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## REVIEW OF THE TRADE OF INDIA IN 1931-32.

## $\Rightarrow$

## PART I-REPORT.

## CHAPIER I.

## General.

The monsoon of 1931 was, on the whole, well-distributed, but at a few places heavy rains resulted in floods, causing damsge to the crops. Averaged over

Agrioultural conditions in India.* the plains of India, the total rainfall during the monsoon period was only 4 per cent above the normal. During the retreating period of the monsoon the rainfall was normal or nearly so in Burma, Assam, the North-West Frontier Province, Mysore and the Punjab, but excessive elsewhere. . Taking the year as a whole, the total rainfall was within 25 per cent of the normal, except in Sind where it was in large defect and in Berar, Bombay and West Rajputana where it was in moderate excess. The season may thus, on the whole, be regarded as fairly good from the agricultural standpoint. A good yield was obtained for the rice crop of 1931-32, except in Burma and the total production exceeded the previous year's plentiful harvest by 2 per cent. Sugarcane also gave a record yield which was 21 per cent above that of 1930-31. The wheat crop of 1930-31, most of which was moved during the vear under review, was also satisfactory, having exceeded the average of the preceding five years by 8 per cent. Following on two very large crops in succession in 1929 and 1930, the area under jute was considerably reduced in 1931 and the outturn amounted to only $\overline{0} 6$ lakhs of bales which is about half the average outturn during the preceding five seasons. The cotton crop of 1931-32 was the lowest since 1921-22, the production being 22 per cent less than in 193031. Among the oilseed crops, castor seed gave an increased production in 1931-32, while sesamum and groundnut gave smaller outturns, being 12 and 14 per cent less than that of 1930-31. The production of linseed was practically the same as in the preceding season, while that of rape and mustard showed a decrease as compared with 1929-30.

During the year 1931 a greater number of industrial disputes oncurred in India, a larger number of workmen were involved and consequently

Industrial situation in [ndis. the loss on man-working days was much higher than during the preceding year. The worst sufferers were the cotton mills in Bombay and in the Madras Presidency and the jute mills in Bengal. The important strikes of the year securred in the Hastings Jute Mills, Rishra, Hooghli, the Madura Mills in the Madras Presidency and the Sholapur Mills and the Swadeshi Mills in the Bombay Presidency. The first quarter of the year 1932 has not been marked jy any improvement in the situation, for during this quarter also there have jeen frequent labour strikes in cotton and woollen mills in Bombay, Madras und the Central Provinces.

[^0]
## General.

The year 1931-32 has been even more disastrous than "1930-31, a year of unparalleled economic collapse throughout the world. International trade has been utterly disrupted.; The gold standard had to be abandoned by most countries and all the previous international financial settlements regarding reparations and War debts have been thrown into the melting pot. Each of the perplexing maladies from which eli commerce in all countries has suffered since the War appears to have reached a climax and entered on a critical phase in the year under review. The causes of this deplorable depression have been discussed by many eminent economists and financiers and in last year's Review of Trade they were briefly referred to. In 1931 the problem which came to the forefront was the intolerable burden and strain imposed on the debtor countries by the disastrous fall in prices and by the concentration of gold in a few countries which rendered it very difficult for them to make payments to the creditor countries. The increasing difficulty of sending more exports, due both to the fall in prices and to the tariff barriers, led to exports of gold from the debtor countries and to a concentration of gold in the creditor countries. This movement, of course, started in the latter half of 1928 and was responsible, to a very great extent, for the intensive worldwide deflation which expressed itself in the collapse of prices from the end of 1929. As Sir Henry Strakosch has very clearly pointed out in a memorandum published as supplement to the Economist, the abnormal movement of gold to America and France since 1928 was one of the most important causes, if not the most important one, of the deflation that followed and of the catastrophic fall in prices. Both these countries by tariffs and other measures produced a state of affairs in which the payments due to them on account of reparations and War debts had to be made largely in gold. The fall in prices made exporte of commodities difficult even if the creditor countries were prepared to accept them, which, in most cases, they were not; and in 1931 the great reduction of gold holdings in the debtor countries left them no option but to postpone their real payments by borrowing abroad and to ask for a revision of the existing arrangements regarding these international payments which in most cases had been expressed in terms of gold without any clause relating the value of gold to corrmodities in general. The rumbling of the storm was distinctly heard in the earlier part of the year 1931, but it swept down in all its fury during the latter part of the year. The first. signal of its bursting was the failure of the Credit-Anstalt in Austria and the havoc spread rapidly throughout Eastern and Central Europe. The storm centre shifted then to Germany, where the situation was only saved from immediate disaster by the timely declaration of the Hoover Moratorium. But this was only a temporary relief and soon the depression deepened from week to week. In August Great Britain began to feel the effects of the financial crisis in Central Europe. The financial crisis in England developed rapidly, because foreign creditors tried to withdraw their London balances, while London short term losns to Central Europe were more or less frozen. Every effort was made to save the $\mathfrak{f}$ (pound) from departing from gold and large temporary loans were taken both from America and France, but the tide could not be stemmed and by the third week of September Great Britain was forced to suspend the gold standard. India and many other countries soon followed suit.

The immediate effect of the suspension of the gold standard on the eoonomic situation was, on the whole, beneficial. The depreciation of the pound

## General.

(f) and consequently of the rupee and other ourrencies-in the starling zone of influence checked the deflationary tendency which was so much in evidence in the earlier period of 1931. . Prices in some cases rose slightly and the downward trend of the price level was checked to some extent. If the pound and the other currencies had been still linked to gold, then the deciine in prices would have continued, as was the case in America and France. This breaking away from the depressing course of deflation led to a feeling of subdued optimism in many industries, but it must be remembered that the position is still full of dangers. Most currencies are no longer linked to gold ; nor are they linked to each other or to any guiding principle substituted in place of gold. Herein lies the greatest of dangers. International trade becomes practically impossible in such circumstances and the position is only aggravated by the introduction of numerous exchange restrictions. Further, unless the question of reparations and inter-allied debts is successfully solved, the world's economic condition cannot become healthy. That is why the eyes of the world were turned towards the Lausanne Conference which met about the middle of June 1932. The Tesult of the Conference gives grounds for moderate optimism, but the whole situation has not yet been clarified and unless it is cleared up with the co-operation of America and France, the economic horizon cannot become visible and bright. The situation seems to have relapsed (June, 1932) since the close of the financial year 1931-32 and rupee prices are on the downward trend once more, though the rate of fall is not as steep as in the earlier phases of the depression.

The disastrous fall in prices which started in Octobęr 1929 continued unabated till September 1931. At the end of that month the suspension of the gold standard by England, India and some other countries arrested this fall of prices, as expressed in the currencies of these countries and since then prices have shown some slight increase. This increase, however, is merely a reflection of the depreciation of the currencies of these countries in terms of gold. Gold prices as such, that is, prices expressed in any currency unit which still commands the same weight of gold as formerly, continue on the downward trend, as can be seen from the index numbers of prices in America and France. The index numbers of prices in India, the United Kingdom, the United States of America, Canada, Australia, Japan and France are given below:-

|  | India, Calcutts (July. $1014=0100)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { United } \\ \text { KIngdom } \\ (1018=100) \end{gathered}$ | United Btates of Amerles $(1926=100)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canads } \\ (1926=100) \end{gathered}$ | Autralia |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Pranes } \\ (1018-100 \end{array}\right\rangle$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September | 148 | 185.8 | 96-1 | 97.3 | 1,858 | $217 \cdot 5$ | 697 |
| Octover | 140 | $188 \cdot 1$ | $05 \cdot 1$ | 06.7 | 1,832 | 216.2 | 690 |
| Novomber | 187 | 184.0 | 98.6 | 98.8 | 1,804 | 211.1 | 584 |
| December | 184 | 182-5 | 98.8 | $90 \cdot 2$ | 1,760 | 205.0 | 576 |
| 1980- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 131 | 181.0 | 92.5 | $95 \cdot 6$ | 1,710 | $201 \cdot 4$ | 504 |
| February | 126 | 127.8 | 91.6 | 04.0 91.9 | 1,674 | 1008 | 864 |
| April | 128 | 124.5 128.7 | 90.0 | 91.9 | 1,647 1,602 | $19 \% 3$ | 853 |
| Mny | 121 | 122.0 | 88.8 | 89.9 | 1,608 | 180-4 | 842 |
| June | 116 | 120.7 | 80.8 | $88 \cdot 0$ | 1,057 | 181.2 | 685 |
| Juty | 115 | 110.2 | 84.4 | 85.8 | 1,644 | $170 \cdot 6$ | 538 |
| Angust | 114 | 117.8 | 84.8 | 84.1 | 1,020 | 175.6 | 892 |
| Geptember | 111 | 115.5 | 24.4 | 82.5 | 1.5332 | 171.8 | 826 |
| October | 107 | 113.6 110.0 | 83.4 | 81.4 | 1,479 | 164.6 | 50 S |
| November | 108 J00 | 112.0 108.8 | 81.8 78.8 | 78.8 $7 \% 8$ | 1,487 1.309 | 102.89 | 494 |

-Rovied serien.

Genderai.

|  | India, Calcatta (July, 1916-160) | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \text { United } \\ \text { King } \\ (1013=100) \end{array}\right.$ |  | Capada <br> (1986-100) |  | - Japan (October, $1900=1 \mathrm{co}$ ) | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \text { Frsace } \\ (1018-100) \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1091- |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| Japuary | 98 | 106-9 | 78.2 | 76.7 | 1,454 | 158.5 | 484 |
| Fe bruary | 99 | 108-2 | 70.8 | 78.0 | 1,448 | 158.0 | 488 |
| March. | 100 | 105-9 | - 78.0 | $75 \cdot 1$ | 1,456 | 158.3 | 488 |
| April . | 08 | 105.7 | 74.8 | 74.5 | 1,147 | 157.8 | 484 |
| May | 97 | 1054 | 73.2 | 73.0 | - 1,440 | 1540 | 470 |
| Jone | 98 | 108.2 | 72.1 | 72.2 | 1,425 | 150.7 | 467 |
| Jujy: | 98 | 102.2 | 72.0 | 71.7 | 1,428 | 152.8 | 456 |
| Anguat | 92 | 99.5 | $72 \cdot 1$ | 70.9 | 1,399 | 161.8 | 448 408 |
| September | 91 | 99.2 | 71.8 | 70.0 70.4 | 1,391 | 11496 | 428 |
| November | 96 97 | 100.4 | $70 \cdot 8$ 7 | - 70.4 | 1,428 | 114.9 | 4108 |
| December | 88 | 105-8 | 88.6 | $\therefore 70.8$ | 1,425 | 151.0 | 404 |
| 1082- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 97 | $105-8$ 105.8 | 67.8 68.8 | 69.4 69.2 | 1,414 | 1515 | 405 412 |
| March. | 94 | 1046 | 68.0 | 89.1 | 1,488 | 158.5 | 418 |
| Aprid | 98 | 109.4 | $65 \cdot 5$ | 68.4 | - | 154.1 | 415 |
| May | 89 86 | 100.7 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | ... | 412 |

* Rovised ceries.

The Calcutta wholesale price index number for September 1929 was 143. By September 1931 it had fallen to 91 ; a fall of over 36 per cent. From September 1931 rupee prices rose to some extent in Calcutta and the index number went up to 98 in December. This partially reflected the depreciation of the rupee in terms of gold. For a time the rupee appreciated to some extent after December and the index number fell slightly, being 94 in March 1932. Since the close of the financial year the fall has been even greater and in June 1932 the index number went to the lowest figure on record and was 86. Thus, since September 1929 rupee prices have fallen by nearly 40 per cent. In the United Kingdom the Board of Trade Index number was 135.8 in September 1929. By September 1931 it liad fallen to $99 \cdot 2$, a fall of 27 per cent. From September 1931, as in the case of India, the index number naturally went up slightly and by December it was $105 \cdot 8$, but by May 1932 it had reverted to 100.7, a fall of 26 per cent as compared with September 1929.' In the United States of America the Bureau of Labour Index number was 96.1 in September 1929. By September 1931 it had fallen to $71 \cdot 2$, or a fall of 26 per cent and, of course, there was no improvement in the index number, as in the case of India and the United Kingdom, after Septemher 1931 and the downward trend continued throughout the subsequent months with the result that in April 1932 the index number had fallen to $65 \cdot 5$ or by 32 per cent. The fall in the case of France was from 597 in September 1929 to 428 in September 1931 or 28 per cent. As in the case of the United States of America, the downward movement continued and by December it had gone down to 404. In the three following months there was a slight increase in the French index number, but from March 1932 onwards the decline again started and by May the number had gone down to 412 , giving a fall of 31 per cent from September 1929. The fall, in the case of Canada, in September 1931 was 28 per cent and by April 1932 the Canadian index number had dropped to $68 \cdot 4$, or a fall of 30 per cent. In the case of Australia, the fall up to September 1931 was 25 per cent. From September there has been an increase, as in the case of Indis and the United Kingdom and the quotation in March was 1,438 or a fall of 23 per cent as compared with September 1929. Japan abandoned
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the gold standard a little later than England, and the rise in prices there began about' November, the index number for October 1931 being 146.9, or a fall of 32 per cent as compared with September 1929: Up to Februery the index number went up, the quiotation then being 161-4. There has been a relapse as in the case of other countries and by April the quotation had been reduced to $151 \cdot \mathrm{~F}$. It will thus be seen that the fall in prices has continued in the United' States and France; in other words, gold prices are still on the downward incline. On the other hand, the fall was arrested to some extent in the case of those countries which had gone off the gold standard, such as the United Kingdom, India and Australia. This halt has been reversed, however, after December when the depreciation was the highest in the case of the pound and the rupee, and since the close of the financial year the downward trend of prices seems to have returned. If prices in these countries were converted to a gold basis, then it would be found that the temporary increase in their case was only apparent and was dues to the depreciation of their currencies in terms of gold: The Economist gives the sterling index number as compared with' the gold index number; that is, as it would be if the pound were still on the former gold basis. In the table below the Calcutta index number has also been converted to a gold basis from September 1931. The base foz this is August 1931. The method used in the case of the Calcutta index number is to convert the rupee prices into gold prices by allowing for the depreciation of the rupee dollar exchange agair.st India. The table below gives the gold index number in England (Economist) and India (Calcutla) with comparative rupee and sterling index numbers:-


It will be seen that the sterling index number increased to 110.9 by February 1932, thus showing an increase of nearly 11 points over September 18th. For the same period the gold index number dropped to $89 \cdot 6$, or hy over 10 points. Thus, there was a difference of 21 points between the two index numbers. Since February 1932 the sterling index numbers as well as the gold index number have been going down in England. The rate of fall, however, has been greater in the sterling than in the gold index numbers owing to the appreciation of sterling in terms of gold during these months. By June 1932 the sterling index number was a little under 98 and the gold index number
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was a little over 80. The difference between the two index numbers was therefore, a little over $17 \frac{1}{2}$ points. In India the prices in Calcutta are recorden at the end of the month and the end of September could not, therefore, $b$ taken as base as by that time the gold standard had already been abandoned The end of August, therefore, has been taken as the base in this case $e_{n}$. Th rupee prices index number showed a rise of $6 \frac{1}{2}$ points by December 1931 whereas the gold prices in the same period showed a fall to 73-5, i.e., of 24 : points. Thus, there was a difference between the two of 31 points. Sinc December the rupee index number has been more or less consistently going down and in June it had dropped to $93 \cdot 5$. The gold index number, on thi other hand, had dropped to 69 , or a drop of nearly 29 points, The gap bet ween the two index nombers has thus been reduced to 22 points owing agair to the appreciation of the rupee in terms of gold since December last. From this it will be seen that the rise in prices at the end of 1931 was only internal If gold prices alone are considered, then there has been a consistent fall ir prices during the whole period from September 1929 up to the present time.

It was remarked last year that the fall in prices had been greater in the case of rew materials than in the case of manufactured articles. This is bornc out by the two tables given below which bring the index numbers up to date.


## General:

Tabie II.


Table I gives the Indian Index number series for exported articles and imported articles, month by month, from January 1931 and also gives for comparison the quotation for September 1929. The number of quotations under exported articles is 28 and under imported articles 11. Table II is based on a rough division of the Calcutta index number series, separating the articles of export from the articles of import. The tables bear out the conclusion; drawn in the last year's Review, that the prices of exported articles have fallen much more in the last two years than those of imported articles which are mainly manufactured goods. The index number of exported articles in September 1929 was 217 . By March 1931 it had dropped to 132 , or by 39 per cent. The fall continued till September 1931 when the index number dropped to 116, or by 46.5 per cent. On the other hand, the index number for imported articlea was 167 in September 1929. It had fallen to 144 by March 1931, a fall of 14 'per cent. By September 1931 it had fallen to 139, i.e., by 17 per cent. By
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March 1932 the exported articles index number had risen to 124 and the imported articles index number to 154. The fall, therefore, as compared with September 1929 amounted only to 43 per cent and 8 per cent respectively. This improvement, however, has not been maintained and by June the fall in the case of exported articles is 47 per cent as compared with September 1929 and in the case of imported articles 16 per cent.

The Calcutta wholesale price index number, which has been roughly divided as explained above, leads almost to the same conclusion. In March 1931 the percentage fall in the case of exported articles was 37 per cent, whereas in the case of imported articles it was 16 per cent. By September 1931 the fall in the case of exported articles had increased to nearly 47 per cent and in the case of imported articles to 20 per cent. At the end of the year 1931 the index numbers of both sets of articles had increased and the fall only amounted to 39 and 17 per cent respectively. Since then, however, the situation has taken a turn for the worse and by June 1932 the fall in the case of exported articles is 50 per cent and in the case of imported articles 22 per cent as compared with September 1929. These two tables show that by September 1931 the fall in the case of exported articles was slightly under half and in the case of imported articles about one-fifth as compared with September 1929. The situation, though it improved by December or January, again deteriorated and in June 1932 the position is slightly worse than in September 1931.

In order to show more clearly the fall in prices in the case of agricultural raw materials the table below gives the Calcutta index numbers for the various groups of articles in September 1929, March 1931, September 1931, March 1932 and June 1932. It also gives the percentage decline in March and Sentember 1931 and March and June 1932 as compared with September 1929.

| Articies. | INDEX NOMBRRE, |  |  |  |  | PRRCRNTAGE DECLINE OS THE EABIS OF SEPTEMBER 1029. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sep- } \\ & \text { tember } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & \text { 10s1. } \end{aligned}$ | Septomber 1031. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mfarch } \\ & \text { I } 932 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1082 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & \hline 021 \end{aligned}$ $1931 .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sop- } \\ & \text { tember } \\ & 1031 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Marel } \\ & 1032 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1082 \end{aligned}$ |
| Cereals- |  |  |  |  | . | - |  | - |  |
| Rleo | 124 | 81 | 70 | 68 | 68 | 85 | 89 | 40 | 58 |
| Wheat | 135 | 72 | 64 | 77 | 79 | 47 | 53 | 48 | 41 |
| Ten . | 120 | 114 | 68 | 60 | 61 | . 12 | 51 | 58 | 58 |
| Oliseeda | 175 | 00 | 78 | 72 | 71 | - 49 | - 55 | 50 | 59 |
| Jute, raw * | 90 | 45 | 51 | 49 | 38 | 60 | 48 | 46 | E8 |
| Cotton, raw | 146 | 98 | 74 | . 89 | 76 | 86 | 19 | 80 | 48 |
| Fides and skins | 109 | 80 | 51 | 54 | 87 | - 27 | 58 | 50 | 66 |
| Jute manufacturet | 122 | 80 | 68 | 76 | 68 | 84 | 43 | 88 | 44 |
| Cotton manufactures | 161 | 130 | 118 | 128 | 114 | : 10 | 27 | 20 | 29 |
| Motala . | 130 | 110 | 105 | 109 | 108 | บั̇ 15 | 18 | 16 | 21 |
| Eugar | 104 | 188 | 134 | 147 | 145 | $\therefore 10{ }^{\prime}$ | 18 | 10 | 12 |
| All commoditle . | 148 | 100 | 01 | 04 | -88. | s0 | 86 | 84 | 40 |

It will be seen that in September 1931 the percentage fall was highest in the case of oilseeds which amounted to 55 per cent followed closely by wheat, and hides and akins 53 per cent and tea and cotton raw with a fall of 51 and 49
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per cent respectively. The fall in the case of jute raw was 43 par cent. On the other hand, the fall in the case of cotton manufactures was only 27 per cent, in the casse of metals only 19 per cent and of sugar and other commodities 18 anü 36 per cent respectively. If, however, we take June 1932 for comparison the greatest fall is in the case of hides and skins which have fallen by 66 per cent and are worth a third of what they were in September 1929. The fall in the case of oilseeds and raw jute amount to 59 and 58 per cent respectively, whereas in the case of rice and tea the fall is. 53 per cent. Raw cotton shows a fall of 48 per cent and wheat of 41 per cent. The fall in the case of jute manufastures is, however, only 44 per cent; that in the case of cotton manufactures only 29 per cent, while metals and sugar showed a fall of 21 and 12 per cent respectively. Thus, it will be seen that the fall is very great in the case of agricultoral commodities and raw materisls, but comparatively small in the case of manufactured articles. It follows, therefore, that the prices of India's exports fell considerably more than the prices of her imports and this difference obviously has a very great bearing on the foreign trade of the year.
.The table below gives the values of the imports into, and exports fiom, India of private merchandise and gold and silver for the three yeare 1929-30, 1930-31 and 1931-32.


Exports.
(In lakha of rupees)

| - | 1929-30 | 1830-31 | 1831-82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private merohandiso | 3,17,93 | 2,26,64 | 1,80,55 |
| Gold and silvor |  | 2,31 | 62,01 |
| Total miports | 3,10,41 | 2,27,98 | 2,23,16 |

From this table it will be seen that the fall in the value of imports (of private merchandise) in 1931-32 as compared with 1930-31 was $\mathrm{A} 38,42$ lakhs and as compared with 1929-30 fllu,43 lakhs. The fall in the value of exports in the year under review over the previons year and over 1929-30 was R65,(09 lakhs and $\mathbf{7 1 5 7 , 9 8}$ lakhs respectively. Thus, the fall in the case of exporta
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was far greater than in the case of imports. This is due to the fact, which has been mentioned before, that the prices of agricultural commodities and raw materials, which form the bulk of India's exports, fell to a much greater extent than the prices of manufactured goods, which form the bulk of India's imports. Had it not been for the fact that an enormous quantity of gold was exported in the year under review, the balance of trade in favour of India would have dwindled down to a very negligible figure indeed. Exports of gold and silver to the extent of $\mathbf{R 6 2}, 61$ lakhs, however, changed the situation and converted a disastronsly low balance into the largest favourable balance since 1925-26. This fall in the value of exports, as has been already explained, was due to the disastrous fall in the prices of agricultural products. The reason of the fall in the value of imports, however, is not quite so obvious, bat two main causes clearly suggest themselves, the first being the reduced purchasing power of the consumers in India and the second the economic boycott. It is difficult, however, to allocate statistically the share of the decline due to each of these factors.

As has been remarked in last year's Review, it cannot be denied that a great portion of the fall in the value of imports was due to the fact that the Indian consumer has been unable to buy the imported commodities because of his depleted purchasing power. The fall in prices in the case of exported articles, which go to pay for the imported articles, has been greater than in the case of imported articles. The result of this change in the relative prices of exported and imported articles. has been that the ryot could buy only smaller quantities of the imported articles than he could at times when the prices which he realised for his exportable products were more favourable. The amount of fall in the total value of exports shows to what extent the purchasing power of the consumer in India was adversely affected. The fall in the case of raw jute has been $\mathrm{Al} 1 \frac{\mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{t}}$ crores as compared with the preceding year but Z 16 crores as compared with 1929-30. For jute manufactures the fall has been R10 crores as against the preceding year but f 30 crores as against 1929-30. Thus, compared with 1929-30, the fall in the case of jute and jute manufactures has been R46 crores. In other words, the amount of money which the Bengal peasant obtained and with which to pay for his imports was less by a considerable fraction of this $\mathrm{\#} 46$ crores in respect of jute alone. In the case of raw cotton, exports fell by $\mathbf{~} 23$ crores as compared with the preceding year and fl 42 crores as compared with 1929-30: Similarly; under oilseeds the fall has been nearly $\not \mathrm{I} 2$ crores as compared with 1929-30. In the case of grains, pulse and flour, chiefly rice and wheat, the fall as compared with 1930-31 was $\mathrm{\#} 9 \frac{1}{2}$ crores, but as compared with 1929-30 the fall was $\mathrm{Z14} \mathrm{\frac{1}{2}}$ crores. Tea showed a decline of fl 4 crores as compared with the preceding year and $\mathbf{f 6 6 \frac { 1 } { 2 }}$ crores as compared with 1929-30. Thus, in these main items alone the total fall amounted to R51 crores as compared with 1930-31 and there have been decreases under other exported items also. The total fall in the value of exports, as has been remarked above, is $\mathbf{7 6 5}$ crores as compared with the preceding year and Al 157 crores as compared with 1929-30. With this maller value for his exports the producer, mainly the agriculturist, could not afford to buy imports on the normal scale and to this extent imports must perforce be reduced. For a further reason, the phenomenal fall in the value of agricultural commodities has hit the ryot badly. As was remarked in last year's Review, his income had shrunk to half or even less than half
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owing to the tremendous fall in the prices of jute, cotton, oilseeds and other agricultural commodities and yet his outgoings, which are very largely fixed money charges, have remained the same. It follows that he had a very small balance left for any expenditure beyond his barest necessities-for the acquisition of suoh things as iron sheets, cotton piecegoods, etc., and this is, therefore, a factor of very great importance in explaining the large fall in the value of imports.

The second factor which certainly affected the' volume of imports was the boycott, although it is difficult to eatimate the exact effect of the movement on the import trade. As was remarked in the last year's Review, there is no doubt that in the case of certain articles the boycott was responsible for some reduction in imports, the chief examples being cotton piecegoods and tobacco. With other imported articles the case is not so clear. In many instances, India cannot yet compete in thie production of the articles which she imports and in the case of these articles the boycott was never rigorously applied. The fall in the imports of such artioles was, therefore, due to the economic causes which have been explained in the paragraph above. Further, the boycott was mainly directed against the United Kingdom and, to that extent; it affected imports from that conntry more severely than it did the total volume of imports: - The table below shows the percentage of imports into British India coming from varions countries :-

Total imports into British India.

|  | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1929-30 | 1930.31 | 1931-32 |
|  |  | - |  |
| - United Kingdom . . . . . | 42.8 | 37.2 | 36.5 |
| Total-British Empire $\quad$, | 51.7 | 16.1 | 41.8 |
| Germany . . . | 6.6 | 7.5 | 8.1 |
| France ' . . . | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| , Italy . . . . . . . . | $2 \cdot 8$ | 2.7 | $2 \cdot 8$ |
| Japan - . - - - . | 9.8 | 8.8 | 10.6 |
| United States of Amorica - . . . | $7 \cdot 2$ | 9.2 | 10-1 |
| T'ouch-Foreign coundries . | $48.8$ | 63.9 | 65.2 |
| Grand Tosas | 100 | 100 | 100 |

It will be seen that the peroentage share of the United Kingdom dropped from 42.8 per cent in 1929-30 to 37.2 per cent in 1930-31 and 35.5 per cent in 1931-32, thus showing a drop of 7.3 par cent in the last two years. For the
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total British Empire the fall has been $6 \cdot 9$ per cent. This percentage fall was naturally balanced, by increased percentages from other countries. The percentage increases as compared with 1929-30 in the case of Germany, Japan and the United States of America were $1 \cdot 5,8$ and $2 \cdot 9$ per cent respectively. Although the effect of the boycott is, difficult to compute statistically, the table above shows that as compared with 1929-30, the last fairly normal year, the United Kingdom lost 7.3 per cent of the total import trade to foreign countries. The falling of in the United Kingdom's percentage share in India's import trade is a movement which has been proceeding for some years past, but a fall of $7 \cdot 3$ per cent in two years is definitely abnormal and must, in part, be attributed to the boycott. The particular effect of the boycott on the import trade in cotton piecegoods will be discussed in a subsequent section.

There is also a third cause of the fall in imports. The policy of protection of Indian industries naturally results in reduced imports of certain classes of articles, the reduction being partly made good by increased internal production of those articlep. The usual method adopted in granting protection is to raise the import duty on the article under consideration and this procedure generally results in the internal price of such an article rising above the general level of internal prices and, more particularly; above the level of internal prices of articles of export which are also the articles of production, and, therefore, of income basis, of the majority of people in India. As a general proposition, it foliows that the total consumption of the protected commodity will diminish as a result of this spread of prices and the internal industry being sufficiently protected to enable it ,profitably to increase its total production the trade in the imports of the article under consideration will be reduced by reason of both causes. The imports of cotton piecegoods and of sugar apparently substantiate the above line of argument.

General increases in customs tariff on articles which do not come within the protected field may also have a similar syreading effect on the internal prices of articles of export and of import; but in this case, the necessity of maintaining the customs revenue from a diminished volume of tıade , during a world-wide depression is the considerstion of paramount importance.

To sum up : the major portion of the fall in the value of imports was due to economic factors rather than to political factors. Only in the case of two commodities, cotton piecegoods and tobacco, and perbaps in the case of certain iron manufactures, was the boycott partly responsible for the fall in the volume of imports.

Imports and exports.-The total value of the imports of merchandise into British India in 1931-32 amounted to Fl 26 crores and that of the exports to R161 crores. Compared with the values recorded in 1930-31, these figures represent a decline of R38 crores or of 23 per cent in the case of imports and of f 65 crores or 29 per cent in that of exports. On the import side, the year witnessed a further substantial reduction in India's demand for imported textiles. The fall in the imports recorded under the textile group amounted to ane of $\mathrm{R6}$ crores on a total of R 41 crores recorded in 1930-31. The decline during the year under review was thus 14.5 per cent, but coming on top of the heary fall (amounting to A 37 crores) of 1930-31 it meant a net recession to the extent of 45 per cent in comparison with the import values for 1929-30.
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As in the previous years, the decline under textiles was primarily the effect of a reduction in the imports of cotton piecegoods, the total receipts of which amounted to 776 million yards valued at R14,67 lakhs as compared with 890 million yards valued at $\mathrm{f} 20,05$ lakhs in 1930-31. All the principal descriptions of cotton piecegoods, except white, had their respective shares in this heavy decrease, grey goods declining by 116 million yards and coloured by 23 million yards; white goods, on the contrary, improved by 8 million yards in quantity, although even in this case there was a recession, as far as values are concerned, to the extent of $\mathbb{8 8}$ lakhs. As is to be expected, the retrogression ịn the trade in cotton piecegoods was mainly due to the falling off in consignments from the United Kingdom, notably of grey goods, imports of which from that source alone showed a reduction of 83 million yards. Imports of cotton twist and yarn reconded a slight improvement in quantity from 29.1 million lbs. to 31.6 million $\ddagger \mathrm{bs}$. but inspite of this quantitative increase the value fell from $\mathrm{R} 3,08$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R2} 2,99$ lakhs. There were reductions under some of the other important items included in the textile group-viz., of A 26 lakhs under silk; raw and manufactured and of R69 lakhs under wool and woollens, but there was an increase of R 41 lakhs under artificial silk (inciudung yarn and goods of artificial silk mixed with other materials). The imports of raw cotton further rose from 58,000 tons to 79,000 tons concurrently with the progressive decline under piecegoods. Next, in order of magnitude, to the decline noticed above in regard to the textile group was the reduction in imports under the metal group which amounted to $\mathbf{7 6}, 14$ lakhs. It is, however, interesting to note that if along with this group are included in one composite head such items as machinery and millwork, hardware, cutlery, implements and instruments as also vehicles, the aggregate decline under this head would mount up to about R14 crores. Imports of iron and steel declined from 614,200 tons to 371,000 tons in quantity and from R10, 89 lakbs to $\mathbf{R 6}, 32$ lakhs in value. It may be of importance to mention that inspite of the general depression in this line of trade the percentage share of the United Kingdom in the total imports of iron and steel showed a slight improvement. There was a decline of $\nexists 3,42$ lakhs under machinery and mill-work notwithstanding improvereents in certain directions, such as cotton and sugar machinery. The value of hardware imported declined from $\not \approx 3,60$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 2,61$ lakhs. Imports of motor vehicles declined from $\mathbb{R 4}, 99$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 2,89$ lakhs, the number of motor cars imported having fallen from 12,600 to 7,200 and that of omaibuses from 8,900 to 4,300 . Concomitantly with this reduction under motor vehicles, there was a falling off in the imports of rubber manufactures, the value of which amounted to $\mathrm{R} 2,21$ lakhs as against $\mathrm{R} 2,57$ lakhs recorded in the preceding year. The sugar trade remained in the doldrums throughout the year, the imports having declined from just above 1 million tons valued at R10,96 lakhs to a little above half a million tons valued atR6,17 lakles. It is interesting that inspite of this unprecedented slump in sugar imports, beet sugar was able to show an increase from 78,000 tons valued at 787 lakhs to 118,000 tons valued at R1,25 lakhs. Consignments of mineral oils fell from 242 million gallons valued at $\mathrm{R} 10,48$ lakhs to 217 million gallons valued at $\mathrm{R9} 9,04$ lakhs, but the decline under this head would have been greater had it not been for a further increase of 4 million gallons under petroleum, etc., imports of which amounted to 12.7 million gallons as compared with 8.8 million gallons in 1930-31 and 4-7 million gallons in 1929-30. Imports of
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provisions declined by $\mathbf{7 1 , 4 7}$ lakhs chiefly in consequence of a falling offin receipts of vegetable product, biscuits and cakes, and condensed milk: Imports of wheat, which had amounted to 232,000 tons valued at $\AA 2,15$ lakhs in 1930-31, fell off to 111,000 tons valued at $\mathbb{7} 73$ lakhs only.

On the export side, the outstanding factor was the slump in the raw cotton trade. Exports of raw cotton fell off from $3,926,000$ bales to $2,369,000$ bales in quantity and from $\mathbf{7 4 6}$ crores to $\mathbf{Z 2 3}$ crores in value; partly as a result of the depression in the world cotton industry and partly as a result of the higher parity ruling for Indian cotton in the Indian market. There was a relatively small decline under cotton manufactures, the total value of the exports having fallen by f 40 lakhs to $\mathrm{n} 4,82$ lakhs." Despatches of twist and yarn declined from 23.5 million lbs. to 22 million lbs. Exports of cotton piecegoods improved from 98 million yards to 105 million yards, but the gain on the quantity side was more than counterbalanced by the fall in prices which sent down the total declared value from $\mathrm{A3} 3,32$ lakhs to $\mathrm{f3} 3$, 24 lakhs. Conditions in the world market still remained adverse to any improvement in the jute trade and in consequence the downward movement in the export trade in jute continued. The decline in the value of raw and manufactured jute exported amounted to one of $\mathbf{7 1 2}$ crores. Shipments of raw jute declined from $3,470,000$ bales to $3,285,000$ bales in quantity and from $\mathrm{fl13}$ crores to All crores in value. Despatches of gunny bags receded from 434 millions valued at R15 crores to 389 millions valued at $\mathbb{Z 1 1}$ crores and those of gunny cloth from 1,271 million yards valued at fl 17 crores to 1,021 million yards valued at Al 10 crores. Under foodgrains, the value of the shipments declined from $\mathbb{Z} 29,88$ lakhs to $\mathbf{Z 2 0 , 3 7}$ lakhs, although the total quantity exported remained unchanged at $2,614,000$ tons. Exports of wheat which had amounted to 196,500 tons in 1930-31 dropped to 20,200 tons in 1931-32. Shipments of rice advanced in quantity from $2,279,000$ tons to $2,372,000$ tons, but on account of the low level of prices for foodstufis in the world markets the value declined heavily by $\mathbb{Z 7} 83$ lakhs to $\mathbb{E 1 8 , 1 4}$ lakhs. The tea trade had also a critical year and this was refleoted in the shipments of tea which fell by 14.7 million lbs. to $341 \cdot 5$ million lbs. in quantity and by $\mathrm{P4}, 12$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Zl} 19,44$ lakhs in value. Shipments of oilseeds amounted to 988,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Pl14,59}$ lakhs, which meant i decline of 5 per cent in quantity and of 18 per cent in value in comparison with the exports of the preceding year. The decline was mainly due to a falling off in exports of linseed from 257,000 tons to 120,000 tons. "Groundnut and rapeseed, however, showed increases of 71,000 and 21,000 tons in quantity and of $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ lakhs and f 21 lakhs respectively in value. 'There was a decrease in shipments of hides and skins from 63,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Fl1}, 74$ lakhs to 49,400 tons valued at 78,92 lakhs. Exports of lac amounted to 464,000 owts. valued at $\not \mathrm{Z} 1,84$ lakhs, which represented a decline of 15 per cent in quantity and of 41 per cent in value in comparison with the corresponding figures for 1930-31.

The total value of re-exports amounted to $\mathrm{R} 4,66$ lakhs' which represented a decline of f 48 lakhs in comparison with the value recorded in 1930-31 and of $¥ 2,47$ lakhs on the basis of that for 1929-80. The year witnessed a further set-back in the re-exports of cotton mandifactures (chiefly piecegoods) which dropped from $\mathrm{F5} 4$ lakhs to P 44 lakhs. Shipments of raw skins, which constitute one of the major items in the re-export trade of India, improved in quantity from 211 tons to 365 tons, but the value declined from $\mathbb{R 1}, 01$ lakhs
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to R 86 lakhs. Re-exports of sagar advanced from 3,400 tons to 5,600 tons. Despatches of raw wool expanded from 5 million lbs. valued at A $28 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 9 million lbs. valued at R37 lakhs. As was to be expected, this decline in the volume of the re-export trade particularly affected Bombsy through which the bulk of the re-export trade of India passes. . During the year under review Bombay's share amounted to $\mathrm{Z2}, 84$ lakhs, which meant a reduction of 7 39 lakhs in comparison with $1930-31$ and her percentage share declined slightly from 63 per cent to 61 'per cent."

The following figures have been compiled to show the values of imports and exports of merchandise on the basis of the declared values in 1913-14. These statistics are necessarily approximate, but they are sufficiently accurate to afford a fairly reliable measure of the course of trade :-
(In erores of tapeos)

| $\cdots$ | 1018-14 | 1020-84 | 1924-85 | 108584 | 1040-27 | 1027.18 | 1088-89 | 1039-90 | 1850.81 | 1081-81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Importer | $188{ }^{\circ}$ | 180 840 | 187 250 | 148 248 | $188{ }^{188}$ |  | 100 880 | 189 368 | 187 385 | 148800 |
| Toral thade in <br>  ex-expoits. | 4.8 | 860 | 887 | 889 | 884 | $420^{\circ}$ | (60 | 451 | 882 | 84 |

The table above shows a further serious retrogression from the level of 1930-31, indicating as it does a decline of $\not \approx 49$ crores, on the basis of 1913-14 prices, in the total trade in merchandise (excluding re-exports). It is significant that from the previous year the decline on the export side was considerably heavier than that on the import side. The extent to which the general lerel of prices for the imported articles adjusted itself to the general level for the exported articles may be seen from the following index numbers, which have been obtained by comparing the values of importe and exports, as shown in the preceding table; with the values actually recorded for each.

| "- | 1019-14 | 2088-24 | 1924-85 | 1996-30 | 1986-47 | 1037-88 | 2018-50 | 1019-80 | 1980-81 | 1081-38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imports | 100 | 190 | 180 | 168 | 148 | 288 | 188 | 120 | 205 | 88 |
| zrporta .. 1. | 100 | 146 | 156 | 282 | . 182 | 180 | 187 | 118 | - 91 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |

It will appear from this that prices declined at a alightly higher rate on the export than on the import side in comparison with the preceding year. The margin between the index numbers for imports and exports which was 11 points in 1930-31 further contracted during the year to 10 points. The index numbers of prices of imported. articles and exported articles separately, which have already been given earlier in this, report, refer to the internal pricese The prices which are considered here are external prices and a comparison with the figures given earlier will only be valid, if due allowance is made for the difference between the rates of import duties in the base year 1913-14 and in the year 1931-32. It may be stated, however, that these index numbers give only a rough idea of the movements involved.
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Balance of trade.-The visible balance of trade in merchandise and treasure for the year 1931-32 was in favout of India to the extent of 790 crores as compared with fi38 crores̀ in the preceding year, 755 orores in 192930 and the record figure of Z 109 crores in 1925-26. The transactions in treasureon private account resulted in a net export of treasnre amounting to $\mathrm{f} 55^{\circ}$ crores as against a net import of f 24 crores in 1930-31. Gold showed a net export of f 58 croies and silver à net import of $\not \mathrm{f} 2 \frac{1}{2}$ crores. Net exports of currency notes amounted to $¥ 26$ lakhs.

Rapee exchange*.-The closing rate of exchange for the preceding year was ls. $51 \frac{1}{8} \mathrm{~d}$. This rate continued to rule in the market with slight variations during the first two months of the year under review. The large offers of treasury bills at high rates kept the exchange rate from falling to the lower gold point. The position became worse at the end of May with the comparative failure of the Indian sterling loan in London. At the beginning of June Government had to sell sterling to maintain the rate at the lower gold point. The Prime Minister's announcement in the House of Commons assuring. India of financial help in case of necessity had a salutary effect on the rupee sterling exchange rate which rose to is. 5 位d. on the 13th July, but again slip. ped back to 18 . $5 \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~d}$. on the 21 st July, due to the stringent financial situation. in Great Britain and Central Europe. The complications in the London money market led to the recall of funds invested in Indian treasury. bills. - Between the middle of August and middle of September the Government of India sold a large amount of sterling to maintain the rupee at the lower gold point.

On the 21st September the British Government announced their decision to abandon the gold standard temporarily. As the Government of India did not receive information of this decision until the morning of the 21st September, prompt action was necessary in order to prevent a panic and to avoid depletion of the etorling reservès. Ordinance No. VI of 1931 was issued relieving Governiment from their obligation under the Currency Act to sell gold or sterling and the three days, 22nd-24th September, were declared public holidayà under thë Negotiable Instruments Act. The decision to link the rupee to sterling at 1 s . 6 d . was announced on the 24th September and Ordinance No. VII of 1931 was issued cancelling the earlier ordinance and limiting sales of gold or sterling by Government to finance required by recognised banks for the following purposes :-
(1) normal trade requirements, excluding the import of gold or silver coin or bullion and the liquidation of the oversold exchange position of any bank in respect of any month subsequent to the month in which the demand for gold or sterling might be made ;
(2) contracts completed before the 21 sit September; and
(3) reasonable personal and domestic purposes.

When the banke re-opëned on the 25th September it was obvious that confidence had been largely restored. Within three weeks the rapid fall in the cross rate between sterling and dollar had raised thê rupee and sterling price of gold to a level at which the Indian holder became desirous of selling
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it.and talang his profit.. These gold exports häd a very salutary effect on the exchange by creating a balance of payments in favour of India. By the end of October the sterling quotation reached $18.6 \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{2} d$. and on the 13th November the rate had gone up to $1 s, 6 \frac{5}{32} d_{n}$, the highest rate of the year. Although the rate came down to $18.6 \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{8} \dot{d}$. at the end of November, yet it rose again to 18. $6 \frac{1}{8} d$. on the 17 th December and this rate continued to rule with slight variations till the end of the year. The highest and the lowest rate of the year show a difference of $\frac{1}{3}{ }^{3} d$.

Gold exports.-One of the most interesting features of the year under review has been the heavy exports of gold. The net exports of gold in 1931-32 amounted to $\mathrm{A} 57,97$ lakhs and this is the first year on record in which there have been exports of this large magnitude. The reasons for this export may be briefly discussed. In the first place, as was noted by the Controller of the .Currency in his Report for 1930-31, gold was returning to the mints at the Government of India's purchase price on account of the falling off of local consumption. This movement continued to grow in strength throughout the summer of 1931 and gold of the total value of $\mathbb{R 7}, 29$ lakhs was received into the mints in that period. When the gold standard was abandoned at the end of September, there was an immediate appreciation of the price of gold which went on increasing to December 1931. After that there was a decline in prices. The table below gives the average monthly price of gold and the quantity and value of gold exported each month :-
Table showing monthly average price of gold at Bombay and quantity and value $\cdots \cdots$ of gold exported from British India by sea:

|  | Monthly average price of English bar gold 100 tonch per tola. | Exports. . . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month. |  | Quantity. | Talue. |
| 1931- |  | Fine öancees. |  |
| $\therefore$ Aprli | 21.39 21 |  |  |
| ... - June: | 2146 | 113,851 | 64,03,338 |
| July | 21310 | 75,329 | 42,67,109 |
| Auguat | 2140 | 61,117 | 28,05,380 |
| - Septamber - | 22.2 | - 50,734 | 29,28,923 |
| - ${ }_{\text {O }}^{\text {Ootober }}$ November ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 2514 26 14 | 1,339,134 | 9,05,43,614 |
| - November . | 2814 28 | $1,210,408$ $2,251,602$ | 8,57,45,108. |
| 1932- |  | 2,201,602 | 17,76,52,488 |
| January | 29 I | 1,188,284 | 9,27,37,767 |
| February |  | 874,496 | 7,57,11,958 |
| March | 28 27 27 | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{9 2 4 , 9 7 6} \\ -\quad \mathbf{~} 8871050 \end{array}$ | 6,89,39,322 |
| April . | $\begin{array}{ccc}27 & 2 & 2 \\ 27 & 15 & 101\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -587,152 \\ \mathbf{4 4 2 . 1 9 7} \end{array}$ | 4,23,78,391 $\mathbf{3 , 3 0 4 , 4 1 5}$ |
| May .- | $\begin{array}{llll}27 & 15 & 101 \\ 28 & 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 442,197 \\ 651,902 \end{array}$ | 3,30,42,416- $\mathbf{4 , 8 7 , 0 1 , 6 3 7}$ |
|  |  |  | 4,87,01,637 |

It will be seen from the table that the rapid rise in the price of gold stimulated the movement, already in existence, of the return of gold and, in addition, even induced many who held the metal as a store of value to take advantage of the high price to sell their holding. The movement reached its climax in December when the price was at its highest. From that month the exports became smaller as the price declined. Some interesting conclusions are suggested by this anusual phenomenon in the jear ander review. In the
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first place, it shows how gold is used as a store of value and saving by many people in India. An appreciation of this form of saving, like the appreciation of stocks or shares, led the holders to realise their profits by converting it into money. Secondly, it shows that the economic pressure in the country was tending to cause the accumulated savings to be drawn on.' In other words, some part of this gold was what might be called distress gold.. Of course, there was a great advantage in selling gold in preference to selling other commodities which were capable of being stored: Most of the commodities which the agriculturist could sell in order to realise money had fallen in price disastrously, whereas the only commodity; which not only had not fallen but which had actually shown a great increase in price, was gold. For this reason, therefore, he sold that commodity rather than any other. A third cause has been suggested to explain in part the exports of gold. It is stated that the gold shipments may have been made to facilitate the export of capital. The Government of India's exchange restrictions for a part of the year prohibited, in effect, the purchase of exchange for capital purposes, and it is not certain that the proceeds of the gold exports were entirely translated into rupees or xupee equivalent in India. If this suggestion is correct, it means that some of the gold exports were used for the purpose of transfer of capital from India to foreigi countries: All these factors together go far to explain the enormous exports of gold during the year under review.

Imperial Bank of India rate.-A continuous high bank' raté marked the year throughout. The opening rate for the year of 7 per cent continued till the 28th May when it came down to 6 per cent. Despite the slaokness in trade and the decline in the commodity prices, the money market was kept .tight by the continuous issue of treasury bills and by the heavy contraction of currency. The rate rose again to 7 per cent on the 6th August coinciding with the increase in the Bank of England rate from 31 $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent to $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent on the 30th July. With Great Britain going off the gold standard the Bank of England rate rose again to 6 per cent and the Imperial Bank rate was raised from 7 per cent to 8 per cent with effect from the 22 nd September. The export of gold following the suspension of the gold standard gave a stimulus to the money market and the rate came down to 7 per cent on the 14th January 1932. Owing to the further expansion of currency, the bank rate came down on the 26th February to 6 per cent with which the year under review closed.

Government ol India paper.-The following figures show the prices and yields of $3 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ per cent Government of India paper on or about the 1st April during the past ten years :-
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- Freight rates-Freight rates during the year 1931-32 were, on the whole lower than those prevailing during the preceding year. The rates were very stedidy during the last four months of 1930-31 and they continued to be so during April and May, 1931. Thereafter the rates declined considerably. There was a tally during the three months, October to December, followed by a 'decline, which, however, was not so steep as that of the months of June to 'September. Taking the year 1913 as base, the index number of whole-cargo charter rates, according to the Economist, was 78.4 in March 1932 as compared with 81.3 and $75-5$ in the corresponding month of 1931 and 1930 res? ! pectively.

2. Tarift changes. The changes in the tarif made under the Steel Industry (Protection) Act, the Gold Thread Industry (Protection) Act, the Indian Finance Act, the Salt (Additional Import Duty) Act, and the Wheat (Import Duty) Act, all of 1931, were dealt with in the preceding year's Review. Since then eight Acts have been passed introducing various changes in the tariff.
-The Indian Finance (Supplementary and Extending) Aot, 1931 was passed on the 28th November 1931, but came into force provisionally with effect from the 30th September 1931. By this Act raw cotton, dyes derived from cositar and coal-tar derivatives used in any dyeing process, and certain classes of machinery were, removed from the free list and made liable to duty at the following rates:-
$\therefore$ Raw cotton- 6 pies per lbad
Coal-tar dyes and machinery- 10 per cent ad valorem.
The Act also raised the duties on sugar inferior to $23 \mathrm{D} . \mathrm{S}$. but not inferior to ${ }^{\circ}$ 8 D. S. from $\mathbf{\# 6} 612$ to $\mathbb{7 7}-4$ per cwt ., of artificial silk yarn from 10 per cent to 15 per cent ad valorem, on artificial silk mixtures from 20 per cent to $27 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent ad valorem, on artificial silk piecegoods or other manafactures of artificial silk, on camphor, and on electric bulbs, from 20 per cent to 40 per cent ad valorem, and on printer's ink from 5 per cent to 8 per cent ad valorem. The Act further prescribed, ae an alternative to the existing duty of 20 par cent ad valorem, a minimum specific duty of 4 as. por pair on boots and shoes:

A surcharge of 25 per cent of the duty, otherwise ohargeable nnder the Indian Tariff Act, 1894, as subsequently amended, or under any of the provisions thereof read with any other enactment or with any notification of the Governor-General in Council for the time being in force, was also imposed or all goods liable to import duty, including those mentioned above except raw cotton, certain classes of dyes and colours and machinery, and all articles liable to a duty of $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent ad valorem, which are exempt from this surcharge. The surcharge is also leviable on salt, motor spirit, kerosene, and silver which are liable to an excise duty.

The Heary Chemical Industry (Protection) Act, 1931 which was passed on the 1st October 1931 gave effect to some of the recommendations of the Tariff Board in their Reports on the grant of protection to the heavy chemical industry and to the magnesium chloride industry. It removed magnesium chloride from the free list and imposed on this and certain other heary
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chemicals protective duties at various rates, with the proviso that the duty on any of these articles shall in no case be less than the duty which would be charged if the article ware included in Part , $V$ of Schedule III to the Indian Tariff Act, 1894 (i.e., 25 par cent ad valorem for the time being). These duties will, remain in force till the 31st March 1933, except in the case of magnesium chloride which shall have effect up to the 31st March 1939.- The Act also provides for the enhancement of the duty on magnesium chloride, if at any time during the currency of the Act it is found on enquiry that the protection granted to the magnesium chloride induatry has proved ineffective.

The Wheat Import Duty (Extending) Act, 1932, and the Salt Additional Import Duty (Extending) Act, 1932, which were passed during March 1932 estended the dates of operation of the temporary customs duty on wheat and wheat flour, and the temporary additional customs duty on salt to the 31st March 1933.

The Wire and Wire Nail Industry (Protection) Act, 1932 which was passed on the 5th March 1932 gave effect to the recommendations in the Tariff Board's Report on the grant of protection to the wire and wire nail industry by bringing again under the protective tariff wire, other than barbed or stranded fencing wire, wịe rope ar wire-netting, and wire nails and imposing thereon a duty of R45 per ton. These duties shall have effect up to the 31st March 1934, but they are exempt from the 25 per cent surcharge imposed by the Indian Finance (Supplementary and Extending) Act, 1931.

The Bamboo Paper Industry (Protection) Act, 1932 which was passed on the 5th March extended the dates of operation of the Bamboo Paper Industry (Protection) Acts of 1925 and 1927 to the 31st Maroh 1939. It also altered from 65 to 70 the maximum percentage of mechanical wood pulp in the fibre content of printing papar which is assessable at the protective rate under Item No. 155 of Schedule II to the Indian Tariff Act, 1894, as subsequently amended, and transferred wood pulp from the free list to the protective tariff by imposing thereon a specific duty of $\mathbf{7 4 5}$ per ton. This duty shall also remain in force till the 31st March 1939.

The Sugar Industry (Protection) Act, 1932 was passed on the 8th AprilIt transferred sugar and sugar-candy, excluding confectionery, from the nonprotective special tariff to the protective tariff. The change was more or less formal, the only immediate effect being to raise the duty on sugar below 8 D. S. and sugar-candy to the same level as that on white sugar, i.e., f7-4 per cwt., the duties on other kinds of sugar having already been enhanced under the Indian Finance Act, 1931 and the Indian Finance (Supplementary and Extending) Act, 1931. These duties shall, in the first instance, have effect up to. the 31st March 1938, but if at any time during the currency of the Act, it is found after enquiry that foreign sugar is being imported at such a price as to render the existing protection ineffective, this rate may be enhanced.

The Indian Tariff (Wireless Broadcasting) Amendment Act, 1932 was passed to provide funds to enable Government to continue wieless broadcasting in India by increasing the import duty leviable on wireless reception instruments and apparatus, and component parts thereof, including all electric valves, amplifiers and loudspeakers which are not specially designed for pur-
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poses other than wireless reception or are not original parts of and imported along with instruments or apparatus so designed, to a special non-protective rate of 50 per cent ad: valoreab. This duty is, hawever, exempt from the general 25 per cent surcharge.

In addition to the statutory changes mentioned above, the period of operation of the additional protection accorded to iron and steel galvanized aheets and articles made therefrom has been extended to the 31st March 1933; under section 3 (4) of the Indian Tariff Act. 1894:

## CHAPTER II.

## Imports of Merchandise.

The following table shows the comparative importance of the principal articles imported into British India:-

Imports:

| $\ldots$ | 1027-28 | 1028-20 | 1020-80 | 1080-81. | 1981-82 | Percentageon total Importa of mor chandife in 1081-92. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ cotton and cotton goode | 71,00,16 | 67,15,16 | 62,90,88 | 81,64,57 | 26,18,81 | 80.72 |
| Machinery and millwork | 15,98,76 | 18,36,04 | 18.21,86 | 14,94,78 | 10,92,34 | 8.64 |
| Yetaliand ores . | 28,41,48 | 26,98,84 | 28,61.01 | 15,92,26 | 9,77,35 | 774 |
| 013. | 11,08,68 | $11,68,28$ $16,08,95$ | 11,68,85 $15,77,65$ | 10,92,25 | $9,72,96$ $6,16,58$ | $7 \cdot 69$ |
| $\checkmark$ gugar : : | 7,69,87 | 11,00,60 | 10,84,78 | 7,80,58 | 4,48,47 | 88.55 |
| Inatrumenti, apparatas and appli | 4,46,52 | 4,91,72 | 6,88,20 | 4,77,47 | 8,69,20 |  |
| $\checkmark$ Provitione and oliman's etoess | 6,40,60 | 8,81,24 | 6,68,61 | 4,87,70 | 8,41,26 |  |
| - Srili rawand manufaturte. | 8,05,78 | 8,00,67 | - $4.68,48$ | 8,90,09 | 8,78,56 | 8.18 |
| Dyes. - | 2,64,55 | 8,88,81 | 2,48,81 | 2,50,00 | 2,67,65 | $2 \cdot 12$ |
| Viardwaro: | 5,24,42 | 5,23,28 | S,08,66 | 8,00,28 | 2,60,01 | $2 \cdot 06$ |
| $\checkmark$ Chemulatin patabiard | 2,64,05 $3,00,62$ | 8,77,94 | 2,78,73 $\mathbf{3}, 72,81$ | 2,61,22 | 2,68,97 | 8 |
| $\checkmark$ Pupar | 8,66,99 | 8,67,10 | 8.76,68 | 8,81,76 | 2,26,86 | 1.79 |
| Bubber | 2,71.67 | 2,88,18 | 8,88,07 | 2,68,24 | 2,29,28 | $1 \cdot 78$ |
| Eplees | 2,57,85 | 2,94,08 | 8,25,75 | 2,54,94 | 2,08,112 | $1 \cdot 65$ |
| -7rugh snd meol | 6,36,82 | 5,01,87 | 1,28,43 | 2,31,11 | 1,62,06 | 1.51 1.28 |
| Eanmey plant and rolling atook* | 4,70,87 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pruste and vegotablet | 2,01,04 | 1,68,89 | 1,89,87 | 1,48,59 | 1,84,47 | 1.08 |
| $\checkmark$ Glay and cimamaze | 2,48,41 | 2,878 | 2.61,98 | 1,04,78 | 1,91,97 | -97 |
| $\checkmark$ Grain, puise and liour | 2,91,30 | 10,74,60 | 8,69,71 $\mathbf{8 , 6 2 ,}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2,81,68}$ | 1,94,64 | -98 |
| Beap | 1,61,37 | 1,58,10 | 1,68,68 | 1,11,98 | 88,72 | -70 |
| Peapts and palnters' mat | 1,54,79 | 1,44,20 | 1,46,65 | 1,12,09 | 87,58 | -6 |
| Bullding and angloearing matar\| | 1,28,80 | 1,21,96 | 1,84, 4 | 1,09,88 | - 89,78 | -60 |
| Appasel | 1,64,45 | 1,82,99 | 1,71,24 | 1,11,18 | 81,76 | -65 |
| Arma, amminttion and milliny | 1,74,86 | $1,48,88$ 76,64 | 1,80,80 | 1,14,97 | 71,09 | $\cdot 67$ |
| $\checkmark$ Btiores |  | 1,01,59 | 1,05,06 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Boota and ahoes: | 68,99 | 68,18 | 87,81 | 88,05 | 64,08 | 61 |
| Food and timber | 81.47 | 88,40 | 1,08.54 | 89,82 | 00,69 | -48 |
| Haberdashery and mininery - | 1,26,65 | 1,84,07 | 1,04,28 | 72.08 | 54,29 | 48 |
| Bookr, printod, etto. | ${ }_{71,80}^{61,08}$ | 66,28 | 71,88 | 60,91 68.58 | 63,98 | -48 |
| Teet chesta | 87,80 | 89,11 | 80.21 | 68,68 | 80,41 | -40 |
| $\checkmark$ Tollet re | 62,85 | 64,61 | 72,68 | 53,81 | 67,80 | 88 |
| Frealous stoner and pearin, unome | 1,84,45 | 1,10,88 | 1,09,65 | 80,74 | 45,00 | -86 |
| Ton mimals, livim | 88,48 | 85,71 | 82, 69 | 80.86 | 42060 | 935 |
| $\checkmark$ Eartherware and porcolinin | 80,71 | 78,09 | 79,84 | 48,16 | 88,36 | -30 |
| $\checkmark$ Toys and requlatios for gamen | 63,82 | 68,00 | 04.84 | 40,06 | 87,04 | -20 |
| Manure | 47,08 40.98 | 78,67 | 98,65 | 67,48 | 80,05 | -29 |
| Paper maldag materalit | 40,28 | 41,51 | 44,05 | 12,07 | 85,99 | -89 |
| $\sqrt{\text { Oobblnides sind fitinge }}$ | 68,38 | 687,10 | 4,80 | 81,00 | 81,01 | -25 |
| Gums and resins. | 89,88 | 88,95 | 41,06 | 81,07 | 24,25 | -19 |
| Trallow and steartue | 28,25 | 94.68 | 81.08 | 27,28 | 20,79 | $\cdot 17$ |
| $\checkmark$ Cutiery | 88,30 | 86,87 86,04 | 81.41 | 26,05 | 20,69 | 17 |
| Pouniturs and amporate of goid and | 17,24 | 15,62 | 89,26 | 80,84 | 19,18 | -16 |
| Flisersix and menuthotures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flar rew and manusotura : | 68.6 | 39,10 | 46,65 | 34,60 | 14,28 | 14 |
| Coal and colthe cing cinoed ish). | 86,98 | 26,76 | 26,81 | 28,36 | 13,42 | -11 |
| Juts and jate grode ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | 24,11 | 26,68 | 25,20 | 18,97 | 12,78 | $\cdot 10$ |
| NMooks and wachee and parta | 87,28 |  | 23,47 10,89 | 10,86 | 11,21 | .09 |
| AIt others arisoles: | 18,01,80 | 15,20,01 |  | 10,52,80 | 2,64,81 | 7.04 |
| Torab varue ar maporis | 200,84,04 | 258,50,00 | 200,79,60 | 164,70,97 | 120,87,14 | 100 |

## Cotton Manufactures.

Cotton manufactures (P19,15, lakhs), , The total value of the imports of cotton manufactures in the year under review amounted to \#19,15 lakhs as against $\mathbf{~} 25,25$ lakhs in the preceding year and $\mathbf{7 5 9 , 4 9}$ lakhs in 1929-30. Thus, there was a decline of 24 per cent as compared with 1930-31 and 68 per cent as compared , with 1929-30. Imports of cotton twist and yarn amounted to $31 \cdot 6$ million libs. valued at $\mathbf{~} 2,99$ lakhs as against $29 \cdot 1$ million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{f} 3,08$ lakhs in the preceding year and 43.9 million lbs. with a declared value of $\mathbf{} 7,00$ lakhs in 1929-30. The decline in value as compared with the preceding year was, therefore, 3 per cent and 50 per cent as compared with 1929-30. Imports of piecegoods in the year under review were 776 million yards valued at f14,67 lakhs as compared with 890 million yards valued at $\not \approx 20,05$ lakhs in 1930-31 and 1,919 million yards valued at $\not 750,25$ lakhs in 1929-30. i The decrease in yardage as compared with the preceding year was, therefore, 13 per cent, but as compared with 1929-30 it was 60 per cent. These figures show that the further decline in the year under review was of smaller dimensions than the huge fall of the preceding year 1930-31. Naturally, this was to be expected as the figures of the preceding year showed the first large effects of such abnormal causes as the reduced purchasing power of the consumers and the boycott. . As compared with 1929-30, the last fairly normal year, the fall is seen to be of enormous magnitude both in quantity and value.

The causes which were given in the previous issue of this Review of Trade to explain the enormous fall in the imports of cotton manufactures in 1930-31 as compared with the preceding year continued in operation in the year under review. In the main they are (a) the lowered purchasing power of the consumer in India and (b) the political situation in the country. Added to these also, there were considerable increases in the import tariff on cotton manufactures in the Budget of 1931 and in the emergency Budget of September 1931 and further the effect of the increased internal production in Indian mills must not be forgotton. It is difficult, however, by analysis to apportion the separate effect of each of these factors. There is no point in comparing the figures of 1931-32 with those of 1930-31, as the latter was also an abnormal year which suffered from the causes mentioned above. In order, therefore, to gauge the full effect of these causes in 1930-31 and 1931-32 a comparison may be made of the import figures of the year under review with those of 1929-30 which was the most recent comparatively normal year, i.e., the year in which both the lowered purchasing power and the boycott were absent. Throughout the following paragraphs, therefore, where the effects are discussed the year 1929-30 will be used for purposes of comparison. If the parcentage decline in the case of cotton piecegoods imports in the year under review as against 1929-30 is compared with the percentage decline in the case of other articles in general, the comparison will give some idea of the effect of the boycott in the case of cotton piecegoods importa. Elsewhere it has been seen that the total decline in the value of imports of all commodities into India in 1931-32 as compared with 1929-30 amounted to $\mathbf{R 1 1 4 , 4 3}$ lakhs or 47.5 par cent, whereas the decline in the case of cotton manufactures for the same period amounted to P40,33 lakhs or 68 per cent. In comparison with these figures the decline in value under machinery was 40 per cent, under sugar 61 per cent, under metals 59 per cent, under hardware including cutlery and instruments 42 per cent, under vehicles 58 par cent and under chemicals

## Imports:

and drugs, eto., 13 per cent. Taking the effects of other causes on the various classes as of the same order of magnitude, it will this be seen that the magnitude of the decline in value of imports of cotton manafactures was greater than that in the case of other articles. Actually, in the case of sugar the increase in the import tariff was much heavier than for cotton manufactures, while vehicles including motor cars are luxury articles and are more sensitive to trade depression. It may be surmised, therefore', that the boycott, which was aimed most directly at imported cotton piecegoods; was responsible, to some considerable extent, for the reduction of imports under the head of cotton manufactures. On the other hand, however, there can be. no doubt whatever that a large part of the decline was due to the reduced purchasing power of the consumer in India and a part was probably due to the enhanced customs duties levied on this class of goods in 1931. The effect of the boycott may be studied first.

The table below gives the imports of cotton twist and yarn for the three years 1929-30 to 1931-32 from the United Kingdom; Japan and other countries:-

Imports of cotton twist and yarn.


From this table it will be seen that the imports of cotton twist and yarn déclined from 43.9 million lbs. in 1929-30 to 31 :6 tatillion lbs. in 1931-32, a decline of 12.3 millibn lbs. or 27.3 per cent. The imports of this year were, however, slightly kigher in quantity than those ib the preceding year, i.e., 1930-31. In value the decline was over fl crores as compared with 1929-30. The greater decline in value over the decline in quantity was due to the lower declared value per lb . Which amourited to $\mathrm{Pl} 0-15-2 \mathrm{p}$. in the year under review as compared with 71-0-11p: in 1930-31 and R1-5-10p. in 1929-30. This great fall in the imports of cotton twist and yarn as compared with the comparatively normal year 1929-90, especially in view of the faot that the Indian mill production of the year was the highest on record, can be explained, to some extent, on the hypbthesis that cloth made of foreign yarn was boycotted. The table shows that the decline in the imports was heavier in the case of imports from United Kingdom than in those imported from the other countries. In 1929-30 the United Kingdom sent 20 million lbs. of yarn, whereas in 1931-32 she sent a little under 12 million lbs., a drop of 8 million lbs, or 40 per cent. The other courtries, inclading Japan, sent 23.8 million lbs. in 1929-30, whereas their share in 1931-32 amounted to 19.7 million lbs., a drop of $4 \cdot 1$ million lbs. or 17 per cent.

## Cotton Manufactures.

The reduction in the imports of yarn was more than fully compensated by a larger home production. The total production of yarn during 1931-32 amounted to 966 million $\frac{l}{\text { brs. as a }}$ asainst 867 million 1 lbs , in $1930-31$ and 834 million lbs. in 1929-30, It will be noticed that the production figure of yarn for 1931-32 constitutes a new record greatly exceeding the previous record figure of 1930:31.

The imports of cotton piecegoods, including fents, decreased from 1,919 million yapds in 1929-30 and 890 million yards in $1930-31$ to 776 million yards in 1931-32, a decline of 60 per cent as compared with 1929-30 and 13 per cent as compared with $1930-31$. The decline in value was from $\mathrm{A} 50,25$ lakhs in 1929-30 and \#20,05 lakhs in 1930-31 to R14,67 lakhs in the year under feview, a decrease of nearly 71 per cent as compared with 1929-30. The table below gives the imports of cotton piecegoods upder the three main heads, grey, white and coloured for the three years from 1929-30 :-

| Year - | Grey <br> goods. | White goods | Coloured goods | Total piecogood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1929-30' | 926 | - 474 | 483 | 1,919 |
| 1930-31 | 365 | .. 272 | 246 | 890 |
| 1031-32 | , 249 | $\therefore 288{ }^{\circ}$ | 223 | 778 |

- These figures yield the following percentage variations. Imports of grey goods in 1931-32 declined by 73 per centi as compared with 1929-30 and by 32 per cent as compared with , 1930-31. Imports of white goods for the same period declined by 41 per cent as compared with 1929-30, but incressed by 3 per cent as compared with 1930-31. The decline in the case of coloured goods as compared with 1929-30 was 54 per cent and as compared with 1930-31 9 per cent. The largest decline, therefore, was under grey goods. The total decline in the quantity of imports of piecegoods amounted to 1,143 million yards as compared with 1929-30 and 114 million yards as compared with 7930-31:-

The table below shows that the imports of grey goods from the United Kingdom were 521 million yards in 1929-30. In 1931-32 they had fallen to 60 million yards, a decline of 461 million yands or 88 per oent. Even as compared with the low figure of $1930-31$, there is a decline of 83 million yards.

Imports from Japan for the same period declined from 394 million yards to 185 million yands, a decrease of 209 million yards or 53 per cent. The decline in the case of Japan as compared with 1930-31 is of very small dimensions, tiz., 33 million yards. From these figures it will be seen that the imports from the United Kingdom declined to a far greater extent than the imports from Japan.

As regards white goods the decline in the case of the United Kingdom was from 436 million yards in 1929-30 to 207 million yards in 1931-32, a decline of 229 million yands or 53 per cent. The decline in 1931-32 as compared with the preceding year was only 23 million yards. On the other hand, imports from Japan increased from 14 million yands in 1929-30 to 28 million yards in 1930-31 nd to 60 million yards in 1931-32.

## Imports.

Under coloured goods imports from the United Kingdom declined from 279 million yards in 1929-30 to 110 million yards in 1931-32, a decline of 169 million yards or 61 per cent. Even as compared with 1930-31 the decline ii the year under review was 38 million yards or 26 per cent. Imports from Japan under this head amounted to 95 million yards in the year under review as compared with 74 million yards in 1930-31 and 154 million yards in 1929-30. Thus compared with 1929-30 the decline was 38 per cent, but compared with 1930-31 there was an increase of 28 per cent. Imports from other countries declined still further in the year ander review as compared with the small figure of the preceding year. It may be mentioned in this connection that the duty on white and coloured goods of British manufacture was lower than the duty on similar goods from other countries by 5 per cent up to September 1931 and by $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent during the subsequent months.

| Imperte of cotton piecocooda | $\begin{gathered} 1029- \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { uentity } \\ \ddots \\ \hline \\ \hline 1080- \\ 81 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1029- \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1080 \\ 81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1081 \\ 82 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yde. } \\ & \text { MIIl: } \\ & \text { Hons } \end{aligned}$ | (M1). <br> Hons) | Yds: (M12- Hons) | 1. |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Ras } \\ (\text { Iaths } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Ba} \\ (\mathrm{arbs}) \end{array}\right.$ | (lakhb) |  | : |
| Trom- - ${ }^{\text {crey. . }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | - - |  |  | $\because$ - | $\rightarrow$ - |
| Unitad KIngiom | 621 | 148 | - 60 | -58 | -88 | 11,76 | 2,81 | 08. | -68 | -98 |
| Japan : . | 804 | 218 | 185 | -15 | -58 | 8,01 | 8,98 | 2,89 | -87 | -68 |
| Other countrien | 11 | 4 | 4 | $\cdots$ | -64 | 28 | 8 | 7 | -18 | -78 |
| Total | 086 | 885 | 240 | -82 | -73 | 20,98 | 6,87 | 8,02 | -48 | -81 |
| From- Fika. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Kingion | 486 | 280 | 207 | -10 | .-68 | 12.08 | 8,28 | 4,02 | -28 | -67 |
| Jopar - | 14 | 28 | 6 | +114 | +838 | 88 | 51 | 98 | +88 | +188 |
| Other counteles | 21 | 14 | 18 | -7 | -46 | 98 | 49 | 88 | -19 | -69 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 474 | 272 | 280 | +8 | -11 | 18,28 | 6,21 | 5,88 | -14 | -60 |
| From- <br> Ooloured. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Uplted Kingiom. | 78 | 148 | 110 | -26 | -62 | 9,50 | 4,48 | 2,85 | -86 | -70 |
| Japan | 165 | 74 | 95 | +28 | -88 | 8,46 | 1,4 | 1,08 | +18 | -58 |
| Other conntries | 60 | 24 | 18 | -25 | $\sim_{\text {- }}$ | 2,20 | 00 | 67 | -87 | -74 |
| Total | 483 | 246 | 223 | -8 | -34 | 15,15 | 8,82 | 6,05 | $-28$ | -67 |
| Totel dotlot prougnods. |  |  |  |  | , | - |  |  |  |  |
| Share of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bengal | 857 | 845 | 226 | -86 | -74 | 20,85 | 6,86 | 8,08 | -48 | -81 |
| Bombey - | 518 | 181 | 171 | -6 | -67 | 14,05 | 4,87 | 8,85 | - 88 | -76 |
| gind . | 808 | 184 | 818 | +18 | -85 | 7,65 | 4.00 | 4,08 | -1 | -47 |
| Medran | 107 | 76 | -68 | -18 | 4 | 8,59 | 1,87 | 1,27 | -88 | -6 |
| Burma . - | 145 | 104 | 90 | -8 | 8i | 4,81 | 2,89 | 2,10 | -89 | -68 |
| Total | 1,010 | 890 | 776 | $-18$ | -60 | 50,25 | 20,06 | 14,07 | -87 | -71 |

## Cotton .Manufactures.

India is essentially a price market and with the lowered purchasing power of the consumer this characteristic of the market has been still further accentuated. In examining the course of the relative shares of the United Kingdom and Japar in the import trade it is of importance to compare the prices of similar qualities from the two sources. The table which follows gives the deolared values of cotton piecegoods under grey, white and coloured as imported from these two countries. It is, of course, appreciated that these figures do not necessarily represent prices for similar qualities, particularly under white and coloured; but in the case of grey it is probable that the difference in quality is not very great.

Declared values of imported piecegoods.
(Rapeal par yard)


It will be seen from this table that at the beginning of the year the difference in the declared values for grey goods from the United Kingdom and Japan was of 25 points. By May the difference had fallen to only 13 points and in July it had narrowed down to only 3 points. In August, however, the price of grey goods from the United Kingdom increased considerably and the difference became one of 19 points. From that time onwards the difference declined till in November Japan actually showed an excess of 4 points as compared with the United Kingdom. This result was due to England going off the gold standard and Japan still holding to it. By December the difference had widened to 19 points against Japan, but by January 1932 Japan reduced the margin to 15 points and in February it was only 7 points. In March 1932 Japan again regained her advantage and her price was 9 points less than that of the United Kingdom. This tendency in the last three months of the fiscal year resulted from Japan's departure from the gold standard, a step which balanced

## Imports.

the advantage accruing to the United Kingdom in September: As regards white goods the difference was 27 points in April 1931 in Japan's favour.... From that. time onwards till August the difference was generally in the range of 22 to 27 points. From October the price of United Kingdom white goods. was increasing, whereas that of goods from Japan remained round about 150 points. This again gave an advantage to imports from Japan.. Similar remarks may, also be made in the case of coloured goods. These relative prices of goods from various countries have the most important bearing on the consideration. of the transfer of trade from one country to another even in :normal times and their importance is greatly enhanced during a period when the purchasing power of the consumer has fallen in a marked degree, as has been the case in India in the last two or three years.

The enormous fall in the imports of piecegoods was largely counterbalanced in several ways. The production of Indian mills in 1931-32 increased considerably as compared with the preceding year or 1929-30. The table below shows the production of Indian mills, month by month, from April 1929 :-
(In thousand yarde)


From this table it will be seen that the production of Indian mills in 1931-32 was 2,990 million yards as compared with 2,561 million yards in 1930-31 and 2,419 million yards in 1929-30, thus showing an increase of 429 million yards as compared with 1930-31 and 571 million yards as compared with 1929-30. This larger production helped to cause the fall in imports as well as to fill the

## Cotton Marufactures.

gap created thereby. There is also the possibility that the impetus given to hand-spinning and handloom weaving by the civil disobedience movement may have aided in reducing the deficit resulting from smaller imports. The stocks of piecegoods with the Indian mills on the lat April 1932 were 382 million yards, an increase of 64 million yards as compared with those on the corresponding date of the preceding year.

It may be mentioned in this connection that the mills in all parts of India shared in this increase in production. The table given below shows the production of cotton piecegoods in Bombay Island, Ahmedabad and the rest of India :-


It will be seen from the above table that, except in the months of October and November 1931, the mills in the Bombay Island were manufacturing piecegoods at a comparatively high rate. The production of 1931-32 in the Bombay Island was 1,217 million yards as against 968 million yards in the previous year and 889 million yards in 1929-30, showing an increase of 249 million yards or 26 per cent as compared with the preceding year and 328 million yards or 37 per cent as compared with 1929-30. For the same period the Ahmedabad mills produced 781 million yards as against 692 million yards in the preceding year and 649 million yards in 1929-30, showing an increase of 89 and 132 million yards or 13 and 20 per cent respectively. Production in 1931-32 for the rest of India amounted to 992 million yards as compared with 902 million yards in the preceding year and 881 million yards in 1929-30, thus showing an increase of 90 million yards and 111 million yards or 10 and 13 per cent respectively. Thus, the increase in production was highest in the Bombay Island and exceeded the increase in production of the rest of India, including Ahmedabad.

From the details given below it will be seen that the figures of imports of piecegoods in the first five months of the year were of smaller magnitude

## Imports.

as compared with the year 1930-31, butin the last three months of the year imports appear to have spurted up to some extent. The table below shows the monthly imports of piecegoods (excluding fents) in millions of yards


There were fewer hartals in the year under review except in the last three months when the civil disobedience movement was re-started. Throughout the year, however, the shadow of uncertainty hung over the piecegoods market with continual talk of resumption of civil disobedience ; and those merohants. Who bad burnt their fingers in 1930 ware unwilling to undertake any. risks by importing piecegoods from abroad. Even though violent picketing was discontinued in March 1931, yet picketing of a type did continue in the up-country markets and the sentiment against foreign piece-goods abated little throughout the year. From the beginning of 1932, with the resumptionof the civil disobedience movement, picketing was re-started. The effect of this, however, is difficult to measure at this stage, as in all probability it will affect only the orders placed with the manufacturers in the last quarter of the financial year and will, therefore, only appear in the trade figures of 1932-33.

The more important factor in the explanation of the reduction in imports of cotton piecegoods is the lowered purchasing power of the consumer in India. As has been pointed out in Chapter I, the heavy fall in the prices of India's staple products continued throughout the year under review and seriously affected the purchasing power of the ryot, thereby, to a great extent, reducing the demand for such imports as were more in the nature of comforts and lusuries than of absolute necessities. It is interesting to note that the value of India's exports, which go to pay for her jimports, was reduced considerably in the year under review. Thus, the decline in value in the case of exports of raw cotton alone was nearly f 42 crores in the year under review as compared with 1929-30 and 723 crores as compared with 1930-31. Similarly, raw jute showed a decline of nearly Al 6 crores as compared with 1929-30,

## Cotton Manufactures.

though only a decrease of 安童 crores as compared with 1930-31. Exports of jute manufactures were down by $\mathbf{R 3 0}$ crores as compared with 1929-30 and by R10 crores on 1930-31. Exports of grains, pulse and flour give a decline of \#114 crores as compared with $1929-30$ and $¥ 9 \frac{1}{2}$ crores as compared with 193031. Tea showed a decline of $\mathfrak{Z 6 \frac { 1 } { 2 }}$ crores as compared with 1929-30, oilseeds a decline of nearly $¥ l 2$ crores on 1929-30, raw hides and skins $\mathrm{P} 4 \frac{1}{3}$ crores and lac $\mathrm{7L} 5$ crores. The total decline in the value of exports of these articles, therefore, amounts to over 2129 crores as compared with 1929-30. Without any shadow of doubt this vital change was bound to affect the purchasing power of the ryot most seriously and he had, therefore, no alternative but to reduce his purchases among which cotton piecegoods occupy an important position.

The imports of the year were probably also affected, to some extent, by the increased duties on cotton piecegoods which found place in the Government of India Budget of March 1931 and the emergency Buaget of September 1931. The Budget of March 1931 raised the duties from 20 per cent in the case of cotton piecegoods of other than British manufacture and 15 per cent in the case of cotton piecegoods of British manufacture to 25 and 20 per cent respectively. These duties were further enhanced in September 1931 by the imposition of a general surcharge of 25 per cent of the tariff rates in force at the time. These revenue duties superimposed on the protective duties afforded considerably enhanced protection to the Indian mill industry which was not slow to take advantage of it, as can be seen from the production figures quoted above and it would appear, therefore, that the higher import duties also affected the imports of piecegoods, especially under greys.

Another factor introducing difficulties in the cotton industry both in England and India was the phenomenal fall in raw cotton prices till September 1931 and the uncertainty introduced in prices after September as a result of the suspension of the gold standard in both the countries. The price of American Middling at Liverpool was about 6d. par lb. at the beginning of March 1931: By the beginning of April it had fallen to 5.76d. Prices went on falling till the third week of June when the quotation was $4.75 d$. on the 19th of June, The Hoover Moratorium declared at this time sent up prices to some extent and on June 26th the quotation was $5 \cdot 43 d$., while by the first week of July it had risen to $5 \cdot 48 d$. This rally was, however, of short duration and prices began to decline almost immediately till by the 20th of August they had fallen to $3 \cdot 60 d$., the lowest on recond since 1894. On the 21st September England went off the gold standard and the price had risen to $5 \cdot 19 d$. at the end of that month. This appeared, however, to be a speculative movement, as by the following week the price had fallen again to $4.31 d$. From that time with slight variations prices rose till the middle of February when the quotation was $5 \cdot 95$ d. The last month of the year, however, saw a slight relapse from this level.

Prices in India followed prices in England, but the fall was smaller in dimension and the rise greater. Thus, Indian cotton increased in parity as compared with American cotton. The continuous fall in the first half of the year made the buyer unwilling to place larger orders than were absolutely essential. The fall in prices meant a depreciation of piecegoods atock and orders were, therefore, restricted to the smallest amounte. The suspension of the gold standard, though it led to a rise in prices, added a factor of uncertainty to the price position and the full benefit of the rise could not be secured to
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the trade. It may, however, be remarked that the industry in general has certainly profited to some extent by the auspension of the gold standard and the consequent rise in prices.

Another factor which explains the reduced imports under cotton piecegoods is that coloured, printed and dyed goods have been replaced, to some extent, by piecegoods of artificial silk. It may be noted that Japan has been exporting to India very large quantities of artificial silk piecegoods at phenomenally low prices. By exporting artificial silk cloths in bright qualities and attractive designs at prices as low as 4 to 5 annas per yard the Japanese are gradually effecting a revolation in fashion in favour of artificial silk as against printed and dyed cotton goods. Imports of artificial silk piecegoods in the year under review amounted to 85 million yards as compared with 51 million yards in the preceding year, an increase of 34 million yards. This increase is all the more noticeable because imports under other sorts of piecegoods have declined considerably in the year under review. These imports, therefore, to some extent explain the fall in imports under coloured cotton piecegoods into India:

The table below gives the annual quantity of mill-made cotton piecegoods available for consumption in each of the last thirty years and also the annual per capita consumption in yards (allowing for variation in population) from year to year.

Consumption per capits of mill-made cotion piecegoods in India.


It will be seen that the net imports of piecegoods declined from 190 crores of yards in 1929-30 to 76 crores of yards in 1931-32, On the other hand. the net available mill production went up from 229 crores of yards in 1929-30 to 288 crores of yards in 1931-32. The total jardage available for consumption

## Cotton Manufactures．

in 1931－32 thus amounted to 364 crores of yards．In 1929－30 the quantity svailable was 419 crores of yards．Thus the quantity available for consumption in the year under review was 55 crores of yards less than in 1929－30，though is was 31 crores of yards more than in 1930－31．The reduction was，however， wholly concentrated on imported piecegoods．

The following table shows the gross imports by countries of consignment， and the production in India，of cotton piecegoods in millions of yards：－

Gross imports by countries of consignment，and production in India，of cotton piecegoods in millions of yards．

|  | － |  | 昜 | 者 | 骨最 | 号 | 告 | 告 | 晨 | 晈 <br> 旦 <br> 7 <br> $\frac{1}{0}$ <br> 1 |  | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1901009 | －． | 2.154 | 18． | 4 | 7 | $\cdots$ | － | $\cdots$ | 13 | 2，100 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 1902－08 | －• | 2.071 | 18 | 8 | 8 |  |  |  | 18 | 8，107 | ．． | $\cdots$ |
| 4008－04 | －－ | 1，097 | 18 | 4 | 6 | － | $\because$ | \＃＇ | 18 | 2，038 | － | －＊ |
| 1904－05 | －－ | 2，251 | 18 | 4 | 4 | $\cdots$ | － | － | 16 | 2，288 | 678 | 2，000 |
| 1905－06 | － | 2，416 | 10 | 6 | 10 |  |  |  | 17 | 2，468 |  | ＊＊ |
| 1908－07 | －－ | 2，276 | 16 | 5 | 8 | ．－ | $\cdots$ |  | 18 | 2，818 | $\cdots$ | － |
| －1907－08 | －－ | 2，487 | 16 | 7 | 4 | － | － | － | 18 | 2，68\％ | 808 | 8，840 |
| 1008－09 |  | 1，941 | 28 | 4 | 9 | 6 | － | ． | 10 | 1，908 | 824 | 2，817 |
| 1909－10 | －－ | 2，141 | 28 | 4 | 10 | 5 | －＊ | ＊ | 10 | 2，109 | 064 | 8，157 |
| 1910－11 |  | 2，852 | 2 | 8 | 8 | c | － | － | 19 | 2，808 | 1，048 | 8，851 |
| 1011－18 | －• | 2，379 | 28 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 1 | $\bullet \cdot$ | 15 | 2，488 | 1，186 | 8，574 |
| ${ }^{7} 912 \cdot 18$ |  | 2，942 | 28 | 18 | 10 | 5 | 6 | － | 16 | 8，003 | 1，220 | 4，248 |
| 2018－14 | －－ | \＄，104 | 25 | 88 | 10 | 0 | 9 | － | 50 | 8，107 | 1，106 | 4，801 |
| 1014－15 | － | 2，378 | 81 | 10 | 18 | 8 | 18 | －＊ | 6 | 8，446 | 1，186 | 8.58 ？ |
| 2016－16 | － | 8，040 | \＄1 | 13 | 17 | 4 | 30 | － | 5 | 2，148 | 1，448 | 8，500 |
| 1016－17 | ＊．． | 1，788 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 100 | 1 | 5 | 1，094 | 1，578 | 8，518 |
| 1017－18 |  | 1，480 | 6 | 7 | 18 | － 1 | 05 | 1 | ＊ | 1，560 | 1，614 | 8，170 |
| 2918－19 | － | 867 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 888 | $\cdots$ | 8 | 1，19＊ | 1，451 | 2，578 |
| 1010－00 | － | 970 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 76 | － | 8 | 1，081 | 1，640 | 2，981 |
| 1080．21 | －－ | 1，302 | 18 | 16 | 18 | 4 | 170 | 1 | 7 | 1，610 | 1，581 | 3，092 |
| 1091－28 | － | 985 | 18 | 8 | 28 | 1 | 00 | 1 | 6 | 1，090 | 1，783 | 1，93 |
| 1922－88 | － | 1，458 | 18 | － | 8 | 8 | 109 | 8 | 5 | 2，598 | 1，725 | 3，818 |
| 1028－24 | ＊ | 1，810 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 123 | － | 7 | 1，488 | 1，708 | 8，183 |
| 1094－85 | －． | 1，614 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 156 | 5 | 11 | 1，828 | 1，070 | 8，508 |
| 1925－28 | － | 1，287 | 16 | 11 | 15 | 7 | 817 | 8 | 9 | 1，564 | 1，056 | 8，518 |
| 1926－87 | － | 1，487 | 20 | 17 | 10 | 18 | 84 | 2 | 10 | 1，788 | 2，859 | 4，047 |
| 1987－28 | － | 1，543 | 20 | 28 | 28 | 15 | 828 | 7 | 11 | 1，078 | 3，857 | 4，850 |
| 1029－20 | －• | 1，456 | 20 | 88 | 80 | 11 | 857 | 18 | 18 | 1，987 | 1，808 | 8，880 |
| 1920－80 | － | 1，248 | 28 | 25 | 88 | 10 | 502 | 10 | － | 1，019 | 2，419 | 4，888 |
| 1030－81 | － | 583 | 12 | 10 | 9 | － | 381 | 2 | － | 090 | 2,501 | 8，484 |
| 1091－32 | － | 883 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 840 | 4 | 4 | 776 | 2，000 | 8，76\％ |

## imports.

The table shows that the 1931-32 imports from the United Kingdomdeclined by 68 per cent as compared with 1929-30 and those from Japan fell by 38 per cent for the same period. The greater portion of the decline in imports was at the cost of the United Kingdom. The mill production, asremarked above, went up considerably in 1931-32 and was a record figurein the whole series.

The value of the different classes of cotton manufactures imported during the past five years and the pre-war year 1913-14 is set forth below:-


The imports of cotton twist and yarn amounted to 31.6 million lbs. in quantity and $\not \mathbf{7 2 , 9 9}$ lakhs in value in 1931-32 as compared with 29 million lbs. and $\mathrm{R} 3,08$ lakhs in 1930-31 and $43 \cdot 9$ million lbs. and $\mathrm{f} 6,00$ lakhs in 1929-30. The quantity of yarn imported in the year under review increased by nearly $2 \frac{1}{2}$ million lbs. as compared with the previous year, though there was a decline in value of R 9 lakbs. As compared with 1929-30, however, there was a decrease of $\mathbf{1 2 . 3}$ million lbs. or 27 per cent, the decline in value being over A 3 crores or 50 per cent. The average declared value per lb . of yarn imported during the year was $\mathrm{f0} 0-15-2$ as compared with $\mathrm{P1} 10-11$ in 1930-31 and $\mathrm{Pl} 1-5-10$ in 1929-30. Of the total imports, 11.9 million lbs. came from the United Kingdom, $13 \cdot 2$ million lbs. from China and 6.2 million lbs. from Japan. Imports from these countries in $1929-30$ were 20.1 million lbs., 10.6 million lbs. and 10.9 million lbs. respectively. The imports from the United Kingdom decreased by a little over 8 million lbs. as compared with 1929-30, though they showed an increase of about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ million lbs. as compared with 1930-31. Imports from. Japan showed a decline of about 41 million lbs. as compared with 1929-30 and

## Cotton Manufactures.

half a million lbs. as compared with 1930-31, whereas imports from China showed an increase of 2.7 million lbs. as compared with 1929-30 and 1.5 million lbs. as compared with 1930-31. The year, therefore, still showed a considerable decline in the imports from the United Kingdom as compared with 1929-30, although it showed a slight increase over 1930-31. There was a slight decrease in the case of Japan also, but as mills in Chins are largely owned by Japanese interests, the reduction in the case of Japan is of less importance to har as China has increased her exports by about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ million lbs. compared with 1929:30. Other sources of imports of yarn into India included Italy ( $142,000 \mathrm{lba}$ ) and Switzerland ( $51,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.). Imports from other countries amounted to 47,000 lbs.

The following table gives the imports of foreign yarn and the production of yarn in Indian mills for a series of years:-

|  | Import | Indian mill production |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - $\quad$. |  |  |
|  | Ib. $(1,000)$ | Ibe. (1,000) |
| Annual average- |  |  |
| Five years ending 1908-09 | 38,573 | 641,776 |
| " " $\quad$ " 1918-14 (pre-war period) | 41,794 | 646,757 |
| \# \% \% $\%$ 1918-18 (mar period) .. | 84,063 | 668,227 |
| " $\quad$ " 1923-24 . . | 44,681 | 682,512 |
| " $\#$ 1028-29 | 80,626 | 734,034 |
| Year 1918-14 (pro-mar) | - ${ }^{\text {- }} 44,171$ | 682,777 |
| , 1916-17 | 20,530 | 681,107 |
| \% 191718 | 19,400 | 660,576 |
| * 1918-19 | 38,085. | 615,041 |
| * 1918-20 | - 15,097 | 635,760 |
| * 1920-21 | - 47,333 | 680,003 |
| \# 1921-22 | 67,125 | 693,572 |
| * 1922-23 | $\therefore 59,274$ | 705,894 |
| - 1923-24 | 44,575 | 617,329 |
| $\cdots$ 1024-25 $\quad$ - | 85,907 | 718,390 |
| * 1925-26 - | 61,688 | 686,427 |
| - 1926-27 | 49,425 | 807,116 |
| \% 1927-28 | 62,345 | 808,940 |
| * 1828-28 | 43,766 | 648,296 |
| * 1929-30 | 43,882 | 833,660 |
| - 1930-81 | 29,140 | 867,279 |
| * 1931-32 . . . . . | 31,675 | 906,407 |

The production of yarn in Indian mills reached another record figure in the year under review amounting to 966 million lbs. This surpassed the preceding year's record figure of 867 million lbs. by 99 million lbs. The increase in the production of yarn was due to two causes. Firstly, the boycott agitation was directed even against piecegoods produced in India from foreign yarn. This induced the Indian mills to increase their yarn production in order to meet the increased demsnd. Secondly, owing to the boycott, imports of piecegoods of finer counts coming from foreign countries were considerably reduced and in order to meet the demand the mills in India increased their production of yarn of higher counts. This will be seen from the following

## Imports.

table which gives the imports and production under various counts for a number of years:-

|  |  |  | 1028-29 |  | 1020-90 |  | $\cdots 1830-81^{\prime}$ |  | $1081-82$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imparta | Productlom | Imports | $\begin{gathered} \text { Produce } \\ \text { Ilon } \end{gathered}$ | Importa | Producthon | Imports | Production | Imparts | Produetion |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbe. } \\ (1, d c o) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{ba} . \\ (1,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ibe . } \\ (1,000) \end{gathered}$ | Ibn $(1,000)$ | Ibe. $(1,000)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{lbs} \\ & (1,0 c 0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{ba} . \\ (1,000) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ibs. } \\ & \text { (1,000) } \end{aligned}$ | tbs. $(1,000)$ |
| Cotton twiat and yarb- |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fer. 1 to 80 | 1,254 | 482,003 | 1,098 | 882,024 | 1;047 | 493,888 | 456 | 518,789 | 808 | 362,141 |
| ." 21 to 35 | 806 | 128,098 | 548 | 140,175 | 290 | 181,215 | 251 | 168,844 | 297 | 185,887 |
| * ze to so | 3,686 | 42,989 | 228 | 72,888 | 895 | 10,879 | 227 | 98,846 | 588 | 108,068 |
| , 81 to 40 | 29,657 | 18,712 | 19,987 | 87,488 | 20,050 | 46,865 | 14,765 | 60,747 | 14,808 | 71,078 |
| Above No. 40 | 7,830 | 2,699 | 9,881 | 10,029 | 2,018 | 18,978 | . 1,278 | \%7,811 | 5,252 | 34,002. |
| Two-folds (doubles) | - | - | 12,604 | - | 18,058 | - | 0,170 |  | 10,098 | - |
| Unspeedfied dr. wantptioni and | 6,819(a) | 679 | 26 | , 5,742 | 84 | 6,710 | 10 | 5,708 | $\therefore 19$ | 8,28\% |
|  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  | =-2 |  |  |  |
| Tozai | 84,172 | 682,777 | 48,768 | 648,296 | 48,822 | 888,560 | 29,140 | 807,270 | 81,675 | 066,407 |

(a) Inoludes white twist eme yarn which commenced to to ahown ceparately by counta from Apri, 1087.

Comparing 1931-32 with the previous year, imports of counts 1-20 declined from $454,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $308,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. The production of yarn of the same coints in the Indian mills increased from 514 million lbs. to 562 million lbs. which is a record figure and beats the previous record of $1926-27$ by nearly 46 million lbs. In counts $21-25$ imports amounted to 227,000 lbs. as compared with: $251,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in the preceding year, whereas production amounted to 186 million. lbs. as compared with 166 million lbs. in the preceding year. In counts 26-30. the imports showed an increase from $227,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $523,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. Production: also increased from 93 million lbs. to 108 million lbs. Under counts $31-40$ the imports were approximately the same as in the previous year, that is, a little over 14 million lbs., whereas production went up from 61 million lbs. to 71 million lbs. Similarly, in counts above 40 production went up from 27 million lbs. to 34 million lbs. and even the imports under these counts showed an increase from 4 million lbs. to 5 million lbs. It will be seen, therefore, that in counts 31-40 and above 40 production increased by 17 million lbs., whereas the imports increased by about a million lbs. only. It may be remarked that most of the production figures are record figures. As regards two-folds. (doubles), the imports increased from 9 million lbs. to a little under 11 million lbs. Table No. 15 -C summarises the position of the countries from which imports are derived in the four main classes, viz., 1-20, 31-40, above 40 and twofolds. The figures are given for three years.

The share of the United Kingdom in the total trade in cotton twist and yarn increased from 35 per cent in 1930-31 to 38 per cent in 1931-32. This was,

## Cotton Piecegoods.

however, considerably less than in 1929-30 when it was 46 per cent. Japan's share also went down from 24 per cent to 20 per cent. On the other hand, Chins increased her share from 40 per cent to 42 per cent. As was remarked in the last year's Review, most of the imports from China are from mills under Japanese management and Japanese manufacturers are probably finding it more advantageous to export yarn to India from the mills in China than from those in Japan. If this surmise is correct, imports from sources controlled by Japan would amount in the year under review to 62 per cent which is only less by 2 per cent than the corresponding figures of the preceding year. The shares of the important countries in the imports of cotton twist and yarn for a series of years are given below :-

Percentage shares of the United Kingdom, Japan and China in the importe of cotton twist and yarn.

|  | $1 \begin{gathered}1018 \\ 14\end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{1028}$ | ${ }^{1024}$ | ${ }^{1026}$ | ${ }^{1029} 8$ | ${ }^{1087} 8$ | ${ }^{1085} 8$ | 1090. | ${ }^{\text {2030- }}$ | ${ }_{82}^{1981}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ualtod Eiliogdom | 86 | 50 | ${ }^{37}$ | 82 | 41 | 30 | 68 | ${ }^{6}$ | 85 | 38 |
| Japan . - | 2 | 46 | 67 | ${ }^{65}$ | 54 | 22 | 17 | 25 | 24 | 20 |
| CWInk (thaludibg Hongtiong) : | $\cdots$ | * | .. | .. | 2 | 25 | 20 | 98 | $\infty$ | * |

The quantities of the different descriptions of cotton twist and yarn imported are set forth below.:-

| - | ${ }_{\substack{\text { (pre-wh } \\ \text { jeas) }}}^{\text {1912-14 }}$ | 1024-25 | 1026-26 | 1026-27 | 1027-28 | 1928-29 | 1029-20 | 1980-81 | 1081-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 lbe. | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 10.000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Groy(unblesuhed) |  | \{41,277 | 87,958 | 35,765 | 30,144 | 30,704 | 28,521 | 19,898 | 21,458 |
| White (bleached) | 19,087 | (3,427 | 8,751 | 4,068 | 4,818 | 6,068 | 8,870 | 2,075 | 8,060 |
| coloured . | 18,805 | 8,488 | 7,107 | 5,870 | b,976 | 8,891 | 4,826 | 1,732 | 1,408 |
| Hercasisod. | - | 3,684 | 2,845 | 4.180 | 5,865 | 4,077 | 8.722 | 3,528 | 6,066 |

The imports of cotton piecegoods, including fents, decreasea from 1,919 million yards in 1929-30 to 776 million yards in 1931-32, a decline of over 1,100

Cotton piecegoods ( $\mathrm{F} 14,67$ lalkhs). million yards or 60 per cent. As compared with 1930-31 the decline was only about 100 million yards or 13 per cent. The decrease in value was from P 50 crores in 1929-30 to R20 crores in 1930-31 and P14.7 crores in 1931-32, thus showing a drop of $\mathrm{A} 5 \cdot 4$ crores or 27 per cent from the previous year and a drop of $\neq 35 \cdot 6$ crores or 71 per cent from 1929-30. Compared with the pre-war year 1913-14, the imports of 1931-32 were less by 2,422 milliou gards. The

Imports.
figures for the three important classes of cotton piecegoods from 1913-14 onwards are given in the following table:-

|  | Grey (unbloached) | White (bleached) | Coloured, printed or dyed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Million yands | Million yards $793 \cdot 3$ | Million yarde $881 \cdot 8$ |
| Year 1918-14 : $\quad$ : | $1,634 \cdot 2$ $1,320 \cdot 2$ | 604.2 | 494.8 |
| " 1916-16. | 1,148.2 | 611.4 | $358 \cdot 7$ |
| \% 1916-17. | 8470 | 589.8 | 454.9 |
| - 1917-18 | 625-5 | 508.3 | 305.6 |
| (1) 1018-19. | $883.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 286.6 | 2278 |
| $\cdots$ 1919-90. | $533 \cdot 3$ | $322 \cdot 0$ | $208 \cdot 3$ |
| " 1820-21. | 880.2 | 421.8 | $488 \cdot 8$ |
| * 1921-22 | $635 \cdot 6$ | $306 \cdot 2$ | 188.3 |
| - 1928-28 | 931.0 | 408.5 | $243 \cdot 8$ |
| $\cdots$ 1823-24. | 7040 | $415 \cdot 8$ | 847.5 |
| - 1024-26. | 845.5 | $548 \cdot 9$ | $407 \cdot 0$ |
| - 1925-26. | 7091 - | $465 \cdot 1$ | 365.8 |
| " 1926-27 | $748 \cdot \underline{4}$ | 571.0 | $447 \cdot 4$ |
| * 1027-28 | $875 \cdot 5$ | 566.5 | 504.8 |
| * 1928-29 | $838 \cdot 6$ | 5542 | $508 \cdot 9$ |
| - 1920.80 | 925.5 | 473.6 | 483.5 |
| $\because 1980-81$ | $865 \cdot 0$ | $271 \cdot 6$ | $245 \cdot 7$ |
| " 1931-32 . . | $249 \cdot 4$ | 2797 | $223 \cdot 2$ |

It appears from the above table that in the year under review imports of grey goods declined still further from the last year's low figure and amounted to 249 million yards only as compared with 365 million yards in the preceding year and 925 million yards in 1929-30. This is the lowest figure on record for the last 30 years. This decrease was shared both by plain grey goods and bordered grey goods, the decline in the former being somewhat less than in the latter. The imports of plain grey goods amounted to 166 million yards in 1931-32 as compared with 194 million yards in 1930-31 and 423 million yards in 1929-30, thus showing a decline of 28 million yards as compared with the preceding year and 257 million yards as compared with 1929-30. Imports of bordered grey goods fell to 83 million yards as compared with 171 million yards in the previous year and 502 million yards in 1929-30, showing a decline of 88 million yards as compared with $1930-31$ and 419 million yards as compared with 1929-30. Imports of white goods increased by nearly 8 million yards in the year under review as compared with the preceding year, but as compared with 1929-30 the imports showed a decline of 194 million yarde or 41 per cent. Coloured goods fell to 223 million yards in 1931-32 as compared with 246 million yards in 1930-31 and 483 nuillion yards in 1929-30, thus ahowing a decline of 54 per cent as compared with 1929-30. As far as values are concerned, the imports of grey goods showed a further decline of nearly $\mathrm{\# 3} 3$ crores as compared with the preceding year and amounted to slightly under R4 crores as compared with $\mathrm{P7} 7$ crores in the previous year and 821 crores in 1929-30. The value of white goods doclined by nearly a crore as compared with the previous year, though there was an increase in the quantity imported. As against 1929-30 the fall in value amounted to nearly R8 crores. Imports of coloured goods declined in value by nearly Rl crores as compared with the preceding year and by Pl 10 orores

## Cotton Piecegoods.

as compared with 1929-30. The declared value of grey goods declined from 3 as. 7 p. in 1929-30 and 3 as. in 1930-31 to 2 as. 6 p. in 1931-32. The decline in the case of white goods was from 4 as. 6 p. in 1929-30 and 3 as. 8 p. in 1930-31 to 3 as. I p. in 1931-32, whereas the declared value of coloured goods fell from 5 as. in 1929-30 and 4 as. 5 p. in 1930-31 to 3 as. 8 p. in 1931-32: The following table shows the declared value per yard of the three kinds of goods for a number of years :-


The imports of coloured, printed and dyed goods from 1924-25 are set forth below :-

|  | 1024-25 |  | 1925-26 |  | 1926.27 |  | 1827.28 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Million yands | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# } \\ \text { (lakha) } \end{gathered}$ | Million garde | $\underset{\text { (lakha) }}{\mathbf{f}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Million } \\ & \text { yarda } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{Z} \\ \text { (lakha) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Million } \\ & \text { yarde } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7 } \\ \text { (lakhs) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 189.0 | 8,12 | 168.9 | 6,55 | 176.8 | 6,18 | $235 \cdot 3$ | 7,58 |
|  | 142.2 78.8 | 7,64 4,36 | $106 \cdot 8$ $92 \cdot 1$ | 4,88 4,49 | 157.0 113.6 | 6,17 4,92 | 1688 1112 | 5,81 4,38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1828-29 |  | 1929-30 |  | 1030-31 |  | 1031-32 |  |
|  | Million yards | $\underset{\text { (lakha) }}{\mathbf{A}}$ | Million yarda | $\begin{gathered} \text { fl } \\ \text { (lakhs) } \end{gathered}$ | Million yarde | $\underset{\text { (laths) }}{\mathbf{Z}}$ | Million yarde | $\underset{\text { (lakhs) }}{\mathbf{\#}}$ |
| Total printod | 244.4 | 7,41 | $189 \cdot 9$ | 6,77 | 108.5 | 2,61 | $101 \cdot 9$ | 2,08 |
| Total djed gooda Total .. woven ooloured goods. | $155 \cdot 6$ | 5,68 | 151.0 | 4,92 | $98 \cdot 1$ | 2,60 | $98 \cdot 0$ | 2,29 |
|  | $106 \cdot 8$ | 4,32 | 132.5 | 4,47 | 46.1 | 1,52 | $25 \cdot 4$ | 68 |

Imports in the year under review in all the three lines declined as compared with the preceding year, but the decline was almost negligible in the case of printed and dyed goods. As compared with 1929-30 the decline was of course of considerable dimensions. Under printed goods the quantity imported was 105 million yards as compared with 106 million yards in 1930-31 and 200 million yards in 1929-30. Imports of dyed goods amounted to 93 million yards which was almost the same figure as in 1930-31, but the decine in value was from $\mathbf{R 2} 2,69$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 2,29$ lakhs. As compared with 1929-30, however, the decline in quantity and value was 58 million yards and $\mathfrak{A 2 , 6 3}$ lakhs respectively. Imports of woven coloured goods fell to 25 million yards as compared with 46 million yards in 1930-31 and 132 million yards in 1929-30.

Importa:
The detailed figures relating to the imported piecegoode aree given below in millions of yards: :-

| Grey (mpleahed) | 1914-14 (prp-wat year) | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dhutie, maris and acarves | 806-1 | 801.1 | $171 \cdot 0$ | $83 \cdot 6$ |
| Jeoonetu, madapollams, molls, eto. | $160 \cdot 4$ | 53.0 | 188 | $23 \cdot 7$ |
| Longeloth and ahirtinge $\quad$ - - | $545 \cdot 4$ | 340.1 | 168.8 | 133.8 |
| Sheetinga . | -4 | 1+7 | $4 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 7$ |
| Drilin and joans. | 21.3 | $13 \cdot 4$ | 24 | $2: 9$ |
| Other cotts . | 108 | $3 \cdot 2$ | 1.9 | $1 \cdot 7$ |
| Totas | 1,634:2 | 926.5 | $365 \cdot 0$ | $249 \cdot 4$ |
| White (blesahed) | $\begin{gathered} 1918-14 \\ \text { (pre-war } \\ \text { year) } \end{gathered}$ | 1029.30" | 1930-31 | 1931-32 |
| Dhutie, maris and ecarves ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 104:3 | 45.5 | $15 \cdot \frac{1}{2}$ | . 10 |
| Jaconota, madapollsms, mulls, oto. | 307.9 | 2187 | $135 \cdot 2$ | -155\% |
| Longoloth and mbirtinga | $115 \cdot 3$ | 104-1 | 71.9 | 78.8 |
| Nainsooks . . | 204.7 | 58.1 | $25 \cdot 9$ | - 21.5 |
| Drills and joans. | $5 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | - $\mathbf{4}^{\cdot 1}$ |
| Cheoks, apote and atripes | 16.1 | $12 \cdot 0$ | 37 | - $3 \cdot 8$ |
| Trille | 8.8 | 16.8 | 77 | 3.7 |
| . Other morts . | 310 | $15: 8$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | 97 |
| Toral | 798-8 | 478.6 | 271.6 | 2797 |
| Colpured, printed or dyed. | $\underset{\substack{\text { year) }}}{\substack{1913-14 \\ \text { (pre-war }}}$ | 1829.30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32: |
| Dhutis, earin and moarvee | 116.2 | 88.0 | $19 \cdot 1$ | 8.7 |
| Cambrice, eto. | 113.6 | 43:5 | 20.5 | $10 \cdot 7$ |
| Bhirtings . | $152 \cdot 6$ | $105 \cdot 6$ | 54.7 | 02.9 |
| Printa and ohints | 2097 | 61.3 | 38.7 | 23.0 |
| Drille and jeape . . | 30.0 | 86.6 | 33.3 | $32 \cdot 9$ |
| Cheoke, mpota and atripen | 197 | $26 \cdot 2$ | 12.5 | $6 \cdot 1$ |
| Trilla | 81.4 | 38.6 | 16.0 | 17.8 |
| Uther morta . . . | $150 \cdot 6$ | 1907 | 65-9 | 53.1 |
| Torar. | 831.8 | 4836 | 2457 | $293 \cdot 2$ |

Under greys the decreases noticed last year were further increased in the -year under review. Imports nader dhutis went down from 171 million yards to 83.6 million yards or a fall of 87 million yards. Compared with 1929-30, this meant a fall of 417 million yards. Similarly, under longcloth and shirtings there was a decrease of about 33 million yards as compared with the preceding. year, or 206 million yards as compared with 1929-30. Jaconets, on the other hand, showed a alight increase on the proceding year, the increase amounting to about 4 million yards. Compared with 1929-30, imports of jaconets showed a decline of 29 million yards. Under white goods mast of the items showed small increases on the preceding year, while against the figures of 1929-30 there were considerable decreases. Imports of jaconets showed an increase of 20 million yards on 1930-31, but compared with $1929-30$ there was a fall
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of 65 million yards. Imports inder longcloth and shirtings amounted to nearly 80 million yards as against 72 million yards in the previous year, but as compared with 1929-30 there was a decline of 24 million yards. On the other hand, dhutis, sarees and scarves as well as nàinsook ahowed declines of 13 million yards and 4 million yards compared with the preceding year. Compared with 1929-30, there was, of coursé, a considerably larger gap in the imports. In coloured goods most of the articles, except shirtings and twills, showed declines \#hen placed against the figures of the preceding year and as compared with 1929-30 the declines were much grestar. Imports under shirtings increased from 55 million yards to 63 million yards, though, compared with 1929-30, the imports showed a fall of 43 million yardsh Under twills the increase was from 16 million yards to 18 million yards, though thie latter figure was nearly half of what was imported in 1929-90. Imports under dhutis, prints and chints showed decreases of 10 million yatds and 11 million yards respectively. whereas imports under cambrics and drills and jeans were almost of the same magnitude as in the preceding year.

The percentage shares, in 1913-14 and in the past five years, of the United Kingdom and Japan, the two principal comipetitors for the Indian piecegoods import trade, in each of the thiree important classes of piecegoods are set forth beloit:-

## Percentage sharte of the Dnited Kingdom and Japan in the imports of cotton piecegoods.



As in the previous year, the share of Japan in the imports of grey goods increased, whereas the share of the United Kingdom decreased correspondingly. The share of Japan in grey goods has been increasing rapidly since 1928-29 when it was only 29 per cent. In 1929-30 it went up to $42 \cdot 5$ per cent. In 1930-31 it had risen to 60 per cent and in the year under review it reached the striking figure of over 74 per cent. This increase in the Japanese share is largely due to the attractively low prices at which the Japanese have offered their goods. On the other hand, the share of the United Kingdom has been consistently diminishing in the last five or six years, but particularly in the last four years. In 1925-26 the share of the United Kingdom under grey goods was 79 per cent. By 1928-29 it had fallen to 69 per cent. In 1929-30 it dropped to 56 per cent, but the fall in 1930-31 and in 1931-32 was of much greater magnitude than in the preceding years, the shares in those years being only 39 per cent and 24 per cent respectively. As regards white goods, Japan has been making considerable progress in the last three years. In 1929-30 Japan's share in white goods was under 3 per cent. In 1930-31 it had risen to over 10 per cent and in the year under review it had more than doubled

## Imports.

itself and was over 21 per cent. On the other hand, the share of the United Kingdom declined from 92 per cent in 1929-30 to 74 per cent in 1931-32. In coloured goods also Japan is fast capturing the Indian market from the United Kingdom. The share of the United Kingdom dropped to 49 per cent in 193132 as compared with 60 per cent in the preceding year and nearly 58 per cent in 1929-30. Japan's share, on the other hand, was 42 per cent in the year nuder review as compared with 30 per cent in the previous year and 32 per cent in 1929-30. The percentage shares of the principal sources in the total imports of piecegoods into India are shown below:-

Percentage shares in the total quantities of piecegoods imported.

|  | 1018-14 | 1022-28 | 1923-96. | 1024-25 | 1025-20 | 1020-87 | 1087-28 | 1988-20 | 2089-80 | 1980-81 | 1081-82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United Kingdom | $07 \cdot 1$ | 91-2 | 88.8 | 88.5 | 85 | 82.0 | 78.2 | 75-8 | 88.0 | 58.8 | 49 |
| Japan - | 8 | 6.8 | $8 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 5$ | 18.9 | 18.6 | $16 \cdot$ | 18.4 | 29 | 86.1 | 43. |
| United 8tates . | -8 | $\cdot 8$ | -5 | * 5 | 10 | 9 | $1+1$ | $1 \cdot 5$ | 1-7 | $1 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 5$ |
| Jotheriands | $\bullet 8$ | $\cdot 8$ | $\bullet 7$ | -6 | $1 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | 101 | 1-1 | 1.5 | -0 |
| Othar countrian | $1 \cdot 5$ | $\cdot 7$ | $1 \cdot 8$ | $1 \cdot 9$ | 1.7 | 8.4 | $8 \cdot 0$ | 50. | $2 \cdot 9$ | - $8 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 4$ |
| Totat | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | $100{ }^{\prime}$ | 100 | 100 | 100' |

The outstanding feature of the table, as was noted in the last two years' Reviews, is the definite and continuous trend in opposite directions of the percentage figures of the United Kingdom and of Japan during the past nine years. Japan increased her share from $36 \cdot 1$ per cent in 1930-31 to 43.8 per cent in 1931-32. The share of the United Kingdom on the other hand further declined from 68.8 per cent to 49.4 per cent. Thus, the share of the United Kingdom was reduced from 97 per cent in 1913-14 to almost one half of that in 1931-32, whereas Japan from a position of no importance whatever inoreased her share enormously and is now responsible for only a little less than half the total quantity of piecegoods imported into India.

Of the total quantity of piecegoods imported, 29 per cent was received in Bengal in the year under review as compared with 39 per cent in the previous year. The share of Bombay was 22 per cent; those of Sind and Madras which amounted to 20 and 9 per cent in 1930-31 stood at 28 and 8 per cent respectively in the year under review. Similarly, there was an increase in the share of Burma to 13 per cent in the year under review as compared with 12 per cent in the preceding year.

The total quantity of fents imported into India in 1931-32 increased very considerably from $7 \frac{1}{2}$ million yards in 1930-31 to $23 \frac{1}{4}$ million

Fenta ( F 87 lakhs). yards in 1931-32. The figure, however, was smaller by nearly $13 \frac{1}{2}$ million yards as compared with 1929-30. The value of the imports in the year under review amounted to R37 lakhs as compared with R16 lakhs in the previous year and f90 lakhs in 1929-30. The United Kingdom supplied $6 \frac{1}{2}$ million yards or a little over one-fourth of the total imports, whereas the United States of America sent 16 million yards or a little over two-thirds of the imports.

The quantity of sewing thread imported in the year under review was $1,871,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R54 lakhs as compared with $1,941,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued

[^2]at R60 lakhs in the preceding year. As usual, the United Kingdom supplied the major portion amounting to $1,627,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 47 t$ lakhs.

## Artificial Silk.

The total value of imports of hosiery declined from R 88 lakhs in 1930-31 to R48 lakhs in 1931-32. As usual, Japan was the largest supplier, her share, Hosiery (R48 lakhs). however, declining from $\mathrm{f} 76 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{ft} 4 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ lakhs. - The imports of haberdashery and millinery fell from A73 lakhs to 754 lakhs, a decrease of R 19 lakhs. There was a decrease in consignments from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Haberdashery and } \\
& \text { milliuery (R54 lakh). }
\end{aligned}
$$ all the countries. Those from the United Kingdom

lakhs in the previous year. Similarly, imports from Germany were worth R11 lakhs as compared with R15 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1930-31. The decline in the case of Japan under this head was R 3 lakhs from Pl 3 y lakhs to $\ddagger 10 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs.

Artificial silk ( $\mathrm{f} 8,44$ lakhs).-The trade under this head increased considerably in quantity as compared with either the preceding year or even with 1929-30, but the value of the trade, though more than in 1930-31, was less than that in 1929-30. This, of course, was due to the great fall in the average declared value of piecegoods which amounted to 4 as. 9 p . per yard in 1931-32 as compared with 6 as, 7 p. per yard in the preceding year and 8 as. 11p. per yard in 1929-30. Imports of artificial silk yarn in the year under review amounted to nearly 8 million lbs. valued at f 82 lakhs as compared with 7.1 million lbs. valued at $\mathbf{f 8 1}$ lakhs in the preceding year and 7.4 million lbs. valued at R99 lakhs in 1929-30. The share of the United Kingdom fell to a little under a million lbs. as compared with just over a million lbs. last year and 1.4 million lbs. in 1929-30. Imports from Italy went down from 4.5 million lbs. to 3.9 million lbs., whereas imports from the Netherlands went up from three-fourths of a million to a little over a million lbs. Of the total quantity imported Italy contributed 49 per cent, the United Kingdom 12 per cent and the Netherlands 13 per cent. The share of France rose considerably from $121,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $727,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.

As regards piecegoods of cotton and artificial silk the out-standing feature as in the previous year was the enormons growth of the imports from Japan under this head. The total imports of these piecegoods in the year under review were $84 \cdot 6$ million yards valued at $\not \mathbf{~} 2,52$ lakhs as against $51 \cdot 5$ million yards valued at R2,12 lakhs in the previous year and $56 \cdot 6$ million yards valued at 73,15 lakhs in 1929-30. The share of Japan in the total imports of $84 \cdot 6$ million yards was 74.5 million yards or 88 per cent. Japan's share in the previous year was only 38.2 million yards and in 1929-30 it was 25 million yards. Thus Japan has trebled her imports in two years.

As has been remarked in the paragraphs about cotton piecegoods, Japan was exporting to India pure artificial silk oloth in bright colours and attractive designs and at prices as low as 4 annas to 5 as. per yard. At such rates artificial silk piecegoods were replacing the better type of printed and dyed cotton goods. It may be interesting to note that the declared value per yard of Japanese piecegoods of cotton and artificial silk mixed was 4as. 6 p . in the year under review as compared with 6 as. 4 p . in the preceding year and 8 as. 11 p . in 1929-30. As against this the declared value of the Italian imports under the same head was 4 as. 11 p . in 1931-32, 5 as. 8 p. in the preceding year and 7 as. 2 p . in 192930. Similarly, the declared value of the imports from the United Kingdom was 9 as. 4 p . in the year under review as compared with 8 as .6 p . in 1930-31 and 9 as. 2 p. in 1929-30. As a result of these low and attractive prices of imports from Japan the other countries were more or less ousted from the market.

## Imports. .

The share of Italy declined a little further from 5.6 million yards in 1930-31 to $5 \cdot 2$ million yards, whereas in 1929-30 Italy's share was $11 \cdot 5$ million yards. Similarly, the share of the United Kingdom declined from $7-2$ million yards in 1929-30 to 2.4 million yards in 1930-31 and to 1.6 million yards in 1931-32. The share of Switzerland also declined to a little under 2 million yards as comipared with 3.2 million yards in the previous year and 6.8 million yards in 192930. The shares of other countries also declined considerably.

Silk, raw and manufactured (ft2,74 lakhs).-The imports of raw silk declined from 1.9 million lbs. valued at fl 88 lakhs in $1930-31$ to 1.6 million lhs. valued at $\nexists 62$ lakhs in 1931-32. The predominant supplier of raw silk, as usual, was Chins, including Hongkong, which supplied 1.5 million lbs., that is, almost the whole of the imports into India.: Imports from Japan, the only other source worth mentioning, increased from $17,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in $1930-31$ to a little under $34,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1931-32. The imports of silk yarns, noils and warps increased from $1 \cdot 4$ million lbs. in 1930-31 to $1 \cdot 7$ million lbs., but there was a slight decline in value from $\mathbf{7 5 2}$ lakhs to fr 51 lakhs. Imports in 1929-30 were a little under 2 million lbs. valued at A 72 lakhs. This year Italy was the largest supplier accounting for 622,000 lbs. valued at f 19 lakhs as compared with $432,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at fl 6 lakhs in the preceding year. Imports from China declined from 396,000 lbs. valued at A13 lakhs to $343,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at fr91 lakhs. The share of Switzerland went up from 129,000 lbs.' valued at $\mathfrak{P 5} 5$ lakhs in 1930-31 to 289,000 lbs. valued at $\nexists 10 \frac{3}{4}$ lakhs in 193132. The share of Japan went down from $238,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $116,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.

Imports of silk piecegoods increased in quantity from 16.7 million yards in 1930-31 to 19.9 million yards in 1931-32 but. compared with 1929-30 the imports were still down by 3 million yards. The value of the imports in the year under review was almost the same as in the preceding year, but compared with 1929-30 there was a fall of $\mathfrak{\text { f }} 96$ lakhs. As usual, the bulk of the supplies came from China and Japan which together sent 19.6 million yards or nearly the whole of the imports. China's share was slightly reduced, being 7.8 million yards as compared with 8.4 million yards in the preceding year. Japan's share in the year under review inoreased considerably on the figures of the preceding year and amounted to 11.7 million yards as against 7.8 million yards in 1930-31. Her share in 1929-30 was $13 \cdot 4$ million yards.

The imports of goods of silk mixed with other materials showed an increase in the year under review and amounted to 5.1 million yards as compared with 4.6 million yards in the preceding year. The increase in value was A 2 lakhs from f 28 lakhs to f 30 lakhs. Imports in 1929-30 were a little under 3 million yards. Thus, in the two years the imports had increased by over 2 million yards. In value, however, there was a decrease, as compared with 1929-30, of over $\nexists 4$ lakhs due to lower prices. Japan accounted for 4-1 million yards valued at $\mathrm{Rl} 18 \frac{1}{\mathrm{z}}$ lakhs as compared with a little under 4 million yards valued at fl8g lakhs in the preceding year and 2 million yards valued at fli9ㄹ lakhs in 1929-30. It is clear, therefore, that Japan had reduced her prices and although the quantity inaported from that source was doubled, as. compared with 1929-30, the total declared value was actually less. Imports from Germany and France went up from 149,000 and 20,000 yards to 170,000 and 54,000 yards respectively, whereas the share of the United Kingdom went down from 192,000 to 185,000 yards.

## Wool:

- Wool, raw and manatactared ( El 1.68 lakhz).-There was a dearoase of 169 lakhs in the value of imports under this head as compared with the preajing year anl of $\mathbf{A 2 , 6 6}$ lakhs as compared with 1929-30. The deorease in the ysar under review as compared with the preceding year was wholly confined to woollen manufactures. Imports of raw wool inoreased from 3.1 million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{fl} 8 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ lakhs in $1930-31$ to 6.7 million lbs. valued at A 31 lakhs in 1931-32. The imports in 1929-30 were also 6.7 million lbs., but the yalue was H 51 g . lakhs. Australia and Persia were the two important sources of supply. Australis sent $2 \cdot 9$ million lbs. valued at \#lb lakhs in 1931-32 as compared with 1.6 million lbs. valued at $\not \mathrm{tl} 10$ lakhs in the preceding year and $2 \cdot 4$ million lbs. valued at $\nexists 22$ lakhs in 1929-30. The share of Persia Was a little under 2 million lbs. valued at about m 5 lakhs as compared with -9 million lbs. valued at A 3 lakhs in 1930-31 and $2 \cdot 4$ million lbs. valued at IT 9 lakhs in 1929-30. The United Kingdom sent just under a million lbs. valued at $\not \approx 8 \frac{2}{2}$ lakhs as compared with $\mathbf{4}$ million lbs. valued at $\mathbf{f 5}$ lakhs in the preceding year. Imports of worsted yarns for weaving were almost the san3 as in the preceding year, amounting to $568,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. as compared with $569,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1930-31. The value, however, declined from $\nexists 11$ lakhs to \#9 lakhs. Imports of knitting wool went up from 538,000 lbs. in 1930.31 to 739,000 lbs. in 1931-32, though the value showed a slight deoline from \#14-3 lakhs to R14-1 lakhs.

Inports of woollen piecegoods in 1931-32 declined by over 2 million yards as compared with the preceding year and by nearly 7 million yards as oom$\therefore$ Woollan piecegoodg. pared with 1929-30. Imports in the year under ... review amounted to 5.5 million yards as compared with 7.7 million yards in the preceding year and 12.6 million yards and 16 million yserds in 1929-30 and 1928-29 respectively. The decline in value was even greater. In 1928-29 the value of imports was $\mathbb{R 2}, 84$ lakhs. In the following year it had fallen to $\mathrm{fz} 2,33$ lakhs. In 1930-31 it rearhed a comparatively low figure of R1, 20 lakhs, whereas in the year under review it had shrunk to $\mathbf{7 6 9}$ lakhs. Thus while imports had shrunk in quantity to nearly onothird of what they ware three years ago the value had deolined to nearly one-fourth. Imports from the United Kingdom amounted to 1.3 million yards valued at a little under Z 25 lakhs in the year under review as compared with 2 million yards valued at f 48 lakhs in the preceding year and 3.7 million yards valued at P 91 lakhs in 1929-30. Imports from Italy also declined from 2 million yards valued at fu25 lakhs in 1930-31 to $1 \cdot 4$ million Fards valued at $\mathrm{Pl5}$ lakhs in 1931-32. Imports from France were about the same as last year, a little over 2 million yards, but the decline in value was from Z 24 lakhs to Z 16 lakhs. The shares of Germany, the Netherlands, Belgiuna and Japan went down in the year ander review as compared with the preceding year. The average declared value per yard of the French supplies was 12 as. 8 p., of those from the United Kingdom fll-14-3 and from Italy It1-1-6.

There was a considerable decline in the number of shawls imported in the year under review, which amounted to 164,000 pieces valued at R 6 lakhs as compared with 392,000 pieces valued at $\mathbb{Z 1 4}$ lakhs in the preceding yeur and 658,000 pieces valued at $\mathrm{ft27}$ lakks in 1929-30. As usual, Germany was the largest single source of supply, but the importa from that country declined from 223,000 to 114,000 pieces.

## Imports.

Imports of carpets and floor rugs increased from $176,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R4 $4 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1930-31 to 267,000 lbs. valued at A5 lakhs in 1931-32. In 192930 the imports, however, had been 604,000 lbs. valued at $\mathrm{Z10}$ lakhs. Persia

Carpets and rugs. sent $146,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at $\mathbf{~} 22$ lakhs in the year under review as compared with $56,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at to $9,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. as compared with $12,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in the preceding year and $356,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1929-30. The share of the United Kingdom was almost the same as that of previous year, being $76,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at f 2 lakhs in 1931-32 as compared with $77,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at $\mathrm{f} 2 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1930-31. The declared value per lb. of Persian supplies was R1-6-9, of those from the United Kingdom f 2 -10-1; and from Italy $70-12-5$. Imports under the head " blankets and rugs other than floor rugs" declined from 3.7 million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{f} 36 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1930-31 to $2 \cdot 4$ million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{Pl} 18 \frac{3}{4}$ lakhs in-1931-32.

Metals and manafactures thereof ( $\mathrm{R} 9,77$ lakhs). -The imports of metals and manufactures thereof declined by 251,000 tons or 38 per cent in quantity from 669,000 tons in 1930-31 to 418,000 tons in 1931-32 and by \#16 crores or 39 per cent in value from $\mathbb{Z 1 6}$ crores to $\mathbb{Z 1 0}$ crores. Iron and steel represented $\mathrm{f} 6 \frac{1}{3}$ crores of this total as compared with All crores in 1930-31 and receded to the fifth place in order of importance among India's imports, the first four being cotton manufactures, machinery and millwork, mineral oils and raw cotton. If such items as machinery and millwork, hardware, cutlery, implements and instruments and vehicles are grouped with metals and manufactures thereof under one head, the total value would aggregate $\mathrm{P} 32 \frac{1}{2}$ crores, while the value of yarn and textile fabrics, which had, prior to 1930-31, formed the most important group among India's imports, amounted to $\mathfrak{f 2 7}$ erores in the year under review. In the preceding year the metals group accounted for f 47 crores, while the textile head totalled $\mathrm{P} 33 \frac{2}{5}$ crores.

Iron and steel ( $\mathbf{R 6}$,32 lashs).-The world's production of both pig iron and steel, estimated at 56 million and 70 million tons respectively in 1931, was the lowest recorded since 1922 and showed a fall of 29 per cent in the case of pig iron and of 25 per cent in the case of steel as compared with the preceding year. The depression in the iron and steel industry was universal, but the degree to which the different producing countries were affected, varied somewhat. In the case of the United Kingdom, pig iron production fell by 40 per cent from 6.2 million tons in 1930 to 3.7 million tons in 1931 and, leaving out of account 1921 and 1926-the' years of prolonged industrial dispute, was the lowest since the fifties of the last century, while steel output declined by 29 per cent from 7.3 million tons to $5 \cdot 2$ million tons, the lowest since the beginning of the present century, excepting again the two years referred to above. There were also similar decreases in production in the United States of America and Germany, while France, Belgium and Luxemburg showed much smaller declines compared with 1930. Moreover, unlike the United Kingdom, all the Continental countries succeeded in maintaining a comparatively high rate of exports by reducing their prices to a low level, but the suspension of the gold standard by Great Britain in September 1931 brought about a change.

In India the imports of all classes of iron and steel, including pig iron and old iron or steel, in 1931-32 were lower than in any year since the close of the

## Iron and Steel.

War and amounted to 371,000 . tons as compared with 614,000 tons in the preceding year, representing a fall of 40 per cent. Of these, over 206,000 tons were imported during the first half of the year, to which the United Kingdum contributed 81,000 tons or 39 per cent and the Oontinental countries 59 per cent. In the second half of the year the imports were very much reduced and amounted to 165,000 tons, but the share of the United Kingdom im. proved to 51 per cent, while there was a corresponding deoline in the share of the Continental countries. The following tables show the imports of pig iron and manufactured iron and steel separately into British India from the chief sources of supply during each month from April 1931 to March 1932 :-


Imports:
Manafactured iron and steel (excluding pig and otd iron).


Imports of pig iron registered a decline from 3,100 tons in 1930-31 to 400: tons in 1931-32, of which 330 tons came from the United Kingdom and 50

Pig iron. tons from Belgium, while those of ferro-alloys, drawn, chiefly from Greece and Norway, advanced from. 1,200 tons to 1,400 tons. The price of pig iron, Cleveland No. 3 foundry G. M. B., remained steady at $22-18-6$ per ton throughout the year, as the Cleveland iron makers persistently followed a policy of controlled prices. The price was last reduced from £3-3-6 to £2-18-6 on January 8, 1931 and there has been no variation since that date.

The aggregate imports of manufactured iron and steel (excluding pig and old iron) declined by 39 per cent in quantity from 608,000 tons in 1930-31 to 369,000 tons in the year under review and by 42 per cent in value from

## Galvanised aheeta.

 \#10,86 lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 6,31$ lakhs. Galvanised sheets, the largest single item in this trade, represented 26 per cent of the total value of manufactured iron and steel imported in 1931-32 as compared with 27 per cent in 1930-31 and showed a decline from 147,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Z} 2,89$ lakhs to 84,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Zl}, 66$ lakhs. Notwithstanding Belgian competition, the United Kingdom maintained her supremacy in this trade. Imports during the first half of the year were almost equally
## Iroil atid steel.

divided between these countries, each supplying 22,000 tons or 46 per cent ${ }_{r}$ but in the second half the United Kingdom considerably improved her position and outstripped her rival, closing the year with a total of 55,000 tonsor 65 per cent, while Belgian supplies for the whole year totalled 27,000 tons. or 32 per cent. In the preceding year the respective shares of these twocountries were 91,000 tons ( 62 per cent) and 48,000 tons ( 33 per cent). Im-

> Iin platers.
ports of tin plates fell from 17,000 tons valued at:
ج 55 lakhs to 8,000 tons valued at $¥ 20$ lakhs, of which thie United Kingdom supplied 7,000 tons to the value of $\mathbb{P 1 9}$ lakhs as against 8,000 tons valued at x 25 lakhs in 1930-31. Only a small consignment amounting to 143 tons valued at $\mathrm{F} 45,000$ was received from the United States of America in the earlier months of the year, the imports having ceased altogetherafter July 1931. In 1929-30 and 1930-31 American supplies were much higher, amounting to 9,300 and 8,800 tons respectively. The arrangement made in 1928 between the British and American manufacturers whereby the combined exports of the two countries, with certain exceptions, were shared in the ratio of 70 and 30 ceased dectively to operate in the early months: of the year and came to an end officially in September 1931. Sheets ardid:

> Sheota and plates not galranised. plates, not galvanised or tinned recorded a decreasefrom 39,000 tons valued at 753 lakhs to 22,000 tonis: valued at f 29 lakhs. This decline was shared by theUnited Kingdom, arrivals from which country fell from 26,000 tons ( Z 38 lakhs) to 17,000 tons ( f 23 lakhs) and by Belgiom, receipts from which fell from 11,000 .

## Bars and channele.

 tons (X12 lakhs) to 5,000 tons (X4 lakhs). Kmports. of steel bars amounted to 73,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{f 6 5}$, lakhs as compared with 87,000 tons valued at $\not 791$ lakhs, representing a decrease of 16 per cent in quantity and 29 per cent in value. Here the United: Kingdom experienced severe competition from the Continental makers whowere in a position to offer their goods at abnormally low prices. No doubt as a result of the depreciation of the rupee, the prices of Continental materials stiffened, but the disparity in the relative prices was so great that it was stilf possible to import these products into India in competition with the British materials. Imports from the United Kingdom fell sharply from 20,000 tons to 11,000 tons or a decrease of 45 per cent. Belgium and Luxemburg together sent 54,000 tons, or 4,000 tons less than in the preceding year, while the consignments from France were almost on the same level as in the preceding year and amounted to 5,000 tons. Theie was a decrease from 2,800 tons to 1,400 tons in the imports from Germany. Imports of iron bars and channels also receded from 4,200 tons valued at $76 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 1,300 tons valued at f2 $\frac{4}{5}$ lakhs, there being smaller importations from the United Kingdom and Belgium. Imports of rails, chairs and fish plates-> Rails, ohairs and fish platee. declined from 11,000 tons to 5,000 tons, of which the United Kingdom supplied 3,000 tons and Belgium 1,000 tons as against 4,000 and 5,000 tons respectively in 1930-31. Smaller arrivals from Belgium also accounted for a drop from 17,000 tons to 6,000 tons in the imports of sleepers and keys of iron and steel. The United Kingdom increased her supplies from 2,000 to 5,000 tons, while the receipts from Belgium shrank from 14,000 to 350 tons. Beams,

Beame, channels, pillers, girderis and bridgework. channels, pillars, girders and bridgework showed a decline from 87,000 tons to 36,000 tons, the share of

## Imports.

the United Kingdom falling from 37,000 to 14,000 tons and that of Belgium from 22,000 to 9,000 tons. France and Germany also curtailed their supplies and sent 6,000 tons each as against 18,000 and 9,000 tons respectively in 1930-31. Imports of cast pipes and fittings fell from 3,000 to 1,700 tons, of which the United Kingdom supplied 1,600 tons as compared with 2,500 tons

> Tubes, pipes and fittings. in 1931-32. Wrought tubes; pipes and fittings also showed a decline from 38,000 tons to 24,000 tons, there being smaller importations from the United Kingdom and the Continent. The United States of America, however, inecreased her supplies from 1,000 tons to $3,000^{\circ}$ tons, this being the only line in which she showed an improvement. Imports of both

Bolta and nuts, honps and stripm. bolts and nuts, and hoops and strips decreased, the former falling from 9,000 tons valued at 726 lakhs to 7,000 tons valued at Z 19 lakbs and the latter from 33,000 tons valued at fut lakhs to 24,000 tons valued at Z 28 lakhs. The two principal suppliers, the United Kingdom and Belgium, contributed to the general decline under both these heads. Among other items, nails, rivets and washers, wire nails and wire ropes showed decreases, while iron angle, tee, bolt and rod recorded an increase. Table No. 19 shows the details of the imports and the declared values of the different descriptions of iron and steel and Table No. 20 the principal sources of supply. The following table shows the chief sources of supply of iron and steel (including pig and old iron) with the percentage share of each principal country :-

|  |  |  | Cormany |  | Bolginm |  | Erance |  | ' United |  | Othar Countries |  | Toris |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Toni } \\ \text { (thou- } \\ \text { mand). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Share } \\ & \text { pert } \\ & \text { cont. } \end{aligned}$ | Tons (thon eanil). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8hare } \\ & \text { pore } \\ & \text { conk } \end{aligned}$ | Tona (thonrasd). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8hare } \\ & \text { por } \\ & \text { cont. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bhare } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { cont. } \end{gathered}$ | Tons (hhor and). | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sharo } \\ \text { pert } \\ \text { oent. } \end{gathered}$ | Tons (thote sand). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sharo } \\ & \text { pent } \\ & \text { cont. } \end{aligned}$ | Tons (thore mand. |
| 1028.14 | 609 | 80.8 | 800 | 19.6 | 173 | 170 | 2 |  | 22 | $8 \cdot 8$ | 18 | 1.8 | 1,018 |
| 1080-12 | 408 | 70.0 | 15 | - 51 | 60 | 9.7 | 8 |  | 143 | $15 \cdot 9$ | 18 | 20 | 718 |
| 1921-2ti | 280 | 46.7 | 60 | $0 \cdot 8$ | 160 | 86.1 | 0 | $2 \cdot 6$ | 84 | 18.7 | 20 | $8 \cdot 2$ | 618 |
| 1092.2s | 859 | 48.1 | 00 | 18.1 | 488 | 80.7 | 7 | 1.0 | 38 | $5 \cdot 1$ | 28 | 8.0 | 740 |
| 2983-84 | 483 | 80.7 | 01 | 8.0 | 217 | 28.7 | $\delta$ | '5 | 18 | $4 \cdot 4$ | 26 | 8.7 | 756 |
| 1024-25 | 480 | 50.8 | 88 | 10.1 | 278 | $81 \cdot 4$ | 16 | $1 \cdot 8$ | 17 | 80 | 86 | \$2 | 880 |
| -2093-20 | 489 | 55.8 | 60 | $7 \cdot 8$ | 285 | 85.9 | 45 | $6 \cdot 1$ | 23 | 2.6 | 89 | $8 \times 8$ | 881 |
| 1086-27 | 408 | $48 \cdot 1$ | 70 | $0 \cdot 8$ | 257 | $80 \cdot 4$ | 88 | $8 \cdot 9$ | 29 | 374 | 41 | $4 \cdot 9$ | 846 |
| 1987-28 | 685 | 7. | 79 | $0 \cdot 6$ | 816 | 20.6 | 48 | $4 \cdot 0$ | 16 | $1 \cdot 4$ | 58 | $4 \cdot$ | 1,197 |
| 1988-50 | 650 | 55-5 | 70 | $8 \cdot 0$ | 888 | 28.5 | 5 | 4 | 18 | 1.5 | 45 | 8.9 | 1,170 |
| 1090-30 | 486 | $40 \cdot 8$ | 58 | $6 \cdot 0$ | 818 | 85. | 36 |  | 16 | $\cdot 6$ | 66 | 6.8 | 078 |
| 1030-81 | 263 | 48.8 | 4 | 72 | 207 | 88.7 | 20 |  | 15 | - 4 | 60 | $8 \cdot 1$ | 616 |
| 2081-88 | 164 | 14.9 | 26 | 70 | 118 | 81.8 | 10 |  | 5 | $1 \cdot 4$ | 48 | 11.8 | 871 |

It will be observed that there was a substantial decrease in the volume of imports from every country without exception. The imports from the United Kingdom were even lower than the poor record of the preceding year and, in fact, were the lowest since 1918-19, but her proportion in the total :trade was slightly higher than in the preceding year, being 44.2 per cent as

## Other Metals.

against $43 \cdot 8$, the lowest percentage ever recorded, in 1930-31. The shares of Belgium, Germany, France and the United States of America, however, showed decreases.

Other metals (R3,44 lakhs).-Imports of metals, other than iron and steel, declined from 55,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{m5,03}$ lakhs in 1930-31 to 47,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{A3}, 44$ lakhs in 1931-32, there being a deorease under each description of non-ferrous metals with the exception of quicksilver, a com-

Aluminium. lalchs to 39,400 cwts. valued at P30 lakhs. Wrought circles, required chiefly for the Indian hollowware industry, were much less in demand during the year under review than in the preceding year and imports shrank from 119,000 cwts. to $33,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and from A 92 lakhs to f 24 lakhs in value. Of the total quantity imported in 1931-32, about 9,000 owta. came from the United Kingdom and 4,000 cwts. from the United States of America as compared with 23,000 ewts. and 53,000 cwts. respectively in the preceding year. Imports from France, Germany and Switzerland also declined to 8,000 , 5,000 and 4,000 cwts. respectively. Wrought sheets, imported chiefly from the United States of America and the United Kingdom, also receded from 2,000 owts. to $1,000 \mathrm{owts}$. and other manufactures, consisting mainly of wire and utensils, fell from $6,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 6 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to $4,600 \mathrm{ewts}$. valued at A5 lakhs. Imports of unwrought aluminium were insignificant, amounting to only 140 cwts . valued at $\mathrm{ft} 4,000$ in 1931-32.

Brass imports fell from 380,000 owts. to 343,000 cwts. in quantity and from $\mathrm{Al}, 63$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Al}, 26$ lakhs in value. Mixed or yellow metal for sheath-

Brass. ing which accounted for 87 per cent of the total quantity imported in 1931-32 recorded a decrease from 308,000 owts. valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,29$ lakhs to $298,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 06$ lakhs. Germany continued to be the principal source of supply, but her consignments fell from $219,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $179,000 \mathrm{owts}$. On the other hand, imports from the United Kingdom advanced from $42,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to 72,000 cwts., while those from Japan receded to $40,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. from $46,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1930-31. Imports of other brass manufactures also declined from $70,000 \mathrm{owts}$. valued at P 33 lakhs to $44,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathbb{Z 2 0}$ lakhs due to smaller receipts of rods, tubes and wire; brass sheets, however, registered an increase in quantity with a decrease in value. Imports of unwrought brass were small, being valued at 715,000 in 1931-32.

Imports of copper were well maintained during the year under review and totalled 204,000 owts. as compared with $205,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in the preceding year.

## Crppas.

But lower prices accounted for a drop of $\mathrm{Z1} 19$ lakhs
or 18 per cent in value from R1,04 lakhs to R 85
Iakhs. Imports of wrought copper, consisting chiefly of sheets, rods, etc., amounted to $195,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1931-32 as against 181,000 cwts. in 1930-31. The increase, which was more apparent than real, was due to the inclusion of $21,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. of electrolytic copper rods, imported mainly from the United Kingdom, Japan and Germany into Calcutta, under this head in 1931-32. Of the total quantity of wrought copper imported in 1931-32, Germany supplied $67,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and the United Kingdom $64,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as compared with 55,000 cwts. and 44,000 cwts. respectively in 1930-31. Imports from France and

## Imports.

the United States of Americs, however, fell from 40,000 owts. and 31,000 cwts. to $31,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 18,000 cwts. respectively. Imports of unwrought copper declined from $13,500 \mathrm{cwts}$., to $3,700 \mathrm{cwts}$., of which the United Kingdom supplied 3,000 cwts. and the United States of America 700 ewts. as against 8,600 owts. and 4,100 cwts. respectively in 1930-31.

Imports of lead declined from $37,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ to $30,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and from \#\#7 lakes to RL 5 lakhs in value. Sheets for lining tea-chests, the demand for which is being largely met from locally manufactured products, recorded a steady decline from 9,000 cwts. in 1929-30 to $5,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ in 1930-31 and further to $3,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1931-32. Imports of wrought sheets showed an increase in quantity from $11,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $12,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. with a decrease in value from $\mathrm{Rl} \mathrm{K}_{5}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Fl} \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs, while those of lead pipes and tubes fell both in quantity and value from 9,000 cwts. valued at Z 2 lakhs in 1930-31 to 7,000 owts. valued at $\mathrm{Z} 1 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1931-32.

Despite heavy curtailment of production, the world's stocks of tin remained high and the growth of supplies caused consumers to restrict their

Tin. buying to immediate requirements without leaving any large working reserve. Imports of tin, consisting mainly of blocks, ingots, etc. in 1931-32 were lower than in the preceding year and amounted to 45,000 owts. valued at $\mathrm{fl} 41 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs as compared with b5,000 owts. valued at $\mathrm{A} 54 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1930-31. Imports were, as ususl, mostly from the Straits Settlements.

The demand for unwrought zinc from the Indian galvanizing industry continued to be strong and imports advanced from 170,000 cwts. in 1930-31

## Zino.

 to 180,000 owts. in 1931-32. Lower prices, however, accounted for a fall of 744 lakhs from 723 lakhs to A19 lakhs in value. With the exception of the United States of America, all the other principal supplying countries, namely, the United Kingdom, Germany, Australia and Japan increased their contributions. Imports of wrought zino, on the other hand, declined from $38,000 \mathrm{owts}$. to $26,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and from $\mathbf{7 7} 7 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{ft} 4 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{8}}$ lakhs in value.Imports of German silver, including nickel silver, declined from 16,300 owts. valued at $\mathrm{Fl} 12 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to $13,600 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{F} 9 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. To the imports in 1931-32 Italy contributed 73 lakhs,
Garmana silver. Germany and Austria Z 21 lakhs each and the United Kingdom fit lakhs.
Imports of quicksilver rose from $191,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at 78 lakhs to 218,000 Ibs. valued at F 9 lakhs. Arrivals in 1931-32 included $161,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued

Quioksilver. at $\mathrm{Pl} 6 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs from Italy and 48,000 lbs. valued at fl2 lakhs from the United Kingdom.
Machinery and millwork ( Fll 11.57 lakhs). -Imports of machinery and millwork, which are recorded in value only, declined by 24 per cent from P15, 13 lakhs in 1930-31 to $\mathrm{All}, 57$ lakhs in 1931-32. Allowing for the change in prices, the decline was not so great as the figures seem to suggest. There are, however, some branches of the trade, mostly cotton and sugar machinery, whioh showed a distinct advance, thereby indicating an expansion of activity in these selected industries. The following table analyses the imports

Machinery.
of machinery 'and millwork, according to classes, during the past five years:-

|  | 1027-28 <br> milakhn) | $\begin{aligned} & 1989-89 \\ & \text { \# (Jakhy) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1929-30 } \\ & \text { \# (lakhe) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1930-31 } \\ & \text { In (lathis) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1931.32 \\ \mathbf{A} \text { (lathhs) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -2,08 | 3,04 | 4,12 | 2,74 | 1,68 |
| - Eleotrical a | - $\mathbf{2 , 0 0}$ | 2,37 | 2,41 | 2,39 | 2,16 |
| Boilera 0 - | 1,00 | 1,15 | 1,09 | 97 | 58 |
| Matal working (chielly maohine tools) | 41 | 39 | 56 | 30 | 19 |
|  | ,1,61 | 80 | 61 | 74 | 66 |
| Oil crushing and refining : | 21. | 40 | 43 | 40 | 35 |
| Papermul | 9 | 35 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Refrigerating | 12 | 23 | 20 | 22 | 10 |
| Rice and flour mill | 23 | 21 | 24 | 22 | 10 |
| Saw mill | 8 | 7 | 9 | 7 | s |
| Sowing and knittine . . ... | 98 | 89 | 85 | 69 | 51 |
| Sugar maohinery . | 9 | 18 | 0 | 14 | 20 |
| Tes meohinery . | 36 | 40 | 28 | 17 | 11 |
| Cotton meohinery ' . | 1,97 | 2,16 | 2,10 | 1,78 | 1,93 |
| Jute mill machinery | 9 | 1,30 | 1,44 | 81 | 38 |
| Wool mashinery . . . . | - 2 | 2 | $\beta$ | 1 | 1 |
| -Typewritors, inalading porta and | 27 | 38 | 26 | 25 | 18 |
| Printing snd lithographing presees. | 18 | 24 | 23 | 14 | 15 |
| Belting for maohinory : | 87 | 88 | 90 | 64 | 50 |

Thare was a noticeable decrease under prime-movers, the imports of which foll from $\mathbf{~ 2 2 , 7 4}$ lakhs $\mathfrak{i} a$ 1930-31 to $\mathrm{Z1}, 56$ lakhs in 1931-32. The lose ander

## Imports.

this head was chiefly due to smaller importations of railway locomotive engines and oil engines of the industrial type which were valued at f 68 lakhs and ¥42 lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{R} 1,30$ lakhs and $\not 769$ lakhs respectively in 193031. Despite an increase in the demand for generating plants and other electrical equipments required largely in connection with the hydro-electric sohemes of the Punjab which were in progress, imports of electrical machinery receded from $\mathbf{R 2 , 3 9}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{fR2,16}$ lakhs. Of

## Electrical machinery.

 these, the United Kingdom supplied to the value of R1,50 lakhs as against $\mathbb{R 1 , 8 2}$ lakhs in 1930-31. Imports from Germany dropped from $\mathrm{Fl7}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Fl5}$ lakhs, while those from the United States of America advanced from Z 29 lakbs to $\mathbb{7} 36$ lakhs. It is interesting to observe that the imports into Sind alone recorded an increase from P 40 lakhs to F 65 lakhs, there being decreases in the case of all other provinces. Imports of textile machinery declined in value from $\mathrm{F2} 2,83$ lakhsTottile machinary. to $\mathrm{ft} 2,48$ lakhs. As a result of the world economic condition and of the ban on the extension of jute mills imposed by the Calcutta Jute Mills Association, there was a lack of demand for jute machinery, imports of which fell steadily from 71,44 lakhs in 1929-30 to F 81 lakhs in 1930-31 and further to P 32 lakhs in 1931-32. Cotton machinery, however, showed an improvement. Prices were low for the greater part of the year and some Indian cotton mills, particularly in Bombay, took this opportunity to carry out schemes which had been in abeyance for several years. Imports of cotton machinery rose from $\mathbb{R 1 , 7 8}$ lakhs to R1,93 lakhs, to which the United Kingdom contributed $\mathbb{7 1 , 6 7}$ lakhs and Germany fil3 lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{Pl} 1,63$ lakhs and 77 lakhs respectively in 1930-31. Imports of wool machinery were small, being valued. at fll lakhs in 1931-32 as compared with a little over RI lakh in 1930-31.

Sugar maohinery. There was a marked improvement in the imports of sugar machinery which were valued at \#30 lakhs in 1931-32 as compared with Fl 4 lakhs in the preceding year. The Indian sugar industry was the subject of an enquiry by the Indian Tariff Board and the expectation that a change in Government policy was imminent led to an enormous importation of sugar machinery for factories in India. Imports of other classes of machinery showed noticeable decreases. For instance, boilers declined from 7797 lakhs to F 56 lakhs, metal working machinery (chiefly machine tools) from P 30 lakhs to R 19 lakhs, mining machinery from $\mathrm{R74}$ lakhs to P 66 lakhs, oil crushing and refining machinery from P 40 lakhs to f(35 lakhs, refrigerating, and rice and flour mill machinery from P 22 lakhs to fllo lakhs each. The number of sewing and knitting machines, imported chiefly from the United Kingdom and Germany, declined from 52,200 to 45,300 and their value from R 53 lakhs to R 46 lakhs. Imports of typewriters in 1931-32 were also amaller than in the preceding year, numbering 7,300 valued at Zl 10 lakhs as against 15,500 valued at $\mathbb{Z 2 2}$ lakhs in 1930-31.

Imports of belting of all descriptions declined by Rl 4 lakhs to F 50 lakhs, there being decreases of $\not 24$ lakhs under cotton belting, of A 3 lakhs under

Bolting for machinery.
leather belting and of R7 lakhs under beltings of other descriptions. The share of the United Kingdom in this particular trade fell from F 46 lakhs or 73 per cent to P 32 lakhs or 65 per cent in 1931-32. The following table shows the relative shares of

## Motor Vehicles.

the principal countries in the total import trade in machinery and mill-work:-
Imports of machinery and millwork, including belling for machinery and printing machinery.

| 'i. : | $\underset{\text { (pro-wer year) }}{\text { 1918.14. }}$ |  | - 1828-20 |  | 1929-50 |  | 1080-21 |  | 1081.89 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| alted Kins- | (1askha) | $\underset{\substack{\text { Par } \\ \operatorname{centent} \\ p 0}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# } \\ \text { (lakha) } \\ \text { l4,87 } \end{gathered}$ | Per coat 76.5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (nkhs) } \\ 14,49 \end{gathered}$ | Por cont $74^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ |  | Pert cont 74.4 | $\begin{gathered} Q \\ \left(\text { lakhal }_{8,12}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pep } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & 70 \cdot \mathrm{~g} \end{aligned}$ |
| Unlted States | 27 |  | 2,20 | 11.8 | 1,01 | $0 \cdot 0$ | 1,70 | 11.6 | 1.87 |  |
| Germany | 48 | 6 | 1,81 | 4 | 1,84 | $9 \cdot 5$ | 1,94 | 8.8 | 1,18 | 10.2 |
| Belgiam |  |  | 19 | 1.0 | 19 | 1.0 | 17 | $1 \cdot 1$ | 27 | $2 \cdot 8$ |
| Other coun- | 12 |  | 98 | $1 \cdot 8$ | 88 | $4 \cdot 7$ | 75 | 4.7 | 08 | 5.5 |
| TOTAL | 8.26 | 100 | 10,43 | 100! | 19,35 | 100 | 15,18 | $100^{\circ}$ | 11.87 | 103 |

Although the United Kingdom enjoyed a supremacy in this trado, foreign competition had begun to be felt. The share of the United Kingdom dropped from 74 per cent to 70 per cent, while the combined share of Germany and Belgium (consignments from which are mostly of German origin) rose from 9.3 to 12.5 per cent. The share of the United States of America also recorded a small increase.

Motor vehicles ( $\mathbf{R 2} 2,88$ lakhs).-As a consequence of the general business depression, the demand for motor cars weakened greatly, and

## Motor Cara.

 the imports during 1931-32 were, with the exception of 1921-22 and 1922-23, the lowest recorded in any year during the post-war period. The total imports of motor cars in 1931-32 numbered 7,220 valued at . 71,48 lakhs as compared with 12,601 valued at $\mathrm{fz} 2,58$ lakhs in 1930-31. The year talls into two distinct periods-one before and the other after, the suspension of the gold standard. The first period which practically covers the first half of the year was one of shrinking trade and increasing competition resulting in a steady decline in the participation of the United Kingdom in the trade to an unprecedentedly low level. The second half, though in no way better in respect of the total offtake, was clearly defined by a marked improvement of the British proportion to the total importation, which was brought about by the suspension of the gold standard and the linking of the rupee to the pound sterling. Of the total imports numbering 4,245 in the first half of the year, only 17 per cent or 717 cars came from the United Kingdom and 71 per cent or 3,019 from the United States of America and Canada, while in the second half out of a total of 2,975 cars, the United Kingdom supplied 1,461 or 49 per cent and the United States of America and Canada together 1,025 or 34 per cent. Taking the year as a whole, the proportion of British cars to the total trade advanced from 23 per cent in 1930-31 to 30 per cent in 1931-32, although the number of such cars imported fell from 2,885 valued at Z 71 lakhs to 2,178 valued at $\mathrm{R} 50 \frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{g}}$ lakhs. The number of cars imported from the United States of America declined from 5,098 valued at R1,00 lakhs to 3,368 valued at $\mathbf{R} 65$ lakhs and of those from Canada shrank from 3,250 valued at $\mathbf{R 5 4}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{6 7 6}$ valued at flo lakhs. The combined imports from these two countries represented 56 per cent of the total number of cars imported in 1931-32 as compared with 66 per cent in 1930-31 and 69 per cent in 1929-30. The average declared value of cars imported from the
## İmpbritâ:

United Kingdom in 1931-32 was $\mathrm{fl2;312}$; from the United States of Ametica ¥1,939 and from Canada $\mathrm{Rl}, 538$ as compared with $\not 72,462$ for the British, ¥1,970 for the American and $\mathrm{Z1,661}$ for the Canadian made car in the preceding year. Italy sent 510 cars and France 161 as against 917 and 261 respectively in 1930-31. Arrivals from other countries included 212 cars from Gormany, 8 from Belgium, 31 from the Staits Settlements and 50 from Ceylon. The following table shows the imports of motor cars during each year since 1919-20 compared with those for the pre-war year and the war avarage :-

Number of motor cars imported.

|  | United $\mathbf{K}$ ingdicm | tnited States(a) | Canidis | Frasio | Italy | Other Countries | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year jo1b-14 (pro- } \\ & \text { war). } \end{aligned}$ | 1,669 | 888 | $\therefore$ | 111 | 7 | 225 | 2,880 |
| War averige (195415 to 1918-19). | 837 | 1,08I | - | 48 | 28 | 2\% | 2,317 |
| Year 1919-20 | 448 | 9,958 | 20 | 3 | 17 | 84 | 0.925 |
| - 1920-21 | 2,541 | 10,120 | 1,038 | 182 | 218 | 423 | 15,432 |
| - 1021-28 | 780 | 802 | ${ }^{876}$ | 158 | 228 | 847 | 2,895 |
| $\bigcirc 1929.23$ | 449 | 1,386 | 1,846 | ${ }^{61}$ | 131 | 450 | 4,323 |
| $\cdots \quad 1828.24$ | 1,005 | 2,866 | 8,290 | 153 | 770 285 | 981 | 7,984 |
| " ${ }^{1924.25}$ | 7,662 | $\mathbf{8 , 1 0 8}$ 4,148 | 8,958 | 218 | ${ }_{880}^{235}$ | 186 213 | 9,380 19,767 |
| $\cdots 1926-27$ | 2,546 | 4,030 | 6,476 | 607 | 1,416 | 122 | 13,197 |
| , 1927.28 | 3,600 | 6,031 | 8,400 | 538 | 1,367 | 188 | 15,122 |
| "1928.29 | 3,645 | 10,145 | 4.366 | 277 | 987 | 168 | 19,567 |
| \% 1924.80 | 8,768 | 9,620 | 2,318 | 364 | 1,150 | 189 | 17,399 |
| (0) 1930-81 | 2,885 | 8,098 8,368 | 3,250 | 261 | ${ }_{617} 17$ | 190 | 12,601 |
| " 1931-32 | 2,178 | 8,368 | 678 | 161 |  | 327 | 7,220 |

(a) The country of origin of many of the cars imported from the United States of Amerios during the years provion to 1920-21 is Canads:

Of the total number of cars imported during the year under review, 3,325 cars $(5,208)$ were received in Bombsy, $1,801(3,091)$ in Bengal, $860(1,781)$ in Madras, $824(1,462)$ in Sind and $410(1,059)$ in Burma, the corresponding figures for the preceding year being given in brackets.

The number of motor cycles imported declined by 38 per ceat from 1,501 in 1930-31 to 926 in 1931-32. As usual, there was a preponderance of British

> Motor oycle. motor cycles which numbered 808 and represented 87 per cent of the total as compared with 1,395 or 93 per cent in 1930-31. Imports from the United States of America fell from 53 to 8 only, while those from Germany advanced from 10 to 66 in the year under review.

The imports of motor omnibuses, vans, lorries, etc., in 1931-32 numbered 4,302 valued at $\mathbb{7 6 7}$ lakhs as compared with 8,913 valued at $\mathbb{Z 1 , 4 2}$ lakhs in

Motor omnibuses, vans, lorries. the preceding year. Of these, 62 per cent or 2,685 represented chassis with a total value of R47 lakhs as against 67 per cent or 5,930 valued at $\mathrm{R1}, 04$ lakhs in 1930-31. The American vehicles, owing chiefly to their low prices, are by far the most popular in Indis, but their sales were greatly affected as a

Chart (on logarithmic acale) aboting the imports of motor vohicles, coneumption of petrol and prices of petrol in India during the ten years ending $1931-32$.


## Moter Vehicles.

result of the changed carrency situation provalent during the later months of the year. Imports from the Unit sd States of America fell from 6,197 ralued at f 89 lakhs to 3,236 valued at fet $2 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs and those from Canada from 2,397 valued at f 36 lakhs to 598 valued at f 9 lakhs. The combined share of thees countries in the total trade dropped from 96 per cent in 1930-31 to 89 per cent in 1931-32. This decline was, however, particulacly noticeable in the second half of the year, for in the first half the American participation was as high as 95 per cent. . On the other hand, the United Kingdom, having gained an advantaze due to her departure from the gold standard made, a remarkable headway and incressed her sales. Imports from that country, consisting largely of chassis, rose in number from 258 to 435 with a slight decline in value from \#154 lakhs to Pl4 $\frac{2}{5}$ lakhs. Receipts from other countries were small. The average declared value of chassis imported from the United Kingdom in 193132 was $\mathrm{A} 3,158$ as compared with $\mathrm{Z1,454}$ for the American and $\mathrm{Z} 1,503$ for the Canadian make. -The corresponding figures for the preceding year were \#5,077 for the British, $\mathbf{R 1 , 6 9 3}$ for the American and $\mathbf{\# 1}, 496$ for the Canadian chassis. The following table shows the number of all classes of motor vehicles registered in the different provinces of British India up to the end of March $1932=$

Number. of motor vehicles registered in British India up to 31st March 1932.

| Provinces | Motor cara, Inciuding tari-cabit | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Yator oycles, } \\ \text { mndulnins } \\ \text { neootory } \\ \text { nnd } \\ \text { unto } x \text { hoela } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Henvy motar volichles (lorrtos, busaen, ota.) | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bengal Inciuding Calcutita : : : | Number. 85,061 0.028 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mrabor } \\ \mathbf{5 , 0 5 9} \\ \mathbf{6 8 9} \end{gathered}$ | ITEmber. 1,816 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Numior } \\ 4,016 \\ 10.681 \end{gathered}$ |
| Bombay freadancy (exiluding Bombey city and | (0,022 | 774 | 1,010 62 | 10,561 |
| Madras City | 12,072 |  |  |  |
| Madras Preadiency (exeluding ymaries City) | 7.608 | 1,708 | 6,412 | 15,728 |
| Onited Frpvinces | 12,076 | 2,008 | 5,707 | 10,851 |
| Panjeb (a) | 12,807 | 4,346 | 8,058 | .24,703 |
| Blinrand orisa | 10,057 | 1,484 | 2,506 | 14.687 |
| Central Provicoms | 8,650 | 799 | 1,806 | 6,155 |
| 81nd (a). : | 1,052 | + 460 | 541 | 2,938 |
| Delht ${ }^{\text {corth-weat Prontie }}$ Provinse: | 8,260 3,879 | 1,158 1,484 | 1.504 2,125 | 8,028 7,288 |
| Ajmer-Merware | 098 | 157 | 210 | 1,018 |
|  | 1,880 | 208 | 2,478 | 4,066 |
| Tokal | 181,226 | 24,018 | 46,898 | 210,726 |



The chart on the opposite page illustrates the imports of motor vehicles together with the course of prices and the estimated consumption of petrol each year from 1922-23. It will be observed that the trade in motor vehicles suffered from the difficulties of last year.

The factors which retarded the growth of the ase of motor vehicles also tend ed to restrict the importations of rubbor manufactures into India. The valus
Rubber manniactures. of India's imports of rubber manufactures amounted to $\mathrm{R} 2,21$ lakis, a desreasg of $1: 1$ per cent from Re, 57 lakhs recorded in 1930-31. The fall would have been much greater had there not been an increased activity in bicgcle tyres and tubes. The following
table shows the imports of each description of rubber manufactures during the last three years:-

Imports of rubber manufactures:
[In thorasanda.].

|  | 1020-80 |  | - 1090-81 |  | 1081-82 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numbar | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Number | 7 | Numbor | H |
| Pnaumstlo motar covars | 487 | 2,85,57 | 870 | 1.07,98 | 848 | 1,34,88 |
| cop̈n ." oyclo. |  |  |  | 1.02 |  | 1,08 |
| ${ }_{\text {Proumatic escle covers }}{ }^{\circ}$ | 1,214 | 20,06 | 1,090 | 18,12 | 1.634 | 24.73 |
| " motor trbet |  | 84,74 | 806 | 28,40 | 889 | 20,18 |
| tuber " cycle Pneumatic oyclo tubes: | 1,487 | 85 18,76 | 16 1,307 | 11,90 | 1,281 | $18, \frac{85}{45}$ |
| golld rubber tyres for motor vehlales | 6 | 7,65 | 4 | 4,04 | 8 | 8,70 |

The number of imported motor covers-by far the largest item under rubber-declined by 6 per cent from 370,000 in 1930-31 to 348,000 in 1931-32. The American manufacturers, by price agreement, continued to control theIndian market, for the combined imports from the United States of America and Canada represented, as in 1930-31, 53 per cent of the total number of covers imported in 1931-32. Imports from the United States of America rose in number from 141,000 to 159,000 , while those from Canada slumped to 24,000 trom 55,000 in 1930-31 and 117,000 in 1929-30. The number imported from the United Kingdom advanced from 81,000 to 87,000 . Italy and France also increased their supplies from 28,000 and 20,000 to 30,000 and $23,000-$ respectively at the expense of Germany, the share of which dropped from $\overline{31,000}$ to 18,000 . In pneumatio motor cycle covers, the imports of which stood at 9,000 as in 1930-31, the United Kingdom improved her position and sent 4,600 , or 1,500 more than in the preceding year. There were also increased supplies from Cansda, the United States of America and Italy, while those from France showed a decrease. Both pneumatic motor tubes and motor oycle tubes were less in demand, the imports falling from 366,000 and 16,000 to 339,000 and 14,000 respectively. The former is supplied mostly by the United States of America, the United Kingdom and, to a less. extent, by France, Canada and Germany. With the exception of the United States of America, consignments from which rose from 108,000 to 151,000 , all the other countries curtailed their supplies. In pneumatic motor cycle tubes, the share of the United Kingdom advanced from ${ }^{*}$

- 6,200 ( 38 per cent) to 7,700 ( 54 per cent). The shares of Canada and the United States of America also increased from 2,400 and 800 to 2,800 and 1,200 respectively, while the participation of France fell from 4,500 to 1,200 . Imports of pneumatic cycle covers and cycle tubes recorded substantial increases. and numbered $1,634,000$ and $1,731,000$ as compared with $1,090,000$ and $1,307,000$ respectively in 1930-31. In both these lines the United Kingdom ranbs as the leading supplier, notwithstanding competition from other sources. Imports of pneumatic cycle covers from the United Kingdom inoreased in number from 630,000 to 956,000 and those from Germany more than doubled, amounting to 240,000 in 1931-32 as against 102,000 in the preceding year. There were also increased supplies from Japan numbering 20,000 as against 15,000 in 1930-31, while France reduced her consignments from 183,000 to-


## 1. Hardware.

172,000. In pneumatic cycle tubes the United Kingdom supplied by far the largest number, 878,000 or 51 per cent of the total in 1931-32 as against 537,000 or 41 per cent, while the participation of Germany and Franoe fell from 321,000 and 244,000 to 215,000 and 237,000 respectively. An interesting feature of the trade is the large receipt of Japanese cycle tubes at exceptionally low prices. In 1929-30 and 1930-31 imports from Japan numbered only 13,000 and 57,000 respectively, while in 1931-32 these mounted up to 308,000 . Solid tyres for motor vehicles are ao longer in favour anywhere in the world, and the imports into India declined from 4,500 to 3,500 . There were smaller consignments from the United Kingdom and the United States of America numbering 1,800 and 800 as compared with 2,300 and 1,600 in 1930-31, while those from Germany increased from 500 to 600.

Hardware ( $\mathrm{F} 2,61$ lakhs). -The value of the total imports of hardware amounted to $\mathbf{~ 2} 2,61$ lakhe in 1931-32 as compared with $\mathbf{~} 3,60$ lakhs in the preceding year; and was much below the pre-war figure of 773,17 lakhs. Despite low prices, the demand slackened, and this lack of demand was due to lack of purchasing power on the part of the consumers who resorted to hand-to-mouth buying. The following table compares the values of the different items included in hardware during the last five years :-

| $=-$ | 1097-88 | 1988-99 | - 1029-80 | 1080-81 | 1981-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\therefore .$. | 7 (latha) | 7 (1akh) | AR (latha) | \# (facha) | \# (lathb) |
|  | 19 | 77 | 14 7 | $4{ }^{9}$ | ${ }_{8}^{6}$ |
|  |  | 85 |  | 35 |  |
| Dometilo hardware .: -- | 12 | 18 | 18 | 10 | 8 |
| Rnamolled fronware . | 87 | 42 | 88 | 81 | 15 |
|  | 81 |  | 78 10 | 85 | 8 |
| Stovar | 10 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 5 |
| Gatmantiei : $\quad \therefore$ | - 8 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 4 |
| Other torta. : | 2,88 | 2,88 | 2,20 | 1,05 | 1,27 |

There was a decrease under each of the heads enumerated above. The value of agricultural implements, imported chiefly for use in Indian tea gardens, declined from 79 lakhs to $\mathbb{R} 6$ lakhs, to which the United Kingdom contributed 75 lakhs. The imports of other implements and tools nlso shrank from $\not 749$ lakhs to $¥ 35$ lakhs, of which the United Kingdom supplied to the value of Pl 17 lakhs and the United States of America 18 lakhs as compared with \#24 lakbs and R11 lakhs respectively in 1930-31. Imports from Germany fell from R10 lakhs to R7 lakhs. The number of metal lamps imported declined from 3,917,000 valued at $\mathrm{A52}$ lakhs to $2,459,000$ valued at R31 lakhs. Imports were, as usual, mostly from Germany, which sent 1,922,000 valued at $\mathrm{zz23}$ lakhs as against $2,864,000$ valued at Z 37 lakhs. The remainder came chiefly from the United States of America, Austria and the United Kingdom, all of which curtailed their supplies. The value of parts of metal lamps, imported mainly from Germany, remained practically unchanged at fat lakhs. Imports of enamelled ironware were valued at fit lakhs as compared with f 24 lakhs in 1930-31 and f 32 lakhs in 1929-30. Imports from Japan fell from R13 lakhs to R9 lakhs and those from Germany from $\mathrm{F} 2 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Pl} 1 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs, while the British

## İmports.

supplies were valued at all lakh, a little less than in 1930-31. Domestic hardware (other than enamelled ironware), which is imported mainly from Germany and the Onited Kingdom, showed a decline from Alo lakhs to $\nrightarrow 8$ lakhs. In builders' hardware there was a decrease of R 8 lakhs from Z 33 lakhs to \# 25 lakbs, the imports being mainly drawn from Germany ( 40 per cent), the United Kingdom ( 32 per cent) and Sweden ( 20 per cent). Other articlesincluded in this group, such as gas mantles, stoves, safes and strong boxes, which are comparatively unimportant, slso showed decreases. The following. table shows the percentage shares of the principal countries participating. in the trade:-

Imports of hardware.


The share of the United Kingdom rose from 36 to 37 per cent, while there was a corresponding decline in German participation from 30 to 29 per centa To avoid losing a larger ahare in this business German manufacturers reduced their prices considerably to maintain thieir position in the Indian market. The share of the United States of America dropped from 12 to 10 per cent, while that of Japan remained at 6 per cent, as in 1930-31.

The value of cutlery imported amounted to \#21 lakhs as against R 26 lakhs-
Cutlory (R21 lekhn). in 1930-31 and \#41 lakhs in 1929-30. The share of Germany declined by f3 lakhs to PI3 lakhs and of the United Kingdom by P 2 lakhs to m 6 lakhs.

Electro-plated ware, indented chiefly from the
review.
Mineral oils ( $\mathrm{A} 9,04$ lakhs).-The world's output of crude oil in 1931 was slightly lower than in the preceding year. For the second year in succession, the United States of America, the most prolific source of supply, pursued a dutermined policy of restricting her output, while the mid-Continent production, notably in Russia and Roumania, was on the increase. Under the prevailing world conditions consumption of oil was on a lower scale and there was a fall in prices. Imports of all kinds of mineral oils into India declined from $242 \frac{\jmath}{j}$ million gallons valued at R10,48 lakhs in 1930-31 to $216 \frac{2}{9}$ million gallons valued at $\mathrm{f} 9,04$ lakhs in 1931-32. This represented a fall of 11 per cent in quantity and of 14 per cent in value. With the exception of petrol, all the other important descriptions of mineral oils registered decreases. Of the total quantity of mineral oils imported in 1931-32, kerosene oil represented 40 per cent, fual oils 47 per cent and lubricating oils 7 per cent as compared with

## Mineral Oils.

41, 44 and 11 per cent respectively in 1930-31. The following table shows the imports of each variety of mineral oil by sea from abroad into British Indis:-

Imports of mineral oils into India by sea from foreign countries.

|  | 191314 (pre-was year) | .1827-28 | 1928-29 | 1988-30 | ${ }_{9}^{1930-31}$ | 1031-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gald.: } \\ & (1,000) \\ & 7,768 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Galg. } \\ (1,000) \\ 100,728 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gals. } \\ & (1,000) \\ & 103,695 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gals. } \\ & (1,000) \\ & 110,203 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gals. } \\ (1,000) \\ 106,350 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gals. } \\ & (1,000) \\ & 100,829 \end{aligned}$ |
| Frael oil$\qquad$ In bulk In tins |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 52,9 | 90,234 4,172 | 99,7 | 101,758 | 88,745 10,148 | 77,081 8,009 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toram Kehoamim | 68,850 | 94,40 | 104,860 | 106,457 | 98,883 | 85,690 |
| Lubricating oila'. <br> Benzine, benzol, petrol, eto. | 14,953 | 20,293 | 27,323 | 6,700 | 5,881 | 6,047 |
|  |  | 38 | 168 | 4,655 | 8,836 | 12,74i |
| Paints, solutions and compositions. Other linds |  |  | 28 | 27 | 18 | 84 |
|  |  | 4,868 | 6.036 | 4,720 | 2,711 | 1,280 |
| tal ímintal Oo | 95,023 | 232,438 | 241,908 | 254,768 | 242,489 | 216,681 |

Imports of kerosene oil declined in quantity by 13 par cent from 99 million gallons in 1930-31 to 86 million gallons in 1931-32 and in value by 19 per cent Keroaene oil. from $\mathbb{R 5} 5,34$ lakhs to $\mathbb{R 4 , 3 3}$ lakhs; thus ahowing a lower average value of 8 as. $2 p$ par gallon as compared with 8 as. 8 p. in 1930-31. Coastwise imports from Burma to India proper, however, advanced to 126 million gallons from 110 million gallons in 1930-31. Of the foreign supplies, imports from the United States of America and Persia remained fairly steady at 20.4 and 18.6 milion gallons respectively;

shown in the margin.

- imports of fuel oils also registered a decline from 106 million gallons valued at $\mathbf{~} 2,10$ lakhs in 1930-31 to 101 million gallons valued at $\mathrm{f} 2,04$ lakhs in 1931-

Fual oils. the total quantity imported as compared with 76 million gallons or 72 per cent in the preceding year. Imports from Borneo remained practically unchanged at 24 million gallons, while conaignments from the Straits Settlements fell off from 5 million gallons to 2 million gallons.

Imports of batching oils fell from 14 million gallons valued at 772 lakhs to 7 million gallons valued at F 37 lakhs. The trade in 1931-32 was almost equally Labricating oils. divided between the United States of America and

## Imports.

Borneo, each supplying 3 million gallons.- In the preceding year the respective shares were 9 and 5 million gallons. Imports of other lubricating oils also deolined in quantity from 12 to 9 million gallons and from $\mathrm{Rl}, 30$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R1}, 11$ lakhs in value. About 78 per cent of the total quantity imported in 1931-32 or 7 million gallons oame from the United States of America and the remainder from the United Kingdom and Borneo.

India's requirements of petrol are largely met from her domestic production. Imports, although increasing rapidly; are still comparatively small.

## Petrol.

 About 13 million gallons of petroleum, dangerous, flashing below $76^{\circ}$ F.; including petrol, benzine and benzol, valued at R1,05 lakhs were imported, chiefly from the United States of America and, to a less extent, from Sumstra, into British India in 1931-32 as compared with 9 million gallons valued at Z 73 lakhs in 1930-31 and 5 million gailons valued at A38 lakhs in 1929-30. . Coastwise imports of petrol and other motor spirit from Burma into India proper amounted to 27 million gallons in 1931-32 as compared with 51 million gallons in the preceding year and 56 million gallons in 1929-30.Sagar ( $\mathrm{R} 6,1 \%$ lakhs). -The sugar industry in the world passed through a puzzling time in the year under review. On the one hand production had decreased beyond all expectations. From 1924-25, with one unimportant exception the production of sugar in the world had been cousistently increasing . and this had led to an accumulation of stocks in all the markets. In 1931-32 this increasing production tendency was, for the first time, seriously ohecked. The output of that year was considerably less than in the previous year and was also less than the quantity of sugar consumed during the year. According to the estimate of Mikusch, the world production of sugar in 1931-32: amounted to 26.5 million metric tons (raw value) as compared with 30.0 million metric tons in the preceding year. According to the same authority; the consumption for the same period was 27.6 million metric tons as against a little under 27 millions in the preceding year. Thus, the consumption of the year under review exceeded the production by $1 \cdot 1$ million tons. In view of the state of over-supply that has been in existence in previous years this was a very welcome change which (in normal times) would have strengthencd the market considerably. The trouble has, however, been that, though the consumption has increased this year as compared with the provious year, the increase has not been as great as was anticipated. Added to this, the depression prevailing all the world over was bound to have its repercussions on the sugar industry. Further, there were special reasons for a glut in the stocks in the two important producing countries, namely Cuba and Java, and this depressed the sugar market also. The result has been that the prices in no way reflsoted the improvement in the statistical position of the industry. In spite of the disappointing price position, however, it may be stated that from the broader view-point of the industry as a whole it is undeniable that there has been a decided improvement in underlying conditions and it is certain that this change will make itself felt when the world's economic situation begins to improve. Even apart from this the Chadbourne Restriction Scheme has at least kept the price of sugar comparatively steady during the varions crises of 1931 when the prices of other staple commodities have touched unprecedentedly low levels. The International Agreement, called the Chadbourne Scheme, which was signed on May 9th, 1931 has been the most important event

## Sugar. ${ }^{\top}$

of the year in the sugar trade. According to this scheme, nine of the chief sugar exporting countries of the world have agreed to dispose of their surplus stocks gradually over a period of five years and in the meanwhile to prevent the accumulation of fresh excess supplies during that period. The European signatories have been able to accomplish all that they undertook to do under the agreement but the position is different in the case of Cuba and Java. Asregards Cuba, owing to the decreased consumption by the United States, stockshave increased to some extent, and this has counterbalanced the diminution in the segregated stocks under the agreement: Java also has suffered beoause of the lower demand from India and China. Owing to these peculiar factors the agreement may require some readjustment, a point which is receiving the attention of the experts. The condition of these two important producing countries had a depressing effect on world markets and explains why the full benefits of the Chadbourne plan were not realised during the year. On the whole, therefore, it may be said that the development in the industry in the last year gave grounds for a moderately optimistic outlook. If the International Sugar Council could persuade the countries that are at present outside the Scheme to come in and could ensure a control of production for some years, then there is no doubt that the position of the industry would improve considerably. Even the work achieved already in the short time available has been considerable and has helped the market to some extent.

Although at the beginning of the year there was a better tone in the world sugar industry, the import trade of India remained in a most unsatisfactory state almost throughout the year under review. Stocks at the ports were for most part of the year far in excess of the immediate needs of the consuming centres. Spot demand was restricted to the minimum and the size of the clearance remained abnormally low and the resultant accumulation of stocks demoralised the trade, making both the dealers and the speculators unwilling to undertake any further risks. Consequently, ruling prices were abnormally low. This uncomfortable position continued without any marked alleviation till the end of September 1931, supplying markets remaining languid under a-dead-weight of stocks and the consuming centres sluggish with a disappointing off-taks. In September there were feeble signs of a reaction. At this time two forces came into operation which affected the trend of sugar prices. The first of these was the suspension of the gold standard. This led to a rise of about 6 annas per cwt . in the course of one week in the Bombay market, a tendency which, however, did not continue for long because there was considerable uncertainty regarding the future course of exchange and orders were restricted to the minimum. The second factor which affected the price of sugar was the revision of the tariff. The import duty on sugar was raised in September 1931 to $\mathbf{R 9} 91-0$ per cwt . These two factors combined to restrict the importation of sugar to the minimum. The imports were furthar affected by two other factors which became particularly important in the year under review. In the first place, owing to the protection afforded by the enhanced rate of duty a great impetus was given to local production which increased the outturn both of factory sugar and khandsari or country sugar in India. Secondly, there were indications that in some parts of the country the demand of the consumer was shifting from foreign sugar to gur. The production of raw gur has shown a considerable increase in the last two years. In 1929-30 the production was $2,752,000$ tons.. In 1930-31 it had risen to $3,218,000$ tons

## Imports.

and in the year puder review it had reached a record figure of $3,880,000$ tons, thus showing an increase of over a million tons in two years. Bath these factors restricted the consumption of foreign sugar in India. Imports of : Bugar of all sorts, excluding molasses, deoreased from 901,000 tons in 1930-31 to 516,000 tons in 1931-32, thus showing a decline of 385,000 tons or 43 per .cent. The decline in value was from $\mathbb{E 1 0}, 54$ lakhs to $\mathrm{A} 6,01$ lakhs or 43 per cent. Imports of sugar 23 D. S. and above decreased from 728,000 tons to 365,000 tons. The main fall was in the imports from Java which amounted to 336,000 tons as compared with 715,000 tons in the preceding year. Imports from the United Kingdom went up slightly from 1,230 tons to 3,690 tons. Imports from Ceylon decreased from nearly 6,000 tons to a little under 1,000 tons. Imports from China, including Hongkong, decreased from nearly 5,000 tons to a little under 4,000 tons.

Imports of Java sugar into the different maritime provinces during 193132 were as follows :-


Previous year's figures are shown in brackets.
The total amount of beat sugar imported during the year was 118,000 tons as compared with 78,000 tons in the preceding year. Imports from most of the countries showed increases. Russia sent 68,000 tons in the year under review as compared with 43,000 tons in the preceding year. The share of the United Kingdom increased from 7,000 tons to 19,000 tons and that of Germany from 11,000 tons to 15,000 tons. On the other hand, the share of Hungary went down from 14,000 tons to less than a thousand tons. The bulk of the imports of beet sugar during the year was received in Sind and Bombay. The following table gives the imports of sugar from the principal sources for a series of years :-

Imports of sugar, all kinds (excluding molasses):


## sugar.

The stagnant condition of the Indian augar market, the causes of which have been discussed above, was clearly reflected in the course of sugar prices in India. That the prices did not decline considerably was due to the fact that the low levels already ruling did not permit of any further fall as a major portion of the stocks was held by a few strong dealers who had formed a sort of ring to maintain prices and the quotations did not decline considerably as would have otherwise been the case. The quotation on the 3rd of April 1931 for Java 25 D. S. in Calcatta, was $\mathrm{f} 8-15-0$ per maund. By the next week there was an increase of one anna, the price being f9. There was a slight relapse in prices in the next three weeks when prices ranged about 88 -15-0. Attor the first week of May prices rallied to some extent and by the middle of June prices had risen by 3 annas to R9-4-0. Prices remained more or less at this level throughout June and July. On the 31st of the latter month the quotation was R9-4-6. From this, throughout August and the first three weeks of September, there was a relapse and prices dropped on the 18th of September to $\mathrm{fl} 9-0-3$. On September 25th, after the suspension of the gold standard, the price rallied to $\mathrm{f9} 9-2-3$. At the end of September the tariff on sugar was raised and, concurrently with this, the quotation advanced to P10-6-0 on the 2nd October. The upward movement continued till the end of October when the quotation stood at R11-2-6. November witnessed, however, a slight relapse and by the end of the month prices had fallen to R10-14-0. The price rallied to R11-3-0 on the 4th of December, but again throughout the month there was a fall and by the end of the month the price had dropped to \#10-14-6. Throughout January and February 1932 prices were alightly under 711. Throughout March, however, there was a relapse and prices dropped to F10-11-0 on the 25th of March.

In the Bombay market the quotation for Java white, granulated T. M. O. quality, stood at $\mathrm{ml2-9-6}$ per owt. on April 2nd, 1931. Although the stock position was adverse, the favourable reports concerning the progress of the negotiations for the Chadbourne Plan created a steady under-tone and prices continued firm till the end of the month, the quotation on the lst of May being fl2-13-0. The next week witnessed a slight set-back, but there was a marked recovery following the announcement of the Bruseels Convention which was signed on the 9 th of the month. The upward trend continued until the quotation touched $\mathrm{El2}$-14-6 on the 22 nd . There was a slump once again in the course of the next two weeks but subsequently on receipt of reports stating that Java had disposed of all the balance of her old crop prices recovered and on the 12th of June the quotation was fl3-3-0, near about which level it remained generally till the 14th of August. This steadiness was mainly due to the firm reports from Java where the c.i.f. quotations to Indian ports had advanced as a result of improved offtakes in China and Japan, freight rates from Java to those two countries having gone down in consoquence of competition between Dutch and Japanese steamers. But in spite of the steadiness visible in the market the under-tone was far from encouraging on account of the heavy imports of Continental sugar at cheap ratee, and prices soon began to move downwards with ocoasional rallies till the 11 th of September on which date the quotation stood at R12-12-0. Later an upward trend was initiated by the gradual improvement in the stock position. The quotation stood at fl3-3-6 on the 18th of September. In the course of the next week thero was a further rise to A13-7-0 due primarily to the

## Imports.

reaction produced by the suspension of the gold standard. At the end of the month, owing to the increase in tariff, the quotation advanced and stood at $\mathrm{Al} 5-0-0$ on the 2 nd of October. The upward movement continued till the 23rd of the month when the price quoted was \#15-8-0. Part of the rise in prices was no doubt due to an improvement in the stock position and also toa revival of demand due to such temporary circumstances as the advent of the Diwali festival. Subsequently, however, owing to fluctuations in the rate of exchange, the quotations moved erratically, sagging to R15-7-0 in the last week of October and taking an upward spurt to $\mathrm{ml} 15-12-0$ on the 13th of November. From the 4th of December to 15th of January the quotation remained at about $\mathrm{fl} 5-11-0$. This relatively high level was maintained on account of the reaction produced by a further depreciation of the sterling. The olosing weeks of January saw the quotation at $\mathrm{Pl} 5-120$ and the first two weeks of February at A15-13-0. The firmness in the prices was probably to be ascribed to the gradual reduction of stocks in consuming markets upcountry as a result of the closure of the market in Bombay during this period. But later, from the 19th February prices tended to be depressed concurrently with the improvement in the cross rate, the quotation declining from R15-11-6 on the 26th February to P15-8-6 on the 4th March. By the ond of March the quotation had receded still further to R15-0-6. This decline was due to the realisation in the market that the available supplies were in excess of the demand.

In the chart on the bpposite page the prices of Cuban raw sugar at New York and the course of prices in Calcutte of sugar, all-cane, equal in colous to 25 D. S. and above are compared. At the beginning of April 1931 Cuban raw sugar was quoted at 1.33 cents per 1 lb . After declining to $1 \cdot 1 \mathrm{ll}$ cents on the 28th May the quotation recovered to 1.55 cents on the 23rd July. It remained within 1.50 cents till the 20th August. On the 27th of that month the rate quoted declined to $1 \cdot 42$ cents. September and October witnessed rather heavy fluctuations. But from the second week of November the downward movement was resumed. After touching $1 \cdot 10$ cents on the 17th December the quotation recovered to $1 \cdot 16$ cents on the 14th January but returned to $1-10$ cents on the 21 st of the month. The subsequent weeks saw a gradual decline which carried prices back to 0.73 cents on the 24th March 1932.

The imports of molasses, almost wholly from Java, declined from 102,000 tons valued at R42 $\frac{2}{2}$ lakhs in 1930-31 to 40,000 tons valued at R15 $\frac{3}{3}$ lakhs in 1931-32.

Re-exports of sugar from India increased from 3,400 tons valued at A6t lakhs in 1930-31 to 5,600 tons valued at Rl 1 t lakhs in 1931-32. The share of Kenya Colony (including Zanzibar and Pemba) was almost the same as in the preceding year, a little over 1,000 tons. The share of Arabia went up from about 500 tons to nearly 1,300 tons. The share of other countries went. up from a thousand tons to a little over 2,000 tons.

The total area under sugarcane in India was 2,886,000 acres in 1931-32 as against $2,797,000$ acres in 1930-31 or an increase of 3 per cent. The total production of raw sugar, gur, was estimated at $3,880,000$ tons, the highest tigure on record as compared with $3,218,000$ tons in 1930-31 or an increase of 21 per cent. The production of sugar by modern factories and refineries

Chart showing the average monthly prices of Cuban raw sugar at Now York and of sugar Jave white 25 D. S. and/or higher at Calcutta from January 1931 to March 1932.


## Provisions.

in the season 1930-31 amounted to 155,000 tons as compared with 111,000 tons in 1929-30 and 99,000 tons in 1928-29. Exports of Indian sugar during the year under review were almost the same as in the preceding year, a little under a thousand tons. This included 134 tons of unrefined sugar. There was an increase in the exports of molasses (including palmyra and cane jaggery) from nearly 500 tons to nearly 750 tons. Of this, 91 per, cent were despatched from Madras. Shipments to Ceylon accounted for a little over 650 tons.

Provisions ( $\mathrm{R} 8,41$ lakhs).-Under this comprehensive head, which covers a large variety of articles such as camned and bottled provisions, farinaceous and patent foods, condensed milk, bisouits and cakes, confectionery, bacon and ham, cheese, jams and jellies, pickles and sauces, butter, cocos and chocolate, isinglass, ghi, lard and vinegar, the total value of the imports recorded showed a further decline from $\mathrm{f4} 4,88$ lakhs in 193031 to $\mathrm{R} 3,41$ lakhs during the year under review. Canned and bottled pro-

Canned and bottled provisions. visions representing about 33 per cent. of the total value of provisions imported in 1931-32 showed a remarkable set-back from $\mathrm{f} 2,01$ lakhs recorded in 1930-31 to A1, 12 lakhs. Imports of vegetable product, which constitutes the most important single item in this particular group fell from 296,000 owts. valued at $\mathrm{P} 1,09$ lakhs to 117,000 owts. valued at R 42 lakhs. The bulk of the imports of vegetable product came, as nsual, from the Netherlands which sent $79,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at Z 29 lakhs as compared with $232,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at 785 lakhs in 1930-31. The remainder came mainly from Germany (R5 lakhs), Denmark ( P 4 lakhs) and Belgium ( f 2 lakhs). The value of tinned fish and canned fruits fell by 61 and 43 per cent. respectively and amounted to about f77 lakhs each. Farinaceous and patent foods ahowed a decrease from $343,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathbf{R 8 5}$ lakhs to $337,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R72 lakhs, of which farinaceous foods in bulk (chiefly sago, tapioca, etc., imported from the Straits Settlements and, to a smaller extent, from Hongkong) were imported to the value of P 30 lakhs and milk foods for infants and invalids, mostly from the United Kingdom, were valued at $\mathbf{R 2 3}$ lakhs. Imports of condensed

Condeased milk: milk amounted to $186,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at F 57 lakhs as compared with $227,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R79 lakhs in 1930-31. Arrivals during the year included 88,000 ewts. from the Netherlands and $31,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. from Switzerland as against $126,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 10,000 cwts. respectively in the preceding year. The other sources of supply were the United Kingdom ( $20,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.), Italy ( $16,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ), Denmark ( $10,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ ) and Norway ( 5,000 cwts.). Imports of biscuita and cakes amounted to 36,000

## Biscuits and cakes.

 cwts. valued at R30 lakhs as compared with 48.000 cwts. valued at R40 lakhs in 1930-31. The Uaited Kingdom supplied 27,000 cwts. or 75 per cent. of the total quantity imported in 1931-32 as compared with 34,000 cwts. or 71 per cent. in the preceding year ; the remainder came chiefly from the Straits Settlements. Imports of both confectionery, and jams and jellies, mostly from the United Kingdom, reclined, the former from $22,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R 20 lakhs to 19,000 ewts. valued at $\mathbf{P 1 6}$ lakhs and the latter from 13,500 cwts. valued at R 6 lakhs to 9,000 owts. valued at R 4 lakhs. Imports of cocoa and chocolate, however, remained almost stationary, having amounted tc 4,000 cwts. valued at $\mathrm{f} 3 \geq$ lakhs. Bacon and ham showed a further decrease from 13,500 cwts. to 11,100 cwts.
## Imports.

The United Kingdom which was, as ususl, the chief source of supply, sent $9,600 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1931-32 as against 12,100 cwts. in the preceding year. Imports of cheese, mostly from the Netherlands and the United Kingdom, amounted to 7,000 cwts. valued at R 6 lakhs as against $10,400 \mathrm{cwts}$., valued at P 10 lakhs in the preceding year. Among other articles, imports of butter, ghee and vinegar increased both in quantity and value, while pickles and sauces and isinglass showed decreases. The ahare of the United Kingdom in the total value of the imports of provisions, all sorts, amounted to 44 per cent., the actual value recorded having declined from $\not \mathbf{7 1 , 9 4}$ lakhs in $1930-31$ to $\mathbf{~} 1,51$ lakhs. The imports from the Netherlands dropped from 71,27 lakhs or 26 per cent. to fi57 lakhs or 17 per cent. in 1931-32 and those from the United States of America decined from f 40 lakhs to Z 22 lakhs. Imports from the Straits Settlements, which consist mostly of farinaceous foods and, to a less extent, of goods in transit from Australia, were valued at $\mathbf{f 2 4} 2 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs as compared with f 32 lakhs in the preceding year ; direct supplies from Australia increased to $\mathrm{Z13}$ lakhs from $\mathrm{A9} 9$ lakhs in 1930-31, but China lost about f5 lakhe' worth of trade, imports from that source amounting only to Al 10 lakhs.

Chemicals ( $\mathrm{R} 2,57$ lakhs).-The total imports of chemicals (excluding chemical manures and medicines) in 1931-32 were valued at $\mathbf{f 2 , 5 7}$ lakhs, a

Sodium compounds. decline of $\mathrm{\#} 4$ lakhs in comparison with 1930-31. Sodium compounds accounting for 47 per cent. of the total imports of chemicals as against 45 per cent. in 1930-31 amounted to $1,515,000$ cwts. valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 21$ lakhs as compared with $1,507,000$ ewts. valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 17$ lakhs in the preceding year. Imports of sodium carbonate amounted to $1,016,000$ certs. ( 762 lakhs) as compared with $1,052,000$ ewts. ( $\mathbf{R 6 4 \frac { 1 } { 2 }}$ lakhs), the United Kingdom, as usual, remaining the chief source of supply. Tho total consignments of caustic soda, drawn chiefly, as in previous years, from the United Kingdom, Germany and the United States of America, rose from $231,900 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R 27 lakhs to $261,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at f 32 lakhs. Among other descriptions of sodium compounds, sodium bicarbonate, sodium sulphide and borax showed increases which were to some extent counterbalanced by decreases under sodium silicate and sodium cyanide. Imports of acids declined from 35,000 cwts. to 29,000 cwts. in quantity and from R10 lakhs to $\mathrm{f8} 8$ lakhs in value. The bulk of this decline is accounted for by a reduction under sulpharic acid from $13,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $5,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. Imports of nitric acid which had expanded from

## Acid.

2,200 owts. in 1929-30 to $4,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1930-31 showed a further advance to $10,800 \mathrm{cwts}$. during 193132. Among other descriptions of acids, tartaric acid showed a decline of 900 cwts. and acetic acid an increase of $1,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., the actual quantities imported in 1931-32 having been 2,400 and $4,200 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively. Under

> Ammonia and ammoninm ealta. ammonia and ammonium salts there was an improvement from $28,800 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $33,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. Bleaching materials showed a further increase in quantity from $122,300 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $131,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. but without any corresponding gain in value, which remained fairly steady at obout fllo lakhs. Imports of alum, returned at $26,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., just maintained the low level touched in 1930-31 but aluminous sulphates showed a further decline to $48,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. from 59,200 cwts. in 1930-31. Consignments of sulphur received during the year amounted to 312,000 cwts. valued at $\mathbb{Z 1 6}$ lakhs as against 353,000 cwts. valued st

## Drugs and Medicines.

\#18 lakhs in 1930-31. Supplies from Italy fell from 283,000 cwts. to 233,000 cwts. and those from Germany from 54,000 cwts. to 21,000 cwts. There was an increase from 6,500 cwts. to 7,700 owts. under glycerine, but the value of the consignments rather declined from $\mathrm{fi} 2 \frac{8}{4}$ lakbs to $\mathrm{A} 2 \frac{2}{8}$ lakhs. Imports of calcium carbide, lead compounds, magnesium compounds and potassium compounds showed decreases in quantity in comparison with the preceding year but copperas and copper sulphates showed no appreciable variation. The following table gives the percentage shares of the principal countries in the total imports of chemicsls.

Percentage shares of principal countries in the total value of chemicals imported.


As will appear from the above table, the downward trend in the percentage share of the United Kingdom received a check during the year under review. Italy showed a relatively heavy decline in her percentage share. With the exception of Japan, all other principal countries also showed decreases.

Drugs and medicines ( $\mathrm{Z1} 1,91$ lakhs). -The total value of drugs and medicines imported recorded a fall of 2 per cent. from RI,94 lakhs in 1930-31 Camphor. to R1,91 lakhs in 1931-32. Imports of camphor rose from $1,770,000 \mathrm{lhs}$. valued at A 27 lakhs to $1,933,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at R 29 lakhs. Supplies from Germany amounted to $568,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. as compared with $727,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in the preceding year. Switzerland and Italy increased their supplies from $153,700 \mathrm{lbs}$ and $355,100 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $325,300 \mathrm{lbs}$. and $479,100 \mathrm{lbs}$. respectively, while receipts of natural camphor, mostly from Japan, amounted to $451,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. as compared with $495,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1930-31. Arrivals in 1931-32 also included 12,500 lbs. from Belgiom, $91,300 \mathrm{lbs}$. from the Straits Settlements and $3,300 \mathrm{lbs}$. from the United Kingdom. About 111,000 lbs. of quinine salte were imported as compared with $107,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in the preceding year. There was no importation of cinchona bark in 1931-32. The value recorded under proprietary and patent medicines increased from

## Imports.

\#43 lakhs to $\mathbb{7 4 6}$ lakhs, to which the United Kingdom contributed $\mathfrak{f 2 2}$ lakhs and Germany and the United States of America R8 lakhs each. Imports of codliver oil advanced from $60,800 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1930-31 to $70,900 \mathrm{lbs}$. during the year under review. The United Kingdom supplied only 277 ounces of cocaine out of a total of 856 ounces and 747 ounces of morphia out of a total of 928 ounces, the remsinder coming mostly from Germany. Preparations of opium and morphia, imported chiefly from the United Kingdom, were valued at $\mathrm{R} 70,000$ as compared with $\mathbf{~} 67,000$ in the preceding year.

Paper and pasteboard (R2,50 lakhs). The total imports of paper and pasteboard declined from $2,294,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,87$ lakhs to $2,191,000$ owts. valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,50$ lakhs, of which $1,915,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. (R2,24 lakhs) represented paper of all kinds as against $1,985,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ( $\mathrm{R2} 2,60$ lakbs) in the preceding year. Imports of printing paper amounted to $616,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at f 80 lakhs as compared with $683,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., valued at $\nexists 99$ lakhs in 1930-31. Newsprinting paper deolined from $431,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $399,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and from $\mathrm{P} 52 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to R443 lakhs in value. Other kinds of printing paper also recorded a deorease from $252,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at f 46 lakhs to $217,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at f 35 lakhs. Norway which, with her abundant resources of wood pulp had been maintaining a predominant position in this line in Indian markets had, as a result of labour difficulties in her paper industry, to reduce her supplies considerably from $242,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. (R30 lakhs) to $131,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ( Fl 15 lakhs), thereby yielding her place to Austria, imports from which source increased from 152,000 owts. valued at F 20 lakhs in 1930-31 to 200,000 owts. valued at f 23 lakhs in 1931-32. The share of the United Kingdom fell from 77,000 cwts. ( Zl 16 lakhs) to $50,000 \mathrm{ewts}$. ( Fl 10 lakhs). Imports from the Netherlands dropped by about $3,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $41,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and those from Belgium by $4,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ to 6,000 owts.- But Germany and Sweden were able to advance their respective quotas from $54,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $35,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $74,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $56,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.

Imports of writing paper and envelopes which had declined to 157,000 cwts. in 1930-31 showed a slight improvement to 159,000 ewts. during the

## Writing paper.

 year under review, but lower prices accounted for a decline in value from $\mathrm{P} 46 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to P 44 lakhs. As usual, the principal supplying countries were the United Kingdom (42,000 cwts.), Norway ( 28,000 owts.) and the Netherlands ( 15,000 cwts.). In the preceding year their respective contributions had been $55,000 \mathrm{cwts} ., 35,000$. cwts. and $16,000 \mathrm{ewts}$.Imports of packing paper decreased from $231,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $205,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and from $\mathrm{f} 38 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to a little above F 31 lakhs in value. Swe-

## Paoking paper.

 den's position as the premier supplier remsined unassailed, but imports from that country came down from 105,000 cwts. valued at Rl 17 lakhs to $91,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 13 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Imports from Germany showed a further reduction from $38,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at P 5 lakhs to 33,000 owts. valued at $\mathrm{R} 4 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. But the United Kingdom increased her contribution still further from 17,000 cwts. in 193031 to $20,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Imports from Austris declined from $18,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ to 16,000 cwts. At the same time, Norway was unable to maintain the improvement noticed in 1930-31, her share having dropped by about 5,000 cwta. to 8,000 conts.
## Liquors.

Imports of old newspapers showed a slight advance from $823,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Old newspapers, otc. to 837,000 owts. of which the United Kingdom supplied 666,000 cwts. as against 650,000 cwts. in 193031. The percentage share of the United Kingdom in the trade rose from 79 to 80, while that of the United States of America receded from 21 to 20.

Imports of paper manufactures declined from $21,000 \mathrm{cwta}$. valued at P12 $\frac{1}{3}$ lakhs to 19,000 ewts. valued at R10 lakhs. 'The United Kingdom, as
Paper manufaotures, eto. usual, was the principal supplier and sent consignments having an aggregate value of $\mathrm{R5}$ lakhs in 1931-32. Imports of pasteboard, millboard and cardboard of all kinds fell from $308,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at F 37 lakhs to 276,000 cwts. valued at $\not \approx 26$ lakhs. Of these, strawboard accounted for 197,000 owts. valued at R 12 lakhs.

The following table shows the percentage shares of the principal countries in the total value of paper and pasteboard imported into British India.

## Percentage shares of principal countries in the total imports of paper and pasteboard.

| - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14ig-1t } \\ & \text { (pro-wity } \\ & \text { yose } \end{aligned}$ | 1097-28 | 1088-49 | 1820-80 | 10030-81 | 1931-28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United Kingdom . | Per cent | Per cent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pas cont } \\ \hline 97 \% \end{gathered}$ | Fot cont | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Par renes } \\ & 81 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per ecnt } \\ 80-2 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $5 \cdot 1$ -8 | 11.4 | $\cdots \quad$. | 14.2 9.2 | $15 \cdot 0$ | $10.8$ |
| 8wedod | 8.8 | 8 | ${ }^{8} \%$ | 20.3 | 10.4 | 11.8 |
| Netherlanda | 275 | $8 \cdot 4$ | 76 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.4 |
| Japan ${ }_{\text {Germany }}$ | 1.0 178 | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { S } \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | \%-3 18.8 | 19.6 | 8.9 0.7 | 8.0 11.0 |
| Germbiay | ${ }_{8}^{178}$ | ${ }_{6}^{11}$ | 18.8 | 1 | 108 | 1188 |
| Other countriea | 6.6 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 82 | 96 | 8.0 | 8.1 |
| Tozax | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

There was a considerable decrease in the percentage share of Norway in 1931-32. Norway's paper industry remained inactive for some months of the year, owing to the lock-out and imports from that country were in consequence very much restricted. On the other hand, Sweden, Germany and Austria who were benefited by the Norwegian labour troubles advanced their respective positions. The shares of the United Kingdom and the Netherlands showed a further decline.

In 1931 there were altogether ten paper mills in India, viz., four in Bengal, three in Bombay and one each in the United Provinces, Madras and Travancore State. The aggregate production of the Indian mills in 1931 amounted to 40,714 tons as compared with 39,800 tons in $1930,40,800$ tons in 1929 and 38,100 tons in 1928. As Indian mills normally depend to a great extent on wood fibre imported from abroad, imports of wood pulp na-

Pulp of wood. turally vary with the magnitude of the output of paper by the local mills. Imports of wood pulp in 1931-32 amounted to 22,100 tons valued at f 36 lakhs as compared with 22,700 tona valued at R 42 lakhs in 1930-31. Norway and Sweden together accounted for 11,000 tons as against 17,000 tons in 1930-31, the remainder coming chiefly from Finland, Germany and Austria.

Liquors ( $\mathrm{f} 2,27$ lakhs). -There was a further decline in the imports of liquor, the total quantity imported having fallen by 21 per cent from 7.2 mil-

## Imports.

lion gallons in 1930-s1 to $5 \cdot 7$ million gallons in 1931-32, and the value by 32 per cent. from $\nexists 3,32$ lakhs to $\not \approx 2,27$ lakhs. The decrease was shared by all the provinces. Bombay took the largest quantity, viz., 1.9 million gallons as against $2 \cdot 2$ million gallons in the preceding year, Bengal coming a close second with 1.6 million gallons as compared with 2.1 million galions in 1930-31. In value, however, Bengal took the lead with $\not 768$ lakhs, or f3 lakhs in excess of the value of the imports into Bombay. Their respective imports in 1930-31 were valued at f 95 lakhs and Z 90 lakhs. The imports into Sind and Madras were valued at f38 lakhs and fi25 lakhs as against $\not$ f 63 lakhs and $¥ 36$ lakhs respectively in 1930-31. The off-take of Burms amounted to 675,000 gallons valued at $\nexists 32$ lakhs as compared with 928,000 gallons valued at $\notin 48$ lakbs in the preceding year.

Of the total quantity of liquors imported, ale, beer and porter accounted for 66 per cent.; spirit 31 per cent. and wines only 3 per cent. Imports of.

Ale, beer and porter. ale, beer and porter fell from $4,610,000$ gallons to $3,725,000$ gallons. The bulk of the decrease under this head occurred under ale and beer, the imports of which dropped from $4,431,000$ gallons to $3,597,000$ gallons. Of these, beer imported in bulk (mainly for the use of troops) amounted to $1,352,000$ gallons as against $1,653,000$ gallons in 1930-31 and was drawn mainly from the Uvited Kingdom which supplied $1,322,000$ gallons or 98 per cent. Bottled beer, imports of which totalled $2,245,000$ gallons in 1931-32 as compared with $2,778,000$ gallons in the preceding year, came largely from the United Kingdom ( 46 per cent.), Germany ( 24 per cent.), the Netherlands ( 15 per cent.) and Japan ( 12 per cent.). Imports of stout and porter amounted to 127,000 gallons as against 179,000 gallons in 1930-31 and were, as usual, consigned chiefly from the United Kingdom.

Imports of spirit, all sorts, totalled $1,769,000$ gallons valued at R1,35 lakhs as compared with $2,318,000$ gallons valued at $\mathrm{f} 2,05$ lakhs in 1930-31.

Spirit. The details of the principal kinds of spirit imported into British India are shown below:-

| - |  | 1030.31 |  | 1931-32 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brandy | - • - | Qallons 346,900 | A (latha) | Gallons | A (lakhs) |
| Gin | - . | 126,700 | 39 | 153,100 | 19 |
| Rum | - - | 33,100 | 11 | 80,800 | 7 |
| Liqueurs | - - - | 14,100 | 3 | 12,500 | 2 |
| Whinky | - . - | 512,600 | 88 | 315,400 | 63 |
| Spirit, preeant in druge | - . - | 119,400 | 34 | 102,900 | 31 |
| is porfumed . | - - | 10,200 | 8 | 7,800 | - 6 |
| n denatured | - . . | 1,117,500 | 12 | 1,052,400 | - 10 |
| n other morta - | - . . | 38,500 | 9 | 22,000 | 7 |

The decrease was thus fairly well distributed over all descriptions of spirit. Quantitatively the most important single item included in the above table is denatured spirit, which is almost entirely received from Java, while judging from the point of view of the declared value, the most important line is whisky which representing as it does mostly the product of Scottish distilleries is practically a monopoly of the United Kingdom. Gin is imported chiefly

Salt. .
from this latter source, although supplies are drawn, to a far less extol $t$. from the Netherlands as well. Brandy is almost entinely imported from France' with the exception of small quantities received from the United Kingdom and Germany. The share of the United Kingdom in the total imports of spirit fell to 463,000 gallons valued at f 83 lakhs from 708,000 gallons valued at $\mathrm{fl}, 25$ lakhs in 1930-31. The supplies from France also decreased from 339,000 gallons to 157,000 gallons in quantity and from $\mathbf{R 4 2}$ lakhs to $\boldsymbol{\# 2 2}$ lakhs in value. Imports from Java amounted to $1,069,000$ gallons in quantity valued at $\mathbf{R 1 0}$ lakhs against $1,144,000$ gallons valued at Z 12 lakhs in 1930-31. The receipts from the United States of America amounted to 46,000 gallons valued at 714 lakhs as compared with 57,000 gallons valued at Rl6 lakhs in the preceding year. German supplies declined to 16,000 gallons.

The total imports of wines fell from 246,000 gallons valued at 724 lakhs to 165,000 gallons valued at f15 lakhs in 1931-32. As usual, the supplies

## Wines.

 came mainly from France ( 61,000 gallons valued at A5 lakhs), the United Kingdom ( 44,000 gallons valued at f 6 lakhs) and Italy ( 23,000 gallons valued at R 1 lakh).Salt (R72 lakhs).-The imports of foreign salt by sea into British India declined by 36 per cent in quantity from 704,000 tons in 1930-31 to 451,000 tons in 1931-32 and by 37 per cont in value from $\mathrm{Al} 1,15$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R72}$ lakhs. With the exception of Aden, the most important source of supply, all the other countries sent much less than in the preceding year. Of the total quantity imported in 1931-32 nearly 314,000 tons or 70 per cent came from Aden as compared with 188,000 tons or 27 per cent of the total in the preceding year. The increase in Aden's share was due to the additional duty of 4as. $6 p$. per maund imposed on non-Indian salts by the Salt (Additional import duty) Act of 1931, Germany reduced her supplies from 97,000 tons to 26,000 tons, Spain from 67,000 tons to 4,000 tons and the United Kingdom from 42,000 tons to 26,000 tons. There were also smaller receipts from Italian East Africa and Egypt which amounted to 67,000 tons and 15,000 tons respectively as against 154,000 tons and 135,000 tons in 1930-31. The following table shows the percentage shares of the principal countrics of consigament :-

Percentage shares of principal countries in the imports of sall.

| .. | $\begin{gathered} 1913-14 \\ \text { (pre-war } \\ \text { year) } \end{gathered}$ | 1827-28 | 1928-90 | 1929.30 | 1930-81 | 1931-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aden and Dependenoies | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Por cent } \\ 19 \cdot 1 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \overline{P o r} \text { cont } \\ 30-2 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Per oent } \\ 83 \cdot 2 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \hline \text { Per cent } \\ 8 A \cdot 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Por cent } \\ 268 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Por oent } \\ 69 \cdot 6 \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| Egypt . - | 13.9 | 20.7 | $18 \cdot 6$ | 16.8 | $19 \cdot 1$ | $8 \cdot 4$ |
| United Kingdom . | 22.5 | $13 \cdot 6$ | 11.2 | 12-0 | $8 \cdot 9$ | $5 \cdot 7$ |
| Spain - . . | 16.0 | 140 | $9 \cdot 6$ | 108 | 9-1 | -8 |
| Germany - | $8 \cdot 8$ | 9.5 | 10.4 | 98 | $12 \cdot 8$ | 8.7 |
| Italian East Afrioa | $8 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | 106 | 21.9 | 14.9 |
| Other countries | $12 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 5$ | 3.6 | 8.4 | .. |
| Torar | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Toras quantity neporied (ms tons) | 607,300 | 596,200 | 614,700 | 643,850 | 708,640 | 451,080 |

Foreign salt is largely consumed in Bengal and, to a less extent, in Burma. The former took 402,000 tons or 89 per cent of the total quantity imported

## Imports.

in 1931-32 and the latter 49,000 tons or 11 per cent as compared with 87 and 18 per cent respectively in 1930-31. A fair quantity of Indisn sea-salt is alno imported, ahiefly from Bombay and Karachi, for consumption in Bengal. The constwise imports of Indian salt into Calcutta amounted to 97,500 tons in 1931-32 as compared with 48,300 tons in 1930-31. The following table shows the prices per 100 maunds of Liverpool, Rashafun (Italian East Africa), Aden and Indian salt for 1931-32, month by month; at Calcutta :-


Other articles.-The table below shows the important items comprised in this group :-

|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 1918-14 \\ \text { (pro-mar } \\ \text { year }) \end{array}\right\|$ | 1826-27 | .1987-28 | 1928-29 | 1920-30 | 1930-81 | 1931-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 72 (latchs) | It (latha) | 7 (lakhs) | In (lakhs) | A (lakhs) | \# (lakhs) | A (lakhs) |
| Instrumenta, apparatus, oto. | 1,88 | 4,01 | 4,47 | 4,98 | 5,38 | 4,77 | 3,69 |
| Dyaing and tanning aubstances. | 1,11 | 2,13 | 2,65 | 2,83 | 2,43 | 2,59 | 8,69 8,68 |
| Spiosa . . | 1,78 | 8,29 | 2,58 | 2,94 | 8,26 | 2,66 |  |
| Glay and glammare. . . | 1,05 | 8,58 | 2,48 | 2,87. | 8,52 | 2,60 | 2,08 1,28 |
| Tobsa00 . - | 76 | 2,56 | 2,91 | 2,75 | 2,70 | 1,61 | 1,24 |
| Procious atonea and pearis, unsot | 1,07 | 1,07 | 1,34 | 1,17 | 1,10 | 1,60 | 45 |
| Cement <br> Coal and coke | 68 11 | 68 36 | 64 | 68 89 | 46 | 55 96 | 41 |

Imports of instruments and apparatus received a further set-back, the total value recorded having fallen from $\mathrm{Ft}, 77$ lakhs in 1930-31 to $\mathrm{R} 3,69$ lakhs.

Instruments and apparstull (fR, 69 lath

The value of electrical instruments and apparatus fell by P 88 lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 2,23$ lakhs. The United Kingdom no doubt maintsined her usual position as the principal source of supply of electrical apparatus for the Indian markets but the value of her total consignments showed a still further decline from the previous year's low figure of $\mathrm{Pl}, 74$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Rl}, 15$ lakhs. There were also decreases in the contributions of all the other principal supplying countries, notably of the United States of America and Germany, which lost respec-

## Other Articles.

tively $\mathbb{1} 13 \frac{1}{\mathrm{y}}$ and F 10 lakhs' worth of trade in comparison with the preceding year. The following statement shows the values of the different classes of electrical apparatus imported during the last three years :-

| $\cdots$ - \% | 1020-50 | 1830-31 | 1931.38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% | \# (latha) | I7 (lakha) | A (lakbs) |
| Hectrio fane and perte thereof |  | ${ }_{1}^{28}$ | 19 |
| Telograph and telephone instrumentis | 1,15 | ${ }_{6}^{1,08}$ | ${ }_{8}^{80}$ |
| Eleotrio lamps and parta thereof. | 65 | 89 | 64 |
| Battoriea \% - . . . | 14 | 18 | 11 |
| Carbona, clootric . . . . . . | 8 | 3 | 3 |
| Acoumulatora . . . . . . | 26 | 18 | 9 |
| Elootric lighting a0cemorice and fittings, inolading awitohes. | 17 | 9 | 8 |
|  | 9 | 7 | 7 3 |
| Switch boarde (other then telograph end talophone) Unonomerated | 68 | 8 60 | 8 |
| Torar | 3,81 | 8,1I | 2,23 |

All other descriptions of instruments and apparatus, e.g., musical, surgical, optical and scientific instruments and cinematographic films also recorded decreases. Musical instruments showed a relatively small decline, viz., from ¥26 lakhs to $\mathbf{7 2 2}$ lakhs: Imports of surgical instruments were valued at R14 lakhs, a decline of a little more than R3 lakhs. Scientific instruments were valued at Al 4 lakhs as against Zll lakhs in 1930-31. Under cinematographic films, both raw and exposed films showed decreases. Imports of raw films (i.e., those on which no pictures have been impressed) totalled 22.3 million feet ( Z 9 lakhs) as compared with 28.0 million feet (\#ll lakhs) in 1930-31, thus showing a return to the level touched in 1929-30. Foreign made exposed films were imported to the extent of 9.0 million feet valued at $\mathrm{Z17}$ lakhs as compared with 10.2 million feet valued at R193 lakhs in 1930-31. The imports of photographic appliances, however, did not ahow any appreciable variation, and were valued at just above $\nexists 31 \frac{1}{1}$ lakhs as compared with a little below A 31 lakhs in 1930-31. Imports of wireless apparatus, consisting mainly of products of United Kingdom origin, were valued at f 9 lakhs as against $\mathrm{f} 7 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs in the preceding year. The share of the United Kingdom in the total trade in instruments and apparatus in 1931-32 was valued at fl,83 lakhs ( 50 per cent) as compared with $\not \approx 2,55$ lakhs ( 53 per cent) in 1930-31 and those of the United States of Americs and Germany were valued at f63 lakhs ( 17 per cont) and 761 lakhs ( 17 per cent) respectively during the year under review.

Imports of dyeing and tanning substances continued to advance, the total value amounting in 1931-32 to $\mathbf{7 2 , 6 8}$ lakhs, an increase of fl 9 lakhs in comparison with 1930-31 and of 724 lakhs in comparison with 1929-30. Coal-tar dyes, representing the bulk of the imports under this head, showed an

## Imports.

increase from 17.6 million lbs . valued at $\mathrm{a} 2,23$ lakhs to 17.9 million lbs . valued at $\mathrm{P} 2,33$ lakhs. This improvement was due almost entirely to an increase in the imports of aniline dyes, viz., from 12.8 million lbs. to 13.0 million lbs. in quantity and from $\mathrm{Al} 1,81$ lakhs to $\mathrm{ml}, 89$ lakhs in value. Aniline dyes represented 73 per cent of the total imports of coal-tar dyes as in the preceding year. Imports of alizarine dyes, on the other hand, showed a small decline of about $29,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in comparison with the imports of the previous year, which had been returned at 3.2 million lbs. But owing to higher prices the value recorded advanced from \#16 lakhs to A18 lakhs. The average declared value per lb . for aniline advanced from $\mathrm{Al} 1-6-7$ in 1930-31 to $\mathrm{Al} 1-7-2$ in the year under report and that for alizarine from $\mathrm{R} 0-8$-1 to $\mathrm{mo} 0-9$-1. Supplies of aniline dyes from Germany, including consignments from the Netherlands and Belgium. which are of German origin, rose to 9.8 million lbs. from 9.6 million lbs . in 1930-31. But imports of alizarine from the same sources remained practically unchanged at 2.4 million lbs. Imports of aniline and alizarine dyes from the United Kingdom showed an improvement from $821,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. and $724,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $1,315,000$ lbs. and $858,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. respectively. Supplies of aniline dyes from the United States of America fell from 1.2 million lbs. to 1.0 million lbs., while those of alizarine which had amounted to $107,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1930-31 dwindled into insignificance in the year under review. Among other sources of supply for aniline dyes, Switzerland showed an increase but Italy's sharedecreased. Imports of synthetic indigo in the year under review amounted to $8,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. (\#12 lakhs), of which $5,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. came from Germany and about $1,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. from Switzerland. The following statement shows the details of the imports of alizarine and of aniline dyes during the past three years :-

|  | Quaktit | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \text { In xHiot } \\ & \text { OF LBs, } \end{aligned}$ | Ugands | $\therefore \text { VALD }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R IR LAK } \\ & \text { RUPKige } \end{aligned}$ | or |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1829-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 | 1829-30 | 1030-31 | 1931-32 |
| Importo of Alizarine Dyea- <br> From United Kingdom <br> * Germany <br> " United Statea <br> - Bolgiom . <br> " Nethorlands <br> " Switzerland <br> "Other countries. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,405 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,685 | 2,369 | 2,354 | 18 | 12 | 13. |
|  | 76 | 107 | $\cdots$ | 1 | .. |  |
|  | $\stackrel{713}{ }$ | $\cdots 1$ | $\because$ | $\cdots 1$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |
|  | 213 49 | 40 | $\because$ | 1 | $\because$ | $\therefore$ |
|  | .. | .. |  | $\because$ |  |  |
| Total | 5,328 | 3,241 | 8,212 | 27 | 16 | 18 |
| Imports of Aniline Dyes-n |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Onited Kingdom | 090 | 821 |  |  |  |  |
| - Germany - | 9,171 | 9,494 | 9,690 | 1,02 | 1,28 | 1,87 |
| " Unitod Staton | 1,511 | 1,156 | ${ }^{982}$ | 15 | 13 | 11 |
| " $\%$ Nelgiom ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 55 81 | $\begin{array}{r}59 \\ \hline 98\end{array}$ | 136 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ N Switzerland | 81 707 | 28 487 | 56 | 1 | 18 | 11 |
| " Other countries. | $\begin{aligned} & 787 \\ & 804 \end{aligned}$ | 487 <br> 79 |  | 19 8 | 18 | 14 |
| Totar | 13,319 | 12,819 | 13,035 | 1,60 | , 81 | 1,89 |

## Tobacco.

There was a further decline in the total imports of spices, which in 1931-92 smolunted to $1,270,000$ cwts. valued at $\mathrm{m2} 2,08$ lakhs as against $1,347,000 \mathrm{ow}$ ts.
Spiods (R2,08 lakhs). valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,55$ lakhs in 1930-31. The bulk of the year's decline is to be attributed to a falling-off in the imports of betelnuts from $1,190,000$ owts. ( $\mathrm{R} 1,89$ lakhs) in 1930-31 to 1,101,000 cwts. ( $\mathrm{R} 1,45$ lakhs). Receipts from the Straits Settlements amounted to 989,000 ewts. and those from Ceylon to 98,000 owts. as compared with $1,006,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $170,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively in 1930-31. Imports of pepper also fell from $15,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ( ft 10 lakhs) to $10,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ( f 6 lakhs), to which tho Straits Settlements contributed 8,000 owts. ( $\mathrm{Z5}$ lakhs). The decline under these two heads, however, was to some extent connter-balanced by an increase in the imports of cloves from 61,000 cwts. valued at R137\% lakhs to $84,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{f} 42 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs, due mainly to larger arrivals from Kenya Colony, and Zanzibar and Pembs, which together supplied 67,000 cwts. as against $52,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1930-31.

The total value of the imports of glass and glassware amounted to R1,22 lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{Fl}, 65$ lakhs in 1930-31 and 722,52 lakhs in 1929-3U. All the descriptions under this head, without ex.

Glass and plassware ( $\mathbf{\# 1 , 2 2}$ lakhs). ception, recorded decreases. Of the prinoipal countries participating in this trade, Czechoslovakis showed the greatest percentage decline with her supplies falling in value from ¥f36 lakhs to f 23 lakhs which was even less than one-third of what she had realised in 1929-30. Japan retained the foremost position in the trade, but the value of her supplies fell from $\mathbf{f 5 5}$ lakhs to fi 42 lakhs. Consignments from the United Kingdom, Germany and Belgium were valued at fl3, $720 \frac{1}{8}$
 1930-31. Imports of glass bangles showed a further decline from $¥ 50$ lakhs to $\mathrm{f} 34 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and those of beads and false pearls from $19,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at ¥16 lakhs to $15,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{A} 9 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. The principal sources of supply for both these classes of articles are Czechoslovakia and Japan, and the falling off in the imports under these two heads constituted the main cause for the decline in the respective shares of the two countries in the total imports of glass and glassware. Imports of soda water bottles, received, as usual, chiefly from Germany and the United Kingdom, numbered 38,000 gross (R9 lakhs) as compared with 39,000 gross (R10 lakhs) in 1930-31, while bottles of all other descriptions amounted to 423,000 gross ( Fl 15 lakhs) as against 577,000 gross ( $\mathbf{7 2 0}$ lakhs) in the preceding year. Glass sheet and plate imported measured 16 million square feet and were valued at $\mathbf{7} 20$ lakhs as compared with 20 million square feet valued at R 24 lakhs in 1930-31. Of the total imports recorded under this hesd in 1931-32, supplies from Belgium, consisting largely of cheap window glass, were valued at fl 12 lakhs as against P14 lakhs in the preceding year but those from the United Kingdom, representing mostly the finer qualities of goods, remained almost unchanged at the preceding year's level of $¥ 3 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Among other descriptions included in this category, separate mention may be made of tableware and of funnel, globes, etc., each of which recorded a decrease of about $\mathrm{f5}$ lakhs.

Reference was made in the preceding year's Review to the debacle in the Indian tobacco industry which had displayed all the worst symptoms of

[^3] depression in 1930-31. The year under review, however, gave indications that probably the worst

## Imports.

had already passed and there were aigns of a revival in demand. Higber duties and a wave of personal economy are factors which militate against any rapid increase in consumption. Imports of unmanufactured tobacco, almost wholly meant for consumption in the local factories, amounted to 2.8 phillion lbs. as compared with 1.6 million lbs. in 1930-31; but they were still 1.8 million lbs. less than the quantity received in 1929-30. Supplies from the United. States of America, which accounted for 87 per cent of the total quantity imported as against 92 per cent in 1930-31, amounted to 2.5 million lbs. as. compared with 1.5 million lbs. in the preceding year. A new feature of the trade was the receipt of $135,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. from the Philippine Islands, which had bitherto shown little interest in the Indian market. There was also an increase in the consignments from the United Kingdom, which amounted to 147,000 lbs. in 1931-32 as compared with 17,000 lbs. in the preceding year. Foreign. cigarettes, however, continued to lose in public favour and imports declined. from 3.1 million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{Zl}, 22 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{I} \cdot 4$ million lbs. valued at a little below 7553 lakhs. In 1931-32, 1,190,000 lbs. or nearly 83 per cent of the
Cigarettes. total quantity of cigarettes imported came from. the United Kingdom as compared with $2,840,000$ lbs. or 93 per cent in 1930-31. Receipts of low-grade cigarettes from China, which are a new feature of the trade in this line in recent years, amounted to 204,000 lbs. ( $\mathrm{X} 2 \frac{3}{4}$ lakhs) as against $160,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. ( Z 2 lakhs ) in 1930-31. Consignments from the United States of America fell from 34,000 lbs. in 193031 to 16,000 lbs. Among other descriptions of manufactured tobacco, cigars and tobacco for pipes and cigarettes recorded decreases, imports having amounted to $21,400 \mathrm{lbs}$. and $129,400 \mathrm{lbs}$. as against $32,500 \mathrm{lbs}$. and 189,600 lbs. respectively in 1930-31.

Normally the demand for precious stones and pearls is a luxury one and is highly elastic; and owing to economic pressure it is naturally contracting. The value of precious stones and pearls, unset declined from $\AA 1,10$ lakhis in 1929-30 to A 60 lakhs in 1930-31 and further to F 45 lakhs in 1931-32. Of these, diamonds accounted for F 32 lakhs and pearls, unset for Pl 101 lakhs as compared with $\# 46$ and All lakhs respectively in 1930-31. The imports of other precious stones are comparatively amall, being valued at f2 lakhs in 1931-32 as against f3 lakhs in the three preceding years. Belgium, which constitutes the principal source of supply for precious stones, had her contribution reduced from $\not 739 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{Z 2 9}$ lakhs, which was even less than onehalf of the value of the imports from that source in 1929-30. The Netherlands also curtailed her supplies to a little above $\mathrm{Z1}$ lakh, which was about a quarter of what she had realised in the preceding year. The share of the United Kingdom; which had been considerably reduced from $\not \mathbb{1 0}$ lakhs in 1929-30 to P 2 lakhs in 1930-31, showed very little improvement in the year under review. Consignments from the Bahrein Islands, consisting mainly of pearls, were valued at RL5 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs as compared with Ht 6 lakhs in 1930-31. while those from Arabia remained unchanged at $\mathbf{Z 5}$ lakhs.

Imports of cement showed a further decline from 112,000 tons to 88,000 tons in quantity and from R55 lakhs to R41 lakhs in value. The largest Cemoni (fi4l lakhs). share in the imports went to Madras Presidency, from 31,200 tons in 1930-31 to 32,400 tons in 1931-32. Burms, which had in

## Coal.

pretious years had the foremost place among the importing provinces, reduced her off-take from 47,600 tons to 30,000 tons during 1931-32. The bulk of the consiguments during the year came, as usual, from the United Kingdom, supplies from which source amounted to 45,000 tons (R251 lakhs) as against 63,000 tons ( f 36 lakhs) in 1930-31. There was also a falling off in the contribution of Japan, which had steadily been on the increase in recent years, from 37,000 tons valued at Z 13 lakhs to 29,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{f} 9 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Among other countries, Germany and Belgium showed noticeable decreases. The following table gives the details for the past three years:-


Imports of foreign ooal declined by 64 per cent in quantity from 156,000 tons in 1930-31 to 56,000 tons in 1931-32 and by 61 per cent in value from $\mathbf{R 2 8}$

Coal (R11t lakhs). lakhs to \#ll lakhs. Bombay, as is to be expected from her geographical position, was the largest consumer of imported cosl, but as a result of her increasing interest in Bengal coal, her takings dropped heavily from 104,000 tons to 28,000 tons. Sind and Madras also curtailed their requirements, the former from 32,000 tons in 1930-31 to 13,000 tons in 1931-32 and the latter from 14,000 tons to 8,000 tons. Burma received 5,000 tons as against 6,000 tons in the preceding year. The following table shows the sources of the imports of foreign coal during the past five years :-

| - | 1927-28 | 1928-29 | 1929-80 | 1030-81 | 1931-82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United Kingdom | Tons 52,000 | Tons 30,000 | Tons <br> 10,000 | Tons: 28,000 | Tont 24,000 |
| Natal | 155,000 | 105,000 | 197,000 | 121,000 | 23,000 |
| Jıpan | 6,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Portugreen Rent Afriom. | 35,000 | 21,000 | - | 8,000 | - |
| Anatralis : . | 9,000 | 1,000 | 2,000 | 1,000 | 4,000 |

As will be seen from the above table, the imports from Natal were considerably reduced with the result that the United Kingdom had the largeat share in the total importations of the year.

## CHAPTER III.

## Exports of Merchandise.

The following table shows the comparative importance of the; principal articles exported from British Indis:-

Exports.
(In thousande of Rupees)

| $\square \cdots$ | 1087-98 | 1038-98 | 1029-90 | 1030-81 | 1031-83 | Parcentage on total export. of mer= chandite in $1031-48$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .Jutt, rew - | 50,68,36 | 98,84,98 | 27,17,38 | 13,88,47 | 11,18,81 | - $7 \cdot 18$ |
| 3 Jatemmonfectares | 53,60,43 | 50,90,40 | 81,09,68 | 31,89,44 | 81.92, 8 | 14.60 |
| SOotton, mand whita - | 4,19,53 | 80,60,19 | -.68,00,36 | 40,72,65 | 23,76,19 | $15 \cdot 26$ |
| 3 Cotton manufsetores . - | 8.07, 39 | 7,70,56 | 7,18,07 | 5,81,4 | 4,01,88 | $5 \cdot 00$ |
| Graing palse ned flons | 43,98,09 | 33,68,41 | 34,70,16 | 29,88,19 | 30.37 .18 | $18^{\circ} 07$ |
| Tca ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 89,43,49 | 30,60,43 | 20,00,64 | 29,56,93 | 10,49,74 | . 12.47 |
| Beeds | 50,09,30 | 20, 08.58 | 56.40,76 | 27,58, 18 | 14,68,63 | $0 \cdot 36$ |
| Metala and ores. | 8,57,08 | 8,01,03 | 10,33,90 | 7, 94,04 | 6,47,10 | $3 \cdot 51$ |
| Feather | 0.19,86 | 9,44,58 | 6,16,94 | 6.30,11 | 5,35,20 | $3 \cdot 48$ |
| Hides and skiva, ra | 8,80,94 | $0,55,98$ | 7.98,87 | 5,43,03 | 8,65,71 | 85 |
| Fool, ratw and manuldetnrea | 5,33,89 | 5,90,71 | 8,33,54 | 3,29,23 | 8,30,78 | $2 \cdot 16$ : |
| Paramis mex | 8, 42,46 | 8,45,64 | 8,17,09 | 3,81,85 | 2,31,74 | 1.49 |
| Oilankan | 8,14,19 | 8.84,18 | 8,11,02 | 2,08,08 | - 2,00,08 | $1 \cdot 19$ |
| Lto | 0,88,87 | 8,66,36 | 6,90.78 | 8,15,74 | 1,63,94 | $2 \cdot 18$ |
| Offfe | 9,81,93 | 1,69,35 | 1,45,47 | 1,91,86 | 94,50 | $\cdots 1$ |
| Fraita and vegotablea | 1,05,49 | 89,18 | 00.6\% | 79,75 | 90,32 | ${ }^{-88}$ |
| Epicen 0 - | 9,90,06 | 1,88,90 | 1,06,50 | 1,27,19 | - 97,26 | . '36 |
| Droing and tapning aubstancots | 1,60,70 | 1.18,06 | 1,11,57 | 1,08,28 | 83,94 | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Oplum . . . . - | 1,00,00 | 1,57,4 | 1,41.00 | 1,92,07 | $\cdots \mathrm{BC}, 08$ | - ${ }^{-66}$ |
| Tobacco | 1,00,13 | 1,99,67 | 1,06,49 | 1.08 .65 | - 85,49 | - 55 |
| 3 ood and timbas | 1,86.73 | 1,76,86 | 1,80,07 | - 1,40,47 | . 78.47 | - 60 |
| Code - | 1,19,76 | 1,00,37 | 1,04,68 | 88,56 | 75,88 | -48 |
| Fodder, bran and pollarda' | 1,38,74 | 1,44,03 | 1,18,65 | 70,70 | . 75,14 | '48 |
| Dila | 70,08 | - 80,08 | 73,53 | 47.84 | - 67.23 | , 387 |
| Losl and coke | 76.43 | 71,89 | 77,08 | + 40.85 | . 54.91 | -36 |
| Finh (exolading annned ath) | 67.18 | 78, 34 | 78.81 | 48,89 | . 54,2s | - 35 |
| Dones for manafiotariag parposis. . - | 63,41 | 63,82 | 78,87 | 71,20 | * 4.16 |  |
| Bubler, m W | 3,57,00 | 1,90,96 | 1,78,78 | 1,29.76 | 4,59 | -88 |
| Providona mad oflman's atores. | 61,91 | 64,48 | 60.40 | 40,03 | 80,58 | -28. |
| Pice | 09,04 | 90, $\mathbf{y}^{1}$ | 1,09,08 | 67.59 | 39,30 | -8 |
| Manarve | 65.00 | 80,84 | 40.68 | 61,30 | 88,90 | -5 |
| Hemp, rav | 80.88 | 87,59 | 68,58 | 30,30 | 20,00 | $\cdot 17$ |
| Draga and medicines | 34,53 | \$1,61 | 48,45 | 20,02 | 23,10 | $\cdot 18$ |
| Tibre for bruches and brooms | 99,03 | 25.89 | 28,15 | 25,61 | - 20,43 | - 13 |
| Animalo, livips * | 46,87 | 89.95 | 38,80 | 28,00 | 15,00 | -10 |
| Bristlet ${ }^{\text {asitpetre }}$ | 16,18 19.18 | 15,04 | 16,96 | 10.98 | 11,60 | 07 |
| Saltpetrt . - | 19,18 | \%,00 | 2,87 | 7,58 | 10,58 | 0 |
| Cordage and ropo | 10,63 | 17,68 | 34,58 | 10,12 | 10.88 | 07. - |
| Building and Engineerfag matorinllothar than of iron, ateel | 10,6 | 10 | 18,10 |  | 8,6\% | '05 |
| ormood - . - | 14.48 | 25,15 | 14,00 | 10,99 | 7,4 | - 05 |
| ratudles | 13,55 11,15 | 9.38 | 10.01 | 0,48 | 4,05 | . 08 |
| Tallow, stemine and max . <br> 8ilt, raw and mapufacture | 11,15 4.09 | 7,97 33,17 | 7,05 | 7,38 | 8.79 | 02 |
| bugar . . | 7.81 | 8,48 | 82,31 8,08 | 10,06 2,51 | 8,54 | 01 |
| Horns tipe, oto. <br> All other articiea | $\begin{array}{r} 0,18 \\ 5,16,16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7, \% 0 \\ 4,0,89 \end{array}$ | 7,63 | 2,61 3,84 | 1,98 | ${ }^{01}$ |
|  | 8,19,15,36 | 3,80,12,70 | 8,10,80,68 | 2,20,20,20 | 1,55,88,86 | 100 |

Jute and jute manufactures ( $\mathrm{R} 33,11$ lakhs).-The total area under jute in 1931 was $1,862,000$ acres as compared with $3,492,000$ acres in 1930. The yield of the 1931 crop was estimated to be 5.6 million bales as against 11.3 million bales estimated for the preceding year. The crop of 1930 had been one of the largest on record and it synchronised with the earlier part of :the world trade depression which by restricting consumers' demand led to a

## Jate.

serious fall in prices. The low level of prices in 1930-31 led to a piling up of stocks and the pressure of this affected the plantings of the year 1931. Farther, the vigorous propaganda for the restriction of jute acreage carried on both by official and non-official organisations also tended to restrict the area under cultivation. Add to this the unfavourable weather conditions at nowing. time in certain jute-growing tracts and heavy floods in others which diminished the outturn considerably. These varions factors combined to reduce the season's crop to less than half the previous year's outtorn. As a matter of fact, the 1931 crop was the smallest recorded since 1923. It was expected that the short crop would improve the statistical position of the trade, but actually it seems to have created very little impression on the markets forjute. This was due, in the first place, to the heavy stocks outstanding from the previous year and, secondly, to the small demand from other trades owing to the depression reducing considerably the demand for jute manufactures. The suspension of the gold standard by the United Kingdom helped prices for a time, but subsequently the effect of this stimulus faded out as the demand for jute manufactures showed no signs of improvement. On the whole, therefore, prices were on a very low level throughout the year under review, except for the two months following the suspension of the gold standard. The year opened with the quotation for "Firsts" in Calcutta at Rs. 28 per bale of 400 lbs . The prospects of reduced sowings kept prices increasing till fill-8-0 was reached on the 28th April. In the absence of any considerabledemand from the mills, however, prices began to decline again till by the end of May the quotation had dropped to $\mathrm{F} 30-0-0$. Reports relating to the failureof the Indian Jute Mills Associstion to curtail the working hours drastically led to an upward movement in the market in the last week of May and the first week of June and prices rose to R 32 on the 9th June; but news of favour; able weather conditions brought about a decline in the quotations and in thesucceeding three weeks of June prices declined considerably, the quotation on the 30th June being R28-12-0. In the first week of July, the first Jute Forecast was published and the low acreage figures led to a spurt in prices which rose to R30-12-0 on the 7th July for the new crop. As the mills, however, refused to enter the market there was a relapse to स $\mathrm{A} 28-8-0$ on the 14 th July. In the next week there was a alight increase of four annas but from then onwards till the l1th of August the quotation stood at R27-12-0. Reports of floods in the up-country districts at this time helped the market and prices rose till, on the 8th of September, the quotation was $\mathrm{P} 31-8-0$. It remained almost at this level till the third week of September. The suspension of the gold standard and the consequent depreciation of the sterling encouraged free buying both by the Calcutta mills and the overseas markets and prices consequently rose rapidly to $\mathbf{7 3 8}$ on the 29th September. There was a relapse to f 36 on the 6th October, but from that time onwards till the middre. of November there was an almost continuous increase in the quotations which reached $\mathrm{f} 44-8-0$ on the 17 th November. The small crop coupled with the suspension of the gold standard largely explains this increase. There was a roaction in the third week of November initiating a downward tendency which continued, with slight ups and downs, to the middle of February when the rates had fallen back to P 32 , a drop of nearly Pl 2 from the middle of November. Subsequently, however, there was a slight increase and the quotation touched $\mp \mathbf{f 3 4 - 8 - 0}$ on the 15th March. Again, however, it slipped back to R 33 -

## Exports.

on the 29th of March. It will thus be seen that, except for a couple of months, prices throughout the year were on a very low level and they were disastrous to the producers.

The London prices per ton, c.i.f., for " First marks" stood at f15-7-6 on the 2nd April. The quotation then moved upward in sympathy with dearer advices from Calcutta till it touched $£ 16-15-0$ on the 24 th of the month. Conflicting reports about the fate of the scheme for a further curtailment of working hours in the Indian mills caused a slump in the rate to $£ 16-5-0$ on the 1st May, but the quotation remained within 5s. of this rate till the 3rd of July. On the 10th July the rates slumped heavily to £15-17-6 and the sagging tendency continued till the end of July when the rate quoted was £15-2-6. Subsequently in the course of the next two weelss the rates gained 108 . owing to reports of floods in Bengal and prices rose still further in sympathy with the firmer symptoms displayed in the Calcutta markets, the quotation on the Ilth September being £17-2-6. There was a relapse in the following week to $£ 16-10-0$, but the abandonment of the gold standard by the United Kingdom in the third week led to an abrupt recovery to $£ 19-5-0$ on the 25 th September. Rates fell to $£ 18-5-0$ on the $2 n d$ October, but in sympathy with the price movement in Calcutta the rates continued to rise thereafter and the quotation recorded on the 13th November was $£ 23-0-0$. Concurrently with the declining tendency in the Caloutta market prices alumped and the quotation on the 12th February was £18-0-0. Subsequently there was a firmer tone in the market in sympathy with the hardening tendency in Calcutta, the rates moving between $£ 18$ and $£ 19$ during the remaining weeks of the year.

Arrivals of raw jute in Calcutta and the neighbouring mill areas during the fiscal year 1931-32 amounted to 7.3 million bales as compared with $9 \cdot 5$ million bales in the preceding year. Exports abroad from Calcutta of raw. jute during the same period were $3 \cdot 1$ million bales. Arrivals during the period from the lat July 1931 to the 30th June 1932 were 6.7 million bales as against 9.9 million bales during the corresponding period of 1930-31.

It was stated in the last year's Review that the depression in 1930-31 was one of the worst for the industry, but the year 1931-32 was even worse. The causes of this depression are the same as were explained in the last year's Review, the only difference being one of degree. The greatly reduced world demand for jute and jute manufactures and the overproduction in the industry itself both aggravated the critical state of the industry during the year under review. The position of general world trade in raw materials showed no improvement, and thus the demand for jute manufactures, mostly used as containers for such materials, could not be expected to improve. These factors largely set off the effects of the short crop coupled with the limited restriction of output imposed by the Indian Jute Mills Association. The chief cause of the depression in the Indian jute mill industry has been the creation and utilization of a surplus productive capacity in the local industry, which, under the initial stimulus of the heavy war-time demand, had grown beyond the normal requirements of pesce time and had proved to be far in excess of the consumers' demand in a period of depression such as the one through which the world is now passing. Reference was made in last year's Review to the endeavours made by the Indian Jute Mills Associstion in the matter of restricting ontpat by curtailing the hours of work in the mills. From March 1931, the mills under the membership of the Association started working 40
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hours each week and kept 15 per cent. of the total complement of looms sealed. Later, as the depression deepened the Association considered a further curtailment of working hours, but the proposal fell through as the requisite majority in favour of the new scheme was not obtained. One reason for this breakdown was that while the mills under the membership of the Association had started regulating their output in accordance with the restrictions in force those which stood outside seized the opportunity to increase their respective output by installing additional machinery and, more particularly, by working longer hours. This led to a withdrawal from the Association of other mills. The secession of these mills had the effect of reducing the proportion of looms under the control of the Association and increasing the disadvantage of the Association members vis-a-vis the non-Association mills. This led to some dissatisfaction among the member mills of the Association and at one time there was serious apprehension regarding the breaking up of the Associstion altogether. Under these circumstances the restriction scheme of the Indian Jute Mills Association did not fully achieve the purpose for which it was inaugurated. The reduction in the stocks did not come up to expectations, leading to a deterioration in prices of jute manufactures, more particularly in those of heavy goods. All this aggravated the already depressed condition of the industry. The stocks at the end of March 1931 were 319 million yards. By October they had fallen to 285 million yards and by March 1932 to 219 million yards.: The figures for March 1932, however, do not include the stocks of two mills which had seceded from the Indian Jute Mills Associstion. In spite of the efforts of the Jute Mills Association the condition of the industry at the end of the year was considerably worse. During the year the industry was in a very aad plight, as can be clearly seen from the fact that the profits of the jute mills fell to a little less than Al crore in 1931 as compared with $\mathbf{7 2} \mathbf{6 2}$ crores in 1930, $\mathbf{7 6} 6.26$ crores in 1929 and $\mathrm{R7} 723$ crores in 1928. The condition of the industry was even worse after the close of the financial year owing particularly to the drop in the prices of finished products. Market values of jute good shave fallen considerably since the close of the financial year 1931-32 and on the basis of the current prices for manufactured goods a jate mill cannot pay, without incurring a loss, more than P 4 to $\mathrm{P4} 4-\mathbf{- 0}$ per maund for its jute. The prices of better qualities of raw jute averaged $\mathrm{P5}-14-0$ during the year 1931-32. Thus the situation was critical both for the producer and the manufacturer and the trade was faced with a serious crisis. The crisis has been averted, for the time being, by a temporary agreement regarding the working hours between the mills within the Indian Jute Mills Association and the mills outside the Association. As has been explained above, the Association mills had already restricted their working hours to 40 per week and sealed up 15 per cent of their looms. The non-Association mills which did not subscribe to this agreement had gone on increasing production during the year and, as has bean already stated, there was some talk of the Association breaking up if this threat from the outside mills continued. In that case overproduction would certainly have resulted and brought chaos into market. This possible crisis was averted by a temporary agreement between the Association and non-Associstion mills. The msin features of this agreement which will Tun from the lst August 1932 to the 30th June 1933 are as follows:-
(a) that the Association mills will work 40 hours a week with 15 per cent. of the looms sealed;
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(b) that outside mills will work 54 hours a week with a full complement of machinery;
(c) that the Agarpara Mill will be permitted to increase its loomage by 64 ;
(d) that during the period of the agreement there should be no increase in productive machinery in any other mill; and
(e) that the Premchand Jute Mills and the Sree Hanuman Jute Mills, which will continue to be members of the Association, will be given the privilege of working with their full complement of machinery for 54 hours per week during the currency of the agreement and that the Jute Mills Association will be at liberty to consider the claims of certain other mills within the Associstion to similar treatment subject to the condition that the privilege of working 54 hours a week will not be accorded to more than 4 per cent of the total number of looms of the mills within the Associstion including the Premchand and the Sree Hanuman Jute Mills.

The total weight of raw and manufactured jute exported during the year amounted to $1,250,000$ tons or 136,000 tons less than in the preceding year. The total value declined from nearly f 45 crores in 1930-31 to a little over $\mathfrak{7 3 3}$ crores in 1931-32, a drop of over R111 crores. Raw jute accoanted for 34 per cent. of the value and jute manufactures 66 per cent. as compared with 29 and 71 per cent. respectively in the preceding year. The following statement compares the quantities exported during 1913-14 and each of the past three years:-

| - : | 1013-14 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Juto (in thousand tons) | 768 | 807 | 620 | 687 |
| Baga (in millions) : | 369 | 522 | 434 | 889 |
| Cloth (in million yards) | 1,061 | 1,051 | 1,271 | 1,021 |

The quantity of raw jute exported was 5 per cent. less than in the preceding year and 24 per cent. than in the pre-war year 1913-14. Exports of gunny bags declined in number from 434 millions to 389 millions and of gunny cloth from 1,271 million yards to 1,021 million yards. The production, Indian mill consumption and exports of raw jute for the last eighteen years are given in Table No. 29 and detailed figurea of exports of manufactures are given in Tables Nos. 30-A and 30-B.

The total exports of raw jute declined from $3,470,000$ bales valued at a little under Zl 13 crores to $3,285,000$ bales valued at a little over 7ll crores.

## Jute.

Germany amounted to 733,000 bales valued at $\mathbf{7 2} 2,44$ lakhs as compared with 946,000 bales valued at $\mathrm{P} 3,50$ lakhs in the preceding year. The share of the United Kingdom had gone up considerably from 604,000 bales valued at (22,23 lakhs in 1930-31 to 862,000 bales valued at $\mathrm{f} 3,1 \mathrm{l}$ lakhs in 1931-32. Exports to France amounted to 291,000 bales valued at 799 lakhs as compared with 498,000 bales valued at $\nexists 1,85$ lakhs in the preceding year. The takings of the United States of America amounted to 274,000 bales valued at m 91 lakhs in the year under review as compared with 297,000 bales valued at $\not \approx 1,04$ lakhs in 1930-31. The share of Belgium also declined to 257,000 bales valued at f 84 lakhs in 1931-32 as compared with 268,000 bales valued at \#99 lakhs in 1930-31. On the other hand, the shares of Spain, Italy, China, Japan, the Argentine Republic and Brazil showed slight increasep. Exports to other countries generally showed declines.

The total exports of gunny bags decreased in number from 434 millions to 389 millions, the pre-war (1913-14) exports being 369 millions. The value

## Gunny bags.

 of the exports declined from $\mathrm{Rl} 1 \mathrm{~S}_{\frac{3}{3}}$ crores to a little in number from 353 milions to 305 milions, the decline in value being from $\mathfrak{Z l 2 \frac { 1 } { 2 }}$ crores to $\mathrm{fl} 9 \frac{1}{3}$ crores. Hessian gunny bags showed a slight increase from 81 millions to 84 millions. The value, however, declined from R2,05 lakhs to R1,58 lakhs. Of the total exports of gunny bags, the United Kingdom took $47 \frac{1}{2}$ millions as against 40 millions in the preceding year. - Australia, as usual, remained the best market for gunny bags, her share. however. declining from 88 millions to 68 millions. Exports to Java declined from 42 millions to 30 millions, but exports to the Straits Settlements increased from $11 \frac{1}{2}$ millions to $15 \frac{1}{2}$ millions. The share of Chile dwindled to 2 millions in the year under review as compared with 19 millions in the preceding year. Cuba took only 15 millions in 1931-32 as compared with 24 millions in 1930-31. The share of China went up, however, from 20 millions to 28 millions and of Hawaii from 17 millions to 21 millions. Most of the other countries showed deciines.Exports of gunny cloth decreased from 1,271 million yards in 1930-31 to 1,021 million yards in 1931-32, the decline in value being from Rl 17 crores

> Gunny doth. to $\mathrm{Rl} 10 \frac{1}{2}$ crores. Hessian gunny cloth decreased from 1,239 million yards valued at P164 crores in 1930-31 to 996 million yards valued at R10 crores in 1931-32. Sacking gunny cloth declined to 25 million yards valued at $\mathrm{f} 39 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in the year under review as compared with 32 million yards valued at $760 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in the preceding year. Of the total exports, the largest share went as usual. to the United States of America which took 692 million yards valued at R6,57 lakhs as compared with 854 million yards valued at R10,66 lakhs in the preceding year. The Argentine Republic further reduced her takings to 105 million yards valued at $\nexists 1,19$ lakhs as compared with 209 million yards valued at $\mathrm{A} 3,16$ lakhs in 1930-31. Exports to Canada also showed a decline from 73 million yards to 63 million yards, but the United Kingdom increased her share from 40 million yards to 62 milliun yards. Consignments to Australia and the Philippine Islands also showed slight increases from 18 and $15 \frac{3}{2}$ million to 20 and 16 million yards, though the value of the consignments was less owing to the fall in prices. The share of most of the other countries showed declines, except Egypt's. The following table shows the prices of

Exports.
a typical grade of bags and of two of the more important grades of hessians :-


Cotton (R23,45 lalchs)*-The Indian cotton crop of the season 1931-32 was estimated at $4,064,000$ bales of 400 lbs . each as compared with $5,224,000$ bales in the preceding year. The American crop of 1931 was estimated at $17,096,000$ bales of 500 lbs . gross weight (equivalent to $21,370,000$ bales of 400 lbs . each) as compared with $13,932,000$ bales of 500 lbs . for 1930 . The outturn of Egyptian cotton for 1931-32 was 1,536,000 bales of 400 lbs . each as compared with $2,001,000$ bales in 1930-31.

The prices of American cotton in the year under review touched even a lower level than that in the previous year. In fact, the level of prices touched in Liverpool was the lowest since 1894. In New York the official spot

[^4]
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quotation for Middling American touched 5.50 cents par Ib . on the 5th October. The actual price paid to growers must have been even lower. As a matter of fact, some of the rates quoted in the primary markets were believed to have represented the lowest quotations ever given for cotton. The second half of the year, however, saw a slight improvement from this condition of deep depression, but, on the whole, the year may be said to be most disappointing from the point of view of prices. Prices were more or less continually on the decline from the opening of the financial year to the beginning of October, except for a rally at the end of June. In October there was a sudden improvement in prices owing to the hopes engendered by the suspension of the gold standard and the optimistio outlook in the Lancashire cotton industry. But this rise was very short-lived and by the end of the month prices began falling and continued doing so till the beginning of December. From then for about three months prices steadily rose but the end of the year again saw a relapse. The causes of these various movements may be briefly discussed.

The fall in the first six months of the financial year was chiefly due to the following reasons. In the first place, there was a large carry-over from the previous season's crop which acted as a deadweight on the market. Further, the curtailment in the acreage proved to be insufficient to meet the maladjustment between supply and demand and the situation was aggravated by reports of very favourable weather conditions which were confirmed by larger and larger estimates of outturn published by the Bureau. Lastly, there appeared to be very little ohance of an increase in the consumption of American cotton in the world. All these factors made the position of cotton fundamentally weak and almost throughout the period a bearish sentiment prevailed in the American cotton market. The rally at the end of June was due to a spell of speculative buying and the announcement of the Hoover Moratorium was chiefly responsible for this. Similarly, the rise in October, as has been remarked above, was due to the optimistic outlook resalting from the suspension of the gold standard in England and certain other countries. The steady rise for about three months from the first week of December has been attributed to the growth of a holding movement in the Southern zone of the United States of America encouraged as it was by the expectancy of a general reduction in acreage in the coming season under stress of unremunerative prices. The rise was also due, to some extent, to the optimism inspired by the proposals for the formation of a new credit corporation and for the provision of facilities for the financing of cotton. The scheme raised a definite expectation that about 7 million bales would be taken off the market. These factors explain the steady rise between December 1931 and March 1932. Towards the end of February 1932. however, there was a relapse from this steady increase and prices continued their downward march till the end of the financial year.

Coming to a detailed analysis of the price quotations, it must first be noted that the quotations of the Liverpool market can be considered to be normal only up to the end of September, i.e., till the auspension of the gold standard in England. After that, prices in Liverpool were determined by two considerations. Firstly, there were the movements in the cotton prices in New York, but more important were the changes in the sterling-dollar rate of exchange. A change in this rate was reflected in the prices quoted in the
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Liverpool market. The general trend of the prices, however, was more or less the same both in the Liverpool and the New York markets, the difference in the latter half of the year being only in magnitude. Bearing these facts in mind, the price quotations in the Liverpool market may be briefly studied. The quotation for American Middling at Liverpool on the 2nd of April 1931 stood at 5.76 d . per lb. From then onwards till the third week of June prices were more or less continually on the decline and on the 19th of June the quotation stood at 4.75d. Next week the quotation spurted up to 5.43d. and at the beginning of July it went up to $5 \cdot 48 d$. This rise was due, to a great extent, to the announcement of the Hoover Moratorium at this time which led to a spell of speculative buying; but subsequent developments showed that it was not based on any real improvement in the visible conditions of supply and demand. The first Bureau report issued on the 8th of July indicated a total acreage of 41.5 million acres, a reduction of 10 per cent on the revised figure for the preceding year. This report was distinctly bearish as the ten per cent reduction was not considered sufficient to meet the situation. The under-tone of the market became weak once again and on the 10th of July prices relapsed to $5.05 d$. From that time prices were continually on the decime. On the 21 st of August the quotation had dropped to 3.70 d . which was only 10 points higher than the lowest quotation of the year, recorded on the preceding day. This decline was due to reports about the prevalence of exceptionally favourable weather conditions throughout the period. The Bureau report published on the 8th of August placed the prospective crop at $15 \cdot 6$ million bales which was substantially in excess of the trade estimates corrent at the time. This explains, to a great extent, the depressed condition of the market. From August 21st to September 18th prices remained about the same level, the quotation on that date being $3.74 d$. The Bureau report published on the 8th of September exceeded the previous estimate by 0.1 million bales, strengthening the bears. On September 25th there was an abrupt rise to $5 \cdot 19 d$. as a result of the reaction produced by the suspension of the gold standard in England. The excitement, however, subsided, to some extent, in the course of a week and prices dropped by 88 points on the 2nd of October to 4.31 d . In spite of this, the under-tone of the market remsined good in a general way, the suspension of the gold standard having created a healthier outlook by giving an impetus to the textile industry of Lancashire. From the 1st of December prices in America began rising steadily and this had the effect of increasing the quotation in the Liverpool market also. Throughout December 1931 and January and February 1932 prices in the Liverpool market were continually on the increase and on the 19th of February the quotation reached was $5 \cdot 95 d$. Part of this rise was in sympathy with the price of American cotton in America, but part of it was due to the depreciation of the sterling. The causes for the upward movement from December 1931 to March 1932 have been explained above. In the last month of the year prices relapsed to a certain extent and the quotation at the end of the year was $5 \cdot 15 d$.

The outstanding feature regarding Indian cotton during the year under review was the high level of prices for Indian staple cotton in the world market relatively to those of the American cotton and the consequent rise in parity. As noted above, prices of American cotton declined considerably in the year under revier. Indian cotton, however. was offering throughout the year a definite resistance to the price tendencies in the American market. As a result,
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Indian prices did not fall to the same extent as American prices. The reason for this state of affairs was to be found in the extra-ordinarily strong statistical position of Indian cotton during the year. In the first place, the crop of the year 1931-32 amounted barely to a little over 4 million bales which was the lowest crop since 1921-22. Further, it may be noted that this low crop followed on the heels of two comparatively short crops of 1930-31 and 1929-30. Owing to these three short crops the stock position had considerably eased and the stocks at the end of the cotton year 1930-31 were considerably less than in the preceding year. Apart from this factor of low supply, the demand for Indian cotton was considerably higher in the year under review. The mills in India were producing cloth at a very heavy rate and as the Indian mills are dependent on Indian cotton the demand from the industry was strong. The consumption of Indian cotton has been of large magnitude in the last three "years in spite of the low crops. This demand factor also improved the position of Indian cotton in the world market. Moreover, the import duty on foreign cotton may have helped Indian cotton to some extent. All these factors raised the parity of Indian cotton as compared with American cotton. This is well brought out in the statement below which compares the prices at Liverpool of Middling American and Fine Broach and gives the percentage parity of Broach as compared with the American cotton:-
Prices of Indian and American cotton at Liverpool with paritios (per cent. of Indian on American).

|  | Prigi pramentin |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { PARITIES } \\ \text { (per cent } \\ \text { of Indilan } \\ \text { on Amari- } \\ \text { cana). } \end{gathered}\right.$ | - | Prion pan 18. |  | PARITIES <br> (per cent of Indian on Amerf can). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Middling Americad. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fino } \\ & \text { Broach. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Midding American. | Fine Broach. |  |
|  | d. | - 2 |  |  | $d$. | d. |  |
| $\underset{\text { April } 3}{1831-}$ | $5 \cdot 76$ | $4 \cdot 53$ | 79.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1831-tober } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $4 \cdot 31$ | 3.86 | 88.6 |
| \% 10 | $5 \cdot 59$ | $4 \cdot 45$ | 79.6 | 9 | $4 \cdot 56$ | 4.08 | 89.0 |
| \% 17 | $5 \cdot 55$ | 4.52 | 81.4 | 16 | $4 \cdot 77$ | $4 \cdot 28$ | 89.7 |
| \% 24 | $5 \cdot 62$ | 4.53 | $80 \cdot 6$ | 23 | 4.97 | $4 \cdot 39$ | 88.3 |
| May 1 | $5 \cdot 48$ | $4 \cdot 38$ | $80 \cdot 2$ | \% 30 | $4 \cdot 99$ | $4 \cdot 61$ | $92 \cdot 4$ |
| \% 8 | $5 \cdot 39$ | $4 \cdot 11$ | 81.8 | November 6 | $5 \cdot 03$ | $4 \cdot 76$ | $94 \cdot 6$ |
| $\because 15$ | 5.26 | $4 \cdot 28$ | $81 \cdot 4$ | $\cdots \quad 13$ | 5.06 | $4 \cdot 88$ | 90.4 |
| " 22 | $5 \cdot 12$ | 4-12 | 80.5 | \% 20 | 4.89 | $4 \cdot 51$ | $92 \cdot 2$ |
| $\because 28 \quad \because$ | $4-80$ | 3.91 | 81.5 | ", 27 | 4.90 | $4 \cdot 61$ | 92.0 |
| June 5 | 4.78 | $4 \cdot 10$ | 35.8 | December 4 | $5 \cdot 14$ | $4 \cdot 77$ | 92.8 |
| , 12 | 4.75 | 3.99 | 84.0 | " 11 | $5 \cdot 21$ | 4.97 | $95 \cdot 4$ |
| " 19 | 4.75 | $3 \cdot 96$ | $83 \cdot 4$ | 18 | $5 \cdot 20$ | $4 \cdot 84$ | 93.1 |
| * 26 | 6.43 | $4 \cdot 56$ | 84.0 | 24 | $5 \cdot 30$ | 6.01 | 94.5 |
| July ${ }^{8}$ | $5 \cdot 48$ | 4.56 | 83.2 | 1032 31 | $5 \cdot 39$ | 6.18 | 98.1 |
| 310 | $5 \cdot 05$ | $4 \cdot 30$ | $85 \cdot 1$ | 1032- |  |  |  |
| - 17 | $5 \cdot 17$ | $4 \cdot 29$ | $83 \cdot 0$ | Jenuary 8 | $5 \cdot 33$ | 8.22 | 97.9 |
| \# 24 | 4.98 | $4 \cdot 29$ | 86.1 | " 15 | $5 \cdot 41$ | $5 \cdot 40$ | 99.8 |
| " 31 | $4 \cdot 62$ | 4.09 | 88.5 | * 22 | $5 \cdot 52$ | 5.52 | $100 \cdot 0$ |
| Auguat 7 | 4.29 | 3.63 | 84.6 | " 29 | 5.50 | $5 \cdot 68$ | 101.5 |
| \% 14 | $3 \cdot 80$ | 3.30 | 86.8 | February 5 | 5.68 | 5.51 | 98.7 |
| \% 21 | 8.70 | 3.15 | $85 \cdot 1$ | \% 12 | $5 \cdot 59$ | 5.41 | $90 \cdot 8$ |
| \% 28 | $3 \cdot 83$ | 3.29 | $85 \cdot 9$ | 19 | 5.95 | $5 \cdot 80$ | $97 \cdot 5$ |
| September 4 | 3.71 | $3 \cdot 11$ | $83 \cdot 8$ | " 26 | $5 \cdot 79$ | $5 \cdot 64$ | 97.4 |
| \# 11 | 3.70 | 3.08 | 83-2 | March 4 | $5 \cdot 73$ | 6.48 | 95.6 |
| \% 18 | $3 \cdot 74$ | 3.21 | $85 \cdot 8$ | 11 | $5 \cdot 51$ | $5 \cdot 23$ | 94.9 |
| " 25 | $5 \cdot 19$ | $4 \cdot 31$ | 83.0 | " 18 | $5 \cdot 51$ | 5.19 | 94-2 |
|  |  |  |  | $\ddot{\square}$ | $5 \cdot 15$ | 4.94 | 95.9 |
|  |  |  |  | April 1 | 4.81 | 4.53 | 94.2 |
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From this table it will be seen that the parity stood at 79 per cent on the 3rd of April. From that date till the end of July the parity almost consistently rese till on the 31st of July it reached 88.5. In August and September there was a slight relapse but with some ups and downs the parity was round about 85 in these months. The suspension of the gold standard at the end of September led to a rise in parity which stood at 89.6 per cent on the 2nd of Octo-, ber. From that date onwards till the end of January the parity was more or less consistently improving and on the 29th of January 1932 it reached therecord figure of 101.5 per cent. This meant that the Indian cotton was at a premium as compared with the American cotton. February and March, however, saw a alight relapse from this position, but the magnitude of the fall was inconsiderable. The parity at the end of the year had declined to $95 \cdot 9$ per cent on the 25 th of March. Thus it will be seen that almost throughout the year the parity was more or less increasing. The reason for this movement has already been explained in the earlier paragraph.

Coming to a detailed analysis, the price of Broach M. G. Fully Good at Bombay stood at R198 per candy of 784 lbs . on the 2nd of April 1931 which was lower than the opening quotation of the preceding year by R74. During subsequent weeks prices moved. downward more or less consistently with the general course of American cotton prices, the quotation for the 28th of May being \#169-12-0. There was a brief rally to Xl 72 on the 5th June, but this rise could not be maintained in the face of reports from the United States of America and the price relapsed to R168-12-0 on the 19th June. Nevertheless, the trend of prices at Bombay was, on the whole, steadier than that for American cotton at Liverpool. In the next week Bombay prices moved in sympathy with the rise in American cotton prices consequent on the announcement of the Hoover Moratorium, -but, as in the case of American cotton, the whole of this improvement could not be maintained. July started at a lower level with the quotation for Broach at El 184 recorded on the 3rd of the month. With the publication of the United States acreage figures on the 8th of the month Bombay prices. receded still further to $\mathbf{7 1 7 8}$ on the 10th, but the quotation resisted any further decline till the 24th despite the unsettled tone of overseas markets. The opposition began to weaken, however, as soon as ideas regarding the crop prospects in the United States began to take a definite shape. On the 31 st of July prices had already dropped by $\mathrm{f7} 7$ to $\mathrm{R171}$ in consequence of the bearish advices from the United States regarding the new crop. On the 7th of August they fell off by R13-8-0 to P157-8-0 in anticipation of the Bureau report and finally, after the issue of the report the quotation broke to R141-8-0. on the 14th. In course of the next week there was a further recession to Zl 136 . On the 19th of August was issued the first All-India Cotton Forecast indicating a reduction of 6 per cent in acreage. The full effect of this report, which was distinctly bullish, was felt in the course of the next week when, taking advantage of a rise in New York, Bombay prices advanced by R18 to R154 on the 28th August. During subsequent weeks prices moved erratically, the fluctuations being confined within a very narrow range. On the 18th September the quotation stood at R146, but in the next week, consequent on the suspension of the gold standard, prices spurted to R169. As in the case of American cotton at Liverpool, much of this increase had to besurrendered in the course of the next week, but even then the price quoted on
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the 2nd October, namely $\# 157$, was All in advance of the quotation recorded in the week preceding the suspension. From this time onwards till the 6th November there was a progressive advance which pulled up prices to Al 190 on the latter date. This abnormal development in the price position was initiated by reports indicative of unseasonable weather conditions which were understood to have affected not only the quality but also the quantity of the crops in some districts, notably in the 'Oomrah' and 'Bengal' districts. The second All-India Cotton Forecast issued on the 19th October indicated a reduction of 4 per cent in acreage only, while the trade, in view of the bad weather reports, was expecting a heavy drop in the outturn of the crop. Subsequently, as the rains interfered with picking in some districts, crop movement was delayed; consequently, arrivals of new cotton both in Bombay as well as in the interior were small. These circumstances naturally reacted on the price position. In November the quotation remained steady at $\mathbf{Z 1 9 0}$ for the two opening weeks and although during the next two weeks there was a relapse to a lower level, it was more than made good by the 4th of December when the price quoted was Rl 194 . This remarkable advance was due in part to the comparative pancity of ready stocks of desirable varieties and also to an apprehension that there would be a scarcity of tenderable cotton. There was a slight relapse to Zl 191 in the middle of December owing to the depreciation of the yen consequent on the imposition of the embargo on gold exports in Japan. From the 23rd December the upward trend came again into evidence and the quotation touched f 200 on the 30 th of the month. With a nominal set-back in the first week of January 1932 the quotation reached f214 on the 15th of January. These high prices were made possible by the exceptional tightness in the supply situation. At certain stages there were suggestion indicating the existence of a cornering of the available supplies of the 'Oomrahs' and 'Bengal' varieties and the paucity of supplies compelled those who had to cover against their commitments to pay fabulous prices for their immediate requirements. But later, when the 'Oomrah' and 'Bengal' contracts had been liquidated at top prices, the situation showed signs of easing. On the 22nd January the quotation dropped to R 211 and thence to R 207 on the 29th. But this relapse was followed by another rise which drove prices to $\mathrm{Z220}$ on the 5th of February and thence through successive advances the quotation touched Z 238 , the highest for the year, on the 19th February. These advances were, to a large extent, due to a bullish sentiment encouraged by successive reductions in the trade estimates of the season's crop which produced some sort of a definite crop scare. From the 26th February, however, a downward movement started and the basis of Broach cotton showed a definite sign of weakening, the movement gaining in strength as reports of the Broach crop moving in the primary markets became available to the Bombay market. The quotation for Broach on the 24th March stood at $\mathfrak{Z 2 0 0}$ and in the course of the next week it dropped by another Pl 3 . The weekly prices of Broach M. G. Fully Good at Bombay are displayed in Chart No. 9 prefixed to this Review.

The increased demand from the Indian mill industry for home cotton coupled with an extraordinarily short crop left a smaller quantity of raw cotton available for export to foreign countries in the year under review as compared with the preceding year. The increase in parity, as has been explained above, also discouraged exports to some extent, as foreign consumers tried, as far as

## Exports.

possible, to substitute American cotton for Indian cotton. Thus, according to the trade returns of Japan, imports of American cotton into Japan increased from 200,000 tons in 1930-31 to 455,000 tons in 1931-32, whereas the total imports of Indian cotton declined from 246,000 tons to 208,000 tons in the same period. The Continental countries also took less of Indian cotton for similar reasons. Exports of Indian cotton in 1931-32 amounted to $2,369,000$ bales as compared with $3,926,000$ bales in $1930-31$. This is the lowest figure of export in the post-war period, except for 1920-21. The value of the exports amounted to $\mathfrak{Z 2 3 , 4 5}$ lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{R} 46,33$ lakhs in the preceding year. Japan, as usual, was India's biggest customer, but her share amounted to only $1,080,000$ bales valued at R 11 crores as compared with $1,686,000$ bales valued at f 21 crores in the preceding year. China, the next biggest customer, took 437,400 bales valued at $\mathrm{R} 4,52$ lakhs as compared with 605,500 bales valued at $\mathrm{P7} 7,41$ lakhs. The United Kingdom took 164,400 bales valued at $\mathbf{\# 1 , 5 4}$ lakbs as compared with 280,800 bales valued at $\mathrm{fl} 3,00$ lakhs in the preceding year. Exports to Italy dwindled from 361,900 bales valued at AR3,77 lakhs in 1930-31 to 183,500 bales valued at $\mathbf{7 l}, 62$ lakhs in 1931-32. Exports to Belgium, France and Spain declined from $217,500,231,700$ and 106,100 bales to $120,800,80,600$ and 45,200 bales respectively. The following statement gives the monthly exports of Indian cotton during the last five years together with the pre-war average :-

Exports of Indian cotion in bales of 400 lbs .

|  | Pre-war average 1800-14 | 1927-28 | 1828-29 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1831-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April . | 303,600 | 226,100 | 323,600 | 386,300 | 424,700 | 307,300 |
| Msy | 248,800 | 200,800 | 372,800 | 404,900 | 341,700 | 283,400 |
| June | 218,000 | 240,300 | 804,000 | 982,200 | 244,500 | 260,600 |
| July | 190,100 | 180,400 | 285,200 | 818,700 | 258,900 | 212,300 |
| Auguat | 110,300 | 201,600 | 216,000 | 281,300 | 250,700 | 259,900 |
| September | 76,300 | 152,800 | 191,200 | 211,400 | 286,800 | 111,200 |
| October | 66,800 | 88,300 | 254,000 | 176,100 | 223,700 | 111,300 |
| November | 101,400 | 83,500 | 175,700 | 207,200 | 228,900 | 135,900 |
| Desember | 158,200 | 183,700 | 272,300 | 297,800 | 957,000 | 191,400 |
| Jamuary | 319,800 | 400,600 | 400,200 | 452,700 | 438,000 | 168,000 |
| Febrasty | 318,300 | 323,800 | 356,300 | 483,500 | 433,300 | 159,700 |
| Maroh | 295,860 | 384,800 | 559,500 | 508,500 | 488,000 | 168,200 |
| Toral | 2,407,300 | 2,686,200 | 8,711,700 | 4,070,400 | 3,926,200 | 2,369,200 |

## Cotton.

Exports from Bombay amounted to 64 per cent of the total quantity of raw cotton exported from India, those from Karachi were 30 per cent and from Madras 3 per cent as compared with 68, 26 and 3 per cent respectively in 1930-31.

Imports of raw cotton into India during 1931-32 increased considerably as compared with the preceding year. As remarked in the previous year's Review, this continuous increase in the last two years was probably due to the policy of the Indian mills to spin finer yarn in order to replace imports of yarns of higher counts and of finer cloth from abroad. Imports of raw cotton into India amounted to 79,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{A7}, 03$ lakhs as compared with 58,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{7 6}, 39$ lakhs in 1930-31. This increase was due to larger imports from Kenya Colony and the United States of America, whereas imports of Egyptian cotton showed a decline. Imports from Kenya Colony rose from 23,000 tons valued as 72,88 lakhs in $1930-31$ to 32,000 tons valued at \#3,17 lakhs in 1931-32. Similarly, the arrivals from the United States of America increased from 10,000 tons valued at 883 lakhs to 29,000 tons valued at $\mathbb{R 2} 2,24$ lakhs. On the other hand, consignments from Egypt declined from 21,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{f} 2,17$ lakhs to 15,000 tons valued at ¥l,31 lakhs. The share of the United Kingdom in the trade dwindled to 500 tons as compared with 2,500 tons in the preceding year. The quantity of African cotton re-exported from Bombay during the last five years is shown in the following statement:-


It will be seen that re-exports of African cotton from India amounted to only 790 balcs in the year under review as compared with 7,840. bales two years ago. This shows that under this article there has been no marked revival in the re-export trade which had dwindled to 530 bales in the preceding year.

During the cotton season 1931-32, $1,994,000$ bales of Indian cotton were received in Bombay up to the end of July 1932 as against $3,357,000$ bales in the corresponding period of the previous season. The estimated stock of raw cotton held by exporters, dealers and mills in Bombay on the 31st August 1931 was 565,000 bales as compared with 697,000 bales on the 31 st Angust 1930. Stocks on 31st March 1932 were 635,000 bales as compared with 923,000 bales on the corresponding date of the preceding year.

## Exports.

According to the Indian Central Cotton Committee, the mill consumption of Indian cotton daring the past four fiscal years was as follows:- -

Consumption of Indian rawo cotion in Indian mills.
(In bsles of 400 lbs .)

|  | 1928-29 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bombay Island | 384,332 | 682,898 | 672,249 | 660,632 |
| Ahmedshad . | 309,386 | 345,548 | 317,229 | 326,710 |
| Bombay Preaidency | 859,460 | 1,222,746 | 1,174,666 | 1,187,254 |
| Madras . . | 196,812 | 210.817 | - 213,843 | 235,929 |
| United Provinces | 171,894 | 214,374 | 236,834 | 249,673 |
| Central Provinces and Berar | 119,800 | 123,349 | 120,818 | 116,181 |
| Bengal | 78,188 | 83,987 | 96,135 | - 96,458 |
| Punjeb and Delhi | 48,543 | 62,247 | 69,146 | . 82,060 |
| Reat of British India | 80,701 | 23,038 | 26,058 | 29,302 |
| Toral Battigh Imdia | 1,495,308 | 1,051,157 | 1,936,900 | 1,096,852 |
| Toral Indias Erates | 268,483(a) | 296,975 (a) | 329,419(a) | 344,855(a) |
| Total India | 1,763,291 | 2,248,132 | 2,263,319 | 2,341,70\% |

(a) Part caloulated from yarn produotion, reat actual raw cotton consumption reported.

Cotton manufactures (R4,82 lakhs). -The conditions in the Indian cotton mill industry in the year under review were somewhat unusual. As has been noted in Chapter II, there were a number of factors which were distinctly favourable to the industry in that they should have reduced the torce of competition from imported piecegoods of foreign origin. In the first place, there was the sentiment created by the political movement within the country against the use of foreign piecegoods and secondly, there were twn revenue increases in the import tariff on cotton piecegoods during the year, these additional duties being superimposed on the protective import duties. The result is that the cotton mill industry receives a measure of protection against competition from abroad greater than it was intended to give it in March 1930. Added to these factors, the raw material of the industry was on the whole relatively cheap and altogether it was only reasonable to expect that the cotton mill industry would have made considerable headway towards prosperity during the year. As a matter of fact, the figures of production in the Indian cotton mills do so that considerable progress has been effected in this particular direction. Production in the local cotton mills advanced remarkably during the year under review, the output under loth yarn and piecegoods having created new records. As against these favourable conditions, however, there must be placed the general upsetting of markets by the boycott and political agitation and the total result appears to be that the industry made little financial progress in 1931-32. Mill shares on the stock exchange showed consideraije declines in the year under review and the general condition of the mill industry from the financial point of view did not show much sign of improvement.

## Cotton Manufactures.

Doubtless, this state of affairs is, to some extent, due to the low purchasing power of the consumer, who could be tempted to buy piecegoods only it they were sufficiently cheap, and this prevented an increase in the margin for the mill industry. Secondly, though the imports from Japan were smaller in quantity, yet the keenness of the competition was considerable and Japan cut down prices to very low levels. These two factors were responsible to some extent for the disappointed hopes of the year in the cotton mill industry in India. It must, however, be remarked that in spite of these depressing factors, the cotton mill industry was probably in a much better relative position than other industries and it had-not been reduced to the terrible plight of agriculture. Further, it may be noted that all mills did not suffor losses; some of them had a distinctly good year, although the level of profits was probably less etban was anticipated. But the very fact that, for some months of the year, many mills were working two shifts is sufficient evidence to prove the comparatively healthy condition of the industry. The Tariti Board bas recently been instructed to enquire into the conditions of the industry and is at present examining the whole position.

The production of yarn in the millis in India in 1931-32 amounted to 966 million lbs. and constituted a new record, beating the previous record figure by 99 million lbs. The details of production by counts are given below in mullions of lbs. :-

| Counts | 1918-14 | 1 185-26 | 1020-87 | 1087-28 | 1086-83 | 1090-30 | 1080-91 | 1081-88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mos. 1-10 | 131 | 96 | 115 | 100 | 79 | 100 | 118 | 117 |
| - $11-20$ : | 802 | 849 | 401 | 839 | 808* | 88 | 400 | 66 |
| , ${ }^{21}$ - $\mathbf{3 0}$. | 168 | 214 | 248 | 268 | 218 | 279 | 200 | 304 |
| -31-40. | 80 | 20 | 28 | 84 | 37 | .. 85 | 61 | 7 |
| Above 40 | 8 | - 6 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 87 | 84 |
| Wantes . - | $\because$ | 2 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 6 | 7 | - | 8 |

The production of counts $1-10$ increased by 4 million lbs. as compared with the preceding year and that of $11-20$ by 45 million lbs. The production of counts $21-30$ went up from 260 million lbs. in Cotton yarn (R1,28 lakhs). 1930-31 to 294 million lbs. in 1931-32. The production of counts $31-40$ increased by 10 million lbs. to 71 million lbs. and that of counts above 40 by 7 million lbs. to 34 million lbs. Exports of yarn amounted to 22 million lbs. as compared with 231 million lbs. in the preceding year, showing a decrease of $1 \frac{1}{2}$ million lbs. The average exports in the five years ending 1913-14 were 193 million lbs., while the average of the war period was 130 million lbs. and of the post-war quinquennium 82 million lhs. The value of yarn exported declined from R11,58 lakhs in 1930-31 to $\mathrm{Zl}, 28$ lakhs, a decline of f 30 lakhs. Exports to Iraq, Syria and Yersia showed increases, whereas those to Greece, European Turkey, Aden and Dependencies, Siam, China and Egypt showed declines. Tables Nos. 32-A and 32-B give further details of the exports of Indian yarn.

The production of cotton piecegoods in Indian mills in 1931-32 increased by 17 per cent as compared with the preceding year and constituted a new
resard. The proportion of the exports of piecegoods to the total production was 3.5 per cent as compared with 4 per cent in 1930-31 and $5 \cdot 5$ per cent in 1929-30. The actual quantity exported increased by 7 million yards or 7 per cent as compared with the preceding year. The following table sets forth the quantities of piecegoods, grey, white and coloured, exported from 1924-25 onwards as well as the average exports during the war period.


The exports of coloured goods showed an increase as compared with the preceding year and amounted to 96 million yards as compared with 88 million yards. On the other hand, exports under grey and white showed slight declines. The progressive decline in the exports of grey goods, noted in the preceding year's Review, continued in the year under review, though the decline was of smaller magnitude. Detailed figures of production and exports for the past three years and for 1913-14 are given below :-

Production in Indian mills.

| - | $\begin{gathered} 1913.14 \\ \text { (pre-war year) } \end{gathered}$ | .1829-30 | 1930-31 | 1031-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groy mad bleached pieoegoods- | Million yarde | Million garde | Million yands | $\begin{gathered} \text { Million } \\ \text { yaide } \end{gathered}$ |
| Shirtinga and longoloth - | 292.5 | 585.2 | 6498 | 780;9 |
| Chadars - . - | 69.9 | 66.0 | 54.0 | 55.7 |
| Dhatis • - . - | 284.8 | 7760 | 831.4 | 964.6 |
| T. oloth, domeetios and theetings . | 128.9 | 90.7 | 121.9 | 154.4 |
| Drills and joans. - - | 27.8 | 1003 | 80.7 | 103.2 |
| Other worta , . | 68.5 | 186.7 | $273 \cdot 3$ | $242 \cdot 3$ |
| Totar | $872 \cdot 4$ | 1,814.0 | 2.003•6 | 2,311-1 |
| Coloured piovegoods . - . | 2910 | 604.] | 6578 | - 678.8 |
| Total pisomgooda | 1,164.3 | 2,419.C | 2,801-1 | 2,989.9 |

Chart showing the production, imports and exports of cotion piecogoods during the years 1922-23 to 1931-52 as compared with the averages of the pre-war, war and post-war periods.


## Exports. .

resord. The proportion of the exports of piecegoods to the total production was 3.5 per cent as compared with 4 per cent in 1930-31 and 5.5 per cent in 1929-30. The actual quantity exported increased by 7 million yards or 7 per cent as compared with the preceding year. The following table sets forth the quantities of piecegoods, grey, white and coloured, exported from 1924-25 onwards as well as the average exports during the war period.

| . ${ }^{-}$ | (In thounand yarda) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { War } \\ \text { srerage } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1024-93 | 1925-9\% | 1026-27 | 1907-98 | 1029\%积 | 1089-50, | 1030-31 | 1931-35 |
| Cotkon plece-goots- |  |  |  |  |  | - | -, |  |  |
| groy | 74,576 | 44,198 | 87,898 | 19,040 | 17,089 | 18,385 | 18,048 | 0,706 | ${ }^{8,885}$ |
| Finlta. | 1,478 | 1,145 | 808 | 1,650 | 1,855 | 1,379 | 1,190 | - 890 | $\mathbf{8 6 7}$ |
| Colonted | 80,377 | 136,168 | 126,546 | 175,902 | 149,280 | 181,455 | 117,184 | 87,820 | 05,784 |
| Tract | 158,498 | 181,511 | 164,894 | 197,401 | 163,624 | 149,210 | 188,426 | .07,715 | 104,696 |

The exports of coloured goods showed an increase as compared with the preceding year and amounted to 96 million yards as compared with 88 million yards. On the other hand, exports under grey and white showed slight declines. The progressive decline in the exports of grey goods, noted in the preceding year's Review, continued in the year under review, though the decline was of smaller magnitude. Detailed figures of production and exports for the past three years and for 1913-14 are given below :-

Production in Indian mills.

| - | $\underset{\text { (pre-war year) }}{\text { 1913-14 }}$ | .1829-30 | 1930-81 | 1031-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grey and bleached pieoogoode- | Million yarda | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Million } \\ & \text { garde } \end{aligned}$ | Milion yards | Million yarde. |
| 8hirtings and longoloth . | 292.5 | 685-2 | $\therefore \quad 6492$ | 790;9 |
| Chadare - . . | $69 \cdot 9$ | 66.0 | 54.0 | 55.7 |
| Dhatis - - | 284.8 | 7760 | 831.4 | 984.6 - |
| T. aloth, domeatioa and uheetinga . | 128.9 | 80.7 | 121.9 | 154'4 |
| Drille and joans. | 27.8 | 1003 | 80.7 | 103.2 |
| Other corts . | 68.5 | 196.7 | 273.3 | $242 \cdot 3$ |
| Total | $872 \cdot 4$ | 1,814.8 | $2.003 \cdot 6$ | 2,311.1 |
| Coloured piovegooda . | 291.9 | 604.1 | 557.6 | 678.8 |
| Total rimeeoome | 1,164.3 | $2,419 \mathrm{Cl}$ | 2,561-1 | 2,989.9 |

Chart showing the production, imports and exports of cotion piecogoode during the years 1922-23 to 1931-52 as compared with the averages of the pre-war, war and post-war periods.


Cotton Manufactures.
Exports.


The exports of Indian piecegoods slightly increased from 98 million yarde to 105 million yards, though the value showed a decline of A 8 lakhs to $\mathrm{Z3} 3,2 \mathrm{~A}$ lakhs. The largest single customer for Indian piece. goods was Persia which took 20 million yards valued
Pieoogoods (R3,24 lakhs). at f 48 lakhs as compared with 13 million yards valued at fl34 lakhs in the previous year. The revival of the trade with Persis is a welcome feature of the year under review. The share of Ceylon, though smaller in quantity than Persia's, was higher in value, her takings amountirig to 17.1 million yarda valued at $\mathrm{Z7} 70$ lakhs as compared with 17.6 million yards valued at $\mathrm{F7} 7 \mathrm{lakh}$ in the previous year. Exports to Iraq also showed an increase, as in the case of Persia, and amounted to nearly 13 million yards valued at R37 lakhs in the year under review as compared with 9 million yards valied at f 29 lakhs in the preceding year. Exports to the Straits Settlements declined further to 9 million yards valued at f 48 lakhs as compared with 11 million yarda valued at f 62 lakbs. On the other hand, the takinge of Arabia, the Bahrein Islands and Tanganyika Territory showed increases from $4.8,2 \cdot 4$ and $10 \cdot 1$ million yards to $6.2,4.5$ and 10.7 million yards respectively. The shares of the other countries showed declines.

The total value of piecegoods exported in 1931-32 declined from $\mathrm{Z} 3,32$ lakhs to $\mathrm{P} 3,24$ lakhs. Grey goods accounted for P 23 lakhs, coloured goods for $\mathrm{P} 3,00$ lakhs and white goods for Zl 1 lakh.

The following tables show the average declared values per yard of the different classes of imported and exported piecegoods in 1913-14 and the last six years :-

Imported piecegoods.

|  |  |  | 1913-14 | 1928-27 | 1927-28 | 1928-20 | 1820-80 | 1930-81 | 1931-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grey - <br> White . <br> Doloured |  |  | $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { B } & 4 & R \\ 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 0 & 8 & 11 \\ 0 & 8 & 6 \end{array}$ |  | A A. $\mathbf{P}$. |  | R A. $\mathbf{R}$. | R 4.8 | 7 A. P. |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ccc} 25 & & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 9 \\ 0 & 4 & 11 \\ 0 & 6 & 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rrrrrr} 0 & 3 & 11 & 0 & 8 & 10 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 6 & 7 & 0 & 5 & 6 \end{array}$ |  | 087 | 080 | 086 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0 \leqslant 6$ | 088 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 3 & 1\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 056 | 048 | 088 |

Exports.
Indian piecegoods exported.


As in the previous year, the declared values of exported piecegoods were higher than those of imported piecegoods and this indicates the principal cause of the retrogression of the Indian piecegoods trade in overseas markets in recent years. It may be noted that the declared value of Indian piecegoods exported decreased in the case of white and coloured goods, but remained the same in the case of grey goods. The decline in the case of white goods exported was 7 pies per yard as in the case of imported white goods. Under coloured goods, the decline amounted to only 7 pies in the case of exported goods as compared with 9 pies in the case of imported coloured goods. The declared value of coloured piecegoods for 1931-32 was the lowest recorded in the post-war period and was the same as in 1913-14.

In the following table a rough estimate is made of the quantity of millmade cloth which was available for consumption in India during the year 1913-14 and the last five years. Owing to the existence of numerous markets and scattered demands, it is impossible in this table to take stocks into calculation.

| - | $\begin{aligned} & 1913-14 \\ & \text { (pre-war } \\ & \text { year) } \end{aligned}$ | 1927-28 | 1028-29 | 1929-30 | 1930-81 | 1931-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indian mill produotion Imported goods. <br> Toras rmoduchios and Imports - | Million yards 1,164.3 3,1971 | Million yards $2,358.6$ $1,978.4$ 1,078-4 | Million yards $1,893 \cdot 8$ 1,9368 1,036 | sciltion yards $2,419.0$ $1,919.3$ 1,919 | Million yardis $\mathbf{2 , 5 6 1 \cdot 1}$ 890.0 |  |
|  | 4,361 4 | 4,330-0 | 3,830.1 | 4,338.3 | 8,451-1 | 3,766.5 |
| Enported, Indian " Foreign Totar Frpozene | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \cdot 2 \\ & 62 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 168-6 \\ 858 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \theta^{\circ} 2 \\ 24 \cdot 2 \end{array}$ | $133 \cdot 4$ 22.2 | 977 174 | 104 157 |
|  | $161 \cdot 3$ | 202-4 | 173*4 | 155.6 | 1161 | 12083 |
| Hamutos aflinabis | 4,210.1 | 4,127.6 | 8,858.7 | 4,1827 | 3,336.0 | 8,645•2 |

Foodgrains and flour (R20,37 lakhs).-Exports under this head equalled exactly in quantity those of last year, though owing to lower prices there was a considerable decrease in the total value. The total quantity of foodgrains and flour exported amounted to $2,614,000$ tons in both the years. The value, however, declined from $\mathbf{R} 29,88$ lakhs in 1930-31 to $\mathrm{Z} 20,37$ lakhs in 1931-32. Exports of rice increased from 2,279,000 tons to 2,372,000 tons. On the other hand, consignments of wheat declined from 197,000 tons to 20,000 tons. Shipments of wheat flour also declined from 47,000 tons to 43,000 tons. Shipments of pulse showed an increase of 11,000 tons to 93,000 tons. Exports of barley, and jowar and bajra amounted to 27,000 and 59,000 tons as compared with 1,000 and 7,000 tons respectively in the preceding year.

## Foodgrains.

There were practically no exports of maize. It will thus be seen that the exports of foodgrains and flour, other than wheat increased by 177,000 tons, whereas exports of wheat showed a decrease of the same amount. The detailed exports during the past four years, compared with the average exports under each head in the pre-war quinquennium, are given below:-

| - | Pro-war averago | 1928-29 | 1029-30 | . 1930-31 | 1931-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | Tons (000) | Tons (000) | Tons (000) | Tous (000) | Tona (000) |
| Rice not in the husk . | 2,398 | 1,765 | 2,298 | 2,254 | 2301 |
| " in the hank . : | 48 | 61 | 28 | 25 | 70 |
| Whent . . . . . | 1,808 | 116 | 18 | 197 | 20 |
| " flowr . . . . | 65 | 64 | 61 | 47 | 48 |
| Pulve . | 291 | 114 | 97 | 88 | 93 |
| Barloy . . . . | 227 | 138 | 6 | 1 | 87 |
| Jowar and bajre . | 41 | 48 | 15 | 7 | 59 |
| Maizb |  | 17 | $\cdots$ | $\bullet$ | -• |
| Other torte . |  | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Torar - Tons (000) | 4,411 | 2,800 | 2,519 | 2,614 | 2,614 |
| Value \#(lathu) | 46,81 | 83,69 | 34,79 | 20,88 | 20,87 |

Rice accounted for 90.7 per cent of the total quantity of foodgrains and flour exported as compared with 87 per cent in the preceding year. Wheat and wheat flour contributed 2.4 per cent as against 9.3 per cent, barley 1.0 par cent as against 0.04 per cent and pulse 3.6 per cent as against 3 per cent in 1930-31.

The statement below compares the total production of rice in India and Burma during the past six years and the pre-war year with the total exports

Rice ( $\mathrm{Al18,14}$ labhsh). during the same periods :-
Total outturn of rice in India and Burma and total exports by sea to foreign countries.


## Exports. :

The production of cleaned rice in 1931-32 was 649,000 tons more than in 1930-31. Exports of rice and paddy showed an increase of 93,000 tons as compared with the preceding year. Of the important rice growing provinces, Burma alone possesses a large exportable surplus. The total exports of Burma in 1931-32 were $2,134,000$ tons as compared with $1,996,000$ tons in the preceding year and her share of the total foreign exports of rice from India was 90 per cent in 1931-32 as compared with 88 per, cent in 1930-31. Bengal contributed 5 per cent and Madras 3 per cent as against 5 per cent each in the preceding year. Exports from India, including Burma, forms 7 per cent of the total estimated production which is the same as in the preceding year.

Prices of rice during the year 1931-32 reached a disastrously low level as compared even with those of the preceding year which was itself a year of low prices. The average declared value for rice not in the husk exported during the year amounted to A77-8-7 per ton as against R114-8-11 in the preceding year, thus showing a decline of nearly A 37 or 32 per cent. This remarkable decline in the average declared value indicates clearly the great slump in rice prices which occurred during the year under review. It may be interesting to note that, except for the last three months of the year 1931-32, prices of rice were at all stages lower than in the corresponding period of the previous year. This low level of prices in the rice trade was, of course, mainly due to the general depression which affected the prices of all agricultural commodities very severely. But, in addition, the rice trade had its own peculiar features affecting prices at different times during the year. These causes may be studied in some detail.

From the standpoint of prices the year under review may be divided into two periods: the first period starting from the beginning of the financial year up to the end of June and the second period from July to the end of the financial year. During the first period prices were falling at a rapid rate, whereas in the second period the general level of prices was higher. The second period itself may be divided into two parts: (i) from July till about the middle of December and (ii) from December to nearly the end of the financial year. In the first part, i.e., from July to December, there were reports as to crop failures which led to speculative buying and selling and the prices, though generally on the increase, had considerable ups and downs, owing to the uncertainty created by the different reports. On the other hand, by December the lower crops in many of the producing countries had become a certainty and the second part of this period, therefore, witnessed a very considerable and consistent rise in rice prices. The two main periods may be considered separately. In the first period, i.e., up to July 1931 prices of rice in the Rangoon market declined from \#195 per 100 baskets of 75 lbs . each at the beginning of April to R155 on the 17th of June. The latter was the lowest price recorded during the year. This fall in prices was due to the same canses which had been at work during the latter half of $1930-31$. Briefly stated, the causes of the decline were chiefly the excess of supply and the absence of any big demand. The exportable surplus of Burma out of the 1930 crop was a record one and to make things worse this large exportable surplus coincided with bumper crops in Siam, Indo-China and Japan. The demand from China in the middle of the year 1930 which had supported Burma prices at that time broke in the latter half of the year and this led to an almost continuous fall in the prices

## Foodgrains.

of rice. The demand from other countries was also considerably less, especially in view of the good wheat crops of 1930. All these factors left a large exportable surplus to be shipped from Burma which at the beginning of April 1931 was estimated by the trade at just over 2 million tons as compared with 1.5 million tons at the corresponding date of the previous year. These large visible supplies considerably depressed the prices of Burma rice at the beginning of the year. The position was considerably aggravated by similar large exportable surpluses of Siam and Indo-China, which, according to trade estimates, had at that time an excess of supply of about 1.3 million tons in comparison with the corresponding period of the previous year. All these factors depressed the price of rice from April to June. From July onwards, however, i.e., in the second period, a different set of causes came into operation and these explain the comparatively high rice prices during that period. In the first place, by the end of July the available exportable surplus in Burma had been reduced to a considerable extent and amounted to barely one million tons. This desirable position was achieved by the lowering of Burma prices in comparison with those of Siam and Indo-China and the consequent extension of the sales of Burma rice. The reduction in the visible supplies led to the expectation that the carry-over at the beginning of the 1931-32 crop season would be of considerably smaller dimensions than at the corresponding date of the preceding year. Secondly, from July onwards there were reports of unfavourable weather conditions in parts of Burma and this, coupled with the disturbed political condition in the interior, was expected to lead to a reduction in acreage of from 10 to 25 per cent. It was found, however, that this estimate was considerably exaggerated, but by the time the acreage figures were published the trade had come to expect a lower crop owing to generally unfavourable weather conditions. A third factor which helped prices to rise at this time was the report of floods in Central China and the consequent loss of crop in that region. This report led to the expectation of a considerable demand from that country for Indian rice, as it was believed that the crop in that region had been destroyed. Ultimately, it was found that these reports also were exaggerated, but still it cannot be denied that the floods in China brought a large number of orders and helped the rice market considerably. The fourth reason which stimulated the rice mariet was the report of drought and damage to the rice crop in Siam. It was expected that 20 per cent of the total acreage planted in the seveninner circles in that country bad been damaged oonsiderably. This report, coupled with the report of a lower Japanese crop, helped prices to rise. The Japanese crop was expected to be lower by 10 per cent. Actually, it proved to have been reduced by about 18 per cent. Similar reports of ahort crops were reoeived from Korea, Java, Italy, Egypt and the United States of America and all these reports helped to raise prices. By December these reports had been more or less confirmed. Further, the unfavourable weather conditions in Burma, especinlly in the latter part of the season, led to a lower crop and the exportable surplus was reduced. at first, to $2 \cdot 6$ million tons and, later, to $2 \cdot 4$ million tons as compared with $3 \cdot 2$ million tons in the preceding year. The trade also eatimated a 20 per cent reduction in the combined exportable surplus of Burma, Siam and Indo-China. These factors increased rice prices considerably by reducing the available supplies. Further, doring the latter part of the financial year there was an inorease in wheat prices which led to a substitution of rice for wheat in cortain localities.

## Exports.

The rise in wheat prices had a sympathetic effect on the price of rice, the increase in wheat prices involving a larger demand for rice and during the year under review more rice was exported than in the preceding year. All these factors explain the increase in rice prices during the second period of the year under review. The table below gives the area and yield under rice in some of the Asiatic countries for 1930 and 1931.


Coming to a detailed description of the movement of prices, it may be noted that the year 1931-32 opened with a quotation of Pl 195 per 100 baskets of 75 lbs . each in the Rangoon market, a price which was fli65 less as compared with the corresponding quotation of the preceding year. In the course of the next few weeks there was a succession of rapid breaks which brought down prices to $\mathrm{Zl} 157-8-0$ on the 6 th of May. The collapse was primarily due to heavy selling in a dull market by millers most of whom were anxious to reduce their stock before the break of the rains. From that date till the 20th of May there was some rally and prices rose to $\not \geq 177-8-0$ on the latter date. This was due to a feeble revival of demand from shippers to Europe and, to some extent, to demand from India proper. This revival, however, proved to be a passing phase and as millers and speculators had to sell their stocks, the quotation gradually fell to Pl 155 by the 17 th of June, the lowest quotation of the year. In the course of the next week prices recovered to $\mathbb{7 1 6 5}$ and from then onwards there was a continued improvement until the second week of August. Till the middle of July the rise was comparatively feeble, the quotation on the 15th July being $\mathbf{7 1 7 5}$, that is, a gain of Pl 10 in three weeks. From that date however, prices shot up quickly and by the 12th of August the quotation .had reached $\mathrm{Z} 252-8-0$ an increase of over $\mathrm{A77}$. This rise, as has already been explained, was due to reports of floods in Central China, encouraging speculators to buy on a large scale in anticipation of requirements from that country. As a matter of fact, however, it was not until September that China came out as a buyer of any siguificance and the wave of speculative buying died down in the course of the next few weeks and prices began to decline. By the 23 rd of September prices had fallen to $\mathrm{¥202-8}-0$. Owing to the suspension of the gold standard, prices rose during the next week and by the 30th of September the price recorded was f230. Prices were roundabout $\mathbb{2} 25$ in the first three weeks of October, but in the last week of October there was a further fall to R212-8-0. During the next two weeks prices were stimulated by heary buying from China, the rates rising to $\mathbf{~} 235$ by the 11 th of November, but by the 18th of November prices had slipped back to $\mathrm{P} 207-8-0$. For about a month after that, prices were roundabout $\nexists 210$. By the end of December the new crop came into the market more or less definitely and the definite reports of short crops in Japan and other countries created circumstances favourable to a rise in prices. From $\mathbf{7 2 0 5}$ on the 22nd of December prices went on increasing and the rate of increase was accelerated in February 1932 and
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in the first half of March. On the 9th of March the quotation stood at R290, thus showing an increase of $\mathbf{R 8 5}$ as compared with the end of December. In the last three weeks of the year prices receded to some extent, owing to heavy selling by millers. The closing quotation of the year was $\mathbf{\mathrm { R }} 250$ which was in advance of the opening quotation of the year by R155.

Exports of rice, not in the husk showed an increase of nearly 50,000 tons in the year under review as compared with the preceding year. The quantity exported amounted to $2,301,000$ tons in 1931-32 as compared with $2,254,000$ tons in 1930-31. This increase in exports was made possible by a large demand from China, which, though slightly less than that of the previous year, was far in excess of the normal demand from that country. The demand from Europe also showed a considerable increase in the year under review and Egypt also considerably augmented her share. On the other hand, India's regular large customers, namely, Ceylon, the Straits Settlements and Sumatra, reduced their takings to some extent. The main increases and deoreases in the export trade with the various foreign countries are given in the table below:-

Exports of rioe, not in the husk to foreign countries.


It will be seen that the exports to China amounted to 417,000 tons which, though 50,000 tons less than the preceding year, were nearly 160,000 tons more than in 1929-30. The shares of European countries, especially of the United Kingdom and Germany, showed increases. The United Kingdom took 117,000 tons as compared with 58,000 tons in the preceding year, whereas Germany took 231,000 tons as compared with 162,000 tons. The share of Egypt also went up from 22,000 tons to 112,000 tons. On the other hand, big decreases were noticeable in the shares of Ceylon, and the Straits Settlements and
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Sumatra which took only 410,000 and 332,000 tons as compared with 444,000 and 395,000 tons respectively in the preceding year. It is interesting to note also that the coastwise exports of rice, not in the husk. from Burma to India proper during 1931-32 amounted to $1,086,000$ tons as compared with 811,000 tons in the preceding year, thus showing an increase of 275,000 tons. This large increase in India's share explains, to a very great extent, why Burma did not export larger quantities to foreign countries.

The causes of the unhealthy state in the rice trade had been explained, to some extent, in the last year's Review. Since then the Committee, appointed by the Government of Burma to enquire into the rice and paddy trade, have submitted their Interim Report. According to this report, the main causes of the depression of Burma rice trade are : firstly, the general causes which led to a depression in all the primary commodities and secondly, the falling off in the demand for Burma rice which leaves a surplus to be sold at lowered prices. The depression in the case of the primary commodities itself leads to a decreased demand for Burma rice, for a fall in the price of tin, rubber, sugar and other commodities has created a depression in these trades; and labourers in these industries who ordinarily required rice have been turned off and have not the means to purchase their normal requirements. From this factor a surplus arises, for Burma and other rice-producing countries have not so far cut down their production of rice. On the contrary, the world's production had been steadily increasing. The increase in Korea and the Japanese embargo have ousted Burms rice from Japan. The increase in the Philippines has deprived Indo-China of a market with the result that rice which was normally sent there has now to be sold in other markets in competition with Burma rice. In Europe the subsidised exports of Italy and Spain are purchased in quarters which formerly took Burma rice. In America the Southern States of the Union and, in a smaller but threatening measure, British Guiana are increasing output and intensifying production, while everywhere in the East and West the low price of wheat is placing it within the reach of those who have hitherto been able to afford only rice. According to the Committee, all these factors appear sufficient to account for the depression in the Burma rice trade.

The above factors explain the generally unhealthy condition of the Burma rice trade for the last few years. In the year under review, however, it may be remarked that the rice trade in the second part of the year was tending towards a healthier condition owing to the low crops in the various rice producing countries which, to some extent, corrected the factor of over-supply. How far this will favour a permianent break in the condition of chronic oversupply can only be seen in future years.

The wheat trade appeared to be in a healthier state during the year under review in contrast to its seriously depressed condition of 1930-31. At the

Wheat ( Al 15 lakhs ). opening of the year 1931-32, however, thore was little promise of this subsequent recovery ; in fact, up to the middle of September conditions in the wheat markets were gloomy. The principal causes of this state of affairs, apart from the general economic depression, were as follows: In the first place, there were the after-effects of the relative staguation in the wheat trade of 1930-31, a result of forced limitation of imports into Central Europe and the subsidisation of wheat-growing in exporting countries. One result of this policy had been the creation of an
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enormous carry-over at the beginning of the year 1931-32, which acted as a deadweight on the market. Stocks on August 1st, 1931 were estimated by the International Institute of Agriculture, Rome at 546 million bushels, a quantity representing the maximum accumulation of surpluses so far recorded. These stocks were chiefly located in North America, viz., in the United States of America and in Canada, and were the outcome of three years' Government control or interference, almost unlimited financial backing and a rigid adherence to a holding policy. The holdings of the Federal Farm Board alone were assessed at more than 300 million bushels and these loomed menscingly on the horizon. Further, just before the year started the Board upset the trade by selling large quantities of its holdings. In addition, great uncertainty prevailed in the market owing to the official announcement of the Board. issued on the 23rd March 1931, which gave notice that stabilisation operations would not be extended to the new crop of 1931. Added to all this was the fact that the winter wheat crop of the United States was estimated to be 185 million bushels in excess of that of the preceding year. Moreover, Russia was a heary seller at low prices during the first part of the year and this also depressed the market considerably. All these factors led to a continuously depressed market at the beginning of the year 1931-32. From the middle of September onwards, however, the situation took a decisive turn for the better. According to reports then current, the world production of wheat in 1931 appeared to be much smaller than it had been in the preceding year and also slightly below the average of the preceding quinquennium. The total production was not, on the whole, expected to be sufficient to cover consumption requirements. Owing to the smaller crop, the world's exportable supplies were thought to be smaller in spite of the exceptional stocks in existence at the beginning of the season. The probable requirements of importing countries also appeared to be larger, as the European demand was expected to increase as a result of the poor rye crop and non-European demand on account of the probable expansion of consumption stimulated by low prices and the precarious food situation in the vast regions of Chins. It was held, therefore, that the smaller supply and larger demand would lead to a withdrawal of large quantities from the existing stocks and naturally, this expectation helped the market considerably. Apart from the statistical position, there were other factors also which helped the market. In the first place, the Russian supply dried up after the first few months of the year. Secondly, the suspension of the gold standard by Great Britain created a hopeful ontlook in the trade. Under the stimulus of these factors prices were generally on a higher level from October till the end of the year under review. The reports as to short orop in many countries erred slightly on the side of exaggeration and there was a reaction in the situation in March 1932 when these facts came to be known, and prices declined to some extent. On the whole, however, the condition of the wheat trade may be considered to be healthier than in the earlier months of the year and also as compared with 1930-31.

Coming to a detailed description of the movement of prices, it may be noted that the opening quotation of the year under review for Manitoba, No. 3 at Liverpool per quarter of 480 lbs . was 22 s .3 d . On the 17th of April the rate rose to 248 . 3d. and it remained within a few pence of this quotation till the 15th of the following month. From the 22nd of May a downward movement started, mainly as a result of the depressing influence of crop reports from
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the United States which indicated a large winter crop in that country.' The expectation of a large crop increased the nervousness of the market regarding the ultimate disposal of the Farm Board's gigantic holdings and the quotation gradually came down to $21 s$. 6d. by the 19 th June. The announcement of the Hoover Moratorium raised prices slightly to 22 s . $3 d$. on the 26 th June and reports of heavy crop damage in Canada increased prices atill further in the next week. On the 10th of July, however, the quotation slumped to $21 \mathrm{~s} .7 \frac{1}{2} d$. and this downward tendency continued till the 7th August when the quotation touched 18s. $10 \frac{1}{2} d$. This was due to the extensive sales of wheat by Russia at low prices followed by heavy offers of Danubian wheat at very cheap rates. The other important cause of the slump was the apprehension of a heavy liquidation by the Farm Board which had officially announced its intention to sell abroad 35 million bushels from its holdings by the end of July. From the 14th of August for a period of two weeks prices improved slightly as a result of a moderate increase in buying in several directions in Europe, the quotation on the 21st August being 20s. $4 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. Prices again slipped back, however, and continued to decline till the third week of September when the quotation stood at 19s. The suspension of the gold standard in England at this time led to a sudden rise in prices which touched 258 . on the 25th of September. As in the case of other commodities, this rise was speculative and during the next week there was a relapse to 228 . By this time the favourable circumstances, referred to above, came into operation in the manket and from the 2 nd of October prices were on the increase till on the 6th November the quotation stood at 31s. This rise was chiefly due to the realisation of the improvement in the statistical position, of the trade and also to the sudden cessation of the Russian offers about the end of October. After the 6th November, however, the crop indications and weather reports became bearish and the quotation began to drop and reached 27 s . 6 d . on the 27 th November. Prices recovered to 298 . next week, but again declined owing to a selling pressure from the Argentine, the quotation on the 18th December being 278. The course of prices remained uncertain in January 1932 being roundabout 278. In February, owing to an increased demand from the Continent, prices rose considerably and on the 26 th of February the quotation recorded was $31 s$. $1 \frac{1}{2} d$. , the highest during the year. Prices, however, slipped back in March and the quotation at the end of the year was 288 . In the following table the prices of No. 3 Manitobs and of Karachi choice white wheat, as far as available, are compared:-

Prices of wheat, c. i.f. Liverpool and London.
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Prices in the Karachi market per candy of 656 lbs . stood at $\mathrm{P} 19-10$ at the beginning of April. From then till the middle of July prices showed a downward tendency, the quotation of the latter date being $\not$ \#15. Between that date and the 11th August prices rose to R18-10, but next week the quotation had relspsed to P17-8. For four weeks after that, the quotation was 817 or slightly above. On the 23rd of September, however, it had dropped down to 716-4. As a result of the suspension of the gold standard, prices began rising and by November 3rd the quotation recorded was $\mathbf{7 2 2 - 1 5}$. Thare was a slight set-back to $\mathbf{\# 2 1}-10$ on the 11th of November, but throughout the next six weeks prices were generally on the increase and on the 22nd December the price recorded was $\mathbf{7 2 6 - 4 .}$. For the next four weeks prices were roundabout 725 to 726 . By the end of January, however prices began to show a declining tendency. The quotation on the 19th of January was fa26-4. In the following week it fell by a rupee and at the beginning of February it had receded to $\mathrm{R} 24-4$. At this level it remained till the third week of February and there was only a slight decrease of 4 annas in the last week of the month. March. however, witnessed a further considerable decline. the quotation on the 29th March being $\mathrm{R20-15}$. Thus, in a period of a little over two months prices had declined by fit-5.

The total area under wheat in India daring 1930-31 was 32 million acres, which meant an increase of haif a million acres as compared with 1929-30. The outturn of 1930-31 was 9.3 million tons as compared with 10.5 million tons in the preceding year. The following table shows the balance of wheat available for consumption in India for a number of years:-


+ Production for 1908-09 to 1912-18.
$+\quad \omega \quad$ 1913-14 to 1917-18.

It will be seen that the balance available for consumption decreased in 1931-32 as compared with the preceding year by over a million tons, This probably meant a reduction in the stocks hald in India. Owing to this factor

## Exporta.

and owing probably to an increased consumption of wheat in India itself, the exports of wheat dwindled to a very low figure in the year under review. In the following table production and exports during the past five years and the pre-war year 1913-14 are set forth.

Total production of wheat and total exports by sea to foreign countries.

*Subjeot to revision.
Exports of wheat during the year amounted to 20,000 tons valued at Al 15 lakhs as compared with 197,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{fl} 1,95$ lakhs in the preceding year. It will thus be seen that the exports in the year under review had declined very considerably and were almost of the same magnitude as in the year 1929-30. The decrease in exports was probably due to the lower crop in India and to a larger consumption of home-grown wheat. The largest share of these exports was, as usual, taken by the United Kingdom, the quantity amounting to nearly 17,000 tons. Arabis took slightly under 2,000 tons. Exports to other countries were of negligible amounts.

The imports of wheat into India during the year under review amounted to 111,000 tons valued at P 73 lakhs as compared with 232,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{~} 2,15$ lakhs in the preceding year and 357,000 tons valued at $\not \approx 4,98$ lakhs in 1929-30. The imports had thus considerably declined as compared with the preceding two years. This result was due to the imposition of an import daty on wheat in March 1931; and the quantities which entered India during the year were in fulfilment of contracts made prior to the introduction of the bill to levy an import duty, as these contracted quantities were exempted from the new duty. As in the previous year, the bulk of the supplies imported were received from Australia which sent 110,000 tons in the year under review or 99 per cent. of the total imports as compared with 209,000 tons or 90 per cent. in the preceding year. Foreign supplies were roseived mainly in Bengal, Bombay and Burma, their respective shares being 80,000 tons, 28,000 tons and 3,000 tons.

Shipments of wheat flour declined from 47,000 tons valued at $\mathbb{7 8 0}$ lakhs in 1930-31 to 43,000 tons valued at P 58 lakhs in 1931-32. As usual, the
Wheat four (R56 lakhs). largest single market for wheat flour was Arabia which took 14,000 tons in the year under review as compared with 13,000 tons in the preceding year. The Anglo-Egyptian Sudan largely increased her takings from under 100 tons to a little over 5,000 tons. On the other hand, the share of Egypt, and Mauritius and Dependencies declined from 6,000 and 4,000 tons to 800 and 1,000 tons respectively. Exports
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to most of the other countries did not show any great variations as compared with the preceding year.

The quantity of other foodgrains exported increased very considerably in the year under review and amounted to 180,000 tons as compared with

Other foodgraing ( $\mathrm{Z1,50}$ lakhs). 91,000 tons in the preceding year and 121,000 tons in 1929-30. The value of the consignments was R1,50 lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{R} 1,17$ lakhs in the preceding year and $\# 2,00$ lakhs in 1929-30. Exports of barley increased from 1,000 tons valued at \#1 lakh to 27,000 tons valued at 716 lakhs in 1931-32. Most of the exports went to the United Kingdom which took nearly $\mathbf{2 2 , 0 0 0}$ tons valued at R13 lakhs as against 900 tons valued at under 7 I lakh in the preceding year. Belgium also took 3,000 tons. Exports of jowar and bajra also, as in the case of barley, showed a very considerable increase. The total exports under this head amounted to 58,500 tons valued at fl421 lakhs as compared with 6,500 tons valued at 78 lakhs in 1930-31 and 15,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{Z 2 5}$ lakhs in 1929-30. Shipments of beans also showed an increase, though not of the same magnitude as in the case of barley and jowar and bajra. Exports under this head amounted to 34,000 tons valued at Rl 18 lakhs in comparison with 22,000 tons valued at Zl 7 lakhs in the preceding year and 32,000 tons valued at 744 lakhs in 1929-30. Exports of gram showed some increase from 12,000 tons to 16,000 tons, but the value declined by nearly R1 lakh to fl 7 lakhs. Shipments of leatils declined to 6,600 tons from 10,800 tons in the preceding year. Exports of pulse, other sorts, also showed a slight decline from 38,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{~} 54$ lakhs in 1930-31 to 36,000 tons valued at F 44 lakhs in 1931-32.

Tea ( $\mathrm{Z1} 19,44$ lakhs). The tea trade experienced extremely bad conditions during the year under review. There was a catastrophio slump in the tea prices, as will be seen from the fact that the average price of tea per lb, rea: jised at the Calcutta auction sales during 1931-32 was 6 as. 5 p. as compared with 9 as. 4 p. in 1930-31. Prices in the London market were also abnormally low and on occasions tea had to be withdrawn from asle without a bid owing to the disappointing nature of the demand. The prices realised were in many cases less than the cost of production and it was expected that many concerns would find it most difficult to carry on production during the following season unless some immediate relief were forthcoming. The main cause of this slump in tea prices is that outturn from the main tea producing countries is still in excess of consumption and stocks in the chief centre of distribution, the United Kingdom, are still too large. The abandonment of the general restriction scheme announced in January 1931 aggravated the situstion. Many concerns carried out a voluntary and independent restriction of their production, but their efforts in this line were frustrated by an increase in production in Java and Sumatra. At the beginning of the season the position of the trade was not so depressed. Stocks in the London market at the end of March 1931 (as indicated by the returns issued by the London tea warehouses covering approximately 90 per cent of the total) were about 10 million lbs. lower than those reported on the same date of the preceding year. Further, the restriction scheme in operation during 1930 in the main producing countries had led to a considerable reduction in the supplies of tea available during the early months of 1931-32. A late start in plucking and adverse climatio conditions in India, though unfavoursble for quality, kept output
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in check at the beginning of the year and by the end of July the figures disclosed a decline of 3 3 million lbs. as compared with the preceding year. Many gardens also carried on a form of restriction by finer plucking only and the hot and dry weather during August also led to a decline in production. At this time the total decline in production amounted to $9{ }^{2}$ million lbs. Consumption was also being well maintained and the outlook was much brighter, as was reflected in the market where more confidence was shown and everything pointed to a rise in prices. This'state of affairs, however, was greatly changed in the following months. From the middle of September until late in November many districts experienced unprecedentedly heavy rains which, following a warm period, produced encrmous flushes, farticularly in Aseam, Cachar and Sylhet districts. From that time onwards it appeared inevitable that outturn would increase and the figures rose rapidly. In spite of this, however, the total increase in the production of the year 1931 was small. The abnormally heavy fall in prices, therefore, can only be explained on the assumption that there was a general over-production of the lower grade teas. According to the available market reports, there was, during the year, an absolute scarcity of good to fine teas, and the demand, judged by all available indices, remsined exceptionally keen for quality teas. The principal world markets were swamped by the ever-increasing influx of cheap teas, grown particularly in Java and Sumatra. The London market was flooded with foreign grown tea which intensified the demand for the revival of the tariff preference in favour of Empire-grown teas on import into the United Kingdom. The outlook for the year 1932-33 does not, however, seem to be entirely dark. The abnormally low prices of 1931-32 are sure to lead to, a curtailment of production even without a general restriction scheme. Already it is reported that large areas of old tea are being abandoned and even complete estates are being shut down and even in Netherlands India there has been a revival of interest in restriction schemes. Apart from this, however, the imposition of an import duty of 4d. per lb. on foreign teas and of only 2d. on Empire-grown teas is kound to help the imports of Empire-grown teas in England and this is a hopeful feature for the tea industry in India. Further, the suspension of the gold standard by the United Kingdom and the retention of the link between the rupee and sterling impose an additional handicap on the Dutch teas. It would appear that all these factors are likely to improve the conditions of the tea trade in the coming season. The year under review, however, as has been remarked above, has been one of the worst on record.

Coming to the production of tea in India, the total outturn for the season 1931-32 amounted to 394 million lbs. as compared with 391 million lbs. in the preceding season. As has been remarked above, however, at the heginning of the year there were little signs that production during the season under review would exceed that of the preceding season. There was a late start in plucking and weather conditions at the beginning of the season were unfavourable to a large outturn. By the end of July the production was $3 \frac{1}{2}$ million lbs. short as compared with the corresponding period of the preceding season. A continuance of the unfavourable weather and tiner pluckings in August and September led to a further fall in production of sis million lba. as compared with the preceding year. But the heavy rains begirning from the middle of September and continuing till November produced enor-
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mous flushes, particularly in Assam and gave a remarkable stimulus to the total production of tea. As the cold weather came late, production made further headway and the season's total showed an increase of 3 million lbs. in comparison with the total production of 1930. Adverse climatio conditions led to the year under review being a most disappointing one as regards quality. Except in a few cases, the early and second flush teas were much below average, though towards the end of the second flush season and during the early part of the rains teas from the Assam districts improved considerably. The autumn crop was poor in quality owing to heavy rains late in the year and the teas were, as a rule, devoid of the richness and colour usually associated with the autumn quality.
-The total production of tes in India in 1931 was estimated, as remarked above, at 394 million lbs. as compared with 391 million lbs. in 1930, 433 million lbs. in 1929 and 404 million lbs. in 1928. As usual, Assam contributed the largest share, namely 243 million lbs. or 62 per cent of the total output and Southern India 57 million lbs. or 14 per cent. Production in Assam increased by 10 million lbs., whereas production in the rest of Northern India decreased by about 9 million lbs. The total area under tea in 1931 was 807,100 acres as against 802,900 acres in 1930. The progress of the industry in recent years can be seen from the figures given below :-

Acrange.

|  | 1906 | 1015 | 1926 | 1827 | 1988 | 1829 | 1880 | 1831* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aaren | A0res | Acres | Aoren | A.ters | Acres | Aoren | Aores |
| Astam | . 889,200 | 882,800 | 420,400 | 486,000 | 427,200 | 189,500 | 482,900 | 481,000 |
| Rest of Northern Indis | - 158,900 | 181,800 | 212,700 | 214,200 | 290,800 | 228,900 | 227,200 | 227,200 |
| Eonthern Indis | 87,600 | 68,000 | 106,300 | 117,800 | 127,800 | 125,600 | 148,800 | 148,900 |
| Tora | - 580,700 | 632,100 | 739,400 | 756,000 | 775,900 | 788,000 | 802,900 | 807,100 |

Production.

|  | 1008 | 1915 | 1926 | 1987 | 1828 | 1989 | 1890 | 1881* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asenm <br> Rest of Northern India <br> Sonthern India | lbs. | lbe. | lbe | 1 lb . | Iba. | lbe. | Ib. | 1bs. |
|  | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | (1,000) | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ |
|  | 162,468 | 245,732 | 241,982 | 235,888 | 246,018 | 256,941 | 239,418 | 248,242 |
|  | 64,210 | 94,095 | 90,804 | 101,928 | 100,86 | 115,629 | 102,769 | 94,251 |
|  | 14,881 | 31,610 | 51,147 | 88,109 | 57,271 | 58,278 | 54,896 | 86,647 |
| Total | 240,985 | 872,057 | 398,038 | 890,920 | 404,153 | 482,842 | 891,061 | 898,140 |

## Exports.

The variations in exports are shown in the table below:-
Exports of tea by sea to foreign countries.

|  | 1906-07 | 1915-16 | 1826-27 | 1827-28 | 1888-29 | 1920-80 | 1880-31 | 1981-92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From Norghern Indis (Calcults and Chittagong). <br> Brom Southern .India (Medrat porta). <br> From Bombay, Sind and Barme <br> Tomat |  | Ib*. | Tbs | - Ibe. | dbs. | lbs. | 1bi. | 1bas: |
|  | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,300)$ | $(1,000)$ |
|  | 217,981 | 801,403 | 804,957 | 315,109 | 809,845 | 826,863 | 807,157 | 205,294 |
|  | 18,980 | 25,840 | 42,985 | 45,744 | 49,321 | 49,671 | 48,575 | 45,901 |
|  | 1,743 | 11,227 | 1,872 | 781 | 436 | 600 | 517 | 3\% |
|  | 233,654 | 888,470 | 849,264 | 861,614 | 859,008 | 876,684 | 856,239 | 841,518 |

In 1931-32, 87 per cent of the total quantity of tea produced in India was exported overseas.

The auction sales of tea at Calcutta commenced on the lst of June 1931 and altogether there were 34 sales during the season, the same number as for the preceding season. The number of packages of tea sold during the 1931-32 season (excluding dust, second hand and damaged teas) amounted to 746,000 as compared with 755,000 sold in $1930-31$. The average price of tea per lb . for the season, as already stated, was 6 as. 5 p . per lb . as against 9 as. $4 p$. realised in the preceding year's sales. The number of packages of dusts sold during 1931-32 was 215,000 as compared with 172,000 in 193031 and the average price was 5 as. $2 \boldsymbol{p}$. as against 6 as. 9 p. in the preceding year.

The average weekly prices per lb. realised at the Calcutta auction sales during the season are graphed in Chart No. 9 and also in the chart on the opposite page where the district weekly averages are exhibited along with the general sverage. As was mentioned in the preceding year's Review, tea prices had experienced a catastrophic slump towards the end of the 1930-31 tea season, the average price realised at the last auction of the year on the 23rd February 1931 having been 5 as. $10 p$. In the first sale of the year under review held on the lst of June the average price realised was 8 as. 6 p. Although this was a substantial advance on the preceding year's olosing average, the advance was due primarily to the predominance of better grades in the invoices offered for sale. As demand for any except grades above the average standard was-abnormally weak the average price sagged to 5 as. $10 p$. at the next auction of the season held on the 15th June. The average remained within 4 pies of this rate till the third week of July, but subsequently with the appearance of better qualities, particularly good second flush invoices, prices tended to rise, namely, from 6 as. I p. realised in the third week of July to 6 as. 6 p. recorded on the 3rd-4th August. Quality now commenced to deteriorate and a decline in prices set in, the quotation dropping to 6 as. 2 p. by the middle of the month. The low outturn figures available at this time coupled with the reports of floods in Assam and the Dooars led to a recovery in prices and on the lst of September the quotation was 7 as. $4 p$. This was. followed by an erratic movement of the average rate which returned to 6 as.

Chart ahowing the weekly district average pricoe of ton realisod at the auction sales in Calcutta.


## Tea.

2 p. by the 22nd of the month. The suspension of the gold standard in England led to a sharp rise to 7 as. $10 p$. at the end of September, but there was an immediate relapse to 7 as. 3 p. in the first week of October. From then prices gradually slipped back to 6 as. 8 p. at the end of October. November opened firm at 7 as. $1 p$., but throughout the subsequent weeks of the month the rate sagged till the average touched 6 as. 5 p. by the 1st of December. For the two subsequent weeks the average remained steady at 6 as. 7 p . and from this time onward the qualities offered became uninteresting, representing mainly offerings of an end-of-the-season character and prices, therefore, declined steadily till 4 as. 8 p. was reached by the middle of February 1932. There was a slight rally at the end of February when the quotation touched 5 as. 1 p. Stocks of Indian tea at London, as indicated by the return of the London tea warehouses, were 139 million lbs. on the 31st of March 1932 as compared with 157 million lbs. on the same date in 1931.

The total shipments of tea during the year showed a decrease of 4 per cent in quantity and 17 per cent in value. Only $608,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. of green tea were exported during the year; the balance of 341 million lbs. consisted of black tea. Exports to the United Kingdom totalled 291 million lbs. valued at Z17 crores as compared with 299 million lbs. valued at $\mathbb{7 2 0}$ crores in the preceding year. The share of the United Kingdom was 85 per cent of India's total exports as compared with 84 per cent in the preceding year. Re-exports of Indian tea from the United Kingdom were 50 million lbs. in 1931-32 as in the preceding year. Stocks in London amounted to 139 million lbs. at the end of the year as compared with 157 million lbs. in the preceding year. Re-exports of Indian tes from the United Kingdom to the Irish Free State amounted to 17.8 million lbs. in $1931-32$ as compared with 18.6 million lbs. in 1930-31. Re-exports to Russia amounted to 3.8 million lbs. in the year under review as compared with 4.9 million lbs. in the preceding year. Direct shipments to Russia showed a considerable decline from 6.2 million lbs. in 1930-31 to 3.5 million lbs. in 1931-32. Thus, the total exports of Indian tea to Russia decreased from 11.1 million lbs. in $1930-31$ to 7.3 million lbs. in 1931-32. From the London market other European countries took Indian. tea to the extent of 10.8 million lbs. as compared with 10.5 million lbs. in 193031. Re-exports from the United Kingdom to the United States of America increased from 7.2 million lbs. in $1930-31$ to 7.9 million lbs. in 1931-32. Direct shipments to the United States of Amexica were almost the same as in the preceding year, being 10.0 million lbs, as against 9.9 million lbs. Thus the total exports to the United States of America increased from 17.1 million lbs. to 17.9 million lbs. Re-exports from the United Kingdom to Canada and Newfoundland increased from 6.4 million lbs. in 1930-31 to 6.9 million lbs. in 1931-3\%. Direct shipments to Cansda also showed an increase from 10.2 million lbs. to $14 \cdot I$ million lbs. Exports to Chins receded from 1.7 million lbs. to $1 \cdot 2$ million lbs. Exports to Australia showed a considerable fall from 4.5 million lbs. to 2.5 million lbs. and to Egypt from 3.6 million lbs. to 3.3 million lbs. Exports to Persia declined by more than 50 per cent from 4.3 million lbs. to 2 million lbs.

The share of Calcutta in the export trade was 64 per cent, of Chittagong 23 per cent and of Madras 13 per cent. Shipments from Chittagong amounted to 78 million lbs. Exports from Madras totalled 46 million lbs. The coastwise exports from Bengal increased from 12.6 million lbs. to 17.7 million Ibs.

## Exports.

- The following table shows in millions of lbs. the exports of tea from the principal producing centres during the past five years:-
(In millions of lbs.)

- Not arailable.
$\dagger$ Excluding the figures for Formosa.
Imports of tea into the principal consuming countries from India, Ceylon: China, Japan and Java, as far as information is available, are shown in Table No. 61. A reference to the table will show that the percentage of Indian tea included in the total imports of tea into the United Kingdom during 1931 stood at 51.7 as compared with 53.6 in 1930. The actual quantity of Indian tes imported decreased from 290 million lbs. to 277 million lbs. Imports of Ceylon tea into the United Kingdom advanced from 152 million lbs. to 159 million lbs. and those of Java tea from 84.6 million lbs. to 85.9 million lbs. In the case of the United States of America the quantity of Indian tea imported rose from 16.6 million lbs. to 17.4 million lbs., the percentage share of Indis having improved correspondingly from $19 \cdot 5$ to $20 \cdot 1$. On the other hand, the demand for Indian tea in Canada was comparatively weak, the quantity imported having fallen from 33.8 million lbs. ( $\mathbf{6 6 \cdot 6}$ per cent) to 20 million lbs. ( 60.2 per cent). In Australia there was a decrease of about 2 million lbs. in the imports of Indian tea, which brought down the percentage share of India from 9.6 to $6 \cdot 1$. The decline may be attributed to the keenness of competition from Java tea, imports of which advanced by 4 million lbs.

Imports of foreign tea by sea into British India slightly increased from 6.6 million lbs. in 1930-31 to 7 million lbs. in 1931-32. The value, however, showed a decline from R 46 lakhs to f 44 lakhs. Of the imports, 3 -1 million lbs. consisted of green tea and the rest was black tea. Java supplied $1-1$ million lbs. and Ceylon 2.3 million lbs. of black tea. Chins supplied $154,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. of black tea. Imports from China of green tea were almost the same in quantity, being 2.7 million lbs. There was, however; a slight decline in value from R19 to R18 lakhs.

The quantity of tea waste exported for the manufacture of caffeine declined very considerably from 6.5 million lbs. valued at f6 $6 \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}}$ lakhs to 2.7 million

## Ten waste.

lbs. valued at Al 1 lakhs in 1931-32. Of this, the United States of America took 2.2 million lbs. and the United Kingdom 0.5 million lbs.

## Oilseeds.

Oilseeds (R14,59 lakchs.)-TThe total exports of Indian oilseeds declined from 1,037,000 tons valued at $\not \mathrm{P17,86}$ lakhs in 1930-31 to 988,000 tons valued at fll4,59 lakhs in 1931-32 and showed a decrease of 5 per cent in quantity and of 18 per cent in value. World supplies were abundant and generally in excess of requirements. The Indian trade had, therefore, to withstand competition of excessive production of oil producing materials. in other coutries. It will be seen from the table on the margin that; while exports of linseed and cotton seed were much smaller than in the preceding year, there were increases under groundnuts, rapeseed, sesamum and castor seed.

The Indian linseed crop of 1930-31 was somewhat amaller than in the preceding year, baing estimated at 377,000 tons compared with 380,000 tons

Linsoed ( $\mathrm{F} 1,53$ lakhs). in 1929-30. On the other hand, Argentina, the chief linseed-growing country, had a good crop, estimated at $1,757,000$ tons in 1930-31, or 41 per cent higher than the preceding year's crop of $1,250,000$ tons. Increased production was also reported from the United States of America and Canada. The plentifulness of supply from these sources and the comparative cheapness of the product curbed the demand for Indian linseed in markets sbroad. Total exports of Indian linseed deolined from 257,000 tons in 1930-31 to 120,000 tons in 1931-32. Purchases by the United Kingdom were considerably reduced and amounted to 14,000 tons as against 58,000 tons in 1930-31. Germany, Belgium and the Netherlands together took 11,000 tons, or 36,000 tons less than in the preceding year, while France required 44,000 tons or 19,000 tons more than in 1930-31. Exports to Italy and Spain declined from 33,000 tons and 9,000 tons to 15,000 tons and 4,000 tons respsctively. Among nearer markets, Japan, which in 1930-31 had restricted her purchases to 3,000 tons, increased her requirements to 6,000 tons, while Australia took 10,000 tons or 1,000 tons less than in the preceding year. The trade in Indian linseed was, as usual, divided between Bengal and Bombay in the proportion of 63 and 37 per cent as compared with 60 and 40 per cent in 1930-31. Table No. 61 shows the relative importance of the sources from which linseed is imported into the United Kingdom, France, Italy and Australia.

The price of linseed, small grains, which opened at about R4-9 per maund at Calcutta remained fairly steady during the month of April, but gradually fell with a slight recovery in July, until R3-11 was touched in September. When Great Britain went off the gold standard a stimulus was given to the trade and the price, following a similar improvement in London, rose to $\mathrm{R} 4-8$ in November. The improvement was not, however, maintained and by the end of the year, when the market was influenced by the promise of another big crop in Argentina, the price sank to $\mathrm{F4}$ per maund.

The production of groundnuts in India in 1930-31 was one of the beaviest on record and exceeded 3 million tons, being 18 per cent higher than the preGroundnats (R10,14 Iakhs). vious season's crop of 2.7 million tons. The orop of 1931-32 is, according to the latest report, estimated

## Exports.

at 2,697,000 tons. Exports of groundnuts, which constituted the largest individual item of all oilseeds, amounted to 672,000 tons in 1931-32 as compared with 601,000 tons in the preceding year. As usual, a large proportion went to France, which increased her takings from 172,000 tons to 223,000 tons. The Netherlands and-Germany came next in importance. The former reduced her purchases from 167,000 tons to 147,000 tons, while the latter slightly raised her requirements from 120,000 tons to 122,000 tons. Exports to Italy and the United Kingdom advanced from 77,000 tons and 47,000 tons to 80,000 tons and 78,000 tons respectively. About 74 per cent of the total quantity exported in 1931-32 went from the Madras Presidency as compared with 76 per cent in 1930-31, the remainder having been shipped almost entirely from Bombay.

The price of groundnuts (machine decorticated) at Madras was generally low in the first quarter of the year. From 730 per candy of 500 lbs . on April 2, it touched the lowest point of the year, \#24-8 on the 5th June. From July onward there was an improvement in prices, greatly accentuated by the suspension of gold standard and the movements in exchange. On October 9th $\mathfrak{Z} 32$ was recorded and $\mathbb{7} 34-6$ on November 13. In December there was a tendency towards a reaction in value and the price fell to f28-4 on the 18th December. Prices rose steadily thereafter and the highest quotation \#42-8 was reached by March 18.

The production of rape and mustard seeds in 1930-31 was estimated at 988,000 tons being 10 per cent less than in the preceding season, while the

## Rapeseed ( $\mathbf{B 7 3 4}$ lekha).

 crop of 1931-32 was reported to have yielded 1,023,000 tons or 35,000 tons more than that of 1930-31. Exports of rape seed amounted to 54,000 tons in 1931-32 as compared with 33,000 tons in 1930-31 and 44,000 tons in 1929-30. The United Kingdom increased her takings from 10,600 tons in 1930-31 to 14,400 tons in the year ander review. France, which had required 10,600 tons in 1930-31, reduced her purchases to 5,600 tons. Italy showed a much greater interest and took 18,000 tons as against 1,700 tons in 1930-31. There were also increased shipments to the Netherlands and Germany, which amounted to 8,800 tons and 4,000 tons as compared with 3,800 tons and 2,300 tons respectively in 1930-31. Over 52,700 tons or 98 per cent of the total quantity shipped in 1931-32 went from Karachi and only 900 tons from Bombay as compared with 32,000 tons and 800 tons respectively in the preceding year.India produces about half a million tons of sesamum annuslly, a large proportion of which is used for local consumption. Exports, which had

Sesamum (R23 lakhp). declined to only 1,000 tons in $1930-31$, rose to 12,000 tons in 1931-32 and were destined chiefly to the United States of America (5,000 tons), Italy (3,000 tons), and Germany and the Netherlands ( 1,000 tons each).

Indian cotton seed was in limited demand, the principal customer being the United Kingdom. A greater proportion of the requirements of the United
Cotton seed (R6 lakhs). Kingdom was, however, derived from Egypt at comparatively low prices. About 12,000 tons of Indian cotton seed were exported during 1931-32 as compared with 41,000 tons in 1930-31, the United Kingdom taking 10,700 tons as against 40,500 tons in the preceding year. The remainder went mainly to Ceylon.

## Hides and Sking.

Exports of castor seed advanced from 91,000 tons in 1930-31 to 104,000 tons in 1931-32. Of the principal customers, the United Kingdom and France Custor seod ( $\mathrm{Bl}, 50$ lukhas). increased their purchases from 20,000 tons and 16,000 tons to 23,000 tons and 20,000 tons respectively, while the United States of Americs reduced her takings from 39,000 tons to 35,000 tons. Exports to Italy rose from 6,000 tons to 12,000 tons, while Belgium took 4,000 tons or 1,000 tons. less than in the preceding year.

Hides and skins ( $\mathrm{R} 8,92$ lakhs).-The trade under this head was even smaller than in the preceding year, the total value falling from $\mathrm{Pll}, 74$ lakhs to $\mathrm{m} 8,92$ lakhs, or a deoline of 24 per cent. The reasons for this decline are the same as in the preceding year, namely, the trade depression which led to a reduction in the demand, especially in the demand for raw hides and skins. The average declared value for raw hides and akins declined from $\mathrm{R} 0-8-8$ to $\mathrm{R0} 0-7-9$ per lb., whereas in the case of tanned hides and skins the decline was from 7ll-9-3 to fll-7-10 per lb. Shipments of raw hides and skins during the year amounted to 33,600 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 3,66$ lakhs as compared with 45,300 tons valued at $\mathrm{A5} 5,47$ lakhs in the preceding year. Exports of raw hides declined from 22,800 tons valued at $\mathrm{fl}, 78$ lakhs in 1930-31 to 16,600 tons valued at f 91 lakhs in 1931-32 and this represented 49 per cent in quantity of the total exports of raw hides and skins as against 50 per cent in the preceding year. Exports of raw skins declined both in number and weight as compared with the preceding year and amounted to 49 per cent of the total tonnage of raw hides and skins exported as compared with 40 per cent in 1930-31. Exports of raw skins amounted to 16,500 tons valued at $\mathrm{f} 2,74$ lakhs in the year under review as compared with 18,300 tons valued at R3,63 lakhs in the preceding year. Exports of tanned or dressed hides and skins decreased from 17,700 tons valued at f6,27 lakhs in 1930-31. to 15,800 tons valued at flb, 26 lakhs in 1931-32. Exports of tanned hides declined from 11,500 tons valued at $\mathbf{\# 2 , 6 0}$ lakhs in 1930-31 to 10,300 tons valued at $\not \approx 2,13$ lakhs in 1931-32. There was also a decline in tanned skins from 6,200 tons to 5,500 tons, the decline in value being from $\not \approx 3,68$ lakhs to $\mathrm{A} 3,14$ lakhs.

In the following table the quantities and values of raw hides and skins of various descriptions exported in 1913-14 and in each of the last three years are set forth :-

|  | Quarrix |  |  |  | VAIVI |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1028-14 | 1090-80 | 1930-81 | 1981-82 | 1018-16 | 1080-80 | 1080-81 | 1031-83 |
|  | T029 | Toss | Tons | Tona 1 | 13(akbe) |  | \#(aribe) | zenckice) |
| Raw cow hldem | 37,200 | 10,700 | 17,800 | 18,000 | 8,91 | 2,10 | 1,41 | 76 |
| , butimo hiden | 17,300 | 4,000 | 4,200 | 2,700 | 8,20 | 41 | 30 | 12 |
| ", othar Midee | 1,800 | 1,000 | 800 | 400 | 19 | 11 | 7 | 2 |
| 1, brant oldint | 22,700 | 20,000 | 17,100 | 16,000 | 8,18 | 4,48 | 8,56 | 250 |
| \% eheep alins . | 1,600 | 000 | 700 | 600 | 26 | 18 | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| , Other atine | . | 500 | 200 | 800 | - | 4 | 17 | 10 |
|  | 80,100 | 17,500 | 4,100 | 28,100 | 11,60 | 7,89 | 8,00 | 8,65 |

## Exports.

Exports of raw hides continued to decline, as in the preceding year. Germany tool 5,100 tons valued at R 32 lakhs in the year under review as compared with 7,200 tons valued at f 63 lakhs in the preceding year, thus showing a decline of 29 per cent in quantity and 49 per cent in value. The United Kingdom took 1,000 tons valued at $73 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs as compared with 1,600 tons valued at A 7 多 lakhs in the preceding year. Exports to the United States of America dwindled almost to nothing and amounted to 52 tons valued at $\mathfrak{f 4 3 , 1 0 0}$ as compared with 230 tons valued at $\nexists 1 \frac{1}{4}$ lakhs in 193031. The demand from Italy also went down from 4,500 tons valued at $\mathbf{7 3 3}$ lakhs to 3,100 tons valued at Pl 5 lakhs ia 1931-32.

Exports of raw cow hides decreased from 17,800 tons valued at $\mathfrak{\text { f1,41}}$ lakhs in 1930-31 to 13,600 tons valued at 776 lakhs in 1931-32. Germany took 4,300 tons valued at a little over $¥ 27$ lakhs in the year under review as compared with 5,800 tons valued at $\nexists 51$ lakhs in the preceding year. The share of the United Kingdom amounted to 700 tons in 1931-32 as compared with $-1,140$ tons in the preceding year. Shipments to Italy declined from 4,300 tons valued at R31 lakhs to 3,000 tons valued at R15 lakhs. Spain also reduced her demand from 1,600 tons valued at Pl 13 lakhs to 1,200 tons valued at A7t lakhs. Exports to most other countries showed declines, except in the case of Norway and European Turkey which took 550 tons and 490 tons in the year under review as compared with 530 tons and 400 tons respectively in the preceding year. Exports of cow hides were, as usual, chiefly from Bengal which accounted for 67 per cent of the total shipments, while Burma's share was 22 per cent, the respective shares of these provinces in the preceding year being 68 and 17 per cent. . Karachi had 9 per cent and Bombay 2 per cent during the year under review.

Exports of buffalo hides declined from 4,200 tons valued at $\mathbf{R 3 0}$ lakhs in 1930-31 to 2,700 tons valued at Zl 13 lakhs in 1931-32. Germany took 670 tons valued at $\mathrm{P5}$ lakhs as compared with 1,200 tons valued at R11 lakhs in the preceding year. The United Kingdom's share had dwindled to 280 tons as compared with 440 tons in the preceding year. Bulgaria and Greece also reduced their purchases from 620 tons and 550 tons to 540 tons and 140 tons respectively. The shares of other countries also showed declines.

As usual, the United States of America took the major portion of the exports of goat skins, her share amounting to 11,600 tons valued at $¥ 1,77$ lakhs in the year under review as compared with 14,700 tons valued at $\mathfrak{A 2 , 5 6}$ lakhs in the preceding year. The United Kingdom increased her takings considerably from 1,000 tons valued at R 27 lakhs in 1930-31 to 2,440 tons valued at R433 lakhs in 1931-32. Most of the other countries, however, reduced their demands for goat skins, except Germany which took 120 tons as compared with 70 tons in the preceding year. Exports of sheep skins deoreased from 700 tons valued at fl 9 lakhs in $1930-31$ to 500 tons valued at f6 lakhs in 1931-32. The different maritime provinces shared in the exports of raw skins as follows :-Bengal 52 per cent ( 39 per cent), Bombay 12 per cent ( 28 per cent), Sind 28 per cent ( 27 per cent) and Madras 8 per cent ( 6 per cent). Preceding year's percentages are given in brackets.

The detailed figures of the exports of tanned or dressed hides and akins are given below :-

|  |  | Quar | SIT |  | Value |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1018-14 | 1929-30 | 1980-81 | 1031-32 | 1018-14 | 1220-80 | 1930-81 | 1081-32 |
|  | Tans | Tons | Tons | Tona | zr(lukha) | malalta) | H(lakha) | Pructa) |
| Tapzed oow hides | 7,800 | 12,000 | 2,000 | 8,000 | 1,47 | 2,80! | 2,88 | 1,88 |
| -. "buftalo hrcen ". . . . | 800 | 1,100 | 700 | con | 21 | 28 | 18 | 11 |
| $n$ other hidee | - | 1,200 | 900 | 000 | -• | 41 i | 85 | 10 |
| " goost atins . - | 8.700 | 9,200 | 8,100 | 2,000 | 1,01 | 2,25 ! | 1,78 | 1,68 |
| \% sheep atins :. . . 'is. | 2,500 | b, 400 | 8,000 | 2,800 | 06 | 2,05 | 1,77 | 1,86 |
| - othersakins : | 800 | 100 | 100 | 200 | 7 | 82 | 12 | 14 |
|  | 15,200. | 21,000 | 17,700 | 15,800 | 4,22 | 8,08 | 6,27 | 6,20 |

Shipments of tanned cow hides declined from 9,900 tons valued at $\mathbf{R 2 , 2 2}$ lakhs in 1930-31 to 8,900 tons valued at $\mathrm{f1}, 83$ lakhs in 1931-32. Exports of tanned buffalo hides also showed a slight decline from 700 tons valued at R13 lakhs to 600 tons valued at flll lakhs. Shipments of tanned hides were, as usual, mostly to the United Kingdom which took 8,690 tons of cow hides valued at $\mathrm{R1}, 80$ lakhs, 550 tons of buffalo hides valued at f 9 lakhs and 760 tons of calf skins valued at Al 19 lakhs or a total of 10,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,08$ lakhs as against 11,080 tons valued at $\mathrm{Z} 2,51 \mathrm{lskhs}$ in the preceding year. The share of the United States of America dwindled to one ton of cow hides valued at $\mathrm{Al}, 500$ as compared with 50 tons valued at Pl lakh in 1930-31.

Of the total exports of 5,500 tons of tanned skins, Madras shipped 91 per cent. Exports of tanned goat akins to the United Kingdom totalled 2,500 tons which were about 500 tons less than in the preceding year, the decline in value being about R 14 lakhs to $\mathrm{Pl}, 57$ lakhs. The share of the United States of Amerioa declined to a little under 60 tons as compared with 80 tons in the preceding year. Of the exports of sheep skins, the United Kingdom received 2,180 tons valued at $\mathrm{Al}, 07$ lakhs as against 2,360 tons valued at ¥ti,41 lakhs in the preceding year. The share of Japan was almost the same as in the preceding year, vie., about 500 tons. The share of the Straits Settlements showed a slight decline and the share of the United States of America dwindled down to the low figure of 5 tons. Of the total quantity of tanned akins exported, the United Kingdom took 87 per cent and Japan 9 per cent as compared with 87 par cent and 8 per cent respectively in the preceding year.

Raw wool (R\&,77 lakhs).-The trade in raw wool showed an improvement, the exports rising from 30 million lbs. to 41 million lbs. in quantity and from $\mathrm{R2} 2,51$ lakhs to $\mathrm{fl} 2,77$ lakhs in value. Of the total quantity shipped, the United Kingdom took 35 million lbs. or 85 per cent as compared with 27 million lbs. or 90 per cent in the preceding year, while the remainder went mainly to the United States of America. Besides Indian wool, a fairly large quantity of foreign wool of Tibetan and Central Asian origin, imported across the frontier, is re-exported from India. The following table shows the com-

## Exports.

parative figures for raw wool exports and re-exports by sea and imports by land.

(a) Revil-bome tasdo at atations adjucent to kand frontjer routes.

Re-exports of raw wool also advanced from 5 million lbs. valued at $728 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1930-31 to 9 million lbs. valued at A 37 lakhs in 1931-32. The United Kingdom and the United States of America were, as asual, the principal customers, the share of the former having risen from 2.6 million lbs. to $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ million lbs. and of the latter from 2.4 million lbs. to 5.4 million lbs. About 57 per cent of the exports of Indian raw wool passed through Karachi and 41 per cent through Bombay, while the re-export trade was divided between Karachi and Bengal in the proportion of 57 and 42 per cent respectively, the remainder being shipped from Bombay. The following table shows the quantities of raw wool imported into the United Kingdom from India and other countries :-
Imports of shesp's and lamb's wool into the United Kingdom (excluding goat
hair).

| - | 1018 | 1038 | 1934 | 1935 | 1089 | 1087 | 1038 | 1089 | 1930 | 1281 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anstralis Kew Zenalad Bouth Airich Arfidit | $\begin{gathered} 288 \\ 181 \\ 185 \\ 185 \\ 585 \\ 85 \end{gathered}$ | 276 181 118 18 80 80 |  | $\begin{gathered} 376 \\ 179 \\ 140 \\ 240 \\ \hline 0 . \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 989 \\ \hline 19 \\ 189 \\ \hline 79 \\ 78 \\ \hline 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 238 \\ 189 \\ 169 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 68 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 970 \\ & 170 \\ & 186 \\ & 189 \\ & 5 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 275 \\ & 175 \\ & 188 \\ & 60 \\ & .4 \end{aligned}$ | 200 <br> 180 <br> 181 <br> 94 <br> 80 |
| Totaty Firouvplze OMD COJFTM | 601 | 730 | 750 | 78 | 611 | 83 | 178 | 814 | 788 | 856 |
| Phaotitian on Impico EIEAR | ${ }^{7}$ |  | 7 | 8 | $\checkmark$ | 7 | 9 | $!$ | 4 | 4 |

Exports of carpets and rage rose from 4.2 million lbs. to 4.8 million lbs. in quantity, but the value showed a decline from $\mathbf{R 6 7}$ lakhs to P 57 lakhs.

## Carpota and raga.

 The United Kingdom increased her takings from 2.7 million lbs. valued at f 38 lakhs to 3.5 million Ibs. valued at P 41 lakhs, while the United States of America reduced her purohases from $840,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at f 21 lakbs to $554,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. valued at fll lakhs. Exports to Ceylon recorded an increase from $339,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to 443,000 lbs.
## Lac.

Lac (\#1,84 lakhs).-A shrinkage in the demand from the consuming markets, arising out of curtailed manufacturing operations and competition from synthetic materials, was a feature of the lac trade. The total exports of lac declined by 15 per cent in quantity from $547,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1930-31 to $464,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1931-32 and by 41 per cent in value from $\mathrm{A} 3,14$ lakhs to fll,84 lakhs, the decrease being noticeable principally in the case of manufactured lac (i.e., shellac and button lac) which formed the bulk of the exports. The following table ahows the details of exports during the last two years compared with the pre-war, war and post-war averages :-

Exports of lac.

|  | Pre-war average |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { War } \\ & \text { everagogic } \end{aligned}$ |  | Poot-war average |  | 1030-81 |  | 1981-88 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! | Owina. | Per | Owta. | Per | Owta. | Per | Owta. | $\underset{\text { Pagt }}{\text { Par }}$ | Orith | Per |
| 8heling and button loo. Btior ind and seed lic. Othors | 301,100 15,100 $\mathbf{3 5 , 1 0 0}$ | 90 4 6 | 10,500 18,400 16,800 | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ 5 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 870,000 \\ 78,300 \\ 88,900 \end{array}$ | 88 8 10 | $\mathbf{3} 90,100$ <br> 111,000 <br> 46,100 | 71 80 | 815.800 117,500 81,000 | 68 85 85 |
| Toncr . | 48,800 | 100 | 345,400 | 100 | 418,200 | 100 | 847,200 | 100 | 488,700 | 100 |

Exports of shellac fell from $366,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{Z} 2,29$ lakhs in 193031 to 297,000 cwts. valued at $\mathrm{Zl}, 30$ lakhs in 1931-32, representing a decrease of 19 per cent in quantity and of 43 per cent in value.
Shellao. As usual, the United States of Americs was the principal customer, but she reduced her takings from 117,000 cwts. to 101,000 ewts. Shipments to the United Kingdom also declined from 96,000 cwts. to 83,000 cwts. There was also a substantial reduction in the exports to Germany which amounted to 34,000 ewts. as compared with 64,000 owts. in 1930-31. Both France and Italy took about $1,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. less than in the preceding year, their respective takings being $10,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $5,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Japanese purchases were, on the other hand, in excess of those in the preceding year and totalled $34,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as against $28,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1930-31. Belgium required $5,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., or a little more than in the preceding year, while Australia took $2,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. or $2,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. less than in 1930-31.

The price of T. N. shellac at Calcutta, which opened at Z 31 per maund in April 1931, tended generally downward during the first half of the year and in the middle of September it stood at P266-8 per maund. From the end of September till the end of December the price showed a tendency to rise, the highest quotation of $\mathbb{7 3 2}$ being reached on November 20. It should be remembered that transactions in shellac are mostly done through the medium of sterling exchange and with the sbandonment of gold standard by Great Britain in September 1931 there was a reduction in the cost when bought in sterling and sellers strove to advance their prices proportionately to the decline in the exchange value of sterling. All these attempts, however, proved futile owing to lack of demand and in the last quarter of the year the price declined steadily, the closing quotation being $\mathbf{A 2 3 - 8}$ per maund.

Exports of button lac declined from $24,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at Fl 17 lakhs in 1930-31 to 18,000 cwts. valued at $\mathrm{Z} 9 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1931-32. Of the total quantity shipped in 1931-32, the United Kingdom took 13,000 cwts. or 72 per cent as compared with $16,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.

## Exports.

or 67 per cent in the preceding year. The United States of Americs required only 1,000 cwts., practically half of what she had taken in 1930-31. Exports to Germany and France were also on a amaller scale and amounted to 2,000 cwts. and $1,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively.

Exports of stick lac which had fallen to 4,700 cwts. in 1930-31 advanced to $12,800 \mathrm{cwts}$. in the year under review. About $3,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. were sent to

Stiok lec. Germany and $8,300 \mathrm{cwts}$. to Belgium as compared with $1,900 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $1,400 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively in 1930-31. The remainder went to the United Kingdom and France. The following table shows the exports of stick lac from Bangkok and Singapore during the past four years :-

Exports, in cwots., of stick lac from Bangkok and Singapore.


Shipments of seed lac amounted to 105,000 cwts. valued at R37 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1931-32 as compared with $106,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{H5} 5 \frac{2}{3}$ lakhs in the preced-

> Seed lac. ing year. As usual, the United States of America was the principal customer, but she reduced her takings from 82,000 owts. to $77,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., while purchases by the United Kingdom amounted to 10,000 ewts., almost the same as in 1930-31. Shipments in 1931-32 also included $11,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to Belgium and $5,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to Germany, these two countries having taken 8,000 ewts. and $4,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively in the preceding year. Exports of other kinds of lac, representing mostly refuse lac, declined from 46,000 cwts. to 31,000 cwts., of which Germany took $17,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and Belgium $13,500 \mathrm{cwts}$. as compared with 28,000 cwts. and 14,000 cwts. respectively in 1930-31.

Oils ( P 57 lakhs).-The total exports of oils were valued at P57 lakhs in 1931-32 as compared with 747 lakhs in 1930-31. As usual, the trade was praotically confined to essential and non-essential vegetable oils, animsi and mineral oils forming a very small proportion of the total trade. Shipments of vegetable oils advanced from $1,140,000$ gallons valued at f 24 lakhs to 1,900,000 gallons valued at R30 lakhs in 1931-32. Exports of castor oil

> Castor oil. more than doubled in quantity, shipments during the year amounting to 982,000 gallons valued at R15 lakhs as compared with 477,000 gallons valued at 79 lakhs in the preceding year. The bulk of the consignments went, as usual, to the United Kingdom, which increased her purchsses from 309,000 gallons to 685,000 gallons. Germany and Belgium also raised their requirements from 14,000 gallons and 19,000 gallons to 131,000 gallons and 23,000 gallons respectively. A notable feature was the shipment of 12,000 gallons to Italy which had no share in this trade during the preceding four years. Other countries, parti-

## Other Articles.

cularly the Union of South Africa, Australia, Ceylon and the Straits Settlements, took less than in the preceding year. Despatches of coconut oil

## Coconnt oil.

 declined from 51,000 gallons in 1930-31 to 36,000 gallons in 1931-32, of which the United Kingdom and the Netherlands took 11,000 gallons and 13,000 gallons as compared with 13,000 gallons and 21,000 gallons respectively in 1930-31. Exports of ground-Groundnut oil. mot oil advanced from 166,000 gallons in 1930-31 to 455,000 gallons in 1931-32. Of the total shipments, the United Kingdom took 280,000 gallons or 62 per cent and Mauritius and Dependencies 42,000 gallons or 9 per cent, while the remainder went mainly to the Netherlands ( 39,000 gallons), Germany ( 25,000 gallons), Belgium ( 19,000 gallons) and Arabia ( 12,000 gallons). Mustard or rape seed oil showed an improvement from 207,000 gallons in $1930-31$ to 250,000 gallons, the bulk of the shipments having gone to Mauritius ( 165,000 gallons), Fiji Islands ( 40,000 gallons) and Natal ( 15,000 gallons). Shipments of sesamum oil fell from 136,000 gallons to 96,000 gallons, the principal destinations being Aden and Dependencies ( 26,000 gallons), Mauritius and Dependencies ( 15,000 gallons) and Arabia ( 45,000 gallons). Despatches of linseed oil were nearly

Linseed oil. half of those in the preceding year and totalled 38,000 gallons as against 77,000 gallons. The Straits Settlements required 13,000 gallons and the Philippine Islands and Gusm 16,000 gallons. Of essential oils, the exports of sandalwood oil advanced from $78,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $114,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in quantity and from \#13 lakhs to $\not \mathbf{1 8}$ lakhs in value. With the exception of France, all the importing countries took more than in the preceding year. The United Kingdom which had taken $10,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in $1930-31$ required $41,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. in 1931-32.- Japan increased her demands from $18,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $38,000 \mathrm{lbs}$., while France reduced her purchases from $41,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $24,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. Exports of lemongrass oil also rose from 39,000 gallons to 51,000 gallons, the principal consumers being the United Kingdom and France ( 11,000 gallons each) and the United States of America (14,000 gallons).

Other Articles.-The following is a summary of the more important of the remaining articles of export:-


Exports.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1918-14 } \\ & \text { (pre-rrar } \\ & \text { year) } \end{aligned}$ | 1027-28 | 1928-29 | 1029-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E (lakhs.) | \# (lakhs.) | A (lakhs.) | A (lakhs.) | (i) (lakhe) | (t) (lakha) |
| Splicee | 91 | 2,40. | 1,69 | 1,98 | 1,27 | $\bigcirc 87$ |
| Opiam . | 8,42 | - 1,99 | 1,57 | 1,42 | 1,29 | 87 |
| Dyestuffis . | 1,04 | 1,61 | - 1,18 | 1,12 | . 1,08 | 87 |
| Tobsoco . | 48 | 1,08 | 1,29 | 1,06 | ${ }^{\prime} 1,04$ | 85 |
| Coir manufaoture \% | 89 | 1,18 | 1,05 | 1,04 | 83 | 75 |
| Fodder, bras and pollarde. | 77 | 1,37 | - 1,45 | 1,19 | - 77 | 75 |
| Teakwood . | 78 | 1,42 | '1,47 | 1,48 | 1,17 | 67 |
| Coal and coko | 69 | 76 | 72 | 72 | 49 | - 68 |
| Rubber . - | 79 | 2,58 | 2,00 | 1,79 | 1,30 | 45 |
| міов. - . . | 45 | . 98 | 90 | 1,08 | - 68 | 88 |
| Manures - . | (a) 34 | 68 | 60 | 50 | 51 | 38 |
| Hemp, raw . . | 1,02 | 81 | 88 | 88 | 39 | 27 |
| Saltpotre . . . | 31 | 12 | 10 | 0 | - 8 | 11 |

(a) Includes cruahed boaes which are ohiefly required for industrial purposes.

Metals and Ores ( $\mathbf{R 5} 547$ lakhs). -The total exports of ores, consisting largely of manganese ore, fell from 528,000 tons valued at $\# 2,42$ lakhs in

Mangancee ore ( H 57 lakhs). 1930-31 to 235,000 tons valued at $¥ 1,47$ lakhs in 193132. The reduction in the output of world metallurgical industries seriously affected the demand for manganese. Exports from India were the lowest since 1905-06 and amounted to 212,000 tons compared with 486,000 tons in $1930-31$ and the record shipments of 816,000 tons in 1929-30. To every market without exception, there was a substantial decline in exports. France, usually the largest purchaser, reduced her takings from 188,000 tons to 80,000 tons and was followed by the United Kingdom which took only 54,000 tons as against. 114,000 tons in 1930-31. Exports to Belgium similarly fell from 78,000 tons to 35,000 tons and those to the United States of America from 49,000 tons to 28,000 tons. There were also smaller shipments to Germany and the Netherlands, amounting to 1,800 tons and 2,500 tons as compared with 15,300 tons and 11,500 tons respectively in 1930-31. The export trade in manganese ore was practically confined to Bengal and Bombay, the former accounting for 70 per cent and the latter for 30 per cent as compared with 49 and 50 per cent respectively in

> Ferraginoas manganese ore.
the preceding year. Shipments of ferruginous manganese ore, mostly to Belgium and France, declined from 5,000 tons to 3,000 tons. There were no exports of ferro-manganese Forro-manganeee.
during 1930-31 and 1931-32.

## - Metals and Ores.

Exports of pig iron further declined by 20 per cent in quantity from 439,000 tons in 1930-31 to 351,000 tons in 1931-32 and by 28 per cent in value from

Pig iron (R1, 23 lakhs).
\#1,70 lakhs to $\mathrm{Pl}, 23$ lakhs. Both the United
Kingdom and the United States of America considerably reduced their purchases from 99,000 tons and 108,000 tons to 69,000 tons and 51,000 tons respectively, while Japan raised her requirements from 161,000 tons to 188,000 tons. Among other conntries, Germany took 13,000 tons, or 2,000 tons more than in the preceding year, while China and Hongkong together required 15,000 tons, or 5,000 tons less than in 1930-31. The following table shows the production of pig iron and steel in India during the past three years :-

In thousand tont.


Owing to unsettled condition in China which takes the lead in the supply of tungsten, exports of wolfram from British India were somewhat stimulated and amounted to 4,400 tons valued at $762 \frac{1}{\mathrm{~s}}$ lakhs

> Wolfram ore ( $\mathrm{R} 62 \pm$ lakha). in 1931-32 as compared with 3,800 tons valued at fi58 lakhs in 1930-31. Shipments were, as usual, entirely from Burms and were chiefly destined to the United Kingdom and to a less extent, to Germany, both of which increased their purchases from 3,200 tons and 400 tons to 3,400 tons and 700 tons respectively.

Exports of chromite or chrome iron ore declined from 21,000 tons valued at $\mathbb{Z 7}$ lakhs to 8,000 tons valued at Z 3 lakhs. The United Kingdom and Germany raised their respective requirements from 2,500 tons and 3,500 tons to 3,800 tons and 3,600 tons, while France reduced her purchases from 1,400 tons to 400 tons. The United States of America and Norway, which had required 7,000 tons and 4,000 tons respectively in 1930-31, took nothing at all in 1931-32.

Shipments of pig lead fell from $1,499,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,17$ lakhs to $1,295,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{fl}, 73$ lakhs. The United Kingdom absorbed 813,000

Pig lead ( $\mathrm{F} 1,73$ lakhs). ewts. or 63 per cent of the total quantity exported in 1931-32 as against $1,145,000$ cwts. or 76 per cent in the preceding year. Japan and China required larger quantities, their respective takings being $286,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $29,000 \mathrm{cwts}$, while purchases by Ceylon, Germany and Belgium fell to $44,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., $32,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ and 22,000 cwts. from $57,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. $59,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $28,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively in the preceding year.

Exports of paraffin wax declined from 58,000 tons valued at R2,82 lakhs in 1930-31 to 52,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 2,32$ lakhs in 1931-32. This represented a fall of 10 per cent in quantity and of 18 per cent

[^5] in value as compared with 1930-31. The United Kingdom, the largest purchaser of this article, con-

## Exports.

siderably increased har requirements from 10,000 tons in 1930-31 to 17,000 tons. The Netherlands and Italy also increased their respective shares from 2,900 tons and 2,000 tons to 3,200 tons and 2,900 tons in the year under review, but shipments to Belgium, Spain, the Union of South Africa; Chile and Australia recorded small decreases and amounted to 2,500 tons, 500 tons, 2,700 tons, 2,100 tons and 300 tons respectively. China, Japan and Portuguese East Africa also curtailed their respective takings from 7,200 tons, 5,500 tons and 5,900 tons to 5,000 tons, 1,000 tons and 3,600 tons. Exports to Germany and the United States of America remained almost stationary and amounted to 3,000 tons and 6,000 tons respectively.. As usual, the trade was practically confined to Bengal and Burma, the latter contributing twothirds of the total quantity shipped.

Shipments of oilcakes advanced in quantity from 254,000 tons to 291,000 tons, but the value recorded a decline from $\mathbf{\# 2 , 0 8}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{\# 2 , 0 1}$ lakhs.

Oilcakes - (R2,01 lakhs). Groundnut cake, which accounted for 63 per cent of the total quantity of oilcakes exported, showed an increase in quantity from 182,000 tons to 184,000 tons with a decline in value from A1,42 lakhs to R1, 23 lakhs. Germany, Belgium and the Netherlands showed greater interest, their respective purchases advancing from 36,000 tons, 8,000 tons and 18,000 tons to 52,000 tons, 12,000 tons and 27,000 tons, while there was a reduction in the shipments to the United Kingdom and Ceylon which fell from 94,000 tons and 22,000 tons to 69,000 tons and 20,000 tons respectively. Exports of cotton cake contracted from 8,000 tons to 3,000 tons in quantity and from $\mathbb{} 6$ lakhs to $\mathbb{R} 1 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{3}}$ lakhs in value. Of the total exports, the United Kingdom and Germany took 700 tons and 500 tons as compared with 1,000 tons and 3,000 tons respectively a year ago. Exports of linseed cake nearly doubled in comparison with those of the preceding year and amounted in 1931-32 to 47,000 tons valued at \#38 lakhs, of whicn the United Kingdom took 34,000 tons ( 18,000 tons) and the Netherlands 8,000 tons ( 4,000 tons), the figures for 1930-31 being given in brackets. Shipments of rape and sesamum cake improved in quantity from 31,000 tons to 50,000 tons and in value from $\mathbb{R} 27$ lakbs to $\mathbf{P 3 4}$ lakhs. Ceylon and Japan, usually the two principal customers, divided the entire trade between themselves, having taken 12,000 tons and 38,000 tons respectively. The demand for coconut cake dropped by 1,000 tons to 3,000 tons in quantity and by 71 lakh to $\not 72$ lakhs in value, Germany and Belgium continuing to be the principal destinations. Despatches of castor oake, entirely to Ceylon, amounted to nearly 2,000 tone as against 1,000 tons in 1930-31.

The total reported production of cured coffee in India during the season 1930-31 was 33 million lbs. as compared with 39 million lbs. reported in the

Coffee (R94i lakhs). preceding season. The quality of the season's crop was reported to have been poor, partly as a result of the heavy crop raised in the preceding season and partly on account of unfavourable weather conditions during ripening and picking. The foreign demand for Indian coffee was, therefore, restricted and the exports declined in quantity from 293,000 owts. in 1930-31 to 156,000 owts. in 1931-32 and in value from $\mathrm{Al} 1,92$ lakhs to $\mathrm{f} 94 \frac{1}{2}$ lalhs. The local consumption of Indian coffee, which has been expanding, particularly, since the prohibition of imports of coffee other than roasted or ground, has now reached considerable pro-

## Opium.

portions and the exports in themselves no longer give any complete indication of the position in regard to Indian coffee. The United Kingdom and France, which constitute the principal foreign markets for Indian coffee both reduced their respective off-takes very considerably and required 44,000 cwts. and $43,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as aggainst $78,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $108,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. a year ago, while Norway slightly raised her purchases by 600 owts. to 15,900 cwts. Shipments to other European countries also declined. Germany took 16,000 swts. ( 22,000 cwts.), the Netherlands $9,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ( $18,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.), Belgium 6,000 owts. ( $11,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.), Italy $6,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ( $10,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.). The figures in brackets represent the exports in the previous year. Shipments to Iraq, the Bahrein Islands, Arabia and Australia also recorded decreases.

The total exports of spices in 1931-32 amounted to 321,000 owts. valued at f87 lakhs as compared with 342,000 cwts. valued at $\mathrm{fl}, 27$ lakhs in 1930-31
Spices (R87 lakhs). and $347,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{R1}, 96$ lakhs in 1929-30. respectively of this total and the balance was made up chiefly of ginger, hetelnuts and cardamoms. Exports of cbillies fell from 172,000 cists. to $163,0 \%$ owts. in quantity and from f 34 lakhs to R 23 lakhs in value. Ceylon and the Straits Settlements reduced their respective purchases from $153,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $10,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $147,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $9,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Exports of pepper fell by 7,000 cwts. or 7 per cent to 91,000 cwts. in quantity and by R 15 lakhs or 29 per cent to Z 37 lakhs in valuc. Italy regained her old position as the largest single purchaser of Indian pepper and took 32,000 cwts. or 3,000 ewts. more than in the preceding year. The United States of America required $\mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0}$ ewts. as against $19,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ a year ago, while the United Kingdom curtailed her requirements from $14,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to 10,000 owts. Ginger was in better demand and the shipments rose from $50,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $55,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., but the value fell from Fl 16 lakhs to Pl 13 lakhs. Exports of cardamoms and betel nuts recorded decreases.

The policy of the Government of India in regard to the exports of opium is governed by international agreements. It was announced in June, 1926

Opiam (r87 lakhs). that the exports of opium for other than medical and scientific purposes would be reduced by graclual stages until they ceased altogether at the end of 1935 . In consequence, exports of opium from British India have displayed a progressive decline since 1926-27. In 1931-32 exports amounted to 3,583 chests as compared with 4,791 ohests in the preceding year and represented about 40 per cent of those in 1926-27. Of these 2,173 chests were recorded under the head of private merchandise comparad with 3,051 chests in 1930-31 and were destined to IndoChina, Siam and Java, all of which had their allotments reduced from 1,321 chests, 1,044 chests and 643 chests to 1,101 chests, 869 chests and 203 chests respectively. The ramainder was actually shipped through Government agency and was recorded under the head of Government stores. Exports on Government account to Hongkong, the Straits Settlements and other East Indian Governments recorded a reduction from 1,670 chests to 1,410 chensts. Exports of opium to any non-Asistic country, other than the United Kingdom, is prohibited altogether, and those to the United Kingdom are strictly controlled by Government and are intended only for medical purposes. In 1931-32 there were no such exports to the United Kingdom, while in 1930-31 despatches of medicinal opium to that country had amounted to 70 chests.

## Exports.

The exports of dyeing and tanning substances declined in quantity from $1,463,000$ owts. in 1930-31 to $1,407,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and in value from $\mathrm{Rl} 1,08$ lakhs to P 87 lakhs. Myrobalans accounted for 90 per

Dyeing and tanning substanoes (at87 Lakhs). cent of the total quantity of dyeing and tanning materials exported in 1931-32 as compared with 89 per cent in the previous year. Exports decreased in quantity from 1,304,000
Myrobalans ( P 66 lakhg). cwts. to $1,271,000$ owts. and in value from $\mathbf{P 7} 78$ lakhs to F 66 lakhs. The United Kingdom was, as usual, the largest purchaser, taking $691,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ as against 637,000 ewts. in 1930-31. Next in importance came the United States of America, but her requirements were reduced to $203,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. from $266,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. Germany, the Netherlapds and Belgium cut down their needs to $100,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., $50,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ and 38,000 owts. respectively, while there was an increase in the shipments to France which amounted to 109,000 cwts. Exports of myrobalan extracts steadily declined from 26,000 cwts. valued at f 3 lakhs in 1929-30 to 10,000 cwts. valued at $\mathrm{Z1}$ lakh in 1930-31 and to 4,000 ewts. valued at $\mathbf{f} 42,000$ in 1931-32.

Shipments of cutch and gambier dropped from 48,000 owts. to 35,000
Catoh and gambier ( m 4 owts, in quantity and from $\mathrm{m9}$ lakhs to ft 4 lakhs in Jalchs).
value. The United Kingdom curtailed her demands from $30,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to 18,000 ewts., while purchases by France remained practically unchanged at $4,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.

The cultivation of natural indigo has been on the deoline for many years past. The total yield of dye in 1931-32 was estimated at $11,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. on

Indigo (R2 lakhs). an acreage of 51,000 as compared with $13,700 \mathrm{cwts}$. on an area of 61,000 acres in the previous year. The exports also declined from 934 cwts . to 799 cwts . Greece continued to take an increasing interest in this commodity and required 452 cwts. as against 360 cwts. a year ago. The next best purchaser was the United Kingdom which required 182 cwts as compared with 135 cwts . in the preceding year. The remainder went chiefly to Iraq, Persia and Egypt, all of which took much less than in 1930-31.

Among other substances used in dyeing and tanning, exports of turmeric declined in quantity from $76,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $68,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ and from Rl 4 lakhs to \#11 lakhs in value, while demands for barks for tanning improved by $2,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $4,600 \mathrm{cwts}$.
Exports of unmanufactured tobacco declined by 9 per cent in quantity from 28 million lbs. in 1930-31 to 25.4 million lbs. in 1931-32 and by 17 per cent in value from F 97 lakhs to F 81 lakhs. In the United

Tobaco, nomanafactured ( P 81 lal lan). Kingdom, notwithstanding a reduced consumptive demand, Indian tobacco gained public favour with the increasing populaxity of Empire grown tobacco and the shipments advanced from 10 million lbs. to 11 million lbs. There were, however, decreases in the exports to Aden, the Straits Settlements and the Netherlands which fell by 1 million lbs. each to $4,1 \frac{1}{2}$ and 1 million lbs. respectively. An interesting development of the trade is the rapid increase in purchases by Chine which absorbed 3 million lbs. in 1931-32 as compared with 1 million lbs. in the preceding year, while Japanese requirements slightly declined to 3.7 million libs.

Coal.
Exports of coir manufactures (other than rope) from British India showed a small increase in quantity from 25,900 tons in 1930-31 to 26,400 tons in

Ooir manufactares ( $\mathrm{F}^{2} 75 \frac{1}{5}$ lakha). 1931-32, but lower prices accounted for a deoline in value from R 88 lakhs to $\mathrm{\# 75}$ lakhs. The United Kingdom and France took 6,000 tons and 4,000 tons as agsinst 5,000 tons and 3,000 tons respectively in 1930-31. Purchases by Germany, the Netherlands, Belgium and Italy showed very little variation and amounted to 4,600 tons, 4,300 tons, 4,200 tons and 1,500 tons respectively, while the United States of America reduced her requirements from 600 tons to 300 tons. These figures are exclusive of the shipments from the ports in Travancore whence also a fairly large quantity of manufaotured coir, consisting ohiefly of yarn, mats and matting, is exported to foregin countries.

Larger shipments of rice bran at cheaper prices, amounting to 273,000 tons valued at $\mathbb{Z 7 0}$ lakhs in 1931-32 as against 252,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{R 6 9}$

[^6] lakhs in the preceding year, accounted for an increase in the total exports of fodder, bran and pollards from 262,000 tons to 282,000 tons with a deorease in value from $\mathrm{B77}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{~} 775$ lakhs. As usual, the United Kingiom is the principal customer for rice bran, taking 184,000 tons as against 166,000 tons in 1930-31. Exports to Germany also adranced from 12,000 tons. to 43,000 tons, while those to the Straits Settlements and Hongkong fell from 36,000 tons and 17,000 tons to 17,000 tons and 1,000 tons respectively. Shipments of other sorts of bran and pollards, chiefly to Ceylon, recorded a decreas, from 9,000 tons to $\mathbf{7 , 0 0 0}$ tons in quantity and from Al 7 lakhs to f 4 lakhs in value.

Demands for teakwood were very much restricted and the prise tended to fall throughout the year. Shipments, which had declined from 52,000 cubic tons valued at $\mathrm{fl1}, 48$ lakhs in $1929-30$ to $\mathbf{4 0 , 0 0 0}$ cubic tons valued at fl 17 l lakhs in $1930-31$, showed a further drop to 22,000 cubic tons valued at H 57 lakhs in 1931-32. About 13,000 cubic tons of teak were exported to the United Kingdom and 1,700 cubio tons to the United States of Americs as compared with 23,000 oubic tons and 3,500 oubic tons respectively in 1930-31. Exports to the Union of South Africa, Ceylon and the Netherlands also declined to 1,910 cubic tons, 1,400 cubic tons and 900 cubic tons respectively during the year under review.

There was an improvement in the exports of Indian coal which amounted to 515,000 tons in 1931-32 as compared with 428,000 tons in 1930-31. Ceylon

Cosl (\#54 lakhs). continued to be the best market for Indian coal, although exports to that country were on the decline owing to competition from South Africa. Shipments to Ceylon totalled 273,000 tons as compared with 296,000 tons in 1930-31 and 341,000 tons in 1929-30. On the other hand, Hongkong considerably increased her takings during the year which amounted to 162,000 tons as compared with 66,000 tons in the preceding year. The Philippine Islands took 37,000 tons, or 4,000 tons more than in the preceding year, while the Straits Settlements required 23,000 tons, or 2,000 tons less than in 1930-31. Shipments in 1931-32 also included 12,000 tons to the United Kingdom and 5,000 tons to Japan. These figures are exclusive of 593,000 tons of Indian coal shipped for the use of stesmers engaged in the foreign trade which were distributed as follows:-Bengal

## Exports.

411,000 tons, Bombay 149,000 tons, Karachi 8,000 tons, Madras 4,000 tons and Burma 21,000 tons.

The production of coal in India during 1931 was estimated at 21.7 million tons as compared with 23.8 million tons in the preceding year. The price of Desherghur coal at Calcutta was $75-12$ per ton into wagon up to the middle of Mry. Thereafter till the second week of October, the rate remained steady at R5-4. From the middle of October to the second week of January 1932 the price remained steady at a slightly lower level, riz.; Z 5 . This was followed by a further decline of 4 as. per ton which continued till the end of the year under review.

The rubber trade continued to be in a very unhealthy state during the year. With the exception of Ceylon, no producing country showed any appreciable
Rubber, raw ( A 45 lakhs). reduction in output with the result that world production again exceeded world consumption. The price of rupber (ribbed smoked sheet) in London which stood at 3 $\frac{7}{18} d$. per lb. at the close of the preceding year fell with a dull market to $2 \frac{13}{16} d$. early in May but, recovering to $3 \frac{13}{16} d$. on May 22, fluctuated between $3 d$. and $34 d$. till July 24. By the end of July the price went back to $2 \frac{1}{16} d$. and on September 4 down to $21 d$. Following the arnouncement of September 21 that England had to go off the gold standard, the price rose from $24 d$. to $3 \frac{8}{16} d$. by September 25. Thereafter it ranged between $2 \frac{3}{4} d$. and $3 \frac{7}{16} d$. mostly remaining in the neighbourhood of $3 d$. per lb. till the end of February 1932 when it again sagged touching 2d. on the 23rd March 1932. The average declared value of the Indian shipments during 1931-32 also declined to 4 as. $9 p$. per lb. from 8 as $11 p$. per lb. in 1930-31. Exports from India fell by over 8 million lbs. in quantity and by $\mathrm{fr85}$ lakhs in value from 23.3 million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{fl}, 30$ lakhs in 1930-31 to $15 \cdot 1$ million lbs. valued at $\mathbb{R 4 5}$ lakhs in 1931-32. Both the United Kingdom and the United States of America reduced their purchases, the former taking 7.3 million lbs. and the latter 231,000 lbs. as compared with 11.6 million lbs. and 466,000 lbs. a year ago. Exports to the Straits Scttlements were slightly in excess of those of the preceding year and amounted to 5 million lbs. in 1931-32, while those to Ceylon declined by 3 million lbs. to 2 million lbs. Madras and Burma had between them the entire trade in the proportion of 41 and 59 per cent as compared with 54 and 46 per cent respectively in 1930-31.

Exports of mica, consisting largely of splittings, continued to decline and amounted to $53,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R391 lakhs in 1931-32 as compared with

Mioa (R39t lakhs). 74,000 cwts. valued at $\mathbf{~} 688$ lakhs in 1930-31 and 115,000 cwts. valued at R1, 03 lakhs in 1929-30. The United Kingdom and the United States of America, the two principal customers of Indian mica, reduced their purchases from $34,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and 21,000 ewts. to 24,000 cwts. and $13,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively. There were also smaller shipments to Germany and France, amounting to $4,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ and $3,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively as against 6,000 cwts. each in 1930-31.

Exports of manures totalled 53,000 tons valued at $\mathbb{7} 38$ lakhs in 1931-32 $2 s$ compared with 54,000 tons valued at R 51 lakhs in 1930-31. These figures
Manures (R38 I akhn). include manurial bones and bonemeal, fish manures, guano, hornmeal and sulphate of ammonia, but exclude bones required for industrial purposes, oilcakes and saltpetre. Shipments of bones, including bonemeal, represented about 72 per cent of the total

## Postal Articles.

quantity of manures exported in 1931-32 and amounted to 37,800 tons valued at f 23 lakhs as compared with 38,000 tons valued at f 31 lakhs in the preceding year. Of the principal customers, Ceylon and tha United States of America curtailed their requirements from 13,000 tons and 10,000 tons to 11,000 tons and 8,000 tons respectively. On the other hand, Japan increased her purchases considerably and took 8,000 tons, or 4,000 tons more than in 1930-31. There were also larger shipments to the United Kingdom and Belgium, amounting to 5,000 tons and 3,000 tons in 1931-32 as against 4,000 tons and 1,000 tons respectively in the preceding year. The value of fish manures and guano exported fell from P7 lakhs to P5 lakhs, of which Ceylon took $\mathrm{R} 2 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs' worth. Exports of hornmeal rose from 2,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 2 \downarrow$ lakhs to 3,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{m} 2 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Shipments of sulphate of ammonia amounted to 3,000 tons as against 5,000 tons in 1930-31.

Exports of Indian hemp in 1931-32 were even smaller than the low record of the preceding year and amounted to 224,000 owts. as compared with 293,000 owts. in 1930-31 and 435,000 owts. in 1929-30. For the greater part of the year Indian hemp was
Hemp, raw ( 227 lakhs). in poor demand, for the consumers abroad were well supplied at low prices with qualities available from other sources which proved to be adequate for the limited consumption under present world conditions. As usual, Belgium took the largest quantity, but shipments to that country steadily declined to 117,000 cwts. from 151,000 cwts. in 1930-31 and 181,000 cwts. in 1929-30. Germany and France reduced their respective purchases from $20,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ and $22,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ to $15,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $3,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., while Italy took $8,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., or 2,000 owts. more than in 1930-31. Shipments to Greece and Denmark fell from $21,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $10,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $13,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. and $8,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively, while those to the United States of America advanced from 9,000 cwits. to $14,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.

There was an improvement in the exports of saltpetre which amounted to 134,000 cwts. valued at $\mathbb{7 1 0 \frac { 1 } { 2 }}$ lakhs in 1931-32 as compared with $83, \mathrm{COO}$

Saltpetre ( R 101 l lakhs). cwts. valued at $\mathfrak{f 7} 7 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs a vear ago. The bulk of the shipments went, as usual, to Ceylon which took 58,000 cwts., or $4,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. more than in the preceding year. There was a noticeable increase in the exports to Mauritius and Dependencies, which totalled $46,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. as against $4,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. a year ago. Deliveries to the United Kingdom were also better than in the preceding year by 2,000 owte. and amounted to $25,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. The Straits Settlements required $3,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. or $1,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. more than in 1930-31.

## Postal Abticles.

## Imports and Exports.

The value of the imports of "Postal articles not specified " declined further from $\mathrm{R} 3,54$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 2,96$ lakhs. The figures exclude the following classes of imports by post (inoluding letter packets by registered and ordinary post) referred to Collectors of Customs for assessment, ciz. (a) jewellery, (I) precious stones, (c) gold and silver thread, (d) cigarettes and (e) cinematograph films. The share of the United Kingdom rose from 61 per cent to 64 per cent. The ahares of Italy, Germany, Hongkong and France remained almost stationary at 15, 4, 3 and 4 per cent respectively. The value of the articles exported by

## Re-exports.

post also fell from $\mathfrak{Z 2} 2,04$ lakhs to $\mathrm{fl} 1,80$ lakhs, of which the United Kingdom aissorbed $\mathrm{F51}$ lakhs or 28 per cent, the Straits Settlements f 64 lakhs or 36 per cent, Ceylon 10 per cent, France 8 per cent, Hongkong 4 per cent, the Federated Malay States 2 per cent, and Iraq, Sumatra and Egypt 1 per cent each.

## Re-EXPORTS.

The re-export trade in foreign merchandise remained stationary at PL 5 crores. Re-exports of raw wool rose from 5 million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{f} 28 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 9 million lbs. valued at X 37 lashs. The United Kingdom and the United States of America raised their respective requirements to 3.6 and 5.4 million lbs. from 2.6 and 2.4 million lbs. in the preceding year. Woollen manufactures dropped from $\mathbb{7 4 2}$ lakhs to A 31 lakhs. Cotton piecegoods recorded a decline of 10 per cent in quantity and of 22 per cent in value and amounted to 16 million yds. valued at $\mathrm{f} 37 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ lakhs in 1931-32 as against 17 million yards valued at $\mathbb{Z 4 8}$ lakhs in the preceding year. Iraq reduced her purchases from 6. 5 million yards to 4 million yards while other countries on the Persian Gulf absorbed among themselves 6 million yards, a decrease of 1 million yards, as compared with 1930-31. The requirements of Ceylon, Portuguese East africa, Kenya Colony and Zanzibar and Pemba were on a lower level, while the Straita Settlements, the Anglo-Egyptian Sudan and Mauritius increased their shares. Shipments of foreign raw cotton rose from 100 tons valued at $\mathrm{R1}$ lakh to $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ tons valued at nearly f 2 lakhs. Re-exports of sugar improved from 3,400 tons (A7 lakhs) to 5,600 tons ( Fll lakhs). Gums and resins declined from $53,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $42,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in quantity and from Rl 15 lakhs to ¥10 lakhs in value. On the other hand, increases were noticeable under fruits and vegetables, hardware, instruments and apparatus and provisions and oilman's stores. The shares of the principal countries in the total trade were : the United Kingdom 41 per cent, the United States of America 9 per cent, Iraq 8 per cent, Ceylon 7 per cent, Persia 5 per cent, Arabia and the Bahrein Islands 3 per cent each and Japan, Germany and the Straits Settlements 2 per cent each. As usual, the bulk of the trade passed through Bombay, which accounted for 61 per cent ; Karachi had 20 per cent and Bengal 11 per cent.

Governhent Stores.
Under this head, imports showed a decline of $\mathrm{fl} 4,00$ lakhs over the preceding year's figure and amounted to $\mathrm{R4} 4,27$ lakhs. The noticeable decreases were under vehicles (- $\mathrm{El} 1,52$ lakhs), machinery and millwork (- $\mathrm{Al} 1,44$ lakhs), metals and ores (chiefly iron and steel), and arms, ammunition and military stores (-A21 lakhs each), paper and pasteboard (-Al2 lakhs), hardware and cutlery (-A9 lakhs) and flax manufactures (- P 6 lakhs).

Exports of Indian produce on Government account declined from 877 lakhs to f 61 lakhs, owing to a fall of R 12 lakhs in the exports of opium which were valued at R56 lakhs in 1931-32. Re-exports on Government account amounted to AL lakhs in 1931-32 as against Al 10 lakhs in 1930-31.

## CHAPTER IV. <br> The Direction of Overseas Trade.

The developments which characterised the year 1930-31-falling prices, credit shortage, reduced industrial production in many countries and declining foreign trade-were more marked in 1931-32, when they were further aggravated by international financial complications which were largely absent in the preceding year. On the basis of the declared values, India's imports and exports were on a much lower scale in 1931-32 than in 1930-31. The imports were given as Zl 126 crores for the former year as against Pl 165 crores for the latter, while exports of Indian produce and manufactures were returned as \#156 crores compared with F 220 crores in 1930-31. Of the various factors that have contributed to the decline in the value of India's overseas trade, the fluctuation in prices is no doubt an important one. To render the statistics recorded in money values more comparable, it is necessary to make some allowance for the different price levels. For a number of years this adjustment is carried out on the basis of the average declared values in 1913-14 and the results, though approximate, are exhibited in the table on page 15 of this Review. The broad result of making adjustment for the change in prices between 1930-31 and 1931-32 is that the volumes of both imports and exports have decreased, but not to the same extent as a comparison of unadjusted value figures indicates. These adjustments have not been carried out for trade with separste countries and the distribution of India's overseas trade, analysed below, is based on the actusl declared values. The following tables illustrate the direction of India's trade in two different aspects. Tables A, B and C show the shares of the various foreign countries in India's total trade and Tables D and E analyse the trade in selected commodities or groups of commodities in order to indicate the shares of the conntries chiefly interested in each. The main features are also illustrated in Chart No. 8 prefixed to this Review.

Tarle A.

| . - | 1018-14 |  |  | 1020-80 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Export | Imports | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vot imports } \\ & \text { (一) or or } \end{aligned}$ | Bxport | Imports | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Netmportis } \\ & \text { ( } x \text { portis }(+) \end{aligned}$ |
| United KIngdom Other Britith Pomenton | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \#(Crareal }) \\ 688 \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \#\# Crorol } \\ 117 \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ¥(Craros) } \\ +59 \\ +25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A(Crorse }) \\ 89 \\ \hline 8 \end{gathered}$ | \#1(Cin 108 91 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \#(Crorm) } \\ +24 \\ +24 \end{array}$ |
| Total Bentich mupigi | 94 | 128 | $\underline{-34}$ | 114 | 124 | -10 |
| Rurope ${ }^{\circ}$ | 85 | s0 | + 86 | 84 | 49 | + ${ }^{35}$ |
| Jolted 8tatee of Amerion | 28 | 5 | $+17$ | 87 | 18 | $+19$ |
| Japan Puretign Oountrion | ${ }_{95}^{28}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | +18 +10 | 88 80 | 24 | $\pm{ }_{+}^{+9}$ |
| Total Foriom coumfund | $15 \overline{5}$ | 66 | +100 | 204 | 117 | $+87$ |
| GRAND TOTAL | 240 | 188 | +66 | 818 | 241 | $+77$ |
|  |  | 1030-82 |  |  | 1051-32 |  |
|  | Exporta | Imports |  | Exporta | Imperte | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iot Imporin } \\ & \text { Bxporto }(+) \end{aligned}$ |
| Uajted Kingdam Other Rifitin Powomions | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { (Ororen } \\ 84 \\ 85 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { In(Croren } \\ 11 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \overline{2}(\text { Croren } \\ +80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E(Coroti) } \\ \$ 17 \\ 87 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { zilcroren) } \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# (Crores) } \\ \square+15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Tozah Bertaz Bromil | 89 | 76 | +18 | 72 | 57 | +16 |
| Furope geatin of Amodo | 58 | 88 | $+17$ | 87 | 28 | $+9$ |
| Japan beatel ef Ameata | $2 i$ | 15 | +6 | 14 | 18 | +1 |
| Othan Forvign Countric | 88 | 16 | +9 +10 | 14 | 15 | +10 |
|  | 187 | 60 | + 48 | 88 | 69 | 420 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 280 | 160 | +61 | 101 | 126 | +38 |

## Direction of Trade.

Table $B$.
Imports.


## Table $C$.

Exports.


## Direction of Trade.

Table D.
İmports.

|  | Inom astid Brate |  |  | Machimiey |  |  | Haldmar |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - - - . | $\frac{1018}{-16}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1880- \\ 81 . \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{82}{10810}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1018- \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1090 \\ 81 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{82}^{1081-}$ | $1018$ | ${ }_{83}^{1080}$ | ${ }_{85}^{1031}$ |
|  | Pront | $\underset{\text { cent }}{\text { Per }}$ | $\underset{c \times m i}{\text { Par }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Pemt }}{\text { Pant }}$ | $\underset{\text { count }}{\text { Per }}$ | Per cust | Per cent | Per |
| Dnited Flogdom | 69.9 | 52.8 | 58.8 | 898 | 74.7 | $70 \cdot 8$ | 67.2 | 88.4 | 30.8 |
| Urilted States of Americe | $2 \cdot 8$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | 2.2 | $8 \cdot 8$ | 11.4 | $11 \cdot 1$ | 0.7 | 185 | 10.8 |
| Cermany . . | 14.5 | $6 \cdot 9$ | 73 | $8 \cdot 6$ | 8.2 | 10.t | $18 \cdot 2$ | 20.9 | 291 |
| Balgiam - . | 12.8 | 24.0 | 24.8 | - | 10 | 8.5 | $\cdots$ | $\cdot$ | $1 \cdot 1$ |
| Japan | - | $\cdots$ | 7 | $\cdots$ | $\cdot 8$ | $\cdot 4$ | $1 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | 6.1 |
| France and Laxemburg. | - | 61 | 8-4 | ** | ** | - | * | $\cdot 6$ | - |
| Perceatage of total trade ropreaghed by countriten shown | 88.5 | 0*8 | 03.6 | 08.7 | 98.6 | 08.1 | 86.6 | 86.1 | $84 \cdot 2$ |
| TOTAL VALJE of train filagis) | 10,01 | 10,80 | 6, 8 8 | 7,76 | 14,85 | 10,08 | 8,05 | 8,00 | 2,62 |



## Direction of Trade.

Table D-contd.
Imports-contd.

|  | Comton mándico |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1918-$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} 10800 \\ 81 \end{array}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} 1081 \\ 82 \end{gathered}$ | $1018$ | $\begin{gathered} 1030 \\ 81 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1981- \\ 88 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1018- \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1980-81 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{82}^{1081}$ |
| Uolted KIagdom | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ 90.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Por } \\ & \text { 0ent } \\ & 6800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { oont } \\ & 51 \cdot 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pat } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & 9.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { oent } \\ 8: 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cont } \\ & 8 \cdot 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Pent } \\ \text { Cont }}}{ }$ | $\underset{\operatorname{cont}}{\text { Per }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & \text { i: } \end{aligned}$ |
| Unitaic itatea of Amerioa | 4 | 1.0 | $1 \cdot 6$ | - | $\cdot 6$ | 4 | * | - | - |
| Ranale . . | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\cdots$ | $\bullet$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 41 | 10.2 |
| Germany | 2-1 | 4 | -4 | $7 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | $\cdots 1$ | $1 \cdot 4$ | 20 |
| Belyiam | - | -2 | -2 | - | 18 | 9 | $\cdots$ | - | $\because$ |
| Japan | 18 | 80.8 | 85.5 | $40 \cdot 8$ | $42 \cdot 1$ | 45.6 | $\cdots$ | ** | $\because$ |
| Fravee - | - | 2 | 8 | 78 | $1 \cdot 6$ | 19 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 8witrealund | - | 1.0 | 1.8 | $\cdot 4$ | 8.2 | 6.2 | - | $\therefore$ | $\cdots$ |
| Italy | $1 \cdot 5$ | 1.6 | 17 | 8.1 | $7 \cdot$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ..- |
| Refherianda - | 1.6 | $2 \cdot 5$ | 1.5 | -* | $\cdots$ | - |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| Ohlins* | -1 | 48 | ¢-g | 20.6 | 86.2 | $80 \cdot 8$ |  | $\cdot 8$ | $1 \cdot 5$ |
| Javat | 9. | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - $\cdot$ | - | 71.8 | 000 | 78.6 |
| Mamitimin - . - | - | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 16.0 | - |  |
| Percentage of total trade sepresented by conntries ahown | 97.6 | $00 \cdot 4$ | 09.4 | $97 \cdot 0$ | $90 \cdot 1$ | 88.9 | 90.6 | 87.2 | 96.5 |
|  | 66,80 | 25,20 | 10,15 | -3,10 | 2,11 | 2,11 | 14,96 | 10,06 | 6,16 |

* Inelvat Ronjirong and Macto.

T Inelades the Straite Sottioments.

|  | - Liquors |  |  | mmatinl ofxe |  |  | Papte |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1018 .$ | $\begin{gathered} 1080 \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{82}{1081-}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1018- \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1080- \\ 81 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{1981-}$ | $\underset{14}{1018-}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1080 \\ 81 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1981- \\ 82 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Pat | Par | Per | Per | Per | Per | Per | Per | Par |
| Unficed Fingdom | ${ }_{\text {cent }}^{\text {ceat }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {cent }}$ | ${ }_{6} 0$ cent | ${ }^{\text {comen }}$ | ${ }_{8}^{\text {cant }}$ | ${ }_{\text {cost }}$ | erat | ${ }_{80 \mathrm{c}}^{0}$ |
| Uultod siates of Amerioe | 27 | ${ }^{5} \mathrm{O} .0$ | 6.1 | BO. 1 | 85.1 | 84.9 | - | 4.5 | 4.4 |
| Vrance | ${ }_{18 \cdot 8}^{10 \cdot 7}$ | 8.3 15.4 | $\xrightarrow{768}$ | 78 | 10 | ${ }^{6}$ | 17.0 | 9.7 | $10 \cdot 8$ |
| Fetharinnde |  | 2.0 | ${ }_{8}{ }_{8}$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | 8 | 78 | 6.4 |
| ${ }_{\text {Prasil }}^{\text {Borneo, }}$ Sumake, ota. | $\because$ | $\because$ | - | 87 | 220 | $20 \cdot 1$ |  | 70 | 6.4 |
| Norneos sumater, eta. | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $85 \cdot 1$ | 18.2 | 18.8 | $\because$ | $\because$ |  |
| 8wodai : : | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | 5-0 | $15 \cdot 0$ | $1{ }^{10 \cdot 4}$ |
|  | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | 281 | $20 \cdot 4$ | $\cdots$ |  | 11. |
| Parcoutage of total trado roprewented by | $\cdots$ | $\bullet$ | $\cdots$ | -* | -" | .. | 8.8 | 108 | $\ddot{104}$ |
| conntrien thown - | 9-1 | 08:9 | 800 | 98-8 | 90.7 | 975 | 919 | 88.6 | 88-4 |
| TOTAL VALUE OP TRADI \#(LAEHB) | 2,26 | 2882 | 2.87 | 4,12 | 10,48 | 0,04 | 1,50 | 2,87 | 8,50 |



+ Inohader Georgla and Aserbaljan.


## Direction of Trade.

Tabie F .
Exports.

|  | THa |  |  | Jwrn (eav) |  |  | JUF2 Mandialivera |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 1918-14 | 1080-8: | 1081-32 | 1918-14 | 1080-81 | 1031-82 | 1018-14 | 1080-81 | 1081-82 |
| Onited Kingd | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { oent } \\ \text { 72-4 } \end{gathered}$ | Per oent $84 \cdot 7$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ 87 \times 1 \end{gathered}$ | Per cent 280 | Par cont $17 \cdot 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pet } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & 97 \cdot 8 \end{aligned}$ | Par cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & \text { চ. } \end{aligned}$ | Per cent |
| Canada | ${ }_{4} 8$ | 806 | 88.4 | 0 | $\cdots$ | .. |  | 900 | - 0 |
| Anstralin | 8.1 | 2.8 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | $\bullet$ | - | 20.8 | 11.2 | 110 |
| Perela, Arabia, isataio | 11.1 | $1 \cdot 5$ | $\cdot 8$ | ${ }^{-} \cdot$ | - | -* | $\cdots$ | - | 1.4 |
| Torkeg and Iraq | 12 | $1 \cdot 8$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | - | - | ** | - | $\cdots$ | -8 |
| America - of | 7 | $2 \cdot 7$ | 8.5 | 11.9 | $8 \cdot 1$ | $8 \cdot 1$ | 41.8 | 81.8 | 817 |
| Ceylon - | $1 \cdot 6$ | $1 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $\because 1.8$ | $\cdots$ | 91.7 | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | .818 |
| Franco | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | 88.8 | 14.8 | ${ }^{21.7}$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | . 8 |
| thly | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | 71 | $7 \cdot 7$ | $\because$ |  |  |
| Argentina - | $\because$ | - | $\bullet$ | $\cdots$ | 8 | 1.0 | 104 | 10.6 | $6 \cdot 8$ |
| ${ }_{\text {Java }}^{\text {Jama }}$, | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | - | 1.5 | $2 \cdot 8$ | $6 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 5$ |
| Sapan | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\cdot \cdot 8$ | $7 \cdot 7$ | 1.88 |  | $\stackrel{-5}{-8}$ | $\cdot 7$ |
| trade represented by countritos abown. | 04.4 | 061 | 90.6 | 87.6 | $83 \cdot 4$ | 86.1 | 71.8 | 719 | 60.1 |
| TOTAL FALITE OV TRADE B(LAMB) | 16,98 | 28,56 | 19,44 | 80,88 | 18,8B | 11,19 | 28,87 | 81,89 | 11,08 |


|  | COSTOY (aw) |  |  | Orcmume . |  |  | Food Galus |  |  |  (HAY AND TANMED) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1918 | ${ }_{81}^{1030}$ | $1981-$ | $\begin{gathered} 1018- \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | 18980- | $\underset{32}{1981-}$ | 1018- | $\begin{gathered} 1080 \\ 81 \end{gathered}$ | 1051. | $1018$ | $\begin{gathered} 1950- \\ 81 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{12}^{1081-}$ |
| * $\cdot$. | Par $\infty$ | Per | Per cent | $\underset{0 \in D t}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Par } \\ \text { cent } \end{gathered}$ | Per cont | Per $\operatorname{comt}$ | Par cent | $P E$ $\operatorname{cen} t$ | Per pent | Por Dent | $\underset{\text { Cent }}{\text { Pet }}$ |
| Ualted FIngdom | $8 \cdot 6$ | 6.6 | $6 \cdot 6$ | 28.2 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 20.7 | $8 \cdot 4$ | 6.1 | $85 \cdot 0$ | 62.6 | 809 |
| Persia, Arabsa, Ariatio Turicey and Irag. | $\because$ | - | $\bullet \cdot$ | - | - | $\cdots$ | 806 | $8 \cdot 7$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | - | ** | ** |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { United States of } \\ & \text { Amerion } \end{aligned}$ | $\therefore$ | 10 | 1.2 | $1+2$ | $8 \cdot 7$ | 4.8 | $\because$ | - | . | $2 \cdot 8$ | $23 \cdot 0$ | 20.3 |
| Coylon - - | - | $\cdots$ | -* | - | 4 | -6 | 11.5 | 50.1 | 197 | - | $\bullet$ | - |
| Germany . - . | $10 \cdot 8$ | 71 | $0 \cdot 8$ | 16.0 | $18 \cdot 1$ | $18 \cdot 7$ | 78 | $6 \cdot 2$ | 8.8 | 20.8 | $6 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 9$ |
| Trance - | $\bullet$ | 5.8 | $8 \cdot 6$ | 31.4 | 82.9 | 80.9 | -* | -* | -8 | - | 1.8 | $1 \cdot 9$ |
| Italy | 77 | 8.1 | $6 \cdot 9$ | 6.0 | 11.2 | 18.8 | - | $\cdot 8$ | - 5 | $5 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | 2.8 |
| Japan . . | 47.8 | 48.8 | 47.1 | - | ${ }^{8}$ | $\bullet 9$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | 4 | - 6 |  | 8.5 | 8.0 |
| Belytum - | 10.8 | 5.7 | $5 \cdot 1$ | 10-0 | $2 \cdot 6$ | 1.2 | - | 177 | 1.5 | - | $\cdot 8$ | -0 |
| Ohlnam" | 1.7 | 18.0 | 108 | - | $\therefore$ | - | - | 18.4 | 15.0 | - | '2 | -8 |
| Wetherlands | -* | 1.8 | 18 | 1.0 | 18.8 | 15.4 | $6 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 7$ | 2-8 |
| Btraits gettiements | - | -* | $\bullet$ | * | $\cdot 4$ | -5 | 6.7 | 10.1 | 0.0 |  | -4 | $\cdot 8$ |
| 8pain | - | $2-8$ | $1 \cdot 8$ | -* | 1*8 | $\cdot 6$ | - | - |  | $5 \cdot 8$ | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| 8umatre and Jeve | ** | - | - | - | - | - 8 | $1 \cdot 0$ | 4 |  | - | - | - |
| Pereentage of total tredo represented by countritea chown. | -85-0 | 90:8 | 000 | $02 \cdot 8$ | 80-2 | $85 \cdot 0$ | 60.6 | 780 | 78.9 | $81 \cdot 9$ | $04 \cdot 1$ | 06.7 |
| togat valet of <br>  | 41,04 | 46,88 | 23,45 | 25,65 | 17,85 | 14,60 | 45,14 | 20,88 | 20,87 | 18,08 ! | 11,08 | 8,02 |

## Direction of Trade.

Table A shows the total overseas trade of British India in private merchandise in such a way as to indicate separately the balance of trade with the United Kingdom, other parts of the British Empire, Europe, the United States of America, Japan and other Foreign countries. As will be seen from the table, the balance is usually in favour of India in all cases, excepting that of trade with the United Kingdom. In the case of the United Kingdom, the excess of imports over exports was very much reduced and amounted to \#77 crores in 1930-31. In the year under review, however, the excess, normally subsisting on the import side, was completely wiped out, exports and imports having exactly balanced each other. Trade with other parts of the British Empire showed a balance in favour of India to the extent of R15 crores as against R 20 crores in 1930-31 and the balance in the case of the British Empire as a whole expanded from one of Fl 13 crores in 1930-31 to one of fl 15 crores in favour of India. Taking the "Foreign" countries together, the balance, which, as usual, was in favour of India, declined from Z 48 crores in 193031 to $\mathbb{Z 2 0}$ crores, the year's transactions having resulted in much smaller balances in favour of Europe, the United States of America and Japan with which India deals in large quantities.

In Table B have been listed the principal countries supplying India's import requirements (arranged in order of their importance in 1913-14) with their respective percentage shares in the total import trade, while Table C shows the corresponding details in regard to exports. The share of the United Kingdom, as will appear from these tables, further receded from 37.2 per cent in 1930-31 to 35.5 per cent in the case of imports, but, on the export side, it rose to the high level of 28.2 per cent as compared with 23.8 per cent in 1930-31 and 23.4 per cent in 1913-14. Concurrently, the share of the British Empire, considered as a whole, dropped from $46 \cdot 1$ per cent in 1930-31 to $44 \cdot 8$ per cent under imports, but advanced from $39 \cdot 5$ to $44 \cdot 5$ per cent in the case of exports. The United States of America and Japan both improved their respective positions in the import trade by contributing 10.2 and 10.6 per cent as against 9.2 and 8.8 per cent respectively in 1930-31, but they reduced their participation in the export trade from 9.4 and 10.6 to 8.9 and 8.7 per cent respectively. Germany's share amounted to 8.1 per cent under imports and 6.3 per cent under exports as compared with 7.5 and 6.4 per cent respectively in 1930-31. On the import side, the other noticeable variations were a reduction from 6.3 to 3.8 per cent in the case of Java and an increase from 1.8 to 2.9 per cent in the case of Kenya and Zanzibar. On the export side, mention may also be made of the decreases in the shares of China (from 5.9 to 4.9 per oent), Belgium (from 3.4 to 2.8 per cent) and the Netherlands (from 3.4 to 3.0 per cent).

Turning to the main groups of commodities in the import trade (Table D), there is to be noted a further substantial decline in the shares of the United Kingdom under cotton manufaotures, machinery and instruments. In the case of cotton masufactures, her share, which in 1930-31 had dropped to 58.0 per cent from 90.1 per cent in the pre-war year 1913-14, further receded to 51.6 per cent in 1931-32. Against this decline may be noted the firther inarease in the contribution of Japan from 30.3 to 35.5 per cent and of Chins (imports from which source consisted largely of twist and yarn) from 4.3 to 5.3 per cent. Under machinery, the proportion coming from the United Kingdom fell off from $74 \cdot 7$ to 70.8 per cent ; but Germany advanced her share
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from 8.2 to 10.3 per cent, the contribution of the United States of America showing a deorease from Il.4 to $11 \cdot 1$ per cent. In instruments, the United Kingdom was unable to retain more than $49 \cdot 6$ per cent as against $53 \cdot 4$ per cent enjoyed in 1930-31, and the gap was filled in by increased participation of the United States, Germany and Japan. Under iron and steel. there was a recovery in the percentage share of the United Kingdom (from 52.3 to 53.8) and in that of France and Luxemburg (fromi 4.1 to 5.4 ); Germany made a further advance from 6.9 to 7.3 per cent, but the share of the United States of America came down from 4.6 to 2.2 percent. Under motor vehicles, the proportion of the total imports credited to the United Kingdom increased from 23.7 to 31.1 per cent, chiefly at the expense of Canada, the share of which country dropped from 19.0 to 8.3 per cent; but the participation of the United States remained steady at a little above 48 per cent. Under mineral oils, the principal feature was an increase in the share of Persia from 22 to 26 per cent, which was accompanied by a falling off in Russia's from 23.1 to 20.4 per cent; the share of the United States remained fairly steady near about 35 per cent. In liquors, there was a.slight advanoe in the share of the United Kingdom (from 59.6 to 60.8 per cent), but France reduced hers from 15.4 to 11.8 per cent. In silk manufacfures, Japan gained at the expense of China and in paper, a loss in the share of Norway was made good by increased participation by Austria. Under sugar, receipts from Java formed 73.5 per cent of the total imports in 1931-32 as compared with 90.0 per cent in 1930-31; there was, however, an increase in the contribution of Russia (from 4.1 to 14.1 per cent) and, to a less extent, of the United Kingdom (from a little below 1 per cent to 4.5 per cent).

On the export side (Table $E$ ) the outstanding feature was an improvement in the proportion taken by the United Kingdom of raw jute and also of hides and skins. In raw jute, her share increased from 17.3 to 27.8 per cent, while those of Germany and France were reduced from 27.2 and 14.3 to 21.7 and 8.8 per cent respectively. Shipments of hides and skins to the United Kingdom amounted to 59.9 per cent of the total exporte recorded nader this head as against 52.5 per cent in 1930-31, but the share of the United States receded from 23 per cent to 20.5 per cent. Of the exports of tea, 87.1 per cent went to the United Kingdom as compared with $84 \cdot 7$ per cent in the preceding year. In jute manufactures, the proportion taken by the United States dropped from 34.5 to 31.7 per cent and that by the Argentine from 10.4 to 6.3 per cent., but the United Kingdom increased her quota from 5.7 to 8.5 per cent. Under raw cotton, Japan and China increased their shares to 47.1 and 19.3 per cent from 45.3 and 16.0 per cent respectively in 1930-31, while the Continental countries reduced their purchases. Under oilseeds, there was an expansion of the proportion received by France (from 21.9 to 30.9 per cent), but the United Kingdom and the Netherlands both had their respective shares reduced (from 15.0 and 18.3 to 13.0 and 15.4 per cent respectively). In food-grains the only feature worth mentioning was a drop in the percentage share of China.
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The British Empire and Mandated Territories.
The imports from, and exports to, the United Kingdom and other British Possessions are shown in the following tables :-

Imports.

| Countrise | 1913-14 | 1828-29 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# (lakha) | f (lakha) | f(lakhs) | A ( ${ }^{\text {akhbs }}$ | \# (lakbs) |
| United Kingdom | 1,17,58 | 1,13,24 | 1,03,10 | 61,29 | 44,81 |
| Coylon - | 81 | 2,10 | 1,80 | 1.43 | 1,37 |
| Straits Settlements | 3,42 | 5,11 | 6,16 | 3,97 | 2,87 |
| Hongkong. - | 98 | 78 | 74 | 87 | 63 |
| Souti Africs | 23 | 63 | 61 | 43 | 28 |
| Manritius . | 2,53 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Kerya Colony, Zanzibar and Pemb | 39 | 2,72 | 3.44 | 3,36 | 3,68 |
| Canade. | 1 | 2,46 | 1,91 | 1,33 | - 42 |
| Arstraia - | 92 | 8,46 |  | 2,42 | 1,58 |
| New Zealand Other countries |  | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 4 |
| Other countries | 1,20 | 1,17 | 1,13 | 88 | 1,14 |
| Total Betrish Emptra | 1,28,07 | 1,36,58 | 1,24,43 | 76,02 | 66,67 |

Exports.

| Oountribs | 1013-14 | 1928-29 | 1929-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | E (lakhs) | 7 (lakhs) | \# (Jakhs) | \# (lakhs) | A (lakhs) |
| Onited Ki | ${ }^{58,35}$ | 72,37 | 69,18 |  | 45,33 7,88 |
| Straits Settlements | 6,79 | 14,95 7,95 | 8,02 | 6,30 | 7, 4,77 |
| Hongkong - | 7,82 | 2,51 | 3,04 | 1,69 | 1,88 |
| South Afrioa | 1,20 | 2,44 | 2,28 | 2,00 | 1,46 |
| Mauritias . | 1,26 | 1,69 | 1,62 | 1,12 | 90 |
| Konya Colony, Zanzibar and Pembe | 1,02 | 1,77 | 1,72 | 1,16 | 87 |
| Oanada | 1,43 | 2,45 | 2,41 | 1,72 | 1,48 |
| Anstralis ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4,10 | 7,39 | 5,80 | 4,49 | 2,97 |
| New Zealand | 56 | 87 | 78 | 63 | 35 |
| Other Countries | 2,82 | 6,38 | 6,10 | 4,74 | 8,53 |
| Total British Eimprim | 94,39 | 1,19,07 | 1,14,17 | 80,10 | 71,50 |

United Kingdom (Imports R44,81 Lakhs, Exports R45,33 lakhs).-The value of the total trade of India with the United Kingdom amounted to $\mathbf{R} 90,14$ lakhs, a decline of $\mathbf{~} 225,01$ lakhs in comparison with 1930-31. As in the preceding year, the decline was much heavier on the import side than on the export, the reduction in the former case having been one of $\mathrm{Pl} 16,48$ lakhs (from $\mathbf{R 6 1 , 2 9}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{~ P 4 4 , 8 1}$ lakhs) and that in the latter one of $\mathbf{P 8 , 5 4}$ lakhs (from $\mathbf{7} 53,87$ lakhs to $\mathbf{f} 45,33$ lakhs). Among the imported articles, cotton manufactures forming 22 per cent (as against 24 per cent in 1930-31) of the total imports showed the largest decline, the recorded value for 1931-32 having been R9,88 lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{Al4}, 64$ lakhs in the preceding year. The bulk of the decline under this head occurred under piecegoods, the receipts of which amounted to 383 million yards valued at $\mathbb{7 7}, 96$ Jakhs as against 523 million gards valued at $\mathrm{fl2,57}$ lakhs in 1930-31. Cotton twist and yarn showed a relatively small decline in value, viz., from $\mathbf{R 1 , 2 7}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{fl} 1,22$ lakbs, while the quantity showed an improvement from

## The British Empire.

$1(0.3$ million Ybs. to 11.9 million lbs. The reduction under piecegoods was noticeable particularly under the descriptions, grey and coloured, imports of which declined respectively from 143 million yards to 60 million yards and from 148 million to 110 million yards. The decline under white piecegoods was comparatively small, imports having fallen by 23 million yards from 230 million to 207 million yards. The main features of Indis's trade in cotton manufactures have been discussed in detail in Chapter II. In other descriptions of textiles, reductions, although individually of much smaller signifieance, were noticeable under artificial silk yarn, piecegoods of cotton and artificial silk and woollen manufactures. The slump in the trade in iron and steel, which continued into the year under review, was responsible for a further decline in the imports in this line from the United Kingdom from $\mathbf{A 5} 5,70$ lakhs to $\mathrm{A} 3,40$ lakhs. Imports of machinery and millwork declined from $\mathbf{7 l 0 , 7 2}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{7 7 , 7 3}$ lakhs, due mainly to reductions under railway locomotive engines, electrical machinery and jute machinery. Imports of hardware were valued at $\mathbf{R 9 6}$ lakhs as against $\mathrm{Z} 1,31$ lakhs in 1930-31. There was a further drop of f3 lakhs (from R94 lakhs to R91 lakhs) under motor vehicles. This reduction was duc mainly to reduced importation of motor cars; the number of which amounted to 2,178 as against 2,885 imported in 1930-31; the number of omnibuses imported, however, rose from 258 to 435 . Imports of instruments and apparatus were valued at $\mathrm{fl}, 83$ lakhs, a decline of $\mathrm{Z72}$ lakhs in comparison with the preceding year. Imports of paper and pasteboard receded from $829,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at 788 lakhs to 814,000 cwts. valued at 776 lakhs and those of provisions declined by $\mathbf{Z 4 3}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Z} 1,51$ lakhs. Imports of sugar increased by $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 0 0}$ tons in quantity and by $R 18$ lakhs in value, the consignments having amounted to 23,000 tons valued at $¥ 28$ lakhs. A notnble change of the year was a heavy drop in the imports of tobacco, sie., from 3 million lbs. valued at $\mathrm{fl}, 30$ lakhs to $1 \cdot 5$ million lbs. valued at P 62 lakhs.

The principal articles exported to the United Kingdom were, as usual, tea ( $\mathrm{Pl} 16,93$ lakhs), hides and sking (R5, 34 lakhs), jute raw and manufactured ( $\mathrm{Zt}, 95$ lakhs), raw cotton ( $\mathrm{Zl}, 54$ lakhs), seeds ( $\mathrm{Rl}, 90$ lakhs), foodgrains ( $\mathrm{Zl}, 24$ lakhs) and raw wool ( $\mathrm{Pt} 2,50$ lakhs). These articles, taken together, represented about 76 per cent of the total exports to the United Kingdom during 1931-32 as compared with 79 per cent in 1930-31. Exports of tea decreased from 299 million lbs. to 291 million lbs. in quantity and from R19,95 lakhs to R16,93 lakhs in value. The total neight of raw and manufactured jute exported advanced by 57,000 tons in quantity and by F 92 lakhs in value. Despatches of raw jute increased from 108,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{R 2 , 2 3}$ lakhs to 154,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 3,11$ lakhs ; those of jute bags improved from 40 millions to 48 millions in number, but the gain was wiped out by the slump in prices which brought down the total declared value from IR1,21 lakhs to $\mathrm{Al}, 14$ lakhs; the yardage recorded under ginny oloth reeovered from 40 millions to 62 millions (which oven exceeded the yardage registered in $1929-30$ by a little more than 3 millions) and the value from ¥59 lakhs to $\mathbf{7 7 0}$ lakhs. Under hides and skins, there was a decline under the tanned or dressed varieties from 16,500 tons valued at P5,74 lakhs to 14,800 tons valued at $\mathrm{P} 4,85$ lakhs, but the reduction was partly counterbalanced by an improvement under the raw descriptions from 2,700 tons ( R 40 lakhs) to 3,500 tons (R49 lakhs). Exports of raw skins of non-Indian
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origin advanced in quantity from 200 tons to 334 tons, but declined in value from $\mathrm{Rl}, 00$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R81}$ lakhs. Shipnents of raw cotton dropped from 50,000 tons ( 280,000 hales) valued at $\mathrm{R} 3,00$ lakhs to 30,000 tons ( 166,000 bales) valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,54$ lakhs. Despatches of raw wool rose from 26.7 million lbs. valued at R2,27 lakhs to $35 \cdot 3$ million lbs. valued at R2,50 lakhs. Exports of oilseeds contracted from 177,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{f} 2,68$ lakhs to 141,000 tons valued at R1,90 lakhs. The reduction occurred mainly under linseed, shipments of which fell off from 58,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{fl} 1,24$ lakhs to $14,00 \%$ tons ralued at Pl 6 lakhs. The decrease under this head was supplemented by a relatively small reduction under cotton seed, of which the quantity shipped amounted to 11,000 tons as against 41,000 tons in 1930-31. There were, however, increases in the exports of groundnuts (from 47,000 to 78,000 tons), rapeseed (from 11,000 to 14,000 tons) and castor seed (from 20,000 to 23,000 tons). Metals and ores recorded a decline of 94,000 tons in quantity and of n 91 lakhs in value. The reduction was particularly noticeable under pig lead the shipments of which declined from 57,000 tons valued at $\mathbb{} \mathbb{1}, 66$ lakhs to 41,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Pl}, 09$ lakhs. Manganese ore also showed a decline from 114,000 tons (f34 lakhs) to 54,000 tons ( Zl 16 lakhs) and pig iron from 99,000 tons (R38 lakhs) to 69,000 tons (R24 lakhs). There was, however, an increase under wolfram ore from 3,200 tons ( $\mathbb{F} 52$ lakhs) to 3,400 tons (\#556 lakbs). Shipments of paraffin wax amounted to 17,000 tons valued at A76 lakhs as against 10,000 tons valued at R47 lakhs in 1930-31. Exports of lac fell from $123,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ( Z 70 lakhs) to $106,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. ( Z 45 lakhs). Exports of foodgrains dropped from 253,000 tons ( $\mathrm{R} 2,52$ lakhs) to 173,000 tons ( $\mathrm{Al} 1,24$ lakhs), mainly as a result of a reduction under wheat from 175,000 tons ( $\mathrm{Rl}, 7 \mathrm{ll}$ lakhs) to 17,000 tons ( R 10 lakhs) ; rice showed an improvement from 58,000 tons (R62 lakhs) to 117,000 tons (R88 lakhs). Among other articles raw rubber, spices, coffee, teakwood and oilcakes showed decreases, while woollen carpets and raw tobacco showed increases.

Ceylon (Imports $\mathrm{R1} 187$ lakhs, Exporis $\mathbf{\# 7 , 9 6}$ lakhs). -There was a further heavy decline in the trade with Ceylon from R12,82 lakhs to $\mathrm{fl} 9,33$ lakhs, imports decreasing from $\mathrm{Rl}, 43$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R1}, 37$ lakhs and exports from fll 38 lakhs to $\mathrm{A} 7,96$ lakhs. On the import side, decreases occurred mainly under betelnuts and coffee. Imports of betelnuts declined from 170,000 citts. ( Z 35 lakhs) to 98,000 ewts. ( Z 21 lakhs). Imports of coffee, which had amounted to 11,000 owts. valued at $\mathrm{f} 5 \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{\frac{1}{3}}$ lakhs in $1930-31$, dwindled down into insignificance. The decline under these two heads, however, was, to a great extent, counterbalanced by an increase in the imports of coconut oil, copra and sesamum. Imports of coconut oil amounted to 274,000 cwts. valued at R42 lakhs as compared with 100,000 cwts. valued at 718 lakhs in 1930-31. Among other articles, jute gunny bags showed a decline from 4.5 millions valued at P 12 lakhs to 4.1 millions ralued at $\mathrm{R} 9{ }^{2}$ lakhs, while tea recorded an increase from 2.1 million lbs. valued at RIf lakhs to 2.7 million lbs. valued at Z 18 lakhs. Decreases were also noticeable under grain, pulse and flour, raw hides and skins and lead sheets for tea chests. On the export side, the main item was rice not in the husk, shipments of which declined from 444,000 tons to 410,000 tons in quantity and from R5, 62 lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 3,67$ lakhs in value. Exports of raw mbber declined from 5.3 million lbs. valued at R42 lakhs to 1.8 million lbs. valued at R7 lakhs. Exports of fish (excluding canned fish) decreased from 258,000 ewts. valued at P 5 !
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lakhs to $232,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at P 42 lakhs. There was also a declive of 4,400 tons in quantity and of 78 lakhs in value under manures, shipments of which amounted to 18,400 tons valued at R15 lakhs. This was supplemented by a decline of $\mathrm{R7}$ lakhs under oilcakes, exports amounting to 34,000 tons valued at f 26 lakhs. Despatches of chillies amounted to 147,000 cwts. valued at f 21 lakhs as against 153,000 cwts. valued at R30 lakhs in the preceding year. Shipments of coal receded from 296,000 tons (R36 lakhs) to 273,000 tons ( P 33 lakhs). Exports of tes deolined from 5 million lbs. valued at P 37 . lakhs to 2.9 million lbs. valued at Z 20 lakhs. There were also noticeable decreases under cotton manufactures, fruits and vegetables and under living animals.

8traits gettlements (Imports 78,87 lakhs, Exporta $\mathrm{R4} 4,77$ lakhs).-The transactions with this country resulted in a decline of $\mathrm{R} 1,10$ lakhs (from ¥73,97 lakhs to $\mathbf{P 2 , 8 7}$ lakhs) under imports and of $\mathbf{7 1 , 5 3}$ lakhs (from $\mathbf{~ R ~} 6,30$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R4}, 77$ lakhs) under exports. On the import side, spices accounted for a decline of P34 lakhs. Imports of betelnuts amounted to $989,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{P} 1,22$ lakhs as against $1,006,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathbf{7 1 , 5 2}$ lakhs in 1930-31 and those of other kinds of spices to 19,000 cwte. valued at 711 lakhs as against 23,000 cwts. valued at Rl 5 lakhs. Receipts of tin, unwrought contracted from $53,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at P 53 lakhs to $44,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at F 41 lakhs. There was a decrease of $\nexists 17$ lakhs under mineral oils, the total importa of which amounted to 2.3 million gallons valued at f 5 lakhs as compared with 7.1 million gallons valued at R 22 lakhs in 1930-31. Of these, consignments of fuel oils declined trom $5 \cdot 1$ million gallons (R10 lakehs) to 2.2 million gallons (R4t lakhs) ; imports of kerosene oil also were comparatively small. There were also smaller receipts of provisions, fish (chiefly salted), lac, and drugs and medicines. On the export side, there was a large reduction under rice not in the husk, shipments of which declined from 265,000 tons walued at R2,79 lakhs to 236,000 tons valued at R1,69 lakhs. Fxports of cotton piecegoods (principally coloured) also declined from 11 million yards to 9 million yards in quantity and from f66 lakhs to P48 lukhs in value. Against the decline under these two heads may be noted an increase in the shipments of jute manufactures from f49 lakhs to RI53 lakhs. Shipments of gunny hags amounted to $\mathbf{1 5 . 6}$ millions valued at $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ lakhs as compared with 11.5 millions valued at ft 41 lakhs in 1930-31. Despatches of rubher, raw slightly increased in quantity, viz., from 4.9 million lbs. to 5.0 million lbs., but the value fell from P 12 lakhs to f 8 lakhs. There were also smaller shipments of cosl, fodder, bran and pollard, provisions, and tobacco; whils tin ore, and cotton twist and yarn showed slight inoreases.

Australia (Imports R1,58 lakhs, Exports R2,97 Inkhs). -The total torde with Australia showed a decline of $\not \mathbf{A 2 , 3 5}$ lakhs as compared with the value recorded in 1930-31. Importa accounted for a decline of R83 lakhs and exports for one of $\mathrm{Rl}, 52$ lakhs. The decline, in the case of importa, was mainly due to a reduction under wheat from 209,000 tons valued atfl1,79 lakhs to 110,000 tons valued at $\mathbb{R 7 2}$ lakhs. Imports of tallow and stearine increased in quantity from $80,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $97,500 \mathrm{cwts} .$, bat the value dropped from $\mathbb{R 2 0}$ lakks to Z 16 lakhs. The deorease in the value recorded under these two heads was partly counterbalauced by an increase in the importe of raw wool from 1.6 millian lbs. valued at f 10 lakhs to 2.9 million lbs. valued at R15 lakhs. Imports of provisions also increased from R9 lakhs to R13
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lakhs and those of horses from Fl 10 lakhs to f 29 lakhs. On the export side, the bulk of the decline occurred under jute manufactures. Gunny bags declined from 88.3 millions valued at $\mathrm{F3} 3,25$ lakhs to 68 millions valued at R2,16 lakhs. Shipments of gunny cloth improved in quantity from 18.3 million yards to 19.8 million yards, bat the value recorded declined from R 32 lakhs to Z 28 Jakhs. Shipments of tea also declined from 4.5 million lbs. valued at R 29 lakhs to 2.5 million lbs. valued at R 13 lakhs. Exports of linseed fell from 11,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{Z 2 2}$ lakhs to 10,000 tons valued at fill $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. There was also a decrease under raw hides and skins (chiefly goat skins).

East Africa (Imports 78,74 lakhs, Exports $\mathbf{R 1 , 2 4}$ lakhs).-In this group of territories are included Kenya, Zanzibar and Pemba, Uganda Protectorate, Nyasaland Protectorate, Tanganyika Territory and British Somaliland. The total value of the trade with this group of territories amounted to fl4,98 lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{R5}, 07$ lakhs in 1930-31. On the import side, there was an improvement to the extent of F 27 lakhs-viz., from $\mathrm{F} 3,47$ lakhs to $\mathrm{F} 3,74$ lakhs, so that the recession in the total trade occurred in the case of exports, the total value of which fell from $\mathbb{Z 1 , 6 0}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Zl}, 24$ lakhs. The improvement in the case of imports was due to larger arrivals of raw cotton which totalled 32,700 tons valued at 733,21 lakhs as compared with 23,600 tons valued at $\mathbb{Z 2}, 98$ lakhs in 1930-31 and of spices (mainly cloves), consignments of which were valued at $\mathbf{R 4 1}$ lakhs as against F 35 lakhs in the preceding year. Under exports, the decrease was principally due to a falling off in the shipments of jute gunny bags (from 6 millions valued at $\neq 20$ lakhs to 4 millions valued at Fll lakhs), piecegoods (from 18 million yards valued at 740 lakhs to 17 million yards valued at $\mathrm{F37}$ lakhs) and foodgrains (from 28,700 tons valued at Z 49 lakhs to 27,500 tons valued at R 37 lakhs).

Canada (Imports $\mathbf{Z 4 2}$ lakhs, Exports 71,48 lakhs).-Compared with the preceding year, imports from Canada decreased by 791 lakhs to $\mathbf{7 4} 9$ lakhs and exports thereto by $\mathbf{7 2 4} 4$ lakhs to $\mathrm{fl}, 48$ lakhs. The heavy fall noticed on the import side was due to a reduction in imports of motor vehicles and of rubber manufactures. The total value of motor vehicles imported during the year amounted to $\mathbf{7 2 3}$ lakhs as compared with $\mathbf{7} 95$ lakhs in the preceding year. The number of motor cars imported decreased from 3,250 valued at RL54 lakhs to 676 valued at about $\mathrm{Fl} 10 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and that of motor omnibuses from 2,397 valued at Z 36 lakhs to 598 valued at f 9 lakhs. Imports of rubber manufactures showed a sharp decline from 725 lakhs to R9 lakhs. Coming to exports, the major item, as usaal, was jute gunny clotb, shipments of which decreased in quantity by 10 million yards to 63 million yards and in value by $\mathbb{7 2 6}$ lakhs to 765 lakbs. Despatches of tea direct to Canada amounted to 14 million lbs. valued at P 67 lakhs as compared with 10 million lbs. valued at Pr 62 lakhs in 1980-31.

Hongiong (Imports $\mathbb{R} 53$ lahhs, Exports R1,88 lakhs). -Although imports from this country declined by 734 lakhs in comparison with the preceding year, the export trade recovered from $\mathbf{Z 1 , 6 9}$ lakhs to $\mathbb{Z 1}, 88$ lakhs. The reduction in imports was due mainly to smaller arrivals of dry beans, pulses, provisions and raw silk. On the export side, the number of gunny bags shipped rose from 19 millions valued at 757 lakhs to 27 millions valued at R74 lakhs. Exports of coal showed an increase from 56,000 tons valued at R 5 lakhs to 162,000 tons valued at R14 lakhs. There was also a noticeable
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increase under drugs and medicines. A part of the inoreases under these hends was, however, set off by a decline in the shipments of rice from 71,000 tons ( $\mathrm{P} 56 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs) to 63,000 tons ( $\mathbf{~} 40$ lakhs).

Dnion of Sonth Africa (Imports fi22 lakhs, Exports R1,46 lakhs).-The total trade with the Union of South Africa fell by $\mathbf{7 7 5}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Z1}, 68$ lakhs, imports showing a decrease from f 43 lakhs to f 22 lakhs and exports from $¥ 2,00$ lakhs to $¥ 1,46$ lakhs. On the import side, coal showed a decline from 122,000 tons valued at $\mathbb{2} 21 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to 23,000 tons valued at R 4 lakhs. Imports of barks for tanning declined in value from $\mathbb{7 1 4}$ lakhs to $\mathbb{7 1 3}$ lakhs, although the quantity received rose from 203,000 owts. to 214,000 owts. Under exports, the bulk of the decline occurred under rice and jute manufactures. Shipments of rice declined from 29,000 tons valued at R42 lakhs to 27,000 tons valued at f30 lakhs. Despatches of gunny bags showed a decline from 20 millions valued at f80 lakhs to 18 millions valued at f61 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and those of gunny cloth from 5.6 million yards valued at A10 $\frac{1}{1}$ lakhs to 4.4 million yards valued at A77 $\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Exports of paraffin wax, vegetable oils and provisions also showed decreases.

The following tables show the imports from, and exports to, the principal European and other foreign countries:-

Imports.


## Direction of Trade.

Exports.

| Countrise | 1813-14 | 1829-30 | 1930-31 | 1931-83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| European CountriceRassib | $\nexists \text { (lakhs) }$ | 7 (lakhs) | 파 (lakhs) ${ }^{53}$ | \# (lakhs) |
| 8weden | 42 | 45 | 37 | \% 21 |
| Norway - | 5 | 42 | 57 | 44 |
| -Germany : | 26,42 | 26,77 | : 14,42 | 10,09 |
| Netherlands | 4,42 | 9,12 | 6,73 | 4,87 |
| Belgium | 12,10 | 12,22 | $\cdots \quad 7,57$ | 4,47 |
| France - | 17,72 | 16,94 | - 11,17 | 7,72 |
| Apain . . . . . | 2,23 | 3,61 | 2,37 | - 1,34 |
| Switzerland . | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 |  |
| Italy . . . . . | 7,89 | 11,47 | 7,93 | 5,50 |
| Austria . . | ( 8 | 6 | . | - |
| Enngary . . |  | - | 3 | - |
| Turkey, European | 11 | 28 | 18 | 17 |
| Other countries | 73 | 1,86 | 1,54 | 1,27 |
| Total Ediopzay Codxtmies | 84,57 | 83,53 | 58,42 | 36,64 |
| -Other Foresign OountrieoEgypt | 2,27 | 3,95 | 3,56 | 2,16 |
| Torkey, Asiatio . . . | 2,94 | 15 | 11 | 11 |
| Iraq . . - | - | 1,61 | 1,19 | 1,15 |
| Persie | 1,41 | 2,08 | 1,54 | 1,38 |
| Java . | 1,95 | 4,27 | 2,68 | 1,75 |
| Chine, | 6,71 | 13,08 | 13,25 | 7,82 |
| Japan. - | 22,69 | 32,56 | 29,87 | 14,03 |
| United States of Amerioa | 21,85 | 37,04 | 21,14 | 14,29 |
| Caba - | 56 | 2,97 | 2,11 | 1,08 |
| Argentina - - | 3,14 | 7,10 | 3,68 | 1,54 |
| Chile . . . . | 1,00 | 1,46 | 63 | 18 |
| Other comitriea . | 6,34 | 14,00 | 9,46 | 6,99 |
| Total otamb Forman Coumtribs . | 69,02 | 1,20,23 | 83,12 | 52.41 |

## Foreign Countries.

Japan (Imports R13,34 lakhs, Exports R14,03 lakls).-There was a further decline in the trade with Japan, the value of the total trade having been $\mathbf{~} 27,37$ lakhs in 1931-32 as compared with $\mathbb{R} 38,38$ lakhs in the preceding year. . The bulk of the decline occurred under exports, the decline in the case of imports having been one of $\mathrm{Rl}, 17$ lakhs as against $\mathrm{R} 9,84$ lakhs under exports. On the import side, the principal items were cotton manufactures ( $\mathrm{R} 6,79$ lakhs), artificial silk ( $\mathbf{Z 2 , 1 6}$ lakhs), silk manufactures (R96 lakhs), boots and shoes ( Z 49 lakhs) glass and glassware ( H 42 lakhs), earthenware and porcelain ( P 17 lakhs) hardware ( Z 16 lakhs) and mised or yellow metal for sheathing (\#15 lakhs). These together represented 85 per cent of the total imports from Japan as against 82 per cent in the preceding year. Imports of cotton manufactures declined in value from $\mathbf{~} 7,66$ lakhs to $\mathbf{~} 6,79$ lakhs.
[Im fimotanids oftards 1918-14 1026-20 1029-80 1030-31 1981-32
 It will be seen from the table on the margin that there was a considerable decrease in the receipts of grey piecegoods, while white and coloured goods actually recorded increases. Imports of twist and yarn declined from 6.9 million lbs. valued at R 84 lakhs to 6.2 million lbs. valued at f 83 lakhs. There was also a decline of R35 lakhs under cotton hosiery. Among other descriptions of textiles, silk manufactures showed an improvement from 789 lakhs to ¥ 96 lakhs and artificial silk from $\mathbb{Z 1 , 5 4}$ lakhs to $\mathbb{Z 2}, 16$ lakhs. Imports of piecegoods of cotton and artificial silk increased from 38.2 million yards valued at $\mathrm{fl}, 50$ lakhs to $74 \cdot 5$ million yards valued at $\mathfrak{~} 2,09$ lakhs. Imports of boots and shoes, which had reached a record level in 1930-31 ( 10 million pairs valued at f 67 lakhs) receded to 9 million pairs valuod at f 49 lakhs. Imports of glass and glassware declined from $\mathbf{P 5 5}$ lakhs to P 42 lakhs and those of earthenware and porcelain from $\mathcal{A} 21$ lakhs to Al 7 lakhs. There was also a reduction of R5 lakhs under hardware, viz., from $\mathbb{H 2 1}$ lakhs to R16 lakhs. Imports of mised or yellow metal for sheathing declined from 46,000 cwts. valued at R 21 lakhs to $40,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at R 15 lakhs.

Of the articles exported to Japan, the most important is raw cotton, exports of which represented 79 per cent of the total exports to Japan in 1931-32 as against 88 per cent in 1930-31. The shipments recorded during the year under review amounted to 192,800 tons ( $1 \cdot 1$ million bales) valued at $\mathrm{R} 11,05$ lakhs as compared with 301,000 tons ( 1.7 million bales) valued at $\mathrm{X}: 0,99$ lakhs in the preceding year. The decline under raw cotton, however, was to some extent counterbalanced by increases under pig iron, pig lead, raw jute and oilcakes. Despatches of pig iron advanced from 160,600 tons ( R 64 lakhs) to 188,100 tons ( R 66 lakhs) and those of pig lead from 131,000 cwts. ( Z 19 lakhs) to $285,800 \mathrm{cwts}$. (R39 lakhs). Raw jute accounted for an increase of $\mathrm{f5}$ lakhs in value corresponding to an increase of 4,000 tons in quantity, the actual shipments having been 10,000 tons valued at fl 7 lakhs. Oilcakes showed an improvement from 14,700 tons valued at Fll lakhs to 38,300 tons valued at $223 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Exports of paraffin wax dropped from 5,500 tons ( R 27 lakhs) to 1,000 tons (R4 $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{3}}$ lakhs) and those of gunny bags from $5 \cdot 3$ millions ( Z 17 lakhs) to $4 \cdot 6$ millions ( $\mathrm{R} 12 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs). Decreases were also noticeable in the values of hides and skins, lac and tobacco, but pulse (mainly beans), manures (chiefly bonemeal) and wood (mainly sandalwood) showed increases.

## Direction of Trade.

United States of America (Imports R12,84 lakhs, Exports R14,29 lakhs).The value of the total trade with this country declined from $\mathbf{f 3 6 , 2 9}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{f} 27,13$ lakhs. The decline, in the case of imports, was relatively amall, amounting to $\mathbf{R 2} 2,31$ lakhs as compared with $\not 76,85$ lakhs in the case of exports. On the import side, however, the decline was distributed over a large number of articles and its magnitude would have been much larger had it not been for an unprecedentedly heavy increase in the imports of raw cotton which amounted to 28,600 tons valued at \#2,24 lakhs as against 9,800 tons valued at f 83 lakhs in 1930-31. Mineral oils, which formed the most important individual item on the import side, were responsible for a decline of about fl52 lakhs. Imports of kerosene oil decreased from 21.3 million gallons valued at $\mathbb{Z l}, 43$ lakhs to 20.4 million gallons valued at $\mathrm{Pl} 1,27 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs, and of lubricating oils from 19.0 million gallons valued at $\not \approx 1,50$ lakhs to $10 \cdot 6$ million gallons valued at $\not 798$ lakhs, while there was an increase in the imports of petrol from $\mathbf{7 - 2}$ million gallons valued at P 61 lakhs to 9.9 million gallons valued at P 79 lakhs. The value of the imports of motor vehicles declined from $\mathbb{7 2} 2,41$ lakhs to 2il,07 lakhs. The number of cars imported fell from 5,098 to 3,368 and that of omnibuses from 6,197 to 3,236 . Imports of machinery and millwork contracted from R1,64 lakhs to R1,22 lakhs, mainly due to amaller arrivals of mining machinery and typewriters. Receipts of tobacco leaf improved from 1.5 million lbs. valued at fl 13 lakhs to 2.5 million lbs. valued at f 24 lakhs. There was an increase in the consignments of cotton piecegoods from 9.5 million yards valued at Z 24 lakhs to 19.2 million yards valued at $\mathrm{Z} 30 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs. Imports of copper, wrought fell away from 31,000 owts. valued at Pl 14 lakhs to $18,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at Z 7 lakhs, due mainly to lesser arrivals of sheets. There was also a decrease in the value of rubber manufactures from $\mathbf{7 8 6}$ lakhs to R83 lakhs. Among other items, decreases were noticeable under aluminium (-Az39 lakhs), hardware and provisions (-Et18 lakhs each) and building materials (-P7 lakhs).

On the export side, the decline amounted to one of $\not 76,85$ lakhs on a total of $\mathrm{R} 21,14$ lakhs recorded in 1930-31. The most important among the articles exported to the United States is jute, raw and manufactured. The total value recorded under this head amounted to $\mathrm{Z7} 7,88$ lakhs as against $\mathrm{Z} 12,05$ lakhs in the preceding year. Shipments of jute cloth fell from 854 million yards valued at $¥ 10,66$ lakhs to 692 million yards valued at $\mathrm{f} 6,56$ lakhs and those of jute gunny bags from 10.6 millions valued at $\mathbb{Z 2 5}$ lakhs to 8.4 millions valued at R14 lakhs. Despatches of raw jute also declined from 53,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,04$ lakhs to 49,000 tons valued at Z 91 lakhs. Exports of lac also declined from $201,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\nexists 1,25$ lakhs to $179,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{Z72}$ lakhs, mainly as a result of a drop in the off-take of shellac (from 117,000 cwts. to 101,000 owts.) and of seedlac (from $82,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. to $77,000 \mathrm{cwts}$.). There was a noticeable decrease under raw hides and skins; despatches of goat skins, representing the bulk of the shipments, showed a reduction from 14,700 tons ( $\mathrm{R2} 2,56$ lakhs) to 11,600 tons ( $\mathrm{Fl}, 77$ lakhs). There was also a decline under tanned or dressed hides and skins from $\mathrm{P8} 8$ lakhs to fut lakhi.

Garmany (Imports $\# 10,20$ lakhs. Exports $\mathrm{\#} 10,09$ lakhs).-Imports from Germany declined by $\not \mathbb{Z 2 , 1 8}$ lakhs to $\mathbb{Z 1 0 , 2 0}$ lakhs and exports thereto
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by $\mathrm{ft} 4,33$ lakhs to $\mathbf{Z 1 0 , 0 9}$ lakhs. The extent of the transactions during the past four years as compared with the pre-war average is shown below :-

| 1 - | Pro-war Average | 1029-29 | 1929-30 | 1830.81 | 1031-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 (lakhs) | 7 (1akhg) | (R (latche) | A (lakhy) | R (lakhs) |
| Importa : - | (2,35 | 15,84 | 18,79 | 12,38 | 10,20 |
| Exporte, inaluding re-axporta | 32,36 | 32,48 | 26.77 | 14,48 | 10,09 |

The trade in the principal articles imported from Germany is shown in the following table :-

"Two Joare" average onky.
It will appear from the above table that, with the exception of aynthetio dyes, sugar, printing paper and goods of silk mixed with other materials, there was a general decline under all the main articles on the import sided

## Direction of Trade.

As far as synthetic dyes are concerned, the value of the consignments advanced from $\mathrm{\# l}, 40$ lakhs to $\mathrm{Al}, 50$ lakhs, although there was practically no improvement in regard to the quantity received, which remained almost stationary at 11.9 million lbs. Sugar 16 D. S. and above (including beet) showed an improvement from 11,700 tons valued at $\# 15$ lakhs to 15,400 tons valued at R18 lakhs. Under salt, there was a decline from 97,000 tons to 25,600 tons in quantity and from f 20 lakhs to $\mathrm{F5} 5$ lakhs in value. Among the other articles showing decreases, mention may be made of hardware, and machinery and millwork, imports of the former having declined by R32 lakhs to $\mathbf{R 7 6}$ lakhs and those of the latter by $\mathbf{7 6}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R1}, 12$ lakhs. Under metals, there were reductions in the imports of iron and steel as well as of brass and copper, the value of the consignments having fallen off from $\nexists 75$ lakhs and $\mathrm{Zl} 1,27$ lakhs to Z 45 lakhs and f 99 lakhs respectively. There were also decreases under woollen manufactures, glass and glassware, liquors and cotton manufactures.

The following table shows the principal articles exported to Germany :-


- Represent figures for bonea crushed, antrubed and boneneal.

Under exports, the article most severely affected by the slump in Germany's demand was raw cotton, despatches of which fell from 55,200 tons valued at f 3,30 lakhs to 29,600 tons valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 48$ lakhs. Shipments of raw jute also
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showed a reduction of 38,000 tons in quantity and of $\mathrm{Pl}, 07$ lakhs in value, the exports of the year amounting to 131,000 tons valued at $\not 22,44$ lakhs. Exports of rice improved in quantity from 162,200 tons to 230,900 tons and in value from $\mathrm{R1}, 53$ lakhs to R1,56 lakhs. Shipments of oilseeds showed a net increase of 3,000 tons in quantity, but the value declined by 734 lakhs, the exports having amounted to 138,700 tons valued at R2,00 lakhs. Exports of raw hides and skins, lac and coir manufactures were also smaller than in the preceding year.

Belgium (Imports $\mathrm{A} 3,02$ lakhs, Exports $\mathrm{A4} 47$ lakhs).-The total trade with Belgium amounted to $\mathbf{R 7}, 49$ lakhs and showed a decline of $\not 74,75$ lakhs in comparison with 1930-31 and of $¥ 11,51$ lakhs as compared with 1929-30. The decline was comparatively small in the case of imports, the value of which amounted to $\mathrm{f3} 3,02$ lakhs as against $\mathrm{f4} 47$ lakhs in 1930-31. Exports declined from $\mathrm{AT} 7,57$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R4} 47$ lakhs. On the import side, the bulk of the trade was, as usual, represented by iron and steel which constituted 51 per cent of the total imports from that country. The value of the consignments under this head from Belgium declined from $\mathbf{7 2 , 7 1}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{7 1}, 53$ lakhs. Imports of cotton manufactures, which had declined to about f 6 lakhs in 1930-31, were further reduced by $\not \mathbf{2} 2$ lakhs to R 4 lakhs. This set-back is attributable primarily to a reduction under coloured piecegoods, imports of which barely amounted to 205,000 yards. There was also a reduction of All lakhs in the imports of precious stones, the actual value recorded in 1931-32 being R29 lakhs. Artificial silk, glass and glassware, building materials, paints and painters' materials, provisions, and woollen manufactures also showed noticeable decreases. Imports of aniline dyes increased from $59,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. to $136,000 \mathrm{lbs}$, in quantity and from fl lakh to $\mathfrak{7 2} 2$ lakhs in value. Imports of machinery and millwork also increased from R14 lakhs to f27 lakhs. On the export side, the most noteworthy feature was a heavy decline recorded under raw cotton, despatches of which fell to 21,600 tons valued at $\mathrm{Pl} 1,19$ lakhs from 39,000 tone valued at $\not \approx 2,64$ lakhs in 1930-31. Raw jute showed a decrease from 48,000 tons to 46,000 tons in quantity and from f 99 lakhs to $\mathrm{f84}$ lakhs in value. Among other principal articles inoluded in the exports to Belgium, metals (chiefly manganese ore, lead and zinc), oilseeds, manures, raw hides and skins and wheat showed considerable reductions. Some improvements were, however, recorded under barley and oilcakes. -

France (Imports $\mathbf{~ R 2 , 1 7}$ lakhs, Exports Ry 7 ,72 lakhs). The trade with France declined from $\mathrm{Pl} 4,06$ lakhs to $\# 9,89$ lakhs, imports falling away by A72 lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 2,17$ lakhs and exports by R3,45 lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 7,72$ lakhs. So far as imports are concerned, by far the heaviest reduction of the year occurred under liquors, the value of which declined from $\mathbf{R} 51$ lakhs to $\mathbf{R} 27$ lakhs. Neat in order of magnitude was the decline under iron and steel, imports of which fell from 29,000 tons valued at P 30 lakhs to 16,000 tons valued at R 14 lakhs. Imports of apparel declined from R 22 lakhs to R7 lakhs, those of woollen manufactures from R 28 lakhs to Z 20 lalihs and of motor cars, etc., from f 9 lakhs to P 5 lakhs. There were also decreases of R 2 lakhs each in the imports of provisions, and drugs and medicines, the respective values of which amounted to Fl 6 lakhs and n 9 lakhs in 1931-32. The principal articles in the export trade with this country were, as usual, groundnuts, linseed, raw cotton, raw jute, and manganese ore. Exports of groundnuts increased from 172,000 tons to 223,000 tons in quantity and from R2,87 lakhs to $\mathbf{A 3} 3,53$ lakhs in value.

## Direction of Trade.

Despatches of linseed rose from 25,000 tons valued at P 53 lakhs to 44,000 tons valüed at 755 lakhs. The improvement noticed under these two heads was more than counterbalanced by a decline under raw cotton, shipments of which showed a decrease from 41,000 tons valued at $\$ 2,68$ lakhs to 14,000 tons valued at R79 lakhs. Shipments of raw jute declined from 89,000 tons valued at $\mathrm{Rl}, 85$ lakhs to 52,000 tons valued at m 99 lakhs. The quantity of manganese ore exported fell off from 188,000 tons to 80,000 tons and the value thereof from P 49 lakhs to Zl 18 lakhs. Despatches of raw hides and skins wore valued at $\not 716$ lakhs as against $\not \mathrm{f} 21$ lakhs in 1930-31. Shipments of coffee declined from 108,000 cwts. valued at Z 71 lakhs to 43,000 cwts. valued at $\mathbb{2} 26$ lakhs. There was a decrease of $\mathrm{A7}$ lakhs (from 79 lakhs to fi2 lakhs) under rice not in the husk, and a decline of a similar magnitude was also noticed under manures.

Italy (Imports $\mathrm{f} 3,59$ lakhs, Exports $\mathbf{7 5} 50$ lakbs). The total value of the trade with Italy declined further from $\mathbb{R 1 2 , 4 3}$ lakhs to $\not 79,09$ lakhs, imports having declined by $\mathrm{F92}$ lakhs and exports by $\not \mathbf{~ 2 , 4 3}$ lakhs. On the import side, the bulk of the decline occurred under textiles. The value of woollen manufactures imported fell off from $\mathbf{7 6 2}$ lakhs to P 32 lakhs. Imports of woollen blankets amounted to 2.0 million lbs. valued at Zl 16 lakhs as against $3 \cdot 3$ million lbs. valued at A 33 lakhs in 1930-31 and those of woollen piecegoods to 1.4 million yards valued at $\mathrm{Z15}$ lakhs as compared with 2.0 million yards valued at $\mathbf{~} 25$ lakhs in the preceding year. Cotton manufactures showed a decline from Z 38 lakhs to Z 33 lakhs. Imports of cotton piecegoods, coloured, printed or dyed, which alone are of importance in the trade with Italy under this head, declined from $\mathbf{H 2 8}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{~} 26$ lakhs, although there was an increase in quantity from 8.7 million yards to 9.9 million yards. Under artificial silk yarn, there was a decline of a little less than 1 million lbs. in quantity and of about R9 $9 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in value. There was also a reduction of 400,000 yards under piecegoods of cotton and artificial silk, the reduction in value having been one of ft 4 lakhs. There were also noticeable decreases under fruits and vegetables, chemicals and motor cars. On the export side, there was a decline of 32,000 tons (from 65,000 tons to 33,000 tons) under raw cotton, the corresponding decline in value having been one of R 2 crores (from fl 4 crores to f 2 crores). Shipments of oilseeds rose from 118,000 tons to 128,000 tons in quantity and from $\not \approx 2,00$ lakhs to $¥ 2,02$ lakhs in value. Consignments of groundnuts totalled 80,000 tons ( $\mathrm{fl}, 29$ lakhs) as against 77,000 tons ( $\mathrm{ml} 1,13$ lakhs) in 1930-31 and those of linseed amounted to 14,600 tons ( F 24 lakhs) as compared with 32,900 tons ( $\mathrm{Al} 72 \frac{1}{2}$ lakhs) in the preceding year. Exports of raw jute rose from 42,000 tons to 44,000 tons, but the value declined by f 6 lakhs to R 86 lakhs. Despatches of raw cowhides declined from 4,000 tons to 3,000 tons in quantity and from $\mathbb{R} 31$ lakhs to $\mathbb{R 1 5}$ lakhs in value. Shpiments of rice, however, improved by 3,000 tons to 14,000 tons in quantity and by fit lakhs to Rll lakhs in value. There was a smaller off-take of coffee and the trade in manganese ore disappeared altogether during the year under review.

Netherlands (Imports R2,C4 lakhs, Exports R4,87 lakhs).-The total value of the trade with the Netherlands amounted to $\mathbb{R 6}, 91$ lakhs in 1931-32 as compared with f79,96 lakhs in the preceding year. Imports fell by $\mathrm{Zl} 1,18$ lakhs to $\mathbf{7 2}, 04$ lakhs and exports by $\nexists 1,86$ lakhs to $\mathbf{~} 4,87$ lakhs. The demand for provisions from this source continued to decline, imports
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during the year having been valued at $\mathrm{f57}$ lakhs as against $\mathrm{Z1} 1,27$ lakhs in 1930-31. Imports of vegetable product amounted to $79,000 \mathrm{owts}$. valued at f229 lakhs as compared with 232,000 owts. valued at H 85 lakhs in 1930-31 and $291,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. valued at $\mathrm{fl} 1,09$ lakhs in 1929-30. Imports of cotton piecogoods declined from 13 million yards valued at 750 lakhs to 7 million yards valued at $\mathrm{fl21}$ lakhs. There were smaller receipts of precious stones, the imports having been valued at Zl lakh as compared with Z 4 lakhs in 1930-31. There was also a reduction of $\nexists 4$ lakhs under paper and pasteboard and also under metals. On the export side, there were considerable decreases under groundnuts, linseed, rice, raw cotton and jute, Shipments of groundnuts declined from 167,000 tons to 147,000 tons in quantity and from $\mathbf{~ R 2 , 6 7}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{fz} 2,11$ lakbs in value, while those of linseed which had been valued at f52 lakhs in 1930-31 sank to comparative insignificance during the year. The quantity of rice exported rose from 100,400 tons to 122,600 tons, but the value of the consignments fell from f 96 lakhs to $\mathrm{f83}$ lakhs. The demand for oilcakes improved by 73 lakhs to $\mathbb{2} 25$ lakhs, but shipments of raw cotton and of jute were considerably less than in the preceding year.

Java (Imports \#4,85 lakhs, Exports $\mathrm{Pl}, 75$ lakhs).-Compared with 1930-31, the year under review recorded a decline of 75,49 lakchs under imports and of f 89 lakhs under exports. As far as the import side is concerned, the recession was due mainly to a falling off in receipts of sugar, which represented 93 per cent of the total imports. The total imports of sugar declined from 911,800 tons ( $\mathrm{f} 9,85$ lakhs) to 406,900 tons ( $(\mathbb{1} 4,52$ lakhs) of which 336,100 tons represented sugar 23 D. S. and above as against 715,300 tons in 1930-31. Imports of molasses declined from 102,000 tons to 40,000 tons. There were also smaller receipts of denatured spirit which were valued at $\mathbb{\text { R10 }} 10$ lakhs as against A12 lakhs in 1930-31. On the export side, the bulk of the decline occurred under jute gunny bags, despatches of which fell off from 42 millions ( $\mathrm{R1,59}$ lakhs) to 30 millions ( f 96 lakhs). Exports of opium on private account declined in value from $\mathfrak{z 2 6}$ lakhs to $\mathfrak{Z 8}$ lakhs. Shipments of rice improved in quantity from 51,000 tons to 63,000 tons, but the value declined from $\mathrm{fl4}$ lakhs to \#43 lakhs.

Chins (Imports R2,79 lakhs, Exports $\mathbf{\text { I7,82}}$ lakhs). There was during the year under review a further retrogression of the trade of India with China, imports having declined by $\mathbf{f 5 4}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{f} 2,79$ lakhs and exports by $\mathrm{P5} 5,42$ lakhs to $\mathrm{A7}, 82$ lakhs. The decline on the import side was due principally to a falling off in the receipts of silk raw and manufactured. Consignments of raw silk shrank from 1.6 million lbs. (R75 lakhs) to 1.3 million lbs. (PR55 lakhs), imports of silk yarn, noils and warps fell from $394,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. (\#l13 lakhs) to $343,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. ( $\mathrm{R9} 9 \mathrm{lakhs}$ ) and those of silk piecegoods from 8.3 million yards ( F 60 lakhs) to $7 \cdot 7$ million yards ( R 49 lakhs). Imports of cotton twist and yarn advanced in quantity from 11.7 million lbs. to 13.2 million lbs., but the value declined from $\mathbb{R 9 6}$ lakhs to Z 92 lakhs. Cotton piecegoods (msinly grey) also showed an increase from $2 \cdot 5$ million yards valued at f 5 lakhs to 4 million yards valued at $\mathbf{7 6}$ lakhs. The quantity of tea (principally green tea) imported remained unchanged at 2.8 million lbs., but owing to lower prices the value declined from $\nexists 20$ lakhs to $\nexists 19$ lakhs. On the export side, there was a reduction in the shipments of rice from 396,000 tons valued at $\mathbf{R 4 , 9 2}$ lakhs to 353,600 tons valued at 22,65 lakhs. Exports of raw cotton fell off from 108,000 tons valued at 77,41 lakhs to 77,800 tons valued at $\nexists 4,50$ lakhb,

## Direction of Trade.

There were also decreases of $\mathrm{A7}$ lakhs under tea, of $\mathrm{P13}$ lakhs under paraffin wax and of about fl lakh under raw jute. On the other hand, shipments of gunny bags rose from 1.2 millions valued at $\mathrm{fl} 4 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs to 1.7 millions valued at R5 lakhs. Exports of gunny cloth increased in quantity from 3.5 million yards to 3.9 million yards, but the value receded from $\mathbf{~} 5 \frac{1}{4}$ lakhs to \#74t lakhs.

Iraq (Imports 758 lakhs, Exports R1,15 lakhs).-The total value of the trade between India and Iraq declined from $\mathrm{f} 2,20$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R1}, 73$ lakhs. Imports showed a heavy reduction from R1,01 lakhs to Z 58 lakhs, while there was a much smalier decrease under exports, which fell from A1,19 lakhs to R1,15 lakhs. The relatively weaker position of the import trade was due to a marked fall in consignments of wheat from 23,000 tons valued at R35 lakhs in 1930-31 to only 1,404 tons valued at fl lakh in 1931-32. There was also a decrease in the supplies of dates from 45,000 tons to 41,000 tons in quantity, the value showing a decrease of f5 lakhs to f 40 lakhs. Exports of pepper showed a considerable decrease from $\mathrm{Zl} 1 \frac{1}{3}$ lakhs to $\mathrm{R} 42,000$. Among items deserving separate mention, cotton manufactures, mainly piecegoods, rose from f 35 lakhs to R 44 lakhs.

South America (Imports R14,000, Exports $\mathbf{R 2} 2,45$ lakbs).-With the cessation of imports of wheat from the Argentine and the disappearance of the trade in nitrate of soda with Chile, South America has ceased to be of any consequence in the import trade of India. On the export side the principal commodity shipped is jute manufactures. Of the total shipments of gunny bags, the Argentine took 3 millions ( 1.5 millions), Chile 2 millions ( 18.7 millions) and Peru 4.6 millions ( 6.8 millions), the figures in brackets representing the corresponding exports for the preceding year. Under gunny cloth, total consignments to the Argentine amounted to 105 million yards and those to Peru amounted to 1.7 million yards as compared with 209 million and 1.5 million yards respectively in 1930-31; Uruguay's offtake declined from 14 million yards to 10 million yards. Exports of raw jute to Brazil showed a slight improvement from 15,100 tons to 15,700 tons, and those to the Argentine also advanced from 5,300 tons to 7,000 tans. Shipments of rice, which had been valued at fir lakhs in the preceding two years fell down to negligible proportions.

## CHAPTER V.

## Frontier and Indo-Burma Trade.

## I.-Frontigr Trade.

India proper.-Under the existing system of registration of land frontil trade of India (excluding Burma) the traffic in selected articles at certai railway stations adjacent to the more important trade routes across the frontic is recorded. It is estimated that the bulk of the inward traffic at thes stations is intended to be transported beyond the frontier and the bulk of th outward traffic consists of goods which have come from beyond the fronties but ordinarily it is impracticable to specify the exact proportion of the actus frontier trade to the total trade registered at these stations. A reference i invited to table No. 57 for the details of the statistics of trade as recorded durin the year 1931-32 compared with the two preceding years. The followin table summarises the results:-

| $\because$ | Importo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity |  |  |
| Artioles |  |  |  |
| . . . | $\begin{aligned} & 1929-30 \\ & \text { (Maunds) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1930.31 \\ & \text { (Maunds) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1931-32 \\ \text { (Maunda) } \end{array}$ |
| Wheat | 275,740 | 158,627 | 124, 671 |
| Gran and pulse . . .* | 255,837 | 283,797 | 180,538 |
| Rice $\sim^{-}$ |  |  |  |
| Husked | 1,249,046 | 1,459,428 | 1,858,307 |
| Onhusked | 657,869 | 789,862 | 679,571 |
| Other grain, pulae and flour. | 1,258,160 | 587,209 | 778,886 |
| Wool, raw. . | 213,631 | 108,348 | 129,508 |
| Jute, raw (from Nepal) | 303,329 | 287,921 | 300,075 |
| Linmeed (from Nepal). | 582,581 | 498,968 | 408,814 |
| Mustard and rape eeed (from Nopal) | 282,088 | 289,751 | 804,071 |
| Treasure | Ounces | Ounces | Ounces |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Gold } \\ \text { Silver } & \quad . \\ \bullet\end{array}$ | $4,943,064$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,772 \\ 8,275,425 \end{array}$ | 4, 84 |
|  | Exports | Oumbity |  |
| Artiales |  |  |  |
|  | 1920-30 | 1930-31 | 1981-82 |
| Whent piecegoods (forvign and Indian) | (Maunda) | (Mranda) | 809,672 |
|  | 1,648,784 | 1,416,618 | 1,186,865 |
| Kice, huaked | 701,142 | 648,947 | 670,821 |
| Other grain, pulse and flour. | 1,353,209 | 1,163,696 | 1,719,801 |
| Imn and steel including machinery. hardware and catlery |  | 407,687 | 428,944 |
| Petroleum . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 876,059 | 370,072 | 392,150 |
| Salt . | 1,705,929 | 1,598.808 | 1,740,374 |
| Sugat, refined and morefined* | 1,032,087 | 1,172,054 | 842,811 |
| Tea . . ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | 104,868 | 98,080 | 102,180 |
| Gold , Treasure | Oraces | Ourcen | Ources |
|  | 2,948 | 25.458 | 15,581 |
| Sil ver | 6,185,188 | 8.287,986 | 15,151,240 |

## Frontier Trade.

Burma.-The principle followed in the registration of the land frontier trade of India, namely, that of registering only the traffic in selected commodities at the chief centres, through which the bulk of the frontior trade passes, has also been extended to Burma. The stations selected for purposes of registration are (i) Bhamo in the north which catches the trade entirely river-borne as well as the trade despatched from or to the rail-head Katha; (ii) the railheads Lashio, Heho and Shwenyaung in the Shan States and (iii) Thingannyinaung in the South, through which the bulk of the trade with Siam passes. As the commodities selected for Burms differ in some respect from those recorded in the case of India proper, the figures of imports and exports of the selected articles as recorded under the new system during 1929-30, 1930-31 and 1931-32 are shown separately in table No. 58. The following table shows the imports and exports of certain principal articles into and from Burma during the past throe years:-

Inpports
Quantity



[^7]
## Coasting Trade.

## II.-Coasting trade between Buraha and other provinger of India.

The trade in private merchandise between Burma and India proper for the past three years as compared with pre-war figures is shown in the table below :-

| Provinces | Treakte $25 \% 0$ Bung |  |  |  | , Bxports froy Burya |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pro-max averse | 1920-80 | 1080-81 | 1081-88 | Probwar average | 1098-50 | 1030-31 | 1031-88 |
|  | 7 (lakha) | 3 (takha) | In (hath) | 35 (3akn) | A (takbs) | 且 (lakh) | 豆 (Iatha) | 7 (latha) |
| Bengel . | 8,0) | 0,69 | -7,89 | 6,87 | 6,09 | 10, 88 | 7,84 | 7,08 |
| Bombay | 88 | 3,12 | 1,58 | 2,04 | \%,04 | 7,84 | 5,45 | 8,80 |
| Stnd : | 4 | 11 | 10 | 80 | 4 | 68 | 77 | 1,05 |
| Madrad. | 1,48 | 2,89 | 3,03 | - 2,06 | 8.40 | 9.45 | 7.98 | 7,80 |
| 202A5 | 8,85 | 16.46 | 11,08 | 10.63 | 11,77 | \%7.01 | 81,89 | 20,89 |

Imports into Burma.-The coastwise imports of coal into Burma decreased from 654,000 tons in 1930-31 to 427,000 tons in 1931-32, the pre-war average being 413,000 tons. The imports of Indian cotton piecegoods recorded a rise of 21 million yards from 23 million yards to 44 million yards in quantity and of $\nexists 38$ lakhs in value from $\mathbf{7 7 5}$ lakhs to $\mathbb{7 1 , 1 3}$ lakhs. The imports of cotton twist and yarn from India proper also showed an improvement of about half a million lbs. in quantity, but the value fell by f 2 lakhs from P 70 lakhs to A 68 lakhs. Receipts of jute gunny bags also showed an increase in quantity and numbered 53 millions as against 49 millions in the preceding year, but owing to lower prices, the value fell from $\mathbb{7 1}, 86$ lakhs in 1930-31 to Pl,66 lakhs in 1931-32.

Exports from Burma.-Exports of rice not in the husk rose from 811,000 tons in 1930-31 to 1,086,000 tons in 1931-32. The despatches of kerosene oil from Burma to Indian ports increased from 114 million gallons in 1930-31 to 120 million gallons in 1931-32. Shipments of petroleum, dangerous, including petrol, benzine and benzol, declined by 6 million gallons to 45 million gallons in the year under review. The value of lac exported to India, consisting chiefly of stick lac shipped to Calcutta, amounted to ft 5 lakhs in 1931-32 as compared with $\mathrm{P4} 4$ lakhs in 1930-31. Shipments of both teak wood and other kinds of timber declined, the former from 125,000 cubic tons valued at fl,93 lakhs to 104,000 cubic tons valued at $\mathrm{R} 1,52$ lakhs and the latter from 21,000 cubic tons valued at $\mathbb{R 2 2}$ lakhs to $\mathbf{1 6 , 0 0 0}$ cubic tons valued at R14 lakhs.

## CHAPTER VI.

## Balance of Trade and Movements of Preasure.

## I.-Balanoe of trade.

Like all other countries which largely export raw materials and import manufactured goods, India has suffered severely from the world wide depression which has continued since the latter part of 1929-30. The position was greatly accentuated during 1931-32: Owing to the catastrophic fall in prices and the shrinkage of consumption, India's exports in 1931-32 were greatly reduced and this reduction in the value of exports reacted unfavourably on the imports, which also showed a considerable decline, though not to the same extent as the fall in exports. As a result, the surplus of exports over imports of private merchandise, which is a normal feature of India's trade, declined from A 79 crores in 1929-30 to P 62 crores in 1930-31 and further to f35 crores in 1931-32, the lowest figure for over twenty years. The following table shows the imports and exports of private merchandise and the balance of trade in merchandise during each month of the year 1931-32 :-

N.B.-Raftway stores tmportrd by State Rallways are not pald for in the ordinary way and do not afect the monthly balance of trade ilgures. The cost of thest stores has, therefore, beon excluded from tho import slde.

## Balance of Trade.

Throughout the year under review, exports of private merchandise exceeded imports. The first quarter of the year was marked by declining exports, although the imports during this period were on a higher level than in the succeeding months. As a result, the credit balance which amounted to R1营 crores in April fell; after a temporary improvement in May, to the lowest figure of the year, $\neq 50$ lakhs, in June. During the neat two months the credit balance advanced, reaching $773 \frac{2}{3}$ crores in August. There was a setback in September, but from October the credit balance rose steadily to $\mathrm{F} 6 \frac{9}{4}$ crores in December, when the monthly exports reached the highest figure in any individual month and imports the lowest, November excepted. A sharp fall in exports during the next month, accompanied by a rise in imports brought down the export surplus to $\mathrm{R} 2 \frac{2}{3}$ crores in January 1932, declining to $\mathrm{R} 2 \frac{1}{9}$ crores in February and to $\mathrm{F} 2 \frac{1}{5}$ crores in March. It is interesting to observe that the credit balance during the latter half of the year amounted to F 22 g crores or 65 per cent of the year's surplus.

For the first time since 1921-22 India has become, contrary to her regular practice, a heavy exporter of gold, the total exports amounting to nearly R61 crores during the year. An examination of earlier statistics as far back as 1835-36, prior to which no reliable data are available, reveals the fact that only on six occasions, vir., 1878-79, 1892-93, 1894-95, 1915-16, 1918-19 and 1921-22 did India's exports of gold surpass her imports, but in none of these six years was export surplus more than R6 crores. In the year under review the net exports of gold reached a total of 758 crores, while there was a net import of silver to the extent of $\mathbf{¥ 2} 2 \frac{1}{2}$ crores. . The net exports of private treasure, including currency notes, were valued at $\mathrm{R} 555_{\mathrm{f}}$ crores. In the first quarter of the year there were, as usual, net imports of treasure and these were valued at $\mathrm{Zl} 1 \frac{1}{3}$ crores. The aecond quarter saw the beginning of the new movement of gold out-fiow-s movement which was greatly stimulated by the rapid increase in the price of gold following the suspension of the gold standard by Great Britain on the 21st September and the continuation of the previous relationship of the rupee to the pound sterling. During the second quarter, the net exports of treasure were valued at R15 lakhs, but in the third rose to over f33 crores. In the fourth quarter a recovery in sterling brought a fall in the rupee prices of gold which somewhat retarded exports of gold and the net exports of treasure declined to $\mathrm{f} 23 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ crores. In 1930-31 there were net imports of treasure valued at f24 crores. Chart No. 5 prefixed to this Review shows the movement of treasure and also of gold and silver separately on private account during the last two years.

The visible balance of trade, as measured by statistics of private merchandise and treasure, was in favour of India to the extent of R90 crores in 1931-32 as compared with P 38 crores in the preceding year and R42 crores in the pre-war quinquennium. This large increase was due to the heavy exports of gold, for, as noted above, transactions in treasure, in contrast with the previous years, resulted in a net export of R 55 j crores. The balance of remittances of funds in the year under review was minus f34 crores as against plus $\mathrm{\#} 8$ lakhs in the preceding year. These figures do not, however, take into account (a) net payment in India of British postal orders and of foreign money orders of countries which settle their accounts through London and (b) Government transfers on account of Iraq and Mauritius, which together amounted

## Balance of Trade:

to $\mathrm{R1} 1,45$ lakhs in 1931-32 as against f 98 lakhs in 1930-31.: The following table shows the details :-
(In lakha of Rapeen)


- Breluden trausectiont which do not enter into the belanee of trade.

 ardving at the balane of trade.
(b) Includen FBS lakhs, bolng the funds auppligd by Government to fingnee whent prirchases.
(0) Figures for the yoars prior to $1981-22$ repreeent opiy Oouncil Bilit and T. T. paid In India. Noyn-The eign + mean notexpotiand the siga - net fmparto
The above table does not present a correct picture of the various business dealings which Indis has with all other countries of the world. Only those items, for which statistics are available, have been exhibited in this table. The others, namely, the 'invisible' transactions, such as shipping services, private remittances, movement of capital, insurances, tourists' expenditure, eto., are difficult even to estimate and are not, therefore, included in the table.


## Movements of Treasure.

## II.-Movements or Treasure.

The trade in gold and silver on private account during the last five years as compared with the average of the pre-war, war and post-war periods is set forth in the table below:-
(In Inthe of Rapeos)

|  | Gows |  | Sunim |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gold and } \\ & \text { Gilver. } \\ & \text { Not } \\ & \text { importo- } \\ & \text { Not } \\ & \text { exportot } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports | Exporto | Imports | Exporta |  |
| Average of pre-war period . | 32,79 | 8,92 | 10,88 | 3,67 | -38,08 |
| Average of war period . . . | 10,60 | 2,09. | 4,56 | 1,77 | $-10,40$ |
| Average of post-war period (1919. 20 to 1823-24). | 21,57 | 2,08 | 14,18 | 2,68 | -24,06 |
| Average of next five years (1924-25 to 1028-29). | 88,68 | 18 | 19.62 | 2,85 | $-50,97$ |
| 1827-28. | 18,14 | 4 | 18,44 | 2,59 | -81,08 |
| 1928-29 | 21,22 | 2 | 15,92 | 2,88 | -24,24 |
| 2029-80 | 14,28 | 1 | 18,86 | 1,47 | -26,11 |
| 1930.31 | 13,24 | 49 | 13,46 | 1,81 | $-24,40$ |
| 1031-32 | 2,80 | 60,78 | 4,42 | 1,83 | + 55,39 |

Imports of gold in 1931-32 were valued at X3 orores only as compared with El 13 crores in the preceding year and a pre-war average of P 33 crores.

Gold.
Of the total imports in 1931-32, gold bullion accounted for $\mathrm{ml}, 48$ lakhs or 53 per cent and soveraigne and other gold coins R1,32 lakhs or 47 per cent as compared with 46 and 54 per cent respectively in 1930-31. The supplies from the United Kingdom were valued at n 25 lakhs and those from Australia at m 40 lakhs, their respective contributions in the preceding year baing $\mathbf{\# 2}, 08$ lalkhs and $\mathrm{Al} 1,00$ lakhs. Imports from the Union of South Africa were very much reduoed, being valued at P 30 lakbs as against $\mathrm{P6} 6,63$ lakhs in $1930-31$ and $\mathrm{fl}, 18$ lakhs in 1929-30. The receipts from the neighboaring countries, such as Ceylon, Iraq, the Bahrein Islands, Aden and Arabia amounted to a total of R1,73 lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{Rl}, 50$ lakhs in 1930-31, while those from the Straits Settlements fell from $\mathbf{R 7 5}$ lakhs to fB lakhs.

For centuries past Indis has been regarded as one of the most important of consumers of the preoious matals and exports of gold from Indis were comparatively small. In 1931-32, however, exports mounted up to over 8 million fine ounces valued at approximately $\mathbf{8 6 1}$ orores, of which gold to the value of $\mathbf{7 5 9}$ crores was shipped during the period from 21st September to the end of the year. The oauses of this extraordinary efllux of gold have been fully disoussed in Chapter I of this Review. It may, however, be statod here that the depreciation of the pound, to whioh the rupee is linked, after the suspansion of the gold standard, had raised the sterling prioe of gold in London much above the price hitherto fixed by atatute and this offered a

## Movements of Treasure.

strong inducement to the sale of gold largely held in private stocks. The bulk of the gold amounting in value to $\mathbf{R 4 8}$ crores, went to the United Kingdom whence, after having been refined, it was mostly re-exported to France and, to a far less extent, to the Netherlands, Belgium, Switzerland and the United States of America. Direct exports from India to the United States of America, the Netherlands and France were valued at $\mathrm{Z7} 7 \frac{1}{8}$ crores, $\mathrm{fl} 3 \frac{1}{2}$ crores and $\mathrm{Fl} \frac{3}{4}$ crores respectively. As in the preceding year, there were no transactions of gold on Government account during the year under review. Owing to her geographical position and her highly organized bullion market, Bombay was naturally the principal port of shipment, although some quantities also went from other centres, such as Madras, Rangoon and Calcutta.

The price of ready gold in Bombay, which was quoted at 721-3-6 per tola in the begin ning of April, showed very little fluctuations up to the end of August, apart from a temporary rise to $\mathrm{P} 21-7-0$ in the last week of August. In the first half of September false rumours of an impending change in the rupeo ratio created a flutter in the market and prices rose to $\mathbf{7 2 1 - 8 - 3}$ on the 14th, but dropped again to $721-4-3$ on the 17 th September. With the abandonment of the gold standard by Great Britain on the 21st September there was an immediate appreciation in the price of gold which touched P31-2-0 on the 9th December, the highest quotation for the last ten years. In the following months an improvement in the London-New York cross rate led to a decline in the price of gold which was quoted at 727-12-0 per tola toward the end of the year.

Imports of silver on private account amounted to $\mathbf{R 4} 42$ lakhs in 1931-32 as compared with $\mathrm{Z13}, 46$ lakhs in the preceding year, while those recorded
. Silver. under Government account were smatl, being valued at 774,000 . More than half of the imports in 1931-32 came from the United Kingdom, the receipts from that country amounting to \#2,38 lakhs as compared with $\mathbf{\# 7}, 59$ lakhs in the preceding.year. Australia and the United States of America sent supplies to the value of 756 lakhs and R49 lakhs as against $\not 71,10$ lakhs and $\mathrm{R} 2,33$ lakhs respectively in 1930-31. There were also reduced importations from Ceylon, Iraq and China, amounting in value to F 30 lakhs, Fl 14 lakhs and $\mathrm{Fl2}$ lakhs respectively, while consignments from Mauritius advanced from $\mathbb{Z 1}$ lakh to $\mathbb{R 1 8}$ lakhs. The remainder came chiefly from Siam (R5 lakhs), the Bahrein Islands (R4 lakhs), Arabia and the Straits Settlements (R3 lakhs each).

Exports of silver on private account, chiefly to China, amounted to A1,83 lakhs, or P 2 lakhs more than in 1930-31 and those on Government account, destined mostly to the United Kingdom, were valued at R3,02 lakhs as compared with $\mathrm{Zl}, 40$ lakhs in the preceding year.

The silver market was comparatively quiet during the earlier months of the year and showed small variations, the lowest recorded price of the year of bar silver, $12 \frac{1}{8} d$. per ounce for cash delivery, being reached on the 29 th May. President Hoover's announcement of a year's moratorium for war debt payments caused a rise in price during the last week of June, bat on the suspension of the gold standard by Great Britain the sterling price advanced rapidly and touched the highest point, $21 \frac{9}{16} d$, on 10 th November, thus giving a range of $9 \frac{7}{16} d$. in the aterling prices of the year. The price, however, dropped by $3 \frac{9}{16} d$. to $18 d$. in the next week following heary unloading of silver held by American

## Movements of Treasure.

speculators, but firmed up again in the early part of December. During the remainder of the year the fluctuations in prices gradually narrowed down, and in March the price showed, with brief rallies, a tendenoy to decline, the closing quotation being $17 \frac{\mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~d}} d$.

Imports of currency notes on private account declined from flis lakhs to ¥f lakhs, while exports advanced from $\mathbb{Z 1 2}$ lakhs to Z 30 lakhs. Imports on Government account were valued at $\nexists 17,000$ as
Correnoy Notos. . against $\mathrm{Pl2}, 000$ in 1930-31, while there were no exports on Government account, as in the preceding year.

D. B. MEEK,<br>Diredor-General of Commeroial<br>Intelligence and Statistics.

## Caloutta;

The 11th August, 1932.

## PARI II-TABLES.

NOTE-(1) The figures given in these tables are Bubjeot to revision as sabsequent corrections are likely to be received from Custom Houses. The final figures will appear in the Annual Statement of the geanborne Trade of British India which will igsue towards the close of the year.
n (8) Thronghout thege tables "trewar averagen means the average of the five years 180910 to 1813 14, "war average" the average of the five years 1914-15 to 1918-19, and "post-war average". the average of the five years 1910-20 to 1823-24.

No. 1.-Value of total Foreign Sea-borne Trade.

-Avernep for two jeans, 1022-22 and 1023-24.

No. 2.- Valub of Merchandise and Trejabdre, and Gold geparately, during the last hivt years with quinguenntal averages for the past sixty-pive years


Morm, -Goverament tranasotions are Included In Teble No. 8.

No. 3.-Monthly sra-borne trade (privatre merolandise) Imports and Exports in 1931-32, as compared with the fregeding year 1930-31, and the pre-war, war and post-war avfrager, and exoegs of exports over imports dURING the same period
(In lakhe of Aupees)

| Konthe | Impoent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BxORSS Of Expomes over impory |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pro-mar mearage | Whr | Port-mar avarage | 1980-51 | 1981-38 | Provirar avarage | $\begin{gathered} \text { War } \\ \text { avorage } \end{gathered}$ | Pontwar average | 1080-81 | 1981-82 | Pro-war mporage | $\underset{\text { avorage }}{\text { War }}$ | Pont-wat average | 1080-81 | 1081-88 |
| Aprll . | 11,77 | 11,77 | 20,18 | 18,06 | 12,57 | 19,86 | 17,28 | 84,70 | 24,58 | 14,07 | 8,07 | 6,45 | 1 4,64 | 8,62 | 1,60 |
| May | 10,05 | 11,88 | 10,20 | 17,90 | 11,89 | 18,70 | 20,01 | 85,25 | . 21,84 | 18,50 | 7,76 | 8,68 | $\because 1806$ | 8,94 | 3,11 |
| June | 0,76 | 11,81 | 18,80 | 18,84 | 12,18 | 17,51 | 10,18 | 21,89 | 20,71 | 18,58 | 7,76 | 7,82 | 8,60 | 0,85 | 45 |
| Jaly | 10,88 | 12,45 | 19,00 | 18,07 | 10,72 | 17,77 | 17,88 | 28,86 | 20,06 | 18,56 | 6,80 | 4,48 | 4,17 | 7,23 | 1,06 |
| Augat | 12,05 | 18,08 | 21,21 | 12,74 | 0,07 | 16,90 | 17,60 | 28,10 | 17,54 | 18,26 | 8,86 | 4,58 | 1,05 | 4,00 | 13,60 |
| Baptember | 12,02 | 18,11 | 20,40 | 12,18 | 0.88 | 16,97 | 17,02 | 28,02 | 19,40 | 12,00 | 3,85 | 4,01 | 8,18 | 0,22 | 218 |
| October | 18,70 | -18,20 | 82,04 | 28,78 | 10,00 | 18,00 | 18,92 | 28,18 | 18,16 | 18,11 | 4,21 | B,72 | 8 | 8,86 | 8,11 |
| Movember | 18,74 | 18,88 | 28,40 | 12,01 | 8,08 | 17,71 | 18,41 | 25,21 | 17,28 | 14,18 | 3,97 | 4,88 | 1,78 | 6,87 | 8,20 |
| Droumber | 11,60 | 10,72 | 21,80 | 11,58 | 0,20 | 18,07 | 17,00 | 25,68 | 16,78 | 16,08 | 6,07 | 6,88 | - 4,17 | 8,17 | 8,67 |
| Janaury | 14,47 | 18,87 | 25,60 | 16,40 | 10,94 | 11,04 | 19,16 | 27,86 | 17,88 | 18,57 | 0,67 | 8,20 | 2,27 | 2,88 | 8,64 |
| Yabrany | 11,89 | 11,86 | 20,62 | 13,66 | 0,08 | 92,00 | 19,06 | 26,05 | 18,18 | 12,65 | 10,67 | 8,80 | 6,48 | 1,46 | 2,57 |
| Mareh - | 18,69 | 11,88 | 21,50 | 18,01 | 10,01 | 28,66 | 21,98 | 20,99 | 15,05 | 13,23 | 10,12 | 10,12 | 8,80 | 2,84 | 2,32 |
| Torat | 1,46,85 | 1,47,00 | 4,54,05 | 1,64,79 | 1,20,87 | 2,24,18 | 2,24,11 | 8,00,06 | 1885,4 | 1,00,65 | 78,97 | 78,81 | 46,01 | 00,42 | 36,18 |

No. 4.- Value of Imports, Privatr Menobandiee accordive to hive maln classes and bub-difibiona thereof
(In thonatide of Bmpeen)



No. b.--Valur of Exports, Prifate Merohandier, adoording to five main olasbes and Sub-divibions thrreor


|  <br> A. Apparel <br> B. Arme Ammunation and Mnitary 8tores: <br> D. Chomloale, Drugi and Modidioe and incrumoria (excluding olectricai Inafrumonto and epparatua) <br> I. Dyes and Colonis. <br> F. Eloerrical Goods and Appartitu (othor thinn Machinory) <br> a. Furolturo, Oabinotware and Manufacturee of Wood and Timber <br> I. Glay waro snd Earthenwaro. <br> I. Hidees and 8kins, canped or drosed ind Leather <br> J. Machloory of ail tinds including Belting for maschinery <br> x. Metale-iron and Bteol and mindufectures thereof <br> 2. Metilu, othor than Iron end 8toel and manufactures there of <br> Y. Papor, Pattoboard, and Btatlonaty B. Rallwy Plant and Rolling 8took <br> 0. Rubbor manufacturee <br>  <br> 9. Cotton yarns and trannutacturee <br> B. Bilk yarme and manafactures <br> T. Woilion yeras and man ufecturas <br> O. Othor jarranand taxtile tabrice |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Toras, Cuase III | 50,61,01 | 68,44,39 | 77,96,48 | 67,26,06 | 42,62,26 |
| 17,-HVIKC AMIMALE <br> A. Irater <br> B. Catel <br> 6. Dhent and Góats: <br> 21. Other Liviog antmis |  | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \\ \begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 8,50 \\ 1,50 \\ 1,02 \end{array} \end{array}$ | 1,19 18,69 12,16 2,88 | 14 $\mathbf{8 , 5 1}$ $\mathbf{2 0} 51$ $\mathbf{8 , 7 9}$ |  |
| Torst, Ound IV | 95,05 | 22,18 | 80,48 | 20,00 | 14,00 |
| V- Pestal aminche | 00,76 | 1,82,15 | 2,52,64 | 2,01,34 | 1,79,86 |
| GRAITD TOTAL | 2,19,40,78 | 2,15,00,70 | 2,80,83,59 | 8,20,49,80 | 1,50,80,86 |

No. 6.-Quantity and valde of the prinoipal artioles of Imports, arbanged in the order of thitr importanoe



No. 6-continued



[^8]No. 6-continued


(f) Denocestrosed from $A$ peli, 1088

No．6－continued

|  |  | damitix | －aversix | daumb） |  |  | alou（ix | modsamb | 2UP188） |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pro－wat avarage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Warar } \\ & \text { average } \end{aligned}$ | Poatmar | 1980．81 | 1931－82 | Pro－war | $\begin{gathered} \text { Warar } \\ \text { Wear } \end{gathered}$ | Post－war avarage | 1080．81 | 1081－82 |
| Appartole <br> Appare？ <br> Histe and ollver thread <br> Hista，cepe end bonnetis <br> Bocond－hand clothing <br> Othor kinds． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 三 | 二 | 二 | ニ | ＝ | 78，40 | 77，81 |  | ${ }^{40,57}$ | ${ }^{60,18}$ |
|  | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 12，46．780 | $\xrightarrow[\substack{16,05 \\ 7,12}]{ }$ |  | cis | ${ }^{117} 1$ |
|  |  |  |  | － |  | ${ }^{1,32}$ | 7，30 | （10，24 | ${ }_{\text {10，60 }}^{11,99}$ | ${ }_{\text {12，}}^{11,16}$ |
|  | － | － | － | － | － | 1，46，07 | 1，48，44 | 1，69，68 | 2，11，18 | 81，76 |
|  | 545 | 44 | 611 | 70 | 461 | 70，16 | 1，81，06 | 1，78，08 | 1，14，07 | 71，09 |
|  | 08 | 70 | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }^{11}$ | $\sim^{12}$ | 60,88 10,07 | ¢8， 818.68 | 48,07 68,05 | 15,10 76,72 | ${ }_{6}^{14,68}$ |
| Tozal | － | － | － | － |  | 70，80 | 1，08，29 | 1，00，12 | 80，82 | 00，60 |
|  | 2，828 | 1，170 | $\sim^{604}$ | 10,921 | 0,468 | ¢6，788 |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{1,10,50}$ | ${ }^{88,05}$ | ${ }^{64508}$ |
| Heberdabaciy and millinary： | 二 | ニ | 二 | － |  | 57，81 | 46，88 | li， $\begin{aligned} & 1,18,78 \\ & 1,00,52 \\ & 1\end{aligned}$ | 50,74 81,25 81 | －65，00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1，88，64 | ${ }^{1,02,80}{ }_{6}, 28$ | 1，${ }^{1,80,71}$ | －78，08 | （30．20 |
|  | ＝ | 二 | － | －${ }^{0}$ | 4 | 89，588 | 60，37 | 84，48 | －6，62 | －50，11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 年88，01 | 79，89 | 年， 88.64 | ${ }^{68,58}$ | 50，32 |
|  |  | $\sim^{28}$ | $\square^{28}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | $-{ }^{81}$ |  | ${ }_{8}^{86,85}$ | 588，04 | coien | 68， 688 |
|  | 二 | 二 | 二 | － | － | ${ }^{20,48{ }^{*}}$ | 24，96 | 41，95 | 58,81 | 47,80 |
| Tosand roquisilue for fames： |  |  |  |  |  | coior | － 40.307 | 年8，417 | －8，06 | ${ }^{88,36}$ |
|  |  | 7，412 | 7，068 | 0，648 | 6，009 | 22，20 | 47，985 |  | －6，68 | －18，57 |
|  |  |  |  | 164 | 48 | 71.81 | 18，18 | －80，88 | 42，07 | 818,09 86,09 |
|  | ${ }^{465}$ |  |  | 170 | 88 | （ ${ }^{28,82}$ | 80，65 | 27，28 2， 25,39 | 84，90 | 10， 10.18 |
|  | ${ }^{160}$ |  |  | 101 | 140 |  | ¢88，96 | － |  | 880,16 |
| Farniture and oablinotwiare Catiery <br> Tallow and itactina |  | 二 ${ }^{87}$ | $={ }^{168}$ |  |  | ¢ ${ }_{\text {28，}}^{888}$ | cient | 88，77 |  | \％2，95 |
|  |  |  |  | 108 | 12 | －10，788 |  |  | $\xrightarrow{26,08}$ | 20， 20.69 |



No．6－conoluded
Government Stores－contd．

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pro－war Average | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WVar } \\ & \text { avarage } \end{aligned}$ | Pootwas average | 1080－81 | 1031－8 8 | Pro－war avarage | $\underset{\text { avaraga }}{\text { War }}$ | Pont－war average | 1050．81 | 1081－88 |
| Meohlnery and millworz | － | － | － | － | － | 28，15 | 27，87 | 1，11，88 | 2，67，80 | 1，14，07 |
| Inmtrumenta，apparatus and sppliances ． | － | － | － | － | － | 18，16 | 87，75 | 68，06 | 84，40 | 81，05 |
| Armi，ammuntion and military stores． | － | － | － | － | － | 24，22 | 1，05， 88 | 64，80 | 6，76 | 28.08 |
|  | 25 81 8 | 19 78 8 | 28 88 88 | 18 |  | 86,10 10,40 10,60 | 40，50 <br> 8680 <br> 88,74 <br> 88 | 70,80 <br> 8867 <br> 86,88 <br> 8 | 27,17 9.01 0,11 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,48 \\ 8,88 \end{array}$ |
| TOALI | 28 | 26 | 26 | ． 18 | 8 | 62，10 | 1，41，86 | 1，86，40 | 49，89 | 81，85 |
| Tertilen－ <br> Cotton manufactare Flax <br> Wool mianuficterai | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 二 | 4,78 4,09 8,66 | $\begin{array}{r} 51,84 \\ 48,64 \\ 1,12,68 \end{array}$ | 98，98 11,91 24,67 | 8,80 7,88 6,58 | 8,60 1,45 0,95 |
| Toras | － |  | － | $\cdots$ | － | 15，48 | 2，07，01 | 60，41 | 19，84 | 12，00 |
|  | 二 二 二 $=11$ | 二 二 二 $=10$ | 二 二 $=$ $=141$ | 二 二 二 二 | 二 二 二 二 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10,54 \\ 7,08 \\ 10 \\ 5,10 \\ 8,28 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \end{array}$ <br> 1，08，01 |
| Totir vien of Govmbinmer brones： | － | － | － | － | － | 5，82，28 | 12，45，18 | 18，00，27 | 8，28；89 | 4，97，14 |

No. 7.-Quantity and value of the Prinotpal artioles of Exports, arbanaed in the order of therp-_Importanoz




No. 7-continuted


(a) Detalled Asaren to of avalls bie

No. 7-continued.



No. 7-concluded

(a) Incipdes aguren for "i Fibse for braupen apd broopas?
 Importanoz


No. 8-continued.


No. 9.-Value of trade in merobandibe only witi taz Britibe Empire and Formiga Counteirg



No. 10.-Percentage beare of the prinotpal countries in tet total Trade in mirchandige onty

|  | Prmowal atarat |  |  | Whar atricay |  |  | Post-wis avinaty |  |  | - 1080-81 |  |  | 1981-82 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comarim | Imports |  | Totas | Imports | Exports, <br> inalud- <br> exporta | TOTLI | Importa |  | TOTAL | Imports | Exports, ing Roaxporth | Tozar | Imports | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bxporta, } \\ & \text { indute } \\ & \text { ing Re } \\ & \text { exporta } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TOTLL |
| Britiah Rimpire- <br> Unlted Klagdom <br> Oayloa <br> Stralte 8ettiomenta <br> Australla <br> Hongkoug <br> Manridus and Dependenctea Taral (izoludino oxhin Bantis Potarssiona) | 62.8 | 25.1 | 40.0 | 56.5 | 81.1 | 41.9 | 67.6 | 24.2 | 80.5 | 7.2 | 288 |  | 86 | 28.2 | 81.6 |
|  | $\cdot 5$ | 3.7 | 2.4 | 1.1 | $4 \cdot 5$ | 8.0 | 7 | $4 \cdot 8$ | 2.5 | $\cdot 9$ | ' 8.0 | 8.8 | 1.1 | 5.0 | 9.3 |
|  | 2.2 | 8.4 | $2 \cdot 1$ | 8.0 | 2.7 | 288 | $1 \cdot 9$ | 2.7 | 2.8 | 8.4 | 28 | 2.0 | 8.8 | 80 | 87 |
|  | $\cdot 7$ | 1.4 | 111 | . 8 | 2.8 | 1-7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1-8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2-6 |
|  | $\cdot 7$ | 4.1 | 2.7 | $\cdot 9$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | 1.6 | - 7 | 20.4 | $1 \cdot 6$ | $\cdot 6$ | $\cdot 7$ | -6 | $\cdot 4$ | 1.2 | -8 |
|  | 1.8 | . 6 | 211 | $1 \cdot 1$ | $\cdot 6$ | 8 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 87 | $\because$ | - 5 | -8 | *. | $\cdot 6$ | -8 |
|  | $0 \cdot 7$ | 41.1 | 59.8 | 65.4 | 81.7 | 57.1 | 05.8 | 41.4 | 88.8 | 46.1 | 89.6 | 42.4 | 448 | 44.5 | . 417 |
| Foralgn CountrietJapan. | 2.5 | 7.5 | 5-5 | 10.4 | 12.9 | 10:0 | 6.9 | 18.8 | $10 \cdot 6$ | 8.8 | 10-6 | 0.0 .8 | 10.8 | 8.7 | 0.6 |
| Onited Statem of 4 meries | $8-1$ | 7.8 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 11.9 | $0 \cdot 9$ | $8 \cdot 5$ | 18.0 | 20.6 | 8 | $0 \cdot 4$ | 0.8 | 10.8 | 8.9 | 806 |
| Javk - - - . | $0-4$ | 1.8 | $8 \cdot 8$ | 7.8 | $1 \cdot 1$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | 6.8 | 1.0 | 8.7 | 6.8 | 2.2 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 1.1 | 24 |
| France . . | $2 \cdot 5$ | 0.6 | 4.6 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 8.8 | - | 4.8 | 8.0 | 1.8 | 8.0 | - 20 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 8.4 |
|  | 1.0 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 8.9 | $2 \cdot 8$ | 1.0 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 2.7 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 2.8 | 8.4 | 8.8 |
| and Macto) - | 1.1 | $8 \cdot 9$ | 8.8 | 1.8 | 20 | $1-7$ | 1.2 | 8.6 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 6.9 | 4.8 | $2 \cdot 2$ | 40 | 8.7 |
| Persiln . . . . | 4 | - 5 | - 5 | - | $1 \cdot 6$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | -7 | $1 \cdot 8$ | 1.0 | 1.6 | .7 | 2.1 | 22 | -8 | 1.4 |
| Truals - . . | -1 | $\bullet$ | 4 | 11 | 1.2 | -8 | .05 | .. | -08 | . 6 | -2 | 4 | - 8 | - | . 6 |
| Tratheriands | $\cdot 9$ | 2.6 | 1.8 | - 6 | 4 | 3 | $\bullet$ | 1.5 | $\triangle$ | 8.0 | 84 | 8.5 | 18 | 8.0 | 4.4 |
| Bedtum | 210 | 8-7 | 20 | 4 | $\cdot 5$ | $\bullet$ | 1.8 | 87 | $5 \cdot 9$ | 2.4 | $3 \cdot 6$ | 3.1 | 2.4 | 2 s | 85 |
| Oncrany | 0.4 | 0-8 | 8.5 | $\cdot 7$ | - | -8 | 2-8 | 4.9 | 4-0 | 9.5 | $0 \cdot 6$ | $6-8$ | 8.1 | 0.8 | 71 |
| 4 deinta (c) | 2.1 | 1.5 | 8.9 | 2 | 4 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 | ${ }^{5}$ |  |  | - | .. | - 8 |
| TOMAS (THOLUDMa ontis Formian cotimitid) | 80.4 | 80.0 | 477 | 84.6 | 48.8 | 499 | 84.8 | 668 | 477 | 689 | 60.5 | 878 | 563 | ${ }^{65} 5$ | 35. 3 |



No. 11.-Total Imports and Exports of Merobandige of oebtan prinotrai Countrits and Batibg India during the antendar yrars 1929, 1930 and 1931 with rebprotiva pre-war averages*
(In milillons of E atorling)

*Complled from the s. Acconnta ralatling to the Trade and Commerce of certaln Foreign Countries and Britisb Possenalons" publuthed quapterly by the Board of Trade, London.
 forelgn trade of the Irfinh Free 8tato has been excladed.
(e) Excluding trade with Budan.
()) Incladlag the vatue of delliveries on eccount of reparationa

Nots,-In the cases of Germany, the Nethorlands, the Bolgo-Luxemburg Economio Uulon, France, Bpitzorland, Italy, Ozechoslovakis, the Dominjon of Canads and Urited Eingdom the lmport fipurah given In the abovosnmmary reprosent imports for home consumption. In all caseat the oxport agares aro intondod to represent exports of dicmoptio
 whlch are crabeoguenthy ro-exportod.

No. 12.-Value of Trade with prinoipal Countries, dibtinguibenno Prinotial artioles.
(In thousande of Rupeen)


No. 12-continued
(la thousade of Rapeen

| Ammolea | Pre-mar average | 1020-80 | 1080-81 | 1981-82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IINITED EILSGDOM-contd. |  |  |  |  |
| Importa therotrom-could. |  |  |  |  |
| gugar . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 25,87 | 1,08,20 | 10,14 | 28,17 |
| Tellowr and atearine | 10,43 .1532 | 68,84 | 47,77 | 8,95 |
| Tea-cbeste | 51,88 | 2,26,40 | 1,20,98 | 81,56 |
| Tollet requialies | 10,85 | 27,00 | 19.37 | 18,18 |
| Toys and requisties for games: | - 16,75 | 13,30 | 12,90 | 10,68 |
| Unubreilas Woollen manafactares, inclading yarn | $1,24,71$ $\mathbf{1}, 85,24$ | 1,28,12 | $\begin{array}{r}6,78 \\ \text { 67,82 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}6,00 \\ 0.53 \\ \hline 0.0\end{array}$ |
| Woollen manufactares, including yarn Other articles | 1,50,90 | 5,04,60 | 4,18,85 | 8,04,88 |
| Toine | 91,58,01 | 1,08,10,80 | 61,28,65 | 44,81,44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Barley ${ }^{\text {Brasies and tibres }}$ : | 1,00,64 | 6,79 12,94 | 0,82 | 18,02 |
| Bristies and Abres .. | 12,74 | 44,12 | 52,19 | 27,05 |
| Coir and manutactures | -29,95 | 20,05 | 18,44 | 20,27 |
| Cotton, raw . | -1,72,39 | 4,81,41 | 8,00,07 | 1,58,95 |
| " muato and yarn | 2.81 | 15,18 | 18,07 | 16,36 |
| ") tulat and yarn | 11,18 | 41,36 | 18,87 | 10.75 |
|  | 5,47 | 4,54 | 6,47 | 18,37 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuteh and gambler . | 0,87 | 5,59 | 5, 57 | 8,18 |
| ${ }_{\text {Indigo }}{ }^{\text {Mrobalans }}$ | 28,46 | 88,60 | 88,40 | 87.76 80 |
| Fodder, Bran and Pollardis | 45,19 | 85,07 | 46,48 | 40,06 |
| Hemip, raw (chtefly gamm) | 88,47 | 11,06 | 4,72 | 8,66 |
| Hides and aking- |  |  |  |  |
| Dressed or tanned | 8,67,98 | 6,97,06 | B,76,96 | 4,85,03 |
| Horn, tpa and plaves of horn** | -5,78 | 3, 3,20 | 2, 1,29 |  |
| Jute, raw | 8,98,62 | 8,500,78 | 2,23,37 | 8,11,09 |
| " gunny baga | 65,57 | 1,14,58 | -69,35 | 70,83 |
| La'c. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 56,07 | 1,58,59 | 70,93 | 4,97 |
| Inad : | 17,05 | 1,54,80 | 1,68,67 | 1,00,68 |
| Manures - | 10,39 80,66 | 8,87 | 8,69 | 8,44 |
| Mangancse ore | 8, 78 | 80,48 | 1,06,97 | ${ }_{91} 18.81$ |
| MIca. | 20,80 | 12,59 | 84,68 | 21.65 |
| Olis. | 18,84 | 17,68 | - 9.47 | 28,96 |
| Ollcakea | 17888 | 1,10,28 | - 91,50 | 72,51 |
|  | 17,88 | 1,12,40 | 4,96 | 76,11 7,42 |
| Pulso- |  |  |  |  |
| Beant |  | 12,42 | 2,05 | 8,06 |
| Qram : | 80,81 |  | 9,02 | ${ }^{\circ 6} 8.46$ |
|  |  | 10,07 | 7,09 | 4.09 |
| Elee (not in the hank) | 1,58,99 | 80,11 | 61.51 | 87,72 |
| Rubbar, rat. | 23,28 | 88,29 | 69,31 9,23 | ${ }^{26,88}$ |
| galtpetr - | 6,85 | 8,08 | 9,23 | 1,60 |
| Castor | 78,39 | 49,61 | 38,28 | 88.64 |
| Cotton | 1,74,92 | 88,70 | \$1,88 | 6,20 |
| Grominat. |  | 1,24,37 | 71,59 | 1,14,71 |
| Linseed | 2,85,01 |  | 1,24,09 | 18,47 |
| Rape | 8,88 |  |  | 10,02 |
| Other | 7.56 | 10,00 | 1,99 | 1,25 |
| 8ilk, Fam | 6,98 | 19.00 | 1,69 | 89 |
| $8 p \mathrm{ces}$. | 10,68 | 20,02 | 10,88 | 6,64 |
| Sugar: | 0,51,97 | 22,19,84 | 10,04,58 | 10,09,84 |
| Toleco ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1,48 | 11,04 | 188,45 | 10,89,68 |
|  | 10,50,94 |  | 1,70,68 | 10,08 |
| Wood (mandy teak) | 2,61,11 | 1,01,26 | 2,2\%,85 | 2.40.84 |
| W00, raw misictures | 2,61,11 | 8, 88,74 | 2,27,85 | 2,40.84 |
| 'ochiorartlelen - | 1,18,02 | 69,08 | 96,05 | 87,05 |
| Toran | 55,04,09 | 68, 55,65 | 81,77,96 | 48,40,87 |

- Fifure prior to 19\%4-25 reprosent Hormmeal.

No, 12-continued
(In thonasids of Rupen)


No. 12-continued
(In thousande of Rupees)


No. 12-continued
(In thoumads of Ropees)


- Ifgrine price to $102 t-25$ roprucent Horamenl.

No. 12-continued
(In thousads of Rupeos)



(o) separitaly reoorded trom 1982-2t.

No. 12-aontinued
(In thousande of Rupen)

| Artiolnes | Pro-marage | $1020-80$ | 1090-81 | 1081-88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8PAIN-contd. |  | - |  |  |
| Exporta of Ladian and Poreign produce- |  | 188.08 |  | 42,16 |
| Hides and skins, ravi | 42,28 | 20,89 | 1515,68 | 8,90 |
| Oliseeds. $\quad: \quad \div$ | 10,11 | 24,66 | 24,11 | 8,61 |
| Jute, raw | -6,51 | $1,64,00$ 00,14 | 69,25 12,65 | 68,81 6,48 |
| Torss | 3,90,40 | 8,60,65. | 2,87,96 | 1,88,84 |
| AWEDRE |  |  |  |  |
| Imports therefrom- |  |  |  |  |
| Hardware and millora : | 2,67 | 18,66 | 18,36 | 12,80 |
| Iron and ateel : | ${ }^{\bullet} 0,68$ | 20,90 | 12, 0,77 | 2,01 |
| Matcher | , 28,08 | 9,78 | 8,85 | 86 |
| Paper and pastoboard : | - 8,90 | 88,36 | 29.04 | 28,80 |
| Paper-making matarina : | 4,21 | 18,80 | 15,98 | 10,29 8,52 |
| Other articlea | 2,98 | 15,85 | 18,90 | 8,82 |
| Tomas | 51,81 | 1,89,08 | 97,75 | 87,86 |
| Exporte of Indian and Forelgn prodino- |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton, raw - - | 7,67 | 8.50 | 2,81 | 1,18 |
| Rluer (natin tho huik) : | * 12,00 | 13,01 | 8,56 | 1,61 1,57 |
| Other artioles : |  |  |  | 'is,18 |
| Tomas | 88,68 | 45,24 | 87,24 | 21,84 |
| TURERY IS EUROPE. |  |  |  |  |
| Exporte of Indisn produoe- |  |  |  |  |
| Jote manufactura . <br> Wool manufactures. | 7,68 | 8,01 | 4,88 | 0.20 80 |
| Other articles : | 8,20 | 11,00 | 11,56 | 8,04 |
| Tozar | 18,84 | 30,02 | 16,50 | 14,50 |
| Export of Porelgn prodicoMacollenooma articlos | 10 | 1,00 | 1,25 | 2,10 |
| TENYA COLONY AND RANTIBAR AND |  |  |  |  |
| Imports therefrom- |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton, riw |  | 2,80,88 | 8,87,06 | 8,10.82 |
| IVlders, and alina, raven : |  | 1,66 |  | $1,72$ |
| Boda compounds : |  | 7,15 | 8,07 | 3,88 |
| Bplees (matnly cloves) | 88,06 | 68,17 6,06 | 35,15 $\mathbf{8 , 6 9}$ | 40,60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Toras | 25,07 | 3,48,81 | 8,86,07 | 8,08,18 |
| 3xports of Indian produceCotton manufactares Grain, pulas, and lourBlec (Dot in tho haylt) Other morta Inte Manufactures Other artlolesTovas |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10,01 | 26,80 | 20,96 | 16,78 |
|  | 82,72 | 35,55 |  |  |
|  | 8,98 | 80,70 | 17,56 | 11.82 |
|  | 18,47 | 87,38 80,72 | 20,36 20,76 | 12,12 15,48 |
|  | 6,08 | 1,51,10 | 1,00,0 1 | 76,70 |

No. 12-continued
(In thousands of Rupe


No. 12-continued
(In thousandes of Rupeen)


No. 12-continued
(In thourande of Rapees)


No. 12-continuod.
(In thousands of Eupere)


No. 12-conitinued.
(In thonsanda of Rupees)


No. 12-continued.


No. 12-continued.
(In thousan/s of Rupers)



No. 12-continued.
(In thortands of Rapeon)


No. 12-oontinued.
(In thoumads or Itupeon)


No. 12-continued.
(In thousands of Eupen


No．12－continued．
（In thoumande of llufwer）

| Arntokn | Probpar average | 1089－80 | 2080－81 | 1081－82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STRAITS SETTLEMMETS－contd． Exporta of Indian produco－eonted． |  | $\cdots$ | －－ |  |
|  | ${ }_{84,40}{ }^{26}$ | 72， 89 | 48，78 | 8287 |
| Oplum． | 1，48，78 |  |  |  |
| Provialons and ollman＇sitores： | 14，92 | －91，89 | 18，67 | 11，88 |
| Rabber，raw ．．．． | 84 | 22，88 | 11，9？ | 8，11 |
| Seeds $\quad$ ：$\quad:$ | 8，98 | 88.50 | 7.11 | 6，70 |
|  | 9，08 $\mathbf{9}, 08$ 18.12 | 87,64 16,37 | 21,78 10,84 | 48，16 |
| Other articlee＊：．： | － $\begin{array}{r}18,18 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1，97，16 | 1，11，20 | 1，06，09 |
| Toras | 7，64，08 | ：7，00，55 | 0，19，88 | 4，80，10 |
| Bxporta of Forolgz merohandiso－ |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton manufacturea ． | 4，00 | 1，07 |  |  |
| gplces，cloves ： | 1，01 | 06 | －0 | 1，21 |
| Other artiolea | 11，14 | 8，41 | 8，15 |  |
| Total | 18，25 | 11，02 | 10，44 | 0，00 |
| sumatra． |  |  |  |  |
| Importa therefrom－ Olla，mineral． | 11，78 | 8，078 | ${ }^{2}, 01$ | 14，86 |
| Torax | 11，88 | 8，12 | 2,07 | 18，21 |
| ， |  |  |  |  |
| Exporta of Indian and Fordm prodaco－ |  |  |  |  |
| Cosland coke <br> Rico（not In the haik） |  |  |  |  |
| Rice（netin the hoits） <br> Other articlee | 10,67 4,78 | 1， $1 \times, 31$ | 1，8， 8 ， | $\begin{gathered} 6,76 \\ 6,07 \end{gathered}$ |
| Tosas | 24，55 | 1，88，78 | 1，62，42 | 68，71 |
| frice（a） |  |  |  |  |
| Imports therefrom－ |  |  |  |  |
|  | － |  | ${ }^{75}$ | 60 |
| Drelng and tanningsabatanom | 二 |  | 86 40,74 | 60 0.80 |
| Grala，polve and dour ：$\quad$ ： | 二 | 31，71 | 48，00 | 0，19 |
| Hardwire ．－． |  | ${ }^{88}$ | 19 | 10 |
| Hides and alins，raw ： | 二 | 1，088 | 84 | 7 |
| Metalim－Bram－．－ | － | 17 | 80 | 8 |
| $\underset{\text { Procdisiona }}{ }$ | 二 | 00 | 18 | 12 |
| Peod，riw ：：： | 二 | 29 | 25 | 1，10 |
| Other mannfisctures ： | － | 18 8,68 | 6，17 | 4， 16 |
| Toral | － | 1，02，54 | 1，00，63 | 87，00 |

（e）Inciuded in Turtey in Ande frtor to 1021－28．

No. 12-continued.
(In thousande of Bupere)

(a) Included In Turikey in Asia pilor to 10:1-22.
(b) Ideludea Iraq prtor to 1021.22

No. 12-aoncluded,
(In thoumade of Rurome)

| Articlis | Prowar avarage | 1989-30 | 1980-81 | 1881-88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AUSTRALIA. |  |  |  |  |
| Importa therafrom- |  |  |  |  |
| Coal - | 7,80 | 30 | 8t | 80 |
| Hornes ${ }^{\text {Provisfona and ollman }}$ - | 80,00 | 10,57 | 10,28 | 28,55 |
| Provislons and ollman's mores | 2,90 | 8,04 | 8,76 | 18.19 |
| Tallow and stoartin ! ! | 86,74 | 29,02 | 20,81 | 10,20 |
| Wheat . - | . ${ }^{\text {,20, }}$ | 4,65,02 | 1,79,19 | 71,00 |
|  | 8,72 18,58 | 81,98 14,86 | 1208 | 18,48 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 1,01,16 | 8,58,77 | 8,41,77 | 1488,87 |
| Exporte of Indjan prodace- |  |  |  |  |
| Aldeand abdina, raw : : | 2,05,08 | (30,88 | 14,00 $8,37,60$ | 2, $\begin{array}{r}\text { 0,87 }\end{array}$ |
| Olla, | 2,03,96 | 488 | 8,37.60 | 2, 16 |
| Rleet (not in the huak) : | 20.98 | 6,90 | 1,89 | 8,82 |
| Toes : : | 8,11 0,91 | 82,50 80,50 | 28.28 28.90 | 18,97 12,97 |
| Other articles | 83,78 |  | 28,90 | 1504 |
| TOTAL | 8,18,86 | 5,78,01 | 4,47,46 | 2,05 01 |
| Exports of Foredgn merahandisoMincellaneounarticles | 58 | 1,68 | 1,16 | 1,68 |
| . NEW zratand. |  |  |  |  |
| Imporim therefromMiscolleneons articlea | 86 | 8,40 | 8,50 | 8,00 |
| Exports of Inding produco- |  |  |  |  |
| Juto manufacturen: : | 86,07 | 06,08 1,38 | 60,18 | 21.98 |
| Other articies | 10,08 | 12,83 | 12,04 | 0.96 |
| Tozas | 60,66 | 77,64 | 68,02 | 4,08 |
| Brporta of Porelga marobandive- Mincollindoove Aticlea | 7 | 12 | 18 | 20 |
| HAWAII. |  |  |  |  |
| Jute, gunny bagi . | 18,51 |  |  |  |
| Other articlea. | $\mathscr{H}$ | 1,87 | 8,14 | 61 |
| Totar | 18,85 | 41,88 | 37,15 | 20,40 |

No. 13.-Imports of cotton pirgegoodg.

(In thootands of yardy

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Oouknares \& Pre-war average \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { War } \\
\text { average }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& Post-war average \& 1980-81 \& 1931-82 <br>
\hline W[min. \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline From Unitod Kingrom \& 642,871
$\mathbf{7 , 6 0 6}$ \& 610,086
8,824 \& 868,444 \& 220,960
4,250 \& 207,046
8,549 <br>
\hline " 8witzerland : \& 2,606 \& 8,082

8 \& 1,736 \& 5,244 \& 6.924 <br>
\hline  \& \& - 8 8,828 \& 2,628 \& 28,185
8,856 \& 50,820
8,848 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline $\therefore$ total . \& 654,255 \& 618,890 \& 878,560 \& 871,642 \& 270,688 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline COIOURED, 5IO. \& \& ! \& \& \& <br>
\hline From Unted Kingdom \& 690,462 \& 850,826 \& 254,568 \& 117.626 \& 110,271 <br>
\hline " Netherlands : \& 15,218

4,082 \& 8,788 \& -6,907 \& 8.5412 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
8,076 \\
\hline 200
\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline 13 8witueriani \& 2,655 \& 1,784 \& 1,639 \& 686 \& 1.612 <br>
\hline $\cdots$ Italy geituermenta \& 10,820 \& : 0.111 \& 4.183 \& 8,750 \& 0,024 <br>
\hline * 8kraita Settlementa \& 1,458 \& [ $\begin{array}{r}\text { 868 } \\ \hline 18,095\end{array}$ \& -14,853 \& 812
74.270 \& 04.688 <br>
\hline \% Othar countrio \& 6,885 \& 1,509 \& -1,027 \& 4,711 \& 8,005 <br>
\hline Toxax \& 681,652 \& 886,277 \& 288,429 \& 245,712 \& 293;248 <br>
\hline  \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline From Unlted Kingdom . . . \& 2,568,705 \& 1,702,072 \& 1,109,041 \& 529.481 \& 888,408 <br>
\hline - Netherlanda \& 28,777 \& 12,766 \& 11,462 \& 18.817 \& 8.629 <br>
\hline $\cdots$ Brlgium \& E, ${ }_{\text {B,278 }}$ \& 2,651 \& 8871
$\mathbf{8 , 2 7 8}$ \& 470
0.176 \& <br>
\hline ") Italy \& 10,579
1, \& 8,177 \& 4,308 \& 9,915 \& 11,181 <br>
\hline "3 Aden and Dependencles \& 118 \& , 138 \& 1,168 \& , 10 \& $\bigcirc$ <br>
\hline \% Stralte Setulements. \& . 2,008 \& 908 \& 1,546 \& ${ }^{880}$ \& ${ }^{650}$ <br>
\hline * Chins - \& 8798 \& 899
97 \& 2,368
113,403 \& 2,096
820.716 \& 889788 <br>
\hline  \& 8.127
10,671 \& $\mathbf{9 7 , 6 3 7}$
$\mathbf{1 2 , 1 8}$ \& 113,403 \& 820.46
9.478 \& 19227 <br>
\hline \% Othar countriea \& 10,401 \& 1,620 \& 2,185 \& 8,088 \& 2.828 <br>
\hline TOTAR \& 2,681,674 \& 1,840,055 \& 1,851,878 \& 889.970 \& 775,014 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

No. 13A.-Production, mports, mill and other consumption, exports AND RE-EXPORTS OE RAW COTTON.
(In Inting of balen)


The hrures of mill conampelon from 1018-14 to $1015-16$ were caleulated from garn produced by mill and furniabed andar Cotton DatiesAct. From 1010-17, the Dgure farnished by Bombay Milfownerie Amocjaton tert traten.
tBapremeuta future connplied by the Indian Central Cotton Committee.

No. 14.-Produotion in the midian kllls of cotton pirobgoods.


No. 15-A.-Imports of cotton twigt and yarn prom prinoipal countries. (In thonmads of Ime.)


No. 15-B.-Imports of cotion twist and yarn by oounts.
(I. tboumande of BL )


No. 15-0.-Imports of ootton twigt and yarn inf 1929-30, 1930-81 and $1931-32$ by clasges from ter prinotal bupplying countries.
(In thoosaende of libs.)

16.-Production in the indian miths of cotton twibt and yarn BY COUNTS.
(In thoomands of Ibe.)


No. 17.-Tmports of raw silk, silix yarn and silx piecegoods,
(In thowends of Ropese)


No. 18.-Imports of woollen piroegooods.
(In thousands of Zopoes)


No. 19.-Impobts and deolared value of iron and gteel.
(Quantitien In handred eol towis)

(a) Elgares prior to 1025-26 exclude rails, chaira and ashplates for rallwaya.

> No. 20.-Imports of iron and steri.
(In tona)

(In lekhs of Ropwes)

| (in) Manafactured Iron and Bteel (axaluding pig-lrou and old for re-manufacturing)- <br> United Kingdom <br> - Contipent <br> Unlted Statice of America <br> Other countries | 7,58 8,91 89 89 80 | 8,50 $\mathbf{8 , 8 6}$ $\mathbf{8 8}$ | 18,18 1,14 8,48 11 | 8,67 4,69 80 7 | 8.88 $\mathbf{2 , 7 7}$ $\mathbf{1 4}$ $\mathbf{1 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tomal | 11,08 | 0,57 | 20,84 | 10,80 | 6,82 |

No. 21.-Imports of machinery and millwork.
(In thomande of Rupees)


No. 22.-Imports of motor oars, oycles, wagons and accessories.


No. 22.-Imports of hotor cars, ofcles, wagons and accessories-contd

\& Average for two yetrs, 1918-18 and 1918-14

No. 23.-Imports or hardware, excluding cotlery, etc.
(In thousands of Ropeed

(In thougind of Eupees)


No. 25.-Imports of sugar (exoluding molasses and confectionery).
(In hundrede of tonal

| Courras | Pro-war averaga | $\begin{gathered} \text { War } \\ \text { avarage } \end{gathered}$ | ( Post-war | 1980-81 | 1031-89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Xlaydom : : : $\quad$ : | $\therefore 7$ | . 4 | 11,8 | 18,8 | 28,0 |
| Stralus Sotilemente (licluaing Laibuan) | $\cdots 1,7$ | $\cdots$ | 11,8 | 28,8 |  |
| Hongkong ${ }^{\text {Ko }}$ - | 1,4 | ${ }^{5,7}$ | 6,5 | 4,2 | 8,6 |
| Kauritlus and Dopendencles | 128.8 | 86,7 | 88,9 | $\stackrel{7097}{ }$ | 830,8 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}153,0 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 807,9 | 882 | 809 | 1 |
| Other countries $\quad: \quad: \quad: \quad:$ | 44,6 | ${ }^{*} 81$ | 4, 8 | 64,8 | 122, ${ }^{8}$ |
| Totaris | 698,5 | 472, 8 | 449,5 | . 901,2 | 616,1 |

No. 26.-Imports of mineral oils.


- Avarage of three years from 1021-29 to 1098-24.

No. 27.-Imports of ofientr.


No. 28.-Exports of raw juts.
(In thoumende of bain)

| Oourinis | Provar | $\underset{\text { Wiarage }}{\text { Wis }}$ | Pont-mat - verage | 10:0-21 | 1031-82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ondted Kingdom ${ }^{\text {Other parte of the Britah implice }}$ | 1,691 | 2,208 | 968 | 404 | 85 |
| Total Brituh Rraplet | 1,698 | 1,803 | 967 | 41 | $0 \times 6$ |
| Onlted Statee of America . |  | 62a | 488 | 297 |  |
| Prance - . - | 488 | 198 | 857 | 800 | 200 |
| Mratll : : : | 818 | ${ }^{916}$ | 180 | 28 | 847 |
| Japan : : ! |  | 40 | 60 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | ${ }^{86}$ |
| Bolytam - : |  | ${ }_{17}^{1}$ | 174 | 888 | 867 |
|  | 1280 | 172 | 188 688 | 285 | 100 |
| Austris : $\quad \therefore \quad \div \quad \div \quad:$ | 250 | 18 | 2 | $\{\because$ | -4 |
| - thar countriea! | 86 | 4 | 02 | ${ }^{*} 808$ | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ 285 |
| Total Porelgm Dountries. | 2,586 | 1,290 | 8,145 | 2.850 | 2,400 |
| Torat | 4,281 | 2,500 | 8,102 | 8,470 | 3,245 |

No. 29.-PRoduction, mill consumption and exports of raw jute.
(In lakith of balm)

(o) Provisional

No. 30-A.-Exports of jutis gunay bags and oloth : Bage-Hibsian.
(In lakhe of buge)


## Bags-Sacking.

(In lakhs of bagy)


> Cloth-Hessian.
(In lakhe of yardo)


Cloth-Sacena.
(In latchs of yards)


NnFi,-Average of three war yearn, $1916-17$ to $1914-10$, is given, as the diatinction between aaciag and. homian genny bage and oloth was made in the gea Borno Trado Hetaras from 1at April, 1016.

No. 30-B,-Exporis of jute bags and gunit oloti.


No. 31.-Exports of raw cotton.
(In thoriasada of beled of 400 lbs )



No. 32-A,-Exports of cotton twist and yarn.
(In thousands of libe.)

|  | O00\%rana | - | Pre-xat average | $\begin{gathered} \text { War } \\ \text { average } \end{gathered}$ | Post-war average | 1080-81 | 1081-82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chins | . . | - - | 169,958 | 110,284 | 62,751 | 880 | 170 |
| Egypt | - * * | - - | 2,183 | 6,458 | 4,720 | 8.981 | 8,409 |
| Straits 8otilemon | ! - . | - | 4,411 | 4,111 | 2,727 | 510 | 742 |
| Persia | - . | - - | 2,030 | 2,677 | 2,725 | 4.464 | 6,282 |
| Slam . | - . | - - | 805 | 628 | 1,183 | 1,577 | 1,054 |
| United Kingdom | * - | - . | 083 | 1,246 | 474 | 210 | 151 |
| Arabia other tha | Taghat |  | 144 | 1,961 | 771 | 1202 | 207 |
| Other countalat | - . | - | 18,185 | 8,825 | 7,616 | 11,084 | 10,780 |
| : | TOR25 (Ax) 0 | 14*RE8) | 192,844 | 189,085 | 82,168 | 88,478 | 28,048 |

No. 32-B.-Exports or cotton twist and yarn by counts.
(In thousanda of Ibn.)

| - Anctofes | Pre-way sverage for two Fents 1012-18 and 1918-14 | War averge | Poat-war average | $1080-81$ | 1981-32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 108,450 \\ 4,884 \\ \ldots .2 \\ 125 \end{array}$ | 124,697 4,274 170 644 | 70,819 2,895 170 8,789 2,500 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,475 \\ 808 \\ 8,180 \\ 800 \\ 80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,718 \\ 818 \\ 18 \\ 4,698 \\ 877 \end{array}$ |
| TOLAT | 800,070 | 129,085 | 82,160 | 28,478 | 88,048 |

No. 33.-EXPORTS OF INDIAN GREY AND COLOURED COTTON PIEGEGOODS.
(a) $G_{\text {rex }}$ (onbleached).
(In thousands of yarde)

| Cot mintitit | Pre-war | War | Poot-war tvarage | 2080-61 | 2093-18. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Persl <br> Ireq. <br> Irequits sottiomeit <br> Aden and Dopondencles <br> Fenya Colony and Zanaritar and Pembe Ceylon <br> Portaruese keot Atrice <br> Other countries <br> Tomat (Ant Coderesta) | 4,009 | 0,826 | 8,117 | 148 | 262 |
|  | 9,688 | 9,537 | 8,518 | 181 | -125 |
|  | 7,686 | 21,828 | 6,235 | 1.709 | 980 |
|  | 4,158 | 8,026 | 7,202 | 2,000 | 1,467 |
|  | 175 | 5538 | 301 | 79 | 117 |
|  | 4,914 | 5,286 | 4,457 | 2,203 | 1,903 |
|  | 16,172 | 17,052 | 11,687 | 8,773 | 8,737 |
|  | 47,414 | 74,576 | 42,515 | 0,700 | 8,588. |

(b) Coloured, printed or dyed.

| Pents <br> Iraq ${ }^{\circ}$ <br> Btralta Bettlerpentis <br> Aden and Dependencies <br> Kenyt Colony and Zanalbar and Fombe Oyylon <br> Portugraese Enat atrici <br> Othar countried |  | $\begin{array}{r}21,481 \\ 12,188 \\ 16,319 \\ 8,147 \\ 2,503 \\ 9,618 \\ 618 \\ 14,568 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Ax, Oovminats) | 42,884 | 80,377 | 121,248 | 87,520 | 05,784 |
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No. 34.-Exports of Indian tea
(In thomsaods of Iben)


(b) Itgune prioc to 10rit-en fneloid Ireq.

No. 35-A.-Exporgs op bexps
Lungred
(Ti hundrode of tona)


Rape


No. 35-A.-Exports of seeds-contd.

## Sesamum (Tir or Jinjuit)

(In hundrede of tons)

(a) Figures prior to 1981-22 represent Austra-Hungary.

## Groundnut



Coiton


## Castor



No. 35-B.-Shares of princtipal countries in the total value OF EXPORTG OF SEEDS

(a) Flfurew prifor to 19y1-29 represent Austria-Hungary.

No. 36,-Exports of Rioe not in the husk


No. 37.-Exports of Whent
(Ti. thomande of toms)


> Noz 38.-EXPORTS of LAO
(In ewta)


No. 39.-Exports of Indico
(In entan)

(c) Figuras petor to 1921-28 inclade Iraq,
(In thoasanda of mapeof)



No. 41.-Exports of Hides and Skins
(In thousandu of Rapera)


No. 41.-Exports of Hides and Skine-contd.

| Codrretrs : | Pre-way avorage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { War } \\ & \text { average } \end{aligned}$ | Poot-war average. | 1080-81 | 1081-48. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\qquad$ <br> United Kingdom <br> Other dountries | $\begin{array}{r} 1,52,48 \\ 4,19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,87,88 \\ 1,86 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,90,50 \\ 28,80 \end{array}$ | $281,47$ | 2,08,24 |
| Total Brttish Bmpire . n. Forelga Copantries | $1,68,44$ $.8,23$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 4,88,98 } \\ \hline 81\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,02,82 \\ 16,64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,66,46 \\ 5,00 \end{array}$ | $2,10,12$ $\mathbf{2 , 5 6}$ |
| Catd total | 1,56,67 | 4,30,74 | 8,10,86 | 2,59,76 | 2,12,06 |
| © Dressed and tanned aldar- . i . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Kingdom <br> United 8tates of America Japan <br> Other countries | $\mathbf{2 , 1 5 , 4 5}$ 29,19 12,79 18,85 | $\begin{array}{r} 7,98,57 \\ \quad \begin{array}{r} 88,62 \\ 1,82 \\ 5,94 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $2,18,88$ 24,74 36,21 17,81 | $8,28,79$ 29,18 0,78 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,78 ; 78 \\ 8,61 \\ 25,69 \\ 7,80 \end{array}$ |
| Total British Emplise <br> $n$ Forelga Cmantrios | $2,21,15$ $\mathbf{4 9 , 6 8}$ | $2,02,35$ 72,60 | 2,25,82 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { - 8,27,80 } \\ \hline 80,79\end{array}$ | 2,80,21 |
| Ggaxd Torar | 2,70,78 | 2,74,05 | 2,02,59 | 8,67,59 | 8,18,69 |
| No. 42.-Exports of Manganeree ore |  |  |  | (In thous: | ds of tons) |
| Codmtriza | Preiwar average | $\underset{\text { Werage }}{\text { War }}$ | Post-was averige | 1080-81 | 1081-88 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  | 115 | , 2 |
|  | 19 |  | 15 | 11 | $\therefore \quad 2$ |
|  | 150 97 | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 47 \end{gathered}$ | - 104 | 78 188 | 88 808 |
|  | 8 | 12 | 12 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T07as | 607 | 47 | 48 | 486 | 212 |

No. 43.-Perozntage of exports of oertan privoipal orops to total PRODUCTION

(a) Bubject to raviation.

234

No. 44.-Imports and Exports oy Gold, Sluver and Ourrenoy Notes
(In thousands of rapees)


(In thomands of Eapeen)



No. 44.-Imports and Espohis of Liolw, Silver and Currenoy Notes-concld.
(In thoumend of Rupees)



No. 45.-Net imports of Gold, Sllver and Currmex Notes in eadi monti or the fear 1981-32.


No. 46.-Absorption of Gold (boti cons and bulifion) mindia



(a) Iigares ave foc calendar jear mining sint Decomber.


No. 47.-Bafanoe of Trade of India*
(In Lathe of Rupeea)

 Norg.-In these balancea plus ( + ) ajgnines net axport and mints ( - netimport.
(a) Exciudes trangsctions, much as gold imported or exported on behali of the bank of Engisnd, whtch do not enter Into India's Balance of Trado.

 also oxcluded in 1918-10. Tho value of "allvermotber eoln" axported to Coylon on ccount of the Ceylon Government (zis lakhs) and that to the straits value of 'sifvar-othor coln' fimported from Ceylon on sceount of the Coylon Government (is lakhs), ( 2 ) the vaine of sifver-other coln' exported to Cevion

 of 'silver-othercoln' from Coylon on acconnt of the Ceylon Government (at lakhs), (2) export of bilver-other coln to Ceylonom ecconnt of the Ceylen Govarnment (ins lakhs), and (8) export of 8traltadolars to the Stralts Settlements onaccount of the 8traits Government (mi lakh). The value of gtralta doljafe
 way and not therefore taken into acconat in arriving at the Balance of Trade.
(d) Inciades R85 lakhs bolog the funds guppled by Government to finance wheat purchnees.
(d) IIgures for tho Feart prior to 1921-28 represent only Ceonell Buls and tolegraphio tranater pald in India.

No. 48.-Deonarmd value pier unit of Principal Imported armolis


No. 48.-Drolared valoe per unit of Privicipai Imported articlescontinued.


No. 49.-Drolared value per unit of Prinotpal Exported articles


No. 49.-Dechared valoe per untt of Prinotpac Expoitied abticusgcontinued.


No. 50.-Wholesalter Prices in India.



No. 50.-Wholesale Prices in Indin-continuel.


No. 51.-Prices of cotton, raf and Index Nuibbers of cotton, ram and oloth in Liverpool
(Compiled from F.'W. Tattersall's Cotton Trade Review)


No. 52.-Statistics of Maritime preigits from Caloutta, Bombay, Karaofi, Madras and Rangoon io London Marsemines, Antwerp, Hamburg and Japaik,


Xori.-The rates quoted Arp those for the Grat weok of each month.
(G) Bute for IVverpeol

No. 63.-Indian Bea and Land Cuezome Revenom (exozudita Samr Revenum)
(In thporeande of Ruject)


No. 53.-Indian Sea and Land Customs Revenue (exuldding Salt Revende) 个contd.
(In thonsanas of Rupecas)


t All asticles oxcopt machisery and dyoe apd colours nuder this hoad are llabla to an additional duty of at per cont. ad walorem from 1st March, 1081.
\$ All articles under this head except raw herap comprised la the itom "raw matorlals and artiales majuly onmanufactured, oto., "are liablo to an addulional duty of 5 por conth ad malorem from 1ist Mareh, 1031.
 artioles " $"$ ) are limble to an addilionil duty of 10 per conts ad ralorens from lot yapoh, 1081.

(b) Figuree prlor to April, 1028 Inolude white Portland cementis
(c) Dutiable from 80th 8eptembar, 1981.
(d) Figuren for provious yoans ars Included in tho head "Artiolen of food and drink, ote." andor daty at 15 por conth
(0) Inelude daty on attiticil alle plece-gooda froza Ootober, 1981.
(n) Lieble to protective doties with offect from lat Ootober, 1081.
(g) Lisblo to a protective duty of 60 per conth ad paloresis undar the Gold Thread Induatry (Protootion) Aot, 1981.
(h) Tranaferred to "protective appoctal datien " with afteot from th Apetl, 1080.
(i) Tranaferred to " proteotive apectill datien " with effect from 25th soptember, 1028.

Norn-Pigures for 1091-38 are not namily alfulted by Aoconnts Department.

No. 54 .-Customs Tabifr*-Rates of duty in forde in British India IMPORT TARIFF

## Part I.-Articles which are free of duty.



- An in operation on Bth April i9se.
N.B.-A aurcharge of es por cent, hats been imposed on all Castoms import duties excoeding it per cont. ad eolorem in addition to tho already exlsting surchiorges except on the duties on raw cotton ( 61 ) dyen ( $58 A$ ) and machlacty ( $604,59 \mathrm{~B}, 500 \mathrm{and}$ 59D). Iron or iteel wiro and wire nalls zubjeot to proteotive duty and broed
 the aurcharge of 25 per cent. Exemptiona from Castoms import and axport dutles made in oxercise of the por the actual rates of dun 23 of the Son Costoma Act VIII of 1878, have not been included in this schodule. For the actual rates of duty in force, eide Indian Customs Tarifir (ifh lanne) as amended by the Amendment alip, dated the 19th Aprid 1032.

Pabt I.-Articles which are free of duty-contd.


- Onder Government of Indis, Fiannee Departmeat (Central Fevenuen), Fotification Nos. 87 and 41, dated

 and latez cupe, are erempt from payment of import duty.


## Part II.-Articles which are liable to non-protective duty at special rates.

| Wo. | Names of Articles | UnIt or method of atscmment | Inato of duty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | L_-Peed, Drink and Tobace- <br> FIBI <br> Finh, Salted, wet or dry . | Indian matrad of 82f lba. avolrdupala | Such rate or raten of daty not uresoding and rupee an the Governor-Generalin Council mays by notilention in che Ourvit of Indis, frem time to thase. pre. <br>  |



## No. 54 -continued <br> IMPORT TARIFF-conti. <br> - <br> Part II.-Articles which are liable to non-protective duty at special ratescontd.



No. 54-continued
IMPORT TARIFF-contd.
$P_{\text {ART }}$ II.-Articles which are liable to non-protective duty at special ratescontd.


[^9]No. 54-continued:
IMPORT TARIFF-cantd
Part II.-Articlea which are liable to non-proteotive duty at apecial nater-i contan


## IMPORT TARIFF-contd.

Part II.-Articles which are liable to non-protective duty at special ratesconcld.


Part III.-Articles which are liable to duty at 21 per cent ad valorem.


# Parr IV.-Articles which are liable to duty at 10 per cent* ad valorem 

| Fo. | Names of Articlea |
| :---: | :---: |
| 68 | II-Rav materials and produce and artlele malaly mimanufactured- |
|  | MRTALLIU ORES AND SCRAP IRON OR GTEEL, FOR RE-MANUPACTURE |
|  | Iron or steal, old. |
|  | IIIS-Ardicles wholly or matnily manimetured- |
|  | DYRS AND COLOURS. |
| 884 | Dyee derived trom Coal tar, and Coal tar deriva tives uned im any dyoing procest. |
|  | COTLERY, HARDWARE, DMPLEMBNTS AND INSTRUMENTS |

Telegraphio instrumente and apparatus, and parte thereof Imported by, or under the orders of, a rallway administration.

## MAOBINERY

Machinery, namely, auch of the following artlcles as are not otherwise apecined :-
(1) prime-movers, boilers, locomotive englnea and tender for the same, portable engines (including power-driven road rolert, fre englnes and tractors), and other machinea in which the prime-mover is not separsble from the operative parta;
(2) machinea and sets of machines to be worked by electric, steam, water, fire or other power, not belne manual or aniona! labour, or which before beling brought Into uso require to be fixed with reforance to other moving parts ;
(8) apparatus and appliances, not to be operated by manual or andmal labour, which are deajgned for uase in an Indurtrinal aytem as parta indigpentable for Ita operalion and have been given for that purpone zome apecial shape or guality which would not bo extenthl for their use for any other purpose:
(d) control gear, self-acting or otherwhe, and tranmisalon-gear dealgned for use with any machinery sbove apocined, including belting of ell materiale (other tban cotton, halr and canvas ply) and driving chalni, but exaludling drlving ropes not made of cotton:
(B) bare hard-drawn oloctrolytle copper wires and cables and othor electrical wires and cables, insulated or not ; and poles, troughs, condults and fnuulatora denlgred as parts of a transmiasion eyatem, and the fittinge thereof.

Noth-The term 'Industrialayatem' uted in subclanae (3) meass an Installation dcaigned to be employed directly in the performance of any process or acriea of procomea necemary for the manofacture, production or extraction of any commodity.
$\square$

## MACHINERY - conld.

The following textlle machinery and apparatur by whatever power operated, namely, healde, beald cords and heald knitting needlea; reeda and shatties; warp and weft preparation maschinery and looms ; bobbins and pirna; dobbles; Jacquard machlnea; Jacquard harneas llnen carda; Jacquard cards; punchlng plates for Jacquard carde; warping mills; multiples box sleys; aolid border alegs; tape sleyn; swival sleyt ; tapo looms; wool carding machines; wool spinning machlnea; hoolery machinery; colr mat shearing machines; colr flbre willowing nuachlnes; beald knlttlog machlnes; dobby cards; latticesand lags for dobbies; wooden winders; silk looma; sllk throwing and reeling machines; cotton yarn reeling machlnes; slalng machines; doubling machines; silk' twisting machines; winding machines; plano card cutting machines; harness bulliding frames; card laciog framen; drawing and denting hooks; sowing thread balle zakiog machines; cumblífnlabing machinery; hand bollers; cotton carding and spinuing machltis ; mall oycs, llogoes, comber boards and coniter board frames; take-up motions; temples and pleckers; picking bands ; plokloz stleka; printiog nachlnes; roller cloth; clearer cloth; slijng tiunnel; and roller akins.

Printing and Lithographle Material, namely. presacs, lithographle platen. composing sticks, chases, Imposing tables, Hthographlo stones, tereo-blocks, wood blocks, halftione blocks, alectrotype blocks, process blocka and highly pollished copper or ilnc abeeta spaciaily propared for making procesa blocks, roller moulds, roller frames and stocks, rolier compooltion, Hithographio nap rollort, standing crew and hot presses, perforatiog machines, gold blocking preases, galley preasca, proof pressee, arming preases, copper plate printing preasca, rolling prestea, ruling machines, ruling pen making machines, lead cuttero, rule cuttero. alug cutters, type casting machines, type set: Ung and casting machines. paper in ruies with sdo parforation to bo used after further perforation for type-casting, rule bending machlinef, rulo mitreing machlnes, brunzing machinet, stareotyplng apparatus, paper folding machines, paging machines, and clarifled Hquid glue but excluding ink and paper.
Component Parts of Machlnery, as dellned in NO. 50A, B9B and 500, namely, such parta only as aro essential for the working of the machloe or apparatua and have been given for that purpose some special shape or quality which would not be essentual for their use Ins any other purpose:
Provided that articles which do not eatiafy this condition ahall also be deemed to be component parts of the mechine to which they belong if they are essential to ito operation and are Imported with it in anch quantilies as may appear to the Collector of Customs to be raceonable.

METALS-IRON AND BTREL Iron alloys.
anglo, channel and toe not otherwisospecified (tece No. 143).
$s$ bar and rod not otherwise apecified (ace - pig.


- All artelen ercepidyes and cojours comprised In Item Na. 68A and Machinery comprised in Itoms Noa. 89A,


Part IV.-Articles which are liable to duty at 10 per cent* ad valorem-contd.


- All articlea onder this head aro liable to an additional daty of 2t por cent ad valorem from 1st March, 1021.


## Part IV.-Articles which are liable to duty at 10 per cent* ad valorem

| No. | Names of Articles |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | H-Raw materials and produce and artlelea malaly unmanufactared- |
|  | HRTALLIG OREB AND SCRAP IRON OR BTEEL, FOR RE-MANUPACTURE |

Dyee derived from Conl tar, and Conl tar deriveo tives used in any dyalng procest.

OUTLBRY, HARDWARE, MMPLEMENTS AKD INSTRUMENTS
Telegraphio instruments and apparatus, and parta thereof imported by, or under the orders of, a rillwny edministration.

## - MAOHINERX

Machinery, namely, wuch of the following artleces at are not otherwise specifed :-
(1) primo-movers, boilera, locomotsve engiaen and tenderr for the same, portable engines (loclading power-driven road rollers, fire englines and tractors), and other machinea in which the prime-mover to not neparable from the operative partr ;
(2) machlnes sind nets of machines to be worked by electric, ateam, water, fire or other power, not belng manual or antual labour, or which before beling brought into use require to be fixed with reference to other moving parta;
(s) apparatus and appliances, not to bo operated by manual or antmal labour, Which aro deaigned for use in an induttrial zyitem as parta Indispensable for its operation and have been given for chat purpose zome apecial shape of guallty which would not be emential for ahoir use for any other purpose:
(c) control gear, aelf-acting or otherwise, and tranambalon-gear dealgned for ute with any machinery above specified, Including beiting of all materisis (other than cotton, hair and canvas ply) and driving chalins but oxcludlog driviog ropes not made of cotton;
(5) bare hard-drawn electrolytic copper wires and cables and other electrical ríres and cables, fusulated or not ; and poles, troughs, condults and Snaulators dealgred as pasts of a tranumiasion syatem, and the attinge thereof.
Note.-The term 'Induntrialsyaten' ' uned tn subclanso (a) means an lintalistion dealgned to bo amployed directly in tho performance of any procent or acrite of procemes necensary for the menafactare, production of axtraction of any commodily.
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { IV. } \\ 29 B\end{array}\right.$ The following textile machinery and apparata: by whatever power operated, namely, healde, heald cords and heald knittligg needies; reeds and shattles ; warp and weft preparation machlnery and looms ; bobblns and plris; dobbjes; Jacquard machines; Jacquard har: neas unen cards; Jacquard cards; punching plates for Jacquand cards; warping mills: multipies box sleys ; solld border aleys; tape sleys; swivel sleys; tapo looms; wool carding machines; wool spinning machines; hoolery machlnery; colr mat shearing machlnes; colr fibre willowing mactines; beald knitting machines; dobby cards; linttices and lags for dobbles; wooden winders; sill looms; silk throwing and reeling machines; cotton yarn reellng machines; elaing machlnes; doubling machines; sill twisting machines; $\infty$ (one winding machines; piano card cutting mar chines; harness bullding frames; card lacing frames drawing and denting hooks ; sewing thread balle jaklog machlnea; cumbifinlabing machlnery; hark bollers; cotton carding and apinning mechisis; mall eyce, Hingoen, comber boarda and comiter board framea; tako-up motlons ; temples and pickers; picking bands; ploklnz sticks; priating machines; roller cloth; dearer cloth; ilxing Hannel; and roller aking.

Printing and Llthographlo Material, Damely, presses, lithographic plates. compoolng aticks, chases, imposing tables, Lithographic stopes, stereo-blocks, wood blocks, half-tone blocks, electrotype blocks, procest blocki and highly palizhed copper or and sheete specially propared for maklog process blocks, roller moulda, roller framea and atocks, roller compoaition, lithographic inp rollerry, atanding tarew and hot presses, perforating machines, gold blocking presses, gailey presest, prood pressez, arming presees, copper plate printing presses, rolling presich, ruling machines, ruling pen maklogmachinea, lead cutters, zule cutteris, olug cuttory, type casting machines, type set:ting and canting machincs, papor in ruleat with addo perforation to bo used after further perforstion fot type-casting, rule bending machines, rule mitureing machlnes, brozzing machines, stereotypling apparatus, paper foldfng machines, paging machlnes, and claylfied Hiquid glue bot exciuding link and paper.

Component Parta of Machinery, al defined In Non. 59A, 50B and 500, namely, such parts only as are cesential for the working of the machline or apparatus and have been given for that parpose some apecial shape or quallty which would not be essentinl for their une iny any other purpose:
Provided that articles Which do not aatisfy tbis condition shall also be deemed to be component parta of the machine to which they belong II they aro essential to its operation and are Imported with st in such quantities as may appear to the Collector of Customs to be reasonable.


METALS-IRON $\triangle N D$ 8TEEL
60
angle, channel and tee not othervisespecitied (ses No. 148).

- bar and rod not otherwiae apecilied (ace plg.
is rico bowle.
 SOB, 60C and 60D under this head are dable to an additional duty of 2t per ceant eif polorein trom 2at March, 1931


## IMPORT TARIFF-contd.

Part IV.-Articles which are liable to duty at 10 per cent* ad valorem-oontd.



## No. 54-continued.

## IMPORT TARIFF-contd.

Part V.-Articles which are lisble to duty at 15 per cent* ad valorem.


- All articlos nuder thty hond exoopt raw hnmp oo mprised in the itam No. 78 are liable to an additional daty of 5 per coat ed vatorem from Lut Maroh, 1091.


Part K.-Articles whioh are liable to duty at 15 fer cent* ad valorem_ contd.

| Ho. | Fames of Artlelea | No. | Names of Articies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 88 | CERMICALS, DRUGS AND MRDICLNES <br> Chemicals, drage and medicines, all sorta, not otherwlee spealfied. <br> COTLERY, HARDWARE, IMPLEMENTS AND LNSTRUMENTS | 05 | HIDES AND GKINS AND LEATHER <br> Hides and Sklns not otherwise specified, leather and leather madulacturce, all sorts, not ot hernler specified. <br> MACBLNEEY |
| 80 00 004 | Catiery, excloding plated catiery (ace No. 129). <br> Hardware, Ironmongery and tools, allsorts, not otherwise apocilled. <br> Electrical Control Gear and Transmisaion Gear, Damely, switches, fuses, and currert-breaking devices of all borta and descriptions; designed for use in clrcuits of leas than ten amperes and at a pressure not exceeding 250 volts, and regulators for use with motori designed to consome less than 187 watta; | 96 | Machlnery and component parts thereot, meaping machincs or parta of machlnes to be worked by manual or anlmal labour, not otherwise specitied and any machines (except sucb as aro desigued to be ued exclasively In Industrial processes) whleh requiro for their operation leas than ons quarter of one brake-fione power. <br> MBTALS-IRON AND STBEL |
| $\because$ | bare or insulated copper wires and cables, any one core of which not lelag one specially dockned as pllot core, has a sectlonal area of lesa than one-elghtleth part of as aquale of not more than equivalent conductivity; and line ingalatory, Including alao cleate, connectors, leading In tubes and tho like, used fn connection with the tranamistion of power for other than Industrial purposea and the fittings thereof. | 97 08 | All sorta of iron and steel and manafacturen thereot, not otherwire specilied. <br> MRTALS OTHER THAN IRON AND STEEL <br> All sorta of metals other than Iron and steel, and manufactures thercof, not otherwise spectied. <br> PAPRR, PASTRBOARD AND STATION- |
| 00B | Domartio Retrigaratorn. |  | ERY |
| 01 <br> -8 <br> 88 | All other sorts of Implementa, instruments, apparatus and appliances (including plated sargical instrumenta) and parts thereof, not otherwise spedifed. <br> DYRS AND COLOURS <br> Dyeling and Tanning Substances, all sorta, not otherwiso apecified, and palnts and colours and palater's materiale, all sorts. <br> FURNITURE, CABINBTWARE AND MANUFACTURES OB WOOD | -94 | Paper and articles mado of paper and papler macbé, pastoboard, milliboard, and eard board, all sorts, and atationery, includling drawing and copy books, labels, advertising aircusistman, Raster, and other cards, Jacludlug carde in booklet form, including nino Fasteparer and old nowspapors for packing, but exclud- ing trado catelogues and advertinlop clrculars lmported by packet, book, or parcel poat, and Postago atampa, whether used or anused, and paper money, and paper and stationery otherwisespecitied. |
| 98 | Furnitare, Oablnetware and all other manafactures of wood not otherwiso apecified. |  | YARNS AND TEXTLLE FABRICS <br> Yarse and Textile Fabrice, that is to any :- |
| 04 | GLASSWARE AND RARTHRNWARE <br> Olask and glansware, lequered ware, earthenWaro, ohinu and porcelaln ; all sorta except glase bangles and beads and false pearla ( 600 No. 124). |  | Corton caread other than sowing or darning thread, and all other manufatured cotiot goods not otherwise apecibed; <br> Flax, twith and yarn, and mannfactarea of flax; |

[^10]
## No. 54-continued.

## IMPORT TARIFF-cont\%.

Part V.-Articles which are liable to duty at 15 per cent* ad valorem concld.


## $\because$ <br> Part VI.-Articles which are liable to duty at 30 per centi ad valorem.

| Fra | Names of Articles | 1\%. | Firmen of Artioles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1284 | I.- Pood, Drituk and TobaccoConfectionery. <br> 8PICES <br> The followhig Spleen, namely :Cardatmoms, casels, cinnamon, cloven, nutmega and pepper. | 125 | III.-Articlea wholy or madnis mannfactured- <br> ARMS, AMOMONITION AND MHLITARE STORES <br> Ounpowder for cannons, rifice, gunt, platola and sparting purposes. |
| -All aticles under this bead except raw hemp comprined in the itom No. 78 aro llable to an additionit dut <br> of 5 per cont ad ralorem from lst March, 1031. <br>  |  |  |  |

Part VI.-Articles which are lisble to duty at 30 per cent* ad valoremcontd.


Part VII.—Articles which are lisble to protective duty at special ratos.


[^11]
## $\therefore$ No. 51-oonlinued.

## IMPORT TARLFH-contd.

Part VII-Articles which are liable to protective duty at special rateacontd.


## No. 64-continued.

## IMPORT TARIFF-contd.

Part VII.-Artioles which are liable' to protective duty at special rates contd.

 meatary Aot ed 1031

Part VII.-Articles which are liable to protective duty at apecial rates-- contd.


## IMPORT TARLFF-concladed.

Part VII.-Artioles which are liable to proteotive daty at apecial zabenconeld.


EXPORT TARIFF.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline No. \& Names of Aticlem. \& Par \& Bete ot duty. <br>
\hline 8

8

4 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
JUTE, OTHER THAN BLOLIPATAM Raw Juto- <br>
(1) Cuttinge <br>
(i) Altinge otbor deseriptons : Juto manufactures, whon not in actani une wis
coveringe, recepteoles or bladlage for othor covede <br>
(1) Secking (cloth, bags, twist, yarn, rope and twine). <br>
(8) Hesglans and all other deacriptions of jute manuthoture not othorwite apechifed. <br>
Rew hldei and sking <br>
H1DES AND SKINB <br>
RIOR <br>
Rloe, hasked or unhuaked, Inoluding rico toir, but exciadling rice betan anil rico duts, which are treo.

 \&  \& 

En. 4 <br>
1
4
8 <br>
200 <br>
20 <br>
5 par conto <br>

\end{tabular} <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

No. bb.-Sharz of fach provinor in the total entrirg and owearanots of vibeita


No. 56 ,-NUmber and tonnage of vegbele gngaged in the forgign gea-borne trade whidi entered and oleared at ports in Brimish India
(Io thousands of tono)


- Onjy one Amerionn verel with tonnage of 1,728 entered ta tho yeap 1018-18.

No. b6.-Numbir and tonnage of vasbris migaged in the forbian bea-borne tradi whioh entered asd ourarid at ports in Brtibh India-continued.
(In thomands of tois)


No. 57.-Rail-borne trade at Statione adjacent to Land Frontiez rotits of Indil proper

## Registration Stations

Yor compilation purpoues the regitaration etiations have been divided Into three groupes
Group (a) oomprise the rail route which blfurcates into the Nuahkl-Durdap extconlon nad the trade towny
 station are incioded in It:-


Grong (b) comprise the trade through the North-Fifest Frontler Frovince and the Punfab with Northorr and Enetern Afghanlstan, with Kazmir, and through them Fith Centrai Aala and Turkisfan. The followlog stations are fibeloded in this group:-

17. Takhthbhal
18. Durgal.
10. Bavellan.
20. Ramalpind.
21. Jamma (Tawi).
22. Pathankote.
28. Hoablarpur,
24. Harlgur Hemara.
25. Damel.
25. Barna.
27. Buchetgarb.
28. Ranblrainghpura.
29. Miran Snhíb.
30. Jammu Cantonment.
81. Parachintr.

Group (o) comprises the trade through the United Provinces, Bthar and Orisan, Bengel and Aesam, with Tibet Topal ${ }_{2}$ Bikim and Bhatan, The following stations are included in this groupt-


- Temporarily closed.

18. Bhaptlah:
19. Nautanwit.
20. Jogband.
21. Nakal barf.
22. Galgalm.
23. Ghum.
24. Gtollo Khoin (formarly Kallmpong Rodif,
25. Nagraknta

20, Carron.
20, Carron.
21. Benarhat
21. Bathhowighats

IAll quartition in mondi, except trearuel
MPPORTS


No. 57.-Rail-borne trade at Stations adjacent to Land Frontirr routes of India proper-conid.

【All quantitlen in marode, eroept treazare〕
IMPORTS-conid.


[^12]No. 57.-Rail-borne trade at Stations adjacent to Land Frontier rodtes of India proper-concld.

EXPORTS

 only at g'tatomin gruup (c).

No. 58,-Trade at Stations on the Important Land Frontier ruetes of Burma
[All quantities In maunde, excopt treaturs]
IMPORTS


Nork-"(d) "" menns trado at Bhamo, downward trafle on the river.
(f) " means trade at Latalo, Heho, sind Sbwenyang, outward trafte.
(U) " meane trade at Thingannyinanng (formerly at Kawkarelk), Importationa into Burma.

Of this total 394,240 onnces repreeenta Governmont treaturo.

815,048 ouncet Governepant treenare to Bangoon Currency Offod to Mandalay Trearmy and

No. 58.-Trade at Stations on the Important Land Frontirr routrs of Burma-contd.
[All quartites in mands, axeopt truerare]
EXPORTS



"(f)" meana trade at Thingannyinaing (foruitrly at '

No. 58.-Trade at Stations on ther Important Land Frontier rodtes of Burma-concld.
[All quantities in mands, exoept treanare]
EXPORTS-cond.

| Asmotw | 1020-80 | 1980-81 | 1981-38 | Astioles | 1920-80. | 1980.81 | 1981-82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 日nk-eontd. (d) (b) |  | 46 | 48 | Candies- <br> (d) <br> (f) | 1,297 6,208 866 | $\begin{array}{r}4,104 \\ 1,198 \\ \hline \mathbf{4 , 1 8 0} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,117 |
|  | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | . | ToTas | 7,860 | 6,401 | 6,488 |
| Tosab | 10 | 48 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| Jade Stone- <br> (d) <br> (d) | 121  <br> $:$ $\because$ | $\square^{182}$ | 70 | Matches- <br> (d) <br> (d) | 8,125 6,144 888 | $\begin{array}{r}3,454 \\ 6.863 \\ \hline \quad 842 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2,277 8,005 86 |
| Toras | 121 | 188 | 70 | Toxay | 0,657 | 8,084 | 6,828 |
| Bazir- <br> (d) <br> (8) | $\begin{array}{r} 6,117 \\ \mathbf{1 8 , 8 0 8} \\ 1,509 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,744 \\ \mathbf{1 0 , 9 4 8} \\ 994 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,073 \\ 10,264 \\ 690 \end{array}$ | Woollen goods (plecegoode, |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| Tonst | 21,222 | 17,086 | 15,623 | woolien twist |  |  |  |
| Gnaihned, Inclading jagrery(e) | $\mathbf{8 , 7 1 1}$ 8,520 | 8,869 $\mathbf{1 , 9 9 6}$ | 4,264 1,455 | (d) (f) | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \\ 102 \\ \hdashline .9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\square 80 \\ \mathbf{8} \\ \hline 188\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}885 \\ \hline .8\end{array}$ |
| () | 67 |  |  | Toras | 105 | 228 | 462 |
| T07at | 8,208 | 8,872 | 6,721 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}762 \\ 1,080 \\ \hline 24\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,071 \\ 1,001 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | 848 <br> 1,188 <br> -8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trèzaure- } \\ & \text { Gold (in ounces) } \end{aligned}$ | $\therefore$ | ! | $\cdots$ |
| Torit | 2,746 | 2,688 | 1,084 |  |  |  |  |
| Tobece: <br> (d) <br> (f) | 2,701 | 598 2,100 488 | $\begin{array}{r}660 \\ \text { 1,808 } \\ \hline 888 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Sllver (in oune ces) (d) (f) | [ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ | $\because$ | $\because$ |
| Torav | 8,603 | 8,186 | 2,256 | Tosar | 884 | * |  |

No. 59.-Chibp Imports into Burma prom India.


No. 60.-Cbief Exports prom Berma to India.


[^13](Average of four years. $\begin{aligned} & \text { a Average of four jears, representing " Petrol "only. }\end{aligned}$

No. 61.-Tables illustrating comprtition experienoed by Indian pro . docts in orrtady Formign markets.

Imports of Linseed into the United Kingdom, France, Italy and Australia. $\because \quad$. (In thoosend quintala)

|  | 1913 | 1024 | 1928 | 1829 | 1980 | 1931 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Univid Knsedoy. |  | 4950 | 3.48 | 284 | 2933 | 3 ,898 |
| Argentine | 2,250 | 2,090 | 2992 | 1,979 | 1,401 | 3,121 |
| India. | 1,360 | 2,380 | 336 | 762 | 712 | 158 |
| India's percentage | 21\% | 48\% | 10\% | 27\% | $32 \%$ | \%\% |
| Total . Pram | 2,514 | 1,337 | 2,114 | 2,154 | 1,905 | 2.650 |
| Argentino - | 1,143 | ${ }^{1} 848$ | 1,394 | 1,344 | ${ }_{933}$ | 1,963 |
| Indis | 1,026 | 617 | 560 | 636 | 888 | 469 |
| India's zercentage | 41\% | 46\% | 26\% | 30\% | 46\% | 18\% |
| Totai . Itary. | 454 | 324 | 657 | 590 | 5:38 | 618 |
| Argentine | 133 | 11 | 188 | 285 | 113 | 381 |
| India (and Ceslon) | 261 | 282 | 421 | 267 | 373 | 170 |
| India's percentage (including Ceylon) | 67\% | 87\% | 64\% | 15\% | 70\% | 28\% |
|  | 1913 | 1914-15 | 1928-29 | 1829-30 | 1930-81 | 1931-32 |
| Total . \% | 35 | 48 | 247 | 238 | 169 | 168 |
| Argentino |  |  | 31 |  | 65 | 58 |
| India | 27 | 38 | 213 | 217 | 80 | 110 |
| India's percentage | 77\% | 82\% | 86\% | 98\% | 63\% | 65\% |

Imports of Rapesed inta the United Kingdom, Belgium, Italy and France.
(In thousand quintala)


Nome-One quintal=22046 lbe

No. 61-continued.
Imports of Sesamum seed into France and Italy: $\qquad$
(In thousand quintals)

| - | 1913 | 1914 | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frasoz |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total import | 278 | 261 | 78 | 52 | 33 | 15. |
| India . . . . . - | 228 | 251 | 42 | 14 | - | - |
| India's percentaga . - . | 82\% | 96\% | 64\% | 27\% | $\because$ | - |
| Ching . - . . - | 10 | 2 | $\therefore \quad 17$ | 13 | 23 | 3 |
| Chinc's percendage | 4\% | 7\% | 22\% | 25\% | 70\% | 20\% |
| Itali. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total import | Not | Not | 123 | 158 | 258 | 134 |
| Indin'a ahare (inoluding Coylon) | .. | .. | 85 | 38 | 34 | 18 |
| Pescentags of India's ahare (including Ceylon). | - | - | 69\% | 24\% | 13\% | 18\% |
| China - - | - | -* | 27 | 114 | 217 | 04 |
| Pereentage of China'a share . | - | -* | 22\% | 72\% | 84\% | 70\% |

## Imports of Groundnut into France.

(In thousand quintals)

|  | 1918 | 1914 | 1928 | 1929 | 1030 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uxtherled. |  |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |
| Senegal ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,738 | 1,734 | 2,083 | 2,857 | 3,436 | 2,980 |
| West Africa (other ports) | 488 | 659 | 218 | 168 | 236 | 470 |
| Indis - - | 325 | 265 | 83 | 32 | 46 | 41 |
| Total - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 2,634 | 2,742 | 2,935 | 3,118 | 8,753 | 3,602 |
| Corresponding total (shelled). | 1,976 | 2,057 | 2,202 | 2,338 | 2,814 | 2,702 |
| Sixcled. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indis. | 2,442 |  | 2,081 |  |  |  |
| Benegal | 2,442 | 2, 20 | 2,081 | 2,919 35 | 2,548 65 | 2,462 $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| Other countriea | 245 | 262 | 650 | 698 | 812 | 1,026 |
|  | 2,087 | 2,982 | 3,331 | 3,652 | 3,425 | 1,026 |
| *Peresmenge of total supplied by Indra. | 68\% | 68\% | 49\% | 49\% | 3,426 <br> $1 \%$ | 8, $10 \%$ |

[^14]No. 61-concld.
Inports of Tea into certain countries
(an thousands of lbr.)

| 1 . - | Pro-war Average | $\underset{\text { Average }}{\text { War }}$ | 1098 | 1929 | 1980 | 1091 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| India | 189,093 | 235,428 | 288,820 | 808,785 | 293,183 | 277,142 |
| Caylon | 111,798 | 100,568 | 189281 | 158,095 | 169,097 | 188.888 |
| Chios | 10,058 $\mathbf{1 7 , 9 9 0}$ | 17,682 18,594 | 70,569 | 85,404 | $\mathbf{8 , 7 3 3}$ $\mathbf{8 6 , 0 0 0}$ |  |
| Toras | 840,150 | 877,142 | 508,357 | 659,16B | 641,098 | 685,649 |
| Pascentage of trilia'e share of lotel | 84.8 | 61.9 | 86.8 | 81.9 | 886 | 61.\% |
| Fianos- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cblas | 7,859 | 2,057 6,785 | 1,288 2,478 | 8,720 | 1,484 | 1,225 |
| Tomar | 0,601 | 11,098 | 6,876 | 6,837 | 4,498 | 4,718 |
| Pomantage of Intiast share of tolat | 18.8 | 2677 | $20-8$ | 178 | 80.3 | 38.1 |
| OnNaDAL | 18,850 |  |  | 23,760 | 38,880 | 10,036 |
| Coylon | 15,481 | 10,137 | 11,754 | 10,618 | 18,214 | 9,819 |
| Chlus | 2,772 | 1,763 | ${ }^{1787}$ | 604 | 908 | 287 |
| Japan | 1,128 | 5,556 | 8,122 | 8,028 | 2,038 | 2,800 |
| $3^{\text {ava }}$ | $\cdots$ | 4,444 | , | . | -• | - |
| Toras | 86,759 | 88,080 | 80,627 | 88,677 | 80,888 | 88 \%,115 |
| Perwartage of Inita's shore of total | 87.7 | 88.4 | 67.6 | $61 \cdot 4$ | 68.6 | 60.2 |
| Unipho Statia or Aymeran (a)- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cerlon : | 6,640 | 10,722 | 15.542 | 14,738 | 10,364 | 17,407 |
| Ohlas | 22,180 | 18,002 | -8,807 | 9,488 | 0,618 | 8,219 |
| Japan | 46,248 | 49,339 | 28,422 | 24,589 6,088 | 20,948 | 81,417 |
| Jave | 158 | 8,650 | 6,189 |  | B, 108 | 6,718 |
| Tomas | -85,120 | 100,716 | 80,834 | 80,873 | 84,026 | 86,788 |
| Percontage of Indicme shar of total | 7.0 | 10.6 | 17:3 | 16.5 | 19.5 | 20.1 |
| Assriatu- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indis |  |  |  | 8,850 | 4,495 | 2,687 |
|  | 10,978 | 28,406 | 19,638 | 26,170 | 10,818 | 13,940 |
| Jova : $\quad:$ | 2,887 8,756 | 1,082 7,746 | $\begin{array}{r} 887 \\ 88,719 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 950 \\ & 10,254 \end{aligned}$ | 28,015 | [1508 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOPAE | 85,442 | 41,987 | 50,082 | 50,700 | 16,427 | 4,178 |
| Peronatege of Indic's share of total | 24.6 | 80.1 | 12*6 | 10.5 | 8.8 | 6.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Ceylon }}$ Inde | 651 | 857 | 404 | 365 | 898 | 088 |
| $\underset{\text { Chava }}{\text { Cha }}$ : | 0,147 | 7,460 58 | 10,855 |  | 0,648 92 | 11,042 |
| Java • - |  | 848 | 101 | 72 | 11 | 14 |
| Toraz | 7,648 | 2,286 | 11,149 | 12,061 | 10,178 | 12,115 |
| Percestepe of 1adice share of tocel | $8 \cdot 6$ | 98 | 8.6 | $3 \cdot 0$ | 8.0 | $8 \cdot 1$ |

[^15]aponding exporta of forelgg tea from the United Kingiom to the United 8 taten are Included in the correapanding Lguree of India, Coylon, China and Java tee lmported into the United Statef.
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Report } \\ & \text { Page } \end{aligned}$ | Tables |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Import } \\ \text { Page } \end{gathered}$ | Export Page | $\begin{gathered} \text { Misool.- } \\ \text { lanneous } \\ \text { Paga } \end{gathered}$ |
| Cold bullion and coin | 161 |  |  |  |
| \% imports and exporta | 17 | 235-37 | 235-37 |  |
| \% net imports of - silver and ourrenoy notes | 161-62 | . $\cdot$ | . $\cdot \cdot$ | 240 |
| " prices of - and silver | 162-63 | $\cdots$ | . |  |
| " stookg of : | - |  | $\cdots$ | 241 |
| \% sud nilver, thread | -. | 178 | $\cdots$ |  |
| \% Throad Industry (Proteotion) A0i | 19 |  | $\because$ | 241 |
| Government Papera . . . | 18 |  | $\cdots$ | -- |
| " stores. | 132 | 165 | 165 | .. |
| " $\quad$, imports of . | 132 | 179-80, |  | - |
| " ${ }^{\text {" }}$ | 132 | 179-80 | 165 |  |
| Grain, pulse and flour | 98 | 188, 177 | 170,181 |  |
| Groundnuts (Arachis hypogesa) | 115 |  | 182, 230, | , 248, |
| Gome, resins |  |  | 282 | 282 244 |
| "), andiluo | .. | 168 | 170 |  |
| Ganpowder - . |  |  |  | 24 |
| Haberdashery and millinery | 43 | 178 | 188 |  |
| Eandzerohiefs and shawls |  | 172 |  | 243 |
| Hardware ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 59 | 175, 222 | 187 | .. |
| Hawaii and outlery - | . | 169 | 171 |  |
| Heavy Ohemical Industry (Protection) Aot | 19 | $\because$ | 216 | . |
| Homp, raw (Crotalaria junceax) - | 131 | $\because$ | 186 | 246 |
| Hides and akins | 117 |  |  |  |
| " " " raw | 118 | 168, 179 | $170,183,$ | 234, 886 |
| " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$, ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ tanned or dressed | 119 | 100, 179 | 171, 234 | 246, 248 |
| " bufialo . - . | 119 | 10,178 | 182, 183 | - 248 |
| " calfakins | 119 | .. | 183 |  |
| " 000 | 118 | . | 132, 183 | 248 |
| " gost skins | 119 |  | 182, 183 | 248 |
| Hongkong ake | 119 |  | 182 | $\because$ |
| Hoops and stripg | 145 |  | 209 | $\cdots$ |
| Horns, tipa, eto. |  | 173, 220 | 186 |  |
| Horses ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{i}_{69}$ | 171 | 244 |
| Hoaiery, ootton ${ }^{\text {Implomonte }}$ and tools | 43 | 172 |  | .. |
| Implomente and tools Import teriff | 59 | 175 | $\cdots$ |  |
| Importa of Government Storea | 131 |  | $\cdots$ | 254-69 |
| 硣 |  |  | - | - |
| © \#private merchandine (total) |  | 165, 179 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| $n$ prinoipal artioles of | 22 | $172-79$ | . |  |
| n treasure | 161-62 | 165, 235 |  |  |
| - Falue of (grand total) | 11,22 | ${ }_{-40}^{465}$ |  |  |
| Indor numbers of cotton, raw and aloth (in Liverpool) ${ }^{\circ}$. | , | 188-69 | $\because$ | 24 |
| Indox number of prioes . | $\because 7$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | 249 |
| Indox number of thole-cargo chartor ratea Indian Finance Aot. | 19 | $\because$ | - | $\cdots$ |
|  | 10 | . |  | - |

## INDEX



## INDEX

|  |  | Tablirs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Report Page | $\underset{\text { Page }}{\text { Import }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Erport } \\ & \text { Page } \end{aligned}$ | MiscelIaneous Page |
| \% button : : ¢ ! | 121 | $\because$ | 184, 232 | $\because$ |
| " seed | 122 | $\because$. | 232 |  |
| $n$ shall | 121 | $\cdots$ | 232 | 246,248 |
| Estiok - - - : | 129 | - | 232 |  |
| Lempe, motal ands : : : | 69 | 178 | $\because$ | $\because$ |
| Land frontior trado . : | 165 | 273-74, | 275, 277 |  |
| Leed |  | ${ }^{276}$ | -78 |  |
| Leather : |  | 178,228 | 182 | - |
| Linneed. | 115 | - | 182, 229 | 245,248, |
| Liquosi . | 71 | 168, 178 | 170 | 281 |
| n alo, boer, and portor | 72 | ${ }^{176}$ | 170 | 213 |
| * brandy | 78 |  | $\cdots$ | 248 |
| " eidor - |  | 176 |  | $\cdots$ |
| - ppirit. | 72 | 176 | $\cdots$ | 243 |
| " Whisky | 72 |  | $\cdots$ | 243 |
| Looomotives | 73 | 176 |  | $\cdots$ |
| Labrioating oil ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{61}^{64}$ | 176 | \% | .. |
| Msohinery and millwork | 52 | 100, 178 | 171, 188 | $\cdots$ |
|  |  | : 221 |  |  |
| ") boitars mill | : 64 | - 172 | \% 6 | * |
| " oleotrioal | . 64 | ! 178 | $\cdots$ | . |
| " jute mill | ${ }_{64}^{54}$ | 172 | $\because$ | - |
| " metal working . | 54 | 172 | 4 | $\because$ |
| $\cdots \quad$ mining ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 54 | 172 | - |  |
| " propor mill $\quad \therefore$ |  | 172 | $\because$ | $\because$ |
| " prime movers | 53 | 172 | $\because$ | $\because$ |
| ") rice and four nilis. | 64 64 | 172 |  | - |
| $\because$ nengar - . | 64 | 172 | $\cdots$ | . |
| $\because \quad$ tea ${ }_{\text {costile }}$ - |  | 172 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |
| ") tornpowitars | 64 | 172 | - | $\because$ |
| Manganese ore | 5124 | 172 |  | ${ }^{46}$ |
| Manufactured artialea : ! | 124 |  | 184, 234 | 246 |
| Markots-Indian goode in Foreign compotitions of | $\because$ | 169 | 171 |  |
|  | 130 | 178 |  | 248 |
| Matohes | . | 207 | 207 | $\cdots$ |
| Maurition ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | . | 179 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |
| Medicines |  | 17203 | - 203 |  |
| Merchandise-total imports and exports of |  | 178, 180 | - 188 | 246 |
| " monthly imports and exports of |  | 165 $\therefore \quad 167$ | ${ }_{167}^{165}$ | - |
| " net exports of ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | 158 |  | 167 | $\because$ |
| ") trade in private-according to olasee |  | 108-69 | 170-71 | $\cdots$ |
| of ars, quinquennial avarages of <br> " value of exporta of private - . | $\because$ | ${ }^{168}$ |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | - | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 165,171, \\ 186 \end{array}$ | . |
| Motal lempa ** imports orn . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\cdots$ | 165, 169 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| , | 59 |  | $\bullet$ |  |



|  | Report Page | Tables |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Import } \\ & \text { Page } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Export } \\ \text { Page } \end{gathered}$ | Miscel- <br> laneors <br> Page |
| Oilseeds, coprs | 116 | $\cdots$ | 182 | 245 |
| " ootton (Coseypium Spo.) | 116 | $\cdots$ | 182, 230 | 245, 248 |
| " groundnuta (Arechis hypogaca) | 115 | -. | 182, 230, | 245, 248, |
| " linseed (Linum utitatissimum) | 115 | $\cdots$ | 182, 229 | 245, 248, |
| mustard (Brcesica Spp.) | 116 |  | - 182 |  |
| " poppy (Papaver somnijerum) | -110 | : |  |  |
| " rapeseed (Bransicas Spo.) : | 116 | .. | 152, 229 | 246, 248 |
| ", sessmum (Scsamum indicum) | 116 | - | 182, 230 | 245, 248, |
| Opiam | 127 | -. | 184, 233 | 218 |
| Ore | 124 |  | 184 |  |
| \% manganese | 124 | $\cdots$ | 184, 234 | 248 |
| Paints and colours | 125 | 177 | ... | 244 |
| Paper and pasteboard | ${ }^{7} 7$ | 168, 176 | $\therefore 171$ | 244 |
| - making materiala . . - . |  | 168, 178 | $\therefore 170$ | .. |
| " manuractures - | 71 |  | .. | - |
| $\because$ mills ${ }^{\text {n }}$. | 71 | . | $\because$ | .. |
|  | 70 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . |
| " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ parteboard ${ }^{\circ}$ | 71 | $*$ | $\because$ | 244 |
| " writing papor. | 70 | . |  |  |
| Parafin wax | 125 | $\cdots$ | 184 | 246 |
| Pearla | 78 |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| Pemba | 144 | 201 | 201-202 |  |
| Pepper ${ }^{\text {Pre }}$ - | 77, 127 | .: | 184 | 245 |
| Percentage of exports to production of crope a |  |  | - | 234 |
| Percentage share of prinoipal countries in the total trade in merchandise | . | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\square} 191$ | 191 |  |
| Peroentage ahare of principal countries in the total value of chemioals | 68 |  |  |  |
| Percentage share of prinoipal countries in the imports of salt | 73 |  |  |  |
| Porcentage share of the United Kingdom, Jepan and Chins in the importa of cotton twist and yarin. | 87 87 |  | - | . |
| Perventage share of the Unitod Kingdom and Japan in the imports of cotton piecegoods | 87 | - |  | - |
| Percentage share of certain countries in the total quantities of piecegoods imported | 41 | . | . | .. |
| Percentage share of prinoipar countries in the total imports of paper and panteboard | 42 | $\cdots$ | . | . |
| Pertia . - . |  | 211 | - | $\cdots$ |
| Pera |  |  | 211-212 | - |
| Petrol | 62 | $\because$ | - | - |
| Pig iron production of | 48, 125 | 221 |  | . |
| "" lead produotion of | 46, 125 |  |  |  |
| Pipes and 6 titingr, cast | 50 | 178, 200 | $\cdots$ |  |
| Pitch and tar - |  | 170, 179 |  | 244 |
| $\stackrel{\text { Polishee }}{\text { Portugues }}$ East Afrios | . | 179 | - | 244 |
| Poppy need - . | $\because$ | 204 | 182 | $\because$ |

## INDEX

|  |  | Tabris |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\because$ | Report page | $\begin{gathered} \text { Import } \\ \text { Page } \end{gathered}$ | Export Page | Misoollancoras Pago |
| Preolous stones and pesils, nuset | 78 | 178 | $\cdots$ | - |
| Prices, Inder-Board of Trade . | 4 | .. | $\cdots$ | - |
| " gold | 162 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -. |
| " silver . | 163 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | 21788 |
| Prime movers | ${ }_{63}$ | 172 | - | 23 |
| Production-ratios of exporta to |  |  | - |  |
| Proprietary and patent medioinea | 69 | ${ }_{268}{ }_{176}$ | 170,188 | 248 |
| Provinions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( 4 | ${ }_{67}^{67}$ | 168, 175 | 170,180 | 243 |
| Pulp of woad cod and botded : | 71 | . ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 181 | 245 |
| Polbe : $:$ - | 98, 109 | 176 |  | 245 |
| Quinine play plant an | 69 | 189, 176 | 171 | $\cdots$ |
| " carriagee and wagona | $\cdots$ | -176 | . |  |
| " locomotives | . | 176 | . |  |
| " materials | $\cdots$ | [ 178.820 | .. | $\cdots$ |
| \#. bridgework. | 49 | 173,220 176 | $\cdots$ | .. |
| ") sleepers and keys ${ }^{\text {\% }}$, |  | 176 | 188, 220 | 248, |
| Rapeseed - $\quad$ - | 118 | . | 182, 220 | ${ }^{281}{ }^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{0}$-exporta | 132 |  | 187-88 | $\cdots$ |
| Rounion : |  | 204 |  | 251-95 |
| Rovenue-Indian Soa and Land Oustoma | 0 | - | 181, 231 | 245, 247 |
| Rice (Oryza sativa) . | 99 |  | 281, 23 | -.. |
| ") and lour mill maohinery . | $\because$ | 173 | $\cdots$ |  |
| ") export, duty on: | $\because$ | .. | . | $\begin{gathered} 269 \\ 250 \end{gathered}$ |
| " freighta for |  | . | 181 |  |
| " in the huak | 99 | $\because$ | 181, 231 | 245 |
| " not in the husk | 100 | $\because$ | 181,21 | .. |
| " prices of Indian | 100 |  |  | . |
| Rope and twine |  |  | 181 | $\because$ |
| Roumania . |  | ${ }_{188-69}^{200}$ | 171 | $\because$ |
| Rubber . | 57 | 168-176 | 171, 187 | .. |
| "\% panumatares ${ }^{\text {" }}$ ( | 58 | $160 \cdot 178$ | 170, ${ }^{\text {\% }} 85$ | 246 |
| " raw . | 180 | 168, 176 |  | . |
| " tabees for motor tyres | 58 |  |  | .. |
| Rug ${ }_{\text {gres }}$ tyres for motor cars | 46, 120 | 177 | 183 | . |
| Rupee exchange | 16 |  | 200 |  |
| Rusbia - | 73 | 178 |  | 243,248 |
| " (Additional Import Dity) Aot | 19 | .. | - | $\because$ |
| S" ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ " ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Extending) Aot | 20 | , | 186 | 246 |
| Sandal wood oil ${ }^{\text {Salusium nitrate - . . }}$ | 128 |  | .. | .. |
| Scientific and philosophical instrumenta | 75 | 174 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ |
| ${ }_{\text {Soensm }}^{\text {Soma }}$ | $\cdots 12$ | 178 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| " caloulated values of, on the banir of | 15 |  | . | - |
| Second-hand olothing $\quad . \quad \pm \quad$ : $\quad$. | $\therefore$ | 178 | . | $\cdots$ |
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[^0]:    * Informatic n regarding rabi cropa, suoh as wheat, linseed, and rape and mustard, rofons to ;he prodnotion of 1930-31, as these crops came into the market in 1031-32.

[^1]:    $i-r$, For further details a reference may be made to the Repott of the Controller of the Currency for 1931 32, from which source most of the information in the following two paragraphs hes been taken.

[^2]:    Sewing thread (R54 iakhs).

[^3]:    Tobecco ( m 94 lakhs).

[^4]:    - Acknowledgments are due to the Secretary, Indian Contral Cotton Committeefor assistanoe in connection with this peragraph.

[^5]:    Parafin wax ( $\mathbf{R 2} 2,32$ lachs $)$.

[^6]:    Fodder, bran and pollards (R75 lakhg).

[^7]:    - Inolode Government treamure.

[^8]:    (i) Average for two years 1012-18 and 1018-14.
    

[^9]:    

[^10]:    All articiea nider thil bead except raw hemp comprised in the item Na. 78 are llable to an additional: duty of 5 par cent ad ravoring from lat ifarch, 1081.

[^11]:    An antiolen onder thla bead axcept aplces (No. 124-A), botelnuta (No. 141-A), and clnematograph almp,
     198.

[^12]:    - Garpeta and ruge are imported th apprectable quanatites Into groups (a) and (b) only and are not registered other stations
    © Horari is imported in appreciable quantifies only trom Tibet and ts regiatered oniy at atationa In groupa (b) and (c).
    + Oharas in imported malaly from Contral Anla and Turkstan and en regiatered only at atatlons in group (b).
    \& Thene commoditien are importod in spprealable quantitien only fato group (o) and are regtatered only at those

[^13]:    *Included with "Olts- Mineral-Other kinde" prior to April 1015,

[^14]:    *Thee figures have been calculated on the bacie of 100 tons unohelled $=75$ tons aholled. Nois-Ons quintal $=220.46$ lbe.

[^15]:    Norr.- Figures for Auatralle represent thone for the ofimel years 1028-99 to 1051-82

