs) (a) | 17,8 | 10 | 112 | 10,0 | 15 | 146 | 4,0 | 10 | 217 | 1,7 | 4 | 253 |
| Wire . . . | 9,8 | 24 | 245 | 18,8 | 20 | 168 | 0,4 | 16 | 159 | 0,0 | 17 | 178 |
| Wirenalls . . . - | - | - | - | 18,4 | 20 | 159 | 9,4 | 15 | 159 | 18,2 | 28 | 177 |
| WIre rope . . . | - | - | - | 8.8 | 18 | 556 | 2,7 | 14 | 518 | 2,0 | 11 | 623 |
| Bolte and nute . . | 7,4 | 29 | 808 | 8,8 | 26 | 297 | 7,0 | 19 | 271 | 6,5 | 18 | 284 |
| Other sorts | 56,0 | 1,14 | 201 | 08, 2 | 1,56 | 227 | 85,1 | 82 | 293 | 82.4 | 75 | 282 |
| Total | 1,018,2 | 16,01 | 157 | 014,8 | 10,80 | 177 | 371,0 | 0,82 | 170 | 326,0 | 5,80 | 168 |

(a) Figurea for 1918-14 exclude rails, ehairs and fishplates for rallways.

No. 20.-Imports of iron and steel.
(In tons)

(In lakha of Hupecs)


No. 21.-Imports of machinery and milwork.


No. 22.-Imports of motor cars, cycles, wagons and accessories.

t The agures represent those for 1918-14 only.

No. 22.-Imports of motor cars, cycles, wagons and agcessories-contd.
(In thonsands of Rupees)

f Average for two years, 1912-18 and 1913-14.

No. 23.-Imports of hardware, excluding cutlery, etc.


No. 24.-Imports of aetals (excluding iron and steel).
(In thousands of Eupecs)


No. 25.-Imports of sugar (excluding molasses and confectionery),
(In hundreds o itons)

| Couxirgs: | Pro-wne average | $\begin{gathered} \text { War } \\ \text { average } \end{gathered}$ | Post-war average | 1031-32 | 1098.33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United Kingdom | 7 | 4 | 7 | 28,0 | 34,9 |
| Stragsts Settlements (including Labunn) | 1,7 | 29,5 | 11,8 7,5 | ${ }_{4}^{6}$ | $\cdots$ |
| Hongkong | 4,4 | 5,7 | 6,5 | 8, 5 | 1,4 |
| Mauritius and Dependencles | 128,8 | 50.7 | -25,9 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 453,0 } \\ \mathbf{2} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 307, ${ }^{6,7}$ | 382,8 | 3068 1 | 294,8 4,0 |
| $\underset{\text { Other countrics }}{\text { Belglum }} \quad . \quad: \quad: \quad: ~: ~$ | $44,{ }^{\frac{1}{6}}$ | ${ }^{\text {- }} 8.1$ | 4.4 | 1-1, ${ }^{2}$ | 2,6 81,0 |
| Total | 039,5 | 472,3 | 443,5 | 616,1 | 869,4 |

No. 26.-Inports of mineral oils.


- Average of threo years from 1021-22 to 1023-24.
+ Imparta in 1923-24 ware valrod at $\mathbf{~} 4,17,626$.
No. 27.-Imports of cement.


No. 28.—Exports of raw jute.
(In thonssnds of bales)


No. 29.-Production, mill consumption and exports of raw jute.
(In laichs of bales)


(a) Provisional

No. 30-A.-Exports of jute gunny bags and cloth : Bags-Hesslan.
(In lakhs of buge)

(Inlakhs of bags)


## Cloth-Hessian.

(In lakhs of yards)


Cloth-Sackina.
(In lakhs of yards)


Nors,-Average of three war yearg, $1916-17$ to $1918-10$, ls giren, ss the distlaction between ancklar and heesian gunuy bage and cloth wan made in the Sea Borne Trade Returna irom 1st Aprid, 1916.

## 218

> No. 30-B.- Exports of Jote bags and aunny olote.

| Cowntrirs | Fre-war average | War average | Post-mar average | 1081-82 | 1982-88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. (lakhs) | No. (lakhs) | No. (lakha) | No. (lakle) | No. (lakls) |
| BAGS |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Klngdom * | 305 | 2,205 | 418 | 475 | 485 |
| Europe (excepting United KIngdom) | 206 | 088 | 209 | 808 | 877 |
| Egypt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 110 | 385 | 102 | 143 | 198 |
| South Africs (Including Rhodesin) | 119 | 243 | 177 | 182 | 181 |
| Erast Airica (Including Maurltius) | 99 | 90 | 112 | 201 | 228 |
| Australla and New Zealand - | 628 | 737 | 720 | 742 | 1,049 |
| Java . . . - | 130 | 206 | 205 | 290 | 138 |
| Japan * * - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 35 | 118 | 196 | 48 | 94 |
| Far East (excepting Java and Japan) | 303 | 436 | 584 | 655 | 709 |
| South America ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 431 | 532 | 335 | 117 | 185 |
| Contral America and West Indics | 130 | 229 | 208 | 274 | 20 |
| Other countries . . . | 798 | 747 | 007 | 451 | 470 |
| Total (ALL Covisries) | 3,301 | 6,076 | 4,043 | 8,885 | 4,151 |
| OLOTE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yds, } \\ \text { (lakhs) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yds. } \\ \text { (lakhs) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yds. } \\ & \text { (lakhs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ide } \\ \text { (Iakhg }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Xdg. } \\ \text { (lakha) } \end{gathered}$ |
| United KIngdom | 423 | 1,333 | 741 | 629 | 575 |
| Canada * - | 333 | 634 | 508 | 638 | 655 |
| Australia | 209 | 253 | 175 | 199 | 248 |
| United States of Amerles | 6,639 | 6,085 | 8,938 | 6,924 | 6,488 |
| Argentine Republle. | 1,727 | 1,440 | 1,818 | 1,049 | 2,875 |
| Other countries | 360 | 1,016 | 528 | 784 | 776 |
| TOTAL (ALL COURTRIES) | 9,700 | 11,501 | 12,703 | 10,211 | 10,117 |

No. 31.-Exports of raw cotton.
(In thousands of bales of 400 Ibe.)

(a) Higures prlor to 1921-22 relate to Austria-Hungary.

No. 32-A.-Exports of cotton twist and yarn.
(In thoumanda of lbes.)


No. 32-B.-Exports of cotton twist and yarn by counts.
(In thousands of fbs.)


No. 33.-Exports of indian grey and coloured cotton piecegoods.
(a) Grey (unbleached).
(in thousands of yards)

| Cod stries | Pre-tar average | $\begin{aligned} & \text { War } \\ & \text { avorage } \end{aligned}$ | Pont-war <br> a verago | 1081-82 | 1088-88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Persit | 4,009 | 9,828 | 3,117 | 202 | 20 |
| Iraq** | 0,683 | 9,537 | 8,519 | 7 | 111 |
| Straits Settlements | - 067 | 1,608 | 938 | 125 | 258 |
| Aden and Dependencles ${ }^{*}$ | 7.636 | 21,828 | 0,235 | 980 | 170 |
| Wenya Colony and Zanzilur and Pemba | 4,158 | 8,920 | 7,202 | 1,457 | 781 |
| Ceylon ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ate* | 175 | 533 | 301 | 117 | 149 |
| Portuguesc East Afrlea | 4,914 | 5,206 | 4,457 | 1,903 | 1,899 |
| Other countrles | 16,172 | 17,052 | 11,067 | 3,787 | 1,407 |
| Totat (ALy Coditaids) | 47,414 | 74,576 | 42,615 | 8,585 | 4,082 |

(b) Coloured, printed or dyed.


[^19]No. 34.-Exports of Indian tea
(In thousands of libes)

(a) Shown as B forsign country from 1027-28.
(b) Figures prior to 1021-22 Include Iraq.

No. 35-A.-Exports of seeds
Linseed
(In hundreds of tons)


Rape

| United Kingdom Italy <br> Belglam <br> Other countrles | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 272, | 90, | 215, | E8,9 | 114,5 |

No. 35-A.-Exports of seeds-contd.

## Sesamum (Til or Jinjili)

(In hundrods uf tona)

(a) Figures prior to $\mathbf{1 9 2 1 - 2 9}$ represent Austris-Hungary.

## Groundnut

| France | - - | - . - | - | 109,1 | 87.5 | 120,8 | 223,2 | 219.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United Klogdon | . $\cdot$ | - . . | - | 1.9 | 8,3 | 13.3 | 7, 0 | 81.6 |
| Belotum . | . . | - . . |  | 15,3 | 0 | 14,0 | 4.5 | 8.0 |
| Italy - | - - | . . . | - | ${ }^{7}$ | 2,9 | 14.6 | 803 | St. |
| Germany - | - . | - . . | - | 7,4 | 8 | 12,2 | 121.8 | 48.1 |
| Notherlands | - | . . . |  | 1 |  | 8,3 | 147.2 | 87.6 |
| Other countries | - - | - - - |  | 17,3 | 18,6 | 7,0 | 17,1 | 87,8 |
|  |  | Toral | - | 211,8 | 118,7 | 195,2 | 0:2,0 | 433,0 |

## Cotton



## Castor

| Unlted Kingdom <br> United States of America | - | - - | - | 53,9 | 47,1 | 10,5 | 28,2 | 22,7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . | . . |  | 11,8 | 14,7 | 18,8 | 94,7 | 28.4 |
| Brlgium - . | - | - - |  | 13,7 | 1,1 | 4,1 | 4,1 | +,0 |
| France - | - . | . |  | 15,7 | 14,8 | 8,8 | 20.8 | 10,8 |
| Italy | . | . . |  | 11.0 | 7,1 | 4,3 | 12.1 | 6.2 |
| Otuer conntries | - - | - - |  | 7,5 | 4,0 | 1,8 | 9, ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 7.8 |
|  |  | Totas | - | 113,6 | 88,8 | 48,3 | 104.0 | 85,9 |

No. 35 -B.-Shares of principal countries in the total value OF EXPORTS OF SEEDS
(In thousands of Rupees)

(a) Figures prior to $\mathbf{1 9 2 1 - 2 2}$ represent Austria-II ungary.

No. 36.-Exports of Rice not in time huds


No. 37.-Exports of Wheat
(In thousands of tons)



No. 39.-Exports of Indigo $^{\text {n }}$
(In owts.)

(a) Figures prior to 1021-22 indude Itaq.
(In thousands of Kupees)


Nots. -This table excludes opium exported on Government account.

No. 41.-Exports of Hides and Skins
(In thousands of Rupees)


No. 11-Exports of Hides and Skins-contd.

| (In thousands of Bupees) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cocirrars |  | Pre-war averago | $\begin{aligned} & \text { War } \\ & \text { average } \end{aligned}$ | Post-war averago | 1081-82 | 1032-38 |
| Dressed and tanned hldes- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Kingdom $\quad: \quad: \quad: \quad: \quad 1,62,48$Other countrles $\quad: \quad 4,10$ |  |  | $4,37,88$ 1,86 | $2,00,50$ 28,80 | $2,08,24$ 4,42 | $1,59,38$ 2,70 |
| TotalBritish Emplre . <br> " Forelgn Countrles | $\cdots \quad$. | $1,58,44$ 3,23 | $4,38.93$ 81 | $3,02,89$ 16,64 | $8,10,12$ 8,64 | $1,00,65$ 1,67 |
|  | Gramb Total | 1,56,07 | 4,30,74 | 3,19,36 | 2,12,60 | 1,62,12 |
| Dressed and tenned shins- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Kingdom United States of America <br> Japan <br> other countrics |  | 2,15,45 | 1,08,57 | 2,13,83 | 2,76,70 | 2,76,70 |
|  | - . | 20,19 | 1,58,62 | 24,74 | 8,41 | 48 |
|  | - . - | 12,79 | 11,82 | 36,21 | 25.69 | 20.65 |
|  | - | 13,35 | 5,94 | 17,81 | 7,8) | 6.15 |
| Total British Emplion. " Fareign Countries | - - | 2,21,15 | 2,02,35 | 2,25,82 | 2,80,21 | 2,80,48 |
|  | - | 40,63 | 72,60 | 00,77 | 33,48 | 23,78 |
|  | Geamd Toral | 2,70,78 | 2,74,05 | 2,02,59 | 9,18,60 | 8,04,21 |

No. 42.--Exports of Manganese ore
(In thoussads of tons)


No. 43.-Peroentage of exports of oertain principal crops to total PRODUCTION

(a) Not avallabla.

No. 44.-Imports and Exports of Gold, Sllver and Currency Notes

'No. 44.-Imporver and Expolts uf Gold, Silver and Cuhrency Notes-contd,
(In thoussads of Rupees)



No. 44.-Imports and Exports of Goly, Sllver and Currency Notes-concli.
(In thousands of Ruyees)



* Not recorded prior to 1022-28. The tigures for post-war average in columne 4 and 9 reprosent avorage for two youry, $1922-23$ and 1823-24.

No. 45.-Net mpports of Gold, Silver and Currency Notes in each month of the year 1932-33.


No. 46.-Absorption of Gold (both coin and bullion) in India
(In Iskhs of Rupees)


 (a) Pipares are for calendar year ending 31st December.
(b) Excludes goldimported and exported on wehalf of the Bank of Englond. Net exports.

No. 47.-Barance of Trade of India *
(Iu lakhs of Rupeoes

 Note. -In theae batonces plus ( + ) alonifing net export and minus ( - ) net import.
(b) Excludes ransactions, such as gold mompred or exported on belinit of the mink of England, which do not onter Into Indiate Balance of Trade.

 Setterments on account of the stralta Government (aist lakha) have been excluded in 1019-20. In ioso-21 the followinaltenshavebeen excluicd :- (1) the vnite of 'silver-other coln' Imported from Ceglon on account of the Coylon fiovernment (itslakhs), (2) the value of ebliver-other coln' exported to Ceylon onaccomint of the Ceylon fovernment (fito lakhs) and to the Strales sethementa on necount of the Stralte Government (itholakhis), and (3) the value of Straltsitollars exported to the Straita Setticmente on acconnt of the stralta Government (fikg lakhs). The Jtems excluded in ig2l-22 ere:- (1) import of "sllver other coln ' (rom Coylon on account of the Ceylon Governiment (tht Iskha), (2) export of sifer-other coln to Ceylon on account of the Ceylon Government (R3lakhs), and (S) export of Straits dollark to the Straltascttlemertsonnccount of tho Stralts Government (Fit lakh). Tho value of Stralts dollart exported to the Stralte settementson account
(c) Exclusive of the value of rallway materials importedilirect bystate liallways working undor company management, which was not pald for in the ardinary wayand not therefore taken Into account In arrlving at the Balance of Trade.
(d) Includes RSS lakha belng tho funda supplied by Government to finance wheat parchases.
(e) Figures for the veara prior to 1921-22 represent only Coonell Blils and celegraphic trangfer paid in India.

No. 48.-Declared value per unit of Principal Imported articles


No. 48.-Declared value per unit of Principal Imported articlescontinued.


No. 49.-Deolared value per unit of Prinoipal Exported artioles


No. 49.-Declared value per unit of Trincipal Exported artieles-continued


No. 50.-Wholesale Prices in Indla.



[^20](k) 友会 Grom.

No. 50.-Wholesale Prices in India-continued.


No. 51.-Pbices of cotton, raw and Index Numbers of cotton, baw and choth in Liverpool
(Compilet from F. W. Tattersall's Cotton Trade Review)


No. 52.-Statistics of Maritime freigets from Calqutta, Bombay, Karacie, Madras and Rangoon to London, Marsemles, antwerp, Hamburg and Japan.

| Artioles | Frolghts to | Rato per | 1014 | 1028 1029 |  | 1030 | 1031 | 1032 |  |  |  | 1088 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | April | Aprll | AsIrl | Aprit | April | January | April | July | October | January | Aprll |
| Calouttac. Jnte • <br> Innseod | London Marseflies: Antwerp Haml urg, | Ton of 50 c.ft. | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { E A } & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & d . \\ 0\end{array}$ | ¢ 8 s. <br> 1 16  | $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { \& } & \text { f. } & d . \\ 1 & 13 & 9\end{array}$ | \& s. ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | \& \%. d. | E s. d. | ¢ \% | £ 8. d. | $\begin{array}{cccc}\text { ¢ } & 8 & \text { d } \\ 1 & 15 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { \& } & \\ 1 & 15 & \text { a } \\ 1 & 15 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}8 & 1 & d . \\ 1 & 17 & 6\end{array}$ |
|  |  | " | 180 | 1150 | 113 g | 1189 | 1113 | 1170 | 1176 | 117 6 | 1150 | 1150 | 1178 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ | 1120 | 1100 | 1100 | 176 | 1189 | 1189 | 1130 | 1118 | 111 | 1139 |
|  |  | m' | 1280 | 1126 | 1100 | 1100 | 1770 | 1189 | 1189 | 1130 | 1118 | 1118 | 1139 |
|  | Londun | Ton | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ | 1100 | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 8 & 0\end{array}$ | 180 | 189 | 1170 | 1100 | 1100 | 176 | 178 | 178 |
|  | Marsilles. | " | 1280 | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 10 & 0\end{array}$ | 180 | $\pm 80$ | 189 | 1176 | 1100 | 1100 | 176 | 176 | 176 |
|  | Antworp. | " | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 7 & 6 \\ 1 & 7 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 5 & 0 \\ 1 & 5 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 5 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 5 & 0 \\ 1 & 5 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 18 & 9\end{array}$ | 170 | 178 | 150 | 150 | 1 |
| EombayCotton | London Antwerp Eumburg . Japan | Ton of 40 e.ft. Bale | $\begin{array}{rrr} 0 & 12 & 0 \\ 0 & 11 & 6 \\ 0 & 10 & 6 \\ \text { R9. } 17(b) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 19 \\ 0 & 19 & 0 \end{array}$ | 2 0 16 | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 15 & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lrr}1 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 18 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 13 & 9 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 7 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0\end{array}$ |  | 150 | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 5 & 0\end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0168 | 0186 | 0180 | 0180 | 1120 | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 & 0\end{array}$ | 100 | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0170 | 0140 | 0176 | 0106 | 310 | 0160 |  | 110 | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Yen 4.50 | Yon $4 \cdot 56$ | Yed 4.50 | Yen 4.58 | Yen $4 \cdot 50$ | Yentiso | Yen 4.56 | Yen' 4.50 | Yen $4 \cdot 56$ | Yen $4 \cdot 56$ |
|  |  | Ton |  | $\begin{array}{llll}\mathbf{\Sigma} & 8 & \\ 1 & 0 & \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { £ } & \text { \%. } \\ 0 & \text { d. } \\ 0 & 16 & 0\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{llll}5 & 2 . & d . \\ 0 & 18 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cccc}\mathbf{E} & 8 & d . \\ 1 & \mathbf{0} & 0\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cccc}£ & 4 . & d . \\ 0 & 10 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & \\ \pm & 8 . & d .\end{array}$ |  |  |
| Soeds ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Maracilles ${ }^{\text {London }}$ | Ton | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 18 & 0 \\ 0 & 11 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 16 & 6 \\ 0 & 10 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 18 & 6 \\ 0 & 14 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 18 & 0 \\ 0 & 18 & 0\end{array}$ | 100 | 120 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 19 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0\end{array}$ |
| (16 owt. | Antwerp . | " | 011 6 | 0100 | 0188 | 018 0 | 0180 | 0 i8 0 | $1{ }^{1} 0$ | $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 10 \\ 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ |
| and orer) | Hamburg . | " | 0160 | 010 | 0170 | 0140 | 0276 | 0106 | 110 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 16 & 0\end{array}$ | 1240 | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ |
| Liglit seeds <br> $(13 \mathrm{cwt}$. | London . | " | 0100 | 0170 | 0136 | 0106 | 0160 | 0170 | 0100 | 0160 | 0170 | 0180 | $\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 17 & 0\end{array}$ |
| and under) | Marscilles | " | 0100 | 0170 | 0136 | 0110 | 0130 | - | - | 0130 | 0180 | 0180 | 100 |
| Groundnuts | Marselltes . | " | 120 | 180 | 150 | 0150 | 150 | $\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 5 & 0 \\ & \text { to } & \end{array}$ | 1880 | 0 0 nomuinal. | $1 \begin{array}{rrr}6 & 8 \\ \text { to }\end{array}$ | 176 | $\begin{array}{lcc} 1 & 2 \\ \text { nominal } \end{array}$ |
| Karacht |  |  | (a) |  |  |  |  | 176 | 268 |  | 170 |  |  |
| $\underset{\left(16 \mathrm{cW} \mathrm{t}_{i}\right)}{\text { Barlog }}$ | London | " | 0180 | 0106 | 0176 | 0150 | 0160 | 0196 | 0196 | 0170 | 100 | 0106 | 110 |
| Aangoon- | United KJog. doin. | " | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 8 & 3\end{array}$ | 168 | 108 | 0100 | 150 | 176 | 170 | 0176 | 150 | 176 | 176 |
|  | Hamburg . | " | ** | 168 | 1100 | 0100 | 150 | 1360 | 1100 | 100 | 176 | 1100 | 150 |

Note. -The rates quoted are those for the frat week of each month.

(b) Pue ton of $\$ 0$ c.lt.

## No. 53.-Indian Sea and Land Customs Revenue (exoluding Salt Revende)

N.B.-A surchnrge of 25 per cent has been Imposed on all customs import duties excecding 21 per cent ad valorem in addition to the alrendy oxisting surcharges except on the dutics on raw cotton, machinery and dyes and colours and on all exclse duties with effect from 30th September 1031 . Iron or steol wire and wire pats subject to protectlve duty and broadcast wireless recelving apparatus and component parts dutlable at 50 per cent ad ralorem are exempted from the aurcharge of 25 per cent with effect from the 5th and 12th March 1032 respectively.


No. 53.-Indian Sea and Land Customs Revenue (exuluding Sait Revenue)—coutä.
(In thousands of Ropotas)


SEA CUSTOMS-ExpORTS.
Hides and skins, raw
Jute (raw and manufactured)
Jute
Rlce
Government itores :
Tosal Exports
(

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Deduct-Refinds . } \\
\text { Net export duty . . . . . . }
\end{array}
$$

Totsi, Imfort and Expont Detirs (net) .
Land Customs and miscellaneous
$\because \quad$ " $\quad$ motor sylutu
" " " $\quad$ "kerosene
N
Total Custons Revende (grobs)
Tax on raw jute and jute manufactures
Ten coss.

| - | 36,83 $4,21,00$ 90.45 1 | 35,49 $4,06,25$ $1.20,47$ $\sim$ | 24,50 $3,54,28$ 90,61 $* *$ | 20,23 $3,10,01$ 95,23 $\cdots$ | 14,07 $8,07,47$ 75,65 .- | $-6,18$ <br> $-2,54$ <br> $-10,08$ <br> .0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 5,58,28 | 0,22,21 | 4,50,69 | 4,25,52 | 3,97,19 | -28,33 |
| - |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6,46 \\ 4,58,23 \end{array}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 7}$ $4,21,65$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,52 \\ 3,94,67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1,35 \\ -20,08 \end{array}$ |
| - | 45,85,06 | 40,46,36 | 41,22,89 | 30,40,81 | 45,11,30 | +5,70,49. |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ | $1,17,06$ $1,56.18$ 02.50 | $1,31,18$ $2,78,80$ 98,21 | $1,65,74$ $2,177,45$ $1,46,72$ 10,00 | $1,19,19$ $3,50.84$ $2,50,64$ 22,93 | 72,75 $\mathbf{9 , 9 5 , 0 6}$ $\mathbf{2 , 8 4 , 6 8}$ 76 | $\begin{aligned} & -46,38 \\ & +44,28 \\ & +34,14 \\ & -24,17 \end{aligned}$ |
| - | 50,16,88 | 52,03,95 | 47,84,72 | 47,78,46 | 53,43,46 | +6,70,00 |
| * | 12,31 18,53 | 18,73 14,10 | 10,24 18,41 | 9,42 12,04 | 0,29 14,13 | -13 $+1,18$ |

- The ilgures are Inclugive of the preferential duty levied from January, 1933 under the Indian Tarlif (Ottawa Trade Agreement) Amendment Act, 10s2.
- Represents duty reallsed from October, 1031 to starch, 1032, the collectlons for previous montis heing included lif the head "all other articles fholly or mainly manufactured under duty at 15 per cent."
All articies except machinery and dyes and oolours under thls head are llable to an additional duty of af per cent ad ralorem from lat March, 1931
Allarticles under thls head oxcept raw hemp comprised la tho Item "raw roaterials and articles mannly unmadifacturcd, etc.," are liable to an additional duty of 5
Der cant ad talorem from 1at March, 10 al . articles") are Jtable to an additional duty of 10 per cent ad talorem from lat March, 1081.
(a) Dutlable at o ples per pound with effect from goth Septomber, 1081, the article befug free of duty prior to that date.
(b) Includea duty on artificial silk mixturo frum October, 1931.
(d) Figures for pr soth September, 1031.
(e) Includes direvions years are included In tho head " Articles of food and drink, etc." under duty at 15 por cent.
(f) Llable to protective dutics will plece-goods from October, 1981.
(o) Liable to a protective duty of 60 per!cent ad ralorem under the Gold Thread Industry (Protaction) Act, 1981
(h) Lisacle to a protectlve duty of ina. 7-i per ewt. under tho Sugar Industry (Protection) Act, 1032.
(i) Transferred to "protectire special dutles " Fith effeot from 4 th April, 1080 .
(5) Iraisferred to "proteutive special duties" with cffect from 25 th septomber, 1928.

Itable to a protectlve duty of Lis. 55 per ton under the Bamboo Paper Industry (Protection) Act, 1082 with effect from the stb Narch, 1032 , the articie lelag free ciduty Note.-Flgures for 1982-3

No. 54.-CUSTOMS TARIFF*-Rates of duty in foroe in British India
IMPORT TARIFF
Part I.-Articles which are free of duty.
No.


1L.-ftaw materials and produce and artictes mainly unmanufactared-

## DYES AND COLOURS

Barks for tanning.
HIDES AND SKINS, RATV
Hides and Skins, raw or salted.

## METALLIC ORES

Metallyc Orcs, all sorts, except ochres and other pigment ores.

## PRECIOUS STONES AND PEARLS

Precious Stones, unset and imported uncut, and Pearls, unset.

SEEDS
Oll-seeds Imported Into British Indla by sen from the terrltorics of any Prince or Chlef ld India.

TALLOW, STEARINE AND WAX
Tallow.

## TEXTILE MATERIALS

Wool, raw, and Wool-tops.

## MISCELLANEOUB

China Clay.
Manures, all sorts, Including animal bones and the following chemical manures:- Basle slag, nltrate of ammonin, nitrate of sodn, murlate of potash, sulphate of ammonia, sulphate of potash, kalalt salts, carbolime, urca, nitrate of lime, calcium cyanamlde, ammoninm phosphates and mineral yhosphatce and minerai aujerphosphates. cluding rood palp.

## MISCELILANEOUS-cond.

Rubberstumps, rubber sceds and raw rubber.
Stlck or Seed Lac.
III.-Artleles wholly or mainly manufactured-

## APPAREL

Uniforms and accoutrements appertalning thereto, iruported by a public acrvant for bla personal uso.
ARMS, AMMUNITION AND MILITARY STORES
The following Arms, Ammunltion and Mlitary Stores:-
(a) Arms forming part of the regular equipment of a commissioned or gazetted ottiecr in His Majests's Service entitled to wear diplomatle, mitary, naval, lioyal Alr Force or police unlform.
(b) A revolver and an automatle plstol and ammunition for such revolver and pistol up to a maximum of 100 rounds per revolver or platol, (i) when accompanying a commisuloned oilcer of His Majesty's regular forces, or of the Indian Auxiliary Force or the Indian Turrltorial Force or a gazetted pollee otlicer, or (ii) certifled by the commandant of the corpa to which such oflhcer belongs, or, in the case of an oflicer not attached to any corps, by the ollicer commanding the station or district in which such ollicer is serving or, in the case of a pollce ofticer, by an lispector-General or Commissioner of Police, to be imported by the ollicer for the purpose of his equipment.
(e) Swords for presentation as army or voluntcer prizes.
(d) Arms, mmanition and military stores Imported with the sanction of the Government of india for the use of any portion of the milltary forces of a State in Ladia being a unlt notlfied In pursuance of the Erat Schedule to the ludian Extradition Act, 1003 (XV of 1003).
(B) Morris tubes and patent ammunltion Imported by officers commanding Britiah and Indlan regiments or volunterer corps for the instruction of thelr men.

## CHEmicals, DRUGS and mbdicines

Antl-plague serum.
Bleachlng paste and bleaclifng powder,
Cinchona bark and the alkalofds extracted therefrom including quinine and alkaloids derived from other sources which are chemlcally Identleal with alkalolds extracted from Clnchona bark.

Sulphur.

No. 54.-MMPORT TARIFF-contd.
Part I.-Articles which are free of duty-contd.

| No. | Names of Articles | No. | Names of Articles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 |  |  | metals |
|  |  | 10 | Current coin of the Government of Indil |
|  | HARDWARE, IMPLEMENTS AND INSTRUMENTS | 20 | Gold ballion and coln, and gold shects and platea which have undergone no process of manufacture aubsequent to rolling. |
|  | The following Agriculturalimplements, namely, whnowers, threshers, mowing and reaping machines, blnding minchines, elesators, seed and corn crushers, chaff-cutters, root-cutters, easilage cutters, horse and bulloch geare, plonghs, cultisators, scarifers, harrons, clod- | 20 A | Zinc, unwrought, Including cales, ingots, tlles (other than boller thles), hard or golt slabs and plates, dust, dross and ashes; and broken ziac. <br> PAPER |
|  | presses, potato diggers, latex spoute, spraying machines, powder blower, whiteant exterminating pachines, beetpullers, broad- | 21 | Trade catalogues and advertlelng efrculars imported by packet, bouk, or parcel post. |
|  | cast seederg, coraplekers, corn-sheilers, cultipackers, drac-scrapers, stalk-cutters, bus- | 214 | Postage stamps, whether used or unused. |
|  | bers and ghredders, potato planters, ume somers, manure spreaders, listers, soll-krad. ers and rakes; also agricultural tractors; also component parts of these implements. | 21 B | Paper money. <br> YamN AND TEXTILE FABRICS |
|  | machlaes or tractors, provided that they can be readily ilted into thelr proper places in tho Implements, machines or tractors | 22 | Second-hand or used gunny bags or cloth made of jute. <br> MISCELLANROUS |
|  | for which they are importcd, and that they cannot ordinarily be used for purposes unconnected with agriculture.* | 23 | Art, the following works of:-(1) statuary and pletures intended to be put up for the publle |
| 16 | The following dairy and poultry farming appliances, namely, cream separator3, milking machices, milk sterlizing or pusteurlzing plant, milk acrating and cooling apparatus. chirns, butter dryers, butter workers. milk-bottle nillers and cappers apparatus |  | benefit in a publle place, and (2) memorinls of a public character intended to be put up in a public place, including the materials used, or to be used in thetr construction, whether worked or not. |
|  | mpectally dealgned for teating mills and other dairy produce and incubatord; also component parts of these appliances, provided that they can be readily fited into | 24 | Books printed, Includidg covers for printed books, maps, charts and plans, proots, music, manuscripts, and hilustrations specially made for bleding in books. |
|  | their proper places in the appliances for | ${ }_{248}^{248}$ | Licht Ships. <br> Ropes, cotton. |
|  | cannot ordinarily be nsed for other than | ${ }_{24} 24$ | Starch and farlna. |
| 17 | dairy and poaltry farming purposes. <br> Instruments, apparatus and appliances, fm vorted by a passenger as part of his personal | ${ }^{24 \mathrm{D}}$ | Stone prepared as for road metalling. <br> IV.-Miscellaneous and anclassife:- |
| 18 | bagkage and In actual use by hios in the exerclese of his profession or calling. | 25 254 | Anlmals, living, all sorts. |
|  | Water-hifts, sugar-mills, sugar centrlfuges, sugar pugmills, oil-presses, and parts there- |  | Foreign orders. Plants, living, all sorts. |
|  | of, when constructed so that they can be worked by manual or animal power and pans for Lolling sugarcane julce. | ${ }^{26}$ | Specimens, models and wrall diagrams illustratire of natural sclence, and medals and antlque coins. |

- Under Government of India. Finance Department (Central Revenucs), Notlfeaticng Nos. 37 and 41, dated
 mente, namely, fiame throwers for atiachment to epraying machines desjened for the exterminallon of jocusts, and latex cups, ase exempt from payment of import duty.

Part 1I.-Articles which are liable to non-protective duty at special rates.


- The rate aince the 18th March, 1924 , is annas $7 \boldsymbol{7}$.

No. 54.-IMPORT TARIFF-contd.
Part II.-Articles which are liable to non-protective duty at speoial ratescontd.


## No. 54.-IMPOR'T TARIFF-conld.

Part II.-Articles which are liable to non-protective duty at special rates contd.


- The rato of exclse duty on the 1st Aprll, 1932 and until further notice Is fle. 1-0-0 Including the surcharge of 25 per cont lmposed under Indinu Floance (Supplomentary and Extendiog) Act, 103I. Tho additional charge of 2] anats is, homover, oxompt from tho surcharge of 25 per cent.

No. 54.-IMPORT TARIFH-contd.
Part II.-Articles which are liable to non-protective duty at special rates contd.

(a) Exempt from the surchargu of 25 por cent limposad uniler section 4 of the Indian Flanuce (Sujplomentary and Kxtendling) Act, 1031.

## No. 54.-IMPORT TARIFT-contd.

Part II.-Articles which are liable to non-protective duty at special ratesconcld.


- Exempted from the addltional dutiee lmposed by Section 4 of Indian Finance Act, 1031 and the Indlan Finance (Supplementary and Extending) Act, 19 sl.

Part III.-Articles which are liable to duty at $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent ad valorem.


## No. 54.-IMPORT TARIFF-contd.

Part IV.-Articles which are liable to duty at 10 per cent* all valorem.
 parts thereof imported by, or under the orders of, a rallway adminlstration.

## MAOHINEMY

59A
Machinery, namely, such of the followlng srticles as are not otherwise specifled :-
(1) prime-movers, bollers, locomotive englnes and tenders for the same, portable engines (Inclading power-driven road rollers, tire engines and tractors), and other machines In which the prime-mover is not separable from the operative parts;
(2) machines and sets of machlnes to be Wurked by electric, steam, water, fire or other power, not beling manual or andenal labour, or which before belag brought Into uge require to be fixed with reference to other moving parts:
(3) apparatus and appliances, not to be operated by manual or animal labout which are dealgned for use In an industrial system as parts indispensable for lts operation and have been given for that purpose some special shope or quallty which would not be easentlal for their use for any other purpose;
(4) control gear, self-acting or otberwise, and transmisslon-gear designed for use with any machinery above specitied, including belting of all materials (other than cotton halr and canvas ply) and drlylng chalne but excluding driving ropes not made of cotton;
(5) bare hard-drawn electrolytic copper wires and cables and otlier electrical wires and cables, insulated or not; and poles, troughs, condults and insulators designed as parts of a transmiesion system, and the fittinge thereof.

Note.-The term 'Industrialsystem' ubed in sub clause (8) means an lastallation deaigned to be employed directly in the performance of any process or sertes of processes necessary for the manufacture, production or extraction of any conmodity.
No. Names of Artleles

## MACHINERY-contd.

The following textile unchlnery and nppataíu by whatever power operated, ammely, healds, heald cords and heald knittlog peedles; recds and shuttles; warp and weft preparation mas chlnery aud looms; bobblas and pirns; dobbles; Jacquard machines; Jacquard harness linen cards; Jacquard cards; punching plates for Jacquard cards: warping zalles multiplea box sleys; solld border sleys; tape sleys: swlvel sleys; tapo lonms; wool cardiag tuachines; woolspinning tuachines; hoslery machincry; coir mat shearing machines: coir fibre willoning machincs; heald knitting uachines; dobly cards; Inttlces and lage for dobbles; wooden wladers; bllk looms; silk throwing and reellng machines; cotton yarn reellng muchines; slzing muchincs; doubling machines; silk twistitg machimes; cone windiag inachines; whan eard cutting machintes; harness building frantes; card lacing framics: drawing and denting hooks: sowing thread balls maktog machines; cumbli Qnishing machlnery; bank boilers; cotton catding and spinnlug machines; miall cycs, llagors, comber boards and comber buard ifames; take-up motlons; temples and plekers; picking bands; picking sticks; prliting machines ; roller cloth; clearer cloth; sizing lannel; and toller skins.
Prlntlug and Lithographio Matcrial, namels, presses, Ilthographic plates, compoaing stleks; chascs, Imposing tables, It hogra phic stonct, sterco-blocks, wood blocks, half-tone blocks, clectrotype blocks, process blocks and highly pollshed copper or zinc sheets speciaily prepared for making process blocks, roller moulds, roller frames and stocks, roller composition, lithographle nap rollers, standing screw and hot presses, perforating machines, gold blocking presses, galley ptesses, proof presses, arming presses, copper plate printing presses, rolling jresses, ruling machancs, ruling pen maklog machines, lead cutters, zule cuttern, olug cutters, type casting machines, type setting and costing machincs, paper In rules with side perforation to be used aiter further perforstion for type-casting, rule bending machines, rule mitreing machlnes, bronalog machines, stereotyping apparatus, paper foldIng machines, pagiag machines, and clarified Biquid alue but excluding lisk and paper
Component Parts of Minchinery, as defined in Nos. 59A, 50B and 50C, namely, such parts only as aro essential for the worklag of the machine or apparatus and have bcen given for that purpose some speclal shape or quality which would not be essental for thelr use for any other purpose:
Provided that articles which do not satisty this condition shall also be deemed to be component parts of the machine to which they belong if they are essentinl to its operatlon and are import ed withitin such quantitles as moy appear to the Collector of Customs to be reasounble.

RAILVAY PLANT AND HOLLING.STOCK Rallway materials for permanent-way and rolllug-stock, namely, slecpers, other than Iron and steel, and fastenings therefor; bearing platea, chalra, interlocking appara. tus, brake-gear, shuntlag sklds, couplings athd sprinigs, blgoalg, turatables, welghbridges, carrlages, wagons, traversers,
 also cranes, water-cranes and watertanks when Imported by or under the orders of, a rallway administratlou:

- All articles except dyes and colonrs comprised in Item No. 68 a and Machlnery comprised In Items Nos. 59A 69B, 59 C and 59D under this bead are liable to an additional dity of $2 \neq$ per cent ad calorem from 1st March, 1081.
$t$ Exempt from the aurcharge of 25 per cent lmposed under section at the Indlan Flanaco ((Supplemen: tery and Extending) $4 \mathrm{ct}, 1481$

No. 54.-IMPORT TARIFF-contd.
Part IV.-Articles which are liable to duty at 10 per cent* ad ealorem:-contd.


- All articles under this head are liable to an additional duts of $2 \boldsymbol{j}$ per cent ad caloscm from lat March, 1931.

Part V.-Articles which are liable to duty at 15 per cent* ad valorem.


- All artlcles under thls head except raw hemp comprised in the ftom No. 78 are liable to an additional duty of $s$ per cent ad ralorem from lst $\mathrm{March}, 1931$.
$\uparrow$ Wheat flour is dutiable at Ra. 2 per owt. as also wheat there being no surcharge on micat in No. 1A,

No. 54.-IMPORT TARIFF-contd.
Part V.-Articles which are liable to duty at 15 per cent* ad valoremcontd.


Allarticles under this head except raw hemp comprited In the ftem No. 78 are Ifable to an additional daty of 5 per cent ad ralorim from Ist llarch, I981.

No. 54.-IMPORT TARIFF-contd.
Part V.-Articles which are lisbie to duty at 15 per cent* ad valoremconcld.

| No. | Names of Articles | No. | Names of Articies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MISCELLANEOUB-condd, |  | MISCELJANEOUS-concld. |
| 1106 A | Flreworks speclally prepared as danger or distress ilghts for tho use of ships. | 116 | Soap. |
| 107 | Furniture, tackle and apparel, not otherwise deacribed, for steam, salling, rowing and other vessels. | 118 ' | Stone and Marble, and articley made of aton* and marble, but cxcludlag stone prepared as for road metaliling. |
| 108 |  |  | Tes chests and parts and attinge thoreof. |
| 108A | Newspapers, old, in bales and bagy. | 120 | All other articles wholly or malaly manufactured, not ctherwise specitied. |
| 109 | Ollakes. |  |  |
| - 112 | Perfomery, not otherwise specified. |  |  |
| 113 | Pitch and tar. |  | IV.-Miscollaneous and moclasifited- |
| 114 | Pollshes and compositlons. |  |  |
| 115 | Sla to pencil. | 123 | All other articles nut otherwise apscifad, including articles Imported by post. |

Part VI.-Articles which are liable to duty at 30 per cent $\dagger$ ad valorem.

| No. | Names of Articlea | No. | Names of Articles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1244 | 1.-Pood, Drink and Tobaco-SPICES |  | CUTIERY, HARDWARE, IMPLEMENTS AND INSTRUMENTS |
|  |  |  | Clocks and Watches and parts thereat. |
|  | The followling Splees, when not unground namely:- | 120 | Articles other thed cutlery and enraical ine truments, plated with gold or aliver. |
|  | Cardamoms, casala, cinnamon, cloves, nut megs and pepper. | 130 | The following Mrsical Inptruments, namely complete orcans and harmonioms and records for talking maclines. |
|  | III.-Artioles wholly or maidy manufactured- \| |  | metals |
|  | AlMA, AMMUNITION AND MLITARY STORES | 131 | Gold plate, gold leat and gold manufactures, all sorts, not otherwise speclited. |
| 125 | Gunpowder for cannods, rifles, guns, plstols and вportiag gurposea. | 132 | Silver plate, and ellver manofactures, sorks, not otherwise specifiod. |
| 120 | Save where othorwiee specified all artacles which are arms or parts of arms within the meaning of the Indlan Arms Act, 1878 (excluding syrings used for air-guns) all tools | 183 | YARNS AND TEXTILE PABRTCS <br> Manufactures or sill or artificlal allk, not otherwise specifled. <br> miscellaneous |
|  | used for cleansus or puttlorg together the | 134 | Banglen, beads and false pearta. |
|  | closting or cappling eartridges for arme other | 184A | Clnematograpb tlmes, exposed. |
|  | than ritied arma and all other sorts of ammualtion and milltary atores, and any articles which the Governor-General in Council | 136 | Fireworks, not otherwise specitied (sw No. 106-A). |
|  | may, by notificatlon in the Gazette of India, ueclare to bo a mmunition or milltary stores for the purposer of this Act. | 136 137 | Ivory, manulactured, not otherwise specilied . Jewellery and Jewels. |
| 127 | ohemioals, drugs ani medicines Camphor. | 188 | Priats, engravings and pictures (includlog photographs and pleture pubt cards), Dot otherwise specilled. |

- All articles under this head except ratw hemp compised in the Item No. 76 are Hable to an additional duty of 6 per cent ad vatoram from lat Misch, 1081.
t All articles vider thle head except spicea (No. 184A), Detelnuts (No. 141A) and oinematograph plpe.


Part VII.-Articles which are liable to protective duty at special rates.


No. 54.-IMPOR'T TARIFF-sontl.

Part VII.-Articles which are liable to protective duty at special rates contd.

| No. | Names of Articlea | late of duts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 147 | METALS-IRON AND STEEL-condd. <br> Iros or Stebl plates or sheeta (Including cuttlags, diges and clreles) not under if inch thick and not of oast iron- <br> (a) fabricated, all qualitles- <br> (i) of Brttish manufacture <br> (ii) not of Britlsh manafacture . <br> (b) not fabricated, chequered and ship, tank, bridge and common qualitics- <br> (i) of Britlsh manufacture <br> (II) not of British manufacture | Rs. 21 pertun or 17 per centad valorem, whichever la higher. <br> Rs. 21 per ton or 17 per cent ad valcrem, whichever is higher, pluz Ro. 15 per ton. <br> Rs. 20 per ton. <br> Rs. 36 per ton. |
| 148 | Iron or Steel sheets (Including cuttings, discs and clrcles) under $\mathbf{t}$-Inch thick- <br> (a) fabricated- <br> (I) galvanized <br> (ii) all other sorts not otherwise apecifed (see No. 61)of British manufacture <br> not of British madufacture <br> (b) not fabricated, all sorts not otherwise specilledof British manufacture not of Brtish manufacture | Rs. 33 perton or 17 per cent ad valorem, whichever is higher. <br> Rs. 30 per ton or 17 per cent ad valorem, whicherer is hlgher. <br> Rs. 39 per ton or 17 per cent ad valorom, whichever is higher. pluz Rs. 26 pet ton. <br> Rs. 35 perton. <br> Re. 59 per ton. |
| 1484* $\uparrow$ | Iron on Stekl gheeta (inclading cuttings, diacs and circlea) under inch thick, vaivanifeed, not fabricatedof Britieh manufactare <br> (I) If made from Indlan sheet bar imported into the United Klogdom after the 23 rd day of December 1932. <br> (ii) if made from shect bar other than Indlan sheot bar Imported into the Ualted Kingdom alter the 23 rd disy of Decomber 1932. <br> not of Brtilah manufacture | Re. 30 perton. Rs. 53 per ton. Re. 83 per ton. |
| 1490 | Iron or Steel- <br> (a) Wire other than barbed or stranded fencing-wite, wirerope or wire-netting ; and <br> ( ${ }^{(1)}$ wise nalle. | Rs. 45 portong |
| 149A | Iron on Sterl, the original material (but not including wachitiery) of anv shlp or other vessel intended for intand or barbour navifation which has been assembled abroad, Laken to pleces and stu, ped for reassembly In Indla: <br> Provided that articles dutlable under this Item shall not be deomed to be dutable under any other ltem. | Rs. 23 per ton or 10 jer cent ad colorem, whichever is higher. |
| 150 | Inof or Steel Railfay Traok Material- <br> A.-Rnila (Includlog tramway rails the heads of which ere not grooved - <br> (a) (i) 30 lus. per yard and over <br> (II) fish-plates llicrefor | Rt. 13 juer ton. <br> Rs. 0 per ton or 10 per cent ad valorem, whichever is hlgher. |

- Fxempt from the additional duty of 25 per cent impoeed ander rection 4 of the Indian Finance (Supplementary and Eistendling) Act, $1 \pm 81$.
T Fith offect ap to the sist dey of Marob, 1034.

No. 84.-IMPORT TARIFF-contd.
Part VII.-Articles which are liable to protective duty at special ratescontd.


## No. 54.-IMPORT TARLHF—rontd.

Part VII.-Articles which are liable to proteutive duty at special ratesconcld.


No. 54.-IMPOṘT TARIFF-contd.
Part VIII.*-Articles which are liable to duty at 30 per cent ad valorem or to preferential duty at 20 per cent.

| No. | Names of artlcies.2 | Standard rate of duty. | Preferential rate of duty If the article is the produce or manufacture of - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | The United Klagdom. <br> 4 | A Britlah Colony. |
| 161 | I.-Food, Drink and Tobacco. <br> FISH. <br> FTGR, ofisaltid, dry | so per cent . | * | 20 per cent. |
| 162 | FRUITS AND VEGETABLES. <br> Fruits and Vbobtables, all sorts, freah, difed, salted or preserved, not otherwise specifed, Including vanilla beane. | 30 per cent . | -* | 20 per cent. |
| 183 | PROVISIONS AND OILMAN'S STORES. <br> Cocoa and Chocouate other than confectlonery | 30 per cent . | 20 per cent . | - |
| 164 | COYYER, canned or bottled . . . . . | 80 per cent . | 20 per cent . | 20 per cent. |
| 165 | Fish, canned - . . . . . . | 30 per cent | 20 per cent | 20 per cent. |
| 188 | Fatit julors . . . . . . . . | 80 per cent. | 20 per cent. | 20 per cent. |
| 167 | Fbuits and Vrgetables, eanned ar bottled . . . | 80 per cent. | 20 per cent. | 20 per cent. |
| 168 | Melux, condensed or preserved, including milk cream . | 30 per cont . | 20 per cont. | - |
| 169 | Sa00 (excluding Sago flour) and Tapioca . . . | 30 per cent . | .. | 20 per cent. |
| 170 | Canned ob bottlad provisions, not otherwlge specifed | 30 per cent . | 20 per cent . | .. |
|  | II.-Raw materials and produce and articles mainty unmanufactured. <br> GUMS AND RESINS. |  |  |  |
| 171 | Gumb, Arable, Benjamin (ras and cowrie) and Dammer (lncludling unrelined batu) and rosln. <br> oILs. | so per cent . | -• | 20 per cent. |
| 172 | The followiag matural essential oils, namely, elefonella, clnnamon, and clonamon leaf. | 30 par cent . | 20 per cent . | 20 per cent. |
| 173 | Natubal essextial oins, all sorts not otherwise specilied | 30 per cent . | 20 per cent . | - |
| 174 | EsBratial oma, aynthetic . . . . | 30 par cent . | 20 per cent |  |
| 175 | Figr om including whale oll . . . | 30 per cent . | 20 per cent . |  |
|  | Sleds. |  |  |  |
| 178 | OilsBeds, non-essential, all sorts not otherwise speciled, lacluding copra or coconut kernel. | 30 per cent . | -• | 20 per cent. |
|  | TEXTILE MATERIALS. |  |  |  |
| 177 | Sigal and aloz fibre . . . . . . | 30 per cent | * | 20 per cent. |
|  | WAX. |  |  |  |
| 178 | Dyesway <br> MISORLLANKOUS. | S0 per cent . | * | 20 per cent. |
| 179 | IVORY, uncuangfactured | 30 per cent . | $\cdots$ | 20 per cent. |

[^21]
## No. 54.-IMPORT TARIFF-contd.

Part VIII.*--Articles which are liable to duty at 30 per cent ad calorem or to preferential duty at 20 per cent-contd.


[^22]No. 54.-IMPORT TARIFF-contd.
Part VIII.*-Articles which are liable to duty at 30 per cent ad valorem or to preferential duty at 20 per cent-contd.


- Brempted from the additional duties Imposed under section 4 of the Indian Finance Act, 1 日81.


## No. 54.-IMPORT TARIFF-contd.

Part VIII.*-Articles which are lisble to duty at 90 per cent ad valorem or to preferential duty at 20 per cent-concld.


- Exempted from the additional dntiee impreed tuder tection 4 of the Indian Finamie Act, 109J.


## Nó. 54.-IMPORT TARIFF-contd.

Pakt IX.*-Articles which are liable to duty at special rates or to preferential duty at lower rates.


* Exempted from the additional duties imposed under section $;$ of the Indan Finance Act, 1983.


## No. 54.-IMPORT TARIFF-contd.

$P_{\text {ART }}$ IX.*-Articles which are liable to duty at special rates or to preferential diuty at lower rates-contd.


- Exeminted foom the additional duties ir posed undir action 4 of the Indian Finance Act, 1093.

No. 54.-LMPOR'L TAHIFF-conid.
Part IX.*—Articles which are liahle to duty at special rates or to preferential duty at lower rates-conld.


[^23]No. 54,-IMPORT TARIFF-contd.
Part IX.*-Articles which are liable to duty at special rates or to preferential duty at lower rates-contd.


[^24]No. 54.--IMPORT TARIFF-contd.
Part IX.*-Articles which are liable to duty at special rates or to preferential duty at lower rates-contd.


[^25]No. 54.-IMPORT TARIFF-concld.
Part IX.*-Articles which are lisble to duty at special rates or to preferential duty at lower rates-concld.


- Exempted from the additional dutles fmposed under sectlon 4 of the Iodian Finance Act, 1931.

EXPORT TARIFF.


Rates of Excise duty on Salt, Silver, Motor Spirit and Kerosene as on the 1st April 1932.


Inclindes a surcharge of as per cant. vide section 5 of the Indlan Finance (Supplementary and Fixtending) Art, 1031

No. 50.-Share of each provinoe in the total entrieg and clearances of vegsels
(In thousands of tons)


No. 56 .-Number and tonnage of vesbels engaged in the foreign gea-borne trade which entered and cleared at ports in British India
(Inthousands of tong)


- Only one American ressel wito atonnage of 1,72s entored to the year 1012-18.

No. 66.-Number and tonnagr of vessels engaged in the foreign bea-borne trade which entwred and oleared at ports in British India-continued.
(In thousande of tods)


[^26]
## Registration Stations

For complintion purposes the registration stations have been divided Into tbreo groups.
roup (a) comprises the rail route which blfurcates Into the Nubhki-Durdap extension and the trade towards Kadighar. Thiagroup willivalude trade with Pcrsla and Western and Southern Atgbantstan. The followiog atatlons aro included in it:-

```
1. Zabidan (formerly Duzdap).*
2. Mirjawa.
3. Nok-kundl.
4. Dalbandfa.
5. Padag Road. \({ }^{*}\)
6. Ahmedwal.
```

7. Nughki.
8. Quetta.
9. Bostan.
10. Yaru.
11. Gullstan.
12. Killa Abdulla.
13. Chaman.

Group (b) compriges the trade throngh the Nortb-West Frontler Province and the Ponjab with Northern and Eastern Afhanistan, with Kashmir, and through them with Central Asla and Turkistan. The following btallods are included in thile group:-

1. Ghazlghat.
2. Bhakkar.
3. Darya Khad.
4. Pezu.
5. Tank.
6. Miazni (formerly Girni Road).
7. Laklmarwat.
8. Banna.
v. Thal.
9. K ohat Cantonment.
10. Pabbl.
11. Peghnwar Cantonment.
12. Pcahawar Clty.
13. Khalrabad.
14. Nowshera.
15. Mardan.
16. Takhtlbhat
17. Durgai.
18. Havellan
19. Rawalptndl.
20. Jammu ( Ta wi).
21. Pathankote.
22. Hoshiarpur.
23. Harlpur Hazna.
24. Damel.
25. Sarna.
26. Suchetgarh.
27. Ranblestinghpura.
28. Miran Sahilb.
29. Jammu Cantonmeni.
30. Parachlnar.

Group (c) comprises the trade through the United Provinces, Blhar and Orisse, Bengai and Assam, with Titet, Nepal, sikkim and Bhutan. The followiog stations are included In this group:-

1. Enmpagar
2. Haldwani.
3. Tanaz pur.
4. Katarnian Ghat.
5. Nulpalganj Road.
6. Uska Bazar.
7. Brldgmanganj.
8. Rayaul.
9. Balragnia.
10. Jatakpur Road.
11. Jaynagar.
```
12. Bhaptiahl.
18. Nautanma
14. Jogband.
15. Naksalbari.
16. Galgalta.
17. Ghut.
18. Gielle Khola (formerly Kalimpong Road)
10. Klimpong.
20. Nagrakata
21. Carron.
22. Banartat.
23. Salkhowaghat.
```

- Temporarily closed.
[All quantitiea in maunds, except treasure]
IMPORTS

| ARTICLES | 1030-31 | 108t-82 | 1082-83 | ABTICLES | 1930-31 | 1981-32 | 1932-38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graln and Pulso-Wheat- |  |  |  | Graln and Pulsocontd. <br> Ellce, busked- |  |  |  |
| Group (a) | 6,077 | 8,425 | 23,800 | Group (a) | 2,316 | 604 | 486 |
| 19 (b) | 34,208 | 60,028 | 211,378 | " (b) | 71,422 | 28,081 | 14,348 |
| * (o) . | 118,342 | 00,218 | 128,699 | \% (c) | 1,395,090 | 1,529,678 | 1,765,483 |
|  |  |  |  | Total | 1,459,428 | 1,659,307 | 1,780,317 |
| Total | 168,627 | 124,571 | 363,783 |  |  |  |  |
| Gram and putso- |  |  |  | HIce, 0 - |  |  |  |
| Groap (a) - | 4,202 | 1,174 | 2,061 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { husked } \\ & \text { Group (a) } \end{aligned}$ | 254 | 337 | 357 |
| * (b) . | 150,007 | 84,294 | 343,388 | " (b) | 10,611 | 10,789 | 14.954 |
| - (0) | 120,498 | 111,195 | 70,381 | * (c) | 779,007 | 668,445 | 651,086 |
| Toral | 283,79\% | 198.533 | 421,780 | Total | 789,862 | 030,571 | 868.097 |

No. 57.-Rail-borne trade at Stations adjacent to Land Frontier routes of India proper-contd.
[All quantltles in manads, oxcept treasur ${ }^{\text {] }}$
IMPORTS—contd.


- Carpets and rugs are Imported lo appreclable quantitios Into groups (a) and (b) only and are not registered at other stations.
-* Borax is imported in appreclable quantities oniy from Tlbet and is registered only at stations in groapa (b) and (c).
$\dagger$ Charas is Importod malnly from Contral Aala and Turkistan and is registered only at atations la group (b).
t Thens commodities are imported in appreclable quantitiea only Into group (e) and are regitatered only at thos: rtat

No. 57.-Rail-borne trade at Stations adjacent to Land Frontier routes of India proper-concld.

【All quantities In maunds, except treasuro
EXPORTS

| Artioles | 1030-31 | 1931-32 | 1932-33 | ABTIOLES | 1030-31 | 108:-82 | 1932-33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 78.78 | Group (a) | 4,000 | 21,185 | 4.824 |
| Tot |  |  |  | " (b) | 26,038 | 23,89K | 14,847 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| forelgn- |  |  |  | Total | 43,298 | 61,981 | 29,836 |
| Group (a) . | 48,717 | 80,905 | 67,778 |  |  |  |  |
| " (b) | 84,513 | 79,465 | 81,431 50,717 | Others, Including |  |  |  |
| " (c) | 48,201 | 85,047 | 50,717 | hardware and |  |  |  |
| Total | 181,481 | 215,417 | 109.026 | Group (a) | 0,301 | 1,030 | 8,188 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group (a) . | 31,051 | 49,980 | 39.476 | Total | 63,041 | $\underline{29.086}$ | 31.372 |
| " (b) | 137,464 | 143,930 | 140,749 | Petroleum- |  |  |  |
| " (c) | 88,760 | 101,345 | 108,870 | Group (a) | 60,356 | 111,053 | 53,184 |
| Totaz | 257,975 | 294.235 | 295.095 | $\because$ (c) | 75,747 | 89.868 | 177,709 79.602 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| materials - |  |  |  | Group (a) | 10,911 | 9,207 | 13.880 |
| Indigo (Na= |  |  |  | " (b) | 804,158 | 840,004 | 859,724 |
| Group (a) | 167 | 1,318 | 1,438 | " (c) | 784.038 | 884,173 | 832,532 |
| " (b) | 367 | 229 | 201 | Total | 1,648,505 | 1,740,374 | 1,705,945 |
| " (c) | 1 | 2 | 2 | Sugar- |  |  |  |
| Total | 655 | 1,569 | 1,701 | RetraedGroup (a) | 276,807 | 164,750 | 144,278 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * (b) | 3,106 | 28,374 | 20.718 | Total | $\underline{1,075,207}$ | 770,631 | 741,424 |
| " (c) | E,841 | 3,223 | 1,508 | Unaraned |  |  |  |
| Total | 10,776 | 27,368 | 32,204 | croup (a) $0 \quad(b)$ | 23,295 | 5,001 41,448 | 18,688 37.948 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grain and |  |  |  | Totas | 06,847 | 72,180 | 83,132 |
| Wheat- |  |  |  | Tes- |  |  |  |
| Grcup (a) . | 148,469 | $110,533$ |  | Black- |  |  |  |
| " (b). | $1,188,708$ $\mathbf{7} 0,138$ | 917,004 109,708 | 627.506 87,105 | Group (a) " | 2,968 $\mathbf{2 5 , 5 0 2}$ | 20,356 30,777 | 17,603 36,528 |
| 1.1 (c). | -0,138 | 103,708 | 87,19 | " (c) | 25,505 | 30,777 4,336 | $\begin{aligned} & 36,528 \\ & 16,608 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 1,410,613 | 1,136,365 | 835,485 | Total | 28,715 | 55,4i8 | 70,739 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group (a) | 58,038 | 61,785 | 42.050 .90001 | Group (a) | 35,000 $\mathbf{3 3}, 2 \pm 2$ | 16,938 30,258 | 9.705 22.005 |
| " ${ }^{(b)}$ | 217,047 $\mathbf{8 7 3 , 8 6 2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 217,7: 13 \\ & 300,803 \end{aligned}$ | 220,001 400,173 | $\because$ (c) | $\begin{array}{r}487 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 477 | 304 |
| 0 - ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  | Total | 89,315 | 10,671 | 33,094 |
| Total | 048,947 | 970,32! | 662,824 | Tobacco |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Others- |  |  |  | " (b) | 63.264 | 68,695 57939 | 40,751 |
| Group (a) | $\begin{aligned} & 182,894 \\ & 683,043 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 282,722 \\ & !06,751 \end{aligned}$ | 172320 $1,008,531$ | " (c) | 67,002 | 57,838 | 57,085 |
| "1 (c) | 683,843 316,850 | $\begin{aligned} & 106,751 \\ & 530,328 \end{aligned}$ | 1,008,478 | TOTAL | 125.587 | 133,790 | 112.183 |
| Total | 1,168,696 | 1,710,80L | 1,580.335 | Copper | 7.877 | 14.256 | 20,156 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| nwrought- <br> Group (a) | 23,890 | 27,773 | 8 | ounces)- |  |  |  |
| " (b) | 25,352 | 21,101 | 15,188 | Group (a) | ${ }_{81}^{81}$ |  |  |
| " (c) | 8,406 | 8,030 | 11,123 | " (b) | $\begin{array}{r}24.826 \\ \hline 571\end{array}$ | 15,309 | 2,513 |
| Total | 67,657 | 56,013 | 26,316 | Totab | 25,158 | 15,581 | $\cdots$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " (b) | 951,044 | 365,778 | 94,629 | Group (a) | 5.218.398 | 3,534,3.1 | 3,7882,185 |
| " (c) | 06,008 | 58,030 | 48,041 | " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ (c) | 9.060,588 | 1,616870 | $193,502$ |
| Toras | \$48,046 | 200,084 | 103,289 | Toray | 8,287,986 | 5,151,240 | 3,975,687 |

- These commoditica are sent In appreclable quantities only to Nepal, and they are consequently reststared only at statlons ln gruep (e).

No. 58 -Trade at Stations on the Important Land Frontier routbs of Burma
[ All quantitiee in maunds, excopt treasure]
IMPORTS


No. 5.-Trade at Stations on fee Important Land Frontier boutes of Burma-contd.
[ All quantities in meunds, except treasure]
EXPORTS


Note, " (d) "̈ means qrade at Hhanio, upward trallic on the river.
"(e) " means trade at Laskio, Heho, aud Bhwenyabng, Inward trafile


No. 58.-Trade at Stations on tae Important Land Frontier routes of Burma-concld.
[All quantilita in mands, except treasure]
EXPORTS-contd.


No. 59.-Cifer Imports into I Burma prom India.


No. 60.-Cuied Expomis fhom Buma to Inda.


- Included with "Olts- Mlineral-Other kinds" pilor to Aprll 1015.
$\dagger$ Average of four yrara. ${ }^{\text {a }}$,

Nc. 61.-Tables illustrating competition experienced by Indian products in certain Foreign mariets.

Imports of Linseed into the United Iingdom, France, Italy and Australia.
(In thousand quintals)

| - | 1913 | 1914 | 1929 | 1930 | 1031 | 1932 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United Kinodom. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total - | 6,550 | 4,950 | 2,844 | 2,233 | 3,382 | 3,644 |
| Argentine | 2,250 | 2,090 | 1,979 | 1,401 | 3,110 | 3,457 |
| India | 1,360 | 2,380 | 762 | 712 | 153 | 92 |
| India's percentage | 21\% | 48\% | 27\% | 3\%\% | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| France. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . . . . | 2,514 | 1,337 | 2,154 | 1,905 | 2,663 | 2,354 |
| Argentine . . | 1,143 | 546 | 1,344 | 933 | 1,962 | 1,830 |
| India . | 1,026 | 617 | 630 | 865 | 469 | 322 |
| India's Yercentage | 41\% | 46\% | 30\% | 46\% | 15\% | 14\% |
| Italy. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 454 | 324 | 590 | 533 | 613 | 686 |
| Argentine | 133 | 11 | 285 | 113 | 381 | 514 |
| Jndia (and Ceylon) . | 261 | 282 | 267 | 373 | 170 | 119 |
| India's precentage (including $\dot{C}$ eylon) | 57\% | 87\% | 45\% | 70\% | 23\% | 17\% |
|  | 1913 | 1914-15 | 1929-30 | 1030-31 | 1931.32 | 1932-33 |
| . SusthaLts . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . . . . | 35 | 48 | 222 | 159 | 168 | 197 |
| Argentino . . |  | - | - | 55 | 56 | 111 |
| India . . . | 27 | 38 | 217 | 85 | . 110 | 84 |
| India's percenlage . . | 77\% | 82\% | 98\% | 53\% | 65\% | 43\% |

Imports of Rapeseed into the United Fingdom, Belgium, Italy and France. (In thousand quintals)


Nors-One quintal $=220 \cdot 46 \mathrm{lks}$.

No. 61-cortinued.
Inports of Sesamum seed into France and Llaly.
(In thousand quintals)

| - | 1013 | 1914 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Franoe. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total import . . . . | 278 | 261 | 52 | 33 | 14 | 13 |
| India . . . . . | 228 | 251 | 14 | - | - | 1 |
| India's percentage . . | 82\% | $96 \%$ | 27\% | - | $\cdots$ | $s \%$ |
| Chins . . . . . | 10 | 2 | 13 | 23 | 3 | $\cdots$ |
| China's percentage . . | 4\% | $7 \%$ | 25\% | 70\% | $2 \%$ | -• |
| Italy. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total import . . . . | $\underset{\text { Not }}{\text { Nailable }}$ | $\underset{\text { available }}{\text { Not }}$ | 159 | ¢5 | 134 | 1.41 |
| Indis's share (including Ceglon) | .. | .. | 35 | 31 | 18 | 75 |
| Percentage of India's share (inciuding Ceylon). | - | -• | 24\% | $13^{\circ} \mathrm{o}$ | 13\% | 53\% |
| Caina . . . . | - | * | 114 | 217 | 44 | - 3 |
| Pereentage of China's shure . . | - | -* | 72\% | S1.0 | $70 \%$ | 2\% |

Imports of Groundnut into France.
(In thousamd quintals)

| (In thousand quintals) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 1913 | 1914 | 1929 | 16:30 | 1931 | 1932 |
| Uximelled. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Senegal - | 1,738 | 1,7\%4 | 2,857 | 3,436 | 2.980 | 1,700 |
| West Airica (other ports) | 4106 | 609 | 188 | 236 | 518 | 449 |
| India | 325 | 215 | 32 | 46 | 41 | 17 |
| Total - $\cdot$ - | 2,63.4 | 2,742 | 3,118 | 3,753 | 3,602 | 2,243 |
| Corresponding total (shelled) . | 1,976 | 2,057 | 2,338 | 2,814 | 2,702 | 1,68: |
| Shelled. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| India Seneral - | 2,442 | 2,700 | 2,910 | 2,54S | 2,411 | 2,694 |
| Other countries . . . |  | $\xrightarrow{20}$ | 3. 608 | 65 <br> 819 | $\stackrel{21}{4}$ | 6\% |
| Total . . . | 2,087 | 262 2,982 | $\begin{array}{r}609 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 819 | 1,027 | 1.748 |
| - Peresntage of total supplied b户丷 India. | 2,087 $58 \%$ | 2,982 | 3,652 $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | 3,425 $41 \%$ | 3,509 $40 \%$ | 4.504 $44 \%$ |

*These fgures have been culculated on the basis of 100 tons unshelled $=75$ tons shelled.
Nore-Gne quintal -220.48 ibg Note-Gnequintal $=220: 46$ lbs.

No. 61-concid.

## Invorts of Tea into certain countries

(In thu usacds of 11:.)


Nors.-Figures for Australia represent those for the officlal jears 1928 -i9 to $1152-38$.
(a) Tho exports of forelgn tea from the Upited K ingdom to the United Statel are Included de the conte. aponding figures of India, Ceylon, China and Java tea Imported Into the Uniterl Siatea.
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## INDEX

|  | Roport Page | Tables |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Import Page | Export Page | Miscel- <br> lancous Page |
| Gold bullion and ooin | 154 |  |  |  |
| " imports and exporta of . . |  | 227-29 | 227-29 | . |
| -. netimports of $\rightarrow$, silver and ourrenoy notes | 154-55 | .. | .. | 232 |
| - prices of - and silver - | 154-55 | . | - |  |
| " atocks of . | .. |  | .. | 233 |
| " and silver, thread | $\ldots$ | 169 |  |  |
| Government Papers. | 20 |  | $\cdots$ | 233 |
| " stores. | 126 | 157, | 157 | . |
| " " imports of. |  | 171-72 |  |  |
| " " imports of. | 126 | 171-72 | .. | . |
| Grai" ${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\text {exports of }}$ | 126 |  | ${ }_{162} 157$ | . |
| Grain, pulse and flour . | 100 | 160,170 | 162, 173 |  |
| Groundnuts (Arachis hypogeas) | 109 |  | 174, 222, | $\xrightarrow{237,240}$ |
| Gams, resins . | $\ldots$ | 170 | 180 | 236 |
| " $\quad$ " and luo | . | 160 | 162 |  |
| Gunpowder . - . | .. |  |  | 236 |
| Haberdashery and millinery | $\ldots$ | 170 | 180 |  |
| Handkerchiefs and shawls |  | 164 |  | 235 |
| Hardware | 59 | 167;214 | 179 |  |
| He\% ${ }_{\text {and }}$ antlery . | .. | 161 | 103 | $\cdots$ |
|  |  | .. | 207 | . |
| Hearg Ohemical Industry (Protection) Act Hemp, raw (Crotaiaria juncea) . | 23 | . |  |  |
| Hemp, raw ( ${ }^{\text {Crotalaria juncea) }}$ ) Hides and skins | 123 | . | 177 | 238 |
| Hides and skins - | 111 |  |  |  |
| " " " raw | 111 | 160,171 | $162,175,$ | 225 |
| " ", ${ }^{\text {, }}$ tanned or dressed | 112 | 161, 171 | 163, 226 | 238, 240 |
| " buffalo. | 112 | .. | 174, 175 | 240 |
| " oalfakins | 112 |  | 175 |  |
| " 00w | 111 | . | 174, 175 | 240 |
| - goat skins | 112 | .. | 174, 175 | 240 |
| Hongkong akins | 113 |  | 174 |  |
| Hoops and strips | 138 51 | 165, 212 | 201 | $\cdots$ |
| Horne, tips, eto. |  | 165,212 | 178 |  |
| Horseb - . |  | 161 | 163 | 236 |
| Hosiery, cotton . |  | 164 | .. | .. |
| Implements and tools - | 59 | 167 | . |  |
| Import tariff - . . |  |  |  | 245-69 |
| Importa of Government Stores . | 128 | 157, 171 | . | .. |
| " , private merchandise (total) |  | 157, 171 |  |  |
| " principal articles of | 24 | 164-71 | . | - |
| \% treasure | 154-55 | 157, 227 | .. | - |
| , value of (grand total) | 15, 24 | -32 <br> 157 |  |  |
| . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ value by classed . . | 15, 24 | 160-61 | - |  |
| Index numbers of cotton, raw and oloth (in Liverpool). | 2 | $160-61$ | $\because$ | 241 |
| Index number of whole-cargo oharter rates ${ }^{\text {of }}$ | $2-9$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | $\cdots$ |
| Indian Finance Aot - | 22 | - |  |  |



## INDEX

|  | Report Page | Tables |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Inport Page | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Export } \\ & \text { Page } \end{aligned}$ | Miscel- <br> laneous Page |
| Lac | 113 | $\cdots$ | 176, 224 | $\cdots$ |
| " button | 114 | .. | 224 | $\cdots$ |
| $\cdots$ seed | 114 | $\cdots$ | 224 | 238,240 |
| * shell | 114 | $\cdots$ | 224 | 238, |
| Lampat, metal ${ }^{\text {atiok }}$ | 59 | , | , | .. |
| " and parts |  | ${ }^{167}$ |  | .. |
| Land frontier trade. | 148 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 273-744 \\ 276 \end{array}\right\|$ | - ${ }_{277-78}$ | . |
| Lead | 53 | 165, 215 | ${ }^{17}$ | $\cdots$ |
| Leather : | -i09 | - | 174, ${ }^{174}$ |  |
| Linseed . . - - | 109 | . | 174,221 | 237, 2481 |
| Liquora . . . . | 71 | 160, 108 | 162 |  |
| ", ale, beer, and porter . . | 71 | 168 | $\because$ | ${ }_{235}^{235}$ |
| " brandy . . . | 72 | ${ }^{1} 88$ | $\because$ |  |
| " cider . | 71 | 168 | $\cdots$ | 235 |
| * ${ }_{\text {whinigky }}$ - | 71 |  | $\cdots$ | 235 |
|  | 72 | 168 | $\cdots$ | -. |
| Looomotives. | 54 | 168 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |
| Lubricating oil Maohinery and millwork : | 52 |  | 163, í 0 |  |
| Moohinery and millwork - . . . | 64 | 101,104, 213 | 163,180 | .. |
| ; boilers | 55 | 164 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| * cotton mill | 54 | 164 | - | $\because$ |
| " eleotrical | 64 84 | 164 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |
| ", jute mill metal working. . . . | 55 | 164 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| ", metal working - | 65 | 164 | . | - |
| " paper mill . |  | 164 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |
| " prime movers | ${ }_{5}^{54}$ | 164 | . | - |
| " rice and flour mills | 55 | 164 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |
| " sewing and knitting |  | 164 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |
|  | 55 <br> 55 | 164 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |
| " tea ${ }^{\text {cherile }}$ | 55 54 54 | 164 | $\because$ | $\because$ |
| " typewriters | 55 | 164 |  |  |
| Manganese ore ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 118 |  | 175, 220 | 238 |
| Manufactured articlea ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | .. | 161 | 163 |  |
| Markets-Indian goods in Foreign competitions of | 123 |  |  | 238 |
| ${ }_{\text {Manares }}$ Maskat Territory and Trucial Opman : $\quad$ : | 123 | 170 199 | 178 | 238 |
| Matehes . - . . . |  | 171 |  | . |
| Mauritius |  | 195 | 195 |  |
| Medicines | 69 | 169, 172 | 177 | 238 |
| Merohandise-total imports and exports of. | 15 | 157 | 157 | $\cdots$ |
| " monthly importe and exports of |  | 169 | 159 | - |
| " $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { net exports of } \\ & \text { trade in private-according to clasees }\end{aligned}$ |  | $160-31$ | ${ }_{162} \ddot{-63}^{6}$ | $\cdots$ |
| " and treasure, quinquennial averagee of- | $\because$ | 158 | 158 |  |
| " value of exports of private - . | . |  | 157,163, | $\cdots$ |
| " " imports of " . | - | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 157,161 \\ 171 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | -• |
| Metal lampz - . . | 58 | . $\cdot$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |



## INDEX

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Report } \\ & \text { Pago } \end{aligned}$ | Tables |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Import } \\ & \text { Page } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Export } \\ & \text { Page } \end{aligned}$ | Misoel. <br> laneous Page |
| Oilseeds, copra | 108 | .. | 174 | 237 |
| " cotton (Gossypium Sps.) | 111 |  | 174, 222 | 237, 240 |
| " groundnats (Arachis hypogea) | 109 | .. | 174, 222, | 237, 240, |
|  |  |  | 282 | 282 |
| linseed (Linum utitalissimum) | 109 | -• | 174, $2: 1$ | 237, 24v, |
| " mustard (Brcssica Sps.) | 110 |  | 174 | 281 |
| - poppy (Papater sommiferum) | I10 |  | 174 |  |
| , rapeseed (Brassica Sps.) | 110 | . | 174, 221 | 237, 240, |
| \% sesamum (Sesamum indicum) | 110 |  | 174, 222 | 237, 2810. |
|  |  |  |  | 232 |
| $\underset{\text { Ope }}{\text { Opium }}$ : | 124 | .. | 175, 225 | 238 |
| Ore manganese | 118 | $\because$ | 175, 175 | 238 |
| , wolifam | 119 |  |  |  |
| Paints and colours |  | 169 | $\cdots$ | 236 |
| Paper and pasteboard | 69 | 161, 168 | 163 |  |
| " making materials |  | 160,170 | 162 | . |
| ", manuactares . | 70 | $\cdots$ | . |  |
| " packing. | 70 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |  |
| " pasteboard | 70 | .. | . |  |
| " Printing paper | 69 |  |  | 236 |
|  | 69 119 | $\because$ | 175 | 2 |
| Pearis | 77 |  |  | 238 |
| Pemba . | 138 | 193 | 193-194 |  |
| Pepper : . . . | 76, 121 | 3 | (28-176 | 237 |
| Percentage of exports to production of erops |  | . |  | 226 |
| Percentage share of principal countries in the total trade in merohandise |  | 183 | 183 | 226 |
| Percentago share of principal conatries in the total value of chemicals | 68 | 183 | 183 |  |
| Percentage ahare of principal countries in the importa of salt | 63 |  |  |  |
| Percentage share of the United Kingdom, Japan and China in the imports of cotton twist and sarn | 72 39 |  |  |  |
| Percentage share of the United Kingdom and Japan in the imports of cotton piecegoods | 39 43 |  | . | . |
| Percentage share of certain countries in the totai quantities of piecegoods imported | 43 | . |  | . |
| Percentage share of principal countries in the total importa of paper | 44 | .. | . | . |
| Persiar o - | 70 | 203 |  | $\cdots$ |
| Pera | 147 |  | 203-20t |  |
| Petrol | 62 |  | $\because$ | $\cdots$ |
| Pig iron production of | 49, 118 | 213 |  | $\because$ |
| ", lead prounotion of | 48, 118 | .. | $\cdots$ |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {Pipes and }}$ fittings, cast | 119 |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| Pitoh and tar . | 51 | 165, 212 |  | ${ }_{236}^{236}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {Polishes }}$ Portuguese East Africa |  | 170 |  | -. |
| Poppy seod . . |  | 196 | 196 | . |
| . . . . |  | . | 174 | - |


|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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28. Large Industrial Establishments in Indis, 1929 (10th issue). fl5-4 or 8a. 6d. (0ss.)

QUINQUENNIAL.
20. Quinquenrial Report on the average yield per aore of principal crops in India for the period ending 1928-27. As. 12 or 1s. 3d. (2a.).
30. Live-stock Statistics, India, 1929-30. As. 4 or 5d. (la,)
31. Index Numbers of Indian Prices, 1861-1931 (3rai issue). Fll-2 or 2s. (5a.)
32. Accounts of the Trade of the Portuguese Possessions in India for the five calendar yeara 1921-25. (Supplement to Vol. II of the Annual Statement of the Sea-borne Trade of Britiah India.) As. 12 or 1s. 3d.. (2a.)

## MISCELLANEOUS.

33. Crop Forecast. (Published in the Indian Trade Journal, the due months are in braokets.) Per copy of the Journal As. 4 (la.); and Foreign 6 Annas 6 pies post free. (Vide item No. h.) Rico-lat (October); 2nd (December), Finsl (Fobraary).
Wheat-lst (January), 2nd (March), 3rd (April), 4th (May), Final (Auguat).
Cotton-let (August), 2nd (October), 3rd (December), Final (February), Supplementary (April). Linseed, Rapeand Mustard ( Winter oilseeds)-1st (January), 2nd (March), Final (June). Sesamum (til ot jinjili)_Ist (Soptembor), 2nd (October), FTinal (Janaary), Supplementary (April). Groundant-lat (Auguat); 2nd (Ootober), Final (February): Castorseed-February.
Sugarcane-1st (August), 2nd (October), Final (February).
34. Registration and Publication of Statiatics relating to the Sea-borne Trade and Navigation of British India. . P3-2 or 4s.9d. '(Out of print.)
35. A Manual on the Preparation of Crop Forecasts in India. As. 8 or 9d. (Oul of prinf.)
36. Cotton Map of India, No. 1.-Showing the different varieties of cotton grown in the different districts and States in India. Rl or 1 s .9 d . (1a.)
[All communications relating to the supply of Miaps on payment shmuld be addressed to the Officer-in-Charge, Map Record and Issue Office, 13, Wood Street, Calcutta.]
37. Crop Atlas of India. (1925 Editinn). A3-4 or 5 万. 9 d. (6a.)
38. Alphabetical Index of the Commodities that enter into the Foreign Sea-borne Trade of British India. R7-8 or 12s. (6a.)
39. Commercial Library Catalogue with its five Supplementary issues:-corrected reapectively op to 30th April 1925, May 1926, May 1927, May 1928, May 1929 and May 1930. Prices R2-8 or
 and RI or 1s. 9 d . (3a.), respeotively and Commercial Library Catalogue (corrected up to 30th April 1932). Price n 8 or 13s. 0 d.
40. Directory of exporters of Indian produce and manufactures (8th edition). As. 8 or 10d. (3a.)

## SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTS TO THE " INDIAN TRADE JOURNAL".

41. Indian Customs Tariff (8th issue). Reprint of Schedales II and III appended to the Indian Tariff Aot, VIII of 1894 (as in operation on lst January 1933), showing the ratea of Customs duties, including those imposed under the Whest (Import Duty). Aot, XV of 1931 , and the additionsl duties imposed under the Salt (Additionsl Import Duty) Act. XIV of 1931, Indian Finance Act, 1931, and the Indian Finance (Supplementary and. Firtending) Act, 1931, levied on goods imported into, and exported from, British India, together with the tariff values fixed for cortain articles under Goverument of Indis, Commeroe Department, Notification No. 78-T (106), dated the 31st December 1932, for the parpose of essessment of Customs duties during the poriod 16th January to 3 lig December 1933. As. 3 or 4d. (2a.) (Supplement to the issue of 2nd February 1933).
42. Amendment Slip to the "Indian Customs Tariff (8th issue)". Anns I or Idd. ( $0 p$.) (Supplement to the issue of 27th April 1933:
43. Review of the Sugar Industry of India, 1931-32. Anna I or $1 \frac{1}{8} d$. (la.). (Supplement to the isaze of 18th May 1933).
44. Note on Tobacco (Supplement to the issus of Soptember 1, 1927), As. 2 or 3d. (la.); Supplement to the issue of September 24, 1931), A8. 2 or 3d: (1a.)
45. Glossary of Raw Cotton Trado Torms. Anna lor 11d. (1a.); (Supplement to the issue of 21st June 1928).
46 Glossary of Terms relating to Hand-mado Cotton Fabrios. Ag. 2 or 3d. (la.) : (Supplemont to the issue of 3rd Jaly 1930).
46. Glossary of Terms relating to Hidos, Skins and Leather. As. 8 or 10d. (la) ; (Supplement to the issue of 12th January 1933).
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[^0]:    * Information regarding rabi crops, such as whent, linseed, and rape and mustard, refers to the production of 1931-32, as these crops canse into the marhet in 1032-33.
    $\dagger$ The figure has since been revised to $7 \cdot 1$ million bales.

[^1]:    Beams, channels, pillars, girders and bridgework.

[^2]:    Sodium oompounds.

[^3]:    Coment (f29 lakhs).

[^4]:    - The agure has sinco beon revisod to 7,097,000 bales.

[^5]:    * Aoknowledgments are due to the Socretary, Indian Central Cotton Committee for assistanoe in connection nith thase paragraphe.

[^6]:    - Sulbject to rerision.

[^7]:    Other foodgrains (RI, 30 lakhs).

[^8]:    Sesamum (R191 lakhs).

[^9]:    (a) Rail-borne trade at stations adiagent to land frontier rontes.

[^10]:    Dyeing and tanning substances (R75 lakhs).

[^11]:    Rubber, raw (R9 lakhs).

[^12]:    - Ropresent figuref for bones crushod, uncrushed and bonemeal.

[^13]:    - Fxcludes trangactions which do not enter fato the balarce of trade.
    (a) Exclualve of the value of rilway materials Imperted direct by State Rallways working noder com. pany managenent, whith was not paid for in the oruiuary way and was unt, therefure, taken into account in artiving at tio balance of trade.
    (b) Includes f85 lakhs, being the funda supI lied by Government to finance wheat purchascs.
    
    Notz, The slgn + means net export and the elgn - netimpart.

[^14]:    * Average fortpo jears, 1928-28 and 1923-24.

[^15]:    (a) FIsures for 1913-1\%.

[^16]:    (b) Value not avallable
    (c) Iocluding alvior bullion and gold bullion obtained from mining operation
    (b) Including allvet bultion.
    (f) Incrudng trade with stadan.

[^17]:    - Flgares prior to 1924-25 repreeent Hernmeal.

[^18]:    The fipures of mill convampition from $1013-14$ to $1015-10$ were calculated from yard produced by mills and turalshed under Cotton Datics Act. From 1010-17, the figures furnlahed by the Bombay Millownera' Absociatlod were taken.
    

[^19]:    - Figures prlor to 1981-22 rolato to Turkey, Aslatle.

[^20]:    
    (c) T.coths $30 \times 24$ yds. $616 s$.
    (6) Eastern, Districts 48 's (Earopean selless:
    (g) Quatatlon for salo No. Non 15 th Junc.
    (i) Eastern) R's (European and Indlan Packing).

[^21]:    *Exemptod from the additional duties Imposed under section 4 of the Indlau Finance Act, 1031

[^22]:    *Exempted from the additional duties miposed under section 4 of the Indian Finabee $A$ it, ifsl.

[^23]:    * Exempted from the additional dutles Imposed under aection 4 of the Indian Finance Act, 1891,

[^24]:    - Frempted from the additional duties imposed under aection of the fudian Fimatice Act, Jubl.

[^25]:    - Exempted from the additianal datien Imposed under sectlon 4 of tbe Indian Finsace Act, 1881,

[^26]:    Only sue Amerimn vessel with a tonnage of 1.728 cleared in tha vanc 1019.18

